Electrical tuning of robust layered antiferromagnetism in MXene monolayer

Xinyu Yang, Ning Ding, Jun Chen, Ziwen Wang, Ming An,^{a)} and Shuai Dong^{b)} School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China

(Dated: 18 April 2023)

A-type antiferromagnetism, with an in-plane ferromagnetic order and the interlayer antiferromagnetic coupling, owns inborn advantages for electrical manipulations but is naturally rare in real materials except in those artificial antiferromagnetic heterostructures. Here, a robust layered antiferromagnetism with a high Néel temperature is predicted in a MXene Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer, which provides an ideal platform as a magnetoelectric field effect transistor. Based on first-principles calculations, we demonstrate that an electric field can induce the band splitting between spin-up and spin-down channels. Although no net magnetization is generated, the inversion symmetry between the lower Cr layer and the upper Cr layer is broken via electronic cloud distortions. Moreover, this electric field can be replaced by a proximate ferroelectric layer for nonvolatility. The magneto-optic Kerr effect can be used to detect this magnetoelectricity, even if it is a collinear antiferromagnet with zero magnetization.

Two-dimensional (2D) ferroic materials, including both 2D magnetic and polar layers, have become an emerging branch of condensed matter¹⁻⁴, since the experimental discoveries of ferromagnetism in CrI₃, $Cr_2Ge_2Te_6$, $Fe_3GeTe_2^{5-7}$, and ferroelectricity in SnTe, $CuInP_2S_6$, $In_2Se_3^{8-10}$. Despite the fast growing number of 2D ferroics as revealed in experiments or predicted in calculations, there remain some tough issues to be solved. For example, due to the reduced coordination numbers in 2D lattices, the magnetic transition temperatures will be relatively low comparing with their three-dimensional (3D) counterparts. Thus most experimentally verified ferromagnetic (FM) Curie temperatures (T_C) 's) are below the room temperature⁴.

An alternative solution is to explore 2D antiferromagnets. In general, antiferromagnets are much abundant than ferromagnets, which provide more candidates to improve the transition temperatures. In addition, the importance of antiferromagnetic (AFM) spintronics has been gradually understood in recent years, which can be intrinsically more energy-saving and fast-operating in device applications^{11,12}. However, the control and detection of antiferromagnetism remain challenging, since their net magnetization is fully compensated.

Different from the plain ferromagnetism, AFM textures can be rather diverse. A very interesting one is the so-called A-type AFM (A-AFM) order, with antiferromagnetically coupled FM layers. This A-AFM order can be operated by electric voltages via the field effect, manifesting a carrier-density driving magnetoelectricity¹³, while other AFM orders are usually inactive to the field effect. For 2D magnets, Huang *et al.* and Wang *et al.* demonstrated the gate control of magnetism and tunneling magnetoresistance in the CrI₃ bilayer with the A-AFM order^{14,15}. Similar phenomena have also been reported in other 2D magnetic bilayers^{16–18}. However, the A-AFM states in all these bilayers rely on the weak van der Waals (vdW) interaction and special stacking configurations, both of which are very subtle and fragile. Natural robust A-AFM materials remain rare, which are urgently needed for nanoscale magnetoelectric devices based on 2D ferroic materials. Furthermore, the mutual exclusion between the tunability and robustness is also a challenging scientific question.

MXenes, as an non-vdW family of 2D materials^{19,20}, may provide an alternative solution to aforementioned questions. With a general chemical formula $M_{n+1}X_nT_x$ (M is an early transition metal, X stands for carbon and/or nitrogen, and T is the surface terminations such as O, OH, F, and Cl), MXenes can naturally accommodate multiple M layers in a unit sheet, even for the thinnest n=1 case. Also, the neighboring M layers are connected via the M-X-M chemical bonds, implying much stronger interlayer coupling than aforementioned vdW ones. Thus, the structures of MXenes not only retain the low-dimensional characteristics but also provide a better platform to pursuit robust layered antiferromagnetism.

Therefore, we will screen candidates from the n = 1MXenes, which provides M-bilayer for possible A-AFM order. However, for many M_2NX_2 candidates, such as $Ti_2NO_2^{21}$, Cr_2NO_2 , Mn_2NF_2 , $Mn_2N(OH)_2^{22}$, they are metallic with ferromagnetic tendency. Luckily, Cr₂CCl₂ owns desired properties, which has been studied systematically in the present work. Even though its magnetic ground state was already reported to be Néel-type antiferromagnetism²³, the buckled honeycomb Cr lattice can be considered as two stacking triangular layers with the intralayer ferromagnetic coupling and the interlayer AFM coupling, which can mimic the A-type antiferromagnetism. Thus in the following, it is renamed as A'-AFM. In fact, similar idea was also used for the [111]oriented Néel-type perovskite²⁴, which is an effective approach to obtain robust layered antiferromagnetism. Its magnetic transition temperature is estimated to be very high (~ 1300 K), but much lower than the previous estimation $(6095 \text{ K})^{23}$. Despite its robustness, this layered antiferromagnetism can be tuned by external elec-

^{a)}Electronic mail: amorn@seu.edu.cn

^{b)}Electronic mail: sdong@seu.edu.cn

tric field, or a proximate ferroelectric (FE) layer, which gives rise to the non-negligible magneto-optic Kerr effect (MOKE) signals even if its magnetization is fully compensated.

First-principles calculations based on the density functional theory (DFT) are performed with the projector augmented-wave (PAW) pseudopotentials as implemented in the Vienna *ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP)²⁵. For the exchange-correlation functional, the PBE parametrization of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) is adopted²⁶, and the Hubbard *U* is applied using the Dudarev parametrization²⁷. As reported previously, a correction of $U_{\rm eff} = 3 \, {\rm eV}$ is imposed on Cr's 3d orbitals²⁸.

For the monolayer calculation, a vacuum space of 30 Å thickness is added along the *c*-axis direction to avoid layer interactions. The energy cutoff is fixed to 500 eV and the Γ -centered 9 × 9 × 1 Monkhorst-Pack *k*-mesh is adopted for the monolayer and heterostructure, which can lead to a well convergence (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). The convergence criterion for the energy is 10^{-6} eV for self-consistent iteration, and the Hellman-Feynman force is set to 0.01 eV/Å during the structural optimization. The Gaussian smearing (ISMEAR = 0) in combination with a small SIGMA = 0.05 is utilized for both structural optimization and self-consistent interactions. Phonopy is adopted to calculate the phonon band structures²⁹. For the heterostructure calculation, the vdW correction DFT-D2 method is adopted³⁰.

The *exciting* code with the time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) is adopted to calculate the MOKE signal^{31,32}. The spin-orbit coupling (SOC) is considered in the magnetocrystalline anisotropy, dielectric, and MOKE calculations.

The Néel temperature $T_{\rm N}$ for Cr₂CCl₂ is estimated based on the Heisenberg model using DFT-derived spin exchange parameters. A 48 × 48 2D honeycomb lattice with periodic boundary conditions is used in our Markovchain Monte Carlo (MC) simulations³³. The initial 10⁴ MC steps are discarded for thermal equilibrium and the remaining 10⁴ MC steps are reserved as statistical average in the simulation. $T_{\rm N}$ is found as a maximum on the temperature dependent specific heat.

The surfaces of MXenes are usually passivated by anions or chemical ligands. For Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer with Cl-terminated surfaces, there are four most possible sites for Cl adatoms³⁴, as shown in Fig. S2 in the supplementary material. According to our calculations, the model 2 is the most stable structure, which has the lowest energy among all considered configurations (see Table S1 in the supplementary material). Therefore, only the model 2 will be studied below in detail.

As shown in Fig. 1, in the Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer, the carbon layer is sandwiched between two chromium layers and the outside surfaces are decorated by chlorines, which possesses a trigonal lattice with the space group (S.G.) $P\bar{3}m1$. Its dynamical stability has been confirmed by phonon calculation, and no imaginary mode appears in



FIG. 1. (a) The top view of Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer $(2 \times 2 \times 1$ supercell). The primitive cell is indicated by the red dotted rhombus. Exchange J's are also indicated: interlayer J_1 and J_3 , intralayer J_2 . (b-f) Schematic of five most possible magnetic orders: (b) Y-type noncollinear antiferromagnetism (Y-AFM); (c) Ferromagnetism (FM); (d) A'-AFM; (e) Zigzag-type antiferromagnetism (Z-AFM); (f) Stripy-type antiferromagnetism (S-AFM). (c, d) are sideviews and (b, e, f) are topviews. Red and yellow arrows: the spins of upper and lower Cr layers respectively.

TABLE I. Five magnetic orders' energies (in units of meV/f.u.) and optimized lattice constants (in units of Å) of Cr₂CCl₂ monolayer. The Y-AFM order is calculated in $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \times 1$ supercell. The FM and A'-AFM order use primitive cell, and the other two use $1 \times 2 \times 1$ supercell. The energies are in relative to the A'-AFM one. The band gap is in units of eV. *M* is the magnetic moment (in units of $\mu_{\rm B}$).

Order	Energy	S.G.	a	b	M(Cr)	Gap
A'-AFM	0	$P\bar{3}m1$	3.258		± 3.099	1.67
\mathbf{FM}	495.5	$P\bar{3}m1$	3.270		3.135	0
Y-AFM	547.9	$P\bar{3}m1$	5.620		± 2.937	0.96
Z-AFM	355.5	C2/m	3.272	6.456	± 3.121	1.16
S-AFM	612.4	C2/m	3.243	6.508	± 2.812	0.55

the phonon spectrum over the entire Brillouin zone, as shown in Fig. S3 of the supplementary material.

To determine its magnetic ground state, five most possible magnetic orders are compared, as shown in Figs. 1(b-f). According to our calculation, the energy of A'-AFM is significantly lower than those of other four (as summarized in Table I), implying the ground state. The optimized in-plane lattice constant is also consistent with previous reported value $(3.269 \text{ Å})^{28}$.

Based on the optimized structure of its ground state, the exchange couplings are derived by mapping the DFT energy to the Heisenberg model with normalized spins (|S| = 1). The nearest-neighbor, next-nearest-neighbor, and next-next-nearest-neighbor exchanges (denoted as J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 , respectively) are estimated as 45.7, -37.7, 45.2 meV, respectively. The chemical bonding Cr-C-Cr makes the J_3 coupling even stronger than J_2 . Both J_1 and J_3 prefer AFM interlayer coupling, while J_2 prefers intralayer ferromagnetism. Such a configuration of J's is not frustrated, which co-stabilize the layered A'-AFM order. These large J's are originated from the half-filled t_{2g} orbitals of Cr^{3+} and the strong *p*-*d* hybridization of Cr-C bonds, both of which are advantage for a strong antiferromagnetic coupling. In addition, our J_1/J_2 is close to the values reported in the previous study²⁸, although they did not consider J_3 .

Its magnetic anisotropy is also calculated by rotating the spin orientation. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the magnetic easy axis is along the [001]-axis, i.e. the out-ofplane direction. The magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy (MAE) is estimated to be 14 μ eV/f.u., due to the weak SOC effect in the Cr₂CCl₂ monolayer.

Based on the above DFT-derived coefficients, the MC method was employed to simulate the magnetic transition. A typical MC snapshot at 302 K, as shown in Fig. 2(b), suggests that an A'-AFM order has already been well established at room temperature. In fact, its Néel temperature $T_{\rm N}$ is estimated to be ~ 1300 K, as indicated by the peak of specific heat shown in Fig. 2(c). This high $T_{\rm N}$ is reasonable considering the strong J's, but much lower than the previous estimation (6095 K)²³, which seems unreasonable.

As mentioned before, such layered antiferromagnetism can be actively coupled with external electric fields along the *c*-axis. The magnetic point group of the Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer is centrosymmetric -3'm', in which an electric field along the *c*-axis can generate an effective internal magnetic field³⁵. This magnetoelectric effect generally works, even here Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer is a semiconductor with a moderate bandgap [see Figs. 3(a-b)].

After applying an out-of-plane electric field (e.g. 0.3 V/Å), the electronic states, i.e. the density of states (DOS) and electronic bands, are slightly split between the spin-up and spin-down channels, as shown in Figs. 3(c-d). This electric field induced splitting is similar to the Zeeman splitting due to the magnetic field. However, this Zeeman-like splitting will not generate a net magnetization at zero temperature, since the existence of bandgap.

This Zeeman-like splitting can also be visualized in real space. As illustrated in Figs. 3(e-f), for both spin-up and spin-down Cr's, the electronic cloud will be distorted by moving against the electric field direction. This distortion breaks the inversion symmetry between the lower layer Cr (spin-up) and upper layer Cr (spin-down), which results in the spin splitting.

Although no net magnetization is induced by such elec-



FIG. 2. (a) The DFT calculated MAE as a function of spin orientation. z-axis is along the [001] crystallographic orientation. (b) A typical MC snapshot at 302 K, showing the A'-AFM order with some cantings due to thermal fluctuation. (c) MC simulated specific heat and normalized antiferromagnetic order parameter L as a function of temperature. The antiferromagnetic order parameter is defined as $L=S_u-S_l$, where S is the normalized spin and u/l denote the upper and lower layer of Cr bilayers. The peak indicates the transition.

tronic cloud distortions at zero temperature, this magnetoelectricity can be detected by some sensitive techniques, such as MOKE. In fact, the MOKE has been widely employed as a powerful tool for the characterization of low-dimensional magnetic materials^{5–7,14,36,37}.

Generally, the signal of MOKE is associated with the off-diagonal components of the optical conductivity tensors σ^{37} . The optical conductivity has a relationship with the dielectric tensor ε : $\varepsilon_{ij}(\omega) = \delta_{ij} + i \frac{4\pi}{\omega} \sigma_{ij}(\omega)^{38}$. Therefore, the presence of MOKE directly depends on the imaginary components of the dielectric function. Under the electric field along the *c*-axis, the original magnetic point group -3'm' is decreased to 3m', whose dielectric tensor can be generally expressed as³⁹:

$$\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} & \varepsilon_{xy} & 0\\ -\varepsilon_{xy} & \varepsilon_{xx} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

Its frequency dependent dielectric function has been calculated. As shown in Fig. 3(g), for the A'-AFM phase, the imaginary components of off-diagonal ε''_{xy} stay zero in the absence of an electric field. In contrast, it is nonzero for the FM phase, implying a detectable MOKE signal. The most interesting result is that the imaginary components of ε''_{xy} are also nonzero for the A'-AFM phase under an external electric field, although the net magnetization remains zero. As expected, larger electric field



FIG. 3. (a,b) The DOS and band structure of Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer. (c,d) The DOS and band structure of Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer under a perpendicular electric field (0.3 V/Å). Inset: magnified view of band splitting near the Γ point. (e) The charge cloud difference caused by the electric field. Blue: losing electron; yellow: gain of electron. (f) Schematic of electron cloud distortions. (g) Imaginary components of offdigonal dielectric coefficients ε''_{xy} and ε''_{yx} . Both are zero for the A'-AFM phase when there is no electric field. They become nonzero under electric field, and increase with the field. For comparison, the corresponding values of FM phase without field is shown as shadow.

leads to larger amplitude of imaginary components of ε''_{xy} . In short, the Zeeman-like band splitting in the Cr₂CCl₂ monolayer induced by an electric field can be detected via magneto-optical activity, even in the absence of net magnetization.

An alternative and feasible approach is to use a proximate layer to replace the external electric field, which can be even nonvolatile for the magnetoelectric switching.

To demonstrate this idea, a 2D vdW heterostructure Cr_2CCl_2/Sc_2CO_2 is constructed. Here the Sc_2CO_2 monolayer is a ferroelectric MXene, with a spontaneous out-of-plane polarization of 1.60 μ C/m²⁴⁰. Also, the in-plane lattice geometry matches well between Sc₂CO₂ and Cr₂CCl₂ monolayers: similar trigonal framework and proximate lattice constants (a = 3.427 Å for Sc₂CO₂ and a = 3.258 Å for Cr₂CCl₂ according to our DFT structural optimization).

Considering the FE polarization directions $(P \uparrow vs P \downarrow)$ and stacking modes (A vs B), here four possible structural configurations are considered, as shown in Fig. S4 of the supplementary material. According to our calculation, the configuration A always has a lower energy than the configuration B, for giving polarization (see Table S2 in the supplementary material). Hence, only the configuration A will be discussed in the following, as sketched in Figs. 4(a-b). The optimized distances between Cr₂CCl₂ and Sc₂CO₂ are $d_0 = 2.734$ Å for $P \uparrow$ and $d_0 = 2.868$ Å for $P \downarrow$, respectively. The $P \uparrow$ state is lower in energy than that of $P \downarrow$.

Based on our DFT calculations, the A'-AFM phase remains the ground state of Cr_2CCl_2 upon the substrate polarization (see Tables S3 in the supplementary material). In addition, the energy dependence of interlayer spacing is shown in Fig. 4(c). The saturation energies of heterostructure are only 0.213 and 0.175 J/m² for $P \uparrow$ and $P \downarrow$ respectively, which are in the range of vdW materials⁴¹. Thus the coupling between Cr_2CCl_2 and Sc_2CO_2 monolayers is the weak vdW interaction instead of the stronger chemical bonding. Furthermore, we have calculated the exchange J's based on the optimized A'-AFM structures of $\operatorname{Cr}_2\operatorname{CCl}_2/P \uparrow$ and $\operatorname{Cr}_2\operatorname{CCl}_2/P \downarrow$ heterostructures, as compared in Table S4 in the supplementary material. It demonstrated that the robust antiferromagnetism and high Néel temperature persist in the heterostructures.

However, a significant tuning of bandgap (from 0.05 eV for $P \uparrow$ to 1.33 eV for $P \downarrow$) occurs when switching the polarization of the Sc₂CO₂ monolayer, as compared in Figs. 4(d-e). This is mostly due to the electrostatic field effect, which largely shifts the conducting band contributed by Cr's empty 3*d* orbitals. As a consequence, the optical properties will be largely different between the $P \uparrow$ and $P \downarrow$ conditions, which will be reflected in the MOKE behavior.

The magnetic point group of the Cr₂CCl₂/Sc₂CO₂ heterostructure is 3m', which does not change during the polarization switching. The optical conductivity can be expressed as Eq. S6 in the supplementary material³⁷. The MOKE signal is expected in this heterostructure, characterized by the complex Kerr angle $\phi_{\rm K}$, as sketched in Fig. 4(f).

The complex Kerr angle $\phi_{\rm K}$ is consisted by the Kerr rotation angle $\theta_{\rm K}$ and Kerr ellipticity $\eta_{\rm K}$: $\phi_{\rm K} = \theta_{\rm K} + i\eta_{\rm K}$. As shown in Fig. 4(g), no MOKE signal appears in pristime Cr₂CCl₂, but $\phi_{\rm K}$ emerges in the heterostructure. The $\phi_{\rm K}$'s are asymmetric between the $P \uparrow$ and $P \downarrow$ conditions, since these two states are highly asymmetric in this heterostructure. Also, the magnitude of the predicted



5



FIG. 4. (a-b) Side views of $\operatorname{Cr}_2\operatorname{CCl}_2/\operatorname{Sc}_2\operatorname{CO}_2$ hetrostructures for the stable configuration A, (a) $\operatorname{Cr}_2\operatorname{CCl}_2/P \uparrow$ and (b) $\operatorname{Cr}_2\operatorname{CCl}_2/P \downarrow$. (c) The energy dependence of interlayer spacing $(d - d_0)$ in the configuration A. (d-e) The calculated band structures of $\operatorname{Cr}_2\operatorname{CCl}_2/P \uparrow (P \downarrow)$ heterostructures (the corresponding DOS is shown in Fig. S5 of the supplementary material). Insets: magnified view of local splittings. The atomic projections are indicated: $\operatorname{Cr}_2\operatorname{CCl}_2/P \uparrow$ heterostructure. Red arrows denote the propagation direction of light, and black double-headed arrows refer to the corresponding linear polarization direction. (g) The calculated MOKE signals of $\operatorname{Cr}_2\operatorname{CCl}_2/P \uparrow (P \downarrow)$ heterostructures.

MOKE signal is within the detectable precision 42,43 .

In summary, based on first-principles calculations, we have predicted the electric field induced Zeemanlike splitting of band structures and the magnetooptical Kerr effect in the layered collinear antiferromagnetic Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer. The effect also occurs in ferroelectric-magnetic heterostructures, such as Cr_2CCl_2/Sc_2CO_2 . The high magnetic transition temperature of the Cr_2CCl_2 monolayer makes this magnetoelectric function available at room temperature. Our work opens a promising avenue for future studies of electrical tuning of low-dimensional antiferromagnetic spintronics.

See the supplementary material for more DFT results, including DFT energies, structures, model Hamiltonian, and MOKE equations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12274069, 12274070, and 11834002). This research work is supported by the Big Data Computing Center of Southeast University.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

- $^1\mathrm{M.}$ An and S. Dong, APL Mater. $\mathbf{8},\,110704$ (2020).
- ²E. Torun, H. Sahin, S. K. Singh, and F. M. Peeters, Appl. Phys. Lett. **106**, 192404 (2015).
- ³S. Zhou, L. You, H. L. Zhou, Y. Pu, Z. G. Gui, and J. L. Wang, Front. Phys. 16, 13301 (2021).
- 4 C. Gong and X. Zhang, Science **363**, eaav4450 (2019).
- ⁵B. Huang, G. Clark, E. Navarro-Moratalla, D. R. Klein,
- R. Cheng, K. L. Seyler, D. Zhong, E. Schmidgall, M. A. McGuire,
- D. H. Cobden, et al., Nature **546**, 270 (2017).
- ⁶C. Gong, L. Li, Z. Li, H. Ji, A. Stern, Y. Xia, T. Cao, W. Bao,
- C. Wang, Y. Wang, *et al.*, Nature **546**, 265 (2017).
- ⁷K. S. Burch, D. Mandrus, and J.-G. Park, Nature **563**, 47 (2018).
- ⁸K. Chang, J. W. Liu, H. C. Lin, N. Wang, K. Zhao, A. M. Zhang,
- F. Jin, Y. Zhong, X. P. Hu, W. H. Duan, Q. M. Zhang, L. Fu, Q. K. Xue, X. Chen, and S. H. Ji, Science **353**, 274 (2016).
- ⁹F. Liu, L. You, K. L. Seyler, X. B. Li, P. Yu, J. H. Lin, X. W. Wang, J. D. Zhou, H. Wang, H. Y. He, *et al.*, Nat. Commun. 7, 12357 (2016).
- ¹⁰C. J. Cui, W. J. Hu, X. X. Yan, C. Addiego, W. P. Gao, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, L. Z. Li, Y. C. Cheng, P. Li, *et al.*, Nano Lett. **18**, 1253 (2018).

- ¹¹T. Jungwirth, X. Marti, P. Wadley, and J. Wunderlich, Nat. Nanotechnol. **11**, 231 (2016).
- ¹²T. Jungwirth, J. Sinova, A. Manchon, X. Marti, J. Wunderlich, and C. Felser, Nat. Phys. **14**, 200 (2018).
- $^{13}\mathrm{S.}$ Dong and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B $\mathbf{88},\,140404(\mathrm{R})$ (2013).
- ¹⁴B. Huang, G. Clark, D. R. Klein, M. David, E. Navarro-Moratalla, K. L. Seyler, N. Wilson, M. A. McGuire, D. H. Cobden, D. Xiao, *et al.*, Nat. Nanotechnol. **13**, 544 (2018).
- ¹⁵Z. Wang, I. Gutiérrez-Lezama, N. Ubrig, M. Kroner, M. Gibertini, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, A. Imamoğlu, E. Giannini, and A. FMorpurgo, Nat. Commun. 9, 2516 (2018).
- ¹⁶H. F. Lv, Y. J. Niu, X. J. Wu, and J. L. Yang, Nano Lett. **21**, 7050 (2021).
- ¹⁷S. J. Gong, C. Gong, Y. Y. Sun, W. Y. Tong, C. G. Duan, J. H. Chu, and X. Zhang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **115**, 8511 (2018).
- ¹⁸C. K. Tian, F. H. Pan, L. Wang, D. H. Ye, J. M. Sheng, J. C. Wang, L. J. Juan, J. L. Huang, H. X. Zhang, D. Y. Xu, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **104**, 214410 (2021).
- ¹⁹M. Naguib, M. Kurtoglu, V. Presser, J. Lu, J. Niu, M. Heon, L. Hultman, Y. Gogotsi, and M. W. Barsoum, Adv. Mater. 23, 4248 (2011).
- ²⁰A. Miranda, J. Halim, M. W. Barsoum, and A. Lorke, Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, 033102 (2016).
- ²¹H. Kumar, N. C. Frey, L. Dong, B. Anasori, Y. Gogotsi, and V. B. Shenoy, ACS Nano **11**, 7648 (2017).
- ²²G. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C **120**, 18850 (2016).
- ²³S. Li, J. J. He, L. Grajciar, and P. Nachtigall, J. Mater. Chem. C 9, 11132 (2021).
- ²⁴Y. K. Weng, L. F. Lin, E. Dagotto, and S. Dong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 037601 (2016).
- ²⁵G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- ²⁶J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).

- ²⁷S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, Phys. Rev. B 57, 1505 (1998).
- ²⁸J. He, P. Lyu, L. Z. Sun, *et al.*, J. Mater. Chem. C **4**, 6500 (2016).
- $^{29}\mathrm{A}.$ Togo and I. Tanaka, Scr. Mater. $\mathbf{108},$ 1 (2015).
- ³⁰S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem. **27**, 1787 (2006).
- ³¹A. Gulans, S. Kontur, C. Meisenbichler, D. Nabok, P. Pavone, S. Rigamonti, S. Sagmeister, U. Werner, and C. Draxl, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **26**, 363202 (2014).
- ³²S. Sagmeister and C. Ambrosch-Draxl, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 11, 4451 (2009).
- ³³D. Landau and K. Binder, A Guide to Monte Carlo Simulations in Statistical Physics (Cambridge university press, 2021).
- ³⁴M. Khazaei, M. Arai, T. Sasaki, C.-Y. Chung, N. S. Venkataramanan, M. Estili, Y. Sakka, and Y. Kawazoe, Adv. Funct. Mater. 23, 2185 (2013).
- ³⁵H. J. Zhao, X. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Yang, L. Bellaiche, and Y. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 187602 (2022).
- ³⁶M. Diwekar, V. Kamaev, J. Shi, and Z. V. Vardeny, Appl. Phys. Lett. 84, 3112 (2004).
- ³⁷K. Yang, W. T. Hu, H. Wu, M.-H. Whangbo, P. G. Radaelli, and A. Stroppa, ACS Appl. Electron. Mater. 2, 1373 (2020).
- ³⁸D. Sangalli, A. Marini, and A. Debernardi, Phys. Rev. B 86, 125139 (2012).
- ³⁹M. Born and E. Wolf, Principles of optics: electromagnetic theory of propagation, interference and diffraction of light (Elsevier, 2013).
- ⁴⁰A. Chandrasekaran, A. Mishra, and A. K. Singh, Nano Lett. **17**, 3290 (2017).
- ⁴¹M. An, Z. Yand, C. Jun, Z. H. Min, G. Y. Jun, and S. Dong, J. Phys. Chem. C **123**, 30545 (2019).
- ⁴²Y. K. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, Science **306**, 1910 (2004).
- ⁴³J. Lee, K. F. Mak, and J. Shan, Nat. Nanotechnol. **11**, 421 (2016).