

Non-commutative Poisson algebras with a set grading

Valiollah Khalili¹

ABSTRACT: In this paper we study of the structure of non-commutative Poisson algebras with an arbitrary set \mathcal{S} . We show that any of such an algebra \mathcal{P} decomposes as

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{U} \oplus \sum_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}) / \sim} \mathcal{P}_{[\lambda]},$$

where \mathcal{U} is a linear subspace complement of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}] + \mathcal{P}_{\mu}\mathcal{P}_{\eta} : \mu, \eta \in [\lambda]\} \cap \mathcal{P}_0$ in \mathcal{P}_0 and any $\mathcal{P}_{[\lambda]}$ a well-described graded ideals of \mathcal{P} , satisfying $[\mathcal{P}_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}_{[\mu]}] + \mathcal{P}_{[\lambda]}\mathcal{P}_{[\mu]} = 0$ if $[\lambda] \neq [\mu]$. Under certain conditions, the simplicity of \mathcal{P} is characterized and it is shown that \mathcal{P} is the direct sum of the family of its graded simple ideals.

1. INTRODUCTION

A non-commutative Poisson algebra is a Lie algebra endowed with a non-commutative associative product in such a way that the Lie and associative products are compatible via a Leibniz rule, which initially appeared in the work of Smeon-Denis Poisson in the theory of celestial mechanics [1]. These algebras play a central role in the study of Poisson geometry [2, 19, 22], in deformation quantization [16, 14] and in deformation of commutative associative algebras [12]. Poisson structures are also used in the study of vertex operator algebras (see [11]). Moreover, the cohomology group, deformation, tensor product and Γ -graded of Poisson algebras have been studied by many authors in [4, 13, 21, 23, 24, 25].

There is a concept of grading not involving groups. This is a grading by means of an arbitrary set \mathcal{S} , not necessarily a group. The study of gradings on Lie algebras [5, 9, 10], begins in the 1933 seminal Jordan's work, with the purpose of formalizing Quantum Mechanics [15]. Set gradings on Lie algebras where first considered in the literature by Patera and Zassenhaus in [20] under the restriction that the set \mathcal{S} agrees with the support of the grading which they called these gradings Lie gradings. It is worth mentioning that the so-called techniques of connection of roots had long been introduced by Calderon, Antonio J, on split Lie algebras with symmetric root systems in [7]. Since then, the interest on gradings by the technique of connections of elements in the support of the graing on different classes of algebras has been remarkable in the recent years, motivated in part by their application in

¹Department of mathematics, Faculty of sciences, Arak University, Arak 385156-8-8349, Po.Box: 879, Iran. V-Khalili@araku.ac.ir

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 17A30, 17B63, 17A60.

Keywords: Non-commutative Poisson algebras, set-graded Lie algebra, structure theory

physics and geometry. Recently, in [3, 8, 17], Lie algebras with a set grading, Lie superalgebras with a set grading and Leibniz superalgebras with a set grading, have been determined by the connections of the support of the grading. Our goal in this work is to study the structure of arbitrary non-commutative Poisson algebras (not necessarily finite-dimensional) over an arbitrary base field \mathbb{F} by focusing on the technique of connections of elements in the support of the grading. their structure. Inspired by the previous works on split non-commutative Poisson algebras in [6], we would like to study the set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebras by focusing on their inner structure by using of the connection techniques.

Throughout this paper, non-commutative Poisson algebras \mathcal{P} are considered of arbitrary dimension and over an arbitrary base field \mathbb{F} , with characteristic zero. We also consider an arbitrary set \mathcal{S} with identity zero.

To close this introduction, we briefly outline the contents of the paper. In Section 2, we begin by recalling the necessary background on graded Poisson color algebras. Section 3 develops the technique of connections of elements in the support of the grading for graded non-commutative Poisson algebras by means of an arbitrary set. We also show that such an algebra \mathcal{P} with a support $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ is of the form $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{U} \oplus \sum_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}) / \sim} \mathcal{P}_{[\lambda]}$, with \mathcal{U} a vector subspace complement of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}] + \mathcal{P}_{\mu}\mathcal{P}_{\eta} : \mu, \eta \in [\lambda]\} \cap \mathcal{P}_0$ and any $\mathcal{P}_{[\lambda]}$ a well-described graded ideals of \mathcal{P} , satisfying $[\mathcal{P}_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}_{[\mu]}] + \mathcal{P}_{[\lambda]}\mathcal{P}_{[\mu]} = 0$ if $[\lambda] \neq [\mu]$. In section 4, we show that under certain conditions, in the case of \mathcal{P} being of maximal length, the simplicity of the algebra is characterized.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we first recall some basic definitions and properties of set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebras.

Definition 2.1. [6] A *non-commutative Poisson algebra* is a Lie algebra $(\mathcal{P}, [., .])$ over an arbitrary base field \mathbb{F} , endowed with an associative product, denoted by juxtaposition, in such a way the following Leibniz rule:

$$[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z],$$

holds for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{P}$.

A subalgebra A is a linear subspace of \mathcal{P} closed by both the Lie and the associative products, that is $[A, A] + AA \subset A$. An ideal I of \mathcal{P} is a subalgebra satisfying $[I, \mathcal{P}] + I\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}I \subset I$. A non-commutative Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} is called simple if $[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}] \neq 0, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P} \neq 0$ and its only ideals are $\{0\}$ and \mathcal{P} .

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{P} be a non-commutative Poisson algebra and \mathcal{S} be an arbitrary (non-empty) set. It is said that \mathcal{P} has a *set grading by means of \mathcal{S}* or it is *set-graded* if

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}_s,$$

as a direct sum of non-zero subspaces indexed by \mathcal{S} , having the property that, for any $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$ with $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_s, \mathcal{P}_t] + \mathcal{P}_s\mathcal{P}_t$, there exists unique $u \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $[\mathcal{P}_s, \mathcal{P}_t] + \mathcal{P}_s\mathcal{P}_t \subset \mathcal{P}_u$.

Note that, split non-commutative Poisson algebras, graded Poisson color algebras are examples of set-graded Poisson superalgebras. Hence, the present paper extends the results in [6, 18].

We call the *support* of the set-grading to be the set

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{S} : \mathcal{P}_{\lambda} \neq 0\}.$$

So we can write

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}.$$

The usual regularity conditions will be understood in the graded sense compatible with the non-commutative Poisson algebra structure, that is, a *graded subalgebra* A of a set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} is a graded subspace such that it splits as

$$A = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} A_s \text{ with } A_s = A \cap \mathcal{P}_s, \quad (2.3)$$

and that $[A, A] + AA \subset A$. A graded subalgebra I of \mathcal{P} is a *graded ideal* if $[I, \mathcal{P}] + I\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}I \subset I$. A set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} will be called *gr-simple* if $[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}] + \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P} \neq 0$ and its only ideals are $\{0\}$ and \mathcal{P} .

3. CONNECTIONS IN $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$.Decompositions

In this section, we begin by developing connection techniques in the support of a set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebras as in [8].

Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$ be an arbitrary set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra, with $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ the support of the grading. First we recall some terminology which can be found in [8].

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$, a new symbol $\tilde{\lambda} \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ is introduced and we denote by

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}} := \{\tilde{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\},$$

the set consisting of all these new symbols.

We will denote by $P(A)$ the power set of a given set A . Next, we consider the following operation

$$\star : (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}) \times (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}) \longrightarrow P(\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}),$$

given by

- for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$,

$$\lambda \star \mu = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}\mathcal{P}_{\mu} = 0 \\ \{\eta\} & \text{if } 0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}\mathcal{P}_{\mu} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\eta} \end{cases} ;$$

- for $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}, \tilde{\mu} \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}},$

$$\lambda \star \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu} \star \lambda = \{\eta \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} : 0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_{\eta}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu}] + \mathcal{P}_{\eta} \mathcal{P}_{\mu} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}\};$$

- for $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu} \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}},$

$$\tilde{\lambda} \star \tilde{\mu} = \emptyset.$$

From now on, given any $\tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}$ we will denote

$$\tilde{\tilde{\lambda}} := \lambda.$$

Given also any subset Ω of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}$, we write $\tilde{\Omega} := \{\tilde{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Omega\}$ if $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and also $\tilde{\emptyset} := \emptyset$.

It is worth to mention that, sometimes it is interesting to distinguish one element 0 in the support of the grading, because the homogeneous space \mathcal{P}_0 has, in a sense, a special behavior to the remaining elements in the set of spaces \mathcal{P}_{λ} , for $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$. This is for instance case in which the grading set \mathcal{S} is an abelian group, where the homogeneous space \mathcal{P}_0 associated to the unit element 0 in the group enjoys a distinguished role (see [18]). If we consider the group-grading determined by the Cartan decomposition of a split Lie algebra of maximal length, the homogeneous space associated to the unit element agrees with the Cartan subalgebra H and being $\dim \mathcal{P}_{\lambda} = 1$ for any λ in the support of the grading up to $\dim \mathcal{P}_0$ which is not bounded by this condition (see [7]). From here, we are going to feel free in our study to distinguish one element 0 in the support of the grading. Hence, let us now fix an element 0 such that either $0 \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ satisfying the property $0 \star \lambda \neq \{0\}$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}$, or $0 = \emptyset$. The possibility $0 = \emptyset$ holds for the case in which it is not wished to distinguish any element in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$. Finally, we need to introduce the following mapping;

$$\psi : \left((\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}) \setminus \{0, \tilde{0}\} \right) \times (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}) \longrightarrow P \left((\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}) \setminus \{0, \tilde{0}\} \right),$$

given by

- $\psi(\emptyset, \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}) = \emptyset;$
- for any $\emptyset \neq \Omega \in P \left((\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}) \setminus \{0, \tilde{0}\} \right)$ and any $a \in (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}),$

$$\psi(\Omega, a) = \left(\left(\bigcup_{x \in \Omega} (x \star a) \right) \setminus \{0\} \right) \cup \left(\left(\bigcup_{x \in \Omega} \widetilde{(x \star a)} \right) \setminus \{0\} \right).$$

Remark 3.1. It is obvious that for any $\Omega \in P \left((\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}) \setminus \{0, \tilde{0}\} \right)$ and any $a \in (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}),$ we have

$$\psi(\Omega, a) = \widetilde{\psi(\Omega, a)}, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\psi(\Omega, a) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} = \left(\bigcup_{x \in \Omega} (x \star a) \right) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Also observe that for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $a \in (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}})$ we have $\lambda \in x \star a$ for some $x \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ if and only if $x \in \lambda \star \tilde{a}$, while $\lambda \in \mu \star a$ for some $\mu \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{S}}$ if and only if $\tilde{\mu} \in \tilde{\lambda} \star a$.

These facts together with Eq.(3.2) imply that for any $\Omega \in P\left((\Lambda_S \dot{\cup} \widetilde{\Lambda}_S) \setminus \{0, \tilde{0}\}\right)$ such that $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega}$ and $a \in (\Lambda_S \dot{\cup} \widetilde{\Lambda}_S)$ we have $\lambda \in \psi(\Omega, a) \cap \Lambda_S$ if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ and either $\psi(\{\lambda\}, \tilde{a}) \cap \Omega \cap \Lambda_S \neq \emptyset$ or $\psi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_S, a) \cap \Omega \cap \Lambda_S \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$. We say that λ is connected to μ and denote it by $\lambda \sim \mu$ if there exists a family

$$\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subset \Lambda_S \dot{\cup} \widetilde{\Lambda}_S,$$

satisfying the following conditions;

If $n = 1$:

$$(1) \lambda_1 = \lambda = \mu.$$

If $n \geq 2$:

$$(1) \lambda_1 \in \{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}\},$$

$$(2) \psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$\psi(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \lambda_3) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$\psi(\psi(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \lambda_3), \lambda_4) \neq \emptyset,$$

...

$$\psi(\psi(\dots(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \dots), \lambda_{n-2}), \lambda_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset.$$

$$(3) \mu \in \psi(\psi(\dots(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \dots), \lambda_{n-2}), \lambda_{n-1}), \lambda_n).$$

The family $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is called a *connection* from λ to μ .

Proposition 3.4. *The relation \sim in $\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$, defined by $\lambda \sim \mu$ if and only if λ is connected to μ , is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [8]. \square

By the above proposition, given $\lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$, we can consider the quotient set

$$(\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}) / \sim := \{[\lambda] : \lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}\},$$

then $[\lambda]$ is the set of elements in $\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$, which are connected to λ . By Proposition 3.4, if $\mu \notin [\lambda]$ then $[\lambda] \cap [\mu] = \emptyset$.

Our next goal in this section is to associate an ideal $I_{[\lambda]}$ of \mathcal{P} to any $[\lambda]$. Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$, we define the set $I_{0, [\lambda]}$ by;

$$I_{0, [\lambda]} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] + \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta : \mu, \eta \in [\lambda]\} \cap \mathcal{P}_0.$$

That is

$$I_{0, [\lambda]} = \left(\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] + \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta) \right) \subset \mathcal{P}_0, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_0 := \{0\}$ whence $0 = \emptyset$. Next, we define

$$\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} := \bigoplus_{\mu \in [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_\mu.$$

Finally, we denote by $I_{[\lambda]}$ the direct sum of the two graded subspaces above, that is,

$$I_{[\lambda]} := I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}.$$

Proposition 3.6. *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}$, the graded subspace $I_{[\lambda]}$ is a graded subalgebra of \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. First, we are going to check that $I_{[\lambda]}$ satisfies $[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\lambda]}] \subset I_{[\lambda]}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\lambda]}] &= [I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}] \\ &\subset [I_{0, [\lambda]}, I_{0, [\lambda]}] + [I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}] + [\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, I_{0, [\lambda]}] + [\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let us consider the second summand in (3.7). Taking into account $I_{0, [\lambda]} \subset \mathcal{P}_0$ and suppose that there exists $\mu \in [\lambda]$ such that $0 \neq [I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu}] \subset [\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\mu}] \subset \mathcal{P}_{\tau}$ with $0 \star \mu = \{\tau\}$, $\tau \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the connection $\{\tau, 0\}$ gives us $\mu \sim \tau$ and so $\tau \in [\lambda]$. Hence, $[I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}] \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. By skew-symmetry of the Lie product $[\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, I_{0, [\lambda]}] \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. Therefore,

$$[I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}] + [\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, I_{0, [\lambda]}] \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.8)$$

Consider now the fourth summand in (3.7). Suppose there exist $\mu, \eta \in [\lambda]$ with $\mu \star \eta = \{\tau\}$, $\tau \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}] \subset \mathcal{P}_{\tau}$. If $\tau = 0$, clearly $[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}] \subset \mathcal{P}_0$, and taking into account Eq. (3.5) we have $[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}] \subset I_{0, [\lambda]}$. Otherwise, if $\tau \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}$, then the connection $\{\tau, \tilde{\eta}\}$ gives us $\mu \sim \tau$ and so $\tau \in [\lambda]$. Hence $[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}] \subset \mathcal{P}_{\tau} \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. In any case, we have

$$[\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}] \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.9)$$

Finally consider the first summand in (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [I_{0, [\lambda]}, I_{0, [\lambda]}] &= \left[\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}] + \mathcal{P}_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}), \sum_{\substack{\mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu' \star \eta' = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] + \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}) \right] \\ &\subset \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} ([[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}], [\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]] + [[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] \\ &\quad + [\mathcal{P}_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}, [\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]] + [\mathcal{P}_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

For the first item in (3.10), suppose there exist $\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda]$ with $\mu \star \eta = \{0\}$ and $\mu' \star \eta' = \{0\}$ such that $[[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}], [\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]] \neq 0$. Taking into account Eq. (3.5) and the Jacobi identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}], [\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]] &\subset [[[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}], \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] + [[[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}], \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \\ &\subset [[\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}], \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] + [[\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}]. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \subset \mathcal{P}_{\tau}$, with $0 \star \mu' = \{\tau\}$, $\tau \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}$ then $\{\tau, 0\}$ is a connection from τ to μ' , so $\tau \in [\lambda]$. Similarly, with $[\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]$ to get

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} [[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta], [\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]] \subset I_{0, [\lambda]}. \quad (3.11)$$

For the second and third items in (3.10), taking into account $\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'} \subset \mathcal{P}_0$ or $\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta \subset \mathcal{P}_0$ and the Jacobi identity, one can get

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} ([[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta], \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] + [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, [\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]]) \subset I_{0, [\lambda]}. \quad (3.12)$$

For the last item in (3.10), suppose there exist $\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda]$ with $\mu \star \eta = \{0\}$ and $\mu' \star \eta' = \{0\}$ such that $[\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] \neq 0$. Taking into account Eq. (3.5) and the Leibniz rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] &\subset [\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] \\ &\subset [\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \mathcal{P}_{\eta'} + \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} [\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] \end{aligned}$$

Again as above, If $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \subset \mathcal{P}_\tau$, with $0 \star \mu' = \{\tau\}$, $\tau \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}$ then $\{\tau, 0\}$ is a connection from τ to μ' , so $\tau \in [\lambda]$. Similarly, with $[\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]$ to get

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] \subset I_{0, [\lambda]}. \quad (3.13)$$

From Eqs. (3.11)-(3.13), we conclude that

$$[I_{0, [\lambda]}, I_{0, [\lambda]}] \subset I_{0, [\lambda]}. \quad (3.14)$$

Finally, Eqs (3.8), (3.9) and (3.14) give us

$$[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\lambda]}] \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.15)$$

Next, we will show that $I_{[\lambda]}$ satisfies $I_{[\lambda]} I_{[\lambda]} \subset I_{[\lambda]}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{[\lambda]} I_{[\lambda]} &= (I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]})(I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}) \\ &\subset I_{0, [\lambda]} I_{0, [\lambda]} + I_{0, [\lambda]} \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} + \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} I_{0, [\lambda]} + \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

It is enough we just have to consider the first summand in (3.16). For the rest of summands, by a similar way as above, one can shows

$$I_{0, [\lambda]} \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} + \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} I_{0, [\lambda]} + \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.17)$$

Now, consider the first summand $I_{0, [\lambda]} I_{0, [\lambda]}$ in (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{0, [\lambda]} I_{0, [\lambda]} &= \left(\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] + \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta) \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{\mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu' \star \eta' = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] + \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}) \right) \\
&\subset \sum_{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda]} ([\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta][\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] + [\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta](\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'})) \\
&\quad + (\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta)[\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] + (\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta)(\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}). \tag{3.18}
\end{aligned}$$

For the fourth item in (3.18), By the associativity of product, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} (\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta)(\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}) &= \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} (\mathcal{P}_\mu (\mathcal{P}_\eta (\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}))) \\
&\subset \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} (\mathcal{P}_\mu (\mathcal{P}_\eta \mathcal{P}_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

If $0 \neq \mathcal{P}_\eta \mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}_\tau$, with $\eta \star 0 = \{\tau\}$, $\tau \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$ then $\{0, \tau\}$ is a connection from τ to η , so $\tau \in [\lambda]$. Henc $\mathcal{P}_\eta \mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}_\tau \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} (\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta)(\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}) \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \tag{3.19}$$

For the second item in (3.18), By the Leibniz rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} [\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta](\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}) &\subset \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} [\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] \mathcal{P}_0 \\
&\subset \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta \mathcal{P}_0] + \mathcal{P}_\eta [\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_0]).
\end{aligned}$$

A similar way as above with $\mathcal{P}_\eta \mathcal{P}_0$ and with $[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_0]$, to get

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} [\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta](\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}.$$

Similarly, $\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} (\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta)[\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} ([\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta](\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}) + (\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta)[\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]) \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \tag{3.20}$$

For the first item in (3.18), taking into account $[\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}] \subset \mathcal{P}_0$ and the Leibniz rule, as above one can get

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta, \mu', \eta' \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\} = \mu' \star \eta'}} ([\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}] [\mathcal{P}_{\mu'}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta'}]) \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.21)$$

From Eqs. (3.19)-(3.21), we showed that

$$I_{0, [\lambda]} I_{0, [\lambda]} \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.22)$$

Therefore, Eqs. (3.17) and (3.22) give us

$$I_{[\lambda]} I_{[\lambda]} \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.23)$$

Finally, from Eqs. (3.15) and (3.23), we conclude that $[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\lambda]}] + I_{[\lambda]} I_{[\lambda]} \subset I_{[\lambda]}$, so $I_{[\lambda]}$ is a (graded) subalgebra of \mathcal{P} . \square

Proposition 3.24. *For any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}$, if $[\lambda] \neq [\mu]$ then $[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\mu]}] + I_{[\lambda]} I_{[\mu]} = 0$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\mu]}] &= [I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, I_{0, [\mu]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]}] \\ &\subset [I_{0, [\lambda]}, I_{0, [\mu]}] + [I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]}] + [\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, I_{0, [\mu]}] + [\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]}], \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} I_{[\lambda]} I_{[\mu]} &= (I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]})(I_{0, [\mu]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]}) \\ &\subset I_{0, [\lambda]} I_{0, [\mu]} + I_{0, [\lambda]} \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]} + \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} I_{0, [\mu]} + \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Let us consider the last summands in (3.25) and (3.26). Suppose that there exist $\lambda' \in [\lambda]$ and $\eta' \in [\mu]$ such that $[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \neq 0$. As necessarily $\lambda' \star \mu' \neq \emptyset$, then $\lambda', \mu' \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}$. So $\{\lambda', \mu', \lambda'\}$ is a connection from λ' to μ' . By the transitivity of the connection relation we have $\mu' \in [\lambda]$, a contradiction. Hence, $[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'} \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} = 0$ and so

$$[\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]}] + \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]} = 0. \quad (3.27)$$

Consider now the second summands in (3.25) and (3.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]}] + I_{0, [\lambda]} \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]} &= \left[\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda] \\ \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}), \bigoplus_{\mu' \in [\mu]} \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \right] \\
&+ \left(\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda] \\ \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}), \bigoplus_{\mu' \in [\mu]} \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \right) \\
&\subset \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda], \mu' \in [\mu] \\ \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\}}} ([[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] + [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \\
&+ ([\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}] \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} + (\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}) \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda]$ with $\lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\}$ and $\mu' \in [\mu]$ such that

$$[[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] + [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] + [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}] \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} + (\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}) \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \neq 0.$$

The following is divided into four situations to discuss:

- If $[[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \neq 0$. By Jacobi identity, we get either $[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \neq 0$ or $[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \neq 0$. In both cases, we have a contradiction, thanks to equation (3.27). Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda], \mu' \in [\mu] \\ \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\}}} [[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] = 0.$$

- If $[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \neq 0$. By the Leibniz rule, we have

$$0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \subset [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2} + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}].$$

So either $[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \neq 0$ or $[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \neq 0$. In both cases, we have a contradiction, thanks to equation (3.27). Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda], \mu' \in [\mu] \\ \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\}}} [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] = 0.$$

- If $[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}] \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \neq 0$. By the Leibniz rule, we have

$$0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}] \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \subset [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2} \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2} [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}].$$

So either $[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}] \neq 0$ or $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2} \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \neq 0$. In both cases, we have a contradiction, thanks to equation (3.27). Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda], \mu' \in [\mu] \\ \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\}}} [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}] \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} = 0.$$

- Finally, if $(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}) \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \neq 0$. By associativity, we have

$$0 \neq (\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}) \mathcal{P}_{\mu'} = \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1} (\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2} \mathcal{P}_{\mu'}).$$

So $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} \neq 0$, which is a contradiction (see equation (3.27)). Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda], \mu' \in [\mu] \\ \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\}}} (\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2})\mathcal{P}_{\mu'} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$[I_{[\lambda],1}, \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]}] + I_{[\lambda],1}\mathcal{V}_{[\mu]} = 0. \quad (3.28)$$

In a similar way, we get

$$[\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\mu],1}] + \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}I_{[\mu],1} = 0. \quad (3.29)$$

Finally, we consider the first summands in (3.25) and (3.26). We have

$$\begin{aligned} [I_{0, [\lambda]}, I_{0, [\mu]}] &= \\ & \left[\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda] \\ \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\}}} ((\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}) + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}), \sum_{\substack{\mu_1, \mu_2 \in [\mu] \\ \mu_1 \star \mu_2 = \{0\}}} ((\mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}) + \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}\mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}) \right] \\ & \subset \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda], \mu_1, \mu_2 \in [\mu] \\ \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = \{0\} = \mu_1 \star \mu_2}} ([[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}], [\mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}]]) + [[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}\mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}] \\ & + [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, [\mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}]] + [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}\mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [\lambda]$ with $\lambda_1 \star \lambda_2 = 0$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in [\mu]$ with $\mu_1 \star \mu_2 = 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq [[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}], [\mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}]] + [[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}], \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}\mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}] \\ &+ [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, [\mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}]] + [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1}\mathcal{P}_{\mu_2}]. \end{aligned}$$

As above, the Leibniz rule and Jacobi identity give us

$$[I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{V}_{[\mu]}] + I_{0, [\lambda]}\mathcal{V}_{[\mu]} + [\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, I_{0, [\mu]}] + \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}I_{0, [\mu]} \neq 0,$$

a contradiction either with Eq. (3.28) or with Eq. (3.29). From here

$$[I_{0, [\lambda]}, I_{0, [\mu]}] = 0. \quad (3.30)$$

In a similar manner, one can get

$$I_{0, [\lambda]}I_{0, [\mu]} = 0. \quad (3.31)$$

From Eqs. (3.28)- (3.31) and (3.27), we conclude the result. \square

Theorem 3.32. *The following assertions hold*

- (1) *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$, the graded subalgebra $I_{[\lambda]} = I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$, is a graded ideal of \mathcal{P} .*
- (2) *If \mathcal{P} is gr-simple, then there exists a connection from λ to μ for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. (1) First, we are going to check that $I_{[\lambda]}$ satisfies $[I_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}] \subset I_{[\lambda]}$. We have

$$[I_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}] = [I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{P}_\eta \right)]. \quad (3.33)$$

Let us consider the product $[\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}_0]$ in Eq. (3.33) and suppose there exists $\mu \in [\lambda]$ such that $[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_0] \neq 0$. We then have $\mu \star 0 = \{\eta\}$ with $\eta \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$. So $\{\mu, 0\}$ is a connection from μ to η and then $\eta \in [\lambda]$. From here $[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_0] \subset \mathcal{P}_\eta \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. Hence,

$$[\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}_0] \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.34)$$

Consider the product $[\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \bigoplus_{\eta \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{P}_\eta]$ in Eq. (3.33). By Propositions 3.6 and 3.24, we have

$$[\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \bigoplus_{\eta \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{P}_\eta] = \left[\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}, \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \in [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_\eta \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \notin [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_\eta \right) \right] \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.35)$$

Consider now the product $[I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}_0]$ in Eq. (3.33). We have

$$[I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}_0] = \left[\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] + \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_0) \right] \subset \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} ([[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta], \mathcal{P}_0] + [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_0]).$$

Suppose there exist $\mu, \eta \in [\lambda]$ with $\mu \star \eta = \{0\}$ such that $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_0] \subset \mathcal{P}_\tau$ with $\tau \in \Lambda_S$. In case $\tau = 0$, we have $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_0] \subset \mathcal{P}_0$. Now, by the Leibniz rule we have either $0 \neq \mathcal{P}_\mu [\mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_0] \subset \mathcal{P}_0$ or $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_0] \mathcal{P}_\eta \subset \mathcal{P}_0$. In the first possibility, we have $\eta \star 0 = \{\nu\}$ for some $\nu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$. The connection $\{\eta, 0\}$ gives us $\eta \sim \nu$ so $\nu \in [\lambda]$ and then $0 \neq \mathcal{P}_\mu [\mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_0] \subset \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\nu \subset I_{0, [\lambda]}$. Similarly in the second possibility we conclude that $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_0] \mathcal{P}_\eta \subset I_{0, [\lambda]}$. Hence, $\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_0] \subset I_{0, [\lambda]}$.

We obtain the same result, by using the Jacobi identity for the first summand $[[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta], \mathcal{P}_0] \neq 0$. So we can summarize this paragraph by asserting

$$[I_{0, [\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}_0] \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.36)$$

Finally, consider the product $[I_{0, [\lambda]}, \bigoplus_{\eta \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{P}_\eta]$ in Eq. (3.33). We have

$$\begin{aligned} [I_{0, [\lambda]}, \bigoplus_{\nu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{P}_\nu] &= \left[\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] + \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \bigoplus_{\nu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{P}_\nu) \right] \\ &\subset \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda], \\ \nu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\} \\ \mu \star \eta = \{0\}}} ([[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta], \mathcal{P}_\nu] + [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_\nu]). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose there exist $\mu, \eta \in [\lambda]$ with $\mu \star \eta = \{0\}$ and $\nu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_\nu] \subset \mathcal{P}_\tau$, as necessarily $\tau \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$. So we have $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_\nu] \subset \mathcal{P}_\tau$. By the Leibniz rule, we have either $0 \neq \mathcal{P}_\mu [\mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_\nu] \subset \mathcal{P}_\tau$ or $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\nu] \mathcal{P}_\eta \subset \mathcal{P}_\tau$. In the first possibility, there is a $\delta \in \Lambda_S$ such that $0 \neq [\mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_\nu] \subset \mathcal{P}_\delta$ with $\eta \star \nu =$

$\{\delta\}$. So $\{\eta, \delta\}$ is a connection from η to τ and then $\tau \in [\lambda]$. Hence $\mathcal{P}_\mu[\mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_\nu] \subset \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\delta \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. Similarly in the second possibility we conclude that $[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\nu] \mathcal{P}_\eta \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. Therefore, $\sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \nu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}}} [\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_\nu] \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. We obtain the same result, by using the Jacobi identity for the first summand $[[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta], \mathcal{P}_\nu] \neq 0$. From here

$$[I_{0, [\lambda]}, \bigoplus_{\eta \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{P}_\eta] \subset I_{[\lambda]}. \quad (3.37)$$

From Eqs. (3.34)-(3.35), we get

$$[I_{[\lambda]}, \mathcal{P}] \subset I_{[\lambda]}.$$

Next, we will check that $I_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{P} \subset I_{[\lambda]}$. We have

$$I_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{P} = (I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}) \left(\mathcal{P}_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \in [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_\eta \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \notin [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_\eta \right) \right). \quad (3.38)$$

Let us consider the product $I_{0, [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_0$ in Eq. (3.38). By using the asociativity and the Leibniz rule as the proof of Proposition 3.6 we have

$$I_{0, [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_0 = \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu * \eta = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] + \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta) \mathcal{P}_0 \subset \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in [\lambda] \\ \mu * \eta = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] \mathcal{P}_0 + (\mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta) \mathcal{P}_0) \subset I_{0, [\lambda]}.$$

We also have $\mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}$. Thus $(I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}) \mathcal{P}_0 \subset I_{[\lambda]}$. From here and Propositions 3.6 and 3.24, we can assert

$$I_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{P} = (I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]}) \left(\mathcal{P}_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \in [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_\eta \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \notin [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_\eta \right) \right) \subset I_{[\lambda]}.$$

In a similar way we get $\mathcal{P} I_{[\lambda]} \subset I_{[\lambda]}$ and so $I_{[\lambda]}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{P} .

(2) The simplicity of \mathcal{P} implies that $\mathcal{P} = I_{[\lambda]}$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$. Hence, $[\lambda] = \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$ and so any pair of elements in $\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$ is connected. \square

Theorem 3.39. *A set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} decompose as*

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{U} \oplus \sum_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}) / \sim} I_{[\lambda]},$$

where \mathcal{U} is a linear space complement of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] + \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta : \mu, \eta \in [\lambda]\}$ in \mathcal{P}_0 and any $I_{[\lambda]}$ is one of the graded ideals of \mathcal{P} described in Theorem 3.32-(1), satisfying $[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\mu]}] + I_{[\lambda]} I_{[\mu]} = 0$, whenever $[\lambda] \neq [\mu]$.

Proof. We have $I_{[\lambda]}$ well-defined and, by Theorem 3.32-(1), a graded ideal of \mathcal{P} . Now, by considering a linear complement \mathcal{U} of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{[\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta] + \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta : \mu, \eta \in [\lambda]\}$ in \mathcal{P}_0 , we have

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{P}_\lambda \right) = \mathcal{U} \oplus \sum_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}) / \sim} I_{[\lambda]}.$$

Finally, Proposition 3.24 gives us $[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\mu]}] + I_{[\lambda]}I_{[\mu]} = 0$, whenever $[\lambda] \neq [\mu]$. \square

In case it is not distinguished any element 0 in the support of the grading, that is $0 = \emptyset$, we have as an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.32 the following result;

Corollary 3.40. *If $0 = \emptyset$, then*

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\})/\sim} I_{[\lambda]},$$

where any $I_{[\lambda]}$ is one of the graded ideals of \mathcal{P} described in Theorem 3.32-(1), satisfying $[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\mu]}] + I_{[\lambda]}I_{[\mu]} = 0$, whenever $[\lambda] \neq [\mu]$. \square

Let us denote by $Z(\mathcal{P})$ the centre of \mathcal{P} , that is, $Z(\mathcal{P}) = \{x \in \mathcal{P} : [x, \mathcal{P}] + x\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}x = 0\}$.

Definition 3.41. Let \mathcal{P} be a set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra. We say that \mathcal{P}_0 is tight whence

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{0\} \text{ or } \mathcal{P}_0 = \sum_{\substack{\mu, \eta \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\} \\ \lambda * \mu = \{0\}}} ([\mathcal{P}_\lambda, P_\mu] + \mathcal{P}_\lambda, P_\mu).$$

Corollary 3.42. *If $Z(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ and \mathcal{P}_0 is tight. Then \mathcal{P} is the direct sum of the graded ideals given in Theorem 3.32-(1),*

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\})/\sim} I_{[\lambda]},$$

with $[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\mu]}] + I_{[\lambda]}I_{[\mu]} = 0$, whenever $[\lambda] \neq [\mu]$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{P}_0 is tight, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{P} = \sum_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\})/\sim} I_{[\lambda]}.$$

For the direct character, take some

$$x \in I_{[\lambda]} \cap \sum_{\substack{[\mu] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\})/\sim \\ [\lambda] \neq [\mu]}} I_{[\mu]}.$$

From $x \in I_{[\lambda]}$ and the fact $[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\mu]}] + I_{[\lambda]}I_{[\mu]} + I_{[\mu]}I_{[\lambda]} = 0$, if $[\lambda] \neq [\mu]$, we get

$$\left[x, \sum_{\substack{[\mu] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\})/\sim \\ [\lambda] \neq [\mu]}} I_{[\mu]} \right] + x \left(\sum_{\substack{[\mu] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\})/\sim \\ [\lambda] \neq [\mu]}} I_{[\mu]} \right) + \left(\sum_{\substack{[\mu] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\})/\sim \\ [\lambda] \neq [\mu]}} I_{[\mu]} \right) x = 0. \quad (3.43)$$

In the other hand, since $x \in \sum_{\substack{[\mu] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}) / \sim \\ [\lambda] \neq [\mu]}} I_{[\mu]}$ and the same above fact implies that

$$[x, I_{[\lambda]}] + xI_{[\lambda]} + I_{[\lambda]}x = 0. \quad (3.44)$$

Now, Eqs. (3.43) and (3.44) give us $x \in Z(\mathcal{P})$ and so $x = 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}) / \sim} I_{[\lambda]}$, as desired \square

4. THE GRADED SIMPLE COMPONENTS

In this section, we will study the simplicity of set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebras and interested in studying under which conditions a set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} decomposes as the direct sum of the family of its gr-simple ideals. We begin by introducing the concepts of maximal length and Λ_S -multiplicativity in the setup of set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebras with a set grading in a similar way as in the frameworks of set-graded Lie algebras, set-graded Lie superalgebras, split non-commutative Poisson algebras and group-graded Poisson color algebras etc. (see [6, 7, 17, 18] for discussions and examples on these concepts). From now on, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ we will denote $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\lambda}} = \{0\}$.

Definition 4.1. We say that a set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} is Λ_S -multiplicative if given $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_S$ such that $\lambda \in \mu \star s$ for some $s \in \Lambda_S \dot{\cup} \widetilde{\Lambda_S}$ then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda} \subset [\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_s + \mathcal{P}_{\bar{s}}] + \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\mathcal{P}_s + \mathcal{P}_{\bar{s}}).$$

Definition 4.2. A set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} is of maximal length if for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$ we have $\dim \mathcal{P}_{\lambda} = 1$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let \mathcal{P} be a centerless set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra of maximal length and with \mathcal{P}_0 tight. If I is an ideal of \mathcal{P} such that $I \subset \mathcal{P}_0$ then $I = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a nonzero graded ideal $I = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_S} I_{\lambda}$ of \mathcal{P} such that $I \subset \mathcal{P}_0$. The fact that $I_{\lambda} = I \cap \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ and the maximal length of \mathcal{P} get us

$$I = (I \cap \mathcal{P}_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}} (I \cap \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \right). \quad (4.4)$$

Now, given any $\lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$, taking into account $I \subset \mathcal{P}_0$, we have

$$[I, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}] + I\mathcal{P}_{\lambda} + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}I \subset \mathcal{P}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mu},$$

with $0 \star \lambda = \{\mu\}$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$. Hence,

$$[I, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}] + I\mathcal{P}_{\lambda} + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}I = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Since $Z(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ and \mathcal{P}_0 is tight, for any $0 \neq x \in I$ we have there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$[x, [\mathcal{P}_\lambda, \mathcal{P}_\mu]] + x(\mathcal{P}_\lambda \mathcal{P}_\mu) + (\mathcal{P}_\lambda \mathcal{P}_\mu)x \neq 0.$$

By the Jacobi identity and associativity, we have either $[x, \mathcal{P}_\lambda] + x\mathcal{P}_\lambda + \mathcal{P}_\lambda x \neq 0$ or $[x, \mathcal{P}_\mu] + x\mathcal{P}_\mu + \mathcal{P}_\mu x \neq 0$ which is a contradiction with Eq. (4.5). Therefore, we conclude $I = \{0\}$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let \mathcal{P} be a centerless Λ_S -multiplicative set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra of maximal length and with \mathcal{P}_0 tight. Then \mathcal{P} is gr-simple if and only if it has all of the non-zero elements in Λ_S connected.*

Proof. The first implication is Theorem 3.32-(2). To prove the converse, consider $I = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_S} I_\lambda$ a non-zero ideal of \mathcal{P} . Taking into account Eq.(4.4), we can write

$$I = (I \cap \mathcal{P}_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_S^I} \mathcal{P}_\lambda \right), \quad (4.7)$$

where $\Lambda_S^I := \{\lambda \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\} : I \cap \mathcal{P}_\lambda \neq \{0\}\}$, also being $\Lambda_S^I \neq \emptyset$, as a consequence of Lemma 4.3. Hence, we may choose $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_S^I$ being so

$$0 \neq \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_0} \subset I. \quad (4.8)$$

Now, let us take any $\mu \in \Lambda_S \setminus \{0\}$. The fact that λ_0 is connected to μ , gives us a connection $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subset \Sigma_\Lambda \subset \Lambda_S \dot{\cup} \widetilde{\Lambda}_S$, satisfying the following conditions;

If $k = 1$:

$$(1) \lambda_1 = \lambda_0 = \mu.$$

If $k \geq 2$:

- (1) $\lambda_1 \in \{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}\}$,
- (2) $\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2) \neq \emptyset$,
 $\psi(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \lambda_3) \neq \emptyset$,
 $\psi(\psi(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \lambda_3), \lambda_4) \neq \emptyset$,
 \dots
 $\psi(\psi(\dots(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \dots), \lambda_{k-2}), \lambda_{k-1}) \neq \emptyset$.

$$(3) \mu \in \psi(\psi(\dots(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \dots), \lambda_{k-2}), \lambda_{k-1}), \lambda_k).$$

Consider $\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2) \neq \emptyset$ and so $\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2) \cap \Lambda_S \neq \emptyset$ (see Remark 3.1). Hence, for any $\mu_1 \in \psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2) \cap \Lambda_S$, we have $\mu_1 \in \lambda_1 \star \lambda_2$. The fact $\lambda_1 \in \{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}\}$ gives us either $\mu_1 \in \lambda_0 \star \lambda_2$ or $\mu_1 \in \lambda_0 \star \lambda_2 = \lambda_2 \star \lambda_0$ with necessarily $\lambda_2 \in \Lambda_S$ in the second possibility. Now, by Λ_S -multiplicativity and maximal length of \mathcal{P} as consequence of Eq. (4.8), we get either

$$0 \neq \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1} = [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2} + \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\lambda}_2}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2} + \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\lambda}_2}) \subset I.$$

or $0 \neq \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1} = [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_0} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_2} \subset I$. From here we assert that

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda} \subset I. \quad (4.9)$$

Next, again Remark 3.1 shows that $\psi(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \lambda_3) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \neq \emptyset$. Given any $\mu_2 \in \psi(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \lambda_3) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$, we have $\mu_2 \in \eta \star \lambda_3$ for some $\eta \in \psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2)$. Now, by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ -multiplicativity and maximal length of \mathcal{P} as consequence of Eq. (4.9), we get either

$$0 \neq \mathcal{P}_{\mu_2} = [\mathcal{P}_{\eta}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_3} + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_3}^-] + \mathcal{P}_{\eta}(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_3} + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_3}^-) \subset I.$$

or $\eta \in \psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\lambda_3 \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$, taking into account $\mu_2 \in \lambda_3 \star \eta$ and $\tilde{\mu}_2 \in \psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ we get

$$0 \neq \mathcal{P}_{\mu_2} = [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_3}, \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\eta}}] + \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_3} \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\eta}} \subset I.$$

From here we assert that

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \psi(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \lambda_3) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda} \subset I.$$

Following this process with the connection $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subset \Sigma_{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{\cup} \widetilde{\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}}$, we obtain that

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \psi(\psi(\dots(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \dots), \lambda_{k-2}), \lambda_{k-1}), \lambda_k) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda} \subset I.$$

Taking now into account $\mu \in \psi(\psi(\dots(\psi(\{\lambda_1\}, \lambda_2), \dots), \lambda_{k-2}), \lambda_{k-1}), \lambda_k) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ we conclude that $\mathcal{P}_{\mu} \subset I$ and so

$$\bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{P}_{\mu} \subset I. \quad (4.10)$$

Finally, the fact that \mathcal{P}_0 is tight together with Eq. (4.10) gives us $\mathcal{P}_0 \subset I$ and so $I = \mathcal{P}$. That is, \mathcal{P} is gr-simple. \square

Theorem 4.11. *Let \mathcal{P} be a centerless $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ -multiplicative set-graded non-commutative Poisson algebra of maximal length and with \mathcal{P}_0 tight. Then*

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}) / \sim} I_{[\lambda]},$$

where any $I_{[\lambda]}$ is a simple ideal having all of its lements different to 0 in its support connected.

Proof. By corollary 3.42, $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \{0\}) / \sim} I_{[\lambda]}$, is the direct sum of the ideals

$$\begin{aligned} I_{[\lambda]} &= I_{0, [\lambda]} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[\lambda]} \\ &= (\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{[\mathcal{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{\eta}] + \mathcal{P}_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\eta} : \mu, \eta \in [\lambda]\} \cap \mathcal{P}_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\mu \in [\lambda]} \mathcal{P}_{\mu} \right), \end{aligned}$$

having any $I_{[\lambda]}$ its support, $\Lambda_{I_{[\lambda]}} = [\lambda]$ (connected through the elements contained in $[\lambda] \dot{\cup} [\lambda]$). In order to apply Theorem 4.11 to each $I_{[\lambda]}$, observe that the fact

$\Lambda_{I_{[\lambda]}} = [\lambda]$, gives us easily that $\Lambda_{I_{[\lambda]}}$ has all of its elements different to 0 in its support connected. We also have that any of the $I_{[\lambda]}$ is $\Lambda_{I_{[\lambda]}}$ -multiplicative as consequence of the Λ_S -tmultiplicativity of \mathcal{P} . Clearly $I_{[\lambda]}$ is of maximal length. The 0-homogeneous component of $I_{[\lambda]}$ is equal to $I_{0,[\lambda]}$ and so tight by construction. We also have $Z_{I_{[\lambda]}}(I_{[\lambda]}) = 0$, the centre of $I_{[\lambda]}$ in itself, as consequence of $[I_{[\lambda]}, I_{[\mu]}] + I_{[\lambda]}I_{[\mu]} = 0$ if $[\lambda] \neq [\mu]$ (see Proposition 3.24) and $Z(\mathcal{P}) = 0$. We can apply Theorem 4.11 to any $I_{[\lambda]}$ so as to conclude that $I_{[\lambda]}$ is gr-simple. It is clear that the decomposition $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{[\lambda] \in (\Lambda_S \setminus \{0\})/\sim} I_{[\lambda]}$, satisfies the assertions of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Grad. Texts in Math., **60**, Springer, Berlin (1978).
- [2] F. Aicardi, *Projective geometry from Poisson algebras*, J. Geom. Phys., vol. 61, no. 8, 1574–1586 (2011).
- [3] H. Albuquerque, E. Barreiro, A.J. Calderon M. Sanchez, *Leibniz superalgebras with a set grading*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, Vol.155, (2020); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2020.103772>
- [4] J. Avan and Doikou, *Boundary Lax pairs from non-ultra-local Poisson algebras*, J. Math. Phys., vol. 50, no. 11, 113512 (2009).
- [5] Y.A. Bahturin, I.P. Shestakov, M.V. Zaicev, *Gradings on simple Jordan and Lie algebras*, J. Algebra. 283, 849–868 (2005).
- [6] A. J. Calderon, *On the structure of split non-commutative Poisson algebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra Vol. 60, No. 7, 775–785 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2012.661428>
- [7] A. J. Calderon, *On split Lie algebras with symmetric root systems*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 118, no. 3, 351–356 (2008).
- [8] A.J. Calderon Martin, *Lie algebras with a set grading*, Linear Algebra Appl. 452 (2014) 7–20.
- [9] A. Elduque, *Fine grading on simple classical Lie algebras*, J. Algebra 324(12), 3532-3571 (2010).
- [10] A. Elduque, M. Kochetov, *grading on simple Lie algebras*, athematical survys and Monographs, Vol. 189. Commack, New York: American Mathematical Society, Atlantic Association for Research in the Mathematical Sciences.
- [11] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex Algebras and Algebraic Curves*, vol. 88 of Math Surveys and Monographs, AMS, Providence, RI, USA, 2nd edition,(2004).
- [12] M. Gerstehaber, *On the deformation of ring and algebras*, Ann. Math, **79**, 59-103 (1964).
- [13] V. Ginzburg and D. Kaledin, *Poisson deformations of symplectic quotient singularities*, Adv. Math. 186, no. 1, 1–57 (2004). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2003.07.006>.
- [14] J. Huebschmann, *Poisson cohomology and quantization*, J. Reine Angew Math. 408, 57-113 (1990).
- [15] P. Jordan, *Über Verallgemeinerungsmöglichkeiten des Formalismus der Quantenmechanik*, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, 209–214 (1933).
- [16] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (157), 157-216 (2003).
- [17] V. Khalili, *Lie superalgebras with a set grading*. J. Algebra Appl. 20(3):2150028 (2021). DOI: 10.1142/S0219498821500286
- [18] V. Khalili, *On the structure of graded Poisson color algebras*, arXiv:2303.13832, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.13832>
- [19] L. C. Li, *Classical r-matrices and compatible Poisson structures for Lax equations on Poisson algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys, 573-592 (1999).

- [20] J. Patera, H. Zassenhaus, *On Lie gradings I*, Linear Algebra App. 112, 57-159 (1989).
- [21] P. Schaller and T. Strobl, *Poisson structure induced (topological) field theory*. Mod. Phys. Lett. A., **9**, 3129-3136 (1994).
- [22] I. Vaisman, *Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds*, Birkhauser, Basel, (1994).
- [23] C. Wang, Q. Gao, and Q. Zhang, *Poisson color algebras*, Chinese. Ann. Math. Ser. A. 36 (2015), no. 2, 209–216 (2015). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.16205/j.cnki.cama.2015.0020>.
- [24] X. Wu, H. Zhu, and M. Chen, *The tensor product of n -differential graded Poisson algebras*, J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. Ed. 42, no. 4, 391–395 (2015). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3785/j.issn.1008-9497.2015.04.003>.
- [25] C. Zhu, H. Li, and Y. Li, *Poisson derivations and the first Poisson cohomology group on trivial extension algebras*, B. Iran. Math Soc. 45, no. 5, 1339–1352 (2019). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41980-018-00201-3>.