

TOWARDS A CLASSIFICATION OF UNITARY ELEMENTS OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

LAURENT CANTIER

ABSTRACT. In [6] the author conjectures and partially shows that the Cuntz semigroup classifies unitary elements of unital AF-algebras. We provide a complete proof by addressing the existence part of the conjecture, under a mild adjustment of both domain and codomain of the functor Cu . We also tackle the classification beyond the AF case and more particularly, we look at unitary elements of what we call AH_1 -algebras. We obtain positive progress as far as the existence part is concern. Nevertheless, we reveal some crucial information needed for the uniqueness part of the classification that the Cuntz semigroup fails to capture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Classification of C^* -algebras is a vast and long-standing field in operator algebras. A powerful and modern approach, consisting in classifying $*$ -homomorphisms has yielded many deep classification results, e.g. [23], [15], or [18, 19]. Most noticeable results ought to be the completion of the Elliott's conjecture where many hands have completed the classification of a large class within the simple \mathcal{Z} -stable setting in terms K -theory and traces, see [27] for a general overview, along with the classification of (non-simple) unital one dimensional NCCW-complexes with trivial K_1 -group and their inductive limits by means of Cuntz semigroup. The latter result might well be the most advanced, although underrated classification result in the non-simple setting. It was obtained by Robert in [23], and the main proof is based on the previous work done by Ciuperca and Elliott in [11] followed by the work of Robert and Santiago in [24] where they engage the classification of $*$ -homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}_0([0, 1])$ to any C^* -algebra of stable rank one in terms of Cuntz semigroup. Uniqueness part of the classification is done in [11] and improved in [24] while the existence part of the classification is achieved in [24]. Subsequently, Robert was able to expand the domain $\mathcal{C}_0([0, 1])$ to the class of direct sums one dimensional NCCW-complexes with trivial K_1 -group and their inductive limits. (The non-unital case is dealt with an augmented version of the Cuntz semigroup.) As a corollary, he immediately concludes a complete classification of the latter class of C^* -algebras, which includes AI-algebras, that follows from the now well-known approximate intertwining argument. Natural questions are arising: can we go beyond the trivial K_1 -group hypothesis? In particular, could we apply a similar procedure to classify e.g. AT -algebras? A first step towards this direction would consist in classifying $*$ -homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ to distinct classes of C^* -algebras. A main obstruction is immediately arising if our candidate is again the Cuntz semigroup alone and our codomain class is somewhat too large. This has been illustrated in many situations (e.g. in [7]) and some kind of K_1 -information or its Hausdorffized version will be needed. Note that these questions have also been raised in [16, Question 16.7].

Key words and phrases. C^* -algebras, unitary elements, Cuntz semigroup, de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant.

The author was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Universities and the European Union-NextGenerationEU through a Margarita Salas grant and partially supported by the project PID2020-113047GB-I00.

This paper is meant to serve as a starting point for this new and promising investigation. The results we obtain are multiple. We first focus on a well-chosen codomain class, namely the unital AF-algebras and we complete the classification of unitary elements of these C^* -algebras by means of the Cuntz semigroup following up the work done in [6]. In other words, we show that the Cuntz semigroup classifies $*$ -homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ to any unital AF-algebra A . As a matter of fact, we need a mild adjustment of the functor $\text{Cu}: C_{\text{wsp}}^* \rightarrow \text{Cu}_{\text{wsp}}$, that we now define from the category (unital) C^* -algebras with (unital) weakly semiprojective $*$ -homomorphisms to the category of Cu-semigroups and what we call *weakly semiprojective Cu-morphisms*, to prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let A be a unital AF-algebra. Let $\alpha: \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$ be a scaled weakly semiprojective Cu-morphism. Then there exists a unitary element u in A , unique up to approximate unitary equivalence, such that $\alpha = \text{Cu}(\phi_u)$.*

As a consequence, $\text{Cu}: C_{\text{wsp}}^ \rightarrow \text{Cu}_{\text{wsp}}$ classifies unitary elements of unital AF-algebras.*

Note that throughout the paper, we speak about classification of unitary elements instead of $*$ -homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$, due to the bijective correspondence between unitary elements in a C^* -algebra A and $\text{Hom}_{C^*}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}), A)$. See more details below. Let us mention that, at the time of writing, it is not known nor clear whether any abstract Cu-morphism $\alpha: \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$ is automatically weakly semiprojective whenever A is ‘nice enough’ e.g., A is an AF-algebra.

Subsequently, we naturally go beyond the AF case. However, we exhibit a couple of examples which provide obstructions to the uniqueness part of the classification and suggest which extra-information should be added to the Cuntz semigroup to obtain satisfactory results. We gather them in the following theorem, but the the reader should be aware that they will appear in separate instances since their nature and purpose are quite different.

Theorem. *Let A be either the Jiang-Su algebra \mathcal{Z} or $\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes M_{2^\infty}$ where X is any one-dimensional compact CW-complex. Then there exists two unitary elements u, v in A , i.e. two $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi_u, \phi_v: \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow A$ such that $\text{Cu}(\phi_u) = \text{Cu}(\phi_v)$ and yet $\phi_u \not\sim_{\text{aue}} \phi_v$.*

We highlight that the above examples illustrate that even in a slightly larger codomain where there is no K_1 -information, such as AI-algebra, the Cuntz semigroup alone fails to classify unitary elements. More specifically, the information employed to distinguish these $*$ -homomorphisms lies within the de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant which is related to the Hausdorffized algebraic K_1 -group and hence finer than usual K_1 -group. We still have a look at the existence part of the classification and we obtain satisfactory results since we are able to approximately lift any abstract Cu-morphism, up to arbitrary precision for a large class of unital C^* -algebras. We even get the following result, even though, current methods do not suffice to conclude to an actual lift, as it would require to have a uniqueness result.

Theorem. *Let A be a unital AH_1 -algebra. Let $\alpha: \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$ be a scaled weakly semiprojective Cu-morphism.*

Then there exists a sequence of unitary elements $(u_n)_n$ in A such that $(\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n}))_n$ is Cauchy and converges towards α with respect to dd_{Cu} .

Finally, we also obtain another uniqueness result by means of the Cuntz semigroup in the real rank zero, stable rank one and trivial K_1 -group setting. We end the manuscript with some open questions and partial leads towards future classification results.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank L. Robert for many fruitful discussions and the conception of both of the examples providing obstructions outside the AF setting, and also the proofreader for comments that have improved the redaction of the manuscript.

2. PRELIMINARIES

• **Unitary elements - Classification of *-homomorphisms.** Let us first establish some details about the classification of *-homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$. There is a bijective correspondence between the set $\mathcal{U}(A)$ of unitary elements of a (unital) C^* -algebra A and the set $\text{Hom}_{C^*,1}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}), A)$ of unital *-homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ to A , defined through functional calculus. More specifically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathcal{U}(A) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{C^*,1}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}), A) \\ u &\longmapsto \phi_u \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_u(f) := f(u)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$. (We remark that whenever a unitary u has a spectrum strictly contained in \mathbb{T} then this induces a map $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{sp } u)$, and thus there is no need to specify the spectrum of u when defining the above bijective correspondence.)

Let A, B be unital C^* -algebras and let $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$. We say that ϕ is *approximately unitarily equivalent* to ψ and we write $\phi \sim_{\text{aue}} \psi$ if, there exists a sequence of unitary elements $(u_n)_n$ in B such that $u_n \phi(x) u_n^* \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x)$ for all $x \in A$.

Let $F : C^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor. We say that F *classifies *-homomorphisms from A to B* if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(A), F(B)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{C^*}(A, B) / \sim_{\text{aue}}$. The injectivity of the latter map is often referred to as the *uniqueness* part of the classification, while the surjectivity is often referred to as the *existence* part of the classification.

In our context, we shall say that a functor F *classifies unitary elements of A* whenever F classifies unital *-homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ to A . We may naturally say that F *distinguishes unitary elements of A* whenever we (only) have the uniqueness part of the classification.

• **Cuntz semigroups - Distance between morphisms.** The Cuntz semigroup has been introduced in [13] and has been a powerful tool for classification. We refer the reader [16] for a state of the art survey on the matter where they shall find all the basics they might need. (The reader can also check the preliminaries of e.g. [4],[5] or [7].) Another fundamental and complete paper can be found in [1] and recent important work in [2]. Let us briefly remind the basic definitions and a specific property regarding the category Cu .

2.1. Let (S, \leq) be an ordered monoid and let x, y in S . We say that x is *way-below* y and we write $x \ll y$ if, for all increasing sequences $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in S that have a supremum, if $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} z_n \geq y$, then there exists k such that $z_k \geq x$. This is an auxiliary relation on S called the *way-below relation* or the *compact-containment relation*. In particular $x \ll y$ implies $x \leq y$ and we say that x is a *compact element* whenever $x \ll x$.

We say that S is an *abstract Cuntz semigroup*, or a Cu -semigroup, if S satisfies the above axioms.

(O1) Every increasing sequence of elements in S has a supremum.

(O2) For any $x \in S$, there exists a \ll -increasing sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in S such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n = x$.

(O3) Addition and the compact containment relation are compatible.

(O4) Addition and suprema of increasing sequences are compatible.

We say that a map $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$ is a Cu-morphism if α is an ordered monoid morphism preserving the compact-containment relation and suprema of increasing sequences.

As far as the manuscript is concerned, we recall some facts on the comparison of Cu-morphisms and more specifically on Cu-morphisms with domain $\text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \simeq \text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}))$. A metric on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}), T)$ has been introduced in e.g. [20] as follows.

2.2 (Cu-metric). For any two Cu-morphisms $\alpha, \beta : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow T$ we define

$$d_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) := \inf \left\{ r > 0 \mid \forall U \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}), \alpha(1_U) \leq \beta(1_{U_r}) \text{ and } \beta(1_U) \leq \alpha(1_{U_r}) \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}) := \{\text{Open sets of } \mathbb{T}\}$ and $U_r := \bigcup_{x \in U} B_r(x)$. If the infimum does not exist, we set the value to ∞ . This defines metric that we refer to as *the Cu-metric*.

On the other hand, a topologically equivalent semi-metric has been introduced in [6]. To define it, we first need to introduce the abstract notion of comparison of Cu-morphisms.

Definition 2.3. [6, Definition 3.9] Let S, T be Cu-semigroups and let $\Lambda \subseteq S$. Consider maps $\alpha, \beta : \Lambda \rightarrow T$ preserving the order and the compact-containment relation.

(i) We say that α and β *compare on* Λ and we write $\alpha \underset{\Lambda}{\simeq} \beta$ if, for any $g, h \in \Lambda$ such that $g \ll h$ (in S), we have that $\alpha(g) \leq \beta(h)$ and $\beta(g) \leq \alpha(h)$.

(ii) We say that α and β *strictly compare on* Λ and we write $\alpha \underset{\Lambda}{\approx} \beta$ if, for any $g, h \in \Lambda$ such that $g \ll h$ (in S), we have that $\alpha(g) \ll \beta(h)$ and $\beta(g) \ll \alpha(h)$.

2.4 (Discrete Cu-semimetric). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(x_k)_0^{2^n}$ be an equidistant partition of \mathbb{T} of size $\frac{1}{2^n}$ with $x_0 = x_{2^n}$. For any $k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}$, we define the open interval $U_k :=]x_{k-1}; x_k[$ of \mathbb{T} . It is immediate to see that $\{\overline{U_k}\}_1^{2^n}$ is a (finite) closed cover of \mathbb{T} . Now define

$$\Lambda_n := \{f \in \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \{0, 1\}) \mid f|_{U_k} \text{ is constant for any } k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}\}.$$

For any two Cu-morphisms $\alpha, \beta : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow T$ we define

$$dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \mid \alpha \underset{\Lambda_n}{\simeq} \beta \right\}.$$

If the infimum does not exist, we set the value to ∞ . We refer to dd_{Cu} as the *discrete Cu-semimetric*.

Throughout the paper, we will make use of the discrete Cu-semimetric for practical reasons. We recall that these two metrics are topologically equivalent as shown in [6, Proposition 5.5]. More specifically, one has that $dd_{\text{Cu}} \leq d_{\text{Cu}} \leq 2dd_{\text{Cu}}$ and hence, dd_{Cu} satisfies the 2-relaxed triangle inequality, in the sense that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \gamma) \leq 2(dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) + dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta, \gamma))$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}), T)$ where T is any Cu-semigroup.

Furthermore, for any two unitary elements u, v of a unital C^* -algebra A , one always have

$$d_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_u), \text{Cu}(\phi_v)), dd_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_u), \text{Cu}(\phi_v)) \leq d_U(\phi_u, \phi_v)$$

where $d_U(\phi_u, \phi_v) := \inf_{w \in \mathcal{U}(A)} \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})} \|w\phi_u(f)w^* - \phi_v(f)\|$.

The (proof of the) uniqueness result obtained in [6] roughly shows that converse holds whenever A is a unital AF-algebra. More particularly, it stipulates that Cu distinguishes unitary elements of unital AF-algebras. (See [6, Corollary 5.12].)

We take the opportunity to remark that the proof relies on [6, Lemma 5.7] that has been proven for inductive sequences (instead of inductive systems) and hence the result should be narrowed down to the separable case. Nevertheless, [6, Lemma 5.7] can be extended to any inductive systems by a similar proof using the following characterization of inductive limits in the category Cu .

Proposition 2.5. (See e.g. [26, Lemma 3.8].) *Consider an inductive system $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in I}$ in Cu . Then a cocone $(S, \sigma_{i\infty})_i$ for $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in I}$ is the inductive limit if and only if it satisfies the following properties:*

(L1) *For any $s, t \in S$ with $s \ll t$, there exists $s_i \in S_i$ for some $i \in I$ such that $s \ll \sigma_{i\infty}(s_i) \ll t$.*

(L2) *Let s, t be elements in S_i such that $\sigma_{i\infty}(s) \leq \sigma_{i\infty}(t)$. For any $s' \ll s$, there exists $j \geq i$ such that $\sigma_{ij}(s') \ll \sigma_{ij}(t)$.*

We end these preliminaries with some basic facts about the de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant introduced in [14] that will play a key role in providing obstructions.

• **De la Harpe-Skandalis determinant.** We refer the reader to [14] for details on this determinant and we briefly recall some facts that will be needed later on. For a unital C^* -algebra A , we denote the subgroup of A generated by additive commutators $[a, b] := ab - ba$ by $[A, A]$ and we define the *universal trace on A* to be the quotient map $\text{Tr} : A \rightarrow A/[A, A]$. We extend this (continuous) tracial linear map to $M_\infty(A)$ in a canonical way that we also denote $\text{Tr} : M_\infty(A) \rightarrow A/[A, A]$. Now for any smooth path $\xi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Gl}_\infty^0(A)$, we define

$$\hat{\Delta}_{\text{Tr}}(\xi) := \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_0^1 \text{Tr}(\xi'(t)\xi^{-1}(t))dt$$

and the application $\hat{\Delta}_{\text{Tr}}$ naturally induces a group morphism $\underline{\text{Tr}} : \text{K}_0(A) \rightarrow A/[A, A]$ by sending $[e] \mapsto \text{Tr}(e)$ for any projection $e \in M_\infty(A)$. (This is done via the identification $\text{K}_0(A) \simeq \pi_1(\text{Gl}_\infty^0(A))$.) We finally get a group morphism called the *de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant* as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{Tr}} : \text{Gl}_\infty^0(A) &\rightarrow \text{coker}(\underline{\text{Tr}}) \\ u &\mapsto [\hat{\Delta}_{\text{Tr}}(\xi_u)] \end{aligned}$$

where ξ_u is any smooth path connecting the identity to u . In particular, $\Delta_{\text{Tr}}(e^{2i\pi h}) = [\text{Tr}(h)]$ for any self-adjoint $h \in M_\infty(A)$. Note that the above construction can be done for any tracial state $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, but we will not need such constructions. We end this part by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Let $u, v \in A$ be unitary elements connected to the identity. Then $\Delta_{\text{Tr}}(u) = \Delta_{\text{Tr}}(v)$ whenever $u \sim_{\text{aue}} v$.*

Proof. Observe that for any unitary element $w \in A$, the element uwu^*u^* belongs to the subgroup $DU(A)$ of $U(A)$ generated by multiplicative commutators $(u, v) := uvu^{-1}v^{-1}$. Moreover using a well-known trick, namely writing $\begin{pmatrix} uvu^{-1}v^{-1} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & \\ & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & \\ & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} & \\ & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v^{-1} & \\ & v \end{pmatrix}$ we deduce that $DU(A) \subseteq DU_0(M_3(A)) \subseteq \text{DGl}_\infty^0(A)$.

Now let $(w_n)_n$ be a sequence of unitary elements in A such that $w_n u w_n^* \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} v$. Then $\lim_n w_n u w_n^* u^*$ belongs to $\overline{DU(A)} \subseteq \overline{\text{DGl}_\infty^0(A)} = \ker \Delta_{\text{Tr}}$. (The second step is given by [14, Proposition 4].) Therefore $\Delta_{\text{Tr}}(\lim_n w_n u w_n^* u^*) = 0$, i.e. $\Delta_{\text{Tr}}(\lim_n w_n u w_n^*) + \Delta_{\text{Tr}}(u^*) = 0$ from which we conclude $\Delta_{\text{Tr}}(v) = \Delta_{\text{Tr}}(u)$. \square

To do so, we first deduce from the construction of u that $\alpha(1_{U_k}) = \beta(1_{U_k})$ and $\alpha(1_{V_k}) = \beta(1_{V_k})$ for any $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$. Now let W be an open connected arc of the form $W := U_l \cup (\bigcup_{k=l+1}^{r-1} \overline{U_k}) \cup U_r$. We have

$$1_W + \left(\sum_{k=l+1}^{r-1} 1_{U_k} \right) = \sum_{k=l}^{r-1} 1_{V_k} \text{ in } \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}).$$

Applying the Cu-morphisms α and β , we get the following equalities in \mathbb{N}

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(1_W) + \sum_{l+1}^{r-1} \alpha(1_{U_k}) = \sum_l^{r-1} \alpha(1_{V_k}). \\ \beta(1_W) + \sum_{l+1}^{r-1} \beta(1_{U_k}) = \sum_l^{r-1} \beta(1_{V_k}). \end{cases}$$

Since α and β agree on each V_k , we deduce that

$$\alpha(1_W) + \sum_{l+1}^{r-1} \alpha(1_{U_k}) = \beta(1_W) + \sum_{l+1}^{r-1} \beta(1_{U_k}) \text{ in } \mathbb{N}.$$

Finally, using cancellation in \mathbb{N} and the fact that α and β also agree on each U_k , we conclude that $\alpha(1_W) = \beta(1_W)$. In other words, α and β agree on each $g \in \Lambda_n$ with connected support. Therefore, we deduce that $d' = d = [1_B]$ and that α and β agree on Λ_n . \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let B be a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra. Let $\alpha : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(B)$ be a Cu-morphism such that $\alpha(1_{\mathbb{T}}) = [1_B]$.*

Then there exists a unitary element u in B , unique up to approximate unitary equivalence, such that $\alpha = \text{Cu}(\phi_u)$.

Proof. We only have to prove the existence part of the statement. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We know that there exists a unitary element u_n in B such that $\beta_n := \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n}) \underset{\Lambda_n}{\simeq} \alpha$. We obtain a sequence $(u_n)_n$ of unitary elements in B and for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq m$, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \beta_m) &\leq 2(dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \alpha) + dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta_m)) \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^m}\right) \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness result obtain in [6, Theorem 5.11], we deduce that there exists a unitary element $w_{n,m}$ such that $\|w_{n,m}u_nw_{n,m}^* - u_m\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$. We deduce that $d_U(u_n, u_m) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$, i.e. the sequence $(u_n)_n$ is Cauchy with respect to the metric d_U . On the other hand, it is well-known (at least, not hard to prove) that the set of unitary elements of a unital C^* -algebra is complete with respect to d_U . Therefore, the sequence $(u_n)_n$ converges towards a unitary element $u \in B$. Let us write $\beta := \text{Cu}(\phi_u)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) &\leq 2(dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta_n) + dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \beta)) \\ &\leq 2dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta_n) + 2d_U(u_n, u) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + 2d_U(u_n, u) \end{aligned}$$

and we easily see that the right side of the inequality tends to zero as n goes to ∞ , which shows that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$, or equivalently, that $\alpha = \beta$. \square

The next step is to pass existence results that we have obtained to inductive limits. One could hope that a similar method as done for uniqueness in [6] via a factorization result analogous to [6, Lemma 5.7] would be satisfactory. Nevertheless, we do not know whether an abstract Cu-morphism $\alpha: \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow \varinjlim S_i$ can be approximated by a factorization through a finite stage. (Whereas for concrete Cu-morphisms, this was made possible by the fact that unitary elements of $\varinjlim A_i$ can be approximated by unitary elements of a finite stage, or equivalently, that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ is semiprojective.) As a consequence, it is relevant to define a *weak semiprojectivity property* for Cu-morphisms analogous to that of weak semiprojectivity for *-homomorphisms. Let us mention that we have been inspired by the C^* -version exposed in [25, Definition 2.1 - Remark 2.6].

Definition 3.3. Let S, T be Cu-semigroups. We say that a Cu-morphism $\alpha: S \longrightarrow T$ is *weakly semiprojective* if, for any inductive system (V_i, ν_{ij}) in Cu and any Cu-morphism $\tau: T \longrightarrow \varinjlim(V_i, \nu_{ij})$ and any finite set $F \subseteq S$, there exists a finite index i_F and a Cu-morphism $\alpha_F: S \longrightarrow V_{i_F}$ such that $\nu_{i_F \infty} \circ \alpha_F \simeq_F \tau \circ \alpha$.

In other words, the following diagram approximately commutes within F

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \alpha_F & \dashrightarrow V_{i_F} \\ & \simeq_F & \downarrow \nu_{i_F \infty} \\ S & \xrightarrow{\alpha} T & \xrightarrow{\tau} \varinjlim(V_i, \nu_{ij}) \end{array}$$

We immediately deduce the following theorem from the latter property together with Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A be a unital AF-algebra. Let $\alpha: \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$ be a weakly semiprojective Cu-morphism such that $\alpha(1_{\mathbb{T}}) = [1_A]$.*

Then there exists a unitary element u in A , unique up to approximate unitary equivalence, such that $\alpha = \text{Cu}(\phi_u)$.

Proof. We know that there is an inductive system of finite dimensional C^* -algebras such that $(A, \phi_{i\infty})_i := \varinjlim(A_i, \phi_{ij})$. We conveniently write $S_i := \text{Cu}(A_i)$, $\sigma_{ij} := \text{Cu}(\phi_{ij})$ and $\sigma_{i\infty} := \text{Cu}(\phi_{i\infty})$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the weak semiprojectivity of α , we can find $\alpha_n: \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow S_{i_n}$ such that $\sigma_{i_n \infty} \circ \alpha_n \simeq_{\Lambda_n} \alpha$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists a unitary element u_n in A_{i_n} such that $\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n}) \simeq_{\Lambda_n} \alpha_n$. Even more so, we know that $\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n})$ and α_n agree on Λ_n . This implies that $\sigma_{i_n \infty} \circ \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n}) \simeq_{\Lambda_n} \alpha$. Write $v_n := \phi_{i_n \infty}(u_n)$ and $\beta_n := \text{Cu}(\phi(v_n))$. Then $(v_n)_n$ is a sequence of unitary elements of A and we have $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$. We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \beta_m) &\leq 2(dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \alpha) + dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta_m)) \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^m}\right) \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing similarly as in the proof of Corollary 3.2, it follows from the uniqueness result obtain in [6, Theorem 5.11] that the sequence $(v_n)_n$ is Cauchy with respect to d_U and hence, converges towards a unitary element $v \in A$. We also similarly compute that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \text{Cu}(\phi_v)) \leq 2dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta_n) + 2d_U(v_n, v) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and we conclude that $\text{Cu}(\phi_v) = \alpha$. \square

We now have all the tools to complete the classification of unitary elements of unital AF-algebras by mean of (a mild reformulation of) the Cuntz semigroup. More particularly, we will precise new suitable domain and codomain to formally obtain the classification result by the reformulated functor, that we also write Cu .

[The category C_{wsp}^*] Recall the definition of weakly semiprojective C^* -algebras and $*$ -homomorphisms from [25, §2.1 - Remark 2.6]. By definition, the identity morphism on a C^* -algebra A is weakly semiprojective if and only if A is weakly semiprojective. Therefore, in order to construct a suitable subcategory, we need to ‘manually add’ identity morphisms whenever A is not weakly semiprojective.

Let us consider the category $C_{\text{wsp}}^* \subseteq C^*$ consisting of C^* -algebras together with weakly semiprojective $*$ -homomorphisms and the identity morphisms on each object.

That C_{wsp}^* is a subcategory follows from the fact the composition of weakly semiprojective $*$ -homomorphisms is again weakly semiprojective (and identity morphisms do not cause any particular issue). We finally remark that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ is (weakly) semiprojective, i.e., $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})}$ is weakly semiprojective and we deduce that $\text{Hom}_{C_{\text{wsp}}^*}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}), A) = \text{Hom}_{C^*}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}), A)$ for any $A \in C^*$.

[The category Cu_{wsp}] Recall the definition of a weakly semiprojective Cu -morphism from Definition 3.3. Let us mention that it is not clear that the identity morphism on $\text{Cu}(A)$ is weakly semiprojective even if A is weakly semiprojective and it is certainly not true in the general abstract setting. Again, in order to construct a suitable subcategory, we need to ‘manually add’ identity morphisms.

Let us consider the category $\text{Cu}_{\text{wsp}} \subseteq \text{Cu}$ consisting of Cu -semigroups together with weakly semiprojective Cu -morphisms and the identity morphisms on each object.

That Cu_{wsp} is a subcategory follows from the fact the composition of weakly semiprojective Cu -morphisms is again weakly semiprojective (and identity morphisms do not cause any particular issue). That the image of C_{wsp}^* by Cu lies in Cu_{wsp} is readily checked.

[The restricted functor Cu] As a result, we can restrict the functor $\text{Cu}: C_{\text{wsp}}^* \longrightarrow \text{Cu}_{\text{wsp}}$ and we obtain an immediate corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let A be a unital AF-algebra. Then the (scaled) functor $\text{Cu}: C_{\text{wsp}}^* \longrightarrow \text{Cu}_{\text{wsp}}$ classifies unitary elements of unital AF-algebras.*

Proof. By (weak) semiprojectivity of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$, we have that $\text{Hom}_{C_{\text{wsp}}^*}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}), A) = \text{Hom}_{C^*}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}), A)$ for any C^* -algebra and in particular for any AF-algebra and the result follows from Theorem 3.4. \square

Remark 3.6. (i) A theory of (weakly) semiprojective Cu -semigroups could be develop further, yet it does not lie in the scope of the paper and hence, we do not pursue this idea here. Nevertheless, it should be mentioned that $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}))$ will a priori not be (weakly) semiprojective even though $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ is. A more interesting question is: when is $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}), \text{Cu}(A)) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}_{\text{wsp}}}(\text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}), \text{Cu}(A))$?

(ii) In the simple setting, one could use classification machinery (exposed e.g. [10]) to deduce that the ‘unrestricted’ functor $\text{Cu}: C^* \longrightarrow \text{Cu}$ classifies unitary elements of unital simple AF-algebras.

4. UNITARY ELEMENTS OF AH_1 -ALGEBRAS

In the sequel, we are interested in matrix algebras of continuous functions over any compact 1-dimensional CW-complex, their direct sums and their inductive limits. These C^* -algebras are precisely the inductive limits of (direct sums of) homogeneous C^* -algebras with one-dimensional spectrum. Therefore, we refer to the latter class of C^* -algebras as AH_1 -algebras. Observe that AH_1 -algebras contain AF, AI and AT -algebras and always have a torsion-free K_1 -group. We divide this section in two parts. We first provide an obstruction to uniqueness by exhibiting two unitary elements in $\mathcal{C}([0, 1]) \otimes M_{2^\infty}$ that agree at level of Cu and yet, fail to be approximately unitarily equivalent. In a second time, we dig into existence part of the classification. We show some satisfactory results, namely that any scaled Cu -morphism $\alpha : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$, where A is any AH_1 -algebra, can be approximately lifted up to arbitrary precision. By introducing a notion of *Cauchy sequences*, we are able to improve the latter result by exposing a Cauchy sequence $(\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n}))_n$ of concrete Cu -semigroup converging towards α with respect to dd_{Cu} . However, we are not able to achieve an existence result without invoking a uniqueness argument, which does not hold in this case as shown by the following example.

4.A. Obstruction to Uniqueness. Let $A := \mathcal{C}([0, 1]) \otimes M_{2^\infty}$. In order to define the desired unitary elements of A , we first construct a unitary element w of M_{2^∞} . Recall that M_{2^∞} is constructed as the inductive limit of the inductive sequence $(M_{2^n}, \phi_{nm})_n$ where $\phi_{nn+1} : M_{2^n} \rightarrow M_{2^{n+1}}$ sends $a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a \end{pmatrix}$. Now for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider a unitary element in M_{2^n} defined by

$$w_n := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & e^{2i\pi/n} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & e^{2i\pi(n-1)/n} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is not hard to compute that $d_U(w_m, \phi_{nm}(w_n)) \leq 1/2^n - 1/2^m$. (Roughly speaking, allowing unitary equivalence allows to re-arrange the diagonal terms.) We deduce that the sequence $(\phi_{n\infty}(w_n))_n$ is Cauchy with respect to the metric d_U . Similarly as before, we know that the set of unitary elements of M_{2^∞} is complete with respect to d_U . Therefore, the latter sequence converges towards a unitary $w \in M_{2^\infty}$ whose spectrum is \mathbb{T} . We now define unitary elements of A as follows

$$u := 1_{[0,1]} \otimes w \quad \text{and} \quad v := e^{2i\pi \text{id}_{[0,1]}} \otimes w$$

We first aim to show that u and v agree at level of Cu . To do so, we introduce for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u_n := 1_{[0,1]} \otimes \phi_{n\infty}(w_n) \quad \text{and} \quad v_n := e^{2i\pi \text{id}_{[0,1]}} \otimes \phi_{n\infty}(w_n)$$

For notational purposes, let us write $\alpha := \text{Cu}(\phi_u)$ and $\beta := \text{Cu}(\phi_v)$. Respectively $\alpha_n := \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n})$ and $\beta_n := \text{Cu}(\phi_{v_n})$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We highlight that for an open set U of \mathbb{T} , the image of the indicator map 1_U by α_n, β_n evaluated at some $t \in [0, 1]$ corresponds to the number of eigenvalues of u_n, v_n contained in the ‘space’ $U \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ at ‘time’ $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, we compute that for $g, h \in \Lambda_n$ such that $g \neq 1_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $g \ll h$, and any $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_n(g)(0) = \beta_n(g)(0) \\ \alpha_n(g)(t) \leq \alpha_n(g)(0) + k \leq \alpha_n(h)(t) \\ \beta_n(g)(t) \leq \beta_n(g)(0) + k \leq \beta_n(h)(t) \end{cases}$$

where k is the number of connected components of $\text{supp } g$. This leads to

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_n(g)(t) \leq \beta_n(h)(t) \\ \beta_n(g)(t) \leq \alpha_n(h)(t) \end{cases}$$

For the particular case $g = 1_{\mathbb{T}}$, we have $\alpha_n(g)(t) = \beta_n(g)(t) = 2^n$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. It follows that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \leq 1/2^n$. In the end, we have computed that

$$d_U(u_n, u), d_U(v_n, v), dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \leq 1/2^n.$$

from which we conclude $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$. Equivalently u and v agree at level of Cu . However, it seems almost inevitable that they are not close with respect to d_U . The intuition behind the fact that u and v are far apart with respect to d_U relies on the fact that v has ‘movement’ induced by $\text{id}_{[0,1]}$, while u ‘stays put’ due to $1_{[0,1]}$. This ‘movement information’ can be captured by the de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant. We recall that A is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], M_{2^\infty})$. It follows that $A/\overline{[A, A]}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], M_{2^\infty}/\overline{[M_{2^\infty}, M_{2^\infty}]})$. From now on, we abusively use this identifications, in the sense that we picture elements of A as continuous functions from X to M_{2^∞} . (Similarly for elements of $A/\overline{[A, A]}$.) On the other hand, it is well-known that M_{2^∞} has a unique trace τ_M which happens to be the universal trace on M_{2^∞} . A fortiori $M_{2^\infty}/\overline{[M_{2^\infty}, M_{2^\infty}]} \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, the universal trace Tr on A is sending any $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], M_{2^\infty})$ to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(f) : [0, 1] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ t &\longmapsto \tau_M(f(t)) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], M_{2^\infty})$ is a projection if (and only if) f is constant with value a projection of M_{2^∞} . We deduce that $K_0(A) \simeq K_0(M_{2^\infty}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. We hence compute that the de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant Δ_{Tr} for A has codomain $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$.

Finally, observe that there exists a self-adjoint element $h \in M_{2^\infty}$ such that $e^{2i\pi h} = w$. (Take the limit of the Cauchy sequence $\phi_{n^\infty}(\text{diag}_n(1, 1/n, \dots, (n-1)/n))$.) We compute that

$$\Delta_{\text{Tr}}(u) : t \longmapsto [\tau_M(h)]_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\text{Tr}}(v) : t \longmapsto [\tau_M(h) + t]_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}$$

It is now obvious that u and v have distinct de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant which implies that they are not approximately unitarily equivalent. (See Proposition 2.6.)

We remark that similar examples can be easily constructed in $\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes M_{2^\infty}$, for any other compact 1-dimensional CW complex X .

4.B. On the path towards Existence. We focus now on the existence part of the classification. Namely, given a unital AH_1 -algebra A , we seek to know whether any (scaled) Cu -morphism $\alpha : \text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})) \longrightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$ lifts to a $*$ -homomorphism $\phi_\alpha : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow A$. As for the AF -case, we first have a look at ‘building blocks’. In other words, we consider C^* -algebras B that are (finite) direct sums of matrix algebras of the form $M_d(\mathcal{C}(X))$, where X is any compact 1-dimensional CW complex. Our strategy roughly consists in splitting X into (finitely many) pieces on which the restriction of the abstract Cu -morphism ‘behaves’ as in the finite dimensional case. We then use the previous lifting result on each of the pieces and obtain (finitely many) constant matrices with entries in \mathbb{T} . Subsequently, we ought to carefully connect continuously each of these matrices to build a unitary element of B with the desired properties.

Before diving into the main proof, let us present a couple of lemmas that will be of use when cutting X into pieces and glueing continuously the matrices associated to each piece.

Notation 4.1. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space.

(i) Let U be an (open) set in X . For any $\delta > 0$ we write $U_\delta := \bigcup_{x \in U} \mathcal{B}(x, \delta)$. We also write $\text{int}_\delta(U)$ to be the largest open set V contained in U such that $V_\delta \subseteq U$. Note that for $\dim X = 1$, we always have $(\text{int}_\delta(U))_\delta = U$.

(ii) Let $f \in \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$. Recall that the chain-decomposition of f is given by $(1_{f^{-1}(\cdot]_{n, \infty}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and we denote it by $\text{dcp}_{\text{ch}}(f)$. (See [6, Section 4] for more details.) In the sequel, it will be convenient to reindex it as follows: $\text{dcp}_{\text{ch}}(f) = (1_{W_n})_{n \geq 1}$ where $W_n^f := f^{-1}(\cdot]_{n-1, \infty})$ for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, we get that $f|_{(W_i^f \setminus W_{i+1}^f)} = i$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a compact 1-dimensional CW complex and let f_1, \dots, f_p be elements in $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})_{\ll}$. Then there exists a (maximal) finite closed cover $\{\overline{T}_l\}_1^m$ of X such that the restrictions $f_i|_{T_l}$ are constant for all $1 \leq i \leq p$ and all $1 \leq l \leq m$.*

Proof. Recall that each f_i has a unique chain-decomposition $\text{dcp}_{\text{ch}}(f_i) = (W_1^i, \dots, W_{m_i}^i)$ where $m_i = \max_{t \in X} \{f_i(t)\} < \infty$. We need to take into account all the possible values taken simultaneously by all the f_i . To do so, we consider the finite set $\mathcal{Q} := \{(l_1, \dots, l_p)\}$ of p -tuples whose i -th coordinate belongs to $\{0, \dots, m_i\}$. We point out that $\text{card}(\mathcal{Q}) := \prod_{i=1}^p (m_i + 1)$ and thus we can index the elements of \mathcal{Q} . Let (l_1, \dots, l_p) to be the l -th element of \mathcal{Q} . We define the open set T_l of X associated to (l_1, \dots, l_p) as follows:

$$T_l := \bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{int}(W_{l_i-1}^i \setminus W_{l_i}^i) \text{ where } W_0^i := \text{int}(X \setminus W_1^i).$$

By construction, we have that $f_i|_{T_l} = l_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$. Also that T_l is maximal, in the sense that for any other open set T' such that $f_i|_{T'} = l_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$, then $T' \subseteq T_l$. Consequently, we deduce that $\{\overline{T}_l\}_1^{\text{card} \mathcal{Q}}$ is a finite closed cover of X with the desired properties. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a compact 1-dimensional CW complex. Let f, g be elements in $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ such that $f \ll g$ and let $W_l \in \text{dcp}_{\text{ch}}(f)$ be the l -th element in the chain-decomposition of f , respectively $Z_l \in \text{dcp}_{\text{ch}}(g)$ for g .*

Then, for any open set $U \subseteq W_l$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $U_\delta \subseteq Z_l$.

Proof. By [6, Theorem 4.4], we know that W_l is compactly contained in Z_l for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$. (Remark that W_l is ultimately empty.) Equivalently, $\overline{W_l} \subseteq Z_l$ from which we deduce that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(W_l)_\delta \subseteq Z_l$. The lemma follows after observing that $U_\delta \subseteq (W_l)_\delta$ for any $\delta > 0$. \square

Let us now prove the following approximate lifting result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let B be a direct sum of matrix algebras of continuous functions over 1-dimensional compact CW-complexes. Let $\alpha : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow \text{Cu}(B)$ be a Cu-morphism such that $\alpha(1_{\mathbb{T}}) = [1_B]$.*

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unitary element u_n in B such that $\alpha \underset{\Lambda_{n-2}}{\approx} \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n})$.

Proof. As in the finite dimensional case, we first prove the theorem for $B = M_d(\mathcal{C}(X))$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and X is any compact 1-dimensional CW complex and the general case shall follow. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, we write $V_k := \text{int}(\overline{U_k} \cap \overline{U_{k+1}})$.

Step 1: We cut X into finitely-many well-chosen pieces on which we can apply the lifting result obtained for the finite dimensional case.

By Lemma 4.2 there exists a (maximal) finite closed cover $\{\overline{T}_l\}_1^m$ such that $\alpha(g)|_{T_l}$ is constant for all $g \in \Lambda_n$ and all $1 \leq l \leq m$. Consequently, for each $1 \leq l \leq m$, we can mimic the construction done in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (plugging the integers $\alpha(1_{U_k})|_{T_l}$, $\alpha(1_{U_{k+1}})|_{T_l}$ and $\alpha(1_{V_k})|_{T_l}$ into the ‘algorithm’) to obtain a diagonal unitary matrix $u_l \in M_d(\mathbb{T})$ associated to T_l .

Step 2: We show via the Hall’s marriage theorem that there exists a bijective correspondence $\sigma_{l,l'}$ between the eigenvalues of u_l and $u_{l'}$ satisfying $\|\text{id} - \sigma_{l,l'}\| < \frac{2}{2^n}$, whenever $\overline{T}_l \cap \overline{T}_{l'} \neq \emptyset$.

For any $1 \leq l \leq m$, we write X_l to be the set of eigenvalues of u_l . Fix l, l' such that $\overline{T}_l \cap \overline{T}_{l'} \neq \emptyset$ and consider the bipartite graph $G_{l,l'} := (X_l + X_{l'}, E_{l,l'})$ where

$$E_{l,l'} := \{(\lambda, \nu) \in X_l \times X_{l'} \mid \|\lambda - \nu\| < \frac{2}{2^n}\}.$$

Let $\Omega \subseteq X_l$ and let g_Ω the minimal element of Λ_n such that $\Omega \subseteq \text{supp}(g_\Omega)$. We write $h_\Omega := \min\{h \in \Lambda_n \mid g_\Omega \ll h\}$. (The existence of g_Ω and h_Ω can be justified by an explicit construction that we leave to the reader.) Observe that $T_l \subseteq \alpha(g_\Omega)^{-1}([n_l; \infty])$, where $n_l := \alpha(g_\Omega)|_{T_l} - 1$. Thus, by Lemma 4.3, there exists $\delta_{l,l'} > 0$ such that $(T_l)_{\delta_{l,l'}} \subseteq \alpha(h_\Omega)^{-1}([n_l; \infty])$. Further, $\overline{T}_l \cap \overline{T}_{l'} \neq \emptyset$ implies that $(T_l)_{\delta_{l,l'}} \cap T_{l'} \neq \emptyset$. We deduce that there exists $t \in T_{l'}$ such that $\alpha(g_\Omega)|_{T_l} = n_l + 1 \leq \alpha(h_\Omega)(t) = \alpha(h_\Omega)|_{T_{l'}}$. On the other hand

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(g_\Omega)|_{T_l} = \text{card}(X_l \cap \text{supp } g_\Omega) = \text{card } \Omega \\ \alpha(h_\Omega)|_{T_{l'}} = \text{card}(X_{l'} \cap \text{supp } h_\Omega) \end{cases}$$

Lastly, we remark that for any $U_k \subseteq \text{supp } g_\Omega$, we have that $\overline{U_k} \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$. (By minimality of g_Ω .) It follows that for any element $\nu \in \text{supp } h_\Omega$, there exists an element $\lambda \in X_l \cap \text{supp } g_\Omega$ such that $\|\nu - \lambda\| < \frac{2}{2^n}$. In particular, this is true for any $\nu \in \text{supp } h_\Omega \cap X_{l'}$. Thus, $X_{l'} \cap \text{supp } h_\Omega \subseteq e_{G_{l,l'}}(\Omega)$ and we finally conclude that

$$\text{card } \Omega = \alpha(g_\Omega)|_{T_l} \leq \alpha(h_\Omega)|_{T_{l'}} \leq \text{card } e_{G_{l,l'}}(\Omega).$$

By Hall’s marriage theorem, see e.g. [6, Theorem 5.10], we obtain a bijective correspondence $\sigma_{l,l'} : X_l \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{l'}$ such that $\|\lambda - \sigma_{l,l'}(\lambda)\| < \frac{2}{2^n}$ for any $\lambda \in X_l$.


Step 3: We construct diagonal smooth path matrices $p_{l,l'} : [0, 1] \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{T})$ connecting u_l to $u_{l'}$ satisfying $\|p_{l,l'}(t) - p_{l,l'}(0)\| < \frac{2}{2^n}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, whenever $\overline{T}_l \cap \overline{T}_{l'} \neq \emptyset$.

Fix l, l' such that $\overline{T}_l \cap \overline{T}_{l'} \neq \emptyset$ and let $\lambda \in X_l$. We can build a smooth path $p_{l,l'}^\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ that connects λ to $\sigma_{l,l'}(\lambda)$ and such that $p_{l,l'}^\lambda(t) \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda, \frac{2}{2^n})$. We subsequently define a diagonal ‘path matrix’ $p_{l,l'} := \text{diag}(p_{l,l'}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in X_l)$.

Step 4: We construct a unitary u in B piecewisely via the matrices u_l and $p_{l,l'}$.

Note that $B \simeq \mathcal{C}(X, M_d)$ and hence, we will construct u as a continuous map from X to M_d . Let x be a singularity point, i.e. $x \in \bigcup_{l=1}^m \partial(T_l)$. We first assume that there exists a unique pair of indices l, l' such that $x \in \overline{T}_l \cap \overline{T}_{l'}$. (Equivalently, only two closed sets $\overline{T}_l, \overline{T}_{l'}$ are meeting at x .) In particular, there exists a unique pair of connected component L_x, R_x of $T_l, T_{l'}$ respectively such that $x \in \overline{L_x} \cap \overline{R_x}$. Now choose $\delta_{L_x}, \delta_{R_x} > 0$ small enough, e.g. $\delta_{L_x} < \text{diam}(L_x)/8$, respectively

$\delta_{R_x} < \text{diam}(R_x)/8$. We define C_x to be the largest open set that contains x and does not intersect with $\text{int}_{\delta_{L_x}}(L_x) \cup \text{int}_{\delta_{R_x}}(R_x)$. In other words, C_x is the connected component of x in the set $(\overline{L_x} \setminus \text{int}_{\delta_{L_x}}(L_x)) \cup (\overline{R_x} \setminus \text{int}_{\delta_{R_x}}(R_x))$. Let us illustrate these sets in the following picture.



Now construct a continuous map u on $\text{int}_{\delta_{L_x}}(L_x) \cup \overline{C_x} \cup \text{int}_{\delta_{R_x}}(R_x)$ piecewisely as follows.

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = u_l \text{ for all } t \in \text{int}_{\delta_{L_x}}(L_x) \\ u(t) = u_{l'} \text{ for all } t \in \text{int}_{\delta_{R_x}}(R_x) \\ u(t) = p_{l,l'} \circ i_x(t) \text{ for } t \in \overline{C_x} \end{cases}$$

where $i_x : \overline{C_x} \simeq [0, 1]$ is a continuous map identifying $\overline{\text{int}_{\delta_{L_x}}(L_x)} \cap \overline{C_x}$ with 0 , $\overline{C_x} \cap \overline{\text{int}_{\delta_{R_x}}(R_x)}$ with 1 , and such that $i_x(x) = 1/2$.

In the general case, there might be (finitely many) other edges meeting at x . (Note that the singular point x has to coincide with a vertex of X for that to happen.) In other words, there might exist some $1 \leq \hat{l} \leq m$ distinct from l, l' such that $x \in \overline{T_{\hat{l}}} \cap \overline{T_{\hat{l}'}}$. Similarly as before, we define Q_x to be the connected component of $T_{\hat{l}}$ such that $x \in \overline{Q_x}$ and D_x to be the largest open set that contains x and does not intersect with $\text{int}_{\delta_{L_x}}(L_x) \cup \text{int}_{\delta_{Q_x}}(Q_x)$. (See picture above.) However, we already have constructed the map u on the set $\overline{L_x} \cap \overline{C_x}$. Therefore, we are left to define u on $\overline{D_x} \cap \overline{Q_x} \cup \text{int}_{\delta_{Q_x}}(Q_x)$. We first define u on $\text{int}_{\delta_{Q_x}}(Q_x)$ by

$$u(t) = u_{\hat{l}} \text{ for all } t \in \text{int}_{\delta_{Q_x}}(Q_x).$$

Secondly, let us concatenate the smooth paths $p_{l,l'}$ and $p_{l,\hat{l}}$ to obtain the following diagonal smooth path matrix

$$q_{l,\hat{l}} : [0, 1] \longrightarrow M_d(\mathbb{T}) \\ t \longmapsto \begin{cases} p_{l,l'}(1/2 - t) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1/2] \\ p_{l,\hat{l}}(2t - 1) \text{ for } t \in [1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

Observe that $q_{l,\hat{l}}(0) = u(x)$ and $q_{l,\hat{l}}(1) = u_{\hat{l}}$. Moreover, for each $\lambda \in X_l$, the path $q_{l,\hat{l}}^\lambda$ keeps satisfying that $q_{l,\hat{l}}^\lambda(t) \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda, \frac{2}{2^n})$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Lastly, we define u on $\overline{D_x} \cap \overline{Q_x}$ by

$$u(t) = q_{l,\hat{l}} \circ j_x(t) \text{ for } t \in \overline{D_x} \cap \overline{Q_x}$$

where $j_x : \overline{D_x} \cap \overline{Q_x} \simeq [0, 1]$ is a map identifying x with 0 and $\overline{D_x} \cap \overline{\text{int}_{\delta_{Q_x}}(Q_x)}$ with 1 .

We highlight during the time interval $\overline{D_x}$ the map u continuously connects each λ to $\sigma_{l,\hat{l}}(\lambda)$ and stays within $\mathcal{B}(\lambda, \frac{2}{2^n})$. Further, if more edges are meeting at x , they are dealt similarly as \hat{l} .

Finally, in the particular case where only one index l is meeting a given singular point x (which implies that x is not only a vertex of X but also a sink) then we simply define $u(t) := u_l$ on the remaining piece.

In the end, we have built a continuous map $u : X \longrightarrow M_d(\mathbb{T})$, i.e. a unitary element u of B .

Step 5: We compute that $\text{Cu}(\phi_u)$ and α are close with respect to dd_{Cu} .

Let us write $\beta := \text{Cu}(\phi_u)$. We aim to prove that $\alpha \underset{\Lambda_{n-2}}{\approx} \beta$. It is sufficient to show that $\alpha(g) \leq \beta(g_{3/2^n})$ and $\beta(g) \leq \alpha(g_{3/2^n})$ for any $g \in \Lambda_n$. Let $g \in \Lambda_n$ and let x, L_x, C_x, R_x be as before. (Respectively x, L_x, D_x, Q_x which is dealt similarly.) Arguing similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know that $\alpha(g)$ and $\beta(g)$ agree on $\overline{\text{int}_{\delta_{L_x}}(L_x)}$ (respectively $\overline{\text{int}_{\delta_{R_x}}(R_x)}$). We are left to deal with the interval C_x . First, we expose and prove the following properties. Since $\partial C_x \subseteq \overline{\text{int}_{\delta_{L_x}}(L_x)} \cup \overline{\text{int}_{\delta_{R_x}}(R_x)}$, we get that

$$(1) \quad \alpha(g)(t) = \beta(g)(t) \text{ for all } t \in \partial C_x.$$

Combining Lemma 4.3 and the fact that $\alpha(g), \alpha(g_{1/2^n})$ are constant on $\overline{C_x} \setminus \{x\}$, we also get

$$(2) \quad \alpha(g)(t) \leq \alpha(g_{1/2^n})(t') \text{ for all } t, t' \in \overline{C_x}.$$

We know that during the time interval $\overline{C_x}$, the path matrix $p_{l,l'}^\lambda \circ i_x$ is moving from $\text{diag}(\lambda \mid \lambda \in X_l)$ to $\text{diag}(\sigma_{l,l'}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in X_l)$ in such a way that $p_{l,l'}^\lambda \circ i_x(t) \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda, \frac{2}{2^n})$ for all $t \in \overline{C_x}$ and all $\lambda \in X_l$. In other words, the unitary element u is moving each point λ of X_l to a (unique) point $\sigma_{l,l'}(\lambda)$ of $X_{l'}$ staying at all time at distance at most $\frac{2}{2^n}$ from λ . A fortiori, we obtain that

$$(3) \quad \beta(g)(t) \leq \beta(g_{2/2^n})(t') \text{ for all } t, t' \in \overline{C_x}.$$

Let $t \in C_x$ and let $t' \in \partial C_x$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \beta(g)(t) \leq \beta(g_{2/2^n})(t') = \alpha(g_{2/2^n})(t') \leq \alpha((g_{2/2^n})_{1/2^n})(t) = \alpha(g_{3/2^n})(t). \\ \alpha(g)(t) \leq \alpha(g_{1/2^n})(t') = \beta(g_{1/2^n})(t') \leq \beta((g_{1/2^n})_{2/2^n})(t) = \beta(g_{3/2^n})(t). \end{cases}$$

(We have successively applied (3)-(1)-(2) in the first inequality and (2)-(1)-(3) in the second.) Ultimately, we have shown that $\alpha(g) \leq \beta(g_{3/2^n})$ and $\beta(g) \leq \alpha(g_{3/2^n})$ for any $g \in \Lambda_n$. The result follows after observing that for any $h, h' \in \Lambda_{n-2}$ such that $h \ll h'$, then $h \ll h_{3/2^n} \ll h'$. \square

We would like to deduce from the previous theorem that we can find an actual lift. However, as mentioned at the beginning of the section, it seems that a uniqueness argument is needed to conclude. With current methods, we are only able to deduce a weaker result in terms of what we call *Cauchy sequences*. These were originally introduced in the first version of this manuscript for uniformly based Cu-semigroups. Nevertheless, in the meantime, the author has obtained a more general result in a joint work with E. Vilalta. Therefore, we are stating an adapted version of the general statement for our particular context and we omit the proof. We refer the reader to [9, Definition 3.4/3.5 - Theorem 3.8] for more details.

Lemma 4.5. *Let T be a Cu-semigroup and let $C \in R_+$ be a positive constant. Let $(\alpha_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}), T)$ such that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n) \leq \frac{C}{2^n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence converges with respect to dd_{Cu} towards a unique Cu-morphism $\alpha: \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow T$.*

Proof. This is a particular case of [9, Theorem 3.8]. \square

As in [9], we may refer to $(\alpha_n)_n$ as a *Cauchy sequence* and to α as the *limit of the sequence*, or simply say in this context, that the sequence $(\alpha_n)_n$ converges towards α with respect to with respect to dd_{Cu} .

Corollary 4.6. *Let B be a direct sum of matrix algebras of continuous functions over 1-dimensional compact CW-complexes. Let $\alpha : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow \text{Cu}(B)$ be a Cu-morphism such that $\alpha(1_{\mathbb{T}}) = [1_B]$.*

Then there exists a sequence of unitary elements $(u_n)_n$ in B such that $(\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n}))_n$ is Cauchy and converges towards α with respect to dd_{Cu} .

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 4.4 we know that there exists a unitary element u_n in B such that $\beta_n := \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n}) \underset{\Lambda_{n-2}}{\approx} \alpha$. We obtain a sequence $(u_n)_n$ of unitary elements in B and for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq m$, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \beta_m) &\leq 2(dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \alpha) + dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta_m)) \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{2^{m-2}}\right) \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-4}}. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence $(\beta_n)_n$ satisfies the Cauchy criteria of Lemma 4.5 and hence converges towards an element $\beta : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow \text{Cu}(B)$. We now compute that

$$\begin{aligned} dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) &\leq 2(dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta_n) + dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \beta)) \\ &\leq 2dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta_n) + 2dd_{\text{Cu}}(\beta_n, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

and it is readily checked that the right hand side of the inequality tends to zero as n goes to ∞ , which shows that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$, or equivalently, that $\alpha = \beta$. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let A be a unital AH_1 -algebra. Let $\alpha : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$ be a weakly semiprojective Cu-morphism such that $\alpha(1_{\mathbb{T}}) = [1_A]$.*

Then there exists a sequence of unitary elements $(u_n)_n$ in A such that $(\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n}))_n$ is Cauchy and converges towards α with respect to dd_{Cu} .

Proof. Arguing similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we can find a sequence $(u_n)_n$ of unitary elements of A such that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n}), \alpha) \leq \frac{C}{2^n}$ for some constant $C > 0$. We deduce that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_{n-1}}), \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_n})) \leq \frac{C'}{2^n}$ for some constant $C' > 0$ and the result follows from Lemma 4.5. \square

5. REAL RANK ZERO AND BEYOND

This ending part studies how uniqueness results could be extended to a more general class of C^* -algebras within the stable rank one context. A first and very natural place to start is the real rank zero case, as these C^* -algebras enjoy a lot of nice properties such as an abundance of projections or the $(1 + \epsilon)$ exponential rank for unitary elements that are connected to the identity. In a first part, we show that Cu distinguishes unitary elements of C^* -algebras of stable rank one real rank zero that have trivial K_1 -group. As a corollary, we are able to obtain a weaker, but somehow not satisfactory, result when removing the trivial K_1 -hypothesis. Finally, we exhibit an obstruction to uniqueness outside of the real rank zero setting by constructing two unitary elements in a C^* -algebra of stable rank and real rank one, namely the Jiang-Su algebra \mathcal{Z} , that agree at level of Cu but are not approximately unitarily equivalent. This obstruction reveals once more the importance of the de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant in the classification of unitary elements.

5.A. Real rank zero - On the path towards Uniqueness. Let us recall some nice properties that we have access to whenever being in the stable rank one and real rank zero setting. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one. It is known that the functor Cu classifies self-adjoint elements of A . (See e.g. [11, 24].) If moreover A has real rank zero, then any self-adjoint can be approximated by a linear combination of projections up to arbitrary precision. (See e.g [17].) Further, any unitary element in the connected component of the identity can be approximated by the exponential of a self adjoint element up to arbitrary precision.

Proposition 5.1. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one. Let h, h' be self-adjoint elements in A . Then $d_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_{e^{2i\pi h}}), \text{Cu}(\phi_{e^{2i\pi h'}})) \leq d_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_h), \text{Cu}(\phi_{h'}))$. The converse inequality holds (and hence, there is equality) whenever $\text{sp}(e^{2i\pi h}) \cup \text{sp}(e^{2i\pi h'}) \neq \mathbb{T}$.*

Proof. The idea behind this proof relies on the fact that for any $h \in A_{sa}$, the element $u_h := e^{2i\pi h}$ obtained by functional calculus belongs to $C^*(h)$ and that whenever $\text{sp}(u_h)$ is not full, there exists a well-chosen (continuous) logarithm $\log : \mathcal{C}_0(\text{sp}(u_h)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\log(u_h) = h$ and hence, h belongs to $C^*(u_h)$. Under such circumstances, we have that $C^*(h) = C^*(u_h)$ and hence, ϕ_h and ϕ_{u_h} determine one another.

More specifically, let $h, h' \in A_{sa}$ be contractions and let $\exp \in \mathcal{C}_0([-1, 1] \setminus \{0\})$ be the map that sends $t \mapsto e^{2i\pi t}$. Now define $u_h := \exp(h)$ and $u_{h'} := \exp(h')$. Then, for any $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$, we have $\phi_{u_h}(g) = \phi_h(g \circ \exp)$. (Similarly with h' .) Observe that for any open arcs U, V in \mathbb{T} such that $\overline{U} \subseteq V$ and any $r > 0$ such that $U_r \subseteq V$, we have that $(\exp^{-1}(U))_r \subseteq \exp^{-1}(V)$ in $[-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$. Also, for any $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\text{supp } g = U$, we have $\text{supp}(g_U \circ \exp) = \exp^{-1}(U)$. In particular, let $r := d_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_h), \text{Cu}(\phi_{h'}))$ let U, V in \mathbb{T} such that $U_r \subseteq V$, we have that $\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_h})(1_U) = \text{Cu}(\phi_h)(1_{\exp^{-1}(U)}) \leq \text{Cu}(\phi_h)(1_{(\exp^{-1}(U))_r}) \leq \text{Cu}(\phi_{h'})(1_{\exp^{-1}(V)}) = \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_{h'}})(1_V)$. Similarly, interchanging h and h' . It follows that $d_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_{e^{2i\pi h}}), \text{Cu}(\phi_{e^{2i\pi h'}})) \leq d_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_h), \text{Cu}(\phi_{h'}))$.

A similar argument gives us the converse inequality, but one needs to make sure that there exists a continuous logarithm that ‘simultaneously’ gives back h and h' . It is sufficient that there exists a point $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ that does not belong to $\text{sp}(u) \cup \text{sp}(v)$. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with stable rank one. Let u, v be unitary elements in A such that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_u), \text{Cu}(\phi_v)) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ and such that $\text{sp}(u) \cup \text{sp}(v) \neq \mathbb{T}$.*

Then there exists a unitary element $w \in A$ such that $\|wuw^ - v\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-3}}$.*

Proof. As stated before, the fact that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that λ does not belong to $\text{sp}(u) \cup \text{sp}(v) \neq \mathbb{T}$ allows us to find a ‘common’ continuous logarithm $\log \in C(\mathbb{T}) \setminus \{\lambda\}$. Applying functional calculus, we get two self adjoint contractions $h := \log(u)$ and $l := \log(v)$. Arguing similarly as in the previous proof, we know that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_h), \text{Cu}(\phi_l)) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$. It follows that $d_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_h), \text{Cu}(\phi_l)) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$. Now using Ciuperca and Elliott’s result (see [11]), improved by Robert and Santiago (see [24, Theorem 1]), we deduce that $d_U(h, l) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-3}}$. That is, there exists a unitary element $w \in A$ such that $\|whw^* - l\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-3}}$. The result follows after noticing that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_0([-1, 1] \setminus \{0\})$ and any unitary $w \in A$, we have that $f(whw^*) = wf(h)w^*$. (The latter claim is easily proven for polynomial functions first and then for any continuous function by a density argument.) \square

Observe that the assumption $\text{sp}(u) \cup \text{sp}(v) \neq \mathbb{T}$ (which implies that $[u] = [v] = 0_{K_1(A)}$) is the best we can achieve. If we fix $X = [0, 1]$ in the example of Section 4.A, we obtain two unitary elements of a C^* -algebra with stable rank one that agree at level of Cu , have the same (trivial) K_1 -class but

are not approximately unitarily equivalent. (The union of the spectrums is indeed covering \mathbb{T} .) We next narrow down to the real rank zero and stable rank one setting and we are able to get rid of the assumption on the spectra.

Theorem 5.3. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with stable rank one and real rank zero. Let u, v be unitary elements in A such that $dd_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Cu}(\phi_u), \text{Cu}(\phi_v)) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $[u]_{\text{K}_1} = [v]_{\text{K}_1}$.*

(i) *For any unitary element z in A such that $[z] + [u] = 0$ in $\text{K}_1(A)$, e.g. u^* or v^* , there exists a unitary element $w \in M_2(A)$ such that $\|w(u \oplus z)w^* - (v \oplus z)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-7}}$.*

(ii) *If moreover u (and v) are in the connected component of the identity, then w can be chosen in A . In other words, there exists a unitary element $w \in A$ such that $\|wuw^* - v\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-7}}$.*

Proof. Let us first prove case (ii) where $[u] = [v] = 0$ in $\text{K}_1(A)$. We use the $(1 + \epsilon)$ exponential rank obtained in [21, Theorem 5] to find self-adjoint elements h', l' in A such that $\|u - e^{2i\pi h'}\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}$ and $\|v - e^{2i\pi l'}\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}$. Next, we use the characterization of real rank zero to find self-adjoints elements h, l with finite spectrum and such that $\|h' - h\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}$ and $\|l' - l\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}$. We know compute that $\|u - e^{2i\pi h}\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ and $\|v - e^{2i\pi l}\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$. Also that

$$\begin{aligned} dd_{\text{Cu}}(\phi_{e^{2i\pi h}}, \phi_{e^{2i\pi l}}) &\leq 2dd_{\text{Cu}}(\phi_{e^{2i\pi h}}, \phi_u) + 4dd_{\text{Cu}}(\phi_u, \phi_v) + 4dd_{\text{Cu}}(\phi_v, \phi_{e^{2i\pi l}}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} + 4\frac{1}{2^n} + 2\frac{1}{2^n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-3}}. \end{aligned}$$

We are now able to compute that

$$\begin{aligned} d_U(u, v) &\leq d_U(u, e^{2i\pi h}) + d_U(e^{2i\pi h}, e^{2i\pi l}) + d_U(v, e^{2i\pi l}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + 8dd_{\text{Cu}}(\phi_{e^{2i\pi h}}, \phi_{e^{2i\pi l}}) + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-7}}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the previous proposition to $e^{2i\pi h}$ and $e^{2i\pi l}$ in the second line. The general case (i) follows after noticing that $M_2(A)$ also has real rank zero and that $[u \oplus z] = [v \oplus z] = 0$ in $\text{K}_1(A)$ and applying the latter result. \square

Corollary 5.4. *The functor Cu distinguishes unitary elements of any unital C^* -algebra with stable rank one, real rank zero and trivial K_1 -group.*

5.B. Real rank one - Obstruction to Uniqueness. As observed earlier, the real rank zero is a necessary condition in the above theorem/corollary. Although this fact is already illustrated in the example constructed in Section 4.A, which provides an obstruction in the real rank one setting, we reinforce it by exhibiting another example in the famous Jiang-Su algebra \mathcal{Z} . More specifically, we exhibit two unitary elements u, v in \mathcal{Z} such that $\text{Cu}(\phi_u) = \text{Cu}(\phi_v)$ and yet $u \not\sim_{\text{aue}} v$. Apart from providing another obstruction to uniqueness in the real rank one setting, (and the simple case this time) the subsequent construction is also worth considering in its own right for the methods it employs.

Let us start by recalling some facts about the Jiang-Su algebra \mathcal{Z} . We refer the reader to [16, Section 7] for more details. The Jiang-Su algebra is a unital simple (infinite-dimensional) C^* -algebra that has stable rank one, real rank one, trivial K_1 -group and a unique tracial state $\tau : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

(And hence, there exists a unique trace that we also write $\tau : \mathcal{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$). The Cuntz semigroup of the Jiang-Su algebra $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{N} \sqcup]0, \infty]$ where the mixed sum and mixed order are defined as follows: for any $x_c := k \in \mathbb{N}$, any $x_s := k \in]0, \infty]$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, we have that $x_s \leq x_c \ll x_c \leq x_s + \epsilon$. Moreover, $x_c + x_s = 2x_s$. A fortiori, the compact elements are \mathbb{N} and the non-compact elements $]0, \infty]$ constitute an absorbing Cu-subsemigroup. Finally, we have a dichotomy that will be of use in the sequel. (See also [3].)

Fact: Let $a \in (\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ and let $d_\tau : \text{Cu}(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ be the functional induced by the (unique) trace τ on \mathcal{Z} . Then one (and only one) of the following holds.

Either

- (i) $\{0\}$ is an not isolated point in $\text{sp}(a) \cup \{0\}$, which is equivalent to
- (i') There exists $x_s \in]0, \infty]$ with $[a] = x_s$, i.e. $[a]$ is not compact, and we have $d_\tau([a]) = x_s$.

Or

- (ii) $\{0\}$ is an isolated point in $\text{sp}(a) \cup \{0\}$, which is equivalent to
- (ii') There exists $x_c \in \mathbb{N}$ with $[a] = x_c$, i.e. $[a]$ is compact, and we have $d_\tau([a]) = x_s$. (Where x_s is the ‘non-compact version’ of the integer x_c .)

We next recall a theorem by Markov-Riesz that links measures on the Borel algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and tracial states on the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$. This will allow us to assign a measure to each positive element of \mathcal{Z} .

Theorem 5.5 (Riesz Theorem for measures). *Let X be a Hausdorff locally compact space and let $\tau : \mathcal{C}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a tracial state. Then there exists a unique extended Borel measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ that is finite on compact sets and such that $\tau(f) = \int_X f d\mu$.*

Now, let $a \in \mathcal{Z}_+$ be a positive element. Post-composing ϕ_a with τ , we obtain a faithful tracial state $\tau_a := \tau \circ \phi_a : \mathcal{C}_0(\text{sp}(a) \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Using the Markov-Riesz theorem, we can find a unique measure μ_a such that $\tau_a(f) = \int_{\text{sp}(a) \setminus \{0\}} f d\mu_a$ for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\text{sp}(a) \setminus \{0\})$. We will refer to this unique measure μ_a as the *measure associated to a* .

Proposition 5.6. (i) *For any $a \in \mathcal{Z}_+$ and any $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\text{sp}(a) \setminus \{0\})_+$ we have that $d_\tau[f(a)] = \mu_a(\text{supp}(f))$. In particular, $[f(a)] = \mu_a(\text{supp}(f))$ whenever $[f(a)]$ is not compact.*

(ii) *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a positive element a_k in \mathcal{Z} with spectrum $]0, k]$ such that its associated measure μ_{a_k} is m/k , where m is the Lebesgue measure.*

Proof. (i) Let $g_n : t \mapsto t^{1/n}$. We know that $d_\tau([f(a)]) = \lim_n \tau(g_n \circ f(a)) = \lim_n \tau_a(g_n \circ f) = \lim_n \int_{\text{sp}(a) \setminus \{0\}} (g_n \circ f) d\mu_a = \mu_a(\text{supp } f)$.

(ii) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the assignement

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k : \text{Lsc}(]0, k], \overline{\mathbb{N}}) &\longrightarrow \text{Cu}(\mathcal{Z}) \\ f &\longmapsto \int_{]0, k]} (f/k) dm \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that α is a Cu-morphism. (E.g. using the chain-decomposition properties of [6, Theorem 4.4].) By the deep result [23, Theorem 1.0.1] of Robert, there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\phi_k : \mathcal{C}_0(]0, k]) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ lifting α , i.e. such that $\text{Cu}(\phi_k) = \alpha_k$. Finally, by the bijective correspondence between $\text{Hom}_{C^*}(\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}_+^*), \mathcal{Z})$ and \mathcal{Z}_+ , we obtain a positive element $a_k := \phi_k(\text{id})$ in \mathcal{Z} whose spectrum is $]0, k]$ and associated measure is m/k . \square

Theorem 5.7. *Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the positive elements a_k, a_l in \mathcal{Z} as constructed in the above proposition. Write $u_k := e^{2i\pi a_k}$ and $u_l := e^{2i\pi a_l}$.*

Then $\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_k}) = \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_l})$ and yet, $u \not\sim_{\text{aue}} v$ whenever $[k]_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \neq [l]_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})_+$. We first have to show that $\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_k})(f) = \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_l})(f)$. However, we know that $\text{Cu}(\phi_{u_k})(f) = \text{Cu}(\phi_{a_k})(f \circ \exp_k)$, where \exp_k is the restriction of the exponential map $\exp : t \mapsto e^{2i\pi t}$ to the domain $]0, k]$. Similarly for u_l, a_l and \exp_l . Now using (i) of the above proposition, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_k})(f) &= \text{Cu}(\phi_{a_k})(f \circ \exp) \\ &= \mu_{a_k}(\text{supp}(f \circ \exp_k)) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}/k(\{t \in]0, k] \mid f \circ \exp(t) \neq 0\}) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}/l(\{t \in]0, l] \mid f \circ \exp(t) \neq 0\}) \\ &= \mu_{a_l}(\text{supp}(f \circ \exp_l)) \\ &= \text{Cu}(\phi_{u_l})(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the unitary elements u_k and u_l agree at level of Cu . We next show that they cannot be approximately unitarily equivalent since they have distinct de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant. More concretely, we observe that the codomain of the determinant associated to the (unique) trace τ is $(\mathcal{Z}/[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{Z}])/\tau(K_0(\mathcal{Z})) \simeq \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$. Moreover, we compute that

$$\Delta_\tau(e^{2i\pi a_k}) = [\tau(a_k)]_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} = \left[\int_0^k t d\mu_{a_k} \right]_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} = \left[\int_0^k (t/k) dm \right]_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} = [k/2]_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}}$$

and the result follows from Proposition 2.6. \square

It seems plausible that the converse of the above theorem is also true. Namely, that $u_k \sim_{\text{aue}} u_l$ if and only if $[k] = [l]$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. More generally, the construction of the associated measure and its properties stay valid for any self-adjoint element of \mathcal{Z} and hence, we can conjecture that the following data distinguishes (some, but almost all) unitary elements of the Jiang-Su algebra.

Conjecture: Let h, h' be self adjoint elements in \mathcal{Z} . Assume that $[\tau(h)] = [\tau(h')]$ in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} and that $\mu_h(\text{sp}(h) \setminus \{0\}) = \mu_{h'}(\text{sp}(h') \setminus \{0\})$ then $e^{2i\pi h} \sim_{\text{aue}} e^{2i\pi h'}$.

6. CLOSING REMARK

In the aim of classifying a larger class of non-simple C^* -algebras than the class classified by Robert in [23], it is clear that one needs a variation of the Cuntz semigroup that incorporates some kind of K_1 -information. The author have been introducing the unitary Cuntz semigroup Cu_1 in [4] and extensively studying its properties in [4] and [5]. The unitary Cuntz semigroup has even been able to successfully distinguish two non-simple C^* -algebras in [7] where the invariant (Cu, K_1) could not. The unitary Cuntz semigroup could even be a candidate to answer [16, Question 16.9] that focuses on the simple case.

Nevertheless, as highlighted in the present manuscript via the obstruction examples, the incorporation of the Hausdorffized algebraic K_1 -group, written $\overline{K}_1^{\text{alg}}$ (which is intimately related to the de la Harpe-Skandalis determinant through the Nielsen-Thomsen sequence, see [22]) instead of the

usual K_1 -group seems indispensable if one wishes to extend the classification of Robert, say to AT -algebras. This is comforted by the fact that $\overline{K}_1^{\text{alg}}$ is used the classification of simple AT -algebras done in [22]. Consequently, the author has been working on a generic method modeled after the construction of the unitary Cuntz semigroup to build distinct variations of the Cuntz semigroup which enjoy numerous properties and tools for classification. (See [8].) A fortiori, this method allows to define an Hausdorffized version of the unitary Cuntz semigroup. This invariant is a strong candidate to the uniqueness part and hence the classification of unitary elements of AH_1 -algebras which would give a positive answer to [16, Question 16.7].

Conjecture: The Hausdorffized unitary Cuntz semigroup classifies unitary elements of any unital AH_1 -algebra.

We point out that if the conjecture turns out to be true, we could obtain a complete classification of certain unital ASH_1 -algebras, including all AT -algebras as a corollary. (A torsion-free K_1 -group kind of assumption would surely arise without the incorporation of the total K -Theory.)

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Antoine, F. Perera and H. Thiel, *Tensor products and regularity properties of Cuntz semigroups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 251, (2018), viii+191.
- [2] R. Antoine, F. Perera, L. Robert and H. Thiel, *C^* -algebras of stable rank one and their Cuntz semigroups*, Duke Math. J. 171, (2022), pp. 33-99.
- [3] N. P. Brown, F. Perera, A. Toms, *The Cuntz semigroup, the Elliott conjecture, and dimension functions on C^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 621, (2008), pp.191-211.
- [4] L. Cantier, *A unitary Cuntz semigroup for C^* -algebras of stable rank one*, J. Funct. Anal. 281, (2021), no. 09, 109175.
- [5] L. Cantier, *Unitary Cuntz semigroups of ideals and quotients*, Münster J. of Math. 14, (2021), pp. 585-606.
- [6] L. Cantier, *Uniformly based Cuntz semigroups and approximate intertwinings*, Int. J. Math. 33, (2022), no. 09, 2250062.
- [7] L. Cantier, *The unitary Cuntz semigroup on the classification of non simple C^* algebras*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 522, (2023), no. 2, 127003.
- [8] L. Cantier, *A systematic approach for invariants of C^* -algebras*, Studia Math. 273 (2023), pp. 63-99.
- [9] L. Cantier and E. Vilalta, *Fraïssé theory for Cuntz semigroups*, (2023). Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2304.01761.pdf>.
- [10] J. R. Carrión, J. Gabe, C. Schafhauser, A. Tikuisis and S. White, *Classifying $*$ -homomorphisms I: Unital simple nuclear C^* -algebras*, (2023). Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.06480.pdf>.
- [11] A. Ciuperca and G.A. Elliott, *A remark on invariants for C^* -algebras of stable rank one*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2008, no. 5, Art. ID rnm 158, 33.
- [12] K. T. Coward, G. A. Elliott and C. Ivanescu, *The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 623, (2008), pp. 161-193.
- [13] J. Cuntz, *Dimension functions on simple C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. 233, (1978), pp. 145-153.
- [14] P. de la Harpe and G. Skandalis, *Determinant associe a une trace sur une algebre de Banach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 34, (1984), no. 1, pp. 241-260 (French, with English summary).
- [15] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, *On the classification of simple amenable C^* -algebras with finite decomposition rank, II*. Preprint. Available at [arXiv:1507.03437](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.03437).
- [16] E. Gardella and F. Perera, *The modern theory of Cuntz semigroups of C^* -algebras*, (2023). Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2212.02290.pdf>.
- [17] H. Lin, *Exponentials in simple Z -stable C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 266, (2014), no. 2, pp. 754-791.

- [18] G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, *A classification of finite simple amenable \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras, I: C^* -algebras with generalized tracial rank one*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Canada, 42 (2020), pp. 63-450.
- [19] G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, *A classification of finite simple amenable \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras, II: C^* -algebras with rational generalized tracial rank one*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Canada, 42 (2020), pp. 451-539.
- [20] B. Jacelon, K. Strung and A. Vignati, *Optimal transport and unitary orbits in C^* -algebras* J. Funct. Anal. 281, (2021), no. 5, 109068.
- [21] H. Lin, *Exponential rank of C^* -algebras with real rank zero and the Brown-Pedersen conjectures*, J. Funct. Anal. 114, (1993), 1-11.
- [22] K. E. Nielsen and K. Thomsen, *Limits of circle algebras*, Expo. Math. 14, (1996), pp. 17-56.
- [23] L. Robert, *Classification of inductive limits of one-dimensional NCCW complexes*, Adv. Math. 231, (2012), no. 5, pp. 2802-2836.
- [24] L. Robert and L. Santiago, *Classification of $*$ -homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ to a C^* -algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. 258, (2010), no. 3., pp. 869-892.
- [25] H. Thiel, *Inductive limits of projective C^* -algebras*, J. Noncommut. Geom. 13 (2019), 1435-1462.
- [26] H. Thiel and E. Vilalta, *Covering dimension of Cuntz semigroups*, Adv. Math. 394, (2022), 108016.
- [27] W. Winter, *Structure of nuclear C^* -algebras: from quasidiagonality to classification and back again*. In Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians-Rio de Janeiro, (2018), Vol. III. Invited lectures, pp 1801-1823.

LAURENT CANTIER

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193 BELLATERRA, SPAIN

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNA 25, 115 67 PRAHA 1, CZECHIA

Email address: laurent.cantier@uab.cat