

# Cohesive Powers of Structures\*

Valentina Harizanov  
Department of Mathematics  
The George Washington University  
Washington, DC 20052, USA  
harizanv@gwu.edu

Keshav Srinivasan  
Department of Mathematics  
The George Washington University  
Washington, DC 20052, USA  
ksrinivasan@gwu.edu

April 10, 2023

## Abstract

A cohesive power of a structure is an effective analog of the classical ultrapower of a structure. We start with a computable structure, and consider its countable ultrapower over a cohesive set of natural numbers. A cohesive set is an infinite set of natural numbers that is indecomposable with respect to computably enumerable sets. It plays the role of an ultrafilter, and the elements of a cohesive power are the equivalence classes of certain partial computable functions. Thus, unlike many classical ultrapowers, a cohesive power is a countable structure. In this paper we focus on cohesive powers of graphs, equivalence structures, and computable structures with a single unary function satisfying various properties, which can also be viewed as directed graphs. For these computable structures, we investigate the isomorphism types of their cohesive powers, as well as the properties of cohesive powers when they are not isomorphic to the original structure.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 03C57, Secondary 03D45.

Key words and phrases: cohesive power, computable structure, graph, equivalence structure, partial injection structure, two-to-one structure.

---

\*Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge support of FRG NSF grant DMS-2152095.

# 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

We consider a computability-theoretic product construction for structures. We start with a uniformly computable sequence of structures for the same computable language, and in their Cartesian product consider partial computable sequences modulo a fixed cohesive set of natural numbers. A cohesive set is an infinite set of natural numbers, which is indecomposable with respect to computably enumerable sets. In this paper we focus on effective products that are powers of a single computable structure. Some cohesive sets are the complements of maximal sets. Co-maximal powers arose naturally in the study of the automorphisms of the lattice of computably enumerable vector spaces. In particular, Dimitrov [8] used cohesive powers of fields to characterize principal filters of quasimaximal vector spaces. He later introduced in [7] the notion of a cohesive power of a computable structure in general. A cohesive power construction produces a countable structure because the elements are represented by partial computable functions. In some cases partial computable functions can be replaced by computable functions.

The motivation for cohesive powers dates back to Skolem's construction of a countable non-standard model of arithmetic (see [10]) where, instead of building a structure from all functions on natural numbers, he used only arithmetical functions. Skolem's idea was further developed in the study of models of fragments of arithmetic by Feferman, Scott and Tennenbaum [15], Lerman [20] Hirschfeld and Wheeler [18, 19] and McLaughlin [22, 23, 24]. In [25], Nelson investigated recursive saturation of effective ultraproducts.

Cohesive power construction allows us to obtain countable models with interesting properties. A cohesive power of a structure  $\mathcal{A}$  may not be elementarily equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Dimitrov established a restricted version of Loś's theorem for cohesive powers. However, additional decidability on the structure plays a significant role in increasing satisfiability of the same sentences in the ultrapower.

Recall the following notions from computability theory. The complement of a set  $X \subseteq \omega$  is denoted by  $\overline{X}$ . We write  $\subseteq^*$  for inclusion up to finitely many elements. By c.e. we abbreviate computably enumerable. An infinite set  $C \subseteq \omega$  is *cohesive* if for every c.e. set  $W$ , either  $W \cap C$  or  $\overline{W} \cap C$  is finite. If  $W \cap C$  is infinite, then  $C \subseteq^* W$ , and if  $\overline{W} \cap C$  is infinite, then  $C \subseteq^* \overline{W}$ . Clearly, an infinite subset of a cohesive set is cohesive. It follows that if a cohesive set  $C$  is contained in the union of finitely many c.e. sets, up to finitely many elements, then it is contained in one of them, up to finitely many elements. That is, because  $C$  must have an infinite intersection with at least one of the finitely many c.e. sets in the union. It can be shown that every infinite set of natural numbers has a cohesive subset. Hence there are continuum many cohesive sets. Some cohesive sets are complements of c.e. sets. A set  $E \subseteq \omega$  is *maximal* iff  $E$  is c.e. and  $\overline{E}$  is cohesive.

If  $L$  is the language of a structure  $\mathcal{A}$  with domain  $A$ , then  $L_A$  is the language  $L$  expanded by adding a constant symbol for every  $a \in A$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_A = (\mathcal{A}, a)_{a \in A}$  is the corresponding expansion of  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $L_A$ . The *atomic diagram* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of all atomic and negations of atomic sentences of  $L_A$  true in  $\mathcal{A}_A$ . A countable

structure for a computable language  $L$  is *computable* if its domain is computable and its atomic diagram is computable or, equivalently, its functions and relations are uniformly computable. The *elementary diagram* (or complete diagram) of  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted by  $D^c(\mathcal{A})$ , is the set of all first-order sentences of  $L_{\mathcal{A}}$  that are true in  $\mathcal{A}$ . A  $\Sigma_n^0$  *diagram* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of all  $\Sigma_n^0$  sentences in  $D^c(\mathcal{A})$ . A structure is *decidable* if its domain is computable and its elementary diagram is computable. A structure is *n-decidable* if its domain is computable and its  $\Sigma_n^0$  diagram is computable. In particular, computable structures are the same as 0-decidable structures.

We will now give a definition of a cohesive product of computable structures which appears in [9]. By  $\simeq$  to denote the equality of partial functions.

**Definition 1** *Let  $L$  be a computable language. Let  $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \omega}$  be a uniformly computable sequence of computable structures in  $L$ , with uniformly computable sequence of domains  $(A_i)_{i \in \omega}$ . Let  $C \subseteq \omega$  be a cohesive set. The cohesive product  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_i$  over  $C$ , in symbols  $\mathcal{B} = \prod_C \mathcal{A}_i$ , is a structure defined as follows.*

1. *Let*

$$D = \{\psi \mid \psi : \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in \omega} A_i \text{ is a partial computable function} \wedge C \subseteq^* \text{dom}(\psi)\}.$$

*For  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in D$ , let*

$$\psi_1 =_C \psi_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad C \subseteq^* \{i : \psi_1(i) \downarrow = \psi_2(i) \downarrow\}.$$

*The domain of  $\prod_C \mathcal{A}_i$  is the quotient set  $D/_C$  and is denoted here by  $B$ .*

2. *If  $f \in L$  is an  $n$ -ary function symbol, then  $f^{\mathcal{B}}$  is an  $n$ -ary function on  $B$  such that for every  $[\psi_1], \dots, [\psi_n] \in B$ , we have*

$$f^{\mathcal{B}}([\psi_1], \dots, [\psi_n]) = [\psi] \quad \text{iff} \quad (\forall i \in \omega) [\psi(i) \simeq f^{\mathcal{A}_i}(\psi_1(i), \dots, \psi_n(i))].$$

3. *If  $R \in L$  is an  $m$ -ary relation symbol, then  $R^{\mathcal{B}}$  is an  $m$ -ary relation on  $B$  such that for every  $[\psi_1], \dots, [\psi_m] \in B$ ,*

$$R^{\mathcal{B}}([\psi_1], \dots, [\psi_m]) \quad \text{iff} \quad C \subseteq^* \{i \in \omega \mid R^{\mathcal{A}_i}(\psi_1(i), \dots, \psi_m(i))\}.$$

4. *If  $c \in L$  is a constant symbol, then  $c^{\mathcal{B}}$  is the equivalence class (with respect to  $=_C$ ) of the computable function  $g : \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in \omega} A_i$  such that  $g(i) = c^{\mathcal{A}_i}$ , for each  $i \in \omega$ .*

If  $C$  is co-c.e., then for every  $[\psi] \in \prod_C \mathcal{A}_i$  there is a computable function  $f$  such that  $[f] = [\psi]$ . That is, for  $(a_i)_i \in \prod_i \mathcal{A}_i$  which is a fixed computable sequence, define

$$f(i) = \begin{cases} \psi(i) & \text{if } \psi(i) \downarrow \text{ first,} \\ a_i & \text{if } i \text{ is enumerated into } \overline{C} \text{ first.} \end{cases}$$

If  $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}$  for  $i \in \omega$ , then  $\prod_C \mathcal{A}_i$  is called the *cohesive power of  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $C$*  and is denoted by  $\prod_C \mathcal{A}$ .

An *embedding* of a structure  $\mathcal{A}$  into a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{A}$  and a substructure of  $\mathcal{B}$ . A structure  $\mathcal{A}$  embeds into its cohesive power  $\mathcal{B} = \prod_C \mathcal{A}$ . For  $a \in A$  let  $[c_a] \in B$  be the equivalence class of the total function  $c_a$  such that  $c_a(i) = a$  for every  $i \in \omega$ . The function  $d : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $d(a) = [c_a]$  is called the *canonical embedding* of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In [9, 13] we provide variants of Loś's theorem for cohesive products of uniformly computable and more generally uniformly  $n$ -decidable structures. For example, every  $\Sigma_{n+3}^0$  sentence true in an  $n$ -decidable structure is also true in its cohesive powers. In particular, we have the following theorem for cohesive powers of computable structures.

**Theorem 2** (Dimitrov [7]) *Let  $\mathcal{B} = \prod_C \mathcal{A}$  be a cohesive power of a computable structure  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $C$  be a cohesive set.*

1. *If  $\sigma$  is a  $\Pi_2^0$  (or  $\Sigma_2^0$ ) sentence in  $L$ , then  $\mathcal{B} \models \sigma$  iff  $\mathcal{A} \models \sigma$ .*

2. *If  $\sigma$  is a  $\Pi_3^0$  sentence in  $L$ , then  $\mathcal{B} \models \sigma$  implies  $\mathcal{A} \models \sigma$ .*

*By contrapositive, if  $\sigma$  is a  $\Sigma_3^0$  sentence in  $L$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \models \sigma$  implies  $\mathcal{B} \models \sigma$*

The converse of part (2) in the previous theorem does not hold. The first such counterexample was produced by Feferman, Scott and Tennenbaum in their result in [15] that no cohesive power of the standard model of arithmetic is a model of Peano arithmetic. There is a  $\Pi_3^0$  sentence involving Kleene's  $T$  predicate that is true in the standard model of arithmetic  $\mathcal{N}$  but is false in every cohesive power of  $\mathcal{N}$  (see [20]). More recently, in [13], we produced natural examples of such sentences concerning linear orders.

In [7], Dimitrov established that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a decidable structure, then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\prod_C \mathcal{A}$  satisfy the same first-order sentences, i.e., they are elementarily equivalent. A corresponding result has been formulated for  $n$ -decidable structures in [14].

An equivalence structure  $\mathcal{A} = (A, E^{\mathcal{A}})$  consists of a set  $A$  with a binary relation  $E^{\mathcal{A}}$  that is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. An equivalence structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is *computable* if  $A$  is a computable set and  $E^{\mathcal{A}}$  is a computable relation. An application of Theorem 2 is that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a computable equivalence structure, then so is  $\prod_C \mathcal{A}$ . That is because the theory of equivalence structures is  $\Pi_1^0$ -axiomatizable. Similarly, a cohesive power of a computable field is a field. In [12], we investigated cohesive powers of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers over

co-maximal sets. For example, we proved that two cohesive powers of  $\mathbb{Q}$  over co-maximal sets are isomorphic iff the maximal sets have the same  $m$ -degree.

Dimitrov [7] showed that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite structure, then  $\prod_C \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}$ . Also, if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are computably isomorphic structures and  $C$  is a cohesive set, then  $\prod_C \mathcal{A} \cong \prod_C \mathcal{B}$  (for a proof see [13]). A computable structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *computably categorical* if every computable isomorphic structure is computably isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

A computable (infinitary) language is more expressive than the usual finitary first-order language. For a computable ordinal  $\alpha$ , Ash defined computable  $\Sigma_\alpha$  and  $\Pi_\alpha$  formulas of  $L_{\omega_1, \omega}$  recursively and simultaneously and together with their Gödel numbers. For the natural numbers we roughly have the following classification of formulas. Computable  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Pi_0$  formulas are just the finitary quantifier-free formulas. For  $n > 1$ , a *computable  $\Pi_n$  formula* is a c.e. conjunction of formulas  $\forall \bar{u} \phi(\bar{x}, \bar{u})$ , where  $\phi$  is a computable  $\Sigma_m$  formula for some  $m < n$ . Dually, a *computable  $\Sigma_n$  formula* is a c.e. disjunction of formulas  $\exists \bar{v} \theta(\bar{y}, \bar{v})$ , where  $\theta$  is a computable  $\Pi_m$  formula for some  $m < n$ . (See [16].) For more on computability theory see [26]. By  $\langle k, n \rangle$  we denote a computable bijection from  $\omega^2$  onto  $\omega$ , which is strictly increasing with respect to each coordinate and such that  $k, n \leq \langle k, n \rangle$ .

This paper is a greatly expanded version of the preliminary work in [17] to appear in the proceedings following the Fall Western Sectional Meeting of the AMS during October 23–24, 2021. There, we studied cohesive powers of certain graphs and equivalence structures. For example, we showed that every computable graph can be embedded into a cohesive power of a strongly locally finite graph. Here, we also investigate cohesive powers of computable structures with a single unary function satisfying various properties, called injection structures, two-to-one structures, and (2,0)-to-one structures. We further study cohesive powers of partial injection structures viewed as relational structures. We characterize the isomorphism types of cohesive powers of these computable structures, and use computable (infinitary) language to describe the properties of cohesive powers when they are not isomorphic to the original structure.

## 2 Cohesive powers of graphs

A *graph* (or undirected graph)  $(V, E)$  is a nonempty set  $V$  of vertices with a symmetric binary relation  $E$  (also called the edge relation), so it can be axiomatized by the following universal sentence:

$$\forall x \forall y [E(x, y) \Rightarrow E(y, x)].$$

Hence, by Theorem 2 a cohesive power of a graph is a graph.

If  $(x, y) \in E$ , then vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent to each other. The *degree of a vertex* is the number of vertices it is adjacent to. A graph  $G$  is called *locally finite* if the degree of each vertex in  $G$  is finite. A graph  $G$  is *strongly locally finite* if all connected components of  $G$  are finite. In [5], a criterion was obtained for computable categoricity of certain strongly locally finite computable graphs.

The *disjoint union* of graphs  $(V_1, E_1)$  and  $(V_2, E_2)$  where  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  is a graph  $(V_1 \cup V_2, E_1 \cup E_2)$ . Hence there are no edges between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . We write  $(V_1, E_1) \amalg (V_2, E_2)$  and also view it as decomposition into a disjoint union. If  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ , then a union of graphs  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  is any graph  $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$  such that  $E_1 \cup E_2 \subseteq E$  and  $E \upharpoonright (V_i \times V_i) = E_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We simply write  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ .

The following result demonstrates the universal feature with respect to embeddability into cohesive powers of certain computable graphs.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $G$  be a computable graph. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then there is a computable, strongly locally finite graph  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the union  $G \cup H$  for some graph  $H$  or  $H = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** If  $G$  is finite, then  $G$  is strongly locally finite and  $G \cong \Pi_C G$ .

Let  $\mathbb{N}^+ = \omega - \{0\}$ . Now, assume that a graph  $G = (V, R)$  is infinite and fix a computable enumeration  $f$  of its vertices  $V = \{f(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ . Using this enumeration we will build a computable graph  $\mathcal{A}$  with domain  $\mathbb{N}^+$  and edge set  $E$ . Consider vertices  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $a, b$  can be written as  $a = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + m$  and  $b = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + n$  for some  $k, m, n$  such that  $1 \leq m, n \leq k+1$ , then let

$$(a, b) \in E \Leftrightarrow (f(m), f(n)) \in R.$$

If there are no  $k, m, n$  as above, then let  $(a, b) \notin E$ . Note that  $\frac{k(k+1)}{2} = 1 + 2 + \dots + k$ , so the idea is to divide the natural numbers into segments of length  $1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a computable, strongly locally finite graph.

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  we define functions  $\psi_n$  by  $\psi_n(x) = \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + n$ . Hence each  $[\psi_n]$  is an element of the cohesive power  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ . Consider the subgraph  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  with the vertex set  $\{[\psi_n] : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ . Consider a function  $\rho : \{[\psi_n] : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\} \rightarrow V$  defined by  $\rho([\psi_n]) = f(n)$ . Then we can show that  $\rho$  is a graph isomorphism (see [17]), so  $\mathcal{S}$  is isomorphic to  $G$ . Hence  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the union  $G \cup H$  for some graph  $H$ . ■

If a computable graph  $G$  is locally finite, we have a stronger result.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $G$  be an infinite computable graph that is locally finite. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then there is a computable, strongly locally finite graph  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the disjoint union  $G \sqcup H$  for some graph  $H$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G = (V, R)$ . Let  $f, \mathcal{A}, \psi_n, \rho$  be defined as in the proof of the previous theorem. Let  $\varphi$  be a partial computable function such that  $[\varphi] \in \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  and  $E([\varphi], [\psi_m])$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Then  $C \subseteq^* \{i \in \omega : \varphi(i) \downarrow \wedge (\varphi(i), \psi_m(i)) \in E\}$ . Since  $G$  is locally finite, we have that  $\{i \in \omega : \varphi(i) \downarrow \wedge (\varphi(i), \psi_m(i)) \in E\}$  is the following finite disjoint union of c.e. sets:

$$\coprod_{n: f(m) R f(n)} \{i \in \omega : \varphi(i) = \frac{i(i+1)}{2} + n \text{ where } 1 \leq m, n \leq i+1\}.$$

Since  $C$  is cohesive, there is some  $n_0$  such that

$$C \subseteq^* \{i : \varphi(i) \downarrow = \frac{i(i+1)}{2} + n_0\} \subseteq \{i : \varphi(i) \downarrow = \psi_{n_0}(i)\}.$$

Hence  $[\varphi] = [\psi_{n_0}]$ . Thus,  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the disjoint union  $G \sqcup (\Pi_C \mathcal{A} - \{\psi_m : m \geq 1\})$ . ■

### 3 Cohesive powers of equivalence relations

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, E^{\mathcal{A}})$  be an equivalence structure  $\mathcal{A}$ . The equivalence class of  $a \in A$  is

$$eqv^{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \{x \in A : xE^{\mathcal{A}}a\}.$$

We generally omit the superscript when it can be inferred from the context.

**Definition 5** (i) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an equivalence relation. The character of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \{\langle k, n \rangle : n, k > 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \text{ has at least } n \text{ equivalence classes of size } k\}.$$

(ii) We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  has bounded character if there is some finite  $k$  such that all finite equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{A}$  have size at most  $k$ .

Clearly, two countable equivalence structures are isomorphic if they have the same character and the same number of infinite equivalence classes.

For a set  $X$ , by  $card(X)$  or  $|X|$  we denote the size of  $X$ . Let

$$Inf^{\mathcal{A}} = \{a : eqv^{\mathcal{A}}(a) \text{ is infinite}\} \text{ and } Fin^{\mathcal{A}} = \{a : eqv^{\mathcal{A}}(a) \text{ is finite}\}.$$

The following lemma from [2] gives us some important complexities.

**Lemma 6** For any computable equivalence structure  $\mathcal{A}$ :

(a)  $\{\langle k, a \rangle : card(eqv^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \leq k\}$  is a  $\Pi_1^0$  set, and  $\{\langle k, a \rangle : card(eqv^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \geq k\}$  is a  $\Sigma_1^0$  set;

(b)  $Inf^{\mathcal{A}}$  is a  $\Pi_2^0$  set, and  $Fin^{\mathcal{A}}$  is a  $\Sigma_2^0$  set;

(c)  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $\Sigma_2^0$  set.

We say that a subset  $K$  of  $\omega$  is a *character* if there is some equivalence structure with character  $K$ . This is the same as saying that  $K \subseteq \langle \omega - \{0\} \rangle \times \langle \omega - \{0\} \rangle$ , for all  $n > 0$  and  $k$ ,

$$\langle k, n + 1 \rangle \in K \Rightarrow \langle k, n \rangle \in K.$$

It was shown in [2] that for any  $\Sigma_2^0$  character  $K$ , there is a computable equivalence structure  $\mathcal{A}$  with character  $K$ , which has infinitely many infinite equivalence classes while  $Fin^{\mathcal{A}}$  is a  $\Pi_1^0$  set.

**Theorem 7** [2] Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable equivalence structure. The structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is computably categorical iff it is one of the following types:

1.  $\mathcal{A}$  has only finitely many finite equivalence classes;
2.  $\mathcal{A}$  has finitely many infinite classes, bounded character, and at most one finite  $k$  such that there are infinitely many classes of size  $k$ .

Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an equivalence structure as in the previous theorem and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a computable structure isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$ , then for any cohesive set  $C$  we have  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \cong \Pi_C \mathcal{D}$ .

**Proposition 8** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable equivalence structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set and let  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

(a) *Then  $\chi(\mathcal{B}) = \chi(\mathcal{A})$ .*

(b) *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many infinite equivalence classes, then  $\mathcal{B}$  has infinitely many infinite equivalence classes.*

*If  $\mathcal{A}$  has exactly  $n$  infinite equivalence classes, then  $\mathcal{B}$  has at least  $n$  infinite equivalence classes.*

(c) *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many infinite equivalence classes, then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}$ .*

(d) *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has finitely many finite equivalence classes and no infinite equivalence classes, then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** (a) The character of an equivalence structure is definable by a  $\Sigma_2^0$  sentence (see [2]).

(b) This holds since there is an embedding of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(c) This follows from (a) and (b). That is, if  $\mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many infinite equivalence classes, then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  also has infinitely many infinite equivalence classes, and since  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  have the same character, they are isomorphic.

(d) This is true since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite structure. ■

**Theorem 9** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, E)$  be a computable equivalence structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. If  $\mathcal{A}$  has a bounded character, then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** Since the character of  $\mathcal{A}$  is bounded, if it is nonempty, let  $k \in \omega$  be the largest size of a finite equivalence class of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If the character of  $\mathcal{A}$  is empty, let  $k = 0$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  have the same character. If  $\mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many infinite equivalence classes, then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  are isomorphic. Thus, assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  has at most finitely many infinite equivalence classes.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  has no infinite equivalence class, then  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the following  $\Pi_1^0$  sentence, saying that there are no  $k + 1$  non-equivalent elements:

$$(\forall x_1) \cdots (\forall x_{k+1}) [\bigvee_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} x_i E x_j]$$



Since  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the same sentence, it has no infinite equivalence classes, so  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  are isomorphic.

Thus, assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $m$  infinite equivalence classes, where  $m \in \omega$  and  $m > 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the following  $\Sigma_2^0$  sentence, saying that there are exactly  $m$  equivalence classes with at least  $k + 1$  elements, hence infinite. We will use notation  $x_i^l$  for variables.

$$(\exists x_1^1) \cdots (\exists x_{k+1}^1) \cdots (\exists x_1^m) \cdots (\exists x_{k+1}^m) (\forall y_1) \cdots (\forall y_{k+1}) [\bigwedge_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq m \\ 1 < i < j \leq k+1}} (x_i^l \neq x_j^l \wedge x_i^l E x_j^l) \wedge (\bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} (y_i \neq y_j \wedge y_i E y_j) \Rightarrow \bigvee_{l=1, \dots, m} y_l E x_1^l)]$$

Hence  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the same sentence, so it has exactly  $m$  infinite equivalence classes, so it is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$ . ■

**Theorem 10** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, E)$  be a computable equivalence structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. If  $\mathcal{A}$  has an unbounded character, then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many infinite equivalence classes.*

*Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has an unbounded character and finitely many (possibly zero) infinite equivalence classes, then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \not\cong \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we will assume that  $A = \omega$ . Hence we can order the elements in  $A$  by the usual ordering of the natural numbers. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{B} = (B, E_B)$ . Choose a computable sequence of elements in  $A$ :

$$a(0), a(1), a(2), a(3), \dots$$

such that they all belong to distinct equivalence classes, and for every  $k$ , the equivalence class of  $a(k)$  has  $> k$  elements. Since  $E$  is computable and the character of  $\mathcal{A}$  is unbounded, such a sequence can be obtained by enumerating  $A$  and checking the conditions. We can think of  $a(\langle m, i \rangle)$  as a representative of the equivalence class in  $\mathcal{A}$  where a partial function  $\psi_{m,*}$  might take its  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate value (for  $i \geq 0$ ). That is, define partial computable functions  $\psi_{m,n}(i)$ , for  $m, i \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ , as follows:

$$\psi_{m,n}(i) = \begin{cases} c_n & c_n \text{ is the } n^{\text{th}} \text{ element in } \text{eqv}(a(\langle m, i \rangle)) \text{ if it exists;} \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Every  $\psi_{m,n}$  is defined for all except possibly finitely many initial values, so  $C \subseteq^* \text{dom}(\psi_{m,n})$ . Hence  $[\psi_{m,n}] \in B$ .

Fix  $m$ . Let  $n_1 \neq n_2$ . Then we have that  $[\psi_{m,n_1}] \neq [\psi_{m,n_2}]$  since  $|\text{eqv}(a(\langle m, i \rangle))| > \langle m, i \rangle \geq i$ , so starting with some  $i$ ,  $\text{eqv}(a(\langle m, i \rangle))$  will have the  $n_1^{\text{th}}$  and the  $n_2^{\text{th}}$  elements and they will be distinct. Also,  $[\psi_{m,n_1}] E_B [\psi_{m,n_2}]$  since the values of  $\psi_{m,n_1}$  and  $\psi_{m,n_2}$ , when defined, are from the same equivalence class in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence the equivalence class of  $[\psi_{m,1}]$  is infinite.

Now, let  $m_1 \neq m_2$ . Then  $\neg([\psi_{m_1,1}] E_B [\psi_{m_2,1}])$  since the values of  $\psi_{m_1,1}$  and  $\psi_{m_2,1}$ , when defined, are from different equivalence classes in  $\mathcal{A}$ . ■

**Corollary 11** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, E)$  be a computable equivalence structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}$  iff  $\mathcal{A}$  has a bounded character or infinitely many equivalence classes.*

It was shown in [1] that the following model-theoretic result holds for the equivalence structures. Let the formulas  $\gamma_k(x)$  state that the equivalence class of  $x$  has at least  $k$  elements, where  $k \in \omega - \{0\}$ . Then the language of equivalence relations  $\{E\}$  expanded with unary predicates  $\{\gamma_k : k \geq 1\}$  has quantifier elimination; i.e., every first-order formula in the original language is logically equivalent to a quantifier-free formula in the new language. Since the formulas  $\gamma_k(x)$  are  $\Sigma_1^0$  formulas, it follows that a computable equivalence structure  $\mathcal{A}$  and its cohesive powers satisfy the same first-order sentences. However, in some cases, the distinction can be made by using computable (infinite) sentences.

**Corollary 12** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, E)$  be a computable equivalence structure with unbounded character and no infinite equivalence classes. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then there is a computable infinite  $\Sigma_3$  sentence  $\alpha$  such that  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \models \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A} \models \neg\alpha$ .*

**Proof.** We have that  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  has an infinite equivalence class, while  $\mathcal{A}$  does not. Let  $\alpha$  be the sentence saying that there is an infinite equivalence class:

$$\exists x \bigwedge_{n \in \omega} [\exists y_1 \cdots \exists y_n \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i \neq y_j \wedge x E y_i)].$$

Hence  $\alpha$  is a computable  $\Sigma_3$  sentence true in  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  but false in  $\mathcal{A}$ . ■

## 4 Injection structures

We will now study structures with a single unary function. An *injection structure*  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  consists of a non-empty set  $A$  with an one-to-one function  $f : A \rightarrow A$ . Let  $f^0(a) =_{def} a$ . Given  $a \in A$ , the *orbit of  $a$  under  $f$*  is defined as

$$\mathcal{O}_f(a) = \{b \in A : (\exists n \in \omega)[f^n(a) = b \vee f^n(b) = a]\}.$$

We have that the size of orbit  $\mathcal{O}_f(a)$  is  $k \geq 1$  if and only if  $f^k(a) = a$  and  $(\forall t < k)[f^t(a) \neq a]$ . Hence the property that  $card(\mathcal{O}_f(a)) = k$  is computable.

By analogy with the character of an equivalence structure, we define the *character*  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  of an injection structure  $\mathcal{A}$  as follows:

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \{\langle k, n \rangle \in \langle \omega - \{0\} \rangle \times \langle \omega - \{0\} \rangle : \mathcal{A} \text{ has at least } n \text{ orbits of size } k\}.$$

Hence  $\langle k, n \rangle \in \chi(\mathcal{A})$  if and only if

$$(\exists x_1) \cdots (\exists x_n) \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^n card(\mathcal{O}_f(x_i)) = k \wedge \bigwedge_{i \neq j} (\forall t < k)[f^t(x_i) \neq x_j] \right).$$

By  $ran(f)$  we denote the range of  $f$ ,  $ran(f) = f(A)$ . An injection structure  $(A, f)$  may have two types of infinite orbits:  $Z$ -orbits, which are isomorphic

to  $(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  and in which every element is in  $\text{ran}(f)$ , and  $\omega$ -orbits, which are isomorphic to  $(\omega, S)$  and have the form  $\mathcal{O}_f(a) = \{f^n(a) : n \in \omega\}$  for some  $a \notin \text{ran}(f)$ . Thus, injection structures are characterized by the number of orbits of size  $k$  for each finite  $k$ , and by the number of orbits of types  $Z$  and of type  $\omega$ .

For every computable injection structure  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$ , we have the following arithmetic complexity of important relations:

- (a)  $\{(k, a) : a \in \text{ran}(f^k)\}$  is a  $\Sigma_1^0$  set,
- (b)  $\{(a, k) : \text{card}(\mathcal{O}_f(a)) \geq k\}$  is a  $\Sigma_1^0$  set,
- (c)  $\{a : \mathcal{O}_f(a) \text{ is infinite}\}$  is a  $\Pi_1^0$  set,
- (d)  $\{a : \mathcal{O}_f(a) \text{ has type } Z\}$  is a  $\Pi_2^0$  set,
- (e)  $\{a : \mathcal{O}_f(a) \text{ has type } \omega\}$  is a  $\Sigma_2^0$  set, and
- (f)  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $\Sigma_1^0$  set.

It was shown in [3] that for any c.e. character  $K$ , there is a computable injection structure  $\mathcal{A} = (\omega, f)$  with character  $K$  and any specified finite or countably infinite number of orbits of types  $\omega$  and  $Z$  and for which  $\text{ran}(f)$  is computable and  $\{a : \mathcal{O}_f(a) \text{ is finite}\}$  is computable.

**Theorem 13** [3] *A computable injection structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is computably categorical if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  has finitely many infinite orbits.*

Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an injection structure with finitely many infinite orbits and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a computable structure isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$ , then for any cohesive set  $C$  we have  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \cong \Pi_C \mathcal{D}$ .

By  $f^{-1}(b)$  we will denote the unique  $a$  such that  $f(a) = b$  if it exists, in symbols  $f^{-1}(b) \downarrow = a$ ; otherwise  $f^{-1}(b)$  is not defined which we also denote by  $f^{-1}(b) \uparrow$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , we denote by  $f^{-n}$  a partial function  $(f^{-1})^n$ .

Since the injection structures have the following axiom

$$\forall x \forall y [f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y],$$

we have that a cohesive power of a computable injection structure is an injection structure. We would like to determine the isomorphism types of such cohesive powers.

**Proposition 14** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  be a computable injection structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set, and  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

- (a) *Then  $\chi(\mathcal{B}) = \chi(\mathcal{A})$ .*
- (b) *The structures  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  have the same number of  $\omega$ -orbits.*
- (c) *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has bounded character and no infinite orbits, then  $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B}$ .*

**Proof.** (a) The character of a computable injection structure is definable by a  $\Sigma_1^0$  sentence.

(b) Let  $n \in \omega - \{0\}$ . We can say that there are  $\geq n$  many  $\omega$ -orbits by the following  $\Sigma_2^0$  sentence  $\sigma_n$ :

$$(\exists x_1) \cdots (\exists x_n)(\forall y)[\bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i \neq x_j \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \neq f(y)].$$

Thus, having  $< n$  many  $\omega$ -orbits can be expressed by a  $\Pi_2^0$  sentence,  $\neg\sigma_n$ . Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has no  $\omega$ -orbits,  $\mathcal{B}$  has no  $\omega$ -orbits; and if  $\mathcal{A}$  has exactly  $n$  many  $\omega$ -orbits,  $\mathcal{B}$  has exactly  $n$  many  $\omega$ -orbits. If  $\mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many  $\omega$ -orbits, then for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \models \sigma_n$  and hence  $\mathcal{B} \models \sigma_n$ , so  $\mathcal{B}$  has infinitely many  $\omega$ -orbits. The last conclusion also follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{A}$  can be embedded into  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(c) Since the character of  $\mathcal{A}$  is bounded, let  $k \in \omega - \{0\}$  be the largest size of a finite orbit of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has no infinite orbits,  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the following  $\Pi_1^0$  sentence, saying that there are no orbits of size  $k + 1$ :

$$\neg(\exists x)[\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} f^i(x) \neq x]$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies the same sentence and, since  $\mathcal{B}$  has the same character as  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$ . ■

**Theorem 15** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable injection structure with unbounded character. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set, and  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  has infinitely many  $Z$ -orbits.*

*Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has unbounded character and finitely many  $Z$ -orbits, then  $\mathcal{A} \not\cong \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we will assume that  $A = \omega$ . Choose a computable sequence of elements in  $A$ :

$$a(0), a(1), a(2), a(3), \dots$$

such that they all belong to distinct finite orbits, and for every  $k$ , the orbit of  $a(k)$  has  $> k$  elements. Since  $f$  is computable and the character of  $\mathcal{A}$  is unbounded, such a sequence can be obtained by simultaneously enumerating the elements of  $A$  and checking the conditions.

For every natural number  $m$  and an integer  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we will define a computable function  $\psi_{m,z}$  as follows:

$$\psi_{m,z}(i) = f^z(a(\langle m, i \rangle)).$$

Each  $[\psi_{m,z}]$  is in  $B$ . Fix  $m$ . Let integers  $z_1, z_2$  be such that  $z_1 \neq z_2$ . Starting with some  $i_0$ , the orbit of  $a(\langle m, i \rangle)$  will be so large that for  $i \geq i_0$ , we will have

$$f^{z_1}(a(\langle m, i \rangle)) \neq f^{z_2}(a(\langle m, i \rangle)),$$

hence  $[\psi_{m,z_1}] \neq [\psi_{m,z_2}]$ . In addition,  $[\psi_{m,z_1}]$  and  $[\psi_{m,z_2}]$  belong to the same orbit in  $\mathcal{B}$  since  $f^{z_2 - z_1}([\psi_{m,z_1}]) = [\psi_{m,z_2}]$ . Hence the set  $\{[\psi_{m,z}] : z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  forms

a  $Z$ -orbit. On the other hand, if  $m_1 \neq m_2$ , then for every  $i$ ,  $\langle m_1, i \rangle \neq \langle m_2, i \rangle$ , so  $a(\langle m_1, i \rangle)$  and  $a(\langle m_2, i \rangle)$  belong to different orbits. Hence  $[\psi_{m_1,0}]$  and  $[\psi_{m_2,0}]$  belong to different orbits in  $\mathcal{B}$ , so there are infinitely many  $Z$ -orbits. ■

**Theorem 16** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable injection structure with an infinite orbit. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set, and  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  has infinitely many  $Z$ -orbits.*

*Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has an infinite orbit, but has at most finitely many  $Z$ -orbits, then  $\mathcal{A} \not\cong \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  has an infinite orbit. Let  $a$  be an element of such an infinite orbit. For every natural number  $m$  and every integer  $z$ , we define a partial computable function  $\psi_{m,z}$  by  $\psi_{m,z}(n) = f^{m(2n+1)+n-1+z}(a)$ . Since the domain of each  $\psi$  is co-finite, we have that  $[\psi_{m,z}] \in B$ . For any  $m$  and  $z_1, z_2$  such that  $z_1 \neq z_2$ , we have that  $\{n : \psi_{m,z_1}(n) \downarrow = \psi_{m,z_2}(n) \downarrow\} = \emptyset$  since the orbit of  $a$  is infinite; hence  $[\psi_{m,z_1}] \neq [\psi_{m,z_2}]$ . We also have that  $f([\psi_{m,z}]) = [f \circ \psi_{m,z}] = [\psi_{m,z+1}]$ . Hence for every  $m$  we have a  $Z$ -orbit  $\{[\psi_{m,z}] : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathcal{O}([\psi_{m,0}])$ .

Now, assume that  $m_1 \neq m_2$ . Since for any  $k \in \omega$ , we have  $f^k([\psi_{m_1,0}]) \neq [\psi_{m_2,0}]$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{O}([\psi_{m_1,0}]) \neq \mathcal{O}([\psi_{m_2,0}])$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  has infinitely many  $Z$ -orbits. ■

**Corollary 17** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  be a computable injection structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}$  iff  $\mathcal{A}$  has a bounded character and no infinite orbits, or  $\mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many  $Z$ -orbits.*

It was shown in [3] that the following model-theoretic result holds for the injection structures. Let the formulas  $\gamma_k(x)$  state that  $(\exists y)[f^k(y) = x]$ . Then in the language of injection structures  $\{f\}$  expanded with unary predicates  $\{\gamma_k : k \geq 1\}$  we have quantifier elimination; i.e., every first-order formula in the original language is logically equivalent to a quantifier-free formula in the new language. Since the formulas  $\gamma_k(x)$  are  $\Sigma_1^0$  formulas, it follows that a computable injection structure  $\mathcal{A}$  and its cohesive powers satisfy the same first-order sentences. However, in some cases, the distinction can be made by using computable (infinitary) sentences.

**Corollary 18** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a computable injection structure with an unbounded character and no infinite orbits, then there is a computable (infinitary)  $\Sigma_2$  sentence  $\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \models \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A} \not\models \alpha$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  say that there is an infinite orbit:

$$\exists x \bigwedge_{k \in \omega} [f^{(k)}(x) \neq x]. \quad \blacksquare$$

## 5 Two-to-one structure

We will now investigate cohesive powers of two-to-one structures that were introduced and studied in [4] from the computability-theoretic point of view with focus on the complexity of isomorphisms between these structures.

**Definition 19** A two-to-one structure  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  consists of a non-empty domain  $A$  with a single unary function  $f : A \rightarrow A$  such that for every  $a \in A$  we have  $\text{card}(f^{-1}(a)) = 2$ .

We will also call a two-to-one structure a 2:1 structure, and often identify it with its directed graph  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  with vertex set  $A$  and edges  $(a, f(a))$  for  $a \in A$ .

**Definition 20** For  $a \in A$ , the orbit of  $a$  is:

$$\mathcal{O}_f(a) = \{x \in A : (\exists n, m \in \omega) [f^n(x) = f^m(a)]\}.$$

That is, the orbit of  $a$  is the set of elements of  $A$ , which belong to the same connected component of  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  to which  $a$  belongs.

Let  $B$  be a full binary tree with its nodes pointing toward the root. We can show that there are two types of orbits in a 2:1 structure:  $Z$ -chains, and  $k$ -cycles for  $k \geq 1$ . A  $Z$ -chain consists of a directed one-to-one basic sequence of nodes ordered as integers, with a tree  $B$  attached to every node of the basic sequence as follows: there is a connecting edge, which is not part of the basic sequence but points toward the sequence, to which the root of  $B$  is attached. A  $k$ -cycle is a directed one-to-one cycle of size  $k$  such that a tree  $B$  is attached to each node of the cycle via a connecting edge, which is not part of the cycle but points toward the cycle, to which the root of  $B$  is attached. Hence all tree edges point toward the cycle. For pictures illustrating orbits see Section 1 in [4].

**Lemma 21** [4] (i) The predicate “ $\mathcal{O}_f(a)$  is a  $k$ -cycle” is  $\Sigma_1^0$ .

(ii) The predicate “ $\mathcal{O}_f(a)$  is a  $Z$ -chain” is  $\Pi_1^0$ .

Two countable 2:1 structures are isomorphic if they have the same number of  $k$ -cycles for every  $k \geq 1$ , and the same number of  $Z$ -chains.

2:1 structures have the following axioms:

$$\forall y \exists x_1 \exists x_2 [x_1 \neq x_2 \wedge f(x_1) = y \wedge f(x_2) = y]$$

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 [(f(x_1) = f(x_2) \wedge f(x_2) = f(x_3)) \Rightarrow (x_1 = x_2 \vee x_1 = x_3 \vee x_2 = x_3)]$$

Hence a cohesive power of a computable 2:1 structure is a 2:1 structure. We would like to determine the isomorphism types of these cohesive powers.

**Theorem 22** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable 2:1 structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set, and  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .

(i) The cohesive power  $\mathcal{B}$  has the same number of  $k$ -cycles, for any  $k \geq 1$ , as  $\mathcal{A}$  does.

(ii) The cohesive power  $\mathcal{B}$  has infinitely many  $Z$ -chains.

Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has at most finitely many  $Z$ -chains, then  $\mathcal{A} \not\cong \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** (i) The property that a 2:1 structure has at least  $n$  many  $k$ -cycles, where  $n, k \geq 1$  can be expressed by an existential sentence  $\theta_{n,k}$ :

$$(\exists x_1) \cdots (\exists x_n) [\bigwedge_{1 \leq m \leq n} (f^k(x_m) = x_m \wedge (\bigwedge_{1 \leq l < k} f^l(x_m) \neq x_m)) \\ \wedge \bigwedge_{(1 \leq i < j \leq n) \& (1 \leq l < k)} f^l(x_i) \neq x_j].$$

Hence both  $\mathcal{A}$  and its cohesive power  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfy the same such sentences, so they have the same number of  $k$ -cycles.

(ii) Fix a natural ordering on the domain  $A$ . We will “abuse” the notation and by  $f^{-1}$  denote the unary function on  $A$ , which for every  $a$  chooses the smaller of the two elements that  $f$  maps into  $a$ . Hence  $f^{-z}$  will be defined for every integer  $z$  where, as usual,  $f^0(a) = a$ .

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  always contains a full binary tree component  $\mathcal{T}$ , we can define a computable function  $g : \omega \rightarrow A$ , which chooses elements  $g(n)$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  that are spaced apart so that  $f^z(g(n))$  where  $|z| \leq n$  do not “interfere” for different  $n$ ’s. More precisely, if  $n_1 \neq n_2$  or  $z_1 \neq z_2$ , then  $f^{z_1}(g(n_1)) \neq f^{z_2}(g(n_2))$  where  $|z_1| \leq n_1$  and  $|z_2| \leq n_2$ . Equivalently,

$$|f^z(g(n)) : -n \leq z \leq n \wedge 0 \leq n \leq m| = (m+1)^2.$$

Our goal is to use this property to define partial computable functions  $\psi_{m,z}$  for natural numbers  $m$  and integers  $z$ , such that  $\psi_{m,*}$ ’s witness that there are infinitely many  $Z$ -chains.

A partial function  $\psi_{m,z} : \omega \rightarrow A$  is defined as follows:

$$\psi_{m,z}(x) = \begin{cases} f^z(g(\langle m, x \rangle)) & \text{if } |z| \leq \langle m, x \rangle; \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $[\psi_{m,z}] \in B$  for every  $m, z$ . Furthermore,  $f([\psi_{m,z}]) = [\psi_{m,z+1}]$ , so  $\{[\psi_{m,z}] : z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a subset of a  $Z$ -chain. For any pair of natural numbers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $m_1 \neq m_2$  and arbitrary  $k_1, k_2$ , we have  $f^{k_1}([\psi_{m_1,0}]) \neq f^{k_2}([\psi_{m_2,0}])$ , so  $[\psi_{m_1,0}]$  and  $[\psi_{m_2,0}]$  belong to different  $Z$ -chains. Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  has infinitely many  $Z$ -chains. ■

**Corollary 23** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable 2 : 1 structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then  $\mathcal{A} \cong \prod_C \mathcal{A}$  iff  $A$  has infinitely many  $Z$ -chains.*

**Corollary 24** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable 2 : 1 structure with no  $Z$ -chains. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set, and  $\mathcal{B} = \prod_C \mathcal{A}$ . Then there is a computable (infinitary)  $\Sigma_2$  sentence  $\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \models \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A} \not\models \alpha$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  say that there is a  $Z$ -chain:

$$\exists x \bigwedge_{l \geq 0 \& k > 0} (f^{(l+k)}(x) \neq f^l(x)). \quad \blacksquare$$

## 6 (2,0):1 structures

We will now investigate the class of (2,0):1 structures, which includes 2:1 structures. They were introduced and studied in [4] from the computability-theoretic point of view with focus on the complexity of isomorphisms between these structures.

**Definition 25** A  $(2, 0) : 1$  structure is a structure with a single unary function,  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  where  $f : A \rightarrow A$ , such that for every  $a \in A$ , we have  $\text{card}(f^{-1}(a)) \in \{0, 2\}$ .

As usual, a  $(2,0):1$  structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is often identified with its directed graph  $G(A, f)$ , and the orbit of  $a$  is defined to be the set of all points in  $A$  which belong to the connected component of  $G(A, f)$  containing  $a$ . The orbits of  $(2,0):1$  structures can be  $k$ -cycles for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $Z$ -chains, or  $\omega$ -chains. A  $k$ -cycle consists of a directed one-to-one cycle of size  $k$  such that for each node of the cycle there is a connecting edge, which is not part of the cycle and pointing toward the cycle, to which the root of a binary tree is attached with all tree edges pointing toward the cycle. Here, a tree can be finite or infinite and it has to satisfy the condition  $\text{card}(f^{-1}(a)) \in \{0, 2\}$ .

A  $Z$ -chain consists of a directed one-to-one basic sequence of nodes ordered as integers, with a binary tree attached to every node of the basic sequence as follows: there is a connecting edge, which is not part of the basic sequence but points toward the sequence, to which the root of a tree is attached. Hence each element of a  $k$ -cycle or a  $Z$ -chain also has a binary branching tree attached to it as its root and with all edges directed toward the root. An  $\omega$ -chain consists of a directed basic sequence of nodes ordered as natural numbers such that for every node except the first one there is a binary branching tree attached as above, with connecting edge pointing toward the basic sequence and the tree edges pointing toward the root. Hence the first node of the basic sequence does not belong to the range of  $f$ . For pictures illustrating orbits see Section 1 in [4].

Let  $(A, f)$  be a  $(2,0):1$  structure and  $a \in A$ . The *length of  $a$*  is defined as:

$$l(a) = \sup\{n + 1 : |\{a, f(a), f^2(a), \dots, f^{n+1}(a)\}| = n + 1\}.$$

It is the longest “non-cycling” directed path starting with  $a$ . It can be finite or infinite.

**Definition 26** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  be a  $(2, 0) : 1$  structure. Let  $k, n \in \omega - \{0\}$ .

(a) The cycle character of  $\mathcal{A}$  is

$$\chi_{\text{cycle}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{(k, n) : \mathcal{A} \text{ has } \geq n \text{ many } k\text{-cycles}\}.$$

(b) The path character of  $\mathcal{A}$  is



$$\chi_{path}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\langle k, n \rangle : \mathcal{A} \text{ has } \geq n \text{ many } a \text{ such that } l(a) = k\}.$$

(c) The endpath character of  $\mathcal{A}$  is

$$\chi_{endpath}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\langle k, n \rangle : \mathcal{A} \text{ has } \geq n \text{ many } a \notin f(A) \text{ such that } l(a) = k\}.$$

We say that a character is bounded if there is an upper bound on  $k$ .

(2,0):1 structures have the following axioms:

$$\forall y \forall x \exists z [f(x) \neq y \vee (z \neq x \wedge f(z) = y)] \text{ and}$$

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 [(f(x_1) = f(x_2) \wedge f(x_2) = f(x_3)) \Rightarrow (x_1 = x_2 \vee x_1 = x_3 \vee x_2 = x_3)].$$

Hence a cohesive power of a computable (2,0):1 structure is a (2,0):1 structure.

**Proposition 27** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable (2,0) : 1 structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set, and let  $\mathcal{B} = \prod_C \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  have the same cycle character, path character, and endpath character.*

**Proof.** Let  $k, n \geq 1$ . Then  $\langle k, n \rangle \in \chi_{cycle}(\mathcal{A})$  can be expressed by a  $\Sigma_1^0$  sentence as in the proof of Theorem 22 (i).

Furthermore,  $\langle k, n \rangle \in \chi_{path}(\mathcal{A})$  can be expressed by the following  $\Sigma_1^0$  sentence:

$$(\exists x_1) \cdots (\exists x_n) [\bigwedge_{1 \leq m \leq n} ((\bigwedge_{0 \leq l < s < k} f^l(x_m) \neq f^s(x_m)) \wedge$$

$$\bigvee_{1 \leq l < k} (f^k(x_m) = f^l(x_m))) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i \neq x_j].$$

Finally,  $\langle k, n \rangle \in \chi_{endpath}(\mathcal{A})$  can be expressed by the following  $\Sigma_2^0$  sentence:

$$(\exists x_1) \cdots (\exists x_n) [\bigwedge_{1 \leq m \leq n} ((\bigwedge_{0 \leq l < s < k} f^l(x_m) \neq f^s(x_m)) \wedge$$

$$\bigvee_{1 \leq l < k} (f^k(x_m) = f^l(x_m)) \wedge (\forall y)(f(x_m) \neq y)) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i \neq x_j].$$

By Theorem 2 it follows that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfy the same sentences above for every pair  $(k, n)$ , so  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  have the same characters. ■

**Theorem 28** *Let  $C$  be a cohesive set.*

(i) *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable (2,0) : 1 structure with bounded path character and no infinite orbits. Then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}$ .*

(ii) *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable (2,0) : 1 structure with unbounded path character or with an infinite orbit. Then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many  $Z$ -chains.*

*Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has only finitely many (including zero)  $Z$ -chains, we have  $\mathcal{A} \cong \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $M \in \omega$  be the least upper bound for the length of (finite) paths in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  does not have infinite orbits, it satisfies the following  $\Pi_1^0$  sentence:

$$(\forall x) \bigvee_{0 \leq m < n \leq M} (f^m(x) = f^n(x)).$$

By Theorem 2,  $\mathcal{B}$  also satisfies this sentence, which implies that  $\mathcal{B}$  has no infinite orbits. Since we can completely describe finite orbits by  $\Sigma_2^0$  sentences, it follows that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are isomorphic.

(ii) Fix a natural ordering on the domain  $A$ . We will denote by  $f^{-1}$  a partially computable unary function on  $A$ , which for every  $a$  chooses the smaller of the two elements that  $f$  maps into  $a$ , if  $\text{card}(f^{-1}(a)) = 2$ , and is undefined otherwise. Hence we have  $f^{-z}$  for every integer  $z$ , where  $f^0(a) = a$ .

By the assumption about  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can define a computable function  $g : \omega \rightarrow A$ , which chooses elements  $g(n)$  in  $A$  such that for every  $m \in \omega$ , we have  $|\{f^z(g(n)) : f^z(g(n)) \downarrow \wedge 0 \leq n \leq m \wedge -n \leq z \leq m\}| = (m+1)^2$ . We now proceed similarly as in (ii) in the proof of Theorem 22 to show that there are infinitely many  $Z$ -chains. ■

The following theorem focuses on  $\omega$ -chains.

**Theorem 29** *Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable  $(2, 0) : 1$  structure with bounded endpath character and with finitely many (including 0) elements in  $A - f(A)$  of infinite length. Then  $\prod_C \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  have the same number of  $\omega$ -chains.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $M \in \omega$  be the least upper bound for the endpath character of  $\mathcal{A}$ ; that is,  $M = \max\{k : \langle k, n \rangle \in \chi_{\text{endpath}}(\mathcal{A})\}$ .

Suppose that in  $\mathcal{B}$  we choose  $[\psi] \in B - f(B)$  such that  $[\psi]$  is an element of an  $\omega$ -chain. We will show that  $[\psi]$  belongs to the range of the canonical embedding function of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Consider a c.e. set  $W = \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \uparrow \vee l(\psi(i)) > M\}$ , which is infinite. We claim that  $C \subseteq^* W$ . To establish the claim, assume otherwise, hence  $C \subseteq^* \overline{W}$ . We have that  $\overline{W} = \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \downarrow \wedge l(\psi(i)) \leq M\}$  so it is a finite union of c.e. sets  $Y_j$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$  where

$$Y_j = \bigcup_{0 \leq k < j} \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \downarrow \wedge f^j(\psi(i)) \downarrow = f^k(\psi(i)) \downarrow \wedge$$

$$\bigwedge_{0 \leq m < n < j} f^m(\psi(i)) \downarrow \neq f^n(\psi(i)) \downarrow\}.$$

Hence, since  $C$  is cohesive, for some  $j_0, k_0$  such that  $j_0 > k_0$  we have that

$$C \subseteq^* \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \downarrow \wedge f^{j_0}(\psi(i)) \downarrow = f^{k_0}(\psi(i)) \downarrow \wedge$$

$$\bigwedge_{0 \leq m < n < j_0} f^m(\psi(i)) \downarrow \neq f^n(\psi(i)) \downarrow\},$$

which will imply that  $f^{j_0}[\psi] = f^{k_0}[\psi]$ , contradicting the fact that  $[\psi]$  is an element of an  $\omega$ -chain. Hence

$$C \subseteq^* W = \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \uparrow \vee l(\psi(i)) > M\}.$$

Since  $C \subseteq^* \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \downarrow\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C \subseteq^* \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \downarrow \wedge l(\psi(i)) > M\} = \\ \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \downarrow \wedge l(\psi(i)) > M \wedge \psi(i) \in f(A)\} \cup \\ \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \downarrow \wedge l(\psi(i)) > M \wedge f(i) \in A - f(A)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can further show that  $C \subseteq^* \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \downarrow \wedge l(\psi(i)) > M \wedge f(i) \in A - f(A)\}$  since, otherwise, there is  $[\tau] \in B$  such that  $f([\tau]) = [\psi]$ , contradicting the fact that  $[\psi] \in B - f(B)$ .

Hence,  $C \subseteq^* \bigcup \{X_a : a \in (A - f(A)) \wedge l(a) = \infty\}$ . By assumption, the union in the previous formula is a finite union, so for some  $a_0$ , we have

$$C \subseteq \{i \in \omega : \psi(i) \downarrow = a_0\}.$$

Thus,  $[\psi]$  belongs to the range of the canonical embedding. It follows that the number of  $\omega$ -chains is the same in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . ■

For the following result we require more decidability in a computable structure.

**Definition 30** [4] *A computable  $(2, 0) : 1$  structure  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  is said to be highly computable if  $\text{ran}(f)$  is a computable set.*

**Theorem 31** *Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a highly computable  $(2, 0) : 1$  structure with unbounded endpath character or with infinitely many elements in  $A - f(A)$  of infinite length. Then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many  $\omega$ -chains.*

*Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has only finitely many  $\omega$ -chains, we have  $\mathcal{A} \not\cong \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $B = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $g : \omega \rightarrow A$  be a computable function such that  $g(\omega) \subseteq A - f(A)$  and for every  $m \in \omega$  we have

$$|\{f^k(g(n)) : f^k(g(n)) \downarrow \wedge 0 \leq n \leq m \wedge 0 \leq k \leq m\}| = \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}.$$

A partial function  $\psi_{m,n} : \omega \rightarrow A$  for  $m, n \in \omega$  is defined as follows:

$$\psi_{m,n}(x) = \begin{cases} f^n(g(\langle m, x \rangle)) & \text{if } 0 \leq n \leq \langle m, x \rangle; \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that every  $[\psi_{m,n}] \in B$ . If  $n_1 \neq n_2$ , then  $[(\psi_{m,n_1})] \neq [(\psi_{m,n_2})]$ . Furthermore,  $[(\psi_{m,0})] \in B - f(B)$  and  $f([\psi_{m,n}]) = [\psi_{m,n+1}]$ , so  $\{[\psi_{m,n}] : n \in \omega\}$  is a subset of an  $\omega$ -chain. For any pair of natural numbers  $m_1, m_2$  such that  $m_1 \neq m_2$  and arbitrary  $k_1, k_2$ , we have  $f^{k_1}([\psi_{m_1,0}]) \neq f^{k_2}([\psi_{m_2,0}])$ , so  $[\psi_{m_1,0}]$  and  $[\psi_{m_2,0}]$  belong to different  $\omega$ -chains. Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  has infinitely many  $\omega$ -chains. ■

**Corollary 32** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a highly computable  $(2, 0) : 1$  structure with unbounded endpath character and no  $\omega$ -chains. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set, and  $\mathcal{B} = \prod_C \mathcal{A}$ . Then there is a computable  $\Sigma_2$  sentence  $\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \models \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A} \not\models \alpha$ .*

**Proof.** The property that there is an  $\omega$ -chain can be expressed by a computable (infinitary)  $\Sigma_2$  sentence  $\alpha$ :

$$\exists x (\bigwedge_{y \in A} (x \neq f(y)) \wedge \bigwedge_{l \geq 0 \wedge k > 0} (f^{(l+k)}(x) \neq f^n(x)))$$

■

## 7 Partial injection structures

A *partial injection structure*  $(A, f)$  consists of a set  $A$  and a partial function  $f : A \rightarrow A$  such that if  $x, y \in \text{dom}(f)$  and  $x \neq y$ , then  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . We will call  $f$  a *partial injection*. As usual, we write  $f(x) \downarrow$  to denote that  $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ , and  $f(x) \uparrow$  to denote that  $x \notin \text{dom}(f)$ . Also,  $f(x) \downarrow y$  stands for  $f(x) \downarrow$  and  $f(x) = y$ . Partial inverse function  $f^{-1}$  is defined naturally. For  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f^z$  is defined as the usual composition of partial functions. Partial injection structures and their computability-theoretic properties, including complexity of their isomorphisms, were studied by Marshall in [21]. She calls a partial injection structure  $(A, f)$  a *partial computable injection structure* if  $A$  a computable set and  $f$  is a partial computable function.

In order to make a partial injection structure  $(A, f)$  into a first-order structure, we will consider it as a relational structure  $\mathcal{A} = (A, G_f)$ , where  $G_f$  is the graph of  $f$ :

$$G_f = \{(x, y) : x \in \text{dom}(f) \wedge f(x) = y\}.$$

Having this framework in mind, we can still write  $(A, f)$ .

**Definition 33** *We say that a partial computable injection structure  $(A, f)$  is a computable partial injection structure if  $G_f$  is a computable binary relation. Hence  $(A, G_f)$  is a computable structure.*

**Proposition 34** *Let  $(A, f)$  be a partial computable injection structure.*

- (i) *If  $\text{ran}(f)$  is computable, then  $G_f$  is computable.*
- (ii) *If  $\text{dom}(f)$  is computable, then  $G_f$  is computable.*

**Proof.** (i) Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $A = \omega$ . Given a pair  $(x, y)$ , first determine whether  $y \in \text{ran}(f)$ . If  $y \notin \text{ran}(f)$ , then  $(x, y) \notin G_f$ . If  $y \in \text{ran}(f)$ , then run a Turing machine program  $P_f$  for computing  $f$  simultaneously on  $0, 1, 2, \dots$  by adding more and more inputs and computation steps (although finitely many at every stage) until we find  $z$  such that the program  $P_f$  halts on  $z$  and outputs  $y$ . If  $x = z$  then  $(x, y) \in G_f$ , and if  $x \neq z$  then  $(x, y) \notin G_f$ .

(ii) Given a pair  $(x, y)$ , first determine whether  $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ , and if that is the case compute  $f(x)$ . ■

The domain of a partial computable injection function with computable range does not have to be computable. For example, for the halting set  $K$ , consider a computable 1 – 1 enumeration  $g : \omega \rightarrow K$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be defined as:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in K \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is a partial computable injection with  $\text{ran}(f) = \omega$  and  $\text{dom}(f) = K$ .

Let  $(A, f)$  be a partial injection structure. The orbit of  $a$  is defined to be:

$$\mathcal{O}_f(a) = \{b : \exists n \in \omega (f^n(a) \downarrow = b \vee f^n(b) \downarrow = a)\}.$$

There are five kinds of orbits. Finite orbits may be  $k$ -cycles or  $k$ -chains for  $k \geq 1$ . A  $k$ -chain is of the form  $\{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  where  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  and  $x_1 \in A - \text{ran}(f)$ ,  $x_{i+1} = f(x_i)$ , and  $x_k \notin \text{dom}(f)$ . Infinite orbits may be  $Z$ -chains,  $\omega$ -chains, or  $\omega^*$ -chains. An  $\omega^*$ -chain is of the form  $\{x_i : i \in \omega\}$  where  $x_0 \in A - \text{dom}(f)$ ,  $x_i = f(x_{i+1})$ , and  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

**Definition 35** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  be a partial injection structure. In the following definitions we will assume that  $k, n \in \omega - \{0\}$ .

- (a) The *cycle character* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\chi_{\text{cycle}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\langle k, n \rangle : \mathcal{A} \text{ has } \geq n \text{ many } k \text{-cycles}\}.$
- (b) The *finite chain character* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\chi_{\text{path}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\langle k, n \rangle : \mathcal{A} \text{ has } \geq n \text{ many } k \text{-chains}\}.$

We say that a character in the previous definition is bounded if there is an upper bound on the size  $k$ . Two countable partial injection structures are isomorphic if and only if they have the same cycle character, the same finite chain character and the same number of  $Z$ -chains,  $\omega$ -chains and  $\omega^*$ -chains.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  be a computable partial injection structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set, and  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $f^{\mathcal{B}}([\psi]) \downarrow$  if  $C \subseteq^* \{i \in \omega : f(\psi(i)) \downarrow\}$  and  $f^{\mathcal{B}}([\psi]) \uparrow$  otherwise. Similarly,  $f^{\mathcal{B}}([\psi]) = [\phi]$  if and only if  $C \subseteq^* \{i \in \omega : f(\psi(i)) \downarrow = \phi(i) \downarrow\}$ . We often omit the superscript in  $f^{\mathcal{B}}$ .

The following proposition is based on Theorem 2.

**Theorem 36** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  be a computable partial injection structure. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then the cohesive power  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  is a partial injection structure that has the same cycle character and finite chain character as  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** Being a partial injection structure can be described by the following  $\Pi_1^0$  sentences:

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z [(f(x) = z \wedge f(y) = z) \Rightarrow x = y]$$

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z [(f(x) = y \wedge f(x) = z) \Rightarrow y = z]$$

Furthermore,

$$\langle k, n \rangle \in \chi_{\text{cycle}}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ iff} \\ \exists x_1 \cdots \exists x_n [ \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} (f^k(x_i) = x_i \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq l < k} f^l(x_i) \neq x_i) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n \wedge 1 \leq l < k} f^l(x_i) \neq x_j ],$$

which is a  $\Sigma_1^0$  sentence.

Also,

$$\langle k, n \rangle \in \chi_{\text{path}}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ iff} \\ \exists x_1 \cdots \exists x_n \exists y_1 \cdots \exists y_k \forall y [ \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i \neq x_j \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} (f(y) \neq x_i \wedge f^{k-1}(x_i) = y_i \wedge f(y_i) \neq y) ],$$

which is a  $\Sigma_2^0$  sentence. ■

In some cases, the cohesive power is isomorphic to the original structure.

**Theorem 37** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable partial injection structure with bounded cycle character, bounded finite chain character, and no infinite orbits. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then  $\mathcal{A} \cong \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $M$  be the maximum size of finite orbits. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has no infinite orbits, it satisfies the following  $\Pi_2^0$  sentence:

$$\neg \exists x \exists y (f^{M+1}(x) = y \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq M} (f^i(x) \neq x)).$$

Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies the same sentence, so it has no infinite orbits. Thus, together with Theorem 36, we have  $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B}$ . ■

If the conditions of the previous theorem are not satisfied, the cohesive power will have infinitely many  $Z$ -chains.

**Theorem 38** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable partial injection structure with unbounded cycle character, or with unbounded finite chain character, or with an infinite orbit. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many  $Z$ -chains.*

*Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has only finitely many  $Z$ -chains, then  $\mathcal{A} \not\cong \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of part (ii) of Theorem 22. ■

The cohesive power always has at least as many orbits of a certain fixed type as  $\mathcal{A}$ . In some cases the number of  $\omega$ -chains and  $\omega^*$ -chains is the same.

**Theorem 39** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable partial injection structure with bounded finite chain character. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set. Then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  has the same number of  $\omega$ -chains and the same number of  $\omega^*$ -chains as  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $M$  be the maximum size of finite chains. The property that  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $\geq n$  many  $\omega$ -chains can be expressed by the following  $\Sigma_2^0$  sentence:

$$\exists x_1 \cdots \exists x_n \exists y_1 \cdots \exists y_n \forall z [\bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i \neq x_j \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} (f^M(x_i) = y_i \wedge f(z) \neq x_i)]$$

Hence  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  have the same number of  $\omega$ -chains.

Similarly, the property that  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $\geq n$  many  $\omega^*$ -chains can be expressed by the following  $\Sigma_2^0$  sentence:

$$\exists x_1 \cdots \exists x_n \exists y_1 \cdots \exists y_n \forall z [\bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i \neq x_j \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} (f^M(y_i) = x_i \wedge f(x_i) \neq z)]. \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 40** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  be a computable partial injection structure with unbounded finite chain character. Let  $C$  be a cohesive set.*

(i) *Assume that  $\text{ran}(f)$  is computable. Then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many  $\omega$ -chains.*

*Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has only finitely many  $\omega$ -chains, then  $\mathcal{A} \not\cong \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

(ii) *Assume that  $\text{dom}(f)$  is computable. Then  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many  $\omega^*$ -chains.*

*Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  has only finitely many  $\omega^*$ -chains, then  $\mathcal{A} \not\cong \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Proof is similar to that of Theorem 31.

(ii) Let  $g : \omega \rightarrow (A - \text{dom}(f))$  be a computable function such that if natural numbers  $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2$  are such that  $m_1 \neq m_2$  or  $n_1 \neq n_2$ , then  $f^{-n_1}(g(m_1)) \neq f^{-n_2}(g(m_2))$  where  $n_1 \leq m_1$  and  $n_2 \leq m_2$ . Such a function exists since the chain character is unbounded and  $\text{dom}(f)$  is computable.

We define partial function  $\psi_{m,n} : \omega \rightarrow A$  for  $m, n \in \omega$  as follows:

$$\psi_{m,n}(x) = \begin{cases} f^{-n}(g(\langle m, x \rangle)) & \text{if } n \leq \langle m, x \rangle; \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $[\psi_{m,n}] \in \Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  since  $\text{dom}(\psi_{m,n})$  is cofinite. We can show that  $\{[\psi_{m,n}] : n \in \omega\}$  form an  $\omega^*$ -chains, and that for  $m_1 \neq m_2$  we have that  $[\psi_{m_1,0}]$  and  $[\psi_{m_2,0}]$  belong to different  $\omega^*$ -chains. Hence  $\Pi_C \mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many  $\omega^*$ -chains.  $\blacksquare$

## 8 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we focus on the isomorphism types of cohesive powers of certain computable structures with a single binary relation, such as graphs, equivalence structures, and partial injection structures. This adds to the previous study of the cohesive powers of the ordered set of natural numbers,  $(\omega, <)$ , and other natural linear orders in [14, 13]. Here, we also investigate cohesive powers of computable structures with a unary function that is one-to-one, two-to-one, and  $(2, 0) : 1$ , which can be identified with the directed graphs they induce. It will be worthwhile to investigate the isomorphism types of cohesive powers of other directed graphs induced by functions. Some structures in the classes we consider are isomorphic to all of their cohesive powers. It was previously known that this is also true for finite structures, ordered set of rationals, random graph, and the countable atomless Boolean algebra. Some structures in the classes we consider are not isomorphic to their cohesive powers, having properties that distinguish them and that can be described by computable (infinitary) sentences.

Our goal is to further develop the theory of cohesive powers and, more generally, cohesive products of effective structures by investigating their algebraic, computability-theoretic, and syntactic properties. We would like to include more complicated algebraic structures such as semigroups, groups, rings, and fields. Cohesive powers of computable fields will extend the earlier study of cohesive powers of the field of rationals,  $(Q, +, \cdot)$ , in [12] and will have further applications in the study of the lattice of c.e. vector spaces and their automorphisms, thus generalizing results in [11].

## References

- [1] W. Calvert, D. Cenzer, and V. Harizanov, Densely computable structures, *Journal of Logic and Computation* 32 (2022), pp. 581–607.
- [2] W. Calvert, D. Cenzer, V. Harizanov and A. Morozov, Effective categoricity of equivalence structures, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 141 (2006), pp. 61–78.
- [3] D. Cenzer, V. Harizanov, and J.B. Remmel, Computability-theoretic properties of injection structures, *Algebra and Logic* 53 (2014), pp. 39–69.
- [4] D. Cenzer, V. Harizanov, and J.B. Remmel, Two-to-one structures, *Journal of Logic and Computation* 23 (2013), pp. 1195–1223.
- [5] B.F. Csima, B. Khossainov, and J. Liu, Computable categoricity of graphs with finite components, in: *Logic and Theory of Algorithms*, CiE 2008, A. Beckmann, C. Dimitracopoulos, and B. Lowe, editors, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 5028, pp. 139–148, 2008.
- [6] R.D. Dimitrov, Quasimaximality and principal filters isomorphism between  $\mathcal{E}^*$  and  $\mathcal{L}^*(V_\infty)$ , *Archive for Mathematical Logic* 43 (2004), pp. 415–424.



- [7] R.D. Dimitrov, Cohesive powers of computable structures, *Annuaire de l'Université de Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski"*, Faculté de Mathématiques et Informatique, vol. 99 (2009), pp. 193–201.
- [8] R.D. Dimitrov, Extensions of certain partial automorphisms of  $\mathcal{L}^*(V_\infty)$ , *Annuaire de l'Université de Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski"*, Faculté de Mathématiques et Informatique, vol. 99 (2009), pp. 183–191.
- [9] R. Dimitrov and V. Harizanov, Effective ultrapowers and applications, accepted for publication in the *Aspects of Computation*, N. Greenberg, K.M. Ng, G. Wu and Y. Yang, editors, IMS, National University of Singapore, World Scientific.
- [10] R. Dimitrov and V. Harizanov, Countable nonstandard models: following Skolem's approach, accepted for publication in the *Handbook of the History and Philosophy of Mathematical Practice*, Springer, B. Sriraman, ed.
- [11] R. Dimitrov and V. Harizanov, Orbits of maximal vector spaces, *Algebra and Logic* 54 (2016), pp. 440–476.
- [12] R. Dimitrov, V. Harizanov, R. Miller and K.J. Mourad, Isomorphisms of non-standard fields and Ash's conjecture, in: *10th Conference on Computability in Europe*, A. Beckmann, E. Csuhaj-Varjú, and K. Meer, editors, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 8493 (2014), Springer, pp. 143–152.
- [13] R. Dimitrov, V. Harizanov, A. Morozov, P. Shafer, A. Soskova, and S. Vatev, On cohesive powers of linear orders, accepted for publication in the *Journal of Symbolic Logic*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.00340>
- [14] R. Dimitrov, V. Harizanov, A. Morozov, P. Shafer, A. Soskova, and S. Vatev, Cohesive powers of linear orders, in: *Computing with Foresight and Industry*, F. Manea, B. Martin, D. Paulusma and G. Primiero, editors, Computability in Europe, Durham, UK, Springer (2019), pp. 168–180.
- [15] S. Feferman, D.S. Scott, and S. Tennenbaum, Models of arithmetic through function rings, *Notices of the American Mathematical Society* 6, 173 (1959). Abstract #556-31.
- [16] E. Fokina, V. Harizanov, A. Melnikov, Computable model theory, in: *Turing's Legacy: Developments from Turing Ideas in Logic*, R. Downey, editor, Lecture Notes in Logic 42, Cambridge University Press (2014), pp. 124–194.
- [17] V. Harizanov and K. Srinivasan, Effective ultrapowers of graphs and other structures, accepted for publication in *Contemporary Mathematics*.
- [18] J. Hirshfeld, Models of arithmetic and recursive functions, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 20 (1975), pp. 111–126.
- [19] J. Hirshfeld and W.H. Wheeler, Forcing, arithmetic, division rings, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 454, Springer, Berlin, 1975.

- [20] M. Lerman, Recursive functions modulo co- $r$ -maximal sets, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 148 (1970), pp. 429–444.
- [21] L.B. Marshall, *Computability-Theoretic Properties of Partial Injections, Trees, and Nested Equivalences*, PhD dissertation, George Washington University, 2015.
- [22] T. McLaughlin, Some extension and rearrangement theorems for Nerode semirings, *Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik* 35 (1989), pp. 197–209.
- [23] T. McLaughlin, Sub-arithmetical ultrapowers: a survey, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 49 (1990), pp. 143–191.
- [24] T. McLaughlin,  $\Delta_1$  ultrapowers are totally rigid, *Archive for Mathematical Logic* 46 (2007), pp. 379–384.
- [25] G.C. Nelson, Constructive ultraproducts and isomorphisms of recursively saturated ultrapowers, *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic* 33 (1992), pp. 433–441.
- [26] R.I. Soare, *Recursively Enumerable Sets and Degrees. A Study of Computable Functions and Computably Generated Sets*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.