

SMOOTHNESS OF CONFORMAL HEAT FLOW OF HARMONIC MAPS

WOONGBAE PARK

ABSTRACT. The conformal heat flow for harmonic maps is a system of evolution equations combined with harmonic map flow with metric evolution in conformal direction. It is known that global weak solution of the flow exists and smooth except at mostly finitely many singular points. In this paper, we show that no finite time singularity occurs, unlike the usual harmonic map flow. And if the initial energy is small, we can obtain the uniform convergence of the map and the conformal factor. Also, under the assumption that energy concentration is uniform in time, we show that there exists a sequence of time $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $f(\cdot, t_n)$ converges to a harmonic map in C^1 on any compact set away from at most finitely many points.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following conformal heat flow (CHF) for harmonic maps. It is a solution (f, u) of a pair of equations

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} f_t = \tau_g(f) \\ u_t = b|df|_g^2 - a \end{cases}$$

where a, b are positive constants and $f : (M, g) \times [0, T] \rightarrow (N, h)$ is a time-dependent map from a Riemann surface (M, g) without boundary to a compact Riemannian manifold (N, h) , $u : (M, g) \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a conformal factor of the metric g given by $g(x, t) = e^{2u(x, t)}g_0(x)$, $\tau_g(f)$ is the tension field of f with respect to g and $|df|_g^2 = g^{ij}h_{\alpha\beta}f_i^\alpha f_j^\beta$ is the energy density in local coordinates. We put the initial condition $f(0) = f_0 : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ and $u(0) = 0$. If we embed $(N, h) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^L$ isometrically, the equation can be written with respect to g_0 by

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} f_t = e^{-2u}\tau_{g_0}(f) = e^{-2u}(\Delta f + A(f)(df, df)) \\ u_t = be^{-2u}|df|^2 - a. \end{cases}$$

We assume that there is a constant C_N which only depends on the embedding $(N, h) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^L$ such that $\|A\|_\infty, \|DA\|_\infty, \|D^2A\|_\infty \leq C_N$ and that $b > 2C_N^2 + C_N$.

The motivation of CHF for harmonic maps is introduced in [11]. It is designed to obtain a variation of harmonic map flow which has a smooth global solution. For a map $f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ between two Riemannian manifold, the harmonic map flow is a negative gradient flow of Dirichlet energy

$$E(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |df|_g^2 dvol_g$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58E20, 53E99, 53C43; Secondary 35K58.
Key words and phrases. harmonic maps, conformal heat flow, regularity, finite time bubbles.

where $dvol_g = \sqrt{|g|}dx$ is the volume form of M . It is known that the harmonic map heat flow exists and smooth under non-positive curvature condition of N by Eells-Sampson [5], and global weak solution was obtained by Struwe [17] where at mostly finitely many bubble points can occur. And it is known that such finite time singularity indeed occurs, by Chang-Ding-Ye [2] and recently generalized by Davila-Del Pino-Wei [3]. The further results, we refer to, e.g., Qing-Tian [14], Chang [7], Topping [20], [18], [19], Freire [6], Ling-Wang [8], and many others.

In terms of variation of harmonic map flow together with evolution of the metric, Topping-Rupflin [15] developed the so-called Teichmüller flow which is the negative L^2 gradient flow of the Dirichlet energy with respect to both the map and the metric. Müller [10] considered Ricci-harmonic map flow, a combination of harmonic map flow and Ricci flow of the metric, and Buzano-Rupflin [1] showed the long time existence under large coupling constant for 2 dimensional domain.

In a previous paper [11], we studied the CHF of harmonic maps (1) and established the following analog of Struwe's theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Park [11]). *There exists a weak solution $f \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(M \times [0, \infty), N)$, $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(M \times [0, \infty))$ of (1) that is smooth in $M \times [0, \infty)$ except at at most finitely many points.*

This paper improves Theorem 1.1 by studying the possible finite-time singularities, and showing that, in fact, no such singularities exist.

Theorem 1.2. *Any solution (f, u) of (1) is smooth on $M \times [0, \infty)$.*

To show this, we assume there is a finite time singularity and get a contradiction. By [11], the only singularity type is due to the energy concentration, unlike the Teichmüller flow. For a smooth solution (f, u) of (1) on $M \times [0, T]$, we say that the flow blows up at (x_0, T) if

$$(3) \quad |df|(x, t) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \nearrow T \text{ and } x \rightarrow x_0.$$

At each singularity (x_0, T) , we also have

$$(4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \nearrow T} \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |df|^2(t) \geq \varepsilon_1$$

for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. These singular points are called bubble points.

One can try to build bubbles by usual method which requires sequence of time $t_n \nearrow T$ and L^2 control of tension field $\|\tau(f)(t_n)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$, see Qing [13]. However, for all $t_1 < t_2 < T$, we only have weaker control

$$(5) \quad \iint_{M \times [t_1, t_2]} e^{-2u} |\tau(f)|^2 = E(t_1) - E(t_2)$$

and $e^{-2u}(x, t) \rightarrow 0$ if (x, t) approaches singularity. At this point, we even do not have $\|\tau(f)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C$. Thanks to the estimate in [11], however, we can overcome this difficulty and obtain that no finite time bubbling occurs.

For infinite time bubbling, the same issue exists. In the case of usual harmonic map flow, one can have $\|\tau(f)(t_n)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ for some time sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and using it to get bubbles at infinite time and show the energy identity. Again, this is not the case for CHF. For more general control of the tension field, Qing-Tian [14] and Ding-Tian [4] showed energy identity and no-neck property for $\|\tau(f_i)\|_{L^2} < C$. Wang [22] considered the case of $\|\tau(f_i)\|_{M^{1,\delta}} < C$ where $M^{1,\delta}$ is the Morrey space for some

$0 \leq \delta < 2$, and Wang-Wei-Zhang [23] showed the same results for $\|\tau(f_i)\|_{L^p} < C$ for some $p > 1$. Note that Parker [12] showed that $\|\tau(f_i)\|_{L^1} < C$ is not enough for a no-neck property.

On the other hand, for CHF, we cannot choose a sequence of time t_n such that $f(t_n)$ be a sequence of approximate harmonic maps due to the weaker control of tension field (5). But if the initial energy is small enough, one can even obtain uniform convergence as $t \rightarrow \infty$ similar to usual harmonic map flow, see Wang [21] or Lin [9].

Theorem 1.3. *There exists $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that if (f, u) be a solution of (1) with $E(f(0)) \leq \varepsilon_2$, then $f(t)$ converges to a point uniformly and $u(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ uniformly.*

Without small energy assumption, we can have the following sequential result at time infinity.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (f, u) be a solution of (1) and assume that all sequential bubble points are uniform bubble points. Then there exists $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $f(\cdot, t_n)$ converges to a harmonic map $f_\infty(\cdot)$ in $W^{1,2}$ on any compact set $K \subset M \setminus S$ where $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is the set of uniform bubble points.*

For the definition of sequential bubble points and uniform bubble points, see Definition 6.1. The assumption that all sequential bubble points are uniform bubble points says that the energy concentration is uniform in time. This assumption is needed to avoid the case when a bubble is traveling inside N forever and does not stop.

Throughout the paper, all the computations are obtained with respect to the metric g_0 unless the metric is specified.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this section we recall some facts about CHF for harmonic maps that are used later. Many of them are similar to those for harmonic map flow. Proofs not in here can be found in the references.

Lemma 2.1 (Park [11], Lemma 2). *Let (f, u) be a solution of (1) on $M \times [0, \infty)$. Then the volume with respect to the metric $g_t = e^{2u}g_0$ is finite for all time. Also, for any $t_1 < t_2$,*

$$(6) \quad E(t_1) - E(t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2.$$

Note that the energy over whole manifold M is decreasing. Hence we denote $E(t) \leq E(0) = E_0$.

Lemma 2.2 (Park [11], Proposition 14). *Let (f, u) be a solution of (1) on $M \times [0, T]$ and smooth on $M \times [0, T)$. Choose $t_1 < t_2 < T$ and some ball B_{2r} with cut-off function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_{2r})$ such that $|\nabla\varphi| \leq \frac{2}{r}$. Then*

$$(7) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{2r}} e^{2u} |f_t|^2 \varphi^2 + \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2 \varphi^2(t_2) - \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2 \varphi^2(t_1) \\ \leq \frac{4^2}{ar^2} (e^{2at_2} - e^{2at_1}) E_0.$$

In particular, for $r_1 < r_2$ and $t_1 < t_2 < T$,

$$(8) \quad E(B_{r_1}, t_2) - E(B_{r_2}, t_1) \leq C \frac{t_2 - t_1}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}$$

where C depends on E_0, T .

Lemma 2.3 (Qing [13], Prop 2.1.). *Let (f, u) be a smooth solution of (1) on $M \times [0, T]$. Let (x_0, T) be such that $f(x, t) \rightarrow f(x, T)$ in $W^{1,2}(B_\delta(x_0) \setminus \{x_0\})$. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(t)$$

exists.

Proof. This comes from Lemma 2.2, similar to Qing [13]. To see details, it is enough to show that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(t) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow T} \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(t).$$

Let $t_n, s_n \rightarrow T$ be sequences and m, M some constants such that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(t_n) = M + \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(T) \\ \liminf_{t \rightarrow T} \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(s_n) = m + \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(T). \end{aligned}$$

Then we need to show that $m = M$.

Now let $\lambda_n = \frac{\delta}{n}$. From strong convergence $u(x, t) \rightarrow u(x, T)$ on $B_\delta(x_0) \setminus B_{\lambda_n}(x_0)$, for each n there is k_n such that

$$\int_{B_\delta(x_0) \setminus B_{\lambda_n}(x_0)} \left| |df|^2(t_{k_n}) - |df|^2(T) \right| < \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{\lambda_n}(x_0)} |df|^2(T)$$

and that

$$\int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(t_{k_n}) - \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(T) \geq M - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{\lambda_n}(x_0)} |df|^2(T).$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_{B_{\lambda_n}(x_0)} |df|^2(t_{k_n}) \geq M.$$

For simplicity, rename $t_n = t_{k_n}$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $s_n \leq t_n \leq s_{n+1} \leq t_{n+1}$ by taking subsequences if needed. From (8), we have that for any $\rho > 0$,

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |df|^2(s_n) \geq \int_{B_{\lambda_n}(x_0)} |df|^2(t_n) - C \frac{t_n - s_n}{(\delta - \lambda_n)^2}$$

for all n large enough. By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |df|^2(s_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta(x_0) \setminus B_\rho(x_0)} |df|^2(s_n) + \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |df|^2(s_n) \\ &\geq M + \int_{B_\delta(x_0) \setminus B_\rho(x_0)} |df|^2(T) \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$, $m = M$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.4 (Park [11]). *Let (f, u) be a solution of (1) on $M \times [0, T]$ and smooth on $M \times [0, T]$. Then for each $x \in M$ there are constants r_0, δ_0 such that, for any $r \leq r_0, \delta \leq \delta_0$, and $t \leq T$,*

$$\iint_{B_{2r} \times [t - \delta r^2, t]} |df|^2 |f_t|^2 \leq C$$

where C depends on T, δ_0, r_0 and E_0 .

Proof. This can be obtained from Proposition 16, Equation (37) and Equation (40) in [11]. Note that we only assume (f, u) be smooth on $[0, T]$, so suitable modification is needed. Fix $T' < T, r_0$ and δ_0 . From Equation (40) in [11], if

$$(9) \quad \sup_{T' - 2\delta_0 r_1^2 \leq t \leq T'} E(B_{2r_1}, t) < E_1,$$

then for $t \in [T' - \delta_0 r_1^2, T']$,

$$(10) \quad \int_{B_{2r_1}} e^{2u} |f_t|^2 \varphi^2(t) \leq C(r_1, \delta_0, T) E_1$$

and

$$(11) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{2r_1}} e^{2u} |f_t|^2 \varphi^2 \leq C(r_1, \delta_0, T) E_1$$

for any $t_1 < t_2$ with $t_1, t_2 \in [T' - \delta_0 r_1^2, T']$. Also note that this result does not require E_1 be small, so we can choose $E_1 = E_0$ for now. Then (9) is satisfied. Next, from Equation (37) in [11], for any $t_1 < t_2$ with $t_1, t_2 \in [T' - \delta_0 r_1^2, T']$, if (9) holds, then

$$(12) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{2r_1}} |f_t|^2 \varphi^2 \leq C(r_1, \delta_0, T) E_0$$

by choosing $E_1 = E_0$ again.

Now from Proposition 16 in [11], with $p = 0$ and integrating from $t = t_1$ to $t = t_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2r_1}} e^{2u} |f_t|^2 \varphi^2(t_2) - \int_{B_{2r_1}} e^{2u} |f_t|^2 \varphi^2(t_1) &\leq C_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{2r_1}} e^{2u} |f_t|^2 + \frac{C_2}{r_1^2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{2r_1}} |f_t|^2 \\ &\quad + C_3 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{2r_1}} |df|^2 |f_t|^2 \varphi^2. \end{aligned}$$

where constants are given by

$$C_1 = 2a, C_2 = 8, C_3 = 2C_N + C_N^2 - 2b < 0$$

based on the definition of C_N and b . Recall that C_N is a constant only depending on embedding $(N, h) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^L$ such that $\|A\|_\infty, \|DA\|_\infty, \|D^2A\|_\infty \leq C_N$ and that $b > 2C_N^2 + C_N$.

Combining (10), (11) and (12), we get

$$\iint_{B_{2r_1} \times [t_1, t_2]} |df|^2 |f_t|^2 \varphi^2 \leq C(a, b, C_N, r_1, \delta_0, T) E_0$$

for any $t_1 < t_2$ with $t_1, t_2 \in [T' - \delta_0 r_1^2, T']$. Now set $r_0 = \frac{r_1}{2}$ and φ be a cut-off function supported on B_{2r_1} and $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_{2r_0} = B_{r_1}$. Then for any $r \leq r_0, \delta \leq \delta_0$ and for $t_1 = T' - \delta_0 r_1^2, t_2 = T'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{B_{2r} \times [T' - \delta r^2, T']} |df|^2 |f_t|^2 &\leq \iint_{B_{2r_0} \times [T' - \delta_0 r_0^2, T']} |df|^2 |f_t|^2 \\ &\leq \iint_{B_{2r_1} \times [t_1, t_2]} |df|^2 |f_t|^2 \varphi^2 \leq C(a, b, C_N, r_1, \delta_0, T) E_0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the LHS is uniformly bounded by $C(a, b, C_N, r_1, \delta_0, T) E_0$, so we can take $T' \rightarrow T$ and the proof is complete. \square

Proposition 2.5 (Park [11], Lemma 25). *There is $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that if (f, u) be a smooth solution of (1) on $B_{2r} \times [T - \delta r^2, T]$ satisfying, for all r small enough,*

$$\sup_{t \in [T - \delta r^2, T]} E(B_{2r}, t) \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{2r} \times \{T - \delta r^2\}} e^{18u} \leq \varepsilon_1,$$

then Hölder norms of f and u on $B_r \times [T - \delta r^2, T]$ and their derivatives are all bounded by constants only depending on $T, \delta, r, \varepsilon_1$ and C_N .

Note that the condition $\int e^{18u} \leq \varepsilon_1$ can be achieved if we choose r small enough, because the integral is only computed at initial time. This means that any singularity of CHF is not due to the geometric evolution but only due to the energy concentration as usual harmonic map flow. So this proposition validates blow up condition (3).

The last lemma we need is so-called energy gap property of harmonic maps.

Lemma 2.6 (Parker [12] or Sacks-Uhlenbeck [16]). *There is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a nontrivial harmonic maps, then $E(f) \geq \varepsilon_0$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Now we prove the main theorem. We assume there is a finite time blow up at (x_0, T) . Since blow up is a local nature, we only focus on some small ball B centered at the bubble point x_0 . Now let (f, u) be a smooth solution of CHF on $B \times [0, T]$ which blows up at (x_0, T) . The blow up assumption implies

$$(13) \quad \lim_{t \nearrow T} \frac{1}{2} \int_B |df|^2(t) = K + \frac{1}{2} \int_B |df|^2(T)$$

where $K > 0$ is the energy loss at (x_0, T) . Equivalently, K can be obtained by

$$K = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \nearrow T} \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |df|^2(t).$$

From (4), $K \geq \varepsilon_1$.

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(B)$ be a cut-off function supported in $B_r = B_r(x_0)$ such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_{r/2}$ and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{r}$. For each $t \in [0, T)$, as in [19], define the local energy

$$(14) \quad \Theta_r(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_r} \varphi^2 |df(t)|^2(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\Theta}{dt} &= \int_{B_r} \varphi^2 \langle df(t), df_t(t) \rangle \\
&= - \int_{B_r} \varphi^2 \langle \Delta f(t), f_t \rangle - 2 \int_{B_r} \varphi \langle df(t), \nabla \varphi \cdot f_t \rangle \\
&= - \int_{B_r} \varphi^2 e^{2u} |f_t|^2 - 2 \int_{B_r} \varphi \langle df(t), \nabla \varphi \cdot f_t \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{C}{r} \int_{B_r} |df| |f_t| \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{B_r} |df|^2 |f_t|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad \Theta_r(t) - \Theta_r(s) &\leq C \int_s^t \left(\int_{B_r} |df|^2 |f_t|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_s^t \int_{B_r} |df|^2 |f_t|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.4. Hence $\Theta_r(t)$ has a limit as $t \nearrow T$ and we have

$$K = \lim_{t \nearrow T} \frac{1}{2} \int_B |df|^2(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_B |df|^2(T) = \lim_{t \nearrow T} \Theta_r(t) - \Theta_r(T)$$

for any r . So, take $t \nearrow T$ in (15) to get

$$(16) \quad |K + \Theta_r(T) - \Theta_r(s)| \leq C(T-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any r and $s < T$.

Now fix $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(17) \quad \delta < \max\left\{\frac{1}{C}, \frac{K}{2}\right\}$$

where C, K are from (16). Fix $s = T - \delta^4$ and choose ε small enough such that $|\Theta_{\varepsilon r}(T)| < \frac{K}{10}$ and $|\Theta_{\varepsilon r}(s)| < \frac{K}{10}$. Then we can replace r by εr in (16) to get

$$\frac{8K}{10} < C(T-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C\delta^2 < \delta < \frac{K}{2}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence there is no finite time bubbling and the Theorem 1.2 is proved.

4. INFINITE TIME ANALYSIS

Harmonic map flows may develop finite time bubbling or infinite time bubbling. And for infinite time bubbling, the limit map becomes harmonic and there is a sequence of time $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that the tension fields at t_n tends to zero in L^2 . Hence, unlike finite time bubbling, no-neck properties can be obtained in infinite time bubbling. (Note that energy identity can be obtained in both cases.) This observation leads to the finite time bubbling example, if the initial map is in the homotopy class that contains harmonic spheres far from each other.

Now if we run the CHF, since no finite time bubbling occurs, there should be infinite time bubbling. But we even do not know whether the limit map is harmonic. In fact, we can find sequences $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$t_n \int_M e^{-2u} |\tau(f)|^2(t_n) \rightarrow 0$$

which is not strong enough so that we cannot guarantee that $\|\tau(f)(t_n)\|_{L^2}$ converges to 0. Instead, we consider local behavior and get the limit harmonic map which is the limit of f on every compact set away from at most finitely many points.

In this section, we first state several important lemmas that are similar to those in [11] but over the whole domain manifold M .

Lemma 4.1. *Let (f, u) be a solution of CHF on $M \times [0, \infty)$. For $p \geq 0$, we have*

$$(18) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} \leq 2a(p+1) \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} - \frac{p+2}{2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t|^p \\ + ((p+2)C_N + 2(p+2)C_N^2 - 2b(p+1)) \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^{p+2}.$$

Proof. Taking time-derivative of the first equation in (2) to get

$$(e^{2u} f_t)_t = \Delta f_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(df, df).$$

Taking inner product with $f_t |f_t|^p$ and integrating over M gives

$$\int_M \langle (e^{2u} f_t)_t, f_t |f_t|^p \rangle = \int_M \langle \Delta f_t, f_t |f_t|^p \rangle + \int_M \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(df, df), f_t |f_t|^p \rangle \\ = - \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t|^p - p \int_M (\langle \nabla f_t, f_t \rangle)^2 |f_t|^{p-2} \\ + \int_M \langle DA(df, df) \cdot f_t, f_t \rangle |f_t|^p + 2 \int_M \langle DA(df, df_t), f_t |f_t|^p \rangle \\ \leq - \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t|^p + C_N \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^{p+2} + 2C_N \int_M |df| |\nabla f_t| |f_t|^{p+1} \\ \leq - \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t|^p + (C_N + 2C_N^2) \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^{p+2}.$$

On the other hand, LHS becomes

$$\int_M \langle (e^{2u} f_t)_t, f_t |f_t|^p \rangle = \frac{1}{p+2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} + 2 \frac{p+1}{p+2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} u_t \\ = \frac{1}{p+2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} + 2b \frac{p+1}{p+2} \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^{p+2} \\ - 2a \frac{p+1}{p+2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2}.$$

Combining the two, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} \leq 2a(p+1) \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} - \frac{p+2}{2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t|^p \\ + ((p+2)C_N + 2(p+2)C_N^2 - 2b(p+1)) \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^{p+2}.$$

□

Now from the condition of $b > 2C_N^2 + C_N$,

$$-C_4(p) = (p+2)C_N + 2(p+2)C_N^2 - 2b(p+1) < 0$$

for all $p \geq 0$. So,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} \leq 2a(p+1) \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2}$$

and for any $t_1 < t_2$, we get

$$(19) \quad \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2}(t_2) - \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2}(t_1) \leq 2a(p+1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2}.$$

In particular, if we let $p = 0$, we have

$$(20) \quad \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_2) - \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_1) \leq 2a \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2 = 2a(E(t_1) - E(t_2)).$$

Suppose

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2 = m_{sup} > m_{inf} = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2.$$

Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{m_{sup} - m_{inf}}{2}$, we can choose sequences $\{s_n\}, \{t_n\}$ such that $s_n, t_n \rightarrow \infty$, $s_n < t_n$, and that

$$\int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_n) > m_{sup} - \varepsilon, \quad \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(s_n) < m_{inf} + \varepsilon.$$

But this contradicts to (20) because

$$0 < m_{sup} - m_{inf} - 2\varepsilon < \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_n) - \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(s_n) \leq 2a(E(s_n) - E(t_n)) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2$ exists, and from $\int_0^\infty \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2 = 2a(E(0) - E(\infty)) < \infty$, we get

$$(21) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t) = 0.$$

Now integrating (18) for $p = 0$ from t_1 to t_2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} C_4(0) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^2 + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 + \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_2) - \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_1) \\ \leq 2a \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2 = 2a(E(t_1) - E(t_2)). \end{aligned}$$

From this, we can get

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^2, \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 \leq C(E(t_1) - E(t_2)) + C \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_1) \\ \leq C(E(0)) \quad \text{if } t_1 \text{ is large enough,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^2, \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 = 0.$$

Next, we need the following higher estimate.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (f, u) be a solution of CHF on $M \times [0, \infty)$. For $p \geq 1$ and $t_1 < t_2$, we have*

$$(23) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} \leq C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t|^{p-1} + C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^{p+1}$$

where C only depends on p .

Proof. From the first equation in (2), taking inner product with $f_t |f_t|^p$ and integrating over $M \times [t_1, t_2]$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{M \times [t_1, t_2]} e^{2u} |f_t|^{p+2} &= \iint_{M \times [t_1, t_2]} \langle f_t, \Delta f \rangle |f_t|^p \\ &= - \iint_{M \times [t_1, t_2]} \langle \nabla f_t, \nabla f \rangle |f_t|^p \\ &\quad - p \iint_{M \times [t_1, t_2]} \langle f_t, \nabla f \rangle |f_t|^{p-2} \langle f_t, \nabla f_t \rangle \\ &\leq C \iint_{M \times [t_1, t_2]} |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t|^{p-1} + C \iint_{M \times [t_1, t_2]} |df|^2 |f_t|^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

From (22) and Lemma 4.2 with $p = 1$, we get

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^3 &\leq C(E(t_1) - E(t_2)) + C \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_1) \\ &\leq C(E(0)) \quad \text{if } t_1 \text{ is large enough,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^3 = 0.$$

Similar to (21), from (19) with $p = 1$, we get

$$(25) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^3(t) = 0.$$

We keep repeating this procedure. Integrating (18) for $p = 1$ from t_1 to t_2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} C_4(1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^3 &+ \frac{3}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t| + \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^3(t_2) - \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^3(t_1) \\ &\leq 4a \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^3 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^3, \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t| &\leq C(E(t_1) - E(t_2)) + C \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_1) \\ &\quad + C \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^3(t_1) \\ &\leq C(E(0)) \quad \text{if } t_1 \text{ is large enough,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |df|^2 |f_t|^3, \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M |\nabla f_t|^2 |f_t| = 0.$$

From (26) and Lemma 4.2 with $p = 2$, we get

(27)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 &\leq C(E(t_1) - E(t_2)) + C \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^2(t_1) + C \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^3(t_1) \\ &\leq C(E(0)) \quad \text{if } t_1 \text{ is large enough,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 = 0.$$

As above, from (19) with $p = 2$, we get

$$(28) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) = 0.$$

We can keep going on and obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^p(t) = 0$ for any $p \geq 2$, but not needed in here.

In fact, we can show a better control. There exists $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(29) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t_n) = 0.$$

If not, then there is $C_0 > 0$ and t_0 such that for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$t \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \geq C_0.$$

But then, for any $t_0 < t_1 < t_2$, by (27),

$$C(E(0)) \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 \geq C_0 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{t} = C_0(\log t_2 - \log t_1) \rightarrow \infty$$

if we take $t_2 \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts to finite energy assumption, hence proves (28).

5. SMALL ENERGY CASE - PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section we consider the case when $E(0) \leq \varepsilon_2$ where $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ will be determined later. Since the energy is decreasing, we have $E(t) \leq \varepsilon_2$ for all t .

We first state several useful lemmas, most of them are similar to [11]. Fix B_{2r} and φ be a cut-off function supported on B_{2r} such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_{\frac{3r}{2}}$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq \frac{4}{r}$.

Lemma 5.1. [Park [11], Lemma 21.] *Let (f, u) be a solution of (2). For any $s < t$, $p > 2$ and for any $q > 0$,*

$$(30) \quad \int_{B_{2r}} e^{pu} \varphi^q(t) \leq \int_{B_{2r}} e^{pu} \varphi^q(s) + \frac{2b^2(p-2)}{pa} \int_s^t \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^p \varphi^q,$$

$$(31) \quad \int_M e^{pu}(t) \leq \int_M e^{pu}(s) + \frac{2b^2(p-2)}{pa} \int_s^t \int_M |df|^p.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let f be a smooth function on M . Then for any $p > 2$,*

$$(32) \quad \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^p \varphi^{\frac{2p}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2p}} \leq C \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^{\frac{4p}{p+6}} \right)^{\frac{p+6}{4p}}$$

where C only depends on r and p , and

$$(33) \quad \left(\int_M |df|^p \right)^{\frac{3}{2p}} \leq C \left(\int_M |df|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^{\frac{4p}{p+6}} \right)^{\frac{p+6}{4p}}$$

where C only depends on M and p .

Proof. By Sobolev embedding, for $s = \frac{2p}{p+3}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^p \varphi^{\frac{2p}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2p}} &= \| |df|^{\frac{3}{2}} \varphi \|_{L^{\frac{2p}{3}}(B_{2r})} \\
&\leq C \| \nabla (|df|^{\frac{3}{2}} \varphi) \|_{L^s(B_{2r})} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^{\frac{3s}{2}} |\nabla df|^s \varphi^s + |df|^{\frac{3s}{2}} |\nabla \varphi|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^{\frac{4s}{4-s}} \right)^{\frac{4-s}{4s}} \\
&= C \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^{\frac{4p}{p+6}} \right)^{\frac{p+6}{4p}}
\end{aligned}$$

where C only depends on r and p . The other inequality can be proved similarly. \square

The following lemma is a version of Gronwall's inequality.

Lemma 5.3. (*Gronwall's inequality*) Let $\xi(t)$ be a nonnegative integrable function on $[T_0, T]$ which satisfies

$$(34) \quad \xi(t) \leq C_1(t) \int_{T_0}^t \xi(s) ds + C_2(t)$$

for integrable functions $C_1(t), C_2(t)$ with $C_1(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [T_0, T]$. Then

$$(35) \quad \xi(t) \leq C_2(t) + C_1(t) e^{\int_{T_0}^t C_1(s) ds} \int_{T_0}^t C_2(s) ds.$$

Proof. Let $\eta(t) = \int_{T_0}^t \xi(s) ds$. Then (34) becomes

$$(36) \quad \eta'(t) \leq C_1(t) \eta(t) + C_2(t).$$

Note that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\eta(t) e^{-\int_{T_0}^t C_1(s) ds} \right) = e^{-\int_{T_0}^t C_1(s) ds} (\eta'(t) - C_1(t) \eta(t)) \leq e^{-\int_{T_0}^t C_1(s) ds} C_2(t).$$

Hence

$$\eta(t) e^{-\int_{T_0}^t C_1(s) ds} \leq \eta(T_0) + \int_{T_0}^t e^{-\int_{T_0}^s C_1(r) dr} C_2(s) ds \leq \int_{T_0}^t C_2(s) ds$$

Now from (34),

$$\xi(t) \leq C_1(t) \eta(t) + C_2(t) \leq C_2(t) + C_1(t) e^{\int_{T_0}^t C_1(s) ds} \int_{T_0}^t C_2(s) ds$$

which completes the proof. \square

Now we show the following $W^{2,2}$ estimate.

Proposition 5.4. *There exists $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that the following holds. Let (f, u) be a solution of (2) with $E(0) \leq \varepsilon_2$. Then*

$$(37) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M |\nabla df|^2(t) = 0.$$

Proof. From the equation $\Delta f + A(df, df) = e^{2u} f_t$, we get

$$\int_M |\Delta f|^2(t) \leq C_N \int_M |df|^4(t) + C \int_M e^{4u} |f_t|^2(t).$$

By interpolation,

$$\int_M |df|^4(t) \leq C \int_M |df|^2(t) \int_M |\nabla df|^2(t) \leq CE(t) \int_M |\nabla df|^2(t).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.1 with $s < t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M e^{4u} |f_t|^2(t) &\leq \left(\int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M e^{6u}(t) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M e^{6u}(s) + C \int_s^t \int_M |df|^6 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.2 with $p = 6$, we have

$$\int_M |df|^6(t) \leq C \left(\int_M |df|^2(t) \right) \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^2(t) \right)^2.$$

By L^2 estimate with above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - CC_N^2 E(t)^2) \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^2(t) \right)^2 &\leq C \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_M e^{6u}(s) + E(0) \int_s^t \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^2 \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now choose ε_2 such that $1 - CC_N^2 \varepsilon_2^2 > \frac{1}{2}$, then for all $s < t$, we can apply Lemma 5.3. Choose $s = 0$ and denote

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^2(t) \right)^2 \\ C_1(t) &= 2CE(0) \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 \\ C_2(t) &= 2C|M| \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4. \end{aligned}$$

Then the above inequality becomes

$$X(t) \leq C_1(t) \int_0^t X(s) ds + C_2(t)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, hence by Lemma 5.3 and (27),

$$\begin{aligned} (38) \quad X(t) &\leq C_2(t) + C_1(t) e^{\int_0^t C_1(s) ds} \int_0^t C_2(s) ds \\ &= C(|M|, E(0)) \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by (28). This completes the proof. \square

Note that we also have

$$(39) \quad \int_0^t \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^2(t) \right)^2 \leq C(|M|, E(0)) \int_0^t \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 < \infty$$

by (27).

By Sobolev embedding, we can therefore obtain

$$(40) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M |df|^p(t) = 0$$

for any $p > 1$.

Next, we derive the following $W^{2,3}$ convergence.

Proposition 5.5. *Under the same assumption of Proposition 5.4,*

$$(41) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M |\nabla df|^3(t) = 0.$$

Proof. From the equation $\Delta f + A(df, df) = e^{2u} f_t$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\Delta f|^3(t) &\leq C_N \int_M |df|^6(t) + C \int_M e^{6u} |f_t|^3(t) \\ &\leq C_N \int_M |df|^6(t) + C \left(\int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \right)^{3/4} \left(\int_M e^{18u}(t) \right)^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1,

$$\int_M e^{18u}(t) \leq \int_M e^{18u}(0) + C \int_0^t \int_M |df|^{18}.$$

By Lemma 5.2 with $p = 18$, we have

$$\int_M |df|^{18}(t) \leq C \left(\int_M |df|^2(t) \right)^3 \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^3 \right)^4.$$

By L^3 estimate with above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^3(t) \right)^4 &\leq C C_N^4 \left(\int_M |df|^6(t) \right)^4 \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \right)^3 \left(|M| + C E(0)^3 \int_0^t \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^3 \right)^4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

As above, we can apply Lemma 5.3 with

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^3(t) \right)^4 \\ C_1(t) &= C E(0)^3 \left(\int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \right)^3 \\ C_2(t) &= C |M| \left(\int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \right)^3 + C C_N^4 \left(\int_M |df|^6(t) \right)^4. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$X(t) \leq C_1(t) \int_0^t X(s) ds + C_2(t)$$

so by Lemma 5.3,

$$X(t) \leq C_2(t) + C_1(t) e^{\int_0^t C_1(s) ds} \int_0^t C_2(s) ds.$$

From (28), $m_1 = \sup_{[0,t]} \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 < \infty$ is independent on t . Then

$$\int_0^t C_1(s) ds \leq CE(0)^3 m_1^2 \int_0^t \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 \leq CE(0)^3 m_1^2 C(E(0)) < \infty$$

by (27). Also, from (40) with $p = 6$, $m_2 = \sup_{[0,t]} \int_M |df|^6 < \infty$ is independent on t . Then from Lemma 5.2 with $p = 6$, we have

$$\int_0^t \int_M |df|^6 \leq CE(0) \int_0^t \left(\int_M |\nabla df|^2 \right)^2 < \infty$$

by (39) and hence

$$\int_0^t C_2(s) ds \leq C|M|m_1^2 C(E(0)) + CC_N^4 m_2^3 \int_0^t \int_M |df|^6 < \infty.$$

Therefore, we get

$$X(t) \leq CC_1(t) + CC_2(t) \rightarrow 0$$

by (28) and (40). This completes the proof. \square

By Sobolev embedding, we now have

$$(42) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|df\|_{L^\infty}(t) = 0$$

hence f converges to a trivial solution uniformly.

To show convergence of u , note that for all $t_0 < t$,

$$e^{2u}(t) = e^{-2a(t-t_0)} \left(e^{2u}(t_0) + 2b \int_{t_0}^t e^{2a(s-t_0)} |df|^2(s) ds \right).$$

Since $|df| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $T > 0$ such that $|df|(t) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $t \geq T$. Then for $t_0 = T$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < e^{2u}(t) &\leq e^{-2a(t-T)} e^{2u}(T) + 2be^{-2at} \int_T^t e^{2as} \varepsilon^2 ds \\ &= e^{-2a(t-T)} e^{2u}(T) + \frac{b}{a} \varepsilon^2 \left(1 - e^{-2a(t-T)} \right) \\ &\leq 2 \frac{b}{a} \varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all t large enough. This shows $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{2u}(t) = 0$, or $u(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

6. LARGE ENERGY CASE - PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

In this section we deal with the case where $E(0) > \varepsilon_2$. Note that if $E(T) \leq \varepsilon_2$ for some $T > 0$, then we can consider $f(T)$ as the initial map and T be the initial time and apply Theorem 1.3 to get the uniform convergence. So, in this section we essentially consider the case $E(t) > \varepsilon_2$ for all t .

We consider a small ball B_{2r} where the energy over the ball is less than ε_2 for all $t \geq T$ for some T . Due to the fact that e^{2u} involves time integral, this assumption for all $t \geq T$ is needed. Then we get the local L^2 bound for the tension field, but due to the extra term from cut-off function, this bound is only under the time sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ defined on (29). By classical bubbling analysis, we can get a strong $W^{1,2}$ convergence of $f(t_n)$.

Let us describe the situation more precisely.

Definition 6.1. We say $x_0 \in M$ be a sequential bubble point (at time infinite) if there exists $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(43) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |df|^2(s_n) \geq \varepsilon_2.$$

We say $x_0 \in M$ be a uniform bubble point (at time infinite) if

$$(44) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |df|^2(t) \geq \varepsilon_2.$$

We say $x_0 \in M$ be a regular point (at time infinite) if

$$(45) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |df|^2(t) \leq \varepsilon_2$$

for all $r > 0$ small enough.

Now in each ball centered at a regular point, we get $W^{1,2}$ convergence of $f(t_n)$. Since the total energy is finite, the number of uniform bubble point is at most finite. But we do not know how large the set of sequential bubble points is. For example, we can consider a bubble turning around N forever within circular trajectory, in which case all points on the trajectory are sequential bubble points.

The following $W^{2,2}$ convergence is similar to Proposition 5.4.

Proposition 6.2. *Let (f, u) be a solution of (2) and t_n be as in (29). There exists $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that if*

$$\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2(t) \leq \varepsilon_2,$$

for all $t \geq T$ for some T , then for all n large enough,

$$(46) \quad \int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^2(t_n) \leq C_3\varepsilon_2$$

for some constant C_2 only depends on r and $E(0)$.

Proof. From the equation $\Delta f + A(df, df) = e^{2u} f_t$, we get

$$\int_{B_{2r}} |\Delta(f\varphi)|^2(t) \leq C_N \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^4 \varphi^2(t) + \int_{B_{2r}} e^{4u} |f_t|^2 \varphi^2(t) + C(\varphi) \int_{B_{2r}} (f^2 + |df|^2)(t).$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\int_{B_{2r}} f = 0$ and hence $\int_{B_{2r}} f^p \leq C \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^p$ for any $p \geq 1$. By Sobolev embedding, for all $t \geq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^4 \varphi^2(t) &\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla(|df|^2 \varphi)|^2(t) \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |df| |\nabla df| \varphi(t) + \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2 |\nabla \varphi|(t) \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2(t) \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^2(t) \right) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_2 \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^2(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2r}} e^{4u} |f_t|^2 \varphi^2(t) &\leq \left(\int_{B_{2r}} e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} e^{6u}(t) \varphi^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} e^{6u} \varphi^4(T) + C \int_T^t \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^6 \varphi^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.2 with $p = 6$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2r}} |df|^6 \varphi^4(t) &\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2(t) \right) \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^2(t) \right)^2 \\ &\leq CE(0) \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^2(t) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By L^2 estimate with above inequalities, we get for all $t \geq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^2(t) \right)^2 &\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\Delta(f\varphi)|^2(t) \right)^2 \\ &\leq CC_N^2 \varepsilon_2^2 \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^2(t) \right)^2 + C(\varphi) \varepsilon_2^2 \\ &\quad + C \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4(t) \left(\int_{B_{2r}} e^{6u} \varphi^4(T) + E(0) \int_T^t \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^2(s) \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

If ε_2 is small enough so that $1 - CC_N^2 \varepsilon_2^2 > \frac{1}{2}$, then we can apply Lemma 5.3. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla^2(f\varphi)|^2(t) \right)^2 \\ C_1(t) &= 2CE(0) \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 \end{aligned}$$

and C_2 be a constant such that

$$2C \int_{B_{2r}} e^{6u} \varphi^4(T) \int_M e^{2u} |f_t|^4 + 2C(\varphi) \varepsilon_2^2 \leq C_2 \varepsilon_2^2.$$

Then the above inequality becomes

$$X(t) \leq C_1(t) \int_T^t X(s) ds + C_2 \varepsilon_2^2$$

hence by Lemma 5.3, (27), and (29),

$$\begin{aligned} (47) \quad X(t_n) &\leq C_2 \varepsilon_2^2 + C_1(t_n) e^{\int_T^{t_n} C_1(s) ds} \int_0^{t_n} C_2 \varepsilon_2^2 ds \\ &= C_2 \varepsilon_2^2 + t_n C_1(t_n) C_2 \varepsilon_2^2 e^{\int_0^{t_n} C_1(s) ds} \\ &\leq 2C_2 \varepsilon_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all n large enough. This completes the proof. \square

By Sobolev embedding, we can also obtain

$$(48) \quad \int_{B_r} |df|^p(t_n) \leq C \varepsilon_2$$

for any $p > 1$ and for all n large enough.

Hence, we get the uniform L^2 bound for the tension field

$$(49) \quad \|\tau(f)(t_n)\|_{L^2(B_r)}^2 = \int_{B_r} |\Delta f|^2(t_n) + C_N \int_{B_r} |df|^4(t_n) \leq C\varepsilon_2$$

for all n large enough, provided $\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2(t) \leq \varepsilon_2$ for all $t \geq T$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. For fixed $r > 0$, cover M by $2r$ -balls where those of half radius also cover M and each point in M is within at most 10 balls. Let $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ be a sequence of time defined in (29). For each time $t = t_n$, there are at most $10E(0)/\varepsilon_2$ balls with energy greater than ε_2 . After passing subsequence, still denoted by t_n , we may assume the center points of these balls converge. Let $\Omega(r)$ be the union of those limit balls and $K(r) = M \setminus \Omega(r)$. We claim that $K(r)$ only consists of regular points. Because if there is a sequential bubble point $x \in K(r)$, by our assumption, x is a uniform bubble point which contradicts to the fact that $\int_{B_{2r}(x)} |df|^2(t_n) \leq \varepsilon_2$.

Now on every ball $B_{2r} \subset K(r)$, we can apply classical bubbling analysis for sequence of approximate harmonic maps with uniformly bounded tension fields in L^2 to obtain strong $W^{1,2}$ convergence of $f(t_n)$ whose limit map $f_\infty : K(r) \rightarrow N$ is harmonic. Note that since $\int_{B_{2r}} |df|^2(t) \leq \varepsilon_2$, there is no energy concentration on B_{2r} .

Next, consider a sequence $r_i \rightarrow 0$ and taking diagonal subsequence, still denoted by $f(t_n)$. Then $\cup_i K(r_i) = M \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ for at most finitely many points x_1, \dots, x_k . Note that these points are uniform bubble points. And, we can extend the harmonic map f_∞ to whole manifold M . Then for any compact set $K \subset M \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$, f_n converges to f_∞ in $W^{1,2}$ on K . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4, \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank to Thomas Parker for valuable comments and simplifications of the argument. The author also thanks to the anonymous referee for his careful reading and crucial suggestions to the previous version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Buzano and M. Rupflin. Smooth long-time existence of Harmonic Ricci Flow on surfaces. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 95(1):277–304, 2017.
- [2] K.-C. Chang, W. Y. Ding, and R. Ye. Finite-time blow-up of the heat flow of harmonic maps from surfaces. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 36(2):507–515, 1992.
- [3] J. Dávila, M. Del Pino, and J. Wei. Singularity formation for the two-dimensional harmonic map flow into S^2 . *Inventiones mathematicae*, 219(2):345–466, 2020.
- [4] W. Y. Ding and G. Tian. Energy identity for a class of approximate harmonic maps from surfaces. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 3:543–554, 1995.
- [5] J. Eells and L. Lemaire. A Report on Harmonic Maps. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 10(1):1–68, 1978.
- [6] A. Freire. Uniqueness for the harmonic map flow in two dimensions. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 3(1):95–105, 1995.
- [7] C. Kung-Ching. Heat flow and boundary value problem for harmonic maps. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire*, volume 6, pages 363–395. Elsevier, 1989.
- [8] F. Lin and C. Wang. Energy identity of harmonic map flows from surfaces at finite singular time. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 6(4):369–380, May 1998.
- [9] L. Lin. Uniformity of harmonic map heat flow at infinite time. *Anal. PDE*, 6(8):1899–1921, 2013.

- [10] R. Müller. Ricci flow coupled with harmonic map flow. In *Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale supérieure*, volume 45, pages 101–142, 2012.
- [11] W. Park. A new conformal heat flow of harmonic maps. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 33(12):Paper No. 376, 36, 2023.
- [12] T. H. Parker. Bubble tree convergence for harmonic maps. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 44(3):595 – 633, 1996.
- [13] J. Qing. On singularities of the heat flow for harmonic maps from surfaces into spheres. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 3(2):297–315, 1995.
- [14] J. Qing and G. Tian. Bubbling of the heat flows for harmonic maps from surfaces. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences*, 50(4):295–310, 1997.
- [15] M. Rupflin and P. M. Topping. Teichmüller harmonic map flow into nonpositively curved targets. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 108(1):135–184, 2018.
- [16] J. Sacks and K. Uhlenbeck. The Existence of Minimal Immersions of 2-Spheres. *Annals of Mathematics*, 113(1):1–24, 1981.
- [17] M. Struwe. On the evolution of harmonic mappings of Riemannian surfaces. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 60(1):558–581, Dec 1985.
- [18] P. Topping. Reverse bubbling and nonuniqueness in the harmonic map flow. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2002(10):505–520, 2002.
- [19] P. Topping. Winding behaviour of finite-time singularities of the harmonic map heat flow. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 247(2):279–302, 2004.
- [20] P. M. Topping. Rigidity in the harmonic map heat flow. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 45(3):593–610, 1997.
- [21] C. Wang. A remark on harmonic map flows from surfaces. *Differential Integral Equations*, 12(2):161–166, 1999.
- [22] C. Wang. Remarks on approximate harmonic maps in dimension two. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 56:1–24, 2017.
- [23] W. Wang, D. Wei, and Z. Zhang. Energy identity for approximate harmonic maps from surfaces to general targets. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 272(2):776–803, 2017.

304H CARNEGIE BUILDING, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NEW YORK, 13244

Email address: `wpark06@syr.edu`