

G_2 -INSTANTONS ON 2-STEP NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

ANDREW CLARKE, VIVIANA DEL BARCO, AND ANDRÉS J. MORENO

ABSTRACT. We study the G_2 -instanton condition for a family of metric connections arisen from the characteristic connection, on 7-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie groups with left-invariant coclosed G_2 -structures. According to the dimension of the commutator subgroup, we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the connection to be an instanton, in terms of the torsion of the G_2 -structure, the torsion of the connection and the Lie group structure. Moreover, we show that in our setup, G_2 -instantons define a naturally reductive structure on the simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group with left-invariant Riemannian metric. Taking quotient by lattices, one obtains G_2 -instantons on compact nilmanifolds.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. G_2 -Structures on 2-Step Nilpotent Lie Groups	4
2.1. Preliminaries on G_2 -Structures	4
2.2. Geometry of Riemannian 2-step Nilpotent Lie Groups	5
3. The Instanton Condition for 2-step Nilpotent Lie Algebras \mathfrak{g} Satisfying $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 1$.	7
4. The Instanton Condition for 2-Step Nilpotent Lie Algebras \mathfrak{g} Satisfying $\dim \mathfrak{g}' \geq 2$.	10
4.1. A Necessary Condition	11
4.2. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions	14
5. Examples and Classification	17
6. G_2 -structures Calibrating a Central Subspace Containing the Center	22
6.1. Notation.	22
6.2. The Linear Connection ∇^λ and its Curvature R^λ .	23
6.3. The Instanton Condition for ∇^λ .	30
References	35

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1998, Donaldson and Thomas proposed the extension of gauge theory ideas of dimension 2, 3 and 4 to higher dimensional manifolds endowed with G -structures [14]. An important class of gauge fields are instantons, in which a connection satisfies a first-order differential condition, that in many cases have variational characterisations via the Yang-Mills functional [13]. The instanton condition is formulated on a case-by-case basis according to the geometric setting, and is most often studied in the presence of a G -structure on the base manifold, where a certain tensor or spinor field determines algebraic conditions on the curvature of the connection. For example, on a 7-manifold M with G_2 -structure defined by a positive 3-form φ , a connection ∇ on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a G_2 -instanton if its curvature R^∇

Date: April 11, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 22E25, 53C10, 53C07.

Key words and phrases. G_2 -instanton, coclosed G_2 -structure, 2-step nilpotent Lie group.

satisfies the equation,

$$(1.1) \quad R^\nabla \wedge \star\varphi = 0,$$

where \star denotes the Hodge dual operator induced by φ .

G_2 -instantons were first constructed in the case of torsion-free G_2 -structures on compact and non-compact manifolds by several different methods (e.g. [7, 33, 38]). This was in part motivated by the suggestion in [12, 14] that instantons on such manifolds could be used to define enumerative invariants that could distinguish different connected components of the moduli space of torsion-free G_2 -structures. They later became a subject of study for G_2 -structures of several different non-zero torsion types (e.g. [4, 30, 37]). Notably, G_2 -instantons have also attracted significant attention in theoretical physics, particularly in heterotic supergravity adapted to a 7-dimensional base [8, 9, 27, 29, 34] in which the heterotic G_2 -system requires a conformally coclosed G_2 -structure to be coupled to a gauge field by a quadratic *Bianchi* condition. The gaugino variation present in this system requires the curvature of a certain connection to be of instanton type. Therefore, constructing G_2 -instantons is a first step to build solutions to the full heterotic G_2 -system.

When working with coclosed G_2 -structures φ , a natural connection to test the instanton condition is the *characteristic connection* of φ , that is, a metric connection ∇ with skew-symmetric torsion for which $\nabla\varphi = 0$ [18]. Such a connection exists and is unique in a slightly more general setting than the coclosed one, namely, whenever $d\star\varphi = \theta \wedge \star\varphi$ holds for some 1-form θ . The characteristic connection was proven to be a G_2 -instanton for nearly parallel G_2 -structures [23], but this is not true in general.

In this paper, we study the instanton equation for the characteristic connection of left-invariant G_2 -structures on Lie groups. It is already known that this connection is an instanton on the Heisenberg Lie group of dimension 5 times an abelian factor, and the Heisenberg Lie group of dimension 7 [17]; in both cases φ is taken to be purely coclosed. Also, an $SU(3)$ -instanton on the 6-dimensional free 2-step nilpotent Lie group on 3-generators $N_{2,3}$ lifts to the characteristic connection, which becomes G_2 -instanton on $\mathbb{R} \times N_{2,3}$ [25]; in this case φ is not coclosed. Despite these examples, and to the best of our knowledge, there has not been yet considered a systematic study of the instanton condition for the characteristic connection on families of Lie groups endowed with left-invariant coclosed G_2 -structures.

The goal of this paper is to contribute in this direction. We restrict ourselves to the family of (non-abelian) 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, and equip them with a left-invariant coclosed G_2 -structure φ . Notice that the left-invariant metric induced by φ is not Einstein [32], thus previous results for nearly-parallel manifolds do not apply here. Our techniques build on ideas used by the second named author in collaboration with Moroianu and Rafferio [11] to study left-invariant coclosed (and purely-coclosed) G_2 -structures on 2-step nilpotent Lie groups. We recall that any such Lie group admits a coclosed G_2 -structure, unless it is irreducible and has 2-dimensional commutator [2, 11]. To give our study a little more generality, we consider a 1-parameter family of connections ∇^λ , including the characteristic connection as $\lambda = 1$ (see (2.7)). Then, we ask ourselves: when does ∇^λ satisfy the G_2 -instanton condition (1.1)?

The results we obtain are threefold. On the one hand, we get that the parameter λ gives no generality to the problem. In fact, we show that if ∇^λ is an instanton, then λ must be 1 and therefore we must pay attention to the characteristic connection only (see Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 4.5).

On the other hand we obtain precise characteristics of the possible G_2 -structures φ for which the characteristic connection is an instanton. Indeed, we show that if this is the case and the Lie group has commutator subgroup of dimension 1, then φ is purely coclosed. By contrast, if this subgroup is of dimension ≥ 2 , then φ is not purely coclosed.

Finally, we obtain results on the structure of the Lie group G itself. To state this properly, let us denote by \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G . We show that if G admits a coclosed G_2 -structure for which ∇ is a G_2 -instanton, then G is either the Heisenberg Lie group of dimension 7, or the one of dimension 5 times \mathbb{R}^2 , or it is the quaternionic Heisenberg, or else the group $\mathbb{R} \times N_{2,3}$ mentioned above.

The main result of the paper is to give the full classification of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras (and thus simply connected Lie groups) and coclosed G₂-structures on them for which ∇ is a G₂-instanton:

Theorem 1.1. *On a simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , there exists a left-invariant coclosed G₂-structure φ for which the characteristic connection is a G₂-instanton if and only if there exists a basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ of \mathfrak{g}^* such that φ is given by (4.24) and the structure constants in that basis satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $de^i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 6$ and $de^7 = a(e^{12} - e^{56}) + b(e^{34} - e^{56})$, for some a, b non simultaneously zero.
- (2) $de^i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $de^5 = \nu(e^{13} - e^{24})$, $de^6 = \nu(-e^{14} - e^{23})$, $de^7 = \nu(e^{12} + e^{34})$, for some $\nu \neq 0$.
- (3) $de^i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $de^5 = -2\nu e^{24}$, $de^6 = -2\nu e^{23}$, $de^7 = 2\nu e^{34}$, for some $\nu \neq 0$.

We should point out that the G₂-instantons in (1) are precisely the ones presented by Fernández et. al. [17]. Besides, Agricola et. al. show that the metric Lie groups in (2) are naturally reductive and their canonical connection as such coincides with the characteristic connection of the G₂-structure given in the theorem [1]. They also prove that the holonomy of this connection is $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, so putting these facts altogether one could deduce that they are G₂-instantons, even if it is not explicitly mentioned there. As far as we are aware, the instantons in (3) are new in the literature. Indeed, the latter cannot be obtained from the construction given by Ivanov and Ivanov [25] since they produce instantons for non-coclosed G₂-structures. Apart from the construction of these examples, and linking them together as part of the same theory, we believe that the classification obtained as the direct part of this theorem is the most relevant contribution of this paper.

As a consequence of this result, we are able to show that the relation with natural reductivity of case (2) is actually common to all the cases, thus giving an extra geometrical feature of the connection defining G₂-instantons.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group of dimension 7, let φ be a left-invariant coclosed G₂-structure and denote by g and R , respectively, the left-invariant metric induced by φ and its Riemann curvature tensor. If the characteristic connection ∇ defined by φ is a G₂-instanton, then $\nabla T = \nabla R = 0$ and therefore (G, g) is naturally reductive and ∇ is its canonical connection.*

We note that the converse of this theorem does not hold. Indeed, the Heisenberg Lie group of dimension 3 times a 4-dimensional abelian factor is naturally reductive but the characteristic connection of no left-invariant coclosed G₂-structure is an instanton, since it does not appear in the classification.

The Lie groups admitting instantons listed above possess bases with rational coefficients and therefore they admit lattices as well [31]. In addition, since the G₂-structure and its canonical connection are left-invariant, they descend to the quotient by this lattice, thus leading to G₂-instantons on compact (nil)manifolds.

Now, we give a brief account of the contents of this paper. Section 2 is aimed at fixing notation and gives the preliminaries for the rest of the paper. In Section 3, we study the case when G has commutator of dimension 1. For such Lie groups, we exploit the fact that the G₂-structure induces an SU(3)-structure on the orthogonal complement of the commutator. This allows us to guarantee the existence of a basis adapted to the coclosed G₂-structure and to the Lie algebra structure (see Lemma 3.1). Using this basis we show that a necessary and sufficient condition for ∇^λ to be a G₂-instanton is that $\lambda = 1$ and φ is purely coclosed.

Section 4 deals with the case of commutator of dimension ≥ 2 . It is worth noting that since $\dim G = 7$, its commutator is of dimension at most 3. The focus of the first part of this section is to show that, if the commutator is of dimension 3 and ∇^λ is an instanton for a coclosed G₂-structure φ , then φ calibrates the commutator. This is a key step for the second part of the section, where we show that when a Lie algebra admits a coclosed G₂-structure for which ∇^λ is an instanton, then there is a basis adapted

to both the G_2 -structure and the Lie algebra structure. We finish the section with a characterization of Lie algebras, G_2 -structures and parameters λ for which ∇^λ is an instanton in Proposition 4.5; in particular, we get $\lambda = 1$ as mentioned before. The proof of this proposition requires the computation of the curvature components of ∇^λ , which are involved and require extra notations so we placed them in Section 6 to ease the reading of the article. Finally, in Section 5 we include the classification result mentioned above, with the full description of the examples appearing in the theorem. Moreover, the relation with the naturally reductive property is explained.

Acknowledgements: This research was supported by MATHAMSUD Regional Program 21-MATH-06. AM was funded by the São Paulo Research Foundation (Fapesp) [2021/08026-5]. AC would like to acknowledge the hospitality of IMECC-Unicamp during his visit to Campinas. He is partially supported by the grants BRIDGES ANR–FAPESP ANR-21-CE40-0017 and Projeto CAPES - PrInt UFRJ 88887.311615/2018-00. VdB is funded by Fapesp grant [2021/09197-8]. The authors are grateful to Udhav Fowdar for useful discussions on the topic.

2. G_2 -STRUCTURES ON 2-STEP NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

2.1. Preliminaries on G_2 -Structures. A G_2 -structure on a 7-manifold M is given by a differential 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ that is at each point isomorphic to the 3-form

$$\varphi_0 = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245},$$

on \mathbb{R}^7 , where $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ is the dual to the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^7 . The G_2 -structure φ canonically determines a Riemannian metric $g = g_\varphi$ and a volume form vol_φ on M by

$$(2.1) \quad (X \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge (Y \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge \varphi = 6g_\varphi(X, Y)\text{vol}_\varphi, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

and hence the Levi-Civita connection ∇^g of g and Hodge star operator \star on M . We denote by $\psi = \star\varphi$, the Hodge dual 4-form of φ . A G_2 -structure φ induces decompositions of the bundles Λ^k into sums of subbundles, with factors corresponding to irreducible representations of G_2 . For example,

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda^2 = \Lambda_7^2 \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2 \quad \Lambda^3 = \Lambda_1^3 \oplus \Lambda_7^3 \oplus \Lambda_{27}^2,$$

where Λ_l^k has rank l and each submodule can be characterized algebraically using the forms φ and ψ . For instance,

$$(2.3) \quad \Lambda_{14}^2 = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 : \alpha \wedge \varphi = -\star\alpha\} = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 : \alpha \wedge \psi = 0\} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

The decompositions of Λ^4 and Λ^5 are similar. Hence, the exterior derivatives of φ and ψ can be written in terms of the *torsion forms* $\tau_j \in \Omega^j = \Gamma(\Lambda^j)$ (for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$) which are defined by (see [6])

$$(2.4) \quad d\varphi = \tau_0\psi + 3\tau_1 \wedge \varphi + \star\tau_3 \quad \text{and} \quad d\psi = 4\tau_1 \wedge \psi + \tau_2 \wedge \varphi.$$

Explicitly, the torsion forms can be written in terms of φ and ψ :

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_0 &= \frac{1}{7} \star(\varphi \wedge d\varphi), & \tau_1 &= \frac{1}{12} \star(\varphi \wedge \star d\varphi) = \frac{1}{12} \star(\psi \wedge \star d\psi), \\ \tau_2 &= -\star d\psi + 4\star(\tau_1 \wedge \psi), & \tau_3 &= \star d\varphi - \tau_0\varphi - 3\star(\tau_1 \wedge \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

G_2 -structures can be classified into 16-classes according to which of the four torsion components are identically zero. For example, φ is said to be *torsion free* if $\tau_j = 0$ for all j . By a well-known theorem of Fernandez and Gray [16], this is equivalent to $\nabla^g\varphi = 0$, and hence to a reduction of the Riemannian holonomy group. The G_2 -structure φ is *coclosed* if $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, and is *purely coclosed* if additionally $\tau_0 = 0$. We note that this is equivalent to $\varphi \wedge d\varphi = 0 = d\star\varphi$.

The conditions of coclosedness and pure coclosedness are of particular interest for several reasons. Firstly, Friedrich and Ivanov [18] show that if $\tau_2 = 0$, there exists a unique metric connection ∇ on the

tangent bundle for which the torsion $T(X, Y, Z) = g(X, T_{\nabla}(Y, Z))$ is totally skew-symmetric and for which $\nabla\varphi = 0$. In this case, the torsion 3-form is given by

$$(2.6) \quad T = \frac{1}{6} \star (d\varphi \wedge \varphi) \varphi - \star d\varphi + 4 \star (\tau_1 \wedge \varphi).$$

Secondly, coclosed G₂-structures are those that are required for solutions to the heterotic equations of motion in 7-dimensions. This system of equations, coming from string theory, asks for a spinor field to be parallel with respect to a metric connection with skew-torsion. A *dilaton condition* corresponds in the 7-dimensional case to the torsion term τ_1 being an exact 1-form $\tau_1 = df$. The function f being constant therefore results in the induced G₂-structure φ being coclosed. We note that the conditions $\tau_0 = 0$, and τ_0 equal to a non-zero constant, correspond in the physics literature to different compactification ansätze (see [19, 9]).

Let (M, φ) be a 7-manifold equipped with a G₂-structure and (E, ∇) a vector bundle with connection on M . The condition for ∇ to be a G₂-instanton (1.1) is equivalent to its curvature R^{∇} to take values in the subbundle $\Lambda_{14}^2 \otimes \text{End}(E)$. A natural example of a G₂-instanton is the Levi-Civita connection of a torsion-free G₂-structure. The condition $\nabla^g \varphi = 0$ gives a holonomy reduction for ∇^g , and implies that R^{∇^g} takes values in $\Lambda^2 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2 \subseteq \Lambda^2 \otimes \mathfrak{so}(TM)$, while the symmetries of the curvature tensor of a torsion-free connection imply that it lies in $\Lambda_{14}^2 \otimes \mathfrak{so}(TM)$. We note that if ∇ is a connection on TM with non-vanishing torsion for which $\nabla\varphi = 0$, it is not necessarily the case that ∇ satisfies the instanton condition.

With this in mind, we consider the 1-parameter family of connections ∇^λ on TM , $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$(2.7) \quad g(\nabla_X^\lambda Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^g Y, Z) + \frac{\lambda}{2} T(X, Y, Z), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

for T as in (2.6), where M is a 7-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie group, equipped with a coclosed G₂-structure φ , and ask for which values of λ is ∇^λ a G₂-instanton. We note immediately that ∇^λ is compatible with the metric $g = g_\varphi$, that the torsion of ∇^λ is totally skew-symmetric, and equal to λT , however φ is not parallel with respect to ∇^λ , for $\lambda \neq 1$.

2.2. Geometry of Riemannian 2-step Nilpotent Lie Groups. Let G be a connected 7-dimensional (non-abelian) 2-step nilpotent Lie group endowed with a left-invariant G₂-structure. That is, a non-degenerate 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3(G)$ that is invariant under left-translations of G . Note that the Riemannian metric g and the volume form induced by φ on G by the formula (2.1) are also left-invariant. Denote by \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G . We can thus see φ as an element of $\Lambda^3 \mathfrak{g}^*$, g as an inner product on \mathfrak{g} , etc...

The G₂-structure φ is closed or coclosed when itself or its Hodge dual ψ , respectively, are closed with respect to the Chevalley-Eilenberg Lie algebra differential $d : \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Left-invariant coclosed G₂-structures on 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras were studied in [3]. It is known that any such a Lie algebra admits coclosed G₂-structure, except when \mathfrak{g} is irreducible and has 2-dimensional commutator. In addition, purely coclosed G₂-structures appear on any Lie algebra admitting coclosed ones, except when \mathfrak{g} is the direct sum of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra with \mathbb{R}^4 [11].

From now on we assume that φ is coclosed. We shall introduce some basic facts about the geometry of the underlying Riemannian Lie group $(G, g = g_\varphi)$ by means of algebraic features of its metric Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, g) [15].

On the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the commutator \mathfrak{g}' and the center \mathfrak{z} are defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \langle \{[x, y] : x, y \in \mathfrak{g}\} \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{z} = \{z \in \mathfrak{g} : [z, x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{g}\},$$

where $\langle Y \rangle$ denotes the subspace spanned by Y . The 2-step nilpotent condition on \mathfrak{g} is equivalent to $0 \neq \mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{z}$. We denote by \mathfrak{a} the (possibly trivial) abelian factor of \mathfrak{g} , given by

$$(2.8) \quad \mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{z} \cap (\mathfrak{g}')^\perp.$$

Let \mathfrak{c} be a fixed subspace verifying $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{z}$ which is, in fact, an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{c}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{r} := (\mathfrak{g}')^\perp$. Define $j : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$ by, for $x, y \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{c}$,

$$(2.9) \quad g(j(z)x, y) = g(z, [x, y]).$$

We see that $\ker j = (\mathfrak{g}')^\perp \cap \mathfrak{c}$ and thus the restriction $j|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ is injective. In particular, if $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{z}$, $\ker(j : \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z}^\perp)) = \mathfrak{a}$ because of (2.8). Even though, strictly speaking, different subspaces \mathfrak{c} define different maps j , they are all related. To picture this, consider the maps $j_{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$ and $j_{\mathfrak{g}'}$: $\mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$ defined by (2.9) for \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{g}' , respectively. For any $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, $j_{\mathfrak{c}}(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$ whilst $j_{\mathfrak{g}'}(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q} \oplus (\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{c}))$. However, it is easy to check that $j_{\mathfrak{g}'}(z)$ is the extension of $j_{\mathfrak{c}}(z)$ by zero on $\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{c}$. Due to this close relationship between the maps $j_{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $j_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ and in order to avoid heavy notations, we will denote all possible maps (for all possible choices of \mathfrak{c}) by j , and we will just specify their domain and/or target when necessary.

The structure coefficients of a Lie algebra are determined by the differential map $d : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$. Since \mathfrak{g} is 2-step nilpotent, the image of $d : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ is contained in $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{r}^*$. Given $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, let $z^b \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ denote the linear map $z^b(\cdot) = g(z, \cdot)$. Under the identification $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{r}^*$ with $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$ via the metric g , the differential $dz^b \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{r}^*$ corresponds to $-j(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$; this follows immediatly from Cartan's formula and (2.9) for $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{g}'$.

The Levi-Civita connection ∇^g of (G, g) can be viewed as a map $\nabla^g : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$, such that $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is taken to $\nabla_x^g \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$ which, by Koszul formula, verifies

$$(2.10) \quad g(\nabla_x^g y, z) = \frac{1}{2} (g([x, y], z) + g([z, x], y) + g([z, y], x)), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

More specifically,

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_u^g v = \frac{1}{2}[u, v] & \text{if } u, v \in \mathfrak{r}, \\ \nabla_u^g z = \nabla_z^g u = -\frac{1}{2}j(z)u & \text{if } u \in \mathfrak{r}, z \in \mathfrak{g}', \\ \nabla_z^g z' = 0 & \text{if } z, z' \in \mathfrak{g}'. \end{cases}$$

For every $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, ∇_x^g can be seen as a skew-symmetric endomorphism of \mathfrak{g} ; actually, from (2.11) we have

$$(2.12) \quad \nabla_u^g = \frac{1}{2}(\text{ad}_u - \text{ad}_u^*), \quad \nabla_z^g = -\frac{1}{2}j(z) \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{r}, z \in \mathfrak{g}'$$

where here ad_u^* is the metric adjoint of ad_u and $j(z)$ denotes the extension to \mathfrak{g} , by zero on \mathfrak{g}' , of the map $j(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$ defined in (2.9). In fact, as any metric connection, ∇^g can be represented as an $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ -valued 1-form Ψ_0 on \mathfrak{g} . Namely, given an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^7$ of \mathfrak{g} and its dual basis $\{e^i\}_{i=1}^7$, one has

$$(2.13) \quad \Psi_0 = \sum_{i=1}^7 e^i \otimes \nabla_{e_i}^g.$$

The coclosed G_2 -structure φ defines a 1-parameter family of metric connections ∇^λ via the formula (2.7). Notice that $\nabla^0 = \nabla^g$ is not an instanton since otherwise (G, g) would have holonomy contained in G_2 and thus it would be Ricci flat [5], contradicting the fact that \mathfrak{g} is not abelian [32, Theorem 2.4]. Consequently, when studying the instanton condition for ∇^λ , we will assume $\lambda \neq 0$.

The connection 1-form corresponding to ∇^λ in (2.7) is

$$(2.14) \quad \Psi_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^7 e^i \otimes \nabla_{e_i}^\lambda = \Psi_0 + \frac{\lambda}{2}T,$$

where T in (2.6) becomes

$$(2.15) \quad T = \frac{1}{6} \star (d\varphi \wedge \varphi) \varphi - \star d\varphi,$$

due to closedness of ψ , and the corresponding $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ -valued curvature 2-form reads

$$R^\lambda = d\Psi_\lambda + \Psi_\lambda \wedge \Psi_\lambda.$$

Explicitly, given $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have the endomorphism

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{x,y}^\lambda &= d\Psi_\lambda(x, y) + (\Psi_\lambda \wedge \Psi_\lambda)(x, y) = -\Psi_\lambda([x, y]) + \Psi_\lambda(x)\Psi_\lambda(y) - \Psi_\lambda(y)\Psi_\lambda(x) \\ &= -\nabla_{[x,y]}^\lambda + \nabla_x^\lambda \nabla_y^\lambda - \nabla_y^\lambda \nabla_x^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Alternately, for $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$, the entry of the curvature $(R^\lambda)_\alpha^\beta \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ can be computed in the basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^7$ as follows:

$$(2.17) \quad (R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 7} g \left(R_{e_j, e_k}^\lambda e_\beta, e_\alpha \right) e^j \wedge e^k$$

and R^λ satisfies the G₂-instanton condition (1.1) if and only if $(R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi = 0$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$.

3. THE INSTANTON CONDITION FOR 2-STEP NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS \mathfrak{g} SATISFYING $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 1$.

In this section \mathfrak{g} is a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with 1-dimensional commutator \mathfrak{g}' . Let φ be a G₂-structure on \mathfrak{g} and consider the orthogonal splitting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}'$ with respect to $g = g_\varphi$, where $\mathfrak{r} = (\mathfrak{g}')^\perp$. Given a unit vector $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, the structure equations of \mathfrak{g} are completely determined by $A =: -j(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$ satisfying (2.9) for $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{g}'$. Then the 6-dimensional vector space \mathfrak{r} is endowed with the flat SU(3)-structure (h, ω, ρ_\pm) given by

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi = \omega \wedge z^\flat + \rho_+, \quad \psi = \frac{\omega^2}{2} + \rho_- \wedge z^\flat \quad \text{and} \quad g = h + z^\flat \otimes z^\flat.$$

The G₂-structure φ is coclosed if and only if $A \in \mathfrak{u}(3) := \{B \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r}) : BJ = JB\}$, where J is defined by $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = h(J\cdot, \cdot)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For every coclosed G₂-structure φ on \mathfrak{g} there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} , such that:*

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad de^i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 6, \quad de^7 = ae^{12} + be^{34} + ce^{56},$$

for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, not all simultaneously zero.

Proof. By definition of G₂-structures there exists an orthonormal basis $\{\tilde{e}^1, \dots, \tilde{e}^7\}$ of \mathfrak{g}^* such that, on this basis, φ has the form (3.2). Using that G₂ acts transitively on the 6-sphere, we can assume that $\tilde{e}_7 \in \mathfrak{g}'$. Let (ω, ρ_\pm) be the induced SU(3)-structure on $\mathfrak{r} = \langle \{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_6\} \rangle$. Since φ is coclosed, the matrix $A := -j(\tilde{e}_7) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$ commutes with J [11, Proposition 4.1]. In particular, there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \text{U}(3)$ such that on the basis $\{U\tilde{e}_1, \dots, U\tilde{e}_6\}$, A and J have real canonical form

$$(3.4) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus 3}.$$

Set $u := \overline{\det U}$ and consider $v \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $v^3 = u$, thus we have that $V := vU \in \text{SU}(3)$. Then, $\{e_1 := V\tilde{e}_1, \dots, e_6 := V\tilde{e}_6, e_7 := \tilde{e}_7\}$ is a basis where φ has the form (3.2) and their differentials satisfy (3.3). Since \mathfrak{g} is not abelian, a, b, c cannot be simultaneously zero. \square

Using the basis given in the lemma, and combining (3.3) with (2.11) and (2.13), we get that the $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ -valued 1-form on \mathfrak{g} of the Levi-Civita connection of (\mathfrak{g}, g) is

$$(3.5) \quad \Psi_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} e^7 \otimes A & -A\mathbf{e} \\ \hline \mathbf{e}^T A & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

where $\mathbf{e}^T = (e^1, e^2, \dots, e^6)$ and $\mathbf{e}^T A := (ae^2, -ae^1, be^4, -be^3, ce^6, -ce^5)$. By (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$(3.6) \quad d\varphi = (a+b)e^{1234} + (a+c)e^{1256} + (b+c)e^{3456},$$

and thus, setting $\mu = a + b + c$, we further obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \star(d\varphi \wedge \varphi) = 2\mu.$$

In particular, φ is purely coclosed (see (2.5)) if and only if $\mu = 0$ (see also [11, Proposition 4.1]).

Moreover, by (3.6), the torsion 3-form T associated with the coclosed G_2 -structure via (2.15) is

$$T = \frac{\mu}{3} (e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}) + \frac{1}{3} ((a - 2b - 2c)e^{12} - (2a - b + 2c)e^{34} - (2a + 2b - c)e^{56}) \wedge e^7.$$

We can write this 3-form as the $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ -valued 1-form:

$$(3.8) \quad T = \frac{\mu}{3} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -2e^7 \otimes J + M & -2J\mathbf{e} \\ \hline 2\mathbf{e}^T J & 0 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c|c} e^7 \otimes A & A\mathbf{e} \\ \hline -\mathbf{e}^T A & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & -e^5 & e^6 & e^3 & -e^4 \\ 0 & 0 & e^6 & e^5 & -e^4 & -e^3 \\ \hline e^5 & -e^6 & 0 & 0 & -e^1 & e^2 \\ -e^6 & -e^5 & 0 & 0 & e^2 & e^1 \\ \hline -e^3 & e^4 & e^1 & -e^2 & 0 & 0 \\ e^4 & e^3 & -e^2 & -e^1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Let ∇^λ be the metric connection defined by φ via formula (2.7), namely $\nabla^\lambda = \nabla^g + \frac{\lambda}{2}T$, where T is as in (3.8). Its connection 1-form is $\Psi_\lambda = \Psi_0 + \frac{\lambda}{2}T$, as given in (2.14).

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with structure equation (3.3) and G_2 -structure (3.2). If the connection ∇^λ is a G_2 -instanton then, $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that ∇^λ is a G₂-instanton (1.1). In terms of the curvature components (2.17), this is equivalent to $(R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 7$. For $\alpha \neq 7$, using that $AJ = JA$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(R^\lambda)_\alpha^7 &= \sum_{j < k} g((\Psi_\lambda \wedge \Psi_\lambda)_{e_j, e_k} e_\alpha, e_7) e^j \wedge e^k \\
&= \sum_{j < k} g(((\Psi_\lambda)_{e_k} (\Psi_\lambda)_{e_j} - (\Psi_\lambda)_{e_j} (\Psi_\lambda)_{e_k}) e_7, e_\alpha) e^j \wedge e^k \\
&= \sum_{j, k} g\left((\lambda - 1)(\Psi_\lambda)_{e_k} A e_{e_j} - \frac{2\mu\lambda}{3}(\Psi_\lambda)_{e_k} J e_{e_j}, e_\alpha\right) e^j \wedge e^k \\
&= \sum_{j, k} \left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 - 1)e^7(e_k)(A^2 \mathbf{e}_{e_j})_\alpha - \frac{\mu\lambda}{3}(\lambda + 1)e^7(e_k)(A J \mathbf{e}_{e_j})_\alpha - \frac{\mu\lambda}{3}(\lambda - 1)e^7(e_k)(J A \mathbf{e}_{e_j})_\alpha\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{2\mu^2\lambda^2}{9}e^7(e_k)(\mathbf{e}_{e_j})_\alpha + \frac{\mu\lambda}{6}\left((\lambda - 1)(M_{e_k} A \mathbf{e}_{e_j})_\alpha - \frac{2\mu\lambda}{3}(M_{e_k} J \mathbf{e}_{e_j})_\alpha\right)\right) e^j \wedge e^k \\
&= \sum_{j < k} -\left(\frac{1}{4}(\lambda^2 - 1)((e^7 \wedge A^2 \mathbf{e})_{e_j, e_k})_\alpha - \frac{\mu\lambda^2}{3}((e^7 \wedge J A \mathbf{e})_{e_j, e_k})_\alpha - \frac{\mu^2\lambda^2}{9}((e^7 \wedge \mathbf{e})_{e_j, e_k})_\alpha\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\mu\lambda}{12}\left((\lambda - 1)((M \wedge A \mathbf{e})_{e_j, e_k})_\alpha - \frac{2\mu\lambda}{3}((M \wedge J \mathbf{e})_{e_j, e_k})_\alpha\right)\right) e^j \wedge e^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}(\lambda^2 - 1)(e^7 \wedge A^2 \mathbf{e})_\alpha + \frac{\mu\lambda^2}{3}(e^7 \wedge A J \mathbf{e})_\alpha + \frac{\mu^2\lambda^2}{9}(e^7 \wedge \mathbf{e})_\alpha \\
&\quad - \frac{\mu\lambda}{12}\left((\lambda - 1)(M \wedge A \mathbf{e})_\alpha - \frac{2\mu\lambda}{3}(M \wedge J \mathbf{e})_\alpha\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $(M \wedge A \mathbf{e})_\alpha$ and $(M \wedge J \mathbf{e})_\alpha$ are the components of the vectors

$$M \wedge A \mathbf{e} = \begin{pmatrix} -(b+c)(e^{36} + e^{45}) \\ -(b+c)(e^{35} - e^{46}) \\ (a+c)(e^{16} + e^{25}) \\ (a+c)(e^{15} - e^{26}) \\ -(a+b)(e^{14} + e^{23}) \\ -(a+b)(e^{13} - e^{24}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M \wedge J \mathbf{e} = \begin{pmatrix} -2(e^{36} + e^{45}) \\ -2(e^{35} - e^{46}) \\ 2(e^{16} + e^{25}) \\ 2(e^{15} - e^{26}) \\ -2(e^{14} + e^{23}) \\ -2(e^{13} - e^{24}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, for the entries $(R^\lambda)_7^1$, $(R^\lambda)_7^3$ and $(R^\lambda)_7^5$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(R^\lambda)_7^1 &= -\frac{1}{36} [(3a(\lambda - 1) - 2\mu\lambda)(3a(\lambda + 1) - 2\mu\lambda) e^{17} + \mu\lambda(\mu(\lambda + 3) + 3a(\lambda - 1)) (e^{36} + e^{45})], \\
(R^\lambda)_7^3 &= -\frac{1}{36} [(3b(\lambda - 1) - 2\mu\lambda)(3b(\lambda + 1) - 2\mu\lambda) e^{37} - \mu\lambda(\mu(\lambda + 3) + 3b(\lambda - 1)) (e^{16} + e^{25})], \\
(R^\lambda)_7^5 &= -\frac{1}{36} [(3c(\lambda - 1) - 2\mu\lambda)(3c(\lambda + 1) - 2\mu\lambda) e^{57} + \mu\lambda(\mu(\lambda + 3) + 3c(\lambda - 1)) (e^{14} + e^{23})].
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2), we have that the equations $(R^\lambda)_7^k \wedge \psi = 0$, for $k = 1, 3, 5$ are equivalent to either $\lambda = -1$ or the following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad &2\mu^2\lambda - 2\mu a\lambda + 3a^2(\lambda - 1) = 0, \\
&2\mu^2\lambda - 2\mu b\lambda + 3b^2(\lambda - 1) = 0, \\
&2\mu^2\lambda - 2\mu c\lambda + 3c^2(\lambda - 1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If the latter holds, adding the three equations we obtain $4\mu^2\lambda + 3(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)(\lambda - 1) = 0$, which implies $0 < \lambda \leq 1$.

Now, we compute the entries $(R^\lambda)_2^1$, $(R^\lambda)_4^3$ and $(R^\lambda)_6^5$ of the curvature:

$$\begin{aligned} (R^\lambda)_2^1 &= d(\Psi_\lambda)_2^1 + \sum_{k=1}^7 (\Psi_\lambda)_k^1 \wedge (\Psi_\lambda)_2^k \\ &= -\frac{1}{6}(3a(\lambda+1) - 2\mu\lambda)(ae^{12} + be^{34} + ce^{56}) + \frac{\mu^2\lambda^2}{18}(e^{34} + e^{56}) - \frac{1}{36}(3a(\lambda-1) - 2\mu\lambda)^2 e^{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (R^\lambda)_4^3 &= -\frac{1}{6}(3b(\lambda+1) - 2\mu\lambda)(ae^{12} + be^{34} + ce^{56}) + \frac{\mu^2\lambda^2}{18}(e^{12} + e^{56}) - \frac{1}{36}(3b(\lambda-1) - 2\mu\lambda)^2 e^{34}, \\ (R^\lambda)_6^5 &= -\frac{1}{6}(3c(\lambda+1) - 2\mu\lambda)(ae^{12} + be^{34} + ce^{56}) + \frac{\mu^2\lambda^2}{18}(e^{12} + e^{34}) - \frac{1}{36}(3c(\lambda-1) - 2\mu\lambda)^2 e^{56}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2), the equations $(R^\lambda)_{k+1}^k \wedge \psi = 0$ for $k = 1, 3, 5$ are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} -3(\lambda-1)^2 a^2 + 4\mu^2\lambda + 2(2\lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 3)a\mu &= 0, \\ -3(\lambda-1)^2 b^2 + 4\mu^2\lambda + 2(2\lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 3)b\mu &= 0, \\ -3(\lambda-1)^2 c^2 + 4\mu^2\lambda + 2(2\lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 3)c\mu &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last system of equations implies the equation

$$(3.10) \quad -3(\lambda-1)^2(a^2 + b^2 + c^2) + 2(2\lambda^2 - \lambda - 3)\mu^2 = 0.$$

By the above, we know that λ is either -1 or in the interval $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. However, if $\lambda = -1$, (3.10) becomes $-12(a^2 + b^2 + c^2) - 8\mu^2 = 0$, which cannot hold since a, b, c do not vanish simultaneously. In addition, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ implies $-25/8 < 2\lambda^2 - \lambda - 3 \leq -2$ which, together with (3.10), gives $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with structure equation (3.3) and G_2 -structure (3.2). The connection ∇_λ is a G_2 -instanton if and only if $\lambda = 1$ and φ is purely-coclosed.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.2, a necessary condition for $R^\lambda \wedge \psi = 0$ is $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 0$. The latter is equivalent to φ purely-coclosed (see (3.6)). We shall prove that these conditions are also sufficient.

In fact, fixing $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 0$ and using (3.5) and (3.8) we get that the connection 1-form $\Psi_1 = \Psi_0 + \frac{1}{2}T$ in (2.14) becomes:

$$(3.11) \quad \Psi_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} e^7 \otimes A & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{su}(3) \subset \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2,$$

and the corresponding curvature 2-form is:

$$(3.12) \quad R^1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} de^7 \otimes A & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{su}(3) \subset \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2,$$

where $de^7 = a(e^{12} - e^{56}) + b(e^{34} - e^{56})$. Finally, notice that ω in (3.1) is $\omega = e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56}$ due to (3.2), so a simple computation shows $de^7 \wedge \omega^2 = 0$ and thus $R^1 \wedge \psi = 0$ by (3.1). \square

4. THE INSTANTON CONDITION FOR 2-STEP NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS \mathfrak{g} SATISFYING $\dim \mathfrak{g}' \geq 2$.

Recall from Section 2.2 that the commutator subalgebra \mathfrak{g}' of a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 7 has dimension at most three. Having already worked with $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 1$, in this section we focus on the instanton question for ∇^λ for the remaining cases $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 2$ and 3. We will show that, in these cases, the instanton condition is closely related to the calibratedness of some central subspace. First we show that if $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$ and the connection ∇^λ defined by a coclosed G_2 -structure φ is an instanton, then φ calibrates \mathfrak{g}' . Note that this necessary condition excludes certain coclosed invariant G_2 -structures from consideration (see [11, Ex. 4.15]).

Next, we proceed to the general case $\dim \mathfrak{g}' \geq 2$. We show that for ∇^λ to be an instanton, $\lambda = 1$, the coclosed structures are necessarily *not* purely coclosed, that $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$ and the Lie algebra structure constants satisfy precise conditions.

4.1. A Necessary Condition. Let \mathfrak{g} denote a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 7 with 3-dimensional commutator \mathfrak{g}' and let φ be a coclosed G₂-structure on \mathfrak{g} inducing a metric $g = g_\varphi$. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_5, e_6, e_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g}' . Using the transitive action of G₂ on ordered pairs of orthonormal vectors in \mathbb{R}^7 , one can get an orthonormal basis $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that $\tilde{e}_6 = e_6$ and $\tilde{e}_7 = e_7$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi = \tilde{e}^{127} + \tilde{e}^{347} + \tilde{e}^{567} + \tilde{e}^{135} - \tilde{e}^{146} - \tilde{e}^{236} - \tilde{e}^{245}.$$

Since $e_5 \perp \tilde{e}_6, \tilde{e}_7$, we have $e_5 \in \langle \{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_5\} \rangle$. Moreover, the stabilizer of \tilde{e}_6 and \tilde{e}_7 fixes \tilde{e}_5 as well and acts as SU(2) on $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}} := \langle \{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_4\} \rangle$. Hence, we can assume that the component of e_5 on $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ is proportional to \tilde{e}_3 . This means that there exist $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r^2 + s^2 = 1$ and $e_5 = r\tilde{e}_3 + s\tilde{e}_5$.

Recall that φ is said to *calibrate* a 3-dimensional subspace \mathfrak{c} of \mathfrak{g} if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ of \mathfrak{c} such that $\varphi(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \pm 1$. We refer the reader to [24] for the theory of calibrations. Since $\varphi(e_5, e_6, e_7) = s$, one gets that φ calibrates \mathfrak{g}' if and only if $s = 1$ and $r = 0$.

Set $e_i := \tilde{e}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 4$ and $e_3 := s\tilde{e}_3 - r\tilde{e}_5$, so that $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ is a g -orthonormal basis. In the dual basis, we have

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{e}^3 = se^3 + re^5 \\ \tilde{e}^5 = -re^3 + se^5 \end{cases},$$

so the G₂-structure in (4.1) in the new basis becomes

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi = e^{127} + e^{135} - e^{146} - r(e^{234} + e^{256} + e^{367} + e^{457}) - s(e^{236} + e^{245} - e^{347} - e^{567}).$$

We readily compute

$$(4.3) \quad \psi = e^{2357} - e^{2467} + e^{3456} - r(e^{1236} + e^{1245} - e^{1347} - e^{1567}) + s(e^{1234} + e^{1256} + e^{1367} + e^{1457}).$$

Notice that φ induces the following SU(3)-structure on $\langle \{e_1\} \rangle^\perp$:

$$(4.4) \quad \omega = e^{27} + e^{35} - e^{46} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = s(e^{234} + e^{256} + e^{367} + e^{457}) - r(e^{236} + e^{245} - e^{347} - e^{567})$$

which verifies

$$\frac{\omega^3}{3!} = \frac{1}{4}\rho \wedge J^*\rho = e^{234567},$$

where J is the almost complex structure given by $Je_2 = e_7, Je_3 = e_5$ and $Je_6 = e_4$. We note that since e_5, e_6, e_7 span \mathfrak{g}' , the structure constants satisfy

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4 \\ de^i =: \alpha_i \in \Lambda^2 \langle \{e_1, \dots, e_4\} \rangle^*, & i = 5, 6, 7. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.1. *If φ does not calibrate \mathfrak{g}' , then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that φ is given by (4.2) and \mathfrak{g} has structure constants*

$$(4.6) \quad de^5 = d_3e^{13}, \quad de^6 = -d_4e^{14} \quad \text{and} \quad de^7 = d_2e^{12},$$

for some $d_2, d_3, d_4 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Assume that φ does not calibrate \mathfrak{g}' so that $r \neq 0$ in (4.2). Differentiating (4.3) and using that φ is coclosed and (4.5), we get

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 = d\psi &= e^{237} \wedge \alpha_5 - e^{235} \wedge \alpha_7 - e^{247} \wedge \alpha_6 + e^{246} \wedge \alpha_7 + e^{346} \wedge \alpha_5 - e^{345} \wedge \alpha_6 \\ &+ re^1 \wedge (-e^{67} \wedge \alpha_5 + e^{57} \wedge \alpha_6 - e^{56} \wedge \alpha_7 - e^{34} \wedge \alpha_7 + e^{24} \wedge \alpha_5 + e^{23} \wedge \alpha_6) \\ &+ se^1 \wedge (e^{26} \wedge \alpha_5 - e^{25} \wedge \alpha_6 + e^{37} \wedge \alpha_6 - e^{36} \wedge \alpha_7 + e^{47} \wedge \alpha_5 - e^{45} \wedge \alpha_7). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the contraction of this 5-form with two elements in the commutator gives, for instance,

$$0 = e_6 \lrcorner e_5 \lrcorner d\psi = r e^1 \wedge \alpha_7.$$

Since $r \neq 0$, this implies $e^1 \wedge \alpha_7 = 0$. Similarly, one obtains $0 = e^1 \wedge \alpha_5 = e^1 \wedge \alpha_6$. Hence, we get that

$$(4.8) \quad \alpha_{i+4} = e^1 \wedge (a_{i1}e^2 + a_{i2}e^3 + a_{i3}e^4), \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

for some $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$ and thus (4.7) implies

$$(4.9) \quad e^{23} \wedge \alpha_5 - e^{24} \wedge \alpha_6 = 0, \quad e^{24} \wedge \alpha_7 + e^{34} \wedge \alpha_5 = 0, \quad e^{23} \wedge \alpha_7 + e^{34} \wedge \alpha_6 = 0.$$

Replacing each α_{i+4} in (4.9) by its expression in (4.8), we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad a_{11} = a_{32}, \quad a_{21} = -a_{33}, \quad a_{13} = -a_{22}.$$

Consequently, the Lie algebra structure coefficients in terms of the orthonormal basis are:

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{cases} de^5 = e^1 \wedge (a_{12}e^3 + a_{13}e^4 + a_{11}e^2), \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge (-a_{13}e^3 + a_{23}e^4 + a_{21}e^2), \\ de^7 = e^1 \wedge (a_{11}e^3 - a_{21}e^4 + a_{31}e^2). \end{cases}$$

Consider the following matrices $M = (m_{ij})$ and $S = (s_{ij})$

$$(4.12) \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} & -a_{13} & a_{11} \\ -a_{13} & -a_{23} & a_{21} \\ a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (4.11) can be rewritten as $de^{k+4} = e^1 \wedge \sum_{j,l=1}^3 s_{kj}m_{jl}e^{l+1} = e^1 \wedge \sum_{l=1}^3 (SM)_{kl}e^{l+1}$, for $k = 2, 3, 4$. Let $Q = (q_{ij}) \in \text{SO}(3)$ be such that $S = QDQ^t$, with D a diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(b_2, b_3, b_4)$. Set

$$(4.13) \quad f^1 := e^1, \quad f^{i+4} := \sum_{k=1}^3 q_{ki}e^{k+4} = \sum_{k=1}^3 (Q^t)_{ik}e^{k+4}, \quad f^{i+1} := \sum_{l=1}^3 (M^t Q^t M)_{il}e^{l+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then, for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} df^{i+4} &= e^1 \wedge \sum_{k=1}^3 q_{ki} de^{k+4} = e^1 \wedge \sum_{j,l=1}^3 (SQ)_{ji} m_{jl} e^{l+1} = e^1 \wedge \sum_{j,l=1}^3 (QD)_{ji} m_{jl} e^{l+1} \\ &= b_i e^1 \wedge \sum_{l=1}^3 (Q^t M)_{il} e^{l+1} = b_i e^1 \wedge \sum_{l,j=1}^3 m_{ij} (M^t Q^t M)_{jl} e^{l+1} = b_i e^1 \wedge \sum_{j=1}^3 m_{ij} f^{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get formulas (4.6) for some $d_2, d_3, d_4 \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that if some d_i vanishes, then $\dim \mathfrak{g}' < 3$, so they are all non-zero. Since M and Q are orthogonal, $\{f^1, \dots, f^7\}$ is an orthonormal basis. Moreover, the orthogonal map $A : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ verifying $A^* e^i = f^i$ as in (4.13) preserves the subspaces $\langle \{e_1\} \rangle$ and $\langle \{e_1\} \rangle^\perp$, and also, it preserves the $\text{SU}(3)$ -structure (4.4) and the G_2 -structure φ . Moreover, applying A^* to both sides in (4.2) we get

$$\varphi = f^{127} + f^{135} - f^{146} - r(f^{234} + f^{256} + f^{367} + f^{457}) - s(f^{236} + f^{245} - f^{347} - f^{567}).$$

□

Remark 4.2. By using the basis in Lemma 4.19 one can show that if \mathfrak{g} admits a coclosed G_2 -structure not calibrating \mathfrak{g}' then \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{7,3,A}$ in Gong's classification [21]. This fact was already shown through a slightly different argument in [11, Proposition 4.14].

Now we consider the affine connection ∇^λ on \mathfrak{g} defined by φ as in (2.7) and we study the instanton condition on it.

Proposition 4.3. *If ∇^λ is an instanton, then φ calibrates \mathfrak{g}' .*

Proof. Let us suppose that φ does not calibrate \mathfrak{g}' . Then, there is a basis satisfying the conditions in Lemma 4.1. By (4.6), the connection 1-form of the Levi-Civita connection in that basis is

$$(4.14) \quad \Psi_0 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\zeta_1^T & -\zeta_2^T \\ \zeta_1 & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & -B^T \\ \zeta_2 & B & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} \end{pmatrix},$$

for

$$(4.15) \quad \zeta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} d_2 e^7 \\ d_3 e^5 \\ -d_4 e^6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \zeta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} d_3 e^3 \\ -d_4 e^4 \\ d_2 e^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -d_3 e^1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_4 e^1 \\ -d_2 e^1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Setting $\mu = d_2 + d_3 + d_4$, the torsion 3-form (2.15) is:

$$\begin{aligned} T = & \frac{\mu}{3} (e^{127} + e^{135} - e^{146}) - r \left(\frac{\mu}{3} e^{234} - \left(\frac{2\mu}{3} - d_2 \right) e^{256} - \left(\frac{2\mu}{3} - d_3 \right) e^{367} - \left(\frac{2\mu}{3} - d_4 \right) e^{457} \right) \\ & - s \left(\left(\frac{\mu}{3} - d_4 \right) e^{236} + \left(\frac{\mu}{3} - d_3 \right) e^{245} - \left(\frac{\mu}{3} - d_2 \right) e^{347} + \frac{2\mu}{3} e^{567} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the connection 1-form Ψ_λ of ∇^λ , which is given (2.14), has the following curvature terms (see (2.17))

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (R^\lambda)_2^1 &= p(d_2)e^{12} + s\lambda (p_1(d_2, d_3, d_4)e^{34} + p_2(d_2, d_3, d_4)e^{56}) \\ &\quad - r\lambda (p_3(d_2, d_3, d_4)e^{36} + p_4(d_2, d_3, d_4)e^{45}) \\ (R^\lambda)_3^1 &= p(d_3)e^{13} - s\lambda (p_1(d_3, d_4, d_2)e^{24} - p_2(d_3, d_4, d_2)e^{67}) \\ &\quad + r\lambda (p_3(d_3, d_4, d_2)e^{47} + p_4(d_3, d_4, d_2)e^{26}) \\ (R^\lambda)_4^1 &= p(d_4)e^{14} + s\lambda (p_1(d_4, d_2, d_3)e^{23} + p_2(d_4, d_2, d_3)e^{57}) \\ &\quad + r\lambda (p_3(d_4, d_2, d_3)e^{25} - p_4(d_4, d_2, d_3)e^{37}) \end{aligned}$$

where $p(x) = -\frac{\mu^2}{36}\lambda^2 - \frac{3}{4}x^2$ and

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{36} ((3x - \mu)\mu\lambda + 6y^2 - 3xy - 6yz - 3xz + 6z^2) \\ p_2(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{36} ((3x - \mu)\mu\lambda + 3y^2 + 3xy - 12yz + 3xz + 3z^2) \\ p_3(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{36} ((3x - \mu)\mu\lambda + 6y^2 - 3xy + 9yz + 3xz + 3z^2) \\ p_4(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{36} ((3x - \mu)\mu\lambda + 3y^2 + 3xy + 9yz - 3xz + 6z^2). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the wedge product between (4.3) and (4.16), we have

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} (R^\lambda)_2^1 \wedge \psi &= (s^2\lambda(p_1 + p_2) + r^2\lambda(p_3 + p_4) + p) e^{123456} + sr\lambda(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4)e^{134567} \\ (R^\lambda)_3^1 \wedge \psi &= (s^2\lambda(p_1 + p_2) + r^2\lambda(p_3 + p_4) + p) e^{123467} - sr\lambda(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4)e^{124567} \\ (R^\lambda)_4^1 \wedge \psi &= (s^2\lambda(p_1 + p_2) + r^2\lambda(p_3 + p_4) + p) e^{123457} + sr\lambda(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4)e^{123567}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.17) and (4.18), the condition $(R^\lambda)_j^1 \wedge \psi = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$ implies

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} 2d_2\mu\lambda^2 + 3s^2\lambda(d_3 - d_4)^2 + 3r^2\lambda(d_3 + d_4)^2 - \mu^2\lambda^2 - 9d_2^2 &= 0, & s\lambda d_3 d_4 &= 0 \\ 2d_3\mu\lambda^2 + 3s^2\lambda(d_4 - d_2)^2 + 3r^2\lambda(d_4 + d_2)^2 - \mu^2\lambda^2 - 9d_3^2 &= 0, & s\lambda d_2 d_4 &= 0 \\ 2d_4\mu\lambda^2 + 3s^2\lambda(d_2 - d_3)^2 + 3r^2\lambda(d_2 + d_3)^2 - \mu^2\lambda^2 - 2d_4^2 &= 0, & s\lambda d_2 d_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

As pointed out in §2.2, $\lambda \neq 0$ since \mathfrak{g} is not abelian. Moreover, since d_2, d_3, d_4 are all nonzero, the above equation implies $s = 0$. We will show that this takes us to a contradiction.

When $s = 0$, (4.19) becomes

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} 2d_2\mu\lambda^2 + 3\lambda(\mu - d_2)^2 - \mu^2\lambda^2 - 9d_2^2 &= 0 \\ 2d_3\mu\lambda^2 + 3\lambda(\mu - d_3)^2 - \mu^2\lambda^2 - 9d_3^2 &= 0 \\ 2d_4\mu\lambda^2 + 3\lambda(\mu - d_4)^2 - \mu^2\lambda^2 - 9d_4^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have the curvature terms

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} (R^\lambda)_7^2 &= q(d_2)e^{27} - \lambda^2 (q_1(d_2, d_3, d_4)e^{35} - q_1(d_2, d_4, d_3)e^{46}) \\ (R^\lambda)_5^3 &= q(d_3)e^{35} - \lambda^2 (q_1(d_3, d_2, d_4)e^{27} - q_1(d_3, d_4, d_2)e^{46}) \\ (R^\lambda)_6^4 &= q(d_4)e^{46} + \lambda^2 (q_1(d_4, d_2, d_3)e^{27} + q_1(d_4, d_3, d_2)e^{35}) \end{aligned}$$

where $q(x) = -\frac{\mu}{36}\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^2$ and $q_1(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{36}(4x^2 - xy - xz + 4y^2 - yz - 5z^2)$. Thus, taking the wedge of the forms in (4.2) and (4.21), we get

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} (R^\lambda)_7^2 \wedge \psi &= (q(d_2) - \lambda^2(q_1(d_2, d_3, d_4) + q_1(d_2, d_4, d_3)))e^{234567} = \frac{d_2^2}{4}(1 - \lambda^2)e^{234567} \\ (R^\lambda)_5^3 \wedge \psi &= (q(d_3) - \lambda^2(q_1(d_3, d_2, d_4) + q_1(d_3, d_4, d_2)))e^{234567} = \frac{d_3^2}{4}(1 - \lambda^2)e^{234567} \\ (R^\lambda)_6^4 \wedge \psi &= (\lambda^2(q_1(d_4, d_2, d_3) + q_1(d_4, d_3, d_2)) - q(d_4))e^{234567} = \frac{d_4^2}{4}(\lambda^2 - 1)e^{234567}. \end{aligned}$$

The non-trivial vanishing condition on (4.22) implies that $\lambda = \pm 1$ and using this in (4.20), we obtain the equation

$$(4.23) \quad 3\lambda(\mu^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2 + d_4^2) - \mu^2 - 9(d_2^2 + d_3^2 + d_4^2) = 0.$$

For $\lambda = -1$, the equation (4.23) only has solution for $d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 0$, but these coefficients are all nonzero. Hence $\lambda = 1$. But in this case, (4.23) becomes

$$0 = 3(\mu^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2 + d_4^2) - \mu^2 - 9(d_2^2 + d_3^2 + d_4^2) = 4(d_2d_3 + d_2d_4 + d_3d_4 - d_2^2 - d_3^2 - d_4^2),$$

for which one can readily check that the only solutions are $d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 0$, leading us to a contradiction. So ∇^λ is not an instanton. \square

4.2. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions. Let \mathfrak{g} be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 7 such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 2$ or 3 and admitting coclosed G_2 -structures φ . We will study the instanton condition for the connection ∇^λ defined by φ through formula (2.7).

Assume for the moment that the coclosed G_2 -structure φ on \mathfrak{g} admits a calibrated subspace \mathfrak{c} satisfying $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{z}$. Then, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that (see [24]) $\mathfrak{c} = \langle \{e_5, e_6, e_7\} \rangle$ and

$$(4.24) \quad \varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}.$$

Let \mathfrak{q} denote the orthogonal of \mathfrak{c} . Since $\mathfrak{q} \perp \mathfrak{g}'$, the structure coefficients of \mathfrak{g} satisfy

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{cases} de^i &= 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4 \\ de^i &=: \alpha_i \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*, & i = 5, 6, 7. \end{cases}$$

Consider the orientation induced by e^{1234} on \mathfrak{q} . Then, the space of 2-forms $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$ decomposes into the eigenspaces of the Hodge operator, namely, into the space of self-dual and anti-self-dual forms. Hence, we can decompose further

$$(4.26) \quad \alpha_i = de^i = \alpha_i^+ + \alpha_i^-, \quad i = 5, 6, 7,$$

where α_i^+ (resp. α_i^-) is the self-dual (resp. anti-self-dual) component of α_i in $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$. Notice that $\{\alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_7\}$ span a subspace of dimension $\dim \mathfrak{g}'$ inside $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$.

Consider the basis of self-dual 2-forms in \mathfrak{g} given by

$$(4.27) \quad \sigma_1^+ = e^{13} - e^{24}, \quad \sigma_2^+ = -e^{14} - e^{23}, \quad \sigma_3^+ = e^{12} + e^{34}.$$

Since φ is given by (4.24), we can use this basis to write

$$(4.28) \quad \varphi = \sigma_1^+ \wedge e^5 + \sigma_2^+ \wedge e^6 + \sigma_3^+ \wedge e^7 + e^{567},$$

so that the Hodge dual of φ becomes

$$(4.29) \quad \psi = e^{1234} + \sigma_1^+ \wedge e^{67} + \sigma_2^+ \wedge e^{75} + \sigma_3^+ \wedge e^{56}.$$

By (4.26) and (4.28) we get

$$(4.30) \quad \varphi \wedge d\varphi = 2(\sigma_1^+ \wedge \alpha_5^+ + \sigma_2^+ \wedge \alpha_6^+ + \sigma_3^+ \wedge \alpha_7^+) \wedge e^{567}$$

and, since φ is coclosed,

$$(4.31) \quad 0 = d\psi = (\sigma_2^+ \wedge \alpha_7^+ - \sigma_3^+ \wedge \alpha_6^+) \wedge e^5 + (\sigma_3^+ \wedge \alpha_5^+ - \sigma_1^+ \wedge \alpha_7^+) \wedge e^6 + (\sigma_1^+ \wedge \alpha_6^+ - \sigma_2^+ \wedge \alpha_5^+) \wedge e^7.$$

This implies that the matrix $S = (s_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$, with components $s_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}g(\sigma_i^+, \alpha_{j+4}^+)$, is symmetric (see also [11]). Hence, in the space of self-dual forms in $\Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}^*$, we have

$$(4.32) \quad \alpha_5^+ = s_{11}\sigma_1^+ + s_{12}\sigma_2^+ + s_{13}\sigma_3^+, \quad \alpha_6^+ = s_{12}\sigma_1^+ + s_{22}\sigma_2^+ + s_{23}\sigma_3^+, \quad \alpha_7^+ = s_{13}\sigma_1^+ + s_{23}\sigma_2^+ + s_{33}\sigma_3^+.$$

The next result shows that \mathfrak{g} admits a basis on which the matrix $S = (s_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$ corresponding to the G₂-structure is diagonal.

Proposition 4.4. *Let φ be a coclosed G₂-structure on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with $\dim \mathfrak{g}' \geq 2$. If φ calibrates a subspace \mathfrak{c} satisfying $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{z}$, then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that e_5, e_6, e_7 span \mathfrak{c} , (4.24) holds and, in terms of the basis (4.27),*

$$(de^5)^+ = d_5\sigma_1^+, \quad (de^6)^+ = d_6\sigma_2^+ \quad \text{and} \quad (de^7)^+ = d_7\sigma_3^+,$$

for some $d_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 5, 6, 7$.

Proof. Let $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_7\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{g} such that $\{\tilde{e}_5, \tilde{e}_6, \tilde{e}_7\}$ span \mathfrak{c} and (4.24) holds. In particular,

$$(4.33) \quad \varphi = \tilde{\sigma}_1^+ \wedge \tilde{e}^5 + \tilde{\sigma}_2^+ \wedge \tilde{e}^6 + \tilde{\sigma}_3^+ \wedge \tilde{e}^7 + \tilde{e}^{567},$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_1^+, \tilde{\sigma}_2^+, \tilde{\sigma}_3^+$ is the basis of self-dual forms in $\mathfrak{c}^\perp = \langle \{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_4\} \rangle$ given in (4.27)

According to the identification $\mathfrak{c} = \langle \{\tilde{e}_5, \tilde{e}_6, \tilde{e}_7\} \rangle$ with the imaginary quaternions $\text{im } \mathbb{H}$ given by the cross product of φ , we can write $\tilde{\sigma}_l^+(u, v) = \langle \tilde{e}_{l+4} \cdot u, v \rangle$ for $l = 1, 2, 3$, where the product \cdot on the right hand side is the quaternion product.

Since φ is coclosed, the self dual forms $\tilde{\alpha}_i^+ := (d\tilde{e}^i)^+$, $i = 5, 6, 7$ are completely determined by $S\tilde{e}_5, S\tilde{e}_6, S\tilde{e}_7 \in \text{im } \mathbb{H}$, respectively, where S is the symmetric matrix in (4.32) corresponding to $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_7\}$. Namely, for each $i = 5, 6, 7$,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i^+(u, v) = \langle S\tilde{e}_i \cdot u, v \rangle, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathfrak{c}^\perp.$$

Since S is symmetric, it can be written as $S = P_h D P_h^t$ where $P_h \in \text{SO}(3)$ is given by $P_h(x) = hx\bar{h}$ with $h \in \text{Sp}(1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $D = \text{diag}(d_5, d_6, d_7)$. Now, for $h \in \text{Sp}(1)$ denote by $\Phi \in \text{G}_2$ the map $\Phi(a, b) = (\bar{h}ah, \bar{h}bh)$ for $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{H} \oplus \text{im } \mathbb{H} \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ (see [24, Ch IV, Eq. (1.9)]). By definition, Φ preserves \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{c}^\perp .

Consider the basis $\{e_i := \Phi\tilde{e}_i\}_{i=1}^7$; in particular, for $i = 5, 6, 7$, $e_i = P_h^t \tilde{e}_i$. Using that the inner product is invariant under right and left multiplications by quaternions, for every $u, v \in \mathfrak{c}^\perp$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_{l+4}^+(u, v) &= \langle S\tilde{e}_{l+4} \cdot u, v \rangle = d_l \langle h e_{l+4} \bar{h} u, v \rangle = d_l \langle e_{l+4} \bar{h} u, \bar{h} v \rangle = d_l \langle e_{l+4} \bar{h} u h, \bar{h} v h \rangle \\ &= d_l \sigma_l^+(\Phi u, \Phi v) = d_l \Phi^* \sigma_l^+. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\Phi^t)^* \tilde{\alpha}_{l+4}^+ = d_l \sigma_l^+$.

Notice that $\Phi^*e^i = \tilde{e}^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 7$. Since Φ is an isometry and thus commutes with the Hodge star operator we finally obtain

$$d_l \sigma_l^+ = (\Phi^t)^* \tilde{\alpha}_{l+4}^+ = (\Phi^t)^*(d\tilde{e}^{l+4})^+ = ((\Phi^t)^* d\tilde{e}^{l+4})^+ = (d(\Phi^t)^* \tilde{e}^{l+4})^+ = (de^{l+4})^+,$$

as we wanted to show. Moreover, since $\Phi \in G_2$, applying $(\Phi^t)^*$ to both sides of the equality (4.33), we get

$$\varphi = \sigma_1^+ \wedge e^5 + \sigma_2^+ \wedge e^6 + \sigma_3^+ \wedge e^7 + e^{567},$$

so $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ is the required basis. \square

We are now ready to introduce the main results of the section.

Proposition 4.5. *Let φ be a coclosed G_2 -structure on \mathfrak{g} and let ∇^λ be the connection defined by φ via (2.7). The connection ∇^λ is a G_2 -instanton if and only if $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$ and the following conditions hold*

- (1) $\lambda = 1$,
- (2) *there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g}' = \langle \{e_5, e_6, e_7\} \rangle$, φ is as in (4.24) and, for some $\mu \neq 0$,*

$$(4.34) \quad (de^{i+4})^+ = \frac{\mu}{3} \sigma_i^+, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

- (3) *the map $j : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{t})$ satisfies*

$$(4.35) \quad [j(z), j(z')] = \frac{2}{3} \mu j(\tau(z)z'), \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathfrak{g}',$$

where, for any $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, $\tau(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}')$ is the endomorphism corresponding to $z \lrcorner (\varphi|_{\mathfrak{g}'})$.

Proof. Assume first that φ is coclosed and ∇^λ is an instanton. Recall that $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{z}$ because of the 2-step nilpotency hypothesis. We claim that there exists a subspace \mathfrak{c} verifying $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{z}$ calibrated by φ . In fact, if $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$, we can take $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{g}'$ due to Proposition 4.3. If $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 2$ and $\{z_1, z_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g}' , the fact that G_2 acts transitively on ordered pairs of orthonormal vectors in \mathbb{R}^7 , implies that there exists an orthonormal basis $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that $\tilde{e}_6 = z_1$ and $\tilde{e}_7 = z_2$ and φ has the form in (4.24). In particular, φ calibrates $\mathfrak{c} := \langle \{\tilde{e}_5, \tilde{e}_6, \tilde{e}_7\} \rangle \supset \mathfrak{g}'$. Moreover, by [11, Proposition 4.4], $\tilde{e}_5 = \tilde{e}_7 \lrcorner \tilde{e}_6 \lrcorner \varphi$ is central, i.e. $\tilde{e}_5 \in \mathfrak{z}$ and thus $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{z}$ as well. This shows our claim.

Consequently, \mathfrak{g} and φ satisfy Assumption 6.1, so we can apply the results in Section 6. In addition, by Proposition 4.4 there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that e_5, e_6, e_7 span \mathfrak{c} and, if σ_i^+ are the self-dual forms in $\Lambda^2 \langle \{e^1, \dots, e^4\} \rangle$ given in (4.27), then $de^i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and

$$(de^{i+4})^+ = d_{i+4} \sigma_i^+, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

for some $d_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since ∇^λ is an instanton, Lemma 6.10 implies that $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$ (thus $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{c}$), $\lambda = 1$ and there is some $\mu \neq 0$ for which (4.34) and (4.35) hold.

For the converse, assume that φ is a coclosed G_2 structure, $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$ and (1)–(3) hold. The existence of the basis in (2) implies that φ calibrates \mathfrak{g}' and thus Assumption 6.1 holds, so we can apply the results in Section 6. Clearly, (1)–(3) in the statement are equivalent to (1)–(3) Lemma 6.10; the converse of this lemma implies that ∇^λ is an instanton for $\lambda = 1$. \square

We can now give special characteristics of the coclosed G_2 -structures inducing instantons on \mathfrak{g} .

Corollary 4.6. *Let φ be a coclosed G_2 -structure on \mathfrak{g} and let ∇^λ be the connection defined by φ via (2.7). If ∇^λ is a G_2 -instanton, then φ calibrates \mathfrak{g}' , φ is not purely coclosed and $\nabla^\lambda \varphi = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.5, if ∇^λ is an instanton, then $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$ and thus $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{c}$, which is calibrated by φ . Also, by (1) in that proposition, $\lambda = 1$. Recall that, within the 1-parameter family of connections (2.7), $\lambda = 1$ is the (unique) value of the parameter making φ parallel [18]. Finally, by (2) in Proposition 4.5, φ satisfies the conditions in Section 6.3, so φ is not purely coclosed by Corollary 6.7. \square

Remark 4.7. Given a coclosed G₂-structure on a 7-manifold M , the torsion T in (2.15) of the connection ∇^1 is not, in general, parallel with respect to ∇^1 (see [18, 20]). In the setting of 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, this can be seen, for instance, on the seven-dimensional extensions of the Lie algebra called \mathfrak{h}_3 in [17] (which is not the Heisenberg as in our notation). There, ∇^1 is denoted by ∇^+ , and it is shown that $\nabla^1 T \neq 0$ if the commutator is of dimension > 1 .

We will show in the next section, that the instanton condition on 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras forces not only that $\lambda = 1$, but also that T is parallel with respect to the instanton connection.

5. EXAMPLES AND CLASSIFICATION

This section contains the classification of the Lie algebras and the coclosed G₂-structures on them for which the connection ∇^1 is a G₂-instanton. Recall from Proposition 4.5, that $\lambda = 1$ is the only possible value for the connection ∇^λ to be an instanton.

We start the section by giving explicit examples of G₂-instantons and then show that these are the only ones. We point out that Example 5.1 was already introduced as an instanton in [17]; we include it here for the sake of completeness.

Below, we will denote \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} the Heisenberg Lie algebra of dimension $2m + 1$, that is, the one having a dual basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^{2m+1}\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, m, \\ de^7 = e^{12} + e^{34} + \dots + e^{2m-1 2m}. \end{cases}$$

Also, we write $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{3,2}$, respectively, for the quaternionic Heisenberg and the direct sum of the free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with an abelian factor, each of which having a dual basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ such that the differentials satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}} : \quad & de^i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4, \quad de^5 = e^{13} - e^{24}, \quad de^6 = -e^{14} - e^{23}, \quad de^7 = e^{12} + e^{34}, \\ \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{3,2} : \quad & de^i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4, \quad de^5 = e^{23}, \quad de^6 = e^{24}, \quad de^7 = e^{34}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 5.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be the 7-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with a basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ of \mathfrak{g}^* with structure equations

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 7, \\ de^7 = a(e^{12} - e^{56}) + b(e^{34} - e^{56}), \end{cases}$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ not simultaneously vanishing. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has commutator of dimension 1. Moreover, depending on the parameters a, b , it is isomorphic to either \mathfrak{h}_7 or $\mathbb{R}^4 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_5$. The parameters a, b correspond to families of metrics on these two Lie algebras.

Let φ be the G₂-structure induced by the above basis, namely,

$$(5.2) \quad \varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}.$$

Hence the basis above becomes orthonormal with respect to the induced metric. Canonical computations using (5.1) show that φ is purely coclosed. Moreover, the basis and the G₂-structure satisfy Lemma 3.1. Then, by Theorem 3.3 the connection ∇^1 defined in (2.7) by φ with $\lambda = 1$ is a G₂-instanton.

The torsion T of ∇^1 is given in (3.8), which becomes

$$T = (a(e^{12} - e^{56}) + b(e^{34} - e^{56})) \wedge e^7 = de^7 \wedge e^7.$$

Explicitly, the components of the matrix of 1-forms given by the connection form (3.11) are

$$(\Psi_1)_2^1 = ae^7, \quad (\Psi_1)_4^3 = be^7, \quad (\Psi_1)_6^5 = -(a+b)e^7.$$

Notice that $\nabla_u^1 = 0$ for all $u \perp e_7$. Moreover, since $\Psi_1 \wedge \Psi_1 = 0$, the curvature of ∇^1 is $R^1 = d\Psi_1$, so the non-zero curvature components are (see (3.12))

$$\begin{aligned} (R^1)_2^1 &= a(ae^{12} + be^{34} - (a+b)e^{56}), & (R^1)_4^3 &= b(ae^{12} + be^{34} - (a+b)e^{56}), \\ (R^1)_6^5 &= -(a+b)(ae^{12} + be^{34} - (a+b)e^{56}). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as in Theorem 3.3, one can easily check that $R^1 \wedge \psi = 0$. Also, from the last expression, we obtain that the curvature tensor satisfies $R^1(x, y, z, w) = R^1(z, w, x, y)$ for every $x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{g}$. In addition, the holonomy of this connection $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^1) = \{(R^1)_j^i : i, j = 1, \dots, 7\}$ is 1-dimensional and contained in $\mathfrak{su}(3) \subset \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Example 5.2. Consider the 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with a basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ of \mathfrak{g}^* for which the structure equations are

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = \nu(e^{13} - e^{24}), de^6 = \nu(-e^{14} - e^{23}), de^7 = \nu(e^{12} + e^{34}), \end{cases}$$

for some $\nu \neq 0$. One should notice that for any $\nu \neq 0$, the Lie algebra with structure constants (5.3) is isomorphic to the quaternionic Heisenberg $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}$. The parameter ν corresponds to a 1-parameter family of metrics on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Let φ be the G_2 -structure (4.24) induced by the above basis so that $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ becomes an orthonormal basis. Also, if $\{\sigma_i^+\}_{i=1}^3$ denotes the basis self-dual forms (4.27) in $\Lambda^2\langle\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}\rangle^*$, φ has the form (4.28) and thus its Hodge dual is (4.29). Using these expressions together with (5.4), one can easily show that φ is coclosed.

We claim that the connection ∇^1 defined by φ via (2.7) is a G_2 -instanton for $\lambda = 1$. For this, we will show that the conditions in Proposition 4.5 are satisfied.

It is straightforward that \mathfrak{g}' is 3-dimensional and spanned by e_5, e_6, e_7 , thus φ calibrates \mathfrak{g}' . Moreover, it is clear from (5.3) that

$$de^{i+4} = \nu\sigma_i^+ = (de^i)^+, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

So (2) in Proposition 4.5 holds for $\mu = 3\nu \neq 0$. Finally, using (2.9), (5.3) and the fact that $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ is an orthonormal basis, one readily computes

$$j(e_5) = \nu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j(e_6) = \nu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j(e_7) = \nu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

from which it is easy to verify that (3) in Proposition 4.5 holds. Therefore, ∇^1 is a G_2 -instanton as claimed.

For the sake of completeness, we give explicit expressions for the torsion, the connection ∇^1 and its curvature, which can be obtained from the formulas in Section 6, since Assumption 6.1 holds. The torsion T defined by φ via (2.15) is given in (6.8). Explicitly,

$$T = \nu(-4e^{567} + \sigma_1^+ \wedge e^5 + \sigma_2^+ \wedge e^6 + \sigma_3^+ \wedge e^7).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 6.2, the connection ∇^1 verifies $\nabla_u^1 = 0$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $\nabla_z^1 = -j(z) - 2\nu\tau(z)$, for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, where $\tau(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}')$ is the skew-symmetric map corresponding to $z \lrcorner (\varphi|_{\mathfrak{g}'})$. Hence, the connection 1-form of ∇^1 as presented in (2.14) is

$$\Psi_1 = \nu \left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 0 & -e^7 & -e^5 & e^6 & & & \\ e^7 & 0 & e^6 & e^5 & & & \\ e^5 & -e^6 & 0 & -e^7 & & & \\ -e^6 & -e^5 & e^7 & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 2e^7 & -2e^6 \\ & & & & -2e^7 & 0 & 2e^5 \\ & & & & 2e^6 & -2e^5 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

and the non-zero curvature components of the curvature tensor $R^1 = d\Psi_1 + \Psi_1 \wedge \Psi_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} (R^1)_2^1 &= (R^1)_4^3 = -\frac{1}{2}(R^1)_6^5 = \nu^2(-e^{12} - e^{34} + 2e^{56}), \\ -(R^1)_3^1 &= (R^1)_4^2 = \frac{1}{2}(R^1)_7^6 = \nu^2(e^{13} - e^{24} - 2e^{67}), \\ (R^1)_4^1 &= (R^1)_3^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(R^1)_7^5 = \nu^2(-e^{14} - e^{23} + 2e^{57}). \end{aligned}$$

The Hodge dual ψ of φ satisfies (4.29), so one can easily check that $R^1 \wedge \psi = 0$. Also, from this expression we obtain that the curvature tensor satisfies $R^1(x, y, z, w) = R^1(z, w, x, y)$ for every $x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{g}$. In addition, one can check that $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^1) \simeq \mathfrak{su}(2)$.

Example 5.3. Let \mathfrak{g} be the 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ of \mathfrak{g}^* for which the structure equations are

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = -2\nu e^{24}, & de^6 = -2\nu e^{23}, & de^7 = 2\nu e^{34}, \end{cases}$$

for some $\nu \neq 0$. For every ν , the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{3,2}$, where $\mathfrak{n}_{3,2}$ is the free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra on 3-generators. As before, the parameter ν corresponds to a 1-parameter family of metrics on $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{3,2}$.

Let φ be the G₂-structure (4.24) defined by the basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$, which thus becomes orthonormal with respect to the induced metric. Clearly, φ has the form (4.28), where σ_i^+ are as in (4.27), and its Hodge dual is (4.29). Using these expressions together with (5.4), one can easily show that φ is coclosed. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , with the G₂-structure, verifies the conditions in Proposition 4.5 as we show next.

The commutator \mathfrak{g}' is spanned by e_5, e_6, e_7 and thus φ calibrates \mathfrak{g}' . Notice that, contrary to Example 5.2, $\dim \mathfrak{z} = 4$ since $\mathfrak{z} = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \mathfrak{g}'$. Let σ_i^+ be the basis of self-dual forms in (4.27) and consider the next basis of anti-self-dual forms:

$$\sigma_1^- = e^{13} + e^{24}, \quad \sigma_2^- = e^{14} - e^{23}, \quad \sigma_3^- = e^{12} - e^{34}.$$

Then, by (5.4) we have

$$de^5 = \nu(\sigma_1^+ - \sigma_1^-), \quad de^6 = \nu(\sigma_2^+ + \sigma_2^-) \quad de^7 = \nu(\sigma_3^+ - \sigma_3^-),$$

which implies,

$$(de^{i+4})^+ = \nu\sigma_i^+, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

so (2) in Proposition 4.5 holds for $\mu = 3\nu \neq 0$. Using (5.4) and the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$, we get that the matrices of the $j(z)$ map in (2.9) are

$$j(e_5) = 2\nu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j(e_6) = 2\nu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j(e_7) = 2\nu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that $j(z)e_1 = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, because $e_1 \in \mathfrak{z} \cap (\mathfrak{g}')^\perp$. With the above expressions, it is easy to verify that (3) in Proposition 4.5 holds. Therefore, ∇^1 is indeed a G₂-instanton.

As in Example 5.3 we can give explicitly the torsion, the connection and curvature formulae by using the formulas in Section 6. The torsion T defined is the one given in (6.8), namely,

$$T = \nu(-4e^{567} + \sigma_1^+ \wedge e^5 + \sigma_2^+ \wedge e^6 + \sigma_3^+ \wedge e^7).$$

Also, by Lemma 6.2, $\nabla_u^1 = 0$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\nabla_z^1 = -j(z) - 2\nu\tau(z)$, for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$. Hence, the connection 1-form Ψ_1 of ∇^1 given in (2.14) is

$$\Psi_1 = 2\nu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the non-zero curvature components of the curvature tensor $R^1 = d\Psi_1 + \Psi_1 \wedge \Psi_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} (R^1)_3^2 &= -(R^1)_7^5 = 4\nu^2(-e^{23} + e^{57}), \\ (R^1)_4^2 &= (R^1)_7^6 = 4\nu^2(-e^{24} - e^{67}), \\ (R^1)_4^3 &= -(R^1)_6^5 = 4\nu^2(-e^{34} + e^{56}). \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ satisfies (4.29), one can easily check that $R^1 \wedge \psi = 0$. Also, the curvature of ∇^1 satisfies the symmetry $R^1(x, y, z, w) = R^1(z, w, x, y)$ for every $x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, it follows that $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^1) \simeq \mathfrak{so}(3)$.

Remark 5.4. Within each family of Lie algebras with G_2 -structures appearing in Examples 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, infinitely many are non-equivalent in the following sense.

If there exists a Lie algebra automorphism between two 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras with G_2 -structures, $f : (\mathfrak{g}_1, \varphi_1) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_2, \varphi_2)$, then $f(\mathfrak{g}'_1) = \mathfrak{g}'_2$. Moreover, f is an isometry of the metrics g_i , $i = 1, 2$, induced on \mathfrak{g}_i . Therefore, $f((\mathfrak{g}'_1)^\perp) = (\mathfrak{g}'_2)^\perp$ and one can easily show that

$$f^{-1}j(f(z))f = j(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{g}'_1,$$

which implies that the symmetric endomorphisms $j(z)^2$ and $j(f(z))^2$ have the same eigenvalues.

On the previous examples, the parameters $a^2, b^2, (a+b)^2$ or $-\nu^2$ are corresponding eigenvalues of $j(z)^2$ for unit length vectors $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$. So when these values are different for two Lie algebras in the same family of each example, there is no Lie algebra automorphism between them preserving the G_2 -structure.

Theorem 5.5. *On a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , there exists a coclosed G_2 -structure φ for which ∇^1 is a G_2 -instanton if and only if there exists a basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ of \mathfrak{g}^* such that φ has the form (4.24) and the structure constants in that basis satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $de^i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 6$ and $de^7 = a(e^{12} - e^{56}) + b(e^{34} - e^{56})$, for some a, b non simultaneously zero.
- (2) $de^i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $de^5 = \nu(e^{13} - e^{24})$, $de^6 = \nu(-e^{14} - e^{23})$, $de^7 = \nu(e^{12} + e^{34})$, for some $\nu \neq 0$.
- (3) $de^i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $de^5 = -2\nu e^{24}$, $de^6 = -2\nu e^{23}$, $de^7 = 2\nu e^{34}$, for some $\nu \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that ∇^1 is a G_2 -instanton for some coclosed G_2 -structure φ on \mathfrak{g} .

If $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 1$, then by Lemma 3.1 there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ of \mathfrak{g}^* for which φ has the desired form and the structure constant verify (3.3). However, since ∇^1 is an instanton, Theorem 3.3 implies that $c = -(a+b)$. Hence \mathfrak{g} satisfies (1) in the statement.

Now assume that $\dim \mathfrak{g}' \geq 2$, then by Proposition 4.5, we actually have $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$, and also φ calibrates \mathfrak{g}' . Moreover, (2) in Proposition 4.5 implies that there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that \mathfrak{g}' is spanned by $\{e_5, e_6, e_7\}$, φ satisfies (4.24) and

$$(5.5) \quad (de^i)^+ = \frac{\mu}{3} \sigma_i^+,$$

for some $\mu \neq 0$, where σ_i^+ is the basis in (4.27). In particular, Assumption 6.1 holds and the results in Section 6 apply to φ .

If $de^i = (de^i)^+$ for $i = 5, 6, 7$, it is straightforward that (2) in the statement holds for $\nu = \frac{\mu}{3}$. Assume then that there is some $i \in \{5, 6, 7\}$ for which $de^i \neq (de^i)^+$, that is, $(de^i)^- \neq 0$. By Corollary 6.9, there exists $0 \neq x \in \mathfrak{r}$ such that $j(z)x = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$. The subgroup of G_2 fixing the calibrated subspace \mathfrak{g}' acts like $SO(4)$ in $(\mathfrak{g}')^\perp$, so we may assume that $x = e_1$ and (5.5) still holds. Now, $j(z)e_1 = 0$ implies $e_1 \lrcorner de^i = 0$ which together with (5.5) implies

$$de^5 = -2\frac{\mu}{3}e^{24}, \quad de^6 = -2\frac{\mu}{3}e^{23}, \quad de^7 = 2\frac{\mu}{3}e^{34}.$$

Hence (3) holds taking $\nu = \frac{\mu}{3}$.

The converse follows from Examples 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3. \square

By looking at the isomorphism classes of Lie algebras satisfying one of the conditions in Theorem 5.5, we get the following.

Corollary 5.6. *A 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of dimension 7 admits a coclosed G_2 -structure such that ∇^1 is a G_2 instanton if and only if \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_5$, \mathfrak{h}_7 , $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}$ or $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{3,2}$.*

A simply connected complete Riemannian manifold is naturally reductive if there exists a metric connection ∇^c such that the Riemann curvature tensor and the torsion of ∇^c are parallel with respect to ∇^c [36, Theorem 2.3]. We will show next that the Riemannian manifolds arising from the G_2 -instantons on the 2-step nilpotent Lie groups are naturally reductive with connection $\nabla^c = \nabla^1$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let G be a simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group of dimension 7, let φ be a left-invariant coclosed G_2 -structure and denote by g and R , respectively, the left-invariant metric induced by φ and its Riemann curvature tensor. If the connection ∇^1 defined by φ is a G_2 -instanton, then $\nabla^1 T = \nabla^1 R = 0$ and therefore (G, g) is naturally reductive.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.5, if ∇^1 is an instanton, then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G has a dual basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ such that the G_2 -structure is given by (4.24) and the differentials verify the conditions of one of the Examples 5.1, 5.2 or 5.3, or respectively, (1), (2), or (3) in Theorem 5.5. In particular, the metric g induced by φ is the one making this basis orthonormal. In each of these examples, it was shown that the curvature R^1 has the symmetry $R^1(x, y, z, w) = R^1(z, w, x, y)$ for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then, by [26, Corollary 3.4], $\nabla^1 T$ is a 4-form.

However, it was noted in the examples that $\nabla_u^1 = 0$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{r}$. In addition, if $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 1$ we have $T \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{r}^* \otimes \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{g}')^*$, while $T \in (\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{r}^* \otimes \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{g}')^*) \oplus \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{g}')^*$ when $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$. Hence the 4-form $\nabla^1 T$ vanishes. It remains to show that $\nabla^1 R = 0$, which is equivalent to prove that $\nabla_z^1 R = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, since $\nabla_u^1 = 0$ for all $u \in (\mathfrak{g}')^\perp$.

In case (1) of Theorem 5.5, it is easy to check that for any $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, $\nabla_z^1 = -j(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$. Canonical computations, using the fact that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}') = 1$, show that the extension by zero of $j(z)$ to the whole \mathfrak{g} is a skew-symmetric derivation of (\mathfrak{g}, g) . Therefore, $e^{tj(z)}$ is an isometry of (G, g) and thus $(e^{tj(z)})^* R = R$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Differentiating this equation, one gets that the action of $j(z)$ on R vanishes, that is $j(z)R = -\nabla_z^1 R = 0$.

In cases (2) and (3) of Theorem 5.5, Lemma 6.2 implies that for any $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, $\nabla_z^1 = -j(z) - 2\nu\tau(z)$, where $\tau(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}')$ is the endomorphism corresponding to $z \lrcorner (\varphi|_{\mathfrak{g}'})$ (see also the computations in Examples 5.2 or 5.3). In addition, by (3) in Proposition 4.5,

$$(5.6) \quad [j(z), j(z')] = 2\nu j(\tau(z)z'), \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathfrak{g}'.$$

Using this equality, one can show that for a fixed $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$, the skew-symmetric endomorphism $D := \nabla_z^1$ is a skew-symmetric derivation of (\mathfrak{g}, g) . This implies that e^{tD} is an isometry of (G, g) for all t , and thus $\nabla_z^1 R = 0$ as before.

Therefore $\nabla^1 T = \nabla^1 R = 0$, so (G, g) is naturally reductive and $\nabla^1 = \nabla^c$ by [36, Theorem 2.3]. \square

- Remarks 5.8.** (1) The converse of the above theorem does not hold. In fact, $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$ admits naturally reductive metrics [35]. However, it does not admit purely-coclosed G_2 -structures [11] and thus ∇^1 does not define a G_2 -instanton for any coclosed G_2 -structure, due to Theorem 3.3.
- (2) For a general Riemannian manifold, the condition for it to be naturally reductive requires the specification of a transitive subgroup of isometries H . In the case of nilpotent Lie groups endowed with left-invariant metrics, there is no such a need because (G, g) is naturally with respect to a subgroup H if and only if it is naturally reductive with respect to its full isometry group $\text{Iso}(G, g)$ [28, Theorem 3.5].
- (3) The fact that (G, g) is naturally reductive when ∇^1 is an instanton can also be shown by a result of Gordon [22]. In fact, she proves that (G, g) is naturally reductive if and only if the image of the map $j : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$ and also, for each $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$ the map $z' \mapsto j^{-1}[j(z), j(z')]$ is in $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}')$. One can easily check that the latter conditions hold when ∇^1 is a G_2 -instanton. Indeed, for $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 1$ they are trivially satisfied, and for $\dim \mathfrak{g}' \geq 2$ they follow from (4.35).
- (4) The additional symmetry of the curvature tensor R^1 when ∇^1 is an instanton, namely $R^1(x, y, z, w) = R^1(z, w, x, y)$ for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{g}$, implies that T is a Killing 3-form on \mathfrak{g} [26, Corollary 3.4], that is, $\nabla^g T = \frac{1}{4}dT$. It was shown in [10] that every left-invariant Killing 3-form on a 2-step nilpotent Lie group endowed with a left-invariant metric (G, g) is a multiple of the torsion form of the naturally reductive connection ∇^c .
- (5) In [1], the authors study naturally reductive structures on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}$. They show that the naturally reductive connection coincides with ∇^1 for some coclosed G_2 -structure, and therefore one obtains $\nabla^1 T = \nabla^1 R = 0$.
- (6) A G_2 -instanton is constructed on $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{3,2}$, with the metric corresponding to $\nu = 1$ in (5.4), by lifting an $SU(3)$ -instanton in [25, §6.2]. The resulting G_2 -structure is not coclosed since its torsion form τ_1 is non-zero.

6. G_2 -STRUCTURES CALIBRATING A CENTRAL SUBSPACE CONTAINING THE CENTER

Along this section, \mathfrak{g} is a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 7 with $\dim \mathfrak{g}' \geq 2$, and φ is a coclosed G_2 -structure satisfying the following:

Assumption 6.1. There exist a subspace \mathfrak{c} of \mathfrak{g} , verifying $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{z}$ and calibrated by φ .

The aim of this section is to make explicit computations for the connection ∇^λ in (2.7) defined by the coclosed G_2 -structures under this assumption. In particular, we will compute its curvature components and study the instanton condition on it.

As pointed out at the beginning of Subsection 4.2, under this assumption, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{c} = \langle \{e_5, e_6, e_7\} \rangle$ and (4.24) holds. Moreover, if \mathfrak{q} denotes the orthogonal of \mathfrak{c} , then $\alpha_i := de^i \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$ for $i = 5, 6, 7$, whilst $de^i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ (see (4.25)).

Consider the orientation e^{1234} on \mathfrak{c}^\perp and the splitting of $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$ into self-dual and anti-self dual forms, so that $\alpha_i = \alpha_i^+ + \alpha_i^-$ as in (4.26). In addition, let $\{\sigma_1^+, \sigma_2^+, \sigma_3^+\}$ be the basis in (4.27) so that φ takes the form (4.28).

In order to achieve manageable expressions for the connection under study and its curvature, we start by introducing the following.

6.1. Notation. Let $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denote the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g} . We set other three Lie algebra structures on \mathfrak{g} , whose Lie brackets will be denoted by $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$, $[\cdot, \cdot]_-$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_0$ as follows

$$(6.1) \quad [u, v]_{\pm} = -\sum_{i=5}^7 \alpha_i^{\pm}(u, v)e_i, \quad [u, v]_0 = \sum_{i=5}^7 \sigma_i^+(u, v)e_i, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathfrak{q},$$

$$(6.2) \quad \text{and} \quad [x, z]_{\pm} = [x, z]_0 = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, z \in \mathfrak{c}.$$

It is easy to check that each $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\pm, 0})$ is either an abelian or a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, its commutator is contained in \mathfrak{c} , and \mathfrak{c} is contained in the center.

For each $z \in \mathfrak{c}$, let $j(z)^{\pm, 0}$ denote the skew-symmetric map defined on \mathfrak{q} by the equations

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} g(j(z)^{\pm} u, v) &= g([u, v]_{\pm}, z) = -\sum_{i=5}^7 \alpha_i^{\pm}(u, v) g(z, e_i), \quad \forall u, v \in \mathfrak{q}, \\ g(j(z)^0 u, v) &= g([u, v]_0, z) = \sum_{i=5}^7 \sigma_i^+(u, v) g(z, e_i), \quad \forall u, v \in \mathfrak{q}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for every $i = 5, 6, 7$, $g(j(e_i)^{\pm} u, v) = -\alpha_i^{\pm}(u, v)$ and $g(j(e_i)^0 u, v) = \sigma_{i-4}^+(u, v)$, for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{q}$.

It is straightforward that if $j(z)$ is the map corresponding to $[\cdot, \cdot]$ via (2.9), then

$$j(z)^+ + j(z)^- = j(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{c}.$$

Under the identification of $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$ with $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$ via the metric, it is easy to check that the space of self-dual and anti-self dual forms on $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$ induces a splitting as a direct sum of (commuting) ideals $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})^+ \oplus \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})^-$, where each ideal is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. Through this identification, for any $z \in \mathfrak{c}$, dz^b corresponds to $-j(z)$, and its components $(dz^b)^{\pm}$ correspond to $-j(z)^{\pm}$; that is, $j(z)^{\pm} \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})^{\pm}$. Moreover, $j(z)^0 \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})^+$ as well and one has

$$(6.4) \quad [j(z)^0, j(z')^-] = [j(z)^+, j(z')^-] = 0, \quad \text{for every } z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}.$$

6.2. The Linear Connection ∇^λ and its Curvature R^λ . Recall that under Assumption 6.1, φ has the form (4.28) and its Hodge dual is given in (4.29). Let us denote $\mu := \text{tr } S$ where $S = (s_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$ is defined in (4.32). By (4.26) and (4.28) we get

$$d\varphi = 2\mu e^{1234} + (\alpha_5^+ + \alpha_5^-) \wedge e^{67} - (\alpha_6^+ + \alpha_6^-) \wedge e^{57} + (\alpha_7^+ + \alpha_7^-) \wedge e^{56},$$

which implies

$$(6.5) \quad -\star d\varphi = -2\mu e^{567} - (\alpha_5^+ - \alpha_5^-) \wedge e^5 - (\alpha_6^+ - \alpha_6^-) \wedge e^6 - (\alpha_7^+ - \alpha_7^-) \wedge e^7.$$

In addition, (4.30) yields

$$(6.6) \quad \star(\varphi \wedge d\varphi) = 4\mu.$$

Therefore, the G₂-structure φ is purely-coclosed if and only if $\mu = \text{tr } S = 0$.

Since φ calibrates \mathfrak{c} , for any $z \in \mathfrak{c}$, there is a skew-symmetric map $\tau(z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{c})$ corresponding to $z \lrcorner (\varphi|_{\mathfrak{g}'})$, namely,

$$(6.7) \quad g(\tau(z)z', z'') = g(z \lrcorner (\varphi|_{\mathfrak{c}})z', z'') = \varphi(z, z', z''), \quad \forall z', z'' \in \mathfrak{c}.$$

It is straightforward from (6.5) and (6.6) above, that the torsion (2.15) is

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T &= \frac{2}{3}\mu\varphi - 2\mu e^{567} - (\alpha_5^+ - \alpha_5^-) \wedge e^5 - (\alpha_6^+ - \alpha_6^-) \wedge e^6 - (\alpha_7^+ - \alpha_7^-) \wedge e^7 \\ &= -\frac{4}{3}\mu e^{567} + \sum_{i=5}^7 (-\alpha_i^+ + \alpha_i^- + \frac{2}{3}\mu\sigma_{i-4}^+) \wedge e^i. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $T \in (\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^* \otimes \mathfrak{c}^*) \oplus \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{c}^*$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let ∇^λ be the metric connection defined in (2.7), namely,

$$(6.9) \quad g(\nabla_x^\lambda y, z) = g(\nabla_x^g y, z) + \frac{\lambda}{2} T(x, y, z), \quad x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where T is given in (6.8).

We use the notation in the previous subsection to give explicit expressions for the connection ∇^λ .

Lemma 6.2. For any vector $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the endomorphism $\nabla_x^\lambda \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$ given in (6.9) is:

$$(6.10) \quad \nabla_u^\lambda = \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2}(\text{ad}_u^+ - (\text{ad}_u^+)^*) - \frac{(\lambda-1)}{2}(\text{ad}_u^- - (\text{ad}_u^-)^*) + \frac{1}{3}\lambda\mu(\text{ad}_u^0 - (\text{ad}_u^0)^*), \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{q},$$

$$(6.11) \quad \nabla_z^\lambda = \frac{(\lambda-1)}{2}j(z)^+ - \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2}j(z)^- + \frac{1}{3}\lambda\mu j(z)^0 - \frac{2}{3}\mu\lambda \tau(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{c}.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_u^\lambda v = \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2}[u, v]^+ - \frac{(\lambda-1)}{2}[u, v]^- + \frac{1}{3}\lambda\mu[u, v]^0 & \text{if } u, v \in \mathfrak{q}, \\ \nabla_u^\lambda z = -\frac{(\lambda+1)}{2}(\text{ad}_u^+)^* z + \frac{(\lambda-1)}{2}(\text{ad}_u^-)^* z - \frac{1}{3}\mu\lambda(\text{ad}_u^0)^* z & \text{if } u \in \mathfrak{q}, z \in \mathfrak{c}, \\ \nabla_z^\lambda u = \frac{(\lambda-1)}{2}(\text{ad}_u^+)^* z - \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2}(\text{ad}_u^-)^* z + \frac{1}{3}\mu\lambda(\text{ad}_u^0)^* z & \text{if } u \in \mathfrak{q}, z \in \mathfrak{c}, \\ \nabla_z^\lambda z' = -\frac{2}{3}\mu\lambda \tau(z)z' & \text{if } z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$. For any $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{q}$, the right hand side of (6.9) is zero because of (2.11) and (6.8), implying that $\nabla_u^\lambda v \in \mathfrak{c}$. In addition, for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{q}, z \in \mathfrak{c}$, by (6.8), we have

$$2g(\nabla_u^\lambda v, z) = \left(\sum_{i=5}^7 \left(-(\lambda+1)\alpha_i^+ + (\lambda-1)\alpha_i^- + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\mu\sigma_{i-4}^+ \right) \wedge e^i \right) (u, v, z).$$

In addition, for any $z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}, u \in \mathfrak{q}$,

$$g(\nabla_u^\lambda z, z') = g(\nabla_u^g z, z') + \frac{\lambda}{2}T(u, z, z') = 0 = g(\nabla_z^\lambda u, z'),$$

and thus $\nabla_u^\lambda z, \nabla_z^\lambda u \in \mathfrak{q}$. Similar computations give

$$g(\nabla_u^\lambda z, v) = -g(z, \nabla_u^g v) - \frac{\lambda}{2}T(u, v, z) = -g(z, \nabla_u^\lambda v)$$

and furthermore, since $\nabla_u^g z = \nabla_z^g u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_z^\lambda u, v) &= 2g(\nabla_u^g z, v) + \lambda T(z, u, v) = -2g(\nabla_u^g v, z) + \lambda T(u, v, z) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=5}^7 \left(-(\lambda-1)\alpha_i^+ + (\lambda+1)\alpha_i^- + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\mu\sigma_{i-4}^+ \right) \wedge e^i \right) (u, v, z). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is easy to see from (2.11), (6.8) and (6.9) that $g(\nabla_z^\lambda z', u) = 0$ for all $z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{q}$, and also,

$$(6.13) \quad g(\nabla_z^\lambda z', z'') = -\frac{2}{3}\lambda\mu\varphi(z, z', z'') = g(\tau(z)z', z''), \quad \forall z, z', z'' \in \mathfrak{c},$$

where τ is as in (6.7). \square

Recall from Proposition 4.4, that if φ satisfies Assumption 6.1, then there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ such that $\mathfrak{c} = \langle \{e_5, e_6, e_7\} \rangle$, φ is as in (4.28) and

$$(de^{i+4})^+ = d_{i+4}\sigma_i^+, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

for some $d_i \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that, in this basis, the symmetric matrix S is diagonal of the form $S = \text{diag}(d_5, d_6, d_7)$ and $\mu = d_5 + d_6 + d_7$. In the notation of Subsection 6.1, we get

$$(6.14) \quad j(e_\alpha)^+ = -d_\alpha j(e_\alpha)^0 \quad \text{for } \alpha = 5, 6, 7.$$

Hence, it is straightforward that if (α, β, γ) is an even permutation of $(5, 6, 7)$ then

$$(6.15) \quad [j(e_\alpha)^+, j(e_\beta)^+] = -2d_\alpha d_\beta j(e_\gamma)^0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\tau(e_\alpha), \tau(e_\beta)] = \tau(e_\gamma).$$

We use the expressions (6.10)-(6.11) to compute the components $(R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha$ of the curvature in this basis (see (2.17)).

Lemma 6.3. The curvature R^λ of the connection ∇^λ in (6.12) satisfies:

(1) For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{5, 6, 7\}$, such that (α, β, γ) is an even permutation of $(5, 6, 7)$, we have:

$$(6.16) \quad (R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha = \left(\frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{2} d_\alpha d_\beta - \frac{\lambda+1}{3} \mu \lambda (d_\alpha + d_\beta) + \frac{2}{9} (\lambda \mu)^2 \right) j(e_\gamma)^0 - \frac{2}{3} \mu \lambda j(e_\gamma) \\ - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} [j(e_\alpha)^-, j(e_\beta)^-] - \left(\frac{2}{3} \mu \lambda \right)^2 \tau(e_\gamma).$$

(2) For $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ we have $(R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha = \sigma_\beta^\alpha + \eta_\beta^\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{c}^* \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$, where

$$(6.17) \quad \sigma_\beta^\alpha(e_j, e_k) = \left(\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} d_j d_k - \frac{\lambda-1}{6} \lambda \mu (d_j + d_k) + \left(\frac{1}{3} \mu \lambda \right)^2 \right) [j(e_j)^0, j(e_k)^0]_\beta^\alpha + \frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} [j(e_j)^-, j(e_k)^-]_\beta^\alpha$$

and

$$(6.18) \quad \eta_\beta^\alpha = \sum_{l=5}^7 a_l j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta + b_l (j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta + j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta) \\ - \left(c_l (j(e_l)^0)_\alpha^\beta + \frac{\lambda+1}{2} (j(e_l)^-)_\alpha^\beta \right) j(e_l) - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta$$

where

$$(6.19) \quad a_l := - \left(\frac{\mu \lambda}{3} - \frac{\lambda+1}{2} d_l \right)^2 \quad b_l := \frac{\lambda-1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu \lambda}{3} - \frac{\lambda+1}{2} d_l \right) \quad c_l := \frac{\lambda-1}{2} d_l - \frac{\mu \lambda}{3}.$$

Notice that in some of the above expressions, we mix 2-forms with skew-symmetric maps on behalf of the identifications $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$. Also, if $A \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ we denote $A_\beta^\alpha := g(Ae_\beta, e_\alpha)$.

Proof. Firstly, for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ we compute the endomorphism $R_{x,y}^\lambda \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus, for $u, v \in \mathfrak{q}$ set $\epsilon_u, \epsilon_v \in \{\pm, 0\}$, we know that $\text{Im ad}_u^{\epsilon_u} \subset \mathfrak{z} \subset \ker(\text{ad}_v^{\epsilon_v})$, hence $[\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u}, \text{ad}_v^{\epsilon_v}] = 0 = [(\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u})^*, (\text{ad}_v^{\epsilon_v})^*]$. These facts together with (6.10) give

$$(6.20) \quad R_{u,v}^\lambda = - \frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} ([\text{ad}_u^+, (\text{ad}_v^+)^*] + [(\text{ad}_u^+)^*, \text{ad}_v^+]) - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} ([\text{ad}_u^-, (\text{ad}_v^-)^*] + [(\text{ad}_u^-)^*, \text{ad}_v^-]) \\ + \frac{\lambda^2-1}{4} ([\text{ad}_u^-, (\text{ad}_v^+)^*] + [(\text{ad}_u^-)^*, \text{ad}_v^+]) + \frac{\lambda^2-1}{4} ([\text{ad}_u^+, (\text{ad}_v^-)^*] + [(\text{ad}_u^+)^*, \text{ad}_v^-]) \\ - \frac{(\lambda+1)}{6} \mu \lambda ([\text{ad}_u^+, (\text{ad}_v^0)^*] + [(\text{ad}_u^+)^*, \text{ad}_v^0] + [\text{ad}_u^0, (\text{ad}_v^+)^*] + [(\text{ad}_u^0)^*, \text{ad}_v^+]) \\ + \frac{(\lambda-1)}{6} \mu \lambda ([\text{ad}_u^-, (\text{ad}_v^0)^*] + [(\text{ad}_u^-)^*, \text{ad}_v^0] + [\text{ad}_u^0, (\text{ad}_v^-)^*] + [(\text{ad}_u^0)^*, \text{ad}_v^-]) \\ - \frac{1}{9} (\lambda \mu)^2 ([\text{ad}_u^0, (\text{ad}_v^0)^*] + [(\text{ad}_u^0)^*, \text{ad}_v^0]) \\ + \frac{(\lambda-1)}{2} j([u, v])^+ + \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2} j([u, v])^- - \frac{1}{3} \mu \lambda j([u, v])^0 + \frac{2}{3} \mu \lambda \tau([u, v]),$$

where τ is as in (6.7). In addition, for any $z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}$, and $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{q}$ we have

$$(6.21) \quad [\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u}, (\text{ad}_v^{\epsilon_v})^*] w = -(\text{ad}_v^{\epsilon_v})^* \text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u} w = -j([u, w]^{\epsilon_u})^{\epsilon_v} v, \\ g([\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u}, (\text{ad}_v^{\epsilon_v})^*] z, z') = g(\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u} (\text{ad}_v^{\epsilon_v})^* z, z') = -g(j(z)^{\epsilon_v} j(z')^{\epsilon_u} u, v), \\ g([\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u}, (\text{ad}_v^{\epsilon_v})^*] z, w) = 0.$$

Hence, the endomorphism $R_{u,v}^\lambda$ preserves \mathfrak{q} and \mathfrak{c} .

Now, let $z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}$, by (6.4) and (6.11) we get

$$(6.22) \quad R_{z,z'}^\lambda = \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} [j(z)^+, j(z')^+] + \frac{(\lambda-1)}{6} \lambda \mu ([j(z)^+, j(z')^0] + [j(z)^0, j(z')^+]) \\ + \frac{1}{3} (\mu \lambda)^2 [j(z)^0, j(z')^0] + \left(\frac{2}{3} \lambda \mu \right)^2 [\tau(z), \tau(z')] + \frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} [j(z)^-, j(z')^-].$$

Finally, for $u \in \mathfrak{q}$, and $z \in \mathfrak{c}$ set $\epsilon_u, \epsilon_z \in \{\pm, 0\}$. Thus, for any $(w, z') \in \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ one has the following:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u} - (\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u})^*, j(z)^{\epsilon_z}](w, z') &= (j(z)^{\epsilon_z} (\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u})^* z', \text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u} j(z)^{\epsilon_z} w) \in \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{c} \\ [\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u} - (\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u})^*, \tau(z)](w, z') &= -((\text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u})^* \tau(z) z', \tau(z) \text{ad}_u^{\epsilon_u} w) \in \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (6.10) and (6.11), we get that $R_{u,z} \in \mathfrak{q} \otimes \mathfrak{c}$, which means

$$(6.23) \quad g(R_{u,z}^\lambda z', z'') = g(R_{u,z}^\lambda w, w') = 0. \quad \text{for } z', z'' \in \mathfrak{c} \quad \text{and } w, w' \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

Next, we compute the component of the curvature $(R^\lambda)_\alpha^\beta \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ defined in (2.17) for each case in the statement.

Case (1): For $5 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 7$, equation (6.20) together with (6.4) and (6.21) give

$$(6.24) \quad g(R_{u,v}^\lambda e_\beta, e_\alpha) = g \left(\left(-\frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} [j(e_\alpha)^+, j(e_\beta)^+] - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} [j(e_\alpha)^-, j(e_\beta)^-] - \frac{1}{9} \lambda^2 \mu^2 [j(e_\alpha)^0, j(e_\beta)^0] \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{(\lambda+1)}{6} \mu \lambda ([j(e_\alpha)^0, j(e_\beta)^+] + [j(e_\alpha)^+, j(e_\beta)^0]) \right) u, v \right) + \frac{2}{3} \mu \lambda g(\tau([u, v]) e_\beta, \alpha).$$

In addition, from (6.22) we obtain

$$(6.25) \quad g(R_{z,z'}^\lambda e_\beta, e_\alpha) = \left(\frac{2}{3} \mu \lambda \right)^2 g([\tau(z), \tau(z')] e_\beta, e_\alpha), \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}.$$

Thus, using that $\tau(z)z' = -\tau(z')z$ and $g(\tau([u, v])z, z') = g(j(\tau(z)z')u, v)$ for every $z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $u, v \in \mathfrak{q}$, from (6.24) and (6.25), we get

$$(6.26) \quad (R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha = -\frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} [j(e_\alpha)^+, j(e_\beta)^+] - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} [j(e_\alpha)^-, j(e_\beta)^-] - \frac{1}{9} \lambda^2 \mu^2 [j(e_\alpha)^0, j(e_\beta)^0] \\ - \frac{(\lambda+1)}{6} \mu \lambda ([j(e_\alpha)^0, j(e_\beta)^+] + [j(e_\alpha)^+, j(e_\beta)^0]) + \frac{2}{3} \mu \lambda \left(j(\tau(e_\beta) e_\alpha) + \frac{2}{3} \mu \lambda [\tau(e_\beta), \tau(e_\alpha)] \right);$$

here we are identifying $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{c}^* \simeq \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{c})$ and $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^* \simeq \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$. Thus, the formula (6.16) follows by applying (6.14) and (6.15) into (6.26).

Case (2): Now, for $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 4$, we have $(R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^* \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{c}^*$ by (6.23). Write $(R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha = \eta_\beta^\alpha + \sigma_\beta^\alpha$, where $\eta_\beta^\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$ and $\sigma_\beta^\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{c}^*$. By (6.22) and (6.14), for any $e_j, e_k \in \mathfrak{c}$ we get obtain

$$(6.27) \quad \sigma_\beta^\alpha(e_j, e_k) = g \left(R_{e_j, e_k}^\lambda e_\beta, e_\alpha \right) \\ = \left(\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} d_j d_k + \frac{(\lambda-1)}{6} \lambda \mu (d_j + d_k) + \left(\frac{1}{3} \mu \lambda \right)^2 \right) g([j(e_j)^0, j(e_k)^0] e_\beta, e_\alpha) \\ + \frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} g([j(e_j)^-, j(e_k)^-] e_\beta, e_\alpha).$$

Furthermore, for any $e_j, e_k \in \mathfrak{q}$ the equation (6.20) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.28) \quad \eta_\beta^\alpha(e_j, e_k) &= g \left(R_{e_j, e_k}^\lambda e_\beta, e_\alpha \right) \\
&= -\frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} \left(-g([e_j, e_\beta]^+, [e_k, e_\alpha]^+) + g([e_k, e_\beta]^+, [e_j, e_\alpha]^+) \right) \\
&\quad -\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} \left(-g([e_j, e_\beta]^-, [e_k, e_\alpha]^-) + g([e_k, e_\beta]^-, [e_j, e_\alpha]^-) \right) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{9}(\lambda\mu)^2 \left(-g([e_j, e_\beta]^0, [e_k, e_\alpha]^0) + g([e_k, e_\beta]^0, [e_j, e_\alpha]^0) \right) \\
&\quad +\frac{\lambda^2-1}{4} \left(-g([e_j, e_\beta]^-, [e_k, e_\alpha]^+) + g([e_k, e_\beta]^+, [e_j, e_\alpha]^-) \right) \\
&\quad -g([e_j, e_\beta]^+, [e_k, e_\alpha]^-) + g([e_k, e_\beta]^-, [e_j, e_\alpha]^+) \\
&\quad -\frac{1+\lambda}{6}\lambda\mu \left(-g([e_j, e_\beta]^+, [e_k, e_\alpha]^0) + g([e_k, e_\beta]^0, [e_j, e_\alpha]^+) \right) \\
&\quad -g([e_j, e_\beta]^0, [e_k, e_\alpha]^+) + g([e_k, e_\beta]^+, [e_j, e_\alpha]^0) \\
&\quad +\frac{(\lambda-1)}{6}\lambda\mu \left(-g([e_j, e_\beta]^-, [e_k, e_\alpha]^0) + g([e_k, e_\beta]^0, [e_j, e_\alpha]^-) \right) \\
&\quad -g([e_j, e_\beta]^0, [e_k, e_\alpha]^-) + g([e_k, e_\beta]^-, [e_j, e_\alpha]^0) \\
&\quad +\frac{(1-\lambda)}{2}g(j([e_j, e_k])^+ e_\beta, e_\alpha) + \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2}g(j([e_j, e_k])^- e_\beta, e_\alpha) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{3}\mu\lambda g(j([e_j, e_k])^0 e_\beta, e_\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, notice that for any $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm, 0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.29) \quad g([e_k, e_\beta]^{\epsilon_1}, [e_j, e_\alpha]^{\epsilon_2}) &= \sum_{l=5}^7 g([e_k, e_\beta]^{\epsilon_1}, e_l) g(e_l, [e_j, e_\alpha]^{\epsilon_2}) \\
&= \sum_{l=5}^7 g(j(e_l)^{\epsilon_1} e_\beta, e_k) g(j(e_l)^{\epsilon_2} e_\alpha, e_j).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon$,

$$(6.30) \quad g([e_k, e_\beta]^\epsilon, [e_j, e_\alpha]^\epsilon) - g([e_j, e_\beta]^\epsilon, [e_k, e_\alpha]^\epsilon) = \sum_{l=5}^7 j(e_l)^\epsilon e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^\epsilon e_\beta(e_j, e_k).$$

In addition, if $\epsilon_1 = -$ and $\epsilon_2 = +$ in (6.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.31) \quad &g([e_j, e_\beta]^-, [e_k, e_\alpha]^+) - g([e_k, e_\beta]^+, [e_j, e_\alpha]^-) + g([e_j, e_\beta]^+, [e_k, e_\alpha]^-) - g([e_k, e_\beta]^-, [e_j, e_\alpha]^+) \\
&= \sum_{l=5}^7 -g(j(e_l)^+ e_\beta, e_k) g(j(e_l)^- e_\alpha, e_j) + g(j(e_l)^+ e_\beta, e_j) g(j(e_l)^- e_\alpha, e_k) \\
&\quad -g(j(e_l)^- e_\beta, e_k) g(j(e_l)^+ e_\alpha, e_j) + g(j(e_l)^- e_\beta, e_j) g(j(e_l)^+ e_\alpha, e_k) \\
&= e_k \lrcorner e_j \lrcorner \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 j(e_l)^+ e_\beta \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\alpha + j(e_l)^- e_\beta \wedge j(e_l)^+ e_\alpha \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar expressions are obtained for $\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm, 0\}$. Using these, (6.30) and (6.14) in (6.28) we get

(6.32)

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\beta^\alpha = & \sum_{l=5}^7 \left(-\frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} d_l^2 + \frac{\lambda+1}{3} d_l \mu \lambda - \frac{1}{9} (\mu \lambda)^2 \right) j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta \\ & - \sum_{l=5}^7 \left(\frac{\lambda^2-1}{2} d_l - \frac{\lambda+1}{3} \mu \lambda d_l \right) (j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta + j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta) \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} \left\{ \frac{(1-\lambda)}{2} g(j([e_j, e_k]^+ e_\beta, e_\alpha)) + \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2} g(j([e_j, e_k]^- e_\beta, e_\alpha)) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{3} \mu \lambda g(j([e_j, e_k]^0 e_\beta, e_\alpha)) \right\} e^j \wedge e^k. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, notice that for $\epsilon \in \{0, \pm\}$, using (6.1) and (6.3) we get

$$g(j([e_j, e_k]^\epsilon e_\beta, e_\alpha)) = \sum_{l=5}^7 g([e_j, e_k], [e_\beta, e_\alpha]^\epsilon) e^j \wedge e^k = \sum_{l=5}^7 g(j(e_l)^\epsilon e_\beta, e_\alpha) g(j(e_l) e_j, e_k)$$

Therefore, (6.32) becomes

(6.33)

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\beta^\alpha = & \sum_{l=5}^7 \left(-\frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} d_l^2 + \frac{\lambda+1}{3} d_l \mu \lambda - \frac{1}{9} (\mu \lambda)^2 \right) j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta \\ & - \sum_{l=5}^7 \left(\frac{\lambda^2-1}{2} d_l - \frac{\lambda+1}{3} \mu \lambda d_l \right) (j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta + j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta) \\ & - \sum_{l=5}^7 \left\{ \left(\frac{(\lambda-1)}{2} d_l - \frac{1}{3} \mu \lambda \right) g(j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha, e_\beta) + \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2} g(j(e_l)^- e_\alpha, e_\beta) \right\} j(e_l). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\eta_\beta^\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$ be the 2-form given in (6.18). Thus, its self-dual part is*

$$(\eta_\beta^\alpha)^+ = \sum_{l=5}^7 \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} (a_l - \sum_{\substack{s=5,6,7, \\ s \neq l}} a_s) - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{8} m^2 + c_l d_l \right) (j(e_l)^0)_\alpha^\beta + \left(b_l + d_l \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2} \right) (j(e_l)^-)_\alpha^\beta \right\} \sigma_{l-4}^+,$$

where $m_l \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $(j(e_l)^-)^2 = -m_l \text{Id}$ for $l = 5, 6, 7$ and $m^2 := m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2$.

Proof. Consider the following 2-form in $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$:

$$\sum_{l=5}^7 p_l j(e_l)^{\epsilon_1} e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^{\epsilon_2} e_\beta,$$

where $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{0, \pm\}$ and $p_l \in \mathbb{R}$. For any fixed $k = 1, 2, 3$, let σ_k^+ be the self-dual form in (4.27). Canonical computations give

$$g \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 p_l j(e_l)^{\epsilon_1} e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^{\epsilon_2} e_\beta, \sigma_k^+ \right) = \sum_{l=5}^7 p_l \sum_{s,t=1}^4 g(j(e_l)^{\epsilon_1} e_\alpha, e_s) g(j(e_l)^{\epsilon_2} e_\beta, e_t) g(e^s \wedge e^t, \sigma_k^+).$$

Notice that $g(e^s \wedge e^t, \sigma_k^+) = e_t \lrcorner e_s \lrcorner \sigma_k^+ = \sigma_k^+(e_s, e_t) = g(j(e_{k+4})^0 e_s, e_t)$, for any $s, t = 1, \dots, 4$. Hence

$$(6.34) \quad g \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 p_l j(e_l)^{\epsilon_1} e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^{\epsilon_2} e_\beta, \sigma_k^+ \right) = \sum_{l=5}^7 p_l \sum_{s=1}^4 g(j(e_l)^{\epsilon_1} e_\alpha, e_s) g(j(e_l)^{\epsilon_2} e_\beta, j(e_{k+4})^0 e_s) \\ = \sum_{l=5}^7 p_l g(j(e_l)^{\epsilon_2} e_\beta, j(e_{k+4})^0 j(e_l)^{\epsilon_1} e_\alpha).$$

For (6.34), take $\epsilon_i = -$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $p_l = -\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4}$ for $l = 5, 6, 7$. Since $j(e_{k+4})^0$ commutes with $j(e_l)^-$ and $(j(e_l)^-)^2 = -m_l^2 \text{Id}$ for some constants m_l , for all $l = 5, 6, 7$, we obtain

$$-\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} g \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta, \sigma_k^+ \right) = -\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} \sum_{l=5}^7 g((j(e_l)^-)^2 e_\beta, j(e_{k+4})^0 e_\alpha) \\ = -\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 m_l^2 \right) \sigma_k^+(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = -\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} m^2 \sigma_k^+(e_\alpha, e_\beta),$$

where we have set

$$(6.35) \quad m^2 := \sum_{l=5}^7 m_l^2.$$

Therefore, we get

$$(6.36) \quad -\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta \right)^+ = -\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{8} m^2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma_k^+(e_\alpha, e_\beta) \sigma_k^+.$$

Now, consider $\epsilon_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ in (6.34), we recall that $j(e_k)^0 j(e_l)^0 = -j(e_l)^0 j(e_k)^0$ if $k \neq l \in \{5, 6, 7\}$, then

$$g \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 p_l j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta, \sigma_k^+ \right) = p_{k+4} g(e_\beta, j(e_{k+4})^0 e_\alpha) - \sum_{\substack{l=5,6,7 \\ l \neq k+4}} p_l g(e_\beta, j(e_{k+4})^0 e_\alpha).$$

So the self-dual part of $\sum_{l=5}^7 a_l j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta$, for a_l defined in (6.19) is

$$(6.37) \quad \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 a_l j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta \right)^+ = \\ \frac{1}{2} ((a_5 - a_6 - a_7) \sigma_1^+(e_\alpha, e_\beta) \sigma_1^+ + (a_6 - a_5 - a_7) \sigma_2^+(e_\alpha, e_\beta) \sigma_2^+ + (a_7 - a_5 - a_6) \sigma_3^+(e_\alpha, e_\beta) \sigma_3^+).$$

Finally, we put $\epsilon_1 = 0, \epsilon_2 = -$ in (6.34). Using the fact that $j(e_l)^- j(e_{k+4})^0 = -j(e_{k+4})^0 j(e_l)^-$, for any $l = 5, 6, 7, k = 1, 2, 3$ (see (6.3) and (6.4)), we get

$$g \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 p_l (j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta + j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta), \sigma_k^+ \right) \\ = \sum_{l=5}^7 p_l (g(j(e_l)^- e_\beta, j(e_{k+4})^0 j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha) + g(j(e_l)^0 e_\beta, j(e_{k+4})^0 j(e_l)^- e_\alpha)) \\ = \sum_{l=5}^7 p_l g(j(e_l)^- e_\beta, (j(e_{k+4})^0 j(e_l)^0 + j(e_l)^0 j(e_{k+4})^0) e_\alpha) = 2p_{k+4} g(j(e_{k+4})^- e_\alpha, e_\beta).$$

Hence, taking $p_l = c_l$ as defined in (6.19), we obtain

$$(6.38) \quad \left(\sum_{l=5}^7 c_l (j(e_l)^0 e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^- e_\beta + j(e_l)^- e_\alpha \wedge j(e_l)^0 e_\beta) \right)^+ = \sum_{l=5}^7 c_l g(j(e_l)^- e_\alpha, e_\beta) \sigma_{l-4}^+.$$

Therefore, the result follows by replacing (6.36), (6.37), (6.38) and $j(e_l)^+ = -d_l j(e_l)^0$ into (6.18). \square

6.3. The Instanton Condition for ∇^λ . We continue with the notation and the assumption of the previous subsections.

By definition, the connection ∇^λ is a G_2 -instanton if it satisfies (1.1). In terms of the components $(R^\lambda)_\alpha^\beta$ of the curvature in the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ (see (2.17)), we have that ∇^λ is an instanton if and only if $(R^\lambda)_\alpha^\beta \wedge \psi = 0$ for every $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 7$. We shall split this condition in three as follows:

$$(6.39) \quad (R^\lambda)_\alpha^\beta \wedge \psi = 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta = 5, \dots, 7.$$

$$(6.40) \quad (R^\lambda)_\alpha^\beta \wedge \psi = 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 4.$$

$$(6.41) \quad (R^\lambda)_\alpha^\beta \wedge \psi = 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \dots, 4, \beta = 5, \dots, 7.$$

In the sequel give necessary and sufficient conditions on the matrix $S = \text{diag}(d_5, d_6, d_7)$ and λ for the above conditions to be satisfied. As in the previous subsection, μ denotes the trace of S , namely, $\mu = d_5 + d_6 + d_7$.

Lemma 6.5. *Equation (6.39) holds if and only if either $S = 0$ and λ is arbitrary, or $S = \frac{\mu}{3}\text{Id}$ with $\mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda \in \{-\frac{1}{3}, 1\}$, or else S has exactly two different eigenvalues $d_5 \neq d_7$ and one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $d_5 = 0 \neq d_7$ and $\lambda = 0$;
- (2) $d_7 = -2d_5 \neq 0$ and $\lambda = -1$ (in particular $\mu = 0$);
- (3) $d_7 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt[3]{4} - 2)d_5 \neq 0$ and $\lambda = \sqrt[3]{4} - 1$.

Proof. The curvature components $(R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha$ with $\alpha, \beta = 5, 6, 7$ were computed in (6.16) and ψ has the form given in (4.29). Hence, if (α, β, γ) is an even permutation of $(5, 6, 7)$, we have

$$(R^\lambda)_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi = \left((\lambda + 1)^2 d_\alpha d_\beta - \frac{2}{3}(\lambda + 1)\mu\lambda(d_\alpha + d_\beta) + \frac{4}{9}(\lambda\mu)^2 + \frac{4}{3}\mu\lambda d_\gamma - \left(\frac{2}{3}\mu\lambda\right)^2 \right) e^{\alpha\beta} \wedge e^{1234}.$$

Therefore, (6.39) is equivalent to the system

$$(6.42) \quad (\lambda + 1)^2 C + 2\left(\frac{\lambda}{3} + 1\right)\lambda\mu S - \frac{2}{3}(\lambda + 1)\lambda\mu^2 \text{Id} = 0,$$

where $C = \text{diag}(d_6 d_7, d_7 d_5, d_5 d_6)$.

We shall study the solutions of this system. We claim that if S satisfies (6.42), then S has at most 2 different eigenvalues. Indeed, multiplying (6.42) by S and using that $CS = \det(S)\text{Id}$, we get

$$(6.43) \quad (\lambda + 1)^2 \det(S)\text{Id} + 2\left(\frac{\lambda}{3} + 1\right)\lambda\mu S^2 - \frac{2}{3}(\lambda + 1)\lambda\mu^2 S = 0.$$

Hence, S satisfies a quadratic polynomial and thus, their eigenvalues satisfy a polynomial of the same degree. Therefore, S has at most two different eigenvalues as claimed.

Assume first that S has a unique eigenvalue, so that $d_5 = d_6 = d_7 = \frac{\mu}{3}$ and $S = \frac{\mu}{3}\text{Id}$. Then $C = \frac{\mu^2}{9}\text{Id}$ and (6.42) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\mu^2}{9}(3\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 1) = 0.$$

Therefore, $S = \frac{\mu}{3}\text{Id}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (6.42) if and only if either $S = 0$ and λ is arbitrary, or $\mu \neq 0$ and λ is either $-\frac{1}{3}$ or 1.

Assume now that S has exactly two different eigenvalues; in particular $S \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume $d_5 = d_6 \neq d_7$. In this case, (6.43) is equivalent to the system of two equations

$$(6.44) \quad (\lambda + 1)^2 d_5^2 d_7 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{3} + 1 \right) \lambda (2d_5 + d_7) d_5^2 - \frac{2}{3} (\lambda + 1) \lambda (2d_5 + d_7)^2 d_5 = 0,$$

$$(6.45) \quad (\lambda + 1)^2 d_5^2 d_7 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{3} + 1 \right) \lambda (2d_5 + d_7) d_7^2 - \frac{2}{3} (\lambda + 1) \lambda (2d_5 + d_7)^2 d_7 = 0.$$

Subtracting the second equation from the first one, we obtain

$$\frac{2}{3} \lambda (2d_5 + d_7) (d_5 - d_7) ((1 - \lambda) d_5 + 2d_7) = 0.$$

Since $d_5 \neq d_7$, this equation implies that if S and λ satisfy (6.42) (and thus (6.43)), then one of the following holds: (1) $\lambda = 0$; (2) $2d_5 + d_7 = \mu = 0$; or (3) $d_7 = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)d_5$. We analyze the solutions of (6.42) in each of these cases, which will correspond to the ones listed in the statement.

If $\lambda = 0$, then (6.42) becomes $0 = C = \text{diag}(d_5 d_7, d_5 d_7, d_5^2)$, so S is a solution of this equation if and only if $d_5 = 0$ (and $d_7 \neq 0$).

Suppose now that $\mu = 0$, so that $d_7 = -2d_5$ with $d_5 \neq d_7$. In particular, $d_5 \neq 0$ because otherwise we would have $d_7 = d_5$ contradicting our assumption. Hence $C = \text{diag}(-2d_5^2, -2d_5^2, d_5^2) \neq 0$ and thus (6.42) is equivalent to $\lambda = -1$.

Finally, assume that $d_7 = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)d_5$ which again implies in particular $d_5 \neq 0$. Replacing d_7 by $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)d_5$ in (6.44)-(6.45) and summing the two equations we get

$$d_5^2 (\lambda - 1) (\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 3) = 0,$$

but since $d_5 \neq 0$, we have that $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 3 = 0$. However, one can easily check that putting $\lambda = 1$, and $S = \text{diag}(d_5, d_5, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)d_5)$ in (6.42) implies $d_5 = 0$, contradicting again our assumption. So necessarily λ satisfies the polynomial $\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 3$.

The only real root of $\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 3$ is $\lambda = \sqrt[3]{4} - 1$. It is possible to check that $\lambda = \sqrt[3]{4} - 1$, $d_6 = d_5$ and $d_7 = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)d_5 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt[3]{4} - 2)d_5$ satisfy (6.42). Notice that $d_5 \neq 0$ since otherwise we would have $S = 0$. \square

The following result shows, in particular, that if the first two equations for the instanton condition hold, then S is a non-zero multiple of the identity.

Lemma 6.6. *Equations (6.39) and (6.40) hold simultaneously if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) either $\lambda = 1$, or both $\lambda = -\frac{1}{3}$ and $j(z)^- = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{c}$,
- (2) $S = \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Id}$ with $\mu \neq 0$,
- (3) the map $j : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$ satisfies

$$(6.46) \quad [j(z), j(z')] = \frac{2}{3} \mu j(\tau(z)z'), \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathfrak{c},$$

where $\tau \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{c})$ is defined by in (6.7).

Proof. First, we shall write a system of equations which is equivalent to (6.40). By 2 in Lemma 6.3, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$, $(R^\lambda)^\alpha_\beta = \sigma_\beta^\alpha + \eta_\beta^\alpha$ where $\sigma_\beta^\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{c}^*$ and $\eta_\beta^\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$. We compute separately $\eta_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi$ and $\sigma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi$.

Since ψ has the form (4.29) and $\eta_\beta^\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{q}^*$ we have $\eta_\beta^\alpha \wedge e^{1234} = 0$ and thus

$$(6.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi &= \eta_\beta^\alpha \wedge (\sigma_1^+ \wedge e^{67} + \sigma_2^+ \wedge e^{75} + \sigma_3^+ \wedge e^{56}) \\ &= (\eta_\beta^\alpha)^+ \wedge (\sigma_1^+ \wedge e^{67} + \sigma_2^+ \wedge e^{75} + \sigma_3^+ \wedge e^{56}). \end{aligned}$$

Besides, $(\eta_\beta^\alpha)^+$ is give in Lemma 6.4, from which we obtain

$$(\eta_\beta^\alpha)^+ = \sum_{l=5}^7 \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} (a_l - \sum_{\substack{l=5,6,7, \\ s \neq l}} a_s) - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{8} m^2 + c_l d_l \right) (j(e_l)^0)_\alpha^\beta + \left(b_l + d_l \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2} \right) (j(e_l)^-)_\alpha^\beta \right\} \sigma_{l-4}^+$$

and therefore, the wedge product in (6.47) equals to

$$(6.48) \quad \eta_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi = \sum_{l=5}^7 \left\{ \left((a_l - \sum_{\substack{l=5,6,7, \\ s \neq l}} a_s) - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} m^2 + c_l d_l \right) (j(e_l)^0)_\alpha^\beta \right. \\ \left. + (2b_l + d_l(\lambda+1)) (j(e_l)^-)_\alpha^\beta \right\} e^{1234rt},$$

where (l, r, t) is an even permutation of $(5, 6, 7)$.

Now we compute $\sigma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi$, where σ_β^α is given in (2) of Lemma 6.3. Using this together with the expression of ψ as in (4.29), we get

$$(6.49) \quad \sigma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi = \sum_{5 \leq j < k \leq 7} \left\{ \left(-\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{2} d_j d_k + \frac{\lambda-1}{3} \lambda \mu (d_j + d_k) - 2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \mu \lambda \right)^2 \right) (j(e_r)^0)_\beta^\alpha \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} [j(e_j)^-, j(e_k)^-]_\beta^\alpha \right\} e^{1234jk},$$

where (j, k, r) is an even permutation of $(5, 6, 7)$.

Clearly, (6.40) is equivalent to the equality $-(\eta_\beta^\alpha)^+ \wedge \psi = \sigma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \psi$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$. By (6.48) and (6.49), this equality holds if and only if for every even permutation (l, j, k) of $(5, 6, 7)$ one has

$$(6.50) \quad \left((a_l - \sum_{\substack{l=5,6,7, \\ s \neq l}} a_s) - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} m^2 + c_l d_l \right) j(e_l)^0 + (2b_l + d_l(\lambda+1)) j(e_l)^- = \\ = \left(-\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{2} d_j d_k + \frac{\lambda-1}{3} \lambda \mu (d_j + d_k) - 2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \mu \lambda \right)^2 \right) j(e_l)^0 + \frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} [j(e_j)^-, j(e_k)^-],$$

By looking at the at the self-dual and anti-self-dual components of this system we get that (6.40) holds if and only if for every even permutation (l, j, k) of $(5, 6, 7)$ the following systems holds

$$(6.51) \quad (a_l - \sum_{\substack{l=5,6,7, \\ s \neq l}} a_s) - \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{4} m^2 + 2c_l d_l = -\frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{2} d_j d_k + \frac{\lambda-1}{3} \lambda \mu (d_j + d_k) - \frac{2}{9} (\mu \lambda)^2$$

$$(6.52) \quad (2b_l + d_l(\lambda+1)) j(e_l)^- = \frac{(\lambda+1)^2}{4} [j(e_j)^-, j(e_k)^-].$$

Consequently, (6.39) and (6.40) hold simultaneously if and only if S and λ are as listed in Lemma 6.5 and also satisfy (6.51) and (6.52) above. The last part of the proof consist in assuming each possibility for S and λ listed in Lemma 6.5 and analyzing the solutions of (6.51) and (6.52).

Suppose first that S has exactly two different eigenvalues so that $d_5 = d_6 \neq d_7$. If (1) in Lemma 6.5 holds and thus $d_5 = 0$, $d_7 \neq 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, then in view of (6.19) we have $a_5 = a_6 = 0$, $a_7 = -\frac{1}{4} d_7^2$,

$c_7 = -\frac{1}{2}d_7$. Hence, the first equation in (6.51) reads

$$0 = -\frac{5}{4}d_7^2 - \frac{1}{4}m^2.$$

This implies that $d_7 = 0 = m$, contradicting the fact that S has two different eigenvalues.

In case (2), $d_7 = -2d_5$ and $\lambda = -1$, we have $\mu = 0$, $a_5 = a_6 = a_7 = 0$ and $c_6 = -d_5$ so the second equation of (6.51) becomes

$$6d_5^2 = -m^2.$$

This implies $d_5 = 0 = m$, contradicting again that $d_5 \neq d_7$.

Finally, suppose that (3) holds, so that $\lambda = \sqrt[3]{4} - 1$ and $S = \text{diag}(d_5, d_5, d_7)$ where $d_7 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt[3]{4} - 2)d_5$ then $a_5 = a_6$, $b_5 = b_6$, $c_5 = c_6$. The system (6.51) reduces to only two equations. Subtracting the second one from the third one, we get $d_5^2 = 0$, which implies $S = 0$ contradicting our assumption.

Therefore, if S has exactly two different eigenvalues, then (6.40) does not hold. We go through the remaining possibilities in Lemma 6.5, both of which have S being a multiple of the identity.

Assume $S = 0$ so that the constants in (6.19) verify $a_l = b_l = c_l = 0 = \mu$ and $j(z) = j(z)^-$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{c}$ by (6.14). In particular, the image of $j : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})^- \simeq \mathfrak{so}(3)$. In this case, (6.51) reduces to the sole equation

$$0 = (\lambda - 1)^2 m^2,$$

and (6.52) is equivalent to the image of the map $j : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})^-$ being abelian. Since $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})^- \simeq \mathfrak{so}(3)$, the latter is possible only if $\dim \text{Im} j \leq 1$. However, $\dim \mathfrak{g}' \geq 2$, $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{c}$ and the fact that $\ker j \cap \mathfrak{g}' = 0$ implies $\dim \text{Im} j \geq 2$. Therefore, (6.52) does not hold for $S = 0$ (and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$).

Finally, suppose $S = \frac{\mu}{3}\text{Id}$ with $\mu \neq 0$. Notice first that from (6.14) we have $j(e_{i+4})^+ = -\frac{\mu}{3}j(e_{i+4}^0)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and thus, by (6.15), one has

$$(6.53) \quad [j(z)^+, j(z')^+] = \frac{2}{3}\mu j(\tau(z)z')^+, \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathfrak{c},$$

where $\tau \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{c})$ is defined by $\text{vol}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ via (6.7). Since for any $z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}$, $j(z) = j(z)^+ + j(z)^-$ and $[j(z)^+, j(z')^-] = 0$, it is clear from (6.53) that (6.46) holds if and only if

$$(6.54) \quad [j(z)^-, j(z')^-] = \frac{2}{3}\mu j(\tau(z)z')^-, \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}.$$

Now, assume further that $\lambda = -\frac{1}{3}$, then the coefficients in (6.19) verify $a_l = -\frac{4}{81}\mu^2$, $b_l = \frac{4}{27}\mu$ and $c_l = -\frac{1}{9}\mu$, for all $l = 1, 2, 3$. Hence (6.51) reduces to $m = 0$, which is equivalent to $j(z)^- = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{c}$ due to (6.35). Notice that the latter automatically implies (6.52). Therefore, when $S = \frac{\mu}{3}\text{Id}$ with $\mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda = -\frac{1}{3}$, (6.51)-(6.52) hold if and only if $j(z)^- = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{c}$. If this is the case, (6.54) holds trivially and thus (6.46) is valid.

It remains to treat the case $S = \frac{\mu}{3}\text{Id}$ with $\mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda = 1$. In this situation, $a_l = b_l = 0$ and $c_l = -\frac{1}{3}\mu$, for all $l = 1, 2, 3$, and with these values, it is easy to check that (6.51) is automatically satisfied. Moreover, (6.52) becomes

$$(6.55) \quad [j(e_j)^-, j(e_k)^-] = \frac{2}{3}\mu j(e_l)^-,$$

for all (l, j, k) even permutation of $(5, 6, 7)$, which is equivalent to (6.54) and thus to (6.46).

This finishes the proof of the equivalence between (1)-(2)-(3) and (6.39)-(6.40). \square

A straightforward consequence of this result is that $\text{tr} S = \mu \neq 0$ when the connection ∇^λ is an instanton. This implies that the torsion form τ_0 of φ is non-zero, because of (6.6). Hence we get:

Corollary 6.7. *If ∇^λ is an instanton, then φ is not purely coclosed.*

From Lemma 6.6, we can also deduce some structural properties of the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} for which ∇^λ is an instanton.

Corollary 6.8. *If ∇^λ is an instanton, then $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$ (and thus $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{g}'$ and $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{r}$).*

Proof. This is a consequence of (3) in Lemma 6.6. Indeed, suppose that $j : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$ satisfies (6.46) for some $\mu \neq 0$. Recall from §2.2 that $\mathfrak{c} \cap (\mathfrak{g}')^\perp = \ker(j : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q}))$. So, if this kernel is non-trivial, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{z, z', z''\}$ of \mathfrak{c} such that $j(z) = 0$ and $\tau(z')z'' = z$. For these elements, (6.46) gives

$$[j(z'), j(z'')] = \frac{2}{3}\mu j(z) = 0, \quad [j(z''), j(z)] = 0 = \frac{2}{3}\mu j(z'), \quad [j(z), j(z')] = 0 = \frac{2}{3}\mu j(z'').$$

Since $\mu \neq 0$, we get $j(z') = j(z'') = 0$ as well and thus $\ker(j : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})) = \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c} \cap (\mathfrak{g}')^\perp$, which is a contradiction since $0 \neq \mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{c}$. \square

Corollary 6.9. *If ∇^λ is a G_2 -instanton and $(de^i)^- \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{5, 6, 7\}$, then there exists a vector $0 \neq x \in \mathfrak{q}$ such that $j(z)x = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}'$.*

Proof. Assume that ∇^λ is a G_2 -instanton, then, by Corollary 6.8, $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{q}$. Moreover, (6.39) and (6.40) hold, so Lemma 6.6 applies. By (3) in that lemma, $\mathfrak{h} := j(\mathfrak{g}')$ is a 3-dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$. Clearly,

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{j(z) = j(z)^+ + j(z)^- \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})^+ \oplus \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})^- : z \in \mathfrak{g}'\}.$$

Moreover, by (2) in Lemma 6.6 and (6.14), $j^+ : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})^+$ is also injective. Identifying $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})^\pm$ with the imaginary quaternions $\text{im } \mathbb{H}$, we can define a map $f : \text{im } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{im } \mathbb{H}$ where for $p \in \text{im } \mathbb{H} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})^+$, $f(p) = j^-(j^+)^{-1}(p)$.

The hypothesis $(de^i)^- = \alpha_i^- \neq 0$ for some i implies that the map $j^- : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$ is non-trivial, so $f \neq 0$. In addition, $j^\pm : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})^\pm$ satisfy (6.53) and (6.54), so f is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Since $\text{im } \mathbb{H} \simeq \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ is simple, f is actually an automorphism, and there is some $a \in \text{Sp}(1)$ such that $f(p) = apa^{-1}$, for all $p \in \text{im } \mathbb{H}$. Hence,

$$(6.56) \quad \mathfrak{h} = \{p + apa^{-1} : p \in \text{im } \mathbb{H}\}.$$

Recall that for $p, q \in \text{im } \mathbb{H}$, the element $p + q \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r}) = \mathbb{H}$ acts on $x \in \mathbb{H} \simeq \mathfrak{q}$ by the formula

$$(6.57) \quad (p + q) \cdot x = px - xq.$$

If $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the unit in \mathbb{H} , one can easily check that the element $x := \mathbf{1} \cdot a^{-1} \in \mathbb{H} \simeq \mathfrak{r}$ verifies $(p + apa^{-1}) \cdot x = 0$ for all $p \in \text{im } \mathbb{H}$, for the action in (6.57). But \mathfrak{h} is as in (6.56), so this shows our claim. \square

Lemma 6.10. *The connection ∇^λ is a G_2 instanton if and only if $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 3$ (i.e. $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{g}'$) and the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $\lambda = 1$,
- (2) $(de^{i+4})^+ = \frac{\mu}{3}\sigma_i^+$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$,
- (3) the map $j : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{r})$ satisfies

$$(6.58) \quad [j(z), j(z')] = \frac{2}{3}\mu j(\tau(z)z'), \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathfrak{g}',$$

where $\tau \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}')$ is defined by in (6.7).

Proof. The connection ∇^λ is an instanton if and only if the three equations (6.39)–(6.41) hold. The two first conditions in that system are equivalent to the conditions in Lemma 6.6. Notice also, that (2) in Lemma 6.6 is equivalent to (2) in the statement by definition of S (4.32) and (6.14). Finally, (3) in Lemma 6.6 holds only if $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{c}$, as proved in Corollary (6.8), so this is not an extra condition in the statement.

Assume that (1)-(2)-(3) in Lemma 6.6 hold. We will show that (6.41) is equivalent to $\lambda = 1$. Indeed, under our assumption, $(de^{i+4})^+ = \frac{\mu}{3}\sigma_i^+$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and thus, by (6.1) and (6.3), we get

$$(6.59) \quad \text{ad}_u^+ = -\frac{\mu}{3}\text{ad}_u^0, \quad j(z)^+ = -\frac{\mu}{3}j(z)^0, \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{r}, z \in \mathfrak{c}.$$

Using (6.10)-(6.11), we obtain that for any $u \in \mathfrak{t}$, $z \in \mathfrak{c}$,

$$(6.60) \quad \nabla_u^\lambda = \frac{\mu(\lambda-1)}{6}(\text{ad}_u^0 - (\text{ad}_u^0)^*) - \frac{(\lambda-1)}{2}(\text{ad}_u^- - (\text{ad}_u^-)^*),$$

$$(6.61) \quad \nabla_z^\lambda = \frac{(\lambda+1)\mu}{6}j(z)^0 - \frac{\lambda+1}{2}j(z)^- - \frac{2}{3}\mu\lambda\tau(z).$$

If $\lambda = -\frac{1}{3}$, then (6.60) and (6.61) read

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_u^{-1/3} &= -\frac{2}{9}\mu(\text{ad}_u^0 - (\text{ad}_u^0)^*) \\ \nabla_z^{-1/3} &= \frac{\mu}{9}j(z)^0 + \frac{2}{9}\mu\tau(z). \end{aligned}$$

For any $u, w \in \mathfrak{t}$, $z, z' \in \mathfrak{c}$, straightforward computations give

$$(6.62) \quad g\left(R_{u,z}^{-1/3}w, z'\right) = -\frac{2}{81}\mu^2\left(g\left(j(z)^0w, j(z')^0u\right) + 2g\left(j(\tau(z)z')^0u, w\right)\right)$$

We use this expression to show that (6.41) fails by proving $(R^{-1/3})_1^5 \wedge \psi \neq 0$. In view of (6.20), (6.22) and (6.62), one has

$$\begin{aligned} (R^{-1/3})_1^5 &= \sum_{\substack{j=1,\dots,4, \\ k=5,6,7}} g\left(R_{e_j, e_k}^{-1/3}e_1, e_5\right) e^j \wedge e^k \\ &= -\frac{2}{81}\mu^2\left(e^1 \wedge e^5 + e^2 \wedge e^6 + e^4 \wedge e^7 + 2\left(e^2 \wedge e^6 + e^4 \wedge e^7\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since ψ has the form in (4.29), we obtain

$$(6.63) \quad (R^{-1/3})_1^5 \wedge \psi = -\frac{10}{81}\mu^2 e^{124567}.$$

Since $\mu \neq 0$, (6.41) does not hold for $\lambda = -\frac{1}{3}$.

Suppose now that $\lambda = 1$, then $\nabla_u^1 = 0$ by (6.60) and thus $R_{u,y}^1 = 0$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{t}$, $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. This, together with (2.17), implies $(R^1)_\beta^\alpha \in \Lambda^2\mathfrak{c}^*$ for every $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$, $\beta \in \{5, 6, 7\}$. However, using (6.22), one can easily check that for any $z, z', z'' \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{t}$, $g\left(R_{z,z'}^1u, z''\right) = 0$ when $\lambda = 1$ and (6.59) holds. Hence, $(R^1)_\beta^\alpha = 0$ for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$ and $\beta = 5, \dots, 7$ under this hypothesis. Therefore (6.41) holds as well, and thus ∇^1 is a G₂-instanton. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Agricola, A. Ferreira, and R. Storm. Quaternionic Heisenberg groups as naturally reductive homogeneous spaces. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, 12(8):10, 2015. Id/No 1560007.
- [2] L. Bagaglini. The energy functional of G₂-structures compatible with a background metric. *to appear in J. Geom. Anal.*, 2019.
- [3] L. Bagaglini, M. Fernández, and A. Fino. Coclosed G₂-structures inducing nilsolitons. *Forum Math.*, 30(1):109–128, 2018.
- [4] G. Ball and G. Oliveira. Gauge theory on Aloff–Wallach spaces. *Geom. Topol.*, 23(2):685–743, 2019.
- [5] E. Bonan. Sur des variétés riemanniennes à groupe d’holonomie G₂ ou Spin(7). *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A*, 262:127–129, 1966.
- [6] R. L. Bryant. Some remarks on G₂-structures. In *Proc. Gökova Geometry-Topology Conf. 2005*, pages 75–109. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2006.
- [7] A. Clarke. Instantons on the exceptional holonomy manifolds of Bryant and Salamon. *J. Geom. and Phys.*, 82:84–97, 2014.
- [8] A. Clarke, M. Garcia-Fernandez, and C. Tipler. T-Dual solutions and infinitesimal moduli of the G₂-Strominger system. arXiv:2005.09977, 2020.
- [9] X. de la Ossa, M. Larfors, and E. Svanes. Exploring SU(3) structure moduli spaces with integrable G₂ structures. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 19(4):837–903, 2015.
- [10] V. del Barco and A. Moroianu. Killing forms on 2-step nilmanifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 31:863–887, 2021.

- [11] V. del Barco, A. Moroianu, and A. Raffero. Purely coclosed g_2 -structures on 2-step nilpotent Lie groups. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 35:323–359, 2022.
- [12] S. Donaldson and E. Segal. Gauge theory in higher dimensions. II. In *Geometry of special holonomy and related topics*, pages 1–41. Somerville, MA: International Press, 2011.
- [13] S. K. Donaldson. Yang–Mills theory and geometry. Preprint, <http://www.imperial.ac.uk/skdona/YMILLS.PDF>, 2005.
- [14] S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas. Gauge theory in higher dimensions. In *The Geometric Universe (Oxford, 1996)*, pages 31–47. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [15] P. Eberlein. Geometry of 2-step nilpotent groups with a left invariant metric. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 27(5):611–660, 1994.
- [16] M. Fernández and A. Gray. Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2 . *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 132(1):19–45 (1983), 1982.
- [17] M. Fernández, S. Ivanov, L. Ugarte, and R. Villacampa. Compact supersymmetric solutions of the heterotic equations of motion in dimensions 7 and 8. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 15(2):245–284, 2011.
- [18] T. Friedrich and S. Ivanov. Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory. *Asian J. Math.*, 6(2):303–335, 2002.
- [19] T. Friedrich and S. Ivanov. Killing spinor equations in dimension 7 and geometry of integrable G_2 -manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 48(1):1–11, 2003.
- [20] Thomas Friedrich. G_2 -manifolds with parallel characteristic torsion. *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, 25(6):632–648, 2007.
- [21] M-P. Gong. *Classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 7, over algebraically closed fields and \mathbb{R}* . PhD Thesis, University Waterloo (Canada), 1998.
- [22] C. Gordon. Naturally reductive homogeneous Riemannian manifolds. *Can. J. Math.*, 37:467–487, 1985.
- [23] D. Harland and C. Nölle. Instantons and killing spinors. *Instantons and Killing spinors*, 82, March 2012.
- [24] F. R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, Jr. Calibrated geometries. *Acta Math.*, 148:47–157, 1982.
- [25] P. Ivanov and S. Ivanov. $SU(3)$ -instantons and G_2 , Spin(7)-heterotic string solitons. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 259(1):79–102, 2005.
- [26] S. Ivanov. Geometry of quaternionic Kähler connections with torsion. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 41(3):235–257, 2002.
- [27] S. Ivanov. Heterotic supersymmetry, anomaly cancellation and equations of motion. *Physics Letters B*, 685(2):190–196, 2010.
- [28] J. Lauret. Naturally reductive homogeneous structures on 2-step nilpotent Lie groups. *Rev. Unión Mat. Argent.*, 41(2):15–23, 1998.
- [29] J. Lotay and H. Sá Earp. The heterotic G_2 system on contact Calabi–Yau 7-manifolds. *To appear in Trans. A.M.S.*, 2022.
- [30] J. D. Lotay and G. Oliveira. $SU(2)^2$ -invariant G_2 -instantons. *Math. Ann.*, 371(1-2):961–1011, 2018.
- [31] A.I. Malcev. On a class of homogeneous spaces. *reimpreso en Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. Ser.*, 9(1):276–307, 1962.
- [32] J. Milnor. Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups. *Adv. Math.*, 21:293–329, 1976.
- [33] H. N. Sá Earp and T. Walpuski. G_2 -instantons over twisted connected sums. *Geom. Topol.*, 19:1263–1285, 2015.
- [34] A. Strominger. Superstrings with torsion. *Nuclear Physics B*, 274(2):253–284, 1986.
- [35] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke. *Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds*, volume 83 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. London Mathematical Society, London, 1983.
- [36] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke. Naturally reductive homogeneous spaces and generalized Heisenberg groups. *Compos. Math.*, 52:389–408, 1984.
- [37] A. Waldron. G_2 -instantons on the 7-sphere. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 106(2):3711–3745, 2022.
- [38] T. Walpuski. G_2 -instantons on generalised Kummer constructions. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(4):2345–2388, 2013.

A. CLARKE: INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, AV. ATHOS DA SILVEIRA RAMOS 149, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, 21941-909, BRAZIL

Email address: andrew@im.ufrj.br

V. DEL BARCO: INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESTATÍSTICA E COMPUTAÇÃO CIENTÍFICA, UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS, RUA SERGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651, CIDADE UNIVERSITARIA ZEFERINO VAZ, 13083-859, CAMPINAS, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL.

Email address: delbarc@ime.unicamp.br

A. J. MORENO: INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESTATÍSTICA E COMPUTAÇÃO CIENTÍFICA, UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS, RUA SERGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651, CIDADE UNIVERSITARIA ZEFERINO VAZ, 13083-859, CAMPINAS, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL.

Email address: amoreno@unicamp.br