

BERGMAN KERNELS ON DEGENERATIONS

LINSHENG WANG AND SHENGXUAN ZHOU

ABSTRACT. We introduce the fiberwise Bergman kernel for a flat family of polarized varieties over a Riemann surface, which extends the classical Bergman kernel defined on the reduced fibers. We establish the continuity of the fiberwise Bergman kernel and provide a result on uniform convergence for the Fubini-Study currents. As a consequence, we show that the fiberwise Bergman kernel on test configurations exhibits continuity, and the Fubini-Study currents converge uniformly.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Fiberwise Bergman kernels	4
3. The continuity of fiberwise Bergman kernels	7
4. Uniform convergence of Fubini-Study currents	12
5. Applications	20
5.1. Relatively ample line bundles	20
5.2. Test configurations	21
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

The Bergman kernels of polarized manifolds serve a significant purpose in Kähler geometry as a localized version of the Hilbert polynomial. In the seminal work [Tia90a], Tian utilized his peak section technique to establish that the Bergman metrics corresponding to L^m approach the original polarized metric as m tends to infinity in the C^2 -topology. Moreover, the Bergman kernels are the Kähler potentials of the Bergman metrics. Subsequent to Tian's work, numerous studies have investigated the asymptotic behavior of Bergman kernels and Bergman metrics on a single polarized manifold, as documented in [Rua98, Zel98, Cat97, LL16, DLM06]. This collection of research has yielded a localized version of the asymptotic Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula, recognized as the Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion. In the case of holomorphic submersions, Ma-Zhang [MZ22] have formulated a local version of the asymptotic Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem.

Moreover, an intriguing inquiry is the characterization of Bergman kernels on a particular family of polarized Kähler manifolds. One prominent problem in the study of Bergman kernels, known

as the partial C^0 -estimate, is to determine whether there exists a uniform positive lower bound for Bergman kernels on a specific class of polarized Kähler manifolds. The partial C^0 -estimate was first introduced in Tian's work [Tia90b] on the search for Kähler-Einstein metrics on del Pezzo surfaces and is often regarded as an effective version of the finite generation of the ring $R(X, L) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^k)$ (see for example [Li12]), akin to Matsusaka's big theorem as an effective version of Kodaira's embedding theorem. The partial C^0 -estimate, assuming a lower bound on Ricci curvature and non-collapsing condition, has been a powerful tool in the study of K-stability and the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture [Tia13, Tia15, CDS15], and there have been many works dedicated to this problem [DS14, Jia16, LS22, Szé16, WZ21, Zha21a]. It is worth noting that the partial C^0 -estimate is closely related to the convergence of the Bergman kernel.

This article explores the Bergman kernels on a flat family of complex spaces, specifically defining fiberwise Bergman kernels for flat families over Riemann surfaces and analyzing the continuity of these kernels on the total spaces. When all fibers of the family are reduced complex spaces, the fiberwise Bergman kernel coincides with the standard Bergman kernels on fibers. However, in many cases (including some of interest), the fibers of a flat family are not all reduced. By studying the behavior of the fiberwise Bergman kernel on the total space of degeneration, we can gain insights into the geometric properties of flat families of complex spaces.

Let us define the context of our study. Consider a normal complex space \mathcal{X} and a Riemann surface C . Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a proper surjective morphism with reduced general fibers. Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on \mathcal{X} equipped with a continuous Hermitian metric h , and ω is a continuous 2-form on \mathcal{X} such that its restriction to each fiber is a Hermitian form. Denote the scheme-theoretical fiber of π at $t \in C$ by $\mathcal{X}_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$, which may not necessarily be reduced. The first theorem of our paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The function $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ is constant for all $t \in C$.*
- (2) *The fiberwise Bergman kernel (as defined in Definition 2.3) $\rho_{\mathcal{L}, \pi(x)}(x)$ is continuous on \mathcal{X} .*

Remark 1.2. The theorem remains valid even when C is a higher-dimensional normal complex space, provided that we assume π to be flat with reduced fibers. It is worth noting that when C is a Riemann surface, the flatness of π follows automatically. For further information, see Lemma 2.1.

The Bergman kernel can be interpreted as a local version of 0-th cohomology. Therefore, the continuity of the fiberwise Bergman kernel on fiber spaces can be seen as a local version of the 0-th Betti numbers of the line bundle's restriction on the fibers being constant.

Next result of this paper goes back to Tian's work [Tia90a] which says that any polarized metric can be approximated by the Bergman metrics. This result has been extended to all Fubini-Study currents (may not be rational) by [CMM17]. With the notation of fiberwise Bergman kernels, we can generalize the approximation result into a uniform version.

Consider Hermitian line bundles $\{F_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ on \mathcal{X} equipped with continuous Hermitian metrics h_j . We denote by $h_{j,t}$ the restriction of h_j on \mathcal{X}_t . Assume that $c_1(h_{1,t}) \geq \varepsilon \omega_t$ for some uniform

constant $\varepsilon > 0$ with respect to all $t \in C$, and $c_1(h_{j,t}) \geq 0$ for all $t \in C$ and $2 \leq j \leq k$. For any $1 \leq j \leq k$, let $\{p_{j,m}\}$ be a sequence of positive integers with $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{j,m}}{m} = r_j > 0$. Denote $\mathcal{L}_m = F_1^{p_{1,m}} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_k^{p_{k,m}}$ and $h^{\mathcal{L}_m} = \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{p_{j,m}}$. The fiberwise Bergman kernel function of $(\mathcal{L}_m, h^{\mathcal{L}_m})$ on \mathcal{X} is simply denoted as $\rho_m(x) = \rho_{\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_m, t}(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t = \pi(x)$. Note that $\sum_j r_j c_1(h_{j,t})$ is not an integral class in general.

Theorem 1.3. *The series of functions*

$$\varphi_m(t) := \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \frac{1}{m} |\log(\rho_m)| \omega_t^n$$

converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of C as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, for any smooth $(n-1, n-1)$ -form α on \mathcal{X} , the sequence

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j c_1(h_{j,t}) - \frac{1}{m} \omega_{FS, m, t} \right) \wedge \alpha$$

converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of C as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

There are some examples that Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 will apply.

Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on \mathcal{X} which is relatively ample with respect to π (or simply π -ample), that is, there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and holomorphic sections $s_0, \dots, s_N \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, r\mathcal{L})$ inducing a closed embedding $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \times C$, which is compatible with π . The line bundle $r\mathcal{L}$ is called relatively very ample with respect to π . In this case, we conclude that for sufficiently large integer $m > 0$, the fiberwise Bergman kernel of \mathcal{L}^m is continuous.

The first nontrivial example is the algebraic elliptic surfaces. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ be a polarized (hence algebraic) elliptic surface, with the corresponding elliptic fibration $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$. By Kodaira's work [Kod60, Kod63] on the classification of elliptic surfaces, the singular fibers of π can be classified into 8 different types, and most of them are non-reduced, see [Kod63, Theorem 6.2]. By the above Corollary, we see that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the fiberwise Bergman kernel of \mathcal{L}^m is a continuous function on \mathcal{X} .

Another example is the test configuration (or special degeneration) introduced by [Tia97, Don02] in studying the existence of Kähler-Einstein metric on Fano manifolds. The so-called Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture predicts that a Fano manifold X with no nontrivial holomorphic vector field admits Kähler-Einstein metric if and only if it is K-stable, that is, for any ample test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of $(X, -K_X)$, the generalized Futaki invariant $\text{Fut}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is positive. A test configuration is a polarized family $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with a \mathbb{C}^* -action making π equivariant. Hence the general fibers are isomorphic, but the central fiber \mathcal{X}_0 may be reducible and non-reduced. See Section 5.2 for details.

Corollary 1.4. *For any (semiample) test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of a polarized projective variety (X, L) , the fiberwise Bergman kernels $\rho_m(x)$ of $(\mathcal{X}, r\mathcal{L}, h, \omega)$ are continuous on \mathcal{X} , where $r \in \mathbb{N}$*

and $r\mathcal{L}$ is a globally generated line bundle. Moreover, $\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \frac{1}{m} |\log(\rho_m)| \omega_t^n$ converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of C as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.5. In general, the central fiber \mathcal{X}_0 of a test configuration is not a normal space. As far as the authors are aware, this corollary is novel even when only considering the convergence of the Fubini-Study currents on the central fiber.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the classical Bergman kernel and give the definition of the fiberwise Bergman kernel. We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3, and Corollary 1.4 in Section 4. Some applications of the above theorems will be discussed in Section 5.

2. FIBERWISE BERGMAN KERNELS

In this section, we define the fiberwise Bergman kernels for proper flat morphisms, and we show that the continuity of the fiberwise Bergman kernels implies the constancy of 0^{th} betti numbers of the restriction of line bundle on fibers.

Let us revisit the standard Bergman kernel on reduced complex space. For further information, refer to [CMM17]. Consider a complex space X with a Radon measure μ and a holomorphic line bundle L equipped with a continuous Hermitian metric h . We define the *Bergman space* as the set of holomorphic sections s of L satisfying $\int_X \|s\|_h^2 d\mu < \infty$, denoted as $H_{L^2}^0(X, L)$. The space is endowed with the L^2 -inner product $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{L^2; X, L, h} = \int_X \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_h^2 d\mu$, and the corresponding L^2 -norm $\|s\|_{L^2; X, L, h} = \langle s, s \rangle_{L^2; X, L, h}^{1/2}$. We use the shorthand $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{L^2}$ and $\|s\|_{L^2}$ for brevity. The *Bergman kernel function* is defined as

$$\rho_{X, \mu, L, h}(x) = \sup \|s(x)\|_h^2,$$

where $x \in X$ and the supremum is taken over all $s \in H_{L^2}^0(X, L)$ with $\|s\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$. We may also use the abbreviated notation $\rho_L(x)$ when the measure and Hermitian metric are understood.

We make some preparation before defining the fiberwise Bergman kernel functions.

Consider normal complex spaces \mathcal{X} and C . Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a proper surjective morphism with reduced general fibers. Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on \mathcal{X} equipped with a continuous Hermitian metric h , and ω is a continuous 2-form on \mathcal{X} whose restriction to each fiber is a Hermitian form. Denote the scheme-theoretical fiber of π at $t \in C$ by $\mathcal{X}_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$, whose reduction $\mathcal{X}_{t, \text{red}}$ is the set-theoretical fiber of π . Assume that π satisfies one of the following conditions:

- π is flat, and all fibers of π are reduced;
- C is a Riemann surface.

In the first case, the fiberwise Bergman kernel can be defined as the standard Bergman kernel on reduced complex spaces. We assume that C is a Riemann surface. There may be a discrete set of points over which the fibers are non-reduced. In this case, the flatness of π follows automatically by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{X} be a reduced and irreducible analytic variety, and $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a surjective holomorphic map to a Riemann surface C . Then π is flat.*

Proof. The question is local on C . We may assume that $C = \Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z|^2 < 1\}$ is the unit disk, and \mathcal{X}_t is reduced for all $t \in \Delta^\circ = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ the holomorphic structure sheaf of X , and it suffice to show that the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[t]_{(t)}$ (the local ring of $\mathbb{C}[t]$ at the ideal (t)) for any $x \in \pi^{-1}(0)$. Since \mathcal{X} is reduced and irreducible, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ is an integral domain. It is a torsion free $\mathbb{C}[t]_{(t)}$ algebra, hence is flat. \square

Note that \mathcal{X}_0 may be non-reduced. Since π is flat, all the irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_0 is of codimension one in \mathcal{X} . Hence we have the decomposition $\mathcal{X}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^q m_j Y_j$ as an effective divisor on \mathcal{X} , where Y_j are the irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_0 . Let f be a continuous function on $\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$, and μ a non-negative measure on $\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$. We define the integration with multiplicity by

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_0} f d\mu := \sum_{j=1}^q m_j \int_{Y_j} f d\mu,$$

where $\int_{Y_j} f d\mu$ are the standard integration. If $f = \mathbf{1}_A$ for some subset $A \subset \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$, then we write $\int_A d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}_0} f d\mu$. When \mathcal{X}_0 is reduced, we see that all the m_i are 1, and the integration $\int_{\mathcal{X}_0} f d\mu$ is consistent with the standard definition.

With the notations above, we have the following theorem of J. King.

Theorem 2.2. [Kin71, Theorem 3.3.2] *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function, and let ω_t be the restriction of ω on $\mathcal{X}_{t,\text{red}}$. Then the function of fiberwise integration with multiplicity, $\varphi(t) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} f \omega_t^n$, is continuous on C .*

Fix $0 \neq s \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$. Then for any point $p \in \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$, there exists an open neighbourhood U of p in \mathcal{X} and a holomorphic section $\tilde{s} \in H^0(U, \mathcal{L})$ such that $\tilde{s}|_{\mathcal{X}_0} = s$. If $U \cap Y_j \neq \emptyset$, then it is a prime divisor on U . We may define

$$\text{ord}_{Y_j}(s) = \begin{cases} \text{ord}_{Y_j}(\tilde{s}) & \text{if } \text{ord}_{Y_j}(\tilde{s}) \leq m_j - 1; \\ \infty & \text{if } \text{ord}_{Y_j}(\tilde{s}) \geq m_j, \end{cases}$$

which is independent of the choice of U and \tilde{s} . And we denote by

$$\text{ord}_0(s) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq q} \frac{\text{ord}_{Y_j}(s)}{m_j}.$$

Since s is non-zero in $H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$, we have $\text{ord}_0(s) < 1$. By reordering those j , we may assume that $\text{ord}_0(s) = \frac{\text{ord}_{Y_1}(s)}{m_1}$. Hence $t^{-\text{ord}_{Y_1}(s)} \tilde{s}^{m_1}$ is a non-zero holomorphic section in $H^0(U, \mathcal{L}^{m_1})$, and we have a continuous function

$$h(t^{-\text{ord}_{Y_1}(s)} \tilde{s}^{m_1})^{\frac{1}{m_1}} = |t|^{-2\text{ord}_0(s)} h(\tilde{s}),$$

on U . Hence for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq \text{ord}_0(s)$, we may define

$$\tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s})(x) = |\pi(x)|^{-2\alpha} h(\tilde{s})(x), x \in U,$$

which is a continuous function on U . Note that the restriction of this function on $U \cap \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$ is independent of the choice of \tilde{s} . We get a continuous function on $U \cap \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$ by

$$h_{0,\alpha}(s) = \tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s})|_{U \cap \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}}.$$

By taking an open cover, we see that the function $h_{0,\alpha}(s)$ is defined on the whole $\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$. The function $h_{0,\alpha}(s)$ is identically zero on $\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$ when $\text{ord}_0(s) > \alpha$, and is non-zero on some irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$ when $\text{ord}_0(s) = \alpha$.

Similarly, we may define a function $h_{0,\alpha}(s, s')$ on $\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$ for $s, s' \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ with $\text{ord}_0(s), \text{ord}_0(s') \geq \alpha$. For any point $p \in \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$ there exists open neighbourhood U of p in \mathcal{X} such that s, s' extends to holomorphic sections \tilde{s}, \tilde{s}' on U respectively. We first define

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}')(x) &= \tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s} + \tilde{s}')(x) - \tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s})(x) - \tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s}')(x) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{-1} \left(\tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s} + \sqrt{-1}\tilde{s}')(x) - \tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s})(x) - \tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s}')(x) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in U$. The restriction of such a function on $U \cap \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$ is also independent of the choice of \tilde{s}, \tilde{s}' . Then we denote by

$$h_{0,\alpha}(s, s') := \tilde{h}_{0,\alpha}(\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}')|_{U \cap \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}},$$

which is indeed defined on the whole $\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$. It's clear that $h_{0,\alpha}(s, s) = h_{0,\alpha}(s)$. The function $h_{0,\alpha}(s, s')$ is identically zero if $\text{ord}_0(s) + \text{ord}_0(s') > 2\alpha$.

The function ord_0 induces a descending filtration \mathcal{F} on $H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$, which is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^\lambda H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) = \{s \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) : \text{ord}_0(s) \geq \lambda\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

There are rational numbers $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_l < 1$ such that the locally constant function $\lambda \mapsto \dim \mathcal{F}^\lambda H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ only jumps at those λ_i . Hence the image of the map $\text{ord}_0 : H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is just $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l, \infty\}$. Write $\lambda_{l+1} = 1$, then $\mathcal{F}^{\lambda_{l+1}} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) = 0$.

By definition, the pairing $h_{0,\lambda_i}(-, \sim)(x)$ is a Hermitian form on $\mathcal{F}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$, which is only semi-positive definite. One can see that $h_{0,\lambda_i}(s, s')(x) = 0$ when s or $s' \in \mathcal{F}^{\lambda_{i+1}} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$. Hence $h_{0,\lambda_i}(-, \sim)(x)$ is actually a Hermitian form on $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$, where

$$\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) = \mathcal{F}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) / \mathcal{F}^{\lambda_{i+1}} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0).$$

Let $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^l \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$. For any $x \in \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$, we denoted by

$$h_0(-, \sim)(x) := \sum_{i=1}^l h_{0,\lambda_i}(-, \sim)(x),$$

which is a Hermitian form on $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$.

For any $s \in \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) \setminus \{0\}$, we have $h_0(s, s)(x) > 0$ for some $x \in \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$. Hence the integral

$$\langle s, s' \rangle_{L^2; \mathcal{X}_0} = \int_{\mathcal{X}_0} h_0(s, s') \omega_0^n,$$

defines a Hermitian metric on $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$. And the graded decomposition

$$\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^l \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0),$$

is orthogonal with respect to this Hermitian metric. We simply denote by $\|s\|_{L^2; \mathcal{X}_0} = (\langle s, s \rangle_{L^2; \mathcal{X}_0})^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Now we are ready to state the definition of fiberwise Bergman kernel on \mathcal{X}_0 .

Definition 2.3. The *fiberwise Bergman kernel* of the system $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, h, \omega)$ on \mathcal{X}_0 is defined to be the function on $\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$

$$\rho_{\mathcal{L}_0}(x) = \sup \|s(x)\|_{h_0}^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}},$$

where the supremum runs over all $s \in \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ with $\|s\|_{L^2; \mathcal{X}_0} = 1$.

Remark 2.4. If \mathcal{X}_0 is reduced, $0 \neq s \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ implies that $\text{ord}_0(s) = 0$, $h_0 = h$, and $\rho_{\mathcal{L}_0}$ is just the standard Bergman kernel on the reduced complex space. Hence the fiberwise Bergman kernel can be viewed as a generalization of the standard Bergman kernel.

Let $\{s_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq N}$ be an L^2 -orthonormal basis of $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$. Then we have

$$\rho_{\mathcal{L}_0}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \|s_j(x)\|_{h_0}^2.$$

Indeed, for any $s \in \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ with $\|s\|_{L^2; \mathcal{X}_0}^2 = 1$, we have $s = \sum_j a_j s_j$ for some $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sum_j |a_j|^2 = 1$. Then by Schwarz lemma we have $\|s(x)\|_{h_0}^2 \leq \sum_j \|s_j(x)\|_{h_0}^2$, and the equality holds if and only if $a_j s_j(x) = a_i s_i(x)$ for all i, j .

As a consequence, we have $\int_{\mathcal{X}_0} \rho_{\mathcal{L}_0} \omega_0^n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{gr}H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) = h^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$. By Theorem 2.2, we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.5. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, \omega, h, \pi, C)$ be as above. Assume that either C is a Riemann surface or all fibers of π are reduced. If the fiberwise Bergman kernel $\rho_{\mathcal{L}_{\pi(x)}}(x)$ is continuous. Then the function $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ is constant for all $t \in C$.

3. THE CONTINUITY OF FIBERWISE BERGMAN KERNELS

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in this section. The key ingredient is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (Grauert). Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a proper flat morphism between reduced complex spaces. For any line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} , the function $t \mapsto h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ is upper semi-continuous on C in Zariski topology. Moreover, if $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ is constant for $t \in C$, then the coherent sheaf $\pi_* \mathcal{L}$ is locally free, and the restriction map induces an isomorphism $(\pi_* \mathcal{L})_t / \mathfrak{m}_t(\pi_* \mathcal{L})_t \cong H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ for any $t \in C$.

Proof. See [GS84, Section 10.5] or [KV71] for details. An algebraic version of this theorem can be found in [Har77, Theorem 3.12.8]. \square

As a consequence, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a proper flat morphism between reduced complex spaces. For any line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *The function $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ is constant for $t \in C$.*
- (2) *For any $t_0 \in C$, there are holomorphic sections $\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N \in H^0(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{L})$, where U is an open neighbourhood of t_0 in C , such that the restriction map induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\langle \tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N \rangle \cong H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ for any $t \in U$.*

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial. Now we consider (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Assume that $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ is constant. By Theorem 3.1, we see that $\pi_*\mathcal{L}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle on C , and for any $t \in C$, the restriction map gives an isomorphism $(\pi_*\mathcal{L})_t/\mathfrak{m}_t(\pi_*\mathcal{L})_t \cong H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$. Fix $t_0 \in C$. Then there exist an open neighbourhood U of t_0 and a frame $\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N \in H^0(U, \pi_*\mathcal{L}) \cong H^0(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{L})$. Since the restriction map $(\pi_*\mathcal{L})_t/\mathfrak{m}_t(\pi_*\mathcal{L})_t \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ are isomorphisms, we conclude that for any $t \in U$, the restriction map induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\langle \tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N \rangle \cong H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$. \square

In order to demonstrate Theorem 1.1, it is necessary to utilize the subsequent lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{X} be a normal complex space, ω be a smooth Hermitian metric on \mathcal{X}_{reg} , and $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a surjective proper flat morphism with reduced general fibers, where C is a Riemann surface. Let $\mathcal{X}_{t_0} = \sum_{j=1}^q m_j Y_j$, where $t_0 \in C$, and Y_j are the irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_{t_0} . Let K be a compact subset of $\mathcal{X}_{0, \text{red, reg}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}}$. Then there exists an open neighbourhood Δ_{t_0} of $t_0 \in C$ satisfying the following properties.*

For any $t \in \Delta_{t_0}$, there exist an open subset $\Omega_{K,t} \subset \mathcal{X}_{t, \text{red, reg}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}}$ and a non-degenerate C^∞ map $F_{K,t} : \Omega_{K,t} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{0, \text{red, reg}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}}$ such that the image of $F_{K,t}$ containing K , $\sup_{x \in \Omega_{K,t}} d_\omega(x, F_{K,t}(x)) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$, $\|F_{K,t}^ \omega_{t_0} - \omega_t\|_{C^m, \omega_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $F_{K,t}^{-1}(x)$ has m_j points when $x \in Y_j \cap K$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, where ω_t is the restriction of ω on $\mathcal{X}_{t, \text{red, reg}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}}$.*

Loosely speaking, this means that there are maps $F_{K,t}$ between big open subsets of the general fibers and the central fiber inducing metric convergence as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Similar to the argument in [Pet16, Theorem 11.3.6 (C)], we use the standard gluing program to prove the lemma.

The question is local on C , we just assume that $C = \Delta$, $t_0 = 0$, and \mathcal{X}_t is reduced for all $t \in \Delta^\circ = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\mathcal{X}_0 = \cup_{j=1}^q Y_j$ be the irreducible decomposition. Since π is flat, we see that Y_j are prime divisors on \mathcal{X} . Note that \mathcal{X}_0 may be non-reduced. We may assume that Y_j has multiplicity m_j in \mathcal{X}_0 .

Let $Y_{j,\text{reg}} := Y_j \setminus (\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red,sing}} \cup \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}})$. Since \mathcal{X} is normal, $Y_{\text{reg}} = \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red,reg}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}} = \coprod_j Y_{j,\text{reg}}$ is a disjoint union of open dense subsets of $\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}}$.

For any point $y \in Y_{j,\text{reg}}$, there exist a coordinate chart U_y of y in \mathcal{X} with coordinates z_0, \dots, z_n such that $\pi(z_0, \dots, z_n) = z_0^{m_j}$. Hence $U_y \cap \mathcal{X}_t = \coprod_{k=1}^{m_j} U_{y,t}^k$ is a disjoint union of m_j open subsets which are biholomorphic to each other, and we have biholomorphic maps $f_t^k : U_{y,t}^k \rightarrow U_{y,0} = U_y \cap Y$, $1 \leq k \leq m_j$ for $t \in \Delta^\circ$ with sufficiently small norm. We have the metric convergence $\|f_t^{k*} \omega_t - \omega_0\|_{C^m, \omega_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ for any $m \geq 2$.

For another coordinate chart V of y in \mathcal{X} , similarly we have $V \cap \mathcal{X}_t = \coprod_{k=1}^{m_j} V_t^k$ and biholomorphic maps $g_t^k : V_t^k \rightarrow V_0$, $1 \leq k \leq m_j$. We may assume that $U_t^k \cap V_t^k \neq \emptyset$. The restrictions $f_t^k|_{U_t^k \cap V_t^k}$ and $g_t^k|_{U_t^k \cap V_t^k}$ may be different. Instead, we have $\|f_t^k - g_t^k\|_{C^\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. More explicitly

$$\|\zeta^{-1} \circ f_t^k|_{U_t^k \cap V_t^k} \circ \eta - \zeta^{-1} \circ g_t^k|_{U_t^k \cap V_t^k} \circ \eta\|_{C^{m+1}, \omega_{\text{Euc}}} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, for any $m \geq 2$, and some (hence any) holomorphic coordinate charts $\eta : \Delta^n \rightarrow U_t^k \cap V_t^k$ and $\zeta : \Delta^n \rightarrow U_0 \cap V_0$. We just say that f_t^k and g_t^k are *arbitrarily close*.

We may choose a sequence of such coordinate charts $\{U_s^j\}_{1 \leq j \leq q, s \in \mathbb{N}}$ with corresponding biholomorphic maps $f_{ts}^{jk} : U_{ts}^{jk} \rightarrow U_{0s}^j$ where $U_{0s}^j = U_s^j \cap Y_j$ and $U_{ts}^{jk} \subseteq U_s^k \cap \mathcal{X}_t$ inducing the metric convergence $\|f_{ts}^{jk*} \omega_0 - \omega_t\|_{C^m} \rightarrow 0$. We denote by

$$\Omega_l^j = \cup_{s=1}^l U_s^j \subseteq \mathcal{X}, \quad \Omega_{0l}^j = \cup_{s=1}^l U_{0s}^j \subseteq Y_j, \quad \Omega_{tl}^{jk} = \cup_{s=1}^l U_{ts}^{jk} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_t$$

the corresponding ascending chains of open subsets, and assume that $K \subset \cup_{j=1}^q \Omega_{0l}^j$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We may assume that, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the open subsets Ω_l^j ($1 \leq j \leq q$) are disjoint. We may also assume that, there exist $\delta > 0$, for any j, l and t with $|t| \leq \delta$, Ω_{tl}^{jk} ($1 \leq k \leq m_j$) are disjoint.

For any given integer $1 \leq j \leq q$, we may choose the lexicographic order on the parameter set $\{(s, k)\}_{1 \leq k \leq m_j, s \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{f_{ts}^{jk}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m_j, s \in \mathbb{N}}$, that is, $(s, k) > (s', k')$ if $s > s'$ or $s = s', k > k'$. We denote by $\tilde{\Omega}_{tl}^{jk} = \cup_{(s,p) \leq (l,k)} U_{ts}^{jp}$. Note that $\tilde{\Omega}_{tl}^{jm_j} = \cup_{k=1}^{m_j} \Omega_{tl}^{jk}$.

Now we define the maps $\tilde{F}_{tl}^{jk} : \tilde{\Omega}_{tl}^{jk} \rightarrow \Omega_{tl}^j$ by induction on (l, k) , whose restriction on Ω_{tl}^{jk} will be the F_{tl}^{jk} . For $(l, k) = (1, 1)$ we simply define $F_{t1}^{j1} = f_{t1}^{j1}$. Suppose we have defined $\tilde{F}_{tl_0}^{jk_0}$ for all $(l_0, k_0) < (l, k)$ for $|t| < \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is a constant depend on (l, k) . We denote by (l', k') the pair one less than (l, k) . If $U_{tl}^{jk} \cap \tilde{\Omega}_{tl'}^{j'k'} = \emptyset$, then we just define $\tilde{F}_{tl}^{jk} = \tilde{F}_{tl'}^{j'k'}$ on $\tilde{\Omega}_{tl}^{jk}$ and $\tilde{F}_{tl}^{jk} = f_{tl}^{jk}$ on U_{tl}^{jk} . If $U_{tl}^{jk} \subseteq \tilde{\Omega}_{tl'}^{j'k'}$, we define $\tilde{F}_{tl}^{jk} = \tilde{F}_{tl'}^{j'k'}$. Otherwise, we define \tilde{F}_{tl}^{jk} on $\tilde{\Omega}_{tl}^{jk} = U_{tl}^{jk} \cup \tilde{\Omega}_{tl'}^{j'k'}$ by

$$\tilde{F}_{tl}^{jk}(x) = \varphi_{0l}^j \circ (\mu_1(x) \cdot (\varphi_{0l}^j)^{-1} \circ f_{tl}^{jk}(x) + \mu_2(x) \cdot (\varphi_{0l}^j)^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}_{tl'}^{j'k'}(x)),$$

where $\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$ is a partition of unity for $U_{tl}^{jk}, \tilde{\Omega}_{tl'}^{jk'}$, and $\varphi_{0l}^j : \Delta^n \rightarrow U_{0l}^j$ is a coordinate chart. We simply write

$$\begin{cases} F_0 & := (\varphi_{0l}^j)^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}_{tl}^{jk} \circ \varphi_{tl}^{jk}, \\ F_1 & = (\varphi_{0l}^j)^{-1} \circ f_{tl}^{jk} \circ \varphi_{tl}^{jk}, \\ F_2 & := (\varphi_{0l}^j)^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}_{tl'}^{jk'} \circ \varphi_{tl}^{jk}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\mu}_1 & := \mu_1 \circ \varphi_{tl}^{jk}, \\ \tilde{\mu}_2 & := \mu_2 \circ \varphi_{tl}^{jk}, \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi_{tl}^{jk} : \Delta^n \rightarrow U_{tl}^{jk}$ is a coordinate chart. Hence $F_0 = \tilde{\mu}_1 F_1 + \tilde{\mu}_2 F_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_0 - F_1\|_{C^{m+1}, \omega_t} &= \|\tilde{\mu}_2(F_2 - F_1)\|_{C^{m+1}, \omega_t} \\ &\leq C_1 \cdot \|\tilde{\mu}_2\|_{C^{m+1}} \cdot \|F_2 - F_1\|_{C^{m+1}, \omega_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = C_1(m) > 0$ is a constant. Since $f_{ts}^{jp}((1, 1) \leq (s, p) \leq (l', k'))$ are arbitrarily close, we see that $F_{tl'}^{jk'}$ and f_{tl}^{jk} are arbitrarily close. Hence $\|F_2 - F_1\|_{C^{m+1}, \omega_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. We conclude that F_{tl}^{jk} is arbitrarily close to f_{ts}^{jp} for $(1, 1) \leq (s, p) \leq (l, k)$. Let $F_{K,t}$ be a holomorphic map on $\Omega_{K,t} = \cup_{j=1}^q \tilde{\Omega}_{tl}^{jk}$ such that $F_{K,t} = F_{tl}^{jk}$ on $\tilde{\Omega}_{tl}^{jk}$. By the construction, one can easily see that $\sup_{x \in \Omega_{K,t}} d_\omega(x, F_{K,t}(x)) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Then the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.4. By the argument above, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we conclude that $\|F_{K,t}^* \omega_{t_0} - \omega_t\|_{C^{m,\alpha}, \omega_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$ when ω is a $C^{m,\alpha}$ 2-form on \mathcal{X} such that the restriction ω_t is a $C^{m,\alpha}$ -Hermitian metric on \mathcal{X}_t .

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.5 (=Theorem 1.1). *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a proper surjective morphism with reduced general fibers between normal complex spaces. Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on \mathcal{X} equipped with a continuous Hermitian metric h , and ω is a continuous 2-form on \mathcal{X} whose restriction to each fiber is a Hermitian form. We assume that either C is a Riemann surface or all fibers of π are reduced. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The function $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ is constant for $t \in C$.*
- (2) *The fibrewise Bergman kernel function $\rho_{\mathcal{L}_{\pi(x)}}(x)$ is continuous on \mathcal{X} .*

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) follows from Corollary 2.5. Now we prove (1) \Rightarrow (2).

We first consider the case that all the fibers of π are reduced. Fix $t_0 \in C$. Since $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ is constant, we can use Lemma 3.2 to find a neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of $t_0 \in C$ and holomorphic sections $\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N \in H^0(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{L})$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{U}$, the restriction map induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\langle \tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N \rangle \cong H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$.

By taking $f_{ij} = h(\tilde{s}_i, \tilde{s}_j)$, which is continuous on \mathcal{X} , we see that the functions $a_{ij}(t) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} f_{ij} \omega_t^n$ are continuous for any $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ by Proposition 2.2. Clearly, the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\langle \tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N \rangle \cong H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ implies that the matrices $(a_{i,j}(0))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} > 0$ on \mathcal{U} . By the Schmit orthogonalization program, we can find continuous functions $b_{ij}(t)$ on \mathcal{U} such that $\{\hat{s}_i = \sum_j b_{ij}(t) \tilde{s}_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ is an

L^2 -orthonormal basis of $H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ for any $t \in \mathcal{U}$. We conclude that the function

$$\rho_{L_{\pi(x)}}(x) = \sum_k h(\hat{s}_k(x), \hat{s}_k(x)) = \sum_{i,j,k} b_{ki}(\pi(x)) \overline{b_{kj}(\pi(x))} h(\tilde{s}_i(x), \tilde{s}_j(x))$$

is continuous on \mathcal{U} , which proves Theorem 1.1 in the case (α) .

Next we consider the case that C is a Riemann surface. The argument is almost the same as above, but we need to deal with non-reduced fibers here. The question is local on C . We assume that $C = \Delta$ and \mathcal{X}_t is reduced for all $t \neq 0$.

By Lemma 3.2, the constancy of $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ shows that there are holomorphic sections $\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ such that for any $t \in \Delta$, the restriction map induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\langle \tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_N \rangle \cong H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$. Note that the question is local on the base, and one can always shrink Δ if necessary.

Write $h_{0,i}^0 = \dim \mathcal{F}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$, where $\mathcal{F}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ is the linear subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ defined in Section 2. Let $s_{j,t}$ denotes the restriction of s_j on \mathcal{X}_t . By taking a linear transformation, we assume that for any $j \leq h_{0,i}^0$, the restriction $s_{j,0} = \tilde{s}_j|_{\mathcal{X}_0} \in \mathcal{F}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$, and the set $\{s_{j,0}\}_{j=h_{0,i}^0+1}^{h_{0,i}^0}$ gives an L^2 orthonormal basis of $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) = \mathcal{F}^{\lambda_i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) / H_{\lambda_{i+1}}^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$. Hence $\{s_{j,0}\}_{j=1}^N$ gives an L^2 orthonormal basis of $\text{gr} H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$. By definition, we have $\rho_{L_0} = \sum_{j=1}^N h_0(s_{j,0}, s_{j,0})$.

For $t \in \Delta$ and $i, k = 1, \dots, N$, we define

$$a_{ik}(t) = \begin{cases} |t|^{-\text{ord}_0(s_{i,0}) - \text{ord}_0(s_{k,0})} \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} h(s_{i,t}, s_{k,t}) \omega_t^n, & \text{if } t \neq 0; \\ \int_{\mathcal{X}_0} h_0(s_{i,0}, s_{k,0}) \omega_0^n, & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $s_{i,t}$ denotes the restriction of s_i on \mathcal{X}_t .

Since t is continuous, we see that $a_{i,k}$ are continuous functions on $\Delta^* = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$.

By definition, for any given constant $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red,reg}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}}$ such that the integral $\int_{\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}} \setminus K} \omega_0^n < \epsilon$. Now we can apply Lemma 3.3 to find an open neighbourhood Δ_δ of $0 \in \Delta$ such that for any $t \in \Delta_\delta$, there are an open subset $\Omega_{K,t} \subset \mathcal{X}_{t,\text{red,reg}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}}$ and a non-degenerate C^∞ map $F_{K,t} : \Omega_{K,t} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red,reg}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}}$ such that the image of $F_{K,t}$ containing K , $\|F_{K,t}^* \omega_0^n - \omega_t^n\|_{C^0, \omega_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, and $F_{K,t}^{-1}(x)$ has m_j points when $x \in Y_j \cap K$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, where ω_t is the restriction of ω on $\mathcal{X}_{t,\text{red,reg}} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}}$. Clearly, for any $t \in \Delta_\delta$ and continuous function f on \mathcal{X}_t , we have

$$\int_K \sum_{y \in F_{K,t}^{-1}(x)} f(y) \omega_0^n(x) = \int_{F_{K,t}^{-1}(K)} f(y) (F_{K,t}^* \omega_0)^n(y).$$

For any $x \in K \cap Y_j$, there exists a coordinate chart U_x of x in \mathcal{X} with coordinates z_0, \dots, z_n such that $\pi(z_0, \dots, z_n) = z_0^{m_j}$. Applying Lemma 3.3 again, one can see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in F_{K,t}^{-1}(x)} \sum_{i=0}^n |z_i(x) - z_i(y)| = 0.$$

Now we conclude that the function

$$\psi_x(t) = |t|^{-m_j^{-1}(\text{ord}_{Y_j}(s_{i,0}) + \text{ord}_{Y_j}(s_{k,0}))} \sum_{y \in F_{K,t}^{-1}(x)} h(s_{i,t}(y), s_{k,t}(y))$$

can be extended to be a function on Δ_δ such that ψ_x is continuous at $0 \in \Delta$. It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |t|^{-\text{ord}_0(s_{i,0}) - \text{ord}_0(s_{k,0})} \int_{F_{K,t}^{-1}(K)} h(s_{i,t}, s_{k,t}) \omega_t^n = \int_K h(s_{i,0}, s_{k,0}) \omega_0^n.$$

Since $\int_{\mathcal{X}_{0,\text{red}} \setminus K} \omega_0^n < \epsilon$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{t,\text{red}} \setminus F_{K,t}^{-1}(K)} \omega_t^n < \epsilon$, hence there exists a constant $C > 0$ which is independent of ϵ , such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{t,\text{red}} \setminus F_{K,t}^{-1}(K)} |t|^{-\text{ord}_0(s_{i,0}) - \text{ord}_0(s_{k,0})} h(s_{i,t}, s_{k,t}) \omega_t^n < C\epsilon.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we see that a_{ik} are continuous on Δ .

As in the proof in case (α) , we can use Schmit orthogonalization program to find continuous functions $b_{ij}(t)$ on Δ such that the set $\{\hat{s}_i = \sum_j b_{ij}(t) \tilde{s}_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ is an L^2 -orthonormal basis of $H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ for any $t \in \Delta$, and $b_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$. It follows that the function

$$\rho_{L_{\pi(x)}}(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i,j,k} b_{ki}(\pi(x)) \overline{b_{kj}(\pi(x))} h_{\pi(x)}(\tilde{s}_i(x), \tilde{s}_j(x)), & \text{if } \pi(x) \neq 0; \\ \sum_k h_0(s_{k,0}(x), s_{k,0}(x)), & \text{if } \pi(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

is a continuous function on \mathcal{X} , and the proof is completed. \square

4. UNIFORM CONVERGENCE OF FUBINI-STUDY CURRENTS

We will prove Theorem 1.3 in this section. Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a proper surjective morphism, where \mathcal{X} is a normal complex space and C is a Riemann surface (hence π is flat by Lemma 2.1). Let ω be a continuous 2-form on \mathcal{X} whose restriction on each fiber is a Hermitian metric. Consider Hermitian line bundles $\{F_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ on \mathcal{X} equipped with continuous Hermitian metrics h_j . We denote by $h_{j,t}$ the restriction of h_j on \mathcal{X}_t . Assume that $c_1(h_{1,t}) \geq \epsilon \omega_t$ for some uniform constant $\epsilon > 0$ with respect to all $t \in C$, and $c_1(h_{j,t}) \geq 0$ for all $t \in C$ and $2 \leq j \leq k$. For any $1 \leq j \leq k$, let $\{p_{j,m}\}$ be a sequence of positive integers with $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{j,m}}{m} = r_j > 0$. Denote $\mathcal{L}_m = F_1^{p_{1,m}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_k^{p_{k,m}}$ and $h^{\mathcal{L}_m} = \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{p_{j,m}}$. The fiberwise Bergman kernel function of $(\mathcal{L}_m, h^{\mathcal{L}_m})$ on \mathcal{X} is simply denoted as $\rho_m(x) = \rho_{\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_{m,t}}(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t = \pi(x)$.

Theorem 4.1. *The series of functions*

$$\varphi_m(t) := \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \frac{1}{m} |\log(\rho_m)| \omega_t^n$$

converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of C as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 4.2. *For any smooth $(n-1, n-1)$ -form α on \mathcal{X} , we have*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j c_1(h_{j,t}) - \frac{1}{m} \omega_{FS,m,t} \right) \wedge \alpha \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly on compact subsets of C as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{j=1}^k r_j c_1(h_{j,t}) - \frac{1}{m} \omega_{FS,m,t} = -\frac{1}{m} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(\rho_m|_{\mathcal{X}_t})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j c_1(h_{j,t}) - \frac{1}{m} \omega_{FS,m,t} \right) \wedge \alpha_t = - \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \frac{1}{m} \log(\rho_m|_{\mathcal{X}_t}) \cdot \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \alpha_t.$$

Note that for any compact subset K of C , $|\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \alpha_t|_{\omega_t} \leq C_K$ for some constant $C_K > 0$, which is independent of $t \in K$. We conclude by applying the above theorem. \square

We will prove Theorem 4.1 in several steps. Since this question is local on C , we assume that $C = \Delta$ from now on.

Step 1. Upper bound on the regular part. We denote by \mathcal{X}_{reg} the smooth locus of \mathcal{X} minus the singularities of the reduction of all the fibers, in other word, \mathcal{X}_{reg} is the largest open subset of \mathcal{X} such that it is smooth and the reduction of any fiber $\mathcal{X}'_{\text{reg},t,\text{red}}$ is smooth.

Proposition 4.3. *For any compact subset $K \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$, we have*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \frac{\log(\rho_m)}{m} \leq 0.$$

Proof. We may choose open coverings $\{W_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}\}$ and $\{U_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}\}$ of K such that $\cup \bar{W}_i \subseteq U_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$, and there exist coordinates $z_{i,1}, \dots, z_{i,n+1}$ on U_i such that $\pi(z_{i,1}, \dots, z_{i,n+1}) = z_{i,n+1}^{k_i}$ for some k_i .

For any $0 < \delta < 1$, one may construct C^∞ Hermitian metric $h_{j,\delta}$ on a neighbourhood of \bar{W}_i by using the modification of h_j on U_i , such that $(1 - \delta)h_{j,\delta} \leq h_j \leq (1 + \delta)h_{j,\delta}$ and $c_1(h_{\delta,t}) \leq \delta^{-1} \omega_t$ on each $\bar{W}_i \cap \mathcal{X}_t$. Hence we have $\rho_m \leq C_{K,\delta} (1 - \delta)^{-C_r m} (1 + \delta)^{C_r m} \rho'_m$ on U_i , where $C_r > 0$ is a constant depending only on r_j , $C_{K,\delta} > 0$ is a constant depending only on K and δ , ρ'_m is the fiberwise Bergman kernel defined using the Hermitian metric $\prod_{j=1}^k h_{j,\delta}^{p_{j,m}}$ and the Kähler form $\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{p_{j,m}}{m} c_1(h_{j,\delta})$.

Since $h_{j,\delta}$ are smooth and $F_j|_{W_i}$ are trivial line bundles, we can use the boundedness of the curvature of $c_1(h_{j,\delta,t})$ and the standard Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion to show that there exists a constant

$C_{K,\delta} > 0$ depending only on K and δ such that $\rho'_m \leq Cm^n$ on K , $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in K} \frac{\log(\rho_m)}{m} &\leq \frac{\log(C_{K,\delta}(1-\delta)^{-C_r m}(1+\delta)^{C_r m^n})}{m} \\ &\leq C_r \log(1+\delta) - C_r \log(1-\delta) + \frac{\log(C_{K,\delta} m^n)}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get this proposition by taking $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty}$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$. \square

Step 2. Global upper bound. We will bound $\frac{\log(\rho_m)}{m}$ from above near $\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$ by the upper bound of the regular part and using maximal principle. We need the following lemma to avoid the singularities when applying maximal principle.

Lemma 4.4. *For any $x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$ and any open neighbourhood $U \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ of x , there exists an open neighbourhood $U_x \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ of x and an open subset W of \mathcal{X}_{reg} with compact closure $\overline{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$ such that, any $y \in U$ is lying on a curve $C \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\pi(y)}$, and y has a connected open neighbourhood V in $C \cap U$ with $\partial V \subseteq W \cap U$.*

Proof. Let $U_0 \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be an open neighbourhood of x with an embedding $U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, $x \mapsto 0$ such that $\pi|_{U_0}$ is compatible with the projection of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} to the first coordinate. And we denote by \mathbb{C}_t^N the fiber over $t \in \mathbb{C}$ of this projection. Let $B_{r_0}(x)$ be the restriction of the standard open ball of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} with radius $r_0 > 0$ on \mathcal{X} . Without loss of generality, we assume that $B_{r_0}(x) \cap \mathcal{X} \subset U$.

Note that $\dim(\mathcal{X}_t) = n$ and $\dim(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}) \leq n-1$, we can choose a $(N-n+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace $L_0 \subseteq \mathbb{C}_0^N$ such that $L_0 \cap \mathcal{X}_0$ is pure of dimension one near x and $L_0 \cap (\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}})$ is a discrete set of points (both conditions are open). See also [Dem12, Theorem II-6.2]. Hence there exists $r \in (\frac{r_0}{2}, r_0)$ such that $L_0 \cap (\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}) \cap \partial B_r(x) = \emptyset$. Now $V_0 = L_0 \cap \mathcal{X}_0 \cap B_r(x) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_0^N$ is an analytic variety of pure dimension 1 such that $x \in V_0$ and $\partial V_0 \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$.

Let W be an open neighbourhood of ∂V_0 in \mathcal{X}_{reg} with compact closure $\overline{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$. For any $y \in B_r(x)$, we consider the $(N-n+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace $L_{y,0} = L_0 + y - x \subseteq \mathbb{C}_t^N$. Apply the above argument again, one can see that there exists a $(N-n+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace $L_y \subseteq \mathbb{C}_t^N$ such that $y \in L_y$, $V_y = L_y \cap \mathcal{X}_{\pi(y)} \cap B_r(x)$ is an analytic variety of pure dimension 1 and $d_H(L_{y,0} \cap B_1(y), L_y \cap B_1(y)) \leq |x-y|$, where d_H is the Hausdorff distance in \mathbb{C}^{y+1} . Note that V_y converges to V_0 as $y \rightarrow x$ in the Hausdorff sense in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Then we can find a small open neighbourhood $U_x \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ of x such that ∂V_y lies in W . If we replace V_y by a connected component containing y , we assume that V_y is connected. Then the open subsets W, U_x are as desired. \square

Proposition 4.5. *For any compact subset $K \subseteq C$, we have*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(K)} \frac{\log(\rho_m)}{m} \leq 0.$$

Proof. Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. For any $x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$, we choose an open neighbourhood U of x in \mathcal{X} and holomorphic frames e_j of F_j on U such that $1 - \delta \leq \|e_j\|_{h_j}^2 \leq 1 + \delta$. Then we have open subsets $U_x, W \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ as in Lemma 4.4. For any $y \in U_x$, $t = \pi(y)$, we may choose $s \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ such that $\rho_m(y) = \|s(y)\|_{\prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{p_{j,m}}}^2$. We can write $s = f \prod_{j=1}^k e_j^{p_{j,m}}$ for some $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_t}(U \cap \mathcal{X}_t)$, then $\rho_m(y) = |f(y)|^2 \prod_{j=1}^k \|e_j\|_{h_j}^{2p_{j,m}}$. By Lemma 4.4, there is a curve $C \subseteq \mathcal{X}_t$ passing through y , and a connected neighbourhood V of y in C such that $\partial V \subseteq W$. Since $\|s(z)\|_{\prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{p_{j,m}}}^2 \leq \rho_m(z)$ for any $z \in W \cap \mathcal{X}_t$, by maximal principle, we have

$$\rho_m(y) = |f|^2 \prod_{j=1}^k \|e_j\|_{h_j}^{2p_{j,m}} \leq (1 - \delta)^{-C_r m} (1 + \delta)^{C_r m} |f(z)|^2 \leq (1 - \delta)^{-C_r m} (1 + \delta)^{C_r m} \rho_m(z),$$

for some $z \in W$, where $C_r > 0$ is a constant depending only on r_1, \dots, r_k . Then we can get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in U_x} \frac{\log(\rho_m(y))}{m} &\leq C_r \log(1 + \delta) - C_r \log(1 - \delta) + \sup_{z \in W} \frac{\log(\rho_m(z))}{m} \\ &\leq 2\delta + C_r \log(1 + \delta) - C_r \log(1 - \delta) \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large m , where the second inequality follows from the argument in Proposition 4.3.

Since π is proper and K is compact, we can choose a finite open cover $\{U_i\}$ of $\pi^{-1}(K) \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$ such that any U_i has the property stated in Lemma 4.4. Then we get the global estimate

$$\sup_{y \in \cup_i U_i} \frac{\rho_m(y)}{m} \leq 2\delta + \log(1 + \delta) - \log(1 - \delta).$$

We may choose an open subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}} \cap \pi^{-1}(K)$ with compact closure and $\mathcal{X} \setminus V \subseteq \cup_i U_i$. Hence

$$\sup_{y \in \pi^{-1}(K)} \frac{\rho_m(y)}{m} \leq \max \left\{ \sup_{y \in \cup_i U_i} \frac{\rho_m(y)}{m}, \sup_{y \in V} \frac{\rho_m(y)}{m} \right\} \leq 2\delta + \log(1 + \delta) - \log(1 - \delta),$$

for sufficiently large m . We conclude by taking $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty}$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$. \square

Step 3. Lower bound on the regular part. Now we will give an estimate of the pointwise lower bound of $\frac{\log(\rho_m)}{m}$ on compact subsets of $\mathcal{X}'_{\text{reg}}$. We need to use Hörmander's L^2 estimate and the desingularization of analytic varieties in this step.

We first state the Hörmander's L^2 estimate without proof. The proof can be found in [Dem12, Hör73]. Note that the L^2 estimate holds also on weakly pseudoconvex manifold (the metric is not assumed to be complete).

Proposition 4.6. *Let (M, ω) be an n -dimensional complete Kähler manifold. Let (L, h) be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle, and ψ be a function on M , which can be approximated by a decreasing sequence of smooth functions $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Suppose that*

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_i + \text{Ric}(\omega) + \text{Ric}(h) \geq c\omega$$

for some positive constant $c > 0$. Then for any L -valued $(0, q)$ -form $\zeta \in L^2$ on M with $\bar{\partial}\zeta = 0$ and $\int_M \|\zeta\|^2 e^{-\psi} \omega^n$ finite, there exists an L -valued $(0, q-1)$ -form $u \in L^2$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = \zeta$ and

$$\int_M \|u\|^2 e^{-\psi} \omega^n \leq \int_M c^{-1} \|\zeta\|^2 e^{-\psi} \omega^n,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norms associated with h and ω , and $q = 1, \dots, n$.

We will use the following version of resolution of singularities of analytic varieties. See for example [KM98, Theorem 0.2] or [Hir64, BM97].

Theorem 4.7. *Let \mathcal{X} be a reduced irreducible analytic variety, and $Z \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a closed subvariety. Then there are a smooth analytic variety $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and a projective holomorphic morphism $\sigma : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $Ex(\sigma) \cup \sigma^{-1}(Z)$ is a locally finite normal-crossing divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Moreover, σ is the composition of a locally finite sequence of blowing-ups on \mathcal{X} , and the centers are smooth subvarieties of $\mathcal{X}_{\text{sing}} \cup Z$.

The following construction of metrics on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is essentially due to Mořezon [Moř67, Lemma 1] and Coman-Ma-Marinescu [CMM17, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 4.8. *Let \mathcal{X} be a reduced irreducible analytic variety with smooth Hermitian metric ω , and $\sigma : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a resolution of singularities as in Theorem 4.7, with exceptional divisor E . Then for any compact subset $K \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$, there exist a smooth Hermitian metric θ on $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(-E)|_K$ and a constant $C_K > 0$ such that $C_K \sigma^* \omega + c_1(\theta) > 0$ on K .*

Proof. We only give an outline of the proof of this lemma here. A detailed proof can be found in [Moř67, Lemma 1] or [CMM17, Lemma 2.2].

Since σ is projective, we can find an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $\sigma(K)$, such that U_i is a analytic subvariety of an open subset W_i of \mathbb{C}^{N_i} , and $\sigma^{-1}(U_i)$ can be embedded in $W_i \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N'_i}$ such that σ is the restriction of the projection $W_i \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N'_i} \rightarrow W_i$ on $\sigma^{-1}(U_i)$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(-E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N'_i}}(1)$ on $\sigma^{-1}(U_i)$.

By a smooth partition of unity on X , we can construct the smooth Hermitian metric θ on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(-E)|_K$ that we need. \square

We are ready to give an estimate of the pointwise lower bound of $\frac{\log(\rho_m)}{m}$ on compact subsets of \mathcal{X}_{reg} . Our argument is similar to the one in Coman-Ma-Marinescu [CMM17, Theorem 1.1], using the peak section method for the lower bound estimation.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \omega, \mathcal{L}_m, h^{\mathcal{L}_m})$ be a system as in the beginning of this section. Then for any compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}}$, we have*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \frac{|\log(\rho_m)|}{m} = 0.$$

Proof. Fix $\delta > 0$ and $t \in C$. For any $x \in \mathcal{X}_{t,\text{red}}$, we can find an open neighbourhood W_x of x in \mathcal{X} and a holomorphic embedding $\tau_x : W_x \rightarrow U_x \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_x}$ such that F_i are trivial line bundle on W_x , and $\tau_x(W_x)$ is a closed subvariety of U_x , where U_x is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^{N_x} . Without loss of generality, we assume that U_x is the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^{N_x} . Choosing local frames $e_{i,x} \in H^0(W_x, F_i)$, we may write $\psi_{i,x} = -\log h_i(e_{i,x}, e_{i,x})$. By definition, $\psi_{i,x}$ are plurisubharmonic functions on W_x .

Then $\psi_{i,x}$ can be extended to a continuous quasi-plurisubharmonic function $\tilde{\psi}_{i,x}$ on U_x such that $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\psi}_{i,x} \geq -\delta\omega_{\text{Euc}}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, where ω_{Euc} is the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{C}^{N_x} . Moreover, we assume that $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\psi}_{1,x} \geq \epsilon'\omega_{\text{Euc}}$ for some constant $\epsilon' > 0$ independent of δ . See also [CGZ13]. By the standard regularization as in [BK07, DP04], we can construct smooth Hermitian metrics \tilde{h}_i on $F_i|_{\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)}$, where Δ_t is an open neighbourhood of $t \in C$, such that $1 - \delta \leq \frac{\tilde{h}_i}{h_i} \leq 1 + \delta$, $c_1(\tilde{h}_i) \geq -\delta\tilde{\omega}$ on $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $c_1(\tilde{h}_1) \geq \epsilon'\tilde{\omega}$ on $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)$, where $\tilde{\omega}$ is a smooth Hermitian metric on $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)$, and $\epsilon' > 0$ is a constant independent of δ . Since $c_1(\tilde{h}_1) > 0$, we can choose $\tilde{\omega} = c_1(\tilde{h}_1)$, hence $\tilde{\omega}$ is a Kähler metric on $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\epsilon' \geq 100\delta \sum_{i=1}^k r_i$.

Now we consider the resolution of singularities $\sigma : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. By Lemma 4.8, there exists an integer $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and a Hermitian metric θ on $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}|_{\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)}$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_1(\tilde{h}_{d,m}) > 0$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}|_{\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)}$, where $\tilde{h}_{d,m} = \prod_{j=1}^k \sigma^* \tilde{h}_j^{p_j, m+d-p_j, m} \otimes \theta$. Note that we can replace the open set Δ_t by a precompact subset. Let $s_E \in H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(E))$ such that $[s_E = 0] = E$.

Let $x \in K \cap \pi^{-1}(\Delta_t) \subset \mathcal{X}_{\text{reg}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)$. Then we can find open neighbourhoods $W_x \Subset W'_x \Subset W''_x$ of x and compactly supported smooth \mathcal{L} -valued functions ξ_m on W''_x such that ξ_m are holomorphic on W'_x , $\|\xi_m\| + \|\bar{\partial}\xi_m\|_{\tilde{\omega}} \leq C_x(1+\delta)^m$, and $\|\xi_m\| \geq C_x^{-1}(1-\delta)^m$ on W_x , where $C_x > 0$ is a constant independent of m . Choose $\psi_y(z) = 10n^2\eta_x \log |\tau_x(z) - \tau_x(y)|$, where η_x is a cut off function such that $\eta_x = 1$ on W'_x and $\eta_x = 0$ outside W''_x . Note that $\sigma^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t))$ is a pseudoconvex manifold. Then for sufficiently large m , we can apply Hörmander's L^2 estimate to find a holomorphic section $s'_{x,y} \in H^0(\sigma^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)), \sigma^* L_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(-E)^{\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor})$ such that

$$\|s'_{x,y}(y)\|_{\prod_{j=1}^k \sigma^* \tilde{h}_j^{p_j, m-d\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor} \otimes \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor} \tilde{h}_{d, m-dj}} = \|\xi_m(y)\|_{\prod_{j=1}^k \sigma^* \tilde{h}_j^{p_j, m}} \|s_E(y)\|_{\theta^{-\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor}},$$

and $\int_{\sigma^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t))} \|s'_{x,y}(y)\|^2 \leq C_x(1+\delta)^m$ where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the greatest integer function. By definition, we can find constants $0 < a < b$ such that $\|s_E\|_{\theta^{-1}} \leq b$ on $\sigma^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t))$ and $\|s_E\|_{\theta^{-1}} \geq a$ on K . Let $s'_{x,y} = s''_{x,y} \otimes s_E^{\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor}$ on $\sigma^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)) \setminus E$. Since $\sigma|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \setminus E}$ is biholomorphic morphism and \mathcal{X} is normal, $s''_{x,y}$ can be extended to a holomorphic section on $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)$, and $\|s''_{x,y}(y)\|_{h_{\mathcal{L}_m}}^2 \geq C_x^{-1}(1-\delta)^m$. As the arguments in Step 1 and Step 2, one can easy to see that $\|s''_{x,y}\|_{h_{\mathcal{L}_m}}^2 \leq C_x(1+\delta)^m$ on $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_t)$.

Combining the above results, for any constant $\delta > 0$ and $x \in K$, we have an open neighbourhood W_x of x such that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in K \cap W_x} \frac{|\log(\rho_m)|}{m} \leq \delta.$$

By the compactness of K , we conclude by letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$. \square

Step 4. Integration bound around the singular part. By giving a uniform estimate for the integral of $\frac{|\log(\rho_m)|}{m}$ around the singular part, we will prove Theorem 4.1 in this step. We give the following estimate.

Lemma 4.10. *Let (\mathcal{L}, h) be a line bundle with a continuous Hermitian metric on \mathcal{X} , and $s \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$. Assume that $\dim(\{s = 0\} \cap \mathcal{X}_{t_0}) \leq n - 1$ for some $t_0 \in C$. Then for any $\delta > 0$, there exists an open neighbourhood U_δ of $\mathcal{X}_{t_0} \cap \{s = 0\}$ in \mathcal{X} such that*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_t \cap U_\delta} |\log \|s\| | \omega_t^n \leq \delta, \quad \forall t \in C.$$

Proof. Since this question is local on C , we assume that $C = \Delta$ and $t_0 = 0$. Let $x \in \mathcal{X}_0 \cap \{s = 0\}$. Then we can find open neighbourhoods $W'_x \Subset W_x$ of x in \mathcal{X} and a holomorphic embedding $\tau_x : W_x \rightarrow U_x \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_x}$ such that \mathcal{L} is trivial line bundle on W_x , and $\tau_x(W_x)$ is a closed subvariety of U_x , where U_x is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^{N_x} . Let $\tilde{\omega} = \tau_x^* \omega_{\text{Euc}}$, and $e_x \in H^0(W_x, \mathcal{L})$ be a local frame. Write $s = f e_x$ on W_x . By the compactness of $\mathcal{X}_0 \cap \{s = 0\}$, it suffices to show that for any given $\delta > 0$, there exists an open neighbourhood U_δ of $\mathcal{X}_0 \cap \{s = 0\} \cap W'_x$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_t \cap U_\delta} |\log |f| | \tilde{\omega}_t^n \leq \delta, \quad \forall t \in C.$$

Let $\sigma : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a resolution of singularities such that $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_0 \cup \{s = 0\})$ is a normal crossing divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Then for any $y \in \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_0 \cap \{s = 0\} \cap \bar{W}'_x)$, there exist an open neighbourhood \mathcal{U}_y of $y \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and holomorphic coordinates z_1, \dots, z_{n+1} on \mathcal{U}_y such that $\sigma^* \mathcal{X}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2} l_i \{z_i = 0\}$ and $\sigma^*(\{f = 0\}) = \sum_{i=n_1}^{n_1+n_2+n_3} l'_i \{z_i = 0\}$. Without loss of generality, We may assume that the coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_{n+1}) : \mathcal{U}_y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ gives a biholomorphic map between \mathcal{U}_y and Δ^{n+1} , $\pi \circ \sigma = \prod_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2} z_i^{l_i}$ and $f \circ \sigma = \prod_{i=n_1}^{n_1+n_2+n_3} z_i^{l'_i}$ on \mathcal{U}_y . Then $\log |f| = \sum_{i=n_1}^{n_1+n_2+n_3} l'_i \log |z_i|$.

Let $\alpha = \sigma^* \tilde{\omega}^n$. Since $\dim(\{s = 0\} \cap \mathcal{X}_{t_0}) \leq n - 1$, for $i = n_1, \dots, n_1 + n_2$, there exists a non-vanishing vector field X_i on $\mathcal{U}_y \cap \{z_i = 0\}$ such that $d\sigma(X_i) = 0$. It follows that for $i = n_1, \dots, n_1 + n_2$, there exist a constant $C_\alpha > 0$ and an analytic real function ϕ_i on \mathcal{U}_y such that $\phi_i = 0$ on $\mathcal{U}_y \cap \{z_i = 0\}$, and

$$\alpha \leq C_\alpha \sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i \wedge \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \sqrt{-1} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right)^{n-1} + \phi_i \cdot \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \sqrt{-1} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right)^n.$$

Shrinking the domain \mathcal{U}_y if necessary, we assume that $|\phi_i| \leq C_\alpha |z_i|$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Then for any $i = n_1, \dots, n_1 + n_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_i) \cap \{|z_i| \leq \epsilon\}} |\log|z_i|| \alpha \\
& \leq \int_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_i) \cap \{|z_i| \leq \epsilon\}} |\log|z_i|| C_\alpha \sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i \wedge \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \sqrt{-1} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right)^{n-1} \\
& \quad + \int_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_i) \cap \{|z_i| \leq \epsilon\}} |\log|z_i|| \phi_i \cdot \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \sqrt{-1} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right)^n \\
& \leq C_\alpha \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{([z_j=0]) \cap \{|z_i| \leq \epsilon\}} |\log|z_i|| \omega_{\text{Euc}}^n + C_\alpha \int_{([z_i=0])} |z_i| |\log|z_i|| \omega_{\text{Euc}}^n \\
& \leq C_\alpha \int_{w \in \Delta, |w| \leq \epsilon} |\log|w|| \omega_{\text{Euc}} + C_\alpha \int_{w \in \Delta} |w| |\log|w|| \omega_{\text{Euc}} \leq C_\alpha \epsilon |\log(\epsilon)|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_\alpha > 0$ is a constant independent of ϵ . With the similar argument, the inequality

$$\sum_{i=n_1}^{n_1+n_2+n_3} \int_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_i) \cap \{|z_i| \leq \epsilon\}} |\log|z_i|| \alpha \leq C_\alpha \epsilon |\log(\epsilon)|$$

holds for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$

Let $\mathcal{U}_{y,\epsilon} = \cup_{i=n_1}^{n_1+n_2+n_3} \{|z_i| < \epsilon\}$, which is an open neighbourhood of $\mathcal{U}_y \cap \sigma^{-1}(\{s=0\}) \cap \sigma^*(\mathcal{X}_0)$. Now the lemma follows from the compactness of W'_x . \square

We are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. It suffices to show that for any constant $\delta > 0$ and compact subset $K \subset C$, there exists a compact subset \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{X}_{reg} such that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in K} \int_{\mathcal{X}_t \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{m} |\log(\rho_m)| \omega_t^n \leq \delta.$$

Since this question is local on C , we assume that $C = \Delta$.

Let $d > \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{100}{r_i}$ be an integer, and let $A_j = \prod_{i=1}^k [jr_i - \frac{10j}{d}, jr_i + \frac{10j}{d}] \cap \mathbb{Z}^k$. For any $\xi \in A_j$, write $\xi = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k)$, $\mathcal{L}_\xi = \otimes_{i=1}^k F_i^{\zeta_i}$. We define ρ_ξ to be the fiberwise Bergman kernel of the bundle \mathcal{L}_ξ . As in step 3, one can see that for sufficiently large d , there exists a holomorphic section s_ξ of \mathcal{X}_ξ around \mathcal{X}_0 such that $\dim(\{s_\xi = 0\} \cap \mathcal{X}_0) \leq n-1$, $\forall \xi \in \cup_{j=d}^{2d} A_j$. Then Lemma 4.10 implies that for any $\xi \in \cup_{j=d}^{2d} A_j$, there exist an open neighbourhood U_ξ of $\mathcal{X}_0 \cap \{s_\xi = 0\}$ in \mathcal{X} and an open neighbourhood Δ_ξ of $0 \in \Delta$, such that $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_\xi) \cap \{s_\xi = 0\} \subset U_\xi$, and

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_t \cap U_\xi} |\log||s_\xi|| \omega_t^n \leq \delta, \quad \forall t \in C.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_d = \bigcap_{\xi \in \cup_{j=d}^{2d} A_j} U_\xi$ and $\Delta_d = \bigcap_{\xi \in \cup_{j=d}^{2d} A_j} \Delta_\xi$. By induction, we see that for any $j \geq 2d$ and $\xi \in A_j$, there are $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l \in \cup_{q=d}^{2d} A_q$ such that $\sum_{p=1}^l \xi_p = \xi$. It follows that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in C} \int_{\mathcal{X}_t \cap \mathcal{U}_d} \frac{1}{m} |\log(\rho_m)| \omega_t^n \leq \delta.$$

With a similar argument, one may show that there exists a constant $C_d > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(\Delta_d) \setminus \mathcal{U}_d} \frac{1}{m} |\log(\rho_m(x))| \leq C_d.$$

Combining the previous results, we see that there exists a compact subset \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{X}_{reg} such that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \Delta_d} \int_{\mathcal{X}_t \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{m} |\log(\rho_m)| \omega_t^n \leq \delta.$$

By the compactness of K , we can replace Δ_d in the above inequality by K . The proof is complete. \square

5. APPLICATIONS

5.1. Relatively ample line bundles. Let \mathcal{X}, C be complex varieties with a flat proper morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$. Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on \mathcal{X} which is relatively ample with respect to π . Recalling that we say that \mathcal{L} is relatively ample with respect to π if for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist sections $s_0, \dots, s_N \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, r\mathcal{L})$ inducing a closed embedding $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \times C$, which is compatible with π , and $r\mathcal{L}$ is called relatively very ample with respect to π .

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that C is compact in Zariski topology. Then there exists a constant $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $m \geq m_0$, the function $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, mr\mathcal{L}_t)$ is constant for all closed points $t \in C$.*

This result may be well known to experts. For the convenience of the reader, we state a proof here.

Definition 5.2. Let X be a noetherian scheme, and L be a fixed globally generated ample line bundle on X . A coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on X is called *regular* if $H^i(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{E} is called *m -regular* if $H^i(X, \mathcal{E}(m-i)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, where $\mathcal{E}(j) = \mathcal{E} \otimes L^j$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A^N$ be a projective scheme over a noetherian ring A , and L be a globally generated ample line bundle on X . For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on X , if \mathcal{E} is m_0 -regular for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, then \mathcal{E} is m -regular for all $m \geq m_0$.*

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Here we only prove the case where π is a flat morphism between algebraic varieties over \mathbb{C} . The proof for the analytic case is almost the same as the proof for the algebraic case.

Since π is flat and \mathcal{L} is a line bundle, by [Har77, Theorem 9.9], we see that the Hilbert polynomial

$$\chi(\mathcal{X}_t, mr\mathcal{L}_t) := \sum_i (-1)^i h^i(\mathcal{X}_t, mr\mathcal{L}_t)$$

is independent of $t \in C$. It suffices to show that $h^i(\mathcal{X}_t, mr\mathcal{L}_t) = 0$ for all $i > 0, m \geq m_0$ and $t \in C$, where m_0 is a constant depending on \mathcal{L} .

For any $t_1 \in C$, the restriction $r\mathcal{L}_{t_1}$ is ample on \mathcal{X}_{t_1} . By [Har77, Theorem 5.2], there exists $m'_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h^i(\mathcal{X}_{t_1}, mr\mathcal{L}_{t_1})$ vanishes for all $m \geq m'_1$. We may assume that $\mathcal{X}_{t_1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$, then $H^i(\mathcal{X}_{t_1}, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ for all $i > N$ and coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{X}_{t_1} . Let $m_1 = m'_1 + N$. By the upper-semicontinuity of the function $h^i(\mathcal{X}_t, mr\mathcal{L}_t)$ on C , for any $1 \leq i \leq N$, there exists a Zariski open neighbourhood U_1^i of t_1 such that $h^i(\mathcal{X}_t, (m_1 - i)r\mathcal{L}_t) = 0$ for all $t \in U_1^i$. We denote by $U_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^N U_1^i$, then the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_t}$ is m_1 -regular (with respect to $r\mathcal{L}_t$) for any $t \in U_1$. Hence by Lemma 5.3, we see that $mr\mathcal{L}_t$ is regular for any $m \geq m_1 = m'_1 + N$ and $t \in U_1$.

Note that $C \setminus U_1 \subseteq C$ is a Zariski closed subset. For any irreducible component D_j of $C \setminus U_1$, with the same procedure, we may find $m_{2j} \in \mathbb{N}$ and open subset $U_{2j} \subseteq C$ with $U_{2j} \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$, and $mr\mathcal{L}_t$ is regular for any $t \in U_{2j}$ and $m \geq m_{2j}$.

Now let U_2 be the union of all the U_{2j} (where D_j runs over all the irreducible components of $C \setminus U_1$) and m_2 be the maximum of all the m_{2j} . We see that $mr\mathcal{L}_t$ is regular for any $t \in U_1 \cup U_2$ and $m \geq \max\{m_1, m_2\}$. Note that $C \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2) \subseteq C$ is a Zariski closed subset of codimension at least two. Hence by noetherian induction, we can find m_0 such that $mr\mathcal{L}_t$ is regular for any $t \in B$ and $m \geq m_0$. \square

Combining Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 5.1, one can obtain the following result.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, \omega, h, C, \pi)$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Assume that \mathcal{L} is relatively ample with respect to π , and C is compact in Zariski topology. Then there exists a constant $m_0 > 0$ such that for any $m \geq m_0$ the fibrewise Bergman kernel, $\rho_{\mathcal{L}_{\pi(x)}^m}(x)$ is continuous on \mathcal{X} . Moreover, $\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \frac{1}{m} |\log(\rho_m)| \omega_t^n$ converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of C as $m \rightarrow \infty$.*

5.2. Test configurations. Let (X, L) be a polarized projective variety of dimension n . We recall the definition of *test configurations* (or *special degenerations*) introduced by [Tia97, Don02] in studying K-stability.

Definition 5.5. A *test configuration* $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of a polarized projective variety (X, L) consists of the following data

- (1) A normal variety \mathcal{X} and a projective morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$;
- (2) A π -semiample \mathbb{Q} -line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} ;
- (3) A \mathbb{C}^* -action on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ such that π is \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant and induces a \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})|_{\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*)} \cong (X, L) \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

The test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is called *ample* if \mathcal{L} is π -ample.

For any test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$, we will see that $(\mathcal{X}, r\mathcal{L})$ is extendable for any integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle. Hence our results apply.

We denote by $R = R(X, L) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_m$ where $R_m = H^0(X, mL)$.

Definition 5.6. A $(\mathbb{Z}$ -)filtration \mathcal{F} on R is a sequence of subspaces $\mathcal{F}^\lambda R_m \subseteq R_m$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(F1) *Descending.* $\mathcal{F}^\lambda R_m \supseteq \mathcal{F}^{\lambda'} R_m$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda'$;

(F2) *Linearly Bounded.* There exists $C > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}^\lambda R_m = R_m$ for $\lambda < -Cm$ and $\mathcal{F}^\lambda R_m = 0$ for $\lambda > Cm$;

(F3) *Multiplicable.* $\mathcal{F}^\lambda R_m \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\lambda'} R_{m'} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\lambda+\lambda'} R_{m+m'}$.

For any test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of (X, L) , we may define a filtration on $R = R(X, rL)$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is a integer such that $r\mathcal{L}$ is Cartier (a line bundle). Then we have the restriction map

$$\iota : H^0(\mathcal{X}, mr\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_1, mr\mathcal{L}_1) = H^0(X, mrL), m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The \mathbb{C}^* -action on $(\mathcal{X}, r\mathcal{L})$ induces a weight decomposition $H^0(\mathcal{X}, mr\mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathcal{X}, mr\mathcal{L})_\lambda$. We define a filtration on $H^0(X, mrL)$ by $\mathcal{F}^\lambda H^0(X, mrL) = \iota(H^0(\mathcal{X}, mr\mathcal{L})_\lambda)$. In the other word

$$\mathcal{F}^\lambda H^0(X, mrL) = \{s \in H^0(X, mrL) : t^{-\lambda} \bar{s} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, mr\mathcal{L})\},$$

where \bar{s} is the \mathbb{C}^* -invariant section on $\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_0$ induced by s .

Conversely, we have the following Rees construction.

Definition 5.7. The *Rees algebra* $\text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(R)$ of a filtration \mathcal{F} on R is defined by

$$\text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(R) := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{-\lambda} \mathcal{F}^\lambda R_m,$$

the associated graded quotient algebra is defined by

$$\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(R) := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^\lambda R_m / \mathcal{F}^{\lambda+1} R_m.$$

A filtration \mathcal{F} of R is called *finitely generated* if $\text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(R)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -algebra (equivalently, $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(R)$ is finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra). In this case, taking Proj will define a test configuration of (X, L)

$$(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}) := (\text{Proj}_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(R), \mathcal{O}(1)).$$

In particular, we have

$$(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F},0}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F},0}) = (\text{Proj}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(R), \mathcal{O}(1)).$$

Hence $H^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F},0}, m\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F},0}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^\lambda H^0(X, mL) / \mathcal{F}^{\lambda+1} H^0(X, mL)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $h^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F},t}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F},t})$ is constant for $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

The above two constructions are inverse to each other for ample test configurations. See for example [BHJ17, Proposition 2.15].

Proposition 5.8. *For any ample test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of a polarized projective variety (X, L) , and an integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$ making $r\mathcal{L}$ a globally generated line bundle, the induced filtration \mathcal{F} on $R = R(X, rL)$ is finitely generated and*

$$(\mathcal{X}, r\mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ be a merely semiample test configuration, with induced filtration \mathcal{F} on $R = R(X, rL)$. Then the Rees construction $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}})$ defines an ample model of $(\mathcal{X}, r\mathcal{L})$, that is, there is a birational projective morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $r\mathcal{L}$ is the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$. See [BHJ17, Proposition 2.17] for details. Hence we have

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, r\mathcal{L}_0) = H^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F},0}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F},0}),$$

and $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, r\mathcal{L}_t)$ is constant for $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 5.9 (= Corollary 1.4). *For any (semiample) test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of a polarized projective variety (X, L) , the fiberwise Bergman kernel ρ of $(\mathcal{X}, r\mathcal{L}, h, \omega)$ is continuous on \mathcal{X} for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r\mathcal{L}$ is a globally generated line bundle. Moreover, $\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \frac{1}{m} |\log(\rho_m)| \omega_t^n$ converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of C as $m \rightarrow \infty$.*

REFERENCES

- [BM97] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman: *Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), 207–302.
- [BK07] Z. Błocki, S. Kołodziej: *On regularization of plurisubharmonic functions on manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), 2089–2093.
- [BHJ17] S. Boucksom, T. Hisamoto, M. Jonsson, *Uniform K-stability, Duistermaat–Heckman measures and singularities of pairs*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **67** (2017), 87–139.
- [Cat97] D. Catlin, *The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian*, Analysis and geometry in several complex variables (Katata, 1997), 1–23, Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999.
- [CDS15] X.-X. Chen, S. Donaldson, S. Sun, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, I, II, III*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **28** (2015) 183–197, 199–234, 235–278.
- [CGZ13] D. Coman, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, *Extension of plurisubharmonic functions with growth control*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **676** (2013), 33–49.
- [CMM17] D. Coman, X. N. Ma, G. Marinescu, *Equidistribution for sequences of line bundles on normal Kähler spaces*, Geom. Topol. **21** (2017), 923–962.
- [DLM06] X.-Z. Dai, K.-F. Liu, X.-N. Ma, *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel*, J. Differ. Geom. **72** (2006), 1–41.
- [Dem12] J.-P. Demailly, *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, preprint, <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>.
- [DP04] J.-P. Demailly, M. Paun: *Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold*, Ann. of Math. **159** (2004), 1247–1274.
- [Don01] S. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. I*, J. Differ. Geom. **59** (2001), 479–522.
- [Don02] S. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties*, J. Differ. Geom. **62** (2002), 289–349.
- [DS14] S. Donaldson, S. Sun, *Gromov-Hausdorff limits of Kähler manifolds and algebraic geometry*, Acta Math. **213** (2014), 63–106.
- [GS84] H. Grauert, R. Remmert, *Coherent analytic sheaves*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., **265**. Springer, Cham, 1984.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [Hir64] H. Hironaka: *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, I, II*, Ann. Math. **79** (1964), 109–203, 205–326.
- [Hör73] L. Hörmander: *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1973.
- [Jia16] W.-S. Jiang, *Bergman kernel along the Kähler-Ricci flow and Tian’s conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **717** (2016), 195–226.

- [Kin71] J. King, *The currents defined by analytic varieties*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), 185–220.
- [Kle66] S. L. Kleiman, *Toward a numerical theory of ampleness*, Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 293–344.
- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Kod60] K. Kodaira, On compact complex analytic surfaces. I, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 111–152.
- [Kod63] K. Kodaira, On compact analytic surfaces. II, III, Ann. of Math. (2) **77** (1963), 563–626; *ibid.* **78** (1963), 1–40.
- [KV71] R. Kiehl, J.L., Verdier, *Ein einfacher Beweis des Kohärenzsatzes von Grauert*, Math. Ann. **195** (1971), 24–50.
- [Li12] C. Li, *Kähler-Einstein metrics and K-Stability*, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 2012.
- [LL16] C.-J. Liu, Z.-Q. Lu, *Abstract Bergman kernel expansion and its applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), 1467–1495.
- [LS22] G. Liu, G. Székelyhidi, *Gromov-Hausdorff limits of Kähler manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **32** (2022), 236–279.
- [Moř67] B. G. Mořezon, *Reduction theorems for compact complex spaces with a sufficiently large field of meromorphic functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **31** (1967), 1385–1414.
- [MZ22] X. N. Ma, W. P. Zhang, *Superconnection and family Bergman kernels*, Math. Ann. (2022).
- [Pet16] P. Petersen, *Riemannian geometry. Third edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 171. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [Rua98] W.-D. Ruan, *Canonical coordinates and Bergman metrics*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), 589–631.
- [Szé16] G. Székelyhidi, *The partial C^0 -estimate along the continuity method*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (2016), 537–560.
- [Tia90a] G. Tian, *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, J. Differ. Geom. **32** (1990), 99–130.
- [Tia90b] G. Tian, *On Calabi’s conjecture for complex surfaces with positive first Chern class*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 101–172.
- [Tia97] G. Tian, *Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **130** (1997) 1–37,
- [Tia13] G. Tian, *Partial C^0 -estimate for Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Commun. Math. Stat. **1** (2013), 105–113.
- [Tia15] G. Tian, *K-stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **68** (2015), 1085–1156.
- [WZ21] F. Wang, X.-H. Zhu, *Tian’s partial C^0 -estimate implies Hamilton-Tian’s conjecture*, Adv. Math. **381** (2021), 29 pp.
- [Zel98] S. Zelditch, *Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices. **6** (1998), 317–331.
- [Zha21a] K.-W. Zhang, *Some refinements of the partial C^0 estimate*, Anal. PDE **14** (2021), 2307–2326.
- [Zha21b] K.-W. Zhang, *A quantization proof of the uniform Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture*, arXiv:2102.02438 (2021).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING, 210008, CHINA

Email address: linsheng_wang@outlook.com

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA

Email address: zhoushx19@pku.edu.cn