

# Edge universality of sparse Erdős-Rényi digraphs

Yukun He\*

September 24, 2024

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the adjacency matrix of the Erdős-Rényi directed graph  $\mathcal{G}(N, p)$ . We denote the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\lambda_1^{\mathcal{A}}, \dots, \lambda_N^{\mathcal{A}}$ , with  $|\lambda_1^{\mathcal{A}}| = \max_i |\lambda_i^{\mathcal{A}}|$ . For  $N^{-1+o(1)} \leq p \leq 1/2$ , we show that

$$\max_{i=2,3,\dots,N} \left| \frac{\lambda_i^{\mathcal{A}}}{\sqrt{Np(1-p)}} \right| = 1 + O(N^{-1/2+o(1)})$$

with very high probability. In addition, we prove that near the unit circle, the local eigenvalue statistics of  $\mathcal{A}/\sqrt{Np(1-p)}$  coincide with those of the real Ginibre ensemble. As a by-product, we also show that all non-trivial eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{A}$  are completely delocalized.

For Hermitian random matrices, it is known that the edge statistics are sensitive to the sparsity: in the very sparse regime, one needs to remove many noise random variables (which affect both the mean and the fluctuation) to recover the Tracy-Widom distribution [18, 19, 24, 26, 27, 30, 32]. Our results imply that, compared to their analogues in the Hermitian case, the edge statistics of non-Hermitian sparse random matrices are more robust.

The edge of non-Hermitian matrices possesses the cusp singularity, which was believed to be a technical difficulty of the model. Our first novelty is the observation that the cusp singularity is in fact an advantage instead of an obstacle, and when used properly, it makes the computation easier for non-Hermitian matrices. The second novelty is a use of integration by parts formula for the shift variable inside the Girko's Hermitization, which completely avoids the study of the Green function at larger spectral scales. The third novelty is a self-similarity of the self-consistent equations of certain Green functions, which eliminates the effect of large expectations of the matrix entries.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Erdős-Rényi directed graph  $\mathcal{G}(N, p)$ , i.e. a directed graph on  $N$  vertices, and each edge is included in the graph with probability  $p$ , independently from every other edge. We denote the adjacency matrix of  $\mathcal{G}(N, p)$  by  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{A} \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times N}$  is a random matrix with independent entries satisfying

$$\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } p \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{cases}$$

---

\*Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong. Email: yukunhe@cityu.edu.hk. Supported by NSFC No. 2023YFA1010400, NSFC No. 12322121, Hong Kong RGC Grant No. 21300223 and CityU Start-up Grant No. 7200727.

for all  $i, j$ . For numerical convenience, we introduce the normalized matrix

$$A = \sqrt{\frac{1}{Np(1-p)}} \mathcal{A} \quad (1.1)$$

so that  $\text{Var}(A_{ij}) = N^{-1}$ . From the circular law [4, 5, 22, 33, 35, 40], we know that when  $pN \gg 1$ , the limiting spectral density of  $A$  converges to the uniform law on the unit disc of the complex plane.

One of the most important questions for the Erdős-Rényi ensemble is to study its extreme eigenvalue statistics. Since the entries of the adjacency matrix have positive expectations, its largest eigenvalue (in magnitude) is very large and far away from the rest of the spectrum. We are therefore interested in the probability distribution of the eigenvalues of  $A$  near the unit disc.

The matrix  $A$  has typically  $N^2p$  nonzero entries, and in the regime  $p \asymp 1$ ,  $A$  is a *dense matrix*, as a nontrivial portion of its entries are not zero. Under the four moment matching condition, it was proved in [36] that the local statistics of a dense non-Hermitian random matrix coincide with those of the Ginibre ensemble. This is known as the *universality of non-Hermitian random matrices*. Without the four moment matching condition, the local circular law was proved for matrices with uniform variance profile in [12, 13, 41], and for matrices with general variance profile in [3]. The spectral radius of non-Hermitian random matrices was determined in [2]. Near the spectral edge, the universality of non-Hermitian random matrices was established in [14].

In the regime  $p \ll 1$ , which is more interesting in terms of graphs, the majority of the entries of  $A$  are 0. In other words,  $A$  is a *sparse matrix*. For sparse non-Hermitian random matrices, there is by far no result on the local eigenvalue statistics. In this paper, we prove the edge universality for  $A$  in the whole regime  $N^{-1+o(1)} \leq p \leq 1/2$ .

For a square matrix  $S \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1^S, \dots, \lambda_N^S$ , we define its  $k$ -point correlation function  $p_k^S$  through

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^k} F(z_1, \dots, z_k) p_k^S(z_1, \dots, z_k) dz_1 \dots dz_k = \binom{N}{k}^{-1} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N F(\lambda_{i_1}^S, \dots, \lambda_{i_k}^S), \quad (1.2)$$

for any smooth compactly supported  $F : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\sum^*$  is shorthand for distinct sum. For the real Ginibre ensemble  $W$ , and  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_k)$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , the microscopic scaling limit of  $p_k^W$  exists such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} p_k^W \left( w_1 + \frac{z_1}{N^{1/2}}, \dots, w_k + \frac{z_k}{N^{1/2}} \right) =: \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{z}).$$

For the detailed formula of  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{z})$ , one may refer to [11]. We may now state our first main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Fix  $\tau > 0$  and assume  $p \in [N^{-1+\tau}, 1/2]$ . Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . Let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$  with  $|w_1| = \dots = |w_k| = 1$ . Then for any smooth compactly supported  $F : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^k} F(\mathbf{z}) \left[ p_k^A \left( \mathbf{w} + \frac{\mathbf{z}}{N^{1/2}} \right) - \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{z}) \right] d\mathbf{z} = 0.$$

Theorem 1.1 shows that the edge universality of  $\mathcal{G}(N, p)$  holds as long as the expected degree of each vertex has a polynomial growth in  $N$ . On the other hand, its Hermitian analogue is not true. Let  $A^H$  be the (rescaled) adjacency matrix of the undirected Erdős-Rényi graph  $\mathcal{G}^H(N, p)$  with normalization  $\text{Var}(A_{ij}^H) = N^{-1}$ . In [18, 19, 32], it was proved that for  $Np \geq N^{1/3+o(1)}$ , the second largest eigenvalue of  $A^H$  satisfies

$$N^{2/3}(\lambda_2^H - \mathbb{E}\lambda_2^H) \xrightarrow{d} \text{TW}_1, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\text{TW}_1$  is the Tracy-Widom distribution for GOE [38, 39]. For  $N^{o(1)} \leq Np \leq N^{1/3-o(1)}$ , it was shown in [24, 26] that

$$\sqrt{\frac{N^2 p}{2}} (\lambda_2^H - \mathbb{E} \lambda_2^H) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

In other words, there is a phase transition at  $Np \asymp N^{1/3}$ , and the edge universality fails if  $Np \leq N^{1/3-o(1)}$ . It was later observed in [27, 30] that when  $Np \asymp N^\varepsilon$ , there are  $\Omega(e^{1/\varepsilon})$  number of noise random variables that outscale the Tracy-Widom distribution. These noise terms affect both the mean and fluctuation of  $\lambda_2^H$ . For small  $\varepsilon$ , there is by far no efficient way to calculate them explicitly, and even  $\mathbb{E} \lambda_2^H$  is not precisely known.

Our second main result proves the optimal rigidity estimate of the spectral radius of  $A$ , as well as the complete delocalization of the eigenvectors.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Fix  $\tau > 0$  and assume  $p \in [N^{-1+\tau}, 1/2]$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$  with  $|\lambda_1| = \max_i |\lambda_i|$ .*

(i) *For any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$\max_{2 \leq i \leq N} |\lambda_i| = 1 + O(N^{-1/2+\varepsilon}) \quad (1.4)$$

*with very high probability.*

(ii) *Suppose  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  satisfies  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| \leq 2$ . Then for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty = O(N^{-1/2+\varepsilon}\|\mathbf{u}\|)$  with very high probability.*

**Remark 1.3.** To simplify the presentation, we assume that all matrix elements of  $A$  have identical variance  $1/N$ . As in [19, 20], one may however easily generalize this condition and require that the diagonal elements of  $A$  vanish. Thus one may for instance consider Erdős-Rényi digraphs in which a vertex cannot link to itself.

The main results imply that the edge statistics of Erdős-Rényi digraphs are very robust: with the simple rescaling (1.1), both the spectral radius and extreme eigenvalue fluctuations coincide with those of the real Ginibre ensemble. The phenomenon that non-Hermitian random matrices have more regular edge statistics than Hermitian matrices has also been observed in the literature. For instance, the convergence of spectral radius of non-Hermitian random matrices only requires the existence of the second moment [6, 9, 10]; in the Hermitian case, in order to have the convergence of the extreme eigenvalues, stronger conditions are needed both for sparse matrices [1, 7, 37] and for matrices with  $\alpha$ -stable entries [31].

## 1.1. Proof outline and new ideas.

**The benefits of cusp singularity.** Comparing to the dense case, the main obstacle in the sparse regime is the slow decay of the higher order terms. Our *first key novelty* is turning another well-known obstacle in non-Hermitian matrices, cusp singularity, to our advantage. This completely eliminates the sparsity contribution near the edge.

More precisely, let  $\tilde{H}_w \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$  be the shifted Hermitization of  $A$  defined in (2.2) below. We study the spectrum  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N\}$  of  $A$  via Girko's Hermitization formula

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i f_{w_*}(\lambda_i) = \frac{i}{4\pi N} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_0^\infty \nabla^2 f_{w_*}(w) \text{Tr}(\tilde{H}_w - i\eta)^{-1} d\eta d^2 w, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  is fixed,  $f_{w_*}(w) := Nf(N^{1/2}(w - w_*))$  and  $|w_*| = 1$ . The main step is thus to analyze the Stieltjes transform of  $H_w$ , namely

$$\tilde{g} := \frac{1}{2N} \text{Tr} \tilde{G}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{G} \equiv \tilde{G}_w(i\eta) := (\tilde{H}_w - i\eta)^{-1}.$$

The quantity  $\tilde{g}$  is expected to be close to a deterministic  $m \equiv m(w, i\eta)$ , where  $m$  is the solution of

$$P(m) := m^3 + 2i\eta m^2 + (1 - \eta^2 - |w|^2)m + i\eta = 0$$

with  $\text{Im} m > 0$ . It can be shown that

$$\tilde{g} - m = O\left(\frac{P(\tilde{g})}{P'(m)}\right),$$

and the key to understanding (1.5) is to get a good estimate of  $P(\tilde{g})$ . Comparing to the bulk case, the local law near the unit circle is known to possess extra difficulties, due to the *cuspl singularity* [2, 17], i.e.

$$P'(m) = 3m^2 + 4i\eta m + (1 - \eta^2 - |w|^2) \asymp |1 - |w|| + \eta^{2/3}.$$

When  $w$  is near the unit circle, the self-consistent equation is highly unstable, which requires a very precise bound of  $P(\tilde{g})$ . The smallness of  $P'(m)$  originates from that of  $m$ , i.e.

$$m = i \text{Im} m = O(|1 - |w||^{1/2} + \eta^{1/3}). \quad (1.6)$$

Our observation here is that the cusp singularity, in particular (1.6), can in fact help us on estimating higher order terms in the sparse regime. For instance, when we compute  $\mathbb{E}P(\tilde{g})$  via cumulant expansion (Lemma 2.2), we get

$$\mathbb{E}P(\tilde{g}) = O(N\mathcal{C}_4(A_{12})\mathbb{E}\tilde{g}^3) + O(N\mathcal{C}_6(A_{12})\mathbb{E}\tilde{g}^5) + \dots + \text{error}, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_k$  denotes the  $k$ -th cumulant (here due to the algebraic structure of the Green function, the terms associated with odd cumulants are always small enough). Thanks to (1.6), we have

$$N\mathcal{C}_4(A_{12})\mathbb{E}\tilde{g}^3 \approx N\mathcal{C}_4(A_{12})m^3 = O\left(\frac{|1 - |w||^{3/2} + \eta}{Np}\right) = O\left(P'(m)\frac{|1 - |w||^{1/2} + \eta^{1/3}}{Np}\right).$$

Thus this term will not affect the estimate of  $\tilde{g} - m$ . In addition, the second term on RHS of (1.7) is even smaller, due to the increasing power of  $\tilde{g}$ . The same type of smallness also occurs when we compute  $\mathbb{E}|P(\tilde{g})|^n$ , which suggests that the fluctuation of  $\tilde{g}$  is also insensitive to the sparsity. As a result, it turns out that the cusp singularity is an *advantage* rather than an *obstacle* when studying sparse non-Hermitian matrices.

Let us make a comparison with the Hermitian case. We denote the Stieltjes transform of  $A^H$  by  $g^H := N^{-1} \text{Tr}(A^H - z)^{-1}$ . It is known that  $g^H$  can be approximated by the Stieltjes transform  $m^H$  of the semicircle density, which satisfies

$$P^H(m^H) := 1 + zm^H + (m^H)^2 = 0, \quad \text{Im} m^H > 0.$$

If we compute  $\mathbb{E}P^H(g^H)$ , we get

$$\mathbb{E}P^H(g^H) = O(N\mathcal{C}_4(A_{12}^H)\mathbb{E}(g^H)^4) + O(N\mathcal{C}_6(A_{12}^H)\mathbb{E}(g^H)^6) + \dots + \text{error}.$$

In the Hermitian case, the real part of the Stieltjes transform is no longer small near the spectral edge. Instead, we have  $|m^H| \asymp 1$ , and  $NC_4(A_{12}^H)\mathbb{E}(g^H)^4 \asymp N^{-1}p^{-1}$ , which is not negligible for small  $p$ . In fact, when  $p$  is close to  $N^{-1}$ , we also observe nonmittable fluctuation terms, and they all needed to be added into  $P^H$  to form a new self-consistent equation of  $g^H$ . We refer the readers to [27, 30] for more details.

Another difficulty in the sparse regime is to utilize the contributions of (more than 2) off-diagonal entries of  $\tilde{G}$ . To this end, we prove a generalized Ward identity (Lemma 3.8), whose proof relies on the fact that the sum of Green functions preserves its form under differentiation (see (3.19)).

By exploring the cusp-singularity and performing careful estimates of  $\mathbb{E}|P|^n$  (Proposition 3.6), it can be shown that near the unit circle, we have

$$|\tilde{g} - m| \leq CN^\varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{N\eta} + \frac{\eta^{1/3}}{Np} \right) \quad (1.8)$$

with very high probability. Thus for  $\eta \leq N^{-3/4}$ , we get the optimal estimate  $|\tilde{g} - m| = O(N^{-1+\varepsilon}\eta^{-1})$  with very high probability, regardless of the value of  $p$ .

**Integration by-parts for the shift variable.** Our *second key novelty* is the use of integration by-parts inside Girko's Hermitization, namely

$$\int \int \nabla^2 f_{w_*}(w) \tilde{g} \, d\eta d^2w = -4 \int \int \partial_{\bar{w}} f_{w_*}(w) \partial_w \tilde{g} \, d\eta d^2w.$$

This is a crucial step in our proof: (1.5) requires the understanding of  $\tilde{g}$  for all  $\eta > 0$ , while the unimprovable bound (1.8) is only sufficient for  $\eta \leq N^{-3/4}$ . In other words, estimates through original Hermitization will be too large for us. To this end, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{N^{-3/4}}^{\infty} \nabla^2 f_{w_*}(w) \tilde{g} \, d\eta d^2w &= -4 \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{N^{-3/4}}^{\infty} \partial_{\bar{w}} f_{w_*}(w) \partial_w \tilde{g} \, d\eta d^2w \\ &= -\frac{2i}{N} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{N^{-3/4}}^{\infty} \partial_{\bar{w}} f_{w_*} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{\eta} \tilde{G}_{i+N,i} \, d\eta d^2w = \frac{2i}{N} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{w}} f_{w_*} \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{G}_{i+N,i}(iN^{3/4}) \, d^2w \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where in the second step we used  $\partial_w \tilde{g} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_i (\tilde{G}^2)_{i+N,i} = \frac{i}{2N} \sum_i \partial_{\eta} \tilde{G}_{i+N,i}$ . We introduce (1.9) basing on two observations. Trivially, as  $\|\nabla^2 f_{w_*}\|_1 = O(N)$  and  $\|\partial_w f_{w_*}\|_1 = O(N^{1/2})$ , the use of integration by parts improves the estimate by a factor of  $N^{-1/2}$ . In addition, we are able to prove that

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{G}_{i+N,i}(iN^{3/4}) + \frac{1+m^2}{w} \right| \leq N^{-1/2+\varepsilon},$$

with very high probability (see Proposition 5.1). As a comparison, the trace only satisfies  $|\tilde{g}(iN^{-3/4}) - m| \leq N^{-1/4+\varepsilon}$ . In other words, after integration by parts, the Green function satisfies a stronger large deviation estimate. The combination these observations allows us to avoid the treatment of  $\tilde{g} - m$  at larger spectral scales.

We remark that the idea of integration by parts inside Girko's Hermitization and estimating the derivatives of the Green function w.r.t. the shift has the potential to be applied to other problems. For instance, *double* integration by parts is later used in [15] to study the distribution of spectral radius in the dense case.

**The non-zero expectation.** Our *third key novelty* is a self-similarity of the self-consistent equations of certain Green functions, which wipes out the effect of large expectations of the matrix entries.

Up to this point, we have not considered the fact that  $A$  has positive expectations, and  $\tilde{H}_w$  is a rank-two perturbation of its centered version  $H_w$  (2.2). As a result, there are in fact additional terms in the estimate of  $P(\tilde{g})$ , e.g.

$$f \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha=N+1}^{2N} \tilde{G}_{i\alpha}, \quad (1.10)$$

(see also (4.35)). As  $f$  is large, it is challenging to eliminate the effect of (1.10) for edge statistics. In fact, even for the Hermitian model (i.e. undirected Erdős-Rényi graphs), the Tracy-Widom law was only obtained for the centered matrix when  $p \leq N^{-2/3}$  [26, 28].

To deal with this problem, we make use of the fact the rank-two perturbation is close to a projection, and this allows us to form new self-consistent equations for (1.10), which yields the desired estimate for the expectation terms (see Proposition 4.3(ii) and Lemma 4.8). The method presented here also applies to the Hermitian case.

**Comparisons with Gaussian models.** The above steps, together with the small ball probability estimate [34], allow one to prove Theorem 1.2 as well as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i f_{w_*}(\lambda_i) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|w| \leq 1} f_{w_*}(w) d^2 w = O(N^\varepsilon)$$

with very high probability. To establish the edge universality, we need to study (1.5) near the critical regime  $\eta \sim N^{-3/4}$  in more detail. To achieve this, we use the approach of Green function flow [14, 32]. Here we again face the issue that  $A$  is not centered. We solve it by using a two-step comparison. More precisely, let  $W$  denote the real Ginibre ensemble. We first compare  $\tilde{H}_w$  and the Hermitization of  $W + \mathbb{E}A$  (Lemma 5.3). We then compare the Hermitizations of  $W$  and  $W' = W + N\mathbb{E}A_{12}(1, 0, \dots, 0)^*(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , and conclude the proof with the fact that  $W + \mathbb{E}A$  and  $W'$  have the same distribution. In the comparison step we also make use of the isotropic estimate Lemma 4.8.

**Organization.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notations used in this paper. In Section 3 we exploit the cusp fluctuation and prove strong local law for  $H_w$  near the edge (Theorem 3.4). In Section 4 we prove the two isotropic type estimates (Proposition 4.3 (ii) and Lemma 4.8). In addition, for  $\tilde{H}_w$ , we obtain entrywise local law in the whole spectrum (Theorem 4.2) and strong local law outside the spectral domain (Proposition 4.6). These results also establish the upper bound in Theorem 1.2 (i) as well as Theorem 1.2 (ii). In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.1. In addition, we prove a local law for  $A$  near the edge (Theorem 5.7), which yields the lower bound of Theorem 1.2 (i).

**Conventions.** Unless stated otherwise, all quantities depend on the fundamental large parameter  $N$ , and we omit this dependence from our notation. We use the usual big  $O$  notation  $O(\cdot)$ , and if the implicit constant depends on a parameter  $\alpha$  we indicate it by writing  $O_\alpha(\cdot)$ . For random variables  $X$  (possibly complex) and  $Y \geq 0$ , we write  $X \prec Y$ , or equivalently  $X = O_\prec(Y)$ , if for any fixed  $\varepsilon, D > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| \geq YN^\varepsilon) = O_{\varepsilon, D}(N^{-D}).$$

We write  $X \asymp Y$  if  $X = O(Y)$  and  $Y = O(X)$ . We write  $X \ll Y$  to mean  $X = O_\varepsilon(YN^{-\varepsilon})$  for some fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We say an event  $\Omega$  holds with overwhelming probability if for any  $D > 0$ ,  $1 - \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = O_D(N^{-D})$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank FromSoftware and Miyazaki Hidetaka for the hospitality in Yharnam.

## 2 Notations and preliminaries

Let  $A$  be defined as in (1.1). For the rest of this paper, we use the parameters

$$q := \sqrt{Np(1-p)} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi := \log_N 2q.$$

We always assume  $\xi \in [\tau/2, 1/2]$  with  $\tau$  defined as in Theorem 1.1. We denote the centered adjacency matrix  $B$  by  $B := A - \mathbb{E}A$ . It is easy to see that

$$A = B + f\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}^*,$$

where  $\mathbf{e} := N^{-1/2}(1, 1, \dots, 1)^*$ , and  $f \asymp q$ . We have  $\text{Var}(B_{ij}) = N^{-1}$  and

$$\mathbb{E}|B_{ij}|^k = O_k(N^{-1}q^{-k+2}) \quad (2.1)$$

uniformly for all  $i, j$  and  $k \geq 3$ .

For  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define the shifted Hermitizations of  $B$  and  $A$  by

$$H_w := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B - w \\ B^* - \bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{H}_w := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A - w \\ A^* - \bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

In addition, we abbreviate

$$H := H_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa := ||w| - 1|. \quad (2.3)$$

For  $z = E + i\eta$  and  $\eta > 0$ , we define the Green functions by

$$G \equiv G_w(z) := (H_w - z)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G} \equiv \tilde{G}_w(z) := (\tilde{H}_w - z)^{-1}. \quad (2.4)$$

In the sequel, we use the convention that the indices satisfy

$$i, j, k, \dots \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots \in \{N+1, \dots, 2N\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}, \dots \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2N\}.$$

As a result,

$$\sum_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N, \quad \sum_\alpha \equiv \sum_{\alpha=N+1}^{2N} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\hat{i}} \equiv \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{2N}.$$

Furthermore, we set  $\hat{i}' = \hat{i} + N \pmod{2N}$ . In particular,  $i' := i + N$  and  $\alpha' := \alpha - N$ . We have the abuse of notations

$$\sum_i f(i, i') \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N f(i, i + N) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_\alpha f(\alpha', \alpha) \equiv \sum_{\alpha=N+1}^{2N} f(\alpha - N, \alpha).$$

We abbreviate

$$\partial_{i\hat{j}} F := \frac{\partial F}{\partial H_{i\hat{j}}}$$

for differentiable functions  $F$  of  $H_w$  and  $\tilde{H}_w$ . It is easy to see that

$$\partial_{i\hat{j}} G_{\hat{k}\hat{l}} = -G_{\hat{k}\hat{i}} G_{\hat{j}\hat{l}} - G_{\hat{k}\hat{j}} G_{\hat{i}\hat{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{i\hat{j}} \tilde{G}_{\hat{k}\hat{l}} = -\tilde{G}_{\hat{k}\hat{i}} \tilde{G}_{\hat{j}\hat{l}} - \tilde{G}_{\hat{k}\hat{j}} \tilde{G}_{\hat{i}\hat{l}} \quad (2.5)$$

whenever  $H_{ij} \neq 0$ . For a square matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$  of any size, we denote its normalized trace by

$$\underline{Q} := M^{-1} \text{Tr } Q. \quad (2.6)$$

Let us denote the limiting density law of  $H^w$  by  $\varrho_w$ . We denote the Stieltjes transform of  $\varrho_w$  at  $z$  by  $m \equiv m(w, z)$ . It satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{m} = z + m - \frac{|w|^2}{z + m}, \quad \text{Im } m > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

We also define

$$\mathbf{m} \equiv \mathbf{m}(w, z) := -\frac{m}{z + m}.$$

The deterministic approximation of  $G$  is defined by  $M \equiv M(w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$ , which satisfies

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} mI_N & w\mathbf{m}I_N \\ \bar{w}\mathbf{m}I_N & mI_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $I_N$  is the identity matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ . The next lemma collects some elementary facts whose proofs we omit.

**Lemma 2.1.** (i) *We have the Ward identity*

$$\sum_i |G_{ij}|^2 = \frac{\text{Im } G_{jj}}{\eta} \leq \frac{|G_{jj} - m| + \text{Im } m}{\eta}. \quad (2.9)$$

(ii) *We have*

$$\sum_i G_{ii} = \sum_\alpha G_{\alpha\alpha}, \quad (2.10)$$

and for  $z = i\eta$ ,

$$G_{i\alpha} = \overline{G_{\alpha i}}, \quad G_{ij} = -\overline{G_{ji}}, \quad \text{and} \quad G_{\alpha\beta} = -\overline{G_{\beta\alpha}}.$$

(iii) *Parts (i) and (ii) remain valid when we replace  $G$  by  $\tilde{G}$ .*

(iv) *For  $z = i\eta$  and  $0 < \eta \leq 1$ , the quantity  $m \equiv m(w, i\eta)$  satisfy*

$$m = i \text{Im } m \asymp \begin{cases} \kappa^{1/2} + \eta^{1/3} & \text{if } |w| \leq 1 \\ \frac{\eta}{\kappa + \eta^{2/3}} & \text{if } |w| > 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

*Cumulant expansion.* Recall that for a real random variable  $h$ , all of whose moments are finite, the  $k$ -cumulant of  $h$  is

$$\mathcal{C}_k(h) := (-i)^k \left( \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \log \mathbb{E}[e^{ith}] \right) \Big|_{t=0}.$$

We shall use a standard cumulant expansion from [16, 23, 29]. The proof was given in e.g. [25, Appendix A].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a smooth function, and denote by  $f^{(k)}$  its  $k$ th derivative. Then, for every fixed  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[h \cdot f(h)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{C}_{k+1}(h) \mathbb{E}[f^{(k)}(h)] + \mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}, \quad (2.12)$$



assuming that all expectations in (2.12) exist, where  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}$  is a remainder term (depending on  $f$  and  $h$ ), such that for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\ell+1} = O(1) \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{|x| \leq |h|} |f^{(\ell+1)}(x)|^2 \cdot \mathbb{E} |h^{2\ell+4} \mathbf{1}_{|h| > t}| \right)^{1/2} + O(1) \cdot \mathbb{E} |h|^{\ell+2} \cdot \sup_{|x| \leq t} |f^{(\ell+1)}(x)|. \quad (2.13)$$

The following result gives bounds on the cumulants of the entries of  $B$ , whose proof follows from (2.1) and the homogeneity of the cumulants.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For every fixed  $k \geq 3$  we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_k(B_{ij}) = O_k(1/(Nq^{k-2}))$$

uniformly for all  $i, j$ .

### 3 Local law for $H_w$ .

In this section, we focus on the centered model  $H_w$ . We shall first prove a weak local law on the whole spectrum, and then establish a strong local law near the spectral edge.

**3.1. Weak local law for  $H_w$ .** For fixed  $\delta > 0$ , we define the domain

$$\mathbf{D}_\delta := \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |w| \leq \delta^{-1}, z = E + i\eta, |E| \leq \delta^{-2}, N^{-1+\delta} \leq \eta \leq \delta^{-1}\}. \quad (3.1)$$

We shall show that the random matrix  $H_w$  satisfies the local density law, which says that its eigenvalue distribution is close to the deterministic  $\varrho_w$  in (2.7), down to spectral scales containing slightly more than one eigenvalue. This local density law is formulated using the Green function, whose individual entries are controlled by large deviation bounds. The detailed statements are as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ . We have*

$$\max_{ij} |G_{ij} - M_{ij}| \prec \frac{1}{(N\eta)^{1/6}} + \frac{1}{q^{1/3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{ij} |G_{ij}| \prec 1 \quad (3.2)$$

uniformly for  $(w, z) \in \mathbf{D}_\delta$ .

The next result is the probabilistic step in showing Theorem 3.1. The proof is a standard process using Lemma 2.2. The proof of a similar result can be found in [25, Theorem 1.5(i)]; we omit the details.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$  and  $\nu \in (0, \delta/100)$ . Let  $(w, z) \in \mathbf{D}_\delta$ . Suppose that  $\max_{ij} |G_{ij} - M_{ij}| \prec \phi$  for some deterministic  $\phi \in [N^{-1}, N^\nu]$  at  $(w, z)$ . Then at  $(w, z)$  we have*

$$\max_{ij} |(HG)_{ij} + \underline{G}G_{ij}| \prec (1 + \phi)^4 \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{N\eta}} + \frac{1}{q} \right) =: \mathcal{E}.$$

Here  $H = H_0$ , as defined in (2.3), and the normalized trace  $\underline{G}$  was defined in (2.6).

Having Proposition 3.2 at hand, Theorem 3.1 then follows from a straightforward stability analysis argument.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Let us denote  $g := \underline{G}$ , and  $\mathbf{g} := N^{-1} \sum_i G_{i'i}$ . Suppose that  $\max_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} |G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} - M_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}| \prec \phi$  at  $(w, z) \in \mathbf{D}_\delta$ . Triangle inequality and (2.11) imply  $\max_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} |G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}| \prec 1 + \phi$ . Proposition 3.2 and the resolvent identity imply that

$$1 + zg + g^2 + wg \prec \mathcal{E} \quad \text{and} \quad zg + gg + \bar{w}g \prec \mathcal{E}. \quad (3.3)$$

Multiplying  $z + g$  and  $w$  on the first and second relation of (3.3) respectively, together with  $|z| = O(1)$  and  $\max_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} |G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}| \prec 1 + \phi$ , we have

$$g^3 + 2zg^2 + (1 + z^2 - |w|^2)g + z \prec (1 + \phi)\mathcal{E}.$$

As  $m$  satisfies  $m^3 + 2zm^2 + (1 + z^2 - |w|^2)m + z = 0$ , by Taylor expansion, we get a cubic equation for  $g - m$ , namely

$$(g - m)^3 + (3m + 2z)(g - m)^2 + (3m^2 + 4zm + 1 + z^2 - |w|^2)(g - m) \prec (1 + \phi)\mathcal{E}. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that  $\text{Im}(3m + 2z) > \text{Im} 2z = 2\eta > 0$ . A simple analysis of the cubic equation (3.4) implies

$$g - m \prec ((1 + \phi)\mathcal{E})^{1/3}. \quad (3.5)$$

To estimate the entries of  $G$ , we can use Proposition 3.2, (3.5), and the resolvent identity to obtain

$$\delta_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} + (z + m)G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} + wG_{i'\hat{j}} \prec (1 + \phi)^2 \mathcal{E}^{1/3}, \quad \delta_{i'\hat{j}} + \bar{w}G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} + (z + m)G_{i'\hat{j}} \prec (1 + \phi)^2 \mathcal{E}^{1/3}. \quad (3.6)$$

We can view (3.6) as a system of linear equations, with unknowns  $G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}$  and  $G_{i'\hat{j}}$ . Note that its determinant satisfies

$$|(z + m)^2 - |w|^2|^{-1} = \left| \frac{m}{z + m} \right| = |\mathbf{m}| = \left| \frac{1 + zm + m^2}{w^2} \right| = O(1),$$

where we use the second and fourth term to get the estimate for the cases  $|w| \leq 1/2$  and  $|w| \geq 1/2$  respectively. Solving (3.6) for  $G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}$  and  $G_{i'\hat{j}}$ , we get

$$\max_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} |G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} - M_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}| \prec (1 + \phi)^2 \mathcal{E}^{1/3} \quad (3.7)$$

at  $(w, z)$ , provided that  $\max_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} |G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} - M_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}| \prec \phi$ . In addition, (3.7) also implies  $\max_{\hat{i}} |G_{\hat{i}\hat{i}}| \prec 1$  at  $(w, z)$ . By a deterministic monotonicity result (see e.g. [8, Lemma 10.2]), one can show that

$$\max_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} |G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}| \prec N^\nu$$

for all  $(w, \tilde{z})$ , where  $\tilde{z} = E + i\tilde{\eta}$ ,  $\tilde{\eta} \in [N^{-\nu}\eta, \eta]$ . Then the proof can be concluded through a standard bootstrap argument (see e.g. [25, Section 4.2]).  $\square$

A straight-forward consequence (see e.g. [2, Corollary 2.4]) of Theorem 3.1 is the following complete eigenvector delocalization of  $H_w$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $w$  satisfy  $|w| \leq \delta^{-1}$  for some fixed  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{2N} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$  be the  $L^2$ -normalized eigenvectors of  $H_w$ . Then  $\max_i \|\mathbf{u}_i\|_\infty \prec N^{-1/2}$ .*

**3.2. Strong local law for  $H_w$  near the spectral edge.** For fixed  $\delta > 0$ , we define the spectral domains near the edge

$$\mathbf{S}_\delta^{(1)} := \{(w, i\eta) \in \mathbb{C} \times i\mathbb{R} : ||w| - 1| \leq N^{-1/2+\delta}, N^{-1+\delta} \leq \eta \leq N^{-3/4+\delta}\} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_\delta^{(2)} := \{(w, i\eta) \in \mathbb{C} \times i\mathbb{R} : 1 + N^{-1/2+\delta} \leq |w| \leq \delta^{-1}, N^{-1+\delta} \leq \eta \leq N^{-3/4+\delta}\}. \quad (3.9)$$

We also set  $\mathbf{S}_\delta := \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \cup \mathbf{S}^{(2)}$ . In other words, we are now only considering the Stieltjes transform of  $H_w$  at  $z = i\eta$ . Applying Corollary 3.3, we can improve (2.9) to

$$\sum_i |G_{ij}|^2 = \frac{\operatorname{Im} G_{jj}}{\eta} \prec \frac{\operatorname{Im} \underline{G}}{\eta} \prec \frac{|\underline{G} - m| + \operatorname{Im} m}{\eta}, \quad (3.10)$$

and as  $m(w, i\eta)$  is purely imaginary, we also have

$$G_{ii} = i \operatorname{Im} G_{ii} \prec |\underline{G}| \leq |\underline{G} - m| + \operatorname{Im} m. \quad (3.11)$$

In sections 3.2 – 3.5 we shall prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ ,  $\nu \in (0, \delta/100)$ . We have the following results.*

(i) *For  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta^{(1)}$ , we have*

$$\underline{G} - m \prec \frac{1}{N\eta}.$$

(ii) *When  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta^{(2)}$ , we have the stronger estimate*

$$\underline{G} - m \prec \frac{1}{N^{1+\nu}\eta}. \quad (3.12)$$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4 is the optimal upper bound on the spectral radius of  $B$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\lambda_1^B, \dots, \lambda_N^B$  be eigenvalues of  $B$ . Then for any fix  $\delta > 0$ , we have*

$$\left( \max_i |\lambda_i^B| - 1 \right)_+ = O(N^{-1/2+\delta})$$

*with very high probability.*

*Proof.* Fix  $\nu \in (0, \delta/100)$ . By Theorem 3.4 (ii) and Lemma 2.1 (iv), together with the fact that  $\underline{G}$  is  $N^3$ -Hölder continuous in the variables  $w$  and  $\eta$ , we get

$$\operatorname{Im} \underline{G} \prec \frac{1}{N^{1+\nu}\eta}$$

simultaneously for all  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta^{(2)}$ . This means for  $1 + N^{-1/2+\delta} \leq |w| \leq \delta^{-1}$ , with very high probability,  $H_w$  has no zero eigenvalue, and  $B - w$  has no zero singular value. Thus with very high probability, no eigenvalue of  $B$  lies in the ring  $\{w : 1 + N^{-1/2+\delta} \leq |w| \leq \delta^{-1}\}$ .

On the other hand, using the moment method, it is not hard to see that  $\|H\| = O(1)$  with very high probability (see e.g. [19, Lemma 4.3] for the proof of a similar result), and thus the spectral radius of  $B$  is also bounded. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

For  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta$ , we denote

$$P(x) \equiv P_{w,\eta}(x) := x^3 + 2i\eta x^2 + (1 - \eta^2 - |w|^2)x + i\eta. \quad (3.13)$$

Clearly from (2.7),  $m$  satisfies  $P(m) = 0$ . The main step in showing Theorem 3.4 is the following strong self-consistent equation of  $H_w$ , where  $w$  is near the spectral edge.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ , and let  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta$ . Denote  $g := \underline{G}$ . Suppose that  $|g - m| \prec \Lambda$  for some deterministic  $\Lambda \in [N^{-1}, N^{-\delta}]$  at  $(w, i\eta)$ . Then at  $(w, i\eta)$  we have*

$$P(g) \prec \frac{(\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m)^2}{N\eta} + \frac{(\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m)^{1/2}}{N^{5/2}\eta^{5/2}} + \frac{(\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m)^{1/2}\kappa^{3/4}}{N\eta} + \frac{\Lambda^3 + (\operatorname{Im} m)^3 + \eta + \eta^{1/3}\kappa}{q^2} + \frac{1}{N\eta^{1/3}} =: \mathcal{E}_1.$$

The next estimate will be useful in the subsequent steps. The proof is a straight-forward application of (2.5), (3.10) and (3.11), and we omit the details here.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let us adopt the assumptions of Proposition 3.6. Then*

$$P'(g) \prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda + \eta + \kappa^{1/2})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad P''(g) \prec \operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda + \eta.$$

For any fixed integer  $r \geq 1$ , we have

$$\partial_{ij}^r P(g) \prec \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda + \eta + \kappa^{1/2})^2 (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)}{N\eta} \prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda + \kappa^{1/2} + \eta) \mathcal{E}_1.$$

In the sequel, we first prove a prior estimate, Lemma 3.8, in Section 3.3. We then prove Proposition 3.6 in Section 3.4. Finally, we deduce Theorem 3.4 from Proposition 3.6 in Section 3.5.

**3.3. A generalized Ward identity.** We have the following generalization of (3.10).

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let us adopt the assumptions of Proposition 3.6. Then*

$$\max_j \frac{1}{N} \sum_i |G_{ij}|^4 \prec \left( \frac{\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m}{N\eta} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{N} =: \mathcal{E}_2. \quad (3.14)$$

*Proof.* Fix an index  $\hat{j}$ . Let us denote  $\mathcal{G} := N^{-1} \sum_i |G_{i\hat{j}}|^4$  and  $\mathcal{G}_* := \max_j N^{-1} \sum_i |G_{ij}|^4$ . Fix a positive integer  $n$ . We shall prove the lemma by showing that

$$\mathbb{E} \mathcal{G}^n \prec \sum_{a=1}^n (\mathcal{E}_2 + N^{-\delta} \Phi)^a \mathbb{E} \mathcal{G}^{n-a} + \Phi \mathcal{E}_2^{1/4} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{G}^{n-5/4}. \quad (3.15)$$

provided  $\mathcal{G}_* \prec \Phi$  for some deterministic  $\Phi \in [N^{-1}, N^4]$ . More precisely, (3.15) and Hölder's inequality imply

$$\mathbb{E} \mathcal{G}^n \prec \sum_{a=1}^n (\mathcal{E}_2 + N^{-\delta} \Phi + \Phi^{4/5} \mathcal{E}_2^{1/5})^a (\mathbb{E} \mathcal{G}^n)^{(n-a)/n}.$$

Since  $n$  is arbitrary, we get  $\mathcal{G} \prec \mathcal{E}_2 + N^{-\delta} \Phi + \Phi^{4/5} \mathcal{E}_2^{1/5}$ . Similarly, we can also show that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_\alpha |G_{\alpha\hat{j}}|^4 \prec \mathcal{E}_2 + N^{-\delta} \Phi + \Phi^{4/5} \mathcal{E}_2^{1/5}.$$

Take the maximum over  $\hat{j}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{G}_* \prec \mathcal{E}_2 + N^{-\delta} \Phi + \Phi^{4/5} \mathcal{E}_2^{1/5} \quad (3.16)$$

provided  $\mathcal{G}_* \prec \Phi$ . Iterating (3.16) yields the desired result.

Let us turn to the proof of (3.15). By the resolvent identity, we have  $\bar{w}G_{ij} = -\delta_{ij} - \eta G_{ij} + (HG)_{ij}$ . As  $|w| \geq 1/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^n &= \frac{1}{N\bar{w}} \sum_i \mathbb{E}G_{ij}G_{ji}^{*2}(-\delta_{ij} - \eta G_{ij} + (HG)_{ij})\mathcal{G}^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N\bar{w}} \sum_i \mathbb{E}G_{ij}G_{ji}^{*2}(HG)_{ij}\mathcal{G}^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(N^{-1})\mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N\bar{w}} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{r!} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(H_{i'k}) \mathbb{E}\partial_{i'k}^r(G_{ij}G_{ji}^{*2}G_{kj}\mathcal{G}^{n-1}) + \mathcal{R}_{\ell+1} + O_{\prec}(N^{-1})\mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where in the first step we used (3.10), and in the second step we used Lemma 2.2.

The second term on RHS of (3.17) is the remainder term. Following a standard argument (e.g. [23, Section 4.3]), one can show that for any fixed  $D > 0$ , there is a fixed  $\ell > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell+1} = O(N^{-D})$ . For the rest of the paper, we shall always assume the remainder term is negligible for large enough  $\ell$ . As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^n &= \frac{1}{N\bar{w}} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{r!} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(H_{i'k}) \mathbb{E}\partial_{i'k}^r(G_{ij}G_{ji}^{*2}G_{kj}\mathcal{G}^{n-1}) + O(N^{-n}) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1})\mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N\bar{w}} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{r_1=0}^r \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{r!} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(H_{i'k}) \binom{r}{r_1} \mathbb{E}\partial_{i'k}^{r_1}(G_{ij}G_{ji}^{*2}G_{kj})\partial_{i'k}^{r-r_1}(\mathcal{G}^{n-1}) + O(N^{-n}) + N^{-1}\mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-1} \\ &=: \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{r_1=0}^r W_{r,r_1} + O(N^{-n}) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1})\mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where in the second step we used Lemma 2.3. Note that by (2.5), we have the estimate

$$\partial_{i'k}^l \mathcal{G} \prec \mathcal{G}_* \prec \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad N^{-2} \sum_{i'k} \mathbb{E}\partial_{i'k}^l(G_{ij}G_{ji}^{*2}G_{kj}) \prec \mathcal{G}_* \prec \Phi \quad (3.19)$$

for all fixed  $l \geq 0$ . Now for fixed  $(r, r_1)$ , let us estimate  $W_{r,r_1}$ . We split into three cases.

*Case 1.* When  $r \geq 2$ , by Lemma 2.3 and (3.19)

$$\begin{aligned} W_{r,r_1} &\prec \frac{1}{N^2 q^{r-1}} \sum_{ik} \mathbb{E}|\partial_{i'k}^{r_1}(G_{ij}G_{ji}^{*2}G_{kj})\partial_{i'k}^{r-r_1}(\mathcal{G}^{n-1})| \\ &\prec \frac{1}{q^{r-1}} \cdot \Phi \cdot \sum_{a=1}^{n \wedge (r+1)} \Phi^{a-1} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-a} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n q^{-a/3} \Phi^a \mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-a} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n (N^{-\delta} \Phi)^a \mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-a}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Here in the last two steps we used  $3(r-1) \geq r+1 \geq a$  and  $q^{1/3} = N^{\xi/3} > N^{10\delta}$  respectively.

*Case 2.* When  $(r, r_1) = (1, 0)$ , by (3.10) and (3.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1,0} &\prec \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{ik} \mathbb{E} \left[ |G_{ij}G_{ji}^{*2}G_{kj}| \cdot \Phi \mathcal{G}^{n-2} \right] \prec \frac{\Phi}{N} \left( \frac{\text{Im } m + \phi}{N\eta} \right)^{1/2} \sum_i \mathbb{E}|G_{ij}G_{ji}^{*2}\mathcal{G}^{n-2}| \\ &\prec \Phi \left( \frac{\text{Im } m + \phi}{N\eta} \right)^{1/2} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-5/4} \leq \Phi \mathcal{E}_2^{1/4} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{G}^{n-5/4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Here in the third step we used Hölder's inequality.

*Case 3.* When  $(r, r_1) = (1, 1)$ , by (2.5) and (3.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1,0} &= -\frac{1}{N^2\bar{w}} \sum_{ik} \mathbb{E} G_{ij} G_{ji}^{*2} G_{i'j} G_{kk} \mathcal{G}^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_2) \mathbb{E} \mathcal{G}^{n-1} \\ &= -\frac{m}{N\bar{w}} \sum_i \mathbb{E} G_{ij} G_{ji}^{*2} G_{i'j} \mathcal{G}^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_2 + N^{-\delta}\Phi) \mathbb{E} \mathcal{G}^{n-1} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_2 + N^{-\delta}\Phi) \mathbb{E} \mathcal{G}^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where in the second step we used Theorem 3.1, and in the third step we used Lemma 2.1 (iv) and Hölder's inequality. Inserting (3.20) – (3.22) into (3.18), we get (3.15) as desired. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**3.4. Proof of Proposition 3.6.** Fix an even integer  $n \geq 2$ , and abbreviate  $P \equiv P(g)$ . Proposition 3.6 follows directly from

$$\mathbb{E}|P|^n \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a} \quad (3.23)$$

and Hölder's inequality. In the sequel, we shall ignore the absolute value on LHS of (3.23), which plays no role in the estimates. More precisely, we will show that

$$\mathbb{E}P^n \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a}. \quad (3.24)$$

By the resolvent identity and Lemma 2.1 (ii), we can split

$$P = (i\eta + g) \left( N^{-1} \sum_i (HG)_{ii} + g^2 \right) - w \left( N^{-1} \sum_i (HG)_{i'i} + gN^{-1} \sum_i G_{i'i} \right) =: (i\eta + g)P_1 + P_2.$$

The estimate (3.24) follows from

$$\mathbb{E}(i\eta + g)P_1P^{n-1} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a} \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}P_2P^{n-1} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a}. \quad (3.26)$$

Comparing to  $P_2$ , the term  $(i\eta + g)P_1$  contains the additional factor  $i\eta + g \prec \text{Im } m + \Lambda$ , which contributes extra smallness to the estimate. As a result, the proof of (3.26) is more involved than that of (3.25). One key idea of showing (3.26) is the cusp fluctuation averaging introduced in [2, 17]. We shall only give detailed steps in showing (3.26), and omit the proof of the simpler case (3.25).

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}P_2P^{n-1} &= -\frac{w}{N} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} H_{i'j} G_{ji} P^{n-1} - \frac{w}{N} \sum_i \mathbb{E} g G_{i'i} P^{n-1} \\ &= -\frac{w}{N} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{r!} C_{r+1}(H_{i'j}) \mathbb{E} \partial_{i'j}^r (G_{ji} P^{n-1}) - \frac{w}{N} \sum_i \mathbb{E} g G_{i'i} P^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1^n) \\ &=: \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} X_r - \frac{w}{N} \sum_i \mathbb{E} g G_{i'i} P^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1^n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

The proof of (3.26) then follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.9.** *We have*

$$X_1 - \frac{w}{N} \sum_i \mathbb{E} g_{i'i} P^{n-1} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a} \quad (3.28)$$

and

$$X_r \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a} \quad (3.29)$$

for  $r = 2, 3, \dots, \ell$ .

In the remaining part of Section 3.4 we prove (3.28) and (3.29) for  $r = 2, 3$ . For  $r \geq 4$ , the estimates of  $X_r$  is easier due to Lemma 3.7, and we omit the details.

**3.4.1. Proof of (3.28).** By  $\mathcal{C}_2(H_{i'j}) = N^{-1}$  and (2.5), we split

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= -\frac{w}{N^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E}(\partial_{i'j} G_{ji}) P^{n-1} - \frac{w(n-1)}{N^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (\partial_{i'j} P) P^{n-2} \\ &= \frac{w}{N} \sum_i \mathbb{E} g_{i'i} P^{n-1} + \frac{w}{N^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{j'i'} G_{ji} P^{n-1} - \frac{w(n-1)}{N^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (\partial_{i'j} P) P^{n-2} \\ &=: \frac{w}{N} \sum_i \mathbb{E} g_{i'i} P^{n-1} + X_{1,1} + X_{1,2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Note that the first term on RHS of (3.30) gives us the cancellation in (3.28). It remains to estimate  $X_{1,1}$  and  $X_{1,2}$ .

*Step 1. The estimate of  $X_{1,1}$ .* In this estimate we make use of the cusp fluctuation averaging, which is contained in the factor  $G_{j'i'}$ . The resolvent identity gives  $wG_{j'i'} = -\delta_{ji} - i\eta G_{ji} + (GH)_{ji}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} X_{1,1} &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_i \mathbb{E} G_{ii} P^{n-1} - \frac{i\eta}{N^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} G_{ij} P^{n-1} + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} (GH)_{ji} G_{ij} P^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} G_{j\alpha} H_{\alpha i} G_{ij} P^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1) \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{ij\alpha} \frac{1}{r!} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(H_{\alpha i}) \mathbb{E} \partial_{\alpha i}^r (G_{j\alpha} G_{ij} P^{n-1}) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1) \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-1} =: \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} X_{1,1,r} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1) \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

where in the second and third steps we used (3.10), (3.11) and Lemma 2.2 respectively. We start to estimate the RHS of (3.31) for the case  $r = 1$ . By (2.5) and (3.10), we can split  $X_{1,1,1}$  into

$$\frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} [(-G_{ji} G_{\alpha\alpha} G_{ij} - G_{j\alpha} G_{ii} G_{\alpha j}) P^{n-1} + (n-1) G_{j\alpha} G_{ij} P^{n-2} (\partial_{\alpha i} P)] + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1) \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-1}. \quad (3.32)$$

By (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$\frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} (-G_{ji} G_{\alpha\alpha} G_{ij} - G_{j\alpha} G_{ii} G_{\alpha j}) P^{n-1} \prec \mathcal{E}_1 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-1}. \quad (3.33)$$

In addition, (3.10) and Lemma 3.7 implies

$$\frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} (n-1) G_{j\alpha} G_{ij} P^{n-2} (\partial_{\alpha i} P) \prec \frac{(\text{Im } m + \Lambda + \kappa^{1/2}) \mathcal{E}_1}{N^3} \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} |G_{j\alpha} G_{ij} P^{n-2}| \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2}. \quad (3.34)$$

Combining (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34) results

$$X_{1,1,1} \prec \mathcal{E}_1 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-1} + \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2}. \quad (3.35)$$

For  $r \geq 2$ , the estimates are similar to those of  $r = 1$ . More precisely,

$$X_{1,1,r} \prec \frac{1}{N^3 q^{r-1}} \sum_{r_1=0}^r \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} \partial_{\alpha i}^{r_1} (G_{j\alpha} G_{ij}) \partial_{\alpha j}^{r-r_1} (P^{n-1}) =: \sum_{r_1=0}^r X_{1,1,r,r_1}. \quad (3.36)$$

When  $r_1 \leq r-1$ , by Lemma 3.7 we have  $\partial_{\alpha j}^{r-r_1} (P^{n-1}) \prec (\text{Im } m + \Lambda + \kappa^{1/2}) \sum_{a=2}^n \mathcal{E}_1^{a-1} |P|^{n-a}$ , and together with (3.10) we get

$$X_{1,1,r,r_1} \prec \frac{\text{Im } m + \Lambda}{N\eta} \cdot (\text{Im } m + \Lambda + \kappa^{1/2}) \sum_{a=2}^n \mathcal{E}_1^{a-1} \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a} \prec \sum_{a=2}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a}. \quad (3.37)$$

When  $r_1 = r$ , by (2.5), we see that in each term of  $\partial_{\alpha i}^{r_1} (G_{j\alpha} G_{ij})$ , there are either three entries of  $G$  that we can apply (3.10), or there are only two entries of  $G$  that we can apply (3.10) but there is at least one diagonal entry of  $G$  that we can estimate by (3.11). As a result,  $\sum_{ij\alpha} \partial_{\alpha i}^{r_1} (G_{j\alpha} G_{ij}) \prec N^3 \mathcal{E}_1$ , and

$$X_{1,1,r,r} \prec \mathcal{E}_1 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-1}. \quad (3.38)$$

Inserting (3.37) and (3.38) into (3.36) we get  $X_{1,1,r} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a}$  for all  $r \geq 2$ . Together with (3.31) and (3.34), we get

$$X_{1,1} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a}. \quad (3.39)$$

*Step 2. The estimate of  $X_{1,2}$ .* By (2.5), we have

$$X_{1,2} = \frac{w(n-1)}{2N^3} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} ((G^2)_{j'j} + (G^2)_{ij'}) P' P^{2n-2}, \quad (3.40)$$

where  $P' = P'(\underline{G})$  and the derivative is on the variable  $\underline{G}$ . By the resolvent identity we have  $\bar{w}(G^2)_{j'j} = -G_{ji} - i\eta(G^2)_{ji} + (G^2 H)_{ji}$ . Together with (3.10) and Lemma 3.7, we get

$$\frac{w}{N^3} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (G^2)_{j'j} P' P^{2n-2} = \frac{w}{N^3 \bar{w}} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (-i\eta(G^2)_{ij} + (G^2 H)_{ji}) P' P^{2n-2} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1^2) \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2}.$$

To estimate the first term on RHS of the above, we apply

$$\sum_j |G_{ji} (G^2)_{ij}| \leq \sum_j |G_{ji} (G^2)_{ij}| \leq ((GG^*)_{ii} (G^2 G^{*2})_{ii})^{1/2} \prec \frac{\Lambda + \text{Im } m}{\eta^2}, \quad (3.41)$$

where in the second step we used the resolvent identity and (3.11). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{w}{N^3} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (G^2)_{j'j} P' P^{2n-2} = \frac{w}{N^3 \bar{w}} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (G^2 H)_{ji} P' P^{2n-2} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1^2) \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2} \\ &= \frac{w}{N^3 \bar{w}} \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (G^2)_{j\alpha} H_{\alpha i} P' P^{2n-2} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1^2) \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2} \\ &= \frac{w}{N^3 \bar{w}} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{ij\alpha} \frac{1}{r!} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(H_{\alpha i}) \partial_{\alpha i}^r (G_{ji} (G^2)_{j\alpha} P' P^{2n-2}) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1^2) \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2} =: \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} X_{1,2,r} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1^2) \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$



Here in the third step we used Lemma 2.2. For fixed  $r \geq 1$ , we have

$$X_{1,2,r} \prec \frac{1}{N^4 q^{r-1}} \sum_{r_1=0}^r \partial_{\alpha i}^{r_1} (G_{ji} (G^2)_{j\alpha}) \partial_{\alpha i}^{r-r_1} (P' P^{2n-2}) =: \sum_{r_1=0}^r X_{1,2,r,r_1}. \quad (3.43)$$

The remaining steps are similar to the estimates of  $X_{1,1,r,r_1}$  in (3.36). More precisely, when  $r_1 \leq r-1$ , by (2.5), (3.10) and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\partial_{\alpha i}^{r-r_1} (P' P^{2n-2}) \prec ((\text{Im } m)^2 + \Lambda^2 + \kappa + \eta^2) \sum_{a=3}^n ((\text{Im } m + \Lambda + \kappa^{1/2}) \mathcal{E}_1)^{a-2} |P|^{n-a} + (\text{Im } m + \Lambda + \kappa^{1/2}) \mathcal{E}_1 |P|^{n-2}$$

Note that each term of  $\partial_{\alpha i}^{r_1} (G_{ji} (G^2)_{j\alpha})$  contains the factor  $G_{jx} (G^2)_{jy}$  or  $G_{jx} G_{jy}$  for some  $x, y \in \{i, \alpha\}$ , and we can estimate it using (3.41) or (3.10) respectively. We get

$$X_{1,2,r,r_1} \prec \sum_{a=2}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a}. \quad (3.44)$$

When  $r_1 = r$ , we can split the terms of  $\partial_{\alpha i}^{r_1} (G_{ji} (G^2)_{j\alpha})$  into two cases. The first case is when the result contains the factor  $G_{jx} (G^2)_{jy}$  for some  $x, y \in \{i, \alpha\}$ . For this factor, we can estimate it using (3.41). In addition, there is at least one off-diagonal entry of  $G$  that we can estimate by (3.10), or one diagonal entry of  $G$  that we can estimate by (3.11). The second case is when the result contain  $G_{jx} G_{jy} (G^2)_{zw}$  for some  $x, y, z, w \in \{i, \alpha\}$ , and we can estimate  $\sum_j G_{jx} G_{jy}$  and  $(G^2)_{zw}$  both by (3.10). Together with Lemma 3.7, we have

$$X_{1,2,r,r} \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2}. \quad (3.45)$$

Inserting (3.43) – (3.45) into (3.42), we obtain

$$\frac{w}{N^3} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (G^2)_{j' i'} P' P^{2n-2} \prec \sum_{a=2}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a},$$

which finishes the estimate of the first term on RHS of (3.40). The estimate of the other term follow in the same fashion. Thus

$$X_{1,2} \prec \sum_{a=2}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a}. \quad (3.46)$$

Inserting (3.39) and (3.46) into (3.30) concludes the proof of (3.28).

**3.4.2. The estimate of  $X_2$ .** Note that  $\mathcal{C}_3(B_{12}) = O(N^{-1} q^{-1})$ , and we split

$$X_2 = -\frac{w}{N} \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_3(H_{i'j}) \mathbb{E} \partial_{i'j}^2 (G_{ji} P^{n-1}) = O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} \partial_{i'j}^{2-r} (G_{ji}) \partial_{i'j}^r (P^{n-1}) =: \sum_{r=0}^2 X_{2,r}. \quad (3.47)$$

We first consider  $X_{2,0}$ . By (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2,0} &= O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} G_{i'j}^2 P^{n-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{jj} G_{i'i} G_{ji} P^{n-1} \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{jj} G_{i'i} G_{i'j} P^{n-1} =: X_{2,0,1} + X_{2,0,2} + X_{2,0,3}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.8, we have  $N^{-1} \sum_i |G_{ij} G_{i'j}^2| \prec \mathcal{E}_2^{3/4}$ , and thus

$$X_{2,0,1} \prec q^{-1} \mathcal{E}_2^{3/4} \prec \mathcal{E}_1 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-1}.$$

By (3.10), (3.11) and Cauchy-Schwarz, we get

$$X_{2,0,2} \prec \frac{1}{N^2 q} N^2 (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2 \left( \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{N \eta} \right)^{1/2} \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-1} \prec \mathcal{E}_1 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-1}.$$

To estimate  $X_{2,0,3}$ , note that we have the resolvent identity  $w G_{i'j} = -\delta_{ij} - i\eta G_{ij} + (HG)_{ij}$ . Together with  $|w|^{-1} \leq 2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2,0,3} &= O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{jj} G_{i'i} (HG)_{ij} P^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1) \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-1} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} G_{jj} G_{i'i} H_{i\alpha} G_{\alpha j} P^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1) \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.2 to the first term on RHS of (3.48) with  $h = H_{i\alpha}$ , and estimate the results by (3.10), (3.11) and Lemma 3.7. This leads to the estimate  $X_{2,0,3} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a}$  as desired. As a result, we have

$$X_{2,0} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a}. \quad (3.49)$$

Now let us consider  $X_{2,1}$ . By (2.5), (3.10), (3.11) and Lemma 3.7, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2,1} &= O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{i'i} G_{jj} (\partial_{i'j} \underline{G}) P' P^{n-2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} G_{ji} (\partial_{i'j} \underline{G}) P' P^{n-2} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{N^3 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{i'i} G_{jj} ((G^2)_{i'j} + (G^2)_{j'i}) P' P^{n-2} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1^2) \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

To estimate the first term on RHS of (3.50), we again use the resolvent identity  $w(G^2)_{i'j} = -G_{ij} - i\eta(G^2)_{ij} + (HG^2)_{ij}$  and  $|w|^{-1} \leq 2$ , which lead to

$$X_{2,1} = O\left(\frac{1}{N^3 q}\right) \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} G_{i'i} G_{jj} H_{i\alpha} (G^2)_{\alpha j} P' P^{n-2} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1^2) \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2} \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a}. \quad (3.51)$$

Here in the second step we used Lemma 2.2 with  $h = H_{i\alpha}$ , and estimate the results by (3.10), (3.11) and Lemma 3.7. The second term on RHS of (3.50) can be estimated in the same fashion. Then let us consider the term  $X_{2,2}$ , which can be split into

$$X_{2,2} = O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (\partial_{i'j}^2 P) P^{n-2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} (\partial_{i'j} P)^2 P^{n-3} =: X_{2,2,1} + X_{2,2,2}.$$

By (2.5), the most dangerous term in  $X_{2,2,1}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} &O\left(\frac{1}{N^3 q}\right) \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{ji} G_{i'i} (G^2)_{jj} P' P^{n-2} \\ &\prec \frac{1}{N^3 q} N^2 \left( \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{N \eta} \right)^{1/2} \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2}{\eta} (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda + \eta + \kappa^{1/2})^2 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2} \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first step we used (3.10), (3.11) and Lemma 3.7. By estimating other terms in  $X_{2,2,1}$  in a similar fashion, we get  $X_{2,2,1} \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2}$ . In addition, by Lemma 3.7 and (3.10), one easily sees that  $X_{2,2,2} \prec \mathcal{E}_1^3 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-3}$ . Thus  $X_{2,2} \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-2} + \mathcal{E}_1^3 \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-3}$ . Together with (3.47), (3.49) and (3.51), we get  $X_2 \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E}|P|^{n-a}$  as desired.

**3.4.3. The estimate of  $X_3$ .** Note that  $\mathcal{C}_4(B_{12}) = O(N^{-1}q^{-2})$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &= -\frac{w}{N} \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{C}_4(H_{i'j}) \mathbb{E} \partial_{i'j}^3 (G_{ji} P^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^3 \sum_{ij} O\left(\frac{1}{N^2 q^2}\right) \mathbb{E} \partial_{i'j}^{3-r} (G_{ji}) \partial_{i'j}^r (P^{n-1}) =: \sum_{r=0}^3 X_{3,r}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

Let us first estimate  $X_{3,0}$ . When we apply three  $\partial_{i'j}$  on  $G_{ji}$ , there will be three types of terms: terms containing only diagonal entries of  $G$ , terms contain two off-diagonal entries of  $G$ , and terms containing four diagonal entries of  $G$ . All these terms can be easily estimated by Lemma 3.8 and (3.11). More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{3,0} &\prec \frac{1}{N^2 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{jj}^2 G_{i'i} G_{i'i'} P^{n-1}| + \frac{1}{N^2 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{i'i} G_{jj} G_{i'j}^2 P^{n-1}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N^2 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{i'i'} G_{jj} G_{i'j} G_{ij} P^{n-1}| + \frac{1}{N^2 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{i'j}^3 G_{ij} P^{n-1}| \prec \mathcal{E}_1 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

Next we estimate of  $X_{3,1}$ . By (2.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{3,1} &\prec \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |\partial_{i'j}^2 (G_{ji}) P' (G^2)_{i'j} P^{n-2}| \\ &\prec \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{jj} G_{i'i'} G_{i'j} P' (G^2)_{i'j} P^{n-2}| + \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{jj} G_{i'i'} G_{ij} P' (G^2)_{i'j} P^{n-2}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{ij} G_{i'j}^2 P' (G^2)_{i'j} P^{n-2}| =: X_{3,1,1} + X_{3,1,2} + X_{3,1,3}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.11) and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{3,1,1} &\prec \frac{(\Lambda + \text{Im } m)((\text{Im } m)^2 + \Lambda^2 + \kappa + \eta^2)}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{i'j} (G^2)_{i'j} P^{n-2}| \\ &\prec \frac{(\Lambda + \text{Im } m)((\text{Im } m)^2 + \Lambda^2 + \kappa + \eta^2)}{N^3 q^2} \frac{N(\text{Im } m + \Lambda)}{\eta^2} \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2} \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the same estimate works for  $X_{3,1,2}$ . In addition, (3.10) and Lemma 3.7 imply

$$X_{3,1,3} \prec \frac{(\Lambda + \text{Im } m)((\text{Im } m)^2 + \Lambda^2 + \kappa + \eta^2)}{N^3 \eta q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{i'j}^2 P^{n-2}| \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2}.$$

As a result,

$$X_{3,1} \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2}. \quad (3.54)$$

Now we estimate  $X_{3,2}$ . By (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{3,2} &\prec \frac{1}{N^2 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |(\partial_{i'j} G_{ji})(\partial_{i'j} P)^2 P^{n-3}| + \frac{1}{N^2 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |(\partial_{i'j} G_{ji})(\partial_{i'j}^2 P) P^{n-2}| \\ &\prec \frac{1}{N^4 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{i'i} G_{jj} (P' (G^2)_{i'j})^2 P^{n-3}| + \frac{1}{N^4 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{j'i'} G_{ji} (P' (G^2)_{i'j})^2 P^{n-3}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{i'i} G_{jj} \partial_{i'j} (P' (G^2)_{i'j}) P^{n-2}| + \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{ji} G_{j'i'} \partial_{i'j} (P' (G^2)_{i'j}) P^{n-2}| \\ &=: X_{3,2,1} + \cdots + X_{3,2,4}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.10), (3.11) and Lemma 3.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_{3,2,1} &\prec \frac{(\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m)(\Lambda^2 + (\operatorname{Im} m)^2 + \kappa + \eta^2)^2}{N^4 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |(G^2)_{i'j}^2 P^{n-3}| \\ &\prec \frac{(\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m)(\Lambda^2 + (\operatorname{Im} m)^2 + \kappa + \eta^2)^2}{N^4 q^2} \frac{N(\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m)}{\eta^3} \mathbb{E} |P^{n-3}| \prec \mathcal{E}_1^3 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-3} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly we get  $X_{3,2,2} \prec \mathcal{E}_1^3 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-3}$ . In addition, by (2.5), (3.10), (3.11) and Lemma 3.7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_{3,2,3} &\prec \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} G_{i'i'} G_{jj} (G_{jj} (G^2)_{i'i'} + G_{i'i'} (G^2)_{jj}) P' P^{n-2} + \mathcal{E}_3^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2} \\ &\prec \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \frac{N^2 (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^3}{\eta} (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda + \eta + \kappa^{1/2})^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2} + \mathcal{E}_3^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2} \prec \mathcal{E}_3^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $X_{3,2,4} \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2}$ . As a result, we get

$$X_{3,2} \prec \mathcal{E}_3^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2} + \mathcal{E}_3^3 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-3}. \quad (3.55)$$

Finally we estimate of  $X_{3,3}$ . By (3.10), (3.41) and Lemma 3.7, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{3,3} &\prec \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{ji} (\partial_{i'j}^3 P) P^{n-2}| + \sum_{a=3}^4 \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a} \\ &\prec \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{ji} \partial_{i'j}^2 (P' (G^2)_{i'j}) P^{n-2}| + \sum_{a=3}^4 \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a} \\ &\prec \frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} |G_{ji} (\partial_{i'j}^2 (G^2)_{i'j}) P' P^{n-2}| + \sum_{a=3}^4 \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

By (2.5), (3.10) and (3.11), the first term on RHS of (3.56) is stochastically dominated by

$$\frac{1}{N^3 q^2} \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E} |G_{ji} [(G^2)_{i'i'} G_{jj} G_{i'j} + (G^2)_{jj} G_{i'i'} G_{i'j} + (G^2)_{i'j} G_{i'j}^2 + (G^2)_{i'j} G_{i'i'} G_{jj}] P' P^{n-2}| \prec \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-2}$$

Inserting the above into (3.56) we get

$$X_{3,3} \prec \sum_{a=2}^4 \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a}. \quad (3.57)$$

Combining (3.53), (3.54), (3.55) and (3.57), we get  $X_3 \prec \sum_{a=2}^4 \mathcal{E}_1^a \mathbb{E} |P|^{n-a}$  as desired. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.9, and thus we conclude the proof of Proposition 3.6.

**3.5. Stability analysis: Proof of Theorem 3.4.** By Proposition 3.6, Taylor expansion and  $P(m) = 0$ , we have

$$(g - m)^3 + (3m + 2i\eta)(g - m)^2 + (3m^2 + 4im\eta + 1 - \eta^2 - |w|^2)(g - m) \prec \mathcal{E}_1.$$

From Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$3m^2 + 4im\eta + 1 - \eta^2 - |w|^2 = 2m^2 + 2im\eta - \frac{i\eta}{m} \asymp (\operatorname{Im} m)^2 + \frac{\eta}{\operatorname{Im} m}.$$

By a standard stability analysis of cubic equations (e.g. [17, Lemma 3.10]) we get

$$g - m \prec \min \left\{ \mathcal{E}_1^{1/3}, \frac{\mathcal{E}_1^{1/2}}{(\operatorname{Im} m + \eta)^{1/2}}, \frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{(\operatorname{Im} m)^2 + \eta / \operatorname{Im} m} \right\}. \quad (3.58)$$

(i) When  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta^{(1)}$ , Lemma 2.1 and (3.58) imply

$$g - m \prec \min \left\{ \mathcal{E}_1^{1/3}, \frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{\kappa + \eta^{2/3}} \right\} = \min_{0 \leq a \leq 1} \mathcal{E}_1^{a/3} \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{\kappa + \eta^{2/3}} \right)^{1-a}. \quad (3.59)$$

In addition,

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \prec \frac{\Lambda^2 + (\operatorname{Im} m)^2}{N\eta} + \frac{\Lambda^{1/2} + (\operatorname{Im} m)^{1/2}}{(N\eta)^{5/2}} + \frac{\Lambda^{1/2} + (\operatorname{Im} m)^{1/2}}{N\eta} \kappa^{3/4} + \frac{\Lambda^3 + (\operatorname{Im} m)^3 + \eta + \eta^{1/3} \kappa}{q^2} + \frac{1}{N\eta^{1/3}},$$

where  $\operatorname{Im} m \prec \kappa^{1/2} + \eta^{1/3}$ . Combining (3.59) and the above, we get

$$g - m \prec \left( \frac{\Lambda^2}{N\eta} \right)^{1/3} + \frac{1}{N\eta} + \left( \frac{\Lambda}{(N\eta)^5} \right)^{1/6} + \frac{1}{N\eta} + \frac{\Lambda^{1/3}}{(N\eta)^{2/3}} + \frac{\Lambda}{q^{2/3}} + \frac{\kappa^{1/2} + \eta^{1/3}}{q^2} + \frac{1}{N\eta}$$

provided that  $g - m \prec \Lambda$ . Iterating the above gives

$$g - m \prec \frac{1}{N\eta} + \frac{\kappa^{1/2} + \eta^{1/3}}{q^2} \prec \frac{1}{N\eta},$$

which is the desired result.

(ii) When  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta^{(2)}$ , Lemma 2.1 and (3.58) imply

$$g - m \prec \min \left\{ \mathcal{E}_1^{1/3}, \frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{\kappa} \right\} = \min \left\{ \mathcal{E}_1^{1/3}, \frac{\mathcal{E}_1^{1/2}}{\kappa^{1/4}}, \frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{\kappa} \right\}. \quad (3.60)$$

In addition, Lemma 2.1 shows  $\operatorname{Im} m = O(\eta/\kappa)$ , and thus

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \prec \frac{\Lambda^2 + (\eta/\kappa)^2}{N\eta} + \frac{\Lambda^{1/2} + (\eta/\kappa)^{1/2}}{(N\eta)^{5/2}} + \frac{\Lambda^{1/2} + (\eta/\kappa)^{1/2}}{N\eta} \kappa^{3/4} + \frac{\Lambda^3}{q^2} + \frac{\eta^3}{q^2 \kappa^3} + \frac{\eta}{q^2} + \frac{\eta^{1/3} \kappa}{q^2} + \frac{1}{N\eta^{1/3}}.$$

Combining (3.60) with the above we get

$$g - m \prec \frac{1}{N^{1+\nu}\eta} + N^{-\nu}\Lambda$$

provided that  $g - m \prec \Lambda$ . By iterating the above we get the desired result.

## 4 Local law of $\tilde{H}_w$ , delocalization and spectral radius of $A$ .

Recall the definition of  $\tilde{G}$  in (2.4).

**4.1. The average law.** We have the following averaged law for  $\tilde{H}_w$ , which is a simple consequence of Theorems 3.1 and 3.4.

**Corollary 4.1.** Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ . Let  $\mathbf{D}_\delta$  and  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$  be defined as in (3.1) and just below (3.9) respectively. The following estimates hold.

(i) We have

$$\underline{\tilde{G}} - m \prec \frac{1}{(N\eta)^{1/6}} + \frac{1}{q^{1/3}}$$

uniformly for  $(w, z) \in \mathbf{D}_\delta$ .

(ii) We have

$$\underline{\tilde{G}} - m \prec \frac{1}{N\eta}$$

uniformly for  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{0} := (0, 0, \dots, 0)^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\mathbf{e} := N^{-1/2}(1, 1, \dots, 1)^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then

$$\tilde{H}_w = H_w + f\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{e} \end{smallmatrix}\right) (\mathbf{e}^* \mathbf{e}^*) - f\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{smallmatrix}\right) (\mathbf{e}^* \mathbf{0}^*) - f\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{e} \end{smallmatrix}\right) (\mathbf{0}^* \mathbf{e}^*) .$$

In other words,  $\tilde{H}_w$  and  $H_w$  differs by three rank-one perturbations. Let  $\rho_w$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_w$  denote the empirical eigenvalue densities of  $H_w$  and  $\tilde{H}_w$  respectively, then Cauchy interlacing theorem implies

$$|\rho_w(I) - \tilde{\rho}_w(I)| \leq \frac{3}{2N}$$

for any  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Thus using integration by parts we have

$$\underline{\tilde{G}} - \underline{G} = \int \frac{\tilde{\rho}_w(x) - \rho_w(x)}{x - i\eta} dx = - \int \frac{\tilde{\rho}_w((-\infty, x]) - \rho_w((-\infty, x])}{(x - i\eta)^2} dx = O(N^{-1}) \int \frac{1}{x^2 + \eta^2} dx ,$$

which implies  $|\underline{\tilde{G}} - \underline{G}| \leq C/(N\eta)$ . The result then follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.4.  $\square$

**4.2. Entrywise law and delocalization.** In this section prove the following entrywise density law for  $\tilde{H}_w$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ , and let  $\mathbf{D}_\delta$  be defined as in (3.1). We have

$$\max_{ij} |\tilde{G}_{ij} - M_{ij}| \prec \frac{1}{(N\eta)^{1/6}} + \frac{1}{q^{1/3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{ij} |\tilde{G}_{ij}| \prec 1$$

uniformly for  $(w, z) \in \mathbf{D}_\delta$ .

To show Theorem 4.2, we need the following probabilistic estimates.

**Proposition 4.3.** Recall the definition of  $H$  in (2.3). Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$  and  $\nu \in (0, \delta/100)$ . Let  $(w, z) \in \mathbf{D}_\delta$ . Suppose that  $\max_{ij} |\tilde{G}_{ij} - M_{ij}| \prec \phi$  for some deterministic  $\phi \in [N^{-1}, N^\nu]$  at  $(w, z)$ .

(i) At  $(w, z)$  we have

$$\max_{ij} |(H\tilde{G})_{ij} + \underline{\tilde{G}}\tilde{G}_{ij}| \prec (1 + \phi)^4 \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{N\eta}} + \frac{1}{q} \right) =: \mathcal{E} .$$

Here  $H = H_0$ , as defined in (2.3).

(ii) Suppose in addition that

$$\max_{\hat{j}} \left| \sum_i \tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}} \right| + \max_{\hat{j}} \left| \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}} \right| \prec \psi$$

for some deterministic  $\psi \in [N^{-1}, N^{1+\nu}]$  at  $(w, z)$ . Then at  $(w, z)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_* &:= \max_{\hat{j}} \left| \sum_i ((H\tilde{G})_{i\hat{j}} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}}) \right| + \max_{\hat{j}} \left| \sum_{\alpha} ((H\tilde{G})_{\alpha\hat{j}} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}}) \right| \\ &\prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)\eta^{-1} + (1 + \phi)^2(|m| + \phi)^2 Nq^{-2} + \psi =: \tilde{\mathcal{E}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Part (i) is essentially identical to Proposition 3.2, whose proof is a standard argument using Lemma 2.2, and we shall omit it and only give the details of part (ii). Fix an even integer  $n \geq 2$  and an index  $\hat{j}$ . Let us denote  $\mathcal{Q} := \sum_i ((H\tilde{G})_{i\hat{j}} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}})$  and suppose  $\mathcal{Q}_* \prec \Psi$  for some deterministic  $\Psi \in [1, N^2]$ . We shall prove our statement by showing that

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{Q}|^n \prec \sum_{a=1}^n (\tilde{\mathcal{E}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2}\Psi^{1/2} + N^{-\delta}\Psi)^a \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{Q}|^{n-a}. \quad (4.1)$$

Indeed, (4.1) implies  $\sum_i ((H\tilde{G})_{i\hat{j}} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}}) \prec \tilde{\mathcal{E}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2}\Psi^{1/2} + N^{-\delta}\Psi$ . Similarly, we also have  $\sum_{\alpha} ((H\tilde{G})_{\alpha\hat{j}} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}}) \prec \tilde{\mathcal{E}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2}\Psi^{1/2} + N^{-\delta}\Psi$ . Since the estimates are uniform in  $\hat{j}$ , we get

$$\mathcal{Q}_* \prec \tilde{\mathcal{E}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2}\Psi^{1/2} + N^{-\delta}\Psi \quad (4.2)$$

provided that  $\mathcal{Q}_* \prec \Psi$ . Iterating (4.2) we get the desired result. As complex conjugates play no roles in our argument, we shall ignore it on LHS of (4.1) and prove

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{Q}^n \prec \sum_{a=1}^n (\tilde{\mathcal{E}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2}\Psi^{1/2} + N^{-\delta}\Psi)^a \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{Q}|^{n-a} \quad (4.3)$$

instead. By Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{Q}^n &= \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E}H_{i\alpha}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1} + \sum_i \mathbb{E}\underline{G}\tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{i\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(H_{i\alpha}) \mathbb{E}\partial_{i\alpha}^r (\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1}) + \sum_i \mathbb{E}\underline{G}\tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1} + (N^{-10n}) \\ &=: \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} Y_r + \sum_i \mathbb{E}\underline{G}\tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1} + (N^{-10n}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

By (2.5) and Lemma 2.1 (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= - \sum_i \mathbb{E}\underline{G}\tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E}\tilde{G}_{\alpha i}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1} + \frac{n-1}{N} \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}}^2\mathcal{Q}^{n-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{n-1}{N} \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}} \sum_k ((H\tilde{G})_{ki} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{ki})\mathcal{Q}^{n-2} - \frac{n-1}{N} \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}}\tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}} \sum_k ((H\tilde{G})_{k\alpha} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{k\alpha})\mathcal{Q}^{n-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-1)}{2N^2} \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\hat{j}}((\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha} + (\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha i}) \sum_k \tilde{G}_{kj}\mathcal{Q}^{n-2} \\ &= - \sum_i \mathbb{E}\underline{G}\tilde{G}_{i\hat{j}}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1} + O_{\prec}((\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)\eta^{-1})\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{Q}|^{n-1} + O_{\prec}((\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)\eta^{-1})\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{Q}|^{n-2} \\ &\quad + O_{\prec}((\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)\eta^{-1}\Psi)\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{Q}|^{n-2} + O_{\prec}((\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)N^{-1}\eta^{-2}\psi)\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{Q}|^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$Y_1 + \sum_i \mathbb{E} \tilde{G} \tilde{G}_{ij} \mathcal{Q}^{n-1} = O_{\prec}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{Q}|^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \Psi) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{Q}|^{n-2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that for  $s \geq 1$

$$\partial_{i\alpha}^s \mathcal{Q} \prec (1 + \phi)^{s-1} \left( \Psi (|\tilde{G}_{ij}| + |\tilde{G}_{\alpha j}|) + \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \phi) \psi}{N \eta} \right), \quad (4.6)$$

and  $\partial_{i\alpha}^s \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \prec (1 + \phi)^{s-1} (|\tilde{G}_{ij}| + |\tilde{G}_{\alpha j}|) (|\tilde{G}_{\alpha i}| + |\tilde{G}_{ii}| + |\tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha}|)$ . Thus Lemma 2.1 (iii) and (2.9) implies

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i\alpha} \partial_{i\alpha}^s \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \prec (1 + \phi)^{s-1} \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \phi}{\eta} + (1 + \phi)^{s-1} \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)^{1/2} (|m| + \phi) N^{1/2}}{\eta^{1/2}}. \quad (4.7)$$

For  $r \geq 2$ , we split

$$Y_r \prec \frac{1}{N q^{r-1}} \sum_{r_1=0}^r \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E} (\partial_{i\alpha}^{r-r_1} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j}) (\partial_{i\alpha}^{r_1} \mathcal{Q}^{n-1}) =: \sum_{r_1=0}^r Y_{r,r_1}. \quad (4.8)$$

When  $r_1 \geq 1$ , by (4.6),  $\partial_{i\alpha}^{r-r_1} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \prec (1 + \phi)^{r-r_1} (|\tilde{G}_{ij}| + |\tilde{G}_{\alpha j}|)$  and (2.9), we get

$$Y_{r,r_1} \prec \frac{(1 + \phi)^{r-1} (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)}{\eta q^{r-1}} \sum_{a=1}^{r \wedge (n-1)} (\Psi + \psi)^a \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{Q}|^{n-1-a} \prec \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi) \eta^{-1} N^{-\delta a} (\Psi + \psi)^a \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{Q}|^{n-1-a}$$

For  $r_1 = 0$ , we get from (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{r,0} &\prec \left( \frac{(1 + \phi)^{r-1} (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)}{\eta q^{r-1}} + \frac{(1 + \phi)^{r-1} (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)^{1/2} (|m| + \phi) N^{1/2}}{\eta^{1/2} q^{r-1}} \right) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{Q}|^{n-1} \\ &\prec \left( \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)}{\eta} + \frac{(1 + \phi) (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)^{1/2} (|m| + \phi) N^{1/2}}{\eta^{1/2} q} \right) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{Q}|^{n-1} \prec \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{Q}|^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

where in the second step we used  $r \geq 2$ , and in the third step we used

$$(1 + \phi) (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)^{1/2} (|m| + \phi) N^{1/2} \eta^{-1/2} q^{-1} \prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi) \eta^{-1} + (1 + \phi)^2 (|m| + \phi)^2 N q^{-2}.$$

Thus

$$Y_r \prec \sum_{a=1}^n (\tilde{\mathcal{E}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2} \Psi^{1/2} + N^{-\delta} \Psi)^a \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{Q}|^{n-a} \quad (4.9)$$

for all  $r \geq 2$ . Inserting (4.5), (4.9) into (4.4), we get (4.3) as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* Fix  $\nu \in (0, \delta/100)$ . Suppose that  $\max_{ij} |G_{ij} - M_{ij}| \prec \phi$  for some deterministic  $\phi \in [N^{-1}, N^\nu]$  at  $(w, z) \in \mathbf{D}_\delta$ . By triangle inequality we get  $\max_{ij} |G_{ij}| \prec 1 + \phi$ . Thus

$$\max_j \left| \sum_i \tilde{G}_{ij} \right| + \max_j \left| \sum_\alpha \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \right| \prec \psi \quad (4.10)$$

for some deterministic  $\psi \in [N^{-1}, N^{1+\nu}]$ . By Proposition 4.3 (ii) and the resolvent identity, we get

$$\sum_i ((HG)_{ij} + \tilde{G}_{ij}) = \delta_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}} + z \sum_i \tilde{G}_{ij} + \tilde{G} \sum_i \tilde{G}_{ij} + w \sum_\alpha \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} - f \sum_\alpha \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \prec \tilde{\mathcal{E}},$$



and thus

$$\sum_{\alpha} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \prec f^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} + \psi) \prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)\eta^{-1}f^{-1} + (1 + \phi)^2(|m| + \phi)^2 Nq^{-2}f^{-1} + N^{-\delta}\psi.$$

Here in the last step we used  $f \asymp N^{\xi}$ . Similarly, we get the same estimate for  $\sum_i \tilde{G}_{ij}$ . As the last estimates hold uniformly in  $\hat{j}$ , we get

$$\max_{\hat{j}} \left| \sum_i \tilde{G}_{ij} \right| + \max_{\hat{j}} \left| \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \right| \prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)\eta^{-1}f^{-1} + (1 + \phi)^2(|m| + \phi)^2 Nq^{-2}f^{-1} + N^{-\delta}\psi \quad (4.11)$$

provided (4.10) holds. Iterating (4.11) we get

$$\max_{\hat{j}} \left| \sum_i \tilde{G}_{ij} \right| + \max_{\hat{j}} \left| \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \right| \prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \phi)\eta^{-1}f^{-1} + (1 + \phi)^2(|m| + \phi)^2 Nq^{-2}f^{-1} \quad (4.12)$$

at  $(w, z)$ . By Proposition 4.3 (i) and resolvent identity, we get

$$\max_{ij} |\delta_{ij} + z\tilde{G}_{ij} + \underline{\tilde{G}}\tilde{G}_{ij} + wG_{i'j}| \prec \mathcal{E} + \max_{\hat{j}} \left| \sum_{\alpha} \frac{f}{N} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \right| \prec \mathcal{E}$$

at  $(w, z)$ . Similarly,  $\max_{\alpha j} |\delta_{\alpha j} + z\tilde{G}_{\alpha j} + \underline{\tilde{G}}\tilde{G}_{\alpha j} + \bar{w}G_{\alpha'j}| \prec \mathcal{E}$  at  $(w, z)$ . The rest of the proof follows exactly as the steps in the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Basing on Theorem 4.2, have the following delocalization result.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $|w| \leq \delta^{-1}$  for some fixed  $\delta > 0$ . We denote the eigenvalues and corresponding  $L^2$ -normalized eigenvectors of  $\tilde{H}_w$  by  $\pm\sigma_1, \dots, \pm\sigma_N$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \pm\mathbf{w}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_N \\ \pm\mathbf{w}_N \end{pmatrix}$  respectively. Here  $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{w}_i \in \mathbb{C}^N$  for all  $i$ . Then*

$$\max_i \|\mathbf{v}_i\|_{\infty} + \max_i \|\mathbf{w}_i\|_{\infty} \prec N^{-1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* W.L.O.G assume  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N \geq 0$  and  $\sigma_1 = \max_i \sigma_i$ . Using the moment method, it is not hard to show that  $\|H_w\| = O(1)$  with very high probability (see e.g. [19, Lemma 4.3] for the proof of a similar result). Thus an application of Bauer-Fike Theorem shows that with very high probability

$$|\sigma_1 - f| = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{2 \leq i \leq N} |\sigma_i| = O(1).$$

Hence Theorem 4.2 and a spectral decomposition implies

$$\max_{i \geq 2} \|\mathbf{v}_i\|_{\infty} + \max_{i \geq 2} \|\mathbf{u}_i\|_{\infty} \prec N^{-1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{0} := (0, 0, \dots, 0)^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\mathbf{e} := N^{-1/2}(1, 1, \dots, 1)^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . In addition, set  $\mathbf{x} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{y} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{e} \end{pmatrix}$ . The identity  $\tilde{H}_w = H_w + f\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^* + f\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^*$  yields

$$\sigma_1 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}_1 \end{pmatrix} = H_w \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}_1 \end{pmatrix} + f(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{w}_1)\mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{v}_1)\mathbf{y}.$$

As  $\sigma_1$  is not in the spectrum of  $H_w$  with very high probability, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}_1 \end{pmatrix} = f\sigma_1^{-1}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{w}_1)(I - \sigma_1^{-1}H_w)^{-1}\mathbf{x} + f\sigma_1^{-1}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{v}_1)(I - \sigma_1^{-1}H_w)^{-1}\mathbf{y}. \quad (4.13)$$

Using an argument similar to [19, Lemma 7.10], it can be shown that

$$(I - \sigma_1^{-1}H_w)^{-1}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (I - \sigma_1^{-1}H_w)^{-1}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2, \quad (4.14)$$

where  $\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1\|_\infty, \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2\|_\infty \prec N^{-1/2}\sigma_1^{-1}$ . As  $\sigma_1 \asymp f$  with very high probability, we get from (4.13) and (4.14) that

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = (\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{w}_1)\mathbf{e} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}_1 = (\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{v}_1)\mathbf{e} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_4,$$

where  $\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_3\|_\infty, \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_4\|_\infty \prec N^{-1/2}f^{-1}$ . Thus  $\|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{e}/\sqrt{2}\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{e}/\sqrt{2}\|_\infty \prec N^{-1/2}f^{-1}$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

To prove delocalization Theorem 1.2 (ii), we also need the following prior estimate on the extreme eigenvalues of  $A$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$  with  $|\lambda_1| = \max_i |\lambda_i|$ . Then with very high probability,*

$$|\lambda_1 - f| = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{2 \leq i \leq N} |\lambda_i| = O(1).$$

*Proof.* Recall the definition of  $H$  in (2.3). Using the moment method, it is not hard to see that  $\|H\| = O(1)$  with very high probability. Thus  $\|B\| = O(1)$  with very high probability. The result then follows easily from the Bauer-Fike theorem.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii).* Let us use the normalization that  $\|\mathbf{u}\| = 1$ . Set  $\mathbf{0} := (0, 0, \dots, 0)^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . It is easy to see that 0 is an eigenvalue of  $\tilde{H}_\lambda$ , with eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ . As Lemma 4.5 shows that  $\max_{2 \leq i \leq N} |\lambda_i| = O(1)$  with very high probability, we can easily deduce from Theorem 4.2 and spectral decomposition that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_\infty \prec N^{-1/2}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**4.3. The spectral radius of  $A$ .** Recall the definition of  $\mathbf{S}_\delta^{(2)}$  in (3.9). The main goal of this section is to prove the following improvement of Corollary 4.1 outside the unit disc.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$  and  $\nu \in (0, \delta/100)$ . We have*

$$\tilde{G} - m \prec \frac{1}{N^{1+\nu}\eta}$$

*uniformly for all  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta^{(2)}$*

From Lemma 4.5, we know that  $A$  has a nontrivial eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  that satisfies  $|\lambda_1 - f| = O(1)$  with very high probability. Moreover, Proposition 4.6 implies that for any fixed  $\delta > 0$ , with very high probability,  $A$  has no eigenvalues in the ring  $\{w : 1 + N^{-1/2+\delta} \leq |w| \leq \delta^{-1}\}$ . Thus we deduce the following upper bound in Theorem 1.2 (i).

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$  with  $|\lambda_1| = \max_i |\lambda_i|$ . We have*

$$\max_{2 \leq i \leq N} |\lambda_i| \leq 1 + O_{\prec}(N^{-1/2}).$$

Similar to (3.10) and (3.11), we can apply Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 to improve (2.9) to

$$\sum_i |\tilde{G}_{ij}|^2 = \frac{\text{Im } \tilde{G}_{jj}}{\eta} \prec \frac{\text{Im } \underline{G}}{\eta} \prec \frac{|\underline{G} - m| + \text{Im } m}{\eta}, \quad (4.15)$$

and we also have

$$\tilde{G}_{ii} \prec |\underline{G}| \leq |\underline{G} - m| + \text{Im } m. \quad (4.16)$$

The next result is the key in showing Proposition 4.6.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ , and let  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta$ . Denote  $g := \underline{G}$ . Suppose that  $|g - m| \prec \Lambda$  for some deterministic  $\Lambda \in [N^{-1}, N^{-1}\eta^{-1}]$  at  $(w, i\eta)$ . Then at  $(w, \eta)$  we have*

$$\max_j \left| \sum_i \tilde{G}_{ij} \right| + \max_j \left| \sum_\alpha \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \right| \prec \frac{\text{Im } m + \Lambda}{f\eta} \quad (4.17)$$

as well as

$$\mathcal{K} := \left| \sum_{ij} \tilde{G}_{ij} \right| + \left| \sum_{i\alpha} \tilde{G}_{i\alpha} \right| + \left| \sum_{\alpha i} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i} \right| + \left| \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta} \right| \prec (\text{Im } m + \Lambda)\eta^{-2}f^{-2} + Nf^{-1}. \quad (4.18)$$

*Proof.* (i) We first prove (4.17). By Theorem 4.2, the LHS of (4.17) is stochastically dominated by  $N$ . Now suppose

$$\max_j \left| \sum_i \tilde{G}_{ij} \right| + \max_j \left| \sum_\alpha \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \right| \prec \psi$$

for some deterministic  $\psi \in [N^{-1}, N]$  at  $(w, i\eta)$ . We can repeat the proof of Proposition 4.3 (ii), using (4.15) instead of (2.9), and together with the help of (4.16), to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_* &:= \max_j \left| \sum_i ((H\tilde{G})_{ij} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{ij}) \right| + \max_j \left| \sum_\alpha ((H\tilde{G})_{\alpha j} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{\alpha j}) \right| \\ &\prec (\text{Im } m + \Lambda)\eta^{-1} + (\text{Im } m + \Lambda)^2 Nq^{-2} + \psi \prec (\text{Im } m + \Lambda)\eta^{-1} + \psi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Here in the last step we used Lemma 2.1 (v). The rest of the proof is very close to the derivation of (4.12); we omit the details. Note that (4.17) and (4.19) also implies

$$\mathcal{Q}_* \prec (\text{Im } m + \Lambda)\eta^{-1}. \quad (4.20)$$

(ii) Now we prove (4.18). Suppose at  $(w, i\eta)$  we have  $\mathcal{K} \prec \varphi$  for some deterministic  $\varphi \in [1, N^2]$ . We shall show (4.18) by proving that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_* &:= \left| \sum_{ij} ((H\tilde{G})_{ij} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{ij}) \right| + \left| \sum_{i\alpha} ((H\tilde{G})_{i\alpha} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{i\alpha}) \right| + \left| \sum_{\alpha i} ((H\tilde{G})_{\alpha i} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{\alpha i}) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{\alpha\beta} ((H\tilde{G})_{\alpha\beta} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta}) \right| \prec (\text{Im } m + \Lambda)\eta^{-2}f^{-1} + N + \varphi =: \hat{\mathcal{E}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Indeed, as the resolvent identity gives

$$\sum_{ij} ((H\tilde{G})_{ij} + \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{ij}) = N + i\eta \sum_{ij} \tilde{G}_{ij} + \sum_{ij} \underline{G}\tilde{G}_{ij} + w \sum_{\alpha j} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i} - f \sum_{\alpha j} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i} \prec \hat{\mathcal{E}},$$

which implies

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha j} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \right| \prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda) \eta^{-2} f^{-2} + N f^{-1} + f^{-1} \varphi.$$

The same estimate applies to the other three terms in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{K} \prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda) \eta^{-2} f^{-2} + N f^{-1} + f^{-1} \varphi \quad (4.22)$$

provided that  $\mathcal{K} \prec \varphi$ . Iterating (4.23) we get the desired result.

Now suppose  $\mathcal{S}_* \prec \widehat{\Psi}$  for some deterministic  $\widehat{\Psi} \in [1, N^3]$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} := \sum_{ij} ((HG)_{ij} + \underline{\tilde{G}} \tilde{G}_{ij})$ , and fix an even integer  $n \geq 2$ . We shall prove (4.21) by showing that

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^n \prec \sum_{a=1}^n (\widehat{\mathcal{E}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2} \widehat{\Psi}^{1/2} + N^{-\delta} \widehat{\Psi})^n \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-a}. \quad (4.23)$$

Indeed, (4.23) implies that  $|\sum_{ij} ((HG)_{ij} + \underline{\tilde{G}} \tilde{G}_{ij})| \prec \widehat{\mathcal{E}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2} \widehat{\Psi}^{1/2} + N^{-\delta} \widehat{\Psi}$ . Then by estimating other three terms in  $\mathcal{S}_*$  in a similar fashion, we get

$$\mathcal{S}_* \prec \widehat{\mathcal{E}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2} \widehat{\Psi}^{1/2} + N^{-\delta} \widehat{\Psi} \quad (4.24)$$

provided that  $\mathcal{S}_* \prec \widehat{\Psi}$ . Iterating (4.24) finitely many time we get (4.21) as desired. Moreover, as complex conjugates play no role in the subsequent analysis, we shall ignore it on LHS of (4.23) and prove

$$\mathbb{E} \mathcal{S}^n \prec \sum_{a=1}^n (\widehat{\mathcal{E}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2} \widehat{\Psi}^{1/2} + N^{-\delta} \widehat{\Psi})^n \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-a} \quad (4.25)$$

instead. By Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{S}^n &= \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(H_{i\alpha}) \mathbb{E} \partial_{i\alpha}^r (\tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \mathcal{S}^{n-1}) + \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} \underline{\tilde{G}} \tilde{G}_{ij} \mathcal{S}^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(N^{-10n}) \\ &=: \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} Z_r + \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} \underline{\tilde{G}} \tilde{G}_{ij} \mathcal{S}^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(N^{-10n}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

By (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= - \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} \underline{\tilde{G}} \tilde{G}_{ij} \mathcal{S}^{n-1} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \mathcal{S}^{n-1} + (n-1) \sum_{jl\alpha} \mathbb{E} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \tilde{G}_{\alpha l} \mathcal{S}^{n-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{n-1}{N} \sum_{jl\alpha} \mathbb{E} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \tilde{G}_{\alpha l} \sum_{ik} ((H\tilde{G})_{ki} + \underline{\tilde{G}} \tilde{G}_{ki}) \mathcal{S}^{n-2} - \frac{n-1}{N} \sum_{ijl\alpha} \mathbb{E} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \tilde{G}_{il} \sum_k ((H\tilde{G})_{k\alpha} + \underline{\tilde{G}} \tilde{G}_{k\alpha}) \mathcal{S}^{n-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{2(n-1)}{N^2} \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} ((\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha} + (\tilde{G}_{\alpha i}^2)) \sum_{kl} \tilde{G}_{kl} \mathcal{S}^{n-2} \\ &= - \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} \underline{\tilde{G}} \tilde{G}_{ij} \mathcal{S}^{n-1} + O_{\prec}((\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2 f^{-2} \eta^{-2}) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(N(\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2 f^{-2} \eta^{-2}) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-2} \\ &\quad + O_{\prec}((\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2 f^{-2} \eta^{-2} \widehat{\Psi}) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-2} + O_{\prec}((\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda) f^{-1} \eta^{-1} \varphi (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda) \eta^{-1}) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-2} \\ &\quad + O_{\prec}((\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2 f^{-1} \eta^{-2} \varphi) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second step we used (4.17), (4.20), and  $\mathcal{K} \prec \varphi$ . Thus

$$Z_1 + \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} \tilde{G} \tilde{G}_{ij} \mathcal{S}^{n-1} = O_{\prec}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-1} + O_{\prec}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}^2 + \hat{\mathcal{E}} \hat{\Psi}) \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-2}. \quad (4.27)$$

For  $r \geq 2$ , we have

$$Z_r \prec \frac{1}{Nq^{r-1}} \sum_{r_1=0}^r \sum_{ij\alpha} \mathbb{E}(\partial_{i\alpha}^{r-r_1} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j})(\partial_{i\alpha}^{r_1} \mathcal{S}^{n-1}) =: \sum_{r_1=0}^r Y_{r,r_1}.$$

Note that for  $s \geq 1$ , by (4.17) and (4.20),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{i\alpha}^s \mathcal{S} &\prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2 f^{-1} \eta^{-2} + (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda) f^{-1} \eta^{-1} + \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)}{N\eta} (\varphi + (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2 f^{-2} \eta^{-2}) \\ &\prec (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda) \hat{\mathcal{E}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha j} \partial_{i\alpha}^s G_{\alpha j} \prec \left( \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{f\eta} \right) \left( \sqrt{\frac{N(\operatorname{Im} + \Lambda)}{\eta}} + N(\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda) \right) \prec N^\delta \hat{\mathcal{E}}. \quad (4.29)$$

From (4.29) we know

$$Z_{r,0} \prec \frac{1}{q^{r-1}} \hat{\mathcal{E}} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-1} \prec \hat{\mathcal{E}} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-1}.$$

By (4.17) and (4.28) we have

$$Z_{r,r_1} \prec \frac{N}{q^{r-1}} \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{f\eta} (\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda) \sum_{a=1}^{(n-1) \wedge r} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^a \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-1-a} \prec \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^a \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-1-a}.$$

for all  $r_1 \geq 1$ . Combining the above two result we have  $Z_r \prec \sum_{a=0}^n \hat{\mathcal{E}}^a \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}|^{n-a}$  for all  $r \geq 2$ . Together with (4.27), we get (4.18) as desired. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

Proposition 4.6 now follows immediately from the following analogue of Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.8, together with the stability analysis presented in Section 3.5.

**Lemma 4.9.** *Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ , and let  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta$ . Denote  $\tilde{g} := \tilde{G}$ . Suppose that  $|\tilde{g} - m| \prec \Lambda$  for some deterministic  $\Lambda \in [N^{-1}, N^{-1}\eta^{-1}]$  at  $(w, i\eta)$ . Then at  $(w, \eta)$  we have*

$$\max_j \frac{1}{N} \sum_i |\tilde{G}_{ij}|^4 \prec \left( \frac{\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m}{N\eta} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{N} \quad (4.30)$$

and

$$P(\tilde{g}) \prec \frac{(\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m)^2}{N\eta} + \frac{(\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m)^{1/2}}{N^{5/2}\eta^{5/2}} + \frac{(\Lambda + \operatorname{Im} m)^{1/2}\kappa^{3/4}}{N\eta} + \frac{\Lambda^3 + (\operatorname{Im} m)^3 + \eta + \eta^{1/3}\kappa}{q^2} + \frac{1}{N}. \quad (4.31)$$

*Proof.* Observe that the main difference between the proofs of (4.30), (4.31) and those of Lemma 3.8, Proposition 3.6 is the use of resolvent identity. More precisely, Green function  $G$  satisfies

$$\delta_{ij} + i\eta G_{ij} - (HG)_{ij} + wG_{ij} = 0,$$

while for the Green function  $\tilde{G}$ , we have

$$\delta_{ij} + i\eta\tilde{G}_{ij} - (H\tilde{G})_{ij} + w\tilde{G}_{i'j} = \frac{f}{N} \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j}. \quad (4.32)$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.8, we have a sufficient estimate of the RHS of (4.32), which leads to

$$\delta_{ij} + i\eta\tilde{G}_{ij} - (H\tilde{G})_{ij} + w\tilde{G}_{i'j} \prec \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{N\eta}. \quad (4.33)$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\delta_{\alpha j} + i\eta\tilde{G}_{\alpha j} - (H\tilde{G})_{\alpha j} + \bar{w}\tilde{G}_{\alpha'j} \prec \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{N\eta}, \quad (4.34)$$

and

$$1 + i\eta\tilde{G} - \underline{H}\tilde{G} + \frac{w}{N} \sum_i \tilde{G}_{i'i} = \frac{f}{N^2} \sum_{i\alpha} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i} \prec \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{N^2\eta^2} + \frac{1}{N}, \quad (4.35)$$

as well as

$$\frac{i\eta}{N} \sum_{i'} \tilde{G}_{i'i} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (H\tilde{G})_{i'i} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (H\tilde{G})_{i'i} + \bar{w}\underline{G} \prec \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{N^2\eta^2} + \frac{1}{N}. \quad (4.36)$$

Using (4.33) – (4.36), the proofs (4.30) and (4.31) are essentially identical to those of Lemma 3.8 and Proposition 3.6 respectively; we omit the details.  $\square$

## 5 Edge universality of $A$

To start with, we prove the following estimate.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ . We have*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i \tilde{G}_{i'i} + \frac{1+m^2}{w} \prec \frac{1}{N^2\eta^2} + \frac{1}{N\eta^{2/3}}$$

uniformly for  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta$ .

*Proof.* From Corollary 4.1, we know that  $|\tilde{G} - m| \prec N^{-1}\eta^{-1} =: \Lambda$ . Fix an even integer  $n$ . Using Lemma 2.2 and a argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.6, it is not hard to get the recursive estimate

$$\mathbb{E}|\underline{H}\tilde{G} + \tilde{G}^2|^n \prec \sum_{a=1}^n \left( \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{N\eta} + \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^{1/2}}{(N\eta)^{3/2}} + \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2}{q^2} + \frac{1}{N\eta^{2/3}} \right)^a \mathbb{E}|\underline{H}\tilde{G} + \tilde{G}^2|^{n-a}. \quad (5.1)$$

In fact, (5.1) is much easier to prove than (3.23), as it does not require the exploration of cusp fluctuation. By (5.1) and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\underline{H}\tilde{G} + \tilde{G}^2 \prec \frac{\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda}{N\eta} + \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^{1/2}}{(N\eta)^{3/2}} + \frac{(\operatorname{Im} m + \Lambda)^2}{q^2} \frac{1}{N\eta^{2/3}} \leq \frac{C}{N^2\eta^2} + \frac{C}{N\eta^{2/3}}. \quad (5.2)$$

The resolvent identity, Corollary 4.1 and Lemma 4.8 imply

$$\underline{H}\tilde{G} + \tilde{G}^2 = 1 + i\eta\tilde{G} + \tilde{G}^2 + \frac{w}{N} \sum_i \tilde{G}_{i'i} - \frac{f}{N^2} \sum_{\alpha i} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i} = 1 + m^2 + \frac{w}{N} \sum_i \tilde{G}_{i'i} + O_{\prec} \left( \frac{1}{N^2\eta^2} + \frac{1}{N\eta^{2/3}} \right).$$

Combining the above with (5.2) and  $|w|^{-1} \leq 2$ , we conclude the proof.  $\square$

**5.1. Matrix flows.** Let  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  denote the real Ginibre ensemble, i.e.  $W_{ij} (1 \leq i, j \leq N)$  are i.i.d. with  $W_{ij} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/N)$ . We also assume  $W$  and  $A$  are independent. Denote

$$B(t) := e^{-t/2}B + \sqrt{1 - e^{t/2}}W \quad \text{and} \quad A(t) := B(t) + f\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}^*$$

for any  $t \in [0, \infty]$ . It is easy to see that  $A(0) = A$  and  $A(\infty) = W + f\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}^*$ . Accordingly, for  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\eta > 0$ , we define the Hermitization of  $A(t)$  and its Green function by

$$\tilde{H}_w(t) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A(t) - w \\ A^*(t) - \bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G}_w(t; \eta) := (\tilde{H}_w(t) - i\eta)^{-1}$$

respectively. In addition,

$$\tilde{H}(t) := \tilde{H}_0(t), \quad H(t) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B(t) \\ B^*(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{ij}F := \frac{\partial F}{\partial H_{ij}(t)}$$

for differentiable functions  $F$  of  $\tilde{H}_w(t)$ . It is easy to check that

$$\partial_{ij}\tilde{G}(t)_{\hat{k}\hat{l}} = -\tilde{G}_{\hat{k}\hat{i}}(t)\tilde{G}_{\hat{j}\hat{l}}(t) - \tilde{G}_{\hat{k}\hat{j}}(t)\tilde{G}_{\hat{i}\hat{l}}(t). \quad (5.3)$$

Note that the entries of  $B(t)$  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}B_{ij}(t) = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(B_{ij}(t)) = N^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_k(B_{ij}(t)) = O_k(1/(Nq^{k-2}))$  for all fixed  $k \geq 3$ . As a result, everything we have proved so far for  $\tilde{G}$ , we can repeat exactly the same proof for  $\tilde{G}(t)$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $t \in [0, \infty]$ . All results stated in Section 4 concerning  $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}(0)$  also hold for  $\tilde{G}(t)$ .*

Next we would like to control the number of eigenvalues of  $\tilde{H}_w$  with size less than  $N^{-3/4}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *For  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta^{(1)}$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{d\tilde{G}(t)}{dt} \prec N^{10\delta} \left( \frac{\eta^{1/3}}{e^{t/2}q} + \frac{1}{e^{t/2}N\eta q} \right) =: \mathcal{E}_4 \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{d|\tilde{G}(t) - \tilde{G}(\infty)|^2}{dt} \prec N^{10\delta} \left( \frac{1}{e^{t/2}N\eta^{2/3}q} + \frac{1}{e^{t/2}N^2\eta^2q} \right) \quad (5.5)$$

for all  $t \in [0, \infty]$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity we shall not write the parameter  $t$  in  $\tilde{G}$ . Note that

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{d\tilde{G}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E} \dot{A}_{\alpha i}(t) (\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha} - \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E} \dot{A}_{i\alpha}(t) (\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha i}. \quad (5.6)$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E} \dot{A}_{\alpha i}(t) (\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha} \\ &= \frac{e^{-t/2}}{4N} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E} B_{\alpha i} (\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha} - \frac{e^{-t}}{4N\sqrt{1 - e^{-t}}} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E} W_{\alpha i} (G^2)_{i\alpha} \\ &= \frac{e^{-t/2}}{4N} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\alpha i} \frac{1}{r!} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(B_{\alpha i}) e^{-r/2} \mathbb{E} \partial_{\alpha i}^r (\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha} - \frac{e^{-t}}{4N^2} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E} \partial_{\alpha i} (\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha} + O(e^{-r/2}N^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{e^{-t/2}}{4N} \sum_{r=2}^{\ell} \sum_{\alpha i} \frac{1}{r!} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(B_{\alpha i}) e^{-r/2} \mathbb{E} \partial_{\alpha i}^r (\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha} + O(e^{-r/2}N^{-1}) =: \sum_{r=2}^{\ell} U_r + O(e^{-r/2}N^{-1}). \quad (5.7) \end{aligned}$$

When  $r = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_2 &= O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ii} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i} + O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{ii} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i} \\
&\quad + O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha i} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i}^2 + O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha i} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ii} \\
&\quad + O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-1}) \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha i} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ii} =: U_{2,1} + U_{2,2} + U_{2,3} + U_{2,4} + U_{2,5}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the resolvent identity  $\bar{w}\tilde{G}_{\alpha i} = (\tilde{G}H)_{\alpha i'} + fN^{-1} \sum_j \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} - \delta_{\alpha i'} - i\eta\tilde{G}_{\alpha i'}$  and  $|w|^{-1} \leq 2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{2,1} &= O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha ij} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ii} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} H_{ji'} + O(e^{-t}N^{-3}q^{-1}f) \sum_{\alpha ij} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ii} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \\
&= O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha ij} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ii} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} H_{ji'} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_4).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Here in the second step we used

$$\sum_j \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \prec \frac{\text{Im } m + 1/(N\eta)}{\eta f},$$

which is deduced from Lemmas 4.8 and 5.2. We then expand the first term on RHS of (5.8) by Lemma 2.2, and estimate the results by (4.15), (4.16) and Lemmas 4.8 and 5.2. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{2,1} &= O(e^{-t}N^{-3}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha ij} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ij} \tilde{G}_{i'i} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} + O(e^{-t}N^{-3}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha ij} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ji} \tilde{G}_{i'i} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \\
&\quad + O(e^{-t}N^{-3}q^{-2}) \sum_{\alpha ij} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{jj} \tilde{G}_{i'i} \tilde{G}_{ii} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_4).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The first three terms on RHS of (5.9) cannot be naively bounded by  $O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_4)$ . This is due to the fact that when expanding the RHS of (5.8), the index  $i'$  in  $H_{ji'}$  and the index  $i$  in  $\tilde{G}_{ii}$  can be matched, which results in  $\tilde{G}_{i'i}, \tilde{G}_{i'i} \asymp 1$ . Luckily, we can proceed by again applying the resolvent identity  $w\tilde{G}_{i'i} = (H\tilde{G})_{ii} + fN^{-1} \sum_{\beta} \tilde{G}_{\beta i} - 1 - i\eta\tilde{G}_{ii}$  and Lemma 2.2. Similar to (5.8) and (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{2,1} &= O(e^{-t}N^{-3}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha ij} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ij} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} + O(e^{-t}N^{-3}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha ij} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{ji} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} \\
&\quad + O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-2}) \sum_{\alpha j} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{jj} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_4) =: U_{2,1,1} + U_{2,1,2} + U_{2,1,3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now for the term  $U_{2,1,1}$ , if we again use resolvent identity on  $\tilde{G}_{ij}$  and expand via Lemma 2.2, there will no longer be other Green functions that matches the index  $i$ , and we can get  $U_{2,1,1} \prec \mathcal{E}_4$ . The same holds for  $U_{2,1,2}$ . As a result, we have

$$U_{2,1} = U_{2,1,3} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_4) = O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-2}) \sum_{\alpha j} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \tilde{G}_{jj} \tilde{G}_{\alpha j} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_4). \tag{5.10}$$

Note that  $U_{2,1,3}$  and  $U_{2,1}$  are similar in structure, yet  $U_{2,1,3}$  is  $q^{-1}$  times smaller than  $U_{2,1}$ , due to the extra factor  $q^{-1}$ . We can then iterate (5.10) finitely many times, and get  $U_{2,1} \prec \mathcal{E}_4$  as desired. Similar arguments also work for  $U_{2,2}, \dots, U_{2,5}$ . Thus  $U_2 \prec \mathcal{E}_4$ .



When  $r = 3$ , by (5.3), Lemma 5.2, (4.15) and (4.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} U_3 &= O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha i} \tilde{G}_{\alpha i}^3 + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_4) \\ &= O(e^{-t}N^{-2}q^{-1}) \cdot \frac{\eta^{1/3} + 1/(N\eta)}{\eta} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{G}_{\alpha i}^3| + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_4). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

In addition, Lemmas 4.9 and 5.2 imply

$$\sum_i \mathbb{E}|\tilde{G}_{\alpha i}^3| \prec N \cdot \left( \frac{\text{Im } m + 1/(N\eta)}{N\eta} \right)^{3/2}, \quad (5.12)$$

and combining (5.11) and (5.12) we get  $U_3 \prec \mathcal{E}_4$ .

When  $r \geq 4$ , the estimates of  $U_r$  are easier than that of  $U_4$ , due to the decay of cumulants. As a result, from (5.7) we have

$$-\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E} \dot{A}_{\alpha i}(t) (\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha} \prec \mathcal{E}_4.$$

Due to symmetry, the second term on RHS of (5.6) can be handed in the same way; this finishes the proof of (5.4).

For the proof of (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \frac{d|\tilde{G}(t) - \tilde{G}(\infty)|^2}{dt} &= \mathbb{E} \frac{d[(\tilde{G}(t) - \tilde{G}(\infty))(\tilde{G}^*(t) - \tilde{G}^*(\infty))]}{dt} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E} \dot{A}_{\alpha i}(t) (\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha}(t) (\tilde{G}^*(t) - \tilde{G}^*(\infty)) - \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E} \dot{A}_{i\alpha}(t) (\tilde{G}^2)_{\alpha i}(t) (\tilde{G}^*(t) - \tilde{G}^*(\infty)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E} \dot{A}_{\alpha i}(t) (\tilde{G}^{*2})_{i\alpha}(t) (\tilde{G}(t) - \tilde{G}(\infty)) - \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i\alpha} \mathbb{E} \dot{A}_{i\alpha}(t) (\tilde{G}^{*2})_{\alpha i}(t) (\tilde{G}(t) - \tilde{G}(\infty)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Due to symmetry, we only look at the first term on RHS of (5.13). Similar to (5.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\alpha i} \mathbb{E} \dot{A}_{\alpha i}(t) (\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha}(t) (\tilde{G}^*(t) - \tilde{G}^*(\infty)) \\ &= \frac{e^{-t/2}}{4N} \sum_{r=2}^{\ell} \sum_{\alpha i} \frac{1}{r!} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}(B_{\alpha i}) \mathbb{E} \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha i}^r [(\tilde{G}^2)_{i\alpha}(t) (\tilde{G}^*(t) - \tilde{G}^*(\infty))] + O(e^{-r/2}N^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

where we abbreviate  $\tilde{\partial}_{ij}^r F = \partial F / \partial H_{ij}(0)$ . The rest of the proof is essentially the same to that of (5.4): we first apply (5.3), and then explore the index matching through the resolvent identity and Lemma 2.2. We omit the details.  $\square$

By Lemma 5.3, we see that

$$\mathbb{E} \tilde{G}(0) - \mathbb{E} \tilde{G}(\infty) \prec \frac{\eta^{1/3}}{q} + \frac{1}{N\eta q} \quad (5.15)$$

as well as

$$\mathbb{E} |\tilde{G}(0) - \tilde{G}(\infty)|^2 \prec \frac{1}{N\eta^{2/3}q} + \frac{1}{N^2\eta^2q}. \quad (5.16)$$

To interpolate between  $A(\infty)$  and  $W$ , let  $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , and set  $\widehat{W} := W + f\mathbf{e}_1^*\mathbf{e}_1$ . By the invariance of  $W$ , see that  $\widehat{W}$  and  $A(\infty)$  have the same spectral distribution. For  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\eta > 0$ , we denote the Hermitization of  $\widehat{W}$  and its Green function by

$$\widehat{H}_w := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \widehat{W} - w \\ \widehat{W} - \bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{G} := (\widehat{H}_w - i\eta)^{-1} \quad (5.17)$$

respectively. In addition, we set

$$H_w^W := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W - w \\ W - \bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G^W := (H_w^W - i\eta)^{-1}$$

Next we compare  $\mathbb{E}\widehat{G} = \mathbb{E}\widetilde{G}(\infty)$  and  $\mathbb{E}G^W$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *For  $(w, i\eta) \in \mathbf{S}_\delta^{(1)}$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\widehat{G} - \mathbb{E}G^W \prec \frac{1}{N\eta} \left( \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{N^{1-\delta}\eta} \right) \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}|\widehat{G} - G^W|^2 \prec \frac{1}{N^2\eta^2} \left( \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{N^{1-\delta}\eta} \right). \quad (5.19)$$

*Proof.* As in Corollary 4.1 (ii), it is easy to show that

$$\widehat{G} - m \prec \frac{1}{N\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad G^W - m \prec \frac{1}{N\eta}. \quad (5.20)$$

Let us denote the eigenvalues and corresponding  $L^2$ -normalized eigenvectors of  $\widehat{H}$  by  $\pm\widehat{\sigma}_1, \dots, \pm\widehat{\sigma}_N$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_1 \\ \pm\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_N \\ \pm\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_N \end{pmatrix}$  respectively. Here  $\widehat{\sigma}_1, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_N \geq 0$ ,  $\widehat{\sigma}_1 = \max_i \widehat{\sigma}_i$ , and  $\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_i, \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_i \in \mathbb{C}^N$  for all  $i$ . Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4, we can show that

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_1 - \mathbf{e}_1/\sqrt{2}\|_\infty + \|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_1 - \mathbf{e}_1/\sqrt{2}\|_\infty \prec N^{-1/2}f^{-1} \quad (5.21)$$

and

$$\max_{i \geq 2} \|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_i\|_\infty + \max_{i \geq 2} \|\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_i\|_\infty \prec N^{-1/2}, \quad (5.22)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . By the resolvent identity, we have

$$\widehat{G} - G^W = -\frac{f}{2N} \sum_i G_{i1'}^W \widehat{G}_{1i} - \frac{f}{2N} \sum_i G_{1i}^W \widehat{G}_{i1'} = -\frac{f}{2N} (\widehat{G}G^W)_{11'} - \frac{f}{2N} (\widehat{G}G^W)_{1'1}, \quad (5.23)$$

and

$$\delta_{1'i} + i\eta \widehat{G}_{1'i} = (H^W \widehat{G})_{1'i} - \bar{w} \widehat{G}_{1i} + f \widehat{G}_{1i}, \quad (5.24)$$

where  $H^W := H_0^W$ . From (5.24) and Stein's formula, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} (f - \bar{w})\mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}G^W)_{11} &= \mathbb{E} \left( G_{1'1}^W + i\eta(\widehat{G}G^W)_{1'1} - \sum_i H_{1'i}^W (\widehat{G}G^W)_{i1} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( G_{1'1}^W + i\eta(\widehat{G}G^W)_{1'1} + N^{-1} \sum_i (\widehat{G}_{i1'} (\widehat{G}G^W)_{i1} + \widehat{G}_{ii} (\widehat{G}G^W)_{1'1} + (\widehat{G}G^W)_{i1'} G_{i1}^W + (\widehat{G}G^W)_{ii} G_{1'1}^W) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

For any indices  $\hat{i}, \hat{j}$ , using Cauchy-Schwarz and the Ward identity, we can get

$$\eta(\widehat{G}G^W)_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \prec (\text{Im } \widehat{G}_{\hat{i}\hat{i}} \text{Im } G_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^W)^{1/2}.$$

As  $\sigma_1 \asymp f$ , we can use spectral decomposition and (5.20) – (5.22) to show that

$$\text{Im } \widehat{G}_{\hat{i}\hat{i}} \prec f^{-1} + \text{Im } \underline{G} \prec f^{-1} + (N\eta)^{-1} + |m| \prec f^{-1} + (N^{1-\delta}\eta)^{-1}. \quad (5.26)$$

Together with  $\text{Im } G_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^W \prec (N^{1-\delta}\eta)^{-1}$  we get

$$\eta(\widehat{G}G^W)_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \prec f^{-1} + (N^{1-\delta}\eta)^{-1}. \quad (5.27)$$

Inserting (5.26) and (5.27) into (5.25) we get

$$(f - \bar{w})\mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}G^W)_{11'} \prec \eta^{-1}(f^{-1} + (N^{1-\delta}\eta)^{-1}).$$

Similarly,  $(f - w)\mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}G^W)_{1'1} \prec \eta^{-1}(f^{-1} + (N^{1-\delta}\eta)^{-1})$ . Inserting the above two estimates into (5.23), and together with  $|w| \leq 2$  and  $f \asymp q$ , we get (5.18) as desired. The proof of (5.19) follows in a similar fashion; we omit the details.  $\square$

**5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In this section we prove the following result, which obviously implies Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 5.5.** *Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_k \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|w_j| = 1$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be smooth and compactly supported, independent of  $N$ , and set*

$$f_{j,w_j}(w) := Nf_j(\sqrt{N}(w - w_j))$$

for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$ , and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N$  be the eigenvalues of  $W$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f_{j,w_j}(\lambda_i) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|w| \leq 1} f_{j,w_j}(w) d^2 w \right) - \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f_{j,w_j}(\mu_i) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|w| \leq 1} f_{j,w_j}(w) d^2 w \right) \right] = O(N^{-c})$$

for some constant  $c \equiv c(\xi, k, f_1, \dots, f_k) > 0$ .

Our starting point is Girko's Hermitization formula [21], which reads

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i f_{j,w_j}(\lambda_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{C}} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) \log |\lambda_i - w| d^2 w, \quad (5.28)$$

and

$$\sum_i \log |\lambda_i - w| = \frac{i}{2} \int_0^\infty \text{Tr } \tilde{G}_w(i\eta) d\eta. \quad (5.29)$$

We would like to replace the integral on RHS of (5.28) by a Riemann sum. To this end, fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ , and let  $K$  be an  $N^{-10}$ -net of the ring  $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : ||w| - 1| \leq N^{-1/2+\delta}\}$ . Let  $U :=$

$\cup_i \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_i}(N^{-5})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_z(r)$  denotes the ball centered at  $z$  with radius  $r$ . As  $\|\nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w)\|_\infty = O(N^2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{C}} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) \log |\lambda_i - w| d^2w - \frac{1}{2\pi N^{21}} \sum_i \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) \log |\lambda_i - w| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{C} \cap U^c} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) \log |\lambda_i - w| d^2w \\ & - \frac{1}{2\pi N^{21}} \sum_i \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) \log |\lambda_i - w| + O(N^{-6}) = O(N^{-6}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

where in the last step we used  $\log |\lambda_i - w| - \log |\lambda_i - w'| = O(N^5|w - w'|)$  for all  $w, w' \in K \cap U^c$ , and

$$|K \cap U^c \cap \text{supp } f_{j,w_j}| = O(N^{19}). \quad (5.31)$$

By (5.28), (5.29) and (5.30) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_i f_{j,w_j}(\lambda_i) &= \frac{1}{2\pi N^{21}} \sum_i \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) \log |\lambda_i - w| + O(N^{-6}) \\ &= \frac{i}{4\pi N^{21}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \int_0^\infty \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) \text{Tr } \tilde{G}_w(i\eta) d\eta + O(N^{-6}). \end{aligned}$$

As a result

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i f_{j,w_j}(\lambda_i) - \int_{|w| \leq 1} f_{j,w_j}(w) d^2w = T_{j,1} + T_{j,2} + T_{j,3} + O(N^{-6}), \quad (5.32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{j,1} &= \frac{i}{2\pi N^{20}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \int_0^{\eta_*} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) (\tilde{G}_w(i\eta) - m(w, i\eta)) d\eta \\ T_{j,2} &= \frac{i}{2\pi N^{20}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \int_{\eta_*}^{\eta^*} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) (\tilde{G}_w(i\eta) - m(w, i\eta)) d\eta \\ T_{j,3} &= \frac{i}{2\pi N^{20}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \int_{\eta^*}^\infty \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) (\tilde{G}_w(i\eta) - m(w, i\eta)) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

with  $\eta_* = N^{-3/4-\delta}$ ,  $\eta^* = N^{-3/4+\delta}$ , and fixed  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ .

The following lemma gives prior estimates for the RHS of (5.32).

**Lemma 5.6.** *We have*

$$|T_{j,1}| + |T_{j,2}| \prec 1 \quad (5.33)$$

and

$$T_{j,3} \prec N^{-\delta} \quad (5.34)$$

uniformly in  $j$ .

*Proof.* (i) Using Corollary 4.1 (ii) and a deterministic monotonicity argument (see e.g. [8, Section 10]), we have

$$\tilde{G} - m \prec \frac{1}{N\eta} \quad (5.35)$$

for all  $|1 - |w|| \leq N^{-1/2+\delta}$  and  $0 < \eta \leq N^{-3/4+\delta}$ . Together with (5.31) we have

$$T_{j,2} \prec \frac{1}{N^{20}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \int_{\eta^*}^{\eta} |\nabla^2 f_{w_j}(w)| \frac{1}{N\eta} d\eta \prec 1.$$

To estimate  $T_{j,1}$ , first note that by (5.32) and a triangle inequality, we have the deterministic bound  $|T_{j,1}| = O(N^2)$ . Applying [34, Theorem 2.9] with  $\rho = p/(1-p)$ ,  $x \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Bern}(1-p)$  and  $M = -w\sqrt{(1-p)I}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{w \in K} \|\tilde{H}_w^{-1}\| \geq N^{\log N}\right) = O(N^{-D}) \quad (5.36)$$

for any fixed  $D > 0$ . By (5.35), we know that on the event  $\Sigma := \{\max_{w \in K} \|\tilde{H}_w^{-1}\| < N^{\log N}\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{j,1} &\prec \frac{1}{N^{18}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \left| \int_0^{N^{-\log N}} \tilde{\underline{G}}_w - m(w, i\eta) d\eta \right| + 1 \prec N \max_{w \in K \cap U^c} \left| \int_0^{N^{-\log N}} \tilde{\underline{G}}_w d\eta \right| + 1 \\ &\prec \max_{w \in K \cap U^c} \sum_{|\sigma_{i,w}| \geq N^{-\log N}} \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,w}^2 N^{2 \log N}} \right) + 1 \prec 1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

where  $\sigma_{i,w}$  are the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{H}_w$ . This finishes the proof of (5.33).

(ii) Note that by the trivial bound  $\max_{i,j} \|\tilde{G}_w(i\eta)_{ij}\| \leq \eta^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\eta^*}^{\infty} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) (\tilde{\underline{G}}_w(i\eta) - m(w, i\eta)) d\eta - \int_{\eta^*}^{\infty} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w') (\tilde{\underline{G}}_{w'}(i\eta) - m(w', i\eta)) d\eta \\ = O(|w - w'| (N^2/\eta^* + N^{5/2})) = O(N^3 |w - w'|) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $w, w' \in K$ . As a result, we can recover an integration from the Riemann sum in  $T_{j,3}$ , i.e.

$$T_{j,3} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\eta^*}^{\infty} \nabla^2 f_{w_j}(w) (\tilde{\underline{G}} - m) d\eta d^2w + O(N^{-6})$$

Let  $u := -\bar{w}m/(i\eta + m)$ , and thus  $1 + i\eta m + m^2 + wu = 0$ . It is east to check that

$$\partial_w m = \frac{i}{2} \partial_\eta u \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_w \tilde{\underline{G}} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_i (\tilde{G}^2)_{i'i} = \frac{i}{2N} \sum_i \partial_\eta \tilde{G}_{i'i}.$$

Together with integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{j,3} &= -\frac{2i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\eta^*}^{\infty} \partial_{\bar{w}} f_{w_j}(w) (\partial_w \tilde{\underline{G}} - \partial_w m) d\eta d^2w + O(N^{-6}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\eta^*}^{\infty} \partial_{\bar{w}} f_{w_j}(w) \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \partial_\eta \tilde{G}_{i'i} - \partial_\eta u \right) d\eta d^2w + O(N^{-6}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{w}} f_{w_j}(w) \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \tilde{G}_{i'i}(i\eta^*) - u(i\eta^*) \right) d^2w + O(N^{-6}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

By Proposition 5.1 and  $u(i\eta^*) = -(1 + m^2)/w + O(\eta^*)$ , we have

$$T_{j,3} \prec N^{-1/2-\delta} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\partial_{\bar{w}} f_{w_j}(w)| d^2w + N^{-6} \prec N^{-\delta}.$$

This finishes the proof of (5.34).  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 5.5.* Now we deduce Proposition 5.5 from Lemmas 5.2, 5.3 and 5.6. A similar strategy was used in [14].

*Step 1.* We first show that

$$\mathbb{E}|T_{j,1}| \prec N^{-\delta/2}. \quad (5.39)$$

Let  $\Sigma := \{\max_{w \in K} \|\tilde{H}_w^{-1}\| < N^{\log N}\}$ , and (5.36) shows  $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^c) = O(N^{-D})$  for any fixed  $D > 0$ . Thus by (2.11) we know that for all  $w \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbf{1}_\Sigma \int_0^{\eta_*} \tilde{G}_w(i\eta) - m(w, i\eta) d\eta \right| \\ &= O(N^{-1}) \mathbf{1}_\Sigma \sum_{|\sigma_{i,w}| \leq N^{-\log N}} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\eta_*^2}{\sigma_{i,w}^2} \right) + O(N^{-1}) \sum_{|\sigma_{i,w}| \geq N^{-\log N}} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\eta_*^2}{\sigma_{i,w}^2} \right) + \left| \int_0^{\eta_*} m(w, i\eta) d\eta \right| \\ &= O(N^{-1}) \sum_{|\sigma_{i,w}| \geq N^{-\log N}} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\eta_*^2}{\sigma_{i,w}^2} \right) + O(N^{-1-\delta}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_{i,w}$  denotes the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{H}_w$ , and in the second step we used (2.11). By (5.32), we have the deterministic bound  $|T_{j,1}| = O(N^2)$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{E}|T_{j,1}| = \mathbb{E}|\mathbf{1}_\Sigma T_{j,1}| + O(N^{-1}) \prec \frac{1}{N^{19}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U^c} \sum_{|\sigma_{i,w}| \geq N^{-\log N}} \mathbb{E} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\eta_*^2}{\sigma_{i,w}^2} \right) dw + O(N^{-\delta}). \quad (5.40)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\sigma_i| \geq N^{-\log N}} \mathbb{E} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\eta_*^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right) \prec \mathbb{E}|\{i : |\sigma_i| \leq N^{\delta/2} \eta_*\}| + \mathbb{E} \sum_{|\sigma_i| \geq N^{\delta/2} \eta_*} \frac{\eta_*^2}{\sigma_i^2} \\ & \prec \mathbb{E}|\{i : |\sigma_i| \leq N^{\delta/2} \eta_*\}| + \mathbb{E} \sum_{|\sigma_i| \geq N^{\delta/2} \eta_*} \frac{\eta_*^2}{\sigma_i^2 + (N^{\delta/2} \eta_*)^2} \\ & \prec \mathbb{E}|\{i : |\sigma_i| \leq N^{\delta/2} \eta_*\}| + N^{1-\delta/2} \eta_* \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Im} \tilde{G}(iN^{\delta/2} \eta_*) \prec \mathbb{E}|\{i : |\sigma_i| \leq N^{\delta/2} \eta_*\}| + N^{-\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

where in the last step we used Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 4.1 (ii). By (5.15) and (5.18), we get

$$\mathbb{E}|\{i : |\sigma_i| \leq N^{\delta/2} \eta_*\}| \leq N \eta_* \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Im} \tilde{G}(iN^{\delta/2} \eta_*)(0) = N \eta_* \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Im} \hat{G}(iN^{\delta/2} \eta_*)(0) + O(N^{-\delta}) = O(N^{-\delta/2}),$$

where in the last step we used [14, (28)]. Combining the above with (5.40) and (5.41), we get (5.39) as desired.

*Step 2.* Similar as in (5.32) let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{j,1} &= \frac{i}{2\pi N^{21}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U_*^c} \int_0^{\eta_*} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) (\hat{G}(0) - m) d\eta \\ \hat{T}_{j,2} &= \frac{i}{2\pi N^{21}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U_*^c} \int_{\eta_*}^{\eta_*} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) (\hat{G}(0) - m) d\eta \\ \hat{T}_{j,3} &= \frac{i}{2\pi N^{21}} \sum_{w \in K \cap U_*^c} \int_{\eta_*}^{\infty} \nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}(w) (\hat{G}(0) - m) d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $U_* := \cup_i \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_i^W}(N^{-5})$ , and  $\lambda_i^W$  are the eigenvalues of  $W$ . It is conventional to check that Lemma 5.6 and (5.39) remain valid for  $T_{j,1}, \dots, T_{j,3}$  replaced by  $\widehat{T}_{j,1}, \dots, \widehat{T}_{j,3}$ . By Lemma 5.6 and (5.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f_{j,w_j}(\lambda_i) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|w| \leq 1} f_{j,w_j}(w) d^2 w \right) - \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f_{j,w_j}(\mu_i) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|w| \leq 1} f_{j,w_j}(w) d^2 w \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k T_{j,2} - \prod_{j=1}^k \widehat{T}_{j,2} \right] + O_{\prec}(N^{-\delta/2}) \prec \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E} |T_{j,2} - \widehat{T}_{j,2}| + N^{-\delta/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

As  $\|\nabla^2 f_{j,w_j}\|_{\infty} = O(N^2)$ , and  $|U|, |U_*| = O(N^{10})$ , for each  $j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} |T_{j,2} - \widehat{T}_{j,2}| \prec N \max_{w \in K} \int_{\eta_*}^{\eta^*} \mathbb{E} |\widetilde{G}(0) - \widehat{G}(0)| d\eta + N^{-1} \\ & \prec N \max_{w \in K} \int_{\eta_*}^{\eta^*} \mathbb{E} |\widetilde{G}(0) - \widetilde{G}(\infty)| d\eta + N \max_{w \in K} \int_{\eta_*}^{\eta^*} \mathbb{E} |\widehat{G}(1) - \widehat{G}(0)| d\eta + N^{-1} \\ & \prec N \max_{w \in K} \int_{\eta_*}^{\eta^*} \left( \frac{1}{N\eta^{2/3}q} + \frac{1}{N^2\eta^2q} + \frac{1}{N^{3-\delta}\eta^3} \right)^{1/2} d\eta + N^{-1} \prec N^{-\delta/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

where in the third step we used (5.16) and (5.19). Combining (5.42) and (5.43) we conclude the proof of Proposition 5.5.  $\square$

**5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** The upper bound in (1.4) was proved in Corollary 4.7, and Theorem 1.2 (ii) was proved at the end of Section 4.2. Now we only need to prove the lower bound in (1.4). The statement follows directly from the following local circular law near the spectral edge.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Fix  $\delta \in (0, \xi/100)$ , and let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be smooth and compactly supported, independent of  $N$ . Fix  $a \in (1/2 - \delta/2, 1/2]$  and let  $w_* \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfy  $1 - N^{-1/2+\delta/2} \leq |w_*| \leq \delta^{-1}$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $f_{w_*}(w) := N^{2a} f(N^a(w - w_*))$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i f_{w_*}(\lambda_i) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|w| \leq 1} f_{w_*}(z) d^2 w \prec N^{2a-1}.$$

*Proof.* The steps are essentially identical to those of Lemma 5.6. We omit the details.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. Alt, R. Ducatez, and A. Knowles, *Extremal eigenvalues of critical Erdős–Rényi graphs*, Ann. Prob. **49** (2021), 1347–1401.
- [2] J. Alt, L. Erdős, and T. Krüger, *Spectral radius of random matrices with independent entries*, Probab. Math. Phys. **2** (2021), 221–280.
- [3] J. Alt, L. Erdős, and T. Krüger, *Local inhomogeneous circular law*, Ann. Appl. Prob. **28** (2018), 148–203.
- [4] Z.D. Bai, *Circular law*, Ann. Prob. **25** (1997), 494–529.
- [5] A. Basak and M. Rudelson, *The circular law for sparse non-Hermitian matrices*, Ann. Prob. **47** (2019), 2359 – 2416.
- [6] A. Basak and O. Zeitouni, *Outliers of random perturbations of Toeplitz matrices with finite symbols*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **178** (2020), 771–826.

- [7] F. Benaych-Georges, C. Bordenave, and A. Knowles, *Spectral radii of sparse random matrices*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **56** (2020), 2141–2161.
- [8] F. Benaych-Georges and A. Knowles, *Lectures on the local semicircle law for Wigner matrices*, Panoramas et Synthèses **53** (2016).
- [9] C. Bordenave, P. Caputo, D. Chafai, and K. Tikhomirov, *On the spectral radius of a random matrix: An upper bound without fourth moment*, Ann. Prob. **46** (2018), 2268 – 2286.
- [10] C. Bordenave, D. Chafai, and D. Garcia-Zelada, *Convergence of the spectral radius of a random matrix through its characteristic polynomial*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields (2021), 1–19.
- [11] A. Borodin and C. D. Sinclair, *The Ginibre ensemble of real random matrices and its scaling limits*, Comm. Math. Phys. **219** (2009), 177–24.
- [12] P. Bourgade, H.-T. Yau, and J. Yin, *Local circular law for random matrices*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **159** (2014), 545–595.
- [13] ———, *The local circular law II: the edge case*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **159** (2014), 619–660.
- [14] G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, and D. Schröder, *Edge universality for non-Hermitian random matrices*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **179** (2021), 1–28.
- [15] G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, and Y. Xu, *Universality of extremal eigenvalues of large random matrices*, preprint arXiv 2312.08325 (2023).
- [16] A. Boutet de Monvel and A. Khorunzhy, *Asymptotic distribution of smoothed eigenvalue density. II. Wigner random matrices*, Random Oper. and Stoch. Equ. **7** (1999), 149–168.
- [17] L. Erdős, T. Krüger, and D. Schröder, *Cusp Universality for Random Matrices I: Local Law and the Complex Hermitian Case*, Comm. Math. Phys. **378** (2020), 1203–1278.
- [18] L. Erdős, A. Knowles, H.-T. Yau, and J. Yin, *Spectral statistics of Erdős-Rényi graphs II: Eigenvalue spacing and the extreme eigenvalues*, Comm. Math. Phys. **314** (2012), 587–640.
- [19] ———, *Spectral statistics of Erdős-Rényi graphs I: Local semicircle law*, Ann. Prob. **41** (2013), 2279–2375.
- [20] L. Erdős, H.-T. Yau, and J. Yin, *Bulk universality for generalized Wigner matrices*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **154** (2012), 341–407.
- [21] V.L. Girko, *The circular law*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. (Russian) **29** (1984), 669–679.
- [22] F. Götze and A. Tikhomirov, *The circular law for random matrices*, Ann. Prob. **38** (2010), 1444–1491.
- [23] Y. He and A. Knowles, *Mesosopic eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices*, Ann. Appl. Prob. **27** (2017), 1510–1550.
- [24] Y. He and A. Knowles, *Fluctuations of extreme eigenvalues of sparse Erdős-Rényi graphs*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **180** (2021), 985 – 1056.
- [25] Y. He, A. Knowles, and R. Rosenthal, *Isotropic self-consistent equations for mean-field random matrices*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **171** (2018), 203–249.
- [26] J. Huang, B. Landon, and H.-T. Yau, *Transition from Tracy-Widom to Gaussian fluctuations of extremal eigenvalues of sparse Erdős-Rényi graphs*, Ann. Prob. **48** (2020), 916–962.
- [27] J. Huang and H.T. Yau, *Edge universality of sparse random matrices*, Preprint arXiv: 2206.06580.
- [28] ———, *Spectrum of Random  $d$ -regular Graphs Up to the Edge*, Preprint arXiv: 2102.00963.
- [29] A.M. Khorunzhy, B.A. Khoruzhenko, and L.A. Pastur, *Asymptotic properties of large random matrices with independent entries*, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 5033–5060.
- [30] J. Lee, *Higher order fluctuations of extremal eigenvalues of sparse random matrices*, Preprint arXiv: 2108.11634.



- [31] J. O. Lee and J. Yin, *A necessary and sufficient condition for edge universality of Wigner matrices*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), 117–173.
- [32] J.O. Lee and K. Schnelli, *Local law and Tracy-Widom limit for sparse random matrices*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **171** (2018), 543–616.
- [33] M. Rudelson and K. Tikhomirov, *The sparse circular law under minimal assumptions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **29** (2019), 561–637.
- [34] T. Tao and V. Vu, *Random matrices: the circular law*, Comm. Cont. Math. **10** (2008), 261–307.
- [35] ———, *Random matrices: Universality of ESDs and the circular law*, Ann. Prob. **38** (2010), 2023–2065.
- [36] ———, *Random matrices: Universality of local spectral statistics of non-Hermitian matrices*, **43** (2015), 782–874.
- [37] K. Tikhomirov and P. Youssef, *Outliers in spectrum of sparse Wigner matrices*, Rand. Struct. Algor. **58** (2021), no. 3, 517–605.
- [38] C. Tracy and H. Widom, *Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), 151–174.
- [39] ———, *On orthogonal and symplectic matrix ensembles*, Comm. Math. Phys. **177** (1996), 727–754.
- [40] P.M. Wood, *Universality and the circular law for sparse random matrices*, Ann. Appl. Prob. **22** (2012), 1266–1300.
- [41] J. Yin, *The local circular law III: General case*, Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields **160** (2014), 679–732.