

THE GLOBAL SOLUTION OF THE MINIMAL SURFACE FLOW AND TRANSLATING SURFACES

LI MA, YUXIN PAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study evolved surfaces over convex planar domains which are evolving by the minimal surface flow

$$u_t = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) - H(x, Du).$$

Here, we specify the angle of contact of the evolved surface to the boundary cylinder. The interesting question is to find translating solitons of the form $u(x, t) = \omega t + w(x)$ where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Under an angle condition, we can prove the a priori estimate holds true for the translating solitons (i.e., translator), which makes the solitons exist. We can prove for suitable condition on $H(x, p)$ that there is the global solution of the minimal surface flow. Then we show, provided the soliton exists, that the global solutions converge to some translator.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 35K15, 35B40

Keywords: translating solution; contact angle; minimal surface flow, global solution, asymptotic limit

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Boundary geometry and boundary condition	4
3. Elliptic problem	7
4. Time derivative estimate and gradient estimates	11
5. Asymptotic behavior of the flow (1.1)	14
References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by recent works from mean curvature flow and minimal surface flow (see Ecker [5] and Altschuler-Wu [1]), we consider the minimal surface flow on a bounded planar domain with prescribed contact angle on the boundary. Throughout this paper, we let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a compact planar convex domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and we denote by $k > 0$ the curvature of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. The inward pointing normal and counterclockwise tangent vector to $\partial\Omega$ will be denoted by N and T . The upward normal for a graph $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ is $\gamma = (-Du, 1) / \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$. The angle of contact between the graph and the boundary, $\alpha : \partial\Omega \rightarrow (0, \pi)$, is given by $\langle \gamma, N \rangle = \cos \alpha$ or $D_N u = -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$. Given a smooth function $H : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $T > 0$. We consider the following initial-boundary

value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) - H(x, Du) & \text{on } Q_T \\ D_N u = -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} & \text{on } \Gamma_T \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{on } \Omega \end{cases}$$

where $u_0 \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ is the initial data satisfying $D_N u_0 = -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$ on $\partial\Omega$. We denote by

$$Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T]; \quad \Gamma_T = \partial\Omega \times [0, T]; \quad \Omega_t = \Omega \times \{t\}.$$

The motivation for such a research came from the interest of the Capillary Surfaces. To our best knowledge, Lichnerowicz and Temam [24] [14] had first studied generalized solutions of the evolutionary minimal surface equation (1.1) (which we call the minimal surface flow). Then C.Gerhardt [6] and K.Ecker [5] had studied the evolutionary minimal surface equation and obtained very interesting gradient estimates for classical solutions. To our surprise, there is not much works about the problem (1.1). We refer to the related references in [5] [6, 7]. We want to show that there is a priori estimate for the problem (1.1) so that we may get a global solution to the flow problem. To study the behavior of the global flow, we need to study the translating solutions (i.e., translator) in the form $u(x, t) = w(x) + Ct$ to the problem (1.1) so that we have corresponding elliptic problem as below

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) - H(x, Du) = C, & \text{on } \Omega \\ D_N u = -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Note that adding an extra constant to the solution u of the problem (1.2), it is still a solution to the problem (1.2). Again, by assuming for any $(x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$(1.3) \quad k - |D_T \alpha| - |C + H(x, p)| \geq \delta_0 > 0; \quad k_0 \geq k > 0; \quad |\alpha| \leq \alpha_0 < \pi,$$

we have a priori estimate for the problem (1.2) so that we may get a smooth solution to the elliptic problem via the continuity method. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $p \cdot H_x(x, p) \geq 0$ and for some constant $c_0 > 0$, $|C + H(x, p)| \leq c_0$ for any $(x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$. We further assume that $\exists k_0, \alpha_0, \delta_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ such that*

$$(1.4) \quad k - |D_T \alpha| - c_0 \geq \delta_0 > 0; \quad k_0 \geq k > 0; \quad |\alpha| \leq \alpha_0 < \pi,$$

Then for any smooth solution u to (1.2), $\exists c_1 = c_1(\alpha_0, \delta_0, k_0, u_0)$ so that $|Du|^2 \leq c_1$ on Ω , and thus $u(x) \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Using the apriori estimate above we can get the existence of the solution to the problem (1.2) as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [7] and by now it is standard and we may omit the detail. One may see [21] for the one dimensional case. We remark that our continuity method is based on the solution constructed in [1]. We may take $0 \in \Omega$. Namely we consider the problem

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) = (1 - s) \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} + s(H(x, Du) + C),$$

with the boundary condition

$$D_N u = -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

with $s \in [0, 1]$. When $s = 0$, there is a unique solution constructed by Altschular-Wu [1] with the normalization condition that $u(0) = 0$. Then once we have the uniform gradient estimate, we have the uniform estimate of the solution u . Note that in our case we can not directly invoke the uniform estimate of the solution u from the work of Concus-Finn[4] (see also [25]).

We want to study the asymptotic behavior of the global solution of the problem (1.1) and we can show the profile of the flow is some translating soliton from the problem (1.2). As we shall see soon, we do obtain some new results for the problem (1.1).

As we mentioned above, the problem similar to (1.1) has been studied by famous researchers such as C.Gerhardt and K.Ecker. In [5] Ecker studied the following parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) - H(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\} \end{cases}$$

and proved that $|Du| \leq c \cdot d^{-2}$ provided the function H is monotone increasing in u . One may also refer to the works of Concus-Finn [4], etc, for the related elliptic problem and one may consult Lieberman's book [16] for more references. We remark that our existence result for the problem (1.2) may be different from existence results obtained in [16] (see also [22]) and the advantage of our result may be that our assumption is more geometric.

The closely related problem to the problem (1.1) is the mean curvature flow, which has less non-linear than the problem (1.1) in some sense. When $n \geq 2$, $\varphi(x) = 0$, Huisken [10] studied the mean curvature flow

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty) \\ D_N u = \psi(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

and he proved that the solutions asymptotically converge to constant functions. For $n = 2$, Altschuler and Wu [1] studied the equation (1.5) with $\varphi(x) = -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$ and proved that the solutions of mean curvature flow converges to a surface which moves at a constant speed under some prescribed conditions. For $n \geq 2$, Guan [9] studied the more generalized mean curvature type evolution equation with the prescribed contact angle problem as below

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) + \phi(x, u, Du) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ \langle -\gamma, N \rangle = \varphi(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

He proved that the solution asymptotically approaches the solution to the corresponding stationary equation as $t \rightarrow \infty$ when the exterior force term $\phi(x, u, Du) = -ku \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$ for $k > 0$ or $\phi(x, u, Du) = n/u$ for $u > 0$. As a consequence of the latter case, he obtained some existence results for minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^{n+1} with a prescribed contact angle condition. Recently, Ma [19] studied properties of the potential function of a translating soliton. Some interesting conclusions about the existence and the regularity of solution have been obtained, see, e.g.[18, 26, 6, 7, 3, 15, 2].

It should be interesting to investigate the nonparametric problem (1.1) with the nontrivial term $H(x, Du)$ and for this flow we can prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that the initial quantity $|u_t(x, 0)|$ is bounded on $\bar{\Omega}$. Then we have a local in time solution to (1.1) with the initial data u_0 . Assume that there exists some uniform constant $c_1 \geq 0$ such that $|u_t(x, 0)| + |H(x, p)| \leq c_1$ on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and we further assume that $\exists k_0, \alpha_0, \delta_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ such that*

$$(1.6) \quad k - |D_T \alpha| - c_1 \geq \delta_0 > 0; \quad k_0 \geq k > 0; \quad |\alpha| \leq \alpha_0 < \pi$$

and $p \cdot H_x(x, p) \geq 0$ on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$, then the solution is a global one and $\exists c_2 = c_2(\alpha_0, \delta_0, k_0, u_0)$ so that $|Du|^2 \leq c_2$ on Q_∞ , and thus $u(x, t) \in C^\infty(Q_\infty)$.

As we shall see that the understanding of the elliptic version of (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2}} a^{ij} (Dw) D_i D_j w &= C + H(x, Dw), & \text{on } \Omega \\ D_N w &= -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

is important for us to study the asymptotic behavior of the global solution. Here C is a constant determined uniquely by $\frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} \cos \alpha ds - \int_\Omega H(x, Dw) dx}{|\Omega|}$. Actually, to study the asymptotic behavior of the global solutions, we need results from the corresponding elliptic problem.

In short, sometimes we denote by $H = H(x, p)$. We have the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Ω be a bounded convex domain in the plane and $H = H(x)$ is a smooth function on $\bar{\Omega}$ such that the problem (1.2) has a solution. Let $u(x, t)$ be the global solution with the initial data u_0 to the problem (1.1) and let $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ for the initial data u_0 for the problem (1.1). Then the global solution $u(x, t)$ converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to a surface u_∞ with mean curvature $H(x)$ (unique up to translation) which moves at a constant speed C (uniquely determined by the boundary data).*

In similar way, we may have the result below.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume $H = H(x)$ as above. Let α be the angle function such that elliptic version of the problem (1.1) such that it has a solution with the domain Ω being a bounded convex domain in the plane. If $\int_{\partial\Omega} \cos \alpha - \int_\Omega H(x) = 0$, then $C = 0$, hence u_∞ is a surface with mean curvature $H(x)$.*

Similar results about higher dimensional minimal surface flow with prescribed contact angle has been obtained in [20].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is concentrated on some notations and the elliptic problem on bounded convex domains. The key time derivative and gradient estimate to solutions of (1.1) is given in section 3. In section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 .

2. BOUNDARY GEOMETRY AND BOUNDARY CONDITION

In this section we review the boundary geometry and boundary value analysis from [1]. For convenience, we recall some notations from [1]. We let

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}, \\ a^{ij} &= \delta_{ij} - \frac{D_i u D_j u}{1 + |Du|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and $u_t = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$. Recall that the mean curvature of \mathbb{H} of graph of u is

$$\mathbb{H} = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) = \partial_i \left(\frac{u_i}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) = \frac{1}{v} a^{ij} u_{ij},$$

and the curvature norm $|A|$ of graph of u is given by

$$|A|^2 = \frac{1}{v^2} a^{ij} a^{kl} u_{il} u_{jk}.$$

For vectors V, W we make the formula as in [1] that

$$(2.1) \quad D_V D_W u = V^i W^j D_{ij}^2 u + \langle D_V W, Du \rangle.$$

On the boundary $\partial\Omega$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} a^{TN} &= a^{ij} T_i N_j = -\frac{D_T u D_N u}{1 + |Du|^2} = a^{NT}, \\ a^{TT} &= a^{ij} T_i T_j = 1 - \frac{|D_T u|^2}{1 + |Du|^2} = \frac{1 + |D_N u|^2}{1 + |Du|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a^{NN} = a^{ij} N_i N_j = 1 - \frac{|D_N u|^2}{1 + |Du|^2} = \frac{1 + |D_T u|^2}{1 + |Du|^2}.$$

We also recall some calculation rules based on the matrix (a_{ij}) , which are very useful formulae on the boundary so that we can make the uniform gradient estimates only by boundary data.

On $\partial\Omega$, we make smooth extensions of N and T to a tubular neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$. Let $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\}$ be the local frames on the tubular neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ that $\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle = 0$, $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right|^2 = 1$, and $\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle_{\partial\Omega} = \{N, T\}$. The following lemma is from the work [1] (see 2.1. Lemma there). We present the full proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Define a function ϕ such that $\left| \phi^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right|^2 = 1$ so that we have $\{N, T\} = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \phi^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\}$. Then we have the following two assertions:*

1. for any $h \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$, the interchange of derivatives is given by $D_N D_T h = D_T D_N h + k D_T h$;
2. $D_T T = kN$; $D_T N = -kT$; $D_N T = D_N N = 0$.

Proof. At $\partial\Omega$, the Frenet formula tells us $D_T T = kN$ and $D_T N = -kT$. Since $|\phi^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}|^2 = 1$, we get $\phi^2 = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle$. Thus, as in [1],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi^2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle, \\ 2\phi \phi_r &= 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle, \\ \phi \phi_r &= \phi^2 \left\langle \phi^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \phi^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle \\ &= \phi^2 \langle D_T N, T \rangle \\ &= -k\phi^2, \Rightarrow \phi_r = -k\phi. \end{aligned}$$

For any $h \in C^\infty$, we have

$$D_N D_T h = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\phi^{-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\phi^{-2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} + \phi^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \\
&= k\phi^{-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} + \phi^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \\
&= kD_T h + D_T D_N h,
\end{aligned}$$

which is the first assertion and it can be written as

$$[D_N, D_T]h = kD_T h.$$

We now prove the second assertion and we only need to prove that $D_N T = 0$ and $D_N N = 0$. Using (2.1), we have

$$D_N D_T h = N^i T^j D_{ij}^2 h + \langle D_N T, Dh \rangle = T^i N^j D_{ij}^2 h + \langle D_T N, Dh \rangle + kD_T h.$$

Re-arranging the order of differentiation we have

$$N^i T^j D_{ij}^2 h = T^i N^j D_{ij}^2 h.$$

Hence,

$$\langle D_N T, Dh \rangle = \langle D_T N, Dh \rangle + kD_T h.$$

Since $D_T N = -kT$, we have $\langle D_N T, Dh \rangle = 0$. For arbitrariness of h , we have $D_N T = 0$. By $\langle N, N \rangle = 1$ and $\langle N, T \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_N \langle N, N \rangle &= 2\langle D_N N, N \rangle = 0, \\
D_N \langle N, T \rangle &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$D_N \langle N, T \rangle = \langle D_N N, T \rangle + \langle D_N T, N \rangle = \langle D_N N, T \rangle.$$

Thus, $\langle D_N N, T \rangle = 0$ and $D_N N = \langle D_N N, N \rangle + \langle D_N N, T \rangle = 0$. □

By the boundary condition for the problem (1.1) we have

$$(2.2) \quad D_N u = -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2},$$

which implies that

$$(2.3) \quad |D_N u|^2 = \cos^2 \alpha (1 + |Du|^2),$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad |D_T u|^2 = \sin^2 \alpha (1 + |Du|^2) - 1.$$

Differentiating conditions (2.2)-(2.4) in the time and tangential direction respectively. We have

$$(2.5) \quad D_N u_t = -\cos \alpha \frac{Du Du_t}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}},$$

$$(2.6) \quad D_T D_N u = \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v - \cos \alpha (D_T v),$$

$$(2.7) \quad D_N D_T u = \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v - \cos \alpha (D_T v) + kD_T u,$$

$$(2.8) \quad D_T D_T u = \frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha (D_T \alpha) v^2 + \sin^2 \alpha v D_T v}{D_T u}.$$

The formulae above will play an important role for our gradient estimate of the solution u .

3. ELLIPTIC PROBLEM

We now consider the *Elliptic problem* (1.2). We let $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ and let Ω be a convex domain in the plane. In the argument below, we keep to use α to indicate the argument works well for general angles.

Problem BVP 3.1. Recall the elliptic version of (1.2) is

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|Dw|^2}} a^{ij}(Dw) D_i D_j w = C + H(x, Dw) & \text{on } \Omega \\ D_N w = -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1+|Dw|^2} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

where C is a constant determined uniquely by (3.2) below.

Since the left-hand side of the first equation in (3.1) can be represented as $\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Dw}{\sqrt{1+|Dw|^2}} \right)$. By applying divergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} C + H(x, Dw) dx = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Dw}{\sqrt{1+|Dw|^2}} \right) dx,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} C &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Dw}{\sqrt{1+|Dw|^2}} \right) dx - \int_{\Omega} H(x, Dw) dx}{\int_{\Omega} dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{Dw}{\sqrt{1+|Dw|^2}} \cdot (-N) ds - \int_{\Omega} H(x, Dw) dx}{|\Omega|} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} \cos \alpha ds - \int_{\Omega} H(x, Dw) dx}{|\Omega|}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. Under the assumption (3.3) and the assumption

$$(3.3) \quad p \cdot H_x(x, p) \geq 0, \quad |H(x, p)| \leq c,$$

we have, for the solution u to the problem BVP 3.1, some uniform constant $c_1 = c_1(\alpha_0, \delta_0, k_0) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\Omega} v \leq c_1.$$

Proof. We plan to show that the maximum must occur on $\partial\Omega$ and then we use the boundary condition to get the uniform gradient estimate. Note that

$$0 = \partial_i \left(\frac{1}{v} a^{ij} (u_k)_j \right) - (H_{x_k} + H_p(x, Du) Du_k).$$

Multiplying both sides by $\frac{1}{v} u_k$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{v} u_k \left(\frac{1}{v} a^{ij} u_{kj} \right)_i - \frac{1}{v} u_k (H_{x_k} + H_p(x, Du) Du_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} a^{ij} u_k u_{kj} \right)_i - \frac{1}{v^2} a^{ij} u_{ki} u_{kj} - \frac{1}{v} u_k (H_{x_k} + H_p(x, Du) Dv) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{v} \left(a^{ij} v_j \right)_i - |A|^2 - \frac{1}{v} u_k (H_{x_k}) - H_p(x, Du) Dv,$$

which is the elliptic equation for v . Since all of the coefficients are bounded in Ω , the weak maximum principle implies that $\sup_{\Omega} v = \sup_{\partial\Omega} v$.

We may assume that the maximum of v occurs at the boundary point $\xi \in \Gamma$. There are two possibilities that one is $|D_T u|^2(\xi, \tau) < 1$ or $|D_T u|^2(\xi, \tau) \geq 1$.

If $|D_T u|^2(\xi, \tau) < 1$, then from $\sin^2 \alpha (1 + |Du|^2) = 1 + |D_T u|^2$ we see that

$$|Du|^2(\xi, \tau) < \frac{2}{\sin^2 \alpha_0} - 1,$$

and the desired bound is established.

Thus, we may assume that $|D_T u|^2(\xi, \tau) \geq 1$. At the point ξ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_N |Du|^2(\xi, \tau) &\leq 0, \\ D_T |Du|^2(\xi, \tau) &= 0 = D_T v(\xi, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.6)-(2.8) we have at ξ ,

$$(3.4) \quad D_T D_N u = \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v,$$

$$(3.5) \quad D_N D_T u = \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v + k D_T u,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad D_T D_T u = \frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha (D_T \alpha) v^2}{D_T u}.$$

We now use an idea from [1]:

$$(3.7) \quad (D_N u)(D_N D_N u)(\xi, \tau) + (D_T u)(D_N D_T u)(\xi, \tau) \leq 0$$

and derive some relation which contains only first derivatives.

Using the fact that ξ is a maximum point, (2.2)-(2.4) and (3.4)-(3.7) yield at ξ :

$$\begin{aligned} v(C + H(x, Du)) &= a^{TT} D_T D_T u + a^{TN} D_T D_N u + a^{NT} D_N D_T u + a^{NN} D_N D_N u \\ &\quad - a^{TT} \langle D_T T, Du \rangle - a^{TN} \langle D_T N, Du \rangle - a^{NT} \langle D_N T, Du \rangle - a^{NN} \langle D_N N, Du \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{\cos \alpha \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha)}{D_T u} \right) (v^2 + |D_T u|^2) + \frac{2k \cos \alpha |D_T u|^2}{v} \\ &\quad + \sin^2 \alpha D_N D_N u + k \cos \alpha \frac{1 + |D_N u|^2}{v}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \alpha D_N D_N u &= - \left(\frac{\cos \alpha \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha)}{D_T u} \right) (v^2 + |D_T u|^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{2k \cos \alpha |D_T u|^2}{v} - \frac{k \cos \alpha (1 + |D_N u|^2)}{v} + v(C + H(x, Du)). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.6) and substituting the expression above for $D_N D_N u$ into (3.7) we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \cos^2 \alpha \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v \left(\frac{v^2 + |D_T u|^2}{D_T u} \right) \\ & + 2k |D_T u|^2 \cos^2 \alpha + k \cos^2 \alpha (1 + |D_N u|^2) - \cos \alpha v^2 (C + H(x, Du)) \\ & + \sin^2 \alpha (\sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v + k D_T u) D_T u \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We may invoke following algebraic identities from [1]:

$$(3.9) \quad 2k |D_T u|^2 \cos^2 \alpha + k \cos^2 \alpha (1 + |D_N u|^2) + k \sin^2 \alpha |D_T u|^2 = k(v^2 - 1),$$

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\cos^2 \alpha \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha)}{D_T u} v (v^2 + |D_T u|^2) + \sin^3 \alpha (D_T \alpha) (D_T u) v = \frac{\sin \alpha (D_T \alpha)}{D_T u} v (v^2 - 1),$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad v^2 - 1 = \frac{|D_T u|^2}{\sin^2 \alpha} + \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha}.$$

By these we may simplify (3.8) to give

$$(3.12) \quad k(v^2 - 1) + \frac{D_T u}{\sin \alpha} (D_T \alpha) v^2 \leq (\cos \alpha) v^2 (C + H(x, Du)) - \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{\sin \alpha D_T u} (D_T \alpha) v.$$

From (2.3) we see that

$$\left| \frac{D_T u}{\sin \alpha} \right| \leq v.$$

Thus, using $|D_T u| \geq 1$ and $v \geq 1$, we may estimate (3.12) as

$$(3.13) \quad (k - |D_T \alpha| - |C + H(x, Du)|) v \leq \frac{|D_T \alpha|}{\sin \alpha} + k.$$

By assumption (3.3), $k - |D_T \alpha| - |C + H(x, Du)| \geq k - |D_T \alpha| - c_0 \geq \delta_0$. Hence,

$$v \leq \delta_0^{-1} \left(k + \frac{k}{\sin \alpha} \right),$$

which is the desired result. \square

Using the gradient estimate above and the continuity method as outlined in the introduction we get a smooth solution to BVP 3.1.

We now make a remark. One possible method for solving BVP 3.1 is to solve the following perturbation problem for $\varepsilon > 0$ small and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to find the solution as suggested in [1]. One may solve the problem

Problem BVP 3.3.

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw_\varepsilon|^2}} a^{ij} (Dw_\varepsilon) D_i D_j w_\varepsilon - H(x, Dw_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon w_\varepsilon & \text{on } \Omega \\ D_N w_\varepsilon = -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |Dw_\varepsilon|^2} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We conjecture that the following result is true.

Conjecture 3.4. *Assume Ω convex and $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$. Let u be the solution to the problem BVP 3.1. Then, up to a constant, the smooth solution u is the suitable limit from the solution sequence of the problem 3.3 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.*

The reason is below. By [23, 6], we know that solutions to the BVP 3.3 exist for $\varepsilon > 0$ and by [11] these solutions are convex ones. If we can show that $\exists c_0$, independent of ε , such that $|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon|^2 \leq c_0$, then we may replace u_t with $\varepsilon w_\varepsilon$ in the gradient estimate of Theorem 3.2 and conclude that a limit solution exists for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In [17], since $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ implies $|D(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon)|^2 \rightarrow 0$, we know $\varepsilon w_\varepsilon \rightarrow C$, which gives us a solution to (3.1).

We borrow the idea from [1] and we let ψ be a smooth function on Ω satisfying $D_N \psi < -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |D\psi|^2}$ on $\partial\Omega$ and let A be a constant such that $A < -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + A^2}$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then a function ψ defined to be $\psi = Ad$ near the boundary and extended to be a smooth function on all of Ω would satisfy our requirements. In any case, for some such choice of φ , let $\xi \in \overline{\Omega}$ be a point where $\psi - w_\varepsilon$ has its minimum.

If $\xi \in \partial\Omega$, then $D_T \psi(\xi) = D_T w_\varepsilon(\xi)$ and $D_N \psi(\xi) \geq D_N w_\varepsilon(\xi)$. Now, for a fixed constant a , the monotonicity in q of the function $\frac{q}{\sqrt{1 + a^2 + q^2}}$ gives

$$-\cos \alpha(\xi) > \frac{D_N \psi}{\sqrt{1 + |D\psi|^2}}(\xi) \geq \frac{D_N w_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw_\varepsilon|^2}}(\xi) = -\cos \alpha(\xi),$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus $\xi \in \Omega$ and $D\psi(\xi) = Dw_\varepsilon(\xi)$ and $D^2\psi(\xi) \geq D^2w_\varepsilon(\xi)$. Therefore, there exists a constant $c = c(\psi)$ such that

$$c \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |D\psi|^2}} a^{ij} (D\psi) D_i D_j \psi(\xi) - H(x, D\psi) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw_\varepsilon|^2}} a^{ij} (Dw_\varepsilon) D_i D_j w_\varepsilon(\xi) - H(x, Dw_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon w_\varepsilon(\xi).$$

Hence, $\varepsilon\psi(x) - \varepsilon w_\varepsilon(x) \geq \varepsilon\psi(\xi) - \varepsilon w_\varepsilon(\xi) \geq \varepsilon\psi(\xi) - c$, i.e.,

$$(3.15) \quad \varepsilon w_\varepsilon(x) \leq \varepsilon\psi(x) - \varepsilon\psi(\xi) + c.$$

Thus, we have proved the upper bound of $\varepsilon w_\varepsilon$. Similarly, we can prove the lower bound of $\varepsilon w_\varepsilon$. Assume that $\exists B$ such that $B > -\cos \alpha(x) \sqrt{1 + B^2}$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then, we can find a ψ of the form $\psi = Bd$ with ψ satisfying $D_N \psi > -\cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + |D\psi|^2}$. For some such choice of ψ , let $\xi \in \overline{\Omega}$ be a point where $\psi - w_\varepsilon$ has its maximum.

If $\xi \in \partial\Omega$, then $D_T \psi(\xi) = D_T w_\varepsilon(\xi)$ and $D_N \psi(\xi) \leq D_N w_\varepsilon(\xi)$. We then have

$$-\cos \alpha(\xi) < \frac{D_N \psi}{\sqrt{1 + |D\psi|^2}}(\xi) \leq \frac{D_N w_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw_\varepsilon|^2}}(\xi) = -\cos \alpha(\xi),$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus $\xi \in \Omega$ and $D\psi(\xi) = Dw_\varepsilon(\xi)$ and $D^2\psi(\xi) \leq D^2w_\varepsilon(\xi)$. Therefore, there exists a constant $c' = c'(\psi)$ such that

$$c' \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |D\psi|^2}} a^{ij} (D\psi) D_i D_j \psi(\xi) - H(x, D\psi) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw_\varepsilon|^2}} a^{ij} (Dw_\varepsilon) D_i D_j w_\varepsilon(\xi) - H(x, Dw_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon w_\varepsilon(\xi).$$

Hence, $\varepsilon\psi(x) - \varepsilon w_\varepsilon(x) \leq \varepsilon\psi(\xi) - \varepsilon w_\varepsilon(\xi)$ implies

$$(3.16) \quad \varepsilon w_\varepsilon(x) \geq \varepsilon\psi(x) - \varepsilon\psi(\xi) + c'.$$

By (3.15) and (3.16), we can prove $|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon| \leq c_2$ where $c_2 = \max\{|c|, |c'|\}$.

We now prove the uniqueness of the solution of BVP 3.3. Assume that there exist two solutions w_1 and w_2 solving (3.1) with C_1 and C_2 on the right hand side and $C_1 < C_2$. Without loss of generality,

via a translation by a constant, we may assume that $w_1 \geq w_2$. For $w = w_1 - w_2$, we have

$$L(w) = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Dw_1}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw_1|^2}} \right) - H(x, Dw_1) - \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Dw_2}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw_2|^2}} \right) + H(x, Dw_2) = C_1 - C_2 < 0.$$

Note that $H(x, Dw_1) - H(x, Dw_2) = \int_0^1 H_p(x, \nabla w_2 + (1-t)\nabla w_1) dt \nabla w$, and for $F(X) = \frac{X}{\sqrt{1+|X|^2}}$, we have

$$F(\nabla w_1) - F(\nabla w_2) = \int_0^1 (dF(t(\nabla w_2 + (1-t)\nabla w_1)) dt \nabla w.$$

Thus, w satisfies a linear elliptic differential inequality $L(w) < 0$ and it follows from the maximum principle that the minimum of w must occur at $\xi \in \partial\Omega$. Then $|D_T w_1|^2(\xi) = |D_T w_2|^2(\xi) = a^2$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Since both solutions satisfy the same boundary conditions,

$$\frac{D_N w_1}{\sqrt{1 + a^2 + |D_N w_1|^2}}(\xi) = \frac{D_N w_2}{\sqrt{1 + a^2 + |D_N w_2|^2}}(\xi).$$

Again, we may use the strict monotonicity in q of the function $\frac{q}{\sqrt{1 + a^2 + q^2}}$ to conclude $D_N w_1 = D_N w_2$. But $D_N w = 0$ yields a contradiction to the Hopf boundary point lemma. Thus, $C_1 \geq C_2$.

By reversing the roles of w_1 and w_2 we may obtain the opposite inequality. Thus, $C_1 = C_2$. Then we may use the strong maximum principle to know that $w_1 = w_2$ up to a constant.

For the contact angle $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$, letting $w = w_\varepsilon$ and $v = \sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2}$, we may compute that

$$\varepsilon w_k w_k + w_k H_{x_k}(x, Dw_\varepsilon) + w_k H_p(x, Dw_\varepsilon) Dw_k = w_k \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Dw}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2}} \right) \right]_k,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon |Dw|^2 + w_k H_{x_k}(x, Dw_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} H_p(x, Dw_\varepsilon) D|Dw|^2 &= w_k \left[\left(\frac{w_i}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2}} \right) \right]_{i,k} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{v} a^{ij} w_k w_{kj} \right)_i - \frac{1}{v} a^{ij} w_{ki} w_{kj} \\ &= (a^{ij} v_j)_i - |A|^2 v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we know by the maximum principle that v attains its maximum at the boundary. It seems to us that it is difficult to get that as in [17], $\exists c > 0$ such that $\varepsilon |Dw_\varepsilon| \leq c$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. If this is true, one may get the limit of the solution sequence and the existence of the solution of BVP 3.1.

4. TIME DERIVATIVE ESTIMATE AND GRADIENT ESTIMATES

In this section we study the uniform estimate for the problem (1.1). We remark that the local solution to the problem (1.1) can be obtained as in [5]. By using the maximum principle, we can get the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *For the solution u to the problem (1.1) on Q_T , we have $\sup_{Q_T} u_t = \sup_{\Omega_0} u_t$, $\inf_{Q_T} u_t = \inf_{\Omega_0} u_t$.*

That is, for $c_0 = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u_t(0)|$ such that $\forall (x, t) \in Q_T$, $|u_t|^2(x, t) \leq c_0^2$.

Proof. We first show that the maximum must occur on the parabolic boundary $\Gamma_T \cup \Omega_0$. Recall that the equation (1.1) is

$$u_t = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) - H(x, Du).$$

Then, taking the t-derivative for both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} + H_p(x, Du) Du_t &= \partial_i \left[\left(\frac{u_i}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right)_t \right] \\ &= \partial_i \left(\frac{u_{it} \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} - u_i (\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2})_t}{1 + |Du|^2} \right) \\ &= \partial_i \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{u_i u_j}{1 + |Du|^2} \right) (u_t)_j \right) \\ &= \partial_i \left(\frac{1}{v} a^{ij} (u_t)_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the coefficients $\frac{1}{v} a^{ij}$ satisfy the uniform ellipticity condition in Q_T . We can apply the weak maximum principle of the second order parabolic equation to obtain $\sup_{Q_T} u_t = \sup_{\Gamma_T \cup \Omega_0} u_t$. Next we explore the possibility that maximum occurs at $(\xi, \tau) \in \Gamma_T$. Since $\max_{Q_T} |u_t|^2 = |u_t|^2(\xi, \tau) > 0$, $(D_T u_t)(\xi, \tau) = 0$, we have from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} (D_N u_t)(\xi, \tau) &= -\cos \alpha \frac{D_N u D_N u_t + D_T u D_T u_t}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}}(\xi, \tau) \\ &= -\cos \alpha \frac{D_N u D_N u_t}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}}(\xi, \tau) \\ &= \cos^2 \alpha (D_N u_t)(\xi, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\sin^2 \alpha (D_N u_t)(\xi, \tau) = 0$. Since $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$, we have $\sin^2 \alpha \neq 0$ and then $(D_N u_t)(\xi, \tau) = 0$. However, $D_N u_t = 0$ yields a contradiction to the Hopf boundary point lemma. Thus the maximum occurs at $(\xi, \tau) \in \Omega_0$. Similarly, we can apply the weak minimum principle to obtain that the minimum occurs on Ω_0 . Then we have $\forall (x, t) \in Q_T$, $|u_t|^2(x, t) \leq c_0^2$, where $c_0 = \max |u_0|$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Under the assumptions (1.6), we have some uniform constant $c_2 = c_2(\alpha_0, \delta_0, k_0, u_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{Q_T} v \leq c_2.$$

Proof. Again, we first show that the maximum must occur on $\Gamma_T \cup \Omega_0$. By the evolution equation for u_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u_k}{v} u_{tk} + \frac{u_k}{v} (H_{x_k} + H_p Du_k) &= \frac{u_k}{v} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) \right]_k, \\ v_t + \frac{u_k}{v} (H_{x_k} + H_p Du_k) &= \frac{u_k}{v} \left[\left(\frac{u_i}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right)_{i \downarrow k} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{u_k}{v} \left(\left(\frac{u_i}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right)_{k,i} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{v} u_k \left(\frac{1}{v} a^{ij} u_{kj} \right)_i \\
&= \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} a^{ij} u_k u_{kj} \right)_i - \frac{1}{v^2} a^{ij} u_{ki} u_{kj} \\
&= \frac{1}{v} (a^{ij} v_j)_i - |A|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since all of the coefficients are bounded in Q_T , the weak maximum principle implies that $\sup_{Q_T} v = \sup_{\Gamma_T \cup \Omega_0} v$. Next we assume that the maximum of v occurs at $(\xi, \tau) \in \Gamma_T$. There are two possibilities.

Note that, if $|D_T u|^2(\xi, \tau) < 1$, then from $\sin^2 \alpha (1 + |Du|^2) = 1 + |D_T u|^2$ we see that

$$|Du|^2(\xi, \tau) < \frac{2}{\sin^2 \alpha_0} - 1,$$

and the bound is established.

Thus, we may assume that $|D_T u|^2(\xi, \tau) \geq 1$ and we may argue as in the elliptic case. At (ξ, τ) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_N |Du|^2(\xi, \tau) &\leq 0, \\
D_T |Du|^2(\xi, \tau) &= 0 = D_T v(\xi, \tau),
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.5)-(2.7) we have at (ξ, τ) ,

$$(4.1) \quad D_T D_N u = \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v,$$

$$(4.2) \quad D_N D_T u = \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v + k D_T u,$$

$$(4.3) \quad D_T D_T u = \frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha (D_T \alpha) v^2}{D_T u}.$$

Now our goal is to derive from the following expression some inequality which contains only first derivatives:

$$(4.4) \quad (D_N u)(D_N D_N u)(\xi, \tau) + (D_T u)(D_N D_T u)(\xi, \tau) \leq 0.$$

To accomplish this we use the evolution equations for u_t . Using the fact that (ξ, τ) is a maximum point, (2.2)-(2.4) and (5.1)-(4.4) yield at (ξ, τ) :

$$\begin{aligned}
vu_t &= a^{TT} D_T D_T u + a^{TN} D_T D_N u + a^{NT} D_N D_T u + a^{NN} D_N D_N u \\
&- a^{TT} \langle D_T T, Du \rangle - a^{TN} \langle D_T N, Du \rangle - a^{NT} \langle D_N T, Du \rangle - a^{NN} \langle D_N N, Du \rangle - Hv \\
&= \left(\frac{\cos \alpha \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha)}{D_T u} \right) (v^2 + |D_T u|^2) + \frac{2k \cos \alpha |D_T u|^2}{v} \\
&- \sin^2 \alpha D_N D_N u + k \cos \alpha \frac{1 + |D_N u|^2}{v} - Hv.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\sin^2 \alpha D_N D_N u = vu_t - \left(\frac{\cos \alpha \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha)}{D_T u} \right) (v^2 + |D_T u|^2)$$

$$- \frac{2k \cos \alpha |D_T u|^2}{v} - \frac{k \cos \alpha (1 + |D_N u|^2)}{v} + H v.$$

Using (4.3) and substituting the expression above for $D_N D_N u$ into (4.4) we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \cos \alpha v^2 u_t + \cos^2 \alpha \sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v \left(\frac{v^2 + |D_T u|^2}{D_T u} \right) \\ & + 2k |D_T u|^2 \cos^2 \alpha + k \cos^2 \alpha (1 + |D_N u|^2) \\ & + \sin^2 \alpha (\sin \alpha (D_T \alpha) v + k D_T u) D_T u - H v^2 \cos \alpha \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We now use (3.9)-(3.11) to simplify (4.5) and we have

$$(4.6) \quad k(v^2 - 1) + \frac{D_T u}{\sin \alpha} (D_T \alpha) v - H v^2 \cos \alpha \leq (\cos \alpha) v^2 u_t - \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{\sin \alpha D_T u} (D_T \alpha) v.$$

From (2.3) we see that

$$\left| \frac{D_T u}{\sin \alpha} \right| \leq v.$$

Thus, using $|D_T u| \geq 1$ and $v \geq 1$, we may estimate (4.6) as

$$(4.7) \quad (k - |D_T \alpha| - |u_t| - |H|) v \leq \frac{|D_T \alpha|}{\sin \alpha} + k.$$

By assumption (1.6), $k - |D_T \alpha| - |u_t| - |H| \geq k - |D_T \alpha| - c_1 \geq \delta_0$. Hence,

$$v \leq \delta_0^{-1} \left(k + \frac{k}{\sin \alpha} \right),$$

which is the desired result. \square

5. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE FLOW (1.1)

In this section we assume that $H = H(x, p) = H(x)$ on $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is a smooth function such that the elliptic problem (1.2) admits a solution. From now on, we assume that α is the angle function such that elliptic version of (1.1) has a solution with Ω being a bounded convex domain in the plane. This assumption is not strong since we may refer to [16] for many existence results. In fact, in many cases we know that the translating soliton exists [16] and we have the result below.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ and let Ω be a bounded convex domain in the plane. For a solution $u = u(x, t)$ of (1.1), $\exists c_3 > 0$ a uniform constant such that*

$$|u(x, t) - Ct| \leq c_3$$

Proof. For a solution $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}(x)$ of BVP 3.1, it is obvious that $u(x, t) = \tilde{u} + Ct$ solves the parabolic problem. That is, $u(x, t)$ is a solution that just translates upwards with speed C . This result follows by sandwiching the parabolic solution between two translating elliptic solutions and applying a maximum principle similar to the one used in Theorem 3.4. \square

Up to now, we have proved the existence of global solutions to (1.1) with $\alpha = 0$. The goal of this section is study the asymptotic behavior of the global solution. Our result is below.

Theorem 5.2. *Let u_1 and u_2 be any two solutions of (1.1) and let $u = u_1 - u_2$. Then u becomes a constant function as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, since $\tilde{w} = Ct + w$ solves (1.1) when w solves BVP 3.3, all limit solutions of (1.1) are \tilde{w} up to translation.*

Proof. Recall for $F(X) = \frac{X}{\sqrt{1+|X|^2}}$, we have

$$F(\nabla u_1) - F(\nabla u_2) = \int_0^1 (dF(t(\nabla u_2 + (1-t)\nabla u_1))\nabla u) dt.$$

Then u satisfies a linear parabolic equation

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \operatorname{div}(A(x, t)\nabla u) & \text{on } Q_T \\ 0 = \tilde{c}^{ij} D_i u N_j & \text{on } \Gamma_T \end{cases}$$

where

$$A(x, t) = \int_0^1 (dF(t(\nabla u_2 + (1-t)\nabla u_1))) dt$$

and \tilde{c}^{ij} are determined similarly. Note that $A(x, t)$ and \tilde{c}^{ij} are positive definite matrices. The strong maximum principle implies that $\operatorname{osc}(t) = \max u(x, t) - \min u(x, t) \geq 0$ is a strictly decreasing function in time unless u is constant. Now, if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(\cdot, t)$ were not a constant function, then a limit of $u_n(x, t) = u(x, t - t_n)$ as $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ would yield a solution on $\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)$ which would not be constant but on which $\operatorname{osc}(t)$ would be constant. This, however, would contradict the strong maximum principle. \square

It follows that, in the case where the average cosine of the contact angle is zero, solutions converge to minimal surfaces. We now give an explicit derivation of this fact.

Remark 5.3. *If $C = 0$ for the elliptic problem BVP 3.1, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_t \rightarrow 0$. That is, the solutions converge to the corresponding surface of mean curvature $H(x)$.*

Proof. We prove this remark below. We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{D_i u_t D_i u}{v} dx = - \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u_t \cos \alpha ds - \int_{\Omega} u_t H(x),$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} v dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} u \cos \alpha ds + \int_{\Omega} u H(x) \right) = - \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx.$$

Since $C = \int_{\Omega} \cos \alpha ds = 0$ and u is uniformly bounded, Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.1 imply $\exists c_3 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx dt \leq c_3.$$

One may then apply standard estimates to conclude that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_t \rightarrow 0$. That is to say, the limit is the surface of mean curvature $H(x)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S.-J. Altschuler, L.-F. Wu, *Translating surfaces of the non-parametric mean curvature flow with prescribed contact angle*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 2 (1994) 101-111.
- [2] G. Bellettini, M. Novaga, G. Orlandi, *Eventual regularity for the parabolic minimal surface equation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 35 (2015) 5711-5723.
- [3] X.-L. Chen, Y. Gao, W. Lu, J. Mao, *Mean curvature type flows of graphs with nonzero Neumann boundary data in product manifold*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 505 (2022) 125631.
- [4] P. Concus, R. Finn, *On capillary free surfaces in a gravitational field*, Acta Math., 132 (1974), pp. 207-223.
- [5] K. Ecker, *Estimates for evolutionary surfaces of prescribed mean curvature*, Math. Z. 180 (1982) 179-192.
- [6] C. Gerhardt, *Evolutionary surfaces of prescribed mean curvature*, J. Differ. Equ. 36 (1980) 139-172.

- [7] C. Gerhardt, *Global regularity of the solutions to the capillarity problem*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 3 (1976) 157-176.
- [8] D. Gilbarg, N.-S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [9] B. Guan, *Mean curvature motion of non-parametric hypersurfaces with contact angle condition*, in: A.K. Peters (Ed.), *Elliptic and Parabolic Methods in Geometry*, Wellesley (MA), 1996, pp. 47-56.
- [10] G. Huisken, *Non-parametric mean curvature evolution with boundary conditions*, J. Differ. Equ. 77 (1989) 369-378.
- [11] N.-J. Korevaar, *Capillary surface convexity above convex domains*, Indiana University Math. Journal, 32(1983)73-81.
- [12] N.-J. Korevaar, *Maximum principle gradient estimates for the capillary problem*, Commu. Partial Differ. Equ. 13 (1988) 1-31.
- [13] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, N.N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, New York-London, Academic Press (1968).
- [14] A. Lichnerowski, R. Temam, *Pseudo-solutions of the time dependent minimal surface problem*. J. Differential Equations 30, 340-364 (1978)
- [15] G.-M. Lieberman, *Gradient estimates for capillary-type problems via the maximum principle*, Commu. Partial Differ. Equ. 13 (1988) 33-59.
- [16] G.-M. Lieberman, *Oblique derivative problems for elliptic equations*, World scientific, Singapore, 2013.
- [17] P.-L. Lions, N.-S. Trudinger, J.-I.-E. Urbas, *The Neumann problem for equations of Monge-Ampère type*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986) 539-563.
- [18] L. Ma, Y. Yang, *A remark on soliton equation of mean curvature flow*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc. 76 (2004) 467-473.
- [19] L. Ma, *Volume growth and Bernstein theorems for translating solitons*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 473 (2019) 1244-1252.
- [20] Li Ma, Y.X. Pan, *the minimal surface flow with prescribed contact angle and translating surfaces*, preprint, 2022
- [21] L. Ma, Z.Z. Shi, *On the stability and asymptotic behavior for a quasi-linear parabolic flow*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, S. 2023, doi:10.3934/dcds.2022172
- [22] X.-N. Ma, P.-H. Wang, W. Wei, *Constant mean curvature surfaces and mean curvature flow with non-zero Neumann boundary conditions on strictly convex domains*, J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018) 252-277.
- [23] Joel Spruck, *On the existence of a capillary surface with prescribed contact angle*, Commun. Pur. Appl. Math. 28 (1975) 189-200.
- [24] R. Temam, *Applications de l'analyse convexe au calcul des variations*. In: *Nonlinear Operators and the Calculus of Variations* (Gossez, Lami Dozo, Mawhin, Waelbroeck, eds.). Summer School (Bruxelles 1975), pp. 208-237. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 543. Berlin-Heidelberg- New York: Springer 1976
- [25] N. Ural'tseva, *The solvability of the capillarity problem*, Vestnik Leningrad Univ., No. 19; Mat. Meh. Astronom. Vyp. 4 (1973), pp. 54-64, Russian.
- [26] H.-Y. Zhou, *Nonparametric mean curvature type flows of graphs with contact angle conditions*, In. Math. Res. Not. 19 (2018) 6026-6069.

LI MA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY BEIJING, 30 XUEYUAN ROAD, HAIDIAN DISTRICT BEIJING, 100083, P.R. CHINA

Email address: lma17@ustb.edu.cn

YUXIN PAN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY BEIJING, 30 XUEYUAN ROAD, HAIDIAN DISTRICT BEIJING, 100083, P.R. CHINA

Email address: yxinpan@163.com