

Comment on Matsushima, Miyazaki, and Yagi (2010) “Role of Linking Mechanisms in Multitask Agency with Hidden Information”^{*}

Ian Ball[†] Deniz Kattwinkel[‡]

7 February 2023

We correct a gap in the proof of Theorem 2 in [Matsushima et al. \(2010, p. 2248\)](#). Given K tasks and a finite set Ω of private signals for each task, a quota is a map $B: \Omega \rightarrow \{1, \dots, K\}$ satisfying $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} B(\omega) = K$. In the associated quota mechanism, the message space M consists of all K -vectors $\hat{\omega} = (\hat{\omega}_1, \dots, \hat{\omega}_K)$ in Ω^K satisfying $\#\{k : \hat{\omega}_k = \omega\} = B(\omega)$ for each ω in Ω . Given such a mechanism and a fixed vector $\hat{\omega} = (\hat{\omega}_1, \dots, \hat{\omega}_K)$ in Ω^K , a strategy $\sigma: \Omega^K \rightarrow M$ is *cyclic for $\hat{\omega}$* if there exists a subset S of $\{1, \dots, K\}$ with $\#S \geq 2$ and a one-to-one function $\tau: \{1, \dots, \#S\} \rightarrow S$ such that (i) $\hat{\omega}_s \neq \hat{\omega}_{s'}$ for all distinct $s, s' \in S$, and (ii) $\sigma_{\tau(\ell)}(\hat{\omega}) = \hat{\omega}_{\tau(\ell+1)}$ for all ℓ in $\{1, \dots, \#S\}$, with $\#S + 1$ defined to equal 1.

[Matsushima et al. \(2010, p. 2249\)](#) claim that if a strategy σ is not cyclic for a vector $\hat{\omega} = (\hat{\omega}_1, \dots, \hat{\omega}_K)$ in Ω^K , then

$$\frac{\#\{k : \sigma_k(\hat{\omega}) \neq \hat{\omega}_k\}}{K} \leq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \left| \frac{\#\{k : \hat{\omega}_k = \omega\}}{K} - \frac{B(\omega)}{K} \right|. \quad (1)$$

This claim is not correct. Here is a counterexample. Let $\Omega = \{A, B, C, D\}$, $K = 4$, and $B(\omega) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Consider a strategy σ such that $\sigma(A, A, B, C) = (A, B, C, D)$. It is straightforward to check that σ is not cyclic at (A, A, B, C) . Taking $\hat{\omega} = (A, A, B, C)$ in (1), the left side equals $3/4$ and the right side equals $1/2$, contrary to the inequality.

^{*}We thank Koichi Miyazaki for helpful comments.

[†]Department of Economics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, ianball@mit.edu.

[‡]Department of Economics, University College London, d.kattwinkel@ucl.ac.uk.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, it suffices to show instead that if σ is not cyclic for a vector $\hat{\omega} = (\hat{\omega}_1, \dots, \hat{\omega}_K)$ in Ω^K , then

$$\frac{\#\{k : \sigma_k(\hat{\omega}) \neq \hat{\omega}_k\}}{K} \leq \frac{|\Omega| - 1}{2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \left| \frac{\#\{k : \hat{\omega}_k = \omega\}}{K} - \frac{B(\omega)}{K} \right|. \quad (2)$$

Inequality (2) is strictly weaker than (1) if $|\Omega| \geq 4$.

We prove the contrapositive of this modified claim, following Ball et al. (2022), which corrects a claim in Jackson and Sonnenschein (2007) similar to that in Matsushima et al. (2010). Fix a strategy σ and a vector $\hat{\omega} = (\hat{\omega}_1, \dots, \hat{\omega}_K)$ in Ω^K such that (2) is violated. By Lemma 2 (Ball et al., 2022, p. o6), there exists a nonempty subset T of $\{1, \dots, K\}$ and a bijection π on T such that (i) $(K - \#T)/K$ is at most the right side of (2), and (ii) $\sigma_k(\hat{\omega}) = \hat{\omega}_{\pi(k)}$ for all k in T . Since (2) is violated, there exists j in T such that $\hat{\omega}_{\pi(j)} = \sigma_j(\hat{\omega}) \neq \hat{\omega}_j$. Define a directed multigraph with vertex set Ω and edge set indexed by k in T , where edge k is from $\hat{\omega}_k$ to $\hat{\omega}_{\pi(k)}$. This multigraph is balanced, so it can be decomposed into edge-disjoint cycles.¹ The cycle containing edge j has length at least 2. Let S be the set of indices of the edges in this cycle. Define τ recursively as follows. Let $\tau(1) = j$. For each ℓ in $\{1, \dots, \#S - 1\}$, let $\tau(\ell + 1)$ be the label of the unique edge in S with tail $\hat{\omega}_{\pi(\tau(\ell))}$. By construction, $\hat{\omega}_{\tau(\ell+1)} = \hat{\omega}_{\pi(\tau(\ell))} = \sigma_{\tau(\ell)}(\hat{\omega})$ for each ℓ in $\{1, \dots, \#S\}$, with $\#S + 1$ defined to equal 1. Therefore, σ is cyclic at $\hat{\omega}$.

References

- BALL, I., M. O. JACKSON, AND D. KATTWINKEL (2022): “Comment on Jackson and Sonnenschein (2007) ‘Overcoming Incentive Constraints by Linking Decisions,’” *Econometrica*, 90, 3–7. [2]
- JACKSON, M. O. AND H. F. SONNENSCHNEIN (2007): “Overcoming Incentive Constraints by Linking Decisions,” *Econometrica*, 75, 241–257. [2]
- MATSUSHIMA, H., K. MIYAZAKI, AND N. YAGI (2010): “Role of Linking Mechanisms in Multitask Agency with Hidden Information,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 145, 2241–2259. [1, 2]

¹These cycles can be constructed as follows. Start at a node with an outgoing edge. Form a path by arbitrarily selecting outgoing edges until the path contains a cycle. Remove the cycle and repeat. Since the graph remains balanced after each cycle is removed, this process can terminate only when every edge has been removed.