

# RESONANCES AT THE THRESHOLD FOR PAULI OPERATORS IN DIMENSION TWO

JONATHAN BREUER AND HYNEK KOVAŘÍK

**ABSTRACT.** It is well-known that, due to the interaction between the spin and the magnetic field, the two-dimensional Pauli operator has an eigenvalue 0 at the threshold of its essential spectrum. We show that when perturbed by an effectively positive perturbation,  $V$ , coupled with a small parameter  $\varepsilon$ , these eigenvalues become resonances. Moreover, we derive explicit expressions for the leading terms of their imaginary parts in the limit  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ . These show, in particular, that the dependence of the imaginary part of the resonances on  $\varepsilon$  is determined by the flux of the magnetic field. The cases of non-degenerate and degenerate zero eigenvalue are treated separately. We also discuss applications of our main results to particles with anomalous magnetic moments.

**Keywords:** resonances, spin, threshold eigenvalue, anomalous magnetic moment.

**MSC 2020:** 35Q40, 35P05, 81Q10

## 1. Introduction and outline of the main results

**1.1. Basic set up.** In this paper we consider Hamiltonians associated to fermions with spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  interacting with a magnetic field perpendicular to the plane in which the particle is confined. Such a magnetic field can be identified with a function  $B : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a magnetic vector potential satisfying  $\text{curl} A = B$ , then the corresponding non-relativistic Hamiltonian is given by the Pauli operator

$$P(A) = \begin{pmatrix} P_-(A) & 0 \\ 0 & P_+(A) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad P_{\pm}(A) := (i\nabla + A)^2 \pm B, \quad (1.1)$$

acting in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$ . This operator is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$  under mild regularity assumptions on  $B$ . The operator  $P_+(A)$  ( $P_-(A)$ ), acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , denotes the restriction of the Pauli operator to the spin-down (respectively, spin-up) subspace. We refer to [21] for further reading.

When  $B$  vanishes at infinity, which will be the case under our assumptions, then

$$\sigma(P(A)) = \sigma_{\text{es}}(P(A)) = [0, \infty). \quad (1.2)$$

The latter follows e.g. from [2, Thm. 6.5]. Moreover, the well-known Aharonov-Casher Theorem, [1, 5, 6, 16], states that if  $B \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and if the flux

$$\alpha := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B(x) dx \quad (1.3)$$

satisfies  $|\alpha| > 1$ , then zero is an eigenvalue of  $P(A)$ . More precisely, zero is an eigenvalue of  $P_-(A)$  if  $\alpha > 1$  and of  $P_+(A)$  if  $\alpha < -1$ , see Section 2.5 for details. The multiplicity  $N$  of this eigenvalue is given by

$$N = \lfloor |\alpha| \rfloor \quad \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}, \quad N = |\alpha| - 1 \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ .

Now let  $V_1, V_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be polynomially decaying functions, and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We denote by

$$P_\varepsilon(A) = P(A) + \varepsilon \mathcal{V}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & 0 \\ 0 & V_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

the perturbed Pauli operator. Since  $P_\varepsilon(A)$  is a diagonal matrix operator and we focus on only one of its entries, there is no loss of generality if we set

$$V_1 = V_2 = V.$$

If the perturbation  $V$  is negative, then the threshold eigenvalue of  $P(A)$  gives rise to discrete negative eigenvalues of  $P_\varepsilon(A)$ . Asymptotic expansion of such eigenvalues in the limit  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$  for radial  $B$  and  $V$  was found by variational methods in [7], see also [3].

In this paper we address a different question. Namely, what happens with the zero eigenvalue of  $P(A)$  when the perturbation  $V$  is positive? Clearly,  $P_\varepsilon(A)$  has no negative eigenvalues in this case, and under very mild decay and regularity conditions on  $B$  and  $V$  it cannot have positive eigenvalues either, see [9, 2]. Hence if zero does not remain an eigenvalue of  $P_\varepsilon(A)$ , then it is natural to expect that it turns into a *resonance*. It is well-known, however, that there is no unique definition of the latter. Here we adopt the dynamical approach of [15], see also [8, 10, 20, 17, 18, 22], in which a resonance manifests itself by a (quasi)-exponential decay of the associated survival probability.

More precisely, by a *resonance* of  $P_\varepsilon(A)$  we understand a *pair*  $(\Psi, \lambda_\varepsilon)$  such that  $\|\Psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)} = 1$ ,  $\lambda_\varepsilon = x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon$  with  $\gamma_\varepsilon > 0$ , and such that

$$\langle \Psi, e^{-itP_\varepsilon(A)} \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)} = e^{-it\lambda_\varepsilon} + \delta(t, \varepsilon) \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$\delta_\varepsilon := \sup_{t>0} |\delta(t, \varepsilon)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0. \quad (1.6)$$

We call  $\lambda_\varepsilon$  the *resonance position* and  $\Psi$  the *resonance eigenstates*. Owing to the Aharonov-Casher Theorem, the natural candidates for the latter are

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } \alpha > 0, \quad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } \alpha < 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\psi$  is a zero eigenfunction of  $P_-(A)$  respectively  $P_+(A)$ . Note that equation (1.5) cannot hold without the error term  $\delta(t, \varepsilon)$  since the survival probability can decay exponentially only for  $t$  neither too small nor too large, cf. [18, Sec. 2].

**1.2. Main results.** Our goal is to prove the existence of resonances, in the sense of equation (1.5), and to derive an explicit expression for the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of  $\lambda_\varepsilon$  as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ . Our results are described precisely in Theorems 3.1, 3.10, 3.16, 4.3, 4.5, and 4.6. We describe them briefly here.

In view of first order perturbation theory it is not surprising that the real part of  $\lambda_\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$x_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \langle \Psi, \mathcal{V} \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)} + o(\varepsilon),$$

where the first term on the right hand side is positive by assumption.

A much more important quantity is the imaginary part of  $\lambda_\varepsilon$ , also known as the width,  $\gamma_\varepsilon$ , since it determines the rate of decay of the survival probability  $|\langle \Psi, e^{-itP_\varepsilon(A)} \Psi \rangle|^2$ , see equation (1.5), and consequently the mean lifetime of the resonance.

It turns out that the dependence of  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  on  $\varepsilon$  is determined by the flux (1.3). In order to describe its asymptotic behavior more in detail, it is convenient to denote by

$$\mu = \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\alpha - k| \in [0, 1/2] \quad (1.8)$$

the distance between  $\alpha$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since the operator  $P_+(-A)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $P_-(A)$ , we may assume that

$$\alpha > 0. \quad (1.9)$$

We now distinguish various cases of asymptotic behavior of  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  depending on the value of  $\alpha$ .

**Non-degenerate threshold eigenvalue.** If zero is a simple eigenvalue of  $P(A)$ , then by equation (1.4) we must have  $\alpha \leq 2$ . Our result in the case of non-integer flux, i.e. for  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , then says that  $P_\varepsilon(A)$  has a resonance which satisfies

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \Gamma_1 \varepsilon^\alpha (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\mu)) + \Gamma_2 \varepsilon^{3-\alpha} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\mu)), \quad (1.10)$$

where the coefficients  $\Gamma_1 > 0$  and  $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$  are computed in Theorem 3.1, see in particular equation (3.20). The corresponding error term (1.6) satisfies  $\delta_\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\mu)$ . Although generically  $\Gamma_2 > 0$ , in certain cases  $\Gamma_2$  may vanish. This happens for example when both  $B$  and  $V$  are radially symmetric, cf. Remark 3.9. Notice that if  $\Gamma_2 = 0$ , then the power of  $\varepsilon$  in (1.10) covers, by varying  $\alpha$ , the whole interval  $]1, 2[$ . On the other hand, if  $\Gamma_2 > 0$ , then the power of  $\varepsilon$  of the leading term in (1.10) attains its maximum for  $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$ , in which case we have  $\gamma_\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . The same coupling constant dependence, i.e.  $\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}$ , is generically manifested by resonances arising from threshold eigenvalues of non-magnetic Schrödinger operators in dimension three, [10, Thm. 5.1]. For comparison, resonances arising from embedded eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators typically satisfy the asymptotical law  $\gamma_\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon^2$  predicted by the Fermi Golden Rule, see e.g. [17, Sec. 4].

In the borderline case  $\alpha = 2$  one has  $\mu = 0$  and equation (1.10) is replaced by

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \Gamma_3 \varepsilon^2 + \Gamma_4 \frac{\varepsilon}{(\log \varepsilon)^2} [1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})], \quad (1.11)$$

with  $\Gamma_3 > 0$ ,  $\Gamma_4 \geq 0$ , and with an error term which satisfies  $\delta_\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})$  if  $\Gamma_4 > 0$ , and  $\delta_\varepsilon = o(1)$  if  $\Gamma_4 = 0$ . Explicit expressions for the coefficients  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_4$  are given in Theorems 3.10 and 3.16. Similarly as in equation (1.10) the coefficient  $\Gamma_4$  vanishes when both  $B$  and  $V$  are radial. The resonance width then satisfies  $\gamma_\varepsilon = \Gamma_3 \varepsilon^2$  without any error term, cf. Remark 3.17.

**Remark 1.1.** We do not make any statement about the optimality of the upper bounds on the error term  $\delta_\varepsilon$  in the above results. In general it is possible to improve  $\delta_\varepsilon$  by replacing  $\Psi$  in equation (1.5) with a suitable sequence  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  satisfying  $\|\Psi_\varepsilon - \Psi\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ . Such an analysis was carried out for Schrödinger operators with dilation-analytic potentials in [8], and for more general potentials in [4]. We do not address this issue in the present paper.

An interesting application of our main results concerns particles with *anomalous magnetic moments*. The standard value of the magnetic moment of a fermion with spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  predicted by the Dirac equation is equal to 2. However, there exist fermions whose magnetic moment differs slightly from this value. It follows from our results that the Pauli operator then may have a resonance even if  $V = 0$ , see Section 3.4.

**Degenerate threshold eigenvalue.** The situation in the case in which zero is a degenerate eigenvalue of  $P(A)$  is, as expected, much more delicate. As suggested in [11], the natural candidates for the resonance eigenstates are the eigenfunctions of the operator  $P_0VP_0$ , where  $P_0$  is the projection onto the zero eigenspace. The crucial hypothesis on  $V$  now requires that this operator admits an eigenfunction  $\psi_0^{(V)}$  with a simple and positive eigenvalue. In Section 4 we show that, under certain additional assumptions,  $\psi_0^{(V)}$  is a resonance eigenstate of the operator  $P_\varepsilon(A)$ , and we calculate the asymptotic formula for its position  $\lambda_\varepsilon$  as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ , see Theorems 4.3, 4.5 and 4.6.

Moreover, in Section 4.4 we treat an explicit example in which zero is a doubly degenerate eigenvalue of  $P(A)$ , and in which the operator  $P_\varepsilon(A)$  admits two resonances whose widths have different orders of magnitude in  $\varepsilon$ , see equations (4.28), (4.29) and (4.30). For general values of  $\alpha$ , when 0 is an eigenvalue of  $P(A)$  of multiplicity  $N$ , see (1.4), the results of Section 4 guarantee, under suitable assumptions on the eigenfunction of  $P_0VP_0$ , existence of  $N$  resonances, cf. Remark 4.7.

**Remark 1.2.** The approach of this paper can be applied also in the relativistic description of the problem under consideration, i.e. when the Hamiltonian is given by the two-dimensional Dirac operator. Resonances of the latter will be treated in a forthcoming paper.

**1.3. Organization of the paper.** In the next section we state our main hypothesis on  $B$  and collect some preliminary results which will be needed later. The main results and their proofs in the case of a non-degenerate threshold eigenvalue are presented in Section 3. Resonances arising from a degenerate eigenvalue are treated in Section 4. In Section 3.4 we discuss applications to particles with anomalous magnetic moments.

**Acknowledgments** This work was supported in part by the Vigevani Research Project Prize (JB and HK) and the Israel Science Foundation (JB, Grant no. 1378/20). JB acknowledges the hospitality of the Sezione di Matematica at the Università degli studi di Brescia, and HK acknowledges the hospitality of the Einstein Institute of Mathematics at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, where parts of this work were done.

## 2. Preliminaries and notation

**2.1. Hypothesis.** We will work under the following decay condition on  $B$ .

**Assumption 2.1.** The function  $B : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and satisfies

$$|B(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|^2)^{-\rho}. \quad (2.1)$$

for some  $\rho > 7/2$ .

Let us comment on the decay condition stated in Assumption 2.1. The upper bound (2.1) turns out to be a sufficient condition for the resolvent expansion of the Pauli operator  $P(A)$  at threshold, cf. [12, Sec. 5], which is one of the main tools of our proofs. It is natural to expect, though, that the results presented here should hold under weaker decay assumptions on  $B$ , in particular for any magnetic field with finite flux.

**2.2. Notation.** We will need the resolvent expansion of the operator  $P_-(A)$  in the topology of weighted Sobolev spaces  $H^{k,s}$  equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{k,s}} = \|\langle x \rangle^s (1 - \Delta)^{k/2} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ . By

$$\mathcal{B}(k, s; k', s') = \mathcal{B}(H^{k,s}; H^{k',s'})$$

we denote the space of bounded linear operators from  $H^{k,s}$  into  $H^{k',s'}$ , and for  $k = 0$  we use the shorthands

$$\|u\|_{H^{0,s}} = \|u\|_{2,s} = \|\langle \cdot \rangle^s u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad L^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^2) = H^{0,s}, \quad \mathcal{B}(0, s; 0, s') = \mathcal{B}(s; s'),$$

where  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ . We define the inner product on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  to be linear in the second argument, i.e. we set

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{f} g.$$

Given a set  $M$  and two functions  $f_1, f_2 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $f_1(m) \lesssim f_2(m)$  if there exists a numerical constant  $c$  such that  $f_1(m) \leq c f_2(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ . The symbol  $f_1(m) \gtrsim f_2(m)$  is defined analogously. Moreover, we use the notation

$$f_1(m) \sim f_2(m) \iff f_1(m) \lesssim f_2(m) \wedge f_2(m) \lesssim f_1(m),$$

Finally, by  $\mathbb{1}_M$  we denote the characteristic function of  $M$ .

**2.3. The SLFG formula.** Identity (2.5) below is known in the literature as Schur-Livsic-Feshbach-Grushin (shortly SLFG) formula, see e.g. [10, 19]. Since we will use it on various occasions, we briefly recall it. Assume that a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is a direct sum of two Hilbert spaces;  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ . Let  $a$  be a closed operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $a$  can be represented in the matrix form

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

Suppose moreover that  $a_{22}$  is boundedly invertible on  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . Then  $a$  is invertible if and only if its Schur complement

$$S = a_{11} - a_{12} a_{22}^{-1} a_{21} \quad (2.4)$$

is invertible. In that case we have

$$a^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$b_{11} = S^{-1}, \quad b_{12} = -S^{-1} a_{12} a_{22}^{-1}, \quad b_{21} = -a_{22}^{-1} a_{21} S^{-1}, \quad b_{22} = a_{22}^{-1} - a_{22}^{-1} a_{21} S^{-1} a_{12} a_{22}^{-1}.$$

**2.4. The gauge.** Since the definition of the resonance is gauge invariant, see equation (1.5), we may choose any vector potential  $A$  which satisfies  $\text{curl } A = B$ . In order to make a suitable choice of  $A$  we let

$$h(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B(y) \log|x-y| dy. \quad (2.6)$$

We then have  $-\Delta h = B$ , which implies that the vector potential

$$A_h = (\partial_2 h, -\partial_1 h) \quad (2.7)$$

satisfies

$$\text{curl } A_h = -\Delta h = B. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, we define the reference vector potential

$$A_0(x) = \alpha(-x_2, x_1) \min\{|x|^{-1}, |x|^{-2}\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Obviously,  $\text{curl } A_0 \neq B$ , but a short calculation shows that  $A_0$  generates a magnetic field with the same flux as  $B$ . For later purposes we need to choose  $A$  which is close enough to  $A_0$  at infinity. To do so we make use of the gauge transformation function  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  constructed in [12, Prop. 2.2], for which

$$|A_h(x) + \nabla \chi(x) - A_0(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\rho+1} \quad (2.10)$$

holds whenever  $B$  satisfies Assumption 2.1. In the sequel we thus put

$$A = A_h + \nabla \chi, \quad (2.11)$$

so that  $\text{curl } A = B$ , see equation (2.8). Notice also that for radial  $B$  one may choose  $\chi = 0$ , [12, Sec. 3.4].

**Remark 2.2.** In the proofs of our results we will use the vector potential given by (2.11). Recall however that the survival probability is gauge-invariant.

**2.5. The Aharonov-Casher states.** Let us describe the structure of the zero-eigenspace of the Pauli operator in the gauge  $A$  defined in (2.11). The Aharonov-Casher Theorem implies that  $\Psi$  is a zero-eigenfunction of  $P(A)$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \psi(x) &= e^{i\chi(x)+h(x)} \sum_{j=1}^N c_j (x_1 + ix_2)^{j-1} & \text{for } \alpha > 0 \\ \Psi &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}, & \psi(x) &= e^{i\chi(x)-h(x)} \sum_{j=1}^N c_j (x_1 - ix_2)^{j-1} & \text{for } \alpha < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

see [1, 5, 6, 16]. Here  $N$  is given by (1.4), and  $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$  are arbitrary. From (2.6) we deduce that

$$h(x) = -\alpha \log |x| + \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1}), \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.13)$$

This shows, in particular, that  $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$ . Apart from the zero-eigenfunctions we need also the so-called zero virtual bound states, i.e. solutions of  $P_-(A)\varphi = 0$  which satisfy  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . For  $\alpha > 0$  these solutions are given by

$$\varphi(x) = e^{i\chi(x)+h(x)} \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} c_j (x_1 - ix_2)^{j-1} \quad \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}, \quad \varphi(x) = e^{i\chi(x)+h(x)} \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} c_j (x_1 - ix_2)^{j-1} \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.14)$$

Since the flux is assumed to be positive, the Aharonov-Casher Theorem says that  $P_+(A)$  has no eigenvalues, and we may thus restrict our attention to the operator  $P_-(A)$ . This means that we will study a resonance behavior of the operator

$$P_-(A, \varepsilon) = (i\nabla + A)^2 - B + \varepsilon V$$

in the limit  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ .

In what follows we denote by  $P_0$  the orthogonal projection on the zero eigenspace of  $P_-(A)$ .

**2.6. Resolvent expansion of  $P_-(A)$ .** One of the main technical ingredients of the proofs of our main results are asymptotic expansions of  $(P_-(A) - z)^{-1}$  in the limit  $z \rightarrow 0$ . We will formulate them separately for non-integer and integer values of  $\alpha$ . In order to do so we define the functions  $\zeta, \omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$\zeta(s) = -\frac{4^{s-1} \Gamma(s) e^{i\pi s}}{\pi \Gamma(1-s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(s) = \frac{1}{\zeta(s) \|e^h\|_2^2}, \quad 0 < s \notin \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.15)$$

With this notation we state

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $1 < \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that  $B$  satisfies Assumption 2.1. Let  $A$  be given by (2.11). Then there exists a self-adjoint operator  $S_0 \in \mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ ,  $s > 3$ , such that

$$(P_-(A) - z)^{-1} = -z^{-1}P_0 + \frac{\omega(1+\alpha') z^{\alpha'-1}}{1 + c_1 \omega(1+\alpha') z^{\alpha'}} \psi \langle \psi, \cdot \rangle - \frac{\zeta(\alpha') z^{-\alpha'}}{1 + \varrho \zeta(\alpha') z^{1-\alpha'}} \varphi \langle \varphi, \cdot \rangle + S_0 + o(1) \quad (2.16)$$

in  $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ ,  $s > 3$ , as  $z \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\text{Im } z \geq 0$ . Here  $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant which is equal to 1 if  $1 < \alpha < 2$ ,

$$\alpha' = \alpha - N \quad (2.17)$$

is the fractional part of  $\alpha$ ,  $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a specific zero eigenfunction of  $P_-(A)$ , and  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a specific virtual bound state.

Note that, in order to avoid confusion, we have slightly changed the notation in (2.16) with respect to the one used in [12, Theorem 5.6]. More precisely, we have

$$\psi = d \|e^h\|_2 \psi^-,$$

where  $\psi^-$  is given in [12, Eg. (5.36)] and  $d \in \mathbb{C}$  is a normalization constant. The exact values of the constant  $c_1$  and the definition of  $\varphi$  can be found in [12, Sec. 5.6].

**Remark 2.4.** If  $B$  is radial, then

$$\varphi(x) = \text{const} (x_1 + ix_2) e^{h(x)}, \quad (2.18)$$

cf. [12, Cor. 5.10].

In the case  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have to take into account two linearly independent solutions  $\varphi_j$  of the form (2.14) which satisfy  $\varphi_1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for all  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $\varphi_2 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for all  $2 < p \leq \infty$ . For the explicit expressions of these functions we refer to [12, Sec. 6]. We then define

$$\Pi_{jk} = \langle \varphi_j, \cdot \rangle \varphi_k \quad j, k = 1, 2. \quad (2.19)$$

Now we have

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ , and suppose that  $B$  satisfies Assumption 2.1. Let  $A$  be given by (2.11). Then there exists a self-adjoint operator  $T_0 \in \mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ ,  $s > 3$ , and a constant  $m_\circ \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(P_-(A) - z)^{-1} = -z^{-1} P_0 + \frac{\langle \varphi_2, \cdot \rangle \varphi_2}{\pi z (\log z + m_\circ - i\pi)} - (\log z - i\pi) \mathcal{K} + T_0 + o(1), \quad (2.20)$$

holds in  $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ ,  $s > 3$ , as  $z \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\text{Im } z \geq 0$ . Here

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{4\pi} [\Pi_{11} + \bar{\varkappa} \Pi_{12} + \varkappa \Pi_{21} + |\varkappa|^2 \Pi_{22}] + \frac{\pi}{4 \|e^h\|_2^2} \langle \psi, \cdot \rangle \psi, \quad (2.21)$$

where  $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\psi$  is given in Proposition 2.3.

**Remark 2.6.** If  $B$  is radial, then

$$\varphi_1(x) = \text{const} (x_1 + ix_2)^2 e^{h(x)}, \quad \varphi_2(x) = \text{const} (x_1 + ix_2) e^{h(x)}, \quad (2.22)$$

cf. [12, Cor. 6.7].

Propositions 2.3 and 2.5 follow directly from the results in [12]. The proofs are given in Appendix A.

### 3. Resonances arising from a non-degenerate threshold eigenvalue

In this section we assume that the zero eigenvalue of  $P_-(A)$  is simple. By equation (1.4) this is equivalent to the condition

$$1 < \alpha \leq 2. \quad (3.1)$$

Equation (2.12) implies that the associated zero-eigenfunction is, in the gauge (2.11), given by

$$\psi_0 = c_0 e^{h+ix}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $c_0$  is chosen so that  $\|\psi_0\|_2 = 1$ . Obviously, we then have

$$P_0 = \psi_0 \langle \psi_0, \cdot \rangle.$$

**3.1. Survival probability.** To analyze the amplitude of the survival probability we use the representation

$$\langle \psi_0, e^{-itP_-(A,\varepsilon)} \psi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itx} \operatorname{Im} \langle \psi_0, (P_-(A,\varepsilon) - x - iy)^{-1} \psi_0 \rangle dx, \quad (3.3)$$

and show that if we replace the integrand in the above equation by the Lorentzian peak

$$\frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma_\varepsilon^2},$$

with suitably chosen  $x_\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma_\varepsilon$ , then we make an error  $\delta(t, \varepsilon)$  which tends to zero as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$  uniformly in  $t > 0$ . As noted in the Introduction we work under a positivity assumption on  $V$ . In fact, we do not require that  $V > 0$  hold pointwise. It suffices to assume that

$$\beta := \langle \psi_0, V \psi_0 \rangle > 0. \quad (3.4)$$

We also factorize  $V$  as follows;

$$V = vUv, \quad \text{where } v = \sqrt{|V|}, \quad \text{and } U(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } V(x) < 0, \\ 1 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

We will use the SLFG formula in order to express  $\langle \psi_0, (P_-(A,\varepsilon) - z)^{-1} \psi_0 \rangle$  as the resolvent of an effective  $z$ -dependent (one-dimensional) operator, by taking  $\mathcal{H}_1 = P_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2 = Q_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

Proceeding as in [10, Sec. 2], we let  $Q_0 = I - P_0$  and denote by

$$\begin{aligned} R(z) &= Q_0(Q_0 P_-(A,\varepsilon) Q_0 - z)^{-1} Q_0 \\ R_0(z) &= Q_0(Q_0 P_-(A) Q_0 - z)^{-1} Q_0 = Q_0(P_-(A) - z)^{-1} Q_0 \end{aligned}$$

the inverses of the restrictions of  $P_-(A,\varepsilon)$  and  $P_-(A)$  (respectively) to the orthogonal subspace to  $\psi_0$ . The resolvent equation says

$$\begin{aligned} R(z) &= R_0(z) - \varepsilon R_0(z) V R(z) = R_0(z) - \varepsilon R(z) V R_0(z) = R_0(z) - R_0(z) (\varepsilon V - \varepsilon^2 V R(z) V) R_0(z) \\ &= R_0(z) - \varepsilon R_0(z) v (U - \varepsilon U v R(z) v U) v R_0(z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and this can be combined with

$$(U + \varepsilon v R_0(z) v) (U - \varepsilon U v R(z) v U) = I$$

(which can be seen by multiplying the first equality in (3.6) by  $\varepsilon v U$  on the right and remembering that  $U^2 = I$ ) to show that

$$R(z) = R_0(z) - \varepsilon R_0(z) v (U + \varepsilon v R_0(z) v)^{-1} v R_0(z). \quad (3.7)$$

The Schur complement, see equation (2.4), is then given by

$$S(z) = F(z, \varepsilon) P_0, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$F(z, \varepsilon) = \beta \varepsilon - z - \varepsilon^2 \langle \psi_0, v U (G(z) - \varepsilon G(z) [U + \varepsilon G(z)]^{-1} G(z)) U v \psi_0 \rangle, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$G(z) = v R_0(z) v = v (P_-(A) - z)^{-1} v + z^{-1} v P_0 v. \quad (3.10)$$

Equation (2.5) thus gives

$$\langle \psi_0, (P_-(A,\varepsilon) - z)^{-1} \psi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{F(z, \varepsilon)}. \quad (3.11)$$



**3.2. Non-integer flux.** We recall the resolvent expansion presented in Proposition 2.3. Since  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and  $P_0 = \psi_0 \langle \psi_0, \cdot \rangle$ , equation (2.16) takes the form

$$(P_-(A) - z)^{-1} = -z^{-1}P_0 + \frac{\omega(\alpha) z^{\alpha-2}}{1 + \omega(\alpha) z^{\alpha-1}} \psi_0 \langle \psi_0, \cdot \rangle - \frac{\zeta(\alpha-1) z^{1-\alpha}}{1 + \varrho \zeta(\alpha-1) z^{2-\alpha}} \varphi \langle \varphi, \cdot \rangle + S_0 + o(1) \quad (3.12)$$

in  $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ ,  $s > 3$ , as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . To simplify the notation in what follows let us denote

$$\eta = \frac{4\pi^2}{4^\alpha \Gamma^2(\alpha) \|e^h\|_2^2}, \quad \sigma = \frac{4^\alpha}{16 \Gamma^2(2-\alpha)}, \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\omega = \omega(\alpha), \quad \zeta = \zeta(\alpha-1),$$

We then define the function  $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$g(x) = \frac{\eta \beta^2 x^{\alpha-2}}{|1 + \omega x^{\alpha-1}|^2} + \frac{\sigma |w|^2 x^{1-\alpha}}{|1 + \varrho \zeta x^{2-\alpha}|^2}, \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$w = \langle V\psi_0, \varphi \rangle, \quad (3.15)$$

and where  $\varrho$  is the constant in Proposition 2.3. The asymptotic behavior of  $g(x)$  for  $x \searrow 0$  depends on whether  $w = 0$  or not. Therefore we set

$$\nu = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{if } w \neq 0, \\ \alpha - 1 & \text{if } w = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

Now we can state the result in the case of non-integer flux.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , let  $B$  satisfy Assumption (2.1) and assume that  $V \lesssim \langle \cdot \rangle^{-\rho}$  for some  $\rho > 6$ . Suppose moreover that (3.4) holds. Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  we have

$$\sup_{t>0} |\langle \psi_0, e^{-itP_-(A,\varepsilon)} \psi_0 \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \leq C \varepsilon^\mu, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $x_\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  satisfy

$$x_\varepsilon = \beta \varepsilon (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\nu)) \quad (3.18)$$

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 g(x_\varepsilon). \quad (3.19)$$

Equation (3.19) gives

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \eta \beta^\alpha \varepsilon^\alpha (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\alpha-1})) + \sigma \beta^{1-\alpha} |w|^2 \varepsilon^{3-\alpha} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2-\alpha})). \quad (3.20)$$

Hence generically, i.e. when  $w \neq 0$ , the resonance width satisfies  $\gamma_\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon^{1+\mu}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The decay condition on  $V$  in Theorem 3.1, and all the subsequent theorems, is dictated by the condition  $s > 3$  in Proposition 2.3. Indeed, since  $v \lesssim \langle \cdot \rangle^{-\rho}$  with  $\rho > 3$ , see (3.5), we can insert the asymptotic expansion (3.12) into equation (3.10) and expand  $G(z)$  for  $z \rightarrow 0$  in the uniform operator topology on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Condition (3.4) is in fact necessary for the existence of a resonance. Indeed, if  $\langle \psi_0, V\psi_0 \rangle \leq 0$ , then zero turns into a negative discrete eigenvalue of  $P_-(A, \varepsilon)$ . This follows by a simple test function argument.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be subdivided into a series of auxiliary lemmas. First, we denote

$$J_\varepsilon := \left( \frac{\beta\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{3\beta\varepsilon}{2} \right), \quad (3.21)$$

and following [10] we split the integration in (3.3) by setting

$$p_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \operatorname{Im} \langle \psi_0, (P_-(A, \varepsilon) - x - iy)^{-1} \psi_0 \rangle dx, \quad (3.22)$$

where  $I_\varepsilon \subset J_\varepsilon$  is an interval which will be specified later. The essential idea of the proof is to show that the above contribution to (3.3) will give rise to the exponential  $e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}$  plus an error term which will be absorbed, together with the integral over  $\mathbb{R} \setminus I_\varepsilon$ , into  $\delta(\varepsilon, t)$ . Combining (3.11) and (3.22) we get

$$p_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1}{F(x + iy, \varepsilon)} \right) dx. \quad (3.23)$$

Let

$$K(z, \varepsilon) = \beta\varepsilon - z - \varepsilon^2 \langle \psi_0, VH(z)V\psi_0 \rangle = \beta\varepsilon - z - \varepsilon^2 \left( \frac{\omega\beta^2 z^{\alpha-2}}{1 + \omega z^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{\zeta|w|^2 z^{1-\alpha}}{1 + \varrho\zeta z^{2-\alpha}} \right), \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$H(z) = \frac{\omega z^{\alpha-2}}{1 + \omega z^{\alpha-1}} P_0 - \frac{\zeta z^{1-\alpha}}{1 + \varrho\zeta z^{2-\alpha}} \varphi \langle \varphi, \cdot \rangle. \quad (3.25)$$

**Convention.** The absence of positive eigenvalues of  $P_-(A)$  and the limiting absorption principle imply the existence of the limit

$$G(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} G(x + iy), \quad x \in (0, \infty)$$

in the uniform operator topology on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Consequently, in view of (3.23), we define

$$F(x, \varepsilon) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy, \varepsilon), \quad x > 0. \quad (3.26)$$

The same notation will be adapted for the quantity  $K(x, \varepsilon)$  defined by  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} K(x + iy, \varepsilon)$ , cf. (3.24).

The first step in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is to show that  $K(x, \varepsilon)$  is a good approximation of  $F(x, \varepsilon)$  for  $x$  belonging to the interval  $J_\varepsilon$ . In fact, from (3.9) and (3.24) we deduce that

$$F(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^3 \langle \psi_0, vUG(z)[U + \varepsilon G(x)]^{-1} G(x) Uv\psi_0 \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \quad (3.27)$$

We then have

**Lemma 3.4.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\sup_{x \in J_\varepsilon} |F(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)| \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+2\nu} + \varepsilon^2. \quad (3.28)$$

*Proof.* As explained in Remark 3.2 the decay assumption on  $V$  allows to combine equations (3.10) and (3.12). This gives

$$\varepsilon \|G(x)\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} = \varepsilon \|vH(x)v\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} + \varepsilon^{2-\alpha}.$$

Hence  $U + \varepsilon G(x)$  is boundedly invertible for  $x \in J_\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon$  small enough. From Proposition 2.3 we then get

$$\varepsilon^3 \langle \psi_0, vUG(x)[U + \varepsilon G(x)]^{-1} G(x) Uv\psi_0 \rangle = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2\alpha-1}) + |w|^2 \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{5-2\alpha}).$$

In view of (3.27) this implies the claim.  $\square$

Next we separate  $K$  into real and imaginary parts which we denote by  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  respectively;

$$K(x, \varepsilon) = K_1(x, \varepsilon) + iK_2(x, \varepsilon).$$

The identity

$$\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)} \quad z \notin \mathbb{Z} \quad (3.29)$$

and equation (2.15) imply

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\omega x^{\alpha-2}}{1+\omega x^{\alpha-1}}\right) = \frac{\eta x^{\alpha-2}}{|1+\omega x^{\alpha-1}|^2}, \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\zeta x^{1-\alpha}}{1+\varrho \zeta x^{2-\alpha}}\right) = \frac{-\sigma x^{1-\alpha}}{|1+\varrho \zeta x^{2-\alpha}|^2}.$$

From (3.14) and (3.24) we thus get

$$K_2(x, \varepsilon) = -\varepsilon^2 g(x). \quad (3.30)$$

As for the real part of  $K$ , we note the following result.

**Lemma 3.5.** We have

$$\sup_{x \in J_\varepsilon} |K_1(x, \varepsilon) - \beta\varepsilon + x| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\nu}). \quad (3.31)$$

Furthermore, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough there exists a unique  $x_\varepsilon \in J_\varepsilon$  such that  $K_1(x_\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = 0$ .

*Proof.* By (3.24) we have

$$K_1(x, \varepsilon) = \beta\varepsilon - x - \varepsilon^2 f(x), \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$f(x) = \beta^2 \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\omega) x^{\alpha-2} + |\omega|^2 x^{2\alpha-3}}{|1+\omega x^{\alpha-1}|^2} - |\omega|^2 \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\zeta) x^{1-\alpha} + \varrho |\zeta|^2 x^{3-2\alpha}}{|1+\varrho \zeta x^{2-\alpha}|^2}. \quad (3.33)$$

One easily verifies that

$$\sup_{J_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^2 |f^{(j)}(x)| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\nu-j}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (3.34)$$

This implies (3.31). Hence for  $\varepsilon$  small enough we have  $K_1(\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon) > 0$ ,  $K_1(\frac{3\beta\varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon) < 0$ , and

$$\partial_x K_1(x, \varepsilon) \leq -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall x \in J_\varepsilon. \quad (3.35)$$

This proves the second part of the claim.  $\square$

Lemma 3.5 obviously implies that  $x_\varepsilon = \beta\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\nu})$ . Therefore we set

$$I_\varepsilon = \left[ x_\varepsilon - \frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}, x_\varepsilon + \frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4} \right] \quad (3.36)$$

and note that for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small  $I_\varepsilon \subset J_\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough,

$$\sup_{t>0} \left| p_\varepsilon(t) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \operatorname{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} \right] dx \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^\mu.$$

*Proof.* By (3.28)

$$\left| \frac{1}{F(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} \right| \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon^{1+2\nu} + \varepsilon^2}{|F(x, \varepsilon)K(x, \varepsilon)|} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon^{1+2\nu} + \varepsilon^2}{|K(x, \varepsilon)|^2}.$$

On the other hand, equations (3.30) and (3.14) imply that

$$|K_2(x, \varepsilon)| \gtrsim \varepsilon^{1+\nu}$$

and, by (3.35),

$$|K_1(x, \varepsilon)| \gtrsim |x - x_\varepsilon|. \quad (3.37)$$

Hence

$$\int_{I_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{1}{F(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} \right| dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varepsilon^{1+2\nu} + \varepsilon^2}{|K(x, \varepsilon)|^2} dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varepsilon^{1+2\nu} + \varepsilon^2}{x^2 + \varepsilon^{2+2\nu}} dx \lesssim \varepsilon^\mu,$$

and the result follows from (3.23).  $\square$

Now we write

$$L(x, \varepsilon) = L_1(x, \varepsilon) + iL_2(x, \varepsilon) = x_\varepsilon - x - i\varepsilon^2 g(x_\varepsilon) = x_\varepsilon - x - i\gamma_\varepsilon.$$

where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  stand for the real and imaginary part of  $L$ . Recall that  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  is given by equation (3.19).

**Lemma 3.7.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \left[ \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{L(x, \varepsilon)} \right] dx \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^\nu. \quad (3.38)$$

*Proof.* Note that by (3.32)

$$x_\varepsilon = \beta\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 f(x_\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$L_1(x, \varepsilon) - K_1(x, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2(f(x) - f(x_\varepsilon)).$$

Similarly, it follows from (3.30) that

$$L_2(x, \varepsilon) - K_2(x, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2(g(x) - g(x_\varepsilon)).$$

The function  $g$  obviously satisfies the same bound (3.34) as the function  $f$ :

$$\sup_{I_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^2 |g^{(j)}| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\nu-j}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (3.39)$$

The mean value theorem thus gives

$$|L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)| \leq \varepsilon^2 \sup_{I_\varepsilon} |f' + ig'| |x - x_\varepsilon| \lesssim \varepsilon^\nu |x - x_\varepsilon| \quad (3.40)$$

Moreover, applying (3.34) for  $f$  and  $g$  with  $j = 2$  and Taylor's Theorem we obtain

$$\left| L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon^2 [f'(x_\varepsilon) + ig'(x_\varepsilon)] (x - x_\varepsilon) \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^{\nu-1} (x - x_\varepsilon)^2. \quad (3.41)$$

The last two bounds, which hold for all  $x \in I_\varepsilon$ , will be our main tools in estimating the integral in (3.38). Following [10] we decompose

$$\int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \left[ \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{L(x, \varepsilon)} \right] dx = \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \left[ \frac{L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} + \frac{(L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon))^2}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)K(x, \varepsilon)} \right] dx. \quad (3.42)$$

To estimate the first integral on the right hand side we use (3.41) and write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 |f'(x_\varepsilon) + ig'(x_\varepsilon)| \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| + \varepsilon^{\nu-1} \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2} dx \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^\nu \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| + \varepsilon^\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used equations (3.34) and (3.39), and the fact that

$$\int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2} dx = \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),$$

see (3.19), (3.36). For the remaining integral we find,

$$\left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| = \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \left( \frac{1}{L(x, \varepsilon)} + \frac{i\gamma_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} \right) dx \right| \leq \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-itx}}{L(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| + \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx. \quad (3.43)$$

The second integral on the right hand side of (3.43) satisfies

$$\int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x-x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x-x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx = \pi.$$

In view of Lemma B.1 the first term on the right hand side of (3.43) is bounded, for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, by

$$\left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-itx}}{L(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| = \left| \int_{-\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}}^{\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{\xi + i\gamma_\varepsilon} d\xi \right| = \left| \int_{-\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4\gamma_\varepsilon}}^{\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4\gamma_\varepsilon}} \frac{e^{-it\gamma_\varepsilon y}}{y + i} dy \right| \leq C, \quad (3.44)$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $t$ . Here we have used the fact that  $\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma_\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ . This finishes our discussion of the first term on the right hand side of (3.42). To control the second term in (3.42) we use the bounds (3.37) and (3.40), and compute

$$\int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{|L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)|^2}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2 |K(x, \varepsilon)|} dx \lesssim \varepsilon^{2\nu} \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{|x-x_\varepsilon|}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2} dx = \varepsilon^{2\nu} \int_{-\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}}^{\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{|y|}{y^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dy = \varepsilon^{2\nu} \log\left(1 + \frac{\beta^2 \varepsilon^2}{16 \gamma^2(\varepsilon)}\right) = o(\varepsilon^\nu),$$

cf. (3.20). This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\sup_{t>0} |p_\varepsilon(t) - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \lesssim \varepsilon^\mu. \quad (3.45)$$

*Proof.* An explicit calculation gives

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixt} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{L(x, \varepsilon)}\right) dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-ixt} \gamma_\varepsilon}{(x-x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx = e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}. \quad (3.46)$$

Hence in view of Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7,

$$|p_\varepsilon(t) - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \lesssim \varepsilon^\mu + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_\varepsilon} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x-x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx.$$

However,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_\varepsilon} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x-x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx = 2 \int_{\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{y^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dy \leq 2 \int_{\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{y^2} dy = \frac{8\gamma_\varepsilon}{\beta\varepsilon} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\nu).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** With Lemma 3.8 at hand, it remains to reproduce the argument of Hunziker [8], see also [10]. First we apply equation (3.45) with  $t = 0$  to get

$$|\langle \psi_0, \mathbf{1}_{I_\varepsilon}(P_-(A, \varepsilon)) \psi_0 \rangle - 1| \lesssim \varepsilon^\mu, \quad (3.47)$$

and consequently,

$$\|(1 - \mathbf{1}_{I_\varepsilon}(P_-(A, \varepsilon)))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_0\|_2 \lesssim \varepsilon^\mu. \quad (3.48)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \psi_0, e^{-itP_-(A, \varepsilon)} \psi_0 \rangle - p_\varepsilon(t)| &= |\langle (1 - \mathbf{1}_{I_\varepsilon}(P_-(A, \varepsilon)))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_0, e^{-itP_-(A, \varepsilon)} (1 - \mathbf{1}_{I_\varepsilon}(P_-(A, \varepsilon)))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_0 \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(1 - \mathbf{1}_{I_\varepsilon}(P_-(A, \varepsilon)))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_0\|_2 \lesssim \varepsilon^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

Inequality (3.17) thus follows from Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9 (Radially symmetric fields).** If  $B$  is radial, then  $h$  is also radial and  $\chi = 0$ , [12, Sec. 3.4]. Consequently, if  $V$  is radial as well, then by (3.15) and (2.18),

$$w = \langle V\psi_0, \varphi \rangle = 0,$$

and in view of equations (3.14), (3.19) we have

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \eta \beta^\alpha \varepsilon^\alpha (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\alpha-1})).$$

On the other hand, equation (3.20) shows that if either  $B$  or  $V$  are not radially symmetric, then  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  generically increases and consequently the mean lifetime of the resonance decreases.

**3.3. Integer flux.** Similarly to the case of non-integer flux, the resolvent expansion simplifies slightly when zero is a non-degenerate eigenvalue of  $P(A)$ . Indeed, equation (2.20) now holds with the operator  $\mathcal{K}$  given by

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{4\pi} [\Pi_{11} + \bar{\varkappa}\Pi_{12} + \varkappa\Pi_{21} + |\varkappa|^2\Pi_{22}] + \frac{\pi P_0}{4\|e^h\|_2^2}, \quad (3.50)$$

where the operators  $\Pi_{jk}$  are defined in (2.19). Since  $P(A)$  has two virtual bound states,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  (see Section 2.6), we introduce the coefficients

$$w_1 = \langle V\psi_0, \varphi_1 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 = \langle V\psi_0, \varphi_2 \rangle.$$

It turns out that the asymptotics of  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  depends on whether  $w_2 \neq 0$  or  $w_2 = 0$ . We start with the former case.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $\alpha = 2$ . Let  $B$  satisfy Assumption (2.1) and assume that  $V \lesssim \langle \cdot \rangle^{-\rho}$  for some  $\rho > 6$ . Suppose moreover that (3.4) holds, and that  $w_2 \neq 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$

$$\sup_{t>0} |\langle \psi_0, e^{-itP_-(A,\varepsilon)} \psi_0 \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|},$$

where  $x_\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  satisfy

$$x_\varepsilon = \beta\varepsilon [1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})] \quad (3.51)$$

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon |w_2|^2}{\beta(\log \varepsilon)^2} [1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})]. \quad (3.52)$$

The proof of Theorem 3.10 is analogous to that of Theorem 3.1. The only significant difference is that we have to expand the function  $F(x, \varepsilon)$  (see (3.9)) to a higher order. To this end we introduce auxiliary functions

$$\mathfrak{g}(x) = \frac{|w_2|^2}{x(\log x)^2}, \quad f(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{g}(x)}{\pi} (\log x + m_\circ), \quad h(x) = \frac{|w_2|^2 \langle \varphi_2, V\varphi_2 \rangle}{\pi^2 (x \log x)^2} \quad (3.53)$$

defined on  $J_\varepsilon$ , cf. (3.21). Here  $m_\circ$  is the constant introduced in Proposition 2.5.

**Lemma 3.11.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough we have

$$F(x, \varepsilon) = \beta\varepsilon - x - \varepsilon^2 f(x) + \varepsilon^3 h(x) - i\varepsilon^2 \mathfrak{g}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-3})$$

for  $x \in J_\varepsilon$ , with the error term uniform in  $x \in J_\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.5 and equations (3.9), (3.10),

$$F(x, \varepsilon) = \beta\varepsilon - x - \varepsilon^2 \langle \psi_0, vU\mathcal{G}(x)Uv\psi_0 \rangle + \varepsilon^3 \langle \psi_0, vU\mathcal{G}(x)[U + \varepsilon\mathcal{G}(x)]^{-1}\mathcal{G}(x)Uv\psi_0 \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|), \quad (3.54)$$

where  $\mathcal{G}(x)$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  given by

$$\mathcal{G}(x) = \frac{v\Pi_{22}v}{\pi x(\log x + m_\circ - i\pi)}.$$

For any  $x \in J_\varepsilon$  we have

$$\varepsilon^2 \langle \psi_0, vU\mathcal{G}(x)Uv\psi_0 \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon^2 |w_2|^2}{\pi x(\log x + m_\circ - i\pi)} = \varepsilon^2 f(x) + i\varepsilon^2 \mathfrak{g}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-3}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\sup_{x \in J_\varepsilon} \varepsilon \|\mathcal{G}(x)\| = \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}) \quad (3.55)$$

So the last term on the right hand side of (3.54) is of order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|^{-2})$ , which is the same as the order of  $\varepsilon^2\mathbf{g}(x)$ . Hence in order to expand  $F(x, \varepsilon)$  to the desired level of precision we have to extract the leading term from  $\langle \psi_0, vU\mathcal{G}(x)[U + \varepsilon\mathcal{G}(x)]^{-1}\mathcal{G}(x)Uv\psi_0 \rangle$ . Since  $U^2 = \mathbf{1}$ , equation (3.55) gives

$$[U + \varepsilon\mathcal{G}(x)]^{-1} = [\mathbf{1} + \varepsilon U\mathcal{G}(x)]^{-1}U = U + \mathcal{O}(|\log\varepsilon|^{-1}).$$

This implies that the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^3\langle \psi_0, vU\mathcal{G}(x)[U + \varepsilon v\mathcal{G}(x)v]^{-1}\mathcal{G}(x)Uv\psi_0 \rangle &= \varepsilon^3\langle \psi_0, vU\mathcal{G}(x)U\mathcal{G}(x)Uv\psi_0 \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|^{-3}) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^3|w_2|^2\langle \varphi_2, V\varphi_2 \rangle}{\pi^2 x^2(\log x + m_o - i\pi)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|^{-3}) \\ &= \varepsilon^3 h(x) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|^{-3}) \end{aligned}$$

holds uniformly in  $x$  on  $J_\varepsilon$ . Inserting the above estimates into (3.54) completes the proof.  $\square$

From this point, we follow the proof of Theorem 3.1. Hence we set

$$K(x, \varepsilon) = K_1(x, \varepsilon) + iK_2(x, \varepsilon) = \beta\varepsilon - x - \varepsilon^2 f(x) + \varepsilon^3 h(x) - i\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{g}(x),$$

where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  denote the real and imaginary part of  $K$  respectively. Furthermore, we note that

$$\sup_{J_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^2 |\mathbf{g}^{(j)}| + \sup_{J_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^3 |h^{(j)}| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1-j} |\log\varepsilon|^{-2}), \quad \sup_{J_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^2 |f^{(j)}| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1-j} |\log\varepsilon|^{-1}) \quad (3.56)$$

holds for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ .

**Lemma 3.12.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough we have

$$K_1(x, \varepsilon) = \beta\varepsilon - x + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|^{-1})$$

for  $x \in J_\varepsilon$ . Furthermore, there exists a unique  $x_\varepsilon \in J_\varepsilon$  such that  $K_1(x_\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = 0$ .

*Proof.* In view of (3.56) one easily verifies that  $K_1$  satisfies (3.35). The claim follows in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

Note that  $x_\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$x_\varepsilon = \beta\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 f(x_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^3 h(x_\varepsilon).$$

As before, let

$$I_\varepsilon = \left[ x_\varepsilon - \frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}, x_\varepsilon + \frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4} \right] \quad (3.57)$$

and note that for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small  $I_\varepsilon \subset J_\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 3.13.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\sup_{t>0} \left| p_\varepsilon(t) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \operatorname{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} \right] dx \right| \lesssim |\log\varepsilon|^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.6. Note first that for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small and  $x \in J_\varepsilon$

$$|g(x)| \geq \frac{|w_2|^2}{\frac{3\beta\varepsilon}{2}(\log(\varepsilon/2))^2} \geq \frac{|w_2|^2}{\frac{3\beta\varepsilon}{2}(2\log\varepsilon)^2}$$

so that

$$K_2(x, \varepsilon)^2 = \varepsilon^4 g(x)^2 \geq \frac{4|w_2|^4}{9\beta^2} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(2\log\varepsilon)^4} = c(\varepsilon).$$

Now, with the help of Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12 we estimate

$$\left| \frac{1}{F(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} \right| \lesssim \frac{|K(x, \varepsilon) - F(x, \varepsilon)|}{|K(x, \varepsilon)|^2} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|^{-3}}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + c(\varepsilon)^2},$$

where we have also used equation (3.35). Hence

$$\int_{I_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{1}{F(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} \right| dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-3}}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + c(\varepsilon)^2} dx = \frac{\pi \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-3}}{c(\varepsilon)} \lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1},$$

and the result follows from (3.23).  $\square$

Similarly as above, we now put

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 \mathbf{g}(x_\varepsilon), \quad (3.58)$$

and note that

$$\gamma_\varepsilon \sim \frac{\varepsilon}{(\log \varepsilon)^2}. \quad (3.59)$$

To continue we set as before

$$L(x, \varepsilon) = L_1(x, \varepsilon) + iL_2(x, \varepsilon) = x_\varepsilon - x - i\gamma_\varepsilon, \quad (3.60)$$

**Lemma 3.14.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \left[ \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{L(x, \varepsilon)} \right] dx \right| \lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1}. \quad (3.61)$$

*Proof.* We follow the steps of the proof of Lemma 3.7. Since

$$L_1(x, \varepsilon) - K_1(x, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2(f(x) - f(x_\varepsilon)) - \varepsilon^3(h(x) - h(x_\varepsilon)),$$

and

$$L_2(x, \varepsilon) - K_2(x, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2(\mathbf{g}(x) - \mathbf{g}(x_\varepsilon)),$$

it follows from (3.56) that

$$|L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)| \leq \varepsilon^2 \sup_{I_\varepsilon} |f' - \varepsilon h' + ig'| |x - x_\varepsilon| \lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} |x - x_\varepsilon|. \quad (3.62)$$

and that

$$|L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon^2[f'(x_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon h'(x_\varepsilon) + ig'(x_\varepsilon)](x - x_\varepsilon)| \lesssim \frac{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|}.$$

Since  $(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 \leq |L(x, \varepsilon)|^2$ , the last equation in combination with (3.56) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| &\lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| + \varepsilon^{-1} |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2} dx \\ &\lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| + |\log \varepsilon|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining integral we find,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| &= \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \left( \frac{1}{L(x, \varepsilon)} + \frac{i\gamma_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} \right) dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-itx}}{L(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma B.1 to estimate the first term (as in the proof of Lemma 3.7). Altogether we find

$$\left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| \lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1}. \quad (3.63)$$



On the other hand, using (3.37), (3.59) and (3.62) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{|L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)|^2}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2 |K(x, \varepsilon)|} dx &\lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-2} \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{|x - x_\varepsilon|}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2} dx = |\log \varepsilon|^{-2} \int_0^{\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{2|y|}{y^2 + \gamma_\varepsilon^2} dy \\ &= |\log \varepsilon|^{-2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\beta^2 \varepsilon^2}{16 \gamma_\varepsilon^2} \right) = o(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The claim thus follows from equations (3.42) and (3.63).  $\square$

Finally, we need an analog of Lemma 3.8.

**Lemma 3.15.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\sup_{t>0} |p_\varepsilon(t) - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* In view of Lemmas 3.13, 3.14 and equation (3.46),

$$|p_\varepsilon(t) - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_\varepsilon} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma_\varepsilon^2} dx.$$

By equation (3.59) the last term on the right hand side satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_\varepsilon} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma_\varepsilon^2} dx = \int_{\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}}^{\infty} \frac{2\gamma_\varepsilon}{y^2 + \gamma_\varepsilon^2} dy \leq \int_{\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{4}}^{\infty} \frac{2\gamma_\varepsilon}{y^2} dy = \frac{8\gamma_\varepsilon}{\beta\varepsilon} = \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-2}).$$

Hence the claim.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.10.** By mimicking the argument of Hunziker as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$|\langle \psi_0, e^{-itP_-(A, \varepsilon)} \psi_0 \rangle - p_\varepsilon(t)| \lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1}.$$

Now the statement of the theorem follows from Lemma 3.15.  $\square$

In the case  $w_2 = 0$  we have the following

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $\alpha = 2$ . Let  $B$  satisfy Assumption (2.1) and let  $V$  be such that  $V \lesssim \langle \cdot \rangle^{-\rho}$  for some  $\rho > 6$ . Suppose moreover that (3.4) holds. If  $w_2 = 0$ , then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} |\langle \psi_0, e^{-itP_-(A, \varepsilon)} \psi_0 \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| = 0, \quad (3.64)$$

uniformly in  $t > 0$ , where  $x_\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  satisfy

$$x_\varepsilon = \beta\varepsilon(1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \log \varepsilon)) \quad (3.65)$$

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \left( |w_1|^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \beta^2}{\|e^h\|_2^2} \right). \quad (3.66)$$

**Remark 3.17.** As in Remark 3.9 we note that if  $B$  and  $V$  are radial, then equation (2.22) implies  $w_1 = w_2 = 0$ . Theorem 3.16 then gives

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 \frac{\pi^2 \beta^2}{4 \|e^h\|_2^2}.$$

To prove Theorem 3.16 we proceed similarly as above. Let

$$a_1 = \langle \psi_0, V \mathcal{K} V \psi_0 \rangle, \quad a_2 = \langle \psi_0, V T_0 V \psi_0 \rangle.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $T_0$  are self-adjoint, cf. Proposition 2.5, and therefore  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $w_2 = 0$ , equations (2.20), (3.9) and (3.10) imply

$$F(x, \varepsilon) = \beta\varepsilon - x + \varepsilon^2 a_1 (\log x - i\pi) - \varepsilon^2 a_2 + o(\varepsilon^2),$$

Hence we put

$$K(x, \varepsilon) = \beta\varepsilon - x + \varepsilon^2 a_1 (\log x - i\pi) - \varepsilon^2 a_2, \quad \gamma_\varepsilon = a_1 \pi \varepsilon^2, \quad (3.67)$$

and denote, as above, by  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  the real and imaginary parts of  $K$ . A straightforward modification of Lemma 3.5 then shows that for  $\varepsilon$  small enough there exists a unique  $x_\varepsilon \in J_\varepsilon$  such that  $K_1(x_\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = 0$ , and that

$$x_\varepsilon = \beta\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 \log \varepsilon). \quad (3.68)$$

Moreover,  $K_1$  satisfies (3.37). We define again  $I_\varepsilon$  as in (3.57) and therefore have

**Lemma 3.18.** For  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left| p_\varepsilon(t) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \operatorname{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} \right] dx \right| = 0,$$

holds uniformly in  $t$ . Recall that  $p_\varepsilon(t)$  is given by (3.23).

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 3.6 we write for  $x \in I_\varepsilon$

$$\left| \frac{1}{F(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} \right| \lesssim \frac{|K(x, \varepsilon) - F(x, \varepsilon)|}{|K(x, \varepsilon)|^2} = \frac{o(\varepsilon^2)}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma_\varepsilon^2},$$

which implies that

$$\int_{I_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{1}{F(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} \right| dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{o(\varepsilon^2)}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx = o(1),$$

cf. (3.67). □

**Lemma 3.19.** Let  $L(x, \varepsilon)$  be given by (3.60). Then for  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\sup_{t > 0} \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \left[ \frac{1}{K(x, \varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{L(x, \varepsilon)} \right] dx \right| \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* As above we follow the proof of Lemma 3.7. A straightforward modification of (3.40) and (3.41) gives

$$|L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)| \lesssim \varepsilon |x - x_\varepsilon|. \quad (3.69)$$

and

$$\left| L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon) + \frac{a_1 \varepsilon^2}{x_\varepsilon} (x - x_\varepsilon) \right| \lesssim (x - x_\varepsilon)^2.$$

Hence by (3.68),

$$\left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| \lesssim \varepsilon \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| + \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2} dx \lesssim \varepsilon \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| + \varepsilon.$$

For the remaining integral we use again Lemma B.1 to find

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| &= \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \left( \frac{1}{L(x, \varepsilon)} + \frac{i\gamma_\varepsilon}{L^2(x, \varepsilon)} \right) dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-itx}}{L(x, \varepsilon)} dx \right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (3.69) implies

$$\int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{|L(x, \varepsilon) - K(x, \varepsilon)|^2}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2 |K(x, \varepsilon)|} dx \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \int_{I_\varepsilon} \frac{|x - x_\varepsilon|}{|L(x, \varepsilon)|^2} dx = |\log \varepsilon|^{-2} \int_0^{\frac{\beta \varepsilon}{4}} \frac{2|y|}{y^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dy = \varepsilon^2 \log \left( 1 + \frac{\beta^2 \varepsilon^2}{16 \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} \right) = o(\varepsilon).$$

In view of equation (3.42) this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.16.** Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_\varepsilon} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon}{(x - x_\varepsilon)^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dx = \int_{\frac{\beta \varepsilon}{4}}^\infty \frac{2\gamma_\varepsilon}{y^2 + \gamma^2(\varepsilon)} dy \leq \int_{\frac{\beta \varepsilon}{4}}^\infty \frac{2\gamma_\varepsilon}{y^2} dy = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),$$

by mimicking the proof of Lemma 3.15 we conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} |p_\varepsilon(t) - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| = 0,$$

uniformly in  $t > 0$ . An application of the Hunziker argument then implies equation (3.64). To finish the proof it remains to recall (3.68), (3.67), and to note that

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( |w_1|^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \beta^2}{\|e^h\|_2^2} \right),$$

which follows from (2.21) and from the fact that  $w_2 = 0$  by assumption.  $\square$

**3.4. Resonances induced by anomalous magnetic moment.** The two dimensional Pauli operator of an elementary fermion with spin 1/2 and magnetic moment  $g$  is given by

$$P(A, g) = \begin{pmatrix} P_-(A, g) & 0 \\ 0 & P_+(A, g) \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_\pm(A, g) = (i\nabla + A)^2 \pm \frac{g}{2}B$$

in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ . The Dirac theory predicts that fermions of spin 1/2 should have magnetic moment  $g = 2$ . Note that  $P(A, 2) = P(A)$ , see equation (1.1). However, magnetic moments of certain particles may slightly differ from this value. One can identify the anomaly of the magnetic moment with the parameter  $\varepsilon$  by writing  $g = 2 - 2\varepsilon$ . Then

$$P_-(A, g) = (i\nabla + A)^2 - (1 - \varepsilon)B.$$

and we have

**Corollary 3.20.** Let  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and let  $B$  satisfy Assumption (2.1). Suppose that  $\varepsilon = \frac{2-g}{2} > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that for  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\sup_{t>0} |\langle \psi_0, e^{-itP_-(A, g)} \psi_0 \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \leq C \varepsilon^\mu, \quad (3.70)$$

where  $x_\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} x_\varepsilon &= \beta \varepsilon (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\nu)) \\ \gamma_\varepsilon &= \eta \beta^\alpha \varepsilon^\alpha (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\alpha-1})) + \sigma |w|^2 \beta^{1-\alpha} \varepsilon^{3-\alpha} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2-\alpha})) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\eta, \sigma, \mu$  given by (3.13), (1.8) and (3.16), and with

$$\beta = \langle \psi_0, B \psi_0 \rangle, \quad w = \langle \psi_0, B \varphi \rangle. \quad (3.71)$$

*Proof.* Since  $P_-(A)\psi_0 = (i\nabla + A)^2\psi - B\psi_0 = 0$ , the Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|u|^2}{1 + |x|^2 \log^2 |x|} dx \lesssim \|(i\nabla + A)u\|^2 \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad (3.72)$$

which holds for any  $A \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\text{rot } A \neq 0$ , see [14, 23, 13], implies

$$\beta = \langle \psi_0, (i\nabla + A)^2 \psi_0 \rangle = \|(i\nabla + A)\psi_0\|_2^2 > 0.$$

We can thus apply Theorem 3.1 with  $V = B$ , and the claim follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.21.** Let  $\alpha = 2$ . Let  $B$  satisfy Assumptions (2.1) and let  $\beta$  be given by (3.71). Then

$$\lim_{g \searrow 2} |\langle \psi_0, e^{-itP_-(A,g)} \psi_0 \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| = 0 \quad (3.73)$$

uniformly in  $t > 0$ , where  $\varepsilon = \frac{2-g}{2}$ ,  $x_\varepsilon = \beta\varepsilon[1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})]$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\varepsilon &= \frac{\varepsilon |\langle \psi_0, B \varphi_2 \rangle|^2}{(\log \varepsilon)^2} [1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})] & \text{if } \langle \psi_0, B \varphi_2 \rangle \neq 0, \\ \gamma_\varepsilon &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \left( |\langle \psi_0, B \varphi_1 \rangle|^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \beta^2}{\|e^h\|_2^2} \right) & \text{if } \langle \psi_0, B \varphi_2 \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\beta > 0$ , this is a combination of Theorems 3.10 and 3.16 applied with  $V = B$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Resonances arising from a degenerate eigenvalue

In this section we treat the resonances arising when the unperturbed operator has a degenerate eigenvalue at the threshold. By (1.4), zero is no longer a simple eigenvalue of  $P(A)$  if  $\alpha > 2$ . We need to isolate a zero-eigenfunction in order to study resonance behavior. It is natural to consider eigenfunctions of  $P_0 V P_0$ . Thus, we consider resonances arising as

$$\langle \psi_0^{(V)}, e^{-itP_-(A,\varepsilon)} \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle$$

where  $\psi_0^{(V)} \in P_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a *simple* eigenfunction of  $P_0 V P_0$ . Similarly as in [11], in order to treat this case, we apply the SLFG formula twice: we first obtain the restriction of the resolvent to  $P_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and then apply the formula again to obtain the desired matrix element.

Explicitly, the operator  $P_0 V P_0$ , as an operator on  $P_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , is an operator of rank at most  $N$  (recall (1.4)), and we work under the following assumption.

**Assumption 4.1.** The operator  $P_0 V P_0$  has a simple positive eigenvalue,  $\kappa > 0$ , with (normalized) eigenfunction  $\psi_0^{(V)}$ . We let  $P_1$  denote the projection onto the subspace spanned by  $\psi_0^{(V)}$  and  $Q_1 = P_0 - P_1$ .

**4.1. The application of the SLFG formula in the degenerate case.** As in the non-degenerate case, we take  $\mathcal{H}_1 = P_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2 = Q_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  so that (by (3.7))

$$S(z) = \varepsilon P_0 V P_0 - z P_0 - \varepsilon^2 P_0 v U (G(z) - \varepsilon G(z) (U + \varepsilon G(z))^{-1} G(z)) U v P_0$$

with  $G(z)$  as defined in (3.10). For notational simplicity we let

$$\tilde{S}(z) = P_0 v U (G(z) - \varepsilon G(z) (U + \varepsilon G(z))^{-1} G(z)) U v P_0. \quad (4.1)$$

We now write

$$S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}(z) & s_{12}(z) \\ s_{21}(z) & s_{22}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$s_{11}(z) = \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, S(z) \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle,$$

so that, by the SLFG formula applied twice,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, (P_-(A, \varepsilon) - z)^{-1} \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle &= \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, S(z)^{-1} \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle \\
 &= (s_{11}(z) - s_{12}(z)s_{22}(z)^{-1}s_{21}(z))^{-1} \\
 &= \left( \kappa\varepsilon - z - \varepsilon^2 \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, \tilde{S}(z)\psi_0^{(V)} \rangle - \varepsilon^4 \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, \tilde{S}(z)Q_1 (\varepsilon Q_1 V Q_1 - z Q_1 - \varepsilon^2 Q_1 \tilde{S}(z) Q_1)^{-1} Q_1 \tilde{S}(z) \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle \right)^{-1} \\
 &= \tilde{F}(z, \varepsilon)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

**4.2. Non-integer flux.** For  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$  we recall the decomposition (2.17) and, with Proposition 2.3 in mind, we denote

$$\tilde{H}(x) = \frac{\omega(1 + \alpha') x^{\alpha' - 1}}{1 + c_1 \omega(1 + \alpha') x^{\alpha'}} \psi \langle \psi, \cdot \rangle - \frac{\zeta(\alpha') x^{-\alpha'}}{1 + \varrho \zeta(\alpha') x^{1 - \alpha'}} \varphi \langle \varphi, \cdot \rangle. \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_\varepsilon := \left( \frac{\kappa\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{3\kappa\varepsilon}{2} \right) \tag{4.4}$$

We also define the coefficients

$$w_3 = \langle V \psi_0^{(V)}, \psi \rangle, \quad w_4 = \langle V \psi_0^{(V)}, \varphi \rangle, \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\tilde{\nu} = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{if } w_3 \neq 0 \neq w_4, \\ \alpha' & \text{if } w_3 \neq 0 = w_4, \\ 1 - \alpha' & \text{if } w_3 = 0 \neq w_4, \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

where  $\mu$  be defined by (1.8). Finally, we let

$$\tilde{K}(x, \varepsilon) = \kappa\varepsilon - x - \varepsilon^2 \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, V \tilde{H}(x) V \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle. \tag{4.7}$$

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small

$$\sup_{x \in \tilde{J}_\varepsilon} |\tilde{F}(x, \varepsilon) - \tilde{K}(x, \varepsilon)| \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+2\tilde{\nu}} + \varepsilon^2.$$

Here  $\tilde{F}(x, \varepsilon)$  is defined in the same way as  $F(x, \varepsilon)$ , see equation (3.26).

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{F}(x, \varepsilon) - \tilde{K}(x, \varepsilon) &= \varepsilon^3 \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, vUG(x) (U + \varepsilon G(x))^{-1} G(x) U v \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle \\
 &\quad - \varepsilon^4 \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, \tilde{S}(x) Q_1 (\varepsilon Q_1 V Q_1 - x Q_1 - \varepsilon^2 Q_1 \tilde{S}(x) Q_1)^{-1} Q_1 \tilde{S}(x) \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

We treat the two terms above separately. The first term is treated in the same way as in Lemma 3.4: for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small,  $(U + \varepsilon G(x))$  is boundedly invertible and so, by (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon^3 |\langle \psi_0^{(V)}, vUG(x) (U + \varepsilon G(x))^{-1} G(x) U v \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle| &\lesssim \varepsilon^3 \|v \tilde{H}(x) V \psi_0^{(V)}\|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \lesssim \varepsilon^3 |w_3 \varepsilon^{\alpha' - 1} + w_4 \varepsilon^{-\alpha'}|^2 \\
 &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+2\tilde{\nu}} + \varepsilon^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

As for the second term, note that

$$\varepsilon^2 \sup_{x \in \tilde{J}_\varepsilon} \|\tilde{S}(x)\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\mu}$$

and that for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  and any  $x \in \tilde{J}_\varepsilon$ ,

$$\text{dist}(x, \text{spec}(Q_1 V Q_1)) = \text{dist}(x, \text{spec}(P_0 V P_0) \setminus \{\kappa\}) > \varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$\left\| (\varepsilon Q_1 V Q_1 - x Q_1 - \varepsilon^2 Q_1 \tilde{S}(x) Q_1)^{-1} \right\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \tag{4.8}$$

which implies

$$\varepsilon^4 |\langle \psi_0^{(V)}, \tilde{S}(x)Q_1(\varepsilon Q_1 V Q_1 - x Q_1 - \varepsilon^2 Q_1 \tilde{S}(x)Q_1)^{-1} Q_1 \tilde{S}(x) \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \|\tilde{S}(x) \psi_0^{(V)}\|^2.$$

Using again the fact that  $U + \varepsilon G(x)$  is boundedly invertible for  $\varepsilon$  small enough, a straightforward computation shows that for such  $\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^3 \|\tilde{S}(x) \psi_0^{(V)}\|^2 &\lesssim \varepsilon^3 \|V \tilde{H}(x) V \psi_0^{(V)}\|^2 + \varepsilon^4 \|V \tilde{H}(x) V \psi_0^{(V)}\| \|V \tilde{H}(x) v (U + \varepsilon G(z))^{-1} v \tilde{H}(x) V \psi_0^{(V)}\| \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^5 \|V \tilde{H}(x) v (U + \varepsilon G(x))^{-1} v \tilde{H}(x) \psi_0^{(V)}\|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+2\tilde{\nu}} + \varepsilon^{1+3\tilde{\nu}} + \varepsilon^{1+4\tilde{\nu}} + \varepsilon^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+2\tilde{\nu}} + \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

If we now define

$$\tilde{\eta} = \frac{\pi^2 |d|^2}{4^{\alpha'} \Gamma^2(1 + \alpha')}, \quad \tilde{\sigma} = \frac{4^{\alpha'-1}}{\Gamma^2(1 - \alpha')}. \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\tilde{g}(x) = \frac{\tilde{\eta} |w_3|^2 x^{\alpha'-1}}{|1 + c_1 \omega(1 + \alpha') x^{\alpha'}|^2} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma} |w_4|^2 x^{-\alpha'}}{|1 + \varrho \zeta(\alpha') x^{1-\alpha'}|^2}, \quad (4.10)$$

then we can state

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $2 < \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $B$  satisfy Assumption 2.1. Suppose moreover that  $V \lesssim \langle \cdot \rangle^{-\rho}$  for some  $\rho > 6$ . Let  $\psi_0^{(V)}$  be an eigenfunction of  $P_0 V P_0$  which satisfies Assumption 4.1, and assume that

$$|w_3|^2 + |w_4|^2 > 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Then for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  we have

$$\sup_{t>0} |\langle \psi_0^{(V)}, e^{-itP_-(A,\varepsilon)} \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \lesssim \varepsilon^\mu,$$

where

$$x_\varepsilon = \kappa \varepsilon (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\tilde{\nu}}))$$

and

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 \tilde{g}(x_\varepsilon). \quad (4.12)$$

Recall that the coefficients  $w_3$  and  $w_4$  are defined in (4.5).

*Proof.* Let

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\omega(1 + \alpha')) x^{\alpha'-1} + |\omega(1 + \alpha')|^2 x^{2\alpha'-1}}{|1 + c_1 \omega(1 + \alpha') x^{\alpha'}|^2} |w_3|^2 - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\zeta(\alpha')) x^{-\alpha'} + \varrho |\zeta(\alpha')|^2 x^{1-2\alpha'}}{|1 + \varrho \zeta(\alpha') x^{1-\alpha'}|^2} |w_4|^2. \quad (4.13)$$

In view of (3.29) and (2.15) we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_1(x, \varepsilon) &= \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{K}(x, \varepsilon)) = \varepsilon \kappa - x - \varepsilon^2 \tilde{f}(x) \\ \tilde{K}_2(x, \varepsilon) &= \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{K}(x, \varepsilon)) = -\varepsilon^2 \tilde{g}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

for all  $x > 0$ . Note that in the non-degenerate case, when  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , we have  $\alpha = 1 + \alpha'$ . Hence the functions  $\tilde{g}$ ,  $\tilde{f}$  defined above have the same structure, with different coefficients, as their (non-degenerate) counterparts (3.14), (3.33). From this point on we can thus repeat step by step the arguments in the analysis of the non-degenerate case. In particular, a straightforward modification of Lemma 3.5 gives

$$\tilde{K}_1(x, \varepsilon) = \kappa \varepsilon - x + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\tilde{\nu}}). \quad (4.15)$$

Furthermore, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough there exists a unique  $x_\varepsilon \in \tilde{J}_\varepsilon$  such that  $\tilde{K}_1(x_\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = 0$ . With this choice of  $x_\varepsilon$  we set

$$\tilde{I}_\varepsilon = \left[ x_\varepsilon - \frac{\kappa\varepsilon}{4}, x_\varepsilon + \frac{\kappa\varepsilon}{4} \right],$$

and

$$\tilde{p}_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\tilde{I}_\varepsilon} e^{-itx} \operatorname{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{\tilde{F}(x, \varepsilon)} \right] dx.$$

Following the proofs of Lemmas 3.6-3.8 we then conclude that

$$\sup_{t>0} |\tilde{p}_\varepsilon(t) - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \lesssim \varepsilon^\mu.$$

holds for  $\varepsilon$  small enough. To prove the claim it remains to apply the Hunziker argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, see in particular equations (3.47)-(3.49).  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** The constraint  $\kappa > 0$  in Assumption 4.1 replaces condition 3.4 in the non-degenerate case. Note also that Theorem 4.3 and equation (4.10) imply

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \tilde{\eta} \kappa^{\alpha'-1} |\mathbf{w}_3|^2 \varepsilon^{1+\alpha'} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\alpha'})) + \tilde{\sigma} \kappa^{-\alpha'} |\mathbf{w}_4|^2 \varepsilon^{2-\alpha'} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha'})).$$

4.3. **Integer flux.** Given an eigenfunction  $\psi_0^{(V)}$  of  $P_0VP_0$  we denote

$$\mathbf{w}_6 = \langle V\psi_0^{(V)}, \varphi_2 \rangle \quad (4.16)$$

Similarly as in the case of the simple eigenvalue we first prove the result when  $\mathbf{w}_6 \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $2 < \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $B$  satisfy Assumption 2.1. Suppose moreover that  $V \lesssim \langle \cdot \rangle^{-\rho}$  for some  $\rho > 6$ . Let  $\psi_0^{(V)}$  be an eigenfunction of  $P_0VP_0$  which satisfies Assumption 4.1, and assume that  $\mathbf{w}_6 \neq 0$ . Then for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  we have

$$\sup_{t>0} |\langle \psi_0^{(V)}, e^{-itP-(A,\varepsilon)} \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| \lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1},$$

where  $x_\varepsilon = \kappa\varepsilon(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}))$  and where

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon |\mathbf{w}_6|^2}{\kappa (\log \varepsilon)^2} [1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})].$$

*Proof.* From equation (4.1) and the resolvent expansion (2.20) we deduce that for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in \tilde{J}_\varepsilon} \|\tilde{S}(x)\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|}$$

The resolvent equation in combination with (4.8) thus implies that, as bounded operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,

$$(\varepsilon Q_1 V Q_1 - x Q_1 - \varepsilon^2 Q_1 \tilde{S}(x) Q_1)^{-1} = (\varepsilon Q_1 V Q_1 - x Q_1)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|}\right),$$

where the error term is uniform in  $x \in \tilde{J}_\varepsilon$ . Hence writing  $\Phi(x) = Q_1 \tilde{S}(x) \psi_0^{(V)}$  it follows from (4.2) that

$$\tilde{F}(x, \varepsilon) = \kappa\varepsilon - x - \varepsilon^2 \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, \tilde{S}(x) \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle - \varepsilon^4 k(x; \varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-3}), \quad (4.17)$$

where we have abbreviated

$$k(x; \varepsilon) = \langle \Phi(x), (\varepsilon Q_1 V Q_1 - x Q_1)^{-1} \Phi(x) \rangle.$$

Notice that  $k(x, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}$  for any  $x > 0$ . Similarly as in (3.53) we now define

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}(x) = \frac{|\mathbf{w}_6|^2}{x (\log x)^2}, \quad \hat{f}(x) = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{g}}(x)}{\pi} (\log x + m_\circ), \quad \hat{h}(x) = \frac{|\mathbf{w}_6|^2 \langle \varphi_2, V \varphi_2 \rangle}{\pi^2 (x \log x)^2}, \quad (4.18)$$

and  $\widehat{K}(x; \varepsilon) = \widehat{K}_1(x; \varepsilon) + i\widehat{K}_1(x; \varepsilon)$  with

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{K}_1(x; \varepsilon) &= \kappa\varepsilon - x - \varepsilon^2 \widehat{f}(x) + \varepsilon^3 \widehat{h}(x) - \varepsilon^4 k(x; \varepsilon) \\ \widehat{K}_2(x; \varepsilon) &= -\varepsilon^2 \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(x).\end{aligned}$$

By equation (4.17) we then have

$$\sup_{x \in \widetilde{J}_\varepsilon} |\widetilde{F}(x, \varepsilon) - \widehat{K}(x, \varepsilon)| \lesssim \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-3}. \quad (4.19)$$

This replaces the estimate of Lemma 3.11 from the non-degenerate case. Obviously, equation (3.56) continues to hold with  $f, \mathbf{g}, h$  replaced by the functions defined in (4.18). Moreover, an elementary calculation shows that

$$\sup_{x \in \widetilde{J}_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^4 |\partial_x^j k(x; \varepsilon)| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1-j} |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

We can thus mimic by the line the rest of the proof of Theorem 3.10, cf. Lemmas 3.12-3.15, with  $x_\varepsilon$  being the unique zero point of  $\widehat{K}_1(x; \varepsilon)$  in  $\widetilde{J}_\varepsilon$  and with  $\gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(x_3)$ . This gives the claim.  $\square$

In order to find the leading term of  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  when the coefficient  $w_6$  vanishes we denote.

$$w_5 = \langle V\psi_0^{(V)}, \varphi_1 \rangle$$

We then have

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $2 < \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $B$  satisfy Assumption 2.1. Suppose moreover that  $V \lesssim \langle \cdot \rangle^{-\rho}$  for some  $\rho > 6$ . Let  $\psi_0^{(V)}$  be an eigenfunction of  $P_0VP_0$  which satisfies Assumption 4.1. Assume that  $w_6 = 0$ , and that

$$|w_3|^2 + |w_5|^2 > 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \sup_{t > 0} |\langle \psi_0^{(V)}, e^{-itP_-(A, \varepsilon)} \psi_0^{(V)} \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon - i\gamma_\varepsilon)}| = 0,$$

where  $x_\varepsilon = \kappa\varepsilon(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}))$ , and where

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \left( |w_5|^2 + \frac{\pi^2 |w_3|^2}{\|e^h\|_2^2} \right).$$

Recall that the coefficients  $w_3$  is defined in (4.5).

*Proof.* We follow again the line of arguments used in the non-degenerate case. Let

$$\widetilde{a}_1 = \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, V\mathcal{K}V\psi_0^{(V)} \rangle, \quad \widetilde{a}_2 = \langle \psi_0^{(V)}, VT_0V\psi_0^{(V)} \rangle$$

with  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $T_0$  given in Proposition 2.5. The self-adjointness of  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $T_0$  thus implies that  $\widetilde{a}_1, \widetilde{a}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $w_6 = 0$ , equations (4.2) and (4.1) in combination with Proposition 2.5 give

$$\widetilde{F}(x, \varepsilon) = \kappa\varepsilon - x + \varepsilon^2 \widetilde{a}_1 (\log x - i\pi) - \varepsilon^2 \widetilde{a}_2 + o(\varepsilon^2),$$

and

$$\widetilde{a}_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left( |w_5|^2 + \frac{\pi^2 |w_3|^2}{\|e^h\|_2^2} \right).$$

Hence if we define

$$\widehat{K}(x, \varepsilon) = \kappa\varepsilon - x + \varepsilon^2 \widetilde{a}_1 (\log x - i\pi) - \varepsilon^2 \widetilde{a}_2, \quad (4.21)$$

then

$$\sup_{x \in \widetilde{J}_\varepsilon} |\widetilde{F}(x, \varepsilon) - \widehat{K}(x, \varepsilon)| = o(\varepsilon^2).$$

Setting  $x_\varepsilon$  to be the unique zero point of  $\text{Re}(\widehat{K}(x, \varepsilon)) = \kappa\varepsilon - x + \varepsilon^2 \widetilde{a}_1 \log x - \varepsilon^2 \widetilde{a}_2$  in the interval  $\widetilde{J}_\varepsilon$  and

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \widetilde{a}_1 \pi \varepsilon^2$$



we can thus follow the proof of Theorem 3.16 and conclude the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**4.4. Example:**  $2 < \alpha \leq 3$ . Below we present an example in which a doubly degenerate eigenvalue of  $P(A)$  produces two resonances which obey different asymptotical laws as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ .

Let  $B(x)$  satisfy Assumption 2.1 and assume that  $B(x) = b(|x|)$  for some function  $b : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that

$$2 < \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B(x) dx \leq 3.$$

Then 0 is a doubly degenerate eigenvalue of  $P_-(A)$  with normalized eigenfunctions

$$\psi_1(x) = c_1 e^{h(x)}, \quad \psi_2(x) = c_2 (x_1 + ix_2) e^{h(x)}. \quad (4.22)$$

Since  $h$  is radial in this case, we have  $\langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle = 0$ . Moreover, by [12, Cor. 5.10],

$$\psi(x) = \frac{(x_1 + ix_2) e^{h(x)}}{\|(x_1 + ix_2) e^h\|_2^2}. \quad (4.23)$$

Now let

$$V(x) = (1 + x_1^2 - x_2^2) u(|x|), \quad (4.24)$$

where  $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $u \geq 0$  and  $u \lesssim \langle \cdot \rangle^{-\rho}$  with some  $\rho > 8$ . We then have

$$P_0 V P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1 \psi_1 \langle \psi_1, \cdot \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_2 \psi_2 \langle \psi_2, \cdot \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$\kappa_1 = \langle \psi_1, V \psi_1 \rangle > 0, \quad \kappa_2 = \langle \psi_2, V \psi_2 \rangle > 0.$$

The last two inequalities follow from the positivity of  $u$  and from the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1^2 - x_2^2) u(|x|) |\psi_j(x)|^2 dx = 0 \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Thus  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are eigenfunctions of  $P_0 V P_0$  with eigenvalues  $\kappa_1 > 0$  and  $\kappa_2 > 0$ . Moreover, by choosing  $u$  in a suitable way, for example putting  $u = 0$  in a ball centered in the origin with a radius large enough, we can make sure that

$$\kappa_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(|x|) |\psi_1(x)|^2 dx < \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(|x|) |\psi_2(x)|^2 dx = \kappa_2,$$

cf. (4.22), so that Assumption 4.1 is satisfied for both  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ . Now we treat the following cases:

$2 < \alpha < 3$ . By [12, Cor. 5.10],

$$\varphi(x) = (x_1 + ix_2)^2 e^{h(x)}.$$

A short calculation shows that

$$w_3^{(1)} = \langle V \psi_1, \psi \rangle = 0, \quad w_3^{(2)} = \langle V \psi_2, \psi \rangle \neq 0 \quad (4.26)$$

$$w_4^{(2)} = \langle V \psi_2, \varphi \rangle = 0, \quad w_4^{(1)} = \langle V \psi_1, \varphi \rangle \neq 0. \quad (4.27)$$

Condition (4.11) is thus satisfied and Theorem 4.3 can be applied with  $\psi_0^{(V)} = \psi_1$  as well as with  $\psi_0^{(V)} = \psi_2$ . Hence  $P_-(A, \varepsilon)$  admits two resonances which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} x_\varepsilon^{(1)} &= \varepsilon \kappa_1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2-\alpha'}) & \gamma_\varepsilon^{(1)} &= \tilde{\sigma} |w_4^{(1)}|^2 \kappa_1^{-\alpha'} \varepsilon^{2-\alpha'} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1+2\alpha'}) \\ x_\varepsilon^{(2)} &= \varepsilon \kappa_2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha'}) & \gamma_\varepsilon^{(2)} &= \tilde{\eta} |w_3^{(2)}|^2 \kappa_2^{\alpha'-1} \varepsilon^{1+\alpha'} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3-2\alpha'}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

$\alpha = 3$ . Obviously, the coefficients  $w_3^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , still satisfy (4.26). The zero virtual bound states are given by

$$\varphi_1(x) = (x_1 + ix_2)^3 e^{h(x)}, \quad \varphi_2(x) = (x_1 + ix_2)^2 e^{h(x)},$$

see [12, Cor. 6.7]. A quick calculation thus gives

$$w_6^{(1)} = \langle V\psi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \neq 0.$$

Hence Theorem 4.5 applies and says that

$$\sup_{t>0} |\langle \psi_1 e^{-itP_-(A,\varepsilon)} \psi_1 \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon^{(1)} - i\gamma_\varepsilon^{(1)})}| \lesssim |\log \varepsilon|^{-1}$$

with  $x_\varepsilon^{(1)} = \kappa_1 \varepsilon (1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}))$  and with

$$\gamma_\varepsilon^{(1)} = \frac{\varepsilon |w_6^{(1)}|^2}{\kappa_1 (\log \varepsilon)^2} [1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1})]. \quad (4.29)$$

On the other hand, for the eigenfunction  $\psi_2$  we have

$$w_6^{(2)} = \langle V\psi_2, \varphi_2 \rangle = w_5^{(2)} = \langle V\psi_2, \varphi_1 \rangle = 0.$$

This means that Theorem 4.5 is not applicable to  $\psi_2$ . However, in view of equation (4.26) we can apply Theorem 4.6 which implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \sup_{t>0} |\langle \psi_2, e^{-itP_-(A,\varepsilon)} \psi_2 \rangle - e^{-it(x_\varepsilon^{(2)} - i\gamma_\varepsilon^{(2)})}| = 0,$$

with  $x_\varepsilon^{(2)} = \kappa_2 \varepsilon (1 + \mathcal{O}(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}))$  and

$$\gamma_\varepsilon^{(2)} = \varepsilon^2 \frac{\pi^2 |w_3^{(2)}|^2}{\|e^h\|_2^2}. \quad (4.30)$$

**Remark 4.7.** In general, given an arbitrary  $\alpha > 1$ , we note that if all the eigenvalues of the operator  $P_0VP_0$  are positive and simple, and if the associated eigenfunctions satisfy condition (4.11) resp. (4.20), then the number of resonances of  $P_\varepsilon(A)$  is at least as large as the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of  $P(A)$ .

#### APPENDIX A.

*Proof of Propositions 2.3 and 2.5.* Although not explicitly stated there, the existence of the operator  $S_0$  in (2.16) follows from the proof of [12, Thm. 5.6]. The self-adjointness of  $S_0$  follows by considering the expansion (2.16) for  $z = -x$  with  $x > 0$ . Then  $(P_-(A) - z)^{-1} = (P_-(A) + x)^{-1}$  is self-adjoint. On the other hand,  $\omega(1 + \alpha')(-x)^{\alpha' - 1}$  and  $\zeta(\alpha')(-x)^{-\alpha'}$  are real numbers, see equation (2.15). Hence the first three operators on the right hand side of (2.16) are self-adjoint. Therefore  $S_0$  is self-adjoint too. In the same way one proves the existence and self-adjointness of  $T_0$  in Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX B.

The following technical result is not new, see [10, Eq. (3.56)]. For the sake of completeness, we give a short proof.

**Lemma B.1.** Let  $a > 1$ . Then

$$\sup_{s>0} \sup_{R>a} \left| \int_{-R}^R \frac{e^{-is\frac{y}{R}}}{y+i} dy \right| < \infty.$$

*Proof.* By the residue theorem,

$$\int_{-R}^R \frac{e^{-is\frac{y}{R}}}{y+i} dy = -2\pi i e^{-\frac{s}{R}} - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{-is\frac{z}{R}}}{z+i} dz$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the semi-circle of radius  $R$  in the lower complex half-plane centered in the origin and directed from  $(R, 0)$  to  $(-R, 0)$ . The first term on the hand side is obviously bounded uniformly in  $s > 0$  and  $R > a$ . As for the second term, parametrizing  $\Gamma$  in the usual way we find

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{-is\frac{z}{R}}}{z+i} dz \right| = R \left| \int_0^{\pi} \frac{e^{-is \cos \theta - s \sin \theta} e^{i\theta}}{R \cos \theta - iR \sin \theta + i} d\theta \right| \leq \frac{\pi R}{R-1} \leq \frac{\pi a}{a-1},$$

since  $|R \cos \theta - iR \sin \theta + i| \geq R - 1$ . □

REFERENCES

[1] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher: Ground state of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  charged particle in a two-dimensional magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. A* **19**(6) (1979) 2461–2462.

[2] S. Avramska-Lukarska, D. Hundertmark, H. Kovařík: Absence of positive eigenvalues for two-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operators. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **62** (2023) n. 63.

[3] F. Bentosela, R.M. Cavalcanti, P. Exner, V.A. Zagrebnov: Anomalous electron trapping by localized magnetic fields. *J. Phys. A* **32** (1999) 3029–3041.

[4] H. Cornean, A. Jensen, G. Nenciu: Metastable States When the Fermi Golden Rule Constant Vanishes. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **334** (2015) 1189–1218.

[5] H.L. Cycon, F.G. Froese, W. Kirsch, B. Simon: *Schrödinger Operators with Application to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry*. Springer Verlag, 1987.

[6] L. Erdős, V. Vougalter: Pauli Operator and Aharonov–Casher Theorem for Measure Valued Magnetic Fields. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **225** (2002) 399–421.

[7] R.L. Frank, S. Morozov and S. Vugalter: Weakly coupled bound states of Pauli operators, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **40** (2011) 253–271.

[8] W. Hunziker: Resonances, metastable states and exponential decay laws in perturbation theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **132** (1990) 177–188.

[9] T. Ikebe, Y. Saito: Limiting absorption method and absolute continuity for the Schrödinger operator. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **12-3** (1972) 513–542.

[10] A. Jensen, G. Nenciu: The Fermi Golden Rule and its Form at Thresholds in Odd Dimensions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **261** (2006) 693–727.

[11] A. Jensen, G. Nenciu: On the Fermi golden rule: degenerate eigenvalues. *Perspectives in operator algebras and mathematical physics, Theta Ser. Adv. Math.* **8** (2008) 91–103.

[12] H. Kovařík: Spectral properties and time decay of the wave functions of Pauli and Dirac operators in dimension two. *Adv. Math.* **398** (2022), 108244.

[13] H. Kovařík: Eigenvalue bounds for two-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operators. *J. Spectr. Theory* **1** (2011) 363–387.

[14] A. Laptev and T. Weidl: Hardy inequalities for magnetic Dirichlet forms, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **108** (1999) 299–305.

[15] A. Orth: Quantum mechanical resonance and limiting absorption: the many body problem. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **126** (1990) 559–573.

[16] G. Rozenblum, N. Shirokov: Infiniteness of zero modes for the Pauli operator with singular magnetic field. *J. Funct. Anal.* **223** (2006) 135–172.

[17] B. Simon: Resonances in n-body quantum systems with dilatation analytic potentials and the foundations of time-dependent perturbation theory. *Ann. of Math.* **97** (1973) 247–274.

[18] B. Simon: Resonances and Complex Scaling: A Rigorous Overview. *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **14** (1978) 529–542.

[19] J. Sjöstrand, M. Zworski: Elementary linear algebra for advanced spectral problems. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **57** (2007) 2095–20141.

[20] A. Soffer, M. Weinstein: Time dependent resonance theory. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **8** (1988) 1086–1128.

[21] B. Thaller: *The Dirac Equation*, Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[22] R. Waxler: The time evolution of a class of meta-stable states. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **171** (1995) 535–549.

[23] T. Weidl: A remark on Hardy type inequalities for critical Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. *Op. Theory: Adv. and Appl.* **110** (1999) 247–254.

JONATHAN BREUER, EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL

*Email address:* `jbreuer@math.huji.ac.il`

HYNEK KOVAŘÍK, DICATAM, SEZIONE DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BRESCIA, VIA BRANZE 38 - 25123, BRESCIA, ITALY

*Email address:* `hynek.kovarik@unibs.it`