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#### Abstract

The notion of 12 -representable graphs was introduced as a variant of a well-known class of word-representable graphs. Recently, these graphs were shown to be equivalent to the complements of simple-triangle graphs. This indicates that a 12 -representant of a graph (i.e., a word representing the graph) can be obtained in polynomial time if it exists. However, the $12-$ representant is not necessarily optimal (i.e., shortest possible). This paper proposes an $O\left(n^{2}\right)$-time algorithm to generate a shortest 12 -representant of a labeled graph, where $n$ is the number of vertices of the graph.


## 1 Introduction

A graph $G$ is word-representable if there is a word $w$ over the alphabet $V(G)$ such that two letters $x$ and $y$ are adjacent in $G$ if and only if a word $x y x y \ldots$ or a word $y x y x \cdots$ remains after removing all other letters from $w$. Such a word $w$ is called a word-representant of $G$. Recently, word-representable graphs have been investigated intensively [ 5,7$]$. One of the results relevant to this paper is the NP-hardness of the recognition, see [5, Theorem 39] or [7, Theorem 4.2.15].

Jones et al. [4] introduced the notion of $u$-representable graphs as a generalization of word-representable graphs. In this context, word-representable graphs are called 11-representable graphs. Kitaev [6] showed that only two graph classes are nontrivial in the theory of $u$-representable graphs: 11-representable graphs and 12 -representable graphs. This paper focuses on 12 -representable graphs.

Let $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ for a positive integer $n$. A labeled graph $G$ whose labels are drawn from $[n]$ is 12 -representable if there is a word $w$ over $[n]$ such that each letter of $[n]$ appears at least once in $w$ and two vertices $i$ and $j$ with $i<j$ are adjacent in $G$ if and only if no $i$ occurs before $j$ in $w$. In this situation, $w$ is said to 12 -represent the graph $G$ and $w$ is called a 12 -representant of $G$. For example, the

[^0]graph $G_{1}$ in Figure 1(a) is 12-representable by a word $w=8753532847616421$. An unlabeled graph $G$ is 12 -representable if there is a labeling of $G$ which generates a 12 -representable labeled graph.

(a)
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Figure 1: (a) A 12-representable graph $G_{1}$. (b) A model of the complement $\bar{G}_{1}$ of $G_{1}$. (c) Another model of $\bar{G}_{1}$. The vertices are labeled based on the points on $L_{1}$. The word $w=8753532847616421$ obtained from the model in Figure 1(b) is a 12-representant of $G_{1}$. We can obtain another 12 -representant $w^{\prime}=35278471246$ from the model in Figure 1(c). As mentioned in Example 13, three vertices 2, 4, and 7 are bad in $G_{1}$; hence, $w^{\prime}$ is a shortest 12 -representant of $G_{1}$.

Jones et al. [4] showed that the class of 12-representable graphs is a proper subclass of comparability graphs and a proper superclass of co-interval graphs and permutation graphs. They also provided a characterization of 12 -representable trees and a necessary condition for 12-representability, which turned out to be sufficient (Theorem 6). Chen and Kitaev [1] investigated the 12-representability of a subclass of grid graphs and presented its characterization.

The class of 12-representable graphs is equivalent to the complements of simple-triangle graphs [10]. This equivalence can be depicted as follows. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be two horizontal lines in the plane with $L_{1}$ above $L_{2}$. A point on $L_{1}$ and an interval on $L_{2}$ define a triangle between $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. A graph is a simple-triangle graph [2] if there is a triangle $T_{v}$ for each vertex $v$ of $G$ such that two vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent if and only if $T_{u}$ intersects $T_{v}$. The set $\left\{T_{v}: v \in V(G)\right\}$ of triangles is called a model or representation of $G$; we use the term model in this paper to avoid confusion. For example, Figure 1(b) is a model of the complement $\bar{G}_{1}$ of the graph $G_{1}$ in Figure 1(a). Indeed, two vertices of $G_{1}$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding triangles do not intersect.

Given a model of a simple-triangle graph, we can obtain a 12-representant of its complement by labeling each triangle based on the point on $L_{1}$ from left to right and reading the labels of endpoints on $L_{2}$ from right to left. For example, a 12-representant $w=8753532847616421$ of $G_{1}$ can be obtained from the model in Figure 1(b). On the other hand, we can construct a model of a simple-triangle graph from a 12-representant of its complement since the complement admits a 12 -representant in which each letter appears at most twice (Theorem 1 ).

It is worth mentioning that a simple-triangle graph admits several models, and different models can yield different 12-representants. For instance, Figure 1(c) illustrates another model of $\bar{G}_{1}$, which provides another 12 -representant $w^{\prime}=$ 35278471246 of $G_{1}$. In addition, triangles can be degenerated to lines as in the model of Figure 1(c), which correspond to letters appearing twice in the 12representant.

Since simple-triangle graphs can be recognized in $O(n m)$ time [12], the equivalence indicates that 12-representable graphs can be recognized in $O(n(\bar{m}+n))$ time [10], where $n, m$ and $\bar{m}$ are the number of vertices, edges and non-edges of the given graph, respectively. Moreover, a 12-representant of a graph can be obtained in the same time bound if it exists.

It should be noted that 12-representable graphs can be recognized in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time for labeled graphs, i.e., when the labeling is given [10]. It is possible that some labeling of a graph admits a 12-representant whereas the other does not (Theorem 6). Finding valid labeling takes $O(n(\bar{m}+n))$ time, but when a valid labeling is given, we can obtain the 12-representant in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time (Theorem 7).

The 12 -representants obtained by the method of [10] are of length $2 n$, and improving the upper bound of the length remains open [10]. This is the subject the paper deals with. The problem can also be viewed as how many triangles in the model could be degenerated to lines. The paper proposes an $O\left(n^{2}\right)$-time algorithm to compute a shortest 12-representant of the given labeled graph. In particular, we show an algorithm to transform a 12 -representant $w$ of a labeled graph $G$ to a shortest 12-representant $w^{\prime}$ of $G$. The algorithm is presented in Section 3. Section 2 introduces some definitions, notations and results used in this paper. Section 4 discusses the unlabeled case and poses an open question. Notably, computing a word-representant is NP-hard regardless of the labeling since the recognition is NP-hard [5, 7] and, unlike 12-representable graphs, the labeling is not important for word-representable graphs.

## 2 Preliminaries

Graphs All graphs in this paper are finite, simple, and undirected. We use $u v$ to denote the edge joining two vertices $u$ and $v$. For a graph $G$, we use $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ to denote the vertex set and the edge set of $G$, respectively. We usually
denote the number of vertices by $n$. The complement of a graph $G$ is the graph $\bar{G}$ such that $V(\bar{G})=V(G)$ and $u v \in E(\bar{G})$ if and only if $u v \notin E(G)$ for any two vertices $u, v$ of $\bar{G}$. For a graph $G$, a graph $H$ is an induced subgraph of $G$ if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $u v \in V(H)$ if and only if $u v \in V(G)$ for all $u, v \in V(H)$.

A labeled graph of a graph $G$ is obtained from $G$ by assigning an integer (label) to each vertex. A labeling of $G$ is an assignment of labels to the vertices of $G$. All labels are assumed to be distinct and drawn from $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. For a labeled graph, we usually denote its vertices by their labels. Unless stated otherwise, graphs are assumed to be unlabeled.

Trivial upper and lower bounds By definition, every 12-representant contains at least one copy of each letter. Hence, $n$ is a lower bound of the length of 12 -representants. The following theorem yields the upper bound.
Theorem 1 ([4]). For a 12-representable graph, there is a 12 -representant in which each letter occurs at most twice.

Proposition 2. The length of a shortest 12 -representant of a graph is at least $n$ and at most $2 n$, where $n$ is the number of vertices of the graph.

The following theorem can also be used to obtain the lower bound.
Theorem 3 ([4]). A graph is 12 -representable by a permutation if and only if it is a permutation graph.

Corollary 4. If a graph is not a permutation graph, then the length of its 12 representant is at least $n+1$, where $n$ is the number of vertices of the graph.

For example, applying Corollary 4 to the graph $G_{1}$ in Figure 1(a), we obtain the lower bound.

Example 5. We can see that the graph $G_{1}$ in Figure 1(a) is not a permutation graph as follows. The graph obtained from $G_{1}$ by removing the vertex 6 is isomorphic to the graph $\bar{\Gamma}_{12}[8]$ in $[3,8]$. Thus, $G_{1}$ is not the complement of a comparability graph. Since any permutation graph is the complement of a comparability graph [9], the graph $G_{1}$ is not a permutation graph. Therefore, the length of every 12 -representant of $G_{1}$ is at least 9 .

Labeling and recognition Labeling is important when dealing with 12-representable graphs. The following theorem indicates that not all labeling of a 12-representable graph is 12-representable.
Theorem 6 ([10]). A labeled graph $G$ is 12 -representable if and only if $G$ contains no induced subgraph $H$ such that $\operatorname{red}(H)$ is equal to one of $I_{3}, J_{4}$, or $Q_{4}$ in Figure 2, where $\operatorname{red}(H)$ denotes the reduced form of $H$, i.e., the labeled graph obtained by relabeling $H$ so that the $i$-th smallest label is replaced by $i$.


Figure 2: The labeled graphs $I_{3}(\mathrm{a}), J_{4}(\mathrm{~b})$, and $Q_{4}(\mathrm{c})$.

It should be noted that the necessity shown in Theorem 6 was first presented by Jones et al. [4]. We call a labeling valid if its resulting graph does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to $I_{3}, J_{4}$, or $Q_{4}$ in the reduced form.

As mentioned in the introduction, 12 -representable graphs are exactly the complements of simple-triangle graphs [10]. It follows that 12 -representable graphs can be recognized in $O(n(\bar{m}+n))$ time, where $\bar{m}$ is the number of nonedges of the given graph. However, when a valid labeling is given, we can obtain its 12 -representant in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time.
Theorem 7 ([10]). From a valid labeling of a 12-representable graph $G$, a 12representant of $G$ can be obtained in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time without relabeling of $G$.

Therefore, the 12 -representability of labeled graphs can be verified in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. In more detail, given a valid labeling of a 12 -representable graph $G$, we can obtain a model of its complement $\bar{G}$ in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time by the algorithm in [11]. A 12representant of $G$ can be obtained from the model described in the introduction. Hence, the obtained 12 -representant contains at most two copies of each letter.

Theorem 8. Given a labeled graph, we can test in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time whether the graph is 12-representable. If the graph is 12 -representable, then its 12 -representant in which each letter occurs at most twice can be obtained in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time.

## 3 Algorithm

We first improve the lower bound of the length of 12-representants.
Definition 9. Let $G$ be a labeled graph. We refer to a vertex $b$ of $G$ as a $b a d$ vertex if there exist two vertices $a$ and $c$ with $a<b<c$ such that $a b, b c \notin E(G)$ and $a c \in E(G)$. We call a vertex good if it is not a bad vertex.

Proposition 10. Let $G$ be a 12-representable labeled graph. Each bad vertex must occur twice in every 12-representant of $G$.

Proof. Let $w$ be a 12-representant of $G$, and let $a, b$, and $c$ be three vertices with $a<b<c$ such that $a b, b c \notin E(G)$ and $a c \in E(G)$. Since $a c \in E(G)$, every copy of $c$ occurs before the first occurrence of $a$ in $w$. Then, $a b, b c \notin E(G)$ implies that $b$ occurs after some $a$ and before some $c$ in $w$, respectively.

Proposition 10 leads to the following lower bound.
Lemma 11. Let $G$ be a labeled graph. The length of every 12-representant of $G$ is at least $n+b$, where $n$ and $b$ are the number of vertices and bad vertices of $G$, respectively.

In the rest of this section, we show that the length of shortest 12-representants of a labeled graph is exactly $n+b$. Suppose that there is a 12-representable graph $G$ and its 12-representant $w$. By Theorem 1, we can assume that each letter occurs at most twice in $w$. Proposition 10 states that all bad vertices occur twice in $w$. If the length of $w$ is larger than $n+b$, then some good vertices occur twice in $w$. Therefore, we propose an algorithm to transform $w$ to another 12-representant of $G$ in which no good vertices occur twice.

The following is a key observation.
Proposition 12. Let $G$ be a labeled graph with a 12-representant of $w$. Suppose that a letter $i$ occurs twice in $w$.
(a) Let $j$ be a letter just after the first occurrence of i, i.e., $w=W_{1} i j W_{2} i W_{3}$, where $W_{1}, W_{2}$, and $W_{3}$ are subwords of $w$. If $j<i$ then a word $w^{\prime}=$ $W_{1} j i W_{2} i W_{3}$ is a 12-representant of $G$.
(b) Let $j$ be a letter just before the second occurrence of $i$, i.e., $w=W_{1} i W_{2} j i W_{3}$, where $W_{1}, W_{2}$, and $W_{3}$ are subwords of $w$. If $j>i$ then a word $w^{\prime}=$ $W_{1} i W_{2} i j W_{3}$ is a 12-representant of $G$.
(c) If two occurrences of $i$ are consecutive in $w$, we can remove one occurrence. In other words, if $w=W_{1} i i W_{2}$, where $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are subwords of $w$, then a word $w^{\prime}=W_{1} i W_{2}$ is a 12-representant of $G$.

Proof. (a) Since $j$ occurs before the second occurrence of $i$ in $w$, we have $i j \notin$ $E(G)$. The letter $j$ still occurs before $i$ in $w^{\prime}$, and hence, $w^{\prime}$ is a 12-representant of $G$. (b) This can be proved by a similar way. (c) Trivial.

Proposition 12 leads to Algorithm 1, which generates a 12-representant in which no good vertices occur twice. The algorithm works under the assumption that each letter occurs at most twice in the input.

Before proving the correctness of Algorithm 1, we see how the algorithm works.

Example 13. Recall that the word $w=8753532847616421$ is a 12 -representant of the graph $G_{1}$ in Figure 1(a). Applying Algorithm 1 to $w$, we obtain the 12representant $w^{\prime}=35278471246$. The operation is illustrated in Figure 3. Since three vertices 2,4 , and 7 are bad in $G_{1}$, the word $w^{\prime}$ is the shortest.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=4 \quad w \quad \begin{array}{ll|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& i=3 \quad w \begin{array}{ll|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& i=2 \quad w \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& i=1 \quad w \begin{array}{cc|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& j=1 \quad \begin{array}{ll|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& j=2 \quad \begin{array}{ll|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& j=3 \quad w \begin{array}{cc|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& j=4 \quad w \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& j=7 \quad w \begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 3: The operation of Algorithm 1 on the word $w=8753532847616421$. Each letter is stored in the box whose position appears above. In each iteration, the letter in the shaded box moves, and the arrow denotes the move. The first eight lines illustrate the loops in lines $1-7$ while the remaining lines illustrate those in lines $8-14$. We omitted the cases $j=5,6$, and 8 because these letters do not appear twice in $w$ at the time.

```
Algorithm 1: Computing a shortest 12-representant of a labeled graph
    Input: A 12-representant \(w\) of a labeled graph \(G\).
    Output: A shortest 12-representant of \(G\).
    // We assume that each letter occurs at most twice in \(w\).
    for \(i \leftarrow n\) downto 1 do
        if \(i\) occurs twice in \(w\) then
            Set \(p\) to the position of the first occurrence of \(i\) in \(w\);
            while \(w_{p}>w_{p+1}\) do swap \(w_{p}\) and \(w_{p+1} ; p=p+1\);
            if \(w_{p}=w_{p+1}\) then remove \(w_{p}\) from \(w\);
        end
    end
    for \(j \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
        if \(j\) occurs twice in \(w\) then
            Set \(q\) to the position of the second occurrence of \(j\) in \(w\);
            while \(w_{q}<w_{q-1}\) do swap \(w_{q}\) and \(w_{q-1} ; q=q-1\);
            if \(w_{q}=w_{q-1}\) then remove \(w_{q}\) from \(w\);
        end
    end
    return \(w\).
```

Now, we prove the correctness of Algorithm 1.
Theorem 14. Algorithm 1 computes a shortest 12-representant of the labeled graph 12 -represented by the input.
Proof. Let $G$ and $w$ denote the graph and the input, respectively. Proposition 12 ensures that the output is still a 12 -representant of $G$. By Proposition 10, bad vertices occur twice in any 12 -representant. Hence, it suffices to prove that no good vertices occur twice in the output. We use $w_{p}$ to denote the letter of $w$ at position $p$, i.e., $w=w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{\ell}$.

Let $j$ be a good vertex of $G$. Suppose to the contrary that $j$ occurs twice in $w$ at the end of the $j$ th loop in lines 8-14.

Claim 15. Let $i$ be a letter with $i \geq j$ appearing twice in $w$ at the end of the $j$ th loop in lines $8-14$. If $p$ is the first position of $i$, then $w_{p}<w_{p+1}$.

Proof of Claim 15. According to Algorithm 1, we can see $w_{p^{\prime}}<w_{p^{\prime}+1}$ at the end of the $(n-i+1)$ th loop in lines $1-7$, where $p^{\prime}$ is the first position of $i$ at the time. After the $(n-i+1)$ th loop, only letters smaller than $i$ move forward. Hence, $w_{p^{\prime \prime}}<w_{p^{\prime \prime}+1}$ at the beginning of the first loop in lines $8-13$, where $p^{\prime \prime}$ is the first position of $i$ at the time. Before the end of the $j$ th loop in lines $8-14$, only letters smaller than or equal to $i$ move backward. Thus, the claim holds.

Claim 16. Let $k$ be a letter with $k \leq j$ appearing twice in $w$ at the end of the $j$ th loop in lines $8-14$. If $q$ is the second position of $k$, then $w_{q}>w_{q-1}$.

Proof of Claim 16. According to Algorithm 1, we can see $w_{q^{\prime}}>w_{q^{\prime}-1}$ at the end of the $k$ th loop in lines $8-14$, where $q^{\prime}$ is the second position of $k$ at the time. After the $k$ th loop, only letters larger than $k$ move backward. Thus, the claim holds.

Let $p$ and $q$ be the first and second positions of $j$, respectively, at the end of the $j$ th loop in lines $8-14$. We have $w_{p+1}>w_{p}$ from Claim 15 and $w_{q-1}<w_{q}$ from Claim 16. Let $i_{1}=w_{p+1}$ and $k_{1}=w_{q-1}$, i.e., $w=W_{1} j i_{1} W_{2} k_{1} j W_{3}$, where $W_{1}, W_{2}$, and $W_{3}$ are subwords of $w$. We have $k_{1}<j<i_{1}$ and $k_{1} j, j i_{1} \notin E(G)$. Since $j$ is a good vertex, $k_{1} i_{1} \notin E(G)$. Hence, some $k_{1}$ occurs before some $i_{1}$ in $w$. It follows that another $i_{1}$ occurs after $w_{p+1}$ or another $k_{1}$ occurs before $w_{q-1}$.

Suppose that $i_{1}$ occurs after $w_{p+1}$. Claim 15 indicates $w_{p+2}>w_{p+1}$. Let $i_{2}=w_{p+2}$, i.e., $w=W_{1} j i_{1} i_{2} W_{4} k_{1} j W_{3}$, where $W_{4}$ is a subword of $w$. We have $k_{1}<j<i_{2}$ and $k_{1} j, j i_{2} \notin E(G)$. Since $j$ is a good vertex, $k_{1} i_{2} \notin E(G)$. Hence, some $k_{1}$ occurs before some $i_{2}$ in $w$. It follows that another $i_{2}$ occurs after $w_{p+2}$ or another $k_{1}$ occurs before $w_{q-1}$.

On the other hand, suppose that $k_{1}$ occurs before $w_{q-1}$. Claim 16 indicates $w_{q-2}<w_{q-1}$. Let $k_{2}=w_{q-2}$, i.e., $w=W_{1} j i_{1} W_{5} k_{2} k_{1} j W_{3}$, where $W_{5}$ is a subword of $w$. We have $k_{2}<j<i_{1}$ and $k_{2} j, j i_{1} \notin E(G)$. Since $j$ is a good vertex, $k_{2} i_{1} \notin E(G)$. Hence, some $k_{2}$ occurs before some $i_{1}$ in $w$. It follows that another $i_{1}$ occurs after $w_{p+1}$ or another $k_{2}$ occurs before $w_{q-2}$.

Continuing in this way, we obtain an infinite sequence $w_{p}<w_{p+1}<w_{p+2}<$ $\cdots$ or $w_{q}>w_{q-1}>w_{q-2}>\cdots$, which is a contradiction.

From Theorem 14, we have the main theorem.
Theorem 17. The length of a shortest 12-representant of a labeled graph is $n+b$, where $n$ and $b$ are the number of vertices and bad vertices of the graph, respectively. A shortest 12 -representant of a labeled graph can be obtained in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 14 indicates the first statement. Theorem 8 states that a 12-representant of a labeled graph in which each letter occurs at most twice can be obtained in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. It is obvious that Algorithm 1 takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. Thus, the second statement holds.

## 4 Concluding remarks

This paper proposes an $O\left(n^{2}\right)$-time algorithm to transform a 12-representant $w$ of a labeled graph $G$ to a shortest 12-representant $w^{\prime}$ of $G$, where $n$ is the number
of vertices of $G$. This indicates that shortest 12-representants of labeled graphs can be obtained in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. The natural next step is to study the unlabeled case, i.e., the problem of finding a shortest 12-representant of the given unlabeled graph.


Figure 4: Another labeling $G_{2}$ of the graph $G_{1}$ in Figure 1(a). As shown in [1, Theorem 2.18], the word $w=351748246$ is a 12 -representant of $G_{2}$.

For 12-representability, labeling matters from the existential point of view (Theorem 6). The labeling also matters to find a shortest 12-representant of an unlabeled graph. In other words, the shortest 12-representant of some labeling of a graph $G$ can be shorter than that of another labeling of $G$. For example, as shown in Example 13, the shortest 12-representant of the graph $G_{1}$ in Figure 1(a) is of length $11=n+3$. However, if we relabel $G_{1}$ as $G_{2}$ in Figure 4, then we obtain a 12 -representant $w=351748246$ of length $9=n+1$, as shown in [1, Theorem 2.18]; only the vertex 4 is bad in $G_{2}$. We can see from Example 5 that $w$ is a shortest 12 -representant of the unlabeled graph. Therefore, we conclude this paper by posing the following open question.

Problem 1. Given an unlabeled graph $G$, can we compute a valid labeling of $G$ minimizing the number of bad vertices in polynomial time?
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