

Resonance free domain for Schrödinger operators with repulsive potential

Kyohei Itakura*

Abstract

We study resonances for the Schrödinger operators with quadratic or sub-quadratic repulsive potential. In the present paper, we show the non-existence of resonances in some complex neighborhood of a fixed energy by employing schemes of Briet–Combes–Duclos and Hunziker and by introducing a proper distortion based on, but slightly modified, positive commutator method of Mourre.

1 Introduction

In the present paper we consider semiclassical repulsive Hamiltonians: For a fixed $s \in (0, 1]$

$$H = H_0 + q(x); \quad H_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}|x|^{2s}$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\hbar > 0$ is the semiclassical parameter, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and q is a perturbation. If $s = 1$, H_0 is called the inverted harmonic oscillator. We define resonances of H as eigenvalues of complex distorted H , which is denoted by H_θ later, and show that for sufficiently small $\hbar > 0$, H has no resonances in some complex neighborhood of a fixed energy E under a kind of *non-trapping condition* for potential $-\frac{1}{2}|x|^{2s} + q$. For the case of perturbed Laplacian

$$P = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V(x),$$

where V is a decaying real-valued smooth function, one assumes as a non-trapping condition that the quantity

$$2(V - E) + x \cdot \partial V$$

is bounded from above by a negative constant outside of classically forbidden region. Such a condition is called virial condition. This quantity comes from the Poisson bracket

$$\{x \cdot \xi, P^{\text{cl}}\}|_{P^{\text{cl}}=E},$$

where $P^{\text{cl}} = \frac{1}{2}\xi^2 + V(x)$ is the classical Hamiltonian of P . The choice of $x \cdot \xi$ is related to positive commutator method of [12]. In fact, $\text{Re}(x \cdot p)$ with $p = -i\nabla$

*Graduate School of Mathematical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. E-mail: itakura@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

plays a role of the conjugate operator for P . However $\operatorname{Re}(x \cdot p)$ is not the conjugate operator for the repulsive Hamiltonian H . By considering the classical orbit of the particle in the repulsive electric field, we can take the operator $\operatorname{Re}((\partial g) \cdot p)$ with

$$g = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2(1-s)}|x|^{2-2s} & \text{for } s < 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}(\log|x|)^2 & \text{for } s = 1, \end{cases}$$

as the conjugate operator for the repulsive Hamiltonian H . Therefore, it would be better to consider $(\partial g) \cdot \xi$ instead of $x \cdot \xi$, see also Remarks 2.6 (2).

In the proof of our main result, we employ schemes of [2, 6], which are used for a perturbed Laplacian. As the author mentioned above, we slightly modify the quantity $x \cdot \xi$ appeared in their schemes. We discuss eigenvalue of distorted H , not dilated, which is constructed by using a complex distortion related to the flow of $(\partial g) \cdot \nabla$.

There is a large body of literature on resonances for semiclassical Schrödinger operators with bounded potentials. We refer to [1, 2, 6, 8, 11] for non-existence of resonances in a certain region. We study resonance free domain for H by employing their methods. We also refer to [3, 10, 13] for asymptotic behavior of resonances and to [16] in which many topics of resonances are dealt with. Resonances of the repulsive Hamiltonian H can be treated in the framework of [4]. However, thanks to using our new distortion we can discuss resonances for H with a large class of perturbations compared to [4], cf. Remarks 2.6 (3). The Stark potential is a kind of repulsive potential, although it is not spherically symmetric. Resonances in the Stark effect is studied for many authors, we refer to e.g. [5, 7, 9, 15].

2 Setting and Results

2.1 Setting

First we assume a *long-range type* condition on q .

Condition 2.1. The perturbation q belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover there exist $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and $C_k > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$|\nabla^k q| \leq \begin{cases} C_k \langle x \rangle^{2s-k-\rho} & \text{for } s < 1, \\ C_k (\log \langle x \rangle)^{-1-\rho} \langle x \rangle^{2-k} & \text{for } s = 1. \end{cases}$$

Although H has a self-adjoint extension under Condition 2.1 by the Faris–Lavine theorem cf. [14], let us consider H as the self-adjoint operator associated with the quadratic form on $H^1 \cap \mathcal{D}(|x|^s)$

$$t[u] := \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \| |x|^s u \|^2 + \langle u, q(x)u \rangle.$$

In the study of resonances for perturbed Laplacian P , It would be standard to use the dilation $x \mapsto e^\theta x = (e^\theta r)\omega$ or slightly modified one. Here $r = |x|$ and $\omega = x/r$. We note that the dilation generates an operator $\operatorname{Re}(x \cdot p)$ which is the conjugate operator for perturbed Laplacian P in the Mourre theory. As

for the case of sub-quadratic repulsive Hamiltonian, that is $s \in (0, 1)$, an operator $\text{Re}(|x|^{-2s}x \cdot p)$ plays a role of the conjugate operator, and this is generated by a group $T(\theta) : x \mapsto (r^{2s} + 2s\theta)^{1/(2s)}\omega$ for $\theta \geq 0$. Therefore, in order to consider resonances for sub-quadratic repulsive Hamiltonian, it is natural to use such a transformation. As for the case of the inverted harmonic oscillator, that is $s = 1$, an operator $\text{Re}((\log|x|)|x|^{-2}x \cdot p)$ is chosen as the conjugate operator. In order to find a group which generates this operator we need to solve the equation

$$\frac{\partial r(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = (\log r)r^{-1}\frac{\partial r(\theta)}{\partial r}.$$

However it is difficult to find an exact solution to this equation. Here we note that we can discuss resonances of the inverted harmonic oscillator by using an *approximate* solution $r(\theta) = (r^2 + 2\theta \log r)^{1/2}$ in the sense that this $r(\theta)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial r(\theta)}{\partial \theta} - (\log r)r^{-1}\frac{\partial r(\theta)}{\partial r} = -\theta r^{-2} \log r.$$

However we need an additional assumption on the classically forbidden region to control an error term.

Based on the above short discussion, let us define a complex distortion by mimicking an argument of [6]. We take a smooth cut-off function $\chi_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which satisfy

$$\chi_1(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } t \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We note that χ_1 is a Lipschitz continuous function, and we denote its Lipschitz constant by $L > 0$:

$$|\chi_1(t_1) - \chi_1(t_2)| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|.$$

We set for $R > 0$

$$\chi_R(t) := \chi_1(t/R^{2s}).$$

For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we introduce the mappings

$$r \mapsto r_\theta := \begin{cases} (r^{2s} + 2s\theta\chi_R(r^{2s}))^{1/(2s)} & \text{for } s < 1, \\ (r^2 + 2\theta\chi_R(r^2) \log r)^{1/2} & \text{for } s = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

By the Lipschitz continuity of χ_R , the mapping $r \mapsto (r^{2s} + 2s\theta\chi_R(r^{2s}))^{1/(2s)}$ is invertible for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\theta| < (2s)^{-1}R^{2s}L^{-1}$. In fact, for any $\tilde{r} \in [0, \infty)$ we can construct $r \geq 0$ such that

$$\tilde{r}^{2s} = r^{2s} + 2s\theta\chi_R(r^{2s}). \quad (2.2)$$

For any $\tilde{r} \in [0, \infty)$, let us consider the sequence $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset [0, \infty)$ defined by the recurrence formula

$$r_n^{2s} = \tilde{r}^{2s} - 2s\theta\chi_R(r_{n-1}^{2s}), \quad r_0 = \tilde{r}.$$

Noting that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} r_n^{2s} \leq \tilde{r}^{2s} + 2s|\theta|$ and for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq m$

$$\begin{aligned} |r_n^{2s} - r_m^{2s}| &= 2s|\theta| |\chi_R(r_{n-1}^{2s}) - \chi_R(r_{m-1}^{2s})| \\ &\leq 2s|\theta|R^{-2s}L|r_{n-1}^{2s} - r_{m-1}^{2s}| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \vdots \\ & \leq (2s|\theta|R^{-2s}L)^m |r_{n-m}^{2s} - r_0^{2s}|, \end{aligned}$$

we can see that $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and thus it converges. By letting $r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n$, we have (2.2). Similarly, we can verify that there exists $L_1 > 0$ such that the lower mapping of (2.1) is invertible for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\theta| < L_1$. For simplicity, we let $L_s = (2s)^{-1}R^{2s}L$ for $s \in (0, 1)$.

For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\theta| < L_s$, we define unitary operator U_θ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as

$$(U_\theta u)(x) = (U_\theta u)(r\omega) := J^{1/2}u(r\theta\omega), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$J = J(\theta, r) = \begin{cases} (r_\theta/r)^{d-2s}(1 + 2s\theta\chi'_R(r^{2s})) & \text{for } s < 1, \\ (r_\theta/r)^{d-2}(1 + \theta r^{-2}\chi_R(r^2) + 2\theta \log r \chi'_R(r^2)) & \text{for } s = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now we introduce an additional condition on q . For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$q_\theta(x) = q_\theta(r\omega) = q(r\theta\omega).$$

Condition 2.2. In addition to Condition 2.1, for a fixed $R > 0$, q_θ has an analytic extension in a region $C_{\beta_0} := \{\theta \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} \theta| < \beta_0\}$ for some $\beta_0 > 0$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

In the statement of our main theorem, we fix $R > 0$ depending on the situation and then assume Condition 2.2. We remark that, as we will see later, the resonance of H does not depend on the choice of $R > 0$.

We set for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\theta| < L_s$

$$t_\theta[u] := t[U_\theta^{-1}u] = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \langle u, U_\theta p^2 U_\theta^{-1} u \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle u, r_\theta^{2s} u \rangle + \langle u, q_\theta u \rangle.$$

We note that $U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1}$ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1} &= U_\theta \left(-\partial_r^2 - \frac{d-1}{r} \partial_r - r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \right) U_\theta^{-1} \\ &= -J^{1/2} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r} \right)^{-1} \partial_r \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r} \right)^{-1} \partial_r J^{-1/2} - (d-1) J^{1/2} r_\theta^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r} \right)^{-1} \partial_r J^{-1/2} \\ &\quad - r_\theta^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can see that $t_\theta[u]$ extends analytically to a complex region $\{\theta \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\theta| < L_s\} \cap C_{\beta_0}$ and forms analytic family of operators

$$H_\theta := U_\theta H U_\theta^{-1} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} r_\theta^{2s} + q_\theta.$$

Based on [6, Section 6], we consider a set of *analytic vectors* to characterize resonances of H . Let us introduce the set F of entire function $f = f(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$, which vanishes faster than any inverse power of $|\operatorname{Re} z|$ as $|\operatorname{Re} z| \rightarrow \infty$ in any region

$$|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq (1 - \varepsilon) |\operatorname{Re} z|, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1).$$

We call $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ analytic vector if it holds that $\psi(x) = f(x)$ on \mathbb{R}^d for some $f \in F$. We denote the set of analytic vectors by \mathcal{A} . Then we can see, similarly to

[6], that there exist $\tilde{L}_s \in (0, L_s]$ such that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ the mapping $\theta \rightarrow U_\theta \psi$ is an L^2 -valued analytic function in $|\theta| < \tilde{L}_s$. Moreover for any $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\theta| < \tilde{L}_s$, \mathcal{A} and $U_\theta \mathcal{A}$ are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Im } z > 0$ and any real θ with $|\theta| < L_s$ we have

$$\langle \phi, (H - z)^{-1} \psi \rangle = \langle U_{\tilde{\theta}} \phi, (H_\theta - z)^{-1} U_\theta \psi \rangle, \quad \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular it has a meromorphic continuation in z to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\theta)$ and in θ to $\{\theta \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\theta| < \tilde{L}_s\}$. In general, resonance is defined as a pole of a meromorphic continuation of (2.4). In the present paper, we adopt the following definition of resonances, which is equivalent to it.

Definition 2.3. We call $z \in \mathbb{C}$ a resonance of H if z is an eigenvalue of H_θ for some $\theta \in i\mathbb{R}_+$.

We note that the resonances does not depend on the choice of the cut-off function χ_R by uniqueness of meromorphic continuation of (2.4). Moreover it does not depend on the value of $\theta = i\beta$, $\beta > 0$, in the sense that if z is an eigenvalue of $H_{i\beta_1}$, z is also an eigenvalue of $H_{i\beta_2}$ when $\beta_2 > \beta_1$.

2.2 Resonance free domain

The following theorem is our main result and it asserts that H has no resonances in some complex neighborhood of fixed energy E for sufficiently small $\hbar > 0$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $s \in (0, 1]$ and take any $E \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that there exist $\mu > 0$ and smooth cut-off functions $\chi, \tilde{\chi} \in C^1([0, \infty); [0, 1])$ such that*

$$(i) \quad \chi^2 + \tilde{\chi}^2 = 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \chi = 1 \text{ near } 0.$$

$$\text{Moreover, if } s = 1, \text{ supp } \tilde{\chi} \subset \{r \geq \sqrt{e}\},$$

(ii) *There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$-\frac{1}{2}r^{2s} + q - E \geq \alpha \quad \text{on } \text{supp } \chi,$$

(iii) *There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} 1 - s - 2(1 - 2s)r^{-2s}(q - E) - r^{1-2s}(\partial_r q) - \mu \geq \gamma & \text{for } s < 1, \\ 1 + 2r^{-2}(\log r - 1)(q - E) - r^{-1}(\log r)(\partial_r q) - \mu \geq \gamma & \text{for } s = 1 \end{cases}$$

on $\text{supp } \tilde{\chi}$.

Take any $R > 0$ such that $\chi_R = 1$ on $\text{supp } \tilde{\chi}$, and assume Condition 2.2. Let $\beta \in (0, \min\{\tilde{L}_s, \beta_0\})$, $\theta = i\beta$ and $z = E - i\beta\mu$. Then one has for any $u \in \mathcal{D}(H_\theta)$ and for any $\beta > 0$ small enough

$$\|(H_\theta - z)u\| \geq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \hbar} \|u\|$$

with $C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \hbar} = (1 - c\beta) \min\{\alpha, \beta\gamma\} + O(\beta^2) + O(\hbar^2)$. Here $c > 0$ is a certain constant which is independent of both \hbar and β .

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose all the assumptions of Theorem 2.4. Then there exists β_c such that for any each $\beta \in (0, \beta_c)$ there exists $\hbar_0 > 0$ such that for any $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0)$ the constant $C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \hbar}$ is strictly positive, and hence, $z = E - i\mu\beta$ is not a resonance of $H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}|x|^{2s} + q(x)$.*

Remarks 2.6. (1) Let us take the sequence $\{\phi_{s, \lambda, n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\phi_{s, \lambda, n} = c_{s, n} \eta_n(f) r^{-(d+s-1)/2} \exp\{i(\frac{1}{1+s} r^{1+s} + \lambda f + \theta(r))/\hbar\},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_{s, n}$ is a normalizing constant, η_n is a smooth cut-off function which is obeying $\text{supp } \eta_n \subset [2^n, 2^{n+1}]$ and

$$f = f(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-s}(r^{1-s} - 1) + 1 & \text{for } s < 1, \\ \log r + 1 & \text{for } s = 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover $\theta(r)$ is a certain smooth function which depends on s and ρ , and satisfy

$$(r^s + \lambda r^{-s} + \partial_r \theta(r))^2 - (r^{2s} + \lambda - q) = o(1)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$. For example, if $\rho > s$ it is sufficient to take $\theta(r)$ as

$$\theta(r) = - \int_2^r q(\tilde{r}\omega) \tilde{r}^{-s} d\tilde{r}.$$

Then we can see that for $\theta = i\beta$ and for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H_\theta - (\lambda - (1-s)\beta i))\phi_{s, \lambda, n}\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } s < 1, \\ \|(H_\theta - (\lambda - \beta i))\phi_{s, \lambda, n}\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } s = 1 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and hence the essential spectrum of H_θ with $\theta = i\beta$ is

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\theta) = \begin{cases} \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z = -(1-s)\beta\} & \text{for } s < 1, \\ \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z = -\beta\} & \text{for } s = 1. \end{cases}$$

The eigenvalues of H_θ would appear, if it exist, in the strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < -\text{Im } z < (1-s)\beta\}$ for $s < 1$, or $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < -\text{Im } z < \beta\}$ for $s = 1$.

(2) The bound of (iii) is a virial type condition, and it comes from an estimate of the Poisson bracket

$$\{h, a\}|_{h=E} = \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi} \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial \xi} \right] \Big|_{h=E},$$

where $h = \frac{1}{2}\xi^2 - \frac{1}{2}|x|^{2s} + q(x)$ is the classical mechanics version of H and

$$a = (\partial g) \cdot \xi \quad \text{with } g = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2(1-s)} r^{2-2s} & \text{for } s < 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} (\log r)^2 & \text{for } s = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since g is non-negative and $g = O(t^2)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the classical mechanics sense, the quantity $\{h, a\}|_{h=E}$ is expected to be positive.

(3) Our r_θ has satisfies

$$r_\theta = (r^{2s} + 2s\theta\chi_R(r^{2s}))^{2s} \rightarrow e^\theta r \quad \text{as } s \downarrow 0$$

for any $r > 2R$. In this sense, we can regard our main result as a generalization of that for perturbed Laplacian. We can also discuss resonance free domain for the repulsive Hamiltonians H by using the dilation. If we use the dilation we need to assume that q_θ is analytic in a sector $\{|\operatorname{Im} e^\theta x| < c_1 | \operatorname{Re} e^\theta x|\} \setminus \{|\operatorname{Re} e^\theta x| < c_2\}$ for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. However this sector is wider than the region in which analyticity of q_θ is required in Condition 2.2. In fact, since we have for large $r = |x|$

$$r_\theta \sim r(1 + \theta\chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s}),$$

$\operatorname{Im} r_\theta$ diverges slower than $\operatorname{Im} e^\theta r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Especially, if $s > 1/2$, $\operatorname{Im} r_\theta$ decays as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Hence by using our distortion instead of the dilation we can deal with a large class of potentials. This is a novelty of the present paper.

We also mention the case of Stark Hamiltonian. Roughly speaking, Stark Hamiltonian is corresponding to the case of $s = 1/2$. In [5, Section 23.1] and [7], they study resonances by introducing a distortion $x \mapsto x + \theta v(x)$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\theta| \ll 1$, where v is a certain bounded smooth function. The size of both of the regions $\{x + \theta v(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ and $\{r_\theta(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^d, s = 1/2\}$ in \mathbb{C} are almost the same.

3 Examples

This is a short section, which we discuss the choice of $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ and $\chi, \tilde{\chi} \in C^1$ of the assumptions of the theorem for *free* semiclassical repulsive Hamiltonian $H = H_0$.

First we let $s \in (0, 1)$. We take any $E < 0$. If $s \geq 1/2$, the bound

$$1 - s + 2(1 - 2s)r^{-2s}E - \mu \geq \gamma$$

always hold for any $\mu \in (0, 1 - s)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1 - s - \mu)$. Then for any $\alpha \in (0, -E)$, we can easily construct functions χ and $\tilde{\chi}$ which obeying the assumptions of the theorem. Let us consider the case of $s < 1/2$. For any $\mu \in (0, s)$ and $\alpha \in (0, -(1 - \frac{1-2s}{1-s-\mu})E)$, we take and fix a constant $c \in (\frac{1-2s}{1-s-\mu} \frac{E}{E+\alpha}, 1)$. Then by taking smooth cut-off functions χ and $\tilde{\chi}$ which satisfy

$$\chi = \chi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } \{r = |x| \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{2c}r^{2s} - E > \alpha\}, \\ 0 & \text{on } \{r = |x| \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{2}r^{2s} - E \leq \alpha\}, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\chi} = \sqrt{1 - \chi^2},$$

we obtain on $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{\chi}$ that for some $\gamma > 0$

$$1 - s + 2(1 - 2s)r^{-2s}E - \mu \geq 1 - s - (1 - 2s) \frac{E}{c(E+\alpha)} - \mu > \gamma.$$

Next we let $s = 1$. In this case, we need restriction $E < -1/2$ to obtain the bound

$$1 - 2r^{-2}(\log r - 1)E - \mu \geq \gamma \quad \text{on } \operatorname{supp} \tilde{\chi} \quad (3.1)$$

for some $\mu, \gamma > 0$. For $\alpha \in (0, -(2E + 1)/4)$ we introduce smooth functions χ and $\tilde{\chi}$ as

$$\chi = \chi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } \{r = |x| \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{2}r^2 - E > 2\alpha\}, \\ 0 & \text{on } \{r = |x| \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{2}r^2 - E \leq \alpha\}, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\chi} = \sqrt{1 - \chi^2}.$$

When $E < -e^2/2$, by retaking $\alpha \in (0, -(2E + e^2)/4)$, we can see that the term $-2r^{-2}(\log r - 1)E$ is non-negative on $\text{supp } \tilde{\chi}$. Thus for any $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1 - \mu)$, the bound (3.1) holds. Let $E \in [-e^2/2, -1/2)$. Then for any $\mu \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \log(-2E))$ there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that the bound (3.1) holds. In fact, for sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$ we have on $\text{supp } \tilde{\chi}$

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - 2r^{-2}(\log r - 1)E - \mu \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \log(-2E) - \mu + \frac{2\alpha}{E+2\alpha} + \frac{E}{2(E+2\alpha)} \log\left(\frac{E+2\alpha}{E}\right) - \frac{\alpha}{E+2\alpha} \log(-2E) \\ & > 0. \end{aligned}$$

4 Proof of the theorem

4.1 Sub-quadratic repulsive Hamiltonian

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 2.4 for $s \in (0, 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.4 with $s \in (0, 1)$. Let $\theta = i\beta$. Take any $u \in \mathcal{D}(H_\theta)$, $\|u\| = 1$. Then we set $v = \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 (\chi^2 - i\tilde{\chi}^2)u$. Note that $\|v\| \leq (1 + O(\beta))\|u\|$. We show that for $z = E - i\beta\mu$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Re}\langle v, (H_\theta - z)u \rangle \\ & \geq (\min\{\alpha, \beta\gamma\} + O(\hbar^2) + O(\beta^2))\|u\|^2 + \beta^2 \text{Re}\langle u, \psi(r)(H_\theta - z)u \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\psi(r)$ is a certain bounded function. Then by combining with the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + O(\beta))\|u\| \|(H_\theta - z)u\| & \geq \|v\| \|(H_\theta - z)u\| \geq \text{Re}\langle v, (H_\theta - z)u \rangle, \\ O(\beta^2)\|u\| \|(H_\theta - z)u\| & \geq -\beta^2 \text{Re}\langle u, \psi(r)(H_\theta - z)u \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we have the assertion.

The left-hand side of (4.1) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}\langle v, (H_\theta - z)u \rangle & = \text{Re}\langle (\chi^2 - i\tilde{\chi}^2)u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 (H_\theta - z)u \rangle \\ & = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \text{Re}\langle \chi^2 u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1}u \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \text{Im}\langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1}u \rangle \\ & \quad + \text{Re}\langle \chi u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2}r_\theta^{2s} + q_\theta - z\right)\chi u \rangle \\ & \quad - \text{Im}\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2}r_\theta^{2s} + q_\theta - z\right)\tilde{\chi} u \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Let us further compute each term on the right-hand side of (4.2). First we compute the factor $\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1}$.

$$\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 J^{1/2} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} \partial_r \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} \partial_r J^{-1/2} \\
&\quad - (d-1) \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 J^{1/2} r_\theta^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} \partial_r J^{-1/2} - \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 r_\theta^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \\
&= -J^{1/2} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) \partial_r \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} J^{-1/2} \partial_r - J^{1/2} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) \partial_r \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} (\partial_r J^{-1/2}) \\
&\quad - (d-1) \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) (r_\theta/r)^{-1} r^{-1} \partial_r + \frac{1}{2} (d-1) J^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) (r_\theta/r)^{-1} r^{-1} (\partial_r J) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 (r_\theta/r)^{-2} r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \\
&= -\partial_r^2 - J^{1/2} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) \left(\partial_r \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} J^{-1/2}\right) \partial_r \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} J^{-1} (\partial_r J) \partial_r + \frac{1}{2} J^{1/2} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) \left(\partial_r \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} (\partial_r J) J^{-3/2}\right) \\
&\quad - (d-1) \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) (r_\theta/r)^{-1} r^{-1} \partial_r + \frac{1}{2} (d-1) J^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) (r_\theta/r)^{-1} r^{-1} (\partial_r J) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 (r_\theta/r)^{-2} r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \\
&= -\Delta + J^{-1} (\partial_r J) \partial_r + \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2}\right) \partial_r - \frac{3}{4} J^{-2} (\partial_r J)^2 + \frac{1}{2} J^{-1} (\partial_r^2 J) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} J^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2}\right) (\partial_r J) - (d-1) \left(\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) (r_\theta/r)^{-1} - 1\right) r^{-1} \partial_r \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (d-1) J^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) (r_\theta/r)^{-1} r^{-1} (\partial_r J) - \left(\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 (r_\theta/r)^{-2} - 1\right) r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For notational simplicity, we introduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(r) &= -\frac{3}{4} J^{-2} (\partial_r J)^2 + \frac{1}{2} J^{-1} (\partial_r^2 J) - \frac{1}{2} J^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2}\right) (\partial_r J) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (d-1) J^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) (r_\theta/r)^{-1} r^{-1} (\partial_r J),
\end{aligned}$$

and then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta (-\Delta) U_\theta^{-1} &= -\Delta + J^{-1} (\partial_r J) \partial_r + \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2}\right) \partial_r \\
&\quad - (d-1) \left(\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right) (r_\theta/r)^{-1} - 1\right) r^{-1} \partial_r + \phi(r) \\
&\quad - \left(\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 (r_\theta/r)^{-2} - 1\right) r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We remark that ϕ is a complex-valued smooth function having bounded derivatives. By the identity

$$\operatorname{Re}(\chi^2 \Delta) = \chi \Delta \chi + |\nabla \chi|^2,$$

we have

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Re} \langle \chi^2 u, \Delta u \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} (\|\nabla(\chi u)\|^2 - \|(\nabla \chi)u\|^2). \tag{4.4}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r J &= \left(\partial_r (r_\theta/r)^{d-2s} (1 + 2s\theta \chi'_R(r^{2s}))\right) \\
&= (d-2s) (r_\theta/r)^{d-2s-1} \left(\partial_r \frac{r_\theta}{r}\right) (1 + 2s\theta \chi'_R(r^{2s})) \\
&\quad + (2s)^2 (r_\theta/r)^{d-2s} \theta \chi''_R(r^{2s}) r^{2s-1} \\
&= (d-2s) (r_\theta/r)^{d-4s} 2s\theta (\chi'_R(r^{2s}) - \chi_R(r^{2s}) r^{-2s}) r^{-1} (1 + 2s\theta \chi'_R(r^{2s})) \\
&\quad + (2s)^2 (r_\theta/r)^{d-2s} \theta \chi''_R(r^{2s}) r^{2s-1}, \\
\partial_r^2 J &= (d-2s)(d-4s) (r_\theta/r)^{d-6s} (2s\theta)^2 (\chi'_R(r^{2s}) - \chi_R(r^{2s}) r^{-2s})^2 \\
&\quad \times r^{-2} (1 + 2s\theta \chi'_R(r^{2s})) \\
&\quad + (d-2s) (r_\theta/r)^{d-4s} (2s)^2 \theta (\chi''_R(r^{2s}) r^{2s} - \chi'_R(r^{2s}) + \chi_R(r^{2s}) r^{-2s}) \\
&\quad \times r^{-2} (1 + 2s\theta \chi'_R(r^{2s}))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (d-2s)(r_\theta/r)^{d-4s}2s\theta(\chi'_R(r^{2s}) - \chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s})r^{-2}(1 + 2s\theta\chi'_R(r^{2s})) \\
& + (d-2s)(r_\theta/r)^{d-4s}(2s\theta)^2(\chi'_R(r^{2s}) - \chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s})\chi''_R(r^{2s})r^{2s-2} \\
& + (d-2s)(2s)^3(r_\theta/r)^{d-4s}\theta^2(\chi'_R(r^{2s}) - \chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s})r^{-1}\chi''_R(r^{2s})r^{2s-1} \\
& + (2s)^2(r_\theta/r)^{d-2s}\theta(2s\chi'''_R(r^{2s})r^{4s-2} + (2s-1)\chi''_R(r^{2s})r^{2s-2}), \\
\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r} & = (r_\theta/r)^{1-2s}(1 + 2s\theta\chi'_R(r^{2s})), \\
\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2} & = (1-2s)(r_\theta/r)^{1-4s}2s\theta(\chi'_R(r^{2s}) - \chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s})r^{-1}(1 + 2s\theta\chi'_R(r^{2s})) \\
& + (2s)^2(r_\theta/r)^{1-2s}\theta\chi''_R(r^{2s})r^{2s-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)(r_\theta/r)^{-1} - 1 & = (r_\theta/r)^{-2s}(1 + 2s\theta\chi'_R(r^{2s})) - 1 \\
& = \frac{1 + 2s\theta\chi'_R(r^{2s})}{1 + 2s\theta\chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s}} - 1 \\
& = \frac{2s\theta(\chi'_R(r^{2s}) - \chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s})}{1 + 2s\theta\chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s}}, \\
\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(r_\theta/r)^{-2} - 1 & = (r_\theta/r)^{-4s}(1 + 2s\theta\chi'_R(r^{2s}))^2 - 1 \\
& = \frac{(1 + 2s\theta\chi'_R(r^{2s}))^2}{(1 + 2s\theta\chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s})^2} - 1 \\
& = \frac{4s\theta(\chi'_R(r^{2s}) + s\theta\chi_R'^2(r^{2s}) - \chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s} - s\theta\chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-4s})}{(1 + 2s\theta\chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-2s})^2},
\end{aligned}$$

we can bound the first term of (4.2) as, by using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Re}\langle \chi^2 u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta) U_\theta^{-1} u \rangle \\
& \geq \hbar^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - C_1\beta\right) \|\nabla(\chi u)\|^2 + O(\hbar^2) \|u\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a certain constant which is independent of both \hbar and β . In the following we frequently use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality without mentioning.

As for the second term of (4.2), we evaluate it by using assumption (i) of the theorem. We have for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Im}\langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, \Delta u \rangle & = \hbar^2 \operatorname{Im}\langle (\nabla\chi)u, \nabla(\chi u) \rangle \\
& \geq -\hbar^2 (\varepsilon \|\nabla(\chi u)\|^2 + (4\varepsilon)^{-1} \|(\nabla\chi)u\|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Since we have on $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{\chi}$

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{-1}(\partial_r J) & = -2s\theta(d-2s)(r_\theta/r)^{-2s}r^{-2s-1}, \\
\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2}\right) & = -2s\theta(1-2s)(r_\theta/r)^{-2s}r^{-2s-1}, \\
\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)(r_\theta/r)^{-1} - 1 & = (r_\theta/r)^{-2s} - 1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(r_\theta/r)^{-2s} = \frac{1}{1 + 2s\theta r^{-2s}} = \frac{1 - i2s\beta r^{-2s}}{1 + 4s^2\beta^2 r^{-4s}},$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Im} \langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, [J^{-1}(\partial_r J) + (\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^{-1}(\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2}) - (d-1)((\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})(r_\theta/r)^{-1} - 1)r^{-1}] \partial_r u \rangle \\ & \geq -4s^2 \hbar^2 \beta^2 |1 - 2s| |\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \frac{r^{-4s-1}}{1+4s^2 \beta^2 r^{-4s}} \partial_r \tilde{\chi} u \rangle| + O(\hbar^2) \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, noting that on $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{\chi}$

$$\operatorname{Im} \left[(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^2 (r_\theta/r)^{-2} - 1 \right] = \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{(1 + 2s\theta r^{-2s})^2} = \frac{-4s\beta r^{-2s}}{(1 + 4s^2 \beta^2 r^{-4s})^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Im} \langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, -((\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^2 (r_\theta/r)^{-2} - 1)r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} u \rangle \\ & = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, \frac{-4s\beta r^{-2s}}{(1+4s^2 \beta^2 r^{-4s})^2} r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} u \rangle \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have by letting $\varepsilon = 1/4$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Im} \langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, (\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^2 U_\theta(-\Delta) U_\theta^{-1} u \rangle \\ & \geq -\frac{1}{4} \hbar^2 \|\nabla(\chi u)\|^2 - 4s^2 \hbar^2 \beta^2 |1 - 2s| |\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \frac{r^{-4s-1}}{1+4s^2 \beta^2 r^{-4s}} \partial_r \tilde{\chi} u \rangle| + O(\hbar^2) \|u\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Here we remark that we can bound the second term on the right-hand side of (4.7) as, by using a bootstrap argument,

$$\begin{aligned} & -4s^2 \hbar^2 \beta^2 |1 - 2s| |\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \frac{r^{-4s-1}}{1+4s^2 \beta^2 r^{-4s}} \partial_r \tilde{\chi} u \rangle| \\ & \geq O(\beta^2) \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} (\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^2 (H_\theta - z) u \rangle + O(\beta^2) \|u\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

for sufficiently small $\beta > 0$. Let us confirm it. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & -4s^2 \hbar^2 \beta^2 |1 - 2s| |\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \frac{r^{-4s-1}}{1+4s^2 \beta^2 r^{-4s}} \partial_r \tilde{\chi} u \rangle| \\ & \geq -\hbar^2 \beta^2 \|\chi_R(r^{2s}) r^{-4s-1} \partial_r u\|^2 + O(\hbar^2 \beta^2) \|u\|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

By the expression of $U_\theta(-\Delta) U_\theta^{-1}$, see (4.3), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \hbar^2 \|\chi_R(r^{2s}) r^{-4s-1} \partial_r u\|^2 \\ & = \hbar^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \chi_R(r^{2s}) r^{-4s-1} \partial_r u, \chi_R(r^{2s}) r^{-4s-1} \partial_r u \rangle \\ & \quad + \hbar^2 \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} (-r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}) u \rangle \\ & \quad - \hbar^2 \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} (-r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}) u \rangle \\ & \leq \hbar^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} (-\Delta) u \rangle \\ & \quad - \hbar^2 \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} (-r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}) u \rangle + C_2 \hbar^2 \|u\|^2 \\ & = \hbar^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} (\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^2 [U_\theta(-\Delta) U_\theta^{-1}] u \rangle \\ & \quad - \hbar^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} [J^{-1}(\partial_r J) + (\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^{-1}(\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2})] \partial_r u \rangle \\ & \quad + (d-1) \hbar^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} [(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})(r_\theta/r)^{-1} - 1] r^{-1} \partial_r u \rangle \\ & \quad + \hbar^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} [(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^2 (r_\theta/r)^{-2} - 1] r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} u \rangle \\ & \quad - \hbar^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} \phi(r) u \rangle - \hbar^2 \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s}) r^{-8s-2} (-r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}) u \rangle + C_2 \hbar^2 \|u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2 \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-2}\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(H_\theta - z)u \rangle \\
&\quad + \hbar^2 |\langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-3}O(\beta)\partial_r u \rangle| \\
&\quad - (1 + O(\beta^2))\hbar^2 \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-2}(-r^{-2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}})u \rangle + C_3\|u\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$|\langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-3}O(\beta)\partial_r u \rangle| \leq \varepsilon\beta^2\|\chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-4s-1}\partial_r u\|^2 + \varepsilon^{-1}C_4\|u\|^2,$$

and thus, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hbar^2\|\chi_R(r^{2s})r^{-4s-1}\partial_r u\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \varepsilon\beta^2)^{-1}2 \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-2}\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(H_\theta - z)u \rangle \\
&\quad - (1 - \varepsilon\beta^2)^{-1}(1 + O(\beta^2))\hbar^2 \langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-2}(-r^{-2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}})u \rangle + C_5\|u\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \varepsilon\beta^2)^{-1}2 \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-2}\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(H_\theta - z)u \rangle + C_5\|u\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting this inequality into (4.9), we can obtain (4.8). By summing up (4.5), (4.7) and (4.8), one has the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Re}\langle \chi^2 u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1}u \rangle - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Im}\langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1}u \rangle \\
&\geq \hbar^2\left(\frac{1}{4} - C_1\beta\right)\|\nabla(\chi u)\|^2 + O(\beta^2) \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-2}\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(H_\theta - z)u \rangle \\
&\quad + O(\hbar^2)\|u\|^2 + O(\beta^2)\|u\|^2 \tag{4.10} \\
&\geq O(\beta^2) \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-2}\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(H_\theta - z)u \rangle \\
&\quad + O(\hbar^2)\|u\|^2 + O(\beta^2)\|u\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for $\beta > 0$ small enough.

Next we bound the third term of (4.2). Using the Taylor expansion of q_θ in θ

$$q_\theta = q + r^{1-2s}\chi_R(r^{2s})(\partial_r q)\theta + O(\theta^2)$$

and assumption (ii) of the theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re}\langle \chi u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2\left(-\frac{1}{2}r_\theta^{2s} + q_\theta - z\right)\chi u \rangle \\
&= \langle \chi u, \left(-\frac{1}{2}r^{2s} + q - E + O(\beta^2)\right)\chi u \rangle \tag{4.11} \\
&\geq \alpha\|\chi u\|^2 + O(\beta^2)\|u\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by using assumption (iii) of the theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\operatorname{Im}\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2\left(-\frac{1}{2}r_\theta^{2s} + q_\theta - z\right)\tilde{\chi} u \rangle \\
&= -\langle \tilde{\chi} u, [-(1 - 2s) + 2(1 - 2s)r^{-2s}(q - E) - (s - r^{1-2s}(\partial_r q) - \mu)]\beta\tilde{\chi} u \rangle \\
&\quad + O(\beta^2)\|u\|^2 \tag{4.12} \\
&\geq \beta\gamma\|\tilde{\chi} u\|^2 + O(\beta^2)\|u\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by the expression (4.2) and the bounds (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\langle v, (H_\theta - z)u \rangle &\geq (\min\{\alpha, \beta\gamma\} + O(\hbar^2) + O(\beta^2))\|u\|^2 \\
&\quad + O(\beta^2) \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \chi_R^2(r^{2s})r^{-8s-2}\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(H_\theta - z)u \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We are done. \square

4.2 Inverted harmonic oscillator

This is the last section and we give a proof of Theorem 2.4 for $s = 1$. Since the proof is quite similar to that for $s \in (0, 1)$, we sometimes omit computations.

Proof of Theorem 2.4 with $s = 1$. Let $\theta = i\beta$. Take any $u \in \mathcal{D}(H_\theta)$, $\|u\| = 1$. Then we set $v = \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 (\chi^2 - i\tilde{\chi}^2)u$. Note that $\|v\| \leq (1 + O(\beta))\|u\|$. We show that for $z = E - i\beta\mu$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}\langle v, (H_\theta - z)u \rangle \\ & \geq (\min\{\alpha, \beta\gamma\} + O(\hbar^2) + O(\beta^2))\|u\|^2 + \beta^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \psi(r)(H_\theta - z)u \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where $\psi(r)$ is a certain bounded function. Then by combining with the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + O(\beta))\|u\|\|(H_\theta - z)u\| & \geq \|v\|\|(H_\theta - z)u\| \geq \operatorname{Re}\langle v, (H_\theta - z)u \rangle, \\ O(\beta^2)\|u\|\|(H_\theta - z)u\| & \geq -\beta^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \psi(r)(H_\theta - z)u \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we have the assertion.

The left-hand side of (4.13) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle v, (H_\theta - z)u \rangle & = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Re}\langle \chi^2 u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1}u \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Im}\langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta)U_\theta^{-1}u \rangle \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Re}\langle \chi u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2}r_\theta^2 + q_\theta - z\right)\chi u \rangle \\ & \quad - \operatorname{Im}\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2}r_\theta^2 + q_\theta - z\right)\tilde{\chi} u \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Note that the expression (4.3) also holds even for the case of $s = 1$. Since we have (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r J & = (d-2)(r_\theta/r)^{d-4}\theta(-2r^{-3}\log r\chi_R(r^2) + r^{-3}\chi_R(r^2) + 2r^{-1}\log r\chi'_R(r^2)) \\ & \quad \times (1 + r^{-2}\chi_R(r^2)\theta + 2\log r\chi'_R(r^2)\theta) \\ & \quad + (r_\theta/r)^{d-2}\theta(-2r^{-3}\chi_R(r^2) + 4r^{-1}\chi'_R(r^2) + 4r\log r\chi''_R(r^2)), \\ \partial_r^2 J & = (d-2)(d-4)(r_\theta/r)^{d-6}\theta^2(2r^{-3}\log r\chi_R(r^2) - r^{-3}\chi_R(r^2) - 2r^{-1}\log r\chi'_R(r^2))^2 \\ & \quad \times (1 + r^{-2}\chi_R(r^2)\theta + 2\log r\chi'_R(r^2)\theta) \\ & \quad + (d-2)(r_\theta/r)^{d-4}\theta[(6\log r - 5)r^{-4}\chi_R(r^2) - (6\log r - 4)r^{-2}\chi'_R(r^2) \\ & \quad + 4\log r\chi''_R(r^2)](1 + r^{-2}\chi_R(r^2)\theta + 2\log r\chi'_R(r^2)\theta) \\ & \quad + (d-2)(r_\theta/r)^{d-4}\theta^2(2r^{-3}\log r\chi_R(r^2) - r^{-3}\chi_R(r^2) - 2r^{-1}\log r\chi'_R(r^2)) \\ & \quad \times (2r^{-3}\chi_R(r^2) - 4r^{-1}\chi'_R(r^2) - 4r\log r\chi''_R(r^2)) \\ & \quad + (d-2)(r_\theta/r)^{d-4}\theta^2(2r^{-3}\log r\chi_R(r^2) - r^{-3}\chi_R(r^2) - 2r^{-1}\log r\chi'_R(r^2)) \\ & \quad \times (2r^{-3}\chi_R(r^2) - 4r^{-1}\chi'_R(r^2) - 4r\log r\chi''_R(r^2)) \\ & \quad + (r_\theta/r)^{d-2}\theta[6r^{-4}\chi_R(r^2) - 8r^{-2}\chi'_R(r^2) + 12\chi''_R(r^2) + 4\log r\chi''_R(r^2) \\ & \quad + 8r^2\log r\chi'''_R(r^2)], \\ \frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r} & = (r_\theta/r)^{-1}(1 + r^{-2}\chi_R(r^2)\theta + 2\log r\chi'_R(r^2)\theta), \\ \frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2} & = -(r_\theta/r)^{-3}\theta(-2r^{-3}\log r\chi_R(r^2) + r^{-3}\chi_R(r^2) + 2r^{-1}\log r\chi'_R(r^2)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times (1 + r^{-2}\chi_R(r^2)\theta + 2\log r\chi'_R(r^2)\theta) \\ & - (r_\theta/r)^{-1}\theta(2r^{-3}\chi_R(r^2) - 4r^{-1}\chi'_R(r^2) - 4r\log r\chi''_R(r^2)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)(r_\theta/r)^{-1} - 1 &= \frac{\theta(r^{-2}\chi_R(r^2) + 2\log r\chi'_R(r^2) - 2r^{-2}\log r\chi_R(r^2))}{1 + 2r^{-2}\log r\chi_R(r^2)\theta}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(r_\theta/r)^{-2} - 1 &= \theta \left[r^{-4}\chi_R^2(r^2)\theta + 4(\log r)^2\chi_R'^2(r^2)\theta + 2r^{-2}\chi_R(r^2) + 4\log r\chi'_R(r^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 4r^{-2}\log r\chi_R(r^2)\chi'_R(r^2)\theta - 4r^{-2}\log r\chi_R(r^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 4r^{-4}(\log r)^2\chi_R(r^2)^2\theta \right] / (1 + 2r^{-2}\log r\chi_R^2(r^2)\theta)^2, \end{aligned}$$

we can bound the first term of (4.14) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Re}\langle \chi^2 u, \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 U_\theta(-\Delta) U_\theta^{-1} u \rangle \\ & \geq \hbar^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - C_1\beta\right) \|\nabla(\chi u)\|^2 + O(\hbar^2)\|u\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a certain constant which is independent of both \hbar and β .

Next we bound the second term of (4.14). Noting that we have on $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{\chi}$

$$\begin{aligned} J^{-1}(\partial_r J) &= -\theta(d-2)(r_\theta/r)^{-2}r^{-3}(2\log r - 1), \\ \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2}\right) &= \theta(r_\theta/r)^{-2}r^{-3}(2\log r - 1) - 2r^{-3}\theta/(1+r^{-2}\theta), \\ \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)(r_\theta/r)^{-1} - 1 &= (r_\theta/r)^{-2}(1+r^{-2}\theta) - 1, \\ \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(r_\theta/r)^{-2} - 1 &= (r_\theta/r)^{-4}(1+r^{-2}\theta)^2 - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(r_\theta/r)^{-2} = \frac{1}{1 + 2\theta r^{-2} \log r} = \frac{1 - i2\beta r^{-2} \log r}{1 + 4\beta^2 r^{-4} (\log r)^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Im}\langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, [J^{-1}(\partial_r J) + \left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial^2 r_\theta}{\partial r^2}\right) - (d-1)\left(\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)(r_\theta/r)^{-1} - 1\right)r^{-1}] \partial_r u \rangle \\ & \geq -2\hbar^2\beta^2 |\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \frac{r^{-5} \log r (2 \log r - 1)}{1 + 4\beta^2 r^{-4} (\log r)^2} \partial_r \tilde{\chi} u \rangle| - \hbar^2\beta^2 |\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \frac{r^{-5}}{1 + \beta^2 r^{-4}} \partial_r \tilde{\chi} u \rangle| + O(\hbar^2)\|u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and, by using assumption (i),

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Im}\langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, -\left(\left(\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r}\right)^2(r_\theta/r)^{-2} - 1\right)r^{-2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}u \rangle \\ & \geq -C_1\hbar^2\beta^3 \langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, r^{-6}(\log r)(-r^{-2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}})u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By a bootstrap argument we can obtain the bounds, for sufficiently small $\beta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\hbar^2\beta^2 |\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \frac{r^{-5} \log r (2 \log r - 1)}{1 + 4\beta^2 r^{-4} (\log r)^2} \partial_r \tilde{\chi} u \rangle| \\ & \geq -\beta^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \psi_1(r)(H_\theta - z)u \rangle + O(\beta^2)\|u\|^2, \\ & -\hbar^2\beta^2 |\langle \tilde{\chi} u, \frac{r^{-5}}{1 + \beta^2 r^{-4}} \partial_r \tilde{\chi} u \rangle| \\ & \geq -\beta^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \psi_2(r)(H_\theta - z)u \rangle + O(\beta^2)\|u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & - C_1 \hbar^2 \beta^3 \langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, r^{-6} (\log r) (-r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}) u \rangle \\ & \geq -\beta^3 \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \psi_3(r) (H_\theta - z) u \rangle + O(\beta^2) \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here ψ_j 's are uniformly bounded smooth functions, although they depend on $\beta > 0$. Therefore by (4.6) with $\varepsilon = 1/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \operatorname{Im} \langle \tilde{\chi}^2 u, (\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^2 U_\theta (-\Delta) U_\theta^{-1} u \rangle \\ & \geq -\beta^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \psi_4(r) (H_\theta - z) u \rangle - \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \|\nabla(\chi u)\|^2 + O(\hbar^2) \|u\|^2 + O(\beta^2) \|u\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where $\psi_4 = \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \beta\psi_3$. Let us bound the third and the fourth term of (4.14) by using the assumptions (ii) and (iii) of the theorem, respectively. Using the Taylor expansion of q_θ in θ

$$q_\theta = q + r^{-1} \log r \chi_R(r^2) (\partial_r q) \theta + O(\theta^2),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle \chi u, (\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^2 (-\frac{1}{2} r_\theta^2 + q_\theta - z) \chi u \rangle & = \langle \chi u, (-\frac{1}{2} r^2 + q - E + O(\beta^2)) \chi u \rangle \\ & \geq \alpha \|\chi u\|^2 + O(\beta^2) \|u\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Similarly, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \operatorname{Im} \langle \tilde{\chi} u, (\frac{\partial r_\theta}{\partial r})^2 (-\frac{1}{2} r_\theta^2 + q_\theta - z) \tilde{\chi} u \rangle \\ & = -\langle \tilde{\chi} u, [-2r^{-2} \log r (-\frac{1}{2} r^2 + q - E) + 2r^{-2} (-\frac{1}{2} r^2 + q - E) \\ & \quad + (-\log r + r^{-1} \log r (\partial_r q) + \mu)] \beta \tilde{\chi} u \rangle + O(\beta^2) \|u\|^2 \\ & \geq \beta \gamma \|\tilde{\chi} u\|^2 + O(\beta^2) \|u\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Hence by the expression (4.14) and the bounds (4.15)–(4.17) and (4.18), we finally have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle v, (H_\theta - z) u \rangle & \geq (\min\{\alpha, \beta\gamma\} + O(\hbar^2) + O(\beta^2)) \|u\|^2 \\ & \quad - \beta^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle u, \psi_4(r) (H_\theta - z) u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small $\beta > 0$, and then the assertion (4.13) follows. \square

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank K. Higuchi and K. Ito for helpful discussions. The author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19H05599.

References

- [1] J. Aguilar, J. M. Combes, A class of analytic perturbations for one-body Schrödinger Hamiltonians, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **22**, 269–279 (1971)

- [2] Ph. Briet, J. M. Combes, P. Duclos, On the location of resonances for Schrödinger operators in the semiclassical limit I. Resonances free domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **126**, 90–99 (1987)
- [3] J. F. Bony, S. Fujiié, T. Ramond, M. Zerzeri, Spectral projection, residue of the scattering amplitude and Schrödinger group expansion for barrier top resonances, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **61**, 1351–1406 (2011)
- [4] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand, Résonances en limite semi-classique, *Mém. Soc. Math. France*, **24-25** (1986)
- [5] P. D. Hislop, I. M. Sigal, Introduction to spectral theory, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, **113**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 338 pp. (1996)
- [6] W. Hunziker, Distortion analyticity and molecular resonance curves, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **45**, 339–358 (1986)
- [7] K. Kameoka, Semiclassical study of shape resonances in the Stark effect, *J. Spectr. Theory* **11**, 677–708 (2021)
- [8] M. Klein, On the absence of resonances for Schrödinger operators with non-trapping potentials in the classical limit, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **106**, 485–494 (1986)
- [9] E. L. Korotyaev, Resonances for 1d Stark operators, *J. Spectr. Theory* **7**, no. 3, 699–732 (2017)
- [10] A. Lahmar-Benbernou, A. Martinez, Semiclassical asymptotics of the residues of the scattering matrix for shape resonances, *Asympto. Anal.* **20**, no. 1, 13–38 (1999)
- [11] A. Martinez, Resonance free domain for non-globally analytic potentials, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **3**, no. 4, 739–756 (2002)
- [12] E. Mourre, Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain selfadjoint operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **78**, no. 3, 391–408 (1980/81)
- [13] S. Nakamura, Scattering theory for the shape resonance model. II. Resonance scattering, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **50**, no. 2, 133–142 (1989)
- [14] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics II*, New York: Academic Press (1975)
- [15] X. P. Wang, Resonances of N -body Schrödinger operators with stark effect, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **52**, no. 1, 1–30 (1990)
- [16] M. Zworski, Mathematical study of scattering resonances, *Bull. Math. Sci.* **7**, 1–85 (2017)