

# Asymptotic normality in multi-dimension of nonparametric estimators for discrete-time semi-Markov chains

Hiroki Ogata<sup>(1)</sup>, Luis Iván Hernández Ruíz<sup>(1)(2)</sup> and Kouji Yano<sup>(2)(3)(4)</sup>

## Abstract

The asymptotic normality in multi-dimension of the nonparametric estimator of the transition probabilities of a Markov renewal chain is proved, and is applied to that of other nonparametric estimators involved with the associated semi-Markov chain.

## 1 Introduction

In the case of continuous time, asymptotic normality of the nonparametric estimator for the transition probabilities of a continuous-time Markov renewal chain has been studied by Moore–Pyke [14] in one dimension, and was generalized by Gill [6] to multi-dimension. Ouhbi–Limnios [16, 17] studied the asymptotic normality of other nonparametric estimators involved with the associated semi-Markov chain. Several statistical properties of those estimators were studied in [11, 1, 9, 7, 2, 13, 12, 3], and some applications were discussed in [8].

In the case of discrete time, the asymptotic normality in one-dimension of various nonparametric estimators has been investigated by Barbu–Limnios [4]. Our aim is to establish the asymptotic normality in multi-dimension of the nonparametric estimator of the transition probabilities of the underlying Markov renewal chain, and to provide a systematic and greatly simplified method of deriving those results of the asymptotic normality by Barbu–Limnios [4].

Let  $E$  be a finite state space and  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  denote the set of nonnegative integers. We write  $\tilde{E} := E \times E \times \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{q}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (q_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$  be a matrix sequence with nonnegative entries such that  $q_{ij}(0) = 0$  for  $i, j \in E$  and

$$\sum_{j \in E} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} q_{ij}(k) = 1 \quad \text{for all } i \in E. \quad (1.1)$$

---

<sup>(0)</sup>This research was supported by RIMS and by ISM.

<sup>(1)</sup>Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University.

<sup>(2)</sup>The research of this author was supported by JSPS Open Partnership Joint Research Projects grant no. JPJSBP120209921.

<sup>(3)</sup>Graduate School of Science, Osaka University.

<sup>(4)</sup>The research of this author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grant no.'s JP19H01791, JP19K21834 and 21H01002.

A *Markov renewal chain* is a time-homogeneous Markov chain  $(J, S) = (J_n, S_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  with values in  $E \times \mathbb{N}$  defined on probability spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_{i_0})$  for  $i_0 \in E$  such that the process  $(J, X) = (J_n, X_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  defined by  $J_0 = i_0$ ,  $X_0 := S_0 := 0$  and  $X_n := S_n - S_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$  is a Markov chain whose transition probabilities are given as

$$\mathbb{P}_{i_0}(J_{n+1} = j, X_{n+1} = k \mid J_n = i, X_n) = q_{ij}(k) \quad \text{for all } i, j \in E \text{ and } n, k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.2)$$

(The quantity  $q_{ij}(k)$  is often called the *semi-Markov kernel*, although it describes the transition of the Markov renewal chain, not of the associated semi-Markov chain.) Note that the *embedded Markov chain*  $J = (J_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  is an  $E$ -valued Markov chain whose transition probabilities are given as

$$\mathbb{P}_{i_0}(J_{n+1} = j \mid J_n = i) = q_{ij}^J := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} q_{ij}(k) \quad \text{for all } i, j \in E \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.3)$$

For  $j \in E$ , we write  $\tau_j = \inf\{n \geq 1 : J_n = j\}$ . We assume the following conditions:

- (A1) The embedded Markov chain  $J$  is irreducible, i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}_i(\tau_j < \infty) > 0$  for all  $i, j \in E$ .
- (A2) The Markov renewal chain  $(J, S)$  is aperiodic, i.e., the greatest common divisor of  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{P}_i(S_{\tau_i} = k) > 0\}$  is one for all  $i \in E$ .
- (A3) The Markov renewal chain  $(J, S)$  is positive recurrent, i.e.,  $\mu_{ii} := \mathbb{E}_i S_{\tau_i} < \infty$  for all  $i \in E$ .

We write **(A)** for all of the above assumptions being satisfied. In this case, it holds (see, e.g., [15, Theorem 1.7.7]) that the embedded Markov chain  $J$  has a unique invariant probability  $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_i)_{i \in E}$ , and it also holds (see Proposition 3.6 of [4]) that

$$\bar{m} := \sum_{i \in E} \nu_i \mathbb{E}_i S_1 = \mu_{jj} \nu_j \quad \text{for all } j \in E. \quad (1.4)$$

For  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  and for  $i \in E$ , we set

$$N(M) := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \leq M\} \quad \text{and} \quad N_i(M) := \sum_{n=1}^{N(M)} 1_{\{J_{n-1}=i\}} \quad (1.5)$$

so that  $N(M) = \sum_{i \in E} N_i(M)$ . For the unknown quantity  $\mathbf{q}$ , we consider the nonparametric estimator

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M) = (\widehat{\mathbf{q}}(k, M))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (\widehat{q}_{ij}(k, M))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}} \quad (1.6)$$

which is defined as

$$\widehat{q}_{ij}(k, M) := \frac{1}{N_i(M)} \sum_{n=1}^{N(M)} 1_{\{J_{n-1}=i, J_n=j, X_n=k\}} \quad (1.7)$$

so that  $\sum_{j \in E} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{q}_{ij}(k, M) = 1$  for all  $i \in E$ . The strong consistency of  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M)$  is known as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (Barbu–Limnios [4, Theorem 4.1]). *Suppose  $(\mathbf{A})$  is satisfied. Then*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \mathbf{q}, \quad (1.8)$$

or equivalently,

$$\widehat{q}_{ij}(k, M) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} q_{ij}(k) \quad \text{for all } (i, j, k) \in \widetilde{E}, \quad (1.9)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in E$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}(0, v)$  stand for the centered normal distribution whose variance is  $v$ . The asymptotic normality of  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M)$  in one-dimension has been obtained as follows.

**Theorem 1.2** (Barbu–Limnios [4, Theorem 4.2]). *Suppose  $(\mathbf{A})$  is satisfied. Then, for any fixed  $(i, j, k) \in \widetilde{E}$ , it holds that*

$$\sqrt{M} \left( \widehat{q}_{ij}(k, M) - q_{ij}(k) \right) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(0, v^{\mathbf{q}}(i, j, k)) \quad (1.10)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in E$ , where the asymptotic variance  $v^{\mathbf{q}}(i, j, k)$  is given as

$$v^{\mathbf{q}}(i, j, k) := \mu_{ii} q_{ij}(k) (1 - q_{ij}(k)). \quad (1.11)$$

Let us discuss convergence in multi-dimension. For a nonnegative definite matrix  $V = (V_{ee'})_{e, e' \in \widetilde{E}}$ , we write  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, V)$  for the distribution of a centered Gaussian system  $Y = (Y_e)_{e \in \widetilde{E}}$  whose covariances are given as  $\mathbb{E}Y_e Y_{e'} = V_{ee'}$ , and we call  $V$  the *variance matrix* of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, V)$ . We now state our main theorem about the asymptotic normality of  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M)$  in multi-dimension.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose  $(\mathbf{A})$  is satisfied. Then, on the product space*

$$\mathbb{R}^{\widetilde{E}} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_e)_{e \in \widetilde{E}} = (x_{ij}(k))_{(i, j, k) \in \widetilde{E}} : x_e \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } e \in \widetilde{E} \right\} \quad (1.12)$$

equipped with the product topology, it holds that

$$\sqrt{M} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M) - \mathbf{q} \right) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, V^{\mathbf{q}}) \quad (1.13)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in E$ , where the asymptotic variance matrix  $V^{\mathbf{q}} = (V_{ee'}^{\mathbf{q}})_{e, e' \in \widetilde{E}}$  is given as

$$V_{(i, j, k)(i', j', k')}^{\mathbf{q}} = \mu_{ii} \mathbf{1}_{\{i=i'\}} q_{ij}(k) \left( \mathbf{1}_{\{j=j', k=k'\}} - q_{i'j'}(k') \right). \quad (1.14)$$

Noting that  $V_{(i, j, k)(i, j, k)}^{\mathbf{q}} = v^{\mathbf{q}}(i, j, k)$ , we can derive the result of Theorem 1.2 directly from our Theorem 1.3.

Let us consider the *semi-Markov chain*  $Z = (Z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  associated to  $(J, S)$ , which is defined as the time-changed process  $Z_k := J_{N(k)}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $N(k) := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \leq k\}$ . Note that  $Z_0 = J_0$ . We set

$$P_{ij}(k) := \mathbb{P}_i(Z_k = j), \quad (1.15)$$

and we call  $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (P_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$  the *distribution matrix sequence* of the semi-Markov chain  $Z$ .

Let  $E = U \cup D$  be a nontrivial partition of the finite state space  $E$  and write

$$T_D = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : Z_k \in D\}. \quad (1.16)$$

We define

$$R_i(k) = \mathbb{P}_i(T_D > k) \quad \text{for } i \in U, \quad (1.17)$$

and call  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (R_i(k))_{(i,k) \in U \times \mathbb{N}}$  the *reliability vector sequence*.

As applications of our Theorem 1.3, we shall generalize the asymptotic normality results in one-dimension to multi-dimension of certain estimators  $\hat{\mathbf{P}}(M)$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(M)$  of the distribution matrix sequence  $\mathbf{P}$  and the reliability vector sequence  $\mathbf{R}$ , respectively.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove our Theorem 1.3 about the asymptotic normality of  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}(M)$ . In Section 3, we discuss matrix convolution inverse. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the asymptotic normality of the estimators  $\hat{\mathbf{P}}(M)$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(M)$ , respectively. In Appendix, we deduce the asymptotic variances for the asymptotic normality in one dimension from our results in multi-dimension.

## 2 Estimation of the transition probabilities

We write  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$  for the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^{\tilde{E}}$ : for  $\mathbf{a} = (a_e)_{e \in \tilde{E}}$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_e)_{e \in \tilde{E}}$ , set

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} := \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} a_e b_e. \quad (2.1)$$

We denote

$$\mathbb{R}_0^{\tilde{E}} := \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_e)_{e \in \tilde{E}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{E}} : x_e = 0 \text{ for all but finite } e \in \tilde{E} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

It is well-known (see, e.g., [10, Theorem 4.29]) that, for  $\mathbb{R}^{\tilde{E}}$ -valued processes, convergence in distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^{\tilde{E}}$ , namely

$$\mathbf{X}(M) = (X_e(M))_{e \in \tilde{E}} \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathbf{X} = (X_e)_{e \in \tilde{E}}, \quad (2.3)$$

is equivalent to convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions, namely

$$(X_e(M))_{e \in I} \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{d} (X_e)_{e \in I} \quad (2.4)$$

for all finite subset  $I$  of  $\tilde{E}$ . By the Cramér–Wold device, this convergence is reduced to the convergence

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{X}(M) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{X} \quad (2.5)$$

for all constant  $\mathbf{a} = (a_e)_{e \in \tilde{E}} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{\tilde{E}}$ .

Let us proceed to the proof of our main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* In order to obtain the desired convergence

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(M) := \sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}(M) - \mathbf{q}) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, V^{\mathbf{q}}), \quad (2.6)$$

it suffices to show that the convergence

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(M) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{a} \cdot V^{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{a}) \quad (2.7)$$

holds for all constant  $\mathbf{a} = (a_e)_{e \in \tilde{E}} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{\tilde{E}}$ , where we define

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot V^{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{a} := \sum_{e, e' \in \tilde{E}} a_e V_{ee'}^{\mathbf{q}} a_{e'}. \quad (2.8)$$

We may represent

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(M) = \mathbf{A}(M) \cdot \mathbf{Y}(M) \quad (2.9)$$

if we set  $\mathbf{A}(M) = (A_e(M))_{e \in \tilde{E}}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}(M) = (Y_e(M))_{e \in \tilde{E}}$  as

$$A_{(i,j,k)}(M) := a_{(i,j,k)} \frac{\sqrt{MN(M)}}{N_i(M)}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$Y_{(i,j,k)}(M) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(M)}} \sum_{n=1}^{N(M)} 1_{\{J_{n-1}=i\}} \left( 1_{\{J_n=j, X_n=k\}} - q_{ij}(k) \right). \quad (2.11)$$

By (1.4) and by Propositions 3.7 and 3.8 of [4], we see that

$$\frac{N_i(M)}{M} \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \frac{1}{\mu_{ii}}, \quad \frac{N(M)}{M} \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \frac{1}{\bar{m}}, \quad (2.12)$$

and hence we obtain

$$A_{(i,j,k)}(M) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} a_{(i,j,k)} \frac{\mu_{ii}}{\sqrt{\bar{m}}}. \quad (2.13)$$

By Slutsky's theorem, the convergence (2.7) for all constant  $\mathbf{a} = (a_e)_{e \in \tilde{E}} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{\tilde{E}}$  is reduced to the convergence

$$\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{Y}(M) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{b} \cdot V^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{b}) \quad (2.14)$$

for all constant  $\mathbf{b} = (b_e)_{e \in \tilde{E}} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{\tilde{E}}$ , where, by (1.4),

$$V_{ee'}^{\mathbf{Y}} := \frac{\bar{m}}{\mu_{ii}\mu_{i'i'}} V_{ee'}^{\mathbf{q}} = \nu_i 1_{\{i=i'\}} q_{ij}(k) \left( 1_{\{j=j', k=k'\}} - q_{i'j'}(k') \right). \quad (2.15)$$

for  $e = (i, j, k)$  and  $e' = (i', j', k')$ . By the fact  $N(M)/M \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} 1/\bar{m} > 0$ , the convergence (2.14) is reduced to the convergence

$$Z(M) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{n=1}^M \xi_n \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{b} \cdot V^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{b}), \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\xi_n := \sum_{e=(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}} b_e 1_{\{J_{n-1}=i\}} (1_{\{J_n=j, X_n=k\}} - q_{ij}(k)). \quad (2.17)$$

Let us apply the martingale CLT (see, e.g., Theorem 8.2.8 of [5]) to prove (2.16). Set  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(J_l, X_l; l \leq n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we see that  $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a martingale difference with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , since

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_0}[\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \sum_{e=(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}} b_e 1_{\{J_{n-1}=i\}} \mathbb{E}_{i_0} [1_{\{J_n=j, X_n=k\}} - q_{ij}(k) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Since  $|\xi_n| \leq \sum_e |b_e| < \infty$ , it is obvious that

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{i_0} [\xi_n^2; |\xi_n| > \varepsilon \sqrt{M}] \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (2.19)$$

We have now only to prove that

$$F(M) := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{i_0} [\xi_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{b} \cdot V^Y \mathbf{b}. \quad (2.20)$$

Expanding the square, we obtain

$$F(M) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{\substack{e=(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E} \\ e'=(i',j',k') \in \tilde{E}}} b_e b_{e'} 1_{\{J_{n-1}=i\}} 1_{\{i=i'\}} E_{ee'}(n) \quad (2.21)$$

where, for  $i = i'$ ,

$$E_{ee'}(n) := \mathbb{E}_{i_0} \left[ (1_{\{J_n=j, X_n=k\}} - q_{ij}(k)) (1_{\{J_n=j', X_n=k'\}} - q_{i'j'}(k')) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] \quad (2.22)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{i_0} \left[ 1_{\{J_n=j, X_n=k\}} 1_{\{J_n=j', X_n=k'\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] - q_{ij}(k) q_{i'j'}(k') \quad (2.23)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{i_0} \left[ 1_{\{J_n=j, X_n=k\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] 1_{\{j=j', k=k'\}} - q_{ij}(k) q_{i'j'}(k') \quad (2.24)$$

$$= q_{ij}(k) (1_{\{j=j', k=k'\}} - q_{i'j'}(k')). \quad (2.25)$$

Using the fact from the ergodic theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 1.10.2 of [15]) that

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^M 1_{\{J_{n-1}=i\}} \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i, \quad (2.26)$$

we obtain

$$F(M) = \sum_{\substack{e=(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E} \\ e'=(i',j',k') \in \tilde{E}}} b_e b_{e'} \left( \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^M 1_{\{J_{n-1}=i\}} \right) 1_{\{i=i'\}} q_{ij}(k) (1_{\{j=j', k=k'\}} - q_{i'j'}(k')) \quad (2.27)$$

$$\xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{e=(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E} \\ e'=(i',j',k') \in \tilde{E}}} b_e b_{e'} \nu_i q_{ij}(k) (1_{\{j=j', k=k'\}} - q_{i'j'}(k')) = \mathbf{b} \cdot V^Y \mathbf{b}. \quad (2.28)$$

The proof is now complete.  $\square$

### 3 Estimation of the matrix convolution inverse

For two matrix sequences  $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{A}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (A_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$  and  $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{B}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (B_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$ , we define the *matrix convolution* of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  by  $\mathbf{A} * \mathbf{B} = ((\mathbf{A} * \mathbf{B})(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = ((\mathbf{A} * \mathbf{B})_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$  with

$$(\mathbf{A} * \mathbf{B})(k) := \sum_{l=0}^k \mathbf{A}(l) \mathbf{B}(k-l), \quad (3.1)$$

or equivalently,

$$(\mathbf{A} * \mathbf{B})_{ij}(k) := \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{u \in E} A_{iu}(l) B_{uj}(k-l). \quad (3.2)$$

Define  $\delta \mathbf{I} = (\delta \mathbf{I}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  by

$$\delta \mathbf{I}(k) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{I} & \text{for } k = 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{for } k \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{0}$  stand for the identity and zero matrices, respectively, so that  $\delta \mathbf{I}$  is an identity of the matrix convolution:

$$\delta \mathbf{I} * \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} * \delta \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{A}. \quad (3.4)$$

We denote the  $n$ -fold matrix convolution of  $\mathbf{A}$  by  $\mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ , that is,  $\mathbf{A}^{(0)} = \delta \mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} = \mathbf{A} * \mathbf{A}^{(n-1)}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . For any matrix-valued sequence  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\mathbf{A}^{(n)}(k) = \mathbf{0}$  whenever  $n > k$ , we may define

$$(\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{(-1)} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^{(n)}, \quad (3.5)$$

which is the inverse of  $\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$  with respect to the matrix convolution:

$$(\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{(-1)} * (\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) * (\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{(-1)} = \delta \mathbf{I} \quad (3.6)$$

(see Proposition 3.4 of [4]).

Note that the  $n$ -fold matrix convolution of the transition probabilities, which we denote by  $\mathbf{q}^{(n)} = (\mathbf{q}^{(n)}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (q_{ij}^{(n)}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$ , satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}_i(J_n = j, S_n = k) = q_{ij}^{(n)}(k). \quad (3.7)$$

By the assumption  $\mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{0}$ , it is obvious that  $\mathbf{q}^{(n)}(k) = \mathbf{0}$  whenever  $n > k$ , and hence the matrix convolution inverse

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} := (\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q})^{(-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{q}^{(n)} \quad (3.8)$$

is well-defined. Since  $(\delta\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}) * \boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\psi} * (\delta\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}) = \delta\mathbf{I}$ , we have the renewal equation

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \delta\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{q} * \boldsymbol{\psi} = \delta\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{q}. \quad (3.9)$$

For the estimator  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M)$  introduced in (1.6) and its  $n$ -fold matrix convolution  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}^{(n)}(M)$ , the inverse of the matrix convolution

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(M) := (\delta\mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M))^{(-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathbf{q}}^{(n)}(M) \quad (3.10)$$

is well-defined and satisfies the renewal equation

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(M) = \delta\mathbf{I} + \widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M) * \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(M) = \delta\mathbf{I} + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(M) * \widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M). \quad (3.11)$$

The strong consistency of  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(M)$  is known as follows.

**Theorem 3.1** (Barbu–Limnios [4, Theorem 4.4]). *Suppose  $(\mathbf{A})$  is satisfied. Then*

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(M) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \boldsymbol{\psi}, \quad (3.12)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in E$ .

The asymptotic normality of  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(M) = (\psi_{ij}(k, M))_{(i,j,k) \in \widetilde{E}}$  in one-dimension has been obtained as follows.

**Theorem 3.2** (Barbu–Limnios [4, Theorem 4.5]). *Suppose  $(\mathbf{A})$  is satisfied. Then, for any fixed  $(i, j, k) \in \widetilde{E}$ , it holds that*

$$\sqrt{M} \left( \widehat{\psi}_{ij}(k, M) - \psi_{ij}(k) \right) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(0, v^{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(i, j, k)) \quad (3.13)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in E$ , where the asymptotic variance  $v^{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(i, j, k)$  is given as

$$v^{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(i, j, k) := \sum_{\widetilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\widetilde{i}\widetilde{i}} \left\{ \sum_{\widetilde{j} \in E} \left[ (\psi_{i\widetilde{i}} * \psi_{\widetilde{j}j})^2 * q_{\widetilde{i}\widetilde{j}} \right] (k) - \left[ \sum_{\widetilde{j} \in E} (\psi_{i\widetilde{i}} * q_{\widetilde{i}\widetilde{j}} * \psi_{\widetilde{j}j}) (k) \right]^2 \right\}. \quad (3.14)$$

Let us generalize Theorem 3.2 in multi-dimension, as a corollary of our Theorem 1.3. Define the matrix sequences  $\overline{\mathbf{q}} = (\overline{q}_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \widetilde{E}}$  and  $\mathbf{q}^{\widetilde{i}} = (q_{ij}^{\widetilde{i}}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \widetilde{E}}$  for  $\widetilde{i} \in E$  as

$$\overline{q}_{ij}(k) = \mu_{ii} q_{ij}(k), \quad q_{ij}^{\widetilde{i}}(k) = 1_{\{i=\widetilde{i}\}} q_{ij}(k), \quad (3.15)$$

and define the matrix sequence  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\widetilde{e}} = (\delta_e^{\widetilde{e}})_{e \in \widetilde{E}}$  for  $\widetilde{e} \in \widetilde{E}$  as

$$\delta_e^{\widetilde{e}} = 1_{\{e=\widetilde{e}\}} \quad (3.16)$$

for  $e = (i, j, k) \in \widetilde{E}$ . For two matrix sequences  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , we define their tensor product  $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}$  as the  $\widetilde{E} \times \widetilde{E}$ -matrix  $(A_e B_{e'})_{e, e' \in \widetilde{E}}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose (A) is satisfied. Then it holds that*

$$\sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(M) - \boldsymbol{\psi}) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, V^\boldsymbol{\psi}) \quad (3.17)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in E$ , where the asymptotic variance matrix  $V^\boldsymbol{\psi} = (V_{ee'}^\boldsymbol{\psi})_{e, e' \in \tilde{E}}$  is given as

$$V^\boldsymbol{\psi} = \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi})^{\otimes 2} - \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}} (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi})^{\otimes 2}. \quad (3.18)$$

*Proof.* In what follows, we sometimes omit writing  $M$  from estimators, say  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}$  for  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M)$ .

By the renewal equations (3.9) and (3.11), we have

$$\boldsymbol{\delta I} = (\boldsymbol{\delta I} - \mathbf{q}) * \boldsymbol{\psi} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} * (\boldsymbol{\delta I} - \widehat{\mathbf{q}}), \quad (3.19)$$

and hence we have

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \boldsymbol{\psi} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} * \boldsymbol{\delta I} - \boldsymbol{\delta I} * \boldsymbol{\psi} \quad (3.20)$$

$$= \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} * (\boldsymbol{\delta I} - \mathbf{q}) * \boldsymbol{\psi} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} * (\boldsymbol{\delta I} - \widehat{\mathbf{q}}) * \boldsymbol{\psi} \quad (3.21)$$

$$= \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} * (\widehat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) * \boldsymbol{\psi}, \quad (3.22)$$

which leads to the identity

$$\sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \boldsymbol{\psi}) = \boldsymbol{\psi} * \left\{ \sqrt{M}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) \right\} * \boldsymbol{\psi} + (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \boldsymbol{\psi}) * \left\{ \sqrt{M}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) \right\} * \boldsymbol{\psi}. \quad (3.23)$$

By the strong consistency (3.12), by the asymptotic normality (1.13) and by Slutsky's theorem, we have

$$(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \boldsymbol{\psi}) * \left\{ \sqrt{M}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) \right\} * \boldsymbol{\psi} \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathbf{0}. \quad (3.24)$$

Hence, by the asymptotic normality (1.13) and by Slutsky's theorem, we obtain

$$\sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \boldsymbol{\psi}) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{d} \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}, \quad (3.25)$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, V^{\mathbf{q}})$ . Since  $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}}$  is a centered Gaussian system, we see that so is  $\mathbf{Z}^\boldsymbol{\psi} := \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}$ . The asymptotic variance matrix  $V^\boldsymbol{\psi}$  of  $\mathbf{Z}^\boldsymbol{\psi}$  is given as

$$V^\boldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}) \right] \quad (3.26)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}' \in \tilde{E}} \mathbb{E}[Z_{\tilde{e}}^{\mathbf{q}} Z_{\tilde{e}'}^{\mathbf{q}}] (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}'} * \boldsymbol{\psi}) \quad (3.27)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}' \in \tilde{E}} V_{\tilde{e}\tilde{e}'}^{\mathbf{q}} (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}'} * \boldsymbol{\psi}) \quad (3.28)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi})^{\otimes 2} - \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}} (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi})^{\otimes 2}. \quad (3.29)$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 4 Estimation of the distribution matrix sequence

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the set of all random matrix sequences  $\mathbf{A} = (A_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$  and set

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{M} : \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in E} \sum_{k \leq K} A_{ij}(k) \text{ exists in } L^2 \text{ for all } i \in E \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

We define the linear operator  $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by

$$(\mathcal{S}\mathbf{A})_{ij}(k) := \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} 1_{\{i=j\}} \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k < k' \leq K} A_{ij'}(k') \quad \text{in } L^2 \quad (4.2)$$

with  $\mathcal{S}\mathbf{A} = ((\mathcal{S}\mathbf{A})_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$ .

For the semi-Markov chain  $Z_k = J_{N(k)}$  with  $N(k) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \leq k\}$ , its distribution matrix sequence  $\mathbf{P} = (P_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$  has been given as

$$P_{ij}(k) := \mathbb{P}_i(Z_k = j) = \mathbb{P}_i(Z_k = j, X_1 > k) + \mathbb{P}_i(Z_k = j, X_1 \leq k). \quad (4.3)$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{P}_i(Z_k = j, X_1 > k) = 1_{\{i=j\}} \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 > k) = 1_{\{i=j\}} \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' > k} q_{ij'}(k') = (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_{ij}(k) \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_i(Z_k = j, X_1 \leq k) = \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq k} \mathbb{P}_i(Z_k = j, J_1 = j', X_1 = k') \quad (4.5)$$

$$= \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq k} q_{ij'}(k') \mathbb{P}_{j'}(Z_{k-k'} = j) \quad (4.6)$$

$$= \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq k} q_{ij'}(k') P_{j'j}(k - k') = (\mathbf{q} * \mathbf{P})_{ij}(k). \quad (4.7)$$

We thus obtain the renewal equation

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} * \mathbf{P}, \quad (4.8)$$

which can be solved as

$$\mathbf{P} = (\delta\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q})^{(-1)} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q} = \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q}. \quad (4.9)$$

Let us introduce the estimator of the distribution matrix sequence by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(M) := \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(M) * \mathcal{S}\widehat{\mathbf{q}}(M). \quad (4.10)$$

The strong consistency of  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(M)$  is known as follows.

**Theorem 4.1** (Barbu–Limnios [4, Theorem 4.6]). *Suppose  $(\mathbf{A})$  is satisfied. Then*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(M) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \mathbf{P}, \quad (4.11)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in E$ .

The asymptotic normality of  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(M) = (P_{ij}(k, M))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$  in one-dimension has been obtained as follows.

**Theorem 4.2** (Barbu–Limnios [4, Theorem 4.7]). *Suppose  $(\mathbf{A})$  is satisfied. Then, for any fixed  $(i, j, k) \in \tilde{E}$ , it holds that*

$$\sqrt{M} \left( \widehat{P}_{ij}(k, M) - P_{ij}(k) \right) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(0, v^{\mathbf{P}}(i, j, k)) \quad (4.12)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in E$ , where the asymptotic variance  $v^{\mathbf{P}}(i, j, k)$  is given as

$$v^{\mathbf{P}}(i, j, k) := \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}} \left\{ \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \left[ \left( C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} - 1_{\{\tilde{i}=j\}} \Psi_{ij} \right)^2 * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right] (k) \right. \\ \left. - \left[ \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} - 1_{\{\tilde{i}=j\}} \psi_{ij} * H_j \right]^2 (k) \right\}, \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\Psi_{ij}(k) = \sum_{k' \leq k} \psi_{ij}(k'), \quad H_j(k) = \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq k} q_{jj'}(k') \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} = \psi_{i\tilde{i}} * \psi_{\tilde{j}j} * (1 - H_j). \quad (4.15)$$

Let us generalize Theorem 4.2 in multi-dimension, as a corollary of our Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose  $(\mathbf{A})$  is satisfied. Then it holds that*

$$\sqrt{M} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{P}}(M) - \mathbf{P} \right) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, V^{\mathbf{P}}) \quad (4.16)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in E$ , where the asymptotic variance matrix  $V^{\mathbf{P}} = (V_{ee'}^{\mathbf{P}})_{e, e' \in \tilde{E}}$  is given as

$$V^{\mathbf{P}} = \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} \left( \boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{S} \mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} \right)^{\otimes 2} \\ - \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}} \left( \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{S} \mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{S} \mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} \right)^{\otimes 2}. \quad (4.17)$$

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} = (Z_e^{\mathbf{q}})_{e \in \tilde{E}} = (Z_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, V^{\mathbf{q}})$ . Then*

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in E} \sum_{k \leq K} Z_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}}(k) = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2 \quad (4.18)$$

for all  $i \in E$ . Consequently, it holds that  $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} \in \mathcal{D}$  and

$$(\mathcal{S}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}})_{ij}(k) = -1_{\{i=j\}} \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq k} Z_{ij'}^{\mathbf{q}}(k') \quad (4.19)$$

for all  $(i, j, k) \in \tilde{E}$ .

*Proof.* Expanding the square, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{j \in E} \sum_{k \leq K} Z_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}}(k) \right)^2 = \sum_{j \in E} \sum_{k \leq K} \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq K} V_{(i,j,k)(i,j',k')} \quad (4.20)$$

$$= \mu_{ii} \sum_{j \in E} \sum_{k \leq K} q_{ij}(k) \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq K} (1_{\{j=j', k=k'\}} - q_{ij'}(k')) \quad (4.21)$$

$$= \mu_{ii} \sum_{j \in E} \sum_{k \leq K} q_{ij}(k) \left( 1 - \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq K} q_{ij'}(k') \right) \quad (4.22)$$

$$\leq \mu_{ii} \sum_{j \in E} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} q_{ij}(k) \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' > K} q_{ij'}(k') \xrightarrow{K \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (4.23)$$

by the dominated convergence theorem.  $\square$

We also need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose  $(\mathbf{A})$  is satisfied. Then it holds that*

$$\left( \sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}), \mathcal{S}\sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) \right) \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}}, \mathcal{S}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} \right) \quad (4.24)$$

in the product topology.

*Proof.* Set  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} := \sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q})$ , so that  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}}$  by the asymptotic normality (1.13). It suffices to show that

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathcal{S}\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathcal{S}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} \quad (4.25)$$

for all constants  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{\tilde{E}}$ . Since

$$\sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{q}_{ij'}(k') = \sqrt{M} \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{q}_{ij'}(k') - \sqrt{M} \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}} q_{ij'}(k') \quad (4.26)$$

$$= \sqrt{M} \cdot 1 - \sqrt{M} \cdot 1 = 0, \quad (4.27)$$

we obtain

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathcal{S}\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} a_e \tilde{q}_e + \sum_{e=(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}} b_e 1_{\{i=j\}} \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' > k} \tilde{q}_{ij'}(k') \quad (4.28)$$

$$= \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} a_e \tilde{q}_e - \sum_{e=(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}} b_e 1_{\{i=j\}} \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq k} \tilde{q}_{ij'}(k') \quad (4.29)$$

$$\xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} a_e Z_e^{\mathbf{q}} - \sum_{e=(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}} b_e 1_{\{i=j\}} \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' \leq k} Z_{ij'}^{\mathbf{q}}(k') \quad (4.30)$$

$$= \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathcal{S}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (4.31)$$

where (4.30) is obtained by applying (4.18) to the finite sum, and where (4.31) is obtained by (4.19). The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let us proceed to the proof of Theorem 4.3.

*Proof of Theorem 4.3.* By (3.23) and (3.24), we have

$$\sqrt{M}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \boldsymbol{\psi}) = \boldsymbol{\psi} * \sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) * \boldsymbol{\psi} + o(1), \quad (4.32)$$

where and in the sequel  $o(1)$  stands for an error term which vanishes in distribution as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence we have

$$\sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{P}} - \mathbf{P}) = \sqrt{M}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} * \mathcal{S}\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q}) \quad (4.33)$$

$$= \sqrt{M}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \boldsymbol{\psi}) * \mathcal{S}\hat{\mathbf{q}} + \sqrt{M}\boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) \quad (4.34)$$

$$= \sqrt{M}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \boldsymbol{\psi}) * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q} + o(1) + \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) \quad (4.35)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\psi} * \sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) + o(1). \quad (4.36)$$

By the asymptotic normality (1.13) and by Slutsky's theorem, we obtain

$$\sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{P}} - \mathbf{P}) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}} * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{q}}. \quad (4.37)$$

The remainder of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

## 5 Estimation of the reliability vector sequence

Recall that the partition  $E = U \cup D$  and the reliability vector sequence  $\mathbf{R}$  has been introduced in the end of Introduction.

Let  $\tilde{U} := U \times U \times \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathbf{q}_{UU} = ((q_{UU})_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{U}}$  denote the restriction of  $\mathbf{q}$  on  $\tilde{U}$ , i.e.,

$$(q_{UU})_{ij}(k) := q_{ij}(k) \quad \text{for } (i, j, k) \in \tilde{U}. \quad (5.1)$$

Let  $\mathbf{q}_{UU}^{(n)} = ((q_{UU}^{(n)})_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{U}}$  denote the  $n$ -fold matrix convolution of  $\mathbf{q}_{UU}$ , which satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}_i(J_n = j, S_n = k, T_D > k) = (q_{UU}^{(n)})_{ij}(k). \quad (5.2)$$

We denote the matrix convolution inverse of  $\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}_{UU}$  by

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} := (\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}_{UU})^{(-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{q}_{UU}^{(n)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Note that  $\mathbf{q}_{UU}^{(n)}$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU}$  differ from the restriction of  $\mathbf{q}^{(n)}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$  on  $\tilde{U}$ , respectively.

The reliability vector sequence  $\mathbf{R} = (R_i(k))_{(i,k) \in U \times \mathbb{N}}$  has been given as

$$R_i(k) := \mathbb{P}_i(T_D > k) = \mathbb{P}_i(T_D > k, X_1 > k) + \mathbb{P}_i(T_D > k, X_1 \leq k). \quad (5.4)$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{P}_i(T_D > k, X_1 > k) = \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 > k) = (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_{ii}(k) = ((\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U)_i(k), \quad (5.5)$$

where we write  $\mathbf{A}_U = ((\mathbf{A}_U)_i(k))_{(i,k) \in U \times \mathbb{N}} := (A_{ii}(k))_{(i,k) \in U \times \mathbb{N}}$  for a matrix sequence  $\mathbf{A} = (A_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{E}}$ , and

$$\mathbb{P}_i(T_D > k, X_1 \leq k) = \sum_{j' \in U} \sum_{k' \leq k} \mathbb{P}_i(T_D > k, J_1 = j', X_1 = k') \quad (5.6)$$

$$= \sum_{j' \in U} \sum_{k' \leq k} q_{ij'}(k') \mathbb{P}_{j'}(T_D > k - k') \quad (5.7)$$

$$= \sum_{j' \in U} \sum_{k' \leq k} q_{ij'}(k') R_{j'}(k - k') = (\mathbf{q}_{UU} * \mathbf{R})_i(k). \quad (5.8)$$

We thus obtain the renewal equation

$$\mathbf{R} = (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U + \mathbf{q}_{UU} * \mathbf{R}, \quad (5.9)$$

which can be solved as

$$\mathbf{R} = (\delta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}_{UU})^{(-1)} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U = \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U. \quad (5.10)$$

Define  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{UU} = ((\hat{q}_{UU})_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{U}}$  by  $(\hat{q}_{UU})_{ij}(k) := \hat{q}_{ij}(k)$  for  $(i, j, k) \in \tilde{U}$  and

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{UU} := (\delta \mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{UU})^{(-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{UU}^{(n)}, \quad (5.11)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{UU}^{(n)} = ((\hat{q}_{UU}^{(n)})_{ij}(k))_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{U}}$  denote the  $n$ -fold matrix convolution of  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{UU}$ . Let us introduce the estimator of the reliability vector sequence by

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}} := \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\hat{\mathbf{q}})_U. \quad (5.12)$$

The strong consistency of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$  is known as follows.

**Theorem 5.1** (Barbu–Limnios [4, Theorem 5.1]). *Suppose (A) is satisfied. Then*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \mathbf{R}, \quad (5.13)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in U$ .

The asymptotic normality of  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} = (\widehat{R}_i(k, M))_{(i,k) \in U \times \mathbb{N}}$  in one-dimension is as follows, which corrects the wrong statement of Barbu–Limnios [4, Theorem 5.1].

**Theorem 5.2.** *Suppose (A) is satisfied. Then, for any fixed  $(i, k) \in U \times \mathbb{N}$ , it holds that*

$$\sqrt{M} \left( \widehat{R}_i(k, M) - R_i(k) \right) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(0, v^{\mathbf{R}}(i, k)) \quad (5.14)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in U$ , where the asymptotic variance  $v^{\mathbf{R}}(i, k)$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\mathbf{R}}(i, k) := & \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \left\{ \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} \left[ \left( D_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^i - (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right)^2 * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right] (k) \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} \left[ D_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^i * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} - (\psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * H_{\tilde{i}} \right]^2 (k) \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in D} \left[ \left( (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}}(k) - (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right)^2 * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right] (k), \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where

$$(\Psi_{UU})_{ij}(k) = \sum_{k' \leq k} (\psi_{UU})_{ij}(k') \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$D_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^i = \sum_{j \in U} (\psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * (\psi_{UU})_{\tilde{j}\tilde{j}} * (1 - H_j). \quad (5.17)$$

Let us generalize Theorem 5.2 in multi-dimension, as a corollary of our Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Suppose (A) is satisfied. Then it holds that*

$$\sqrt{M} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{R}} - \mathbf{R} \right) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{\text{d}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, V^{\mathbf{R}}) \quad (5.18)$$

under  $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}$  for all initial value  $i_0 \in U$ , where the asymptotic variance matrix  $V^{\mathbf{R}} = (V_{ee'}^{\mathbf{R}})_{e, e' \in U \times \mathbb{N}}$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\mathbf{R}} = & \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{U}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_U)^{\otimes 2} \\ & - \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \left( \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \mathbf{q}_{UU}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}})_U \right)^{\otimes 2} \\ & + \sum_{\tilde{e} \in U \times D \times \mathbb{N}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_U)^{\otimes 2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

*Proof.* By the same arguments as (3.23) and (3.24), we have

$$\sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{UU} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU}) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{q}}_{UU} - \boldsymbol{q}_{UU}) * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} + o(1). \quad (5.20)$$

Hence we have

$$\sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{R}} - \boldsymbol{R}) = \sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\widehat{\boldsymbol{q}})_U - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{q})_U) \quad (5.21)$$

$$= \sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{UU} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU}) * (\mathcal{S}\widehat{\boldsymbol{q}})_U + \sqrt{M}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{q}} - \boldsymbol{q}))_U \quad (5.22)$$

$$= \sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{UU} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU}) * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{q})_U + o(1) + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{q}} - \boldsymbol{q}))_U \quad (5.23)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{q}}_{UU} - \boldsymbol{q}_{UU}) * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{q})_U \quad (5.24)$$

$$+ \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{q}} - \boldsymbol{q}))_U + o(1).$$

By the asymptotic normality (1.13) and by Slutsky's theorem, we obtain

$$\sqrt{M}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{R}} - \boldsymbol{R}) \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{d} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \boldsymbol{Z}_{UU}^q * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{q})_U + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{Z}^q)_U. \quad (5.25)$$

By the same arguments as the proof of Theorem 3.3, we obtain (5.18) with the asymptotic covariance matrix being given by

$$V^{\boldsymbol{R}} = \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \boldsymbol{\delta}_{UU}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{q})_U + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_U)^{\otimes 2} \quad (5.26)$$

$$- \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \boldsymbol{q}_{UU}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{q})_U + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{q}^{\tilde{i}})_U)^{\otimes 2},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{UU}^{\tilde{e}}$  stands for the restriction of  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}}$  on  $\tilde{U}$ . Since we easily see that

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \boldsymbol{\delta}_{UU}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for } \tilde{e} \notin \tilde{U}, \quad (5.27)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_U = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for } \tilde{e} \in D \times E \times \mathbb{N} \quad (5.28)$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \boldsymbol{q}_{UU}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{q}^{\tilde{i}})_U = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for } \tilde{i} \in D, \quad (5.29)$$

we obtain (5.19).  $\square$

## Appendix: Computation of the asymptotic variances

### 6.1 The asymptotic variance for the matrix convolution inverse

Let us check that  $V_{ee'}^{\boldsymbol{\psi}}$  in (3.18) for  $e = e' = (i, j, k) \in \tilde{E}$  coincides with  $v^{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(i, j, k)$  in (3.14). Set

$$V^1 := \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi})^{\otimes 2}, \quad V^2 := \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{q}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi})^{\otimes 2}, \quad (6.1)$$

so that  $V^\psi = V^1 - V^2$ . For  $V_{ee}^1$ , we have

$$V_{ee}^1 = \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} \left[ (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi})_e \right]^2 = \sum_{\tilde{e} = (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{k}) \in \tilde{E}} q_{\tilde{e}} \left[ (\psi_{i\tilde{i}} * \psi_{j\tilde{j}})(k - \tilde{k}) \right]^2 \quad (6.2)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \sum_{\tilde{k} \in \mathbb{N}} q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}(\tilde{k}) \left[ (\psi_{i\tilde{i}} * \psi_{j\tilde{j}})(k - \tilde{k}) \right]^2 \quad (6.3)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \left[ (\psi_{i\tilde{i}} * \psi_{j\tilde{j}})^2 * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right](k). \quad (6.4)$$

For  $V_{ee}^2$ , we have

$$V_{ee}^2 = \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \left[ (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi})_e \right]^2 = \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \left[ \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} (\psi_{i\tilde{i}} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} * \psi_{j\tilde{j}})(k) \right]^2. \quad (6.5)$$

Hence we obtain  $V_{ee}^\psi = v^\psi(i, j, k)$ .

## 6.2 The asymptotic variance for the distribution matrix sequence

Let us check that  $V_{e'e'}^P$  in (4.17) for  $e = e' = (i, j, k) \in \tilde{E}$  coincides with  $v^P(i, j, k)$  in (4.13). Set

$$V^1 := \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})^{\otimes 2}, \quad (6.6)$$

$$V^2 := \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}})^{\otimes 2}, \quad (6.7)$$

so that  $V^P = V^1 - V^2$ . Since

$$(\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_e = \sum_{k'' \in \mathbb{N}: \tilde{k} \leq k'' \leq k} (\psi_{i\tilde{i}} * \psi_{j\tilde{j}})(k'' - \tilde{k}) \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' > k - k''} q_{jj'}(k') \quad (6.8)$$

$$= \sum_{k'' \in \mathbb{N}: \tilde{k} \leq k'' \leq k} (\psi_{i\tilde{i}} * \psi_{j\tilde{j}})(k'' - \tilde{k}) \cdot (1 - H_j(k - k'')) \quad (6.9)$$

$$= (\psi_{i\tilde{i}} * \psi_{j\tilde{j}} * (1 - H_j))(k - \tilde{k}) = C_{ij}^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}(k - \tilde{k}) \quad (6.10)$$

and

$$(\boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_e = 1_{\{\tilde{i}=j\}} \sum_{k' \leq k} \psi_{i\tilde{i}}(k') 1_{\{k' > k - \tilde{k} \geq 0\}} \quad (6.11)$$

$$= 1_{\{\tilde{i}=j\}} \left( \Psi_{ij}(k) - \Psi_{ij}(k - \tilde{k}) \right) 1_{\{\tilde{k} \leq k\}}, \quad (6.12)$$

we have

$$V_{ee}^1 = \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}(\tilde{k}) [(\boldsymbol{\psi} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_e + (\boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_e]^2 \quad (6.13)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \left[ \left( C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} + 1_{\{\tilde{i}=\tilde{j}\}} (\Psi_{ij}(k) - \Psi_{ij}) \right)^2 * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right] (k). \quad (6.14)$$

Since

$$\left( \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q} \right)_e = \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \sum_{k'' \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \psi_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} * \psi_{\tilde{j}\tilde{j}} \right) (k'') \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' > k - k''} q_{jj'}(k') \quad (6.15)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \left[ \psi_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} * \psi_{\tilde{j}\tilde{j}} * (1 - H_j) \right] (k) \quad (6.16)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \left[ C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right] (k) \quad (6.17)$$

and

$$\left( \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} \right)_e = 1_{\{\tilde{i}=\tilde{j}\}} \sum_{k'' \leq k} \psi_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}(k'') \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' > k - k''} q_{jj'}(k') \quad (6.18)$$

$$= 1_{\{\tilde{i}=\tilde{j}\}} [\psi_{ij} * (1 - H_j)] (k), \quad (6.19)$$

we have

$$V_{ee}^2 = \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \left[ \left( \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q} \right)_e + \left( \boldsymbol{\psi} * \mathcal{S}\mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}} \right)_e \right]^2, \quad (6.20)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{i} \in E} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \left[ \left( \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} + 1_{\{\tilde{i}=\tilde{j}\}} \psi_{ij} * (1 - H_j) \right)^2 \right] (k). \quad (6.21)$$

Since  $\psi_{ij} * 1 = \Psi_{ij}$ ,  $\sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} * 1 = 1$  and  $\sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \Psi_{ij} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} = \psi_{ij} * H_{\tilde{i}}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \left[ \left( C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} + 1_{\{\tilde{i}=\tilde{j}\}} (\Psi_{ij}(k) - \Psi_{ij}) \right)^2 * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right] (k) \quad (6.22)$$

$$- \left[ \left( \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} + 1_{\{\tilde{i}=\tilde{j}\}} \psi_{ij} * (1 - H_j) \right)^2 \right] (k)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \left[ \left( C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} - 1_{\{\tilde{i}=\tilde{j}\}} \Psi_{ij} \right)^2 * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \right] (k)$$

$$- \left[ \left( \sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} C_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{ij} * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} - 1_{\{\tilde{i}=\tilde{j}\}} \psi_{ij} * H_j \right)^2 \right] (k). \quad (6.23)$$

Hence we obtain  $V_{ee}^P = v^P(i, j, k)$ .

### 6.3 The asymptotic variance for the reliability vector sequence

Let us check that  $V_{ee'}^{\mathbf{R}}$  in (5.19) for  $e = e' = (i, k) \in U \times \mathbb{N}$  coincides with  $v^{\mathbf{R}}(i, k)$  in (5.15). Set

$$V^1 := \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{U}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_U)^{\otimes 2}, \quad (6.24)$$

$$V^2 := \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}^{\sim} \left( \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{UU}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\tilde{\mathbf{q}}^{\tilde{i}})_U \right)^{\otimes 2}, \quad (6.25)$$

$$V^3 := \sum_{\tilde{e} \in U \times D \times \mathbb{N}} \bar{q}_{\tilde{e}} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_U)^{\otimes 2}, \quad (6.26)$$

so that  $V^{\mathbf{R}} = V^1 - V^2 + V^3$ . Since

$$(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U)_e \quad (6.27)$$

$$= \sum_{j \in U} \sum_{k'' \in \mathbb{N}: \tilde{k} \leq k'' \leq k} \left( (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{\tilde{j}j} \right) (k'' - \tilde{k}) \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' > k - k''} q_{jj'}(k') \quad (6.28)$$

$$= \sum_{j \in U} \left( (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{\tilde{j}j} * (1 - H_j) \right) (k - \tilde{k}) = D_{ij}^i(k - \tilde{k}) \quad (6.29)$$

and

$$(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_U)_e = \sum_{k' \leq k} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}}(k') 1_{\{k' > k - \tilde{k} \geq 0\}} \quad (6.30)$$

$$= \left( (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}}(k) - (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}}(k - \tilde{k}) \right) 1_{\{\tilde{k} \leq k\}}, \quad (6.31)$$

we have

$$V_{ee}^1 = \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}^{\sim} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} \sum_{\tilde{k} \in \mathbb{N}} q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{\sim}(\tilde{k}) \left[ (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U)_e + (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_U)_e \right]^2 \quad (6.32)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}^{\sim} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} \left[ \left( D_{ij}^i + (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}}(k) - (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} \right)^2 * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{\sim} \right] (k) \quad (6.33)$$

and

$$V_{ee}^3 = \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}^{\sim} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in D} \sum_{\tilde{k} \in \mathbb{N}} q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{\sim}(\tilde{k}) \left[ (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\tilde{e}})_U)_e \right]^2, \quad (6.34)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}^{\sim} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in D} \left[ \left( (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}}(k) - (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} \right)^2 * q_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{\sim} \right] (k). \quad (6.35)$$

Since

$$\left( \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \mathbf{q}_{UU}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U \right)_e \quad (6.36)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} \sum_{k'' \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in U} \left( (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}j}^{\tilde{i}} * (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{\tilde{j}j} \right) (k'') \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' > k - k''} \mathbf{q}_{jj'}(k') \quad (6.37)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} \left[ (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}j}^{\tilde{i}} * (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{\tilde{j}j} * (1 - H_j) \right] (k) \quad (6.38)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} \left[ D_{\tilde{i}j}^i * \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}j}^{\tilde{i}} \right] (k) \quad (6.39)$$

and

$$\left( \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}})_U \right)_e = \sum_{k'' \leq k} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}}(k'') \sum_{j' \in E} \sum_{k' > k - k''} \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}j'}(k') \quad (6.40)$$

$$= [(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * (1 - H_{\tilde{i}})] (k), \quad (6.41)$$

we have

$$V_{ee}^2 = \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}^{\tilde{i}} \left[ \left( \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * \mathbf{q}_{UU}^{\tilde{i}} * \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q})_U \right)_e + \left( \boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU} * (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{q}^{\tilde{i}})_U \right)_e \right]^2 \quad (6.42)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{i} \in U} \mu_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}^{\tilde{i}} \left[ \left( \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} D_{\tilde{i}j}^i * \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}j}^{\tilde{i}} + (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * (1 - H_{\tilde{i}}) \right)^2 \right] (k). \quad (6.43)$$

Since  $(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{ij} * 1 = (\Psi_{UU})_{ij}$ ,  $\sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{\tilde{i}} * 1 = 1$  and  $\sum_{\tilde{j} \in E} (\Psi_{UU})_{ij} * \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{\tilde{i}} = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{ij} * H_{\tilde{i}}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} \left[ \left( D_{\tilde{i}j}^i + (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}}(k) - (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} \right)^2 * \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}j}^{\tilde{i}} \right] (k) \quad (6.44)$$

$$- \left[ \left( \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} D_{\tilde{i}j}^i * \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}j}^{\tilde{i}} + (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * (1 - H_{\tilde{i}}) \right)^2 \right] (k)$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} \left[ \left( D_{\tilde{i}j}^i - (\Psi_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} \right)^2 * \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}j}^{\tilde{i}} \right] (k) \quad (6.45)$$

$$- \left[ \left( \sum_{\tilde{j} \in U} D_{\tilde{i}j}^i * \mathbf{q}_{\tilde{i}j}^{\tilde{i}} - (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{UU})_{i\tilde{i}} * H_{\tilde{i}} \right)^2 \right] (k).$$

Hence we obtain  $V_{ee}^{\mathbf{R}} = v^{\mathbf{R}}(i, k)$ .

## References

- [1] M. G. Akritas and G. G. Roussas. Asymptotic inference in continuous time semi-Markov processes. *Scand. J. Statist.*, 7(2):73–79, 1980.

- [2] E. E. Alvarez. Smoothed nonparametric estimation in window censored semi-Markov processes. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 131(2):209–229, 2005.
- [3] C. Ayhar, V. S. Barbu, F. Mokhtari, and S. Rahmani. On the asymptotic properties of some kernel estimators for continuous-time semi-Markov processes. *J. Nonparametr. Stat.*, 34(2):299–318, 2022.
- [4] V. S. Barbu and N. Limnios. *Semi-Markov chains and hidden semi-Markov models toward applications — Their use in reliability and DNA analysis*. Lecture Notes in Statistics volume 191. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [5] R. Durrett. *Probability — theory and examples*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, fifth edition, 2019.
- [6] R. D. Gill. Nonparametric estimation based on censored observations of a Markov renewal process. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 53(1):97–116, 1980.
- [7] P. E. Greenwood and W. Wefelmeyer. Empirical estimators for semi-Markov processes. *Math. Methods Statist.*, 5(3):299–315, 1996.
- [8] N. Heutte and C. Huber-Carol. Semi-markov models for quality of life data with censoring. In *Statistical Methods for Quality of Life Studies: Design, Measurements and Analysis*, pages 207–218. Springer US, Boston, MA, 2002.
- [9] R. Höpfner. Asymptotic inference for continuous-time Markov chains. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 77(4):537–550, 1988.
- [10] O. Kallenberg. *Foundations of modern probability*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2002.
- [11] S. W. Lagakos, C. J. Sommer, and M. Zelen. Semi-Markov models for partially censored data. *Biometrika*, 65(2):311–317, 1978.
- [12] N. Limnios. Estimation of the stationary distribution of semi-Markov processes with Borel state space. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 76(14):1536–1542, 2006.
- [13] N. Limnios, B. Ouhbi, and A. Sadek. Empirical estimator of stationary distribution for semi-Markov processes. *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods*, 34(4):987–995, 2005.
- [14] E. H. Moore and R. Pyke. Estimation of the transition distributions of a Markov renewal process. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, 20:411–424, 1968.
- [15] J. R. Norris. *Markov chains*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [16] B. Ouhbi and N. Limnios. Nonparametric estimation for semi-Markov processes based on its hazard rate functions. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process.*, 2(2):151–173 (2000), 1999.
- [17] B. Ouhbi and N. Limnios. Nonparametric reliability estimation of semi-Markov processes. volume 109, pages 155–165. 2003. C. R. Rao 80th birthday felicitation volume, Part III.