

# Continuous eigenfunctions of the transfer operator for Dyson models

Anders Johansson, Anders Öberg, and Mark Pollicott

We prove that there exists a continuous eigenfunction for the transfer operator corresponding to pair potentials that satisfy a square summability condition, when the inverse temperature is subcritical. As a corollary we obtain a continuous eigenfunction for the classical Dyson model, with interactions  $J(k) = \beta k^{-\alpha}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , in the whole subcritical regime  $\beta < \beta_c$  for which the parameter  $\alpha$  is greater than  $3/2$ .

## 1 Introduction

Ruelle [24] and Sinai [25] pioneered the study of long-range models within statistical mechanics in terms of transfer operators and their eigenfunctions and eigenmeasures, a theory that later was further developed by Walters [26].

It is well-known [26] that there exists a continuous and strictly positive eigenfunction of a transfer operator defined on a symbolic shift space with a finite number of symbols if the potential  $\phi$  has summable variations. Here we prove, for pair potentials, the existence of a continuous eigenfunction when we have *square summable variations* of the potential and a unique equilibrium measure  $\mu$ .

Consider the positive operator  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\phi$  on the space of continuous function  $C(X)$  where  $X = \{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , given by

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-1}x} e^{\phi(y)} f(y).$$

where  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is the full left shift. In this paper, we specify the *one-point potential*  $\phi(x) = \phi(x; J) \in C(X)$  by a sequence  $J(k) \geq 0$ ,  $J(0) = 0$ , where  $\phi$  takes the form

$$\phi(x) = x_0 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J(k)x_k \tag{1}$$

We assume the sequence  $J(k)$  is summable, so that, for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$r_n := \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} J(k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that  $\text{var}_n \phi = 2r_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  denote the set of probability measures on  $X$  and let

$$\mathcal{M}_\phi = \{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X) : \forall f \in C(X) \int \mathcal{L}_\phi f d\nu = \lambda \int f d\nu, \lambda > 0\}$$

be the set of normalised *eigenmeasures* for the unique positive eigenvalue  $\lambda$  that equals the spectral radius of  $\mathcal{L}$ . We prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** *For  $x \in X$ , define  $r(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n x_n$ . If  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_\phi$  and*

$$\int e^{r(x)} d\nu(x) < \infty \tag{2}$$

*then there is a strictly positive continuous eigenfunction  $h(x) \in C(X)$  of  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\phi$  such that  $\mathcal{L}h = \lambda h$ .*

*Remark 1.* We arrived at the condition (2) during the revision of this article. Condition (2) is similar to a condition used in the recent paper by van Enter, Fernández, Makhmudov and Verbitskiy [13] (Claim 2), inspired by a previous version of this paper. In [13], the authors work in a more general setting where the random cluster interpretation does not directly apply. We obtain the full uniqueness region in Corollary 3 with respect to the critical inverse temperature, whereas van Enter *et al.* assume the Dobrushin uniqueness condition.

A measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  is a Doeblin measure ([7], a.k.a as a *g-measure*) if it is a *translation invariant* eigenmeasure of  $\mathcal{L}_{\log g}$  for some continuous function  $g > 0$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{\log g} 1 = 1$ . The theory of Doeblin measures ([9, 22, 19, 6, 20]) is close to the topic of this paper, since we can construct a Doeblin function  $g$ , from a one-point potential  $\phi$  and a continuous eigenfunction  $h(x)$  of the transfer operator  $\mathcal{L}_\phi$  by

$$g(x) = \frac{e^{\phi(x)} h(x)}{\lambda h(Tx)}. \tag{3}$$

From (3), we see that the measure  $\mu(x) = h(x) \cdot \nu$  is a translation invariant eigenmeasure to the transfer operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\log g}$ , i.e. a Doeblin measure. We refer to  $\mu$  as the *equilibrium* measure of  $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ . Thus the existence of a continuous eigenfunction of the transfer operator implies the weaker *g-measure property* of  $\mu(x)$ . In contrast to our result, we observe the result by Bissacot *et al.* [8], where they show that the *g-measure property* does not hold in the context of the Dyson model for high enough  $\beta$ . Fernández and Maillard showed in a combination of two papers [15, 16] that the *g-measure property* follows in the Dobrushin regime, whenever  $\alpha > 1$ .

We may also construct  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  as long-range *Ising models*. For  $V = \mathbb{Z}$  och  $V = \mathbb{N}$  define

$$\Phi_V(x) = \sum_{ij} J_V(ij) x_i x_j, \quad x \in \{-1, +1\}^V$$

with  $J_V(ij) = J(|i - j|)$  and where we sum over the set  $ij \in V^{(2)}$  of unordered pairs of elements  $i, j \in V$ . The set  $\mathcal{M}_\phi$  of eigenmeasures is equal to the set of Gibbs measures

$\mathcal{G}(\Phi_{\mathbb{N}})$  (one-sided Ising models) consistent with the potential  $\Phi_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$ . A two-sided Ising model  $\mu(\bar{x})$ ,  $\bar{x} \in \{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , is a translation invariant Gibbs measure in  $\mu \in \mathcal{G}(\Phi_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . By the Fortuin-Kasteleyn (FK) correspondence, we can couple  $\nu(x)$  with a random cluster measure  $\nu(G) \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma(\mathbb{N}))$  on graphs with vertex set  $\mathbb{N}$  and similarly couple  $\mu(\bar{x})$  to a random cluster distribution  $\mu(G) \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}))$ . We write  $\mu(x)$  for the equilibrium measure, which we can capture as the marginal distribution of  $x = \bar{x}|_{\mathbb{N}}$  under  $\mu$ . For fixed interactions  $J_V(ij)$ , it is well-known that there is a critical  $\beta_c(J_V) \in [0, \infty]$  such that  $|\mathcal{M}_{\beta\phi}| = |\mathcal{G}(\Phi_{\mathbb{N}})| = 1$  if  $0 \leq \beta < \beta_c(J_V)$  (i.e. *uniqueness*) and non-uniqueness if  $\beta > \beta_c(J_V)$ . Similarly, we have a critical  $\beta_c(J_{\mathbb{Z}})$  for uniqueness of  $\mathcal{G}(\beta\Phi_{\mathbb{Z}})$  and these critical  $\beta$  are also critical values for the existence of an infinite cluster (i.e. percolation) in the corresponding random cluster models.

To derive the following theorem we use a result of Hutchcroft [18, Theorem 1.5] about exponentially small tail probabilities in the cluster size distribution of  $\mu(G)$  in the subcritical regime. It is a generalisation of a result by Duminil-Copin *et al.* [10] to the long-range setting that we are considering. (See also the paper by Aoun [3].)

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\phi$  has square summable variations, i.e. if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\text{var}_n \phi)^2 < \infty$  then there exists a unique continuous eigenfunction  $h$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\beta\phi}$  for all  $\beta < \beta_c(J_{\mathbb{Z}})$ .*

*Remark 2.* We conjecture that  $\beta_c(J_{\mathbb{Z}}) = \beta_c(J_{\mathbb{N}})$ , so that Theorem 2 applies for all subcritical  $\beta$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\beta\phi}$ .

As a corollary, we obtain the existence of a continuous eigenfunction in the important special class of Dyson potentials where  $J(k) = k^{-\alpha}$  in the subcritical regime when  $\alpha > 3/2$ . In particular, the potential does not satisfy the stronger condition of summable variations.

**Corollary 3.** *For the Dyson model, where  $J(k) = J^{\alpha}(k) = k^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ , we have a continuous eigenfunction  $h$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\beta\phi}$  whenever  $\alpha > 3/2$  and  $\beta < \beta_c(J_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\alpha})$ .*

We expect that the square summability condition in Theorem 2 is sharp. Applied to the Dyson model in Corollary 3, this means that  $\alpha > 3/2$  would be sharp for the existence of a continuous eigenfunction. Note the recent results by Endo, van Enter and Le Ny [12] in this context, as well as the earlier short version [11] by the same authors, where  $3/2$  first appears in a related context.

## 2 The proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

### 2.1 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite set and  $V$  a countable set. The relation  $F \Subset V$  states that  $F$  is a finite subset of  $V$ . We write  $\bar{F} = V \setminus F$  for the complement of subsets of  $V$ . A *configuration* is an element  $x = (x_i)_{i \in V}$  of the product space  $X = \mathcal{A}^V$ . If  $V = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $V = \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $T$  denote the left shift on the symbolic space  $X$ , i.e.  $(Tx)_i = x_{i+1}$  with destruction of  $x_0$  if  $V = \mathbb{N}$ . We give the space  $X$  the usual product topology and the associated Borel

sigma-algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . For  $G \subset V$  and  $x \in X$  we write  $x_G \in \mathcal{A}^G$  for the restriction  $x|_G$  of  $x$  to  $G$  and  $\mathcal{F}_G$  for the sigma-algebra generated by  $x_G$ . We denote by  $[x]_G$  the cylinder set  $[x]_G = \{y \mid y_G = x_G\}$ .

For a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the *variation* at  $\Lambda \subset V$  and  $x \in X$  is  $\text{var}_\Lambda f(x) = \sup_{x,y \in [x]_\Lambda} |f(x) - f(y)|$  and  $\text{var}_\Lambda f = \sup_x \text{var}_\Lambda f(x)$ . A function  $f$  is *local* if it is  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ -measurable ( $\text{var}_\Lambda f = 0$ ) at some  $\Lambda \in V$ . It is *continuous* at  $x$  if  $\lim_{\Lambda_n \uparrow V} \text{var}_{\Lambda_n} f(x) = 0$ , where  $\Lambda_n \uparrow V$  denotes an increasing sequence of finite sets whose union is  $V$ . We denote by  $C(X)$  the space of continuous functions. In case we consider the integer interval  $\Lambda = [0, n)$ , we replace subscripting by  $[0, n)$  with the subscript  $n$ , thus

$$\text{var}_n f = \text{var}_{[0,n)} f, \quad \text{and} \quad [x]_n = [x]_{[0,n)}, \dots \text{ etc.}$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  denote the space of probability distributions on  $X$ . Elements  $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  are often written as  $\alpha(x)$  in order to make it clear that  $\alpha$  is the distribution  $\mathbb{P}(x)$  of the random configuration  $x \in X$ . If  $y = f(x)$  then  $\alpha(y)$  refers to  $f_*\alpha = \alpha \circ f^{-1}$ . Sometimes, we introduce an underlying probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$  with expectation operator  $\mathbb{E}$ , where it is clear that  $\alpha$  is the distribution of  $x$ . In that case, we write  $\mathbb{E}(f(x)) = \int f d\alpha$  and  $\mathbb{P}(x \in A) = \int \mathbf{1}_A(x) d\alpha(x)$ . We write  $\mu(x) \prec \nu(x')$  to state *stochastic domination* between elements in  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ , meaning that we can couple  $\mu(x)$  and  $\nu(x')$  so that  $\mathbb{P}(x \leq x') = 1$  with respect to the partial order  $\leq$  on  $X$  induced by the order on  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .

A *Bernoulli measure*  $\eta(x; p) \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  has as parameter an assignment  $p = (p_i)_{i \in V} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})^V$  and  $\eta(x; p)$  is the product measure  $\bigotimes_{i \in V} p_i(x_i)$ . We use  $v(x)$  to denote the *uniform measure*, i.e.  $v(x) = \eta(x; p)$  where  $p_i = v(x_i)$  is the uniform distribution on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Potentials** The Hamming distance on  $X$  is the cardinality of

$$\Delta(x, y) := \{i : x(i) \neq y(i)\}.$$

By a *potential limit*  $\Phi(x)$  on  $X$ , we mean a limit  $\lim \Phi_{\Lambda_n}$  of a system of local functions  $\Phi_\Lambda \in m\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ , where the limit of differences

$$\Delta\Phi(x, y) := \lim_{\Lambda_n \uparrow V} \Phi_{\Lambda_n}(x) - \Phi_{\Lambda_n}(y)$$

is finite and well defined for any pair  $(x, y)$  with  $\Delta(x, y) \in V$ . A *potential*  $\Phi(x)$  is an equivalence class on potential limits, where equality  $\lim \Phi = \lim \Phi'$  means that  $\Delta\Phi(x, y) = \Delta\Phi'(x, y)$  for all pairs  $x, y$  of finite Hamming distance. We can formally add potentials as long as it is clear that the underlying limits of local differences are well defined  $\Delta(\Phi + \Psi)(x, y) = \Delta\Phi(x, y) + \Delta\Psi(x, y)$ . A potential  $\Phi$  is *continuous* at  $x$  if the difference  $\Delta\Phi(x_\Lambda x_{\bar{\Lambda}}, y_\Lambda y_{\bar{\Lambda}})$  is continuous at  $x$  for fixed  $x_\Lambda$  and  $y_\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{A}^\Lambda$ .

We say that a probability measure  $\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  is *fully specified* if the system  $\{\log \alpha([x]_{\Lambda_n})\}$  defines a potential

$$\text{Log } \alpha(x) = \lim_{\Lambda_n \uparrow V} \log \alpha([x]_{\Lambda_n}).$$

For instance, a Bernoulli measure  $\eta(p) = \eta(x; p)$  is fully specified with  $\text{Log } \eta(x) = \sum_{i \in V} \log p_i(x_i)$ . All distributions  $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  we consider will be fully specified in this sense. We write  $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}(\text{Log } \alpha)$  and say that  $\alpha$  is *consistent* with potential  $\Phi$  if we have  $\Phi = \text{Log } \alpha$ . It is well known that the set  $\mathcal{G}(\Phi)$  of probability measures consistent with potential  $\Phi$  is non-empty whenever  $\Phi$  is continuous and the elements of  $\mathcal{G}(\Phi)$  are then said to be *Gibbsian*. We have *uniqueness* of measures consistent with  $\Phi$  if  $\mathcal{G}(\Phi)$  contains only one element.

Given a fully specified measure  $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and a potential  $\Phi$ , we write  $e^\Phi \times \alpha$  for  $\mathcal{G}(\Phi + \text{Log } \alpha)$  and if we have a unique element in  $e^\Phi \times \alpha$  we write  $\mu = e^\Phi \times \alpha$ . If we can represent potential  $\Phi$  as a function such that  $e^\Phi \in L^1(\alpha)$  then

$$e^\Phi \times \alpha = \frac{e^\Phi \cdot \alpha}{\int e^\Phi d\alpha}. \quad (4)$$

**Graphs** Let  $V^{(2)} = V^2 / \sim$  denote the set of *unordered pairs*, i.e. the family of equivalence classes for the relation  $(i, j) \sim (j, i)$  on  $V^2$ . For a map  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'$  we write  $\varphi^{(2)}$  for the induced map  $V^{(2)} \rightarrow V'^{(2)}$ . The *complete graph* on  $V$ ,  $K(V)$ , is the inclusion of the non-loops in  $V^{(2)}$ . We consider a *graph* (an undirected graph)  $G$  on vertex set  $V = V(G)$  to be a map  $G : E \rightarrow V^{(2)}$  that associates edges in  $E = E(G)$  to pairs of vertices in  $V^{(2)}$ . A graph homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  is a pair of maps  $\varphi_E : E(G) \rightarrow E(H)$  and  $\varphi_V : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$  with commutation rules  $\varphi_V^{(2)} \circ G = H \circ \varphi_E$ . A map  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'$  induces an *vertex-map* homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G' = \varphi^{(2)} \circ G$  given by the pair  $(\text{id}_E, \varphi^{(2)})$ .

The *complete graph* on  $V$ ,  $K(V)$ , is the inclusion of the non-loops in  $V^{(2)}$ . Given a bipartition  $V = V_- \uplus V_+$  of  $V$  the *complete bipartite graph*  $K(V_-, V_+)$  is the inclusion of  $V_- \times V_+$  in  $V^{(2)}$ . A *path* of length  $n$  in  $G$  is an injective graph homomorphism  $P_n \rightarrow G$  of the graph  $P_n : \{(i, i+1) : i \in [0, n]\} \hookrightarrow [0, n]^{(2)}$ .

A *spanning subgraph*  $H$  of  $G$  is a restriction of  $G$  to a subset  $E(H) \subset E(G)$ . Denote by  $\Gamma(G)$  the space of spanning subgraphs of  $G$  and let  $\Gamma(V) = \Gamma(K(V))$  and  $\Gamma(V_-, V_+) = \Gamma(K(V_-, V_+))$ . We can represent an element  $G \in \Gamma(G)$  as a configuration  $G = (G_e) \in \{0, 1\}^{E(G)}$  or, equivalently, as a subset  $G \subset V^{(2)}$ . Write  $G[F] = G|_{G^{-1}(F^{(2)})}$  for the subgraph *induced* on vertex set  $F \subset V$ . All (random) graphs  $G \in \Gamma(V)$  we consider will (almost surely) have finite degrees, i.e.  $\deg(F, G) := \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{j \in V} G_{ij} < \infty$ , for all  $F \in V$ .

Consider an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $V$ , where  $\pi_\sim : i \rightarrow i \bmod \sim$  denotes the projection onto the equivalence classes. The *contraction*  $G \rightarrow G \bmod \sim$  of  $G$  along  $\sim$  is the graph homomorphism induced by the vertex-map  $\pi_\sim$ . Then  $G \bmod \sim$  has the partition  $V / \sim$

of  $V$  into equivalence classes as vertex set. Note that  $E(G) = E(G \bmod \sim)$  so  $\Gamma(G) \cong \Gamma(G \bmod \sim)$  as configuration spaces. If  $F \subset V$  then we write  $G^F$  for the contraction obtained from the equivalence relation “ $x, y \in F$  or  $x = y$ ”, i.e. by contracting all vertices in  $F$ .

The equivalence relation  $i \sim_G j$  means that there is a path in  $G$  with endpoints  $i, j$ . We refer to the equivalence classes  $\mathcal{C}(G) := V(G)/\sim_G$  as *clusters* of  $G$ . Let  $\omega(G) = |\mathcal{C}(G)|$  be the *number of clusters*. For infinite graphs and  $\Lambda_n \uparrow V$ , we define  $\omega(G)$  as the potential given by the (“free boundary”) potential limit of  $\omega(G[\Lambda_n])$ . We defined the (wired boundary) potential  $\omega^w(G)$  from the limit of  $\omega(G^{\Lambda_n})$ , where  $G^{\Lambda_n}$  is the graph  $G$  where all vertices outside  $\Lambda_n$  count as one. The event of *percolation*  $G \in P_\infty$  means that  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  contains a cluster of infinite size. The potential  $\omega(G)$  is continuous at  $G \in \Gamma(V)$ , precisely when  $G$  contains at most one cluster of infinite size.

We refer to the *rank* of a graph  $G \in \Gamma(V)$  as  $\text{rank } G := |V(G)| - \omega(G)$  and the *corank* is  $\text{corank } G = |E(G)| - \text{rank } G$ . Then  $\text{corank } G$  is the maximum number of edges that one may remove from  $G$  without increasing the number of components. For infinite graphs, we use the induced graphs  $G[\Lambda_n]$  to define the rank and corank as potentials on  $\Gamma(V)$  as potential limits.

## 2.2 The eigenfunction as a Radon-Nikodym derivative

A continuous eigenfunction means that there is a continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative between the two-sided equilibrium measure (a translation invariant Gibbs measure) and the one-sided Gibbs measure. Consider the transfer operator  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\phi$  from Theorem 1. Let  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_\phi$  and let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  be any *translation invariant* measure such that  $\mu|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \ll \nu|_{\mathcal{F}_n}$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ . For  $x \in X$  define the likelihood ratios  $h_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , by

$$h_n(x) = \frac{\mu([x]_n)}{\nu([x]_n)}, \quad (5)$$

where  $[x]_n = [x]_{[0,n]}$ . The limit of  $h_n$  in (5) is well-defined  $\nu$ -almost everywhere by the martingale convergence theorem. If it exists in  $L^1(\nu)$  then  $\mu \ll \nu$  and the limit  $h$  is equal to the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $h = d\mu/d\nu$ . As shown in the lemma below, we can then deduce the existence of an eigenfunction  $h$  in  $L^1(\nu)$ .

The following lemma states that if the sequence  $h_n$  converges uniformly, then the continuous limit function indeed is a strictly positive eigenfunction. The continuity is of course an elementary consequence of uniform convergence by Cauchy’s theorem, and boundedness follows from the continuity of  $h$  on the compact set  $X$ . Uniform convergence also implies convergence in  $L^1(\nu)$  and we note that  $\int h \, d\nu = 1$ .

**Lemma 4** (Radon-Nikodym interpretation). *If  $h_n(x) \rightarrow h(x)$  uniformly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then  $h$  is a continuous eigenfunction of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\inf h(x) > 0$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 4.* That the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $h = d\mu/d\nu$ , if it exists, is necessarily an eigenfunction of the transfer operator  $\mathcal{L}$  follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \int g \cdot h \, d\nu &= \int (g \circ T) \cdot h \, d\nu \quad (\mu = \mu \circ T^{-1}) \\ &= \int \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(g \circ T \cdot h) \, d\nu \quad (\nu \text{ eigenmeasure}) \\ &= \int g \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}h \right) \, d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{L}$ . This holds for all  $g \in C(X)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{L}h = \lambda h$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , as elements of  $L^1(\nu)$ .

We deduce that  $\inf h > 0$  by the following argument. For an  $x$  such that  $h(x) = 0$  we have  $\mathcal{L}h(x) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} e^{\phi(ax)} h(ax) = 0$  and hence  $h(ax) = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus the set of zeros of  $h$  is either empty or a dense subset of  $X$ , implying that  $\inf h > 0$  by continuity and compactness.  $\square$

### 2.3 The FK-Ising model

We parameterise the *Bernoulli graph model*  $\eta(G; p) \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma(V))$  by edge-probabilities  $p : V^{(2)} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , where  $p(ij) = \mathbb{P}(G_{ij} = 1)$ . Given  $J(k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , as in Theorem 1, let  $J_V(ij) := J(|i - j|)$ ,  $ij \in V^{(2)}$ , where  $V \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . We write  $p = 1 - e^{-J_V}$  if

$$p(ij) = 1 - e^{-J_V(ij)}, \quad ij \in V^{(2)}. \quad (6)$$

We obtain the *FK-Ising model*  $\text{FK}(x, G; J_V) \in \mathcal{M}(X \times \Gamma(V))$  as a joint distribution of spin configuration  $x \in X = \{-1, +1\}^V$  and a random graph  $G \in \Gamma(V)$ . The pair  $(x, G)$  is compatible in the sense that no path in  $G$  connects vertices of opposing spins. If  $\alpha(x, G) = \text{FK}(x, G; J_V)$  then the marginal  $\alpha(x)$  of  $x \in X$  is an *Ising model*  $\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{G}(\Phi(x; J_V))$  with potential

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi(x; J_V) = \sum_{ij \in V^{(2)}} J_V(ij) x_i x_j. \quad (7)$$

As the marginal of  $G$ , we obtain the *random-cluster model*

$$\alpha(G) = \text{RC}_2(G; p = 1 - e^{-J_V})$$

with  $q = 2$ . The conditional distribution of  $x$  given  $G$  is that of  $x_i = x(C_G(i))$ , where  $(x(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}(G)) \in \{-1, +1\}^{\mathcal{C}(G)}$  has the uniform Bernoulli distribution  $\nu$ .

With  $r(x) = \sum_n r_n x_n$  as in condition (2) in Theorem 1, we see that, conditioned on  $G \in \Gamma(\mathbb{N})$ , the distribution of  $r(x)$  is that of a Rademacher series  $r(x) = \sum_C x(C) \cdot r(C)$ ,

where  $x(C) \in \{-1, +1\}$  are uniformly and independently sampled. Thus  $\mathbb{E}(e^{r(x)} \mid G) = \prod_C \cosh(r(C))$  and we obtain

$$\int e^{r(x)} d\nu(x) = \int \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \cosh(r(C)) d\nu(G). \quad (8)$$

For our purposes, the right hand side is more applicable in the our arguments.

The following lemma expresses the cylinder probabilities of the Ising model in terms of the random cluster model  $\alpha(G)$ . For a graph  $G \in \Gamma(V)$ , partial spin  $x \in \{+1, -1\}^S$ ,  $S \subset V$ , we say that  $G$  is *compatible with  $x$  at  $F \Subset S$*  if no path in  $G$  has endpoints  $i, j \in F$  such that  $x_i \neq x_j$ . Write  $B_F(x, G) \in \{0, 1\}$  to indicate compatibility between  $x$  and  $G$  at  $F$  and  $B_n(x, G)$  if  $F = [0, n]$ .

**Lemma 5.** *For a FK-Ising distribution  $\alpha(x, G) = \text{FK}((x, G); J_V)$  and a fixed finite subset  $F \Subset V$ , we can express the probability of a cylinder  $[x]_F$  as*

$$\alpha([x]_F) = \int 2^{-\omega_F(G)} B_F(x, G) d\alpha(G), \quad (9)$$

where  $\omega_F(G) := |\{C \in \mathcal{C}(G) : C \cap F \neq \emptyset\}|$  is the number of clusters in  $G$  that intersect  $F$ .

*Proof.* Conditioned on  $G$  and the event that  $G$  is compatible with  $x$  at  $F$ , the probability that the cluster-wise assignment of spins  $\{x(C)\}$  gives rise to the cylinder  $[x]_F$  equals  $2^{-\omega_F(G)}$ .  $\square$

For general  $q \geq 1$ , we obtain the random cluster model by modulating the Bernoulli graph model  $\eta(G; p = 1 - e^{-J_V})$  with  $q^{\omega(G)} = e^{\omega(G) \log q}$ , i.e.,

$$\text{RC}_q(G; p) = q^{\omega(G)} \times \eta(G; p) = \mathcal{G}(\omega(G) \cdot \log q + \text{Log } \eta(G; p)).$$

Although the potential  $\omega(G)$  is discontinuous, the existence of a unique element in  $\text{RC}_q(G; p)$  is well established (see e.g. [17]). The random cluster models satisfy a stochastic domination relation:

$$\text{RC}_q(G; p) \prec \text{RC}_{q'}(G'; p') \quad \text{when } p \leq p' \text{ and } q \geq q'. \quad (10)$$

It follows that we have

$$\eta(G; \check{p}) \prec \text{RC}_2(G; p) \prec \eta(G; p),$$

where  $\check{p} = p/(2 - p)$ .

It follows from (10) that there exists a critical  $\beta_c = \beta_c(J_V) \geq 0$  such that for  $\beta < \beta_c$  the probability of percolation  $\mathbb{P}(G \in P_\infty) = 0$  for the random graph  $\text{RC}_2(G; p = 1 - e^{-\beta J_V})$  and  $\mathbb{P}(G \in P_\infty) = 1$  if  $\beta > \beta_c$ . This is the same critical  $\beta$  for uniqueness of the corresponding Ising model.



## 2.4 Proof of Theorem 1

In what follows, we write  $\mu(x, G) = \text{FK}(x, G; J_{\mathbb{Z}})$  for the *two-sided* FK-Ising model and write  $\nu(x, G_+) = \text{FK}(x, G_+; J_{\mathbb{N}})$  for the *one-sided* model. For the marginals, we write  $\mu(\bar{x})$  and  $\nu(x)$  for the corresponding Ising models and denote the corresponding random cluster models by  $\mu(G)$  and  $\nu(G_+)$ . Our aim is to show the uniform convergence of the sequence  $h_n(x)$ , defined by the translation invariant marginal distribution  $\mu(x)$  of  $x = \bar{x}|_{\mathbb{N}}$ , and the one-sided Ising model  $\nu(x)$  which is also the unique eigenmeasure of  $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ . By Lemma 4 this implies the existence of a continuous eigenfunction  $h(x)$ .

**The cut** We consider a bipartition  $V = V_- \uplus V_+$  of  $V = \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $V_+ = \mathbb{N}$  and  $V_- = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ . This cut leads to a unique decomposition of the graph  $G \in \Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$  into three disjoint subgraphs

$$G = G_+ \uplus H \uplus G_-.$$

Here  $G_{\pm} = G \cap K(V_{\pm})$  are the subgraphs induced on the parts and  $H = G \cap K(V_-, V_+)$  is the bipartite graph of edges  $ij$  in  $G$  between vertices  $i \in V_-$  and  $j \in V_+$ . We also write  $W$  for the union  $W := G_- \cup H = G \setminus G_+$ .

Consider the contracted graph

$$\tilde{H}^n = H \text{ mod } ([0, n) + G \setminus H),$$

where  $[0, n) + G \setminus H$  refers to the equivalence relation where  $i \sim j$  if either  $i = j$ ,  $\{i, j\} \subset [0, n)$  or there is a path in the graph  $G \setminus H = G_+ \uplus G_-$  connecting  $i$  and  $j$ . Then  $\tilde{H}^n$  is a bipartite graph on vertex set  $\mathcal{C}(G \setminus H) = \mathcal{C}_- \uplus \mathcal{C}_+$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\pm} := \mathcal{C}(G_{\pm})$  except that the  $\omega_n(G_+)$  components of  $G_+$  that intersect  $[0, n)$  join together into the vertex

$$\tilde{C}_n = \tilde{C}_n(G_+) := \cup\{C \in \mathcal{C}_+ : C \cap [0, n) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Define for  $n \geq 0$  the sequence

$$R_n(G) = \text{corank } \tilde{H}^n \tag{11}$$

where  $\tilde{H}^n$  is the contraction of  $H$  introduced above and the corank equals the maximum number of edges that are removable without disconnecting clusters. Since contraction increases the corank it is clear that the sequence  $R_n(G)$  increases. Let  $(a)_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$ . We can express the limit  $R = \lim R_n$  as

$$R(G) = R(W) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_-} (\deg(C, H) - 1)_+, \tag{12}$$

since the limit graph of  $\tilde{H}^n$  is a tree with root  $\tilde{C}_\infty = \mathbb{N}$  and height one. An edge  $ij$  is then removable in the limit graph precisely when  $\deg(C_{G_-}(i), H) \geq 2$  for the unique cluster  $C_{G_-}(i) \in \mathcal{C}_-$  that contains  $i \in V_-$ . Let  $F_R = F_R(W)$  denote the set of endpoints of paths of  $W = G_- \cup H$  connecting vertices in  $V_+$ . We have

$$R_n(G) = R(W), \tag{13}$$

precisely when  $F_R \subset [0, n)$ .

Write  $\eta(H) = \eta(H; p = 1 - e^{-J})$  and let  $\check{\eta}(H)$  be the Bernoulli graph model

$$\check{\eta}(H) = 2^{-|H|} \times \eta(H) = \eta(H; \check{p}) \quad \text{where } \check{p} = p/(2-p) \quad (14)$$

Let  $\nu(G_-) = \nu \circ \psi^{-1} = \text{RC}(G_-; p = 1 - e^{-\beta J_{\mathbb{Z}^- \setminus \mathbb{N}}})$  refer to the one-sided random cluster model  $\nu(G_+)$  under the mirror involution  $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , given by  $j \mapsto -(j+1)$ . Let also  $\xi(W)$  denote the product distribution

$$\xi(W) = \nu(G_-) \otimes \check{\eta}(H).$$

The following bound is a consequence of the condition (2) in Theorem 1.

**Lemma 6.** *We have*

$$\int 2^{R(W)} d\xi(W) < \infty,$$

where  $r(C) = \sum_{n \in C} r_n$ . In particular, we have  $|F_R| < \infty$ ,  $\xi(G)$ -almost surely.

*Proof of Lemma 6.* Let  $p(ij) = 1 - e^{-J(ij)}$  and  $\check{p} = p/(2-p)$ . For the Bernoulli distribution  $\text{Be}(\check{p}(ij))$  of  $H_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ , we have the following dominance relations

$$\text{Be}(\check{p}(ij)) \prec \text{Be}(p(ij)) \prec \text{Po}(J(ij))$$

where  $\text{Po}(\lambda)$  refer to the Poisson distribution. For fixed  $C \subset V_-$ , it follows that the product distribution  $\check{\eta}(\text{deg}(C, H)) \prec X(C)$ , where  $X(C) \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$ , with  $\lambda = r(\psi(C))$ , where  $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the mirror map  $i \rightarrow -i - 1$  mapping  $V_-$  to  $V_+ = \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore, if  $X \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$  then

$$\mathbb{E} \left( 2^{(X-1)_+} \right) = e^{-\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = \cosh(\lambda).$$

Hence, if we condition of  $G_-$ , we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( 2^{R(W)} \mid G_- \right) \leq \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}_-}^{\infty} \cosh(r \circ \psi(C))$$

and thus, with  $G = \psi^{(2)} G_-$ ,

$$\int 2^{R(W)} d\check{\eta}(H) d\nu(G_-) \leq \int \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)}^{\infty} \cosh(r(C_n)) d\nu(G) < \infty \quad (15)$$

with the finiteness due to the assumption (2) and equality (8). Since  $|F_R| \leq R(W)$  and we have shown that  $2^R \in L^1(\xi)$ , it follows that  $F_R$  is  $\xi$ -almost surely finite.  $\square$

**The factorised representation** We can use Lemma 6 to derive the following lemma that describes the factorisation of the two-sided random cluster distributions implied by the graph decomposition (2.4).

**Lemma 7.** *With the parameters as in Theorem 2, we have the following expression for the two-sided random cluster model  $\mu(G)$*

$$\mu(G) = \frac{1}{K_0} \cdot 2^{R_0(G)} \cdot (\nu(G_+) \otimes \xi(W)), \quad (16)$$

where  $K_0 = \int 2^{R_0(G)} d\nu(G_+) d\xi(W) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* If we consider the decomposition in (2.4), it is clear that the Bernoulli distribution  $\eta(G) = \eta(G; p)$  factorises into three Bernoulli graphs

$$\eta(G) = \eta(G_+) \otimes \eta(H) \otimes \eta(G_-). \quad (17)$$

For finite graphs  $H \subset G$ , we have  $\omega(G) = \omega(G \setminus H) - \text{rank}(H \text{ mod } G \setminus H)$  and, since this equality carries over to potentials, we have

$$\omega(G) = \omega(G_+) + \omega(G_-) - |H| + R_0(G). \quad (18)$$

By definition  $\mu(G) = 2^{\omega(G)} \times \eta(G)$  where the equality assumes uniqueness. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(G) &= 2^{\omega(G_+) + \omega(G_-) - |H| + R_0(G)} \times (\eta(G_+) \otimes \eta(H) \otimes \eta(G_-)) \\ &= 2^{R_0} \times \left( (2^{\omega(G_+)} \times \eta(G_+)) \otimes (2^{-|H|} \times \eta(H)) \otimes (2^{-\omega(G_-)} \times \eta(G_-)) \right) \\ &= 2^{R_0(G)} \times (\nu(G_+) \otimes \xi(W)). \end{aligned}$$

The equality (16) follows from (4) and Lemma 6, since it follows that  $2^{R_0} \leq 2^R$  is in  $L^1(\nu(G_+) \otimes \xi(W))$ .  $\square$

**The conclusion in the proof of Theorem 1** Let, as in Lemma 5,  $B_n(x, G) = B_{[0, n]}(x, G)$  indicate that the spin  $x$  and graph  $G$  are compatible at  $F = [0, n]$ . Note that

$$B_n(x, G) = A_n(x, G) \cdot B_n(x, G_+) \quad (19)$$

where

$$A_n(x, G) = \begin{cases} B_{\tilde{C}_n}(x, W) & B_n(x, G_+) = 1 \\ 1 & B_n(x, G_+) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We also have that  $B_n(x, G_+) = 1$  and  $F_R \subset [0, n]$  implies that

$$A_n(x, G) = A(x, W) := B_{F_R}(x, W), \quad (20)$$

since any path in  $W$  implying  $A_n(x, G) = 0$  must have endpoints  $\{i, j\} \subset F_R$  with  $x_i \neq x_j$ .

Let  $\alpha_n(G_+) \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma(\mathbb{N}))$  be the distribution

$$\alpha_n(G_+) = \frac{2^{-\omega_n(G_+)} \cdot B_n(x, G_+) \cdot \nu(G_+)}{\int 2^{-\omega_n(G_+)} \cdot B_n(x, G_+) \cdot d\nu(G_+)}.$$

From Lemma 5, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(x) &= \frac{\int 2^{-\omega_n(G)} B_n(x, G) d\mu(G)}{\int 2^{-\omega_n(G_+)} B_n(x, G_+) d\nu(G_+)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{K_0} \int 2^{\omega_n(G_+) - \omega_n(G)} \cdot 2^{R_0(G)} \cdot B_n(x, G) \cdot 2^{-\omega_n(G_+)} d\nu(G_+) d\xi(W)}{\int 2^{-\omega_n(G_+)} B_n(x, G_+) d\nu(G_+)} \quad \text{by (16)} \\ &= \frac{1}{K_0} \int 2^{\omega_n(G_+) - \omega_n(G)} \cdot 2^{R_0(G)} A_n(x, G) d\alpha_n(G_+) d\xi(W) \quad \text{by (19)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\omega_n(G_+) - \omega_n(G) = R_n(G) - R_0(G) \quad (21)$$

since both sides equal the rank of the subgraph of  $\tilde{H}^0$  consisting of edges  $ij \in H$  with one endpoint in  $\tilde{C}_n$ . From (21), we deduce that

$$h_n(x) = \frac{1}{K_0} \cdot \int A_n(x, G) \cdot 2^{R_n(G)} \cdot d\alpha_n(G_+) d\xi(W). \quad (22)$$

Let  $N = N(W)$  be the minimum  $n$  such that  $F_R \subset [0, n)$  and note that Lemma 6 implies that  $\xi(N \geq n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . On account of (13) and (20), it follows that the integrand  $A_n(x, W) \cdot 2^{R_n(G)}$  in (22) equals  $A(x, W) \cdot 2^{R(W)}$  on the event  $N < n$ , since  $B_n(x, G_+) = 1$ ,  $\alpha_n$ -almost surely. Let

$$h(x) := \frac{1}{K_0} \int A(x, W) 2^{R(W)} d\alpha_n(G_+) d\xi(W) = \frac{1}{K_0} \int A(x, W) 2^{R(W)} d\xi(W).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |h_n(x) - h(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{K_0} \int \left| A_n(x, W) \cdot 2^{R_n(G)} - A(x, W) \cdot 2^{R(G)} \right| d\alpha_n(G_+) d\xi(W) \\ &= \frac{1}{K_0} \int_{N > n} \left| A_n(x, W) \cdot 2^{R_n(G)} - A(x, W) \cdot 2^{R(G)} \right| d\alpha_n(G_+) d\xi(W). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|A_n(x, W) \cdot 2^{R_n(G)} - A(x, W) \cdot 2^{R(G)}| \leq 2^{R(W)} \in L^1(\xi),$$

we conclude that

$$|h_n(x) - h(x)| \leq \frac{1}{K_0} \int_{N > n} 2^{R(W)} d\xi(W) \leq \frac{1}{K_0} \cdot \xi(N > n) \cdot \int 2^R d\xi,$$

where, on account of Lemma 6, the right hand side tends to zero with a rate independent of  $x$ .  $\square$

## 2.5 Proof that Theorem 1 implies Theorem 2

Assume  $G = G_+ \in \Gamma(\mathbb{N})$  with distribution  $\nu(G) = \text{RC}(G; p = 1 - e^{-\beta J_{\mathbb{N}}})$ . Recall that  $J(ij) = J(|i - j|)$  satisfies the square summability condition  $\sum r_n^2 < \infty$  with  $r_n = \sum_{k=n+1} J(k)$ . Order the elements of  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(G)$  as  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_0, C_1, \dots\}$  so that  $0 = \iota_0 < \iota_1 < \dots$  where

$$\iota_n = \min(i \in C_n) = \inf\{i : i \notin C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{n-1}\}.$$

We first show that if the cluster size distribution of  $C_0$  has *exponentially decreasing tails*, i.e. if, for some  $K > 0$  and some  $c > 0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|C_0| > n) \leq K e^{-cn}, \quad (23)$$

then this implies the condition (2) or equivalently, by (8), that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \cosh(r(C)) \right) < \infty. \quad (24)$$

Thus (23) implies the conditions of Theorem 1 holds and thus the existence of a continuous eigenfunction.

If we condition the random cluster model on the clusters  $\{C_0, \dots, C_{k-1}\}$  that partitions  $[0, \iota_k)$  then the distribution of the remaining graph  $G \left[ \overline{\left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} C_j \right)} \right]$  is the random cluster model with edge probabilities  $p'(ij) = p(ij) \mathbf{1}_{i,j \notin \mathcal{C}_n}$ . (See e.g. [5].) It follows that the conditional distribution of  $C_k$ , given  $C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}$ , is stochastically dominated by the distribution of  $C_0$  shifted  $\iota_k$  steps to the right. In particular, it follows that the conditional distribution of  $|C_k|$  has exponentially decreasing tails. Thus, for some  $K > 0$  and  $c > 0$  as in (23)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|C_k|^n \mid C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}) &\leq \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(|C_0| \geq x) dx \\ &\leq K \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-cx^{1/n}} dx = K \cdot \frac{n!}{c^n}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Since  $r_n$  is a decreasing sequence, we have  $r(C_k) \leq r_{\iota_k} \cdot |C|$  and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that  $r(C_k)^2 \leq R \cdot |C_k|$  where  $R = \sum_{i=0}^\infty r_i^2$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh(r(C_k)) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{r(C_k)^{2n}}{(2n)!} \leq 1 + r_{\iota_k}^2 \cdot |C_k|^2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{r(C_k)^{2n-2}}{(2n)!} \\ &\leq 1 + r_{\iota_k}^2 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{R^{n-1} |C_k|^{n+1}}{(2n)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the conditional expectation, using (25), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\cosh(r(C_k)) | C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}) &\leq 1 + r_{\iota_k}^2 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{KR^{n-1} \cdot (n+1)! \cdot c^{-(n+1)}}{(2n)!} \\ &\leq 1 + r_{\iota_k}^2 M \end{aligned}$$

where a term-wise comparison gives  $M < \infty$ . (E.g. using that  $2^n \cdot (n!)^2 \leq (2n)!$ .)

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \cosh(r(C_n))\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(\cosh(r(C_n)) | C_1, \dots, C_{n-1})\right) \\ &\leq e^{M \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{\iota_n}^2} < e^{MR} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and thus we have shown that (23)  $\implies$  (24).

Finally, we need to show that the condition  $\beta < \beta_c(J_{\mathbb{Z}})$  of Theorem 2 implies (23).

Since the weighting  $J_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is vertex-transitive, the result in Hutchcroft [18] says that if  $\beta < \beta_c(J_{\mathbb{Z}})$ , then for the two-sided model  $\mu(G)$ , the distribution  $\mu(|C_G(o)|)$  of the size of the cluster containing any  $o \in \mathbb{Z}$  has exponentially decreasing tails. Since  $\nu(G_+) \prec \mu(G[\mathbb{N}])$  and  $C_0 = C_{G_+}(0) \subset C_G(0) \cap \mathbb{N}$ , the condition (23) readily follows for the one-sided model  $\nu$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Noam Berger, Evgeny Verbitskiy, and in particular Aernout van Enter, for valuable comments on several versions of this paper. The second author wishes to thank the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation for financial support. The third author acknowledges the ERC Grant 833802–Resonances.

## References

- [1] M. Aizenman, J. Chayes, L. Chayes and C. Newman, Discontinuity of the magnetization in the one-dimensional  $1/|x-y|^2$  Ising and Potts models, *J. Statist. Phys.* **50** (1988), 1–40.
- [2] M. Aizenman and C. Newman, Tree Graph Inequalities and Critical Behavior in Percolation Models, *J. Statist. Phys.* **36** (1984), 107–143.
- [3] Y. Aoun, Sharp asymptotics of correlation functions in the subcritical long-range random cluster and Potts models, *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **26** (2021), No. 22, 9pp.
- [4] H. Berbee, Uniqueness of Gibbs measures and absorption probabilities, *Ann. Probab.* **17** (1989), no. 4, 1416–1431.
- [5] J. van den Berg J., O. Häggstrom, and J. Kahn, Some conditional correlation inequalities for percolation and related processes *Random Structures and Algorithms*, **29** (4) (2006), 417–435.

- [6] N. Berger, C. Hoffman and V. Sidoravicius, Nonuniqueness for specifications in  $l^{2+\epsilon}$ , *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **38** (2018), no. 4, 1342–1352.
- [7] N. Berger, D. Conache, A. Johansson, and A. Öberg, Doeblin measures – uniqueness and mixing properties, arXiv:2303.13891, submitted for publication.
- [8] R. Bissacot, E.O. Endo, A. C. D. van Enter, and A. Le Ny, Entropic Repulsion and Lack of the  $g$ -measure Property for Dyson Models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **363** (2018), 767–788.
- [9] W. Doeblin and R. Fortet, Sur des chaînes à liaisons complètes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **65** (1937), 132–148.
- [10] H. Duminil-Copin, A. Roufi, and V. Tassion, Sharp phase transition for the random-cluster and Potts models via decision trees, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **189** (2019), no. 1, 75–99.
- [11] E.O. Endo, A. C. D. van Enter, and A. Le Ny, The roles of random boundary conditions in spin systems, in *In and Out of Equilibrium 3: Celebrating Vladas Sidoravicius*, Springer (2001), 371–381.
- [12] E.O. Endo, A. C. D. van Enter, and A. Le Ny, On long range Ising models with random boundary conditions, arXiv:2405.08374.
- [13] A.C.D. van Enter, R. Fernández, M. Makhmudov, and E.A. Verbitskiy, On an extension of a theorem by Ruelle to long-range potentials, arXiv:2404.07326.
- [14] A.C.D. van Enter and E.A. Verbitskiy, On the Variational Principle for Generalized Gibbs Measures, *Markov Processes and Related Fields* **10** (2004), no. 3, 411–434.
- [15] R. Fernández and G. Maillard, Chains with complete connections and one-dimensional Gibbs measures, *Electron. J. Probab.* **9** (2004), no. 6, 145–176.
- [16] R. Fernández and G. Maillard, Chains with Complete Connections: General Theory, Uniqueness, Loss of Memory and Mixing Properties, *J. Statist. Phys.* **118** (2005), 555–588.
- [17] G. R. Grimmett, *The Random Cluster Model*, Springer 2006.
- [18] T. Hutchcroft, New critical exponent inequalities for percolation and the random cluster model, *Probab. Math. Phys.* **1** (2020), 147–165.
- [19] A. Johansson and A. Öberg, Square summability of variations of  $g$ -functions and uniqueness of  $g$ -measures, *Math. Res. Lett.* **10** (2003), no. 5–6, 587–601.
- [20] A. Johansson, A. Öberg and M. Pollicott, Countable state shifts and uniqueness of  $g$ -measures, *Amer. J. Math.* **129** (2007), no. 6, 1501–1511.
- [21] A. Johansson, A. Öberg and M. Pollicott, Phase transitions in long-range Ising models and an optimal condition for factors of  $g$ -measures, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **39** (2019), no. 5, 1317–1330.

- [22] M. Keane, Strongly mixing  $g$ -measures, *Invent. Math.* **16** (1972), 309–324.
- [23] C. Panagiotis, *Interface theory and Percolation*, PhD Thesis, University of Warwick 2020.
- [24] D. Ruelle, Statistical mechanics of a one-dimensional lattice gas, *Comm. Math. Phys.* , **9** (1968), 267–278.
- [25] Ya.G. Sinai, Gibbs measures in ergodic theory, *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **27** (4) (1972), 21–69.
- [26] P. Walters, Ruelle’s operator theorem and  $g$ -measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **214** (1975), 375–387.

Anders Johansson, Department of Mathematics, University of Gävle, 801 76 Gävle, Sweden. Email-address: [ajj@hig.se](mailto:ajj@hig.se)

Anders Öberg, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, P.O. Box 480, 751 06 Uppsala, Sweden. E-mail-address: [anders@math.uu.se](mailto:anders@math.uu.se)

Mark Pollicott, Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK. Email-address: [mpollic@maths.warwick.ac.uk](mailto:mpollic@maths.warwick.ac.uk)