

# Tensorial time derivatives on moving surfaces: General concepts and a specific application for surface Landau-de Gennes models

Ingo Nitschke<sup>a,\*</sup>, Axel Voigt<sup>a,b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut für Wissenschaftliches Rechnen, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

<sup>b</sup>Dresden Center for Computational Materials Science (DCMS), Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

<sup>c</sup>Center for Systems Biology Dresden (CSBD), Pfotenhauerstr. 108, 01307 Dresden, Germany

---

## Abstract

Observer-invariance is regarded as a minimum requirement for an appropriate definition of time derivatives. We systematically discuss such time derivatives for surface tensor field and provide explicit formulations for material, upper-convected, lower-convected and Jaumann/corotational time derivatives which all lead to different physical implications. We compare these results with the corresponding time derivatives for tangential tensor fields. As specific surface 2-tensor fields we consider surface Q-tensor fields and conforming surface Q-tensor fields and apply the results in surface Landau-de Gennes models for surface liquid crystals.

*Keywords:* tensor fields, moving surface, embedded surface, observer-invariance, time derivative  
*2020 MSC:* 53A45, 53A05, 37C10, 70G45

---

## 1. Introduction

Observer-invariant time derivatives for tensor-fields on moving surfaces  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  are important ingredients for various applications, such as fluid deformable surfaces and surface liquid crystals, see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. They determine specific rates of change independently of their observation and specify transport mechanism reflecting a certain inertia in the considered quantity induced by material motions. For tangential tensor-fields, defined in the tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{S}$ , denoted by  $T^n\mathcal{S}$ , time derivatives for arbitrary observer are discussed in detail in [6]. Unlike for scalar fields, where the time derivative is uniquely defined, severe differences in the evolution of the tangential tensor-field, e.g. a surface director field or a surface Q-tensor field in surface liquid crystal models [7], have been identified. The implications of these differences, e.g. in morphogenesis [8, 9, 10], which can be modelled using fluid deformable surfaces and surface liquid crystals are not yet explored. The requirement of the surface tensor-fields to be tangential might be too strong for such applications. They require surface tensor-fields with an additional normal component, see, e.g., [11] for a director field on a flexible membrane and [12, 13, 14, 15] for surface Q-tensor-fields but on stationary surfaces. These surface tensor-fields are defined in  $T^n\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , and need slightly different time derivatives, which respect the embedding space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as well as the surface  $\mathcal{S}$ . We will systematically discuss these time derivatives, their properties and relations and apply them to a surface Landau-de Gennes model.

Unlike in [6], we do not take a spacetime manifold as a basis for observer-invariant time derivatives. The advantage is an improved readability due to lesser abstract concepts. The disadvantage is that we do not get any longer observer-invariance for free as a results of a covariance principle w. r. t. the choice of spacetime coordinates. The main issue to develop observer-invariant time derivatives is that the time  $t$  is not a coordinate of  $\mathcal{S}$ , but rather a parameter to describe time-dependencies w. r. t. an observer and the relation between time and space. We need to demonstrate that the time derivatives of tensor-fields on moving surfaces are invariant within the observer class depicting the moving surface. In parts we circumvent this issue by stipulating time derivatives for a material observer (Lagrange perspective) and transform these representation to an arbitrary observer. We only consider instantaneous tensor-fields.

---

\*Corresponding author: ingo.nitschke@tu-dresden.de

$T^0\mathcal{S} = T^0\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	scalar fields
$T\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	vector fields
$T\mathcal{S} < T\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	tangential vector fields
$T^2\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	2-tensor fields
$T^2\mathcal{S} < T^2\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	tangential 2-tensor fields
$Q^2\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}} < T^2\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	Q-tensor fields (trace-free, symmetric)
$C_S Q^2\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}} < Q^2\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	surface conforming Q-tensor fields (only normal and tangential eigenvectors)
$Q^2\mathcal{S} < \{C_S Q^2\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}, T^2\mathcal{S}\}$	tangential Q-tensor fields (trace-free, symmetric)

Table 1: Most used tensor field spaces and their local subtensor space relations. All Q-tensor related spaces are defined in section 2.6

We introduce notation in subsection 1.1 and provide a short tabular summary in subsection 1.2. The actual derivation of time derivatives is constituted in section 2, which is organized in the following way. Subsection 2.1 describes the general approach to obtain time derivatives. Basically, we use a differential quotient w. r. t. the time parameter  $t$  s. t. a time derivative yields a certain rate of the considered tensor-fields. Such an approach is only sufficient for fixed choices of convenient pullbacks, which are capable of evaluating a “future” tensor-field on the current surface. We illustrate this approach for scalar fields in  $T^0\mathcal{S}$ , where such a pullback seems to be uniquely given. The situation changes for  $n$ -tensor fields with  $n \geq 1$ , where different pullbacks lead to different time derivatives. In subsection 2.2 we derive the material time derivative, in subsection 2.3 the upper-convected time derivative, in subsection 2.4 the lower-convected time derivative and in subsection 2.5 the Jaumann/corotational time derivative. The individual derivatives are build on each other. In all of these subsections we also consider vector- as well as 2-tensor-fields separately for the sake of readability. Additionally, we show at the end of each subsection that all of these time derivatives are thin-film limits of usual flat  $\mathbb{R}^3$  time derivatives. With surface Landau-de Gennes models for surface liquid crystals in mind [14], we treat in subsection 2.6 Q-tensor fields in  $Q^2\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , which are symmetric and trace-free, as a special case of surface 2-tensor fields. Here we consider only the material and Jaumann/corotational time derivative. Moreover, we discuss surface conforming Q-tensor fields in  $C_S Q^2\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , where the eigenvector spaces are aligned to the surface. This gives the opportunity to modify the material derivative to a simpler representation. Using these tools we formulate surface Landau-de Gennes models on evolving surfaces which lead for the material time derivative to the same formulation as postulated in [14].

### 1.1. Notation

We mainly adopt notations from [7]. Nevertheless, we give a condensed summary in this section including some notational extensions. A moving surface  $\mathcal{S}$  is sufficiently described by parameterizations

$$\mathbf{X} : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 : (t, y^1, y^2) \mapsto \mathbf{X}(t, y^1, y^2) \in \mathcal{S}_t, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is the chart codomain and  $\mathcal{T} = [t_0, t_1] \subset \mathbb{R}$  the time domain. For simplicity we assume that  $\mathbf{X}(t, \mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{S}_t$  can be achieved by a single time-dependent parameterization  $\mathbf{X}$  for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ . The results can be extended to the more general case considering subsets providing an open covering of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We omit the time parameter  $t$  in the notation if it is clear that the considered term can be evaluated temporally locally. A parameterization is not uniquely given for a moving surface. For instance comprises  $\mathbf{X}$  information about the observer. Due to this, we subscribe quantities with  $\mathfrak{m}$  if we consider the material observer and  $\mathfrak{o}$  if we consider an arbitrary observer, see [6] for more details about observer. One could refer the material observer to the Lagrangian perspective/specification. Since we also consider motion in normal direction of the surface and the observer has to follow the material in this direction, a pure Eulerian perspective does not exist on moving surfaces generally. Note that we assume that  $\mathbf{X}$  provides a sufficiently smooth embedding of  $\mathcal{S}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

We write  $T^n\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  as a shorthand for the space of sufficiently smooth  $n$ -tensor field on  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , i. e. for  $\mathbf{R} \in T^n\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  and  $(y^1, y^2) \in \mathcal{U}$  the quantity  $\mathbf{R}(y^1, y^2) \in T^n_{X(y^1, y^2)}\mathbb{R}^3 \cong (\mathbb{R}^3)^n$  is a usual  $\mathbb{R}^3$ - $n$ -tensor defined at  $\mathbf{X}(y^1, y^2) \in \mathcal{S}$ .

$\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{X}_o$	material and observer parameterization
$g_{mij} = \langle \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m, \partial_j \mathbf{X}_m \rangle_{\mathcal{TS}}$ , $g_{oij} = \langle \partial_i \mathbf{X}_o, \partial_j \mathbf{X}_o \rangle_{\mathcal{TS}}$	material and observer metric tensor proxy field
$g_m^{ij}, g_o^{ij}$	matrix inverse of proxy fields $g_{mij}$ and $g_{oij}$
$\Gamma_{mij}^k, \Gamma_{oij}^k$	Christoffel symbols of 2nd kind w. r. t. $g_{mij}$ and $g_{oij}$
$\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	normal field
$\mathbf{H} = -\nabla_C \mathbf{v}, \mathcal{H} = \text{Tr } \mathbf{H}$	shape operator and mean curvature
$\mathbf{V}_m = \mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{v}_\perp \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	material velocity
$\mathbf{V}_o = \mathbf{v}_o + \mathbf{v}_\perp \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$	observer velocity
$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{V}_m - \mathbf{V}_o \in \mathcal{TS}$	relative velocity
$\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}] = \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_\perp \mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}$	tangential gradient of $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$
$\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}] = \nabla \mathbf{v}_\perp + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{TS}$	non-tangential gradient of $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$
$\nabla_C \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}] + \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}]$	surface gradient of $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$
$\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{V}] = \frac{\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}] - \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}]}{2} = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{v} - (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T}{2}$	antisymmetric part of $\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}]$
$\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}] = \nabla_C \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}] \otimes \mathbf{v}$	adjusted surface gradient of $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3 _{\mathcal{S}}$
$\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}] = \frac{\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}] - \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}]}{2}$	antisymmetric part of $\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}]$

Table 2: Some frequently used quantities. Note that  $\mathbf{V}$  is used as a placeholder for  $\mathbf{V}_m$  and  $\mathbf{V}_o$  in case the observer choice is relevant.

This means that we handle tensor bundles and fields (section of bundles) synonymously due to the assumed smooth structure. We also does not distinguish between co- and contravariant tensor fields, and everything between, in index-free notations, since they are isomorph by the musical isomorphisms ( $\flat$ ,  $\sharp$ ) for a given metric and all operators used in this paper respect that. The space of tangential  $n$ -tensor fields  $\mathbb{T}^n \mathcal{S}$  is a subtensor field of  $\mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , i. e. it holds the subtensor relation  $\mathbb{T}_{X(y^1, y^2)}^n \mathcal{S} < \mathbb{T}_{X(y^1, y^2)}^n \mathbb{R}^3$  for all  $(y^1, y^2) \in \mathcal{U}$ . The space  $\mathbb{T}^n \mathcal{S}$  contains only the fields from  $\mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  that can be represented by a tangential frame. We summarize the most used subtensor fields of  $\mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  for  $n \in 0, 1, 2$  in this paper in table 1. Some of them are defined in their associated section, where they are used. For  $n = 1$  we omit the index, e. g. it is  $\mathcal{TS} = \mathbb{T}^1 \mathcal{S}$ . Every subtensor field relation brings its uniquely defined orthogonal projection  $\Pi_{(\cdot)}$  along, which is labeled by its image, i. e. the subtensor field space. The orthogonal projection  $\Pi_{\mathbb{T}^n \mathcal{S}} : \mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n \mathcal{S}$  projects  $n$ -tensor fields into tangential  $n$ -tensor fields for instance. We use the global Cartesian as well as local tangential frames and thus, for a better readability, also different index notations (Ricci calculus) in accordance with their frame. We apply capital Latin letters  $A, B, C, \dots$  w. r. t. the Cartesian frame  $\{\mathbf{e}_A\}$ , e. g. we could use  $R^{AB} \mathbf{e}_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_B$  to describe a 2-tensor field  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ . Small Latin letters  $i, j, k, \dots$  are used w. r. t. the tangential frame  $\{\partial_i \mathbf{X}\}$  derived from parameterization (1). For instance we could write  $r^{ij} \partial_i \mathbf{X} \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}$  for a tangential 2-tensor field  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}$ .

We only use two kinds of spatial derivatives. One is the covariant derivative  $\nabla : \mathbb{T}^n \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n+1} \mathcal{S}$  defined by the Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i g_{jk} + \partial_j g_{ik} - \partial_k g_{ij})$  in a usual way, where  $g_{ij}$  is the covariant proxy of the metric tensor. In index notations, we represent  $\nabla$  with a stroke “ $\nabla$ ”. For instance, we write  $[\nabla \mathbf{r}]_{|k}^{ij} = r^{ij}_{|k} = \partial_k r^{ij} + \Gamma_{kl}^i r^{lj} + \Gamma_{kl}^j r^{il}$  for  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}$ . The other one is the surface derivative  $\nabla_C : \mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \mathcal{TS} < \mathbb{T}^{n+1} \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  defined as the covariant derivative on the Cartesian proxy components, which are scalar fields in  $\mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S}$ . As an example, it is  $\nabla_C \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{e}_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_B \otimes \nabla R^{AB}$  valid for  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ . For readers from other communities, it holds  $\nabla_C \mathbf{R} = (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{R}})|_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}}$ , where  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3$  is an arbitrary smooth extension s. t.  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}|_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  is valid,  $\widehat{\nabla} : \mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n+1} \mathbb{R}^3$  the usual  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -gradient and  $\mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}$  the surface identity, resp. tangential projection, tensor field, e. g. given by  $[\mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}}]^{AB} = \delta^{AB} - \nu^A \nu^B$  or  $[\mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}}]^{ij} = g^{ij}$ . Both derivatives are also related outside the Cartesian frame and we give these relations in the appropriated locations in this paper where they are needed. Further definitions for covariant differential operators

like  $\text{div}$  (divergence),  $\text{rot}$  (curl),  $\Delta$  (Bochner-Laplace), etc., are derived from  $\nabla$  in the usual way. In the example section 2.6.3 we use the surface Laplace operator  $\Delta_C := (\text{Tr} \nabla_C^2) : \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  on 2-tensor fields, which stated a kinda connection Laplace operator a priori w. r. t. surface derivative  $\nabla_C$ . For more details see lemmas 24 and 25, where we show that  $\Delta_C$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the Cartesian Proxy components as well as a Bochner-like Laplace operator w. r. t.  $\nabla_C$ . Additionally, corollary 26 gives a relation to covariant differential operators outside the Cartesian frame. Inner products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\cdot)}$  are written with angle brackets and labeled by its associated space. For instance  $\langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 S} = g_{ik} g_{jl} r_1^{ij} r_2^{kl}$  is the local inner product, or  $\langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 S)} = \int_S \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 S} dS$  is the global inner product of  $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \in \mathbb{T}^2 S$ . Note that inner products on tensor fields are backwards compatible with their subtensor fields, e. g. it holds  $\langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 S}$  for  $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \in \mathbb{T}^2 S$ . Norms are given and written according to their inner products, e. g. it is  $\|\mathbf{r}\|_{\mathbb{T}^2 S}^2 = \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 S)}$  valid for  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T} S$ . We save writing an extra operation symbol, like a dot, for simple tensor-tensor multiplications  $\mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_S \times \mathbb{T}^m \mathbb{R}^3|_S \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n+m-2} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , e. g. it is  $\mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 = R_1^{AB} R_{2B} e_A \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  valid for  $\mathbf{R}_1 \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2 \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ . However, we sometimes use the double-dot symbol “ $\cdot$ ” for the double-contraction product  $\mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_S \times \mathbb{T}^m \mathbb{R}^3|_S \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n+m-4} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , e. g. it holds  $\mathbf{R}_1 : \mathbf{R}_2 = \langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} \in \mathbb{T}^0 S$  for  $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ . As in [7], we use arguments in square brackets to denote functional dependencies, e. g. the scalar field  $f[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] = \|\mathbf{X}_m\|_{\mathbb{R}^3}^2 + \|\mathbf{V}_m\|_{\mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S}^2 \in \mathbb{T}^0 S$  depends on the material surface parameterization  $\mathbf{X}_m$  as a proxy for the surface, w. r. t. the material observer, as well as on its velocity  $\mathbf{V}_m = \partial_t \mathbf{X}_m$ . Note that functional dependencies do not have to be mutual independent as we see in the former example. In table 2 frequently used quantities, also related to the chosen observer, are summarized. We would also like to point out that Appendix A contains a collection of lemmas, corollaries and their justifications that may be helpful for understanding the quantities in table 2. For more details on observer related notations, see [6]. Note that for the tangential material derivative, which is defined below, we use a dot over the field symbol. A bar between a term and the dot parenthesize the term under the dot. For instance, we write  $\dot{f} = \overline{f_1 f_2}$  for  $f = f_1 f_2$  in context of scalar fields.

## 1.2. Summary

In this section we provide a summary of the results in section 2 and relate them to the observer-invariant time derivatives derived in [6]. Tables 3 and 5 give an overview about tangential time derivatives on tangential vector fields in  $\mathbb{T} S$  and 2-tensor fields in  $\mathbb{T}^2 S$ , which are given in [6]. We formulate these time derivatives w. r. t. an observer parameterization as well as a relation to the material time derivative. Note that these time derivatives are special cases of instantaneous tensor fields in [6]. We use the name prefix “Jaumann” synonymously to the prefix “corotational”. Time derivatives on vector fields in  $\mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  and 2-tensor fields in  $\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , which are derived in section 2, are summarized in table 4 and 6. We formulate these time derivatives in an orthogonal tangential-normal-decomposition for tangential-normal-decomposed tensor fields, where we are able to use the corresponding tangential time-derivatives. This representation could be useful for analytical perspectives. In contrast, we give also a relation to the material derivative, which could be helpful for numerical implementations, since it is possible to apply a Cartesian frame of the embedding space for the material derivative, i. e.  $D_t^m \mathbf{R} = \dot{R}^A e_A$  for vector fields  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  and  $D_t^m \mathbf{R} = \dot{R}^{AB} e_A \otimes e_B$  for 2-tensor fields  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , see (8) for general  $n$ -tensor fields. A very useful property of the material and Jaumann derivative is their inner product compatibility, i. e. the inner product of tensor fields obey the product rule, see corollaries 2, 11 for vector fields and 4, 13 for 2-tensor fields. Likewise, they yield a compatible product rule with the tensor-vector product  $\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S \times \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , see corollaries 5 and 14. Both convected derivatives do not exhibit these behaviors. Note that the material derivative is not an extension of the tangential material derivative, contrary to all other time derivatives we present in this paper. However, the pure tangential part of the material derivative yields such an extension, which in turn describes an extension of [6, Proposition 4] for non-tangential tensor fields.

In context of 2-tensor fields we consider Q-tensor fields  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S < \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  as a subbundle, where our attention is mainly directed to the material and Jaumann derivative. Since  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  is closed w. r. t. both derivatives, we could use the more general representations for  $\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  in table 6. These time derivatives apply in the surface Landau-de Gennes model (36). A more aligned formulation of the material and Jaumann derivative, w. r. t. the orthogonal decomposition (29) for Q-tensor fields, can be found in 30 and 31. We also consider surface conforming Q-tensor fields  $\mathbb{C}_S \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S < \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , which are not closed by the material derivative but by the Jaumann derivative. Hence we present an adjusted material derivative  $D_t^{C_S m} := \Pi_{\mathbb{C}_S \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} \circ D_t^m$  under the aid of the unique orthogonal projection  $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}_S \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} : \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_S \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ . This surface conforming material derivative and the Jaumann derivative are used in the surface conforming Landau-de Gennes model (37). An orthogonal decomposition of both time derivatives on

... derivative	identifier	w. r. t. observer coordinates	w. r. t. $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$
material	$\dot{\mathbf{r}}$	$(\partial_t r^i) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_0 + \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_0] \mathbf{r}$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}}$
upper-convected	$\mathcal{Q}^\# \mathbf{r}$	$(\partial_t r^i) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_0 + \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{r} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u}$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r}$
lower-convected	$\mathcal{Q}^b \mathbf{r}$	$g_0^{ij} (\partial_t r_j) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_0 + \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \nabla \mathbf{u}$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r}$
Jaumann	$\mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r}$	$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q}^\# \mathbf{r} + \mathcal{Q}^b \mathbf{r})$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r}$ $= \dot{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{1}{2}(\text{rot } \mathbf{v}_m) * \mathbf{r}$

Table 3: Tangential time derivatives on tangential vector fields  $\mathbf{r} = r^i \partial_i \mathbf{X}_0 \in \text{T}\mathcal{S}$ , taken from [6].

... derivative	identifier	w. r. t. tangential derivatives	w. r. t. $D_t^m \mathbf{R}$
material	$D_t^m \mathbf{R}$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \phi \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] + (\dot{\phi} + \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] \rangle_{\text{T}\mathcal{S}}) \mathbf{v}$	$D_t^m \mathbf{R}$
upper-convected	$D_t^\# \mathbf{R}$	$\mathcal{Q}^\# \mathbf{r} + \dot{\phi} \mathbf{v}$	$D_t^m \mathbf{R} - \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R}$
lower-convected	$D_t^b \mathbf{R}$	$\mathcal{Q}^b \mathbf{r} + \dot{\phi} \mathbf{v}$	$D_t^m \mathbf{R} + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R}$
Jaumann	$D_t^{\mathfrak{J}} \mathbf{R}$	$\mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r} + \dot{\phi} \mathbf{v}$	$D_t^m \mathbf{R} - \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R}$

Table 4: Time derivatives on vector fields  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \phi \mathbf{v} \in \text{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , where  $\mathbf{r} \in \text{T}\mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in \text{T}^0\mathcal{S}$ .

surface conforming Q-tensor fields can be found in table 7 and apply in the equivalent formulation (40) of the surface conforming Landau-de Gennes model.

## 2. Derivations

### 2.1. General Approach and Scalar Fields

Formally, we could define an arbitrary time-derivative on  $\mathbf{R} \in \text{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  by

$$(D_t \mathbf{R})[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) := \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\tau} \left( (\Phi_{t,\tau}^* \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau})(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) - \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \right) \quad (2)$$

where  $\Phi_{t,\tau}^* : \text{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}, t+\tau} \rightarrow \text{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}, t}$  is a convenient pullback by the map

$$\Phi_{t,\tau} : \mathcal{S}|_t \rightarrow \mathcal{S}|_{t+\tau} : \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \mapsto \mathbf{X}_m(t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2).$$

Even if the time derivative is described by a material observer, w. r. t. its parameterization  $\mathbf{X}_m$ , we are able to evaluate (2) by an arbitrary observer, w. r. t. parameterization  $\mathbf{X}_0$  with the aid of relation

$$\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_0](t, (\mathbf{X}_0|_t^{-1} \circ \mathbf{X}_m)(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \in \text{T}_{\mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}, \quad (3)$$

respectively, the inverse relation

$$\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1, y_0^2) = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, (\mathbf{X}_m|_t^{-1} \circ \mathbf{X}_0)(t, y_0^1, y_0^2)) \in \text{T}_{\mathbf{X}_0(t, y_0^1, y_0^2)}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}.$$

The general proceeding is to assume a pullback, conclude the associated time derivative w. r. t. the material observer and transform it w. r. t. an arbitrary observer to establish observer-invariance.

For scalar fields  $f \in \text{T}^0 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}} = \text{T}^0 \mathcal{S}$ , i. e.  $n = 0$ , the only noteworthy pullback is simply given by

$$(\Phi_{t,\tau}^* f[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau})(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) = f[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \in \text{T}_{\mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)}^0 \mathcal{S}. \quad (4)$$

Hence, with  $\dot{f} := D_t|_{\Phi_{t,\tau}^* = \Phi_{t,\tau}^0} f$ , (2) becomes

$$\dot{f}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) = \partial_t f[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \in \text{T}_{\mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)}^0 \mathcal{S}$$

... derivative	identifier	w. r. t. observer coordinates	w. r. t. $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$
material	$\dot{\mathbf{r}}$	$(\partial_i r^{ij})\partial_i \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_0 + \nabla_u \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_0] \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_0]$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}}$
upper-convected	$\mathcal{Q}^{\#\#} \mathbf{r}$	$(\partial_i r^{ij})\partial_i \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_0 + \nabla_u \mathbf{r} - (\nabla_u) \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r} (\nabla_u)^T$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]$
lower-convected	$\mathcal{Q}^{bb} \mathbf{r}$	$g_0^{ik} g_0^{jl} (\partial_i r_{kl}) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_0 + \nabla_u \mathbf{r} + (\nabla_u)^T \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} (\nabla_u)$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m]$
Jaumann	$\mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r}$	$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q}^{\#\#} \mathbf{r} + \mathcal{Q}^{bb} \mathbf{r})$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{V}_m]$ $= \dot{\mathbf{r}} - 2\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{V}_m] \Pi_{\mathcal{Q}^2 \mathcal{S}} \mathbf{r}$ $= \dot{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{1}{2}(\text{rot } \mathbf{v}_m)(*_1 \mathbf{r} + *_2 \mathbf{r})$

Table 5: Tangential time derivatives on tangential 2-tensor fields  $\mathbf{r} = r^{ij} \partial_i \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_0 \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}$ , taken from [6].

... derivative	identifier	w. r. t. tangential derivatives	w. r. t. $D_t^m \mathbf{R}$
material	$D_t^m \mathbf{R}$	$\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \eta_L \otimes \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] - \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] \otimes \eta_R + (\dot{\eta}_L + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] - \phi \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m]) \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes (\dot{\eta}_R + \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r} - \phi \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m]) + (\dot{\phi} + \langle \eta_L + \eta_R, \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}}) \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}$	$D_t^m \mathbf{R}$
upper-convected	$D_t^{\#\#} \mathbf{R}$	$\mathcal{Q}^{\#\#} \mathbf{r} + \mathcal{Q}^{\#\#} \eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^{\#\#} \eta_R + \dot{\phi} \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}$	$D_t^m \mathbf{R} - \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R} \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]$
lower-convected	$D_t^b \mathbf{R}$	$\mathcal{Q}^{bb} \mathbf{r} + \mathcal{Q}^b \eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^b \eta_R + \dot{\phi} \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}$	$D_t^m \mathbf{R} + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R} \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m]$
Jaumann	$D_t^{\mathfrak{J}} \mathbf{R}$	$\mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r} + \mathfrak{J} \eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathfrak{J} \eta_R + \dot{\phi} \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}$	$D_t^m \mathbf{R} - \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R} \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m]$

Table 6: Time derivatives on 2-tensor fields  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes \eta_R + \phi \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  where  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\eta_L, \eta_R \in \mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S}$ .

... Derivative	Identifier	w. r. t. tangential derivatives
Surface conforming Material	$D_t^{C_S^m} \mathbf{Q}$	$\dot{\mathbf{q}} + \dot{\beta} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}})$
Jaumann	$D_t^{\mathfrak{J}} \mathbf{Q}$	$\mathfrak{J} \mathbf{q} + \dot{\beta} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}})$

Table 7: Time derivatives on surface conforming Q-tensor fields  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{q} + \beta(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}}) \in C_S \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}} < \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}} < \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , where  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathcal{S}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S}$ .

for a material observer. Getting the time derivative  $\dot{f}[\mathbf{X}_0]$  for an arbitrary observer given by parameterization  $\mathbf{X}_0$  is more difficult. The time derivative (2) as well as the pullback (4) have to be evaluated w. r. t. the relation (3). As we can see in appendix Appendix B.1, applying a Taylor expansion to this pullback at  $\tau = 0$  leads to

$$\dot{f}[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1, y_0^2) = \partial_t f[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1, y_0^2) + (\nabla_u f)[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1, y_0^2) \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}_0(t, y_0^1, y_0^2)}^0 \mathcal{S},$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}[\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{X}_m](t, y_0^1, y_0^1) := \mathbf{V}_m[\mathbf{X}_m](t, (\mathbf{X}_m|_t^{-1} \circ \mathbf{X}_0)(t, y_0^1, y_0^2)) - \mathbf{V}_0[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1, y_0^1)$$

is the relative velocity,  $\mathbf{V}_0[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1, y_0^1) := \partial_t \mathbf{X}_0(t, y_0^1, y_0^1)$  the observer velocity and  $\mathbf{V}_m[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^1) := \partial_t \mathbf{X}_0(t, y_m^1, y_m^1)$  the material velocity. This is also consistent with the scalar-valued time derivative given in [6]. Since the observer is arbitrary and for sake of simplicity, we also write

$$\dot{f} = \partial_t f + \nabla_u f \in \mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S} \quad (5)$$

for short, which is the common form in context of non(-Einstein)-relativistic settings [16], and ALE (Arbitrary Lagrangian–Eulerian) methods on non-stationary surfaces [17, 18]. A material perspective, i. e.  $\mathbf{u} = 0$ , applies to Lagrangian particle methods [19, 20] for instance. If  $f$  is extended in a volume around  $\mathcal{S}$ , there are also alternative formulation of  $\dot{f}$ , see [21] for instance.

Since we consider  $\mathbb{R}^3$  quantities, even though restricted to the surface, we show at the end of each of the following subsections that all considered time derivatives are consistent to their counterpart in a volume, i. e. the thin film limit of a time derivative in a bulk equals its time derivatives on the surface. We use the thin film parameterization  $\chi[\mathbf{X}]$ , defined by

$$\chi[\mathbf{X}](t, y^1, y^2, \xi) := \mathbf{X}(t, y^1, y^2) + \xi \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}](t, y^1, y^2) \quad (6)$$

with  $\xi \in [-h, h]$ , to describe the thin film  $\mathcal{S}_h$  around  $\mathcal{S}$ , see [13] for more details. Therefore,  $\chi[\mathbf{X}_m]$  is the material and  $\chi[\mathbf{X}_0]$  an arbitrary observer thin film parameterization. According to this,  $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m := \partial_t \chi[\mathbf{X}_m]$  is the material and  $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_0 := \partial_t \chi[\mathbf{X}_0]$  the observer thin film velocity. We obtain the relative thin film velocity

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m - \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_0 = \mathbf{u} - \xi \mathbf{I} \mathbf{u}$$

as a consequence by (A.13). For extended scalar fields  $\widehat{f} \in T^0 \mathcal{S}_h$ , which are sufficing  $\widehat{f}|_{\xi=0} = f \in T^0 \mathcal{S}$ , we use the Taylor expansion

$$\widehat{f} = f + \xi (\partial_\xi \widehat{f})|_{\xi=0} + \mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$$

at  $\xi = 0$ . Note that the normal coordinate  $\xi$  and the time parameter  $t$  are mutually independent, i. e.  $\partial_\xi$  and  $\partial_t$  are commuting on scalar fields. Eventually, this yields

$$\dot{\widehat{f}} = \partial_t \widehat{f} + \widehat{\nabla}_{\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m - \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_0} \widehat{f} = \dot{f} + \mathcal{O}(\xi), \quad (7)$$

i. e. it holds  $\dot{\widehat{f}} \rightarrow \dot{f}$  for  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

## 2.2. Material Derivative

In order to obtain the material time derivative we could simply use the Cartesian frame  $\{\mathbf{e}_A\}$ , which is Eulerian and constant in space. Though it seems that an additional frame, which is not given by the chart through the parameterization, would complicate the situation at first glance, the material pullback becomes quite easy. The pullback implements the scalar pullback (4) on each Cartesian component. This yields the definition

$$(\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*m} \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau})(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) := R^{A_1 \dots A_n}[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^n \mathbf{e}_{A_\alpha} \in T_{X_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}.$$

Therefore the material derivative is given by  $D_t^m := D_t|_{\Phi_{t,\tau}^* = \Phi_{t,\tau}^{*m}}$ , i. e.

$$(D_t^m \mathbf{R})[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) = \partial_t R^{A_1 \dots A_n}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^n \mathbf{e}_{A_\alpha} \in T_{X_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}},$$

for the material observer. Since the frame is constant, we only have to consider the scalar Cartesian proxy fields  $R^{A_1 \dots A_n}[\mathbf{X}_m] \in T^0 \mathcal{S}$ . For an arbitrary observer, (5) yields

$$D_t^m \mathbf{R} = \dot{R}^{A_1 \dots A_n} \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^n \mathbf{e}_{A_\alpha}. \quad (8)$$

One first observation of (8) is that this time derivative equals the material time-derivative in a volume up to the restriction to the surface, i. e. it does not depend on behaviors of the surface at all. This is not to be expected by other time-derivatives. Moreover, (8), contrary to (2) in general, is now represented in context of an arbitrary observer chart, i. e. all we have to do is calculating (8) also in terms of an arbitrary extended surface observer frame  $\{\partial_1 \mathbf{X}_0, \partial_2 \mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{v}\}$ . Note that the Cartesian frame yields

$$\mathbf{e}_A = \delta_{AB} \left( g_0^{ij} \partial_j \mathbf{X}_0^B \partial_i \mathbf{X}_0 + v^B \mathbf{v} \right),$$

at all local events  $(t, y_0^1, y_0^2)$ . In the following subsections we transform the frame and the associated proxy fields to the extended observer frame especially for vector and 2-tensor fields.

For extended tensor fields  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \in T^n \mathcal{S}_h$ , which are sufficing  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}|_{\xi=0} = \mathbf{R} \in T^n \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , we conclude from (8) and (7) that

$$\dot{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}} = \dot{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}}^{A_1 \dots A_n} \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^n \mathbf{e}_{A_\alpha} \rightarrow D_t^m \mathbf{R} \quad (9)$$

is valid for  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

### 2.2.1. Vector Fields

To represent the material derivative  $D_t^m \mathbf{R} = \dot{\mathbf{R}}^A \mathbf{e}_A$  (8) on vector fields  $\mathbf{R} \in T\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , we use the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \phi \mathbf{v} \in T\mathbb{R}^3|_S, \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbf{r} \in T\mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in T^0\mathcal{S}$  are given by  $\mathbf{R}$  uniquely. The tangential covariant observer proxy of  $D_t^m \mathbf{R}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}, \partial_k \mathbf{X}_0 \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_S} &= \delta_{AB} \dot{\mathbf{R}}^A \partial_k \mathbf{X}_0^B = \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}, \partial_k \mathbf{X}_0 \rangle}_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_S} - \overline{R_B \partial_k \mathbf{X}_0^B} \\ &= \partial_t r_k + u^i \partial_i r_k - (r_B + \phi v_B) (\partial_k V_0^B + u^i \partial_i \partial_k \mathbf{X}_0^B) \end{aligned}$$

by time derivative (5) on scalar fields and decomposition (10). With (A.11), (A.8) and (A.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}, \partial_k \mathbf{X}_0 \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_S} &= g_{\nu k j} \partial_t r^j + u^i (\partial_i r_k - \Gamma_{\nu i k}^j r_j) + G_{ij} [V_0] r^j - \phi (u^i \Pi_{ik} + b_k [V_0]) \\ &= g_{\nu k j} \partial_t r^j + u^i r_{k i} + G_{ij} [V_0] r^j - \phi b_k [V_m] = [\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \phi \mathbf{b} [V_m]]_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{\mathbf{r}} \in T\mathcal{S}$  is the material derivative of the tangential vector field  $\mathbf{r}$  given in table 3. For the normal part of  $D_t^m \mathbf{R}$  we use the time derivative (5) on scalar fields again and the rate of the normal field given in (A.14). This yields

$$\langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \delta_{AB} \dot{\mathbf{R}}^A v^B = \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_S} - R_B \dot{v}^B = \dot{\phi} + \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{b} [V_m] \rangle_{T\mathcal{S}}.$$

**Corollary 1.** For all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \phi \mathbf{v} \in T\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in T\mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in T^0\mathcal{S}$  holds

$$D_t^m \mathbf{R} = \dot{\mathbf{r}} - \phi \mathbf{b} [V_m] + (\dot{\phi} + \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{b} [V_m] \rangle_{T\mathcal{S}}) \mathbf{v}. \quad (11)$$

Note that  $D_t^m V_m$  equals the material acceleration in an observer-invariant representation, see [6, 22]. To show inner product compatibility of the material derivative, we use that the proxy  $\delta_{AB}$  of the Cartesian metric tensor is in the kernel of the scalar time derivative (5), i. e. it holds  $\dot{\delta}_{AB} = \partial_t \delta_{AB} + u^k \partial_k \delta_{AB} = 0$ . Hence, we obtain  $\overline{\langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle}_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \delta_{AB} (\dot{\mathbf{R}}_1^A \mathbf{R}_2^B + \mathbf{R}_1^A \dot{\mathbf{R}}_2^B)$  for all  $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \in T\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , which gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** The material derivative on vector fields is compatible with the inner product, i. e. for all  $\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \phi_1 \mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{r}_2 + \phi_2 \mathbf{v} \in T\mathbb{R}^3|_S$  holds

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle}_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_S} &= \langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_S} + \langle \mathbf{R}_1, D_t^m \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_S} \\ &= \langle \dot{\mathbf{r}}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{T\mathcal{S}} + \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \dot{\mathbf{r}}_2 \rangle_{T\mathcal{S}} + \dot{\phi}_1 \phi_2 + \phi_1 \dot{\phi}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

### 2.2.2. 2-Tensor Fields

To represent the material derivative (8) on 2-tensor fields  $\mathbf{R}$ , i. e.  $D_t^m \mathbf{R} = \dot{\mathbf{R}}^{AB} \mathbf{e}_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_B$ , we use the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes \eta_R + \phi \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \in T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S, \quad (13)$$

where  $\mathbf{r} \in T^2\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L, \boldsymbol{\eta}_R \in T\mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in T^0\mathcal{S}$  are given by  $\mathbf{R}$  uniquely. The tangential covariant observer proxy of  $D_t^m \mathbf{R}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}, \partial_m \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \partial_n \mathbf{X}_0 \rangle_{T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} \\ &= \dot{R}^{AB} \delta_{AC} \delta_{BD} \partial_m X_0^C \partial_n X_0^D \\ &= \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}, \partial_m \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \partial_n \mathbf{X}_0 \rangle_{T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S}} - R_{CD} \left( \overline{\partial_m X_0^C \partial_n X_0^D} + \partial_m X_0^C \overline{\partial_n X_0^D} \right) \\ &= \partial_t r_{mn} + u^k \partial_k r_{mn} - R_{CD} \left( \partial_m V_0^C \partial_n X_0^D + \partial_m X_0^C \partial_n V_0^D + u^k \partial_k \partial_m X_0^C \partial_n X_0^D + u^k \partial_m X_0^C \partial_k \partial_n X_0^D \right) \end{aligned}$$

by time derivative (5) on scalar fields and decomposition (13), which is read  $R_{CD} = r_{CD} + \eta_{LC} \nu_D + \nu_C \eta_{RD} + \phi \nu_C \nu_D$  in the Cartesian projection notation. With (A.12), (A.8) and (A.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}, \partial_m \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \partial_n \mathbf{X}_0 \rangle_{T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} \\ &= g_{mi} g_{nj} \partial_t r^{ij} + u^k \partial_k r_{mn} + r_{in} G_m^i[V_0] + r_{mi} G_n^i[V_0] - \eta_{Rn} b_m[V_0] - \eta_{Lm} b_n[V_0] \\ &\quad - u^k \left( r_n^i \Gamma_{omki} + r_m^i \Gamma_{onki} + \eta_{Rn} \mathbb{I}_{mk} + \eta_{Lm} \mathbb{I}_{nk} \right) \\ &= g_{mi} g_{nj} \partial_t r^{ij} + u^k r_{m|k} + r_{in} G_m^i[V_0] + r_{mi} G_n^i[V_0] - \eta_{Rn} b_m[V_m] - \eta_{Lm} b_n[V_m] \\ &= [\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \mathbf{b}[V_m] - \mathbf{b}[V_m] \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R]_{mn} , \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{\mathbf{r}} \in T^2\mathcal{S}$  is the material derivative of the tangential 2-tensor field  $\mathbf{r}$  given in table 5. In the same manner we calculate the covariant observer proxy of the tangential-normal part. Hence, with (5), (13), (A.11), (A.8), (A.14) and (A.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}, \partial_m \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle_{T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} \\ &= \dot{R}^{AB} \delta_{AC} \delta_{BD} \partial_m X_0^C \nu^D = \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}, \partial_m \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle_{T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S}} - R_{CD} \left( \overline{\partial_m X_0^C \nu^D} + \partial_m X_0^C \overline{\nu^D} \right) \\ &= \partial_t \eta_{Lm} + u^k \partial_k \eta_{Lm} - R_{CD} \left( \partial_m V_0^C \nu^D + u^k \partial_k \partial_m X_0^C \nu^D - \partial_m X_0^C b^D[V_m] \right) \\ &= g_{mi} \partial_t \eta_L^i + u^k \partial_k \eta_{Lm} - u^k \eta_L^i \Gamma_{okmi} + G_{mi}[V_0] \eta_L^i - \phi b_m[V_0] - \phi u^k \mathbb{I}_{mk} + r_{mi} b^i[V_m] \\ &= g_{mi} \partial_t \eta_L^i + u^k \eta_{Lm|k} + G_{mi}[V_0] \eta_L^i - \phi b_m[V_m] + r_{mi} b^i[V_m] = [\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_L + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{b}[V_m] - \phi \mathbf{b}[V_m]]_m , \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_L \in T\mathcal{S}$  is the material derivative of the tangential vector field  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L$  given in table 5. Since the material derivative is compatible with transposition, i. e. it is  $D_t^m \mathbf{R}^T := D_t^m(\mathbf{R}^T) = (D_t^m \mathbf{R})^T$  valid, we get the normal-tangential part by

$$\langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \partial_n \mathbf{X}_0 \rangle_{T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}^T, \partial_n \mathbf{X}_0 \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle_{T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = [\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_R + \mathbf{b}[V_m] \mathbf{r} - \phi \mathbf{b}[V_m]]_n$$

as a consequence. The pure normal part of the material derivative yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_t^m \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle_{T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} &= \dot{R}^{AB} \delta_{AC} \delta_{BD} \nu^C \nu^D = \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle_{T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S}} - R_{CD} \left( \dot{\nu}^C \nu^D + \nu^C \dot{\nu}^D \right) \\ &= \dot{\phi} + \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_L + \boldsymbol{\eta}_R, \mathbf{b}[V_m] \rangle_{T\mathcal{S}} . \end{aligned}$$

by (5) and (A.14).

**Corollary 3.** For all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in T^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in T^2\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L, \boldsymbol{\eta}_R \in T\mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in T^0\mathcal{S}$  holds

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^m \mathbf{R} &= \dot{\mathbf{r}} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \mathbf{b}[V_m] - \mathbf{b}[V_m] \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R + \left( \dot{\phi} + \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_L + \boldsymbol{\eta}_R, \mathbf{b}[V_m] \rangle_{T\mathcal{S}} \right) \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \\ &\quad + (\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_L + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{b}[V_m] - \phi \mathbf{b}[V_m]) \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes (\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_R + \mathbf{b}[V_m] \mathbf{r} - \phi \mathbf{b}[V_m]) . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

To show inner product compatibility of the material derivative, we use  $\dot{\delta}_{AB} = 0$  as for the vector field case. This gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.** *The material derivative on 2-tensor fields is compatible with the inner product, i. e. for all  $\mathbf{R}_\alpha = \mathbf{r}_\alpha + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\alpha L} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\alpha R} + \phi_\alpha \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , with  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle}_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} &= \langle \mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} + \langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} \\ &= \langle \dot{\mathbf{r}}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 S} + \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \dot{\mathbf{r}}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 S} + \dot{\phi}_1 \phi_2 + \phi_1 \dot{\phi}_2 \\ &\quad + \langle \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{1L}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2L} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S} + \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1L}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{2L} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S} + \langle \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{1R}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2R} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S} + \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1R}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{2R} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Since for all  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  is  $\mathbf{D}_t^m(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}) = \overline{\dot{\mathbf{R}}^{AB} \mathbf{P}_B \mathbf{e}_A} = (\dot{\mathbf{R}}^{AB} \mathbf{P}_B + \dot{\mathbf{R}}^A{}_B \dot{\mathbf{P}}^B) \mathbf{e}_A$  valid, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.** *The material derivative is compatible with the 2-tensor-vector product, i. e. for all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  and  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\psi} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}S$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}^2 S$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L, \boldsymbol{\eta}_R, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{T}S$  and  $\phi, \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbb{T}^0 S$  holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_t^m(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}) &= (\mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{R})\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{P}) \\ &= \dot{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}\dot{\mathbf{p}} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\psi}}\boldsymbol{\eta}_L + \boldsymbol{\psi}\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_L - (\phi\boldsymbol{\psi} + \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_R, \mathbf{p} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S}) \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] \\ &\quad + (\dot{\phi}\boldsymbol{\psi} + \phi\dot{\boldsymbol{\psi}} + \langle \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_R, \mathbf{p} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S} + \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_R, \dot{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S} + \langle \mathbf{r}\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\psi}\boldsymbol{\eta}_L, \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S}) \boldsymbol{\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

### 2.3. Upper-Convected Derivative

In order to obtain the upper-convected derivative, we choose a pullback for the time derivative (2), which adhere to the contravariant material proxy instead of the Cartesian proxy as it is stipulated for the material derivative. We give the exact definition for the vector and tensor field case in its associated subsections. In contrast to [6], we use the short naming ‘‘upper-convected’’ for ‘‘upper-upper-convected’’ or ‘‘fully-upper-convected’’, since we do not treat any mixed-convected derivative in this paper.

#### 2.3.1. Vector Fields

We consider the upper-convected pullback  $\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\sharp} : \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{S,t+\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{S,t}$  given by

$$(\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\sharp} \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau})(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) := r^i[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) + \phi[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \boldsymbol{\nu}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$$

for decompositions (10) of vector fields  $\mathbf{R}$ . With this we define the upper-convected derivative by  $\mathbf{D}_t^\sharp := \mathbf{D}_t|_{\Phi_{t,\tau}^* = \Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\sharp}}$ , i. e. the time derivative (2) yields

$$\mathbf{D}_t^\sharp \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m] = (\partial_t r^i[\mathbf{X}_m]) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m + (\partial_t \phi[\mathbf{X}_m]) \boldsymbol{\nu}[\mathbf{X}_m] \quad (17)$$

w. r. t. the material observer locally at material events  $(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$ . Instead of transforming the frame to an arbitrary observer frame, we simply relate this to the material derivative (11), where we already know the observer-invariant description. Term by term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t r^i[\mathbf{X}_m]) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m &= \partial_t (r^A[\mathbf{X}_m] \mathbf{e}_A) - r^i[\mathbf{X}_m] \partial_i \mathbf{V}_m \\ &= \mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m] - \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m] - \langle \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m], \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S} \boldsymbol{\nu}[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ (\partial_t \phi[\mathbf{X}_m]) \boldsymbol{\nu}[\mathbf{X}_m] &= \partial_t (\phi[\mathbf{X}_m] \boldsymbol{\nu}[\mathbf{X}_m]) - \phi[\mathbf{X}_m] \partial_t \boldsymbol{\nu}[\mathbf{X}_m] = \mathbf{D}_t^m (\phi \boldsymbol{\nu})[\mathbf{X}_m] + \phi[\mathbf{X}_m] \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \end{aligned}$$

with (A.8) and (A.13). The first summands are adding up to the material derivative  $\mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]$ , which has an observer-invariant representation. The remaining summands are instantaneous and hence are observer-invariant a priori. Therefore we can express the upper-convected derivative by an arbitrary observer. This justified to omit the parameterization argument  $\mathbf{X}_0$ . For instance, we write  $\mathbf{D}_t^\sharp \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{D}_t^\sharp \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_0]$  for short. Moreover, we could relate  $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$  to the tangential upper-convected derivative by  $\mathcal{L}^\sharp \mathbf{r} = \dot{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}S$  given in table 3. We summarize this in the following corollary under the aid of the tensor field

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] &:= \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] - \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \\ &= \nabla \mathbf{v}_m - \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \boldsymbol{\Pi} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes (\nabla \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\Pi} \mathbf{v}_m) - (\nabla \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp + \boldsymbol{\Pi} \mathbf{v}_m) \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

**Corollary 6.** For all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \phi\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}S$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}^0S$  holds

$$D_t^\sharp \mathbf{R} = D_t^m \mathbf{R} - \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m]\mathbf{R} = \mathcal{Q}^\sharp \mathbf{r} + \dot{\phi}\mathbf{v}. \quad (19)$$

Note that in contrast to the material or Jaumann derivative, the upper-convected derivative is not compatible with the inner product in general. Substituting (19) into (12) yields

$$\overline{\langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle}_{\mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \langle D_t^\sharp \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} + \langle \mathbf{R}_1, D_t^\sharp \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} + \langle \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m], \mathbf{R}_1 \otimes \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S}$$

for all  $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , where  $\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]$  is vanishing if and only if the material carries out a rigid body motion.

For extended vector fields  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathbb{T}S_h$ , which are sufficing  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}|_{\xi=0} = \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , we conclude from (9) and (A.15) that for the upper-convected  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -time derivative

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}} - (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m)\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow D_t^\sharp \mathbf{R}$$

is valid for  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

### 2.3.2. 2-Tensor Fields

We consider the upper-convected pullback  $\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\sharp} : \mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_{S,t+\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_{S,t}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\sharp} \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau})(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) &:= r^{ij}[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \\ &\quad + \eta_L^i[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \\ &\quad + \eta_R^j[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \\ &\quad + \phi[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \end{aligned}$$

for decompositions (13) of 2-tensor fields  $\mathbf{R}$ . With this we define the upper-convected derivative by  $D_t^\sharp := D_t|_{\Phi_{t,\tau}^* = \Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\sharp}}$ , i. e. the time derivative (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^\sharp \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m] &= (\partial_t r^{ij}[\mathbf{X}_m]) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_m + (\partial_t \phi[\mathbf{X}_m]) \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ &\quad + (\partial_t \eta_L^i[\mathbf{X}_m]) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] + (\partial_t \eta_R^j[\mathbf{X}_m]) \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_m \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

w. r. t. the material observer locally at material events  $(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$ . Similar to the proceeding for vector fields, we just relate this to the material derivative. Term by term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t r^{ij}[\mathbf{X}_m]) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_m &= \partial_t (r^{AB}[\mathbf{X}_m] \mathbf{e}_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_B) \\ &\quad - r^{ij}[\mathbf{X}_m] (G^k_i[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \partial_k \mathbf{X}_m + b_i[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m]) \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_m \\ &\quad - r^{ij}[\mathbf{X}_m] \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m \otimes (G^k_j[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \partial_k \mathbf{X}_m + b_j[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m]) \\ &= D_t^m \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m] - \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m] - \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m] \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \\ &\quad - \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m] - \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m] \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ (\partial_t \eta_L^i[\mathbf{X}_m]) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] &= D_t^\sharp \eta_L[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] = (D_t^m \eta_L[\mathbf{X}_m] - \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \eta_L[\mathbf{X}_m]) \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ &= D_t^m (\eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v})[\mathbf{X}_m] + \eta_L[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] - \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \eta_L[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ &\quad - \langle \eta_L[\mathbf{X}_m], \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S} \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ (\partial_t \eta_R^j[\mathbf{X}_m]) \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \partial_j \mathbf{X}_m &= ((\partial_t \eta_R^j[\mathbf{X}_m]) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m])^T \\ &= D_t^m (\mathbf{v} \otimes \eta_R)[\mathbf{X}_m] + \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \otimes \eta_R[\mathbf{X}_m] - \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \eta_R[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ &\quad - \langle \eta_R[\mathbf{X}_m], \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \rangle_{\mathbb{T}S} \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ (\partial_t \phi[\mathbf{X}_m]) \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] &= \dot{\phi}[\mathbf{X}_m] \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ &= D_t^m (\phi \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v})[\mathbf{X}_m] + \phi[\mathbf{X}_m] (\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] + \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m]) \end{aligned}$$

due to (A.8), (17), (19) and (14). The first summands are adding up to the material derivative  $D_t^m \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]$ , which has an observer-invariant representation. The remaining summands are instantaneous and hence observer-invariant a priori. Therefore we can express the upper-convected derivative by an arbitrary observer. This justifies to omit observer arguments in square brackets.

**Corollary 7.** For all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}^2 S$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L, \boldsymbol{\eta}_R \in \mathbb{T}S$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}^0 S$  holds

$$D_t^\# \mathbf{R} = D_t^m \mathbf{R} - \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R} \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m] = \mathcal{Q}^{\#\#} \mathbf{r} + \mathcal{Q}^\# \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^\# \boldsymbol{\eta}_R + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}. \quad (21)$$

Tangential upper-convected derivatives  $\mathcal{Q}^{\#\#}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}^\#$  on tangential tensor fields are given in table 5 and 3. Note that in contrast to the material or Jaumann derivative, the upper-convected derivative is neither compatible with the inner nor the tensor product in general. Substituting (21) into (15), resp. (21) and (19) into (16), yields

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle}_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} &= \langle D_t^\# \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} + \langle \mathbf{R}_1, D_t^\# \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} + \langle \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m], \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2^T + \mathbf{R}_1^T \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} \\ D_t^\#(\mathbf{R} \mathbf{P}) &= (D_t^\# \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{R} (D_t^\# \mathbf{P}) + \mathbf{R} (\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]) \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  and  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , where  $\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]$  is vanishing if and only if the material carries out a rigid body motion.

For extended 2-tensor fields  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}_h$ , which are sufficing  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}|_{\xi=0} = \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , we conclude from (9) and (A.15) that that for the upper-convected  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -time derivative

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}} - (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m) \widehat{\mathbf{R}} - \widehat{\mathbf{R}} (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m)^T \rightarrow D_t^\# \mathbf{R}$$

is valid for  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

## 2.4. Lower-Convected Derivative

In order to obtain the lower-convected derivative, we choose a pullback for the time derivative (2), which adhere to the covariant material proxy instead of the contravariant material proxy as it is stipulated for the upper-convected derivative. We give the exact definition for the vector and tensor field case in its associated subsections. In contrast to [6], we use the short naming ‘‘lower-convected’’ for ‘‘lower-lower-convected’’ or ‘‘fully-lower-convected’’, since we do not treat any mixed-convected derivative in this paper.

### 2.4.1. Vector Fields

We consider the lower-convected pullback  $\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\flat} : \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{S,t+\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{S,t}$  given by

$$(\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\flat} \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau})(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) := r_i[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \partial^i \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) + \phi[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \boldsymbol{\nu}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$$

for decompositions (10) of vector fields  $\mathbf{R}$ , where  $\partial^i \mathbf{X}_m := g_m^{ij} \partial_j \mathbf{X}_m$  at all  $(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$ . With this we define the upper-convected derivative by  $D_t^b := D_t |_{\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\flat} = \Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\flat}}$ , i. e. the time derivative (2) yields

$$D_t^b \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m] = g_m^{ij} (\partial_t r_j[\mathbf{X}_m]) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_m + (\partial_t \phi[\mathbf{X}_m]) \boldsymbol{\nu}[\mathbf{X}_m]$$

w. r. t. the material observer locally at material events  $(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$ . With (A.11), this is relatable to the upper-convected derivative (17) by

$$D_t^b \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m] = D_t^\# \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m] + (\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m]) \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m].$$

This expression can be represented by an observer-invariant formulation. Therefore, we omit the observer argument in square brackets. Moreover, since all normal parts of  $\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m]$  (18) are antisymmetric, i. e. it is  $\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m] = \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]$  valid, we conclude the following corollary.

**Corollary 8.** For all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}S$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}^0 S$  holds

$$D_t^b \mathbf{R} = D_t^m \mathbf{R} + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R} = \mathcal{Q}^b \mathbf{r} + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu}. \quad (22)$$

The tangential lower-convected derivative  $\mathfrak{Q}^b$  on tangential vector fields is given in table 3. Note that in contrast to the material or Jaumann derivative, the lower-convected derivative is not compatible with the inner product in general. Substituting (22) into (12) yields

$$\overline{\langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle}_{\mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \langle D_t^b \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} + \langle \mathbf{R}_1, D_t^b \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} - \langle \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m], \mathbf{R}_1 \otimes \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S}$$

for all  $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , where  $\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]$  is vanishing if and only if the material carries out a rigid body motion.

For extended vector fields  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}_h$ , which are sufficing  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}|_{\xi=0} = \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , we conclude from (9) and (A.15) that for the lower-convected  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -time derivative

$$\dot{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}} + (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m)^T \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow D_t^b \mathbf{R}$$

is valid for  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

#### 2.4.2. 2-Tensor Fields

We consider the lower-convected pullback  $\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\flat} : \mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_{S,t+\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_{S,t}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\flat} \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau})(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) &= r_{ij}[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \partial^i \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \otimes \partial^j \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \\ &\quad + \eta_{Li}[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \partial^i \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \\ &\quad + \eta_{Rj}[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \otimes \partial^j \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \\ &\quad + \phi[\mathbf{X}_m](t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2) \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \end{aligned}$$

for decompositions (13) of 2-tensor fields  $\mathbf{R}$ . With this we define the upper-convected derivative by  $D_t^b := D_t|_{\Phi_{t,\tau}^* = \Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\flat}}$ , i. e. the time derivative (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^b \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m] &= (\partial_t r_{ij}[\mathbf{X}_m]) g_m^{ik} g_m^{jl} \partial_k \mathbf{X}_m \otimes \partial_l \mathbf{X}_m + (\partial_t \phi[\mathbf{X}_m]) \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \\ &\quad + (\partial_t \eta_{Li}[\mathbf{X}_m]) g_m^{ik} \partial_k \mathbf{X}_m \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] + (\partial_t \eta_{Rj}[\mathbf{X}_m]) g_m^{jl} \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \partial_l \mathbf{X}_m \end{aligned}$$

w. r. t. the material observer locally at material events  $(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$ . With (A.12) and (A.11), this is relatable to the upper-convected derivative (20) by

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^b \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m] &= D_t^\sharp \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m] + 2\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m] + 2\mathbf{r}[\mathbf{X}_m] \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \\ &\quad + 2\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \eta_L[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] + 2\mathbf{v}[\mathbf{X}_m] \otimes \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] \eta_R[\mathbf{X}_m], \end{aligned}$$

where  $2\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] := \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{V}_m] = \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]$ . This expression can be represented by an observer-invariant formulation. Therefore, we omit the observer argument in square brackets and conclude the following corollary.

**Corollary 9.** For all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes \eta_R + \phi \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}^2\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\eta_L, \eta_R \in \mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}^0\mathcal{S}$  holds

$$D_t^b \mathbf{R} = D_t^m \mathbf{R} + \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R} \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] = \mathfrak{Q}^{bb} \mathbf{r} + \mathfrak{Q}^b \eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathfrak{Q}^b \eta_R + \dot{\phi} \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}. \quad (23)$$

Tangential lower-convected derivatives  $\mathfrak{Q}^{bb}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}^b$  on tangential tensor fields are given in table 5 and 3. Note that in contrast to the material or Jaumann derivative, the upper-convected derivative is neither compatible with the inner nor the tensor product in general. Substituting (23) into (15), resp. (23) and (22) into (16), yields

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle}_{\mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} &= \langle D_t^b \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} + \langle \mathbf{R}_1, D_t^b \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} - \langle \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m], \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2^T + \mathbf{R}_1^T \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} \\ D_t^b(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}) &= (D_t^b \mathbf{R})\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{R}(D_t^b \mathbf{P}) - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m])\mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$  and  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , where  $\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]$  is vanishing if and only if the material carries out a rigid body motion.

For extended 2-tensor fields  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathbb{T}^2\mathcal{S}_h$ , which are sufficing  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}|_{\xi=0} = \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , we conclude from (9) and (A.15) that for the lower-convected  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -time derivative

$$\dot{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}} + (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m)^T \widehat{\mathbf{R}} + \widehat{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m) \rightarrow D_t^b \mathbf{R}$$

is valid for  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

### 2.5. Jaumann Derivative

For the sake of simplicity, we define the Jaumann derivative by

$$D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R} := \frac{1}{2} \left( D_t^{\sharp} \mathbf{R} + D_t^{\flat} \mathbf{R} \right) \quad (24)$$

for all  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  instead stipulating a pullback  $\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\mathcal{J}}$ , see the discussion in section 2.5.3 for more details. Therefore, the Jaumann derivative is observer-invariant a priori, since the upper- and lower-convected are observer-invariant. Note that the Jaumann derivative is also often named corotational derivative.

#### 2.5.1. Vector Fields

By defining the skew-symmetric tensor field

$$\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m] := \frac{\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] - \mathcal{G}^T[\mathbf{V}_m]}{2} = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{v}_m - (\nabla \mathbf{v}_m)^T}{2} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes (\nabla v_{\perp} + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{v}_m) - (\nabla v_{\perp} + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{v}_m) \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}, \quad (25)$$

we deduce the following corollary by (24), (19) and (22).

**Corollary 10.** *For all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S}$  holds*

$$D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R} = D_t^m \mathbf{R} - \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R} = \mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r} + \dot{\phi} \boldsymbol{\nu}. \quad (26)$$

The tangential Jaumann derivative  $\mathfrak{J}$  on tangential vector fields is given in table 3. Since  $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m]$  is skew-symmetric, substituting (26) into (12) yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 11.** *The Jaumann derivative on vector fields is compatible with the inner product, i. e. for all  $\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \phi_1 \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{r}_2 + \phi_2 \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}}} &= \langle D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} + \langle \mathbf{R}_1, D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}} + \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}} + \dot{\phi}_1 \phi_2 + \phi_1 \dot{\phi}_2. \end{aligned}$$

For extended vector fields  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}_h$ , which are sufficing  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}|_{\xi=0} = \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , we conclude from (9) and (A.15) that for the Jaumann  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -time derivative

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}} - \left( \widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m - (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m)^T \right) \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R}$$

is valid for  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

#### 2.5.2. 2-Tensor Fields

Using the tensor field  $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m]$  (25), we deduce the following corollary by (24), (21) and (23).

**Corollary 12.** *For all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L, \boldsymbol{\eta}_R \in \mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S}$  holds*

$$D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R} = D_t^m \mathbf{R} - \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m] \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R} \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m] = \mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r} + \mathfrak{J} \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \mathfrak{J} \boldsymbol{\eta}_R + \dot{\phi} \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}. \quad (27)$$

The tangential Jaumann derivative  $\mathfrak{J}$  is given in table 5 for tangential 2-tensor fields and in table 3 for tangential vector fields. Since  $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{V}_m]$  is skew-symmetric, substituting (27) into (15) yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 13.** *The Jaumann derivative on 2-tensor fields is compatible with the inner product, i. e. for all  $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{r}_{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\alpha L} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\alpha R} + \phi_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , with  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}}} &= \langle D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} + \langle \mathbf{R}_1, D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}} + \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathfrak{J} \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}} + \dot{\phi}_1 \phi_2 + \phi_1 \dot{\phi}_2 \\ &\quad + \langle \mathfrak{J} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1L}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2L} \rangle_{\mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}} + \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1L}, \mathfrak{J} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2L} \rangle_{\mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}} + \langle \mathfrak{J} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1R}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2R} \rangle_{\mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}} + \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1R}, \mathfrak{J} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2R} \rangle_{\mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (27) and (26) into (16) results in the following corollary.

**Corollary 14.** *The Jaumann derivative is compatible with the 2-tensor-vector product, i. e. for all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  and  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + \psi \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L, \boldsymbol{\eta}_R, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S}$  holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_t^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}) &= (\mathbb{D}_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R})\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{R}(\mathbb{D}_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{P}) \\ &= (\mathfrak{J}\mathbf{r})\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}(\mathfrak{J}\mathbf{p}) + \psi \mathfrak{J}\boldsymbol{\eta}_L + \dot{\psi}\boldsymbol{\eta}_L + (\dot{\phi}\psi + \phi\dot{\psi} + \langle \mathfrak{J}\boldsymbol{\eta}_R, \mathbf{p} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}} + \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_R, \mathfrak{J}\mathbf{p} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}})\boldsymbol{\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

For extended 2-tensor fields  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}_h$ , which are sufficing  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}|_{\xi=0} = \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , we conclude from (9) and (A.15) that for the Jaumann  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -time derivative

$$\dot{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}} - (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m - (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m)^T) \widehat{\mathbf{R}} + \widehat{\mathbf{R}} (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m - (\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_m)^T) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R}$$

is valid for  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

### 2.5.3. Discussion of Approach (24)

The choice of a pullback in (2) is indeed sufficient to determine the associated time derivative, but it is not necessary, i. e. we can find other pullbacks, which define the same time derivative. The fully Taylor expansion

$$(\Phi_{t,\tau}^* \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau})(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^\alpha}{\alpha!} (\mathbb{D}_t^{(\alpha)} \mathbf{R})[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$$

at  $\tau = 0$  might be the easiest way to see this, where  $\mathbb{D}_t^{(\alpha)}$  is a time derivative of  $\alpha$ th order. The reason we mention this is that we stipulate the identity (24) to define the Jaumann derivative in relation to the upper- and lower-convected derivative. Indeed, averaging the associated pullbacks to  $\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\mathcal{J}} := \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\#} + \Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\circ})$  in the same way would be sufficient to obtain the Jaumann derivative (24) also by  $\mathbb{D}_t^{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbb{D}_t|_{\Phi_{t,\tau}^* = \Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\mathcal{J}}}$ . However, despite this proceeding would be reasoned, it is not very intuitive. More tangible would be a pullback structurally given by  $\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau} := \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t,\tau}^T[\mathbf{X}_m] \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau}$  for vector fields, resp.  $\Phi_{t,\tau}^{*\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau} := \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t,\tau}^T[\mathbf{X}_m] \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau} + \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t,\tau}[\mathbf{X}_m]$  for 2-tensor fields, where  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t,\tau}[\mathbf{X}_m] \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  is the rotation tensor, which rotate every local tangential plane and normal according to the material deformation  $\mathcal{S}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{t+\tau}$ . It is only ensured that this pullback equals the former pullback first orderly w. r. t. the Taylor expansion above. For the sake of simplicity we decided to approach the Jaumann derivative by (24) rather than determining  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t,\tau}^{-1}$  and its necessary derivatives.

## 2.6. Q-Tensor Fields

### 2.6.1. General Q-Tensor Fields

Beside the orthogonal decomposition  $\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S = \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S} \oplus (\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}) \oplus (\boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}) \oplus (\mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S} \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu})$  realized by (13), there is another useful orthogonal decomposition for 2-tensor fields, namely  $\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S = (\mathbb{T}^0 \mathbf{Id}) \oplus \mathbb{A}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S \oplus \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , where  $\mathbf{Id}$  is the Euclidean identity tensor fields, e. g. implemented by  $\mathbf{Id} = \delta^{AB} \mathbf{e}_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_B$  w. r. t. a Cartesian frame,  $\mathbb{A}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S := \{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S : \mathbf{A} = -\mathbf{A}^T\}$  is the space of skew-symmetric tensor fields and

$$\mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S := \{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S : \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}^T \text{ and } \text{Tr} \mathbf{Q} = 0\}$$

is the space of symmetric and trace-free tensor fields, also called Q-tensor fields. In this section we examine the latter in context of time derivatives in more detail.

To describe  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , which established a 5-dimensional vector bundle on  $\mathcal{S}$ , by tangential quantities, we introduce the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}[\mathbf{q}, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \beta] := \mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\eta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta} + \beta \left( \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S \right) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S, \quad (29)$$

where  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathcal{S} := \{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S} : \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}^T \text{ and } \text{Tr} \mathbf{q} = 0\}$  is a tangential Q-tensor field, and  $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S}$  are determined uniquely for all  $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ .  $\mathbf{Id}_S$  is the tangential identity tensor fields, e. g. implemented by  $\mathbf{Id}_S =$

$g^{ij}\partial_i X \otimes \partial_j X$  w.r.t. the local tangential frame or  $\mathbf{Id}_S = (\delta^{AB} - \nu^A \otimes \nu^B)\mathbf{e}_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_B$  w.r.t. a Cartesian frame. This decomposition is consistent to decomposition (13) for  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{q} - \frac{\beta}{2}\mathbf{Id}_S$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L = \boldsymbol{\eta}_R = \boldsymbol{\eta}$  and  $\phi = \beta$ . Therefore, (14), (27),  $\dot{\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathfrak{I}\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathbf{Id}_S = \mathfrak{I}\mathbf{Id}_S = 0$  yields

$$\mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q} \left[ \dot{\mathbf{q}} - 2\Pi_{\mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}(\boldsymbol{\eta} \otimes \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m]), \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} + \mathbf{q}\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] - \frac{3\beta}{2}\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m], \dot{\beta} + 2\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] \rangle_{\text{TS}} \right], \quad (30)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_t^J \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q} [\mathfrak{I}\mathbf{q}, \mathfrak{I}\boldsymbol{\eta}, \dot{\beta}], \quad (31)$$

where  $\Pi_{\mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{S}} : \mathbb{T}^2\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{S}$  is the orthogonal projection given by  $\Pi_{\mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}^T - (\text{Tr } \mathbf{r})\mathbf{Id}_S)$  for all  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}$ . As a consequence, the space of Q-tensor fields is closed by the material derivative as well as the Jaumann derivative. Unfortunately, the upper- and lower-convected derivative fail this behavior. Symmetric tensor fields are closed by them, but trace-free tensor fields are not, since (21) and (23) yield

$$\text{Tr } \mathbf{D}_t^\# \mathbf{Q} = -\text{Tr } \mathbf{D}_t^\flat \mathbf{Q} = -2\langle \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m], \mathbf{Q} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \beta \text{Tr } \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m] - 2\langle \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}_m], \mathbf{q} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2\mathcal{S}},$$

which is only vanishing for rigid body motions in general.

Note that for an eigenvector field  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$  with eigenvalue field  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^0\mathcal{S}$ , i. e. it holds  $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{P} = \lambda\mathbf{P}$ , yields

$$(\mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{Q})\mathbf{P} = \lambda\dot{\mathbf{P}} - (\mathbf{Q} - \lambda\mathbf{Id})\mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{P},$$

$$(\mathbf{D}_t^J \mathbf{Q})\mathbf{P} = \lambda\dot{\mathbf{P}} - (\mathbf{Q} - \lambda\mathbf{Id})\mathbf{D}_t^J \mathbf{P}$$

by (16) and (28). Since  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a real-valued symmetric 2-tensor field, the union of all eigenspaces is spanning  $\mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ . As a consequence we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 15.** For all  $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ ,  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^0\mathcal{S}$ , s. t.  $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{P} = \lambda\mathbf{P}$  and  $\lambda = 0$  is valid, holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{P} = 0 & \implies \mathbf{D}_t^m \mathbf{Q} = 0, \\ \mathbf{D}_t^J \mathbf{P} = 0 & \implies \mathbf{D}_t^J \mathbf{Q} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The converses are not true without further ado. The main reason is that the eigenvector fields of a Q-tensor field do not have to be differentiable, neither spatially nor temporally. If the Q-tensor field comprises  $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ -defects, eigenvector fields even has to be discontinuous to represent such defects. Certainly, it is feasible to show the converses by modifying the time-derivatives w. r. t. sign-sensitivity for instance. However, for the sake simplicity, we leave this issue as an open question in this paper. Note that corollary 15 would not hold in the same way for the upper- and lower convected derivative.

### 2.6.2. Surface Conforming Q-Tensor Fields

One useful subset of the space of Q-tensor fields is the space of surface conforming Q-tensor fields  $\mathbb{C}_S\mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S := \mathbf{Q}[\mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{S}, 0, \mathbb{T}^0\mathcal{S}]$ , which is a subtensor field of the Q-tensor field space, i. e.  $\mathbb{C}_S\mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S < \mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S < \mathbb{T}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , see [14, 5, 15]. The associated orthogonal projection  $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}_S\mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} : \mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_S\mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$  is given by

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{C}_S\mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} \mathbf{Q} := \mathbf{Q} - \Pi_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\nu}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} - \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \Pi_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\nu}) \quad (32)$$

for all  $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ . Since decomposition (29) yields  $\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\nu} = \boldsymbol{\eta} + \beta\boldsymbol{\nu}$ , we could summarize the situation in the following corollary.

**Corollary 16.** A Q-tensor field  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\eta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta} + \beta(\boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Id}_S) \in \mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$  with  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{T}^0\mathcal{S}$ , is surface conforming, if and only if one of the following equivalent statements is true:

- (i)  $\mathbf{Q} = \Pi_{\mathbb{C}_S\mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S} \mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{C}_S\mathbb{Q}^2\mathbb{R}^3|_S$ ,
- (ii)  $\Pi_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \boldsymbol{\eta} = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  is an eigenvector field of  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\beta$  is its associated eigenvalue.

In contrast to the Jaumann derivative (31), the space of surface conforming Q-tensor fields is not closed by the material derivative (30), since  $\Pi_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}}(D_t^m \mathbf{Q})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{q}\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m] - \frac{3\beta}{2}\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}_m]$  is not vanishing generally for  $\mathbf{Q} \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ . To obtain such a closing we use the orthogonal projection (32) and call the resulting time derivative  $D_t^{C_S m} := \Pi_{C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} \circ D_t^m|_{C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}}$  surface conforming material derivative. Taken all together, this yields

$$D_t^{C_S m} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}[\dot{\mathbf{q}}, 0, \dot{\beta}] = \dot{\mathbf{q}} + \dot{\beta} \left( \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}} \right) \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}} \quad (33)$$

$$D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}[\mathfrak{I}\mathbf{q}, 0, \dot{\beta}] = \mathfrak{I}\mathbf{q} + \dot{\beta} \left( \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}} \right) \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}} \quad (34)$$

for all surface conforming Q-tensor fields  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{q} + \beta(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}}) \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , where  $\mathbf{q} \in Q^2 \mathcal{S}$  and  $\beta \in T^0 \mathcal{S}$ .

One simple special case of conforming Q-tensor fields are the tangential Q-tensor fields in  $Q^2 \mathcal{S} = \mathbf{Q}[Q^2 \mathcal{S}, 0, 0] \subset C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ . Here,  $Q^2 \mathcal{S}$  is closed by the surface conforming material derivative (33), resp. Jaumann derivative (34), which coincides with the tangential material derivative, resp. tangential Jaumann derivative, given in [6].

### 2.6.3. Surface Landau-de Gennes models

As already demonstrated in [6, 7] for tangential tensor-fields the dynamics of these models differ. To sensitize the reader for this difference in applying the models, e.g. in the context of morphogenesis [8, 9, 10], is the main motivation for this research.

As a simple, but not trivial, example we consider the one-constant Landau-de Gennes free energy

$$\mathfrak{U}[\mathbf{Q}] := \frac{L}{2} \|\nabla_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{Q}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})}^2 + \int_{\mathcal{S}} a \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{Q}^2 + \frac{2b}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{Q}^3 + c \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{Q}^4 \, d\mathcal{S} \quad (35)$$

for Q-tensor fields  $\mathbf{Q} \in Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , elastic parameter  $L > 0$  and thermotropic coefficients  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, we assume that the surface  $\mathcal{S}$  is boundaryless, i. e.  $\partial \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$ , and the motion of the surface is prescribed by the material velocity  $\mathbf{V}_m \in T \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ . The associated  $L^2$ -gradient flow with dynamics driven by  $D_t \mathbf{Q} \in \{D_t^m \mathbf{Q}, D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{Q}\}$  is

$$D_t \mathbf{Q} = -\nabla_{L^2(Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})} \mathfrak{U} = L \Delta_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{Q} - 2 \left( a \mathbf{Q} + b \left( \mathbf{Q}^2 - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2)}{3} \mathbf{Id} \right) + c \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2) \mathbf{Q} \right) \in Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}, \quad (36)$$

where the  $L^2$ -gradient  $\nabla_{L^2(Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})} \mathfrak{U}$  is given by variation of the energy in arbitrary directions of  $\mathbf{R} \in Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , i. e.  $\langle \nabla_{L^2(Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})} \mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{R} \rangle_{L^2(Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})} := \langle \frac{\partial \mathfrak{U}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{R} \rangle_{L^2(Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})}$  with aid of lemma 25, which justifies the surface Laplace operator. Note that  $Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  is closed by  $D_t = D_t^m$  as well as  $D_t = D_t^{\mathcal{J}}$  in  $T^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , see (30) and (31), i. e. one could safely use  $D_t^m$  and  $D_t^{\mathcal{J}}$  given in table 6. For a pure tangential motion of the surface, i. e.  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp} = 0$ , and a therefore valid Eulerian observer, i. e.  $\mathbf{V} = 0$ , equation (36) equals the Q-tensor equation of the surface Beris-Edwards model in [15] for the Jaumann derivative. Note that  $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{Q} \in Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  holds already, therefore we do not need to apply an extra projection into the space of Q-tensor fields. This can immediately be deduced from lemma 24.

The situation changes if we like to consider the Landau-de Gennes energy (35) w. r. t. surface conforming Q-tensor fields  $C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ . The associated gradient flow can be obtained either by variation and weak testing in the right space, i. e. using  $\langle \Pi_{C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} D_t \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R} \rangle_{L^2(C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})} = -\langle \frac{\partial \mathfrak{U}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{R} \rangle_{L^2(C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})}$  for all  $\mathbf{R} \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , or by Lagrange multiplier technique. Both approaches lead to the same result as we see below. Since  $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{v} = \beta\mathbf{v}$  holds for all  $\mathbf{Q} \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  according to corollary 16, we infer  $\mathbf{Q}^2 \mathbf{v} = \beta^2 \mathbf{v}$  and from that in turn  $\Pi_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}} \left( \left( \mathbf{Q}^2 - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2)}{3} \mathbf{Id} \right) \mathbf{v} \right) = 0$ . Or in other words, if  $\mathbf{Q}$  is conforming, then so is the Q-tensor part of  $\mathbf{Q}^2$ . This leads to the  $L^2$ -gradient flow

$$D_t^{C_S} \mathbf{Q} = -\nabla_{L^2(C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})} \mathfrak{U} = L \Delta_{\mathbb{C}^S} \mathbf{Q} - 2 \left( a \mathbf{Q} + b \left( \mathbf{Q}^2 - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2)}{3} \mathbf{Id} \right) + c \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2) \mathbf{Q} \right) \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}} \quad (37)$$

for  $\mathbf{Q} \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , where  $D_t^C \mathbf{Q}$  is one of the time derivatives in  $\{D_t^{C_S m} \mathbf{Q}, D_t^{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{Q}\}$ ,  $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}^S} := \Pi_{C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} \circ \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}|_{C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}}$  is the surface conforming Laplace operator and  $\langle \nabla_{L^2(Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})} \mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{R} \rangle_{L^2(C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})} := \langle \frac{\partial \mathfrak{U}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{R} \rangle_{L^2(C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}})}$  defines the surface conforming  $L^2$ -gradient for all  $\mathbf{R} \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ . Alternatively, adding the Lagrange function  $C[\mathbf{Q}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}] := \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Pi_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{v}) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}\mathcal{S})}$ ,

where  $\lambda \in \text{TS}$  is the Lagrange multiplier, to the Landau-de Gennes energy (35) yields

$$\text{D}_t \mathbf{Q} = L \Delta_C \mathbf{Q} - 2 \left( a \mathbf{Q} + b \left( \mathbf{Q}^2 - \frac{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2)}{3} \mathbf{Id} \right) + c \text{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2) \mathbf{Q} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes \lambda) \in \text{Q}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S, \quad (38)$$

$$0 = \Pi_{\text{TS}}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{v}) \in \text{TS}, \quad (39)$$

where  $\text{D}_t \mathbf{Q} \in \{\text{D}_t^m \mathbf{Q}, \text{D}_t^f \mathbf{Q}\}$ . Substituting (39) into (38) and applying  $\Pi_{\text{CSQ}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S}$  on both sides of (38) also results in the surface conforming  $L^2$ -gradient flow (37). In contrast to the  $L^2$ -gradient flow (36), the effort to rephrase the conforming flow (37) according to decomposition (29) is significantly less. For tangential time derivatives  $\text{d}_t \mathbf{q} \in \{\dot{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{J}\mathbf{q}\}$  given in table 5, we obtain the system of tangential Q-tensor and scalar equations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{d}_t \mathbf{q} &= L \left( \Delta \mathbf{q} - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{H}^2) \mathbf{q} + 3\beta \Pi_{\text{Q}^2 \mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{H}^2) \right) - \left( 2a - 2b\beta + 3c\beta^2 + 2c \text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2 \right) \mathbf{q} \in \text{Q}^2 \mathbb{S}, \\ \dot{\beta} &= L \left( \Delta \beta + \langle \mathbf{H}^2, 2\mathbf{q} - 3\beta \mathbf{Id}_S \rangle_{\text{T}^2 \mathbb{S}} \right) - \left( 2a + b\beta + 3c\beta^2 + 2c \text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2 \right) \beta + \frac{2}{3} b \text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2 \in \text{T}^0 \mathbb{S}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

which are equivalent to (37), see Appendix B.2 for a detailed derivation. We could substitute  $\mathbf{H}^2 = \mathcal{H}\mathbf{H} - \mathcal{K}\mathbf{Id}_S$  for the third fundamental form, where  $\mathcal{K} := \det\{\mathbb{H}^i_j\}$  is the Gaussian curvature. For the material derivative this yields the surface Landau-de Gennes model in [14] up to the uniaxiality constrain used there. It gives also the same tangential Q-tensor equation in [5] for a constant  $\beta$ . Implications of the choice of the time derivative  $\text{d}_t \mathbf{q} \in \{\dot{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{J}\mathbf{q}\}$  in these models needs to be explored numerically.

## Appendix A. Identities

**Lemma 17.** *For a parameterization  $\mathbf{X}$  holds*

$$\partial_i \partial_j \mathbf{X} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k \mathbf{X} + \mathbb{H}_{ij} \mathbf{v}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\partial_i \mathbf{v} = -\mathbb{H}_i^j \partial_j \mathbf{X} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\text{resp. } \langle \partial_i \partial_j \mathbf{X}, \partial_k \mathbf{X} \rangle_{\text{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \Gamma_{ijk}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\langle \partial_i \partial_j \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\text{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = -\langle \partial_i \mathbf{v}, \partial_j \mathbf{X} \rangle_{\text{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \mathbb{H}_{ij}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\langle \partial_i \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\text{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = 0 \quad (\text{A.5})$$

*Proof.* Equation (A.3) is an alternative definition of the Christoffel symbols if the metric tensor is given by  $g_{ij} = \langle \partial_i \mathbf{X}, \partial_j \mathbf{X} \rangle_{\text{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S}$ . Equations (A.4) are equivalent definitions of the second fundamental form, since (A.5) is true, which in turn holds by  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\text{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S} = 1$ . Identities (A.1) and (A.2) summarize (A.3), (A.4) and (A.5).  $\square$

**Lemma 18.** *For the metric tensor holds*

$$\partial_l g_{ij} = \Gamma_{lij} + \Gamma_{lji} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\partial_l g^{ij} = -\left( g^{kj} \Gamma_{lk}^i + g^{ki} \Gamma_{lk}^j \right). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

*Proof.* Both identities are consequences of the metric compatibility, i. e.  $0 = g_{ijl} = \partial_l g_{ij} - \Gamma_{li}^k g_{kj} - \Gamma_{lj}^k g_{ik}$  and  $0 = g^ij_{|l} = \partial_l g^{ij} + \Gamma_{lk}^i g^{kj} + \Gamma_{lk}^j g^{ik}$ . Alternatively, (A.6) is a consequence of (A.3), and (A.7) of (A.6) by evaluating  $\partial_l g^{ij} = \partial_l (g^{ik} g^{jm} g_{km})$  with the aid of the product rule.  $\square$

**Lemma 19.** *For a time-depending parameterization  $\mathbf{X}$  with velocity  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{v} + v_\perp \mathbf{v} = \partial_t \mathbf{X} \in \text{T}\mathbb{R}^3|_S$  holds*

$$\partial_t \mathbf{V} = [\nabla_C \mathbf{V}]^A \mathbf{e}_A = G^j_i [\mathbf{V}] \partial_j \mathbf{X} + b_i [\mathbf{V}] \mathbf{v}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where

$$\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}] := \Pi_{\text{T}^2 \mathbb{S}}(\nabla_C \mathbf{V}) = \nabla \mathbf{v} - v_\perp \mathbf{H} \in \text{T}^2 \mathbb{S} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}] := \mathbf{v} \nabla_C \mathbf{V} = \nabla v_\perp + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{v} \in \text{TS}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

*Proof.* See [7]. □

**Lemma 20.** For time-dependent covariant proxy components  $r_{ij} \in T^0\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\eta_i \in T^0\mathcal{S}$ , contravariant proxy components  $r^{ij} \in T^0\mathcal{S}$  and  $\eta^i \in T^0\mathcal{S}$  of a tangential 2-tensor fields  $\mathbf{r} \in T^2\mathcal{S}$  and vector field  $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in T\mathcal{S}$  holds

$$\partial_t \eta_i = g_{ik} \partial_t \eta^k + [(\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}])\boldsymbol{\eta}]_i, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\partial_t r_{ij} = g_{ik} g_{jl} \partial_t r^{kl} + [\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}]) + (\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}] + \mathbf{G}^T[\mathbf{V}])\mathbf{r}]_{ij}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

with  $\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}] \in T^2\mathcal{S}$  given in (A.9).

*Proof.* Follows by  $\partial_t g_{ij} = G_{ij}[\mathbf{V}] + G_{ji}[\mathbf{V}]$  (see [6]),  $r_{ij} = g_{ik} g_{jl} r^{kl}$ ,  $\eta_i = g_{ik} \eta^k$  and product rule. □

**Lemma 21.** For a time-depending parameterization  $\mathbf{X}$  with velocity  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{v} + v_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu} = \partial_t \mathbf{X} \in T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  holds

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{v} &= \partial_t (v^A \mathbf{e}_A) = -\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}] \in T\mathcal{S}, \\ \text{resp. } \partial_t v^A &= -b^i[\mathbf{V}] \partial_i X^A, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where  $\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}]$  is given in (A.10).

*Proof.* Follows from

$$\langle \partial_t \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} = \partial_t \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} - \langle \mathbf{v}, \partial_t \mathbf{v} \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} = -\langle \partial_t \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} \stackrel{\equiv}{=} 0$$

and

$$\langle \partial_t \mathbf{v}, \partial_i \mathbf{X} \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} = \partial_t \langle \mathbf{v}, \partial_i \mathbf{X} \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} - \langle \mathbf{v}, \partial_i \mathbf{V} \rangle_{T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}} \stackrel{(\text{A.8})}{=} -b_i[\mathbf{V}].$$

□

**Corollary 22.** For a time-depending parameterization  $\mathbf{X}$  with velocity  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{v} + v_\perp \boldsymbol{\nu} = \partial_t \mathbf{X} \in T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$  and a tangential vector field  $\mathbf{u} \in T\mathcal{S}$  holds

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + u^k \partial_k \mathbf{v} &= \partial_t (v^A \mathbf{e}_A + u^k \partial_k v^A \mathbf{e}_A) = -\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{u}] \in T\mathcal{S}, \\ \text{resp. } \partial_t v^A + u^k \partial_k v^A &= -b^i[\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{u}] \partial_i X^A, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

where  $\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{u}] = \nabla v_\perp + \boldsymbol{\Pi}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u})$  is consistent with definition (A.10).

*Proof.* Follows from (A.13) and (A.2). □

**Lemma 23.** For a time-depending parameterization  $\mathbf{X}$ , with velocity  $\mathbf{V} = \partial_t \mathbf{X} \in T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , thin film parameterization  $\chi[\mathbf{X}]$  and thin film velocity  $\widehat{\mathbf{V}} = \partial_t \chi[\mathbf{X}] \in T\mathcal{S}_h = T\mathbb{R}^3|_{\mathcal{S}_h}$  holds

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}} \widehat{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{V}] = \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{V}] + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}] - \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}] \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

for  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* In the following, we omit the argument  $\mathbf{X}$  in square brackets. The thin film parameterization (6) yields the frame

$$\partial_i \chi = \partial_i \mathbf{X} - \xi \boldsymbol{\Pi}_i^j \partial_j \mathbf{X}, \quad \partial_\xi \chi = \boldsymbol{\nu}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Regarding this frame, the covariant thin film proxy of the velocity  $\widehat{\mathbf{V}} = \partial_t \chi = \mathbf{V} - \xi \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{V}]$  is given by

$$\widehat{V}_i = \langle \widehat{\mathbf{V}}, \partial_i \chi \rangle_{T\mathcal{S}_h} = v_i - \xi (b_i[\mathbf{V}] + \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ij} v^j) + O(\xi^2), \quad \widehat{V}_\xi = \langle \widehat{\mathbf{V}}, \partial_\xi \chi \rangle_{T\mathcal{S}_h} = v_\perp.$$

The Christoffel symbols of second kind w. r. t. the thin film frame (A.16) are

$$\mathbb{I}_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k + \mathcal{O}(\xi), \quad \mathbb{I}_{ij}^\xi = \mathbb{I}_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\xi), \quad \mathbb{I}_{\xi\xi}^K = \mathbb{I}_{I\xi}^\xi = \mathbb{I}_{\xi I}^\xi = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{I}_{i\xi}^k = \mathbb{I}_{\xi i}^k = -\mathbb{I}_i^k + \mathcal{O}(\xi),$$

where a capital Latin letter  $I, J, K$  comprises a small Latin letter  $i, j, k$  and  $\xi$ , see [13] for more details. Therefore the covariant thin film proxy of the velocity gradient  $\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}} = \delta_B^C \partial_C \widehat{V}^A \mathbf{e}_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_B$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}]_{ij} &= \partial_j \widehat{V}_i - \mathbb{I}_{ij}^K \widehat{V}_K = \partial_j v_i - \Gamma_{ij}^k v_k - v_\perp \mathbb{I}_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\xi) &&= G_{ij}[\mathbf{V}] + \mathcal{O}(\xi) \\ [\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}]_{i\xi} &= \partial_\xi \widehat{V}_i - \mathbb{I}_{\xi i}^K \widehat{V}_K = -b_i[\mathbf{V}] - \mathbb{I}_{ij} v^j + \mathbb{I}_i^k v_k + \mathcal{O}(\xi) &&= -b_i[\mathbf{V}] + \mathcal{O}(\xi) \\ [\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}]_{\xi j} &= \partial_j \widehat{V}_\xi - \mathbb{I}_{j\xi}^K \widehat{V}_K = \partial_j v_\perp + \mathbb{I}_j^k v_k + \mathcal{O}(\xi) &&= b_j[\mathbf{V}] + \mathcal{O}(\xi) \\ [\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}]_{\xi\xi} &= \partial_\xi \widehat{V}_\xi - \mathbb{I}_{\xi\xi}^K \widehat{V}_K &&= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The orthogonality  $\partial_i \chi \perp \partial_\xi \chi$  and the thin film limit of the covariant tangential proxy of the thin film metric tensor w. r. t. frame (A.16), which is  $g_{ij}$ , see [13], implies (A.15) finally.  $\square$

**Lemma 24.** *The surface Laplace operator  $\Delta_C : \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  equals the Cartesian-componentwise Laplace-Beltrami operator, i. e. for all  $\mathbf{R} = R^{AB} \mathbf{e}_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_B \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  holds*

$$[\Delta_C \mathbf{R}]^{AB} = \Delta R^{AB} = g^{ij} (\partial_i \partial_j R^{AB} - \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k R^{AB}).$$

*Proof.* Applying product rule yields

$$[(\text{Tr } \nabla_C^2) \mathbf{R}]^{AB} = \delta_{CD} g^{kl} \partial_l (g^{ij} \partial_j R^{AB} \partial_i X^C) \partial_k X^D = g^{jl} \partial_l \partial_j R^{AB} + g^{kl} g^{ij} \langle \partial_l \partial_i \mathbf{X}, \partial_k \mathbf{X} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} \partial_j R^{AB} + (\partial_i g^{ij}) \partial_j R^{AB}.$$

Substituting  $g^{kl} \langle \partial_l \partial_i \mathbf{X}, \partial_k \mathbf{X} \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} = \Gamma_{li}^l$  (A.3) and  $\partial_i g^{ij} = -(g^{jk} \Gamma_{ik}^i + g^{ik} \Gamma_{ik}^j)$  (A.7) gives the assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 25.** *The surface Laplace operator  $\Delta_C : \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  corresponds to the Bochner-like Laplace operator given by the surface derivative  $\nabla_C$ , i. e. for all  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  holds*

$$\Delta_C \mathbf{R} = -\nabla_C^* \nabla_C \mathbf{R}.$$

*Proof.* Neglecting any boundary terms, lemma 24 yields

$$\langle \Delta_C \mathbf{R}, \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S)} = \langle \Delta R^{AB}, \Psi_{AB} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^0 \mathbb{R}^3|_S)} = -\langle \nabla R^{AB}, \nabla \Psi_{AB} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^1 \mathbb{R}^3|_S)} = -\langle \nabla_C \mathbf{R}, \nabla_C \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \mathbb{R}^3|_S)}$$

for all  $\mathbf{R}, \Psi \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 26.** *For all  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R + \phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L, \boldsymbol{\eta}_R \in \mathbb{T} \mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}^0 \mathcal{S}$ , the surface Laplace operator  $\Delta_C : \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$  yields*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_C \mathbf{R} &= \Delta \mathbf{r} - (\mathbb{H}^2 \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \mathbb{H}^2) - 2 \left( (\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}_L) \boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}} + \boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}} (\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}_R)^T \right) - (\boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \nabla \mathcal{H} + \nabla \mathcal{H} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R) + 2\phi \mathbb{H}^2 \\ &\quad + \left( 2(\nabla \mathbf{r}) : \boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}} + \mathbf{r} \nabla \mathcal{H} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\eta}_L - \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}^2) \boldsymbol{\eta}_L - \mathbb{H}^2 (\boldsymbol{\eta}_L + 2\boldsymbol{\eta}_R) - 2\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}} \nabla \phi - \phi \nabla \mathcal{H} \right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \\ &\quad + \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \left( 2(\nabla \mathbf{r}^T) : \boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}} + (\nabla \mathcal{H}) \mathbf{r} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\eta}_R - \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}^2) \boldsymbol{\eta}_R - \mathbb{H}^2 (\boldsymbol{\eta}_R + 2\boldsymbol{\eta}_L) - 2\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}} \nabla \phi - \phi \nabla \mathcal{H} \right) \\ &\quad + \left( 2\mathbb{H}^2 : \mathbf{r} + 2(\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}_L + \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}_R) : \boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}} + (\boldsymbol{\eta}_L + \boldsymbol{\eta}_R) \nabla \mathcal{H} + \Delta \phi - 2\phi \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}^2) \right) \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

*Proof.* In this proof we calculate  $\Delta_C \mathbf{R} = \Delta_C \mathbf{r} + \Delta_C (\boldsymbol{\eta}_L \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}) + \Delta_C (\boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_R) + \Delta_C (\phi \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu})$  term by term in this order using  $[\Delta_C \mathbf{R}]^{AB} = g^{ij} (\partial_j \partial_i R^{AB} - \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k R^{AB})$  (lemma 24). This is a straightforward proceeding, where we mainly use  $\partial_i \partial_j \mathbf{X} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k \mathbf{X} + \mathbb{I}_{ij} \boldsymbol{\nu}$  (A.1) and  $\partial_i \boldsymbol{\nu} = -\mathbb{I}_i^j \partial_j \mathbf{X}$  (A.2), without mentioning it every time. Mixed proxy components  $[\nabla_C \mathbf{r}]^{AB}_k = \partial_k r^{AB}$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k r^{AB} &= \partial_k (r^{ij} \partial_i X^A \partial_j X^B) = \partial_k r^{ij} \partial_i X^A \partial_j X^B + r^{ij} (\Gamma_{ki}^l \partial_l X^A \partial_j X^B + \Gamma_{kj}^l \partial_i X^A \partial_l X^B + \mathbb{I}_{ki} v^A \partial_j X^B + \mathbb{I}_{kj} \partial_i X^A v^B) \\ &= r^{ij}_{|k} \partial_i X^A \partial_j X^B + r^{ij} (\mathbb{I}_{ki} v^A \partial_j X^B + \mathbb{I}_{kj} \partial_i X^A v^B). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into  $[\Delta_C \mathbf{r}]^{AB}$ , the product rule gives the summands

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij} \partial_j (r^{lm} \partial_l X^A \partial_m X^B) &= (r^{lm} \partial_j \partial_l X^A \partial_m X^B + r^{lm} \partial_l X^A \partial_m X^B + r^{lm} \partial_l X^A \partial_m X^B) \\ g^{ij} \partial_j (r^{lm} \Pi_{il} v^A \partial_m X^B) &= r^{lm} \Pi_{il}^j v^A \partial_m X^B + r^{lm} (\Pi_{lj}^j + g^{ij} \Gamma_{ji}^k \Pi_{kl}) v^A \partial_m X^B - r^{lm} \Pi_l^j \Pi_j^k \partial_k X^A \partial_m X^B + r^{lm} \Pi_l^j \Pi_{jm} v^A v^B \\ g^{ij} \partial_j (r^{lm} \Pi_{im} \partial_l X^A v^B) &= r^{lm} \Pi_{im}^j \partial_l X^A v^B + r^{lm} (\Pi_{mj}^j + g^{ij} \Gamma_{ji}^k \Pi_{km}) \partial_l X^A v^B - r^{lm} \Pi_m^j \Pi_j^k \partial_l X^A \partial_k X^B + r^{lm} \Pi_m^j \Pi_{jl} v^A v^B \\ &\quad - g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k r^{AB} = -g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k (r^{lm} \partial_l X^A \partial_m X^B + r^{lm} (\Pi_{kl} v^A \partial_m X^B + \Pi_{km} \partial_l X^A v^B)), \end{aligned}$$

which are adding up to

$$\Delta_C \mathbf{r} = \Delta \mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{H}^2) + (2(\nabla \mathbf{r}) : \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{r} \nabla \mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes (2(\nabla \mathbf{r}^T) : \mathbf{H} + (\nabla \mathcal{H}) \mathbf{r}) + 2(\mathbf{H}^2 : \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v},$$

where we use that  $\Pi_{ij}^j = \Pi_{ji}^j = \mathcal{H}_i$  is valid, since  $\mathbf{H}$  is curl-free. Mixed proxy components  $[\nabla_C(\eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v})]^{AB}_k = \partial_k(\eta_L^A v^B)$  yield

$$\partial_k(\eta_L^A v^B) = \partial_k(\eta_L^j \partial_j X^A v^B) = \eta_{Lk}^j \partial_j X^A v^B + \eta_L^j \Pi_{kj} v^A v^B - \eta_L^j \Pi_k^j \partial_j X^A \partial_j X^B.$$

Substituting this into  $[\Delta_C(\eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v})]^{AB}$ , the product rule gives the summands

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij} \partial_j (\eta_{Lij}^k \partial_k X^A v^B) &= (\eta_{Lij}^{kl} + g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^l \eta_{Lij}^k) \partial_k X^A v^B + \eta_{Lij}^k \Pi_{ij}^l v^A v^B - \eta_{Lij}^k \Pi^{il} \partial_k X^A \partial_l X^B \\ g^{ij} \partial_j (\eta_{Lij}^k \Pi_{ik} v^A v^B) &= \eta_{Lij}^k \Pi_{ik}^j v^A v^B + \eta_L^k (\Pi_{kij}^j + g^{ij} \Gamma_{ji}^l \Pi_{lk}) v^A v^B - \eta_L^k \Pi_k^j \Pi_j^l (\partial_l X^A v^B - v^A \partial_l X^B) \\ -g^{ij} \partial_j (\eta_L^k \Pi_{ik}^j \partial_k X^A \partial_l X^B) &= -\eta_{Lij}^k \Pi^{jl} \partial_k X^A \partial_l X^B - \eta_L^k (\Pi_{ij}^j + g^{ij} \Gamma_{ji}^m \Pi_m^l) \partial_k X^A \partial_l X^B - \eta_L^k \Pi_i^l (\Pi_i^j v^A \partial_l X^B + \Pi_i^j \partial_k X^A v^B) \\ -g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k (\eta_L^A v^B) &= -g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k (\eta_{Lij}^l \partial_l X^A v^B + \eta_L^l \Pi_{ki} v^A v^B - \eta_L^l \Pi_k^m \partial_l X^A \partial_m X^B), \end{aligned}$$

which are adding up to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_C(\eta_L \otimes \mathbf{v}) &= -2(\nabla \eta_L) \mathbf{H} - \eta_L \otimes \nabla \mathcal{H} + (\Delta \eta_L - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{H}^2) \eta_L - \mathbf{H}^2 \eta_L) \otimes \mathbf{v} \\ &\quad - 2\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{H}^2 \eta_L + (2(\nabla \eta_L) : \mathbf{H} + \eta_L \nabla \mathcal{H}) \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta_C$  is compatible with transposition, i. e. it is  $\Delta_C(\mathbf{v} \otimes \eta_R) = (\Delta_C(\eta_R \otimes \mathbf{v}))^T$  valid, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_C(\mathbf{v} \otimes \eta_R) &= -2\mathbf{H}(\nabla \eta_R)^T - (\nabla \mathcal{H}) \otimes \eta_R + \mathbf{v} \otimes (\Delta \eta_R - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{H}^2) \eta_R - \mathbf{H}^2 \eta_R) \\ &\quad - 2\mathbf{H}^2 \eta_R \otimes \mathbf{v} + (2(\nabla \eta_R) : \mathbf{H} + \eta_R \nabla \mathcal{H}) \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Mixed proxy components  $[\nabla_C(\phi \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v})]^{AB}_k = \partial_k(\phi v^A v^B)$  yield

$$\partial_k(\phi v^A v^B) = \phi_{|k} v^A v^B - \phi \Pi_k^l (\partial_l X^A v^B + v^A \partial_l X^B)$$

Substituting this into  $[\Delta_C(\phi \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v})]^{AB}$ , the product rule gives the summands

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij} \partial_j (\phi_{ij} v^A v^B) &= (\phi_{ij}^j + g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k \phi_{ik}) v^A v^B - \phi \Pi^{il} (\partial_l X^A v^B + v^A \partial_l X^B) \\ -g^{ij} \partial_j (\phi \Pi_i^j \partial_l X^A v^B) &= -\phi_{ij} \Pi^{jl} \partial_l X^A v^B - \phi (\Pi_{ij}^j + g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k \Pi_k^l) \partial_l X^A v^B - \phi \Pi_i^l \Pi_l^j v^A v^B + \phi \Pi_i^l \Pi^{ik} \partial_l X^A \partial_k X^B \\ -g^{ij} \partial_j (\phi \Pi_i^j v^A \partial_l X^B) &= -\phi_{ij} \Pi^{jl} v^A \partial_l X^B - \phi (\Pi_{ij}^j + g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k \Pi_k^l) v^A \partial_l X^B - \phi \Pi_i^l \Pi_l^j v^A v^B + \phi \Pi_i^l \Pi^{ik} \partial_k X^A \partial_l X^B \\ -g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k (\phi v^A v^B) &= -g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k (\phi_{ik} v^A v^B - \phi \Pi_k^l (\partial_l X^A v^B + v^A \partial_l X^B)), \end{aligned}$$

which are adding up to

$$\nabla_C(\phi \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = 2\phi \mathbf{H}^2 - (2\mathbf{H} \nabla \phi + \phi \nabla \mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \otimes (2\mathbf{H} \nabla \phi + \phi \nabla \mathcal{H}) + (\Delta \phi - 2\phi \text{Tr}(\mathbf{H}^2)) \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}.$$

□

**Lemma 27.** For all symmetric tangential 2-tensor fields  $s \in \text{Sym}^2\mathcal{S} := \{\mathbf{r} \in \text{T}^2\mathcal{S} \mid \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}^T\}$  and tangential Q-tensor fields  $\mathbf{q} \in \text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}$  holds

$$\Pi_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}(s^2\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{s}\|_{\text{Sym}^2\mathcal{S}}^2 \mathbf{q}.$$

*Proof.* We use the Levi-Civita tensor  $\mathbf{E} \in \text{A}^2\mathcal{S} := \{\mathbf{r} \in \text{T}^2\mathcal{S} \mid \mathbf{r} = -\mathbf{r}^T\}$ . It is a skew-symmetric tangential 2-tensor field defined by its covariant proxy components  $E_{ij} := \sqrt{\det \mathbf{g}} \varepsilon_{ij}$ , where  $\{\varepsilon_{ij}\}$  are the Levi-Civita symbols, see [13, 7] for more details. This tensor field is very useful in many situations involving tangential tensor fields. We use the properties that  $\mathbf{r} \perp (\mathbf{r}\mathbf{E})$  is valid for all  $\mathbf{r} \in \text{T}^2\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathbf{q}\mathbf{E} \in \text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}$ . This yields

$$\langle \Pi_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}(s^2\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}\mathbf{E} \rangle_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}} = \langle s^2\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}\mathbf{E} \rangle_{\text{T}^2\mathcal{S}} = \langle s\mathbf{q}, (s\mathbf{q})\mathbf{E} \rangle_{\text{T}^2\mathcal{S}} = 0.$$

Since  $\mathbf{q}^2 = \frac{\text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2}{2} \mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{S}}$  is valid, see [13, Cor A.4.], we obtain

$$\langle \Pi_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}(s^2\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q} \rangle_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}} = \langle s^2\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q} \rangle_{\text{T}^2\mathcal{S}} = \langle s^2, \mathbf{q}^2 \rangle_{\text{T}^2\mathcal{S}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} s^2 \text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2.$$

Assuming  $\mathbf{q} \neq 0$  everywhere without loss of generality, we can span the space of Q-tensor fields by  $\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S} = \text{Span}_{\text{T}^0\mathcal{S}}\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}\mathbf{E}\}$ . Due to this we get

$$\Pi_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}(s^2\mathbf{q}) = \frac{\langle \Pi_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}(s^2\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q} \rangle_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}}{\|\mathbf{q}\|_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}^2} \mathbf{q} + \frac{\langle \Pi_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}(s^2\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}\mathbf{E} \rangle_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}}{\|\mathbf{q}\mathbf{E}\|_{\text{Q}^2\mathcal{S}}^2} \mathbf{q}\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{s}\|_{\text{Sym}^2\mathcal{S}}^2 \mathbf{q},$$

since  $\text{Tr} s^2 = \|\mathbf{s}\|_{\text{Sym}^2\mathcal{S}}^2$  for all  $s \in \text{Sym}^2\mathcal{S}$ . □

## Appendix B. Outsourced Calculations

### Appendix B.1. Time Derivative on Scalar Fields

Local observer coordinate parameters  $(y_0^1, y_0^2)$  can be given by

$$y_0^i = y_0^i(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) = (\mathbf{X}_0|_t^{-1} \circ \mathbf{X}_m)(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$$

depended on local material coordinate parameters  $(y_m^1, y_m^2)$  at time  $t$ . Therefore, with relation (3), a scalar field  $f[\mathbf{X}_m] \in \text{T}^0\mathcal{S}$  and the pullback (4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} f[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) &= f[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \\ (\Phi_{t,\tau}^* f[\mathbf{X}_m]|_{t+\tau})(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) &= f[\mathbf{X}_0](t + \tau, y_0^1(t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Taylor expansion of the pullback at  $\tau = 0$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} &f[\mathbf{X}_0](t + \tau, y_0^1(t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t + \tau, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \\ &= f[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) + \tau \partial_t f[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \\ &\quad + \tau \partial_t y_0^i(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \partial_i f[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2). \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

To express  $\partial_t y_0^i(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$  also in terms of  $y_0^i(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$  we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t y_0^i(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) \partial_i \mathbf{X}_0(t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{X}_0(t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) - \partial_t \mathbf{X}_0(t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \\ &= \partial_t \mathbf{X}_m(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) - \partial_t \mathbf{X}_0(t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \\ &= \mathbf{V}_m[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) - \mathbf{V}_0[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \\ &= \mathbf{u}[\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{X}_m](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)), \end{aligned}$$

i. e. it holds  $\partial_t y_0^i(t, y_m^1, y_m^2) = u^i[\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{X}_m](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2))$  w. r. t. the observer frame induced by  $\mathbf{X}_0$ . With  $\dot{f} := D_t|_{\Phi_{t,\tau}^* = \Phi_{t,\tau}^0} f$  and Taylor expansion (B.1), the time derivative (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{f}[\mathbf{X}_m](t, y_m^1, y_m^2) &= \dot{f}[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \\ &= \partial_t f[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \\ &\quad + u^i[\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{X}_m](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)) \partial_i f[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1(t, y_m^1, y_m^2), y_0^2(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since none of the terms need to use the local material coordinate parameters  $(y_m^1, y_m^2)$  at time  $t$  anymore, we get

$$\dot{f}[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1, y_0^2) = \partial_t f[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1, y_0^2) + \nabla_{u[\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{X}_m](t, y_0^1, y_0^2)} f[\mathbf{X}_0](t, y_0^1, y_0^2)$$

finally by local evaluations at events  $(t, y_0^1, y_0^2)$  instead of  $(t, y_m^1, y_m^2)$ .

### Appendix B.2. Decomposition of the Surface conforming $L^2$ -Gradient Flow (37)

In this section we are assuming the orthogonal surface conforming decomposition  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{q} + \beta(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S) \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S$ , with uniquely given  $\mathbf{q} \in Q^2 S$  and  $\beta \in T^0 S$ , i. e. it is  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}[\mathbf{q}, 0, \beta]$  valid according to Q-tensor decomposition (29). The decomposition of the left-handed side of (37) is already clarified with (33) for the surface conforming material derivative and (34) for the Jaumann derivative. For the elastic part we use the decomposition of the Laplace operator in corollary 26 with  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{q} - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_L = \boldsymbol{\eta}_R = 0$  and  $\phi = \beta$ . Hence with surface conforming projection (32), this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_C^{C_S} \mathbf{Q} &= \Pi_{C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S} \Delta_C \mathbf{Q} = \Delta \mathbf{q} - \frac{\Delta \beta}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S - (\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2 \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} \boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2) + 3\beta \boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2 + (2\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2 : \mathbf{q} + \Delta \beta - 3\beta \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2)) \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \\ &= \Delta \mathbf{q} - \Pi_{Q^2 S} (\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2 (2\mathbf{q} - 3\beta \mathbf{Id}_S)) + \left( \Delta \beta + \langle \boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2, 2\mathbf{q} - 3\beta \mathbf{Id}_S \rangle_{T^2 S} \right) \left( \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S \right) \\ &= \Delta \mathbf{q} - \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2) \mathbf{q} + 3\beta \Pi_{Q^2 S} (\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2) + \left( \Delta \beta + \langle \boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2, 2\mathbf{q} - 3\beta \mathbf{Id}_S \rangle_{T^2 S} \right) \left( \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S \right) \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S. \end{aligned}$$

The bottom line follows from  $2 \Pi_{Q^2 S} (\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2 \mathbf{q}) = \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathbb{H}}^2) \mathbf{q}$  (lemma 27). For the thermotropic part we first calculate

$$\mathbf{Q}^2 = \left( \mathbf{q} + \beta(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S) \right)^2 = \mathbf{q}^2 - \beta \mathbf{q} + \beta^2 \left( \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{Id}_S \right).$$

Its trace and Q-tensor part is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \mathbf{Q}^2 &= \text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \beta^2 \\ \mathbf{Q}^2 - \frac{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2)}{3} (\mathbf{Id}_S + \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) &= \mathbf{q}^2 - \beta \mathbf{q} - \left( \frac{\beta^2}{4} + \frac{\text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2}{3} \right) \mathbf{Id}_S + \left( \frac{\beta^2}{2} - \frac{\text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2}{3} \right) \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \\ &= -\beta \mathbf{q} + \left( \frac{\beta^2}{2} - \frac{\text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2}{3} \right) \left( \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S \right) \in C_S Q^2 \mathbb{R}^3|_S, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that  $\mathbf{Id} = \mathbf{Id}_S + \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{q}^2 = \frac{\text{Tr} \mathbf{q}^2}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S$  [13, Cor A.4.] hold. Eventually, orthogonality  $(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Id}_S) \perp Q^2 S$  results in the decomposed surface conforming  $L^2$ -gradient flow (40).

**Acknowledgements:** AV was supported by DFG through FOR3013.

## References

- [1] A. Torres-Sánchez, D. Millán, M. Arroyo, Modelling fluid deformable surfaces with an emphasis on biological interfaces, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 872 (2019) 218–271. doi:10.1017/jfm.2019.341.
- [2] S. Reuther, I. Nitschke, A. Voigt, A numerical approach for fluid deformable surfaces, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 900 (2020) R8. doi:10.1017/jfm.2020.564.
- [3] V. Krause, A. Voigt, A numerical approach for fluid deformable surfaces with conserved enclosed volume, *J. Comput. Phys.* (2023) in press.
- [4] I. Nitschke, S. Reuther, A. Voigt, Hydrodynamic interactions in polar liquid crystals on evolving surfaces, *Phys. Rev. Fluids* 4 (4) (2019) 044002. doi:10.1103/PhysRevFluids.4.044002.
- [5] I. Nitschke, S. Reuther, A. Voigt, Liquid crystals on deformable surfaces, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* 476 (2241) (sep 2020). doi:10.1098/rspa.2020.0313.
- [6] I. Nitschke, A. Voigt, Observer-invariant time derivatives on moving surfaces, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 173 (2022) 104428. doi:10.1016/j.geomphys.2021.104428.
- [7] I. Nitschke, S. Sadik, A. Voigt, Tangential tensor fields on deformable surfaces – how to derive consistent  $L^2$ -gradient flows, *ArXiv* (2022). doi:10.48550/arXiv.2209.13272.
- [8] Y. Maroudas-Sacks, L. Garion, L. Shani-Zerbib, A. Livshits, E. Braun, K. Keren, Topological defects in the nematic order of actin fibres as organization centres of hydra morphogenesis, *Nature Physics* 17 (2) (2020) 251–259. doi:10.1038/s41567-020-01083-1.
- [9] L. A. Hoffmann, L. N. Carenza, J. Eckert, L. Giomi, Theory of defect-mediated morphogenesis, *Sci. Adv.* 8 (15) (apr 2022). doi:10.1126/sciadv.abk2712.
- [10] S. C. Al-Izzi, R. G. Morris, Morphodynamics of active nematic fluid surfaces, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 957 (feb 2023). doi:10.1017/jfm.2023.18.
- [11] S. Bartels, G. Dolzmann, R. H. Nochetto, A. Raisch, Finite element methods for director fields on flexible surfaces, *Interfaces Free Bound.* 14 (2) (2012) 231–272. doi:10.4171/IFB/281.
- [12] D. Golovaty, J. A. Montero, P. Sternberg, Dimension reduction for the landau-de gennes model on curved nematic thin films, *J. Nonl. Sci.* 27 (6) (2017) 1905–1932. doi:10.1007/s00332-017-9390-5.
- [13] I. Nitschke, M. Nestler, S. Praetorius, H. Löwen, A. Voigt, Nematic liquid crystals on curved surfaces: a thin film limit, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 474 (2214) (2018) 20170686. doi:10.1098/rspa.2017.0686.
- [14] M. Nestler, I. Nitschke, H. Löwen, A. Voigt, Properties of surface landau–de gennes  $q$ -tensor models, *Soft Matter* 16 (16) (2020) 4032–4042. doi:10.1039/c9sm02475a.
- [15] L. Bouck, R. H. Nochetto, V. Yushutin, A hydrodynamical model of nematic liquid crystal films with a general state of orientational order, *arXiv* (2022) 2207.11588doi:10.48550/arXiv.2207.11588.
- [16] P. Ván, Objective time derivatives in nonequilibrium thermodynamics, *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences* 57 (3) (2008) 127. doi:10.3176/proc.2008.3.02.
- [17] A. Sahu, Y. A. Omar, R. A. Sauer, K. K. Mandadapu, Arbitrary lagrangian–eulerian finite element method for curved and deforming surfaces, *Journal of Computational Physics* 407 (2020) 109253. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2020.109253.
- [18] B. Ramaswamy, M. Kawahara, Arbitrary lagrangian-eulerian finite element method for unsteady, convective, incompressible viscous free surface fluid flow, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 7 (10) (1987) 1053–1075. doi:10.1002/flid.1650071005.
- [19] S. Idelsohn, E. Oñate, F. D. Pin, The particle finite element method: a powerful tool to solve incompressible flows with free-surfaces and breaking waves, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 61 (7) (2004) 964–989. doi:10.1002/nme.1096.
- [20] C. G. Koh, M. Gao, C. Luo, A new particle method for simulation of incompressible free surface flow problems, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 89 (12) (2011) 1582–1604. doi:10.1002/nme.3303.
- [21] G. Dziuk, C. M. Elliott, Finite elements on evolving surfaces, *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis* 27 (2) (2007) 262–292. doi:10.1093/imanum/drl023.
- [22] A. Yavari, A. Ozakin, S. Sadik, Nonlinear elasticity in a deforming ambient space, *Journal of Nonlinear Science* 26 (6) (2016) 1651–1692. doi:10.1007/s00332-016-9315-8.