

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF THE PARABOLIC-ELLIPTIC KELLER-SEGEL SYSTEM ON WHOLE SPACES

PHAM TRUONG XUAN*, TRAN VAN THUY, NGUYEN THI VAN ANH, AND NGUYEN THI LOAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate to the existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for the parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel system on whole spaces detailed by Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n (where $n \geq 4$) and real hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n (where $n \geq 2$). We work in framework of scritical spaces such as on weak-Lorentz space $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to obtain the results for Keller-Segel system on \mathbb{R}^n and on $L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for $n < p < 2n$ to obtain the ones on \mathbb{H}^n . Our method is based on the dispersive and smoothing estimates of the heat semigroup and fixed point arguments. This work provides also a fully comparison between the asymptotic behaviours of periodic mild solutions of Keller-Segel system obtained in \mathbb{R}^n and the one in \mathbb{H}^n .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Periodic solution for Keller-Segel (P-E) system on \mathbb{R}^n	3
2.1. Lorentz spaces	3
2.2. Keller-Segel (P-E) system and some useful estimates on \mathbb{R}^n	4
2.3. Bounded mild periodic solutions and their polynomial stability	5
3. Periodic solution for Keller-Segel (P-E) system on \mathbb{H}^n	11
3.1. Keller-Segel (P-E) system on \mathbb{H}^n and Pierfelice's dispersive estimates	11
3.2. Bounded periodic solutions and exponentially asymptotic behaviour	12
3.3. Unconditional uniqueness	15
4. Conclusion and further discussion	17
References	17

1. INTRODUCTION

Inspired by the pioneering work of Keller and Segel (see [28]), an extensive mathematical literature has grown on the Keller–Segel model based on biological phenomena describing chemotaxis. The wide literature dealing with can be found in the exellence survey in [33]. To precisely state the problem under consideration, we are concerned with the parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel (or Patlak-Keller-Segel) system (below, we write shortly that Keller-Segel (P-E) systems) on whole space \mathcal{M} , where \mathcal{M} can be whole Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 4$), or whole real hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n ($n \geq 2$), and on the half-line time axis \mathbb{R}_+ :

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} u - \chi \nabla_x \cdot (u \nabla_x v) + F(t) & x \in \mathcal{M}, t > 0, \\ -\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} v + \gamma v = \kappa u & x \in \mathcal{M}, t \geq 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & x \in \mathcal{M}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where the operator $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ means Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{M} , which depends on the metric g defined on \mathcal{M} . The unknown functions $u(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ represents the density of cells and $v(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A01, 35B10, 35B65, 35Q30, 35Q35, 76D03, 76D07.

Key words and phrases. Keller-Segel system, Lorentz spaces, Dispersive estimates, Smoothing estimates, Periodic solutions, Well-posedness, Unconditional uniqueness, Polynomial stability, Exponential stability.

is the concentration of the chemoattractant. The parameter χ is the sensitivity parameter, which is a positive constant. The parameters $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ denote the decay and production rate of the chemoattractant, respectively. The function $F(t)$ is given and we assume that $F(t)$ is time-periodic (see also [22, 38]).

In the study of modeling chemotaxis, the Keller-Segel (P-E) system (1.1) and their modified versions are systems of nonlinear partial differential equations which describe chemical substances impact the movement of mobile species. Chemotaxis in microbiology refers to the migration of cells toward attractant chemicals or away from repellents. It represents the directed motion of cells in response to various chemical clues. Playing a key role in developmental biology, and more generally in self-organization of cell populations, numerous authors have contributed to strengthening the theory and applicability of Keller-Segel systems, see [12, 15, 18, 22, 32, 33, 38] and the cited references therein.

We notice that for the case $\gamma = 0$ and $F(t) = 0$, system (1.1) has the scaling $u(t, x) \rightarrow \lambda^2 u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$, which for initial data induces $u_0(x) \rightarrow \lambda^2 u_0(\lambda x)$. If a space X invariants under the scaling $u_0(x) \rightarrow \lambda^2 u_0(\lambda x)$, i.e., $\|u_0\|_X \simeq \|\lambda^2 u_0(\lambda x)\|_X$ for all $\lambda > 0$, then X is called critical space for system (1.1).

Concerning the case \mathbb{R}^2 , the authors in [8] proved that there exists a threshold value for the initial mass $M = \int u_0 dx$ that relates to the existence and blow up of solutions: if $M < 8\pi/\kappa$, then solutions exist globally and if $M > 8\pi/\kappa$, then solutions blow up in a finite time. For a bounded domain with smooth boundary in \mathbb{R}^2 , Li and Wang established the finite-time blow-up and boundedness for system (1.1) in [31]. On the other hand for the case \mathbb{H}^2 , Pierfelice and Maheux obtained the local and global well-posedness results under the sub-critical condition and a blow-up result in [32].

On Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$), we recall some results about the existence and uniqueness of system (1.1) with the initial data in critical spaces. In [29], Kozono and Sugiyama proved well-posedness of mild solutions for (1.1) in weak-Lorentz spaces. Precisely, for small enough initial data $u_0 \in L^{n/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($n \geq 3$), they proved that system (1.1) has a unique mild solution $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{n/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ satisfying that $t^{1-\frac{n}{2q}} u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$, where $n/2 < q < n$. Their method is based on a fixed point argument by using Kato's approach (see [27]). After that, Kozono et al. [30] proved the existence of weak solutions $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with the initial data $u_0 \in L^{n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ small enough, where $n \geq 3$ and p, q are chosen suitable. For the uniqueness in a large space of initial data, Ferreira [18] established the unconditional uniqueness of mild solutions for system (1.1) in $L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces for $p > n/2$. In addition, for other critical spaces we can refer some works for existence and uniqueness of mild solutions of (parabolic-parabolic or parabolic-elliptic) Keller-Segel systems in [7, 10, 15, 26].

Concerning the periodic problem of chemotaxis-fluid models, some systematic-theoretic issues of the time-periodic for chemotaxis systems have been treated in [14], [22], [34], [37], [38] and [39]. However, there is not a work which treats in detail about the existence of periodic solutions and their asymptotic behaviours for Keller-Segel (P-E) systems (1.1) on whole spaces \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{H}^n in framework of critical spaces. This is the main motivation for our work in the present paper. We describe our method in this paper as follows: first, we prove the existence of bounded mild solutions of inhomogenous linear system corresponding to (1.1); then, we prove a Massera-type theorem by combining the ergodic approach, and the limit of Cesàro sum to construct an initial data which guarantees the existence of periodic mild solution of the linear system (see Theorem 2.7 in Subsection 2.3); then, we prove the existence and uniqueness of small periodic mild solutions of system (1.1) by using the obtained results for the linear system and fixed point arguments (see Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 3.2). In addition, we establish the asymptotic behaviour of periodic mild solutions in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 4$) (see Theorem 2.8) by using dispersive estimates and fixed point arguments and in real hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n ($n \geq 2$) (see Theorem 3.2) by using Gronwall's inequality. The asymptotic behaviour shows the main difference between the two cases: in detail, we prove that the periodic mild solutions are polynomial stable in \mathbb{R}^n , meanwhile, they decay exponentially (hence, they are exponential stable) in \mathbb{H}^n . Moreover, we prove a unconditional uniqueness theorem for bounded mild solutions in the hyperbolic case (see Theorem 3.4 in Subsection 3.3) by developing methods in [18, 41].

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall the notation of Lorentz spaces and study the periodic solution to (1.1) in whole space \mathbb{R}^n , where $n \geq 4$. The periodic solution to (1.1) in real hyperbolic

space \mathbb{H}^n ($n \geq 2$) is dealt in Section 3. The paper ends with some concluding remarks in Section 4.

Notations. Let X be a Banach space, we denote the space of all continuous functions from \mathbb{R}_+ to X (where $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$) by $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ which is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|v\|_{\infty, X} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \|v(t)\|_X.$$

2. PERIODIC SOLUTION FOR KELLER-SEGEL (P-E) SYSTEM ON \mathbb{R}^n

2.1. Lorentz spaces. In this section, we recall some facts about Lorentz spaces. For further details, the reader is referred to [4, 5, 23, 35].

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n , a measurable function f defined on Ω belongs to the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\Omega)$ if the quantity

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p}{q} \int_0^\infty \left[t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{**}(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } 1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{**}(t) & \text{if } 1 < p \leq \infty, q = \infty \end{cases}$$

is finite, where

$$f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds$$

and

$$f^*(t) = \inf \{s > 0 : m(\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > s\}) \leq t\}, t > 0$$

with m denoting the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n .

The space $L^{p,q}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|f\|_{L^{p,q}}$ is a Banach space. In particular, $L^p(\Omega) = L^{p,p}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p,\infty}$ is called weak- L^p space or the Marcinkiewicz space. For $1 \leq q_1 \leq p \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ we have the following relation

$$L^{p,1}(\Omega) \subset L^{p,q_1}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega) \subset L^{p,q_2}(\Omega) \subset L^{p,\infty}(\Omega). \quad (2.2)$$

Let $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq r_1, r_2, r_3 \leq \infty$ be such that $\frac{1}{p_3} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ and $\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} \geq \frac{1}{r_3}$. We have the Hölder inequality (see [23, 35]):

$$\|fg\|_{L^{p_3, r_3}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1, r_1}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2, r_2}}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of f and g . We also have Young inequality in Lorentz spaces defined on $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, if $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq r_1, r_2, r_3 \leq \infty$ be such that $\frac{1}{p_3} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1$ and $\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} \geq \frac{1}{r_3}$, then (see [35]):

$$\|f * g\|_{L^{p_3, r_3}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1, r_1}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2, r_2}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, in the case $p_1 = 1$ and $1 < p = p_2 = p_3 \leq \infty$, the following inequality holds (see [4, 6]):

$$\|f * g\|_{L^{p, \infty}} \leq \frac{p^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}{p-1} \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^{p, \infty}}. \quad (2.5)$$

We now recall an interpolation property of Lorentz spaces. For $0 < p_1 < p_2 \leq \infty$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \frac{\theta}{p_2}$ and $1 \leq r_1, r_2, r \leq \infty$, we have (see [5, Theorems 5.3.1 and 5.3.2]):

$$(L^{p_1, r_1}, L^{p_2, r_2})_{\theta, r} = L^{p, r}, \quad (2.6)$$

where L^{p_1, r_1} is endowed with $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p_1, r_1}}^*$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p_1, r_1}}$ when $0 < p_1 \leq r_1$. Here, we denoted (see [4, 23]):

$$\|f\|_{L^{p, q}}^* = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p}{q} \int_0^\infty \left[t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } 0 < p < \infty, 0 < q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) & \text{if } 0 < p \leq \infty, q = \infty \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if $1 \leq q < \infty$, then we have the following duality

$$(L^{p, q})' = L^{p', q'}, \text{ where } p' = \frac{p}{p-1}, q' = \frac{q}{q-1} \text{ and } q' = \infty \text{ if } q = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

By interpolating (2.5), we get

$$\|f * g\|_{L^{p, r}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^{p, r}}, \quad (2.9)$$

for $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. In the case $1 \leq p = r \leq \infty$, the inequality (2.9) holds if the space L^1 is replaced by the space of signed finite measures \mathcal{M} endowed with the norm of the total variation $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}} = |\mu|(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2.2. Keller-Segel (P-E) system and some useful estimates on \mathbb{R}^n . Let $F \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{3}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $u_0(x) \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we consider the Keller-Segel (P-E) system on the framework of weak-Lorentz space $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $n \geq 4$:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi \nabla_x \cdot (u \nabla_x v) + F(t) & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ -\Delta v + \gamma v = \kappa u & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \geq 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

For the sake of convenience, we assume that the constants $\chi = 1$ and denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ by Δ . Moreover, for the simplicity of calculations we study the case $F(t) = \nabla_x \cdot f(t, \cdot)$. Note that the condition $n \geq 4$ is arised from the bilinear estimates in Proposition 2.5 below.

The second equation of this system leads to $v = \kappa(-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}u$. Therefore, according to Duhamel's principle, the Cauchy problem (2.10) can be formally converted to the integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-\kappa u \nabla_x (-\Delta_x + \gamma I)^{-1}u + f](s) ds. \quad (2.11)$$

The above integral equation (2.11) should be mean in a dual sense in $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - setting, in other words, in distributional sense, as in [4, 9, 44]. More precisely, this means that

$$\langle u(t), \phi \rangle = \langle e^{t\Delta}u_0, \phi \rangle + \left\langle \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-\kappa u \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}u + f](s) ds, \phi \right\rangle, \quad (2.12)$$

for all $\phi \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t > 0$.

Note that, for a given $\omega \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $t \mapsto e^{t\Delta}\omega$ is continuous for $t > 0$ and is weakly-* continuous at $t = 0$ (see for example [4, 9]). Therefore, we define the global mild solution of system (2.10) as follows:

Definition 2.2. Let $u_0 \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A function $u(t, x)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle u(t), \phi \rangle = \langle u_0, \phi \rangle \text{ for all } \phi \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (2.13)$$

is said a global mild solution for the initial value problem (2.10) if $u(t, x)$ satisfies the integral equation (2.11) in the sense of duality (2.12).

Setting $L_j = \partial_j(-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}$, the properties of this operator is given in the following lemma (see [18, Lemma 4.1]):

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\gamma \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$, $1 < p < n$, $1 \leq d \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$. The operator L_j is continuous from $L^{p,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{q,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of f and γ satisfying*

$$\|L_j f\|_{L^{q,d}} \leq Cg(\gamma) \|f\|_{L^{p,d}}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $g(0) = 1$ and $g(\gamma) = \gamma^{-(n-1)}$ if $\gamma > 0$.

We recall some results of heat semigroup in Lorentz spaces (see [4, 44]):

Lemma 2.4. (i) *(Dispersive and smoothing estimates (see Barraza [4])) Let $m \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, $1 < r \leq p \leq \infty$, and $1 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \infty$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla_x^m e^{\Delta t} \varphi\|_{L^{p,d_2}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{m}{2} - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p})} \|\varphi\|_{L^{r,d_1}}, \text{ for all } \varphi \in L^{r,d_1}. \quad (2.15)$$

(ii) *(Yamazaki's estimate (see Yamazaki [44])) Let $1 < r < p < \infty$. There is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^\infty s^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_x \cdot e^{\Delta s} \phi\|_{L^{p,1}} ds \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^{r,1}}, \text{ for all } \phi \in L^{r,1}. \quad (2.16)$$

Setting the linear operator

$$L(f)(t) = \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{\Delta s} f(s, \cdot) ds, \quad (2.17)$$

and the bilinear operator

$$B(u, \omega)(t) = \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [u \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega](s) ds. \quad (2.18)$$

Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 lead to the boundedness of linear and bilinear operators $L(\cdot)$ and $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ (see [18, Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.1 (i)]).

Proposition 2.5. (i) *(Linear estimate) Let $n \geq 2$ and $1 < r < p < \infty$ be such that $\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{n}$. There exists a positive constant \tilde{C} satisfying*

$$\|L(f)(t)\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \leq \tilde{C} \sup_{t>0} \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{r,\infty}}. \quad (2.19)$$

(ii) *(Bilinear estimate) Let $n \geq 4$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. There exists a positive constant K satisfying*

$$\|B(u, \omega)\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} \leq Kg(\gamma) \|u\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}, \quad (2.20)$$

for all u, ω belong to $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+; L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, where $g(\gamma) = 1$ if $\gamma = 0$ and $g(\gamma) = \gamma^{-(n-1)}$ if $\gamma > 0$.

We notice that the condition of space's dimension $n \geq 4$ in Assertion (ii) in Lemma 2.5 is arised from technical issues which guarantees dual estimates (see the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [18]).

2.3. Bounded mild periodic solutions and their polynomial stability. We consider the inhomogeneous linear system corresponding to system (2.10):

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + \nabla_x \cdot [-\kappa \omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega + f(t)] & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

for a given function $\omega \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. By Duhamel's principle, this system can be reformulated by the following integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta} u_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-\kappa \omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega + f](s) ds. \quad (2.22)$$

Similar to the linear case, the above integral equation (2.22) should be mean in a dual sense in $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -setting. This means that

$$\langle u(t), \phi \rangle = \langle e^{t\Delta} u_0, \phi \rangle + \left\langle \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-\kappa \omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega + f](s) ds, \phi \right\rangle, \quad (2.23)$$

for all $\phi \in L^{\frac{n}{2},1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t > 0$. Similar to Definition 2.2, we have the following definition

Definition 2.6. Let $u_0 \in L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A function $u(t, x)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle u(t), \phi \rangle = \langle u_0, \phi \rangle \text{ for all } \phi \in L^{\frac{n}{2},1}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.24)$$

is said a global mild solution for the initial value problem (2.21) if $u(t, x)$ satisfies the integral equation (2.22) in the sense of duality (2.23).

Using Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we now establish the existence of bounded periodic mild solution of system (2.21).

Theorem 2.7. (i) (Boundedness) For given functions $\omega \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{3},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, there exists a unique mild solution of system (2.21) satisfying the integral equation (2.22). Moreover, the following boundedness holds

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \kappa K g(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \tilde{C} \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{3},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.25)$$

(ii) (Massera-type principle) If ω and f are T -periodic functions depending on the time variable, then there exists a function $\hat{\xi} \in L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which guarantees that the linear system (2.21) with the initial data $\hat{\xi}$ has a unique bounded T -periodic mild solution $\hat{u}(t)$ satisfying the following boundedness

$$\|\hat{u}(t)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq (C + 1) \left(\kappa K g(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \tilde{C} \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{3},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right). \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. (i) Let $u(t, x)$ satisfies integral equation (2.22), we prove that $u(t, x)$ is a unique global mild solution of (2.21) by establishing the boundedness of $\|u(t)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and the convergence (2.24). Using Assertion (i) in Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-\kappa \omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega + f](s) ds \right\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \kappa \|B(\omega, \omega)(t)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|L(f)\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \kappa K g(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \tilde{C} \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{3},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

The boundedness of u holds. It remains to show the convergence (2.24):

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle u(t), \phi \rangle = \langle u_0, \phi \rangle \text{ for all } \phi \in L^{\frac{n}{2},1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle u(t), \phi \rangle &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle e^{t\Delta} u_0, \phi \rangle + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left\langle \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-\kappa \omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega + f](s) ds, \phi \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle e^{t\Delta} u_0, \phi \rangle + 0 \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle u_0, e^{t\Delta} \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle u_0, \phi \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

for all $\phi \in L^{\frac{n}{2},1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The last limit follows from the strong continuity at 0 of the heat semigroup $e^{t\Delta}$ over Lorentz space $L^{\frac{n}{2},1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [9, 16]). Therefore, the proof of Assertion (i) is completed.

(ii) We employ the method in [24, Theorem 2.3] to prove the existence of function $\hat{\xi} \in L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which guarantees that the system (2.21) with the initial data $\hat{\xi}$ has a unique periodic mild solution. For each initial data $\xi \in L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from Assertion (i) it follows that there exists a unique mild solution $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to system (2.21) with $u(0) = \xi$. This fact allows to define the Poincaré map $\mathcal{P} : L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows: For each $\xi \in L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we set

$$\mathcal{P}(\xi) := u(T) \text{ where } u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ is the unique mild solution of (2.21) with } u(0) = \xi. \quad (2.28)$$

Note that using the formula (2.22) of the solutions, we see that

$$\mathcal{P}(\xi) = u(T) = e^{T\Delta}\xi + \int_0^T \nabla_x \cdot e^{\Delta(T-s)} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \quad (2.29)$$

with u as in (2.28). Next, from T -periodicity of ω and f , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} u((k+1)T) &= e^{(k+1)T\Delta}\xi + \int_0^{(k+1)T} \nabla_x \cdot e^{((k+1)T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \\ &= e^{(k+1)T\Delta}\xi + \int_0^{kT} \nabla_x \cdot e^{((k+1)T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} \nabla_x \cdot e^{((k+1)T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \\ &= e^{(k+1)T\Delta}\xi + \int_0^{kT} e^{T\Delta} \nabla_x \cdot e^{(kT-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \nabla_x \cdot e^{(T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \\ &= e^{T\Delta} e^{kT\Delta}\xi + \int_0^{kT} e^{T\Delta} \nabla_x \cdot e^{(kT-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \nabla_x \cdot e^{(T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \\ &= e^{T\Delta} u(kT) + \int_0^T \nabla_x \cdot e^{(T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{P}^k(\xi) = u(kT)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\{\mathcal{P}^k(\xi)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next, as usual in ergodic theory, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the Cesàro sum \mathbf{P}_n by

$$\mathbf{P}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{P}^k : L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.30)$$

Starting from $\xi = 0$ and using the inequality (2.25), we obtain

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathcal{P}^k(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} \leq \kappa K g(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}^2 + \tilde{C} \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{3}, \infty}}. \quad (2.31)$$

The boundedness of $\{\mathcal{P}^k(0)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies that the sequence $\{\mathbf{P}_n(0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{P}^k(0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is clearly a bounded sequence in $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Since $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a separable pre-dual $L^{\frac{n}{n-2}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, from Banach-Alaoglu's Theorem there exists a subsequence $\{\mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0)\}$ of $\{\mathbf{P}_n(0)\}$ such that

$$\{\mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0)\} \xrightarrow{weak^*} \hat{\xi} \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with } \|\hat{\xi}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} \leq \kappa K g(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}^2 + \tilde{C} \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{3}, \infty}}. \quad (2.32)$$

By simple computations using formula (2.30) we obtain $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{P}_n(0) - \mathbf{P}_n(0) = \frac{1}{n}(\mathcal{P}^{n+1}(0) - \mathcal{P}(0))$. Since the sequence $\{\mathcal{P}^{n+1}(0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{P}\mathbf{P}_n(0) - \mathbf{P}_n(0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}(\mathcal{P}^{n+1}(0) - \mathcal{P}(0)) = 0 \text{ strongly in } L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Therefore, for the subsequence $\{\mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0)\}$ from (2.32) we have $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0) - \mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0) \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} 0$. This limit, together with (2.32) implies that

$$\mathcal{P}\mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0) \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} \hat{\xi} \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.33)$$

We now show that $\mathcal{P}(\hat{\xi}) = \hat{\xi}$. To do this, we use the formula (2.29) and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the dual pair between $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^{\frac{n}{n-2}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, since $e^{T\Delta}$ leaves $L^{\frac{n}{n-2}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ invariant for all $h \in L^{\frac{n}{n-2}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{P}\mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0), h \rangle &= \langle e^{T\Delta}\mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0), h \rangle + \left\langle \int_0^T \nabla_x \cdot e^{(T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds, h \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0), e^{T\Delta}h \rangle + \left\langle \int_0^T \nabla_x \cdot e^{(T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds, h \right\rangle \\ &\xrightarrow{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \hat{\xi}, e^{T\Delta}h \rangle + \left\langle \int_0^T \nabla_x \cdot e^{(T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds, h \right\rangle \\ &= \langle e^{T\Delta}\hat{\xi}, h \rangle + \left\langle \int_0^T \nabla_x \cdot e^{(T-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds, h \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{P}(\hat{\xi}), h \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

This yields that

$$\mathcal{P}\mathbf{P}_{n_k}(0) \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} \mathcal{P}\hat{\xi} \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.35)$$

It now follows from (2.33) and (2.35) that

$$\mathcal{P}(\hat{\xi}) = \hat{\xi}. \quad (2.36)$$

Taking now the element $\hat{\xi} \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as an initial condition, by Assertion (i), there exists a unique mild solution $\hat{u}(\cdot) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ satisfying $\hat{u}(0) = \hat{\xi}$. From the definition of Poincaré map \mathcal{P} we arrive at $\hat{u}(0) = \hat{u}(T)$. Therefore, the solution $\hat{u}(t)$ is periodic with the period T . The inequality (2.26) now follows from inequalities (2.25) and (2.32).

We now prove the uniqueness of the T -periodic mild solution. Let \hat{u}_1 and \hat{u}_2 be two T -periodic mild solutions to system (2.21) which belong to $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Then, putting $v = \hat{u}_1 - \hat{u}_2$ we have that v is T -periodic and by the integral formula (2.22),

$$v(t) = e^{t\Delta}(\hat{u}_1(0) - \hat{u}_2(0)) \text{ for } t > 0. \quad (2.37)$$

By utilizing the $L^{r/2, \infty} - L^{n/2, \infty}$ dispersive estimates (see Assertion (i) in Lemma 2.4), we have for $t > 0$ and $r > n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2}, \infty}} &= \|e^{t\Delta}(\hat{u}_1(0) - \hat{u}_2(0))\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2}, \infty}} \\ &\leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{r})} \|\hat{u}_1(0) - \hat{u}_2(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this inequality with the fact that $t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{r})}$ tends to zero as t tends to infinity for $r > n$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v(t)\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2}, \infty}} = 0. \quad (2.38)$$

This fact, together with the periodicity of v , implies that $v(t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$. Similar to (2.27), we use the weakly-* convergence (2.24) and the heat semigroup $e^{t\Delta}$ is strongly continuous at $t = 0$ on $L^{\frac{n}{2}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to obtain that $\langle v(0), \phi \rangle = 0$ for all $\phi \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, we have $\hat{u}_1(t) = \hat{u}_2(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. The proof of Assertion (ii) is completed. \square

By extending method in [25], we state and prove the polynomial stability of periodic mild solution of system (2.10) in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *If $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{3}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is T -periodic function with the small enough norm, then the following assertions hold*

- (i) *There exists a function $\hat{u}_0 \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which guarantees that the system (2.10) with this initial data has a unique T -periodic mild solution \hat{u} in a small ball of $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.*
- (ii) *The above solution \hat{u} is polynomial stable in the sense that: for another bounded mild solution $u(t)$ of system (2.10) with initial data $u(0)$, if $\|u(0) - \hat{u}(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}$ is small enough, then*

$$\|\hat{u}(t) - u(t)\|_{L^{r, \infty}} \leq Dt^{-(1-\frac{n}{2r})} \quad (2.39)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $r > \frac{n}{2}$.

Proof. (i) First, we prove the existence of \hat{u} based on fixed point arguments. We consider the following closed ball \mathcal{B}_ρ^T defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_\rho^T := \{\omega \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \omega \text{ is } T\text{-periodic and } \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} \leq \rho\}. \quad (2.40)$$

For $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\rho^T$, by using Theorem 2.7 there exists a unique initial data u_0 such that with this initial data the inhomogeneous linear system (2.21) has a unique T -periodic solution $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. This T -periodic mild solution satisfies the following integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \quad (2.41)$$

in the dual sense in $L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - setting and the convergence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle u(t), \phi \rangle = \langle u_0, \phi \rangle, \text{ for all } \phi \in L^{\frac{n}{2}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.42)$$

Therefore, we can define the following transformation $\Phi : \mathcal{B}_\rho^T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\rho^T$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\omega) = u \text{ where } u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ is the unique } T\text{-periodic mild solution} \\ \text{satisfying integral equation (2.41) and (2.42)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

We will prove that if $\|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}$ is small enough, then the transformation Φ acts from \mathcal{B}_ρ^T into itself and is a contraction. Indeed, applying Assertion (ii) in Theorem 2.7, we obtain that the T -periodic mild solution u satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} &\leq (C+1) \left(\kappa K g(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}^2 + \tilde{C} \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \\ &\leq \kappa(C+1) \left(K g(\gamma) \rho^2 + \tilde{C} \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

Therefore, if ρ and $\|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are small enough, then the map Φ acts from \mathcal{B}_ρ^T into itself. Then, by integral equation (2.41), we have the following representation of Φ

$$\Phi(\omega)(t) = u(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-\kappa\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds \text{ for } t > 0. \quad (2.45)$$

Therefore, for $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\rho^T$ by the representation (2.45) we obtain that $u := \Phi(u_1) - \Phi(u_2)$ is the unique T -periodic mild solution to the equation

$$u_t = \Delta u - (\kappa \nabla_x \cdot [(u_1 - u_2) \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} u_1] + \kappa \nabla_x \cdot [u_2 \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} (u_1 - u_2)])$$

with the initial data $u(0) = u_1(0) - u_2(0)$. Thus, again by Assertion (ii) in Theorem 2.7, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u_1) - \Phi(u_2)\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} &\leq \kappa K g(\gamma) (C+1) \left(\|u_1\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} + \|u_2\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} \\ &\leq 2\kappa K g(\gamma) (C+1) \rho \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

We hence obtain that if ρ is sufficiently small, then $\Phi : \mathcal{B}_\rho^T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\rho^T$ is a contraction. Therefore, for this value of ρ , there exists a unique fixed point \hat{u} of Φ . Combining this with the limit (2.42), we obtain that \hat{u} is the unique T -periodic mild solution to system (2.10) (with the initial data \hat{u}_0) in the closed ball \mathcal{B}_ρ^T for ρ small enough.

(ii) Now, we prove the polynomial stability of \hat{u} by using again fixed point arguments and smoothing estimates (2.15) and Yamazaki's estimates (2.16). Setting $v = u - \hat{u}$ we obtain that v satisfies the equation

$$v(t) = e^{t\Delta}(u(0) - \hat{u}(0)) - \kappa B(u, u)(t) + \kappa B(\hat{u}, \hat{u})(t). \quad (2.47)$$

For the $r > \frac{n}{2}$, we set

$$\mathbb{M} = \{v \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} t^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} \|v(t)\|_{L^{r, \infty}} < \infty\}$$

endowed with the norm $\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} = \|v\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} t^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} \|v(t)\|_{L^{r, \infty}}$.

Now, we prove that if $\|u(0) - \hat{u}(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}$ and $\|u\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}$ are small enough then Equation (2.47) has a unique solution in a small ball of \mathbb{M} .

Indeed, for $v \in \mathbb{M}$ we consider the mapping Φ defined formally by

$$\Phi(v)(t) := e^{t\Delta}(u(0) - \hat{u}(0)) - \kappa B(v + \hat{u}, v + \hat{u})(t) + \kappa B(\hat{u}, \hat{u})(t).$$

Let B_ρ be a ball in \mathbb{M} of radius ρ and centered at 0. We then prove that if $\|u(0) - \hat{u}(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}$ and ρ are small enough, the transformation Φ acts from B_ρ to itself and is a contraction. For $v \in \mathbb{M}$, arguing similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 we obtain $\Phi(v) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Furthermore

$$t^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} \Phi(v)(t) = t^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} e^{t\Delta}(u(0) - \hat{u}(0)) + t^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} \kappa (-B(v + \hat{u}, v + \hat{u})(t) + B(\hat{u}, \hat{u})(t)).$$

By estimates (2.15) for the heat semigroup $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$, we obtain that

$$t^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} \|e^{t\Delta}(u(0) - \hat{u}(0))\|_{L^{r, \infty}} \leq C \|u(0) - \hat{u}(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}. \quad (2.48)$$

We now estimates $t^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} \kappa \|(-B(v + \hat{u}, v + \hat{u})(t) + B(\hat{u}, \hat{u})(t))\|_{L^{r, \infty}}$. For each $\phi \in L^{\frac{nr}{r-1}, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle -B(v + \hat{u}, v + \hat{u})(t) + B(\hat{u}, \hat{u})(t), \phi \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \left\langle \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-(v + \hat{u}) \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}(v + \hat{u}) + \hat{u} \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}](s) ds, \phi \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^t \left\langle \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} [-(v + \hat{u}) \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}(v + \hat{u}) + \hat{u} \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}](s), \phi \right\rangle ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left| \langle \nabla_x \cdot e^{s\Delta} [-(v + \hat{u}) \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}(v + \hat{u}) + \hat{u} \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}](t-s), \phi \rangle \right| ds \\ &= \int_0^{t/2} \left| \langle [-(v + \hat{u}) \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}(v + \hat{u}) + \hat{u} \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}](t-s), \nabla_x e^{s\Delta} \phi \rangle \right| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t/2}^t \left| \langle [-(v + \hat{u}) \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}(v + \hat{u}) + \hat{u} \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}](t-s), \nabla_x e^{s\Delta} \phi \rangle \right| ds. \quad (2.49) \end{aligned}$$

We then estimate the two integrals on the last line of (2.49). Using Lemma 2.3, estimates (2.15) and (2.16) for the first term we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{t/2} \left| \langle [-(v + \hat{u}) \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}(v + \hat{u}) + \hat{u} \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}](t-s), \nabla_x e^{s\Delta} \phi \rangle \right| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{t/2} \left\| [-(v + \hat{u}) \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}(v + \hat{u}) + \hat{u} \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}](t-s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{nr}{n+r}, \infty}} \left\| \nabla_x e^{s\Delta} \phi \right\|_{L^{\frac{nr}{nr-n-r}, 1}} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{t/2} g(\gamma) (\|v(t-\xi) + \hat{u}(t-\xi)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} + \|\hat{u}(t-\xi)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}) \|v(t-\xi)\|_{L^{r, \infty}} \left\| \nabla_x e^{s\Delta} \phi \right\|_{L^{\frac{nr}{nr-n-r}, 1}} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-1+\frac{n}{2r}} g(\gamma) \left(\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} + 2\|\hat{u}\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}\right) \|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} \int_0^{t/2} \|\nabla_x e^{\Delta s} \phi\|_{L^{\frac{nr}{nr-n-r}, 1}} ds \\
&\leq C_1 \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-1+\frac{n}{2r}} \left(\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} + 2\|\hat{u}\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}\right) \|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-1}, 1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

We next estimate the second term in the last line of (2.49). In fact, using again Lemma 2.3 and smoothing estimates (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{t/2}^t \left| \langle [-(v + \hat{u})\nabla_x(-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}(v + \hat{u}) + \hat{u}\nabla_x(-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\hat{u}](t-s), \nabla_x e^{s\Delta} \phi \rangle \right| ds \\
&\leq \int_{t/2}^t \left\| [-(v + \hat{u})\nabla_x(-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}(v + \hat{u}) + \hat{u}\nabla_x(-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}\hat{u}](t-s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} \|\nabla_x e^{s\Delta} \phi\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-3}, 1}} ds \\
&\leq \int_{t/2}^t g(\gamma) \left(\|v(t-\xi) + \hat{u}(t-\xi)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} + \|\hat{u}(t-\xi)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}\right) \|v(t-\xi)\|_{\frac{n}{2}, \infty} \|\nabla_x e^{\Delta s} \phi\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-3}, 1}} ds \\
&\leq C_2 g(\gamma) \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-1+\frac{n}{2r}} \left(\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} + 2\|\hat{u}\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}\right) \|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} \int_{t/2}^{\infty} s^{-2+\frac{n}{2r}} \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-1}, 1}} ds \\
&\leq C_2 g(\gamma) \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-1+\frac{n}{2r}} \left(\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} + 2\|\hat{u}\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}\right) \|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-1}, 1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

Combining now (2.49), (2.50) and (2.51) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&t^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} \kappa \|(-B(v + \hat{u}, v + \hat{u})(t) + B(\hat{u}, \hat{u})(t))\|_{L^{r, \infty}} \\
&\leq 2^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} (C_1 + C_2) g(\gamma) \left(\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} + 2\|\hat{u}\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}\right) \|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-1}, 1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

for all $t > 0$. Combining inequalities (2.48) and (2.52), we get

$$\|\Phi(v)\|_{\mathbb{M}} \leq C \|u(0) - \hat{u}(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}} + 2^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} (C_1 + C_2) g(\gamma) \kappa \left(\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}} + 2\|\hat{u}\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}\right) \|v\|_{\mathbb{M}}.$$

Similar calculations yield

$$\|\Phi(v_1) - \Phi(v_2)\|_{\mathbb{M}} \leq 2^{1-\frac{n}{2r}} (C_1 + C_2) g(\gamma) \kappa \left(\|v_1\|_{\mathbb{M}} + \|v_2\|_{\mathbb{M}} + 4\|\hat{u}\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}\right) \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\mathbb{M}}.$$

Therefore, if $\|u(0) - \hat{u}(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}$, $\|\hat{u}\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}}$ and ρ are small enough, then the transformation Φ acts from B_ρ into itself and is contraction. As the fixed point of Φ , the function $v = u - \hat{u}$ belongs to \mathbb{M} . The inequality (2.39) hence follows, and we obtain the stability of the small solution \hat{u} . \square

3. PERIODIC SOLUTION FOR KELLER-SEGEL (P-E) SYSTEM ON \mathbb{H}^n

In this section, we extend to study the Keller-Segel (P-E) system on the real hyperbolic manifold $\mathbb{H}^n := \mathbb{H}^n(\mathbb{R})$. Since the heat kernel (hence the heat semigroup) on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n is exponential stable in \mathbb{H}^n , hence the bounded mild solution of the Keller-Segel (P-E) system on \mathbb{H}^n will be exponential stable as a direct consequence.

3.1. Keller-Segel (P-E) system on \mathbb{H}^n and Pierfelice's dispersive estimates. Let $(\mathbb{H}^n, g) = (\mathbb{H}^n(\mathbb{R}), g)$ stand for a real hyperbolic manifold, where $n \geq 2$ is the dimension, endowed with a Riemannian metric g . This space is realized via a hyperboloid in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} by considering the upper sheet

$$\{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; x_0 \geq 1 \text{ and } x_0^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 \dots - x_n^2 = 1\}$$

where the metric is given by $dg = -dx_0^2 + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_n^2$.

In geodesic polar coordinates, the hyperbolic manifold (\mathbb{H}^n, g) can be described as

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{(\cosh \tau, \omega \sinh \tau), \tau \geq 0, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}$$

with $dg = d\tau^2 + (\sinh \tau)^2 d\omega^2$, where $d\omega^2$ is the canonical metric on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . In these coordinates, the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}$ on \mathbb{H}^n can be expressed as

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} = \partial_r^2 + (n-1) \coth r \partial_r + \sinh^{-2} r \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}.$$

It is well known that the spectrum of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}$ is the half-line $\left[\frac{(n-1)^2}{4}, \infty\right)$.

We consider the Keller-Segel (P-E) system on whole real hyperbolic space (\mathbb{H}^n, g) , where $n \geq 2$:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}u + \nabla_x \cdot [-\kappa u \nabla_x (-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}u + f] & x \in \mathbb{H}^n, t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{H}^n, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on (\mathbb{H}^n, g) . As well as the case of Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , by Duhamel's principle, the Cauchy problem (2.10) can be formally converted to the following integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}t}u_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} [-\kappa u \nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}u + f](s) ds. \quad (3.2)$$

The dispersive and smoothing estimates of heat kernel and heat semigroup on hyperbolic space are well studied in the literature for hyperbolic spaces and various types of noncompact manifolds for both the Laplace-Beltrami and the Hodge Laplacian (see [1, 2, 3, 11, 13, 21, 36, 43, 42] and many others). Here, we will use the estimates of Pierfelice.

Lemma 3.1. ([36, Theorem 4.1 and corollary 4.3])

(i) For $t > 0$, and p, q such that $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, the following dispersive estimate holds:

$$\|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq [h_n(t)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} e^{-t(\gamma_{p,q})} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$, where

$$h_n(t) = \hat{C} \max\left(\frac{1}{t^{n/2}}, 1\right), \quad \gamma_{p,q} = \frac{\delta_n}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \frac{8}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right]$$

and δ_n is a positive constant depending only on n .

(ii) For $t > 0$, and p, q such that $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, the following estimate holds:

$$\|\nabla_x e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}V_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq [h_n(t)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{n}} e^{-t\left(\frac{\gamma_{q,q}+\gamma_{p,q}}{2}\right)} \|V_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \quad (3.4)$$

for all vector field $V_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$. The functions $h_n(t)$ and $\gamma_{p,q}$ are defined as in Assertion (i).

Using the estimates in Lemma 3.1, Pierfelice and Maheux proved the well-posedness of local and global mild solutions of system (3.1) on the two-dimensional hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^2 (see [32]). Below, we will prove the well-posedness of global mild (periodic) solutions for all dimensions $n \geq 2$.

3.2. Bounded periodic solutions and exponentially asymptotic behaviour. By using Pierfelice's estimates in Lemma 3.1, the strategy for the existence of bounded periodic mild solution of system (3.1) is similar to the case of system on Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . However, we need not to use Lorentz space to establish the boundedness of solution because we have a gain from the exponential decay rates of the dispersive and smoothing estimates of the heat semigroup $e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}$ on the hyperbolic space.

The inhomogeneous linear system corresponding to system (3.1) is

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}u + \nabla_x \cdot [-\kappa \omega \nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f] & x \in \mathbb{H}^n, t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{H}^n \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

for a given function $\omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))$. This system can be reformulated by the following integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}u_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} [-\kappa \omega \nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega + f](s) ds. \quad (3.6)$$

The existence of bounded periodic mild solutions of the inhomogeneous linear system (3.5) and the Keller-Segel (P-E) system (3.1) are established in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $n \geq 2$ and $n < p < 2n$. Then, we have the following assertions:

- (i) (Boundedness) For given functions $\omega \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))$ and $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n))$, there exists a unique mild solution of linear system (3.5) satisfying the integral equation (3.6). Moreover, the following boundedness holds

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq \hat{C} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \tilde{K} \left(\kappa g(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)}^2 + \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

- (ii) (Massera-type principle) If ω and f are T -periodic functions depending on the time variable, then there exists a function $\hat{u}_0 \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ which guarantees that the linear system (3.5) with the initial data \hat{u}_0 has a unique T -periodic mild solution $\hat{u}(t)$ satisfying the following boundedness

$$\|\hat{u}(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq (\hat{C} + 1) \tilde{K} \left(\kappa g(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)}^2 + \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

- (iii) (Global well-posedness) If f is a T -periodic function with small enough norm in $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n))$, then there exists a function $\hat{u}_0 \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ which guarantees that the system (3.1) has a unique T -periodic mild solution \hat{u} in a small ball of $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))$.

- (iv) (Exponential asymptotic behaviour) If the function f satisfies that $\sup_{t>0} e^{\sigma t} \|f(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{3}, \infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)} < +\infty$, then the above periodic mild solution \hat{u} of system (3.1) is exponential time-decay, i.e., it satisfies

$$\|\hat{u}(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq D e^{-\sigma t} \quad (3.9)$$

for all $t > 0$, where $\sigma = \min \left\{ \gamma_{p/2, p/2}, \frac{\gamma_{p/2, p/2} + \gamma_{pn/(4n-p), p/2}}{2}, \frac{\gamma_{p/2, p/2} + \gamma_{p/3, p/2}}{2} \right\}$.

Proof. We prove assertions (i) and (iv), the proofs of assertions (ii) and (iii) are similar to the ones of Assertion (ii) in Theorem 2.7 and Assertion (i) in Theorem 2.8, respectively for the space $L^{\frac{p}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is replaced by $L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

(i) By using Lemma 3.1 and the boundedness of $L_j = \partial_j(-\Delta + \gamma I)^{-1}$ (similary Lemma 2.3 and see also [32, Lemma 3.3]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} &\leq \hat{C} \|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \kappa \int_0^t \left\| \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} [\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega] (s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left\| \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} f(s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ &\leq \hat{C} e^{-t\gamma_{p/2, p/2}} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \\ &\quad + \kappa \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-(t-s)\beta} \left\| [\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega] (s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pn}{4n-p}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} e^{-(t-s)\hat{\beta}} \|f(s)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ &\leq \hat{C} e^{-t\gamma_{p/2, p/2}} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \\ &\quad + \kappa \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-(t-s)\beta} \|\omega(s)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \left\| [\nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega] (s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pn}{2n-p}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} e^{-(t-s)\hat{\beta}} \|f(s)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ &\leq \hat{C} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \int_0^t \left((t-s)^{-\frac{n}{p}} + 1 \right) e^{-(t-s)\beta} ds \left(\kappa \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} Cg(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left((t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p}} + 1 \right) e^{-(t-s)\hat{\beta}} ds \left(\tilde{C}^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \right) \\ &\leq \hat{C} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \left(\frac{1}{\beta^{1-\frac{n}{p}}} \Gamma \left(1 - \frac{n}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \left(\kappa \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} Cg(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\beta}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p}}} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p} \right) + \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}} \right) \tilde{C}^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \hat{C} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \tilde{K} \left(\kappa g(\gamma) \|\omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))}^2 + \|f\|_{\infty, L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \right),$$

where $\beta = \frac{\gamma_{p/2, p/2} + \gamma_{pn/(4n-p), p/2}}{2}$, $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\gamma_{p/2, p/2} + \gamma_{p/3, p/2}}{2}$ and

$$\tilde{K} = \max \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\beta^{1-\frac{n}{p}}} \Gamma \left(1 - \frac{n}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} C, \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\beta}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2p}}} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p} \right) + \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}} \right) \tilde{C}^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} \right\}.$$

The proof of Assertion (i) is completed.

(iv) For a given periodic mild solution \hat{u} in the small ball B_ρ^T of $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))$, i.e., $\|\hat{u}\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))} < \rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\hat{u}(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq \|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \kappa \int_0^t \left\| \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} [\hat{u} \nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}] (s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left\| \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} f(s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ & \leq \hat{C} e^{-t\gamma_{p/2, p/2}} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \kappa \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-(t-s)\beta} \left\| [\hat{u} \nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}] (s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pn}{4n-p}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ & + \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} e^{-(t-s)\hat{\beta}} \|f(s)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ & \leq \hat{C} e^{-t\gamma_{p/2, p/2}} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \kappa \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-(t-s)\beta} \|\hat{u}(s)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \left\| [\nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1} \hat{u}] (s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pn}{2n-p}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ & + \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} e^{-(t-s)\hat{\beta}} \|f(s)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ & \leq \hat{C} e^{-t\gamma_{p/2, p/2}} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \kappa \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} C g(\gamma) \int_0^t \left((t-s)^{-\frac{n}{p}} + 1 \right) e^{-(t-s)\beta} \|\hat{u}\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \|\hat{u}\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))} \\ & + \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} e^{-(t-s)\hat{\beta}} \|f(s)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ & \leq \hat{C} e^{-t\gamma_{p/2, p/2}} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \kappa \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} C g(\gamma) \rho \int_0^t \left((t-s)^{-\frac{n}{p}} + 1 \right) e^{-(t-s)\beta} \|\hat{u}\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ & + \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} e^{-(t-s)\hat{\beta}} \|f(s)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Setting $y(t) = e^{\sigma t} \|\hat{u}(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)}$, where $\sigma = \min \{ \gamma_{p/2, p/2}, \beta, \hat{\beta} \}$. The inequality (3.10) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) & \leq \hat{C} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} e^{-(t-s)(\hat{\beta}-\sigma)} ds \sup_{t>0} e^{\sigma t} \|f(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \\ & + \kappa \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} C g(\gamma) \rho \int_0^t \left((t-s)^{-\frac{n}{p}} + 1 \right) e^{-(t-s)(\beta-\sigma)} y(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Since the boundedness of integrals

$$\int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}} e^{-(t-s)(\hat{\beta}-\sigma)} ds < \frac{\tilde{C}^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}}}{(\hat{\beta} - \sigma)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p}}} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p} \right) + \frac{\tilde{C}^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}}}{\hat{\beta} - \sigma} = \hat{D} < +\infty,$$

and

$$\int_0^t \left((t-s)^{-\frac{n}{p}} + 1 \right) e^{-(t-s)(\beta-\sigma)} ds < \left(\frac{1}{(\beta - \sigma)^{1-\frac{n}{p}}} \Gamma \left(1 - \frac{n}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta - \sigma} \right) = \tilde{D} < +\infty,$$

utilizing Gronwall's inequality, we obtain from inequality (3.11) that

$$y(t) \leq \left(\hat{C} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} + \hat{D} \sup_{t>0} e^{\sigma t} \|f(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{3}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \right) e^{\kappa \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} C g(\gamma) \rho \tilde{D}} \tag{3.12}$$

for all $t > 0$. This yields the exponential decay (3.9). Our proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.3. (i) The difference between the conditions on the dimension n in Theorem 2.7 ($n \geq 4$ in Euclidean case \mathbb{R}^n) and Theorem 3.2 ($n \geq 2$ in hyperbolic case \mathbb{H}^n) is arised from the proofs of estimates for the bilinear operator $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ given by formula (2.18). In particular, for Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n the bilinear estimate (see Assertion (ii) in Proposition 2.5) requires the condition $n \geq 4$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [18]), meanwhile the bilinear estimate in hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n requires only $n \geq 2$ (see the proof of Assertion (i) in Theorem 3.2).

(ii) As a direct consequence of the exponential decay proven in Assertion (iv) in Theorem 3.2, we have the exponential stability of periodic solution \hat{u} as follows: for another bounded mild solution $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))$ of system (3.1) with initial data $u(0) = u_1$, if $\|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)}$ is small enough, then the following inequality holds

$$\|\hat{u}(t) - u(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq De^{-\sigma t} \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \quad (3.13)$$

for all $t > 0$, where $\sigma = \min \left\{ \gamma_{p/2, p/2}, \frac{\gamma_{p/2, p/2} + \gamma_{pn/(4n-p), p/2}}{2}, \frac{\gamma_{p/2, p/2} + \gamma_{p/3, p/2}}{2} \right\}$.

3.3. Unconditional uniqueness. The unconditional uniqueness, i.e., the uniqueness of mild solution is established with a large space of initial data was established for Keller-Segel (P-E) system on Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n in a recent work [18]. There are some related works about the unconditional uniqueness theorem for fluid dynamic equations such as Navier-Stokes, Boussinesq equations such as [17, 20, 19, 41]. In this subsection, we establish also a unconditional uniqueness theorem for mild solution of system (3.1) on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . Our method is developed from [18, 20, 41].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $0 < L \leq \infty$, u and v be two mild solutions of the system (3.1) in the class $C_b([0, L]; L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))$ ($n < p < 2n$) with the same initial data u_0 . Then, $u(t) = v(t)$ in $L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for all $t \in [0, L]$.*

Proof. We start by showing the existence of a time $L_1 \in (0, L)$ such that $u(\cdot, t) = v(\cdot, t)$ for all $t \in [0, L_1]$. For this purpose, we denote

$$\omega = u - v, \omega_1 = e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}u_0 - u, \omega_2 = e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}u_0 - v.$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & u\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}u - v\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}v \\ &= \omega\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}u + v\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega \\ &= \omega\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}u_0 + e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}u_0\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega \\ & - \omega\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega_1 - \omega_2\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\omega(t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \\ &= \kappa \left\| -\int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} [u\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}u](s)ds + \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} [v\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}v](s)ds \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \\ &\leq \kappa \left\| \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} [\omega\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega_1 + \omega_2\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega](s)ds \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \\ & + \kappa \left\| \int_0^t \nabla_x \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} [\omega\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}u_0 + e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}}u_0\nabla_x(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1}\omega](s)ds \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \\ &= I_1(t) + I_2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Here, for the sake of simplicity we denote the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}}$.

By the same way as the proof of Assertion (i) in Theorem 3.2, the term $I_1(t)$ can be estimated as

$$I_1(t) \leq 2\kappa g(\gamma) \tilde{K} \sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left(\sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} + \sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega_2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \right). \quad (3.15)$$

Consider $L_1 < 1$, using dispersive and smoothing estimates in Lemma 3.3 and Hölder's inequality, we estimate the second term $I_2(t)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(t) &\leq \kappa \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-(t-s)\beta} \left\| [\omega \nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1} e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0 + e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0 \nabla_x (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} + \gamma I)^{-1} \omega] (s) \right\|_{L^{\frac{pn}{4n-p}}} ds \\
&\quad (\text{where } \beta = \frac{\gamma p/2, p/2 + \gamma pn/(4n-p), p/2}{2}) \\
&\leq 2\kappa Cg(\gamma) \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{2}{p}} \|\omega(s)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} ds \\
&\leq 2\kappa Cg(\gamma) \sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \int_0^t [h_n(t-s)]^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \\
&\leq 2\kappa Cg(\gamma) \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} \sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \int_0^t s^{-\frac{n}{p}} ds \\
&\quad (\text{because } [h_n(s)] = s^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{ for } 0 < s < t < L_1 < 1) \\
&\leq \frac{2p}{p-n} \kappa Cg(\gamma) \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} \sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \sup_{0 < t < L_1} t^{\frac{p-n}{p}} \|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}}. \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (3.15) and (3.16) into (3.14), we obtain

$$\sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \leq A(L_1) \sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}}, \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A(L_1) &= 2\kappa g(\gamma) \tilde{K} \left(\sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} + \sup_{0 < t < L_1} \|\omega_2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2p}{p-n} \kappa Cg(\gamma) \tilde{C}^{\frac{2}{p}} \sup_{0 < t < L_1} t^{\frac{p-n}{p}} \|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using dispersive estimate (3.3), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{\frac{p-n}{p}} \|e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{\frac{p-n}{p}} e^{-\gamma p/2, p/2 t} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \\
&\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{\frac{p-n}{p}} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \\
&\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+,
\end{aligned}$$

because $t^{\frac{p-n}{p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ for $p > n$. Moreover, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\|\omega_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} + \|\omega_2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} \right) = 0 \tag{3.18}$$

since $e^{t\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}} u_0$, u and v all converge to u_0 in $L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Therefore, we can choose $L_1 > 0$ small enough such that $A(L_1) < 1$. As a direct consequence, we obtain $\omega(\cdot, t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, L_1)$.

Now, we define L_1^* as the supremum of all $\tilde{L} \in (0, L)$ such that

$$u(\cdot, t) = v(\cdot, t) \text{ in } L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n). \tag{3.19}$$

If $L_1^* < L$, then $u(\cdot, t) = v(\cdot, t)$, for all $t \in [0, L_1^*)$. By the time-continuity of solutions, it follows that $u(\cdot, L_1^*) = v(\cdot, L_1^*)$. Thus, proceeding similarly as above, we obtain $u(\cdot, t) = v(\cdot, t)$, for all $t \in [L_1^*, L_1^* + \sigma)$ and for some small $\sigma > 0$. It follows that $u(\cdot, t) = v(\cdot, t)$ in $[0, L_1^* + \sigma)$, which contradicts to the definition of L_1^* . Therefore, $L_1^* = L$ and we have the desired result. \square

4. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have considered the existence, uniqueness of bounded periodic mild solution of parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel system on whole spaces such as Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 4$) and real hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n ($n \geq 2$). Both two cases use the same strategy to obtain periodic mild solutions by combining dispersive and smoothing estimates with Massera-type principle. The work on \mathbb{R}^n needs to use Lorentz spaces to establish the boundedness of mild solutions due to the heat semigroup is polynomial stable. The main difference between the results on \mathbb{R}^n and the one on \mathbb{H}^n is the asymptotic behaviour of mild solutions: we have proved the polynomial stability for mild solutions in \mathbb{R}^n case and exponential decay (hence exponential stability) for mild solutions in \mathbb{H}^n case. The work obtained in this paper provides a comparison about well-posedness and asymptotic behaviours of periodic mild solutions of Keller-Segel system in two difference context: the flat space such as Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 4$) and the curved space with negative Ricci curvature such as \mathbb{H}^n ($n \geq 2$).

The asymptotic behaviour of periodic mild solutions of Keller-Segel (P-E) system obtained in \mathbb{R}^n is proven in Theorem 2.8 by showing polynomial stability with time decay rate is $t^{-(1-\frac{n}{2r})}$, where $r > \frac{n}{2}$. Hence, the solutions decay fastly in comparing with the ones of Navier-Stokes equations, the time decay rate is $t^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2r})}$, where $r > n$ (see for example [25, 44] and references therein). This difference comes from the structure of nonlinear part of Keller-Segel system which contains an inverse Laplace operator, meanwhile the ones of Navier-Stokes equations have not. This inverse operator helps us to improve the dimension of phase spaces as well as decay rates of mild solutions.

For the work on \mathbb{H}^n , we have proved the global well-posedness of mild solutions in the space $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{H}^n))$, where $p > n$. The well-posedness in the case $1 < p \leq n$ is an interesting question, where we will be faced the non-convergence of gamma functions. Our recent work [40] can provide a useful tool to overcome this obstacle. Moreover, since the dispersive and smoothing estimates (known as Pierfelice's estimates) are still valid for the heat semigroup on generalized noncompact manifolds with negative Ricci curvatures which satisfy conditions $(H_1) - (H_4)$ in [36], our work can be extended to these manifolds.

Acknowledgment. P.T. Xuan was funded by the Postdoctoral Scholarship Programme of Vingroup Innovation Foundation (VINIF), code VINIF.2023.STS.55 and N.T.V. Anh was funded by Vietnam Ministry of Education and Training, under grant B2023-SPH-13.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-Ph. Anker and L. Ji, *Heat kernel and Green function estimates on noncompact symmetric spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (1999), 1035-1091
- [2] P. Auscher, T. Coulhon, X.T. Duong and S. Hofmann, *Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity*, Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4) 37, No. 6 (2004), 911-957
- [3] D. Bakry and M. Ledoux, *A logarithmic Sobolev form of the Li-Yau parabolic inequality*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 22, No. 2 (2006), 683-702.
- [4] O.A. Barraza, *Self-similar solutions in weak L^p -spaces of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Vol. 12, No. 2 (1996) pages 411-439.
- [5] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An introduction*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, Berlin (1976).
- [6] P. Benilan, H. Brezis and M. Crandall, *A semilinear equation in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. 2 (1975), pages 523-555
- [7] P. Biler, *The Cauchy problem and self-similar solutions for a nonlinear parabolic equation*, Studia Math., 114 (1995), 181-205
- [8] A. Blanchet, J. Dolbeault and B. Perthame, *Two-dimensional Keller-Segel model: optimal critical mass and qualitative properties of the solutions*, Electron. J. Differ. Eq., 2006 (2006), 1-33
- [9] W. Borchers and T. Miyakawa, *On stability of exterior stationary Navier-Stokes flows*, Acta Math. 174, 311-382, (1995).
- [10] X. Chen, *Well-posedness of the Keller-Segel system in Fourier-Besov-Morrey spaces*, Z. Anal. Anwend., 37 (2018), 417-433
- [11] T. Coulhon, *Heat kernel estimates, Sobolev-type inequalities and Riesz transform on noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, Analysis and geometry of metric measure spaces, 55-65, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2013).

- [12] L. Corrias, M. Escobedo and J. Matos, *Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior of the solutions to the fully parabolic Keller–Segel system in the plane*, J. Differential Equations, **257** (2014), 1840–1878
- [13] E.B. Davies and N. Mandouvalos, *Heat kernel bounds on hyperbolic space and kleinian groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **57** (1988), pages 182–208
- [14] J. Huang and C. Jin, *Time periodic solution to a coupled chemotaxis–fluid model with porous medium diffusion*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Vol. 40, No. 9 (2020), pages 5415–5439.
- [15] Lucas C.F. Ferreira and J.C. Precioso, *Existence and asymptotic behaviour for the parabolic–parabolic Keller–Segel system with singular data*, Nonlinearity **24** (2011), pages 1433–1449.
- [16] Lucas C.F. Ferreira, *On the stability problem for the Boussinesq equations in weak- L^p spaces*, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis, Vol. 9, No. 3 (2010), pages 667–684.
- [17] Lucas C.F. Ferreira, *On a bilinear estimate in weak-morrey spaces and uniqueness for Navier–Stokes equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **105** (2) (2016) 228–247
- [18] Lucas C.F. Ferreira, *On the uniqueness of mild solutions for the parabolic-elliptic Keller–Segel system in the critical L^p -space*, Mathematics in Engineering, Vol. 4 (6) (2021), pages 1–14
- [19] Lucas C.F. Ferreira, J. Perez and Julio C.V. Guevara, *On bilinear estimates and critical uniqueness classes for Navier–Stokes equations*, preprint (2023), [arXiv:2211.11122](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.11122)
- [20] Lucas C.F. Ferreira and P.T. Xuan, *On uniqueness of mild solutions for Boussinesq equations in Morrey-type spaces*, Applied Mathematics letters, Vol. 137, March 2023, 108502
- [21] A. Grigor’yan and M. Noguchi, *The heat kernel on hyperbolic space*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **30**, No. 6 (1998), 643–650.
- [22] M. Hieber and C. Stinner, *Strong time periodic solutions to Keller–Segel systems: An approach by the quasilinear Arendt–Bu theorem*, J. Differential Equations, Vol. 269, Iss. 2, 5 July 2020, Pages 1636–1655
- [23] R. Hunt, *On $L^{(p,q)}$ spaces*, Enseign. Math. **12** (1966), pages 249–276
- [24] N.T. Huy, P.T. Xuan, V.T.N. Ha and L.T. Sac, *Existence and Stability of Periodic and Almost Periodic Solutions to the Boussinesq System in Unbounded Domains*, Acta Mathematica Scientia **42**(5) (2022), pages 1875–1901
- [25] N.T. Huy, P.T. Xuan and V.T.N. Ha, *Boundedness and stability of solutions to semi-linear equations and applications to fluid dynamics*, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis, Vol. 15, No. 6 (2016), pages 2103–2116
- [26] T. Iwabuchi, *Global well-posedness for Keller–Segel system in Besov type spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **379** (2011), 930–948.
- [27] T. Kato, *Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier–Stokes equation in R^m , with applications to weak solutions*, Math. Z., **187** (1984), 471–480.
- [28] E.F. Keller and L.A. Segel, *Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability*, J. Theoret. Biol. **26** (3) (1970), pages 399–415
- [29] H. Kozono and Y. Sugiyama, *Strong solutions to the Keller–Segel system with the weak- $L^{n/2}$ initial data and its application to the blow-up rate*, Math. Nachr., **283** (2010), 732–751.
- [30] H. Kozono, Y. Sugiyama and Y. Yahagi, *Existence and uniqueness theorem on weak solutions to the parabolic-elliptic Keller–Segel system*, J. Differ. Equations, **253** (2012), 2295–2313.
- [31] Y. Li and W. Wang, *Finite-time blow-up and boundedness in a 2D Keller–Segel system with rotation*, Nonlinearity, Vol. **36**, No. 1 (2023), pages 287–318
- [32] P. Maheux and V. Pierfelice, *The Keller–Segel System on the Two-Dimensional-Hyperbolic Space*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 52, Iss. 5 (2020), pages 5036–5065
- [33] J.D. Murray, *Mathematical Biology*, 3rd edn (Volumes I and II) (2002), New York: Springer
- [34] M. Negreanu, J.I. Tello and M.A. Vargas, *A note on a periodic parabolic–ODE chemotaxis system*, Appl. Math. Lett. **106** (2020), 106351, 6 pages
- [35] R. O’Neil, *Convolution operators and $L^{(p,q)}$ spaces*, Duke Math. J. **30** (1963) , pages 129–142
- [36] V. Pierfelice, *The incompressible Navier–Stokes equations on non-compact manifolds*, Journal of Geometric Analysis, **27**(1) (2017), 577–617.
- [37] Q. Shi and X. Zhang, *Time periodic solution to chemotaxis–shallow water system in a periodic domain*, Evolution Equations and Control Theory, **12**(2) (2023), pages 626–646.
- [38] Z. Tan and Z. Wu, *Time periodic strong solutions to the Keller–Segel system coupled to Navier–Stokes equation*, J. Differential Equations, Vol. 298 (2021), pages 95–131
- [39] Q. Wang and J. Zhang and L. Zhang, *Time-periodic and stable patterns of a two-competing-species Keller–Segel chemotaxis model: effect of cellular growth*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, Vol. 22, No. 9 (2017), pages 3547–3574
- [40] P.T. Xuan and N.T. Van, *On asymptotically almost periodic solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations on hyperbolic manifolds*, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Vol. 25, No. 71 (2023), 33 pages
- [41] P.T. Xuan and H. Trung, *On periodic solution for the Boussinesq system on real hyperbolic manifolds*, preprint (2022), [arXiv:2209.07803](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.07803)
- [42] J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotic behaviour for the Heat Equation in Hyperbolic Space*, preprint (2019), [arXiv:1811.09034](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.09034)
- [43] N. Th. Varopoulos, *The heat kernel on Lie groups*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **12**, No. 1 (1996), 147–186
- [44] M. Yamazaki, *The Navier–Stokes equations in the weak- L^n space with time-dependent external force*, Math. Ann. **317**, 635–675 (2000).

PHAM TRUONG XUAN
(*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, HANOI UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
1 DAI CO VIET, HANOI, VIETNAM

Email address: phamtruongxuan.k5@gmail.com

TRAN VAN THUY
EAST ASIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TRINH VAN BO STREET, NAM TU LIEM, HANOI, VIETNAM

Email address: thuytv@eaut.edu.vn

NGUYEN THI VAN ANH
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANOI NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, 136 XUAN THUY, CAU GIAY, HANOI, VIETNAM

Email address: anhntv.ktt@hnue.edu.vn

NGUYEN THI LOAN
FACULTY OF FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES, PHENIKAA UNIVERSITY, HANOI 12116 VIETNAM

Email address: loan.nguyenthi2@phenikaa-uni.edu.vn