
ALON-TARSI NUMBER OF SOME REGULAR GRAPHS

Prajnanaswaroop S
sntrm4@rediffmail.com

April 16, 2024

ABSTRACT

The Alon-Tarsi number of a polynomial is a parameter related to the exponents of its monomials. For graphs, their Alon-Tarsi number is the Alon-Tarsi number of their graph polynomials. As such, it provides an upper bound on their choice and online choice numbers. In this paper, we obtain the Alon-Tarsi number of some complete multipartite graphs, line graphs of some complete graphs of even order, and line graphs of some other regular graphs.

1 Introduction

The Combinatorial Nullstellensatz theorem has become a trending method in Algebraic Combinatorics. It is used in a variety of unexpected areas of Combinatorics and Algebra. The theorem, which is an extension or a generalization of the fundamental theorem of algebra to several variables, is quite useful, primarily in graph theory, number theory, and discrete geometry. Here, we use the method (theorem) in graph theory, specifically for graph colorings/list colorings.

Given a graph G with order and size n and E respectively, we define the average degree of the graph as $a = 2\frac{E}{n}$. Note that, for regular graphs, the average degree of the graph equals their maximum and minimum degrees, hence $a = \Delta$ for such graphs, where Δ is the (maximum) degree of the regular graph. If we give a suitable ordering of the vertices of G in the form x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , we define the graph polynomial as the product $P = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$ where x_i is adjacent to x_j . Note that the graph polynomial P is homogenous with degree equal to the size of G and the maximum exponent of any variable equal to the maximum degree of G . From the original paper regarding Combinatorial Nullstellensatz by Alon([1]) and the crucial paper by Tarsi and Alon([2]), we can define the Alon-Tarsi Number of any polynomial $H = \sum_t c_t \mathbf{y}_t$ with $\mathbf{y}_t = y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2} \dots y_n^{i_n}$ as $\min_t (\max_{i_k} (y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2} \dots y_n^{i_n}))$, that is, it is the minimum of the highest exponent of any of the monomials in the polynomial. For graphs, the Alon-Tarsi number can be defined as the Alon-Tarsi number of its graph polynomial. (Taking this stance from [10]). From the above min-max relation for the Alon-Tarsi number, we say a monomial with the minimum exponent (exponent refers to the maximum taken over all variables in that monomial) is the Alon-Tarsi monomial. From the references, it is clear that the Alon-Tarsi number of any graph is an upper bound (sometimes strict) on the choice number and hence the chromatic number. The Alon Tarsi number can be derived from the structural properties of the graph as well. Per [2], it is seen that the Alon Tarsi number is equal to $k + 1$, where k is the maximum outdegree of an orientation D of a graph G such that the number of spanning eulerian digraphs of D (digraphs with equal out and in degrees) with even number of edges differ from those spanning eulerian digraphs with odd number of edges. From [6] and the primary reference thereof ([7]), the Alon-Tarsi number also upper bounds the online list chromatic number or online choice number.

A famous conjecture in the field of list colorings/ list edge colorings is the List Coloring Conjecture, which states that the list edge chromatic number equals the chromatic number for any line graph; or, in other words,

the list chromatic index equals the chromatic index for any graph. A graph G is considered 1-factorizable if its edges can be partitioned into distinct 1-factors or perfect matchings. In other words, for a regular graph, 1-factorization implies that the number of colors to color the edges equals its degree.

The total graph of a graph G , denoted by $T(G)$ [4], is a graph formed by subdividing all the edges of G and connecting the vertices in the subdivided graph that correspond to incident edges of G on the same vertex, as well as vertices which are adjacent in G . In this form, it can be seen as the 2-distance square of the bipartite graph $S(G)$, the subdivided graph of G , with one half square being the line graph $L(G)$ of G , and the other half square being G itself. The Total coloring conjecture (TCC) [9], [5] would mean that $\chi(T(G)) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$. A weaker form of this, the weak TCC [3] implies that $\chi(T(G)) \leq \Delta(G) + 3$.

One trivial observation from the structure of graph polynomial P is the following.

Lemma 1.1. *The Alon-Tarsi Number (ATN) of any graph G is at least $\frac{a}{2}$*

Proof. The graph polynomial P of G is homogenous with degree E (size). Therefore, the minimum exponent of any variable will be greater than or equal to $\frac{E}{n}$, where n is the order. By the definition of average degree as given above, the lemma at once follows. \square

2 Theorems

Though the following three results are already implied by the main result in [2], the approach we use here is relatively straightforward.

Theorem 2.1. *The Alon-Tarsi monomial of $G = K_{n,n}$ is of the form $c(x_1x_2 \dots x_{2n})^{\frac{n}{2}}$, $c \neq 0$ for even n . Hence ATN of G is $\frac{n}{2} + 1$.*

Proof. In the graph G we have $a = n \implies \frac{a}{2} = \frac{n}{2}$. Therefore, ATN is bounded below by $\frac{n}{2} + 1$. We label all the vertices of one partite set as x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and the remaining partite set as $x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n}$. Now, the monomial $c(x_1x_2 \dots x_{2n})^{\frac{n}{2}}$ can be formed by taking all the variables $\frac{n}{2}$ times in the product. Observe that all edges are of the form $(x_i - x_j)$, where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n\}$. The sign of the product of $x_1^{\frac{n}{2}} x_2^{\frac{n}{2}} \dots x_n^{\frac{n}{2}}$ are all positive as all the individual signs are positive. Now, the signs of $x_{n+1}^{\frac{n}{2}}, x_{n+2}^{\frac{n}{2}} \dots x_{2n}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ can be negative. Nevertheless, as the number of variables in each part (and hence the second part) is even, therefore the sign of the product overall will be $(1)^{\frac{n}{2}}$, which is positive (1). Therefore, the sign of the full product $x_1^{\frac{n}{2}} x_2^{\frac{n}{2}} \dots x_n^{\frac{n}{2}} x_{n+1}^{\frac{n}{2}} x_{n+2}^{\frac{n}{2}} \dots x_{2n}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ is positive (1). Since this will always be the case, the sum of such monomials will be non-zero. Thus, the Alon-Tarsi monomial of G is of the form $c(x_1x_2 \dots x_{2n})^{\frac{n}{2}}$ for some non-zero c . Thus, ATN of G attains its lower bound $\frac{n}{2} + 1$. \square

Corollary 2.1. The ATN of $G = K_{n,n}$ is $1 + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

Proof. The proof is immediate once we note the following three observations:

1) The ATN of $K_{n,n}$ for even n is $\frac{n}{2}$. 2) The ATN of G is always $\geq \frac{n}{2}$. 3) G is a subgraph of $K_{n+1, n+1}$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *The ATN of the bipartite graphs $G = K_{m,n}$, $m < n$ with n even and $(m+n) \mid mn$ is equal to $\frac{mn}{m+n} + 1$.*

Proof. In the graph G we have $\frac{a}{2} = \frac{mn}{m+n}$. Therefore, ATN of G is bounded below by $\frac{mn}{m+n} + 1$. We label all the vertices of one part as x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m and the remaining part as $x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \dots, x_n$. The monomial $c(x_1x_2 \dots x_{m+n})^{\frac{mn}{m+n}}$ can be formed by multiplying all the variables $\frac{mn}{m+n}$ times. Observe that the partial product $(x_1x_2 \dots x_m)^{\frac{mn}{m+n}}$ has a positive sign owing to the positivity of the variables. Whereas, the overall sign of $(x_{m+1}x_{m+2} \dots x_n)^{\frac{mn}{m+n}}$ is equal to $(-1)^{n \frac{mn}{m+n}} = 1$. Therefore, all such monomials are always positive in sign; hence, the sum of these monomials will also be non-zero. Hence, the theorem is implied. \square

Theorem 2.3. *The ATN of regular bipartite graph G with $2n$ vertices with even n and even degree Δ is $\frac{\Delta}{2}$.*

Proof. Again, we label the vertices of G as x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n for the vertices of one part, and $x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n}$ for the remaining vertices. All edges are of the form $(x_i - x_j)$ where i and j are from different parts. This implies we can form a monomial by choosing each of the variables $\frac{\Delta}{2}$ times. As in the previous theorem, the sign of the product $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}$ is always positive as all the individual variables are. As for the sign of $(x_{n+1} x_{n+2} \dots x_{2n})^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}$, we note that as n is even, the combined sign of this partial product would be $(1)^{n \frac{\Delta}{2}} = 1$. Therefore, the full sign of the product monomial $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n x_{n+1} x_{n+2} \dots x_{2n})^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}$ is always positive (1). Hence, the sum of such monomials will be non-zero; in equivalent words, ATN of G is $\frac{\Delta}{2}$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *The ATN of the complete k -partite graph $K_{n,n,\dots,(k\text{-times}),\dots,n}$ for even n is equal to $(k-1)\frac{n}{2}$.*

Proof. We first orient the graph in an eulerian way (Each vertex has equal out and in degrees), such that for each bipartite graphs induced by any two partite sets of vertices, which are complete, are also oriented in an eulerian way. In this case, the maximum outdegree of the orientation equals half the degree, or $\frac{(k-1)n}{2}$.

To show that every spanning eulerian subdigraph having even number of edges differs from that having odd number of edges, we proceed as follows.

We see that, in this particular orientation, for spanning subdigraphs, we should have all the vertices of the graph. In addition, the Eulerian-ness forces the subdigraphs to be either to be those subdigraphs formed by concatenation of subdigraphs with even number of edges, or one vertex and an Eulerian subdigraph formed by the other vertices. Thus, the eulerian subdigraphs with even number of edges only surface in the second case, whose number is equal to the order of the graph.

Now, the spanning subdigraphs with even number of edges are:

1. The empty graph.
2. The graph G .
3. Disjoint union of certain eulerian oriented balanced complete multipartite graphs having even number of vertices in each part.
4. Disjoint union of oriented induced subdigraphs formed by less than k partite sets.
5. Disjoint union of even cycles having length less than or equal to kn .

It can be seen, by an induction argument on k with the base case being $k = 2$, that number of such subdigraphs easily exceeds kn . Thereby, the conditions of Alon-Tarsi theorem are satisfied which instantly gives us the result. \square

A related result to the following result was proved using elaborate techniques in [8] for complete graphs of prime degree.

Theorem 2.5. *The ATN of the line graph of $G = K_n$ for $n = 4k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is $n - 1$. Hence, the edge choosability of K_n is $n - 1$, or are chromatic edge choosable.*

Proof. We note that we can factorize the edges of K_n into $\Delta = n - 1$ 1-factors or perfect matchings. We also observe that an edge in any perfect matching is adjacent to exactly two edges in any other perfect matching (the adjacencies are determined by the end vertices). Thus, the line graphs of G are $n - 1$ -partite with any two partite sets inducing a regular bipartite graph of degree 2.

Let us label the first partite set as A for loss of generality. We can orient G such that any two partite sets induce an eulerian orientation. In addition, this orientation is obtained by oriented the cliques formed by any vertex acyclically, thereby, we have that the edges of the cliques incident at a vertex in A are either oriented

towards it or away from it. This can also said to be kernel perfect orientation. In this case, the maximum outdegree of the orientation equals half the degree of $L(G)$, or $\frac{(4k-1)2}{2} = 4k - 1$.

To show that every spanning eulerian subdigraph having even number of edges differs from that having odd number of edges, we proceed as follows.

We see that, in this particular orientation, for spanning subdigraphs, we should have all the vertices of the graph. In addition, the Eulerian-ness forces the subdigraphs to be either to be those subdigraphs formed by concatenation of subdigraphs with even number of edges, or one vertex and an Eulerian subdigraph formed by the other vertices. Thus, the eulerian subdigraphs with even number of edges only surface in the second case, whose number is equal to the order of the graph.

Now, the spanning subdigraphs with even number of edges are:

1. The empty graph.
2. The graph G .
3. Disjoint union of certain eulerian oriented sub-line graphs having even number of vertices in each part.
4. Disjoint union of oriented induced subdigraphs formed by less than $4k$ partite sets.
5. Disjoint union of even cycles having length less than or equal to $4k$.

It can be seen, by an induction argument on k with the base case being $k = 1$, that number of such subdigraphs easily exceeds $4k$. Thereby, the conditions of Alon-Tarsi theorem are satisfied which instantly gives us the result. \square

Theorem 2.6. *If G is an n order 1-factorizable regular graph with maximum degree Δ and $n = 4k$ for some integer k , then ATN of the line graph of G is equal to $n - 1$. Hence, the LCC holds for these graphs.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the previous theorem. Here, we partition the line graph into Δ independent sets, each having $\frac{n}{2} = 2k$ vertices. Now, the arguments of the previous theorem hold.

Let us label the first partite set as A for loss of generality. We can orient G such that any two partite sets induce an eulerian orientation. In addition, this orientation is obtained by oriented the cliques formed by any vertex acyclically, thereby, we have that the edges of the cliques incident at a vertex in A are either oriented towards it or away from it. This can also said to be kernel perfect orientation. In this case, the maximum outdegree of the orientation equals half the degree of $L(G)$, or $\frac{(\Delta-1)2}{2} = \Delta - 1$.

To show that every spanning eulerian subdigraph having even number of edges differs from that having odd number of edges, we proceed as follows.

We see that, in this particular orientation, for spanning subdigraphs, we should have all the vertices of the graph. In addition, the Eulerian-ness forces the subdigraphs to be either to be those subdigraphs formed by concatenation of subdigraphs with even number of edges, or one vertex and an Eulerian subdigraph formed by the other vertices. Thus, the eulerian subdigraphs with even number of edges only surface in the second case, whose number is equal to the order of the graph.

Now, the spanning subdigraphs with even number of edges are:

1. The empty graph.
2. The graph G .
3. Disjoint union of certain eulerian oriented sub-line graphs having even number of vertices in each part.
4. Disjoint union of oriented induced subdigraphs formed by less than $4k$ partite sets.

5. Disjoint union of even cycles having length less than or equal to $4k$.

It can be seen, by an induction argument on k with the base case being $k = 1$, that number of such subgraphs easily exceeds $4k$. Thereby, the conditions of Alon-Tarsi theorem are satisfied which instantly gives us the result. \square

Corollary 2.2. Let G be a graph having order $4k$ that is 1-factorizable. If $T(G)$ is the total graph of G , which is formed by the disjoint union of G , line graph $L(G)$, and the edges of the subdivision graph $S(G)$. Then, $ATN(T(G)) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$.

Proof. The proof is immediate, as the graph $T(G)$ can be seen as the 2-distance (or square) graph of the subdivision graph, with one half square being G and the other half square being $L(G)$. The interconnecting edges between the two half squares are the edges of the bipartite graph $S(G)$. As such, any minimal Alon-Tarsi monomial will have at most 2 as an increment in the maximum exponent. Therefore, the Corollary follows. \square

Conflict of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest whatsoever.

References

- [1] Alon, N. (1999). Combinatorial nullstellensatz. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 8(1-2), 7-29.
- [2] Alon, N., and Tarsi, M. (1992). Colorings and orientations of graphs. *Combinatorica*, 12, 125-13.
- [3] Basavaraju, M., Chandran, L.S., Francis, M.C. and Naskar, A., 2021. Weakening Total Coloring Conjecture: Weak TCC and Hadwiger's Conjecture on Total Graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.09994.
- [4] Behzad, M., 2006, August. Total graphs. In *Graph Theory and Applications: Proceedings of the Conference at Western Michigan University, May 10–13, 1972* Sponsored jointly by Western Michigan University and the US Army Research Office-Durham, under Grant Number DA-ARO-D-31-124-72-G155 (pp. 21-23). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [5] Behzad, M., 1965. *Graphs and their chromatic numbers*. Michigan State University.
- [6] Carraher, J., Loeb, S., Mahoney, T., Puleo, G. J., Tsai, M. T., and West, D. B. (2014). Three topics in online list coloring. *Journal of Combinatorics*, 5(1), 115-130.
- [7] Schauz, U. (2009). Mr. paint and mrs. correct. *the electronic journal of combinatorics*, R77-R77.
- [8] Schauz, U. (2014). Proof of the list edge coloring conjecture for complete graphs of prime degree. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, P3-43.
- [9] Vizing, V.G., 1968. Some unsolved problems in graph theory. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 23(6), p.125.
- [10] Zhu, X., and Balakrishnan, R. (2021). *Combinatorial Nullstellensatz: With Applications to Graph Colouring*. CRC Press.