

A very simple $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm for a wide range of Max-SMTI problems

Gergely Csáji^{1,2}

¹ Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

² Institute of Economics, Centre for Economic and Regional Studies, Budapest, Hungary

Abstract. We give a simple approximation algorithm for a common generalization of many previously studied extensions of the stable matching problem with ties. These generalizations include the existence of critical vertices in the graph, amongst whom we must match as much as possible, free edges, that cannot be blocking edges and Δ -stabilities, which mean that for an edge to block, the improvement should be large enough on one or both sides. We also introduce other notions to generalize these even further, which allows our framework to capture many existing and future applications. We show that our edge duplicating technique allows us to treat these different types of generalizations simultaneously, while also making the algorithm, the proofs and the analysis much simpler and shorter than in previous approaches. In particular, we answer an open question by [Askalidis et al. \(2013\)](#) about the existence of a $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm for the MAX-SMTI problem with free edges. This demonstrates well that this technique can grasp the underlying essence of these problems quite well and have the potential to be able to solve countless future applications as well.

1 Introduction

Preference based matching markets is an extensively studied topic in both computer science, mathematics and economics literature. The intensive study of the area started back in 1962 after the seminal paper of [Gale and Shapley \(1962\)](#). They defined the model for the stable marriage problem and showed that a stable matching always exists and can be found in linear time. Since then, countless applications and related models have been studied, see [Manlove \(2013\)](#) for an overview. Applications include Resident allocation, University admissions, Kidney exchanges, job markets and much more.

1.1 Related work

The *stable marriage problem with ties and incomplete lists* (SMTI) was first studied by [Iwama et al. \(1999\)](#), who showed the NP-hardness of MAX-SMTI, which is the problem of finding a maximum size stable matching in an SMTI instance. Since then, various algorithms have been proposed to improve the approximation ratio [Iwama et al. \(2007, 2008\)](#), [Király \(2011\)](#), and the current best ratio is $\frac{3}{2}$ by a polynomial-time algorithm of [McDermid \(2009\)](#), where the same ratio is attained by linear-time algorithms of [Paluch \(2011, 2014\)](#) and [Király \(2012, 2013\)](#). This $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation has been extended to critical relaxed stable matchings by a very recent paper of [Nasre et al. \(2023\)](#). Their paper however considers the generalization of MAX-SMTI with only critical vertices and ordinary weak stability in the one-to-one case, and their algorithm and analysis is also more complicated.

The approximation algorithm for MAX-SMTI has also been extended to some cardinal and matroidal generalizations as well by [Csáji et al. \(2023\)](#). Critical relaxed stability has also been studied in [Krishnaa et al. \(2023\)](#), where they showed that a critical relaxed stable matching always exists, but finding a maximum size such matching is NP hard to approximate within $\frac{21}{19} - \epsilon$, even with only strict preferences. Matroidal generalization of stable matching has been studied in [Fleiner \(2001\)](#).

As for the inapproximability of MAX-SMTI, [Halldórsson et al. \(2002\)](#) showed that it is NP-hard to approximate it within some constant factor. Later, inapproximability results have been improved, especially assuming stronger complexity theoretic conjectures. [Yanagisawa \(2007\)](#) and [Halldórsson et al. \(2003\)](#) showed

that assuming the Unique Games Conjecture, there is no $\frac{4}{3} - \varepsilon$ -approximation for any $\varepsilon > 0$, if $P \neq NP$. By a recent work by [Dudycz et al. \(2022\)](#) it follows that assuming the small set expansion hypothesis, there cannot even be a $\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon$ -approximation algorithm for MAX-SMTI, if $P \neq NP$.

Stable matchings with free edges have also been studied in many papers. [Askalidis et al. \(2013\)](#) proved that with strict preferences, finding a maximum size stable matching can be $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximated in polynomial time with free edges. However, on the negative side they showed that the problem does not admit a polynomial time $\frac{21}{19} - \varepsilon$ approximation algorithm unless $P \neq NP$ and assuming the Unique Games Conjecture, it does not even admit a $\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon$ approximation. These negative results also hold with respect to strict preferences. They posed as an open question whether this $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation can be extended to weak preferences. In this paper we answer this question positively. [Cechlárová and Fleiner \(2009\)](#) investigated the stable roommates problem with free edges and proved NP-hardness even in very restricted settings. [Cseh and Heeger \(2020\)](#) showed hardness of deciding the existence of a strongly stable matching with weak preferences and free edges.

1.2 Our Contributions

In this paper we extend the $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm for MAX-SMTI for a common generalization of many previously introduced and studied concepts. In particular, we answer an open question posed by [Askalidis et al. \(2013\)](#) about the existence of a $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm for the MAX-SMTI problem with free edges. We utilize a recently introduced edge duplicating technique by [Yokoi \(2021\)](#) and [Csáji et al. \(2023\)](#) and show that with the help of this technique, we are able to solve much harder and general related problems in a lot more simple and elegant way. We give a simple approximation algorithm for a framework that includes free edges, critical vertices, critical edges, many cardinal stability notions and capacities on both sides.

2 Preliminaries

We investigate matching markets, where the set of agents with the possible set of contracts is given by a bipartite graph $G = (U, W; E)$. For each agent $v \in U \cup W$, let $E(v)$ denote the edges that are adjacent to v . We assume that for each agent v , there is a *preference function* $p_v : E(v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, which defines a weak ranking over the adjacent edges of v . We assume that the vertices rank their adjacent edges instead of the adjacent agents on the other side, because we allow parallel edges in our model. We also assume that $p_v(\emptyset) = -\infty$, which denotes that an agent always strictly prefers to be matched to any acceptable partners rather than being unmatched.

We say that an edge set $M \subset E$ is a *matching*, if $|M \cap E(v)| \leq 1$ for each $v \in U \cup W$. For a vertex v , let $M(v)$ denote the edge adjacent to v in M , if there is any, otherwise $M(v)$ is \emptyset . We say that an edge $e = (u, w) \notin M$ *blocks* a matching M , if $p_w(e) > p_w(M(w))$ and $p_u(e) > p_u(M(u))$ holds, that is, both agents strictly prefer each other to their partner in M . A matching M is called *weakly stable* or just *stable*, if there is no blocking edge to M . The problem of finding a maximum size weakly stable matching in a bipartite graph with weak preferences, called MAX-SMTI is a well studied problem that is NP-hard even to approximate within some constant, but admits a linear time $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation.

We continue by introducing the generalizations that we consider. We start with Δ -min and Δ -max stability, which were introduced in and [Csáji et al. \(2023\)](#) (a similar notion to Δ -min stability has also been defined in [Chen et al. \(2021\)](#)). Let $\Delta > 0$ be a constant. A matching M is Δ -*min-stable*, if there is no blocking edge $e = (u, w)$ with respect to M , such that $\min\{p_u(e) - p_u(M(u)), p_w(e) - p_w(M(w))\} \geq \Delta$. Notice that even if each agent has a different Δ value, by rescaling the preferences, we can suppose that each of these values are the same. A matching M is Δ -*max-stable*, if there is no blocking edge $e = (u, w)$ with respect to M , such that $\max\{p_u(e) - p_u(M(u)), p_w(e) - p_w(M(w))\} \geq \Delta$. By choosing Δ to be small enough, it is easy to see that both of these stability notions strictly generalize weak stability.

Now we define critical matchings and relaxed stability as they were defined in a very recent work of [Krishnaa et al. \(2023\)](#). For this, let us suppose that there is a set $C \subset U \cup W$ of *critical* vertices. Criticality means that we want to match as many of them as possible in any matching. We say that a matching M is *critical*, if there is no other matching M' , such that M' matches strictly more vertices from C , than M . Of

course, there may not be a matching that is both critical and weakly stable. Hence, we use a weaker notion of stability, called *relaxed stability*. A matching M is *relaxed stable*, if there is no blocking pair $e = (u, w)$ to M , such that neither $M(u)$ nor $M(w)$ is a critical vertex. The motivation behind this definition is that since we want to maximize the number of matched critical agents, we do not allow blocking pairs, where one of the participating agents would leave a critical agent unmatched.

Now we generalize this concept in a natural way. Suppose that there is a set E_c of critical edges too. This represents that it may be also important that we match the critical agents to certain other agents that are good enough for them, or more compatible with them in some way. For a matching M , let $M_c = M \cap E_c$ denote the critical edges of M . We say that a matching M is *critical*, if there is no matching N , such that N_c covers strictly more critical nodes than M_c . A matching M is *critical relaxed stable*, if it is critical and there is no blocking edge $e = (u, w)$, such that $M \setminus \{(u, M(u)), (M(w), w)\} \cup \{e\}$ covers at least as many critical nodes with critical edges as M . The special case of only critical nodes corresponds to the case $E = E_c$. We also strengthen the notion of relaxed stability a little in a natural way, because we allow an agent that was matched to a critical agent to block with an unmatched critical agent for example. We may assume without loss of generality that each critical edge E_c is adjacent to at least one critical node.

Finally we introduce the notion of free agents and free edges. In [Askalidis et al. \(2013\)](#), free edges were used to model stable matching instances, where there are friendship relations which restrict certain edges from blocking. Let us suppose there is a set $F \subset E$ of free edges and a set $F_A \subset U \cup W$ of free agents. Free agents cannot participate in any blocking edge, while free edges cannot be blocking edges. Hence, a matching M is *stable* in this instance, if for each blocking edge (u, w) it holds that $|\{u, w\} \cap F_A| \geq 1$ or $(u, w) \in F$. Clearly, we can model free agents with free edges only: just make each edge adjacent to a free agent a free edge.

Next we define a nice common generalization of Δ -min/max stability and the notion of free edges. Here we suppose that for each edge $e = (u, w)$, there are two numbers $\gamma_e^u > 0$ and $\gamma_e^w > 0$ given. We say that a matching is γ -*min stable*, if there is no blocking edge $e = (u, w)$ such that $p_u(e) - p_u(M(u)) \geq \gamma_e^u$ and $p_w(e) - p_w(M(w)) \geq \gamma_e^w$ holds. Similarly, a matching is γ -*max stable*, if there is no blocking edge $e = (u, w)$ such that $p_u(e) - p_u(M(u)) \geq \gamma_e^u$ or $p_w(e) - p_w(M(w)) \geq \gamma_e^w$ holds. The case of Δ -stabilities with a set $F \subset E$ of free edges corresponds to the very special case, when $\gamma_e^v = \infty$, if $e \in F$ and $\gamma_e^v = \Delta$ otherwise. Hence in our model, we allow very different types of conditions for each edge to block, independently from each other, which can incorporate many other special properties of certain applications. For example, if one thinks about job markets, and assume that the underlying preferences are in correspondence with the salaries of the positions, there may be many other aspects of a workplace that make it desirable. Hence, for each agent and each different company and position, the increase in the salary that would make a job offer good enough for the applicant to switch, might be different.

Putting it all together, we define a general model, which incorporates all of the previously discussed ones. Suppose we are given a set $C \subset U \cup W$ of critical vertices, a set $E_c \subset E$ of critical edges and γ_e^v values for each pair $(e, v) \in E \times (U \cup W)$ such that $v \in e$. We say that a matching M is *critical relaxed- γ -min stable*, if M is critical and there is no blocking edge $e = (u, w)$, such that $M \setminus \{(u, M(u)), (M(w), w)\} \cup \{e\}$ covers at least as many critical nodes with critical edges as M , and $\min\{p_u(e) - p_u(M(u)) - \gamma_e^u, p_w(e) - p_w(M(w)) - \gamma_e^w\} \geq 0$ all hold. Otherwise, we call such a blocking edge a *$c\gamma$ -min blocking edge*. Clearly, this generalizes all three concepts. Similarly we can define *critical relaxed- γ -max stable* matchings by replacing $\min\{p_u(e) - p_u(M(u)) - \gamma_e^u, p_w(e) - p_w(M(w)) - \gamma_e^w\} \geq 0$ with $\max\{p_u(e) - p_u(M(u)) - \gamma_e^u, p_w(e) - p_w(M(w)) - \gamma_e^w\} \geq 0$.

In order to be able to solve both problems with the same algorithm, let us consider a common generalization of the above two problems that we describe now. Instead of one γ_e^u , we have values $0 < \gamma_e^u < \delta_e^u$ for each vertex-edge pair. We say that an edge $e = (u, w)$ *$c\gamma$ -blocks* a matching M , if $M \setminus \{(u, M(u)), (M(w), w)\} \cup \{e\}$ covers at least as many critical nodes with critical edges as M , and either $p_u(e) - p_u(M(u)) \geq \gamma_e^u$ and $p_w(e) - p_w(M(w)) \geq \delta_e^w$ or $p_u(e) - p_u(M(u)) \geq \delta_e^u$ and $p_w(e) - p_w(M(w)) \geq \gamma_e^w$ holds. We say that a matching M is *$c\gamma$ -stable*, if M is critical and there is no edge $c\gamma$ -blocks M . If γ_e^v is sufficiently small, then this corresponds to γ -max stability, and when γ_e^v and δ_e^v are sufficiently close, then it corresponds to γ -min stability.

Now we define the computational problem we investigate, called Maximum $c\gamma$ stable matching with ties, incomplete preferences and critical edges abbreviated as MAX- $c\gamma$ -SMTI for short.

MAX- $c\gamma$ -SMTI	
Input:	A bipartite graph $G = (U, W; E)$, $p_v()$ preference valuations for each $v \in U \cup W$, a set $C \subset U \cup W$ of critical vertices, a set $E_c \subset E$ of critical edges, numbers $0 < \gamma_e^v < \delta_e^v$ for each pair $(e, v) \in E \times (U \cup W)$ such that $v \in e$; and a number k .
Question:	Is there a matching M with $ M \geq k$ that is $c\gamma$ -stable?

The main result of the paper is a simple $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm for MAX- $c\gamma$ -SMTI.

3 The algorithm

We start by describing the algorithm.

1. Create an instance I' of the stable marriage problem with strict preferences by making parallel copies of each edge and create strict preferences over the created edges.
2. Run a Gale-Shapley algorithm to obtain a stable matching M' in the new instance I'
3. Take the projection M of M' to I by taking an edge e inside M , whenever one of the parallel copies of e was inside M' .

3.1 $E_c = E$ special case

Let us start with the special case when each edge is critical, so only the number of covered critical vertices matter. Also, this means that $M_c = M$. The more general case will be solved by the same simple ideas, however, the many required types of copies make it more difficult to follow. We describe the algorithm in more detail as follows. Let I be an instance of MAX- $c\gamma$ -SMTI. Let $|C \cap U| = s$ and $|C \cap W| = t$. For each edge $e = (u, w) \in E$ we create parallel copies as follows

- We create copies $a(e)$, $b_0(e)$, $b_1(e)$ and $c(e)$,
- If $w \in C \cap W$ is critical we create copies $x_1(e), \dots, x_t(e)$
- If $u \in C \cap U$ is critical we create copies $z_1(e), \dots, z_s(e)$

Then, we create strict preferences as follows. For a vertex $u \in U$, we rank the copies according to the rule

$$x_1 \succ \dots \succ x_t \succ a \succeq^\gamma b_0 \succeq^{\delta-\gamma} b_1 \succ c \succ z_s \succ \dots \succ z_1.$$

For a vertex $w \in W$, we rank the copies according to the rule

$$z_1 \succ \dots \succ z_s \succ c \succeq^\gamma b_1 \succeq^{\delta-\gamma} b_0 \succ a \succ x_t \succ \dots \succ x_1.$$

For any non- b_i copy, we rank the edges of the same copy according to the preference functions $p_v()$ by breaking the ties arbitrarily. Here, $\alpha \succ \beta$ denotes that for any two edges e, f , the copy $\alpha(e)$ is ranked higher than the copy $\beta(f)$. $a \succeq^\gamma b_0$ means that $a(e) \succ_v b_0(e)$ for any edge e , and $b_0(f) \succ_v a(e)$, if and only if $p_v(f) \geq p_v(e) + \gamma_f^v$. $a \succeq^\gamma b_0 \succeq^{\delta-\gamma} b_1$ means that for any edge e , $a(e) \succ_v b_0(e) \succ_v b_1(e)$, but $b_1(f) \succ_v a(e)$ if and only if $p_v(f) \geq p_v(e) + \delta_f^v$. This can be obtained in the following way. For each node $u \in U$ rank the a copies according to $p_v()$ by breaking the ties arbitrarily. Then, we can insert the b_0 copy of each edge f , such that $b_0(f) \succ_u a(e)$, if and only if $p_u(f) \geq p_u(e) + \gamma_f^u$. Similarly we can insert the b_1 copies such that $b_1(f) \succ_u a(e)$ if and only if $p_u(f) \geq p_u(e) + \delta_f^u$. Also this preserves that $a(e) \succ_u b_0(e) \succ_u b_1(e)$ for any edge e and $b_0(f) \succ_u b_1(e)$ if and only if $p_u(f) \geq p_u(e) + \delta_f^u - \gamma_f^u$. For the W side, we do it similarly, according to their ranking. Note that this definition allows the b_i copies to be ranked in a different order than $p_v()$, which is surprising, but necessary. If there are still some ties remaining, we break them arbitrarily.

Theorem 1. MAX- $c\gamma$ -SMTI can be $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximated in $\mathcal{O}((s+t)|E|)$ time, if $E = E_c$.

Proof. We prove the theorem in three simple claims. Let M denote the output of the algorithm and M' be its preimage in the extended instance I' .

Claim. M is critical.

Proof: Suppose for contradiction that there is a matching N , such that N matches strictly more critical vertices than M . Then, it must hold that either N matches more critical vertices from U or more critical vertices from W than M .

Suppose that the first case holds. Then, there is a component (a path) in $N \cup M$, such that there is an endpoint $u_1 \in U \cap C$ that is critical, but is only matched in N . Let $e_1 = (u_1, w_1) = N(u_1)$. As u_1 is critical, we know that the copies $z_1(u_1, w_1), \dots, z_s(u_1, w_1)$ exists. As u_1 is not matched in M' , the fact that $z_1(u_1, w_1)$ does not block M' implies that w_1 is matched in M' and with a z_1 -type edge. Let $(u_2, w_1) = M(w_1)$. This immediately implies that $u_2 \in U \cap C$, as $z_1(u_2, w_1)$ exists. By our assumption on the component, u_2 is matched in N to a vertex w_2 .

As any z_2 copy is better for u_2 in I' , but $z_2(u_2, w_2)$ exists and does not block, $z_j(u_3, w_2) \in M'$ for some $u_3 \in U$ and $j \leq 2$. Again, we obtain that u_3 is critical, so by our assumption on the component, u_3 is matched in N to a vertex w_3 . By iterating this argument, we get that there must be vertices $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{s+1} \in U$ that are critical, which contradicts $|U \cap C| = s$.

The second case is analogous. ■

Claim. The output matching M by the algorithm is $c\gamma$ -stable.

Proof: Suppose for contradiction that there is a $c\gamma$ -blocking edge $e = (u, w)$ to M .

If u is unmatched, then $g = M(w)$ exists. As e $c\gamma$ -blocks we get that $z_1(e)$ blocks M' , contradiction. If w is unmatched, $x_1(e)$ blocks M' , contradiction.

Hence, $M(u) = f = (u, w')$ and $M(w) = g = (u', w)$ is not \emptyset . Because e $c\gamma$ -blocks, $p_u(e) \geq p_u(f) + \gamma_e^u$ and $p_w(e) \geq p_w(g) + \delta_e^w$ or $p_u(e) \geq p_u(f) + \delta_e^u$ and $p_w(e) \geq p_w(g) + \gamma_e^w$. Also, as e $c\gamma$ -blocks, the vertices u', w' cannot be critical, so $x_1(f), \dots, x_t(f), z_1(g), \dots, z_s(g)$ does not exist. Hence, in the first case $b_0(e)$ and in the second case $b_1(e)$ blocks M' , contradiction. ■

Claim. For any *critical relaxed- γ -min stable* matching N it holds that $|N| \leq \frac{3}{2}|M|$

Proof: Suppose for contradiction that there is a $c\gamma$ -stable matching N such that $|N| > \frac{3}{2}|M|$. Then, there must be a path component in $N \cup M$ that is either a single N -edge, or consist of two N -edges and one M -edge. The first case is clearly impossible, as M is necessarily maximal.

Suppose the second case holds. Let $e = (u_1, w_2)$ be the edge of M and $f = (u_1, w_1), g = (u_2, w_2)$ be the edges of N .

First observe that as M and N are critical, e and $f \cup g$ matches the same number of critical nodes, so w_1, u_2 are not critical and $x_1(f), \dots, x_t(f), z_1(g), \dots, z_s(g)$ does not exist.

As $a(f)$ does not block, $b_0(e), b_1(e)$ or $c(e) \in M'$. As $c(g)$ does not block, $b_0(e), b_1(e)$ or $a(e) \in M'$. Hence, $b_0(e)$ or $b_1(e) \in M'$. If $b_0(e) \in M'$, then $p_u(e) \geq p_u(f) + \gamma_e^u$ and $p_w(e) \geq p_w(g) + \delta_e^w$, so e $c\gamma$ -blocks N (combining with the fact that e and $f \cup g$ matches the same number of critical nodes), contradiction. The other case implies $p_u(e) \geq p_u(f) + \delta_e^u$ and $p_w(e) \geq p_w(g) + \gamma_e^w$, so e $c\gamma$ -blocks N , contradiction again. ■

The statement follows from these three claims. The running time of the algorithm is linear in the edges of the extended instance, as the Gale-Shapley algorithm is linear, so it has running time $\mathcal{O}((s+t)|E|)$. □

We state one remark about a straightforward extension of this algorithm.

Remark 1. If we can have k $(\gamma_e^v)_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ values instead of the two γ_e^v, δ_e^v values, and let $e = (u, w)$ block if $p_u(e) \geq p_u(M(u)) + (\gamma_e^u)_i$ and $p_w(e) \geq p_w(M(w)) + (\gamma_e^w)_{k+1-i}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then this framework can also incorporate a notion of γ -sum stability. In γ -sum stability, we have one γ_e value for each edge, and (u, w) γ -sum blocks, if it blocks and the sum of the two improvements are at least γ_e . With $k = \mathcal{O}(|U \cup W|^2)$ copies, we can have $(\gamma_e^v)_i$ values for each possible improvement c and $\gamma_e - c$ such that $(\gamma_e^v)_i = \gamma_e - (\gamma_e^v)_{k+1-i}$, so γ -sum stability becomes a special case. Furthermore, the same algorithm straightforwardly extends to this case, we only need to make k copies of b_i instead of 2 and define the strict ranking in I' according to the differences $(\gamma_e^v)_{i+1} - (\gamma_e^v)_i$.

3.2 General case

Finally, we discuss the more general case with an arbitrary subset of critical edges. Let us start by describing the extended instance I' . For each edge $e = (u, w) \in E$ we create parallel copies as follows:

- We create copies $a(e), b_0(e), b_1(e)$ and $c(e)$,
- If $w \in C \cap W$ is critical and $e \in E_c$ is also critical, we create copies $x_1(e), \dots, x_{t+7}(e)$
- If $u \in C \cap U$ is critical and $e \in E_c$ is also critical, we create copies $z_1(e), \dots, z_{s+7}(e)$
- Finally, if $e \in E_c$ is critical and both $u, w \in C$ are critical, we create a copies $y_0(e), y_1(e)$

Then, we create strict preferences as follows. Let us write \succeq^δ instead of $\succeq^{\delta-\gamma}$. For a vertex $u \in U$, we rank the copies according to the rule

$$x_1 \succeq^\gamma x_2 \succeq^\delta x_3 \succ x_4 \succ \dots \succ x_{t+4} \succ z_{s+7} \succeq^\gamma y_0 \succeq z_{s+6} \succeq^\delta y_1 \succeq z_{s+5} \succ z_{s+4} \succ \dots \succ z_4 \succ a \succeq^\gamma b_0 \succeq z_3 \succeq x_{t+5} \succeq^\delta b_1 \succeq z_2 \succeq x_{t+6} \succ z_1 \succ x_{t+7} \succ c.$$

For a vertex $w \in W$, we rank the copies according to the rule

$$z_1 \succeq^\gamma z_2 \succeq^\delta z_3 \succ z_4 \succ \dots \succ z_{s+4} \succ x_{t+7} \succeq^\gamma y_1 \succeq x_{t+6} \succeq^\delta y_0 \succeq x_{t+5} \succ x_{t+4} \succ \dots \succ x_4 \succ c \succeq^\gamma b_1 \succeq x_3 \succeq z_{s+5} \succeq^\delta b_0 \succeq x_2 \succeq z_{s+6} \succ x_1 \succ z_{s+7} \succ a.$$

The edges from copies $x_1, x_4, x_5, \dots, x_{t+4}, z_1, z_4, z_5, \dots, z_{s+4}, a, c$ are ranked according to $p_v(\cdot)$. Here, when $\alpha \succeq \beta$ for two types of copies, then it always means that we insert $\beta(e)$ strictly after $\alpha(e)$ (so no other edge comes between them), after the $\alpha(e)$ copy has been inserted.

Theorem 2. MAX- $c\gamma$ -SMTI can be $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximated in $\mathcal{O}((s+t)|E|)$ time.

Proof. We prove the theorem in three simple claims. Let M denote the output of the algorithm and M' be its preimage in the extended instance I' .

Claim. M is critical.

Proof: Suppose for contradiction that there is a matching N , such that N_c matches strictly more critical vertices than M_c . Then, it must hold that either N_c matches more critical vertices from U or more critical vertices from W than M_c .

Suppose that the first case holds. Then, there is a component (a path) in $N_c \cup M_c$, such that there is an endpoint $u_1 \in U \cap C$ that is critical, but is only matched in N_c . Let $e_1 = (u_1, w_1) = N(u_1)$. As u_1 is critical, we know that the copies $z_1(u_1, w_1), \dots, z_{s+7}(u_1, w_1)$ exists. As u_1 is not matched with a critical edge in M , it can only be matched with an a, b_i or c type copy. The fact that $z_4(u_1, w_1)$ does not block M' implies that w_1 is matched in M' and with a z_j -type edge with $j \leq 4$. Let $(u_2, w_1) = M(w_1)$. This immediately implies that $u_2 \in U \cap C$, as $z_j(u_2, w_1)$ exists. By our assumption on the component, u_2 is matched in N_c to a vertex w_2 .

As any z_5 copy is better for u_2 in I' than any z_j copy with $j \leq 4$, but $z_5(u_2, w_2)$ exists and does not block, $z_j(u_3, w_2) \in M'$ for some $u_3 \in U$ and $j \leq 5$. Again, we obtain that u_3 is critical, so by our assumption on the component, u_3 is matched in N_c to a vertex w_3 . By iterating this argument, we get that there must be vertices $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{s+1} \in U$ that are critical, which contradicts $|U \cap C| = s$.

The second case is analogous. ■

Claim. The output matching M by the algorithm is $c\gamma$ -stable.

Proof: Suppose for contradiction that there is a $c\gamma$ -blocking edge $e = (u, w)$ to M .

If u is unmatched, then $g = M(w) = (u', w)$ exists. As e $c\gamma$ -blocks and M is critical we get that $e \in E_c$ if and only if $g \in E_c$ and if yes, then $u' \in C$ if and only if $u \in C$. In particular, we get that the best copy of e and g in I' for w is the same. Also, this copy can be only c, x_{t+7} or z_1 and all these copies are ranked according to $p_w(\cdot)$. Therefore, this copy of e blocks M' , as e $c\gamma$ -blocks M , contradiction. The case when w is unmatched is similar.

Hence, $M(u) = f = (u, w')$ and $M(w) = g = (u', w)$ is not \emptyset . Because e $c\gamma$ -blocks, $p_u(e) \geq p_u(f) + \gamma_e^u$ and $p_w(e) \geq p_w(g) + \delta_e^w$ or $p_u(e) \geq p_u(f) + \delta_e^u$ and $p_w(e) \geq p_w(g) + \gamma_e^w$.

If $f, g \notin E_c$, then $e \notin E_c$, as M is critical. Hence, only a, b_0, b_1, c copies exists of e, f, g . As e $c\gamma$ -blocks M , we get that $b_0(e)$ or $b_1(e)$ blocks M' , contradiction.

Suppose that $f, g \in E_c$. Then, $e \in E_c$, $u, w \in C$ and $u', w' \notin C$, because e $c\gamma$ -blocks. Hence, $x_i(f), z_j(g)$ do not exists for any i, j , but $y_0(e), y_1(e)$ exists. As e $c\gamma$ -blocks one of $y_0(e), y_1(e)$ blocks M' , contradiction.

Suppose that $f \in E_c, g \notin E_c$. In particular, only $a(g), b_0(g), b_1(g), c(g)$ exists of g . Then, $e \in E_c$ as e $c\gamma$ -blocks and $w' \in C$ if and only if $w \in C$. If $w, w' \in C$, then $x_2(e), x_3(e)$ exists and one of them blocks M' , contradiction. If $w, w' \notin C$, then $x_i(e), x_i(f)$ do not exists for any i and neither does $y_0(e), y_0(f), y_1(e), y_1(f)$. Hence, $z_{s+5}(e)$ or $z_{s+6}(e)$ blocks M' , contradiction.

The case $f \notin E_c, g \in E_c$ is analogous. ■

Claim. For any *critical relaxed- γ -min stable* matching N it holds that $|N| \leq \frac{3}{2}|M|$

Proof: Suppose for contradiction that there is a $c\gamma$ -stable matching N such that $|N| > \frac{3}{2}|M|$. Then, there must be a path component in $N \cup M$ that is either a single N -edge, or consist of two N -edges and one M -edge. The first case is clearly impossible, as M is necessarily maximal.

Suppose the second case holds. Let $e = (u_1, w_2)$ be the edge of M and $f = (u_1, w_1), g = (u_2, w_2)$ be the edges of N .

First observe that as M and N are critical, e and $f \cup g$ matches the same number of critical nodes with critical edges. Hence, if e γ -blocks N , then it $c\gamma$ -blocks N .

Suppose that $f, g \notin E_c$. Then, $e \notin E_c$ and only a, b_0, b_1, c copies exists of all three edges. As $a(f)$ does not block, $b_0(e), b_1(e)$ or $c(e) \in M'$. As $c(g)$ does not block, $b_0(e), b_1(e)$ or $a(e) \in M'$. Hence, $b_0(e)$ or $b_1(e) \in M'$. If $b_0(e) \in M'$, then $p_u(e) \geq p_u(f) + \gamma_e^u$ and $p_w(e) \geq p_w(g) + \delta_e^w$, so e $c\gamma$ -blocks N (combining with the fact that e and $f \cup g$ matches the same number of critical nodes), contradiction. The other case implies $p_u(e) \geq p_u(f) + \delta_e^u$ and $p_w(e) \geq p_w(g) + \gamma_e^w$, so e $c\gamma$ -blocks N , contradiction again.

Next suppose that $f, g \in E_c$. Then, as M is critical, $e \in E_c$, $u_1, w_2 \in C$ and $u_2, w_1 \notin C$. Hence, $x_i(f), z_j(g)$ does not exists for any i, j but $y_0(e), y_1(e)$ exists and also $z_j(f), x_i(g)$ exists for all i, j . As $z_{s+7}(f)$ does not block and $x_{t+7}(g)$ does not block we get that $y_0(e) \in M'$ or $y_1(e) \in M'$ and in both cases, e $c\gamma$ -blocks N , contradiction.

Suppose that $f \in E_c, g \notin E_c$. Then, as M, N are critical, $e \in E_c$ and $w_1 \in C$ if and only if $w_2 \in C$. If $w_1, w_2 \in C$, then $x_1(f)$ exists. As $x_1(f)$ does not block and $c(g)$ does not block, $x_2(e) \in M'$ or $x_3(e) \in M'$. In both cases, e $c\gamma$ -blocks N , contradiction. If $w_1, w_2 \notin C$, then $u_1 \in C$ (each $e \in E_c$ has one critical endpoint by our assumption) and $y_0(e), y_1(e), x_i(e)$ does not exists for $i \in \{1, \dots, t+7\}$, but $z_j(e), z_j(f)$ does for $j \in \{1, \dots, s+7\}$. As neither $z_{s+7}(f)$ nor $c(g)$ blocks, we get that $z_{s+6}(e) \in M'$ or $z_{s+5}(e) \in M'$. In both cases, we get that e $c\gamma$ -blocks N , contradiction.

The case $f \notin E_c, g \in E_c$ is similar. ■

The statement follows form these three claims. The running time of the algorithm is linear in the edges of the extended instance, as the Gale-Shapley algorithm is linear, so it has running time $\mathcal{O}((s+t)|E|)$. □

Remark 2. Even this algorithm is straightforward to extend to work for the case when k $(\gamma_e^v)_i$ numbers are given, but the number of required copies make the analysis more technical and tedious.

4 Future Work

In this paper we demonstrated the robust usefulness and generality of the edge duplicating technique to provide simple algorithms for many MAX-SMTI generalizations at the same time. As there are probably even more cases, where this technique could provide a nice solution, there are a huge potential of applying it to other lesser known or yet to be introduced models.

5 Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Lendület Programme of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences – grant number LP2021-1/2021, by the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office – NKFIH, grant number K143858.

Bibliography

- G. Askalidis, N. Immorlica, A. Kwanashie, D. F. Manlove, and E. Pountourakis. Socially stable matchings in the hospitals/residents problem. In *Algorithms and Data Structures: 13th International Symposium, WADS 2013, London, ON, Canada, August 12-14, 2013. Proceedings 13*, pages 85–96. Springer, 2013.
- K. Cechlárová and T. Fleiner. Stable roommates with free edges. Technical report, Technical Report 2009-01, Egerváry Research Group on Combinatorial . . . , 2009.
- J. Chen, P. Skowron, and M. Sorge. Matchings under preferences: Strength of stability and tradeoffs. *ACM Transactions on Economics and Computation*, 9(4):1–55, 2021.
- G. Csáji, T. Király, and Y. Yokoi. Approximation algorithms for matroidal and cardinal generalizations of stable matching. In *Symposium on Simplicity in Algorithms (SOSA)*, pages 103–113. SIAM, 2023.
- Á. Cseh and K. Heeger. The stable marriage problem with ties and restricted edges. *Discrete Optimization*, 36:100571, 2020.
- S. Dudycz, P. Manurangsi, and J. Marcinkowski. Tight inapproximability of minimum maximal matching on bipartite graphs and related problems. In *Approximation and Online Algorithms: 19th International Workshop, WAOA 2021, Lisbon, Portugal, September 6–10, 2021, Revised Selected Papers*, pages 48–64. Springer, 2022.
- T. Fleiner. A matroid generalization of the stable matching polytope. In *Proc. 8th International Conference on Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization*, pages 105–114. Springer, 2001.
- D. Gale and L. S. Shapley. College admissions and the stability of marriage. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 69(1):9–15, 1962.
- M. Halldórsson, K. Iwama, S. Miyazaki, and Y. Morita. Inapproximability results on stable marriage problems. In *LATIN 2002: Theoretical Informatics: 5th Latin American Symposium Cancun, Mexico, April 3–6, 2002 Proceedings 5*, pages 554–568. Springer, 2002.
- M. M. Halldórsson, K. Iwama, S. Miyazaki, and H. Yanagisawa. Improved approximation of the stable marriage problem. In *Algorithms-ESA 2003: 11th Annual European Symposium, Budapest, Hungary, September 16-19, 2003. Proceedings 11*, pages 266–277. Springer, 2003.
- K. Iwama, D. Manlove, S. Miyazaki, and Y. Morita. Stable marriage with incomplete lists and ties. In *Proc. 26th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP 1999)*, pages 443–452. Springer, 1999.
- K. Iwama, S. Miyazaki, and N. Yamauchi. A 1.875 -approximation algorithm for the stable marriage problem. In *Proc. Eighteenth annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms (SODA 2007)*, pages 288–297. SIAM, Philadelphia, 2007.
- K. Iwama, S. Miyazaki, and N. Yamauchi. A $(2 - c\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ -approximation algorithm for the stable marriage problem. *Algorithmica*, 51(3):342–356, 2008.
- Z. Király. Better and simpler approximation algorithms for the stable marriage problem. *Algorithmica*, 60(1):3–20, 2011.
- Z. Király. Linear time local approximation algorithm for maximum stable marriage. In *Proc. Second International Workshop on Matching Under Preferences (MATCH-UP 2012)*, page 99, 2012.
- Z. Király. Linear time local approximation algorithm for maximum stable marriage. *Algorithms*, 6(3):471–484, 2013.
- P. Krishnaa, G. Limaye, M. Nasre, and P. Nimbhorkar. Envy-freeness and relaxed stability: Hardness and approximation algorithms. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 45(1):41, 2023.
- D. Manlove. *Algorithmics of matching under preferences*, volume 2. World Scientific, 2013.
- E. McDermid. A $3/2$ -approximation algorithm for general stable marriage. In *Proc. 36th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP 2009)*, pages 689–700. Springer, 2009.

- M. Nasre, P. Nimbhorkar, and K. Ranjan. Critical relaxed stable matchings with two-sided ties. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.12325*, 2023.
- K. Paluch. Faster and simpler approximation of stable matchings. In *Proc. 9th International Workshop on Approximation and Online Algorithms (WAOA 2011)*, pages 176–187, 2011.
- K. Paluch. Faster and simpler approximation of stable matchings. *Algorithms*, 7(2):189–202, 2014.
- H. Yanagisawa. Approximation algorithms for stable marriage problems. *PhD thesis, Kyoto University, Graduate School of Informatics*, 2007.
- Y. Yokoi. An approximation algorithm for maximum stable matching with ties and constraints. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.03076*, 2021.