

INTERSECTION THEORETIC INEQUALITIES VIA LORENTZIAN POLYNOMIALS

JIAJUN HU AND JIAN XIAO

In memory of Jean-Pierre Demailly

ABSTRACT. We explore the applications of Lorentzian polynomials to the fields of algebraic geometry, analytic geometry and convex geometry. In particular, we establish a series of intersection theoretic inequalities, which we call rKT property, with respect to m -positive classes and Schur classes. We also study its convexity variants – the geometric inequalities for m -convex functions on the sphere and convex bodies. Along the exploration, we prove that any finite subset on the closure of the cone generated by m -positive classes can be endowed with a polymatroid structure by a canonical numerical-dimension type function, extending our previous result for nef classes; and we prove Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities for valuations of Schur type. We also establish various analogs of sumset estimates (Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequalities) from additive combinatorics in our contexts.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	2
1.2. General principle	3
1.3. Applications	3
Organization	5
Acknowledgements	5
2. General principle	5
2.1. Lorentzian polynomials	5
2.2. rKT property for Lorentzian polynomials	7
2.3. Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequalities for Lorentzian polynomials	10
3. Applications to complex geometry	11
3.1. m -positivity	11
3.2. Submodularity of numerical dimensions	14
3.3. Schur classes	16
3.4. Positivity criterion	18
4. Applications to geometric inequalities	19
4.1. m -convex functions on the sphere	19
4.2. Valuations of Schur type	21
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of Lorentzian polynomials was introduced and systematically developed in [BH20] and independently (with part overlap) in [AGV21, ALGV19, ALGV18]. The class of Lorentzian polynomials contains all homogeneous stable polynomials, and is intimately connected to matroid theory, negative dependence properties, Potts model partition functions and log-concave polynomials. Since the volume polynomials of nef divisors on a projective variety and the volume polynomials of convex bodies are Lorentzian, they also reveal important information on projective varieties and convex bodies (see [Huh22] for a nice exposition). The class of Lorentzian polynomials can be considered as an analog of the Hodge-Riemann relation, in the sense that the Hessian of a nonzero Lorentzian polynomial has exactly one positive eigenvalue on the positive orthant. Among its many remarkable applications, let us list a few which is far from complete. For example, the theory of Lorentzian polynomials was

used to prove the strongest version of Mason conjecture – the ultra log-concavity for the number of independent sets of given sizes of a matroid [BH20, ALGV18]; it was also applied in [BL21] to give a purely polynomial proof of the Heron-Rota-Welsh conjecture on the log-concavity of the characteristic polynomial of a matroid, whose original proof relies on the Hodge theory for matroids established in [AHK18]; the papers [BES19, NOR23] also gave alternative proofs of the Heron-Rota-Welsh conjecture which are closely related to Lorentzian polynomials.

The goal of this paper is to explore more applications of Lorentzian polynomials. We focus on the applications in the fields of algebraic geometry, analytic geometry and convex geometry, and we are particularly interested in the intersection theoretic inequalities and its convexity analogs – geometric inequalities, that are intimately related to Lorentzian polynomials.

To introduce our results, we first recall some notations as in [BH20]. Let n, d be nonnegative integers. Denote $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and e_i the unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . We write H_n^d for the vector space of homogeneous real polynomials of degree d in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and P_n^d the subset of all polynomials in H_n^d with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ is denoted by ∂_i . Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we denote

$$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad \partial^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_n^{\alpha_n},$$

and $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. The Hessian of $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, denote by H_f , is the symmetric matrix

$$H_f = [\partial_i \partial_j f]_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Definition 1.1. The space of strictly Lorentzian polynomials \mathring{L}_n^d is inductively defined as follows:

$$\mathring{L}_n^0 = P_n^0, \quad \mathring{L}_n^1 = P_n^1,$$

$$\mathring{L}_n^2 = \{f \in P_n^2 : H_f \text{ is nonsingular and has signature } (+, -, \dots, -)\},$$

$$\text{for any } d > 2, \quad \mathring{L}_n^d = \{f \in P_n^d : \partial^\alpha f \in \mathring{L}_n^2, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ with } |\alpha| = d - 2\}.$$

The space of Lorentzian polynomials, denoted by L_n^d , is the closure of the space of strictly Lorentzian polynomials.

Let $f \in H_n^d$, the complete homogeneous form of f is the symmetric multi-linear function

$$F_f : (\mathbb{R}^n)^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$F_f(v_1, \dots, v_d) = \frac{1}{d!} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} f(x_1 v_1 + \dots + x_d v_d).$$

By [BH20, Proposition 4.5], if f is Lorentzian, then for any $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $v_2, \dots, v_d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$,

$$(1) \quad F_f(v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_d)^2 \geq F_f(v_1, v_1, v_3, \dots, v_d) F_f(v_2, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_d).$$

This is the analog of Hodge index inequality – also known as Khovanskii-Teissier inequality or Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality – for Lorentzian polynomials. Write the Lorentzian polynomial f as follows:

$$f(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|=d} \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\alpha!} w^\alpha,$$

then (1) can be reformulated: for any $i, j \in [n]$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = d$,

$$(2) \quad (\partial^\alpha f)^2 \geq \partial^{\alpha+e_i-e_j} f \cdot \partial^{\alpha-e_i+e_j} f.$$

1.1. Motivation. Our main motivation is a somehow reverse form of the inequality (2). The prototype is the reverse Khovanskii-Teissier (rKT) inequality noted by Lehmann and the second named author in [LX17]. In the context of analytic geometry, we have:

Theorem 1.2 (rKT property for nef classes). *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n . Let A_1, \dots, A_n, B be nef (1, 1) classes, then*

$$(3) \quad (B^n)(A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k \cdot A_{k+1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_n) \leq \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} (B^{n-k} \cdot A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k) (B^k \cdot A_{k+1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_n).$$

We refer the reader to [DX21, Xia15, Xia19, Pop16, Dan20, JL21] for more details on its applications, extensions and background.

Remark 1.3. The original proof of the rKT property for nef classes and its convexity analog applies deep results on complex/real Monge-Ampère equations [LX17, DX21], and the constant in (3) is optimal. The proof of its algebraic version over an arbitrary algebraically closed field applies the tools of Okounkov bodies [JL21].

Notations as above, we consider the polynomial

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (tB + x_1A_1 + \dots + x_nA_n)^n,$$

where $t, x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0$. Then (3) can be reformulated as follows:

$$(4) \quad f(1, 0, \dots, 0)\partial^{\beta+\gamma}f(1, 0, \dots, 0) \leq \partial^\beta f(1, 0, \dots, 0)\partial^\gamma f(1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

where $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ are given by

$$\partial^\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \quad \partial^\gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}.$$

Therefore, (3) is essentially a relation among the derivatives or coefficients of the volume polynomial.

1.2. General principle. Our first principle is that any Lorentzian polynomial has rKT property with effective estimates.

Theorem A. *Let $f \in L_n^d$ be a Lorentzian polynomial. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ and for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ satisfying*

$$\alpha = \beta + \gamma, \quad |\alpha| \leq d,$$

we have that

$$f(x)\partial^\alpha f(x) \leq 2^{|\beta||\gamma|} \frac{(d-|\beta|)!(d-|\gamma|)!}{d!(d-|\alpha|)!} \partial^\beta f(x)\partial^\gamma f(x).$$

Inspired by the sunset estimates – Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality – in additive combinatorics, Fradelizi-Madiman-Zvavitch [FMZ22] studied the analogs in the context of convex geometry (see also Bobkov-Madiman [BM12, Section 7] that proved similar results). Using the rKT property proved in [Xia19] as a core tool, the authors proved that there is a constant c_n depending on n (more precisely, $c_n \leq (\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2})^n$) such that for any convex bodies $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\text{vol}(A)\text{vol}(A+B+C) \leq c_n \text{vol}(A+B)\text{vol}(A+C).$$

Indeed, [FMZ22] also proved that for sufficiently large n , the best possible $c_n \geq (\frac{4}{3} + o(1))^n$, and gave a better c_n when $n \leq 4$.

Instead of considering volumes, as an immediate consequence of Theorem A, we show that any Lorentzian polynomial satisfies a variant of Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality.

Corollary A. *Let $f \in L_n^d$ be a Lorentzian polynomial, then for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$,*

$$f(x)f(x+y+z) \leq \left(\max_{|\alpha| \leq d, \beta+\gamma=\alpha} 2^{|\beta||\gamma|} \frac{(d-|\beta|)!(d-|\gamma|)!}{d!(d-|\alpha|)!} \right) f(x+y)f(x+z).$$

1.3. Applications. In practice, the philosophy behind Theorem A is quite powerful:

(♠) Hodge index theorem \Rightarrow Lorentzian property \Rightarrow rKT property.

This enables us to obtain the rKT property once the Hodge index theorem or Lorentzian property holds. Although we only consider intersection theoretic inequalities in algebraic/analytic geometry and convex geometry, our results apply to any setting that Lorentzian polynomials appear.

To highlight the philosophy in concrete applications, we mainly focus on algebraic/analytic geometry in this introduction. We introduce the following notion of rKT package over a Kähler manifold:

Definition 1.4. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and fix $1 \leq m \leq n$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a nonempty convex cone and $\Omega \in H^{n-m, n-m}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We say that the pair (Ω, \mathcal{C}) has the rKT property if there exists a constant $c = c(m, k)$ depending only on m, k such that for any $A_1, \dots, A_m, B \in \mathcal{C}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$,

$$(B^m \cdot \Omega)(A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_m \cdot \Omega) \leq c(B^k \cdot A_{k+1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_m \cdot \Omega)(B^{m-k} \cdot A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k \cdot \Omega).$$

We give two rKT packages in algebraic/analytic geometry which seems not easily accessible by the previous methods using geometric partial differential equations or Okounkov bodies.

Theorem B. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and fix $1 \leq m \leq n$, then the following rKT packages hold:*

- (1) *Let ω be a Kähler class on X and fix a Kähler metric $\widehat{\omega}$ in the class ω . Denote*

$$\overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega}) \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

the closure of the set of all m -positive classes with respect to $\widehat{\omega}$. Then the pair $(\omega^{n-m}, \overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega}))$ has the rKT property.

- (2) *Let $s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_e)$ be the Schur polynomial corresponding to a partition*

$$\lambda = (e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N \geq 0)$$

of $n - m$, then for any Kähler classes $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e$ on X , the pair

$$(s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e), \text{Nef}^1(X))$$

has the rKT property, where $\text{Nef}^1(X)$ is the nef cone of $(1, 1)$ classes on X .

The constant c for the rKT property in Theorem B is given explicitly by $c(m, k) = 2^{k(m-k)}$. We expect that it can be improved to $\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$, which is optimal.

Theorem B(1) follows from (\spadesuit) and the Hodge index theorem for m -positive classes established by the second named author [Xia21], and Theorem B(2) relies on Theorem 3.17, which is a slight generalization of [RT22].

As a consequence, we obtain:

Corollary B. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and fix $1 \leq m \leq n$, then we have:*

- (1) *Let ω be a Kähler class on X and fix a Kähler metric $\widehat{\omega}$ in the class ω . Denote*

$$\overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega}) \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

the closure of the set of all m -positive classes with respect to $\widehat{\omega}$. Then there is a constant c_m depending only on m such that for any $A, B, C \in \overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega})$,

$$(A^m \cdot \omega^{n-m})((A + B + C)^m \cdot \omega^{n-m}) \leq c_m((A + B)^m \cdot \omega^{n-m})((A + C)^m \cdot \omega^{n-m}).$$

- (2) *Let $s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_e)$ be the Schur polynomial corresponding to a partition*

$$\lambda = (e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N \geq 0)$$

of $n - m$, then for any Kähler classes $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e$ on X and any $A, B, C \in \text{Nef}^1(X)$, there is a constant c_m depending only on m such that

$$\begin{aligned} (A^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e))((A + B + C)^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)) \\ \leq c_m((A + B)^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e))((A + C)^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)). \end{aligned}$$

The constant c_m is given explicitly in the same form of Corollary A.

Another interesting consequence of Theorem B(1) is the following combinatorial positivity structure on $\overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega})$:

Theorem C. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and fix $1 \leq m \leq n$. Let ω be a Kähler class on X and $\widehat{\omega} \in \omega$ a Kähler metric in the Kähler class. Denote $\Omega = \omega^{n-m}$. For $\alpha \in \overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega})$, set*

$$\text{nd}_\Omega(\alpha) = \max\{k \in [m] \mid \alpha^k \cdot \Omega \neq 0\}.$$

Then for any three classes $A, B, C \in \overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega})$, we have that

$$\text{nd}_\Omega(A + B + C) + \text{nd}_\Omega(C) \leq \text{nd}_\Omega(A + C) + \text{nd}_\Omega(B + C).$$

In particular, given any finite set $E = \{A_1, \dots, A_s\} \subset \overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega}) \setminus 0$, $\text{nd}_\Omega(\cdot)$ endows E with a loopless polymatroid structure with the rank function given by $r(I) = \text{nd}_\Omega(A_I)$, where $A_I = \sum_{i \in I} A_i$.

Theorem C generalizes a result first proved in our previous work [HX22], where we proved the particular case $m = n$ on a projective manifold. The case $m = n$ over a Kähler manifold also answers a question asked in the aforementioned paper.

We also study the variants of Theorem B and Corollary B in convex geometry. The results follow from the same philosophy (\spadesuit) . In particular, to obtain the analogs of results for Schur classes, we prove the Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities for valuations of Schur type:

Theorem D. Let $E_i = (K_1^{(i)}, \dots, K_{t_i}^{(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ be p tuples of convex bodies. Let $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^p$ be partitions such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |\lambda^i| = n - 2,$$

and let $s_{\lambda^1}, \dots, s_{\lambda^p}$ be the corresponding Schur polynomials.

Let $\Theta(-, -) : (\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n))^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by

$$\Theta(M, N) = V(s_{\lambda^1}(E_1), \dots, s_{\lambda^p}(E_p), M, N),$$

then Θ satisfies that

$$\Theta(M, N)^2 \geq \Theta(M, M)\Theta(N, N).$$

Related work. While with few overlap, the very recent interesting work by Ross-Süss-Wannerer [RSW23] has a similar theme, where the authors introduced and studied a notion of dually Lorentzian polynomials, and proved that any theory that admits a mixed Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality also admits a generalized Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality involving dually Lorentzian polynomials. In our work, we proved that any theory that admits a mixed Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality admits a rKT property. In particular, using dually Lorentzian polynomials, we get more results involving rKT properties in the geometric setting, which can be used to obtain more general versions of the second part of Theorem B and Theorem D. To be more precise, our proof of Theorem D relies on the Hodge-Riemann relations for Schur classes established in [RT19] and some classical results on toric varieties. In a more streamlined way, by [RSW23] this result has a purely combinatorial proof and has a far-reaching generalization. In fact, Theorem D is a consequence of [RSW23, Theorem 1.6] since the product of Schur polynomials is dually Lorentzian. Moreover, one can replace the Schur polynomials appearing in Theorem B and Corollary B by any dually Lorentzian polynomial thanks to the results of [RSW23]. We refer the reader to the cited paper for more details.

Organization. This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the general principle, where we prove the rKT property and sunset estimates for Lorentzian polynomials. The constant in the rKT property is also discussed. In Section 3, we study the applications to m -positive classes and Schur classes, and prove the polymatroid structure for m -positivity using a numerical-dimension type function. We also discuss the application in the positivity criterion. In Section 4, we study the convexity analogs of the results established in Section 3.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2021YFA1002300) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11901336). We would like to thank Julius Ross for kindly sharing the work on dually Lorentzian polynomials with us. We also thank the referee for the careful reading and helpful comments.

2. GENERAL PRINCIPLE

2.1. Lorentzian polynomials. We introduce and recall some notations as in Brändén-Huh [BH20]. Let n, d be nonnegative integers. Denote $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and e_i the unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . We write H_n^d for the vector space of homogeneous real polynomials of degree d in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and P_n^d the subset of all polynomials in H_n^d with positive coefficients.

The partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ is denoted by ∂_i . Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we set

$$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad \partial^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_n^{\alpha_n},$$

and $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. The Hessian of $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, denote by H_f , is the symmetric matrix

$$H_f = [\partial_i \partial_j f]_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Definition 2.1. The space of strictly Lorentzian polynomials is inductively defined as follows:

$$\mathring{L}_n^0 = P_n^0, \quad \mathring{L}_n^1 = P_n^1,$$

$$\mathring{L}_n^2 = \{f \in P_n^2 : H_f \text{ is nonsingular and has signature } (+, -, \dots, -)\},$$

$$\text{for any } d > 2, \quad \mathring{L}_n^d = \{f \in P_n^d : \partial^\alpha f \in \mathring{L}_n^2, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ with } |\alpha| = d - 2\}.$$

The space of Lorentzian polynomials, denoted by L_n^d , is the closure of the space of strictly Lorentzian polynomials.

An important feature of Lorentzian polynomials is that they satisfy an analog of Hodge-Riemann relation (see [BH20, Theorem 2.16]):

- Let $f \in H_n^d$ be a nonzero homogeneous polynomial with $d \geq 2$, then $H_f(x)$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ if $f \in L_n^d$. Furthermore, $H_f(x)$ is also nonsingular for all $x \in \mathring{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}^n$ if $f \in \mathring{L}_n^d$.

For a nonzero $f \in H_n^2$ with nonnegative coefficients, it is clear that $f \in L_n^2$ is equivalent to that H_f has exactly one positive eigenvalue. The following result gives an useful characterization on the number of positive eigenvalues of H_f .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f \in H_n^d$ with $n \geq 2, d \geq 2$. The following are equivalent for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f(x) > 0$:*

- (1) $H_{f^{1/d}}(x)$ is negative semidefinite.
- (2) $H_{\log f}(x)$ is negative semidefinite.
- (3) $H_f(x)$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue.

Proof. This is [BH20, Proposition 2.33]. □

In the purely ‘‘polynomial proof’’ of the Heron-Rota-Welsh conjecture that does not rely on Hodge theory, Brändén-Leake [BL21] introduced the notion of Lorentzian polynomials on cones. Let \mathcal{C} be an open convex cone in \mathbb{R}^n . A polynomial $f \in H_n^d$ is called \mathcal{C} -Lorentzian if for all $v_1, \dots, v_d \in \mathcal{C}$,

- $D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_d} f > 0$, and
- the symmetric bilinear form

$$(\xi, \eta) \mapsto D_\xi D_\eta D_{v_3} \dots D_{v_d} f$$

has exactly one positive eigenvalue.

Here, D_v is the directional derivative along v .

It was noted that the above definition is equivalent to that for all positive integers m and for all $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathcal{C}$, the polynomial

$$(y_1, \dots, y_m) \mapsto f(y_1 v_1 + \dots + y_m v_m)$$

is Lorentzian and has only positive coefficients. Thus, without loss of generalities, we just study Lorentzian polynomials in the sense of Definition 2.1.

There is a characterization of Lorentzian polynomials by discrete convexity. A subset $J \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ is called M -convex if J satisfies the symmetric exchange property, i.e., $\forall \alpha, \beta \in J$ with $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ for some $i \in [n]$, there exists $j \in [n]$ such that

$$\beta_j < \alpha_j \text{ and } \alpha + e_i - e_j \in J, \beta + e_j - e_i \in J.$$

For $f \in H_n^d$, the support $\text{supp}(f) \subset \mathbb{N}$ is the set of monomials appearing in f with nonzero coefficients. Let $f \in H_n^d$ with $d \geq 2$, then f is Lorentzian if and only if $\text{supp}(f)$ is M -convex and $\partial^\alpha f \in L_n^2, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = d - 2$.

In the course of characterizing Lorentzian polynomials by M -convexity, [BH20] introduced the following notion:

Definition 2.3. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is called c -Rayleigh if f has nonnegative coefficients and

$$\partial^\alpha f(x) \partial^{\alpha+e_i+e_j} f(x) \leq c \partial^{\alpha+e_i} f(x) \partial^{\alpha+e_j} f(x).$$

holds for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, i, j \in [n]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$

The following important property of Lorentzian polynomials plays a key role in our work.

Lemma 2.4. *Any $f \in L_n^d$ is $2(1 - \frac{1}{d})$ -Rayleigh. Moreover, the bound $2(1 - \frac{1}{d})$ is optimal in the sense that for any $n \geq 3$ and any $c < 2(1 - \frac{1}{d})$, there is $f \in L_n^d$ that is not c -Rayleigh.*

Proof. The key idea is an application of the analog of Hodge-Riemann relation for Lorentzian polynomials: $H_f(x)$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$. This property implies that

$$f(x)\partial_i\partial_j f(x) \leq 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)\partial_i f(x)\partial_j f(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \text{ and } i, j \in [n].$$

The details and examples can be found in [BH20, Section 2]. \square

2.2. rKT property for Lorentzian polynomials. As a consequence of the c -Rayleigh property, we first prove that any Lorentzian polynomial has the rKT property.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f \in L_n^d$ be a Lorentzian polynomial. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ and for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ satisfying*

$$\alpha = \beta + \gamma, \quad |\alpha| \leq d,$$

we have that

$$(5) \quad f(x)\partial^\alpha f(x) \leq 2^{|\beta||\gamma|} \frac{(d-|\beta|)!(d-|\gamma|)!}{d!(d-|\alpha|)!} \partial^\beta f(x)\partial^\gamma f(x).$$

Proof. Up to taking limits, we may suppose that $f \in \mathring{L}_n^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.

Denote $k = |\beta|, l = |\gamma|$. We assume that

$$\partial^\beta = \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_k}, \quad \partial^\gamma = \partial_{i_{k+1}} \dots \partial_{i_{k+l}},$$

where $i_1, \dots, i_{k+l} \in [n]$.

When $k = d$ or $l = d$, both sides of the inequality (15) are given by $f(x)\partial^\alpha f(x)$, thus the inequality is indeed an equality.

It is remained to consider the case when $k < d$ and $l < d$.

By Lemma 2.4, for $j_1, j_2 \in [n]$, we have that

$$(6) \quad f(x)\partial_{j_1}\partial_{j_2} f(x) \leq 2\frac{d-1}{d}\partial_{j_1} f(x)\partial_{j_2} f(x).$$

Letting $(j_1, j_2) = (i_1, i_{k+1})$ implies that

$$(7) \quad f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x) \leq 2\frac{d-1}{d}\partial_{i_1} f(x)\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x).$$

Note that by definition, for any $i \in [n]$, $\partial_i \mathring{L}_n^d \subset \mathring{L}_n^{d-1}$. Replacing f by $\partial_{i_1} f$ and taking $(j_1, j_2) = (i_2, i_{k+1})$ in (6), we obtain that

$$(8) \quad \partial_{i_1} f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x) \leq 2\frac{d-2}{d-1}\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2} f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x).$$

Combining (7) and (8) yields that

$$(9) \quad f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x)\partial_{i_1} f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x) \leq 2^2\frac{d-2}{d}\partial_{i_1} f(x)\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2} f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x).$$

Since $f \in \mathring{L}_n^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, we have $\partial_{i_1} f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x) > 0$. So dividing $\partial_{i_1} f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x)$ on both sides of (9) yields that

$$(10) \quad f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x) \leq 2^2\frac{d-2}{d}\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2} f(x)\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x).$$

Similarly, after using the inequality (10) and the inequality obtained by replacing f by $\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2} f$ and taking $(j_1, j_2) = (i_3, i_{k+1})$ in (6), we get that

$$f(x)\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}\partial_{i_3}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x) \leq 2^3\frac{d-3}{d}\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}\partial_{i_3} f(x)\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x).$$

By induction,

$$(11) \quad f(x)\partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_k}\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x) \leq 2^k \frac{d-k}{d} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_k} f(x)\partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x).$$

If we start with $\partial_{i_{k+1}} f$ and replace i_1, \dots, i_k, i_{k+1} by i_1, \dots, i_k, i_{k+2} , similar procedure implies that

$$(12) \quad \partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x)\partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_{k+1}}\partial_{i_{k+2}} f(x) \leq 2^k \frac{d-1-k}{d-1} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_{k+1}} f(x)\partial_{i_{k+1}}\partial_{i_{k+2}} f(x).$$

Combining (11) and (12) yields that

$$f(x)\partial_{i_1}\dots\partial_{i_{k+2}}f(x) \leq 2^{2k}\frac{(d-k)(d-k-1)}{d(d-1)}\partial_{i_1}\dots\partial_{i_k}f(x)\partial_{i_{k+1}}\partial_{i_{k+2}}f(x).$$

By induction, we get that

$$f(x)\partial_{i_1}\dots\partial_{i_{k+l}}f(x) \leq 2^{kl}\frac{(d-k)\dots(d-k-l+1)}{d\dots(d-l+1)}\partial_{i_1}\dots\partial_{i_k}f(x)\partial_{i_{k+1}}\dots\partial_{i_{k+l}}f(x),$$

which is exactly the desired inequality (15).

This finishes the proof. \square

Let B, A_1, \dots, A_n be nef classes on a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n , and let

$$(13) \quad f(t, x) = (tB + x_1A_1 + \dots + x_nA_n)^n$$

be the volume polynomial. By Hodge index theorem, it is Lorentzian. By applying Theorem 2.5 to f with $\partial^\beta = \partial_1\dots\partial_k, \partial^\gamma = \partial_{k+1}\dots\partial_n$ and evaluating at $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$, we get:

$$(14) \quad (B^n)(A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k \cdot A_{k+1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_n) \leq 2^{k(n-k)}(B^{n-k} \cdot A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k)(B^k \cdot A_{k+1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_n).$$

The constant $2^{k(n-k)}$ is much bigger the optimal constant $\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ in (3). One may wonder if some modification of the proof for Theorem 2.5 can give this optimal one. Note that the constant c in the c -Rayleigh property plays a key role. If the involved functions were 1-Rayleigh, then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ and for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ satisfying

$$\alpha = \beta + \gamma, \quad |\alpha| \leq d,$$

we have that

$$(15) \quad f(x)\partial^\alpha f(x) \leq \partial^\beta f(x)\partial^\gamma f(x).$$

This is exactly of the same form of the geometric rKT with optimal constant (4).

We describe a counterexample for 1-Rayleigh property for volume polynomials.

The function f being 1-Rayleigh implies that

$$f\partial_i\partial_j f \leq \partial_i f\partial_j f.$$

When $(i, j) = (1, 2)$, evaluating both sides at $(t, x) = (1, 0)$ yields that

$$(16) \quad B^n(B^{n-2} \cdot A_1 \cdot A_2) \leq \frac{n}{n-1}(B^{n-1} \cdot A_1)(B^{n-1} \cdot A_2).$$

We show that in general (16) does not hold true via a convexity construction.

The convexity analog of (16) has the same form:

$$(17) \quad \text{vol}(B)V(B[n-2], A_1, A_2) \leq \frac{n}{n-1}V(B[n-1], A_1)V(B[n-1], A_2),$$

where B, A_1, A_2 are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n (see Section 4 for discussions on mixed volumes). Taking $A_1 = e_1, A_2 = e_2$ and applying the reduced formula for mixed volumes [Sch14], (17) is equivalent to

$$\text{vol}(B) \text{vol}(p_{12}(B)) \leq \text{vol}(p_1(B)) \text{vol}(p_2(B)),$$

where p_i is the projection to the subspace e_i^\perp , p_{ij} is the projection to $\text{span}(e_i, e_j)^\perp$.

Example 2.6. (see [GHP02, Section 4]) Let $Q_2 = [-1, 1]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $B = \text{Conv}(Q_2, \pm e_3)$, then a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\text{vol}(B) = \frac{8}{3}, \text{vol}(p_1(B)) = \text{vol}(p_2(B)) = \text{vol}(p_{12}(B)) = 2,$$

which provides the counterexample to (17).

Then a toric construction (see Section 4.2) provides the desired counterexample to (16). Therefore, in general the volume polynomial for nef classes or convex bodies is not 1-Rayleigh.

Remark 2.7. By [BH20, Proposition 2.24], when $n \leq 2$, any $f \in L_n^d$ is 1-Rayleigh.

Remark 2.8. In [BH20, Question 4.9], Brändén-Huh asked whether every Lorentzian polynomial can be approximated by volume polynomials of nef classes. Huh [Huh22, Example 14] provided a Lorentzian polynomial which is not a volume polynomial of nef classes:

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 14x_1^3 + 6x_1^2x_2 + 24x_1^2x_3 + 12x_1x_2x_3 + 6x_1x_3^2 + 3x_2x_3^2.$$

The verification is an application of Theorem 1.2 with the constant $\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$.

Remark 2.9. Regarding (16), a weaker version always holds. By applying rKT for nef divisor classes B, A_1, A_2 on a projective manifold, we always have that

$$(18) \quad B^n(B^{n-2} \cdot A_1 \cdot A_2) \leq 2(B^{n-1} \cdot A_1)(B^{n-1} \cdot A_2).$$

The inequality (18) follows from Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generalities, we can assume that B is very ample. Let V be a smooth subvariety with cycle class $[V] = B^{n-2}$, then the above equality is just the rKT inequality (3) on the subvariety V . Indeed, (18) also follows from the Rayleigh property of the volume polynomial (13). By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(19) \quad f \partial_1 \partial_2 f \leq 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \partial_1 f \partial_2 f.$$

Evaluating (19) at $(t, x) = (1, 0)$ gives exactly (18).

We note that the convexity analog of (18) or (19) had been noticed previously by Giannopoulos-Hartzoulaki-Paouris [GHP02] in particular situation (see also [AAFO14, BGL18]). By translating (18) to the convexity setting and taking $A_1 = e_1, A_2 = e_2$ as in the discussion for (17), for any convex body B in \mathbb{R}^n we have

$$\text{vol}(B) \text{vol}(p_{12}(B)) \leq 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \text{vol}(p_1(B)) \text{vol}(p_2(B)).$$

This was obtained by [GHP02, Lemma 4.1] in their study of local Loomis-Whitney inequality.

Indeed, the inequality (18) can be generalized as follows:

Proposition 2.10. *For any nef divisor classes B, A_1, \dots, A_m on a projective manifold of dimension n and any $0 \leq k \leq m \leq n$,*

$$(20) \quad B^n(B^{n-m} \cdot A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_m) \leq \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} (B^{n-k} \cdot A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k) (B^{n-m+k} \cdot A_{k+1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_m).$$

Proof. The case $m = 0$ is trivial. So we may suppose $m > 0$. Up to taking a limit and a rescaling, we may suppose that B is very ample. Then we can choose a smooth subvariety V such that $[V] = B^{n-m}$. Then the above inequality writes as

$$\int_V B^m \int_V A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_m \leq \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} \int_V B^{m-k} \cdot A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k \int_V B^k \cdot A_{k+1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_m.$$

This is a consequence of Theorem 1.2. □

By a toric construction as in Section 4.2, the same estimate holds for mixed volumes:

Proposition 2.11. *Let B, A_1, \dots, A_m be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , then*

$$(21) \quad \text{vol}(B)V(B[n-m], A_1, \dots, A_m) \leq \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} V(B[n-k], A_1, \dots, A_k) V(B[n-m+k], A_{k+1}, \dots, A_m).$$

As a special case, if we let $A_1 = \dots = A_k = \mathbf{B}$ be the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n and let $A_{k+1} = \dots = A_m = \mathbf{B}_{E^\perp}$, where $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear subspace of $\dim E = n - m + k$ and \mathbf{B}_{E^\perp} is the unit ball in the orthogonal complement subspace E^\perp , then by the reduction formula for mixed volumes, (21) can be rewritten as

$$(22) \quad \text{vol}(B)V_{n-m}(p_E(B)) \leq \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} \text{vol}(p_E(B))V_{n-k}(B),$$

where $p_E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow E$ is the projection map and $V_i(-)$ is the i -th quermassintegral in the underlying space.

The inequality (22) is exactly [FGM03, Theorem 1.2]. As we see from the above argument, it follows from a more general intersection-number inequality for nef divisors (20).

2.3. Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequalities for Lorentzian polynomials. Next we discuss the sumset estimates – Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequalities – for Lorentzian polynomials.

The Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality is a fundamental result in additive combinatorics providing effective upper bound for the cardinality of sums and differences of finite subsets of a commutative group (see e.g. [TV06]). Using Plünnecke's method [Plu70], Ruzsa [Ruz89] proved the following result for finite subsets: let A, B_1, \dots, B_m be nonempty finite subsets of a commutative group, then there is a nonempty subset $A' \subset A$ such that

$$|A|^m |A' + B_1 + \dots + B_m| \leq |A'| \prod_{i=1}^m |A + B_i|.$$

Later, Ruzsa [Ruz97] generalized this inequality to compact subsets on a *well-gridded* locally compact commutative group with the Haar measure μ . For example, the locally compact commutative group can be \mathbb{R}^n , a finite-dimensional torus T , or their product $\mathbb{R}^n \times T^p$. Let A, B_1, \dots, B_m be compact measurable subsets with $\mu(A) > 0$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a nonempty compact subset $A' \subset A$ with $\mu(A') > 0$ such that

$$\mu(A)^m \mu(A' + B_1 + \dots + B_m) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \mu(A') \prod_{i=1}^m \mu(A + B_i).$$

Inspired by this result, Fradelizi-Madiman-Zvavitch [FMZ22] studied the analogs in the context of convex geometry. In particular, using the rKT property proved in [Xia19] as a core tool, the authors proved that there is a constant c_n depending on n (more precisely, $c_n \leq (\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2})^n$) such that for any convex bodies $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\text{vol}(A) \text{vol}(A + B + C) \leq c_n \text{vol}(A + B) \text{vol}(A + C).$$

We establish similar result for Lorentzian polynomials. Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ for every i , we denote

$$\alpha! = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i!, \quad \binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}.$$

Theorem 2.12. *Let $f \in L_n^d$ be a Lorentzian polynomial, then there is a constant c_d depending only on d such that for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$,*

$$f(x)f(x+y+z) \leq c_d f(x+y)f(x+z).$$

Indeed, c_d can be taken to be

$$\max_{|\alpha| \leq d, \beta + \gamma = \alpha} 2^{|\beta||\gamma|} \frac{(d - |\beta|)!(d - |\gamma|)!}{d!(d - |\alpha|)!}.$$

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)f(x+y+z) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} f(x) \frac{\partial^\alpha f(x)}{\alpha!} (y+z)^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} f(x) \frac{\partial^\alpha f(x)}{\alpha!} y^\beta z^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f(x+y)f(x+z) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq d} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq d} \frac{\partial^\beta f(x)}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^\gamma f(x)}{\gamma!} y^\beta z^\gamma.$$

Applying Theorem 2.5 to every summand of $f(x)f(x+y+z)$ yields that

$$f(x)f(x+y+z) \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} 2^{|\beta||\gamma|} \frac{(d - |\beta|)!(d - |\gamma|)!}{d!(d - |\alpha|)!} \partial^\beta f(x) \partial^\gamma f(x) y^\beta z^\gamma,$$

thus the constant

$$c(d) = \max_{|\alpha| \leq d, \beta + \gamma = \alpha} 2^{|\beta||\gamma|} \frac{(d - |\beta|)!(d - |\gamma|)!}{d!(d - |\alpha|)!}$$

gives the desired inequality. \square

As a consequence, any $f \in L_n^d$ is quasi log-submodular on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ in the sense that for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$,

$$\log f(x) + \log f(x + y + z) \leq c'_d + \log f(x + y) + \log f(x + z),$$

for some constant c'_d depending only on d .

Remark 2.13. In [FMZ22], another kind of sumset estimates – higher order supermodularity – for the mixed volumes of convex bodies was also studied. This property is equivalent to the nonnegativity of certain derivatives. Since any Lorentzian polynomial has nonnegative coefficients, the higher order supermodularity also holds for Lorentzian polynomials. For example, for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ and any $f \in L_n^d$, we always have

$$f(x + y + z) + f(x) \geq f(x + y) + f(x + z).$$

3. APPLICATIONS TO COMPLEX GEOMETRY

In applications, the philosophy behind Theorem A is quite powerful:

$$(\spadesuit) \text{ Hodge index theorem} \Rightarrow \text{Lorentzian property} \Rightarrow \text{rKT property.}$$

The rKT package over a Kähler manifold is a statement as follows:

Definition 3.1. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and fix $1 \leq m \leq n$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a non-empty convex cone and $\Omega \in H^{n-m, n-m}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We call that the pair (Ω, \mathcal{C}) has rKT property, if there exists a constant $c = c(m, k)$ depending only on m, k such that for any $A_1, \dots, A_m, B \in \mathcal{C}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$,

$$(B^m \cdot \Omega)(A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_m \cdot \Omega) \leq c(B^k \cdot A_{k+1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_m \cdot \Omega)(B^{m-k} \cdot A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k \cdot \Omega).$$

In this section, we study two rKT packages: one is related to m -positivity and the other one is on Schur classes.

3.1. m -positivity. We first recall some basics on the notion of m -positivity (see e.g. [Xia21]). For the general theory of positive forms and currents, see [Dem12].

Let $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the space of real $(1, 1)$ forms with constant coefficients, and let $\omega \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be a Kähler metric – that is, a strictly positive $(1, 1)$ form.

Definition 3.2. We call that $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is m -positive with respect to ω if

$$\alpha^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} > 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

In particular, if we take a coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) on \mathbb{C}^n such that

$$\alpha = i \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j, \quad \omega = i \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j,$$

then α being m -positive with respect to ω means that

$$\sigma_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) > 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq m,$$

where σ_k is the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial.

Remark 3.3. A form is n -positive if and only if it is a Kähler metric, and a semipositive $(1, 1)$ form is m -positive if and only if it is positive along at least m directions. In general, an m -positive form with $m < n$ can be degenerate and even negative along some directions.

Denote by $\Gamma_m(\omega)$ the cone of all m -positive forms with respect to ω , then $\Gamma_m(\omega)$ is an open convex cone and

$$\Gamma_{m+1}(\omega) \subset \Gamma_m(\omega).$$

Let $\bar{\Gamma}_m(\omega)$ be the closure of $\Gamma_m(\omega)$. By [Xia21, Lemma 3.1, 3.8], we have:

Lemma 3.4. For any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \Gamma_m(\omega)$,

$$\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_m \wedge \omega^{n-m} > 0.$$

For any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in \Gamma_m(\omega)$, $\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m}$ is a strictly positive $(n-1, n-1)$ form. In particular, for any $p \leq m$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \bar{\Gamma}_m(\omega)$,

$$\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_p \wedge \omega^{n-m}$$

is a positive $(n-m+p, n-m+p)$ form.

The last part was also noted in [Bl05, Proposition 2.1].

The pointwise positivity notion can be also naturally defined for cohomology classes on a Kähler manifold.

Definition 3.5. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and ω a Kähler class on X . Fix a Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}$ in the class ω , then we call $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ m -positive with respect to $\hat{\omega}$ if it has a smooth representative $\hat{\alpha}$ such that $\hat{\alpha}$ is m -positive with respect to $\hat{\omega}$ at any point of X .

Similar to the pointwise case, we denote $\Gamma_m(\hat{\omega}) \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ the set of all m -positive classes with respect to $\hat{\omega}$. Then $\Gamma_m(\hat{\omega})$ is an open convex cone and

$$\Gamma_{m+1}(\hat{\omega}) \subset \Gamma_m(\hat{\omega}).$$

For $m = 1$, it is noted in [Xia21] that $\Gamma_1(\hat{\omega}) = \Gamma_1(\hat{\omega}')$ for any two Kähler metrics $\hat{\omega}, \hat{\omega}'$ within the same class. For $m = n$, $\Gamma_n(\hat{\omega})$ is the usual Kähler cone of X .

Denote by $\bar{\Gamma}_m(\hat{\omega})$ the closure of $\Gamma_m(\hat{\omega})$. By Lemma 3.4, we get:

Lemma 3.6. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and ω a Kähler class on X . Fix a Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}$ in the class ω . Then for $p \leq m$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \bar{\Gamma}_m(\hat{\omega})$, the class

$$\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_p \cdot \omega^{n-m}$$

contains a positive $(n-m+p, n-m+p)$ current.

The following result was proved in [Xia21].

Theorem 3.7. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and ω a Kähler class on X . Fix a Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}$ in the class ω and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \Gamma_m(\hat{\omega})$. Then the quadratic form q on $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$q(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{m-2} \cdot \omega^{n-m}$$

has signature $(+, -, \dots, -)$. In particular, for any $\alpha \in \Gamma_m(\hat{\omega}), \beta \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$,

$$q(\alpha, \beta)^2 \geq q(\alpha)q(\beta).$$

Remark 3.8. Fix a coordinate system on \mathbb{C}^n , then any $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ corresponds to a unique Hermitian matrix M given the coefficients of α . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and denote the corresponding matrices by M_1, \dots, M_n , then up to a volume form,

$$\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n = \mathcal{D}(M_1, \dots, M_n)$$

where \mathcal{D} is the mixed discriminant. Therefore, Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.7 apply to mixed discriminants, which will be applied in Section 4.

As a consequence, we obtain:

Theorem 3.9. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and ω a Kähler class on X . Fix a Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}$ in the class ω . Then for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \bar{\Gamma}_m(\hat{\omega})$,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \int_X (x_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + x_k \alpha_k)^m \cdot \omega^{n-m}$$

is a Lorentzian polynomial.

Proof. Since the space of Lorentzian polynomials is closed, we may suppose that every $\alpha_i \in \Gamma_m(\widehat{\omega})$.

By Lemma 3.4, all the coefficients of f are positive, that is, $f \in P_k^m$.

It remains to show that $\partial^\gamma f$ is Lorentzian for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|\gamma| = m - 2$. Suppose

$$\gamma = \sum_{l=1}^k i_l e_l,$$

then

$$\partial^\gamma f = \frac{m!}{2!} \int_X (x_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + x_k \alpha_k)^2 \cdot \alpha_1^{i_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \alpha_k^{i_k} \cdot \omega^{n-m}.$$

Consider the linear map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \alpha_i.$$

Via this map, $\partial^\gamma f$ is realized as the pull-back of the quadratic form

$$q(\beta) = \frac{m!}{2!} \int_X \beta^2 \cdot \alpha_1^{i_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \alpha_k^{i_k} \cdot \omega^{n-m},$$

which has signature $(+, -, \dots, -)$ by Theorem 3.7. It is easy to see that the pull-back of a quadratic form with at most one positive eigenvalue via a linear map still has at most one positive eigenvalue. As $\partial^\gamma f$ has positive coefficients, it has at least one positive eigenvalue.

Therefore, the Hessian of $\partial^\gamma f$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue, it must be Lorentzian. This completes the proof. \square

As a consequence of the general principle, we get:

Corollary 3.10. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and ω a Kähler class on X . Fix a Kähler metric $\widehat{\omega}$ in the class ω . Then $(\omega^{n-m}, \overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega}))$ has rKT property.*

Proof. Let $A_1, \dots, A_m, B \in \overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega})$. Consider the polynomial

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_m) = (tB + x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_m A_m)^m \cdot \omega^{n-m}.$$

By Theorem 3.9, f is Lorentzian. Then by applying Theorem 2.5 to f with

$$\partial^\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}, \quad \partial^\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}, \quad \partial^\gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$$

and evaluating at $(t, x_1, \dots, x_m) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & (B^m \cdot \omega^{n-m})(A_1 \cdots A_m \cdot \omega^{n-m}) \\ & \leq 2^{k(m-k)} (B^k \cdot A_{k+1} \cdots A_m \cdot \omega^{n-m})(B^{m-k} \cdot A_1 \cdots A_k \cdot \omega^{n-m}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

By Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 2.12, we obtain:

Theorem 3.11. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and ω a Kähler class on X . Fix a Kähler metric $\widehat{\omega}$ in the class ω . Then there is a constant depending only on m such that for any $A, B, C \in \overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega})$,*

$$(A^m \cdot \omega^{n-m})((A + B + C)^m \cdot \omega^{n-m}) \leq c_m((A + B)^m \cdot \omega^{n-m})((A + C)^m \cdot \omega^{n-m}).$$

Next we discuss the application of Theorem 3.10 to the combinatorial positivity structure of $\overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega})$.

3.2. Submodularity of numerical dimensions. Recall that a *polymatroid* on a finite set E is given by a rank function $r : 2^E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (Submodularity) For any $A_1, A_2 \subset E$, we have $r(A_1 \cup A_2) + r(A_1 \cap A_2) \leq r(A_1) + r(A_2)$;
- (Monotonicity) For any $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset E$, we have $r(A_1) \leq r(A_2)$;
- (Normalization) For the empty set \emptyset , $r(\emptyset) = 0$.

A polymatroid is called *loopless*, if the rank of any nonempty subset is nonzero.

In [HX22] we proved the following result:

Theorem 3.12. *Let X be a complex projective manifold of dimension n , then for any three nef classes $A, B, C \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ on X , we always have*

$$\text{nd}(A + B + C) + \text{nd}(C) \leq \text{nd}(A + C) + \text{nd}(B + C),$$

where $\text{nd}(-)$ is the numerical dimension for nef classes.

As a consequence, for any finite set of nef classes $E = \{B_1, \dots, B_s\}$ on X , for $I \subset [s]$ set

$$r(I) = \text{nd}(B_I)$$

with the convention that $r(\emptyset) = 0$ and $B_I = \sum_{i \in I} B_i$, then the function $r(\cdot)$ endows E with a loopless polymatroid structure.

The analogous result also holds on a smooth projective variety over an arbitrary algebraically closed field. Similar result was expected to hold on an arbitrary compact Kähler manifold, and a special case for semi-positive classes was proved in [HX22].

We shall extend the submodularity of numerical dimensions for nef classes to classes in $\overline{\Gamma}_m(\widehat{\omega})$. We first introduce a numerical-dimension type function.

Definition 3.13. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and fix $1 \leq m_0 \leq n$. Let ω be a Kähler class on X and $\widehat{\omega} \in \omega$ a Kähler metric in the Kähler class. Denote $\Omega = \omega^{n-m_0}$. For $\alpha \in \overline{\Gamma}_{m_0}(\widehat{\omega})$, we define

$$\text{nd}_\Omega(\alpha) := \max\{k \in [m_0] \mid \alpha^k \cdot \Omega \neq 0\}.$$

When $m_0 = n$ and α is nef, this is the classical numerical dimension of nef classes.

Theorem 3.14. *For any three classes $A, B, C \in \overline{\Gamma}_{m_0}(\widehat{\omega})$, we have that*

$$\text{nd}_\Omega(A + B + C) + \text{nd}_\Omega(C) \leq \text{nd}_\Omega(A + C) + \text{nd}_\Omega(B + C).$$

As a consequence, for any finite set $E = \{B_1, \dots, B_s\} \subset \overline{\Gamma}_{m_0}(\widehat{\omega}) \setminus \{0\}$, for $I \subset [s]$ set

$$r(I) = \text{nd}_\Omega(B_I)$$

with the convention that $r(\emptyset) = 0$ and $B_I = \sum_{i \in I} B_i$, then the function $r(\cdot)$ endows E with a loopless polymatroid structure.

The key idea is an application of the rKT property for m -positive classes, which is similar to that in [HX22]. However, the details differ in some subtle places, for completeness, we include the details here.

Proof. In order to prove the inequality, it is sufficient to verify the following claim:

Claim: Let k, l, m be nonnegative integers satisfying that

$$\begin{aligned} (A + C)^{k+1} \cdot \Omega &= 0, \\ (B + C)^{l+1} \cdot \Omega &= 0, \\ C^m \cdot \Omega \neq 0, C^{m+1} \cdot \Omega &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

then $(A + B + C)^{k+l-m+1} \cdot \Omega = 0$. \square

To this end, under the assumption in the above claim we prove that for any triple of nonnegative integers (s_1, s_2, s_3) satisfying

$$s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = k + l - m + 1,$$

we have that

$$A^{s_1} \cdot B^{s_2} \cdot C^{s_3} \cdot \Omega = 0.$$

Indeed, by using Lemma 3.6 and the assumption in the above claim, we have that $k \geq m, l \geq m$ and we only need to consider the case when $s_1 + s_3 \leq k, s_2 \leq l$, since otherwise the terms vanish. In particular, in the following we are in the setting that $l \geq s_2 \geq l - m + 1$ and $k + l - 2m + 1 \geq 0$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $D = \varepsilon\omega + C$. Denote $[V] = \omega^{m_0 - (k+l-m+1)}$.

We claim that for any $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = k + l - m + 1$,

$$(23) \quad A^{s_1} \cdot B^{s_2} \cdot C^{s_3} \cdot [V] \cdot \Omega \leq 2^{s_2(k+l-m+1-s_2)} \frac{(A^{s_1} \cdot D^{s_2} \cdot C^{s_3} \cdot [V] \cdot \Omega)(B^{s_2} \cdot D^{k+l-m+1-s_2} \cdot [V] \cdot \Omega)}{D^{k+l-m+1} \cdot [V] \cdot \Omega}.$$

To prove (23), we note the following facts:

- Since $C \in \bar{\Gamma}_{m_0}(\hat{\omega})$ and ω is Kähler, $D = \varepsilon\omega + C \in \Gamma_{m_0}(\hat{\omega})$;
- By the definitions of $[V]$ and Ω ,

$$[V] \cdot \Omega = \omega^{m_0 - (k+l-m+1)} \cdot \omega^{n-m_0} = \omega^{n-(m_0-t)}$$

for some $t \geq 0$;

- By the definition of m -positivity,

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{m_0}(\hat{\omega}) \subset \bar{\Gamma}_{m_0-t}(\hat{\omega}).$$

By Corollary 3.10, $([V] \cdot \Omega, \bar{\Gamma}_{m_0-t}(\hat{\omega}))$ has the rKT property. Note that by Lemma 3.4,

$$D^{k+l-m+1} \cdot [V] \cdot \Omega > 0.$$

Applying this and the rKT property to $A, B, C, D \in \bar{\Gamma}_{m_0-t}(\hat{\omega})$ proves (23).

Next we estimate every term on the right hand side of (23), by the assumption

$$C^m \cdot \Omega \neq 0, C^{m+1} \cdot \Omega = 0,$$

it is easy to see that

$$D^{k+l-m+1} \cdot [V] \cdot \Omega = \frac{(k+l-m+1)!}{m!(k+l-2m+1)!} (C^m \cdot \omega^{n-m}) \varepsilon^{k+l-2m+1} + \dots,$$

where ... is a term with higher power than $\varepsilon^{k+l-2m+1}$, and $C^m \cdot \omega^{n-m} > 0$. Similarly, by

$$(A+C)^{k+1} \cdot \Omega = 0,$$

$$(B+C)^{l+1} \cdot \Omega = 0,$$

we get that

$$A^{s_1} \cdot D^{s_2} \cdot C^{s_3} \cdot [V] \cdot \Omega = \frac{s_2!}{(l-m+1)!(s_2-(l-m+1))!} (A^{s_1} \cdot C^{s_2+s_3-(l-m+1)} \cdot \omega^{n-k}) \varepsilon^{l-m+1} + \dots,$$

$$B^{s_2} \cdot D^{k+l-m+1-s_2} \cdot [V] \cdot \Omega = \frac{(k+l-m+1-s_2)!}{(k-m+1)!(l-s_2)!} (B^{s_2} \cdot C^{l-s_2} \cdot \omega^{n-l}) \varepsilon^{k-m+1} + \dots,$$

where ... are terms with higher orders on ε .

Note that by Lemma 3.4, the left hand of (23) is always nonnegative. Putting the above estimates together implies that

$$A^{s_1} \cdot B^{s_2} \cdot C^{s_3} \cdot [V] \cdot \Omega = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.6, the class $A^{s_1} \cdot B^{s_2} \cdot C^{s_3} \cdot \Omega$ contains a positive current. Since $[V]$ is a complete intersection of Kähler classes, the above equality implies that this positive current is zero, thus

$$A^{s_1} \cdot B^{s_2} \cdot C^{s_3} \cdot \Omega = 0.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

3.3. Schur classes. Let λ be a partition of an integer $b \geq 1$, that is, a sequence of integers

$$e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N \geq 0$$

such that $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = b$. Given such a partition λ , the Schur polynomial $s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_e)$ with e variables is the symmetric polynomial of degree $|\lambda|$ defined by

$$s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_e) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\lambda_1} & \sigma_{\lambda_1+1} & \cdots & \sigma_{\lambda_1+N-1} \\ \sigma_{\lambda_2-1} & \sigma_{\lambda_2} & \cdots & \sigma_{\lambda_2+N-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\lambda_N-N+1} & \sigma_{\lambda_N-N+2} & \cdots & \sigma_{\lambda_N} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\sigma_k(x_1, \dots, x_e)$ is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree k with e variables. Here we use the convention that $\sigma_i = 0$ if $i \notin [0, e]$.

The i -th derived Schur polynomial $s_\lambda^{(i)}(x)$ is defined by the relation

$$s_\lambda(x_1 + t, \dots, x_e + t) = \sum_{i=0}^{|\lambda|} s_\lambda^{(i)}(x) t^i.$$

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank e on a complex manifold X . The Schur class of E corresponding to the partition λ is defined by substituting (x_1, \dots, x_e) by the Chern roots of E . More explicitly,

$$s_\lambda(E) = \det \begin{pmatrix} c_{\lambda_1}(E) & c_{\lambda_1+1}(E) & \cdots & c_{\lambda_1+N-1}(E) \\ c_{\lambda_2-1}(E) & c_{\lambda_2}(E) & \cdots & c_{\lambda_2+N-2}(E) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{\lambda_N-N+1}(E) & c_{\lambda_N-N+2}(E) & \cdots & c_{\lambda_N}(E) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_k(E)$ is the k -th Chern class of E . The derived Schur classes $s_\lambda^{(i)}(E)$ are defined similarly: given a class $\delta \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$,

$$s_\lambda(E\langle\delta\rangle) = \sum_{i=0}^{|\lambda|} s_\lambda^{(i)}(E) \delta^i,$$

where $E\langle\delta\rangle$ is the \mathbb{R} -twisted vector bundle. In particular, $s_\lambda^{(i)}(E) \in H^{|\lambda|-i, |\lambda|-i}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Example 3.15. We give some simple examples to illustrate the form of Schur classes.

- (1) If $\lambda = (|\lambda|, 0, \dots, 0)$, then $s_\lambda(E) = c_{|\lambda|}(E)$ is just the Chern class of E .
- (2) If $\lambda = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, then $s_\lambda(E) = s_{|\lambda|}(E)$ is the Segre class of E .
- (3) For lower degrees, one can calculate $s_\lambda(E)$ directly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{(2)}(E) &= c_2(E), s_{(1,1)}(E) = c_1(E)^2 - c_2(E); \\ s_{(3)}(E) &= c_3(E), s_{(2,1)}(E) = c_1(E)c_2(E) - c_3(E), \\ s_{(1,1,1)}(E) &= c_1(E)^3 - 2c_1(E)c_2(E) + c_3(E). \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the desired rKT property for Schur classes in the Kähler setting, we need the following result. Though it is not explicitly stated, the following result is essentially due to [RT22]. It can be proved by similar arguments as in [RT22]. Alternatively, one can also apply [RSW23] and use the fact that Schur polynomials are dually Lorentzian.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $e \geq 0$, $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$ and let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be Kähler metrics. Then for any partition $\lambda = (e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N \geq 0)$ of $n - 2 - k$, the $(n - 2, n - 2)$ -form*

$$\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k \wedge s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)$$

has Hodge-Riemann property, i.e., the quadratic form on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ defined by

$$q(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k \wedge s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)$$

has signature $(+, -, \dots, -)$.

By Lemma 3.16 and standard argument from [DN06], we get the following result.

Theorem 3.17. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and let $2 \leq m \leq n$ be an integer. Then for any Kähler classes $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-2}$ and any partition $\lambda = (e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N \geq 0)$ of $n - m$, the class*

$$\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{m-2} \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e) \in H^{n-2, n-2}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

has Hodge-Riemann property, i.e., the quadratic form on $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$q(\alpha, \beta) = \int_X \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{m-2} \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)$$

has signature $(+, -, \dots, -)$. In particular, for any $\alpha \in \text{Nef}^1(X), \beta \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$,

$$q(\alpha, \beta)^2 \geq q(\alpha)q(\beta).$$

More generally, by our previous work [HX22, Theorem A], we can generalize Lemma 3.16 and thus Theorem 3.17 as follows:

Theorem 3.18. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and let $2 \leq m \leq n$ be an integer. Then for any Kähler classes $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e$, any partition $\lambda = (e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N \geq 0)$ of $n - m$ and any nef classes $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-2}$ satisfying that every α_k has a smooth semipositive representative $\hat{\alpha}_k$ with $\hat{\alpha}_I$ being $|I| + 2$ positive everywhere for any $I \subset [m - 2]$, then the class*

$$\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{m-2} \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e) \in H^{n-2, n-2}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

has Hodge-Riemann property.

As a direct application of Theorem 3.17, we get:

Theorem 3.19. *Let X be compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and let $0 \leq m \leq n$ be an integer. Let $\lambda = (e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N \geq 0)$ be an arbitrary partition of $n - m$.*

(1) *For any nef classes $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$, the polynomial*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + x_k \alpha_k)^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)$$

is Lorentzian.

(2) *The pair $(s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e), \text{Nef}^1(X))$ has the rKT property: for any $B, A_1, \dots, A_m \in \text{Nef}^1(X)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & (B^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e))(A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)) \\ & \leq 2^{k(m-k)} (B^k \cdot A_{k+1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e))(B^{m-k} \cdot A_1 \cdot \dots \cdot A_k \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) *There is a constant depending only on m such that for any $A, B, C \in \text{Nef}^1(X)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & (A^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e))((A + B + C)^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)) \\ & \leq c_m((A + B)^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e))((A + C)^m \cdot s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is exactly the same as Theorem 3.9, Corollary 3.10 and Theorem 3.11.

Remark 3.20. In the algebraic case, combining [RT21, Theorem 7.4] and the general principle, one can obtain more general version of Theorem 3.19. Let X be a smooth projective manifold of dimension n , and let E_1, \dots, E_p be nef vector bundles on X . Let $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^p$ be partitions such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |\lambda^i| = n - m.$$

Then Theorem 3.19 holds when we replace $s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e)$ by the product of Schur classes

$$\prod_{i=1}^p s_{\lambda^i}(E_i)$$

and $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ by the real Neron-Severi space $N^1(X)$.

3.4. Positivity criterion. In Demailly-Păun's numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold [DP04], the following fundamental positivity criterion was proved and played a key role in their proof.

Theorem 3.21 (Demailly-Păun). *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n . Assume that $B \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a nef class satisfying $B^n > 0$, then there is a Kähler current in the class B , or equivalently, B is in the interior of the pseudo-effective cone of $(1,1)$ classes.*

In this section, we explain how the results obtained in the previous sections, which follow from the Hodge index theorem (or the Lorentzian property of the volume polynomial for nef classes), imply a priori weaker but indeed equivalent result for a projective manifold X .

Let $B \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a nef class satisfying that $B^n > 0$. We intend to show that B lies in the interior of the dual of the movable cone $\text{Mov}_1(X) \subset H^{n-1, n-1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Recall that $\text{Mov}_1(X)$ is defined as the closure of the convex cone generated by classes of the form

$$\pi_*(\tilde{A}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{A}_n),$$

where π ranges over all Kähler modifications Y over X and $\tilde{A}_2, \dots, \tilde{A}_n$ are arbitrary Kähler classes on Y . Then we have done since the dual cone of $\text{Mov}_1(X)$ is just the pseudo-effective cone by the deep results of [BDPP13, WN19].

To this end, we fix a Kähler class A . By Khovanskii-Teissier inequality (or [HX22, Theorem B]),

$$B^{n-1} \cdot A > 0.$$

Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a Kähler modification. Then by applying Corollary 3.10 (with $m = n$) to any Kähler classes $\tilde{A}_2, \dots, \tilde{A}_n$ on Y and π^*B, π^*A , we obtain that

$$\pi^*B \cdot \tilde{A}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{A}_n \geq \frac{\pi^*B^n}{2^{n-1}\pi^*B^{n-1} \cdot \pi^*A} (\pi^*A \cdot \tilde{A}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{A}_n).$$

By the projection formula,

$$B \cdot \pi_*(\tilde{A}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{A}_n) \geq \frac{B^n}{2^{n-1}B^{n-1} \cdot A} A \cdot \pi_*(\tilde{A}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{A}_n).$$

If we replace A by εA , where ε is a sufficiently small positive number, such that

$$\frac{B^n}{2^{n-1}B^{n-1} \cdot \varepsilon A} = 1 + \delta$$

for some $\delta > 0$, then we conclude that

$$(B - \varepsilon A) \cdot \Delta \geq \delta \varepsilon A \cdot \Delta > 0$$

for any non-zero element $\Delta \in \text{Mov}_1(X)$. So B must be an interior point of the dual cone of $\text{Mov}_1(X)$, which finishes the proof.

Remark 3.22. Similar argument works for any pair (Ω, \mathcal{C}) having the rKT property. Assume that $B, A \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies

$$B^m \cdot \Omega > 0, B^{m-1} \cdot A \cdot \Omega > 0$$

and for any $A_2, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$A \cdot A_2 \cdot \dots \cdot A_m \cdot \Omega > 0,$$

then for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(B - \varepsilon A) \cdot \Delta > 0$$

for any non-zero element Δ in the closed convex cone generated by $A_2 \cdot \dots \cdot A_m \cdot \Omega$, where A_2, \dots, A_m range in \mathcal{C} . For example, this works for $(\omega^{n-m}, \overline{\Gamma}_m(\hat{\omega}))$, $(s_\lambda(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_e), \text{Nef}^1(X))$.

4. APPLICATIONS TO GEOMETRIC INEQUALITIES

We first recall some basics on mixed volumes, all of which can be found in [Sch14] (see also [SvH19] for a nice summary on related materials which are sufficient for us). For the correspondences between convexity and positivity theory in complex geometry, we refer the reader to [LX17].

A subset K of \mathbb{R}^n is called a convex body if it is a nonempty compact convex subset. We denote the collection of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Given two convex bodies $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Minkowski sum $K + L$ is the convex body defined by

$$K + L = \{x + y \mid x \in K, y \in L\}.$$

For any $K_1, \dots, K_r \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any $t_j \geq 0$, there is polynomial relation:

$$\text{vol}(t_1 K_1 + \dots + t_r K_r) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = n} \frac{n!}{i_1! \dots i_r!} V(K_1[i_1], \dots, K_r[i_r]) t_1^{i_1} \dots t_r^{i_r},$$

where $K_j[i_j]$ denotes i_j copies of K_j . The coefficients $V(K_1[i_1], \dots, K_r[i_r])$ are called the mixed volumes.

The mixed volumes can be also expressed in terms of support functions. Recall that the support function h_K of a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$h_K(x) = \sup_{y \in K} x \cdot y.$$

The support function is 1-homogeneous, thus it can be considered as a function on the unit sphere S^{n-1} . Conversely, any function $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be considered as an 1-homogeneous function on \mathbb{R}^n by setting $f(x) = \|x\|f(x/\|x\|)$. Assume that $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is 1-homogeneous, then $H(f)(x) \cdot x = 0$, where $H(f)(x)$ is the Hessian matrix of f at x . Therefore, $H(f)(x)$ is completely determined by its restriction on the hyperplane x^\perp , which we denoted by

$$D^2 f : x^\perp \rightarrow x^\perp.$$

Given any $f \in C^2(S^{n-1})$, we shall use the same notation $D^2 f$ to denote the restricted Hessian of its 1-homogeneous extension. Indeed, $D^2 f$ can be also given by the covariant derivatives on the sphere. Let $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that every support function $h_{K_i} \in C^2(S^{n-1})$, then the mixed volume can be expressed as

$$(24) \quad V(K_1, \dots, K_n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_{K_1} \mathcal{D}(D^2 h_{K_2}, \dots, D^2 h_{K_n}) ds,$$

where $\mathcal{D}(-, \dots, -)$ is the mixed discriminant of $(n-1)$ -dimensional matrices and ds is the standard surface area measure of S^{n-1} . The mixed volume function $V : (\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric and multilinear in its arguments, and $V(K, \dots, K) = \text{vol}(K)$.

Since any $f \in C^2(S^{n-1})$ can be written as the difference of two C^2 support functions, by linearity, the equation (24) immediately extends V to a function on $(C^2(S^{n-1}))^n$:

$$(25) \quad V(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} u_1 \mathcal{D}(D^2 u_2, \dots, D^2 u_n) ds, \quad \forall u_1, \dots, u_n \in C^2(S^{n-1}).$$

In particular, $V(K_1, \dots, K_n) = V(h_{K_1}, \dots, h_{K_n})$.

Let $L(S^{n-1})$ be the space of linear functions restricted on S^{n-1} , and denote $H = C^2(S^{n-1})/L(S^{n-1})$. It is clear that the mixed volume function $V : (C^2(S^{n-1}))^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ descends to a function on H^n .

4.1. m -convex functions on the sphere. In this subsection, we study the convexity analogs of results obtained in Section 3.1.

We first introduce the key notion of m -positivity for functions on the sphere. In the sequel, we fix a convex body $M \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that its support function satisfies $D^2 h_M(x) > 0$ for any $x \in S^{n-1}$.

Definition 4.1. A function $u \in C^2(S^{n-1})$ is called m -convex with respect to M or h_M if for any $x \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\mathcal{D}(D^2 u[k], D^2 h_M[n-1-k])(x) > 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

We denote the set of m -convex functions with respect to M by $\Gamma_m(M)$. For $m = n-1$, any $f \in \Gamma_{n-1}(M)$ is given by the support function of some convex body.

Using similar ideas as in the original proof of Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality [Ale96, Ale38], the paper [GMTZ10] proved the following result by studying an eigenvalue problem for certain elliptic differential operators.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $u_2, \dots, u_m \in \Gamma_m(M), v \in C^2(S^{n-1})$, then*

$$V(v, u_2, \dots, u_m, h_M[n-m]) = 0$$

implies that

$$V(v, v, u_3, \dots, u_m, h_M[n-m]) \leq 0$$

with equality if and only if $v = 0$ in H .

This is the convexity analog of Theorem 3.7.

As an immediate corollary, we get a variant of Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality for m -convex functions.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $u_1, \dots, u_{m-2}, f \in \Gamma_m(M)$ and $g \in C^2(S^{n-1})$. It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & V(f, g, u_1, \dots, u_{m-2}, h_M[n-m])^2 \\ & \geq V(f, f, u_1, \dots, u_{m-2}, h_M[n-m])V(g, g, u_1, \dots, u_{m-2}, h_M[n-m]). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the paper [GMTZ10] proves Theorem 4.2 when M is the unit ball. However, their proof also works for a general M . To make the intimate relation with Theorem 3.7 more clear, we present a self-contained simple proof of Corollary 4.3 following the method of [SvH19].

Proof. We may suppose $m \leq n-1$. Up to a linear function (equivalently, a translation of M), we may assume $h_M(x) > 0$ for any $x \in S^{n-1}$. We define the operator $A : C^2(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow C^0(S^{n-1})$ as follows:

$$Au = \frac{h_M \mathcal{D}(D^2u, D^2h_M, D^2u_1, \dots, D^2u_{m-2}, D^2h_M[n-m-1])}{\mathcal{D}(D^2h_M, D^2h_M, D^2u_1, \dots, D^2u_{m-2}, D^2h_M[n-m-1])}, \quad u \in C^2(S^{n-1}).$$

Let $d\mu$ be the measure given by

$$d\mu = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\mathcal{D}(D^2u_1, \dots, D^2u_{m-2}, D^2h_M[n-m+1])}{h_M} ds.$$

The measure induces an inner product $\langle -, - \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}$ on $L^2(S^{n-1})$:

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \int_{S^{n-1}} u \cdot v d\mu.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\langle Au, v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = V(u, v, u_1, \dots, u_{m-2}, h_M[n-m]), \quad u, v \in C^2(S^{n-1}).$$

The operator A has the following properties:

- A is a uniformly elliptic operator. This follows from Lemma 3.4.
- A is symmetric in the sense that $\langle Au, v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle u, Av \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}$.
- $Ah_M = h_M$, that is, h_M is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue 1.
- A extends to a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(S^{n-1}, d\mu)$ with a discrete spectrum; its largest eigenvalue is 1 and the corresponding eigenspace is spanned by h_M . This follows from [GT83, Section 8.12].

We claim that

$$(26) \quad \langle Au, Au \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \geq \langle u, Au \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

To this end, by direct calculation and Theorem 3.7, we obtain

$$(Au)^2 \geq \frac{h_M^2 \mathcal{D}(D^2u, D^2u, D^2u_1, \dots, D^2u_{m-2}, D^2h_M[n-m-1])}{\mathcal{D}(D^2u_1, \dots, D^2u_{m-2}, D^2h_M[n-m+1])}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, Au \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} & \geq \frac{1}{n} \int h_M \mathcal{D}(D^2u, D^2u, D^2u_1, \dots, D^2u_{m-2}, D^2h_M[n-m-1]) ds \\ & = \frac{1}{n} \int u \mathcal{D}(D^2u, D^2u_1, \dots, D^2u_{m-2}, D^2h_M[n-m]) ds \\ & = \langle u, Au \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes proof of the claim.

Let u be an eigenfunction of A with eigenvalue λ , then (26) implies $\lambda^2 \geq \lambda$, thus $\lambda \geq 1$ or $\lambda \leq 0$. Therefore, 1 is the only positive eigenvalue of A and the corresponding eigenspace is of dimension one. By [SvH19, Lemma 1.4],

$$\langle f, Ag \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \geq \langle f, Af \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \langle g, Ag \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

This finishes the proof. □

As a consequence, we obtain:

Theorem 4.4. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) For any $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \Gamma_m(M)$, the polynomial

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = V((x_1 u_1 + \dots + x_k u_k)[m], h_M[n - m])$$

is Lorentzian.

- (2) The pair $(h_M[n - m], \Gamma_m(M))$ has rKT property, i.e., for any $B, A_1, \dots, A_m \in \Gamma_m(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & V(B[m], h_M[n - m])V(A_1, \dots, A_m, h_M[n - m]) \\ & \leq 2^{k(m-k)} V(B[k], A_{k+1}, \dots, A_m, h_M[n - m])V(B[m - k], A_1, \dots, A_k, h_M[n - m]). \end{aligned}$$

- (3) For any $A, B, C \in \Gamma_m(M)$, there is a constant $c_m > 0$ depending only on m such that

$$\begin{aligned} & V(A[m], h_M[n - m])V((A + B + C)[m], h_M[n - m]) \\ & \leq c_m V((A + B)[m], h_M[n - m])V((A + C)[m], h_M[n - m]). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only need to prove (1). For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|\alpha| = m - 2$, we have

$$\partial^\alpha f(x) = \frac{m!}{2!} V\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i u_i, \sum_{i=1}^k x_i u_i, u_1[\alpha_1], \dots, u_k[\alpha_k], h_M[n - m]\right)$$

By Theorem 4.3, it is clear that the function $(\partial^\alpha f(x))^{1/2}$ is concave for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^k$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, f is Lorentzian. □

Remark 4.5. By similar discussions as in Section 3.2, one can prove that any finite set consisting of nonzero elements in $\overline{\Gamma}_m(M)$ (the closure of $\Gamma_m(M)$ in $C^2(S^{n-1})$) can be endowed with a loopless polymatroid structure by a numerical-dimension type function.

4.2. Valuations of Schur type. In this section, we first establish a convexity analog of Theorem 3.17. Our tool is a toric construction which enables us to approximate any mixed volume of convex bodies by the intersection numbers of nef divisor classes.

By [Ful93, Section 5.4], given any finite rational polytopes P_1, \dots, P_s , there is a smooth projective toric variety X such that every P_k corresponds to a \mathbb{Q} -nef divisor D_k , which satisfies: for any non-negative rational numbers x_1, \dots, x_s , $\sum_{k=1}^s x_k P_k$ corresponds to $\sum_{k=1}^s x_k D_k$. As a consequence,

$$\text{vol}\left(\sum_{k=1}^s x_k P_k\right) = \frac{\text{vol}\left(\sum_{k=1}^s x_k D_k\right)}{n!}.$$

By comparing the coefficients, we get that for any (i_1, \dots, i_s) with $\sum_k i_k = n$,

$$(27) \quad V(P_1[i_1], \dots, P_s[i_s]) = \frac{D_1^{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot D_s^{i_s}}{n!}.$$

Theorem 4.6. Let $E_i = (K_1^{(i)}, \dots, K_{t_i}^{(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ be p tuples of convex bodies. Let $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^p$ be partitions such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |\lambda^i| = n - 2.$$

Let $\Theta(-, -) : (\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n))^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by

$$\Theta(M, N) = V(s_{\lambda^1}(E_1), \dots, s_{\lambda^p}(E_p), M, N),$$

then Θ satisfies that

$$\Theta(M, N)^2 \geq \Theta(M, M)\Theta(N, N).$$

Proof. This follows directly from Remark 3.20, (27) and the fact that any convex body can be approximated by rational polytopes. \square

As a consequence, we obtain:

Theorem 4.7. *Let $E_i = (K_1^{(i)}, \dots, K_{t_i}^{(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ be p tuples of convex bodies. Let $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^p$ be partitions such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |\lambda^i| = n - m.$$

Denote the tuples $(s_{\lambda^1}(E_1), \dots, s_{\lambda^p}(E_p))$ by Θ , then

(1) *for any convex bodies L_1, \dots, L_k , the polynomial*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = V((x_1 L_1 + \dots + x_k L_k)[m], \Theta)$$

is Lorentzian.

(2) *the pair $(\Theta, \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ has the rKT property, that is, for any convex bodies B, A_1, \dots, A_m ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & V(B[m], \Theta)V(A_1, \dots, A_m, \Theta) \\ & \leq 2^{k(m-k)}V(B[k], A_{k+1}, \dots, A_m, \Theta)V(B[m-k], A_1, \dots, A_k, \Theta). \end{aligned}$$

(3) *for any convex bodies A, B, C , there is a constant $c_m > 0$ depending only on m such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & V(A[m], \Theta)V((A + B + C)[m], \Theta) \\ & \leq c_m V((A + B)[m], \Theta)V((A + C)[m], \Theta). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|\alpha| = m - 2$, $\partial^\alpha f$ is given by the mixed volume against

$$V(-, -; L_1[\alpha_1], \dots, L_k[\alpha_k], \Theta),$$

and $L_1[\alpha_1], \dots, L_k[\alpha_k]$ is just the product of $m - 2$ convex bodies, thus

$$V(-, -; L_1[\alpha_1], \dots, L_k[\alpha_k], \Theta) = V(-, -; c_{m-2}, \Theta)$$

is also given by the product of Schur polynomials with total degree $n - 2$.

Therefore, Theorem 4.6 and the argument for Theorem 4.4 can be applied in the same way. \square

Remark 4.8. Similar to the complex geometry setting, we expect that the rKT constant $c(m, k) = 2^{k(m-k)}$ in the convexity setting can be improved to the optimal $\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$.

Inspired by the full statement of Theorem 3.17, it is interesting to get a characterization of the equality in Theorem 4.6.

We first introduce some notions on valuations. All the materials can be found in the survey [AF14] and the references therein.

Definition 4.9. A functional $\phi : \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a valuation if

$$\phi(K \cup L) = \phi(K) + \phi(L) - \phi(K \cap L)$$

whenever $K, L, K \cup L \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A valuation ϕ is called continuous if it is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric of compact sets, and ϕ is called translation invariant if $\phi(L + x) = \phi(L)$ for any $L \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote the space of continuous and translation invariant valuations on \mathbb{R}^n by $\text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|\phi\| = \sup_{L \in \mathcal{B}} |\phi(L)|$$

where \mathbf{B} is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . A valuation ϕ is called i -homogeneous if $\phi(cL) = c^i \phi(L)$ for any $c \geq 0$ and $L \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote the subset of i -homogeneous valuations in $\text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\text{Val}_i(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By McMullen's theorem [McM77], we have

$$\text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \text{Val}_i(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Fix $A_1, \dots, A_{n-k} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the function defined by

$$\psi(L) = V(L[k]; A_1, \dots, A_{n-k})$$

is a typical k -homogenous valuation. By Alesker's irreducibility theorem [Ale01], the space of linear combinations of valuations given by mixed volumes $V(-; A_1, \dots, A_{n-k})$ is dense in $\text{Val}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The group $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ acts on $\text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$(g \cdot \phi)(L) := \phi(g^{-1}(L)).$$

We call that ϕ is a smooth valuation if the map

$$\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad g \mapsto g \cdot \phi$$

is a smooth map from the Lie group $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the Banach space $\text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote the subset of smooth valuations in $\text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \text{Val}_i^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It is a well-known fact from representation theory that $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

By [BF06], there is an operator

$$* : \text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

which is called the convolution operator making $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a commutative associative algebra with the unit given by the volume. Explicitly, if A_1, \dots, A_{n-k} and B_1, \dots, B_{n-l} are strictly convex bodies with smooth boundary, and $k + l \geq n$, then

$$V(-; A_1, \dots, A_{n-k}) * V(-; B_1, \dots, B_{n-l}) = \frac{k!l!}{n!} V(-; A_1, \dots, A_{n-k}, B_1, \dots, B_{n-l}).$$

Now we can state our conjecture:

Conjecture 4.10. *Let $E_i = (K_1^{(i)}, \dots, K_{t_i}^{(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ be p tuples of convex bodies. Let $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^p$ be partitions such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |\lambda^i| = n - 2.$$

Let $\Theta(-, -) : (\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n))^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by

$$\Theta(M, N) = V(s_{\lambda^1}(E_1), \dots, s_{\lambda^p}(E_p), M, N),$$

- *If we assume further that all the convex bodies in the tuples E_i are smooth and strictly convex, then the valuation*

$$\Theta = V(s_{\lambda^1}(E_1), \dots, s_{\lambda^p}(E_p), -, -)$$

satisfies the Hodge-Riemann relation, i.e, fix a smooth convex body K with nonempty interior, then for any smooth valuation $\phi \in \text{Val}_{n-1}^\infty$ satisfying that

$$\Theta * V(K; -) * \phi = 0,$$

we have

$$\Theta * \phi * \phi \leq 0$$

with equality holds if and only if $\phi = 0$.

- *If we assume that all the convex bodies in the tuples E_i are smooth and have nonempty interior, then for any two convex bodies M, N , the equality*

$$\Theta(M, N)^2 = \Theta(M)\Theta(N)$$

holds if and only if M, N are homothetic.

Remark 4.11. The first part of Conjecture 4.10 is now a theorem by [RSW23, Theorem 7.14, Remark 7.15], which is also true for dually Lorentzian polynomials. By [Sch14, Theorem 7.6.8], the second part holds when $\Theta = V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-2}; -)$ and K_1, \dots, K_{n-2} are smooth.

For recent development on Hodge–Riemann relations for valuations, see [KW22] and the references therein. We end this section with another much more ambitious question on the characterization of the equality case in Theorem 4.6 without any assumption on the convex bodies.

Question 4.12. Notations as in Theorem 4.6, give a sufficient and necessary characterization on the relation between the convex bodies M, N and the tuples $E_i = (K_1^{(i)}, \dots, K_{t_i}^{(i)})$ such that

$$\Theta(M, N)^2 = \Theta(M, M)\Theta(N, N),$$

One can also consider more general versions involving dually Lorentzian polynomials. See [SvH22, SvH20] for recent very important advances on the classical Alexandrov–Fenchel inequality.

REFERENCES

- [AAFO14] S. Artstein-Avidan, D. Florentin, and Y. Ostrover, *Remarks about mixed discriminants and volumes*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **16** (2014), no. 2, 1350031, 14. MR 3195153
- [AF14] Semyon Alesker and Joseph H. G. Fu, *Integral geometry and valuations*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2014, Lectures from the Advanced Course on Integral Geometry and Valuation Theory held at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (CRM), Barcelona, September 6–10, 2010, Edited by Eduardo Gallego and Gil Solanes. MR 3380549
- [AGV21] Nima Anari, Shayan Oveis Gharan, and Cynthia Vinzant, *Log-concave polynomials, I: entropy and a deterministic approximation algorithm for counting bases of matroids*, Duke Math. J. **170** (2021), no. 16, 3459–3504. MR 4332671
- [AHK18] Karim Adiprasito, June Huh, and Eric Katz, *Hodge theory for combinatorial geometries*, Ann. of Math. (2) **188** (2018), no. 2, 381–452. MR 3862944
- [Ale38] Alexander Alexandrov, *Zur theorie der gemischten volumina von konvexen Körpern. IV. Die gemischten Diskriminanten und die gemischten volumina*, Matematicheskii Sbornik **45** (1938), no. 2, 227–251.
- [Ale96] A. D. Alexandrov, *Selected works. Part I*, Classics of Soviet Mathematics, vol. 4, Gordon and Breach Publishers, Amsterdam, 1996, Selected scientific papers, Translated from the Russian by P. S. V. Naidu, Edited and with a preface by Yu. G. Reshetnyak and S. S. Kutateladze. MR 1629804
- [Ale01] Semyon Alesker, *Description of translation invariant valuations on convex sets with solution of P. McMullen’s conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **11** (2001), no. 2, 244–272. MR 1837364
- [ALGV18] Nima Anari, Kuikui Liu, Shayan Oveis Gharan, and Cynthia Vinzant, *Log-concave polynomials III: Mason’s ultra-log-concavity conjecture for independent sets of matroids*, arXiv:1811.01600 (2018).
- [ALGV19] ———, *Log-concave polynomials II: High-dimensional walks and an FPRAS for counting bases of a matroid*, STOC’19—Proceedings of the 51st Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, ACM, New York, 2019, pp. 1–12. MR 4003314
- [BDPP13] Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Păun, and Thomas Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*, J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), no. 2, 201–248.
- [BES19] Spencer Backman, Christopher Eur, and Connor Simpson, *Simplicial generation of chow rings of matroids*, arXiv:1905.07114 (2019).
- [BF06] Andreas Bernig and Joseph H. G. Fu, *Convolution of convex valuations*, Geom. Dedicata **123** (2006), 153–169. MR 2299731
- [BGL18] Silouanos Brazitikos, Apostolos Giannopoulos, and Dimitris-Marios Liakopoulos, *Uniform cover inequalities for the volume of coordinate sections and projections of convex bodies*, Adv. Geom. **18** (2018), no. 3, 345–354. MR 3830185
- [BH20] Petter Brändén and June Huh, *Lorentzian polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2) **192** (2020), no. 3, 821–891. MR 4172622
- [Blo05] Zbigniew Blocki, *Weak solutions to the complex Hessian equation*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), no. 5, 1735–1756. MR 2172278
- [BL21] Petter Brändén and Jonathan Leake, *Lorentzian polynomials on cones and the Heron–Rota–Welsh conjecture*, arXiv:2110.00487 (2021).
- [BM12] Sergey Bobkov and Mokshay Madiman, *Reverse Brunn–Minkowski and reverse entropy power inequalities for convex measures*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), no. 7, 3309–3339. MR 2885954
- [Dan20] Nguyen-Bac Dang, *Degrees of iterates of rational maps on normal projective varieties*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **121** (2020), no. 5, 1268–1310. MR 4133708
- [Dem12] Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry. online book*, available at www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf, Institut Fourier, Grenoble (2012).
- [DN06] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Viêt-Anh Nguyên, *The mixed Hodge–Riemann bilinear relations for compact Kähler manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 4, 838–849.

- [DP04] Jean-Pierre Demailly and Mihai Păun, *Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 3, 1247–1274.
- [DX21] Nguyen-Bac Dang and Jian Xiao, *Positivity of valuations on convex bodies and invariant valuations by linear actions*, J. Geom. Anal. **31** (2021), no. 11, 10718–10777. MR 4310154
- [FGM03] M. Fradelizi, A. Giannopoulos, and M. Meyer, *Some inequalities about mixed volumes*, Israel J. Math. **135** (2003), 157–179. MR 1997041
- [FMZ22] Matthieu Fradelizi, Mokshay Madiman, and Artem Zvavitch, *Sumset estimates in convex geometry*, arXiv:2206.01565 (2022).
- [Ful93] William Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. MR 1234037
- [GHP02] A. Giannopoulos, M. Hartzoulaki, and G. Paouris, *On a local version of the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality for the quermassintegrals of a convex body*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 8, 2403–2412. MR 1897466
- [GMTZ10] Pengfei Guan, Xi-Nan Ma, Neil Trudinger, and Xiaohua Zhu, *A form of Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **6** (2010), no. 4, Special Issue: In honor of Joseph J. Kohn. Part 2, 999–1012. MR 2742035
- [GT83] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, second ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. MR 737190
- [Huh22] June Huh, *Combinatorics and Hodge theory*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, 2022.
- [HX22] Jiajun Hu and Jian Xiao, *Hard Lefschetz properties, complete intersections and numerical dimensions*, arXiv:2212.13548 (2022).
- [JL21] Chen Jiang and Zhiyuan Li, *Algebraic reverse Khovanskii–Teissier inequality via okounkov bodies*, arXiv:2112.02847 (2021).
- [KW22] Jan Kotrbaty and Thomas Wannerer, *From harmonic analysis of translation-invariant valuations to geometric inequalities for convex bodies*, arXiv:2202.10116 (2022).
- [LX17] Brian Lehmann and Jian Xiao, *Correspondences between convex geometry and complex geometry*, Épijournal Géom. Algébrique **1** (2017), Art. 6, 29. MR 3743109
- [McM77] Peter McMullen, *Valuations and Euler-type relations on certain classes of convex polytopes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **35** (1977), no. 1, 113–135. MR 0448239
- [NOR23] Lauren Nowak, Patrick O’Melveny, and Dustin Ross, *Mixed volumes of normal complexes*, arXiv:2301.05278 (2023).
- [Plu70] Helmut Plunnecke, *Eine zahlentheoretische Anwendung der Graphentheorie*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **243** (1970), 171–183. MR 266892
- [Pop16] Dan Popovici, *Sufficient bigness criterion for differences of two nef classes.*, Math. Ann. **364** (2016), no. 1–2, 649–655 (English).
- [RSW23] Julius Ross, Hendrik Süß, and Thomas Wannerer, *Dually Lorentzian Polynomials*, arXiv:2304.08399 (2023).
- [RT19] Julius Ross and Matei Toma, *Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations for Schur classes of ample vector bundles*, arXiv:1905.13636, to appear in Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (2019).
- [RT21] ———, *On Hodge-Riemann cohomology classes*, arXiv:2106.11285 (2021).
- [RT22] ———, *Hodge-Riemann relations for Schur classes in the linear and Kähler cases*, arXiv:2202.13816, to appear in IMRN (2022).
- [Ruz89] Imre Z. Ruzsa, *An application of graph theory to additive number theory*, Sci. Ser. A Math. Sci. (N.S.) **3** (1989), 97–109. MR 2314377
- [Ruz97] ———, *The Brunn-Minkowski inequality and nonconvex sets*, Geom. Dedicata **67** (1997), no. 3, 337–348. MR 1475877
- [Sch14] Rolf Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, expanded ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. MR 3155183
- [SvH19] Yair Shenfeld and Ramon van Handel, *Mixed volumes and the Bochner method*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 12, 5385–5402. MR 4021097
- [SvH20] Yair Shenfeld and Ramon van Handel, *The extremals of the Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality for convex polytopes*, arXiv:2011.04059, to appear in Acta. Math. (2020).
- [SvH22] Yair Shenfeld and Ramon van Handel, *The extremals of Minkowski’s quadratic inequality*, Duke Math. J. **171** (2022), no. 4, 957–1027. MR 4393790
- [TV06] Terence Tao and Van Vu, *Additive combinatorics*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 105, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR 2289012
- [WN19] David Witt Nyström, *Duality between the pseudoeffective and the movable cone on a projective manifold*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (2019), no. 3, 675–689, With an appendix by Sébastien Boucksom. MR 3981985
- [Xia15] Jian Xiao, *Weak transcendental holomorphic Morse inequalities on compact Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **65** (2015), no. 3, 1367–1379. MR 3449182
- [Xia19] ———, *Bézout-type inequality in convex geometry*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2019), no. 16, 4950–4965. MR 4001022
- [Xia21] ———, *Hodge-index type inequalities, hyperbolic polynomials, and complex Hessian equations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2021), no. 15, 11652–11669. MR 4294129

TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, CHINA

Email: hujj22@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Email: jianxiao@tsinghua.edu.cn