

Symplectic groupoid and cluster algebras

Leonid O. Chekhov* and Michael Shapiro†

April 13, 2023

Abstract

We consider the symplectic groupoid of pairs (B, \mathbb{A}) with \mathbb{A} unipotent upper-triangular matrices and $B \in GL_n$ being such that $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = B\mathbb{A}B^T$ are also unipotent upper-triangular matrices. We explicitly solve this groupoid condition using Fock–Goncharov–Shen cluster variables and show that for B satisfying the standard semiclassical Lie–Poisson algebra, the matrices B , \mathbb{A} , and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ satisfy the closed Poisson algebra relations expressible in the r -matrix form. Identifying entries of \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ with geodesic functions for geodesics on the two halves of a closed Riemann surface of genus $g = n - 1$ separated by the Markov element, we are able to construct the geodesic function G_B “dual” to the Markov element. We thus obtain the complete cluster algebra description of Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{2,0}$ of genus two. We discuss also the generalization of our construction for higher genera. For genus larger than three we need a Hamiltonian reduction based on the rank condition $\text{rank}(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) \leq 4$; we present the example of such a reduction for $\mathcal{T}_{4,0}$.

Dedicated to the memory of great mathematician and person Igor Krichever

1 Introduction

- Denote by \mathcal{A}_n the space of unipotent upper-triangular $n \times n$ real matrices. The symplectic groupoid of upper-triangular matrices \mathcal{M} is formed by pairs (B, \mathbb{A}) such that $B \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ satisfying $B\mathbb{A}B^T \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Here, \mathbb{A} is considered as an object and B as a morphism taking \mathbb{A} to $B\mathbb{A}B^T$. Natural source and target maps s and t from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{A}_n are defined $s((B, \mathbb{A})) = \mathbb{A}$, $t((B, \mathbb{A})) = B\mathbb{A}B^T$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ be the subset of all compatible pairs $\{((C, B\mathbb{A}B^T), (B, \mathbb{A}))\}$ and p_1, p_2 be two natural projections $\mathcal{M}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to the first and to the second component, $m : \mathcal{M}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the multiplication map $m(((C, B\mathbb{A}B^T), (B, \mathbb{A}))) = (CB, \mathbb{A})$. Symplectic groupoid \mathcal{M} is equipped with a natural symplectic form ω satisfying $m^*(\omega) = p_1^*(\omega) + p_2^*(\omega)$ [54]. Push forwards by $(p_1)_*$ the nondegenerate Poisson structure \mathcal{P}_s dual to ω makes \mathcal{A} into a Poisson manifold equipped with the *reflection* Poisson bracket $\{, \}$ satisfying relation $(p_1)_*(\mathcal{P}_s) = -(p_2)_*(\mathcal{P}_s)$ (see (2.14) and (2.15)).

Recall that the group SL_n is a Poisson variety equipped with the standard trigonometric Poisson-Lie bracket $\{, \}_{SL_n}$. Consider subset $\mathcal{B}_n \subset SL_n$ of matrices B such that there is a pair $(B, \mathbb{A}) \in \mathcal{M}$. We show that \mathcal{B}_n is a symplectic leaf of $\{, \}_{SL_n}$. Moreover, we show that the choice of $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ determines unique $\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $(B, \mathbb{A}) \in \mathcal{M}$. This construction determines a map ψ from \mathcal{B}_n to \mathcal{A}_n . It was shown in [15] that the map $\psi : (\mathcal{B}_n, \{, \}_{SL_n}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_n, \{, \})$ is Poisson. In this paper we provide another, simpler proof of this claim using the network realization of matrix B and matrices \mathbb{A} and $B\mathbb{A}B^T$ obtained by studying the moduli space $\mathcal{P}_{SL_n, \square}$ of SL_n -pinnings on the planar square introduced in [35].

The Poisson variety $SL_n, \{, \}_{SL_n}$ has a compatible cluster structure, i.e., the collection of cluster coordinate charts $\mathcal{C}(t) = \{z_i(t)\}$, $t \in \mathcal{E}$ where \mathcal{E} is the exchange graph of the cluster algebra, such that $\{z_i(t), z_j(t)\} = \epsilon_{ij} z_i(t) z_j(t)$, ϵ_{ij} form a skew-symmetric matrix with half-integer entries. We extend the map ψ to the Poisson map $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n \times \mathcal{A}_n$ which maps $B \mapsto (\mathbb{A}, B\mathbb{A}B^T)$. The image $\mathcal{I} = \tilde{\psi}(\mathcal{B}_n)$ is of codimension $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_n \times \mathcal{A}_n$ defined by the conditions that the values of some Casimirs of \mathcal{A}_n coincide for \mathbb{A} and $B\mathbb{A}B^T$. One can think of \mathcal{B}_n as $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ -parameter extension of \mathcal{I} . The symplectic leaf \mathcal{B}_n possesses a cluster structure obtained by restricting and amalgamating the cluster structure from the moduli space of pinnings.

*Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russia, and Michigan State University, East Lansing, USA. Email: chekhov@msu.edu.

†Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48823, USA. Email: mshapiro@msu.edu.

- There is a well known braid group action on \mathcal{A}_n . To describe this action we identify the elements of the space \mathcal{A}_n of the objects of symplectic groupoid \mathcal{M} with the space of triangular unipotent bilinear forms. The morphisms are changes of basis that preserve the triangular unipotent shape of the form. For a fixed form Φ let's call the basis compatible if the form has the triangular unipotent shape. The braid group \mathfrak{B}_n acts on the set of compatible bases. The i th generator β_i maps the basis (v_1, \dots, v_n) to the basis $(v_1, \dots, v_{i+1}, v_i - \Phi(v_i, v_{i+1})v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_n)$.


In the matrix form β_i is realized as the action $\beta_i(\mathbb{A}) = B_i(\mathbb{A})\mathbb{A}B_i(\mathbb{A})^T$ where the matrix $B_i(\mathbb{A})$ has the

$$\text{block form } B_i(\mathbb{A}) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{i-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} a_{i,i+1} & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Id}_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \text{Id}_k \text{ denoting the } k \times k \text{ identity matrix and } a_{i,j}$$


denoting entries of the matrix \mathbb{A} .

We show below that the braid group action is cluster, i.e., can be obtained as a sequence of cluster mutations.

- The algebra $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{T}_{g,s})$ of functions on the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,s}$ of curves $\Sigma_{g,s}$ of genus g with s holes is generated by the geodesic functions $\{G_\ell\}$, ℓ is a simple loop on a topological surface $\Sigma_{g,s}$. Functions G_ℓ satisfy skein relations based on two “resolutions” of the crossing between two geodesics. All algebraic relations hold for the corresponding geodesic functions (we assume that the pattern outside the circle containing the crossing remains intact):



The algebra $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{T}_{g,s})$ is Poisson with Goldman Poisson bracket, which, for the same choice of geodesics and the corresponding geodesic functions, has the form



- In the case $s \geq 1$ an ideal triangulation of surface $\Sigma_{g,s}$ with the set of edges E gives parametrization $\mathcal{T}_{g,s}$ by so-called shear coordinates y_e , $e \in E$ introduced by W.Thurston. The shear coordinates have very nice Poisson properties; they are log-canonical coordinates generating the Goldman bracket. Namely, the Goldman bracket satisfy relations $\{y_e, y_{e'}\} = \epsilon_{e,e'}$ where $\epsilon_{e,e'} = \sum_{\Delta} \epsilon_{e,e'}(\Delta)$ taken over all triangles Δ of the triangulation and $\epsilon_{e,e'}(\Delta) = 1/2$ if e' follows e in counterclockwise direction inside Δ , $\epsilon_{e,e'}(\Delta) = -1/2$ if e follows e' in counterclockwise direction inside Δ , and $\epsilon_{e,e'}(\Delta) = 0$ otherwise. Dually, one can replace triangulation with the dual 3-valent ribbon graph with the same parameter y_e assigned to the edge of the ribbon graph dual to the edge e of the triangulation. We will denote the dual edge by the same letter e .

In [23] an expression for geodesic functions in terms of shear coordinates was found. In [12], the authors considered the special collection of loops on genus $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ surface with $s = 2 - (n \bmod 2)$ holes (where the parity $n \bmod 2 = 0$ for even n and $n \bmod 2 = 1$ for odd n). That collection gives rise to the corresponding

set of geodesic functions $G_{i,j}, i < j$. The map that takes the surface to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & G_{i,j} \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a

Poisson embedding $\mathcal{T}_{g,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$.

Recall that a morphism $B \in \mathcal{B}$ determines uniquely the pair: the source object $\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and the target object $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = B\mathbb{A}B^T \in \mathcal{A}_n$. The map $B \mapsto (\mathbb{A}, \tilde{\mathbb{A}})$ defines a fiber bundle with fibers of positive dimension.

We consider in details the case $n = 3$. In this case the projection $B \mapsto (\mathbb{A}, \tilde{\mathbb{A}})$ has a one-dimensional fiber. By [12], each of the matrices \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ encodes a hyperbolic torus with one hole. Moreover, the geodesic

length $\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}$ of the hole is determined by the so-called Markov element $\mathfrak{M} = G_{1,2}G_{2,3}G_{1,3} - G_{1,2}^2 - G_{2,3}^2 - G_{1,3}^2 = e^{\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}/2} + e^{-\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}/2} - 2$ which coincide for \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$. Since the lengths of the geodesic boundaries of both tori coincide one can glue two hyperbolic tori along the boundary to obtain a hyperbolic genus two surface with no holes. The extra parameter in B determines the gluing. Therefore the space \mathcal{B}_3 equipped with cluster structure describes the Teichmüller space of closed genus two curves $\mathcal{T}_{2,0}$ and its cluster structure is compatible with the Goldman Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{T}_{2,0}$. To the best of our knowledge such construction is new.

The previous approaches to construct cluster coordinates for surfaces with no boundaries were documented in [5] however, their construction includes taking a Hamiltonian reduction by some expression which is hard to compute and whose cluster meaning needs to be clarified. We do not need such a Hamiltonian reduction in our approach for $g = 2$ and $g = 3$, but we need a Hamiltonian reduction of a different sort for higher genera.

- We omitted this construction's quantum version to make the text more readable. The quantum algebras will be described in a separate publication.
- The main results of this paper include
 - solution of groupoid condition in terms of a planar network;
 - construction of cluster coordinates on the space of (an extension of) pairs (source,target) objects of symplectic groupoid of triangular unipotent forms compatible with the natural Poisson bracket;
 - cluster representation of braid group action
 - construction of cluster coordinates on Teichmüller space of closed (with no boundary) genus two hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. Some elements of this construction are built for higher genus Riemann surfaces.

2 Semi-classical symplectic groupoid

2.1 Solving the groupoid compatibility condition

Recall that the moduli space of pinnings $\mathcal{P}_{SL_n, \Sigma}$ [35] on a hyperbolic Riemann surface Σ with marked points is described by the collection of so-called Fock-Goncharov-Shen (FGS-) parameters. FGS-parameters are defined by an ideal triangulation T of Σ with vertices of triangles at the marked points and geodesic sides of triangles. It is convenient to subdivide each triangle into the lattice of smaller triangles (each side of T is subdivided into n subintervals) and assign one FGS-parameter to each vertex of this lattice (see Figure 1). The pinning described by the collection of the FGS-parameter assigns a basis in \mathbb{R}^n to every side of T and, therefore, determines the *transport matrix* between two sides of every triangle of T . All transport matrices can be realized as "boundary measurement" matrices (or response matrices) [48, 17] of the appropriately oriented networks with face weights given by the FGS-parameters. The Goldman Poisson bracket is described by the quiver Q with vertices equipped with FGS-parameters Z_{abc} , more precisely, $\{Z_{abc}, Z_{pqr}\} = \varepsilon_{abc}^{pqr} Z_{abc} Z_{pqr}$ where ε_{abc}^{pqr} is the number of arrows in Q from vertex abc to the vertex pqr minus the number of arrows from vertex pqr to the vertex abc .

In this paper, we consider only two cases: either Σ is the disk with three punctures (marked points) on the boundary, or the disk with four punctures (marked points) on the boundary. In the first case, we call Σ *triangle* and denote it by \triangle , in the second case, we call Σ *square* and denote it by \square .

In this section we solve the groupoid compatibility problem: *find the pairs (B, \mathbb{A}) such that $B \in SL_n$, $\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{A}_n$, and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = B\mathbb{A}B^T \in \mathcal{A}_n$ in terms of transport matrices in the square.*

Let us consider $\mathcal{P}_{SL_n, \square}$. Our main object is the network and the corresponding quiver obtained by the *amalgamation* of two FGS triangle-shaped networks (see, Fig. 2) [25]. Let T_1, \tilde{T}_1 , and \bar{T}_1 be (upper-triangular, non-normalized) transport matrices in the right triangle and let T_2, \tilde{T}_2 , and \bar{T}_2 be (lower-triangular, non-normalized) transport matrices in the left triangle. Cluster variables in different triangles Poisson commute.

Every transport matrix T satisfies the Lie-Poisson algebra [17]

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 \\ T & \otimes T \end{matrix} \right\} = -rTT + TTr = r^T T\bar{T} - T\bar{T}r^T, \quad (2.1)$$




Figure 1: FGS-parameters of $\mathcal{P}_{SL_3, \Delta}$. Double arrows show the direction of transport matrices $T_1, \tilde{T}_1, \bar{T}_1$.




Figure 2: Transport matrices in the triangulation of the square.

where $r = \sum_{i,j} \theta(i-j) \overset{1}{E}_{i,j} \overset{2}{E}_{j,i}$ is the trigonometric r -matrix; $\theta(x) = \{1 \ x > 0; 1/2 \ x = 0; 0 \ x < 0\}$, and $r + r^T = P$ —the permutation matrix. Having a transport matrix T , the transport matrix T^* in the opposite direction is related to the inverse of T as

$$T^* = ST^{-1}S, \text{ where } S = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i E_{i, n+1-i}, \quad S^2 = \pm Id, \quad S^T = \pm S \quad (2.2)$$

with sign $+$ for even n and sign $-$ for odd n .

Then the basic relation proved in [17],[33],

$$\left\{ \overset{1}{T}_1 \otimes \overset{2}{\tilde{T}}_1^* \right\} = \overset{1}{T}_1 \overset{2}{\tilde{T}}_1^* r,$$

implies

$$\left\{ \overset{1}{T}_1 \otimes \overset{2}{\tilde{T}}_1 \right\} = -\overset{1}{T}_1 (\overset{2}{S} r \overset{2}{S}) \overset{2}{\tilde{T}}_1 \quad (2.3)$$

with analogous relations holding for the pairs (\tilde{T}_1, \bar{T}_1) and (\bar{T}_1, T_1) permuted in the cyclic order.

The corresponding relation for T_2 reads

$$\left\{ \overset{1}{\tilde{T}}_2 \otimes \overset{2}{T}_2 \right\} = -\overset{2}{T}_2 (\overset{1}{S} r \overset{1}{S}) \overset{1}{\tilde{T}}_2, \quad (2.4)$$

with analogous relations holding for other two pairs, (\bar{T}_2, \tilde{T}_2) and (T_2, \bar{T}_2) .

The **groupoid path conditions** proved in [17] in the quantum case, imply the following relations in the semiclassical limit $q \rightarrow 1$:

$$\tilde{T}_1 S \bar{T}_1 S T_1 S = Id \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_2 S \bar{T}_2 S T_2 S = Id. \quad (2.6)$$

We use the network Fig. 2 to solve relaxed (*non-normalized*) **symplectic groupoid compatibility** problem. Let \mathfrak{B}_n be the Borel subgroup of nondgenerate upper-triangular matrices of SL_n . We say that the pair (B, \mathbb{A}) , $B \in SL_n$, $\mathbb{A} \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ satisfies the *non-normalized* symplectic groupoid compatibility condition if $\mathbb{A} \in \mathfrak{B}_n$, $B \mathbb{A} B^T \in \mathfrak{B}_n$. The following construction solves the non-normalized symplectic groupoid compatibility condition for the network depicted in Fig. 2.

Theorem 2.1. For the matrix B given by the product of transport matrices

$$B = T_2 T_1. \quad (2.7)$$

in the network depicted in Fig. (2), the non-normalized symplectic groupoid condition that both \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} := B\mathbb{A}B^T$ are upper-triangular is resolved by taking

$$\mathbb{A} = T_1^{-1} S \tilde{T}_2 \tilde{T}_1^T S. \quad (2.8)$$

Then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}} := B\mathbb{A}B^T = S[\overline{T}_2]^{-1} [\overline{T}_1^T]^{-1} S T_2^T \quad (2.9)$$

is automatically upper triangular itself. When deriving these expressions we used the groupoid path relations (2.5) and (2.6).

Proof. Indeed, factorize $\mathbb{A} = T_1^{-1} S \tilde{T}_2 \tilde{T}_1^T S = (-1)^{n+1} \cdot T_1^{-1} \cdot S \tilde{T}_2 S \cdot S \tilde{T}_1^T S$. Note that all the matrices T_1 , $S T_2 S$, and $S T_1^T S$ are upper-triangular by construction which implies that \mathbb{A} is upper-triangular too. Similarly, $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = S[\overline{T}_2]^{-1} [\overline{T}_1^T]^{-1} S T_2^T = (-1)^{n+1} \cdot S[\overline{T}_2]^{-1} S \cdot S[\overline{T}_1^T]^{-1} S \cdot T_2^T$ is upper-triangular and it remains to show that $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = B\mathbb{A}B^T$.

$B\mathbb{A}B^T = T_2 T_1 T_1^{-1} S \tilde{T}_2 \tilde{T}_1^T S T_1^T T_2^T = (T_2 S \tilde{T}_2) \cdot (\tilde{T}_1^T S T_1^T) T_2^T$. Using groupoid conditions (2.5,2.6) we rewrite the latter expression as $(S[\overline{T}_2]^{-1} S) \cdot (S[\overline{T}_1^T]^{-1} S) \cdot T_2^T = S[\overline{T}_2]^{-1} [\overline{T}_1^T]^{-1} S T_2^T = \tilde{\mathbb{A}}$. \square

Now we discuss the normalization conditions that matrices \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ are unipotent. For $B \in SL_n$, define $\delta_k = \det(B_{[n-k+1, n]}^{[1, k]})$, $\tilde{\delta}_k = \det(B_{[1, n-k]}^{[k+1, n]})$ for all $k \in [1, n-1]$, $\delta_0 = \tilde{\delta}_4 = 1$, $\delta_4 = \tilde{\delta}_0 = \det(B)$. Here, I, J are two subsets of $[1, n]$ of the same cardinality $|I| = |J| = \ell$, B_I^J denotes the $\ell \times \ell$ submatrix of B formed by rows from I and columns from J .

Lemma 2.2. Let $B \in SL_n$ satisfy conditions $\delta_k / \tilde{\delta}_k = 1$ for all $k \in [1, n-1]$. Then we have a unique $\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $B\mathbb{A}B^T \in \mathcal{A}_n$.

Proof. Express variables $\mathbb{A}_{k, \ell}$ $1 \leq k < \ell \leq n$ from the system of equations that the lower triangular part of $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = B\mathbb{A}B^T$ is zero and substitute these expressions in diagonal elements of $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$. We obtain

$$\frac{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{k, k}}{\mathbb{A}_{k, k}} = (-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{\tilde{\delta}_{n-k}}{\delta_{n-k}} \right) \left(\frac{\delta_{n-k+1}}{\tilde{\delta}_{n-k+1}} \right).$$

Assuming unipotency condition $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{k, k} = \mathbb{A}_{k, k} = 1$ these equations imply that there exists a unique solution of normalized symplectic groupoid compatibility condition if $\delta_k / \tilde{\delta}_k = (-1)^{(n-k)(n+1)} \forall k \in [0, n]$. \square

Remark 2.3. Note that functions $\det(B)$, $\delta_k / \tilde{\delta}_k$ provide complete collections of independent Casimir functions of the standard R -matrix Poisson-Lie bracket for GL_n .

Remark 2.4. It is easy to satisfy conditions of unipotency of \mathbb{A} and $\delta_i / \tilde{\delta}_i = 1$ simultaneously (see Theorem 3.1) in terms of network Fig. 2. Since the matrices \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ are uniquely determined by the matrix B in a general position, and by the condition of unipotency for the matrix \mathbb{A} , the above solution is, in fact, unique! If, in addition, we require the matrix $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ to be unipotent, it imposes additional restrictions on Casimir elements of the matrix B , see [4]. We resolve these conditions in the next section.

Remark 2.5. The quantum version of transport matrices were considered in [17]. Their entries are elements of quantum torus algebra. It is straightforward to generalize the construction of Theorem 2.8 to the upper-triangular matrices with entries in quantum torus algebra. The quantum construction will be published in a separate paper.

2.2 Semiclassical symplectic groupoid algebra

One of the most attractive features of the symplectic groupoid construction is that elements of any admissible pair (B, \mathbb{A}) satisfy closed Poisson algebra. In [15] it was shown that the Poisson–Lie relations on the matrix B induce the reflection equation relations on \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ as well as all other commutation relations between B and \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$; in particular, elements of \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ mutually Poisson commute. The proof in [15] was straightforward, but technically cumbersome: it was based on expressing elements of \mathbb{A} in terms of B provided that BAB^T is unipotent and on deriving induced Poisson relations. We now derive all these algebraic relations from Poisson relations enjoyed by transport matrices.

Theorem 2.6. *The trigonometric Poisson–Lie bracket on SL_n induces reflection Poisson bracket on \mathcal{A}_n and other Poisson relations between elements of B , \mathbb{A} , and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$.*

2.2.1 $B - B$ relations

That $B = T_2T_1$ itself satisfies the Poisson–Lie algebra follows immediately from that each matrix T_1 and T_2 satisfies the Poisson–Lie bracket and T_1 Poisson commute with T_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \{B \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} B\} &= \{T_2 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} T_2\} T_1 T_1 + T_2 T_2 \{T_1 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} T_1\} = r T_2 T_2 T_1 T_1 - T_2 T_2 r T_1 T_1 + T_2 T_2 r T_1 T_1 - T_2 T_2 T_1 T_1 r \\ &= r T_2 T_2 T_1 T_1 - T_2 T_2 T_1 T_1 r = r B B - B B r. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

2.2.2 $B - \mathbb{A}$ relations

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{B \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} \mathbb{A}\} &= T_1^{-2} S \{T_2 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} T_2\} T_1^T S T_1 + T_2 \{T_1 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} T_1^{-1}\} S T_2 T_1^T S + T_2 T_1^{-1} S T_2 \{T_1 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} T_1^T\} S \\ &= T_1^{-2} S (T_2 S r^T S T_2) T_1^T S T_1 + T_2 (-T_1^{-1} r^T T_1 + T_1 r^T T_1^{-1}) S T_2 T_1^T S + T_2 T_1^{-1} S T_2 (-T_1 T_1^T S r^{t_2} S) S \\ &= T_2 T_1 r^T T_1^{-1} S T_2 T_1^T S - T_2 T_1^{-1} S T_2 T_1 T_1^T S r^{t_2} S \\ &= B r^T \mathbb{A} - \mathbb{A} r^{t_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where r^{t_2} is a partially transposed r -matrix.

2.2.3 $B - \tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{B \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} \tilde{\mathbb{A}}\} &= S \{T_2 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} T_2^{-1}\} [T_1^T]^{-1} S T_2 T_1 + S T_2^{-1} [T_1^T]^{-1} S \{T_2 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} T_2^T\} T_1 + T_2 S T_2^{-1} \{T_1 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} [T_1^T]^{-1}\} S T_2^T \\ &= S (S r S T_2 T_2^{-1}) [T_1^T]^{-1} S T_2 T_1 + S T_2^{-1} [T_1^T]^{-1} S (-T_2^T r^{t_2} T_2 + T_2 r^{t_2} T_2^T) T_1 - T_2 S T_2^{-1} ([T_1^T]^{-1} S r^{t_1} S T_1) S T_2^T \\ &= r^T S T_2 T_2^{-1} [T_1^T]^{-1} S T_2 T_1 - S T_2^{-1} [T_1^T]^{-1} S T_2^T r^{t_2} T_2 T_1 \\ &= r^T \tilde{B} \mathbb{A} - \mathbb{A} r^{t_2} \tilde{B}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where we have used that $r^{t_1} S S = S S r^{t_2}$ and $S S r = r^T S S$.

2.2.4 $\mathbb{A} - \tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{A} \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} \tilde{\mathbb{A}}\} &= S T_2^{-1} \{T_1^{-1} \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} [T_1^T]^{-1}\} S T_2 T_1^T S + S T_2^{-1} T_1^{-1} S T_2 \{T_1 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} [T_1^T]^{-1}\} S T_2 T_1^T S \\ &\quad + S T_1^{-1} S \{T_2 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} T_2^{-1}\} [T_1^T]^{-1} S T_2 T_1^T S + S T_2^{-1} [T_1^T]^{-1} S T_1^{-1} S \{T_2 \underset{\circlearrowleft}{\otimes} T_2^T\} T_1^T S \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^{-1} (T_1^{-1} [\overset{2}{T}_1^T]^{-1} \overset{1}{S} r^{t_1} \overset{1}{S}) \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \overset{1}{S} \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{1}{S} + \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^{-1} T_1^{-1} \overset{1}{S} \overset{1}{T}_2^T (S r^T \overset{2}{S} \overset{1}{T}_1^T [\overset{2}{T}_1^T]^{-1}) \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \overset{1}{S} \\
&\quad + \overset{2}{S} \overset{1}{T}_1^{-1} \overset{1}{S} (-\overset{1}{T}_2 \overset{2}{T}_2^{-1} \overset{2}{S} r^T \overset{2}{S}) [\overset{2}{T}_1^T]^{-1} \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{1}{S} + \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^{-1} [\overset{2}{T}_1^T]^{-1} \overset{2}{S} \overset{1}{T}_1^{-1} \overset{1}{S} (-\overset{1}{S} r^{t_2} \overset{1}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \overset{2}{T}_1^T) \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{1}{S}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this expression, the first term is canceled with the fourth term and the second term is canceled with the third term, so we obtain that

$$\{\overset{1}{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}}\} = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

2.2.5 $\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{A}$ relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\overset{1}{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}}\} &= \{T_1^{-1} \otimes T_1^{-1}\} \overset{1}{S} \overset{1}{T}_2^T \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{1}{S} \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \overset{2}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{S} + T_1^{-1} \overset{1}{S} T_1^{-1} \overset{2}{S} \{\overset{1}{T}_2 \otimes \overset{2}{T}_2\} \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{S} + T_1^{-1} \overset{1}{S} \overset{1}{T}_2^T T_1^{-1} \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \{\overset{1}{T}_1^T \otimes \overset{2}{T}_1^T\} \overset{1}{S} \overset{2}{S} \\
&\quad + T_1^{-1} \overset{1}{S} \overset{1}{T}_2^T \{\overset{1}{T}_1^T \otimes T_1^{-1}\} \overset{1}{S} \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \overset{2}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{S} + T_1^{-1} \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \{T_1^{-1} \otimes \overset{2}{T}_1^T\} \overset{2}{S} \overset{1}{T}_2^T \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{1}{S} \\
&= (r T_1^{-1} T_1^{-1} - T_1^{-1} T_1^{-1} r) \overset{1}{S} \overset{1}{T}_2^T \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{1}{S} \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \overset{2}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{S} + T_1^{-1} \overset{1}{S} T_1^{-1} \overset{2}{S} (r^T \overset{1}{T}_2 \overset{2}{T}_2 - \overset{1}{T}_2 \overset{2}{T}_2 r^T) \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{S} \\
&\quad + T_1^{-1} \overset{1}{S} \overset{1}{T}_2^T T_1^{-1} \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T (r^T \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{T}_1^T - \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{T}_1^T r^T) \overset{1}{S} \overset{2}{S} \\
&\quad + T_1^{-1} \overset{1}{S} \overset{1}{T}_2^T (-\overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{1}{S} r^{t_2} \overset{1}{S} T_1^{-1}) \overset{1}{S} \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T \overset{2}{T}_1^T \overset{2}{S} + T_1^{-1} \overset{2}{S} \overset{2}{T}_2^T (T_1^T \overset{2}{S} r^{t_2} \overset{2}{S} T_1^{-1}) \overset{2}{S} \overset{1}{T}_2^T \overset{1}{T}_1^T \overset{1}{S} \\
&= r \overset{1}{\mathbb{A}} \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}} - \overset{1}{\mathbb{A}} \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}} r - \overset{1}{\mathbb{A}} r^{t_2} \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}} + \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}} r^{t_2} \overset{1}{\mathbb{A}} = -r^T \overset{1}{\mathbb{A}} \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}} + \overset{1}{\mathbb{A}} \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}} r^T - \overset{1}{\mathbb{A}} r^{t_2} \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}} + \overset{2}{\mathbb{A}} r^{t_2} \overset{1}{\mathbb{A}},
\end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

that is, we have obtained the semiclassical **reflection equation**.

2.2.6 $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} - \tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ relations

Analogously to the previous relation, we obtain

$$\{\tilde{\overset{1}{\mathbb{A}}} \otimes \tilde{\overset{2}{\mathbb{A}}}\} = -r \tilde{\overset{1}{\mathbb{A}}} \tilde{\overset{2}{\mathbb{A}}} + \tilde{\overset{1}{\mathbb{A}}} \tilde{\overset{2}{\mathbb{A}}} r + \tilde{\overset{1}{\mathbb{A}}} r^{t_2} \tilde{\overset{2}{\mathbb{A}}} - \tilde{\overset{2}{\mathbb{A}}} r^{t_2} \tilde{\overset{1}{\mathbb{A}}}, \quad (2.15)$$

so the mapping $\mathbb{A} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ is anti-Poisson.

3 Casimir elements

In this section we will describe, first, the Casimir elements (or, using a widely accepted jargon, Casimirs) of the Goldman Poisson bracket collection on the moduli space of pinning $\mathcal{P}_{SL_n, \square}$ and, then, of the reflection bracket on \mathcal{A}_n . Since FGS-parameters Z_{abc} are log-canonical coordinates for both brackets, it is convenient to use these parameters for computing Casimirs in both cases. Indeed, the bracket becomes constant in $\log Z_{abc}$, and the equations for Casimirs become linear. In particular, it implies that the generators of the algebra of Casimir elements can be expressed as Laurent monomials in Z_{abc} .

Below we show the pictorial representation of monomials C_i and \tilde{C}_i that describe Casimirs of both brackets. One picture describes one monomial. Each vertex of a quiver below is assigned a corresponding FGS-parameter. Blue arrows of the quiver describe the Poisson-Lie bracket between parameters assigned to endpoints of the arrow. Red numbers at the vertices mean the exponent of the corresponding variable in the monomial C_i or \tilde{C}_i as indicated in the caption; unnumbered vertices do not contribute.




Figure 3: Pre-Casimirs \tilde{C}_2 , \tilde{C}_3 , and \tilde{C}_4 (from left to right) for the full-rank quiver Q_\square for \mathcal{P}_{GL_4} .




Figure 4: Pre-Casimirs C_2 , C_3 , and C_4 (from left to right) for the full-rank quiver Q_\square for \mathcal{P}_{GL_4} .




Figure 5: Two exceptional Casimirs C_0 and C_1 (from left to right) for the full-rank quiver Q_\square for \mathcal{P}_{GL_4} .

The quiver Q_\square associated to the moduli space of pinings $\mathcal{P}_{GL_n, \square}$ therefore contains $(n+1)^2$ cluster variables. The vertices of the quiver form a skew (rhombus-shaped) lattice. Let's label vertices by pairs $(i, j) \in [0, n] \times [0, n]$, i increasing from left to right, j from bottom to top, and the corresponding cluster variable by K_{ij} .

Note that not all variables K_{ij} of Q_\square are used in expression of transport matrix B . Namely, all variables in the bottom row do not contribute to B . Also, only the product of all variables in the top row enters as a factor in the expression for any entry of matrix B . The corresponding quiver is shown on Fig. 6.




Figure 6: The quiver of factorization parameters for matrix $B \in GL_n$, $n = 4$ (the quiver for B -system). The cluster variable at the top vertex is the product $\prod_{j=0}^n K_{n,j}$. In the remaining part of the quiver the vertex $(i, j) \in [1, n-1] \times [0, n]$ carries the variable $K_{i,j}$.

Theorem 3.1. *The full list of Casimir elements is as follows:*

- (i) Q_\square (see, Figures 3,4,5) has exactly $n + 1$ Casimirs: C_0 , C_1 and $\tilde{C}_i C_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$.
- (ii) the quiver for the transport matrix B normalized to the unit determinant ($\det B = 1$) contains exactly $n - 1$ Casimirs \tilde{C}_i / C_i .
- (iii) the quiver for \mathcal{A}_n obtained from the quiver Q_\square with Moebius-like amalgamation of variables in the upper and lower rows contains $n(n + 1)$ cluster variables and has exactly $2n$ Casimirs: C_0 , C_1 and all \tilde{C}_i and C_i for $i = 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. (i) The fact that monomials C_0, C_1 and $C_i \tilde{C}_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ are Casimirs of the Poisson -Lie bracket follows immediately from the Figs. 3, 4, and 5 and the definition of monomials by counting the signed number of arrows connecting the monomial with any vertex of the quiver. It is easy to observe that $\{\log(C_0), \log(C_1), \log(C_i) + \log(\tilde{C}_i)\}$ are linearly independent functions, hence $\{C_0, C_1\} \cup \{C_i \tilde{C}_i\}_{i=2, \dots, n}$ form the set of transcendentially independent generators. Finally, since the Poisson bracket in logarithms of FGS-parameters is constant, its corank can be directly computed and coincides with the dimension $n + 1$ of the nullspace of the skew-symmetric coefficient matrix which implies that there are exactly $n + 1$ generators of the field of Casimir functions.

(ii) Denote by K_i the product of all variables in the i th row $K_i = \prod_{j=0}^n K_{i,j}$, $i \in [1, n]$. Obviously, $\{K_i, K_j\} = 0$. Determinant of transport matrix $\det(B) = K_n^n \cdot K_{n-1}^{n-1} \cdots \cdots K_2^2 \cdot K_1$. Hence, the condition $\det(B) = 1$ is rewritten as $K_n = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} K_j^{j/n}}$. It is easy to observe that $\{\det(B), B_{k,\ell}\} = 0$. Indeed, we

will show that $\{\det(B), K_{i,j}\} = 0 \forall (i, j) \in [1, n-1] \times [0, n]$. For (i, j) satisfying $j \neq 0, j \neq n, j \neq i$ we observe that $\{K_a, K_{i,j}\} = 0 \forall a \in [1, n]$. Note that $\{K_{0,j}, \det(B)\} = (\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{j+1}{n} - \frac{j}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{j-1}{n}) K_{0,j} \det(B) = (\frac{j}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{j}{n} + \frac{j}{2n} - \frac{1}{2n}) K_{0,j} \det(B) = 0$. Similar arguments show that $\det(B)$ Poisson commutes with $K_{i,j}$ in all remaining cases. Hence, the condition $\det(B) = 1$ defines a Poisson submanifold SL_n of GL_n . The Poisson bracket on factorization parameters $K_{i,j}$ of matrix B is recorded in the structure of quiver (Fig. 6). The structure of quiver directly implies that \tilde{C}_i/C_i is a Casimir for $i = 2, \dots, n$. It is well known that the Poisson bracket induced on SL_n coincides with the standard Poisson-Lie bracket. Moreover, corank of the standard Poisson-Lie bracket is $n - 1$, implying that functions \tilde{C}_i/C_i generate the field of the rational Casimir functions.

(iii) Let's recall that the Poisson bracket on the amalgamated network becomes constant in logarithmic coordinates $\log K_{i,j}$ and all functions $\log C_0, \log C_1, \log C_i, \log \tilde{C}_i$ are linear in $\log K_{i,j}$, and they are linearly independent. A direct observation of the quiver implies that each of this function is a Casimir, hence $C_0, C_1, C_i, \tilde{C}_i$, $i = 2, \dots, n$ form a system of $2n$ independent Casimir functions on the amalgamated network. To show the completeness of this system of Casimirs we consider the rank of the Poisson tensor on the amalgamated network. The statement (i) claims that corank of the Poisson tensor before amalgamation is $n + 1$. In logarithmic coordinates the amalgamation projects the space of network weights onto the new space of dimension $n + 1$ lesser with $(n + 1)$ -dimensional linear fiber. The corank of the Poisson tensor is easily computed from the corresponding quiver 7, and it equals $2n$. This fact accomplishes the proof of part (iii) of the Theorem. \square




Figure 7: The amalgamated quiver $[1, n] \times [0, n]$.

Lemma 3.2. *The condition that both \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} := B\mathbb{A}B^T$ are unipotent implies that $C_0 = C_1 = C_i = \tilde{C}_i = 1$. This implies that all central elements of B equal the unity.*

Proof. Direct computation. \square

4 The moduli space of framed flag configurations on marked surfaces

In this section, we describe an enhanced decorated space of flat connections on non-oriented surfaces.

Let Σ be, generally speaking, a non-orientable two-dimensional topological surface with holes and marked points. We assume that each boundary component of Σ has at least one marked point. Let T be a triangulation of Σ with vertices at marked points. Each triangle Δ of T is contractible. Hence we can co-orient all triangles by coloring each triangle's top and bottom faces in green and blue. Triangles glued along their edges form the surface Σ . We don't assume that gluing is compatible with coloring (i.e., crossing a common edge of two glued triangles, one can go from one color to the other, see Fig. 8). Each marked point p has a point on the green face (called green point and denoted by p^g) and a corresponding point on the blue face (called blue and denoted by p^b). All green marked points are equipped with framed complete flags [25], i.e., an element of GL_n/N , where N is the subgroup of unipotent upper-triangular matrices. By N_- we denote the subgroup of unipotent lower-triangular matrices.

Lemma 4.1. *A framed flag $x \in GL_n/N$ determines a unique dual framed flag $x^* \in N_- \setminus GL_n$.*

Proof. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, ω_ℓ be ℓ -form assigned to the ℓ -space x^ℓ , ω_ℓ^* be ℓ -form assigned to the ℓ -space $(x^*)^\ell$. Note that $\omega_k \in \wedge^k V^*$, $(\omega^*)_k \in \wedge^k V$, the standard map $\wedge^k V \oplus \wedge^{n-k} V \rightarrow \wedge^n V \simeq \mathbb{R}$ determines the nondegenerate pairing $\pi : \wedge^k V \simeq \wedge^{n-k} V^*$. Define x^* as follows: the space $(x^*)^k = \text{Ann}(x^{n-k})$ and the form $(\omega^*)_k = \pi^{-1}(\omega_{n-k})$ restricted on $(x^*)^k$. The restriction of k -form is nondegenerate by construction. \square

By abusing notation, we define the isomorphism between the framed and dual framed flags by π . A framed configuration assigns to any white vertex p_i a framed flag $\mathbf{f}(p_i)$ and to the corresponding black vertex p^b the dual framed flag $\pi(\mathbf{f}(p_i))$ such that all flags are pairwise in general position.




Figure 8: Two-sided surface: the green faces carry framed flags; the blue faces carry dual framed flags.

Let $\vec{e} = (t, h)$ be an oriented side of triangle Δ whose endpoints are equipped with the framed flags in general position, t be the tail endpoint of \vec{e} , h be the head of \vec{e} . Let ϕ be the forgetful map from the framed flags to unframed flags by forgetting corresponding forms, ϕ^* be the forgetful map from the dual framed flags to unframed dual flags. The pair $(\phi(\mathbf{f})(t), \mathbf{f}(h))$ assigns to the oriented side \vec{e} the basis $\mathbf{b}(\vec{e})$. The basis is constructed as follows. First of all, flags $\phi(\mathbf{f})(t), \phi(\mathbf{f})(h)$ determine n -tuple lines in V with k th line ℓ_k obtained as intersection of k -subspace of the second flag with $n - k + 1$ -dimensional subspace of the first. Let ω_k^h be the k -form on the k -subspace of the flag $\mathbf{f}(h)$. Choose the vector $v_k \in \ell_k$ such that $\omega_k^h(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) = 1$. These conditions consecutively determine v_1, v_2 , etc uniquely. Gluing two similarly oriented triangles along the side (t, h) we obtain two bases v_1, \dots, v_n and v'_1, \dots, v'_n where v_k is proportional to v'_{n-k} . The transition from one basis to another results in an extra multiplication by the antidiagonal matrix S and a diagonal matrix. Gluing two oppositely oriented triangles along side (t, h) we obtain two bases along this side (each in its triangle), with one basis in V (green triangle) and the other in V^* . We postulate that gluing corresponds to an operator $V \rightarrow V^*$, which transforms the basis in V to the corresponding basis in V^* . Therefore, the non-oriented loop leads to a bilinear form.

5 Expressing \mathbb{A} , $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$

5.1 The quiver for \mathbb{A} , $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$

We now use Lemma 3.2 to construct a special quiver for entries of the matrices \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$. Starting with expressions (2.8) and (2.9), we first observe that due to the transposition operation, (frozen) cluster variables on upper and lower rows are amalgamated in "Moebius-like" way, with inverting the orientation like in Section 4. We then declare newly obtained amalgamated variables distinct from the variables at corners of the graph to be new dynamical variables and express all remaining $2n$ frozen variables (including two variables obtained by

amalgamating opposite corners of the quiver) via dynamical variables using that all $2n$ Casimir elements must be equal to the unity. Since frozen variables come with power two into these Casimirs, the resulting expressions will contain dynamical cluster variables in powers 0, $1/2$, and $-1/2$ only. Details of the calculation are the same as in [17], so we only present the result.




Figure 9: The amalgamated quivers for SL_3 and SL_4 . Removed frozen cluster variables are depicted in light gray; the new pairwise amalgamated variables are enumerated (i th variable is amalgamated with i' th variable).




Figure 10: The directed networks for SL_3 : on the left is the directed network for $T_1^{-1}S\tilde{T}_2$ and on the right is the one for $\tilde{T}_1^T S$. Removed frozen cluster variables are depicted in light gray; pairwise amalgamated sources and sinks are enumerated (i th sink is joined with with i' th source).




Figure 11: The schematic depiction of calculating a normalized element $a_{i,j}$ of \mathbb{A} (on the left) and $\tilde{a}_{i,j}$ of $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ (on the right): we have a sum over all admissible paths between i th and j th sources; variables in the hatched areas come in power $-1/2$; variables in the cross-hatched areas come in power $1/2$.

The (i, j) entry of \mathbb{A} reads $(-1)^{i+j} a_{i,j}$ with positive $a_{i,j}$ given by the sum over all admissible paths from i to j (as shown in the left side of Fig. 11) of products of cluster variables: those in cross-hatched areas come in the power $1/2$ and those in hatched areas come in the power $-1/2$; $a_{i,j}$ are sums of nonnegative terms, which always include two mutually reciprocal terms with either hatched or cross-hatched areas empty, so for any choice of real-valued cluster variables we have that

$$a_{i,j} > 2 \text{ and } \tilde{a}_{i,j} > 2.$$

This is in line with identifying matrix elements of \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ with geodesic functions in the next section.

Example 5.1. Calculation of $a_{i,j}$ for $n = 4$.

We first redraw the pattern in Figs 10 and 11 by attaching a mirror copy of the right triangular sub-network to the top of the left triangle in Fig. 11. The obtained network is depicted in Fig. 12; cluster variables correspond to faces (and only inner faces contribute to the normalized entries $a_{i,j}$), variables s_i and f_i are obtained by amalgamations, and variables $\{a, b, c\}$ and $\{p, q, r\}$ are inner variables of the respective right and left triangles. All horizontal double arrows are from right to left and all vertical double arrows are from top to bottom. To simplify the picture, we do not indicate edges of the quiver encoding Poisson relations between cluster variables.




Figure 12: The network for calculating $a_{i,j}$ for $n = 4$: it contains two copies of the right triangle (one is a mirror reflection of the other) and a single copy of the left triangle; dashed lines indicate amalgamated boundaries of triangles; cluster variables of faces separated by the dashed lines are obtained by amalgamations of former frozen variables. A matrix element $a_{i,j}$ is obtained by taking a sum over all paths from i th source to j 'th sink; only cluster variables “inside” the $i - j$ ' rectangle contribute: in powers $1/2$ for variables of faces above the path and in powers $-1/2$ for variables of faces below the path. In the figure, circled are variables contributing to $a_{2,4}$.

To obtain the normalized element $a_{i,j}$ we take all paths from i th source to j 'th sink; they all lie between the uppermost path and the lowest path; we take cluster variables of faces confined between the uppermost and lowest paths and set into correspondence to every path the product of these variables: those corresponding to faces above the path enter with the power $1/2$ and those corresponding to faces below the path enter with the power $-1/2$. We then take the sum over all paths. Diagonal entries $a_{i,i} = 1$. We have

$$a_{1,2} = (s_1 f_1 a b)^{1/2} + s_1^{-1/2} (f_1 a b)^{1/2} + (s_1 f_1)^{-1/2} (a b)^{1/2} + (s_1 f_1 a)^{-1/2} b^{1/2} + (s_1 f_1 a b)^{-1/2}$$

$$a_{2,3} = (a s_2 q f_2 c)^{1/2} + a^{-1/2} (s_2 q f_2 c)^{1/2} + (a s_2)^{-1/2} (q f_2 c)^{1/2} + (a s_2 q)^{-1/2} (f_2 c)^{1/2} + (a s_2 q f_2)^{-1/2} c^{1/2} + (a s_2 q f_2 c)^{-1/2},$$

etc. The longest entry, $a_{2,4}$, comprises 17 terms:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2,4} &= (f_2 q s_2 a)^{1/2} ((f_3 p r s_3 b)^{1/2} + (f_3 p r s_3)^{1/2} b^{-1/2} + (f_3 p r)^{1/2} (s_3 b)^{-1/2} \\ &\quad + (f_3 p)^{1/2} (r s_3 b)^{-1/2} + f_3^{1/2} (p r s_3 b)^{-1/2} + (f_3 p r s_3 b)^{-1/2}) \\ &+ (f_2 q s_2)^{1/2} (a b)^{-1/2} ((f_3 p r s_3)^{1/2} + (f_3 p r)^{1/2} s_3^{-1/2} + (f_3 p)^{1/2} (r s_3)^{-1/2} + f_3^{1/2} (p r s_3)^{-1/2} + (f_3 p r s_3)^{-1/2}) \\ &+ (f_2 q)^{1/2} (s_2 a r s_3 b)^{-1/2} ((f_3 p)^{1/2} + f_3^{1/2} p^{-1/2} + (f_3 p)^{-1/2}) \\ &+ f_2^{1/2} (q s_2 a p r s_3 b)^{-1/2} (f_3^{1/2} + f_3^{-1/2}) + (f_2 q s_2 a f_3 p r s_3 b)^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

6 Groupoid of triangular forms and Teichmüller spaces of Riemann surfaces

Groupoid structures are closely related to Teichmüller spaces $\mathcal{T}_{g,s}$ of genus g Riemann surfaces with $s = 1, 2$ holes.

It is well-known (see [12]) that we can identify entries $a_{i,j}$ of $\mathbb{A} \in SL_n$ with *geodesic functions*, $a_{i,j} = G_{i,j}$, where $G_{i,j} = e^{\ell_{i,j}/2} + e^{-\ell_{i,j}/2}$, for a special subset of geodesics loops $\gamma_{i,j}$ having lengths $\ell_{i,j}$ on a Poincaré uniformized Riemann surface of genus $g = \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$ with one hole ($s = 1$) for odd n and with two holes ($s = 2$) for even n . Then the braid-group transformations $\mathbb{A} \mapsto B_{i,i+1} \mathbb{A} B_{i,i+1}^T$ can be interpreted as Dehn twists along geodesics $\gamma_{i,i+1}$ acting on the corresponding geodesic functions $G_{k,l}$.

A known subtlety is that dimensions of \mathcal{A}_n and of the related Teichmüller spaces $\mathcal{T}_{g,s}$ coincide only for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$; starting with $n = 5$, $\mathcal{T}_{g,s}$ are embedded as non-maximal dimension Poisson leaves into \mathcal{A}_n . So, we prefer to keep the notation $G_{i,j}$ for geodesic functions, although they coincide with matrix entries $a_{i,j}$ for real positive cluster variables only for $n = 3, 4$.

Geodesic functions enjoy the classical skein relations and semiclassical Poisson relations (Goldman brackets); using these two relations we can construct any other geodesic function for a smooth Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$ out of $2g + 1$ specially chosen geodesics.¹ Say, having $n - 1$ geodesic functions $G_{i,i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, we can construct all $G_{i,j}$: since $\gamma_{i,i+1}$ and $\gamma_{i+1,i+2}$ has a single intersection point, $G_{i,i+1}G_{i+1,i+2} = G_{i,i+2} + \widehat{G}_{i,i+2}$, where $\widehat{G}_{i,i+2}$ is the geodesic function not in our list, but $\{G_{i,i+1}, G_{i+1,i+2}\} = \frac{1}{2}(G_{i,i+2} - \widehat{G}_{i,i+2})$, and therefore

$$G_{i,i+2} = \frac{1}{2}G_{i,i+1}G_{i+1,i+2} + \{G_{i,i+1}, G_{i+1,i+2}\}.$$

We can continue this process to construct all $G_{i,j}$.

It was proved in [45] that \mathbb{A} of the geometric leaf satisfies the condition $\text{rank}(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) \leq 4$. Geodesic functions of loops around holes of the surface form a complete set of Casimir functions of the Goldman Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{T}_{g,s}$. A complete set of Casimirs of the reflection Poisson bracket is given by the coefficients of powers of λ of polynomial $\det(\mathbb{A} + \lambda\mathbb{A}^T)$, or, equivalently, by the eigenvalues of $\mathbb{A}^{-T}\mathbb{A}$. By Theorem 4.4 [14], for \mathbb{A} in the geometric leaf the spectrum of $\mathbb{A}^{-T}\mathbb{A}$ consists of $\lambda, \lambda^{-1}, \mu, \mu^{-1}$ and -1 's for even n and λ, λ^{-1} and -1 s for odd n . Hence there are maximum two independent eigenvalues for even n and one independent eigenvalue for odd n . We can conclude that in the geometric case the algebra of Casimir function is generated by two generators for even n and by one generator for odd n . The generators are described by the geodesic functions corresponding of two holes (for even n) and one hole (for odd n) of the hyperbolic surface.

7 Cluster variable description of closed genus-two Riemann surface.

We now exploit the correspondence between entries of \mathbb{A} and $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ satisfying reflection equations (2.14), (2.15) and the commutation relation (2.13) and geodesic functions of geodesics on Riemann surfaces satisfying SL_2 Goldman brackets and skein relations.

Cluster mutations μ_f are operations on directed graphs (quivers) and variables associated with vertices of a quiver; mutations transform a quiver in a standard way, whereas variables are transformed as follows: for four typical cases in this paper,




we have that the mutation μ_f in the vertex f results in transformations

$$\mu_f\{f, a, b, c, d\} = \{f^{-1}, a(1 + f^{-1})^{-1}, b(1 + f^{-1})^{-2}, c(1 + f), d(1 + f)^2\}.$$

For arrows of higher orders, the rule is clear.

Various convenient ways to represent the quiver for SL_3 are below:



Here the rightmost quiver is known as X_6 and it is one of a handful of mutation-finite nongeometrical quivers. (Recall that geometrical quivers are those dual to an ideal-triangle decompositions of (open) Riemann surfaces $\Sigma_{g,s}$ with the number of holes $s > 0$ and $2g + s - 2 > 0$.)

Let us introduce special ordered combinations (“telescopic sums”) of letters a, b, c, d, e, f denoted by $\langle \dots \rangle$: for example

$$\langle defa \rangle := (defa)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{de} + \frac{1}{def} + \frac{1}{defa} \right).$$

¹up to a \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry subtlety discussed below in Sec. 8.2.

Then in the middle quiver ($K_{3,3}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1,2} &= \langle defa \rangle, & G_{1,3} &= \langle bcde \rangle, & G_{2,3} &= \langle fabc \rangle \\ \tilde{G}_{1,2} &= \langle bef c \rangle, & \tilde{G}_{1,3} &= \langle dabc \rangle, & \tilde{G}_{2,3} &= \langle feda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

After mutations: first in f , then in e , we obtain the right quiver (“papillon”). It is convenient to introduce the notation

$$\hat{e} := e(abcdef)^{1/2}.$$

Then the only variable with which \hat{e} does not commute is f ; the rule is that $\{\hat{e}, f\} = 2\hat{e}f$.

After the above mutation, the geodesic functions in question become

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1,2} &= (da)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{da} \right) = \langle da \rangle \\ G_{1,3} &= a^{-1/2} \hat{e} + (df)^{1/2} \tilde{G}_{1,2} + \frac{a^{1/2}}{\hat{e}} (1+f)(1+d) \\ G_{2,3} &= d^{-1/2} \hat{e} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a} \right) + (f/a)^{1/2} \tilde{G}_{1,2} + \frac{d^{1/2}}{\hat{e}} (1+f) \end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_{1,2} &= (bc)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{bc} \right) = \langle bc \rangle \\ \tilde{G}_{1,3} &= c^{-1/2} \hat{e} + (bf)^{1/2} G_{1,2} + \frac{c^{1/2}}{\hat{e}} (1+f)(1+b) \\ \tilde{G}_{2,3} &= b^{-1/2} \hat{e} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c} \right) + (f/c)^{1/2} G_{1,2} + \frac{b^{1/2}}{\hat{e}} (1+f), \end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

Our novel interpretation of the X_6 quiver is that it parameterizes the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{2,0}$ of *smooth* Riemann surfaces of genus two with one distinguished separating geodesics (the Markov element); we checked that cluster mutations correspond to Dehn twists along $G_{i,j}$ or $\tilde{G}_{i,j}$ and leave the Markov element invariant.

Our next goal is to construct the full modular group of $\Sigma_{2,0}$ out of the elements of X_6 . This task is seemingly possible because the total Poisson dimension of the $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ is six and we therefore have elements not commuting with the Markov element \mathfrak{M} . We need to find the geodesic function G_B (see Fig. 13) such that the *twist* τ_B that is dual to $\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and is given by the expression (see [47], [9])

$$e^{\tau_B} + e^{-\tau_B} = \frac{\mathfrak{M}G_B - 2G_{1,2}\tilde{G}_{1,2}}{\sqrt{(\mathfrak{M} + G_{1,2}^2)(\mathfrak{M} + \tilde{G}_{1,2}^2)}}, \tag{7.18}$$

has the proper Poisson relations. Here $\mathfrak{M} = e^{\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}/2} + e^{-\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}/2} - 2$, where we identify $\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}$ with the hyperbolic length of the separating geodesics that commutes with all $G_{i,j}$ and $\tilde{G}_{i,j}$ (but, of course, NOT with G_B)




Figure 13: A smooth Riemann surface of genus two with sets of geodesics (labeled by the corresponding geodesic functions): the separating geodesic is the Markov element \mathfrak{M} ; geodesics corresponding to \mathbb{A} are located in the upper part (a torus with a hole, represented by the Markov element), geodesics corresponding to $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ are located in the lower part; these two sets of geodesic functions obviously commute. A missed geodesic that we need to construct the twist variable dual to the Markov element \mathfrak{M} is G_B .

To find a candidate for G_B we explore different expressions for the Markov element \mathfrak{M} . Two original expressions are

$$\mathfrak{M} = G_{1,2}G_{1,3}G_{2,3} - G_{1,2}^2 - G_{1,3}^2 - G_{2,3}^2 \quad (7.19)$$

$$= \tilde{G}_{1,2}\tilde{G}_{1,3}\tilde{G}_{2,3} - \tilde{G}_{1,2}^2 - \tilde{G}_{1,3}^2 - \tilde{G}_{2,3}^2. \quad (7.20)$$

When we substitute expressions from (7.16) and (7.17) into this expression collecting wherever possible terms constituting $G_{1,2}$ and $\tilde{G}_{1,2}$, we find that one combination plays a prominent role; this combination is

$$G_B := \frac{1}{f^{1/2}} \left(\hat{e} + \frac{1+f}{\hat{e}} \right). \quad (7.21)$$

Then the *Markov element* \mathfrak{M} can be written as

$$\mathfrak{M} = f(G_{1,2}\tilde{G}_{1,2}G_B + G_{1,2}^2 + \tilde{G}_{1,2}^2 + G_B^2 - 4) - 4, \quad (7.22)$$

and since G_B commutes with $G_{1,2}$ and $\tilde{G}_{1,2}$, it is only the presence of the variable f in \mathfrak{M} that makes commutation relations between \mathfrak{M} and G_B nonzero. Substituting (7.21) in (7.18), let us evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \{e^{\tau_B} + e^{-\tau_B}, e^{\ell_A/2} + e^{-\ell_A/2}\} &= \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\sqrt{(\mathfrak{M} + G_{1,2})(\mathfrak{M} + \tilde{G}_{1,2})}} \{G_B, \mathfrak{M}\} \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\sqrt{(\mathfrak{M} + G_{1,2})(\mathfrak{M} + \tilde{G}_{1,2})}} \left[e^{(abcd)^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{fe^{(abcd)^{1/2}}} - \frac{1}{e^{(abcd)^{1/2}}} \right] f \cdot (G_{1,2}\tilde{G}_{1,2}G_B + G_{1,2}^2 + \tilde{G}_{1,2}^2 + G_B^2 - 4) \\ &= \sqrt{G_B^2 - 4 - 4/f} \frac{(\mathfrak{M} + 4)\mathfrak{M}}{\sqrt{(\mathfrak{M} + G_{1,2})(\mathfrak{M} + \tilde{G}_{1,2})}} \\ &= \sqrt{G_B^2 - 4 - 4 \frac{G_{1,2}\tilde{G}_{1,2}G_B + G_{1,2}^2 + \tilde{G}_{1,2}^2 + G_B^2 - 4}{\mathfrak{M} + 4}} \frac{(\mathfrak{M} + 4)\mathfrak{M}}{\sqrt{(\mathfrak{M} + G_{1,2})(\mathfrak{M} + \tilde{G}_{1,2})}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{M} + 4)}}{\sqrt{(\mathfrak{M} + G_{1,2})(\mathfrak{M} + \tilde{G}_{1,2})}} \sqrt{(\mathfrak{M}G_B - 2G_{1,2}\tilde{G}_{1,2})^2 - 4(\mathfrak{M} + G_{1,2})(\mathfrak{M} + \tilde{G}_{1,2})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sqrt{(\mathfrak{M} + 2)^2 - 4} \sqrt{\left[\frac{\mathfrak{M}G_B - 2G_{1,2}\tilde{G}_{1,2}}{\sqrt{(\mathfrak{M} + G_{1,2})(\mathfrak{M} + \tilde{G}_{1,2})}} \right]^2 - 4} \\
&= \sqrt{(e^{\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}/2} + e^{-\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}/2})^2 - 4} \sqrt{(e^{\tau_B} + e^{-\tau_B})^2 - 4} = (e^{\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}/2} - e^{-\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}/2})(e^{\tau_B} - e^{-\tau_B}),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\{\tau_B, \ell_{\mathfrak{M}}/2\} = 1$.

A longer but still straightforward calculation, which we omit, demonstrates that the twists $\tau_{1,2}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{1,2}$ along the geodesics $\gamma_{1,2}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{1,2}$ determined by the formulas

$$(e^{\tau_{1,2}} + e^{-\tau_{1,2}})^2 = -4 + \frac{G_{2,3}^2(G_{1,2}^2 - 4)}{\mathfrak{M} + G_{1,2}^2}, \quad (e^{\tilde{\tau}_{1,2}} + e^{-\tilde{\tau}_{1,2}})^2 = -4 + \frac{\tilde{G}_{2,3}^2(\tilde{G}_{1,2}^2 - 4)}{\mathfrak{M} + \tilde{G}_{1,2}^2} \quad (7.23)$$

commute with τ_B . The quantity τ_B satisfies therefore all determining properties of a twist variable dual to $\ell_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

The next and (almost) final step of the construction is to find cluster variable transformations that correspond to twists along G_B . Note that these transformations are not mutations of X_6 since the latter preserve the Markov element. All elements $G_{i,j}$ and $\tilde{G}_{i,j}$ must nevertheless remain Laurent polynomials upon these transformations.


The new (“extended”) mutations that correspond to the twist along G_B in the X_6 quiver are

$$e \rightarrow e \left(1 + \frac{1}{f}\right)^{-1}, \quad f^{1/2} \rightarrow \frac{f^{1/2} + f^{-1/2}}{e(abcd)^{1/2}}. \quad (7.24)$$

These transformations preserve commutation relations in the “papillon” quiver, so the quiver is not changed.

Note that the transformation of f in (7.24) is not a standard cluster mutation. However, the following extension of quiver X_6 to quiver X_7 of finite mutation type [29] provides a framework for cluster interpretation of this “extended” mutation. Namely, the transformation (7.24) is a standard cluster mutation in X_7 under condition that seven cluster coordinates in X_7 satisfy relation (7.26).

Extended quiver X_7 . Consider a “papillon” quiver with a new vertex added:



Adding the new vertex does not change the Poisson dimension because we now have a new Casimir operator. We impose the condition that this Casimir is equal the unity:

$$e^2 abcd f g = 1. \quad (7.26)$$

Then the above “extended” transformation is just the mutation μ_g at the newly added vertex g followed by the operation $\mathfrak{S}_{f,g}$ of interchanging $f \leftrightarrow g$. Indeed, we have:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{f,g}\mu_g(g, f^{1/2}, e) = \mathfrak{S}_{f,g}(g^{-1}, f^{1/2}(1+g), e(1+g^{-1})^{-1}) = (f^{-1}, g^{1/2}(1+f), e(1+f^{-1})^{-1}),$$

and, upon substitution $g = e^{-1}(abcdf)^{-1/2}$, we obtain transformation (7.24) for $f^{1/2}$ and e .

Note that we can always express g via other variables using (7.26); e.g., the above \hat{e} is nothing but $g^{-1/2}$.

We now formulate the theorem that gives a *complete cluster-algebra description of the Teichmüller space of smooth Riemann surfaces of genus two*.

Theorem 7.1. *The Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{2,0}$ of smooth Riemann surfaces of genus two in the Poincaré uniformization is identified with the space of real positive cluster variables $\{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$ of the X_7 quiver (7.25) with the restriction (7.26) imposed. Then,*

- all geodesic functions are elements of an upper cluster algebra (positive Laurent polynomials) of the X_7 quiver variables generated, using skein and Poisson relations, by five elements:

$$G_{1,2} = (da)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{da}\right), \quad \tilde{G}_{1,2} = (bc)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{bc}\right), \quad G_B = (fg)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{fg}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{2,3} &= (gd)^{-1/2} (1 + 1/a) + (f/a)^{1/2} \tilde{G}_{1,2} + (gd)^{1/2} (1 + f), \\
\tilde{G}_{2,3} &= (gb)^{-1/2} (1 + 1/c) + (f/c)^{1/2} G_{1,2} + (gb)^{1/2} (1 + f)
\end{aligned}$$

- every sequence of mutations preserving the graph (7.25) is a combination of Dehn twists and symmetry transformations in $\Sigma_{2,0}$. All these mutations and Dehn twists are Poisson morphisms of both the X_7 cluster algebra and of the Goldman Poisson algebra of geodesic functions.

Remark 7.2. Due to Birman–Hilden the modular group $\text{Mod}(S_2)$ has the following presentation. Let d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5 denote the Dehn twists about the curves shown on the Figure 13 with geodesic functions $G_{1,2}, G_{2,3}, G_B, \tilde{G}_{2,3}, \tilde{G}_{1,2}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Mod}(S_2) = \langle d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5 \mid & d_i d_j = d_j d_i \text{ for } |i - j| > 1, \\
& d_i d_{i+1} d_i = d_{i+1} d_i d_{i+1}, \\
& (d_1 d_2 d_3)^4 = d_5^2, \\
& [(d_5 d_4 d_3 d_2 d_1 d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 d_5), d_1] = 1, \\
& (d_5 d_4 d_3 d_2 d_1 d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 d_5)^2 = 1 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Mod}(S_2) = \langle d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5 \mid & d_i d_j = d_j d_i \text{ for } |i - j| > 1, \\
& d_i d_{i+1} d_i = d_{i+1} d_i d_{i+1}, \\
& (d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 d_5)^6 = 1, \\
& (d_5 d_4 d_3 d_2 d_1 d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 d_5)^2 = 1 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.3. Cluster transformations in X_7 corresponding to the Dehn twists $G_{1,2}, G_{1,3}, G_B, \tilde{G}_{2,3}, \tilde{G}_{1,2}$ satisfy relations (7.2)

Proof. We checked using symbolic calculations that mutations satisfy relation $d_5 d_4 d_3 d_2 d_1 d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 d_5 = \text{Id}$. This implies the last two relations in the first set of relations. The third relation of the first set is checked directly using symbolic computations. Symbolic calculations show also that $(d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 d_5)^2$ realizes permutation $\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f & g \\ c & f & g & b & e & d & a \end{pmatrix}$ of order 3. This proves the third relation of the second set. \square

Remark 7.4. Note that since the modular group transformation is a Poisson morphism, Casimir operators are always preserved by this action, In particular, in any other cluster having the X_7 form, the combination (7.26) expressed in the transformed variables will be equal to the unity.

Remark 7.5. Expressions for geodesic functions for separating geodesics are amazingly long when expressed in terms of cluster variables. Depending on the choice of initial cluster, the Laurent polynomial for \mathfrak{M} comprises 46 terms for X_7 and 50 terms for both $K_{3,3}$ and for the “original” cluster. This is in stark contrast to geometrical clusters describing surfaces with holes where expressions for boundary geodesic functions always contain just two terms, and the lengths of the corresponding geodesics (which are Casimirs) are linear sums of logarithms of cluster variables.

Proof. To proof Theorem for each collection of geodesic functions $G_{1,2}, G_{2,3}, G_B, \tilde{G}_{2,3}, \tilde{G}_{1,2}$ we will construct the set of 2×2 matrices representing these elements. We fix two points α and β on the surface and consider the vector bundle over the surface with fiber \mathbb{R}^2 . Let \mathbb{R}_α^2 be the fiber over α , \mathbb{R}_β^2 be the fiber over β . A flat $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -connection associates with every path γ connecting α to β a transport operator $T_\gamma : \mathbb{R}_\alpha^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\beta^2$. Choosing bases in \mathbb{R}_α^2 and \mathbb{R}_β^2 we obtain matrix M_γ .

Changes of bases $C_\alpha : \mathbb{R}_\alpha^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\alpha^2$, $C_\beta : \mathbb{R}_\beta^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\beta^2$ lead to the action $M_\gamma \mapsto C_\beta^{-1} M_\gamma C_\alpha$. If γ_1, γ_2 are two paths connecting α to β then we associate the matrix $M_{\gamma_1} M_{\gamma_2}^{-1}$ to a loop bases at β which follows γ_2 in the opposite direction and then γ_1 . Clearly, this construction defines a representation of the fundamental group of the surface with the basepoint β . Let us denote by $\gamma_{1,2}, \gamma_{2,3}, \gamma_B, \tilde{\gamma}_{2,3}, \tilde{\gamma}_{1,2}$ the elements in the fundamental group represented by the cycles shown on the left part of Figure 15. Note that such cycles are not independent. Namely, $\gamma_B = \gamma_{1,2} \tilde{\gamma}_{1,2}$, and hence, $M_{\tilde{\gamma}_{1,2}} = M_{\gamma_{1,2}}^{-1} M_{\gamma_B}$.

Below we denote by M_i the matrix M_{γ_i} . In order to construct such representation, we are looking for five 2×2 matrices M_i each matrix representing parallel transport M_{γ_i} satisfying $G_{i,j} = \text{tr}(M_i M_j^{-1})$ (see Fig.14) for genus two. As we discussed above, the collection of matrices M_1, \dots, M_5 is determined up to left and right multiplications by nondegenerate 2×2 matrices.




Figure 14: The hyperbolic genus 2 surface is equipped with natural PSL_2 -connection. The choice of bases in two-dimensional fibers \mathbb{R}_α^2 and \mathbb{R}_β^2 at points α and β associates to every path connecting α to β a 2×2 matrix. M_1, \dots, M_6 are matrices of parallel transports along paths $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_6$ connecting points α and β . The matrices $M_i M_{i+1}^{-1}$ for $i = 1 \dots 6$ give parallel transport along the loops with geodesic functions $G_{1,2}, G_{2,3}, G_B, \tilde{G}_{2,3}, \tilde{G}_{1,2}$ (see Fig. 13) being their traces. Collection M_1, \dots, M_5 up to a diagonal conjugation determines a flat PSL_2 connection on a genus two surface.

We choose bases in \mathbb{R}_α^2 and \mathbb{R}_β^2 in such a way that that $M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2} \end{pmatrix}$, $M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$. These conditions exhaust all the freedom in choice of bases and we set $M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} d & e \\ f & g \end{pmatrix}$, $M_5 = \begin{pmatrix} h & i \\ j & k \end{pmatrix}$, where parameters $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k$ satisfy conditions $ac - b^2 = 1, dg - ef = 1, kh - ij = 1$. Note that parameter $|\ell_{1,2}|$ is determined by $G_{1,2}$ and $\ell_{1,2}$ is therefore completely determined by $G_{1,2}$ up to a sign. By definition, the geodesic functions satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1,3} &= a + c, & G_{1,4} &= d + g, & G_{1,5} &= h + k, & G_{2,3} &= e^{\ell_{1,2}/2}c + e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}a, \\ G_{2,4} &= e^{\ell_{1,2}/2}g + e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}d, & G_{2,5} &= e^{\ell_{1,2}/2}k + e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}h. \end{aligned}$$

These relations give a system of six linear equations for a, b, c, d, g, h, k whose solution is

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{G_{2,3} - G_{1,3}e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}}{e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} - e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}} & a &= \frac{-G_{2,3} + G_{1,3}e^{\ell_{1,2}/2}}{e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} - e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}} \\ g &= \frac{G_{2,4} - G_{1,4}e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}}{e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} - e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}} & d &= \frac{-G_{2,4} + G_{1,4}e^{\ell_{1,2}/2}}{e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} - e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}} \\ k &= \frac{G_{2,5} - G_{1,5}e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}}{e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} - e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}} & h &= \frac{-G_{2,5} + G_{1,5}e^{\ell_{1,2}/2}}{e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} - e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2}} \end{aligned}$$

Let us now express the remaining parameters b, e, f, i, j using relations

$$\begin{aligned} G_{3,4} &= \text{tr} M_3 M_4^{-1} = ag - bf - be + cd \\ G_{3,5} &= \text{tr} M_3 M_5^{-1} = ak - b(i + j) + ch \\ G_{4,5} &= \text{tr} M_4 M_5^{-1} = dk - ej - if + gh \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}_{abc} = G_{a,b}G_{b,c}G_{a,c} - G_{a,b}^2 - G_{b,c}^2 - G_{a,c}^2$. Notice

$$b^2 = ac - 1 = \frac{(e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} + e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2})G_{1,3}G_{2,3} - G_{2,3}^2 - G_{1,3}^2}{(e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} - e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2})^2} - 1 = \frac{G_{1,2}G_{2,3}G_{1,3} - G_{1,2}^2 - G_{2,3}^2 - G_{1,3}^2 + 4}{(e^{\ell_{1,2}/2} - e^{-\ell_{1,2}/2})^2} = \frac{\mathfrak{M}_{123} + 4}{G_{1,2}^2 - 4}$$

Hence, $b = \left[\frac{\mathfrak{M}_{123} + 4}{G_{1,2}^2 - 4} \right]^{1/2}$. Similarly,

$$ef = \frac{\mathfrak{M}_{124} + 4}{G_{1,2}^2 - 4}$$

and

$$(f + e)b = ag + cd - G_{3,4} = \frac{2G_{2,3}G_{2,4} - G_{1,2}G_{1,3}G_{2,4} - G_{1,2}G_{2,3}G_{1,4} + G_{1,3}G_{1,4}(G_{1,2}^2 - 2) - G_{3,4}G_{1,2}^2 + 4G_{3,4}}{G_{1,2}^2 - 4}$$

Parameter f can be found from the latter equation and e from the former one.
Parameters i, j are found from equations

$$ij = \frac{\mathfrak{M}_{125} + 4}{G_{1,2}^2 - 4}$$

and

$$(i + j)b = \frac{2G_{2,3}G_{2,5} - G_{1,2}G_{1,3}G_{2,5} - G_{1,2}G_{2,3}G_{1,5} + G_{1,3}G_{1,5}(G_{1,2}^2 - 2) - G_{3,5}G_{1,2}^2 + 4G_{3,5}}{G_{1,2}^2 - 4}$$

These equations allow to find all parameters $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k$. The last equation $dk + gh - ej - if = G_{4,5}$ checked by computer simulations gives the consistency condition for $G_{1,2}, G_{1,3}, G_{1,4}, G_{1,5}, G_{2,3}, G_{2,4}, G_{2,5}, G_{3,4}, G_{3,5}, G_{4,5}$. We have also checked the condition of triviality of monodromy along the composition of commutators of A - and B -cycles given in this case by the following matrix product:

$$M_5^{-1}M_4M_3^{-1}M_2M_1^{-1}M_5M_4^{-1}M_3M_2^{-1}M_1 = I,$$

which completes the proof. \square

8 Cluster variable description of higher genus closed Riemann surfaces

In this section, we discuss extensions of our construction to higher genus surfaces. Note that for genus three, we obtained the description of the finite quotient of Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{3,0}/\mathbb{Z}_2$. For genus $g > 3$ the construction requires Hamiltonian reduction, which is discussed for $n = 3$ (as a toy example) and $n = 5$.

In Figure 15 we present patterns for surfaces of genera 2, 3, and 4.




Figure 15: Smooth Riemann surfaces of genus two, three and four with sets of geodesics $\gamma_{i,i+1}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{i,i+1}$ (labeled by the corresponding geodesic functions) that generate the corresponding subsets \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ of geodesic functions; the corresponding Casimir elements separate these two subsets. In each case we have to add one more geodesic with the geodesic function G_B to produce the complete set of algebraic elements that generate all other geodesic functions using Goldman brackets and skein relations. Vertical dotted axes are the axes of \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry.

8.1 Genus three

In this section we give cluster expressions for geodesic functions of a genus three surface.

The matrix elements $a_{i,i+1}, \tilde{a}_{i,i+1}$, which we identify with the geodesic functions $G_{i,i+1}, \tilde{G}_{i,i+1}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1,2} &= \langle b_3 a_3 d_2 c_3 \rangle, & G_{2,3} &= \langle c_3 c_2 b_2 a_2 d_3 \rangle, & G_{3,4} &= \langle d_3 d_2 d_1 c_1 b_1 a_1 \rangle, \\ \tilde{G}_{1,2} &= \langle d_1 a_3 b_2 c_1 \rangle, & \tilde{G}_{2,3} &= \langle c_1 c_2 d_2 a_2 b_1 \rangle, & \tilde{G}_{3,4} &= \langle b_1 b_2 b_3 c_3 d_3 a_1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

with $|G_{1,2}| = 5$, $|G_{2,3}| = 6$, and $|G_{3,4}| = 7$. For example, the action of mutations on $G_{1,2}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{a_1} G_{1,2} &= G_{1,2} = \langle b_3 a_3 d_2 c_3 \rangle, & \mu_{a_2} G_{1,2} &= \langle b_3 a_3 a_2 d_2 c_3 \rangle, \\
\mu_{a_3} G_{1,2} &= \langle b_3 d_2 c_3 \rangle, & \mu_{b_1} G_{1,2} &= G_{1,2} = \langle b_3 a_3 d_2 c_3 \rangle, \\
\mu_{b_2} G_{1,2} &= \langle b_3 b_2 a_3 d_2 c_3 \rangle, & \mu_{b_3} G_{1,2} &= \langle a_3 d_2 c_3 b_3 \rangle, \\
\mu_{c_1} G_{1,2} &= G_{1,2} = \langle b_3 a_3 d_2 c_3 \rangle, & \mu_{c_2} G_{1,2} &= \langle b_3 a_3 d_2 c_2 c_3 \rangle, \\
\mu_{c_3} G_{1,2} &= \langle c_3 b_3 a_3 d_2 \rangle, & \mu_{d_1} G_{1,2} &= \langle b_3 a_3 d_1 d_2 c_3 \rangle, \\
\mu_{d_2} G_{1,2} &= \langle b_3 a_3 c_3 \rangle, & \mu_{d_3} G_{1,2} &= \langle b_3 a_3 d_2 d_3 c_3 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We now transform the original quiver to a more symmetric one: for this, we perform four mutations: $\mu_{d_1} \mu_{c_2} \mu_{b_3}$ and μ_{a_1} (in arbitrary order since those are commuting). The resulting quiver has a Moebius strip-like structure depicted on the right-hand side of Fig. 16.

Expressions for the same geodesic functions become more symmetric in the quiver in the right-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{1,2} &= \langle a_3 d_1 d_2 c_2 c_3 b_3 \rangle, & G_{2,3} &= \langle c_3 b_3 b_2 a_2 a_1 d_3 \rangle, & G_{3,4} &= \langle a_1 d_3 d_2 c_2 c_1 b_1 \rangle, \\
\tilde{G}_{1,2} &= \langle a_3 b_3 b_2 c_2 c_1 d_1 \rangle, & \tilde{G}_{2,3} &= \langle c_3 d_3 d_2 a_2 a_3 b_3 \rangle, & \tilde{G}_{3,4} &= \langle a_1 b_1 b_2 c_2 c_3 d_3 \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

with all $|G_{i,i+1}| = 7$.

Geodesic functions \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 corresponding to two separating cycles are determined by algebraic relations

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2 = G_{1,3} G_{2,4} - G_{1,2} G_{3,4} - G_{2,3} G_{1,4} \tag{8.27}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 \mathfrak{M}_2 = G_{1,2} G_{2,3} G_{3,4} G_{1,4} - G_{1,2} G_{2,3} G_{1,3} - G_{2,3} G_{3,4} G_{2,4} - G_{1,2} G_{1,4} G_{2,4} - G_{3,4} G_{1,4} G_{1,3} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} G_{i,j}^2 - 4 \tag{8.28}$$

The first relation is obtained as Pfaffian of the matrix $\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{A}^T$ (or, $\sqrt{\mathbb{A} + (-1)\mathbb{A}^T}$). The second relation is minus the coefficient of λ in $\mathbb{A} + \lambda \mathbb{A}^T$. They remain invariant under the replacement $G_{i,j} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{i,j}$ and commute with all geodesic functions $G_{i,j}$ and $\tilde{G}_{i,j}$.




Figure 16: The original SL_4 quiver on the left is transformed by the chain of mutations (from right to left) $\mu_{a_1} \mu_{d_1} \mu_{c_2} \mu_{b_3} := \mu_{a_1 d_1 c_2 b_3}$ to the symmetric quiver on the right. We use the same letters to denote both the original and transformed cluster variables hoping it will not lead to confusion.

Lemma 8.1. *Each SL_n quiver can be transformed into a “regular” quiver with vertices $s_{i,j}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and arrows joining $s_{i,j}$ with $s_{i\pm 1,j}$ and $s_{i,j\pm 1}$, where we set $s_{i,n+1} = s_{n-i,1}$ and $s_{i,0} = s_{n-i,n}$ (the Moebius-type gluing); the directions of arrows alternate as shown in Fig. 16. A new vertex a_t is of order $2n$ and is incident to all vertices $a_{1,j}$ and $a_{n-1,j}$ with arrows arrangement such that every vertex $a_{i,j}$ has order four and has exactly two incoming and two outgoing edges. The Casimir of the thus amended quiver is $a_t \prod_{i,j} a_{i,j} = 1$.*

Proof. A sequence of mutations similar to the one shown on Figure 16 proves the statement. \square

We now address the problem of constructing a still missing geodesic function G_B , which, in particular, does not commute with \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 . For this, we refer to the original SL_4 quiver in the left-hand side of Fig. 16.

Mutations first at a_3 and then at a_2 produce the quiver in which the vertex a_1 has order one (Fig. 17, on the right). We then proceed by analogy with the SL_3 case adding a new vertex (with the variable a_t associated) and joining it with only a_1 and a_2 (thus obtaining a "wing of a papillon"); we simultaneously introduce the Casimir $C = a_t a_1 a_2^2 a_3^2 b_1 \cdots d_3$ whose value is to be determined below from compatibility conditions.




Figure 17: The mutations at a_3 and then at a_2 brings the original quivers for SL_4 into the form depicted on the left; in the middle picture we add the new vertex a_t together with two directed edges; the new geodesic function, which we identify with G_B , is $\langle a_1 a_t \rangle$. Transforming this quiver back to the original one by performing mutations at a_2 and then at a_3 , we obtain the original quiver with the vertex a_t of order four added; note that with the added edges, the number of incoming and outgoing edges is now the same at all thirteen vertices of the quiver. If we transform the original quiver into a "symmetric" one by performing mutations at d_1, c_2, b_3 and then in a_1 , we obtain the quiver with the vertex a_t of order eight and with all other vertices of order four (it is the last quiver in the chain of transformations in the figure).

The new geodesic function, which we associate with the missing cycle B , in the transformed quiver (the second picture in Fig. 17) reads

$$G_B = \langle a_1 a_t \rangle = (a_1 a_t)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_t} \right).$$

It is easy to see that G_B commutes with $G_{1,2}$ and $G_{2,3}$. For this, let us examine geodesic functions for the upper-right quiver in Fig. 17):

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{a_3} \mu_{a_2} G_{1,2} &= \langle b_3 d_2 c_3 \rangle, & \mu_{a_3} \mu_{a_2} \tilde{G}_{1,2} &= \langle d_1 b_2 c_1 \rangle, \\ \mu_{a_3} \mu_{a_2} G_{2,3} &= \langle c_3 c_2 b_2 a_3 d_3 \rangle, & \mu_{a_3} \mu_{a_2} \tilde{G}_{2,3} &= \langle c_1 c_2 d_2 a_3 b_1 \rangle, \\ \mu_{a_3} \mu_{a_2} G_{3,4} &= \langle d_3 a_2 d_2 a_3 d_1 c_1 b_1 a_2 a_1 \rangle, & \mu_{a_3} \mu_{a_2} \tilde{G}_{3,4} &= \langle b_1 (b_2 a_2) a_3 b_3 c_3 d_3 a_2 a_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The first two expressions do not contain variables a_1 and a_2 and therefore $G_B = \langle a_1 a_t \rangle$ commutes with the transformed $G_{1,2}$ and $G_{2,3}$ and $\tilde{G}_{1,2}$ and $\tilde{G}_{2,3}$ (and does not commute with $G_{3,4}$ and $\tilde{G}_{3,4}$).

Transforming back to the original SL_4 quiver, we obtain the third quiver in chain in Fig. 17: the added vertex a_t becomes of order four, and the numbers of incoming and outgoing edges coincide in every vertex, so the Casimir is just the product of all variables taken in power one:

$$C = a_t a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1 b_2 b_3 c_1 c_2 c_3 d_1 d_2 d_3. \quad (8.29)$$

In the amended original SL_4 quiver, G_B becomes

$$G_B = \langle a_1 a_2 a_3 a_t \rangle. \quad (8.30)$$

If we proceed, by performing mutations at d_1 , c_2 , b_3 and a_1 , we obtain the last in the chain “symmetric” quiver in Fig. 17. The vertex a_t then becomes of order eight, all other vertices will be of order four, and the G_B geodesic function becomes $\langle a_2 a_3 (d_1 b_3) a_t a_1 \rangle$, where $\dots(xy)\dots$ indicates that we have terms $\dots(1 + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{xy})\dots$ in the corresponding expression. For example, this expression for G_B contains eight terms:

$$G_B = (a_2 a_3 d_1 b_3 a_t a_1)^{1/2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_2 a_3} + \frac{1}{a_2 a_3 d_1} + \frac{1}{a_2 a_3 b_3} + \frac{1}{a_2 a_3 d_1 b_3} + \frac{1}{a_2 a_3 d_1 b_3 a_t} + \frac{1}{a_2 a_3 d_1 b_3 a_t a_1} \right]$$

We hope to identify the constructed quantities

$$\{G_{12}, G_{23}, G_{34}, G_B, \tilde{G}_{34}, \tilde{G}_{23}, \tilde{G}_{12}\} \quad (8.31)$$

with geodesic functions associated with loops on genus three surface (see Figure 15). Note that these loops form a chain with intersection numbers one for two consecutive loops and zero otherwise. We construct a matrix $U \in \mathcal{A}_8$ with $U_{i,i+1}$, $i = [1, 7]$ given by the corresponding element of sequence (8.31) and all other terms computed using the skein and Poisson relations

$$U_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} U_{i,k} U_{k,j} + \{U_{i,k}, U_{k,j}\}, \text{ here } i < k < j.$$

To identify entries $U_{i,j}$ with geodesic functions of the corresponding loops on the genus three surface, the matrix U must satisfy the rank condition: $\text{rank}(U + U^T) \leq 4$. Symbolic computation on Maple demonstrates that the rank condition holds only for the Casimir (8.29) $C = -1$.

8.2 \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry

We now address the topic mostly overseen in the literature on the braid-group transformations in \mathcal{A}_n : do these transformations generate the full modular group of $\mathcal{T}_{g,s}$ ($s = 1, 2$)? Alternatively, do the twists over $G_{i,i+1}$, $\tilde{G}_{i,i+1}$, and G_B generate the full modular group of $\mathcal{T}_{n-1,0}$? The answer is affirmative for $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2,0}$, but for higher genera we have a problem of \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry: indeed, leave for a moment metric structures on $\Sigma_{g,s}$ aside and consider only topology of $\Sigma_{n-1,0}$ and homotopy types of closed paths on this surface.

Let us examine the structure of closed paths in Fig. 15. Note that all paths corresponding to $G_{i,i+1}$, $\tilde{G}_{i,i+1}$, and G_B enjoy \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry w.r.t. rotation by 180° (or reflection) w.r.t. the vertical axis. It follows immediately that if we perform any binary operation of summation, multiplication, or the Poisson bracket on \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric objects, then the result will be \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric itself. This means that we can never obtain a single geodesic function corresponding to a non- \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetric curve (say, either \mathfrak{M}_1 or \mathfrak{M}_2 in the $n = 4$ case) in the right-hand side of these relations; all such functions will arise only in \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric combinations (like $\mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2$ or $\mathfrak{M}_1 \mathfrak{M}_2$).

Worth mentioning is that the genus two case is free of this subtlety as all closed curves are \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric (which reflects the well-known fact that genus two curves admit a hyperelliptic uniformization).


Note that the same problem of \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry exists also in the case of \mathcal{A}_n algebras with $n \geq 5$: if we do not restrict to geometrical Poisson leaves related to ideal triangulations of the corresponding Riemann surfaces $\Sigma_{g,s}$, then expressions for non- \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric elements of the algebra generally cease to be Laurent polynomial.

Conjecture 8.2. The cluster algebra constructed in Section 8.1 for the extended amalgamated quiver for GL_4 gives a description of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{3,0}$ of flat $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -connections on a smooth Riemann surface of genus three in such a way that


- (A) to every \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant geodesic γ we set into the correspondence a geodesic function $G_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+[a_1^{\pm 1/2}, \dots, a_t^{\pm 1/2}]$ that is an element of an upper cluster algebra.
- (B) all thus constructed G_γ satisfy skein relations and Poisson Goldman bracket.
- (C) in order to satisfy the rank condition, which is a necessary condition for $U_{i,j} = \text{tr } M_i^{-1} M_j$ with $M_i \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, we have to set Casimir $C = -1$.

8.3 Braid group transformations for $n > 3$

Consider a subgraph in the “symmetric” quiver: it goes along a “zig-zag” path passing through $2n - 2$ vertices such that the first two vertices a_1 and a_2 and the last two vertices a_{2n-3} and a_{2n-2} are on the outer boundary of the quiver. We also include the vertex a_t and all vertices b_1, \dots, b_{2n-4} adjacent to the vertices a_i ; in the symmetric quiver (say, in Fig. 16), these vertices are situated alternatively on the right and on the left from the “zig-zag” path. The pattern that we have looks as follows:



To this subgraph we set into the correspondence a matrix element (a geodesic function) $\langle a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-3} a_{2n-2} \rangle$. We now perform mutations consecutively at vertices $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{2n-4}, a_{2n-3}$. The resulting subgraph is



and it contains a “papillon wing” subgraph with vertices a_1 , a_{2n-2} , and a_{2n-3} . Upon the same chain of mutations, the matrix element $\langle a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} \rangle$ becomes just $\langle a_1 a_{2n-2} \rangle$. Then, mutation at a_1 or a_{2n-2} results in the braid-group transformation (direct or inverse). So the chain of mutations that correspond to this twist in the “symmetric” quiver is

$$\mu_{a_2} \mu_{a_3} \cdots \mu_{a_{2n-3}} \mu_{a_{2n-2}} \mu_{a_{2n-3}} \cdots \mu_{a_3} \mu_{a_2}, \quad (8.32)$$

or a similar chain with replacing $\mu_{a_{2n-2}}$ by μ_{a_1} .

After the sequence of mutations (8.32) we obtain the same quiver in which the transformed variables a'_i and b'_j are

$$a'_i = a_i \frac{\eta_{i+1}}{\eta_{i-1}}, \quad i = 2, \dots, 2n-3, \quad a'_1 = \frac{\eta_2}{a_{2n-2} a_{2n-3} \cdots a_2}, \quad a'_{2n-2} = \frac{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-3} a_{2n-2}^2}{\eta_1 \eta_{2n-3}}, \quad (8.33)$$

$$b'_j = b_j \frac{\eta_j}{\eta_{j+2}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n-4 \quad \left(a'_t = a_t \frac{\eta_1 \eta_{2n-3}}{\eta_2 a_1 a_{2n-2}} \right), \quad (8.34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{2n-2} &= 1, \\ \eta_{2n-3} &= 1 + a_{2n-2}, \\ \eta_{2n-4} &= 1 + a_{2n-2} + a_{2n-2} a_{2n-3}, \\ &\vdots \\ \eta_1 &= 1 + a_{2n-2} + a_{2n-2} a_{2n-3} + \cdots + a_{2n-2} a_{2n-3} \cdots a_3 a_2, \end{aligned} \quad (8.35)$$

(note the absence of a_1 in formulas for η_i .)

Lemma 8.3. *Transformations (8.33), (8.34) with η_k defined by (8.35) correspond to the braid-group transformation with the matrix element $G_{i,i+1} = \langle a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2} \rangle$.*

Proof. We begin with demonstrating that $G_{i,i+1}$ is preserved under transformation (8.33):

$$\begin{aligned}
G'_{i,i+1} &= (a'_1 \cdots a'_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a'_1} + \frac{1}{a'_1 a'_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a'_1 a'_2 \cdots a'_{2n-3}} + \frac{1}{a'_1 a'_2 \cdots a'_{2n-3} a'_{2n-2}} \right) \\
&= (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \frac{1}{\eta_1} \left(1 + \frac{a_{2n-2} \cdots a_2}{\eta_2} + \frac{a_{2n-2} \cdots a_3 \eta_1}{\eta_2 \eta_3} + \frac{a_{2n-2} \cdots a_4}{\eta_1 \eta_2} \eta_2 \eta_3 \eta_4 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{a_{2n-2} \cdots a_5}{\eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3} \eta_2 \eta_3 \eta_4 \eta_5 + \cdots + \frac{a_{2n-2} \eta_1}{\eta_{2n-3} \eta_{2n-2}} + \frac{\eta_1^2 \eta_{2n-3}}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2} \eta_{2n-3} \eta_{2n-2}} \right) \\
&= (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(\frac{\eta_2 + a_{2n-2} \cdots a_2}{\eta_1 \eta_2} + \frac{a_{2n-2} \cdots a_3}{\eta_2 \eta_3} + \cdots + \frac{a_{2n-2}}{\eta_{2n-3}} + \frac{\eta_1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}} \right) \\
&= (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(\left[\frac{1}{\eta_2} + \frac{a_{2n-2} \cdots a_3}{\eta_2 \eta_3} \right] + \cdots + \frac{a_{2n-2}}{\eta_{2n-3}} + \frac{\eta_1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}} \right) \\
&= (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(\left[\frac{1}{\eta_3} + \frac{a_{2n-2} \cdots a_4}{\eta_3 \eta_4} \right] + \cdots + \frac{a_{2n-2}}{\eta_{2n-3}} + \frac{\eta_1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}} \right) \\
&= \cdots = (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(\left[\frac{1}{\eta_{2n-3}} + \frac{a_{2n-2}}{\eta_{2n-3}} \right] + \frac{\eta_1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}} \right) \\
&= (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\eta_1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}} \right) \\
&= (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}} \right) = G_{i,i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, since the transformation (8.33), (8.34) is a Poisson isomorphism, it preserves both the product and Poisson algebras, so it suffices to consider transformations for generating elements $G_{l,l+1}$, $\tilde{G}_{l,l+1}$ and G_B .

An important observation is that, for any path $\cdots \rightarrow b_k \rightarrow a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1} \rightarrow b_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots$ crossing the path $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_{2n-2}$, both the product $b_k a_k a_{k+1} b_{k-1}$ and the combination $\frac{1}{b_k} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k a_{k+1}}$ are preserved. Whereas the invariance of $b_k a_k a_{k+1} b_{k-1}$ is straightforward and left as an easy exercise, the invariance of $\frac{1}{b_k} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k a_{k+1}}$ follows from the chain of equalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{b'_k} + \frac{1}{b'_k a'_k} + \frac{1}{b'_k a'_k a'_{k+1}} &= \frac{\eta_{k+2}}{\eta_k b_k} + \frac{\eta_{k+2} \eta_{k-1}}{b_k a_k \eta_k \eta_{k+1}} + \frac{\eta_{k-1}}{b_k a_k a_{k+1} \eta_{k+1}} \\
&= \frac{\eta_{k+2} a_k \eta_{k+1} + a_k \cdots a_{2n-2} + \eta_k}{\eta_k b_k a_k \eta_{k+1}} + \frac{a_k \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_{k+1} \cdots a_{2n-2} + \eta_{k+1}}{b_k a_k a_{k+1} \eta_{k+1}} \\
&= \frac{a_{k+2} \cdots a_{2n-2}}{b_k \eta_{k+1}} + \frac{a_{k+2} \cdots a_{2n-2} + \eta_{k+2}}{b_k a_k \eta_{k+1}} + \frac{\eta_{k+2} (\eta_{k+1} + a_{k+1} \cdots a_{2n-2})}{b_k \eta_k \eta_{k+1}} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k a_{k+1}} \\
&= \frac{a_{k+2} \cdots a_{2n-2}}{b_k \eta_{k+1}} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k} + \frac{\eta_{k+2}}{b_k \eta_{k+1}} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k a_{k+1}} \\
&= \frac{a_{k+2} \cdots a_{2n-2} + \eta_{k+2}}{b_k \eta_{k+1}} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k a_{k+1}} \\
&= \frac{1}{b_k} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k} + \frac{1}{b_k a_k a_{k+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\tilde{G}_{l,l+1}$ for all l as well as $G_{l,l+1}$ with $|l-i| \geq 2$ are invariant under this sequence of mutations. It remains to check the transformation laws for

$$G_{i-1,i} = \langle b_2 x_3 b_4 x_5 \cdots x_{2n-5} b_{2n-4} b_1 a_1 a_2 \rangle \quad (8.36)$$

and for

$$G_{i+1,i+2} = \langle a_{2n-3} a_{2n-2} b_{2n-4} b_1 x_2 b_3 x_3 \cdots x_{2n-6} b_{2n-5} \rangle, \quad (8.37)$$

where we let x_k denote variables not transformed by the above sequence of mutations (for brevity we often omit subscripts of x -variables in calculations below). After some algebra we obtain the transformed $G_{i-1,i}$ and

$G_{i+1,i+2}$:

$$G'_{i-1,i} = \frac{(b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1a_1a_2)^{1/2}}{(a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2}} \left(\eta_2 + \frac{\eta_4}{b_2} + \frac{\eta_4}{b_2x} + \frac{\eta_6}{b_2xb_4} + \frac{\eta_6}{b_2xb_4x} + \cdots \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots b_{2n-4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{b_1} \right] \right), \quad (8.38)$$

and

$$G'_{i+1,i+2} = \frac{(a_{2n-3}a_{2n-2}b_{2n-4}b_1xb_3x \cdots xb_{2n-5})^{1/2}}{(a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2}} \left((a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}) \left[1 + \frac{1}{a_{2n-3}} \right] + \frac{\eta_{2n-4}\eta_1}{a_{2n-2}a_{2n-3}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{a_{2n-2}a_{2n-3}b_{2n-4}} \left[\eta_1 + \frac{\eta_3}{b_1} + \frac{\eta_3}{b_1x} + \frac{\eta_5}{b_1xb_3} + \frac{\eta_5}{b_1xb_3x} + \cdots + \frac{\eta_{2n-3}}{b_1xb_3x \cdots xb_{2n-5}} \right] \right).$$

We present only the transformation law for $G'_{i-1,i}$, that for $G'_{i+1,i+2}$ can be obtained analogously.

We begin with the Poisson relations:

$$\{b_k, \langle a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} \rangle\} = -b_k (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_k} + \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_k a_{k+1}} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, 2n-4, \quad (8.39)$$

$$\{a_1, \langle a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} \rangle\} = a_1 (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2}} \right), \quad (8.40)$$

$$\{a_2, \langle a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} \rangle\} = a_2 (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2} \right). \quad (8.41)$$

For the Poisson bracket between non-transformed matrix elements, we then have:

$$\{G_{i-1,i}, G_{i,i+1}\} = G_{i-1,i} (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{2}{a_1 a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 a_3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-3}} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2} - \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2}} \right) \right] \\ + (b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1a_1a_2)^{1/2} (a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{b_2} + \frac{1}{b_2x} \right) \left(\frac{1}{a_1a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2a_3} \right) + \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{1}{b_2xb_4} + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x} \right) \left(\frac{1}{a_1a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2a_3} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2a_3a_4} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \cdots + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}} \left(\frac{1}{a_1a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2a_3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-3}} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1} \left(\frac{1}{a_1a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2a_3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-3}} + \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1a_1} \left(-1 + \frac{2}{a_1a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2a_3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-3}} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-3}a_{2n-2}} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1a_1a_2} \left(-1 - \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1a_2a_3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-3}a_{2n-2}} \right) \right\} \\ = -\frac{1}{2} G_{i-1,i} G_{i,i+1} + G_{i-1,i} (a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_1} \right) \\ + \frac{(b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1a_1a_2)^{1/2}}{(a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2}} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{b_2} + \frac{1}{b_2x} \right) (\eta_2 - \eta_4) + \left(\frac{1}{b_2xb_4} + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x} \right) (\eta_2 - \eta_6) + \right. \\ \left. + \cdots + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}} (\eta_2 - \eta_{2n-2}) + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1} (\eta_2 - \eta_3 + \eta_1 - 1) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1a_1} (-a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} + 2a_3 \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_4 \cdots a_{2n-2} + \cdots + a_{2n-2} + 1) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1a_1a_2} (-a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} - a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_3 \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_4 \cdots a_{2n-2} + \cdots + a_{2n-2} + 1) \right\} \\ = [\text{cf. (8.38)}] - \frac{1}{2} G_{i-1,i} G_{i,i+1} - G'_{i-1,i} + \frac{(b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1a_1a_2)^{1/2}}{(a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2}} \left\{ \eta_2 + \frac{1}{b_2xb_4x \cdots xb_{2n-4}b_1} + \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\frac{1}{b_2} + \frac{1}{b_2 x} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b_2 x \cdots x b_{2n-4} b_1} \right) \eta_2 \\
& + \left(1 + \frac{1}{b_2} + \frac{1}{b_2 x} + \cdots + \frac{1}{b_2 x \cdots x b_{2n-4} b_1} + \frac{1}{b_2 x \cdots x b_{2n-4} b_1 a_1} + \frac{1}{b_2 x \cdots x b_{2n-4} b_1 a_1 a_2} \right) (a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}) \\
& + \frac{1}{b_2 x b_4 x \cdots x b_{2n-4} b_1} (\eta_1 - \eta_3 - 1) \\
& + \frac{1}{b_2 x b_4 x \cdots x b_{2n-4} b_1 a_1} (-a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} + 2a_3 \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_4 \cdots a_{2n-2} + \cdots + a_{2n-2} + 1) \\
& + \frac{1}{b_2 x b_4 x \cdots x b_{2n-4} b_1 a_1 a_2} (-a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} - a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_3 \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_4 \cdots a_{2n-2} + \cdots + a_{2n-2} + 1) \Big\} \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} G_{i-1,i} G_{i,i+1} - G'_{i-1,i} + G_{i-1,i} G_{i,i+1} + \frac{(b_2 x b_4 x \cdots x b_{2n-4} b_1 a_1 a_2)^{1/2}}{(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2}} \left\{ \eta_2 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{b_2 \cdots b_1 a_1} + \frac{1}{b_2 \cdots b_1 a_1 a_2} \right) \eta_2 \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{b_2 \cdots b_1} (\eta_1 - \eta_3) + \frac{1}{b_2 \cdots b_1 a_1} (-a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_3 \cdots a_{2n-2} + \eta_2) + \frac{1}{b_2 \cdots b_1 a_1 a_2} (-a_1 \cdots a_{2n-2} - a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2} + \eta_2) \Big\} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} G_{i-1,i} G_{i,i+1} - G'_{i-1,i} + \frac{(b_2 x b_4 x \cdots x b_{2n-4} b_1 a_1 a_2)^{1/2}}{(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2})^{1/2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{b_2 \cdots b_1} (a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2} + a_3 \cdots a_{2n-2}) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}}{b_2 \cdots b_1} + \frac{a_3 \cdots a_{2n-2}}{b_2 \cdots b_1 a_1} - \frac{a_3 \cdots a_{2n-2}}{b_2 \cdots b_1} - \frac{a_3 \cdots a_{2n-2}}{b_2 \cdots b_1 a_1} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} G_{i-1,i} G_{i,i+1} - G'_{i-1,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

We therefore obtain that

$$G'_{i-1,i} = \frac{1}{2} G_{i-1,i} G_{i,i+1} - \{G_{i-1,i}, G_{i,i+1}\} = G_{i-1,i} G_{i,i+1} - G_{i-1,i+1}, \quad (8.42)$$

which is the correct transformation law under the braid-group transformations. This completes the proof.

We do not verify the twist along G_B ; instead, we use it in the next section to show the preservation of the Hamiltonian reduction condition in the case $n = 5$.

Therefore, all the braid-group transformations along $G_{i,i+1}$, $\tilde{G}_{i,i+1}$, and G_B can be realized as sequences of mutations in the (extended) SL_n quiver.

Remark 8.4. Note that the above twists (and the corresponding braid-group action) do not generate the full modular group for $n > 3$: all these twists preserve the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry (which naturally holds for $g = 2$ surfaces since they admit a hyperelliptic uniformization). For higher genera we can only generate a \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of the full modular group.

For the corresponding geodesic functions, for those geodesics that are \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric, their geodesic functions remain Laurent polynomials in $Z_i^{\pm 1/2}$; in order to close the product and Poisson algebras of these \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric geodesic functions, we need to add symmetric combinations of non-symmetric geodesic functions (an example is the Markov element(s) \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 , which are mirror-symmetric w.r.t. the \mathbb{Z}_2 transformation for $n = 4$: their sum $\mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2$ and their product $\mathfrak{M}_1 \mathfrak{M}_2$ are \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetric functions and are Laurent polynomials. However $|\mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2| = 62$ and $|\mathfrak{M}_1 \mathfrak{M}_2| = 417$, so there is no chance that each of \mathfrak{M}_i be polynomial.

8.4 Hamiltonian reduction

8.4.1 $n = 3$

We begin with a ‘‘toy’’ example of the Hamiltonian reduction carried out by imposing the condition $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) = 0$ in $n = 3$ case. In terms of the Markov element \mathfrak{M} , for which we have that $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) = 8 + 2\mathfrak{M}$, written in three different ways (7.19), (7.20), and (7.22), this condition takes the respective forms

$$G_{1,2} G_{1,3} G_{2,3} - G_{1,2}^2 - G_{1,3}^2 - G_{2,3}^2 + 4 = 0 \quad (8.43)$$

$$\tilde{G}_{1,2} \tilde{G}_{1,3} \tilde{G}_{2,3} - \tilde{G}_{1,2}^2 - \tilde{G}_{1,3}^2 - \tilde{G}_{2,3}^2 + 4 = 0, \quad (8.44)$$

$$f \cdot (G_{1,2} \tilde{G}_{1,2} G_B + G_{1,2}^2 + \tilde{G}_{1,2}^2 + G_B^2 - 4) = 0. \quad (8.45)$$

The first two conditions are well-known from the physics literature on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -connections in the description of $(2 + 1)$ gravity on the manifold $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with \mathbb{T}^2 a two-dimensional torus with a flat metric [7]: the solution that induces braid-group and Poisson relations is

$$G_{1,2} = e^{X/2} + e^{-X/2}, \quad G_{2,3} = e^{Y/2} + e^{-Y/2}, \quad G_{1,3} = e^{X/2+Y/2} + e^{-X/2-Y/2}, \quad \{X, Y\} = 2 \quad (8.46)$$

$$\tilde{G}_{1,2} = e^{\tilde{X}/2} + e^{-\tilde{X}/2}, \quad \tilde{G}_{2,3} = e^{\tilde{Y}/2} + e^{-\tilde{Y}/2}, \quad \tilde{G}_{1,3} = e^{\tilde{X}/2+\tilde{Y}/2} + e^{-\tilde{X}/2-\tilde{Y}/2}, \quad \{\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}\} = 2, \quad (8.47)$$

where the total algebra of connections splits into mutually commuting chiral–anti-chiral subalgebras, $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, each being a copy of the standard $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ algebra. We are interested in the third way (8.45) of representing the Hamiltonian reduction condition: a solution $f = 0$ looks not feasible, so we resort to the second choice that

$$G_{1,2}\tilde{G}_{1,2}G_B + G_{1,2}^2 + \tilde{G}_{1,2}^2 + G_B^2 - 4 = 0. \quad (8.48)$$

This equation differs from (8.43) or (8.44) only by the sign of G_B , so we solve it immediately:

$$G_B = -e^{X/2+\tilde{X}/2} - e^{-X/2-\tilde{X}/2}. \quad (8.49)$$

Since expression (8.48) Poisson commutes with G_B , it is preserved by twists along G_B , so the reduction is Hamiltonian.

The quiver corresponding to this reduction consists of two disjoint parts, $\begin{array}{c} X \\ \circ \end{array} \rightleftarrows \begin{array}{c} Y \\ \circ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \tilde{X} \\ \circ \end{array} \rightleftarrows \begin{array}{c} \tilde{Y} \\ \circ \end{array}$. We were so far not able to identify the element G_B in the corresponding $(2+1)$ -dimensional geometry.

8.4.2 $n = 4$ —genus three

In this case computer simulation shows that the condition $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) = 0$ is Hamiltonian provided the Casimir (8.29) $C = -1$. Note that we obtained the same condition as required by the rank condition of Section 8.1.

8.4.3 $n = 5$ —genus four

Starting with genus four ($n = 5$), the total dimension $n(n-1)$ of the quiver becomes greater than the dimension $6g - 6 = 6n - 12$ of the corresponding moduli space, that is, we encounter the same problem as in the case of $\Sigma_{g,s}$ with $s = 1, 2$: how to segregate Poisson leaves of the symplectic groupoid that correspond to geometrical systems? It is well-known since Nelson, Regge, and Zertuche works [44], [46], [45] that the condition is that $\text{rank}(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) \leq 4$. For $n = 5$, this condition is satisfied if

$$\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) = 0. \quad (8.50)$$

We have the following lemma

Lemma 8.5. *The condition (8.50) in the case $n = 5$ is preserved by all braid-group transformations, i.e., it is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. The expression $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) = \det(\tilde{\mathbb{A}} + \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^T)$ is invariant under the braid-group transformations generated by $G_{i,i+1}$ and $\tilde{G}_{i,i+1}$, so it remains to check preservation of the condition (8.50) for the twist along G_B . For this, we first transform the quiver for $n = 5$ to the quiver in Fig. 18 in which G_B has the simplest form. In this quiver,

$$\begin{aligned} G_B &= \langle a_1 a_t \rangle, \\ G_{1,2} &= \langle b_4 e_2 d_3 c_4 \rangle, \\ G_{2,3} &= \langle c_4 c_3 b_3 a_4 e_3 d_4 \rangle, \\ G_{3,4} &= \langle d_4 d_3 d_2 c_2 b_2 a_3 e_4 \rangle, \\ G_{4,5} &= (e_4 e_3 a_2 a_3 e_2 a_4 e_1 d_1 c_1 b_1 a_2 a_1)^{1/2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{e_4} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{e_4 a_2} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 a_2} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2 a_2} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 a_2 a_3} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2 a_2 a_3} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2 a_2 a_3 a_4} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2 a_2 a_3 a_4 e_1} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2 a_2 a_3 a_4 e_1 d_1} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2 a_2 a_3 a_4 e_1 d_1 c_1} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2 a_2 a_3 a_4 e_1 d_1 c_1 b_1} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2 a_2 a_3 a_4 e_1 d_1 c_1 b_1 a_2} + \frac{1}{e_4 e_3 e_2 a_2 a_3 a_4 e_1 d_1 c_1 b_1 a_2 a_1} \right], \\ a_t a_1 a_2^2 a_3^2 a_4^2 b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4 c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4 &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (8.51)$$

Observe that $G_{1,2}$, $G_{2,3}$, and $G_{3,4}$ do not depend on a_1 and a_2 and therefore commute with a_1 and a_t .




Figure 18: In this quiver, $G_B = \langle a_1 a_t \rangle$ depends only on a_1 and a_t .

From the expression (8.51) we have that $G_{4,5}$ has the structure

$$G_{4,5} = s_1 a_2 (a_1)^{1/2} + s_2 (a_1)^{1/2} + s_3 a_2^{-1} ((a_1)^{1/2} + (a_1)^{-1/2}), \quad (8.52)$$

where we let s_i denote combinations commuting with a_1 and a_t (and therefore not depending on a_1 and a_2). For the bracket $\{G_B, G_{4,5}\}$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \{G_B, G_{4,5}\} &= -\frac{1}{2} ((a_1 a_t)^{1/2} - (a_1 a_t)^{-1/2}) (s_1 a_2 (a_1)^{1/2} + s_2 (a_1)^{1/2} + s_3 a_2^{-1} (a_1^{1/2} - a_1^{-1/2})) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{a_t}{a_1}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} s_1 a_2 (a_1)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} s_2 (a_1)^{1/2} - \frac{3}{2} s_3 a_2^{-1} a_1^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} s_3 (a_1)^{-1/2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} G_B G_{4,5} + \{G_B, G_{4,5}\} &= (a_1 a_t)^{-1/2} (s_1 a_2 (a_1)^{1/2} + s_2 (a_1)^{1/2} + s_3 a_2^{-1} a_1^{1/2}) + (a_1 a_t)^{1/2} s_3 a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1/2} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{a_t}{a_1}\right)^{1/2} (s_1 a_2 (a_1)^{1/2} - s_3 a_2^{-1} a_1^{1/2}) \\ &= (a_t)^{-1/2} a_2 (1 + a_t) s_1 + (a_t)^{-1/2} s_2 + (a_t)^{-1/2} a_2^{-1} s_3 = G_{4,5}(a_1 \rightarrow 1/a_t; a_2 \rightarrow a_2(1 + a_t)) \end{aligned} \quad (8.53)$$

that is, the twist along G_B is given by the mutation at a_t with subsequent interchanging $a_1 \leftrightarrow 1/a_t$ in the expression for $G_{4,5}$. Since all other $G_{i,5}$ are generated by the product and Poisson relations of $G_{4,5}$ and other $G_{i,i+1}$, all these relations are linear in $G_{4,5}$, and because a_t commutes with all other $G_{i,i+1}$, we conclude that the action of the twist along G_B on $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T)$ is given by exactly the same substitution for variables a_1 and a_2 . Next, since $G_{4,5}$ has the structure (8.52) and since $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T)$ comprises terms that either do not depend on $G_{i,5}$ or are of order two in $G_{i,5}$, we obtain that

$$\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) = \alpha \xi a_1 a_2^2 + \beta a_1 + \gamma \xi^{1/2} a_2 a_1 + \delta \xi^{1/2} \frac{1 + a_1}{a_2} + \rho \xi \frac{(1 + a_1)^2}{a_1 a_2^2} + \omega,$$

where we let

$$a_t = 1/(a_1 a_2^2 \xi) \text{ with } \xi = a_3^2 a_4^2 b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4 c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4.$$

Denoting

$$a'_1 = 1/a_t = \xi a_1 a_2^2 \text{ and } a'_2 = a_2(1 + a_t) = a_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi a_1 a_2^2}\right), \quad (8.54)$$

we have that

$$\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T)(a'_1, a'_2) - \xi a_2^2 \det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T)(a_1, a_2) = (2\alpha - \xi\omega) a_2^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\xi} - \xi\rho\right) \frac{1}{a_1} + (\gamma - \xi\delta) a_2 + (\omega - 2\xi\rho).$$

It is now easy to see that the condition $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) = 0$ is preserved upon substitution (8.54) if and only if

$$\alpha = \xi^2 \rho, \quad \omega = 2\xi \rho, \quad \text{and } \gamma = \xi \delta,$$

which can be checked by computer simulations. Upon satisfaction of these equalities, we can rewrite $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) &= \alpha \left(\xi a_1 a_2^2 + 2 + 2a_1 + \frac{(1 + a_1)^2}{\xi a_1 a_2^2} \right) + (\beta - 2)a_1 + \gamma \left(\xi^{1/2} a_2 a_1 + \frac{1 + a_1}{\xi^{1/2} a_2} \right) \\ &= a_1 (\alpha G_B^2 + \gamma G_B + \beta - 2), \end{aligned} \tag{8.55}$$

where α , β , and γ depend only on a_3, \dots, e_4 .

Remark 8.6. Note the striking similarity of expressions (8.55) in the case $n = 5$ and (7.22) for $n = 3$: in both expressions there is a single cluster variable (f for $n = 3$ and a_1 for $n = 5$) such that $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T)$ is proportional to this variable (note that $\det(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T) = 2(\mathfrak{M} + 4)$ for $n = 3$); the proportionality coefficient is a second-degree polynomial in G_B and is invariant under the twist along G_B ; it is tempting to learn whether we can identify the coefficient functions α , β , and γ in (8.55) with some expressions polynomial in $G_{i,j}$ and $\tilde{G}_{i,j}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ and whether similar statements are valid for higher odd n . We checked that it is not the case for $n = 4$.

9 Conclusion

In the conclusion we would like to indicate few questions that can be approached using the same technique.

- quantization: the construction described in this paper allows straightforward quantization which will be described in a future publication;
- symplectic structure: since (non-extended) SL_n quivers are full-dimensional, their Poisson relations can be inverted producing the corresponding symplectic structures. Say, for $n = 3$, the symplectic structure is

$$\frac{dd}{d} \wedge \left(\frac{de}{e} + \frac{da}{a} \right) + \frac{df}{f} \wedge \left(\frac{dc}{c} + \frac{da}{a} \right) + \frac{db}{b} \wedge \left(\frac{dc}{c} + \frac{de}{e} \right),$$

and it must coincide with the standard Fenchel–Nielsen symplectic structure

$$d\ell_{1,2} \wedge d\tau_{1,2} + d\tilde{\ell}_{1,2} \wedge d\tilde{\tau}_{1,2} + d\ell_{\mathfrak{M}} \wedge d\tau_B,$$

with the twists $\tau_{1,2}$, $\tilde{\tau}_{1,2}$ defined in (7.23). We expect similar relations to hold for higher n as well;

- calculating volumes $V_{g,0}$ of moduli spaces using Bowditch-like technique [6] based on twist transformations; these volumes are known from the Mirzakhani’s-type recursion relations [20] and from the topological recursion relations [22]. For example, $V_{2,0} = \frac{43\pi^6}{2160}$.
- explore the connection with link/knot invariants (see e.g., [1]).

Acknowledgments

Special thanks are due to Gus Schrader and Alexander Shapiro for the valuable discussion. The research of M.S. was partially supported by the NSF research grant DMS-1702115. While working on this project, M.S. was benefited from support of the following institutions and programs: Haifa University (Summer, 2022) Mathematical Institute of the University of Heidelberg (Summer 2022). The second author is grateful to all these institutions for their hospitality and outstanding working conditions they provided. The research of L.Ch. was partially supported by the Steklov International Mathematical Center (agreement No. 075-15-2019-1614).

References

- [1] V. Bazier-Matte and R. Schiffler, *Knot theory and cluster algebras*, *Adv. Math.* **408 B** (2022), Article 108609.
- [2] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, *Quantum cluster algebras* *Advances Math.* **195** (2005) 405–455; math/0404446.
- [3] P. P. Boalch, *Stokes Matrices, Poisson Lie Groups and Frobenius Manifolds*, *Invent. Math.* **146 (3)** (2001) 479–506. doi:10.1007/s002220100170.
- [4] A.I. Bondal, *A symplectic groupoid of triangular bilinear forms and the braid groups*, *Izv. Math.*, 68:4 (2004), 659-708
- [5] F. Bonahon and H. Wong, *Quantum traces for representations of surface groups in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$* , *Geometry and Topology*, **15** (2011), pp. 1569–1615.
- [6] Bowditch, B. H., *A proof of McShane’s identity via Markoff triples*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **28**(1) (1996) 73–78
- [7] S. Carlip and J.E. Nelson, *Comparative quantizations of $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 5643-5653 (gr-qc/9411031).
- [8] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [9] Chekhov, L. O., *Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates and Goldman brackets*, *Russian Math. Surveys* **75** (2020), no. 5, 929–964
- [10] Chekhov L., Fock V., *A quantum Teichmüller space*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **120** (1999), 1245–1259, math.QA/9908165.
- [11] Chekhov L., Fock V., *Quantum mapping class group, pentagon relation, and geodesics*, *Proc. Steklov Math. Inst.* **226** (1999), 149–163.
- [12] Chekhov L., Fock V., *Observables in 3d gravity and geodesic algebras*, *Czech. J. Phys.* **50** (2000) 1201–1208.
- [13] Chekhov L.O., Mazzocco M., *Isomonodromic deformations and twisted Yangians arising in Teichmüller theory*, *Advances Math.* **226(6)** (2011) 4731-4775, arXiv:0909.5350.
- [14] L.Chekhov, M.Mazzocco Teichmüller spaces as degenerated symplectic leaves in Dubrovin–Ugaglia Poisson manifolds, *Physica D* **241** (2012) 2109–2121
- [15] L. Chekhov and M. Mazzocco, *On a Poisson space of bilinear forms with a Poisson Lie action*, *Russ. Math. Surveys* **72(6)** (2017) 1109–1156; arXiv:1404.0988v2.
- [16] Chekhov L.O., Mazzocco M. and Rubtsov V., *Algebras of quantum monodromy data and decorated character varieties*, *arXiv:1705.01447* (2017).
- [17] Chekhov, L., Shapiro, M. *Log-Canonical Coordinates for Symplectic Groupoid and Cluster Algebras*, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2022; rnacl01, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnac101>
- [18] Chekhov, L., Shapiro, M., and Shibo, H., *Characteristic equation for symplectic groupoid and cluster algebras*, arXiv:2101.10323, 14pp
- [19] Coman, I., Gabella M, and Teschner J. 2015. *Line Operators in Theories of Class S, Quantized Moduli Space of Flat Connections, and Toda Field Theory*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2015 (10). Springer Verlag. doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2015)143. (ArXiv: 1505.05898)
- [20] Do, N., and Norbury, P., *Weil–Petersson volumes and cone surfaces*, *Geometriae Dedicata* **141** (2009) 93–107; arXiv: math/0603406.
- [21] Dubrovin B., *Geometry of 2D topological field theories, Integrable systems and quantum groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993)*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1620**, Springer, Berlin, (1996) 120–348.
- [22] Eynard, B. and Orantin, N., *Weil-Petersson volume of moduli spaces, Mirzakhani’s recursion and matrix models*, arXiv:0705.3600.
- [23] Fock V.V., *Combinatorial description of the moduli space of projective structures*, hep-th/9312193.
- [24] Fock V.V., *Dual Teichmüller spaces* arXiv:dg-ga/9702018v3, (1997).
- [25] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 103 (2006), 1-211, math.AG/0311149 v4.
- [26] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *The quantum dilogarithm and representations of quantum cluster varieties*, *Inventiones Math.* **175**(2) (2008) 223–286, math.AG/0702397 v6.
- [27] V. Fock, A. Goncharov. *Cluster X-varieties, amalgamation, and Poisson-Lie groups*. *Algebraic geometry and number theory*. (2006): 27-68.
- [28] V. V. Fock and A. A. Rosly, *Moduli space of flat connections as a Poisson manifold*, *Advances in quantum field theory and statistical mechanics: 2nd Italian-Russian collaboration (Como, 1996)*, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. B* **11** (1997), no. 26-27, 3195–3206.
- [29] Felikson, A., Shapiro, M., Tumarkin, P., *Skew-symmetric cluster algebras of finite mutation type*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **14** (2012), no. 4, 1135–1180.
- [30] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras I: Foundations*. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (2002), 497-529, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-01-00385-X>
- [31] Fomin S., Shapiro M., and Thurston D., *Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. Part I: Cluster complexes*, *Acta Math.* **201** (2008), no. 1, 83–146.
- [32] A. M. Gavrilik and A. U. Klimyk, *q-Deformed orthogonal and pseudo-orthogonal algebras and their representations* *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **21** (1991) 215–220.

- [33] M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro, and A. Vainshtein, *Cluster algebra and Poisson geometry*, *Moscow Math. J.* **3**(3) (2003) 899–934.
- [34] Goldman W.M., *Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations*, *Invent. Math.* **85** (1986) 263–302.
- [35] A. Goncharov, L. Shen *Quantum geometry of moduli spaces of local systems and representation theory*, arXiv:1904.10491
- [36] Henneaux M. and Teitelboim Cl., *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, Princeton University Press, 1992.
- [37] M. V. Karasev, *Analogues of objects of Lie group theory by nonlinear Poisson brackets*, *Math. USSR Izvestia* **28** (1987) 497–527.
- [38] R. M. Kashaev, *On the spectrum of Dehn twists in quantum Teichmüller theory*, in: *Physics and Combinatorics*, (Nagoya 2000). River Edge, NJ, World Sci. Publ., 2001, 63–81; math.QA/0008148.
- [39] R. M. Kaufmann and R. C. Penner, *Closed/open string diagrammatics*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B748** (2006) 335–379.
- [40] Korotkin D. and Samtleben H., *Quantization of coset space σ -models coupled to two-dimensional gravity*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **190**(2) (1997) 411–457.
- [41] Machacek, J. and Ovenhouse, N. *Log-canonical coordinates for Poisson brackets and rational changes of coordinates* *J. Geom. Phys.* 121 (2017), pp. 288–296.
- [42] K. Mackenzie *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, *LMS Lect. Note Series* **213** (2005).
- [43] A. Molev, E. Ragoucy, P. Sorba, *Coideal subalgebras in quantum affine algebras*, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **15** (2003) 789–822.
- [44] Nelson J.E., Regge T., *Homotopy groups and (2+1)-dimensional quantum gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **328** (1989), 190–199.
- [45] Nelson J.E., Regge T., *Invariants of 2+1 quantum gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **155** (1993) 561–568.
- [46] Nelson J.E., Regge T., Zertuche F., *Homotopy groups and (2 + 1)-dimensional quantum de Sitter gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **339** (1990), 516–532.
- [47] Nekrasov, N., Rosly, A., Shatashvili, S., *Darboux coordinates, Yang-Yang functional, and gauge theory*. *Nuclear Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **216** (2011), 69–93.
- [48] Postnikov A., *Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks*, arXiv:math/0609764
- [49] Postnikov, A., Speyer, D., Williams, L., *Matching polytopes, toric geometry, and the non-negative part of the Grassmannian*, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* 30 (2009), no. 2, 173–191.
- [50] A. Reyman and M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Group-theoretical methods in the theory of finite-dimensional integrable systems*. *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, vol.16, Springer, Berlin, 1994 pp.116–225.
- [51] G. Schrader and A. Shapiro, *Continuous tensor categories from quantum groups I: algebraic aspects*, arXiv:1708.08107.
- [52] G. Schrader and A. Shapiro, *private communication*.
- [53] Ugaglia M., *On a Poisson structure on the space of Stokes matrices*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1999** (1999), no. 9, 473–493.
- [54] A. Weinstein, *Coisotropic calculus and Poisson groupoids*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **40**(4) (1988) 705–727.
- [55] M. Yakimov, *Symplectic leaves of complex reductive Poisson–Lie groups*, *Duke Math. J.* **112** (2002) 453–509.