Rotating bi-electron in two-dimensional systems with mexican-hat single-electron energy dispersion

V. A. Kochelap^{1,*}

¹Department of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Semiconductor Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Pr. Nauki 41, Kiev 03028, Ukraine

A number of novel two-dimensional materials and nanostructures demonstrate complex singleelectron energy dispersion, which is called the *mexican-hat* dispersion. In this paper, we analyze interaction of a pair of electrons with such an energy dispersion. We show that relative motion of the electron pair is of a very peculiar character. For example, the real space trajectories corresponding to electron-electron scattering can have three reversal points, reversal points at non-zero radial momentum and other unusual features. Despite the repulsive Coulomb interaction, two electrons can be coupled forming a composite quasi-particle - the *bi-electron*. The bi-electron corresponds to excited states of the two-electron system. Because the bi-electron coupled states exist in continuum of extended (free) states of the electron pair, these states are quasi-resonant and have finite times of life. We found that rotating bi-electron is a long-living composite quasi-particle. The rotating bi-electrons can be in motion. For slowly moving bi-electrons, we determined the kinetic energy and the effective mass. Due to strongly nonparabolic energy dispersion, the translational motion of the bi-electron is coupled to its internal motion. This results in effective masses dependent on quantum states of the bi-electron. In the paper, properties of the bi-electron are illustrated for the example of bigraphene in a transverse electric field. We suggest that investigation of rotating bi-electrons at the mexican-hat single-electron energy dispersion may bring new interesting effects in low-dimensional and low-temperature physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the crystalline potential affects motion of band electrons (holes) strongly modifying their kinetic energy $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$, where **p** is the electron (hole) momentum. Typically, near an extremum, $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$ is of a parabolic dependence with an effective (generally anisotropic) mass. At larger **p** the nonparabolicity is essential and a portion of the $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$ dependence with a negative effective mass $(d^2 \epsilon(\mathbf{p})/dp^2 < 0)$ can exist. Graphene - atomically thin layer of the carbon atoms - provides the example of striking kinetic energy modification of both electrons and holes, when the $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$ -dependencies have the linear quasi-relativistic behavior. The bilayer graphene bigraphene - represents even more complex behavior of low energy $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$ -dependencies, which additionally can be controlled by external fields. Recently, a few novel materials and nanostructures have been fabricated for which, in the lower energy bands, the two-dimensional electrons are characterized by complex $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$ -dependencies that can be called the "mexican-hat" (MH) energy dispersion. For the MH energy dispersion, a local *maximum* occurs say at, $\mathbf{p} = 0$ and a portion with the negative effective mass exists near this maximum. A minimum value of $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$ is reached at a circle $|\mathbf{p}| = p_m$, at larger \mathbf{p} the function $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$ increases. The MH energy dispersion is sketched in Fig. 1 (a). Examples of two-dimensional materials and nanostructures with this type of the energy dispersion include: bigraphene in a transverse electric field (both, electron and hole bands) [1], [2], hole bands in fewlayers III-VI materials, such as GaSe, GaS, InSe, InS and

Bi₂Te₃, Bi₂Se₃ [3–6]. Also, the MH energy dispersion is characteristic for HgTe-HgCdTe quantum wells (the upper hole band) [7, 8], InAs/GaAs double quantum well structures [9], the strained quantum well structures fabricated by III-V compound (the upper hole band), including strained GaAs/AlGaAs and GaN/AlGaN structures, etc. These examples indicate that the single-electron energy dispersion of the MH type is quite general phenomenon, especially for two-dimensional systems.

For materials with the MH energy dispersion relative motion of the electron pair is very specific. Particularly, a repulsive interaction potential can lead to electron pairing, i.e. to formation of a composite quasi-particle - a*bi-electron*.

The term "bi-electron" is known in solid-state physics. It was introduced for the case of coupling of two electrons originated from different energy bands, one of them from the bottom of the lower conduction band and the other from the top of an upper band with negative curvature. So that, the reduced effective mass of the pair can be negative, which can give rise to electron pairing in spite of Coulomb repulsion. In particular, the bi-electron model was applied to explain the inverse hydrogen-like series of optical lines observed in layered BiI_3 crystals [10]. Another example of formation of bi-electrons near the saddle points of the two-particle energy dispersion in strong magnetic fields was analyzed in paper [11] (quasi-onedimensional bi-electrons). In both mentioned examples, coupled electrons were originated from different energy bands, the models of the energy dispersion were restricted to parabolic dependencies. Note, in composite structures - semiconductor/metal - bi-electron can be formed due to both image forces and spin-orbit interaction [12].

Recently, investigations of electron-electron interaction

^{*} E-mail: kochelap@ukr.net

in graphene-based two-dimensional systems [13] sparked the interest to the bi-electron problem. Indeed, in paper [14] quasi-localized states of two electrons were found to be possible. Then, the study presented in paper [15] showed that two-electron states can exist if single-electron energy dispersion deviates from the linear Dirac-like spectrum. In the cited paper, two-particle states were found for double-layer graphene structures in the model with additional quadratic momentum term with a negative effective mass. This additional term was derived by taking into account the hopping of the electrons between next-nearest-neighbor atoms. Absolute value of the effective mass introduced by such a way was found about five time larger than the free electron mass. Being applied to single layer or bilayer graphene structures, such an approach led to unreasonably large coupling energy (~ 1 eV). However, the same model applied to graphene layers separated by boron nitride with the interlayer Coulomb potential led to the coupling energies of order of tens meV. Further studies of this subject were focused on electron-electron interaction in topological insulators [16], electron pairing was analyzed in the four-band model assuming a step-like repulsive potential.

In this paper, we revisite problems of the interaction of two electrons and bi-electron states formation in twodimensional systems. We assume that coupling energies of pairing electrons are much smaller, than energy separation of considered single-electron band of the MH type from other electron bands. We show that, despite the repulsive Coulomb interaction, the two electrons with the single-electron MH dispersion can be coupled forming excited states of the two-electron system. We found that the rotating bi-electrons are of long-living quasiparticles. The rotating bi-electrons can be in translation motion. For slowly moving bi-electron we determined the kinetic energy and the effective mass. The presented model, which exploits the single-electron energy band, facilitates the analysis of other important properties of two-dimensional bi-electrons.

The model under consideration is formulated as follows. We consider two-dimensional electron system, when the electron momentum is $\mathbf{p} = \{p_x, p_y\}$. We assume that the $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$ -dependence is of the MH type, as illustrated in Fig. 1 (a). This energy is an isotropic function in the $\{p_x, p_y\}$ -plane. For such a type of the $\epsilon(p)$ dependence, one can introduce a few characteristic parameters: the inflection point, $p_i (d^2 \epsilon/dp^2 = 0 \text{ at } p = p_i)$; the momentum p_m , corresponding to the energy minimum $(d\epsilon/dp = 0 \text{ at } p = p_m)$ and the momentum p_0 , corresponding to zero energy $(\epsilon(p_0) = 0 \text{ at } p_0 \neq 0)$, as illustrated in Figs. 1 (b).

For a pair of the interacting electrons, say 1 and 2, the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \epsilon(\mathbf{p}_1) + \epsilon(\mathbf{p}_2) + U(|\mathbf{r}|), \qquad (1)$$

where $U(|\mathbf{r}|) > 0$ is the potential of the electron-electron interaction, and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2$ is the relative distance between the electrons. Introducing the total and relative momenta for the two-electron system, $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p_1} + \mathbf{p_2}$ and $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p_1} - \mathbf{p_2})/2$, respectively, we rewrite Eq. (1) as $H = \epsilon (\mathbf{P}/2 + \mathbf{p}) + \epsilon (\mathbf{P}/2 - \mathbf{p}) + U(|\mathbf{r}|)$. In the absence of external lateral fields in the $\{x, y\}$ -plane, the total momentum is conserved, i.e., $\mathbf{P} = constant$. Let the center-of-mass of the pair be motionless, i.e., $\mathbf{P} = 0$. Then, the Hamiltonian corresponding to relative motion of the two electrons takes the form:

$$H_0 = 2\epsilon(\mathbf{p}) + U(|\mathbf{r}|). \tag{2}$$

This is the basic equation for quantitative analysis given in the next Sections.

Here, we may use Eq. (2) to explain qualitatively twoelectron pairing effect at the MH energy dispersion. Indeed, near the point $\mathbf{p} = 0$ one can simplify H_0 to the form $H_0 \approx -\mathbf{p}^2/2|M| + U$, with $M = 1/(d^2\epsilon(\mathbf{p})/dp^2|_{p=0})$ being the negative "reduced" effective mass. The auxiliary Hamiltonian, $H'_0 = -H_0 = \mathbf{p}^2/2|M| - U$, describes attractive particles, that can have coupled states with 'energies' E' < 0. Comparing H and H', we can expect that for the Hamiltonian of Eq. (2), coupled states may exist at energies E > 0. Since the pairing effect is due to the negative effective mass, radii of coupled states (in real space) have to be large enough to provide (in the momentum representation) the main contribution from small relative momenta \mathbf{p} , where the negative effective mass occurs. Simultaneously, at energies E > 0 there exist also uncoupled states of the electron pair, corresponding to electron-electron scattering. For uncoupled states, the main contribution comes from finite momenta **p** (in the momentum representation). In the semiclassical picture, coupled and uncoupled motion of the two electrons at a given energy are independent: they correspond to different initial conditions. While, in the quantum picture, there exists a tunneling between states of the same energy. Therefore, sought-for states have to be *quasi-coupled* and be characterized by a finite decay time. Note, under rotation of the electron pair a finite centrifugal potential gives rise to an increase of the radius of a coupled state, that, in turn, rises its decay time. Concluding this qualitative consideration, one can expect that in systems with the MH type electron energy it is possible the formation of composite quasi-particles - bielectrons. Bi-electron states are excited and metastable states of the two-electron system. Rotating bi-electrons should be long-living quasi-particles.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we present semiclassical analysis of the problem and give a classification of possible patterns of two-electron motion, and illustrate the results by a few particular models of the MH energy dispersion. In Section III, we develop a quantum approach to the problem, determine energies, wavefunctions, decay times, and spins of the bi-electrons. Finally in this Section, we consider moving bi-electron. In Section IV, we discuss the obtained results and present numerical estimates with focus to the particular example - the bi-layer graphene subjected to a transverse electric field. A short summary of the overall



Figure 1. **a**: Sketch of the mexican-hat energy dispersion of a two-dimensional quasi-particle. **b**: The energy ϵ vs the momentum magnitude p. **c**: Illustrations to solutions of Eq. (12) - the l.h.s., $d\epsilon/d\mathcal{P}_s$, (the full curve) and the r.h.s., α/p_{ϕ} , (thin lines) at different p_{ϕ} ; $1 - p_{\phi,1} < p_{\phi,c}$; $2 - p_{\phi,c}$; $3 - p_{\phi,3} > p_{\phi,2}$. Curves 1', 2', 3' represent the total energy, $E(\mathcal{P}_s)$, defined by Eq. (15) for $p_{\phi,1}$, $p_{\phi,2}$, $p_{\phi,3}$, respectively. For $p_{\phi} = p_{\phi,1}$, the line 1 does not cross the $d\epsilon/d\mathcal{P}_s$ dependence and there are no singular points. For $p_{\phi,2}$, $p_{\phi,3}$, intersections of the lines 2, 3 and the $d\epsilon/d\mathcal{P}_s$ dependence define the singular points. Thin vertical lines show matching these singular points and extrema of the $E(\mathcal{P}_s)$ -dependencies.

results is presented in Section V.

II. SEMICLASSICAL CONSIDERATION

A. Equations for relative motion of two electrons

We start with the semiclassical analysis. For such a case, the Hamiltonian of Eq. (2) is a function of two variables, absolute values of the two-dimensional vectors \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{r} : $H_0 = H_0(p, r)$. It is convenient to use the polar coordinates, $\{r, \phi\}$, instead of the orthogonal coordinates, $\{x, y\}$, i.e., $x = r\cos\phi$, $y = r\sin\phi$. Then, instead of p_x and p_y we introduce $p_r = p_x \cos\phi + p_y \sin\phi$ and $p_{\phi} = r(p_y \cos\phi - p_x \sin\phi)$ with $p^2 = p_r^2 + p_{\phi}^2/r^2$. Obviously, p_{ϕ} is the angular momentum of the pair of the electrons. In the new variables $\{r, \phi\}$ and $\{p_r, p_{\phi}\}$, Eq. (2) reads

$$H_0(p_r, p_{\phi}, r, \phi) = 2\epsilon \left(\sqrt{p_r^2 + p_{\phi}^2/r^2} \right) + U(r) \,. \tag{3}$$

The corresponding equations of motion are:

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial p_r} = \frac{2p_r}{\mathcal{P}} \frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}} \equiv \mathcal{R}_r(p_r, r|p_\phi) , \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{dp_r}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial r} = \frac{2p_\phi^2}{r^3 \mathcal{P}} \frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}} - \frac{dU}{dr} \equiv \mathcal{R}_p(p_r, r|p_\phi), \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial p_\phi} = \frac{2p_\phi}{r^2 \mathcal{P}} \frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}} \,, \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{dp_{\phi}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial \phi} = 0.$$
 (7)

In these equations, t is the time, $\frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}}$ stands for the derivative of the function $\epsilon(p)$ calculated at $p = \mathcal{P} \equiv$

 $\sqrt{p_r^2 + p_{\phi}^2/r^2}$. The repulsive potential is supposed to be of the Coulomb type:

$$U(r) = \frac{\alpha}{r}, \ \alpha > 0, \qquad (8)$$

where α depends on the dielectric environment, \mathcal{R}_r and \mathcal{R}_p are designations for the right hand sides of Eqs. (4) and (5), respectively.

The system of Eqs. (4)-(7) has the following properties. Eq. (7) implies $p_{\phi} = const$, which means conservation of the angular momentum. Eqs. (4)-(5) do not depend on the angle ϕ . Thus, the radial motion of the pair described by Eqs. (4), (5) and its angular motion described by Eqs. (6)-(7) are decoupled. If the radial variables, r(t)and $p_r(t)$, are found, then \mathcal{P} and $d\epsilon/d\mathcal{P}$ are known functions of the time, t, and the angle variable, $\phi(t)$, can be easily calculated by using Eq. (6).

B. Phase-plane analysis

We shall focus on the equations for the radial motion (4), (5), which compose an autonomous system of differential equations for the variables r and p_r . Such a system can be studied in details by using the so-called phase-plane analysis (see, for example, Ref. [18]). The phase-plane analysis is based on simple reduction of this system to a single differential equation of the first order:

$$\frac{dp_r}{dr} = \frac{\mathcal{R}_p(p_r, r|p_\phi)}{\mathcal{R}_r(p_r, r|p_\phi)},\tag{9}$$

where the right-hand side (r.h.s) is parametrically dependent on p_{ϕ} [19].

At a given p_{ϕ} , any solution of Eq. (9) can be presented as a certain "trajectory" in the $\{r, p_r\}$ -phase plane. Every trajectory corresponds to a certain total energy, E. The energy conservation law gives the implicit equation



Figure 2. Phase portraits of Eq. (9) at different values of the angular momentum, p_{ϕ} . Solid lines are trajectories, dashed lines (in (a) and (c)) correspond to equation $\sqrt{p_r^2 + p_{\phi}^2/r^2} =$ p_m . The arrows indicate direction of motion along the trajectories. **a**: The case $p_{\phi} < p_{\phi,c}$; curves 1, 2 are scattering trajectories of the type I and II, respectively. Trajectories are presented for different values of the total energy, E: curve 1 for $E > E_{c1}$, the type I; curve2 for $E_{c1} > E > 0$, the type II; curves 3, 3' for E < 0, the type III. **b**: The case $p_{\phi} > p_{\phi,c}$ and $E^{s1}, E^{s2} > 0$; two singular points are marked by stars. Dotted line is the separatrix closed loop restricting a region of the phase plane with closed trajectories. Curves 1, 2, 2' are trajectories of the scattering types I and III; curve 3 is an example of the closed trajectories with $E^{s1} > E > E^{s2}$. c: The case $p_{\phi} > p_{\phi,c}$ and $E^{s1} > 0, E^{s2} < 0$; curves 1, 2, 2', 3, 3' are trajectories of the scattering types. The dotted line restricts a region with closed trajectories. Curve 4 is an example of the closed trajectory for $E^{s1} > E > 0$.

of these trajectories, $H(p_r, r) = E$. A chosen point in the $\{r, p_r\}$ -phase plane can be interpreted as an initial condition attributed to a time moment t'. The trajectory which crosses this point determines the dynamics of the electron pair at t > t'. By using Eqs. (4), (5), it is easy to determine the direction of the trajectories. The singular points of Eq. (9), if any, correspond to a motion of the pair with time-independent r and p_r (a rotation in real space with a fixed angular velocity according to Eq. (6)). The singular points can be either stable or unstable. Equating the numerator and denominator in Eq. (9) to zero, we obtain two isoclinal lines: a trajectory $p_r(r)$ crosses the first isoclinal line always horizontally $(dp_r/dr = 0)$, while the second isoclinal line is always crossed vertically $(dp_r/dr \to \infty)$. These properties of the trajectories allow one to reconstruct readily the topology of the phase plane and to study possible types of semiclassical relative motion of the pair of the electrons.

For the singular points (r^s, p_r^s) , we obtain the equations

$$p_r^s = 0, \qquad (10)$$

$$\frac{2p_{\phi}}{(r^s)^3}\frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}} - \frac{dU}{dr}\Big|_{r^s} = 0 \text{ at } \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^s = \frac{p_{\phi}}{r^s}.$$
 (11)

For a repulsive potential, we have dU/dr < 0, thus Eq. (11) may have solutions only at $d\epsilon/d\mathcal{P} < 0$. In the case of the energy dispersion shown in Fig. 1 (b), this leads to the conditions: $\mathcal{P}^s < p_m$ and $r^s > p_{\phi}/p_m$. Then, using Eq. (8) and the relationship between \mathcal{P}^s and r^s we obtain the following simple equation for \mathcal{P}^s :

$$2\frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}}\Big|_{\mathcal{P}^s} = -\frac{\alpha}{p_\phi}\,.\tag{12}$$

Here only the left-hand side (l.h.s.) is varied with \mathcal{P}^s , the r.h.s. is negative and depends parametrically on the angular momentum p_{ϕ} . For the MH energy dispersion, the l.h.s. is negative at $\mathcal{P}^s < p_m$ and reaches a minimum at the inflection point, $\mathcal{P}^s = p_i$. Graphical solutions of Eq. (12) are illustrated in Fig. 1 (c). These solutions arise at

$$p_{\phi} \ge p_{\phi,c} = \alpha \Big/ \left[2 \Big| \frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}} \Big|_{p_i} \right].$$
 (13)

At $p_{\phi} < p_{\phi,c}$, Eq. (12) has no solutions and, thus, there are not singular points (see the illustration in Fig. 1 (c). The corresponding phase portrait of Eq. (9) is shown in Fig. 2 (a). Trajectories starting and ending at $r \rightarrow \infty$ correspond to processes of electron-electron scattering and can be called as *scattering trajectories*. Depending on the total energy, E, there are three types of the scattering trajectories. The type I encloses the trajectories of the energy $E > E_{c1}$,

$$E_{c1} = 2\epsilon(p_m) + \frac{\alpha}{p_\phi} p_m . \qquad (14)$$

Each of these trajectories is a continuous line, which starts at $r \to \infty$ and $p_r \to -p_r(E)$ (with $p_r(E)$ that satisfies the condition $2\epsilon(p_r) = E$), passes through lower and upper parts of the phase-plane, and finishes with positive $p_r \to p_r(E)$ at $r \to \infty$. The trajectory crosses the r-axe only once at the coordinate $r = r_E$, which can be found from the equations:

$$r_E = \frac{p_\phi}{\mathcal{P}_E}, \quad E = 2\epsilon(\mathcal{P}_E) + \frac{\alpha}{p_\phi}\mathcal{P}_E \equiv W(\mathcal{P}_E).$$
 (15)

For this type of the trajectories, $W(\mathcal{P}_E)$ -dependence is illustrated in Fig. 1 (c) by the curve 1'. The trajectories have a single *reversal point* (r_E , 0) with $r_E < p_{\phi}/p_m$. At the reversal point, the radial velocity changes its sign. The type I of the phase-plane trajectories corresponds to usual processes of elastic scattering in real space.

The type II encloses trajectories of the energy interval $0 < E < E_{c1}$. At large r they behave similarly to the type I, however, they have unusual feature at finite r. Indeed, for these trajectories there exist *three reversal points*. For each of such trajectories one of the reversal point is on the r-axis and is determined by Eqs. (15). Two other are on the line $p_r = \pm \sqrt{p_m^2 - p_{\phi}^2/r^2}$. For a given energy E, the additional reversal points occur at

$$r_{r,E}^{ad} = \frac{\alpha}{E - 2\epsilon(p_m)},$$
$$p_{r,E}^{ad} = \pm \sqrt{p_m^2 - \frac{p_\phi^2}{\alpha^2} \left[E - 2\epsilon(p_m)\right]^2}.$$
 (16)

From Eq. (6), it follows that at the additional reversal points, the angular velocity, $d\phi/dt$, changes its sign.

The trajectories with E < 0 never cross the r-axis, they can be attributed to the type III. For this case, there are two solutions of the equation $2\epsilon(p) = E$, which we denote $p^m(E)$ and $p^M(E)$ with $p^m < p_m^M < p_M^M$. This implies the existence of two isolated trajectories for a given negative E. One trajectory starts at infinity ($r \approx$ ∞) with $p_r = -p^M(E)$. It has a reversal point given by Eq. (16) with "-" sign in the second equation, remains in the lower part of the $\{r, p_r\}$ -plane, and finishes at infinity with $p_r = -p^m(E)$. Another one starts at infinity with $p_r = +p^m(E)$, and finishes at infinity with $p_r =$ $+p^{M}(E)$. Corresponding reversal point is determined by Eq. (16) with "+" sign. Despite the elastic character of the processes, such trajectories describe collisions that give rise to a change of the relative momentum, p_r , of the electron pair.

Now, we return to the case when the inequality (13) holds and Eq. (9) has singular points. The single such point, $(r_s = p_{\phi}/p_i, p_r = 0)$ appears in the phase-plane at $p_{\phi} = p_{\phi,c}$. When $p_{\phi} > p_{\phi,c}$, Eq. (11) has two solutions \mathcal{P}^{s1} and \mathcal{P}^{s2} with $\mathcal{P}^{s1} < p_i < \mathcal{P}^{s2} < p_m$ (see illustration in Fig. 1 (c)). Thus, there are two singular points $(r^{s1}, 0)$ and $(r^{s2}, 0), r^{s1} > r^{s2}$. Near a singular point $(r^s, 0)$, the trajectories corresponding to different energies E can be

found in the form:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}^s} \frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}^s} p_r^2 + \frac{(\mathcal{P}^s)^4}{p_{\phi}^2} \frac{d^2\epsilon}{d(\mathcal{P}^s)^2} (r - r^s)^2 = E - E^s \,, \quad (17)$$

where E^s is the total energy of relative motion of the electron pair in the s-th singular point defined by the second equation from (15): $E^s = E(\mathcal{P}_s)$. For the s1-point with $\frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}^s} < 0$ and $\frac{d^2\epsilon}{d(\mathcal{P}^s)^2} < 0$ (see Fig. 1 (c)), from Eq. (17) it follows that allowed energies are $E < E^s$ and the trajectories are closed curves. That is, the s1-point is the center. While for the s2-point with $\frac{d\epsilon}{d\mathcal{P}^s} < 0$ and $\frac{d^2\epsilon}{d(\mathcal{P}^s)^2} > 0$, the trajectories are hyperboles. This s2-point is a saddle. The appearance of the singular points leads to restructuring of the phase-plane. There can exist two cases of different phase-plane topologies.

For the first case, the phase-plane is presented in Fig. 2 (b). For this case, both singular points correspond to positive total energies, $E^{s1}, E^{s2} > 0$, defined by Eq. (15) at $\mathcal{P}_E = \mathcal{P}^{s1}$, \mathcal{P}^{s2} , as illustrated by the curve 2' in Fig. 1 (c). In the phase-plane on Fig. 2 (b), two separatrices of the saddle form a closed loop that restricts a finite region of the phase-plane where all the trajectories are closed. For them the total energy E is in the range $E^{s1} >$ $E > E^{s^2} > 0$. For a given energy from this range, the minimal and maximal coordinates, $r_m(E)$ and $r_M(E)$, which can be reached on the closed trajectory, are to be found from Eqs. (15). Note, for the same energy range there are trajectories of a scattering type. The latter are well separated from the closed ones, as illustrated in Fig. 2 (b) by curves 1, 3. Outside of the discussed energy range, all the trajectories are of the scattering types, as was found in the previous analysis.

Another case of the phase-plane topology is shown in Fig. 2 (c). It occurs for $E^{s1} > 0 > E^{s2}$, as illustrated by the curve 3' in Fig. 1 (c). At other fixed parameters, this case corresponds to larger angular momenta. Now the separatrices of the s2-saddle are extended up to infinity; they do not form a closed loop. Instead, closed trajectories exist for the energy interval $E^{s1} \ge E > 0$. For $E \to +0$, these closed trajectories are extended to infinitely large r. With increase in p_{ϕ} , the singular points move toward larger r. At

$$p_{\phi} \gg \frac{\alpha}{2p_i \left| \frac{d^2 \epsilon}{dp^2} \right|_{p=0}}$$

one can obtain $r^{s1} \approx 2 \left| d^2 \epsilon / dp^2 \right|_{p=0} p_{\phi}^2 / \alpha \propto p_{\phi}^2$ and $r^{s2} \approx p_{\phi}/p_0 \propto p_{\phi}$. The region of the phase-plane, which contains the closed trajectories, also is shifted toward larger r. Under discussed strong inequality, the Hamiltonian of Eq. (3) is simplified to the form

$$H_0 = -\left|\frac{d^2\epsilon}{dp^2}\right|_{p=0} \left(p_r^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{r^2}\right) + \frac{\alpha}{r}.$$
 (18)

Following the discussion presented in Introduction, one can introduce the auxiliary Hamiltonian $H'_0 = -H_0$, that



Figure 3. Trajectories in real space. **a**: Scattering trajectories; curves 1, 2 and 3, 3' - are scattering trajectories of the types I, II, and III, respectively; they corresponds to the phase-plane trajectories 1, 2 and 3, 3' shown in Fig. 2 (a). **b**: A real-space trajectory illustrating bounded motion of the electron pair. Dashed circles mark the minimal and maximal distances between the electrons.

can easily be reduced to that of the well known Kepler problem. Detailed analysis of this problem can be found elsewhere [20]. Particularly, from this analysis, it follows that the closed trajectories exist for the energy interval $0 < E < E^{s1} = \alpha/4p_{\phi}^2 \left| d^2\epsilon/dp^2 \right|_{p=0}$.

Now consider briefly relative motion of the pair of the electrons in *real space*. Trajectories in the $\{x, y\}$ -real space can be calculated, when solutions of Eqs. (4), (5), for r(t) and $\phi(t)$, are found. Examples of the scattering trajectories are shown in Fig. 3 (a). Among the presented curves, only trajectory 1 has a standard form for the process of scattering by a repulsive potential. Shapes of the others are rather unusual due to the complex energy dispersion of the MH type. For example, the curve 2 represents scattering trajectory with three reversal points, the curves 3, 3' represent trajectories with single reversal points occurring at a finite radial momentum (matching these real-space trajectories to those of the phase-plane

is indicated in the caption). Note, among trajectories belonging to the type II there are self-crossing trajectories in real space (not shown in Fig. 3 (a)).

The closed trajectories in the $\{r, p_r\}$ -phase-plane correspond to relative motion of two electrons, which occurs in a restricted region of real space. At a given energy E, the real space trajectory lies in a ring bounded by the circles of radii $r_m(E)$ and $r_M(E)$, both were defined above. Generally, these trajectories are not closed, as illustrated in Fig. 3 (b). At a given angular momentum p_{ϕ} , the only closed real-space trajectory is a circulate orbit with radius corresponding to the s1 singular point: $r = r^{s1}$ at $E = E^{s1}$. The electron pair moves round this orbit with velocity $2 |d\epsilon/dp|_{p=p_{\phi}/r^{s1}}$. The corresponding rotation frequency is $\Omega^{s1} = 2/(r^{s1} |d\epsilon/dp|_{p=p_{\phi}/r^{s1}})$. For the motion with energy E close to E^{s1} , the rotation occurs with small radial vibrations. The frequency and the magnitude of these vibrations are equal to

$$\Omega_r = \frac{2p_{\phi}^{1/2}}{r^{s_1 3/2}} \sqrt{\left|\frac{d\epsilon}{dp} \frac{d^2\epsilon}{dp^2}\right|}, \ r_M - r_m = 2\frac{r_{s_1}^2}{p_{\phi}} \sqrt{\frac{E^{s_1} - E}{|d^2\epsilon/dp^2|}},$$
(19)

where $E < E^{s1}$ and the derivatives are calculated at $p = p_{\phi}/r^{s1}$. Obviously, spatially bounded relative motion of the two electrons means their coupling despite the repulsive interaction.

Summarising, the general analysis showed that the two-electron coupling in real space arises with the onset of the singular points, s1, s2, in the $\{r, p_r\}$ phase-plane of Eq (9). Eqs (10), (11) and (15) for these points facilitate the determination of the energies of E^{s1} , E^{s2} , corresponding to these points at a given angular momentum, p_{ϕ} . The two-electron coupling is realized for the following interval of the total energy: $max\{0, E^{s2}\} < E < E^{s1}$.

C. Numerical estimates

It is useful to conclude the semiclassical analysis with numerical estimates. We rescale the variables as follows:

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{p_B}, \ l_{\phi} = \frac{p_{\phi}}{p_{\phi,B}}, \ \boldsymbol{\rho} = \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r_B}, \ \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = 2\frac{\epsilon(\mathbf{p})}{E_B}, \quad (20)$$
$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{H_0}{E_B} = \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{\rho},$$

where we introduce the Bohr-like units:

$$p_B = \frac{M\alpha}{\hbar}, \ r_B = \frac{\hbar}{p_B}, \ E_B = \frac{M\alpha^2}{\hbar^2},$$
$$M = \frac{1}{2 \left| d^2 \epsilon / dp^2 \right|_{p=0}}, \ p_{\phi,B} = p_B r_B = \hbar.$$

Here M is the reduced mass of the pair at $p \to 0$. In dimensionless form, Eqs. (15), which define the singular points, are:

$$\rho_{\mathcal{E}} = l_{\phi} / \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}, \ \mathcal{E} = \varepsilon (\mathcal{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}) + \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} / l_{\phi} \ \equiv \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}), \quad (21)$$

where $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ are the dimensionless analogs of $W(\mathcal{P})$ and \mathcal{P}_E defined by Eqs. (15). Bellow, \mathcal{E} presents the dimensionless total energy of the pair. This formal scaling to the Bohr units will allow us to compare the results with those known from the quantum Coulomb problem.

As a first example, we consider the simplest model of the MH type energy dispersion, for which the dimensionless kinetic energy of relative motion of the electron pair is

$$\mathcal{E}(k) = -\frac{1}{2}k^2 + \beta k^4, \ \beta > 0.$$
 (22)

The corresponding characteristic parameters (see Fig. 1 (b)) -are: $k_i = 1/2\sqrt{3\beta}, k_m = 1/2\sqrt{\beta}, k_0 = 1/\sqrt{2\beta}, \mathcal{E}(k_m) = 1/16\beta$. According to Eq. (13), the critical angular momentum necessary for the existence of the singular points and the closed trajectories equals $l_{\phi} = l_{\phi,c} = 3\sqrt{3\beta}$. For this l_{ϕ} , the singular point in the $\{\rho, k_{\rho}\}$ phase-plane arises at $k_{\rho,s} = 0, \rho_{s,c} = 2\sqrt{3\beta}$ ($\mathcal{E}_{s,c} = \mathcal{W}(k_i) = 1/48\beta$).

If we assume $\beta = 0.25$, then we obtain: $k_i = 0.58$, $k_m = 1$, $k_0 = 1.41$, $\mathcal{E}(k_m) = -0.25$, $l_{\phi,c} = 2.6$, the energy corresponding to the onset of the singular points is $\mathcal{E}_{s,c} = 0.08$, and the radius of the emerging circulate orbit is $\rho_{s,c} \approx 7.6$. Setting $l_{\phi} = 3$, we find the closed trajectories occur in a finite energy interval, $0.05 < \mathcal{E} < 0.06$. In real space, all closed trajectories are located in the ring $4 \leq \rho \leq 7.5$. Setting $\beta = 0.5$, we find $k_i = 0.41$, $k_m = 0.7$, $\mathcal{E}(k_m) = -0.125$, $l_{\phi,c} = 3.67$, $\mathcal{E}_{s.c} = 0.04$ and $\rho_{s.c} = 9$. Assuming $l_{\phi} = 4$, we find for the closed trajectories $0.031 \leq \mathcal{E} \leq 0.034$ and $8 \leq \rho \leq 13$. At $l_{\phi} = 5$, for the same characteristics we find $0 \leq \mathcal{E} \leq 0.02$ and $8.8 \leq \rho \leq 22$ for the closed trajectories.

These estimates illustrate that, increasing β and shortening the negative effective mass portion of $\mathcal{E}(k)$ lead to larger critical values of the angular momentum, $l_{\phi,c}$, greater sizes of the coupled electron pair and lower its energies of coupling.

As a second example of the energy dispersion of the MH type, we consider the lowest electron (or hole) band of bigraphene subjected to a voltage applied across the graphene layers. For this case, the one-particle energy is [1]:

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{g^2/2 + V^2/4 + p^2 v_F^2} - \sqrt{g^4/4 + p^2 v_F^2} \frac{(g^2 + V^2)}{(23)}$$

here, v_F is the Fermi-velocity parameter of the graphene, $g(\approx 0.4 \, eV)$ characterizes interaction between graphene layers, and V is the voltage bias applied across the layers. Assuming bigraphene on a substrate with a dielectric constant κ_0 , for the Coulomb potential (8) we obtain $\alpha = 2e_0^2/(1 + \kappa_0)$ with e_0 being the elementary charge. Next, we introduce the scaling parameters as in Eqs. (20) with $M = g^2/4v_F^2 V$:

$$p_B = \frac{e_0^2 g^2}{2\hbar v_F^2 V(1+\kappa_0)}, r_B = \frac{2\hbar^2 v_F^2 V(1+\kappa_0)}{e_0^2 g^2},$$
$$E_B = \frac{e_0^4 g^2}{\hbar^2 v_F^2 V(1+\kappa_0)^2}.$$
(24)

The dimensionless two-particle energy $\mathcal{E}(k) = 2[\epsilon(kp_B) - \epsilon(0)]/E_B$ at small k behaves as $\mathcal{E}(k) \approx -k^2/2 + \dots$

For further estimates, we set V = 0.25 eV (corresponding energy gap of bigraphene is about 0.21 eV) and $\kappa_0 = 3.9$, the latter is valid for SiO_2 substrate. We find

$$M = 0.028 m_0, \ E_B = 0.128 \, eV,$$

$$p_B/\hbar = 2.18 \times 10^6 \, cm^{-1}, \ r_B = 4.58 \times 10^{-7} \, cm \, . \, (25)$$

Here m_0 is the free electron mass. The characteristic parameters of the two-particle kinetic energy $\varepsilon(k)$ are: $k_i = 0.62, k_m = 1.14, k_0 = 1.74, \varepsilon(k_m) = -0.3$ (i.e., $\approx 0.038 \, eV$). Then, we obtain the critical value of the angular momentum, $l_{\phi,c} = 2.5$, the energy corresponding to the onset of the singular points, $\mathcal{E}_{s,c} = \mathcal{W}(k_i) = 0.09$, and the radius of the emerging circulate orbit, $\rho_s \approx 4.25$. Setting $l_{\phi} = 3$, we find that the closed trajectories occur in a finite energy interval, $0.04 < \mathcal{E} < 0.06$ at $0.16 < \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} < 0.83$ (see Eq. (21)). In real space, all closed trajectories are located in the ring $3.6 \le \rho \le 19$. Setting $l_{\phi} = 4$, we found that such trajectories occur at $0 < \mathcal{E} < 0.03, \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} < 0.6$ and the inter-particle distance $\rho > 6.6$.

These estimates show that semiclassical trajectories corresponding to spatially bounded relative motion of the two electrons exist only for finite values of the angular momentum l_{ϕ} . That is, to be coupled the pair of electrons has to rotate. The energy of the coupled electrons is always positive and less than $|\varepsilon(k_m)|$. Note, for any energy corresponding to a trajectory of coupled motion there always exists a trajectory of uncoupled motion. The trajectories of coupled and uncoupled motion are well separated in the $\{\rho, k_{\rho}\}$ phase-space.

III. QUANTUM ANALYSIS

Foregoing semiclassical analysis allowed us to understand qualitative features of relative motion of the electron pair with the MH energy dispersion. However, as can be seen from numerical estimates show, this analysis is not always adequate for quantitative conclusions. Below we develop a quantum approach to the problem under consideration.

For the quantum analysis, we assume that spin-orbit interaction is negligible and orbital motion and spin motion can be separated. First, we will focus on the orbital motion.

A. Quantum equations for orbital motion of the electron pair

We will use the dimensionless variables of Eq. (20) and, particularly, the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_0 with $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\mathbf{k}})$ being the function of the momentum operator $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}$. Thus, in the coordinate representation the Schrödinger equation for relative motion of the electron pair is [21]

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{0}\Psi(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \left[\mathcal{E}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) + \frac{1}{\rho}\right]\Psi(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \mathcal{E}\Psi(\boldsymbol{\rho}).$$
(26)

Because of the circular symmetry of the problem, we will use the polar coordinates ρ, ϕ , then $\Psi(\rho) = \Psi(\rho, \phi)$. The angular momentum operator is $\hat{l} = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}$; its eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are

$$\Phi_l(\phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-il\phi}, \ l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \qquad (27)$$

The operator \hat{l} commutes with \mathcal{H}_0 , thus we can set

$$\Psi(\rho,\phi) = R_l(\rho)\Phi_l(\phi).$$
(28)

Keeping in mind that the semiclassical analysis proves the existence of rotating coupled electron pairs, below we consider solutions to Eq. (26) with $|l| \ge 1$.

To obtain equation for the radial functions, $R_l(\rho)$, we use the following relationship valid for a two-dimensional system:

$$\hat{k}^2 R_l(\rho) \Phi_l(\phi) \equiv -\Delta_2 R_l(\rho) \Phi_l(\phi) = \Phi_l(\phi) \left[-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} \rho \frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{l^2}{\rho^2} \right] R_l(\rho) , \qquad (29)$$

where Δ_2 is the two-dimensional Laplacian. Now, from Eq. (26) we can formally write down the *operator* equation for $R_l(\rho)$

$$\left[\mathcal{E}\left(\sqrt{\left[-\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d}{d\rho}\rho\frac{d}{d\rho}+\frac{l^2}{\rho^2}\right]}\right)+\frac{1}{\rho}\right]R_l(\rho)=\mathcal{E}_lR_l(\rho)\,,$$
(30)

where generally dependent on l eigenvalue, \mathcal{E}_l , is introduced.

To solve the operator equation (30) we will use the eigenfunctions of the operator $\left[-\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d}{d\rho}\rho\frac{d}{d\rho}+\frac{l^2}{\rho^2}\right]$, which are known to be the Bessel functions, $J_l(q\rho)$, [22]:

$$\left[-\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d}{d\rho}\rho\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{l^2}{\rho^2}\right]J_l(q\rho) = q^2J_l(q\rho).$$
(31)

Note, for arbitrary operator of the kinetic energy $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\mathbf{k}})$, the radial function of *free particles* with an energy \mathcal{E} also can be expressed via the Bessel function:

$$\mathcal{R}_{l,\mathcal{E}}(\rho) = J_l(q_{\mathcal{E}}\rho), \qquad (32)$$

where $q_{\mathcal{E}}$ solves the equation $\mathcal{E}(q_{\mathcal{E}}) = \mathcal{E}$.

Below we will use Fourier-Hankel transformation [23]:

$$R_l(q) = \int_0^\infty d\rho \rho R_l(\rho) J_l(q\rho) \,. \tag{33}$$

It is important that, for functions in the form of Eqs. (27) and (28) with integer l, standard two-dimensional *Fourier transformation* between real space and momentum space,

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 q \Phi(\boldsymbol{q}) \,, \ \, \Phi(\boldsymbol{q}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 \rho \Psi(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \,,$$

aslo leads to the relation (33) for the radial functions $\mathcal{R}_l(\rho)$ and $\mathcal{R}_l(q)$. In particular, this is valid for solutions of well known two-dimensional Coulomb problem with attractive potential, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_l(\rho)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_l(q)$ (see, for example, Ref. [24]).

Now we transform Eq. (30) to the integral equation

$$\left[\mathcal{E}(q) - \mathcal{E}_l\right] R_l(q) + \int_0^\infty dq' Q_l(q, q') R_l(q') = 0, \quad (34)$$

with the symmetrical kernel

$$Q_l(q',q) = \int_0^\infty d\rho J_l(q'\rho) J_l(q\rho) \,. \tag{35}$$

For q' > q, the kernel explicit form is [22]:

$$Q_{l}(q',q) = \frac{\Gamma\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}\left(\Gamma\left(1+l\right)\right)} (q')^{-1-l} q^{l} \times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\left[\frac{1}{2},l+\frac{1}{2}\right],\left[1+l\right],\frac{q^{2}}{\left(q'\right)^{2}}\right).$$
(36)

Here $\Gamma(x)$ and ${}_{2}F_{1}([a, b], c, x)$ are the gamma and hypergeometric functions, respectively. For q' < q, the kernel can be obtained from Eq. (36) by permutation $q' \leftrightarrow q$. This permutation recovers the symmetry property of the kernel $Q_{l}(q', q)$.

Eq. (34) is the equation for the radial function in momentum space. It is important that in Eq. (34) $\mathcal{E}(q)$ is the usual function, which according to scaling (20) at small q behaves as $\mathcal{E}(q) \approx -\frac{1}{2}q^2 + \dots$.

B. Approximate solutions for the wavefunctions and energies

According to the above semiclassical analysis, in the same energy interval, where closed trajectories (in the phase-plane) of the electron pair occur, there also exist trajectories of the uncoupled motion. Thus, in the quantum analysis, we can expect a quasi-resonant character of coupled states of the electron pair. We will seek for solutions to Eq. (34) in the form

$$R_l(q) = \sum_n B_n^l \overline{R}_{l,n}(q) + \mathcal{B}_l \,, \tag{37}$$

where $\{\overline{R}_{l,n}(q)\}$ is a set of given functions describing localized states. \mathcal{B}_l is a small contribution of unbound (free) states of the pair, it will be analyzed in next subsection.

As a set of functions $\{\overline{R}_{l,n}\}\)$, we select the solutions of the two-dimensional Coulomb problem with the attractive potential. For the momentum representation, detailed analysis of this problem have been done in papers [24]. Corresponding functions are solutions for the equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}q^2 - \overline{\mathcal{E}}_n\right]\overline{R}_{l,n}(q) - \int_0^\infty dq' Q_l(q, q')\overline{R}_{l,n}(q') = 0,$$
(38)

with $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_n = -1/2(n+1/2)^2$ valid at $n \geq |l|$, i.e., a given n^{th} -state of the two-dimensional Coulomb problem is (2n+1)-fold degenerate. The explicit expressions of normalized functions $\overline{R}_{n,l}(q)$ are

$$\overline{R}_{l,n}(q) = (-1)^{l} i^{n} 2 \sqrt{(2n+1) \frac{(n-|l|)!}{(n+|l|)!}} \times \frac{q_{n}^{2}}{(q_{n}^{2}+q^{2})^{3/2}} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{l} \left[\frac{q_{n}^{2}-q^{2}}{q_{n}^{2}+q^{2}} \right], \quad (39)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n^l[x]$ is associated Legendre polynomials, $q_n = 1/(n+1/2)$. One can show that, in momentum space these wavefunctions are essentially concentrated at a range of small momenta, $q \leq 1/(n+1/2)$. The degree of localization of the functions in small *q*-range increases for larger *l* and *n*.

Return to solutions of Eq. (34) in form (37). Multiplying Eq. (38) by -1 and comparing it with Eq. (34) we can see that for $\mathcal{E}_l > 0$ both equations are quite similar, the only difference is more complex dependence of $\mathcal{E}(q)$. Accordingly, for the coefficients B_n^l in series (37), we obtain the following equations:

$$\left[\mathcal{E}_{l}-|\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{l,n}|\right]B_{n}^{l}-\sum_{n'\geq l}\left\langle\overline{R}_{l,n}^{*}\left|\mathcal{E}(q)+\frac{1}{2}q^{2}\right|\overline{R}_{l,n'}\right\rangle B_{n'}^{l}=0,$$
(40)

where n > l and $\left\langle \overline{R}_{l,n}^* | ... | \overline{R}_{l,n'} \right\rangle$ means the matrix element calculated on the Coulomb states $\{l, n\}$ and $\{l, n'\}$. In this formulation, difference of the eigenvalues of the considered problem from the Coulomb ones is expressed via deviation of $\mathcal{E}(q)$ from the parabolic law.

Eqs. (40) can be solved numerically for a given $\mathcal{E}(q)$ dependence. Below we present results obtained for $\mathcal{E}(q)$ corresponding to Eq. (23) at parameters discussed in Section II (bigraphene on SiO_2 substrate). The procedure of solution of Eq. (40) is the following (Galerkin) mothod. We use a finite number of the functions, $\{\overline{R}_{l,l}, \overline{R}_{l,l+1}, ..., \overline{R}_{l,l+\nu-1}\}$. This gives us ν approximate eigenvalues designated as $\mathcal{E}_{l,N}^{(\nu)}$, $N = l, l+1, l+2, ..., l+\nu-1$ and corresponding eigenvectors $\{B_N^{l,(\nu)}\}$. Then, we increase the integer ν until we reach the descripancy $\left|\mathcal{E}_{l,N}^{(\nu)} - \mathcal{E}_{l,N}^{(\nu+1)}\right| / \mathcal{E}_{l,N}^{(\nu)} < 0.001$, for four lower states with

N = l, ... l + 3. The obtained values $\mathcal{E}_{l,N}$ are presented in Table 1. There, for comparison we also show four eigenvalues, $|\mathcal{E}_{N,Coul}|$, of the two-dimensional Coulomb problem of Eq. (38).

Similarly to the Coulomb problem, one can consider N as the "main quantum number". However, unlike the Coulomb problem we obtain an *inverse series* of the energy levels: all quasi-bound states are excited states and larger main quantum number N corresponds to smaller energy $E_{l,N}$. From the results of Table 1, one may see that for the problem under consideration the (2N + 1)fold angular momentum degeneracy, that is characteristic for the Coulomb problem, is lifted, though two-fold degeneracy of the states with $\pm l$ remains (at $l \neq 0$). For any l we obtain $\mathcal{E}_{l,N} > |\mathcal{E}_{N,Coul}|$. This is due to the fact that the function $|\mathcal{E}(q)|$ is always less than the kinetic energy for the Coulomb case, $q^2/2$. When l, Nincrease, the found energies $\mathcal{E}_{l,N}$ become closer to the Coulomb analogs. This can be understood since with increase in l, N, the wavevectors actual for formation of the quasi-coupled states become smaller and difference between functions $|\mathcal{E}(q)|$ and $q^2/2$ diminishes.

For given l, N, the obtained coefficients $\{B_N^l\}$ allow us to calculate the radial wavefunctions, $R_{l,N}(q)$, and the total wavefunctions in momentum space

$$\Phi_{l,N}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-il\phi_q} R_{l,N}(q) , \qquad (41)$$

with q and ϕ_q being the polar coordinates of the vector \mathbf{q} .

Probability to find the electron pair in $\{l, N\}$ -bielectron state with the radial wavevector from the interval q, q + dq is $|R_{l,N}(q)|^2 q dq$. Corresponding probability density is presented in Fig. 4 (a) for several long-living bi-electron states (see below the estimates of the decay time of these states). One can see, that indeed the bielectron wavefunctions are concentrated at the region of small q, where the effective mass of the single-electron spectrum is essentially negative. The larger quantum numbers $\{l, N\}$, the smaller actual q. We found average values of q for different l, N-states: $\overline{q}_{1,1} = 0.65, \overline{q}_{2,2} =$ $0.3, \overline{q}_{3,3} = 0.29, \overline{q}_{4,4} = 0.22.$

The inverse Fourier-Hankel transformation gives the radial wavefunctions in real space, $R_{l,N}(\rho)$. We used these functions to calculate the probability to find two electrons in the interval ρ , $\rho + d\rho$, i.e., $|R_{l,N}(\rho)|^2 \rho d\rho$. Examples of corresponding probability densities are shown in Fig. 4 (b). It is seen, that the probability densities are extended over large relative distances, ρ . The average distances between the electrons in different $\{l, N\}$ -states are: $\overline{\rho}_{1,1} = 2.7, \overline{\rho}_{2,2} = 6.5, \overline{\rho}_{3,3} = 12.7, \overline{\rho}_{4,4} = 22.5$, etc.

C. Decay time calculations

As stressed above, the found $\{l, N\}$ -bi-electron states have to be quasi-stationary. They decay to free particle



Figure 4. (a): Probability densities to find two electrons with the relative momentum q. (b): Probability densities to find two electrons at the relative distance ρ . Results are shown for long living $\{l, N\}$ bi-electron states.

states of the same energy. A formal approach to decay time calculations can be found elsewhere [25].

According to this approach, to determine decay of the found states we shall correct their energies and wavefunctions. For example, in the coordinate representation we may use corrected wavefunctions in the form

$$\Psi_{l,N}(\rho) = A_0 R_{l,N}(\rho) + \int d\mathcal{E} A_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{R}_{l,\mathcal{E}}(\rho) , \qquad (42)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{l,\mathcal{E}}(\rho)$ is the radial functions of free particles given by Eq. (32), A_0 , $A_{\mathcal{E}}$ are unknown coefficient and function. Then, applying the perturbation method to initial Eq. (30) we find these coefficients and an imaginary correction to the energy, $\gamma_{l,N}$:

$$\mathcal{E} \approx \mathcal{E}_{l,N} + i\gamma_{l,N} , \ \gamma_{l,N} = \pi \frac{q_{l,N} |M_{l,N}(q_{l,N})|^2}{|d\mathcal{E}(q)/dq|_{q_{l,N}}}, \quad (43)$$

where $q_{l,N}$ is defined by relationship $\mathcal{E}(q_{l,N}) = \mathcal{E}_{l,N}$ and

the matrix element is defined as follows

$$M_{l,N}(q) = \int_0^\infty d\rho J_l(q_n \rho) R_{l,N}(\rho) = \int_0^\infty dq' q' R_{l,N}(q') Q_l(q',q) \,.$$

Calculated values of $\gamma_{l,N}$ are presented In Table 1.

Decay factor $\gamma_{l,N}$ determines broadening and the life time of the two-electron coupled states,

$$\tau_{l,N}^{dec} = \frac{\hbar}{2\gamma_{l,N}E_B} \,.$$

Results obtained for $\gamma_{l,N}$ are valid if $\gamma_{l,N} \ll \mathcal{E}_{l,N}$, when the energy level $\mathcal{E}_{l,N}$ is well defined. Note, for l = N = 0we obtained $\gamma_{l,N} \gtrsim \mathcal{E}_{l,N}$, which can be interpreted as the *nonexistence* of such a state. For $l \geq 1$, we obtain $\gamma_{l,N}/\mathcal{E}_{l,N} < 1$. This ratio rapidly decreases with increase in l. For $l, N \geq 3$, we find that $\gamma_{l,N}/\mathcal{E}_{l,N} < 10^{-4}$. This correlates with conclusions of the semiclassical analysis made in Subsection II C for the same parameters: semiclassical closed trajectories arise at $l \geq 3$. In addition, for finite values of the angular momenta calculated energies, $\mathcal{E}_{l,N}$, belong to the same energy intervals, for which the closed trajectories in the phase-plane are predicted.

D. Spin states of coupled electrons

Consider briefly spin states of the coupled electron pair. We neglect interaction between spins and orbital motion, that allows us to present the total wavefunction of two electrons as a product of orbital and spin wavefunctions:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \sigma_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \sigma_2) = \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) S(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$$

where σ_1, σ_2 are the electron spins and $S(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a spin function. The Pauli exclusion principle states that for two identical fermions the total wave function is anti-symmetric with respect to exchange of the particles. It is easy to find symmetry properties of the orbital wavefunction of Eq. (28) with respect to permutation $\mathbf{r}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_2$. Indeed, such a permutation corresponds to changing $\mathbf{r} \to -\mathbf{r}$ or, in the polar coordinates, $\{\rho, \phi\}$, to substitution $\phi \to \phi + \pi$. The latter means that for the states with even angular momentum l the orbital wavefunction is symmetric, while those are antisymmetric for odd l. Thus, to satisfy the Pauli exclusion principle for even l the spin function should be antisymmetric, which corresponds to total spin Σ of the electron pair equals 0. For odd l, the spin function should be symmetric, that implies the total spin of the pair equals 1.

E. Moving bi-electron

So far, we considered that the center-of-mass of the electron pair is motionless, which is valid when the total

Table 1: Calculated energies, $\mathcal{E}_{l,N}$, broadenings, $\gamma_{l,N}$, and total spins, Σ , of different quasi-coupled states for the example of bigraphene on SiO_2 substrate discussed in the text. Energies of the Coulomb states, $|\mathcal{E}_{N,Coul}|$, are presented for comparison.

Ν	$ \mathcal{E}_{N,Coul} $	$\mathcal{E}_{1,N}$	$\gamma_{1,N}$	Σ	$\mathcal{E}_{2,N}$	$\gamma_{2,N}$	Σ	$\mathcal{E}_{3,N}$	$\gamma_{3,N}$	Σ	$\mathcal{E}_{4,N}$	$\gamma_{4,N}$	Σ
1	0.222	0.337	0.176	1									
2	0.08	0.102	0.028	1	0.094	0.001	0						
3	0.041	0.048	0.007	1	0.046	410^{-4}	0	0.044	510^{-6}	1			
4	0.025	0.027	0.002	1	0.027	1.510^{-4}	0	0.026	310^{-6}	1	0.026	$< 10^{-6}$	0

momentum of the pair, \mathbf{P} , is zero. If $\mathbf{P} \neq 0$, the electron pair is moving as a whole. For nonparabolic energy dispersion, $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$, this translational motion and relative motion of the electrons in the pair can not be separated. However, assuming that \mathbf{P} is small, we can estimate the kinetic energy of the pair and its effective mass. For that, we use the expansion of the Hamiltonian (1) in series with respect to \mathbf{P} :

$$H \approx H_0(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{4p} \frac{d\epsilon}{dp} \mathbf{P}^2 + \frac{1}{4p^2} \left[\frac{d^2 \epsilon}{dp^2} - \frac{1}{p} \frac{d\epsilon}{dp} \right] (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{p})^2 \equiv H_0(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) + \delta H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{P}) , \qquad (44)$$

where $d\epsilon/dp$ and $d^2\epsilon/dp^2$ are functions of magnitude of the relative momentum **p**; $\delta H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{P})$ is a correction to the Hamiltonian H_0 due to motion of the center-of-mass. Using the scaling of Eqs. (20) we rewrite this correction as

$$\delta \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}) = \frac{\delta H}{E_B} = \frac{1}{8k} \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dk} \mathbf{Q}^2 + \frac{1}{8k^2} \left[\frac{d^2 \mathcal{E}}{dk^2} - \frac{1}{k} \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dk} \right] (\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{k})^2$$

with $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}/p_B$. Applying the perturbation theory method [26] for the $\{l, N\}$ -state of the bi-electron, we obtain the energy correction in the form:

$$\delta \mathcal{E}_{l,N}(\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{\mathbf{Q}^2}{2\mathcal{M}_{l,N}} ,$$
$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_{l,N}} = \frac{1}{8} \int_0^\infty dq \left| R_{l,N}(q) \right|^2 \left[\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dq} + q \frac{d^2 \mathcal{E}}{dq^2} \right] , \qquad (45)$$

where $\delta \mathcal{E}_{l,N}(\mathbf{Q})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{l,N}$ can be interpreted as the dimensionless kinetic energy and the *effective mass* of the bi-electron in the $\{l, N\}$ -state. Dependence of the effective mass of the bi-electron on its "internal" state is, obviously, a manifestation of interaction of center-of-mass motion and internal relative motion.

Note, the wavefunctions $R_{l,N}(q)$ are mainly localized in the range of small q, where both terms in Eq. (45), $d\mathcal{E}/dq$ and $d^2\mathcal{E}/dq^2$, are negative. This imply that the effective mass of the bi-electron should be also negative.

For the discussed example of bigraphene on the SiO_2 substrate, the dimensionless effective masses of the bi-electron in different $\{l, N\}$ -states are: $\mathcal{M}_{1,1} = -16.6, \mathcal{M}_{2,2} = -6, \mathcal{M}_{3,3} = -4.8, \mathcal{M}_{4,4} = -4.47$. Note, for scaling (20), the dimensionless mass of two noninteracting electrons with $q \to 0$ equals -4. Thus bi-electrons are "heavy" particles with negative masses.

IV. DISCUSSION

In the present paper, we analyzed interaction of two electrons from the same energy band with the complex single-particle energy dispersion. Both semiclassical and quantum considerations showed that two electrons with the MH single-electron energy dispersion, $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$, can form a composite quasi-particle - the bi-electron. The coupling energies - energy of relative motion of the two electrons - are positive (with respect to $2\epsilon(0)$). That is, the bielectron corresponds to an excited state of the electron system. Bi-electron coupled states exist in the continuum of the extended (free) states of the electron pair. Thus, according to the quantum mechanics, the found two-electron coupled states are of quasi-resonant character and have finite times of life. Their times of life increase with the increase of angular momentum, thus more stable are the rotating bi-electrons. The semiclassical analysis also leads to stable coupled electron pair only at finite values of the angular momentum. Besides, the semiclassical analysis showed that relative motion of two electrons is a peculiar character: depending on the energy and the angular momentum (i.e., on the impact parameter) e-e scattering can have three reversal points and reversal points at non-zero radial momentum, etc.

The coupling energy, life-time, angular momentum, radius and other characteristics of the bi-electrons are determined by the particular $\epsilon(p)$ -dependence and dielectric properties of the system. Let us discuss these parameters for the above considered example of bigraphene on the SiO_2 substrate in the transverse electric field. Using the scaling given in Eqs. (24), for relatively stable bi-electron states one can find: $E_{2,2} = 12.4 \, meV, \tau_{2,2}^{dec} = 0.5 \, ps,$ $\begin{array}{l} \overline{r}_{2,2} \ \approx \ 29.8 \, nm; \ E_{3,3} \ = \ 5.6 \, meV, \ \tau^{dec}_{3,3} \ = \ 1 \, ns, \ \overline{r}_{3,3} \ \approx \\ 58.2 \, nm; \ E_{4,4} \ = \ 3.3 \, meV, \ \tau^{dec}_{4,4} \ > \ 5 \, ns, \ \overline{r}_{4,4} \ \approx \ 100 \, nm, \end{array}$ where $E_{l,N}$, $\tau_{l,N}^{dec}$ and $\overline{r}_{l,N}$ are the bi-electron energy, lifetime and radius in the $\{l, N\}$ -state. As is seen, the quasicoupled states with large angular momenta $(l \gtrsim 3)$ have macroscopically long life-times. Note, just these coupled bi-electron states are allowed in the semiclassical theory. The sizes (radii) of these states are also large $(\overline{r} > 50 \, nm)$, they increase $\propto N$ at $N \gg 1$.

Note also, for the parameters discussed above, the distances to the upper valence band and the first excited electron band are estimated to be 0.21 eV and 0.31 eV, respectively, which are much larger than the found coupling energies of the bi-electron, 0.012...0.003 eV. That proves applicability of the single band approximation used in this paper.

For the same bigraphene, varying the transverse electric field and/or dielectric environment, one can change parameters of the bi-electrons. As an example, consider briefly the free-standing bigraphene when one can set $\kappa_0 = 1$. Then, according to the scaling (24) at the voltage bias of the same amplitude the coupling energies of the bi-electrons should be larger than those discussed above by factor $(1+\kappa_{0,SiO_2})^2/4 \approx 6$ and the corresponding radii of the quantum states should be smaller by factor ≈ 2.5 .

The bi-electron can move as a whole. Such a translational motion can be characterized by the total momentum of the composite particle. At small value of the total momentum, the kinetic energy of the bi-electron is a quadratic function of the momentum. Thus, one can introduce an effective mass of the bi-electron. Due to strongly nonparabolic character of the energy dispersion, the translational motion is coupled to relative motion of electrons composing the bi-electron. As the result, the effective mass of the bi-electron depends on its quantum state. Using the scaling given by Eqs (20) and results of Subsection IIIE, for the analyzed example of bigraphene we obtain the following values of the effective mass: $-0.46 m_0$ for l = N = 1; $-0.17 m_0$ for l = N = 2; $-0.13 m_0$ for l = N = 3 and $-0.12 m_0$ for l = N = 4, while the effective mass of a single electron at k = 0equals $-0.056 m_0$. Thus the effective mass of the bielectron is negative and considerably varies with quantum numbers, l, N.

Above, properties of the bi-electron were illustrated for the example of bigraphene in transverse electric field. For this case, the MH single-electron energy spectrum is induced by an external voltage. For realistic voltages, critical parameters of the MH spectrum $(p_m, \epsilon(p_m), M)$ are such that energies of coupled states are relatively small $(\leq 10 \text{ meV})$ and radii of states are large $(\geq 50, nm)$. For two-dimensional crystals with *intrinsic* MH spectrum (for example, III-VI compounds [5 and 6]), the characteristic parameters are favorable for formation of the biparticles with larger coupling energies and stronger localization.

V. SUMMARY

We analyzed the interaction of a pair of the electrons, which are characterized by the mexican-hat singleelectron energy dispersion. We have showed that relative motion of the electron pair is of a very peculiar character. For example, the real space trajectories corresponding to electron-electron scattering can have three reversal points and reversal points at non-zero radial momentum. These trajectories are strongly different from the usual ones. Despite the repulsive Coulomb interaction, two electrons can be coupled forming a composite particle - the bielectron. The bi-electron corresponds to excited states of two-electron system. The bi-electron coupled states exist in continuum of extended (free) states of the electron pair. Thus, the found bi-electron states are of quasiresonant character and have finite times of life. We found that the rotating bi-electron is the long-living composite particle. When spin-orbital interaction is negligibly small, the bi-electron states with even angular momenta have zero spin (singlet states), while those with odd angular momenta have unitary spin (triplet states). The bi-electrons can be in translational motion. For slowly moving bi-electron, we have determined the kinetic energy and the effective mass of the composite particle. Due to strongly nonparabolic energy dispersion, the translational motion of the bi-electron is coupled to its internal motion. This results in effective masses dependent on quantum states of the bi-electron.

The studied rotating bi-electron replenishes the list of composite quasi-particles with the Coulomb interaction which are already known for low-dimensional structures, such as excitons, negatively and positively charged excitons (trions) [27–29], and more complex fractionallycharged quasi-particles (anyons) under the fractional Hall effect [30], etc. Because there is a number of indications that the mexican-hat single-electron energy dispersion occurs for novel two-dimensional materials, we suggest that investigation of rotating bi-electrons may bring new interesting effects in low-dimensional and lowtemperature physics.

- A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, The electronic properties of graphene, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 109 (2009).
- [2] M. Orlita1 and M. Potemski, Dirac electronic states in graphene systems: optical spectroscopy studies, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 25, 063001 (2010).
- [3] V. Zolyomi, N. D. Drummond, and V. I. Falko, Band structure and optical transitions in atomic layers of hexagonal gallium chalcogenides Phys. Rev., B 87, 195403 (2013).
- [4] D. V. Rybkovskiy, A. V. Osadchy, and E. D. Obraztsova, Transition from parabolic to ring-shaped valence band maximum in few-layer GaS, GaSe, and InSe, Phys. Rev. B 90, 235302 (2014).
- [5] D. Wickramaratne, F. Zahid, R. K. Lake, Electronic and thermoelectric properties of van der Waals materials with ring-shaped valence bands, J. Appl. Phys., **118**, 075101 (2015).
- [6] I. A. Kibirev, A. V. Matetskiy, A. V. Zotov, and A. A. Saranin, Thickness-dependent transition of the valence band shape from parabolic to Mexican-hat-like in the MBE grown InSe ultrathin films Appl. Phys. Lett., 112, 191602 (2018).
- [7] K. Ortner, X. C. Zhang, A. Pfeuffer-Jeschke, C. R. Becker, G. Landwehr and L. W. Molenkamp, MBE growth and characterization of Hg based compounds and heterostructures, Phys. Rev., B 66, 075322 (2002).
- [8] G. M. Minkov, A. V. Germanenko, O. E. Rut, A. A. Sher-

stobitov, S. A. Dvoretski, and N. N. Mikhailov, Weak antilocalization in HgTe quantum wells with inverted energy spectra, Phys. Rev. **B 85**, 235312 (2012).

- [9] Y. Jiang, S. Thapa, G. D. Sanders, C. J. Stanton, Q. Zhang, J. Kono, W. K. Lou, K. Chang, S. D. Hawkins, J. F. Klem, W. Pan, D. Smirnov, and Z. Jiang, Probing the semiconductor to semimetal transition in InAs/GaSb double quantum wells by magneto-infrared spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B 95, 045116 (2017).
- [10] E. F. Gross, V. I. Perel, R. I. Shekhmametev, Inverse hydrogenlike series in optical excitation of light charged particles in a bismuth iodide (BiI₃) crystal, JETP Lett., 13, 229 (1971);
- [11] E. I. Rashba, V. M. Edelstein, Magnetic Coulomb Levels Near the Saddle Points, JETP Letters 9, 287 (1969).
- [12] M. M. Mahmoodiana, and A. V. Chaplik, Bielectron Formed in a 2D System by the Spin-orbit Interaction and Image Forces, JETP Letters, 107, 564 (2018).
- [13] J. Sabio, F. Sols, and F. Guinea, Two-body problem in graphene, Phys. Rev., B 81, 045428 (2010).
- [14] R. N. Lee, A. I. Milstein, and I. S. Terekhov, Quasilocalized states in a model of electron-electron interaction in graphene, Phys. Rev. B 86, 035425 (2012).
- [15] L. L. Marnham and A. V. Shytov, Metastable electronelectron states in double-layer graphene structures, Phis. Rev. B 92, 085409 (2015).
- [16] V. A. Sablikov, Two-body problem for two-dimensional electrons in the Bernervig-Hughes-Zhang model, Phys. Rev B 95 085417 (2017).
- [17] C. A. Downing, M. E. Portnoi, Bielectron vortices in twodimensional Dirac semimetals, Nature Communications, 8:897 1 (2017).
- [18] J. Kevorkian and J. D. Cole, Perturbation Methods in Applied Mathematics (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998)
- [19] By simple substitutions $r \to rp_{\phi}$, $t \to tp_{\phi}$ one can show that for a given energy dispersion $\epsilon(p)$, the only actual parameter in Eqs (4), (5) and (9) that has an effect on trajectories in the phase-plane, as well as in real space, is α/p_{ϕ} .

- [20] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, *Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 3rd edition, 1976).
- [21] The single energy band equation can be used for the analysis of electron states with energies nearby this band, when contributions of other remote energy bands are negligible.
- [22] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic Press, San Diego, 6th edition, 2000).
- [23] I. N. Bronshtein, K. A. Semendyayev, G. Musio and H. Muehlig, *Handbook of Mathematics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 4th edition, 2004).
- [24] T. Shibuya and C. E. Wulfman, The Kepler problem in two-dimensional momentum space, Am. J. Phys. 33, 570 (1965). D. G. W. Parfitt and M. E. Portnoi, The twodimensional hydrogen atom revisited, J. Math. Phys. 43, 4681 (2002).
- [25] F. T. Vasko, O. E. Raichev, Quantum kinetic theory and applications. Electrons, Photons, Phonons (Kluwer Academic Publisher, Boston, 2005).
- [26] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics* (*Non-relativistic Theory*) (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 3rd edition, 1977).
- [27] M. A. Lampert, Mobile and Immobile Effective-Mass-Particle Complexes in Nonmetallic Solids, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1, 450 (1958).
- [28] K. Kheng, R. T. Cox, M. Y. d'Aubignè, F. Bassani, K. Saminadayar, and S. Tatarenko, Observation of negatively charged excitons X⁻ in semiconductor quantum wells, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1752 (1993).
- [29] A. J. Shields, J. L. Osborne, M. Y. Simmons, M. Pepper, and D. A. Ritchie, Magneto-optical spectroscopy of positively charged excitons in GaAs quantum wells, Phys. Rev. B 52, R5523 (1965).
- [30] Prange R. E. and Girvin S. M. The Quantum Hall Effect (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987); Chakraborty T and Pietil ainen P The Quantum Hall Effects: Integer and Fractional. (Springer, Berlin, 2nd edition, 1995)