A NOTE ON THE EQUIVARIANT CHERN CHARACTER IN NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY

BJARNE KOSMEIJER AND HESSEL POSTHUMA

ABSTRACT. Given a smooth action of a Lie group on a manifold, we give two constructions of the Chern character of an equivariant vector bundle in the cyclic cohomology of the crossed product algebra. The first construction associates a cycle to the vector bundle whose structure maps are closely related to Getzler's model for equivariant cohomology. The second construction uses a direct map between this model and the (periodic) cyclic cohomology localized at the unit element. Finally, it is shown that the two constructions are equivalent when the action is proper.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Acknowledgements	3
1. The Chern character via cycles	3
1.1. Preliminaries	3
1.2. The fundamental cycle	5
1.3. Twisting by an equivariant vector bundle	10
2. Compatibility with the equivariant Chern character	12
2.1. On the cyclic homology of the crossed product algebra	13
2.2. On the periodic cyclic homology of the crossed product algebra	17
2.3. Pairing with equivariant cohomology	17
2.4. The case $\mathbf{A} = C^{\infty}(M)$	22
2.5. Compatibility with the Chern character	23
3. Comparison with known cases	27
3.1. Trivial group actions	27
3.2. Compact groups	29
3.3. Compact group actions	30
3.4. Actions of discrete groups	31
Appendix A. Showing that $\Omega_{E,\nabla}$ is an externally curved DGA	32
References	37

INTRODUCTION

Noncommutative geometry aims to study the geometry of certain singular spaces that are modeled using noncommutative algebras, generalizing ordinary geometry when applied to the commutative case. A prime example of such a noncommutative geometry is the singular space defined by the quotient of an action of a Lie group *G* on a manifold *M*, which is modeled using the crossed product algebra of the induced action of *G* on the ring of smooth functions $C_c^{\infty}(M)$.

Cyclic homology is a homology theory for algebras that plays the role of de Rham cohomology of manifolds in noncommutative geometry. The cyclic homology and cohomology of the crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A} := G \ltimes C_c^{\infty}(M)$ and its connection to equivariant cohomology has been extensively studied from the early days of cyclic homology on:

- (I) Connes [Con86] and Feigin–Tsygan [FT87] studied the case of the action of a discrete group, followed by Getzler–Jones [GJ93], Nistor [Nis90], and Ponge [Pon18, Pon23]. In these papers the cyclic homology of the crossed product algebra is related to the equivariant cohomology of fixed points of the action ("twisted sectors").
- (II) Brylinski [Bry87b] and Block–Getzler [BG94] considered action of compact Lie groups: the cyclic homology is given as a the cohomology of a sheaf over the group whose stalk at each element is given in terms of the Cartan model for equivariant cohomology of its fixed point set.
- (III) Finally, Block–Getzler–Jones [BGJ95] and Nistor [Nis93] generalized the previous case to the setting where the group is allowed to be non-compact. This case is reduced to the compact case after localization.

These results are closely related to computations for étale groupoids (see Brylinsky–Nistor [BN94] and Crainic [Cra99]) for (I) and proper Lie groupoids (see [PPT23]) for (II).

In the first two cases above the isomorphisms can be explicitly constructed on the chain-level, generalizing the classical HKR map, and can therefore be used to compare Connes' noncommutative Chern character of vector bundles in cyclic homology with the classical equivariant Chern character in the equivariant cohomology. In the third case this is more problematic because the isomorphisms are not explicitly given on the chain-level. In [Gor99], Gorokhovsky studies the Chern character in noncommutative geometry for actions of discrete groups, using the formalism of cycles in the dual cyclic cohomology, and proves compatibility with the equivariant Chern character under the dual isomorphism of (I), localized at the identity, above. In this paper we generalize this result to the general case of a (not necessarily compact) unimodular Lie group acting on an oriented manifold.

The results of this paper can be summarized by the diagram:



In this diagram, $\operatorname{Vect}_G(M)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of equivariant vector bundles and the vertical arrow is the classical equivariant Chern character in $H_G^{ev}(M) = H^{\bullet}(EG \times_G M)$. In this paper, Getzler's model [Get94] for equivariant cohomology, generalizing Cartan's model for compact Lie groups, plays an important role: First, in Section 1 we define the horizontal arrow in the diagram by constructing cycles over the crossed product algebra whose structure maps are closely related to the differentials of his complex. Second, we construct the diagonal map Φ in Section 2 by generalizing the HKR maps of [BG94] localized at the identity to the non-compact case and showing that it pairs naturally with cocycles in Getzler's model. This map generalizes the map in [Con86] for actions of discrete groups. Finally, in Theorem 2.16 we prove that the diagram commutes when the action is proper. For non-proper actions, commutativity of the diagram remains open. Let us also remark that the theory discussed in this paper is localized at the unit elements, the extension to other localizations and related fixed points is left for future research

Acknowledgements. The research of B.K. is supported by the Dutch Research Council (NWO) through project nr. 613.001.021.

1. THE CHERN CHARACTER VIA CYCLES

1.1. **Preliminaries.** Let *G* be a Lie group acting smoothly (from the right) on a manifold *M*. We assume that *G* is unimodular and that *M* is oriented. Associated to this data is the Lie groupoid over *M* given by $G := M \times G$ and source and target maps given by s(x,g) = xg and t(x,g) = x. The convolution algebra of this groupoid is given by $\mathcal{A} := C_c^{\infty}(G) = C_c^{\infty}(M \times G)$ equipped with the product

(1)
$$(f_1 * f_2)(x,g) := \int_G f_1(x,h) f_2(xh,h^{-1}g) dh.$$

Let us briefly recall the definition of the cyclic cohomology of A, c.f. [Lod98]. Denote by A^+ the algebra given by adjoining a unit to A, and by $C^k(A^+)$ the space of (k + 1)multilinear forms on A^+ that satisfy

$$\phi(a_0, \ldots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_i, \ldots, a_{k-1}) = 0,$$
 for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

The Hochschild differential maps $b : C^k(\mathcal{A}^+) \to C^{k+1}(\mathcal{A}^+)$ whereas Connes' differential maps $B : C^k(\mathcal{A}^+) \to C^{k-1}(\mathcal{A}^+)$ satisfying $b^2 = 0 = B^2$ and [b, B] = 0. We then set

$$CC^k(\mathcal{A}^+) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C^{k+2n}(\mathcal{A}^+),$$

equipped with the differential $b + B : CC^k(\mathcal{A}^+) \to CC^{k+1}(\mathcal{A}^+)$. The cyclic cohomology $HC^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is then defined as the cohomology of the subcomplex given by the kernel of the canonical morphism $CC^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}^+) \to CC^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 1.1. The crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A} := C_c^{\infty}(G \times M)$ has a natural locally convex topology and we shall therefore actually use (inductive) completed tensor products $\hat{\otimes}$ so that $\mathcal{A}^{\hat{\otimes}(k+1)} \cong C_c^{\infty}(G^{\times(k+1)} \times M^{\times(k+1)})$, and continuous homomorphisms: $C^k(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\mathcal{A}^{\hat{\otimes}(k+1)}, \mathbb{C})$. With this definition, the cyclic cohomology of \mathcal{A} can be given the structure of a module over the ring $C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$ of conjugacy invariant functions given by $(f\phi)(a_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_k) := \phi(f \cdot (a_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_k))$ where $f \in C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$ acts by

$$(f \cdot (a_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_k))(g_0, \ldots, g_k, x_0, \ldots, x_k) := f(g_0 \cdots g_k)a_0(g_0, x_0) \cdots a_k(g_k, x_k).$$

This module structure is compatible with the differentials *b* and *B* and therefore gives the cyclic cohomology $HC(\mathcal{A})$ the structure of a $C_{inv}^{\infty}(G)$ -module. The dual of this module structure was used in [Nis93] to analyse the cyclic homology of \mathcal{A} by localization to the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{(g)}$ of functions in $C_{inv}^{\infty}(G)$ vanishing at the conjugacy class (g) of elements $g \in G$. In this paper we shall be mostly concerned with localization at the unit element $e \in G$.

In this section we shall construct cyclic cohomology classes for the convolution algebra using Connes' formalism of *cycles*, c.f. [Con94, §3.1]. The ingredients for this construction are an (externally) curved DGA, together with a closed graded trace. We now briefly recall these concepts.

Definition 1.2. An *externally curved* DGA is a graded vector space $\Omega = \Omega^{\bullet}$ with an associative graded product *, a differential $D : \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}$ and a multiplier Θ on Ω of degree 2, such that

- *D* is a graded derivation,
- $D^2 = [\Theta, -],$
- $D(\Theta * \alpha) = \Theta * (D\alpha).$

Remark 1.3.

- *i*) Recall that a multiplier Θ of degree *d* is a pair of linear maps $\Theta_l, \Theta_r : \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet+d}$ satisfying
 - $\Theta_l(\alpha * \beta) = \Theta_l(\alpha) * \beta$ $- \Theta_r(\alpha * \beta) = \alpha * \Theta_r(\beta)$ $- \Theta_r(\alpha) * \beta = \alpha * \Theta_l(\beta)$

When Ω is unital, multipliers of degree *d* are one-to-one with elements $\Theta \in \Omega^d$ where $\Theta_l = \Theta * -$ and $\Theta_r = - * \Theta$. Due to this Θ_l and Θ_r are also in the non-unital case written as $\Theta * -$ and $- * \Theta$.

- *ii*) We will induce multipliers of degree *d* on a graded algebra Ω by injecting Ω into a bigger graded algebra and find an element θ of degree *d* such that $\theta \Omega \subset \Omega$ and $\Omega \theta \subset \Omega$ hold in the bigger algebra.
- *iii*) The last property in Definition 1.2 is called the (left) Bianchi-identity, and corresponds to the fact that $D\Theta = 0$ in the unital case, because in the non-unital case $D\Theta$ may not be defined. There is also a right Bianchi-identity $D(\alpha * \Theta) = (D\alpha) * \Theta$, but under the assumption that $D^2\alpha = [\Theta, \alpha]$ the left and right Bianchi-identities are equivalent since

$$D(\Theta * \alpha - \alpha * \Theta) = D(D^2 \alpha) = D^2(D\alpha) = \Theta * (D\alpha) - (D\alpha) * \Theta.$$

Definition 1.4. Let $(\Omega, *, D, \Theta)$ be an externally curved DGA. A *closed graded trace of degree n* on Ω is a functional $f : \Omega^n \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

• $\oint \alpha * \beta = (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \oint \beta * \alpha$,

•
$$f \Theta * \alpha = f \alpha * \Theta$$
,

• $\int O * \alpha = \int$ • $\int D\alpha = 0.$

Suppose now given a triple (Ω, f, ρ) , where Ω is an externally curved DGA, f a closed graded trace of degree n and $\rho : \mathcal{A} \to \Omega^0$ a morphism of associative algebras. In [Con94, §3.1.1], Connes constructs a cyclic cocycle on \mathcal{A} out of such a triple. Here we recall Gorokhovsky's JLO-type formula [Gor99, §2] for this class. Write Δ^k for the standard simplex

$$\Delta^{k} = \{t_{0}, ..., t_{k} \ge 0 : t_{0} + \dots + t_{k} = 1\}$$

with measure $dt_1 \cdots dt_k$.

Theorem 1.5. [Gor99, Thm. 2.1.] Let $(\Omega, *, D, \Theta)$ be a externally curved DGA with a closed graded trace f of degree n, and let $\rho : \mathcal{A} \to \Omega^0$ be a map of associative algebras. The maps $\operatorname{Ch}_{\Omega,\rho}^k : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes (k+1)} \to \mathbb{C}$ for $0 \le k \le n$ with $k \equiv n \mod 2$ defined by

$$\operatorname{Ch}_{\Omega,\rho}^{k}(a_{0},...,a_{k}) = \int_{\Delta^{k}} \oint \rho(a_{0}) * e^{-t_{0}\Theta} * D(\rho(a_{1})) * e^{-t_{1}\Theta} * \cdots * D(\rho(a_{k})) * e^{-t_{k}\Theta} dt_{1} \cdots dt_{k}$$

satisfy $bCh_{\Omega,\rho}^{k} = BCh_{\Omega,\rho}^{k+2}$ and hence define a cocycle $Ch_{\Omega,\rho}$ of the (b, B)-bicomplex $CC^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$.

The resulting cyclic cohomolology class in $HC^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ is called the *Chern character* of the cycle (Ω, f, ρ) .

1.2. The fundamental cycle. We shall now construct a externally curved DGA Ω playing the role of fundamental cycle over the convolution algebra $\mathcal{A} = G \ltimes C_c^{\infty}(M)$. The elements of Ω are given by

$$\Omega := C_c^{\infty}(G, \operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_c(M))$$

In the following we shall write elements $\alpha \in \Omega$ as maps

$$(g, X) \mapsto \alpha(g, X) \in \Omega_c(M),$$

that are smooth and compactly supported in $g \in G$ and polynomial in $X \in g$.

On Ω we introduce 3 structures: the differential *D*, multiplication * and curvature Θ :

 The differential D := d_{dR} + ι is the differential of equivariant cohomology acting on Sym(g^{*}) ⊗ Ω_c(M), with d_{dR} given by

$$(d_{dR}\alpha)(g,X) = d_{dR}(\alpha(g,X))$$

and *i* given by

$$(\iota\alpha)(g,X) = \iota_{X_M}(\alpha(g,X))$$

• The multiplication is given by the following generalization of the convolution product (1):

$$(\alpha * \beta)(g, X) := \int_G \alpha(h, X) \wedge h^* \beta(h^{-1}g, \operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)) dh.$$

• The curvature is given by the distribution $\Theta \in C_c^{-\infty}(G, \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_c(M)))$ defined by

$$\langle \Theta, \alpha \rangle (X) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \alpha(e^{tX}, X).$$

The degree of an element $\alpha \in \Omega$ is given, as in equivariant cohomology, by adding the degree of α as a differential form and twice the degree of the polynomial on g. With this, the differential *D* indeed has degree 1 and the curvature Θ is of degree 2.

Note that the convolution product (2) is essentially the convolution product induced by the *G*-algebra $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_c(M)$ with product given by the pointwise wedge product and the *G*-action given by:

$$(g \cdot \alpha)(X) = g^*(\alpha(\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(X)))$$

Remark 1.6. By a distribution

$$T \in C_c^{-\infty}(G, \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_c(M)))$$

we mean a *t*-fibered distribution on the Lie groupoid $G \times \Rightarrow M$ as in [LMV17], i.e., a $C^{\infty}(M)$ -linear map

$$T: C^{\infty}_{c}(G, \operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^{*}) \otimes \Omega_{c}(M)) \to \operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^{*}) \otimes \Omega_{c}(M),$$

that is continuous for the Fréchèt topology. The extension of the convolution product (2) to distributions is then defined by

$$\langle T_1 * T_2, \varphi \rangle := \left\langle T_1(g_1), g_1 \cdot \left\langle T_2(g_2), g_1^{-1} \cdot \varphi(g_1g_2) \right\rangle \right\rangle, \qquad \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(G, \operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_c(M)).$$

Here $g_1, g_2 \in G$ are placeholder variables and the equation should be read as follows: fixing g_1 , the map $g_2 \mapsto g_1^{-1} \cdot \phi(g_1g_2)$ lies in $C_c^{\infty}(G, \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_c(M))$ and hence can be paired with T_2 , leading to an element in $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_c(M)$. This yields a map $g_1 \mapsto$

(2)

 $g_1 \cdot \langle T_2(g_2), g_1^{-1} \cdot \phi(g_1g_2) \rangle$ which is in $C_c^{\infty}(G, \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_c(M))$ which can then in turn be paired with T_1 .

Indeed, for distributions T_{α} , defined by $\alpha \in \Omega$, of the form

$$\langle T_{\alpha}, \varphi \rangle (X) := \int_{G} \alpha(g, X) \wedge \varphi(g, X) dg, \qquad \varphi \in C^{\infty}_{c}(G, \operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^{*}) \otimes \Omega_{c}(M)),$$

convolution of distributions leads exactly to the formula (2).

Proposition 1.7. (Ω, m, D, Θ) is an externally curved DGA in the sense of Definition 1.2.

Proof. It is easy to check that the product *m* is associative. Let us then show that *D* is a derivation for this product. First:

$$\begin{split} \iota m(\alpha,\beta)(g,X) &= \int_{G} \iota_{X_{M}} \alpha(h,X) \wedge h^{*}(\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))) dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge \iota_{X_{M}} h^{*}(\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))) dh \\ &= m(\iota\alpha,\beta) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge h^{*}\iota_{\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)_{M}}(\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))) dh \\ &= m(\iota\alpha,\beta) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} m(\alpha,\iota\beta). \end{split}$$

For the other part of the differential *D*, the derivation property follows immediately from the standard properties of the de Rham differential and the wedge product of forms.

By Cartan's magic formula we have $D^2 = \mathcal{L}$ with

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha)(g,X) := \mathcal{L}_{X_M} \alpha(g,X),$$

and this equals $[\Theta, -]$ because

$$(\Theta * \alpha)(g, X) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e^{tX} \cdot \alpha(e^{-tX}g, X) \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha * \Theta)(g, X) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \alpha(e^{-tX}g, X).$$

The Bianchi identities $D(\Theta * \alpha) = \Theta * D(\alpha)$, and $D(\alpha * \Theta) = D(\alpha) * \Theta$ are easily checked to hold true, for the former with the observation that \mathcal{L}_{X_M} and ι_{X_M} commute as operators on $\Omega_c(M)$ since $\mathcal{L}_{X_M}(X_M) = 0$. This proves the proposition.

Let us now introduce the functional $f: \Omega^n \to \mathbb{C}$, where $n = \dim M$, by the formula

$$\int \alpha := \int_M \alpha(e,0).$$

Proposition 1.8. The functional f is a closed graded trace on Ω .

Proof. It is straightforward to check that f is a graded trace:

$$f(\alpha * \beta) = (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} f(\beta * \alpha).$$

We outline the steps anyway, to emphasis the differences with the graded traces that will come up later in this paper. Starting from the left, we have

$$f(\alpha * \beta) = \int_M \int_G \alpha(g, 0) \wedge g^* \beta(g^{-1}, 0) dg.$$

Using the commutation relations in $\Omega(M)$ we see that

$$\int_{M} \int_{G} \alpha(g,0) \wedge g^{*} \beta(g^{-1},0) dg = (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \int_{M} \int_{G} g^{*} \beta(g^{-1},0) \wedge \alpha(g,0) dg.$$

Using that integration over *M* is *G*-invariant we get

$$(-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \int_M \int_G g^* \beta(g^{-1}, 0) \wedge \alpha(g, 0) dg = (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \int_M \int_G \beta(g^{-1}, 0) \wedge (g^{-1})^* \alpha(g, 0) dg,$$

and lastly, since *G* is unimodular we may replace the integral over *g* with one over g^{-1} to obtain

$$\begin{split} (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \int_M \int_G \beta(g^{-1}, 0) \wedge (g^{-1})^* \alpha(g, 0) dg &= (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \int_M \int_G \beta(g, 0) \wedge g^* \alpha(g^{-1}, 0) dg \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \oint \beta * \alpha \end{split}$$

Likewise, the fact that it is closed follows immediately from de Rham's theorem:

$$f(D\alpha) = \int_M d\alpha(e,0) = 0.$$

The identity $\oint \Theta * \alpha = \oint \alpha * \Theta$ follows trivially from the fact that $(\Theta * \alpha)(e, 0) = (\alpha * \Theta)(e, 0) = 0$. This finishes the proof.

By Theorem 1.5, the triple (\mathcal{A}, Ω, f) gives rise to a cyclic cocycle Ch_{Ω} of degree $n = \dim M$ which is given in the (b, B)-complex by the components

$$\operatorname{Ch}_{\Omega}^{k}(a_{0},\ldots,a_{k}):=\int_{\Delta^{k}} \oint a_{0} * e^{-t_{0}\Theta} * Da_{1} * e^{-t_{1}\Theta} * \cdots * Da_{k} * e^{-t_{k}\Theta} dt_{1} \ldots dt_{k}.$$

where $k = n \mod 2$.

Using the fact that $(\alpha * \Theta)(g, 0) = 0$ we see that this cocycle only has contributions for k = n, where it can be explicitly written as

$$Ch_{\Omega}^{n}(a_{0},\ldots,a_{n}) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{M} \int_{G^{\times n}} a_{0}(h_{1})h_{1}^{*}da_{1}(h_{2}) \wedge \cdots$$
$$\cdots \wedge (h_{1}\cdots h_{k-1})^{*}da_{k-1}(h_{k}) \wedge (h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{*}da_{k}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})dh_{1}\cdots dh_{k}$$

Using that *G* is unimodular, then we can also write this as

$$Ch_{\Omega}^{n}(a_{0},\ldots,a_{n}) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{M} \int_{G^{\times n}} a_{0}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})^{*} da_{1}(h_{1}) \wedge \cdots$$
$$\cdots \wedge ((h_{k-1}h_{k})^{-1})^{*} da_{k-1}(h_{k-1}) \wedge (h_{k}^{-1})^{*} da_{k}(h_{k}) dh_{1}\cdots dh_{k}$$

Remark 1.9. Inspired by equivariant cohomology one would be tempted to define a $Sym(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$ -valued functional by

$$\alpha\mapsto \int_M \alpha(e,-),$$

but this fails to be a trace for the convolution product (2). The problem is the adjoint action of *G* on $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ in formula (2) for the product, and this explains why we put X = 0 in the definition above. To capture the higher degree polynomial terms of $\alpha \in \Omega$, one can twist the trace by an element $\gamma \in \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})^G$, viewed as an invariant differential operator D_{γ} on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

It will follow that if one defines

$$\int_{\gamma} \alpha := \int_{M} \mathsf{D}_{\gamma}(\alpha)(e,0),$$

this also defines a closed graded trace on Ω . Remark that in combination with evaluation at $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$, the invariants $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})^G$ identify as the algebra of distributions supported at 0 in the form of derivatives of the δ -distribution via $\gamma \mapsto \mathsf{D}_{\gamma}(\delta_0)$.

Proposition 1.10. For $\gamma \in (\text{Sym}^q \mathfrak{g})^G$, the functional f_{γ} defines a closed graded trace on Ω of degree dim(M) + 2q.

Proof. The degree of f_{γ} follows from the fact that to obtain a top-form on M after applying D_{γ} and applying $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ to $D_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ we need α to be of degree dim(M) in the differential form part and of polynomial degree q, i.e. we need α to be of degree dim(M) + 2q.

To see that f_{γ} vanishes on graded commutators, we compare $f_{\gamma} \alpha * \beta$ and $(-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} f_{\gamma} \beta * \alpha$ for the case $\gamma = v_1 \odot \cdots \odot v_q \in (\text{Symg})^G$ by an explicit calculation. The expression $f_{\gamma} \alpha * \beta$ will now look like

$$f_{\gamma} \alpha * \beta = \left. \frac{d}{dt_1} \right|_{t_1=0} \cdots \left. \frac{d}{dt_q} \right|_{t_q=0} \int_M \int_G \alpha(g, \sum_{i=1}^q t_i v_i) \wedge \beta(g^{-1}, g^* \operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\sum_{i=1}^q t_i v_i)) dg$$

After applying the same manipulations as in the proof of Proposition 1.8, this will equal

$$\int_{\gamma} \alpha \ast \beta = (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \left. \frac{d}{dt_1} \right|_{t_1=0} \cdots \left. \frac{d}{dt_q} \right|_{t_q=0} \int_M \int_G \beta(g, \sum_{i=1}^q t_i \operatorname{Ad}_g(v_i)) \wedge g^* \alpha(g^{-1}, \sum_{i=1}^q t_i v_i) dg$$

Now since $v_1 \odot \cdots \odot v_q$ is *G*-invariant we may replace $\{v_i\}_{i=1,\dots,q}$ by $\{Ad_{g^{-1}}(v_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,q}$ at no cost, to see that

$$\int_{\gamma} \alpha * \beta = (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \left. \frac{d}{dt_1} \right|_{t_1=0} \cdots \left. \frac{d}{dt_q} \right|_{t_q=0} \int_M \int_G \beta(g, \sum_{i=1}^q t_i v_i) \wedge g^* \alpha(g^{-1}, \sum_{i=1}^q t_i \operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(v_i)) dg$$

and this precisely equals $(-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \oint_{\gamma} \beta * \alpha$.

The argument that f_{γ} is closed is the same as the argument for f before, since the *d*-part of *D* does not contribute to $f_{\gamma} \circ D$ by deRham's Theorem, while the *ι*-part of *D* does not contribute since the only top-form of the form $\iota \omega$ is the 0-form.

Remark 1.11. When writing out the JLO-cocycle of Theorem 1.5 for this closed graded trace, one recognizes, just like in the case that $\gamma = 0$, that it only has contributions in degree dim(M). Indeed, looking at the contribution for a given k, we need to consider $(f_0, ..., f_k) \subset C_c^{\infty}(G \times M)$ and make arguments about

$$f_0 * e^{-t_0\Theta} * Df_1 * e^{-t_1\Theta} * \cdots * Df_k * e^{-t_k\Theta}$$

However, one notices that this function will eat $g \in G$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and spit out a *k*-form on *M*, since $(Df_1)(g, X) = d(f_1(g))$ and applying Θ does not change the degree of the differential form.

So, no matter the application of D_{γ} or evaluating at g = e and X = 0, we see that integrating over *M* only gives a non-trivial contribution when $k = \dim(M)$.

Therefore, the resulting cocycle lives in the image of $S^q : HC^{\dim(M)}(G \ltimes C_c^{\infty}(M)) \to HC^{\dim(M)+2q}(G \ltimes C_c^{\infty}(M)).$

1.3. **Twisting by an equivariant vector bundle.** For an equivariant vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ with a (not necessarily *G*-invariant) connection ∇ , there is a variant of the construction of the previous section. In the case that the group is discrete, this construction is due to Gorokhovsky [Gor99, 3], and we generalize it to here to the case of an uni-modular Lie group. In this case $\Omega_E := C_c^{\infty}(G, \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_c(M, \text{End}(E)))$ and we change the differential to

$$(D_{\nabla}\alpha)(g,X) := d_{\nabla^{\mathsf{End}}}(\alpha(g,X)) + (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha(g,X) \wedge \delta(g) + \iota_{X_M}\alpha(g,X),$$

where ∇^{End} is the induced connection on End(E) and

$$\delta(g) := \nabla - g^* \nabla \in \Omega^1(M, \operatorname{End}(E)).$$

The curvature is now given by

$$\Theta_{\nabla} := \Theta + (F(\nabla) + \mu)\delta_e,$$

where $F(\nabla) \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}(E))$ is the ordinary curvature of the connection ∇ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{End}(E)$ is the moment of ∇ (c.f. [BG94, p.518]) given by

$$u(X) = \nabla_{X_M} - \mathcal{L}_X$$

The multiplication is given by the same formula as (2).

Proposition 1.12. The quadruple $\Omega_{E,\nabla} = (\Omega_E, *, D_{\nabla}, \Theta_{\nabla})$ is an externally curved DGA.

We delay the proof of this proposition to Appendix A. We now consider the functional

$$\int \alpha := \int_M \operatorname{tr}_E \alpha(e,0)$$

where $\operatorname{tr}_E : \Omega^{\bullet}(M, \operatorname{End}(E)) \to \Omega^{\bullet}(M)$ is the application of the matrix trace.

Proposition 1.13. The functional f is a closed graded trace on $\Omega_{E,\nabla}$.

Proof. This is similar to the untwisted case. Doing the same steps as in the untwisted case, we obtain:

$$f(\alpha * \beta) = (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} f(\beta * \alpha) + \int_M \int_G \operatorname{tr}_E([\alpha(g, 0), g^*\beta(g^{-1}, 0)]) dg,$$

where we note that $\operatorname{tr}_E([\alpha(g,0), g^*\beta(g^{-1}, 0)]) = 0$. To see that it is closed we have

$$\int D_{\nabla} \alpha = \int_M \operatorname{tr}_E(d_{\nabla^{\operatorname{End}}}(\alpha(e,0))) = \int_M d(\operatorname{tr}_E(\alpha(e,0))) = 0.$$

To check that $\oint \Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha = \oint \alpha * \Theta_{\nabla}$ we first note that

$$(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha)(e, 0) = F(\nabla) \wedge \alpha(e, 0)$$
$$(\alpha * \Theta_{\nabla})(e, 0) = \alpha(e, 0) \wedge F(\nabla),$$

and then using the fact that taking the trace over $\operatorname{End}(E)$ is cyclically invariant we obtain

$$f(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha) = \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}_{E}(F(\nabla) \wedge \alpha(e, 0)) = \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}_{E}(\alpha(e, 0) \wedge F(\nabla)) = f(\alpha * \Theta_{\nabla})$$

and hence f is a closed graded trace.

The cyclic cocycle that we get from Theorem 1.5 is now given by

(3)
$$\operatorname{Ch}_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}}^{k}(a_{0},\ldots,a_{k}) := \int_{\Delta^{k}} \oint \operatorname{tr}_{E} \left(a_{0} * e^{-t_{0}\Theta_{\nabla}} * D_{\nabla}a_{1} * e^{-t_{1}\Theta_{\nabla}} * \cdots \right) \cdots * D_{\nabla}a_{k} * e^{-t_{k}\Theta} dt_{1} \ldots dt_{k},$$

We can also write this out explicitly using the fact that

$$(\alpha * \Theta_{\nabla})(g, 0) = \alpha(g, 0) \wedge g^* F(\nabla),$$

$$(D_{\nabla}\alpha)(g,0) = d_{\nabla^{\operatorname{End}}}(\alpha(g,0)) + (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha(g,0) \wedge \delta(g),$$

and the fact that for $a \in C_c^{\infty}(M) \subset \Omega_c^0(M, \operatorname{End}(E))$ we have $d_{\nabla^{\operatorname{End}}}a = da$. We end up with

$$Ch_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}}^{k}(a_{0},\ldots,a_{k}) = \sum_{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{k}=\frac{n-k}{2}} \int_{\Delta^{k}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} t_{0}^{i_{0}}\cdots t_{k}^{i_{k}}}{i_{0}!\cdots i_{k}!} dt$$
$$\int_{G^{k}} \int_{M} tr_{E}(a_{0}(h_{1})(h_{1}^{*}F(\nabla))^{\wedge i_{0}} \wedge h_{1}^{*}(da_{1}(h_{2}) + a_{1}(h_{2})\delta(h_{2})) \wedge \cdots$$
$$\cdots \wedge (h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{*}(da_{k}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1}) + a_{k}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})\delta((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1}) \wedge F(\nabla)^{\wedge i_{k}}) dh,$$

where $dt = dt_0 \cdots dt_k$ and $dh = dh_1 \cdots dh_k$. We can compute the integral over Δ^k (see also [Gor99]) to obtain

(4)
$$\operatorname{Ch}_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}}^{k}(a_{0},\ldots,a_{k}) = \sum_{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{k}=\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{\left(\frac{n+k}{2}\right)!} \int_{G^{k}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}_{E}(a_{0}(h_{1})(h_{1}^{*}F(\nabla))^{\wedge i_{0}} \wedge h_{1}^{*}(da_{1}(h_{2})+a_{1}(h_{2})\delta(h_{2})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{*}(da_{k}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1}) + a_{k}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})\delta((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})) \wedge F(\nabla)^{\wedge i_{k}}) dh$$

Remark 1.14. Combining two variations, one can also define a f_{γ} on Ω_E for some $\gamma \in$ Sym(\mathfrak{g})^{*G*} analogous to the untwisted case to obtain another closed graded trace.

We finally remark that the cyclic cohomology class does not depend on the choice of connection:

Theorem 1.15. The cyclic cohomology class $\operatorname{Ch}_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}} \in HC^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ does not depend on the choice of connection ∇ and defines a map

$$\operatorname{Ch}_{\Omega}: \operatorname{Vect}_{G}(M) \to HC^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $\operatorname{Vect}_G(M)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of *G*-vector bundles over *M*.

Proof. The invariance under the choice of the connection follows similar to [Gor99, Lem 2.7], by remarking that for two connections ∇_0 , ∇_1 , the family $\nabla_t = \nabla_0 + t(\nabla_1 - \nabla_0)$ induces a cobordant family between Ω_{E,∇_0} and Ω_{E,∇_1} .

2. COMPATIBILITY WITH THE EQUIVARIANT CHERN CHARACTER

Recall the basic setting of a Lie group *G* acting smoothly on a manifold *M*. The map described in Theorem 1.15 is called the *noncommutative Chern character*, the adjective indicating that it takes values in the cyclic cohomology of the noncommutative algebra \mathcal{A} given by the crossed product of the induced action of *G* on $C^{\infty}(M)$. The *classical* (or: *commutative*) *equivariant Chern character* takes values in the equivariant cohomology $H^{\bullet}_{G}(M)$ and is given by taking the ordinary Chern character of the induced vector bundle over the homotopy quotient $(EG \times M)/G$.

The aim of this section is to compare these two Chern characters. For this we first construct a map

$$\Phi: H^{\bullet}_{G}(M) \to HC^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}),$$

using Getzler's model [Get94] for the cochain complex computing the equivariant cohomology $H_G^{\bullet}(M)$, together with computations in *cyclic homology* generalizing the case of actions of *compact* Lie groups considered in [Bry87a, BG94, PPT23]. We then prove that the diagram



commutes when the action is proper.

2.1. On the cyclic homology of the crossed product algebra. Let A be a commutative associate algebra equipped with a locally convex topology which is acted upon by a Lie group *G* by means of automorphisms via a homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow Aut(A)$. This action is supposed to be smooth in the following sense, c.f. [Bla85]:

- a) the map $G \times A \to A$, given by $(g, a) \mapsto \rho(g)(a)$, is continuous,
- b) for any $a \in A$, the map $g \mapsto \rho(g)(a)$ is smooth,
- c) $\rho(C) \subset Aut(A)$ is equicontinuous for all compact subsets $C \subset G$.

For such an algebra A we can define an action $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \text{Der}(A)$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of *G* by derivations as follows:

$$\rho(X)(a) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \rho(\exp(tX))(a), \qquad X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let $\Omega^{\bullet} A = \bigwedge_{A}^{\bullet} \Omega^{1} A$ be the DG algebra of Kähler differentials of A generated by the universal A-module for derivations $\Omega^{1} A$. By definition we have $\text{Der}(A) \cong \text{Hom}_{A}(\Omega^{1} A, A)$ so we obtain a map $\mathfrak{g} \to \text{Hom}_{A}(\Omega^{1} A, A)$ that we write as $X \mapsto \iota_{X}$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and can extend to $\Omega^{\bullet} A$ as a graded derivation of degree -1. We can then define a \mathfrak{g} -module structure on the whole of $\Omega^{\bullet} A$ using Cartan's magic formula $L_X := d \circ \iota_X + \iota_X \circ d$. Together with the natural *G*-action induced by that on A, this turns $\Omega^{\bullet} A$ into what is called a G^* -algebra in [GS99, §2.3].

Denote by $G \ltimes A$ the crossed product algebra associated to the *G*-action on A, and by $CC_{\bullet}(G \ltimes A)$ its cyclic bicomplex. In this section we shall construct, following Brylinski [Bry87a] and Block-Getzler [BG94], chain morphisms

(5)
$$CC_{\bullet}(G \ltimes A) \xrightarrow{\Psi_1} Diag(CC_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, A)) \xrightarrow{\Psi_2} Tot(CC_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, A)) \xrightarrow{\Psi_3} Tot(CC_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}A)).$$

Here $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, A)$ forms a double complex with horizontal and vertical differentials given by the group homology differential and the (twisted) Hochschild differential of the commutative algebra A, while $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}A)$ forms a double complex which takes in ideas of Block-Getzlers model for equivariant differential forms (for details, see below).

The cyclic chain complex. Because the crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A} := G \ltimes A$ is *H*-unital, we can define the space of Hochschild chains as

$$C_{ullet}(\mathcal{A}) := egin{cases} \mathcal{A}, & ullet = 0, \ \mathcal{A}^+ \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes ullet}, & ullet > 0. \end{cases}$$

Together with the Hochschild differential $b : C_k(\mathcal{A}) \to C_{k-1}(\mathcal{A})$ and Connes' cyclic differential $B : C_k(\mathcal{A}) \to C_{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$ this forms a mixed complex. We denote by $CC_{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} C_{n-2k}(\mathsf{A})$ the associated complex computing cyclic homology.

The cylindrical space $L^+(A, G)$. Associated to the action of G on A is the cylindrical vector space $L^+(A, G)$ (c.f. [BGJ95]) with $L^+(A, G)_{p,q} := (C_c^{\infty}(G)^+)^{\otimes (p+1)} \otimes (A^+)^{\otimes (q+1)}$, where $C_c^{\infty}(G)^+$ denotes the smooth convolution algebra on G with a unit adjoined, i.e., the Dirac delta function δ_e at the unit. Because we are using the inductive tensor product we have an isomorphism $C_c^{\infty}(G) \otimes C_c^{\infty}(G) \cong C_c^{\infty}(G \times G)$ so we can think of elements in $L^+(A, G)_{p,q}$ as compactly supported distributional maps from $G^{\times (p+1)}$ to $(A^+)^{\otimes (q+1)}$ where we only allow allow singular distributions of the form $\delta_e(g_i)$, $i = 0, \ldots p$. If we have $F \in L^+(A, G)_{p,q}$ of the form $F(g_0, \ldots, g_p) = f(g_0, \ldots, g_p) \otimes a_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_q$ with f a compactly supported distribution on $G^{\times (p+1)}$ of the type as described above and $a_i \in A^+$, $i = 0, \ldots, q$, then the maps inducing the cylindrical structure of $L^+(A, G)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i}^{h}(F)(g_{0},...,g_{p}) &= f(g_{0},...,g_{p}) \otimes a_{0} \otimes ... \otimes a_{i}a_{i+1} \otimes ... \otimes a_{q} & (0 \leq i \leq q-1) \\ d_{q}^{h}(F)(g_{0},...,g_{p}) &= f(g_{0},...,g_{p}) \otimes (g_{0} \cdots g_{q})^{-1}(a_{q})a_{0} \otimes ... \otimes a_{q-1} \\ s_{i}^{h}(F)(g_{0},...,g_{p}) &= f(g_{0},...,g_{q}) \otimes a_{0} \otimes ... \otimes a_{i} \otimes 1 \otimes a_{i+1} \otimes ... \otimes a_{p} & (0 \leq i \leq q) \\ t^{h}(F)(g_{0},...,g_{p}) &= f(g_{0},...,g_{p}) \otimes (g_{0} \cdots g_{q})^{-1}(a_{q}) \otimes a_{0} \otimes ... \otimes a_{q-1} \\ d_{i}^{v}(F)(g_{0},...,g_{p-1}) &= \int_{G} F(g_{0},...,\gamma,\gamma^{-1}g_{i},...,g_{p-1})d\gamma & (0 \leq i \leq p-1) \\ d_{p}^{v}(F)(g_{0},...,g_{p-1}) &= \int_{G} \gamma \cdot F(\gamma^{-1}g_{0},g_{1},...,g_{p-1},\gamma)d\gamma \\ s_{i}^{v}(F)(g_{0},...,g_{p+1}) &= \delta(g_{i+1})F(g_{0},...,g_{i},g_{i+2},...,g_{p+1}) & (0 \leq i \leq p) \\ t^{v}(F)(g_{0},...,g_{p}) &= g_{0} \cdot F(g_{1},...,g_{p},g_{0}) \end{aligned}$$

From the cylindrical structure, we obtain a double complex

$$C_{p,q}(G,\mathsf{A}) \coloneqq C^{\infty}_{c}(G)^{+} \otimes C^{\infty}_{c}(G)^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathsf{A}^{+} \otimes \mathsf{A}^{q+1},$$

which can be thought of as the *normalized* quotient of $L^+(G, A)$ by both the horizontal and vertical degeneracies. On this double complex, the horizontal and vertical differentials are induced by the formulas

$$b^{h} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^{i} d_{i}^{h}, \qquad b^{v} = \sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^{i+p} d_{i}^{v}.$$

Now in the cylindrical space $L^+(G, A)$ we can contract $(b')^h$ and $(b')^v$ using

$$c^{\nu}(F)(g_0, ..., g_q) = 1 \otimes F(g_0, ..., g_q)$$
$$c^{\nu}(F)(g_0, ..., g_{q+1}) = \delta(g_0)F(g_1, ..., g_{q+1})$$

and so using general principles given in [GJ93] we can cook up cyclic differentials B^h and B^v such that $(C_{p,q}(G, A), b^h + b^v, B^h + B^v)$ is a mixed double complex. These cyclic differentials are induced by the formulas

$$B^{h} = (1 + (-1)^{p} t^{h}) c^{h} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p} d_{j}^{h} \right) c^{h} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^{ip} (t^{h})^{i} \right),$$

$$B^{v} = (-1)^{p} (t^{h})^{p+1} (1 + (-1)^{q} t^{v}) c^{v} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q} d_{j}^{v} \right) c^{v} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^{iq} (t^{v})^{i} \right).$$

From the mixed double complex $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, A)$ we make the total cyclic complex

$$\operatorname{Tot}(CC(G, \mathsf{A}))_n = \bigoplus_{\substack{p+q \le n \\ p+q \equiv n \bmod 2}} C_{p,q}(G, \mathsf{A}),$$

where the differential is given by all appropriate applications of the four differentials b^h , b^v , B^h and B^v .

The morphism Ψ_1 . The first morphism in the diagram (5) is the (well-known) map Ψ_1 : $C_k((A \rtimes G)^+) \rightarrow C_{k,k}(G, A)$ first written down in [Bry87a] and given by

$$\Psi_1(F)(g_0,...,g_n) = ((g_0\cdots g_n)^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n^{-1})F(g_0,...,g_n)$$

which intertwines the cyclic structure on the Hochschild chains of $A \rtimes G$ with the cyclic structure on the diagonal $\text{Diag}(L^+(A, G)_{\bullet, \bullet})$.

The morphism Ψ_2 . Due to the Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem, the maps $\mathsf{EZ}_{p,q}$: $C_{p+q,p+q}(G,\mathsf{A}) \to C_{p,q}(G,\mathsf{A})$ and $\nabla_{p,q}$: $C_{p,q}(G,\mathsf{A}) \to C_{p+q,p+q}(G,\mathsf{A})$ given by

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{EZ}_{p,q} &\coloneqq d_{p+1}^h \cdots d_{p+q}^h \cdot (d_0^v)^p, \\ \nabla_{p,q} &\coloneqq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathsf{Sh}(p,q)} (-1)^{\sigma} s_{\sigma(p+q)}^h \cdots s_{\sigma(p+1)}^h \cdot s_{\sigma(p)}^v \cdots s_{\sigma(1)}^v, \end{split}$$

form chain maps EZ: $(\operatorname{diag}(C(G, A)), b) \rightarrow (\operatorname{Tot}(C(G, A)), b^h + b^v), \nabla : (\operatorname{Tot}(C(G, A)), b^h + b^v) \rightarrow (\operatorname{diag}(C(G, A), b) \text{ such that})$

- $\mathsf{EZ} \circ \nabla = \mathsf{id}$,
- $\nabla \circ \mathsf{EZ} \simeq \operatorname{id} \operatorname{via} \operatorname{a} \operatorname{homotopy} h : C_{n,n}(G, \mathsf{A}) \to C_{n+1,n+1}(G, \mathsf{A}).$

By work of Khalkali and Rangipour [KR04] we can upgrade this to the cyclic setting by defining a the map EZ^{pert} : diag($CC(G, A) \rightarrow Tot(CC(G, A))$ which is defined on $F \in C_{k,k}(G, A)$, seen as an element of diag($CC(G, A))_n$, by

$$\mathsf{EZ}^{\mathsf{pert}}(F) = \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \mathsf{EZ}((Bh)^m(F)).$$

As is shown in Khalkali and Rangipour, the Homological Pertubation Lemma guarantees us that EZ^{pert} is a quasi-isomorphism. *Equivariant differential forms.* Inspired by Getzler's model for equivariant cohomology [Get94], we employ the equivariant Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg-map of Block and Getzler [BG94] to obtain a mixed double complex $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_g A)$:

Definition 2.1. The mixed double complex $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{A})$ is defined in degree (p, q) as the subspace

$$C_{p,q}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}) \subset C^{-\infty}(\mathfrak{g} \times G^{\times q},\Omega^{p}\mathsf{A})$$

consisting of those distributions that are compactly supported in $G^{\times q}$ and whose singular behaviour is restricted to $\delta(e^X(g_1 \cdots g_q)^{-1})$. Associated to these spaces are the four differentials

$$\begin{split} &\widetilde{b}^h \colon C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}) \to C_{\bullet-1,\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}), \qquad &\widetilde{B}^h \colon C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}) \to C_{\bullet+1,\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}), \\ &\widetilde{b}^v \colon C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}) \to C_{\bullet,\bullet-1}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}), \qquad &\widetilde{B}^v \colon C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}) \to C_{\bullet,\bullet+1}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}), \end{split}$$

given by

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{b}^{h}(F)(X,g_{1},...,g_{q}) &= \iota_{X}(F(X,g_{1},...,g_{q})), \\ \widetilde{b}^{v}(F)(X,g_{1},...,g_{q-1}) &= \int_{G} (-1)^{p} F(X,\gamma^{-1},g_{1},...,g_{q-1})d\gamma \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (-1)^{i+p} \int_{G} F(X,g_{1},...,\gamma,\gamma^{-1}g_{j},...,g_{q-1})d\gamma \\ &+ (-1)^{p+q} \int_{G} \gamma \cdots F(\mathsf{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}}(X),g_{1},...,g_{q-1},\gamma)d\gamma, \\ \widetilde{B}^{h}(F)(X,g_{1},...,g_{q}) &= \int_{\Delta^{1}} e^{-tX} \cdot d(F(X,g_{1},...,g_{q}))dt \\ \widetilde{B}^{v}(F)(X,g_{1},...,g_{q+1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^{iq+p} \delta(e^{X}(g_{1}\cdots g_{q+1})^{-1})(g_{i+1}\cdots g_{q+1})^{-1} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot F(\mathsf{Ad}_{g_{i+1}\cdots g_{q+1}}(X),g_{i+2},...,g_{q+1},g_{1},...,g_{i}). \end{split}$$

One checks explicitly that with the four operators \tilde{b}^h , \tilde{b}^v , \tilde{B}^h and \tilde{B}^v , $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} A)$ becomes a double mixed complex.

The morphism Ψ_3 . The last morphism in the diagram (5) is given by an equivariant variant of the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map as in [BG94] with the difference that here we are not dealing with *G*-invariant chains as in *loc. cit.*, but with the equivariant chains from the previous subsection.

We recall the equivariant Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map of Block and Getzler [BG94], which for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is given by the map $\mathsf{HKR}_X : A^+ \otimes A^{\otimes p} \to \Omega^p \mathsf{A}$ and the formula

$$\mathsf{HKR}_X(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p) \coloneqq \int_{\Delta^p} a_0 d(e^{-t_1 X} \cdot a_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(e^{-t_p X} \cdot a_p) dt_1 \cdots dt_p$$

With this map we define the morphism Ψ_3 on the level of chains as the map Ψ_3 : $C_{p,q}(G, \mathsf{A}) \to C_{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A})$ with the formula

$$\Psi_{3}(F)(X, g_{1}, ..., g_{q}) \coloneqq \mathsf{HKR}_{X}(F(e^{X}(g_{1} \cdots g_{q})^{-1}, g_{1}, ..., g_{q})).$$

By an explicit calculation one infers the following:

Lemma 2.2. *The map* Ψ_3 *satisfies:*

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{b}^h \circ \Psi_3 &= \Psi_3 \circ b^h, \\ \widetilde{b}^v \circ \Psi_3 &= \Psi_3 \circ b^v, \\ \widetilde{b}^v \circ \Psi_3 &= \Psi_3 \circ b^v, \\ \end{split}$$

One can proof this Lemma with an explicit computation.

Corollary 2.3. *The map* Ψ_3 *induces a chain map*

$$\Psi_3$$
: Tot $(CC(G, A)) \rightarrow Tot(CC(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{q}}A))$.

2.2. On the periodic cyclic homology of the crossed product algebra. The construction from the previous subsection can also be applied to periodic cyclic homology. The maps Ψ_1 and Ψ_3 copy directly from the previous section, while the perterbed Eilenberg-Zilber map now takes the form $\mathsf{EZ}^{\mathsf{pert}}$: diag $(CP_{*,*}(G,\mathsf{A})) \to \mathsf{Tot}(CP_{*,*}(G,\mathsf{A}))$ given for $F \in C_{k,k}(G,A) \subset \operatorname{diag}(CP_{*,*}(G,A))_n$ by

$$\mathsf{EZ}^{\mathrm{pert}}(F) = \sum_{m \ge 0} \mathsf{EZ}((Bh)^m(F))$$

It should be noted here that at every degree on the codomain this map only has finite contributions, since the grading on $C_{*,*}$ is bounded from below. However, since an element in the domain gives a priori contributions in infinite degrees we really need the periodic complex to be defined using the direct product.

All in all we obtain a sequence of maps between associacted periodic cyclic complexes:

$$CP(G \ltimes \mathsf{A}) \xrightarrow{\Psi_1} \mathsf{diag}(CP(G, \mathsf{A})) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{EZ}^{\mathsf{pert}}} \mathsf{Tot}(CP(G, \mathsf{A})) \xrightarrow{\Psi_3} \mathsf{Tot}(CP(G, \Omega_\mathfrak{g} \mathsf{A}))$$

and the total composition will be denoted by Ψ : $CP(A \rtimes G) \rightarrow Tot(CP(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{q}}A))$.

2.3. Pairing with equivariant cohomology. For this section, let A be a smooth unital *G*-algebra containing A as an ideal, such that ΩA is an ideal of ΩA . The main example to have in mind is $(A, A) = (C_c^{\infty}(M), C^{\infty}(M))$ when *M* is a manifold with a right *G*-action.

We want to pair our presentation of cyclic homology of the crossed product algebra $G \ltimes A$ with the equivariant cohomology of **A**. We recall the model for equivariant cohomology obtained in [Get94] which actually was the inspiration for our presentation of cyclic homology.

The cochain complex of Getzler is given by

$$C^{p,q}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A}) = \left\{ F \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g} \times G^{\times q},\Omega^{p}\mathbf{A}) : F(X,g_{1},...,g_{q}) = 0 \text{ if either one of } g_{1},...,g_{q} \text{ equals } 1 \right\}$$

endowed with 4 differentials

$$\iota: C^{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A}) \to C^{p-1,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$$
$$\overline{\iota}: C^{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A}) \to C^{p,q-1}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$$
$$d: C^{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A}) \to C^{p+1,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$$
$$\overline{d}: C^{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A}) \to C^{p,q+1}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$$

given by

$$\begin{split} (\iota F)(X,g_1,...,g_q) &= (-1)^q \iota_X(F(X,g_1,...,g_k)) \\ (\bar{\iota}F)(X,g_1,...,g_{q-1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^i \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} F(X,g_1,...,g_i,e^{t\mathsf{Ad}_{g_{i+1}\cdots g_{q-1}}(X)},g_{i+1},...,g_{q-1}) \\ (dF)(X,g_1,...,g_q) &= (-1)^q d(F(X,g_1,...,g_q)) \\ (\bar{d}F)(X,g_1,...,g_{q+1}) &= F(X,g_2,...,g_{q+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^i F(X,g_1,...,g_ig_{i+1},...,g_{q+1}) \\ &+ (-1)^{q+1}g_{q+1}^{-1} \cdot F(\mathsf{Ad}_{g_{q+1}}(X),g_1,...,g_q) \end{split}$$

For the case $\mathbf{A} = C^{\infty}(M)$ this complex calculates the equivariant cohomology $H_G(M)$ of M [Get94, 1.2.3].

In Getzler's work the grading of this complex is the sum of its degree as a group cochain (*q*), its degree as an element of $\Omega \mathbf{A}$ (*p*) and twice the polynomial degree (not denoted above). With this grading $\iota + \overline{\iota} + d + \overline{d}$ is a cohomological differential. For our deliberations it will be more natural to disregard the polynomial degree and see $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_g \mathbf{A})$ as a mixed double cochain complex with differentials $(d + \overline{d}, \iota + \overline{\iota})$.

To pair this complex with our complex $C_{*,*}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{A})$, let $f : \Omega^n \mathsf{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a closed, graded and *G*-invariant trace (i.e. $f d\alpha = 0$ and $f g \cdot \alpha = f \alpha$). We will work with the implicit assumption that $\Omega^{>n} \mathsf{A} = \{0\}$. Using this trace we can write down a pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ between $C^{n-p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{A})$ and $C_{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{A})$, given for $\alpha_{n-p,q} \in C^{n-p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{A})$ and $\beta_{p,q} \in C_{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{A})$ by

$$\langle \alpha_{n-p,q}, \beta_{p,q} \rangle = (-1)^{p(n+q) + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} \int_{G^{\times q}} \int \alpha_{n-p,q}(0, g_1, ..., g_q) \wedge \beta_{p,q}(0, g_1, ..., g_q) dg_1 \cdots dg_q$$

Lemma 2.4. The following identities hold for all α and β

$$\begin{split} \langle \iota \alpha_{n-p+1,q}, \beta_{p,q} \rangle &= \langle \alpha_{n-p+1,q}, \widetilde{b^{h}} \beta_{p,q} \rangle = 0 \\ \langle d\alpha_{n-p-1,q}, \beta_{p,q} \rangle &= \langle \alpha_{n-p-1,q}, \widetilde{B^{h}} \beta_{p,q} \rangle \\ \langle \overline{d} \alpha_{n-p,q-1}, \beta_{p,q} \rangle &= \langle \alpha_{n-p,q-1}, \widetilde{b^{v}} \beta_{p,q} \rangle \end{split}$$

If furthermore $\alpha_{n-p,q+1}$ satisfies that $\alpha_{n-p,q+1}(0, g_1, ..., g_{q+1}) = 0$ when $g_1 \cdots g_{q+1} = 1$, the following also holds for all $\beta_{p,q}$:

$$\langle \overline{\iota} \alpha_{n-p,q+1}, \beta_{p,q} \rangle = \langle \alpha_{n-p,q+1}, \widetilde{B^v} \beta_{p,q} \rangle = 0$$

Proof. For the first equation we note that $(\iota \alpha_{n-p+1,q})(0, g_1, ..., g_q) = 0$ since $\iota_0 \omega = 0$ for any $\omega \in \Omega A$. Similarly $(\tilde{b}^{\tilde{h}} \beta_{p,q})(0, g_1, ..., g_q) = 0$.

For the second line note that for $\omega_1 \in \Omega^{n-p-1}A$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega^pA$ we have

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + (-1)^{n-p-1}\omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2$$

Since f vanishes on exact forms, we obtain

$$\int d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = (-1)^{n-p} \int \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2$$

Furthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{B^{h}}\beta_{p,q})(0,g_{1},...,g_{q}) &= \int_{\Delta^{1}} e^{-t \cdot 0} \cdot d(\beta_{p,q}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q})) dt \\ &= \int_{\Delta^{1}} d(\beta_{p,q}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q})) dt \\ &= d(\beta_{p,q}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q})) \end{aligned}$$

This results in

$$\begin{split} \langle d\alpha_{n-p-1,q}, \beta_{p,q} \rangle &= (-1)^{p(n+q) + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1) + q} \int_{G^{q}} \oint d(\alpha_{n-p-1,q}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q})) \wedge \\ & \wedge \beta_{p,q}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q}) dg_{1} \cdots dg_{q} \\ &= (-1)^{(p-1)(n+q) + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)} \int_{G^{q}} \oint \alpha_{n-p-1,q}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q}) \wedge \\ & \wedge d(\beta_{p,q}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q})) dg_{1} \cdots dg_{q} \\ &= (-1)^{(p-1)(n+q) + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)} \int_{G^{q}} \oint \alpha_{n-p-1,q}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q}) \wedge \\ & \wedge (\widetilde{B^{h}}\beta_{p,q})(0,g_{1},...,g_{q}) dg_{1} \cdots dg_{q} \\ &= \langle \alpha_{n-p-1,q}, \widetilde{B^{h}}\beta_{p,q} \rangle. \end{split}$$

Then for the third part of the Lemma we do an explicit calculation:

$$\begin{split} \langle \overline{d}\alpha_{n-p,q-1}, \beta_{p,q} \rangle &= (-1)^{p(n+q) + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} \int_{G^{\times q}} \int \alpha_{n-p,q-1}(0, g_2, ..., g_q) \wedge \\ & \wedge \beta_{p,q}(0, g_1, ..., g_q) dg_1 \cdots dg_q \\ &+ (-1)^{p(n+q) + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (-1)^i \int_{G^{\times q}} \int \alpha_{n-p,q-1}(0, g_1, ..., g_i g_{i+1}, ..., g_q) \wedge \\ & \wedge \beta_{p,q}(0, g_1, ..., g_q) dg_1 \cdots dg_q \\ &+ (-1)^{p(n+q) + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} (-1)^q \int_{G^{\times q}} \int (g_q^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{n-p,q-1}(0, g_1, ..., g_q) dg_1 \cdots dg_q. \end{split}$$

Now using a few change of variables for the integrals of $G^{\times q}$ (and using the fact that *G* is unimodular for the first line) and using that f is *G*-invariant, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} \langle d\overline{\alpha}_{n-p,q-1}, \beta_{p,q} \rangle = (-1)^{p(n+q-1)+\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} (-1)^{p} \int_{G^{\times (q-1)}} \int_{G} f \alpha_{n-p,q-1}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q-1}) \wedge \\ & \wedge \beta_{p,q}(0,\gamma^{-1},g_{1},...,g_{q-1}) d\gamma dg_{1} \cdots dg_{q} \\ + (-1)^{p(n+q-1)+\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (-1)^{p+i} \int_{G^{\times (q-1)}} \int_{G} f \alpha_{n-p,q-1}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q-1}) \wedge \\ & \wedge \beta_{p,q}(0,g_{1},...,\gamma,\gamma^{-1}g_{i},...,g_{q-1}) dg_{1} \cdots dg_{q-1} \\ + (-1)^{p(n+q-1)+\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} (-1)^{p+q} \int_{G^{\times (q-1)}} \int_{G} f \alpha_{n-p,q-1}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q-1}) \wedge \\ & \wedge (\gamma \cdot \beta_{p,q}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q-1},\gamma)) d\gamma dg_{1} \cdots dg_{q-1} \\ = (-1)^{p(n+q-1)+\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} \int_{G^{\times (q-1)}} f \alpha_{n-p,q-1}(0,g_{1},...,g_{q-1}) \wedge \\ & \wedge (\tilde{b^{v}}\beta_{p,q})(0,g_{1},...,g_{q-1}) dg_{1} \cdots dg_{q-1} \\ = \langle \alpha_{n-p,q-1}, \tilde{b^{v}}\beta_{p,q} \rangle. \end{split}$$

Then for the last line, note that $(\bar{\iota}\alpha_{n-p,q+1})(0, g_1, ..., g_q) = 0$, while if one were to write down $\widetilde{B^v}$ and calculate the pairing, using that $\alpha_{n-p,q+1}(0, 1, g_2, ..., g_{q+1}) = 0$ one obtains

$$\langle \alpha_{n-p,q+1}, \widetilde{B^{v}}\beta_{p,q} \rangle = \int_{G^{\times q}} \oint \sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^{iq} (g_{q-i+1} \cdots g_{q}) \cdot \\ \cdot \alpha_{n-p,q+1} (0, g_{q-i+1}, \dots, g_{q}, (g_{1} \cdots g_{q})^{-1}, g_{1}, \dots, g_{q-i}) \wedge \\ \wedge \beta_{p,q} (0, g_{1}, \dots, g_{q}) dg_{1} \cdots dg_{q}$$

which vanishes since $g_{q-i+1} \cdots g_q \cdot (g_1 \cdots g_q)^{-1} \cdot g_1 \cdots g_{q-i} = 1$ and so we can use the extra assumption on $\alpha_{n-p,q+1}$.

From the last part of the previous lemma we arrive at the following:

Definition 2.5. An element $\alpha_{p,q} \in C^{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ is called *cyclically normalized* if

$$\alpha_{p,q}(0, g_1, ..., g_q) = 0$$

when $g_1 \cdots g_q = 1$.

In the end we would like to have an actual chain map from $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ to the periodic cyclic complex of $G \ltimes A$. However, as is evident from the degrees that get paired, this is not possible if we impose the standard grading on $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$. To counteract this, we repack Getzler's complex akin to the periodic cyclic complex, thereby also justifying the fact that we disregard the polynomial degree in Getzler's complex.

Definition 2.6. The complex $CP^{\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ is given by

$$CP^{k}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A}) = \bigoplus_{p+q \equiv n+k \mod 2} C^{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{A})$$

with differential given by $\iota + \overline{\iota} + d + \overline{d}$.

As $CP^{\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ is just the direct sum of all shifts of $Tot(C^{\bullet, \bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A}))$ by an even number of degrees, the following is clear:

Lemma 2.7. The complex $CP^{\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{A})$ calculates the following cohomology

$$H^{k}(CP^{\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})) = \bigoplus_{p \equiv n+k \bmod 2} H^{p}(\operatorname{Tot}(C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})))$$

The previous discussion can then be summarized by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.8. The map $\Phi : CP^{\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{A}) \to (CP(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{A})^{\times})^{\bullet}$ given by

$$\Phi(\sum_{p',q'} \alpha_{p',q'})(\beta_{p,q}) = \langle \alpha_{n-p,q}, \beta_{p,q} \rangle$$

is compatible with differentials when restricted to cyclically normalized cochains $\sum_{p',q'} \alpha_{p',q'}$.

Combining with the map Ψ we arrive at the first main result of this section, which is a corollary of the previous proposition and the fact that Ψ is a chain map.

Corollary 2.9. The map $c : CP^{\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A}) \to CP^{\bullet}(G \ltimes \mathsf{A})$ given by

$$c(\sum_{p,q}\alpha_{p,q})(a_0,...,a_k)=\Phi(\sum_{p,q}\alpha_{p,q})(\Psi(a_0\otimes\cdots\otimes a_k))$$

is compatible with differentials when restricted to cyclically normalized cochains $\sum_{p,q} \alpha_{p,q}$.

2.4. The case $\mathbf{A} = C^{\infty}(M)$. As we have seen in the previous part, the fact that not every element of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ is cyclically normalized means that we cannot write down a chain map on the nose. When we return to the case of a manifold M with a right G-action we can explicitly circumvent this problem to obtain an actual map from equivariant cohomology to periodic cyclic cohomology, in a way we describe now.

So, in this section $(A, A) = (C_c^{\infty}(M), C^{\infty}(M))$, where *M* is a smooth oriented manifold of dimension *n* with a right orientation-preserving *G*-action. Note that in this case integration of top-forms induces a closed, graded, *G*-invariant trace \int_M on $\Omega^n A$.

The clue is to quasi-isomorphically embed Getzler's complex into a complex with a cyclic structure. To wit, we recall that in [Get94] the complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ is obtained by constructing the reduced cobar resolution $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{C}, \Omega^{\bullet}(G), \Omega\mathbf{A})$ and then applying a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{J} : \Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{C}, \Omega^{\bullet}(G), \Omega\mathbf{A}) \to C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$.

The reduced cobar resolution is defined by $\Omega^{\bullet}_{red}(\mathbb{C}, \Omega^{\bullet}(G), \Omega \mathbf{A}) = \Omega^{\bullet}(G) \otimes \Omega \mathbf{A}$ where $\overline{\Omega^{\bullet}(G)}$ denotes the kernel of the counit $\Omega^{\bullet}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ which is evaluation at the identity. The quasi-isomorphism \mathcal{J} is then given by the formula

$$\mathcal{J}(\omega_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_k \otimes \gamma)(g_1, \ldots, g_\ell, X) = (-1)^l \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sh}_{\ell,k-\ell}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^\ell \omega_{\sigma(i)}(g_i) \right) \left(\prod_{j=\ell+1}^k \omega_{\sigma(j)}(e)(X_j) \right) \gamma.$$

where $X_j = Ad_{g_i \cdots g_l} X$ with $i \le l$ minimal such that $\sigma(j) < \sigma(i)$ (and $X_j = X$ if such an i does not exist). In this formula the terms $\omega(g)$ only contribute when ω is a zero-form on G, and the terms $\omega(e)(X)$ only contribute when ω is a one-form on G.

We remark that using the kernel of the counit $\Omega^{\bullet}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ in the definition of the reduced cobar-resolution corresponds directly to the fact that in Getzler's complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ the chains satisfy that $\alpha(X, g_1, ..., g_q) = 0$ whenever $e \in \{g_1, ..., g_q\}$.

However, we can equivalently use the unreduced cobar resolution $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}, \Omega^{\bullet}(G), \Omega \mathbf{A}) = \Omega^{\bullet}(G) \otimes \Omega \mathbf{A}$, to which the formula of \mathcal{J} naturally extends. The result will be a complex $\widetilde{C}^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ which is a quasi-isomorphic extension of Getzlers complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ given by

$$\widetilde{C}^{p,q}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A}) = \left\{ F \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g} \times G^{\times q},\Omega^{p}\mathbf{A}) : F \text{ is polynomial in } \mathfrak{g} \right\}$$

Now for the case $\mathbf{A} = C^{\infty}(M)$ we note that the unreduced cobar resolution is simply $\Omega^{\bullet}(G^{\times *} \times M)$ with the differential the sum of the deRham-differential and the differential coming from the underlying simplicial structure on $G^{\times \bullet} \times M$ given as usual by face operator $\partial_i : G^{\times k} \times M \to G^{\times (k-1)} \times M$ defined as

$$\partial_i(g_1,\ldots,g_k,x) = \begin{cases} (g_1,\ldots,g_ig_{i+1},\ldots,g_k,x), & 0 \le i \le k-1, \\ (g_1,\ldots,g_{k-1},g_kx), & i=k. \end{cases}$$

This simplicial space also has a cyclic structure given by

$$t(g_1,\ldots,g_k,x) = ((g_1\cdots g_k)^{-1},g_1,\ldots,g_{k-1},g_kx)$$

23

The induced map by pull-back on differential forms gives the unreduced cobar resolution the structure of a DG cocyclic vector space.

When computing the cyclic cohomology, we can, as usual restrict ourselves to the cyclic subcomplex, in this case given by

$$\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}, \Omega G, \Omega M)_{\lambda} := \bigoplus_{q} \{ \alpha \in \Omega(G^{\times q} \times M), \ t^* \alpha = (-1)^q \alpha \}.$$

We call forms satisfying $t^* \alpha = (-1)^q \alpha$ cyclic differential forms. It is then clear that the cohomology of $\tilde{C}^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}M)$ is exhausted by classes obtained by applying \mathcal{J} to such cyclic differential forms.

Next we note that the pairing between $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ and $C_{*,*}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ can naturally be extended to $\widetilde{C}^{*,*}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$, and we will show that the cyclic differential forms actually induce cyclically normalized elements. First we have the following observation that stems from an elementary calculation:

Lemma 2.10. The image of a cyclic differential form $\alpha \in \Omega(G^{\times \bullet} \times M)_{\lambda}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{J}(\alpha)(0, g_1, \dots, g_q) = (-1)^q (g_q^{-1})^* (\mathcal{J}(\alpha)(0, (g_1 \cdots g_q)^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_{q-1}))$$

Then we can again do a computation with the differential $\widetilde{B^v}$ to obtain the following:

Lemma 2.11. Let $\alpha_{p,q} \in \widetilde{C}^{p,q}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbf{A})$ be such that

$$\alpha_{p,q}(0,g_1,...,g_q) = (-1)^q (g_q^{-1})^* \alpha(0,(g_1\cdots g_q)^{-1},g_1,...,g_{q-1})$$

then for all $\beta_{n-p,q-1} \in C_{n-p,q-1}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{A})$ we have

$$\langle \alpha_{p,q}, \widetilde{B^v}\beta_{n-p,q-1} \rangle = 0$$

This is clear from the definition of $\widetilde{B^v}$ and the defining equation for a cyclic differential form.

Corollary 2.12. The cohomological pairing between $\Omega^{\bullet}(G^{\times \bullet} \times M)_{\lambda}$ and $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M))$, combined with the construction the map $\Psi : CP_{\bullet}(G \rtimes C_c^{\infty}(M)) \to CP_{\bullet}(\operatorname{Tot}(C(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}M)))$, induces a map $c : H_G^{\operatorname{ev/odd}}(M) \to HP^{\operatorname{ev/odd}+n}(G \ltimes C^{\infty}(M))$ which, applied to such classes in $H_G^{\operatorname{ev/odd}}(M)$ induced by cyclically normalized elements of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M))$, agrees with the map c from 2.9.

2.5. **Compatibility with the Chern character.** In [Get94] the equivariant Chern character of an equivariant vector bundle $E \to M$ with connection ∇ (not necessarily invariant) was determined by an explicit cyclic cocycle $Ch_G(E, \nabla)$ of degree n in $Tot(C^{*,*}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)))$. For our pairing we are only interested in $Ch_G(E, \nabla)_q^0 \in C^{\infty}(G^{\times q}, \Omega(M))$ given by

$$\mathsf{Ch}_G(E,\nabla)^0_q(g_1,...,g_q) \coloneqq \mathsf{Ch}_G(E,\nabla)(0,g_1,...,g_q)$$

and we describe these functions.

They are given by the same formulae as the Chern-Simons forms of Bott when *G* is discrete. To wit, let $\nabla_0, ..., \nabla_q$ be connections of *E*. The Chern-Simons form is then defined by

$$cs(\nabla_0, ..., \nabla_q) = \int_{\Delta^q} \operatorname{Tr}\left(exp\left(-dt_1 \wedge (\nabla_q - \nabla_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (dt_i - dt_{i+1}) \wedge (\nabla_q - \nabla_i) + F(t) \right) \right)$$

=
$$\int_{\Delta^q} \operatorname{Tr}\left(exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^q dt_i \wedge (\nabla_{i-1} - \nabla_i) + F(t) \right) \right)$$

where F(t) is the curvature of the connection

$$\nabla(t) = \nabla_q - t_1(\nabla_q - \nabla_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (t_i - t_{i+1})(\nabla_q - \nabla_i)$$

Then closely dissecting the construction of Getzler, we recognize that

$$\operatorname{Ch}_{G}(E,\nabla)^{0}_{p,q}(g_{1},...,g_{q}) = (-1)^{p+q} \operatorname{cs}(\gamma_{1}^{*}\nabla,...,\gamma_{q}^{*}\nabla,\nabla)$$

where $\gamma_i = g_i \cdots g_q$.

Investigating the specific from of the Chern-Simons forms then gives the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.13. The functions $Ch_G(E, \nabla)^0_q$ satisfy the following:

- i) $\operatorname{Ch}_{G}(E, \nabla)^{0}_{q}(g_{1}, ..., g_{q}) \in \bigoplus_{i=q}^{n} \Omega^{i} A$
- ii) $Ch_G(E, \nabla)^0_q(g_1, ..., g_q) = 0$ if either one of the g_i 's or their product $g_1 \cdots g_q$ is the unit of the group.

Proof. For i) note that the only terms under the exponent in the Chern-Simons form that persist in ΩA after taking the integral over Δ^q are the terms where all the parts $dt_i \wedge (\nabla_{i-1} - \nabla_i)$ appear exactly one. This means in particular that the term that results from \int_{Λ^q} is a form on M of degree at least q.

For ii) note that $g_i = 1$ for i = 1, ..., q - 1 correspond to $\gamma_i^* \nabla = \gamma_{i+1}^* \nabla$, $g_q = 1$ corresponds to $\gamma_q^* \nabla = \nabla$ and $g_1 \cdots g_q = 1$ corresponds to $\gamma_1^* \nabla = \nabla$. To obtain the statement of the Lemma, we now argue that $cs(\nabla_0, ..., \nabla_q) = 0$ if either $\nabla_{i-1} = \nabla_i$ for some i = 1, ..., q or $\nabla_0 = \nabla_q$. Indeed, if one of these holds we look at the form on $\Delta^q \times M$ of which we take the exponent and look at the forms on Δ^q which are part of it. If we have one the equalities of the ∇_i 's we see that only q - 1 different one forms on Δ^q remain (for the case $\nabla_{i-1} = \nabla_i$ we look at the second way of writing $cs(\nabla_0, ..., \nabla_q)$ and only the terms $\{dt_j \wedge (\nabla_{j-1} - \nabla_j)\}_{j \neq i}$ remain, while for the case $\nabla_q = \nabla_0$ we use the first way of writing $cs(\nabla_0, ..., \nabla_q)$ to see that only $\{(dt_i - dt_{i+1}) \wedge (\nabla_q - \nabla_i)\}_{i=1,...,q-1}$ remain), then the only way to obtain a q-form on Δ^q is to take a q-fold wedge product with at least one repeating one-form, and hence all the terms vanish.

Remark 2.14. The second part of previous Lemma show that the periodic cyclic class in $HP^n(G \ltimes C^{\infty}(M))$ induced by the equivariant Chern character $[Ch_G(E, \nabla)] \in H^{even}_G(M)$ is represented by the explicit periodic cyclic cochain $c(Ch_G(E, \nabla))$ obtained by 2.9. Indeed, from it one concludes that $Ch_G(E, \nabla)$ is a cyclically normalized cochain.

Remark 2.15. The first part of the previous Lemma implies that $c(Ch_G(E, \nabla))$ is actually a cyclic cochain of degree *n*, not just a periodic cyclic cochain.

We now come to the main result of this paper, namely that the two equivariant Chern characters we constructed agree in periodic cyclic cohomology when the action is proper:

Theorem 2.16. If the action of G on M is proper, the Chern character $Ch_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}}$ of Theorem 1.15 and the periodic cyclic cocycle $c(Ch_G(E,\nabla))$ obtained from plugging in Getzler's Chern character into Theorem 2.9 induce the same periodic cyclic cohomology classes in $HP^n(G \ltimes C^{\infty}(M))$.

The gist of the proof is that when the action is proper, there is a *G*-invariant connection on *E*.

Remark 2.17. If is easy to see that the periodic cyclic classes induced by $Ch_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}}$ and $c(Ch_G(E, \nabla))$ respectively are both independent of the choice of the connection. For $Ch_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}}$ we already made this remark in Theorem 1.15, while for $c(Ch_G(E, \nabla))$ this follows from the fact that our map *c* induces a map on cohomology and $[Ch_G(E, \nabla)] \in H_G^{ev}(M)$ is independent of the connection ∇ .

Proof of 2.16. Since the action on *M* is proper we can find a *G*-invariant connection ∇ on *E*. This has two implications:

- In $\Omega_{E,\nabla}$ we have $\delta \equiv 0$, in particular $F(\nabla)$ is also *G*-invariant
- Of the Chern character $Ch_G(E, \nabla)$ in Getzler's complex, the for us relevant part $Ch_G(E, \nabla)^0$ only lives in degrees (2k, 0) where it is given by $\frac{1}{k!} tr(F(\nabla)^{\wedge k})$

We can now write down explicitly the two cycles $Ch_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}}$ and $c(Ch_G(E,\nabla))$. Starting with the former, we can simplify the formula of (4) from before since *G* is unimodular and obtain that

$$Ch_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}}^{k}(a_{0},...,a_{k}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{k!\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)!} \int_{M} tr_{E}(F(\nabla)^{\wedge\frac{n-k}{2}}) \int_{G^{k}} a_{0}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})^{*} da_{1}(h_{1}) \wedge \cdots \\ \cdots (h_{k}^{-1})^{*} da_{k}(h_{k}) dh_{1}\cdots dh_{k}$$

This follows from the formula earlier, since a_0 and the a_i 's are scalar forms inside $\Omega(M, \text{End}(E))$ and hence commute with the 2-form $F(\nabla)$, the fact that in the end the summand does

not depend on $(i_0, ..., i_k)$ and the fact that we sum over an index set of size $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{n+k}{2} \\ k \end{pmatrix}$.

On the other hand, we can carefully write down the formula for $c(Ch_G(E, \nabla))$. Starting with $\alpha \in CP^0(G, \Omega_g(M))$, we see that the formula for $c(\alpha)$ becomes

(6)
$$c(\alpha)(a_0,...,a_k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \sum_{p=0}^{k+2m} \langle \alpha_{n-p,k+2m-p}, \Psi_2 \mathsf{EZ}_{p,k+2m-p}((Bh)^m \Psi_1(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k)) \rangle$$

For $\alpha = Ch_G(E, \nabla)$ we see that only the terms of m = 0 contribute. Indeed, starting with $a_0, ..., a_k \in C_c^{\infty}(G, C_c^{\infty}(M))$, we obtain that

$$(\Psi_2 \mathsf{EZ}_{k,0} \Psi_1(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k))(0) = \frac{1}{k!} \int_{G^{\times k}} (h_1 \cdots h_k)^* a_0((h_1 \cdots h_k)^{-1}) da_1(h_1) \wedge h_1^* da_2(h_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (h_1 \cdots h_{k-1})^* da_k(h_k) dh_1 \cdots dh_k$$

here the factor $\frac{1}{k!}$ comes from the fact that plugging in X = 0 yields and integrand over Δ^k independent of $t_1, ..., t_k$, so we get a factor $vol(\Delta^k)$.

Then pairing with $Ch_G(E, \nabla)$, the m = 0 terms of Equation 6 equal

$$\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{k!\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)!}\int_{M} \operatorname{tr}_{E}(F(\nabla)^{\frac{n-k}{2}})\int_{G^{\times k}} (h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{*}a_{0}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})da_{1}(h_{1})\wedge h_{1}^{*}da_{2}(h_{2})\wedge\cdots$$
$$\cdots\wedge (h_{1}\cdots h_{k-1})^{*}a_{k}(h_{k})dh_{1}\cdots dh_{k}$$

Then using the fact that \int_M is invariant under the *G*-action in general, and since $F(\nabla)$ is *G*-invariant in this specific case, this can we rewritten as

$$\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{k!\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)!}\int_{M} \operatorname{tr}_{E}(F(\nabla)^{\frac{n-k}{2}})\int_{G^{\times k}} a_{0}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1})^{*}da_{1}(h_{1})\wedge\cdots$$
$$\cdots\wedge(h_{k}^{-1})^{*}a_{k}(h_{k})dh_{1}\cdots dh_{k}$$

Now, the m > 0-parts of (6) plugs $(Bh)^m (a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k-2m})$ into this formula, which is of course also well-defined for the broader class of distributions which the application of *Bh* yields. To see that these terms vanish, we argue that the formula above vanishes for *B*-exact elements Indeed, these *B*-exact elements have the property that they are made up of pure tensors all having one or more 1's somewhere (the 1 here being the Dirac-delta distribution with as value the constant function 1 on *M*). All the terms where there is a 1 in the a_1 up to a_k slot vanish immediately, since d(1) = 0. Now for the terms $1 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k$ we obtain

$$\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{k!\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)!}\int_{M} \operatorname{tr}_{E}(F(\nabla)^{\frac{n-k}{2}})\int_{G^{\times(k-1)}} da_{1}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k-1})^{-1}) \wedge ((h_{1}\cdots h_{k-1})^{-1})^{*} da_{2}(h_{1}) \wedge \cdots \\ \cdots \wedge (h_{k-1}^{-1})^{*} a_{k}(h_{k-1}) dh_{1}\cdots dh_{k-1}$$

The integral over G^k becomes one over G^{k-1} , since integrating out $a_0((h_1 \cdots h_k)^{-1})$ when $a_0 = 1$, the integral reduces to an integral over the Burgleha space, which is

diffeomorphic to G^{k-1} . Now, note that since $\operatorname{tr}_E(F(\nabla)^{\frac{n-k}{2}})$ is closed (it being the differential form inducing the non-equivariant Chern class of *E*), we see that the above formula can be written as

$$\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{k!\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)!} \int_{M} d\left(\operatorname{tr}_{E}(F(\nabla)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}) \int_{G^{\times(k-1)}} a_{1}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k-1})^{-1}) \wedge ((h_{1}\cdots h_{k-1})^{-1})^{*} da_{2}(h_{1}) \wedge \cdots + \cdots \wedge (h_{k-1}^{-1})^{*} a_{k}(h_{k-1}) dh_{1}\cdots dh_{k-1}\right)$$

which vanishes since we integrate an exact element.

In conclusion, we see that $c(Ch_G(E, \nabla))$ only has contributions from the (m = 0)parts of (6), and we conclude:

$$c(\mathsf{Ch}_{G}(E,\nabla))(a_{0},\ldots,a_{k}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{k!\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)!} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}_{E}(F(\nabla)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}) \int_{G^{\times k}} a_{0}((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1}) \wedge \left((h_{1}\cdots h_{k})^{-1}\right)^{*} da_{1}(h_{1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (h_{k}^{-1})^{*} a_{k}(h_{k}) dh_{1}\cdots dh_{k}$$

which equals $\mathsf{Ch}_{O_{n-k}}$.

which equals $Ch_{\Omega_{E,\nabla}}$.

Remark 2.18. One would expect the result of Theorem 2.16 to also hold for non-proper actions. For discrete actions this holds due to work of Gorokhovsky [Gor99], which we will also discuss below. The main point is to replace M with $M \times X$ where G acts on X properly, and to replace $E \to M$ with $pr^*E \to M \times X$. The essential point in the discrete case is that there exists an algebra map $\Gamma \ltimes A \to (\Gamma \times \Gamma) \ltimes A$ when Γ is a discrete group and A is a $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ -algebra (with an induced diagonal Γ -action) which sends $U_{g,f}$ to $U_{g,g}f$. Using this, and Künneth-like formulas in periodic cyclic cohomology, one can define an equivariant cup-product, and Gorokhovsky shows that the Chern character of $pr^*E \to M \times X$ is the cup-product of the Chern character of $E \to M$ and the fundamental cycle of *X*.

For the non-discrete case, we expect a parallel argument to work by replacing M by $M \times G/K$ where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G (remark that G/K is a contractible space and the action of G on G/K is proper). We also expect the main problem of defining cup-products can be solved by using results of Nistor [Nis93], which show that localizations of periodic cyclic cohomology of convolution algebras $G \ltimes A$ are (with a degree shift) isomorphic to the localizations of the periodic cyclic cohomology of $K \ltimes A$.

3. COMPARISON WITH KNOWN CASES

3.1. **Trivial group actions.** If the group *G* is the trivial group, the convolution algebra $G \ltimes A$ is simply the commutative algebra A. Our double complex in this case becomes

$$L(G, A)_{p,q} = (A^+)^{\otimes (p+1)}.$$

However, the vertical differential $d^v \colon L(G, A)_{\bullet,q} \to L(G, A)_{\bullet,q-1}$ satisfies

$$d^v = \begin{cases} \text{id} & q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, we may replace the total complex $Tot_{\bullet}(L(G, A))$ by the first row $L(G, A)_{\bullet,0}$ and we see that our complex is simply the Hochschild complex of A^+ .

Similarly, the complex $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}A)$ can be replaced by the complex $C_{\bullet,0}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}A)$, which in this case is the 'deRham complex of A', i.e. the mixed complex $(\Omega^{\bullet}A, 0, d)$. The map $\Psi_2: L(G, A) \to C(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}A)$ now simply becomes the ordinary HKR map $(A^+)^{\otimes (\bullet+1)} \to \Omega^{\bullet}A$, and since the map $\mathsf{EZ} \circ \Psi_1: C_{\bullet}^{\mathsf{Hoch}}(G \ltimes A, G \ltimes A) \to L_{\bullet,0}(G, A)$ is just the identity, we see that our chain of maps Ψ is simply the HKR-morphism

$$(C^{\bullet}_{\mathsf{Hoch}}(A, A), b, B) \to (\Omega^{\bullet}A, 0, d)$$

Looking at the case $A = C_c^{\infty}(M)$ for M a manifold, we note that the equivariant cohomology $H_G(M)$ is the deRham cohomology and that Getzler's model $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}C^{\infty}(M))$ is concentrated in degree q = 0, where it is given by the deRham-complex of M. All in all, the maps

$$c \colon H^{\text{ev}}_{G}(M) \to HP^{\dim(M)}(G \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M)), \ H^{\text{odd}}_{G}(M) \to HP^{\dim(M)+1}(G \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M))$$

are in this case induced (up to some signs) by the map

$$[-]: \Omega^{\bullet}(M) \to \operatorname{Hom}(C^{\infty}_{c}(M)^{\dim(m)+1-\bullet}, \mathbb{R})$$

that takes a differential form $\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$ and sends it so

$$[\omega](f_0, \dots, f_{\dim(M)-n}) = \int_M \omega \wedge f_0 df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_{\dim(M)-n}$$

In particular it is the concatenation of the isomorphisms

$$H^{\bullet}_{\mathsf{dR}}(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{\mathsf{dR},c}_{\dim(M)-\bullet}(M) \xleftarrow{\cong} HP^{\dim(M)-\bullet}(C^{\infty}_{c}(M)).$$

Here the first map is an instance of Poincaré duality which associates to a *k*-form $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$, the density $\widetilde{\omega} \in \Omega^{n-k}(M)^{\times}$ given by

$$\widetilde{\omega}(\alpha) = \int_M \omega \wedge \alpha,$$

and the second map is a consequence of the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg Theorem in the continuous setting.

That the Chern characters are compatible in this case is clear from an immediate determination of the generalized cycle associated to a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ with a connection ∇ . As there is no group action, we see that the underlying curved DGA is the curved DGA

$$\Omega = \Omega(M, \mathsf{End}(E))$$

with differential $d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}$ and curvature $F(\nabla)$. The resulting generalized cycle is then seen to be equal (up to a sign) to the current induced by the differential

$$\sum_{i\geq 0}\frac{1}{i!}\mathrm{Tr}(F(\nabla)^{\wedge i}),$$

which is exactly the differential form inducing the ordinary Chern character $Ch(E) \in H^{ev}_{dR}(M)$.

3.2. **Compact groups.** If we put $M = \{pt\}$, we recover the convolution algebra of the group *G* itself. If *G* is compact, the periodic cyclic cohomology of $C^{\infty}(G)$ has been computed by Natsume and Nest [NN90, §1.II]. In this case, it is concentrated in even degrees, where it is represented by traces τ_{ϕ} for functions ϕ on the spectrum \hat{G} of *G* which are slowly increasing in a certain sense. Here, for $f \in C^{\infty}(G)$ this trace τ_{ϕ} is given by

$$\tau_{\phi}(f) = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \frac{\phi(\pi)}{\dim(V_{\pi})} \int_{G} f(g) \chi_{\pi}(g) dg$$

Looking at the equivariant cohomology $H^{\bullet}_{G}(pt)$, we obtain from Getzler's model that it is contained in even degrees, where it is given by

$$H_G^{2q}(\mathsf{pt}) \cong (\mathsf{Sym}^q(\mathfrak{g}^*))^G,$$

the invariant degree q polynomials on g.

Dissecting the map $c: H_G^{ev}(M) \to HP^{ev}(C^{\infty}(G))$ in this case we notice that the invariant polynomials live in $C^{0,0}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}({\mathfrak{pt}}))$, so that the only interesting pairing is with $C_{0,0}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}({\mathfrak{pt}}))$. Next, notice that pairing between $C^{0,0}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}({\mathfrak{pt}}))$ and $C_{0,0}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}({\mathfrak{pt}}))$ kills off polynomials of strictly positive degree as the pairing takes a polynomial $P \in$ Sym(\mathfrak{g}^*) and a function $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$ and pairs them by

$$\langle P, f \rangle = P(0)f(0)$$

We conclude the following:

Proposition 3.1. The map $c: H_G^{ev}(pt) \to HP^{ev}(C^{\infty}(G))$ takes an invariant polynomial $P \in$ Sym $(\mathfrak{g}^*) \cong H_G^{ev}(pt)$ and sends it to the trace $c(P) \in HP^0(C^{\infty}(G))$ given by

$$c(P)(f) = f(e)P(0).$$

Remark 3.2. The fact that $\tau(f) = f(e)$ is even a trace on the convolution algebra $C^{\infty}(G)$ is a consequence of the fact that any compact group is unimodular. Indeed, one checks that

$$\tau([f_1, f_2]) = \int_G f_1(g) f_2(g^{-1}) dg - \int_G f_2(g) f_1(g^{-1}) dg,$$

and these two integrals are equal because of unimodularity.

Remark 3.3. Under the isomorphism of Natsume and Nest, the trace c(P) corresponds to τ_{ϕ} for

$$\phi(\pi) = P(0) \dim(V_{\pi})^2$$

This follows from the fact that the character of the regular representation $L^2(G) \cong \widehat{\bigoplus}_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} V(\pi)^{\oplus \dim(V_{\pi})}$ acts as the Dirac delta distribution at $e \in G$ on the space $C^{\infty}(G)$.

Recall that by Proposition 1.10 we have a map $Ch_{\Omega,-}: Sym(\mathfrak{g})^G \to HP^{ev}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ which sends an invariant polynomial γ to the character of the cycle with closed graded trace f_{γ} . A simple calculation with Fourier inversion shows that under the isomorphism of Natsume and Nest, $Ch_{\Omega,\gamma}$ is the trace associated to the map

$$\phi(\pi) = \dim(V_{\pi})^2 \mathsf{D}_{\gamma}(\mathsf{Tr}(\pi))(0).$$

3.3. **Compact group actions.** In Block-Getzer [BG94], a model for equivariant cyclic homology was presented for when the group *G* is compact using sheaves over *G* (with the topology defined by conjugacy-invariant opens) where stalks at $g \in G$ sketch the picture of $M_g = \{p \in M : pg = p\}$ and the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^g of the centralizer of g using germs of G^g -invariant forms on M_g with polynomial coefficients in \mathfrak{g}^g . Using the $C^{\infty}_{inv}(G)$ -module structure on our complexes, we see that localizing at the identity in our models correspond to the stalk at the identity in the models of Block-Getzler, since we can go from *G*-cohomology to *G*-invariants at no cost by compactness of the group.

As such, we precisely recover the map α_e of [BG94, Thm. 3.3], from which we infer that

Corollary 3.4. [BG94, Thm 3.3] When the group G is compact, the map $\Psi : CC(G \ltimes A) \to Tot(CC(G, \Omega_{g}A))$ given by the composition of the diagram (5) is a quasi-isomorphism when localized at the identity.

As such, understanding the effect of the map $c: H^{\bullet}_{G}(M) \to HP^{\bullet}(G \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M))$ is tantamount to understanding the pairing between $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}C^{\infty}(M))$ and $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}C^{\infty}_{c}(M))$. Since the group *G* is compact we can the double complexes with the concentration of *G*-cohomology and *G*-homology respectively in their first rows. In particular:

$$\mathsf{Tot}(C^{\bullet,\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}C^{\infty}(M))\simeq(\mathsf{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*)\otimes\Omega^{\bullet}(M))^G$$

and

$$\mathsf{Tot}(C_{\bullet,\bullet}(G,\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}C^{\infty}_{c}(M)) \simeq (C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{c}(M))_{G}$$

The pairing between these two starts with evaluating at X = 0 in g, and the rest is an equivariant instance of the Poincaré pairing between differential forms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{\dim(M)-p}(M)^G \otimes \Omega^p_c(M)_G & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ \langle \omega, \eta \rangle & \mapsto & \int_M \omega \wedge \eta, \end{array}$$

which is a (homologically) perfect pairing by Poincaré duality. Again we see the story that we almost have a homologically perfect pairing, apart from the fact that we first kill all the behaviour in the g-direction.

3.4. Actions of discrete groups. When the group *G* is discrete, our constructions and results directly generalize parts of the work done in [Gor99]. In particular, the curved DGA defined in Section 1.3 is precisely the curved DGA defined in [Gor99, Sect 3] when the group is discrete (of course in this case the moment μ vanishes).

We also note that when the group is discrete, we obviously overcome the problem where we lose information in the g-direction when pairing between equivariant cohomology and cyclic homology: indeed, as the group is discrete the Lie algebra g is trivial.

In the discrete case we notice that the convolution algebra $\Gamma \ltimes C_c^{\infty}(M)$ is a twisted tensor product of the group algebra of Γ and the Γ -algebra $C_c^{\infty}(M)$. In particular, elements are normally written as sums of elements $U_g f$ for $g \in \Gamma$ and $f \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$. The product is then given by

$$(U_g f)(U_h f') = U_{gh} f(g \cdot f').$$

In his book, Connes [Con94, §III.2. δ] describes a model for the equivariant cohomology $H_{\Gamma}(M)$. In this model, he makes use of maps

$$\gamma \colon \Gamma^{\times \bullet} \to \Omega_{\bullet}(M)$$

between products of the group and deRham-currents on *M*. He also gives a map pairing the chains of the (b, B)-bicomplex of the convolution algebra $\Gamma \ltimes C_c^{\infty}(M)$, which -up to signs and combinatorial factors- pairs a map γ as above with chains of the convolution algebra by the formula

$$\langle \gamma, (U_{g_0}f_0, ..., U_{g_n}f_n) \rangle \sim \gamma(g_0, ..., g_n)(f_0df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_n).$$

Furthermore, he shows [Con94, Thm III.2.14] that this procedure gives an isomorphism between equivariant cohomology $H^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}(M)$ and the periodic cyclic cohomology $HP^{\bullet}(\Gamma \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M))$ localized at the units.

Using a Poincaré duality argument, we can replace currents with differential forms, and we recover Getzler's model for equivariant cohomology. Translating the pairing to this situation and working through the calculations with the Eilenberg-Zilber map, one concludes that our map *c* between equivariant cohomology $H^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}(M)$ and periodic cyclic cohomology $HP^{\bullet}(\Gamma \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M))$ is precisely the map written down by Connes.

Using this, [Gor99, Thm 3.1] directly translates to a proof for Theorem 2.16 in the general case.

Corollary 3.5. [Gor99, Thm 3.1] When a discrete group Γ acts on an oriented manifold M, then for any equivariant vector bundle E, the two Chern classes $c(Ch_{\Gamma}(E)), Ch_{\Omega_{\Gamma}(E)} \in HP^{even}(\Gamma \ltimes C_{c}^{\infty}(M))$ agree.

It is noteworthy how the argument simplifies for discrete groups, as opposed to the ideas for a proof we describe in the general case. So let us quickly discuss Gorokhovsky's argument to reduce to the proper case. Again, the main point in reducing to the proper case is replacing M by $M \times X$ such that Γ acts properly on X. Next, in [Gor99, Prop 3.3], the standard cup product in cyclic cohomology is used to obtain a map

$$HP^{\dim(M)}(\Gamma \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M)) \otimes HP^{\dim(X)}(\Gamma \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(X)) \xrightarrow{\cup} HP^{\dim(M \times X)}((\Gamma \times \Gamma) \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M \times X))$$

and it is shown that $Ch_{\Omega(E)} \cup \tau = Ch_{\Omega(pr^*E)}$. Here τ is the equivariant orientation class that is associated to the trivial equivariant line bundle over *X* and pr^*E is seen as a $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ -equivariant vector bundle. Then, using a map

$$\Delta \colon \Gamma \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M \times X) \to (\Gamma \times \Gamma) \ltimes C^{\infty}_{C}(M \times X)$$

defined by $\Delta(U_g f) = U_{g,g} f$, one obtains a map

$$HP^{\dim(M\times X)}((\Gamma\times\Gamma)\ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M\times X))\to HP^{\dim(M\times X)}(\Gamma\ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(M\times X))$$

and it is shown that this total reduction sends $Ch_{\Omega(E)} \otimes \tau$ to $Ch_{\Omega(pr^*E)}$. From that point on, the argument proceeds along roughly the same lines.

Of course, the big difference with the case where *G* is a non-discrete Lie group is that a map like Δ does not exist, and there is no obvious way to reduce the cyclic cohomology of $(G \times G) \ltimes A$ (for *A* an $G \times G$ -algebra) to the cyclic cohomology of $G \ltimes A$ where we see *A* as an *G*-algebra by the diagonal action.

Appendix A. Showing that $\Omega_{E,\nabla}$ is an externally curved DGA

We use this appendix to prove Proposition 1.12.

Proposition A.1. The quadruple $\Omega_{E,\nabla} = (\Omega_E, *, D_{\nabla}, \Theta_{\nabla})$ is an externally curved DGA.

Proof. This proof is essentially the same as the untwisted case, by writing out the explicit equations. The only difference is that we have to navigate the fact that the group action does not commute with the connection, and that ∇_{X_M} does not equal \mathcal{L}_X . For this navigation we have a few claims, most of which follow from a standard type calculation. In what follows $X \in \mathfrak{g}, g, h \in G, \omega, \eta \in \Omega(M, \operatorname{End}(E))$ and $\alpha \in C_c^{\infty}(G, \operatorname{Sym}\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega_c(M, \operatorname{End}(E)))$.

Proof. We use the description of the convolution product as in 1.6. Let $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(G, \operatorname{Symg}^* \otimes \Omega_c(M, \operatorname{End}(E)))$ be a test-function. Fixing the placeholder-variable g_1 we need to test the function $g_2 \mapsto g_1^{-1} \cdot \phi(g_1g_2)$ on T_{α} , adding the g-component is this the function sending (g_2, X) to $(g_1^{-1})^* \phi(g_1g_2, \operatorname{Ad}_{g_1}(X))$, so testing against T_{α} we obtain

$$\langle T_{\alpha}(g_2), g_1^{-1} \cdot \phi(g_1g_2) \rangle(X) = \int_G \alpha(g_2, X) \wedge (g_1^{-1})^*(\phi(g_1g_2, \operatorname{Ad}_{g_1}(X))) dg_2$$

and so the function to test against $(F(\nabla) + \mu)\delta_e$ sends (g_1, X) to

$$(g_1 \cdot \langle T_{\alpha}(g_2), g_1^{-1} \cdot \phi(g_1g_2) \rangle)(X) = g_1^*(\langle T_{\alpha}(g_2), g_1^{-1} \cdot \phi(g_1g_2) \rangle(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_1^{-1}}(X)))$$
$$= \int_G (g_1^*(\alpha(g_2, \mathrm{Ad}_{g_1^{-1}}(X))) \wedge \phi(g_1g_2, X) dg_2)$$

testing this against $(F(\nabla) + \mu)\delta_e$ we plug in $g_1 = e$ and take the wedge product to obtain

$$\langle (F(\nabla) + \mu)\delta_e * T_\alpha, \phi \rangle(X) = \int_G (F(\nabla) + \mu(X)) \wedge \alpha(g_2, X) \wedge \phi(g_2, X) dg_2$$

and we see that this distribution is precisely of the form T_{β} for

$$\beta(g, X) = (F(\nabla) + \mu(X)) \land \alpha(g, X)$$

which proves the claim.

Claim 9:
$$(\alpha * (F(\nabla) + \mu)\delta_e)(g, X) = \alpha(g, X) \land (g^*F(\nabla) + g^*(\mu(\operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(X))))$$

Proof. We again let ϕ be a test-function and fix the placeholder variable g_1 . And now test the function $(g_2, X) \mapsto (g_1^{-1})^* \phi(g_1g_2, \operatorname{Ad}_{g_1}(X))$ against $(F(\nabla) + \mu)\delta_e$ obtaining:

$$\langle (F(\nabla) + \mu)\delta_{e}(g_{2}), g_{1} \cdot \phi(g_{1}g_{2}) \rangle(X) = (F(\nabla) + \mu(X)) \wedge (g_{1}^{-1})^{*}\phi(g_{1}, \operatorname{Ad}_{g_{1}}(X))$$

so we obtain

$$(g_1 \cdot \langle (F(\nabla) + \mu)\delta_e(g_2), g_1 \cdot \phi(g_1g_2) \rangle)(X) = (g_1^*F(\nabla) + g_1^*(\mu(\mathrm{Ad}_{g_1^{-1}}(X)))) \land \phi(g_1, X)$$

testing this against $T_{\alpha}(g_1)$ we obtain

$$\langle T_{\alpha} * (F(\nabla) + \mu) \delta_{e}, \phi \rangle(X) = \int_{G} \alpha(g_{1}, X) \wedge (g_{1}^{*}F(\nabla) + g_{1}^{*}(\mu(\operatorname{Ad}_{g_{1}^{-1}}(X)))) \wedge \phi(g_{1}, X) dg_{1}$$

and this is precisely of the form T_{β} for

$$\beta(g,X) = \alpha(g,X) \wedge (g^*F(\nabla) + g^*(\mu(\operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(X))))$$

which proves the claim

Claim 10:
$$d_{\nabla \text{End}}(\omega \wedge \eta) = d_{\nabla \text{End}}(\omega) \wedge \eta + (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega \wedge (d_{\nabla \text{End}}\eta)$$

Claim 11: $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \delta(e^{tX}) = -d_{\nabla \text{End}}(\mu(X)) - \iota_{X_M} F(\nabla)$

Using these claims we can show that $\Omega_{E,\nabla}$ is an externally curved DGA. First note that the multiplication is associative by general machinery since it is the convolution product induced by a *G*-algebra.

 $\Box C8$

 $\Box C9$

We then show that $D_{\nabla}(\alpha * \beta) = (D_{\nabla}(\alpha)) * \beta) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha * (D_{\nabla}(\beta))$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$. We investigate the three terms of which D_{∇} is made up:

$$d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}((\alpha * \beta)(g, X)) = \int_{G} d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\alpha(h, X) \wedge h^*\beta(h^{-1}g, \mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)))dh.$$

Using Claim 10 this divides up into

$$\begin{split} d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}((\alpha*\beta)(g,X)) &= \int_{G} (d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\alpha(h,X))) \wedge h^*\beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge (d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(h^*\beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))))dh \end{split}$$

Using Claim 3 on the second line results in

$$\begin{split} d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}((\alpha*\beta)(g,X)) &= \int_{G} (d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\alpha(h,X))) \wedge h^{*}\beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge h^{*}(d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)))dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge \delta(h) \wedge h^{*}\beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge h^{*}\beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)) \wedge \delta(h)dh. \end{split}$$

And then using Claim 2 on the $\delta(h)$ -term on the last line to replace it by $h^*\delta(h^{-1}g) - \delta(g)$ and we obtain

$$\begin{split} d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}((\alpha*\beta)(g,X)) &= \int_{G} (d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\alpha(h,X))) \wedge h^{*}\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge h^{*}(d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)))dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge \delta(h) \wedge h^{*}\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge h^{*}(\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)) \wedge \delta(h^{-1}g))dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge h^{*}\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)) \wedge \delta(g)dh. \end{split}$$

Note that the last line here cancels to the $\wedge \delta$ -part of D_{∇} when applied to $\alpha * \beta$.

Then working on the ι_{X_M} -term we have

$$\begin{split} \iota_{X_M}((\alpha*\beta)(g,X)) &= \int_G \iota_{X_M}(\alpha(h,X) \wedge h^*\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)))dh \\ &= \int_G (\iota_{X_M}\alpha(h,X)) \wedge h^*\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)))dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_G \alpha(h,X) \wedge \iota_{X_M}(h^*\beta(h^{-1}g,\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)))dh \end{split}$$

Applying Claim 1 in the second line we obtain

$$\iota_{X_M}((\alpha * \beta)(g, X)) = \int_G (\iota_{X_M} \alpha(h, X)) \wedge h^* \beta(h^{-1}g, \operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))) dh$$
$$+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_G \alpha(h, X) \wedge h^* (\iota_{\operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)_M} \beta(h^{-1}g, \operatorname{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))) dh.$$

Combining all this, we see

$$\begin{split} D_{\nabla}((\alpha*\beta))(g,X) &= \int_{G} \left(d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\alpha(h,X)) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha(h,X) \wedge \delta(h) + \iota_{X_{M}} \alpha(h,X) \right) \wedge h^{*} \beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)) dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge h^{*} \left(d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)) + (-1)^{|\beta|} \beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)) \wedge \delta(h^{-1}g) + \iota_{\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)_{M}} \beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)) \right) dh \\ &= \int_{G} (D_{\nabla}\alpha)(h,X) \wedge h^{-1} \beta(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X)) dh \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{G} \alpha(h,X) \wedge h^{-1} ((D_{\nabla}\beta)(h^{-1}g,\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X))) dh \\ &= ((D_{\nabla}\alpha)*\beta)(g,X) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} (\alpha*(D_{\nabla}\beta))(g,X). \end{split}$$

Lastly we show that $D_{\nabla}^2 = [\Theta_{\nabla}, -]$. First we calculate D_{∇}^2 :

$$\begin{split} (D^2_{\nabla}\alpha)(g,X) &= d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}((D_{\nabla}\alpha)(g,X)) - (-1)^{|\alpha|}(D_{\nabla}\alpha)(g,X) \wedge \delta(g) + \iota_{X_M}((D_{\nabla}\alpha)(g,X)) \\ &= d^2_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\alpha(g,X)) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\alpha(g,X) \wedge \delta(g)) + d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\iota_{X_M}(\alpha(g,X))) \\ &- (-1)^{|\alpha|} d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\alpha(g,X)) \wedge \delta(g) - \alpha(g,X) \wedge \delta(g) \wedge \delta(g) \\ &- (-1)^{|\alpha|} \iota_{X_M}(\alpha(g,X)) \wedge \delta(g) + \iota_{X_M}(d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\alpha(g,X))) \\ &+ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \iota_{X_M}(\alpha(g,X) \wedge \delta(g)) + \iota_{X_M}\iota_{X_M}\alpha(g,X). \end{split}$$

Now we use Claim 10, the fact that ι_{X_M} is a graded derivation and $\iota^2_{X_M} = 0$ to obtain

$$(D^{2}_{\nabla}\alpha)(g,X) = d^{2}_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\alpha(g,X)) + \alpha(g,X) \wedge d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\delta(g)) - \alpha(g,X) \wedge \delta(g) \wedge \delta(g) + \{d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}},\iota_{X_{M}}\}(\alpha(g,X)) + \alpha(g,X) \wedge \iota_{X_{M}}(\delta(g)).$$

Now we use Claim 4 and Claim 6 to obtain

$$\begin{split} (D^2_{\nabla}\alpha)(g,X) = & (F(\nabla) + \mu(X)) \wedge \alpha(g,X) + \mathcal{L}_X(\alpha(g,X)) \\ & - \alpha(g,x) \wedge (F(\nabla) - d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}}(\delta(g)) + \delta(g) \wedge \delta(g) + \mu(X) - \iota_{X_M}\delta(g)) \,. \end{split}$$

Then Claims 5 and 7 give us

$$(D^{2}_{\nabla}\alpha)(g,X) = (F(\nabla) + \mu(X)) \wedge \alpha(g,X) + \mathcal{L}_{X}(\alpha(g,X)) - \alpha(g,X) \wedge (g^{*}F(\nabla) + g^{*}\mu(\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(X))).$$

To finish, note that the calculation in the untwisted case carries over to here to give $\mathcal{L}_X = [\Theta, -]$, which combined with Claims 8 and 9 will give

$$(D_{\nabla}^2 \alpha)(g, X) = [\Theta_{\nabla}, \alpha](g, X).$$

Lastly we check the Bianchi identity $D_{\nabla}(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha) = \Theta_{\nabla} * D_{\nabla} \alpha$. For notational clarity we give the three terms of D_{∇} explicit names, namely ∇ , δ and ι for

$$(\nabla \alpha)(g, X) = d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\alpha(g, X)), \qquad (\delta \alpha)(g, X) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha(g, X) \wedge \delta(g, X),$$
$$(\iota \alpha)(g, X) = \iota_{X_M} \alpha(g, X).$$

We calculate

$$\nabla(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha)(g, X) = d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\mathcal{L}_X(\alpha(g, X))) - \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\alpha(e^{tX}g, X)) + d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(F(\nabla) \wedge \alpha(g, X)) + d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\mu(X) \wedge \alpha(g, X)) (\Theta_{\nabla} * \nabla \alpha)(g, X) = \mathcal{L}_X(d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\alpha(g, X))) - \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\alpha(e^{tX}g, X)) + F(\nabla) \wedge d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\alpha(g, X)) + \mu(X) \wedge d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}$$

On the nose the second terms cancel and combining that $d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}$ is a graded derivation for the wedge-product and the classical Bianchi-identity $d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(F(\nabla)) = 0$ so do the third terms. We obtain

$$(\nabla(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha) - \Theta_{\nabla} * \nabla \alpha)(g, X) = [d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}, \mathcal{L}_X](\alpha(g, X)) + (d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}\mu(X)) \wedge \alpha(g, X)$$

Next we calculate the terms that appear here, first we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{X}(d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\alpha(g,X))) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e^{tX} \cdot d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(\alpha(g,X))$$

$$(C3) \rightarrow \left. = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} d_{\nabla^{\text{End}}}(e^{tX} \cdot \alpha(g,X)) - \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \delta(e^{tX}) \wedge e^{tX} \cdot \alpha(g,X)$$

$$+ \left. (-1)^{|\alpha|} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (e^{tX} \cdot \alpha(g,X)) \wedge \delta(e^{tX})$$

Using the fact that $\delta(e) = 0$ this results in

$$[d_{\nabla^{\mathrm{End}}},\mathcal{L}_X](\alpha(g,X)) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\delta(e^{tX})\right) \wedge \alpha(g,X) - (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha(g,X) \wedge \left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\delta(e^{tX})\right)$$

Using Claim 11 it now follows that

$$(\nabla(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha) - \Theta_{\nabla} * \nabla \alpha)(g, X) = -\iota_{X_M} F(\nabla) \wedge \alpha(g, X) - (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha(g, X) \wedge \left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \delta(e^{tX})\right)$$

Next we look at the δ -part and see

$$\delta(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha)(g, X) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mathcal{L}_X(\alpha(g, X)) \wedge \delta(g) - (-1)^{|\alpha|} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \alpha(e^{tX}g, X) \wedge \delta(g) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} F(\nabla) \wedge \alpha(g, X) \wedge \delta(g) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mu(X) \wedge \alpha(g, X) \wedge \delta(g)$$

$$(\Theta_{\nabla} * \delta \alpha)(g, X) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mathcal{L}_X(\alpha(g, X) \wedge \delta(g)) - (-1)^{|\alpha|} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \alpha(e^{tX}g, X) \wedge \delta(e^{tX}g) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} F(\nabla) \wedge \alpha(g, X) \wedge \delta(g) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mu(X) \wedge \alpha(g, X) \wedge \delta(g)$$

From which we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha) - (\Theta_{\nabla} * \delta\alpha))(g, X) &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha(g, X) \wedge \left(\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left(\delta(e^{tX}g) - e^{tX} \cdot \delta(g) \right) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha(g, X) \wedge \left(\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \delta(e^{tX}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Lastly we take a look at the *i*-part.

$$\iota(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha)(g, X) = \iota_{X_M}(\mathcal{L}_X(\alpha(g, X))) - \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \iota_{X_M}(\alpha(e^{tX}g, X)) + \iota_{X_M}(F(\nabla) \wedge \alpha(g, X)) + \iota_{X_M}(\mu(X) \wedge \alpha(g, X))$$

$$(\Theta_{\nabla} * \iota \alpha)(g, X) = \mathcal{L}_X(\iota_{X_M}(\alpha(g, X))) - \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \iota_{X_M}(\alpha(e^{tX}g, X)) + F(\nabla) \wedge \iota_{X_M}(\alpha(g, X)) + \mu(X) \wedge \iota_{X_M}(\alpha(g, X))$$

Here we find

$$(\iota(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha) - \Theta_{\nabla} * \iota\alpha)(g, X) = [\iota_{X_M}, \mathcal{L}_X](\alpha(g, X)) + \iota_{X_M}F(\nabla) \wedge \alpha(g, X)$$

Exactly similar to the untwisted case we have $[\iota_{X_M}, \mathcal{L}_X] = 0$, and so we see that the contributions from ∇ , δ and ι cancel and we conclude that the Bianchi identity

$$D_{\nabla}(\Theta_{\nabla} * \alpha) = \Theta_{\nabla} * D_{\nabla} \alpha$$

indeed holds.

We conclude that $\Omega_{E,\nabla}$ is an externally curved DGA, as claimed.

References

- [BG94] Jonathan Block and Ezra Getzler. Equivariant cyclic homology and equivariant differential forms. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 27(4):493-527, 1994.
- [BGJ95] Jonathan Block, Ezra Getzler, and John D. S. Jones. The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras. II. Topological algebras. J. Reine Angew. Math., 466:19-25, 1995.
- [Bla85] P. Blanc. (co)homologie differentiable et changement de groupes. In Homologie, groupes Extⁿ, représentations de longueur finie des groupes de Lie, number 124-125 in Astérisque, pages 13-29. 1985.
- J.-L. Brylinski and Victor Nistor. Cyclic cohomology of étale groupoids. K-Theory, 8(4):341–365, [BN94] 1994.
- [Bry87a] Jean-Luc Brylinski. Algebras associated with group actions and their homology, Brown University, preprint. 1987.
- [Bry87b] Jean-Luc Brylinski. Cyclic homology and equivariant theories. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 37(4):15-28, 1987.

BJARNE KOSMEIJER AND HESSEL POSTHUMA

- [Con86] A. Connes. Cyclic cohomology and the transverse fundamental class of a foliation. In *Geometric methods in operator algebras (Kyoto, 1983)*, volume 123 of *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.*, pages 52–144. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986.
- [Con94] Alain Connes. Noncommutative geometry. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [Cra99] Marius Crainic. Cyclic cohomology of étale groupoids: the general case. *K-Theory*, 17(4):319–362, 1999.
- [FT87] B. L. Feĭgin and B. L. Tsygan. Additive K-theory. In K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986), volume 1289 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 67–209. Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [Get94] Ezra Getzler. The equivariant Chern character for non-compact Lie groups. *Adv. Math.*, 109(1):88–107, 1994.
- [GJ93] Ezra Getzler and John D. S. Jones. The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras. J. Reine Angew. Math., 445:161–174, 1993.
- [Gor99] Alexander Gorokhovsky. Characters of cycles, equivariant characteristic classes and Fredholm modules. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 208(1):1–23, 1999.
- [GS99] Victor W. Guillemin and Shlomo Sternberg. *Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory*. Mathematics Past and Present. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. With an appendix containing two reprints by Henri Cartan [MR0042426 (13,107e); MR0042427 (13,107f)].
- [KR04] M. Khalkhali and B. Rangipour. On the generalized cyclic Eilenberg-Zilber theorem. Canad. Math. Bull., 47(1):38–48, 2004.
- [LMV17] Jean-Marie Lescure, Dominique Manchon, and Stéphane Vassout. About the convolution of distributions on groupoids. J. Noncommut. Geom., 11(2):757–789, 2017.
- [Lod98] Jean-Louis Loday. Cyclic homology, volume 301 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften
 [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
 Appendix E by María O. Ronco, Chapter 13 by the author in collaboration with Teimuraz Pirashvili.
- [Nis90] V. Nistor. Group cohomology and the cyclic cohomology of crossed products. *Invent. Math.*, 99(2):411–424, 1990.
- [Nis93] Victor Nistor. Cyclic cohomology of crossed products by algebraic groups. *Invent. Math.*, 112(3):615–638, 1993.
- [NN90] Toshikazu Natsume and Ryszard Nest. The cyclic cohomology of compact Lie groups and the direct sum formula. *J. Operator Theory*, 23(1):43–50, 1990.
- [Pon18] Raphaël Ponge. Cyclic homology and group actions. J. Geom. Phys., 123:30–52, 2018.
- [Pon23] Raphaël Ponge. Cyclic homology and group actions. In Cyclic cohomology at 40: achievements and future prospects, volume 105 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 371–395. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, [2023] ©2023.
- [PPT23] Markus J. Pflaum, Hessel Posthuma, and Xiang Tang. On the Hochschild homology of proper Lie groupoids. J. Noncommut. Geom., 17(1):101–162, 2023.

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM Email address: b.a.kosmeijer@uva.nl

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM Email address: h.b.posthuma@uva.nl