ON THE EXISTENCE OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC ENERGY TENSOR IN SECOND ORDER LOVELOCK GRAVITIES

R. MARTÍNEZ-BOHÓRQUEZ

ABSTRACT. In this work we prove that there are no electromagnetic energy tensors in second order Lovelock gravities that verify properties equivalent to those of the Maxwell electromagnetic energy tensor in General Relativity.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Natural tensors	3
2.2. Invariant theory of the orthogonal group	4
3. Main Theorem	5
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic fields on Lorentzian manifolds (X, g) can be represented by differential 2-forms F on X that verify Maxwell's equations. The electromagnetic energy tensor is then constructed with the data of both the metric g and the 2-form F in a natural way, meaning that the resulting tensor should not depend on the choice of coordinates on the manifold.

The electromagnetic energy tensor in General Relativity is the well-known Maxwell tensor. In a local system of coordinates, it is given by the expression¹

(1.1)
$$E_{ij} := F_{ik}F_j^{\ k} - \frac{1}{4}F^{kl}F_{kl}g_{ij} \ .$$

Many characterizations of the Maxwell tensor have been produced in the past; particularly relevant are those by D. Lovelock ([13, 14, 15, 16]), I.M. Anderson ([1]) and D.B. Kerrighan ([9]). In recent times, a description involving the dependence on the unit of time-length has been given by Navarro-Sancho ([19]):

Date: August 24, 2023.

The author has been partially supported by Junta de Extremadura and FEDER funds with projects IB18087, GR18001 and GR21055, and has been supported by the grant "Plan Propio de Iniciación a la Investigación, Desarrollo Tecnológico e Innovación" of Universidad de Extremadura.

¹The standard Einstein summation convention will be used throughout this text.

Theorem ([19]). The Maxwell energy tensor E is the only 2-covariant tensor T = T(g, F)naturally associated to a Lorentzian metric g and a 2-form F, satisfying the following properties:

- (1) At any point, $F_x = 0$ implies $T_x = 0$.
- (2) If dF = 0, then div $T = -i_{\partial F}F$, where ∂F denotes the codifferential of F.
- (3) T is independent of the unit of scale; that is, $T(\lambda^2 g, \lambda F) = T(g, F)$ for any $\lambda > 0$.

These properties possess physical meaning: condition (1) forces the dependence of the electromagnetic energy tensor on the existence of electromagnetic field, and condition (2) is due to the Lorentz force law, assuring that the total energy-momentum tensor (the sum of the contributions of matter and electromagnetism) is zero, thus preserving the principle of conservation of energy.

Condition (3) differentiates this characterization of the Maxwell tensor from the aforementioned classical results. It is an homogeneity condition that originates from the fact that the electromagnetic energy tensor is coupled with the Einstein tensor, and thus the dimensional units should be coherent (see [19] for further details).

In this work, we study whether an electromagnetic energy tensor can be defined in second order Lovelock gravities, verifying properties equivalent to the above.

Recall that Lovelock gravities are generalizations of General Relativity to higher dimensions, where other tensors (known as the Lovelock tensors L_k) of second order in the derivatives of the metric appear as possible candidates to describe the distribution of matter in dimensions greater than 2k. These tensors qualitatively differ from the Einstein tensor in their degree of homogeneity: whereas the Einstein tensor is linear in the second derivatives of the metric, higher order Lovelock tensors are homogeneous polynomials of degree k in the second derivatives of the metric.

Precisely, a change of the unit of time-length by a factor of a non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. a constant rescaling of the metric g by a factor λ^2) modifies the k-th Lovelock tensor L_k by a factor of λ^{2-2k} :

$$L_k(\lambda^2 g) = \lambda^{2-2k} L_k(g) .$$

In other words, the k-th Lovelock tensor is homogeneous of weight 2 - 2k.

The simplest Lovelock tensor after the metric tensor itself (L_0) and the Einstein tensor (L_1) is the Lovelock tensor L_2 of order 2, also known as the Lanczos-Lovelock tensor:

$$(L_2)_{ij} = -R_{iabc}R_j^{\ abc} + 2\operatorname{Ric}^{ab}R_{iajb} + 2\operatorname{Ric}_{ia}\operatorname{Ric}^a_{\ j} - r\operatorname{Ric}_{ij} + \frac{1}{4}\left(R_{abcd}R^{abcd} - 4\operatorname{Ric}_{ab}\operatorname{Ric}^{ab} + r^2\right)g_{ij}$$

where R denotes the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor, Ric denotes the Ricci curvature tensor and r denotes the scalar curvature.

We prove (Theorem 3.1 and its corollary) that there exists no natural 2-tensor associated to metrics and 2-forms that verifies the following properties:

(1) If $F_x = 0$, then $T_x = 0$.

- (2) div $T = -i_{\partial F}F$ whenever F is closed, where ∂F denotes the codifferential of F.
- (3) The dimensional units of T are coherent; that is to say, that they coincide with those of the Lanczos-Lovelock tensor L_2 . In mathematical terms, it means that T should be homogeneous of relative weight (1, -2), that is, for any non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds that²

$$T(\lambda^2 g, \lambda F) = \lambda^{-2} T(g, F)$$

Therefore, an electromagnetic energy tensor T which can be coupled to the Lanzcos-Lovelock tensor that verifies the corresponding properties to the Maxwell tensor in General Relativity does not exist.

In order to prove this statement, we will make use of several deep results of invariant theory, which can be found in the essential monograph by Kolář-Michor-Slovák ([12]) and were rewritten to a more modern language by Navarro-Sancho ([17, 18]). Invariant theory has long been utilized in the research of natural constructions and field equations in Physics, on of the first examples being deeply rooted in the origins of General Relativity, where the fundamental characterization of the Einstein tensor was obtained by Vermeil [21] (and independently later by Cartan [2]). Since then, it has been determinant in several characterizations of electromagnetic energy tensors as explained above, as well as in quantum field theory ([10, 11]).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Natural tensors. Let X be a smooth *n*-manifold. Let \mathcal{M} be the sheaf of pseudoriemannian metrics of fixed signature (s_+, s_-) over X, let Λ^2 be the sheaf of 2-forms over X and let \mathcal{T}_p be the sheaf of *p*-covariant tensors over X.

Definition 2.1. A natural tensor $T : \mathcal{M} \times \Lambda^2 \to \mathcal{T}_p$ (associated to metrics and 2-forms) is a regular³ morphism of sheaves such that, for any local diffeomorphism $\tau : U \to V$, it holds that

$$T(\tau^*g,\tau^*F) = \tau^*T(g,F) \,.$$

Definition 2.2. Let $a, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that a natural tensor $T : \mathcal{M} \times \Lambda^2 \to \mathcal{T}_p$ is homogeneous of relative weight (a, w) if, for all non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds that:

$$T(\lambda^2 g, \lambda^a F) = \lambda^w T(g, F)$$
.

Definition 2.3. Let $m \ge 1$ be an integer. The space N_m of normal tensors of order m at x is the vector subspace of m + 2-covariant tensors T at x satisfying the following symmetries:

²Recall that a change of the unit of time-length by a factor of λ also modifies the electromagnetic field F by a factor of λ (see [19]).

 $^{^{3}}$ In this context, regularity is a technical condition that refers to the smoothness of the morphism, and its rigorous definition has been omitted for simplicity. The interested reader can check [12, 17].

R. MARTÍNEZ-BOHÓRQUEZ

(1) they are symmetric in the first two and the last m covariant indices:

(2.1)
$$T_{ijk_1...k_m} = T_{jik_1...k_m} , \quad T_{ijk_1...k_m} = T_{ijk_{\sigma(1)}...k_{\sigma(m)}} , \quad \forall \ \sigma \in S_m ;$$

(2) the cyclic sum of the last m+1 covariant indices is zero:

(2.2)
$$T_{ijk_1...k_m} + T_{ik_mjk_1...k_{m-1}} + \ldots + T_{ik_1...k_mj} = 0.$$

For m = 0, the space N_0 is defined as the set of pseudo-riemannian metrics of the fixed signature (s_+, s_-) at x, and for m = 1 it holds that $N_1 = 0$ due to the symmetries.

These spaces recover the symmetries of a metric tensor and its partial derivatives at a point in normal coordinates. In the same vein, the symmetries of a 2-form and its derivatives are given by the spaces $V_r := \Lambda^2 T_x^* X \otimes S^r T_x^* X$.

Theorem 2.4 ([17]). Let X be a smooth manifold of dimension n, let \mathcal{M} denote the sheaves of pseudo-riemannian metrics of fixed signature (s_+, s_-) , let Λ^2 be the sheaf of 2-forms over X and let \mathcal{T}_p be the sheaf of p-covariant tensors. Let $a, w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If we fix a point $x \in X$ and a pseudo-riemannian metric g_x of fixed signature (s_+, s_-) at x, there exists a \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}Natural \ tensors\\\mathcal{M}\times\Lambda^2\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_p\\homogeneous\ of\ relative\ weight\ (a,w)\end{array}\right\}$$
$$\left\|\\\bigoplus_{d_i,c_j} Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{gx}}(S^{d_2}N_2\otimes\ldots\otimes S^{d_r}N_r\otimes S^{c_0}V_0\otimes\ldots\otimes S^{c_s}V_s,\bigotimes^p T_x^*X)\right.$$

where d_2, \ldots, d_r run over the non-negative integer solutions of the equation

$$2d_2 + \ldots + rd_r + (2-a)c_0 + \ldots + (2+s-a)c_s = p - w$$

and where $O_{g_x} := \{ d_x \tau : \tau \in Diff_p : \tau_{*,x} g_x = g_x \}.$

2.2. Invariant theory of the orthogonal group. Let V be a real vector space of finite dimension n, let g be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on V and let $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the orthogonal group: the real Lie group of \mathbb{R} -linear automorphisms $V \to V$ that preserve g.

The First Fundamental Theorem of the orthogonal group $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ allows us to compute $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant linear maps:

Theorem 2.5 ([3]). The real vector space $\operatorname{Hom}_{O(n,\mathbb{R})}(V \otimes .^{p} . \otimes V, \mathbb{R})$ of invariant linear forms on $V \otimes \ldots \otimes V$ is null if p is odd, whereas if p is even it is spanned by

$$g_{\sigma}((e_1,\ldots,e_p)) := g(e_{\sigma(1)},e_{\sigma(2)})\ldots g(e_{\sigma(p-1)},e_{\sigma(p)})$$

where $\sigma \in S_p$.

We will also require the following facts:

Proposition 2.6 ([8]). Let E and F be (algebraic) linear representations of $O(n, \mathbb{R})$.

- There exists a linear isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}(n,\mathbb{R})}(E,F) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}(n,\mathbb{R})}(E \otimes F^*,\mathbb{R}).$
- If $W \subseteq E$ is a sub-representation, then any equivariant linear map $W \to F$ is the restriction of an equivariant linear map $E \to F$.

3. Main Theorem

For the remainder of this section, let X be a smooth manifold of dimension $n \ge 5$.

Theorem 3.1. There are no non-zero natural 2-tensors T(g, F) associated to metrics and 2-forms that verify the following conditions:

- (a) If $F_x = 0$, then $T_x = 0$.
- (b) T is homogeneous of relative weight (1, -2).
- (c) T is divergence-free.

Proof: Let us invoke Theorem 2.4, which describes all natural *p*-tensors associated to metrics and 2-forms:

where $d_2, \ldots, d_r, c_0, \ldots, c_s$ are non-negative integers running over the solutions of the equation

$$2d_2 + \ldots + rd_r + (2-a)c_0 + \ldots + (2+s-a)c_s = p - w$$
.

Substituting a = 1, p = 2 and w = -2 in the equation above leaves us with:

$$2d_2 + \ldots + rd_r + c_0 + \ldots + (s+1)c_s = 4$$

The only solutions are 4 :

(1) $d_2 = 1, c_0 = 2.$ (2) $d_2 = 1, c_1 = 1.$ (3) $d_2 = 2.$ (4) $d_3 = 1, c_0 = 1.$ (5) $d_4 = 1.$ (6) $c_0 = 4.$ (7) $c_1 = 2.$ (8) $c_0 = 2, c_1 = 1.$ (9) $c_0 = 1, c_2 = 1.$

⁴Only non-zero coefficients are shown.

(10) $c_3 = 1.$

Out of the 10 solutions, we can immediately discard a few of them: the natural tensors proceeding from any solution with $c_0 = 0$, due to hypothesis (a). This eliminates solutions (2), (3), (5), (7) and (10).

For the remaining 5 solutions, we analyse the associated O_{g_x} -equivariant maps. All of these maps are equivalent (Proposition 2.6) to a O_{g_x} -invariant map of the form

$$S^{d_2}N_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes S^{d_r}N_r \otimes S^{c_0}V_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes S^{c_s}V_s \otimes T_xX \otimes T_xX \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which allows us to use Theorem 2.5 in order to do the computations.

Directly from the application of the theorem, it follows that the only map associated to solutions (4) and (8) is the null map, as there is an odd amount of indices. Therefore, let us describe the generators of maps associated to solutions (1), (6) and (9):

• $d_2 = 1, c_0 = 2$: As N_2 is isomorphic to the space of curvature-like tensors \mathcal{R} , we consider O_{g_x} -equivariant maps

$$\mathcal{R} \otimes S^2 V_0 \longrightarrow T^*_x X \otimes T^*_x X$$
.

Due to Theorem 2.5, the generators are every non-zero combination of multiplying by g, g^{-1} and contracting indices of the expression

$$R_{ijkl} F_{ab} F_{cd}$$

resulting in a 2-tensor, where R_{ijkl} denotes the Riemann-Christoffel tensor of g. Taking into account the symmetries of the expression above, the list of generators is reduced to the following (Ric denotes the Ricci curvature 2-tensor) :

$$- (T_1)_{ij} = R_{iabc} F_j^a F^{bc}.
- (T_2)_{ij} = (T_2)_{ji} = R_{jabc} F_i^a F^{bc}.
- (T_3)_{ij} = R_{abcd} F^{ab} F^{cd} g_{ij}.
- (T_4)_{ij} = R_{iajb} F_c^a F^{bc}.
- (T_5)_{ij} = F_{ab} F^{ab} \operatorname{Ric}_{ij}.
- (T_6)_{ij} = \operatorname{Ric}_{ia} F_{jb} F^{ab}.
- (T_7)_{ij} = (T_6)_{ji} = \operatorname{Ric}_{ja} F_{ib} F^{ab}.
- (T_8)_{ij} = \operatorname{Ric}_{ab} F_i^a F_j^b.
- (T_9)_{ij} = \operatorname{Ric}_{ab} F_c^a F^{cb} g_{ij}.
- (T_{10})_{ij} = r F_{ia} F_j^a.
- (T_{11})_{ij} = r F_{ab} F^{ab} g_{ij}.
- 4: Now we look at the O_a -equivalent
- (T_1)_{ij} = T_{ij} F_{ab} F^{ab} g_{ij}.
- (T_1)_{ij} = T_{ij} F_{ab} F^{ab} g_{ij}.$$

• $c_0 = 4$: Now we look at the O_{g_x} -equivariant maps

$$S^4V_0 \longrightarrow T^*_x X \otimes T^*_x X$$
.

Again, by Theorem 2.5 we must analyse the index contraction of the expression

$$F_{ij} F_{kl} F_{ab} F_{cd}$$

obtaining:

$$- (T_{12})_{ij} = F_{ab} F^{ab} F_{ic} F_j^c. - (T_{13})_{ij} = F_c^a F^{bc} F_{ia} F_{jb}. - (T_{14})_{ij} = F_{ab} F^{ab} F_{cd} F^{cd} g_{ij}. - (T_{15})_{ij} = F_{ab} F^{bc} F_{cd} F^{da} g_{ij}.$$

• $c_0 = 1, c_2 = 1$: Lastly, we check the O_{g_x} -equivariant maps

$$V_0 \otimes V_2 \longrightarrow T_x^* X \otimes T_x^* X$$
,

corresponding to index contraction of the expression

$$F_{ij,kl} F_{ab}$$
,

where $F_{ij,kl}$ denotes the coordinates of the normal tensor of order 2 of F.

The possibilities now go as follows:

$$- (T_{16})_{ij} = F_{ia,jb} F^{ab}.$$

$$- (T_{17})_{ij} = F_{ab,ij} F^{ab}.$$

$$- (T_{18})_{ij} = F_{ia,b}{}^{b} F_{j}{}^{a}.$$

$$- (T_{19})_{ij} = F_{ia,b}{}^{a} F_{j}{}^{b}.$$

$$- (T_{20})_{ij} = F_{ab,c}{}^{c} F^{ab}g_{ij}.$$

$$- (T_{21})_{ij} = F_{ab,c}{}^{b} F^{ac}g_{ij}.$$

To summarize, the 21 tensors listed above generate every natural 2-tensor associated to metrics and 2-forms verifying conditions (a), (b) and (c). Therefore, in order to prove the thesis stated in the theorem, we must check that there are no divergence-free 2-tensors generated by the ones on the list. Equivalently, it is enough to prove that their divergences are linearly independent.

As we are working with natural tensors and their divergences are also natural tensors, if we find an example of a manifold equipped with a metric and a 2-form in which the 21 divergences are linearly independent, then they automatically are linearly independent as natural tensors.

The following example checks out: for n = 5, consider the manifold

$$X := \{ (x_1, \dots, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : x_2 > 0, \ x_3 > 0, \ x_4 > 0 \}$$

equipped with the metric

 $g = \mathrm{d}x_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_1 + \mathrm{d}x_2 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_2 + \mathrm{d}x_3 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_3 + x_2 x_3 x_4 \left(\mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 + \mathrm{d}x_5 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_5\right)$

and the 2-form

$$F = \left(x_1^2 x_3^2\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \, \mathrm{d}x_2 + \mathrm{d}x_3 \wedge \, \mathrm{d}x_4$$

In this setting, the 21 tensors have the following expression:

$$\begin{split} T_1 &= -\frac{1}{2x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4} \, dx_2 \otimes dx_3 + \frac{1}{2x_2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 + \frac{x_1^2 x_3}{2x_2} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_1 + \frac{1}{2x_3^2} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \;, \\ T_2 &= \frac{x_1^2 x_3}{2x_2} \, dx_1 \otimes dx_4 - \frac{1}{2x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_2 + \frac{1}{2x_2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 + \frac{1}{2x_3^2} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \;, \\ T_3 &= \frac{1}{x_2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_1 \otimes dx_1 + \frac{1}{x_2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_2 \otimes dx_2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{x_2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 + \frac{dx_4 \otimes dx_4}{x_3^2} + \frac{dx_5 \otimes dx_5}{x_3^2} \;, \\ T_4 &= \frac{1}{4x_2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 + \frac{dx_4 x_4^3 x_4^3 x_7^2 + x_2}{4x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \\ &+ \frac{1}{x_2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 + \frac{x_4 x_1^4 x_3^7 + x_2}{4x_2 x_3^2} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \\ &+ \frac{x_2 x_1^4 x_3^5 x_4^4 - x_3 x_4^3 + 2x_2}{4x_2 x_3 x_4^3} \, dx_5 \otimes dx_5 \;, \\ T_5 &= -\frac{x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1}{x_2^2 x_3 x_4^3} \, dx_2 \otimes dx_2 + \frac{x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1}{x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 \\ &+ \frac{x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1}{x_2 x_3 x_4^3} \, dx_2 \otimes dx_2 - \frac{x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1}{x_2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_5 \otimes dx_5 \;, \\ T_6 &= -\frac{x_4^4 x_3}{x_2 x_3 x_4^3} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_2 - \frac{1}{2x_2 x_3 x_4^3} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \;, \\ T_7 &= -\frac{x_1^4 x_3^4}{2x_2^2} \, dx_2 \otimes dx_2 + \frac{x_1^4 x_3^3}{2x_2} \, dx_2 \otimes dx_3 + \frac{x_1^4 x_3^3}{2x_2} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_2 \\ &- \frac{1}{2x_2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 - \frac{1}{2x_2 x_3 x_4^3} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \;, \\ T_7 &= -\frac{x_1^4 x_3^4}{2x_2^2} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 - \frac{x_1^2 x_3}{2x_2} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \;, \\ T_8 &= -\frac{x_1^4 x_3^4}{2x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 - \frac{1}{2x_2 x_3} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \;, \\ T_9 &= -\frac{x_1^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 + x_3 + x_2 x_4^3}{2x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 - \frac{x_1^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 + x_3 + x_2 x_4^3}{2x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 - \frac{x_1^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 + x_3 + x_2 x_4^3}{2x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \;, \\ T_9 &= -\frac{x_1^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 + x_3 + x_2 x_4^3}{2x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 - \frac{x_1^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 + x_3 + x_2 x_4^3}{2x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \;, \\ -\frac{x_1^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 + x_3 + x_2 x_4^3}{2x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, dx_3 \otimes dx_3 - \frac{x_1^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 + x_3 + x_2 x_4^3}{2x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, dx_4 \otimes dx_4 \;, \\ -\frac{x_1^4 x_3^7 x_4^4$$

$$\begin{split} T_{10} &= -\frac{x_1^4 x_3^2 \left(x_2^2 x_1^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3\right)}{2 x_2^3 x_4^3} \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_1 \\ &- \frac{x_1^4 x_3^2 \left(x_2^2 x_4^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3\right)}{2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_3 - \frac{x_2^2 x_4^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3}{2 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^3} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \ , \\ T_{11} &= -\frac{(x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1) \left(x_2^2 x_4^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3\right)}{x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_2 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_2 \\ &- \frac{(x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1) \left(x_2^2 x_4^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3\right)}{x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_2 \\ &- \frac{(x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1) \left(x_2^2 x_4^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3\right)}{x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4} \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_2 \\ &- \frac{(x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1) \left(x_2^2 x_4^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3\right)}{x_2^2 x_2^3 x_4^3} \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_3 \\ &- \frac{(x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1) \left(x_2^2 x_4^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3\right)}{x_2^2 x_2^3 x_4^3} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &- \frac{(x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1) \left(x_2^2 x_4^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3\right)}{x_2^2 x_2^2 x_4^2} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &- \frac{(x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1) \left(x_2^2 x_4^3 + 2x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_4^3\right)}{x_2 x_3 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &+ \frac{2 x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1}{x_2 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &+ \frac{2 x_1^4 x_3^3 \left(x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1\right)}{x_2 x_3 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &+ \frac{4 \left(x_2 x_4 x_1^4 x_3^5 + 1\right)^2}{x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2} \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{2 \left(x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1\right)^2}{x_2 x_3 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &+ \frac{4 \left(x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1\right)^2}{x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2} \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{4 \left(x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1\right)^2}{x_2 x_3 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &+ \frac{4 \left(x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1\right)^2}{x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2} \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{2 \left(x_1^8 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4 + x_3 + x_1^3 x_3^3 + 1\right)^2}{x_2 x_3 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &+ \frac{4 \left(x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1\right)^2}{x_2 x_3 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{2 \left(x_1^8 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^2 + 1\right)}{x_2 x_3 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &+ \frac{4 \left(x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1\right)^2}{x_2 x_3 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{2 \left(x_1^8 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^2 + 1\right)}{x_2 x_3 x_4} \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &+ \frac{4 \left(x_2 x_4 x_4^4 x_3^5 + 1$$

R. MARTÍNEZ-BOHÓRQUEZ

$$\begin{split} T_{20} &= \left(4 \, x_1^2 \, x_3^2 \, \left(x_1^2 + x_3^2\right)\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \, + \left(4 \, x_1^2 \, x_3^2 \, \left(x_1^2 + x_3^2\right)\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \\ &+ \left(4 \, x_1^2 \, x_3^2 \, \left(x_1^2 + x_3^2\right)\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \, + \left(4 \, x_1^2 \, x_2 \, x_3^3 \, x_4 \, \left(x_1^2 + x_3^2\right)\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \\ &+ \left(4 \, x_1^2 \, x_2 \, x_3^3 \, x_4 \, \left(x_1^2 + x_3^2\right)\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_5 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_5 \, , \\ T_{21} &= \left(2 \, x_1^2 \, x_3^4\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \, + \left(2 \, x_1^2 \, x_3^4\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \, + \left(2 \, x_1^2 \, x_3^4\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \\ &+ \left(2 \, x_1^2 \, x_2 \, x_3^5 \, x_4\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_4 \, + \left(2 \, x_1^2 \, x_2 \, x_3^5 \, x_4\right) \, \mathrm{d}x_5 \, \otimes \, \mathrm{d}x_5 \, . \end{split}$$

Their divergences are expressed, in the same coordinates, as follows:

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{div} T_1 = -\frac{1}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_2 - \frac{5\,x_2^2 - 2\,x_3^2}{4\,x_2^3\,x_3^4\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 - \frac{1}{4\,x_2\,x_3^3\,x_4^2}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &\operatorname{div} T_2 = \frac{1}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_2 - \frac{5}{4\,x_2\,x_3^4\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{4\,x_1\,x_3^4\,x_4^2 - 1}{4\,x_2\,x_3^3\,x_4^2}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &\operatorname{div} T_3 = -\frac{1}{x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_2 - \frac{3}{x_2\,x_3^4\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 - \frac{1}{x_2\,x_3^3\,x_4^2}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &\operatorname{div} T_4 = -\frac{2\,x_1^4\,x_2\,x_3^7\,x_4^4 - x_2^2\,x_4^3 + 2\,x_2\,x_3 + 3\,x_3^2\,x_4^3}{8\,x^2\,x_3^3\,x_4^4}\,\mathrm{d}x_2 \\ &- \frac{2\,x_1^4\,x_2\,x_3^7\,x_4^4 + 5\,x_2^2\,x_4^3 + 2\,x_2\,x_3 - 3\,x_3^2\,x_4^3}{8\,x^3\,x_3^4\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 \\ &- \frac{2\,x_1^4\,x_2\,x_3^7\,x_4^4 + 5\,x_2^2\,x_4^3 + 2\,x_2\,x_3 - 3\,x_3^2\,x_4^3}{8\,x^3\,x_3^3\,x_4^4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 \\ &- \frac{2\,x_1^4\,x_2\,x_3^5\,x_4^4 + x_1^4\,x_2^2\,x_3^6\,x_4 + x_1^4\,x_2\,x_3^7\,x_4^4 - x_2^2\,x_4^3 + x_2\,x_3 + 2\,x_3^2\,x_4^3}{8\,x^3\,x_3^4\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 \\ &+ \frac{-3\,x_1^4\,x_2^3\,x_3^5\,x_4^4 + x_1^4\,x_2^2\,x_3^6\,x_4 + x_1^4\,x_2\,x_3^7\,x_4^4 - x_2^2\,x_4^3 + x_2\,x_3 - x_3^2\,x_4^3}{x_2^4\,x_3^3\,x_4^4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 \\ &+ \frac{-3\,x_1^4\,x_2^3\,x_3^5\,x_4 + 4}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^4\,x_4^4}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &\operatorname{div} T_6 = \frac{8\,x_1^4\,x_2^2\,x_3^4\,x_4^4 + 2\,x_1^4\,x_3^6\,x_4^4 + 1}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4^4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{7}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^2\,x_4^5}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &\operatorname{div} T_7 = \frac{2\,x_1^4\,x_3^7\,x_4^4 - 2\,x_2\,x_4^3 + x_3}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4^4}\,\mathrm{d}x_2 + \frac{4\,x_2\,x_4^3 + x_3}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4^4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{7}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4^5}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &\operatorname{div} T_8 = -\frac{2\,x_1^3\,x_3^4}{4\,x_2^3\,x_3^3\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_1 + \frac{1}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_2 + \frac{4\,x_2\,x_4^3 + 2\,x_3}{4\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 - \frac{4\,x_1\,x_3^4\,x_4^2 - 1}{4\,x_2\,x_3^3\,x_4^2}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &\operatorname{div} T_9 = -\frac{2\,x_1^3\,x_3^4}{x_2^2}\,\mathrm{d}x_1 + \frac{2\,x_1^4\,x_3^7\,x_4^4 + x_2\,x_4^3 + 2\,x_3}{2\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{2\,x_2^4\,x_4^3 + 4\,x_3}{2\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4^5}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &+ \frac{-4\,x_1^4\,x_3^7\,x_4^4 + 3\,x_2\,x_4^3 + 2\,x_3}{2\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_3 + \frac{2\,x_2^4\,x_4^3 + 4\,x_3}{2\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4^5}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &\operatorname{div} T_9 = -\frac{2\,x_1^3\,x_3^4}{x_2^2}\,\mathrm{d}x_1 + \frac{2\,x_1^4\,x_3^7\,x_4^4 + x_2\,x_4^3 + 2\,x_3}{2\,x_2^2\,x_3^3\,x_4}\,\mathrm{d}x_4 \ ,\\ &\operatorname{div} T_9 = -$$

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{div} T_{10} = -\frac{2\,x_{1}^{3}\,x_{3}^{2}\,(x_{2}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3} + 2\,x_{2}\,x_{3} + x_{3}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3})}{x_{2}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3}}\,dx_{1} \\ & + \frac{-2\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}^{3}\,x_{3}^{5}\,x_{4}^{4} + 2\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}\,x_{3}^{7}\,x_{4}^{4} + x_{2}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3} + 2\,x_{2}\,x_{3} + x_{3}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3}}{4\,x_{2}^{4}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{4}} \,dx_{2}^{4}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{4} \\ & + \frac{5\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3} + 6\,x_{2}\,x_{3} + x_{2}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3}}{4\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{4}} \,dx_{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{5}} \,dx_{1} \\ & \operatorname{div} T_{11} = -\frac{4\,x_{1}^{3}\,x_{3}^{2}\,(x_{2}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3} + 2\,x_{2}\,x_{3} + x_{3}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3})}{x_{2}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3}} \,dx_{1} \\ & + \frac{2\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{6}\,x_{4} + 2\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}\,x_{3}^{7}\,x_{4}^{4} + x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{3} + 3\,x_{3}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3}}{x_{2}^{4}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{4}} \,dx_{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{3} \,dx_{2} \\ & + \frac{-2\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{6}\,x_{4} + 2\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}\,x_{3}^{5}\,x_{4}^{4} + 2\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{4} + x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{4} + x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{4} \,dx_{4} \\ & x_{2}^{4}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{3}^{5}\,x_{4}^{4} - 6\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{6}\,x_{4} - 4\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}\,x_{3}^{7}\,x_{4}^{4} + 3\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3} + 4\,x_{2}\,x_{3} + x_{3}^{2}\,x_{4}^{3} \,dx_{2} \\ & + \frac{6\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{6}\,x_{4} + x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{4}\,x_{4} + x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{4}\,x_{4}^{4}\,dx_{4} \\ & x_{2}^{3}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{5} \,dx_{4} \\ & \operatorname{div} T_{12} = \frac{8\,x_{1}^{3}\,x_{3}^{3}\,(2\,x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}\,x_{3}^{5}\,x_{4} + 1)}{x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{2}} \,dx_{4} \,, \\ & \operatorname{div} T_{13} = \left(-8\,x_{1}^{7}\,x_{3}^{8}\,)\,dx_{1} - \frac{2\,x_{1}^{8}\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{10}\,x_{4}^{2} + \frac{3}{2\,x_{2}^{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{2}} \,dx_{4} \,, \\ & \operatorname{div} T_{14} = \frac{32\,x_{1}^{3}\,x_{3}^{3}\,(x_{1}^{4}\,x_{2}\,x_{3}^{5}\,x_{4} + 1)}{x_{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,x_{4}^{2}} \,dx_{4} \,, \\ & \operatorname{div} T_{16} = \left(-8\,x_{1}\,x_{3}^{3}\,)\,dx_{1} + \left(-12\,x_{1}^{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,dx_{4} \,, \\ & \operatorname{div} T_{16} = \left(-6\,x_{1}\,x_{3}^{3}\,)\,dx_{1} \,, \left(-12\,x_{1}^{2}\,x_{3}^{3}\,dx_{4}^{2} \,, \\ & \operatorname{div} T_{16} = \left(-4\,x_{1}\,x_{3}^{3}\,dx_{4} \,, \\ & \operatorname{div} T_{16} = \left(-4\,x_{1}\,x_{3}^{3}\,dx$$

It can be checked that these divergences are $\mathbb R\text{-linearly}$ independent, finishing the proof. $\hfill \Box$

Corollary 3.2. There exists no natural 2-tensor T associated to metrics and 2-forms that verifies the following properties:

- (a) If $F_x = 0$, then $T_x = 0$.
- (b) T is homogeneous of relative weight (1, -2)

11

(c) div $T = -i_{\partial F} F$.

Proof: Let *E* be the Maxwell tensor, as defined in Equation 1.1. Recall that div $E = -i_{\partial F}F$, and so by the same arguments as above it suffices to find an example of a manifold equipped with a metric and a 2-form in which div *E* and the divergences of the 21 tensors found in the proof of Theorem 3.1 are \mathbb{R} -linearly independent. The same example works: defining *X*, *g* and *F* as in the previous proof, the tensor *E* and its divergence are expressed as

$$E = -\frac{1+3x_1^4 x_2 x_3^5 x_4}{2 x_2 x_3 x_4} dx_1 \otimes dx_1 - \frac{1+3x_1^4 x_2 x_3^5 x_4}{2 x_2 x_3 x_4} dx_2 \otimes dx_2 - \frac{3+x_1^4 x_2 x_3^5 x_4}{2 x_2 x_3 x_4} dx_3 \otimes dx_3 - \frac{3+x_1^4 x_2 x_3^5 x_4}{2} dx_4 \otimes dx_4 - \frac{1+x_1^4 x_2 x_3^5 x_4}{2} dx_5 \otimes dx_5 , div E = \left(-6x_1^3 x_3^4\right) dx_1 - \frac{-1+x_1^4 x_2 x_3^5 x_4}{x_2^2 x_3 x_4} dx_2 - \frac{-1+2x_1^4 x_2 x_3^5 x_4}{x_2 x_3^2 x_4} dx_3 + \frac{1}{x_2 x_3 x_4^2} dx_4,$$

which is \mathbb{R} -linearly independent with the divergences obtained in Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.3. Although we have not required the 2-form F to be closed, it is always the case that dF = 0, due to the Maxwell equations. The reader could then ask whether adding this condition changes in a meaningful way the previous results.

The answer is that both results are still valid after supposing that dF = 0. Let us provide a brief overview on how the proof goes: observe that a variant of Theorem 2.4 that computes natural tensors associated to metrics and closed 2-forms can be proven, utilizing the techniques developed in [6] and adding the symmetry

$$F_{ij,k} + F_{jk,i} + F_{ki,j} = 0$$

to the definition of the spaces V_r .

Then, the proof of Theorem 3.1 stays the same, taking into account that T_{17} and T_{21} become constant multiples of T_{16} and T_{20} respectively, due to the new symmetry. The example (X, g, \bar{F}) suffices, where X and g are defined in the same way and $\bar{F} := (x_1^2 x_3^2) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 - \frac{2x_1^3 x_3}{3} dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + dx_3 \wedge dx_4$.

In the example (X, g, \overline{F}) , div E is still linearly independent of the rest of divergences, and so the proof of the corollary would remain essentially unchanged.

Remark 3.4. The computations in the proofs above of the expressions of the tensors and divergences in the chosen manifold, as well as their linear independence, have been performed by the mathematical software MATLAB R2020a.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Professor J. Navarro-Garmendia for his helpful insights during the development of this work.

12

References

- Anderson, I.M. On the characterization of energy-momentum tensors, Gen. Relativity Gravitation 10, 461-–466, (1979).
- [2] Cartan, E.: Sur les équations de la gravitation d'Einstein, Jour. de Math. 2, 141—203 (1922).
- [3] Goodman, R.; Wallach, N. R.: Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups. 3rd corrected printing, Cambridge Univ. Press (2003)
- [4] Gordillo-Merino, A.; Martínez-Bohórquez, R.; Navarro, J.: On the uniqueness of the torsion and curvature operators, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A, Matemáticas 114:43 (2020).
- [5] Gordillo-Merino, A.; Martínez-Bohórquez, R.; Navarro-Garmendia, J. On invariant operations of a linear connection and an orientation. *Mathematics* 2021, 9, 2577.
- [6] Gordillo-Merino, A.; Martínez-Bohórquez, R.; Navarro-Garmendia, J. On invariant operations of Fedosov structures. arXiv:2301.10285
- [7] Gordillo, A., Navarro, J.: On moduli spaces for finite-order jets of linear connections, Filomat, 31:7, 2035–2044 (2017).
- [8] Gordillo-Merino, A., Navarro, J., Sancho, P.: A remark on the invariant theory of real Lie groups, Colloq. Math. 156:2, 295–300 (2019).
- [9] Kerrighan, D.B. On the uniqueness of the energy-momentum tensor for electromagnetism, J. Math. Phys. 23, 1979–1980 (1982).
- [10] Khavkine, I., Moretti, V. Analytic Dependence is an Unnecessary Requirement in Renormalization of Locally Covariant QFT, Commun. Math. Phys. 344:2, 581–620 (2016).
- [11] Khavkine, I., Melati, A., Moretti, V. On Wick Polynomials of Boson Fields in Locally Covariant Algebraic QFT, Ann. Henri Poincaré 20:3, 929–1002 (2019).
- [12] Kolář, I., Michor, P.W., Slovák, J.: Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [13] Lovelock, D. The electromagnetic energy momentum tensor and its uniqueness, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 10, 59–65 (1974).
- [14] Lovelock, D. The uniqueness of the Einstein-Maxwell field equations, Gen. Relat. Gravit.
 5, 399-408 (1974).
- [15] Lovelock, D. Vector-Tensor Field Theories and the Einstein-Maxwell Field Equations., Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A-Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 341, 1626, 285—297 (1974).
- [16] Lovelock, D. Bivector field theories, divergence-free vectors and the Einstein-Maxwell field equations, J. Math. Phys. 18, 1491 (1977).
- [17] Navarro, J. Divergence-free tensors associated to a metric. PhD thesis (2013).
- [18] Navarro, J.; Sancho, J.B. On the naturalness of Einstein's equation, J. Geom. Phys. 58, 1007–1014 (2008).

- [19] Navarro, J.; Sancho, J.B. Energy and electromagnetism of a differential k-form, J. Math. Phys. 53, 102501 (2012).
- [20] Slovák, J.: On invariant operations on a manifold with connection or metric, J. Differ. Geom. 36:3, 633–650 (1992).
- [21] Vermeil, H.: Notiz über das mittlere Krümmungsmass einer n-fach ausgedehnten Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeit, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen 334 (1917).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA, E-06071 BADAJOZ, SPAIN *Email address:* raulmb@unex.es