

Exact solution of the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ vertex model with open boundaries

Guang-Liang Li^{a,b}, Junpeng Cao^{b,c,d,e1}, Yi Qiao^f and Wen-Li Yang^{b,f,g2}

^a Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Nonequilibrium Synthesis and Modulation of Condensed Matter, School of Physics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

^b Peng Huanwu Center for Fundamental Theory, Xi'an 710127, China

^c Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

^d School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

^e Songshan Lake Materials Laboratory, Dongguan, Guangdong 523808, China

^f Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710127, China

^g Shaanxi Key Laboratory for Theoretical Physics Frontiers, Xi'an 710127, China

Abstract

In this paper, we study the exact solution of the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ quantum lattice model with non-diagonal open boundary condition. We demonstrate the crossing symmetry of the transfer matrix and obtain the quantum determinant. We construct the independent transfer matrix fusion identities and show that the fusion processes can be closed. Based on the fusion hierarchies and polynomial analysis, we obtain the inhomogeneous $T - Q$ relations, exact energy spectrum and Bethe ansatz equations of the system.

PACS: 75.10.Pq, 02.30.Ik, 71.10.Pm

Keywords: Bethe Ansatz; Lattice Integrable Models; Quantum Integrable Systems

¹Corresponding author: junpengcao@iphy.ac.cn

²Corresponding author: wlyang@nwu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

The high rank quantum integrable systems and their exact solutions are very important and have many applications in the many-body physics, statistical field theory and high energy physics [1–3]. The typical $SU(n)$ -symmetric integrable models have been studied extensively and many interesting phenomena such as novel elementary excitations and pairing mechanism are found [4–7]. During the studies, many powerful methods such as nested algebraic Bethe ansatz, $T - Q$ relations, inversion relations and fusion hierarchy are proposed. Among them, the ones based on the algebraic analysis are very useful, especially for solving the integrable models without $U(1)$ symmetry, because in this case it is very hard to construct the suitable reference state when applying the convention Bethe ansatz [1, 4].

Recently, the high rank integrable model concentrating beyond $A_n^{(1)}$ Lie algebra, such as B_n , C_n and D_n ones cause many attentions. Based on the subtle algebraic structure, many interesting progresses have been achieved. For example, the functional Bethe ansatz for the $O(n)$ -invariant magnet model and the Izergin-Korepin model are proposed [8,9]. The transfer matrix fusion relations [10–15] are extended to the $Sp(4)$ [16,17] and the arbitrary $Sp(2n)$ integrable vertex model [18,19]. Based on them, the partition function and the thermodynamic limit were studied [19]. Some new folded exactly solvable models are also constructed [20]. Focus on the boundary integrability [21], the general boundary reflection matrices with off-diagonal elements are obtained [22–24]. The analytical Bethe ansatz for the $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$, $B_n^{(1)}$, $C_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$ quantum algebra invariant models with the special open boundary conditions are studied [24,25]. Other important progresses can be found in Refs. [16,18,26–30].

In this paper, we study the lattice quantum integrable model associated with the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ algebra³, where the particles on each site have six internal degrees of freedom. Due to the existence of q -deformation, the interacting strengthes along the x - and y -directions are different from that along the z -directions. Thus the couplings are anisotropic. The anisotropic exchanging interactions may break the long range order and induce some interesting phenomena such as novel magnetic order states and quantum phase transitions. The

³It is remarked that the (q -deformed) affine algebras $D_3^{(1)}$ and $A_3^{(1)}$ are isomorphic. Here we choose the $D_3^{(1)}$ one just to emphasize the fact that the Hamiltonian (2.10) of the model and the associated fundamental R -matrix (2.1) are only involved with its vector representation (corresponding to the defining representation of $so(6)$).

spin configurations in the eigenstates are also different from those in the rational $D_3^{(1)}$ vertex model without q -deformed. Here, the boundary condition is the open one with non-diagonal matrix elements, which break the $U(1)$ symmetry of the model. The spin carried by the spinons is not conserved and may change after the boundary reflection. Due to the pinning by the two boundary magnetic fields, some interesting helical spin states can also be induced.

Another advantage of studying the q -deformed integrable model is that some physical properties and mathematic structures can be seen more clearly, comparing with the rational integrable models. This is because that in the rational limit where the crossing parameter is equal to one, some important information are erased. Different from the rational case, the coefficients of leading terms of the transfer matrix of the q -deformed integrable models are also the nontrivial conserved quantities, which can be used to construct the topological invariant quantities such as topological momentum and topological charge.

We note that with the development of artificial regulation techniques, the q -deformed integrable systems can be realized in experiments by putting the atoms with large nuclear spins in the certain magnetic traps or optical lattices.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give the description of the model. In section 3, we show the integrability and demonstrate the crossing symmetry of the transfer matrix. In section 4, we study the quantum determinant of the system. In sections 5 and 6, we study the nested fusion processes in the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ Lie algebra and give the closed recursive fusion relations among the fused transfer matrices. In section 7, we study the spinorial representation of the model. Based on them, we obtain the crossing symmetry between the new fused transfer matrices, which is given in section 8. In section 9, we list the sufficient conditions to determine the eigenvalues of the transfer matrices. According to them and the polynomial analysis, we obtain the eigenvalues and parameterize them in terms of the associated inhomogeneous $T - Q$ relations. The nested Bethe ansatz equations are also given. The concluding remarks are presented in section 10.

2 The model

We consider an one-dimensional quantum lattice model which includes N sites. We focus on the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ symmetry in the bulk. Thus the particles on each site have six internal degrees of freedom. Without losing generality, we denote $\{|i\rangle|i = 1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ as the orthogonal bases of the Hilbert space of each site. For the j -th site, we can define the

Boltzmann weight or R -matrix $R_{0j}(u)$, which is related to the two-body scattering matrix. According to the quantum inverse scattering theory, the matrix $R_{0j}(u)$ is defined in the tensor space $V_0 \otimes V_j$, where V_0 denotes the auxiliary space and V_j denotes the quantum or physical space. The dimensions of auxiliary and quantum spaces are the same. Thus the matrix $R_{0j}(u)$ is the $6^2 \times 6^2$ one. The explicit form of R -matrix of the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ vertex model is [24, 31, 32]

$$R_{0j}(u) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ b \\ b \\ b \\ e \end{array} & g & g & g & g & g \\ \hline \bar{g} & \begin{array}{c} b \\ a \\ b \\ b \\ e \\ b \end{array} & g & g & g & g \\ \hline \bar{g} & \bar{d} & d & d & d & d \\ \hline \bar{g} & \bar{d}_1 & \begin{array}{c} b \\ b \\ a \\ e \\ b \\ b \end{array} & g_3 & d & d \\ \hline \bar{g} & \bar{d}_1 & \bar{g} & \bar{d} & \begin{array}{c} b \\ b \\ e \\ a \\ b \\ b \end{array} & d \\ \hline \bar{g} & \bar{d}_2 & \bar{g}_2 & \bar{d} & \bar{g} & \begin{array}{c} e \\ b \\ b \\ a \\ b \end{array} \\ \hline \bar{g}_1 & d_2 & d_1 & d_1 & d & e \\ \hline & \bar{g} & \bar{g} & \bar{g} & \bar{g} & \begin{array}{c} b \\ b \\ b \\ b \\ a \end{array} \end{array} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where the matrix elements are

$$\begin{aligned} a(u) &= 2 \sinh \left(\frac{u}{2} - 2\eta \right) \sinh \left(\frac{u}{2} - 4\eta \right), & b(u) &= 2 \sinh \frac{u}{2} \sinh \left(\frac{u}{2} - 4\eta \right), \\ e(u) &= 2 \sinh \frac{u}{2} \sinh \left(\frac{u}{2} - 2\eta \right), & g(u) &= -2e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \sinh 2\eta \sinh \left(\frac{u}{2} - 2\eta \right), \\ \bar{g}(u) &= e^u g(u), & d(u) &= 2e^{-\frac{u}{2}+2\eta} \sinh 2\eta \sinh \left(\frac{u}{2} \right), & d_1(u) &= e^{-2\eta} d(u), \\ d_2(u) &= e^{-4\eta} d(u), & \bar{d}(u) &= e^{u-4\eta} d(u), & \bar{d}_1(u) &= e^{2\eta} \bar{d}(u), & \bar{d}_2(u) &= e^{4\eta} \bar{d}(u), \\ g_1(u) &= 2e^{-u} \sinh 2\eta \sinh 4\eta, & g_2(u) &= 4e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \sinh^2 2\eta \cosh \left(\frac{u}{2} - 2\eta \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$g_3(u) = e^u g_1(u), \quad \bar{g}_1(u) = e^{2u} g_1(u), \quad \bar{g}_2(u) = e^u g_2(u), \quad \bar{g}_3(u) = g_3(u), \quad (2.2)$$

u is the spectral parameter, η is the crossing parameter and the deformation of $D_3^{(1)}$ symmetry is quantified by $q = e^\eta$. Multiplying all the R -matrices on each sites, we obtain the monodromy matrix $T_0(u)$

$$T_0(u) = R_{01}(u - \theta_1)R_{02}(u - \theta_2) \cdots R_{0N}(u - \theta_N), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\{\theta_j|j = 1, \dots, N\}$ are the inhomogeneous parameters. $T_0(u)$ is defined in the tensor space $V_0 \otimes V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$, where V_0 is the six-dimensional auxiliary space and $\otimes_{j=1}^N V_j$ is the 6^N -dimensional physical space. From Eq.(2.3), we know that the matrix elements of $T_0(u)$ in the auxiliary space are the operators defined in the physical space.

For the open boundary condition, the boundary reflection at one end is characterized by the reflection matrix $K_0(u)$ defined in the auxiliary space V_0

$$K_0(u) = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{22}(u) & 0 & K_{24}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{33}(u) & 0 & K_{35}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & K_{42}(u) & 0 & K_{44}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{53}(u) & 0 & K_{55}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{66}(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

where the non-vanishing matrix elements can affect the behaviors of quasi-particles after reflecting and the matrix elements of $K_0(u)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{11}(u) &= h_1(u - 2\eta), & h_1(u) &= e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{u}{2} - c_2\right) + ce^{-u} \sinh u, \\ K_{22}(u) &= K_{33}(u) = h_3(u - 2\eta), & h_3(u) &= e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{u}{2} - c_2\right) - ce^{2\eta} \sinh 2\eta, \\ K_{44}(u) &= K_{55}(u) = -h_4(u + 2\eta), & h_4(u) &= e^{\frac{u}{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{u}{2} + c_2\right) + ce^{2\eta} \sinh 2\eta, \\ K_{66}(u) &= -h_2(u + 2\eta), & h_2(u) &= e^{\frac{u}{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{u}{2} + c_2\right) + ce^u \sinh(u), \\ K_{24}(u) &= -c_1 h_0(u), & K_{42}(u) &= -c_3 h_0(u), & h_0(u) &= e^{2\eta} \sinh u, \\ K_{35}(u) &= c_1 h_0(u), & K_{53}(u) &= c_3 h_0(u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Here, c , c_1 , c_2 and c_3 are the boundary parameters and satisfy the constraint

$$c_1 c_3 = c(c + e^{-c_2}). \quad (2.6)$$

Thus there are three free boundary parameters. It is noted that the general reflection matrix for the $D_3^{(1)}$ model has been given in reference [23], while the reflection matrix (2.4) is a special case. The point is that the K -matrix (2.4) has the non-diagonal matrix elements, which breaks the $U(1)$ symmetry and the traditional nested algebraic Bethe ansatz does not work. Here we take (2.4) as an example to show a new method to obtain the exact solution of the system. The boundary parameters c , c_1 , c_2 and c_3 quantity the strengths and directions of applied external magnetic fields at two boundaries.

In order to characterized the scattering processes of reflected quasi-particles, we also need the reflecting monodromy matrix $\hat{T}_0(u)$

$$\hat{T}_0(u) = R_{N0}(u + \theta_N) \cdots R_{20}(u + \theta_2) R_{10}(u + \theta_1). \quad (2.7)$$

Meanwhile, the boundary reflection at the other end of the chain is quantified by the dual reflection matrix $\bar{K}_0(u)$, which can be obtained by the mapping

$$\bar{K}_0(u) = M_0 K_0(-u + 8\eta)|_{(c, c_1, c_2, c_3) \rightarrow (c', c'_1, c'_2, c'_3)}, \quad (2.8)$$

where M_0 is the 6×6 diagonal matrix defined in the auxiliary space coming from the q -deformed trace [31], $M_0 = \text{diag}(e^{8\eta}, e^{4\eta}, 1, 1, e^{-4\eta}, e^{-8\eta})$, c', c'_1, c'_2, c'_3 are the boundary parameters and satisfy $c'_1 c'_3 = c'(c' + e^{-c'_2})$.

Combining all the above elements, we construct the transfer matrix of q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ vertex model [21]

$$t(u) = \text{tr}_0\{\bar{K}_0(u)T_0(u)K_0(u)\hat{T}_0(u)\}, \quad (2.9)$$

where tr_0 means the trace in the auxiliary space. Then the auxiliary space is removed and the transfer matrix $t(u)$ is exactly the operator defined in the physical space $\otimes_{j=1}^N V_j$. The interactions among the different sites are induced by the operation of taking trace. The transfer matrix $t(u)$ can also be understood as follows. The quasi-particle moves from the left to the right. It should be scattered by all the other quasi-particles and then is reflected by the right boundary with a reversed momentum. The reflected quasi-particle moves to the left and is scattered again by other particles. Then it is reflected by the left boundary and backs to its initial position. With the help of mathematical expressions of scattering and reflection matrices, we arrive at Eq.(2.9).

The transfer matrix $t(u)$ is the generating functional of conserved quantities of the systems. The Hamiltonian is generated by taking the derivative of the logarithm of the transfer

matrix [21]

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \ln t(u)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=0, \{\theta_j\}=0} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \mathcal{P}_{jj+1} \frac{\partial R_{jj+1}(u)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=0} + \frac{K_N(0)'}{2K_N(0)} + \frac{\text{tr}_0\{\bar{K}_0(0)H_{10}\}}{\text{tr}_0\bar{K}_0(0)} + \text{constant}, \quad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{P}_{jj+1} is the permutation operator and $H_{10} = \mathcal{P}_{10} \frac{\partial R_{10}(u)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=0}$. From first term of Eq.(2.10), we see that the interactions in the bulk are the nearest neighbor ones. The anisotropy of nearest neighbor couplings is quantified by the crossing parameter η . We should emphasize that although the interactions in the bulk of the model (2.10) only have the local q -deformed D_3 symmetry [32], the boundary reflections (2.4) and (2.8) break this symmetry⁴.

In the following text, we will exactly solve the transfer matrix $t(u)$ (2.9) thus the Hamiltonian (2.10). We should note that the reflection matrix $K(u)$ and the dual one $\bar{K}(u)$ have the non-diagonal elements, the quasi-particles with fixed internal intrinsic degrees of freedom may not conserved after the boundary reflections.

3 Integrability and the crossing symmetry

We first show the integrability of the system. The R -matrix (2.1) has the properties

$$\text{unitarity : } R_{12}(u)R_{21}(-u) = \rho_1(u) \times \text{id}, \quad \rho_1(u) = a(u)a(-u), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{crossing unitarity : } R_{12}(u)^{t_1} M_1 R_{21}(-u + 16\eta)^{t_1} M_1^{-1} \\
= R_{12}(u)^{t_2} M_2^{-1} R_{21}(-u + 16\eta)^{t_2} M_2 = \rho_1(u - 8\eta), \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{crossing relation : } R_{12}(u) = V_1 R_{12}(-u + 8\eta)^{t_2} V_1 = V_2^{t_2} R_{12}(-u + 8\eta)^{t_1} V_2^{t_2}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{regularity : } R_{12}(0) = \rho_1(0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}_{12}, \quad (3.4)$$

where the subscripts 1 and 2 denotes the different spaces, \mathcal{P}_{12} is the permutation operator with the matrix elements $[\mathcal{P}_{12}]_{\beta\delta}^{\alpha\gamma} = \delta_{\alpha\delta} \delta_{\beta\gamma}$, $R_{21}(u) = \mathcal{P}_{12} R_{12}(u) \mathcal{P}_{12} = R_{12}(u)^{t_1 t_2}$, t_k denotes

⁴It is noted that only if the boundary parameters satisfy some constraints, the system (2.10) could have the global q -deformed D_3 symmetry [24, 25].

the transposition in the k -th space, and V_k is the operator defined in the k -th space,

$$V_k = \begin{pmatrix} & & & e^{-4\eta} \\ & & e^{-2\eta} & \\ & & 1 & \\ & e^{2\eta} & & \\ e^{4\eta} & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_k^2 = \text{id}, \quad V_k^{t_k} V_k = M_k, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (3.5)$$

The R -matrix (2.1) satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation (YBE)

$$R_{12}(u-v)R_{13}(u)R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v)R_{13}(u)R_{12}(u-v). \quad (3.6)$$

From it, one can prove that the monodromy matrices satisfy the Yang-Baxter relations (YBRs)

$$R_{21}(u-v)T_2(u)T_1(v) = T_1(v)T_2(u)R_{21}(u-v), \quad (3.7)$$

$$R_{12}(u-v)\hat{T}_2(v)\hat{T}_1(u) = \hat{T}_1(u)\hat{T}_2(v)R_{12}(u-v). \quad (3.8)$$

The reflection matrix $K(u)$ satisfies the reflection equation

$$R_{12}(u-v)K_1(u)R_{21}(u+v)K_2(v) = K_2(v)R_{12}(u+v)K_1(u)R_{21}(u-v). \quad (3.9)$$

The dual reflection matrix $\bar{K}(u)$ satisfies the dual reflection equation

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(-u+v)\bar{K}_1(u)M_1^{-1}R_{21}(-u-v+16\eta)M_1\bar{K}_2(v) \\ = \bar{K}_2(v)M_1R_{12}(-u-v+16\eta)M_1^{-1}\bar{K}_1(u)R_{21}(-u+v). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

From the YBRs (3.7)-(3.8), reflection equation (3.9) and dual one (3.10), it is easy to show that the transfer matrices with different spectral parameters commute with each other, i.e., $[t(u), t(v)] = 0$. Then we can construct infinite commutative conserved quantities by using the transfer matrix. Thus the system is integrable.

Now, we demonstrate that the transfer matrix has the crossing symmetry

$$t(u) = t(-u + 8\eta). \quad (3.11)$$

With the help of the crossing relation (3.3) of R -matrix, the transposition of monodromy matrix $T_0(u)$ in the auxiliary space reads

$$T_0(-u + 8\eta)^{t_0} = \{R_{01}(-u + 8\eta - \theta_1)R_{02}(-u + 8\eta - \theta_2) \cdots R_{0N}(-u + 8\eta - \theta_N)\}^{t_0}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{V_0 R_{01}(u + \theta_1)^{t_1} R_{02}(u + \theta_2)^{t_2} \cdots R_{0N}(u + \theta_N)^{t_N} V_0\}^{t_0} \\
&= V_0^{t_0} \{R_{0N}(u + \theta_N)^{t_0 t_N} R_{0N-1}(u + \theta_{N-1})^{t_0 t_{N-1}} \cdots R_{01}(u + \theta_1)^{t_0 t_1}\} V_0^{t_0} \\
&= V_0^{t_0} \hat{T}_0(u) V_0^{t_0}, \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

which gives a relation between the monodromy matrix $T_0(u)$ and its reflecting one $\hat{T}_0(u)$. Similarly, we have $\hat{T}_0(-u + 8\eta)^{t_0} = V_0 T_0(u) V_0$. The direct calculation implies

$$\begin{aligned}
tr_2\{R_{12}(0)R_{12}(2u)\bar{K}_2(u)\} &= f(u)V_1^{t_1}\bar{K}_1(-u + 8\eta)^{t_1}V_1, \\
tr_2\{R_{12}(0)R_{12}(2u)M_2[K_2(-u + 8\eta)]^{t_2}\} &= f(u)V_1^{t_1}K_1(u)V_1^{t_1}, \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where $f(u) = -4 \sinh 2\eta \sinh 4\eta \sinh(u - 6\eta) \sinh(u - 8\eta)$. Combining the results (3.12)-(3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
t(-u + 8\eta) &= tr_{0_1}\{\bar{K}_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)T_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}}\{K_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\hat{T}_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}} \\
&= tr_{0_1}\hat{T}_{0_1}(u)V_{0_1}^{t_{0_1}}\{\bar{K}_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}}V_{0_1}T_{0_1}(u)V_{0_1}\{K_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}}V_{0_1}^{t_{0_1}} \\
&= tr_{0_1}\hat{T}_{0_1}(u)tr_{0_2}R_{0_1 0_2}(0)R_{0_1 0_2}(2u)\bar{K}_{0_2}(u)T_{0_1}(u)V_{0_1}\{K_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}}V_{0_1}^{t_{0_1}}/f(u) \\
&= tr_{0_2}\bar{K}_{0_2}(u)tr_{0_1}R_{0_2 0_1}(0)\hat{T}_{0_2}(u)R_{0_1 0_2}(2u)T_{0_1}(u)V_{0_1}\{K_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}}V_{0_1}^{t_{0_1}}/f(u) \\
&= tr_{0_2}\bar{K}_{0_2}(u)tr_{0_1}R_{0_2 0_1}(0)T_{0_1}(u)R_{0_1 0_2}(2u)\hat{T}_{0_2}(u)V_{0_1}\{K_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}}V_{0_1}^{t_{0_1}}/f(u) \\
&= tr_{0_2}\bar{K}_{0_2}(u)T_{0_2}(u)tr_{0_1}R_{0_1 0_2}(0)R_{0_1 0_2}(2u)V_{0_1}\{K_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}}V_{0_1}^{t_{0_1}}\hat{T}_{0_2}(u)/f(u) \\
&= tr_{0_2}\bar{K}_{0_2}(u)T_{0_2}(u)V_{0_1}^{t_{0_1}}tr_{0_1}R_{0_2 0_1}(0)R_{0_2 0_1}(2u)V_{0_1}\{K_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}}\hat{T}_{0_2}(u)/f(u) \\
&= tr_{0_2}\bar{K}_{0_2}(u)T_{0_2}(u)V_{0_2}^{t_{0_2}}tr_{0_1}R_{0_2 0_1}(0)R_{0_2 0_1}(2u)M_{0_1}\{K_{0_1}(-u + 8\eta)\}^{t_{0_1}}V_{0_2}^{t_{0_2}}\hat{T}_{0_2}(u)/f(u) \\
&= tr_{0_2}\bar{K}_{0_2}(u)T_{0_2}(u)K_{0_2}(u)\hat{T}_{0_2}(u) = t(u). \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

In the derivation, we have used following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{T}_{0_2}(u)R_{0_1 0_2}(2u)T_{0_1}(u) &= T_{0_1}(u)R_{0_1 0_2}(2u)\hat{T}_{0_2}(u), \\
M_1 M_2 R_{12}(u) &= R_{12}(u)M_1 M_2, \\
V_{0_1}^{t_{0_1}}R_{0_1 0_2}(0)R_{0_1 0_2}(2u)V_{0_1} &= V_{0_2}^{t_{0_2}}R_{0_2 0_1}(0)R_{0_2 0_1}(2u)M_{0_1}V_{0_2}^{t_{0_2}}, \\
R_{0_2 0_1}(0)T_{0_1}(u) &= T_{0_2}(u)R_{0_1 0_2}(0), \quad \hat{T}_{0_1}(u)R_{0_1 0_2}(0) = R_{0_2 0_1}(0)\hat{T}_{0_2}(u). \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

4 Quantum determinant

The q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ vertex model also has another interesting conserved quantity, that is the quantum determinant. We use the fusion technique to calculate the quantum determinant.

According to the representation theory, the tensor product of two 6-dimensional vectorial representations of q -deformed D_3 algebra can be decomposed as $6 \otimes 6 = 1 \oplus 15 \oplus 20$, which means that the 36-dimensional tensor space can be decomposed as the direct sum of one 1-, one 15- and one 20-dimensional subspaces. Then the vectorial R -matrix (2.1) defined in the tensor space can be expressed in terms of the projectors. At the different points, the R -matrix (2.1) degenerates into different projectors, which can project the physical quantities into different irreducible subspaces [33]. For example, at the point of $u = 8\eta$, the R -matrix (2.1) degenerates into the one-dimensional projector. At the point of $u = 4\eta$, the R -matrix (2.1) degenerates into the (1 + 15)-dimensional projector. At the point of $u = -8\eta$, the R -matrix (2.1) degenerates into the (15 + 20)-dimensional projector. While at the point of $u = -4\eta$, the R -matrix (2.1) degenerates into the 20-dimensional projector. In these projected subspaces, we can study the fusion of transfer matrices. The detailed structures of subspaces can be read from the bases of the corresponding projectors. We should note that all the fused transfer matrices have the same algebra structure, while the dimensions of related subspaces are different.

We first consider the point of $u = 8\eta$. At which, the R -matrix (2.1) degenerates into

$$R_{12}(8\eta) = P_{12}^{(1)} S_{12}^{(1)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $S_{12}^{(1)}$ is a constant matrix omitted here, $P_{12}^{(1)}$ is the one-dimensional projector

$$P_{12}^{(1)} = |\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0|, \quad P_{21}^{(1)} = \mathcal{P}_{12} P_{12}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}_{12}, \quad (4.2)$$

and the basis vector reads

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\sinh 2\eta}{2 \cosh 4\eta \sinh 6\eta}} (e^{-4\eta}|16\rangle + e^{-2\eta}|25\rangle + |34\rangle + |43\rangle + e^{2\eta}|52\rangle + e^{4\eta}|61\rangle).$$

We consider following product of two transfer matrices with certain shift of the spectral parameter [18]

$$\begin{aligned} t(u)t(u + \Delta) &= tr_2\{\bar{K}_2(u)T_2(u)K_2(u)\hat{T}_2(u)\} \\ &\quad \times tr_1\{\bar{K}_1(u + \Delta)T_1(u + \Delta)K_1(u + \Delta)\hat{T}_1(u + \Delta)\}^{t_1} \\ &= [\rho_1(2u + \Delta - 8\eta)]^{-1} tr_{12}\{\bar{K}_2(u)T_2(u)K_2(u)\hat{T}_2(u)[T_2(u + \Delta)K_2(u + \Delta)\hat{T}_2(u + \Delta)]^{t_1} \\ &\quad \times R_{12}^{t_1}(2u + \Delta)M_1 R_{21}^{t_1}(-2u + 16\eta - \Delta)M_1^{-1}[\bar{K}_1(u + \Delta)]^{t_1}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [\rho_1(2u + \Delta - 8\eta)]^{-1} tr_{12} \{ [\bar{K}_1(u + \Delta) M_1^{-1} R_{21}(-2u + 16\eta - \Delta) M_1 \\
&\quad \times \bar{K}_2(u) T_2(u) K_2(u) \hat{T}_2(u)]^{t_1} [R_{12}(2u + \Delta) T_1(u + \Delta) K_1^-(u + \Delta) \hat{T}_1(u + \Delta)]^{t_1} \} \\
&= [\rho_1(2u + \Delta - 8\eta)]^{-1} tr_{12} \{ \bar{K}_1(u + \Delta) M_1^{-1} R_{21}(-2u + 16\eta - \Delta) M_1 \\
&\quad \times \bar{K}_2(u) T_2(u) T_1(u + \Delta) K_2(u) R_{12}(2u + \Delta) K_1(u + \Delta) \hat{T}_2(u) \hat{T}_1(u + \Delta) \}. \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

In the derivation, we have used following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
tr_{12} \{ A_{12}^{t_1} B_{12}^{t_1} \} &= tr_{12} \{ A_{12} B_{12} \}, \quad M_1^t = M_1, \quad (M_1^{-1})^t = M_1^{-1}, \\
\hat{T}_2(u) R_{12}(2u + \Delta) T_1(u + \Delta) &= T_2(u + \Delta) R_{12}(2u + \Delta) \hat{T}_2(u). \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

We should remark that the basic idea of deriving Eq.(4.3) is as follows. Substituting the definition of transfer matrix into the left hand side of (4.3), we obtain one analytical expression. Then we use the matrix transposition and YBRs to change the orders of reflection matrices and monodromy matrices. At last, two (reflecting) monodromy matrices with certain shift of spectral parameter should be neighbor. Then we arrive at (4.3). The values of Δ are determined by the degenerations of related R -matrix (2.1).

From the YBRs (3.7)-(3.8) and using the properties of projector, we obtain

$$T_2(\theta_j) T_1(\theta_j + 8\eta) = P_{12}^{(1)} T_2(\theta_j) T_1(\theta_j + 8\eta), \tag{4.5}$$

$$\hat{T}_2(-\theta_j) \hat{T}_1(-\theta_j + 8\eta) = P_{21}^{(1)} \hat{T}_2(-\theta_j) \hat{T}_1(-\theta_j + 8\eta), \tag{4.6}$$

which means that both the products $T_2(\theta_j) T_1(\theta_j + 8\eta)$ and $\hat{T}_2(-\theta_j) \hat{T}_1(-\theta_j + 8\eta)$ can generate the projectors. Substituting Eq.(4.5) into (4.3) and considering $u = \{\theta_j\}$, $\Delta = 8\eta$, we see that the projector $P_{12}^{(1)}$ is indeed generated in the operator product identity (4.3). Then we can take the fusion with projector $P_{12}^{(1)}$, which means that all the operators can be projected into the one-dimensional subspace generated by $|\psi_0\rangle$. By taking trace, the projector $P_{12}^{(1)}$ is removed and we obtain an one-dimensional vector, which is the quantum determinant. Substituting Eq.(4.6) into (4.3) and considering $u = \{-\theta_j\}$, $\Delta = 8\eta$, we see that the projector $P_{21}^{(1)}$ appears in the identity (4.3). The fusion of $P_{21}^{(1)}$ can also project all the operators into the one-dimensional fused space to confirm the quantum determinant.

Now, we carry out the fusion process. Starting from the YBE (3.6) with fixed value of $u - v$ and using the properties $[P_{12}^{(1)}]^2 = P_{12}^{(1)}$, $[P_{21}^{(1)}]^2 = P_{21}^{(1)}$, we obtain the fusion identities

$$P_{12}^{(1)} R_{23}(u) R_{13}(u + 8\eta) P_{12}^{(1)} = a(u) e(u + 8\eta) P_{21}^{(1)}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$P_{21}^{(1)} R_{32}(u) R_{31}(u + 8\eta) P_{21}^{(1)} = a(u) e(u + 8\eta) P_{12}^{(1)}. \quad (4.8)$$

According to the definitions of monodromy matrices and using Eqs.(4.7)-(4.8), we have

$$P_{12}^{(1)} T_2(u) T_1(u + 8\eta) P_{12}^{(1)} = P_{12}^{(1)} \prod_{i=1}^N a(u - \theta_i) e(u - \theta_i + 8\eta), \quad (4.9)$$

$$P_{21}^{(1)} \hat{T}_2(u) \hat{T}_1(u + 8\eta) P_{21}^{(1)} = P_{21}^{(1)} \prod_{i=1}^N a(u + \theta_i) e(u + \theta_i + 8\eta). \quad (4.10)$$

The fusion of the reflection matrices gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_{12}^{(1)} K_2(u) R_{12}(2u + 8\eta) K_1(u + 8\eta) P_{21}^{(1)} \\ = -2 \sinh(u + 6\eta) \sinh(u + 8\eta) h_1(u - 2\eta) h_2(u + 2\eta) P_{12}^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{21}^{(1)} \bar{K}_1(u + 8\eta) M_1^{-1} R_{21}(-2u + 8\eta) M_1 \bar{K}_2(u) P_{12}^{(1)} \\ = -2 \sinh(u - 6\eta) \sinh(u - 8\eta) \tilde{h}_1(u - 2\eta) \tilde{h}_2(u + 2\eta) P_{21}^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where $\tilde{h}_1(u) = h_1(u)|_{(c, c_1, c_2, c_3) \rightarrow (c', c'_1, c'_2, c'_3)} = -[e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \sinh(\frac{u}{2} - \tilde{c}_2) + \tilde{c} e^{-u} \sinh(u)]$ and $\tilde{h}_2(u) = h_2(u)|_{(c, c_1, c_2, c_3) \rightarrow (c', c'_1, c'_2, c'_3)} = -[e^{\frac{u}{2}} \sinh(\frac{u}{2} + \tilde{c}_2) + \tilde{c} e^u \sinh(u)]$.

Substituting Eqs.(4.9)-(4.12) into (4.3), we arrive at

$$t(\pm\theta_j) t(\pm\theta_j + 8\eta) = S \Delta_q(u)|_{u=\{\pm\theta_j\}} \times \text{id}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (4.13)$$

where S is the structure factor coming from the free open boundaries

$$S = \frac{\sinh(\pm\theta_j - 6\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j - 8\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j + 6\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j + 8\eta)}{\sinh(\pm\theta_j - 2\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j - 4\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j + 2\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j + 4\eta)}, \quad (4.14)$$

and $\Delta_q(u)$ is the quantum determinant

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_q(u) &= h_1(u - 2\eta) h_2(u + 2\eta) \tilde{h}_1(u - 2\eta) \tilde{h}_2(u + 2\eta) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^N a(u - \theta_i) e(u - \theta_i + 8\eta) a(u + \theta_i) e(u + \theta_i + 8\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

From Eq.(4.13), we see that the product $t(u)t(u+8\eta)$ at the inhomogeneous points $u = \{\pm\theta_j\}$ give the one-dimensional vectors. We shall remark that the transfer matrix has the crossing symmetry (3.11). Thus the fusion identities (4.13) with $u = \{\theta_j\}$ and that with $u = \{-\theta_j\}$ are the same. Thus only the identities (4.13) with $u = \{\theta_j\}$ are independent.

5 Transfer matrix fusion identities

5.1 Fused R -matrices

At the point of $u = 4\eta$, the R -matrix (2.1) degenerates into

$$R_{12}(4\eta) = P_{12}^{(16)} S_{12}^{(16)}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $S_{12}^{(16)}$ is a constant matrix omitted here and $P_{12}^{(16)}$ is a 16-dimensional projector

$$P_{12}^{(16)} = \sum_{i=1}^{16} |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|, \quad P_{21}^{(16)} = \mathcal{P}_{12} P_{12}^{(16)} \mathcal{P}_{12},$$

with the bases vectors

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_1\rangle &= \phi(e^{-\eta}|12\rangle - e^\eta|21\rangle), \quad |\phi_2\rangle = \phi(e^{-\eta}|13\rangle - e^\eta|31\rangle), \quad |\phi_3\rangle = \phi(e^{-\eta}|14\rangle - e^\eta|41\rangle), \\ |\phi_4\rangle &= \phi(e^{-\eta}|15\rangle - e^\eta|51\rangle), \quad |\phi_5\rangle = \phi(e^{-2\eta}|16\rangle - e^{2\eta}|61\rangle), \quad |\phi_6\rangle = \phi(e^{-\eta}|23\rangle - e^\eta|32\rangle), \\ |\phi_7\rangle &= \phi(e^{-\eta}|24\rangle - e^\eta|42\rangle), \quad |\phi_9\rangle = \phi(e^{-\eta}|26\rangle - e^\eta|62\rangle), \quad |\phi_{11}\rangle = \phi(e^{-\eta}|35\rangle - e^\eta|53\rangle), \\ |\phi_{12}\rangle &= \phi(e^{-\eta}|36\rangle - e^\eta|63\rangle), \quad |\phi_{14}\rangle = \phi(e^{-\eta}|45\rangle - e^\eta|54\rangle), \\ |\phi_{15}\rangle &= \phi(e^{-\eta}|46\rangle - e^\eta|64\rangle), \quad |\phi_{16}\rangle = \phi(e^{-\eta}|56\rangle - e^\eta|65\rangle), \quad \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cosh 2\eta}}, \\ |\phi_8\rangle &= 2\bar{\phi} \left\{ \cosh 4\eta(e^{-2\eta}|25\rangle - e^{2\eta}|52\rangle) - \sinh 2\eta(e^{2\eta}|16\rangle + e^{-2\eta}|61\rangle) \right\}, \\ |\phi_{10}\rangle &= \bar{\phi} \left\{ e^{4\eta}|25\rangle + e^{-4\eta}|52\rangle + e^{2\eta}|16\rangle + e^{-2\eta}|61\rangle + 2 \cosh 6\eta|34\rangle \right\}, \\ |\phi_{13}\rangle &= \tilde{\phi} \left\{ \frac{\sinh 2\eta}{\sinh 8\eta} (e^{4\eta}|25\rangle + e^{-4\eta}|52\rangle + e^{2\eta}|16\rangle + e^{-2\eta}|61\rangle) - \frac{|34\rangle}{2 \cosh 4\eta} + |43\rangle \right\}, \\ \bar{\phi} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sinh 2\eta}{2 \cosh 6\eta \sinh 8\eta}}, \quad \tilde{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\sinh 6\eta}{2 \cosh 4\eta \sinh 2\eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the properties of projector and the YBRs (3.7)-(3.8), we have

$$T_2(\theta_j)T_1(\theta_j + 4\eta) = P_{12}^{(16)}T_2(\theta_j)T_1(\theta_j + 4\eta), \quad (5.2)$$

$$\hat{T}_2(-\theta_j)\hat{T}_1(-\theta_j + 4\eta) = P_{21}^{(16)}\hat{T}_2(-\theta_j)\hat{T}_1(-\theta_j + 4\eta), \quad (5.3)$$

which means that the product $T_2(\theta_j)T_1(\theta_j+4\eta)$ generates the projector $P_{12}^{(16)}$ and $T_2(\theta_j)T_1(\theta_j+4\eta)$ generates $P_{12}^{(16)}$. Substituting $u = \{\theta_j\}$, $\Delta = 4\eta$ into Eq.(4.3) and considering (5.2), we know that the projector $P_{12}^{(16)}$ is indeed induced in the operator product identity (4.3). While substituting $u = \{-\theta_j\}$, $\Delta = 4\eta$ in Eq.(4.3) and considering (5.3), we obtain the projector $P_{21}^{(16)}$. Therefore, we can further take the fusion by these two 16-dimensional projectors.

Starting from the YBE (3.6) and taking the fusion with 16-dimensional projectors, we obtain the fusion of the R -matrices

$$P_{12}^{(16)} R_{23}(u) R_{13}(u + 4\eta) P_{12}^{(16)} = 4\tilde{\rho}_0(u) S_{1'2'} R_{1'3}^{(+)}(u + 2\eta) R_{2'3}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta) S_{1'2'}^{-1}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{21}^{(16)} R_{32}(u) R_{31}(u + 4\eta) P_{21}^{(16)} &= 4\tilde{\rho}_0(u) S_{1'2'} R_{32'}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta) R_{31'}^{(+)}(u + 2\eta) S_{1'2'}^{-1} \\ &= 4\tilde{\rho}_0(u) \bar{S}_{1'2'} R_{31'}^{(+)}(u + 2\eta) R_{32'}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta) \bar{S}_{1'2'}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

From Eqs.(5.4) and (5.5), we see that the fusion of two 6-dimensional spaces V_1 and V_2 gives a 16-dimensional fused space $V_{(12)}$. Meanwhile, the fused 16-dimensional space can be decomposed as the direct tensor-product of two 4-dimensional auxiliary spaces $V_{1'}$ and $V_{2'}$, i.e., $V_{(12)} = V_{1'} \otimes V_{2'}$. We should note that the space structures of $V_{1'}$ and $V_{2'}$ are the same. From Eqs.(5.4) and (5.5), we also know that the fused results are the product of two new fused R -matrices $R_{1'3}^{(+)}(u)$ and $R_{2'3}^{(-)}(u)$. Here, the function $\tilde{\rho}_0(u)$ is

$$\tilde{\rho}_0(u) = \sinh \frac{1}{2}(u + 4\eta) \sinh \frac{1}{2}(u - 8\eta).$$

The $S_{1'2'}$ is a $4^2 \times 4^2$ similar transformation matrix defined in the tensor space $V_{1'} \otimes V_{2'}$

$$S_{1'2'} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \begin{array}{cc} s_0 & -s_0 \\ \hline & s_0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} s_0 & \\ \hline s_0 & \end{array} & & \\ \hline \begin{array}{cc} & s_1 \\ s_0 & \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} & s_2 \\ & s_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} & s_4 \\ \hline s_0 & \end{array} & \\ \hline \begin{array}{cc} & s_5 \\ & s_6 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} & s_6 \\ & -s_5 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} & s_6 \\ \hline s_0 & \end{array} & \\ \hline \begin{array}{cc} & s_7 \\ & s_8 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} & s_9 \\ & s_{10} \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} & s_0 \\ & s_0 \end{array} & \\ \hline \begin{array}{cc} & s_{11} \\ \hline & \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} & s_0 \\ \hline & \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} & s_{12} \\ \hline & \end{array} & \\ \hline & & \begin{array}{cc} & s_0 \\ \hline & \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} & -s_0 \end{array} \end{array} \right), \quad (5.6)$$

where the matrix elements are

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= 2\sqrt{\cosh 2\eta \cosh 4\eta \cosh 6\eta}, \quad s_1 = -e^{5\eta} \sqrt{\cosh 6\eta}, \quad s_2 = -e^{-2\eta} s_1, \quad s_3 = e^{-8\eta} s_1, \\ s_4 &= e^{-10\eta} s_1, \quad s_5 = -e^{5\eta} \sqrt{\cosh 2\eta}, \quad s_6 = e^{-10\eta} s_5, \quad s_7 = -e^{-4\eta} s_5, \\ s_8 &= -e^\eta \cosh 4\eta \sqrt{\cosh 2\eta}, \quad s_9 = e^{-2\eta} s_8, \quad s_{10} = e^{-4\eta} s_5, \quad s_{11} = e^{-\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\sinh 12\eta}{2 \sinh 2\eta}}, \\ s_{12} &= -e^{2\eta} s_{11}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{dual reflection equation : } R_{1'2'}^{(+)}(-u+v)\bar{K}_{1'}^{(+)}(u)\bar{M}_{1'}^{-1}R_{2'1'}^{(-)}(-u-v+16\eta)\bar{M}_{1'}\bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(v) \\
& = \bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(v)\bar{M}_{1'}R_{1'2'}^{(+)}(-u-v+16\eta)\bar{M}_{1'}^{-1}\bar{K}_{1'}^{(+)}(u)R_{2'1'}^{(-)}(-u+v), \quad (5.16)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{M}_{2'}$ is the 4×4 diagonal matrix defined in the fused subspace $V_{2'}$, $\bar{M}_{2'} = \text{diag}(e^{6\eta}, e^{2\eta}, e^{-2\eta}, e^{-6\eta})$. The $R_{1'2'}^{(\pm)}(u)$ are the $(4 \times 6) \times (4 \times 6)$ fused R -matrices defined in the tensor space $V_{1'} \otimes V_2$ and take the forms of

$$R_{1'2'}^{(+)} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & a_1 & a_1 \\ & b_1 & \\ & & b_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} -e_1 & e_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} e_2 \\ -e_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} -e_2 & e_2 \end{array} \\
\hline
\begin{array}{cc} -e_3 & e_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & b_1 & b_1 \\ & a_1 & a_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} -e_1 \\ e_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} -e_1 \\ e_2 \end{array} \\
\hline
\begin{array}{c} e_4 \\ -e_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} -e_3 \\ e_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} b_1 & a_1 & b_1 \\ & a_1 & b_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} -e_1 \\ e_1 \end{array} \\
\hline
\begin{array}{cc} -e_4 & e_4 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} -e_3 \\ e_4 \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} -e_3 & e_3 & \\ & & e_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} b_1 & b_1 & a_1 \\ & & b_1 \end{array}
\end{array} \right), \quad (5.17)$$

$$R_{1'2'}^{(-)} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & a_1 & b_1 \\ & b_1 & a_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} e_1 & -e_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} e_2 \\ -e_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} -e_2 & e_2 \end{array} \\
\hline
\begin{array}{cc} e_3 & -e_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & b_1 & a_1 \\ & b_1 & a_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ -e_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ -e_2 \end{array} \\
\hline
\begin{array}{c} e_4 \\ -e_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} e_3 \\ -e_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} b_1 & a_1 & a_1 \\ & b_1 & b_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} -e_1 \\ e_1 \end{array} \\
\hline
\begin{array}{cc} -e_4 & e_4 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} e_3 \\ -e_4 \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} -e_3 & e_3 & \\ & & e_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} b_1 & b_1 & b_1 \\ & & a_1 \end{array}
\end{array} \right), \quad (5.18)$$

where the matrix elements are

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \sinh \frac{1}{2}(u - 6\eta), & b_1 &= \sinh \frac{1}{2}(u - 2\eta), & e_1 &= e^{-\frac{u}{2} + \eta} \sinh 2\eta, \\
e_2 &= e^{-\frac{u}{2} - \eta} \sinh 2\eta, & e_3 &= e^{\frac{u}{2} - \eta} \sinh 2\eta, & e_4 &= e^{\frac{u}{2} + \eta} \sinh 2\eta.
\end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

According to the fusion identities (5.4)-(5.5) and the definitions of monodromy matrices, we obtain

$$P_{12}^{(16)} T_2(u) T_1(u + 4\eta) P_{12}^{(16)} = 4^N \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(u - \theta_i) S_{1'2'} T_{1'}^+(u + 2\eta) T_{2'}^-(u + 2\eta) S_{1'2'}^{-1}, \quad (5.20)$$

$$P_{21}^{(16)} \hat{T}_2(u) \hat{T}_1(u + 4\eta) P_{21}^{(16)} = 4^N \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(u + \theta_i) \bar{S}_{1'2'} \hat{T}_{1'}^+(u + 2\eta) \hat{T}_{2'}^-(u + 2\eta) \bar{S}_{1'2'}^{-1}, \quad (5.21)$$

where $T_{0'}^{(\pm)}(u)$ and $\hat{T}_{0'}^{(\pm)}(u)$ are the fused monodromy matrices constructed by the fused $R_{1'2'}^{(\pm)}(u)$ as

$$T_{0'}^{(\pm)}(u) = R_{0'1}^{(\pm)}(u - \theta_1) R_{0'2}^{(\pm)}(u - \theta_2) \cdots R_{0'N}^{(\pm)}(u - \theta_N), \quad (5.22)$$

$$\hat{T}_{0'}^{(\pm)}(u) = R_{N0'}^{(\pm)}(u + \theta_N) \cdots R_{20'}^{(\pm)}(u + \theta_2) R_{10'}^{(\pm)}(u + \theta_1). \quad (5.23)$$

5.2 Fused reflection matrices

From the boundary integrable theory, the fusion rule of the reflection matrices is

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{12}^{(16)} K_2(u) R_{12}(2u + 4\eta) K_1(u + 4\eta) P_{21}^{(16)} \\ &= -2e^{4\eta} \sinh(u + 4\eta) S_{1'2'} K_{1'}^{(+)}(u + 2\eta) R_{2'1'}^{(-+)}(2u + 4\eta) K_{2'}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta) \bar{S}_{1'2'}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

where the R -matrices with certain spectral parameters are inserted to ensure the integrability. We see that the fused results are the product of two new fused reflection matrices $K_{1'}^{(+)}(u)$ and $K_{2'}^{(-)}(u)$. The $K_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u)$ defined in the fused subspace $V_{1'}$ are the 4×4 matrices with the forms

$$K_{1'}^{(+)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \sinh(c_2 - \frac{u}{2}) & c_1 \sinh(u) & 0 & 0 \\ c_3 \sinh(u) & e^{\frac{u}{2}} \sinh(c_2 + \frac{u}{2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_2(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_2(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.25)$$

$$K_{1'}^{(-)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-4\eta} h_1(u - 4\eta) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-4\eta} h_1(u - 4\eta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_1(u) & c_1 \sinh(u) \\ 0 & 0 & c_3 \sinh(u) & k_2(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.26)$$

where

$$k_1(u) = e^{-\frac{u}{2} - 2\eta} \sinh(c_2 - \frac{u}{2} + 2\eta) + c \sinh 4\eta,$$

$$k_2(u) = e^{\frac{u}{2}-2\eta} \sinh(c_2 + \frac{u}{2} + 2\eta) + c \sinh 4\eta.$$

The fused reflection matrices satisfy the reflection equation

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u-v)K_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u)R_{21'}^{(\pm)}(u+v)K_2(v) \\ = K_2(v)R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u+v)K_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u)R_{21'}^{(\pm)}(u-v). \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

The fused dual reflection matrices $\bar{K}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{21}^{(16)}\bar{K}_1(u+4\eta)M_1^{-1}R_{21}(-2u+12\eta)M_1\bar{K}_2(u)P_{12}^{(16)} = 2e^{4\eta} \sinh(u-8\eta) \\ \times \bar{S}_{1'2'}\bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(u+2\eta)\bar{M}_{2'}^{-1}R_{1'2'}^{(+)}(-2u+12\eta)\bar{M}_{2'}\bar{K}_{1'}^{(+)}(u+2\eta)S_{1'2'}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

which satisfy the dual reflection equation

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(-u+v)\bar{K}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u)\bar{M}_{1'}^{-1}R_{21'}^{(\pm)}(-u-v+16\eta)\bar{M}_{1'}\bar{K}_2(v) \\ = \bar{K}_2(v)\bar{M}_{1'}R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(-u-v+16\eta)\bar{M}_{1'}^{-1}\bar{K}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u)R_{21'}^{(\pm)}(-u+v). \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

The fused dual reflection matrices $\bar{K}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u)$ can also be obtained by the mapping

$$\bar{K}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u) = \bar{M}_{1'}K_{1'}^{(\pm)}(-u+8\eta) \Big|_{(c,c_1,c_2,c_3) \rightarrow (c',c'_1,c'_2,c'_3)}. \quad (5.30)$$

5.3 Fusion identities of the transfer matrices

Substituting $u = \{\pm\theta_j\}$, $\Delta = 4\eta$ into Eq.(4.3) and using the fusion relations (5.20)-(5.21), (5.24), (5.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t(\pm\theta_j)t(\pm\theta_j+4\eta) = e^{8\eta} \frac{\sinh(\pm\theta_j+4\eta)\sinh(\pm\theta_j-8\eta)}{\sinh(\pm\theta_j+2\eta)\sinh(\pm\theta_j-6\eta)} \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(\pm\theta_j-\theta_i)\tilde{\rho}_0(\pm\theta_j+\theta_i) \\ \times 4^{2N} t_+(\pm\theta_j+2\eta)t_-(\pm\theta_j+2\eta), \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

were the fused transfer matrices $t_{\pm}(u)$ is defined by

$$t_{\pm}(u) = \text{tr}_{0'} \{ \bar{K}_{0'}^{(\pm)}(u)T_{0'}^{(\pm)}(u)K_{0'}^{(\pm)}(u)\hat{T}_{0'}^{(\pm)}(u) \}. \quad (5.32)$$

In the derivation of Eq.(5.31), we have used the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{1'2'} \{ \bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(u)\bar{M}_{2'}^{-1}R_{1'2'}^{(+)}(-2u+16\eta)\bar{M}_{2'}\bar{K}_{1'}^{(+)}(u)T_{1'}^{(+)}(u)T_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \\ \times K_{1'}^{(+)}(u)R_{2'1'}^{(-)}(2u)K_{2'}^{(-)}(u)\hat{T}_{1'}^{(+)}(u)\hat{T}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \} = \rho_{ss}(2u)t_+(u)t_-(u). \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

From Eq.(5.31), we see that the fusion of two transfer matrices $t(u)$ generates two new fused transfer matrices $t_{\pm}(u)$. The identities with $u = \{\theta_j\}$ and those with $u = \{-\theta_j\}$ are not equivalent, although $t(u)$ has the crossing symmetry. According to the definition (5.32), the physical spaces of $t_{\pm}(u)$ are the same as that of $t(u)$. $t_{\pm}(u)$ are the new generating functionals of conserved quantities of q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ integrable model. From the YBE (5.14), reflection equations (5.15)-(5.16) and definitions of fused monodromy matrices (5.22)-(5.23), we can demonstrate that the fused transfer matrices $t_+(u)$ and $t_-(u)$ are commutative

$$[t_+(u), t_-(v)] = 0. \quad (5.34)$$

6 Nested fusion

The recursive fusion relations (5.31) are not closed because the new fused transfer matrices $t_{\pm}(u)$ are induced. In order to close the fusion processes, we further study the properties of fused matrices $R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u)$. The $R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u)$ satisfy

$$\text{transition symmetry} : R_{21'}^{(\pm)}(u) = R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u)^{t_1 t_2}, \quad (6.1)$$

$$\text{unitarity} : R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u) R_{21'}^{(\pm)}(-u) = \rho_s(u) = a_1(u) a_1(-u), \quad (6.2)$$

$$\text{crossing unitarity} : R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u)^{t_2} M_2^{-1} R_{21'}^{(\pm)}(-u + 16\eta)^{t_2} M_2 = \rho_s(u - 8\eta), \quad (6.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{YBE} : R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u_1 - u_2) R_{1'3}^{(\pm)}(u_1 - u_3) R_{23}(u_2 - u_3) \\ = R_{23}(u_2 - u_3) R_{1'3}^{(\pm)}(u_1 - u_3) R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u_1 - u_2). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

The tensor spaces of $R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u)$ matrices can be decomposed as $4 \otimes 6 = 4 \oplus 20$. Thus we have one 4-dimensional and one 20-dimensional projected subspaces. At the point of $u = 6\eta$, the fused R -matrix $R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(u)$ reduce into

$$R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(6\eta) = P_{1'2}^{(\pm)} S_{1'2}^{(\pm)}, \quad P_{1'2}^{(\pm)} = \sum_{i=1}^4 |\phi_i^{(\pm)}\rangle \langle \phi_i^{(\pm)}|, \quad (6.5)$$

where $S_{1'2}^{(\pm)}$ are the constant matrices omitted here and $P_{1'2}^{(\pm)}$ are the 4-dimensional projectors with the bases vectors

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_1^{(+)}\rangle &= \phi_0(e^{-2\eta}|14\rangle - |22\rangle + e^{2\eta}|31\rangle), & |\phi_2^{(+)}\rangle &= \phi_0(e^{-2\eta}|15\rangle + |23\rangle - e^{2\eta}|41\rangle), \\ |\phi_3^{(+)}\rangle &= \phi_0(e^{-2\eta}|16\rangle - |33\rangle + e^{2\eta}|42\rangle), & |\phi_4^{(+)}\rangle &= \phi_0(e^{-2\eta}|26\rangle + |35\rangle + e^{2\eta}|44\rangle), \\ |\phi_1^{(-)}\rangle &= \phi_0(e^{-2\eta}|13\rangle + |22\rangle + e^{2\eta}|31\rangle), & |\phi_2^{(-)}\rangle &= \phi_0(e^{-2\eta}|15\rangle - |24\rangle - e^{2\eta}|41\rangle), \end{aligned}$$

$$|\phi_3^{(-)}\rangle = \phi_0(e^{-2\eta}|16\rangle - |34\rangle + e^{2\eta}|42\rangle), \quad |\phi_4^{(-)}\rangle = \phi_0(e^{-2\eta}|26\rangle - |35\rangle - e^{2\eta}|43\rangle),$$

where $\phi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\sinh 2\eta}{\sinh 6\eta}}$. Exchanging two spaces, we obtain the projectors

$$P_{21'}^{(\pm)} = \sum_{i=1}^4 |\varphi_i^{(\pm)}\rangle\langle\varphi_i^{(\pm)}|, \quad |\varphi_i^{(\pm)}\rangle = |\phi_i^{(\pm)}\rangle|_{\eta \rightarrow -\eta, |kl\rangle \rightarrow |lk\rangle}. \quad (6.6)$$

From the YBE (6.4), one can check that the fused monodromy matrices satisfy the YBRs

$$R_{00'}^{(\pm)}(u-v)T_0(u)T_{0'}^{(\pm)}(v) = T_{0'}^{(\pm)}(v)T_0(u)R_{00'}^{(\pm)}(u-v), \quad (6.7)$$

$$R_{00'}^{(\pm)}(u-v)\hat{T}_0(u)\hat{T}_{0'}^{(\pm)}(v) = \hat{T}_{0'}^{(\pm)}(v)\hat{T}_0(u)R_{00'}^{(\pm)}(u-v), \quad (6.8)$$

which gives

$$T_2(\theta_j)T_{1'}^{(\pm)}(\theta_j + 6\eta) = P_{1'2}^{(\pm)}T_2(\theta_j)T_{1'}^{(\pm)}(\theta_j + 6\eta), \quad (6.9)$$

$$\hat{T}_2(-\theta_j)\hat{T}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(-\theta_j + 6\eta) = P_{21'}^{(\pm)}\hat{T}_2(-\theta_j)\hat{T}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(-\theta_j + 6\eta). \quad (6.10)$$

From Eqs.(6.9)-(6.10), we conclude that the product $T_2(\theta_j)T_{1'}^{(\pm)}(\theta_j + 6\eta)$ can induce the projectors $P_{1'2}^{(\pm)}$ and $\hat{T}_2(-\theta_j)\hat{T}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(-\theta_j + 6\eta)$ can induce the projectors $P_{21'}^{(\pm)}$. Eqs.(6.9)-(6.10) also tell us that we can consider the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} t(u)t_{\pm}(u + \Delta) &= [\rho_s(2u + \Delta - 8\eta)]^{-1}tr_{1'2}\{\bar{K}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u + \Delta)\bar{M}_{1'}^{-1}R_{21'}^{(\pm)}(-2u + 16\eta - \Delta)\bar{M}_{1'} \\ &\times \bar{K}_2(u)T_2(u)T_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u + \Delta)K_2(u)R_{1'2}^{(\pm)}(2u + \Delta)K_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u + \Delta)\hat{T}_2(u)\hat{T}_{1'}^{(\pm)}(u + \Delta)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

Therefore, substituting $u = \{\theta_j\}$, $\Delta = 6\eta$ into Eq.(6.11) and considering (6.9), we can obtain the fusion identities induced by the projectors $P_{1'2}^{(\pm)}$. Substituting $u = \{-\theta_j\}$, $\Delta = 6\eta$ into Eq.(6.11) and considering (6.10), we can obtain the fusion identities induced by the projectors $P_{21'}^{(\pm)}$.

Starting from the YBE (6.4) and taking the fusion by using the projectors $P_{1'2}^{(+)}$ and $P_{21'}^{(+)}$, we obtain

$$P_{1'2}^{(+)}R_{23}(u)R_{1'3}^{(+)}(u + 6\eta)P_{1'2}^{(+)} = 2\tilde{\rho}_0(u)R_{(1'2)3}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta), \quad (6.12)$$

$$P_{21'}^{(+)}R_{32}(u)R_{31'}^{(+)}(u + 6\eta)P_{21'}^{(+)} = 2\tilde{\rho}_0(u)R_{3(1'2)}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta). \quad (6.13)$$

We see that the R -matrices $R(u)$ and $R^{(+)}(u)$ can be fused into the $R^{(-)}(u)$. No new R -matrix appears. Thus the fusion of R -matrices are closed. Please note that the dimension

of fused auxiliary space $V_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}$ is 4. From Eqs.(6.12)-(6.13), we obtain the fusion relations among the monodromy matrices

$$P_{1'2}^{(+)} T_2(u) T_{1'}^{(+)}(u + 6\eta) P_{1'2}^{(+)} = 2^N \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(u - \theta_i) T_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta), \quad (6.14)$$

$$P_{21'}^{(+)} \hat{T}_2(u) \hat{T}_{1'}^{(+)}(u + 6\eta) P_{21'}^{(+)} = 2^N \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(u + \theta_i) \hat{T}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta). \quad (6.15)$$

The fused reflection matrices are

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1'2}^{(+)} K_2(u) R_{1'2}^{(+)}(2u + 6\eta) K_{1'}^{(+)}(u + 6\eta) P_{21'}^{(+)} \\ = -e^{4\eta} \sinh(u + 6\eta) h_2(u + 2\eta) K_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{21'}^{(+)} \bar{K}_{1'}^{(+)}(u + 6\eta) \bar{M}_{1'}^{-1} R_{21'}^{(+)}(-2u + 10\eta) \bar{M}_{1'} \bar{K}_2(u) P_{1'2}^{(+)} \\ = e^{4\eta} \sinh(u - 8\eta) \tilde{h}_1(u - 2\eta) \bar{K}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{(-)}(u + 2\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

We see that the K and $K^{(+)}$ with certain shift of spectral parameter can be fused into $K^{(-)}(u)$. Thus the fusion of reflection matrices are also closed. Substituting $u = \{\pm\theta_j\}$ and $\Delta = 6\eta$ into Eq.(6.11) and using the relations (6.14)-(6.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t(\pm\theta_j) t_{\pm}(\pm\theta_j + 6\eta) = e^{8\eta} \frac{\sinh(\pm\theta_j + 6\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j - 8\eta)}{\sinh(\pm\theta_j + 2\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j - 4\eta)} \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(\pm\theta_j - \theta_i) \tilde{\rho}_0(\pm\theta_j + \theta_i) \\ \times h_2(\pm\theta_j + 2\eta) \tilde{h}_1(\pm\theta_j - 2\eta) 2^{2N} t_{\mp}(\pm\theta_j + 2\eta), \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

We see that the fusion of $t(u)$ and $t_{\pm}(u)$ gives the fused transfer matrix $t_{\mp}(u)$ without other additional terms at certain inhomogeneous points. We also find that the product of Eq.(6.18) with $u = \{\theta_j\}$ and that with $u = \{-\theta_j\}$ gives the fusion identities (4.13) due to the crossing symmetry of $t(u)$. Thus only the identities (6.18) with $u = \{\theta_j\}$ or $u = \{-\theta_j\}$ are independent.

Taking the fusion by using the projectors $P_{1'2}^{(-)}$ and $P_{21'}^{(-)}$, we obtain

$$P_{1'2}^{(-)} R_{23}(u) R_{1'3}^{(-)}(u + 6\eta) P_{1'2}^{(-)} = 2\tilde{\rho}_0(u) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle} R_{\langle 1'2 \rangle, 3}^{(+)}(u + 2\eta) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{-1}, \quad (6.19)$$

$$P_{21'}^{(-)} R_{32}(u) R_{31'}^{(-)}(u + 6\eta) P_{21'}^{(-)} = 2\tilde{\rho}_0(u) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle} R_{3, \langle 1'2 \rangle}^{(+)}(u + 2\eta) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{-1}, \quad (6.20)$$

where $\tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle} = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1)$. Thus the R -matrices $R(u)$ and $R^{(-)}(u)$ can be fused into the $R^{(+)}(u)$. According to them, we obtain the fused relations among the monodromy matrices

$$P_{1'2}^{(-)} T_2(u) T_{1'}^{(-)}(u + 6\eta) P_{1'2}^{(-)} = 2^N \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(u - \theta_i) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle} T_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{(+)}(u + 2\eta) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{-1}, \quad (6.21)$$

$$P_{21'}^{(-)} \hat{T}_2(u) \hat{T}_{1'}^{(-)}(u+6\eta) P_{21'}^{(-)} = 2^N \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(u+\theta_i) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle} \hat{T}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{(+)}(u+2\eta) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{-1}. \quad (6.22)$$

The fused reflection matrices are

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1'2}^{(-)} K_2(u) R_{1'2}^{(-)}(2u+6\eta) K_{1'}^{(-)}(u+6\eta) P_{21'}^{(-)} \\ = e^{-4\eta} \sinh(u+6\eta) h_1(u-2\eta) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle} K_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{(+)}(u+2\eta) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{21'}^{(-)} \bar{K}_{1'}^{(-)}(u+6\eta) \bar{M}_{1'}^{-1} R_{21'}^{(-)}(-2u+10\eta) \bar{M}_{1'} \bar{K}_2(u) P_{1'2}^{(-)} \\ = -e^{-4\eta} \sinh(u-8\eta) \tilde{h}_2(u+2\eta) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle} \bar{K}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{(+)}(u+2\eta) \tilde{S}_{\langle 1'2 \rangle}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Thus the reflection matrices $K(u)$ and $K^{(-)}(u)$ with certain shift of spectral parameter can be fused into $K^{(+)}(u)$. Substituting $u = \{\pm\theta_j\}$ and $\Delta = 6\eta$ into Eq.(6.11) and using the relations (6.21)-(6.24), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} t(\pm\theta_j) t_{\pm}(\pm\theta_j+6\eta) &= e^{-8\eta} \frac{\sinh(\pm\theta_j+6\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j-8\eta)}{\sinh(\pm\theta_j+2\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j-4\eta)} \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(\pm\theta_j-\theta_i) \tilde{\rho}_0(\pm\theta_j+\theta_i) \\ &\times h_1(\pm\theta_j-2\eta) \tilde{h}_2(\pm\theta_j+2\eta) 2^{2N} t_{\pm}(\pm\theta_j+2\eta), \quad j=1, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

We see that the transfer matrices $t(u)$ and $t_{\pm}(u)$ can be fused into the $t_{\pm}(u)$ without other additional terms at certain inhomogeneous points. Thus the fusion of transfer matrices are also closed.

From the reflection equation (5.27), dual one (5.29) and the YBRs (6.7)-(6.8), we can demonstrate that the transfer matrix $t(u)$ and the fused transfer matrices $t_{\pm}(v)$ commute with each other,

$$[t(u), t_{\pm}(v)] = 0. \quad (6.26)$$

Thus they have the common eigenstates.

The next tasks are to choose the independent relations among the fusion identities (5.31), (6.18) and (6.25), and to prove $[t_{\pm}(u), t_{\pm}(v)] = 0$. For these purposes, we should study the relation between the fused transfer matrix $t_{\pm}(u)$ and $t_{\pm}(u)$. The starting point is the spinorial representation of the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ Lie algebra.

7 Spinorial representation

The R -matrix given by (2.1) is the vectorial one. In fact, the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ vertex model also has the 16×16 spinorial R -matrix, which equals to the fundamental R -matrix of $SU(4)$

$$|\chi_4\rangle = \phi_0(e^{-\eta}|23\rangle - e^\eta|32\rangle), \quad |\chi_5\rangle = \phi_0(-e^{-\eta}|24\rangle + e^\eta|42\rangle), \quad |\chi_6\rangle = \phi_0(e^{-\eta}|34\rangle - e^\eta|43\rangle).$$

Now, we show that the vectorial R -matrix (2.1) and the fused ones (5.17)-(5.18) can be obtained from the spinorial one (7.1) by using the fusion. Starting from the YBE (7.4) and using the properties of projector, we obtain

$$P_{2'3'}^{(6)} \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(u - 2\eta) \tilde{R}_{1'3'}(u + 2\eta) P_{2'3'}^{(6)} = \sinh\left(\frac{u}{2} + \eta\right) R_{1'(2'3')}^{(+)}(u), \quad (7.7)$$

where the dimension of fused space $V_{\langle 2'3' \rangle} \equiv V_2$ is 6. We note that V_2 is indeed the space of vectorial representation of the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ vertex model. According to the fusion rule (7.7), we obtain the fused R -matrix $R_{1'2}^{(+)}(u)$, which is exactly the one given by (5.17). At the point of $u = 6\eta$, $R_{1'2}^{(+)}(u)$ reduces into the projector $P_{1'2}^{(+)}$ given by (6.5).

Starting from the YBE (7.5) and using the properties of projector, we obtain

$$P_{1'2'}^{(6)} R_{2'3}^{(+)}(u - 2\eta) R_{1'3}^{(+)}(u + 2\eta) P_{1'2'}^{(6)} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\langle 1'2' \rangle 3}(u). \quad (7.8)$$

We see that after putting $V_{\langle 2'3' \rangle} \equiv V_1$, we obtain the vectorial R -matrix $R_{13}(u)$, which is exactly the one given by (2.1).

Starting from the YBE (6.4) and using the fusion relations (6.12)-(6.13), we find that the fusion of $R^{(+)}(u)$ and $R(u)$ with the help of projectors $P_{1'2}^{(+)}$ gives the fused $R^{(-)}(u)$ matrix, which is exactly the one given by (5.18).

The spinorial reflection matrix $\tilde{K}(u)$ can be obtained by solving the reflection equation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(u - v) \tilde{K}_{1'}(u) \tilde{R}_{2'1'}(u + v) \tilde{K}_{2'}(v) \\ = \tilde{K}_{2'}(v) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(u + v) \tilde{K}_{1'}(u) \tilde{R}_{2'1'}(u - v). \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

It is easy to check that the matrix (5.25) is a solution of Eq.(7.9), thus $\tilde{K}(u) = K^{(+)}(u)$. By using the fusion of spinorial reflection matrices $\tilde{K}(u)$ with 6-dimensional projector $P_{1'2'}^{(6)}$, we obtain

$$P_{1'2'}^{(6)} \tilde{K}_{2'}(u - 2\eta) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(2u) \tilde{K}_{1'}(u + 2\eta) P_{1'2'}^{(6)} = \sinh(u + 2\eta) h_2(u - 2\eta) K_{\langle 1'2' \rangle}(u), \quad (7.10)$$

where $K_{\langle 1'2' \rangle}(u)$ is exactly the vectorial reflection matrix $K(u)$ given by (2.4). The fusion of $K^{(+)}(u)$ and $K(u)$ with $P_{1'2}^{(+)}$ gives the fused reflection matrix $K^{(-)}(u)$ given by (5.26), please see Eq.(6.16). We should note that the fused reflection matrices $K^{(\pm)}(u)$ also satisfy the reflection equation (7.9).

The dual spinorial reflection matrix $\tilde{\tilde{K}}(u)$ satisfies the dual reflection equation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(-u+v)\tilde{\tilde{K}}_{1'}(u)\bar{M}_{1'}^{-1}\tilde{R}_{2'1'}(-u-v+16\eta)\bar{M}_{1'}\tilde{\tilde{K}}_{2'}(v) \\ = \tilde{\tilde{K}}_{2'}(v)\bar{M}_{1'}\tilde{R}_{1'2'}(-u-v+16\eta)\bar{M}_{1'}^{-1}\tilde{\tilde{K}}_{1'}(u)R_{2'1'}^{(-+)}(-u+v). \end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

One can check that the matrix (5.30) is a solution of Eq.(7.11), thus $\tilde{\tilde{K}}(u) = \bar{K}^{(\pm)}(u)$, which gives that $\bar{K}^{(\pm)}(u)$ also satisfy the dual reflection equation (7.11). Similar with the discussion of $\tilde{K}(u)$, by using the fusion of dual spinorial reflection matrices $\tilde{\tilde{K}}(u)$ with 6-dimensional projector $P_{1'2'}^{(6)}$, we can obtain the vectorial dual reflection matrix $\bar{K}(u)$ given by (2.8). The detailed fusion rule is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2'1'}^{(6)}\tilde{\tilde{K}}_{1'}(u+2\eta)\bar{M}_{1'}^{-1}\tilde{R}_{2'1'}(-2u+16\eta)\bar{M}_{1'}\tilde{\tilde{K}}_{2'}(u-2\eta)P_{1'2'}^{(6)} \\ = -\sinh(u-10\eta)\tilde{h}_1(u-6\eta)\bar{K}_{(1'2')}(u). \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

By using the YBE (7.5) and reflection equations (7.9), (7.11), we can prove that

$$[t_+(u), t_+(v)] = [t_-(u), t_-(v)] = 0. \quad (7.13)$$

8 Crossing symmetry between $t_+(u)$ and $t_-(u)$

Now, we are ready to demonstrate that the fused transfer matrices $t_+(u)$ and $t_-(u)$ satisfy the crossing symmetry

$$t_+(-u+8\eta) = e^{8\eta}\mathcal{W}t_-(u)\mathcal{W}, \quad (8.1)$$

where $\mathcal{W} = W_1 \otimes W_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_N$ and $W_j = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1)$. The crossing equation (8.1) shows that the fused transfer matrices $t_+(-u+8\eta)$ and $t_-(u)$ are not independent. The $t_+(-u+8\eta)$ differs $t_-(u)$ in an unitary transformation up to a constant. The unitary transformation is \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{W}^{-1} = \mathcal{W}$. By using the following properties of fused R -matrices

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1'2'}^{(+)}(u) &= \bar{V}_{1'}W_2[R_{1'2'}^{(-)}(-u+8\eta)]^{t_2}\bar{V}_{1'}W_2, \\ R_{1'2'}^{(-)}(u) &= \bar{V}_{1'}W_2[R_{1'2'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta)]^{t_2}\bar{V}_{1'}W_2, \\ R_{21'}^{(+)}(u) &= [R_{1'2'}^{(+)}(u)]^{t_1t_2}, \quad R_{21'}^{(-)}(u) = [R_{1'2'}^{(-)}(u)]^{t_1t_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

where the operator $\bar{V}_{1'}$ defined in the fused four-dimensional space $V_{1'}$ is

$$\bar{V}_{1'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{-3\eta} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\eta} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{\eta} & 0 & 0 \\ e^{3\eta} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{1'}\bar{V}_{1'} = -\text{id}, \quad \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_1}\bar{V}_{1'} = \bar{M}, \quad (8.3)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[T_{0'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta)]^{t_{0'}} &= (-1)^{N-1} \mathcal{W} \bar{V}_{0'}^{t_{0'}} \hat{T}_{0'}^{(-)}(u) \bar{V}_{0'}^{t_{0'}} \mathcal{W}, \\
[\hat{T}_{0'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta)]^{t_{0'}} &= (-1)^{N-1} \mathcal{W} \bar{V}_{0'} T_{0'}^{(-)}(u) \bar{V}_{0'} \mathcal{W}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

Based on them, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
t_+(-u+8\eta) &= tr_{1'} \{ \bar{K}_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) T_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \{ K_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \hat{T}_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \\
&= \mathcal{W} tr_{1'} \hat{T}_{1'}^{(-)}(u) \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} \{ \bar{K}_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \bar{V}_{1'} T_{1'}^{(-)}(u) \bar{V}_{1'} \{ K_{0_1}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} \mathcal{W} \\
&= e^{4\eta} \mathcal{W} tr_{1'} \hat{T}_{1'}^{(-)}(u) tr_{2'} \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(0) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(2u) \bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) T_{1'}^{(-)}(u) \bar{V}_{1'} \{ K_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} \mathcal{W} / \bar{f}(u) \\
&= e^{4\eta} \mathcal{W} tr_{2'} \bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) tr_{1'} \tilde{R}_{2'1'}(0) \hat{T}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(2u) T_{1'}^{(-)}(u) \bar{V}_{1'} \{ K_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} \mathcal{W} / \bar{f}(u) \\
&= e^{4\eta} \mathcal{W} tr_{2'} \bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) tr_{1'} \tilde{R}_{2'1'}(0) T_{1'}^{(-)}(u) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(2u) \hat{T}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \bar{V}_{1'} \{ K_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} \mathcal{W} / \bar{f}(u) \\
&= e^{4\eta} \mathcal{W} tr_{2'} \bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) T_{2'}^{(-)}(u) tr_{1'} \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(0) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(2u) \bar{V}_{1'} \{ K_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} \hat{T}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \mathcal{W} / \bar{f}(u) \\
&= e^{4\eta} \mathcal{W} tr_{2'} \bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) T_{2'}^{(-)}(u) tr_{1'} \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(0) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(2u) \bar{V}_{1'} \{ K_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \hat{T}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \mathcal{W} / \bar{f}(u) \\
&= e^{4\eta} \mathcal{W} tr_{2'} \bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) T_{2'}^{(-)}(u) [\bar{V}_{2'}^{t_{2'}}]^{-1} tr_{1'} \tilde{R}_{2'1'}(0) \tilde{R}_{2'1'}(2u) \bar{M}_{1'} \\
&\quad \times \{ K_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta) \}^{t_{1'}} \bar{V}_{2'}^{t_{2'}} \hat{T}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \mathcal{W} / \bar{f}(u) \\
&= e^{8\eta} \mathcal{W} tr_{2'} \bar{K}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) T_{2'}^{(-)}(u) K_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \hat{T}_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \mathcal{W} = e^{8\eta} \mathcal{W} t_-(u) \mathcal{W}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

In the derivation, we have used following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
tr_{2'} \{ \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(0) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(2u) \bar{K}_{2'}^{s-}(u) \} &= e^{-4\eta} \bar{f}(u) \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} [\bar{K}_{1'}^{(+)}(-u+8\eta)]^{t_{1'}} \bar{V}_{1'}, \\
tr_{2'} \{ \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(0) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(2u) \bar{M}_{2'} [K_2^{(+)}(-u+8\eta)]^{t_{2'}} \} &= e^{4\eta} \bar{f}(u) \bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} K_{1'}^{(-)}(u) [\bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}}]^{-1}, \\
\bar{V}_{1'}^{t_{1'}} \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(0) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(2u) \bar{V}_{1'} &= [\bar{V}_{2'}^{t_{2'}}]^{-1} \tilde{R}_{2'1'}(0) \tilde{R}_{2'1'}(2u) \bar{M}_{1'} \bar{V}_{2'}^{t_{2'}}, \\
\tilde{R}_{2'1'}(0) T_{1'}^{(-)}(u) &= T_{2'}^{(-)}(u) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(0), \quad \hat{T}_{1'}^{(-)}(u) \tilde{R}_{1'2'}(0) = \tilde{R}_{2'1'}(0) \hat{T}_{2'}^{(-)}(u),
\end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

where $\bar{f}(u) = -\sinh 2\eta \sinh(u-8\eta)$. From Eq.(8.5), we see that $t_+(u)$ and $t_-(u)$ are not independent, and $t_-(u)$ can be replaced by $t_+(u)$.

After considering the crossing symmetry (8.1) between $t_+(u)$ and $t_-(u)$, we find that the fusion identities (6.25) can be obtained by Eqs.(4.13), (5.31) and (6.18). Thus (6.25) is not independent here. However, we should remark that when we study the q -deformed $D_3^{(1)}$ model with periodic boundary condition, the property (8.1) is missing and (6.25) is independent. Then we must adopt the way like (6.25) to close the fusion processes.

9 Inhomogeneous $T - Q$ relations

From the definitions, we know that the transfer matrix $t(u)$ is the operator polynomial of e^u with degree $4N + 4$. Meanwhile, $t(u)$ enjoys the crossing symmetry (3.11). The fused transfer matrices $t_{\pm}(u)$ are the operator polynomials of e^u with degrees $2N + 4$, where $t_+(u)$ and $t_-(u)$ satisfy the property (8.1). All the $t(u)$ and $t_{\pm}(u)$ have the common eigenstates. Denote the eigenvalues of $t(u)$ and $t_{\pm}(u)$ acting on a common eigenstate as $\Lambda(u)$ and $\Lambda_{\pm}(u)$, respectively. The crossing symmetries (3.11) gives

$$\Lambda(-u + 8\eta) = \Lambda(u). \quad (9.1)$$

Then the values of $\Lambda(u)$ can be determined by $2N + 3$ independent constraints. From the property (8.1), we obtain

$$\Lambda_+(-u + 8\eta) = e^{8\eta} \Lambda_-(u), \quad (9.2)$$

which means that the $\Lambda_-(u)$ can be replaced by the $\Lambda_+(u)$, and we should only consider the values of $\Lambda(u)$ and $\Lambda_+(u)$. The values of $\Lambda_+(u)$ can be determined by $2N + 5$ independent constraints. Therefore, we need $4N + 8$ conditions to obtain the values of $\Lambda(u)$ and $\Lambda_+(u)$.

We chose the independent constraints as the transfer matrices fusion identities (4.13) with $u = \{\theta_j\}$, (5.31) with $u = \{\pm\theta_j\}$ and (6.18) with $u = \{\theta_j\}$. Acting these operator identities on a common eigenstate of $t(u)$ and $t_+(u)$, we obtain following functional relations among the eigenvalues $\Lambda(u)$ and $\Lambda_+(u)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\theta_j) \Lambda(-\theta_j) &= \frac{\sinh(\theta_j - 6\eta) \sinh(\theta_j - 8\eta) \sinh(\theta_j + 6\eta) \sinh(\theta_j + 8\eta)}{\sinh(\theta_j - 2\eta) \sinh(\theta_j - 4\eta) \sinh(\theta_j + 2\eta) \sinh(\theta_j + 4\eta)} \\ &\quad \times h_1(\theta_j - 2\eta) h_2(\theta_j + 2\eta) \tilde{h}_1(\theta_j - 2\eta) \tilde{h}_2(\theta_j + 2\eta) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^N a(\theta_j - \theta_i) e(\theta_j - \theta_i + 8\eta) a(\theta_j + \theta_i) e(\theta_j + \theta_i + 8\eta), \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\pm\theta_j) \Lambda(\mp\theta_j + 4\eta) &= \frac{\sinh(\pm\theta_j + 4\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j - 8\eta)}{\sinh(\pm\theta_j + 2\eta) \sinh(\pm\theta_j - 6\eta)} \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(\pm\theta_j - \theta_i) \tilde{\rho}_0(\pm\theta_j + \theta_i) \\ &\quad \times 4^{2N} \Lambda_+(\pm\theta_j + 2\eta) \Lambda_+(\mp\theta_j + 6\eta), \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\theta_j) \Lambda_+(\theta_j + 6\eta) &= \frac{\sinh(\theta_j + 6\eta) \sinh(\theta_j - 8\eta)}{\sinh(\theta_j + 2\eta) \sinh(\theta_j - 4\eta)} \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{\rho}_0(\theta_j - \theta_i) \tilde{\rho}_0(\theta_j + \theta_i) \\ &\quad \times h_2(\theta_j + 2\eta) \tilde{h}_1(\theta_j - 2\eta) 2^{2N} \Lambda_+(-\theta_j + 6\eta), \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

Besides, from the definitions we also know the values of $\Lambda(u)$ and $\Lambda_+(u)$ at some special points. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(0) &= -\frac{\sinh 6\eta \sinh 8\eta}{\sinh 2\eta \sinh 4\eta} h_2(2\eta) \tilde{h}_2(2\eta) \prod_{l=1}^N \rho_1(\theta_l), \quad \Lambda(2\eta) = 2^{2N} \frac{\sinh 6\eta}{\sinh 4\eta} \prod_{l=1}^N \rho_s(\theta_l) \Lambda_+(4\eta), \\ \Lambda_+(0) &= -\frac{\sinh 8\eta}{\sinh 2\eta} h_2(0) \tilde{h}_2(4\eta) \prod_{l=1}^N \rho_s(\theta_l), \quad \Lambda_+(8\eta) = \frac{\sinh 8\eta}{\sinh 2\eta} h_2(4\eta) \tilde{h}_1(0) \prod_{l=1}^N \rho_s(\theta_l).\end{aligned}\quad (9.6)$$

The asymptotic behaviors of $\Lambda(u)$ and $\Lambda_+(u)$ with $u \rightarrow \pm\infty$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(u)|_{u \rightarrow +\infty} &= -\frac{1}{4^{N+1}} e^{2(N+1)u - (8N+4)\eta} \left\{ c(\tilde{c} + e^{-\tilde{c}_2}) e^{4(m_1 - m_2)\eta} + \tilde{c}(c + e^{-c_2}) e^{4(m_2 - m_1)\eta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (e^{2(m_1 + m_2 - N - 1)\eta} + e^{-2(m_1 + m_2 - N - 1)\eta}) (c_1 \tilde{c}_3 e^{-2\eta} + \tilde{c}_1 c_3 e^{2\eta}) \right\} + \dots, \\ \Lambda(u)|_{u \rightarrow -\infty} &= -\frac{1}{4^{N+1}} e^{-2(N+1)u + (8N+12)\eta} \left\{ c(\tilde{c} + e^{-\tilde{c}_2}) e^{4(m_2 - m_1)\eta} + \tilde{c}(c + e^{-c_2}) e^{4(m_1 - m_2)\eta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (e^{2(m_1 + m_2 - N - 1)\eta} + e^{-2(m_1 + m_2 - N - 1)\eta}) (c_1 \tilde{c}_3 e^{-2\eta} + \tilde{c}_1 c_3 e^{2\eta}) \right\} + \dots, \\ \Lambda_+(u)|_{u \rightarrow +\infty} &= -\frac{1}{4^{N+1}} e^{(N+2)u - 4(N+1)\eta} \left\{ c(\tilde{c} + e^{-\tilde{c}_2}) (e^{2(2m_1 - N - 1)\eta} + e^{2(N+1 - 2m_2)\eta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{2(m_2 - m_1)\eta} (c_1 \tilde{c}_3 e^{-2\eta} + \tilde{c}_1 c_3 e^{2\eta}) \right\} + \dots, \\ \Lambda_+(u)|_{u \rightarrow -\infty} &= -\frac{1}{4^{N+1}} e^{-(N+2)u + 4(N+3)\eta} \left\{ \tilde{c}(c + e^{-c_2}) (e^{2(2m_2 - N - 1)\eta} + e^{2(N+1 - 2m_1)\eta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{2(m_1 - m_2)\eta} (c_1 \tilde{c}_3 e^{-2\eta} + \tilde{c}_1 c_3 e^{2\eta}) \right\} + \dots,\end{aligned}\quad (9.7)$$

where $m_1 \in [0, N]$, $m_2 \in [0, N]$ and $0 \leq m_1 + m_2 \leq N$. We should note that the leading terms of $t(u)$ and $t_+(u)$ are the operators, which is different from the rational $D_3^{(1)}$ case where the leading terms are the constants. All these operators are the conserved quantities and commute with the transfer matrices $t(u)$ and $t_+(u)$. These conserved quantities have the obvious eigenvalues, which are characterized by the quantum numbers m_1 and m_2 given by Eq.(9.7). This reminds us that the off-diagonal K -matrices (2.4) and (2.8) only break one of three conserved $U(1)$ charges of the corresponding closed chain.

For simplicity, let us introduce some functions

$$\begin{aligned}Z_1(u) &= \frac{\sinh(u - 6\eta) \sinh(u - 8\eta)}{\sinh(u - 2\eta) \sinh(u - 4\eta)} A(u) h_2(u + 2\eta) \tilde{h}_1(u - 2\eta) \frac{Q^{(1)}(u + 4\eta)}{Q^{(1)}(u)}, \\ Z_2(u) &= \frac{\sinh(u - 6\eta)}{\sinh(u - 2\eta)} B(u) h_1(u - 6\eta) \tilde{h}_2(u - 2\eta) \frac{Q^{(1)}(u - 4\eta) Q^{(2)}(u + 4\eta) Q^{(3)}(u + 4\eta)}{Q^{(1)}(u) Q^{(2)}(u) Q^{(3)}(u)}, \\ Z_3(u) &= B(u) h_2(u - 2\eta) \tilde{h}_1(u - 6\eta) \frac{Q^{(2)}(u + 4\eta) Q^{(3)}(u - 4\eta)}{Q^{(2)}(u) Q^{(3)}(u)},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_4(u) &= B(u)h_1(u-6\eta)\tilde{h}_2(u-2\eta)\frac{Q^{(2)}(u-4\eta)Q^{(3)}(u+4\eta)}{Q^{(2)}(u)Q^{(3)}(u)}, \\
Z_5(u) &= \frac{\sinh(u-2\eta)}{\sinh(u-6\eta)}B(u)h_2(u-2\eta)\tilde{h}_1(u-6\eta)\frac{Q^{(1)}(u)Q^{(2)}(u-4\eta)Q^{(3)}(u-4\eta)}{Q^{(1)}(u-4\eta)Q^{(2)}(u)Q^{(3)}(u)}, \\
Z_6(u) &= \frac{\sinh u \sinh(u-2\eta)}{\sinh(u-4\eta)\sinh(u-6\eta)}C(u)h_1(u-10\eta)\tilde{h}_2(u-6\eta)\frac{Q^{(1)}(u-8\eta)}{Q^{(1)}(u-4\eta)}, \\
f_1(u) &= x \sinh(u-6\eta)\frac{Q^{(2)}(u+4\eta)Q^{(3)}(u+4\eta)}{Q^{(1)}(u)}F(u), \\
f_2(u) &= x \sinh(u-2\eta)\frac{Q^{(2)}(u-4\eta)Q^{(3)}(u-4\eta)}{Q^{(1)}(u-4\eta)}F(u), \tag{9.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where the related functions are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
A(u) &= \prod_{j=1}^N a(u-\theta_j)a(u+\theta_j), \quad C(u) = \prod_{j=1}^N e(u-\theta_j)e(u+\theta_j), \\
B(u) &= \frac{\sinh u \sinh(u-8\eta)}{\sinh(u-4\eta)\sinh(u-4\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^N b(u-\theta_j)b(u+\theta_j), \\
Q^{(1)}(u) &= \prod_{k=1}^{L_1} \sinh \frac{1}{2}(u-\mu_k^{(1)}-2\eta) \sinh \frac{1}{2}(u+\mu_k^{(1)}-2\eta), \\
Q^{(l)}(u) &= \prod_{k=1}^{L_l} \sinh \frac{1}{2}(u-\mu_k^{(l)}-4\eta) \sinh \frac{1}{2}(u+\mu_k^{(l)}-4\eta), \quad l=2,3, \\
F(u) &= \frac{\sinh u \sinh(u-8\eta)}{\sinh(u-4\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^N a(u-\theta_j)a(u+\theta_j) \sinh(u-\theta_j) \sinh(u+\theta_j). \tag{9.9}
\end{aligned}$$

According to the $4N+8$ constraints (9.3)-(9.7), we obtain the values of $\Lambda(u)$ and $\Lambda_+(u)$, which can be expressed by the inhomogeneous $T-Q$ relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(u) &= Z_1(u) + Z_2(u) + Z_3(u) + Z_4(u) + Z_5(u) + Z_6(u) + f_1(u) + f_2(u), \tag{9.10} \\
\Lambda_+(u) &= \prod_{i=1}^N a_1(u-\theta_i)a_1(u+\theta_i)h_2(u)\tilde{h}_1(u-4\eta)\frac{\sinh(u-8\eta)}{\sinh(u-2\eta)} \\
&\quad \times \left[\frac{Q^{(2)}(u+6\eta)}{Q^{(2)}(u+2\eta)} + \frac{\sinh(u)}{\sinh(u-4\eta)} \frac{Q^{(1)}(u+2\eta)Q^{(2)}(u-2\eta)}{Q^{(1)}(u-2\eta)Q^{(2)}(u+2\eta)} \right] \\
&\quad + \prod_{i=1}^N b_1(u-\theta_i)b_1(u+\theta_i)\frac{\sinh(u)}{\sinh(u-6\eta)} \left[h_2(u-4\eta)\tilde{h}_1(u-8\eta)\frac{Q^{(3)}(u-6\eta)}{Q^{(3)}(u-2\eta)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\sinh(u-8\eta)}{\sinh(u-4\eta)}h_1(u-8\eta)\tilde{h}_2(u-4\eta)\frac{Q^{(1)}(u-6\eta)Q^{(3)}(u+2\eta)}{Q^{(1)}(u-2\eta)Q^{(3)}(u-2\eta)} \right] \\
&\quad + x \sinh(u) \sinh(u-8\eta) \prod_{i=1}^N a_1(u-\theta_i)a_1(u+\theta_i)b_1(u-\theta_i)b_1(u+\theta_i)
\end{aligned}$$

integrable theory, we construct the closed fusion relations among the fused transfer matrices. Based on them and using the polynomials analysis, we obtain the exact eigen-spectrum of the transfer matrix and the Hamiltonian. The method and the results given in this paper could be directly generalized to the q -deformed $D_n^{(1)}$ integrable model.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor Y. Wang for his valuable discussions and continuous encouragement. The financial supports from National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2021YFA1402104), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12074410, 12247103, 12075177, 12147160, 11934015 and 11975183), Major Basic Research Program of Natural Science of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. 2021JCW-19), Australian Research Council (Grant No. DP 190101529), Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB33000000), and the fellowship of China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2020M680724) are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov and A. G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Function*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [2] G. Mussardo, *Statistical Field Theory: An Introduction to Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Physics*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2010.
- [3] B. Sutherland, *Beautiful Models: 70 Years of Exactly Solved Quantum Many-Body Problems*, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2004.
- [4] H. J. de Vega and E. Lopes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 489.
- [5] E. Lopes, Nucl. Phys. B 370 (1992) 636.
- [6] H. J. de Vega and A. González-Ruiz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 09 (1994) 2207.
- [7] Y. Wang, W. -L. Yang, J. Cao and K. Shi, *Off-Diagonal Bethe Ansatz for Exactly Solvable Models*, Springer Press, 2015.
- [8] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, Sov. Phys. JETP 57 (1983) 691.

- [9] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 14 (1987) 235.
- [10] M. Karowski, *Nucl. Phys. B* 153 (1979) 244.
- [11] P. P. Kulish, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and E. K. Sklyanin, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 5 (1981) 393.
- [12] P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, *Lecture Notes in Physics* 151 (1982) 61.
- [13] A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *J. Sov. Math.* 35 (1986) 2627; *J. Phys. A* 20 (1987) 1565.
- [14] L. Mezincescu and R. I. Nepomechie, *J. Phys. A* 25 (1992) 2533.
- [15] L. Mezincescu and R. I. Nepomechie, *Nucl. Phys. B* 372 (1992) 597.
- [16] G.-L. Li, J. Cao, P. Xue, Z.-R. Xin, K. Hao, W.-L. Yang, K. Shi and Y. Wang, *JHEP* 05 (2019) 067.
- [17] G. A. P. Ribeiro, A. Klümper and P. A. Pearce, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2022) 113102.
- [18] G.-L. Li, P. Xu, P. Sun, H. Yang, X. Xu, J. Cao, T. Yang and W.-L. Yang, *Nucl. Phys. B* 965 (2021) 115333.
- [19] G. A. P. Ribeiro, *On the partition function of the $Sp(2n)$ integrable vertex model*, arXiv:2211.06487.
- [20] E. Frenkel, D. Hernandez and N. Reshetikhin, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 112 (2022) 80.
- [21] E. K. Sklyanin, *J. Phys. A* 21 (1988) 2375.
- [22] A. Lima-Santos and R. Malara, *Nucl. Phys. B* 675 (2003) 661.
- [23] R. Malara and A. Lima-Santos, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2006) P09013.
- [24] S. Artz, L. Mezincescu and R. I. Nepomechie, *J. Phys. A* 28 (1995) 5131.
- [25] R. I. Nepomechie, R. A. Pimenta and A. L. Retore, *Nucl. Phys. B* 924 (2017) 86.
- [26] M. J. Martins and P. B. Ramos, *Nucl. Phys. B* 500 (1997) 579.
- [27] G.-L. Li, K. J. Shi and R. H. Yue, *Nucl. Phys. B* 696 (2004) 381.

- [28] G.-L. Li and K. J. Shi, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2007) P01018.
- [29] G. -L. Li, J. Cao, P. Xue, K. Hao, P. Sun, W. -L. Yang, K. Shi and Y. Wang, *Nucl. Phys. B* 946 (2019) 114719.
- [30] G.-L. Li, J. Cao, P. Xue, K. Hao, P. Sun, W.-L. Yang, K. Shi and Y. Wang, *JHEP* 12 (2019) 051.
- [31] V. V. Bazhanov, *Phys. Lett. B* 159 (1985) 321; *Commun. Math. Phys.* 113 (1987) 471.
- [32] M. Jimbo, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 102 (1986) 537.
- [33] P. Ramond, *Group theory: A physicist's survey*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [34] D. Chicherin, S. Derkachov and A. P. Isaev, *J. Phys. A* 46 (2013) 485201.