

The free energy of dilute Bose gases at low temperatures

Florian Haberberger*, Christian Hainzl*, Phan Thành Nam*,
Robert Seiringer†, Arnaud Triay*

February 27, 2024

Abstract

We consider a low density Bose gas interacting through a repulsive potential in the thermodynamic limit. We justify the Lee–Huang–Yang conjecture of 1957 concerning the shape of the excitation spectrum. Rigorously we prove a lower bound for the free energy at suitably low temperatures, where the modified excitation spectrum leads to a second order correction to the ground state energy.

1 Introduction

Although thermodynamic properties of the ideal Bose gas have been well understood since the pioneering work of Bose and Einstein [6, 12], the rigorous understanding of interacting Bose gases remains a major challenge. In particular for dilute systems, Bose–Einstein condensation and related phenomena at low temperatures have been observed experimentally since 1995 [2, 10], but the derivation of these collective effects from first principles of quantum mechanics is mostly open.

In 1957, Lee, Huang and Yang [20] used a pseudopotential method to analyze the spectrum of dilute Bose gases. To be precise, for a Bose gas with density $\rho > 0$ interacting through a repulsive potential with scattering length $\mathfrak{a} > 0$, they predicted that the ground state energy per unit volume is given by

$$E_0 = 4\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\rho\mathfrak{a}^3} \right), \quad (1.1)$$

and that the low-lying eigenvalues have the form

$$E_0 + \sum_{p \neq 0} m_p \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho p^2}, \quad m_p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

up to small errors in the dilute limit $\rho\mathfrak{a}^3 \rightarrow 0$ (see Eqs. (25) and (34) in [20], respectively). Although the work in [20] focuses on the hard-sphere interaction, the Lee–Huang–Yang formulas are expected to hold true for a large class of repulsive interactions. Thus (1.1) and (1.2) exhibit a universality of dilute Bose gases. Namely, the ground state energy and the excitation spectrum are well approximated solely in terms of the density of the system and the scattering length of the interaction. Their rigorous justification from the many-body Schrödinger equation has been an important problem in mathematical physics.

*Department of Mathematics, LMU Munich, Theresienstrasse 39, 80333 Munich, Germany.

†Institute of Science and Technology Austria (ISTA), Am Campus 1, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria.
Emails: haberberger@math.lmu.de, hainzl@math.lmu.de, nam@math.lmu.de, robert.seiringer@ist.ac.at, triay@math.lmu.de

For the ground state energy, the Lee–Huang–Yang formula (1.1) has been established in a series of remarkable works over the last six decades. The upper bound to the leading order term $4\pi\alpha\rho^2$ was achieved by Dyson already in 1957 [11], but it took more than 40 years until the matching lower bound was proved by Lieb–Yngvason in 1998 [27]. The second order term $4\pi\alpha\rho^2 \times \frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{\rho\alpha^3}$ was proved by Yau–Yin in 2009 [32] for the upper bound, and finally established by Fournais–Solovej in 2020 [14] for the lower bound. For further developments, we refer to [15] for an extension of the second order lower bound to hard-sphere interactions, [3] for an alternative derivation of the second order upper bound, and [1, 13] for related results in 1D and 2D, respectively.

The existing literature, however, does not provide information on the excitation spectrum. The goal of the present paper is to address this second aspect of the Lee–Huang–Yang conjecture. Instead of justifying (1.2) for each individual eigenvalue, which is virtually impossible as it would require a precision way beyond what the current technologies are capable of, we derive a collective version of (1.2) in terms of the free energy at low temperatures. To be precise, combining (1.1) and (1.2) suggests that the free energy per unit volume at low temperatures $T > 0$ can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 + \frac{T}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \log \left(1 - e^{-T^{-1}\sqrt{p^4+16\pi\rho\alpha p^2}} \right) dp \\ = 4\pi\alpha\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{\rho\alpha^3} \right) + \frac{T^{5/2}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \log \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{p^4+\frac{16\pi\rho\alpha}{T}p^2}} \right) dp. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

It is important to remark that the higher the temperature, the more challenging it is to justify (1.3). In fact, at temperatures around $T \sim \rho^{2/3} = \rho\alpha(\rho\alpha^3)^{-1/3}$, which is of the order of the critical temperature for Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC), the expansion (1.3) is no longer correct; see [30, 33] for detailed analysis at the leading order. This fact is not surprising since at this critical temperature regime, BEC only holds partially, and hence the Lee–Huang–Yang computation does not apply anymore. In the present work, we are interested in (1.3) at low temperatures $T \sim \rho\alpha$ for which the entropy contribution is proportional to the second order term of the Lee–Huang–Yang ground state energy. This is the natural parameter regime to resolve the Lee–Huang–Yang conjecture (1.1)-(1.2) within a single formula. The precise statement of our result and an outline of the proof will be provided in the next section.

1.1 Main result

Let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be non-negative, compactly supported, radially symmetric decreasing and $\alpha > 0$ its scattering length (see Section 2.1 for the definition). Let Δ denote the Laplacian with Neumann boundary conditions on $\Lambda_L = [-L/2, L/2]^3$. For integers $N \geq 2$, consider the Hamiltonian

$$H_N = \sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_{x_i} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j) \quad (1.4)$$

acting on the bosonic space $L_s^2(\Lambda_L^N) := \bigotimes_{\text{sym}}^N L^2(\Lambda_L)$. This operator can be defined as a self-adjoint operator by Friedrichs’ method, and it has compact resolvent.

The free energy of the system at temperature $T > 0$ is defined by

$$F_L(N) = \inf_{\Gamma} (\text{Tr}(H_N\Gamma) - TS(\Gamma)),$$

where the infimum is taken over all mixed states Γ , that is all bounded operators $\Gamma \geq 0$ with $\text{Tr}\Gamma = 1$, and where $S(\Gamma) = -\text{Tr}(\Gamma \log \Gamma)$ denotes the entropy of Γ . By the Gibbs

variational principle the infimum is attained by the Gibbs state $\Gamma_N = Z_N^{-1} e^{-H_N/T}$ and the free energy can be computed from the partition function Z_N as

$$F_L(N) = -T \log Z_N = -T \log \text{Tr} e^{-\frac{H_N}{T}}.$$

We are interested in the free energy per unit volume in the thermodynamic limit

$$f(\rho, T) := \lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ NL^{-3} \rightarrow \rho}} \frac{F_L(N)}{L^3}. \quad (1.5)$$

It is well-known that the free energy density $f(\rho, T)$ is well-defined and actually independent of the boundary conditions we imposed on Λ_L . Our main result is the following justification of (1.3) as a lower bound.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\nu = 1/5000$. In the dilute limit $\rho \mathfrak{a}^3 \rightarrow 0$, for any $0 \leq T \leq \rho \mathfrak{a}(\rho \mathfrak{a}^3)^{-\nu}$, the free energy density in (1.5) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\rho, T) \geq & 4\pi \mathfrak{a} \rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\rho \mathfrak{a}^3} \right) + \frac{T^{5/2}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \log \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{p^4 + \frac{16\pi \rho \mathfrak{a}}{T} p^2}} \right) dp \\ & - C(\rho \mathfrak{a})^{5/2} (\rho \mathfrak{a}^3)^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Here the constant $C > 0$ depends only on V .

Here are some remarks on our result.

1. The free energy formula (1.6) holds for $T \geq 0$, thus not only recovering the result on the ground state energy as established in [14] but also resolving the question on the excitation spectrum as predicted in [20]. Our condition $T \leq \rho \mathfrak{a}(\rho \mathfrak{a}^3)^{-\nu}$ allows the case $T \sim \rho \mathfrak{a}$, which is particularly interesting, since in this case the temperature correction is of the same order as the second order Lee–Huang–Yang correction to the ground state energy. An upper bound condition on T is not merely technical, but it is conceptually necessary. The formula (1.6) fails in the higher temperature regime $T \sim \rho^{2/3} = \rho \mathfrak{a}(\rho \mathfrak{a}^3)^{-1/3}$, and in this case deriving the correction to the leading order term in [30, 33] remains a very interesting open problem.
2. Our assumptions on the potential V can be relaxed in many ways. For example, if V is not decreasing but it is radial and satisfies $V(x) \leq CV(y)$ for $|x| \geq |y|$, then our proof applies equally well. In our analysis we fix V (and in particular the scattering length \mathfrak{a}) and consider the low-density and low-temperature limits $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and $T \rightarrow 0$. However, by simple scaling the relevant small parameters are the dimensionless quantities $\mathfrak{a}^3 \rho$ and $\mathfrak{a}^2 T$. Our error terms will be bounded only in terms of the range R of V and its integral; more precisely, the constant C in Theorem 1.1 depends only on the dimensionless quantities R/\mathfrak{a} and $\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}/\mathfrak{a}$.
3. We expect that a matching upper bound for (1.6) also holds, and that the result can be extended to hard-sphere interactions. Proving such results requires new techniques, which hopefully will be addressed in the near future.

Our proof strategy of Theorem 1.1 is different from the approach to the ground state energy problem in [14, 15]. In an effort to obtain information on the excitation spectrum, we introduce a new method, that revolves around a detailed analysis of local systems with Neumann boundary conditions. We use unitary transformations in the spirit of Bogoliubov’s diagonalization idea [7] together with subtle renormalization techniques. While

incorporating insights from recent developments [4, 29, 8, 19] on the excitation spectrum in the fixed volume setting, our analysis in the thermodynamic limit introduces several novel ingredients which serve to not only simplify but also extend existing approaches on a conceptual level.

To quickly explain the novelty of the methodology, let us mention that the Lee–Huang–Yang prediction [20] was based on the heuristic assumption of Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC), namely a macroscopic fraction of particles occupy the zero-momentum mode. Proving BEC in the thermodynamic limit is a major open problem in mathematical physics, but to compute the energy it is possible to consider localized systems in small boxes where BEC is easier to prove. Therefore, the localization method is of central importance. This idea was already used in 1998 by Lieb and Yngvason [27] in their proof of the leading order of the ground state energy, where they divided the thermodynamic box into smaller cells with Neumann boundary conditions on each cell, which is the appropriate method for a lower bound.

While this approach sounds plausible, the handling of the Neumann boundary conditions for the second order term of the ground state energy poses a major challenge compared to the typical periodic setting in unit volume [4, 8]. In [14, 15], Fournais and Solovej introduced a very subtle argument to localize the kinetic energy operator, which allows them to keep the calculation essentially in the periodic setting. The intricate analysis in [14, 15], however, does not seem to give access to the excitation spectrum. The main new contribution of the present work is to perform a rigorous analysis of the excitation spectrum on Neumann boxes, thus resolving the Lee–Huang–Yang prediction in a very natural way. Further details of our proof will be given below.

1.2 Outline of the proof

General ideas. Our proof strategy is inspired by Bogoliubov’s 1947 approach [7] where he proposed an effective method to transform the Hamiltonian of an interacting Bose gas to a non-interacting one, thus enabling an approximation for not only the ground state energy but also the excitation spectrum (the latter is particularly interesting due to its connection to superfluidity). As mentioned already in [7], this method is reasonably good in a mean-field situation where the particles are more or less independent, but it is insufficient for dilute gases where the particles are highly correlated. In fact, a formal application of the Bogoliubov approximation produces an incomplete form of (1.3) where the first two terms in a Born approximation of the scattering length \mathbf{a} appear instead of \mathbf{a} itself [25]. Thus the main conceptual difficulty in our proof is to put the Bogoliubov approximation on a rigorous footing, including the subtle correction due to the correlation between particles.

Heuristically, an important input for the Bogoliubov approximation is BEC. Although proving BEC in the thermodynamic limit is a major open problem, we are able to prove BEC in localized systems in small boxes, which is sufficient to estimate the free energy. This idea has been carried out in the ground state problem [27, 14]. To be precise, we decompose Λ_L into smaller cubes Λ_ℓ of side length

$$\ell = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{(\rho\mathbf{a}^3)^{1/2+\kappa}} \quad (1.7)$$

for some small parameter $\kappa > 0$ that will be chosen later. This length scale is chosen larger than the Gross–Pitaevskii length scale (also called healing length)

$$\ell_{\text{GP}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho\mathbf{a}}}.$$

At the Gross–Pitaevskii length scale, the gap of the kinetic energy operator is of the same order as the interaction energy of one particle, which makes the proof of BEC easier. On the other hand, at the Gross–Pitaevskii length scale, the contribution from boundary conditions affects the second order term of the energy [4]. Therefore, by focusing on the length scale ℓ slightly larger than the Gross–Pitaevskii length scale, we still have a reasonably good control on the number of excitations, and at the same time we control boundary effects caused by the localization procedure.

The Gross–Pitaevskii regime has been studied extensively in the literature, often in the equivalent formulation of having n particles in the unit box with the interaction potential of the form $n^2V(n(x - y))$. In this setting, the boundary of the domain matters. For periodic boundary conditions, BEC was first derived in [24], and the excitation spectrum was first computed in [4]. The key idea of [4] is that the Bogoliubov approximation can be justified rigorously by using suitable unitary transformations. Later, the excitation spectrum of inhomogeneous trapped Bose gases in \mathbb{R}^3 was derived independently in [29] and [8]. For us [29] is particularly relevant, as it contains several modifications of the strategy in [4]. This already led to a simplified proof in the periodic setting in [19] and will further be helpful for the analysis of the present paper.

For our purpose, we have to deal with the Gross–Pitaevskii regime with Neumann boundary conditions. In this case, BEC with an almost optimal bound was derived recently in [5], based on a suitable extension of the strategy in [4], but it turns out that the Neumann boundary conditions cause a serious problem in the computation of the ground state energy and the excitation spectrum. In the study of the ground state problem in [14], a completely different localization technique has been used, which allows to avoid the Neumann boundary issue but requires a subtle modification of the kinetic energy operator.

Thus, while Neumann boundary conditions appear very naturally when seeking a lower bound, they are de facto incompatible with the translational invariant form of the interaction potential $V(x - y)$, making the justification of the Bogoliubov approximation in this case intricate. Solving that problem is the main new contribution of the present work. Roughly speaking, we will handle the Neumann boundary conditions by introducing a mirror symmetrization technique to relevant transformation kernels, thereby enabling the necessary extension of the strategy in [29, 19]. Moreover, while these works consider the Gross–Pitaevskii regime, we need push the analysis to much larger length scales, where the interaction potential dominates the kinetic energy and the LHY term is visible compared to boundary effects. This makes the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian harder but is necessary to recover the correct free energy in the thermodynamic limit when summing up the local free energies in all small boxes.

Detailed setting. We shall now explain the proof strategy in detail. It is convenient to consider, for $n \geq 0$, the rescaled Hamiltonian

$$H_{n,\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^n -\Delta_{x_i} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \ell^2 V(\ell(x_i - x_j)) \quad (1.8)$$

acting on $L^2_s(\Lambda^n)$, the space of square integrable functions that are invariant under permutation of their variables, where Δ is the Neumann Laplacian on the unit box $\Lambda = [-1/2, 1/2]^3$.

The Hamiltonians H_n , defined as in (1.4) with (N, Λ_L) replaced by (n, Λ_ℓ) , and $H_{n,\ell}$ defined in (1.8) are related via

$$H_n = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \mathcal{T}_\ell^* H_{n,\ell} \mathcal{T}_\ell$$

with the unitary scaling transformation $\mathcal{T}_\ell \Psi(\cdot) = \ell^{3n/2} \Psi(\ell \cdot)$. Hence, we are interested in the free energy

$$F_\ell(n) = -T \log \text{Tr} \left(e^{-\frac{H_{n,\ell}}{T\ell^2}} \right). \quad (1.9)$$

The main part of our work is devoted to the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Free energy on small boxes). *Let ℓ be given in (1.7) with $\kappa = 5\nu = 1/1000$. Let $0 \leq T \leq (\rho\mathbf{a})(\rho\mathbf{a}^3)^{-\nu}$ and $0 \leq n \leq C\rho\ell^3$ for some $C > 0$. Then, for $\rho\mathbf{a}^3$ small enough,*

$$F_\ell(n) \geq f_{\text{Bog}}(n, \ell) + \mathcal{O}(\ell^3(\rho\mathbf{a})^{5/2}(\rho\mathbf{a}^3)^\nu), \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$f_{\text{Bog}}(n, \ell) = 4\pi \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\ell^3} n^2 \left(1 + \frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} n^{1/2} \frac{\mathbf{a}^{3/2}}{\ell^{3/2}} \right) + T \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \log \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{T\ell^2} \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\mathbf{a}n\ell^{-1}p^2}} \right). \quad (1.11)$$

From Theorem 1.2, our main result in Theorem 1.1 then essentially follows from the superadditivity of the free energy.

We shall now explain the main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.2. In this introduction, to make the ideas transparent, we will not describe the error estimates in detail and simply write $A \approx B$ if the error is of order $\mathcal{O}(\ell^3(\rho\mathbf{a})^{5/2}(\rho\mathbf{a}^3)^\nu)$ which appears in (1.10).

We will use the Fock space formalism (see Section 2.2) and the unitary transformation $U : L_s^2(\Lambda^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^n (u_0^\perp)^{\otimes_s m}$ introduced in [22], defined in (2.14), to factor out the contribution of the condensate described by the constant function $u_0 = 1 \in L^2(\Lambda)$. As explained in Lemma 2.2, using the projection $\mathbb{1}_+^{\leq n}$ onto $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n} \subset \mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^\infty L_s^2(\Lambda^m)$ we can write

$$UH_{n,\ell}U^* = \mathbb{1}_+^{\leq n} \mathcal{H} \mathbb{1}_+^{\leq n},$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} \approx \frac{n^2}{2} V_\ell^{0000} + Q_1 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + H_2^{(U)} + Q_2 + Q_3^{(U)} + Q_4 \quad (1.12)$$

is an operator on the full Fock space \mathcal{F} , with

$$V_\ell^{0000} = \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) dx dy, \quad (1.13)$$

$$Q_1 = n^{3/2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* dx dy + \text{h.c.}, \quad (1.14)$$

$$Q_2 = \frac{n}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy + \text{h.c.}, \quad (1.15)$$

$$Q_3^{(U)} = \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N} + 1)_+} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x dx dy + \text{h.c.}, \quad (1.16)$$

$$Q_4 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x a_y dx dy, \quad (1.17)$$

$$H_2^{(U)} = n \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) (a_x^* a_x + a_x^* a_y) dx dy - n V_\ell^{0000} \mathcal{N} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy \mathcal{N} + \text{h.c.} \right). \quad (1.18)$$

Here \mathcal{N} is the number operator on Fock space.

We then conjugate this excitation Hamiltonian with the unitary maps $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$, $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ and $e^{\mathcal{B}_2}$, where the kernels $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ are quadratic in creation and annihilation operators and \mathcal{B}_c is cubic in those, such that

- The first quadratic transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$ extracts the leading order of the correlation, effectively renormalizing Q_2 where the short-range interaction V_ℓ gets replaced by a long-range one of mean-field type;
- The cubic transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ removes the cubic term $Q_3^{(U)}$, and also renormalizes $H_2^{(U)}$ with a similar replacement for V_ℓ ;
- The second quadratic transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_2}$ diagonalizes the quadratic Hamiltonian emerging from the Bogoliubov approximation, thereby resulting in the correct ground state energy and excitation spectrum.

Note that the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is defined on the full Fock space $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(L^2(\Lambda))$ even though $UH_{n,\ell}U^*$ is only defined on the subspace $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$. Lifting the restriction on the number of particles allows us to use the exact canonical commutation relations. Eventually, we will evaluate the error terms on the Gibbs state of the system, which lives on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$. Moreover, our estimates will always hold on \mathcal{F}_+ , which is left invariant under the unitary transformations. In particular, we will often say that two expressions agree on \mathcal{F}_+ when they agree in the sense of quadratic forms on \mathcal{F}_+ .

In the mean-field regime, where V_ℓ is replaced by a long-range potential, only one quadratic transformation is needed to justify the Bogoliubov approximation. This was first done in [31] in the periodic setting and extended to trapped gases in \mathbb{R}^3 in [16, 22]. However, in the Gross–Pitaevskii regime, the use of a cubic transformation is crucial to effectively get back to the mean-field regime and capture correctly the excitation spectrum. This key idea was first implemented in [4] in the periodic setting and extended to general trapped cases in \mathbb{R}^3 in [29, 8]. An attempt of adapting this strategy to Neumann boundary conditions was given in [5], but it is insufficient to obtain the correct excitation spectrum. Here we will resolve this issue. The main challenge for us is to choose the correct kernels \mathcal{B}_1 , \mathcal{B}_c , and \mathcal{B}_2 adapted to the Neumann boundary conditions and to be able to compute the action of the corresponding transformation to the LHY order.

Modified scattering solution. To define the kernels we use the zero-scattering solution ω_ℓ associated with V_ℓ (see Section 2.1). Following the approach in [29], we introduce a modified scattering solution

$$\omega_{\ell,\lambda}(x) = \omega_\ell(x)\chi_\lambda(x),$$

where $\omega_\ell(x) = \omega(\ell x)$ and $\chi_\lambda(x) = \chi(\lambda^{-1}x)$ with χ a fixed C^∞ radial function approximating $\mathbb{1}_{|x|\leq 1}$. The function $\omega_{\ell,\lambda}$ satisfies

$$-\Delta\omega_{\ell,\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}V_\ell(1 - \omega_\ell) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell}\lambda^{-3} \left(\frac{\chi''}{|\cdot|} \right) (\lambda^{-1}x). \quad (1.19)$$

In our final estimate we will eventually choose

$$\ell^{-1} \ll \lambda \ll 1.$$

The first constraint $\ell^{-1} \ll \lambda$, which is inspired by [4], ensures that the range of $\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}$ in (1.19) is much longer than that of V_ℓ , and hence in our calculation it plays the role of a renormalized version of V_ℓ . Moreover, the second constraint $\lambda \ll 1$, which is inspired by [29], ensures that $\ell\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}$ tends to a delta interaction, thus simplifying several estimates and also enabling us to go beyond the Gross–Pitaevskii regime.

Heuristically, as proposed in the previous works on the Gross–Pitaevskii regime [4, 29, 8], the correlation structure of particles can be encoded using two transformations $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$ and $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$. More precisely, by putting the scattering solution $-n\omega_{\ell,\lambda}$ in the kernels \mathcal{B}_1 , \mathcal{B}_c , we

hope to replace the short range potential V_ℓ by the longer-range one $\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}$. The naive choice of \mathcal{B}_1

$$-n \int_{\Lambda^2} \omega_{\ell,\lambda}(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy - \text{h.c.},$$

does not work in our case since the function $-n\omega_{\ell,\lambda}$ does not satisfy the Neumann boundary conditions. To fix this issue, we use a symmetrization technique as follows.

Neumann symmetrization. We shall construct a kernel $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ that can be interpreted as a symmetrized version of $-n\omega_{\ell,\lambda}(x-y)$ satisfying Neumann boundary conditions in an appropriate sense. It belongs to $H^1(\Lambda^2)$ and satisfies the following two useful properties:

$$\tilde{K}(x, y) = -n\omega_{\ell,\lambda}(x-y), \quad \forall x, y \in \{z \in \Lambda : \text{dist}(z, \partial\Lambda) > \lambda\} \quad (1.20)$$

and that the operator with kernel $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ is diagonal in the Neumann basis, see (1.23).

The construction uses the same mirroring technique as in the construction of the Neumann Green's function. Denoting

$$\Lambda + z = \{x + z : x \in \Lambda\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{Z}^3$$

we define the transformation

$$P_z : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda + z, \quad (P_z(x))_i = (-1)^{z_i} x_i + z_i, \quad (1.21)$$

which maps a point $x \in \Lambda$ to its mirror point in the box $\Lambda + z$. For a visual illustration in 2D, we refer to Figure 1, where the mirror points of $x \in \Lambda$ are plotted in the neighboring boxes of Λ .




Figure 1: Relevant mirror points of x shown in two dimensions.

We define the function $\tilde{K} : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\tilde{K}(x, y) = - \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} n\omega_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y). \quad (1.22)$$

Observe that while $\{\Lambda + z : z \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}$ covers all of \mathbb{R}^3 , due to the cutoff χ_λ there is a contribution to the sum only if $|P_z(x) - y| \leq \lambda \ll 1$ and the property (1.20) follows




Figure 2: The distance is conserved.

immediately. Taking into account that $y \in \Lambda$ we find that in the last term of (1.22) only the summands with

$$z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 1}^3 := \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : \max_i |z_i| \leq 1\}$$

are non-zero. Thus, the sum is finite and \tilde{K} is well-defined by (1.22). Moreover, \tilde{K} is symmetric, namely $\tilde{K}(x, y) = \tilde{K}(y, x)$, since $|P_z(x) - y| = |P_z(y) - x|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 1}^3$ (see Figure 2 for a 2D illustration).

In fact, as we will see in Lemma 3.1, it is also diagonal in the Neumann basis

$$\tilde{K}(x, y) = - \sum_{p \in \pi \mathbb{N}_0^3} n \hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) u_p(x) u_p(y), \quad (1.23)$$

where $u_p \in L^2(\Lambda)$ are Neumann eigenfunctions given in (2.11) and we used the following convention of the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) e^{-ip \cdot x} dx. \quad (1.24)$$

Since the local property (1.20) does not obviously follow from (1.23), both the forms (1.22) and (1.23) will be useful in the following.

Next, we remove from the function \tilde{K} any contribution from the zero-momentum mode by using the projection $Q = 1 - |u_0\rangle\langle u_0|$. This results in the function

$$K(x, y) = (Q^{\otimes 2} \tilde{K})(x, y) = \tilde{K}(x, y) + n \hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(0) = - \sum_{p \in \pi \mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} n \hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) u_p(x) u_p(y), \quad (1.25)$$

which is the key tool to define the transformations $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$ and $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$.

First quadratic transformation. We define the first transformation kernel

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} K(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy - \text{h.c.} \quad (1.26)$$

with K given in (1.25). We will show that by conjugating the excitation Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} in (1.12) by the quadratic transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$, we essentially renormalize Q_2 and extract the leading order contribution $4\pi \alpha n^2 \ell^{-1}$ (see Lemma 4.1).

Note that thanks to the last identity in (1.25), we may rewrite (1.26) as

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \pi \mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} (-n \hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)) a_p^* a_p^* - \text{h.c.}, \quad (1.27)$$

where we denoted $a_p^* = a^*(u_p)$ the creation operator on Fock space. The formula (1.27) can be compared with the kernel in the translation-invariant case in [4, 19], where $a_p^* a_{-p}^*$ is used instead of $a_p^* a_p^*$. However, the algebraic structure is not as nice as in the translation-invariant case and it is often more convenient to work in configuration space where point-wise estimates, the identity (1.20) or the non-negativity of V are available.

We shall now explain some details of the action of the transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$. As we show in Lemma 4.4, we have

$$[d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_1] \approx \int_{\Lambda^2} \left((-\Delta_2 K)(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y) \right) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy + \text{h.c.},$$

which, together with the definition (1.25) and the scattering equation (1.19), gives

$$[d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_1] + Q_2 \approx \tilde{Q}_2 = \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy + \text{h.c.}, \quad (1.28)$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}_2(x, y) = \frac{n}{2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x) - y) + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}} (V_\ell(\omega_{\ell, \lambda} - 1)(P_z(x) - y) - V_\ell(x - y) \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x) - y)).$$

Here $\tilde{Q}_2(x, y)$ contains the function $\epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}$ in the first sum, which can be interpreted as a renormalized version of the short-range potential V_ℓ and inherits the symmetrization of K , as well as some boundary contribution in the second sum which will disappear after the cubic transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$. The approximation (1.28) is exactly the motivation for the choice of the kernel \mathcal{B}_1 , in the same spirit as in [29, 17].

From (1.28) and the Duhamel expansion (see (4.7) for an explanation) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} (d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_2 + Q_4) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} - d\Gamma(-\Delta) - Q_4 \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} \left([d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_1] + Q_2 \right) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds dt \\ &\approx \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} \tilde{Q}_2 e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds dt \\ &= \tilde{Q}_2 + \int_0^1 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds dt + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds dt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.29)$$

As proved in Lemma 4.5, the last two terms in (1.29) are essentially two constant contributions. In particular the last term helps us to correct the constant in (1.12) and we recover the full leading order of the energy,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} n^2 V_\ell^{0000} + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds dt \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2} n^2 V_\ell^{0000} + \frac{1}{2} [Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] \approx \frac{1}{2} n^2 V_\ell^{0000} + \frac{n}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y) dx dy \approx 4\pi a n^2 \ell^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

with an error smaller than the second order in the LHY formula. The other term is

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds dt \approx \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_1] \approx \sum_{p \in \pi \mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|n \hat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2}, \quad (1.30)$$

which will be combined with another constant contribution coming from the transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_2} e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ to give the correct LHY second order term.

So far, we have seen that the quadratic transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$ essentially replaces $d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + Q_2$ by $d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + \tilde{Q}_2$ plus some constants. Furthermore, we will show in Lemma 4.6 that

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} H_2^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \approx n \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)(a_x^* a_x + a_x^* a_y) dx dy - 8\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} \mathcal{N}, \quad (1.31)$$

namely the term $\mathcal{N} n V_\ell^{0000} + (\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy \mathcal{N} + \text{h.c.})$ in $H_2^{(U)}$ is replaced by $8\pi \mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, as proved in Lemma 4.8 we have

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} (Q_1 + Q_3^{(U)}) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \approx Q_3 = \sqrt{n} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x dx dy + \text{h.c.} \quad (1.32)$$

The cubic term Q_3 then will be handled by the cubic transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ below. In summary we have

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{H} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \approx 4\pi \mathfrak{a} n^2 \ell^{-1} + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + \tilde{Q}_2 + Q_3 + n \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)(a_x^* a_x + a_x^* a_y) dx dy - 8\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} \mathcal{N}.$$

Cubic transformation. Next, for the cubic transformation, we define

$$\mathcal{B}_c = \frac{\theta_M(\mathcal{N})}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\Lambda^2} K(x,y) q_x^* a_y^* q_x dx dy - \text{h.c.} \quad (1.33)$$

Here $q_x = a(Q_x)$, where $Q_x(y) = Q(x,y)$, is used instead of a_x to ensure that \mathcal{B}_c leaves \mathcal{F}_+ invariant, and $\theta_M(\mathcal{N})$ is a smooth cut-off on the sector $\{\mathcal{N} \leq M\}$, with $1 \ll M \ll n$, which prevents $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ from creating too many excitations.

As proved in Lemma 5.1, by using $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ we can remove the cubic term Q_3 in (1.32) and also renormalize some quadratic terms. More precisely, using the Duhamel formula, we can expand

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} (d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + Q_3) e^{\mathcal{B}_c} - d\Gamma(-\Delta) - Q_4 \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} ([d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c] + Q_3) e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} dt + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} [Q_3, \mathcal{B}_c] e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds dt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.34)$$

We have chosen the cubic kernel \mathcal{B}_c such that

$$[d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c] + Q_3 \approx 0,$$

and hence the first term on the right-hand side of (1.34) is negligible. Moreover, the last term in (1.34) can be put together with the transformations of (1.31), and we can show that

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} n V_\ell(x-y)(a_x^* a_x + a_x^* a_y) dx dy - 8\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} \mathcal{N} \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_c} + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} [Q_3, \mathcal{B}_c] e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds dt$$

is essentially $8\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} \mathcal{N}$. After the cubic transformation, we may remove the boundary contribution in \tilde{Q}_2 and obtain the desired pairing term (see Lemma 5.8)

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \tilde{Q}_2 e^{\mathcal{B}_c} \approx \frac{n}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x) - y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy + \text{h.c.}$$

which coincides with

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p)(a_p^* a_p^* + a_p a_p)$$

when restricted to \mathcal{F}_+ . Thus we arrive at

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{H} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} e^{\mathcal{B}_c} \approx 4\pi\mathfrak{a}n^2\ell^{-1} + \mathbb{H}_{\text{Bog}} + Q_4$$

with the quadratic Bogoliubov Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_{\text{Bog}} = & \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \left(p^2 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\frac{n}{\ell} \right) a_p^* a_p + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p)(a_p^* a_p^* + a_p a_p) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.35)$$

Second quadratic transformation. It is well-known that the quadratic operator in (1.35) can be diagonalized explicitly. To be precise, by choosing the second quadratic transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_2}$ with

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \varphi_p (a_p^* a_p^* - a_p a_p), \quad (1.36)$$

for suitable φ_p one can show that

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_2} \mathbb{H}_{\text{Bog}} e^{\mathcal{B}_2} + 4\pi\mathfrak{a}n^2\ell^{-1} \approx E_{n,\ell} + \text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ with the ground state energy

$$E_{n,\ell} := 4\pi\mathfrak{a}n^2\ell^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \left[\sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\mathfrak{a}n\ell^{-1}p^2} - p^2 - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\frac{n}{\ell} + \frac{(8\pi\mathfrak{a}n\ell^{-1})^2}{2p^2} \right]$$

and the effective Hamiltonian

$$\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}}) = \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\mathfrak{a}n\ell^{-1}p^2} a_p^* a_p.$$

In this way, we recover all information on the excitation spectrum predicted by the Bogoliubov approximation [7].

Localization on Fock space. On the technical level, we can only estimate the relevant errors in the above analysis on the low particle number sectors in $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$. The high particle number sectors have to be handled differently. By adapting the analysis in [24], we are able to prove BEC for the Gibbs state, i.e. there are only few excitations. In combination with the Gibbs variational principle, this allows to ignore the free energy coming from the high particle number sectors. Finally, using the localization method on the number of excited particles in the spirit of [26, 22], we put together the low and high particle number sectors, thus concluding the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Organization of the proof. In Section 2 we recall general properties of the scattering length \mathfrak{a} and the scattering solution. We also introduce some notation on the Fock space formalism; in particular we use the excitation map U defined in [22] to link $H_{n,\ell}$ to an excitation Hamiltonian on the Fock space of excitations $\mathcal{F}(u_0^\perp)$. In Section 3, we explain

in detail the construction of the Neumann kernel $K(x, y)$ by symmetrization. We then conjugate this excitation Hamiltonian with the unitary maps $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$, $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ and $e^{\mathcal{B}_2}$. The actions of the transformations $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$, $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ and $e^{\mathcal{B}_2}$ are carried out in Sections 4, 5 and 6, respectively. In Section 7, we prove BEC for the Gibbs state associated with $\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}$ as well as derive some rough estimates for the kinetic and interaction energies, which are needed for the localization technique on the number of excited particles. Finally, we prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 8, and conclude Theorem 1.1 in Section 9.

Notation. We always use $C > 0$ to denote a general constant which depends only on V . We also write $A \lesssim B$ if $A \leq CB$, and write $A \ll B$ if $A/B \rightarrow 0$ when $\rho\mathfrak{a}^3 \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, all operator inequalities are interpreted as quadratic forms, namely we write $S \geq T$ on \mathfrak{H} if $\langle u, Su \rangle \geq \langle u, Tu \rangle$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{H}$ (which is in particular convenient when S and T act on a larger Hilbert space and do not leave \mathfrak{H} invariant). When writing an operator in terms of the distributional creation and annihilation operator, we omit the integration variable for shortness if it is unambiguous, e.g. we write $\int T(x, y)a_x^*a_y$ instead of $\int T(x, y)a_x^*a_y dx dy$.

Acknowledgments. This work was partially funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 470903074 – TRR 352. PTN was partially supported by the European Research Council (ERC CoG RAMBAS, Project Nr. 101044249).

2 Preliminaries

In this section we collect some standard tools, which are helpful to transform the Hamiltonian $H_{n,\ell}$ in (1.8).

2.1 Scattering Problem

Here we recall some well-known facts about the scattering length of the potential V and its scattering solution. Under the assumption that V is non-negative, compactly supported and radially symmetric, it is well-known (see e.g. [25, Appendix C] or [28, Section 2]) that the equation

$$-\Delta\omega = \frac{1}{2}V(1 - \omega) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \omega(x) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

has a unique solution ω satisfying $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$. The scattering length \mathfrak{a} of V is defined as

$$8\pi\mathfrak{a} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(1 - \omega). \quad (2.2)$$

Since the scattering solution ω is harmonic outside the support of V , we have the exact formula for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \text{supp}(V)$:

$$\omega(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{|x|}. \quad (2.3)$$

In our application, we will consider a modified version of the scattering solution ω . Let ℓ be as in (1.7). Defining $\omega_\ell(x) = \omega(\ell x)$, and recalling that $V_\ell(x) = \ell^2 V(\ell x)$, obviously from (2.1) we have the rescaled equation

$$-\Delta\omega_\ell = \frac{1}{2}V_\ell(1 - \omega_\ell). \quad (2.4)$$

Next, let us introduce a cut-off version of ω_ℓ . Following [29], for

$$2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4, \quad (2.5)$$

we define

$$\omega_{\ell,\lambda}(x) = \omega_\ell(x)\chi_\lambda(x), \quad \chi_\lambda(x) = \chi(\lambda^{-1}x),$$

where χ is a fixed C^∞ radial function satisfying

$$\chi(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(x) = 1 \text{ for } |x| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Under the assumption that $\text{supp } V \subset \{|x| \leq R\}$ and that $\lambda > 2R\ell^{-1}$, the truncated scattering solution $\omega_{\ell,\lambda}$ satisfies a modified scattering equation

$$-\Delta\omega_{\ell,\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}V_\ell(1 - \omega_\ell) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda} = \Delta(\omega_{\ell,\lambda} - \omega_\ell) = 2\nabla\omega_\ell \cdot \nabla\chi_\lambda + \omega_\ell\Delta\chi_\lambda. \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.7) we see that $\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}$ and V_ℓ have disjoint support. Therefore, we may use (2.3) in (2.7) and that χ is radial to arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell}\lambda^{-3} \left(\frac{\chi''}{|\cdot|} \right) (\lambda^{-1}x), \quad (2.8)$$

where we interpreted $\chi(x) = \chi(|x|)$ when writing χ'' .

Finally, we gather some of their properties in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $2R/\ell < \lambda$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have the pointwise bounds*

$$0 \leq \omega_{\ell,\lambda}(x) \leq \frac{C\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq \lambda\}}}{|x| + 1}, \quad |\nabla\omega_{\ell,\lambda}(x)| \leq \frac{C\ell\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq \lambda\}}}{|\ell x|^2 + 1}, \quad |\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\ell}\lambda^{-3}\mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda/2 \leq |x| \leq \lambda\}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \epsilon_{\ell,\lambda} = 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\ell^{-1}. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that the last bound in (2.9) implies that $\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y) = 0$ for $z \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 1}^3$.

Proof. From (2.3) and $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$ we obtain

$$0 \leq \omega(x) \leq \frac{C}{|x| + 1}, \quad |\nabla\omega(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^2 + 1}.$$

Moreover $\text{supp}(\chi_\lambda) \subset B_\lambda(0)$ and $|\nabla\chi_\lambda| \leq C\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda/2 \leq |x| \leq \lambda\}}$, which implies the first two bounds in (2.9). The last bound in (2.9) follows from (2.7) and $\text{supp}(\chi'') \subset \{\lambda/2 \leq |x| \leq \lambda\}$. Finally, since $\omega_{\ell,\lambda}$ is compactly supported, from (2.6) and (2.2) we have

$$0 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta\omega_{\ell,\lambda} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\ell(1 - \omega_\ell) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \epsilon_{\ell,\lambda} = 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\ell^{-1} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}.$$

This implies (2.10). □

2.2 Fock Space Formalism

For $m \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 = \pi\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}^3$, let us denote

$$u_m(x) = \prod_{i=1}^3 u_{m_i}(x_i), \quad u_{m_i}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & m_i = 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \cos(m_i(x_i + 1/2)), & m_i \neq 0 \end{cases}. \quad (2.11)$$

The family $\{u_m\}_{m \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Lambda)$ satisfying Neumann boundary conditions. A special role is played by the condensate function $u_0 = \mathbb{1}_\Lambda$.

Given a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , we consider

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{H}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes n}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{\leq k}(\mathfrak{H}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^k \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes n},$$

the bosonic Fock space over \mathfrak{H} and its truncated version, respectively. In our application, we focus on the cases where $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(\Lambda)$ or the subspace $u_0^\perp \subset L^2(\Lambda)$, and we will denote respectively the Fock spaces

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(L^2(\Lambda)), \quad \mathcal{F}_+ = \mathcal{F}(u_0^\perp).$$

The bosonic creation and annihilation operators are given by

$$(a^*(g)\Psi)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} g(x_j) \Psi(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}),$$

$$(a(g)\Psi)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \sqrt{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{g(x_n)} \Psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n,$$

for any $g \in L^2(\Lambda)$, $\Psi \in L_s^2(\Lambda^n)$ and $n \geq 0$. We will also use the short-hand notations $a_p = a(u_p)$, $a_p^* = a^*(u_p)$ as well as the operator-valued distributions a_x^* and a_x , with $x \in \Lambda$, which satisfy

$$a^*(g) = \int_{\Lambda} g(x) a_x^* dx, \quad a(g) = \int_{\Lambda} \overline{g(x)} a_x dx$$

for all $g \in L^2(\Lambda)$. These operators satisfy the canonical commutation relations

$$[a(g_1), a(g_2)] = [a^*(g_1), a^*(g_2)] = 0, \quad [a(g_1), a^*(g_2)] = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$$

for all g_1, g_2 in $L^2(\Lambda)$, and

$$[a_x^*, a_y^*] = [a_x, a_y] = 0, \quad [a_x, a_y^*] = \delta_{x,y},$$

for all x, y in Λ . For any one body operator A with coefficients $A_{p,q} = \langle u_p, Au_q \rangle$ and kernel $A(x, y)$, we define its second quantized form

$$d\Gamma(A) = \sum_{p,q \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3} A_{p,q} a_p^* a_q = \int_{\Lambda^2} A(x, y) a_x^* a_y dx dy.$$

In particular, the particle number and the excitation number are denoted

$$\mathcal{N} = d\Gamma(\mathbb{1}) = \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3} a_p^* a_p, \quad \mathcal{N}_+ = d\Gamma(Q) = \sum_{p \neq 0} a_p^* a_p,$$

where we introduced the notation $\sum_{p \neq 0} := \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}}$ and recall $Q = 1 - |u_0\rangle\langle u_0|$. Additionally, we denote the orthogonal projections onto the excitation Fock space \mathcal{F}_+ and onto the truncated Fock space $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$ by $\mathbb{1}_+ = \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_+\}}$ and $\mathbb{1}_+^{\leq n} = \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_+\}}\mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq n\}}$ for $n \geq 0$, respectively.

With this formalism, the n -particle Hamiltonian in (1.8) can be written as

$$H_{n,\ell} = \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3} p^2 a_p^* a_p + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q,r,s \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3} V_\ell^{pqrs} a_p^* a_q^* a_r a_s \quad (2.12)$$

$$= \int_\Lambda \nabla_x a_x^* \nabla_x a_x dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x a_y dx dy, \quad (2.13)$$

where we have denoted

$$V_\ell^{pqrs} = \langle u_p \otimes u_q, V_\ell u_r \otimes u_s \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda^2)}.$$

The right-hand side (2.13) is an operator on Fock space $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(L^2(\Lambda))$ but we will always consider its restriction to the n -particle sector which coincides with the expression in (1.8).

2.3 The Excitation Hamiltonian

In this section, we will rewrite the Hamiltonian $H_{n,\ell}$ in the Fock space of excitations $\mathcal{F}_+ = \mathcal{F}(u_0^\perp)$. We do so by using the unitary transformation $U : L_s^2(\Lambda^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$ introduced in [22]

$$U(\Psi) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-j)!}} Q^{\otimes j} a_0^{n-j} \Psi. \quad (2.14)$$

On $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$ and for all $p, q \neq 0$, it satisfies

$$U a_0^* a_0 U^* = n - \mathcal{N}_+, \quad U a_p^* a_q U^* = a_p^* a_q, \quad (2.15)$$

$$U a_p^* a_0 U^* = a_p^* \sqrt{n - \mathcal{N}_+}, \quad U a_0^* a_q U^* = \sqrt{n - \mathcal{N}_+} a_q. \quad (2.16)$$

Implementing these transformations on $U H_{n,\ell} U^*$, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $H_{n,\ell}$ be as in (1.8). We have the following operator identity on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$*

$$U H_{n,\ell} U^* = \mathbb{1}_+^{\leq n} \mathcal{H} \mathbb{1}_+^{\leq n},$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{n^2}{2} V_\ell^{0000} + Q_1 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + H_2^{(U)} + Q_2 + Q_3^{(U)} + Q_4 + \mathcal{E}^{(U)} \quad (2.17)$$

is an operator on the full Fock space \mathcal{F} , V_ℓ^{0000} , Q_1 , Q_2 , $Q_3^{(U)}$, Q_4 , $H_2^{(U)}$ are given in (1.13)–(1.18) and the error term $\mathcal{E}^{(U)}$, given by (2.19), satisfies

$$\pm \mathcal{E}^{(U)} \leq C \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\ell} + \varepsilon n^{-1} Q_4 + \varepsilon^{-1} C \frac{n}{\ell}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad (2.18)$$

on \mathcal{F} .

Proof. The computation of $\mathcal{E}^{(U)}$ is standard, see for instance [22, Section 4]: conjugating the Hamiltonian $H_{n,\ell}$ in (2.13) with U and applying the rules (2.16), we obtain $U H_{n,\ell} U^* = \mathbb{1}_+^{\leq n} \mathcal{H} \mathbb{1}_+^{\leq n}$ with \mathcal{H} given by (2.17) and $\mathcal{E}^{(U)}$ by

$$\mathcal{E}^{(U)} = \mathbb{1}_+^{\leq n} \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) dx dy \frac{\mathcal{N}^2 - n + \mathcal{N}}{2} - \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) (a_x^* a_x + a_x^* a_y) dx dy \mathcal{N} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* dx dy \left((n - \mathcal{N} - 1) \sqrt{n - \mathcal{N}} - n^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) + \text{h.c.} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy \left(\sqrt{n - \mathcal{N} - 1} \sqrt{n - \mathcal{N}} - n + \mathcal{N} \right) + \text{h.c.} \Big) \mathbf{1}_+^{\leq n} \\
= &: \mathbf{1}_+^{\leq n} \left(\mathcal{E}^{(U,0)} + \mathcal{E}^{(U,1)} + \mathcal{E}^{(U,2)} \right) \mathbf{1}_+^{\leq n}. \tag{2.19}
\end{aligned}$$

We shall estimate the right-hand side of (2.19) term by term. Due to the projections it is enough to estimate $\mathcal{E}^{(U,0)}$, $\mathcal{E}^{(U,1)}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{(U,2)}$ on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$. Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm \mathcal{E}^{(U,0)} &\leq \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) dx dy (\mathcal{N}^2 + \mathcal{N} + n) + 2 \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_x dx dy \mathcal{N} \\
&\leq C \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 + n}{\ell} \leq C \frac{n^{1/2} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{3/2} + n}{\ell}
\end{aligned}$$

on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$, where we used that $\|V_\ell\|_1 \leq C\ell^{-1}$.

For $\mathcal{E}^{(U,1)}$ we use the elementary inequality $|\sqrt{1-t} - 1| \leq Ct$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm \mathcal{E}^{(U,1)} &= \pm a^*(V_\ell * u_0^2) \left[n^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{N}}{n}} - 1 \right) - (\mathcal{N} + 1) \sqrt{n - \mathcal{N}} \right] + \text{h.c.} \\
&\leq \varepsilon_1 a^*(V_\ell * u_0^2) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{1/2} a(V_\ell * u_0^2) + \varepsilon_1^{-1} n^3 \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{N}}{n}} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_1^{-1} \left((\mathcal{N} + 1) \sqrt{n - \mathcal{N}} \right)^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \varepsilon_1 \ell^{-2} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon_1^{-1} C n (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{3/2} \\
&\leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ell^{-1} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$, where we used that $\|V_\ell * u_0^2\|_2 \leq \|V_\ell\|_1 \leq C\ell^{-1}$ and optimized over $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. We proceed similarly for $\mathcal{E}^{(U,2)}$, using the elementary bound $|\sqrt{1-t-n^{-1}}\sqrt{1-t} - 1 + t| \leq n^{-1}$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1 - n^{-1}$. We obtain for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\pm \mathcal{E}^{(U,2)} \leq \varepsilon n^{-1} Q_4 + \varepsilon^{-1} n^3 \|V_\ell\|_1 \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{N} + 1}{n}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{N}}{n}} - 1 + \frac{\mathcal{N}}{n} \right)^2 \leq \varepsilon n^{-1} Q_4 + \varepsilon^{-1} C \frac{n}{\ell}$$

on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$. The proof of Lemma 2.2 is complete. \square

3 Symmetrization and Neumann Boundary Conditions

As already explained in the introduction, we cover \mathbb{R}^3 with copies of the box $\Lambda = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^3$ and label them canonically by $z + \Lambda$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. Then we define $P_z : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda + z$ as in (1.21) and define $K, \tilde{K} : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as in (1.22) and (1.25),

$$K = Q^{\otimes 2} \tilde{K}, \quad Q = 1 - |u_0\rangle\langle u_0|, \quad \tilde{K}(x, y) = - \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} n \omega_{\ell, \lambda} (P_z(x) - y). \tag{3.1}$$

Let us now collect some useful bounds and properties of the function K . In particular we show that its L^2 -norm is small if λ is, and that it is diagonal in the basis of Neumann eigenfunctions.

Lemma 3.1 (Properties of K). *Assume $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$. Then we have*

$$K(x, y) = \tilde{K}(x, y) + n\hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(0) = - \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} n\hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) u_p(x) u_p(y). \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|K\|_\infty \leq Cn, \quad \|K\|_2^2 \leq C\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2, \quad \sup_{x \in \Lambda} \|K_x\|_2^2 \leq C\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2,$$

where $K_x(y) := K(x, y) = K(y, x)$.

Let us start with the following useful identity.

Lemma 3.2. (Coefficients in the Neumann basis) *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be radial and integrable with $\text{supp}(f) \subset \Lambda$. Then for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ we have*

$$\int_{\Lambda^2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} f(P_z(x) - y) u_p(x) u_q(y) dx dy = \delta_{p, q} \hat{f}(p)$$

with the Fourier transform defined in (1.24).

Proof. First note that terms with $\max\{|z_i|, i = 1, 2, 3\} \geq 2$ are zero due to our assumption on the support of f . Using simple coordinate transformations and $u_p(P_z(x)) = u_p(x)$ for all $p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3$ we obtain for $y \in \Lambda$

$$\int_{\Lambda} u_p(x) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} f(P_z(x) - y) dx = \int_{[-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^3} u_p(x) f(x - y) dx = \int_{\Lambda} u_p(x + y) f(x) dx,$$

where we used that $\Lambda \subset y + [-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^3$. Thus, with the definition of u_p (2.11) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Lambda^2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} f(P_z(x) - y) u_p(x) u_q(y) dx dy &= \int_{\Lambda} f(x) \int_{\Lambda} u_p(x + y) u_q(y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{\Lambda} f(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\cos(p_i x_i) u_{p_i}(y_i) - \sqrt{2} \sin(p_i x_i) \sin(p_i (y_i + \frac{1}{2})) \right] u_{q_i}(y_i) dy_i dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that this formula trivially holds true for $p_i = 0$. The second term vanishes if we integrate over x since f is radial. We conclude that

$$\int_{\Lambda^2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} f(P_z(x) - y) u_p(x) u_q(y) dx dy = \delta_{p, q} \int_{\Lambda} f(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 \cos(p_i x_i) dx = \delta_{p, q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) e^{ip \cdot x} dx$$

where in the second identity we used the fact that f is radial and supported in Λ . \square

Proof of Lemma 3.1. From Lemma 3.2 we immediately have

$$\tilde{K}(x, y) = - \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3} n\hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) u_p(x) u_p(y), \quad K(x, y) = - \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} n\hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) u_p(x) u_p(y). \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, Lemma 2.1 yields

$$0 \leq K_2 := K(x, y) - \tilde{K}(x, y) = n\hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(0) \leq C\lambda^2 \frac{n}{\ell}. \quad (3.4)$$

Let us emphasize that K_2 is a constant. Next, note that $|P_z(x) - y| \geq |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \Lambda$. Together with the first bound in (2.9) and the finiteness of the sum this yields

$$|\tilde{K}(x, y)| \leq \frac{Cn\mathbb{1}_{|x-y|\leq\lambda}}{1 + \ell|x - y|}. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.5) and (3.4) we obtain

$$|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{Cn\mathbb{1}_{|x-y|\leq\lambda}}{1 + \ell|x - y|} + C\lambda^2 \frac{n}{\ell}. \quad (3.6)$$

The uniform bound $\|K\|_\infty \leq Cn$ follows immediately. For the L^2 -norm we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K\|_2^2 &\leq Cn^2 \int_{\Lambda^2} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{|x-y|\leq\lambda} dx dy}{(1 + \ell|x - y|)^2} + C\lambda^4 \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \\ &\leq Cn^2 \int_0^\lambda \frac{r^2}{(1 + \ell r)^2} dr + C\lambda^4 \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq C\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\|K_x\|_2^2 \leq C\lambda (n/\ell)^2$ independently of x . \square

We may think of K as a modified scattering solution. Therefore, it is interesting to compare the scattering length \mathfrak{a} with the one obtained by K . The following Lemma quantifies their difference. This will be used to extract the scattering length \mathfrak{a} in computations in the upcoming sections.

Lemma 3.3. (*Boundary effects*) *The function*

$$h(x) = \int_\Lambda V_\ell(x - y) (n + K(x, y)) dy - 8\pi\mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell}, \quad x \in \Lambda \quad (3.7)$$

satisfies

$$\|h\|_1 \lesssim \frac{n \log(\ell)}{\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|_p \lesssim \frac{n}{\ell} \ell^{-1/p}, \quad \forall p \in (1, \infty].$$

Consequently,

$$\left| nV_\ell^{0000} + \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x - y) K(x, y) dx dy - 8\pi\mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} \right| \leq Cn\ell^{-2} \log(\ell).$$

Proof. We choose $R > 0$ such that $\text{supp}(V) \subset B_R(0)$. Using the uniform bound $|K(x, y)| \leq Cn$ from Lemma 3.1 and the obvious bound

$$\int_\Lambda V_\ell(x - y) dy \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V$$

we have

$$|h(x)| \leq C \frac{n}{\ell}, \quad \forall x \in \Lambda. \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, this bound can be improved if x lies well within the interior of Λ . Indeed, for $x \in \Lambda$ satisfying $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Lambda) > R\ell^{-1}$, we claim that

$$|h(x)| \leq C \frac{n}{\ell} \frac{1}{1 + \ell \text{d}(x, \partial\Lambda)} + C \frac{n}{\ell^2}. \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.8) and (3.9), it is straightforward to deduce the desired L^p bounds of h .

It remains to verify (3.9). Using the definition (3.1) and $V_\ell(1 - \omega_{\ell,\lambda}) = V_\ell f_\ell$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Lambda V_\ell(x-y)(n + K(x,y))dy &= \int_\Lambda n(V_\ell f_\ell)(x-y)dy - \sum_{z \neq 0} \int_\Lambda V_\ell(x-y)n\omega_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x)-y)dy \\ &\quad + K_2 \int_\Lambda V_\ell(x-y)dy \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

with $K_2 = K - \tilde{K}$. The last term of (3.10) is bounded easily by (3.4),

$$0 \leq K_2 \int_\Lambda V_\ell(x-y)dy \leq C \frac{n}{\ell} \lambda^2 \|V_\ell\|_1 \leq C \frac{n}{\ell^2}.$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of (3.10), from the assumption $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Lambda) > R\ell^{-1}$ we have $(\Lambda - x) \cap B_{R\ell^{-1}}(0) = B_{R\ell^{-1}}(0)$, which can be used together with the fact $\text{supp}(V_\ell) \subset B_{R\ell^{-1}}(0)$ to deduce that

$$\int_\Lambda n(V_\ell f_\ell)(x-y)dy = \int_{\Lambda-x} n(V_\ell f_\ell)(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n(V_\ell f_\ell)(y)dy = 8\pi\mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell}.$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (3.10) we note that $P_z(x) \notin \Lambda$ for $x \in \Lambda$ and $z \neq 0$. In particular $|P_z(x) - y| \geq d(x, \partial\Lambda)$. Together with the first bound in (2.9) this implies

$$\omega_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y) \leq \frac{C}{1 + \ell|P_z(x) - y|} \leq \frac{C}{1 + \ell d(x, \partial\Lambda)} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, as only finitely many summands in this term are non-zero,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \neq 0} \int_\Lambda V_\ell(x-y)n\omega_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x)-y)dy &\leq C \int_\Lambda nV_\ell(x-y) \frac{1}{1 + \ell d(x, \partial\Lambda)} dy \\ &\leq C \frac{n}{\ell} \frac{1}{1 + \ell d(x, \partial\Lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes (3.9) as well as the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

4 The First Quadratic Transformation

In this section we apply the first transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$ to the excitation Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} in (2.17), where

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} K(x,y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy - \text{h.c.} \quad (4.1)$$

with K given in (3.1). The role of this transformation is to replace the quadratic term Q_2 in (2.17) by \tilde{Q}_2 , defined in (1.28), which is less singular, and extracts a scalar contribution leading to the full leading order energy $4\pi\mathfrak{a}n^2\ell^{-1}$. The main result of this section is the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $\lambda(\frac{n}{\ell})^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$, and that ℓ is large enough. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{H} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} &= 4\pi\mathfrak{a}n^2\ell^{-1} + \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|n\hat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 \\ &\quad + n \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)(a_x^* a_x + a_x^* a_y) dx dy - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}n\ell^{-1} \mathcal{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \tilde{Q}_2 + Q_3 + \mathcal{E}_1 \quad (4.2)$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ , with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3 &= \sqrt{n} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x dx dy + \text{h.c.}, \\ \tilde{Q}_2 &= \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2(x,y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy + \text{h.c.}, \\ \tilde{Q}_2(x,y) &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y) \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{z \neq 0} \left(V_\ell(\omega_\ell - 1)(P_z(x) - y) - V_\ell(x-y) \omega_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \mathcal{E}_1 &\leq C(\delta + \ell^{-1} \lambda^2 + \varepsilon n^{-1}) Q_4 + C \delta^{-1} \left(\frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)}{\ell} + \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}{n\ell} + \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 \right) (\mathcal{N}+1) \\ &\quad + C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 + \frac{n}{\ell} \right) (\mathcal{N}+1) + C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\ell} \\ &\quad + C \frac{n^{1/2}}{\ell^{5/6}} \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n^2 \log(\ell)}{\ell^2} \right) + C \varepsilon^{-1} \frac{n}{\ell} + C \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \log \ell \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for all $0 < \delta, \varepsilon \leq 1$.

The remainder of this section is dedicated to proving this lemma. Let us first make some remarks.

1. The condition $2R/\ell < \lambda$ was already introduced in (2.5), it ensures that $\omega_{\ell,\lambda} \equiv \omega_\ell$ on the support of V_ℓ . The condition $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 \leq 1$ ensures that $\|K\|_2$ remains bounded, so that the first quadratic transform preserves powers of the particle number, see Lemma 4.2 below. We will keep those constraints throughout the paper. Eventually, we will additionally ask $\lambda \ll 1$ so that only the first terms in the Duhamel expansion of $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$ will contribute to the LHY order.
2. We will eventually choose $\delta = o(1)_{\rho a^3 \rightarrow 0}$. On the other hand, ε will be independent of ρa^3 .
3. We have chosen the transformation kernel of \mathcal{B}_1 in such a way that

$$[d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_1] + Q_2 \approx \tilde{Q}_2. \quad (4.4)$$

The renormalized quadratic term \tilde{Q}_2 defined in Lemma 4.1 consists of two parts,

$$\tilde{Q}_2 = \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)} + \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)} = \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x,y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy + \text{h.c.} + \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x,y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy + \text{h.c.}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x,y) &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y), \\ \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x,y) &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{z \neq 0} \left[\left(V_\ell(\omega_\ell - 1)(P_z(x) - y) \right) - V_\ell(x-y) \omega_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The part $\tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}$ comes from the cutoff we introduced in $\omega_{\ell,\lambda}$, see (2.6), it is essentially the desired renormalized form of Q_2 . The additional part $\tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}$ is a boundary effect that arises from the symmetrization of the kernel K . This is an error term, but for technical reasons we have to keep the boundary contribution $\tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}$ in \tilde{Q}_2 in Lemma 4.1, and will eliminate it later after conjugating with the cubic transformation.

We shall need the following standard estimate.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$ and that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $C_k > 0$ independent of n, ℓ and λ such that on \mathcal{F} we have*

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1}(\mathcal{N} + 1)^k e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} \leq C_k (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k, \quad \forall t \in [-1, 1].$$

Proof. The proof that quadratic transformations preserve powers of the particle number is well known, see for instance [29, Lemma 4] from which we have

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1}(\mathcal{N} + 1)^k e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} \leq C_k (1 + \|K\|_2^2)^k (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k.$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.1 and the assumption on λ , we have $\|K\|_2^2 \leq C\lambda(n/\ell)^2 \leq C$. \square

From (2.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{H} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} &= \left(\frac{n^2}{2} V_\ell^{0000} + e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_2 + Q_4) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \right) + e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} H_2^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ &\quad + e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} (Q_1 + Q_3^{(U)}) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{E}^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ &= (\mathrm{I})_1 + (\mathrm{II})_1 + (\mathrm{III})_1 + e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{E}^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We will estimate $(\mathrm{I})_1$, $(\mathrm{II})_1$ and $(\mathrm{III})_1$ in Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, respectively. Finally, in Section 4.4, we gather all previous estimates and complete the proof of Lemma 4.1.

4.1 Analysis of $(\mathrm{I})_1$

In this subsection we estimate the term $(\mathrm{I})_1$ appearing in (4.6).

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{I})_1 &= \frac{n^2}{2} V_\ell^{0000} + e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_2 + Q_4) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ &= 4\pi a n^2 \ell^{-1} + \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + \tilde{Q}_2 + \mathcal{E}_1^{(Q_2)} \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ , with

$$\pm \mathcal{E}_1^{(Q_2)} \leq (\delta + C\ell^{-1}\lambda^2)Q_4 + C\delta^{-1}\lambda\frac{n^2}{\ell^3}(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 + C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{n^2}{\ell^2}(\mathcal{N} + 1) + C\frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \log \ell,$$

for all $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

To prove Lemma 4.3, we use the Duhamel-type identity

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_2 + Q_4) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) - Q_4 \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} \left([\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_1] + Q_2 \right) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

We deal with the two terms on the right-hand side of (4.7) by using Lemmata 4.4 and 4.5 below.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $\lambda(\frac{n}{\ell})^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Then we have*

$$[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_1] + Q_2 = \tilde{Q}_2 + \mathcal{E} \quad (4.8)$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ , where \tilde{Q}_2 is given in Lemma 4.1 and \mathcal{E} satisfies

$$\pm \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{E} e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt \leq (\delta + C\ell^{-1}\lambda^2)Q_4 + C\delta^{-1}\lambda\frac{n^2}{\ell^3}(\mathcal{N}+1)^2 + C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{n^2}{\ell^2}(\mathcal{N}+1) \quad (4.9)$$

for all $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Moreover, it holds that

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} Q_4 e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} \leq C \left(Q_4 + \frac{n^2}{\ell} + \lambda \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N}+1)^2 \right), \quad \forall t \in [-1, 1]. \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. We use the momentum space representation from (3.3) and Lemma 3.2 and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta), \mathcal{B}_1] &= -n \sum_{p \neq 0} p^2 \widehat{w}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) a_p^* a_p^* + \text{h.c.} \\ &= n \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \int_{\Lambda^2} \Delta \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x) - y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Moreover,

$$[Q_4, \mathcal{B}_1] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \tilde{K}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} + \mathcal{E} \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} K_2 \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* + \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a^*(K_y) a_x + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.13)$$

with $K_2 = K - \tilde{K}$ the constant given in (3.4). With the definition of \tilde{K} in (1.22) and the scattering equation (2.6) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &n \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \Delta \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x) - y) + \frac{1}{2} V_\ell(x-y) \tilde{K}(x, y) \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left(\epsilon_{\ell, \lambda} - (V_\ell(1 - \omega_\ell))(P_z(x) - y) - V_\ell(x-y) \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x) - y) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly equal to $\tilde{Q}_2(x, y)$ defined in Lemma 4.1 plus

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(- (V_\ell(1 - \omega_\ell))(P_z(x) - y) - V_\ell(x-y) \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x) - y) \right) \Big|_{z=0} = -\frac{n}{2} V_\ell(x-y).$$

Therefore, we deduce from (4.11) and (4.12) that

$$[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_1] = \int_{\Lambda^2} \left(\tilde{Q}_2(x, y) - \frac{n}{2} V_\ell(x-y) \right) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} + \mathcal{E} = \tilde{Q}_2 - Q_2 + \mathcal{E}.$$

We shall now prove the estimate (4.10). Recall the uniform bound $|K(x, y)| \leq Cn$ from Lemma 3.1. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \\ &\leq \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x a_y + \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y)^2 \leq Q_4 + Cn^2 \ell^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the bound $\sup_{y \in \Lambda} \|K_y\|_2^2 \leq C\lambda n^2/\ell^2$ again from Lemma 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a^*(K_y) a_x + \text{h.c.} \\
& \leq \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x a_y + \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a(K_y) a^*(K_y) a_x \\
& \leq Q_4 + C\lambda \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} \mathcal{N}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Therefore, from (4.12) and (4.13) we have

$$\pm[\mathcal{B}_1, Q_4] \leq 2Q_4 + Cn^2\ell^{-1} + C\lambda \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} \mathcal{N}^2.$$

From this and Lemma 4.2, a standard Grönwall argument gives

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} Q_4 e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} \leq C \left(Q_4 + n^2\ell^{-1} + \lambda \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 \right), \quad \forall t \in [-1, 1].$$

Now we have the tools to show the estimate (4.9) with \mathcal{E} given in (4.13) as the sum of the two terms. For the first one, we use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the inequality (3.4) to obtain

$$\pm \frac{1}{2} K_2 \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \leq (\ell^{-1}\lambda^2) Q_4 + K_2^2 (\ell^{-1}\lambda^2)^{-1} \|V_\ell\|_1 \leq \ell^{-1}\lambda^2 Q_4 + C\lambda^2 \frac{n^2}{\ell^2}$$

and by (4.10)

$$\pm e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} \left(\frac{1}{2} K_2 \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \right) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} \leq C\ell^{-1}\lambda^2 Q_4 + C\lambda^3 \frac{n^2}{\ell^4} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 + C\lambda^2 \frac{n^2}{\ell^2}. \tag{4.15}$$

For the second term in \mathcal{E} we write

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a_x^* a_y^* e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} = a_x^* a_y^* + tK(x, y) + \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} (a_x^* a(K_y) + a_y^* a(K_x)) e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds. \tag{4.16}$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a^*(K_y) a_x + \text{h.c.} \right) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} \\
& = \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \left(a_x^* a_y^* + tK(x, y) + \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} (a_x^* a(K_y) + a_y^* a(K_x)) e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds \right) \times \\
& \quad \times e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a^*(K_y) a_x e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.}
\end{aligned}$$

We may now bound each term with the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality while making use of Lemma 4.2. For $\delta > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a^*(K_y) a_x e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \|V_\ell\|_1 \sup_y \|K_y\|_2^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2, \\
& \pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y) e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a^*(K_y) a_x e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \leq C \|V_\ell\|_1 n \sup_y \|K_y\|_2 (\mathcal{N} + 1)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} (a_x^* a(K_y) + a_y^* a(K_x)) e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a^*(K_y) a_x e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\leq C \|V_\ell\|_1 \sup_y \|K_y\|_2^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2.$$

Then, $\|K\|_\infty \leq Cn$ and $\sup_y \|K_y\|_2 \leq C\lambda n^2 \ell^{-2}$ from Lemma 3.1 yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a^*(K_y) a_x + \text{h.c.} \right) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} \\ & \leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \lambda \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 + C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} (\mathcal{N} + 1) + C \lambda \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (4.14), and $\lambda, \ell^{-1}, \delta \leq 1$ to simplify some error terms, yields the desired error bound (4.9). \square

From (4.8) and (4.7) we obtain the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} (\text{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_2 + Q_4) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} - \text{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) - Q_4 \\ & = \tilde{Q}_2 + \int_0^1 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} \text{d}s \text{d}t \\ & \quad + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} \text{d}s \text{d}t + \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{E} e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} \text{d}t, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where we again used the Duhamel formula. The last term in (4.17) is an error term that is controlled by (4.9).

As Q_2, \tilde{Q}_2 and \mathcal{B}_1 are all quadratic, there are constant contributions in $[Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1]$ and $[\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_1]$. They are extracted in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Then we have*

$$\frac{n^2}{2} V_\ell^{0000} + \frac{1}{2} [Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] = 4\pi a n^2 \ell^{-1} + \Xi_1^{(a)}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_1] = \sum_{p \in \pi \mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|n \hat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} + \Xi_1^{(b)} \quad (4.19)$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ where both of the error terms $\Xi_1^{(a)}$ and $\Xi_1^{(b)}$ are bounded by

$$\pm \Xi_1^{(a)}, \pm \Xi_1^{(b)} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \mathcal{N} + C \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \log \ell.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n^2}{2} V_\ell^{0000} + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} \text{d}s \text{d}t + \int_0^1 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} [\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} \text{d}s \text{d}t \\ & = 4\pi a n^2 \ell^{-1} + \sum_{p \in \pi \mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|n \hat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} + \Xi_1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ , with

$$\pm \Xi_1 \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1) + C \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \log \ell.$$

Proof. Let us start with (4.18). A simple calculation shows that

$$[Q_2, \mathcal{B}_1] = \int_{\Lambda^2} n V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y) + n \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a^*(K_x) a_y + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.21)$$

For the first term on the right hand-side of (4.21), which will be multiplied by a factor $1/2 = \int_0^1 \int_0^t ds dt$, we have by Lemma 3.3

$$\left| \frac{n^2}{2} V_\ell^{0000} + \frac{n}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x,y) - 4\pi a n^2 \ell^{-1} \right| \leq C n^2 \ell^{-2} \log \ell.$$

For the second term in (4.21) we use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and obtain

$$\pm n \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a^*(K_x) a_y + \text{h.c.} \leq C n \|V_\ell\|_1 \sup_y \|K_y\|_2 \mathcal{N} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \mathcal{N}.$$

Thus (4.18) holds.

The proof of (4.19) is more involved. To estimate

$$[\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_1] = 2 \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2(x,y) K(x,y) + 2 \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2(x,y) a^*(K_x) a_y + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.22)$$

let us decompose

$$\tilde{Q}_2(x,y) = \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x,y) + \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x,y)$$

as in (4.5) and derive pointwise estimates for $\tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x,y)$ and $\tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x,y)$. Note that in (4.5) both sums are finite (each sum contains at most $3^3 = 27$ non-zero summands). From Lemma 2.1 and the bound $|P_z(x) - y| \geq |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \Lambda$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x,y)| dy &\leq C n \ell^{-1} \lambda^{-3} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|P_z(x)-y| \leq \lambda} \\ &\leq C n \ell^{-1} \lambda^{-3} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|P_z(x)-y| \leq \lambda} \leq C n \ell^{-1} \lambda^{-3} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq \lambda} \quad \forall x, y \in \Lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Moreover, for all $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ we have

$$V_\ell(P_z(x) - y) = V_\ell(P_z(x) - y) \mathbf{1}_{\text{d}(x, \partial\Lambda) \leq R\ell^{-1}} \leq \frac{C V_\ell(P_z(x) - y)}{1 + \ell \text{d}(x, \partial\Lambda)}$$

since $\text{supp}(V_\ell) \subset B_{R\ell^{-1}}(0)$. In combination with (3.11) and $|P_z(x) - y| \geq |x - y|$, as well as the non-increasing assumption on $|x| \mapsto V(x)$, we arrive at the bound

$$|\tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x,y)| \leq C n \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{V_\ell(P_z(x) - y)}{1 + \ell \text{d}(x, \partial\Lambda)} \leq C n \frac{V_\ell(x-y)}{1 + \ell \text{d}(x, \partial\Lambda)}. \quad (4.24)$$

Now we are ready to bound the last term in (4.22). From (4.23) and (4.24), we obtain the pointwise estimate

$$|\tilde{Q}_2(x,y)| \leq C n (\ell^{-1} \lambda^{-3} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq \lambda} + V_\ell(x-y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \Lambda.$$

Combining this with the uniform bound $\sup_{x \in \Lambda} \|K_x\|_2 \leq C \lambda^{1/2} n \ell^{-1}$ from Lemma 3.1 and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality with $\delta = \ell / (\lambda^{1/2} n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\pm 2 \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2(x,y) a^*(K_x) a_y + \text{h.c.} \\ &\leq \delta \int_{\Lambda^2} |\tilde{Q}_2(x,y)| a^*(K_x) a(K_x) + \delta^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^2} |\tilde{Q}_2(x,y)| a_y^* a_y \\ &\leq C \delta n \ell^{-1} \int_{\Lambda} a^*(K_x) a(K_x) + C \delta^{-1} n \ell^{-1} \int_{\Lambda} a_y^* a_y \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\delta n\ell^{-1} \sup_{x \in \Lambda} \|K_x\|_2^2 \mathcal{N} + C\delta^{-1} n\ell^{-1} \mathcal{N} \leq C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \mathcal{N}.$$

It remains to consider the constant term in (4.22). The contribution involving $\tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}$ is negligible and can be estimated using (4.24) and the uniform bound $\|K\|_\infty \leq Cn$ from Lemma 3.1 as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 2 \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y) K(x, y) \right| &\leq Cn^2 \int_{\Lambda^2} \frac{V_\ell(x-y)}{1 + \ell d(x, \partial\Lambda)} \\ &\leq Cn^2 \ell^{-1} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{1}{1 + \ell d(x, \partial\Lambda)} \leq C \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \log \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we consider the important constant contribution involving $\tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}$. Combining (3.2) and (4.5) with Lemma 3.2 we obtain that

$$2 \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y) K(x, y) = -n^2 \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \hat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) \hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) \quad (4.25)$$

Covering $\mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ with 8 rotations of $\mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, we overcount the points in the hyperplanes $\{p_j = 0\}$ for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ at most 7 times. Recall from (2.8) that we can write

$$\epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}(x) = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\ell} \lambda^{-3} f(\lambda^{-1}x) \quad \text{with} \quad f = \frac{\chi''}{|\cdot|} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (4.26)$$

Using that both $\epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}$ and $\omega_{\ell, \lambda}$ are radial (therefore so is f), we obtain from (4.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| n^2 \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \hat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) \hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) - \frac{n^2}{8} \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) \hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) \right| \\ &\leq Cn^2 \sum_{q \in \pi\mathbb{Z}^2} |\hat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(q, 0) \hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}(q, 0)| \\ &\leq C \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \lambda^2 \sum_{q \in \pi\mathbb{Z}^2} |\hat{f}(\lambda q, 0)| \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\|\hat{\omega}_{\ell, \lambda}\|_\infty \leq \|\omega_{\ell, \lambda}\|_1 \leq C\lambda^2 \ell^{-1}$, which follows from (2.9). Using that $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} e^{ip \cdot x}\}_{p \in \pi\mathbb{Z}^3}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(2\Lambda)$, we obtain that

$$\left| 2 \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y) K(x, y) + n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}(x) \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(x) dx \right| \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2.$$

We shall now suitably rewrite the second term. Recall the scattering equation (2.6),

$$\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\ell, \lambda} = \Delta(\omega_{\ell, \lambda} - \omega_\ell) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and note that $\omega_{\ell, \lambda} - \omega_\ell$ and $\Delta\omega_\ell$ have disjoint supports. Therefore,

$$0 = 2 \langle (\omega_{\ell, \lambda} - \omega_\ell), \Delta\omega_\ell \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 2 \langle \Delta(\omega_{\ell, \lambda} - \omega_\ell), \omega_\ell \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \langle \epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}, \omega_\ell \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

and hence

$$-n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}(x) \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(x) dx = -n^2 \langle \epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}, \omega_{\ell, \lambda} - \omega_\ell \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

$$= n^2 \left\langle \epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}, \frac{1}{-2\Delta} \epsilon_{\ell, \lambda} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = n^2 (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} dp.$$

To conclude, we claim that the integral can be replaced by a corresponding Riemann sum, namely

$$\left| n^2 (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} dp - \sum_{p \in \pi \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} \right| \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2. \quad (4.27)$$

Indeed, using (4.26) we see that (4.27) is equivalent to

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3} g(\lambda z) dz - \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \{0\}} g(\lambda z) \right| \leq C \lambda^{-2} \quad \text{with} \quad g(z) = \frac{|\widehat{f}(\pi z)|^2}{|\pi z|^2}. \quad (4.28)$$

Since $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it is straightforward to check that all second derivatives $D^\alpha g$, $|\alpha| = 2$, are bounded as

$$|D_z^\alpha g(z)| \leq C |z|^{-4}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}.$$

Therefore, for every $z \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\xi \in \Lambda + z$, we have the Taylor expansion

$$g(\lambda \xi) = g(\lambda z) + \lambda(\xi - z) \cdot (\nabla g)(\lambda z) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2}) |z|^{-4}.$$

Integrating over $\xi \in \Lambda + z$ and using $\int_{\Lambda+z} \xi d\xi = z$ we find that

$$\int_{\Lambda+z} g(\lambda \xi) d\xi = g(\lambda z) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2}) |z|^{-4}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \{0\}.$$

Summing up these bounds over $z \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and combining with

$$\int_{\Lambda} g(\lambda z) dz \leq \int_{\Lambda} \frac{C}{|\lambda z|^2} dz \leq C \lambda^{-2}$$

we obtain (4.28). Thus the proof of (4.19) is complete.

The last statement (4.20) in Lemma 4.5 follows from (4.18), (4.19) and Lemma 4.2. \square

Conclusion of Lemma 4.3. Inserting (4.20) in (4.17) we obtain the claim. \square

4.2 Analysis of (II)₁

Here we estimate the term

$$(II)_1 = e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} H_2^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$$

appearing in (4.6). We recall from (1.18) that

$$H_2^{(U)} = n \, d\Gamma(V_\ell * u_0^2 + \widehat{V}_\ell - V_\ell^{0000}) - \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* dx dy \mathcal{N} + \text{h.c.} \right), \quad (4.29)$$

where $nV_\ell * u_0^2$ is a multiplication operator and \widehat{V}_ℓ denotes the operator with integral kernel $V_\ell(x-y)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Then we have*

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} H_2^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} = d\Gamma \left(nV_\ell * u_0^2 + n\widehat{V}_\ell - 8\pi \mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} \right) + \mathcal{E}_1^{(H_2^{(U)})}$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ , with

$$\pm \mathcal{E}_1^{(H_2^{(U)})} \leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{\ell} + C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 + \frac{n}{\ell} \right) (\mathcal{N} + 1)$$

for all $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

To control the diagonal terms in (4.29) we use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 \leq 1$ and that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$. Let $A : L^2(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^2(\Lambda)$ be a bounded, self-adjoint linear operator. Then on \mathcal{F} we have*

$$\pm (e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} d\Gamma(A) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} - d\Gamma(A)) \leq C \|A\|_{\text{op}} \|K\|_2 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \leq C \|A\|_{\text{op}} \lambda^{1/2} \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1).$$

Proof. Applying the Duhamel formula yields

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} d\Gamma(A) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} - d\Gamma(A) = \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} [d\Gamma(A), \mathcal{B}_1] e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt.$$

Let us denote by K the operator with kernel $K(x, y)$ so that $\mathcal{B}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} a_m^* a^*(K u_m) - \text{h.c.}$ From the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we find

$$\pm [d\Gamma(A), \mathcal{B}_1] = \pm \sum_{m \neq 0} a^*(A K u_m) a_m^* + \text{h.c.} \leq C \|AK\|_2 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \leq C \|A\|_{\text{op}} \|K\|_2 (\mathcal{N} + 1).$$

The first inequality in Lemma 4.7 then follows from Lemma 4.2 and the second one from Lemma 3.1. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.6. For the last term of $H_2^{(U)}$ we find with the aid of (4.16)

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* \mathcal{N} + \text{h.c.} \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{N} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ &+ \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y) e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{N} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ &+ \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \int_0^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} a_x^* a(K_y) e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{N} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right-hand side is the main term. The first term is controlled by Q_4 with the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{N} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} &\leq \delta Q_4 + C \delta^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{N}^2 e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ &\leq \delta Q_4 + C \delta^{-1} \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term we find similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \int_0^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} a_x^* a(K_y) e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} ds e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{N} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ & \leq \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \int_0^1 (\|K\|_2^{-1} e^{-s\mathcal{B}_1} a_x^* a(K_y) a^*(K_y) a_x e^{s\mathcal{B}_1} + \|K\|_2 e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{N}^2 e^{\mathcal{B}_1}) ds \\ & \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n}{\ell^2} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 \leq C \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(n/\ell) \leq 1$ in the last estimate. The above bounds show that

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} H_2^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} = e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} d\Gamma \left(nV_\ell * u_0^2 + n\widehat{V}_\ell - \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)(n+K(x,y)) \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^{(H_2^{(U)})} \quad (4.30)$$

with

$$\pm \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^{(H_2^{(U)})} \leq C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 (\mathcal{N}+1) + \delta Q_4 + C\delta^{-1} \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}{\ell}.$$

From Lemma 3.3 we find

$$\pm \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)(n+K(x,y)) - 8\pi\alpha \frac{n}{\ell} \right) \leq Cn\ell^{-2} \log(\ell) \leq C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n}{\ell},$$

where we used $\lambda \geq C\ell^{-1} \geq (\log(\ell)/\ell)^2$ in the last estimate. Note that the operator in the bracket in the first line of (4.30) is bounded by $Cn\ell^{-1}$. We now apply the previous estimate together with Lemma 4.7 to the first line of (4.30) and find the statement from Lemma 4.6. \square

4.3 Analysis of $(\text{III})_1$

Here we analyze

$$(\text{III})_1 = e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} (Q_1 + Q_3^{(U)}) e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$$

appearing in (4.6), where we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= n^{3/2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* dx dy + \text{h.c.}, \\ Q_3^{(U)} &= \sqrt{(n-\mathcal{N}+1)_+} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x dx dy + \text{h.c.}, \\ Q_3 &= n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x dx dy + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.8. *Assume that $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Then we have*

$$(\text{III})_1 = Q_3 + \mathcal{E}_1^{(Q_3)}$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ where the error term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \mathcal{E}_1^{(Q_3)} &\leq C\delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \left(\frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}{n\ell} + \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 \right) (\mathcal{N}+1) + Cn^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\ell} \\ &\quad + C \frac{n^{1/2}}{\ell^{5/6}} \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n^2 \log(\ell)}{\ell^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

Proof. We expand $e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x^* a_y^* e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$ as in (4.16) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} Q_3^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} &= \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x \sqrt{(n-\mathcal{N})_+} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x,y) e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x \sqrt{(n-\mathcal{N})_+} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} (a_x^* a(K_y) + a_y^* a(K_x)) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt \times \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

$$\times e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N})_+} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.}$$

The last term is an error term. In fact, using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality (for an appropriate choice of $\eta > 0$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a_x^* a(K_y) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N})_+} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ & \leq \eta \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \int_0^1 \left[e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a_x^* a(K_y) e^{(t-1)\mathcal{B}_1} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(t-1)\mathcal{B}_1} a^*(K_y) a_x e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \eta^{-1} C e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N})_+} a_x^* (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_x \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N})_+} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \right] dt \\ & \leq \ell^{-1} \left(\eta \sup_y \|K_y\|_2^2 + \eta^{-1} C n \right) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ & \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\ell^2} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first two lines of (4.31) we may replace $\sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N})_+}$ by \sqrt{n} , using

$$\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N})_+} \leq \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\sqrt{n}},$$

which follows from the elementary inequality $1 - \sqrt{(1-x)_+} \leq x$ for all $x \geq 0$. With the aid of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x,y) e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x \left(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N})_+} \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ & \leq n \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_x^* (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_x + n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N} - 1)_+} \right)^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ & \leq C \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way one shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x \left(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N})_+} \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ & \leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \left(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N} + 1)_+} \right) a_x^* a_x \left(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{(n - \mathcal{N} + 1)_+} \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ & \leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \ell^{-1} \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^3}{n} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\delta > 0$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} Q_3^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} &= \sqrt{n} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ & \quad + \sqrt{n} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x,y) e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^{(Q_3)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

with

$$\pm \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^{(Q_3)} \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\ell} + \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^3}{n\ell}.$$

The second term of (4.32) cancels with $e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} Q_1 e^{\mathcal{B}_1}$ as we will see below, whereas the first line equals Q_3 up to negligible errors. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{n} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} - Q_3 \\ &= \sqrt{n} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} [a_x, \mathcal{B}_1] e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt + \text{h.c.} \\ &= \sqrt{n} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a^*(K_x) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

so that with the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for all $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} n^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} - Q_3 \right) \\ & \leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C n \sup_x \|K_x\|_2^2 \|V_\ell\|_1 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ & \leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 (\mathcal{N} + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

In order to control the second term in (4.32) we recall the definition of h in Lemma 3.3 and use $\langle \xi, \int_\Lambda a_x \xi \rangle = 0$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{F}_+$ as well as that $e^{-\mathcal{B}_1}$ leaves \mathcal{F}_+ invariant to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x,y) e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} + e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} Q_1 e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_\Lambda h(x) e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} a_x e^{\mathcal{B}_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_\Lambda h(x) a_x + \text{h.c.} + n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_\Lambda h(x) \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a^*(K_x) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ . Both terms on the right hand side are small. Indeed, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the estimates on h in Lemma 3.3 yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_\Lambda h(x) \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_1} a^*(K_x) e^{t\mathcal{B}_1} dt + \text{h.c.} \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_1 \sup_x \|K_x\|_2 (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n \log(\ell)}{\ell^2} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 (\mathcal{N} + 1) + C \frac{n^2 \log(\ell)^2}{\ell^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Moreover, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we have for all $\eta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \pm n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_\Lambda h(x) a_x + \text{h.c.} & \leq C \eta n^{1/2} \int_\Lambda |h(x)| a_x^* a_x + C \eta^{-1} n^{1/2} \int_\Lambda |h(x)| \\ & \leq C \eta n^{1/2} \|h\|_{3/2} d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C \eta^{-1} n^{1/2} \|h\|_1 \\ & \leq C \eta n^{1/2} \ell^{-2/3} \frac{n}{\ell} d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C \eta^{-1} n^{1/2} \ell^{-1} \frac{n}{\ell} \log \ell \\ & \leq C \frac{n^{1/2}}{\ell^{5/6}} \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n^2 \log(\ell)}{\ell^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

where we chose $\eta = \ell^{5/6}/n$ in the last step. For the second inequality we used that on \mathcal{F}_+

$$d\Gamma(\Phi) \leq C \|\Phi\|_{3/2} d\Gamma(-\Delta), \quad (4.37)$$

for $\Phi \in L^{3/2}(\Lambda)$. This follows from the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities as

$$\langle f, \Phi f \rangle = \int_\Lambda \Phi(x) |f(x)|^2 \leq C \|\Phi\|_{3/2} \|f\|_6^2 \leq C \|\Phi\|_{3/2} (\|f\|_2^2 + \|\nabla f\|_2^2), \quad f \in H^1(\Lambda)$$

and $\mathcal{N} \leq \pi^{-2} d\Gamma(-\Delta)$ on \mathcal{F}_+ .

Inserting (4.35) and (4.36) into (4.34), and subsequently (4.33) and (4.34) into (4.32), yields

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \left(Q_1 + Q_3^{(U)} \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_1} = Q_3 + \mathcal{E}_1^{(Q_3)}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \mathcal{E}_1^{(Q_3)} &\leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\ell} + \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^3}{n\ell} + \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\quad + \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 (\mathcal{N} + 1) + C \frac{n^2 \log(\ell)^2}{\ell^3} + C \frac{n^{1/2}}{\ell^{5/6}} \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n^2 \log(\ell)}{\ell^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We readily deduce Lemma 4.8 via simplifications due to $\delta \leq 1$ and $\log(\ell)\ell^{-1} \lesssim \ell^{-5/6}$. \square

4.4 Proof of Lemma 4.1

Proof. Inserting Lemmata 4.3, 4.6 and 4.8 in (4.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{H} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} &= 4\pi \mathfrak{a} n^2 \ell^{-1} + \sum_{p \in \pi \mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + \widetilde{Q}_2 + \mathcal{E}_1^{(Q_2)} \\ &\quad + d\Gamma \left(n V_\ell * u_0^2 + n \widehat{V}_\ell - 8\pi \mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} \right) + \mathcal{E}_1^{(H_2^{(U)})} + Q_3 + \mathcal{E}_1^{(Q_3)} + e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{E}^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Lemma 4.2 and (4.10) to (2.18) and find

$$\pm e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{E}^{(U)} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \leq \varepsilon n^{-1} C Q_4 + \varepsilon^{-1} C \frac{n}{\ell} + C \lambda \frac{n}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 + C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ell^{-1} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

were some terms simplified due to the condition $\varepsilon \leq 1$. Collecting all the error terms and using $\lambda n^2 \ell^{-2} \leq 1$ and $\delta \leq 1$ yields Lemma 4.1. \square

5 The Cubic Transformation

In this section we apply the cubic transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ to $e^{\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{H} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1}$ with

$$\mathcal{B}_c = \frac{\theta_M(\mathcal{N})}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\Lambda^2} q_x^* a^*(K_x) q_x dx dy - \text{h.c.} \quad (5.1)$$

Recall that

$$q_x = \int_{\Lambda} Q(x, y) a_y dy = a(Q_x).$$

where $Q = 1 - |u_0\rangle\langle u_0|$ and $Q(x, y)$ is its integral kernel. In particular

$$q(f) = a(Qf) = a(f) - \langle f, u_0 \rangle a(u_0), \quad \forall f \in L^2(\Lambda).$$

The use of q_x instead of a_x in the definition of \mathcal{B}_c in (5.1) ensures that \mathcal{B}_c leaves \mathcal{F}_+ invariant. Note that the commutation relations of these operators with the usual creation and annihilation operators are given by

$$[q_x, a_y^*] = \delta_{x,y} - u_0(y) = \delta_{x,y} - 1, \quad \forall x, y \in \Lambda.$$

In all normal ordered expressions, q_x may be replaced by a_x on \mathcal{F}_+ since $q_x|\xi\rangle = a_x|\xi\rangle$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{F}_+$.

For $1 \leq M \leq n$ we define

$$\theta_M(\mathcal{N}) := \theta(\mathcal{N}/M),$$

where $\theta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, [0, 1])$ satisfies $\theta(x) = 1$ for $x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\theta(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 1$. The cut-off θ_M in \mathcal{B}_c ensures that \mathcal{B}_c does not create too many excitations, thereby allowing us to close some Grönwall estimates in the computation of $e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_3 + Q_4)e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$. Effectively, with this we only renormalize the Q_3 term on the sector with particle number $\mathcal{N} \lesssim M$. In Proposition 8.1, M is chosen of the order of $n^{1-68\kappa}$, which is sufficient to compute the free energy of the system up to the second order for small κ . We will write θ_M instead of $\theta_M(\mathcal{N})$ in the following.

The main purpose of the transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ is to remove the cubic term Q_3 in (4.2). This also renormalizes the second line in (4.2), which is essentially $(2\widehat{V}(0) - 8\pi\mathbf{a})n\ell^{-1}\mathcal{N}$, into $8\pi\mathbf{a}n\ell^{-1}\mathcal{N}$. Eventually, we obtain the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{Bog}}$ on \mathcal{F}_+ defined in (1.35).

The following lemma is the main result of this section.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that $\lambda\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$, that ℓ is large enough and that*

$$\sigma := \max\{n^{1/2}\ell^{-5/6}, n^{1/2}M\ell^{-3/2}, \lambda^{-1/2}n^{1/2}M^{1/2}\ell^{-1}\} \leq 1.$$

Then we have

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}e^{-\mathcal{B}_1}\mathcal{H}e^{\mathcal{B}_1}e^{\mathcal{B}_c} = 4\pi\mathbf{a}n^2\ell^{-1} + \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{Bog}} + Q_4 + \mathcal{E}_c \quad (5.2)$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ where the error term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \pm\mathcal{E}_c &\leq C\sigma\left(Q_4 + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1)\right) + \frac{1}{2}Q_4 \\ &\quad + C\delta\left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1)\right) + \delta^{-1}C\left[\frac{n\mathcal{N} + 1}{\ell M} + \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)}{\ell} + \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{n\ell} + \lambda\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^3\right](\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\quad + C\left[\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 + \frac{n}{\ell}\right) + \lambda^{-1/2}\frac{n^{3/2}}{\ell^2} + \frac{n(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{1/2}}{\ell n^{1/2}}\right](\mathcal{N} + 1) + C\left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \log \ell + \frac{n}{\ell}\right) \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ , for all $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

To prove Lemma 5.1, we start with Lemma 4.1 and the quadratic form identity

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}e^{-\mathcal{B}_1}\mathcal{H}e^{\mathcal{B}_1}e^{\mathcal{B}_c} - 4\pi\mathbf{a}n^2\ell^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{|\widehat{n\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} &= e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + Q_3)e^{\mathcal{B}_c} \\ &\quad + e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}\mathrm{d}\Gamma\left(nV_\ell * u_0^2 + n\widehat{V}_\ell - 8\pi\mathbf{a}\frac{n}{\ell}\right)e^{\mathcal{B}_c} + e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}\widetilde{Q}_2e^{\mathcal{B}_c} + e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}\mathcal{E}_1e^{\mathcal{B}_c} \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ . Recall that $V_\ell * u_0^2$ is a multiplication operator and that \widehat{V}_ℓ is the operator with integral kernel $V_\ell(x - y)$. Using the Duhamel formula, we can expand the term on the third line above as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + Q_3)e^{\mathcal{B}_c} &= \mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c}[Q_3, \mathcal{B}_c]e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c}\left(Q_3 + [\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c]\right)e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging the above equation into (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{H} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} e^{\mathcal{B}_c} - 4\pi \mathfrak{a} n^2 \ell^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{|n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2} - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) - Q_4 \\
&= \left\{ e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \mathrm{d}\Gamma \left(n \widehat{V}_\ell * u_0^2 + n \widehat{V}_\ell - 8\pi \mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_c} + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} [Q_3, \mathcal{B}_c] e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \right\} \\
&\quad + \left\{ e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \widetilde{Q}_2 e^{\mathcal{B}_c} \right\} + \left\{ \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} \left(Q_3 + [\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c] \right) e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} \mathrm{d}t + e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \mathcal{E}_1 e^{\mathcal{B}_c} \right\} \\
&= (\mathrm{I})_c + (\mathrm{II})_c + (\mathrm{III})_c. \tag{5.4}
\end{aligned}$$

In Section 5.1 we compute the action of $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ on $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(-\Delta)$ and Q_4 . Then we show that $(\mathrm{I})_c$ is essentially $8\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} \mathcal{N}$, while $(\mathrm{II})_c$ gives the pairing term involving $(a_p^* a_p^* + \text{h.c.})$ in the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian in Section 5.2 and Section 5.3, respectively. Finally, in Section 5.4, we estimate the error term $(\mathrm{III})_c$ and conclude the proof of Lemma 5.1.

We end this subsection with an estimate of the action of $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ on \mathcal{N}^k analogous to Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$ and that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $C_k > 0$ such that on \mathcal{F}_+*

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} \mathcal{N}^k e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} \leq C_k (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k, \quad \forall t \in [-1, 1].$$

Proof. From the Duhamel formula we have

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} - (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k = \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} [(\mathcal{N} + 1)^k, \mathcal{B}_c] e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} \mathrm{d}s$$

Let us estimate the commutator. Recall that in normal ordered expressions we can replace q_x by a_x on \mathcal{F}_+ . Therefore, using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\mathcal{N} + 1)^k, \mathcal{B}_c] = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} [(\mathcal{N} + 1)^k, \theta_M K(x, y) q_x^* a_y^* q_x] + \text{h.c.} \\
&= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} ((\mathcal{N} + 1)^k - \mathcal{N}^k) \theta_M K(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} \\
&= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} ((\mathcal{N} + 1)^k - \mathcal{N}^k) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-\frac{k-1}{2}} \theta_M a_x^* a^*(K_x) a_x (\mathcal{N} + 2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} + \text{h.c.} \\
&\leq n^{-1} ((\mathcal{N} + 1)^k - \mathcal{N}^k) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-\frac{k-1}{2}} \theta_M \sup_x \|K_x\|_2^2 \mathcal{N}^2 \theta_M (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-\frac{k-1}{2}} ((\mathcal{N} + 1)^k - \mathcal{N}^k) \\
&\quad + (\mathcal{N} + 2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathcal{N} (\mathcal{N} + 2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \\
&\leq C_k n^{-1} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{k+1} \theta_M + C (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k \leq C_k (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $\sup_x \|K_x\|_2^2 \leq \lambda n^2 \ell^2 \leq 1$ and that $n^{-1} \theta_M (\mathcal{N} + 1) \leq n^{-1} (M + 1) \leq 2$. Therefore, we obtain

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} - (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k \leq C_k \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Applying the Grönwall lemma concludes the proof. \square

5.1 Actions on $d\Gamma(-\Delta)$ and Q_4

In this section, we estimate the actions of $e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$ on $d\Gamma(-\Delta)$ and Q_4 .

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that $\lambda(\frac{n}{\ell})^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Let $\sigma \leq 1$ be as in Lemma 5.1. For all $t \in [-1, 1]$ we have on \mathcal{F}_+*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} d\Gamma(-\Delta) e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} &\leq C \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \right), \\ e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} Q_4 e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} &\leq C \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1) + \sigma d\Gamma(-\Delta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

As an intermediate step, we need to compute accurately the commutators $[d\Gamma(-\Delta), \mathcal{B}_c]$ and $[Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c]$, which is done in Lemmata 5.4 and 5.5. This will further be useful in order to bound the term $(\text{II})_c$ in the proof of Lemma 5.1 in Section 5.4.

Lemma 5.4. *Assume that $\lambda(\frac{n}{\ell})^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Then, on \mathcal{F}_+ we have*

$$[d\Gamma(-\Delta), \mathcal{B}_c] = \theta_M n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} (V_\ell(\omega_\ell - 1)) (x - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x dx dy + \text{h.c.} + \mathcal{E}_c^{(d\Gamma(-\Delta))}$$

with

$$\pm \mathcal{E}_c^{(d\Gamma(-\Delta))} \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ell^{-\frac{5}{6}} (Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta)) + C \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\ell} d\Gamma(-\Delta).$$

Lemma 5.5. *Assume that $\lambda(\frac{n}{\ell})^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Then, on \mathcal{F}_+ we have*

$$[Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c] = -\theta_M n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} (V_\ell \omega_\ell) (x - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x dx dy + \text{h.c.} + \mathcal{E}_c^{(Q_4)}$$

with

$$\pm \mathcal{E}_c^{(Q_4)} \leq C \left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ell^{-\frac{5}{6}} + \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}} M}{\ell^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) (Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta)).$$

We have defined σ in Lemma 5.1 in such a way that the error terms in Lemmata 5.4 and 5.5 are bounded by

$$\pm \left(\mathcal{E}_c^{(d\Gamma(-\Delta))} + \mathcal{E}_c^{(Q_4)} \right) \leq C \sigma (Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta)). \quad (5.5)$$

Proof of Lemma 5.4. Clearly $[d\Gamma(-\Delta), \theta_M] = 0$. From (3.2) we find

$$\mathcal{B}_c = -n \frac{\theta_M}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m,p,q \neq 0} \widehat{\omega}_{\ell,\lambda}(p) a_m^* a_p^* a_q \int_{\Lambda} u_m(x) u_p(x) u_q(x) - \text{h.c.}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} [d\Gamma(-\Delta), \mathcal{B}_c] &= -n^{1/2} \theta_M \sum_{m,p,q \neq 0} \widehat{\omega}_{\ell,\lambda}(p) a_m^* a_p^* a_q (m^2 + p^2 - q^2) \int_{\Lambda} u_m(x) u_p(x) u_q(x) + \text{h.c.} \\ &= -2n^{1/2} \theta_M \sum_{m,p,q \neq 0} p^2 \widehat{\omega}_{\ell,\lambda}(p) a_m^* a_p^* a_q \int_{\Lambda} u_m(x) u_p(x) u_q(x) + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2n^{1/2}\theta_M \sum_{m,p,q \neq 0} \widehat{\omega}_{\ell,\lambda}(p) a_m^* a_p^* a_q \int_{\Lambda} (\nabla u_m)(x) u_p(x) (\nabla u_q)(x) + \text{h.c.} \\
& = 2 \frac{\theta_M}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\Lambda^2} (-\Delta_2 K)(x, y) q_x^* a_y^* q_x + \text{h.c.} - 2 \frac{\theta_M}{n^{1/2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} (\nabla_1 K)(x, y) q_x^* a_y^* \nabla_x q_x + \text{h.c.},
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we used (3.2). Moreover,

$$-\Delta_2 K(x, y) = n \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} (\Delta \omega_\ell)(P_z(x) - y) + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y).$$

On \mathcal{F}_+ , we can replace q_x by a_x , and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{d}\Gamma(-\Delta), \mathcal{B}_c] & = \theta_M n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} (2\Delta \omega_\ell)(x - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} \\
& + \theta_M n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{z \neq 0} \int_{\Lambda^2} (2\Delta \omega_\ell)(P_z(x) - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} \\
& + \theta_M n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \int_{\Lambda^2} \epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} \\
& - \left(2n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} \nabla_x K(x, y) \cdot a_x^* a_y^* \nabla_x a_x + \text{h.c.} \right) \\
& =: \theta_M n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} (2\Delta \omega_\ell)(x - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} + \sum_{i=1}^3 G_i.
\end{aligned}$$

The scattering equation $2\Delta \omega_\ell + V_\ell - V_\ell \omega_\ell = 0$ now gives the correct main term in Lemma 5.4 and we conclude the proof by estimating the error $\mathcal{E}_c^{(\text{d}\Gamma(-\Delta))} := \sum_{i=1}^3 G_i$ term by term.

For G_1 , we use $|1 - \omega_\ell| \leq 1$ and that for $z \neq 0$ we have

$$V_\ell(P_z(x) - y) \leq V_\ell(x - y) \mathbf{1}_{\text{d}(x, \partial\Lambda) \leq R\ell^{-1}}$$

since $|x - y| \leq |P_z(x) - y|$ and V is decreasing and supported on a ball of radius R . Together with $\theta_M \leq 1$ and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we obtain on \mathcal{F}_+

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm G_1 & = \pm n^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_M \sum_{z \neq 0} \int_{\Lambda^2} (V_\ell(\omega_\ell - 1))(P_z(x) - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} \\
& \leq \delta Q_4 + C\delta^{-1} n \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x - y) \mathbf{1}_{\text{d}(x, \partial\Lambda) \leq R\ell^{-1}} a_x^* a_x \\
& \leq \delta Q_4 + C\delta^{-1} n \ell^{-\frac{5}{3}} \text{d}\Gamma(-\Delta)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\delta > 0$. In the last inequality we applied (4.37) to $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\text{d}(x, \partial\Lambda) \leq R\ell^{-1}}$ with $\|\Phi\|_{3/2} \leq C\ell^{-2/3}$. The choice $\delta = n^{1/2} \ell^{-5/6}$ gives the first error term in Lemma 5.4.

In order to bound G_2 , we again use (4.37), this time applied to $\Phi(y) = \epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y)$ for fixed x . We may estimate the L^p -norms of $\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}$ using

$$|\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y)| \leq C\ell^{-1} \lambda^{-3} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq \lambda},$$

which follows from Lemma 2.1 and $|x - y| \leq |P_z(x) - y|$. The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, $\theta_M (\mathcal{N} + 1)^k \theta_M \leq CM^k$ for $k \geq 0$, $\mathcal{N} \leq \pi^{-2} \text{d}\Gamma(-\Delta)$ on \mathcal{F}_+ and an appropriate choice for $\delta_M > 0$ yield on \mathcal{F}_+

$$\pm G_2 \leq n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} \delta_M |\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y)| \theta_M a_x^* a_y^* a_x \theta_M + \delta_M^{-1} |\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(P_z(x) - y)| a_x^* a_x \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq Cn^{\frac{1}{2}} (\delta_M \|\epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}\|_{3/2} \theta_M (\mathcal{N} + 1) d\Gamma(-\Delta) \theta_M + \delta_M^{-1} \|\epsilon_{\ell, \lambda}\|_{1, \mathcal{N}}) \\
&\leq Cn^{\frac{1}{2}} \ell^{-1} \lambda^{-3} (\delta_M M \lambda^2 + \delta_M^{-1} \lambda^3) d\Gamma(-\Delta) \\
&\leq C \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\ell} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\Gamma(-\Delta).
\end{aligned}$$

For G_3 we readily check that

$$|\nabla_x K(x, y)| \leq C \frac{n}{\ell} \frac{1}{|x - y|^2}$$

from Lemma 2.1 and (3.1), so that on \mathcal{F}_+

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm \frac{1}{2} G_3 &\leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\delta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} \theta_M a_x^* a_y^* a_y a_x \theta_M |\nabla_x K(x, y)| + \delta_M^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^2} \nabla_x a_x^* \nabla_x a_x |\nabla_x K(x, y)| \right) \\
&\leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\delta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} \theta_M a_x^* a_y^* a_y a_x \theta_M \frac{n}{\ell |x - y|^2} + \delta_M^{-1} \int_{\Lambda} \nabla_x a_x^* \nabla_x a_x \frac{n}{\ell} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We now use the Hardy inequality on Λ

$$\int_{\Lambda} \frac{|f(x, y)|^2}{|x - y|^2} dx \leq C \|f(\cdot, y)\|_{H^1(\Lambda)}^2, \quad \forall f \in H^1(\Lambda^2), y \in \Lambda. \quad (5.6)$$

Consequently, together with $\mathcal{N} \leq \pi^{-2} d\Gamma(-\Delta)$ on \mathcal{F}_+ , we have

$$\int_{\Lambda^2} a_x^* a_y^* a_x a_y \frac{1}{|x - y|^2} \leq C(\mathcal{N} - 1) d\Gamma(-\Delta).$$

With the aid of this bound we obtain

$$\pm G_3 \leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n}{\ell} (\delta_M \theta_M (\mathcal{N} - 1) d\Gamma(-\Delta) \theta_M + \delta_M^{-1} d\Gamma(-\Delta)) \leq C \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\ell} d\Gamma(-\Delta),$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 5.4 since $\lambda \leq 1$. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.5. With the definition of K in (3.1), and recalling that $q_x = a_x$ on \mathcal{F}_+ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{\frac{1}{2}} [Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c] &= \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} V_{\ell}(x - y) \left(-n\omega_{\ell}(x - y) - \sum_{z \neq 0} n\omega_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x) - y) + K_2 \right) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} \\
&\quad - \left(\theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} V_{\ell}(x - y) a_x^* a_y^* a(K_x) + \text{h.c.} \right) \\
&\quad + \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} V_{\ell}(x - y) a_x^* a_y^* \int_{\Lambda} a_v^* K(v, x) a_v a_y + \text{h.c.} \\
&\quad + \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^3} V_{\ell}(x - y) a_x^* a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_x a_y + \text{h.c.} \\
&\quad - \left(\theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} V_{\ell}(x - y) a_x^* a_y^* \int_{\Lambda} a^*(K_v) a_x a_v + \text{h.c.} \right) \\
&=: -\theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} n(V_{\ell} \omega_{\ell})(x - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} + n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^6 I_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we extracted the main term and it remains to estimate all the I_i . From (3.11) we have

$$\sum_{z \neq 0} |\omega_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x) - y)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + \ell d(x, \partial\Lambda)}.$$

With this and (4.37) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm I_1 &= \mp \theta_M n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{z \neq 0} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(P_z(x)-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} \\
&\leq \delta Q_4 + C \delta^{-1} n \|V_\ell\|_1 d\Gamma((1 + \ell d(\cdot, \partial\Lambda))^{-2}) \\
&\leq \delta Q_4 + C \delta^{-1} \frac{n}{\ell} \|(1 + \ell d(\cdot, \partial\Lambda))^{-2}\|_{3/2} d\Gamma(-\Delta) \\
&\leq \delta Q_4 + C \delta^{-1} n \ell^{-\frac{5}{3}} d\Gamma(-\Delta)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\delta > 0$. Recalling the bound (3.4) we obtain

$$\pm I_2 = \pm \theta_M n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K_2 a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} \leq \delta Q_4 + C \delta^{-1} \lambda^4 \frac{n}{\ell^3} \mathcal{N}.$$

The term I_3 can be bounded by a simple Cauchy–Schwarz estimate as

$$\pm I_3 \leq \delta Q_4 + C \delta^{-1} \lambda \frac{n}{\ell^3} \mathcal{N}.$$

The choice $\delta = n^{1/2} \ell^{-5/6}$ and $\mathcal{N} \leq \pi^{-2} d\Gamma(-\Delta)$ on \mathcal{F}_+ yields that $I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ may be bounded as stated in the lemma. For the remaining terms we set $\eta = n^{1/2} M \ell^{-3/2}$. The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm I_4 &\leq \eta M^{-2} \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) \theta_M a_x^* a_y^* a_v^* (\mathcal{N} + 1) a_v a_x a_y \theta_M \\
&\quad + n^{-1} \eta^{-1} M^2 \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) K(v, x)^2 a_y^* a_v^* (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-1} a_v a_y.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 and (3.1) we find that $|K(x, v)| \leq C \frac{n}{\ell} |x - v|^{-1}$. Combining this with the Hardy inequality as in (5.6) we obtain

$$\int_{\Lambda^3} f(y, v)^2 V_\ell(x-y) K(v, x)^2 dv dx dy \leq C \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} \|f\|_{H^1(\Lambda^2)}^2$$

for all $f \in H^1(\Lambda^2)$ so that

$$\int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) K(v, x)^2 a_y^* a_v^* a_v a_y \leq C \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N} - 1) d\Gamma(-\Delta). \quad (5.7)$$

We hence obtain

$$\pm I_4 \leq \eta M^{-2} \theta_M Q_4 \mathcal{N}^2 \theta_M + C n^{-1} \eta^{-1} M^2 \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} d\Gamma(-\Delta) \leq C \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}} M}{\ell^{\frac{3}{2}}} (Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta)).$$

The remaining terms are bounded via simple Cauchy–Schwarz estimates, as

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm I_5 &= \pm \theta_M n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_x a_y + \text{h.c.} \\
&\leq n^{-1} \eta^{-1} \|V_\ell\|_1 \sup_v \|K_v\|_2^2 \theta_M \mathcal{N}^3 \theta_M + \eta Q_4 \\
&\leq \eta Q_4 + C n^{-1} \eta^{-1} \frac{n^2 M^2}{\ell^3} \lambda \mathcal{N} \leq C \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}} M}{\ell^{\frac{3}{2}}} (Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta)). \\
\pm I_6 &\leq \eta Q_4 + C \eta^{-1} \lambda \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \frac{M^2}{n \ell} \mathcal{N} \leq C \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}} M}{\ell^{\frac{3}{2}}} (Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta)).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 5.5 is complete. \square

Now we are ready to give the proof of Lemma 5.3.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Let us start by showing that

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c}(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta))e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} \leq C(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1)) \quad (5.8)$$

We shall do this for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the proof in the case $-1 \leq t < 0$ works the same. We first use the Duhamel formula as well as Lemmata 5.4 and 5.5, and subsequently the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality together with Lemma 5.2, $\theta_M \leq 1$ and (5.5) with $\sigma \leq 1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c}(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta))e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} - Q_4 - d\Gamma(-\Delta) &= \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c}[Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta), \mathcal{B}_c]e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds \\ &= - \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} \left(\theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} n^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} - \mathcal{E}_c^{(Q_4)} - \mathcal{E}_c^{(d\Gamma(-\Delta))} \right) e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} \left(Q_4 + C\frac{n}{\ell}\mathcal{N} \right) e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds + C \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} \left(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) \right) e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} (Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta)) e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds + C\frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

The Grönwall lemma then yields (5.8). This immediately implies the result for the kinetic operator $d\Gamma(-\Delta)$ in Lemma 5.3. For the quartic operator Q_4 we repeat the previous argument by solely considering Q_4 . The Duhamel formula, Lemma 5.5 and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yield

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c}Q_4e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} - Q_4 = \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c}[Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c]e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds \leq \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} \left(Q_4 + C\frac{n}{\ell}\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{E}_c^{(Q_4)} \right) e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds.$$

We then use the estimate of the error term in Lemma 5.5 together with Lemma 5.2 and (5.8) to find

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c}Q_4e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} - Q_4 &\leq C \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} (Q_4 + \sigma d\Gamma(-\Delta)) e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds + C\frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\leq C \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} Q_4 e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds + C\sigma \left(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \right) + C\frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\leq C \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} Q_4 e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds + C \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1) + \sigma d\Gamma(-\Delta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we gathered some terms due to $\sigma \leq 1$. Now Lemma 5.3 follows again by the Grönwall lemma. \square

5.2 Analysis of $(I)_c$

In this section we analyze the term

$$(I)_c = e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} d\Gamma \left(nV_\ell * u_0^2 + n\widehat{V}_\ell - 8\pi\mathbf{a}\frac{n}{\ell} \right) e^{\mathcal{B}_c} + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c}[Q_3, \mathcal{B}_c]e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds dt \quad (5.9)$$

appearing in (5.4). We prove that the main contribution of this term is $8\pi\mathbf{a}\mathcal{N}n\ell^{-1}$. Prior to this let us show a lemma that will be used to handle the diagonal terms in (5.9). It is the cubic analogue of Lemma 4.7.

Lemma 5.6. *Assume that $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$ and that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$. Let $A : L^2(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^2(\Lambda)$ be a bounded hermitian operator. For all $t \in [-1, 1]$ we have on \mathcal{F}*

$$\pm (e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} d\Gamma(A) e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} - d\Gamma(A)) \leq C \|A\|_{\text{op}} \|K\|_2 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \leq C \|A\|_{\text{op}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1).$$

Proof. One easily checks that for a bounded operator $T : L^2(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^2_s(\Lambda^2)$ with integral kernel $T(x_1, x_2, ; y_1)$ and for any $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{F}$, the following inequality holds

$$\pm \int_{\Lambda^3} T(x_1, x_2; y_1) \langle \xi', a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{y_1} \xi \rangle + \text{h.c.} \leq C \|T\|_{\text{op}} \|(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{3/4} \xi'\| \|(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{3/4} \xi\|. \quad (5.10)$$

Define the operator $B_c : L^2(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^2_s(\Lambda^2)$ by

$$\langle g, B_c f \rangle = n^{-1/2} \int_{\Lambda^2} \overline{(Q^{\otimes 2} g)}(x_1, x_2) K(x_1, x_2) (Qf)(x_2) dx_1 dx_2, \quad (5.11)$$

for all $f \in L^2(\Lambda)$ and $g \in L^2_s(\Lambda^2)$, so that

$$\mathcal{B}_c = \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^3} B_c(x_1, x_2; y_1) a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{y_1} dx_1 dx_2 dy_1 - \text{h.c.}$$

From the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\|B_c\|_{\text{op}} \leq n^{-1/2} \sup_{x \in \Lambda} \|K_x\|_2 \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n^{1/2}}{\ell},$$

where the second inequality is a consequence of Lemma 3.1.

With the aid of the Duhamel formula we can write

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} d\Gamma(A) e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} - d\Gamma(A) = \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} [d\Gamma(A), \mathcal{B}_c] e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} ds. \quad (5.12)$$

Applying (5.10) and that $M \leq n$ we can bound the commutator as

$$\begin{aligned} \pm [d\Gamma(A), \mathcal{B}_c] &= \pm \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} (A_1 B_c + A_2 B_c - B_c A)(x_1, x_2; y_1) a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{y_1} + \text{h.c.} \\ &\leq C \|A\|_{\text{op}} \|B_c\|_{\text{op}} \theta_M (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{3/2} \\ &\leq C \|A\|_{\text{op}} M^{1/2} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n^{1/2}}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \leq C \|A\|_{\text{op}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have denoted by $A_1 B_c$, $A_2 B_c$ and $B_c A$ the composition of applications, where A_i is the operator A acting on the variable i . Now plugging this estimate into (5.12) and applying Lemma 5.2 we obtain the claim of the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Assume that $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Let $\sigma \leq 1$ as in Lemma 5.1. On \mathcal{F}_+ we have*

$$(I)_c = 8\pi \mathfrak{a} \mathcal{N} \frac{n}{\ell} + \Xi_c$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \Xi_c &\leq C \delta \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \right) + C \delta^{-1} \frac{n (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{\ell M} + C \delta^{-1} \frac{M}{n} \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\quad + C \sigma \left(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \right) + C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

Proof. We start with computing the commutator $[Q_3, \mathcal{B}_c]$. A lengthy but straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
[Q_3, \mathcal{B}_c] &= \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y) (a_x^* a_x + a_x^* a_y) + \text{h.c.} \\
&+ \left\{ \theta_M \left(- \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* (a(K_x) + a(K_y)) + \text{h.c.} \right) \right\} \\
&+ \left\{ \theta_M \left[\int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a^*(K_y) a_x a_y + \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_y a_x \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_v^* K(v, x) a_v - \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_y^* a_x^* a^*(K_x) a_y \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a^*(K_v) a_v \right] + \text{h.c.} \right\} \\
&+ \left\{ \theta_M \left[- \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a^*(K_v) a_v (a_y + a_x) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_y^* a_v^* a^*(K_v) (a_x + a_y) \right] + \text{h.c.} \right\} \\
&+ \left\{ \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_v^* (K(v, x) a_y + K(v, y) a_x) a_v + \text{h.c.} \right\} \\
&+ \left\{ \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) ([a_x^* a_y^* a_x, \theta_M] + [a_x^* a_y a_x, \theta_M]) a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_v + \text{h.c.} \right\} \\
&=: \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y) (a_x^* a_x + a_x^* a_y) + \text{h.c.} + \sum_{i=1}^6 J_i \tag{5.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$J_1 = (\theta_M - 1) \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x, y) (a_x^* a_x + a_x^* a_y) + \text{h.c.}$$

and J_2, \dots, J_6 denotes the remaining expressions in curly brackets. For the error term J_1 we use that

$$\pm (\theta_M - 1) \leq \mathbf{1}^{\mathcal{N} \geq M/2}.$$

Hence, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm J_1 &\leq \delta \|K\|_\infty \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_x + \delta^{-1} \|K\|_\infty \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) (\theta_M - 1) a_x^* a_x (\theta_M - 1) \\
&\leq C \delta \frac{n}{\ell} \mathcal{N} + C \delta^{-1} \frac{n}{\ell} \mathcal{N} \mathbf{1}^{\mathcal{N} \geq M/2} \leq C \delta \frac{n}{\ell} \mathcal{N} + C \delta^{-1} \frac{n}{\ell} \frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{M} \tag{5.14}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\delta > 0$. Similarly we bound the error terms J_2 through J_6 with the aid of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality using that $\lambda, \ell^{-1}, Mn^{-1} \leq 1$. For any $\delta > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm J_2 &\leq C \ell^{-1} \sup_x \|K_x\|_2 \mathcal{N} \leq C \lambda \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} \mathcal{N} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 \mathcal{N}, \\
\pm J_3 &\leq C \delta^{-1} \|V_\ell\|_1 \sup_y \|K_y\|_2^2 \theta_M (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 \theta_M + \delta Q_4, \\
&\leq \delta Q_4 + C \delta^{-1} \frac{M}{\ell} \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\
\pm J_4 &\leq C \theta_M \|V_\ell\|_1 \sup_v \|K_v\|_2 (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

For J_5 recall the bound (5.7). With $\delta_5 > 0$ appropriately chosen

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm J_5 &\leq C\delta_5^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y)\theta_M a_x^* a_v^*(\mathcal{N}+1)a_v a_x \theta_M \\
&\quad + \delta_5 \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y)a_y^* a_v^*(\mathcal{N}+1)^{-1}a_v a_y K(v,x)^2 \\
&\leq C\delta_5 \frac{n^2}{\ell^3} d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C\delta_5^{-1} \ell^{-1} \theta_M (\mathcal{N}+1)^3 \theta_M \\
&= \eta d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C\eta^{-1} \frac{n^2 M^2}{\ell^4} (\mathcal{N}+1).
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\eta > 0$. For J_6 we use the pull-through formula $a_x \theta_M(\mathcal{N}) = \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+1)a_x$ which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
[a_x^* a_y^* a_x, \theta_M] a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_v &= a_x^* a_y^* (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+1) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+2)) a_x a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_v \\
&= a_x^* a_y^* (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+1) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+2)) (\delta_{x,v} + a_v^* a_x) a^*(K_v) a_v \\
&= \delta_{x,v} a_x^* a_y^* (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+1) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+2)) a^*(K_v) a_v \\
&\quad + a_x^* a_y^* a_v^* (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+3)) a_x a^*(K_v) a_v.
\end{aligned}$$

By the smoothness assumption on θ_M we can bound

$$\|\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+i) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+j)\|_{\text{op}} \leq C M^{-1} |i-j|, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (5.15)$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) [a_x^* a_y^* a_x, \theta_M] a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_v + \text{h.c.} \\
&= \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+1) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+2)) a^*(K_x) a_x + \text{h.c.} \\
&\quad + \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_v^* (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+3)) a_x a^*(K_v) a_v + \text{h.c.} \\
&\leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a(K_x) (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+1) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+2))^2 a^*(K_x) a_x \\
&\quad + \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_v^* a(K_v) a_x^* (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+3)) (\mathcal{N}+1) \times \\
&\quad \quad \quad \times (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}+3)) a_x a^*(K_v) a_v \\
&\leq 2\delta Q_4 + C\delta^{-1} \|V_\ell\|_1 M^{-2} \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \left(\mathbf{1}(\mathcal{N} \leq 2M)(\mathcal{N}+1)^2 \mathbf{1}(\mathcal{N} \leq 2M) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. + \mathbf{1}(\mathcal{N}-1 \leq 2M)(\mathcal{N}+1)^4 \mathbf{1}(\mathcal{N}-1 \leq 2M) \right) \\
&\leq 2\delta Q_4 + C\delta^{-1} \frac{M}{\ell} \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 (\mathcal{N}+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the second part of J_6 containing $[a_x^* a_y a_x, \theta_M]$. Computing the commutator and normal ordering the expression one sees three terms appearing. One may be bounded as above and the other two as

$$\pm (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+1) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N})) \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) K(x,y) a_x^* (a_x + a_y) + \text{h.c.} \leq C \frac{n}{\ell} \frac{\mathcal{N}}{M}$$

with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $\|K\|_\infty \leq Cn$ and

$$\pm (\theta_M(\mathcal{N}+1) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N})) \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y) a_x^* a_v^* a_v (a_x K(v,y) + a_y K(v,x)) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\delta_6^{-1}M\ell^{-1} + \delta_6 \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x-y)a_x^*a_v^*(\mathcal{N}+1)^{-1}a_xa_vK(v,y)^2 \\
&\leq C\delta_6\frac{n^2}{\ell^3}d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C\delta_6^{-1}M\ell^{-1} \\
&= \eta d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C\eta^{-1}n^2M\ell^{-4}.
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\eta > 0$ using (5.7) as in the analysis of J_5 . We conclude that

$$\pm J_6 \leq C\delta Q_4 + C\delta^{-1}\frac{M}{\ell}\lambda\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2(\mathcal{N}+1) + \eta d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C\eta^{-1}n^2M\ell^{-4} + C\frac{n}{\ell}\frac{\mathcal{N}}{M}.$$

With (5.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c}[Q_3, \mathcal{B}_c]e^{s\mathcal{B}_c}dsdt \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)K(x,y)(a_x^*a_x + a_x^*a_y) \right) e^{s\mathcal{B}_c}dsdt \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} \sum_{i=1}^6 J_i e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} dsdt. \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the bounds on the error terms, we obtain with the aid of Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pm \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} \sum_{i=1}^6 J_i e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} dsdt \\
&\leq C\delta \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) + \sigma d\Gamma(-\Delta) \right) + C\delta^{-1}\frac{n}{\ell}\frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}{M} + C\delta^{-1}\frac{M}{n}\lambda\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^3(\mathcal{N}+1) \\
&\quad + C\eta \left(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) \right) + C\eta^{-1}\frac{n^2M^2}{\ell^4}(\mathcal{N}+1) + C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2(\mathcal{N}+1)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\delta, M^{-1} \leq 1$. We choose $\eta = \sigma$. In particular $\eta^{-1}n^2M^2\ell^{-4} \leq \sigma n\ell^{-1}$ so that with $\delta \leq 1$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pm \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} \sum_{i=1}^6 J_i e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} dsdt \\
&\leq C\delta \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) \right) + C\delta^{-1}\frac{n}{\ell}\frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}{M} + C\delta^{-1}\frac{M}{n}\lambda\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^3(\mathcal{N}+1) \\
&\quad + C\sigma \left(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) \right) + C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2(\mathcal{N}+1).
\end{aligned}$$

The first line of (5.16) as well as the first term in (5.9) can be estimated with the aid of Lemma 5.6. One readily checks from the elementary properties of K in Lemma 3.1 that the various operators (taking the place of A in Lemma 5.6) are bounded by $Cn\ell^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(I)_c &= \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)K(x,y)(a_x^*a_x + a_x^*a_y) + d\Gamma \left(nV_\ell * u_0^2 + n\widehat{V}_\ell - 8\pi a\frac{n}{\ell} \right) \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \int_t^1 e^{-s\mathcal{B}_c} \sum_{i=1}^6 J_i e^{s\mathcal{B}_c} dsdt + J_7 \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\pm J_7 \leq C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2(\mathcal{N}+1).$$

The first line of (5.17) can equivalently be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)K(x,y)(a_x^*a_x + a_x^*a_y) + d\Gamma\left(nV_\ell * u_0^2 + n\widehat{V}_\ell - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\frac{n}{\ell}\right) \\ &= 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\frac{n}{\ell}\mathcal{N} + 2d\Gamma(h) + J_8 \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

where h stands for multiplication with the function h in (3.7) and

$$J_8 = \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)(n + K(x,y))(a_x^*a_y - a_x^*a_x) dx dy \quad (5.19)$$

Using the bound on h from Lemma 3.3 and the Sobolev inequality (4.37) we find

$$\pm d\Gamma(h) \leq C\|h\|_{3/2}d\Gamma(-\Delta) \leq C\sigma d\Gamma(-\Delta).$$

For J_8 we shall show that operator inequality

$$\pm J_8 \leq C\frac{n}{\ell^3}d\Gamma(-\Delta).$$

Indeed, $J_8 = d\Gamma(S)$ and for $f \in H^1(\Lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, Sf \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)(n + K(x,y))|f(x) - f(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq Cn \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \left| \int_0^1 \nabla f(x + t(y-x)) \cdot (y-x) dt \right|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq Cn \int_0^1 \int_{\Lambda^2} |x-y|^2 V_\ell(x-y) |\nabla f(x + t(y-x))|^2 dx dy dt \\ &\leq Cn\ell^{-2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{1}_\Lambda(x+y)\mathbb{1}_\Lambda(y)V_\ell(x) |\nabla f(x+y-tx)|^2 dx dy dt \\ &\leq Cn\ell^{-3} \|\nabla f\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Combining all the estimates, we conclude the proof of Lemma 5.7. \square

5.3 Analysis of $(\text{II})_c$

In this section we analyze the term

$$(\text{II})_c = e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \widetilde{Q}_2 e^{\mathcal{B}_c} = e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \widetilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)} e^{\mathcal{B}_c} + e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \widetilde{Q}_2^{(bc)} e^{\mathcal{B}_c}$$

appearing in (5.4), where we decompose $\widetilde{Q}_2 = \widetilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)} + \widetilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}$ as in (4.5). As we will show below, the cubic transformation leaves \widetilde{Q}_2 essentially unchanged. However, after its action the boundary term $\widetilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}$ can be absorbed into the error terms. More precisely, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.8. *Assume that $\lambda\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$, that $2R/\ell < \lambda < 1/4$ and that ℓ is large enough. Let $\sigma \leq 1$ as in Lemma 5.1. On \mathcal{F}_+ we have*

$$(\text{II})_c = \widetilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)} + \mathcal{E}_c^{(\widetilde{Q}_2)}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \mathcal{E}_c^{(\widetilde{Q}_2)} &\leq \frac{1}{4}Q_4 + C\sigma \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \right) \\ &\quad + \delta \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \right) + \delta^{-1}C\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 (\mathcal{N} + 1) + C\lambda^{-1} \frac{n^{3/2}}{\ell^2} (\mathcal{N} + 1) + C\frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

Proof. With the aid of the Duhamel formula we can write

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \tilde{Q}_2 e^{\mathcal{B}_c} = \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)} + \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)} + \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} [\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_c] e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} dt.$$

We will bound all terms except the main one $\tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}$. Let us start with $\tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}$. With the aid of the pointwise bound (4.24) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\pm \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)} = \pm \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} Q_4 + C \frac{n^2}{\ell^2}$$

where we used that $\int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)[1 + \ell d(x, \partial\Lambda)]^{-2} \leq C\ell^{-2}$. The explicit prefactor 1/4 is chosen for later convenience. Next, consider the commutator

$$[\tilde{Q}_2, \mathcal{B}_c] = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^2} K(v, w) [\tilde{Q}_2, q_v^* a_w^* q_v] + \text{h.c.} + n^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\tilde{Q}_2, \theta_M] \int_{\Lambda^2} K(v, w) q_v^* a_w^* q_v + \text{h.c.} \quad (5.21)$$

The first term of (5.21) equals

$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_M \int_{\Lambda^4} \tilde{Q}_2(x, y) K(v, w) [a_x^* a_y^* + a_x a_y, q_v^* a_w^* q_v] + \text{h.c.} = \sum_{i=1}^3 R_i$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y) \theta_M \left(a^*(K_y) a_x q_y - q_y^* a_x^* a^*(K_y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Lambda} q_v^* a^*(K_v) a_x^* - \int_{\Lambda} a^*(K_v) a_x q_v + \int_{\Lambda} q_v^* K(v, x) a_y q_v + K(x, y) q_y - q(K_x) \right) + \text{h.c.} \\ R_2 &= 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y) \theta_M \left(a^*(K_x) a_x q_y - q_y^* a^*(K_y) a_x^* \right) + \text{h.c.} \\ R_3 &= 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y) \theta_M \left(\int_{\Lambda} q_v^* a^*(K_v) a_x^* + \int_{\Lambda} q_v^* K(v, x) a_y q_v - \int_{\Lambda} a^*(K_v) a_x q_v \right. \\ &\quad \left. + K(x, y) q_y - q(K_x) \right) + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

Since all expressions are normal ordered, we can again replace q_x by a_x on \mathcal{F}_+ .

Let us start with estimating R_1 . Recall the bound (4.23). For $x \in \Lambda$ fixed we have $d\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{|x-\cdot| \leq \lambda}) \leq C\lambda^2 d\Gamma(-\Delta)$ on \mathcal{F}_+ by (4.37). Using this and $\sup_x \|K_x\|_2 \leq C\lambda^{1/2}n/\ell$ from Lemma 3.1, we can bound all the cubic terms in a similar way. Let us bound for example the first one. Recall that $\theta_M = \theta_M(\mathcal{N})$ and note that $\theta_M(\mathcal{N})a^*(f) = a^*(f)\theta_M(\mathcal{N} + 1)$. On \mathcal{F}_+ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\pm 2n^{-1/2} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y) \theta_M a^*(K_y) a_x a_y + \text{h.c.} \\ &\leq Cn^{1/2} \lambda^{-3} \ell^{-1} \left(\delta \int_{\Lambda^2} a^*(K_y) a(K_y) \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq \lambda} + \delta^{-1} \theta_M(\mathcal{N} + 1) \int_{\Lambda^2} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq \lambda} a_x^* a_y^* a_y a_x \right) \\ &\leq Cn^{1/2} \lambda^{-3} \ell^{-1} (\delta \lambda^4 n^2 \ell^{-2} \mathcal{N} + \delta^{-1} M \lambda^2 d\Gamma(-\Delta)) \\ &\leq CnM^{1/2} \ell^{-3/2} \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell} \mathcal{N} \right) \\ &\leq C\sigma \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell} \mathcal{N} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we chose $\delta = \lambda^{-1}n^{-1/2}\ell^{1/2}M^{1/2}$ and used $\lambda n^2\ell^{-2} \leq 1$. The other cubic terms can be bounded in a similar way. We proceed with the linear terms in R_1 . We find

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm 2n^{-1/2} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y)K(x, y)\theta_M a_y + \text{h.c.} \\ & \leq Cn^{1/2}\lambda^{-3}\ell^{-1} \left(\delta \int_{\Lambda^2} \mathbb{1}_{|x-y| \leq \lambda} a_y^* a_y + \delta^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^2} K(x, y)^2 \right) \leq C\lambda^{-1}n^{3/2}\ell^{-2}(\mathcal{N} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the last term in R_1 we have by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \pm 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y)\theta_M a(K_x) + \text{h.c.} & \leq Cn^{1/2}\ell^{-1}\lambda^{-3} \|\mathbb{1}_{|\cdot| \leq \lambda}\|_1 \sup_x \|K_x\|_2 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ & \leq C\lambda^{1/2}n^{3/2}\ell^{-2} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \leq C\sigma \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This in particular shows that $\pm R_1 \leq C\sigma(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1)) + C\lambda^{-1}n^{3/2}\ell^{-2}(\mathcal{N} + 1)$.

Let us proceed with the analysis of R_2 . Using the pointwise bound $|\tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y)| \leq CnV_\ell(x - y)$, we see that we can bound the two terms in R_2 using Q_4 because of the presence of $a_x a_y$ or $a_y^* a_x^*$. From the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\pm R_2 \leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} Cn \sup_y \|K_y\|_2^2 \|V_\ell\|_1 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \leq \delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C\lambda \frac{n^3}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N} + 1).$$

It remains to study R_3 . The first three terms in R_3 are bounded similarly as their counterpart in R_1 , that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^3} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y)\theta_M (a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_x^* + a_v^* K(v, x) a_y a_v - a^*(K_v) a_x a_v) + \text{h.c.} \\ & \leq CM^{1/2}n^{1/2} \sup_v \|K_v\|_2 \sup_y \|V_\ell\|_1 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ & \leq C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\ell^2} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \leq C\sigma \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

For last term in R_3 the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \pm 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y)\theta_M a(K_x) + \text{h.c.} & \leq Cn^{1/2} \sup_x \|K_x\|_2 \sup_y \|V_\ell\|_1 (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ & \leq C\lambda^{1/2} \frac{n^{3/2}}{\ell^2} \mathcal{N} \leq C\sigma \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the fourth term in R_3 , we use the more elaborate pointwise estimate (4.24) on $\tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y)$ and the Sobolev inequality from (4.37) for $\Phi(y) = (1 + \ell d(y, \partial\Lambda))^{-5/6}$ so that $\|\Phi\|_{3/2} \leq C\ell^{-2/3}$. With $\|K\|_\infty \lesssim n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm 2n^{-1/2} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y)K(x, y)\theta_M a_y + \text{h.c.} \\ & \leq Cn^{3/2} \left(\delta \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x - y) \frac{a_y^* a_y}{(1 + \ell d(y, \partial\Lambda))^{5/6}} + \delta^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^2} \frac{V_\ell(x - y)}{(1 + \ell d(y, \partial\Lambda))^{7/6}} \right) \\ & \leq Cn^{3/2} \left(\delta \ell^{-5/3} d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \delta^{-1} \ell^{-2} \right) \leq C \frac{n^{1/2}}{\ell^{5/6}} \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \right) \\ & \leq C\sigma \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

In combination, we obtain

$$\pm R_3 \leq C\sigma \left(\frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N} + 1) + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 \right),$$

which concludes the bound of the first term of (5.21).

We now bound the second term in (5.21), given by

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\tilde{Q}_2, \theta_M] \int_{\Lambda} a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_v + \text{h.c.} \\ &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y) \left((\theta_M(\mathcal{N} - 2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N})) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \right) \int_{\Lambda} q_v^* a^*(K_v) q_v + \text{h.c.} \\ & \quad + n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y) \left((\theta_M(\mathcal{N} - 2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N})) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} \right) \int_{\Lambda} q_v^* a^*(K_v) q_v + \text{h.c.} \\ &=: R_4 + R_5. \end{aligned}$$

For the analysis of R_4 , recall (5.15) as well as the pointwise bound (4.23) on $\tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}$ and $d\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{|x-\cdot| \leq \lambda}) \leq C\lambda^2 d\Gamma(-\Delta)$ on \mathcal{F}_+ , from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y) (\theta_M(\mathcal{N} - 2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N})) a_x^* a_y^* \int_{\Lambda} a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_v + \text{h.c.} \\ & \leq C\delta \int_{\Lambda^3} (\theta_M(\mathcal{N} - 2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}))^2 |\tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y)| a_x^* a_y^* a_v^*(\mathcal{N} + 1) a_v a_y a_x \\ & \quad + \delta^{-1} n^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^3} |\tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y)| a_v^* a(K_v) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-1} a^*(K_v) a_v \\ & \leq C\delta M^{-2} \mathbf{1}(\mathcal{N} - 2 \leq 2M) n\ell^{-1} \lambda^{-1} (\mathcal{N} + 1)^3 d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C\delta^{-1} \ell^{-1} \sup_{x \in \Lambda} \|K_x\|_2^2 (\mathcal{N} + 1)m \\ & \leq C\delta M n \ell^{-1} \lambda^{-1} d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C\delta^{-1} \lambda n^2 \ell^{-3} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ & \leq CM^{1/2} n \ell^{-3/2} \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \right) \leq C\sigma \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for the $a_x a_y$ term in R_4 after normal ordering the expression. The terms generated by the commutators are similar to the ones already appearing in R_1 and satisfy the same bound. Therefore we obtain

$$\pm R_4 \leq C\sigma \left(d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1) + \lambda^{-1} \frac{n^{3/2}}{\ell^2} M^{-1} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \right).$$

For R_5 we use the pointwise bound $|\tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y)| \leq CnV_\ell(x - y)$ and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^2} \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)}(x, y) (\theta_M(\mathcal{N} - 2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N})) a_x^* a_y^* \int_{\Lambda} a_v^* a^*(K_v) a_v + \text{h.c.} \\ & \leq \delta \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x - y) (\theta_M(\mathcal{N} - 2) - \theta_M(\mathcal{N}))^2 a_x^* a_y^* a_v^*(\mathcal{N} + 1) a_v a_y a_x \\ & \quad + C\delta^{-1} n \int_{\Lambda^3} V_\ell(x - y) a_v^* a(K_v) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^{-1} a^*(K_v) a_v \\ & \leq C\delta M^{-2} \mathbf{1}(\mathcal{N} - 2 \leq 2M) (\mathcal{N} + 1)^2 Q_4 + C\delta^{-1} \lambda \frac{n^3}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ & \leq C\delta Q_4 + \delta^{-1} C\lambda \frac{n^3}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of R_4 , the $a_x a_y$ term of R_5 is bounded similarly as the term above after normal ordering. The terms arising from the commutators are of the type of the ones appearing in R_2 and R_3 , we therefore omit their treatment. In total, we find for all $\delta > 0$

$$\pm R_5 \leq \delta Q_4 + C\delta^{-1} \lambda \frac{n^3}{\ell^3} (\mathcal{N} + 1) + C\sigma \left(\frac{n}{\ell} (\mathcal{N} + 1) + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 \right),$$

which is the desired bound for the second term of (5.21).

In summary, we have shown the lemma with

$$\mathcal{E}_c^{(\tilde{Q}_2)} = \tilde{Q}_2^{(bc)} + \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} \sum_{i=1}^5 R_i e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} dt.$$

Applying Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.2 to the R_i and simplifying the error terms using $\delta \leq 1$, we conclude the bound on the error term $\mathcal{E}_c^{(\tilde{Q}_2)}$ as stated in Lemma 5.8. \square

5.4 Proof of Lemma 5.1

We are now ready to give the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Proof. Recall the notation introduced in (5.4). The two main terms (I)_c and (II)_c were analyzed in Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8 respectively. Recall that

$$d\Gamma(-\Delta) = \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} p^2 a_p^* a_p.$$

Moreover, by (4.5) and Lemma 3.2

$$\tilde{Q}_2^{(\epsilon)} = \int_{\Lambda^2} Q_2^{(\epsilon)}(x, y) a_x^* a_y^* + \text{h.c.} = \frac{n}{2} \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3} \hat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) a_p^* a_p^* + \text{h.c.},$$

which becomes the pairing term in the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian (1.35) when restricted to \mathcal{F}_+ . Hence we have

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{H} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} e^{\mathcal{B}_c} = 4\pi a n^2 \ell^{-1} + \mathbb{H}_{\text{Bog}} + Q_4 + \Xi_c + \mathcal{E}_c^{(\tilde{Q}_2)} + (\text{III})_c$$

with the two error terms Ξ_c and $\mathcal{E}_c^{(\tilde{Q}_2)}$ estimated in Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8, respectively. It thus remains to estimate

$$(\text{III})_c = \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_c} \left(Q_3 + [d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c] \right) e^{t\mathcal{B}_c} dt + e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \mathcal{E}_1 e^{\mathcal{B}_c} = (\text{III})_{c_1} + (\text{III})_{c_2},$$

where we recall that \mathcal{E}_1 satisfies (4.3). We deal with the two terms separately.

Estimating $(\text{III})_{c_1}$. From Lemmata 5.4 and 5.5, we obtain

$$Q_3 + [d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4, \mathcal{B}_c] = (1 - \theta_M) \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} + \mathcal{E}_c^{(d\Gamma(-\Delta))} + \mathcal{E}_c^{(Q_4)}.$$

As in (5.14), we use that $(1 - \theta_M) \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N} < M/2\}} = 0$ to obtain

$$\pm (1 - \theta_M) n^{1/2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x + \text{h.c.} \leq \delta Q_4 + C\delta^{-1} \frac{n \mathcal{N}^2}{\ell M}.$$

We may now combine Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 with (5.5) to bound $(\text{III})_{c_1}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \pm(\text{III})_{c_1} &\leq C\delta \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) + \sigma d\Gamma(-\Delta) \right) + C\delta^{-1} \frac{n}{\ell} \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}{M} \\ &\quad + C\sigma \left(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) \right) \\ &\leq C\sigma \left(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) \right) + C\delta \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) \right) + C\delta^{-1} \frac{n}{\ell} \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}{M}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating $(\text{III})_{c_2}$. We apply Lemmata 5.2 and 5.3 to (4.3) and find

$$\begin{aligned} \pm e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} \mathcal{E}_1 e^{\mathcal{B}_c} &\leq C(\delta + \ell^{-1}\lambda^2 + \varepsilon n^{-1}) \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) + \sigma d\Gamma(-\Delta) \right) \\ &\quad + C\delta^{-1} \left(\frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)}{\ell} + \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}{n\ell} + \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 \right) (\mathcal{N}+1) + C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 + \frac{n}{\ell} \right) (\mathcal{N}+1) \\ &\quad + Cn^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\ell} + C\sigma \left(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) \right) \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon^{-1} \frac{n}{\ell} + C \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 \log \ell \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} Q_4 + C\delta \left(Q_4 + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) \right) + \delta^{-1} C \left(\frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)}{\ell} + \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}{n\ell} + \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 \right) (\mathcal{N}+1) \\ &\quad + C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 + \frac{n}{\ell} \right) (\mathcal{N}+1) + Cn^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\mathcal{N}+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\ell} + C\sigma \left(Q_4 + d\Gamma(-\Delta) + \frac{n}{\ell}(\mathcal{N}+1) \right) \\ &\quad + C \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 \log \ell + \frac{n}{\ell} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\delta \leq 1$ for the second inequality and we set $\varepsilon = (5C)^{-1}$ so that for ℓ large enough we have $C(\ell^{-1}\lambda^2 + \varepsilon n^{-1}) \leq 1/4$.

We now have obtained the necessary bounds on $(\text{III})_c = (\text{III})_{c_1} + (\text{III})_{c_2}$. Combining them with the estimates of $(\text{I})_c$ and $(\text{II})_c$ given by Lemmata 5.7 and 5.8, respectively, and using $M \leq n$, we conclude the proof of Lemma 5.1. \square

6 The Second Quadratic Transformation

In this section, we diagonalize explicitly the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian in (1.35),

$$\mathbb{H}_{\text{Bog}} = \sum_{p \neq 0} \left(p^2 + 8\pi\alpha \frac{n}{\ell} \right) a_p^* a_p + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq 0} n \widehat{\varepsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) (a_p^* a_p^* + a_p a_p) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{|n \widehat{\varepsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p)|^2}{2p^2}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq 0} \varphi_p (a_p^* a_p^* - a_p a_p), \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$\varphi_p = \sinh^{-1}(\nu_p), \quad \nu_p = - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A_p}{\sqrt{A_p^2 - B_p^2}} - 1 \right)}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$A_p = p^2 + 8\pi\alpha n \ell^{-1}, \quad B_p = n \widehat{\varepsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p). \quad (6.3)$$

Note that we have

$$|B_p - 8\pi\alpha n\ell^{-1}| = |n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p) - n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(0)| \leq C \min\{1, \lambda^2 p^2\} n\ell^{-1}, \quad (6.4)$$

which follows from the radial symmetry of ϵ and $|\epsilon_{\ell,\lambda}(x)| \leq C\lambda^{-3}\ell^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{|x|\leq\lambda}$, see (2.9). In particular for $\lambda^2 n\ell^{-1}$ small enough we have $A_p > |B_p|$ for all $p \neq 0$, and the formula of ν_p in (6.2) is therefore well-defined.

The main result of this section is the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\lambda, n, \ell, \sigma, \mathcal{E}_c$ as in Lemma 5.1, assume that λ is small enough and let $\mathcal{W} = e^{\mathcal{B}_1} e^{\mathcal{B}_c} e^{\mathcal{B}_2}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{W}^* \mathcal{H} \mathcal{W} = E_{n,\ell} + d\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}}) + e^{-\mathcal{B}_2} (Q_4 + \mathcal{E}_c + \mathcal{E}_2) e^{\mathcal{B}_2}$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ with

$$\begin{aligned} d\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}}) &= \sum_{p \neq 0} \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\alpha n\ell^{-1}p^2} a_p^* a_p, \\ E_{n,\ell} &= 4\pi\alpha n^2 \ell^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq 0} \left[\sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\alpha n\ell^{-1}p^2} - p^2 - 8\pi\alpha \frac{n}{\ell} + \frac{(8\pi\alpha n\ell^{-1})^2}{2p^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$\pm \mathcal{E}_2 \leq C\lambda \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right) \mathcal{N} + C\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^3 + C\lambda^2 \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{5/2}.$$

Let us first state the following lemma, which will be proved at the end of this section.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2 \leq 1$, $2R/\ell < \lambda$ and λ be small enough. Then we have*

$$e^{\pm \mathcal{B}_2} (\mathcal{N} + 1) e^{\mp \mathcal{B}_2} \leq C \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right) \mathcal{N} + C \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_2} d\Gamma(-\Delta) e^{\mathcal{B}_2} \leq C \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right) d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C\lambda^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2. \quad (6.7)$$

Proof of Lemma 6.1. From the assumptions on $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^2$ and λ we conclude that $\lambda^2 \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)$ is sufficiently small for φ_p in (6.2) to be well defined. Given (1.35) and (6.1), the action of the transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_2}$ on \mathbb{H}_{Bog} is standard, see e.g. [31, Section 3]. It gives

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}_2} \mathbb{H}_{\text{Bog}} e^{\mathcal{B}_2} = e_{\text{Bog}} + \sum_{p \neq 0} e_p a_p^* a_p \quad (6.8)$$

with

$$e_p = \sqrt{A_p^2 - B_p^2}, \quad e_{\text{Bog}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq 0} \left[\sqrt{A_p^2 - B_p^2} - A_p \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{(n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{2p^2},$$

where we recall that A_p and B_p are defined in (6.3). We will use the following lemma that we show after the proof of Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$, $2R/\ell < \lambda$ and λ be small enough. We have the uniform bound*

$$\sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}^3} \left| e_p - \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} p^2} \right| \leq C \lambda^2 \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \quad (6.9)$$

as well as

$$\left| e_{\text{Bog}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq 0} \left[\sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} p^2} - p^2 - 8\pi \mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} + \frac{(8\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1})^2}{2p^2} \right] \right| \leq C \lambda \frac{n^3}{\ell^3}. \quad (6.10)$$

Starting from (5.2), using the identity (6.8), the estimates of Lemma 6.3 and the bounds of Lemma 6.2, readily imply the statement of Lemma 6.1. \square

We end this section with the proofs of Lemmata 6.3 and 6.2.

Proof of Lemma 6.3. Let us start by showing (6.9). Using $|\sqrt{1+x}-1| \leq |x|$ for all $x \geq -1$ and (6.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| e_p - \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} p^2} \right| \\ & \leq (p^4 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} p^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| 8\pi \mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} + n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) \right| \left| 8\pi \mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} - n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) \right| \\ & \leq C (p^4 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} p^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^2 p^2 \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \leq C \lambda^2 \frac{n^2}{\ell^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows (6.9). To prove (6.10) note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau & := 2e_{\text{Bog}} - \left[\sum_{p \neq 0} \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a} n \ell^{-1} p^2} - p^2 - 8\pi \mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} + \frac{(8\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell)^2}{2p^2} \right] \\ & = \sum_{p \neq 0} p^2 \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell}{p^2} + \frac{(8\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell)^2 - (n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p))^2}{p^4}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell}{p^2} - \frac{(8\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell)^2 - (n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p))^2}{2p^4}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The Taylor formula readily gives

$$|v(x) - v(y) - v'(0)(x - y)| \leq C \|v''\|_{\infty} |x - y| (|x| + |y|)$$

for $v \in C^2(U)$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ open. Applying this inequality to

$$v(z) = \sqrt{1+z} \text{ with } x = \frac{16\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell}{p^2} + \frac{(8\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell)^2 - (n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p))^2}{p^4}, \quad y = \frac{16\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell}{p^2}$$

and using (6.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau| & \leq C \sum_{p \neq 0} p^2 \frac{|(8\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell)^2 - (n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p))^2|}{p^4} \left(2 \frac{16\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell}{p^2} + \frac{|(8\pi \mathfrak{a} n / \ell)^2 - (n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p))^2|}{p^4} \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{p \neq 0} p^2 \frac{n/\ell}{p^4} \left| 8\pi \mathfrak{a} \frac{n}{\ell} - n \widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell, \lambda}(p) \right| \left(\frac{n/\ell + \lambda^2 (n/\ell)^2}{p^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \sum_{p \neq 0} \left| 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\frac{n}{\ell} - n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p) \right| p^{-4} \\
&\leq C\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \sum_{p \neq 0} \min\{1, \lambda^2 p^2\} \frac{n}{\ell} p^{-4} \leq C\lambda\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^3,
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of (6.10). \square

Proof of Lemma 6.2. The action of the quadratic transformation \mathcal{B}_2 on the creation and annihilation operators is given by

$$e^{\mp\mathcal{B}_2} a_p^* e^{\pm\mathcal{B}_2} = \cosh(\varphi_p) a_p^* \pm \sinh(\varphi_p) a_p.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\pm\mathcal{B}_2} \mathcal{N} e^{\mp\mathcal{B}_2} &= \sum_{p \neq 0} (\cosh(\varphi_p) a_p^* \pm \sinh(\varphi_p) a_p) (\cosh(\varphi_p) a_p \pm \sinh(\varphi_p) a_p^*) \\
&= \sum_{p \neq 0} [(\cosh(\varphi_p)^2 + \sinh(\varphi_p)^2) a_p^* a_p \pm \cosh(\varphi_p) \sinh(\varphi_p) (a_p^* a_p^* + a_p a_p) + \sinh(\varphi_p)^2] \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{p \neq 0} [(\cosh(\varphi_p)^2 + \sinh(\varphi_p)^2) a_p^* a_p + \sinh(\varphi_p)^2]. \tag{6.11}
\end{aligned}$$

To verify (6.6) it remains to show that

$$\cosh(\varphi_p)^2 + \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 \leq C \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right), \tag{6.12}$$

$$\sum_{p \neq 0} \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{6.13}$$

Let us prove (6.12). From (6.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\cosh(\varphi_p)^2 + \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 &= 2 \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 + 1 \\
&= |p|^{-1} \frac{p^2 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell}{\sqrt{p^2 + 16\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell + \frac{(8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell)^2 - (n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{p^2}}} \\
&\leq |p|^{-1} \frac{p^2 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell}{\sqrt{p^2 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell}} = \sqrt{1 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\frac{n}{\ell} p^{-2}} \leq C \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used $p^{-2} ((8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell)^2 - (n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2) \geq -8\pi\mathfrak{a}n\ell^{-1}$, which follows from (6.4).

To prove (6.13) we use again (6.2) and divide the sum into momenta $|p|$ less or bigger than $(n/\ell)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For small momenta we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0 < |p| \leq \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 &\leq C \sum_{0 < |p| \leq \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\sqrt{1 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell p^{-2}} + 1 \right) \\
&\leq C \sum_{0 < |p| \leq \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} (1 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell p^{-2}) \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{6.14}
\end{aligned}$$

For large momenta we use $|\sqrt{1+z} - 1 - z| \leq z^2$ for $z \geq -1$ as well as and $|\sqrt{1+x} - \sqrt{1+y}| \leq C|x-y|$ for $x, y \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ to obtain

$$\sum_{|p| > \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 \leq \sum_{|p| > \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} p^{-2} \left| p^2 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\frac{n}{\ell} - p^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell}{p^2} + \frac{(8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell)^2 - (n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{p^4}} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{|p| > (\frac{n}{\ell})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left| 1 + \frac{8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell}{p^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell}{p^2}} \right| \\
&\quad + \sum_{|p| > (\frac{n}{\ell})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left| \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell}{p^2} + \frac{(8\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell)^2 - (n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{p^4}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi\mathfrak{a}n/\ell}{p^2}} \right| \\
&\leq C \frac{n^2}{\ell^2} \sum_{|p| > (\frac{n}{\ell})^{\frac{1}{2}}} p^{-4} \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of (6.6). The bound (6.7) is proved similarly. Proceeding as in (6.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\mathcal{B}_2} d\Gamma(-\Delta) e^{\mathcal{B}_2} &\leq 2 \sum_{p \neq 0} [p^2 (\cosh(\varphi_p))^2 + \sinh(\varphi_p)^2] a_p^* a_p + p^2 \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 \\
&\leq C \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right) d\Gamma(-\Delta) + C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \lambda^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

given that

$$\sum_{p \neq 0} p^2 \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \lambda^{-1}, \tag{6.15}$$

which remains to be shown. We have

$$2p^2 \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 = p^2 \frac{1 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}(n/\ell)p^{-2} - \sqrt{1 + 16\pi\mathfrak{a}(n/\ell)p^{-2} + \frac{(8\pi\mathfrak{a}(n/\ell))^2 - (n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{p^4}}}{\sqrt{1 + 16\pi\mathfrak{a}(n/\ell)p^{-2} + \frac{(8\pi\mathfrak{a}(n/\ell))^2 - (n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{p^4}}}.$$

Let us define

$$v(x) = 1 + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}(n/\ell)x - \left(1 + 16\pi\mathfrak{a}(n/\ell)x + ((8\pi\mathfrak{a}(n/\ell))^2 - (n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2)x^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Taylor expanding at $x = p^{-2}$, we obtain

$$v(x) = \frac{(n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{2} x^2 + R(x)$$

with

$$|R(x)| \leq \sup_{0 \leq y \leq p^{-2}} |v'''(y)| x^2 \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^3 x^2.$$

Thus

$$2p^2 \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 \leq \frac{(n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{2p^2} + C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^3 p^{-4},$$

which immediately implies

$$\sum_{p \neq 0} p^2 \sinh(\varphi_p)^2 \leq \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{(n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{2p^2} + C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^3.$$

From the assumptions $\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq 1$ and λ small enough, we find $(n/\ell)^3 \leq \lambda^{-1}(n/\ell)^2$.

As we argued in the proof of (4.27), we have $\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p) = \mathbf{a}\ell^{-1}\widehat{f}(\lambda p)$ for a fixed function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{(n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{2p^2} &\leq \left| \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{(n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{2p^2} - \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{2p^2} \right| + \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(n\widehat{\epsilon}_{\ell,\lambda}(p))^2}{2p^2} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 + C\lambda^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \leq C\lambda^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

This shows (6.15) and consequently completes the proof of Lemma 6.2. \square

7 A-Priori Estimates for Gibbs States

Recall the definition (1.8) of $H_{n,\ell}$, and the one of U in Section 2.3. We introduce the Gibbs state on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$

$$\Gamma = e^{-\frac{\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}}{T\ell^2}} / \text{Tr} e^{-\frac{\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}}{T\ell^2}}, \quad \mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} = UH_{n,\ell}U^* \quad (7.1)$$

It minimizes the Gibbs variational principle and yields the free energy on the box Λ_ℓ as in (1.9).

The analysis of \mathcal{H} in the previous sections will be used in Section 8 to control Γ on the sector of few excited particles. This section, on the other hand, provides rough a priori estimates on the kinetic and interaction operator, which are helpful to control the sector of high particle number. Moreover, we derive complete BEC for Γ given that the thermal contribution to the free energy is subleading. We shall see that this is the case as long as n is not too small.

7.1 Rough Kinetic and Interaction Energy Estimates

Lemma 7.1. *Let $0 \leq n \leq C\rho\ell^3$ and $\ell = \mathbf{a}(\rho\mathbf{a}^3)^{-1/2-\kappa}$. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} \lesssim (d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + n^2\mathbf{a}\ell^{-1}) \lesssim \mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} + n^2\mathbf{a}\ell^{-1} \quad (7.2)$$

on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$.

Proof. Recall from Lemma 2.2 that $\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} = \mathbf{1}_+^{\leq n} \mathcal{H} \mathbf{1}_+^{\leq n}$, where \mathcal{H} is an operator on \mathcal{F} defined in (2.17). We shall show that all the terms $n^2V_\ell^{0000}$, Q_1 , $H_2^{(U)}$, Q_2 , $Q_3^{(U)}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{(U)}$, when restricted to $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$, are bounded by $\varepsilon Q_4 + C_\varepsilon n^2\ell^{-1}$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small.

First, the constant $n^2V_\ell^{0000}$ is bounded by $n^2\|V_\ell\|_{L^1} \leq Cn^2\ell^{-1}$. Next, from the bound (2.18) in Lemma 2.2, with ε replaced by $n\varepsilon$, we have

$$\pm \mathcal{E}^{(U)} \leq Cn^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}\ell^{-1} + \varepsilon Q_4 + C\varepsilon^{-1}\ell^{-1} \quad (7.3)$$

on \mathcal{F} for all $\varepsilon > 0$. For $H_2^{(U)}$ we have $\mathcal{N}nV_\ell^{0000} \leq C\mathcal{N}n\ell^{-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\pm \int_{\Lambda^2} nV_\ell(x-y)a_x^*a_x \leq n\|V_\ell\|_{L^1}\mathcal{N} \leq Cn\ell^{-1}\mathcal{N}, \\ &\pm \int_{\Lambda^2} nV_\ell(x-y)a_x^*a_y \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} nV_\ell(x-y)(a_x^*a_x + a_y^*a_y) \leq Cn\ell^{-1}\mathcal{N}, \\ &\pm \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)a_x^*a_y^*\mathcal{N} + \text{h.c.} \right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)a_x^*a_y^*a_xa_y + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)\mathcal{N}^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon Q_4 + C\varepsilon^{-1}\ell^{-1}\mathcal{N}^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\pm H_2^{(U)} \leq Cn\ell^{-1}\mathcal{N} + \varepsilon Q_4 + C\varepsilon^{-1}\ell^{-1}\mathcal{N}^2 \quad (7.4)$$

on \mathcal{F} for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \pm Q_1 &\leq \int_{\Lambda^2} nV_\ell(x-y)(\mathcal{N}+1)^{-1/4}a_x^*a_x(\mathcal{N}+1)^{-1/4} + \int_{\Lambda^2} n^2V_\ell(x-y)(\mathcal{N}+1)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Cn^{3/2}\ell^{-1}(\mathcal{N}+1)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pm Q_2 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)a_x^*a_y^*a_xa_y + \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{2}n^2 \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y) \\ &\leq \varepsilon Q_4 + C\varepsilon^{-1}n^2\ell^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pm Q_3^{(U)} &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)a_x^*a_y^*a_xa_y + 2\varepsilon^{-1}n \int_{\Lambda^2} V_\ell(x-y)a_x^*a_x \\ &\leq \varepsilon Q_4 + C\varepsilon^{-1}n\ell^{-1}\mathcal{N} \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

on \mathcal{F} for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Restricting these bounds to $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$ where $\mathcal{N} \leq n$ we find that

$$\pm(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} - d\Gamma(-\Delta) - Q_4) \leq \varepsilon Q_4 + C\varepsilon^{-1}n^2\ell^{-1}$$

on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq n}$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, which implies (7.2). \square

7.2 Bose–Einstein Condensation

Lemma 7.2. *Let Γ be as in (7.1) with $(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/4+\nu/2}(\rho\ell^3) \leq n \leq C(\rho\ell^3)$, $\ell = \mathfrak{a}(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{-1/2-\kappa}$ with $0 < \kappa < 1/34$ and $0 \leq T \leq (\rho\mathfrak{a})(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{-\nu}$ with $0 < \nu < 1/12 - 5\kappa/3$. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{N}_+\Gamma) \leq Cn(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^\gamma, \quad (7.8)$$

with $\gamma = \min\{1/10 - 2\kappa - 6\nu/5, 1/17 - 2\kappa\} > 0$.

In the dilute limit $\rho\mathfrak{a}^3 \rightarrow 0$, Lemma 7.2 implies that $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{N}_+\Gamma)$ is much smaller than n , the total number of particles. This is equivalent to complete condensation of Γ , namely all but $o(n)$ particles occupy the zero-momentum mode.

Proof. From the method in [24] (see also [25, Lemma 5.2]) we have the a priori knowledge of condensation on $L_s^2(\Lambda^n)$

$$H_{n,\ell} \geq 4\pi\mathfrak{a}\ell^{-1}n^2 + C^{-1}\mathcal{N}_+ - Cn\rho\mathfrak{a}\ell^2(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/17}. \quad (7.9)$$

Since $U\mathcal{N}_+U^* = \mathcal{N}_+$, the same bound holds with $H_{n,\ell}$ replaced by $\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} = UH_{n,\ell}U^*$. We obtain

$$C^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{N}_+\Gamma) \leq \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma) - 4\pi\mathfrak{a}\ell^{-1}n^2 + Cn\rho\mathfrak{a}\ell^2(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/17}.$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma) - 4\pi\mathfrak{a}\ell^{-1}n^2 \leq Cn(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/10-2\kappa-6\nu/5}. \quad (7.10)$$

In order to prove (7.10), we will use the following upper bound on the ground state energy [25, Theorem 2.2]

$$\ell^2 F_\ell(n) \leq \inf \sigma(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}) \leq 4\pi\mathfrak{a}n^2\ell^{-1} + Cn(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/3}. \quad (7.11)$$

From the Gibbs variational principle, we have that for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\varepsilon \text{Tr} (d\Gamma(-\Delta)\Gamma) - T\ell^2 S(\Gamma) \geq -T\ell^2 \log \text{Tr} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{T\ell^2} d\Gamma(-\Delta)} \geq -CT^{5/2}\ell^5 \varepsilon^{-3/2},$$

which follows from the bound

$$\beta^{-1} \log \text{Tr} e^{-\beta d\Gamma(-\Delta)} = -\beta^{-1} \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \log \left(1 - e^{-\beta p^2}\right) \leq C\beta^{-5/2} \quad (7.12)$$

for some $C > 0$ and all $\beta > 0$.

We can now prove (7.10). From the Gibbs variational principle we have for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} (\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma) &= (1 + \varepsilon) (\text{Tr} (\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma) - T\ell^2 S(\Gamma)) - (\varepsilon \text{Tr} (\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma) - (1 + \varepsilon)T\ell^2 S(\Gamma)) \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)\ell^2 F_\ell(n) - (\varepsilon \text{Tr} (d\Gamma(-\Delta)\Gamma) - 2T\ell^2 S(\Gamma)) \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \inf \sigma(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}) - (\varepsilon \text{Tr} (d\Gamma(-\Delta)\Gamma) - 2T\ell^2 S(\Gamma)) \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left(4\pi\mathfrak{a}n^2\ell^{-1} + Cn(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/3}\right) + C\varepsilon^{-3/2}T^{5/2}\ell^5. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon = (\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/10-6\nu/5}$, using that $(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/4+\nu/2}(\rho\ell^3) \leq n$ and our assumptions on ℓ and T , we obtain (7.10) from which Lemma 7.2 follows. \square

8 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we shall give the proof of Theorem 1.2. We denote $Y = \rho\mathfrak{a}^3$ and set

$$M_0 = n^{1-80\kappa}, M = n^{1-68\kappa}, \lambda = Y^{10\kappa}, \delta = Y^{3\kappa} \quad (8.1)$$

with $\kappa = 1/1000$. Recall that $T \leq \rho\mathfrak{a}(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{-\nu}$ and $\ell = \mathfrak{a}/(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/2+\kappa}$ as in (1.7) with $\nu = \kappa/5$. Moreover, let us first focus on the case $(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/4+\nu/2}(\rho\ell^3) \leq n \leq C(\rho\ell^3)$, which allows us to use condensation in the sense of Lemma 7.2. The case of smaller n will be considered afterwards.

Case $(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/4+\nu/2}(\rho\ell^3) \leq n \leq C(\rho\ell^3)$: We will combine the estimates in the previous sections with the localization method on the number of excited particles in the spirit of [26, 22]. To be precise, we fix smooth functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$f^2 + g^2 = 1, \quad f(x) = 1 \text{ for } x < \frac{1}{2}, \quad f(x) = 0 \text{ for } x > 1$$

and define

$$f_{M_0} = f(\mathcal{N}_+/M_0), \quad g_{M_0} = g(\mathcal{N}_+/M_0).$$

Recall the definition of the Hamiltonian $\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}$ and the Gibbs state Γ in (7.1). Applying [22, Proposition 6.1], we can write

$$\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} = f_{M_0}\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}f_{M_0} + g_{M_0}\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}g_{M_0} + \mathcal{E}_{M_0}, \quad (8.2)$$

where

$$\pm \mathcal{E}_{M_0} = \pm \left(\frac{1}{2}[f_{M_0}, [f_{M_0}, \mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}]] + \frac{1}{2}[g_{M_0}, [g_{M_0}, \mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}]] \right) \leq \frac{C}{M_0^2}[H_{n,\ell}]_{\text{diag}}. \quad (8.3)$$

Here $[H_{n,\ell}]_{\text{diag}}$ is the diagonal part of $\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}$, i.e. $[H_{n,\ell}]_{\text{diag}}$ contains the terms in $\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}$ that commute with the number operator \mathcal{N} . Recall the rough estimate (7.2)

$$\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} \lesssim d\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + Cn^2\mathfrak{a}\ell^{-1}. \quad (8.4)$$

The diagonal part $[H_{n,\ell}]_{\text{diag}}$ satisfies the same bound since the right-hand side of (8.4) is diagonal. Thus we deduce from (8.3) that the localization error can be controlled by

$$\pm \mathcal{E}_{M_0} \leq \frac{C}{M_0^2} (\text{d}\Gamma(-\Delta) + Q_4 + Cn^2 \mathbf{a} \ell^{-1}). \quad (8.5)$$

Applying again (7.2) together with (7.10) yields

$$\text{Tr}((Q_4 + \text{d}\Gamma(-\Delta))\Gamma) \leq C \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma) + Cn^2 \mathbf{a} \ell^{-1} \leq Cn^2 \mathbf{a} \ell^{-1} + nY^{1/10-2\kappa-6\nu/5}. \quad (8.6)$$

With the choice of M_0 in (8.1) and the assumption $n \leq C\rho\ell^3$, a combination of (8.5) and (8.6) gives

$$\pm \text{Tr}(\mathcal{E}_{M_0}\Gamma) \lesssim n\mathbf{a}\ell^{-1} + Y^{1/10-2\kappa-6\nu/5} \lesssim Y^{-2\kappa} = \mathcal{O}((\rho\mathbf{a})^{5/2}\ell^5 Y^{3\kappa}). \quad (8.7)$$

Let us introduce the notation

$$\Gamma_{f_{M_0}} = f_{M_0}\Gamma f_{M_0}, \quad \Gamma_{g_{M_0}} = g_{M_0}\Gamma g_{M_0}, \quad \alpha = \text{Tr}(\Gamma_{g_{M_0}}) = \text{Tr}(g_{M_0}^2\Gamma).$$

We will see later that $\alpha \geq 0$ is small. If $\alpha = 0$, then the analysis below can be simplified greatly. Here we focus on the case $\alpha > 0$. Note that $(1-\alpha)^{-1}\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}$ and $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma_{g_{M_0}}$ are normalized states. Combining (8.2) with the subadditivity of the entropy (see, e.g., [9, Theorem 14]) $S(\Gamma) \leq S(\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}) + S(\Gamma_{g_{M_0}})$ and (8.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_\ell(n) &= \ell^{-2} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma) - TS(\Gamma) \\ &\geq \ell^{-2} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}) - TS(\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}) + \ell^{-2} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma_{g_{M_0}}) - TS(\Gamma_{g_{M_0}}) - C(\rho\mathbf{a})^{5/2}\ell^3 Y^{3\kappa}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.8)$$

By the Gibbs variational principle we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{-2} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma_{g_{M_0}}) - TS(\Gamma_{g_{M_0}}) &= \alpha \left(\ell^{-2} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\alpha^{-1}\Gamma_{g_{M_0}}) - TS(\alpha^{-1}\Gamma_{g_{M_0}}) \right) + T\alpha \log \alpha \\ &\geq \alpha F_\ell(n) + T\alpha \log \alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (8.9)$$

To analyze the terms involving $\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}$ on the right-hand side of (8.8), we use the following formulation of the Bogoliubov approximation in the sector of few excited particles, which is a consequence of the analysis in the previous sections.

Proposition 8.1. *Under the choice of parameters in (8.1) we have*

$$\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} \geq (1 - CY^\kappa) \mathcal{W} \text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}}) \mathcal{W}^* + E_{n,\ell} + \mathcal{O}((\rho\mathbf{a})^{5/2}\ell^5 Y^{\kappa/2})$$

on $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq M_0}$, where \mathcal{W} , E_{Bog} and $E_{n,\ell}$ are defined in Lemma 6.1.

We postpone the proof of Proposition 8.1 to the end of this section. Let us introduce a normalized state on \mathcal{F}_+

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \mathcal{W}^* \Gamma_{f_{M_0}} \mathcal{W}.$$

With Proposition 8.1 and the identity $S(\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}) = S(\mathcal{W}^* \Gamma_{f_{M_0}} \mathcal{W})$ we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{-2} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}) - TS(\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}) &\geq -T(1 - \alpha)S(\tilde{\Gamma}) + T(1 - \alpha) \log(1 - \alpha) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha) \left[\ell^{-2} (1 - CY^{5\nu}) \text{Tr}(\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})\tilde{\Gamma}) + \ell^{-2} E_{n,\ell} + \mathcal{O}((\rho\mathbf{a})^{5/2}\ell^3 Y^\nu) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We use the Gibbs variational principle to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{-2}(1 - CY^{5\nu})\text{Tr}(\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})\tilde{\Gamma}) - TS(\tilde{\Gamma}) &\geq \ell^{-2}(1 - CY^{5\nu})\text{Tr}(\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})\Gamma_\nu) - TS(\Gamma_\nu) \\ &\geq -T \log \text{Tr} e^{-\frac{1}{T\ell^2}\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})} - C\ell^{-2}Y^{5\nu}\text{Tr}(\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})\Gamma_\nu), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Gamma_\nu = e^{-\frac{1-CY^{5\nu}}{T\ell^2}\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})} / \text{Tr} e^{-\frac{1-CY^{5\nu}}{T\ell^2}\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})}.$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell^{-2}(1 - CY^{5\nu})\text{Tr}(\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})\Gamma_\nu) \\ &= 2\ell^{-2}(1 - CY^{5\nu})(\text{Tr}(\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})\Gamma_\nu) - 2TS(\Gamma_\nu)) \\ &\quad - (\ell^{-2}(1 - CY^{5\nu})\text{Tr}(\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})\Gamma_\nu) - 2TS(\Gamma_\nu)) \\ &\leq 2 \inf \sigma(\ell^{-2}(1 - CY^{5\nu})\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})) + 2T \log \text{Tr} e^{-\frac{1-CY^{5\nu}}{2T\ell^2}\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})} \\ &\leq CT^{5/2}\ell^3, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last inequality we used that $\inf \sigma(\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})) = 0$ and (7.12) together with $\text{d}\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}}) \geq \text{d}\Gamma(-\Delta)$. Again with a calculation as in (7.12) we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell^{-2}\text{Tr}(\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell}\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}) - TS(\Gamma_{f_{M_0}}) \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha) \left(\ell^{-2}E_{n,\ell} + T \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \log \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{T\ell^2}\sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\alpha n\ell^{-1}p^2}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + T(1 - \alpha) \log(1 - \alpha) + \mathcal{O}(\ell^3(\rho\alpha)^{5/2}Y^\nu). \end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

We claim that from (6.5) we have

$$\left| E_{n,\ell} - 4\pi\alpha n^2\ell^{-1} - 4\pi\frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{5/2} \right| \leq C \left(\frac{n\alpha}{\ell} \right)^2. \tag{8.11}$$

Indeed, for $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denote $g(p) = \sqrt{p^4 + 16p^2} - 8 - p^2 + \frac{8^2}{2p^2}$ and observe that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3} g(z) \text{d}^3z = 4\pi \frac{128}{15}.$$

Then with $\hbar = (\pi\alpha\frac{n}{\ell})^{-1/2}$ we have

$$2\hbar^5 \left| E_{n,\ell} - 4\pi\alpha n^2\ell^{-1} - 4\pi\frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{5/2} \right| = \left| \hbar^3 \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} g(p\hbar) - \pi^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3} g(z) \text{d}^3z \right|. \tag{8.12}$$

Elementary calculations show that

$$|\partial_i \partial_j g(p)| = \left| 256p_i p_j \left(\frac{-1}{p^3(16 + p^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{p^6} \right) + \delta_{ij} \left(\frac{2p^2 + 16}{\sqrt{p^4 + 16p^2}} - 2 - \frac{64}{p^4} \right) \right| \leq Cp^{-4}.$$

With this we may compare the sum and the integral in boxes of size $(\pi\hbar)^3$ as in (4.27) to bound the right hand side of (8.12) by $C\hbar$. Multiplying with \hbar^{-5} yields (8.11).

We can now insert (8.9), (8.10) and (8.11) in (8.8) and find

$$F_\ell(n) \geq f_{\text{Bog}}(n, \ell) - (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \left[C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu + T\alpha|\log \alpha| + T(1 - \alpha)|\log(1 - \alpha)| \right], \quad (8.13)$$

with $f_{\text{Bog}}(n, \ell)$ defined in (1.11). From the BEC estimate in Lemma 7.2 and the assumption $T \leq (\rho\mathfrak{a})Y^{-\nu}$ we obtain

$$\alpha = \text{Tr}(g_{M_0}^2 \Gamma) \leq CM_0^{-1} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{N}_+ \Gamma) \leq Cn^{80\kappa} Y^\nu \leq CY^{4\nu}.$$

The last inequality follows from an elementary computation using that $n \leq C\rho\ell^3 = CY^{-1/2-3\kappa}$ and the definition of $\gamma = \min\{1/10 - 2\kappa - 6\nu/5, 1/17 - 2\kappa\} = 1/17 - 2\kappa$ (for our choice of parameters). In particular $(1 - \alpha)^{-1} \leq C$. Moreover, the last error term in (8.13) can be bounded by

$$T\alpha|\log \alpha| + T(1 - \alpha)|\log(1 - \alpha)| \leq CT\alpha^{1/2} \leq CTY^{2\nu} \leq C(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}\ell^3Y^\nu, \quad (8.14)$$

concluding the proof of Theorem 1.2 in the case $(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/4+\nu/2}(\rho\ell^3) \leq n \leq C(\rho\ell^3)$.

Case $n \leq (\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/4+\nu/2}(\rho\ell^3)$: For $n = 0$ the statement is trivial as the thermal contribution is negative. For $1 \leq n < (\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^{1/4+\nu/2}(\rho\ell^3)$ we shall show that the thermal contribution dominates. One easily checks that

$$f_{\text{Bog}}(n, \ell) = T \sum_{p \neq 0} \log \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{T\ell^2} \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\mathfrak{a}n\ell^{-1}p^2}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu).$$

in this case. Therefore, we ignore the interaction in the computation of the free energy for a lower bound, which we are allowed to do since $V \geq 0$. The Gibbs variational principle and the calculation in (7.12) yield

$$F_\ell(n) \geq T \sum_{p \neq 0} \log \left(1 - e^{-\frac{p^2}{T\ell^2}} \right).$$

It remains to compare this quantity with the thermal contribution that appears in f_{Bog} . For $p \in \mathbb{R}^3, q \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ we define the function

$$g(p, q) = \log \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{p^4 + qp^2}} \right). \quad (8.15)$$

Then

$$|\partial_q g(p, q)| = \left| \frac{1}{e\sqrt{p^4 + qp^2} - 1} \frac{p^2}{2\sqrt{p^4 + qp^2}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{e^{p^2} - 1}. \quad (8.16)$$

Note that the upper bound is independent of q so that by a first order Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq T \sum_{p \neq 0} \log \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{T\ell^2} \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\mathfrak{a}n\ell^{-1}p^2}} \right) - T \sum_{p \neq 0} \log \left(1 - e^{-\frac{p^2}{T\ell^2}} \right) \\ &= T \sum_{p \neq 0} g \left(\frac{p}{T^{1/2}\ell}, \frac{16\pi\mathfrak{a}n\ell^{-1}}{T\ell^2} \right) - g \left(\frac{p}{T^{1/2}\ell}, 0 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{8\pi\mathfrak{a}n\ell^{-1}}{T\ell^2} T \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{1}{e\frac{p^2}{T\ell^2} - 1} \leq C \frac{\mathfrak{a}n}{T\ell^3} T^{5/2}\ell^3 = \mathcal{O}((\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}\ell^3Y^{1/4-\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired bound. \square

We conclude this section by giving the proof of Proposition 8.1.

Proof of Proposition 8.1. From Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 6.1 we have

$$\mathcal{H} = E_{n,\ell} + \mathcal{W}d\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})\mathcal{W}^* + e^{\mathcal{B}_1}e^{\mathcal{B}_c}(Q_4 + \mathcal{E}_c + \mathcal{E}_2)e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \quad (8.17)$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ , where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_4 + \mathcal{E}_c + \mathcal{E}_2 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - C(\sigma + \delta)\right) Q_4 - C\sigma d\Gamma(-\Delta) \\ &\quad - C \left[(\sigma + \delta) \frac{n}{\ell} + \lambda \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{1/2} + 1 \right) + \lambda^{1/2} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 + \frac{n}{\ell} \right) + \lambda^{-1} \frac{n^{3/2}}{\ell^2} \right] (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\quad - C\delta^{-1} \left[\frac{n\mathcal{N} + 1}{\ell} \frac{1}{M} + \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)}{\ell} + \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{n\ell} + \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^3 \right] (\mathcal{N} + 1) \\ &\quad - C \frac{n(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{1/2}}{\ell n^{1/2}} (\mathcal{N} + 1) - C \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 \log \ell + \frac{n}{\ell} + \lambda^2 \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{5/2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8.18)$$

on \mathcal{F}_+ . The condition $n \leq C\rho\ell^3$ and the choice $\ell = \mathfrak{a}Y^{-1/2-\kappa}$ in (1.7) imply that $n\mathfrak{a}\ell^{-1} \leq C\rho\mathfrak{a}\ell^2 = CY^{-2\kappa}$. Moreover, with the choice of the parameters in (8.1), we have

$$\frac{M}{\ell} \leq CY^{32\kappa}, \quad \frac{M_0}{\ell} \leq CY^{38\kappa}, \quad \frac{nM_0}{\ell M} \leq CY^{4\kappa},$$

from which one easily checks that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \max\{n^{1/2}\ell^{-5/6}, n^{1/2}M\ell^{-3/2}, \lambda^{-1/2}n^{1/2}M^{1/2}\ell^{-1}\} \leq CY^{10\kappa}, \\ (\sigma + \delta) \frac{n}{\ell} + \lambda \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{1/2} + 1 \right) + \lambda^{1/2} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2 + \frac{n}{\ell} \right) + \lambda^{-1} \frac{n^{3/2}}{\ell^2} &\leq CY^\kappa, \\ \delta^{-1} \left[\frac{nM_0}{\ell} \frac{1}{M} + \frac{M_0}{\ell} + \frac{M_0^2}{n\ell} + \lambda \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^3 \right] + \frac{nM_0^{1/2}}{\ell n^{1/2}} &\leq CY^\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (8.18) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} Q_4 + \mathcal{E}_c + \mathcal{E}_2 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - CY^{3\kappa}\right) Q_4 - CY^{10\kappa} d\Gamma(-\Delta) \\ &\quad - CY^\kappa \left(1 + \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{M_0^2} \right) (\mathcal{N} + 1) - CY^{-4\kappa} |\log Y|. \end{aligned} \quad (8.19)$$

On the right-hand side of (8.19), the term involving Q_4 is positive and can be dropped for a lower bound. Now let us apply the transformation $e^{\mathcal{B}_1}e^{\mathcal{B}_c}(\dots)e^{-\mathcal{B}_c}e^{-\mathcal{B}_1}$ and additionally restrict to $\mathcal{F}_+^{\leq M_0}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} e^{\mathcal{B}_c} \left(1 + \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{M_0^2} \right) (\mathcal{N} + 1) e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} \left(1 + \frac{(\mathcal{N} + 1)^2}{M_0^2} \right) (\mathcal{N} + 1) \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} \leq C \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} \\ &\leq C \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{1/2} + 1 \right) \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N} + 1) \mathcal{W}^* \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} + C \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{3/2} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} (\mathcal{W}d\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})\mathcal{W}^* + Y^{-3\kappa}) \mathbb{1}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.2, Lemma 5.2, the first bound in Lemma 6.2 and the fact that $\left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{1/2} + 1\right)\mathcal{N}$ is bounded by $d\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})$. Moreover, we find

$$Y^{10\kappa} e^{\mathcal{B}_1} e^{\mathcal{B}_c} d\Gamma(-\Delta) e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \leq CY^{10\kappa} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{1/2} + 1 \right) \mathcal{W}d\Gamma(-\Delta)\mathcal{W}^* + CY^{10\kappa} \lambda^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^2$$

$$\leq CY^{9\kappa} \mathcal{W} d\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}}) \mathcal{W}^* + CY^{-4\kappa}$$

by the second bound in Lemma 6.2 and $d\Gamma(-\Delta) \leq d\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}})$.

In combination with (8.19) it follows that

$$e^{\mathcal{B}_1} e^{\mathcal{B}_c} (Q_4 + \mathcal{E}_c + \mathcal{E}_2) e^{-\mathcal{B}_c} e^{-\mathcal{B}_1} \geq -CY^\kappa \mathcal{W} d\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}}) \mathcal{W}^* - CY^{-4\kappa} |\log Y| \quad (8.20)$$

on $\mathcal{F}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}}$. Inserting (8.20) in (8.17) and using $\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} = \mathbf{1}_{\mp}^{\leq n} \mathcal{H} \mathbf{1}_{\mp}^{\leq n}$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{H}_{n,\ell} \geq E_{n,\ell} + (1 - CY^\kappa) \mathcal{W}^* d\Gamma(E_{\text{Bog}}) \mathcal{W} - CY^{-4\kappa} |\log Y|$$

on $\mathcal{F}^{\{\mathcal{N}_+ \leq M_0\}}$. The claim then follows from

$$Y^{-4\kappa} |\log Y| = \ell^5 (\rho \mathfrak{a})^{5/2} Y^\kappa |\log Y| \leq C \ell^5 (\rho \mathfrak{a})^{5/2} Y^{\kappa/2}.$$

□

9 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\kappa = 5\nu = 1/1000$ as in the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 and let $Y = \rho \mathfrak{a}^3$ so that $\ell = \mathfrak{a} Y^{-1/2-\kappa}$ as in (1.7). Since the limit $F_L(N)/L^3$ does not depend on the sequence of $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $N/L^3 \rightarrow \rho$, we may assume without loss of generality that L/ℓ is an integer and take $N = \lfloor \rho L^3 \rfloor + 1$. This is helpful since we shall divide the big box Λ_L into M_B smaller boxes Λ_ℓ , where now $M_B = (L/\ell)^3$ is an integer.

We claim that

$$\frac{F_L(N)}{L^3} \geq \frac{1}{\ell^3} f_{\text{Bog}}(\rho \ell^3, \ell) - C(\rho \mathfrak{a})^{5/2} Y^\nu, \quad (9.1)$$

where we recall that f_{Bog} is defined in (1.11). Assuming (9.1), Theorem 1.1 follows readily from approximating the sum in the definition of f_{Bog} in (1.11) by an integral. This is done in (9.3) in the following lemma. Furthermore, Lemma 9.1 contains a second estimate (9.4), which measures the error made in replacing n by $\rho \ell^3$ in the thermal contribution of the free energy f_{Bog} . It will be used in the proof of (9.1) below.

Lemma 9.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 consider*

$$f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}(n, \ell) = T \sum_{p \in \pi \mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \log \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{T\ell^2} \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi \frac{\rho \mathfrak{a}}{\ell} p^2}} \right). \quad (9.2)$$

Then we have

$$\left| \frac{f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}(\rho \ell^3, \ell)}{\ell^3} - \frac{T^{5/2}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \log \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi \frac{\rho \mathfrak{a}}{T} p^2}} \right) dp \right| \leq C(\rho \mathfrak{a})^{5/2} Y^{3\nu} \quad (9.3)$$

and, for all $0 \leq n \leq C\rho \ell^3$,

$$\left| f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}(n, \ell) - f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}(\rho \ell^3, \ell) \right| \leq C \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell^3} (n - \rho \ell^3)^2 Y^{1/4} + C \ell^3 (\rho \mathfrak{a})^{5/2} Y^{1/4-3\nu}. \quad (9.4)$$

We postpone the proof of Lemma 9.1 to the end of this section.

Proof of (9.1). Let Γ be the Gibbs state of H_N , satisfying

$$F_L(N) = \text{Tr} H_N \Gamma - TS(\Gamma),$$

where we recall that $S(\Gamma) = -\text{Tr} \Gamma \log \Gamma$ is the entropy of the state Γ . We want to localize Γ in smaller boxes. For this purpose we introduce a collection of disjoint cubes $(B_i)_{1 \leq i \leq M_B}$ of side length ℓ forming a partition of Λ , that is $\Lambda = \bigcup_{j=1}^{M_B} B_j$. Using that $V \geq 0$ and the bosonic symmetry of Γ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} H_N \Gamma &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{M_B} \left[N \text{Tr}_{L_s^2(\Lambda_L^N)} (i\nabla)_{x_1} \mathbb{1}_{B_j}(x_1) (i\nabla)_{x_1} \Gamma + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{Tr}_{L_s^2(\Lambda_L^N)} V(x_1 - x_2) \mathbb{1}_{B_j}(x_1) \mathbb{1}_{B_j}(x_2) \Gamma \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{M_B} \sum_{n=0}^N \left[n \text{Tr}_{L_s^2(\Lambda_L)} (-\Delta) \Gamma_{j,n}^{(1)} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \text{Tr}_{L_s^2(\Lambda_L^2)} V(x-y) \Gamma_{j,n}^{(2)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{M_B} \sum_{n=0}^N \text{Tr}_{L_s^2(\Lambda_L^n)} H_n \Gamma_{j,n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted, for $0 \leq n \leq N$,

$$\Gamma_{j,n} = \binom{N}{n} \text{Tr}_{n+1 \rightarrow N} \left(\mathbb{1}_{B_j}^{\otimes n} \mathbb{1}_{B_j^c}^{\otimes N-n} \Gamma \mathbb{1}_{B_j}^{\otimes n} \mathbb{1}_{B_j^c}^{\otimes N-n} \right), \quad (9.5)$$

with the notation $B_j^c = \Lambda \setminus B_j$, and where $\Gamma_{j,n}^{(k)} = \text{Tr}_{k+1 \rightarrow n} \Gamma_{j,n}$. It is understood that $H_0 = 0$ and $H_1 = -\Delta$. Here $\text{Tr} H_n \Gamma_{j,n}$ has to be interpreted in terms of quadratic forms. Indeed, the range of $\Gamma_{j,n}$ does not belong to the domain of the Neumann Laplacian, but it does belong to $H^1((B_j)^n)$, the domain of the associated quadratic form $Q(\Psi) = \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{(B_j)^n} |\nabla_{x_k} \Psi|^2$.

We will now use the subadditivity of the entropy [23]. Following the notation of [21, Proposition 7], the state $\Gamma_j = \bigoplus_{n=0}^N \Gamma_{j,n}$ is the $\mathbb{1}_{B_j}$ -localization of Γ . Since $\sum_{j=1}^{M_B} \mathbb{1}_{B_j} = \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_L}$, we obtain that

$$S(\Gamma) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{M_B} S(\Gamma_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{M_B} \sum_{n=0}^N S(\Gamma_{j,n})$$

(see e.g. [30, Lemma 4] and [18, Remark 25]).

Let us denote

$$\alpha_{j,n} = \text{Tr} \Gamma_{j,n}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{j,n} = \alpha_{j,n}^{-1} \Gamma_{j,n},$$

which satisfy

$$\text{Tr} \tilde{\Gamma}_{j,n} = 1, \quad \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_{j,n} = 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{M_B} \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_{j,n} = M_B, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{M_B} \sum_{n=0}^N n \alpha_{j,n} = N.$$

From this we obtain that for all $\mu \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} F_L(N) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{M_B} \sum_{n=0}^N \left[\alpha_{j,n} \left(\text{Tr} (H_n - \mu n) \tilde{\Gamma}_{j,n} - T S(\tilde{\Gamma}_{j,n}) \right) + T \alpha_{j,n} \log \alpha_{j,n} \right] + \mu N \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{M_B} \sum_{n=0}^N \left[\alpha_{j,n} (F_\ell(n) - \mu n) + T \alpha_{j,n} \log \alpha_{j,n} \right] + \mu \rho L^3 \\ &\geq -T M_B \log \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-\frac{1}{T} (F_\ell(n) - \mu n)} + \mu \rho L^3, \end{aligned} \quad (9.6)$$

where the last inequality follows from the Gibbs variational principle.

Let us take $\mu = 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho$ and denote $n_0 := \lfloor 20\rho\ell^3 \rfloor$. For $n \leq n_0$, we use Theorem 1.2 to estimate $F_\ell(n)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_\ell(n) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho n &\geq f_{\text{Bog}}(n, \ell) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho n - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu \\ &= f_{\text{Bog}}(\rho\ell^3, \ell) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho^2\ell^3 + 4\pi\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell^3} \left((n - \rho\ell^3)^2 + \frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\mathfrak{a}^{3/2}}{\ell^{3/2}} (n^{5/2} - (\rho\ell^3)^{5/2}) \right) \\ &\quad + \left(f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}(n, \ell) - f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}(\rho\ell^3, \ell) \right) - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

$$\geq f_{\text{Bog}}(\rho\ell^3, \ell) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho^2\ell^3 + 2\pi\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell^3}(n - \rho\ell^3)^2 - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu. \quad (9.8)$$

To obtain (9.7), we inserted the definitions of f_{Bog} and $f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}$ in (1.11) and (9.2), respectively, and completed the square in the leading order of the free energy. The inequality (9.8) is obtained, for Y small enough, by using (9.4) to estimate $f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}(n, \ell) - f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}(\rho\ell^3, \ell)$ and by bounding

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 4\pi\frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\mathfrak{a}^{5/2}}{\ell^{9/2}} (n^{5/2} - (\rho\ell^3)^{5/2}) \right| &\leq C\frac{\mathfrak{a}^{5/2}}{\ell^{9/2}} |n - \rho\ell^3| (\rho\ell^3)^{3/2} \\ &\leq \pi\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell^3}(n - \rho\ell^3)^2 + C\frac{\mathfrak{a}^4}{\ell^6}(\rho\ell^3)^3 \leq \pi\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell^3}(n - \rho\ell^3)^2 + CY^\nu\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the choice of ℓ and that $\nu < 1/2$.

To deal with contributions from $n > n_0$, we use the superadditivity of the free energy $F_\ell(n)$,

$$F_\ell(n) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n_0} \right\rfloor F_\ell(n_0) + F_\ell(r), \quad (9.9)$$

which follows from grouping the n particles into $\lfloor n/n_0 \rfloor$ subgroups of n_0 particles and one group of $0 \leq r := n - n_0\lfloor n/n_0 \rfloor < n_0$ particles, and dropping the interactions as well as the symmetry constraint between particles in different groups. More precisely, since $V \geq 0$ we have for all states Γ in $L_s^2(\Lambda^n)$,

$$\text{Tr } H_{n,\ell}\Gamma \geq \lfloor n/n_0 \rfloor \text{Tr } (H_{n_0,\ell}\Gamma^{(n_0)}) + \text{Tr } (H_{r,\ell}\Gamma^{(r)}), \quad (9.10)$$

where we have denoted $\Gamma^{(k)} = \text{Tr}_{k+1 \rightarrow n} \Gamma$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. On the other hand, denoting $\Gamma' = (\Gamma^{(n_0)})^{\otimes \lfloor n/n_0 \rfloor} \otimes \Gamma^{(r)}$ and using the non-negativity of the relative entropy we have

$$S(\Gamma) = -\text{Tr } \Gamma \log \Gamma \leq -\text{Tr } \Gamma \log \Gamma' = \lfloor n/n_0 \rfloor S(\Gamma^{(n_0)}) + S(\Gamma^{(r)}), \quad (9.11)$$

where we used that $\text{Tr}_{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}} \Gamma = \text{Tr}_{1 \rightarrow k} \Gamma$ for any $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ because of the bosonic symmetry of Γ . Combining (9.10) and (9.11), (9.9) follows from the Gibbs variational principle. Therefore, for $n > n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} F_\ell(n) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho n &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n_0} \right\rfloor (F_\ell(n_0) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho n_0) + F_\ell(r) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho r \\ &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n_0} \right\rfloor \left(f_{\text{Bog}}(\rho\ell^3, \ell) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho^2\ell^3 + \pi\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell^3}(n_0 - \rho\ell^3)^2 - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu \right) \\ &\quad + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n_0} \right\rfloor \pi\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell^3}(n_0 - \rho\ell^3)^2 - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho r - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the lower bound for $n \leq n_0$ in (9.8) and that by Theorem 1.2

$$F_\ell(r) \geq f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}(0, \ell) - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu \geq -C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}$$

with $f_{\text{Bog}}^{\text{th}}$ defined in (9.2) and bounded as in (7.12). Now using that $19\rho\ell^3 \leq n_0 \leq 20\rho\ell^3$, so that in particular

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{n_0} \right\rfloor \geq \frac{n}{2n_0} \geq \frac{n}{40\rho\ell^3},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_\ell(n) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho n &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n_0} \right\rfloor \left(f_{\text{Bog}}(\rho\ell^3, \ell) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho^2\ell^3 + 18^2\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho^2\ell^3 - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu \right) \\ &\quad + \pi \frac{n}{40\rho\ell^3} \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\ell^3} 18^2(\rho\ell^3)^2 - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho n - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the term in parentheses in the first line is non-negative, we may replace the prefactor $\lfloor \frac{n}{n_0} \rfloor$ by 1 to obtain a lower bound. The result is then

$$\begin{aligned} F_\ell(n) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho n &\geq f_{\text{Bog}}(\rho\ell^3, \ell) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho^2\ell^3 + \frac{\pi}{10}\rho\mathfrak{a}n - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2} \\ &\geq f_{\text{Bog}}(\rho\ell^3, \ell) - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}\rho^2\ell^3 + \frac{\pi}{20}\rho\mathfrak{a}n - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (9.12)$$

where we first used that $18^2/40 - 8 = 1/10$ and then that $\rho\mathfrak{a}n - C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2} \geq -C\ell^3(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^\nu$ for $n > \rho\ell^3$.

Let us combine the cases $n \leq n_0$ and $n > n_0$ by inserting (9.8) and (9.12) into (9.6). Using that $L^3 = \ell^3 M_B$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{L^3} F_L(N) &\geq \frac{1}{\ell^3} f_{\text{Bog}}(\rho\ell^3, \ell) - C(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}(\rho\mathfrak{a}^3)^\nu \\ &\quad - \frac{T}{\ell^3} \log \left(\sum_{n \leq n_0} e^{-2\pi \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{T\ell^3} (n - \rho\ell^3)^2} + \sum_{n > n_0} e^{-\frac{\pi}{20} \frac{\rho\mathfrak{a}}{T} n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the last term above. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T}{\ell^3} \log \left(\sum_{n \leq n_0} e^{-2\pi \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{T\ell^3} (n - \rho\ell^3)^2} + \sum_{n > n_0} e^{-\frac{\pi}{20} \frac{\rho\mathfrak{a}}{T} n} \right) &\leq C \frac{T}{\ell^3} \log \left(n_0 + C(\rho\mathfrak{a}/T)^{-1} e^{-C \frac{\rho\mathfrak{a}}{T} n_0} \right) \\ &\leq C \frac{T}{\ell^3} \log \left(CY^{-1/2-3\kappa} + CY^{-\nu} e^{-CY^{-1/2-3\kappa+\nu}} \right) \leq C(\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2} Y^{3\kappa-\nu} |\log Y|. \end{aligned}$$

For the first inequality, we used that $e^{-\theta} \leq 1$ for $\theta \geq 0$ and that

$$\sum_{n > n_0} e^{-\theta n} = \frac{e^{-\theta(n_0+1)}}{1 - e^{-\theta}} \leq \theta^{-1} e^{-\theta n_0}$$

for $\theta > 0$. The second inequality follows from $\rho\ell^3 \lesssim n_0 \lesssim \rho\ell^3 = Y^{-1/2-3\kappa}$ and $T \leq (\rho\mathfrak{a})Y^{-\nu}$. To obtain the last inequality, it was used that $-1/2-3\kappa+\nu < 0$ and that $T\ell^{-3} \leq (\rho\mathfrak{a})^{5/2}Y^{3\kappa-\nu}$. The choice of ν concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Finally, let us provide the proof of Lemma 9.1.

Proof of Lemma 9.1. Recall the definition of g in (8.15). Let us start by proving (9.3). With the notation $\hbar = (T\ell^2)^{-1/2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{(T\ell^2)^{3/2}} \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \log \left(1 - e^{-\frac{-1}{T\ell^2} \sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi\rho\mathfrak{a}\ell^2 p^2}} \right) - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \log \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{p^4 + 16\pi \frac{\rho\mathfrak{a}}{T} p^2}} \right) dp \right| \\ &\leq C\hbar^4 \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} |\hbar p|^{-1} e^{-\frac{(\hbar p)^2}{2}} \leq C\hbar. \end{aligned} \quad (9.13)$$

Here we used that $\nabla_1 g$ satisfies the bound

$$|\nabla_1 g(p, q)| = \left| \frac{2p^2 p + qp}{\sqrt{p^4 + qp^2}} \frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{p^4 + qp^2}} - 1} \right| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{p^4 + qp^2}}{|p|(e^{\sqrt{p^4 + qp^2}} - 1)} \leq 2|p|^{-1} e^{-\frac{p^2}{2}},$$

since $z(e^z - 1)^{-1} \leq e^{-z/2}$ for $z > 0$. Note that the estimate (9.13) is uniform in $\hbar > 0$ and in particular does not require \hbar to be small. The desired estimate (9.3) is obtained by multiplying (9.13) with $T^{5/2}$.

Let us now turn to (9.4) and recall the bound (8.16) on $\partial_q g(p, q)$. Again with $\hbar = (T\ell^2)^{-1/2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| T \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \log(1 - e^{\frac{-1}{T\ell^2} \sqrt{p^4 + na\ell^{-1}p^2}}) - T \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \log(1 - e^{\frac{-1}{T\ell^2} \sqrt{p^4 + \rho a\ell^2 p^2}}) \right| \\ & \leq T \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \left| g\left(\hbar p, \frac{na}{T\ell^3}\right) - g\left(\hbar p, \frac{\rho a}{T}\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{a}{\ell^3} |n - \rho\ell^3| \sum_{p \in \pi\mathbb{N}_0^3 \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{q > 0} |\partial_q g(\hbar p, q)| \\ & \leq CT^{3/2} a |n - \rho\ell^3| \\ & \leq C \frac{a}{\ell^3} (n - \rho\ell^3)^2 Y^{1/4} + C\ell^3 T^3 a Y^{-1/4} \leq C \frac{a}{\ell^3} (n - \rho\ell^3)^2 Y^{1/4} + C\ell^3 (\rho a)^{5/2} Y^{1/4 - 3\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

In the second to last inequality, we used the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and in the last one we used that $T \leq (\rho a) Y^{-\nu}$. This concludes the proof of (9.4). \square

References

- [1] J. Agerskov, R. Reuvers, and J. P. Solovej. Ground state energy of dilute Bose gases in 1D. Preprint 2022. arXiv:2203.17183
- [2] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell. Observation of Bose–Einstein condensation in a dilute atomic vapor. *Science* 269 (1995), pp. 198–201.
- [3] G. Basti, S. Cenatiempo, and B. Schlein. A new second order upper bound for the ground state energy of dilute Bose gases. *Forum Math. Sigma* 9(e74) (2021), pp. 1–38.
- [4] C. Boccato, C. Brennecke, S. Cenatiempo, and B. Schlein. Bogoliubov theory in the Gross–Pitaevskii limit. *Acta Math.* 222 (2019), pp. 219–335.
- [5] C. Boccato and R. Seiringer. The Bose gas in a box with Neumann boundary conditions. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 24 (2023), pp. 1505–1560.
- [6] S. N. Bose. Plancks Gesetz und Lichtquantenhypothese. *Z. Phys.* 26 (1924), pp. 178–181.
- [7] N. N. Bogoliubov (1947). On the theory of superfluidity. *J. Phys. (USSR)* 11 (1947), pp. 23–32.
- [8] C. Brennecke, B. Schlein, and S. Schraven. Bogoliubov theory for trapped bosons in the Gross–Pitaevskii regime. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 23 (2022), pp. 1583–1658.

- [9] L. G. Brown and H. Kosaki. Jensen’s inequality in semi-finite von Neumann algebras. *J. Operator Theory* 23 (1990), pp. 3–19.
- [10] K. B. Davis, M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle. Bose–Einstein condensation in a gas of sodium atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75 (1995), pp. 3969–3973.
- [11] F. J. Dyson. Ground-state energy of a hard-sphere gas. *Phys. Rev.* 106 (1957), pp. 20–26.
- [12] A. Einstein. Quantentheorie des einatomigen idealen Gases. *Sitzungsberichte der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, I (1925), pp. 3–14.
- [13] S. Fournais, T. Girardot, L. Junge, L. Morin, M. Olivieri. The Ground State Energy of a Two-Dimensional Bose Gas. *Commun. Math. Phys.* (2024), 405(3), p. 59
- [14] S. Fournais and J. P. Solovej. The energy of dilute Bose gases. *Ann. of Math. (2)* (2020) 192(3), pp. 893–976 .
- [15] S. Fournais and J. P. Solovej. The energy of dilute Bose gases II: The general case. *Invent. Math.* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-022-01175-0>
- [16] P. Grech and R. Seiringer. The Excitation Spectrum for Weakly Interacting Bosons in a Trap. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 322 (2013), pp. 559–591.
- [17] C. Hainzl. Another proof of BEC in the GP-limit. *J. Math. Phys.* 62 (2021), p. 051901.
- [18] C. Hainzl, M. Lewin, and J. P. Solovej. The thermodynamic limit of quantum Coulomb systems. Part II. Applications. *Adv. Math.* 221 (2009), pp. 488–546.
- [19] C. Hainzl, B. Schlein, and A. Triay. Bogoliubov theory in the Gross–Pitaevskii limit: a simplified approach. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 10(e90) (2022), pp. 1–39.
- [20] T. D. Lee, K. Huang, and C. N. Yang. Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a Bose system of hard spheres and its low-temperature properties. *Phys. Rev.* 106(6) (1957), pp. 1135–1145.
- [21] M. Lewin. Geometric methods for nonlinear many-body quantum systems. *J. Funct. Anal.* 260 (2011), pp. 3535–3595.
- [22] M. Lewin, P. T. Nam, S. Serfaty, and J. P. Solovej. Bogoliubov spectrum of interacting Bose gases. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 68 (2015), pp. 413–471.
- [23] E. H. Lieb and M. B. Ruskai. Proof of the strong subadditivity of quantum-mechanical entropy. *J. Math. Phys.* 14 (1973), pp. 1938–1941.
- [24] E. H. Lieb and R. Seiringer. Proof of Bose-Einstein Condensation for Dilute Trapped Gases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88 (2002), p. 170409.
- [25] E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J. P. Solovej, and J. Yngvason. *The mathematics of the Bose gas and its condensation*. Oberwolfach Seminars. Birkhäuser, 2005.
- [26] E. H. Lieb and J. P. Solovej. Ground state energy of the one-component charged Bose gas. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 217(1) (2001), pp. 127–163.
- [27] E. H. Lieb and J. Yngvason. Ground state energy of the low density Bose gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 (1998), pp. 2504–2507.

- [28] P. T. Nam, J. Ricaud, and Arnaud Triay. The condensation of a trapped dilute Bose gas with three-body interactions. *Prob. Math. Phys.*, 4(1) (2023), pp. 91–149.
- [29] P. T. Nam and A. Triay. Bogoliubov excitation spectrum of trapped Bose gases in the Gross–Pitaevskii regime. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 176 (2023), pp. 18–101.
- [30] R. Seiringer. Free energy of a dilute Bose gas: lower bound. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 279 (2008), pp. 595–636.
- [31] R. Seiringer. The excitation spectrum for weakly interacting bosons. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 306 (2011), pp. 565–578.
- [32] H.-T. Yau and J. Yin. The second order upper bound for the ground energy of a Bose gas. *J. Stat. Phys.* 136 (2009), pp. 453–503.
- [33] J. Yin. Free energies of dilute Bose gases: upper bound. *J. Stat. Phys.* 141 (2010), pp. 683–726.