BENIGN OVERFITTING OF NON-SPARSE HIGH-DIMENSIONAL LINEAR REGRESSION WITH CORRELATED NOISE

TOSHIKI TSUDA[†] AND MASAAKI IMAIZUMI^{†‡}

†*The University of Tokyo,* ‡*RIKEN Center for Advanced Intelligence Project*

ABSTRACT. We investigate the high-dimensional linear regression problem in situations where there is noise correlated with Gaussian covariates. In regression models, the phenomenon of the correlated noise is called endogeneity, which is due to unobserved variables and others, and has been a major problem setting in causal inference and econometrics. When the covariates are high-dimensional, it has been common to assume sparsity on the true parameters and estimate them using regularization, even with the endogeneity. However, when sparsity does not hold, it has not been well understood to control the endogeneity and high dimensionality simultaneously. In this paper, we demonstrate that an estimator without regularization can achieve consistency, i.e., benign overfitting, under certain assumptions on the covariance matrix. Specifically, we show that the error of this estimator converges to zero when covariance matrices of the correlated noise and instrumental variables satisfy a condition on their eigenvalues. We consider several extensions to relax these conditions and conduct experiments to support our theoretical findings. As a technical contribution, we utilize the convex Gaussian minimax theorem (CGMT) in our dual problem and extend the CGMT itself.

1. Introduction

We consider a high-dimensional linear regression model with correlated noise with the p dimensional true parameter θ_0 :

$$
Y_i = \langle X_i, \theta_0 \rangle + \xi_i, \ \mathbb{E}[X_i \xi_i] \neq 0, \ i = 1, ..., n,
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a number of observations, X_i is a p-dimensional centered Gaussian vector as observed covariates, ξ_i is a centered Gaussian noise variable, and Y_i is a response variable. In this model, the noise variable ξ_i is correlated with the covariate X_i . We assume that the dimension p is much larger than the number of data $n (p \gg n)$ and also the true parameter θ_0 does not have sparsity, that is, the p coordinates of θ_0 is not restricted to zero. In this high-dimensional setting, we adopt an *instrumental variable* framework, that is, assuming that there exists variable Z_i such that $\mathbb{E}[Z_i \xi_i] = 0$, then investigate a risk of a *ridgeless estimator* under certain conditions.

There are numerous situations in which covariates and noise variables are correlated in real data analysis. For example, if a part of the variables to describe a response Y_i is not observed, the noise

Date: April 11, 2023, *Contact*: *tsuda-toshiki@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp, imaizumi@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp*.

variable ξ_i can correlate with the observed covariates X_i because of the missing. In this situation, several standard statistical methods lose validity and face bias on the parameter estimation, since they require the independent property between the covariates X_i and the noise variables ξ_i . This situation is referred to *endogeneity*, especially in the domain of econometrics. One of the most famous solutions for endogeneity is a method of *instrument variables* [\(Stock](#page-68-0) *et al.*, [2002\)](#page-68-0), which means that there are variables Z_i uncorrelated with the noise variable but approximate the covariates X_i . This method has been actively studied in a great deal of literature [\(Söderström and Stoica,](#page-68-1) [2002;](#page-68-1) [Newey and Powell, 2003;](#page-68-2) [Baiocchi](#page-66-0) *et al.*, [2014;](#page-66-0) [Isaiah](#page-67-0) *et al.*, [2018\)](#page-67-0).

Estimation with instrumental variables has been actively investigated in the high-dimensional setting as well, associated with the *sparse* setting. As data become high-dimensional, the dimension of covariates of instrumental variables becomes larger than the number of data n . To handle this situation, one can utilize the sparsity, which assumes that most of p coordinates of the true parameters θ_0 , then estimate a small number of nonzero parameters using lasso-type regularization and its variants. [Fan and Liao](#page-67-1) [\(2014\)](#page-67-1) invents a new generalized method of moments (GMM) estimator for estimation and model selection with sparse parameters. [Belloni](#page-66-1) *et al.* [\(2014\)](#page-66-1); [Gautier](#page-67-2) [and Rose](#page-67-2) [\(2021\)](#page-67-2) focus on the case θ_0 is (approximately) sparse, and utilize a lasso-type regularization or the Danzig selector to the instrumental variable framework. [Gold](#page-67-3) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-67-3) consider the one-step update approach and provide sufficient conditions for inference. [Belloni](#page-66-2) *et al.* [\(2010,](#page-66-2) [2012,](#page-66-3) [2017\)](#page-66-4); [Chernozhukov](#page-67-4) *et al.* [\(2015b,](#page-67-4) [2018\)](#page-67-5); [Belloni](#page-67-6) *et al.* [\(2022\)](#page-67-6); [Gautier and Tsybakov](#page-67-7) [\(2013\)](#page-67-7) estimate nuisance parameters to deal with their high dimensionality by introducing a new instrumental variable orthogonal to nuisance parameters.

In recent years, high-dimensional statistics with non-sparse parameters has been emerging rapidly. The establishment of methods for large-scale data, such as the modern machine learning, has led to the emergence of many non-sparse data and models. Among several methods, a *ridgeless estimator*, which perfectly fits the observed data without any regularization, has attracted much attention. As a theoretical analysis for the setup, [Belkin](#page-66-5) *et al.* [\(2019\)](#page-66-5); [Hastie](#page-67-8) *et al.* [\(2022\)](#page-67-8) analyzed the ridgeless estimator of high-dimensinoal linear regression models without sparsity using random matrix theory. [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6) utilized a notion of effective ranks of a covariance matrix to show convergence of the ridgeless estimator in the high-dimensional linear regression. These studies have shown that the ridgeless estimator have several advantages over regularized estimators in the high-dimensional setting [\(Dobriban and Wager, 2018;](#page-67-9) [Tsigler and Bartlett, 2020\)](#page-68-3). However, despite this successive development, these theories are still restrictive and requires independence on noise variables, hence are not flexible enough to analyze the instrumental variable framework.

In this study, we investigate the ridgeless estimator for non-sparse high-dimensional regression models with correlated noise. As a setup, we assume that the data are centered Gaussian, and formulate the correlation between covariates and noise variables in the form of instrumental variables. We, then, measure an estimation error of the ridgeless estimator using a projected residual mean

squared error (projected RMSE). As a result, we achieve the following two results; (i) We show that the estimation error has an upper bound which is independent to the dimension p of the covariates. Specifically, the bound is described by a (normalized) correlation coefficients and an effective rank of a covariance matrix of the instrumental variable. (ii) We specify sufficient conditions on data distributions for which the derived upper bound converges to zero. Specifically, the sufficient condition is that covariance matrices of both instrumental variables and auxiliary variables for covariates must have appropriate effective ranks. These sufficient conditions are satisfied by several specific covariance matrices. We derive these results for each case in which instrumental and auxiliary variables comprising the covariates are orthogonal or not.

Our above theoretical results suggest the following implications. (i) In the correlated noise setting, the error of the ridgeless estimator is independent of the dimension p under the conditions of instrumental variables. It means that we can estimate non-sparse high-dimensional parameters under the instrumental variable setting, in other words, benign overfitting occurs. (ii) In this setting, the covariance of instrumental variables has a critical role in the risk. Specifically, a covariance matrix of instrumental variables should have a certain number of ranks, but also decay to some extent that an eigenvalue sum does not diverge too quickly. Although this result goes along with the common idea on instrumental variables should not be weak enough, it also implies a new idea that they also should be not too strong.

On the technical side, we develop a proof technique on the evaluation of risks using Gaussian comparison inequalities. The most-related study [\(Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.*, [2020\)](#page-66-6) on non-sparse highdimensional regression relies on matrix concentration inequalities and the leave-on-out method, these approaches cannot handle the correlated noise in our setting. We, therefore, developed a proof using the convex Gaussian minimax theorem (CGMT) [\(Thrampoulidis](#page-68-4) *et al.*, [2015,](#page-68-4) [2018\)](#page-68-5), which allows a wider range of models. Rigorously, we rewrite the risk of the ridgeless estimator in a minimax optimization problem using a dual form, then analyze it by CGMT. This approach was developed by [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10), and we applied them to the instrumental variable case. In addition, we derive a new extended CGMT and develop a method to analyze risk in situations where the covariance matrix is not orthogonal.

1.1. **Notation.** We denote $\|\cdot\|_p$ as $\|x\|_p = (\sum_i |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$. For a positive semidefinite matrix A, the Mahalanobis (semi-)norm is $||x||_A^2 := \langle x, Ax \rangle$. For a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, we define its radius as rad(S) := $\sup_{s \in S} ||s||_2$. Σ^+ denotes a generalized inverse matrix of Σ . $N(\mu, \Sigma)$ denotes a multivariate normal distribution with a mean $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a symmetric positive definite matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. If Σ is positive semi-definite with rank $k < d$, $N(0, \Sigma)$ denotes a distribution of AX' where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ and $\Sigma = AA^{\top}$ and $X' \sim N(0, I)$ is a k-dimensional normal variable. $\mathbb{1}\{\cdot\}$ denotes an indicator function. For $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}, x \vee x' := \max\{x, x'\}.$ For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \le a$ and $\ge a$ mean $a \le Cb$ and $Ca \ge b$ for some absolute constant C, respectively. For real-valued sequences ${a_n}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and ${b_n}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $a_n = O(b_n)$ means $a_n/b_n \le C$ for any sufficiently large $n, a_n = o(b_n)$ means a_n/b_n converges to zero as $n \to \infty$, $a_n = \omega(b_n)$ means a_n/b_n diverges to ∞ as $n \to \infty$, and $a_n = \Theta(b_n)$ means $C_1 b_n \le a_n \le C_2 b_n$ holds for any sufficiently large *n*, where C , C_1 and C_2 are some absolute constants.

1.2. **Paper Organization.** Section [2](#page-3-0) provides the problem setup and various definitions. Section [3](#page-5-0) provides an error analysis of the ridgeless estimator under the assumption that the covariance matrices of the noise and instrumental variables are orthogonal. Section [4](#page-9-0) provides an error analysis under a relaxation of the orthogonality. Section [5](#page-11-0) gives additional error analysis with a generalized norm. Section [6](#page-12-0) outlines the proof and explains the technical contributions. Section [7](#page-15-0) gives the experiments. Section [8](#page-18-0) gives some discussion and conclusion.

2. Preliminary

2.1. **Setting.** We consider a linear regression problem with dependent noise and instrumental variables. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a number of data points and $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ be dimensions of variables, and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a parameter space. Suppose that there exist *n* i.i.d variables $(X_i, Z_i, Y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}$ of the centered variables for $i = 1, \dots, n$ from the following data generating process

$$
Y_i = \langle X_i, \theta_0 \rangle + \xi_i, \text{ and } X_i = \Pi_0 Z_i + u_i,
$$
\n(1)

where $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is a true unknown parameter such that $\|\theta_0\|_2 < \infty$, ξ_i is a Gaussian variable from $N(0, \sigma^2)$, $\Pi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times k}$ is an unknown matrix, and $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is a (potentially correlated) linear projection error vector such that $\mathbb{E}[u_i] = 0$. Here, we refer to X_i as a covariate and Z_i as an instrumental variable. We assume that $\mathbb{E}[X_i|Z_i]$ always exists. We define covariance matrices $\Sigma_x = \mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^{\top}], \Sigma_z = \mathbb{E}[Z_i Z_i^{\top}],$ and $\Sigma_u = \mathbb{E}[u_i u_i^{\top}].$ Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \xi)$ denote design matrices and vectors $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_n)^\top$, $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, ..., Y_n)^\top$, $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n)^\top$, and $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, ..., Z_n)^\top$. Note that the covariance matrices Σ_z , Σ_u , Σ_x need not be positive definite; positive semi-definite is sufficient for our analysis.

We describe how these variables are related. We define $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^p$ as the correlation between the covariate X_i and the noise ξ_i :

$$
\omega := \mathbb{E}[X_i \xi_i] \neq 0.
$$

Further, we assume that the instrument Z_i satisfies the following moment condition:

$$
\mathbb{E}[\xi_i|Z_i]=0,
$$

which implies the instrument Z_i and its noise ξ_i are uncorrelated, that is, $\mathbb{E}[Z_i \xi_i] = 0$.

We give an assumption on the problem.

Assumption 1 (Gaussianity). Assume X_i and ξ are normally distributed, i.e.

$$
X_i \sim N(0, \Sigma_x), \quad \xi_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2).
$$

This assumption implies the Gaussianity of z and u . For X_i , Assumption [1](#page-3-1) enables us to use the Convex Gaussian Minimax Theorem that is a central tool to derive the upper bound for the risk. Gaussianity of ξ can be relaxed but we assume this property for simplicity.

2.2. **Measure for Estimation Error.** A goal of the setting is to estimate the true parameter θ_0 in a high-dimensional setting, that is, $p, k \gg n$, without the sparse setting. Specifically, for $\theta \in \Theta$, we consider a residual mean squared error (RMSE) projected on a space of Z :

$$
E\left[(E[\langle \theta, X \rangle - \langle \theta_0, X \rangle | Z])^2 \right].
$$
 (2)

In the literature of nonparametric IV estimators, the projected RMSE is often used to evaluate the convergence rate of the estimators [\(Ai and Chen, 2003;](#page-66-7) [Chen and Pouzo, 2012;](#page-67-11) [Dikkala](#page-67-12) *et al.*, [2020\)](#page-67-12). It is because we need to deal with ill-posedness in nonparametric IV estimators. By controlling the dependence of instrumental variables, it is also possible to evaluate the non-projected RMSE. For more details, see [Chen and Pouzo](#page-67-11) [\(2012\)](#page-67-11). In our setting, we use this evaluation criterion because we try to handle with non-sparse high-dimensional data.

Note that the projected RMSE can be expressed as a weighted norm $\|\theta - \theta_0\|_{\Xi}^2$ $\frac{2}{\Xi_z}$ with a transformed covariance matrix $\Xi_z := \Pi_0 E [zz^\top] \Pi_0^\top$ 0 :

$$
(2) = (\theta - \theta_0)^{\top} E \left[E[x|z] E[x^{\top} |z] \right] (\theta - \theta_0) = (\theta - \theta_0)^{\top} \Pi_0 E \left[z z^{\top} \right] \Pi_0^{\top} (\theta - \theta_0) = ||\theta - \theta_0||_{\Xi_z}^2.
$$

The use of norms weighted by covariance matrices is common in non-sparse high-dimensional statistics. For example, in the usual linear regression setting, [Hastie](#page-67-8) *et al.* [\(2022\)](#page-67-8) and [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6) study an estimation error in terms of a norm weighted by a covariance matrix of covariates X. Since our setting utilizes the projected RMSE, it is natural to use the similar norm with Ξ_z .

2.3. **Ridgeless Estimator.** We consider an estimator with interpolation, that is, a prediction by an estimator perfectly corresponds to the response in the observed set of data, which always appears when $p \ge n$ holds. Rigorously, with an empirical squared risk

$$
\widehat{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \langle X_i, \theta \rangle)^2,
$$
\n(3)

the estimator with interpolation is a parameter $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ which satisfies $\widehat{L}(\theta) = 0$. Since there may be an infinite number of interpolators, we define a ridgeless estimator, also known as a minimal norm interpolator, as

$$
\widehat{\theta} = \underset{\theta \in \Theta: \widehat{L}(\theta) = 0}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\theta\|_2.
$$

Such estimators have been examined frequently in the context of the linear regression problem. In particular, the motivation for examining the ridgeless estimator (the minimum norm interpolator) is that the gradient descent algorithm for learning parameters converges to a parameter with the smallest norm among parameters that minimize the loss (see Lemma 1 in [Hastie](#page-67-8) *et al.* [\(2022\)](#page-67-8)).

3. Error Analysis: Orthogonal case

3.1. **Orthogonality Assumption.** In this section, we consider a setting in which there is orthogonality between the weighted covariance matrix of instrumental variables $\Xi_z = \Pi_0 E [zz^\top] \Pi_0^\top$ $_0^{\top}$ and the covariance matrix of the noise $\Sigma_u = E[uu^\top]$. This situation simplifies our error analysis and is therefore an appropriate first step. This assumption will be relaxed in the next section.

Specifically, we consider the following assumption.

Assumption 2 (Orthogonality Condition). Σ_u , Ξ_z *are orthogonal, that is, their sets of eigenvectors* $\{\varphi_j\}_j, \{\varphi'_j\}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\Sigma_u = \sum_j \lambda_j^u$ $\mu_i^u \varphi_j \varphi_i^{\top}$ and $\Xi_z = \sum_j \lambda_i^z$ $\mathbb{E}_{\vec{i}} \varphi'_{\vec{i}} (\varphi'_{\vec{i}})^\top$ with eigenvalues $\{\lambda^u_{\vec{i}}\}$ ${u_j \choose j}$ and $\{ \lambda_j^z$ $\left\{ \xi_i \right\}$ *satisfy* $\varphi_i^{\top} \varphi_{\ell}^{\prime} = 0$ for every *j* and ℓ .

This assumption allows us to consider the eigenvalues of these two covariance matrices independently. As a result, eigenspaces spanned by the eigenvectors of Σ_u and Ξ_z are mutually orthogonal, whose detail will be provided in Lemma [37](#page-61-0) in Appendix. This property is essential for our error analysis below, which uses the speed of decay of the eigenvalues.

3.2. **Result 1: Upper Bound on Projected RMSE.** Here, as the first main result, we derive an upper bound for the Projected RMSE of the ridgeless estimator. As preparation, we introduce a notion of the effective rank for the upper bound.

Definition 1 (Effective Rank). For a positive semi-define matrix Σ , two types of the effective rank are defined as

$$
r(\Sigma) = \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{\|\Sigma\|_{\text{op}}} \text{ and } R(\Sigma) = \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)^2}{\text{tr}(\Sigma^2)}.
$$

This notion is a more elaborate version of the notion of matrix ranks, which uses the decay speed of the eigenvalues of a matrix to express the complexity of the matrix. It has been used in dealing with concentration of random matrices [\(Koltchinskii and Lounici, 2017\)](#page-67-13), and also been applied to the analysis of over-parameterized linear regression with independent noise [\(Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.*, [2020;](#page-66-6) [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.*, [2021;](#page-67-10) [Tsigler and Bartlett, 2020\)](#page-68-3).

Using the notion of effective rank, we define an auxiliary coefficient as follows. For $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we define

$$
\eta(\delta) := \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r(\Xi_z)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + \frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)} \right).
$$

This coefficient $\eta(\delta)$ becomes asymptotically negligible under appropriate conditions, which will be given in the latter half of this section.

We develop a generic bound for the projected RMSE $\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta\|_{\Xi}^2$ $\frac{2}{\Xi_z}$. With the result of Corollary [10](#page-14-0) and Theorem [21](#page-33-0) in Section 6, we obtain the following sufficient conditions for benign overfitting.

Theorem [1](#page-3-1) (Projected-RMSE Bound). *Fix any* $\delta \leq 1/2$ *. Under Assumption 1[-2](#page-5-1) with covariance splitting* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$, suppose that *n* and the effective ranks are such that $R(\Xi_z) \gtrsim \log(1/\delta)^2$ and

 $\eta(\delta) \leq 1$ *. Define* $\psi(t) = t + t^2$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}^2 := \sigma^2 - ||\omega||^2_{\Sigma}$ $\sum_{\substack{k=1 \ n \neq}}^2$ ≥ 0*. Then, with probability at least* 1 – *δ, it holds that*

$$
\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \lesssim (1 + \eta(\delta))(1 \vee \widetilde{\sigma})\psi\left((\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2 + \|\theta_0\|_2)\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}\right). \tag{4}
$$

This upper bound consists of the following two parts: (i) the coefficient part $(1 + \eta(\delta))(1 \vee \tilde{\sigma})$ reflects the asymptotically negligible eigenvalues and noises, and (ii) the principal part $\psi((\|\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\|_{2}+\$ $\|\theta_0\|_2\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)/n}$) describes a complexity of the true parameter and the distribution data. With this upper bound, an appropriate assumption on Σ_u and Ξ_z guarantees that the projected RMSE converges to zero as $n \to \infty$, which will be explained below.

Remark 1 (Comparison with the independent noise case)**.** We compare Theorem [1](#page-5-2) with the endogeneity to the result without the endogeneity. Especially, [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10) develop an upper bound of mean squared error of the ridgeless estimator as

$$
\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Sigma_x}^2 \lesssim (1 + \eta'(\delta))(1 \vee \sigma)\psi\left(\|\theta_0\|\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}{n}}\right),\tag{5}
$$

where Σ_1 and Σ_2 are some matrices such that $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$, and $\eta'(\delta) = \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)}(1/\sqrt{r(\Sigma_2)} +$ $\sqrt{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1)/n} + n/R(\Sigma_2)$. This result suggest several implications. First, our decomposition of Σ_x in Theorem [1](#page-5-2) can be regarded as a specific case of the decomposition of Σ_x by [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10). Second, our bound in Theorem [1](#page-5-2) pays an additional cost to handle covariate correlations, such as the replacement σ with $\tilde{\sigma}$ and introducing a correlation coefficient $\|\Sigma_u^{\dagger}\omega\|_2$ in [\(4\)](#page-6-0).

3.3. **Result 2: Benign Condition for Consistency.** In this section, we further investigate the upper bound in Theorem [1](#page-5-2) and derive sufficient conditions for the upper bound to converge to zero. We also provide several examples of distributions satisfying the condition.

We first provide a basic condition that is widely used for over-parameterized models (e.g. [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *[et al.](#page-66-6)* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6)).

Definition 2 (Basic condition)**.** This condition requires that the value of the three limits be zero:

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||\theta_0||_2 \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} = 0.
$$
 (6)

Their details are as follows:

- (i) (Small noise) The first term rank $(\Sigma_u)/n$ describes a size of the noise vector relative to *n*, and the condition requires that the noise is small.
- (ii) (Large effective dimension) The second term $n/R(\Xi)$ decreases as the effective rank $R(\Xi)$ is larger than n , which has a role of an effective dimension in the over-parameterized model.
- (iii) (No aliasing) The third term $\|\theta_0\|_2\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)/n}$ represents the magnitude of the error in a noiseless situation and intuitively plays a role similar to bias.

This assumption is commonly used in the over-parameterized linear regression problem without the endogeneity [\(Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.*, [2020;](#page-66-6) [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.*, [2021;](#page-67-10) [Tsigler and Bartlett, 2020\)](#page-68-3). We will give examples of covariance matrices that satisfy these assumptions.

We derive a result where the projected RMSE converges to zero. We achieve this result by introducing new assumptions corresponding to the endogeneity in addition to the basic assumptions in Definition [2.](#page-6-1)

Theorem 2 (Sufficient conditions). *Under Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)Assumption [2,](#page-5-1) let* $\widehat{\theta}$ *be the ridgeless estimator. Suppose that the basic condition in Definition [2](#page-6-1) holds, and there exists a sequence of covariance splitting* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ *such that the following conditions hold:*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} = 0. \tag{7}
$$

Then, the following holds:

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \xrightarrow{p} 0, \ (n \to \infty).
$$

This result states that condition [\(7\)](#page-7-0) is a key factor of the convergence of the projected RMSE to zero in the setting with endogeneity, because the basis assumption in Definition [2](#page-6-1) is also needed in ordinary regression without endogeneity. Intuitively, the condition [\(7\)](#page-7-0) means that the replacement of σ^2 with $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ in Theorem [1](#page-5-2) is asymptotically negligible. For the condition [\(7\)](#page-7-0), a structure of ω plays an essential role, because it is challenging to satisfy [\(7\)](#page-7-0) with only the property of Σ_u^+ . A good news is that $||(\Sigma_{\mu}^+)^{1/2} \omega || \le \sigma^2$ (Lemma [38\)](#page-61-1), which implies a slow increase of $||\Sigma_{\mu}^+ \omega ||$. Another intuition is about the first term in [\(6\)](#page-6-2): a strong correlation between X_i and Z_i is necessary for benign overfitting. This is implied by the fact that rank(Σ_u) $\geq p - \min\{\text{rank}(\Sigma_z), \text{rank}(\Pi_0)\}\$ (see Proposition [36\)](#page-60-0).

Remark 2 (Relation to Weakness of IVs)**.** From the result in Theorem [2,](#page-7-1) Definition [2](#page-6-1) provides a new insight into the weakness of IVs in the over-parameterized setting. When a rank of Ξ _Z is small, the correlation between the IV Z_i and the covariate X_i is weak, hence Z_i is a weak IV, which can adversely affect the estimation [\(Stock](#page-68-0) *et al.*, [2002\)](#page-68-0). This is consistent with the condition on the rank in the first term in Definition [2.](#page-6-1) In contrast, the third term in Definition [2](#page-6-1) requires that the correlation between IVs and covariates is not too strong through the trace of Ξ _z is not too large. This is a phenomenon that first appears with the over-parameterization setting.

3.3.1. *Examples.* In this section, we raise examples that satisfy the condition in Theorem [2.](#page-7-1) The example here uses a matrix derived by [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6) as a base matrix $\overline{\Sigma}$, then constructs a noise covariance matrix Σ_u and of the instrumental variable Ξ_z based on the base matrix Σ . Throughout this section, we assume that $\|\theta_0\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n}).$

Example 1. *Consider the dimension* $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ *and a base matrix* $\overline{\Sigma}$ *whose i*-th largest eigenvalue *has a form*

$$
\lambda_i = Ci^{-1} \log^{-\beta} (i+1), \ i = 1, ..., p,
$$

with some constant $C > 0$ *and* $\beta > 0$, *and also assume that* $\|\Sigma_{\mu}^{+}\omega\|_{2} = o(\sqrt{n})$. We further define *a truncated version of* $\overline{\Sigma}$ *with a truncation level* $k \leq p$ *as* $\overline{\Sigma}_{1:k} = U^{\top}$ diag $(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k, 0, ..., 0)U$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is a orthogonal matrix generated from a sigular value decomposition $\overline{\Sigma}$ = U^{\top} diag $(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_p)U$. Using the notion, we define our truncation level k_n^* as

$$
k_n^* := \min\{k \ge 0 : r(\overline{\Sigma} - \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k}) > n\},\tag{8}
$$

which balances the complexities of the noise and the instrumental variable. Then, we define the (transformed) covariance matrices of and as

$$
\Sigma_u = \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}, \quad \Xi_z = \overline{\Sigma} - \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}.
$$

The example is adapted to our setting with endogeneity by considering the example of a covariance matrix by [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6). Rigorously, we set the covariance matrix by [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6) as the base matrix $\overline{\Sigma}$ and decompose it under the appropriate cutoff level k_n^* to the (transformed) covariance matrices. Importantly, this example can freely choose the dimension p , even infinite is possible. The following proposition shows that this example yields benign overfitting.

Proposition 3. *Consider Example [1.](#page-8-0) Assume* $\|\theta_0\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n})$ *. If* $\overline{\Sigma}$ *and* ω *satisfy*

 $\lambda_i = Ci^{-1} \log^{-\beta} (i+1), \quad (U\omega)_i = \Theta(i^{-1} \log^{-\beta} (i+1)),$

where $\beta > 1$ *and* $C > 0$ *, then* Σ_u , Ξ_z *and* ω *defined above satisfy all the conditions in Definition* [2](#page-6-1) *and Theorem [2.](#page-7-1)*

Example 2. We consider the dimension $p = p_n$ which increases faster than *n*, i.e., $\forall c > 0, \exists \bar{n} \in$ $\mathbb{N}, \forall n \geq \bar{n}, p \geq \text{cn holds.}$ Also, consider a base matrix $\overline{\Sigma}$ whose *i*-th largest eigenvalue has a form

$$
\lambda_i = \gamma_i + \varepsilon_n, \ i = 1, ..., p,
$$

where $\{\gamma_i\}_i$ *and* $\{\varepsilon_n\}_n$ *are sequences such that*

$$
\gamma_i = \Theta(\exp(-i/\tau)), \quad ne^{-o(n)} = \varepsilon_n p = o(n),
$$

with some $\tau > 0$. We further assume that $\|\Sigma_{\mu}^{\dagger}\omega\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n})$. Similar to Example [1,](#page-8-0) we use the *truncation level* k_n^* as [\(8\)](#page-8-1) and define the (transformed) covariance matrices of u and z as

$$
\Sigma_u = \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}, \quad \Xi_z = \overline{\Sigma} - \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}.
$$

In the example, we consider the case where p diverges faster than n . In this case, the eigenvalues are required to be accounted for by the term that decays exponentially and the term that behaves like noise. The next proposition shows benign overfitting in this setting.

Proposition 4. *Consider Example* [2.](#page-8-2) *Set eigenvalues of* $\overline{\Sigma}$ *as follows:*

$$
\lambda_i = \gamma_i + \varepsilon_n,
$$

 $where \gamma_i = \Theta(\exp(-i/\tau))$ and $\tau > 0$. Assume $\|\theta_0\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n})$. If p and ω satisfy

$$
p = \omega(n)
$$
, $ne^{-o(n)} = \varepsilon_n p = o(n)$, $(U\omega)_i = \Theta(\exp(-i/\tau))$,

then Σ_u , Ξ_z *and* ω *defined above satisfy all the conditions in Definition* [2](#page-6-1) *and Theorem* [2.](#page-7-1)

4. Error Analysis: Non-Orthogonal Case

In this section, we relax the orthogonality condition of Assumption [2](#page-5-1) and study the sufficient conditions for benign overfitting when the covariance matrices Σ_u and Ξ_z are not orthogonal. An approach to derive the conditions is almost the same as in Section [3;](#page-5-0) we first derive an upper bound for the projected RMSE, then use it to reveal sufficient conditions. To simplify the presentation, we defer the upper bounds to a later section, and present only a theorem on the sufficient conditions.

Theorem 5 (Sufficient conditions: Non-Orthogonal Case). *Under Assumption [1,](#page-3-1) let* $\widehat{\theta}$ *be the ridgeless estimator. Also, assume* $\widetilde{\sigma}^2 := \sigma^2 - ||\omega||^2_{\Sigma}$ $\frac{2}{\Sigma_{u}^{+}} > 0$. Suppose that the basic condition in *Definition* [2](#page-6-1) *holds, and there exists a sequence of covariance* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ *satisfying both the condition in* [\(7\)](#page-7-0) *and the following conditions:*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\tilde{\sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)} \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \omega^{\top} \Sigma_u^+ \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+ \omega = 0. \tag{9}
$$

Then, the following holds:

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \xrightarrow{p} 0, \ (n \to \infty).
$$

In this non-orthogonal case, the above three conditions [\(9\)](#page-9-1) play a critical role, in addition to Definition [2.](#page-6-1) We give explanations on the terms in [\(9\)](#page-9-1) one by one below.

- (i) (Non-degenerated noise) The first condition on $||\sum_{u}^{+}\omega||_{2}/\tilde{\sigma}\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_{z})/n}$ requires that the vari-
 $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}$ ance $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ is non-degenerated. If this condition is satisfied, the condition [\(7\)](#page-7-0) obviously holds.
- (ii) (Effective rank with non-orthogonality) The second condition on $(n/R(\Xi_z))$ (tr $(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)/\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)$) takes into account the effect of non-orthogonality on the effective rank $R(\Xi_z)$, which already appears in the basic condition in Definition [2.](#page-6-1) This means that non-orthogonality term (tr($\Sigma_u \Xi_z$)/tr(Ξ_z^2)) has a role in reducing the effective rank $R(\Xi_z)$.
- (iii) (Mixed effect) The third condition on $\omega^T \Sigma_u^+ \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+ \omega$ includes both the effects of the nonorthogonality and the correlation ω . This condition is asymptotically satisfied as Σ_u and Ξ_z gradually approach orthogonality.

Of these conditions in [\(9\)](#page-9-1), (i) and (iii) are necessary to handle the endogeneity. In other words, (i) and (iii) are always satisfied when $\omega = 0$ holds. On the other hand, the condition (ii) is necessary to achieve benign overfitting under non-orthogonality even in the absence of endogeneity. To make it clear, we reveal a sufficient condition for benign overfitting with non-orthogonality in the setting of ordinary linear regression without endogeneity ($\omega = 0$).

Theorem 6. *(Sufficient conditions: Non-Orthogonal Case when* X_i and ξ_i are independent) Under *Assumption [1,](#page-3-1) let* $\widehat{\theta}$ *be the ridgeless estimator. Suppose that* $\omega = 0$ *holds. Suppose that the basic condition in Definition* [2](#page-6-1) *holds, and there exists a sequence of covariance* $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$ *such that the following conditions hold:*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R(\Sigma_2)} \left(\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2^2)} \right) = 0. \tag{10}
$$

Then, $L(\widehat{\theta})$ *converges to* σ^2 *in probability where* $L(\theta) = \mathbb{E}(y - \langle \theta, x \rangle)^2$ *.*

Theorem [6](#page-10-0) states that condition [\(10\)](#page-10-1) is a key factor in converging RMSE to zero in the setting without orthogonality. Intuitively, tr($\Sigma_u \Xi_z$) is a degree of non-orthogonality between Σ_u and Ξ_z , and Theorem [6](#page-10-0) requires the degree is small.

4.1. **Examples.** We give several examples, that are similar to those given in Section [3.3.1.](#page-7-2) That is, we first the base matrix $\overline{\Sigma}$, then construct (transformed) covariance matrices based on it. Note that the definition of dimension and the way of decomposition are slightly different. Throughout this section, we also assume that $\|\theta_0\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n})$.

Example 3 (Non-orthogonal version of Example [1\)](#page-8-0). *Consider the diension* $p = qn$ with some $q > 1$, and a base matrix $\overline{\Sigma}$ whose *i*-th largest eigenvalue has a form

$$
\lambda_i = Ci^{-1} \log^{-\beta} (i+1), i = 1, ..., p,
$$

with some constant $C > 0$ *and* $\beta > 0$. We also assume that $\|\Sigma_u^{\dagger}\omega\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n})$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{n})$ $\|\omega\|_{\infty}^2$ $\sum_{\substack{k=1 \ n}}^2$ > 0, and consider the truncation level as [\(8\)](#page-8-1). Then, we define the (transformed) covariance *matrices with* $\alpha > 1$ *:*

$$
\Sigma_u := \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\right) \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}, \quad \Xi_z := \overline{\Sigma} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\right) \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}.
$$

The base matrix $\overline{\Sigma}$ used in this example is identical to that in Example [1.](#page-8-0) In contrast, the decomposition to construct the (transformed) covariance matrices is different. The following result demonstrates the validity of this example.

Proposition 7. *Consider Example [3.](#page-10-2) Suppose* $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\sigma^2 - ||\omega||^2)$ \sum_{μ}^{2} > 0 *does hold.* Under the a ssumptions $\|\theta_0\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n})$ and $(U\omega)_i = \Theta(i^{-1} \log^{-\beta}(i+1))$ as in Proposition [3,](#page-8-3) $\Sigma_u \Xi_z$ and ω √ *defined above satisfy all the conditions in Definition [2](#page-6-1) and Theorem [5.](#page-9-2)*

Note that Theorem [6](#page-10-0) immediately holds from this proposition by setting $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_u$ and $\Sigma_2 = \Xi_z$.

5. Extension to General Norm

We extend the result of Theorem [2](#page-7-1) to the case θ is measured in terms of a general norm. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be Let A be an arbitrary norm. To the aim, We introduce two definitions, the dual norm and effective $\|\cdot\|$ -ranks.

Definition 3 (Dual Norm). The dual norm of a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^d is $\|u\|_* := \max_{\|v\|=1} \langle v, u \rangle$, and the set of all its sub-gradients with respect to u is $\partial ||u||_* = \{ v : ||v|| = 1, \langle v, u \rangle = ||u||_* \}.$

Definition 4 (Effective $\|\cdot\|$ -rank). The effective $\|\cdot\|$ -ranks of a covariance matrix Σ are given as follows. Let *H* be normally distributed with mean zero and variance I_d , i.e. $H \sim N(0, I_d)$. Denote v^* as arg min_{v∈∂||∑}^{1/2}H||_{*} ||v||_∑. Then, we define

$$
r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma) := \left(\frac{E\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_{*}}{\sup_{\|u\|\leq 1} \|u\|_{\Sigma}}\right)^{2} \text{ and } R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma) := \left(\frac{E\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_{*}}{E\|v^{*}\|_{\Sigma}}\right)^{2}.
$$

Effective $\|\cdot\|$ -ranks is a generalization of the effective rank in Definition [2,](#page-6-1) and the dual norm is necessary to define the general effective rank.

We provide basic conditions for general norm $\|\cdot\|$ which corresponds to Definition [2](#page-6-1) and advanced conditions [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10) established.

Definition 5 (Basic condition with general norm). This condition requires that the value of the three limits be zero with respect to a general norm $\|\cdot\|$:

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\theta_0\| \mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}} = 0.
$$
 (11)

Each condition in [\(11\)](#page-11-1) corresponds to conditions in [\(6\)](#page-6-2). Small noise condition remains unchanged. For large effective dimension condition, we replace $R(\Xi)$ with the general norm counterpart, $R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)$. For no aliasing condition, θ_0 is measured in terms of any norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}$ is replaced with $\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*$.

Definition 6 (Advanced condition)**.** In addition to Definition [5,](#page-11-2) we require the following two conditions:

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\|P_u v^*\|^2 > 1 + \eta) = 0,
$$
\n(12)

for any $\eta > 0$.

We give details of the terms in [\(12\)](#page-11-3) below.

(i) (Large effective dimension) The first term $1/r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)$ decreases as the effective rank $r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)$ becomes large as the second condition in [\(11\)](#page-11-1). In the Euclidean norm case, $1/r(\Xi_z)$ converges to zero as $n/R(\Xi_z)$ goes toward zero by definition.

(ii) (Contracting ℓ_2 projection condition) This condition implies the projected v^* onto the space spanned by Σ_u is asymptotically smaller than or equal to 1. This condition always holds in the Euclidean norm case because $||P_u v^*||_2^2$ $2^2 \leq ||v^*||_2^2$ $2^2 = 1.$

To converge the projected RMSE to zero, we introduce a new assumption corresponding to condition [\(7\)](#page-7-0) in Theorem 2 in addition to the conditions in Definition [5](#page-11-2) and Definition [6.](#page-11-4)

Theorem 8 (Sufficient conditions). *Under Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)Assumption [2,](#page-5-1) let* $\widehat{\theta}$ *be the ridgeless estimator. Let* $\|\cdot\|$ *denote an arbitrary norm. Suppose that the basic conditions in Definition* [5](#page-11-2) *and Definition* [6](#page-11-4) *hold, and there exists a sequence of covariance splitting* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ *such that the following conditions hold:*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\|\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\|\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H\|_{*}}{\sqrt{n}}=0.
$$

Then, the following holds:

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \xrightarrow{p} 0, \ (n \to \infty).
$$

As in the condition in [\(11\)](#page-11-1), $\Sigma_u^+\omega$ is measured in terms of any norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}$ is replaced with $\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*$. If we consider the general norm, compared to the Euclidean norm case, it is possible we can relax some of the sufficient conditions for benign overfitting, especially the condition in Theorem [2.](#page-7-1) However, because we need to add advanced conditions in Definition [6](#page-11-4) in addition to basic conditions in Definition [5,](#page-11-2) it is unclear whether benign overfitting is more likely to occur.

6. Proof Outline

6.1. **Approach with CGMT.** Our proof relies on two techniques: (i) describing the ridgeless estimator as a solution to an optimization problem and bound the projected RMSE, and (ii) evaluating the solution by an extended version of the convex Gaussian minimax theorem (CGMT). CGMT was introduced into the high-dimensional statistics by [Thrampoulidis](#page-68-4) *et al.* [\(2015,](#page-68-4) [2018\)](#page-68-5). Furthermore, [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10) discussed that CGMT can describe benign overfitting by [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *[et al.](#page-66-6)* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6) in the ordinary regression setting. In this section, we deal with the non-orthogonal case results given in Section [4,](#page-9-0) which can be easily applied to the orthogonal case in Section [3.](#page-5-0)

We prepare some notations. We define a normalized correlation coefficient $\rho = (\Sigma_u^{1/2})^+ \omega$, which is guaranteed that $\|\rho\|_2^2$ $2^2 \le \sigma^2$ (see Lemma [38\)](#page-61-1). We also define $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_n)^\top$ as an $\mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ -valued random matrix, which has a form

$$
\mathbf{X} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \mathbf{W}_1 \Xi_z^{1/2} + \mathbf{W}_2 \Sigma_u^{1/2}.
$$
 (13)

where W_1 , W_2 are $n \times p$ random matrices whose *i*-th row identically follows a joint distribution of ξ such that

$$
\begin{pmatrix} W_{1,i} \\ W_{2,i} \\ \xi_i \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_{p \times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} & I_{p \times p} & \rho \\ \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1}^{\top} & \rho^{\top} & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \right), \tag{14}
$$

for $i = 1, ..., n$. Note that this form follows the Gaussianity from Assumption [1.](#page-3-1)

6.2. **Step (i): Bound Projected RMSE by Optimization Form.** First, we consider a uniform upper bound for the projected RMSE $E[(E[\langle \theta, X \rangle - \langle \theta_0, X \rangle | Z])^2]$ under the constraint that the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is the ridgeless estimator, i.e., $\hat{L}(\hat{\theta}) = 0$. Then, we transform it to a maximization problem with a constraint with some compact parameter space $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$:

$$
\max_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}, \widehat{L}(\theta) = 0} E\left[(E\left[\langle \theta, X \rangle - \langle \theta_0, X \rangle | Z] \right)^2 \right] = \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}, \mathbf{X} \theta = \mathbf{Y}} ||\theta - \theta_0||_{\Xi_z}^2 = \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}, \mathbf{X}(\theta - \theta_0) = \xi} ||\theta - \theta_0||_{\Xi_z}^2.
$$

Using the surrogate Gaussians in [\(13\)](#page-12-1), the upper bound above has the same distribution of the following term:

$$
\Phi := \max_{\substack{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in S, \\ \mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 = \xi}} ||\theta_1||_2^2,
$$
\n(15)

where we define $S := \{(\theta_1, \theta_2) : \exists \theta \in \mathcal{K} \text{ s.t. } \theta_1 = \Xi_z^{1/2}(\theta - \theta_0) \text{ and } \theta_2 = \Sigma_u^{1/2}(\theta - \theta_0)\}$. The detail of the derivation is described in the proof of Lemma [12](#page-20-0) in the appendix.

Second, we approximate the distribution of the optimization problem [\(15\)](#page-13-0) using the CGMT. The CGMT approximates minimax optimization problems by a distribution of their simpler auxiliary problems. Here, we present our variant of the CGMT which can deal with correlation betwen variables, though we also use the classical CGMT depending on the situation.

Theorem 9 (Extended CGMT). Let $W : n \times d$ be a matrix with i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$ entries and suppose $G \sim N(0, I_n)$ and $H \sim N(0, I_d)$ are independent of **W** and each other. Let S_W, S_U be non-empty *compact sets in* $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n'}$ respectively, and let $\psi : S_W \times S_U \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary *continuous function. Define the Primary Optimization (PO) problem*

$$
\Phi(\mathbf{W}) := \min_{(\omega,\omega') \in S_W} \max_{(u,u') \in S_U} \langle u, \mathbf{W}\omega \rangle + \psi((\omega,\omega'),(u,u')). \tag{16}
$$

and the Auxiliary Optimization (AO) Problem

$$
\phi(G, H) := \min_{(\omega, \omega') \in S_W} \max_{(u, u') \in S_U} \|\omega\|_2 \langle G, u \rangle + \|u\|_2 \langle H, \omega \rangle + \psi((\omega, \omega'), (u, u')). \tag{17}
$$

If we suppose that S_W , S_u are convex sets and $\psi((\omega, \omega'), (u, u'))$ is convex in (ω, ω') and concave $\lim (u, u')$, then $\mathbb{P}(\Phi(\mathbf{W}) > c) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(\phi(G, H) \geq c)$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

This theorem is an extension of the original CGMT to split the variables to be optimized, so that it can handle our regression model [\(1\)](#page-3-2) with the endogeneity. Rigorously, this theorem allows correlation between the covariates and the error terms.

Using the extended CGMT in Theorem [9,](#page-13-1) we approimate the distribution of the problem [\(15\)](#page-13-0) by

$$
\phi := \max_{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in S: ||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - G ||\theta_1||_2 ||_2 \le \langle \theta_1, H \rangle} ||\theta_1||_2^2,
$$

where $G \sim N(0, I_n)$, $H \sim N(0, I_d)$ be Gaussian vectors independent of W_1, W_2, ξ and each other. A distribution of this term is tractable because of the relatively simple form. Namely, we obtain the following result. In the case of a Euclidean norm ball, we set $\mathcal{K} := \{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^p | ||\theta||_2 \leq B \}$. By combining the upper bound of K , we can derive a simpler upper bound for the Euclidean norm.

Corollary 10. *There exists an absolute constant* $C_1 \leq 64$ *such that the following is true. Assume Assumption [1](#page-3-1)* and *Assumption [2](#page-5-1) hold.* Pick $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$, fix $\delta \leq 1/4$. Define $\widetilde{\sigma}^2 := \sigma^2 - ||\omega||^2_{\Sigma}$ $\frac{2}{\Sigma_{u}^{+}} \geq 0$ *and* $g(t_1, t_2) = t_1^2$ $_1^2 - t_2^2$ $\frac{2}{2}$. If $B \ge ||\theta_0||_2$ and n is large enough that $\gamma(\delta) \le 1$, the following holds with *probability at least* $1 - \delta$;

$$
\max_{\|\theta\|_2 \leq B, \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\theta} \|\theta - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \lesssim (1 + \gamma(\delta)) g\left(B\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}, \widetilde{\sigma}\right),
$$

where we define

$$
\gamma(\delta) := \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r(\Xi_z)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} \right).
$$

In this corollary, the radius B of $\mathcal K$ plays an important role. That is, the bound in Corollary [10](#page-14-0) is valid only when the norm $\|\theta\|_2$ is no more than B. Here, our remaining task is to show that such a *B* exists. In the next step, we will examine the norm $\|\widehat{\theta}\|_2$ to show the existence of such *B*.

6.3. **Step (ii): Bound Norm of Estimator.** As a next step, we give an upper bound on the norm of the solution, which is equivalent to deriving an upper bound of B that appears in the constraint in Corollary [10.](#page-14-0) To show the consistency of ridgeless estimators, we need to specify the value of B so that K include some parameters.

In the following theorem, we obtain the Euclidean norm bound for the ridgeless estimator. To achieve this result, we again use the CGMT in Theorem [9.](#page-13-1)

Theorem 11 (Euclidean norm bound; special case of Theorem [31\)](#page-54-0). *Fix any* $\delta \leq 1/4$ *. Suppose* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}^2 := \sigma^2 - ||\omega||^2_{\Sigma_x}$ $\sum_{k=1}^{n}$ > 0. If *n* and the effective ranks are such that $\varepsilon(\delta) \leq 1$ and $R(\Xi_z) \gtrsim \log(1/\delta)^2$, then with probability at least $1-\delta$, it holds that

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}\|_2 \lesssim (1+\varepsilon(\delta))^{1/2} \left(\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2 + (2\eta_1 + \widetilde{\sigma} + \eta_2) \sqrt{\frac{n}{\textup{tr}(\Xi_z)}} \right),
$$

where $\eta_1, \eta_2, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ *are sequences depending on n and* δ *satisfying*

$$
\begin{aligned} &\eta_1 \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)}}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2,\\ &\eta_2 \lesssim \sqrt{\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}}\right)}\sqrt{\frac{(\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2)^2}{n}\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2^2+\|\Xi_z^{1/2}\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2^2}, \end{aligned}
$$

$$
\varepsilon := \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + \left(1 + \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)} \right) \left(\frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)} \right) + \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\widetilde{\sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} \right).
$$

The rigorous definitions of η_1, η_2 , and ε will be provided in Appendix. To derive this upper bound, we again use the common uniform upper bound argument. Combining this result with Corollary [10,](#page-14-0) we derive our main result on the upper bound of $\|\theta - \theta_0\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2$ 2
Ξ_z

7. Experiment

We perform experiments to justify our theoretical results. Specifically, we test whether our derived sufficient conditions in Theorem [2](#page-7-1) and Theorem [5](#page-9-2) lead to benign overfitting. This section contains two experiments: (i) measuring the projected RMSE of the ridgeless estimator, and (ii) comparing the ridgeless estimator to existing high-dimensional operating variable methods.

7.1. **Projected RMSE of Ridgess Estimator.**

7.1.1. *Setups.* We generate $n \in \{200, 300, ..., 1000\}$ independent samples $(X_1, Y_1, Z_1), ..., (X_n, Y_n, Z_n)$ from the regression model [\(1\)](#page-3-2) and the covariate X_i , noise variable ξ_i , and the latent noise u_i follow the distribution,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} X_i \\ \xi_i \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_x & \Sigma_u^{1/2} \rho \\ (\Sigma_u^{1/2} \rho)^\top & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad u_i \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{p \times 1}, \Sigma_u). \tag{18}
$$

The covariance/coefficient matrices Σ_x , Σ_u , Π_0 , and Σ_z are determined separately for the following four setups. Through experiments, truncation level k_n^* is determined in the same way as [\(8\)](#page-8-1).

- Setup (i) **Example [1](#page-8-0) (Orthogonal Case)**: This setting follows Example [1](#page-8-0) with the orthogonal case in Section [3.](#page-5-0) We set the parameter dimension as $p = 5n$, and set a base matrix $\overline{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ such that its *i*-th largest eigenvalue λ_i is $300i^{-1}(\log(i + 1) \exp(2))^{-2}$ for $i = 1, ..., p$. We set the true parameter $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ whose *i*-th element is $20/\sqrt{i}$ and set ω as $(\Sigma_u^{1/2})^+ \omega := U \rho$, where $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^p$ has its *i*-th element $2/i$, and $U \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is an orthogonalized version of $P \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ such that $P_{i,i'} = 1\{|j - j'| \neq p - 2\}$ for $j, j' = 1, ..., p$. Then, we define Σ_x, Σ_u and Ξ_z as $\Sigma_x := \Sigma_u + \Xi_z$, $\Sigma_u := \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}$ and $\Xi_z := \overline{\Sigma} - \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}$ as following Example [1.](#page-8-0) This setting satisfies the sufficient conditions in Theorem [2,](#page-7-1) and also Ξ_z and Σ_u are orthogonal.
- Setup (ii) **Example [2](#page-8-2) (Orthogonal Case)**: This setting follows Example [2](#page-8-2) with the orthogonal case in Section [3.](#page-5-0) We set the dimension $p = n^{3/2}$ and a base matrix $\overline{\Sigma}$ as its *i*-th eigenvalue λ_i is $\lambda_i = \gamma_i + \varepsilon_n$, where $\gamma_i = 10 \exp(-(i/2))$ and $\varepsilon_n = \exp(-i/2)$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ / and $\varepsilon_n = \exp(-\sqrt{n}) / \sqrt{n}$. We also set the true parameter $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ whose *i*-th element is $20/\sqrt{i}$, and the correlation coefficient ω is defined to satisfy $(\Sigma_{\mu}^{1/2})^{\dagger} \omega := U \rho$ where $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^p$ has $3 \exp(-i/4)$ as its *i*-th element. This setting satisfies the sufficient conditions in Theorem [2,](#page-7-1) and Ξ _z and Σ _u are orthogonal.
- Setup (iii) **Example 1 (Non-orthogonal Case)**: We consider an extension of Example [1](#page-8-0) to the nonorthogonal case as Section [4.](#page-9-0) This is identical to that treated in Example [3.](#page-8-3) Specifically,

 $p, \overline{\Sigma}$ and ω are determined as in Setup (i) above. On the other hand, Σ_u and Ξ_z are same as

$$
\Sigma_u := \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{1.01}}\right) \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}, \quad \Xi_z := (\overline{\Sigma} - \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}) + \frac{1}{n^{1.01}} \overline{\Sigma}_{1:k_n^*}, \text{ and } \Sigma_x := \Sigma_u + \Xi_z. \tag{19}
$$

In this setting, Ξ_z and Σ_u are non-orthogonal.

Setup (iv) **Example 2 (Non-orthogonal Case)**: We consider an extension of Example [2](#page-8-2) to the non-orthogonal case as Section [4.](#page-9-0) In this setting, $p, \overline{\Sigma}$ and ω are determined as in Setup (ii) above, and Σ_u , Ξ_z , and Σ_x are set as [\(19\)](#page-16-0). Here, Ξ_z and Σ_u are non-orthogonal.

In addition, beyond our theoretical framework, we also examine the situation when the variables data are non-Gaussian. Specifically, we study the situation where the instrumental variable Z_i follows the *t*-distribution with 100 degrees of freedom, where the mean and variance are common.

7.1.2. *Results.* Figure [1](#page-17-0) summarizes the results of each of the setups. The values are means of 50 repetitions. The red line shows the projected RMSE of the ridgeless estimator. The blue lines show the case with the t -distribution.

These results give several implications: (a) Despite the increase in dimension p as n, i.e. $p = 5n$ or $p = n^{3/2}$, the projected RMSEs converge to zero. This implies that benign overfitting occurs with this high-dimensional case even with the endogeneity. (b) The convergence occurs even when Z_i is not generated by the Gaussian distribution, which implies that our theoretical results would be applicable to the non-Gaussian case.

7.2. **Comparison with Related Method.**

7.2.1. *Setups.* We compare the ridgeless estimator to a regularized estimator for high-dimensions, such as the lasso-type method. Specifically, we consider methods for estimating sparse parameters under high-dimensional covariates and instrument variables, such as those developed by [Belloni](#page-66-3) *[et al.](#page-66-3)* [\(2012\)](#page-66-3); [Chernozhukov](#page-67-14) *et al.* [\(2015a\)](#page-67-14) and many others.

We present our setting. Similar to Section [7.1,](#page-15-1) we generate $n \in \{100, 200, ..., 1000\}$ observations $(X_1, Y_1, Z_1), ..., (X_n, Y_n, Z_n)$ from the regression model [\(1\)](#page-3-2) and the data generating process [\(18\)](#page-15-2). The covariance/coefficient matrices Σ_x , Σ_u , Π_0 , and Σ_z are determined separately for the following two setups. Here, k denotes a dimension of endogenous variables and we set $k = n/10$.

- Setup (v) **Non-Sparse Case**: We consider the case where the true parameter θ_0 are not sparse. We set $p = 5n$ and set the true parameter $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ which has $20/\sqrt{i}$ as its *i*-th element. Also, we set the base matrix $\overline{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ with its *i*-th largest eigenvalue is $\lambda_i = 300i^{-1}(\log(i+1) \exp(2))^{-2}$, and the correlation coefficient $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^p$ with its *i*-th element is $(2/i) \mathbb{1}{i \in [1, k]}$. With these notions, we define Σ_x , Σ_u and Ξ_z as [\(19\)](#page-16-0). The matrices Σ_u , Ξ_z with the correlation term ω satisfy the sufficient conditions in Theorem [5.](#page-9-2)
- Setup (vi) **Partially Sparse Case**: We consider the case where the true parameter θ_0 is less sparse. We set $p = 5n$ and define $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ whose *i*-th element is $(20/\sqrt{i}) \mathbb{1}{i \leq 0.8n}$. We define Σ_x , Σ_u , Ξ_z , and ω in the same way as the non-sparse case (Setup (v)).

FIGURE 1. Projected RMSE of the ridgeless estimator against the sample size n . The red line shows the Gaussian case, and the blue line shows the non-Gaussian case. The dimension p of the parameters is set as $p = 5n$ (Example [1\)](#page-8-0) or $p = n^{3/2}$ (Example [2\)](#page-8-2).

Setup (vii) **Non-Sparse Case (Rotated)**: We set $p = 5n$ and define Σ_x , Σ_u , Ξ_z , θ_0 and ω in the same way as the non-sparse case (Setup (v)). Also, we set the $(k/5 + 1)$ -th to k-th variables and the $(k_n^* + 1)$ -th to $(k_n^* + k/5)$ -th variables are endogenous.

For a method to be compared, we utilize the estimator by [Chernozhukov](#page-67-14) *et al.* [\(2015a\)](#page-67-14) named *LassoIV*. First, we divide the sample in half, then we use one-half of the sample to estimate the parameters of endogenous variables and use the other to estimate the other parameters. We use the R package *hdm* [\(Chernozhukov](#page-67-15) *et al.*, [2016\)](#page-67-15) for implementation. For the estimation of exogenous variables, we subtract the endogenous part from the outcome and define the new outcome \widetilde{Y}_i , i.e.

$$
\widetilde{Y}_i := Y_i - \widehat{\beta}^\top W_i
$$

,

Figure 2. Projected RMSEs of the ridgeless estimator and Lasso IV. Each value is a mean of 30 repetitions.

where W_i is a $k \times 1$ endogenous variable and $\widehat{\beta}$ is an estimator by LassoIV. To obtain an estimator for the parameters of exogenous variables, we regress \widetilde{Y}_i on exogenous variables.

7.2.2. *Results.* Figure [2](#page-18-1) summarizes the results of each experiment. We report means and standard deviations of 30 repetitions. When the sample size is small, the projected RMSE by the Lasso method is quite larger than that by the ridgeless estimator. As the sample size grows, though the errors get smaller, the error by the ridgeless estimator is still relatively small. Especially, in the setup (vii), we change the location of endogenous variables. Sill in this case, we can see that the ridgeless estimator gives the smaller projected RMSE.

8. Conclusion and Discussion

We studied the estimation error in the over-parameterized linear regression problem when the covariates are endogenous. In particular, we examined the situation where data are Gaussian and the covariates has a linear model on an instrumental variable. In this setting, we derived sufficient conditions under which the risk of the ridge-less estimator converges to zero. In other words, we show the ridgeless estimator achieves benign overfitting even in the presence of endogeneity in this setting. To show this result, we developed an extended version of the CGMT.

An important future challenge for the study of over-parameterized with endogeneity is the development of methods to infer whether our sufficient conditions hold from data. This challenge may be possible, for example, by estimating a decay rate of eigenvalues of covariance matrices,

as in the Hill estimator [\(Hill, 1975\)](#page-67-16). The development of such practical methods is an important future task.

One limitation of this study depends on the Gaussianity of data. Since this is an essential condition for using the CGMT, it is not easy to relax. However, there have been some challenges to extending risks with Gaussian data to those of non-Gaussian data, what is called universality [\(Han](#page-67-17) [and Shen, 2022;](#page-67-17) [Montanari and Saeed, 2022\)](#page-68-6), so it may be a way to analyze non-Gaussian data.

Appendix A. Organization of Appendix

This appendix gives the full proof of the result in the main body. The first half of the appendix follows the proof outline described in Section [6:](#page-12-0) (i) a proof of the CGMT (Section [B\)](#page-19-0), (ii) a proof of an upper bound for the projected RMSE (Section [C\)](#page-20-1), and (iii) a proof of an upper bound for the ridgeless estimator (Section [D\)](#page-26-0). In Section [E,](#page-34-0) we give proofs for the main statement for benign overfitting. In Section [F,](#page-39-0) we independently give the proof for the non-orthogonal case in Section [4.](#page-9-0) Finally, supportive results are given in Section [G.](#page-60-1)

Appendix B. Proof of the CGMT

We give a proof of Theorem [9](#page-13-1) for the CGMT. The proof of the standard CGMT is given in [Thrampoulidis](#page-68-4) *et al.* [\(2015\)](#page-68-4). We extend the standard proof to accommodate partitions of a parameter space. Remind that $W : n \times d$ is a matrix with i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$ entries and suppose $G \sim N(0, I_n)$ and $H \sim N(0, I_d)$ are independent Gaussian vectors.

Proof of Theorem [9.](#page-13-1) The sets S_{ω} , S_{μ} are non-empty, compact, and convex by assumption. Because the function $\langle u, \mathbf{W}\omega \rangle + \psi((\omega, \omega'), (u, u'))$ is continuous, finite and convex-concave on $S_{\omega} \times S_{u}$, it holds from the minimax result in [Rockafellar](#page-68-7) [\(1997\)](#page-68-7) (Corollary 37.3.2) that

$$
\Phi(\mathbf{W}) = \max_{(u,u') \in S_U} \min_{(\omega,\omega') \in S_W} \langle u, \mathbf{W}\omega \rangle + \psi((\omega,\omega'),(u,u')),
$$

where we define $\Phi(\mathbf{W})$ as $\min_{(\omega,\omega')\in S_W} \max_{(u,u')\in S_U} \langle u, \mathbf{W}\omega \rangle + \psi((\omega,\omega'),(u,u'))$. As a result, the min-max problem in [\(16\)](#page-13-2) is replaced with a max-min problem. This form implies

$$
-\Phi(\mathbf{W}) = \min_{(u,u') \in S_U} \max_{(\omega,\omega') \in S_W} -\langle u, \mathbf{W}\omega \rangle - \psi((\omega,\omega'),(u,u')).
$$

By using the symmetry of **W**, we obtain that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(-\Phi(\mathbf{W}) \leq c) = \mathbb{P}\left(\min_{(u,u')\in S_U} \max_{(\omega,\omega')\in S_W} \left\{ \langle u, \mathbf{W}\omega \rangle - \psi((\omega,\omega'),(u,u')) \right\} \leq c \right).
$$

Then, by a variant of the Gaussian minimax theorem (Theorem 10 of [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10)), we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(-\Phi(\mathbf{W}) < c) \le 2 \mathbb{P}\left(\min_{(u,u') \in S_U} \max_{(\omega,\omega') \in S_W} \{||u|| \langle H, \omega \rangle + ||\omega|| \langle G, u \rangle - \psi((\omega, \omega'), (u, u'))\} \le c\right)
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \mathbb{P}\left(\min_{(u,u') \in S_U} \max_{(\omega,\omega') \in S_W} \{-||u|| \langle H, \omega \rangle - ||\omega|| \langle G, u \rangle - \psi((\omega, \omega'), (u, u'))\} \le c\right),
$$

where the last equation follows because of the symmetry of H and G . Note that we have

$$
\min_{(u,u')\in S_U} \max_{(\omega,\omega')\in S_W} \{-\|u\| \langle H, \omega\rangle - \|\omega\| \langle G, u\rangle - \psi((\omega,\omega'),(u,u'))\}
$$

=
$$
-\max_{(u,u')\in S_U} \min_{(\omega,\omega')\in S_W} \{\|u\| \langle H, \omega\rangle + \|\omega\| \langle G, u\rangle + \psi((\omega,\omega'),(u,u'))\}.
$$

By the minimax inequality [\(Rockafellar](#page-68-7) [\(1997\)](#page-68-7), Lemma 36.1), we obtain that for all G, H ,

$$
\max_{(u,u')\in S_U} \min_{(\omega,\omega')\in S_W} \{ ||\omega||\langle G, u \rangle + ||u||\langle H, \omega \rangle + \psi((\omega,\omega'),(u,u')) \}
$$

$$
\leq \min_{(\omega,\omega')\in S_W} \max_{(u,u')\in S_U} \{ ||\omega||\langle G, u \rangle + ||u||\langle H, \omega \rangle + \psi((\omega,\omega'),(u,u')) \} := \phi(G,H).
$$

Therefore, we have, for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Phi(\mathbf{W}) > -c) = \mathbb{P}(-\Phi(\mathbf{W}) < c) \le 2\mathbb{P}(-\phi(G, H) \le c) = 2\mathbb{P}(\phi(G, H) \ge -c).
$$

Appendix C. Upper bound for Projected Residual Mean Squared Error

In this section, we give the upper bound for the projected RMSE. Specifically, we prove Corollary [10](#page-14-0) in the main body, and then give Corollary [16](#page-24-0) which generalized a norm. The key of this section is to show a general upper bound (Theorem [15\)](#page-24-1). To this end, we analyze the projected RMSE by the CGMT using Lemma [12](#page-20-0) and [13.](#page-21-0) We then analyze the projected RMSE in Lemma [14](#page-22-0) to show Theorem [15,](#page-24-1) leads to Corollary [10](#page-14-0) and [16.](#page-24-0)

In the following lemma, we rewrite the projected RMSE [\(2\)](#page-4-0) in the form of an optimization problem in order to use the CGMT. In the statement, we use the empirical squared risk $\widehat{L}(\theta)$ in [\(3\)](#page-4-1) and the representation of the data matrix **X** in [\(13\)](#page-12-1) and [\(14\)](#page-13-3). Since the ridgeless estimator $\hat{\theta}$ satisfies $\widehat{L}(\widehat{\theta}) = 0$, we are interested in a parameter θ which satisfies $\widehat{L}(\theta) = 0$.

Lemma 12. *Let* K *denote a compact set in* R *. Assume Assumption [1](#page-3-1) and Assumption [2](#page-5-1) hold. Define the primary optimization problem (PO) as*

$$
\Phi := \max_{\substack{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in S, \\ \mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 = \xi}} ||\theta_1||_2^2,
$$
\n(15)

 \Box

where we define $S := \{(\theta_1, \theta_2) : \exists \theta \in K \text{ s.t. } \theta_1 = \Xi_z^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta - \theta_0) \text{ and } \theta_2 = \Sigma_u^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta - \theta_0)\}$. Then, the *following maximized projected RMSE in* [\(2\)](#page-4-0) *is equal in distribution to the PO:*

$$
\max_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}, \widehat{L}(\theta) = 0} E\left[(E\left[\langle \theta, X \rangle - \langle \theta_0, X \rangle | Z] \right)^2 \right] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \Phi.
$$

Proof of Lemma [12.](#page-20-0) Note that $\hat{L}(\theta) = 0$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\theta$. By definition of Ξ_z and Σ_u , we have

$$
\mathbf{X} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \mathbf{W}_1 \Xi_z^{1/2} + \mathbf{W}_2 \Sigma_u^{1/2}.
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\max_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}, \widehat{L}(\theta) = 0} E\left[(E[\langle \theta, X \rangle - \langle \theta_0, X \rangle | Z])^2 \right] = \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}, \widehat{L}(\theta) = 0} (\theta - \theta_0)^{\top} \Pi_0 \mathbb{E} [ZZ^{\top}] \Pi_0^{\top} (\theta - \theta_0)
$$

$$
= \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}, X\theta = \mathbf{Y}} ||\theta - \theta_0||_{\Xi_z}^2
$$

$$
= \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}, X(\theta - \theta_0) = \xi} ||\theta - \theta_0||_{\Xi_z}^2
$$

$$
\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \max_{\substack{\theta \in \mathcal{K} - \theta_0 \\ \theta \in \mathcal{K} - \theta_0}} ||\theta||_{\Xi_z}^2.
$$

$$
(\mathbf{W}_1 \Xi_z^{1/2} + \mathbf{W}_2 \Sigma_u^{1/2}) \theta = \xi
$$

By definition of S , we have

$$
\max_{\theta\in\mathcal{K}-\theta_0}\|\theta\|_{\Xi_z}^2=\max_{\substack{(\theta_1,\theta_2)\in S\\ (\mathbf{W}_1\Xi_z^{1/2}+\mathbf{W}_2\Sigma_u^{1/2})\theta=\xi}}\|\theta_1\|_2^2.
$$

Then, the stated result holds.

Lemma 13. *Let* $G \sim N(0, I_n)$, $H \sim N(0, I_d)$ *be Gaussian vectors independent of* W_1, W_2, ξ *and each other. Define the auxiliary optimization problem (AO) as*

$$
\phi := \max_{\substack{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in S \\ \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - G\|\theta_1\|_2 \|_2 \le \langle \theta_1, H \rangle}} \| \theta_1 \|_2^2.
$$
 (20)

Then it holds that

 $\mathbb{P}(\Phi > t | \mathbf{W}_2, \xi) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(\phi \geq t | \mathbf{W}_2, \xi).$

Furthermore, by taking expectations, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Phi > t) \le 2\mathbb{P}(\phi \ge t).
$$

Proof of Lemma [13.](#page-21-0) This Lemma is quite similar to Lemma 4 in [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10). The only difference between the two is the objective function of the constrained maximization problems. However, because the objective function [\(20\)](#page-21-1) does not affect the proof of Lemma 4 in [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* (2021) , the result of Lemma [13](#page-21-0) also holds.

We, then, give a bound on the projected RMSE. The following lemma is an extension of Lemma 5 in [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10) to the case where the covariates correlate with errors.

As a preparation, we define the Gaussian width, which is used in Lemma [14.](#page-22-0)

Definition 7 (Gaussian width [\(Vershynin, 2018\)](#page-68-8)). The Gaussian width of a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ are

$$
W(S) := E_{H \sim N(0, I_d)} \left[\sup_{s \in S} |\langle s, H \rangle| \right].
$$

Lemma 14. *Let* $\beta = 12\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}}$ $\frac{\overline{32/\delta}}{n}$ + $3\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}}$. If *n* is sufficiently large such that $\beta \leq 1$, for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$ *, the following holds with probability at least* $1 - \delta$ *:*

$$
\phi \le \frac{1+\beta}{n} \left\{ W(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}) + \text{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}) \sqrt{2 \log(16/\delta)} + \|\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z} \sqrt{2 \log(16/\delta)} \right\}^2 - \widetilde{\sigma}^2. \tag{21}
$$

where we define

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}^2 := \sigma^2 - ||\omega||_{\Sigma_u^+}^2 = \min_{\theta_2 \in \Sigma_u^{1/2} \mathbb{R}^p} \left(\sigma^2 - ||\rho||^2 + ||\theta_2 - \rho||_2^2 \right).
$$

Proof of Lemma [14.](#page-22-0) Fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$ in this proof. To simplify notations, we define coefficients:

$$
\alpha_1 := 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}}, \text{ and } \alpha_2 := \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u) + 1}{n}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(16/\delta)}{n}}.
$$

To prepare for the derivation of the upper bound, we consider a list of the following inequalities, and each of these holds with probability at least $1 - \delta/8$.

(i) By [\(86\)](#page-65-0) in Lemma [39,](#page-65-1) uniformly over all $\theta_2 \in \sum_{u}^{1/2} (\mathcal{K} - \theta_0)$, it holds that

$$
|\langle \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2, G \rangle| \le ||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2||_2 ||G||_2 \alpha_2.
$$
 (22)

V, s and δ in Lemma [39](#page-65-1) correspond to G, $\xi - W_2 \theta_2$ and $\delta/8$ in [\(22\)](#page-22-1), respectively.

(ii) By Lemma [40,](#page-65-2) it holds that

$$
-\alpha_1 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|G\|_2 - 1 \le \alpha_1.
$$
 (23)

Moreover, since we obtain the following from [\(13\)](#page-12-1) that

$$
\begin{pmatrix} W_{2,i} \\ \xi_i \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_{p \times p} & \rho \\ \rho^T & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \right),
$$

we have $\xi_i - W_{2,i}^T \theta_2 \sim N(0, \sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + ||\theta_2||^2)$. Since $\{\xi_i - W_{2,i}^T \theta_2\}_{i=1}^n$ $_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d, we have

$$
\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + ||\theta_2||^2} G.
$$

ALso, by Lemma [40,](#page-65-2) we have

$$
-\alpha_1 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + ||\theta_2||^2} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} ||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2||_2 - \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + ||\theta_2||^2}
$$

$$
\le \alpha_1 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + ||\theta_2||^2}.
$$
 (24)

(iii) By the standard Gaussian tail bound $\mathbb{P}(|Z| \ge t) \le 2e^{-t^2/2}$, it holds that

$$
|\langle \Xi_z^{1/2} \theta_0, H \rangle| \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} |Z'| \le ||\theta_0||_{\Xi_z} \sqrt{2 \log(16/\delta)},\tag{25}
$$

where $Z' \sim N(0, ||\theta_0||^2_{\Xi}$ $^2_{\Xi_z}).$ (iv) By Theorem [43,](#page-66-8) it holds that

$$
\max_{\theta_1 \in \Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}} |\langle \theta_1, H \rangle| \le W(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}) + \text{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}) \sqrt{2 \log(16/\delta)},\tag{26}
$$

because $\max_{\theta_1 \in \Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}} |\langle \theta_1, H \rangle|$ is a rad $(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K})$ -Lipschitz function of H, and $W(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K})$ = $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\theta_1 \in \Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}} |\langle \theta_1, H \rangle|].$

We further prepare several inequalities. By squaring the last constraint in the definition of the auxiliary optimization problem ϕ , we see that

$$
\langle \theta_1, H \rangle^2 \ge ||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|^2
$$

=
$$
||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2||_2^2 + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2 - 2\langle \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2, \|\theta_1\|_2 G \rangle.
$$

From [\(22\)](#page-22-1) and AM-GM inequality $(a^2/2 + b^2/2 \ge ab)$, we have

$$
\langle \theta_1, H \rangle^2 \ge (1 - \alpha_2) [\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2^2 + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2].
$$

From rearrangement above inequality, we have

$$
\|\theta_{1}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha_{2})^{-1}\langle\theta_{1},H\rangle^{2} - \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_{2}\theta_{2}\|_{2}^{2}}{\|G\|_{2}^{2}}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{(1-\alpha_{2})^{-1}\langle\theta_{1},H\rangle^{2} - \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_{2}\theta_{2}\|_{2}^{2}}{n(1-\alpha_{1})^{2}}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{(1-\alpha_{2})^{-1}\langle\theta_{1},H\rangle^{2} - n(1-\alpha_{1})^{2}(\|\theta_{2}\|^{2} - 2\rho^{T}\theta_{2} + \sigma^{2})}{n(1-\alpha_{1})^{2}},
$$
 (27)

where the second inequality holds from (23) and the third inequality holds from (24) .

Now, we are ready to construct the upper bound on ϕ from the restriction of the optimization problem [\(20\)](#page-21-1). Plugging [\(27\)](#page-23-0) into [\(20\)](#page-21-1), we obtain

$$
\phi \leq \max_{\theta_1 \in \Xi_z^{1/2}(\mathcal{K}-\theta_0)} \frac{(1-\alpha_2)^{-1} \langle \theta_1, H \rangle^2}{n(1-\alpha_1)^2} + \max_{\theta_2 \in \Sigma_u^{1/2}(\mathcal{K}-\theta_0)} - (\|\theta_2\|^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \sigma^2)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{n(1-\alpha_2)(1-\alpha_1)^2} \left(\max_{\theta_1 \in \Xi_z^{1/2}(\mathcal{K})} |\langle \theta_1, H \rangle| + |\langle \Xi_z^{1/2} \theta_0, H \rangle| \right)^2 - \min_{\theta_2 \in \Sigma_u^{1/2}(\mathcal{K}-\theta_0)} \left(\sigma^2 - \|\rho\|^2 + \|\theta_2 - \rho\|^2_2 \right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{n(1-\alpha_2)(1-\alpha_1)^2} \left(\max_{\theta_1 \in \Xi_z^{1/2}(\mathcal{K})} |\langle \theta_1, H \rangle| + |\langle \Xi_z^{1/2} \theta_0, H \rangle| \right)^2 - \min_{\theta_2 \in \Sigma_u^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^p)} \left(\sigma^2 - \|\rho\|^2 + \|\theta_2 - \rho\|^2_2 \right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{n(1-\alpha_2)(1-\alpha)^2} \left(W(\Xi_z^{1/2}\mathcal{K}) + \text{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2}\mathcal{K}) \sqrt{2 \log(16/\delta)} + \|\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \sqrt{2 \log(16/\delta)} \right)^2 - \tilde{\sigma}^2,
$$

where the fourth inequality holds from [\(25\)](#page-22-4) and [\(26\)](#page-23-1).

We simplify the effect of α_1 and α_2 on the upper bound for ϕ . Since $(1 - \alpha_1)^2 \ge 1 - 2\alpha_1$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{(1-\alpha_2)(1-\alpha_1)^2} \le \frac{1}{(1-\alpha_2)(1-2\alpha_1)}
$$

.

If α_1 < $1/2, \alpha_2$ < 1,

$$
(1 - 2\alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_2) = 1 - \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_2
$$

\n
$$
\geq 1 - \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1.
$$

Assume $\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1 < 1/2$. By using the inequality $(1 - x)^{-1} \le 1 + 2x$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$, we can show that

$$
\frac{1}{(1-\alpha_2)(1-\alpha_1)^2} \le \frac{1}{(1-\alpha_2)(1-2\alpha_1)} \le 1+2\alpha_2+4\alpha_1.
$$

Therefore, if we choose β satisfying the following inequality:

$$
2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_1 \le 3\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + 12\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}} := \beta,
$$

the stated result holds.

Finally, we obtain the generalization bound from Lemma [14.](#page-22-0)

Theorem 15 (General Bound). *There exists an absolute constant* $C_1 \leq 24$ *such that the following is true. Assume Assumption [1](#page-3-1) and Assumption [2](#page-5-1) hold. Let* K *denote an arbitrary compact set, and take* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$. Fixing $\delta \leq 1/4$, let $\beta = C_1 \left(\sqrt{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)/n} + \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/n} \right)$. If n is large enough *that* $\beta \leq 1$ *, then the following holds with probability at least* $1 - \delta$ *:*

$$
\max_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}, Y = X\theta} \|\theta - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \le \frac{1+\beta}{n} \left[W(\Xi_z^{1/2}\mathcal{K}) + \left(\text{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2}\mathcal{K}) + \|\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z} \right) \sqrt{2\log \frac{32}{\delta}} \right]^2 - \widetilde{\sigma}^2.
$$

Proof of Theorem [15.](#page-24-1) For any $t > 0$, it holds from Lemma [12](#page-20-0) and Lemma [13](#page-21-0) that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{\theta\in\mathcal{K},Y=X\theta} \|\theta-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 > t\right) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(\phi \geq t).
$$

Lemma [14](#page-22-0) implies that the above term is upper bounded by δ if we choose t using the result [\(21\)](#page-22-5) with δ replaced by $\delta/2$. Then, we obtain the stated result.

By using the definition of the radius of sets and the Gaussian width, we can reduce the generalization bound in Theorem [15](#page-24-1) to a simpler bound:

Corollary 16. *There exists an absolute constant* $C_1 \leq 32$ *such that the following is true.* As-*sume Assumption [1](#page-3-1) Assumption 1 holds.* Pick $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$, fix $\delta \leq 1/4$, and let $\gamma =$ $C_1(\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} + \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/n} + \sqrt{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)/n})$. If $B \geq ||\theta_0||$ and *n* is large enough *that* $\gamma \leq 1$ *, the following holds with probability at least* $1 - \delta$ *;*

$$
\max_{\|\theta\| \le B, \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\theta} \|\theta - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \le (1+\gamma) \frac{\left(B\mathbb{E} \|\Sigma_2^{1/2} H\|_*\right)^2}{n} - \widetilde{\sigma}^2.
$$

Proof of Corollary [16.](#page-24-0) Let K define $\{\theta : ||\theta|| \leq B\}$ in Theorem [15.](#page-24-1) By definition of the Gaussian width and the radius of a set, we have

$$
W(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{E} \sup_{\|\theta\| \le B} |\langle \Xi_z^{1/2} \theta, H \rangle| = \mathbb{E} \sup_{\|\theta\| \le B} |\langle \theta, \Xi_z^{1/2} H \rangle| = B \mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*,
$$

rad $(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}) = \sup_{\|\theta\| \le B} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} \theta\|_2 = B \sup_{\|\theta\| \le 1} \|\theta\|_{\Xi_z}.$

Hence, we obtain

$$
r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z) = \left(\frac{W(\Xi_z^{1/2}K)}{\mathrm{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2}K)}\right)^2.
$$

Because we have $\|\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z} = \sqrt{(\theta_0^{\top})^2}$ $_{0}^{\top} \Xi_{z} \theta_{0} / ||\theta_{0}||^{2}$ · $||\theta_{0}||^{2}$, we have $||\theta_{0}||_{\Xi_{z}} \leq ||\theta_{0}|| \sup_{\|\theta\| \leq 1} ||\theta||_{\Xi_{z}}$. By definition, it is clear that $\|\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z} \leq rad(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K})$. Hence,

$$
W(\Xi_z^{1/2} K) + \left(\text{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2} K) + ||\theta_0||_{\Xi_z} \right) \sqrt{2 \log \frac{32}{\delta}}
$$

\n
$$
\leq W(\Xi_z^{1/2} K) + 2\sqrt{2 \log \frac{32}{\delta}} \text{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2} K)
$$

\n
$$
= W(\Xi_z^{1/2} K) + 2\sqrt{\frac{2 \log(32/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} W(\Xi_z^{1/2} K)
$$

\n
$$
= \left(1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{2 \log(32/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} \right) B \mathbb{E} ||\Xi_z^{1/2} H||_*
$$

holds where the last equality holds by definition of $r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)$. Provided that $\gamma \leq 1$ and $\delta \leq 1/4$, we obtain

$$
(1+\beta)\left(1+2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(32/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right)^2\leq\left(1+\beta+4\sqrt{\frac{2\log(32/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right)\left(1+2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(32/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right)\leq 1+\gamma,
$$

where the inequalities follow from using $(1 + x)(1 + y) \le 1 + x + 2y$ for $x \le 1$. Plugging into Theorem [15](#page-24-1) completes the proof. \square

When we consider the Euclidean space, we can reduce the main generalization bound to a simpler bound.

Corollary [10](#page-14-0) *There exists an absolute constant* $C_1 \leq 32$ *such that the following is true. Assume Assumption [1](#page-3-1) and Assumption [2](#page-5-1) hold. Pick* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$, fix $\delta \leq 1/4$, and let $\gamma = C_1 \left(\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/r(\Xi_z)} + \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/n} + \sqrt{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)/n} \right)$. If $B \ge ||\theta_0||_2$ and n is large enough *that* $\gamma \leq 1$ *, the following holds with probability at least* $1 - \delta$ *;*

$$
\max_{\|\theta\|_2 \leq B, \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\theta} \|\theta - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \leq (1+\gamma) \frac{B^2 \text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n} - \widetilde{\sigma}^2.
$$

Proof of Corollary [10.](#page-14-0) By trivial calculation, we have

$$
W(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}) \leq B \text{tr}(\Xi_z)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2} \mathcal{K}) = B \|\Xi_z\|_{\text{op}}^{1/2}.
$$

By the definition of rad $(\Xi_z^{1/2}K)$, we have $\|\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z} \leq \text{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2}K) = B\|\Xi_z\|_{op}^{1/2}$. Hence,

$$
W(\Xi_z^{1/2} K) + \left(\text{rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2} K) + ||\theta_0||_{\Xi_z} \right) \sqrt{2 \log \frac{32}{\delta}} \le W(\Xi_z^{1/2} K) + 2\sqrt{2 \log \frac{32}{\delta}} \text{ rad}(\Xi_z^{1/2} K)
$$

$$
\le B \text{tr}(\Xi_z)^{1/2} + 2\sqrt{2 \log \frac{32}{\delta}} B ||\Xi_z||_{op}^{1/2}
$$

$$
= \left(1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{2 \log(32/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}} \right) B \text{tr}(\Xi_z)^{1/2}
$$

holds. The last equality holds by definition of the effective rank $r(\Xi)$ in Definition [1.](#page-5-3) Under our assumptions that $\gamma \leq 1$ and $\delta \leq 1/4$, we can show that

$$
(1+\beta)\left(1+2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(32/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}}\right)^2\leq\left(1+\beta+4\sqrt{\frac{2\log(32/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}}\right)\left(1+2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(32/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}}\right)\leq 1+\gamma,
$$

where the inequality follows from using $(1 + x)(1 + y) \le 1 + x + 2y$ for $x \le 1$ and $y \ge 0$. Plugging into Theorem [15](#page-24-1) completes the proof.

Appendix D. Bounds for the Ridgeless Estimator

In this section, we provide a upper bound of a norm of the ridgeless estimator with the existence of a correlation between the covariates and the error terms. In Lemma [17](#page-26-1) and [18,](#page-27-0) we rewrite the norm of the estimator in order to apply the CGMT. Lemma [19](#page-30-0) bounds an element in the rewritten form of the norm. Then, Theorem [20](#page-33-1) develops the desired bound on the norm, and Theorem [21](#page-33-0) gives its Euclidean norm case.

First, we formulate the constrained minimization problem with Gaussian covariates:

Lemma 17. Assume Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)Assumption [2](#page-5-1) hold. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote an arbitrary norm. Define *the primary optimization problem (PO) as*

$$
\Phi := \min_{\mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 = \xi} ||\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)||,
$$

where $\theta_1 = \Xi_z^{1/2} \theta$ and $\theta_2 = \Sigma_u^{1/2} \theta$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Then, for any *t*, it holds that $_{\mathbb{P}}($ $\min_{\mathbf{X}\theta=\mathbf{Y}} \|\theta\| > t$ $\left| \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\|\theta_0\| + \Phi > t \right)$.

Proof of Lemma [17.](#page-26-1) We have $X = W_1 \Xi_z^{1/2} + W_2 \Sigma_u^{1/2}$ by equality in distribution. It follows from the triangle inequality and change of variables that

$$
\min_{\mathbf{X}\theta=\mathbf{Y}}\|\theta\|=\min_{\mathbf{X}\theta=\xi}\|\theta+\theta_0\|\leq \|\theta_0\|+\min_{(\mathbf{W}_1\Xi_z^{1/2}+\mathbf{W}_2\Sigma_u^{1/2})\theta=\xi}\|\theta\|.
$$

Since $\Sigma_x^{1/2} \theta = \Xi_z^{1/2} \theta + \Sigma_u^{1/2} \theta$, we have $\theta = \Sigma_x^{-1/2} (\theta_1 + \theta_2)$ where $\theta_1 = \Xi_z^{1/2} \theta$ and $\theta_2 = \Sigma_u^{1/2} \theta$. Then, the following inequality holds:

$$
\min_{X\theta=Y} \|\theta\| \le \|\theta_0\| + \min_{\mathbf{W}_1\theta_1 + \mathbf{W}_2\theta_2 = \xi} \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|.
$$

As in Lemma [13,](#page-21-0) we use the result of Theorem [9](#page-13-1) to derive the auxiliary optimization problem.

Lemma 18. *In the same setting as Lemma [17,](#page-26-1) let* $G \sim N(0, I_n)$, $H \sim N(0, I_d)$ *be Gaussian vectors independent of* ξ , W_1 , W_2 *and each other. Define the auxiliary optimization problem (AO) as*

$$
\phi := \min_{\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 \le \langle H, \theta_1 \rangle} \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|.
$$
 (28)

 \Box

Then, it holds that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Phi > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \le 2 \mathbb{P}(\phi \ge t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2),
$$

and taking expectations we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Phi > t) \le 2\mathbb{P}(\phi \ge t).
$$

Proof of Lemma [18.](#page-27-0) We reformulate Φ in order to apply the extended CGMT (Theorem [9\)](#page-13-1). By using Lagrangian multipliers, it holds that

$$
\Phi = \min_{\theta_1, \theta_2} \max_{\lambda} \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| + \langle \lambda, \mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \xi \rangle
$$

=
$$
\min_{\theta_1, \theta_2} \max_{\lambda} \langle \lambda, \mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1 \rangle + \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| - \langle \lambda, \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 \rangle.
$$

Because W_1 is independent of W_2 and ξ , the distribution of W_1 is unchanged even though we condition on W_2 and ξ . For any $r, t > 0$, we define

$$
\Phi_r(t) := \min_{\|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| \le 2t} \max_{\|\lambda\|_2 \le r} \langle \lambda, \mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1 \rangle + \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| - \langle \lambda, \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 \rangle.
$$

The corresponding AO is defined as follows:

$$
\phi_r(t) := \min_{\| \Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \| \le 2t} \max_{\| \lambda \|_2 \le r} \| \theta_1 \|_2 \langle G, \lambda \rangle + \| \lambda \|_2 \langle H, \theta_1 \rangle + \| \Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \| - \langle \lambda, \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \min_{\| \Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \| \le 2t} \max_{\| \lambda \|_2 \le r} \| \lambda \|_2 \langle H, \theta_1 \rangle - \langle \lambda, \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - G \| \theta_1 \|_2 \rangle + \| \Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \|
$$

\n
$$
= \min_{\| \Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \| \le 2t} \max_{0 \le \lambda \le r} \lambda(\langle H, \theta_1 \rangle + \| \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - G \| \theta_1 \|_2 \|_2) + \| \Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \|.
$$

Because two optimization problems $\Phi_r(t)$ and $\phi_r(t)$ are defined on compact sets, we can apply Theorem [9](#page-13-1) to those two optimization problems. As an intermediate problem between Φ and $\Phi_r(t)$, we introduce

$$
\Phi(t) := \min_{\|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| \le 2t} \max_{\lambda} \langle \lambda, \mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1 \rangle + \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| - \langle \lambda, \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 \rangle
$$

−¹/2

$$
= \min_{\substack{\mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 = \xi \\ \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| \le 2t}} \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|,
$$

and also define the corresponding AO as

$$
\phi(t) := \min_{\begin{subarray}{l} \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| \le 2t \end{subarray}} \max_{\lambda \ge 0} \lambda(\langle H, \theta_1 \rangle + \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - G \|\theta_1\|_2\|_2) + \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|
$$

=
$$
\min_{\begin{subarray}{l} \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - G \|\theta_1\|_2\|_2 \le \langle H, \theta_1 \rangle \\ \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| \le 2t \end{subarray}} \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|_2.
$$

By definition, apparently, $\Phi \leq \Phi(t)$. Therefore, if $\Phi > t$, $\Phi(t) > t$ holds. If $t \geq \Phi$, then there exists $(\theta_1^*$ $_1^*, \theta_2^*$) such that $||\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1^*)||$ $_{1}^{*}+\theta_{2}^{*}$ $||_2^*|| \leq t$ and $\mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1^*$ $_{1}^{*}$ + **W**₂ θ_{2}^{*} $z_2^* = \xi$. Because $||\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1^*)||$ $_{1}^{*}+\theta_{2}^{*}$ $||_2^*|| \le 2t$, we obtain

$$
\Phi(t) \leq \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1^* + \theta_2^*)\| \leq t.
$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$
\Phi > t \Leftrightarrow \Phi(t) > t.
$$

Likewise, $\phi(t) > t$ is equivalent to $\phi > t$.

To establish the result $\mathbb{P}(\Phi > t) \leq 2\text{Pr}(\phi > t)$, we need to clarify the relationship between Φ and $\Phi_r(t)$, ϕ and $\phi_r(t)$, respectively, i.e.

$$
Pr(\Phi > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Phi_r(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \text{ and } \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\phi_r(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \leq \mathbb{P}(\phi > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2).
$$

Because $\phi_r(t) \leq \phi(t)$ for any $r, \mathbb{P}(\phi_r(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \leq \mathbb{P}(\phi(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2)$ holds. Then, all we need to show is the following:

$$
\Phi_r(t) \to \Phi(t) \quad \text{as } r \to \infty.
$$

We consider the following two cases: (i) $\Phi(t) = \infty$, and (ii) $\Phi(t) < \infty$.

Case (i): $\Phi(t) = \infty$, i.e. the minimization problem defining $\Phi(t)$ is infeasible. In this case, for all $\|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| \le 2t$, we have

$$
\|\mathbf{W}_1\theta_1 + \mathbf{W}_2\theta_2 - \xi\|_2 > 0.
$$

By closedness, there exists $\eta = \eta(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2, \xi)$ such that

$$
\|\mathbf{W}_1\theta_1+\mathbf{W}_2\theta_2-\xi\|_2\geq \eta.
$$

By definition, η is independent of r. Then, it holds that

$$
\Phi_r(t) = \min_{\|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|_2 \le 2t} \max_{\|\lambda\|_2 \le r} \langle \lambda, \mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \xi \rangle + \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\| \ge r\eta.
$$

Therefore, $\Phi_r(t) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$.

Case (ii): $\Phi(t) < \infty$, i.e. the minimization problem defining $\Phi(t)$ is feasible. By compactness, $\Phi_r(t)$ has solutions for the minimax problem. Let $(\theta_1(r), \theta_2(r))$ be one of solutions for $\Phi_r(t)$. If we take a sequence $\{(\theta_1(r), \theta_2(r))\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{(\theta_1(r_n), \theta_2(r_n))\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by sequentially compactness. Let $\{(\theta_1(\infty), \theta_2(\infty))\}$ be a convergent point of this subsequence. For the sake of contradiction, assume that $W_1\theta_1(\infty) + W_2\theta_2(\infty) \neq \xi$. By continuity, there exists η and ε such that, if $\|(\theta_1, \theta_2) - (\theta_1(\infty), \theta_2(\infty))\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$,

$$
\|\mathbf{W}_1\theta_1+\mathbf{W}_2\theta_2-\xi\|_2\geq \eta.
$$

This implies that for sufficiently large n , it holds that

$$
\|\mathbf{W}_1\theta_1(r_n)+\mathbf{W}_2\theta_2(r_n)-\xi\|_2\geq \eta.
$$

As we did in the previous section, we have

$$
\Phi_{r_n}(t) = \max_{\| \lambda \|_2 \le r_n} \langle \lambda, \mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1(r_n) + \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2(r_n) - \xi \rangle + \| \Sigma_x^{-1/2} (\theta_1(r_n) + \theta_2(r_n)) \| \ge r_n \eta.
$$

Hence, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi_{r_n}(t) = \infty$. However, this is contradiction because, for any $r, \Phi_r(t) \leq \Phi(t) < \infty$. Therefore, $\mathbf{W}_1 \theta_1(\infty) + \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2(\infty) = \xi$. If we set $\lambda = 0$, we have

$$
\Phi_{r_n}(t) \geq \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1(r_n) + \theta_2(r_n))\|.
$$

By continuity, we show that

$$
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \Phi_{r_n}(t) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1(r_n) + \theta_2(r_n))\| = \|\Sigma_x^{-1/2}(\theta_1(\infty) + \theta_2(\infty))\| \ge \Phi(t).
$$

Because, for any $r, \Phi_r(t) \leq \Phi(t)$, we have

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Phi_{r_n}(t)\leq \Phi(t)\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\Phi_{r_n}(t),
$$

i.e. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi_{r_n}(t) = \Phi(t)$. Because $\Phi_r(t)$ is an increasing function in terms of r, we have $\lim_{r\to\infty} \Phi_r(t) = \Phi(t)$.

Through the application of Theorem [9](#page-13-1) and two inequalities, $\mathbb{P}(\Phi > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Phi_r(t) >$ $|t|\xi$, **W**₂) and $\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\phi_r(t) > t|\xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \leq \mathbb{P}(\phi > t|\xi, \mathbf{W}_2)$, we prove the result $\mathbb{P}(\Phi > t) \leq$ $2Pr(\phi > t)$. By the last part of Theorem [9,](#page-13-1) we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Phi_r(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \le 2 \mathbb{P}(\phi_r(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2).
$$

Because $\Phi_r(t)$ monotonically increases to $\Phi(t)$ almost surely, it follows from the continuity of the probability measure that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Phi > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) = \mathbb{P}(\Phi(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \mathbb{P}(\cup_r \cap_{r' \geq r} \Phi_{r'}(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2)
$$

\n
$$
= \mathbb{P}(\underbrace{\lim_{r \to \infty} \Phi_r(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2})
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Phi_r(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2).
$$

Because $\phi_r(t) \leq \phi(t)$ holds for any r, $\mathbb{P}(\phi_r(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \leq \mathbb{P}(\phi(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Phi > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \le 2 \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\phi_r(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2) \le 2 \mathbb{P}(\phi(t) > t | \xi, \mathbf{W}_2).
$$

 \Box

Then, we obtain the general upper bound for the auxiliary optimization problem (AO).

Lemma 19. *Denote* P_z , P_u as the orthogonal projection matrix onto the space spanned by Ξ_z and Σ_u , respectively. Let $v_* = \arg \min_{v \in \partial ||\Xi_z^{1/2} H||} ||v||_{\Xi_z}$. Assume that there exists $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$ such that *with probability at least* $1 - \delta/2$ *,*

$$
||v^*||_{\Xi_z} \le (1 + \varepsilon_1) \mathbb{E}||v^*||_{\Xi_z},
$$
\n(29)

and

$$
||P_z v^*||^2 \le 1 + \varepsilon_2. \tag{30}
$$

Define ε as

$$
\varepsilon := 16\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + 28\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}} + 8\sqrt{\frac{\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} + 2(1+\varepsilon_1)^2\frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} + 2\varepsilon_2.
$$

If n and the effective ranks are sufficiently large such that $\varepsilon \leq 1$, then with probability at least $1-\delta$, *it holds that*

$$
\phi^2 \le ||\Sigma_u^+ \omega||^2 + (1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\sigma}^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_2^{1/2}H||_*)^2},\tag{31}
$$

where we denote $r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma)$ *and* $R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma)$ *as follows:*

$$
r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma) = \left(\frac{E\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_*}{\sup_{\|u\|\leq 1} \|u\|_{\Sigma}}\right)^2 \text{ and } R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma) = \left(\frac{E\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_*}{E\|v^*\|_{\Sigma}}\right)^2.
$$

Proof of Lemma [19.](#page-30-0) Fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$ in this proof. To simplify notations, we define coefficients:

$$
\alpha_1 := 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}}, \text{ and } \alpha_2 := \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u) + 1}{n}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(16/\delta)}{n}}.
$$

To prepare for the derivation of the upper bound as in the proof of Lemma [14,](#page-22-0) we consider the following three inequalities:

(i) By Lemma [39,](#page-65-1) uniformly over all $\theta_2 \in \sum_{u}^{1/2} (\mathbb{R}^p - \theta_0)$, it holds that

$$
|\langle \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2, G \rangle| \le ||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2||_2 ||G||_2 \alpha_2. \tag{22}
$$

(ii) By Lemma [40,](#page-65-2) it holds that

$$
-\alpha_1 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|G\|_2 - 1 \le \alpha_1,\tag{23}
$$

and

$$
-\alpha_1 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} ||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2||_2 - \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2}
$$

$$
\le \alpha_1 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2}.
$$
 (24)

(iii) By Theorem [43,](#page-66-8) it holds that

$$
\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_* \ge \mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_* - \sup_{\|u\| \le 1} \|u\|_{\Xi_z} \sqrt{2\log(8/\delta)}
$$

$$
= \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right) \mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*, \tag{32}
$$

because $\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*$ is a sup_{$\|u\|_{\Xi_z}$} Lipschitz continuous function of H.

We construct the upper bound from the restriction of the optimization problem [\(28\)](#page-27-1). From the restriction of the auxiliary problem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2^2 &= \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2^2 - 2\|\theta_1\|_2 \langle \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2, G \rangle + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2 \\ &\le (1 + \alpha_2) \left(\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2^2 + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2 \right), \end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequlaty follows from [\(22\)](#page-22-1) and AM-GM inequality. Combining the result of [\(23\)](#page-30-1) and [\(60\)](#page-48-0) yields

$$
\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2^2 \le (1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 n \left(\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2 + \theta_1^T \theta_1\right). \tag{33}
$$

To consider an upper bound of the ridgeless estimator, we need to choose a suitable θ which satisfies the restriction of the auxiliary problem. We consider the following form of θ :

$$
\theta = P_u(\Sigma_u^+ \omega) + s P_z v^*.
$$

Because $\Sigma_{\mu}^{1/2}\theta = \Sigma_{\mu}^{1/2}\Sigma_{\mu}^{+}\omega = (\Sigma_{\mu}^{1/2})^{\dagger}\omega$ and $\Xi_{z}^{1/2}\theta = s\Xi_{z}^{1/2}\nu^{*}$, from [\(33\)](#page-31-0) and the restriction of the auxiliary problem, it suffices to choose s such that

$$
(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 n \left(\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \Sigma_u^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega + \omega^T \Sigma_u^+ \Sigma_u \Sigma_u^+ \omega + s^2 ||\Xi_z^{1/2} v^*||_2^2\right)
$$

= $(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 n (\tilde{\sigma}^2 + s^2 ||\Xi_z^{1/2} v^*||_2^2)$
 $\leq (\langle H, s \Xi_z^{1/2} v^* \rangle)^2$
= $s^2 ||\Xi_z^{1/2} H||_*^2$.

Solving for s , we can choose

$$
s^{2} = \widetilde{\sigma}^{2} \left(\frac{\|\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H\|_{*}^{2}}{(1+\alpha_{2})(1+\alpha_{1})^{2}n} - \|\nu^{*}\|_{\Xi_{z}}^{2} \right)^{-1},
$$

under the assumption that

$$
\left(\frac{\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*^2}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2n} - \|v^*\|_{\Xi_z}^2\right) > 0.
$$
\n(34)

We need to guarantee [\(34\)](#page-31-1) holds. By [\(29\)](#page-30-2) and [\(32\)](#page-31-2), we have

$$
\frac{\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*^2}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2n} - \|v^*\|_{\Xi_z}^2
$$

$$
\geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2n} \left(1-\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right)^2 - (1+\varepsilon_1)^2(\mathbb{E}||v^*||_{\Xi_z})^2
$$

$$
\geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2}{n} \left(\frac{1}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2} \left(1-2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right) - (1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}\right),
$$

where the last inequality follows from the definition of $R_{\|\cdot\|}(\cdot)$.

As in the proof of Lemma [14,](#page-22-0) we linearize the terms including α_1 and α_2 to simplify the upper bound. Provided that α_1 < 1, we have

$$
(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 = 1 + 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\alpha_1^2
$$

$$
\leq 1 + 3\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2.
$$

Because $(1 - x)^{-1} \ge 1 + x$ for any x, it holds that

$$
\frac{1}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2} \ge (1+3\alpha_1+4\alpha_2)^{-1}
$$

$$
\ge (1-(3\alpha_1+4\alpha_2)).
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\frac{1}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2} \left(1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right) - (1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}
$$
\n
$$
\geq (1 - (3\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2)) \left(1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right) - (1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}
$$
\n
$$
\geq 1 - (3\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2) - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} - (1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}
$$
\n
$$
\geq 1 - \varepsilon',
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon' = 8\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + 14\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}} + 4\sqrt{\frac{\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} + (1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}
$$

.

Finally, we derive the upper bound of the ridgeless estimator. If $\varepsilon' \leq 1/2$, because $(1-x)^{-1} \leq$ $1 + 2x$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$, it holds that

$$
s^2 \leq \widetilde{\sigma}^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2} \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon'} \leq \widetilde{\sigma}^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2} (1+2\varepsilon').
$$

Then, it holds from [\(30\)](#page-30-3) that

$$
\phi^2 \le ||\Sigma_u^+ \omega||^2 + s^2 ||P_z v^*||^2 \le ||\Sigma_u^+ \omega||^2 + s^2 (1 + \varepsilon_2).
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\phi^2 \le ||\Sigma_u^+ \omega||^2 + (1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\sigma}^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2},
$$

with $\varepsilon = 2\varepsilon' + 2\varepsilon_2$. $\mathcal{O}_1 + 2\varepsilon_2$.

At last, we can derive the general norm bound in the case where the covariates correlate with errors.

Theorem 20 (General norm bound). *There exists an absolute constant* $C_2 \leq 56$ *such that the following is true. Under Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)Assumption* [2](#page-5-1) *with covariance split* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$, let $\|\cdot\|$ *be an arbitrary norm, and fix* $\delta \leq 1/4$ *. Denote the* ℓ_2 *orthogonal projection matrix onto the space* spanned by Ξ_z , Σ_u as P_z , P_u , respectively. Let H be normally distributed with mean zero and *variance* I_d , *i.e.* $H \sim N(0, I_d)$. Denote v_* as $\arg \min_{v \in \partial \|\Xi_z^{\{1/2} H}\|_*} \|v\|_{\Xi_z}$. Suppose that there exist $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$ *such that with probability at least* $1 - \delta/4$

$$
||v^*||_{\Xi_z} \le (1+\varepsilon_1)E||v^*||_{\Xi_z},
$$

and

$$
||Pv^*||^2 \le 1 + \varepsilon_2.
$$

Let ε denote $C_2\left(\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r_{\parallel,\parallel}(\Sigma_\tau)}}\right)$ $\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}}$ $\frac{(1/\delta)}{n} + (1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\parallel \parallel \parallel} (n+1)}$ $\frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} + \varepsilon_2$. Then, if *n* and the *effective ranks are large enough that* $\varepsilon \leq 1$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, it holds that √

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}\| \le \|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\| + (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2} \widetilde{\sigma} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*)}.
$$

Proof of Theorem [20.](#page-33-1) For any $t > 0$, it holds from Lemma [17](#page-26-1) and [18](#page-27-0) that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\|\widehat{\theta}\| > t) \le \mathbb{P}(\Phi > t - \|\theta_0\|) \le 2\mathbb{P}(\phi \ge t - \|\theta_0\|).
$$

Lemma [19](#page-30-0) implies that the above term is upper bounded by δ if we choose $t - ||\theta_0||$ using the result [\(31\)](#page-30-4) with δ replaced by $\delta/2$. We obtain the stated result by moving $\|\theta_0\|$ to the other side. \Box

When we consider the Euclidean space, we can reduce the upper bound of the ridgeless estimator to a simpler bound.

Theorem 21 (Euclidean norm bound; special case of Theorem [20\)](#page-33-1). *Fix any* $\delta \leq 1/4$ *. Under* Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)Assumption [2](#page-5-1) with covariance splitting $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$, there exists some $\varepsilon \le \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} +$ $\log(1/\delta)$ $\frac{\overline{\log(1/\delta)}}{r(\Xi_z)} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}}$ $\frac{(1/\delta)}{n} + \frac{n \log(1/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)}$ $\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)}$ such that the following is true. If *n* and the effective ranks are such *that* $\varepsilon \leq 1$ and $R(\Xi_z) \geq \log(1/\delta)^2$, then with probability at least $1 - \delta$, it holds that

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}\|_2 \le \|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2 + (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2} \widetilde{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}},\tag{35}
$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}^2 := \sigma^2 - \omega^T \Sigma_u^+ \omega$.

Proof of Theorem [21.](#page-33-0) Throughout this proof, we simplify the upper bound, especially $n/R_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)$ and $1/r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)$, in Theorem [20.](#page-33-1) By definition of the dual norm and $\partial \|\Xi_x^{1/2}H\|_*$ with Euclidean

norm, v^* is equal to $\Xi_z^{1/2}H/\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2$. Hence, $||v^*||_{\Xi_z}$ is $||\Xi_zH||_2/||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_2$. From the result of [\(90\)](#page-66-9), for some constant $c > 0$, we can choose ε_1 such that

$$
(1+\varepsilon_1)E||v^*||_{\Xi_z} = c\sqrt{\log(16/\delta)\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}}.
$$

If we assume effective rank is sufficiently large, [\(87\)](#page-65-3) provides that $(E||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_2)^2 \ge \text{tr}(\Xi_z)$. Therefore, we have

$$
(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)} = n \frac{(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 (E\|\nu^*\|_{\Xi_z})^2}{\left(E\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2\right)^2} \lesssim n \log(16/\delta) \frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)^2} = \frac{n \log(16/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)}.
$$

Moreover, because P_z is an l_2 projection matrix, let ε_2 be zero. Then, it holds from [\(88\)](#page-65-4) of Lemma [41](#page-65-5) that

$$
\varepsilon \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}} + \frac{n \log(1/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)}
$$

.

 \Box

By using the inequality $(1-x)^{-1} \leq 1+2x$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$ and [\(87\)](#page-65-3) of Lemma [41,](#page-65-5) we finally obtain

$$
(1+\varepsilon)^{1/2}\widetilde{\sigma}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{E\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2} \le (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2}\left(1-\frac{1}{r(\Xi_z)}\right)^{-1/2}\widetilde{\sigma}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}
$$

$$
\le (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2}\left(1+\frac{2}{r(\Xi_z)}\right)^{1/2}\widetilde{\sigma}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}
$$

$$
\le \left(1+2\varepsilon+\frac{2}{r(\Xi_z)}\right)^{1/2}\widetilde{\sigma}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)},
$$

with ε replaced by

$$
\varepsilon'=2\varepsilon+\frac{2}{r(\Xi_z)}\lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}}+\sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}}+\sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}}+\frac{n\log(1/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)}.
$$

Appendix E. Benign Overfitting

In this section, we state the main result on the conditions of benign overfitting by combining results from two previous sections. First, we derive the result with an arbitrary norm.

Theorem [2](#page-5-1)2 (Benign Overfitting). Fix any $\delta \leq 1/2$. Under Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)Assumption 2 with *covariance splitting* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ *, let* γ *and* ε *be as defined in Corollary [16](#page-24-0) and Theorem [20.](#page-33-1) Suppose that n and the effective ranks are such that* $R(\Xi_z) \gtrsim \log(1/\delta)^2$ and $\gamma, \varepsilon \le 1$. Then, with *probability at least* $1 - \delta$ *, it holds that*

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \le (1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\widetilde{\sigma}+(\|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|+\|\theta_0\|)\frac{{\mathbb E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2-\widetilde{\sigma}^2.
$$

Proof of Theorem [22.](#page-34-1) From the result of Theorem [20](#page-33-1) if we adopt

$$
B = ||\theta_0|| + ||\Sigma_u^+ \omega|| + (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \widetilde{\sigma} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(||\Xi_z|^{1/2} H||_*)},
$$

then $\{\theta : ||\theta|| \leq B\} \cap \{\theta; \mathbf{X}\theta = \mathbf{Y}\}\$ is not empty with high probability. Apparently, $B > ||\theta_0||$. This intersection necessarily includes the ridgeless estimator $\hat{\theta}$. Therefore, it holds from Corollary [16](#page-24-0) that

$$
\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \le \max_{\|\theta\| \le B, \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\theta} \|\theta - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2
$$

\n
$$
\le (1 + \gamma) \frac{B^2 (\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*)^2}{n} - \widetilde{\sigma}^2
$$

\n
$$
= (1 + \gamma) \left((\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|) \frac{\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}} + (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \widetilde{\sigma} \right)^2 - \widetilde{\sigma}^2
$$

\n
$$
\le (1 + \gamma)(1 + \varepsilon) \left(\widetilde{\sigma} + (\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|) \frac{\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^2 - \widetilde{\sigma}^2.
$$

Then, we obtain the statement.

Theorem [8](#page-12-2) (Sufficient conditions) *Under Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)Assumption [2,](#page-5-1) let* $\widehat{\theta}$ *be the ridgeless estimator. Let* $\|\cdot\|$ *denote an arbitrary norm. Suppose that as n goes to* ∞ *, there exists a sequence of covariance splitting* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ *such that the following conditions hold:*

(i) *(Small large-variance dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}=0.
$$

(ii) *(Large effective dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} = 0.
$$

(iii) *(No aliasing condition.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\theta_0\| \mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_{*}}{\sqrt{n}} = 0.
$$

(iv) *(Contracting* ℓ_2 *projection condition.)For any* $\eta > 0$ *,*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(\|P_u v^*\|^2 > 1 + \eta) = 0.
$$

(v) *(condition for the minimal interpolation of IV)*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\|\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\|\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H\|_{*}}{\sqrt{n}}=0.
$$

Then, $\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi}^2$ $\frac{2}{E_z}$ converges to 0 in probability.

Proof of Theorem [8.](#page-12-2) We take advantage of the upper bound on the projected RMSE derived in Theorem [22.](#page-34-1) To begin with, we reorganize the upper bound in Theorem [22,](#page-34-1) in order to elucidate the terms that should be sufficiently small for the projected RMSE to converge. Fix any $\eta > 0$. By trivial calculation, we have

$$
(1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\widetilde{\sigma} + (\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|) \frac{\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 - \widetilde{\sigma}^2
$$

\n
$$
= (1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\left((\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|) \frac{\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 + 2\widetilde{\sigma}(\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|) \frac{\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

\n
$$
+ (\gamma + \varepsilon + \gamma \varepsilon)\widetilde{\sigma}^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq (1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\left((\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|) \frac{\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 + 2\sigma(\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|) \frac{\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

\n
$$
+ (\gamma + \varepsilon + \gamma \varepsilon)\sigma^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \eta.
$$

\n(36)

The second last inequality follows $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2 - ||\omega||^2_{\Sigma}$ $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \leq \sigma^2$, and the last inequality holds by selecting sufficiently small γ , ε and $(\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^T \omega\|)(\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*/\sqrt{n}).$

Fix any $\delta > 0$. The conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem [8](#page-12-2) make γ sufficiently small for large enough *n*. $(\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^{\pm} \omega\|)(\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*/\sqrt{n})$ goes to zero from the conditions (iii) and (v). From the condition (iv) of Theorem [8,](#page-12-2) ε_2 in ε can also be arbitrarily small.

Finally, we need to specify the conditions when the ε can be sufficiently small. By definition of $R_{\|\cdot\|(\Xi_z)}$, we have

$$
\sqrt{\frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|\nu^*\|_{\Xi_z}}{\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*/\sqrt{n}}\right].
$$

It holds from the Markov inequality that for any $\eta' > 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|v^*\|_{\Xi_z}}{\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*/\sqrt{n}} > \sqrt{\eta'}\right) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta'}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|v^*\|_{\Xi_z}}{\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*/\sqrt{n}}\right] \n= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta'}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}.
$$
\n(37)

Because $n/R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)$ converges to zero in its limit, the left hand side of [\(37\)](#page-36-0) can be arbitrarily small. Hence, we can pick up ε_1 such that

$$
(1+\varepsilon_1)\mathbb{E}\|v^*\|_{\Xi_z}=\sqrt{\eta'}\frac{\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*}{\sqrt{n}},
$$

which implies that

$$
(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} = \frac{n}{\left(\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*\right)^2} ((1+\varepsilon_1)\mathbb{E}\|v^*\|_{\Xi_z})^2 = \eta'.
$$

We have shown that γ , ε and $(\|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^{\dagger}\omega\|)(\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_*/\sqrt{n})$ are so small that [\(36\)](#page-36-1) holds for sufficiently large n . Therefore, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{P}(\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 > \eta) \leq \delta
$$

for any fixed η . Because η and δ are arbitrary, we have for any η ,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2>\eta)=0.
$$

Then, we obtain the statement.

Second, we establish sufficient conditions of benign overfitting with the Euclidean norm.

Theorem [1](#page-5-2) (Benign Overfitting) *Fix any* $\delta \leq 1/2$ *. Under Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)Assumption [2](#page-5-1) with covariance splitting* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ *, let* γ *and* ε *be as defined in Corollary [10](#page-14-0) and Theorem [21.](#page-33-0) Suppose that n and the effective ranks are such that* $R(\Xi_z) \gtrsim \log(1/\delta)^2$ *and* $\gamma, \varepsilon \le 1$ *. Then, with probability at least* $1 - \delta$,

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \leq (1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\widetilde{\sigma}+(\|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2+\|\theta_0\|_2)\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}\right)^2-\widetilde{\sigma}^2.
$$

Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-5-2) From the result of Theorem [21,](#page-33-0) if we adopt

$$
B = \|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2 + (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2} \widetilde{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}},
$$

then $\{\theta : ||\theta||_2 \leq B\} \cap \{\theta; X\theta = Y\}$ is not empty with high probability. Apparently, $B > ||\theta_0||_2$. This intersection necessarily includes the ridgeless estimator $\hat{\theta}$. Therefore, it holds from Corollary [10](#page-14-0) that

$$
\|\hat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \le \max_{\|\theta\|_2 \le B, \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\theta} \|\theta - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2
$$

\n
$$
\le (1 + \gamma) \frac{B^2 \text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n} - \tilde{\sigma}^2
$$

\n
$$
= (1 + \gamma) \left((\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2) \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} + (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \tilde{\sigma} \right)^2 - \tilde{\sigma}^2
$$

\n
$$
\le (1 + \gamma)(1 + \varepsilon) \left(\tilde{\sigma} + (\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2) \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} \right)^2 - \tilde{\sigma}^2.
$$

 \Box

Theorem [2](#page-7-1) (Sufficient conditions) *Under Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)Assumption [2,](#page-5-1) let* $\widehat{\theta}$ *be the ridgeless estimator. Suppose that as n goes to* ∞ *, there exists a sequence of covariance splitting* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ *such that the following conditions hold:*

(i) *(Small large-variance dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}=0.
$$

(ii) *(Large effective dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)} = 0.
$$

(iii) *(No aliasing condition.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||\theta_0||_2 \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} = 0.
$$

(iv) *(condition for the minimal interpolation of IV)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\Sigma_{\mu}^{\dagger}\omega\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} = 0.
$$

Then, $\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi}^2$ $\frac{2}{E_z}$ converges to 0 in probability.

Proof of Theorem [2.](#page-7-1) As in the proof of Theorem [8,](#page-12-2) we rearrange the upper bound derived in Theorem [1](#page-5-2) to clarify which terms should be sufficiently small for the projected RMSE to converge. By trivial calculation, we have

$$
(1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\overline{\sigma} + (\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2)\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}\right)^2 - \overline{\sigma}^2
$$

\n
$$
= (1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\left((\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2)\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}\right)^2 + 2\overline{\sigma}(\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2)\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}\right)
$$

\n
$$
+(\gamma + \varepsilon + \gamma \varepsilon)\overline{\sigma}^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq (1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\left((\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2)\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}\right)^2 + 2\sigma(\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2)\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}\right)
$$

\n
$$
+(\gamma + \varepsilon + \gamma \varepsilon)\sigma^2.
$$
 (38)

The inequality follows $\tilde{\sigma}^2 \leq \sigma^2$ as in the proof of Theorem [8.](#page-12-2)

Fix any $\eta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. From Lemma 5 of [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6), it holds that $R(\Xi_z) \le r(\Xi_z)^2$. If $R(\Xi_z) = \omega(n)$ holds as the second condition in Theorem [2,](#page-7-1) we have $r(\Xi_z) = \omega(\sqrt{n}) = \omega(1)$, which implies the convergence of $1/r(\Xi_z)$ to zero. Hence, the conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem [1](#page-5-2) make γ and ε sufficiently small for large enough n. $(||\theta_0||_2 + ||\Sigma_u^+ \omega||_2) \sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)/n}$ goes to zero from the conditions (iii) and (iv). Therefore, for sufficiently large n , we obtain that [\(38\)](#page-38-0) is no more than η . Therefore, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{P}(\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\mathbb{E}_z}^2 > \eta) \leq \delta,
$$

for any fixed η . Because η and δ are arbitrary, we have for any η ,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 > \eta) = 0.
$$

Appendix F. Non-Orthogonal Case

We give the proof of the non-orthogonal case independently in this section, because the case requires additional complicated analysis, which is not a simple extension of the orthogonal case.

We give the results in Section [4](#page-9-0) for the case where Ξ_z and Σ_u are non-orthogonal. In this section, we use $\Sigma_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ and $\Sigma_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ as notations for (potentially non-orthogonal) matrices, since the statements in this section can be regarded as generic results for general matrices. In the setting for regression with endogeneity, these notations correspond to Ξ _z and Σ _u, respectively.

First, we introduce auxiliary lemmas for this section.

Lemma 23 (Corollary 2 in [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10)). *There exists an absolute constant* $C_1 \le 66$ *such that the following is true. Under Assumption [1](#page-3-1) with covariance* $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$, *fix* $\delta \leq 1/4$ *and let* $\gamma = C_1 \left(\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/r(\Sigma_2)} + \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/n} + \sqrt{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1)/n} \right)$. If $B \ge ||\theta_0||_2$ and n is large enough *that* $\gamma \leq 1$ *, the following holds with probability at least* $1 - \delta$ *:*

$$
\sup_{\|\theta\|_2 \le B, \widehat{L}(\theta) = 0} L(\theta) \le (1 + \gamma) \frac{B^2 \text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}{n}
$$
\n(39)

where $\widehat{L}(\theta) = ||\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\theta||^2 / n$ *and* $L(\theta) := E[(Y_1 - X_1^{\top})]$ $\left[\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\right]$ $\left[\begin{matrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\right]$

Lemma 24 (Corollary 4 in [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10)). *Suppose* $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ *are independen with* Σ : $p \times p$ a positive semidefinite matrix, $t > 0$ and $n \geq 4(d + t^2)$. Let $\widehat{\Sigma} = \sum_i X_i X_i^{\top}/n$ be the *empirical covariance matrix. Then, with probability at least* $1 - \delta$,

$$
(1 - \varepsilon)\Sigma \leq \widehat{\Sigma} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\Sigma,
$$

with $\varepsilon = 3\sqrt{d/n} + 3\sqrt{2\log(2/\delta)/n}$.

Lemma 25. *Take any covariance matrix* Σ_1 , Σ_2 . *If* $\Sigma_1^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{2}^{1/2}$ $_2^{1/2} \neq 0$, it holds that with probability at *least* $1 - \delta$,

$$
1 - \frac{\|\Sigma_1^{1/2} \Sigma_2^{1/2} H\|_2^2}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)} \lesssim \frac{\log(4/\delta)}{\sqrt{R(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)}}.
$$
(40)

Moreover, it holds that with probability at least $1 - \delta$ *,*

$$
\|\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_2^2 \lesssim \log(4/\delta)\text{tr}(\Sigma_1\Sigma_2). \tag{41}
$$

Therefore, if $R(\Sigma_2) \ge \log(4/\delta)^2$ *holds, we have*

$$
\left(\frac{\|\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_2}{\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_2}\right)^2 \lesssim \log(4/\delta) \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1\Sigma_2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}.
$$
\n(42)

Proof of Lemma [25.](#page-39-1) Because $\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ $\sum_{1}^{1/2} \sum_{1}^{1/2}$ $2^{1/2}$ is a real symmetric matrix, there exists an orthogonal matrix Q such that $Q\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1} \sum_{2}^{1/2}$ $^{1/2}_{2}Q^{\top}$ is a diagonal matrix. Further, QH has the normal standard distribution by definition of H. Therefore, without loss of generality, $\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1} \sum_{2}^{1/2}$ $2^{1/2}$ can be considered as a diagonal matrix that consists of eigenvalues of $\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1} \sum_{2}^{1/2}$ $\lambda_2^{1/2}, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p$. By the sub-exponential Berstenin inequality [\(Vershynin](#page-68-8) [\(2018\)](#page-68-8), Theorem 2.8.2), we have with probability at least $1 - \delta/2$

$$
\left|\frac{\|\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_2^2}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1\Sigma_2)}-1\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^p\frac{\lambda_i}{\Sigma_k\lambda_k}(H_i^2-1)\right|\lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\log(4/\delta)}{R(\Sigma_1\Sigma_2)}}\vee\frac{\log(4/\delta)}{r(\Sigma_1\Sigma_2)}\leq \frac{\log(4/\delta)}{\sqrt{R(\Sigma_1\Sigma_2)}}.
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact $R(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2) \le (r(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2))^2$. By definition, apparently $R(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2) \geq 1$. Therefore, we have

$$
\|\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_2^2 \lesssim \log(4/\delta)\text{tr}(\Sigma_1\Sigma_2).
$$

Provided $R(\Sigma_2)$ is sufficiently large, we obtain $\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}\|$ $_{2}^{1/2}H\|_{2}^{2}$ $\frac{2}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ tr(Σ_2). Therefore, it holds that

$$
\left(\frac{\|\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_2}{\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_2}\right)^2 \lesssim \log(4/\delta)\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1\Sigma_2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}.
$$

F.1. When X_i and ξ_i is independent.

Lemma 26. Denote P as the projection matrix onto the space spanned by Σ_2 . Let v_* denote $\argmin_{v \in \partial \|\Sigma_2^{1/2} H\|_*} \|v\|_{\Sigma_2}$. Assume that there exist $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and $\varepsilon_3 \geq 0$ such that with probability at $least 1 - \delta/4,$

$$
\|v^*\|_{\Sigma_2} \le (1+\varepsilon_1)\mathbb{E}\|v^*\|_{\Sigma_2},\tag{43}
$$

$$
||Pv^*|| \le 1 + \varepsilon_2,\tag{44}
$$

and

$$
\|\Sigma_1^{1/2} P \mathbf{v}^*\|_2 \le (1 + \varepsilon_3) \mathbb{E} \|\Sigma_1^{1/2} P \mathbf{v}^*\|_2. \tag{45}
$$

Define ε as

$$
\varepsilon := 84 \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1)}{n}} + 156 \sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}} + 8 \sqrt{\frac{\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}} + 2(1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)} + 2(1 + \varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_2^{1/2}H||_*)^2} (\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_1^{1/2}Pv^*||_2)^2}
$$

where we denote $r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma)$ *and* $R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma)$ *are the efficient rank with general norms as provided in Definition* [4.](#page-11-5) If *n* and the effective ranks are sufficiently large such that $\varepsilon \leq 1$, then with probability *at least* $1 - \delta$ *, it holds that*

$$
\phi^2 \le (1+\varepsilon)\sigma^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_2^{1/2}H||_*)^2}
$$
(46)

Proof of Lemma [26.](#page-40-0) Denote α_1, α_2 and α_3 as follows:

$$
\alpha_1 := 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}},
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_2 := 3\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1)}{n}} + 3\sqrt{\frac{2\log(16/\delta)}{n}},
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_3 := \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1) + 1}{n}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(16/\delta)}{n}}.
$$

To prepare for the derivation of the upper bound, we consider a list of the following inequalities, and each of these holds with probability at least $1 - \delta/8$.

(i) By [\(86\)](#page-65-0) in Lemma [39,](#page-65-1) uniformly over all $\theta_2 \in \Sigma_1^{1/2}$ $j_1^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^p)$, it holds that

$$
|\langle \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2, G \rangle| \le ||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2||_2 ||G||_2 \alpha_3, \tag{47}
$$

and

$$
|\langle \xi, \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 \rangle| \le ||\xi||_2 \|\mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2 \alpha_3. \tag{48}
$$

For [\(47\)](#page-41-0), V, s in Lemma [39](#page-65-1) correspond to G , $\xi - W_2\theta_2$, respectively. For [\(48\)](#page-41-1), V, s in Lemma [39](#page-65-1) correspond to ξ , $\mathbf{W}_2\theta_2$, respectively. δ is replaced by $\delta/8$.

(ii) By Lemma [24,](#page-39-2) uniformly over all $\theta_2 \in \Sigma_1^{1/2}$ $1/2$ (\mathbb{R}^p), it holds that

$$
(1 - \alpha_2) ||\theta_2||_2^2 \le \frac{||\mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2||_2^2}{n} \le (1 + \alpha_2) ||\theta_2||_2^2.
$$
 (49)

Σ and *d* in Lemma [24](#page-39-2) correspond to Σ_1 and rank(Σ_1) in [\(49\)](#page-41-2), respectively.

(iii) By Lemma [40,](#page-65-2) it holds that

$$
-\alpha_1 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|G\|_2 - 1 \le \alpha_1,\tag{50}
$$

and

$$
-\alpha_1 \sigma \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|\xi\|_2 - \sigma \le \alpha_1 \sigma. \tag{51}
$$

(iv) By Theorem [43,](#page-66-8) it holds that

$$
\|\Sigma_2^{1/2} H\|_* \ge \mathbb{E} \|\Sigma_2^{1/2} H\|_* - \sup_{\|u\| \le 1} \|u\|_{\Sigma_2} \sqrt{2 \log(8/\delta)}
$$

$$
= \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}}\right) \mathbb{E} \|\Sigma_2^{1/2} H\|_*, \tag{52}
$$

because $||\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ ² $H\|_*$ is a sup_{||*u*||≤1} ||*u*||_{∑2}-Lipschitz continuous function of *H*.

We construct the upper bound from the restriction of the optimization problem [\(28\)](#page-27-1). It holds from [\(47\)](#page-41-0), [\(48\)](#page-41-1) and AM-GM inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2^2 &\leq (1 + \alpha_3) (\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2^2 + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2) \\ &\leq (1 + \alpha_3) ((1 + \alpha_3) (\|\xi\|^2 + \|\mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2^2) + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2). \end{aligned}
$$

From the results of [\(49\)](#page-41-2) [\(50\)](#page-41-3) and [\(51\)](#page-41-4), we obtain $\|\mathbf{W}_2\theta_2\|_2^2$ $2^{2} \leq n(1+\alpha_2) \|\theta_2\|_2^2$ 2^2 , $\|\xi\|_2^2$ $\frac{2}{2} \le (1+\alpha_1)^2 n \sigma^2$, and $||G||^2 \le (1 + \alpha_1)^2 n$. Therefore, we have

$$
\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2^2 \le n(1 + \alpha_1)^2 (1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_3)^2 (\sigma^2 + \|\theta_2\|_2^2 + \|\theta_1\|_2^2).
$$
 (53)

To consider an upper bound of the ridgeless estimator, we need to choose a suitable θ which satisfies the restriction of the auxiliary problem. We defube $\theta := s(Pv^*)$. Then, we have $\theta_1 =$ $s\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ $^{1/2}_{2}v^*$ and $\theta_2 = s\Sigma_1^{1/2}$ $^{1/2}_{1}Pv^*$. If we consider the value of *s* which satisfies the inequality:

$$
n(1+\alpha_1)^2(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_3)^2(\sigma^2 + \|s\Sigma_1^{1/2}Pv^*\|_2^2 + \|s\Sigma_2^{1/2}v^*\|_2^2) \leq s^2 \langle H, \Sigma_2^{1/2}v^*\rangle^2,
$$

it holds from [\(53\)](#page-42-0) that

$$
\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2^2 \le (\langle H, \theta_1 \rangle)^2.
$$

Because $\langle H, \theta_1 \rangle \ge 0$ by definition of $\theta_1, \theta = s(Pv^*)$ satisfies the restriction of the auxiliary problem in the Lemma [18.](#page-27-0) Solving for s , we can select

$$
s^{2} = \sigma^{2} \left(\frac{\langle H, \Sigma_{2}^{1/2} v^{*} \rangle^{2}}{\underbrace{\frac{n(1+\alpha_{1})^{2}(1+\alpha_{2})(1+\alpha_{3})^{2}}{e}} - \|\Sigma_{1}^{1/2} P v^{*} \|_{2}^{2} - \|\Sigma_{2}^{1/2} v^{*} \|_{2}^{2}} \right)^{-1}
$$

,

under the condition that Υ is positive. We derive a lower bound of Υ as in the proof of Lemma [19:](#page-30-0)

$$
\begin{split} \Upsilon &= \frac{\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*^2}{(1+\alpha_1)^2(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_3)^2n} - \|\nu^*\|_{\Sigma_2}^2 - \|\Sigma_1^{1/2}P\nu^*\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*)^2}{n} \left(\frac{1}{(1+\alpha_1)^2(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_3)^2} \left(1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)} - \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*)^2} \|\Sigma_1^{1/2}P\nu^*\|_2^2\right) \\ &\geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*)^2}{n} \left(\frac{1}{(1+\alpha_1)^2(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_3)^2} \left(1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)} - (1+\varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*)^2} (\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_1^{1/2}P\nu^*\|_2)^2\right). \end{split}
$$

The equality holds by definition of $R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)$, the first inequality holds from [\(43\)](#page-40-1) and [\(52\)](#page-41-5), and the second inequality follows $||\Sigma_1^{1/2}$ $\|1^{1/2}Pv^*\|_2 \leq (1+\varepsilon_3)\mathbb{E} \|\Sigma_1^{1/2}$ $_{1}^{1/2}Pv^*||_2$ by Assumption [\(45\)](#page-40-2).

We linearize the terms including α_1 , α_2 and α_3 to simplify the upper bound. If $\alpha_1 < 1$, $\alpha_2 < 1$ and α_3 < 1, it holds that

$$
(1 + \alpha_1)^2 (1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_3)^2 = (1 + 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_1^2)(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_3^2)
$$

\n
$$
\leq (1 + 3\alpha_1)(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + 3\alpha_3)
$$

\n
$$
= (1 + 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1\alpha_2)(1 + 3\alpha_3)
$$

$$
\leq (1 + 3\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2)(1 + 3\alpha_3)
$$

$$
\leq (1 + 12\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 + 15\alpha_3).
$$

Because $(1+x)^{-1} \ge (1-x)$ holds for any x, we have

$$
\left(\frac{1}{(1+\alpha_1)^2(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_3)^2}\left(1-2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}}\right)\right)
$$

$$
-(1+\varepsilon_1)^2\frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)} - (1+\varepsilon_3)^2\frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*)^2}(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_1^{1/2}Pv^*\|_2)^2\right)
$$

$$
\geq 1 - (12\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 + 15\alpha_3) - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}} - (1+\varepsilon_3)^2\frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*)^2}(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_1^{1/2}Pv^*\|_2)^2
$$

$$
\geq 1-\varepsilon'
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon' = 42 \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1)}{n}} + 78 \sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}} + 4 \sqrt{\frac{\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}}
$$

$$
+ (1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)} + (1 + \varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E} \|\Sigma_2^{1/2} H\|_*)^2} (\mathbb{E} \|\Sigma_1^{1/2} P v^*\|_2)^2
$$

If ε' ≤ 1/2, because $(1 - x)^{-1}$ ≤ 1 + 2x for $x \in [0, 1/2]$, it holds that

$$
s^{2} = \sigma^{2} \Upsilon^{-1} \leq (1 - \varepsilon')^{-1} \sigma^{2} \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_{2}^{1/2}H||_{*})^{2}} \leq (1 + 2\varepsilon')\sigma^{2} \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_{2}^{1/2}H||_{*})^{2}}.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\phi^2 \le s^2 \|Pv^*\|^2 \le (1+\varepsilon_2)(1+2\varepsilon')\sigma^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*)^2} \le (1+\varepsilon)\sigma^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*)^2}
$$

with $\varepsilon = 2\varepsilon' + 2\varepsilon_2$.

Theorem 27 (General norm bound). *There exists an absolute constant* $C_2 \leq 312$ *such that the following is true. Under Assumption [1](#page-3-1) with covariance split* $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$, *let* $\|\cdot\|$ *be an arbitrary norm, and fix* $\delta \leq 1/4$ *. Denote the* ℓ_2 *orthogonal projection matrix onto the space spanned by* Σ_2 as P. Let *H* be normally distributed with mean zero and variance I_d , i.e. $H \sim N(0, I_d)$. Denote v_* as $\arg \min_{v \in \partial ||\Sigma_2^{1/2} H||_*} ||v||_{\Sigma_2}$. Assume that there exists $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and $\varepsilon_3 \ge 0$ such that with probability *at least* $1 - \delta/8$,

$$
||v^*||_{\Sigma_2} \le (1 + \varepsilon_1) \mathbb{E} ||v^*||_{\Sigma_2},
$$

$$
||Pv^*|| \le 1 + \varepsilon_2,
$$

and

$$
\|\Sigma_1^{1/2} P v^*\|_2 \le (1+\varepsilon_3) \mathbb{E} \|\Sigma_1^{1/2} P v^*\|_2.
$$

Define ε as

$$
\varepsilon := C_2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} + (1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)} + (1 + \varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_2^{1/2}H||_*)^2} (\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_1^{1/2}Pv^*||_2)^2 + \varepsilon_2 \right).
$$

If n and the effective ranks are sufficiently large such that $\varepsilon \leq 1$, then with probability at least $1-\delta$, *it holds that* √

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}\| \le \|\theta_0\| + (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2} \sigma \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_*}.
$$

Proof of Theorem [27.](#page-43-0) For any $t > 0$, it holds from Lemma [17](#page-26-1) and [18](#page-27-0) that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\|\widehat{\theta}\| > t) \le \mathbb{P}(\Phi > t - \|\theta_0\|) \le 2\mathbb{P}(\phi \ge t - \|\theta_0\|).
$$

Lemma [26](#page-40-0) implies that the above term is upper bounded by δ if we choose $t - ||\theta_0||$ using the result [\(46\)](#page-40-3) with δ replaced by $\delta/2$. We obtain the stated result by moving $\|\theta_0\|$ to the other side. \Box

When we consider the Euclidean space, we can reduce the upper bound of the ridgeless estimator to a simpler bound.

Theorem 28 (Euclidean norm bound; special case of Theorem [27\)](#page-43-0). *Fix any* $\delta \leq 1/4$ *. Under* Assumption [1](#page-3-1) with covariance $\Sigma_x=\Sigma_1+\Sigma_2$, there exists some $\varepsilon\leq \sqrt{\mathrm{rank}(\Sigma_1)/n}+\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/r(\Sigma_2)}+$ $\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/n} + n \log(1/\delta)/R(\Sigma_2) \left(1 + \text{tr}(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)/\text{tr}(\Sigma_2^2)\right)$ $\binom{2}{2}$) such that the following is true. If *n* and the *effective ranks are sufficiently large such that* $\varepsilon \leq 1$ and $R(\Sigma_2) \gtrsim \log(1/\delta)^2$, then with probability *at least* $1 - \delta$ *, it holds that*

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}\|_2 \le \|\theta_0\|_2 + (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2} \sigma \sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}}.
$$
\n(54)

Proof of Theorem [28.](#page-44-0) Throughout this proof, we simplify the upper bound, especially $n/R_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)$, $1/r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)$ and $(n\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_1^{1/2})$ $\frac{1}{2}Pv^*\|_2^2$ $_{2}^{2})/(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_{2}^{1/2}% ||\Sigma_{1}^{1/2}||)^{2}$ $\int_2^{1/2} H ||_*^2$, in Theorem [27.](#page-43-0) By definition of the dual norm and $\partial ||\Xi_x^{1/2} H||_*$ with Euclidean norm, v^* is equal to $\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2}H/\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2}H\|_2$. Hence, $\|v^*\|_{\Xi_z}$ is $\|\Sigma_2 H\|_2 / \|\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ $_{2}^{1/2}H||_{2}.$ From the result of [\(90\)](#page-66-9), for some constant $c_1 > 0$, we can choose ε_1 such that

$$
(1+\varepsilon_1)E||v^*||_{\Sigma_2} = c_1 \sqrt{\log(64/\delta) \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2^2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}}.
$$

If we assume effective rank is sufficiently large, [\(87\)](#page-65-3) provides that $(E||\Sigma^{1/2})$ $\int_2^{1/2} H ||_2 \Big)^2 \geqslant \text{tr}(\Sigma_2).$ Therefore, we have

$$
(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Sigma_2)} = n \frac{(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 (E\|v^*\|_{\Sigma_2})^2}{\left(E\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_2\right)^2} \lesssim n \log(64/\delta) \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_2^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_2)^2} = \frac{n \log(64/\delta)}{R(\Sigma_2)}.
$$

It also holds from [\(42\)](#page-39-3) that for some constant $c_2 > 0$, there exists ε_3 such that

$$
(1 + \varepsilon_3) \mathbb{E} ||\Sigma_1^{1/2} P v^*||_2 = c_2 \sqrt{\log(64/\delta) \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}}
$$

.

By [\(87\)](#page-65-3), for sufficiently large effective rank, it holds that $(E||\Sigma_2^{1/2})$ $\int_2^{1/2} H ||_2 \bigg)^2 \gtrsim \text{tr}(\Sigma_2)$. Therefore, we have

$$
(1+\varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_2^{1/2}H||_2)^2} (\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_1^{1/2}Pv^*||_2)^2 \lesssim n \log(64/\delta) \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)^2} = \frac{n \log(64/\delta)}{R(\Sigma_2)} \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2^2)}.
$$

Finally, we obtain the upper bound of ε . Because P is an l_2 projection matrix, let ε_2 be zero. Then, it holds from [\(88\)](#page-65-4) of Lemma [41](#page-65-5) that

$$
\varepsilon \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r(\Sigma_2)}} + \frac{n \log(1/\delta)}{R(\Sigma_2)} \left(1 + \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2^2)}\right).
$$

By using the inequality $(1-x)^{-1} \leq 1+2x$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$ and [\(87\)](#page-65-3) of Lemma [41,](#page-65-5) we finally obtain

$$
(1+\varepsilon)^{1/2}\sigma \frac{\sqrt{n}}{E\|\Sigma_2^{1/2}H\|_2} \le (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r(\Sigma_2)}\right)^{-1/2} \sigma \sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}}
$$

$$
\le (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{r(\Sigma_2)}\right)^{1/2} \sigma \sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}}
$$

$$
\le \left(1 + 2\varepsilon + \frac{2}{r(\Sigma_2)}\right)^{1/2} \sigma \sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}}
$$

with ε replaced by

$$
\varepsilon' := 2\varepsilon + \frac{2}{r(\Sigma_2)} \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r(\Sigma_2)}} + \frac{n\log(1/\delta)}{R(\Sigma_2)} \left(1 + \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1\Sigma_2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2^2)}\right).
$$

Theorem 29 (Benign Overfitting (Non-orthogonal)). *Fix any* $\delta \leq 1/2$ $\delta \leq 1/2$ $\delta \leq 1/2$ *. Under Assumption 1 with covariance* $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$, let γ and ε be as defined in Lemma [23](#page-39-4) and Theorem [28,](#page-44-0) respectively. *Suppose also that n and the effective ranks are such that* $R(\Sigma_2) \geq \log(1/\delta)^2$ *and* $\gamma, \varepsilon \leq 1$ *, then, with probability at least* $1 - \delta$ *, it holds that*

$$
L(\widehat{\theta}) \le (1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\sigma + \|\theta_0\|_2\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}{n}}\right)^2,
$$

where we denote $L(\theta)$ *as* $\mathbb{E}(y - \langle \theta, x \rangle)^2$ *.*

Proof of Theorem [29.](#page-45-0) From the result of Theorem Theorem [28,](#page-44-0) if we adopt

$$
B = ||\theta_0||_2 + (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \sigma \sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}},
$$

then $\{\theta : ||\theta||_2 \leq B\} \cap \{\theta; \mathbf{X}\theta = \mathbf{Y}\}\$ is not empty with high probability. This intersection necessarily contains the ridgeless estimator $\widehat{\theta}$. Apparently, $B > ||\theta_0||_2$. Therefore, it holds from Lemm[a23](#page-39-4) that

$$
L(\widehat{\theta}) \le \sup_{\|\theta\|_2 \le B, \widehat{L}(\theta) = 0} L(\theta)
$$

\n
$$
\le (1 + \gamma) \left(\|\theta_0\|_2 + (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \sigma \sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}} \right)^2 \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}{n}
$$

\n
$$
\le (1 + \gamma)(1 + \varepsilon) \left(\sigma + \|\theta_0\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}{n}} \right)^2,
$$

where we denote $\widehat{L}(\theta)$ as $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\theta\|_2^2$ 2 $/n.$

Theorem [6](#page-10-0) (Sufficient conditions: Non-Orthogonal Case when X_i and ξ_i are independent) *Under Assumption [1,](#page-3-1) let* $\widehat{\theta}$ *be the ridgeless estimator. Suppose also that as n goes to* ∞ *, there exists a sequence of covariance* $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$ *such that the following conditions hold:*

(i) *(Small large-variance dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_1)}{n} = 0.
$$

(ii) *(Large effective dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R(\Sigma_2)} = 0.
$$

(iii) *(No aliasing condition.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\theta_0\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}{n}} = 0.
$$

(iv) *(The cost of non-orthogonality)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R(\Sigma_2)} \left(\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2^2)} \right) = 0.
$$

Then, $L(\widehat{\theta})$ converges to σ^2 in probability.

Proof of Theorem [6.](#page-10-0) Fix any $\eta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. From Lemma 5 of [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6), it holds that $R(\Sigma_2) \le r(\Sigma_2)^2$. If $R(\Sigma_2) = \omega(n)$ holds as the second condition in Theorem [6,](#page-10-0) we have $r(\Sigma_2) = \omega(\sqrt{n}) = \omega(1)$, which implies the convergence of $1/r(\Xi_z)$ to zero. Hence, the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem [6](#page-10-0) make γ sufficiently small for large enough *n*. Apparently, $\|\theta_0\|_2\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)/n}$

goes to zero from the conditions (3). By definition of ε , the conditions (1) (2), and (4) in Theorem [6](#page-10-0) imply that ε can be arbitrarily small. Therefore, for sufficiently large n , we obtain

$$
(1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left(\sigma + \|\theta_0\|_2\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)}{n}}\right)^2 - \sigma^2 \le \eta.
$$
 (55)

We have shown that γ , ε and $\|\theta_0\|_2\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_2)/n}$ are so small that equation [\(55\)](#page-47-0) holds for sufficiently large n . Therefore, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{P}(|L(\widehat{\theta}) - \sigma^2| > \eta) \le \delta
$$

for any fixed η . Because η and δ are arbitrary, we have for any η ,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(|L(\widehat{\theta}) - \sigma^2| > \eta) = 0.
$$

F.2. **When** X_i and ξ_i is dependent. Through this subsection, we assume $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2 - ||\omega||^2_{\Sigma}$ $\frac{2}{\Sigma_{u}^{+}} > 0$ holds.

Lemma 30. Denote P_z , P_u as the projection matrix onto the space spanned by Ξ_z and Σ_u , *respectively.* Let $v_* = \arg \min_{v \in \partial ||\Xi_z^{1/2} H||} ||v||_{\Xi_z}$. Assume that there exists ε_1 , ε_2 and $\varepsilon_3 \ge 0$ such *that with probability at least* $1 - \delta/4$ *,*

$$
||v^*||_{\mathbb{E}_z} \le (1 + \varepsilon_1) \mathbb{E}||v^*||_{\mathbb{E}_z},
$$
\n(56)

$$
||P_z v^*|| \le 1 + \varepsilon_2,\tag{57}
$$

and

$$
\|\Sigma_{u}^{1/2}P_{z}v^{*}\|_{2} \le (1+\varepsilon_{3})\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_{u}^{1/2}P_{z}v^{*}\|_{2}.
$$
 (58)

Denote as

$$
\varepsilon := 12\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + 24\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}} + 8\sqrt{\frac{\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} + 2(1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}
$$

+
$$
64 \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\tilde{\sigma}} \frac{\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}}\right)
$$

+
$$
2(1 + \varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*)^2} (\mathbb{E} \|\Sigma_u^{1/2} P_z v^*\|_2)^2 + 2\varepsilon_2,
$$

where we denote $r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma)$ *and* $R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma)$ *as follows:*

$$
r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma) = \left(\frac{E\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_{*}}{\sup_{\|u\|\leq 1} \|u\|_{\Sigma}}\right)^{2} \ and \ R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma) = \left(\frac{E\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_{*}}{E\|v^{*}\|_{\Sigma}}\right)^{2}.
$$

If n and the effective ranks are sufficiently large such that $\varepsilon \leq 1$, then with probability at least $1-\delta$, *the AO defined in* [\(28\)](#page-27-1) *is upper bounded as*

$$
\phi^2 \le \left(\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2 + (1+\varepsilon)(2\eta_1 + \widetilde{\sigma} + \eta_2) \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*)^2}} \right)^2, \tag{59}
$$

where we denote η_1 and η_2 as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &:= \sqrt{(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\|\Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2, \\ \eta_2 &:= \sqrt{\frac{(\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2)^2}{n}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}}\right)^2\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2^2 + \|\Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2^2}. \end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Lemma [30.](#page-47-1) This proof has four steps (i) preparation, (ii) introducing a coefficient s, (iii) deriving a bound on the coefficient s, and (iv) developing a bound on ϕ^2 in [\(28\)](#page-27-1).

Step (i): Preparation. Denote α_1 and α_2 as follows:

$$
\alpha_1 := 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}},
$$

$$
\alpha_2 := \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u) + 1}{n}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(16/\delta)}{n}}.
$$

To prepare for the derivation of the upper bound as in the proof of Lemma [14,](#page-22-0) we consider the following three inequalities:

(i) By Lemma [39,](#page-65-1) uniformly over all $\theta_2 \in \sum_{u}^{1/2} (\mathbb{R}^p)$, it holds that

$$
|\langle \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2, G \rangle| \le ||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2||_2 ||G||_2 \alpha_2.
$$
 (22)

(ii) By Lemma [40,](#page-65-2) it holds that

$$
-\alpha_1 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|G\|_2 - 1 \le \alpha_1,\tag{23}
$$

and

$$
-\alpha_1 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} ||\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2||_2 - \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2}
$$

$$
\le \alpha_1 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2}.
$$
 (60)

(iii) By Theorem [43,](#page-66-8) it holds that

$$
\|\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H\|_{*} \geq \mathbb{E}\|\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H\|_{*} - \sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} \|u\|_{\Xi_{z}}\sqrt{2\log(8/\delta)}
$$

$$
= \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_{z})}}\right) \mathbb{E}\|\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H\|_{*}, \tag{32}
$$

because $||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*$ is a sup_{$||u||_{\Xi_z}$} liestless Lipschitz continuous function of H. By Theorem [43,](#page-66-8) it also holds that

$$
|\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H||_{*} \leq \mathbb{E}||\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H||_{*} + \sup_{||u||\leq 1}||u||_{\Xi_{z}}\sqrt{2\log(8/\delta)}
$$

$$
= \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{||\cdot||}(\Xi_{z})}}\right) \mathbb{E}||\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H||_{*}.
$$
(61)

Step (ii): Introducing the coefficient s. To derive an upper bound of the ridgeless estimator, we need to choose a suitable θ which satisfies the restriction of the auxiliary problem ϕ in Lemma [18.](#page-27-0) We consider the following form of θ :

$$
\theta := P_u \Sigma_u^+ \omega + s P_z v^*.
$$
\n⁽⁶²⁾

Here, the coefficient *s* describes a volume of θ along with the space spanned by Ξ_z . By the setting, we have $\theta_1 = \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega + s \Xi_z^{1/2} v^*$ and $\theta_2 = \Sigma_u^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega + s \Sigma_u^{1/2} P_z v^*$. Hence, we need to chose s that attains the restriction of the auxiliary problem ϕ , i.e.

$$
\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2 \le \langle H, \theta_1 \rangle. \tag{28}
$$

By definition of θ_1 , we have the following result:

kΞ

$$
(\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2 - \langle H, \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^* \omega \rangle)^2 \le s^2 \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*^2
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2 \le \langle H, \theta_1 \rangle.
$$
\n(63)

Therefore, it is sufficient to consider s satisfying the inequality [\(63\)](#page-49-0).

For the derivation of the inequality [\(63\)](#page-49-0), we need to consider the upper bound of ($\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \mathbf{W}_3 \theta_3\|$ $\|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2 - \langle H, \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^{\dagger} \omega \rangle^2$. It holds from [\(22\)](#page-22-1) and AM-GM inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2^2 &= \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2^2 - 2\|\theta_1\|_2 \langle \xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2, G \rangle + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2^2 - 2\alpha_2 \|\theta_1\|_2 \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2 \|G\|_2 + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2 \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha_2) \left(\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2^2 + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned}
$$

Combining the result of [\(23\)](#page-30-1) and [\(60\)](#page-48-0) yields

$$
(1 - \alpha_2) \left(\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2\|_2^2 + \|\theta_1\|_2^2 \|G\|_2^2 \right) \ge (1 - \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_1)^2 n \left(\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2 + \theta_1^T \theta_1 \right).
$$

Then, we have

$$
\left(\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2 + \theta_1^T \theta_1\right) = \left(\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \Sigma_u^{1/2} \theta + \theta^T \Sigma_u \theta + \theta^T \Xi_z \theta\right)
$$

$$
\ge \left(\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \Sigma_u^{1/2} \theta + \theta^T \Sigma_u \theta\right)
$$

$$
= \sigma^2 - \rho^T \rho + ||\Sigma_u^{1/2} \theta - \rho||_2^2
$$

$$
\ge \sigma^2 - \rho^T \rho + \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p} ||\Sigma_u^{1/2} \theta - \rho||_2^2
$$

 $=\tilde{\sigma}^2>0.$

Therefore, we have

$$
0 < \frac{1}{\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_1)^2 n \widetilde{\sigma}^2}}.
$$

By the trivial calculation, we obtain

$$
(\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_{2}\theta_{2} - \|\theta_{1}\|_{2}G\|_{2} - \langle H, \Xi_{z}^{1/2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\rangle)^{2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_{2}\theta_{2} - \|\theta_{1}\|_{2}G\|_{2}^{2} + 2\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_{2}\theta_{2} - \|\theta_{1}\|_{2}G\|_{2}|\langle H, \Xi_{z}^{1/2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\rangle| + (\langle H, \Xi_{z}^{1/2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\rangle)^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_{2}\theta_{2} - \|\theta_{1}\|_{2}G\|_{2}^{2}\left(1 + 2\frac{|\langle H, \Xi_{z}^{1/2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\rangle|}{\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_{2}\theta_{2} - \|\theta_{1}\|_{2}G\|_{2}}\right) + (\langle H, \Xi_{z}^{1/2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\rangle)^{2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_{2}\theta_{2} - \|\theta_{1}\|_{2}G\|_{2}^{2}\left(1 + 2\frac{|\langle H, \Xi_{z}^{1/2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\rangle|}{\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_{2})(1 - \alpha_{1})^{2}ng(\rho, \Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega)}}\right) + (\langle H, \Xi_{z}^{1/2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\rangle)^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= \|\xi - \mathbf{W}_{2}\theta_{2} - \|\theta_{1}\|_{2}G\|_{2}^{2}(1 + \gamma) + (\langle H, \Xi_{z}^{1/2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\rangle)^{2}.
$$

Combining the result of [\(23\)](#page-30-1) and [\(60\)](#page-48-0) yields

$$
\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2^2 \le (1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 n \left(\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2 + \theta_1^T \theta_1\right).
$$

Then, it holds that

$$
(\|\xi - \mathbf{W}_2 \theta_2 - \|\theta_1\|_2 G\|_2 - \langle H, \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega \rangle)^2
$$

$$
\leq (1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 (1 + \gamma) n \left(\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2 + \theta_1^T \theta_1 \right) + (\langle H, \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega \rangle)^2
$$

Therefore, we should choose s that satisfies the subsequent equality:

$$
s^2 \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*^2 = (1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2 (1+\gamma)n \left(\sigma^2 - 2\rho^T \theta_2 + \theta_2^T \theta_2 + \theta_1^T \theta_1\right) + (\langle H, \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega \rangle)^2. \tag{64}
$$

We clarify s that satisfies [\(64\)](#page-50-0). By trivial calculation, we obtain the following results:

$$
s^{2}||\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H||_{*}^{2} = (1+\alpha_{2})(1+\alpha_{1})^{2}(1+\gamma)n\left(\sigma^{2} - 2\rho^{T}\theta_{2} + \theta_{2}^{T}\theta_{2} + \theta_{1}^{T}\theta_{1}\right) + (\langle H, \Xi_{z}^{1/2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\rangle)^{2}
$$

$$
\Leftrightarrow \beta_{1}\left(s + \frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\right)^{2} - \frac{\beta_{2}^{2}}{\beta_{1}} - (\widetilde{\sigma}^{2} - \beta_{3}) = 0.
$$

where we define

$$
\beta_1 := \left(\frac{\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*^2}{(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 (1 + \gamma)n} - \|v^*\|_{\Xi_z}^2 - (v^*)^\top P_z \Sigma_u P_z v^* \right)
$$

$$
\beta_2 := \left(\rho^\top \Sigma_u^{1/2} P_z v^* - \omega^\top \Sigma_u^* \Sigma_u P_z v^* - v^* \Xi_z \Sigma_u^* \omega \right),
$$

$$
\beta_3 := -\frac{(\langle H, \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^* \omega \rangle)^2}{(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 (1 + \gamma)n} - (\omega^\top \Sigma_u^* \Xi_z \Sigma_u^* \omega),
$$

,

$$
\gamma := 2 \frac{|\langle H, \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega \rangle|}{\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_1)^2 n \tilde{\sigma}^2)}}.
$$

Therefore, we choose s such that

$$
s = \left(-\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta_2^2}{\beta_1^2} + \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}^2 - \beta_3}{\beta_1}}\right),
$$

under the assumption that

$$
\beta_1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\sigma}^2 - \beta_3 \ge 0. \tag{65}
$$

We need to guarantee [\(65\)](#page-51-0) holds. First, we prove $\tilde{\sigma}^2 - \beta_3 \ge 0$. By definition, we have $\tilde{\sigma}^2 > 0$ and $-\beta_3 \ge 0$, it holds. Second, we show $\beta_1 > 0$. By [\(56\)](#page-47-2), [\(58\)](#page-47-3) and [\(32\)](#page-31-2), we have

$$
\beta_1 \geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2(1+\gamma)n} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right)^2
$$

$$
- (1+\varepsilon_1)^2(\mathbb{E}||v^*||_{\Xi_z})^2 - (1+\varepsilon_3)^2(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_u^{1/2}P_zv^*||_2)^2
$$

$$
\geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2}{n} \left(\frac{1}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2(1+\gamma)}\left(1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right)\right)
$$

$$
-(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)} - (1+\varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2}(\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_u^{1/2}P_zv^*||_2)^2\right).
$$

We linearize the terms including α_1 , α_2 and γ to simplify the upper bound. Provided that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 < 1/2$, we have

$$
(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2(1 + \gamma) = (1 + 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\alpha_1^2)(1 + \gamma)
$$

\n
$$
\leq (1 + 3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2)(1 + \gamma)
$$

\n
$$
\leq 1 + 3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\gamma.
$$

Because $(1 - x)^{-1} \ge 1 + x$ for any x, it holds that

$$
\frac{1}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2(1+\gamma)} \ge (1+3\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\gamma)^{-1}
$$

$$
\ge (1-(3\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\gamma)).
$$

Moreover, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$
\gamma \le \frac{2\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2 \|\|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha_2)(1-\alpha_1)^2 n\tilde{\sigma}^2}}.
$$

Because $(1 - x)^{-1}$ ≤ 1 + 2x for $x \in [0, 1/2]$, it holds that

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha_2)(1-\alpha_1)^2}} \le \frac{1}{(1-\alpha_2)(1-\alpha_1)} \le 4.
$$

By (61) , we have

$$
\frac{\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} \le \frac{\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}}\right).
$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$
\gamma \leq \frac{8||\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega||_{2}}{\widetilde{\sigma}}\frac{\mathbb{E}||\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H||_{2}}{\sqrt{n}}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_{2}}(\Xi_{z})}}\right)
$$

.

Hence, we have

$$
\frac{1}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2(1+\gamma)} \left(1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
- (1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)} - (1+\varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2} (\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_u^{1/2}P_z v^*||_2)^2
$$
\n
$$
\geq (1 - (3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\gamma)) \left(1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\right) - (1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}
$$
\n
$$
- (1+\varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2} (\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_u^{1/2}P_z v^*||_2)^2
$$
\n
$$
\geq 1 - (3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\gamma) - 2\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} - (1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma_2)}
$$
\n
$$
- (1+\varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2} (\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_u^{1/2}P_z v^*||_2)^2
$$
\n
$$
\geq 1 - \varepsilon'
$$

where we define

$$
\varepsilon' = 6\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + 12\sqrt{\frac{\log(32/\delta)}{n}} + 32\frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\widetilde{\sigma}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}}\right)
$$

$$
+ 4\sqrt{\frac{\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} + (1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)} + (1 + \varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*)^2} (\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_u^{1/2} P_z v^*\|_2)^2.
$$

Because ε' is assumed to be less than 1/2 and $(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2/n$ is positive,

$$
0 < (1 - \varepsilon') \frac{(\mathbb{E} || \Xi_z^{1/2} H ||_*)^2}{n} \le \beta_1.
$$
\n(66)

Step (iii): Bound on the coefficients. Because s is too complicated, we need to obtain a simplified upper bound of s . By trivial calculation, we have

$$
s \leq \frac{2|\beta_2|}{\beta_1} + \sqrt{\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}^2}{\beta_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{|\beta_3|}{\beta_1}}.
$$

Then, we consider an upper bound of $1/\beta_1$. It holds from [\(66\)](#page-52-0) that

$$
\frac{1}{\beta_1} \le (1 - \varepsilon')^{-1} \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E} || \Xi_z^{1/2} H ||_*)^2} \le (1 + 2\varepsilon') \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E} || \Xi_z^{1/2} H ||_*)^2},\tag{67}
$$

where the last inequality holds because $(1 - x)^{-1} \le 1 + 2x$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$.

Second, we show an upper bound of $|\beta_2|$. Because $\omega := \sum_{u}^{1/2} \rho$ and $\omega^{\top} \Sigma_u^+ \Sigma_u = \omega^{\top} (\Sigma_u^{1/2})^+ \Sigma_u^{1/2}$ we have

$$
\beta_2 = \left(\rho^\top \Sigma_u^{1/2} P_z v^* - \omega^\top \Sigma_u^+ \Sigma_u P_z v^* - v^* \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+ \omega\right)
$$

= $\left(\omega^\top (\Sigma_u^{1/2})^+ \Sigma_u^{1/2} P_z v^* - \omega^\top \Sigma_u^+ \Sigma_u P_z v^* - v^* \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+ \omega\right)$
= $-v^* \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+ \omega$.

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, it holds that $|\beta_2| \leq ||v^*||_{\mathbb{E}_z} ||\mathbb{E}_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^* \omega||_2$. From the assumption [\(56\)](#page-47-2) and definition of $R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)$, we obtain

$$
|\beta_2| \le (1+\varepsilon_1) \sqrt{\frac{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} ||\Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega||_2. \tag{68}
$$

Combining the result [\(68\)](#page-53-0) with [\(67\)](#page-53-1), we have

$$
\frac{2|\beta_2|}{\beta_1} \le 2(1+2\varepsilon')(1+\varepsilon_1) \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} ||\Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega||_2. \tag{69}
$$

Finally, we derive an upper bound of β_3 and s. By using the triangular inequality on β_3 , we have

$$
|\beta_3| \le \frac{(\langle H, \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega \rangle)^2}{(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 n} + ||\Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega||_2^2.
$$
 (70)

By Cauchy Schwartz inequality, it holds that

$$
\frac{(\langle H, \Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega \rangle)^2}{(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 n} \le \frac{\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2^2}{(1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1)^2 n} \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2^2.
$$
\n(71)

By (61) , we have

$$
\frac{\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2^2}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2 n} \le \frac{(\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2)^2}{n} \frac{1}{(1+\alpha_2)(1+\alpha_1)^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}}\right)^2.
$$
 (72)

Hence, it holds from [\(67\)](#page-53-1) [\(70\)](#page-53-2), [\(71\)](#page-53-3) and [\(72\)](#page-53-4) that

$$
\sqrt{\frac{|\beta_3|}{\beta_1}} \le \sqrt{(1+2\varepsilon')}\sqrt{\frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*)^2}}
$$
\n
$$
\times \sqrt{\frac{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_2)^2}{n}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}}\right)^2 ||\Sigma_u^+\omega||_2^2 + ||\Xi_z^{1/2}\Sigma_u^+\omega||_2^2}.
$$
\n(73)

Hence, it holds from [\(69\)](#page-53-5) and [\(73\)](#page-53-6) that

$$
s \le \sqrt{\frac{n}{\left(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_*\right)^2}}A\tag{74}
$$

where A is defined and bounded as follows:

$$
A := 2(1 + 2\varepsilon')(1 + \varepsilon_1) \sqrt{\frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2 + \sqrt{(1 + 2\varepsilon')\tilde{\sigma}^2} + \sqrt{(1 + 2\varepsilon')} \sqrt{\frac{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2} H||_2)^2}{n} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}}\right)^2 \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2^2 + \|\Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2^2} \le 2(1 + 2\varepsilon')\eta_1 + \sqrt{(1 + 2\varepsilon')\tilde{\sigma}} + (1 + 2\varepsilon')\eta_2,
$$
\n(75)

where

$$
\eta_1:=\sqrt{(1+\varepsilon_1)^2\frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}}\|\Xi_z^{1/2}\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2,
$$

and

$$
\eta_2:=\sqrt{\frac{(\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2)^2}{n}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}}\right)^2\|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2^2+\|\Xi_z^{1/2}\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2^2}.
$$

Step (iv): Bound ϕ^2 . We simplify the upper bound [\(74\)](#page-54-1) and derive the upper bound of ϕ^2 . By trivial calculation, we utilize the definition of θ as [\(62\)](#page-49-2) and obtain

$$
\phi^2 \le ||\theta||^2
$$

\n
$$
\le ||P_u \Sigma_u^+ \omega + s P_z v^*||^2
$$

\n
$$
\le (||P_u \Sigma_u^+ \omega|| + s||P_z v^*||)^2
$$

\n
$$
\le (||\Sigma_u^+ \omega|| + s(1 + \varepsilon_2))^2
$$

\n
$$
\le \left(||\Sigma_u^+ \omega|| + \sqrt{\frac{n}{(||\Sigma||\Sigma_z^{1/2} H||_*)^2}} A(1 + \varepsilon_2)\right)^2.
$$

The second last inequality follows the assumption in [\(57\)](#page-47-4), and the last inequality follows the upper bound on *s* as [\(74\)](#page-54-1). We apply [\(75\)](#page-54-2) and set $\varepsilon := 2(\varepsilon' + \varepsilon_2)$, then it holds that

$$
\phi^2 \le \left(\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\| + (1+\varepsilon)(2\eta_1 + \widetilde{\sigma} + \eta_2) \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*)^2}} \right)^2.
$$

Theorem 31 (General norm bound). *There exists an absolute constant* $C_2 \leq 160$ *such that the following is true. Under Assumption [1](#page-3-1) with* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be an arbitrary norm, and fix $\delta \leq 1/4$. Denote the ℓ_2 orthogonal projection matrix onto the space spanned by Ξ_z , Σ_u as P_z , P_u , *respectively. Let H* be normally distributed with mean zero and variance I_d , *i.e.* $H \sim N(0, I_d)$. *Denote* v_* *as* $\arg \min_{v \in \partial \|\Xi_z^{\{1/2} H\|_*}} \|v\|_{\Xi_z}$. Suppose that there exist ε_1 and $\varepsilon_2 \geq 0$ such that with *probability at least* $1 - \delta/8$,

$$
||v^*||_{\Xi_z} \le (1 + \varepsilon_1) \mathbb{E} ||v^*||_{\Xi_z},
$$

$$
||P_z v^*|| \le 1 + \varepsilon_2,
$$

and

$$
\|\Sigma_{u}^{1/2}P_{z}v^{*}\|_{2} \le (1+\varepsilon_{3})\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_{u}^{1/2}P_{z}v^{*}\|_{2}.
$$

Denote ε as follows:

$$
\varepsilon := C_2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} + (1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)} + \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\tilde{\sigma}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}} \right) + (1 + \varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*)^2} (\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_u^{1/2} P_z v^*\|_2)^2 + \varepsilon_2 \right).
$$

If n and the effective ranks are large enough that $\varepsilon \leq 1$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, it holds that

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}\| \le \|\theta_0\| + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\| + (1+\varepsilon)(2\eta_1 + \widetilde{\sigma} + \eta_2) \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\mathbb{E} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_*)^2}}.
$$

Proof of Theorem [31.](#page-54-0) For any $t > 0$, it holds from Lemma [17](#page-26-1) and [18](#page-27-0) that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\|\widehat{\theta}\| > t) \le \mathbb{P}(\Phi > t - \|\theta_0\|) \le 2\mathbb{P}(\phi \ge t - \|\theta_0\|).
$$

Lemma [30](#page-47-1) implies that the above term is upper bounded by δ if we choose $t - ||\theta_0||$ using the result [\(59\)](#page-48-1) with δ replaced by $\delta/2$. We obtain the stated result by moving $\|\theta_0\|$ to the other side. \Box

Theorem [11](#page-14-1) (Euclidean norm bound; special case of Theorem [31\)](#page-54-0) *Fix any* $\delta \leq 1/4$. *Under the model assumptions with covariance* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$, *there exists some* $\varepsilon \leq \sqrt{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)/n} + \Sigma_u$ $\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/n}+\left(1+ (\|\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\|_{2}/\widetilde{\sigma})\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_{z})/n}\right)\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/r(\Xi_{z})}+(n\log(1/\delta))/(R(\Xi_{z}))\left(1+\text{tr}(\Sigma_{u}\Xi_{z})/\text{tr}(\Xi_{z}^{2})\right)+$ $(\|\Sigma_{\mu}^{\dagger}\omega\|_{2}/\tilde{\sigma})\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_{z})/n}$ such that the following is true. If *n* and the effective ranks are such that $\varepsilon \leq 1$ and $R(\Xi_z) \gtrsim \log(1/\delta)^2$, then with probability at least $1-\delta$, it holds that

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}\|_2 \leq \|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2 + (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2} (2\eta_1 + \widetilde{\sigma} + \eta_2) \sqrt{\frac{n}{\textup{tr}(\Xi_z)}}.
$$

Proof of Theorem [11.](#page-14-1) Throughout this proof, we simplify the upper bound, especially $n/R_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)$, $1/r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)$ and $(n\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_u^{1/2}P_zv^*\|_2^2)$ $\binom{2}{2}$ /($\mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}^{1/2}}{z} H \right| \right\}$, \mathbb{E}), in Theorem [31.](#page-54-0) By definition of the dual norm and $\partial \|\Xi_x^{1/2} H\|_*$ with Euclidean norm, v^* is equal to $\Xi_z^{1/2} H / \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2$. Hence, $\|v^*\|_{\Xi_z}$ is $\|\Xi_z H\|_2 / \|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2$. From the result of [\(90\)](#page-66-9), for some constant $c > 0$, we can choose ε_1 such that

$$
(1 + \varepsilon_1)E \|\nu^*\|_{\Xi_z} = c \sqrt{\log(64/\delta) \frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}}
$$

.

If we assume effective rank is sufficiently large, [\(87\)](#page-65-3) provides that $(E||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_2)^2 \ge \text{tr}(\Xi_z)$. Therefore, we have

$$
(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)} = n \frac{(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 (E\|\nu^*\|_{\Xi_z})^2}{\left(E\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2\right)^2} \lesssim n \log(16/\delta) \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\Xi_z^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(\Xi_z)^2} = \frac{n \log(16/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)}.
$$

Moreover, it holds from [\(42\)](#page-39-3) that for some constant $c_2 > 0$, there exists ε_3 such that

$$
(1+\varepsilon_3)\mathbb{E}\|\Sigma_u^{1/2}Pv^*\|_2 = c_2\sqrt{\log(64/\delta)\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u\Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}}.
$$

By [\(87\)](#page-65-3), for sufficiently large effective rank, it holds that $(E||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_2)^2 \gtrsim \text{tr}(\Xi_z)$. Therefore, we have

$$
(1+\varepsilon_3)^2 \frac{n}{(\mathbb{E}||\Xi_z^{1/2} H||_2)^2} (\mathbb{E}||\Sigma_u^{1/2} P_z v^*||_2)^2 \lesssim n \log(64/\delta) \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)^2} = \frac{n \log(64/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)} \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)}.
$$

By trivial calculation, for any covariance matrix $Σ$, we have

tr(
$$
\Sigma
$$
) = $\mathbb{E} ||\Sigma^{1/2} H||_2^2 = (\mathbb{E} ||\Sigma^{1/2} H||_2)^2 + \text{Var} ||\Sigma^{1/2} H||_2$
\n $\ge (\mathbb{E} ||\Sigma^{1/2} H||_2)^2.$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{\|\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\|_{2}}{\widetilde{\sigma}}\frac{\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_{z}^{1/2}H\|_{2}}{\sqrt{n}}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_{2}}(\Xi_{z})}}\right)\leq \frac{\|\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\|_{2}}{\widetilde{\sigma}}\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_{z})}{n}}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_{2}}(\Xi_{z})}}\right).
$$

Finally, we obtain the upper bound of ε . Because P_z is an l_2 projection matrix, let ε_2 be zero. Then, it holds from [\(88\)](#page-65-4) of Lemma [41](#page-65-5) that

$$
\varepsilon \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} + \left(1 + \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\widetilde{\sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z) + \frac{n \log(1/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)}}} + \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)} + \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\widetilde{\sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}.
$$

By using the inequality $(1-x)^{-1} \leq 1+2x$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$ and [\(87\)](#page-65-3) of Lemma [41,](#page-65-5) we finally obtain

$$
(1+\varepsilon)\frac{\sqrt{n}}{E\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2} \le (1+\varepsilon)\left(1-\frac{1}{r(\Xi_z)}\right)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}}\\ \le (1+\varepsilon)\left(1+\frac{2}{r(\Xi_z)}\right)^{1/2}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}}.
$$

Because $\varepsilon \leq 1$, we have

$$
(1+\varepsilon)\left(1+\frac{2}{r(\Xi_z)}\right)^{1/2} = \left((1+2\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)\left(1+\frac{2}{r(\Xi_z)}\right)\right)^{1/2}
$$

$$
\leq \left((1+3\varepsilon) \left(1 + \frac{2}{r(\Xi_z)} \right) \right)^{1/2}
$$

$$
\leq \left(1 + 3\varepsilon + \frac{8}{r(\Xi_z)} \right)^{1/2}.
$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$
(1+\varepsilon)\frac{\sqrt{n}}{E\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2} \le \left(1+3\varepsilon+\frac{8}{r(\Xi_z)}\right)^{1/2}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}},
$$

and we can replace ε with

$$
\varepsilon' = 3\varepsilon + \frac{8}{r(\Xi_z)}
$$

$$
\lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} + \left(1 + \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\tilde{\sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}}
$$

$$
+ \frac{n \log(1/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)} \left(1 + \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)}\right) + \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\tilde{\sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}.
$$

 \Box

 \Box

Theorem 32 (Benign Overfitting (Non-orthogonal)). Fix any $\delta \leq 1/2$. Under the model assump*tions with* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$, let γ and ε be as defined in Corollary [10](#page-14-0) and Theorem [11.](#page-14-1) Suppose that *and the effective ranks are such that* $R(\Xi_z) \gtrsim \log(1/\delta)^2$ and $\gamma, \varepsilon \le 1$. Then, with probability at *least* $1 - \delta$,

$$
\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \leq (1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left((\|\theta_0\|_2+\|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2)\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}}+(2\eta_1+\widetilde{\sigma}+\eta_2)\right)^2-\widetilde{\sigma}^2.
$$

Proof of Theorem [32.](#page-57-0) From the result of Theorem [11,](#page-14-1) if we adopt

$$
B=\|\theta_0\|_2+\|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2+(1+\varepsilon)^{1/2}(2\eta_1+\widetilde{\sigma}+\eta_2)\sqrt{\frac{n}{\mathrm{tr}(\Xi_z)}},
$$

then $\{\theta : ||\theta||_2 \leq B\} \cap \{\theta; \mathbf{X}\theta = \mathbf{Y}\}\$ is not empty with high probability. This intersection necessarily contains the ridgeless estimator $\hat{\theta}$. Apparently, $B > ||\theta_0||_2$ holds. Therefore, it holds from Corollary [10](#page-14-0) that

$$
\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 \le \max_{\|\theta\|_2 \le B, \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\theta} \|\theta - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2
$$

$$
\le (1 + \gamma) \frac{B^2 \text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n} - \widetilde{\sigma}^2
$$

$$
\le (1 + \gamma)(1 + \varepsilon) \left((\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2) \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} + (2\eta_1 + \widetilde{\sigma} + \eta_2) \right)^2 - \widetilde{\sigma}^2.
$$

Theorem 33. *Under Assumption [1,](#page-3-1) let* $\widehat{\theta}$ *be the ridgeless estimator. Suppose that as n goes to* ∞ *, there exists a sequence of covariance splitting* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ *such that the following conditions hold:*

(i) *(Small large-variance dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}=0.
$$

(ii) *(Large effective dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)} = 0.
$$

(iii) *(No aliasing condition.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\theta_0\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} = 0.
$$

(iv) *(condition for the minimal interpolation of IV in the non-orthogonal case)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\|_{2}}{\widetilde{\sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_{z})}{n}} = 0
$$

(v) *(Non Orthogonality)*

(a)

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\eta_1=0.$

(b)

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\eta_2=0.
$$

(c)

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)} \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)} = 0.
$$

Then, $\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi}^2$ $\frac{2}{E_z}$ converges to 0 in probability.

Proof of Theorem [33.](#page-58-0) Fix any $\kappa > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. From Lemma 5 of [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6), it holds that $R(\Xi_z) \le r(\Xi_z)^2$. If $R(\Xi_z) = \omega(n)$ holds as the second condition in Theorem [33,](#page-58-0) we have $r(\Xi_z) = \omega(\sqrt{n}) = \omega(1)$, which implies the convergence of $1/r(\Xi_z)$ to zero. Hence, the conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem [33](#page-58-0) make γ sufficiently small for large enough *n*. Apparently, $(\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2)\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)/n}$ goes to zero from the conditions (iii) and condition (iv). By definition of ε , the conditions (i) (ii) (iv), and (v)(c) in Theorem [33](#page-58-0) imply that ε can be arbitrary small. Combined with the conditions (v)(a) and (v)(b), for sufficiently large n , we obtain

$$
(1+\gamma)(1+\varepsilon)\left((\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2)\sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} + (2\eta_1 + \widetilde{\sigma} + 2\eta_2)\right)^2 - \widetilde{\sigma}^2 \le \kappa. \tag{76}
$$

We have shown that γ , ε , $(\|\theta_0\|_2 + \|\Sigma_u^{\dagger}\omega\|_2)\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)/n}$, η_1 and η_2 are so small that equation [\(76\)](#page-58-1) holds for sufficiently large n . Therefore, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{P}(\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 > \kappa) \leq \delta,
$$

59

for any fixed κ . Because κ and δ are arbitrary, we have for any κ ,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi_z}^2 > \kappa) = 0.
$$

Lemma 34. *Suppose* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega^{\top} \Sigma_{\mu}^{+} \Xi_{z} \Sigma_{\mu}^{+} \omega = 0$ *holds. Suppose the second condition of Theorem [33](#page-58-0) holds. Then, with probability at least* $1 - \delta$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_1 = 0$.

Proof of Lemma [34.](#page-59-0) By definition of η_1 , we have

$$
\eta_1 = \sqrt{(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Xi_z)}} \|\Xi_z^{1/2} \Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2.
$$
 (77)

By [\(87\)](#page-65-3), for sufficiently large effective rank, it holds that $(E||\Xi_z^{1/2}H||_2)^2 \gtrsim \text{tr}(\Xi_z)$ and so

$$
(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 \frac{n}{R_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)} = n \frac{(1+\varepsilon_1)^2 (E\|\nu^*\|_{\Xi_z})^2}{\left(E\|\Xi_z^{1/2}H\|_2\right)^2} \lesssim n \log(4/\delta) \frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)^2} = \frac{n \log(4/\delta)}{R(\Xi_z)}
$$

Because $n/R(\Xi_z)$ and $\omega^{\top} \Sigma_u^+ \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+$ converge to zero, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_1 = 0$.

Lemma 35. Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega^T \Sigma_u^+ \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+ \omega = 0$ holds. The fourth condition of Theorem [33](#page-58-0) implies $\lim_{n\to\infty}$ $\eta_2 = 0$.

Proof of Lemma [35.](#page-59-1) By definition of η_2 , we have

$$
\eta_2:=\sqrt{\frac{(\mathbb{E}\|\Xi_z^{1/2} H\|_2)^2}{n}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2\log(8/\delta)}{r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Xi_z)}}\right)\|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2^2+\|\Xi_z^{1/2}\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2^2}.
$$

By trivial calculation, for any covariance matrix $Σ$, it holds that

tr(
$$
\Sigma
$$
) = $\mathbb{E}||\Sigma^{1/2}H||_2^2 = (\mathbb{E}||\Sigma^{1/2}H||_2)^2 + \text{Var}(||\Sigma^{1/2}H||_2) \ge (\mathbb{E}||\Sigma^{1/2}H||_2)^2$.

From the result of [\(88\)](#page-65-4), we have

$$
\eta_2\lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\textup{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}}\right)\|\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2^2+\|\Xi_z^{1/2}\Sigma_u^+\omega\|_2^2}.
$$

Because $R(\Xi_z) \to \infty$ implies $r(\Xi_z) \to \infty$, we have the followinf result:

$$
\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{r(\Xi_z)}}\right) \to 1.
$$

Moreover, the conditions

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\tilde{\sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \omega^{\top} \Sigma_u^+ \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+ \omega = 0
$$

lead to the conclusion $\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_2 = 0$.

Theorem [5](#page-9-2) (Sufficient conditions: Non-Orthogonal Case) *Under Assumption [1,](#page-3-1) let* $\widehat{\theta}$ *be the ridgeless estimator. Suppose that as n goes to* ∞ *, there exists a sequence of covariance* $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$ *such that the following conditions hold:*

(i) *(Small large-variance dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\text{rank}(\Sigma_u)}{n}=0.
$$

(ii) *(Large effective dimension.)*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)}=0.
$$

(iii) *(No aliasing condition.)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\theta_0\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} = 0.
$$

(iv) *(condition for the minimal interpolation of IV in the non-orthogonal case)*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\Sigma_u^+ \omega\|_2}{\tilde{\sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)}{n}} = 0.
$$

(v) *(Non-orthogonality)*

(a)

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)} \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)} = 0.
$$

(b)

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \omega^{\top} \Sigma_u^+ \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+ \omega = 0.
$$

Then, $\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi}^2$ Ξ *converges to* 0 *in probability.*

Proof of Theorem [5.](#page-9-2) By Lemma [34,](#page-59-0) it holds that from the condition (ii) and the condition (v)(b) that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_1 = 0$. We also have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_2 = 0$ by Lemma [35.](#page-59-1) Therefore, from Theorem [33,](#page-58-0) $\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\|_{\Xi}^2$ $\frac{2}{\Xi_z}$ converges to 0 in probability.

Appendix G. Supportive Result

Proposition 36. *The necessary condition for the condition* $\lim_{n\to\infty}$ rank $(\Sigma_u)/n = 0$ *in Theorem* [2](#page-7-1) *is*

 $rank(\Sigma_u) \ge p - \min\{rank(\Sigma_z), rank(\Pi_0)\}.$

Proof of Proposition [36.](#page-60-0) Because we have $rank(A) = rank(A^{\top}) = rank(AA^{\top}) = rank(A^{\top}A)$ for any matrix A, we have rank $(\Pi_0 \Sigma_z^{1/2}) = \text{rank}(\Xi_z)$. From the property of the matrix, we have

$$
rank(\Pi_0 \Sigma_z^{1/2}) \leq min\{rank(\Sigma_z^{1/2}), rank(\Pi_0)\}.
$$

Under Assumption [2,](#page-5-1) we have

$$
rank(\Xi_z) + rank(\Sigma_u) = p.
$$

Therefore, we obtain the statement.

Lemma 37. *Assume Assumption [2](#page-5-1) holds. Then, we obtain the following covariance splitting:*

$$
\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u,
$$

where Ξ_z and Σ_u are positive semidefinite matrices and subspaces generated by Ξ_z , Σ_u are orthog*onal.*

Proof of Lemma [37.](#page-61-0) By construction, we have

$$
X_i = \Pi_0 Z_i + u_i,
$$

where $E[u_i|Z_i] = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$
\Sigma_x = E[X_i X_i^{\top}] = \Pi_0 E[Z_i Z_i^{\top}] \Pi_0^{\top} + E[u_i u_i^{\top}] = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u.
$$

By construction, apparently Ξ_z , Σ_u are positive semidefinite. By Assumption [2,](#page-5-1) subspaces generated by Ξ_z , Σ_u are orthogonal. Therefore, we have $\Sigma_x = \Xi_z + \Sigma_u$.

Lemma 38. *Under Assumption [1-](#page-3-1)[2,](#page-5-1) we have the following inequality:*

$$
\|\rho\|_2^2 = \|\omega\|_{\Sigma_u^+}^2 \le \sigma^2
$$

Furthermore, the covariance matrix of $(W_{1,i}, W_{2,i}, \xi_i)^\top$ *in* [\(14\)](#page-13-3) *is positive semi-definite.*

Proof of Lemma [38.](#page-61-1) First, we clarify the necessary and sufficient condition of positive semidifiniteness of the covariance matrix of $(X_i, \xi_i)^\top$. The definition of the positive semi-definiteness is

$$
(a,b)\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_x & \Sigma_u^{1/2} \rho \\ \rho^\top \Sigma_u^{1/2} & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} (a,b)^\top \ge 0,
$$

for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. By trivial calculation, we have

$$
(a,b)\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_x & \Sigma_u^{1/2} \rho \\ \rho^\top \Sigma_u^{1/2} & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} (a,b)^\top = a^\top \Sigma_x a + 2ba^\top \Sigma_u^{1/2} \rho + b^2 \sigma^2.
$$
 (78)

.

By solving the first order condition of [\(78\)](#page-61-2) with respect to $a \in \mathbb{R}^p$, we have the solution $a^* =$ $-b\sum_{x}^{-1}\sum_{u}^{1/2}\rho$. By substituting a^* into [\(78\)](#page-61-2), it holds that

$$
b^2 \rho^{\top} \Sigma_u^{1/2} \Sigma_x^{-1} \Sigma_u^{1/2} \rho - 2b^2 \rho^{\top} \Sigma_u^{1/2} \Sigma_x^{-1} \Sigma_u^{1/2} \rho + b^2 \sigma^2 = b^2 (\sigma^2 - \rho^{\top} \Sigma_u^{1/2} \Sigma_x^{-1} \Sigma_u^{1/2} \rho).
$$

Therefore, $(\sigma^2 - \rho^T \Sigma_u^{1/2} \Sigma_x^{-1} \Sigma_u^{1/2} \rho) \ge 0$ is the sufficient and necessary condition for positive semidefiniteness. Likewise, we obtain $(\sigma^2 - \rho^{\dagger} \rho) \ge 0$ as the sufficient and necessary condition for positive semidefiniteness for the covariance matrix of $(W_{1,i}, W_{2,i}, \xi_i)^\top$.

Finally, under Assumption [1](#page-3-1)[-2,](#page-5-1) we show that positive semidifiniteness of the covariance matrix of $(X_i, \xi_i)^\top$, which implies that the covariance matrix of $(W_{1,i}, W_{2,i}, \xi_i)^\top$ is positive semidifinite. Because ρ is defined as the one which has the minimum norm subject to $\omega = \sum_{u=0}^{1/2} \rho$, i.e. ρ := argmin{ $||b|| : \omega = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k$. By the property of a generalized inverse matrix, we have

$$
\rho = (\Sigma_u^{1/2})^+ \omega.
$$

62

Under Assumption [2,](#page-5-1) $\Sigma_u \Xi_z = 0$. Because Σ_u , Ξ_z are symmetric, we have

$$
\Sigma_{x}(\Sigma_{u}^{+} + \Xi_{z}^{+}) = (\Sigma_{u} + \Xi_{z})(\Sigma_{u}^{+} + \Xi_{z}^{+})
$$
\n
$$
= \Sigma_{u}\Sigma_{u}^{+} + \Sigma_{u}\Xi_{z}^{+} + \Xi_{z}\Sigma_{u}^{+} + \Xi_{z}\Xi_{z}^{+}
$$
\n
$$
= I_{u} + \Sigma_{u}\Xi_{z}^{\top}(\Xi_{z}\Xi_{z}^{\top})^{+} + \Xi_{z}\Sigma_{u}^{\top}(\Sigma_{u}\Sigma_{u}^{\top})^{+} + (I_{p} - I_{u})
$$
\n
$$
= I_{p}.
$$

Hence, $\Sigma_x^{-1} = \Sigma_u^+ + \Xi_z^+$. Then, we have

$$
\rho^{\top} \Sigma_{u}^{1/2} \Sigma_{x}^{-1} \Sigma_{u}^{1/2} \rho = \omega^{\top} \Sigma_{x}^{-1} \omega = \omega^{\top} \Sigma_{u}^{+} \omega + \omega^{\top} \Xi_{z}^{+} \omega = \omega^{\top} \Sigma_{u}^{+} \omega = \rho^{\top} \rho.
$$

The third equality holds because $\omega = \sum_{\mu}^{1/2} \rho$ and Σ_{μ} is orthogonal to Ξ_z . The above discussion suggests

$$
\sigma^{2} - \rho^{\top} \Sigma_{u}^{1/2} \Sigma_{x}^{-1} \Sigma_{u}^{1/2} \rho = \sigma^{2} - \rho^{\top} \rho.
$$

Therefore, by the positive semi-definiteness of the covariance matrix of $(X_i, \xi_i)^\top$, we have

$$
\sigma^2 - \rho^\top \rho = \sigma^2 - \omega^\top \Sigma_x^{-1} \omega \ge 0.
$$

Proof of Proposition [3.](#page-8-3) By Theorem 2 (1) in [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6), the first and second conditions of Definition [2](#page-6-1) are satisfied. Because tr(Ξ ₇) converges to a finite value, the third condition also holds under the assumption $\|\theta_0\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n}).$

We show the condition in Theorem [2](#page-7-1) is satisfied under the setting of Proposition [3.](#page-8-3) By the setting, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \|\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} i^{2} \log^{2\beta} (i+1) \cdot (U\omega)_{i}^{2} \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \frac{i^{2} \log^{2\beta} (i+1)}{i^{2} \log^{2\beta} (i+1)} = \frac{k_{n}^{*}}{n}.
$$

Because $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n^*/n = 0$ holds, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\Sigma_u^* \omega||_2^2$ $\frac{2}{2}/n = 0.$

Proof of Proposition [4.](#page-9-3) By Theorem 2 (2) in [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6), the first and second conditions of Definition [2](#page-6-1) are satisfied.

We prove θ_0 and tr(Ξ_z) satisfy the third condition stated in Definition [2.](#page-6-1) By definition of the matrices, we have

$$
tr(\Xi_z) \le tr(\Sigma_x) = \sum_{i=1}^p (\gamma_i + \varepsilon_n) = \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i + p\varepsilon_n.
$$
 (79)

Because $p\varepsilon_n$ is equal to $ne^{-o(n)}$, the second term of [\(79\)](#page-62-0) converges to zero. It also holds from the definition that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^p \exp(-i/\tau).
$$

Because $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp(-i/\tau)$ is finite, tr (Ξ_z) is also finite. Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\theta_0||_2 \sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)/n} = 0$ holds.

Finally, we show the setting in Proposition [4](#page-9-3) satisfies the condition stated in Theorem [2.](#page-7-1) By the assumption of Proposition [4,](#page-9-3) we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \|\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \frac{(U\omega)_{i}^{2}}{(\gamma_{i} + \varepsilon_{n})^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \frac{(U\omega)_{i}^{2}}{\gamma_{i}^{2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \frac{\exp(-2i/\tau)}{\exp(-2i/\tau)} = \frac{k_{n}^{*}}{n}.
$$

Because k_n^*/n goes to zero, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\Sigma_u^+\omega||_2^2$ $\frac{2}{2}/n = 0$ holds.

Proof of Proposition [7.](#page-10-3) rank(Σ_u) in Proposition [7](#page-10-3) is the same as rank(Σ_u) defined in Proposition [3.](#page-8-3) Hence, by Theorem 2 (1) in [Bartlett](#page-66-6) *et al.* [\(2020\)](#page-66-6), the first condition of Definition [2](#page-6-1) is satisfied.

To satisfy the second condition of Definition [2,](#page-6-1) we prove $n/R(\Xi_z)$ goes to zero as *n* goes to infinity . By definition of $R(\Xi_z)$, we have

$$
\frac{n}{R(\Xi_{z})} = n \frac{\text{tr}(\Xi_{z}^{2})}{(\text{tr}(\Xi_{z}))^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
= n \frac{\frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \lambda_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \lambda_{i} + \sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \lambda_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}^{2}} \cdot n \frac{\left(\sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\left(\sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \lambda_{i} + \sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \lambda_{i}^{2}}{n^{2\alpha} \sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}^{2}} + 1\right) \cdot n \frac{\sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}\right)^{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}} \lambda_{i}}{n^{\alpha} \sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}} + 1\right)^{-2}.
$$
\n(80)

Because $\lambda_i = Ci^{-1} \log^{-\beta}(i+1)$ where $\beta > 1$, it holds that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n^*} \lambda_i^2 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_n^*} \lambda_i \right)^2 = \left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n^*} \lambda_i \right)^2 < \infty.
$$
 (81)

Moreover, we have

$$
n^{2\alpha} \sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}^{2} \geq n^{2\alpha} (p-k_{n}^{*}) \left(\frac{1}{p \log^{\beta}(p+1)}\right)^{2} = \frac{1}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \frac{k_{n}^{*}}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2\beta}}}{\log(qn+1)}\right)^{2\beta}.
$$
 (82)

Because $\left(n^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{\beta}}/\log(qn+1)\right)^{2\beta}$ diverges to infinity and k_n^*/n converges to zero as n goes to infinity, it holds that from [\(82\)](#page-63-0) that $n^{2\alpha} \sum_{i=k_n^*+1}^p \lambda_i^2$ diverges to infinity. Therefore, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_n^*} \lambda_i^2}{n^{2\alpha} \sum_{i=k_n^*+1}^p \lambda_i^2} + 1 \right) = 1.
$$
\n(83)

In a similar way to [\(81\)](#page-63-1) and [\(82\)](#page-63-0), we obtain

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n^*} \lambda_i < \infty, \text{ and } n^{\alpha} \sum_{i=k_n^*+1}^p \lambda_i \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \frac{k_n^*}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}}{\log(qn+1)}\right)^{\beta}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_n^*} \lambda_i}{n^{\alpha} \sum_{i=k_n^*+1}^{p} \lambda_i} + 1 \right)^{-2} = 1.
$$
\n(84)

.

Combining the result in the proof of Proposition [3,](#page-8-3) $\lim_{n\to\infty} n \sum_{i=k_n^*+1}^p \lambda_i^2 / (\sum_{i=k_n^*+1}^p \lambda_i)^2 = 0$, with [\(83\)](#page-63-2) and [\(84\)](#page-64-0), we have \overline{n}

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n}{R(\Xi_z)}=0.
$$

We show the third condition in Definition [2](#page-6-1) is satisfied under the setting of Proposition [7.](#page-10-3) By definition of λ_i , we have

$$
tr(\Xi_z) \le tr(\Sigma_x) < \infty.
$$

Hence, the third condition of Definition [2](#page-6-1) apparently holds under the assumption $\|\theta_0\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n})$.

We prove Σ_u and ω satisfy the first condition stated in Theorem [5.](#page-9-2) Because $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\sigma^2 \|\omega\|_{\infty}^2$ $\sum_{k=1}^{n}$ > 0 holds by assumption, all we need to show is $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\sum_{\mu}^{+} \omega ||_2 \sqrt{\text{tr}(\Xi_z)/n} = 0$. By the assumption of Proposition [7,](#page-10-3) we have

$$
\frac{1}{n}||\Sigma_{u}^{+}\omega||_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}}\frac{n^{2\alpha}}{(n^{\alpha}-1)^{2}}i^{2}\log(i+1))^{2\beta}(U\omega)^{2} \lesssim \frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{1-2/n^{\alpha}+1/n^{2\alpha}}\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{*}}\frac{i^{2}\log(i+1)^{2\beta}}{i^{2}\log(i+1)^{2\beta}}.
$$

From the discussion in the proof of Proposition [3,](#page-8-3) $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\Sigma_u^{\dagger}\omega||_2^2$ $^{2}_{2}/n = 0$ holds.

For the second condition of Theorem [5,](#page-9-2) we need to show $tr(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)/tr(\Xi_z^2)$ is finite. By the definition of Σ_u and Ξ_z , we have

$$
\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)}{\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2)} = \frac{\left(\frac{n^{\alpha}-1}{n^{2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n^*} \frac{1}{i^2 \log(i+1)^{2\beta}}\right)}{\frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n^*} \frac{1}{i^2 \log(i+1)^{2\beta}} + \sum_{i=k_n^*+1}^p \frac{1}{i^2 \log(i+1)^{2\beta}}} = \left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}-1} + \frac{\frac{n^{2\alpha}}{n^{\alpha}-1} \sum_{i=k_n^*+1}^p \frac{1}{i^2 \log(i+1)^{2\beta}}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_n^*} \frac{1}{i^2 \log(i+1)^{2\beta}}\right)}\right)^{-1}.
$$

By trivial calculation, we have

$$
\frac{n^{2\alpha}}{n^{\alpha}-1} \sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \frac{1}{i^{2} \log(i+1)^{2\beta}} = \frac{n^{\alpha}}{n^{\alpha}-1} n^{\alpha} \sum_{i=k_{n}^{*}+1}^{p} \frac{1}{i^{2} \log(i+1)^{2\beta}}
$$

$$
\geq \frac{n^{\alpha}}{n^{\alpha}-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \frac{k_{n}^{*}}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2\beta}}}{\log(qn+1)}\right)^{2\beta}.
$$

Because Because $\left(n^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}}/\log(qn+1)\right)^{2\beta}$ diverges to infinity and k_n^*/n converges to zero as n goes to infinity, it holds that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \text{tr}(\Sigma_u \Xi_z)/\text{tr}(\Xi_z^2) = 0$.

Finally, we show the setting in Proposition [7](#page-10-3) satisfies the last condition stated in Theorem [5.](#page-9-2) By trivial calculation, we have

$$
\omega^{\top} \Sigma_{u}^{+} \Xi_{z} \Sigma_{u}^{+} \omega \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}^{\ast}} \frac{1}{i \log^{\beta}(i+1) } \lesssim \frac{k_{n}^{\ast}}{n^{\alpha}}.
$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega^{\top} \Sigma_u^+ \Xi_z \Sigma_u^+ \omega = 0$ holds.

Lemma 39 (Application of Theorem 5.1.4 in [Vershynin](#page-68-8) [\(2018\)](#page-68-8))**.** *Assume is a subspace of* dimension d in \mathbb{R}^n where $n \geq 4$. Let P_S denote the orthogonal projection onto S and let V denote *a spherically symmetric random variable. Then, with at least* 1 − *probability, we have*

$$
\frac{\|P_{S}V\|_{2}}{\|V\|_{2}} \le \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{n}}.
$$
\n(85)

From this inequality, we also have

$$
|\langle s, V \rangle| = |\langle s, P_S V \rangle| \le ||s||_2 ||P_S V||_2 \le ||s||_2 ||V||_2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} + 2 \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{n}} \right).
$$
 (86)

Lemma 40 (Theorem 3.1.1 in [Vershynin](#page-68-8) [\(2018\)](#page-68-8)). *Suppose that* $Z \sim N(0, I_n)$. *Then,*

$$
\mathbb{P}(|||Z||_2 - \sqrt{n}|\ge t) \le 4e^{-t^2/4}.
$$

Lemma 41 (Lemma 9 in [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10))**.** *Let be normally distributed with mean zero and variance* I_d , *i.e.* $H \sim N(0, I_d)$ *. For any covariance matrix* Σ *, it holds that*

$$
\left(E\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_2\right)^2 \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r(\Sigma)}\right) \text{tr}(\Sigma),\tag{87}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\text{tr}(\Sigma)} \ge \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{8}{r(\Sigma)}}\right) E\left[\frac{1}{H^T \Sigma H}\right].
$$

As a result, it holds that

$$
r(\Sigma) - 1 \le r_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Sigma) \le r(\Sigma),\tag{88}
$$

,

and

$$
1 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{r(\Sigma)}} \le \frac{R_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\Sigma)}{R(\Sigma)} \le \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{8}{r(\Sigma^2)}}\right)^{-1}
$$

where we define

$$
r(\Sigma) = \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{\|\Sigma\|_{op}}, \quad R(\Sigma) = \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)^2}{\text{tr}(\Sigma^2)}, \quad r_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma) = \left(\frac{E\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_{*}}{\sup_{\|u\|\leq 1} \|u\|_{\Sigma}}\right)^2, \quad R_{\|\cdot\|}(\Sigma) = \left(\frac{E\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_{*}}{E\|v^*\|_{\Sigma}}\right)^2.
$$

Lemma 42 (Lemma 10 in [Koehler](#page-67-10) *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-67-10))**.** *Let be normally distributed with mean zero and variance* I_d , *i.e.* $H \sim N(0, I_d)$. For any covariance matrix Σ , *it holds that with probability at least* $1 - \delta$

$$
1 - \frac{\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_2^2}{\text{tr}(\Sigma)} \lesssim \frac{\log(4/\delta)}{\sqrt{R(\Sigma)}},\tag{89}
$$

and

$$
\|\Sigma H\|_2^2 \lesssim \log(4/\delta) \text{tr}(\Sigma^2).
$$

Therefore, provided that $R(\Sigma) \ge \log(4/\delta)^2$, *it holds that*

$$
\left(\frac{\|\Sigma H\|_2}{\|\Sigma^{1/2}H\|_2}\right)^2 \lesssim \log(4/\delta) \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma^2)}{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}.
$$
\n(90)

Theorem 43 (Theorem 3.25 in [van Handel](#page-68-9) [\(2014\)](#page-68-9))**.** *Assume is -Lipschitz continuous with respect to the Euclidean norm with* $L > 0$, *i.e., for* $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$
|f(x) - f(y)| \le L \|x - y\|_2,
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(|f(Z) - E[f(Z)]| \ge t) \le 2e^{-t^2/2L^2},\tag{91}
$$

where $Z \sim N(0, I_n)$.

REFERENCES

- Ai, C. and Chen, X. (2003) Efficient estimation of models with conditional moment restrictions containing unknown functions, *Econometrica*, **71**, 1795–1843.
- Baiocchi, M., Cheng, J. and Small, D. S. (2014) Instrumental variable methods for causal inference, *Statistics in medicine*, **33**, 2297–2340.
- Bartlett, P. L., Long, P. M., Lugosi, G. and Tsigler, A. (2020) Benign overfitting in linear regression, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **117**, 30063–30070.
- Belkin, M., Hsu, D., Ma, S. and Mandal, S. (2019) Reconciling modern machine-learning practice and the classical bias–variance trade-off, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **116**, 15849–15854.
- Belloni, A., Chen, D., Chernozhukov, V. and Hansen, C. (2012) Sparse models and methods for optimal instruments with an application to eminent domain, *Econometrica*, **80**, 2369–2429.
- Belloni, A., Chernozhukov, V., Fernández-Val, I. and Hansen, C. (2017) Program evaluation and causal inference with high-dimensional data, *Econometrica*, **85**, 233–298.
- Belloni, A., Chernozhukov, V. and Hansen, C. (2010) Lasso methods for gaussian instrumental variables models, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1012.1297*.
- Belloni, A., Chernozhukov, V. and Hansen, C. (2014) High-dimensional methods and inference on structural and treatment effects, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, **28**, 29–50.
- Belloni, A., Hansen, C. and Newey, W. (2022) High-dimensional linear models with many endogenous variables, *Journal of Econometrics*.
- Chen, X. and Pouzo, D. (2012) Estimation of nonparametric conditional moment models with possibly nonsmooth generalized residuals, *Econometrica*, **80**, 277–321.
- Chernozhukov, V., Chetverikov, D., Demirer, M., Duflo, E., Hansen, C., Newey, W. and Robins, J. (2018) Double/debiased machine learning for treatment and structural parameters.
- Chernozhukov, V., Hansen, C. and Spindler, M. (2015a) Post-selection and post-regularization inference in linear models with many controls and instruments, *American Economic Review*, **105**, 486–90.
- Chernozhukov, V., Hansen, C. and Spindler, M. (2015b) Valid post-selection and post-regularization inference: An elementary, general approach, *Annu. Rev. Econ.*, **7**, 649–688.
- Chernozhukov, V., Hansen, C. and Spindler, M. (2016) High-dimensional metrics in r, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.01700*.
- Dikkala, N., Lewis, G., Mackey, L. and Syrgkanis, V. (2020) Minimax estimation of conditional moment models, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, **33**, 12248–12262.
- Dobriban, E. and Wager, S. (2018) High-dimensional asymptotics of prediction: Ridge regression and classification, *The Annals of Statistics*, **46**, 247–279.
- Fan, J. and Liao, Y. (2014) Endogeneity in high dimensions, *Annals of statistics*, **42**, 872.
- Gautier, E. and Rose, C. (2021) High-dimensional instrumental variables regression and confidence sets, Tech. rep., HAL.
- Gautier, E. and Tsybakov, A. B. (2013) Pivotal estimation in high-dimensional regression via linear programming, in *Empirical inference*, Springer, pp. 195–204.
- Gold, D., Lederer, J. and Tao, J. (2020) Inference for high-dimensional instrumental variables regression, *Journal of Econometrics*, **217**, 79–111.
- Han, Q. and Shen, Y. (2022) Universality of regularized regression estimators in high dimensions, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.07936*.
- Hastie, T., Montanari, A., Rosset, S. and Tibshirani, R. J. (2022) Surprises in high-dimensional ridgeless least squares interpolation, *The Annals of Statistics*, **50**, 949–986.
- Hill, B. M. (1975) A simple general approach to inference about the tail of a distribution, *The annals of statistics*, pp. 1163–1174.
- Isaiah, A., James, S., Liyang, S. *et al.* (2018) Weak instruments in iv regression: Theory and practice, *Annual Review of Economics*.
- Koehler, F., Zhou, L., Sutherland, D. J. and Srebro, N. (2021) Uniform convergence of interpolators: Gaussian width, norm bounds and benign overfitting, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, **34**, 20657–20668.
- Koltchinskii, V. and Lounici, K. (2017) Concentration inequalities and moment bounds for sample covariance operators, *Bernoulli*, **23**, 110–133.
- Montanari, A. and Saeed, B. N. (2022) Universality of empirical risk minimization, in *Conference on Learning Theory*, PMLR, pp. 4310–4312.
- Newey, W. K. and Powell, J. L. (2003) Instrumental variable estimation of nonparametric models, *Econometrica*, **71**, 1565–1578.
- Rockafellar, R. T. (1997) *Convex analysis*, vol. 11, Princeton university press.
- Söderström, T. and Stoica, P. (2002) Instrumental variable methods for system identification, *Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing*, **21**, 1–9.
- Stock, J. H., Wright, J. H. and Yogo, M. (2002) A survey of weak instruments and weak identification in generalized method of moments, *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, **20**, 518–529.
- Thrampoulidis, C., Abbasi, E. and Hassibi, B. (2018) Precise error analysis of regularized mestimators in high dimensions, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **64**, 5592–5628.
- Thrampoulidis, C., Oymak, S. and Hassibi, B. (2015) Regularized linear regression: A precise analysis of the estimation error, in *Conference on Learning Theory*, PMLR, pp. 1683–1709.
- Tsigler, A. and Bartlett, P. L. (2020) Benign overfitting in ridge regression, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.14286*.
- van Handel, R. (2014) Probability in high dimension: Lecture notes.
- Vershynin, R. (2018) *High-dimensional probability: An introduction with applications in data science*, vol. 47, Cambridge university press.