

On 4-dimensional Ricci-flat ALE manifolds

Mingyang Li

Abstract

In this paper, we prove:

- There is a one-to-one correspondence between:
 - oriented Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds (M, h) whose self-dual Weyl curvature W^+ has exactly two distinct eigenvalues everywhere;
 - Bach flat Kähler orbifolds $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ of complex dimension 2, with exactly one orbifold point q , such that the scalar curvature $s_{\widehat{g}}$ is positive everywhere except at q while $s_{\widehat{g}}(q) = 0$.
- There is no oriented Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold with W^+ having exactly two distinct eigenvalues everywhere and structure group contained in $SU(2)$, except for the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation.
- There is no oriented Ricci-flat ALH 4-manifold with W^+ having exactly two distinct eigenvalues everywhere.

The condition that W^+ has exactly two distinct eigenvalues everywhere for 4-dimensional Ricci-flat metrics is also known as *Petrov type D* or *Bianchi IX* in physics literature. Geometrically it is equivalent to being *Hermitian non-Kähler*.

An immediate consequence of our results is the nonexistence of any Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with structure group in $SU(2)$, except for the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminary results	5
3	Killing fields	7
4	Compactification	11
5	Holomorphic vector fields and minimal resolutions	17
6	Log del Pezzo surfaces with holomorphic vector fields	30
7	Case (iii)	43
8	The \mathcal{A} -functional and Bach flat Kähler metrics	58

1 Introduction

The following question is a long-standing problem in geometric analysis:

Problem. Is there a complete Ricci-flat asymptotically locally Euclidean 4-manifold that has generic holonomy?

Complete noncompact Ricci-flat 4-manifolds are typically referred to as *gravitational instantons*, while currently all the known examples are Hermitian, with a significant majority being Kähler. In this paper, we will give a negative answer to the above question in some special cases. By saying that a 4-dimensional manifold (M, h) is *asymptotically locally Euclidean* (ALE), we mean:

Definition 1.1. A Riemannian 4-manifold (M, h) is ALE with order τ if there is a smooth diffeomorphism $\Phi : M \setminus K \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B_R(0)})/\Gamma$, where K is a compact subset of M and $\Gamma \subset SO(4)$ is a finite group acting freely on S^3 , such that

$$|\nabla^k(h - \Phi^*h_E)|_h = O(\rho^{-\tau-k})$$

as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ for any $k \geq 0$. Here ρ is the distance function under h to some base point p , h_E is the standard Euclidean metric on $(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B_R(0)})/\Gamma$, and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of h . The group Γ will be called the structure group of (M, h) .

The curvature tensor of an oriented Einstein 4-manifold (M, h) only has the Weyl curvature part W and the scalar curvature part s_h . The Weyl curvature W decomposes into the self-dual part W^+ and the anti-self-dual part W^- , depending on the choice of orientation. The curvature tensor W^+ (respectively, W^-) can be treated as a traceless automorphism of the bundle of self-dual 2-forms Λ^+ (respectively, anti-self-dual 2-forms Λ^-). By the work of Derdziński [Der83], W^+ of an Einstein 4-manifold must fall into one of the following three cases:

Definition-Proposition. An oriented Einstein 4-manifold can be classified into one of the following three types:

- Type I: If $W^+ \equiv 0$, then the metric is anti-self-dual.
- Type II: If W^+ has exactly two distinct eigenvalues, treated as an automorphism $W^+ : \Lambda^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^+$ everywhere, then there exists a compatible complex structure J such that (M, h, J) is Hermitian and the conformal metric $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$ is Kähler, where $\lambda \triangleq 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$. The scalar curvature s_g of g is given by $s_g = \lambda^{1/3}$.
- Type III: If W^+ generically has three distinct eigenvalues, then (M, h) can never be locally conformally Kähler.

Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds of Type I must be finite quotients of Kronheimer's hyperkähler ALE 4-manifolds [Kro89a, Kro89b], which have already been classified by Wright [Wri12] and Şuvania [Şuv12].

The *Bach tensor* B in four dimensions can be defined as $B_{ij} = \left(\nabla^k \nabla^l + \frac{1}{2} Ric^{kl}\right) W_{ijkl}$. It arises naturally because the Euler-Lagrange equation of the Weyl functional $h \mapsto \int_M |W|_h^2$ is given by $B = 0$. Note that the Bach flat equation $B = 0$ is conformally invariant, and 4-dimensional metrics that are locally conformal to Einstein metrics are Bach flat (see Proposition 4.78 in [Bes87]). An *extremal Kähler metric* is defined as a Kähler metric g for which the $(1, 0)$ component of the gradient vector field of its scalar curvature, $\nabla_g^{1,0} s_g$, is holomorphic. We will call the vector field $\nabla_g^{1,0} s_g$ *holomorphic extremal vector field*. In the Kähler case, a real 4-dimensional Bach flat Kähler metric must be an extremal Kähler metric (see equation (11) in [LeB20]).

This paper focuses on Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds of Type II, specifically proving the following two main theorems. As mentioned above, a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold (M, h) naturally carries a complex structure J that is compatible with the metric h .

Theorem 1.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds } (M, h) \longleftrightarrow & \text{Bach flat Kähler orbifolds } (\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}) \text{ of complex} \\ & \text{dimension 2 with exactly one orbifold point } q, \text{ whose} \\ & \text{scalar curvature } s_{\widehat{g}} \text{ satisfies } s_{\widehat{g}} > 0 \text{ except at } q \text{ while } s_{\widehat{g}}(q) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The structure group of a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold must be contained in $U(2)$, in the sense that outside of a suitable compact set, the end is biholomorphic to \mathbb{B}^/Γ , where $\mathbb{B}^* \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is the standard punctured unit ball and $\Gamma \subset U(2)$.*

Theorem 1.2. *With the exception of the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation, there are no Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds of Type II with a structure group contained in $SU(2)$.*

In subsection 2.2, we will provide a detailed introduction to the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation. Metrics arising on the right side of our correspondence in Theorem 1.1 will be called *special Bach flat Kähler metrics* for simplicity:

Definition 1.2. A Bach flat Kähler metric g on a compact complex 2-dimensional orbifold with only one orbifold point q is said to be *special Bach flat Kähler*, if its scalar curvature s_g is positive at all points except at the orbifold point q , where $s_g(q) = 0$.

Now we explain the main ideas in the proof:

- For a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold (M, h) , with $\lambda \triangleq 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$, the extremal Kähler metric $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$ is incomplete, whose metric completion is just adding one point. Using a singularity removal argument, we can show that g extends to a smooth orbifold extremal Kähler metric \hat{g} (subsection 4.2). The metric \hat{g} is the special Bach flat Kähler metric in the correspondence in Theorem 1.1.
- Denote the compactified special Bach flat Kähler orbifold as (\hat{M}, \hat{g}) . In subsection 4.3, it is shown that \hat{M} is a log del Pezzo surface, which is a Fano singular surface with only quotient singularities. This is proven through a computation by LeBrun [LeB95]. Since log del Pezzo surfaces are rational, we conclude that \hat{M} is also rational.
- Each special Bach flat Kähler metric would give us a pair (\hat{M}, \mathfrak{E}) , where the special Bach flat Kähler metric lives on the orbifold \hat{M} and \mathfrak{E} is the holomorphic extremal vector field. The vector field \mathfrak{E} will generate a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \hat{M} (subsection 5.6). Such pairs will have the following algebraic properties:
 - The orbifold \hat{M} only has one orbifold point.
 - The orbifold \hat{M} is log del Pezzo and rational.
 - The orbifold point is an isolated fixed point of the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action generated by \mathfrak{E} and the \mathbb{C}^* action will have same weights at the orbifold point (subsection 5.6).

It would be an algebraic geometry problem to classify all such pairs, and we classify them under the additional assumption that the orbifold group is in $SU(2)$ (sections 6 and 7).

- For these possible pairs (\hat{M}, \mathfrak{E}) under the assumption that the structure group is in $SU(2)$, if an extremal Kähler metric exists with \mathfrak{E} as the holomorphic extremal vector field, it is shown in section 8 that the minimum of its scalar curvature can never be 0, except for the pair corresponding to the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation. Together with Theorem 1.1, this result establishes the validity of Theorem 1.2.

The fact that the holomorphic extremal vector field \mathfrak{E} generates a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action is a consequence of [FM93] in the smooth setting. Theorem 1.1, 1.2 have several interesting corollaries. It is known in algebraic geometry that the set of ϵ -log canonical projective surfaces whose anticanonical bundle $-K$ is ample form a bounded family (see Theorem 1.1 in Birkar [Bir21] for example). Log del Pezzo surfaces only have log terminal singularities, so we have:

Corollary 1.1. *The set of compactifications of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with a prescribed structure group $\Gamma \subset U(2)$, as complex surfaces, falls into a bounded family.*

During the course of our proof of the main theorems, we also establish the following result:

Corollary 1.2 (finiteness of topological types). *For each fixed finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset U(2)$, there exist at most finitely many diffeomorphism types of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with structure group Γ .*

We will discuss later in the introduction that we actually expect that there is no Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold at all, except for the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation. By the work of Derdziński [Der83], 4-dimensional Ricci-flat metrics being of Type II is equivalent to being Hermitian non-Kähler. So our Theorem 1.2 can also be interpreted as:

Corollary 1.3. *With the exception of the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation, there are no Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with a structure group contained in $SU(2)$.*

Due to the equivalence established by Derdziński's work, the condition that the manifold is of Type II in our results above all can be replaced by the condition that it is Hermitian non-Kähler, and our results will still hold.

We also have the following interesting corollary which will be established during the proof of Theorem 1.1:

Corollary 1.4. *For a Hermitian Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold, if its self-dual Weyl curvature decays faster than ρ^{-6} , then it must be Kähler.*

A direct application of our Theorem 1.2 gives the following corollary about the curvature tensor of hyperkähler Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds:

Corollary 1.5. *For Kronheimer’s hyperkähler Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, except for the Eguchi-Hanson metric, the anti-self-dual Weyl curvature W^- all generically has three distinct eigenvalues. There are no complex structures that are compatible with the metric but has opposite orientation.*

Recently, in the work by Sun and Zhang [SZ21], a complete classification of ends of hyperkähler 4-manifolds with finite $\int |Rm|^2$ is proved. In particular, they showed that the end of a hyperkähler Ricci-flat 4-manifold with finite $\int |Rm|^2$ must be of type ALE, ALF, ALG, ALG*, ALH, or ALH*, meaning that they are asymptotic to different types of model spaces.

Definition 1.3. The ALH model space is given by the Riemannian manifold (M_{model}, h_{model}) , where $M_{model} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3$ with the standard flat metric h_{model} . We say that a Type II Ricci-flat 4-manifold (M, h) is ALH if, outside of suitable compact sets K, K' , there exists a smooth diffeomorphism $\Phi : M \setminus K \rightarrow M_{model} \setminus K'$ such that $|\nabla_h^k (h - h_{model})|_h = O(\rho^{-\epsilon-k})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and all $k \geq 0$, where ρ is the distance function under the metric h to some base point.

We will prove that:

Theorem 1.3. *There is no Type II Ricci-flat ALH 4-manifold.*

This theorem follows from the exponential decay of ALH gravitational instantons proved in Theorem 4.17 in Chen-Chen [CC21], which is purely a PDE argument that does not use complex geometry.

Compact 4-dimensional Hermitian Einstein metrics have been extensively studied. LeBrun’s work [LeB95, LeB12] has shown that compact Hermitian Einstein 4-manifolds are limited to being either Kähler-Einstein, the Page metric in [Pag79], or the Chen-LeBrun-Weber metric in [CLW08]. Therefore, in our terminology, compact Type II Einstein 4-manifolds can only be $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{P}^2}$ with the Page metric, or $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{P}^2} \# \overline{\mathbb{P}^2}$ with the Chen-LeBrun-Weber metric. In addition, LeBrun has extensively studied smooth Bach flat Kähler metrics in [LeB20]. The same conformal change $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$ relates compact Hermitian Einstein 4-manifolds and Bach flat Kähler 4-manifolds. Our Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 can be treated as a noncompact version of LeBrun’s results.

It is important to note that proving the non-existence of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, other than the Eguchi-Hanson, with structure group not in $SU(2)$ using our method will be difficult due to the need for a classification of log del Pezzo surfaces with one $U(2)$ singularity and holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action having the same weights at the singularity point. While the case-by-case classification used for the $SU(2)$ case can be extended to the $U(2)$ case, it will be significantly more complex. Unlike the $SU(2)$ case, the $U(2)$ case may not yield a finite number of complex orbifolds. Given the difficulty in achieving the condition that the scalar curvature of the Bach flat Kähler metric vanishes precisely at the orbifold point, we put forth the conjecture that:

Conjecture. There exist no Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, without any restrictions on the structure group, except for the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation.

Very little is currently known about general Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. Lock and Viaclovsky [LV19] showed that minimal resolutions of \mathbb{C}^2/Γ with $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ cannot support Ricci-flat ALE metrics that are not hyperkähler. In Biquard-Hein [BH19], they constructed an optimal ALE coordinate and associated renormalized volume, and showed that the renormalized volume has to be nonpositive. This volume is zero if and only if the Ricci-flat manifold is a flat cone \mathbb{R}^4/Γ . Recently there are also results about Type II Ricci-flat ALF 4-manifolds by Biquard and Gauduchon [BG22], where toric Type II Ricci-flat ALF 4-manifolds are classified. Our results provide better understanding about Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. To solve the problem we mentioned at the beginning, the difficulty essentially lies in:

Problem. Is there a Type III Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold?

Notations.

- On a Riemannian manifold (M, h) with a chosen base point p , ρ denotes the distance function to p .
- If T is a tensor on (M, h) , then we say that $T = O'_h(\rho^{-\tau})$ if, for any integer $k \geq 0$,

$$|\nabla_h^k T|_h = O(\rho^{-\tau-k}),$$

as ρ approaches infinity. We will omit h when it is clear from the context.

- $A_{r,s}(p, h)$ denotes the metric open annuli with radii r and s centered at the point p under the metric h . $B_r(p, h)$ denotes the metric open ball with radius r centered at the point p under the metric h . The metric may be omitted when there is no confusion.
- Given a holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{E} , by flows of this vector field, we mean the flows of the real vector field $\text{Re } \mathfrak{E}$.
- We will use H_r to denote the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(r))$.

Acknowledgement. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor, Song Sun, for introducing me to this problem and for providing constant support throughout the course of my research. I am grateful for his willingness to engage in fruitful discussions and for generously sharing his ideas with me. I would like to extend my thanks to Junsheng Zhang for providing me with a helpful example. I am grateful to Bing Wang for his warm hospitality during my visit to the Institute of Geometry and Physics at USTC, where this work was completed. I am thankful to Gonalo Oliveira for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of this paper.

This work is supported by NSF grant DMS-2004261 and Simons Collaboration Grant on Special Holonomy in Geometry, Analysis, and Physics (488633, S.S.).

2 Preliminary results

This section will examine some prior findings, including two central results attributed to Derdziński [Der83].

Proposition 2.1 (Derdziński). *For an oriented Einstein 4-manifold (M, h) , it must be of Type I, Type II, or Type III.*

Proof. Let μ, ν , and η be the three eigenvalues of W^+ (some of which possibly could coincide). The function $\Delta \triangleq (\mu - \nu)(\nu - \eta)(\eta - \mu)$ is well-defined and real analytic because Einstein metrics are real analytic. Therefore, we must have either $\Delta \neq 0$, and W^+ has three distinct eigenvalues generically, or $\Delta \equiv 0$, and W^+ has at most two distinct eigenvalues everywhere. If the second case happens, Proposition 5 in [Der83] implies that we either have $W^+ \equiv 0$, or W^+ has exactly two distinct eigenvalues everywhere which never vanish, as desired. \square

Proposition 2.2 (Derdziński). *Let (M, h) be an oriented Einstein 4-manifold that is of Type II. Then there exists a canonical complex structure J on M such that (M, h, J) is Hermitian and conformally Kähler. The conformal metric $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$ is Kähler under J , where*

$$\lambda = 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h.$$

It turns out that the scalar curvature s_g of g is $\lambda^{1/3}$. The function λ is positive everywhere because $W^+ \neq 0$ everywhere.

Proof. This proposition follows from Proposition 5 in [Der83]. We will briefly outline the construction of J for the reader's convenience. Since (M, h) is of Type II, W^+ has exactly two distinct eigenvalues at every point, and due to its tracelessness, two of its three eigenvalues coincide. Derdziński proved that the eigen-2-form of W^+ corresponding to the eigenvalue with multiplicity one, normalized to have unit length under g and compatible with the orientation, is parallel with respect to the metric g , which makes it Kähler. The eigen-2-form serves as the Kähler form, and together with g , determines the complex structure J . \square

2.1 Type I Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds

In the case that the Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold is of Type I, its structure is easy to understand.

Proposition 2.3. *If (M, h) is a Type I Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold, then its universal cover is hyperkähler. Therefore, (M, h) is a finite quotient of a Kronheimer's hyperkähler ALE 4-manifold.*

Proof. Thanks to the Ricci-flatness of (M, h) and the condition $W^+ \equiv 0$, the bundle of self-dual two forms Λ^+ is flat. On the universal cover (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) , this bundle is trivial, and parallel sections of it give us the hyperkähler triple on the covering space. The volume growth on the covering space is of course maximal, so automatically it has finite energy and is ALE, by [CN15] and [BKN89]. Therefore, (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) is a hyperkähler ALE 4-manifold. Since the fundamental group of (M, h) is known to be finite by Corollary 1.5 in [And90], it must be a finite isometric quotient of (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) . \square

Finite quotients of hyperkähler ALE 4-manifolds are already classified by Wright in [Wri12] and Şuvania in [Şuv12]:

Theorem 2.4. *For hyperkähler ALE 4-manifolds, only ALE Gibbons-Hawking spaces admit isometric finite quotients.*

The only hyperkähler ALE 4-manifolds (excluding flat ones) that admit isometric finite quotients are the ALE Gibbons-Hawking spaces. These spaces are constructed by applying the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz to $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ with the harmonic function $V(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{|x-p_i|}$. More precisely, the hyperkähler 4-manifold is the metric completion of the metric $V(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2) + V^{-1}\omega^2$ on the circle bundle over $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ with the connection 1-form ω defined by $d\omega = *\mathbb{R}^3 dV$.

2.2 Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds

For Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, Derdziński's result already shows that there exists a canonical complex structure such that the metric is Hermitian. The next lemma, which was first discovered by Goldberg and Sachs, shows that if an Einstein 4-dimensional metric is Hermitian but not Kähler, then it must be of Type II. Hence, an Einstein 4-dimensional metric being of Type II is equivalent to being Hermitian and non-Kähler.

Lemma 2.5 (Goldberg-Sachs). *Let (M, h, J) be a Hermitian Einstein 4-manifold, with the orientation given by the complex structure J . Then the self-dual Weyl curvature W^+ of h must be J -invariant, hence $W^+ : \Lambda^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^+$ has at most two distinct eigenvalues at every point of M .*

In dimension 4, a complete non-compact Ricci-flat manifold (M, h) satisfies the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem, which guarantees that $\text{Vol}(B_r(p)) \leq Cr^4$ for some constant C . If the rate of volume growth is maximal, in the sense that $\text{Vol}(B_r(p)) = O(r^4)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then Cheeger and Naber [CN15] proved that $\int_M |Rm_h|_h^2$ is bounded automatically. For such manifolds, classical result proved by Bando-Kasue-Nakajima in [BKN89] can be applied, and consequently (M, h) must be ALE with order at least 4. In particular, the Riemannian curvature of h decays as

$$Rm_h = O'_h(\rho^{-6}) \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the distance to a fixed chosen point in M measured by the metric h . This holds for general Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds.

Theorem 2.6 (Bando-Kasue-Nakajima). *Let (M, h) be a 4-dimensional Ricci-flat manifold with maximal volume growth and finite $\int |Rm|^2$, then (M, h) is ALE with order 4.*

Turning to Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, let us briefly discuss the associated Kähler metric g . Recall that for a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold (M, h) , we define $\lambda \triangleq 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$, and the conformal Kähler metric is given by $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$. In this case, (M, g) automatically possesses a Killing field $J\nabla_g \lambda^{1/3}$, which is also Killing with respect to (M, h) .

Proposition 2.7. *The vector field $K = J\nabla_g \lambda^{1/3}$ is a Killing field with respect to g , hence also a Killing field with respect to h . Here ∇_g is the Levi-Civita connection of the Kähler metric g .*

Proof. Because $\text{Ric}_h = 0$, after the conformal change $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$, we have for the traceless part of Ric_g that

$$\text{Ric}_{0,g} = -2 \frac{(\nabla_g d\lambda^{1/3})_0}{\lambda^{1/3}},$$

where the lower index 0 denotes the traceless part of a symmetric $(2,0)$ tensor. Since g is a Kähler metric, Ric_g is J -invariant, and so $\nabla_g d\lambda^{1/3}$ must be J -invariant as well. By a simple calculation it follows that vector field $\nabla_g \lambda^{1/3}$ is real holomorphic with respect to the complex structure J . The corresponding Hamiltonian vector field $K = J\nabla_g \lambda^{1/3}$ is Killing, as desired. \square

From basic calculations, we find that $K = \frac{1}{3}\lambda^{-4/3}J\nabla_h \lambda$. Moreover, due to the decay of the Riemannian curvature, the conformal factor $\lambda = 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$ decays as $\lambda = O'_h(\rho^{-6})$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. Since $K = J\nabla_g \lambda^{1/3} =$

$J\nabla_g s_g$ is holomorphic and Killing, the associated Kähler metric g is extremal. And $J\nabla_g s_g$ basically is the imaginary part of the holomorphic extremal vector field:

$$J\nabla_g s_g = -2\text{Im} \nabla_g^{1,0} s_g.$$

LeBrun showed that for a complex 2-dimensional Kähler metric g , being Bach flat is equivalent to being extremal and satisfying the equation

$$s_g \text{Ric}_{g,0} + 2\text{Hess}_0(s_g) = 0, \quad (2)$$

as given in equation (11)-(12) of [LeB20].

In the following we will introduce the Eguchi-Hanson metric but with reversed orientation, which is an example of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds.

Example 2.1 (Eguchi-Hanson). *The Eguchi-Hanson metric was constructed in [EH79]. Let $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$ be left-invariant orthogonal coframes for the sphere S^3 . The Eguchi-Hanson metric can be explicitly written down as*

$$h = \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4} dr^2 + r^2 \left(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + \left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4\right) \sigma_z^2 \right) \quad (3)$$

with $r > a$, and the entire metric is obtained by considering the metric completion. With the following orthonormal basis

$$e^0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4}} dr, \quad e^1 = r\sigma_x, \quad e^2 = r\sigma_y, \quad e^3 = r\sqrt{\left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4\right)} \sigma_z$$

and orientation given by $-e^0 \wedge e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3$, the self-dual Weyl curvature was calculated in [EH79] as

$$W^+ = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2a^4}{r^6} & & \\ & -\frac{2a^4}{r^6} & \\ & & \frac{4a^4}{r^6} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Here we are using $e^1 \wedge e^0 + e^2 \wedge e^3, e^2 \wedge e^0 + e^3 \wedge e^1, e^3 \wedge e^0 + e^1 \wedge e^2$ as a basis for Λ^+ . So it is clear that the metric with this orientation is of Type II. The complex structure would be given by $J : e^0 \rightarrow e^3, e^2 \rightarrow e^1$. The function λ is $\lambda = 2\sqrt{6}|W^+| = 24\frac{a^4}{r^6}$. The conformal metric $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$ is Kähler and is incomplete. The scalar curvature of g would be $s_g = \lambda^{1/3} = 2\sqrt[3]{3a^4\frac{1}{r^2}}$, which is decaying to 0.

The Eguchi-Hanson metric as a hyperkähler metric has reversed orientation from above. With our above notations, the hyperkähler complex structures are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J} : e^2 &\rightarrow e^1, e^3 \rightarrow e^0 \\ \mathfrak{J} : e^1 &\rightarrow e^0, e^3 \rightarrow e^2 \\ \mathfrak{K} : e^3 &\rightarrow e^1, e^0 \rightarrow e^2. \end{aligned}$$

Under the hyperkähler complex structure \mathfrak{J} , as a complex manifold, the Eguchi-Hanson space would be $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. The complex structure J is just the complex structure \mathfrak{J} , with $e^3 \rightarrow e^0$ replaced by $e^0 \rightarrow e^3$. It is easy to see that under the complex structure J , the Eguchi-Hanson space as a complex manifold is $\mathcal{O}(2)$. It can be compactified to the Hirzebruch surface $H_2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ by adding a curve $C_\infty = \mathbb{P}^1$ with self-intersection -2 at infinity, and this divisor can be contracted to an orbifold point with orbifold group \mathbb{Z}_2 . The surface we get after the contraction is log del Pezzo, and is the complex surface in our corresponding in Theorem 1.1, and the Kähler metric g extends to this complex surface as an orbifold Kähler metric.

3 Killing fields

In this section, we will investigate how Killing fields behave under Gromov-Hausdorff convergence and on ALE and ALH ends. The intuition is that, as a solution to a second-order ODE with controlled initial values, the Killing field will also be controlled. The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be presented as a direct application of our results in this section.

3.1 Convergence of Killing fields

As a Killing field, X satisfies the second order ordinary differential equation $\nabla_{V,W}^2 X = -R(X, V)W$. It is easy to prove the next convergence result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M_i, h_i, p_i) be a sequence of pointed Riemannian manifolds that converges to a pointed Riemannian manifold $(M_\infty, h_\infty, p_\infty)$ in $C^{2,\alpha}$ sense. Assume further that (M_i, h_i) carries a Killing field X_i , which satisfies the bound*

$$|X_i(p_i)|, |\nabla X_i(p_i)| \leq C$$

for some constant C . Then there exists a Killing field X_∞ on $(M_\infty, h_\infty, p_\infty)$ such that after passing to some subsequence, X_i converges to X_∞ in $C^{2,\beta}$ topology for any $\beta < \alpha$.

Proof. The $C^{2,\alpha}$ convergence of the sequence of Riemannian manifolds implies that the coefficients of the second-order ODE system $\nabla_{h_i, V, W}^2 X_i = -R_{h_i}(X_i, V)W$ are bounded in the $C^{0,\alpha}$ norm. Therefore, the solutions X_i to this ODE system must be at least $C^{2,\alpha}$ -bounded. We now know from the equation that X_i are uniformly bounded in the $C^{2,\alpha}$ norm. By passing to a subsequence, the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem gives $C^{2,\beta}$ convergence of X_i for any $\beta < \alpha$, as required. \square

3.2 Killing fields on ALE spaces

Next, we shift our attention to Killing fields on 4-dimensional ALE spaces. Suppose (M^4, h) is a Riemannian manifold with an ALE end, and assume it carries a Killing field X . We will work under the assumption that the ALE order τ satisfies $\tau > 1$. Furthermore, since we are interested in the Ricci-flat case, we require that the structure group Γ of the ALE end is nontrivial (i.e., not equal to the identity element e). The point is that under this assumption, Killing fields on the cone \mathbb{R}^4/Γ pulled back to \mathbb{R}^4 always take the form

$$\sum_{i \leq j} \alpha_{ij} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad (5)$$

which must be invariant under the Γ action on \mathbb{R}^4 . The nontriviality of Γ excludes Killing fields like $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. Killing fields on the cone \mathbb{R}^4/Γ can be written as $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ by supposing that the matrix (α_{ij}) is anti-symmetric.

The first observation is that the growth rate of X is at most ρ . Specifically, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. $|X| \leq C\rho, |\nabla X| \leq C$, for some constant C depends on M and the metric h .

This lemma can be obtained easily using comparison geometry.

Proof. The curvature tensor of the metric on the ALE end decays as $Rm_h = O'(\rho^{-\tau-2})$. Therefore we consider the following comparison space constructed as a warped product

$$(\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^3, d\rho^2 + f(\rho)^2 g_{S^3}), \quad (6)$$

where we take $f(\rho) = (\rho + 1)e^{A(\rho+1)^{-\tau}}$. We denote the Levi-Civita connection of this warped product by $\overline{\nabla}$. The sectional curvature of any plane containing ∂_ρ can be found through a simple calculation, and is given by

$$-\frac{f''(\rho)}{f(\rho)} = -\left(A(\tau^2 - \tau)(\rho + 1)^{-\tau-2} + A^2 \tau^2 (\rho + 1)^{-2\tau-2} \right).$$

By taking A large enough, we may assume that

$$-\left(A(\tau^2 - \tau)(\rho + 1)^{-\tau-2} + A^2 \tau^2 (\rho + 1)^{-2\tau-2} \right) < \sec_h(\rho). \quad (7)$$

Here the inequality means that sectional curvature of the metric h at every point with distance ρ to the base point p is bounded from below by the function on the left. Equation (7) ensures that the Rauch comparison theorem can be applied. For the base point $p \in M$ and any unit vector $v \in T_p M$, let γ be the geodesic in the direction v in M . X as a Killing field, must be a Jacobi field along the geodesic γ . It can be uniquely decomposed as $X = J + Q$ on γ , where J is the Jacobi field along γ with initial conditions $J(0) = 0$ and $\frac{d}{dt} J(0) = \nabla_v X$, and $Q \triangleq X - J$. Here $h(\nabla_v X, v)(p) = 0$ since the operator $Y \mapsto \nabla_Y X$ is anti-symmetric.

To apply the comparison theorem, pick geodesic $\bar{\gamma}(t) = (t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^3$ in the comparison space, where $s \in S^3$ is any chosen point. Let E be a unit length parallel vector field along $\bar{\gamma}$ that is orthogonal to $\bar{\gamma}$. If $g(\rho)E$ is a Jacobi field along $\bar{\gamma}$, we would have

$$g''(\rho) - \left(A(\tau^2 - \tau)(\rho + 1)^{-\tau-2} + A^2\tau^2(\rho + 1)^{-2\tau-2} \right) g(\rho) = 0. \quad (8)$$

As an ODE, it has two special solutions

$$g_1(\rho) = f(\rho), \quad g_2(\rho) = f(\rho) \int \frac{1}{f^2(\rho)}, \quad (9)$$

which obviously both have linear growth at infinity. By choosing constants suitably, Jacobi fields $\bar{J}(t) = (A_J g_1(t) + B_J g_2(t))E$ and $\bar{Q}(t) = (A_Q g_1(t) + B_Q g_2(t))E + \langle X(0), v \rangle \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t)$ can be constructed along $\bar{\gamma}$, such that

- $|\bar{J}(0)| = |J(0)| = 0, |\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(0)} \bar{J}(0)| = |\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} J(0)|;$
- $|\bar{Q}(0)| = |Q(0)|, \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(0)} \bar{Q}(0), \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(0) \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} Q(0), \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle = 0.$

As there are no focal points and conjugate points along $\bar{\gamma}$, applying Theorem 1.33 and Theorem 1.34 in [CE] to Jacobi fields J, \bar{J}, Q, \bar{Q} respectively, we get the following two inequalities which hold for all t :

$$|J(t)| \leq |A_J g_1(t) + B_J g_2(t)|, \quad (10)$$

$$|Q(t)| \leq |A_Q g_1(t) + B_Q g_2(t)| + |\langle X(0), v \rangle|. \quad (11)$$

Constants A_J, B_J, A_Q, B_Q can be bounded by a constant which only depends on $|X(p)|, |\nabla X(p)|$ and does not depend on the choice of $v \in T_p M$. Hence, there is a constant C such that $|X| = |J + Q| \leq C\rho$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$.

As for the bound on $|\nabla X|$, notice that $|\nabla^2 X| = |R(X, \cdot) \cdot| \leq C\rho^{-1-\tau}$. Taking integration from any point x to a chosen point q we get $|\nabla X(x)| \leq |\nabla X(q)| + \int_{qx} |\nabla |\nabla X|| \leq |\nabla X(q)| + C(\rho^{-\tau}(q) - \rho^{-\tau}(x))$. The conclusion that $|\nabla X|$ is bounded follows. \square

The assumption that (M, h) has ALE end with order τ ensures that outside of a compact set K , $M \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0))/\Gamma$ for some R large enough. Denote the quotient map $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0))/\Gamma = M \setminus K$ by q . Then in the Euclidean coordinate (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) on \mathbb{R}^4 , we have

$$q^*h = h_E + O'(\rho^{-\tau}).$$

Here, $h_E = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2$ is the Euclidean metric and q^*h is the metric on $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0)$ pulled back from the metric h on $M \setminus K$. We therefore can pass everything to the Euclidean end and the Euclidean coordinate. Let $A_{r,s}^E(0)$ be the Euclidean annulus with radii r, s in \mathbb{R}^4 , and ρ_E be the Euclidean distance function to the origin. The pulled back metric q^*h lives on $A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$. As $r_i \rightarrow \infty$, the rescaled sequence of annuli $(A_{r_i, 2r_i}^E(0), r_i^{-2} q^*h)$ converge smoothly to $(A_{1,2}^E(0), h_E)$ because of the of ALE coordinate. In $(A_{r_i, 2r_i}^E(0), r_i^{-2} q^*h)$,

$$|q^*X|_{r_i^{-2} q^*h} + |\nabla_{r_i^{-2} q^*h} q^*X|_{r_i^{-2} q^*h} \leq C$$

because of Lemma 3.2. Theorem 3.1 can be applied and we get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Given a sequence of numbers $r_i \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence (which we still denote by r_i) and a Killing field X_∞ on $(A_{1,2}^E(0), h_E)$ such that q^*X on $A_{r_i, 2r_i}^E(0)$ converges to X_∞ smoothly.*

The limit Killing field X_∞ on the Euclidean annulus $A_{1,2}^E(0)$ can be extended to the end $A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$, which we still denote by X_∞ . It is Killing under the Euclidean metric h_E . The convergence of q^*X now says

$$|q^*X - X_\infty|_{r_i^{-2} q^*h} + |\nabla_{r_i^{-2} q^*h} q^*X - \nabla_{r_i^{-2} q^*h} X_\infty|_{r_i^{-2} q^*h} \leq \epsilon_i \quad (12)$$

on $A_{r_i, 2r_i}^E(0)$, where $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By taking integrals to infinity, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *On $A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$, $\nabla_{q^*h}(q^*X - X_\infty) = O'(\rho^{-\tau})$, $q^*X - X_\infty = O(1)$.*

Proof. Since (12), on each annulus $A_{r_i, 2r_i}^E(0)$,

$$|\nabla_{q^*h}(q^*X - X_\infty)|_{q^*h} \leq \epsilon_i.$$

From Lemma 3.2, globally we have

$$\nabla_{q^*h}^2 q^*X = -q^*R(q^*X, \cdot) = O'(\rho^{-\tau-1}).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{q^*h}^2(q^*X - X_\infty) &= \nabla_{q^*h}^2 q^*X - \nabla_{h_E}^2 X_\infty + (\nabla_{h_E}^2 - \nabla_{q^*h}^2)X_\infty \\ &= \nabla_{q^*h}^2 q^*X - \nabla_{h_E}^2 X_\infty + O'(\rho^{-\tau-1})\nabla_{h_E} X_\infty + O'(\rho^{-\tau-2})X_\infty \\ &= O'(\rho^{-\tau-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

For any point $x \in A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$, taking point $p_i \in A_{r_i, 2r_i}^E(0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{q^*h}(q^*X - X_\infty)|_{q^*h}(x) &\leq |\nabla_{q^*h}(q^*X - X_\infty)|_{q^*h}(p_i) + \int_{xp_i} |\nabla_{q^*h}^2(q^*X - X_\infty)| \\ &\leq \epsilon_i + C\rho^{-\tau}(x). \end{aligned}$$

By taking $i \rightarrow \infty$, one can conclude $|\nabla_{q^*h}(q^*X - X_\infty)|_{q^*h} \leq C\rho^{-\tau}$. Combining with (13), we get $\nabla_{q^*h}(q^*X - X_\infty) = O'(\rho^{-\tau})$. Integrating this from an arbitrary chosen point $q \in A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$ to any other point x with $\rho(x)$ large yields:

$$\begin{aligned} |q^*X - X_\infty|_{q^*h}(x) &\leq |q^*X - X_\infty|_{q^*h}(q) + \int_{qx} |\nabla_{q^*h}(q^*X - X_\infty)| \\ &\leq |q^*X - X_\infty|_{q^*h}(q) + C\rho(q)^{-\tau+1} - C\rho(x)^{-\tau+1}. \end{aligned}$$

From this it is easy to draw the conclusion that $q^*X - X_\infty = O(1)$. \square

The nontriviality of Γ guarantees the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that Y is a Γ -invariant vector field on the Euclidean annulus $A_{N,\infty}^E(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, satisfying $Y = O(1)$ and $\nabla_{q^*h} Y = O(\rho^{-\tau})$ for $\tau > 1$. Then, $Y = o(1)$, meaning that $\sup_{A_{n,\infty}^E(0)} |Y|_{\pi^*h}$ decreases to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. First, we write Y as $Y = \sum a_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. Since h satisfies the ALE condition, we have $\nabla_{h_E} Y = O(\rho^{-\tau})$. Consequently, we have $\nabla_{h_E} a_i = O(\rho^{-\tau})$, which implies that all a_i have finite limits at infinity. Let us denote these limits by a_i^∞ . As Y is Γ -invariant, so is its limit $\sum a_i^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. Since Γ is nontrivial, this forces all a_i^∞ to be 0. Therefore, Y decays to 0 as we go to infinity. \square

Returning to Proposition 3.4, note that $q^*X - X_\infty$ is Γ -invariant and satisfies the decay conditions in the preceding lemma, so we must have $q^*X - X_\infty = o(1)$. This enables us to refine the asymptotic behavior of the Killing field X .

Proposition 3.6. *On $A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$, $q^*X - X_\infty = O'(\rho^{-\tau+1})$ for $\tau > 1$.*

Proof. By integrating $\nabla_{q^*h}(q^*X - X_\infty) = O'(\rho^{-\tau})$ to infinity and using the fact that $q^*X - X_\infty = o(1)$, the proposition can be easily established. \square

Because of the result of Bando-Kasue-Nakajima, we can take $\tau = 4$ for Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, and previous result reads as

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose X is a Killing field on a Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold (M, h) . After fixing an ALE coordinate, there is a vector field X_∞ on the end that is Killing with respect to the chosen Euclidean metric, such that*

$$X - X_\infty = O'(\rho^{-3}).$$

3.3 No Type II Ricci-flat ALH 4-manifolds

Theorem 4.17 in Chen-Chen [CC21] shows that, if a Ricci-flat Riemannian 4-manifold (M, h) is asymptotic to the standard flat model $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3$ with order ϵ , in the sense that there is a diffeomorphism

$$\Phi : M \setminus K \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3) \setminus B_R(0),$$

such that

$$\Phi_*h = h_E + O'_h(\rho^{-\epsilon}), \text{ with } h_E \text{ the standard flat metric,}$$

then actually the curvature tensor Rm_h of (M, h) decays exponentially. That is, there are positive constants C, a such that

$$|Rm_h| \leq Ce^{-a\rho}$$

Note that this is purely a PDE argument and does not need the hyperkähler condition.

Lemma 3.8. *For a Killing field X on a Ricci-flat 4-manifold (M, h) that is asymptotic to the ALH model space $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3$ with order ϵ , we have $|X| \leq C$.*

Proof. This can be proved similarly as Lemma 3.2, with the comparison space $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3, d\rho^2 + e^{-2e^{-A\rho}} g_{\mathbb{T}^3})$. \square

Recall the Killing field K on a Type II Ricci-flat 4-manifold (M, h) is given by $K = -J\nabla_h \lambda^{-1/3}$.

Theorem 3.9. *There is no Type II Ricci-flat 4-manifold that is asymptotic to the ALH hyperkähler end.*

Proof. Integrating the bound in the above lemma from a chosen base point p yields

$$\lambda^{-1/3}(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/3}(p) + \int_{px} |K|_h \leq C\rho(x) + C.$$

However, $\lambda = 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$ decays exponentially, which means $\lambda^{-1/3}$ at least grows exponentially. Contradiction. \square

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4 Compactification

Recall that for a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold (M, h) , we can associate a conformal metric $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$. This metric is Bach flat Kähler, and hence extremal. The vector field $J\nabla_g s_g$ is Killing. In this section, we will prove that (M, g) can be naturally compactified to a Kähler orbifold by adding one point. This will establish the correspondence stated in Theorem 1.1.

4.1 Metric completion and lower bound on the Killing field K

By fixing an ALE coordinate at infinity of (M, h) , we can apply Proposition 3.7 to conclude the existence of an Euclidean Killing field K_∞ , such that $K - K_\infty = O'(\rho^{-3})$.

Proposition 4.1. *The Euclidean Killing field K_∞ is nowhere vanishing.*

Proof. The Killing field $K = -J\nabla_h \lambda^{-1/3}$ at most grows like ρ because of Lemma 3.2. Integrating this from the base point p , one gets

$$\lambda^{-1/3}(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/3}(p) + \int_{px} |\nabla_h \lambda^{-1/3}|_h \leq \lambda^{-1/3}(p) + C \int_{px} \rho \leq C\rho^2(x)$$

for some constant C when ρ is large enough. This implies $\lambda \geq C\rho^{-6}$. With the curvature decay ensured by Bando-Kasue-Nakajima, it follows that

$$C_1\rho^{-6} \leq \lambda \leq C_2\rho^{-6} \tag{14}$$

holds on the end of M .

We prove the proposition by contradiction. After pulling back using $\mathfrak{q} : \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0))/\Gamma = M \setminus K$ to pass to the cover of the end, let us suppose that the Euclidean Killing field $K_\infty = \sum_{i \leq j} \alpha_{ij} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ vanishes at some point. Then, the equation $(\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4} (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T = 0$ must have a nontrivial solution $v = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. Consider the ray $\mathbb{R}_+ v \subset A_{N,\infty}^E$. Along this ray we have

$$\nabla_h \lambda^{-1/3} = JK_\infty + O(\rho^{-3}) = O(\rho^{-3}). \quad (15)$$

Integrating (15) along this ray, one gets that along this ray

$$\lambda^{-1/3} \leq C.$$

The inequality $\lambda \geq C'$ holds along $\mathbb{R}_+ v$, which contradicts the fact that λ is decaying. \square

Remark 4.1. *The proof also implies the existence of constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$C_1 \rho^{-6} \leq |W^+|_h \leq C_2 \rho^{-6}. \quad (16)$$

This result has an interesting consequence: if the self-dual Weyl curvature of a Hermitian Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold decays faster than ρ^{-6} , then the manifold must be Kähler. This shows Corollary 1.4.

The bound (14) tells us that the conformal factor satisfies $C_1 \rho^{-4} \leq \lambda^{2/3} \leq C_2 \rho^{-4}$ at infinity.

Proposition 4.2. *The metric completion of (M, g) is adding one point q at infinity.*

Proof. In the fixed ALE coordinate, $\mathfrak{q}^* h = h_E + O'(\rho^{-4})$. The conformal metric g hence satisfies $\mathfrak{q}^* g = \lambda^{2/3} (h_E + O'(\rho^{-4}))$. The proposition follows easily from $C_1 \rho^{-4} \leq \lambda^{2/3} \leq C_2 \rho^{-4}$. \square

The metric completion will be denoted by $\widehat{M} = M \cup \{q\}$.

4.2 Singularity removal

The conclusion of Proposition 4.2 is not sufficient to prove that the metric completion of (M, g) is a Kähler orbifold. However, it turns out that the curvature tensor of g is bounded near q , which allows us to apply singularity removal techniques. The boundedness of the curvature tensor of g near q cannot be directly deduced from the calculation of the conformal change. Rather, it requires the Kähler condition to be satisfied. We define r_q to be the distance function to q in \widehat{M} equipped with the length space structure induced by the metric g . A tensor T on $\widehat{M} \setminus \{q\}$ is said to be $O'_g(r_q^\tau)$ if for any integer $k \geq 0$, there exists a constant C such that

$$|\nabla_g^k T|_g \leq C r_q^{\tau-k}$$

near the added point q .

Theorem 4.3. *The Kähler extremal metric g on M extends to a smooth Kähler extremal orbifold metric \widehat{g} on \widehat{M} . The metric \widehat{g} actually is Bach flat.*

The rest of this subsection is devoted to proving this theorem. Only need to show that g can be extended smoothly. The statement that \widehat{g} is Bach flat follows from the fact that g is Bach flat.

Proposition 4.4. *$|Rm_g|_g$ is bounded near q .*

Proof. In the proof ∇ refers to the Levi-Civita connection of g . Since the Riemannian curvature decomposes to the scalar curvature part, the traceless Ricci curvature part, and the Weyl curvature part, we only need to treat them separately.

For the scalar curvature, $s_g = \lambda^{1/3}$ is decaying as $O'_h(\rho^{-2})$, in particular it is bounded near q . Note that

$$|s_g| \leq C r_q^2, \quad |\nabla_g s_g|_g = \lambda^{-1/3} |\nabla_h s_g|_h \leq C \rho^{-1} \leq C r_q, \dots$$

by a simple calculation, which shows $s_g = O'_g(r_q^2)$ as $r_q \rightarrow 0$.

For the Weyl curvature, W is conformally invariant as a $(3,1)$ tensor, therefore $|W|_g = \lambda^{-2/3}|W|_h = O'_h(\rho^{-2})$. Similarly, one can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_g W)(X, Y, Z) &= \nabla_g(W(X, Y, Z)) - W(\nabla_g X, Y, Z) - W(X, \nabla_g Y, Z) - W(X, Y, \nabla_g Z) \\ &= \nabla_h W(X, Y, Z) + d \log(\lambda^{1/3})W(X, Y, Z) + W(X, Y, Z) \log(\lambda^{1/3}) - g(\cdot, W(X, Y, Z)) \nabla_h \log(\lambda^{1/3}) \\ &\quad - W(\nabla_h X + d \log(\lambda^{1/3})X + X(\log(\lambda^{1/3})), Y, Z) - g(\cdot, X) \nabla_h \log(\lambda^{1/3}), Y, Z) \\ &\quad - W(X, \nabla_h Y + d \log(\lambda^{1/3})Y + Y(\log(\lambda^{1/3})), Z) - g(\cdot, Y) \nabla_h \log(\lambda^{1/3}), Z) \\ &\quad - W(X, Y, \nabla_h Z + d \log(\lambda^{1/3})Z + Z(\log(\lambda^{1/3}))) - g(\cdot, Z) \nabla_h \log(\lambda^{1/3}). \end{aligned}$$

From this, one can conclude that $|\nabla_g W|_h = O_h(\rho^{-7})$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ and that $|\nabla_g W|_g = O_g(r_q)$ near q . Calculating higher order derivatives of W , we get $W = O'_g(r_q^2)$ near q .

For the Ricci curvature, the following computation was used by Tanno in [Tan72]. In dimension 4 we have

$$2\nabla_\alpha W_{\beta\gamma\eta}^\alpha = (\nabla_\eta R_{\beta\gamma} - \nabla_\gamma R_{\beta\eta}) - \frac{1}{6}(g_{\beta\gamma} \nabla_\eta s_g - g_{\beta\eta} \nabla_\gamma s_g). \quad (17)$$

Here $R_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Ricci curvature. This shows

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\eta R_{\beta\gamma} - \nabla_\gamma R_{\beta\eta} &= 2\nabla_\alpha W_{\beta\gamma\eta}^\alpha + \frac{1}{6}(g_{\beta\gamma} \nabla_\eta s_g - g_{\beta\eta} \nabla_\gamma s_g) \\ &= O'_g(r_q), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

as a $(3,0)$ tensor. The Kähler condition gives that the Ricci curvature is J -invariant and the complex structure J is parallel, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\beta\gamma} &= R_{\mu\nu} J_\beta^\mu J_\gamma^\nu. \\ \nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} &= \nabla_\alpha R_{\mu\nu} J_\beta^\mu J_\gamma^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Therefore by applying (18),

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} &= \nabla_\beta R_{\alpha\gamma} + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= \nabla_\beta R_{\mu\nu} J_\alpha^\mu J_\gamma^\nu + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= \nabla_\mu R_{\beta\nu} J_\alpha^\mu J_\gamma^\nu + O'_g(r_q), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Similarly,

$$\nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} = \nabla_\mu R_{\nu\gamma} J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu + O'_g(r_q). \quad (21)$$

Finally combining (19), (20), and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} &= \nabla_\mu R_{\nu\gamma} J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= \nabla_\mu R_{\phi\psi} J_\nu^\phi J_\gamma^\psi J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= \nabla_\theta R_{\phi\tau} J_\mu^\theta J_\psi^\tau J_\nu^\phi J_\gamma^\psi J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= -\nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} + O'_g(r_q). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Thus $\nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} = O'_g(r_q)$ as a $(3,0)$ tensor, which shows the Ricci curvature of g is also bounded near q . \square

Remark 4.2. From the proof, we actually have the bound

$$\nabla_g Rm_g = O'_g(r_q)$$

near q .

Proposition 4.5. There are positive constants V_1, V_2 such that

$$V_1 r^4 \leq \text{Vol}(B_g(q, r)) \leq V_2 r^4. \quad (23)$$

Proof. This is because $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$ with h ALE and $C_1\rho^{-6} < \lambda < C_2\rho^{-6}$. \square

Proposition 4.6. *The tangent cone of (\widehat{M}, g) at q is unique, isometric to \mathbb{R}^4/Γ with the same Γ as the structure group of the ALE end. Moreover, the convergence to the tangent cone is in C^∞ topology.*

Proof. In the proof, the norms $|\cdot|$ and the connection ∇ are associated with the metric g . Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 imply that each tangent cone must be a flat cone, and thus is isomorphic to some \mathbb{R}^4/Γ' . The estimate $|\nabla^k Rm| = O'(r_q^{2-k})$ shows that when we consider the rescaled spaces $(\widehat{M}, s_i^{-2}g, q)$ with $s_i \rightarrow 0$, the quantity $|\nabla_{s_i}^k Rm_{s_i}|_{s_i^{-2}g}$ is uniformly bounded, from which we obtain the C^∞ convergence to each tangent cone.

Since each annulus around q in g is diffeomorphic to an annulus near infinity in h , the tangent cone \mathbb{R}^4/Γ' of g at q is diffeomorphic to the tangent cone of h at infinity, namely \mathbb{R}^4/Γ . Since flat metrics are unique up to diffeomorphism, it follows that \mathbb{R}^4/Γ' is isometric to \mathbb{R}^4/Γ , as claimed. \square

Denote by B_Γ the standard metric ball in \mathbb{R}^4/Γ with radius 1 and B_Γ^* the corresponding punctured ball.

Proposition 4.7. *There is a C^3 diffeomorphism $E : B_\Gamma^* \rightarrow B_1(q) \setminus q$, such that E^*g extends to a $C^{1,\alpha}$ orbifold metric on B_Γ .*

Proof. The information about the tangent cone already tells us we can find a smooth diffeomorphism $E' : B_\Gamma^* \rightarrow B_1(q) \setminus q$, such that E'^*g extends to a C^0 orbifold metric. See Proposition 5.10 in Donaldson-Sun [DS14]. Then with the bound on the Riemannian curvature, Proposition 5.14 in [DS14] gives us the desired C^3 diffeomorphism E . \square

From now on, by passing to the orbifold cover, we can always assume that we are working in the case where $\Gamma = \{e\}$. Since g is $C^{1,\alpha}$, the complex structure, which is compatible with g , is also $C^{1,\alpha}$. The integrability theorem says, modifying by a $C^{2,\alpha}$ diffeomorphism, the complex structure J is standard near the point q , meaning that there is a $C^{2,\alpha}$ coordinates system near q in which the complex structure is standard. In the following we will work in this standard complex coordinate.

Proposition 4.8. *In the above complex coordinate, there are smooth functions f_i such that*

$$\frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = f_i g_{i\bar{j}}.$$

In particular, when $g_{i\bar{j}}$ is $C^{p,\alpha}$ in this fixed complex coordinates system, s_g is $C^{p+1,\alpha}$.

Proof. The Kähler metric g is $C^{1,\alpha}$ in this complex coordinate. The holomorphic vector field $\nabla^{1,0}s_g = \frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} g^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ in this coordinate can be extended across the origin by the Hartogs' theorem, since ∇s_g is bounded by Remark 4.2. In particular, coefficients of this holomorphic vector field $\frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} g^{i\bar{j}}$ must be C^∞ functions in this coordinate system. From this, setting $f_i = \frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} g^{i\bar{j}}$, one gets

$$\frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_k} = f_i g_{i\bar{k}}.$$

The proposition follows. \square

Next we improve the regularity of the metric around the point q . Proposition 4.7 already shows that we can treat g as a $C^{1,\alpha}$ metric on $B_1(q)$. Note that if we fix a base Kähler metric $h_{i\bar{j}}$, the scalar curvature $s(h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}})$ of the Kähler metric $h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}$ can be written as:

$$\det(h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}) = e^F \det(h_{i\bar{j}}), \quad (24)$$

$$s(h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}) = -\Delta_\varphi F + \text{tr}_\varphi \text{Ric}(h). \quad (25)$$

Here, Δ_φ denotes the the Laplace operator of the Kähler metric $h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}$.

Proposition 4.9. *For $p \geq 3$, $C^{p,\alpha}$ bound on F and $C^{p,\alpha}$ bound on φ give $C^{p+1,\alpha}$ bound on φ .*

Proof. This follows from the standard bootstrap arguments for the Monge-Ampere equation (24). \square

Proposition 4.10. *The metric g on $B_1(q) \setminus q$ extends to a smooth metric on $B_1(q)$.*

Proof. In the complex coordinate, we have $C^{1,\alpha}$ bound on $g_{i\bar{j}}$. By choosing the potential function φ suitably, it can be assumed that φ is $C^{3,\alpha}$ bounded, where $g_{i\bar{j}} = h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}$.

Starting with $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ bound on φ , we have $C^{k,\alpha}$ bound on $\varphi_{i\bar{j}}$. Together with Proposition 4.8, the elliptic equation (25) at least has $C^{k,\alpha}$ coefficients. Schauder's estimate gives $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ bound on F . Choosing $p = k + 2$ in Proposition 4.9, one gets $C^{k+3,\alpha}$ bound on φ . This shows, beginning from $k = 1$, by applying this bootstrap argument repeatedly, we can finally get C^∞ bound on φ in this complex coordinate. The proposition is proved. \square

Now Theorem 4.3 is proved. The extended complex structure on \widehat{M} will be denoted by \widehat{J} . Theorem 4.3 has the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. *On the metric completion $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$, the Killing field $K = J\nabla_g s_g$ extends to the Killing field $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$, which vanishes at the orbifold point q . Moreover, $s_{\widehat{g}} \geq 0$ and $s_{\widehat{g}} = 0$ only at q . The scalar curvature $s_{\widehat{g}}$ is a Morse-Bott function.*

Proof. The vanishing of the extended Killing field at q follows from the fact that $|K|_g \leq C\rho^{-1}$. The vanishing of the scalar curvature $s_{\widehat{g}}(q)$ follows from the decay of $s_g = \lambda^{1/3}$ as ρ^{-2} . Outside of q , $s_{\widehat{g}} > 0$ because $\lambda = 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$ is nowhere vanishing on M . The last statement follows from Lemma 1 in LeBrun's work [LeB20]. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Near the point q , we have $s_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}ar_q^2 + O(r_q^3)$ for some positive constant a . There exists a constant t_0 such that the time t_0 flow of the Killing field $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$ is the identity map.*

Proof. Since the Killing field $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$ vanishes at q , we have $\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}(q) = 0$. The Morse-Bott property implies that $\text{Hess}(s_{\widehat{g}})$ is nondegenerate at q . Equation (2) still holds when g is extended to \widehat{g} , and so we have $\text{Hess}_0(s_{\widehat{g}})(q) = 0$. Therefore, $\text{Hess}(s_{\widehat{g}})(q) = a\widehat{g}$ for some positive a , and we conclude that $s_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}ar_q^2 + O(r_q^3)$ near q , as claimed.

Since $\text{Hess}(s_{\widehat{g}})(q) = a\widehat{g}$, the time $2\pi/a$ flow of $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$ fixes the point q and its tangent space, meaning that the time $2\pi/a$ flow of this Killing field is the identity map. \square

4.3 Complex structure on the compactification

The special property of $s_{\widehat{g}}$ leads to the following proposition, which is essentially a modification of Proposition 2 in [LeB95].

Proposition 4.11. *$(\widehat{M}, \widehat{J})$ is a log del Pezzo surface. That is, $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{J})$ is a normal projective surfaces with at worst quotient singularities and ample anticanonical bundle.*

Proof. First, we show the existence of a Kähler current in $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$, and then prove ampleness using regularization. We note that although $-K_{\widehat{M}}$ may only be \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, for simplicity we will assume that it is Cartier.

The conformal relation $g = \lambda^{2/3}h = s_g^2 h$ and the Ricci-flat property of h imply

$$\text{Ric}_{g,ab} = -2s_g^{-1}\nabla_a\nabla_b s_g + \left(-s_g^{-1}\Delta s_g + 3|d\log s_g|^2\right)g_{ab}. \quad (26)$$

Here we are using the Levi-Civita connection of g . Taking the trace of (26), we get $s_g = -6s_g^{-1}\Delta s_g - 12|d\log s_g|^2$. Therefore,

$$\text{Ric}_{g,ab} + 2s_g^{-1}\nabla_a\nabla_b s_g = \left(\frac{s_g}{6} + |d\log s_g|^2\right)g_{ab}. \quad (27)$$

This suggests that we should consider the current $T = \rho_g + 2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log s_g$ in the class $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$, where ρ_g is the Ricci form of g . With (27), the associated symmetric form $\rho_{g,J} \triangleq \rho_g(\cdot, J\cdot)$ will be given by

$$\rho_{g,J,ab} = \frac{s_g}{6}g_{ab} + s_g^{-2}\left(|ds_g|^2 g_{ab} - (ds_g)_a(ds_g)_b - (Jds_g)_a(Jds_g)_b\right). \quad (28)$$

It is clear that $\rho_{g,J}$ is strictly positive, hence the current T is a Kähler current.

To show the ampleness, if \widehat{g}_{-K} denotes the hermitian metric on the line bundle $-K_{\widehat{M}}$ induced by \widehat{g} , then the form $\rho_g + 2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log s_g$ is the curvature form of the singular metric $\widehat{g}_{-K}e^{-2\log s_g}$. Demailly's classical

regularization theorem says if T is a current in $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$ and satisfies $T \geq \gamma$ for some smooth real $(1, 1)$ form γ , then there is a sequence of smooth forms θ_k in $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$ converges weakly to T such that

$$\theta_k \geq \gamma - C\lambda_k(x)\widehat{\omega}, \quad (29)$$

where C is a constant depends only on $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$, $\widehat{\omega}$ is the Kähler form of \widehat{g} , and $\lambda_k(x)$ is a decreasing sequence of continuous functions converging to the Lelong number $\nu(T, x)$ for every point x . The current T as the curvature of the singular metric $g_{-K}e^{-2\log s_g}$ on $-K_{\widehat{M}}$, its Lelong number is

$$\nu(T, x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \neq q, \\ 2, & \text{if } x = q, \end{cases}$$

because of Corollary 4.2. Taking a Riemannian normal coordinate near q , we have

$$\widehat{g}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + O(r_q^2), \quad \partial_k \widehat{g}_{ij} = O(r_q), \quad s_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}ar_q^2 + O(r_q^3).$$

So,

$$\frac{s_g}{g} g_{ab} + s_g^{-2} \left(|ds_g|^2 g_{ab} - (ds_g)_a (ds_g)_b - (Jds_g)_a (Jds_g)_b \right) \geq \frac{1}{12}ar_q^2 g_{ab} + \epsilon \frac{1}{r_q^2} g_{ab} + O(1)$$

for some small ϵ , as a symmetric 2-form near q . Now it is clear that γ can be chosen so that

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} N\widehat{\omega}, & \text{for } x \in B_r(q), \\ \epsilon\widehat{\omega}, & \text{for } x \notin B_1(q), \end{cases}$$

for some small r while $\gamma \geq \epsilon\widehat{\omega}$ holds on the entire \widehat{M} at the same time. Here N is a fixed large positive constant greater than $3C$, where C is the constant in (29). The regularization theorem of Demailly gives

$$\theta_k \geq \gamma - C\lambda_k(x)\widehat{\omega}.$$

λ_k decreases to 2 at q and 0 at other points. Hence, for k large, $\theta_k \geq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\widehat{\omega}$ and is strictly positive. It follows that there exists a smooth strictly positive form in $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$ and \widehat{M} is Fano, as desired. \square

A classical result now says that $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{J})$ is rational.

Lemma 4.12. *The minimal resolution \widetilde{M} of a log del Pezzo surface \widehat{M} , given by the map $r : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$, is a smooth rational surface.*

Proof. To show the rationality of \widetilde{M} , we only need to prove $q(\widetilde{M}) = p_2(\widetilde{M}) = 0$, where q is the irregularity and p_2 is the second plurigenus.

Since the singularities of \widehat{M} are at worst quotient which are log terminal, it follows

$$K_{\widetilde{M}} = r^*K_{\widehat{M}} + \sum a_i E_i,$$

where $-1 < a_i \leq 0$. As \widetilde{M} is a log del Pezzo surface, it follows that $[-r^*K_{\widehat{M}}]$ is big and nef. Therefore, by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, we have

$$q(\widetilde{M}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{M}}) = h^1(K_{\widetilde{M}} + [-r^*K_{\widehat{M}}]) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$p_2(\widetilde{M}) = h^0(2K_{\widetilde{M}}) = h^0(2r^*K_{\widehat{M}} + 2\sum a_i E_i) = 0,$$

because $a_i \leq 0$ and $-K_{\widehat{M}}$ is \mathbb{Q} -effective on \widehat{M} . \square

4.4 Correspondence between Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds and special Bach flat Kähler orbifolds

The previous discussion has demonstrated that the compactification of a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold yields a special Bach flat Kähler orbifold. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we still need to prove the other direction of our correspondence.

Theorem 4.13. *Given a special Bach flat Kähler orbifold $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ with the orbifold point q , there exists a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold (M, h) , where $M = \widehat{M} \setminus \{q\}$ and $h = s_{\widehat{g}}^{-2} \widehat{g}$.*

Proof. Because \widehat{g} is Bach flat Kähler, after the conformal change, (2) gives

$$\text{Ric}_{h,0} = \text{Ric}_{\widehat{g},0} + 2s_{\widehat{g}}^{-1} \text{Hess}_0(s_{\widehat{g}}) = 0.$$

The vanishing of the traceless Ricci curvature implies h is Einstein. Conformal relation also gives

$$s_h = s_{\widehat{g}}^3 + 6s_{\widehat{g}} \Delta s_{\widehat{g}} - 12|\nabla s_{\widehat{g}}|^2.$$

The right hand side is a continuous function on \widehat{M} which should be a constant because s_h is a constant. Since $s_{\widehat{g}}$ is Morse-Bott, $\nabla s_{\widehat{g}}(q) = 0$. Evaluating this equation at q , we get $s_h = 0$. Therefore h is a Ricci-flat metric.

Near q , $s_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}ar_q^2 + O(r_q^3)$. Hence

$$h = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}a^2r_q^4 + O(r_q^5)} g$$

around q , from which the maximal volume growth property follows easily.

As a Ricci-flat 4-manifold with maximal volume growth, by [CN15] and [BKN89] it is ALE, as claimed. \square

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5 Holomorphic vector fields and minimal resolutions

In section 5, we take a detour to study the weights of holomorphic vector fields, which is necessary for us to classify all the log del Pezzo surfaces coming from Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds.

As previously discussed in section 4, every Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold, as a complex surface, can be compactified to a rational log del Pezzo surface. The special Bach flat Kähler metric on \widehat{M} gives us the holomorphic extremal vector field $\nabla_{\widehat{g}}^{1,0} s_{\widehat{g}}$, which we later prove has the same weights at the orbifold point. This condition turns out to be very strong and enables us to classify all the possible log del Pezzo surfaces that arise from our compactifications when the orbifold group is in $SU(2)$.

We will consider quotient singularities of the form \mathbb{C}^2/Γ , where $\Gamma \subset U(2)$ is a finite subgroup that acts freely on S^3 . Such Γ gives rise to an orbifold singularity at the origin of \mathbb{C}^2/Γ with orbifold group Γ . We will describe the minimal resolution of this singularity in detail. Additionally, we will study holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* actions and the associated holomorphic vector fields on \mathbb{C}^2/Γ , which can be lifted to its minimal resolution. In section 5.1, we will provide a general description of finite subgroups $\Gamma \subset U(2)$ and the minimal resolutions of their corresponding orbifold singularities, along with a list of their eta invariants. In section 5.2, we will define the weights of a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action at an isolated fixed point. In section 5.3, we study the minimal way to blow up the origin of a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \mathbb{C}^2 with the aim of transforming the fixed point into a fixed curve in the exceptional set. In section 5.4, we study weights of the lifted holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on the minimal resolution of \mathbb{C}^2/Γ with cyclic Γ . In section 5.5, as a consequence of the cyclic case, the case where Γ is not cyclic is considered. Finally, in section 5.6, we will come back to the setting of log del Pezzo surfaces with one orbifold point that carries a special Bach flat Kähler metric.

Before proceeding, let us establish some definitions. By a \mathbb{C}^* action on \mathbb{C}^2/Γ given by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$, we always mean that after passing to the orbifold cover \mathbb{C}^2 , the action is given by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$. The action must commute with the Γ action on \mathbb{C}^2 .

Definition 5.1.

- For a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on a complex surface (orbifold), the infinitesimal generator of this action will be a vector field \mathfrak{E} , such that at any point p in the surface (orbifold), $\mathfrak{E}(p) = d(ev_p)_{t=1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})$, where ev_p is the evaluation map $ev_p(t) = t \cdot p$ with $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$.
- We say that a holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{E} generates a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action if \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action.
- A holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action will be called primitive if it cannot be written as a positive power of some other holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action. A holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action induces an S^1 action, which is realized by $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. The induced S^1 action is primitive when the \mathbb{C}^* action is primitive. When \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action, $-2\text{Im } \mathfrak{E}$ is the infinitesimal generator of the corresponding S^1 action.
- A general holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{E} induces a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action, if it is proportional to the infinitesimal generator of the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action by a positive constant.

5.1 Quotient singularities and their minimal resolutions

Minimal resolutions of quotient singularities \mathbb{C}^2/Γ were described by Brieskorn. In this section we briefly recall their structures. Materials in subsection 5.1.1 are taken from Lock-Viaclovsky [LV16].

5.1.1 Structure of minimal resolutions

Consider the quotient singularity \mathbb{C}^2/Γ , where $\Gamma \subset U(2)$ is a finite subgroup and we require that \mathbb{C}^2/Γ only has an orbifold singularity at the origin. This is equivalent to say that Γ as a finite subgroup of $U(2)$ contains no complex reflections. The group $SU(2)$ can be identified with unit quaternions $z_1 + z_2j \in \mathbb{H}$, where $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$. This identification allows us to view $SU(2)$ as the unit sphere S^3 . We define a map $\phi : S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow SO(4)$ by

$$\phi(q_1, q_2)(h) = q_1 h \bar{q}_2$$

for $h \in \mathbb{H}$, where we are taking quaternions multiplication. This map ϕ is a double cover of $SO(4)$, and when we restrict it to $S^1 \times S^3$ with $S^1 \subset S^3$ understood as the set of unit quaternions $z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$, it provides a double cover of $U(2)$. Now finite subgroups of $U(2)$ with no complex reflections can be described by Table 1.

Table 1: Finite subgroups of $U(2)$ acting freely on S^3 .

$\Gamma \subset U(2)$	Conditions	Order
$L(m, n)$	$(m, n) = 1$	n
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times D_{4n}^*)$	$(m, 2n) = 1$	$4mn$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times T^*)$	$(m, 6) = 1$	$24m$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times O^*)$	$(m, 6) = 1$	$48m$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times I^*)$	$(m, 30) = 1$	$120m$
$\mathfrak{J}_{m,n}^2 = \text{Index-2 diagonal} \subset \phi(L(1, 4m) \times D_{4n}^*)$	$(m, 2) = 2, (m, n) = 1$	$4mn$
$\mathfrak{J}_m^3 = \text{Index-3 diagonal} \subset \phi(L(1, 6m) \times T^*)$	$(m, 6) = 3$	$24m$

Here, the group $L(q, p)$ denotes the cyclic subgroup of $U(2)$ generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\frac{2\pi i q}{p}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group $L(1, 2m)$ is considered as a subgroup of S^1 in the natural way. The finite subgroups of $SU(2)$, denoted by D_{4n}^* , T^* , O^* , I^* , correspond to the binary dihedral, tetrahedral, octahedral, and icosahedral groups, respectively. Using the ADE classification for finite subgroups of $SU(2)$, we have that the group $L(-1, n+1)$ is of type A_n , the group D_{4n}^* is of type D_{n+2} , and the groups T^* , O^* , I^* are of types E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 , respectively.


Table 2: Generators of finite subgroups of $U(2)$ acting freely on S^3 .

$\Gamma \subset U(2)$	Generators
$L(q, p)$	$[e^{2\pi ik/p}, e^{2\pi i(1-k)/p}]$ with $2k \equiv (q+1) \pmod p$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times D_{4n}^*)$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, e^{\pi i/n}], [1, j]$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times T^*)$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, (1+i+j-k)/2], [1, (1+i+j+k)/2]$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times O^*)$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, e^{\pi i/4}], [1, (1+i+j+k)/2]$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times I^*)$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, (1+\tau i - \tau^{-1}k)/2], [1, (\tau + i + \tau^{-1}j)/2]$ with $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$
$\mathfrak{J}_{m,n}^2$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, e^{\pi i/n}], [e^{\pi i/(2m)}, j]$
\mathfrak{J}_m^3	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, i], [1, j], [e^{\pi i/(3m)}, (-1-i-j+k)/2]$

With the convention that $[\alpha, \beta]$ denotes the element in $SO(4)$ whose action on S^3 is $[\alpha, \beta]h = \alpha h \bar{\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in SU(2)$, we can write down the generators of the above groups in a more explicit manner as in Table 2.

Now it comes to the structure of the minimal resolution of \mathbb{C}^2/Γ .

For the cyclic case, the group Γ is $L(q, p)$, and the orbifold singularity is a Hirzebruch-Jung singularity. The exceptional divisors of its minimal resolution is a chain of rational curves with self-intersection $-e_i$, as illustrated below.




Here, each vertex denotes a rational curve with self intersection $-e_i$, and if there is a segment between two vertices, these two curves intersect transversely at one point. The numbers e_i are determined by the relatively prime integers $1 \leq q < p$, via the Hirzebruch-Jung continued fraction expansion

$$\frac{q}{p} = \frac{1}{e_1 - \frac{1}{e_2 - \frac{1}{e_3 - \dots - \frac{1}{e_k}}}}. \quad (30)$$

In particular, each $e_i \geq 2$.

The minimal resolutions of non-cyclic finite subgroups of $U(2)$ that act freely on S^3 have exceptional curves consisting of three chains of rational curves, each intersecting a single central rational curve.



Each chain $\{e_i^j\}$ with fixed j is a chain of exceptional curves of the minimal resolution of some $L(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. The number b_Γ here is given by

$$b_\Gamma = 2 + \frac{4m}{|\Gamma|} \left(m - \left(m \bmod \frac{|\Gamma|}{4m} \right) \right),$$

with m as in Table 1. $L(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ for each Γ is given by Table 3.

Table 3: $L(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ for non-cyclic subgroups.

$\Gamma \subset U(2)$	Conditions	$L(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times D_{4n}^*)$	$(m, 2n) = 1$	$L(1, 2) L(1, 2) L(-m, n)$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times T^*)$	$(m, 6) = 1$	$L(1, 2) L(-m, 3) L(-m, 3)$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times O^*)$	$(m, 6) = 1$	$L(1, 2) L(-m, 3) L(-m, 4)$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times I^*)$	$(m, 30) = 1$	$L(1, 2) L(-m, 3) L(-m, 5)$
$\mathfrak{J}_{m,n}^2 = \text{Index-2 diagonal} \subset \phi(L(1, 4m) \times D_{4n}^*)$	$(m, 2) = 1$ $(m, n) = 1$	$L(1, 2) L(1, 2) L(-m, n)$
$\mathfrak{J}_m^3 = \text{Index-3 diagonal} \subset \phi(L(1, 6m) \times T^*)$	$(m, 6) = 3$	$L(1, 2) L(1, 3) L(2, 3)$

5.1.2 Eta invariants and the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

In this subsection, we will discuss the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality for Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. The eta invariant of a finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset SO(4)$ is defined as

$$\eta(S^3/\Gamma) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{g \neq 1} \cot \frac{r(g)}{2} \cot \frac{s(g)}{2}.$$

Here $r(g)$ and $s(g)$ are the rotation numbers of $g \in \Gamma \subset SO(4)$. The following is the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality for 4-dimensional Ricci-flat ALE spaces, which can be found in Theorem 4.2 [Nak90].

Theorem 5.1. *For a 4-dimensional Ricci-flat ALE space (M, h) with end S^3/Γ and Euler number $\chi(M)$, signature $\tau(M)$, the following inequality holds:*

$$2 \left(\chi(M) - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \right) \geq 3 \left| \tau(M) - \eta(S^3/\Gamma) \right|. \quad (31)$$

Here $\eta(S^3/\Gamma)$ denotes the eta invariant of S^3/Γ . The equality holds if and only if (M, h) or the opposite orientation space of it is a quotient of a 4-dimensional ALE hyperkähler space.

For cyclic finite subgroups of $U(2)$, eta invariants are known to be

$$\eta \left(S^3/L(q, p) \right) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k e_i + \frac{q + q^{-1,p}}{p} \right) - k, \quad (32)$$

here e_i, k are the numbers appearing in (30), $q^{-1,p}$ is the inverse of q when mod p . For finite subgroups of $SU(2)$ of types A_n, D_n, E_n , eta invariants are

$$\eta \left(S^3/\Gamma \right) = -\frac{n(n-1)}{3(n+1)}, -\frac{2n^2-8n+9}{6(n-2)}, -\frac{49}{36}, -\frac{121}{72}, -\frac{361}{180}, \quad (33)$$

when $\Gamma = A_n, D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8$. Eta invariants of other finite subgroups of $U(2)$ are also calculated in [LV19].

5.2 Weights of holomorphic vector field at fixed points

Let X be the infinitesimal generator of a \mathbf{C}^* action on a complex surface, with a fixed point p (needs not be isolated). The next lemma shows that near p the holomorphic vector field X and the \mathbf{C}^* action can be put into a standard form.

Lemma 5.2. *There exist holomorphic coordinate (x, y) near p such that the \mathbf{C}^* action is given by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$ for some integers θ and τ , and the holomorphic vector field $X = \theta x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tau y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.*

Proof. To simplify matters, we can choose a hermitian metric near p that is invariant under the induced S^1 action. As this action fixes p , it induces an S^1 representation on the tangent space at p with weights θ and τ . We can then perform linear transformations to find holomorphic coordinates (z_1, z_2) ensuring that the weight vectors of this representation are $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$, respectively. In this local coordinate, $X = \theta z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \tau z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + O(|z|^2)$. Now a simple application of the implicit function theorem gives desired holomorphic coordinate (x, y) where $X = \theta x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tau y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. \square

From the proof, it is clear that θ, τ are uniquely determined by X , or the \mathbf{C}^* action. They are just the weights of the induced S^1 action on the tangent space of p . Hence, the following definition makes sense.

Definition 5.2. For a holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{G} as the infinitesimal generator of a \mathbf{C}^* action, the weights of the holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{G} , or the \mathbf{C}^* action, at a smooth fixed point p is the pair $[\theta, \tau]$, which are weights of the induced S^1 representation on the tangent space of this fixed point.

For a general holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{G} , who induces a \mathbf{C}^* action, writing $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ as the infinitesimal generator of the \mathbf{C}^* action, we define weights of \mathfrak{G} at a smooth fixed point p as $[k\theta, k\tau]$, where $[\theta, \tau]$ are weights of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ at p and k is the constant such that $\mathfrak{G} = k\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$.

If the fixed point is an isolated orbifold point, then weights of a holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{G} at this orbifold point are defined as weights of the holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{G} lifted to the local orbifold cover.

Remark 5.1. Note that weights at smooth fixed points are always integers, as they are weights of a \mathbf{C}^* action on the tangent space. However, at an orbifold point weights could be fractional. For example, consider $\mathbf{C}^2/L(2, 3)$. The action $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^{2/3}x, t^{4/3}y)$, even though it is not well-defined on \mathbf{C}^2 , still descends to $\mathbf{C}^2/L(2, 3)$. The induced \mathbf{C}^* action on $\mathbf{C}^2/L(2, 3)$ has weights $[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}]$ at the orbifold point. If the orbifold group Γ is non-cyclic, then the action at the orbifold point has to have same weights, since in such Γ there exists elements which swap the two complex planes.

The following lemma is a straightforward consequence of the fact that the weights of an action at a fixed point are precisely the weights of the induced action on the tangent space of the fixed point.

Lemma 5.3. Assume there exists coordinate (x, y) near the fixed point p , such that the \mathbf{C}^* action on $y = 0$ is given by $t \curvearrowright x = t^\theta x$ and on $x = 0$ is given by $t \curvearrowright y = t^\tau y$, then the weights of the action at p is $[\theta, \tau]$.

Proof. Easy to see that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ are two weight vectors with weights θ, τ at p . □

Remark 5.2. To determine the weights of a \mathbf{C}^* action at a fixed point, one can search for curves which are closures of \mathbf{C}^* orbits containing the fixed point and intersect transversely at the fixed point. The defining functions of these curves provide coordinates near the fixed point, and according to Lemma 5.3, the way that the group \mathbf{C}^* acts on these curves determines the weights at the fixed point. The tangent vectors of these curves are weight vectors.

The following proposition explains how blow-up changes weights of a holomorphic \mathbf{C}^* action.

Proposition 5.4. For a nontrivial holomorphic \mathbf{C}^* action with weights $[\theta, \tau]$ at a fixed point p , which is locally given by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$, the \mathbf{C}^* action lifts to the blow-up at p . And,

- if $\theta = \tau$, the exceptional curve E is a fixed curve of the lifted action, points in which all have weights $[\theta, 0]$;
- if $\theta \neq \tau$, the exceptional curve E admits two fixed points p_1, p_2 , with weights $[\theta_1, \tau_1], [\theta_2, \tau_2]$. Weights of them are $[\theta, \tau - \theta], [\theta - \tau, \tau]$.

Furthermore, if the blow-up is performed at a fixed point with weights $[\theta, \tau]$, where $\tau \leq 0 \leq \theta$ (note that θ and τ cannot be both equal to zero, otherwise the action would be trivial), then for the fixed points p_1 and p_2 in the exceptional curve, we also have $\tau_i \leq 0 \leq \theta_i$. This implies that the exceptional curve of such a blow-up cannot be a fixed curve under the \mathbf{C}^* action.

Proof. The blow-up at p can be parametrized by $(x, y) \times [a : b]$ with $xb = ya$. The group \mathbf{C}^* acts on the blow-up via

$$t \curvearrowright (x, y) \times [a : b] = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y) \times [t^\theta a, t^\tau b].$$


If $\theta = \tau$, it is clear that the exceptional curve, which is parametrized by $(0, 0) \times [a : b]$, is fixed by the action. At each point $(0, 0) \times [a : b]$, the tangent vector to the exceptional curve is a weight vector of the lifted \mathbf{C}^* action, whose weight is 0. The proper transform of the curve $xb - ya = 0$ passes through $(0, 0) \times [a : b]$, and its tangent vector at $(0, 0) \times [a : b]$ provides another weight vector. The weight of this weight vector is θ . Hence, the weights at $(0, 0) \times [a : b]$ are $[\theta, 0]$.

If $\theta \neq \tau$, then there are two fixed points in $(0, 0) \times [a : b]$, which are $(0, 0) \times [1 : 0]$ and $(0, 0) \times [0 : 1]$. Near the first point, the coordinate system $(x, xb) \times [1 : b]$ can be used, and the action is given by $t \cdot (x, b) = (t^\theta x, t^{\tau-\theta}b)$. Similarly, near the other point, the coordinate system $(ya, y) \times [a : 1]$ can be used, and the action is given by $t \cdot (y, a) = (t^\tau y, t^{\theta-\tau}a)$. Hence, the weights at $(0, 0) \times [1 : 0]$ are $[\theta, \tau - \theta]$, and the weights at $(0, 0) \times [0 : 1]$ are $[\theta - \tau, \tau]$.

The rest of the proposition is clear. □


Remark 5.3. The above proposition can be explained in the following way. Let us assume that $\theta \geq \tau$. Arrows in the following picture shows the direction of the flows of the \mathbb{C}^* action.

- If $\theta \geq \tau > 0$, the blow-up changes weights by



The exceptional curve E is a fixed curve if $\theta = \tau$. If $\theta > \tau$, flow on the exceptional curve is flowing from $E \cap \{x = 0\}$ to $E \cap \{y = 0\}$.

- If $\theta > 0 > \tau$, the blow-up changes weights by



The exceptional curve can never be a fixed curve, and flow on the exceptional curve is flowing from $E \cap \{x = 0\}$ to $E \cap \{y = 0\}$.

A weight vector with positive weight at a fixed point indicates that the flow is moving away from the fixed point along that direction, while a negative weight means that the flow is moving towards the fixed point along that direction. The case where θ or τ equals 0 can be treated similarly. It is evident that the exceptional curve cannot be a fixed curve if the blow-up is performed at a fixed point with weights $[\theta, \tau]$, where $\theta \geq 0 \geq \tau$.

5.3 Fixed point minimal resolutions

Assuming without loss of generality that $\theta \geq \tau > 0$, if we start at a fixed point with weights $[\theta, \tau]$, we can perform a sequence of blow-ups at points with positive weights to obtain a fixed curve. This is shown by the following proposition.


Proposition 5.5. Starting at a fixed point p_0 with weights $[\theta, \tau]$, $\theta \geq \tau > 0$, we can perform a minimal sequence of blow-ups at points with positive weights to obtain an exceptional set containing a fixed curve.

Proof. To proceed, we first note that if $\theta = \tau$, we can apply Proposition 5.4 to blow up p_0 once and the fixed curve appears, so we can assume $\theta > \tau$. Write

$$\theta = h_1\tau - a_1,$$

where $0 \leq a_1 < \tau$.

Step 1. When a fixed point with weights $[a, b]$ is blown up where $a > b$, there is only one point on the exceptional curve that also has strictly positive weights. For a fixed point with weights $[\theta, \tau]$, we can perform h_1 blow-ups, and each time only blow up the point with strictly positive weights. Flows on the exceptional set is shown in the following picture. E_k^1 is the exceptional curve of the k -th blow-up.



If $a_1 = 0$, then $E_{h_1}^1$ is already a fixed curve, and this is the desired minimal resolution of p_0 .

If not, the unique point in the exceptional set with positive weights is $p_1 = E_{h_1-1}^1 \cap E_{h_1}^1$, whose weights are $[a_1, \tau - a_1]$. Define $[a_1, \tau - a_1]$ as our new $[\theta_1, \tau_1]$.

Step 2. Assume the second case in **Step 1** happens. For the fixed point p_1 with positive weights $[\theta_1, \tau_1]$:

- If $a_1 = \tau - a_1$, then blow up $E_{h_1-1}^1 \cap E_{h_1}^1$ once. The exceptional curve is a fixed curve and this gives the minimal resolution of p_0 .
- If $a_1 < \tau - a_1$, since $h_1 \geq 2$, we have


$$|\theta_1 - \tau_1| = |a_1 - \tau + a_1| = \tau - 2a_1 < (h_1 - 1)\tau - a_1 = |\theta - \tau|.$$

Hence, after **Step 1**, $|\theta_1 - \tau_1| < |\theta - \tau|$.

- If $a_1 > \tau - a_1$, write

$$\theta_1 = h_2\tau_1 - a_2,$$

with $0 \leq a_2 < \tau_1$. Repeat **Step 1**. That is, only blow up points with positive weights h_2 times. Then again, if $a_2 = 0$, we get a fixed curve, if not, near the unique point with positive weights, flows are:



This time, the unique fixed point with positive weights is $p_2 = E_{h_2}^2 \cap E_{h_2-1}^2$, having weights $[\theta_2, \tau_2] = [a_2, \tau_1 - a_2]$. However, since $a_2 < \tau_1$,

$$|\theta_2 - \tau_2| = |a_2 - \tau_1 + a_2| < |\tau_1| = |\tau - a_1| \leq |\theta - \tau|.$$

Therefore, after two **Step 1**, $|\theta_2 - \tau_2| < |\theta - \tau|$.

In conclusion, starting with $p_0 = [\theta, \tau]$, after one or two process in **Step 1**, we always end with a unique point p_1 or p_2 with strictly positive weights, where

$$|\theta_1 - \tau_1| < |\theta - \tau|, \text{ or } |\theta_2 - \tau_2| < |\theta - \tau|.$$

This demonstrates that if we repeat **Step 1** finitely many times, we will reach a situation where the only fixed point p_k has positive weights $[\theta_k, \tau_k]$ satisfying $\theta_k = \tau_k$. One additional blow-up at p_k produces a fixed curve, which is the minimal resolution of p_0 . The proposition is proved. \square

Definition 5.3. Given a fixed point p with weights $[\theta, \tau]$, where $\theta \geq \tau > 0$, the minimal way to do blow-ups such that in the exceptional set there is a fixed curve will be called the *fixed point minimal resolution* of p .

The existence of fixed point minimal resolutions of fixed points is proved in the above proposition.

5.4 Toric geometry of $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$ and weights of actions

In this subsection, we consider the case where $\Gamma = L(q, p)$. We use the notation F for the fan, σ for the cones in the fan, and σ^\vee for the dual of cones. These are standard terminologies in toric geometry, and their definitions can be found in [Ful93]. We will briefly review the construction of toric varieties using fans.

The variety $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$ as a toric variety, its fan F_{σ_Γ} is as in Figure 1, spanned by the vertices $(0, 1)$ and $(p, -q)$, while the dual cone σ_Γ^\vee corresponds to it is as in Figure 2. We denote polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ that are invariant under the Γ action by $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]^\Gamma$. As an algebraic variety, \mathbb{C}^2 can be viewed as $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$, with the standard \mathbb{C}^* action given by $t \curvearrowright X, Y \mapsto tX, tY$. The quotient by Γ , denoted by \mathbb{C}^2/Γ , is given by $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X, Y]^\Gamma$, and the induced \mathbb{C}^* action is still given by $t \curvearrowright X, Y \mapsto tX, tY$. On the other hand, we set $S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee} = \mathbb{C}[U^a V^b]$ with (a, b) ranging over all integral points in σ_Γ^\vee . Classical results in toric geometry imply that $S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$ is finitely generated, and we have $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma = \text{Spec } S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$. The \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]^\Gamma$ and $S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$ is given by $U = X^p$ and $V = Y/X^q$.

For \mathbb{C}^2/Γ , its fan only consists of a single cone. When there are more than one cones in the fan, the corresponding algebraic variety is not affine anymore, as the following example explains.




Figure 1: Fan F_{σ_T} of $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$




Figure 2: Dual cone σ_T^\vee of $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$




Figure 3: The fan given by $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$.




Figure 4: Dual cones.

Example 5.1. Consider the fan given by the union of the cones σ_1, σ_2 , spanned by $(0, 1), (1, 0)$, and $(1, 0), (1, -1)$, as shown in Figure 3. Figure 4 shows dual cones σ_i^\vee of σ_i .

The cone σ_1 in the fan gives rise to σ_1^\vee , which corresponds to the affine variety $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_1^\vee} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[U, V]$. At the same time, the cone σ_2 gives σ_2^\vee , corresponding to the affine variety $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_2^\vee} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[UV, U, V^{-1}]$. The intersection of σ_1 and σ_2 in the fan is the ray spanned by $(1, 0)$. The dual cone of this ray is spanned by $(0, 1)$ and $(0, -1)$, which corresponds to $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_1^\vee \cup \sigma_2^\vee} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[U, V, V^{-1}]$. $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_1^\vee \cup \sigma_2^\vee}$ is included as an open subset in both $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_1^\vee}$ and $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_2^\vee}$. The toric variety given by the fan consisting of σ_1 and σ_2 is $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_1^\vee}$ and $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_2^\vee}$ glued along $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_1^\vee \cup \sigma_2^\vee}$.

When there are more cones in a fan, the toric variety that the fan corresponds to is just the variety covered by the affine varieties $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_i^\vee}$, where $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_i^\vee}$ and $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ are glued together along $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_i^\vee \cup \sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ as in Example 5.1.


As in section 1.1 of [Ful93], each refinement of the fan F_{σ_T} will yield a birational map to $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$. In the language of toric geometry, the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$ can be described by the following theorem, as stated in section 2.6 of [Ful93]. Note that $\frac{q}{p}$ can be written as the Hirzebruch-Jung continued fraction

$$\frac{q}{p} = \frac{1}{e_1 - \frac{1}{e_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{e_k}}}$$

Theorem 5.6. Consider the vertices v_0, \dots, v_k with $v_0 = (0, 1), v_1 = (1, 0), v_{i+1} = e_i v_i - v_{i-1}$. Let $\sigma_i = \sigma_i(v_{i-1}, v_i)$ denote the cone spanned by v_i and v_{i-1} . Notice that $v_{k+1} = (p, -q)$.

- $\det(v_i, v_{i-1}) = 1$. The fan F_M given by the union of cones σ_i corresponds to a smooth algebraic variety M .
- F_M is a refinement of the fan F_{σ_T} of $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$, therefore gives a birational map from M to $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$.
- M is the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$, and the resolution map is the above birational map.
- Each ray generated by v_i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ in F_M corresponds to an exceptional curve E_i with self-intersection $-e_i$.
- The exceptional set of the minimal resolution is the chain of rational curves E_i where E_i and E_j only intersect when $i = j \pm 1$.

The exceptional set is as follows:



The added rays v_i in Theorem 5.6 is shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5: The fan F_M of the minimal resolution M .

In what follows, we denote each vector v_i by $v_i = (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V})$, and we define $v_i^\perp = (-v_{i,V}, v_{i,U})$. It is important to note that for the vertices appearing in Theorem 5.6, we have $v_{1,U} = 1, v_{1,V} = 0$, and $v_{i,U} > 0, v_{i,V} < 0$ for all $1 < i \leq k$. A cone spanned by vertices u, v with $\det(u, v) > 0$ will be denoted by $\sigma(v, u)$, and its dual will be denoted by σ^\vee . We also write it as $\sigma^\vee(-v^\perp, u^\perp)$, since as a cone it is spanned by $-v^\perp$ and u^\perp . Additionally, it is worth noting that $\det(v_i, v_j) > 0$ if $i > j$. These definitions will be used implicitly throughout the following discussion.

Remark 5.4. A simple linear algebra argument implies, for two vectors u, v , as long as $\det(u, v) = 1$, if we consider the cone $\sigma(v, u)$ and the \mathbb{C} -algebra S_{σ^\vee} associated to its dual cone $\sigma^\vee = \sigma^\vee(-v^\perp, u^\perp)$, S_{σ^\vee} as a \mathbb{C} -algebra is generated by $U^{-u_V}V^{u_U}$ and $U^{v_V}V^{-v_U}$. This can be seen easily if we apply a $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation to move u, v to the standard vectors $(1, 0), (0, 1)$.

We consider the \mathbb{C}^* action $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$. In fact, the proof of the following theorem also works for general θ, τ , but for simplicity, we only state it for the case $\theta \geq \tau \geq 0$. Note that θ, τ could be fractional.


Theorem 5.7. Consider the \mathbb{C}^* action on $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$ defined by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$ with $\theta \geq \tau \geq 0$ and its minimal resolution M given by Theorem 5.6. Given vertices v_0, \dots, v_k as in Theorem 5.6, write $v_i = (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V})$ and define

$$w_i = \theta(q, p) \cdot (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V}) - \tau v_{i,U} = \theta(pv_{i,V} + qv_{i,U}) - \tau v_{i,U}.$$

In particular, we have

$$w_{i+1} = e_i w_i - w_{i-1}.$$

Then the weights of the lifted action are:



Note that $w_0 = \theta p, w_1 = \theta q - \tau, w_k = \theta(pv_{k,V} + qv_{k,U}) - \tau v_{k,U} = \theta \det(v_{k+1}, v_k) - \tau v_{k,U} = \theta - \tau v_{k,U}$, and $w_{k+1} = -\tau p$.

Moreover,

- if $w_i > 0$, then on E_i , the flow is flowing out of $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$ and flowing into $E_{i-1} \cap E_i$;
- if $w_i < 0$, then on E_i , the flow is flowing into $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$ and flowing out of $E_{i-1} \cap E_i$;
- if $w_i = 0$, then E_i is a fixed curve.

Here, E_{-1} and E_{k+1} are understood as $y = 0$ and $x = 0$.


Proof. From Theorem 5.6, the minimal resolution M is $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_i^\vee}$ glued together along $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_i^\vee \cup \sigma_{i-1}^\vee}$. As $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X, Y]^{L(q, p)} = \text{Spec } S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$, the orbifold point in $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$ is defined by $U^a V^b = 0$ in $S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$, for all $U^a V^b \in S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$. To find the exceptional set, it suffices to consider the preimage of the orbifold point.

Lemma 5.8. *In the affine piece $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$, E_i is parametrized by $U^{v_i, v} V^{-v_i, u} \in S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$, and E_{i+1} is parametrized by $U^{-v_{i+1}, v} V^{v_{i+1}, u} \in S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$.*

Proof. The dual cone σ_{i+1}^\vee is spanned by $-v_i^\perp$ and v_{i+1}^\perp . Note that $\sigma^\vee(-v_0^\perp, v_{k+1}^\perp)$ is exactly σ_Γ^\vee .

$S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ is the \mathbb{C} -algebra finitely generated by $U^a V^b$ with $(a, b) \in \sigma_{i+1}^\vee$. The dual cone $\sigma_{i+1}^\vee = \sigma_{i+1}^\vee(-v_i^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)$ can be decomposed as the union of four cones:

- $\sigma^\vee(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)$ spanned by $-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp$;
- $\sigma^\vee(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)$ spanned by $-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp$;
- $\sigma_\Gamma^\vee = \sigma^\vee(-v_0^\perp, v_{k+1}^\perp)$ spanned by $-v_0^\perp, v_{k+1}^\perp$;
- $\sigma^\vee(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)$ spanned by $v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp$.



Write $\mathbb{C}[S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}, S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)}, S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}, S_{\sigma^\vee(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)}]$ as the \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by $S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}, S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)}, S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$, and $S_{\sigma^\vee(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)}$, then

$$S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee} = \mathbb{C}[S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}, S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)}, S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}, S_{\sigma^\vee(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)}].$$

Henceforth, to determine the exceptional set in $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$, it suffices to write down a set of generators of the four \mathbb{C} -algebras and determine the equations that define the exceptional set. We have:

- For $S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$:
 - $U^{-v_i, v} V^{v_i, u}$ is a set of generators of $S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$.
 - All generators of $S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$ vanish in the preimage of the orbifold point.

- For $S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)}$:
 - $S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)}$ is generated by U, V^{-1} .
 - In the preimage of the orbifold point, $U = 0$. We will see that $V^{-1} = 0$ also holds in the preimage of the orbifold point.

- For $S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}$:

- Generators of $S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}$ can be taken as

$$P_j = U^{v_{j,V}} V^{-v_{j,U}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, i.$$

Note that $P_1 = V^{-1}$. They form a set of generators for $S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}$ because $\det(-v_j^\perp, -v_{j-1}^\perp) = 1$ and Remark 5.4.

- In the preimage of the orbifold point, for any $1 \leq j < i$, the above generators in $S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ have the relations

$$P_j^{-v_{j,V}} = P_i^{-v_{j,V}} \left(V^{-1} \right)^{-v_{j,U} v_{i,V} + v_{i,U} v_{j,V}},$$

where $-v_{j,U} v_{i,V} + v_{i,U} v_{j,V} = \det(v_i, v_j) > 0$ as $i > j$. We also have that the relation

$$\left(V^{-1} \right)^{v_{i,U}} = P_i U^{-v_{i,V}}$$

holds in $S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ (note $-v_{i,V} > 0$). Thus, in the preimage of the orbifold point in $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$, as $U = 0$, we must have $V^{-1} = 0$. So the equations $P_j = 0$ hold for $1 \leq j < i$.

- For $S_{\sigma^\vee(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)}$:

- Generators of $S_{\sigma^\vee(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)}$ can be taken as

$$Q_j = U^{-v_{j,V}} V^{v_{j,U}}, \quad j = i+1, \dots, k+1,$$

for the same reason as above.

- The relations

$$Q_j^{v_{i+1,U}} = Q_{i+1}^{v_{j,U}} U^{v_{i+1,V} v_{j,U} - v_{i+1,U} v_{j,V}}$$

hold for $i+1 < j \leq k+1$ where $v_{i+1,V} v_{j,U} - v_{i+1,U} v_{j,V} = -\det(v_{i+1}, v_j) > 0$. Thus, in the preimage of the orbifold point in $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$, equations $Q_j = 0$ hold for $i+1 < j \leq k+1$.

- Moreover, since $v_{i,V} - v_{i+1,V}, v_{i+1,U} - v_{i,U} > 0$ and $0 < (v_{i+1,U} - v_{i,U}) / (v_{i,V} - v_{i+1,V}) < q/p$, $P_i Q_{i+1} = U^{v_{i,V} - v_{i+1,V}} V^{v_{i+1,U} - v_{i,U}} \in S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$. This shows in the preimage of the orbifold point, the equation $P_i Q_{i+1} = 0$ holds.

Therefore, the preimage of the orbifold point should be parametrized by P_i and Q_{i+1} in the affine piece $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$, which exactly correspond to the exceptional curves E_i and E_{i+1} . P_i parametrizes E_i in this affine piece and Q_{i+1} parametrizes E_{i+1} . E_i and E_{i+1} intersects in this affine piece at the point where $P_i = Q_{i+1} = 0$. The equation $P_i Q_{i+1} = 0$ holds in the preimage of the orbifold point in the affine piece $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$, which means the preimage of the orbifold point in $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ is exactly $E_i \cup E_{i+1}$. \square

Recall that $U = X^p, V = Y/X^q$, and the \mathbb{C}^* action on X, Y is $t \curvearrowright X, Y = t^\theta X, t^\tau Y$. The parameters P_i, Q_{i+1} are given by

$$P_i = U^{v_{i,V}} V^{-v_{i,U}} = X^{pv_{i,V}} (Y/X^q)^{-v_{i,U}} = X^{pv_{i,V} + qv_{i,U}} Y^{-v_{i,U}}.$$

$$Q_{i+1} = U^{-v_{i+1,V}} V^{v_{i+1,U}} = X^{-pv_{i+1,V}} (Y/X^q)^{v_{i+1,U}} = X^{-pv_{i+1,V} - qv_{i+1,U}} Y^{v_{i+1,U}}.$$

This implies the \mathbb{C}^* action on the exceptional curve E_i parametrized by P_i is given by

$$t \curvearrowright P_i = t^{\theta(pv_{i,V} + qv_{i,U}) - \tau v_{i,U}} P_i; \quad (34)$$

and the \mathbb{C}^* action on the exceptional curve E_{i+1} parametrized by Q_{i+1} is given by

$$t \curvearrowright Q_{i+1} = t^{-\theta(pv_{i+1,V} + qv_{i+1,U}) + \tau v_{i+1,U}} Q_{i+1}. \quad (35)$$

Note that here

$$\theta(pv_{i,V} + qv_{i,U}) - \tau v_{i,U} = \theta(q, p) \cdot (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V}) - \tau v_{i,U} = w_i.$$


The fact that P_i, Q_{i+1} parametrize E_i and E_{i+1} near $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$, the way that \mathbb{C}^* acts on them (34) (35), and Remark 5.2 together show that the intersection point $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$ should have weights $[w_i, -w_{i+1}]$.

The ray given by v_0 in the fan F_M corresponds to the proper transform of $y = 0$, which is parametrized by $U = X^p$. The action on $y = 0$ is given by $t \curvearrowright U = t^p U$, which implies the weights at the intersection of $y = 0$ and E_1 should be $[w_0, -w_1]$. Similarly, the ray given by $v_{k+1} = (p, -q)$ corresponds to the proper transform of $x = 0$, which is parametrized by $U^q V^p = Y^p$. The action on $x = 0$ is given by $t \curvearrowright U^q V^p = t^{\tau p} U^q V^p$, which implies the weights at the intersection of $x = 0$ and E_k should be $[w_k, -w_{k+1}]$.

Finally, because of (34), if $w_i > 0$, then on E_i the flow is flowing out of the point where $P_i = 0$, which is the intersection point $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$. Similarly, if $w_i < 0$, then the flow on E_i is flowing into the point where $P_i = 0$. And if $w_i = 0$, the action on E_i is trivial. So the theorem follows. \square

Next several corollaries follow from Theorem 5.7.

Corollary 5.1. Consider the \mathbb{C}^* action on $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$ defined by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, y)$ with $\theta \geq 1$. Then the weights of the lifted action and direction of flows on the exceptional set are:



In this case, $x = 0$ is a fixed curve.

Proof. $\tau = 0$ implies $w_i = \theta(q, p) \cdot (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V}) > 0$, and the corollary follows. \square

Corollary 5.2. Consider the \mathbb{C}^* action on $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$ defined by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$ with $\theta \geq \tau \geq 0$. Then, when $\theta \neq 0$ or $\tau \neq 0$, the weights

$$[w_0, -w_1]$$

or the weights

$$[w_k, -w_{k+1}]$$

together with $[\theta, \tau]$ uniquely determine the numbers p and q , respectively.

Proof. Recall

$$w_0 = \theta p, w_1 = \theta q - \tau, w_k = \theta - \tau v_{k,U}, w_{k+1} = -\tau p.$$

It is clear that once we know $[w_0, -w_1]$ when $\theta \neq 0$, we can find q, p . As for $[w_k, -w_{k+1}]$, notice that

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\frac{2\pi i q}{p}) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\frac{2\pi i q^{-1:p}}{p}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p}) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

where $q^{-1:p}$ is the inverse of $q \pmod p$. Replacing x by y and y by x and treating $L(q, p)$ as $L(q^{-1:p}, p)$, we learn that $w_k = -\tau q^{-1:p} + \theta$. Thus, when $\tau \neq 0$, $[w_k, w_{k+1}]$ determines $q^{-1:p}, p$, which determines q, p . \square

Corollary 5.3. In the case that $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$,

$$w_{i+1} - w_i = w_i - w_{i-1}.$$

That is, the sum of two weights at each fixed point in E are the same. In particular, w_i is decreasing, and we have

$$-\tau p = w_{k+1} < \dots < w_0 = \theta p.$$

Proof. Follows from $e_i = 2$ trivially. \square

Remark 5.5. If $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$, then $q = p - 1$, and $q^{-1:p} = q$. In particular,

$$w_0 = \theta p, w_1 = \theta(p - 1) - \tau, w_k = \theta - \tau(p - 1), w_{k+1} = -\tau p.$$

5.5 \mathbb{C}^2/Γ for non-cyclic Γ and weights of actions

When Γ is non-cyclic, there are elements in Γ swap the complex planes. Therefore, the action on \mathbb{C}^2/Γ must have same weights at the orbifold point, and is given by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\theta y)$. For simplicity, we may set $\theta = \frac{1}{2m}$ and assume that the action is given by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^{1/2m}x, t^{1/2m}y)$. This action is well-defined on \mathbb{C}^2/Γ because $\text{diag}\left(\exp\left(\frac{1}{2m}2\pi i\right), \exp\left(\frac{1}{2m}2\pi i\right)\right) \in \Gamma$. The assumption $\theta = \frac{1}{2m}$ is equivalent to require the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action to be primitive.

From the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [LV19], we have the following lemma:

Lemma 5.9. *For a non-cyclic group Γ in Table 3, set $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ to be the subgroup of Γ generated by the same generators listed in Table 2 but without $[e^{\pi i/m}, 1]$. Then $\mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma'$ has three orbifold points lying on the quotient of the $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{O}(-2m)$. The structure groups of these three orbifold points are $L(\alpha_k, \beta_k)$ listed in Table 3.*

Contracting the $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{O}(-2m)$ to the origin results in a map that maps $\mathcal{O}(-2m)$ to $\mathbb{C}^2/L(1, 2m)$. Therefore, the map that contracts the quotient of \mathbb{P}^1 in $\mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma'$ to the origin provides a birational map

$$\kappa_\Gamma : \mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma,$$

with the quotient of \mathbb{P}^1 serving as the exceptional set. To fully understand the weights and direction of flows on the exceptional set of the minimal resolution of \mathbb{C}^2/Γ for non-cyclic Γ , we can rely on Lemma 5.9. This lemma tells us that we only need to determine the weights of the lifted \mathbb{C}^* action on $\mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma'$ at the three orbifold points and then apply Theorem 5.7.

Proposition 5.10. *With the \mathbb{C}^* action on \mathbb{C}^2/Γ given by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^{1/2m}x, t^{1/2m}y)$, where m is the number appearing in Table 2, weights at these three orbifold points are $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. By passing to the covering space, we can reduce to the case where $\Gamma' = 1$. In other words, we only need to compute the weights of points in the exceptional set of the map

$$\mathcal{O}(-2m) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/L(1, 2m).$$

The map from $\mathcal{O}(-2m)$ to the local orbifold cover \mathbb{C}^2 of $\mathbb{C}^2/L(1, 2m)$, which has multiple values, can be expressed as

$$[x : y] \times s \mapsto (xs^{1/2m}, ys^{1/2m}).$$

The action with weights $[1/2m, 1/2m]$ lifted to the local orbifold cover \mathbb{C}^2 can be expressed as

$$t \curvearrowright (xs^{1/2m}, ys^{1/2m}) = (xs^{1/2m}t^{1/2m}, ys^{1/2m}t^{1/2m}).$$

After lifting to $\mathcal{O}(-2m)$, the action is given by

$$t \curvearrowright [x : y] \times s = [x : y] \times st.$$

It is clear that the exceptional curve is a fixed curve. For each point $[x_0 : y_0] \times 0$ in the exceptional curve, the tangent vector to the exceptional curve provides a weight vector with weight 0. The curve $[x_0 : y_0] \times s$ parametrized by s passes through the point $[x_0 : y_0] \times 0$, whose tangent vector at $[x_0 : y_0] \times 0$ provides another weight vector with weight 1. Thus, the weights at points in the exceptional curve are always $[1, 0]$, and the proposition follows. \square

An application of Theorem 5.7 gives:

Theorem 5.11. *For \mathbb{C}^2/Γ with Γ being one of the non-cyclic groups in Table 3, consider the \mathbb{C}^* action defined by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^{1/2m}x, t^{1/2m}y)$. Its minimal resolution consists of three chains of rational curves, which are chains of exceptional curves of minimal resolutions of $L(\alpha_k, \beta_k)$, connected by one central rational curve. The central rational curve is a fixed curve under the lifted action. Weights of the lifted action on these three chains are given by Corollary 5.1 with $\theta = 1$.*

Proof. Proposition 5.10 shows that the action at the orbifold points is locally given by $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (tx, y)$. At the same time, the central curve has to be a fixed curve, since the holomorphic vector field has three fixed points on it, hence vanishing identically on it. The theorem follows from Corollary 5.1 now. \square

5.6 Special Bach flat Kähler orbifold with only one orbifold point

Now we come back to the setting of special Bach flat Kähler orbifold $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ with only one orbifold point. Recall that it has to be rational. The special Bach flat Kähler metric \widehat{g} on it gives a holomorphic extremal vector field $\mathfrak{E} = \nabla_{\widehat{g}}^{1,0} s_{\widehat{g}}$.

Lemma 5.12. $\mathfrak{E} = \nabla_{\widehat{g}}^{1,0} s_{\widehat{g}}$ induces a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action.

Proof. Because of Corollary 4.2, the time t_0 flow for some t_0 of $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$ is the identity. This lemma is clear since $\text{Im}(\nabla_{\widehat{g}}^{1,0} s_{\widehat{g}}) = -\frac{1}{2}\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$. \square

By scaling the metric \widehat{g} properly, we can assume that the holomorphic extremal vector field \mathfrak{E} generates a primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action, in the sense that it is the infinitesimal generator of the action.

Lemma 5.13. The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action has same weights at the orbifold point.

Proof. Weights at the orbifold point is just the weights of the S^1 action at the tangent space (after passing to the local orbifold cover) induced by $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$. Since $s_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}ar_q^2 + O(r_q^3)$, the induced S^1 action clearly has same weights. \square

Note that flows of the holomorphic extremal vector field \mathfrak{E} are flowing out of the orbifold point q , because the real part of \mathfrak{E} is given by $\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$, while $s_{\widehat{g}}$ achieves its minimum 0 at the orbifold point q .

Therefore, for any special Bach flat Kähler orbifold \widehat{M} , we obtain a pair $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, where \mathfrak{E} is the holomorphic extremal vector field. Moreover, the holomorphic extremal vector field must have the same weights at the orbifold point. By suitably scaling the metric, we can assume that \mathfrak{E} generates a primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action. So it becomes an algebraic geometry problem to understand the underlying space that could support a special Bach flat Kähler metric. In the following sections 6 and 7, we are going to classify the pairs $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, where \widehat{M} is a log del Pezzo surface with only one orbifold singularity, and \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action that has same weights at the orbifold point.

6 Log del Pezzo surfaces with holomorphic vector fields

In section 6 and 7, we will classify the pairs $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ where:

- \widehat{M} is a log del Pezzo surface with only one $SU(2)$ orbifold point;
- \mathfrak{E} is a holomorphic vector field on \widehat{M} which generates a primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action, having same weights at the orbifold point;
- Flows of the holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{E} are flowing out of the orbifold point q .

Here by saying that \mathfrak{E} generates a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action, we mean \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of the action. The third condition can always be achieved by replacing \mathfrak{E} with $-\mathfrak{E}$. While in the process of classifying such pairs, we will calculate the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality for each possible M , since when there actually is a Type II Ricci-flat ALE metric on M , the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality must hold strictly.

To classify such pairs $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, one only needs to classify their minimal resolutions \widetilde{M} and the pulled back holomorphic vector fields on them. Since \widetilde{M} is a smooth rational surface, it must be an iterative blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 or the Hirzebruch surface H_k with $k \geq 2$.

Lemma 6.1. \widetilde{M} can only be an iterative blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 , or $H_2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ itself.

Proof. Since we assumed that \widehat{M} is log del Pezzo and only has one $SU(2)$ singularity, in \widetilde{M} there cannot be curves with self-intersection ≤ -3 . Hence the surface \widetilde{M} cannot be H_k with $k \geq 3$ or their blow-ups.

If \widetilde{M} comes from blowing up H_2 , then blow-ups cannot be taken on the curve $C_\infty = \mathbb{P}^1$ with self-intersection -2 because of the same reason above. The holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action we are going to lift to \widetilde{M} must have C_∞ as a fixed curve, since this curve must be contracted to the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold point in \widehat{M} and the induced action has same weights at the orbifold point. Therefore the fixed point set of the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action we will lift on H_2 must be the curve $C_0 = \mathbb{P}^1$ with self-intersection 2 or C_∞ , and blow-ups can only be taken on C_0 . However, once there is a blow-up on C_0 in H_2 , it can be realized as blow-ups of \mathbb{P}^2 . So the lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 6.2. *If $\tilde{M} = H_2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$, then \hat{M} is the surface by contracting the curve $C_\infty = \mathbb{P}^1$ with self-intersection -2 in H_2 . Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold with this \hat{M} as the compactification can only be the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation.*

By this proposition, we only need to consider the case that \tilde{M} is an iterative blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 .

Proof. Exceptional curves in \tilde{M} must have self-intersection -2 since we only consider $SU(2)$ singularity. Therefore \hat{M} is realized by H_2 contracting the curve with self-intersection -2 . It is easy to see that for the surface $M = \hat{M} \setminus \{\text{the orbifold point}\}$, the equality holds in the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality. Therefore if there is a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold realizes this \hat{M} , it can only be a hyperkähler metric with reversed orientation, which must be the Eguchi-Hanson metric with the reversed orientation in this case. \square

Therefore, when constructing \tilde{M} from \mathbb{P}^2 with a holomorphic vector field, in order to not break the holomorphic vector field, blow-ups can only be performed at fixed points of the holomorphic vector field. The fact that \hat{M} only has one orbifold point q and that the generated holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action has same weights at q are very strong restrictions. As a result, classifying these pairs (\hat{M}, \mathfrak{E}) becomes a case-by-case study. We focus on the situation where the orbifold group Γ is contained in $SU(2)$.

Notations.

- \hat{M} denotes a log del Pezzo surface with only one orbifold point q . Additionally, the orbifold group is contained in $SU(2)$. $M = \hat{M} \setminus \{\text{orbifold point}\}$. We further assume that \hat{M} carries a primitive \mathbb{C}^* action who has same weights at the orbifold point. Flows of this action are assumed to be flowing out of the orbifold point. The surface \tilde{M} denotes the minimal resolution of \hat{M} .
- By curves, we always mean rational curve who is the closure of some \mathbb{C}^* orbit.
- In each figure, each black line refers to one curve. A thin black line with two arrows refers to a (-1) -curve. A thick black line refers to a (-2) -curve. Arrow on each line represents the direction of the flow on this curve. When there is a question mark near a thin line, it means the direction of the flow on it is not determined yet and the curve is a (-1) -curve. And when there is a black box \blacksquare on a line, it means this curve is a fixed curve.
- We use $[x : y : z]$ as coordinate on \mathbb{P}^2 . The curves $\{x = 0\}, \{y = 0\}, \{z = 0\}$ in \mathbb{P}^2 and their proper transforms in each M_i will be denoted by X, Y, Z for simplicity.
- The map from \tilde{M} to \mathbb{P}^2 is denoted by π (recall \tilde{M} is rational). The map π is realized by successive blow-ups of \mathbb{P}^2 , and the intermediate surfaces are denoted by M_i :

$$\tilde{M} = M_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M_0 = \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Each π_i is a blow-up at one point p_i in M_{i-1} . We use $Bl_{p_1, \dots, p_k} \mathbb{P}^2$ to denote the surface blown up from \mathbb{P}^2 , where the i -th blow-up π_i is taken at $p_i \in Bl_{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}} \mathbb{P}^2$. The exceptional curve of the i -th blow-up π_i is denoted by E_i .

- E is the exceptional set of the minimal resolution $r : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$, which consists of (-2) -curves, and is of type A_n, D_n or E_n in the ADE classification.
- To simplify notations, we will continue to use E_i to refer to the proper transform of the curve E_i .
- If the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action is generated by the holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{E} , by direction of the flow, we mean the direction of the flow of the vector field $\text{Re } \mathfrak{E}$.

To find all possible \tilde{M} , our strategy is to proceed the following inductive steps.

Step 1. Choose one \mathbb{C}^* action on $M_0 = \mathbb{P}^2$.

Step 2. Starting with $i = 1$, identify all fixed points of the (lifted) \mathbb{C}^* action on M_{i-1} .

Step 3. The possible M_i are obtained by blowing up M_{i-1} at fixed points that are not on any (-2) -curve. When performing the blow-up in M_{i-1} , it must be ensured that finally the exceptional set E is connected, of ADE type, and has the correct weights for the \mathbb{C}^* action in \tilde{M} (Theorem 5.7 and 5.11). In particular, Corollary 6.1 and Lemma 6.4 in subsection 6.2 hold.


The lifted action on \tilde{M} induces an action on \hat{M} with the same weights at the orbifold point in the cyclic case, if and only if the action on E has weights given by Corollary 5.3 with $\theta = \tau$. In the noncyclic case, the induced action on \hat{M} always has same weights at the orbifold point. We make the following definition before we proceed.

Definition 6.1. In the blow-ups of \mathbb{P}^2 with a lifted holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action, the attractive set c_+ is defined as the set where generic \mathbb{C}^* orbits flow into, while the repulsive set c_- is defined as the set where generic \mathbb{C}^* orbits flow out of.

6.1 \mathbb{C}^* actions on \mathbb{P}^2


The holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \hat{M} can be lifted to a holomorphic action on \tilde{M} , which, under the birational map $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, becomes contracted to a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \mathbb{P}^2 . However, up to linear transformations, \mathbb{C}^* action on \mathbb{P}^2 always takes one of the following three forms.

1. The \mathbb{C}^* action is given by $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^\alpha x : t^\alpha y : z]$ with $\alpha > 0$. In this case, the zero set of the corresponding holomorphic vector field consists of the set Z and the point $[0 : 0 : 1]$.




The weights of this \mathbb{C}^* action and the directions of its flows are as described above. The attractive set c_+ of the flow is the set Z , and the repulsive set c_- is the point $[0 : 0 : 1]$. Furthermore, when the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action is primitive, the integer α must be equal to 1.

2. The \mathbb{C}^* action is given by $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^\alpha x : t^\alpha y : z]$ with $\alpha < 0$. In this case, the zero set of the corresponding holomorphic vector field again consists of the set Z and the point $[0 : 0 : 1]$.



The weights of this \mathbb{C}^* action and the directions of its flows are as described above. The attractive set c_+ of the flow is the point $[0 : 0 : 1]$, and the repulsive set c_- is the set Z . Furthermore, when the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action is primitive, the integer α must be equal to -1.

3. The \mathbb{C}^* action is given by $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^\alpha x : t^\beta y : z]$, with $\alpha > \beta > 0$. In this case, the zero set of the holomorphic vector field consists of the points $[1 : 0 : 0]$, $[0 : 1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 0 : 1]$.



Weights of this action and direction of flows are as above. The attractive set $c_+ = [1 : 0 : 0]$ and the repulsive set $c_- = [0 : 0 : 1]$. When the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action is primitive, the integers α and β must be relatively prime.

To analyze the different cases, we divide into three possibilities:

- (i) For the pair $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, the primitive action on \tilde{M} generated by \mathfrak{E} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 .
- (ii) For the pair $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, the primitive action on \tilde{M} generated by \mathfrak{E} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 ;
- (iii) For the pair $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, the primitive action on \tilde{M} generated by \mathfrak{E} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^\alpha x : t^\beta y : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 with $\alpha > \beta > 0$ and α, β relatively prime.

We will refer to these three cases as case (i), case (ii), and case (iii) in the following discussion.

Before we proceed, we establish Corollary 1.2, the topological finiteness of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. It is a direct consequence of what we discussed in this subsection.

Proposition 6.3. *For a log del Pezzo surface \hat{M} with only one orbifold singularity with orbifold group $\Gamma \subset U(2)$, the degree of its minimal resolution $K_{\tilde{M}}^2$ has a lower bound k_Γ that only depends on Γ .*

For this proposition we do not require that $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$.

Proof. Again the canonical bundle $K_{\hat{M}}$ of \hat{M} might only be \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, but we will proceed as $K_{\hat{M}}$ was Cartier. As \tilde{M} is the minimal resolution of \hat{M} , one has

$$-K_{\tilde{M}} = -r^*K_{\hat{M}} - \sum a_i C_i.$$

Here, C_i are the curves in the exceptional set of the minimal resolution $r : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$. The discrepancies a_i are determined by the group Γ , and the self-intersection numbers of C_i can be read from subsection 5.1.1. Therefore, the degree of \tilde{M} , satisfies $K_{\tilde{M}}^2 = (r^*K_{\hat{M}} + \sum a_i C_i)^2 = K_{\hat{M}}^2 + (\sum a_i C_i)^2 \geq (\sum a_i C_i)^2$. The number $k_\Gamma \triangleq (\sum a_i C_i)^2$ depends only on $\Gamma \subset U(2)$, as claimed. \square

The lower bound on $K_{\tilde{M}}^2$ implies that, when starting from \mathbb{P}^2 , at most $9 - k_\Gamma$ blow-ups can be performed, and when starting from a Hirzebruch surface, at most $8 - k_\Gamma$ blow-ups can be performed. Moreover, the restrictions that blow-ups are only allowed at fixed points, ensure that there are only a finite number of distinct pairs (\tilde{M}, E) up to diffeomorphisms, and consequently a finite set of non-diffeomorphic $M = \tilde{M} \setminus E$. Hence, Corollary 1.2 follows.

6.2 Flows on \tilde{M}

In this subsection, we establish some technical lemmas regarding the structure of \tilde{M} . Due to the fact that \tilde{M} cannot contain curves with intersection number ≤ -3 , the following lemma is straightforward:

Lemma 6.4. *Fixed point $p \in M_i$ lying on a (-2) -curve cannot be blown up.*

The next lemma provides a characterization of the attractive and repulsive sets of the \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} , namely that they are either a single point or a single curve:

Lemma 6.5. *In blow-ups of \mathbb{P}^2 with \mathbb{C}^* action lifted from $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^\alpha x : t^\beta y : z]$, where α, β are chosen from one of the three cases in subsection 6.1, the attractive and repulsive sets are contained in the preimages of c_+ and c_- of \mathbb{P}^2 , respectively.*

The attractive set c_+ is:

- a single fixed point with weights $[a_-, b_-]$ where $a_-, b_- < 0$;
- or a single fixed curve whose points have weights $[a_-, 0]$, where $a_- < 0$. It is possible for different points in c_+ to have different values of a_- .

Similarly, the repulsive set c_- is:

- a single fixed point with weights $[a_+, b_+]$ where $a_+, b_+ > 0$;

- or a single fixed curve whose points have weights $[a_+, 0]$, where $a_+ > 0$. It is possible for different points in c_+ to have different a_+ .

The sets c_+ and c_- consist of fixed points of the \mathbb{C}^* action with weights who are nonpositive and nonnegative, respectively.

Proof. We only prove this proposition for case (iii), since the other cases follow similarly. In this case, we assumed that the action on \tilde{M} is pulled back from the action on \mathbb{P}^2 given by $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^\alpha x : t^\beta y : z]$ with $\alpha > \beta > 0$ are relatively prime integers. The attractive and repulsive sets are $c_+ = [1 : 0 : 0]$ $c_- = [0 : 0 : 1]$.

Since generic flow lines in \mathbb{P}^2 flow into $[1 : 0 : 0]$ and out of $[0 : 0 : 1]$, it is clear that the attractive set is contained in the preimage of $c_+ = [1 : 0 : 0]$ and the repulsive set is contained in the preimage of $c_- = [0 : 0 : 1]$.

For the attractive set, we observe that its points must be fixed points with nonpositive, nonzero weights. If a fixed point has weight 0, then the \mathbb{C}^* action is trivial. Based on Proposition 5.4, to find the attractive set finally, we only need to consider blow-ups taken at points with weights $\theta, \tau \leq 0$ since only these blow-ups produce fixed points with nonpositive weights. After taking a blow-up at such a point, we obtain:

- two fixed points in the exceptional curve, only one of which has negative weights (in which case the exceptional curve is not a fixed curve);
- or a fixed exceptional curve with points all have nonpositive but nonzero weights.

Starting with \mathbb{P}^2 , where the only fixed point with nonpositive weights is $[0 : 0 : 1]$, no matter how many blow-ups are taken at points with weights $\theta, \tau \leq 0$, there is either only one fixed point with negative weights, or only one fixed exceptional curve with nonpositive weights. This unique fixed point or fixed exceptional curve is the attractive set c_+ in \tilde{M} .

The analysis for the repulsive set c_- is similar to that of the attractive set c_+ . □

Lemma 6.6. *In the minimal resolution \tilde{M} , the exceptional set E contains c_- and is disjoint with c_+ .*

Proof. Since the flows on \hat{M} are flowing out of the orbifold point, the repulsive set c_- is contained in the exceptional set E of the minimal resolution \tilde{M} .

On the other hand, the attractive set c_+ is the preimage of the attractive set of \hat{M} under the resolution map $r : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$, where r only contracts E to the orbifold point. Since the attractive set of \hat{M} is disjoint from the orbifold point, c_+ is disjoint from E in \tilde{M} .

Hence, in \tilde{M} , c_+ is disjoint with E . □

Lemma 6.7. $\pi(E) \subset X \cup Y \cup Z$. And the preimage of $X \cup Y \cup Z$ in each M_i is:

- a cycle of curves if c_- is a point;
- a cycle of curves, possibly taking union with a chain of curves intersecting c_- transversely at one point, if c_- is a fixed curve.

Flows on the preimage of $X \cup Y \cup Z$ is flowing from c_- to c_+ .

The following figures illustrate this lemma.

Proof. Again, we only prove it for case (iii). Other cases are similar and simpler.

For the statement that $\pi(E) \subset X \cup Y \cup Z$, it suffices to show that in \mathbb{P}^2 , \mathbb{C}^* orbits other than X, Y, Z cannot be contained in $\pi(E)$ since $\pi(E)$ must be union of \mathbb{C}^* orbits. Those orbits are generic in \mathbb{P}^2 , and pass through $[1 : 0 : 0]$. To prove the first statement in the lemma, we assume the contrary, that there exists a curve C contained in $\pi(E)$, which implies that its proper transform in \tilde{M} is contained in E . By Lemma 6.5, there are two possibilities:

- If the attractive set c_+ of \tilde{M} is a point, then as C is a generic orbit in \mathbb{P}^2 and \tilde{M} is blown up from \mathbb{P}^2 , the proper transform of C must also pass through c_+ . This contradicts with Lemma 6.6.
- If the attractive set c_+ of \tilde{M} is a curve, the proper transform of C cannot intersect c_+ due to Lemma 6.6. Let i be the index such that the attractive set c_+ is a fixed curve in M_i while c_+ is a fixed point in M_{i-1} . Then π_i is the blow-up at c_+ in M_{i-1} and c_+ has self-intersection -1 in M_i . In order for the proper transform of C not to intersect c_+ , blow-ups would need to be performed at the their intersection point in M_i , which would decrease the self-intersection of c_+ to be less than or equal to -2 . This implies that c_+ is contained in E , which contradicts the fact that c_+ is an attractive set.




Figure 6: Possible preimage of $X \cup Y \cup Z$.

Therefore, C cannot be contained in $\pi(E)$.

We can prove the second statement about the structure of $\pi^{-1}(X \cup Y \cup Z)$ inductively, noting that blow-ups can only be performed in the preimage of $X \cup Y \cup Z$ in each M_i . Starting with \mathbb{P}^2 , $X \cup Y \cup Z$ forms a cycle of curves.

- If there are no fixed curves in the preimage of $X \cup Y \cup Z$ in M_{i-1} , then the fixed points are all intersection points and the blow-up π_i can only be taken at one of these points. In particular, when the preimage of $X \cup Y \cup Z$ in M_{i-1} forms a cycle of curves, in M_i , the preimage also forms a cycle of curves.
- If there are fixed curves in the preimage of $X \cup Y \cup Z$, we can assume that they first appear in M_i , where M_{i-1} does not contain any fixed curves. The fixed curve in M_i must be either c_+ or c_- , as per Lemma 6.5. If it is c_+ , further blow-ups cannot be taken in c_+ , as it would cause c_+ to become a (-2) -curve, which contradicts Lemma 6.4. If the fixed curve is c_- , then at most one more blow-up can be taken in c_- , since more blow-ups will lower the self-intersection of c_- to be less than -2 . If indeed such a blow-up exists, denote the blow-up by $\pi_{i+1} : M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_i$. In M_{i+1} , c_- is a (-2) -curve and cannot be blown up further. Therefore, in M_{i+1} , if c_{\pm} are fixed curves, no more blow-ups can be taken in them, and only fixed points can be blown up. As a result, we can only end up with a cycle of curves, or a cycle of curves union with a chain of curves intersecting c_- if π_{i+1} above is not taken at intersection points.


□

Lemma 6.8. *Let $p \in M_i$ be a transverse intersection point of two curves $C_1, C_2 \subset M_i$, whose proper transforms in \tilde{M} are contained in E . Assume that weights $[\theta, \tau]$ at p are not strictly positive or strictly negative, then p cannot be blown up in the $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. For such a p , the weights $[\theta, \tau]$ can be assumed to satisfy the inequality

$$\tau \leq 0 \leq \theta, \tag{36}$$

without loss of generality. Moreover, the flow on C_1 is flowing into p , while the flow on C_2 is flowing out of p . Note that θ and τ cannot both be zero at the same time. The tangent vectors to C_1, C_2 at p are the two weight vectors of the induced action on the tangent space at p . No matter how many blow-ups are taken at p , flows on the union of the exceptional set of blow-ups at p and proper transforms of C_i are always flowing from C_1 to C_2 , because of Proposition 5.4 and (36). Note that C_1, C_2 are in the preimage of $X \cup Y \cup Z$ because of Lemma 6.7.



Moreover, there always is a (-1) -curve in the exceptional set of blow-ups at p which is not a fixed curve, which is the exceptional curve of the final one blow-up. As E is connected, consists of (-2) -curves, and contains C_1 and C_2 , by Lemma 6.7, it follows that E must contain either the (-1) -curve in the exceptional set of blow-ups at p , or contain c_+ and c_- at the same time. This leads to a contradiction, since E cannot contain any (-1) -curve and is disjoint with c_+ . \square

As a corollary, we have:

Corollary 6.1. *Let $p \in M_i$ be an intersection point of two curves $C_1, C_2 \subset M_i$, where each C_i is either a curve whose proper transform is contained in E , or a curve with negative self-intersection. Assume that weights at p are not strictly positive or negative. Then p cannot be blown up in the resolution $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.*


Proof. If such p_i is blown up, then proper transform of C_i in \tilde{M} has self intersection ≤ -2 . This shows that proper transform of C_i is contained in E and the corollary follows from the above lemma. \square

6.3 Case (i)

In this subsection, we focus on case (i) and show that the pair $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ admits three possibilities.


Proposition 6.9. *In case (i), there are three possible $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$.*

Proof. Recall the direction of flows on \mathbb{P}^2 in case (i) is:



Following our strategy, blow-ups should be taken at fixed points.

If there is no blow-up at $X \cap Y$, then one of the curves X or Y must have its proper transform in \tilde{M} contained in E , since $c_- \subset E$ in \tilde{M} . Without loss of generality, assume that $X \subset E$. Then X in \tilde{M} must have self-intersection -2 . To achieve this, one needs to perform blow-ups in X inside \mathbb{P}^2 . As there is no blow-up at $X \cap Y$, the only possibility is that $p_1 = X \cap Z$ is the point that needs to be blown up. Let π_1 denote this blow-up and M_1 the resulting surface. Flows and weights on M_1 are




After the blow-up π_1 , since X in M_1 is a 0-curve, we still need to take blow-ups in X . However,


- $X \cap Y$ can not be blown up because of our assumption.
- $X \cap E_1$ can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.

So the proper transform of X in \tilde{M} can not be contained in E . Hence, the situation that there is no blow-up at $X \cap Y$ can not happen.

If there is a blow-up at $X \cap Y$, we can take M_1 as the blow-up at $p_1 = X \cap Y$. Flows and weights on M_1 are




After the blow-up π_1 , there is still no (-2) -curves in M_1 , further blow-ups are necessary. Since the repulsive set c_- is already a fixed curve E_1 and $c_- \subset E$ in \tilde{M} , the proper transform of E_1 in \tilde{M} must be a (-2) -curve. Since E_1 in M_1 as the exceptional curve has self-intersection -1 , another blow-up is necessary on $E_1 \subset M_1$. Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that the blow-up π_2 is at $p_2 = X \cap E_1$. Flows and weights on M_2 are



Now,

- $X \cap E_2$ can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.
- $X \cap Z$ can not be blown up because then X will be a (-2) -curve, which makes E disconnected in \tilde{M} .
- Points in E_1 can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.

If there are further blow-ups in M_2 , the only option is to blow up points in Z other than the point $X \cap Z$. Note the intersection number of Z is 1 in M_2 . Without loss of generality, suppose indeed there is a blow-up π_3 at $p_3 = Z \cap Y$. Then flows and weights on M_3 are




Now,

- $X \cap E_2, E_1 \cap E_2, Y \cap E_1, Y \cap E_3$ can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.
- $X \cap Z, Z \cap E_3$ can not be blown up because then X or E_3 will be a (-2) -curve, which makes E disconnected in \tilde{M} .
- Points in E_1 can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.

After performing the blow-up π_3 , Z in M_3 becomes a 0-curve. As a result, any further blow-ups in M_3 must be made at points in Z other than $X \cap Z$ or $Z \cap E_3$. Assume there is such a blow-up π_4 performed at

$p_4 \in Z \subset M_3$. After this blow-up, flows and weights on M_4 are



F is the proper transform of the curve in M_3 , flowing to p_4 from E_1 . Any further blow-ups in M_4 must be made in Z . However, since Z is already a (-1) -curve and $c_+ \subset \tilde{M}$ cannot be a (-2) -curve, it follows that no more points in Z can be blown up. Therefore, we conclude that in case (i), the only possible $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ are M_2, M_3 , and M_4 , with the primitive action with weights described before. \square

Using Corollary 5.3, one can see that the descend \mathbb{C}^* action on the corresponding \hat{M} for these three cases all have same weights at the orbifold point. Structures of these three possible $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ are listed as follows:


Case IA.

- $E = E_1$.
- The orbifold group is A_1 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 7, since it takes 2 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 2.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/A_1) = 0$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2(3 - \frac{1}{2}) > 3|0 + 0|$$

holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2$.
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Y, E_1 \cap X} \mathbb{P}^2$.
- The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .




Case IB.

- $E = E_1$.
- The orbifold group is A_1 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 6, since it takes 3 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \widehat{M} is 3.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 4, \tau(M) = -1, \eta(S^3/A_1) = 0$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2(4 - \frac{1}{2}) > 3|-1 + 0|$$

holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3$.
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Y, E_1 \cap X, Y \cap Z} \mathbb{P}^2$.
- The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .




Case IC.

- $E = E_1$.
- The orbifold group is A_1 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 5, since it takes 4 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \widehat{M} is 4.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 5, \tau(M) = -2, \eta(S^3/A_1) = 0$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2(5 - \frac{1}{2}) > 3|-2 + 0|$$


holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3 - 2E_4$.
- The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Y, E_1 \cap X, Y \cap Z, p_4} \mathbb{P}^2$. Here p_4 is a point in Z . Different choice of p_4 gives rise to the same $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, up to biholomorphism.




6.4 Case (ii)


We now move on to the classification of possible pairs $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ in case (ii). Recall weights and flows on \mathbb{P}^2 in case (ii) is given by



Note that c_- in \mathbb{P}^2 is Z , having self-intersection 1. The repulsive set c_- in \tilde{M} is the proper transform of Z which must be a (-2) -curve. To achieve this, we must perform three blow-ups in Z . Without loss of generality, we can assume that the first blow-up π_1 is at $p_1 = X \cap Z$. Flows and weights on M_1 are



After the blow-up π_1 , Z becomes a 0-curve in M_1 . To make Z a (-2) -curve, two more blow-ups must be performed in Z , but $Z \cap E_1$ can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1. Therefore, the two additional blow-ups must be performed at distinct points in Z that are different from the point $Z \cap E_1$. After two such blow-ups, the resulting surface is M_3 , flows and weights on which are




Now,

- Points in Z can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.

Possible points that can be blown up in M_3 are $X \cap E_1$, $F \cap E_2$, $Y \cap E_3$, and $X \cap Y$.

Lemma 6.10. *If there is a blow-up π_4 at $X \cap Y \in M_3$, no further blow-ups can be made in M_4 .*

Proof. After the blow-up π_4 , flows and weights on M_4 are




Now,

- Points in Z can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.
- Points in E_4 can not be blown up because E_4 is a (-1) -curve and also the attractive set c_+ .
- $X \cap E_1, F \cap E_2, Y \cap E_3$ can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.

The lemma is proved. □

If there is a blow-up π_4 at $X \cap E_1$ (blow-ups at $F \cap E_2, Y \cap E_4$ are similar), flows and weights on M_4 are



Lemma 6.11. *In the above M_4 , further blow-ups can only be taken at $F \cap E_2, Y \cap E_3$.*

Proof. $E_1 \cap E_4, X \cap E_4$ can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1. $X \cap Y$ cannot be blown up since this will make E disconnected in \tilde{M} . Points in Z cannot be blown up because of Lemma 6.4. □

To summarize, using Lemma 6.10 and Lemma 6.11, we obtain five possible $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, denoted by Case IIA, IIB, IIC, IID, and IIE. However, it turns out that for Case IIC, the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action does not descend to an action with the same weights at the orbifold point. Therefore, there are actually only four possible structures of $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ in case (ii), which are given by the following proposition.

Proposition 6.12. *There are four possible pairs $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ in case (ii). Namely, the following Case IIA, Case IIB, Case IID, and Case IIE.*


Case IIA.

- $E = Z$.
- The orbifold group is A_1 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 6, since it takes 3 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \tilde{M} is 3.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 4, \tau(M) = -1, \eta(S^3/A_1) = 0$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2(4 - \frac{1}{2}) > 3|-1 + 0|$$


holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 2E_3$.
- The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Z, p_2, Y \cap Z} \mathbb{P}^2$. Here p_2 is a point in Z different from $X \cap Z$ and $Y \cap Z$. Different choice of p_2 gives rise to the same $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ up to biholomorphism.




Case IIB.

In this case, the pair $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ is biholomorphic to the pair $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ in case IC.



Case IIC.

We can exclude Case IIC since the weights on the exceptional set show that the induced action on \hat{M} does not have the same weights at the orbifold point. By Theorem 5.7, we know that the induced action has weights $[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}]$ at the orbifold point.




Case IID.

- $E = Z \cup E_1 \cup E_3$.
- The orbifold group is A_3 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 4, since it takes 5 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 3.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 4, \tau(M) = -1, \eta(S^3/A_3) = -\frac{1}{2}$.
The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2(4 - \frac{1}{4}) > 3|-1 + \frac{1}{2}|$$

holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 2E_3 - E_4 - E_5$.
- The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Z, p_2, Y \cap Z, X \cap E_1, Y \cap E_3} \mathbb{P}^2$. Here p_2 is a point in Z different from $X \cap Z$ and $Y \cap Z$. Different choice of p_2 gives rise to the same $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, up to biholomorphism.




Case IIE.

- $E = Z \cup E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$.
- The orbifold group is D_4 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 3, since it takes 6 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 3.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 4, \tau(M) = -1, \eta(S^3/D_4) = -\frac{3}{4}$.
The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2(4 - \frac{1}{8}) > 3| -1 + \frac{3}{4} |$$


holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 2E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6$.
- The holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Z, p_2, Y \cap Z, X \cap E_1, Y \cap E_3, F \cap E_2} \mathbb{P}^2$. Here p_2 is a point in Z different from $X \cap Z$ and $Y \cap Z$, and F is the proper transform of the curve from p_2 to $X \cap Y$ in \mathbb{P}^2 . Different choice of p_2 gives rise to the same $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ up to biholomorphism.



7 Case (iii)

This section focuses on case (iii), where the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the \mathbb{C}^* action on \mathbb{P}^2 defined by $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^\alpha x : t^\beta y : z]$, with α, β relatively prime and $\alpha > \beta > 0$. This case is studied separately due to its complexity. Fixed points and flows of the action on \mathbb{P}^2 are:



In subsection 7.1, we study the case where the orbifold group is cyclic, while in subsection 7.2, we consider the non-cyclic case. Throughout these subsections, we will make use of Corollary 6.1 and Lemma 6.4 from time to time.

7.1 Cyclic Γ

The first observation is that there must be a blow up at $X \cap Y$.

Lemma 7.1. *There must be a blow up at $X \cap Y$. Therefore, \tilde{M} is blown up from $Bl_{X \cap Y} \mathbb{P}^2$.*


Proof. We prove this lemma by contradiction. If there is no blow-up at $X \cap Y$, then in \tilde{M} , $c_- = X \cap Y$ must also hold and E must either contain the proper transform of X or the proper transform of Y .

If the proper transform of X is contained in E , then it must have self-intersection number ≤ -2 . To achieve this, a blow-up must be performed at $X \cap Z$ in \mathbb{P}^2 . The resulting surface will be our M_1 . But

Corollary 6.1 prevents further blow-up at $X \cap E_1$. Therefore, the proper transform of X cannot have self-intersection ≤ -2 under the assumption that there is no blow-up at $X \cap Y$. This leads to a contradiction.

The situation is similar if we assume the proper transform of Y is contained in E . So the lemma follows. \square

Let M_1 be $Bl_{X \cap Y} \mathbb{P}^2$. Weights and direction of flows on M_1 are



The repulsive set $c_- = X \cap E_1$ in M_1 . Further blow-ups must be performed, as there is no curve with self-intersection -2 in M_1 .

As per Corollary 5.2, the weights at either of the two ending fixed point in E determine p and q . Therefore, we can determine p and q by finding either the starting or ending fixed point in E .


As E must contain c_- in \tilde{M} , either the proper transform of X or E_1 must be contained in E if there is no blow-up at $X \cap E_1$. Therefore, if there is no blow-up at $X \cap E_1$, then either $X \cap Z$ or $E_1 \cap Y$ must be blown up. It follows that the next blow-up π_2 can be chosen to be one of the following three cases:

- $\pi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ is the blow-up at $p_2 = E_1 \cap Y$.
- $\pi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ is the blow-up at $p_2 = X \cap E_1$.
- $\pi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ is the blow-up at $p_2 = X \cap Z$, and there is no blow-up at $X \cap E_1, E_1 \cap Y$ in the following blow-ups.

These three cases are studied in the following subsection 7.1.1, subsection 7.1.2, and subsection 7.1.3.

7.1.1 M_2 is the blow-up of M_1 at $p_2 = E_1 \cap Y$

After the blow-up at $E_1 \cap Y$, the resulting surface is M_2 , and the weights and direction of flows on M_2 are as follows:




Now:

- $X \cap E_1$ and $E_1 \cap E_2$ cannot be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.
- $E_2 \cap Y$ cannot be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.
- $Z \cap Y$ cannot be blown up because this will make E in \tilde{M} disconnected.

Additional blow-ups are necessary since the weights on E_1 now satisfy $\beta \neq 2\alpha - \beta$. Consequently, if we contract E_1 , the descending \mathbb{C}^* action at the orbifold point does not have same weights. Indeed, contracting E_1 gives a $A_1 = L(1, 2)$ singularity, and the descending \mathbb{C}^* action has weights $[\alpha - \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}]$ at the orbifold

point. Hence $\pi_3 : M_3 \rightarrow M_2$ can be taken as the blow-up at $p_3 = X \cap Z$. Then weights and direction of flows on M_3 are



Now:


- $E_3 \cap X, X \cap E_1, E_1 \cap E_2, E_2 \cap Y$ all cannot be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.
- $E_3 \cap Z, Y \cap Z$ cannot be blown up because such blow-ups will make E in \tilde{M} disconnected.

So in M_3 , no further blow-ups can be taken. This leads to a contradiction, as we discussed previously, contracting E_1 would not result in a C^* action with the same weights at the orbifold point.

In conclusion, $E_1 \cap Y$ cannot be blown up in M_1 .

7.1.2 M_2 is the blow-up of M_1 at $X \cap E_1$

After the blow-up at $X \cap E_1$, the resulting surface is M_2 , and the weights and direction of flows on M_2 are as follows:




The direction of the flow on E_2 is determined by the sign of $\alpha - 2\beta$.

Now:

- $E_1 \cap Y$ cannot be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.

Lemma 7.2. *The proper transform of Y in \tilde{M} cannot be contained in E .*

Proof. Prove by contradiction. If the proper transform of Y in \tilde{M} is contained in E , then its proper transform in \tilde{M} must have self-intersection -2 . Hence, a blow-up must be performed at Y in \tilde{M} . However, since $E_1 \cap Y$ cannot be blown up, this blow-up must be performed at $Y \cap Z$. It follows that M_3 can be taken as the blow-up of M_2 at $Y \cap Z$. Weights and direction of flows on M_3 are



Since Y in M_3 remains a (-1) -curve, we conclude that another blow-up in Y must be taken in M_3 .

Now:

- $E_1 \cap Y$ cannot be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.

- $Y \cap E_3$ cannot be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.

Therefore, it is not possible to perform any more blow-ups in Y in M_3 to transform it into a (-2) -curve, which results in a contradiction. \square

From the previous lemma and the fact that $E_1 \cap Y$ cannot be blown up in M_2 , it follows that $E_1 \cap Y$ must be one of the ending fixed points of the \mathbb{C}^* action on E in \tilde{M} . With Remark 5.5, since the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action must have same weights $\theta = \tau$ at the orbifold point, we must have

$$w_0 = \theta p = \alpha, w_1 = \theta(p - 1) - \theta = \alpha - \beta,$$

or


$$w_k = \theta - \theta(p - 1) = \beta - \alpha, w_{k+1} = -\theta p = -\alpha.$$

It follows that in this case $\beta = 2\theta$.

Lemma 7.3. E_2 in M_2 has to be a fixed curve, which implies that it is the repulsive set c_- in M_2 .

Proof. E_2 in M_2 is a fixed curve if and only if $\alpha = 2\beta$. Hence, if this condition does not hold, we are left with two cases: either $\alpha > 2\beta$ or $\alpha < 2\beta$. In the following we will show that neither of these cases is possible.


If $\alpha > 2\beta$ Then flows on M_2 are



Now:

- $E_2 \cap E_1$ and $E_1 \cap Y$ can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.
- There must be a blow-up at $X \cap E_2$. Since otherwise, the proper transform of E_2 in \tilde{M} would not be contained in E . Furthermore, Lemma 7.2 implies that the proper transform of Y in \tilde{M} is not contained in E . There can not be any blow-ups in E_1 because of Lemma 6.4. So we must have $E = E_1$ in \tilde{M} . This leads to a contradiction, since in this case E is disjoint with c_- in \tilde{M} .

Therefore, M_3 can be taken as the blow-up of M_2 at $p_3 = X \cap E_2$. Weights and direction of flows on M_3 are



The direction of the flow on E_3 depends on the sign of $\alpha - 3\beta$.

Now:


- $E_1 \cap Y, E_2 \cap E_1, E_3 \cap E_2, X \cap E_3, Z \cap X$, can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.

- Since $X \cap E_3$ and $E_3 \cap E_2$ cannot be blown up any further, and since E is connected, the proper transform of E_3 in \tilde{M} must be contained in E .

Therefore, the only possibility is that E_3 is a fixed curve, and serves as c_- in M_3 , which implies $\alpha = 3\beta$. To make E_3 a (-2) -curve, we need to perform another blow-up at points other than $E_3 \cap X$ and $E_3 \cap E_2$ in E_3 . But $X \cap Z$ can not serve as the other ending fixed point in E . Since if $X \cap Z$ is the other ending fixed point, as predicted by Corollary 5.3, we must have $\alpha - \beta = \alpha$, which is not possible. Here $-w_{k+1} = \alpha - \beta$ is one of the weights at the ending fixed point $X \cap Z$, while $w_0 = \alpha$ is one of the weights at the other ending fixed point $E_1 \cap Y$.

Thus, the proper transform of Z in \tilde{M} should also be contained in E . With the fact that $X \cap Z$ can not be blown up, three further blow-ups in Z must be taken at $Y \cap Z$ to make Z a (-2) -curve since Z has self-intersection number 1 in M_2 . However, this is not possible, since the exceptional curves of these blow-ups contain (-2) -curves, which would disconnect E .

If $\alpha < 2\beta$ Then flows on M_2 are




Now:

- $X \cap E_2, Y \cap E_1$ can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.
- $E_1 \cap E_2$ can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.

Since no more blow-ups can be performed at $E_2 \cap E_1$ in M_2 , this point must be the other ending fixed point in E . However, this is not possible, as Corollary 5.3 predicts that if $E_2 \cap E_1$ is the other ending fixed point, then $2\beta - \alpha = \alpha$. Here, $-w_{k+1} = 2\beta - \alpha$ is one of the weights at the ending fixed point $E_2 \cap E_1$, while $w_0 = \alpha$ is one of the weights at the other ending fixed point $E_1 \cap Y$. Therefore, we can rule out the case where $\alpha < 2\beta$. \square

With Lemma 7.3 being proved, E_2 in M_2 is a fixed curve, we have $\alpha = 2\beta = 4\theta$, and weights, direction of flows on M_2 actually are:




As the action has to be primitive, we conclude that $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$. Now:


- $X \cap E_2, E_2 \cap E_1$ cannot be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.

Since the proper transform of E_2 in \tilde{M} serves as the repulsive set c_- , it should also be contained in E . This means that we need to perform one more blow-up in E_2 at a point p_3 in E_2 other than $X \cap E_2$ and

$E_2 \cap E_1$. After this blow-up, the surface we get is M_3 , whose weights and flows are




Similar as before, we cannot have $X \cap E_2$ as the other ending fixed point because of the weight issue, and it cannot be blown up. Therefore, the proper transform of X in \tilde{M} must also be contained in E . This implies that we need to perform a blow-up at $p_4 = X \cap Z$ in M_3 to obtain a new surface M_4 . Weights and flows on M_4 are



According to Corollary 5.3, due to the weight constraints, $E_4 \cap X$ must be the other ending fixed point, and we have $E = X \cup E_2 \cup E_1$. By analyzing fixed points in M_4 , the following proposition follows:

Proposition 7.4. *In the setting that M_2 is the blow-up of M_1 at $X \cap E_1$, \tilde{M} can only be the M_4 above, or the blow-up of M_4 at $p_5 = Z \cap Y$, whose configuration is given by*




The first case in the above proposition will be called IIIA, and the second case will be called IIIB.

Proof. According to Corollary 6.1 and Lemma 6.4, the only possible blow-up in M_4 is at $Z \cap Y$. If such a blow-up occurs and produces the surface M_5 , then no more fixed points can be blown up in M_5 . Therefore, the proposition is established. \square

7.1.3 M_2 is the blow-up of M_1 at $X \cap Z$, and there is no blow-up at $X \cap E_1, E_1 \cap Y$ in the following blow-ups

After this blow-up, flows on M_2 are



Since $X \cap E_2$ cannot be blown up in M_2 due to Corollary 6.1, and there are no blow-ups at $X \cap E_1$ or $E_1 \cap Y$ by assumption, there can be no (-2) -curves in \tilde{M} containing the repulsive set $c_- = X \cap E_1$. Thus, this case cannot occur.

Subection 7.1.1, 7.1.2, and 7.1.3 together give the following proposition, which concludes the cyclic case in case (iii).

Proposition 7.5. *In case (iii), if the orbifold group is cyclic, then there are only two possibilities. Namely, Case IIIA and Case IIIB in the following.*

Details about these two cases are as follows.


Case IIIA.

- $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup X$.
- The orbifold group is A_3 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 5, since it takes 4 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 2.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/A_3) = -\frac{1}{2}$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality


$$2(3 - \frac{1}{4}) > 3|0 + \frac{1}{2}|$$

holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 + 3E_3 - 2E_4$.
- The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Y, X \cap E_1, p_3, X \cap Z} \mathbb{P}^2$. Here p_3 is a point in E_2 . Different choice of p_3 gives rise to the same $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, up to biholomorphism.




Case IIIB. This case with the associated primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action is biholomorphic to case IID.




7.2 Non-cyclic Γ

In the non-cyclic case, the orbifold group can only be of D_n or E_n type. To apply Theorem 5.11, we assume that the weights at the orbifold point in \hat{M} are $[1/2m, 1/2m]$, where m appears in Table 3. The minimal resolution of a D_n or E_n singularity should have a set of exceptional curves consisting of three chains of (-2) -curves intersecting one central (-2) -curve. An important feature in this case is the existence of a fixed curve in E . The central curve in E must be a fixed curve, which is also the repulsive set c_- .

A similar proof as that of Lemma 7.1 shows that \tilde{M} must be obtained from a blow-up of the following $M_1 = Bl_{X \cap Y} \mathbb{P}^2$.



To create fixed curves, one must perform blow-ups at either the repulsive or attractive sets. Since a fixed curve must exist in $E \subset \tilde{M}$ (namely, the central curve), it follows that the point $X \cap E_1$ must be blown up in M_1 . After this blow-up, weights and direction of flows on the resulting surface M_2 are




The direction of the flow on E_2 is determined by the sign of $\alpha - 2\beta$, resulting in three possible cases:

- $\alpha = 2\beta$. In this case, E_2 is already a fixed curve.
- $\alpha < 2\beta$. In this case, to get the fixed curve in E , further blow-ups should be taken at $E_2 \cap E_1$ in M_2 .
- $\alpha > 2\beta$. In this case, to get the fixed curve in E , further blow-ups should be taken at $X \cap E_2$ in M_2 .

In the following subsection 7.2.1, subsection 7.2.2, and subsection 7.2.3, we will analyze each case in detail.

7.2.1 $\alpha = 2\beta$

In this case, weights and flows on M_2 are



It is clear that the proper transform of E_2 in \tilde{M} is the central curve in E , since E_2 is already the fixed curve. Now,

- $Y \cap E_1$ can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4;
- similar to Lemma 7.2, the proper transform of Y in \tilde{M} is not contained in E ;
- $X \cap E_2, E_2 \cap E_1$ can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.

Therefore, E_1 must be one of the three chains of (-2) -curves intersecting the central curve in E , which is a chain of type $A_1 = L(1, 2)$. According to Theorem 5.11, the weights at the ending fixed point of this chain must be $[p, -q] = [2, -1]$. Hence,

$$\alpha = p = 2, \beta - \alpha = -q = -1,$$


which shows

$$\alpha = 2, \beta = 1.$$

Since the proper transform of E_2 must be the central curve, another blow-up is required in E_2 to make it a (-2) -curve. As there are three chains of (-2) -curves intersecting E_2 , there also should be a blow-up at $X \cap Z$. So the next lemma is clear:

Lemma 7.6. *There must be a blow-up at $X \cap Z$ in M_2 . There is one blow-up in E_2 at a point p_4 other than $X \cap E_2$ or $E_2 \cap E_1$.*

The resulting surface will be denoted by M_4 , whose weights and flows are




Here, F is the proper transform of the curve from p_4 to $Y \cap Z$.

To guarantee the presence of three chains of (-2) -curves intersecting E_2 , an extra blow-up at the intersection point $p_5 = E_4 \cap F$ is required. As a result, we can state the following proposition.

Proposition 7.7. *Performing an additional blow-up at $E_4 \cap F$ in M_4 results in a new surface M_5 . The surface \tilde{M} can be obtained by blowing up M_5 .*

Flows on M_5 are given by



Note that here F intersects Z non-transversely, and a simple calculation shows $F^2 = Z^2 = 0$. Blow up $Y \cap Z$ lowers the self intersection of F by 1.

By analyzing further possible blow-ups case by case, we can easily classify all the possible $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ in the case that $\alpha = 2\beta$, which is stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 7.8. *In case (iii), when $\alpha = 2\beta$ and the orbifold group is non-cyclic, there are five possible $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$.*

The structures of these $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ are given below. We need not be concerned about the weights, as per Remark 5.1, the weights must be the same at an orbifold point with non-cyclic structure group. Therefore, we only list the weights of specific fixed points that will be used later.


Case IIIC.

- $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup X \cup E_4$. E_2 is the central curve.
- The orbifold group is D_4 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 4, since it takes 5 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 2.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/D_4) = -\frac{3}{4}$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality


$$2(3 - \frac{1}{8}) > 3|0 + \frac{3}{4}|$$

holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3 + 3E_4 + 4E_5$.
- The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Y, X \cap E_1, X \cap Z, p_4, E_4 \cap F} \mathbb{P}^2$. Here p_4 is a point in E_2 . Different choice of p_4 gives rise to the same $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ up to biholomorphism.



Case IIID. This case with the associated primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action is biholomorphic to case IIE.




Case IIIE.

- $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup X \cup E_4 \cup E_5$. E_2 is the central curve.
- The orbifold group is D_5 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 3, since it takes 6 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 2.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/D_5) = -\frac{19}{18}$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality


$$2(3 - \frac{1}{12}) > 3|0 + \frac{19}{18}|$$

holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3 + 3E_4 + 4E_5 + 5E_6$.
- The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Y, X \cap E_1, X \cap Z, p_4, E_4 \cap F, E_5 \cap F} \mathbb{P}^2$. Here p_4 is a point in E_2 . Different choice of p_4 gives rise to the same $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ up to biholomorphism.



Case III F. This case with associated primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action is biholomorphic to case III E.




Case III G.

- $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup X \cup E_3 \cup E_4 \cup E_5$. E_2 is the central curve.
- The orbifold group is E_6 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 2, since it takes 7 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \tilde{M} is 2.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/E_6) = -\frac{49}{36}$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2(3 - \frac{1}{24}) > 3|0 + \frac{49}{36}|$$


holds strictly.

- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3 + 3E_4 + 4E_5 + 5E_7 - 4E_6$.
- The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \tilde{M} is lifted from the action $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . \mathfrak{E} is the infinitesimal generator of this action on \tilde{M} .
- \tilde{M} is $Bl_{X \cap Y, X \cap E_1, X \cap Z, p_4, E_4 \cap F, Z \cap E_3, E_5 \cap F} \mathbb{P}^2$. Here p_4 is a point in E_2 . Different choice of p_4 gives rise to the same $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ up to biholomorphism.



7.2.2 $\alpha < 2\beta$


In this case, weights and flows on M_2 are




To obtain the fixed curve, a blow-up must be performed at the intersection point $E_2 \cap E_1$. However, this is not possible as it would result in E_1 becoming a (-3) -curve. Therefore, this case cannot occur.

7.2.3 $\alpha > 2\beta$

In this case, weights and flows on M_2 are



To get the fixed curve, blow-up should be taken at $X \cap E_2$. The resulting surface, denoted by M_3 , is



Now:

- $X \cap E_3, E_3 \cap E_2$ can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.

Lemma 7.9. E_3 in M_3 has to be a fixed curve. That is, $\alpha = 3\beta$.

Proof. Since X and E_2 in M_3 are already (-2) -curves, we must take a blow-up in E_3 at a point p_4 other than $X \cap E_3$ and $E_2 \cap E_3$ to make E_3 a (-2) -curve, since E is connected in \tilde{M} . This forces E_3 to be a fixed curve. \square


This lemma implies that the proper transform of E_3 in \tilde{M} is the central curve. $E_1 \cup E_2$ is one of the three chains of (-2) -curves in E , and this chain is of type $A_2 = L(2,3)$. The ending fixed point $E_1 \cap Y$ therefore should have weights $[p, q] = [2, 3]$, which gives

$$\alpha = 3, \alpha - \beta = 2.$$


This shows

$$\alpha = 3, \beta = 1.$$

As in the proof of the above lemma, there must be one more blow-up at point p_4 different from $X \cap E_3, E_3 \cap E_2$ in E_3 to make it a (-2) -curve. Denote the surface we get after this blow-up by M_4 , then weights and flows on M_4 are



In the case where the structure group at the orbifold point is non-cyclic, E consists of three chains of (-2) -curves that intersect a central (-2) -curve. However, in M_4 , there is only one chain of (-2) -curves. Therefore, we need to perform one more blow-up at the intersection of F and E_4 to make E_4 a (-2) -curve. The resulting surface is denoted by M_5 .



It is clear that the following proposition holds.

Proposition 7.10. *The pair $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ is obtained by blowing up M_5 and pulling back the primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on it.*

Note that the intersection of F and Z is non-transverse. We can calculate that $F^2 = 3$ in M_5 . Blowing up $Y \cap Z$ will decrease the intersection number of F by 3, as after the blow-up, F still does not intersect the exceptional curve transversely. Since \tilde{M} must have resulted from blowing up M_5 , we can state the following proposition.

Proposition 7.11. *In case (iii), when $\alpha > 2\beta$ and the orbifold group is non-cyclic, there are 6 possible $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$.*


The following are the configurations of the six $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$, although we will ignore weights since it turns out that all except for cases IIIH and IIIK do not satisfy the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality, which means these M are not candidates for Type II Ricci-flat ALE metrics. Additionally, case IIIH is biholomorphic to case IIIE and case IIIK is biholomorphic to case IIIG.

Case IIIH.


- $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup X \cup E_4$. E_3 is the central curve.
- The orbifold group is D_5 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 4, since it takes 5 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 1.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 2, \tau(M) = 1, \eta(S^3/D_5) = -\frac{19}{18}$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2(2 - \frac{1}{12}) > 3|1 + \frac{19}{18}|$$

does not hold.



Case IIII. This case is biholomorphic to case IIIE.




Case IIIJ.


- $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup X \cup E_4 \cup E_5$. E_3 is the central curve.
- The orbifold group is E_6 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 3, since it takes 6 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \tilde{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 1.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 2, \tau(M) = 1, \eta(S^3/E_6) = -\frac{49}{36}$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2\left(2 - \frac{1}{24}\right) > 3\left|1 + \frac{49}{36}\right|$$

does not hold.



Case IIIK. This case is biholomorphic to case IIIG.




Case III.

- $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup X \cup E_4 \cup E_5 \cup E_6$. E_3 is the central curve.
- The orbifold group is E_7 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 2, since it takes 7 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \hat{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 1.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 2, \tau(M) = 1, \eta(S^3/E_7) = -\frac{121}{72}$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2\left(2 - \frac{1}{48}\right) > 3\left|1 + \frac{121}{72}\right|$$

does not hold.




Case IIIM.

- $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup X \cup E_4 \cup E_5 \cup E_6 \cup E_7$. E_3 is the central curve.
- The orbifold group is E_8 .
- Degree of the weak del Pezzo surface is 1, since it takes 8 blow-ups in \mathbb{P}^2 to get \hat{M} .
- The picard number of \hat{M} is 1.
- $\chi(M) = 1 + b_2(M) = 2, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/E_8) = -\frac{361}{180}$. The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality

$$2\left(2 - \frac{1}{120}\right) > 3\left|0 + \frac{361}{180}\right|$$

does not hold.



7.3 Conclusion

To summarize the results proved in subsection 5.6, sections 6 and 7 and combining Propositions 6.9, 6.12, 7.5, and 7.8, we obtain a list of pairs (\hat{M}, \mathfrak{E}) such that $M = \hat{M} \setminus E$ could support a Type II Ricci-flat ALE metric with structure group in $SU(2)$ and \mathfrak{E} as the holomorphic extremal vector field, up to scaling. The surfaces in the list are as follows.

Proposition 7.12. *If a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold (M, h) with structure group in $SU(2)$ other than the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation exists, then M must be one of the surfaces listed in Table 4. The corresponding holomorphic extremal vector field, up to scaling, must be \mathfrak{E} . Furthermore, the primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action induced by the holomorphic extremal vector field must be the corresponding action listed in Table 4.*

8 The \mathcal{A} -functional and Bach flat Kähler metrics

We still need to determine whether \hat{M} in Table 4 admits special Bach flat Kähler metrics or not, in order to find out whether there are Type II Ricci-flat ALE metrics other than the Eguchi-Hanson metric. Bach flat Kähler metrics are extremal, and it turns out that if there exists an extremal metric on \hat{M} whose extremal vector field induces a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action, knowing what the action is, we can calculate the minimum of its scalar curvature explicitly by combining symplectic and complex geometry methods, following LeBrun-Simanca [LS94].

Table 4: Possible $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$.

Case	$\Gamma \subset SU(2)$	Picard rank of \widehat{M}	The primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action generated by \mathfrak{E} is lifted from
IA	A_1	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2
IB	A_1	3	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2
IC	A_1	4	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2
IIA	A_1	3	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2
IID	A_3	3	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2
III	D_4	3	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2
IIIA	A_3	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2
IIIC	D_4	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2
IIIE	D_5	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2
IIIG	E_6	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2

8.1 The Calabi functional \mathcal{C} , the \mathcal{A} -functional and the Futaki invariant

On a complex surface (or orbifold) (M, J) , given a Kähler metric g in the Kähler class $[\omega]$, for a holomorphic field $\xi = \nabla^{1,0}f$ that admits a potential function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\nabla^{1,0}f$ refers to the vector field dual to $\bar{\partial}f$, the Futaki invariant is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\xi, [\omega]) = - \int f(s_g - s_0)d\mu.$$

Here, s_g is the scalar curvature of the metric g and $s_0 = 8\pi c_1[\omega]/[\omega]^2$ is the average of the scalar curvature. $d\mu = \omega^2/2$ is the volume form. It turns out that the Futaki invariant does not depend on the choice of the Kähler metric g in the Kähler class $[\omega]$ and the potential function f , so it makes sense to write it as $\mathcal{F}(\xi, [\omega])$. Note that the Futaki invariant can also be defined even if the holomorphic field ξ does not admit a potential function.

The Calabi functional is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}(g) = \int s_g^2 d\mu$$

on the space of Kähler metrics g . If we restrict the Calabi functional to a Kähler class $[\omega]$ and search for its critical points, a Kähler metric $g \in [\omega]$ is a critical point of \mathcal{C} in $[\omega]$ if and only if $\nabla^{1,0}s_g$ is a holomorphic vector field. Such metrics are the so-called extremal metrics. Especially, Kähler metrics with constant scalar curvature are extremal metrics. A classical result says that an extremal Kähler metric has constant scalar curvature if and only if $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, [\omega]) = 0$. More importantly, if a Kähler metric g is an extremal metric, the following equality holds:

$$\mathcal{C}(g) = s_0^2 \int d\mu + \int (s_g - s_0)^2 d\mu = 32\pi^2 \frac{(c_1[\omega])^2}{[\omega]^2} - \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega]),$$

with $\mathfrak{E} = \nabla^{1,0}(s_g - s_0)$, which is a holomorphic vector field because of the extremal metric assumption. It is known that the vector field \mathfrak{E} is determined by $[\omega]$ up to conjugation [FM93]. We can talk about \mathfrak{E} even if there is no extremal metrics in $[\omega]$. In particular, the Futaki invariant associated to the holomorphic extremal vector field $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])$ is well-defined even if the extremal metric does not exist. $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])$ only depends on the Kähler class $[\omega]$. We will call this the extremal Futaki invariant, which is a function defined on the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}(M)$.

The well-definedness of $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])$ and the equality above leads us to define the \mathcal{A} -functional

$$\mathcal{A}([\omega]) = \frac{(c_1[\omega])^2}{[\omega]^2} - \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega]). \quad (37)$$

It is known that for any Kähler metric $g \in [\omega]$,

$$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int s_g^2 d\mu \geq \mathcal{A}([\omega])$$

where equality holds if and only if g is an extremal metric [CLW08]. As it was pointed out in [LeB95] and [CLW08], if a Kähler class contains a Bach flat Kähler metric, then this Kähler class has to be a critical point of \mathcal{A} . In fact, for a Kähler metric g , $|W^+|^2 = s^2/24$. As a Bach flat metric, it is a critical point of the functional $\int |W^+|^2 d\text{vol}$ in the space of all Riemannian metrics, in particular it is a critical point of the Calabi functional in the space of all Kähler metrics. Hence it has to be extremal. By the openness of the extremal metrics, nearby Kähler classes also contain extremal metrics. $\mathcal{A}([\omega]) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int s^2 d\mu$ holds for extremal metrics in nearby Kähler classes, from which it follows that the Kähler class contains Bach flat Kähler metric is a critical point of the \mathcal{A} -functional. Note that \mathcal{A} is scaling invariant.

In conclusion, to search for Bach flat Kähler metrics, it suffices to find critical points of the \mathcal{A} -functional in $\mathcal{K}(M)/\mathbb{R}_+$.

8.2 Computing the Futaki invariant and the minimum of scalar curvature assuming the existence of extremal metrics

In this section, with some mild assumptions on the holomorphic action induced by the holomorphic extremal vector field, assuming that there is an extremal Kähler metric $g \in [\omega]$, we are going to compute the Futaki invariant and the minimum of s_g following [LS94]. It turns out that $\min s_g$ only depends on the Kähler class $[\omega]$. Recall $\mathfrak{E} = \nabla^{1,0} s_g = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla s_g - i\nabla s_g)$ is the holomorphic extremal vector field. We only consider the situation that the complex surface (orbifold) X is rational since this is enough for our application, although our computation also could be extended to more general settings.

Assumption. g is an extremal metric whose scalar curvature is nonconstant in the Kähler class $[\omega]$, on a rational complex 2-dimensional orbifold X . The holomorphic extremal vector field \mathfrak{E} induces a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action which can be assumed to be primitive. The attractive set and repulsive set c_+, c_- of the induced action are connected, and orbifold points only appear in c_\pm .

To compute $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])$, assuming the existence of extremal metrics, it suffices to consider

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega]) = - \int_X (s_g - s_0)^2 d\mu.$$

$s_g - s_0$ is a Hamiltonian function of the real holomorphic vector field $-2\text{Im } \mathfrak{E} = J\nabla s_g$, in the sense that $d(s_g - s_0) = -\omega(-2\text{Im } \mathfrak{E}, \cdot)$. Let ζ be the infinitesimal generator of the S^1 action associated to the primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action, and t be the moment map associated to this S^1 action with $\max t = -\min t = a$. That is,

$$dt = -\omega(\zeta, \cdot).$$

The average of t over X is denoted by t_0 . Since the S^1 action is also induced by $-2\text{Im } \mathfrak{E}$ and s_g is a Hamiltonian function of $-2\text{Im } \mathfrak{E}$, there exists a nonzero positive constant h such that

$$t - t_0 = h(s_g - s_0). \quad (38)$$

Initially in X , c_+, c_- might be points. But as in [LS94], we can consider $\sigma : X' \rightarrow X$, where σ comes from blowing up c_\pm suitably, so that the attractive set and repulsive set in X' are both curves and if there are orbifold points in c_\pm , σ resolves these orbifold points at the same time. The fact that repulsive and attractive sets in X' are curves implies generic \mathbb{C}^* orbits are rational curves with intersection number 0. This indicates that X' is fibered over a rational curve Σ , in the sense that after contracting some curves in some fibers, it becomes a ruled surface over $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. The fibration map from X' to Σ is given by the linear system of generic \mathbb{C}^* orbits. Because blow-ups are only taken in c_\pm , pulling back the Kähler form, $\sigma^*\omega$ is still a Kähler form defined on $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_\pm)$. Σ shall be both the symplectic quotient with respect to $\sigma^*\omega$, and the stable quotient of $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_\pm)$ by the \mathbb{C}^* action. Generic flows of the \mathbb{C}^* action are flowing out of $\sigma^{-1}(c_-)$ and flowing into $\sigma^{-1}(c_+)$. In X' , $\sigma^{-1}(c_\pm)$ may be union of curves.


Example 8.1. Consider the quotient space $X = \mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$, where the \mathbb{Z}_3 action is defined by $\zeta_3 \cdot [x : y : z] = [\zeta_3^2 x : \zeta_3 y : z]$. Here, ζ_3 is a unit root satisfying $\zeta_3^3 = 1$. The space X has three A_2 orbifold points located at $[1 : 0 : 0]$, $[0 : 1 : 0]$, and $[0 : 0 : 1]$.

We consider the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action on \mathbb{P}^2 given by $t \cdot [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$. This action has weights $[1, 1]$ at $[0 : 0 : 1]$ and weights $[-1, 0]$ at $[1 : 0 : 0]$ and $[0 : 0 : 1]$. Note that this action commutes with the \mathbb{Z}_3 action and hence induces a \mathbb{C}^* action on X .


In X , the attractive set c_+ is the quotient space $\{[x : y : 0]\}/\mathbb{Z}_3$, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . The repulsive set c_- is given by the point $[0 : 0 : 1]$. We denote $\{[x : y : 0]\}/\mathbb{Z}_3$ by L . Now we construct the map σ :

- $c_- = [0 : 0 : 1]$ is an orbifold point, so a blow-up needs to be taken at $[0 : 0 : 1]$. The resulting exceptional set is a union of two (-2) -curves, E_1^z and E_2^z .
- $c_+ = \{[x : y : 0]\} / \mathbb{Z}_3$ is a curve with two orbifold points, so blow-ups need to be taken at $[1 : 0 : 0]$, $[0 : 1 : 0]$. Again, they are A_2 singularities so the exceptional set of these two blow-ups are both unions of two (-2) -curves, $E_1^x, E_2^x, E_1^y, E_2^y$.

This way we get the minimal resolution of X . The following picture illustrates the situation.



However, the repulsive set is still just a point. To resolve this, we need to perform one more blow-up at the intersection $E_1^z \cap E_2^z$. The resulting exceptional curve of this blow-up is denoted by E_3^z , and the resulting surface is the desired X' . Specifically, $E_1^z \cup E_3^z \cup E_2^z$ corresponds to the preimage $\sigma^{-1}(c_-)$, while $E_2^y \cup E_1^y \cup L \cup E_1^x \cup E_2^x$ corresponds to the preimage $\sigma^{-1}(c_+)$ (as shown by the thick curves in the picture).



Generic \mathbb{C}^* orbits are flowing from E_3^z to L . If we consider the Kähler form ω on X induced by the Fubini-Study metric $\omega_{FS} = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 , after passing to X' , the pulled back Kähler form $\sigma^*(\omega)$ lives on $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_{\pm})$. The stable quotient of X' by \mathbb{C}^* clearly is \mathbb{P}^1 . The moment map for the S^1 action on $X = \mathbb{P}^2 / \mathbb{Z}_3$ is given by $[x : y : z] \mapsto \frac{|x|^2 + |y|^2}{|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2}$, hence this is also the moment map for the S^1 action on $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_{\pm})$. The symplectic quotient at level t gives the symplectic form with area $\frac{1}{3} 2\pi t$ on \mathbb{P}^1 (because of the \mathbb{Z}_3 quotient). The symplectic structure gives the metric $g(t)$ on Σ .

As in [LS94], there is a projection map

$$p : X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_{\pm}) \rightarrow \Sigma \times (-a, a).$$

The first factor is given by the fibration to Σ while the second factor is the moment map t . Fibers of this map are the S^1 action orbits. Fixed points of this S^1 action will be denoted by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$. For $t \notin \{t(\alpha_1), \dots, t(\alpha_m)\}$, the map $p^{-1}(t) \rightarrow \Sigma \times t$ is also the symplectic quotient map, which has the structure of principal S^1 orbifold bundle over the orbifold $\Sigma \times t$. Forgetting the orbifold structure on $\Sigma \times t$, one gets the smooth real surface $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. This map provides a principal S^1 orbifold bundle over the orbifold $\Sigma \times (-a, a) \setminus \{p(\alpha_1), \dots, p(\alpha_m)\}$, where we exclude the points that correspond to the fixed points of the S^1 action.

Let Y denote the preimage of $\Sigma \times (-a, a) \setminus \{p(\alpha_1), \dots, p(\alpha_m)\}$ under the projection map p . The principal S^1 orbifold bundle can be expressed as $p : Y \rightarrow \Sigma \times (-a, a) \setminus \{p(\alpha_1), \dots, p(\alpha_m)\}$. Performing a symplectic reduction at the level t where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ do not lie in the preimage of t under p , yields a symplectic form

on Σ . This gives a metric $g(t)$ on Σ . The orthogonal complement of the S^1 orbits in Y with respect to the Kähler metric on Y can be used to define a connection 1-form for the principal S^1 orbifold bundle $p : Y \rightarrow \Sigma \times (-a, a) \setminus \{p(\alpha_1), \dots, p(\alpha_m)\}$. The curvature two-form of this $U(1)$ -connection is denoted by Ω . Let $t_j = t(\alpha_j)$ be critical values of the moment map. If we set $A(t)$ as the area of $(\Sigma, g(t))$ and t is not a critical value, same calculation in page 314 [LS94] gives

$$\frac{dA}{dt}(t) = \int_{\Sigma \times \{t\}} -\sqrt{-1}\Omega = -2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_t].$$

Here $[\Sigma_t]$ is the homology class of $\Sigma \times \{t\}$ and $c_1(Y)$ is the Chern class of the principal S^1 orbifold bundle. For any $b, c \in (-a, a)$, if we let $S_j \subset \Sigma \times (-a, a) \setminus \{p(\alpha_1), \dots, p(\alpha_m)\}$ be small 2-spheres around critical value $p(\alpha_j)$, we then have

$$[\Sigma_c] - [\Sigma_b] = \sum_{t_j \in [b, c]} [S_j].$$

Here S_j is assigned with the outward pointing orientation. Hence,

$$-2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_c] + 2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_b] = -2\pi \sum_{t_j \in [b, c]} c_1(Y)[S_j]. \quad (39)$$

When the weights of the fixed point α are $[1, -1]$, the S^1 fibration over the small sphere S around α has degree 1, and we are in the setting of [LS94], where $c_1(Y)[S_j] = 1$. Generally, if the weights of the fixed point α are $[r, s]$ (assuming $r, s > 0$ are relatively prime, although other cases can be treated similarly), we can choose local coordinates (z_1, z_2) near α such that the action of $e^{\sqrt{-1}\phi}$ on (z_1, z_2) is given by $(e^{\sqrt{-1}r\phi}z_1, e^{\sqrt{-1}s\phi}z_2)$. Consider $S^3 = \{|z_1|^{2s} + |z_2|^{2r} = 1\}$, then S_j can be chosen to be the S^1 quotient of S^3 . So on S_j , the total space of the principal S^1 orbifold bundle is $S^3 = \{|z_1|^{2s} + |z_2|^{2r} = 1\}$ with the S^1 action given by $e^{\sqrt{-1}\phi} \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (e^{\sqrt{-1}r\phi}z_1, e^{\sqrt{-1}s\phi}z_2)$. The fibration map to S^2 is given by

$$(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow z_1^s / z_2^r.$$

$\frac{1}{r}|z_1|^{2s-2}\bar{z}_1 dz_1 + \frac{1}{s}|z_2|^{2r-2}\bar{z}_2 dz_2$ is a connection 1-form for this principal orbifold bundle, whose curvature is

$$-\frac{s}{r}|z_1|^{2s-2}dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 - \frac{r}{s}|z_2|^{2r-2}dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2.$$

As r, s are relatively prime, we have integers m, n such that $mr + ns = 1$. Parametrizing S_j by $z = \rho e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} = z_1^s / z_2^r$, a section of the orbifold S^1 -principal bundle over $S^2 \setminus \{z = 0, z = \infty\}$ is given by

$$(\rho, \theta) \mapsto (z_1, z_2) = \left(\left(\frac{\rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}} e^{\sqrt{-1}n\theta}, \left(\frac{1}{1 + \rho^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2r}} e^{-\sqrt{-1}m\theta} \right).$$

Note this trivialization does not extend to the two orbifold points $z = 0, \infty$. A simple computation shows the curvature form pulled back to (ρ, θ) is given by

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{rs} \frac{2\rho}{(1 + \rho^2)^2} d\rho \wedge d\theta.$$

From this, the Chern number of this orbifold principal bundle can be calculated as

$$\int_{S_j} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Omega = \int \frac{-1}{2rs\pi} \frac{2\rho}{(1 + \rho^2)^2} d\rho \wedge d\theta = -\frac{1}{rs}.$$

In particular, if weights of the fixed point α_j is $[r_j, s_j]$ (as α_j is not in c_{\pm} , we can always assume $r_j > 0, s_j < 0$), we then have $c_1(Y)[S_j] = -\frac{1}{r_j s_j}$. In conclusion, with equation (39), we have the formula

$$-2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_c] + 2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_b] = 2\pi \sum_{t_j \in [b, c]} \frac{1}{r_j s_j},$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{d^2 A}{dt^2}(t) = 2\pi \sum \frac{1}{r_j s_j} \delta_{t_j}. \quad (40)$$

Here δ_{t_j} is the Dirac measure at $t = t_j$. We will use the notations $-2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a]$ and $-2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}]$ to denote the limits of $-2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_t]$ as t approaches a and $-a$, respectively. (40) gives

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] - c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = \sum_{t_j \in (-a, a)} -\frac{1}{r_j s_j}. \quad (41)$$

Consider the chains of rational curves E'_j and E_j , obtained by tracing the \mathbb{C}^* action forward from α_j to c_+ and backward from α_j to c_- , respectively. For a specific generic orbit F in M , its area is $\omega(F) = 4\pi a$. Furthermore, if α_j is a fixed point with weights $[r_j, s_j]$, then we have $\omega(r_j E'_j - s_j E_j) = \omega(F) = 4\pi a$, since $r_j, -s_j$ essentially are multiplicities of the orbits E'_j, E_j . Computation in Theorem 3 in [LS94] now says:

$$\int_M ts_g d\mu = \omega(F) (\omega(c_+) - \omega(c_-)), \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M d\mu &= 2\pi \int_{-a}^a A(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\omega(F)^2}{8} \left[4 \frac{\omega(c_+) + \omega(c_-)}{\omega(F)} + \sum_j \frac{1}{r_j s_j} \left(\frac{\omega(r_j E'_j) - \omega(-s_j E_j)}{\omega(F)} \right)^2 + c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] - c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] \right], \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M t d\mu &= 2\pi \int_{-a}^a t A(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\omega(F)^3}{96\pi} \left[6 \frac{\omega(c_+) - \omega(c_-)}{\omega(F)} - \sum_j \frac{1}{r_j s_j} \left(\frac{\omega(r_j E'_j) - \omega(-s_j E_j)}{\omega(F)} \right)^3 + c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] + c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] \right], \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M t^2 d\mu &= 2\pi \int_{-a}^a t^2 A(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\omega(F)^4}{768\pi^2} \left[8 \frac{\omega(c_+) + \omega(c_-)}{\omega(F)} + \sum_j \frac{1}{r_j s_j} \left(\frac{\omega(r_j E'_j) - \omega(-s_j E_j)}{\omega(F)} \right)^4 + c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] - c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Recall $r_j > 0, s_j < 0$. For simplicity, let

$$T = (T_s, T_0, T_1, T_2) \triangleq \left(\int_M ts_g d\mu, \int_M d\mu, \int_M t d\mu, \int_M t^2 d\mu \right). \quad (46)$$

Therefore,

$$s_0 = \frac{8\pi c_1[\omega]}{[\omega]^2} = \frac{4\pi c_1[\omega]}{T_0}. \quad (47)$$

Example 8.2. Again we take the above example $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$. The region $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}\{c_{\pm}\}$ is biholomorphic to $X \setminus \{[1:0:0], [0:1:0], [0:0:1]\}$.

To compute $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}]$, noting that the fixed point $[0:0:1]$ has weights $[1, 1]$ and using our previous computation along with the fact that the order of the orbifold structure group at $[0:0:1]$ is 3, we can compute

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

To compute $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a]$, the fibration over Σ_t with t close to a , after passing to the orbifold cover, corresponding to the dual of the normal bundle of c_+ , as described by equations (3.12), (3.13) in [LS94]. The curve c_+ in X lifted to the orbifold cover has self intersection 1. Again, since the order of the orbifold structure group is 3, we have

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

There is no fixed point when $t \in (-a, a)$ and equation (41) holds, as expected. Moreover, for the standard Fubini-Study metric ω on $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$, $\omega(c_+) = \frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\omega(c_-) = 0$, $\omega(F) = 2\pi$. As there is no fixed point when $t \in (-a, a)$, equations (42)-(45) give

$$T = (4\pi^2/3, 2\pi^2/3, \pi^2/9, \pi^2/18).$$

The area of ω is exactly $2\pi^2/3$ because of the \mathbb{Z}_3 quotient. And the scalar curvature of $\omega_{FS} = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log(|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2)$ is 12 because of our choice of ω_{FS} on \mathbb{P}^2 , which is compatible with $\int_X ts_g d\mu = 12 \int_X t d\mu = 4\pi^2/3$ by our computation.

Example 8.3. Let us take case IID as another example.

To compute $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a]$, note c_+ as a point has weights $[-1, -1]$. By our computation, the S^1 orbifold bundle over small spheres around the point c_+ should have Chern number -1 . But we should use the opposite orientation here (the orientation given by the symplectic form on Σ_t) when we compute $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a]$. Thus,

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1.$$

To compute $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}]$, we can observe that c_- in \hat{M} is the orbifold point resulting from the contraction of $E \subset \tilde{M}$. Since this orbifold point is an $A_3 = L(3, 4)$ singularity, Theorem 5.7 tells us that the weights $[\theta, \theta]$ at the orbifold point satisfy $4\theta = 2$, which in turn implies that the weights of the point c_- are $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. So based on the above computation, we have

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}} \right) = -1.$$

There are three fixed points when $t \in (-a, a)$, with weights $[-2, 1]$, $[-1, 1]$, $[-2, 1]$, and equation (41) holds:

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] - c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = 2 = \frac{1}{2} + 1 + \frac{1}{2},$$

as expected.

Now the extremal Futaki invariant can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega]) &= - \int_M (s_g - s_0)^2 d\mu \\ &= - \frac{1}{h} \int_M ts_g d\mu + s_0 \frac{1}{h} \int_M t d\mu \\ &= - \frac{1}{h} T_s + s_0 \frac{1}{h} T_1. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

With h defined by (38). Since (38),

$$\begin{aligned} h^2 &= \frac{\int_M (t - t_0)^2 d\mu}{\int_M (s_g - s_0)^2 d\mu} \\ &= \frac{- \int_M t^2 d\mu + 2 \left(\int_M t d\mu \right)^2 / [\omega]^2}{\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])}. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Combine (48),

$$h = \left(-T_2 + \frac{1}{T_0} T_1^2 \right) / \left(-T_s + s_0 T_1 \right). \tag{50}$$

The \mathcal{A} -functional is

$$\mathcal{A}([\omega]) = \frac{(c_1([\omega]))^2}{2T_0} - \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left(-\frac{1}{h} T_s + s_0 \frac{1}{h} T_1 \right). \tag{51}$$

Now the minimum of s_g can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \min s_g &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{h} (t - t_0) \right\} + s_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \min t - \frac{2}{h[\omega]^2} \int_M t d\mu + \frac{8\pi c_1([\omega])}{[\omega]^2} \\ &= -\frac{\omega(F)}{4\pi h} - \frac{1}{hT_0} T_1 + \frac{4\pi c_1([\omega])}{T_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Remark 8.1. For (42)-(45), we did not use the assumption that ω is extremal and they hold for general Kähler metrics which are invariant under a holomorphic S^1 action. However, (52) only holds under the Assumption, since in (38) we used that the S^1 action is induced by $\mathfrak{E} = \nabla^{1,0} s_g$ which requires g to be extremal.

8.3 Bach flat Kähler metrics and its scalar curvature

Let's return to our situation of a special Bach flat Kähler orbifold. As discussed in subsection 8.2, if a log del Pezzo surface admits an extremal metric, its minimum scalar curvature can be explicitly computed. The computation we just performed yields a function

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g([\omega]) \triangleq -\frac{\omega(F)}{4\pi h} - \frac{1}{hT_0} T_1 + \frac{4\pi c_1[\omega]}{T_0}$$

defined on the Kähler cone \mathcal{K} . This function can be defined for any compact complex surface, and one only needs to specify a primitive holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action, or equivalently its infinitesimal generator \mathfrak{E} . We added the lower index \mathfrak{E} to emphasize the dependence of the function on the choice of the primitive holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{E} .

The importance of the function $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ defined on the Kähler cone lies in the fact that, at any given Kähler class $[\omega]$, if there exists an extremal metric in $[\omega]$ with \mathfrak{E} as the holomorphic extremal vector field up to scaling by a positive number, then $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g([\omega])$ equals the minimum scalar curvature of that extremal metric. The function $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ defined on the Kähler cone \mathcal{K} is homogeneous.

Example 8.4 (Eguchi-Hanson). *Recall that the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation gives a Type II Ricci-flat ALE metric as we showed in Example 2.1. The compactified surface \widehat{M} is $H_2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ contracting the curve $C_\infty = \mathbb{P}^1$ with self-intersection -2 . The Picard number of \widehat{M} is 1. Choosing \mathfrak{E} as the holomorphic vector field which generates the action that preserves the curve $C_0 = \mathbb{P}^1$ inside and the curve C_∞ at infinity, while flow points from C_∞ to C_0 , our calculation in subsection 8.2 can be applied. If we assume that $[\omega]$ is the Kähler class such that $[\omega](C_0) = 1$, we get*

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g([\omega]) = 0.$$

This shows that, if there is an extremal metric that does not have constant scalar curvature with \mathfrak{E} as the holomorphic extremal vector field, up to scaling by positive constants, then the minimum of the scalar curvature would be 0. And indeed, by our correspondence Theorem 1.1, there is an extremal metric on \widehat{M} whose scalar curvature is nonpositive, and vanishes exactly at the orbifold point.

For each pair $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ listed in Table 4, there is the associated function $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ defined on the Kähler cone \mathcal{K} . We will demonstrate that the function $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ turns out to be always nonzero on the entire Kähler cone \mathcal{K} for pairs in Table 4, and it follows that there is no Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold with structure group in $SU(2)$.

To compute $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$, we only need to

1. use (42)-(45) to compute T ;
2. use (50) to compute h ;
3. compute $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ using (52).

From the discussion in subsection 8.2, it suffices to know $\omega(c_\pm)$, $\omega(E_i)$, $\omega(E'_i)$, $\omega(F)$, $c_1[\omega]$, and $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{\pm a}]$ in each case. The number of variables one needs to parametrize $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{M})/\mathbb{R}_+$ are the Picard number -1 .

Mathematical software Mathematica will be used to simplify the expression since the computation is quite complicated. The notations in each figure are adapted to be compatible with our discussion in subsection 8.2. The notation Σ in the following denotes cyclic sum. For example, if there are variables a, b, c and S is the set of permutations σ of a, b, c , then $\sum a^r b^s c^t \triangleq \sum_{\sigma \in S} \sigma(a)^r \sigma(b)^s \sigma(c)^t$. The Chern numbers $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{\pm a}]$ can be computed as in Example 8.2, 8.3. They will be listed for each case later without details.


Case IA.

- There is only one fixed point $E_1 \cap E'_1$, whose weights are $[-1, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = -1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -2$.
- Set $\omega(c_+) = 1, \omega(E'_1) = a$. Then $\omega(E_1) = (1 - a)/2, \omega(F) = (1 + a)/2$. The repulsive set c_- is a point in \hat{M} , so $\omega(c_-) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 + a$.

Here a must satisfy the bound $0 < a < 1$. Hence, the function $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ is given by

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = -\frac{48\pi a (a^4 - 2a^3 - 8a^2 + 2a - 1)}{3a^6 - 18a^5 + 3a^4 + 12a^3 + 9a^2 + 6a + 1'}$$


which is positive when $0 < a < 1$. This implies that the function $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ is positive on the entire Kähler cone.



Case IB.

- There are two fixed points $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$, whose weights are both $[-1, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 0, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -2$.
- Set $\omega(c_+) = 1, \omega(E'_1) = a, \omega(E'_2) = b$. Then $\omega(E_1) = (1 + b - a)/2, \omega(E_2) = (1 + a - b)/2, \omega(F) = (1 + a + b)/2$. The repulsive set c_- is a point in \hat{M} so $\omega(c_-) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 + a + b$.

Note that in this case we have $|a - b| < 1$, and a, b must be positive. Hence,



$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = & 48\pi \left(\sum a - 2 \sum a^2 + 8 \sum a^3 + 2 \sum a^4 - \sum a^5 + 4 \sum ab + 12 \sum a^3b + 3 \sum a^4b \right. \\ & \left. - 6 \sum a^2b^2 - 2 \sum a^3b^2 \right) / \\ & \left(1 + 6 \sum a + 9 \sum a^2 + 12 \sum a^3 + 3 \sum a^4 - 18 \sum a^5 + 3 \sum a^6 + 15 \sum ab + 36 \sum a^2b \right. \\ & \left. + 36 \sum a^3b + 6 \sum a^4b - 18 \sum a^5b + 9 \sum a^2b^2 + 45 \sum a^4b^2 + 12 \sum a^3b^2 - 30 \sum a^3b^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$


Using some elementary inequalities, we have:

- $6 \sum a^3b - 6 \sum a^2b^2 \geq 0$.
- $2 \sum a^4b - 2 \sum a^3b^2 \geq 0$.
- $\sum a^4b - \sum a^5 = -(a - b)^2(\sum a^3 + \sum a^2b) > -\sum a^3 - \sum a^2b \geq -2 \sum a^3$.
- $\sum a + \sum a^3 \geq 2 \sum a^2$.

Add these together we get that the numerator is positive. Therefore, $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} \neq 0$ on the entire Kähler cone \mathcal{K} .

Case IC.

- There are three fixed points $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2, E_3 \cap E'_3$, whose weights are all $[-1, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -2$.
- Set $\omega(c_+) = 1, \omega(E'_1) = a, \omega(E'_2) = b, \omega(E'_3) = c$. Then $\omega(E_1) = (1 - a + b + c)/2, \omega(E_2) = (1 + a - b + c)/2, \omega(E_3) = (1 + a + b - c)/2, \omega(F) = (1 + a + b + c)/2$. The repulsive set c_- is a point in \hat{M} so $\omega(c_-) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 + a + b + c$.



Note that in this case we have bound $a - b - c, -a + b - c, -a - b + c < 1$ and a, b, c must be positive. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g &= 48\pi \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum a - \sum a^2 + 4 \sum a^3 + \sum a^4 - \frac{1}{2} \sum a^5 + 4 \sum ab + 12 \sum a^3b + 3 \sum a^4b \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 6 \sum a^2b^2 - 2 \sum a^3b^2 + 6 \sum abc + 6 \sum a^2bc - 3 \sum a^2b^2c + 4 \sum a^3bc \right) / \\ &\quad \left(1 + 3 \sum a + \frac{9}{2} \sum a^2 + 6 \sum a^3 + \frac{3}{2} \sum a^4 - 9 \sum a^5 + \frac{3}{2} \sum a^6 + 15 \sum ab + 36 \sum a^2b \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 36 \sum a^3b + 6 \sum a^4b - 18 \sum a^5b + 9 \sum a^2b^2 + 45 \sum a^4b^2 + 12 \sum a^3b^2 - 30 \sum a^3b^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 9 \sum a^2b^2c + 20 \sum abc + 54 \sum a^2bc + 36 \sum a^3bc + 3 \sum a^4bc + 12 \sum a^3b^2c - 3 \sum a^2b^2c^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$


Elementary inequalities give:

- $3 \sum a^3bc - 3 \sum a^2b^2c \geq 0$.
- $2 \sum a^4b - 2 \sum a^3b^2 \geq 0$.
- $\sum a^4b - \frac{1}{2} \sum a^5 = a^4(b + c - a) + b^4(a + c - b) + c^4(a + b - c) > -\frac{1}{2} \sum a^4$.
- $6 \sum a^3b - 6 \sum a^2b^2 \geq 0$.
- $\frac{1}{2} \sum a + 2 \sum a^3 - \sum a^2 \geq 0$.

Therefore the numerator is positive. Hence the function $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ on the Kähler cone \mathcal{K} cannot be equal to zero.

Case IIA.

- There are three fixed points, $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2, E_3 \cap E'_3$, whose weights are all $[-1, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -2$.
- Set $\omega(E'_1) = a, \omega(E'_2) = b, \omega(E'_3) = 1$. Then $\omega(E_1) = (-a + b + 1)/2, \omega(E_2) = (a - b + 1)/2, \omega(E_3) = (a + b - 1)/2, \omega(F) = (a + b + 1)/2$. The attractive and repulsive sets c_{\pm} are both points in \hat{M} so $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = a + b + 1$.



Note that we have $|a - b| < 1, a + b > 1$, and $a, b > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g &= 16\pi (1 + \sum ab) \left(-1 + 4 \sum a - 6 \sum a^2 + 4 \sum a^3 - \sum a^4 + 4 \sum a^3b - 3 \sum a^2b^2 \right) / \\ &\quad \left(1 - 6 \sum a + 15 \sum a^2 + \sum ab - 20 \sum a^3 + 4 \sum a^2b + 15 \sum a^4 + 4 \sum a^3b - 3 \sum a^2b^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 6 \sum a^5 + 2 \sum a^4b + 4 \sum a^3b^2 + \sum a^6 - 6 \sum a^5b + 15 \sum a^4b^2 - 10 \sum a^3b^3 \right) \end{aligned}$$


The numerator can be rewritten as $16\pi(1 + \sum ab) (-1 + 4\sum a - 6\sum a^2 + 4\sum a^3 - (a - b)^4)$. We observe that the conditions $|a - b| < 1$ and $a + b > 1$ imply that either a or b must be greater than 1. Therefore, we obtain the following inequality:

$$-1 + 4\sum a - 6\sum a^2 + 4\sum a^3 - (a - b)^4 \geq -1 + 2\sum a^2 - (a - b)^4 > 2\sum a^2 - 2 > 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ on the entire Kähler cone \mathcal{K} can never be equal to 0.

Case IID.

- There are three fixed points, $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2, E_3 \cap E'_3$, whose weights are $[-2, 1], [-1, 1], [-2, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -1$.
- Set $\omega(E'_2) = 1, \omega(E'_1) = a, \omega(E'_3) = b$. Then $\omega(E_1) = (2 + b - a)/4, \omega(E_2) = (a + b)/2, \omega(E_3) = (2 + a - b)/4, \omega(F) = (2 + a + b)/2$. The attractive and repulsive sets c_{\pm} are both points in \hat{M} , so $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = 1 + a + b$.



We have the bound $|a - b| < 2$, and $a, b > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = & 32\pi(1 + \sum a) \left(8\sum a - 12\sum a^2 + 8\sum a^3 - \sum a^4 + 12\sum ab + 4\sum a^3b - 3\sum a^2b^2 \right) / \\ & \left(32\sum a^2 - 32\sum a^3 + 32\sum a^4 - 12\sum a^5 + \sum a^6 + 32\sum ab + 32\sum a^2b + 32\sum a^3b + 4\sum a^4b \right. \\ & \left. - 6\sum a^5b + 8\sum a^3b^2 + 15\sum a^4b^2 - 10\sum a^3b^3 \right) \end{aligned}$$


Elementary inequalities argument gives

- $3\sum a^3b - 3\sum a^2b^2 \geq 0$.
- $\sum a^3b - \sum a^4 = -(a - b)^2(\sum a^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum ab) > -4\sum a^2 - 2\sum ab$.
- $8\sum a + 8\sum a^3 \geq 16\sum a^2$.

Add these together we get that the numerator is positive. Hence we have $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$ on the entire Kähler cone \mathcal{K} .

Case IIE.

- There are three fixed points, $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2, E_3 \cap E'_3$, whose weights are $[-2, 1], [-2, 1], [-2, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{2}$.
- Set $\omega(E'_1) = 1, \omega(E'_2) = a, \omega(E'_3) = b$. Then $\omega(E_1) = (a + b)/2, \omega(E_2) = (1 + b)/2, \omega(E_3) = (1 + a)/2, \omega(F) = 1 + a + b$. The attractive and repulsive sets c_{\pm} are both points in \hat{M} , so $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = 1 + a + b$.




Note that this time there is no restriction on values of a, b , except that they are positive. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = & 8\pi(1 + \sum a) \left(\sum a + \sum a^3 + 3\sum ab + 6\sum a^2b + \sum a^3b \right) / \\ & \left(\sum a^2 + \sum a^4 + \sum ab + 4\sum a^2b + 4\sum a^3b + 2\sum a^4b + 3\sum a^2b^2 + 4\sum a^3b^2 + \sum a^4b^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, this is positive. Therefore, $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$ on the entire Kähler cone \mathcal{K} .

Case IIIA.

- There are two fixed points $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$, whose weights are $[-2, 1], [-1, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = \frac{1}{2}, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -1$.
- Set $\omega(E_3) = 1, \omega(E_2) = a$. Then $\omega(E_1) = 1 - a, \omega(E'_1) = 2a, \omega(E'_2) = 2 - a, \omega(F) = 2$. The attractive and repulsive sets c_{\pm} are both points in \widehat{M} , so $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 + a$.




Note that $0 < a < 1$. Hence,

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = -\frac{8\pi(a+2)(9a^3 - 26a^2 + 24a - 8)}{a(21a^4 - 84a^3 + 128a^2 - 96a + 32)}.$$

It is easy to verify that this is positive when $0 < a < 1$. Therefore, $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$ on the entire Kähler cone \mathcal{K} .

Case IIIC.

- There are two fixed points $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$, whose weights are $[-2, 1], [-2, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = \frac{1}{2}, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{2}$.
- Set $\omega(E_3) = 1, \omega(E_2) = a$. Then $\omega(E_1) = 1 - a, \omega(E'_1) = 2a, \omega(E'_2) = 2 - 2a, \omega(F) = 2$. The attractive and repulsive sets c_{\pm} are both points in \widehat{M} , so $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = 2$.




Note that $0 < a < 1$. Hence,

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = \frac{4\pi}{a - a^2}.$$

Clearly this is positive. Therefore $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$ on the entire Kähler cone \mathcal{K} .

Case III.E.

- There are two fixed points $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$, whose weights are $[-2, 1], [-3, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = \frac{1}{2}, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{3}$.
- Set $\omega(E_3) = 1, \omega(E_2) = a$. Then $\omega(E_1) = 1 - a, \omega(E'_1) = 2a, \omega(E'_2) = 2 - 3a, \omega(F) = 2$. The attractive and repulsive sets c_{\pm} are both points in \widehat{M} , so $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 - a$.




Note that that $0 < a < \frac{2}{3}$. Hence,

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = \frac{24\pi(a-2)(35a^3 - 42a^2 + 24a - 8)}{a(475a^4 - 1140a^3 + 1056a^2 - 480a + 96)}.$$

It is easy to verify that this is positive when $0 < a < \frac{2}{3}$. Hence $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$ on the entire Kähler cone \mathcal{K} .

Case III.G.

- There are two fixed points $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$, whose weights are both $[-3, 1]$.
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = \frac{1}{2}, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{6}$.
- Set $\omega(E_3) = 1, \omega(E_2) = a$. Then $\omega(E_1) = (1 - a)/2, \omega(E'_1) = (1 + 3a)/2, \omega(E'_2) = 2 - 3a, \omega(F) = 2$. The attractive and repulsive sets c_{\pm} are both points in \widehat{M} so $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$.
- $c_1[\omega] = \frac{7}{2} - \frac{5a}{2}$.



Note that $0 < a < \frac{2}{3}$. Hence,

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = \frac{48\pi(5a-7)(459a^4 - 360a^3 + 54a^2 + 16a - 25)}{12717a^6 - 25434a^5 + 17523a^4 - 3852a^3 - 1245a^2 + 774a - 35}.$$

It is easy to verify that this is positive when $0 < a < \frac{2}{3}$. Hence $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$ on the entire Kähler cone.

Till now, we have already proved that,

Theorem 8.1. For the pairs $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ listed in Table 4, the function $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ is never equal to 0 on the Kähler cone \mathcal{K} of \widehat{M} .

Combining Theorem 1.1, Proposition 6.2, and Proposition 7.12 with the results obtained in this section, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.

8.4 Concluding remarks

Similar to [CLW08], our computations may lead to new examples of Hermitian Einstein 4-dimensional orbifolds. Specifically, a more careful computation as in subsection 8.3 will give that

Proposition 8.2. *The function $\min_{\mathfrak{E}}$ is positive for the pairs $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ listed in Table 4.*

For a complex surface or orbifold X , let $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ denote the connected component that contains the identity of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of X . Based on the structure of each orbifold, we can deduce that

Proposition 8.3. *The group $\text{Aut}^0(\widehat{M})$ of Case III C, III E, III G is \mathbb{C}^* , which is exactly generated by the holomorphic vector field \mathfrak{E} .*

So for the orbifolds \widehat{M} in Case III C, III E, III G, if they admit extremal Kähler metrics which do not have constant scalar curvature, then their holomorphic extremal vector field must be proportional to \mathfrak{E} . They all have Picard number 2. Using the techniques from section 8, the \mathcal{A} -functional on them can be calculated explicitly. We are using the same notation from our computation in subsection 8.3 for each case.

Proposition 8.4. *For the orbifolds \widehat{M} in Case III C, III E, III G, the \mathcal{A} -functional defined on $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{M})/\mathbb{R}_+$ is given by:*

- Case III C: $\mathcal{A}([\omega]) = \frac{1}{a - a^2}$, with $0 < a < 1$.
- Case III E: $\mathcal{A}([\omega]) = -\frac{3(-2 + a)^2(-8 + 30a - 52a^2 + 35a^3)}{a(96 - 480a + 1056a^2 - 1140a^3 + 475a^4)}$, with $0 < a < 2/3$.
- Case III G: $\mathcal{A}([\omega]) = -\frac{3(7 - 5a)^2(459a^4 - 612a^3 + 306a^2 - 68a - 5)}{12717a^6 - 25434a^5 + 17523a^4 - 3852a^3 - 1245a^2 + 774a - 35}$, with $0 < a < 2/3$.

They all exactly have one critical point in \mathcal{K}/\mathbb{R}_+ .

Combining Proposition 8.3 and 8.4, if we are able to show that there exist external metrics near the critical point of the \mathcal{A} -functional, then this would result in a Bach flat Kähler metric on \widehat{M} with positive scalar curvature, which in turn gives us new examples of Hermitian Einstein orbifolds with positive scalar curvature. However, it is currently unknown whether there exists an extremal metric on these orbifolds. It would be hard to construct extremal metrics on them, as the usual glueing construction for extremal metrics cannot be applied in this case.

Finally, it is worth noting that in higher dimensions, there also exist Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE manifolds. For instance, consider a Kronheimer's hyperkähler ALE 4-manifold X , where the space of hyperkähler complex structures is parameterized by S^2 . Take $\mathbb{C} \subset S^2$ and consider $\mathbb{C} \times X$. Define the complex structure J on $\mathbb{C} \times X$ by

$$J|_{X_t} = J_t,$$

where here X_t refers to $t \times X$, and J_t refers to the hyperkähler complex structure on X given by $t \in \mathbb{C} \subset S^2$. For any $p \in X$, we require that $J|_{\mathbb{C} \times p}$ is the standard complex structure on \mathbb{C} . This defines an integrable complex structure on $\mathbb{C} \times X$, and the product metric on $\mathbb{C} \times X$ is clearly Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE. However, this example is trivial in the sense that there are complex structures on $\mathbb{C} \times X$, namely the product complex structures, such that the metric is Kähler. This example was pointed out to the author by Junsheng Zhang. It would be interesting to find more nontrivial Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE manifolds in the higher dimensional case.

References

- [And90] M. Anderson. On the topology of complete manifolds of non-negative Ricci curvature. *Topology*, Volume 29, Issue 1, 1990, 41-55.
- [Bes87] A. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [Bir21] C. Birkar. Singularities of linear systems and boundedness of Fano varieties. *Ann. of Math.*, (2) 193(2): 347-405 (March 2021).
- [BH19] O. Biquard and H. Hein. The renormalized Volume of a 4-dimensional Ricci-flat ALE Space. *arXiv:1901.03647*.
- [BG22] O. Biquard and P. Gauduchon. On Toric Hermitian ALF Gravitational Instantons. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, (2022).

- [BKN89] S. Bando, A. Kause and H. Nakajima. On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth. *Invent. Math.*, 97 (1989), 313–349.
- [CC21] G. Chen and X. Chen. Gravitational instantons with faster than quadratic curvature decay I. *Acta Mathematica*, Volume 227 (2021), Number 2, 263–307.
- [CE] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin. *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*. AMS Chelsea Publishing.
- [CLW08] X. Chen, C. LeBrun and B. Weber. On conformally Kähler, Einstein manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21 (2008), 1137–1168.
- [CN15] J. Cheeger and A. Naber. Regularity of Einstein manifolds and the codimension 4 conjecture. *Ann. of Math.*, 1093–1165 from Volume 182 (2015), Issue 3.
- [CW11] X. Chen and B. Weber. Moduli spaces of critical Riemannian metrics with $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$ norm curvature bounds. *Advances in Mathematics*, Volume 226, Issue 2, 2011, 1307–1330.
- [Der83] A. Derdziński. Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four. *Compositio Mathematica*, 49 (1983), 405–433.
- [DS14] S. Donaldson and S. Sun. Gromov–Hausdorff limits of Kähler manifolds and algebraic geometry. *Acta Mathematica*, 213(1): 63–106 (2014).
- [EH79] T. Eguchi and A. Hanson. Self-dual solutions to Euclidean gravity. *Annals of Physics*, 120, 82–106 (1979).
- [Fu193] W. Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*. Vol. 131, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993.
- [FM93] A. Futaki and T. Mabuchi. Bilinear forms and extremal Kähler vector fields associated with Kähler classes. *Math. Ann.*, 301, 199–210 (1995).
- [Kro89a] P. Kronheimer. The construction of ALE spaces as hyper-Kähler quotients. *J. Differential Geom.*, 29(3): 665–683 (1989).
- [Kro89b] P. Kronheimer. A Torelli-type theorem for gravitational instantons. *J. Differential Geom.*, 29(3): 685–697 (1989).
- [LeB95] C. LeBrun. *Einstein Metrics on Complex Surfaces*, in *Geometry and Physics* (Aarhus, 1995).
- [LeB12] C. LeBrun. On Einstein, Hermitian 4-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 90(2): 277–302 (February 2012).
- [LeB20] C. LeBrun. Bach-Flat Kähler Surfaces. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 30, 2491–2514 (2020).
- [LeB21] C. LeBrun. Einstein manifolds, self-dual Weyl curvature, and conformally Kähler geometry. *Math. Res. Lett.*, Volume 28, Number 1, 127–144, 2021.
- [LV16] M. Lock and J. Viaclovsky. Quotient singularities, eta invariants, and self-dual metrics. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(3): 1773–1806 (2016).
- [LV19] M. Lock and J. Viaclovsky. A smörgåsbord of scalar-flat Kähler ALE surfaces, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2019;2019(746): 171–208.
- [LS94] C. LeBrun and S. Simanca. Extremal Kähler metrics and complex deformation theory. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 4, 298–336 (1994).
- [Nak90] H. Nakajima. *Self-Duality of ALE Ricci-Flat 4-Manifolds and Positive Mass Theorem*, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, 1990: 385–396 (1990).
- [Pag79] D. Page. A compact rotating gravitational instanton. *Phys. Lett.*, 79B (1979), 235–238.
- [Şuv12] I. Şuvaina. ALE Ricci-flat Kähler metrics and deformations of quotient surface singularities. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 41 (2012), 109–123.
- [SZ21] S. Sun and R. Zhang. Collapsing geometry of hyperkähler 4-manifolds and applications. *arXiv:2108.12991*.

[Tan72] S. Tanno. 4-dimensional conformally flat Kähler manifolds. *Tohoku Math. Journ.*, 24(1972), 501-504.

[Wri12] E. Wright. Quotients of Gravitational Instantons. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.*, 41, 91–108 (2012).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720, USA.
E-mail address: mingyang_li@berkeley.edu