

Soliton resolution for nonlinear Schrödinger type equations in the radial case

Avy Soffer and Xiaoxu Wu

Abstract

We consider the Schrödinger equation with a general interaction term, which is localized in space, for radially symmetric initial data in n dimensions, $n \geq 5$. The interaction term may be space-time dependent and nonlinear. Assuming that the solution is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ uniformly in time, we prove soliton resolution conjecture (Asymptotic completeness) by demonstrating that the solution resolves into a smooth and localized part and a free radiation in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm. Examples of such equations include inter-critical and super-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations, saturated nonlinearities, time-dependent potentials, and combinations of these terms.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Setting of the problem	2
1.3	Statement of the result	3
1.4	Review of previous works and outline of the proof	6
2	Preliminaries	12
2.1	Setup	12
2.2	Wave operators	13
2.3	Incoming/outgoing decomposition	16
3	Proof of Theorem 1.1 and examples	26
4	Application	35
5	Epilogue	38
A	Appendix	40
B	Propagation Estimates And Proof of Theorem A.1	42
B.1	Propagation Estimate	42
B.2	Relative Propagation Estimate	42
B.3	Time translated Potential	44
B.4	Estimates of the interaction terms and proof of Theorem A.1	44

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

A standard approach for studying physical systems described by Hyperbolic and Dispersive PDEs is to use the tools of scattering theory. The key starting point is to identify all possible asymptotic states of the system as time approaches positive or negative infinity. Spectral theory is highly developed for linear systems derived from time-independent Hamiltonians in quantum mechanics. This theory covers both N -body scattering and more general linear systems, including linearizations of fluid dynamics, general relativity, and others. Local decay estimates play a central role in this approach, with many references available on this topic, including [1, 15, 16, 23, 30, 54, 61]. The Enss method, described in [11, 13, 32], is also relevant in this context, as are propagation estimates discussed in [18, 39–41] and related works.

However, for time-dependent potentials and nonlinear equations, the scattering problem does not have a similarly general, abstract analysis. Such problems arise in various contexts, including linearizations of nonlinear problems, models for open systems, or as leading approximations to physical systems. See [49] for recent work in this area.

A particular class of problems similar to those treated in this work arises in nonlinear optics, where the governing equations for saturated nonlinearities are used in nonhomogeneous media. This leads to potential terms and coefficients that are both space- and time-dependent. See, for example, [2].

In this work, which builds on a series of previous works [50–53] on general scattering theory, we provide definitive answers to a broad class of Nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations. We prove that for such equations in five or more dimensions, spherically symmetric initial data with a global solution in H^1 leads to an asymptotic state that converges (as expected) to a free wave and a part that is localized. The localized part is concentrated around the origin and is a smooth function of space and time. We also show, by a simple example how one can recover dispersive estimates, for solutions of the equation via the methods developed in these works. See Section 4.

1.2 Setting of the problem

Let $H_0 = -\Delta_x$. We consider the general class of Nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations of the form:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi(x, t) = (H_0 + \mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t))\psi(x, t) \\ \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x) \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \psi_0 \text{ is radial in } x \end{cases}, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad n \geq 5. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, $\psi(x, t)$ is a complex-valued function of $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and $\mathcal{N} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is real-valued. Let $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ and let $\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the weighted $\mathcal{L}_x^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space:

$$\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f(x) : \langle x \rangle^\sigma f \in \mathcal{L}_x^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\} \quad (1.2)$$

for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We impose the uniform decay assumption on $\mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t)\psi(t)$ and uniform \mathcal{L}_x^2 assumption on the interaction \mathcal{N} : for some $\sigma > 2$,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t)\psi(t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad 1 \leq q < 2n/(n+2), \\ \chi(|x| > 1)\mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t)\psi(t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \end{cases}. \quad (1.3)$$

Typical examples are monomial nonlinearities, in particular, some inter-critical and energy super-critical equations of the form:

$$\mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t) = \pm \lambda |\psi|^p \quad (1.4)$$

for any $p \in (1, \frac{7}{3})$, $\lambda > 0$ when $n = 5$, as shown in Example 3.1. Here, inter-criticality means that the power of $|\psi|$ in \mathcal{N} is between the mass critical point $\frac{4}{n}$ and energy critical point $\frac{4}{n-2}$. If the interaction contains a supercritical defocusing part, then the energy bound controls also the term

$$\int |\psi|^{p+2} d^n x.$$

Using this bound and the assumption of global existence and uniform bound on the H^1 norm, we see that the condition 1.3 is satisfied for $q = 1$ by interpolating the above L^p bound and the H^1 bound.

When \mathcal{N} is negative, the solution $\psi(t)$ either blows up in a finite time by the Virial identity [17], [31], or exists globally in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this paper, we focus on the asymptotic behavior (as $t \rightarrow +\infty$) of solutions that do not blow up in the energy class. More precisely, we assume *a priori* uniform H_x^1 bound:

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = E < \infty \quad (1.5)$$

for some $0 < E < \infty$. With the *a priori* assumption (1.5), we know that ψ is globally well-posed in $H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by iterating the local well-posedness argument, cf. [56]. For global existence of general time dependent potentials see e.g., [36]. For data whose energy E is small, it is known that the solution $\psi(t)$ eventually scatters to approach a free solution $e^{-itH_0}\psi_+$ in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, see e.g., [62]. For large data, we do not expect this scattering behavior in general, even assuming the energy bound (1.5). This is due to the existence of soliton solutions. These soliton solutions are generated, for example, by finite-energy solutions $\psi(x)$ to the nonlinear eigenfunction equation:

$$-\omega\psi + \Delta_x\psi = F(\psi) \quad (1.6)$$

for some $\omega > 0$. Such solutions are known to be smooth and exponentially rapidly decreasing (see e.g. [7]). If one further assumes that ψ is non-negative and spherically symmetric, then there is a unique solution to (1.6) for each $\omega > 0$ [8]; we refer to this ψ as the *ground state*. Additionally, there also exist radial solutions that change sign, see [6]. We refer to these as *excited states*.

The *Soliton Resolution Conjecture* states that if there is a global solution $\psi(t)$ to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) (1.1), then $\psi(t)$ will evolve to a free radiation $e^{-itH_0}\psi_{free}$ plus solitons $\psi_{sol}(t)$, such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\psi_{free} - \psi_{sol}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Knowing all asymptotic states and proving the convergence of the solution to these states is called Asymptotic Completeness (AC).

1.3 Statement of the result

In this note, we prove a decomposition of the asymptotic states, with $\psi_{sol} = \psi_{loc}$ first, for the system (1.1). We assume that (1.3) and (1.5) are valid. Then we show that when $n \geq 5$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\psi_{free}(x) - \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

holds for some $\psi_{free} \in \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a localized function $\psi_{loc}(t)$ satisfying

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\delta \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} < \infty \quad (1.9)$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\psi(t)$ be a solution of the system (1.1) satisfying (1.5). If (1.3) is valid for some $\sigma > 2$, then (1.8) is true with $\psi_{loc}(t)$ satisfying (1.9) when $n \geq 5$.*

Examples satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1 include, when $n = 5$,

$$\mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t) = \pm \lambda |\psi|^p, \text{ or } W(x, t) \pm \lambda |\psi|^p \quad (1.10)$$

for all $\lambda > 0, p \in (1, 4/3]$, and some $W(x, t)$ satisfying that for some $\sigma > 2$,

$$\begin{cases} W(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{5+1}) \\ W(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{\sigma, x}^2(\mathbb{R}^{5+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{\sigma, x}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{5+1}) \end{cases}, \quad (1.11)$$

see Examples 3.1, 3.2.

Remark 1. *When we say "inter-critical," we mean that the power of nonlinearity is between the mass critical point ($p = \frac{4}{n}$) and the energy critical point ($p = \frac{4}{n-2}$). Specifically, when $n = 5$, the mass critical point is $\frac{4}{n} = \frac{4}{5}$. We note that if $p > \frac{4}{5}$ and is sufficiently close to $\frac{4}{5}$, our method may not work for NLS with $\mathcal{N} = -|\psi(t)|^p$.*

Remark 2. *Note that Theorem 1.1 only requires uniform boundedness when $|x| \geq 1$, which allows for the presence of singularities around the origin at $x = 0$. However, if we assume a uniform boundedness condition on the interaction \mathcal{N} , we can prove that the localized part is smooth in the sense of (1.19).*

Throughout the paper, we use the notation $A \lesssim_s B$ and $A \gtrsim_s B$ to indicate that there exists a constant $C = C(s) > 0$ such that $A \leq CB$ and $A \geq CB$, respectively. When the interaction \mathcal{N} is uniformly bounded in x , we can also prove that the localized part is smooth. Specifically, in Theorem 1.2, we assume that the interaction $\mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ satisfies, for all $f \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$,

$$|\mathcal{N}(|f|, |x|, t)| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^\sigma} \quad \text{for some } \sigma > 6 : \quad (1.12)$$

Assumption 1.1. (1.12) holds.

Let $V(x, t) := \mathcal{N}(|\psi(t)|, |x|, t)$, $\psi_D(t) := \psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)$, and $V_D(x, t) := \mathcal{N}(|\psi_D(t)|, |x|, t)$. Due to (1.5), we have $\|\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq 2E$.

Assumption 1.2. For all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let $P_j := -i\partial_{x_j}$. One has

$$\|P_j \mathcal{N}(|\psi(t)|, |x|, t) \psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x, 2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \lesssim_E 1. \quad (1.13)$$

Lemma 1.1. *If (1.5) and (1.12) hold and if Assumption 1.2 is satisfied, then we have*

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-2} \langle P \rangle^{3/2} \psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \lesssim_E 1. \quad (1.14)$$

The proof of Lemma 1.1 can be found in Section 2.3.

Based on Lemma 1.1, we know that $\psi(t) \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\langle x \rangle^{-2}\psi_D(t) \in H_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, in the proof of smoothness, we construct $\psi_{loc}(t)$ in terms of $\psi_D(t)$ instead of $\psi(t)$.

We also need the following assumptions related to $\psi_D(t)$:

Assumption 1.3. *For some $\sigma > 2$ and $\sigma' \in (0, 1/2)$ (here we take $\sigma' < 1/2$ since we only have limited decay in $|x|$ from $V(x, t)$), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(x, t)\psi(t) - V_D(x, t)\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{x, 2}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim E \\ \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, -\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, -\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2/n} &\lesssim E \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

Remark 3. *Note that $\psi(t) - \psi_D(t) = e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)$ and both $V(x, t)$ and $V_D(x, t)$ are localized functions due to Assumption (1.1). That is how we get a factor $\|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, -\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. In $V(x, t)\psi(t) - V_D(x, t)\psi_D(t)$, there is another term $(V(x, t) - V_D(x, t))\psi_D(t)$. Here we could get a factor $e^{-itH_0}\psi(0) \times \psi_D(t)$ or $(e^{-itH_0}\psi(0))^* \times \psi_D(t)$. When $|x| \leq 1$, $\psi_D(t)$ is not uniformly bounded, so in this case, $\mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ cannot be controlled by $\|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, -\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. On the other hand,*

$$|(V(x, t) - V_D(x, t))\psi_D(t)| \lesssim_E |\psi_D(t)|.$$

Using interpolation, one can obtain, for example,

$$\chi(|x| \leq 1) |(V(x, t) - V_D(x, t))\psi_D(t)| \lesssim_E \chi(|x| \leq 1) |e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)|^{2/n} |\psi_D(t)|. \quad (1.16)$$

Using that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi_D(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1$, by Hölder's inequality ($\frac{1}{n} + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{2}$), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(|x| \leq 1) (V(x, t) - V_D(x, t))\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim_E \| |e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)|^{2/n} \|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, -\sigma'}^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim_E \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, -\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2/n}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

Assumption 1.4.

$$\|\langle P \rangle^{3/2} \langle x \rangle^6 V_D(x, t)\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1. \quad (1.18)$$

Under the aforementioned assumptions, if $\mathcal{N}(|\psi(t)|, |x|, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and (1.5) are satisfied, then (1.8) holds, and

$$\|A^2 \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1, \quad (1.19)$$

where $P := -i\nabla_x$ and $A := \frac{1}{2}(P \cdot x + x \cdot P)$:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\psi(t)$ denote a solution to the system (1.1) that satisfies (1.5) and (1.12). If $\mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x, 2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ satisfies assumptions 1.1-1.4, then (1.8) is true with $\psi_{loc}(t)$ satisfying (1.9) and (1.19). In particular, when $n \geq 45$, $\sigma > n/2$ in Assumption 1.1, one has that for some $\delta > 1$,*

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\delta \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1. \quad (1.20)$$

Typical examples of Theorem 1.2 are

$$\mathcal{N} = -\frac{\lambda |\psi|^p}{1 + |\psi|^p} \quad \text{for all } p > 3, \lambda > 0, n = 5, \quad (1.21)$$

see example 3.3.

1.4 Review of previous works and outline of the proof

The thrust of this work is the study of general initial data, in particular arbitrary large data. While it is possible to characterize initial conditions for the solution are understood, even if large, these are all restricted to one channel problems: the solution either scatter to a free wave, or blows-up in a finite time. See e.g. [3–5]. Here we focus on the cases which are multi-channel. Tao’s work [55, 57, 58] showed that the asymptotic decomposition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\psi_{free}(x) - \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \quad (1.22)$$

holds for NLS with inter-critical nonlinearities in 3 and higher dimensions for radial initial data. When $n \geq 5$, Tao [57] extended the decomposition to $H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and showed that $\psi_{loc}(t)$ satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| > R} |\psi(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla \psi(x, t)|^2 dx \leq c_E(R) \quad (1.23)$$

for some explicit quantity $c_E(R)$ which goes to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$. To be precise, Tao’s method gives a decay rate of $1/\log^c R$ as mentioned in Remark 1.21 in [59] (the latest version of [57] on the arxiv). For further progress see [22]. Recently, Liu and Soffer [26, 27] showed that with radial symmetry assumption, for space dimension $n = 3$, if $|\mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{3+\theta}}$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\Omega_+^*\psi_0 - \psi_{wl}(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \quad (1.24)$$

where the weakly localized part ψ_{wl} satisfies

$$(\psi_{wl}(t), |x|\psi_{wl}(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |t|^{1/2}, \quad t \geq 1 \quad (1.25)$$

and

$$\|(x \cdot \nabla_x)^k \psi_{wl}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq k \leq K. \quad (1.26)$$

Here, the regularity of the interaction determines the value of K . In [53], we extended these results in [26, 27] to lower space dimensions and removed the radial symmetry assumption. Specifically, for localized interactions, we have shown that the following asymptotic decomposition holds for all $n \geq 1$:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\Omega_+^*\psi_0 - \psi_{wl}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad (1.27)$$

where $\psi_{wl}(t)$ satisfies

$$(\psi_{wl}(t), |x|\psi_{wl}(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |t|^{1/2+\theta}, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (1.28)$$

A smooth cut-off function F_c is used to construct the projection on the space of all scattering states in [53], given by

$$P_{sc}(s) := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U_{lin}(s, t+s)F_c\left(\frac{|x-2tP|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right)U_{lin}(s+t, s) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad n \geq 3, \quad (1.29)$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1-2/n)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where $U_{lin}(a, b)$ denotes the solution operator to the linear Schrödinger equation with a time-dependent potential $V(x, t)$. Here $V(x, t) \equiv \mathcal{N}(|\psi(t)|, |x|, t)$ is determined by the solution $\psi(t)$ to the original nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

Remark 4. *It is NOT true in general that the above projection of the free channel will apply. It can happen that the solution has a big spread around the classical trajectory, and then the limit will not exist for the values of α above [20, 24, 25].*

Once the above construction is done, one can prove local decay estimates. For example, when the potential has the form

$$\mathcal{N} = V_0(x) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_1} V_j(x) \sin(\omega_j t) \right) + \left(\sum_{j=N_1+1}^N V_j(x) \cos(\omega_j t) \right), \quad (1.30)$$

where $N, N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq N_1$, and each $V_j(x)$ is localized with $\langle x \rangle^\sigma V_j(x) \in \mathcal{L}_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$ and $\sigma > 6$, we have shown in [50] that

$$\int_0^\infty dt \|\langle x \rangle^{-\eta} U(t, 0) P_{sc}(0) \psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)}^2 \lesssim \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)}^2, \quad \text{for all } \eta > 5/2. \quad (1.31)$$

It is worth mentioning that complete results in the radial case, for the NLWE in the scale-invariant energy-critical cases, have been established by C. Kenig, F. Merle, and collaborators in 3 or higher dimensions. See e.g. [12]. For further development, see [21].

In this paper, we prove the conjecture that $\psi_{wl}(t)$ is localized in x for system (1.1) by improving the decomposition (1.24) to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0} \Omega_+^* \psi_0 - \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \quad (1.32)$$

for some localized function $\psi_{loc}(t)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\langle x \rangle^\delta \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_\delta \|\psi_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (1.33)$$

for some $\delta > 0$. One advantage of our method is that we are able to eliminate the component with a frequency of order $\frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$.

Let F be a smooth characteristic function (a cut-off function) with $F(k) = 1$ when $k \geq 1$ and $F(k) = 0$ when $k \leq 1/2$. Throughout the paper, $F(k > b) := F(\frac{k}{b})$, $F(k \leq b) := 1 - F(k > b)$ and $F(b < k \leq c) := F(k > b) - F(k > c)$ for $0 < b < c$.

Here is a **sketch of the estimate for** $F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\psi(t)$. Assuming that $V(x, t)$ is well-behaved, we can estimate $F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\psi(t)$ as follows:

1. Use incoming/outgoing decomposition:

$$F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\psi(t) = P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\psi(t) + P^- F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\psi(t). \quad (1.34)$$

Here, the definitions of P^\pm can be found in (2.34) and (2.35).

2. For $P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\psi(t)$, we approximate it using $P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\Omega_{t,+}^* \psi(t)$. Similarly, for $P^- F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\psi(t)$, we use $P^- F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\Omega_{t,-}^* \psi(t)$, where

$$\Omega_{t,\pm}^* \psi(t) := w\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{isH_0} \psi(t+s) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.35)$$

and $\Omega_{\pm}^* := \Omega_{0,\pm}^*$, as shown in (2.30) and Lemma 2.5. Using the intertwining property (2.27) (see Lemma 2.4), we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) \psi(t) &= P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) \Omega_{t,+}^* \psi(t) + P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) (1 - \Omega_{t,+}^*) \psi(t) \\
&= P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) e^{-itH_0} \Omega_+^* \psi(0) + P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) (1 - \Omega_{t,+}^*) \psi(t) \\
&=: \psi_1(t) + \psi_2(t). \tag{1.36}
\end{aligned}$$

We then have the following:

- (a) $\psi_1(t) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ since $F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) \Omega_+^* \psi(0) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) For $\psi_2(t)$, we use Duhamel's formula to rewrite it as:

$$\psi_2(t) = i \int_0^\infty ds P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) e^{isH_0} V(x, t+s) \psi(t+s). \tag{1.37}$$

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\psi_2(t) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the following two reasons. First, when $n \geq 5$, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{(t+1)^{2\epsilon}} ds \|e^{isH_0} F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) f(x, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \\
&\quad c \int_0^{(t+1)^{2\epsilon}} ds \|e^{isk^2} F(|k| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) \hat{f}(k, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\quad \leq c(t+1)^{2\epsilon} \|F(|k| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\hat{f}(k, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{k,t}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\
&\quad \leq c(t+1)^{2\epsilon} \left(\int d^n k F(|k| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon})^2 \right)^{1/2} \|f(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\
&\quad (\text{use } n \geq 5) \leq C \frac{1}{(t+1)^{-2\epsilon+n/2 \times \epsilon}} \|f(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.38}
\end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Secondly, the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty ds \|P^+ e^{isH_0} F(|P| \leq 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1, \tag{1.39}$$

which holds for all $\sigma > 2$, implies that

$$\int_{(t+1)^{2\epsilon}}^\infty ds \|P^+ e^{isH_0} F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(1+t)^\epsilon})\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.40}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. To prove (1.39), we establish a low frequency estimate and a high frequency estimate:

- Low frequency estimate: for all $f(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, using the Plancherel theorem and Hölder's inequality, when $n \geq 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|e^{isH_0} F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(s+1)^{1/2-\epsilon}}) f(x, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \\
& \quad c \|e^{isk^2} F(|k| \leq \frac{1}{(s+1)^{1/2-\epsilon}}) \hat{f}(k, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq c \|F(|k| \leq \frac{1}{(s+1)^{1/2-\epsilon}})\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\hat{f}(k, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{k,t}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\
& \leq c \left(\int d^n k F(|k| \leq \frac{1}{(s+1)^{1/2-\epsilon}})^2 \right)^{1/2} \|f(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\
& \text{(use } n \geq 5) \leq C \frac{1}{(s+1)^{n/4-n/2 \times \epsilon}} \|f(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \in \mathcal{L}_s^1[0, \infty), \quad (1.41)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $c, C > 0$. Here, \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f in the x variable.

- High frequency estimate: We use a dilation transformation, which keeps the \mathcal{L}_x^2 norm invariant, and obtain the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P^+ e^{isH_0} F(|P| > \frac{1}{(s+1)^{1/2-\epsilon}}) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \\
& \quad \|P^+ e^{i\frac{s}{(1+s)^{1-2\epsilon}} H_0} F(|P| > 1) \langle (1+s)^{1/2-\epsilon} x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq \|P^+ e^{i\frac{s}{(1+s)^{1-2\epsilon}} H_0} F(|P| > 1) \chi(|x| \leq 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \\
& \quad \|P^+ e^{i\frac{s}{(1+s)^{1-2\epsilon}} H_0} F(|P| > 1) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times \\
& \quad \|\chi(|x| \geq 1) \langle x \rangle^\sigma \langle (1+s)^{1/2-\epsilon} x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \in \mathcal{L}_s^1[0, \infty). \quad (1.42)
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain (1.42) by utilizing

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\sigma \langle (1+s)^{1/2-\epsilon} x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_n \frac{1}{(1+s)^{(1/2-\epsilon)\sigma}}, \quad (1.43)$$

as well as the estimate (2.45) from Lemma 2.7: for $a \geq 1$ and $\delta > 2$,

$$\|P^+ e^{iaH_0} \langle x \rangle^{-\delta}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,\delta} \frac{1}{a^\delta}, \quad (1.44)$$

$$\|P^+ e^{iaH_0} \chi(|x| \leq 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,N} \frac{1}{a^N}. \quad (1.45)$$

3. Therefore, we have that $P^+ F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) \psi(t) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and similarly, $P^- F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) \psi(t) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. As a result, we conclude that $F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{(t+1)^\epsilon}) \psi(t) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Here is the **detailed outline** of the proof for Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the approach initiated in [50]. We begin by decomposing the solution into two parts:

$$\psi(t) = F(|x| \geq 10) \psi(t) + F(|x| < 10) \psi(t). \quad (1.46)$$

Note that $F(|x| < 10)\psi(t)$ is localized in x . For $F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t)$, we use an incoming/outgoing decomposition:

$$F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t) = P^+ F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t) + P^- F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t). \quad (1.47)$$

To approximate $P^\pm F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t)$, we use $P^\pm \Omega_{t,\pm}^* \psi(t)$:

1. A key observation here is that

$$\Omega_{t,\pm}^* \psi(t) = w\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{isH_0} F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t+s), \quad (1.48)$$

as shown in (2.30). Using the intertwining property (2.27), one has

$$P^\pm \Omega_{t,\pm}^* \psi(t) = P^\pm e^{-itH_0} \Omega_{\pm}^* \psi(0), \quad (1.49)$$

which are close to the free flow. See section 2.2 for detailed information about $\Omega_{t,\pm}^*$.

2. We write $P^\pm F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t)$ as

$$P^\pm F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t) = P^\pm e^{-itH_0} \Omega_{\pm}^* \psi(0) + C_{\pm}(t)\psi(t) \quad (1.50)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\pm}(t) &:= P^\pm F(|x| \geq 10) - P^\pm \Omega_{t,\pm}^* \\ &= \pm i \int_0^\infty ds P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} F(|x| \geq 10) V(x, t \pm s) U(t \pm s, t) + \\ &\quad (\mp i) \int_0^\infty ds P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} [H_0, F(|x| \geq 10)] U(t \pm s, t). \end{aligned} \quad (1.51)$$

3. Let $\psi_{free} = \Omega_+^* \psi(0)$ and define $\psi_{loc}(t)$ as $\bar{F}(|x| < 10)\psi(t) + (C_+(t) + C_-(t))\psi(t)$. To achieve (1.8), we need to show that

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\delta (C_+(t) + C_-(t))\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1 \text{ for some } \delta > 0. \quad (1.52)$$

This is mainly accomplished by proving that

$$\int_0^\infty ds \|\langle x \rangle^\delta P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\sigma,\delta} 1 \quad (1.53)$$

for some $\delta > 0$, where $P := -i\nabla_x$.

Remark 5. Here we use the weak limit for $\Omega_{t,\pm}^*$, since the strong limit of $e^{isH_0}\psi(t+s)$ does not exist in general when there is a soliton.

Remark 6. Fortunately, the limit $s\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} P^\pm e^{isH_0}\psi(t+s)$ exists in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, therefore there is no confusion in using $\Omega_{t,\pm}^*$.

Remark 7. Observe that the weakly localized part only spreads significantly when $|P|$ is close to zero. Here, P refers to the momentum of the weakly localized part. The most challenging part of the argument is showing that the zero-frequency part does not delocalize, that is,

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\delta F(|P| \leq \epsilon)\psi_{wl}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1 \quad (1.54)$$

for some $\delta > 0, \epsilon > 0$.

Remark 8. In $\int_0^\infty ds \|P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{x,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, the part of the solution with momentum (frequency) of order $\frac{1}{\langle s \rangle^\epsilon}$ has a total traveling distance $|x|$ of order $\langle s \rangle^{1-\epsilon} \sim s \times |P|$. Using P^\pm and the method of stationary phase, each integration by parts gains $\frac{1}{\langle s \rangle^{1-\epsilon}}$ decay and loses $\langle s \rangle^\epsilon$ due to the cut-off frequency. Therefore, $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ is the borderline. Fortunately, in 5 or higher space dimensions ($n \geq 5$), we have

$$\|P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{\langle s \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon}})\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{x,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle s \rangle^{\frac{n}{4}-n/2+\epsilon}} \in \mathcal{L}^1_s(\mathbb{R}) \quad (1.55)$$

by using Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. So in this case, $n = 4$ is the borderline, which is why this method is not workable when $n \leq 4$.

Remark 9. In general, we cannot use propagation estimates with $P^\pm = P^\pm(A)$ (where A is the dilation operator) when $|P| \sim \frac{1}{\langle s \rangle^\epsilon}$, since in this region we have $|A| \lesssim |P||x| \sim \langle s \rangle^{-\epsilon} \cdot \langle s \rangle^\epsilon = O(1)$.

Remark 10. Instead of (1.5), we can use

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|\psi(t)\|_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty. \quad (1.56)$$

we can approximate $P^- F(|x| > 10)\psi(t)$ by $P^- F(|x| > 10)e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)$, and the error term becomes

$$c \int_0^t ds P^- e^{-i(t-s)H_0} V(x, s) \psi(s) \quad (1.57)$$

for some $c > 0$, using Duhamel's formula. Since $t - s \geq 0$, we can use a similar argument based on estimates of the free flow, and the result follows.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar. We use incoming/outgoing decomposition of $\psi(t)$:

$$\psi(t) = P^+ \psi(t) + P^- \psi(t) \quad (1.58)$$

$$= P^+ e^{-itH_0} \Omega_+^* \psi(0) + P^- e^{-itH_0} \Omega_-^* \psi(0) + P^+ (1 - \Omega_{t,+}^*) \psi(t) + P^- (1 - \Omega_{t,-}^*) \psi(t) \quad (1.59)$$

$$=: P^+ e^{-itH_0} \Omega_+^* \psi(0) + P^- e^{-itH_0} \Omega_-^* \psi(0) + C_r(t) \psi(0). \quad (1.60)$$

The localized part is defined by

$$\psi_{loc}(t) = \tilde{C}_+(t) \psi(0) + \tilde{C}_-(t) \psi(0), \quad (1.61)$$

where

$$\tilde{C}_+(t) \psi(0) := i \int_0^\infty ds P^+ e^{isH_0} V_D(x, t+s) \psi_D(t+s) \quad (1.62)$$

and

$$\tilde{C}_-(t) \psi(0) := (-i) \int_0^\infty ds P^- e^{-isH_0} V_D(x, t-s) \psi_D(t-s). \quad (1.63)$$

We use $\psi_D(t)$ instead of $\psi(t)$ because $\psi_D(t)$ is smoother than $\psi(t)$ when we localize in space (see Lemma 1.1). The difference between $\psi(t)$ and $\psi_D(t)$ is the free flow $e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)$, which is easy to control. In fact, $\langle x \rangle^{-2} \langle P \rangle^{1+l} \psi_D \in \mathcal{L}^2_x(\mathbb{R}^5)$ for any $l \in [0, 1)$. In other words, the advantages of using $\psi_D(t)$ to define $\psi_{loc}(t)$ are:

- $\psi_D(t)$ is smoother than $\psi(t)$.
- It is easy to control $\psi(t) - \psi_D(t) = e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)$, which satisfies some dispersive estimates (e.g., Strichartz estimate).

For $\psi_{loc}(t)$, we obtain (1.9) and (1.19) by using the estimates for $P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0}$ (for $s \geq 0$) acting on localized functions. We are able to prove (1.19) because $\psi_D(t)$ is smooth.

Remark 11. *Using Duhamel's formula (see [56]), we can rewrite $\psi_D(t)$ as follows:*

$$\psi_D(t) = (-i) \int_0^t ds e^{-i(t-s)H_0} V(x, s) \psi(s). \quad (1.64)$$

The integration over s makes $\psi_D(t)$ smoother than $\psi(t)$. One can use Duhamel's formula to iterate it again and achieve even greater smoothness. In other words, the power of 2 in (1.19) is not optimal, and in most situations, it can be improved to $k > 2$ by utilizing the Duhamel iteration strategy.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Setup

Using (1.5), we can regard the interaction $\mathcal{N}(|\psi|, |x|, t)$ as a general time-dependent perturbation $V(x, t)$, that is,

$$V(x, t) := \mathcal{N}(|\psi(t)|, |x|, t). \quad (2.1)$$

Let us define

$$\psi_D(t) := \psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\psi(0) \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$V_D(x, t) := \mathcal{N}(|\psi_D(t)|, |x|, t). \quad (2.3)$$

Then, $\psi(t)$ is a solution to the system

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi(x, t) = (H_0 + V(x, t))\psi(x, t) \\ \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x) \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases}, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \quad (2.4)$$

where $V(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ satisfies the following conditions:

- In Theorem 1.1,

$$\chi(|x| \geq 1) V(x, t) \psi \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \quad (2.5)$$

for some $\sigma > 2$.

- In Theorem 1.2,

$$|V(x, t)| \lesssim_E \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^\sigma} \quad \text{for some } \sigma > 6, \quad (2.6)$$

$$V(x, t) \in L_t^\infty L_{x, 2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(x, t)\psi(t) - V_D(x, t)\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{x, 2}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim_E \\ \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, -\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, -\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2/n} &\quad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

for some $\sigma' > 0$ and $\sigma > 2$,

$$\|\langle P \rangle^{3/2} \langle x \rangle^6 V_D(x, t) \psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1 \quad (2.9)$$

and for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\|P_j V(x, t) \psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \lesssim_E 1. \quad (2.10)$$

2.2 Wave operators

The wave operator acting on the initial data $\psi(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows:

$$Y_{t,\pm}^* \psi(t) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{isH_0} U_{lin}(s+t, t) P_c(t) \psi(t) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.11)$$

Moreover, we define

$$Y_{t,\alpha}^* := s\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} e^{isH_0} F_c\left(\frac{|x-2sP|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1\right) U_{lin}(t+s, t) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.12)$$

$$Y_{t,\alpha} := s\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} U_{lin}(t, t+s) F_c\left(\frac{|x-2sP|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{-isH_0} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$P_{sc}(t) := Y_{t,\alpha} Y_{t,\alpha}^* \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (2.14)$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1 - 2/n)$, $n \geq 3$. Here, F_c denotes a smooth characteristic function, a cut-off function.

Remark 12. *The operator $U_{lin}(t, 0)$ is defined as the solution to the Schrödinger equation with the potential $V(x, t)$. Hence, the well-definedness of $Y_{t,\alpha}$ is contingent upon determining V through $\psi(t)$. colored We define $Y_{t,\alpha}$ and $Y_{t,\alpha}^*$ solely to give meaning to P_{sc} .*

Lemma 2.1. *Provided that $V(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1 - 2/n)$, $n \geq 3$, $Y_{t,\alpha}$ and $Y_{t,\alpha}^*$ exist on $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The existence of $\Omega_{t,\alpha}$ can be established by employing Cook's method (see, for instance, [37]). To obtain the existence of $Y_{t,\alpha}^*$, begin by utilizing Cook's method to express $e^{isH_0} F_c\left(\frac{|x-2sP|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1\right) U_{lin}(t+s, t)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{isH_0} F_c\left(\frac{|x-2sP|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1\right) U_{lin}(t+s, t) &= F_c\left(\frac{|x|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{isH_0} U_{lin}(t+s, t) \\ &= F_c\left(\frac{|x|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{isH_0} U_{lin}(t+s, t)|_{s=1} + (-i) \int_1^s du F_c\left(\frac{|x|}{u^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{iuH_0} V(x, u+t) U_{lin}(t+u, t) + \\ &\quad \int_1^s du \partial_u [F_c\left(\frac{|x|}{u^\alpha} \leq 1\right)] e^{iuH_0} U_{lin}(t+u, t) \\ &=: F_c\left(\frac{|x|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{isH_0} U_{lin}(t+s, t)|_{s=1} + B_{in}(s, t) + B_{\geq 0}(s, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The interaction term $B_{in}(s, t)$ exists in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as s approaches infinity, thanks to the \mathcal{L}^∞ decay estimate of the free flow. As for $B_{\geq 0}(s, t)$, the inequality $\partial_u [F_c\left(\frac{|x|}{u^\alpha} \leq 1\right)] \geq 0$ enables us to employ the propagation estimate, which was first introduced in [53]. Using this estimate, we can establish its

existence in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as s tends to infinity. The key step in proving a propagation estimate is finding a *Propagation Observable* (PROB) $B(t)$ bounded(above) s.t.

$$\partial_t[(\psi(t), B(t)\psi(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}] = (\psi(t), C^*(t)C(t)\psi(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + g(t) \quad (2.16)$$

for some $g(t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^1[1, \infty)$. In our case, $B(t) \equiv F_c(\frac{|x-2tP|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1)$. For further information, please refer to [53]. \square

Remark 13. *If we weaken the assumption in Lemma 2.1 to $VU_{lin}\phi(x) \in L_t^\infty L_x^{2n/(n+2)}$ for all $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $n \geq 5$, the same argument still holds. See also the Appendix.*

Lemma 2.2. *If $V(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, then for all $\alpha \in (0, 1 - 2/n)$, $n \geq 3$, $P_c(t)$ exists on $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The existence of $P_c(t)$ follows from the existence of $Y_{t,\alpha}^*$ and $Y_{t,\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

In what follows, we shall focus on the nonlinear wave operator $\Omega_{t,\pm}^*$, which acts on the solution $\psi(t)$. $\Omega_{t,\pm}^*\psi(t)$ is defined as

$$\Omega_{t,\pm}^*\psi(t) := s\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{isH_0}\psi(t+s), \quad (2.17)$$

where $U(t, 0)$ denotes the solution operator to system (1.1). Furthermore, note that $V(x, t)$ satisfies (2.5) with $V(x, t) = \mathcal{N}(|\psi(t)|, |x|, t)$, which implies

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P^+ e^{isH_0} U(s+t, t)\psi(t) \quad \text{exists in } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \quad (2.18)$$

Lemma 2.3. *If $V(x, t)$ satisfies (2.5) and $\psi(t)$ satisfies (1.5), then (2.18) holds for $n \geq 5$.*

Proof. First, we can observe that:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P^+ e^{isH_0} F(|x| < 10)\psi(s+t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.19)$$

This follows from (2.47) in Lemma 2.7, with $l = 0$. Therefore, we need only demonstrate the existence of:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P^+ e^{isH_0} F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(s+t) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.20)$$

Using Cook's method to expand $P^+ e^{isH_0} F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(s+t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^+ e^{isH_0} F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(s+t) &= P^+ e^{iH_0} F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t+1) \\ &\quad + (-i) \int_1^s du P^+ e^{iuH_0} F(|x| \geq 10)V(x, u+t)\psi(u+t) \\ &\quad + i \int_1^s du P^+ e^{iuH_0} [H_0, F(|x| \geq 10)]\psi(u+t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

The existence of $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P^+ e^{isH_0} F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(s+t)$ in $\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ follows from the fact that, by using (2.47) in Lemma 2.7 and taking $\delta > 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^+ e^{iuH_0} F(|x| \geq 10)V(x, u+t)\psi(u+t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|P^+ e^{iuH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad \times \|F(|x| \geq 10)V(x, u+t)\psi(u+t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ (\text{ use (2.5)}) &\lesssim \|P^+ e^{iuH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \in \mathcal{L}_u^1[1, \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P^+ e^{iuH_0} [H_0, F(|x| \geq 10)] \psi(u+t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|P^+ e^{iuH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\quad \times \|[H_0, F(|x| \geq 10)] \psi(t+u)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \|P^+ e^{iuH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\quad (\text{ use (1.5) }) \lesssim \|P^+ e^{iuH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \in \mathcal{L}_u^1[1, \infty). \tag{2.23}
\end{aligned}$$

We finish the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3 implies that for $n \geq 5$,

$$P^+ \Omega_{t,+}^* \psi(t) = P^+ \Omega_{t,\alpha}^* \psi(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P^+ e^{isH_0} \psi(s+t) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.24}$$

Here, we use $\Omega_{t,\alpha}^* = \Omega_{t,+}^*$ since when $V(x,t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, $n \geq 3$, it was shown in [53] that

$$w\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} e^{isH_0} (1 - F_c(\frac{|x-2sP|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1)) U(s+t, t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.25}$$

Similarly, for $n \geq 5$,

$$P^- \Omega_{t,-}^* \psi(t) = s\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} P^- e^{isH_0} \psi(s+t) \quad \text{exists in } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.26}$$

Let $\Omega_{\pm}^* := \Omega_{t,\pm}^*|_{t=0}$. The time-dependent wave operators satisfy the following **intertwining property**

$$\Omega_{t,\pm}^* U(t, 0) = e^{-itH_0} \Omega_{\pm}^*, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{2.27}$$

which follows directly from the definition of $\Omega_{t,\pm}^*$:

Lemma 2.4. (2.27) holds if $\Omega_{t,\pm}^*$ exist for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By using the definition of $\Omega_{\pm,t}^*$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{t,\pm}^* U(t, 0) &= s\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{isH_0} U(s+t, t) U(t, 0) \\
&= e^{-itH_0} s\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{i(s+t)H_0} U(s+t, 0) \\
&= e^{-itH_0} \Omega_{\pm}^*. \tag{2.28}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the proof is complete. \square

Furthermore, since

$$w\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{isH_0} F(|x| < 10) U(s+t, t) \psi(t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad n \geq 1 \tag{2.29}$$

we have

$$\Omega_{t,\pm}^* \psi(t) = w\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{isH_0} F(|x| \geq 10) \psi(t+s) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{2.30}$$

The following lemma shows that (2.30) is indeed true:

Lemma 2.5. *If (1.5) is satisfied, then (2.30) holds.*

Proof. It follows from

$$w\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{isH_0} F(|x| < 10) \psi(t+s) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad n \geq 1 : \quad (2.31)$$

Choose $f \in \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using Hölder's inequality and (1.5), one has

$$\begin{aligned} |(f, e^{isH_0} F(|x| < 10) \psi(t+s))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| &= |(F(|x| < 10) e^{-isH_0} f, \psi(t+s))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| \\ &\leq \| (F(|x| < 10) e^{-isH_0} f)_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \| \psi(t+s) \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \| (F(|x| < 10) e^{-isH_0} f)_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \| \psi(t) \|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

as $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$. □

2.3 Incoming/outgoing decomposition

The incoming/outgoing wave decompositions are similar to the ones initiated by Mourre [29]. Let A be the dilation operator

$$A := \frac{1}{2}(x \cdot P + P \cdot x). \quad (2.33)$$

Definition 1 (Incoming/outgoing waves). *The projection on outgoing waves is defined by (see [43])*

$$P^+ := (\tanh(\frac{A-M}{R}) + 1)/2 \quad (2.34)$$

for some sufficiently large $R, M > 0$ such that Lemma 2.6 holds. The projection on incoming waves is defined by

$$P^- := 1 - P^+. \quad (2.35)$$

Recall that F is a smooth characteristic function (a cut-off function) with $F(k) = 1$ when $k \geq 1$ and $F(k) = 0$ when $k \leq 1/2$. Throughout the paper, $F(k > b) := F(\frac{k}{b})$, $F(k \leq b) := 1 - F(k > b)$ and $F(b < k \leq c) := F(k > b) - F(k > c)$ for $0 < b < c$.

Lemma 2.6. *Given $\sigma > \max\{1, n/2\}$, for all $N \in [1, \sigma]$, $R > 2N/\pi$, $f \in \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $n \geq 1$,*

$$\| \langle x \rangle^N P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\pi/2-N/R, N/R} \| f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.36)$$

Proof. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \langle x \rangle^N P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \| \chi(|x| \leq 1) \langle x \rangle^N P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \| \chi(|x| > 1) \langle x \rangle^N P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \| P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \| \chi(|x| > 1) \langle x \rangle^N P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \| f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \| \chi(|x| > 1) \langle x \rangle^N P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Estimate for $\| \chi(|x| > 1) \langle x \rangle^N P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$: We compute $[|x|^N, P^+] \langle x \rangle^{-N} f$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [|x|^N, P^+] \langle x \rangle^{-N} f &= c \int dw \hat{g}(w) (|x|^N e^{i\omega(\frac{A-M}{R})} - e^{i\omega(\frac{A-M}{R})} |x|^N) \langle x \rangle^{-N} f(x) \\ &= c \int dw \hat{g}(w) e^{i\frac{w(A-M)}{R}} \left(\frac{1}{e^{Nw/R}} - 1 \right) |x|^N \langle x \rangle^{-N} f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

for some $c > 0$. Here $\hat{g}(w)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $g(k)$ in k variable ($k \in \mathbb{R}$). Since

$$\hat{g}(w) = \frac{c_1}{\sinh(\pi w/2)} + c_2 \delta(w) \quad \text{for some constants } c_1, c_2, \quad (2.39)$$

we have

$$\| [|x|, P^+] \langle x \rangle^{-1} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2cc_1 \int_{|w| \geq 1} dw \left| \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi w/2)} \right| e^{N|w|/R} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \quad (2.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & cc_1 \int_{|w| < 1} dw |\hat{g}(w)| \left| \left(\frac{1}{e^{Nw/R}} - 1 \right) \right| \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim_{N/R} \int_{|w| \geq 1} dw \left| \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi w/2)} \right| e^{N|w|/R} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \int_{|w| < 1} dw |w \hat{g}(w)| \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim_{\pi/2-N/R, N/R} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

when $R\pi/2 > 1$. Thus,

$$\| [|x|^N, P^-] \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \| [|x|^N, P^+] \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\pi/2-N/R, R} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (2.42)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \| \chi(|x| > 1) \langle x \rangle^N P^+ \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \leq \| \chi(|x| > 1) \frac{\langle x \rangle^N}{|x|^N} [|x|^N, P^+] \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \\ & \| \chi(|x| > 1) \frac{\langle x \rangle^N}{|x|^N} P^+ \frac{|x|^N}{\langle x \rangle^N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim_{\pi/2-N/R, R} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\| \chi(|x| > 1) \langle x \rangle^N P^- \langle x \rangle^{-N} f \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\pi/2-N/R, R} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.44)$$

By using (2.37), (2.43) and (2.44), we obtain (2.36) and complete the proof. \square

Incoming and outgoing waves exhibit the following properties, which have been shown in [19] for energies that are away from both 0 and ∞ .

Lemma 2.7. *When $R > 2/\pi$, the incoming and outgoing waves satisfy:*

1. High Energy Estimate

For all $\sigma > 1, t \geq 1, c > 0$, when the space dimension $n \geq 1, l \in [0, \sigma)$,

$$\| P^\pm F(|P| > c) e^{\pm it H_0} |P|^l \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{c, n, l, \sigma} \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^\sigma}. \quad (2.45)$$

2. Near Threshold Estimate

For $\sigma > 1$ when $t \geq 1, (\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)), l \in [0, \sigma)$,

$$\| P^\pm F(|P| > \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon}}) e^{\pm it H_0} |P|^l \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n, \epsilon, l} \frac{1}{t^{(1/2+\epsilon)\sigma + (1/2-\epsilon)l}}. \quad (2.46)$$

In particular, when $n \geq 5, \sigma > 2$, and $l \in [0, \sigma)$, one has that for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^l\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,\epsilon,l,\sigma} \max\left\{ \frac{\chi(t \geq 1)}{\langle t \rangle^{(1/2-\epsilon)(n/2+l)}}, \frac{\chi(t \geq 1)}{\langle t \rangle^{\sigma(1/2+\epsilon)+l(1/2-\epsilon)}} \right\} \in \mathcal{L}_t^1(\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.47)$$

This is because the \mathcal{L}^2 volume of $|P|^l F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon}}) f$ in frequency space is controlled by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^1} / \langle t \rangle^{(1/2-\epsilon)(l+n/2)}$$

up to some constant.

3. **Time Smoothing Estimate** For $\sigma > 2, c > 0, n \geq 5, l \in [0, 1)$, and $a = 0, 1, 2$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^l\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,l,\sigma} 1, \quad (2.48)$$

$$\int_0^\infty dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma} 1. \quad (2.49)$$

When $\sigma > 3, c > 0, n \geq 5, l \in [0, 1)$, and $a = 0, 1, 2$, we have

$$\int_0^1 dt t^a \|P^\pm F(|P| > c) e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^{a+l}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{c,n,l,a} 1. \quad (2.50)$$

4. **Weight Absorption Estimate**

(a) For $\sigma > 2, n \geq 5, \delta \in (0, \min\{\sigma/20 - 1/10, 1/40\})$, one has

$$\int_0^\infty dt \|\langle x \rangle^\delta P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1. \quad (2.51)$$

Here, it should be pointed out that this estimate is different from the standard propagation estimates done via Mourre's method. In this estimate the thresholds $0, \infty$ are included.

(b) For $\sigma > n/2, n \geq 45$ and $R > 2\sigma/\pi$, there exists $\delta > 1$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty dt \|\langle x \rangle^\delta P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1. \quad (2.52)$$

5. **Global Time Smoothing Estimate** For $a = 0, 1, 2, \sigma > 4, n \geq 5$,

$$\int_0^\infty ds s^a \|P^+ e^{isH_0} (-\Delta)^a F(|P| \leq 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\sigma,n} 1. \quad (2.53)$$

Proof. It suffices to check the case when $t > 1$. Let

$$\text{(Littlewood–Paley Projections)} \quad F_{2^j}(|P|) := F(2^j < |P| \leq 2^{j+1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.54)$$

Here $F_{2^j}(|P|)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty F_{2^j}(|P|) = F(|P| > 1). \quad (2.55)$$

It is known that

$$\|P^\pm F_{2^0}(|P|)e^{\pm itH_0}\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_n \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^\sigma}, \quad (2.56)$$

see [19].

Proof of (2.45): When $c = 1$, break $P^\pm F(|P| > 1)e^{\pm itH_0}|P|^l \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}$ into several pieces

$$F(|P| > 1)e^{\pm itH_0}|P|^l \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^\pm F_{2^j}(|P|)|P|^l e^{\pm itH_0}\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}. \quad (2.57)$$

Let $\tilde{F}(k) \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\tilde{F}(k)F_{2^0}(k) = F_{2^0}(k)$. Using dilation transformation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^\pm F_{2^j}(|P|)e^{\pm itH_0}|P|^l \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|P^\pm F_1(|P|)e^{\pm i2^{2j}tH_0}|2^j P|^l \langle x/2^j \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq 2^{jl} \|P^\pm F_1(|P|)e^{\pm i2^{2j}tH_0}\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times \|\langle x \rangle^\sigma |P|^l \tilde{F}(|P|)\langle x/2^j \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad (\text{use } t \geq 1) \lesssim_n \frac{1}{\langle 2^{2j}t \rangle^\sigma} \times 2^{j(\sigma+l)} \lesssim_n \frac{1}{2^{j(\sigma-l)}} \times \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^\sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

which implies

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^\pm F_{2^j}(|P|)e^{\pm itH_0}|P|^l \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,l,\sigma} \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^\sigma}. \quad (2.59)$$

We finish the proof for (2.45) when $c = 1$. When $c \neq 1$, (2.45) will follow in a similar way by using dilation transformation.

Proof of (2.46): Take $\tilde{t} := (t/\langle t \rangle^{1-2\epsilon})^{1/(2\epsilon)}$. Then $\tilde{t} \sim t$ when $t \geq 1$. Using dilation transformation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^\pm F(|P| > \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon}})e^{\pm itH_0}|P|^l \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \\ &\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{(1/2-\epsilon)l}} \|P^\pm F(|P| > 1)e^{\pm i\tilde{t}^{2\epsilon}H_0}|P|^l \langle \tilde{t} \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,\epsilon} \\ &\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{(1/2-\epsilon)l}} \|P^\pm F(|P| > 1)e^{\pm i\tilde{t}^{2\epsilon}H_0}|P|^l \langle \tilde{t} \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} \chi(|x| \leq 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \\ &\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{(1/2-\epsilon)l}} \|P^\pm F(|P| > 1)e^{\pm i\tilde{t}^{2\epsilon}H_0}|P|^l \langle \tilde{t} \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} \chi(|x| > 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim_{n,\epsilon} \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{(1/2+\epsilon)\sigma+l(1/2-\epsilon)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

Here we use the fact that when $|x| \geq 1$ on the right-hand side, using (2.45), $\langle x \rangle^\sigma \langle \tilde{t} \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}$ gives $\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{(1/2-\epsilon)\sigma}}$ decay and

$$\|P^\pm e^{\pm i\tilde{t}^{2\epsilon}H_0}\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2.61)$$

gives $1/\langle t \rangle^{2\epsilon\sigma}$ decay. When $|x| < 1$, the right-hand side is well-localized and one gets the same decay at least by applying (2.45). So we get (2.46).

Proof of (2.48): Based on (2.47), it suffices to check

$$B_1 := \int_0^1 dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}|P|^l F(|P| \geq 1)\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2.62)$$

and

$$B_2 := \int_0^1 dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^l F(|P| < 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.63)$$

For B_2 , one has

$$B_2 \leq \| |P|^l F(|P| < 1) \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1. \quad (2.64)$$

For B_1 , one has

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^l F_j(|P|) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^l F_j(|P|) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t \leq \frac{1}{2^j}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^l F_j(|P|) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t > \frac{1}{2^j}) \\ &=: B_{11} + B_{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.65)$$

For B_{11} , one has

$$\begin{aligned} B_{11} &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dt \chi(t \leq \frac{1}{2^j}) \times 2^{jl} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j(1-l)}} \\ &\lesssim_l 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.66)$$

For B_{12} , using dilation transformation and **High Energy Estimate** (2.45), one has

$$\begin{aligned} B_{12} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm it2^{2j}H_0} |2^j P|^l F_0(|P|) \langle x/2^j \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t > \frac{1}{2^j}) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dt 2^{jl} \times \frac{1}{\langle 2^{2j}t \rangle^\sigma} \| \langle x \rangle^\sigma \langle x/2^j \rangle^{-\sigma} \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t > \frac{1}{2^j}) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2^{j(\sigma-l)}} \times \frac{1}{t^\sigma} \chi(t > \frac{1}{2^j}) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j(\sigma-1)}}{2^{j(\sigma-l)}} \\ &\lesssim_l 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.67)$$

Based on (2.66) and (2.67), we have

$$B_1 \lesssim_l 1. \quad (2.68)$$

We finish the proof for (2.48).

Proof of (2.49): According to (2.68), one has

$$\int_0^1 dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma} 1. \quad (2.69)$$

For $t \geq 1$, using (2.46), we can derive that for $n \geq 5$,

$$\|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{n,\epsilon} \max\left\{\frac{\chi(t \geq 1)}{\langle t \rangle^{5/4-5/2\epsilon}}, \frac{\chi(t \geq 1)}{\langle t \rangle^{\sigma(1/2+\epsilon)+1/2(1/2-\epsilon)}}\right\} \in \mathcal{L}_t^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad (2.70)$$

since for $f \in \mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, using the Plancherel theorem and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \||P|^{1/2} F(|P| \leq \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon}}) f\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= c_n \||q|^{1/2} F(|q| \leq \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon}}) \hat{f}(q)\|_{\mathcal{L}_q^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{1/4-\epsilon/2}} \|F(|q| \leq \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{1/2-\epsilon}})\|_{\mathcal{L}_q^{2/n}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\hat{f}(q)\|_{\mathcal{L}_q^{(n-4)/2n}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{5/4-5/2\epsilon}} \|f(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^{(n+4)/2n}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ (\text{use } \sigma > 2) &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{5/4-5/2\epsilon}} \|f(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.71)$$

Here \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f in x variable. Using (2.69) and (2.70), one gets (2.49) and finish the proof.

Proof of (2.50): When $c = 1$, estimate the left-hand side(LHS) of (2.50)

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{LHS}) \text{ of (2.50)} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 t^a dt \|P^\pm F_{2^j}(|P|) e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^{a+l} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{1/2^j} t^a dt \|P^\pm F_{2^j}(|P|) e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^{a+l} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \\ &\quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{1/2^j}^1 t^a dt \|P^\pm F_{2^j}(|P|) e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^{a+l} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} =: \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j,1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j,2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.72)$$

For $A_{j,1}$, one has

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j,1} \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{1/2^j} dt t^a \times 2^{j(a+l)} \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(1-l)} \lesssim_l 1. \quad (2.73)$$

For $A_{j,2}$, we can use dilation to replace $|P|$ with $2^j|P|$. By applying the **High Energy Estimate** (2.45), we have:

$$A_{j,2} = 2^{(a+l)j} \int_{1/2^j}^1 t^a dt \|P^\pm F_1(|P|) e^{\pm i2^{2j}tH_0} |P|^{a+l} \langle x/2^j \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2.74)$$

$$\lesssim_{n,a,l,\sigma} 2^{(a+l)j} \int_{1/2^j}^1 t^a dt \times \frac{1}{\langle t2^{2j} \rangle^\sigma} \times 2^{j\sigma} \quad (2.75)$$

$$\lesssim_{n,a,l,\sigma} \frac{1}{2^{j(1-l)}} + \frac{1}{2^{j(\sigma-a-1)}}. \quad (2.76)$$

This expression is summable over j when $\sigma > 3$, $a = 0, 1, 2$, and $l \in [0, 1)$. Based on the estimates on $A_{j,1}$ and $A_{j,2}$, one gets (2.50) when $c = 1$. For the case with $c > 0$, the argument is the same

(One can use dilation to reduce the problem to the case $c = 1$).

Proof of (2.51): When $t \geq 0$, let

$$B_3 := \int_0^\infty \|F(|x| \leq 1) \langle x \rangle^\delta P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt, \quad (2.77)$$

$$B_4 := \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty \|F_{2^j}(|x|) \langle x \rangle^\delta P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t \geq 2^{j/10}) dt, \quad (2.78)$$

and

$$B_5 := \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty \|F_{2^j}(|x|) \langle x \rangle^\delta P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t < 2^{j/10}) dt. \quad (2.79)$$

Then

$$(\text{LHS of (2.51)}) \leq B_3 + B_4 + B_5. \quad (2.80)$$

For B_3 and B_4 , according to (2.48) and (2.47), when $n \geq 5$, we have

$$B_3 \lesssim \int_0^\infty dt \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1 \quad (2.81)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_4 &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty dt \chi(t \geq 2^{j/10}) 2^{j\delta} \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon + \int_1^\infty t^{10\delta} \times \max\left\{\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{n/4 - n \times \epsilon/2}}, \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{\sigma(1/2 + \epsilon)}}\right\} \\ &\lesssim_{\sigma, \delta, \epsilon} 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.82)$$

by choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$\sigma(1/2 + \epsilon) - 1 > 10\delta \quad (2.83)$$

and

$$n/4 - n\epsilon/2 - 1 > 10\delta. \quad (2.84)$$

Here, when n is greater than or equal to 5, all we need to do is verify that

$$5/4 - 5\epsilon/2 - 1 > 10\delta, \quad (2.85)$$

and we will also utilize the fact that $\delta \in (0, \min\{\sigma/20 - 1/10, 1/40\})$.

For B_5 ,

$$\begin{aligned} B_5 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty dt \|\langle x \rangle^\delta F_{2^j}(|x|) P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} F(|P| \leq 2^{j/2}) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t < 2^{j/10}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty dt \|\langle x \rangle^\delta F_{2^j}(|x|) P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} F(|P| > 2^{j/2}) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t < 2^{j/10}) \\ &=: B_{51} + B_{52}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.86)$$

For B_{51} , when $\delta < 1/40$, we can use Lemma 2.6 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{51} &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{2^{j/10}} dt 2^{(\delta-1)j} \|\langle x \rangle P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\langle x \rangle e^{\pm itH_0} F(|P| \leq 2^{j/2}) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{2^{j/10}} dt 2^{(\delta-1)j} \times 1 \times 2^{j/2} t \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\delta-3/10)j} \lesssim 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.87}$$

For B_{52} , we can use (2.49) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{52} &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dt 2^{j\delta} \|P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0} |P|^{1/2} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\quad \times \|\langle x \rangle^\sigma \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2}} F(|P| > 2^{j/2}) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\delta-1/4)j} \lesssim 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.88}$$

So one has

$$B_5 \lesssim 1. \tag{2.89}$$

Based on (2.81), (2.82) and (2.89), we get (2.51). We finish the proof.

Proof of (2.52): Let

$$B_3 := \int_0^{\infty} dt \|F(|x| \leq 1) \langle x \rangle^\delta P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{2.90}$$

$$B_4 := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \|F_{2^j}(|x|) \langle x \rangle^\delta P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t \geq 2^{j/10}) \tag{2.91}$$

and

$$\tilde{B}_5 := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \|F_{2^j}(|x|) \langle x \rangle^\delta P^\pm e^{\pm itH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t < 2^{j/10}). \tag{2.92}$$

Then

$$(\text{LHS of (2.52)}) \leq B_3 + B_4 + \tilde{B}_5. \tag{2.93}$$

If $\sigma > n/2$, then for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, we can see that

$$\sigma(1/2 + \epsilon) - 1 > n/4 - n\epsilon/2 - 1. \tag{2.94}$$

Additionally, when $n \geq 45$, we can observe that

$$n/40 - n\epsilon/20 - 1/10 > 0 \tag{2.95}$$

if $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. So based on (2.81), (2.82), (2.83) and (2.84), one has that when $\delta \in (0, n/40 - n/20 \times \epsilon - 1/10)$, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$B_3 \lesssim 1 \quad (2.96)$$

and

$$B_4 \lesssim_{\delta, \sigma, \epsilon} 1. \quad (2.97)$$

For \tilde{B}_5 , break it into two pieces

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_5 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dt \|\langle x \rangle^\delta F_{2^j}(|x|) P^\pm e^{\pm it H_0} F(|P| \leq 2^{3j/4})\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t < 2^{j/10}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dt \|\langle x \rangle^\delta F_{2^j}(|x|) P^\pm e^{\pm it H_0} F(|P| > 2^{3j/4})\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t < 2^{j/10}) \\ &=: \tilde{B}_{51} + \tilde{B}_{52}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.98)$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we can see that for \tilde{B}_{51} , if $N \in (22/3, \sigma)$ (where $\sigma > n/2 \geq 45/2 > 22/3$) and $\delta \in (1, 3N/20 - 1/10)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{51} &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dt 2^{(\delta-N)j} \|\langle x \rangle^N P^\pm \langle x \rangle^{-N}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad \times \|\langle x \rangle^N e^{\pm it H_0} F(|P| \leq 2^{3j/4}) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t < 2^{j/10}) \\ &\lesssim_N \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\delta-3N/20+1/10)j} \\ &\lesssim_{N, \delta} 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.99)$$

For \tilde{B}_{52} , if $\delta \in (1, 41/40)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{52} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dt \|\langle x \rangle^\delta F_{2^j}(|x|) P^\pm e^{\pm it H_0} F(|P| > 2^{3j/4})\|_{H_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi(t < 2^{j/10}) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dt \|\langle x \rangle^\delta F_{2^j}(|x|) P^\pm e^{\pm it H_0} F(|P| > 2^{3j/4})\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \frac{1}{\langle P \rangle^{3/2}} \chi(t < 2^{j/10}) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j(41/40-\delta)}} \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.100)$$

Using (2.99), (2.100), (2.96), and (2.97), we can see that if $\delta \in (1, \min\{n/40 - 0 - 1/10, 41/40, 3\sigma/20 - 1/10\})$, then

$$(\text{LHS of (2.52)}) \leq B_3 + B_4 + \tilde{B}_5 \lesssim_{\delta, \sigma} 1. \quad (2.101)$$

Proof of (2.53): It follows from (2.47). \square

Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\pm}(t, T) &:= \pm i \int_0^T ds P^{\pm} e^{\pm is H_0} F(|x| \geq 10) V(x, t \pm s) U(t \pm s, t) \\ &\mp \int_0^T ds P^{\pm} e^{\pm is H_0} [H_0, F(|x| \geq 10)] U(t \pm s, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.102)$$

Lemma 2.8. *If $\chi(|x| \geq 1)V(x, t)\psi(t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for some $\sigma > 2$ and if $\psi(t)$ satisfies (1.5), then for all $\delta \in (0, \min\{\sigma/20 - 1/10, 1/40\})$, when $n \geq 5$,*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \sup_{T \in [0, \infty]} \|\langle x \rangle^{\delta} C_{\pm}(t, T) \psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\delta, E} 1, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (2.103)$$

Proof. Based on the assumptions on V and ψ , we have $\chi(|x| \geq 1)V(x, t)\psi(t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, $\chi(|x| \geq 1)V(x, t)e^{-itH_0}\psi(0) \in \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, and in addition, we have

$$[H_0, F(|x| \geq 10)]\psi(t) = (H_0 F) \times \psi - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} [F] \partial_{x_j} \psi(t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad (2.104)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [H_0, F(|x| \geq 10)]\psi(t) &= (H_0 F) \times \psi \\ &- 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} [F] \partial_{x_j} e^{-itH_0} \psi(0) \in \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.105)$$

Therefore, (2.103) follows by using (2.51). \square

Recall that

$$\psi_D(t) = \psi(t) - e^{-itH_0} \psi(0) \quad (2.106)$$

and

$$V_D(x, t) = \mathcal{N}(|\psi_D(t)|, |x|, t). \quad (2.107)$$

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Using Duhamel's formula, one has

$$\psi_D(t) = -i \int_0^t ds e^{-i(t-s)H_0} V(x, s) \psi(s). \quad (2.108)$$

The result follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(t \geq 0) \langle x \rangle^{-2} \langle P \rangle^{3/2} \psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} &\lesssim E + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\langle x \rangle^{-2} \langle P_j \rangle^{3/2} F(|P_j| > 1) \psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\ &\lesssim E + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t ds \|\langle x \rangle^{-2} \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2} F(|P_j| > 1)}{P_j} e^{-i(t-s)H_0} [P_j V(x, s) \psi(s)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\ &\quad \text{(use Assumption 1.2)} \lesssim_E 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.109)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(t < 0)\langle x \rangle^{-2}\langle P \rangle^{3/2}\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} &\lesssim E + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\langle x \rangle^{-2}\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}F(|P_j| > 1)\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\ &\lesssim E + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{-t} ds \|\langle x \rangle^{-2} \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}F(|P_j| > 1)}{P_j} e^{-i(t+s)H_0} [P_j V(x, -s)\psi(-s)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\ &\quad \text{(use Assumption 1.2)} \lesssim_E 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.110)$$

Here we also use

$$\|\chi(|t \pm s| > 1)\langle x \rangle^{-2} \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}F(|P_j| > 1)}{P_j} e^{-i(t \pm s)H_0} \langle x_j \rangle^{-2}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{\chi(|t \pm s| > 1)}{\langle t \pm s \rangle^2} \quad (2.111)$$

and

$$\int_0^{\mp t} \chi(|t \pm s| \leq 1) ds \|\langle x \rangle^{-2} e^{-i(t \pm s)H_0} \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}F(|P_j| > 1)}{P_j} \langle x_j \rangle^{-2}\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1. \quad (2.112)$$

We finish the proof. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and examples

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using incoming/outgoing decomposition, $F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t) = P^+ F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t) + P^- F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t). \quad (3.1)$$

Let

$$\psi_\pm := \Omega_\pm^* \psi_0. \quad (3.2)$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\pm(t) &= P^\pm F(|x| \geq 10) - P^\pm \Omega_{t,\pm}^* \\ &= \pm i \int_0^\infty ds P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} F(|x| \geq 10) V(x, t \pm s) U(t \pm s, t) \\ &\quad + (\mp i) \int_0^\infty ds P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} [H_0, F(|x| \geq 10)] U(t \pm s, t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By approximating $P^\pm \psi(t)$ with $P^\pm e^{-itH_0} \psi_\pm$, and taking into account the intertwining property (2.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t) &= P^+ \Omega_{t,+}^* \psi(t) + P^- \Omega_{t,+}^* \psi(t) + (C_+(t) + C_-(t))\psi(t) \\ &= P^+ e^{-itH_0} \psi_+ + P^- e^{-itH_0} \psi_- + (C_+(t) + C_-(t))\psi(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

With the use of P^\pm , we can regard $\Omega_{t,\pm}^* \psi(t)$ as

$$\Omega_{t,\pm}^* \psi(t) = w\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{isH_0} F(|x| \geq 10)\psi(t+s) \text{ on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (3.5)$$

which is demonstrated in Lemma 2.5. See also Lemma 2.3. Define

$$C(t) := C_+(t) + C_-(t). \quad (3.6)$$

Then, let

$$\psi_{loc}(t) := C(t)\psi(t) + F(|x| < 10)\psi(t). \quad (3.7)$$

By applying Lemma 2.8, we obtain that for all $\delta \in (0, \min\{\sigma/20 - 1/10, 1/40\})$, when $n \geq 5$,

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\delta \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\delta, E} \|\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\psi_+ - \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|P^+ e^{-itH_0}\psi_+ + P^- e^{-itH_0}\psi_- - e^{-itH_0}\psi_+\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \|-P^- e^{-itH_0}\psi_+ + P^- e^{-itH_0}\psi_-\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we defined $\psi_{loc}(t)$ by (3.7). However, we now make some modifications:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{loc}(t) &:= \tilde{C}_+(t)\psi(0) + \tilde{C}_-(t)\psi(0) \\ &=: \psi_{loc,+}(t) + \psi_{loc,-}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$V_D(x, t) := \mathcal{N}(|\psi_D(t)|, |x|, t) \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\tilde{C}_\pm(t)\psi(0) = \pm i \int_0^\infty ds P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} V_D(x, t \pm s) \psi_D(x, t \pm s). \quad (3.12)$$

Localization property of $\psi_{loc}(t)$: Due to (1.5), (1.12), Assumption 1.3 and (2.51) in Lemma 2.7, we have $V_D(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, which implies that

$$V_D(x, t)\psi_D(t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \text{ for some } \sigma > 2 \quad (3.13)$$

and for all $\delta \in (0, \min\{\sigma/20 - 1/10, 1/40\})$, $n \geq 5$,

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\delta \tilde{C}_{\pm,1}(t)\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\delta, E} 1. \quad (3.14)$$

So for all $\delta \in (0, \min\{\sigma/20 - 1/10, 1/40\})$,

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\delta \psi_{loc}(t)\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\delta, E} 1. \quad (3.15)$$

Asymptotic decomposition: This modification will not change the validity of (1.33):

1. Let

$$C_{\pm,1}(t)\psi(t) := \pm i \int_0^\infty ds P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} V(x, t \pm s) \psi(t \pm s). \quad (3.16)$$

Due to (1.12), (2.51) in Lemma 2.7 and the fact that $V(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, we have

$$V(x, t)\psi(t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \text{ for some } \sigma > 2 \quad (3.17)$$

and for all $\delta \in (0, \min\{\sigma/20 - 1/10, 1/40\})$,

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\delta C_{\pm,1}(t)\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\delta,E} 1. \quad (3.18)$$

If we take

$$\tilde{\psi}_{loc}(t) := C_{+,1}(t)\psi(t) + C_{-,1}(t)\psi(t), \quad (3.19)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= P^+ \psi(t) + P^- \psi(t) \\ &= P^+ \Omega_{t,+}^* \psi(t) + P^- \Omega_{t,-}^* \psi(t) + C_{+,1}(t)\psi(t) + C_{-,1}(t)\psi(t) \\ &= P^+ e^{-itH_0} \Omega_+^* \psi(0) + P^- e^{-itH_0} \Omega_-^* \psi(0) + \tilde{\psi}_{loc}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0} \Omega_+^* \psi(0) - \tilde{\psi}_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \|-P^- e^{-itH_0} \Omega_+^* \psi(0) + P^- e^{-itH_0} \Omega_-^* \psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Due to (1.15) and (2.48) in Lemma 2.7, because for any $\sigma' \in (0, 1/2)$,

$$\|\psi(t) - \psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^2} = \|e^{-itH_0} \psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.22)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|C_{\pm,1}(t)\psi(t) - \tilde{C}_\pm(t)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \\ &\quad \|\pm i \int_0^\infty ds P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} (V(x, t \pm s)\psi(t \pm s) - V_D(x, t \pm s)\psi_D(t \pm s))\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|\pm i \int_0^{t/2} ds P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} (V(x, t \pm s)\psi(t \pm s) - V_D(x, t \pm s)\psi_D(t \pm s))\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \|\pm i \int_{t/2}^\infty ds P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0} (V(x, t \pm s)\psi(t \pm s) - V_D(x, t \pm s)\psi_D(t \pm s))\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim_E \sup_{s \geq t/2} \|e^{-isH_0} \psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^2} + \int_{t/2}^\infty ds \|P^\pm e^{\pm isH_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. According to (3.21) and (3.23), by setting

$$\psi_{loc}(t) = \tilde{C}_+(t)\psi(0) + \tilde{C}_-(t)\psi(0), \quad (3.24)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\Omega_+^*\psi(0) - \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\Omega_+^*\psi(0) - \tilde{\psi}_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \quad + \|C_{+,1}(t)\psi(t) - \tilde{C}_+(t)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|C_{-,1}(t)\psi(t) - \tilde{C}_-(t)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{loc}(t) &= \tilde{C}_+(t)\psi(0) + \tilde{C}_-(t)\psi(0) \\
&=: \psi_{loc,1}(t) + \psi_{loc,2}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate for $A^2\psi_{loc,1}(t)$: For $A^2\psi_{loc,1}(t)$, we have

$$i[H_0, A] = 2H_0; \tag{3.26a}$$

$$(-i)[H_0, A^2] = -4H_0A - 4iH_0; \tag{3.26b}$$

$$(-i)[H_0, (-i)[H_0, A^2]] = 8H_0; \tag{3.26c}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A^2e^{isH_0} &= e^{isH_0}A^2 + (-i)\int_0^s du e^{-iuH_0}[H_0, A^2]e^{iuH_0} \\
&= e^{isH_0}A^2 + (-i)s[H_0, A^2] + (-i)\int_0^s du \int_0^u dv e^{-ivH_0}(-i)[H_0, [H_0, A^2]]e^{ivH_0} \\
&= e^{isH_0}A^2 + (-4H_0A - 4iH_0)s + 4H_0^2s^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26d}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
A^2\psi_{loc,1}(t) &= i\int_0^\infty ds P^+ A^2 e^{isH_0} V_D(x, t+s)\psi_D(t+s) \\
&= i\int_0^\infty ds P^+ (4s^2 e^{isH_0}(-\Delta)^2 - 4s e^{isH_0}(-\Delta)A + (-4is)e^{isH_0}(-\Delta)A \\
& \quad + e^{isH_0}A^2) \times V_D(x, t+s)\psi_D(t+s).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Break $A^2\psi_{loc,1}(t)$ into two pieces

$$\begin{aligned}
A^2\psi_{loc,1}(t) &= i\int_0^\infty ds P^+ F(|P| \leq 1) (4s^2 e^{isH_0}(-\Delta)^2 - 4s e^{isH_0}(-\Delta)A + \\
& \quad (-4is)e^{isH_0}(-\Delta)A + e^{isH_0}A^2) V_D(x, t+s)\psi_D(t+s) \\
& \quad + i\int_0^\infty ds P^+ F(|P| > 1) (4s^2 e^{isH_0}(-\Delta)^2 - 4s e^{isH_0}(-\Delta)A \\
& \quad + (-4is)e^{isH_0}(-\Delta)A + e^{isH_0}A^2) V_D(x, t+s)\psi_D(t+s) \\
&=: C_{m,+,1}(t)\psi(0) + C_{m,+,2}(t)\psi(0).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

For $C_{m,+1}(t)\psi(0)$, according to (2.53) in Lemma 2.7, one has that when $\sigma > 6$, $\sigma + a - 2 > 4$ and $V_D(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, for all $a = 0, 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{m,+1}(t)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sum_{a=0}^2 \int_0^\infty ds s^a \|P^+ e^{isH_0} (-\Delta)^a F(|P| \leq 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma+a-2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad \times \|F(|P| \leq 10) A^{2-a} V_D(x, t+s) \psi_D(t+s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma+a-2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|V_D(x, t+s) \psi_D(t+s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim_{E, \|V(x,t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Here we also use that (1.5) implies

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi_D(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2E < \infty \quad (3.30)$$

and therefore

$$\|V_D(x, t+s) \psi_D(t+s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{E, \|V(x,t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} 1 \quad (3.31)$$

by using (1.12). For $C_{m,+2}(t)\psi(0)$, according to (2.45) and (2.50) in Lemma 2.7, using Lemma 1.1 and (1.18), we have that for some $\epsilon > 0$ close to 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{m,+2}(t)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sum_{a=0}^2 \int_0^\infty ds s^a \|P^+ e^{isH_0} F(|P| > 1) |P|^{a+1-\epsilon}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,3+\epsilon}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad \times \| |P|^{a-1+\epsilon} A^{2-a} V_D(x, t+s) \psi_D(t+s) \|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,3+\epsilon}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{a=0}^2 \int_0^\infty ds s^a \|P^+ e^{isH_0} |P|^{a+1-\epsilon}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,3+\epsilon}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad \times \| \langle P \rangle^{3/2} \langle x \rangle^{5+\epsilon} V_D(x, t+s) \psi_D(t+s) \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim_{\epsilon, E} 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where we use

$$\| \langle x \rangle^{3+\epsilon} |P|^{a-1+\epsilon} A^{2-a} \langle x \rangle^{-(5+\epsilon)} \langle P \rangle^{-3/2} \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1.$$

Based on (3.29) and (3.32), one has

$$\|A^2 \psi_{loc,1}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1. \quad (3.33)$$

Similarly, one has

$$\|A^2 \psi_{loc,2}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1. \quad (3.34)$$

Based on (3.33) and (3.34), one has

$$\|A^2 \psi_{loc}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1. \quad (3.35)$$

We finish the proof of (1.19). When $n \geq 45$ and $\sigma > n/2$, due to Assumption 1.4,

$$\| \langle P \rangle^{3/2} V_D(x, t) \psi_D(t) \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \| \langle P \rangle^{3/2} \langle x \rangle^6 V_D(x, t) \psi_D(t) \|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1. \quad (3.36)$$

So (1.20) follows by using (2.52) in Lemma 2.7. \square

Example 3.1 (Example of Theorem 1.1). *When $n = 5$ and $\mathcal{N} = \pm\lambda|\psi|^p$ for any $p \in (1, \frac{4}{3}]$, (1.3) is satisfied and we have (1.32).*

Proof. Using (1.5), we can conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^5)} \lesssim \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)} < \infty. \quad (3.37)$$

Therefore,

$$\| |\psi(t)|^{p+1} \|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} < \infty \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$\| |\psi(t)|^p \|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} < \infty \quad (3.39)$$

for all $p \in (1, 4/3]$. Furthermore, we have that for all $p \in (1, 4/3]$,

$$\| \chi(|x| \geq 1) |\psi(t)|^{p+1} \|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} < \infty \quad (3.40)$$

for some $\sigma > 2$, since (1.5) implies

$$|\psi(t)| \lesssim_E \frac{1}{|x|^2} \text{ when } |x| \geq 1. \quad (3.41)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.2 (Example of Theorem 1.1). *When $n = 5$, $\mathcal{N} = W(x, t), W(x, t) \pm \lambda|\psi|^p$ for any $p \in (1, \frac{4}{3}]$ and some W satisfying that for some $\sigma > 2$,*

$$\begin{cases} W(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{5+1}) \\ \chi(|x| \geq 1)W(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{5+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{5+1}) \end{cases}. \quad (3.42)$$

Proof. When $\mathcal{N} = W(x, t)$, it follows directly because W is a linear interaction. When $\mathcal{N} = W(x, t) \pm \lambda|\psi|^p$, it follows from the proof of Example 3.1. \square

Example 3.3 (Example of Theorem 1.2). *When $n = 45$, $\mathcal{N} = -\lambda \frac{|\psi|^p}{1+|\psi|^p}$ for $p > 3, \lambda > 0$, we have (1.32) and (1.19).*

Proof. $\mathcal{N}(|\psi(t)|, |x|, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$: Using

$$\frac{|\psi|^p}{1+|\psi|^p} \leq 1, \quad (3.43)$$

and

$$|\psi(x, t)| \lesssim_{n,E} \frac{1}{|x|^{(n-1)/2}}, \quad |x| \geq 1, \quad (3.44)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \lambda \frac{|\psi|^p}{1+|\psi|^p} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} &\leq \|\chi(|x| \leq 1) \lambda \frac{|\psi|^p}{1+|\psi|^p}\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} + \|\chi(|x| > 1) \lambda \frac{|\psi|^p}{1+|\psi|^p}\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\ &\lesssim_E \lambda \left(1 + \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \right) \\ &\lesssim_E \lambda \|\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Assumption 1.1: (1.5) implies

$$|\psi(t)| \lesssim_E \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \text{ when } |x| \geq 1. \quad (3.46)$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \lambda \frac{|\psi|^p}{1 + |\psi|^p} \right| \lesssim_E \lambda \times \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{(n-1)p/2}} \quad (3.47)$$

with $(n-1)p/2 > 3(n-1)/2 > n/2$. So Assumption 1.1 is satisfied.

Assumption 1.2: Let

$$g(k) := \frac{d}{dk} \left[\frac{k^{p/2}}{1 + k^{p/2}} \right] = \frac{p/2 \times k^{p/2-1}}{1 + k^{p/2}} - \frac{k^{p/2} \times p/2 \times k^{p/2-1}}{(1 + k^{p/2})^2}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (3.48)$$

Therefore,

$$|g(k)| \lesssim_p \frac{|k|^{p/2-1}}{1 + |k|^{p/2}} \text{ for all } k \geq 0. \quad (3.49)$$

Compute $\partial_{x_j} \left[\frac{|\psi|^p}{1 + |\psi|^p} \psi \right]$, $j = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\partial_{x_j} \left[\frac{|\psi|^p}{1 + |\psi|^p} \psi \right] = \frac{|\psi|^p}{1 + |\psi|^p} \partial_{x_j} [\psi] + g(k)|_{k=|\psi|^2} (\partial_{x_j} [\psi^*] \psi + \psi^* \partial_{x_j} [\psi]) \times \psi, \quad (3.50)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial_{x_j} \left[\frac{|\psi|^p}{1 + |\psi|^p} \psi \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} &\lesssim_p \|k^p|_{k=|\psi|} \times (|\partial_{x_j} [\psi]| + |\partial_{x_j} [\psi^*]|)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\ &\lesssim_{p,E} \left\| \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{2p}} (|\partial_{x_j} [\psi]| + |\partial_{x_j} [\psi^*]|) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\ &\lesssim_{p,E} 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Therefore, Assumption 1.2 is satisfied.

Assumption 1.3: Write $V(x, t)\psi(t) - V_D(x, t)\psi_D(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, t)\psi(t) - V_D(x, t)\psi_D(t) &= V(x, t)e^{-itH_0}\psi(0) + (V(x, t) - V_D(x, t))\psi_D(t) \\ &=: V\psi_1(t) + V\psi_2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

For $V\psi_1(t)$, one has that when $\sigma' \in (0, \frac{n-1}{2} \times p - n/2 - 2)$ and $\sigma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} \times p - \frac{n}{2} - \sigma')$,

$$\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2} \times p - (\sigma + \sigma')}} \in \mathcal{L}_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2} \times p - \sigma'}} \in \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (3.53)$$

and therefore using Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V\psi_1(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|V(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma+\sigma'}^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma'+2}^2} \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^2} \\ (\text{use (3.47)}) &\lesssim_E \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

For $V\psi_2(t)$, let

$$\tilde{g}(k) := \frac{d}{dk} \left[\frac{-\lambda k^p}{1 + k^p} \right], \quad k \geq 0. \quad (3.55)$$

Then

$$|\tilde{g}(k)| \lesssim_{p,\lambda} \frac{k^{p-1}}{1+k^p}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (3.56)$$

Using

$$\|f\| - \|g\| \leq \|f - g\|, \quad (3.57)$$

based on the fundamental theorem of calculus, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(|x| \leq 1) |V\psi_2(t)| &= \chi(|x| \leq 1) \left| \int_0^{|\psi(t)|-|\psi_D(t)|} dk \tilde{g}(|\psi_D(t)| + k) |\psi_D(t)| \right| \\ &\lesssim_{p,\lambda} \chi(|x| \leq 1) \left| \int_0^{|\psi(t)|-|\psi_D(t)|} dk \frac{1}{1+|\psi_D|+k} |\psi_D(t)| \right| \\ &\lesssim_{p,\lambda} \chi(|x| \leq 1) |e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)|^{2/n} |\psi_D(t)|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

which implies that by using Hölder's inequality, for any $\sigma', \sigma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(|x| \leq 1) V\psi_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim_{p,\lambda} \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^{2/n}}^{2/n} \|\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim_{p,\lambda,E} \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^{2/n}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(|x| \leq 1) |V\psi_2(t)| &= \chi(|x| \leq 1) \left| \int_0^{|\psi(t)|-|\psi_D(t)|} dk \tilde{g}(|\psi_D(t)| + k) |\psi_D(t)| \right| \\ &\lesssim_{\lambda,p} \chi(|x| \leq 1) \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\| |\psi_D(t)|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

which implies that by using Hölder's inequality, for any $\sigma' > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(|x| \leq 1) V\psi_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim_{\lambda,p} \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^2} \|\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim_{\lambda,p,E} \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

Hence, based on (3.60) and (3.61), one has that for any $\sigma' > 0$,

$$\|\chi(|x| \leq 1) V\psi_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{p,\lambda,E} \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^{2/n}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2/n} + \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.62)$$

For $\chi(|x| > 1) V\psi_2(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(|x| > 1) |V\psi_2(t)| &= \chi(|x| > 1) \left| \int_0^{|\psi(t)|-|\psi_D(t)|} dk \tilde{g}(|\psi_D(t)| + k) |\psi_D(t)| \right| \\ &\lesssim_{\lambda,p} \chi(|x| > 1) \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\| \times (|\psi(t)|^{p-1} + |\psi_D(t)|^{p-1}) |\psi_D(t)|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

which implies that when $\sigma' \in (0, \frac{n-1}{2} \times p - n/2 - 2)$ and $\sigma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} \times p - \frac{n}{2} - \sigma')$,

$$\|\chi(|x| > 1) V\psi_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,\sigma}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\lambda,p,E} \|e^{-itH_0}\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-\sigma'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.64)$$

Thus, Assumption 1.3 is satisfied.

Assumption 1.4: Using the chain rule, we have

$$\|\langle P \rangle^{3/2} \langle x \rangle^6 V_D(x, t) \psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|F(|P_j| > 1) \langle P_j \rangle^{3/2} \langle x \rangle^6 V_D(x, t) \psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.65)$$

Since

$$\partial_{x_j} \left[\frac{|\psi_D|^p}{1 + |\psi_D|^p} \psi_D \right] = \frac{|\psi_D|^p}{1 + |\psi_D|^p} \partial_{x_j} [\psi_D] + g(k)|_{k=|\psi_D|^2} (\partial_{x_j} [\psi_D^*] \psi_D + \psi_D^* \partial_{x_j} [\psi_D]) \times \psi_D, \quad (3.66)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(|P_j| > 1) \langle P_j \rangle^{3/2} \langle x \rangle^6 V_D(x, t) \psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \\ & \|F(|P_j| > 1) \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}}{iP_j} [\partial_{x_j} [\langle x \rangle^6]] V_D(x, t) \psi_D(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \\ & \|F(|P_j| > 1) \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}}{iP_j} f_{D,1}(x, t) \partial_{x_j} [\psi_D(x, t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \\ & \|F(|P_j| > 1) \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}}{iP_j} f_{D,2}(x, t) \partial_{x_j} [\psi_D^*(x, t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

where

$$f_{D,1}(x, t) := \lambda \langle x \rangle^6 \left(\frac{|\psi_D|^p}{1 + |\psi_D|^p} + kg(k)|_{k=|\psi_D|^2} \right) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty W_x^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \quad (3.68)$$

and

$$f_{D,2}(x, t) := \lambda \langle x \rangle^6 g(k)|_{k=|\psi_D|^2} \psi_D^2 \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty W_x^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_{x,2}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}). \quad (3.69)$$

Using the chain rule, Assumption 1.2 and Assumption 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(|P_j| > 1) \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}}{iP_j} [\partial_{x_j} [\langle x \rangle^6]] V_D(x, t) \psi_D(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \\ & \|[\langle P_j \rangle^{1/2} \partial_{x_j} [\langle x \rangle^6]] V_D(x, t) \psi_D(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\partial_{x_j} [\langle x \rangle^6] \langle P_j \rangle^{1/2} [V_D(x, t) \psi_D(x, t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim_E 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

Using Lemma 1.1, the chain rule, Assumption 1.2 and Assumption 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(|P_j| > 1) \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}}{iP_j} f_{D,1}(x, t) \partial_{x_j} [\psi_D(x, t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \\ & \|\langle P_j \rangle^{1/2} f_{D,1}(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)} \|\partial_{x_j} [\psi_D(x, t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f_{D,1}(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,2}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\langle P_j \rangle^{1/2} \partial_{x_j} [\psi_D(x, t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \quad \text{(use (3.68))} \lesssim_E 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(|P_j| > 1) \frac{\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}}{iP_j} f_{D,2}(x, t) \partial_{x_j} [\psi_D^*(x, t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \\ & \|\langle P_j \rangle^{1/2} f_{D,2}(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\partial_{x_j} [\psi_D^*(x, t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f_{D,2}(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,2}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\langle P_j \rangle^{1/2} \partial_{x_j} [\psi_D^*(x, t)]\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x,-2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \quad \text{(use (3.69))} \lesssim_E 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.72)$$

According to (3.70), (3.71) and (3.72), we have

$$\|F(|P_j| > 1)\langle P_j \rangle^{3/2}\langle x \rangle^6 V_D(x, t)\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1, \quad (3.73)$$

which implies

$$\|\langle P \rangle^{3/2}\langle x \rangle^6 V_D(x, t)\psi_D(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_E 1. \quad (3.74)$$

Therefore, Assumption 1.4 is satisfied. We finish the proof. \square

4 Application

In this section, we present an application of this method, demonstrating that for certain nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a linear potential that is quasi-periodic in time, global Strichartz estimates hold in t for scattering states. Consider the linear time-dependent Schrödinger equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi(x, t) = (-\Delta_x + V_0(x) + V_s(x, t) + V_c(x, t))\psi(x, t) \\ \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^5) \end{cases}, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{5+1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Here, $V_0(x)$, $V_s(x, t)$ and $V_c(x, t)$ are real valued and satisfy following assumption:

Assumption 4.1. *Assume $V_0(x) \in \mathcal{L}_{x, \sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$ for some $\sigma > 6$, and $V_s(x, t)$ and $V_c(x, t)$ have the form:*

$$V_s(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \sin(\omega_{sj}t) V_{sj}(x), \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$V_c(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} \cos(\omega_{cj}t) V_{cj}(x), \quad (4.3)$$

where $V_{sj}(x), V_{cj}(x) \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$ for $\sigma > 6$, and ω_{sj} are pairwise irrational, as are ω_{cj} .

According to [53], we have

$$\Omega_\alpha^* := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itH_0} F_c\left(\frac{|x - 2tP|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) U_{lin}(t, 0) \text{ exists on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5) \quad (4.4)$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 3/5)$, and

$$P_{sc}(0) := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U_V(0, t) F_c(|x - 2tP| \leq t^\alpha) U_V(t, 0), \text{ exists on } \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5), \quad (4.5)$$

where $U_V(t, 0)$ denotes the solution operator to system (4.1) and F_c denotes a smooth characteristic function. Furthermore, we assume that (4.1) has no bound states:

$$P_{sc} = 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Assumption 4.2. (4.6) holds.

According to [50], under Assumptions 4.1 and 4.2, the solution to (4.1) satisfies Strichartz estimates as follows:

$$\|U_V(t, 0)\psi_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q \mathcal{L}_x^r(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \lesssim \|\psi_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)}, \quad (4.7)$$

for all $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)$, where this holds for all real numbers q and r , which satisfy the following conditions:

$$2 \leq q, r \leq \infty; \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{5}{r} = \frac{5}{2}. \quad (4.8)$$

Let us now consider a nonlinear problem. We take $V_{lin}(x, t) = V_0(x) + V_s(x, t) + V_c(x, t)$ and add a defocusing nonlinearity, $\lambda|\psi|$ ($\lambda > 0$), into the interaction. This leads to the following nonlinear system:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi(x, t) = (-\Delta_x + V_{lin}(x, t) + \lambda|\psi|)\psi(x, t) \\ \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{rad}^2(\mathbb{R}^5) \end{cases}, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{5+1}. \quad (4.9)$$

Assumption 4.3. *Assume that $V_{lin}(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})$ and is radial in x . Assume that ψ_0 leads to the following constraint:*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)} < \infty. \quad (4.10)$$

Under Assumptions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain

$$V_{lin}(x, t) + \lambda|\psi(t)| \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^{5+1}),$$

and as a consequence, according to Theorem 2.1 in [53], we have

$$\psi_+ := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itH_0} F_c\left(\frac{|x - 2Pt|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right)\psi(t) \text{ exists in } H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5). \quad (4.11)$$

Assumption 4.4. *If Assumption 4.3 holds, then the solution to system (4.9), $\psi(t)$, satisfies that*

$$\|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\psi_+\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.12)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The following proposition tells us that under Assumptions 4.1 to 4.4, $\psi(t)$ satisfies Strichartz estimates:

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q \mathcal{L}_x^r(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \leq C\|\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)} \quad (4.13)$$

for some constant $C = C(\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\psi(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)}) > 0$, where q and r satisfy (4.8):

Proposition 4.1. *Assuming that Assumptions 4.1 to 4.4 hold, (4.13) holds.*

Proof. It suffices to build end-point Strichartz estimate:

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \lesssim \|\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)}. \quad (4.14)$$

Using Duhamel's formula with respect to $U_V(t, 0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) = & U_V(t, 0)\psi(0) + (-i)\lambda \int_0^t ds U_V(t, s)|\psi(s)|e^{-isH_0}\psi_+ \\ & + (-i)\lambda \int_0^t ds U_V(t, s)|\psi(s)|\psi_r(s), \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\psi_r(t) := \psi(t) - e^{-itH_0}\psi_+. \quad (4.16)$$

Set

$$C_V := \|U_V(t, 0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})}. \quad (4.17)$$

$\psi_r(t) \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)$ implies $\psi_r(t) \in \mathcal{L}_x^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^5)$ with

$$\|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^5)} \leq C \|\psi_r(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)} \quad (4.18)$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Due to Assumptions 4.3 and 4.4, there exists $T > 0$ such that when $t \geq T$, the following inequality holds

$$\|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^5)} \leq C \|\psi_r(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)} < \frac{1}{2C_V}. \quad (4.19)$$

Using the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimate (see e.g., [56])

$$\left\| \int_{s < t} ds e^{-i(t-s)H_0} F(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^q \mathcal{L}_x^r(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \lesssim_{n,q,r} \|F\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{q'} \mathcal{L}_x^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}, \quad (4.20)$$

where $\frac{n}{r} + \frac{2}{q} = \frac{n}{2}$, for $2 \leq r, q \leq \infty$, with $(q, r, n) \neq (2, \infty, 2)$, and (r, r') and (q, q') are conjugate pairs, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} &\leq \|U_V(t, 0)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} + C_V \|\psi(t)|e^{-itH_0}\psi_+\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \\ &\quad + C_V \|\psi(t)|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \\ &\leq \|U_V(t, 0)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} + C_V \|\psi(t)|e^{-itH_0}\psi_+\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} + C_V \|\chi(t \in [0, T])|\psi(t)|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where we also use, due to (4.19),

$$\begin{aligned} C_V \|\chi(t \geq T)|\psi(t)|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} &\leq C_V \|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Let

$$E := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)}. \quad (4.23)$$

Using (4.21), (4.7) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} &\leq 2\|U_V(t, 0)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} + 2C_V \|\psi(t)|e^{-itH_0}\psi_+\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \\ &\quad + 2C_V \|\chi(t \in [0, T])|\psi(t)|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \\ &\lesssim 2\|U_V(t, 0)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} + 2C_V \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \|e^{-itH_0}\psi_+\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \\ &\quad + 2C_V \|\chi(t \in [0, T])|\psi(t)|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2 \mathcal{L}_x^{10/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \\ &\lesssim_E \|\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)} + \sqrt{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Here, we also use

$$\|\psi_+\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)} = \|\Omega_\alpha^* \psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)} \leq \|\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^5)}, \quad (4.25)$$

$$\|\psi_r(t)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)} \leq \|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0} \Omega_\alpha^* \psi(0)\|_{H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^5)} \quad (4.26)$$

$$\lesssim E, \quad (4.27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(t)|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^{10/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} &\lesssim \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^{20/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \|\psi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{L}_x^{20/7}(\mathbb{R}^{5+1})} \\ &\lesssim E^1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

This completes the proof. \square

5 Epilogue

In this section we discuss the issue of the precise meaning of Soliton Resolution. Naively, it is the statement that all localized asymptotic states solve the time-independent NLS, giving a solution with a profile of a soliton. However, this does not hold in general. It is known that asymptotic solutions of nonlinear equations that are not free waves, can be time dependent. Many such solutions have been found, and they are commonly referred to as **coherent structures**, see e.g. [33, 42]. It includes breathers, kinks, monopoles, hedgehogs, black-holes, self-similar solutions, vortices, peakons... For the case of NLS type equations with potential terms, it is not clear what can come out. In [51] we showed that if the interaction term decays like r^{-2} for large distance, we can construct global, stable, self-similar solutions that spread like t^α , $\alpha < 1/2$, $n \geq 5$. In one dimension, NLS systems of equations lead to breather solutions and consequently to time dependent potentials with time dependent bound states [28]. So the correct statement should be that soliton can be any coherent structure.

But there is more to the story: One in fact expects that many of those coherent structures to be unstable and non-generic. In particular, we expect breathers for nonlinear dispersive and hyperbolic equations in the continuum, to be unstable and non-generic. In fact we expect that excited state solitons are also unstable. See e.g. [47, 48], [60]. A major step in proving such a result, would be to show that time quasi-periodic solutions which are localized in space (and smooth) be unstable. Now, we note that the results described in this paper, combined with the previous works of Tao [55], [57], [58], [56], [59] and Liu-Soffer [27], [26] imply that the localized solutions are in fact smooth to high order, depending only on the structure of the Interaction term. The proof of such instability of time quasi-periodic solutions should not be hard; here we describe the steps to proving this, based on known techniques. The first step is to turn this question into a problem in spectral theory of a linear equation!

Suppose $\psi(x, t)$ is a localized smooth solution of the NLS type equation we discussed, which is moreover, time quasi-periodic. This means that the following equation holds:

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta \psi - F(|\psi|)\psi. \quad (5.1)$$

We now view $F(|\psi|) = V(x, t) = V(x, \omega_1 t, \dots, \omega_N t)$ as a potential for a linear Schrödinger equation. We introduce a new Hilbert space where the quasi-periodicity is used:

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 2\pi] \times \dots [0, 2\pi]).$$

On this Hilbert space, we define the Hamiltonian

$$L = -\Delta_x - \sum_{j=1}^N i\omega_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + V(x, t_1, \dots, t_N).$$

Since the spectrum of $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}$ consists of all the integers (times a constant), the continuous spectrum of L covers all of the real line. This is due to the fact the continuous spectrum of the Laplacian is the positive real line, and this will be unchanged by the perturbation, since it is relatively compact, due to the localization in space.

So, now we have a function u that belongs to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and is an eigenvector of L . Hence, L has an **embedded eigenvalue** in its continuous spectrum.

The weakly localized part has some more important properties: It consists of smooth functions of x and therefore also of time. Assuming, for a moment, that such solutions satisfy the Petite Conjecture (which will be discussed later), we can focus on the case where the time dependence is almost periodic. The goal is to prove that such solutions are unstable and therefore non-generic. That would leave us with the standard soliton as the only generic asymptotic state.

To proceed with this approach we first consider the case of a time periodic smooth and localized solution.

The periodic solution corresponds to an L^2 eigenfunction of the extended operator L

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta\psi + F(|\psi|)\psi,$$

$$-i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} - \Delta\psi + F(|\psi|)\psi \equiv L\psi = E\psi.$$

Here we assume that the interaction term is a function of ψ only, but we could add a potential term. We now observe that by basic spectral theory the continuous spectrum of L on the Hilbert space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T]$ covers the whole real line, with many overlapping branches. In particular, it follows that the localized solution, if it exists, is an eigenfunction with an embedded eigenvalue.

Next, we appeal to the general theory of perturbations of embedded eigenvalues to conclude that such an eigenvalue is removed by a generic perturbation. Generic is in the sense that there is a number, called Fermi Golden Rule; when it is not zero, it implies the absence of the eigenvalue.

We will follow techniques from Linear Spectral Theory, in particular Resonance Theory.

Originally, this was proved using the assumption of Dilation analyticity of the potential. This is not suitable for our purposes. Another approach, more powerful and general, was introduced by Mourre [10]. This approach which basically reduces the problem to proving an appropriate Mourre estimate, also allows proving exponential decay of eigenfunctions in many cases. This was in fact used by Sigal [38] and Pyke-Sigal [34, 35] to prove the absence of quasi-periodic solutions for both NLS and NLKG equations. Some of the more general results are limited to the case of "small breathers", that is quasi-periodic solutions which are small amplitude bifurcations from an isolated eigenfunction of a linear Hamiltonian.

For our problem we can not use smallness assumption, and we propose to apply the *time dependent resonance theory*, originally developed by Soffer-Weinstein [44], [46], [45]. In this approach only minimal decay of the potential is needed, and somewhat improved local decay estimate on the continuous spectral part of the Hamiltonian. These conditions are further relaxed by Costin-Soffer [9], to require that the resolvent of the Hamiltonian is a $C^{1+\eta}$ regular in the constant E around the

energy of the bound state. $\eta > 0$ is sufficient. Then the embedded eigenvalue is removed under any small perturbation with the Fermi Golden Rule satisfied. So, generically, for a given frequency of the periodic solution there is only one solution, or non. There is a complication in the use of resonance theory to the operator L in the quasi-periodic case. This is due to the fact that the spectral properties of L are needed in an arbitrary small neighborhood of 0. Therefore, on the Hilbert subspaces where the spectrum of the operator $\sum_{j=1}^N i\omega_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}$ is close to zero, then we are in a threshold situation for the operator L restricted to this subspace. The restrictions to such subspaces of L looks like $-\Delta + V_0(x) + V_m(x, t)$ where $V_0(x)$ come from the time independent part of the potential, and V_m come from very high oscillatory part of the potential. Due to the assumed smoothness such V_m are very small. So, we only need to have sufficient local decay for $-\Delta + V_0$. In 5 or more dimensions we have sufficient decay estimates in the generic case, which includes the case $V_0 = 0$.

Next we consider the case of an almost periodic function. In this case, the corresponding Hilbert space is non-separable, and one would need to extend spectral theory to such a space, which is not an easy proposition. Instead, we propose to use the method of *multiscale time averaging* [14]. In this approach, given a large time T and small ϵ , one can average over a finite time interval the part of the potential with sufficiently small time frequencies, and get an averaged potential without low frequencies. The new Hamiltonian will approximate the full dynamics on an interval of order T in time, with accuracy ϵ . Similar reduction can be used to remove all the high frequency oscillations. Therefore since bound states are non-generic to the quasi-periodic approximation, the same is true for L , by choosing T large enough.

Finally, we discuss the Petite Conjecture [42]. This conjecture is the nonlinear analog of Ruelle's geometric characterization of bound states. One way to prove it is to rely on a Theorem of Wiener, that states that the Fourier transform $F(t)$ of a finite signed measure satisfies

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |F(t)|^2 dt = \sum_j |\mu\{x_j\}|^2.$$

This means that the discrete part of the spectrum is given by a sequence of (masses of) δ functions that converges in L^2 . Another useful way, also due to Wiener, is to prove that the autocorrelation function of $F(t)$ exists for all t and is continuous in t . Then such an autocorrelation function is positive definite, and it follows that it is the Fourier transform of a finite measure.

A Appendix

Here, we present a proof of the existence of free channel wave operators based on [53].

Here we consider a general class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with $H_0 := -\Delta_x$ and a fixed parameter $a \in [0, 1]$. The equation is of the form:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi - H_0 \psi = \mathcal{N}(x, t, \psi) \psi \\ \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases}, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $n \geq 1$ denotes the spatial dimension, and $\mathcal{N}(x, t, \psi)$ can take on any of the following forms: $V(x, t)$, or $V(x, t)\psi + N(x, t, \psi)$. Note that $V(x, t)$ and $N(x, t, \psi)$ are not necessarily real. In [53], we assume that the solution to system (A.1) possesses a uniform \mathcal{H}_x^a bound, which can be expressed as:

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} \lesssim_{\|\psi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a}} 1. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$\mathcal{H}_x^a = \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Sobolev space. The interaction terms $\mathcal{N}(x, t, |\psi|)$ can be one of the following:

1. (\mathcal{L}^p potentials) $V(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}), n \geq 3$.
2. (nonlinear potentials) for some $a \in [0, 1]$, we have $\mathcal{N}(x, t, \psi) = N(|\psi|)$ such that:

$$\|N(|\psi|)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a}), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and assuming that $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_x^a$ leads to:

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} \lesssim_{\psi_0} 1. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Here, we denote $\langle \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \sqrt{|x|^2 + 1}$. Typical examples of nonlinear potentials are:

$$\mathcal{N}(\psi) = P(|\psi|), \quad \text{when } |z| \leq 1, \quad |P(z)| \leq |z|^b, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

for $b > \max\{1, 4/n\}$ with $P(z)$,

$$(n = 3) \quad \mathcal{N}(\psi) = \pm \left[\frac{1}{|x|^{3/2-\delta}} * |\psi|^2 \right](x), \quad \delta \in (0, \frac{3}{2}), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and

$$(n = 3) \quad \mathcal{N}(\psi) = \pm |\psi| \quad \text{Strauss Exponent.} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Note that the Strauss exponent corresponds to the case where $n = 3$ and $\mathcal{N}(x, t, \psi) = \pm |\psi|$. We define $\mathcal{L}_{\delta, x}^p := f(x) : \langle x \rangle^\delta f(x) \in \mathcal{L}_x^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We introduce the smooth characteristic functions $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_c(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{F}_j(\lambda)$ ($j = 1, 2$) of the interval $[1, +\infty)$, and define

$$\mathcal{F}_c(\lambda \leq a) := 1 - \bar{\mathcal{F}}_c(\lambda/a), \quad \mathcal{F}_j(\lambda > a) := \mathcal{F}_j(\lambda/a), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

as well as

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_c(\lambda \leq a) := \bar{\mathcal{F}}_c(\lambda/a), \quad \bar{\mathcal{F}}_j(\lambda \leq a) := 1 - \mathcal{F}_j(\lambda/a), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where for $j = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{F}_j(k) = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_c(k) = 1$ when $k \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{F}_j(k) = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_c(k) = 0$ when $k \leq 1/2$. We now present our main results:

Theorem A.1. *Let $\psi(t)$ be a global solution of equation (A.1) with an interaction satisfying (1), i.e., $V(x, t) = N(x, t, |\psi|) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ for $n \geq 3$ and some $a \in [0, 1]$. For $\alpha \in (0, 1 - 2/n)$, the channel wave operator acting on $\psi(0)$, given by*

$$\Omega_\alpha^* \psi(0) = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itH_0} \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x - 2tP|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) \psi(t), \quad (\text{A.10})$$

exists in $\mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$w\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itH_0} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_c\left(\frac{|x - 2tP|}{t^\alpha} > 1\right) \psi(t) = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|\psi(t) - e^{-itH_0} \Omega_\alpha^* \psi(0) - \psi_w(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} = 0 \quad (\text{A.12})$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where

$$\psi_w(t) := \bar{\mathcal{F}}_c\left(\frac{|x - 2tP|}{t^\alpha} > 1\right) \psi(t). \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Remark 14. *The condition on the interaction here is not sharp. The same proof applies to an abstract version [53], which is more general.*

Remark 15. *To control the non-free part, i.e., the weakly localized part, even in three or higher dimensions, we require that the interaction term is localized in the spatial variable x . Note that the interaction can also be nonlinear, including monomial terms, thanks to the use of radial Sobolev embedding theorems for H^1 functions in three or higher dimensions.*

B Propagation Estimates And Proof of Theorem A.1

B.1 Propagation Estimate

Given an operator family $B(t)$, we denote

$$\langle B \rangle_t := (\psi(t), B(t)\psi(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(t)^* B(t)\psi(t) d^n x. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Suppose a family of self-adjoint operators $B(t)$ satisfy the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle B \rangle_t &= (\psi(t), C^* C \psi(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + g(t), \\ g(t) &\in L^1(dt), \quad C^* C \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

In this case, we refer to the family $B(t)$ as a **Propagation Observable** (PROB) [[19], [40], [39]].

Upon integration over time, we obtain the bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \|C(t)\phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dt &= (\psi(T), B(T)\psi(T))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} - (\psi(t_0), B(t_0)\psi(t_0))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} - \int_{t_0}^T g(s) ds \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} |(\psi(t), B(t)\psi(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| + C_g, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3a})$$

where

$$C_g := \|g(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (\text{B.3b})$$

B.2 Relative Propagation Estimate

In this paper, we present a modified version of the **PRES**, which we refer to as the Relative **PRES** (RPRES). Given an operator \tilde{B} , we define its time-dependent expectation value as

$$\langle \tilde{B} : \phi(t) \rangle_t := (\phi(t), \tilde{B}(t)\phi(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(t)^* \tilde{B}(t)\phi(t) d^n x, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where $\phi(t)$ does not need to be the solution to (A.1), but it satisfies

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \langle \tilde{B} : \phi(t) \rangle_t < \infty. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Suppose (B.5) is satisfied, and $\partial_t \langle \tilde{B} : \phi(t) \rangle_t$ satisfies the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle \tilde{B} : \phi(t) \rangle_t &= \pm \langle \phi(t), C^* C \phi(t) \rangle + g(t) \\ g(t) &\in L^1(dt), \quad C^* C \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

We then refer to the family $\tilde{B}(t)$ as a **Relative Propagation Observable**(RPROB) with respect to $\phi(t)$.

Upon integration over time, we obtain the bound:

$$\int_{t_0}^T \|C(t)\phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dt \leq \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} \left| (\phi(t), \tilde{B}(t)\phi(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right| + C_g, \quad C_g := \|g(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^1(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

In this appendix, we choose $\phi(t) = e^{itH_0}\psi(t)$. The operator $C^*C(t)$ is a multiplication operator $\partial_t[F_c](\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1) \in \mathcal{L}_t^1[1, \infty)$ when $n \geq 3$, or a multiplication operator in frequency space $\partial_t[F_1](t^b|q| \geq 1) \in \mathcal{L}_t^1[1, \infty)$ (where q denotes the frequency variable) when $n \geq 1$. Then by using Hölder's inequality, (B.3) implies that for $T \geq t_0 \geq 1$,

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^T dt C^*(t)C(t)\psi(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2} \leq \left(\int_{t_0}^T dt |C(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_0}^T \|C(t)\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^2}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{B.8})$$

as $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$. We call this estimate **Relative Propagation Estimate**(RPRES).

We conclude this subsection by presenting an abstract formulation of the main theorem regarding the Free Channel Wave Operator.

Theorem B.1. *Let $H_0 = \omega(p)$ be the generator of the free flow $U_0(t, 0) = e^{-iH_0 t}$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $U(t, 0)$ be the solution operator of the Schrödinger type equation*

$$i \frac{\partial \psi(t)}{\partial t} = (H_0 + V(x, t))\psi(t),$$

where $V(x, t) = N(x, t, |\psi|)$. Assume that for initial data ψ_0 the solution of the above (nonlinear) equation is global, uniformly bounded in the Sobolev space $H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume, moreover that the group U_0 is bounded from $H_x^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L_x^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with a bound that decays faster than $1/t^{1+\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Here $p < 2, s \geq 0$.

Then, if the above conditions are satisfied with $s = 0$, the following strong limit, defining the Free Channel Wave Operator exists:

$$[\text{Free Channel}] \quad \Omega_F(\psi_0) \equiv s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U_0(0, t)J_c\psi(t) \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$\psi(t) = U(t, 0)\psi_0, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$J_c = U_0(t, 0)J(|x|/t^\alpha \leq 1)U_0(0, t), \quad (\text{B.11})$$

$$0 \leq \alpha \leq a(n, p) < 1, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

provided the interaction term satisfies the following bound uniformly in time:

$$\|V(x, t)\psi(t)\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

If the above assumptions hold with $s > 0$, we have that the same limit holds, provided we replace J_c above by

$$J_c = U_0(t, 0)F_2(|p|/t^\beta \leq 1)J(|x|/t^\alpha \leq 1)F_2(|p|/t^\beta \leq 1)U_0(0, t)$$

with β sufficiently small compared with s and ϵ mentioned above.

Assume moreover that the interaction term is localized in space, uniformly in time, with decay rate $\delta > 1$. Then, by modifying the channel wave operator using the change of the original J_c to

$$U_0(t, 0) \Sigma F_1(|P - \tau| > t^{-1/2+\beta}) J(|x|/t^\alpha \leq 1) \Sigma F_1(|P - \tau| > t^{-1/2+\beta}) U_0(0, t),$$

the channel wave operators exist, provided local decay estimates hold away from the thresholds of the operator $\omega(P)$. Here, $\Sigma F_1(|P - \tau| > t^{-1/2+\beta})$ stands for the sum of cutoff functions over all thresholds $\tau = \{\tau' | \nabla_k \omega(k) = 0 \text{ for } k = \tau'\}$ of ω .

Remark 16. The limit is zero on the support of $1 - F_2$ due to the assumption that the solution is uniformly bounded in $H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

B.3 Time translated Potential

Given a potential V , the **time-translated (tT) potential** is defined as the translation of V under the flow of the free Hamiltonian $H_0 := -\Delta_x$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K}_t(V) := e^{itH_0} V e^{-itH_0}, \quad (\text{B.14})$$

as introduced in [49]. The tT potential has the following representation formulas:

$$\mathcal{K}_t(V) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int d^n \xi, \hat{V}(\xi, t) e^{i(x+2tP) \cdot \xi}, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

$$\mathcal{K}_t(V) = V(x + 2tP, t). \quad (\text{B.16})$$

Here, $P := -i\nabla_x$, and $\hat{V}(\xi, t)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $V(x, t)$ with respect to the x variables:

$$\hat{V}(\xi, t) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int d^n x, e^{-ix \cdot \xi} V(x, t). \quad (\text{B.17})$$

We will also use c_n to represent $1/(2\pi)^{n/2}$.

B.4 Estimates of the interaction terms and proof of Theorem A.1

When the space dimension $n \geq 3$, we define

$$\Omega_\alpha^*(t) \psi(0) := \langle P \rangle^{-a} e^{itH_0} \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x - 2tP|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) \langle P \rangle^a \psi(0) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (\text{B.18})$$

for $\psi(0) \in \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here, we have $\Omega_\alpha^*(t) \psi(0) \in \mathcal{H}_x^a$ since $\psi(t) \in \mathcal{H}_x^a$. We use $\langle P \rangle^{-a} \mathcal{F}_c \langle P \rangle^a$ instead of \mathcal{F}_c for convenience, because

$$\|\langle P \rangle^{-a} e^{itH_0} \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x - 2tP|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) \langle P \rangle^a \psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} = \|e^{itH_0} \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x - 2tP|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) \langle P \rangle^a \psi(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

and by using (B.16),

$$\begin{aligned} & s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_\alpha^*(t) \psi(0) - e^{itH_0} \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x - 2tP|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) \psi(0) \\ &= s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\langle P \rangle^{-a} \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) \langle P \rangle^a - \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) \right) e^{itH_0} \psi(0) \\ &= s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\langle P \rangle^{-a}, \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) \right] \langle P \rangle^a e^{itH_0} \psi(0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.20})$$

Using (B.16) to rewrite $\Omega_\alpha^*(t)\psi(0)$ and applying **Cook's method** to expand it, we get

$$\Omega_\alpha^*(t)\psi(0) = \langle P \rangle^{-a} \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a \psi(t), \quad (\text{B.21})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\alpha^*(t)\psi(0) &= \Omega_\alpha^*(1)\psi(0) + \int_1^t ds \langle P \rangle^{-a} \partial_s [\mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1\right)] e^{isH_0} \langle P \rangle^a \psi(s) \\ &\quad + (-i) \int_1^t ds \langle P \rangle^{-a} \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{isH_0} \langle P \rangle^a V(x, s) \psi(s) \\ &=: \Omega_\alpha^*(1)\psi(0) + \psi_p(t) + \int_1^t ds \psi_{in}(s). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.22})$$

Due to (A.2),

$$\|\Omega_\alpha^*(1)\psi(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} \lesssim \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a}. \quad (\text{B.23})$$

We refer to $\psi_{in}(t)$ as the **interaction term**. If $\|\psi_{in}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} \in L_t^1[1, \infty)$, then

$$\int_1^\infty \psi_{in}(s) ds = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^t \psi_{in}(s) ds \text{ exists in } \mathcal{H}_x^a. \quad (\text{B.24})$$

Furthermore, by taking

$$\begin{cases} B(t) = \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) \\ \phi(t) = e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a \psi(t) \end{cases}$$

and using **RPRES** with respect to $\phi(t)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi_p(t) \text{ exists in } \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n) : \quad (\text{B.25})$$

$$\langle B(t) : \phi(t) \rangle_t \leq \left(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} \right)^2. \quad (\text{B.26a})$$

$\partial_t[\langle B(t) : \phi(t) \rangle_t]$ reads

$$\partial_t[\langle B(t) : \phi(t) \rangle_t] = c_p(t) + g(t), \quad (\text{B.26b})$$

with

$$\partial_t[\mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right)] \geq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 1,$$

$$c_p(t) := (e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a \psi(t), \partial_t[\mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right)] e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a \psi(t))_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \geq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &:= (-i)(e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a \psi(t), \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a V(x, t) \psi(t))_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + i(e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a V(x, t) \psi(t), \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a \psi(t))_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.26c})$$

Using $\|\psi_{in}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} \in L_t^1[1, \infty)$ and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\|g(t)\|_{L_t^1[1, \infty)} \leq 2 \left(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} \right) \times \int_1^\infty \|\psi_{in}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} dt < \infty. \quad (\text{B.26d})$$

Then for all $T \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^T c_p(t) dt &\leq \langle B(t) : \phi(t) \rangle_t|_{t=T} - \langle B(t) : \phi(t) \rangle_t|_{t=1} + \|g(t)\|_{L_t^1[1,\infty)} \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} \right)^2 + \|g(t)\|_{L_t^1[1,\infty)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.26e})$$

Hence,

$$\int_1^\infty c_p(t) dt = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^T c_p(t) dt \quad \text{exists in } \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{B.26f})$$

and for all $t_1 > t_2 \geq T \geq 1$, using Hölder's inequality in s variable,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_p(t_1) - \psi_p(t_2)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} &= \left\| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \partial_s [\mathcal{F}_c(\frac{|x|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1)] e^{isH_0} \langle P \rangle^a \psi(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{t_2}^{t_1} \partial_s [\mathcal{F}_c(\frac{|x|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1)] ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_2}^{t_1} \partial_s [\mathcal{F}_c(\frac{|x|}{s^\alpha} \leq 1)] |e^{isH_0} \langle P \rangle^a \psi(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \left(\int_T^\infty c_p(s) ds \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.26g})$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. So $\{\psi_p(t)\}_{t \geq 1}$ is Cauchy in $\mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and (B.25) is true. Therefore, we establish the existence of a free-channel wave operator on \mathcal{H}_x^a due to (B.24) and (B.25), that is,

$$s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_\alpha^*(t) \psi(0) = \Omega_\alpha^*(1) \psi(0) + \psi_p(\infty) + \int_1^\infty ds \psi_{in}(s) \quad \text{exists in } \mathcal{H}_x^a. \quad (\text{B.27})$$

For the interaction term, we also used the L^p decay estimates of the free flow:

$$\|e^{-itH_0} f(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_n \frac{1}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})}} \|f(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}_x^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\text{B.28})$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty. \quad (\text{B.29})$$

Throughout the paper, we need the following estimates for the interaction terms.

Definition 2 (Potential $V(x, t)$). *Given $\psi(0)$ such that the solution $\psi(t)$ is bounded in \mathcal{H}_x^a uniformly in time, we define $V(x, t)$ as $N(x, t, |\psi(t)|)$.*

We will also use $V(x, t)$ as a potential for a *linear problem*. In particular, we remind the reader that if V is assumed to be localized in x , this is achieved in the nonlinear case by assuming radial symmetry and applying the radial Sobolev Embedding Theorems (in three or more dimensions).

Proposition B.1. *For $V(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$, $a \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha \in (0, 1 - 2/n)$, we have that for $t \geq 1, n \geq 3$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle P \rangle^{-a} \mathcal{F}_c(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1) e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a V(x, t) \psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} &\lesssim_n \\ &\frac{1}{t^{1+\beta}} \|V(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})} \times \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n)} \in L_t^1[1, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.30})$$

for some β satisfying

$$\beta := \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{2} - 1 > 0. \quad (\text{B.31})$$

Proof. By using \mathcal{L}^p decay of the free flow, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\langle P \rangle^{-a} \mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a V(x, t) \psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a} &= \|\mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right) e^{itH_0} \langle P \rangle^a V(x, t) \psi(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim_n \|\mathcal{F}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} \leq 1\right)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times \frac{1}{t^{n/2}} \|V(x, t) \psi(t)\|_{W_x^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim_n \frac{1}{t^{n(1-\alpha)/2}} \|V(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^\infty \mathcal{H}_x^a} \times \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^a(\mathbb{R}^n)} \in L_t^1[1, \infty)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.32}$$

provided that $\alpha \in (0, 1 - 2/n)$. □

Remark 17. *Based on the proof of Proposition B.1, L^∞ decay estimates of the free flow are not necessary in $n \geq 3$ dimensions. For example, $\mathcal{L}^{6+\epsilon}$ decay will be sufficient in $n = 3$ dimensions.*

Proof of Theorem A.1. The existence of $\Omega_\alpha^* \psi(0)$ in \mathcal{H}_x^a follows from (B.20), Proposition B.1 and (B.25), which is proved by using (B.26). (A.11) is based on the following argument: For all $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{H}_x^{-a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can utilize (B.16) and (A.2) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi(x), e^{itH_0} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_c\left(\frac{|x - 2tP|}{t^\alpha} > 1\right) \psi(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= (\langle P \rangle^{-a} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} > 1\right) \phi(x), \langle P \rangle^a e^{itH_0} \psi(t))_{\mathcal{L}_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.33}$$

since

$$\|\langle P \rangle^{-a} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_c\left(\frac{|x|}{t^\alpha} > 1\right) \phi(x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^{-a}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{B.34}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We have thus completed the proof. □

Acknowledgements: A. S. was partially supported by Simons Foundation Grant number 851844 and NSF grants DMS-2205931. X. W. was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1802170, NSF Grant DMS-21-06255 and NSF Grant DMS-2205931 .

References

- [1] W. O. Amrein, A. B. de Monvel, and V. Georgescu, *C_0 -groups, commutator methods and spectral theory of N -body Hamiltonians*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 135, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996. MR1388037
- [2] A. Barak, O. Peleg, C. Stucchio, A. Soffer, and M. Segev, *Observation of soliton tunneling phenomena and soliton ejection*, Physical review letters **100** (2008), no. 15, 153901.
- [3] M. Beceanu, Q. Deng, A. Soffer, and Y. Wu, *Large global solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations II. Mass-supercritical, energy-subcritical cases*, Comm. Math. Phys. **382** (2021), no. 1, 173–237. MR4223473
- [4] M. Beceanu and A. Soffer, *A positivity criterion for the wave equation and global existence of large solutions* (2016).
- [5] M. Beceanu and A. Soffer, *Large outgoing solutions to supercritical wave equations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **20** (2018), 6201–6253. MR3872322
- [6] H. Berestycki, *Equations de champs scalaires euclidiens nonlinéaires dans le plan*, CR Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I Math **297** (1983), 307–310.
- [7] T. Cazenave, *An introduction to nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Vol. 22, Universidade federal do Rio de Janeiro, Centro de ciências matemáticas e da . . . , 1989.
- [8] C. V. Coffman, *Uniqueness of the ground state solution for $\Delta u - u + u^3 = 0$ and a variational characterization of other solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **46** (1972), 81–95. MR333489

- [9] O. Costin and A. Soffer, *Resonance theory for Schrödinger operators*, 2001, pp. 133–152. Dedicated to Joel L. Lebowitz. MR1868995
- [10] H. L. Cycon, R. G. Froese, W. Kirsch, and B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators with application to quantum mechanics and global geometry*, Study, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR883643
- [11] E. B. Davies, *On Enss’ approach to scattering theory*, Duke Math. J. **47** (1980), no. 1, 171–185. MR563374
- [12] T. Duyckaerts, C. Kenig, and F. Merle, *Profiles of bounded radial solutions of the focusing, energy-critical wave equation*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 3, 639–698. MR2972605
- [13] V. Enss, *Asymptotic completeness for quantum mechanical potential scattering. I. Short range potentials*, Comm. Math. Phys. **61** (1978), no. 3, 285–291. MR523013
- [14] S. Fishman and A. Soffer, *Multiscale time averaging, reloaded*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **46** (2014), no. 2, 1385–1405. MR3180852
- [15] R. Froese and I. Herbst, *A new proof of the Mourre estimate*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), no. 4, 1075–1085. MR683011
- [16] C. Gérard, *A proof of the abstract limiting absorption principle by energy estimates*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), no. 11, 2707–2724. MR2414218
- [17] R. T. Glassey, *On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977), no. 9, 1794–1797. MR460850
- [18] W. Hunziker and I. M. Sigal, *The quantum N -body problem*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), no. 6, 3448–3510. MR1768629
- [19] W. Hunziker, I. M. Sigal, and A. Soffer, *Minimal escape velocities*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **24** (1999), no. 11–12, 2279–2295. MR1720738
- [20] M. Ifrim and D. Tataru, *Testing by wave packets and modified scattering in nonlinear dispersive pde’s*, arXiv e-prints (2022), arXiv:2204.
- [21] J. Jendrej and A. Lawrie, *Soliton resolution for energy-critical wave maps in the equivariant case*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.10738 (2021).
- [22] R. Killip, J. Murphy, and M. Visan, *Scattering for the cubic-quintic NLS: crossing the virial threshold*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **53** (2021), no. 5, 5803–5812. MR4321246
- [23] A. Komech and E. Kopylova, *Dispersion decay and scattering theory*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2012. MR3015024
- [24] H. Lindblad, J. Lührmann, W. Schlag, and A. Soffer, *On modified scattering for 1d quadratic klein–gordon equations with non-generic potentials*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2023** (2023), no. 6, 5118–5208.
- [25] H. Lindblad and A. Soffer, *Scattering for the Klein-Gordon equation with quadratic and variable coefficient cubic nonlinearities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 12, 8861–8909. MR3403074
- [26] B. Liu and A. Soffer, *The Large Times Asymptotics of NLS type equations*, submitted (2021).
- [27] B. Liu and A. Soffer, *The large time asymptotic solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger type equations*, Applied Numerical Mathematics (2023).
- [28] P. D. Miller, A. Soffer, and M. I. Weinstein, *Metastability of breather modes of time-dependent potentials*, Nonlinearity **13** (2000), no. 3, 507–568. MR1758988
- [29] E. Mourre, *Link between the geometrical and the spectral transformation approaches in scattering theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), no. 1, 91–94. MR539739
- [30] E. Mourre, *Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain selfadjoint operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **78** (1980/81), no. 3, 391–408. MR603501
- [31] T. Ozawa, *Long range scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension*, Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991), no. 3, 479–493. MR1121130
- [32] P. A. Perry, *Scattering theory by the Enss method*, Mathematical Reports, vol. 1, Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, 1983. Edited by B. Simon. MR752694
- [33] M. Plum and W. Reichel, *Breathers and rogue waves for semilinear curl-curl wave equations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.04723 (2022).

- [34] R. Pyke, *Virial relations for nonlinear wave equations and nonexistence of almost periodic solutions*, Rev. Math. Phys. **8** (1996), no. 7, 1001–1039. MR1415383
- [35] R. M. Pyke and I. M. Sigal, *Nonlinear wave equations: constraints on periods and exponential bounds for periodic solutions*, Duke Math. J. **88** (1997), no. 1, 133–180. MR1448019
- [36] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975. MR0493420
- [37] W. Schlag, *Intertwining wave operators, Fourier restriction, and Wiener theorems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.01982 (2018).
- [38] I. M. Sigal, *Nonlinear wave and Schrödinger equations. I. Instability of periodic and quasiperiodic solutions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **153** (1993), no. 2, 297–320. MR1218303
- [39] I. M. Sigal and A. Soffer, *The N -particle scattering problem: asymptotic completeness for short-range systems*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), no. 1, 35–108. MR898052
- [40] I. M. Sigal and A. Soffer, *Local decay and propagation estimates for time-dependent and time-independent Hamiltonians*, Preprint Princeton University **2** (1988), no. 11, 1.
- [41] E. Skibsted, *Propagation estimates for N -body Schrödinger operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), no. 1, 67–98. MR1137775
- [42] A. Soffer, *Soliton dynamics and scattering*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. III, 2006, pp. 459–471. MR2275691
- [43] A. Soffer, *Monotonic local decay estimates*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1110.6549 (2011).
- [44] A. Soffer and M. I. Weinstein, *Nonautonomous Hamiltonians*, J. Statist. Phys. **93** (1998), no. 1-2, 359–391. MR1656374
- [45] A. Soffer and M. I. Weinstein, *Time dependent resonance theory*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), no. 6, 1086–1128. MR1664792
- [46] A. Soffer and M. I. Weinstein, *Resonances, radiation damping and instability in Hamiltonian nonlinear wave equations*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999), no. 1, 9–74. MR1681113
- [47] A. Soffer and M. I. Weinstein, *Selection of the ground state for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Rev. Math. Phys. **16** (2004), no. 8, 977–1071. MR2101776
- [48] A. Soffer and M. I. Weinstein, *Theory of nonlinear dispersive waves and selection of the ground state*, Physical review letters **95** (2005), no. 21, 213905.
- [49] A. Soffer and X. Wu, *L^p Boundedness of the Scattering Wave Operators of Schrödinger Dynamics with Time-dependent Potentials and applications*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.14356 (2020).
- [50] A. Soffer and X. Wu, *Local Decay Estimates*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.00500 (2022).
- [51] A. Soffer and X. Wu, *On the Existence of Self-Similar solutions for some Nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.14765 (2022).
- [52] A. Soffer and X. Wu, *On The large Time Asymptotics of Klein-Gordon type equations with General Data-I*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.11261 (2022).
- [53] A. Soffer and X. Wu, *On The large Time Asymptotics of Schrödinger type equations with general data*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.00724 (2022).
- [54] A. Soffer and J. Xiao, *Multi-center vector field methods for wave equations*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **19** (2016), no. 4, Art. 22, 36. MR3569649
- [55] T. Tao, *On the asymptotic behavior of large radial data for a focusing non-linear Schrödinger equation*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. **1** (2004), no. 1, 1–48. MR2091393
- [56] T. Tao, *Nonlinear dispersive equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 106, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. Local and global analysis. MR2233925
- [57] T. Tao, *A (concentration-)compact attractor for high-dimensional non-linear Schrödinger equations*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. **4** (2007), no. 1, 1–53. MR2304091
- [58] T. Tao, *A global compact attractor for high-dimensional defocusing non-linear Schrödinger equations with potential*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. **5** (2008), no. 2, 101–116. MR2435463

- [59] T. Tao, *A (concentration-) compact attractor for high-dimensional non-linear Schrödinger equations*, arXiv preprint math (2014).
- [60] T. Tsai and H. Yau, *Asymptotic dynamics of nonlinear Schrödinger equations: resonance-dominated and dispersion-dominated solutions*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **55** (2002), no. 2, 153–216. MR1865414
- [61] A. Vasy, *Microlocal analysis of asymptotically hyperbolic and Kerr-de Sitter spaces (with an appendix by Semyon Dyatlov)*, *Invent. Math.* **194** (2013), no. 2, 381–513. MR3117526
- [62] X. Zhang, *On the Cauchy problem of 3-D energy-critical Schrödinger equations with subcritical perturbations*, *J. Differential Equations* **230** (2006), no. 2, 422–445. MR2271498

SOFFER: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854, U.S.A.

email: **soffer@math.rutgers.edu**

WU: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854, U.S.A.

email: **xw292@math.rutgers.edu**