

# SHORT CLOSED GEODESICS AND THE WILLMORE ENERGY

MARIUS MÜLLER, FABIAN RUPP, AND CHRISTIAN SCHARRER

ABSTRACT. We prove a lower bound on the length of closed geodesics for spherical surfaces with Willmore energy below  $6\pi$ . The energy threshold is optimal and there is no comparable result for surfaces of higher genus. We also discuss consequences for the injectivity radius.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(\Sigma, g)$  be a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold. The length of an immersed curve  $\gamma: (a, b) \rightarrow \Sigma$  is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) := \int_a^b ds_g := \int_a^b \sqrt{g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})} dt > 0.$$

Critical points of the length functional  $\mathcal{L}_g$  are called *geodesics* and play a key role in the local as well as the global analysis of Riemannian manifolds. Such a geodesic  $\gamma$  will be referred to as *closed* if it is periodic, i.e. if  $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1; \Sigma)$ . Closed geodesics always exist if  $\Sigma$  is closed (i.e. compact and without boundary). Indeed, if  $\Sigma$  is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere, this is a consequence of the theorem of the three geodesics, whereas in the higher genus case this follows from minimizing  $\mathcal{L}_g$  in a nontrivial homotopy class. We may thus define the *length of the shortest closed geodesic*

$$(1.1) \quad \ell(\Sigma, g) := \inf\{\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) \mid \gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\Sigma, g) \text{ closed geodesic}\}.$$

An important task is to relate  $\ell(\Sigma, g)$  to other geometric quantities of the surface, e.g. its area, diameter, or curvature. Indeed, the 2-dimensional version of a question asked by Gromov [12] in 1983 in all dimensions is an upper bound of the form

$$(1.2) \quad \ell(\Sigma, g) \leq C\sqrt{\mu_g(\Sigma)}.$$

Here  $\mu_g$  denotes the Riemannian measure induced by the metric  $g$ . For  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$ , Croke [7] proved (1.2) with a nonoptimal universal constant. For further literature, see [5, 8, 21], and references therein.

On the other hand, lower bounds on  $\ell(\Sigma, g)$  play a vital role, for example in Cheeger's finiteness theorem [6], where an  $L^\infty$ -bound on the curvature is assumed. If the Gauss curvature is *pinched*, i.e. if

$$(1.3) \quad 1/4 \leq K \leq 1 \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

a result by Klingenberg [13] yields that the injectivity radius  $i(\Sigma, g)$  satisfies  $i(\Sigma, g) \geq \pi$ , and thus one immediately concludes  $\ell(\Sigma, g) \geq 2i(\Sigma, g) \geq 2\pi$ .

In this paper, we consider the case where  $(\Sigma, g)$  is isometrically immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We show that in order to bound  $\ell(\Sigma, g)$  from below, the pointwise bounds on the Gauss curvature, cf. (1.3), can

---

*Date:* April 5, 2023.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C22 Secondary: 53A05, 28A75.

*Key words and phrases.* Willmore energy, shortest closed geodesic, injectivity radius.

be replaced by an upper bound on the  $L^2$ -norm of the mean curvature. We emphasize that for variational settings such  $L^2$ -curvature bounds are much more favorable than pointwise bounds.

To state our main result, we briefly recall the notion of curvature for immersed surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For an immersion  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of a 2-dimensional manifold  $\Sigma$ , we denote by  $g = g_f$  the pullback metric along  $f$  of the Euclidean inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, the second fundamental form  $A = A_f$  gives rise to the mean curvature vector  $H = H_f = \text{tr}_{g_f}(A_f)$  and the Gauss curvature  $K = K_f$ . Note that  $K_f = K_{g_f}$  is determined by the metric by Gauss's Theorema Egregium. Whenever there is no ambiguity, we will omit the dependence on  $f$  and  $g_f$ . The area and Willmore energy of  $f$  are defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(f) := \mu(\Sigma), \quad \mathcal{W}(f) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |H|^2 \, d\mu.$$

If  $\Sigma$  is closed, then  $\mathcal{W}(f) \geq 4\pi$  with equality if and only if  $f$  parametrizes a round sphere, see [25, Theorem 7.2.2]. Thus,  $\mathcal{W}$  quantifies the defect of a surface to be round.

**1.1. Main result.** Our main result provides the converse estimate to (1.2) with a constant depending only on the Willmore energy, without assuming any pointwise bounds on the curvature. Since the Willmore energy is critical for the Sobolev embedding, hence does not control the metric uniformly, the existence of such a lower bound is highly nontrivial.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists a constant  $C(n) > 0$  such that for all immersions  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  we have*

$$\ell(\mathbb{S}^2, g_f) \geq C(n) (6\pi - \mathcal{W}(f)) \sqrt{\mathcal{A}(f)}.$$


Clearly, Theorem 1.1 is only meaningful if  $\mathcal{W}(f) < 6\pi$ . Hence, at least in codimension one, requiring  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$  is no restriction. Indeed, if  $\Sigma$  has genus  $p \geq 1$ , we have  $\mathcal{W}(f) \geq 2\pi^2$  for all  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  by the Willmore conjecture [17]. Moreover, the constant  $C(n)$  we provide is computable in theory, but necessarily nonoptimal since the optimal constants for some of the inequalities that we apply are unknown. Nevertheless, both the energy threshold of  $6\pi$  and the topological assumption  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$  in Theorem 1.1 are sharp, see Examples 1.3 and 1.4 below.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is easily illustrated if only *injective* closed geodesics are considered. Indeed, such a geodesic splits the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  into two topological disks both of which have Willmore energy at least  $2\pi$  as a consequence of the Gauss–Bonnet theorem. If the geodesic becomes very short, then any disk with a uniform lower area bound contributes nearly  $4\pi$  Willmore energy as a consequence of elementary diameter bounds, cf. Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and Simon's monotonicity formula, see Lemma 2.3. The main difficulty arises from the case where the shortest closed geodesic is noninjective, which it might be, in general, cf. [19, p. 31]. However, also in this case we can identify a suitable tiling of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and control the curvature in the resulting parts individually, see Section 3.

Combining Theorem 1.1 with an estimate due to Klingenberg (see for instance [18, Lemma 6.4.7]), we obtain the following lower bound on the injectivity radius resulting in an alternative condition to the lower bound of the Gauss curvature in (1.3).

**Corollary 1.2.** With  $C(n) > 0$  as in Theorem 1.1, for all immersions  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$(1.4) \quad i(\mathbb{S}^2, g_f) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\max K_f}}, \frac{C(n)}{2} (6\pi - \mathcal{W}(f)) \sqrt{\mathcal{A}(f)} \right\}.$$

(A) A closed geodesic  $\gamma_a$  of length  $2\pi a$  on  $\Sigma_3$ .(B) A noninjective closed geodesic  $\gamma_a$  on  $\Sigma_5$ .FIGURE 1. Short closed geodesics on  $\Sigma_3$  and  $\Sigma_5$ .

**1.2. Optimality discussion.** We now illustrate the optimality of the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 by a set of examples. Consider the following pieces of surfaces. A capped unit sphere; a piece of a catenoid; a cylinder of radius  $a > 0$  and height  $2a$ ; half a sphere of radius  $a$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1, x_3 \leq 1 - s_a\}; \\ \Sigma_2 &= \{(a \cosh(t/a) \cos(\theta), a \cosh(t/a) \sin(\theta), t) \mid -t_a \leq t \leq 0, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}; \\ \Sigma_3 &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 = a^2, |x_3| \leq a\}; \\ \Sigma_4 &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = a^2, x_3 \geq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\Sigma_3$  contains a closed circular geodesic  $\gamma_a$  of length  $2\pi a$ . If  $s_a, t_a$  are chosen in a suitable way, after rotation and translation the four pieces can be glued together with  $C^{1,1}$ -regularity. After smoothing at the gluing regions without affecting the geodesic  $\gamma_a$  and rescaling, we thus obtain immersions  $f_a: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  with corresponding closed geodesics  $\gamma_a: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^2, g_{f_a})$  such that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}_{g_{f_a}}(\gamma_a) = 0, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{W}(f_a) = 6\pi, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{A}(f_a) = 1,$$

see Figure 1A. For  $b > 0$ , we may replace  $\Sigma_3$  with a suitably small spheroid of the form

$$(1.5) \quad \Sigma_5 = \{a(\cos(t) \cos(\theta), \cos(t) \sin(\theta), b \sin(t)) \mid |t| \leq a, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\},$$

where  $\Sigma_2, \Sigma_4$  need to be adjusted accordingly, see Figure 1B. Choosing  $b = b(a, N)$  as in Lemma 5.2 below (with  $\varepsilon = a$ ), we may even achieve that the geodesics  $\gamma_a$  have exactly  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  distinct self-intersections, cf. Figure 4, while still being arbitrarily short by (5.11).

**Example 1.3** (Optimality of the  $6\pi$ -threshold). For each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a family of smooth embeddings  $f_a: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $0 < a < a_0$ , and corresponding closed geodesics  $\gamma_a: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^2, g_{f_a})$ , each

of which having exactly  $N$  distinct self-intersections, such that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}_{g_{f_a}}(\gamma_a) = 0, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{W}(f_a) = 6\pi, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{A}(f_a) = 1.$$

Theorem 1.1 cannot be generalized to surfaces with higher genus. Indeed, by [3, 14, 22] each orientable closed surface of genus  $p \geq 1$  admits an embedding of minimal Willmore energy. After replacing some part of a minimizer with a small flat disk, a suitable Möbius transformation makes the minimizer look like a unit sphere with  $p$  tiny handles attached. If done properly, this even leads to the existence of arbitrarily short geodesics that are null-homotopic. The details of the following example are discussed in Section 6 below.

**Example 1.4** (Optimality of zero genus). For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each closed, connected, and orientable surface  $\Sigma$  of genus  $p \geq 1$  there exists an embedding  $f_\varepsilon: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\mathcal{A}(f_\varepsilon) = 1, \quad \mathcal{W}(f_\varepsilon) \leq \inf\{\mathcal{W}(f) \mid f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ immersion}\} + \varepsilon,$$

and  $(\Sigma, g_{f_\varepsilon})$  contains an injective null-homotopic geodesic  $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\Sigma, g_{f_\varepsilon})$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{g_{f_\varepsilon}}(\gamma) < \varepsilon$ .

On round spheres, the absolute minimizer of  $\mathcal{W}$ , all closed geodesics are injective. However, gluing the spheroid  $\Sigma_5$  as in (1.5) together with two spherical caps and letting  $a \rightarrow 0$ , Lemma 5.2 yields the following example which shows that small Willmore energy does not rule out the existence of noninjective closed geodesics.

**Example 1.5** (Small Willmore energy and noninjectivity). For all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an embedding  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\mathcal{A}(f) = 1$  which contains a closed geodesic with exactly  $N$  distinct self-intersections and  $\mathcal{W}(f) < 4\pi + \varepsilon$ .

Since these geodesics intersect many times, they are unlikely to realize (1.1). While shortest closed geodesics might be noninjective in general [19, p. 31], they are injective if  $K \geq 0$  by a result of Calabi–Cao [5]; however, such a pointwise curvature control is impossible to deduce from smallness of the Willmore energy, see Example 1.7 below. This naturally leads to the following open problem.

**Question 1.6.** Let  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an immersion with  $\mathcal{A}(f) = 1$  such that the shortest closed geodesic on  $(\mathbb{S}^2, g_f)$  is noninjective. Does there exist a universal constant  $C > 4\pi$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(f) \geq C$ ?

Finally, we discuss the optimality of Corollary 1.2. The first term in the minimum in (1.4) could be dropped if the Gauss curvature was suitably bounded from above. However, this is generically not possible, even for arbitrarily small Willmore energy.

**Example 1.7** (Unbounded Gauss curvature). For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an embedding  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\mathcal{W}(f) < 4\pi + \varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{A}(f) = 1$  such that  $\max K_f > 1/\varepsilon$  and  $\min K_f < -1/\varepsilon$ .

This follows from flattening a small disk on a unit sphere as in Lemma 6.1 and then replacing it with a part of the graph of the function  $u$  from Toro’s example [23, Example 1]. Upon rescaling  $u$  and smoothing in a small neighborhood of the origin, we obtain an immersion with arbitrarily small Willmore energy, see [9, Example 1], whereas the Gauss curvature becomes very large near the origin as we show in Lemma A.1.

The right hand side of (1.4) for Example 1.7 equals  $\pi/\sqrt{\max K_f}$  which is less than  $\pi\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . However, we could not verify that Example 1.7 indeed has small injectivity radius which leaves the following problem unsolved.

**Question 1.8.** Let  $f_k: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a sequence of immersions such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} i(\mathbb{S}^2, g_{f_k}) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}(f_k) = 1$ . Does there exist a universal constant  $C > 4\pi$  such that  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}(f_k) \geq C$ ?

Note that if  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}(f_k) < 6\pi$ , by Corollary 1.2 the Gauss curvatures of such a sequence necessarily degenerate in the sense that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max K_{f_k} = \infty$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article, we denote by  $\Sigma$  a compact, connected, and orientable two-dimensional smooth manifold without boundary. Let  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an immersion. For an open set  $D \subset \Sigma$  we define

$$\mathcal{A}(f, D) := \int_D 1 \, d\mu, \quad \mathcal{W}(f, D) := \frac{1}{4} \int_D |H|^2 \, d\mu.$$

Further, we denote  $PS(\mathbb{S}^1; \Sigma) := \{\gamma \in C^0(\mathbb{S}^1; \Sigma) : \gamma \text{ piecewise smooth}\}$ . In the sequel we will often consider domains  $D$  that satisfy

- (A) Each connected component of  $\partial D$  can be parametrized by a piecewise immersed curve  $\gamma \in PS(\mathbb{S}^1; \Sigma)$  having only finitely many self-intersections.

*Piecewise immersed* in this context means that  $\dot{\gamma}(t) \neq 0$  for all but finitely many  $t \in \mathbb{S}^1$ . If  $D$  satisfies (A) then it is easily seen to be a *manifold with thin singular set*, in the sense of [2, Chapter XII, 3]. The length  $\mathcal{L}_g(\partial D)$  is defined as the sum of the lengths of the parametrizations chosen as in (A). If it is possible to choose all curves  $\gamma$  in (A) without self-intersections then we say that  $D$  has (piecewise smooth) *embedded boundary*. Moreover, since  $f: (\Sigma, g_f) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an isometry, the intrinsic and extrinsic lengths coincide

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}(f \circ \gamma) := \mathcal{L}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}(f \circ \gamma) = \mathcal{L}_{g_f}(\gamma)$$

for any immersed curve  $\gamma: (a, b) \rightarrow \Sigma$ .

**2.1. The Gauss divergence formula and consequences.** Let  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an immersion and  $D \subset \Sigma$  satisfying (A). For  $\phi \in C^\infty(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}^n)$  we define  $\operatorname{div} \phi(x) := \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle \partial_{\tau_i} \phi(x), \partial_{\tau_i} f(x) \rangle$ , where  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $T_x \Sigma$ . We define the *outward pointing unit normal*  $\nu(x) = \nu_D(x)$  as in [2, p. 412] for all but finitely many points in  $x \in \partial D$ . For such  $x$  we also consider the *unit conormal*  $\eta(x) := \partial_\nu f(x) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . With the aid of [2, Theorem XII.3.15] one can derive the *divergence theorem* for immersed surfaces

$$(2.2) \quad \int_D \operatorname{div} \phi \, d\mu = \int_{\partial D} \langle \phi, \eta \rangle \, ds - \int_D \langle \phi, H \rangle \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C^\infty(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Here  $ds = ds_{g_f}$  denotes the arc length element of the parametrizations  $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\Sigma, g_f)$  chosen as in (A). We gather some useful consequences of this formula in the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an immersion and  $D \subset \Sigma$  satisfy (A). Then*

$$\operatorname{diam}(f(D)) \geq 2 \frac{\mathcal{A}(f, D)}{\mathcal{L}_g(\partial D) + 2\mathcal{W}(f, D)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{A}(f, D)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

*Proof.* For  $z \in \partial D$  arbitrary we use  $\phi(x) := f(x) - f(z)$  in (2.2) and find (with  $\operatorname{div} \phi \equiv 2$ )

$$2\mathcal{A}(f, D) = \int_{\partial D} \langle f(x) - f(z), \eta(x) \rangle \, ds(x) - \int_D \langle f(x) - f(z), H(x) \rangle \, d\mu(x).$$

Estimating  $|f(x) - f(z)| \leq \text{diam}(f(D))$  we find

$$2\mathcal{A}(f, D) \leq \text{diam}(f(D))\mathcal{L}_g(\partial D) + \text{diam}(f(D)) \int_D |H| \, d\mu.$$

Using that  $\int_D |H| \, d\mu \leq 2\mathcal{W}(f, D)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{A}(f, D)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an immersion and  $D \subset \Sigma$  satisfy (A) with connected boundary  $\partial D$ . Then there exists some  $x_0 \in D$  such that  $\text{dist}(f(x_0), f(\partial D)) \geq \frac{\text{diam}(f(D)) - \mathcal{L}_g(\partial D)}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the opposite, i.e.  $\text{dist}(f(z), f(\partial D)) < \frac{\text{diam}(f(D)) - \mathcal{L}_g(\partial D)}{2} =: \alpha$  for all  $z \in D$ . One readily checks that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\max_{x \in \overline{D}} \text{dist}(f(x), f(\partial D)) \leq \alpha - \varepsilon$ . In that case one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(f(D)) &= \sup_{x, y \in D} |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sup_{x, y \in D} \inf_{z_1, z_2 \in \partial D} |f(x) - f(z_1)| + |f(z_1) - f(z_2)| + |f(z_2) - f(y)| \\ (2.3) \quad &\leq 2(\alpha - \varepsilon) + \text{diam}(f(\partial D)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $D$  satisfies (A) and  $\partial D$  is connected, there exists a single curve  $\gamma \in PS(\mathbb{S}^1; \Sigma)$  as in (A) such that  $\partial D = \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . We infer from elementary estimates and (2.1)

$$(2.4) \quad \text{diam}(f(\partial D)) = \sup_{t, s \in \mathbb{S}^1} |f(\gamma(t)) - f(\gamma(s))| \leq \mathcal{L}(f \circ \gamma) = \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) = \mathcal{L}_g(\partial D).$$

Using this and the definition of  $\alpha$ , (2.3) implies  $\text{diam}(f(D)) \leq \text{diam}(f(D)) - 2\varepsilon$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The divergence theorem (2.2) also yields *Simon's monotonicity formula*, which has first been obtained in [22] and later been extended to the case of manifolds with boundary, cf. [20, Lemma A.3], [24]. An immediate consequence of [24, Equation (18)] is the following estimate, whose proof is safely omitted.

**Lemma 2.3** (cf. [24, Equation (18)]). *Let  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an immersion and  $D \subset \Sigma$  satisfy (A). Then for each  $x_0 \in D \setminus \partial D$  one has*

$$4\pi \leq \mathcal{W}(f, D) + 2 \int_{\partial D} \frac{1}{|f(x) - f(x_0)|} \, ds(x).$$

**2.2. The Gauss–Bonnet formula.** We recall the *Gauss–Bonnet formula* (cf. [15, Chapter 9]). Suppose that  $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $\Sigma$  with induced Riemannian measure  $\mu$  and Gauss curvature  $K$ . Then for each piecewise immersed and simply closed curve  $\gamma \in PS(\mathbb{S}^1; \Sigma)$  satisfying  $\gamma(\mathbb{S}^1) = \partial\Omega$  for an open set  $\Omega \subset \Sigma$  one has

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} K \, d\mu + \int_{\gamma} \kappa \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = 2\pi.$$

For a unit speed parametrization of  $\gamma$ , the term  $\kappa(t) := g\left(\frac{D}{dt}\dot{\gamma}(t), N(t)\right)$  is the *geodesic curvature* of  $\gamma$  at  $t \in \mathbb{S}^1$ , where  $N(t)$  is chosen such that  $(\dot{\gamma}(t), N(t))$  is an oriented basis of  $T_{\gamma(t)}\Sigma$ . Furthermore if  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset \Sigma$  is the set of *vertices* of  $\gamma$ , i.e. points with the property that  $\gamma(t_i) = a_i$  for some  $t_i \in \mathbb{S}^1$  with  $\dot{\gamma}(t_i^+) \neq \dot{\gamma}(t_i^-)$ , then  $\theta_i \in [-\pi, \pi]$  is the *exterior angle* of  $\gamma$  at its vertices, meaning that

$$\theta_i = \text{sgn}\left(dV(\dot{\gamma}(t_i^-), \dot{\gamma}(t_i^+))\right) \arccos\left(g(\dot{\gamma}(t_i^-), \dot{\gamma}(t_i^+))\right),$$

where  $dV$  is a nonvanishing and alternating 2-form determined by the orientation of  $\Sigma$ . We remark that the prerequisites on  $\Omega$  in (2.5) are satisfied if and only if  $\Omega$  is a topological disk, satisfies (A),

and has embedded boundary. In particular, nonembedded boundaries can not be treated with (2.5) at this stage. An important consequence of (2.5) is the *Gauss–Bonnet theorem* which says

$$\int_{\Sigma} K \, d\mu = 2\pi\chi(\Sigma),$$

where  $\chi(\Sigma)$  is the *Euler characteristic* of  $\Sigma$ , a purely topological constant.

### 3. THE TILING INDUCED BY A CLOSED GEODESIC AND CURVATURE ESTIMATES

In this section, we will examine how a closed, not necessarily injective geodesic  $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \Sigma$  divides a surface  $\Sigma$  into *tiles* bounded by geodesic segments. In particular, we will prove an upper bound on the total curvature in each of the resulting tiles if  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\Sigma, g)$  be a closed geodesic. Then  $\gamma$  has only finitely self-intersections all of which are nontangential.*

*Proof.* All possible self-intersections must be nontangential by the local existence and uniqueness theorem for geodesics. Suppose that there are infinitely many  $t_n \neq s_n \in \mathbb{S}^1$  with  $\gamma(t_n) = \gamma(s_n)$ . After passing to a subsequence, we find that  $t_n \rightarrow t, s_n \rightarrow s \in \mathbb{S}^1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $\gamma(t) = \gamma(s) =: x$  by continuity.

With respect to local coordinates around  $x$ , we may write  $\gamma$  in terms of its coordinate functions  $\gamma^1(\tau), \gamma^2(\tau)$  for  $\tau \in \mathbb{S}^1$  sufficiently close to  $t$  or  $s$ . Now, if  $t = s$ , we find

$$\dot{\gamma}^i(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \dot{\gamma}^i(s_n + \tau(t_n - s_n)) \, d\tau = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma^i(t_n) - \gamma^i(s_n)}{t_n - s_n} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Since  $\dot{\gamma}(\tau) = \dot{\gamma}^i(\tau) \partial_{x^i}|_{\gamma(\tau)}$ , this contradicts the fact that  $\gamma$  is immersed. If, on the other hand,  $t \neq s$ , then  $x = \gamma(t) = \gamma(s)$  is a self-intersection. However, in this case  $\dot{\gamma}(t)$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(s)$  are linearly dependent, since


$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\gamma}^1(t) & \dot{\gamma}^1(s) \\ \dot{\gamma}^2(t) & \dot{\gamma}^2(s) \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma^1(t_n) - \gamma^1(t)}{t_n - t} & \frac{\gamma^1(s_n) - \gamma^1(s)}{s_n - s} \\ \frac{\gamma^2(t_n) - \gamma^2(t)}{t_n - t} & \frac{\gamma^2(s_n) - \gamma^2(s)}{s_n - s} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

which also yields a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose  $\Sigma$  is a topological sphere and let  $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\Sigma, g)$  be a closed, noninjective geodesic. Then  $\Sigma \setminus \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1)$  has finitely many connected components  $D_1, \dots, D_m$ . For all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , the set  $D_i$  is topologically an open disk, satisfies (A), and we have  $\int_{D_i} K \, d\mu < 2\pi$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1,  $\gamma$  can only have finitely many self-intersections, all of which must be nontangential. Hence, the number of connected components  $D_1, \dots, D_m$  of  $\Sigma \setminus \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1)$  is finite and each of the  $D_i$  is open in  $\Sigma$  with  $\partial D_i$  given by a single curve in  $PS(\mathbb{S}^1; \Sigma)$ , consisting of geodesic segments of  $\gamma$ . We now claim that the boundary  $\partial D_i$  (taken inside  $\Sigma$ ) of each disk  $D_i$  contains a vertex which is a self-intersection point of  $\gamma$ . Assume the opposite. Then there exists one disk  $D_{i_0}$  with  $C^1$ -boundary. Since however the geodesic equation is of second order,  $\partial D_{i_0}$  must already be smooth and thus the image of the whole geodesic. This contradicts the assumption that  $\gamma$  is noninjective.

Fix  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and let  $D := D_i$ . We would now like to apply the Gauss–Bonnet formula (2.5) to  $D$ . However, the boundary  $\partial D$  might have points of higher multiplicity, i.e. not be parametrizable by a simply closed curve. Since  $\partial D$  consists of parts of  $\gamma$ , by Lemma 3.1 it may only contain finitely many vertices, say  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1)$  with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, for each  $1 \leq j \leq N$  choose an open neighborhood  $B_j$  of  $a_j$  which is a topological disk and has embedded smooth boundary, such that

FIGURE 2. Decomposing a neighborhood of  $a_j$  into triangles.

$a_j$  is the only point of  $\gamma$  in  $B_j$  with higher multiplicity and such that  $\bar{B}_j \cap \bar{B}_{j'} = \emptyset$  for all  $j \neq j'$ . Moreover, we may assume that  $B_j \cap D$  is the disjoint union of finitely many open triangles  $T_j^k$  with vertices at  $a_j, b_j^k, c_j^k$  and corresponding exterior angles  $\alpha_j^k, \beta_j^k, \gamma_j^k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq d_j$ , where two of the edges of  $T_j^k$  consist of parts of  $\gamma$  and the third edge is given by  $\partial B_j \cap \partial T_j^k$ , see Figure 2. For each  $1 \leq j \leq N$  and  $1 \leq k \leq d_j$ , the Gauss–Bonnet formula yields

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{T_j^k} K \, d\mu + \alpha_j^k + \beta_j^k + \gamma_j^k + \int_{\partial B_j \cap \partial T_j^k} \kappa \, ds = 2\pi.$$

By the above cutting procedure, the set  $R := D \setminus (\bar{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{B}_N)$  has (piecewise smooth) embedded boundary. Since  $\Sigma$  is of spherical type,  $R$  is a topological disk. In particular,  $R$  satisfies the prerequisites of the Gauss–Bonnet formula (2.5). Moreover, each of the vertices  $b_j^k, c_j^k$  is also a vertex of  $R$  with exterior angle  $\hat{\beta}_j^k, \hat{\gamma}_j^k$ , respectively, and these are the only vertices of  $R$ . The angles satisfy the relation

$$(3.2) \quad \pi = \beta_j^k + \hat{\beta}_j^k = \gamma_j^k + \hat{\gamma}_j^k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq d_j, 1 \leq j \leq N.$$


The Gauss–Bonnet formula for  $R$  yields

$$(3.3) \quad \int_R K \, d\mu + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{d_j} (\hat{\beta}_j^k + \hat{\gamma}_j^k) + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{d_j} \int_{\partial B_j \cap \partial T_j^k} (-\kappa) \, ds = 2\pi.$$

Note that the sign of the geodesic curvature integrals in (3.3) are opposite to those in (3.1). Thus, summing up (3.1) and (3.3), we find

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_D K \, d\mu &= \int_R K \, d\mu + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{d_j} \int_{T_j^k} K \, d\mu = 2\pi - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{d_j} (\alpha_j^k + \beta_j^k + \gamma_j^k + \hat{\beta}_j^k + \hat{\gamma}_j^k - 2\pi) \\ &= 2\pi - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{d_j} \alpha_j^k, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.2) in the last step. The result now follows if we can prove that  $\alpha_j^k$ , the exterior angle of  $T_j^k$  at  $a_j$ , satisfies  $\alpha_j^k > 0$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq d_j$ , and  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . This follows by applying Lemma 3.5 below to  $U := T_j^k$  and  $p := a_j$  and noting that  $\alpha_j^k = 0$  is impossible by Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

FIGURE 3. Construction of the cone  $C$  with opening angle  $\omega \in (\pi, 2\pi)$ .

*Remark 3.3.* For geodesic polygons with non-embedded boundary, equation (3.4) can be viewed as a version of the Gauss–Bonnet theorem with an extended notion of exterior angles.

*Remark 3.4.* Suppose that the angles of  $\gamma$  at its self-intersections are bounded from below uniformly, i.e. there exists  $\xi \in (0, \pi/2)$  with

$$(3.5) \quad \arccos \left( \frac{g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(s))}{|\dot{\gamma}(t)|_g |\dot{\gamma}(s)|_g} \right) \in (\xi, \pi - \xi) \quad \text{for all } t \neq s \in \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ with } \gamma(t) = \gamma(s).$$

Using this and  $d_j \geq 1$  to estimate (3.4), the estimate in Lemma 3.2 can be improved to

$$\int_{D_i} K \, d\mu \leq 2\pi - N_i \xi,$$

where  $N_i \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of self-intersections of  $\gamma$  which are contained in  $\partial D_i$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\Sigma, g)$  be a closed curve. Let  $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$  and let  $c_1 = \gamma|_{[a_1, b_1]}$ ,  $c_2 = \gamma|_{[a_2, b_2]}$  be embedded subsegments with  $p := \gamma(b_1) = \gamma(a_2)$  and  $\gamma(x) \neq \gamma(y)$  for any  $(x, y) \in [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$ . Let  $U \subset \Sigma$  be such that*

- (i)  $U$  is an open triangle with embedded, piecewise smooth boundary  $\partial U$ ;
- (ii)  $\bar{U} \cap \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1) = \partial U \cap \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1) = c_1([a_1, b_1]) \cup c_2([a_2, b_2])$ .

Then the exterior angle  $\theta$  of  $U$  at  $p$  satisfies  $\theta \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Since the statement is local, after composing with a normal coordinate chart around the point  $p$ , we may assume that  $\gamma$  is a planar curve, i.e.  $U \subset \Sigma = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and  $p = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\theta$  be the exterior angle at  $p = (0, 0)$  as in the statement and assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $\theta < 0$ . Then the interior angle of  $U$  is given by  $\pi - \theta \in (\pi, 2\pi)$ .

First, we observe that there exists an open cone  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  of opening angle  $\omega > \pi$  and some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad C \cap B_\varepsilon(0) \subset U \cap B_\varepsilon(0).$$

This is readily checked using that the blow-up of the triangle  $U$  around the vertex  $p = (0, 0)$  is a cone whose opening angle equals the interior angle  $\pi - \theta > \pi$ . From there it is easy to obtain an open cone  $C$  with opening angle  $\omega \in (\pi, \pi - \theta)$  which satisfies (3.6), see Figure 3.

By continuity, we have  $\gamma(t) \in B_\varepsilon(0)$  for  $t \in (b_1 - \delta, b_1 + \delta)$  for  $\delta > 0$  small enough. By assumption (ii), we have  $\gamma(\mathbb{S}^1) \cap U = \emptyset$ , and hence (3.6) implies  $\gamma(t) \in B_\varepsilon(0) \setminus C$  for all  $t \in (b_1 - \delta, b_1 + \delta)$ .

Now,  $Z := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$  is a closed cone with opening angle  $2\pi - \omega < \pi$  and  $\gamma|_{(b_1 - \delta, b_1 + \delta)}$  is an immersion with  $\gamma(t) \in Z$  for all  $t \in (b_1 - \delta, b_1 + \delta)$ . After rotation, we may assume that  $Z$  lies entirely in

$\mathbb{H} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\mathbb{H} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y > 0\}$  is the open upper half plane. Write  $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \gamma^2)$ . As  $\gamma_2$  becomes minimal at  $t = b_1$ , we have  $\dot{\gamma}^1(b_1) = \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \neq 0$ , since  $\gamma$  is an immersion. Taylor's expansion yields  $\gamma(t) = \gamma(b_1) + (t - b_1)\lambda e_1 + r(t)(t - b_1)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b_1} r(t) = 0$ . We thus find that  $(t - b_1)\lambda e_1 + r(t)(t - b_1) \in Z$ . As  $Z$  is homothety-invariant and closed, we may take any  $t > b_1$ , divide by  $t - b_1$  and pass to the limit  $t \rightarrow b_1$  to conclude  $\lambda e_1 \in Z$ . A contradiction.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

The preceding purely intrinsic discussion now allows us to give a lower bound on the Willmore energy on the complement of each connected component of  $\Sigma \setminus \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1)$  if  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $\gamma$  is a closed geodesic.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an immersion. Let  $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^2, g_f)$  be a closed geodesic and let  $D_1, \dots, D_m$  be the finitely many connected components of  $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . Then for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$  the following holds.*

- (i) *If  $\gamma$  is injective, then  $m = 2$  and  $\mathcal{W}(f, \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus D_i) \geq 2\pi$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $\gamma$  is noninjective, then  $\mathcal{W}(f, \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus D_i) > 2\pi$ ;*
- (iii) *If  $\gamma$  is noninjective with angles at the self-intersections bounded from below by  $\xi > 0$  in the sense of (3.5), then  $\mathcal{W}(f, \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus D_i) \geq 2\pi + N_i \xi$ , where  $N_i \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of self-intersections of  $\gamma$  contained in  $\partial D_i$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\gamma$  is injective, it is a simple closed curve and  $m = 2$  follows from the Jordan curve theorem. Note that in this case  $\partial D_i$  contains no vertices and is parametrized by the simple closed curve  $\gamma$ . We may thus directly apply the Gauss–Bonnet formula to  $D_i$ , finding that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{D_i} K \, d\mu = 2\pi,$$

since the geodesic curvature of  $\gamma$  is zero and since  $D_i$  is a topological disk. Moreover, the global Gauss–Bonnet theorem implies

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus D_i} K \, d\mu = 4\pi - \int_{D_i} K \, d\mu,$$

since  $\chi(\mathbb{S}^2) = 2$ . The claim then follows from (4.1) and (4.2) combined with the elementary estimate  $K \leq \frac{1}{4}|H|^2$ .

The remaining cases are similar, but we replace (4.1) by the upper bound for  $\int_{D_i} K \, d\mu$  in Lemma 3.2 for case (ii) and by the upper bound in Remark 3.4 for case (iii), respectively.  $\square$

With this tool, we can finally prove our main result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an immersion with  $\mathcal{W}(f) < 6\pi$  and let  $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^2, g)$  be a closed geodesic where  $g = g_f$ . Let  $D_1, \dots, D_m$  be the finitely many connected components of  $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1)$  as in Lemma 3.2. In particular, each  $D_k$  is a topological disk satisfying (A). After rescaling, we may assume  $\mathcal{A}(f) = 1$ .

Let  $M := \max_{k=1, \dots, m} \mathcal{A}(f, D_k)$ . By the handshaking lemma (i.e. counting the boundaries of the domains counts each edge twice) we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{L}_g(\partial D_k) = 2\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma).$$

By Simon's diameter bound with boundary [22, Lemma 1.2] for some  $C = C(n) > 0$  we have

$$\text{diam } f(D_k) \leq C \left( \int_{D_k} |A| \, d\mu + \text{diam } f(\partial D_k) \right) \leq C \left( \int_{D_k} |A| \, d\mu + \mathcal{L}_g(\partial D_k) \right),$$

where we used (2.4) in the last step. Summing up we find

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \text{diam } f(D_k) \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |A| \, d\mu + 2 \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) \right) \leq C \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |A|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2 \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) \right).$$

By the Gauss–Bonnet theorem  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |A|^2 \, d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (|H|^2 - 2K) \, d\mu = 4\mathcal{W}(f) - 8\pi \leq 16\pi$ , hence

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \text{diam } f(D_k) \leq C(1 + \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma)),$$

after modifying  $C = C(n) > 0$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 to each  $D_k$ , we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\mathcal{A}(f, D_k) &\leq \text{diam } f(D_k) \mathcal{L}_g(\partial D_k) + 2 \text{diam } f(D_k) \mathcal{W}(f, D_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{A}(f, D_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \text{diam } f(D_k) \left( \mathcal{L}_g(\partial D_k) + 2\sqrt{6\pi}\sqrt{M} \right) \leq C \text{diam } f(D_k) \left( \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) + \sqrt{M} \right), \end{aligned}$$

after further increasing  $C = C(n)$ . Now, up to a  $\mu$ -null set  $\mathbb{S}^2$  is the disjoint union  $\bigcup_{k=1}^m D_k$  and summing the inequality (4.4) over  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  we find together with (4.3) that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 = 2\mathcal{A}(f) &= \sum_{k=1}^m 2\mathcal{A}(f, D_k) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \text{diam } f(D_k) \left( \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) + \sqrt{M} \right) \\ &\leq C(1 + \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma)) \left( \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) + \sqrt{M} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we fix some  $L = L(n) > 0$  sufficiently small such that

$$0 < \frac{1}{C} < \frac{2}{C(1 + \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma))} - \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) \quad \text{whenever } \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) \leq L.$$

Let  $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  be such that  $\mathcal{A}(f, D_{k_0}) = M$ . If  $\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) \leq L$  by (4.5) we have

$$\mathcal{A}(f, D_{k_0}) = M \geq \left( \frac{2}{C(1 + \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma))} - \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) \right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{C^2}.$$

We apply Lemma 2.1 to  $D_{k_0}$  which yields

$$\text{diam } f(D_{k_0}) \geq 2 \frac{\frac{1}{C^2}}{\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) + 2\sqrt{6\pi}} \geq \alpha,$$

whenever  $\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) \leq L$  for some  $\alpha = \alpha(C, L) = \alpha(n) > 0$ . We define  $\alpha' := \min\{\frac{\alpha}{2}, L\}$  and note that  $\alpha' = \alpha'(n) > 0$ .

Now, if  $\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) \leq \alpha'$ , then by Lemma 2.2 there exists  $x_0 \in D_{k_0}$  such that

$$\text{dist}(f(x_0), f(\partial D_{k_0})) \geq \frac{\text{diam } f(D_{k_0}) - \mathcal{L}_g(\partial D_{k_0})}{2} \geq \frac{\text{diam } f(D_{k_0}) - \mathcal{L}_g(\gamma)}{2} \geq \frac{\alpha}{4}.$$

We may thus apply Lemma 2.3, yielding

$$4\pi \leq \mathcal{W}(f, D_{k_0}) + 2 \int_{\partial D_{k_0}} |f(x) - f(x_0)|^{-1} \, ds(x) \leq \mathcal{W}(f, D_{k_0}) + \frac{8\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma)}{\alpha}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 we find that  $\mathcal{W}(f, \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus D_{k_0}) \geq 2\pi$  and consequently

$$6\pi \leq \mathcal{W}(f) + \frac{8\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma)}{\alpha},$$

and the statement follows in this case. It remains to consider the case where  $\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) > \alpha'$ . But since  $\mathcal{W}(f) \geq 4\pi$ , the statement then follows trivially by

$$\mathcal{L}_g(\gamma) > \frac{6\pi - 4\pi}{2\pi} \alpha' \geq \frac{6\pi - \mathcal{W}(f)}{2\pi} \alpha'. \quad \square$$

In the case of noninjective geodesics with bounded intersection angle, the above proof yields the following improvement of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an immersion,  $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^2, g_f)$  be a noninjective closed geodesic, and  $\xi \in (0, \pi/2)$ . Assume that  $\gamma$  intersects itself in angles bounded by  $\xi$  in the sense of (3.5). Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{g_f}(\gamma) \geq C(n)(6\pi + \xi - \mathcal{W}(f))\sqrt{\mathcal{A}(f)}.$$

## 5. CLOSED GEODESICS ON SURFACES OF REVOLUTION

In this section, we will apply a method of Alexander [1] to prove the existence of a particular class of closed geodesics on spheroids. In particular, as we see in Lemma 5.2 and Figure 4 below, we may construct closed geodesics on arbitrarily thin pieces of a spheroid having any given number of self-intersections. The key idea is that the task of determining the number of intersections and the closedness of the curve can be reduced to solving a suitable integral equation, see Lemma 5.1.

Consider a general surface of revolution parametrized by

$$(5.1) \quad f(u_1, u_2) = (h(u_2) \cos(u_1), h(u_2) \sin(u_1), g(u_2))$$

where  $h, g$  are smooth real-valued functions with  $h > 0$  and  $u_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u_2 \in J$ , where  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval. Let  $\gamma := \sqrt{(h')^2 + (g')^2}$ . Then the coefficients of the metric tensor are given by

$$E = \langle \partial_1 f, \partial_1 f \rangle = h^2, \quad F = \langle \partial_1 f, \partial_2 f \rangle = 0, \quad G = \langle \partial_2 f, \partial_2 f \rangle = \gamma^2.$$

For a curve with coordinate functions  $u_1(t), u_2(t)$ , the geodesic equations are

$$(5.2) \quad \ddot{u}_1 + 2 \frac{h'(u_2)}{h(u_2)} \dot{u}_1 \dot{u}_2 = 0,$$

$$(5.3) \quad \ddot{u}_2 - \frac{h(u_2)h'(u_2)}{\gamma(u_2)^2} \dot{u}_1 \dot{u}_1 + \frac{\gamma'(u_2)}{\gamma(u_2)} \dot{u}_2 \dot{u}_2 = 0,$$

where the dot derivative is with respect to the time variable  $t$ , see [1, p. 5]. The first equation is  $(\dot{u}_1 h(u_2)^2)' = 0$ . Thus,  $\dot{u}_1 h(u_2)^2 = c$  for some constant  $c$ , which is known as *Clairaut's relation*. A geodesic of unit speed satisfies

$$(5.4) \quad 1 = \dot{u}_1^2 E + \dot{u}_2^2 G = \dot{u}_1^2 h^2 + \dot{u}_2^2 \gamma^2.$$

Thus, by Clairaut's principle (cf. [1, p. 6]),

$$(5.5) \quad \dot{u}_1 = \frac{c}{h(u_2)^2}, \quad |\dot{u}_2| = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{h(u_2)^2}}.$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $f$  be as in (5.1) with  $J = (-\alpha, \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . Suppose*

$$(5.6) \quad h > 0, \quad h(x) = h(-x), \quad h'(x) < 0 \text{ for } x \in (0, \alpha), \quad h(0) = 1, \quad h(\alpha) = 0.$$

*Let  $c \in (0, 1)$ , and let  $\zeta_c(t) = f(u_1(t), u_2(t))$  be the unique unit speed geodesic on the surface of revolution parameterized by  $f$  with  $u_1(0) = u_2(0) = 0$ ,  $\dot{u}_1(0) = c$ , and  $\dot{u}_2(0) = \sqrt{1 - c^2} > 0$ . Then the following holds.*

(i)  $\zeta_c: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow f(\mathbb{S}^1 \times J)$  exists globally, we have  $\max_{\mathbb{R}} u_2 = u_2(t_0) \in (0, \alpha)$  for some unique  $t_0 > 0$ , and this strict global maximum is given by the unique  $t_0 > 0$  with  $u_2(t_0) > 0$  and  $h(u_2(t_0)) = c > 0$ .

(ii) If, in addition,  $g$  is odd and

$$(5.7) \quad I_c := -2c \int_c^{h(0)} \frac{\gamma(h^{-1}(y))}{y\sqrt{y^2 - c^2} h'(h^{-1}(y))} dy = (N + 1)\pi,$$

*then  $\zeta_c|_{[0, 4t_0]}$  is closed and possesses exactly  $N$  distinct self-intersections.*

(iii) *The length of  $\zeta_c$  satisfies  $2(N + 1)\pi c \leq \mathcal{L}(\zeta_c) \leq \frac{2(N+1)\pi}{c}$ .*

*Proof.* After reparametrization of the curve  $(h, g)$ , we may assume that it is parametrized by arc length, i.e.  $\gamma \equiv 1$ , while still satisfying (5.6) with a suitably modified interval  $J$ . Such a reparametrization will not affect the surface, the maximum of  $u_2$ , or the curve  $\zeta_c$ , and also  $I_c$  is invariant under replacing  $h$  with  $h \circ \varphi$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi' > 0$ .

Suppose that there exists a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow t^*$  with  $u_2(t_n) \rightarrow \alpha$ . Since  $h(\alpha) = 0$ , (5.4) and (5.5) yield a contradiction. Hence,  $\sup u_2 < \alpha$ , and thus  $\zeta_c$  does not intersect  $f(\mathbb{R} \times \{\alpha\})$  and, with a similar argument using that  $h$  is even, neither  $f(\mathbb{R} \times \{-\alpha\})$ . Consequently  $u_1, u_2$  and  $\zeta_c$  exist globally, i.e. for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now, (5.3) reads

$$\ddot{u}_2 = h(u_2)h'(u_2)\dot{u}_1^2.$$

As  $c > 0$ , we have  $\dot{u}_1 > 0$  as long as  $u_2 \in (0, \alpha)$  by (5.5), and thus, by (5.6),  $u_2$  is strictly concave on  $u_2^{-1}(0, \alpha)$ . We take  $t_0 = \sup\{T > 0 \mid \dot{u}_2(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]\} \in (0, \infty]$ . By monotonicity and since  $\sup u_2 < \alpha$ , the limit  $u_{\max} = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^-} u_2(t) \in (0, \alpha)$  exists. Moreover,  $\dot{u}_2$  is strictly decreasing in  $(0, t_0)$  and hence also  $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^-} \dot{u}_2(t)$  exists. Now, if  $t_0 = \infty$  was true, then there would exist a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow t_0 = \infty$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ddot{u}_2(t_n) = 0$  so that (5.3) and (5.5) would imply  $h'(u_{\max}) = 0$  which by (5.6) contradicts  $u_{\max} > 0$ . Thus  $t_0 < \infty$ . By continuity  $\dot{u}_2(t_0) = 0$ , and thus by concavity  $u_2$  attains its strict global maximum  $u_{\max} = u_2(t_0) > 0$  at  $t_0$ , satisfying  $h(u_2(t_0)) = c$  by (5.5). On the other hand, any  $t_0 > 0$  with  $u_2(t_0) > 0$  and  $h(u_2(t_0)) = c > 0$  necessarily satisfies  $\dot{u}_2(t_0) = 0$  by (5.5), and the proof of (i) is complete.

For (ii), define  $I_c$  as above and let  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  as in (i). By the computation in [1, p. 6], we have

$$(5.8) \quad u_1(t_0) - u_1(0) = \frac{I_c}{2} = \frac{(N + 1)\pi}{2}.$$

Now, for  $t \in [t_0, 2t_0]$  we claim that

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} u_1(t) &= -u_1(2t_0 - t) + (N + 1)\pi, \\ u_2(t) &= u_2(2t_0 - t). \end{aligned}$$

This can be seen by verifying that the right hand side defines the component functions of a geodesic, i.e. a solution to (5.2)–(5.3), which closes in a  $C^1$ -fashion with  $\zeta_c$  at  $t = t_0$  so (5.9) follows from the uniqueness of solutions to the geodesic equations. We now discuss the self-intersections of  $\zeta_c$  in  $[0, 2t_0]$ . Using that  $u_2$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, t_0]$ , we find that  $\zeta_c|_{[0, t_0]}$  and  $\zeta_c|_{[t_0, 2t_0]}$  are




FIGURE 4. Plots of noninjective closed geodesics on the spheroid for different parameters  $b$ ,  $c$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $N$ .

injective. Now, suppose that we have  $s \in [0, t_0]$  and  $s' \in [t_0, 2t_0]$  such that  $u_1(s) = u_1(s') \pmod{2\pi}$  and  $u_2(s) = u_2(s')$ . By (5.9) and since  $\dot{u}_2 > 0$  on  $[0, t_0]$ , we conclude  $s' = 2t_0 - s$  and it follows that  $2u_1(s) = (N + 1)\pi \pmod{2\pi}$  or equivalently

$$(5.10) \quad 2u_1(s) = \begin{cases} \pi \pmod{2\pi} & \text{if } N \text{ is even,} \\ 0 \pmod{2\pi} & \text{if } N \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $2u_1$  is strictly increasing from 0 to  $(N + 1)\pi$  on  $[0, t_0]$  by (5.8), if  $N$  is even, then (5.10) has exactly  $N/2$  solutions in  $[0, t_0]$ . If  $N$  is odd, then there are exactly  $(N + 1)/2$  solutions of (5.10) in the interval  $[0, t_0]$ , one of which is  $s = 0$ . Using that  $h$  is an even function and  $g$  is odd, we may argue as in (5.9) to show that for  $t \in [2t_0, 4t_0]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t) &= u_1(t - 2t_0) + (N + 1)\pi, \\ u_2(t) &= -u_2(t - 2t_0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\zeta_c$  is  $C^1$ -closed on  $[0, 4t_0]$  and statement (ii) is proven.

For the length bound (iii), observe that by (5.5) and (5.8) we have

$$\frac{(N + 1)\pi}{2} = u_1(t_0) - u_1(0) = \int_0^{t_0} \frac{c}{h(u_2(t))^2} dt.$$

Since  $h(u_2)$  is monotonically decreasing on  $[0, t_0]$  with  $h(u_2(0)) = 1$  and  $h(u_2(t_0)) = c$ , we conclude  $ct_0 \leq (N + 1)\pi/2 \leq t_0/c$ . Using that  $\zeta_c$  is parametrized by arc length, we have  $\mathcal{L}(\zeta_c) = 4t_0$  and (iii) follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *For all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N + 1 < b < N + 1 + \varepsilon$  such that the surface of revolution parametrized by  $f$  as in (5.1) with  $(h, g) = (\cos, b \sin)$  and  $J = (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$  contains a closed*

geodesic  $\zeta_c = f(u_1, u_2)$  with exactly  $N$  distinct self-intersections,  $\max_{\mathbb{R}} |u_2| < \varepsilon$ , and

$$(5.11) \quad 2(N+1)\pi(1-\varepsilon) \leq \mathcal{L}(f \circ \zeta) \leq \frac{2(N+1)\pi}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta_c, u_1, u_2$  be as in Lemma 5.1. Clearly,  $h = \cos$  satisfies (5.6). By Lemma 5.1(i), the geodesic  $\zeta_c(t) = f(u_1(t), u_2(t))$  exists globally and  $\max_{\mathbb{R}} u_2(t_0) = h^{-1}(c) = \arccos c \rightarrow 0+$  as  $c \rightarrow 1-$ . The statement thus follows from Lemma 5.1(i)–(iii) if we can prove that given  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $1 - \varepsilon < c < 1$  and  $N + 1 < b < N + 1 + \varepsilon$  such that  $I_c = (N + 1)\pi$  with  $I_c$  as in (5.7). By direct computation

$$h'(h^{-1}(y)) = -\sqrt{1-y^2}, \quad \gamma(x)^2 = h'(x)^2 + g'(x)^2 = 1 + (b^2 - 1)h(x)^2,$$

which gives

$$(5.12) \quad I_c = 2c \int_c^1 \frac{\sqrt{1+(b^2-1)y^2}}{y\sqrt{y^2-c^2}} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} = 2c \int_c^1 \frac{\sqrt{b^2-1+y^{-2}}}{\sqrt{(y^2-c^2)(1-y^2)}} dy.$$

The complete elliptic integral of the first kind is defined by

$$K(k) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-k^2 \sin^2 x}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k < 1,$$

see [4, 110.06]. Obviously,  $K \geq K(0) = \pi/2$ . By [4, 217.00], as  $0 < c < 1$  there holds

$$(5.13) \quad I_c < 2\sqrt{c^2(b^2-1)+1} \int_c^1 \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(y^2-c^2)(1-y^2)}} = 2\sqrt{c^2(b^2-1)+1} K(\sqrt{1-c^2}).$$

Consider now

$$L(c) := 2\sqrt{c^2((N+1)^2-1)+1} K(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \quad \text{for } 0 < c < 1.$$

There holds

$$L'(c) = \frac{2c((N+1)^2-1)K(\sqrt{1-c^2})}{\sqrt{c^2((N+1)^2-1)+1}} + 2\sqrt{c^2((N+1)^2-1)+1} K'(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \frac{-c}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}.$$

From the power series expansion of  $K$ , see [4, 900.00], we infer  $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0+} K(k) = \pi/2$  as well as  $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0+} K'(k)/k = \pi/4$  which implies

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow 1-} L'(c) = \frac{2((N+1)^2-1)\pi/2}{N+1} - 2(N+1)\pi/4 = \pi \left( N+1 - \frac{1}{N+1} - \frac{N+1}{2} \right) > 0.$$

Since  $L(1) = (N+1)\pi$ , it thus follows  $L(c_1) < (N+1)\pi$  for some  $1 - \varepsilon < c_1 < 1$ . By (5.13) and a continuity argument, we can now choose  $N + 1 < b < N + 1 + \varepsilon$  such that  $I_{c_1} < (N + 1)\pi$ . On the other hand, (5.12) implies that

$$I_c > 2cb \int_c^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(x^2-c^2)(1-x^2)}} = 2cbK(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow 1-} b\pi > (N+1)\pi.$$

Hence, there exists  $c_2$  with  $c_1 < c_2 < 1$  such that  $I_{c_2} > (N+1)\pi$ . Now, the conclusion follows from the intermediate value theorem.  $\square$

## 6. SPHERE INVERSION AND SPHERICAL REPLACEMENT

In this section, we construct the embeddings  $f_\varepsilon$  in Example 1.4 using a sphere inversion and an appropriate spherical replacement. The goal is that the inverted surface does not only look like a round sphere, but in fact contains a round sphere with a small cap removed. It is then not too difficult to find a short curve that we may evolve by the curve shortening flow. The avoidance principle ensures that the curve does not shrink to a point, hence the flow exists globally and converges to a short geodesic.

Let first  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be any smooth embedding, and let  $D := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |z| < 1\}$  be the open unit disk. After a rigid motion of the ambient space, we can choose a parametrization  $\phi: D \rightarrow \Sigma$  such that  $f$  has the local graph representation

$$(6.1) \quad (f \circ \phi)(z) = (z, u(z))$$

for some  $u \in C^\infty(D; \mathbb{R}^{n-2})$  with  $u(0) = 0$  and  $Du(0) = 0$ . Choose a function  $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \geq 2 \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad |\eta| + |\eta'| + |\eta''| < C$$

for some universal constant  $C < \infty$ . For all  $\delta > 0$  define

$$\eta_\delta(z) := \eta\left(\frac{|z|}{\delta}\right), \quad u_\delta(z) := u(z)\eta_\delta(z) \quad \text{for } z \in D$$

and let  $f_\delta: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the immersion that results by replacing  $u$  in (6.1) with  $u_\delta$  (for  $\delta < 1/2$ ). We are going to prove the following energy expansion.

**Lemma 6.1.** *There exists a universal constant  $C < \infty$  such that*

$$|\mathcal{W}(f) - \mathcal{W}(f_\delta)| \leq C \|D^2 u\|_{L^\infty(D)} \delta^2$$

for  $\delta > 0$  small enough.

*Proof.* With  $I_{2 \times 2}$  denoting the  $2 \times 2$ -identity matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D\eta_\delta(z) &= \frac{1}{\delta} \eta' \left( \frac{|z|}{\delta} \right) \frac{z}{|z|}, \\ D^2 \eta_\delta(z) &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} \eta'' \left( \frac{|z|}{\delta} \right) \frac{z}{|z|} \otimes \frac{z}{|z|} + \frac{1}{\delta |z|} \eta' \left( \frac{|z|}{\delta} \right) \left( I_{2 \times 2} - \frac{z}{|z|} \otimes \frac{z}{|z|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by (6.2),

$$|D\eta_\delta| \leq \frac{C}{\delta}, \quad |D^2 \eta_\delta| \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} Du_\delta &= \eta_\delta Du + u D\eta_\delta, \\ D^2 u_\delta &= Du \otimes D\eta_\delta + D\eta_\delta \otimes Du + \eta_\delta D^2 u + u D^2 \eta_\delta \end{aligned}$$

and, by Taylor expansion,

$$\frac{|u(z)|}{|z|^2} + \frac{|Du(z)|}{|z|} \leq C \|D^2 u\|_{L^\infty(D)}$$

it thus follows

$$|Du_\delta| \leq C(|z| + \delta)\|D^2u\|_{L^\infty(D)}, \quad |D^2u_\delta| \leq C\|D^2u\|_{L^\infty(D)}.$$

Denoting with  $g$  and  $g_\delta$  the metric tensors induced by  $f$  and  $f_\delta$ , respectively, in the parametrization  $\phi$  and using [3, Lemma 2.1], we infer for  $\delta > 0$  with

$$(6.3) \quad |Du(z)| + |Du_\delta(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in 2\delta D := \{2\delta z \mid z \in D\}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{W}(f) - \mathcal{W}(f_\delta)| &= \left\| |H|^2 \sqrt{\det g} - |H_\delta|^2 \sqrt{\det g_\delta} \right\|_{L^1(2\delta D)} \\ &\leq \left\| |H|^2 \sqrt{\det g} - |\Delta u|^2 \right\|_{L^1(2\delta D)} + \left\| |\Delta u|^2 - |\Delta u_\delta|^2 \right\|_{L^1(2\delta D)} + \left\| |\Delta u_\delta|^2 - |H_\delta|^2 \sqrt{\det g_\delta} \right\|_{L^1(2\delta D)} \\ &\leq C \left( \|Du\|_{L^2(2\delta D)} \|D^2u\|_{L^2(2\delta D)}^2 + \|D^2u\|_{L^2(2\delta D)}^2 + \|D^2u_\delta\|_{L^2(2\delta D)}^2 + \|Du_\delta\|_{L^2(2\delta D)} \|D^2u_\delta\|_{L^2(2\delta D)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq C \|D^2u\|_{L^\infty(2\delta D)}^2 \delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion follows since (6.3) is satisfied for small  $\delta > 0$ .  $\square$

Now let  $\nu \in \{(0,0)\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$  be a vector of unit length. For all  $\lambda > 0$  define the Möbius transformation

$$(6.4) \quad I_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{-\lambda\nu\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad I_\lambda(x) := 2\lambda \frac{x + \lambda\nu}{|x + \lambda\nu|^2}$$

and the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}_\nu^2 := \{(z,0) + t\nu \mid z \in \mathbb{R}^2, t \in \mathbb{R}, |(z,0) + t\nu - \nu| = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with center  $\nu$ . Then the following holds.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Suppose  $\Sigma$  has genus  $p \geq 1$  and  $f_\delta : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as before Lemma 6.1. Then, for all  $\delta, \lambda > 0$  small enough, the surface  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies*

$$(6.5) \quad (I_\lambda \circ f_\delta \circ \phi)(\delta D) = \mathbb{S}_\nu^2 \cap \left\{ (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \mid |\zeta| > \frac{2\lambda^2}{\delta^2 + \lambda^2} \right\},$$

$$(6.6) \quad \|I_\lambda \circ f_\delta\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma \setminus \phi(\delta D))} \leq \frac{2\lambda}{\delta}.$$

Moreover, the closed curve  $\gamma_{\lambda,\delta} := (I_\lambda \circ f_\delta \circ \phi) : \partial\delta D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  has length  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_{\lambda,\delta}) = 2\pi \frac{2\lambda\delta}{\delta^2 + \lambda^2} \leq 2\pi \frac{2\lambda}{\delta}$ , is null-homotopic in  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta \circ \phi)(\delta\bar{D})$ , but not null-homotopic in  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma \setminus \phi(\delta D))$ .

*Proof.* First choose  $\lambda, \delta > 0$  small enough such that  $f_\delta$  is an embedding,  $-\lambda\nu \notin f_\delta(\Sigma)$ , and

$$(6.7) \quad \text{dist}\left(f_\delta(\Sigma \setminus \phi(\delta D)), -\lambda\nu\right) \geq \delta.$$

Noting that  $u_\delta = 0$  on  $\delta D$  and that  $I_\lambda|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times 0^{n-2}}$  parametrizes the punctured sphere  $\mathbb{S}_\nu^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , the decomposition

$$I_\lambda(z, 0) = \frac{2\lambda(z, 0)}{|z|^2 + \lambda^2} + \frac{2\lambda^2\nu}{|z|^2 + \lambda^2} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

implies both, (6.5) and  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_{\lambda,\delta}) = 2\pi \frac{2\lambda\delta}{\delta^2 + \lambda^2}$ . Using (6.7), (6.6) directly follows from the definition of  $I_\lambda$ . Clearly,  $\gamma_{\lambda,\delta}$  is null-homotopic in  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta \circ \phi)(\delta\bar{D})$  since it is a simple curve given by the boundary of the topological disk  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta \circ \phi)(\delta D)$ . If on the other hand,  $\gamma_{\lambda,\delta}$  was also null-homotopic in  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma \setminus \phi(\delta D))$ , then  $\Sigma \setminus \phi(\delta D)$  would be topologically a closed disk and thus,  $\Sigma$  would be a topological sphere which is excluded by the hypothesis  $p \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Finally, we are able to complete the construction in Example 1.4. Suppose  $\Sigma$  has genus  $p \geq 1$ . According to [3, 14, 22], there exists a smooth immersion  $f_0: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$\mathcal{W}(f_0) = \inf\{\mathcal{W}(f) \mid f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ immersion}\} < 8\pi.$$

By [16],  $f_0$  is an embedding. We choose  $\phi, u$  for  $f_0$  as in (6.1), fix  $\delta > 0$  small enough and look at the modified embedding  $f_\delta$  of  $f_0$  as in Lemma 6.1. Consider for some  $\nu \in \{(0, 0)\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$  of unit length the Möbius transformation  $I_\lambda$  as in (6.4). Now,  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma)$  is an embedded 2-dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Notice that for  $\lambda > 0$  small enough (depending on  $\delta$ ) a neighborhood of the equator  $G_\nu := \partial D \times \{\nu\} \subset \mathbb{S}_\nu^2$  lies in the image  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\phi(\delta D))$ . In particular,  $G_\nu$  is a geodesic on  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma)$ .

Recall now that for a 2-dimensional oriented manifold  $M$  a smooth time-dependent family of closed immersed curves  $\gamma: I \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M$  is said to be an evolution by *curve shortening flow* if

$$\partial_t \gamma(t, x) = \kappa(t, x)N(t, x) \quad \forall t \in I, x \in \mathbb{S}^1,$$

where  $\kappa(t, \cdot)$  denotes the geodesic curvature of  $\gamma(t, \cdot)$  with respect to the oriented unit normal  $N(t, \cdot)$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $\delta, \lambda > 0$  be small enough as in Lemma 6.2 and  $\max\{\frac{2\lambda}{\delta}, \frac{2\lambda^2}{\lambda^2 + \delta^2}\} < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then the curve shortening flow on  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma)$  with initial datum  $\gamma_{\lambda, \delta}$  exists for all times and converges to a closed null-homotopic geodesic  $\sigma_{\lambda, \delta}$  of  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma)$ , whose length is shorter than  $2\pi \frac{2\lambda}{\delta}$ .*

The proof of Lemma 6.3 relies on the *avoidance principle* of the curve shortening flow in 2-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. Its proof follows the lines of the proof of embeddedness-preservation in [10, Section 3]. We present the argument in Appendix B for the convenience of the reader.

*Proof of Lemma 6.3.* Let  $\gamma_1: [0, t_{max}) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma)$  be a maximal evolution by curve shortening flow with initial datum  $\gamma_1(0, \cdot) = \gamma_{\lambda, \delta}$ . Define the two disjoint sets  $E_+ := \{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \mid |\zeta| \geq 1\}$  and  $E_- := \{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \mid |\zeta| < 1\}$ . Observe that by Lemma 6.2 and our choice of parameters we have  $\gamma_{\lambda, \delta}(\mathbb{S}^1) \subset E_-$  and  $\partial(E_+ \cap (I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma)) = \partial(E_+ \cap \mathbb{S}_\nu^2) = G_\nu$ . In particular,  $\gamma_{\lambda, \delta}(\mathbb{S}^1)$  and  $G_\nu$  are disjoint. Applying the avoidance principle (Lemma B.1) to  $\gamma_1$  and the constant evolution  $\gamma_2(t, \cdot) \equiv G_\nu$  (which adheres to the evolution law since  $G_\nu$  is a geodesic), we infer that  $\gamma_1(t, \cdot)$  can not intersect  $G_\nu = \partial(E_+ \cap (I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma))$  in finite time. Hence the flow must stay in  $\overline{E_-} \cap (I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma)$ . From Lemma 6.2 one can infer that  $\gamma_{\lambda, \delta}$  is not null-homotopic in  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma) \cap \overline{E_-}$ . Thus we conclude from Grayson's theorem [11, Theorem 0.1] that  $t_{max} = \infty$  and  $\gamma_1$  converges smoothly to a closed geodesic  $\sigma_{\lambda, \delta}$ , which is homotopic to  $\gamma_1(0, \cdot) = \gamma_{\lambda, \delta}$ , hence null-homotopic in  $(I_\lambda \circ f_\delta)(\Sigma)$  by Lemma 6.2). Since the curve shortening flow decreases the length of curves, the asserted length bound follows immediately from Lemma 6.2.  $\square$

Once this lemma is shown one can readily construct the immersions  $f_\varepsilon$  in Example 1.4.

*Proof of the claim in Example 1.4.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed and  $f_0$  be as above. By Lemma 6.1 one can choose  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  small enough such that the modified immersion  $f_\delta$  constructed above is an embedding and satisfies  $\mathcal{W}(f_\delta) \leq \mathcal{W}(f_0) + \varepsilon$ . By Lemma 6.3 one concludes that for each  $\lambda > 0$  small enough there exists a closed null-homotopic geodesic  $\sigma_{\lambda, \delta}$  of length less than  $2\pi \frac{2\lambda}{\delta}$ . Choosing  $\lambda < \frac{\varepsilon \delta}{4\pi}$  the claim follows.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. AN EXAMPLE OF A GRAPH WITH UNBOUNDED CURVATURE

In this section we analyze the Gauss curvature of the graph given in Example 1 of [23].

**Lemma A.1.** *The Gauss curvature of the graph of the function*

$$u: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad u(x, y) := x \log |\log \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}|$$

satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} K(x, 0) = \infty, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} K(0, y) = -\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $r: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $r(x, y) := \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ . On the open unit disk  $D = \{r < 1\}$  direct computation gives

$$\partial_x u = \log |\log r| + \frac{x^2}{r^2 \log r}, \quad \partial_y u = \frac{xy}{r^2 \log r}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{xx}^2 u &= \frac{x}{r^2 \log r} \left( 3 - \frac{2x^2}{r^2} - \frac{x^2}{r^2 \log r} \right), & \partial_{xy}^2 u &= \frac{y}{r^2 \log r} \left( 1 - \frac{2x^2}{r^2} - \frac{x^2}{r^2 \log r} \right), \\ \partial_{yy}^2 u &= \frac{x}{r^2 \log r} \left( 1 - \frac{2y^2}{r^2} - \frac{y^2}{r^2 \log r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that as  $r \rightarrow 0+$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Du(x, 0)|^2 &= (\log |\log r|)^2 + o(1), & \det D^2 u(x, 0) &= \frac{1}{x^2 (\log r)^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\log r} \right) \\ |Du(0, y)|^2 &= (\log |\log r|)^2, & \det D^2 u(0, y) &= \frac{-1}{y^2 (\log r)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that the Gauss curvature is given by  $K = (\det D^2 u)/(1 + |Du|^2)^2$ , we infer

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} K(x, 0) = -\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} K(0, y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{y^2 (\log r)^2 (\log |\log r|)^4} = \infty$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. THE AVOIDANCE PRINCIPLE ON A SURFACE

**Lemma B.1** (Avoidance principle). *Let  $M$  be a smooth compact two-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold without boundary. Consider for  $T \in (0, \infty)$  two evolutions  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2: [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M$  of closed curves by the curve shortening flow such that  $\gamma_1(0, \mathbb{S}^1) \cap \gamma_2(0, \mathbb{S}^1) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\gamma_1(t, \mathbb{S}^1) \cap \gamma_2(t, \mathbb{S}^1) = \emptyset$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* By Nash's embedding theorem we may assume that  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an embedded submanifold. For  $p \in M$  we denote by  $\pi_{T_p M}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T_p M$  the orthogonal projection on  $T_p M$ . Recall that  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $\eta = \eta(M) > 0$  is chosen such that for all  $p \in M$  the restriction  $\pi_{T_p M}|_{B_\eta(0) \cap (M-p)}: B_\eta(0) \cap (M-p) \rightarrow T_p M$  is injective and satisfies  $|\pi_{T_p M}(v-p)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|v-p|$  for all  $v \in B_\eta(p) \cap M$ . For a vector  $w \in T_p M$  the quantity  $Jw = J_p w \in T_p M$  denotes the unique vector that is orthogonal to  $w$ , has the same norm as  $w$ , and satisfies that

$(w, Jw)$  is an oriented basis of  $T_p M$ . A straightforward computation shows that there exists a constant  $C = C(M) > 0$  such that for all  $p, q \in M$  with  $0 < |p - q| < \eta$  one has

$$(B.1) \quad \left| \frac{J_p \pi_{T_p M}(p - q)}{|\pi_{T_p M}(p - q)|} - \frac{J_q \pi_{T_q M}(p - q)}{|\pi_{T_q M}(p - q)|} \right| \leq C|p - q|.$$

For  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  we define the time dependent Riemannian metric  $\rho(t)$  on  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$  via  $\rho(t)_{(x_1, x_2)} = |\partial_{x_1} \gamma_1(t, x_1)|^2 dx_1^2 + |\partial_{x_2} \gamma_2(t, x_2)|^2 dx_2^2$ . We define now a distance function  $d: [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  via

$$d(t, x_1, x_2) = |\gamma_1(t, x_1) - \gamma_2(t, x_2)|^2.$$

We intend to show that  $d > 0$  on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ .

**Claim 1.**  $\partial_t d - \Delta_{\rho(t)} d = -4$ .

*Proof of Claim 1.* Observe that by the formula  $\Delta_{\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\rho)}} \partial_{x_i} \left( \sqrt{\det(\rho)} \rho^{ij} \partial_{x_j} \right)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\rho(t)} &= \frac{1}{|\partial_{x_1} \gamma_1(t, x_1)| |\partial_{x_2} \gamma_2(t, x_2)|} \left( \partial_{x_1} \left( \frac{|\partial_{x_2} \gamma_2(t, x_2)|}{|\partial_{x_1} \gamma_1(t, x_1)|} \partial_{x_1} \right) + \partial_{x_2} \left( \frac{|\partial_{x_1} \gamma_1(t, x_1)|}{|\partial_{x_2} \gamma_2(t, x_2)|} \partial_{x_2} \right) \right) \\ &= \partial_{s_1 s_1}^2 + \partial_{s_2 s_2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_i$  is the (time dependent) arc length element of  $\gamma_i(t, \cdot)$  with respect to  $x_i$ . We compute

$$\partial_{s_1} d = 2 \langle \gamma_1(t, x_1) - \gamma_2(t, x_2), \partial_{s_1} \gamma_1(t, x_1) \rangle, \quad \partial_{s_2} d = -2 \langle \gamma_1(t, x_1) - \gamma_2(t, x_2), \partial_{s_2} \gamma_2(t, x_2) \rangle.$$

Using that by the Frenet–Serret formulae on  $M$  one has  $\partial_{s_i s_i}^2 \gamma_i(t, x_i) = \kappa_i(t, x_i) N_i(t, x_i)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{s_1 s_1}^2 d &= 2 |\partial_{s_1} \gamma_1(t, x_1)|^2 + 2 \langle \gamma_1(t, x_1) - \gamma_2(t, x_2), \partial_{s_1 s_1}^2 \gamma_1(t, x_1) \rangle \\ &= 2 + 2 \langle \gamma_1(t, x_1) - \gamma_2(t, x_2), \kappa_1(t, x_1) N_1(t, x_1) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\partial_{s_2 s_2}^2 d = 2 - 2 \langle \gamma_1(t, x_1) - \gamma_2(t, x_2), \kappa_2(t, x_2) N_2(t, x_2) \rangle$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\rho(t)} d &= 4 + 2 \langle \gamma_1(t, x_1) - \gamma_2(t, x_2), \kappa_1(t, x_1) N_1(t, x_1) - \kappa_2(t, x_2) N_2(t, x_2) \rangle \\ &= 4 + \langle \gamma_1(t, x_1) - \gamma_2(t, x_2), \partial_t \gamma_1(t, x_1) - \partial_t \gamma_2(t, x_2) \rangle = 4 + \partial_t d. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 1 follows. For later use we also compute

$$(B.2) \quad \partial_{s_1 s_2}^2 d = -2 \langle \partial_{s_2} \gamma_2(t, x_2), \partial_{s_1} \gamma_1(t, x_1) \rangle.$$

For  $\theta, \beta > 0$  we consider now the auxiliary function  $h: [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $h(t, x_1, x_2) := e^{\beta t} d(t, x_1, x_2) + \theta t$ . We may assume without loss of generality that for  $\theta > 0$  small enough one has  $\inf_{t \in [0, T], x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1} h < \eta$ , since otherwise the lemma follows immediately from the observation that  $\theta \rightarrow 0+$  would yield  $e^{\beta t} d(t, x_1, x_2) \geq \eta$  for all  $t \in [0, T], x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ .

**Claim 2.** For  $\beta > 2C^2$  with  $C = C(M)$  as in (B.1) and for  $\theta > 0$  small enough one has for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$  that  $h(t, x_1, x_2) \geq \inf_{y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1} h(0, y_1, y_2)$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* Assume the opposite. Then there exist  $\hat{t} \in (0, T]$  and  $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$  such that  $h(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = \min_{t \in [0, T], x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1} h(t, x_1, x_2) (< \eta)$ . We infer that at  $(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)$  there holds  $d(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) < h(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) < \eta$  as well as  $\partial_{\hat{t}} h \leq 0$ ,  $\partial_{s_1} h = \partial_{s_2} h = 0$ ,  $\partial_{s_1 s_1}^2 h \geq 0$ ,  $\partial_{s_2 s_2}^2 h \geq 0$  and  $(\partial_{s_1 s_1}^2 h)(\partial_{s_2 s_2}^2 h) - (\partial_{s_1 s_2}^2 h)^2 \geq 0$  (as the latter expression is the determinant of the Hessian in  $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1, \rho(\hat{t}))$ ). Notice first that this implies that  $d(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) > 0$ , i.e.  $\gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) \neq \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2)$ . Indeed,  $d(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = 0$  would imply  $\partial_t d(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = 0$  and with this one would infer the contradiction

$\partial_t h(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = \theta > 0$ . (We remark that in order to obtain  $\partial_t d(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = 0$  in the case of  $\hat{t} = T$  one needs to extend the flow to  $[0, T + \varepsilon)$ ). By (B.2) one has

$$(B.3) \quad \partial_{s_1 s_2}^2 h(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = e^{\beta \hat{t}} \partial_{s_1 s_2}^2 d(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = -2e^{\beta \hat{t}} \langle \partial_{s_2} \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2), \partial_{s_1} \gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) \rangle.$$

Since also  $\partial_{s_1} h(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = \partial_{s_2} h(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = 0$  we have

$$\langle \partial_{s_1} \gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1), \gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) - \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2) \rangle = \langle \partial_{s_2} \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2), \gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) - \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2) \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $T_p M$  is 2-dimensional and  $\gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) \neq \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  this implies

$$\partial_{s_i} \gamma_i(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_i) = \pm J \frac{1}{|\pi_{T_{\gamma_i(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_i)} M}(\gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) - \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2))|} [\pi_{T_{\gamma_i(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_i)} M}(\gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) - \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2))].$$

Thereupon, using (B.1) we find

$$|\partial_{s_1} \gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) \pm \partial_{s_2} \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2)| \leq C\sqrt{d}.$$

The polarization identity yields that

$$|\langle \partial_{s_2} \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2), \partial_{s_1} \gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) \rangle| = \frac{1}{2} \left| |\gamma_1(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1) \pm \gamma_2(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_2)|^2 - 2 \right| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} C^2 d$$

and one infers from (B.3) that

$$|\partial_{s_1 s_2}^2 h| \geq (2 - C^2 d) e^{\beta \hat{t}}.$$

Altogether one obtains (always evaluated at  $(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)$ )

$$(B.4) \quad 0 \geq \partial_t h = \beta e^{\beta \hat{t}} d + e^{\beta \hat{t}} (\partial_t d) + \theta = \beta e^{\beta \hat{t}} d + e^{\beta \hat{t}} (\Delta_{\rho(\hat{t})} d - 4) + \theta.$$

Using that

$$e^{\beta \hat{t}} (\Delta_{\rho(\hat{t})} d) = \partial_{s_1 s_1}^2 h + \partial_{s_2 s_2}^2 h \geq 2\sqrt{\partial_{s_1 s_1}^2 h \partial_{s_2 s_2}^2 h} \geq 2|\partial_{s_1 s_2}^2 h| \geq (4 - 2C^2 d) e^{\beta \hat{t}}$$

we find with (B.4) that  $0 \geq (\beta - 2C^2) e^{\beta \hat{t}} d + \theta \geq \theta > 0$ . A contradiction. Claim 2 follows.

Looking at the limit case  $\theta \rightarrow 0+$  in the statement of Claim 2 we infer that for a fixed  $\beta > 2C^2$  there holds

$$d(t, x_1, x_2) \geq e^{-\beta T} \inf_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1} d(0, x_1, x_2).$$

This finally proves the statement.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

F.R. is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project/Grant P 32788-N. Part of this work was conducted during the *Surfaces22 summer school* in Frauenchiemsee, Germany, in August 2022. The authors are grateful to the organizers for the opportunity to attend and to the Joachim Herz Foundation for covering the expenses.

## REFERENCES

- [1] James C. Alexander, *Closed geodesics on certain surfaces of revolution*, J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. **8** (2006), 1–16. MR2336854
- [2] Herbert Amann and Joachim Escher, *Analysis. III*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009. Translated from the 2001 German original by Silvio Levy and Matthew Cargo. MR2500068
- [3] Matthias Bauer and Ernst Kuwert, *Existence of minimizing Willmore surfaces of prescribed genus*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **10** (2003), 553–576. MR1941840
- [4] Paul F. Byrd and Morris D. Friedman, *Handbook of elliptic integrals for engineers and scientists*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 67, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971. Second edition, revised. MR0277773
- [5] Eugenio Calabi and Jian Guo Cao, *Simple closed geodesics on convex surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **36** (1992), no. 3, 517–549. MR1189495
- [6] Jeff Cheeger, *Finiteness theorems for Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **92** (1970), 61–74. MR263092
- [7] Christopher B. Croke, *Area and the length of the shortest closed geodesic*, J. Differential Geom. **27** (1988), no. 1, 1–21. MR918453
- [8] Christopher B. Croke and Mikhail Katz, *Universal volume bounds in Riemannian manifolds*, Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. VIII (Boston, MA, 2002), 2003, pp. 109–137. MR2039987
- [9] Klaus Deckelnick, Hans-Christoph Grunau, and Matthias Röger, *Minimising a relaxed Willmore functional for graphs subject to boundary conditions*, Interfaces Free Bound. **19** (2017), no. 1, 109–140. MR3665920
- [10] Michael E. Gage, *Curve shortening on surfaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **23** (1990), no. 2, 229–256. MR1046497
- [11] Matthew A. Grayson, *Shortening embedded curves*, Ann. of Math. (2) **129** (1989), no. 1, 71–111. MR979601
- [12] Mikhael Gromov, *Filling Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 1, 1–147. MR697984
- [13] Wilhelm Klingenberg, *Über Riemannsche Mannigfaltigkeiten mit positiver Krümmung*, Comment. Math. Helv. **35** (1961), 47–54. MR139120
- [14] Rob Kusner, *Comparison surfaces for the Willmore problem*, Pacific J. Math. **138** (1989), no. 2, 317–345. MR996204
- [15] John M. Lee, *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 176, Springer, Cham, 2018. Second edition of [MR1468735]. MR3887684
- [16] Peter Li and Shing Tung Yau, *A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), no. 2, 269–291. MR674407
- [17] Fernando C. Marques and André Neves, *Min-max theory and the Willmore conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **179** (2014), no. 2, 683–782. MR3152944
- [18] Peter Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, Third, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 171, Springer, Cham, 2016. MR3469435
- [19] Jon T. Pitts, *Existence and regularity of minimal surfaces on Riemannian manifolds*, Mathematical Notes, vol. 27, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1981. MR626027
- [20] Tristan Rivière, *Lipschitz conformal immersions from degenerating Riemann surfaces with  $L^2$ -bounded second fundamental forms*, Adv. Calc. Var. **6** (2013), no. 1, 1–31. MR3008339
- [21] Regina Rotman, *The length of a shortest closed geodesic and the area of a 2-dimensional sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 10, 3041–3047. MR2231630
- [22] Leon Simon, *Existence of surfaces minimizing the Willmore functional*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **1** (1993), no. 2, 281–326. MR1243525
- [23] Tatiana Toro, *Surfaces with generalized second fundamental form in  $L^2$  are Lipschitz manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), no. 1, 65–101. MR1258915
- [24] Alexander Volkman, *A monotonicity formula for free boundary surfaces with respect to the unit ball*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **24** (2016), no. 1, 195–221. MR3514558
- [25] Thomas J. Willmore, *Riemannian geometry*, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. MR1261641

(M. Müller) ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, 79104 FREIBURG, GERMANY.  
*Email address:* `marius.mueller@math.uni-freiburg.de`

(F. Rupp) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA.  
*Email address:* `fabian.rupp@univie.ac.at`

(C. Scharer) INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BONN, ENDENICHER ALLEE 60, 53115 BONN, GERMANY.  
*Email address:* `scharrer@iam.uni-bonn.de`