# How baryons appear in low-energy QCD: Domain-wall Skyrmion phase in strong magnetic fields

Minoru Eto<sup>1,2</sup>, Kentaro Nishimura<sup>3,2</sup>, Muneto Nitta<sup>2,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Yamagata University, Kojirakawa-machi 1-4-12, Yamagata, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan,

 $2$ Research and Education Center for Natural Sciences, Keio University,

4-1-1 Hiyoshi, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8521, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, Keio University, 4-1-1 Hiyoshi, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8521, Japan

<sup>5</sup> International Institute for Sustainability with Knotted Chiral Meta Matter(SKCM<sup>2</sup>),

Hiroshima University, 1-3-2 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8511, Japan

(Dated: April 7, 2023)

Low-energy dynamics of QCD can be described by pion degrees of freedom in terms of the chiral perturbation theory(ChPT). A chiral soliton lattice(CSL), an array of solitons, is the ground state due to the chiral anomaly in the presence of a magnetic field larger than a certain critical value at finite density. Here, we show in a model-independent and fully analytic manner (at the leading order of ChPT) that the CSL phase transits to a domain-wall Skyrmion phase when the chemical potential is larger than the critical value  $\mu_c = 16\pi f_\pi^2/3m_\pi \sim 1.03$  GeV with the pion's decay constant  $f_{\pi}$  and mass  $m_{\pi}$ , which can be regarded as the nuclear saturation density. There spontaneously appear stable two-dimensional Skyrmions or lumps on a soliton surface, which can be viewed as three-dimensional Skyrmions carrying even baryon numbers from the bulk despite no Skyrme term. They behave as superconducting rings with persistent currents due to a charged pion condensation, and areas of the rings' interiors are quantized. This phase is in scope of future heavy-ion collider experiments.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

We are all made of baryons, that is, nucleons such as protons and neutrons, and nucleons are composed of quarks and gluons, particles gluing quarks. Theoretically, these particles are described by Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), fundamental theory of the strong interaction. It is, however, quite difficult to prove by first-principles calculation of QCD that quarks are all confined to form hadrons, i. e. baryons (three quark bound states such as nucleons) and mesons (quark–antiquark bound states such as pions). Nevertheless, lowenergy dynamics of QCD can be described in terms of symmetry: chiral symmetry, a symmetry mixing different species of quarks (up-quarks, down-quarks and so on) in QCD, is spontaneously broken with resulting in light scalar (Nambu-Goldstone) bosons, that is pions. Thus, low-energy dynamics of QCD can be described by these pion degrees of freedom in a model-independent manner in terms of a chiral Lagrangian or more generally within the chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) up to some constants, the pion's decay constant, quark masses and so on [\[1,](#page-7-0) [2\]](#page-7-1).

However, one of big questions is how baryons can be described at low energy. One of old ideas by Skyrme was that nucleons can be identified with topological solitons, called Skyrmions, of the chiral Lagrangian supplemented by the so-called four derivative Skyrme term stabilizing Skyrmions [\[3,](#page-7-2) [4\]](#page-7-3). However, one of the drawbacks of the Skyrme model is the necessity of the Skyrme term, a particular choice of four derivative term; all quantities depend on the coupling constant of the Skyrme term, and thus all predictions are model-dependent in this sense.

One of breakthroughs to overcome the problem was made by Son and Stephanov [\[5\]](#page-7-4). The chiral Lagrangian contains the anomalous coupling of the neutral pion  $\pi_0$  to the magnetic field via the chiral anomaly [\[5,](#page-7-4) [6\]](#page-7-5) in terms of the Goldstone-Wilczek current [\[7,](#page-7-6) [8\]](#page-7-7). It has been pointed out that, due to this anomalous term, the ground state of QCD with two flavors (up and down quarks) at a finite baryon chemical potential  $\mu_B$  under a sufficiently strong magnetic field is a stack of sheet-type baryons, which is called chiral soliton lattice (CSL). Focusing only on the neutral pion  $\pi_0$ , the ChPT is mathematically equivalent to the sine-Gordon model and has the domain wall solution corresponding to the sheet-type baryons [\[5,](#page-7-4) [9,](#page-7-8) [10\]](#page-7-9). Recently, CSL phases have been paid great interests: CSLs appear also under thermal fluctuation [\[11–](#page-7-10)[14\]](#page-7-11) or rapid rotation [\[15](#page-7-12)[–18\]](#page-7-13). Other topics include the instability of CSLs via a charged pion condensation [\[11\]](#page-7-10), a possibility of an Abrikosov's vortex lattice [\[19\]](#page-7-14), relations between Skyrmions and CSLs [\[20–](#page-7-15)[22\]](#page-7-16), and quantum nucleation of CSLs [\[23,](#page-7-17) [24\]](#page-7-18) (see also [\[25](#page-7-19)[–27\]](#page-7-20)). Furthermore, apart from QCD, CSLs universally appear in various condensed matter systems; chiral magnets with nanotechnological application to magnetic memory storage devices and magnetic sensors [\[28\]](#page-7-21), and chiral liquid crystals.

In this Letter, we find a new baryonic phase in a modelindependent and analytic manner, that is a domain-wall Skyrmion phase appearing inside higher density region of the CSL with the baryon chemical potential

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\mu_{\rm B} \ge \mu_{\rm c} = \frac{16\pi f_\pi^2}{3m_\pi} \sim 1.03 \text{ GeV},\tag{1}
$$

where we have used the vacuum values of the physical quantities  $f_{\pi} \approx 93 \,\text{MeV}$  and  $m_{\pi} \approx 140 \,\text{MeV}$ . This

<sup>3</sup>KEK Theory Center, Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan

phase boundary coincides with the instability of CSLs via a charged pion condensation [\[11\]](#page-7-10). In this phase, twodimensional Skyrmions [\[29\]](#page-7-22) spontaneously appear on a soliton surface, which can be viewed as three-dimensional Skyrmions from the bulk, thereby called domain-wall Skyrmions [\[30–](#page-7-23)[33\]](#page-7-24).

### II. CHIRAL SOLITONS LATTICES

We focus on the phase in which the chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken down. The low-energy dynamics can thus be described by an effective field theory of the pions – ChPT. The pion fields are represented by a  $2 \times 2$ unitary matrix

$$
\Sigma = e^{i\tau_a \chi_a} \,,\tag{2}
$$

where  $\tau_a$  (a = 1, 2, 3) are the Pauli matrices with the normalization  $tr(\tau_a \tau_b) = 2\delta_{ab}$ . This field Σ transforms under  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  chiral symmetry as  $\Sigma \to L \Sigma R^{\dagger}$ , where L and R are  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrices. Then, the effective Lagrangian at the  $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$  order is  $(\mu = 0, \dots, 3)$ 

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{ChPT}} = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \operatorname{tr} \left( D_{\mu} \Sigma D^{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger} \right) - \frac{f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2}{4} \left( 2 - \Sigma - \Sigma^{\dagger} \right), \tag{3}
$$

where  $f_{\pi}$  and  $m_{\pi}$  are pion's decay constant and mass, respectively, and  $D_{\mu}$  is a covariant derivative defined by

$$
D_{\mu}\Sigma \equiv \partial_{\mu}\Sigma + i e A_{\mu}[Q, \Sigma], \quad Q = \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2}\tau_3. \quad (4)
$$

The  $U(1)_{\text{EM}}$  transformation is  $\Sigma \to e^{i\lambda \frac{\tau_3}{2}} \Sigma e^{-i\lambda \frac{\tau_3}{2}}$  and  $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{e} \partial_{\mu} \lambda$ . The external U(1)<sub>B</sub> gauge field  $A_{\mu}^{\text{B}}$  can couple to  $\Sigma$  through the Goldstone-Wilczek (GW) current [\[7,](#page-7-6) [8\]](#page-7-7). The conserved and gauge-invariant baryon current in the external magnetic field is calculated in refs. [\[5,](#page-7-4) [7\]](#page-7-6):

$$
j_{\rm GW}^{\mu} = -\frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{tr} \{ L_{\nu} L_{\alpha} L_{\beta} - 3ie \partial_{\nu} \left[ A_{\alpha} Q (L_{\beta} + R_{\beta}) \right] \} (5)
$$

where  $A_{\mu}^{\text{B}} = (\mu_{\text{B}}, \mathbf{0})$ , and we have introduced the standard notation  $L_{\mu} \equiv \Sigma \partial_{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger}$  and  $R_{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma$ . Then, the effective Lagrangian coupling to  $A_\mu^{\text{B}}$  can be written as

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm WZW} = -A^{\rm B}_{\mu} j^{\mu}_{\rm GW} \,. \tag{6}
$$

which is known as the Wess-Zumino-Witten(WZW) term [\[5,](#page-7-4) [6\]](#page-7-5). The total Lagrangian is  $\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{ChPT} + \mathcal{L}_{WZW}$ .

An important remark is in order here. To construct an effective Lagrangian, we choose a modification of the standard power counting scheme of ChPT [\[13\]](#page-7-25) :

$$
\partial_{\mu}, m_{\pi}, A_{\mu} = \mathcal{O}(p^1), \qquad A_{\mu}^{\text{B}} = \mathcal{O}(p^{-1}).
$$
 (7)

We note that, in this power counting, eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-0) has the order  $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$  and is of the same order as eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-1). The fact that  $\mu_B$  appears only in the WZW term in eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-0)

enables us to assign the negative power counting to  $\mu_{\text{B}}$ . The effective field theory up to  $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$  has to contain both terms in eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-2); however, the first term in eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-2) has not been considered in the previous researches of the CSLs. We emphasize that we do not need an  $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$  order such as a Skyrme term to obtain our results, and thus our analysis is model-independent.

We note that our effective theory admits the sine-Gordon soliton solutions, and they are stable under a sufficiently large magnetic field as shown in [\[5\]](#page-7-4). If we consider the case of no charged pions  $\Sigma = e^{i\tau_3\chi_3}$ , the effective Lagrangian reduces to

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \chi_{3})^{2} - f_{\pi}^{2} m_{\pi}^{2} (1 - \cos \chi_{3}) + \frac{e \mu_{\text{B}}}{4 \pi^{2}} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \chi_{3} (8)
$$

The sine-Gordon Lagrangian consists of the first and second terms, while the third term is a topological term that does not contribute to the EOM. Nevertheless it stabilizes an inhomogeneous configuration of  $\chi_3$ . Solutions of  $\chi_3$  are sine-Gordon solitons winding around  $U(1)_3 \subset SU(2)_V$ . A single soliton solution is

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
\chi_3 = 4 \tan^{-1} e^{m_\pi (z - Z)}, \tag{9}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>where  $Z$  is the position of the center (or the translational modulus). The tension, that is the energy density per unit area, can be analytically obtained:

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
E = 8m_{\pi}f_{\pi}^{2} - \frac{e\mu_{\rm B}B}{2\pi}.
$$
 (10)

When  $E < 0$ , the sine-Gordon soliton is energetically more stable than the QCD vacuum  $(\chi_3=0)$ . The critical magnetic field at which the transition happens is

$$
B_{\rm c} = \frac{16\pi m_{\pi} f_{\pi}^2}{e\mu_{\rm B}}\,. \tag{11}
$$

<span id="page-1-2"></span>The ground state at  $B > B_c$  is a stack of parallel  $\chi_3$ solitons, which is the so-called CSL [\[5,](#page-7-4) [9,](#page-7-8) [10\]](#page-7-9).

### III. NON-ABELIAN SINE-GORDON SOLITON AND ITS EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY

<span id="page-1-0"></span>So far, we have neglected the charged pions,  $\Sigma = e^{i\tau_3 \chi_3}$ . General solutions containing charged pions can be obtained from  $\Sigma_0$  by an SU(2)<sub>V</sub> transformation,

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\Sigma = g \Sigma_0 g^{\dagger} = \exp(i\theta g \tau_3 g^{\dagger}), \qquad (12)
$$

where g is an  $SU(2)$  matrix. Since g in eq. [\(12\)](#page-1-3) is redundant with respect to a U(1) subgroup generated by  $\tau_3$ , it takes a value in a coset space,  $\text{SU}(2)_V / \text{U}(1) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1 \simeq S^2$ . Together with the translational modulus  $Z$ , the single sine-Gordon soliton has the moduli

$$
\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}P^1. \tag{13}
$$

Such a soliton with non-Abelian moduli is called a non-Abelian sine-Gordon soliton [\[34,](#page-7-26) [35\]](#page-8-0). For later convenience, we parameterize the  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  moduli by the homogeneous coordinates  $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^2$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , satisfying [\[35\]](#page-8-0)

$$
\phi^{\dagger}\phi = 1, \qquad g\tau_3 g^{\dagger} = 2\phi\phi^{\dagger} - \mathbf{1}_2. \tag{14}
$$

In terms of  $\phi$ , eq. [\(12\)](#page-1-3) can be rewritten as

$$
\Sigma = \exp(2\mathrm{i}\theta\phi\phi^{\dagger})u^{-1} = [1_2 + (u^2 - 1)\phi\phi^{\dagger}]u^{-1}, \quad (15)
$$

where we have defined  $u \equiv e^{i\chi_3} = e^{4i \tan^{-1} e^{m\pi(z-Z)}}$ . We also use the real three-component vector n with  $|n|=1$ defined by  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} = 2\phi \phi^{\dagger} - \mathbf{1}_2 = g\tau_3 g^{\dagger}$  or  $n_a = \phi^{\dagger} \tau_a \phi$ . The single  $\pi^0$  soliton [\(9\)](#page-1-4) corresponds to  $n_3 = 1$ .

Now, we are ready to construct the low-energy effective theory(EFT) of a single soliton by using the moduli approximation [\[36,](#page-8-1) [37\]](#page-8-2). Let us place a single sine-Gordon soliton perpendicular to the z-coordinate. In the following, we will promote the moduli parameter  $\phi$  to be the fields on the  $2 + 1$ -dimensional soliton's world volume as We do not do so for the translational modulus Z, since the transverse motion is irrelevant in our study. By sub-stituting eq. [\(15\)](#page-2-0) into  $\mathcal L$  and integrating over z, we get [\[38\]](#page-8-3)

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm DW} = -8m_{\pi}f_{\pi}^2 + \frac{e\mu_{\rm B}B}{2\pi} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm norm} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm WZW} \qquad (16)
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{norm}} = \frac{16f_{\pi}^2}{3m_{\pi}} [(\phi^{\dagger} D_{\alpha}\phi)^2 + D_{\alpha}\phi^{\dagger} D^{\alpha}\phi], \tag{17}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZW}} = 2\mu_{\text{B}}q + \frac{e\mu_{\text{B}}}{2\pi} \epsilon^{03jk} \partial_j [A_k(1 - n_3)] \tag{18}
$$

where  $q$  is the lump (baby Skyrmion) topological charge density for  $\pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ , defined by

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
q \equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \phi^\dagger \partial_j \phi = \frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ij} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot (\partial_i \boldsymbol{n} \times \partial_j \boldsymbol{n}) \quad (19)
$$

which arises via the baryon number density  $\beta$  in the GW current [\[39\]](#page-8-4)

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
q = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \mathcal{B}, \quad \mathcal{B} \equiv \frac{-1}{24\pi^2} \epsilon^{ijk} \operatorname{tr}(L_i L_j L_k). \tag{20}
$$

In eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-1) the first two terms are constants corresponding to the domain wall energy density in eq. [\(10\)](#page-1-5). Eq. [\(17\)](#page-2-1) corresponds to the kinetic terms of  $Z$  and  $\phi$ , respectively, in which the  $U(1)_{\text{EM}}$  gauge transformation is given by  $\phi \to e^{i\frac{\lambda\tau_3}{2}}\phi$  and  $D_\alpha\phi = (\partial_\alpha + i\frac{e}{2}\tau_3 A_\alpha)\phi$  is a covariant derivative with respect to a background gauge field  $A_{\alpha}$ in the bulk. In eq. [\(18\)](#page-2-1), the first term counts the lump number and the second is a total derivative term. Thus, apart from the translational mode, the effective theory of  $\phi$  is a gauged  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  model [or  $O(3)$  nonlinear sigma model] with the topological term [\(19\)](#page-2-2).

The previous studies [\[5,](#page-7-4) [9,](#page-7-8) [10\]](#page-7-9) correspond to  $n_3 = 1$ leading eq. [\(18\)](#page-2-1) to vanish.

# IV. DOMAIN-WALL SKYRMION PHASE

We investigate Skyrmions in the domain-wall effective theory in eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-1). To this end, first we turn off the gauge coupling for a while  $D_{\mu} \rightarrow \partial_{\mu}$  with taking into account the effects of the WZW term in eq. [\(18\)](#page-2-1), [\[40\]](#page-8-5) and later we take into account effects of the gauge coupling. The static Hamiltonian becomes

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{H}_{\rm DW} = \frac{4f_{\pi}^2}{3m_{\pi}} (\partial_i \boldsymbol{n})^2 - 2\mu_{\rm B}q - \frac{e\mu_{\rm B}}{2\pi} \epsilon^{03jk} \partial_j [A_k(1 - n\{\})]
$$

Since the constants in eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-1) only give the condition of whether the domain wall appears or not, it is sufficient to consider  $B > B<sub>c</sub>$ , and thus have been omitted in eq. [\(21\)](#page-2-3). Then, the total energy  $E_{\text{DW}} = \int d^2x \, \mathcal{H}_{\text{DW}}$  is bounded from below as

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
E_{\rm DW} \ge \frac{32 f_{\pi}^2 \pi |k|}{3 m_{\pi}} - 2 \mu_{\rm B} k + \frac{e \mu_{\rm B} B}{4 \pi} \oint dS_i \, x^i (n_3 - 1) \tag{22}
$$

where we have used

$$
\partial_i \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \partial_i \boldsymbol{n} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_i \boldsymbol{n} \pm \epsilon_{ij} \boldsymbol{n} \times \partial_j \boldsymbol{n} \right)^2 \pm 8\pi q \,, \quad (23)
$$

and defined the lump number

$$
k = \int d^2x \, q \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \tag{24}
$$

The inequality in eq. [\(22\)](#page-2-4) is saturated only when the fields satisfy the (anti-)Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) equation

$$
\partial_i \mathbf{n} \pm \epsilon_{ij} \mathbf{n} \times \partial_j \mathbf{n} = 0, \qquad (25)
$$

where the upper (lower) sign corresponds to the (anti- )BPS equation. It is interesting to observe that the second term in eq. [\(22\)](#page-2-4) splits energies between BPS lumps  $k > 0$  and anti-BPS lumps  $k < 0$  [\[41\]](#page-8-6). The BPS solutions to this equation characterized by the winding number  $k$  $(> 0)$  is given by [\[29\]](#page-7-22)

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
n_3 = \frac{1 - |f|^2}{1 + |f|^2}, \quad f = \frac{b_{k-1}w^{k-1} + \dots + b_0}{w^k + a_{k-1}w^{k-1} + \dots + a_0}
$$
 (26)

where  $w \equiv x + iy$ , and the set of complex parameters  ${a_A, b_A} (A = 0, 1, \dots, k-1)$  are the moduli parameters.

Lumps in the domain wall are Skyrmions in the bulk point of view as shown below. Such composite states of Skyrmions and a domain wall are called domain-wall Skyrmions [\[30–](#page-7-23)[33,](#page-7-24) [42\]](#page-8-7)[\[43\]](#page-8-8). However, ours are crucially different from these cases; Due to eqs. [\(18\)](#page-2-1) and [\(20\)](#page-2-5), the topological lump charge  $k \in \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^1)$  is related to the baryon number  $N_{\rm B} \in \pi_3[SU(2)]$  (topological charge of Skyrmions in the bulk) by

$$
N_{\rm B} = \int d^3x \, \mathcal{B} = 2 \int d^2x \, q = 2k. \tag{27}
$$

Thus,  $N_B$  inside the soliton world-volume is quantized in even integer. We show the baryon charge density for



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIG. 1. (left) The minimal baryon  $f(w) = 1/w$ . The isosurface of  $\mathcal{B} = 1/(50\pi^2)$  (orange), and the sine-Gordon soliton  $\pi/2 < \theta < 3\pi/2$  (blue). The spatial coordinates are dimensionless with the unit of  $m_{\pi}^{-1}$ . (right) **n** for  $k = 1$  lump on the soliton.

the minimal lump  $k = 1$  in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) It is clearly seen that the two baryons come in pairs in a Macarons shape sandwiching the domain wall.

We now take into account the gauge coupling between **n** and  $A_{\alpha}$ . Since the  $U(1)_{EM}$  is generated by  $\tau_3$ ,  $n_3$ is neutral whereas  $n_1 + in_2 \rightarrow e^{-i\lambda}(n_1 + in_2)$ . Thus the covariant derivative is given by  $D_{\alpha}(n_1 + in_2)$  =  $(\partial_{\alpha} - ieA_{\alpha})(n_1 + in_2)$ . Let C be a closed curve on which  $n_3 = 0$ , and D be the interior of C. The  $U(1)_{\text{EM}}$  is spontaneously broken around  $|n_1 + in_2| = 1$  where charged pions are condensed. Thus, the closed curve  $C$  is a superconducting ring, and there is a persistent current along it. Let us write  $n_1+in_2 = e^{i\psi}$  on C. The configuration of the gauge field along  $C$  is determined by minimizing the gradient energy  $|D_{\alpha}(n_1 + in_2)|^2 = 0$ , yielding  $\partial_{\alpha}\psi = eA_{\alpha}$ . Then, we have a flux (and area) quantization on  $D$ :

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
BS_D = \int_D d^2x B = \oint_C dx^i A_i = \frac{1}{e} \oint_C dx^i \partial_i \psi = \frac{2\pi k}{e} (28)
$$

with the lump number k on  $D$  and the area  $S_D$  of  $D$ . This gives a constraint among the lump moduli.

For example, a single  $(k = 1)$  lump is given by  $f =$  $b_0/w$  with a size and phase moduli  $|b_0|$  and arg  $b_0$ , respectively, and  $n_3 = (|w|^2 - |b_0|^2)/(|w|^2 + |b|^2)$ . Thus, the size of D bounded by  $n_3 = 0$  is  $|w| = |b_0|$ , and the flux quantization implies a quantization of the size  $|b_0| = \sqrt{2/eB}$ . Axially symmetric k-lumps is given by  $f = b_0/w^k$  and  $n_3 = (|w|^4 - |b_0|^2)/(|w|^4 + |b|^2)$ . In this case, we have  $|b_0| = \sqrt{2k/eB}$ .

Finally, we discuss the condition that the lump appears in the ground state but not as an excited one. Inserting eq. [\(26\)](#page-2-6) into eq. [\(22\)](#page-2-4), we get [\[44\]](#page-8-9)

$$
E_{\text{DWSk}} = \frac{32\pi f_{\pi}^2}{3m_{\pi}} |k| - 2\mu_{\text{B}}k + e\mu_{\text{B}}B|b_{k-1}|^2. \tag{29}
$$

The condition that the lump is energetically more stable than the uniform state  $n = (0, 0, 1)$ , or  $E < 0$ , leads to the critical chemical potential for given external parameters,  $\mu_{\text{B}}$ . For  $k = 1$ , the flux quantization condition in eq. [\(28\)](#page-3-1), or  $|b_0| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{eB}}$ , implies that the last two terms in eq. [\(29\)](#page-3-2) cancel out, leaving the first term. Since



<span id="page-3-4"></span>FIG. 2. (top) The regions  $(S_D = 4\pi/eB \text{ with } eB = 2)$  in the xy plane where  $n_3 \leq 0$  for  $k = 2$  lumps with  $f = \frac{b_0}{(w-X)(w+X)}$ with  $(b_0, X) = (2, 0), (5, 2.607), (2.595, 1.5)$ . (middle) **n** on the soliton. (bottom) The isosurface of  $\mathcal{B} = 1/(15\pi^2)$ .

this is positive, single lumps on the domain wall are always excited states, but not spontaneously created. The situation is drastically different for  $k \geq 2$ . In this case, the energy in eq. [\(28\)](#page-3-1) is minimized when

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
b_{k-1} = 0
$$
, for  $k \ge 2$ . (30)

This condition is consistent with the flux quantization condition eq. [\(28\)](#page-3-1), unlike the case of  $k = 1$ . For instance, for the  $k = 2$  axisymmetric lump,  $f = b_0/w^2$  due to eq. [\(30\)](#page-3-3). Then, the quantization condition [\(28\)](#page-3-1) imposes  $|b_0| = 2/\sqrt{eB}$  $|b_0| = 2/\sqrt{eB}$  $|b_0| = 2/\sqrt{eB}$ . Fig. 2 shows the most general  $k = 2$ lump configurations  $f = \frac{b_0}{(w-X)(w+X)}$ , in which the  $k =$ 2 axisymmetric lump can be separated into two  $k = 1$ lumps with keeping the total area and total energy.

The energy of the lump  $(k \geq 2)$  is negative when eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) holds, yielding a transition from the CSL phase to the domain-wall Skyrmion phase in which lumps are spontaneously created. The phase diagram ¡ is shown in Fig. [3,](#page-4-0) in which the domain-wall Skyrmion phase meets the CSL and vacuum at the tricritical point  $(\mu_c, 3m_\pi^2/e \sim$  $0.06 \text{GeV}^2 \sim 1.0 \times 10^{19} \text{G}$ . This point coincides with the instability of CSLs via a charged pion condensation [\[11\]](#page-7-10), implying that the domain-wall Skyrmion phase is the fate of such an instability.

Apart from the binding energy between a Skyrmion and the domain wall,  $\mu_c$  is nothing but the nuclear saturation density above which nucleons can be excited, or simply gives the mass of nucleons in this media. It is interesting to point out that  $\mu_c$  is written thoroughly in terms of only the pion's decay constant and mass.

#### V. SUMMARY

<span id="page-3-2"></span>We have reported the existence of the domain-wall Skyrmion phase in QCD matter at finite density with magnetic field. Our results were obtained at the leading  $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$  order of the ChPT, without a help of higher order corrections such as a Skyrme term. Thus our results are model-independent and robust. The lumps on



<span id="page-4-0"></span>FIG. 3. The red and blue lines show  $\mu_c$  and  $B_c$ , respectively. In the green or blue regions, the ground states are the CSL of  $\pi_0$  and the domain wall skyrmion (DWSk) phase, respectively.

the domain wall are Skyrmions(baryons) in the bulk, for which one lump corresponds to two baryons. Skyrmions exist in a pair in a Macarons shape with sandwiching the domain wall. The boundary  $n_3 = 0$  of the lumps are superconducting rings, yielding the flux quantization condition or the area quantization of the lumps, eq. [\(28\)](#page-3-1). While single  $k = 1$  lumps always have positive energy,  $k(> 2)$  lumps have negative energy in the domain-wall Skyrmion phase. As a byproduct, we have obtained the nuclear saturation density in terms of the pion's decay constant and mass. The magnetic field  $10^{19}$ G at the tricritical point is about only 10 times stronger than the ability of current heavy-ion colliders and so it is in scope of future experiments.

An Abrikosov's vortex lattice was proposed [\[19\]](#page-7-14) as a possible fate of the charged pion condensation [\[11\]](#page-7-10). However they used  $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$  order (but not all terms) of the ChPT. In contrast, we have considered the leading  $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ order consistently with all the terms.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Naoki Yamamoto for useful comments. This work is supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI [Grants No. JP19K03839 (ME) and No. JP22H01221 (ME and MN)], the WPI program "Sustainability with Knotted Chiral Meta Matter (SKCM<sup>2</sup> )" at Hiroshima University. K. N. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. (B) 21H01084.

### <span id="page-4-2"></span>Appendix A: Derivation of the effective action of a non-Abelian sine-Gordon soliton

Here, we derive the EFT of the non-Abelian sine-Gordon soliton for the both orientational moduli  $\phi$  and the translational modulus  $Z$ , following Ref. [\[35\]](#page-8-0) in the moduli approximation [\[36,](#page-8-1) [37\]](#page-8-2).

We first calculate the effective action coming from eq.  $(3)$ . Substituting eq.  $(15)$  into eq.  $(3)$ , we get

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{ChPT}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{2} \left\{ \partial_{\alpha} \theta \partial^{\alpha} \theta + |1 - u^{2}|^{2} [(\phi^{\dagger} \partial_{\alpha} \phi)^{2} + \partial_{\alpha} \phi^{\dagger} \partial^{\alpha} \phi] \right\} \n+ \frac{e}{2} f_{\pi}^{2} A^{\alpha} |u^{2} - 1|^{2} \n\times \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \tau_{3} \phi \cdot \phi^{\dagger} \partial_{\alpha} \phi + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\alpha} \phi^{\dagger} \tau_{3} \phi - \phi^{\dagger} \tau_{3} \partial_{\alpha} \phi) \right] \n- \frac{e^{2} f_{\pi}^{2}}{8} A^{2} |u^{2} - 1|^{2} [-1 + (\phi^{\dagger} \tau_{3} \phi)^{2}] \n- \frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{2} (\partial_{z} \theta)^{2} - f_{\pi}^{2} m_{\pi}^{2} (1 - \cos \theta), \qquad (A1)
$$

where  $x^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, 2$ ) are world-volume coordinates. Since  $\theta$  depends on  $x^{\alpha}$  through  $Z(x^{\alpha})$ ,  $\partial_{\alpha}\theta$  becomes

$$
\partial_{\alpha}\theta = -\partial_{\alpha}Z\partial_z\theta\,,\tag{A2}
$$

then  $f_{\pi}^2 \partial_{\alpha} \theta \partial^{\alpha} \theta / 2$  can be expressed as

$$
\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{2} (\partial_z \theta)^2 \partial_{\alpha} Z \partial^{\alpha} Z . \tag{A3}
$$

Integrating over z, the effective action stemming from eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-1) can be calculated as

$$
\int dz \mathcal{L}_{\text{ChPT}}
$$
\n
$$
= 4m_{\pi} f_{\pi}^{2} (\partial_{\alpha} Z)^{2} + \frac{16f_{\pi}^{2}}{3m_{\pi}} [(\phi^{\dagger} \partial_{\alpha} \phi)^{2} + \partial_{\alpha} \phi^{\dagger} \partial^{\alpha} \phi]
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{16ie}{3m_{\pi}} f_{\pi}^{2} A^{\mu} \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \tau_{3} \phi \cdot \phi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \phi + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi^{\dagger} \tau_{3} \phi - \phi^{\dagger} \tau_{3} \partial_{\mu} \phi) \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{4e^{2} f_{\pi}^{2}}{3m_{\pi}} A^{2} \left[ -1 + (\phi^{\dagger} \tau_{3} \phi)^{2} \right] - 8m_{\pi} f_{\pi}^{2}, \qquad (A4)
$$

where we have used the integrals,

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \, (\partial_z \theta)^2 = 8m_\pi , \qquad (A5)
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \, |1 - u^2|^2 = \frac{32}{3m_\pi} \,. \tag{A6}
$$

The gauge field in the above Lagrangian can be stored in the standard covariant derivative:  $D_{\alpha} \phi = (\partial_{\alpha} +$ ie $A_{\alpha} \tau_3/2$ ) $\phi$ . This comes from the original gauge transformation  $\Sigma \to e^{i\lambda \frac{\tau_3}{2}} \Sigma e^{-i\lambda \frac{\tau_3}{2}}$  and  $A_\mu \to A_\mu - \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu \lambda$  with the definition  $\Sigma = [\mathbf{1}_2 + (u^2 - 1)\phi\phi^\dagger]u^{-1}$  and  $\phi \to e^{i\frac{\lambda \tau_3}{2}}\phi$ . Then, eq. [\(A4\)](#page-4-1) is simplified into

$$
\int dz \mathcal{L}_{ChPT} = -8f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi} + 4m_{\pi} f_{\pi}^2 (\partial_{\alpha} Z)^2
$$

$$
+ \frac{16f_{\pi}^2}{3m_{\pi}} [(\phi^{\dagger} D_{\alpha} \phi)^2 + D_{\alpha} \phi^{\dagger} D^{\alpha} \phi]. \quad (A7)
$$

The first term is the tension of the non-Abelian sine-Gordon soliton, and the second and third terms are the kinetic terms of Z and  $\phi$ , respectively.

We next calculate the effective action coming from the WZW term in eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-0). Due to the eq. [\(20\)](#page-2-5), the first term can be expressed as  $\mu_B \mathcal{B}$ . Integration of  $\mathcal{B}$  over z equals twice q. Then, we get

$$
\int dz \,\mu_B \mathcal{B} = 2\mu_B q \,. \tag{A8}
$$

The second term in eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-0) is divided into two terms as follows:

$$
\frac{i e \mu_B}{16\pi^2} \epsilon^{0ijk} \partial_i [A_j \text{ tr}(\tau_3 L_k + \tau_3 R_k)]
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{i e \mu_B}{16\pi^2} \epsilon^{0ijk} \partial_i A_j \text{ tr}(\tau_3 L_k + \tau_3 R_k)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{i e \mu_B}{16\pi^2} \epsilon^{0ijk} A_j \text{ tr } \tau_3 (\partial_i \Sigma \partial_k \Sigma^{\dagger} + \partial_k \Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_i \Sigma).
$$
 (A9)

We consider the uniform external magnetic field along the z-axis,  $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$ . Then, the first term in eq. [\(A9\)](#page-5-0) becomes

$$
-\frac{\mathrm{i}e\mu_{\mathrm{B}}}{16\pi^2}B\,\mathrm{tr}\,\tau_3(L_3+R_3)\,. \tag{A10}
$$

In terms of the projection operator  $P \equiv \phi \phi^{\dagger}$ ,  $R_k$  and  $L_k$ can be expressed as

$$
L_k = (1 - 2P)i\partial_k \theta + (u^{-2} - 1)\partial_k P + |u^2 - 1|^2 P \partial_k P,
$$
  
(A11)  

$$
R_k = (1 - 2P)i\partial_k \theta + (u^{-2} - 1)\partial_k P + |u^2 - 1|^2 \partial_k P \cdot P.
$$
  
(A12)

Since  $\phi$  does not depend on z, the second and third terms in  $L_3$  and  $R_3$  vanish. Therefore, eq. [\(A10\)](#page-5-1) becomes

$$
-\frac{e\mu_{\rm B}B}{4\pi^2}(\phi^\dagger\tau_3\phi)\partial_3\theta\,,\tag{A13}
$$

and integrating over z, we get

$$
\int dz \frac{i e \mu_B}{16\pi^2} \epsilon^{0ijk} \partial_i A_j \operatorname{tr}(\tau_3 L_k + \tau_3 R_k) = -\frac{e \mu_B B}{2\pi} \phi^{\dagger} \tau_3 \phi,
$$
\n(A14)

where we have used the boundary condition of  $\theta$  for a single soliton,  $\theta(\infty) - \theta(-\infty) = 2\pi$ .

We next calculate the second term in eq. [\(A9\)](#page-5-0). Sub-stituting eq. [\(15\)](#page-2-0) into  $\partial_i \Sigma \partial_k \Sigma^{\dagger}$  and  $\partial_k \Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_i \Sigma$ , these two quantities can be calculated as

$$
\partial_i \Sigma \partial_k \Sigma^{\dagger} = \partial_i \theta \partial_k \theta + [(1 - u^{-2}) - (u^2 - u^{-2})P] i \partial_i \theta \partial_k P - [(1 - u^2) + (u^2 - u^{-2})P] i \partial_k \theta \partial_i P + |1 - u^2|^2 \partial_i P \partial_k P,
$$
(A15)

and

$$
\partial_k \Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_k \Sigma = \partial_i \theta \partial_k \theta + [(1 - u^{-2}) - (u^2 - u^{-2})P] i \partial_i \theta \partial_k P - [(1 - u^2) + (u^2 - u^{-2})P] i \partial_k \theta \partial_i P + |1 - u^2|^2 \partial_k P \partial_i P.
$$
\n(A16)

Hence, we can represent  $\epsilon^{0ijk}$  tr $(\partial_i \Sigma \partial_k \Sigma^{\dagger} + \partial_k \Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_i \Sigma)$  in terms of u and  $\phi$  as follow :

$$
\epsilon^{0ijk} \operatorname{tr} \tau_3(\partial_i \Sigma \partial_k \Sigma^\dagger + \partial_k \Sigma^\dagger \partial_i \Sigma)
$$
  
=  $\epsilon^{0ijk} \operatorname{tr} \tau_3 \{ 2i \left[ -1 + (1 + u^2)P \right] (u^{-2} - 1) \partial_i \theta \partial_k P$   
+  $2i \left[ -1 + (1 + u^{-2})P \right] (u^2 - 1) \partial_i \theta \partial_k P$   
=  $2i |1 - u^2|^2 \epsilon^{0ijk} \partial_i \theta \operatorname{tr} \tau_3 \partial_k P$   
=  $2i |1 - u^2|^2 \epsilon^{03jk} \partial_3 \theta \operatorname{tr} \tau_3 \partial_k P$ . (A17)

Inserting this expression into the second term in eq [\(A9\)](#page-5-0), it becomes

$$
-\frac{e\mu_{\rm B}}{8\pi^2}\epsilon^{03jk}|1-u^2|^2\partial_3\theta A_j\partial_k(\phi^\dagger\tau_3\phi). \tag{A18}
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Integrating over z, we get

$$
\int dz \frac{i e \mu_B}{16\pi^2} \epsilon^{0ijk} A_j \operatorname{tr} \tau_3 (\partial_i \Sigma \partial_k \Sigma^\dagger + \partial_k \Sigma^\dagger \partial_i \Sigma)
$$
  
= 
$$
-\frac{e \mu_B}{2\pi} \epsilon^{03jk} A_j \partial_k (\phi^\dagger \tau_3 \phi), \qquad (A19)
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>where we have used the integral,

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz (u - u^{-1})^2 \partial_3 \theta = -4\pi \,. \tag{A20}
$$

Summing up eqs. [\(A14\)](#page-5-2) and [\(A19\)](#page-5-3), the effective Lagrangian from the second term in eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-2) can be calculated as

$$
-\frac{e\mu_{\rm B}}{2\pi}\epsilon^{03jk}\partial_j(A_k\phi^\dagger\tau_3\phi)\,. \tag{A21}
$$

Finally, we arrive at the effective Lagrangian of the non-Abelian sine-Gordon soliton under the magnetic field :

$$
\mathcal{L}_{DW} \equiv \int dz \left( \mathcal{L}_{ChPT} + \mathcal{L}_{WZW} \right)
$$
  
=  $8f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi} - \frac{e\mu_B B}{2\pi} + 4m_{\pi} f_{\pi}^2 (\partial_{\alpha} Z)^2$   
+  $\frac{16f_{\pi}^2}{3m_{\pi}} [(\phi^{\dagger} D_{\alpha}\phi)^2 + D_{\alpha}\phi^{\dagger} D^{\alpha}\phi]$   
+  $2\mu_B q + \frac{e\mu_B}{2\pi} \epsilon^{03jk} \partial_j [A_k (1 - n_3)],$  (A22)

<span id="page-5-2"></span>which yields eqs. [\(16\)](#page-2-1), [\(17\)](#page-2-1) and [\(18\)](#page-2-1).

# <span id="page-5-4"></span>Appendix B: Correspondence between topological charges in three and two dimensions

Here, we derive the correspondence between the topological charge in three dimensions and two dimensions by using the decomposition of the topological charge density, the Baryon number density, into the sine-Gordon soliton number density and the lump topological charge density. The baryon charge density

$$
\mathcal{B} = \frac{-1}{24\pi^2} \epsilon^{ijk} \operatorname{tr}(L_i L_j L_k),\tag{B1}
$$



<span id="page-6-0"></span>FIG. 4. The single sine-Gordon soliton  $\theta$  and the dressed domain wall density  $-(u - u^{-1})^2 \mathcal{W}$ .

can be factorized as

$$
\mathcal{B} = -(u - u^{-1})^2 \mathcal{W}(z) q(x, y), \tag{B2}
$$

where  $W(w)$  is the domain wall charge density

$$
\mathcal{W}(z) \equiv \frac{\partial_3 \theta}{2\pi} = \frac{m}{\pi \cosh mz},\tag{B3}
$$

and the lump topological charge density

$$
q(x,y) \equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \phi^\dagger \partial_j \phi = \frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ij} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_i \mathbf{n} \times \partial_j \mathbf{n})
$$
(B4)

The sine-Gordon soliton number and the lump number are given by

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \, \mathcal{W} = 1, \quad \int d^2x \, q = k,\tag{B5}
$$

respectively. The dressing factor in  $\beta$  is given by

$$
-(u - u^{-1})^2 = \frac{16\sinh^2 m w}{\cosh^4 m w}.
$$
 (B6)

Thus, the domain wall number density together with the dressing factor determines  $z$ -dependence of  $\beta$ 

$$
-(u - u^{-1})^2 W = \frac{16m \sinh^2 m w}{\pi \cosh^5 m w}.
$$
 (B7)

This has two peaks as shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-0) and its integration reads

$$
-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \, (u - u^{-1})^2 \mathcal{W} = 2. \tag{B8}
$$

Hence, the z integration of the baryon number density is

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \mathcal{B} = 2q(x, y). \tag{B9}
$$

# <span id="page-6-1"></span>Appendix C: The WZW term for  $k$  lumps

Here, we calculate the WZW term. for  $k$ -lump configuration. Let us take the constant magnetic flux background with

$$
A_i = -\frac{B}{2}\epsilon_{ij}x^j, \quad F_{12} = B.
$$
 (C1)

Then, the WZW term includes

$$
\frac{e\mu}{2\pi} \epsilon^{03jk} \partial_j [A_k (1 - n_3)]
$$
  
= 
$$
-\frac{e\mu_B B}{4\pi} \epsilon^{jk} \epsilon_{kl} \partial_j (x^l (1 - n_3))
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{e\mu_B B}{4\pi} \partial_j (x^j (1 - n_3)),
$$
 (C2)

where our notation is  $\epsilon^{12} = \epsilon_{12} = 1$ . Next we integrate this over the xy plane

$$
\int d^2x \frac{e\mu}{2\pi} e^{03jk} \partial_j [A_k(1 - n_3)]
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{e\mu}{4\pi} \int d^2x \partial_j (x^j(1 - n_3))
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{e\mu}{4\pi} \oint dS_j x^j (n_3 - 1).
$$
 (C3)

The lump solution can be easily described by the inhomogeneous coordinate  $f \in \mathbb{C}$  by

$$
\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|f|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ f \end{pmatrix},\tag{C4}
$$

providing

$$
\phi \phi^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{1+|f|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{f} \\ f & |f|^2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{C5}
$$

Then, the equation of motion reduces to

$$
\partial_{\bar{w}}f = 0,\tag{C6}
$$

with  $w \equiv x + iy$ . Hence f can be an arbitrary meromorphic function

$$
f(w) = \frac{b_{k-1}w^{k-1} + \dots + b_1w + b_0}{w^k + a_{k-1}w^{k-1} + \dots + a_1w + a_0}.
$$
 (C7)

The highest power  $k$  is the lump charge. The  $n_3$  component for the k lumps is given by

$$
n_1 = \phi^{\dagger} \tau_1 \phi = \frac{f + \bar{f}}{1 + |f|^2}, \qquad (C8)
$$

$$
n_2 = \phi^{\dagger} \tau_2 \phi = \frac{-i(f - \bar{f})}{1 + |f|^2}, \tag{C9}
$$

$$
n_3 = \phi^{\dagger} \tau_3 \phi = \frac{1 - |f|^2}{1 + |f|^2}.
$$
 (C10)

We have  $n_3 = 1$  for zeros of f, whereas  $n_3 = -1$  for poles of f. In order to evaluate the WZW term, it is enough for us to know the asymptotic behavior

$$
n_3 - 1 \to \frac{r^2 - |b_{k-1}|^2}{r^2 + |b_{k-1}|^2} - 1 = \frac{-2|b_{k-1}|^2}{r^2 + |b_{k-1}|^2}.
$$
 (C11)

Hence, we get

$$
\frac{e\mu_{\rm B}B}{4\pi} \oint dS_j x^j (n_3 - 1)
$$
  
=  $\frac{e\mu_{\rm B}B}{4\pi} \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \ r^2 \frac{-2|b_{k-1}|^2}{r^2 + |b_{k-1}|^2}$   
=  $-e\mu_{\rm B}B|b_{k-1}|^2$ . (C12)

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[1] S. Scherer and M. R. Schindler, [A Primer for Chiral Per](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19254-8)[turbation Theory](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19254-8), Vol. 830 (2012).
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[2] S. K. Bogner, R. J. Furnstahl, and A. Schwenk, From lowmomentum interactions to nuclear structure, [Prog. Part.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2010.03.001) [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2010.03.001) 65, 94 (2010), [arXiv:0912.3688 \[nucl-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.3688)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[3] T. H. R. Skyrme, A Unified Field Theory of Mesons and Baryons, Nucl. Phys. 31[, 556 \(1962\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-5582(62)90775-7)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[4] E. Witten, Current Algebra, Baryons, and Quark Confinement, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(83)90064-0) 223, 433 (1983).
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[5] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Axial anomaly and magnetism of nuclear and quark matter, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.014021) 77, [014021 \(2008\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.014021) [arXiv:0710.1084 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.1084)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[6] D. T. Son and A. R. Zhitnitsky, Quantum anomalies in dense matter, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 074018 \(2004\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.074018) [arXiv:hep-ph/0405216.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0405216)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[7] J. Goldstone and F. Wilczek, Fractional Quantum Numbers on Solitons, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.47.986) 47, 986 (1981).
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[8] E. Witten, Global Aspects of Current Algebra, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(83)90063-9) Phys. B 223[, 422 \(1983\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(83)90063-9)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[9] M. Eto, K. Hashimoto, and T. Hatsuda, Ferromagnetic neutron stars: axial anomaly, dense neutron matter, and pionic wall, Phys. Rev. D 88[, 081701 \(2013\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.081701) [arXiv:1209.4814 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.4814)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[10] T. Brauner and N. Yamamoto, Chiral Soliton Lattice and Charged Pion Condensation in Strong Magnetic Fields, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)132) 04, 132, [arXiv:1609.05213 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.05213)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[11] T. Brauner and S. V. Kadam, Anomalous lowtemperature thermodynamics of QCD in strong magnetic fields, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2017)103) 11, 103, [arXiv:1706.04514 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.04514)
- [12] T. Brauner and S. Kadam, Anomalous electrodynamics of neutral pion matter in strong magnetic fields, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2017)015) 03[, 015,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2017)015) [arXiv:1701.06793 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.06793)
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>[13] T. Brauner, H. Kolešová, and N. Yamamoto, Chiral soliton lattice phase in warm QCD, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136767) 823, [136767 \(2021\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136767) [arXiv:2108.10044 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.10044)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[14] T. Brauner and H. Kolešová, Chiral soliton lattice at next-to-leading order, (2023), [arXiv:2302.06902 \[hep](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.06902)[ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.06902)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[15] X.-G. Huang, K. Nishimura, and N. Yamamoto, Anomalous effects of dense matter under rotation, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)069) 02, [069,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)069) [arXiv:1711.02190 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.02190)
- [16] K. Nishimura and N. Yamamoto, Topological term, QCD anomaly, and the  $\eta^{'}$  chiral soliton lattice in rotating baryonic matter, JHEP 07 [\(07\), 196,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)196) [arXiv:2003.13945 \[hep](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.13945)[ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.13945)
- [17] M. Eto, K. Nishimura, and M. Nitta, Phases of rotating baryonic matter: non-Abelian chiral soliton lattices, antiferro-isospin chains, and ferri/ferromagnetic magnetization, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2022)305) 08, 305, [arXiv:2112.01381 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.01381)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[18] H.-L. Chen, X.-G. Huang, and J. Liao, QCD phase structure under rotation, [Lect. Notes Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-71427-7_11) 987, 349 (2021),

Thus, this term contributes to the energy

$$
E \supset e\mu_{\rm B}B|b_{k-1}|^2. \tag{C13}
$$

This implies that  $b_{k-1} = 0$  is energetically favored.

[arXiv:2108.00586 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.00586)

- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[19] G. W. Evans and A. Schmitt, Chiral anomaly induces superconducting baryon crystal, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2022)192) 09, 192, [arXiv:2206.01227 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.01227)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>[20] M. Kawaguchi, Y.-L. Ma, and S. Matsuzaki, Chiral soliton lattice effect on baryonic matter from a skyrmion crystal model, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.025207) 100, 025207 [\(2019\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.025207) [arXiv:1810.12880 \[nucl-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.12880)
- [21] S. Chen, K. Fukushima, and Z. Qiu, Skyrmions in a magnetic field and  $\pi$ 0 domain wall formation in dense nuclear matter, Phys. Rev. D 105[, L011502 \(2022\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.L011502) [arXiv:2104.11482 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.11482)
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>[22] S. Chen, K. Fukushima, and Z. Qiu, Magnetic enhancement of baryon confinement modeled via a deformed Skyrmion, (2023), [arXiv:2303.04692 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.04692)
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>[23] M. Eto and M. Nitta, Quantum nucleation of topological solitons, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2022)077) 09, 077, [arXiv:2207.00211 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.00211)
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>[24] T. Higaki, K. Kamada, and K. Nishimura, Formation of a chiral soliton lattice, Phys. Rev. D 106[, 096022 \(2022\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.096022) [arXiv:2207.00212 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.00212)
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>[25] A. Yamada and N. Yamamoto, Floquet vacuum engineering: Laser-driven chiral soliton lattice in the QCD vacuum, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 054041 \(2021\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.054041) [arXiv:2107.07074](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.07074) [\[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.07074)
- [26] T. Brauner, G. Filios, and H. Kolešová, Chiral soliton lattice in QCD-like theories, JHEP 12[, 029,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)029) [arXiv:1905.11409 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.11409)
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>[27] T. Brauner, G. Filios, and H. Kolešová, Anomaly-Induced Inhomogeneous Phase in Quark Matter without the Sign Problem, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.012001) 123, 012001 (2019), [arXiv:1902.07522 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07522)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>[28] Y. Togawa, Y. Kousaka, K. Inoue, and J.-i. Kishine, Symmetry, structure, and dynamics of monoaxial chiral magnets, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 85, 112001 (2016).
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>[29] A. M. Polyakov and A. A. Belavin, Metastable States of Two-Dimensional Isotropic Ferromagnets, JETP Lett. 22, 245 (1975).
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>[30] M. Nitta, Correspondence between Skyrmions in 2+1 and 3+1 Dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 87[, 025013 \(2013\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.025013) [arXiv:1210.2233 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.2233)
- [31] M. Nitta, Matryoshka Skyrmions, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.03.003) 872, 62 [\(2013\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.03.003) [arXiv:1211.4916 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.4916)
- [32] S. B. Gudnason and M. Nitta, Domain wall Skyrmions, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 085022 \(2014\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.085022) [arXiv:1403.1245 \[hep](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.1245)[th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.1245)
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>[33] S. B. Gudnason and M. Nitta, Incarnations of Skyrmions, Phys. Rev. D 90[, 085007 \(2014\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.085007) [arXiv:1407.7210 \[hep](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7210)[th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7210)
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>[34] M. Nitta, Non-Abelian Sine-Gordon Solitons, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.04.006) B 895[, 288 \(2015\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.04.006) [arXiv:1412.8276 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.8276)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[35] M. Eto and M. Nitta, Non-Abelian Sine-Gordon Solitons: Correspondence between  $SU(N)$  Skyrmions and  $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$  Lumps, Phys. Rev. D **91**[, 085044 \(2015\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.085044) [arXiv:1501.07038 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.07038)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[36] N. S. Manton, A Remark on the Scattering of BPS Monopoles, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90950-9) 110, 54 (1982).
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[37] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, and N. Sakai, Manifestly supersymmetric effective Lagrangians on BPS solitons, Phys. Rev. D 73[, 125008 \(2006\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.125008) [arXiv:hep](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0602289)[th/0602289.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0602289)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>See [A](#page-4-2)ppendix A for a derivation of the domain-wall EFT.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[39] See Appendix [B](#page-5-4) for a derivation.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[40] Exactly speaking this corresponds to a parameter region that  $e \rightarrow 0$  with keeping  $e\mu$ .
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[41] This situation is similar to magnetic skyrmions in chiral magnets, in which case the DM interaction plays such a role.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[42] M. Nitta, Relations among topological solitons, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.105006) Rev. D 105[, 105006 \(2022\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.105006) [arXiv:2202.03929 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.03929)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[43] Two-dimensional version of domain-wall skyrmions were also earlier found in field theory [\[45–](#page-8-10)[47\]](#page-8-11) and studied experimentally and theoretically in chiral magnets [\[48–](#page-8-12)[53\]](#page-8-13) (see also  $[54]$ ).
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[44] See Appendix [C](#page-6-1) for a derivation.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[45] M. Nitta, Josephson vortices and the Atiyah-Manton construction, Phys. Rev. D 86[, 125004 \(2012\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.125004) [arXiv:1207.6958 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.6958)
- [46] M. Kobayashi and M. Nitta, Sine-Gordon kinks on a domain wall ring, Phys. Rev. D 87[, 085003 \(2013\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.085003) [arXiv:1302.0989 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.0989)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[47] P. Jennings and P. Sutcliffe, The dynamics of domain wall Skyrmions, J. Phys. A 46[, 465401 \(2013\),](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/46/465401) [arXiv:1305.2869 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.2869)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>[48] R. Cheng, M. Li, A. Sapkota, A. Rai, A. Pokhrel, T. Mewes, C. Mewes, D. Xiao, M. De Graef, and V. Sokalski, Magnetic domain wall skyrmions, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.184412) B 99[, 184412 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.184412)
- [49] S. Lepadatu, Emergence of transient domain wall skyrmions after ultrafast demagnetization, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.094402) 102[, 094402 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.094402)
- [50] V. M. Kuchkin, B. Barton-Singer, F. N. Rybakov, S. Blügel, B. J. Schroers, and N. S. Kiselev, Magnetic skyrmions, chiral kinks and holomorphic functions, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.144422) Rev. B 102[, 144422 \(2020\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.144422) [arXiv:2007.06260 \[cond](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.06260)[mat.str-el\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.06260)
- [51] T.Nagase, Y.-G. So, H. Yasui, T. Ishida, H. K. Yoshida, Y. Tanaka, K. Saitoh, N. Ikarashi, Y. Kawaguchi, M. Kuwahara, and M. Nagao, Observation of domain wall bimerons in chiral magnets, [Nature Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23845-y) 12, [3490 \(2021\),](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23845-y) [arXiv:2004.06976 \[cond-mat.mtrl-sci\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.06976)
- [52] K. Yang, K. Nagase, and Y. Hirayama et.al., Wigner solids of domain wall skyrmions, [Nat Commun](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26306-8) 12, 6006 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26306-8)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>[53] C. Ross and M. Nitta, Domain-wall skyrmions in chiral magnets, Phys. Rev. B 107[, 024422 \(2023\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.024422) [arXiv:2205.11417 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.11417)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>[54] S. K. Kim and Y. Tserkovnyak, Magnetic Domain Walls as Hosts of Spin Superfluids and Generators of Skyrmions, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.047202) 119, 047202 (2017), [arXiv:1701.08273 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.08273)