

# SKEIN MODULE DIMENSIONS OF MAPPING TORI OF THE 2-TORUS

PATRICK KINNEAR

ABSTRACT. We determine the dimension of the Kauffman bracket skein module at generic  $q$  for mapping tori of the 2-torus, generalizing the well-known computation of Carrega and Gilmer. In the process, we give a decomposition of the twisted Hochschild homology of the  $G$ -skein algebra for  $G = \mathrm{SL}_N$  or  $\mathrm{GL}_N$ , which is a direct summand of the whole skein module, and from which the dimensions follow easily in the cases  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$  and  $G = \mathrm{GL}_1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Kauffman bracket (or  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ ) skein module  $\mathrm{Sks}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(M)$  of a 3-manifold  $M$  is the  $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})$ -vector space spanned by isotopy classes of framed links embedded in  $M$ , modulo the skein relations depicted in Fig. 1. It was conjectured by Witten that skein modules of closed 3-manifolds should be finite-dimensional

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Crossing} &= q^{1/2} \text{Positive Crossing} + q^{-1/2} \text{Negative Crossing} \\
 \text{Circle with inner circle} &= (-q - q^{-1}) \text{Empty Circle}
 \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 1. The Kauffman bracket skein relations.

over  $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})$ . This question was only recently settled by Gunningham-Jordan-Safronov [GJS23], however the proof does not give access to explicit dimensions, which have still only been computed in a few cases. The dimensions have been computed for  $S^3$  and lens spaces [HP93; HP95], integer Dehn surgeries along a trefoil [Bul97], the quaternionic manifold [GH07], some prism manifolds [Mro11], and some infinite families of hyperbolic manifolds [Det21]. The dimension was computed for the 3-torus by Carrega [Car17] and Gilmer [Gil18], and this was generalized to the product of a closed surface with a circle in work of Gilmer-Masbaum [GM19] and Detcherry-Wolff [DW21]. In the current work, we make a contribution to this growing list of 3-manifolds by computing the Kauffman bracket skein module dimension for the infinite family of 3-manifolds which are mapping tori  $M_\gamma = T^2 \times_\gamma S^1$  of mapping classes  $\gamma \in \mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$  of the 2-torus  $T^2$ .

Recalling the abelian case, the  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ -skein module of a manifold  $M$  is already well-understood due to work of Przytycki [Prz98]: it is isomorphic to the  $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -vector space supported on the torsion part of  $H_1(M)$ , which for mapping tori  $M_\gamma$  is easily computed (see §2.1). Our main result can be seen as a generalization of this calculation to the non-abelian setting. We also prove some supporting results which allow us to decompose the  $\mathrm{GL}_N$ - and  $\mathrm{SL}_N$ -skein modules of mapping tori: in particular we compute the  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ -skein module dimensions and show that they recover the calculations of Przytycki (see §3.2). We note that the  $\mathrm{SL}_N$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_N$ -skein module dimensions for the 3-torus were recently computed in [GJVY], and viewing  $T^3$  as  $M_{\mathrm{Id}}$ , our work can be regarded as generalizing the techniques of [GJVY] for nontrivial mapping tori.

The main theorem is as follows.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57K31, 57K16, 57R56, 57R22, 16E40.

$\text{tr}(\gamma)$	$\gamma$	$s_\gamma$	$d_+$	$d_-$	$\dim \text{Sk}_{\text{SL}_2}(M_\gamma)$
0	$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	2	2	2	6
1	$E_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1	1	2	4
1	$E_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1	1	2	4
2	$T^{2k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	4	$k+1$	4	$9+k$
2	$T^{2k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2k+1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	2	$k+1$	3	$6+k$

TABLE 1. Kauffman bracket skein module dimensions for low-trace mapping classes: as explained in §2.1, these represent all mapping tori  $M_\gamma$  with  $0 \leq \text{tr}(\gamma) \leq 2$ , up to oriented diffeomorphism. The two summands depending on the invariant factors of  $\text{Id} \mp \gamma$  are denoted  $d_\pm$ . As noted in Rmk. 3.5, the  $\text{SL}_2$  dimensions for  $-\gamma$  will be the same, with the roles of  $d_\pm$  reversed. Note the dimension for  $\gamma = \text{Id} = T^0$  agrees with the known result of [Car17; Gil18] for the 3-torus.

**Notation 1.1.** Let  $\gamma \in \text{Mod}(T^2) \cong \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and denote by  $\gamma \pmod 2$  the matrix for  $\gamma$  taken modulo 2 in each entry. Denote by  $a_i^\pm$  the invariant factors of the map  $\text{Id} \mp \gamma : \mathbb{H}_1(T^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_1(T^2)$  and  $r_\pm$  the rank of this map. Let  $p_\pm = \#\{a_i^\pm \text{ even} : 1 \leq i \leq r_\pm\}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *The dimension of the Kauffman bracket skein module of  $M_\gamma$  is given by*

$$\dim \text{Sk}_{\text{SL}_2}(M_\gamma) = s_\gamma + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_+} a_i^+ + 2^{p_+}}{2} + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_-} a_i^- + 2^{p_-}}{2}$$

where

$$s_\gamma = \begin{cases} 4 & \gamma = \text{Id} \pmod 2 \\ 1 & \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod 2 \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Example 1.3.** The formula of Thm. 1.2 is straightforward to implement on a computer (see Appendix A for a Sage implementation). We recover the well-known theorem of [Car17; Gil18] that  $\dim \text{Sk}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^3) = 9$ . As described in §2.1, mapping tori are classified up to oriented diffeomorphism by conjugacy classes in  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and as noted in Rmk. 3.5 the skein modules of  $M_{\pm\gamma}$  have the same  $\text{SL}_2$ -skein module dimensions. For conjugacy classes  $[\gamma]$  with  $0 \leq \text{tr}(\gamma) \leq 2$ , the dimensions are tabulated in Table 1. For mapping classes of trace greater than 2, we tabulate some selected skein dimensions in Table 2.

Our calculation of  $\text{SL}_2$ -skein dimensions proceeds by giving a direct sum decomposition of  $\text{Sk}_G(M)$  in the more general case  $G = \text{GL}_N$  or  $\text{SL}_N$ . Each of the direct summands in this decomposition can be interpreted as a  $\gamma$ -twisted version of the Hochschild homology of some algebra. One of these algebras will always be the  $G$ -skein algebra of  $T^2$  (let us note that the Hochschild homology of the skein algebra has been considered in [Obl04; McL06; McL07]). Our first main theorem is a decomposition of the  $\gamma$ -twisted Hochschild homology of the  $G$ -skein algebra.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $G = \text{GL}_N$  or  $\text{SL}_N$ , and  $\gamma \in \text{Mod}(T^2)$ . Then*

$$\text{HH}_0^\gamma(\text{SkAlg}_G(T^2)) \cong \bigoplus_{[w] \in W/W} \text{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})_{\text{Stab}(w)}$$

where  $W$  is the Weyl group of  $G$  and  $A$  is a certain algebra, and  $A_{\gamma w}$  a twisted bimodule. Here, the direct sum ranges over conjugacy classes in the Weyl group, and the subscript  $\text{Stab}(w)$  denotes the space of coinvariants for the stabilizer subgroup of an element  $w \in W$ .

This allows us to give a general decomposition for skein modules of mapping tori for  $GL_N$  and  $SL_N$ . In the  $GL_N$  case only the twisted Hochschild homology of the skein algebra is required; in the  $SL_N$  case some further endomorphism algebras in the skein category appear.

**Corollary 1.5.** *For  $G = GL_N$ , we have a decomposition of the skein module of  $M_\gamma$  as*

$$\mathrm{Sk}_{GL_N}(M_\gamma) \cong \mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(\mathrm{SkAlg}_{GL_N}(M)) \cong \bigoplus_{[w] \in W/W} \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})_{\mathrm{Stab}(w)}.$$

For  $G = SL_N$ , we have the decomposition

$$\mathrm{Sk}_{SL_N}(M_\gamma) \cong \bigoplus_{n=1}^N \mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{SkCat}_{SL_N}(T^2)}(V^{\otimes n})) \oplus \bigoplus_{[w] \in W/W} \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})_{\mathrm{Stab}(w)}.$$

In the case  $G = SL_2$ , it is straightforward to compute the spaces of coinvariants appearing in our decomposition, which deals with the twisted Hochschild homology of the skein algebra, and gives the contributions  $n_i^\pm$  in Thm. 1.2. In this case there is only one other direct summand of the skein module which gives the contribution  $s_\gamma$  in Thm. 1.2.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In §2, after recalling some background on mapping tori, we explain how to decompose  $\mathrm{Sk}_G(M_\gamma)$  as a direct sum of twisted Hochschild homologies of endomorphism algebras in the skein category, building on work of [GJVY]. We take care to set up the definitions of skein categories, modules and algebras, in the case of a general group  $G$ . We moreover introduce the algebra  $A$  that appears in the above statements, and is such that  $A^W$  is the  $G$ -skein algebra of  $T^2$ .

In §3.1 we show how to decompose the direct summand corresponding to the skein algebra giving Thm. 1.4. We explain in §3.2 how to change basis in  $A$  to understand the spaces  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$ . In the case  $G = GL_1$ , the Weyl group is trivial and it is immediate to obtain the  $GL_1$ -skein module dimensions.

In the case  $G = SL_2$ , it is straightforward to compute the spaces of coinvariants appearing in our decomposition, which handles the summand corresponding to the skein algebra. The remaining direct summand is dealt with in §4.1. In §4.2 we collect our computations to give the proof of Thm. 1.2.

**Remark 1.6.** Skein theory has been situated in the framework of a 3-2-TQFT [Wal; Joh21]. Our theorem gives an easy proof that skein theory cannot be extended to an oriented 4-3-2-TQFT. Suppose there was such a TQFT assigning to a 3-manifold its skein module. Then the partition function of a mapping torus of  $T^3$  (a 4-manifold) should be the trace of the mapping class of  $T^3$  acting on  $\mathrm{Sk}_{SL_2}(T^3)$ . By the observation [Car17, Rmk. 2.17] that  $\mathrm{Mod}(T^3) \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on the skein module of  $T^3$  by permutations of the 9 basis elements, this trace will be an integer less than or equal to 9. But considering mapping classes  $\gamma$  of  $T^2$  under the embedding  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ , these give mapping classes of  $T^3$  and the corresponding mapping torus has the form  $(T^2 \times_\gamma S^1) \times S^1 \cong M_\gamma \times S^1$ . The partition function of this 4-manifold should be the dimension of  $\mathrm{Sk}_{SL_2}(M_\gamma)$ . We can observe from the computations presented in Tables 1 and 2 that these dimensions are not bounded above by 9, which is a contradiction. This implication was first pointed out to us by R. Detcherry.

**Question 1.7.** We emphasize that Cor. 1.5 gives a decomposition of  $\mathrm{Sk}_G(M)$  for any  $G = GL_N$  or  $SL_N$ . In the case of  $SL_2$  the stabilizers of the Weyl group which appear are straightforward to handle. Gaining an understanding of the combinatorics of the stabilizers in the general case would yield the  $GL_N$  dimensions and the summand of the  $SL_N$  corresponding to the skein algebra. In the  $SL_N$  case, the total dimension will depend on  $N - 1$  further summands, which will not in general be as easy to handle as the  $SL_2$  case. In particular, as identified in [GJVY], for composite  $N$  there will be summands which are the twisted Hochschild homology of an infinite dimensional algebra. We defer these problems to future researchers.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank his advisors: David Jordan for his support and guidance throughout the project, and Pavel Safronov for many helpful discussions. The author also thanks Renaud Detcherry for his interest in the project and valuable conversations and feedback, Iordanis Romaidis for his suggestions, and Alisa Sheinkman for her early involvement. The author was supported by the Carnegie Trust for the Universities of Scotland for the duration of this research.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some useful background. In §2.1 we give some details on mapping tori of  $T^2$  that are not essential to our main computations but may serve as helpful orientation. In §2.2 we introduce the notion of twisted Hochschild homology of the skein category: we explain how this is used to obtain the skein module of a mapping torus, and how it decomposes as a direct sum. One direct summand is the twisted Hochschild homology of the skein algebra of  $T^2$ , and in §2.3 we recall a useful description of this skein algebra. In §3 of the paper we will give a decomposition of this twisted Hochschild homology which holds for the  $G$ -skein algebra, when  $G = \mathrm{SL}_N$  or  $\mathrm{GL}_N$ . We are therefore careful in this section to introduce notions such as skein categories and skein algebras for general groups  $G$ .

When  $G$  does not have even Cartan determinant, there is some technical care required in treating the ground field, which we fix here.

**Notation 2.1.** We work over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero. When we consider the  $G$ -skein module  $\mathrm{Sk}_G(M)$ , this is a vector space naturally defined over  $k = \mathbb{C}(q^{1/d})$  where  $d$  is the determinant of the Cartan matrix of  $G$ . In speaking of the skein module dimension for generic  $q$ , we mean the dimension of  $\mathrm{Sk}_G(M)$  over  $k$ . In places we need to assume that  $k$  contains the element  $q^{1/2}$ . Where 2 does not divide  $d$ , then we work with  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}(q^{1/d}, q^{1/2})$  and the vector space  $\mathcal{K} \otimes_k \mathrm{Sk}_G(M)$ . Determining the  $\mathcal{K}$ -dimension of  $\mathcal{K} \otimes_k \mathrm{Sk}_G(M)$  is equivalent to determining the  $k$ -dimension of  $\mathrm{Sk}_G(M)$ . We will typically suppress mention of the field when discussing dimensions, on the grounds that the dimension will always be computed working over the field which makes technical sense and this dimension will agree with the dimension of the vector space over the field for which it is naturally defined. In the main case of interest where  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $d = 2$  and  $k = \mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})$ . More generally,  $d = 1$  for  $\mathrm{GL}_N$  and  $d = N$  for  $\mathrm{SL}_N$ .

**2.1. Mapping tori of the 2-torus.** We are interested in mapping tori of  $T^2$ , which we denote by  $M_\gamma$  where  $\gamma$  is the mapping class in question. These manifolds are solvmanifolds when the monodromy  $\gamma$  is Anosov, and are known Seifert manifolds otherwise. Here we recall the classification of mapping tori, giving details on their Seifert description where it exists. Moreover we recall the computation of the first homology groups of these mapping tori.

**Definition 2.2.** Given a mapping class  $\gamma \in \mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ , the mapping torus of  $\gamma$  is defined as

$$M_\gamma = (T^2 \times I) / ((a, 0) \sim (\gamma(a), 1)).$$

Recall that  $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  has a presentation

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle S, T \mid S^4 = 1, (ST)^3 = 1 \rangle$$

given by taking  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Two mapping tori  $M_\gamma, M_\phi$  are diffeomorphic as oriented manifolds if and only if the monodromies  $\gamma, \phi$  are conjugate in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ; they are diffeomorphic (possibly reversing orientation) if and only if  $\gamma$  is conjugate to  $\phi^\pm$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  [Hat, Thm. 2.6]. Therefore oriented diffeomorphism classes of mapping tori correspond to conjugacy classes in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

The conjugacy classes in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  are classified as follows (see, e.g. [Kar13]), where we write  $\gamma \sim \phi$  to denote the conjugacy relation.

- $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma) = 0$ : then  $\gamma \sim \pm S$ . The mapping torus of  $S$  is diffeomorphic to the Seifert manifold  $\{-2; (o_1, 0); (2, 1), (4, 3), (4, 3)\}$  [Orl72, §8.2].
- $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma) = 1$ : then  $\gamma \sim E_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\gamma \sim E_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , which have order 6. The corresponding mapping tori are Seifert manifolds: the mapping torus for  $E_+$  is diffeomorphic to  $\{-2; (o_1, 0); (2, 1), (3, 2), (6, 5)\}$ , and the mapping torus for  $E_-$  is  $\{-1; (o_1, 0); (2, 1), (3, 1), (6, 1)\}$  [Orl72, §8.2].
- $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma) = -1$ : then  $\gamma \sim -E_+$  or  $\gamma \sim -E_-$ . These have order 3. The corresponding manifolds are Seifert manifolds: the mapping torus for  $-E_+$  is  $\{-1; (o_1, 0); (3, 1), (3, 1), (3, 1)\}$ , and the mapping torus for  $-E_-$  is  $\{-2; (o_1, 0); (3, 2), (3, 2), (3, 2)\}$  [Orl72, §8.2].
- $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma) = 2$ : then there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -indexed family of conjugacy classes given by  $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . We call these equivalence classes of mapping classes the shears. The corresponding mapping tori are diffeomorphic to the Seifert manifolds  $\{-n; (o_1, 1)\}$  [Orl72, §7.2].

- $\text{tr}(\gamma) = -2$ : then there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -indexed family of conjugacy classes, given by  $\{-T^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . The corresponding mapping tori are diffeomorphic to  $\{-n; (n_2, 2)\}$ , in particular the mapping torus for  $-I$  is  $\{-2; (o_1, 0); (2, 1), (2, 1), (2, 1), (2, 1)\}$  [Orl72, §7.2].
- $|\text{tr}(\gamma)| > 2$ : then there is precisely one conjugacy class for each word of the form  $R^{j_1} L^{k_1} \dots R^{j_I} L^{k_I}$  up to cyclic permutation of the sequence  $(j_1, k_1, \dots, j_I, k_I)$  where  $I \geq 1$  and  $j_i, k_i$  are all positive integers. Here,  $R = T$  and  $L = T^\top$ . These mapping classes are called hyperbolic, and they correspond to Anosov diffeomorphisms of the torus. By [Jac80, Lemma VI.31], since these do not have finite order and do not have trace  $\pm 2$ , their mapping tori are not Seifert manifolds. In fact, these manifolds are solvmanifolds, and any compact solvmanifold is finitely covered by some such mapping torus [Sco83, Thm. 5.3].

We see that for  $-2 < \text{tr}(\gamma) < 2$  there are only 5 oriented diffeomorphism classes of mapping tori, while for  $\text{tr}(\gamma) = \pm 2$  there are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -indexed families of mapping tori. For  $|\text{tr}(\gamma)| > 2$  there is a description by cyclic sequences. As explained in Rmk. 3.5, the  $\text{SL}_2$ -skein modules of  $M_{\pm\gamma}$  will have the same dimension. Table 1 gives the  $\text{SL}_2$ -skein module dimensions for all mapping tori with  $|\text{tr}(\gamma)| \leq 2$ , and Table 2 gives dimensions for mapping tori indexed by sequences of length 2.

Finally, we record for later use a basic computation about the homology of these manifolds.

**Lemma 2.3.** *The first homology of  $M_\gamma$  is given by*

$$H_1(M_\gamma) \cong \text{coker}(\text{Id} - \gamma) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

where the above cokernel is for the induced map on homology  $\text{Id} - \gamma : H_1(T^2) \rightarrow H_1(T^2)$ .

*Proof.* From [Hat02, Example 2.48], there is a long exact sequence

$$\dots H_1(T^2) \xrightarrow{\text{Id} - \gamma} H_1(T^2) \rightarrow H_1(M_\gamma) \rightarrow H_0(T^2) \xrightarrow{\text{Id} - \gamma} H_0(T^2) \dots$$

and we note that  $\text{Id} - \gamma$  induces the zero map on  $H_0(T^2)$ . We can therefore extract the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(\text{Id} - \gamma) \rightarrow H_1(M_\gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and the result follows by the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.  $\square$

**2.2. Twisted Hochschild homology of skein categories.** In this section we express the skein module of a mapping torus  $M_\gamma$  as the Hochschild homology of the skein category of the 2-torus, twisted by the functor induced by  $\gamma$ . We begin by recalling skein categories of surfaces, then motivate and define the twisted Hochschild homology of skein categories, before stating an important result of [GJYY] that allows us to give a direct sum decomposition of this twisted Hochschild homology.

The skein category was defined in [Wal; Joh21]. We sketch the definition here.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $k$ -linear ribbon category, and  $M$  a 3-manifold. For any oriented graph  $\Gamma$  embedded in  $M$ , which admits half-edges meeting the boundary of  $M$ , we define a framing of  $\Gamma$  to be a choice of a trivialization of the normal bundle to each (half) edge in the graph. A (half) edge in the graph equipped with a framing is called a *ribbon*. An embedded oriented graph equipped with a framing and a cyclic ordering at each vertex will be called a *ribbon tangle in  $M$* . If a ribbon tangle does not meet the boundary of  $M$  it will be called a *ribbon graph*.

An  $\mathcal{A}$ -labelled *ribbon tangle* is a ribbon tangle where every ribbon is labelled by an object of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and vertices are labelled by morphisms from the ordered tensor product of the objects labelling ribbons oriented into the vertex to the ordered tensor product of those oriented outward.

**Definition 2.5.** The  $k$ -linear ribbon category  $\text{Rib}_{\mathcal{A}}$  has

- Objects: finite unions of framed marked points in  $I^2$  (that is, points together with a unit tangent vector) coloured by objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Hom-spaces:  $k$ -vector spaces spanned by isotopy classes of ribbon tangles in  $I^2 \times I$  which only meet the boundary at  $I^2 \times \{0\}$  or  $I^2 \times \{1\}$ , with the framing of the ribbons agreeing with the framing vectors of points and the colourings agreeing. Composition is given by stacking in the third  $I$  direction.
- Monoidal product given by disjoint union in the direction of the first interval copy of  $I^2$ .

- Duals given by reversing the direction of the framing of a point, with evaluation and coevaluation given by the cap and cup ribbons. The dual of a morphism (a ribbon tangle) is given by reversing the orientations of the edges, and dualizing the morphisms labelling the vertices.
- Braiding and twist given by the crossing and twisting of ribbons.

It is known, see for example [Tur10] for an overview, that there is a well-defined surjective and full functor  $\text{Rib}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  of ribbon categories given by evaluating ribbon tangles, and the kernel of this functor defines what are called the  $\mathcal{A}$ -skein relations.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ribbon category. Then the  $\mathcal{A}$ -skein module  $\text{Sk}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$  of a 3-manifold  $M$  is the  $k$ -vector space spanned by isotopy classes of ribbon graphs in  $M$ , modulo the  $\mathcal{A}$ -skein relations which we apply in the interior of any embedded 3-ball  $B^3 \cong I^3 \subseteq M$ . An element of  $\text{Sk}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$  is called a *skein*.

In the case where  $M = \Sigma \times I$  and the manifold has a distinguished interval direction, we can organize information about ribbon tangles modulo the skein relations (which we also call skeins) into the skein category.

**Definition 2.7.** The  $\mathcal{A}$ -skein category of a surface  $\Sigma$ , denoted  $\text{SkCat}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma)$ , has

- Objects: finite unions of framed marked points on  $\Sigma$ , coloured by objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ . When a point is coloured with the monoidal unit in  $\mathcal{A}$  this is called the empty object, or *empty skein*, and is denoted  $\emptyset$ .
- Hom-spaces:  $k$ -vector spaces spanned by isotopy classes of compatible  $\mathcal{A}$ -labelled ribbon tangles in  $\Sigma \times I$ , modulo the  $\mathcal{A}$ -skein relations (that is, the skein relations apply to sub-ribbon-graphs that lie in an embedded ball  $B^3 \cong I^3 \subseteq \Sigma \times I$ ).

Given an object  $x$ , then  $\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma)}(x)$  is an algebra with the product given by stacking. The  $\mathcal{A}$ -skein algebra of  $\Sigma$  is the endomorphism algebra of  $\emptyset \in \text{SkCat}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma)$ , denoted  $\text{SkAlg}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma)$ .

A typical choice of ribbon category is the category  $\text{Rep}_q^{\text{f.d.}}(G)$ . This is the category of finite-dimensional type 1  $k$ -linear representations of Lusztig's form of the quantum group  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  defined over  $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}]$ . The braiding and ribbon data require us to fix a homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1/d}] \rightarrow k$ , where  $d$  is the determinant of the Cartan matrix of  $G$ . In this paper, we assume that  $k = \mathbb{C}(q^{1/d})$  when we consider  $\text{Rep}_q^{\text{f.d.}}(G)$ , and the homomorphism is the natural inclusion. In this case the skein module  $\text{Sk}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$  is denoted  $\text{Sk}_G(M)$ . We denote by  $\text{SkCat}_G(\Sigma)$  the skein category and  $\text{SkAlg}_G(\Sigma)$  the skein algebra, for this choice of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Notation 2.8.** We will often denote skeins in  $M_\gamma$  or in the skein category by giving unframed tangles in  $T^2 \times I$ , parameterized by  $\{(e^{2\pi ir}, e^{2\pi is}, t) : r, s, t \in [0, 1]\}$  where it is understood that  $T^2 \times \{0\}$  and  $T^2 \times \{1\}$  are identified via  $\gamma$ . We may simply give the tuple  $(r, s, t) \in [0, 1]^3$  where the quotient is understood. The tangles we discuss will all admit, after a small isotopy, a projection to the cylinder  $\{(e^{2\pi ir}, e^{\pi i}, t) : r, t \in [0, 1]\}$  except perhaps at endpoints. The tangle edges can be canonically framed from this projection using the blackboard framing, and their endpoints framed in the direction of the first coordinate, and there is an obvious way to continue the framing of the projected edges to that of the endpoints. Issues of framing will not be important for our arguments but we mention this technical detail for completeness. The tangles and their endpoints are assumed to be coloured by the defining representation.

Let us describe how to obtain the skein module of  $M_\gamma$  from the twisted Hochschild homology of the skein category of  $T^2$ . Recall that we can associate to an algebra  $E$  its Hochschild homology

$$\text{HH}_0(E) = E/(ab - ba)$$

which identifies the left and right actions of  $E$  on itself. We can twist this when  $\gamma$  is an automorphism of  $E$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $E$  be an algebra and  $\gamma$  an automorphism of  $E$ . Then the  $(E, E)$ -bimodule  $E_\gamma$  has the underlying vector space  $E$  with the left action given by left-multiplication

$$a \triangleright b = ab$$

and the right action given by

$$b \triangleleft a = b\gamma(a).$$




FIGURE 2. The figure depicts two morphisms in the skein category of  $T^2$  which give the same skein on taking Hochschild homology. The left skein is the composition of the cup with the cap, an endomorphism of the two-points object; the right skein is the composition of the cap with the cup, an endomorphism of the empty object.

We call  $E_\gamma$  the  $\gamma$ -twisted bimodule. The  $\gamma$ -twisted Hochschild homology of  $E$  is the space

$$\mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(E) := \mathrm{HH}_0(E, E_\gamma) = E / (ab - b\gamma(a))$$

and the relations above are called the  $\gamma$ -commutator relations.

We regard categories as generalizing algebras, where algebras can be viewed as categories with a single object. Here, morphisms compose associatively and the appropriate generalization of Hochschild homology is given by coequalizing pre- and post-composition. This is given by the coend of the Hom bifunctor:

$$\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{C}) = \int^{x \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Hom}(x, x) = \left( \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Hom}(x, x) \right) / (f \circ g - g \circ f | g : x \rightarrow y, f : y \rightarrow x).$$

Readers are referred to [Lor21, §1.2] for the relevant background on coends. Notice that if  $\mathcal{C}$  has a single object  $*$  then  $\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(*))$ .

We consider the case when  $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{SkCat}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma)$ . It was argued in [GJS23, Lemma 5.5] that for  $\Sigma$  a closed surface,

$$\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma \times S^1) \cong \mathrm{HH}_0(\mathrm{SkCat}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma))$$

where the intuitive idea is that in identifying pre- and post-composition of morphisms in the skein category, the Hochschild homology construction gives a way to send skeins in  $\Sigma \times I$  to their equivalence class on identifying the ends of the interval.

The argument of [GJS23] can be adapted to the situation where we twist by a mapping class  $\gamma$ , which acts on objects of the skein category, and also on morphisms by acting on  $\Sigma \times I$  as  $\gamma \times \mathrm{Id}$ . This allows us to give a  $\gamma$ -twisted bifunctor  $\mathrm{Hom}(\gamma(-), -)$ . We think of skeins in  $\Sigma \times_{\gamma} S^1$  as equivalence classes of skeins in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SkCat}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma)}(\gamma(x), x)$  where pre- and post-composition are identified, which gives a coend description of the skein module:

$$(1) \quad \mathrm{Sk}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma \times_{\gamma} I) \cong \int^{x \in \mathrm{SkCat}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma)} \mathrm{Hom}(\gamma(x), x) \\ = \left( \bigoplus_{x \in \mathrm{SkCat}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma)} \mathrm{Hom}(\gamma(x), x) \right) / (f \circ g - g \circ \gamma(f) | f : y \rightarrow x, g : \gamma(x) \rightarrow y).$$

**Example 2.10.** It may be instructive to consider the examples illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3 for  $\Sigma = T^2$ . Fig. 2 shows elements of different Hom-spaces which should be identified on taking the coend of  $\mathrm{Hom}(-, -)$ , and Fig. 3 shows similar for the case twisted by  $\gamma = S$ .

Recall that the coend can be computed over any set of projective generators. The following result gives a finite set of projective generators for the  $\mathrm{GL}_N$ - and  $\mathrm{SL}_N$ -skein category of  $T^2$ .

**Theorem 2.11** ([GJVY]). *Assume the parameter  $q$  is generic. Then*




FIGURE 3. The figure depicts two morphisms in the skein category of  $T^2$  which give the same skein on taking Hochschild homology twisted by  $\gamma = S$ . We depict  $T^2$  as a quotient of  $[0, 1]^2$ , so that  $S$  is rotation by a quarter turn, and let  $x = \{(1/4, 1/4), (1/4, 3/4)\}$  so that  $\gamma(x) = \{(1/4, 1/4), (3/4, 1/4)\}$ , and let  $y = \gamma(y) = \emptyset$ . Then, reading composition bottom to top, in the notation of (1), on the left we have a morphism  $f \circ g : \gamma(x) \rightarrow x$  while on the right we have  $g \circ \gamma(f) : y \rightarrow \gamma(y)$ .

- (1) The skein category  $\text{SkCat}_{\text{GL}_N}(T^2)$  is generated by a single projective object, given by the empty skein, denoted by  $\emptyset$ .
- (2) The skein category  $\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_N}(T^2)$  admits  $N$  projective generators, given by the empty skein and the single point coloured by  $V^{\otimes n}$ ,  $0 < n < N$  (equivalently,  $n$  points coloured by  $V$ ) where  $V$  is the defining representation of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ .

Therefore, for  $\Sigma = T^2$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Rep}_q^{\text{f.d.}}(G)$ , we can take the coend (1) over the projective generators of Thm. 2.11. Regarding each generator as a single point at the origin coloured by  $V^{\otimes n}$ , we have that the generators are fixed by  $\gamma$  and so the Hom-spaces which appear are endomorphism algebras. Moreover, it can be shown that for  $0 \leq n, m < N$ ,  $n \neq m$  we have  $\text{Hom}_{\text{SkCat}_G(T^2)}(V^{\otimes m}, V^{\otimes n}) = 0$  (this is easily seen for  $N = 2$  just by considering parity). Then we have that the coend splits as a direct sum of  $\gamma$ -twisted Hochschild homologies of endomorphism algebras:

$$(2) \quad \text{Sk}_{\text{GL}_N}(M_\gamma) \cong \text{HH}_0^\gamma(\text{SkAlg}_{\text{GL}_N}(T^2))$$

and

$$(3) \quad \text{Sk}_{\text{SL}_N}(M_\gamma) \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{N-1} \text{HH}_0^\gamma(\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_N}(T^2)}(V^{\otimes n})).$$

**Remark 2.12.** It is well-known to experts that the  $\text{SL}_2$ -skein module of a 3-manifold is isomorphic to the Kauffman bracket skein module, where arbitrarily-coloured ribbons are replaced by ribbon tangles coloured only by the defining representation  $V$  via Schur-Weyl duality, and orientation of tangles is omitted due to the self-duality of  $V$ . The skein relations are the Kauffman bracket skein relations of Fig. 1. At the level of skein categories,  $\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(\Sigma)$  is Morita equivalent to the Kauffman bracket skein category. This Morita equivalence implies an isomorphism of (twisted) Hochschild homologies.

**2.3. Representation-theoretic description of the skein algebra.** In both of the decompositions (2) and (3) of the skein module, the twisted Hochschild homology of  $\text{SkAlg}_G(T^2)$  appears. In §3 we will give a decomposition of this space, which relies on a description of  $\text{SkAlg}_G(T^2)$  given by [GJV]. In this section, we recall this description and remark on the actions of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and the Weyl group on the skein algebra.

**Theorem 2.13** ([GJV, Cor. 1.7]). *There is an isomorphism*

$$\text{SkAlg}_G(T^2) \cong (\mathcal{K}_\omega[\Lambda \oplus \Lambda])^W.$$

Let us explain the notation:  $\mathcal{K}$  is the field of Notation 2.1 and  $\Lambda$  is the weight lattice of  $G$ . There is the usual Cartan pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle$  on  $\Lambda$ . We extend it to a nondegenerate skew pairing  $\omega$  on  $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda$  given by

$$\omega((r, s), (t, u)) = \langle r, u \rangle - \langle s, t \rangle.$$



The algebra  $\mathcal{K}_\omega[\Lambda \oplus \Lambda]$  has as its underlying vector space the  $\mathcal{K}$ -span of  $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda$ . If  $x = (r, s) \in \Lambda \oplus \Lambda$  we denote the corresponding generator of the algebra by  $m_{r,s}$  or  $m_x$  and the multiplication is given by

$$m_x m_y = q^{\frac{1}{2}\omega(x,y)} m_{x+y}.$$

We will suppress much of this notation and denote by  $A$  this  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}_\omega[\Lambda \oplus \Lambda]$ . We denote by  $W$  the Weyl group of  $G$ : it acts on  $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda$  diagonally and this induces an action on  $A$  by automorphisms.

**Remark 2.14.** The  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  case of Thm. 2.13 was shown in [FG00]. In [FG00], the basis  $\{m_{r,s}\}$  is named  $\{e_{r,s}\}$ , the parameter  $q^{1/2}$  is called  $t$ , and the algebra  $\mathcal{K}_\omega[\Lambda \oplus \Lambda]$  is called  $\mathbb{C}_t[l, l^{-1}, m, m^{-1}]$  where  $l = t^{-1}e_{1,0}$ ,  $m = t^{-1}e_{0,1}$  so that  $lm = t^2ml$ . Then the assignment  $m_{r,s} \mapsto t^{-rs}l^r m^s$ ,  $q^{1/2} \mapsto t$  establishes an algebra isomorphism  $\mathcal{K}_\omega[\Lambda \oplus \Lambda] \cong \mathbb{C}_t[l, l^{-1}, m, m^{-1}]$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -actions on each algebra are equivalent, so that this restricts to an isomorphism of invariant subalgebras.

In the lattice  $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda = \Lambda \otimes \mathrm{H}_1(T^2)$ , the two summands correspond to the two fundamental cycles in a torus, and  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts via its action on  $\mathrm{H}_1(T^2)$ : the mapping class  $\gamma$  acts by  $\mathrm{Id} \otimes \gamma$ . The diagonal action of  $w \in W$  is by  $w \otimes \mathrm{Id}$  on  $\Lambda \otimes \mathrm{H}_1(T^2)$ . Without further comment we will abuse notation and simply write  $\gamma, w, \gamma w$  and so on for these automorphisms acting on the lattice  $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda$  and hence on  $A$ .

It is easy to see that the actions of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $W$  commute, so that  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  preserves the subspace of invariants. Moreover, we have that

$$\omega(S(r, s), S(t, u)) = \omega((-s, r), (-u, t)) = \langle -s, t \rangle - \langle r, -u \rangle = \omega((r, s), (t, u))$$

and

$$\omega(T(r, s), T(t, u)) = \omega((r+s, s), (t+u, u)) = \langle r+s, u \rangle - \langle t+u, s \rangle = \omega((r, s), (t, u))$$

so that any  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  preserves the form  $\omega$ . From this, we see that  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts by algebra automorphisms on  $A$ , and hence on  $A^W$ . It therefore makes sense to consider the bimodule  $A_\gamma^W$  and the space  $\mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(A^W)$  as defined in Def. 2.9.

### 3. TWISTED HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF THE SKEIN ALGEBRA

In this section we consider the space  $\mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(\mathrm{SkAlg}_G(T^2))$ , which is always a direct summand of  $\mathrm{Sk}_G(M_\gamma)$ . In §3.1 we give a direct sum decomposition of  $\mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(\mathrm{SkAlg}_G(T^2))$  into summands  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})_{\mathrm{Stab}(w)}$  which are spaces of coinvariants for stabilizers of the action of the Weyl group of  $G$ . In §3.2 we give an expression for the spaces  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$  which makes it possible to deduce the dimensions of these spaces before taking coinvariants.

**3.1. Direct sum decomposition.** In this section we give a direct sum decomposition for the space  $\mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(\mathrm{SkAlg}_G(T^2))$ . We use the isomorphism of Thm. 2.13 to write this as  $\mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(A^W)$ . There is a Morita equivalence  $\Phi$  of  $A^W$  with the smash product  $A\#W$  which allows us to write the vector space we are interested in as

$$\mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(\mathrm{SkAlg}_G(T^2)) \cong \mathrm{HH}_0(A^W, A_\gamma^W) \cong \mathrm{HH}_0(A\#W, \Phi(A_\gamma^W))$$

and it is the rightmost expression which we will show admits a decomposition. We begin by recalling the Morita equivalence and examining  $\Phi(A_\gamma^W)$  in Prop. 3.2, before using this to give the direct sum decomposition of  $\mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(\mathrm{SkAlg}_G(T^2))$ , which is Thm. 3.3. This allows us to refine the decompositions (2, 3) in Cor. 3.4.

The Morita equivalence  $\Phi$  follows from well-known arguments which we will not recall here: see e.g. [GJVY; BEG03].

**Theorem 3.1.** *There is a Morita equivalence*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : {}_{A^W} \mathrm{Mod}_{A^W} &\xrightarrow{\sim} {}_{A\#W} \mathrm{Mod}_{A\#W} : \Psi \\ M &\mapsto {}_{A\#W} A \otimes_{A^W} M \otimes_{A^W} A_{A\#W} \\ {}_{A^W} A \otimes_{A\#W} N \otimes_{A\#W} A_{A^W} &\leftarrow N \end{aligned}$$

The bimodule structures on  $A$  implementing the equivalence have the left and right actions of  $A\#W$  given by

$$(b\#w) \triangleright a = bw(a)$$

and

$$a \triangleleft (b \# w) = ab$$

and the left and right actions of  $A^W$  are the usual ones.

**Proposition 3.2.** *We have  $\Phi(A_\gamma^W) \cong A_\gamma \# W$  where  $A_\gamma \# W$  is the bimodule on  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}W$  with actions*

$$b \# w_2 \triangleright a \otimes w_1 = bw_2(a) \otimes w_2 w_1$$

$$a \otimes w_1 \triangleleft b \# w_2 = a\gamma(w_1(b)) \otimes w_1 w_2.$$

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that this is indeed an  $A \# W$ -bimodule structure. Then it suffices to show that  $\Psi(A_\gamma \# W) \cong A_\gamma^W$  under the equivalence. As vector spaces, we have

$$(4) \quad A \otimes_{A \# W} A_\gamma \# W \otimes_{A \# W} A \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}W} A \# W \otimes_{A \# W} A_\gamma \# W \otimes_{A \# W} A \# W \otimes_{\mathcal{K}W} \mathcal{K}$$

$$(5) \quad \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}W} A_\gamma \# W \otimes_{\mathcal{K}W} \mathcal{K}$$

$$(6) \quad \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}W} A$$

$$(7) \quad \cong A^W.$$

Line (4) applies the obvious isomorphism  $A \cong A \# W \otimes_{\mathcal{K}W} \mathcal{K}$  to the left and right copies of  $A$ . In line (5) the  $W$ -actions trivialized factor through the left and right actions of  $A \# W$  and the inclusion of  $W$  in the smash product as  $1 \# W$ . Then the right  $W$ -action is just multiplication on the right tensorand, while the remaining left action is the  $W$ -action on  $A$ . This is because  $a \otimes w_1 \triangleleft 1 \# w_2 = a \otimes w_1 w_2$  and  $1 \# w_2 \triangleright a \otimes w_1 = w_2(a) \otimes w_2 w_1$ .

Line (6) is again an instance of the obvious isomorphism  $A \cong A_\gamma \# W \otimes_{\mathcal{K}W} \mathcal{K}$ . To understand line (7), we assume that  $|W|$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{K}$  and let  $e = \sum_{w \in W} w \in H$  denote the idempotent for projection to  $A^W$ . We have that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}W} A &\rightarrow A^W \\ [a] &\mapsto \frac{1}{|W|} ea \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined ( $[a]$  is the  $W$ -orbit of  $a \in A$ ), and has inverse  $a \mapsto [a]$ . Observe that for  $a \in A^W$  then  $\frac{1}{|W|} ea = a$ .

Now reversing the isomorphisms (4 - 7), we have that  $a \in A^W$  is sent to  $1 \otimes a \otimes 1 \otimes 1$  where we abuse notation and choose representatives of equivalence classes. Then the right action of  $A^W$  is given by  $(1 \otimes a \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \triangleleft b = 1 \otimes a \otimes 1 \otimes b$  which maps under the equivalences (4, 5) to  $1 \otimes a\gamma(b) \otimes 1 \otimes 1$  and then (using that  $\gamma$  preserves  $A^W$  and so does the projection by  $e$ ), under (6, 7) this maps to  $a\gamma(b) \in A^W$ . So the right  $A^W$ -module structure in  $A^W$  is twisted by  $\gamma$ .

A similar analysis shows that the left action is untwisted, and so it follows that  $A_\gamma \# W$  is sent to  $A_\gamma^W$  under the Morita equivalence.  $\square$

Having understood the image of  $A_\gamma^W$  under  $\Phi$ , we are now ready to give a decomposition of the Hochschild homology.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The canonical map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}_0(A \# W, A_\gamma \# W) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{[w] \in W/W} \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})_{\mathrm{Stab}(w)} \\ [a \# w] &\mapsto [a] \in \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})_{\mathrm{Stab}(w)} \end{aligned}$$

*is an isomorphism of vector spaces.*

*Proof.* A general commutator relation in  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A \# W, A_\gamma \# W)$  is of the form

$$[a \# w_1, b \otimes w_2] = aw_1(b) \otimes w_1 w_2 - b\gamma(w_2(a)) \otimes w_2 w_1$$

where we understand the right argument of the bracket to be in the bimodule, and the left argument to be in the algebra acting. We are interested in the quotient  $A_\gamma \# W / \langle S \rangle$  where

$$S = \{aw_1(b) \otimes w_1 w_2 - b\gamma(w_2(a)) \otimes w_2 w_1 : w_1, w_2 \in W; a, b \in A\}.$$

We claim that  $\langle S \rangle = \langle S_W \cup S_A \rangle$  where  $S_W = \{[a\#g^{-1}w, 1 \otimes g] : w, g \in W; a \in A\}$  and  $S_A = \{[a\#1, b \otimes w] : w \in W; a, b \in A\}$ . The inclusion  $S \supseteq S_W \cup S_A$  is clear. For the reverse inclusion of linear spans, take any general relation

$$aw_1(b) \otimes w_1w_2 - b\gamma(w_2(a)) \otimes w_2w_1.$$

Now, let  $w'_2 = w_1w_2$  so that  $w_2 = w_1^{-1}w'_2$ . Then the relation has the form

$$aw_1(b) \otimes w'_2 - b\gamma(w_2(a)) \otimes w_1^{-1}w'_2w_1.$$

Now let  $a' = w_1^{-1}(a)$  so that  $a = w_1(a')$ . Then the relation has the form

$$w_1(a'b) \otimes w'_2 - b\gamma(w_1^{-1}w'_2w_1(a')) \otimes w_1^{-1}w'_2w_1.$$

Considering

$$R_1 = [a'\#1, b \otimes w_1^{-1}w'_2w_1] = a'b \otimes w_1^{-1}w'_2w_1 - b\gamma(w_1^{-1}w'_2w_1(a')) \otimes w_1^{-1}w'_2w_1 \in S_A$$

and

$$R_2 = [a'b\#w_1^{-1}w'_2, 1 \otimes w_1] = a'b \otimes w_1^{-1}w'_2w_1 - \gamma(w_1(a'b)) \otimes w'_2 \in S_W$$

and

$$R_3 = [w_1(a'b)\#w'_2, 1 \otimes 1] = w_1(a'b) \otimes w'_2 - \gamma(w_1(a'b)) \otimes w'_2 \in S_W$$

then we see that our original relation is  $R_1 - R_2 + R_3$  so lies in the desired span.

Taking the quotient of  $A_\gamma\#W$  first by the span of  $S_A$  yields

$$A_\gamma\#W/\langle S_A \rangle \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$$

since the relations in  $S_A$  fix the  $W$ -coordinate and on the  $A$ -coordinate are the  $\gamma w$ -twisted Hochschild relations. Further taking the quotient by the action of  $S_W$ , we see that

$$[a\#g^{-1}w, 1 \otimes g] = a \otimes g^{-1}wg - \gamma(g(a)) \otimes w$$

so that each such relation identifies  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$  and  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma g^{-1}wg})$ , twisted by the automorphism given by  $\gamma g$ . So it suffices to consider the space

$$\bigoplus_{[w] \in W/W} \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$$

where the sum ranges over conjugacy classes.

There are further relations in each summand above. Suppose we have chosen a representative  $w$  of the conjugacy class  $[w]$ , and there is  $w'$  with  $g, k$  such that both  $g, k$  conjugate  $w'$  to  $w$ . This will be the case if and only if  $g = sk$  for some  $s \in \mathrm{Stab}(w)$ . Then the remaining relations in  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$  are those from  $S_W$  where  $g \in \mathrm{Stab}(w)$ , in which case the relations  $[a\#g^{-1}w, 1 \otimes g]$  are

$$\{a - \gamma(g(a)) : g \in \mathrm{Stab}(w)\}.$$

We call these the  $\gamma$ -stabilizer relations. These resemble the relations for taking coinvariants for the action of the stabilizer:

$$\{a - g(a) : g \in \mathrm{Stab}(w)\}$$

but where the group action is twisted by  $\gamma$ .

So far we have established an isomorphism

$$A_\gamma\#W/\langle S_A \cup S_W \rangle \cong \bigoplus_{[w] \in W/W} \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})/\langle a - \gamma(g(a)) : g \in \mathrm{Stab}(w) \rangle$$

and it remains to show that the  $\gamma$ -stabilizer relations are equivalent to the relations of taking coinvariants for the stabilizer. We see that the  $\gamma$ -stabilizer relations imply that for  $s, t \in \mathrm{Stab}(w)$  we have

$$\gamma(s(a)) \sim a \sim \gamma(t(a))$$

and then since  $e \in \mathrm{Stab}(w)$  and  $\gamma$  is an automorphism, this implies  $a \sim s(a)$  by taking  $t = e$  and inverting  $\gamma$ . Conversely, suppose we take the quotient of  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$  by the relations  $a \sim s(a)$  for  $s \in \mathrm{Stab}(w)$ . Then we have that

$$w(a) \sim a \sim s(a)$$

and applying  $\gamma$ ,

$$\gamma(w(a)) \sim \gamma(s(a))$$

but we already have  $a \sim \gamma(w(a))$  in  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$ . So  $a \sim \gamma(s(a))$  and we recover the  $\gamma$ -stabilizer relations. It follows that taking the quotient of  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$  by the  $\gamma$ -stabilizer relations is equivalent to taking the quotient by taking coinvariants for the usual action of the stabilizer. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *For  $G = \mathrm{GL}_N$ , we have a decomposition of the skein module of  $M_\gamma$  as*

$$\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}(M_\gamma) \cong \bigoplus_{[w] \in W/W} \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})_{\mathrm{Stab}(w)}.$$

For  $G = \mathrm{SL}_N$ , we have the decomposition

$$\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{SL}_N}(M_\gamma) \cong \bigoplus_{n=1}^N \mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{SkCat}_{\mathrm{SL}_N}(T^2)}(V^{\otimes n})) \oplus \bigoplus_{[w] \in W/W} \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})_{\mathrm{Stab}(w)}.$$

**Remark 3.5.** In this setting, it is clear that when  $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  acts by  $\pm 1$ , the skein modules for  $\gamma$  and  $-\gamma$  will have the same dimensions. This is specific to the  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -skein module, and for different groups we may not make this simplification.

**3.2. Twisted Hochschild homology in terms of the difference cokernel.** In this section we will express  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$  in terms of the cokernel of  $\mathrm{Id} - \gamma w$ . This gives a description of  $\dim \mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$  in terms of the invariant factors of  $\mathrm{Id} - \gamma w$ . We illustrate how this fits into the skein module computation in the simple example of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_1$ . First let us introduce some notation.

**Notation 3.6.** We will abbreviate  $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda$  as  $\Lambda^2$ . There is a map of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $\mathrm{Id} - \gamma w : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$ , which extends to an endomorphism of  $A$  which we denote identically. As well as the  $\mathcal{K}$ -vector space  $A$ , we can also consider the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2 = \Lambda^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  which is the linearization of  $\Lambda^2$ . Then  $\mathrm{Id} - \gamma w$  extends to a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $(\mathrm{Id} - \gamma w)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and we denote the kernel by  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We refer to the orthogonal complement with respect to  $\omega$  as  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ . The restriction back to the lattice is denoted  $K = K_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \Lambda^2, K^\perp = K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp \cap \Lambda^2$ . Note that these objects have been defined such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} V_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} V$ , for  $V$  a submodule of  $\Lambda^2$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Suppose that  $m_x \in A$  does not lie in  $K^\perp$ . Then  $m_x$  is zero under the  $\gamma w$ -commutator relations.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $m_x \notin K^\perp$ . Then there exists  $m_y \in K$  such that  $\omega(x, y) \neq 0$ , and notice that  $m_y = \gamma w(m_y)$  since  $m_y$  is in the kernel of  $\mathrm{Id} - \gamma w$ . Then consider the relation

$$[m_y, m_{-y} m_x]_{\gamma w} = (1 - q^{\omega(x, y)}) m_x$$

which is easily verified. Then, in the quotient by the twisted commutator relations,  $m_x$  must vanish.  $\square$

Therefore we have that  $\mathrm{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w}) \cong \mathcal{K}(K^\perp)/(\gamma w\text{-commutators})$ .

**Remark 3.8.** When  $K = 0$ , then  $K^\perp = \Lambda^2$ . This is the case when  $\det(\mathrm{Id} - \gamma w) = 0$ . Notice that  $\det(\mathrm{Id} - \gamma w)$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $\gamma w$  evaluated at 1. In the case of a 2 by 2 matrix with determinant 1, this is  $2 - \mathrm{tr}(\gamma w)$ . So we will only need to consider  $K^\perp$  a proper sublattice when  $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma w) = 2$ , that is, when  $\gamma w$  corresponds to a conjugacy class of a matrix  $T^n$  for some  $n$ .

We now give a useful change of basis in  $K^\perp$ . To describe the change of basis, we will consider the linearization  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$  etc. Consider the inclusion  $K_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ . Under the nondegenerate pairing  $\omega$ , this dualizes to  $(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2)^* \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ , restriction of a form on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$  to  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Precomposing with the isomorphism  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2)^*$  induced by  $\omega$ , we have a map  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2 \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$  with kernel  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ :

$$K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2)^* \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{Q}}^*.$$

Dualizing, we obtain a map  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2 \rightarrow (K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp)^*$  with kernel  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . It follows that there is an isomorphism

$$(8) \quad K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp \cong (K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp)^* \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2 / K_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Id} - \gamma w)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Notice that (8) would not necessarily hold if we had not considered linearizations: consider  $\gamma = T$  for example, to see that  $\text{im}(\text{Id} - \gamma) \cong \Lambda^2/K$  may be a proper sublattice of  $K^\perp$ .

We see from (8) that  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$  surjects onto  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ . Given  $x \in K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ , its preimage is a  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -coset. Let  $(\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x$  be a choice of representative, and notice that for  $k \in K_{\mathbb{Q}}$  we have

$$\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x + k, x) = \omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, x) + \omega(k, x) = \omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, x)$$

so that the quantity  $\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, x)$  is well-defined for  $x \in K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ , in particular for  $x \in K^\perp \subseteq K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *The change of basis*

$$m_x \mapsto \tilde{m}_x = q^{\frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, x)} m_x$$

of  $K^\perp$  is such that the commutator relations are all proportional to

$$\tilde{m}_{x+y} - \tilde{m}_{x+\gamma w(y)}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the general commutator, which has the form

$$(9) \quad [m_x, m_y]_{\gamma w} = q^{\frac{1}{2}\omega(x, y)} m_{x+y} - q^{\frac{1}{2}\omega(y, \gamma w(x))} m_{y+\gamma w(x)}.$$

A renormalization  $\tilde{m}_z = q^{-f(z)} m_z$  will imply the commutators have the desired form if the coefficients appearing in (9) after this substitution have the same absolute value and differ just by a sign. This is equivalent to saying that

$$(10) \quad f(x+y) - f(\gamma w(x) + y) = \frac{1}{2}\omega(y, \gamma w(x)) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x, y).$$

We claim that  $f(z) = -\frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}z, z)$  satisfies (10).

To prove this, we will use repeatedly the fact that  $\gamma w$  preserves the form  $\omega$ , and moreover the identity

$$(11) \quad (\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}\gamma w = \gamma w(\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1} = (\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1} - \text{Id}.$$

(We note that, where we interpret  $(\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}$  as involving a choice of preimage, then (11) only holds up to a  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -coset. The identity will only ever be applied to a single side of  $\omega$  against either  $x$  or  $\gamma w(x)$  for  $x \in K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ . Then we note that,  $k \in K_{\mathbb{Q}}$  means  $\gamma w(k) = k$  and so  $\omega(\gamma w(x), k) = \omega(\gamma w(x), \gamma w(k)) = \omega(x, k) = 0$  so that  $\gamma w(x) \in K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ . Then, by a similar remark to above, we see that it will be valid to apply (11) in our circumstances.)

Let us expand the left hand side of (10).

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+y) - f(\gamma w(x) + y) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}(x+y), (x+y)) + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}(\gamma w(x) + y), \gamma w(x) + y) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, x) - \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, y) - \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, x) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, y) + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}\gamma w(x), \gamma w(x)) + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}\gamma w(x), y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, \gamma w(x)) + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, y) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, y) - \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, x) + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}\gamma w(x), y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, \gamma w(x)) \end{aligned}$$

where in the final equality we used that

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}\gamma w(x), \gamma w(x)) = \frac{1}{2}\omega(\gamma w(\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, \gamma w(x)) = \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, x)$$

from (11) and that  $\gamma w$  preserves  $\omega$ . Now we can continue, applying the identity (11) again in the third summand.

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(x+y) - f(\gamma w(x) + y) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, y) - \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, x) + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}x, y) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x, y) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, \gamma w(x)) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, x) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, \gamma w(x)) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\omega(\gamma w(\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, \gamma w(x)) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, \gamma w(x)) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, \gamma w(x)) + \frac{1}{2}\omega(y, \gamma w(x)) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}\omega((\text{Id} - \gamma w)^{-1}y, \gamma w(x)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\omega(y, \gamma w(x)) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

using that  $\gamma w$  preserves  $\omega$  in the third equality, and identity (11) in the fourth. This establishes the required identity.  $\square$

We can use Prop. 3.9 to obtain a description of the space  $\text{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w})$ .

**Corollary 3.10.** *The linear map*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{K}[\text{coker}(\text{Id} - \gamma w)_{\text{tors}}] \\
[m_x] &\mapsto [x]
\end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces, where the right hand side denotes the vector space supported on the torsion subgroup of the cokernel of  $\text{Id} - \gamma w : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$ . The  $a_i^w$  are the invariant factors of  $\text{Id} - \gamma w$ , and  $r_w$  is the rank of this map. The dimension of this space is

$$\dim \text{HH}_0(A, A_{\gamma w}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r_w} a_i^w.$$

*Proof.* Notice that under Prop. 3.9, the  $\gamma w$ -commutator relations are equivalent (re-indexing) to  $\{\tilde{m}_x - \tilde{m}_{x+(\text{Id} - \gamma w)(y)}\}$ . But this is the same as taking the quotient of  $K^\perp$  (as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module) by the submodule  $\text{im}(\text{Id} - \gamma w)$ .

We consider  $\text{Id} - \gamma w : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$  as a map of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. Then since  $\Lambda^2$  is finitely generated, the cokernel of  $\text{Id} - \gamma w$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^l \oplus \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}/a_i$  where  $a_i$  are the invariant factors of the map. The number of torsion summands is the rank of the map, and  $l$  is the corank of the map.

We recall that  $\text{im}(\text{Id} - \gamma w) \subseteq K^\perp$  and, since by (8) we have  $\text{im}(\text{Id} - \gamma w)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ , we then have that  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{im}(\text{Id} - \gamma w) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{im}(\text{Id} - \gamma w)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\perp = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} K^\perp$ . Then it follows that the torsion part of  $\text{coker}(\text{Id} - \gamma w : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2)$  is precisely  $\text{coker}(\text{Id} - \gamma w : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow K^\perp)$ . This establishes the first statement. The cardinality of the abelian group is given by taking products, and it is this cardinality which gives the dimension of the vector space over  $\mathcal{K}$ , giving the second statement.  $\square$

From Cor. 3.10, using the decomposition of Cor. 3.4, then to understand  $\dim \text{HH}_0^\gamma(\text{SkAlg}_G(T^2))$  it suffices to understand the stabilizers of the Weyl group and their orbits. We finish this section by considering the simple case of  $G = \text{GL}_1$ , where the Weyl group is trivial.

We recall that it was shown in [Prz98] that there is an isomorphism  $\text{Sk}_{\text{GL}_1}(M) \cong \mathbb{C}(q)[H_1(M)_{\text{tors}}]$  for  $q$  generic. In the case of a mapping torus  $M_\gamma$ , we mentioned in Lemma 2.3 how to compute  $H_1(M_\gamma)$ . To compute the torsion subgroup, it suffices to find the torsion of

$$\text{coker}(\text{Id} - \gamma) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\text{im}(\text{Id} - \gamma).$$

When  $\text{Id} - \gamma$  is invertible, then the cardinality of its image is measured by its determinant. We have that  $\text{Id} - \gamma$  is invertible when  $\det(\text{Id} - \gamma)$  is nonzero, but since  $\det(\text{Id} - \gamma)$  is the characteristic polynomial of the 2 by 2 matrix  $\gamma$  evaluated at 1, and  $\gamma$  has determinant 1, it follows that  $\det(\text{Id} - \gamma) = 2 - \text{tr}(\gamma)$  and so  $\text{Id} - \gamma$

is invertible for all matrices except the shears. For the shears  $T^n$  we have that  $\text{im}(\text{Id} - T^n) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that

$$(12) \quad \dim \text{Sk}_{\text{GL}_1}(M_\gamma) = |\text{H}_1(M_\gamma)_{\text{tors}}| = \begin{cases} 1 & \gamma = \text{Id} \\ |n| & \gamma = T^n, n \neq 0 \\ |\det(\text{Id} - \gamma)| = |\text{tr}(\gamma) - 2| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We note that this result can be recovered independently of [Prz98] by our methods.

**Theorem 3.11.** *The dimension of the  $\text{GL}_1$ -skein module of  $M_\gamma$  is given as in (12).*

*Proof.* Here the Weyl group is trivial: the sum in Cor. 3.4 consists of a single summand  $\text{HH}_0(A, A_\gamma)$  and all that remains is to take the quotient of  $A$  by the  $\gamma$ -commutator relations.

By lemma 3.7 we need only consider the quotient of  $K^\perp$ , which only differs from  $A$  in the case of the shear matrices, by Rmk. 3.8. In the shear case  $\gamma = \text{Id}$  or  $\gamma = T^n$ , the dimension follows from the description of Cor. 3.10.

In the non-shear cases we want to consider  $A$  modulo the image of  $\text{Id} - \gamma$ . But in this case, since  $\text{Id} - \gamma$  has nonzero determinant, then the cardinality of this cokernel is given by  $|\det(\text{Id} - \gamma)|$ .  $\square$

#### 4. CALCULATIONS FOR THE KAUFFMAN BRACKET SKEIN MODULE

In this section we focus on the specific case of  $G = \text{SL}_2$ . We recall the decomposition (3):

$$\text{Sk}_{\text{SL}_2}(M_\gamma) = \text{HH}_0^\gamma(\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V)) \oplus \text{HH}_0^\gamma(\text{SkAlg}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)).$$

Here,  $V$  denotes the object of the skein category consisting of a single marked point in  $T^2$  labelled by the defining representation  $V$ . We call the first summand the *single skein part* of the skein module, and the second summand the *empty skein part*. The single skein part is considered and its dimension calculated in §4.1. For the empty skein part, we apply the decomposition of §3. To obtain explicit dimensions, we need to account for the Weyl group action on the bimodule  $A_{\gamma w}$ . In §4.2 we explain how to make these corrections for the  $\text{SL}_2$  case and we combine with the single skein dimension to give a formula for the dimension of the whole skein module of  $M_\gamma$ .

**4.1. Single skein part.** In this section we compute the dimension of the space  $\text{HH}_0^\gamma(\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V))$ , which is a direct summand of the skein module of  $M_\gamma$ . We begin by giving a description of the endomorphism algebra. We then give a basis of idempotents for this algebra, and use this to determine the dimension of  $\text{HH}_0^\gamma(\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V))$  in terms of the number of fixed points of  $\gamma$  acting on this basis.

Let us parameterize  $T^2 \times I$  with coordinates  $\{(e^{2\pi ir}, e^{2\pi is}, t) : (r, s, t) \in [0, 1]^3\}$  and assume that all embedded 1-manifolds are given the blackboard framing as in Notation 2.8, to allow them to denote skeins.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[X, Y]/(X^2 - 1, Y^2 - 1) &\rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V) \\ X &\mapsto [\{(e^{2\pi it}, 0, t) : t \in [0, 1]\}] \\ Y &\mapsto [\{(0, e^{2\pi it}, t) : t \in [0, 1]\}] \end{aligned}$$

*is an isomorphism of vector spaces.*

*Proof.* We claim there is a surjection  $\phi : \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[\pi_1(T^2)] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V)$ . This map takes the class of a loop  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow T^2$  to the skein given by  $\{(x, y, t) : \alpha(t) = (x, y)\}$ . Clearly any skein which has just one connected component is in the image of this map, and any other skein can be reduced to a linear combination of such using the skein relations, so the map is a surjection.

Denote by  $X$  the class of the usual meridian and by  $Y$  the class of the usual longitude. For example,  $X$  maps to the skein in Fig. 4a. Now, recall that the skein relations can be written in the form

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \diagup \text{---} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \diagdown \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \diagup \text{---} \\ \text{---} \diagdown \text{---} \end{array} = (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}) \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \diagup \text{---} \\ \text{---} \diagdown \text{---} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \diagup \text{---} \end{array} \right)$$




FIGURE 4. Some skeins considered in the proof of Prop. 4.1.

Then consider the following two skeins:

$$A = \{(e^{2\pi ir}, e^{3\pi i/2}, 1/2) : r \in [0, 1]\} \cup \{(e^{\pi i}, e^{\pi i}, t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$$

and

$$B = \{(e^{2\pi ir}, e^{\pi i/2}, 1/2) : r \in [0, 1]\} \cup \{(e^{\pi i}, e^{\pi i}, t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$$

shown in Fig. 4c. There is an isotopy in  $T^2 \times I$  which takes skein  $A$  to skein  $B$  by rotating the component  $\{(e^{2\pi ir}, e^{3\pi i/2}, 1/2) : r \in [0, 1]\}$  of skein  $A$  through an angle of  $\pi$ . Applying the skein relation (13) we have

$$0 = A - B = (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})(\phi(X) - \phi(X^{-1}))$$

so that  $\phi(X) = \phi(X^{-1})$ , or  $\phi(X^2) = 1$ . A similar argument shows that  $\phi(Y^2) = 1$ , and so we have  $\ker \phi \supseteq (X^2 - 1, Y^2 - 1)$ . In fact we claim this is an equality.

Since  $\ker \phi \supseteq (X^2 - 1, Y^2 - 1)$ , we have that  $\dim \text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V) \leq 4$ . We will argue that  $\dim \text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V) \geq 4$ , so that  $\dim \text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V) = \text{codim } \ker \phi = 4$ , from which it follows that  $\ker \phi = (X^2 - 1, Y^2 - 1)$  as claimed.

To give a lower bound on  $\dim \text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V)$ , we observe that the dimension of an algebra is bounded below by the dimension of its Hochschild homology. So we will give an argument that  $\dim \text{HH}_0(\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V)) = 4$ .

We view  $\text{HH}_0(\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V))$  as a direct summand of  $\text{HH}_0(\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)) = \text{Sk}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^3)$ . Recall the Kauffman bracket presentation of the skein module: in this case, skeins are equivalence classes of (framed) unoriented links, and so give homology classes in  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . By the fact that the skein relations relate links with the same  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  homology class, as described in [Car17] skein modules are graded by homology with  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients, so that  $\text{HH}_0(\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2))$  decomposes over  $H_1(T^3, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ .

Moreover, the mapping class group of  $T^3$  acts on this space via the surjection  $\mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ , and it acts transitively on the components which do not correspond to the grading  $(0, 0, 0)$ , see [Car17]. It has been shown in [Gil18] that the component in grading  $(1, 0, 0)$  is 1-dimensional, from which we see that the subspace graded by  $\{(m, n, 1) : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\}$  is 4-dimensional. This is the space of skeins which have nontrivial homology in the direction of the third coordinate, that is, it is  $\text{HH}_0(\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V))$ .

It follows that the dimension of  $\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V)$  is 4, so we have  $\ker \phi = (X^2 - 1, Y^2 - 1)$  and  $\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V) \cong \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[X, Y]/(X^2 - 1, Y^2 - 1)$ .  $\square$

From Prop. 4.1 we see that the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[X, Y]/(X^2 - 1, Y^2 - 1)$  is given by

$$\gamma(X^m Y^n) = X^{am+bn} Y^{cm+dn} \pmod{2}$$

for  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . To compute the dimension of  $\text{HH}_0^2(\text{End}_{\text{SkCat}_{\text{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V))$  we decompose  $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[X, Y]/(X^2 - 1, Y^2 - 1)$  in a basis of idempotents and consider fixed points for this action.



Define elements  $e_{m,n} = \frac{1}{4mn}(X+m)(Y+n)$  for  $m, n = \pm 1$ . One can check that these are idempotents which are pairwise orthogonal for the multiplication, and hence they are linearly independent, hence a basis of  $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[X, Y]/(X^2 - 1, Y^2 - 1)$ . Suppose that  $\gamma$  acts on this set of idempotents by permuting them. Each idempotent is either fixed or not by  $\gamma$ . If  $e$  is fixed, then the relations involving  $e$  have the form  $[f, e]_\gamma = fe - ef = 0$  which is vacuous, or  $[e, f] = ef - \gamma(f)e = 0$ . This is again vacuous since we cannot have  $\gamma(f) = e$  unless  $f = e$ , in which case the relation is clearly zero. So fixed points of the  $\gamma$  action must be nonzero in the quotient by the commutator relations. On the other hand, if  $e$  is not fixed, then there exists  $f$  such that  $\gamma(f) = e$ . Then  $[e, f]_\gamma = ef - \gamma(f)e = 0 - ee = -e$ , so that  $e = 0$  in the quotient by the commutators. It follows that the dimension of the quotient is equal to the number of fixed idempotents for the action of  $\gamma$ .

Let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $ad - bc = 1$  implies that one of  $ad, bc$  is odd, and the other even. Since the product of odd numbers is odd, this means at least one of  $a, b, c, d$  is even, and moreover the two numbers in the opposing pair must both be odd. So if  $c$  is even, then  $a$  and  $d$  must be odd, for example. There are six possible cases:  $a, d$  odd, in which case we have  $c, b$  are both even, or  $c$  only, or  $b$  only; and  $b, c$  odd, where  $a, d$  are both even, or only  $a$ , or only  $d$ . In the following proposition we compute the action of  $\gamma$ , see that it does permute the idempotents as claimed, and write down the number of fixed points. This completely determines the dimensions of the single skein part.

**Proposition 4.2.** For  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , we have

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_0^\gamma(\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{SkCat}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}(T^2)}(V)) = \begin{cases} 4 & a, d \text{ odd, } b, c \text{ even;} \\ 1 & b, c \text{ odd, and exactly one of } a, d \text{ is even;} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We separate cases. Let  $a, d$  be odd. It is clear that when  $b$  is even,  $\gamma$  acts by the identity, so fixes all 4 idempotents. Now consider  $b$  odd. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(e_{1,1}) &= \frac{1}{4}(X+1)(XY+1) = \frac{1}{4}(Y+X+XY+1) = \frac{1}{4}(X+1)(Y+1) = e_{1,1} \\ \gamma(e_{-1,-1}) &= \frac{1}{4}(X-1)(XY-1) = \frac{1}{4}(Y-X-XY+1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X-1)(Y+1) = e_{-1,-1} \\ \gamma(e_{1,-1}) &= \frac{1}{-4}(X+1)(XY-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(Y-X+XY-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X+1)(Y-1) = e_{1,-1} \\ \gamma(e_{-1,1}) &= \frac{1}{-4}(X-1)(XY-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(Y+X-XY-1) = \frac{1}{4}(X-1)(Y-1) = e_{-1,-1} \end{aligned}$$

so we see that  $\gamma$  acts by permuting idempotents and that it fixes 2 of them.

Now suppose  $c$  is odd and  $b$  even. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(e_{1,1}) &= \frac{1}{4}(XY+1)(Y+1) = \frac{1}{4}(X+Y+XY+1) = \frac{1}{4}(X+1)(Y+1) = e_{1,1} \\ \gamma(e_{-1,-1}) &= \frac{1}{4}(XY-1)(Y-1) = \frac{1}{4}(X-Y-XY+1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X+1)(Y-1) = e_{1,-1} \\ \gamma(e_{1,-1}) &= \frac{1}{-4}(XY+1)(Y-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X+Y-XY-1) = \frac{1}{4}(X-1)(Y-1) = e_{-1,-1} \\ \gamma(e_{-1,1}) &= \frac{1}{-4}(XY-1)(Y+1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X-Y+XY-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X-1)(Y+1) = e_{-1,1} \end{aligned}$$

so again the action is by permutations and fixes 2 idempotents.

Now suppose that  $c, d$  are odd. Then if  $a, d$  are even, it is easy to see that  $\gamma(e_{m,n}) = e_{n,m}$ , so that 2 idempotents are fixed.

Let  $a$  be even and  $d$  odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(e_{1,1}) &= \frac{1}{4}(Y+1)(XY+1) = \frac{1}{4}(X+Y+XY+1) = \frac{1}{4}(X+1)(Y+1) = e_{1,1} \\ \gamma(e_{-1,-1}) &= \frac{1}{4}(Y-1)(XY-1) = \frac{1}{4}(X-Y-XY+1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X+1)(Y-1) = e_{1,-1} \\ \gamma(e_{1,-1}) &= \frac{1}{-4}(Y+1)(XY-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X-Y+XY-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X-1)(Y+1) = e_{-1,1} \\ \gamma(e_{-1,1}) &= \frac{1}{-4}(Y-1)(XY+1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X+Y-XY-1) = \frac{1}{4}(X-1)(Y-1) = e_{-1,-1}\end{aligned}$$

so  $\gamma$  permutes elements and fixes 1 idempotent.

Finally, let  $a$  be odd and  $d$  even. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(e_{1,1}) &= \frac{1}{4}(XY+1)(X+1) = \frac{1}{4}(Y+X+XY+1) = \frac{1}{4}(X+1)(Y+1) = e_{1,1} \\ \gamma(e_{-1,-1}) &= \frac{1}{4}(XY-1)(X-1) = \frac{1}{4}(Y-X-XY+1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X-1)(Y+1) = e_{-1,1} \\ \gamma(e_{1,-1}) &= \frac{1}{-4}(X-1)(XY-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(Y+X-XY-1) = \frac{1}{4}(X-1)(Y-1) = e_{-1,-1} \\ \gamma(e_{-1,1}) &= \frac{1}{-4}(X+1)(XY-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(Y-X+XY-1) = \frac{1}{-4}(X+1)(Y-1) = e_{1,-1}\end{aligned}$$

so that  $\gamma$  permutes idempotents and fixes 1 idempotent.  $\square$

**4.2. Total skein module dimensions.** We are now ready to give the proof of our main theorem, determining the dimension of the Kauffman bracket skein module for mapping tori of  $T^2$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *The dimension  $\dim \text{Sk}_{\text{SL}_2}(M_\gamma)$  is given by*

$$s_\gamma + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_+} a_i^+ + 2^{p_+}}{2} + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_-} a_i^- + 2^{p_-}}{2}$$

where  $p_\pm = \#\{a_i^\pm \text{ even} : 1 \leq i \leq r_\pm\}$  for  $a_i^\pm$  the invariant factors of  $\text{Id} \mp \gamma : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$  and  $r_\pm$  the rank of this map, and

$$s_\gamma = \begin{cases} 4 & \gamma = \text{Id} \pmod{2} \\ 1 & \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Firstly, by Cor. 3.4 with  $G = \text{SL}_2, W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  we see that the dimension is given by the sum  $s_\gamma + d_+ + d_-$  where  $s_\gamma$  is the dimension of the single skein part, which was computed in Prop. 4.2, and  $d_\pm = \dim \text{HH}_0(A, A_{\pm\gamma})_{\text{Stab}(\pm 1)}$ . It therefore suffices to understand  $d_\pm$ . Before taking coinvariants, we have that

$$\text{HH}_0(A, A_{\pm\gamma}) \cong \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2}) [\text{coker}(\text{Id} \mp \gamma)_{\text{tors}}] \cong \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2}) \left[ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_\pm} \mathbb{Z}/a_i^\pm \mathbb{Z} \right]$$

where the first isomorphism is Cor. 3.10 and second isomorphism is standard. From this it follows that the dimension before taking coinvariants of each component  $\text{HH}_0(A, A_{\pm\gamma})$  is given by  $\prod_{i=1}^{r_\pm} a_i^\pm$ . All that remains is to account for the action of the stabilizers.

We note that  $\text{Stab}(w) = W$  for all  $w \in W$  since  $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and observe that  $W$  acts on each component of the lattice  $\Lambda^2$  by negation. We need to count the number of orbits of the induced action on the set  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_\pm} \mathbb{Z}/a_i^\pm \mathbb{Z}$ , which we consider as a rectangular subset of  $\Lambda^2$ . Since the orbits will be generically of size 2 then this will be approximately  $\frac{1}{2}(\prod_{i=1}^{r_\pm} a_i^\pm)$ . The precise number of orbits will depend on the number of fixed points of the action of  $W$ .

Observe that 0 is always fixed. If none of the  $a_i^\pm$  are even, then this is the only fixed point. If precisely one of the  $a_i^\pm$  is even then this forces there to be another fixed point on the  $i$ -axis of the set. If two of

the  $a_i^\pm$  are even then there are four fixed points, at the four corners of the set. Then the precise number of orbits is given by

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_\pm} a_i^\pm + 2^{p_\pm}}{2}$$

where  $2^{p_\pm}$  counts the number of fixed points, for  $p_\pm$  as given in the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

#### A. SAGE IMPLEMENTATION

Here we include Sage code for implementing the formula of Thm. 4.3. This implementation can be found together with some precomputed dimensions at the following repository: <https://github.com/PatrickKinnear/skein-dimensions.git>.

```
def get_dim_single_skein(gamma):
    I = matrix(ZZ, 2, [1, 0, 0, 1])
    P = matrix(ZZ, 2, [1, 1, 1, 0])
    Q = matrix(ZZ, 2, [0, 1, 1, 1])

    if gamma % 2 == I:
        return 4
    elif gamma % 2 == P or gamma % 2 == Q:
        return 1
    else:
        return 2

def get_dim_empty_skein(gamma):
    I = matrix(ZZ, 2, [1, 0, 0, 1])

    D_plus, U_plus, V_plus = (I - gamma).smith_form()
    a_plus = [a for a in D_plus.diagonal() if a != 0]

    D_minus, U_minus, V_minus = (I + gamma).smith_form()
    a_minus = [a for a in D_minus.diagonal() if a != 0]

    p_plus = len([a for a in a_plus if a%2 == 0])
    p_minus = len([a for a in a_minus if a%2 == 0])

    return [(prod(a_plus) + 2**(p_plus))/2, (prod(a_minus) + 2**p_minus)/2]

def skein_dimension(gamma):
    s_gamma = get_dim_single_skein(gamma)
    d_plus, d_minus = get_dim_empty_skein(gamma)

    return s_gamma + d_plus + d_minus
```

## B. TABLE OF DIMENSIONS

$\gamma$	$s_\gamma$	$d_+$	$d_-$	Total	$\gamma$	$s_\gamma$	$d_+$	$d_-$	Total
$R^1L^1$	1	1	3	5	$R^4L^5$	2	11	13	26
$R^1L^2$	2	2	4	8	$R^4L^6$	4	14	16	34
$R^1L^3$	1	2	4	7	$R^4L^7$	2	15	17	34
$R^1L^4$	2	3	5	10	$R^4L^8$	4	18	20	42
$R^1L^5$	1	3	5	9	$R^4L^9$	2	19	21	42
$R^1L^6$	2	4	6	12	$R^4L^{10}$	4	22	24	50
$R^1L^7$	1	4	6	11	$R^5L^5$	1	13	15	29
$R^1L^8$	2	5	7	14	$R^5L^6$	2	16	18	36
$R^1L^9$	1	5	7	13	$R^5L^7$	1	18	20	39
$R^1L^{10}$	2	6	8	16	$R^5L^8$	2	21	23	46
$R^2L^2$	4	4	6	14	$R^5L^9$	1	23	25	49
$R^2L^3$	2	4	6	12	$R^5L^{10}$	2	26	28	56
$R^2L^4$	4	6	8	18	$R^6L^6$	4	20	22	46
$R^2L^5$	2	6	8	16	$R^6L^7$	2	22	24	48
$R^2L^6$	4	8	10	22	$R^6L^8$	4	26	28	58
$R^2L^7$	2	8	10	20	$R^6L^9$	2	28	30	60
$R^2L^8$	4	10	12	26	$R^6L^{10}$	4	32	34	70
$R^2L^9$	2	10	12	24	$R^7L^7$	1	25	27	53
$R^2L^{10}$	4	12	14	30	$R^7L^8$	2	29	31	62
$R^3L^3$	1	5	7	13	$R^7L^9$	1	32	34	67
$R^3L^4$	2	7	9	18	$R^7L^{10}$	2	36	38	76
$R^3L^5$	1	8	10	19	$R^8L^8$	4	34	36	74
$R^3L^6$	2	10	12	24	$R^8L^9$	2	37	39	78
$R^3L^7$	1	11	13	25	$R^8L^{10}$	4	42	44	90
$R^3L^8$	2	13	15	30	$R^9L^9$	1	41	43	85
$R^3L^9$	1	14	16	31	$R^9L^{10}$	2	46	48	96
$R^3L^{10}$	2	16	18	36	$R^{10}L^{10}$	4	52	54	110
$R^4L^4$	4	10	12	26					

TABLE 2. Kauffman bracket skein module dimensions for hyperbolic mapping classes corresponding to sequences of length 2.

## REFERENCES

- [BEG03] Yuri Berest, Pavel Etingof, and Victor Ginzburg. “Cherednik Algebras and Differential Operators on Quasi-Invariants”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 118.2 (June 2003). ISSN: 0012-7094. DOI: [10.1215/S0012-7094-03-11824-4](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-03-11824-4). arXiv: [math/0111005](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0111005).
- [Bul97] Doug Bullock. “On the Kauffman Bracket Skein Module of Surgery on a Trefoil”. In: *Pacific J. Math.* 178.1 (Mar. 1997), pp. 37–51. ISSN: 0030-8730. DOI: [10.2140/pjm.1997.178.37](https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1997.178.37).
- [Car17] Alessio Carrega. “9 Generators of the Skein Space of the 3-Torus”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 17.6 (Oct. 2017), pp. 3449–3460. ISSN: 1472-2739, 1472-2747. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2017.17.3449](https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2017.17.3449). arXiv: [1603.09661 \[math\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.09661).
- [Det21] Renaud Detcherry. “Infinite Families of Hyperbolic 3-Manifolds with Finite Dimensional Skein Modules”. In: *J. London Math. Soc.* 103.4 (June 2021), pp. 1363–1376. ISSN: 0024-6107, 1469-7750. DOI: [10.1112/jlms.12410](https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms.12410). arXiv: [1903.07686 \[math\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.07686).
- [DW21] Renaud Detcherry and Maxime Wolff. “A Basis for the Kauffman Skein Module of the Product of a Surface and a Circle”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 21.6 (Nov. 2021), pp. 2959–2993. ISSN: 1472-2739, 1472-2747. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2021.21.2959](https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2021.21.2959). arXiv: [2001.05421 \[math\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.05421).

- [FG00] Charles Frohman and Răzvan Gelca. “Skein Modules and the Noncommutative Torus”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352.10 (June 2000), pp. 4877–4888. ISSN: 0002-9947, 1088-6850. DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02512-5](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02512-5). arXiv: [math/9806107](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9806107).
- [GH07] Patrick M. Gilmer and John M. Harris. “On the Kauffman Bracket Skein Module of the Quaternionic Manifold”. In: *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* 16.01 (Jan. 2007), pp. 103–125. ISSN: 0218-2165, 1793-6527. DOI: [10.1142/S0218216507005208](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218216507005208). arXiv: [math/0406152](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0406152).
- [Gil18] Patrick Gilmer. “On the Kauffman Bracket Skein Module of the 3-Torus”. In: *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 67.3 (2018), pp. 993–998. ISSN: 0022-2518. DOI: [10.1512/iumj.2018.67.7327](https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.2018.67.7327). arXiv: [1604.02075](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.02075).
- [GJS23] Sam Gunningham, David Jordan, and Pavel Safronov. “The Finiteness Conjecture for Skein Modules”. In: *Invent. math.* 232.1 (Apr. 2023), pp. 301–363. ISSN: 0020-9910, 1432-1297. DOI: [10.1007/s00222-022-01167-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-022-01167-0). arXiv: [1908.05233](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.05233) [[math](#)].
- [GJV] Sam Gunningham, David Jordan, and Monica Vazirani. “Quantum Character Theory and Hotta-Kashiwara Modules”. In preparation.
- [GJVY] Sam Gunningham, David Jordan, Monica Vazirani, and Haiping Yang. “Skeins on Tori”. In preparation.
- [GM19] Patrick Gilmer and Gregor Masbaum. “On the Skein Module of the Product of a Surface and a Circle”. In: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147.9 (June 2019), pp. 4091–4106. ISSN: 0002-9939, 1088-6826. DOI: [10.1090/proc/14553](https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/14553). arXiv: [1804.05746](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.05746) [[math](#)].
- [Hat] Allen Hatcher. *Notes on Basic 3-Manifold Topology*.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge ; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2002. ISBN: 978-0-521-79160-1 978-0-521-79540-1.
- [HP93] Jim Hoste and Józef H. Przytycki. “The  $(2, \infty)$ -skein module of lens spaces: a generalization of the Jones polynomial”. In: *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* 02.03 (Sept. 1993), pp. 321–333. ISSN: 0218-2165, 1793-6527. DOI: [10.1142/S0218216593000180](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218216593000180).
- [HP95] Jim Hoste and Józef H. Przytycki. “The Kauffman bracket skein module of  $S^1 \times S^2$ ”. In: *Math Z* 220.1 (Dec. 1995), pp. 65–73. ISSN: 0025-5874, 1432-1823. DOI: [10.1007/BF02572603](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02572603).
- [Jac80] William H. Jaco. *Lectures on Three-Manifold Topology*. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics no. 43. Providence, R.I: Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences by the American Mathematical Society, 1980. ISBN: 978-0-8218-1693-6.
- [Joh21] Theo Johnson-Freyd. “Heisenberg-Picture Quantum Field Theory”. In: *Representation Theory, Mathematical Physics, and Integrable Systems*. Ed. by Anton Alekseev et al. Vol. 340. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021, pp. 371–409. ISBN: 978-3-030-78147-7 978-3-030-78148-4. arXiv: [1508.05908](https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.05908) [[math-ph](#)].
- [Kar13] Oleg Karpenkov. “Continued Fractions and  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  Conjugacy Classes. Elements of Gauss’s Reduction Theory. Markov Spectrum”. In: *Geometry of Continued Fractions*. Ed. by Oleg Karpenkov. Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2013, pp. 67–85. ISBN: 978-3-642-39368-6. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-39368-6\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39368-6_7).
- [Lor21] Fosco Loregian. *(Co)End Calculus*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2021. ISBN: 978-1-108-74612-0. DOI: [10.1017/9781108778657](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108778657). arXiv: [1501.02503](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.02503) [[math](#)].
- [McL06] Michael McLendon. “Detecting Torsion in Skein Modules Using Hochschild Homology”. In: *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* 15.02 (Feb. 2006), pp. 259–277. ISSN: 0218-2165, 1793-6527. DOI: [10.1142/S0218216506004440](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218216506004440). arXiv: [math/0405395](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0405395).
- [McL07] Michael McLendon. “Traces on the Skein Algebra of the Torus”. In: *Topology and its Applications* 154.18 (Oct. 2007), pp. 3140–3144. ISSN: 01668641. DOI: [10.1016/j.topol.2007.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2007.08.005). arXiv: [math/0603343](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0603343).
- [Mro11] Maciej Mroczkowski. “Kauffman Bracket Skein Module of a Family of Prism Manifolds”. In: *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* 20.01 (Jan. 2011), pp. 159–170. ISSN: 0218-2165, 1793-6527. DOI: [10.1142/S0218216511008681](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218216511008681).
- [Obl04] Alexei Oblomkov. “Double Affine Hecke Algebras of Rank 1 and Affine Cubic Surfaces”. In: *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 2004.18 (2004), p. 877. ISSN: 1073-7928. DOI: [10.1155/S1073792804133072](https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792804133072). arXiv: [math/0306393](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0306393).

- [Orl72] Peter Orlik. *Seifert Manifolds*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 291. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 1972. ISBN: 978-3-540-06014-7 978-0-387-06014-9.
- [Prz98] Józef H. Przytycki. “A  $q$ -analogue of the first homology group of a 3-manifold”. In: *Perspectives on quantization (South Hadley, MA, 1996)*. Vol. 214. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 135–144. DOI: [10.1090/conm/214/02910](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/214/02910).
- [Sco83] Peter Scott. “The Geometries of 3-Manifolds”. In: *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* 15.5 (Sept. 1983), pp. 401–487. ISSN: 00246093. DOI: [10.1112/blms/15.5.401](https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/15.5.401).
- [Tur10] V. G. Turaev. *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds*. 2nd rev. ed. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 18. Berlin ; New York: De Gruyter, 2010. ISBN: 978-3-11-022183-1.
- [Wal] Kevin Walker. *TQFTs*. URL: <https://canyon23.net/math/tc.pdf> (visited on 09/13/2022).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, EDINBURGH, UK  
Email address: P.Kinnear@ed.ac.uk