SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES IN SPACELIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF MINKOWSKI SPACE

LIANG XU

ABSTRACT. We follow the method of ABP estimate in [Bre21] and apply it to spacelike submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. We then obtain Michael-Simon type inequalities. Surprisingly, our investigation leads to a Sobolev inequality without a mean curvature term, provided the hypersurface is mean convex.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are mainly concerned with a specific type of Sobolev inequality for spacelike submanifolds in Minkowski space. Associated to a spacelike submanifold $\Sigma^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$, we define the maximal slope by

$$\tau(\Sigma) = \sup\{|\nu_0(x)| : x \in \Sigma, \nu(x) \text{ is a unit normal to } \Sigma \text{ at } x\}.$$

See Definition 2.1 for details. The main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $\Sigma^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ is a smooth, compact and spacelike hypersurface. Assume that Σ is mean convex and that f is any smooth and positive function defined on Σ . Then

(1.1)
$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + \int_{\partial \Sigma} f \ge C_{n,\tau} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

where the constant $C_{n,\tau} = n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{n}}\tau^{-\frac{1}{n}}(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2-1})^{-1}.$

Under the condition of mean convexity, there is no mean curvature term involved, which, to our knowledge, is new, Without the assumption of mean convexity, similar result holds with a curvature term involved.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $\Sigma^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ is a smooth, compact and spacelike hypersurface. Assume that f is any smooth and positive function defined on Σ . Then

(1.2)
$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2 ||H||^2} + \int_{\partial \Sigma} f \ge C_{n,\tau} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

with $C_{n,\tau} = 2^{-1} n \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \tau^{-\frac{1}{n}} (\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})^{-1}.$

Here $||H||^2 = -|H|^2 \ge 0$; see Section 2 for details. Next we establish the same Sobolev inequality for submanifold $\Sigma^m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ of higher codimension n - m + 1, with 0 < m < n. Let ν be a normal vector field of Σ with $|\nu|^2 = -1$. We then write $T_x^{\perp}\Sigma = T_x^{\perp,1}\Sigma \oplus T_x^{\perp,2}\Sigma$, where $T_x^{\perp,2}\Sigma = \text{Span}\{\nu(x)\}$. Accordingly, the mean curvature vector is decomposed into $H^{\perp,1} + H^{\perp,2}$.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $\Sigma^m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ is a smooth, compact and spacelike submanifold. Let ν be any normal vector field of Σ with $|\nu|^2 = -1$ and f any smooth and positive function defined on Σ . Then

(1.3)
$$\int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2(|H^{\perp,1}|^2 + ||H^{\perp,2}||^2)} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f \ge C_{n,m,\tau} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}},$$

where the constant $C_{n,m,\tau} = m2^{-\frac{n}{m}}(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}}\omega_n^{\frac{1}{m}}\tau^{-\frac{1}{m}}(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2-1})^{-\frac{n}{m}}.$

At the end of this work, we discovered that in [TW22] similar results were established. Nevertheless, compared to the results therein, our construction yields a Sobolev inequality without curvature terms for a mean convex hypersurface. The history of geometric inequalities probably dates back to ancient Greece. The classical isoperimetric inequality asserts that for a domain $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with sufficiently well-behaved boundary, there holds

(1.4)
$$|\partial \Sigma| \ge n \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

It is known that such an isoperimetric inequality is essentially equivalent to the $W^{1,1}$ Sobolev inequality for domains in Euclidean space:

(1.5)
$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + \int_{\partial \Sigma} f \ge n \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Intensive work has been established to extend Eq. (1.4) or Eq. (1.5) to more general settings. It has long been conjectured that the same inequality Eq. (1.4) holds in Cartan-Hadamard manifolds [Aub76]. Partial results include [Wei26; BR33; Cro84; Kle92]. See also [GS21] for a recent attempt to resolve the conjecture. It is also possible to replace areas and volumes in Eq. (1.4) by more general quermassintegrals. The resulting isoperimetric inequality is proved for hypersurfaces with certain convexity in Euclidean space. See [Gua] for details.

For a domain Σ in a two dimensional space form of constant curvature K, there is a neat result which states that

(1.6)
$$4\pi|\Sigma| \le |\partial\Sigma|^2 + K|\Sigma|^2.$$

Please see [Cho05] and references therein. The same inequality Eq. (1.6) is proved by Choe and Gulliver [CG92b] for minimal surfaces Σ^2 with certain topological constraints in hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . Yau [Yau75], Choe and Gulliver [CG92a] showed that if Σ is a domain in \mathbb{H}^n or a *n*-dimensional minimal submanifold in \mathbb{H}^{n+m} , then it satisfies the linear isoperimetric inequality

$$(n-1)|\Sigma| \le |\partial \Sigma|.$$

Another linear inequality for proper minimal submanifolds in \mathbb{H}^n is obtained in [MS14] using Poincaré model.

It is a longstanding conjecture that Eq. (1.4) holds true for minimal hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Using the method of sliding, Brendle fully settled the problem in a recent work [Bre21], and later extended his results to Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature [Bre20]. The most classical application of the sliding method is perhaps Aleksandrov's maximum principle. In [Cab08] Cabré first employed the sliding method and gave a simple and elegant proof of Eq. (1.4).

We follow Brendle's method and apply it to submanifolds in the Minkowski space, and obtain some Michael-Simon-Sobolev type inequalities.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Research Professor Qi-Rui Li for his instructions and many helpful discussions.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ be the Minkowski space endowed with metric

$$\bar{g} = -dx_0^2 + dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_n^2.$$

The usual Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is denoted by δ . The volume element of $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$, $d\mu_{\bar{g}} = \sqrt{-\det \bar{g}} dX$, is just the usual Lebesgue measure. A vector Y is called unit if $|Y|^2 = \sigma(Y)$, where $\sigma(Y)$ is the signature of Y, i.e. $\sigma(X) = 1, -1, 0$ if X is spacelike, timelike, lightlike, respectively. Finally, we define $||Y|| = \sqrt{\sigma(Y)|Y|^2}$.

Let $(\Sigma^n, g) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{n,1}, \overline{g})$ be a spacelike hypersurface. We denote by x a point in Σ , and by X(x) the corresponding position vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. Anything with a 'bar' is a quantity of the ambient space. Then the second fundamental form is defined by

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y Z = \nabla_Y Z - h(Y, Z), \quad \forall Y, Z \in \mathscr{X}(\Sigma).$$

Let $\nu(x)$ be a normal to Σ at the point x. Clearly $\nu(x)$ is also a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. Denote by $\nu_{\alpha}(x)$ the α -th coordinate of $\nu(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$, so that

$$|\nu|^2 = -\nu_0^2 + \nu_1^2 + \dots + \nu_n^2.$$

Definition 2.1. Associated to a spacelike submanifold $\Sigma^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$, the maximal slope is defined by $\tau(\Sigma) = \sup\{|\nu_0(x)| : x \in \Sigma, \nu(x) \in T_x^{\perp}\Sigma, |\nu(x)|^2 = -1\}.$

The quantity τ characterizes how 'lightlike' Σ is; for instance, $\tau = 1$ if $\Sigma^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$; and τ is uniquely determined by the diameter if $\Sigma^n \in \mathbb{H}^n$.

Lemma 2.2. For any function w on the ambient space, $\nabla^2 w = \overline{\nabla}^2 w - \langle h, \overline{\nabla} w \rangle_{\overline{g}}$.

Proof. We assume that $T_x \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ is spanned by orthonormal basis $\{\varepsilon_\alpha : 0 \le \alpha \le n\}$, and $T_x \Sigma$ by $\{\varepsilon_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$, with $|\varepsilon_i|^2 = 1, |\varepsilon_0|^2 = -1$. We then compute

$$\begin{split} \bar{\nabla}_{ij}^2 w &= \partial_{ij}^2 w - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\varepsilon_i} \varepsilon_j, \bar{\nabla} w \rangle \\ &= \partial_{ij}^2 w - \langle \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \varepsilon_k + \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^2 \varepsilon_0, w^\ell \varepsilon_\ell + w^0 \varepsilon_0 \rangle \\ &= \partial_{ij}^2 w - \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \partial_k w + \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^0 \partial_0 w. \end{split}$$

By Gauss formula $\bar{\nabla}_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j = \nabla_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j - h_{ij}\varepsilon_0$ we see that $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^0 = -h_{ij}$. Hence $\bar{\nabla}_{ij}^2 w = \nabla_{ij}^2 w - h_{ij}\partial_0 w = \nabla_{ij}^2 w + \langle h(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j), \bar{\nabla}w \rangle$



FIGURE 1. An illustration of $\Lambda_r(x)$.

By tensorality, the same formula holds in any coordinate systems.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since Eq. (1.1) is homogeneous in f, by normalization we may assume that

(3.1)
$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} f = n \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|.$$

Let η be the outward unit normal of $\partial \Sigma$. Consider the following PDE

(3.2)
$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(f\nabla u) = nf^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - |\nabla f|, & \text{in } \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma, \\ \langle \nabla u, \eta \rangle_{\bar{g}} = 1, & \text{along } \partial \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

By our normalization, the equation has a solution $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$. Since Σ is mean convex, we may assume that the mean curvature vector H is either zero or timelike pointing to the past. Let ν be the unit normal and timelike vector field pointing to the past. Now fix r > 0. For any $x \in \Sigma$ we define $\mathcal{A}_r = \bigcap_{x \in \Sigma} \Lambda_r(x)$, where

(3.3)
$$\Lambda_r(x) = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1} : |(p - X(x))^\top|^2 < r^2, -r \le \langle p - X, ||H||^{-1}H(x) \rangle \le 0 \right\}.$$

Note that when ||H|| = 0, the notation $||H||^{-1}H(x)$ simply means the normal $\nu(x)$.

We write $p-X = s\xi + t\nu$, where ξ is a unit tangent vector and ν is a unit normal vector pointing to the past. The the definition of $\Lambda_r(x)$ implies that $-r < s < r, 0 \le t \le r$. Therefore $\Lambda_r(x)$ is a parallelogram illustrated as in Fig. 1. We continue and define

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ (x, y) : x \in \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma, y \in T_x^{\perp} \Sigma, |\nabla u(x)| < 1, -1 \le \langle y, ||H||^{-1} H(x) \rangle \le 0 \right\},\$$



FIGURE 2. Left: blowing down $\Lambda(x)$ to get $\tilde{\Lambda}(x)$, which contains $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(x)$, the shaded area. Right: $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ contains at least $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, the shaded area.

$$\mathcal{B}_r = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{U} : r \nabla^2 u(x) + r \langle h(x), y \rangle + g(x) \ge 0 \right\}.$$

Finally, we take the map $\Phi_r: T^{\perp}\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$,

(3.4)
$$\Phi_r(x,y) = X(x) + r(\nabla u(x) + y).$$

Lemma 3.1. We have asymptotic behavior

(3.5)
$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} r^{-n-1} |\mathcal{A}_r| \ge \tilde{C}_{n,\tau},$$

where $|\mathcal{A}_r|$ is the usual Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $\tilde{C}_{n,\tau} = \frac{\omega_n}{(n+1)\tau(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2-1})^n}$.

Proof. We blow down \mathcal{A}_r by factor r. As $r \to \infty$, the bounded domain Σ collapses to a single point: the origin, and each $\Lambda_r(x)$ converges to a $\tilde{\Lambda}(x)$, specified by

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(x) = \{ \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1} : |\tilde{p}^\top|^2 < 1, -1 < \langle \tilde{p}, \nu(x) \rangle < 0 \}$$

where $\nu(x) \in -\mathbb{H}^n$ is the unit normal to Σ at x pointing to the past. See the left of Fig. 2 for an illustration. Clearly $\tilde{\Lambda}(x)$ contains the cone $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \{\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1} : |\tilde{p}|^2 < 0, -1 < \langle \tilde{p}, \nu(x) \rangle < 0 \}$. Therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcap_{x \in \Sigma} \tilde{\Lambda}(x)$ contains at least $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \bigcap_{x \in \Sigma} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(x)$. Since by assumption $\nu(x)$ has minimal height $-\tau$, we may assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is the union of two cones, as illustrated by the right of Fig. 2, with the zeroth coordinate of point a being $-\tau$. We now proceed by computing the volume of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that the points a, b, c lie in the plane Ox_0x_1 . Then $a = (-\tau, -\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, and the tengential ac is parallel to $\xi = (\sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}, \tau, 0, \dots, 0)$. From this we readily obtain $b = (-\tau^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $c = (-\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}, \tau - \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Consequently

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}| = \omega_n (\tau - \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})^n \cdot \tau^{-1} \cdot (n+1)^{-1} = \tilde{C}_{n,\tau}$$

Hence $\liminf_{r\to\infty} r^{-n-1} |\mathcal{A}_r| \ge |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}| = \tilde{C}_{n,\tau}.$

Lemma 3.2. There holds $\Phi_r(\mathcal{B}_r) \supseteq \mathcal{A}_r$.

Proof. For any given $p \in \mathcal{A}_r$, consider the function

$$F(x) = ru(x) + \frac{1}{2}|p - X(x)|^2, \quad x \in \Sigma.$$

By compactness F attains its minimum at some $\bar{x} \in \Sigma$. We claim that $\bar{x} \notin \partial \Sigma$. For if otherwise $\bar{x} \in \partial \Sigma$, then at this point $0 \ge \langle \nabla F(\bar{x}), \eta \rangle = r - \langle p - X(\bar{x}), \eta \rangle$. We have by definition of \mathcal{A}_r that $\langle p - X(\bar{x}), \eta \rangle = \langle p - X(\bar{x})^\top, \eta \rangle < r$, a contradiction. Hence $\bar{x} \in \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma$ and at which $\nabla F(\bar{x}) = r \nabla u(\bar{x}) - (p - X(\bar{x}))^\top = 0$. We then find $\bar{y} \in T_{\bar{x}}^{\perp} \Sigma$ such that $r\bar{y} = (p - X(\bar{x}))^{\perp}$. Obviously $r|\nabla u(\bar{x})| = |(p - X(\bar{x}))^\top| < r$ and $-r||H|| \le r \langle \bar{y}, H(\bar{x}) \rangle = \langle p - X(\bar{x}), H(\bar{x}) \rangle \le 0$. Finally, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \nabla^2 F(\bar{x}) = r \nabla^2 u(\bar{x}) + \bar{\nabla}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} |p - X(\bar{x})|^2 \right) - \left\langle h, \bar{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{2} |p - X(\bar{x})|^2 \right) \right\rangle \\ &= r \nabla^2 u(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) - \left\langle h, X(\bar{x}) - p \right\rangle \\ &= r \nabla^2 u(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) + r \langle \bar{y}, h \rangle, \end{split}$$

completing the proof.

In the Riemannian or Lorentzian setting, in order for the area formula to be true, the Jacobian of a map should be modified with volume elements; that is,

$$J(\Phi_r) = |\det(D\Phi_r)| \cdot \frac{\sqrt{-\det \bar{g}}}{\sqrt{\det g}},$$

where $D\Phi_r$ is the usual tangent map of the coordinate map.

Lemma 3.3. The invariant Jacobian of
$$\Phi_r$$
 is given by

$$J(\Phi_r) = r^{n+1} \det \left(\nabla_i \nabla^j u(x) + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r \right).$$

Proof. At a fixed point (x, y), we pick an orthonormal basis $\{e_i, \nu\}$ that spans $T_{(x,y)}(T^{\perp}\Sigma)$, and a normal coordinate system $\{x_i, y\}$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = e_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \nu$ at (x, y). Then $\Phi_r(x, y) = X + r\nabla u + ry\nu$. We now compute

$$\begin{split} &\langle \frac{\partial \Phi_r}{\partial x_i}, e_j \rangle = \langle e_i + r \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \nabla u + r y \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \nu, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} + r u_{ij} - r y h_{ij}, \\ &\langle \frac{\partial \Phi_r}{\partial y}, e_j \rangle = \langle r \nu, e_j \rangle = 0, \\ &\langle \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}, \nu \rangle = \langle r \nu, \nu \rangle = -r. \end{split}$$

Note that we have used the fact that $\langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j, \nu \rangle = \langle -h_{ij}\nu, \nu \rangle = h_{ij}$ and that $\langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}\nu, e_j \rangle = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j, \nu \rangle = -h_{ij}$. Thus $\det(D\Phi_r) = -r \det(ru_{ij} - ryh_{ij} + \delta_{ij})$, whence

$$J(\Phi_r) = r^{n+1} \det(\nabla_i \nabla^j u + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r).$$

By tensorality the same formula holds in any coordinate system.

Lemma 3.4. We have for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_r$

$$\det\left(\nabla_i \nabla^j u(x) + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r\right) \le \left(f^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + 1/r\right)^n.$$

Proof. By definition, $\nabla^2 u + \langle y, h \rangle + g/r \ge 0$ for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_r$. Therefore

$$\det\left(\nabla_i \nabla^j u + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r\right) \le \left(\frac{\Delta u + \langle y, H \rangle}{n} + \frac{1}{r}\right)^n \le \left(\frac{\Delta u}{n} + \frac{1}{r}\right)^n$$

On the other hand, from Eq. (3.2) and the fact that $|\nabla u| < 1$, we have

$$\Delta u = nf^{\frac{1}{n-1}} - f^{-1}\left(|\nabla f| + \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle\right) \le nf^{\frac{1}{n-1}},$$

completing the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By area/coarea formula and previous lemmas, we have

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A}_r|}{r^{n+1}} \le \int_{\mathcal{B}_r} \left(f^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + 1/r \right)^n dy d\mu_g \le \int_{\Sigma} \left(f^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + 1/r \right)^n d\mu_g.$$

Sending $r \to \infty$, we derive

(3.6)
$$\tilde{C}_{n,\tau} \leq \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\mu_g.$$

Combining this and Eq. (3.1), we conclude that

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} f + \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| = n \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \ge n \tilde{C}_{n,\tau}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$
We finally write $C_{n,\tau} = n \tilde{C}_{n,\tau}^{\frac{1}{n}} = n \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \tau^{-\frac{1}{n}} (\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})^{-1}.$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Without the 'mean convexity' assumption, the union $\mathcal{A}_r = \bigcap_{x \in \Sigma} \Lambda_r(x)$, with $\Lambda_r(x)$ defined by Eq. (3.3), might as well be empty. We therefore construct u by

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(f\nabla u) = nf^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2 ||H||^2}, & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \langle \nabla u, \eta \rangle_{\bar{g}} = 1, & \text{along } \partial \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

Since Eq. (1.2) is homogeneous in f, we may assume

(4.2)
$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} f = \int_{\Sigma} n f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2 ||H||^2},$$

so that Eq. (4.1) admits a solution. We then modify Eq. (3.3) by

$$\Lambda_r(x) = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1} : |(p - X(x))^\top|^2 < \frac{r^2}{4}, -\frac{r}{2} \le \langle p - X(x), ||H||^{-1}H(x) \rangle \le \frac{r}{2} \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{A}_r = \bigcap_{x \in \Sigma} \Lambda_r(x)$. Accordingly,

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ (x, y) : x \in \Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma, y \in T_x^{\perp}\Sigma, |\nabla u(x)| < \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \le \langle y, ||H||^{-1}H(x) \rangle \le \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_r = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{U} : r\nabla^2 u(x) + r \langle h(x), y \rangle + g(x) \ge 0 \right\},$$

and $\Phi_r(x,y) = X(x) + r(\nabla u(x) + y)$. Note that when ||H|| = 0, the notation $||H||^{-1}H$ simply means the normal vector ν . Similar as in Section 3, we still have

- The inclusion $\Phi_r(\mathcal{B}_r) \supseteq \mathcal{A}_r$; and
- The Jacobian $J(\Phi_r) = r^{n+1} \det \left(\nabla_i \nabla^j u(x) + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r \right).$

The proofs are almost identical.

Lemma 4.1. We have asymptotic behavior

(4.3)
$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} r^{-n-1} |\mathcal{A}_r| \ge \tilde{C}_{n,\tau},$$



FIGURE 3. Left: each $\tilde{\Lambda}(x)$ contains two cones, the shaded area; Right: $\tilde{\Lambda}$ contains at least \tilde{C} , the shaded area.

where $\tilde{C}_{n,\tau} = 2^{-n}(n+1)^{-1}\omega_n\tau^{-1}(\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})^{-n}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1, with minor differences. Since the minimal height of unit normals is $-\tau$, in Fig. 3, $a = \left(-\frac{\tau}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{\tau^2-1}}{2}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$. The vector \vec{ac} is parallel to $\xi = \left(\sqrt{\tau^2-1}, \tau, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$. From this we derive $b = \left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$ and $c = \left(\frac{-\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2-1}}{2}, \frac{\tau-\sqrt{\tau^2-1}}{2}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has volume

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}| = \omega_n \left(\frac{\tau - \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}}{2}\right)^n \cdot \frac{1}{2\tau} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot 2 = 2^{-n}(n+1)^{-1}\omega_n \tau^{-1}(\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})^{-n},$$

from which it follows that

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} r^{-n-1} |\mathcal{A}_r| \ge |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}| \ge \tilde{C}_{n,\tau},$$

completing the proof.

Lemma 4.2. We have for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_r$

$$\det\left(\nabla_i \nabla^j u(x) + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r\right) \le \left(f^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + 1/r\right)^n.$$

Proof. By definition, $\nabla^2 u + \langle y, h \rangle + g/r \ge 0$ for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_r$. Therefore

$$\det\left(\nabla_i \nabla^j u + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r\right) \le \left(\frac{\Delta u + \langle y, H \rangle}{n} + \frac{1}{r}\right)^n.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, fH \rangle - \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle &\leq \frac{1}{2} f \|H\| + |\nabla f| |\nabla u| \leq \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2} \|H\|^2} \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + 1/4} \\ &\leq \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2} \|H\|^2} \sqrt{1/4 + 1/4} \leq \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2} \|H\|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combined with Eq. (4.1), it follows that

$$\Delta u + \langle y, H \rangle = nf^{\frac{1}{n-1}} - f^{-1} \left(\sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2 ||H||^2} + \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle - \langle y, fH \rangle \right) \le nf^{\frac{1}{n-1}},$$
 giving the assertion.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By area/coarea formula, we have

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A}_r|}{r^{n+1}} \le \int_{\mathcal{B}_r} \frac{J(\Phi_r)}{r^{n+1}} dy d\mu_g \le \int_{\Sigma} \left(f^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + 1/r \right)^n d\mu_g$$

Sending $r \to \infty$, we derive $\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \geq \tilde{C}_{n,\tau}$. In the view of Eq. (4.2), we compute

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\partial \Sigma} f + \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2 ||H||^2} = n \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \\ &= n \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \ge n \tilde{C}_{n,\tau}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}. \end{split}$$

where $C_{n,\tau} = n \tilde{C}_{n,\tau}^{\frac{1}{n}} = n 2^{-1} (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \tau^{-\frac{1}{n}} (\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})^{-1}.$

Finally we write $C_{n,\tau} = n \hat{C}_{n,\tau}^{\overline{n}} = n 2^{-1} (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \omega_n^{\overline{n}} \tau^{-\frac{1}{n}} (\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1})^{-1}$.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We fix such a section $\nu \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}\Sigma)$, with $|\nu|^2 = -1$; that is, ν is a unit timelike normal vector field along Σ . At each point $x \in \Sigma$, we have decomposition

$$T_x^{\perp}\Sigma = T_x^{\perp,1}\Sigma \oplus T_x^{\perp,2}\Sigma,$$

where $T_x^{\perp,2}\Sigma = \text{Span}\{\nu(x)\}$. Note that $T_x^{\perp,1}\Sigma$ is a spacelike subspace. Accordingly, we write a normal vector as $y = y^{\perp,1} + y^{\perp,2}$. The equation we consider now is

(5.1)
$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(f\nabla u) = mf^{\frac{m}{m-1}} - \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2(|H^{\perp,1}|^2 + ||H^{\perp,2}||^2)}, & \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma, \\ \langle \nabla u, \eta \rangle = 1, & \partial \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

We normalize by

(5.2)
$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} f = \int_{\Sigma} m f^{\frac{m}{m-1}} - \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2(|H^{\perp,1}|^2 + ||H^{\perp,2}||^2)}$$

so that the PDE has a solution. The domains and codomains are

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{r}(x) &= \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1} : |(p - X(x))^{\top}|^{2} + |(p - X(x))^{\perp,1}|^{2} < \frac{r^{2}}{4}, -\frac{r}{2} \leq \langle p - X(x), \nu(x) \rangle \leq \frac{r}{2} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{r} &= \cap_{x \in \Sigma} \Lambda_{r}(x), \\ \mathcal{U} &= \left\{ (x, y) : x \in \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma, y \in T_{x}^{\perp} \Sigma, |\nabla u(x)|^{2} + |y^{\perp,1}|^{2} < \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \langle y^{\perp,2}, \nu \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{r} &= \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{U} : r \nabla^{2} u(x) + r \langle y, h(x) + g(x) \geq 0 \rangle \}. \end{split}$$

Finally we take $\Phi_r: T^{\perp}\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^{n,1}: (x,y) \mapsto X(x) + r(\nabla u(x) + y)$. As in Section 3,

- The inclusion $\Phi_r(\mathcal{B}_r) \supseteq \mathcal{A}_r$; and
- The Jacobian $J(\Phi_r) = r^{n+1} \det \left(\nabla_i \nabla^j u(x) + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r \right).$

The proofs are almost identical.

Lemma 5.1. We have asymptotic behavior

(5.3)
$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} r^{-n-1} |\mathcal{A}_r| \ge \tilde{C}_{n,\tau},$$

where $\tilde{C}_{n,\tau} = 2^{-n}(n+1)^{-1}\omega_n\tau^{-1}(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2-1})^{-n}$.

Proof. We write $\mathbb{R}^{n,1} = T_x \Sigma \oplus T_x^{\perp} \Sigma = (T_x \Sigma \oplus T_x^{\perp,1} \Sigma) \oplus T_x^{\perp,2} \Sigma$. If $p \in \Lambda_r(x)$, then

$$|(p - X(x))^{\top}|^{2} + |(p - X(x))^{\perp,1}|^{2} < \frac{r^{2}}{4}$$
 and $|(p - X(x))^{\perp,2}|^{2} \le \frac{r^{2}}{4}$.

If $\xi \in T_x \Sigma \oplus T_x^{\perp,1} \Sigma$ is a unit vector and $p - X = s\xi + t\nu$, then $|s|, |t| \leq \frac{r}{2}$, the shape of $\Lambda_r(x)$ and \mathcal{A}_r would be exactly like those in Fig. 3. Thus the same conclusion holds as in Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 5.2. We have for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_r$

$$\det\left(\nabla_i \nabla^j u(x) + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r\right) \le \left(f^{\frac{1}{m-1}} + 1 / r\right)^m.$$

Proof. By geometric-arithmetic inequality,

$$\det\left(\nabla_i \nabla^j u(x) + \langle y, h_i^j \rangle + \delta_i^j / r\right) \le \left(\frac{\Delta u + \langle y, H \rangle}{m} + \frac{1}{r}\right)^m.$$

Now by Eq. (5.1), we have

 $\Delta u + \langle y, H \rangle = m f^{\frac{1}{m-1}} - f^{-1}(\sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2(|H^{\perp,1}|^2 + ||H^{\perp,2}||^2)} + \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle - \langle fH, y \rangle).$ On the other hand, by the definition of \mathcal{B}_r ,

$$\begin{split} -\langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle + \langle fH, y \rangle &= \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle + \langle fH^{\perp,1}, y^{\perp,1} \rangle + \langle fH^{\perp,2}, y^{\perp,2} \rangle \\ &\leq |\nabla f| |\nabla u| + |fH^{\perp,1}| |y^{\perp,1}| + \frac{1}{2} f \| H^{\perp,2} \| \\ &\leq \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2 |H^{\perp,1}|^2 + f^2 \| H^{\perp,2} \|^2} \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + |y^{\perp,1}|^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2 (|H^{\perp,1}|^2 + \| H^{\perp,2} \|^2)}. \end{split}$$

This implies that $\Delta u + \langle y, H \rangle \leq m f^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$, completing the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Using area/coarea formula, we have

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A}_r|}{r^{n+1}} \le \int_{\Sigma} \left(f^{\frac{1}{m-1}} + 1/r \right)^m.$$

Taking $r \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\tilde{C}_{n,\tau} \le \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{m}{m-1}},$$

Taking Eq. (5.2) into consideration, we derive

$$m\tilde{C}_{n,\tau}^{\frac{1}{m}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{m}{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \le m \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{m}{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{m}{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} = m \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{m}{m-1}} = \int_{\partial\Sigma} f + \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla f|^2 + f^2(|H^{\perp,1}|^2 + ||H^{\perp,2}||^2)}.$$

Finally, we compute $C_{m,n,\tau} = m\tilde{C}_{n,\tau}^{\frac{1}{m}} = m2^{-\frac{n}{m}}(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}}\omega_n^{\frac{1}{m}}\tau^{-\frac{1}{m}}(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2-1})^{-\frac{n}{m}}$. \Box

References

- [Aub76] Thierry Aubin. "Problèmes Isopérimétriques et Espaces de Sobolev". In: Journal of Differential Geometry 11.4 (1976), pp. 573–598. ISSN: 1945743X.
 DOI: 10.4310/jdg/1214433725.
- [BR33] E. F. Beckenbach and T. Rado. "Subharmonic Functions and Surfaces of Negative Curvature". In: Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 35.3 (1933), p. 662. ISSN: 00029947. DOI: 10.2307/1989854.
- [Bre20] Simon Brendle. "Sobolev Inequalities in Manifolds with Nonnegative Curvature". 2020. arXiv: 2009.13717. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2009. 13717.
- [Bre21] Simon Brendle. "The Isoperimetric Inequality for a Minimal Submanifold in Euclidean Space". In: Journal of the American Mathematical Society 34.2 (2021), pp. 595–603.
- [CG92a] Jaigyoung Choe and Robert Gulliver. "Isoperimetric Inequalities on Minimal Submanifolds of Space Forms". In: Manuscripta Mathematica 77 (1992), pp. 169–189.
- [CG92b] Jaigyoung Choe and Robert Gulliver. "The Sharp Isoperimetric Inequality for Minimal Surfaces with Radially Connected Boundary in Hyperbolic Space". In: *Inventiones Mathematicae* 109.1 (1992), pp. 495–503. ISSN: 00209910. DOI: 10.1007/BF01232035.
- [Cab08] Xavier Cabré. "Elliptic PDE's in Probability and Geometry: Symmetry and Regularity of Solutions". In: Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 20.3 (2008), pp. 425–457. ISSN: 10780947. DOI: 10.3934/dcds.2008. 20.425.
- [Cho05] Jaigyoung Choe. "Isoperimetric Inequalities of Minimal Submanifolds". In: Global theory of minimal surfaces (2005), pp. 325–370.
- [Cro84] Christopher B. Croke. "A Sharp Four Dimensional Isoperimetric Inequality". In: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici 59.1 (1984), pp. 187–192.
 ISSN: 00102571. DOI: 10.1007/BF02566344.
- [GS21] Mohammad Ghomi and Joel Spruck. Total Curvature and the Isoperimetric Inequality in Cartan-Hadamard Manifolds. Sept. 23, 2021. arXiv: 1908.09814 [math-ph]. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.09814 (visited on 09/15/2022).

REFERENCES

- [Gua] Pengfei Guan. Curvature Measures, Isoperimetric Type Inequalities and Fully Nonlinear Pdes.
- [Kle92] Bruce Kleiner. "An Isoperimetric Comparison Theorem". In: Inventiones Mathematicae 108.1 (1992), pp. 37–47. ISSN: 00209910. DOI: 10.1007/ BF02100598.
- [MS14] Sung Hong Min and Keomkyo Seo. "Optimal Isoperimetric Inequalities for Complete Proper Minimal Submanifolds in Hyperbolic Space". In: Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 694 (2014), pp. 203–214. ISSN: 14355345. DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2012-0119. arXiv: 1201.2732.
- [TW22] Chung-Jun Tsai and Kai-Hsiang Wang. "An Isoperimetric-Type Inequality for Spacelike Submanifold in the Minkowski Space". In: International Mathematics Research Notices 2022.1 (2022), pp. 128–139. ISSN: 1073-7928. DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnaa084.
- [Wei26] André Weil. "Sur Les Surfaces a Courbure Negative". In: *CR Acad. Sci. Paris* 182.2 (1926), pp. 1069–1071.
- [Yau75] Shing-Tung Yau. "Isoperimetric Constants and the First Eigenvalue of a Compact Riemannian Manifold". In: Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure 8.4 (1975), pp. 487–507. ISSN: 0012-9593. DOI: 10. 24033/asens.1299.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310058, CHINA Email address: liang.xu@zju.edu.cn