

# ON 4-DIMENSIONAL RICCI-FLAT ALE MANIFOLDS

MINGYANG LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove:

- There is a one-to-one correspondence between:
  - Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds  $(M, h)$ ;
  - Bach-flat Kähler orbifolds  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g})$  of complex dimension 2 with exactly one orbifold point  $q$ , such that the scalar curvature  $s_{\hat{g}}$  satisfies  $s_{\hat{g}}(q) = 0$  while being positive elsewhere.
- There is no Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with structure group contained in  $SU(2)$ , except for the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation.

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminary results	4
3.	Killing fields	7
4.	Compactification	9
5.	Holomorphic vector fields and minimal resolutions	13
6.	Log del Pezzo surfaces with holomorphic vector fields	19
7.	Case (iii)	27
8.	The $\mathcal{A}$ -functional and Bach-flat Kähler metrics	38
	Appendix A. Proof of Theorem 5.5	50
	References	53

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The following question is a long-standing problem in differential geometry:

**Problem.** Is there a complete Ricci-flat asymptotically locally Euclidean 4-manifold that has generic holonomy?

Complete non-compact Ricci-flat 4-manifolds  $(M, h)$  with finite energy  $\int_M |Rm_h|_h^2$  are typically referred to as *gravitational instantons*. In this paper, we will give a negative answer to the above question in some special cases. By saying that a 4-dimensional manifold  $(M, h)$  is *asymptotically locally Euclidean* (ALE), we mean:

**Definition 1.1.** A Riemannian 4-manifold  $(M, h)$  is ALE with order  $\tau$  if there is a smooth diffeomorphism  $\Phi : M \setminus K \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B_R(0)}) / \Gamma$ , where  $K$  is a compact subset of  $M$  and  $\Gamma \subset SO(4)$  is a finite group acting freely on  $S^3$ , such that

$$\left| \nabla_h^k (h - \Phi^* h_E) \right|_h = O(\rho^{-\tau-k})$$

as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $k \geq 0$ . Here  $\rho$  is the distance function under  $h$  to some base point  $p$ ,  $h_E$  is the standard Euclidean metric on  $(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{B_R(0)}) / \Gamma$ , and  $\nabla_h$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $h$ . The group  $\Gamma$  will be called the structure group of  $(M, h)$ .

The curvature tensor of an oriented Einstein 4-manifold  $(M, h)$  only has the Weyl curvature part  $W$  and the scalar curvature part  $s_h$ . The Weyl curvature  $W$  further decomposes into the self-dual part  $W^+$  and the anti-self-dual part  $W^-$ , depending on the choice of orientation. Each of the curvature tensor  $W^+$  (and  $W^-$ ) can be regarded as a traceless automorphism of the bundle of self-dual 2-forms  $\Lambda^+$  (and anti-self-dual 2-forms  $\Lambda^-$ ), respectively. By the work of Derdziński [Der83],  $W^+$  of an Einstein 4-manifold must fall into one of the following three cases:

**Definition-Proposition.** An oriented Einstein 4-manifold can be classified into one of the following types:

- Type I: If  $W^+ \equiv 0$ , then the metric is anti-self-dual.
- Type II: If  $W^+$  has exactly two distinct eigenvalues, treated as an automorphism  $W^+ : \Lambda^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^+$  everywhere, then there exists a compatible complex structure  $J$  such that  $(M, h, J)$  is Hermitian and the conformal metric  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$  is Kähler under  $J$ , where  $\lambda \triangleq 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$ . The scalar curvature  $s_g$  of  $g$  is given by  $s_g = \lambda^{1/3}$ .
- Type III: If  $W^+$  generically has three distinct eigenvalues, then  $(M, h)$  can never be locally conformally Kähler.

Type I Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds are precisely the *anti-self-dual* Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. A very important class of such spaces are those hyperkähler ones, which are completely classified by Kronheimer [Kro89a, Kro89b]. In general, Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds of Type I must be finite quotients of Kronheimer's hyperkähler ALE 4-manifolds, which have already been classified by Wright [Wri12] and Şuvania [Şuv12]. The Type II condition for an oriented Einstein 4-manifold  $(M, h)$  is equivalent to the Einstein 4-manifold  $(M, h, J)$  being Hermitian non-Kähler. Consequently, Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds are precisely the *Hermitian non-Kähler* Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. For a Type II Einstein 4-manifold  $(M, h)$ , it actually turns out that the conformal metric  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$  is not only Kähler, but also *Bach-flat* simultaneously. Among the three types of Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, only Type I Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds have special holonomy.

The *Bach tensor*  $B$  in dimension 4 can be defined as  $B_{ij} = (\nabla^k \nabla^l + \frac{1}{2} Ric^{kl})W_{ijkl}$ . It arises naturally because the Euler-Lagrange equation of the Weyl functional  $h \mapsto \int_M |W|_h^2$  is given by  $B = 0$ . Note that the Bach-flat equation  $B = 0$  is conformally invariant, and 4-dimensional metrics that are locally conformal to Einstein metrics are Bach-flat (see Proposition 4.78 in [Bes87]). An *extremal Kähler metric* is defined as a Kähler metric  $g$  for which the  $(1, 0)$ -component of the gradient vector field of its scalar curvature,  $\nabla_g^{1,0} s_g$ , is holomorphic. In the Kähler case, a real 4-dimensional Bach-flat Kähler metric must be an extremal Kähler metric (see equation (11) in [LeB20]).

This paper focuses on Type II (equivalently, Hermitian non-Kähler) Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, specifically proving the following two main theorems. As mentioned above, a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold  $(M, h)$  naturally carries a complex structure  $J$  that is compatible with the metric  $h$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between*

- Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds  $(M, h)$ ;
- Bach-flat Kähler orbifolds  $(\tilde{M}, \hat{g})$  of complex dimension 2 with exactly one orbifold point  $q$ , whose scalar curvature  $s_{\hat{g}}$  satisfies  $s_{\hat{g}} > 0$  except at  $q$  while  $s_{\hat{g}}(q) = 0$ .

*The structure group of a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold must be contained in  $U(2)$ , in the sense that outside of a suitable compact set, the end is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{B}^* / \Gamma$ , where  $\mathbb{B}^* \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is the standard punctured unit ball and  $\Gamma \subset U(2)$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *With the exception of the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation, there are no Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with structure group contained in  $SU(2)$ .*

The Eguchi-Hanson space is usually understood as a hyperkähler space, however it turns out that it also carries a complex structure whose orientation is opposite to the hyperkähler orientation. The metric is Hermitian non-Kähler under this complex structure. Metrics arising on the right side of our correspondence in Theorem 1.1 will be called *special Bach-flat Kähler metrics* for simplicity:

**Definition 1.2.** A Bach-flat Kähler metric  $\hat{g}$  on a compact complex 2-dimensional orbifold with only one orbifold point  $q$  is said to be *special Bach-flat Kähler*, if its scalar curvature  $s_{\hat{g}}$  is positive at all points except at the orbifold point  $q$ , where  $s_{\hat{g}}(q) = 0$ .

Now we explain some main ideas in the proof:

- For a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold  $(M, h)$ , with  $\lambda \triangleq 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$ , the extremal Kähler metric  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$  is incomplete, whose metric completion is just adding one point. Using a singularity removal argument, we can show that  $g$  extends to a smooth orbifold extremal Kähler metric  $\hat{g}$  (subsection 4.2). The metric  $\hat{g}$  is the special Bach-flat Kähler metric in the correspondence in Theorem 1.1.

- Denote the compactified special Bach-flat Kähler orbifold as  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ . In subsection 4.3, it is shown that  $\widehat{M}$  is a log del Pezzo surface, which is a Fano singular surface with only quotient singularities. This is proved through an observation by LeBrun [LeB95]. Since log del Pezzo surfaces are rational, we conclude that the compactified surfaces  $\widehat{M}$  must be rational.
- Each special Bach-flat Kähler orbifold gives us a pair  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ , where  $\widehat{M}$  is the underlying orbifold and  $\mathfrak{E}$  is the holomorphic extremal vector field. Such pairs have the following algebraic properties:
  - The orbifold  $\widehat{M}$  only has one orbifold point  $q$ .
  - The orbifold  $\widehat{M}$  is log del Pezzo.
  - The orbifold point  $q$  is an isolated fixed point of the action by  $\mathfrak{E}$ . Moreover, the weights of  $\mathfrak{E}$  action on the tangent space of  $q$  are the same.

It will be an algebraic geometry problem to classify all such pairs with the above algebraic properties, and we classify them under the additional assumption that the orbifold group is in  $SU(2)$ . Remarkably, we find that there exist only a finite number of such pairs when the orbifold group is in  $SU(2)$ . This is done in sections 6 and 7.

- These classified pairs  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  are candidates for special Bach-flat Kähler orbifolds with structure group in  $SU(2)$ . Recall Bach-flat Kähler metrics are extremal Kähler. If an extremal Kähler metric exists with  $\mathfrak{E}$  as the holomorphic extremal vector field on these candidates, we will show in section 8 that the minimum of its scalar curvature can never be 0, except for the pair corresponding to the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation. Together with Theorem 1.1, this establishes the validity of Theorem 1.2.

In the smooth setting, the holomorphic extremal vector field of an extremal Kähler metric always generates a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action, as a consequence of [FM93]. Theorem 1.1, 1.2 have several interesting corollaries. It is known in algebraic geometry that the set of  $\epsilon$ -log canonical projective surfaces whose anticanonical bundle is ample form a bounded family (see Theorem 1.1 in [Bir21] for example). Log del Pezzo surfaces only have log terminal singularities, so we have:

**Corollary 1.1.** *The set of compactifications of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with a prescribed structure group  $\Gamma \subset U(2)$ , as complex surfaces, falls into a bounded family.*

During the course of our proof of the main theorems, we also establish the following result:

**Corollary 1.2** (finiteness of topological types). *For each fixed finite subgroup  $\Gamma \subset U(2)$ , there exist at most finitely many diffeomorphism types of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with structure group  $\Gamma$ .*

We will discuss later in the introduction that we actually expect that there is no Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold at all, except for the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation. By the work of Derdziński [Der83], 4-dimensional Ricci-flat metrics being of Type II is equivalent to being Hermitian non-Kähler. So our Theorem 1.2 can also be interpreted as:

**Corollary 1.3.** *With the exception of the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation, there are no Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with structure group contained in  $SU(2)$ .*

Due to the equivalence established by Derdziński's work, the condition that the Ricci-flat manifold is of Type II in our results above all can be replaced by the condition that it is Hermitian non-Kähler, and our results still hold. We also have the following corollary which will be established during the proof of Theorem 1.1:

**Corollary 1.4.** *For a Hermitian Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold, if its self-dual Weyl curvature decays faster than  $\rho^{-6}$ , then it must be Kähler.*

Compact 4-dimensional Hermitian Einstein metrics have been extensively studied. LeBrun's work [LeB95, LeB12] has shown that compact Hermitian Einstein 4-manifolds are limited to being either Kähler-Einstein, the Page metric in [Pag79], or the Chen-LeBrun-Weber metric in [CLW08]. Therefore, in our terminology, compact Type II Einstein 4-manifolds can only be  $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{P}^2}$  with the Page metric, or  $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{P}^2} \# \overline{\mathbb{P}^2}$  with the Chen-LeBrun-Weber metric. In addition, LeBrun has studied smooth Bach-flat Kähler metrics in [LeB20]. The same conformal change  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$  relates compact Hermitian Einstein 4-manifolds and Bach-flat Kähler 4-manifolds. Our Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 can be treated as a non-compact analogue of [CLW08, LeB12].

It is important to note that proving the non-existence of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with structure group not in  $SU(2)$  using our method will be difficult, due to the need for a classification of log del Pezzo surfaces with only one  $U(2)$  singularity and a holomorphic vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$  having the same weights at the singularity point. While the case-by-case classification we will use for the  $SU(2)$  case can be extended to the  $U(2)$  case, it will be significantly more complicated. Unlike the  $SU(2)$  case, the  $U(2)$  case may yield an infinite number of candidates  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ . Given the difficulty in achieving the condition that the scalar curvature of the Bach-flat Kähler metric vanishes precisely at the orbifold point, we make the following conjecture:

**Conjecture.** There exist no Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, without any restrictions on the structure group, except for the Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation.

We finally would like to remark that very little is currently known about general Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. Lock and Viaclovsky [LV19] showed that minimal resolutions of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$  cannot support Ricci-flat ALE metrics that are not hyperkähler through some topological calculation. In Biquard-Hein [BH19], they constructed an optimal ALE coordinate and an associated renormalized volume, and showed that the renormalized volume has to be nonpositive. This volume is zero if and only if the Ricci-flat manifold is a flat cone  $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma$ . Recently there are also results about Type II Ricci-flat ALF 4-manifolds by Biquard and Gauduchon [BG22], where toric Type II Ricci-flat ALF 4-manifolds are classified. Our results provide better understanding about Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. To solve the problem we mentioned at the beginning, the difficulty essentially lies in:

**Problem.** Is there a Type III Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold?

**Notations.**

- On a Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$  with a chosen base point  $p$ ,  $\rho$  denotes the distance function to  $p$ .
- If  $T$  is a tensor on  $(M, h)$ , then we say that  $T = O'_h(\rho^{-\tau})$  if, for any integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$|\nabla_h^k T|_h = O(\rho^{-\tau-k}),$$

as  $\rho$  approaches infinity. We will omit  $h$  when it is clear from the context.

- $A_{r,s}(p, h)$  denotes the metric open annuli with radii  $r$  and  $s$  centered at the point  $p$  under the metric  $h$ .  $B_r(p, h)$  denotes the metric open ball with radius  $r$  centered at the point  $p$  under the metric  $h$ . The metric may be omitted when there is no confusion.
- Given a holomorphic vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$ , by flows of this vector field, we mean the flows of the real vector field  $\text{Re } \mathfrak{E}$ .
- We will use  $H_r$  to denote the Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(r))$ .
- For an extremal Kähler metric  $g$ , by *extremal vector field* we mean  $J\nabla_g s_g$ . And by *holomorphic extremal vector field* we mean  $\nabla_g^{1,0} s_g$ .

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor, Song Sun, for introducing me to this problem and for providing constant support throughout the course of my research. I am grateful for his willingness to engage in fruitful discussions and for generously sharing his ideas with me. I would like to extend my thanks to Junsheng Zhang for providing me with a helpful example. I am grateful to Bing Wang for his warm hospitality during my visit to the Institute of Geometry and Physics at USTC, where this work was completed. I am thankful to Gonçalo Oliveira for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of this paper.

This work is supported by NSF grant DMS-2004261 and Simons Collaboration Grant on Special Holonomy in Geometry, Analysis, and Physics (488633, S.S.).

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

This section will examine some prior findings, including two central results attributed to Derdziński [Der83].

**Proposition 2.1** (Derdziński). *For an oriented Einstein 4-manifold  $(M, h)$ , it must be of Type I, Type II, or Type III.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $\eta$  be the three eigenvalues of  $W^+$  (some of which possibly could coincide). The function  $\Delta \triangleq (\mu - \nu)(\nu - \eta)(\eta - \mu)$  is well-defined and real analytic because Einstein metrics are real analytic. Therefore, we must have either  $\Delta \neq 0$  and  $W^+$  has three distinct eigenvalues generically, or  $\Delta \equiv 0$  and  $W^+$  has at most two distinct eigenvalues everywhere. If the second case happens, Proposition 5 in [Der83] implies that we either have  $W^+ \equiv 0$ , or  $W^+$  has exactly two distinct eigenvalues everywhere which never vanish, as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2** (Derdziński). *Let  $(M, h)$  be an oriented Einstein 4-manifold that is of Type II. Then there exists a canonical complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  such that  $(M, h, J)$  is Hermitian and conformally Kähler. The conformal metric  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$  is Kähler under  $J$ , where*

$$\lambda = 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h.$$

*It turns out that the scalar curvature  $s_g$  of  $g$  is  $\lambda^{1/3}$ . The function  $\lambda$  is positive everywhere because  $W^+ \neq 0$  everywhere.*

*Proof.* This proposition follows from Proposition 5 in [Der83]. We briefly outline the construction of  $J$  for the reader's convenience. Since  $(M, h)$  is of Type II,  $W^+$  has exactly two distinct eigenvalues at every point, and due to its tracelessness, two of its three eigenvalues coincide. Derdziński proved that the eigen-2-form of  $W^+$  corresponding to the eigenvalue with multiplicity one, normalized to have unit length under  $g$  and compatible with the orientation, is parallel with respect to the metric  $g$ , which makes it Kähler. The eigen-2-form serves as the Kähler form, and together with  $g$ , determine the complex structure  $J$ .  $\square$

**2.1. Type I Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds.** In the case that the Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold is of Type I (equivalent to being anti-self-dual), its structure is easy to understand.

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $(M, h)$  is a Type I Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold, then its universal cover is hyperkähler. Therefore,  $(M, h)$  is a finite quotient of a Kronheimer's hyperkähler ALE 4-manifold.*

*Proof.* Thanks to the Ricci-flatness of  $(M, h)$  and the condition  $W^+ \equiv 0$ , the bundle of self-dual two forms  $\Lambda^+$  is flat. On the universal cover  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{h})$ , this bundle is trivial, and parallel sections of it give us the hyperkähler triple on the covering space. The volume growth on the covering space is of course maximal, so automatically it has finite energy and is ALE, by [CN15] and [BKN89]. Therefore,  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{h})$  is a hyperkähler ALE 4-manifold. Since the fundamental group of  $(M, h)$  is known to be finite by Corollary 1.5 in [And90], it must be a finite isometric quotient of  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{h})$ .  $\square$

Finite quotients of hyperkähler ALE 4-manifolds are already classified by Wright in [Wri12] and Şuvania in [Şuv12]:

**Theorem 2.4.** *For hyperkähler ALE 4-manifolds, only ALE Gibbons-Hawking spaces admit isometric finite quotients.*

The only hyperkähler ALE 4-manifolds (excluding flat ones) that admit isometric finite quotients are the ALE Gibbons-Hawking spaces. These spaces are constructed by applying the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz to  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  with the harmonic function  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{|x-p_i|}$ . More precisely, the hyperkähler 4-manifold is the metric completion of the metric  $V(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2) + V^{-1}\omega^2$  on the circle bundle over  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  with the connection 1-form  $\omega$  defined by  $d\omega = *_\mathbb{R}^3 dV$ .

**2.2. Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds.** For Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, Derdziński's result already shows that there exists a canonical complex structure such that the metric is Hermitian. The next lemma, which was first discovered by Goldberg and Sachs, shows that if an Einstein 4-dimensional metric is Hermitian but not Kähler, then it must be of Type II. Hence, an Einstein 4-dimensional metric being of Type II is equivalent to being Hermitian and non-Kähler.

**Lemma 2.5** (Goldberg-Sachs). *Let  $(M, h, J)$  be a Hermitian Einstein 4-manifold, with the orientation given by the complex structure  $J$ . Then the self-dual Weyl curvature  $W^+$  of  $h$  must be  $J$ -invariant, hence  $W^+ : \Lambda^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^+$  has at most two distinct eigenvalues at every point of  $M$ .*

In dimension 4, a complete non-compact Ricci-flat manifold  $(M, h)$  satisfies the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem, which guarantees that  $\text{Vol}(B_r(p)) \leq Cr^4$  for some constant  $C$ . If the rate of volume growth is maximal, in the sense that  $\text{Vol}(B_r(p)) = O(r^4)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , then Cheeger and Naber [CN15] proved that  $\int_M |Rm_h|_h^2$  is bounded automatically. For such manifolds, classical result proved by Bando-Kasue-Nakajima in [BKN89] can be applied, and consequently  $(M, h)$  must be ALE with order at least 4. In particular, the Riemannian curvature of  $h$  decays as

$$(1) \quad Rm_h = O'_h(\rho^{-6}) \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\rho$  is the distance to a fixed chosen point in  $M$  measured by the metric  $h$ . This holds for general Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds.

**Theorem 2.6** (Bando-Kasue-Nakajima). *Let  $(M, h)$  be a 4-dimensional Ricci-flat manifold with maximal volume growth and finite  $\int_M |Rm_h|_h^2$ , then  $(M, h)$  is ALE with order 4.*

Turning to Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, let us briefly discuss the associated Kähler metric  $g$ . Recall that for a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold  $(M, h)$ , we define  $\lambda \triangleq 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$ , and the Kähler metric is given by  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$ . In this case,  $(M, g)$  automatically possesses a Killing field  $J\nabla_g\lambda^{1/3}$ , which is also Killing with respect to  $(M, h)$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *The vector field  $K = J\nabla_g\lambda^{1/3}$  is a Killing field with respect to  $g$ , hence also a Killing field with respect to  $h$ . Here  $\nabla_g$  is the Levi-Civita connection of the Kähler metric  $g$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $\text{Ric}_h = 0$ , after the conformal change  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$ , we have for the traceless part of  $\text{Ric}_g$  that

$$\text{Ric}_{g,0} = -2\frac{(\nabla_g d\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}})_0}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}}},$$

where the lower index 0 denotes the traceless part of a symmetric tensor. Since  $g$  is a Kähler metric,  $\text{Ric}_g$  is  $J$ -invariant, so  $\nabla_g d\lambda^{1/3}$  must be  $J$ -invariant as well. By a simple calculation it follows that vector field  $\nabla_g\lambda^{1/3}$  is real holomorphic with respect to the complex structure  $J$ . The corresponding Hamiltonian vector field  $K = J\nabla_g\lambda^{1/3}$  is Killing, as desired.  $\square$

From basic calculations, we find that  $K = -J\nabla_h\lambda^{-1/3}$ . Moreover, due to the decay of the Riemannian curvature, the conformal factor  $\lambda = 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$  decays as  $\lambda = O'_h(\rho^{-6})$  as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $K = J\nabla_g\lambda^{1/3} = J\nabla_g s_g$  is real holomorphic and Killing, the associated Kähler metric  $g$  is extremal. And  $J\nabla_g s_g$  basically is the imaginary part of the holomorphic extremal vector field:

$$J\nabla_g s_g = -2\text{Im} \nabla_g^{1,0} s_g.$$

Since the Kähler metric  $g$  is conformal to the Ricci-flat metric  $h$ ,  $g$  is moreover Bach-flat. Actually, LeBrun showed that for a complex 2-dimensional Kähler metric  $g$ , being Bach-flat is equivalent to being extremal Kähler and satisfying the equation

$$(2) \quad s_g \text{Ric}_{g,0} + 2\text{Hess}_0(s_g) = 0,$$

as given in equation (11)-(12) of [LeB20].

In the following we will introduce the Eguchi-Hanson metric but with reversed orientation, which is an example of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds.

**Example 2.1** (Eguchi-Hanson). *The Eguchi-Hanson metric was constructed in [EH79]. Let  $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$  be left-invariant orthogonal coframes for the sphere  $S^3$ . The Eguchi-Hanson metric can be explicitly written down as*

$$(3) \quad h = \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4} dr^2 + r^2 \left( \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + \left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4\right) \sigma_z^2 \right)$$

with  $r > a$ , and the entire metric is obtained by considering the metric completion. With the following orthonormal basis

$$e^0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4}} dr, \quad e^1 = r\sigma_x, \quad e^2 = r\sigma_y, \quad e^3 = r\sqrt{\left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4\right)} \sigma_z$$

and orientation given by  $-e^0 \wedge e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3$ , the self-dual Weyl curvature was calculated in [EH79] as

$$(4) \quad W^+ = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2a^4}{r^6} & & & \\ & -\frac{2a^4}{r^6} & & \\ & & \frac{4a^4}{r^6} & \\ & & & \frac{4a^4}{r^6} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we are using  $e^1 \wedge e^0 + e^2 \wedge e^3$ ,  $e^2 \wedge e^0 + e^3 \wedge e^1$ ,  $e^3 \wedge e^0 + e^1 \wedge e^2$  as a basis for  $\Lambda^+$ . So it is clear that the metric with this orientation is of Type II. The complex structure is given by  $J : e^0 \rightarrow e^3, e^2 \rightarrow e^1$ . The function  $\lambda$  is  $\lambda = 2\sqrt{6}|W^+| = 24\frac{a^4}{r^6}$ . The conformal metric  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$  is Kähler and is incomplete. The scalar curvature of  $g$  is  $s_g = \lambda^{1/3} = 2\sqrt[3]{3a^4}\frac{1}{r^2}$ , which is decaying to 0. Under the complex structure  $J$ , the Eguchi-Hanson space as a complex manifold is  $\mathcal{O}(2)$ . It can be compactified to the Hirzebruch surface  $H_2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$  by adding a curve  $C_\infty = \mathbb{P}^1$  with self-intersection  $-2$  at infinity, and this divisor can be contracted to an orbifold point with orbifold group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . The orbifold  $\widehat{M}$  we get after the contraction is log del Pezzo, and the Kähler metric  $g$  extends to  $\widehat{M}$  as an orbifold Kähler metric  $\widehat{g}$ . The pair  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$  is the corresponding special Bach-flat Kähler orbifold for the Eguchi-Hanson space with reversed orientation, appearing in Theorem 1.1.

### 3. KILLING FIELDS

In this section we derive asymptotic expansions for Killing fields on ALE manifolds.

**3.1. Convergence of Killing fields.** A Killing field  $X$  satisfies the second order ordinary differential equation  $\nabla_{V,W}^2 X = -R(X, V)W$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(M_i, h_i, p_i)$  be a sequence of pointed Riemannian manifolds that converges to a pointed Riemannian manifold  $(M_\infty, h_\infty, p_\infty)$  in  $C^\infty$  Cheeger-Gromov sense. Assume further that  $(M_i, h_i)$  carries a Killing field  $X_i$ , which satisfies the bound*

$$|X_i(p_i)|, |\nabla X_i(p_i)| \leq C$$

for some constant  $C$ . Then there exists a Killing field  $X_\infty$  on  $(M_\infty, h_\infty, p_\infty)$  such that after passing to some subsequence  $X_i$  converges to  $X_\infty$  in  $C^\infty$  topology.

*Proof.* The  $C^\infty$  convergence of the sequence of Riemannian manifolds implies that the coefficients of the second-order ODE system  $\nabla_{h_i, V, W}^2 X_i = -R_{h_i}(X_i, V)W$  are bounded in the  $C^\infty$  norm. Therefore, the solutions  $X_i$  to this ODE system must be  $C^\infty$  bounded too. By passing to a subsequence, the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem gives the  $C^\infty$  convergence of  $X_i$ , as required.  $\square$

**3.2. Killing fields on ALE spaces.** Suppose  $(M^4, h)$  is a Riemannian manifold with an ALE end, and assume it carries a Killing field  $X$ . We will work under the assumption that the ALE order  $\tau$  satisfies  $\tau > 1$  and that the structure group  $\Gamma$  of the ALE end is nontrivial, since we are only interested in the Ricci-flat ALE case. Under this assumption, Killing fields on the cone  $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma$  pulled back to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  always take the form  $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} x_i \partial_{x_j}$  with  $(\alpha_{ij})$  anti-symmetric. The first observation is that the growth rate of  $X$  is at most  $\rho$ . Specifically, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.**  $|\nabla_h^k X|_h \leq C_k \rho^{1-k}$ .

*Proof.* The bound  $|X|_h \leq C\rho$  can be proved by treating  $X$  as a Jacobi field and applying the Rauch comparison theorem, comparing  $X$  to Jacobi fields on the comparison space constructed as a warped product  $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^3, d\rho^2 + f(\rho)^2 g_{S^3})$  with a suitable choice of  $f$ . Bounds on higher derivatives can be obtained through the equation  $\nabla^2 X = -R(X, \cdot)\cdot$ .  $\square$

In the next we will identify the end  $M \setminus K$  as  $(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0))/\Gamma$ . Denote the quotient map  $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0))/\Gamma$  by  $\Pi$ . Then in the Euclidean coordinate  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , we have

$$\Pi^* h = h_E + O'(\rho^{-\tau}).$$

Here,  $h_E = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2$  is the Euclidean metric. We therefore can pass everything to the Euclidean end and the Euclidean coordinate. Let  $A_{r,s}^E(0)$  be the Euclidean annulus with radii  $r, s$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , and  $\rho_E$  be the Euclidean distance function to the origin. The pulled back metric  $\Pi^* h$  lives

on  $A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$ . As  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ , the rescaled sequence of annuli  $(A_{r_i,2r_i}^E(0), r_i^{-2}\Pi^*h)$  converge smoothly to  $(A_{1,2}^E(0), h_E)$  because of the ALE coordinate. In  $(A_{r_i,2r_i}^E(0), r_i^{-2}\Pi^*h)$ ,

$$|\nabla_{r_i^{-2}\Pi^*h}^k \Pi^*X|_{r_i^{-2}\Pi^*h} \leq C_k$$

because of Lemma 3.2. Theorem 3.1 can be applied and we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Given a sequence of numbers  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a subsequence that we still denote by  $r_i$  and a Killing field  $X_\infty$  on  $(A_{1,2}^E(0), h_E)$  such that  $\Pi^*X$  on  $A_{r_i,2r_i}^E(0)$  converges to  $X_\infty$  smoothly.*

The limit Killing field  $X_\infty$  on the Euclidean annulus  $A_{1,2}^E(0)$  can be extended to the end  $A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$ , which we still denote by  $X_\infty$ . It is Killing under the Euclidean metric  $h_E$ . The convergence of  $\Pi^*X$  now in particular says

$$(5) \quad |\Pi^*X - X_\infty|_{r_i^{-2}\Pi^*h} + |\nabla_{r_i^{-2}\Pi^*h} \Pi^*X - \nabla_{r_i^{-2}\Pi^*h} X_\infty|_{r_i^{-2}\Pi^*h} \leq \epsilon_i$$

on  $A_{r_i,2r_i}^E(0)$ , where  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . By taking integrations to infinity, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4.** *On  $A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$ ,  $\nabla_{\Pi^*h}(\Pi^*X - X_\infty) = O'(\rho^{-\tau})$ ,  $\Pi^*X - X_\infty = O(1)$ .*

*Proof.* Because of (5), on each annulus  $A_{r_i,2r_i}^E(0)$  we have  $|\nabla_{\Pi^*h}(\Pi^*X - X_\infty)|_{\Pi^*h} \leq \epsilon_i$ . From Lemma 3.2, globally we have

$$\nabla_{\Pi^*h}^2 \Pi^*X = -\Pi^*R(\Pi^*X, \cdot) = O'(\rho^{-\tau-1}).$$

Hence  $\nabla_{\Pi^*h}^2(\Pi^*X - X_\infty) = O'(\rho^{-\tau-1})$ . For any point  $x \in A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$ , taking point  $p_i \in A_{r_i,2r_i}^E(0)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{\Pi^*h}(\Pi^*X - X_\infty)|_{\Pi^*h}(x) &\leq |\nabla_{\Pi^*h}(\Pi^*X - X_\infty)|_{\Pi^*h}(p_i) + \int_{xp_i} |\nabla_{\Pi^*h}^2(\Pi^*X - X_\infty)| \\ &\leq \epsilon_i + C\rho^{-\tau}(x). \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , one can conclude  $|\nabla_{\Pi^*h}(\Pi^*X - X_\infty)|_{\Pi^*h} \leq C\rho^{-\tau}$ . This already implies that  $\nabla_{\Pi^*h}(\Pi^*X - X_\infty) = O'(\rho^{-\tau})$ . Since  $\tau > 1$ ,  $\Pi^*X - X_\infty = O(1)$  follows trivially by integration.  $\square$

The nontriviality of  $\Gamma$  guarantees the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose that  $Y$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant vector field on the Euclidean annulus  $A_{N,\infty}^E(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ , satisfying  $Y = O(1)$  and  $\nabla_{\Pi^*h}Y = O(\rho^{-\tau})$  for  $\tau > 1$ . Then,  $Y = o(1)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $Y$  as  $Y = \sum a_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Since  $h$  satisfies the ALE condition, we have  $\nabla_{h_E}Y = O(\rho^{-\tau})$ . Consequently, we have  $\nabla_{h_E}a_i = O(\rho^{-\tau})$ , which implies that all  $a_i$  have finite limits at infinity. Denote these limits by  $a_i^\infty$ . As  $Y$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, so is its limit  $\sum a_i^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is nontrivial, this forces all  $a_i^\infty$  to be 0. Therefore,  $Y$  must be decaying to 0.  $\square$

Returning to Proposition 3.4, note that  $\Pi^*X - X_\infty$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and satisfies the decay conditions in the preceding lemma, so we must have  $\Pi^*X - X_\infty = o(1)$ . This enables us to refine the asymptotic behavior of the Killing field  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *On  $A_{R,\infty}^E(0)$ ,  $\Pi^*X - X_\infty = O'(\rho^{-\tau+1})$  for  $\tau > 1$ .*

*Proof.* By integrating  $\nabla_{\Pi^*h}(\Pi^*X - X_\infty) = O'(\rho^{-\tau})$  to infinity and using the fact that  $\Pi^*X - X_\infty = o(1)$ , the proposition can be easily established.  $\square$

Because of the result of Bando-Kasue-Nakajima, we can take  $\tau = 4$  for Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds, and previous result reads as

**Proposition 3.7.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Killing field on a Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold  $(M, h)$ . After fixing an ALE coordinate, there is a vector field  $X_\infty$  on the end that is Killing with respect to the chosen Euclidean metric, such that*

$$X - X_\infty = O'(\rho^{-3}).$$

## 4. COMPACTIFICATION

Recall that for a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold  $(M, h)$ , we can associate the Kähler metric  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$ . This metric is Bach-flat Kähler, and in particular extremal. In this section, we will prove that  $(M, g)$  can be naturally compactified to a Kähler orbifold by adding one point. This will establish the correspondence stated in Theorem 1.1.

**4.1. Metric completion and lower bound on the Killing field  $K$ .** By fixing an ALE coordinate at infinity of  $(M, h)$ , we can apply Proposition 3.7 to conclude the existence of an Euclidean Killing field  $K_\infty$ , such that  $K - K_\infty = O'(\rho^{-3})$ . The Killing field  $K = -J\nabla_h\lambda^{-1/3}$  at most grows like  $\rho$  because of Lemma 3.2. Integrating this from the base point  $p$ , one gets

$$\lambda^{-1/3}(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/3}(p) + \int_{px} |\nabla_h \lambda^{-1/3}|_h \leq \lambda^{-1/3}(p) + C \int_{px} \rho \leq C\rho^2(x)$$

for some constant  $C$  when  $\rho$  is large enough. This implies  $\lambda \geq C\rho^{-6}$ . With the curvature decay ensured by Bando-Kasue-Nakajima, it follows that

$$(6) \quad C_1\rho^{-6} \leq \lambda \leq C_2\rho^{-6}$$

holds on the end of  $M$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *The Euclidean Killing field  $K_\infty$  is nowhere vanishing.*

*Proof.* We now prove the proposition by contradiction. Suppose that the Euclidean Killing field  $K_\infty = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$  vanishes at some point. Then, the equation  $(\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4} (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T = 0$  must have a non-trivial solution  $v = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ . Consider the ray  $\mathbb{R}_+v \subset A_{N,\infty}^E$ . Along this ray we have

$$(7) \quad \nabla_h \lambda^{-1/3} = JK_\infty + O(\rho^{-3}) = O(\rho^{-3}).$$

Integrating (7) along this ray, one gets that along this ray  $\lambda^{-1/3} \leq C$ . Therefore the inequality  $\lambda \geq C$  holds along  $\mathbb{R}_+v$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\lambda$  is decaying.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** *Equation (6) implies the existence of constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that*

$$(8) \quad C_1\rho^{-6} \leq |W^+|_h \leq C_2\rho^{-6}.$$

*This result has an interesting consequence: if the self-dual Weyl curvature of a Hermitian Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold decays faster than  $\rho^{-6}$ , then the manifold must be Kähler. This shows Corollary 1.4.*

The bound (6) tells us that the conformal factor satisfies  $C_1\rho^{-4} \leq \lambda^{2/3} \leq C_2\rho^{-4}$  at infinity.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The metric completion of  $(M, g)$  is adding one point  $q$  at infinity.*

*Proof.* In the fixed ALE coordinate,  $\Pi^*h = h_E + O'(\rho^{-4})$ . The conformal metric  $g$  hence satisfies  $\Pi^*g = \lambda^{2/3}(h_E + O'(\rho^{-4}))$ . The proposition follows easily from  $C_1\rho^{-4} \leq \lambda^{2/3} \leq C_2\rho^{-4}$ .  $\square$

The metric completion will be denoted by  $\widehat{M} = M \cup \{q\}$ .

**4.2. Singularity removal.** In this subsection we derive estimates on the curvature tensor  $Rm_g$  of the Kähler metric  $g$  near the added point  $q$ , to show that  $g$  extends as a smooth orbifold metric across  $q$ . We define  $r_q$  to be the distance function to  $q$  in  $\widehat{M}$  equipped with the length space structure induced by the metric  $g$ . A tensor  $T$  on  $\widehat{M} \setminus \{q\}$  is said to be  $O'_g(r_q^\delta)$  if for any integer  $k \geq 0$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $|\nabla_g^k T|_g \leq Cr_q^{\delta-k}$  near the added point  $q$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *The Kähler extremal metric  $g$  on  $M$  extends to a smooth Kähler extremal orbifold metric  $\widehat{g}$  on  $\widehat{M}$ . The metric  $\widehat{g}$  is Bach-flat.*

The rest of this subsection is devoted to proving this theorem. Only need to show that  $g$  can be extended smoothly. The statement that  $\widehat{g}$  is Bach-flat follows from the fact that  $g$  is Bach-flat. This theorem already establishes one direction of the correspondence in Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 4.4.**  *$|Rm_g|_g$  is bounded near  $q$  and  $\nabla_g Rm_g = O'_g(r_q)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the Riemannian curvature decomposes to the scalar curvature part, the traceless Ricci curvature part, and the Weyl curvature part, we only need to treat them separately. In the proof  $\nabla$  refers to the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ .

For the scalar curvature,  $s_g = \lambda^{1/3} = O'_h(\rho^{-2})$ . It is a direct calculation to check that  $s_g = O'_g(r_q^2)$  near  $q$ . For the Weyl curvature,  $W = O'_h(\rho^{-2})$ . Based on the conformal invariance of the Weyl curvature, it is again straightforward to compute that  $W = O'_g(r_q^2)$ .

The estimate on the Ricci curvature however does not follow directly from the conformal change. The following computation was used by Tanno in [Tan72]. In dimension 4 we have

$$(9) \quad 2\nabla_\alpha W_{\beta\gamma\eta}^\alpha = (\nabla_\eta R_{\beta\gamma} - \nabla_\gamma R_{\beta\eta}) - \frac{1}{6}(g_{\beta\gamma}\nabla_\eta s_g - g_{\beta\eta}\nabla_\gamma s_g).$$

Here  $R_{\alpha\beta}$  is the Ricci curvature. This shows

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\eta R_{\beta\gamma} - \nabla_\gamma R_{\beta\eta} &= 2\nabla_\alpha W_{\beta\gamma\eta}^\alpha + \frac{1}{6}(g_{\beta\gamma}\nabla_\eta s_g - g_{\beta\eta}\nabla_\gamma s_g) \\ &= O'_g(r_q), \end{aligned}$$

as a  $(3,0)$  tensor. The Kähler condition gives that the Ricci curvature is  $J$ -invariant and the complex structure  $J$  is parallel, so we have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{\beta\gamma} &= R_{\mu\nu}J_\beta^\mu J_\gamma^\nu, \\ \nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} &= \nabla_\alpha R_{\mu\nu}J_\beta^\mu J_\gamma^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by applying (10),

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} &= \nabla_\beta R_{\alpha\gamma} + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= \nabla_\beta R_{\mu\nu}J_\alpha^\mu J_\gamma^\nu + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= \nabla_\mu R_{\beta\nu}J_\alpha^\mu J_\gamma^\nu + O'_g(r_q), \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(13) \quad \nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} = \nabla_\mu R_{\nu\gamma}J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu + O'_g(r_q).$$

Combining (11), (12), and (13), we have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} &= \nabla_\mu R_{\nu\gamma}J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= \nabla_\mu R_{\phi\psi}J_\nu^\phi J_\gamma^\psi J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= \nabla_\theta R_{\phi\tau}J_\mu^\theta J_\psi^\tau J_\gamma^\phi J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu + O'_g(r_q) \\ &= -\nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} + O'_g(r_q). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\gamma} = O'_g(r_q)$  as a  $(3,0)$  tensor, which shows the Ricci curvature of  $g$  is also bounded near  $q$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *There are positive constants  $V_1, V_2$  such that*

$$(15) \quad V_1 r^4 \leq \text{Vol}(B_g(q, r)) \leq V_2 r^4.$$

*Proof.* This is because  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h$  with  $h$  ALE and  $C_1\rho^{-6} < \lambda < C_2\rho^{-6}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *The tangent cone of  $(\widehat{M}, g)$  at  $q$  is unique, isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma$  with the same  $\Gamma$  as the structure group of the ALE end. Moreover, the convergence to the tangent cone is in  $C^\infty$  topology.*

*Proof.* Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 imply that each tangent cone must be a flat cone, thus is isomorphic to some  $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma'$ . The estimate  $|\nabla_g^k Rm_g|_g = O'_g(r_q^{2-k})$  shows that when we consider the rescaled spaces  $(\widehat{M}, s_i^{-2}g, q)$  with  $s_i \rightarrow 0$ , the quantity  $|\nabla_{s_i^{-2}g}^k Rm_{s_i^{-2}g}|_{s_i^{-2}g}$  is uniformly bounded, from which we obtain the  $C^\infty$  convergence to each tangent cone.

Since each annulus around  $q$  measured by  $g$  is diffeomorphic to an annulus near infinity measured by  $h$ , the tangent cone  $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma'$  of  $g$  at  $q$  is diffeomorphic to the tangent cone of  $h$  at infinity, namely  $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma$ . Because flat metrics are unique up to diffeomorphism, it follows that  $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma'$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma$ , as claimed.  $\square$

Denote by  $B_\Gamma$  the standard metric ball in  $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma$  with radius 1 and  $B_\Gamma^*$  the corresponding punctured ball.

**Proposition 4.7.** *There is a  $C^3$  diffeomorphism  $E : B_\Gamma^* \rightarrow B_1(q) \setminus \{q\}$ , such that  $E^*g$  extends to a  $C^{1,\alpha}$  orbifold metric on  $B_\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* The information about the tangent cone already tells us we can find a smooth diffeomorphism  $E' : B_\Gamma^* \rightarrow B_1(q) \setminus q$ , such that  $E'^*g$  extends to a  $C^0$  orbifold metric. See Proposition 5.10 in Donaldson-Sun [DS14]. Then with the bound on the Riemannian curvature, Proposition 5.14 in [DS14] gives us the desired  $C^3$  diffeomorphism  $E$ .  $\square$

From now on, by passing to the orbifold cover, we can always assume that we are working in the case where  $\Gamma = \{e\}$ . Since  $g$  is  $C^{1,\alpha}$ , the complex structure, which is compatible with  $g$ , is also  $C^{1,\alpha}$ . The integrability theorem says, modifying by a  $C^{2,\alpha}$  diffeomorphism, the complex structure  $J$  is standard near the point  $q$ , meaning that there is a  $C^{2,\alpha}$  coordinates system near  $q$  in which the complex structure is standard. In the following we will work in this standard complex coordinate.

**Proposition 4.8.** *In the above complex coordinate, there are smooth functions  $f_i$  such that  $\frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = f_i g_{i\bar{j}}$ . In particular, when  $g_{i\bar{j}}$  is  $C^{p,\alpha}$  in the fixed complex coordinates system,  $s_g$  is  $C^{p+1,\alpha}$ .*

*Proof.* The Kähler metric  $g$  is  $C^{1,\alpha}$  in this complex coordinate. The holomorphic vector field  $\nabla^{1,0}s_g = \frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} g^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$  in this coordinate can be extended across the origin by the Hartogs' theorem, since  $\nabla s_g$  is bounded by Proposition 4.4. In particular, coefficients of this holomorphic vector field  $\frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} g^{i\bar{j}}$  must be  $C^\infty$  functions in this coordinate system. From this, setting  $f_i = \frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} g^{i\bar{j}}$ , one gets  $\frac{\partial s_g}{\partial \bar{z}_k} = f_i g_{i\bar{k}}$ . The proposition follows.  $\square$

Next we improve the regularity of the metric around the point  $q$ . Proposition 4.7 already shows that we can treat  $g$  as a  $C^{1,\alpha}$  metric on  $B_1(q)$ . Now we fix a base Kähler metric  $h_{i\bar{j}}$  that is smooth in the standard complex coordinate. Then the scalar curvature  $s(h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}})$  of any Kähler metric  $h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}$  can be written as:

$$(16) \quad \det(h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}) = e^F \det(h_{i\bar{j}}),$$

$$(17) \quad s(h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}) = -\Delta_\varphi F + \text{tr}_\varphi \text{Ric}(h).$$

Here,  $\Delta_\varphi$  denotes the the Laplace operator of the Kähler metric  $h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** *For  $p \geq 3$ ,  $C^{p,\alpha}$  bound on  $F$  and  $C^{p,\alpha}$  bound on  $\varphi$  give  $C^{p+1,\alpha}$  bound on  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the standard bootstrap arguments for the Monge-Ampère equation (16).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.10.** *The metric  $g$  on  $B_1(q) \setminus \{q\}$  extends to a metric on  $B_1(q)$  that is smooth in the standard complex coordinate.*

*Proof.* In the complex coordinate, we have  $C^{1,\alpha}$  bound on  $g_{i\bar{j}}$ . By choosing the potential function  $\varphi$  suitably, it can be assumed that  $\varphi$  is  $C^{3,\alpha}$  bounded, where  $g_{i\bar{j}} = h_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}$ .

Starting with  $C^{k+2,\alpha}$  bound on  $\varphi$ , we have  $C^{k,\alpha}$  bound on  $\varphi_{i\bar{j}}$ . Together with Proposition 4.8, the elliptic equation for  $F$  (17) at least has  $C^{k,\alpha}$  bounded coefficients. Schauder estimate gives  $C^{k+2,\alpha}$  bound on  $F$ . Choosing  $p = k + 2$  in Proposition 4.9, one gets  $C^{k+3,\alpha}$  bound on  $\varphi$ . This shows, beginning from  $k = 1$ , by applying this bootstrap argument repeatedly, we can finally get  $C^\infty$  bound on  $\varphi$  in the standard complex coordinate. The proposition is proved.  $\square$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.3. The extended complex structure on  $\widehat{M}$  will be denoted by  $\widehat{J}$ . Theorem 4.3 has the following corollaries.

**Corollary 4.1.** *On the metric completion  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ , the Killing field  $K = J\nabla_g s_g$  extends to the Killing field  $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$ , which vanishes at the orbifold point  $q$ . Moreover,  $s_{\widehat{g}} \geq 0$  and  $s_{\widehat{g}} = 0$  only at  $q$ . The scalar curvature  $s_{\widehat{g}}$  is a Morse-Bott function.*

*Proof.* The vanishing of the extended Killing field at  $q$  follows from the fact that  $|K|_g \leq C\rho^{-1}$ . The vanishing of the scalar curvature  $s_{\widehat{g}}(q)$  follows from the decay of  $s_g = \lambda^{1/3}$  as  $\rho^{-2}$ . Outside of  $q$ ,  $s_{\widehat{g}} > 0$  because  $\lambda = 2\sqrt{6}|W^+|_h$  is nowhere vanishing on  $M$ . The last statement follows from Lemma 1 in [LeB20].  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Near the point  $q$ , we have  $s_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}ar_q^2 + O(r_q^3)$  for some positive constant  $a$ . There exists a constant  $t_0$  such that the time  $t_0$  flow of the Killing field  $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$  is the identity map.*

*Proof.* Since the Killing field  $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$  vanishes at  $q$ , we have  $\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}(q) = 0$ . The Morse-Bott property implies that  $Hess(s_{\widehat{g}})$  is nondegenerate at  $q$ . Equation (2) still holds when  $g$  is extended to  $\widehat{g}$ , so we have  $Hess_0(s_{\widehat{g}})(q) = 0$ . Therefore,  $Hess(s_{\widehat{g}})(q) = a\widehat{g}$  for some positive  $a$ , and we conclude that  $s_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}ar_q^2 + O(r_q^3)$  near  $q$ , as claimed.

Because  $Hess(s_{\widehat{g}})(q) = a\widehat{g}$ , the time  $2\pi/a$  flow of  $\widehat{J}\nabla_{\widehat{g}} s_{\widehat{g}}$  fixes the point  $q$  and its tangent space, meaning that the time  $2\pi/a$  flow of this Killing field is the identity map.  $\square$

**4.3. Complex structure on the compactification.** The special property of  $s_{\widehat{g}}$  leads to the following proposition, which is based on a key observation by LeBrun in [LeB95].

**Proposition 4.11.**  *$(\widehat{M}, \widehat{J})$  is a log del Pezzo surface. That is,  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{J})$  is a normal projective surfaces with at worst quotient singularities and ample anticanonical bundle.*

*Proof.* First, we show the existence of a Kähler current in  $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$ . Then we prove the ampleness using regularization. Note that although  $-K_{\widehat{M}}$  is only  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, for simplicity we will work as if it were Cartier.

The conformal relation  $g = \lambda^{2/3}h = s_g^2 h$  and the Ricci-flat property of  $h$  imply

$$(18) \quad Ric_{g,ab} = -2s_g^{-1}\nabla_a\nabla_b s_g + \left(-s_g^{-1}\Delta s_g + 3|d\log s_g|_g^2\right)g_{ab}.$$

Here we are using the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ . Taking the trace of (18), we get  $s_g = -6s_g^{-1}\Delta s_g - 12|d\log s_g|_g^2$ . Therefore,

$$(19) \quad Ric_{g,ab} + 2s_g^{-1}\nabla_a\nabla_b s_g = \left(\frac{s_g}{6} + |d\log s_g|_g^2\right)g_{ab}.$$

This suggests that we should consider the current  $T = \rho_g + 2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log s_g$  in the class  $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$ , where  $\rho_g$  is the Ricci form of  $g$ . With (19), the associated symmetric form  $T_J \triangleq T(\cdot, J\cdot)$  will be given by

$$(20) \quad T_{J,ab} = \frac{s_g}{6}g_{ab} + s_g^{-2}\left(|ds_g|_g^2 g_{ab} - (ds_g)_a(ds_g)_b - (Jds_g)_a(Jds_g)_b\right).$$

It is clear that  $T_J$  is strictly positive, hence the current  $T$  is a Kähler current.

To show the ampleness, if  $\widehat{g}_{-K}$  denotes the hermitian metric on the line bundle  $-K_{\widehat{M}}$  induced by  $\widehat{g}$ , then the form  $\rho_g + 2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log s_g$  is the curvature form of the singular hermitian metric  $\widehat{g}_{-K}e^{-2\log s_g}$ . The regularization theorem by Demailly says if  $T$  is a current in  $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$  and satisfies  $T \geq \gamma$  for some smooth real  $(1,1)$  form  $\gamma$ , then there is a sequence of smooth  $(1,1)$  forms  $\theta_k$  in  $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$  converges weakly to  $T$  such that

$$(21) \quad \theta_k \geq \gamma - C\lambda_k(x)\widehat{\omega},$$

where  $C$  is a constant depends only on  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ ,  $\widehat{\omega}$  is the Kähler form of  $\widehat{g}$ , and  $\lambda_k(x)$  is a decreasing sequence of continuous functions converging to the Lelong number  $\nu(T, x)$  for every point  $x$ . The current  $T$  as the curvature of the singular metric  $\widehat{g}_{-K}e^{-2\log s_g}$  on  $-K_{\widehat{M}}$ , its Lelong number is

$$\nu(T, x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \neq q, \\ 2, & \text{if } x = q, \end{cases}$$

because of Corollary 4.2. Taking a Riemannian normal coordinate near  $q$ , we have

$$\widehat{g}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + O(r_q^2), \quad \partial_k \widehat{g}_{ij} = O(r_q), \quad s_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}ar_q^2 + O(r_q^3).$$

So,

$$\frac{s_{\widehat{g}}}{6}g_{ab} + s_{\widehat{g}}^{-2} (|ds_{\widehat{g}}|^2 g_{ab} - (ds_{\widehat{g}})_a (ds_{\widehat{g}})_b - (Jds_{\widehat{g}})_a (Jds_{\widehat{g}})_b) \geq \frac{1}{12}ar_q^2 g_{ab} + \epsilon \frac{1}{r_q^2} g_{ab}$$

for some small  $\epsilon$ , as a symmetric 2-form near  $q$ . As the positivity is relatively high, the regularization theorem of Demailly now gives a smooth  $(1,1)$  form  $\theta$  in  $2\pi c_1(-K_{\widehat{M}})$  that is strictly positive. It follows that  $\widehat{M}$  is Fano, as desired.  $\square$

Classical result now says that  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{J})$  is rational, since it is log del Pezzo.

**Proposition 4.12.** *The minimal resolution  $\widetilde{M}$  of a log del Pezzo surface  $\widehat{M}$ , given by the map  $r : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ , is a smooth rational surface.*

**4.4. Correspondence between Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds and special Bach-flat Kähler orbifolds.** The previous discussion has demonstrated that the compactification of a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold is a special Bach-flat Kähler orbifold. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we still need to prove the other direction of our correspondence.

**Theorem 4.13.** *Given a special Bach-flat Kähler orbifold  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$  with the orbifold point  $q$ , there exists a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold  $(M, h)$ , where  $M = \widehat{M} \setminus \{q\}$  and  $h = s_{\widehat{g}}^{-2} \widehat{g}$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $\widehat{g}$  is Bach-flat Kähler, after the conformal change, (2) gives  $Ric_{h,0} = Ric_{\widehat{g},0} + 2s_{\widehat{g}}^{-1} Hess_0(s_{\widehat{g}}) = 0$ . The vanishing of the traceless Ricci curvature implies  $h$  is Einstein. Conformal relation also gives  $s_h = s_{\widehat{g}}^3 + 6s_{\widehat{g}} \Delta s_{\widehat{g}} - 12|\nabla s_{\widehat{g}}|^2$ . The right hand side is a continuous function on  $\widehat{M}$  which should be a constant because  $s_h$  is a constant. Since  $s_{\widehat{g}}$  achieves its minimum at  $q$ ,  $\nabla s_{\widehat{g}}(q) = 0$ . Evaluating this equation at  $q$ , we get  $s_h = 0$ . Therefore  $h$  is a Ricci-flat metric.

Near  $q$ ,  $s_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{2}ar_q^2 + O(r_q^3)$ . Hence  $h = s_{\widehat{g}}^{-2} \widehat{g}$  has maximal volume growth. As a Ricci-flat 4-manifold with maximal volume growth, by [CN15] and [BKN89] it is ALE, as claimed.  $\square$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 5. HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR FIELDS AND MINIMAL RESOLUTIONS

In section 5, we take a detour to study the weights of holomorphic vector fields, which is necessary for us to classify all the log del Pezzo surfaces coming from Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. We explain the necessity of this section and conclude previous sections before we start. With the correspondence Theorem 1.1 in hand, to study Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds  $(M, h)$ , it suffices to study special Bach-flat Kähler orbifolds  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ . Some key properties that special Bach-flat Kähler orbifolds have:

- The underlying complex surface  $\widehat{M}$  is log del Pezzo, with only one orbifold point  $q$ .
- The underlying complex surface  $\widehat{M}$  carries a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. The extremal vector field  $K$  induces a holomorphic  $S^1$ -action by Corollary 4.2, so there is the associated holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action.
- The holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action has same weights at the orbifold point  $q$ , in the sense that it fixes  $q$  and the two weights of the induced  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on the tangent space at  $q$  coincide.

These already are very strong constraints. Denote the infinitesimal generator of the holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action as  $\mathfrak{E}$ . The holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action always can be taken as primitive and the holomorphic vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$  can always be taken as flowing out of the orbifold point  $q$ . We shall ultimately classify all pairs  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  that satisfy the above conditions, with one more technical assumption that the orbifold group is in  $SU(2)$ . They are candidates for special Bach-flat Kähler orbifolds with orbifold group in  $SU(2)$ .

Before proceeding, we establish some definitions. By a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  given by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$ , we always mean that after passing to the orbifold cover  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , the action is given by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$ . The action must commute with the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

**5.1. Quotient singularities and their minimal resolutions.** Minimal resolutions of quotient singularities  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  were studied by Brieskorn. In this section we briefly recall their structures. Materials in subsection 5.1.1 are taken from Lock-Viaclovsky [LV16].

5.1.1. *Structure of minimal resolutions.* Consider the quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma \subset U(2)$  is a finite subgroup and we require that  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  only has an orbifold singularity at the origin. This is equivalent to say that  $\Gamma$  as a finite subgroup of  $U(2)$  contains no complex reflections. The group  $SU(2)$  can be identified with unit quaternions  $z_1 + z_2j \in \mathbb{H}$ , where  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . This identification allows us to view  $SU(2)$  as the unit sphere  $S^3$ . We define a map  $\phi : S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow SO(4)$  by

$$\phi(q_1, q_2)(h) = q_1 h \bar{q}_2$$

for  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ , where we are taking quaternions multiplication. This map  $\phi$  is a double cover of  $SO(4)$ , and when we restrict it to  $S^1 \times S^3$  with  $S^1 \subset S^3$  understood as the set of unit  $z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$ , it provides a double cover of  $U(2)$ . Now finite subgroups of  $U(2)$  with no complex reflections can be described by Table 1.

TABLE 1. Finite subgroups of  $U(2)$  acting freely on  $S^3$ .

$\Gamma \subset U(2)$	Conditions	Order
$L(m, n)$	$(m, n) = 1$	$n$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times D_{4n}^*)$	$(m, 2n) = 1$	$4mn$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times T^*)$	$(m, 6) = 1$	$24m$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times O^*)$	$(m, 6) = 1$	$48m$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times I^*)$	$(m, 30) = 1$	$120m$
$\mathfrak{J}_{m,n}^2 = \text{Index-2 diagonal} \subset \phi(L(1, 4m) \times D_{4n}^*)$	$(m, 2) = 2, (m, n) = 1$	$4mn$
$\mathfrak{J}_m^3 = \text{Index-3 diagonal} \subset \phi(L(1, 6m) \times T^*)$	$(m, 6) = 3$	$24m$

Here, the group  $L(q, p)$  denotes the cyclic subgroup of  $U(2)$  generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\frac{2\pi i q}{p}) \end{pmatrix}.$$


The finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$ , denoted by  $D_{4n}^*$ ,  $T^*$ ,  $O^*$ ,  $I^*$ , correspond to the binary dihedral, tetrahedral, octahedral, and icosahedral groups, respectively. Using the ADE classification for finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$ , we have that  $A_n$  corresponds to  $L(-1, n+1)$ ,  $D_{n+2}$  corresponds to  $D_{4n}^*$ , and  $E_6, E_7, E_8$  corresponds to  $T^*, O^*, I^*$ , respectively. Use  $[\alpha, \beta]$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in SU(2)$  to denote the element in  $SO(4)$ , whose action on  $h \in S^3$  in terms of quaternions is given by  $[\alpha, \beta]h = \alpha h \bar{\beta}$ . We can write down the generators of the above groups in a more explicit manner as in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Generators of finite subgroups of  $U(2)$  acting freely on  $S^3$ .

$\Gamma \subset U(2)$	Generators
$L(q, p)$	$[e^{2\pi i k/p}, e^{2\pi i(1-k)/p}]$ with $2k \equiv (q+1) \pmod{p}$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times D_{4n}^*)$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, e^{\pi i/n}], [1, j]$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times T^*)$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, (1+i+j-k)/2], [1, (1+i+j+k)/2]$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times O^*)$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, e^{\pi i/4}], [1, (1+i+j+k)/2]$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times I^*)$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, (1+\tau i - \tau^{-1}k)/2], [1, (\tau + i + \tau^{-1}j)/2]$ with $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$
$\mathfrak{J}_{m,n}^2$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, e^{\pi i/n}], [e^{\pi i/(2m)}, j]$
$\mathfrak{J}_m^3$	$[e^{\pi i/m}, 1], [1, i], [1, j], [e^{\pi i/(3m)}, (-1-i-j+k)/2]$

Now it comes to the structure of the minimal resolution of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ .


For the cyclic case, when the group  $\Gamma$  is  $L(q, p)$ , the orbifold singularity is a Hirzebruch-Jung singularity. The exceptional divisors of its minimal resolution is a chain of rational curves with self-intersection  $-e_i$ , as illustrated below:



Here, each vertex denotes a rational curve with self intersection  $-e_i$ , and if there is a segment between two vertices, it means the two curves intersect transversely at one point. The numbers  $e_i$  are determined by the relatively prime integers  $1 \leq q < p$ , via the Hirzebruch-Jung continued fraction expansion with  $e_i \geq 2$ :

$$(22) \quad \frac{q}{p} = \frac{1}{e_1 - \frac{1}{e_2 - \cdots \frac{1}{e_k}}}$$

The minimal resolutions of non-cyclic finite subgroups of  $U(2)$  that act freely on  $S^3$  have exceptional curves consisting of three chains of rational curves, each intersecting a single central rational curve.



Each chain  $\{e_i^j\}$  with fixed  $j = 1, 2, 3$  is the chain of exceptional curves of the minimal resolution for some  $L(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ . The number  $b_\Gamma$  here is given by

$$b_\Gamma = 2 + \frac{4m}{|\Gamma|} \left( m - \left( m \bmod \frac{|\Gamma|}{4m} \right) \right),$$

with  $m$  as in Table 1. The groups  $L(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$  for each  $\Gamma$  is given by Table 3.

TABLE 3.  $L(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$  for non-cyclic subgroups.

$\Gamma \subset U(2)$	$L(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times D_{4n}^*)$	$L(1, 2), L(1, 2), L(-m, n)$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times T^*)$	$L(1, 2), L(-m, 3), L(-m, 3)$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times O^*)$	$L(1, 2), L(-m, 3), L(-m, 4)$
$\phi(L(1, 2m) \times I^*)$	$L(1, 2), L(-m, 3), L(-m, 5)$
$\mathfrak{J}_{m,n}^2$	$L(1, 2), L(1, 2), L(-m, n)$
$\mathfrak{J}_m^3$	$L(1, 2), L(1, 3), L(2, 3)$

5.1.2. *Eta invariants and the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality.* The eta invariant of a finite subgroup  $\Gamma \subset SO(4)$  is defined as

$$\eta(S^3/\Gamma) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{g \neq 1} \cot \frac{r(g)}{2} \cot \frac{s(g)}{2}.$$

Here  $r(g)$  and  $s(g)$  are the rotation numbers of  $g \in \Gamma \subset SO(4)$ . The following is the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality for 4-dimensional Ricci-flat ALE spaces, which can be found as Theorem 4.2 in [Nak90].

**Theorem 5.1.** *For a 4-dimensional Ricci-flat ALE space  $(M, h)$  with end  $S^3/\Gamma$  and Euler number  $\chi(M)$ , signature  $\tau(M)$ , the following inequality holds:*

$$(23) \quad 2 \left( \chi(M) - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \right) \geq 3 |\tau(M) - \eta(S^3/\Gamma)|.$$

The equality holds iff  $(M, h)$  or its opposite orientation space is a quotient of a 4-dimensional ALE hyperkähler space.

For cyclic finite subgroups of  $U(2)$ , eta invariants are known to be

$$(24) \quad \eta(S^3/L(q, p)) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k e_i + \frac{q + q^{-1,p}}{p} \right) - k.$$

Here,  $e_i, k$  are the numbers appearing in (22), and  $q^{-1,p}$  is the inverse of  $q \bmod p$ . For finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$  of types  $A_n, D_n, E_n$ , eta invariants are

$$(25) \quad \eta(S^3/\Gamma) = -\frac{n(n-1)}{3(n+1)}, -\frac{2n^2-8n+9}{6(n-2)}, -\frac{49}{36}, -\frac{121}{72}, -\frac{361}{180},$$

when  $\Gamma = A_n, D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8$ . Eta invariants of other finite subgroups of  $U(2)$  are also calculated in [LV19].

**5.2. Weights of holomorphic vector fields at fixed points.** For a primitive holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action and its infinitesimal generator  $\mathfrak{E}$ , we define:

**Definition 5.1.** The weights of the holomorphic vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$  at a fixed point  $p$  is the pair  $[\theta, \tau]$ , where  $\theta$  and  $\tau$  are the weights of the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on the tangent space at  $p$ . If  $p$  is an orbifold point, weights can be similarly defined by passing to a local orbifold cover.

Weights could be fractions when  $p$  is an orbifold point. For example, the primitive  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ , given by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^{1/2}x, t^{1/2}y)$  on the orbifold cover  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , has weights  $[1/2, 1/2]$  at the origin. The following simple lemma shows near a smooth fixed point  $q$ , there is a holomorphic coordinate such that the holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action with weights  $[\theta, \tau]$  is standard.

**Lemma 5.2.** *There exists a holomorphic coordinate  $(x, y)$  near  $p$  such that the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action is given by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$ , and  $\mathfrak{E} = \theta x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tau y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ .*


The following proposition explains how blow-up changes weights of a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action.

**Proposition 5.3.** *For a nontrivial holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action with weights  $[\theta, \tau]$  at a fixed point  $p$ , which is locally given by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$ , the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action lifts to the blow-up at  $p$ . And,*

- if  $\theta = \tau$ , the exceptional curve  $E$  is a fixed curve of the lifted action, points in which all have weights  $[\theta, 0]$ ;
- if  $\theta \neq \tau$ , the exceptional curve  $E$  admits two fixed points  $p_1, p_2$ , with weights  $[\theta_1, \tau_1], [\theta_2, \tau_2]$ . Weights of them are  $[\theta, \tau - \theta], [\theta - \tau, \tau]$ .

*Proof.* Direct calculation. □

**Remark 5.1.** *The above proposition can be explained in the following intuitive way. Take  $\theta > \tau > 0$  for an example. Arrows in the following picture shows the direction of the flows of the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. Then a blow-up at the fixed point changes weights by*



Here  $E$  is the exceptional curve. Other situations are similar.

**5.3. Toric geometry of  $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$  and weights of actions.** In this subsection, we consider cyclic groups  $\Gamma = L(q, p)$ . The minimal resolution of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  has been described in subsection 5.1. Using the language of toric geometry (see [Ful93] for example), the minimal resolution of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  can be described more precisely. Recall that for  $q/p$  we have the Hirzebruch-Jung continued fraction

$$\frac{q}{p} = \frac{1}{e_1 - \frac{1}{e_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{e_k}}}$$

**Theorem 5.4.** Define the vertices  $v_0, \dots, v_{k+1}$  with  $v_0 = (0, 1)$ ,  $v_1 = (1, 0)$ ,  $v_{i+1} = e_i v_i - v_{i-1}$ . Notice that  $v_{k+1} = (p, -q)$ . Then the fan of the minimal resolution  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2}/\Gamma$  is given by Figure 1





FIGURE 1. The fan  $F_{L(q,p)}$  of the minimal resolution  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2}/\Gamma$ .

The exceptional set is as follows. The curve  $E_i$  corresponds to the ray given by  $v_i$  in the fan  $F_{L(q,p)}$ .




See section 2.6 of [Ful93] for a proof of the above theorem. The holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  can be lifted to the minimal resolution of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ , whose weights can be described by the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** Consider the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$  defined by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$  with  $\theta \geq \tau \geq 0$  and its minimal resolution  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2}/\Gamma$  given by Theorem 5.4. Given vertices  $v_0, \dots, v_k$  as in Theorem 5.4, write  $v_i = (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V})$  and for  $i = 0, \dots, k+1$  define

$$w_i = \theta(pv_{i,V} + qv_{i,U}) - \tau v_{i,U}.$$

Then the weights of the lifted action are:




Notice that there is the inductive relation  $w_{i+1} = e_i w_i - w_{i-1}$ , and we have  $w_0 = \theta p$ ,  $w_1 = \theta q - \tau$ ,  $w_k = \theta - \tau v_{k,U}$ , and  $w_{k+1} = -\tau p$ .

*Proof.* This should be a standard computation but the author cannot find any such result in previous literature. We will provide a proof in the appendix.  $\square$

Next several corollaries follow from Theorem 5.5.

**Corollary 5.1.** Consider the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$  defined by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, y)$  with  $\theta > 0$ . Then the weights of the lifted action and direction of flows on the exceptional set are:



In this case,  $x = 0$  is a fixed curve.

*Proof.*  $\tau = 0$  implies  $w_i = \theta(q, p) \cdot (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V}) > 0$ , and the corollary follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** Consider the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$  defined by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$  with  $\theta \geq \tau \geq 0$ . Then, when  $\theta \neq 0$  or  $\tau \neq 0$ , the weights  $[w_0, -w_1]$  or the weights  $[w_k, -w_{k+1}]$ , together with  $[\theta, \tau]$ , uniquely determine the numbers  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Recall  $w_0 = \theta p$ ,  $w_1 = \theta q - \tau$ ,  $w_k = \theta - \tau v_{k,U}$ ,  $w_{k+1} = -\tau p$ . It is clear that once we know  $[w_0, -w_1]$  when  $\theta \neq 0$ , we can find  $q$  and  $p$ . As for  $[w_k, -w_{k+1}]$ , notice that

$$\left\langle \left( \begin{array}{cc} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i q}{p}\right) \end{array} \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \left( \begin{array}{cc} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i q^{-1:p}}{p}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\right) \end{array} \right) \right\rangle.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $y$  and  $y$  by  $x$  and treating  $L(q, p)$  as  $L(q^{-1:p}, p)$ , we learn that  $w_k = -\tau q^{-1:p} + \theta$ . Thus, when  $\tau \neq 0$ ,  $[w_k, w_{k+1}]$  determines  $q^{-1:p}$  and  $p$ , which determines  $q$  and  $p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** In the case that  $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ ,  $w_{i+1} - w_i = w_i - w_{i-1}$ . In particular,  $w_i$  is decreasing, and we have  $-\tau p = w_{k+1} < \dots < w_0 = \theta p$ .

*Proof.* Follows from  $e_i = 2$  trivially.  $\square$

**5.4.  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  for non-cyclic  $\Gamma$  and weights of actions.** When  $\Gamma$  is non-cyclic, there are elements in  $\Gamma$  swapping the two standard complex planes in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Therefore, any holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  must have same weights at the orbifold point, and is given by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\theta y)$ . Taking  $\theta = \frac{1}{2m}$ , we can ensure the holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  is primitive. From the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [LV19], we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.6.** For a non-cyclic group  $\Gamma$  in Table 3, set  $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$  to be the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by the same generators listed in Table 2 but without  $[e^{\pi i/m}, 1]$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma'$  has three orbifold points lying on the quotient of the zero-section  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{O}(-2m)$ . The structure groups of these three orbifold points are  $L(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$  listed in Table 3.

Contracting the  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{O}(-2m)$  to the origin results in the minimal resolution  $\mathcal{O}(-2m) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/L(1, 2m)$ . Therefore, the map that contracts  $\mathbb{P}^1/\Gamma'$  in  $\mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma'$  to the origin provides the map  $\kappa_\Gamma : \mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ , with  $\mathbb{P}^1/\Gamma'$  serving as the exceptional set. The above lemma implies that we only need to determine the weights of the lifted  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma'$  at the three orbifold points and then apply Theorem 5.5, to study the weights on the minimal resolution  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma}$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** With the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  given by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^{1/2m} x, t^{1/2m} y)$ , where  $m$  is the number appearing in Table 2, weights at the three orbifold points in  $\mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma'$  are  $[0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* By passing to the covering space, we can reduce to the case where  $\Gamma' = 1$ . In other words, we only need to compute the weights of points in the exceptional curve of the map  $\mathcal{O}(-2m) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/L(1, 2m)$ . The multi-valued map from  $\mathcal{O}(-2m)$  to the orbifold cover  $\mathbb{C}^2$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2/L(1, 2m)$  can be expressed as

$$[x : y] \times s \mapsto (xs^{1/2m}, ys^{1/2m}).$$

The action with weights  $[1/2m, 1/2m]$  lifted to the orbifold cover  $\mathbb{C}^2$  can be expressed as

$$t \curvearrowright (xs^{1/2m}, ys^{1/2m}) = (xs^{1/2m} t^{1/2m}, ys^{1/2m} t^{1/2m}).$$

After lifting to  $\mathcal{O}(-2m)$ , the action is given by  $t \curvearrowright [x : y] \times s = [x : y] \times st$ . It is clear that the exceptional curve is a fixed curve and points in the exceptional curve all have weights  $[0, 1]$ .  $\square$

An application of Theorem 5.5 gives:

**Theorem 5.8.** For  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma$  being one of the non-cyclic groups in Table 3, consider the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action defined by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^{1/2m} x, t^{1/2m} y)$ . Its minimal resolution consists of three chains of rational curves, which are chains of exceptional curves of the minimal resolutions of  $L(\alpha_k, \beta_k)$ , connected by one central rational curve. The central rational curve is a fixed curve under the lifted action. Weights of the lifted action on these three chains are given by Corollary 5.1 with  $\theta = 1$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 5.7 shows that the action at the orbifold points is locally given by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (tx, y)$ . At the same time, the central curve in  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2}/\Gamma$  is the proper transform of  $\mathbb{P}^1/\Gamma'$  in  $\mathcal{O}(-2m)/\Gamma'$ , which is a fixed curve under the lifted action. The theorem follows from Corollary 5.1 now.  $\square$

## 6. LOG DEL PEZZO SURFACES WITH HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR FIELDS

In section 6 and 7, we will classify the pairs  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  where:

- The complex surface  $\widehat{M}$  is a log del Pezzo surface with only one  $SU(2)$  orbifold point  $q$ .
- The vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$  is a holomorphic vector field on  $\widehat{M}$ , which generates a primitive holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action that flows out of  $q$ .
- The holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action has same weights at  $q$ .

As mentioned at the beginning of section 5, these pairs  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  are candidates for special Bach-flat Kähler orbifolds  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$  with structure group in  $SU(2)$ . More precisely, if there were a special Bach-flat Kähler orbifold  $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ , then defining  $\mathfrak{E}$  as the holomorphic extremal vector field  $\nabla_{\widehat{g}}^{1,0} s_{\widehat{g}}$  up to a constant multiple, we would get such a pair  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ . We will meanwhile calculate the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality for each candidate, since when there actually is a Type II Ricci-flat ALE metric on  $M$ , the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality must hold strictly.

Denote the minimal resolution of  $\widehat{M}$  by  $r: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ . To classify such pairs  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ , one only needs to classify their minimal resolution  $\widetilde{M}$  with the pulled back holomorphic vector field  $r^*\mathfrak{E}$ . Denote the pullback vector field  $r^*\mathfrak{E}$  still as  $\mathfrak{E}$ , so it suffices to classify  $(\widetilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ . Because  $\widetilde{M}$  is smooth rational, it must have  $\mathbb{P}^2$  or  $H_k$  with  $k \geq 2$  as its minimal model.

**Lemma 6.1.**  *$\widetilde{M}$  either is an iterative blow-up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , or is exactly  $H_2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\widehat{M}$  is log del Pezzo and only has one  $SU(2)$  orbifold point, in  $\widetilde{M}$  there cannot be curves with self-intersection  $\leq -3$ . Hence the surface  $\widetilde{M}$  cannot be  $H_k$  with  $k \geq 3$  and their blow-ups.

If  $\widetilde{M}$  comes from blowing up  $H_2$ , then blow-ups cannot be taken in the curve  $C_\infty$ , because it is a  $(-2)$ -curve. Consequently, blow-ups can only be taken in  $C_0$  in order to preserve a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. However, such blow-ups also have  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as a minimal model. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.** *If  $\widetilde{M} = H_2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ , then the corresponding  $\widehat{M}$  is  $H_2$  contracting  $C_\infty$ . The complex surface  $M = \widehat{M} \setminus \{q\}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(2)$ .*

*Proof.* This is clear since  $M = \widehat{M} \setminus \{q\} = H_2 \setminus C_\infty$ .  $\square$

It is direct to check that the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality holds with an equality on  $\mathcal{O}(2)$ . Consequently, any Ricci-flat ALE metric with  $\mathcal{O}(2)$  as the underlying space must be hyperkähler. The Eguchi-Hanson metric with reversed orientation exactly has  $\mathcal{O}(2)$  as the underlying space (recall Example 2.1). Therefore, when  $\widetilde{M} = H_2$ , there is exactly one special Bach-flat Kähler metric on  $\widehat{M}$  up to scaling, and the corresponding Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold is the reversed Eguchi-Hanson space.

By this proposition, we only need to consider iterative blow-ups of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Namely, we only need to consider the pairs  $(\widetilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  that are iterative blow-ups of some pairs  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathfrak{F})$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a holomorphic vector field on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  that generates a primitive holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. The vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$  is the pullback of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . When we blow up  $\mathbb{P}^2$  to get  $\widetilde{M}$ , blow-ups can only be performed at the fixed points of (pullbacks of)  $\mathfrak{F}$ . As a result, classifying these pairs  $(\widetilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  becomes a case-by-case study.

### Notations.

- The surface  $\widehat{M}$  is the log del Pezzo surface with only one  $SU(2)$  orbifold point  $q$ . The surface  $M = \widehat{M} \setminus \{q\}$ .
- By curves, we mean rational curves that are the closure of some  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit.
- In the following figures, each black line refers to a curve. Arrow on each line represents the direction of the flow on the curve. Thin black lines with two arrows refer to  $(-1)$ -curves. Thick black lines refer to  $(-2)$ -curves. When there is a question mark on a thin line, it means the direction of the flow on it is not determined yet and the curve is a  $(-1)$ -curve. When there is a black box  $\blacksquare$  on a line, it means the curve is a fixed curve.

- The blow-up map from  $\tilde{M}$  to  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is denoted by  $\pi$ . The map  $\pi$  is realized by successive blow-ups of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and the intermediate surfaces are denoted by  $M_i$ :

$$\tilde{M} = M_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M_0 = \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Each  $\pi_i$  is a blow-up at a point  $p_i \in M_{i-1}$ . We use  $Bl_{p_1, \dots, p_k} \mathbb{P}^2$  to denote the blow-up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , where the  $i$ -th blow-up  $\pi_i$  is taken at  $p_i \in Bl_{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}} \mathbb{P}^2$ . The exceptional curve of the  $i$ -th blow-up  $\pi_i$  is denoted by  $E_i$ . The pullback of  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $M_i$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ .

- We use  $[x : y : z]$  as coordinate on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . The curves  $\{x = 0\}, \{y = 0\}, \{z = 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and their proper transforms in each  $M_i$  will be denoted by  $X, Y, Z$ .
- Denote the exceptional set of the minimal resolution  $r : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$  by  $E$ , which is the cycle of all  $(-2)$ -curves in  $\tilde{M}$  and is of type  $A_n, D_n$ , or  $E_n$ .
- We will continue to use  $E_i$  to denote the proper transform of the curve  $E_i$ .

To find all possible  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ , our strategy is to proceed the following inductive steps.

- Step 1.** Choose a pair  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathfrak{F})$ . Equivalently, we choose a primitive holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , with the infinitesimal generator  $\mathfrak{F}$ .
- Step 2.** Assuming we have determined  $(M_{i-1}, \mathfrak{F}_{i-1})$ , we then identify all the fixed points of  $\mathfrak{F}_{i-1}$  in  $M_{i-1}$  that are not contained in any  $(-2)$ -curve.
- Step 3.** Possible  $(M_i, \mathfrak{F}_i)$  then are the blow-up  $\pi_i$  of  $(M_{i-1}, \mathfrak{F}_{i-1})$  at one of the fixed points  $p_i$  identified in **Step 2**. It must be ensured that finally in  $\tilde{M} = M_n$  the exceptional set  $E$  is of  $ADE$  type, and  $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{F}_n$  has correct weights so that the  $\mathfrak{E}$  action on the contraction  $\hat{M}$  has same weights at  $q$ . In particular, Corollary 6.1 and Lemma 6.4 in subsection 6.2 hold.


The  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\hat{M}$  given by  $\mathfrak{E}$  has same weights at the orbifold point  $q$  in the cyclic case, if and only if the action on  $E \subset \tilde{M}$  has the weights given by Corollary 5.3 with  $\theta = \tau$ . In the noncyclic case,  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\hat{M}$  always has same weights at the orbifold point. We make the following definition before we proceed.

**Definition 6.1.** The attractive set  $c_+$  is defined as the set where generic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbits flow into. The repulsive set  $c_-$  is defined as the set where generic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbits flow out of.

It is clear that  $c_{\pm}$  of each  $(M_i, \mathfrak{F}_i)$  can only be a fixed point or a fixed curve.


**6.1.  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -actions on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .** For a pair  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathfrak{F})$ , the primitive holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action generated by  $\mathfrak{F}$  must take one of the following three forms, up to linear transforms.

- (1) The  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action is given by  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ . In this case, the fixed points set of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the curve  $Z$  and the point  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .




The attractive set  $c_+$  is  $Z$  and the repulsive set  $c_-$  is the point  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .

- (2) The  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action is given by  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ . In this case, the fixed points set of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the curve  $Z$  and the point  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .



The attractive set  $c_+$  is the point  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  and the repulsive set  $c_-$  is  $Z$ .

- (3) The  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action is given by  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^\alpha x : t^\beta y : z]$ , with coprime  $\alpha > \beta > 0$ . In this case, the fixed points set of  $\mathfrak{F}$  consists of the points  $[1 : 0 : 0]$ ,  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ , and  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .



The attractive set  $c_+ = [1 : 0 : 0]$  and the repulsive set  $c_- = [0 : 0 : 1]$ .

We therefore divide into three possibilities:

- (i) The  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\tilde{M}$  is the pullback of  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .
- (ii) The  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\tilde{M}$  is the pullback of  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ;
- (iii) The  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\tilde{M}$  is the pullback of  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^\alpha x : t^\beta y : z]$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with coprime  $\alpha > \beta > 0$ .

We will refer to these three cases as case (i), case (ii), and case (iii) in the following discussion. Before we proceed, we prove Corollary 1.2, the topological finiteness of Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds. For this proposition we do not require that  $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *For a log del Pezzo surface  $\hat{M}$  with only one  $U(2)$  orbifold singularity, the degree of its minimal resolution  $K_{\tilde{M}}^2$  has a lower bound  $k_\Gamma$  that only depends on  $\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\tilde{M}$  is the minimal resolution of  $\hat{M}$ , one has  $-K_{\tilde{M}} = -r^*K_{\hat{M}} - \sum a_i C_i$ . Here  $C_i$  are the exceptional curves. The discrepancies  $a_i$  and the cycle of exceptional curves are determined by the group  $\Gamma$ . Therefore, the degree of  $\tilde{M}$  satisfies  $K_{\tilde{M}}^2 = (r^*K_{\hat{M}} + \sum a_i C_i)^2 = K_{\hat{M}}^2 + (\sum a_i C_i)^2 \geq (\sum a_i C_i)^2$ . The number  $k_\Gamma \triangleq (\sum a_i C_i)^2$  depends only on  $\Gamma \subset U(2)$ , as claimed.  $\square$

The lower bound on  $K_{\tilde{M}}^2$  implies that if  $\tilde{M}$  has  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as its minimal model, then at most  $9 - k_\Gamma$  blow-ups can be performed. If  $\tilde{M}$  has a Hizebruch surface as a minimal model, then at most  $8 - k_\Gamma$  blow-ups can be performed. This proves Corollary 1.2.

**6.2. Flows on  $\tilde{M}$ .** In this subsection, we establish some technical lemmas regarding the structure of  $\tilde{M}$ . Because  $\tilde{M}$  cannot contain curves with intersection number  $\leq -3$ , the following lemma is straightforward:

**Lemma 6.4.** *Fixed point  $p \in M_i$  lying on a  $(-2)$ -curve cannot be blown up.*

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $p \in M_i$  be a transverse intersection of two curves  $C_1, C_2 \subset M_i$ , whose proper transforms in  $\tilde{M}$  are both contained in  $E$ . Assume that weights  $[\theta, \tau]$  at  $p$  are not strictly positive or strictly negative, then  $p$  cannot be blown up in the resolution  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume  $\theta \geq 0 \geq \tau$ . If there were a blow-up at  $p \in M_i$ , then there would not be any fixed curve in the preimage of  $p$  in any  $M_j$  with  $j > i$  because of Proposition 5.3. The preimage of  $p$  then necessarily contains a  $(-1)$ -curve. As  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  have their proper transforms in  $E$  and  $E$  entirely consists of  $(-2)$ -curves, the appearance of the  $(-1)$ -curve will make  $E$  disconnected, contradiction.  $\square$

As a corollary, we have:

**Corollary 6.1.** *Let  $p \in M_i$  be a transverse intersection of two curves  $C_1, C_2 \subset M_i$ , where each  $C_i$  is either a curve whose proper transform is contained in  $E$ , or a curve with negative self-intersection. Assume that weights at  $p$  are not strictly positive or negative. Then  $p$  cannot be blown up in the resolution  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ .*


*Proof.* If such  $p_i$  is blown up, then proper transform of  $C_i$  in  $\tilde{M}$  has self intersection  $\leq -2$ . This shows that proper transform of  $C_i$  is contained in  $E$  and the corollary follows from the above lemma.  $\square$

6.3. **Case (i).** In this subsection, we focus on case (i) and show that the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  admits three possibilities.

**Proposition 6.6.** *In case (i), there are three possible  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ .*

*Proof.* Recall flows on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in case (i) is given in subsection 6.1. Following our strategy, we analyze as follows.

If there is no blow-up at  $X \cap Y$ , then the repulsive set  $c_-$  is exactly  $X \cap Y$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . Since  $c_- \subset E$  in  $\tilde{M}$ , without loss of generality, we can assume that  $X \subset E$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . Then  $X$  in  $\tilde{M}$  must have self-intersection  $-2$ . To achieve this, one needs to perform blow-ups in  $X$  inside  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Therefore we can take  $p_1 = X \cap Z$ . Flows and weights on  $M_1$  are




After the blow-up  $\pi_1$  the curve  $X$  in  $M_1$  is a 0-curve, and we still need to take blow-ups in  $X$ . However,

- $X \cap Y$  can not be blown up because of our assumption.
- $X \cap E_1$  can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.


Hence, the situation that there is no blow-up at  $X \cap Y$  can not happen.

If there is a blow-up at  $X \cap Y$ , we can take  $M_1$  as the blow-up at  $p_1 = X \cap Y$ . Flows and weights on  $M_1$  are



There is still no  $(-2)$ -curve in  $M_1$ . The repulsive set  $c_-$  is already the fixed curve  $E_1$ . So the proper transform of  $E_1$  in  $\tilde{M}$  must be a  $(-2)$ -curve. Since  $E_1$  in  $M_1$  has self-intersection  $-1$ , another blow-up is necessary in  $E_1 \subset M_1$ . It can be assumed that the blow-up  $\pi_2$  is at  $p_2 = X \cap E_1$ . Flows and


weights on  $M_2$  are



Now,

- $X \cap E_2$  can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.
- $X \cap Z$  can not be blown up because then  $X$  will be a  $(-2)$ -curve, which makes  $E$  disconnected in  $\tilde{M}$ .


If there are further blow-ups in  $M_2$ , the only option is to blow up points in  $Z$  other than the point  $X \cap Z$ . The intersection number of  $Z$  is 1 in  $M_2$ . If there are more blow-ups, then we can suppose  $p_3 = Z \cap Y$ . Flows and weights on  $M_3$  are



Now,

- $X \cap E_2, E_1 \cap E_2, Y \cap E_1, Y \cap E_3$  can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.
- $X \cap Z, Z \cap E_3$  can not be blown up because then  $X$  or  $E_3$  will be a  $(-2)$ -curve, which makes  $E$  disconnected in  $\tilde{M}$ .

In  $M_3$ ,  $Z$  is a 0-curve. Any further blow-up in  $M_3$  must be made at points in  $Z$  other than  $X \cap Z$  and  $Z \cap E_3$ . If there is a further blow-up at  $p_4 \in Z$ , then flows and weights on  $M_4$  are



Here  $F$  is the proper transform of the curve in  $M_3$ , flowing from  $E_1$  to  $p_4$ . Any further blow-up in  $M_4$  must be made in  $Z$ . However, since  $Z$  is already a  $(-1)$ -curve, no more points in  $Z$  can be blown up. Therefore, we conclude that in case (i), the only possible  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  are  $M_2, M_3$ , and  $M_4$ , with the primitive action with weights described above.  $\square$

Using Corollary 5.3, it is direct to check the action by  $\mathfrak{E}$  on the corresponding  $\hat{M}$  for these three cases above all have same weights at the orbifold point. Structures of these three possible  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  are listed as follows:


Case 1A.

- The orbifold group is  $A_1$ .
- The degree of

$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Y, E_1 \cap X} \mathbb{P}^2$$

is 7 and the picard number of  $\widehat{M}$  is 2.

- $\chi(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/A_1) = 0$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(3 - \frac{1}{2}) > 3|0 + 0|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2$ .


Case 1B.

- The orbifold group is  $A_1$ .
- The degree of

$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Y, E_1 \cap X, Y \cap Z} \mathbb{P}^2$$

is 6 and the picard number of  $\widehat{M}$  is 3.

- $\chi(M) = 4, \tau(M) = -1, \eta(S^3/A_1) = 0$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(4 - \frac{1}{2}) > 3|-1 + 0|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3$ .


Case 1C.

- The orbifold group is  $A_1$ .
- The degree of


$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Y, E_1 \cap X, Y \cap Z, p_4} \mathbb{P}^2$$

is 5 and the picard number of  $\widehat{M}$  is 4. Here  $p_4$  is a point in  $Z$  different from  $X \cap Z, E_3 \cap Z$ .

- $\chi(M) = 5, \tau(M) = -2, \eta(S^3/A_1) = 0$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(5 - \frac{1}{2}) > 3|-2 + 0|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3 - 2E_4$ .




6.4. **Case (ii).** We now move on to the classification of pairs  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  in case (ii). Recall weights and flows on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in case (ii) is given in subsection 6.1. Note that  $c_-$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is already the fixed curve  $Z$ , having self-intersection 1. The repulsive set  $c_-$  in  $\tilde{M}$  is the proper transform of  $Z$ , which must be a  $(-2)$ -curve. Three blow-ups in  $Z$  must be performed to achieve this. We can assume that the first blow-up  $\pi_1$  is at  $p_1 = X \cap Z$ . Flows and weights on  $M_1$  are



In  $M_1$ ,  $Z$  is a 0-curve. Two more blow-ups must be performed in  $Z$ , but  $Z \cap E_1$  can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1. Therefore, the two additional blow-ups must be performed at distinct points in  $Z$  that are different from the point  $Z \cap E_1$ . After two such blow-ups, the resulting surface


is  $M_3$ , flows and weights on which are



Now, points that can be blown up in  $M_3$  are  $X \cap E_1$ ,  $F \cap E_2$ ,  $Y \cap E_3$ , and  $X \cap Y$ .

**Lemma 6.7.** *If there is a further blow-up  $\pi_4$  at  $p_4 = X \cap Y \in M_3$ , no further blow-ups can be made in  $M_4$ .*

*Proof.* Flows and weights on  $M_4$  are




Now,

- Points in  $E_4$  can not be blown up because  $E_4$  is already a  $(-1)$ -curve.
- $X \cap E_1, F \cap E_2, Y \cap E_3$  can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.

The lemma is proved.  $\square$

If instead there is a further blow-up  $\pi_4$  at  $p_4 = X \cap E_1$  (the cases of  $p_4 = F \cap E_2$  or  $p_4 = Y \cap E_3$  are similar), flows and weights on  $M_4$  are



**Lemma 6.8.** *If there is a further blow-up  $\pi_4$  at  $p_4 = X \cap E_1 \in M_3$ , further blow-ups can only be taken at  $F \cap E_2, Y \cap E_3$ .*

*Proof.*  $E_1 \cap E_4, X \cap E_4$  can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.  $X \cap Y$  cannot be blown up since this will make  $E$  disconnected in  $\tilde{M}$ . Points in  $Z$  cannot be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.  $\square$

To summarize, using Lemma 6.7 and Lemma 6.8, we obtain 5 possible  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  by examining all the possibilities, denoted by Case 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, and 2E. However, it turns out that for Case 2C the action by  $\mathfrak{E}$  on  $\hat{M}$  does not have same weights at the orbifold point  $q$ . Therefore, there are actually only 4 possible structures of  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  in case (ii).

**Proposition 6.9.** *There are 4 possible pairs  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  in case (ii), namely the following Case 2A, Case 2B, Case 2D, and Case 2E.*


Case 2A.

- The orbifold group is  $A_1$ .
- The degree of


$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Z, p_2, Y \cap Z} \mathbb{P}^2$$

is 6 and the picard number of  $\hat{M}$  is 3. Here  $p_2$  is a point in  $Z$  different from  $E_1 \cap Z, Y \cap Z$ .


- $\chi(M) = 4, \tau(M) = -1, \eta(S^3/A_1) = 0$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(4 - \frac{1}{2}) > 3|-1 + 0|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 2E_3$ .



Case 2B. In this case, the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  is biholomorphic to the pair  $(\hat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  of the Case 1C.



Case 2C. This case can be excluded since by Theorem 5.5, the action by  $\mathfrak{E}$  on  $\hat{M}$  at the orbifold point  $q$  has weights  $[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}]$ .


Case 2D.

- The orbifold group is  $A_3$ .
- The degree of

$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Z, p_2, Y \cap Z, X \cap E_1, Y \cap E_3} \mathbb{P}^2$$

is 4 and the picard number of  $\hat{M}$  is 3. Here  $p_2$  is a point in  $Z$  different from  $E_1 \cap Z, Y \cap Z$ .

- $\chi(M) = 4, \tau(M) = -1, \eta(S^3/A_3) = -\frac{1}{2}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(4 - \frac{1}{4}) > 3|-1 + \frac{1}{2}|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 2E_3 - E_4 - E_5$ .


Case 2E.

- The orbifold group is  $D_4$ .
- The degree of

$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Z, p_2, Y \cap Z, X \cap E_1, Y \cap E_3, F \cap E_2} \mathbb{P}^2$$


is 3 and the picard number of  $\hat{M}$  is 3. Here  $p_2$  is a point in  $Z$  different from  $E_1 \cap Z, Y \cap Z$ , and  $F$  is the proper transform of the curve flowing from  $p_2$  to  $X \cap Y$ .

- $\chi(M) = 4, \tau(M) = -1, \eta(S^3/D_4) = -\frac{3}{4}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(4 - \frac{1}{8}) > 3|-1 + \frac{3}{4}|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 2E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6$ .



## 7. CASE (III)

This section focuses on case (iii). This case is studied separately due to its complexity. Recall fixed points and flows of the action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  are:




7.1. **Cyclic  $\Gamma$ .** The first observation is that there must be a blow up at  $X \cap Y$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *There must be a blow up at  $X \cap Y$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{M}$  is a blow-up of  $M_1 = Bl_{X \cap Y} \mathbb{P}^2$ .*

*Proof.* If there were no blow-up at  $X \cap Y$ ,  $c_- = X \cap Y$  would also hold in  $\tilde{M}$  and  $E$  must either contain the proper transform of  $X$  or the proper transform of  $Y$ . If the proper transform of  $X$  were contained in  $E$ , then it would be a  $(-2)$ -curve. Blow-up must be performed at  $p_1 = X \cap Z$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . But Corollary 6.1 prevents further blow-up at  $X \cap E_1$ . Therefore this case cannot happen. The situation is exactly the same if we assume the proper transform of  $Y$  were contained in  $E$ . So the lemma follows.  $\square$

Let  $M_1$  be  $Bl_{X \cap Y} \mathbb{P}^2$ . Weights and direction of flows on  $M_1$  are




Further blow-ups must be performed, as there is no curve with self-intersection  $-2$  in  $M_1$ . As per Corollary 5.2, the weights at either of the two ending fixed point in  $E$  in the cyclic  $\Gamma$  case determine  $p$  and  $q$ . Therefore, we can determine  $p$  and  $q$  by finding either the starting or the ending fixed point in  $E$ . Because  $E$  must contain  $c_-$  in  $\tilde{M}$ , if there is no blow-up at  $X \cap E_1$ , then either the proper transform of  $X$ , or the proper transform of  $E_1$ , is be contained in  $E$ . Therefore, if there is no blow-up at  $X \cap E_1$ , then either  $X \cap Z$ , or  $E_1 \cap Y$ , must be blown up. It follows that the next blow-up  $\pi_2$  can be chosen to be one of the following three cases:

- $\pi_2$  is the blow-up at  $p_2 = E_1 \cap Y$ .
- $\pi_2$  is the blow-up at  $p_2 = X \cap E_1$ .
- $\pi_2$  is the blow-up at  $p_2 = X \cap Z$ , and there is no blow-up at  $X \cap E_1, E_1 \cap Y$  in the following blow-ups.

These three cases are studied in the following subsection 7.1.1, subsection 7.1.2, and subsection 7.1.3.


7.1.1.  $p_2 = E_1 \cap Y$ . The weights and direction of flows on  $M_2$  are:



Now:

- $X \cap E_1$  and  $E_1 \cap E_2$  cannot be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.
- $E_2 \cap Y$  cannot be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.
- $Z \cap Y$  cannot be blown up because this will make  $E$  in  $\tilde{M}$  disconnected.

Additional blow-ups are necessary since the weights on  $E_1$  now satisfy  $\beta \neq 2\alpha - \beta$ . Consequently, if we contract  $E_1$ , the action by  $\mathfrak{C}$  at the orbifold point  $q$  does not have same weights. Indeed, contracting  $E_1$  gives an  $A_1$  singularity, and the weights are  $[\alpha - \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}]$  at the orbifold point. Hence there must be a blow-up at  $X \cap Z$ , and  $p_3$  can be taken as  $X \cap Z$ . Weights and direction of flows on  $M_3$  are




Now:

- $E_3 \cap X, X \cap E_1, E_1 \cap E_2, E_2 \cap Y$  all cannot be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.
- $E_3 \cap Z, Y \cap Z$  cannot be blown up because such blow-ups will make  $E$  in  $\tilde{M}$  disconnected.

No further blow-ups can be taken in  $M_3$ . This leads to a contradiction. In conclusion,  $E_1 \cap Y$  cannot be blown up in  $M_1$ .


7.1.2.  $p_1 = X \cap E_1$ . The weights and direction of flows on  $M_2$  are as follows:



The direction of the flow on  $E_2$  is determined by the sign of  $\alpha - 2\beta$ .

**Lemma 7.2.** *The proper transform of  $Y$  in  $\tilde{M}$  cannot be contained in  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Prove by contradiction. If the proper transform of  $Y$  in  $\tilde{M}$  were contained in  $E$ , then it would have self-intersection  $-2$ . Blow-up must be performed at  $Y \cap Z$  in  $M_2$ . Take  $p_3 = Y \cap Z$ . Weights and direction of flows on  $M_3$  are:



No further blow-ups can be taken to make  $Y$  a  $(-2)$ -curve. Contradiction.  $\square$

From the previous lemma it follows that  $E_1 \cap Y$  must be one of the ending fixed points of  $E$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . Since the holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action must have same weights  $\theta = \tau$  at the orbifold point, we must have

$$w_0 = \theta p = \alpha, w_1 = \theta(p - 1) - \theta = \alpha - \beta,$$

or


$$w_k = \theta - \theta(p-1) = \beta - \alpha, w_{k+1} = -\theta p = -\alpha.$$

It follows that  $\alpha = \theta p$  and  $\beta = 2\theta$ .

**Lemma 7.3.**  $E_2$  in  $M_2$  has to be a fixed curve and it is the repulsive set  $c_-$  in  $M_2$ .

*Proof.*  $E_2$  in  $M_2$  is a fixed curve if and only if  $\alpha = 2\beta$ . Hence, if the lemma were not true, we would have two cases: either  $\alpha > 2\beta$  or  $\alpha < 2\beta$ . In the following we will show that neither of these cases is possible.


If  $\alpha > 2\beta$ . Flows on  $M_2$  are



Now:

- $E_2 \cap E_1$  and  $E_1 \cap Y$  can not be blown up because of Lemma 6.4.
- There must be a blow-up at  $X \cap E_2$  because  $E$  in  $\tilde{M}$  must contain  $c_-$ .


Take  $p_3 = X \cap E_2$ . Weights and direction of flows on  $M_3$  are



The direction of the flow on  $E_3$  depends on the sign of  $\alpha - 3\beta$ . Now to ensure  $E$  is connected, the only possibility is that  $E_3$  is a fixed curve, which implies  $\alpha = 3\beta$ . To make  $E_3$  a  $(-2)$ -curve, another blow-up at a point other than  $E_3 \cap X, E_3 \cap E_2$  in  $E_3$  is necessary.


But  $X \cap Z$  can not serve as the other ending fixed point in  $E$ . If  $X \cap Z$  were the other ending fixed point, as predicted by Corollary 5.3, we would have  $\alpha - \beta = \alpha$ , which is not possible. Thus, the proper transform of  $Z$  in  $\tilde{M}$  should also be contained in  $E$ . Three further blow-ups in  $Z$  must be taken at  $Y \cap Z$  to make  $Z$  a  $(-2)$ -curve since  $Z$  has self-intersection number 1 in  $M_3$ . This is not possible, because the exceptional curves of these blow-ups contain  $(-2)$ -curves, which will disconnect  $E$ .

If  $\alpha < 2\beta$ . Then flows on  $M_2$  are




Now  $X \cap E_2$  cannot be blown up because of Corollary 6.1. The exceptional set  $E$  in  $\tilde{M}$  must entirely consist of  $E_1$ , which leads to a contradiction, as  $\mathfrak{E}$  will not have same weights at the orbifold point. The action by  $\mathfrak{E}$  has same weights at the orbifold point will imply  $2\beta - \alpha = \alpha$ , which is not possible.  $\square$


With Lemma 7.3 being proved,  $E_2$  in  $M_2$  is a fixed curve. We have  $\alpha = 2\beta = 4\theta$ . As the action by  $\mathfrak{E}$  is assumed to be primitive, we will take  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ . Weights and direction of flows on  $M_2$  are:



Now  $X \cap E_2$  cannot be blown up because of Corollary 6.1. Because  $E_2$  is the repulsive set, it has to be contained in  $E$ . Consequently  $E_2$  has to be a  $(-2)$ -curve. This means that we need to perform one more blow-up in  $E_2$  at a point  $p_3$  other than  $X \cap E_2$  and  $E_2 \cap E_1$ . Weights and flows now are




Similar as before,  $X \cap E_2$  cannot serve as the other ending fixed point of  $E$  because of the weight issue. Blow-up must be performed at  $p_4 = X \cap Z$  in  $M_3$  to obtain a new surface  $M_4$ . Weights and flows on  $M_4$  are



Due to the weight constraints (see Corollary 5.3),  $E_4 \cap X$  must be the other ending fixed point, and  $E = X \cup E_2 \cup E_1$ . By analyzing all further blow-ups in  $M_4$ , the following proposition follows:


**Proposition 7.4.** *If  $p_1 = X \cap E_1$ ,  $\tilde{M}$  can only be the  $M_4$  above, or  $M_5 = Bl_{Z \cap Y} M_4$ , whose configuration is given by:*



The first case in the above proposition will be called 3A, and the second case will be called 3B.

*Proof.* The only possible blow-up in  $M_4$  is at  $p_5 = Z \cap Y$ . If such a blow-up occurs, then no more fixed points can be blown up in  $M_5$ . Therefore, the proposition is proved.  $\square$

7.1.3.  $p_1 = X \cap Z$ , and there is no blow-up at  $X \cap E_1, E_1 \cap Y$  in the following blow-ups. Flows on  $M_2$  are



The repulsive set  $c_-$  must be contained in  $E$ . However, blow-ups cannot be taken at  $X \cap E_2, X \cap E_1, E_1 \cap Y$ . It follows this case cannot happen.

Subsection 7.1.1, 7.1.2, and 7.1.3 together conclude the cyclic case (iii).

**Proposition 7.5.** *In case (iii), if the orbifold group  $\Gamma$  is cyclic, then there are only two possibilities. Namely, Case 3A and Case 3B in the following.*

Details about these two cases are as follows.


Case 3A.

- The orbifold group is  $A_3$ .
- The degree of


$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Y, X \cap E_1, p_3, X \cap Z} \mathbb{P}^2$$

is 5 and the picard number of  $\hat{M}$  is 2. Here  $p_3$  is a point in  $E_2$  different from  $X \cap E_2, E_1 \cap E_2$ . The  $\mathfrak{E}$  action is lifted from  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2 x : t y : z]$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .


- $\chi(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/A_3) = -\frac{1}{2}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(3 - \frac{1}{4}) > 3|0 + \frac{1}{2}|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 + 3E_3 - 2E_4$ .




Case 3B. In this case, the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  is biholomorphic to the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  of the Case 2D.



**7.2. Non-cyclic  $\Gamma$ .** In the non-cyclic case, the orbifold group can only be of  $D_n$  or  $E_n$  type. An important feature in this case is the central curve in  $E$  must be a fixed curve, which is also the repulsive set  $c_-$ . Lemma 7.1 also works in this case and  $M_1 = Bl_{X \cap Y} \mathbb{P}^2$ .



To create the fixed central curve, blow-up must be taken at  $p_2 = X \cap E_1$ . Weights and direction of flows on the resulting surface  $M_2$  are




The direction of the flow on  $E_2$  is determined by the sign of  $\alpha - 2\beta$ , resulting in three possible cases:

- $\alpha = 2\beta$ . In this case,  $E_2$  is already a fixed curve.
- $\alpha < 2\beta$ . In this case, to get the fixed curve, a further blow-up should be taken at  $p_3 = E_2 \cap E_1$  in  $M_2$ .
- $\alpha > 2\beta$ . In this case, to get the fixed curve, a further blow-up should be taken at  $p_3 = X \cap E_2$  in  $M_2$ .

In the following subsection 7.2.1, subsection 7.2.2, and subsection 7.2.3, we analyze each case in detail.

**7.2.1.  $\alpha = 2\beta$ .** Weights and flows on  $M_2$  are



It is clear that the proper transform of  $E_2$  in  $\tilde{M}$  is the central curve in  $E$ , since  $E_2$  is already the fixed curve.

Now:

- Similar to Lemma 7.2,  $Y$  cannot be contained in  $E$ .
- $X \cap E_2, E_2 \cap E_1$  can not be blown up because of Corollary 6.1.


Therefore,  $E_1$  must be one of the three chains of  $(-2)$ -curves intersecting the central curve in  $E$ , which is a chain of type  $A_1 = L(1, 2)$ . According to Theorem 5.8, the weights at the ending fixed point of this chain must be  $[p, -q] = [2, -1]$ . Hence,

$$\alpha = p = 2, \beta - \alpha = -q = -1,$$

which shows  $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1$ . Another blow-up is necessary in  $E_2$  to make it a  $(-2)$ -curve. As there are three chains of  $(-2)$ -curves intersecting  $E_2$ , there also should be a blow-up at  $X \cap Z$ . So the next lemma is clear:

**Lemma 7.6.** *There must be a blow-up at  $p_3 = X \cap Z$  in  $M_2$  and a blow-up at a point  $p_4 \in E_2$  other than  $X \cap E_2, E_2 \cap E_1$ .*


The resulting surface is  $M_4$ , whose weights and flows are



Here,  $F$  is the proper transform of the curve from  $p_4$  to  $Y \cap Z$ . To guarantee the presence of three chains of  $(-2)$ -curves intersecting  $E_2$ , an extra blow-up at the intersection point  $p_5 = E_4 \cap F$  is required. As a result, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.7.** *The surface  $\tilde{M}$  must be a blow-up of  $M_5 = Bl_{E_4 \cap F} M_4$ .*

Flows on  $M_5$  are given by



Note that here  $F$  intersects  $Z$  non-transversely, and a simple calculation shows  $F^2 = Z^2 = 0$ . Blow up  $Y \cap Z$  lowers the self intersection of  $F$  by 1. By analyzing all further possible blow-ups case-by-case, we can classify all  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  in the case that  $\alpha = 2\beta$ .

**Proposition 7.8.** *In case (iii), when  $\alpha = 2\beta$  and the orbifold group is non-cyclic, there are five possible  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ .*

The structures of these  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  are given below. Weights must be the same at an orbifold point with non-cyclic structure group. We only list weights of certain fixed points that will be used later.


Case 3C.

- The orbifold group is  $D_4$ .
- The degree of


$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Y, X \cap E_1, X \cap Z, p_4, E_4 \cap F} \mathbb{P}^2$$

is 4 and the picard number of  $\hat{M}$  is 2. Here  $p_4$  is a point in  $E_2$  different from  $E_1 \cap E_2, X \cap E_2$ . The  $\mathfrak{E}$  action is lifted from  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2 x : t y : z]$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

- $\chi(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/D_4) = -\frac{3}{4}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(3 - \frac{1}{8}) > 3|0 + \frac{3}{4}|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3 + 3E_4 + 4E_5$ .



Case 3D. In this case, the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  is biholomorphic to the pair  $(\hat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  of the Case 2E.




Case 3E.

- The orbifold group is  $D_5$ .
- The degree of


$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Y, X \cap E_1, X \cap Z, p_4, E_4 \cap F, E_5 \cap F} \mathbb{P}^2$$

is 3 and the picard number of  $\hat{M}$  is 2. Here  $p_4$  is a point in  $E_2$  different from  $X \cap E_2, E_1 \cap E_2$ . The  $\mathfrak{E}$  action is lifted from  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

- $\chi(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/D_5) = -\frac{19}{18}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(3 - \frac{1}{12}) > 3|0 + \frac{19}{18}|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3 + 3E_4 + 4E_5 + 5E_6$ .



Case 3F. In this case, the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  is biholomorphic to the pair  $(\hat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  of the Case 3E.




Case 3G.

- The orbifold group is  $E_6$ .
- The degree of


$$\tilde{M} = Bl_{X \cap Y, X \cap E_1, X \cap Z, p_4, E_4 \cap F, Z \cap E_3, E_5 \cap F} \mathbb{P}^2$$

is 2 and the picard number of  $\hat{M}$  is 2. Here  $p_4$  is a point in  $E_2$  different from  $X \cap E_2, E_1 \cap E_2$ . The  $\mathfrak{E}$  action is lifted from the action  $t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

- $\chi(M) = 3, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/E_6) = -\frac{49}{36}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(3 - \frac{1}{24}) > 3|0 + \frac{49}{36}|$  holds strictly.
- $K_{\tilde{M}} = -3Z + E_1 + 2E_2 - 2E_3 + 3E_4 + 4E_5 + 5E_7 - 4E_6$ .




7.2.2.  $\alpha < 2\beta$ . Weights and flows on  $M_2$  are




To obtain the fixed curve, blow-up must be performed at the intersection point  $E_2 \cap E_1$ . However this is not possible. Therefore, this case cannot occur.

7.2.3.  $\alpha > 2\beta$ . Weights and flows on  $M_2$  are




To get the fixed curve, blow-up should be taken at  $p_3 = X \cap E_2$ . Weights and flows on  $M_3$  are




Now  $E_3$  has to be a fixed curve to ensure  $E$  is connected. This means  $\alpha = 3\beta$  and  $E_3$  is the central curve in  $E$ .  $E_1 \cup E_2$  is one of the three chains of  $(-2)$ -curves in  $E$ , and this chain is of type  $A_2$ . The ending fixed point is  $E_1 \cap Y$ , therefore it should have weights  $[p, q] = [2, 3]$ , which gives  $\alpha =$

$3, \alpha - \beta = 2$ . This shows  $\alpha = 3, \beta = 1$ . There must be one more blow-up at a point  $p_4$  different from  $X \cap E_3, E_3 \cap E_2$  in  $E_3$  to make it a  $(-2)$ -curve. Weights and flows on  $M_4$  are



In  $M_4$ , there is only one chain of  $(-2)$ -curves. Therefore, we need to perform one more blow-up at the intersection  $p_5 = E_4 \cap F$  to make  $E_4$  a  $(-2)$ -curve. Weights and flows on  $M_5$  are



It is clear that the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 7.9.** *In case (iii), when  $\alpha > 2\beta$  and the orbifold group is non-cyclic, the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  must be a blow-up of  $M_5$  with the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action described above.*


Note that the intersection of  $F$  and  $Z$  is non-transverse. It is direct to check that  $F^2 = 3$  in  $M_5$  and blowing up  $Y \cap Z$  will decrease the intersection number of  $F$  by 3. By examining all further blow-ups, we have:

**Proposition 7.10.** *In case (iii), when  $\alpha > 2\beta$  and the orbifold group is non-cyclic, there are 6 possible  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ .*


In the following we describe the 6  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  in details. It turns out that all except for Cases 3I and 3K do not satisfy the Hitchin-Thorpe inequality, which means these  $M$  are not candidates for Type II Ricci-flat ALE spaces. Additionally, Case 3I is biholomorphic to Case 3E and Case 3K is biholomorphic to Case 3G.

#### Case 3H.

- The orbifold group is  $D_5$ .
- $\chi(M) = 2, \tau(M) = 1, \eta(S^3/D_5) = -\frac{19}{18}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(2 - \frac{1}{12}) > 3|1 + \frac{19}{18}|$  does not hold.




Case 3I. In this case, the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  is biholomorphic to the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  of the Case 3E.




Case 3J.

- The orbifold group is  $E_6$ .
- $\chi(M) = 2, \tau(M) = 1, \eta(S^3/E_6) = -\frac{49}{36}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(2 - \frac{1}{24}) > 3|1 + \frac{49}{36}|$  does not hold.




Case 3K. In this case, the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  is biholomorphic to the pair  $(\tilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  of the Case 3G.




Case 3L.

- The orbifold group is  $E_7$ .
- $\chi(M) = 2, \tau(M) = 1, \eta(S^3/E_7) = -\frac{121}{72}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(2 - \frac{1}{48}) > 3|1 + \frac{121}{72}|$  does not hold.



Case 3M.

- The orbifold group is  $E_8$ .
- $\chi(M) = 2, \tau(M) = 0, \eta(S^3/E_8) = -\frac{361}{180}$ . The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality  $2(2 - \frac{1}{120}) > 3|0 + \frac{361}{180}|$  does not hold.



**7.3. Conclusion.** To summarize, combining Propositions 6.6, 6.9, 7.5, 7.8, we obtain a list of candidates of pairs  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  (except reversed Eguchi-Hanson) such that  $M = \widehat{M} \setminus E$  could support Type II Ricci-flat ALE metrics with structure group in  $SU(2)$ , with  $\mathfrak{E}$  as the holomorphic extremal vector field up to constant multiples. The surfaces are listed in Table 4.

TABLE 4. Possible  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ .

Case	$\Gamma \subset SU(2)$	Picard rank of $\widehat{M}$	The $\mathfrak{E}$ action
1A	$A_1$	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$
1B	$A_1$	3	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$
1C	$A_1$	4	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$
2A	$A_1$	3	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$
2D	$A_3$	3	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$
2E	$D_4$	3	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^{-1}x : t^{-1}y : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$
3A	$A_3$	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$
3C	$D_4$	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$
3E	$D_5$	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$
3G	$E_6$	2	$t \curvearrowright [x : y : z] = [t^2x : ty : z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$

**Proposition 7.11.** *If a Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold  $(M, h)$  with structure group in  $SU(2)$  other than the reversed Eguchi-Hanson exists, then  $M$  must be one of the surfaces listed in Table 4. The corresponding holomorphic extremal vector field must be  $\mathfrak{E}$ , up to scaling.*

8. THE  $\mathcal{A}$ -FUNCTIONAL AND BACH-FLAT KÄHLER METRICS

We still need to use finer techniques determine whether  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  in Table 4 admits special Bach-flat Kähler metrics. For extremal Kähler metrics whose holomorphic extremal vector field induces a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action, knowing what the action is, we can calculate the minimum of its scalar curvature explicitly by combining symplectic and complex geometry techniques, following LeBrun-Simanca [LS94]. This is done in this section.

**8.1. The Calabi functional  $\mathcal{C}$ , the  $\mathcal{A}$ -functional and the Futaki invariant.** On a complex surface (or orbifold)  $M$ , given a Kähler metric  $g$  in the Kähler class  $[\omega]$ , for a holomorphic field  $\xi = \nabla^{1,0}f$  that admits a potential function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\nabla^{1,0}f$  refers to the vector field dual to  $\bar{\partial}f$ , the Futaki invariant is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\xi, [\omega]) = - \int f(s_g - s_0) d\mu.$$

Here,  $s_g$  is the scalar curvature of the metric  $g$  and  $s_0 = 8\pi c_1[\omega]/[\omega]^2$  is the average of the scalar curvature.  $d\mu = \omega^2/2$  is the volume form. It turns out that the Futaki invariant does not depend on the

choice of the Kähler metric  $g$  in the Kähler class  $[\omega]$  and the potential function  $f$ , so it makes sense to write it as  $\mathcal{F}(\xi, [\omega])$ . Note that the Futaki invariant can also be defined even if the holomorphic field  $\xi$  does not admit a potential function.

The Calabi functional is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}(g) = \int s_g^2 d\mu$$

on the space of Kähler metrics  $g$ . If we restrict the Calabi functional to a Kähler class  $[\omega]$  and search for its critical points, a Kähler metric  $g \in [\omega]$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $[\omega]$  if and only if  $\nabla^{1,0}s_g$  is a holomorphic vector field. Such metrics are the so-called extremal metrics. Especially, Kähler metrics with constant scalar curvature are extremal metrics. A classical result says that an extremal Kähler metric has constant scalar curvature if and only if  $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, [\omega]) = 0$ . More importantly, if a Kähler metric  $g$  is an extremal metric, the following equality holds:

$$\mathcal{C}(g) = s_0^2 \int d\mu + \int (s_g - s_0)^2 d\mu = 32\pi^2 \frac{(c_1([\omega]))^2}{[\omega]^2} - \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega]),$$

with  $\mathfrak{E} = \nabla^{1,0}(s_g - s_0)$ , which is a holomorphic vector field because of the extremal metric assumption. It is known that the holomorphic extremal vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$  is determined by  $[\omega]$  up to conjugation [FM93]. From this, we can talk about  $\mathfrak{E}$  even if there is no extremal metrics in  $[\omega]$ . In particular, the Futaki invariant associated to the holomorphic extremal vector field  $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])$  is well-defined even if the extremal metric does not exist.  $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])$  only depends on the Kähler class  $[\omega]$ . We will call this the *extremal Futaki invariant*, which is a function defined on the Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}(M)$ .

The well-definedness of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])$  and the equality above leads us to define the  $\mathcal{A}$ -functional

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{A}([\omega]) = \frac{(c_1([\omega]))^2}{[\omega]^2} - \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega]).$$

It is known that for any Kähler metric  $g \in [\omega]$ ,

$$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int s_g^2 d\mu \geq \mathcal{A}([\omega])$$

where equality holds if and only if  $g$  is an extremal metric [CLW08]. As it was pointed out in [LeB95] and [CLW08], if a Kähler class contains a Bach-flat Kähler metric, then this Kähler class has to be a critical point of the functional  $\mathcal{A}$ . In fact, for a Kähler metric  $g$ ,  $|W^+|^2 = s^2/24$ . As a Bach-flat metric, it is a critical point of the functional  $\int |W^+|^2 d\text{vol}$  in the space of all Riemannian metrics, in particular it is a critical point of the Calabi functional in the space of all Kähler metrics. Hence it has to be extremal. Conversely, for an extremal metric, by the openness of the extremal metrics nearby Kähler classes also contain extremal metrics.  $\mathcal{A}([\omega]) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int s^2 d\mu$  holds for extremal metrics in nearby Kähler classes, from which it follows that the Kähler class contains Bach-flat Kähler metric is a critical point of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -functional. Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  is scaling invariant.

In conclusion, to search for Bach-flat Kähler metrics, it suffices to find critical points of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -functional in  $\mathcal{K}(M)/\mathbb{R}_+$ .

**8.2. Computing the Futaki invariant and the minimum of scalar curvature assuming the existence of extremal metrics.** In this section, we calculate the minimum of  $s_g$  for an extremal Kähler  $g$  following the idea of [LS94]. It turns out that  $\min s_g$  only depends on the Kähler class  $[\omega]$  and the holomorphic extremal vector field. Recall  $\mathfrak{E} = \nabla^{1,0}s_g = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla s_g - i/\nabla s_g)$  is the holomorphic extremal vector field. We only consider the situation that the complex surface (orbifold)  $X$  is rational since this is enough for our application, although our computation also could be extended to more general settings.

**Assumption.** The Kähler metric  $g$  in the Kähler class  $[\omega]$  is an extremal metric whose scalar curvature is nonconstant, on a rational complex 2-dimensional orbifold  $X$ . The holomorphic extremal vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$  generates a primitive holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. Orbifold points only appear in  $c_{\pm}$ .

To compute  $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])$ , assuming the existence of extremal metrics, it suffices to consider  $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega]) = -\int_X (s_g - s_0)^2 d\mu$ .  $s_g - s_0$  is a Hamiltonian function of the real holomorphic vector field  $-2\text{Im } \mathfrak{E} =$

$J\nabla s_g$ , in the sense that  $d(s_g - s_0) = -\omega(-2\text{Im } \mathfrak{E}, \cdot)$ . Let  $\xi$  be the infinitesimal generator of the  $S^1$ -action associated to the primitive holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action, and  $t$  be the moment map associated to this  $S^1$ -action with  $\max t = -\min t = a$ . That is,

$$dt = -\omega(\xi, \cdot).$$

The average of  $t$  over  $X$  is denoted by  $t_0$ . Since the  $S^1$ -action is also induced by  $-2\text{Im } \mathfrak{E}$  and  $s_g$  is a Hamiltonian function of  $-2\text{Im } \mathfrak{E}$ , there exists a nonzero positive constant  $h$  such that

$$(27) \quad t - t_0 = h(s_g - s_0).$$


Initially in  $X$ ,  $c_+, c_-$  might be fixed points. But as in [LS94], we can consider  $\sigma : X' \rightarrow X$ , where  $\sigma$  comes from blowing up  $c_{\pm}$  suitably, so that the attractive set and repulsive set in  $X'$  are both fixed curves and if there are orbifold points in  $c_{\pm}$ ,  $\sigma$  resolves these orbifold points at the same time. The fact that repulsive and attractive sets in  $X'$  are fixed curves implies generic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbits are rational curves with trivial self-intersection. This indicates that  $X'$  is fibered over a rational curve  $\Sigma$ , in the sense that after contracting some curves in some fibers, it becomes a ruled surface over  $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ . The fibration map from  $X'$  to  $\Sigma$  is given by the linear system of generic  $\mathbb{C}^*$  orbits. Because blow-ups are only taken in  $c_{\pm}$ , pulling back the Kähler form,  $\sigma^*\omega$  is still a Kähler form defined on  $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_{\pm})$ .  $\Sigma$  shall be both the symplectic quotient with respect to  $\sigma^*\omega$ , and the stable quotient of  $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_{\pm})$  by the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. Generic flows of the  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action are flowing out of  $\sigma^{-1}(c_-)$  and flowing into  $\sigma^{-1}(c_+)$ . In  $X'$ ,  $\sigma^{-1}(c_{\pm})$  may be union of curves.

**Example 8.1.** Consider the quotient space  $X = \mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ , where the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  action is defined by  $\xi_3 \cdot [x : y : z] = [\xi_3^2 x : \xi_3 y : z]$ . Here,  $\xi_3$  is a unit root satisfying  $\xi_3^3 = 1$ . The orbifold  $X$  has three  $A_2$  orbifold points located at  $[1 : 0 : 0]$ ,  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ , and  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . We consider the holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  given by  $t \cdot [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : z]$ . This action has weights  $[1, 1]$  at  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  and weights  $[-1, 0]$  at  $[1 : 0 : 0]$  and  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . Note that this action commutes with the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  action and hence induces a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $X$ .

In  $X$ , the attractive set  $c_+$  is the quotient space  $\{[x : y : 0]\}/\mathbb{Z}_3$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . The repulsive set  $c_-$  is given by the point  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . We denote  $\{[x : y : 0]\}/\mathbb{Z}_3$  by  $L$ . Now we construct the map  $\sigma$ :


- $c_- = [0 : 0 : 1]$  is an orbifold point, so a blow-up needs to be taken at  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . The resulting exceptional set is a union of two  $(-2)$ -curves,  $E_1^z$  and  $E_2^z$ .
- $c_+ = \{[x : y : 0]\}/\mathbb{Z}_3$  is a curve with two orbifold points, so blow-ups need to be taken at  $[1 : 0 : 0]$ ,  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ . Again, they are  $A_2$  singularities so the exceptional set of these two blow-ups are both unions of two  $(-2)$ -curves,  $E_1^x, E_2^x, E_1^y, E_2^y$ .

This way we get the minimal resolution of  $X$ . The following picture illustrates the situation.



However, the repulsive set is still a fixed point. To resolve this, we need to perform one more blow-up at the intersection  $E_1^z \cap E_2^z$ . The resulting exceptional curve of this blow-up is denoted by  $E_3^z$ , and the resulting surface is the desired  $X'$ . Specifically,  $E_1^z \cup E_3^z \cup E_2^z$  is  $\sigma^{-1}(c_-)$ , while  $E_2^y \cup E_1^y \cup L \cup E_1^x \cup E_2^x$  is  $\sigma^{-1}(c_+)$  (as

shown by the thick curves in the picture).



Generic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbits are flowing from  $E_3^z$  to  $L$ . If we consider the Kähler form  $\omega$  on  $X$  induced by the Fubini-Study metric  $\omega_{FS} = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log(|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , after passing to  $X'$ , the pulled back Kähler form  $\sigma^*(\omega)$  lives on  $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_{\pm})$ . The stable quotient of  $X'$  by  $\mathbb{C}^*$  clearly is  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . The moment map for the  $S^1$ -action on  $X = \mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$  is given by  $[x : y : z] \mapsto \frac{|x|^2 + |y|^2}{|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2}$ , hence this is also the moment map for the  $S^1$ -action on  $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_{\pm})$ . The symplectic quotient at level  $t$  gives the symplectic form with area  $\frac{1}{3}2\pi t$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  (because of the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  quotient). The symplectic structure gives the metric  $g(t)$  on  $\Sigma$ .

As in [LS94], there is a projection map

$$p : X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}(c_{\pm}) \rightarrow \Sigma \times (-a, a).$$

The first factor is given by the fibration to  $\Sigma$  while the second factor is the moment map  $t$ . Fibers of the map  $p$  are the  $S^1$ -orbits. Fixed points of this  $S^1$ -action will be denoted by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ . For  $t \notin \{t(\alpha_1), \dots, t(\alpha_m)\}$ , the restriction of  $p$  to  $\Sigma \times t$  has the structure of principal orbifold  $S^1$ -bundle over the orbifold  $\Sigma \times t$ . Forgetting the orbifold structure on  $\Sigma \times t$ , one gets the smooth real surface  $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ . Globally the map  $p$  provides a principal orbifold  $S^1$ -bundle over the orbifold  $\Sigma \times (-a, a) \setminus \{p(\alpha_1), \dots, p(\alpha_m)\}$ , where we exclude the points that correspond to the fixed points of the  $S^1$ -action.

Let  $Y$  denote the preimage of  $\Sigma \times (-a, a) \setminus \{p(\alpha_1), \dots, p(\alpha_m)\}$  under the projection map  $p$ . The principal orbifold  $S^1$ -bundle can be expressed as

$$p : Y \rightarrow \Sigma \times (-a, a) \setminus \{p(\alpha_1), \dots, p(\alpha_m)\}.$$

On each  $\Sigma \times t$  with  $t \notin \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$  there is the metric  $g(t)$  induced by the symplectic reduction. The orthogonal complement of the  $S^1$ -orbits in  $Y$  with respect to the Kähler metric on  $Y$  can be used to define a connection 1-form for the principal orbifold  $S^1$ -bundle. Denote the curvature two-form of this  $U(1)$ -connection by  $\Omega$ . Let  $t_j = t(\alpha_j)$  be critical values of the moment map. If we set  $A(t)$  as the area of  $(\Sigma, g(t))$  and  $t$  is not a critical value, same calculation in page 314 [LS94] gives

$$\frac{dA}{dt}(t) = \int_{\Sigma \times \{t\}} -\sqrt{-1}\Omega = -2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_t].$$

Here  $[\Sigma_t]$  is the homology class of  $\Sigma \times t$  and  $c_1(Y)$  is the Chern class of the principal orbifold  $S^1$ -bundle. For any  $b, c \in (-a, a)$ , if we let  $S_j \subset \Sigma \times (-a, a) \setminus \{p(\alpha_1), \dots, p(\alpha_m)\}$  be small 2-spheres around points  $p(\alpha_j)$ , we then have

$$[\Sigma_c] - [\Sigma_b] = \sum_{t(\alpha_j) \in [b, c]} [S_j].$$

Here  $S_j$  is assigned with the outward pointing orientation. Hence,

$$(28) \quad -2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_c] + 2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_b] = -2\pi \sum_{t(\alpha_j) \in [b, c]} c_1(Y)[S_j].$$

Generally, if the weights of a fixed point  $\alpha$  are  $[r, s]$ , then the Chern number of the orbifold principal  $S^1$ -bundle can be calculated as  $-\frac{1}{rs}$ . In particular, if weights of the fixed point  $\alpha_j$  is  $[r_j, s_j]$  (as  $\alpha_j$  is

not in  $c_{\pm}$ , we can always assume  $r_j > 0, s_j < 0$ ), we then have  $c_1(Y)[S_j] = -\frac{1}{r_j s_j}$ . In conclusion, with equation (28), we have the formula

$$(29) \quad -2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_c] + 2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_b] = 2\pi \sum_{t(\alpha_j) \in [b,c]} \frac{1}{r_j s_j},$$

from which it follows that

$$(30) \quad \frac{d^2 A}{dt^2}(t) = 2\pi \sum \frac{1}{r_j s_j} \delta_{t_j}.$$

Here  $\delta_{t_j}$  is the Dirac measure at  $t = t_j$ . We will use the notations  $-2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a]$  and  $-2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}]$  to denote the limits of  $-2\pi c_1(Y)[\Sigma_t]$  as  $t$  approaches  $a$  and  $-a$ , respectively. Equation (29) gives

$$(31) \quad c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] - c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = \sum_{t_j \in (-a,a)} -\frac{1}{r_j s_j}.$$

Consider the chains of rational curves  $E'_j$  and  $E_j$ , obtained by tracing the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action forward from  $\alpha_j$  to  $c_+$  and backward from  $\alpha_j$  to  $c_-$ , respectively. For a generic orbit  $F$  in  $M$ , its area is  $\omega(F) = 4\pi a$ . If  $\alpha_j$  is a fixed point with weights  $[r_j, s_j]$ , then we have  $\omega(r_j E'_j - s_j E_j) = \omega(F) = 4\pi a$ , since  $r_j, -s_j$  are multiplicities of the orbits  $E'_j, E_j$ . Computation in Theorem 3 in [LS94] now gives:

$$(32) \quad \int_M t s_g d\mu = \omega(F) (\omega(c_+) - \omega(c_-)),$$

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M d\mu &= 2\pi \int_{-a}^a A(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\omega(F)^2}{8} \left[ 4 \frac{\omega(c_+) + \omega(c_-)}{\omega(F)} + \sum_j \frac{1}{r_j s_j} \left( \frac{\omega(r_j E'_j) - \omega(-s_j E_j)}{\omega(F)} \right)^2 + c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] - c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M t d\mu &= 2\pi \int_{-a}^a t A(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\omega(F)^3}{96\pi} \left[ 6 \frac{\omega(c_+) - \omega(c_-)}{\omega(F)} - \sum_j \frac{1}{r_j s_j} \left( \frac{\omega(r_j E'_j) - \omega(-s_j E_j)}{\omega(F)} \right)^3 + c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] + c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M t^2 d\mu &= 2\pi \int_{-a}^a t^2 A(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\omega(F)^4}{768\pi^2} \left[ 8 \frac{\omega(c_+) + \omega(c_-)}{\omega(F)} + \sum_j \frac{1}{r_j s_j} \left( \frac{\omega(r_j E'_j) - \omega(-s_j E_j)}{\omega(F)} \right)^4 + c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] - c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall  $r_j > 0, s_j < 0$ . For simplicity, let

$$(36) \quad T = (T_s, T_0, T_1, T_2) \triangleq \left( \int_M t s_g d\mu, \int_M d\mu, \int_M t d\mu, \int_M t^2 d\mu \right).$$

Therefore,

$$(37) \quad s_0 = \frac{8\pi c_1[\omega]}{[\omega]^2} = \frac{4\pi c_1[\omega]}{T_0}.$$

**Example 8.2.** Again we take the above example  $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ . The region  $X' \setminus \sigma^{-1}\{c_{\pm}\}$  is biholomorphic to  $X \setminus \{[0 : 0 : 1], [x : y : 0]/\mathbb{Z}_3\}$ .

To compute  $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}]$ , noting that the fixed point  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  has weights  $[1, 1]$  and using our previous computation along with the fact that the order of the orbifold structure group at  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  is 3, we can compute

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

To compute  $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a]$ , the fibration over  $\Sigma_t$  with  $t$  close to  $a$ , after passing to the orbifold cover, corresponding to the dual of the normal bundle of  $c_+$ , as described by equations (3.12), (3.13) in [LS94]. The curve  $c_+$  in  $X$  lifted to the orbifold cover has self intersection 1. Again, since the order of the orbifold structure group is 3, we have

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

There is no fixed point when  $t \in (-a, a)$  and equation (31) holds, as expected. Moreover, for the standard Fubini-Study metric  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\omega(c_+) = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ ,  $\omega(c_-) = 0$ ,  $\omega(F) = 2\pi$ . As there is no fixed point when  $t \in (-a, a)$ , equations (32)-(35) give

$$T = (4\pi^2/3, 2\pi^2/3, \pi^2/9, \pi^2/18).$$

The area of  $\omega$  is exactly  $2\pi^2/3$  because of the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  quotient. And the scalar curvature of  $\omega_{FS} = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log(|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2)$  is 12 because of our choice of  $\omega_{FS}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , which is compatible with  $\int_X ts_g d\mu = 12 \int_X td\mu = 4\pi^2/3$  by our computation.

**Example 8.3.** Let us take Case 2D as another example.

To compute  $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a]$ , note  $c_+$  as a fixed point has weights  $[-1, -1]$ . By our computation, the orbifold  $S^1$ -bundle over small spheres around the point  $c_+$  should have Chern number  $-1$ . But we should use the opposite orientation here (the orientation given by the symplectic form on  $\Sigma_t$ ) when we compute  $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a]$ . Thus,

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1.$$

To compute  $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}]$ , we observe that  $c_-$  in  $\widehat{M}$  is the orbifold point resulting from the contraction of  $E \subset \widehat{M}$ . Since this orbifold point is an  $A_2$  singularity, Theorem 5.5 tells us that the weights of the point  $c_-$  are  $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . So based on the above computation, we have

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = \frac{1}{4} \left( -\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}} \right) = -1.$$

There are three fixed points when  $t \in (-a, a)$ , with weights  $[-2, 1], [-1, 1], [-2, 1]$ , and equation (31) holds as expected:

$$c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] - c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = 2 = \frac{1}{2} + 1 + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now the extremal Futaki invariant can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega]) &= - \int_M (s_g - s_0)^2 d\mu \\ &= - \frac{1}{h} \int_M ts_g d\mu + s_0 \frac{1}{h} \int_M td\mu \\ (38) \quad &= - \frac{1}{h} T_s + s_0 \frac{1}{h} T_1, \end{aligned}$$

with  $h$  defined by (27). Because of (27),

$$\begin{aligned} h^2 &= \frac{\int_M (t - t_0)^2 d\mu}{\int_M (s_g - s_0)^2 d\mu} \\ (39) \quad &= \frac{- \int_M t^2 d\mu + 2 \left( \int_M td\mu \right)^2 / [\omega]^2}{\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{E}, [\omega])}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (38),

$$(40) \quad h = \left( -T_2 + \frac{1}{T_0} T_1^2 \right) / (-T_s + s_0 T_1).$$

The  $\mathcal{A}$ -functional is

$$(41) \quad \mathcal{A}([\omega]) = \frac{(c_1[\omega])^2}{2T_0} - \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left( -\frac{1}{h} T_s + s_0 \frac{1}{h} T_1 \right).$$

Now the minimum of  $s_g$  can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\min s_g &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{h}(t - t_0) \right\} + s_0 \\
&= \frac{1}{h} \min t - \frac{2}{h[\omega]^2} \int_M t d\mu + \frac{8\pi c_1[\omega]}{[\omega]^2} \\
(42) \quad &= -\frac{\omega(F)}{4\pi h} - \frac{1}{hT_0} T_1 + \frac{4\pi c_1[\omega]}{T_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 8.1.** For (32)-(35), we did not use the assumption that  $\omega$  is extremal and they hold for general Kähler metrics which are invariant under a holomorphic  $S^1$ -action. However, (42) only holds under the *Assumption*, since in (27) we used that the  $S^1$ -action is induced by  $\mathfrak{E} = \nabla^{1,0}s_g$  which requires  $g$  to be extremal.

**8.3. Bach-flat Kähler metrics and its scalar curvature.** Now we return to our situation of special Bach-flat Kähler orbifolds. As discussed in subsection 8.2, if a log del Pezzo surface admits an extremal metric, its minimum scalar curvature can be explicitly computed. The computation we just performed yields a function

$$(43) \quad \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g([\omega]) \triangleq -\frac{\omega(F)}{4\pi h} - \frac{1}{hT_0} T_1 + \frac{4\pi c_1[\omega]}{T_0}$$

defined on the Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$ . For any compact complex surface, after fixing the holomorphic vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$ , the function  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g([\omega])$  is well-defined by the right-hand-side over the entire  $\mathcal{K}$ . We added the lower index  $\mathfrak{E}$  to emphasize the dependence of the function on the choice of  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

The importance of the function  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$  is that, in any Kähler class  $[\omega]$ , if there exists an extremal metric with  $\mathfrak{E}$  as the holomorphic extremal vector field, then  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g([\omega])$  equals the minimum scalar curvature of that extremal metric. The function  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$  defined on  $\mathcal{K}$  is homogeneous.

**Example 8.4** (Eguchi-Hanson). Recall that the reversed Eguchi-Hanson metric is a Type II Ricci-flat ALE metric as we showed in Example 2.1. The compactified surface  $\widehat{M}$  is  $H_2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$  contracting the curve  $C_\infty$ . The Picard number of  $\widehat{M}$  is 1. Choosing  $\mathfrak{E}$  as the holomorphic vector field which preserves the curve  $C_0$  and the curve  $C_\infty$ , while flows points from  $C_\infty$  to  $C_0$ , our calculation in subsection 8.2 can be applied. If we assume that  $[\omega]$  is the Kähler class such that  $[\omega](C_0) = 1$ , it is direct to check that

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g([\omega]) = 0.$$

This shows that, if there is an extremal metric that does not have constant scalar curvature with  $\mathfrak{E}$  as the holomorphic extremal vector field, then the minimum of the scalar curvature is 0 and is achieved at the orbifold point. And indeed, by our correspondence Theorem 1.1, there is an extremal metric on  $\widehat{M}$  whose scalar curvature is nonnegative, and vanishes exactly at the orbifold point.


We will show that the function  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$  turns out to be always nonzero on the entire Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$  for pairs  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  in Table 4. It follows that there is no Type II Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifold with structure group in  $SU(2)$ , except the reversed Eguchi-Hanson space. To compute  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ , we only need to

- (1) use (32)-(35) to compute  $T$ ;
- (2) use (40) to compute  $h$ ;
- (3) compute  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$  using (42).

From the discussion in subsection 8.2, it suffices to know  $\omega(c_\pm)$ ,  $\omega(E_i)$ ,  $\omega(E'_i)$ ,  $\omega(F)$ ,  $c_1[\omega]$ , and  $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{\pm a}]$  in each case. The number of variables one needs to parametrize  $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{M})/\mathbb{R}_+$  are the Picard number  $-1$ . Mathematical software Mathematica will be used to simplify the expression since the computation is quite complicated. The notations in each figure are adapted to be compatible with our discussion in subsection 8.2. The notation  $\sum$  in the following denotes cyclic sum. For example, if there are variables  $a, b, c$  and  $S$  is the set of permutations  $\sigma$  of  $a, b, c$ , then  $\sum a^r b^s c^t \triangleq \sum_{\sigma \in S} \sigma(a)^r \sigma(b)^s \sigma(c)^t$ . The Chern numbers  $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{\pm a}]$  can be computed as in Example 8.2, 8.3. They will be listed for each case later without details.

Case 1A.

- There is only one fixed point  $E_1 \cap E'_1$  with weights are  $[-1, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = -1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -2$ .
- Set  $\omega(c_+) = 1, \omega(E'_1) = a$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = (1 - a)/2, \omega(F) = (1 + a)/2$ . The repulsive set  $c_-$  is a point in  $\hat{M}$ , so  $\omega(c_-) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 + a$ .




Here  $a$  must satisfy the bound  $0 < a < 1$ . The function  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$  is given by

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = -\frac{48\pi a (a^4 - 2a^3 - 8a^2 + 2a - 1)}{3a^6 - 18a^5 + 3a^4 + 12a^3 + 9a^2 + 6a + 1'}$$

which is positive when  $0 < a < 1$ .

Case 1B.

- There are two fixed points  $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$ , with weights  $[-1, 1], [-1, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 0, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -2$ .
- Set  $\omega(c_+) = 1, \omega(E'_1) = a, \omega(E'_2) = b$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = (1 + b - a)/2, \omega(E_2) = (1 + a - b)/2, \omega(F) = (1 + a + b)/2$ . The repulsive set  $c_-$  is a point in  $\hat{M}$  so  $\omega(c_-) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 + a + b$ .



Here we have  $|a - b| < 1$  and  $a, b$  must be positive. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g \\ = & 48\pi \left( \sum a - 2 \sum a^2 + 8 \sum a^3 + 2 \sum a^4 - \sum a^5 + 4 \sum ab + 12 \sum a^3b + 3 \sum a^4b \right. \\ & \left. - 6 \sum a^2b^2 - 2 \sum a^3b^2 \right) / \\ & \left( 1 + 6 \sum a + 9 \sum a^2 + 12 \sum a^3 + 3 \sum a^4 - 18 \sum a^5 + 3 \sum a^6 + 15 \sum ab + 36 \sum a^2b \right. \\ & \left. + 36 \sum a^3b + 6 \sum a^4b - 18 \sum a^5b + 9 \sum a^2b^2 + 45 \sum a^4b^2 + 12 \sum a^3b^2 - 30 \sum a^3b^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$


Using some elementary inequalities, we have:

- $6 \sum a^3b - 6 \sum a^2b^2 \geq 0$ .
- $2 \sum a^4b - 2 \sum a^3b^2 \geq 0$ .
- $\sum a^4b - \sum a^5 = -(a - b)^2(\sum a^3 + \sum a^2b) > -\sum a^3 - \sum a^2b \geq -2 \sum a^3$ .
- $\sum a + \sum a^3 \geq 2 \sum a^2$ .

Add these together we get that the numerator is positive. Therefore,  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g \neq 0$  on the entire Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Case 1C.

- There are three fixed points  $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2, E_3 \cap E'_3$ , with weights  $[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [-1, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -2$ .
- Set  $\omega(c_+) = 1, \omega(E'_1) = a, \omega(E'_2) = b, \omega(E'_3) = c$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = (1 - a + b + c)/2, \omega(E_2) = (1 + a - b + c)/2, \omega(E_3) = (1 + a + b - c)/2, \omega(F) = (1 + a + b + c)/2$ . The repulsive set  $c_-$  is a point in  $\hat{M}$  so  $\omega(c_-) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 + a + b + c$ .



Here we have  $a - b - c, -a + b - c, -a - b + c < 1$  and  $a, b, c$  must be positive. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g \\ = & 48\pi \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum a - \sum a^2 + 4 \sum a^3 + \sum a^4 - \frac{1}{2} \sum a^5 + 4 \sum ab + 12 \sum a^3b + 3 \sum a^4b \right. \\ & \left. - 6 \sum a^2b^2 - 2 \sum a^3b^2 + 6 \sum abc + 6 \sum a^2bc - 3 \sum a^2b^2c + 4 \sum a^3bc \right) / \\ & \left( 1 + 3 \sum a + \frac{9}{2} \sum a^2 + 6 \sum a^3 + \frac{3}{2} \sum a^4 - 9 \sum a^5 + \frac{3}{2} \sum a^6 + 15 \sum ab + 36 \sum a^2b \right. \\ & \left. + 36 \sum a^3b + 6 \sum a^4b - 18 \sum a^5b + 9 \sum a^2b^2 + 45 \sum a^4b^2 + 12 \sum a^3b^2 - 30 \sum a^3b^3 \right. \\ & \left. + 9 \sum a^2b^2c + 20 \sum abc + 54 \sum a^2bc + 36 \sum a^3bc + 3 \sum a^4bc + 12 \sum a^3b^2c - 3 \sum a^2b^2c^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$


Elementary inequalities give:

- $3 \sum a^3bc - 3 \sum a^2b^2c \geq 0$ .
- $2 \sum a^4b - 2 \sum a^3b^2 \geq 0$ .
- $\sum a^4b - \frac{1}{2} \sum a^5 = a^4(b + c - a) + b^4(a + c - b) + c^4(a + b - c) > -\frac{1}{2} \sum a^4$ .
- $6 \sum a^3b - 6 \sum a^2b^2 \geq 0$ .
- $\frac{1}{2} \sum a + 2 \sum a^3 - \sum a^2 \geq 0$ .

Therefore the numerator is positive. The function  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g \neq 0$  on the Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Case 2A.

- There are three fixed points,  $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2, E_3 \cap E'_3$ , with weights  $[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [-1, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -2$ .
- Set  $\omega(E'_1) = a, \omega(E'_2) = b, \omega(E'_3) = 1$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = (-a + b + 1)/2, \omega(E_2) = (a - b + 1)/2, \omega(E_3) = (a + b - 1)/2, \omega(F) = (a + b + 1)/2$ . The attractive and repulsive sets  $c_{\pm}$  are both points in  $\hat{M}$  so  $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = a + b + 1$ .



Here we have  $|a - b| < 1, a + b > 1$ , and  $a, b > 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g \\ = & 16\pi (1 + \sum ab) \left( -1 + 4 \sum a - 6 \sum a^2 + 4 \sum a^3 - \sum a^4 + 4 \sum a^3b - 3 \sum a^2b^2 \right) / \\ & \left( 1 - 6 \sum a + 15 \sum a^2 + \sum ab - 20 \sum a^3 + 4 \sum a^2b + 15 \sum a^4 + 4 \sum a^3b - 3 \sum a^2b^2 \right. \\ & \left. - 6 \sum a^5 + 2 \sum a^4b + 4 \sum a^3b^2 + \sum a^6 - 6 \sum a^5b + 15 \sum a^4b^2 - 10 \sum a^3b^3 \right) \end{aligned}$$

The numerator can be rewritten as

$$16\pi(1 + \sum ab) \left( -1 + 4 \sum a - 6 \sum a^2 + 4 \sum a^3 - (a - b)^4 \right).$$


We observe that the conditions  $|a - b| < 1$  and  $a + b > 1$  imply that either  $a$  or  $b$  must be greater than 1. Therefore, we obtain the following inequality:

$$-1 + 4 \sum a - 6 \sum a^2 + 4 \sum a^3 - (a - b)^4 \geq -1 + 2 \sum a^2 - (a - b)^4 > 2 \sum a^2 - 2 > 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g \neq 0$  on the entire Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Case 2D.

- There are three fixed points,  $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2, E_3 \cap E'_3$ , with weights  $[-2, 1], [-1, 1], [-2, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -1$ .
- Set  $\omega(E'_2) = 1, \omega(E'_1) = a, \omega(E'_3) = b$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = (2 + b - a)/4, \omega(E_2) = (a + b)/2, \omega(E_3) = (2 + a - b)/4, \omega(F) = (2 + a + b)/2$ . The attractive and repulsive sets  $c_{\pm}$  are both points in  $\widehat{M}$ , so  $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = 1 + a + b$ .



Here we have the bound  $|a - b| < 2$  and  $a, b > 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g \\ &= 32\pi (1 + \sum a) \left( 8 \sum a - 12 \sum a^2 + 8 \sum a^3 - \sum a^4 + 12 \sum ab + 4 \sum a^3b - 3 \sum a^2b^2 \right) / \\ & \left( 32 \sum a^2 - 32 \sum a^3 + 32 \sum a^4 - 12 \sum a^5 + \sum a^6 + 32 \sum ab + 32 \sum a^2b + 32 \sum a^3b + 4 \sum a^4b \right. \\ & \left. - 6 \sum a^5b + 8 \sum a^3b^2 + 15 \sum a^4b^2 - 10 \sum a^3b^3 \right) \end{aligned}$$


Elementary inequalities argument gives

- $3 \sum a^3b - 3 \sum a^2b^2 \geq 0$ .
- $\sum a^3b - \sum a^4 = -(a - b)^2(\sum a^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum ab) > -4 \sum a^2 - 2 \sum ab$ .
- $8 \sum a + 8 \sum a^3 \geq 16 \sum a^2$ .

Add these together we get that the numerator is positive. Hence we have  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g \neq 0$  on the entire Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Case 2E.

- There are three fixed points,  $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2, E_3 \cap E'_3$ , with weights  $[-2, 1], [-2, 1], [-2, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = 1, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{2}$ .
- Set  $\omega(E'_1) = 1, \omega(E'_2) = a, \omega(E'_3) = b$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = (a + b)/2, \omega(E_2) = (1 + b)/2, \omega(E_3) = (1 + a)/2, \omega(F) = 1 + a + b$ . The attractive and repulsive sets  $c_{\pm}$  are both points in  $\widehat{M}$ , so  $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = 1 + a + b$ .




Here  $a, b > 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g \\ &= 8\pi (1 + \sum a) \left( \sum a + \sum a^3 + 3 \sum ab + 6 \sum a^2b + \sum a^3b \right) / \\ & \left( \sum a^2 + \sum a^4 + \sum ab + 4 \sum a^2b + 4 \sum a^3b + 2 \sum a^4b + 3 \sum a^2b^2 + 4 \sum a^3b^2 + \sum a^4b^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly this is positive. Therefore,  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$  on the entire Kähler cone.

Case 3A.

- There are two fixed points  $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$ , with weights  $[-2, 1], [-1, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = \frac{1}{2}, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -1$ .
- Set  $\omega(E_3) = 1, \omega(E_2) = a$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = 1 - a, \omega(E'_1) = 2a, \omega(E'_2) = 2 - a, \omega(F) = 2$ . The attractive and repulsive sets  $c_{\pm}$  are both points in  $\hat{M}$ , so  $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 + a$ .




Here  $0 < a < 1$ . Hence,

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = -\frac{8\pi(a+2)(9a^3 - 26a^2 + 24a - 8)}{a(21a^4 - 84a^3 + 128a^2 - 96a + 32)}.$$

It is easy to verify that this is positive when  $0 < a < 1$ . Therefore,  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$  on the entire Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Case 3C.

- There are two fixed points  $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$ , with weights  $[-2, 1], [-2, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = \frac{1}{2}, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{2}$ .
- Set  $\omega(E_3) = 1, \omega(E_2) = a$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = 1 - a, \omega(E'_1) = 2a, \omega(E'_2) = 2 - 2a, \omega(F) = 2$ . The attractive and repulsive sets  $c_{\pm}$  are both points in  $\hat{M}$ , so  $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = 2$ .




Here  $0 < a < 1$ . Hence,

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = \frac{4\pi}{a - a^2}.$$

Clearly this is positive. Therefore  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$  on the entire Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Case 3E.

- There are two fixed points  $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$ , with weights  $[-2, 1], [-3, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = \frac{1}{2}, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{3}$ .
- Set  $\omega(E_3) = 1, \omega(E_2) = a$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = 1 - a, \omega(E'_1) = 2a, \omega(E'_2) = 2 - 3a, \omega(F) = 2$ . The attractive and repulsive sets  $c_{\pm}$  are both points in  $\hat{M}$ , so  $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = 2 - a$ .




Here  $0 < a < \frac{2}{3}$ . Hence,

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = \frac{24\pi(a-2)(35a^3 - 42a^2 + 24a - 8)}{a(475a^4 - 1140a^3 + 1056a^2 - 480a + 96)}.$$

It is easy to verify that this is positive when  $0 < a < \frac{2}{3}$ . Hence  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$  on the entire Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Case 3G.**

- There are two fixed points  $E_1 \cap E'_1, E_2 \cap E'_2$ , with weights  $[-3, 1], [-3, 1]$ .
- $c_1(Y)[\Sigma_a] = \frac{1}{2}, c_1(Y)[\Sigma_{-a}] = -\frac{1}{6}$ .
- Set  $\omega(E_3) = 1, \omega(E_2) = a$ . Then  $\omega(E_1) = (1 - a)/2, \omega(E'_1) = (1 + 3a)/2, \omega(E'_2) = 2 - 3a, \omega(F) = 2$ . The attractive and repulsive sets  $c_{\pm}$  are both points in  $\widehat{M}$  so  $\omega(c_{\pm}) = 0$ .
- $c_1[\omega] = \frac{7}{2} - \frac{5a}{2}$ .



Here  $0 < a < \frac{2}{3}$ . Hence,

$$\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g = \frac{48\pi(5a - 7)(459a^4 - 360a^3 + 54a^2 + 16a - 25)}{12717a^6 - 25434a^5 + 17523a^4 - 3852a^3 - 1245a^2 + 774a - 35}$$

It is easy to verify that this is positive when  $0 < a < \frac{2}{3}$ . Hence  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g > 0$  on the entire Kähler cone.

Till now, we have already proved that,

**Theorem 8.1.** For the pairs  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  listed in Table 4, the function  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$  is never equal to 0 on the Kähler cone  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Combining Theorem 1.1, Proposition 6.2, and Proposition 7.11 with the results obtained in this section, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**8.4. Concluding remarks.** Similar to [CLW08], our computations may lead to new examples of Hermitian Einstein 4-dimensional orbifolds with positive Einstein constants. Specifically, a more careful computation as in subsection 8.3 will give that

**Proposition 8.2.** The function  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}}$  is positive for the pairs  $(\widehat{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  listed in Table 4.

For a complex surface or orbifold  $X$ , let  $\text{Aut}^0(X)$  denote the connected component that contains the identity of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  of  $X$ . Based on the structure of each orbifold, we can deduce that

**Proposition 8.3.** The group  $\text{Aut}^0(\widehat{M})$  of Case 3E, 3G is  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , which is exactly generated by the holomorphic vector field  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

So for the orbifolds  $\widehat{M}$  in Case 3E, 3G, if they admit extremal Kähler metrics which do not have constant scalar curvature, then their holomorphic extremal vector field must be proportional to  $\mathfrak{E}$ . They all have Picard number 2. Using the techniques from section 8, the  $\mathcal{A}$ -functional on them can be calculated explicitly. We are using the same notation from our computation in subsection 8.3 for each case.

**Proposition 8.4.** For the orbifolds  $\widehat{M}$  in Case 3E, 3G, the  $\mathcal{A}$ -functional defined on  $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{M})/\mathbb{R}_+$  is given by:

- Case 3E:

$$\mathcal{A}([\omega]) = -\frac{3(-2 + a)^2(-8 + 30a - 52a^2 + 35a^3)}{a(96 - 480a + 1056a^2 - 1140a^3 + 475a^4)'}.$$

with  $0 < a < 2/3$ .

- Case 3G:

$$\mathcal{A}([\omega]) = -\frac{3(7 - 5a)^2(459a^4 - 612a^3 + 306a^2 - 68a - 5)}{12717a^6 - 25434a^5 + 17523a^4 - 3852a^3 - 1245a^2 + 774a - 35}'.$$

with  $0 < a < 2/3$ .

They all exactly have one critical point in  $\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{R}_+$ .

Combining Proposition 8.3 and 8.4, if we are able to show that there exist external metrics near the critical point of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -functional, then this will result in a Bach-flat Kähler metric on  $\widehat{M}$  with positive scalar curvature, which in turn gives us new examples of Hermitian Einstein orbifolds with positive scalar curvature. However, it is currently unknown whether there exists an extremal metric on these orbifolds. It will be hard to construct extremal metrics on them, as the usual gluing construction for extremal metrics cannot be applied in this case.

Finally, it is worth noting that in higher dimensions, there also exist Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE manifolds. For instance, consider a Kronheimer's hyperkähler ALE 4-manifold  $X$ , where the space of hyperkähler complex structures is parameterized by  $S^2$ . Take  $\mathbb{C} \subset S^2$  and consider  $\mathbb{C} \times X$ . Define the complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathbb{C} \times X$  by

$$J|_{X_t} = J_t,$$

where here  $X_t$  refers to  $t \times X$ , and  $J_t$  refers to the hyperkähler complex structure on  $X$  given by  $t \in \mathbb{C} \subset S^2$ . For any  $p \in X$ , we require that  $J|_{\mathbb{C} \times p}$  is the standard complex structure on  $\mathbb{C}$ . This defines an integrable complex structure on  $\mathbb{C} \times X$ , and the product metric on  $\mathbb{C} \times X$  is clearly Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE. However, this example is trivial in the sense that there are complex structures on  $\mathbb{C} \times X$ , namely the product complex structures, such that the metric is Kähler. This example was pointed out to the author by Junsheng Zhang. It will be interesting to find more nontrivial Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE manifolds in the higher dimensional case.

Finally we would like to remark that given any  $\Gamma \subset U(2)$ , we could repeat the classification for the pairs  $(\widetilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$  and the calculation for  $\min_{\mathfrak{E}} s_g$ , to derive some non-existence results for Hermitian non-Kähler Ricci-flat ALE 4-manifolds with structure group  $\Gamma$ . The difficulty for the general  $U(2)$  case is, if we do not fix  $\Gamma \subset U(2)$ , there could be infinite number of candidates  $(\widetilde{M}, \mathfrak{E})$ .

#### APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THEOREM 5.5


In the appendix we give a proof of Theorem 5.5. We will adopt some notations from toric geometry. For a cyclic group  $\Gamma = L(q, p)$  and the fan of its minimal resolution as shown in Figure 1, we set each  $v_i = (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V})$  and define  $v_i^\perp = (-v_{i,V}, v_{i,U})$ . Denote  $\sigma_i$  by the cone  $\sigma(v_{i+1}, v_i)$  spanned by  $v_{i+1}, v_i$ , so that the fan  $F_{L(q,p)}$  is the union of the cones  $\sigma_i$ . For each cone  $\sigma_i = \sigma(v_{i+1}, v_i)$  in the fan, there is the dual cone  $\sigma_i^\vee = \sigma(-v_{i+1}^\perp, v_i^\perp)$ . Denote  $\sigma_\Gamma$  by the cone  $\sigma((0, 1), (p, -q))$ , hence the fan of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  consists of the single cone  $\sigma_\Gamma$ . For a cone  $\sigma$ , by  $S_\sigma$  we mean the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by  $U^a V^b$  with all  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ . From standard results of toric geometry we have:

- As a variety  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X, Y]^\Gamma = \text{Spec } S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]^\Gamma$  refers to the polynomials that are  $\Gamma$ -invariant. The  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $S_{\sigma_\Gamma^\vee}$  is related to  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]^\Gamma$  via the isomorphism  $U = X^p$  and  $V = Y/X^q$ .
- The minimal resolution  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma}$  is the affine varieties  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_i^\vee}$  glued together along  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_i^\vee \cup \sigma_{i-1}^\vee}$ . Notice that  $S_{\sigma_i^\vee} \subset S_{\sigma_i^\vee \cup \sigma_{i-1}^\vee}$  is a sub- $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.

**Theorem A.1.** Consider the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$  defined by  $t \curvearrowright (x, y) = (t^\theta x, t^\tau y)$  with  $\theta \geq \tau \geq 0$  and its minimal resolution  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma}$  given by Theorem 5.4. Given vertices  $v_0, \dots, v_k$  as in Theorem 5.4, write  $v_i = (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V})$  and for  $i = 0, \dots, k+1$  define

$$w_i = \theta(pv_{i,V} + qv_{i,U}) - \tau v_{i,U}.$$

Then the weights of the lifted action are:



Notice that there is the inductive relation  $w_{i+1} = e_i w_i - w_{i-1}$ , and we have  $w_0 = \theta p$ ,  $w_1 = \theta q - \tau$ ,  $w_k = \theta - \tau v_{k,U}$ , and  $w_{k+1} = -\tau p$ .


*Proof.* As  $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X, Y]^{L(q, p)} = \text{Spec } S_{\sigma_Y^\vee}$ , the orbifold point in  $\mathbb{C}^2/L(q, p)$  is defined by  $U^a V^b = 0$  in  $S_{\sigma_Y^\vee}$ , for all  $U^a V^b \in S_{\sigma_Y^\vee}$ . To find the exceptional set in the minimal resolution, it suffices to consider the preimage of the orbifold point.

**Lemma A.2.** *In the affine piece  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ ,  $E_i$  is parametrized by  $U^{v_i, v} V^{-v_{i, u}} \in S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ , and  $E_{i+1}$  is parametrized by  $U^{-v_{i+1, v}} V^{v_{i+1, u}} \in S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ .*

*Proof.* The dual cone  $\sigma_{i+1}^\vee$  is spanned by  $-v_i^\perp$  and  $v_{i+1}^\perp$ . Note that  $\sigma(-v_0^\perp, v_{k+1}^\perp)$  is exactly  $\sigma_Y^\vee$ .

$S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra finitely generated by  $U^a V^b$  with  $(a, b) \in \sigma_{i+1}^\vee$ . The dual cone  $\sigma_{i+1}^\vee = \sigma(-v_i^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)$  can be decomposed as the union of four cones:

- $\sigma(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)$  spanned by  $-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp$ ;
- $\sigma(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)$  spanned by  $-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp$ ;
- $\sigma_Y^\vee = \sigma(-v_0^\perp, v_{k+1}^\perp)$  spanned by  $-v_0^\perp, v_{k+1}^\perp$ ;
- $\sigma(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)$  spanned by  $v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp$ .



Write  $S_{\sigma(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)} \cup S_{\sigma(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)} \cup S_{\sigma_Y^\vee} \cup S_{\sigma(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)}$  as the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by  $S_{\sigma(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}$ ,  $S_{\sigma(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)}$ ,  $S_{\sigma_Y^\vee}$ , and  $S_{\sigma(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)}$ , then

$$S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee} = S_{\sigma(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)} \cup S_{\sigma(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)} \cup S_{\sigma_Y^\vee} \cup S_{\sigma(v_{k+1}^\perp, v_{i+1}^\perp)}.$$

Henceforth, to determine the exceptional set in  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^\vee}$ , it suffices to write down a set of generators of the four  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras and determine the equations that define the exceptional set. We have:

- For  $S_{\sigma_Y^\vee}$ :
  - $U^{-v_j, v} V^{v_{j, u}}$  is a set of generators of  $S_{\sigma_Y^\vee}$  with  $j = 0, \dots, k+1$ .
  - All generators of  $S_{\sigma_Y^\vee}$  vanish in the preimage of the orbifold point.
- For  $S_{\sigma(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)}$ :
  - $S_{\sigma(-v_1^\perp, -v_0^\perp)}$  is generated by  $U, V^{-1}$ .
  - In the preimage of the orbifold point,  $U = 0$ . We will see that  $V^{-1} = 0$  also holds in the preimage of the orbifold point.
- For  $S_{\sigma(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}$ :
  - Generators of  $S_{\sigma(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}$  can be taken as

$$P_j = U^{v_j, v} V^{-v_{j, u}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, i.$$

They form a set of generators for  $S_{\sigma^\vee(-v_i^\perp, -v_1^\perp)}$  because  $\det(-v_i^\perp, -v_{i-1}^\perp) = 1$ .

- In the preimage of the orbifold point, for any  $1 \leq j < i$ , the above generators in  $S_{\sigma_{i+1}^{\vee}}$  have the relations

$$P_j^{-v_{i,V}} = P_i^{-v_{j,V}} \left( V^{-1} \right)^{-v_{j,U}v_{i,V} + v_{i,U}v_{j,V}},$$

where  $-v_{j,U}v_{i,V} + v_{i,U}v_{j,V} = \det(v_i, v_j) > 0$  as  $i > j$ . We also have the relation

$$\left( V^{-1} \right)^{v_{i,U}} = P_i U^{-v_{i,V}}$$

in  $S_{\sigma_{i+1}^{\vee}}$  (note  $-v_{i,V} > 0$ ). Thus, in the preimage of the orbifold point in  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^{\vee}}$ , as  $U = 0$ , we must have  $V^{-1} = 0$ . So the equations  $P_j = 0$  hold for  $1 \leq j < i$ .

- For  $S_{\sigma(v_{k+1}^{\perp}, v_{i+1}^{\perp})}$ :
  - Generators of  $S_{\sigma(v_{k+1}^{\perp}, v_{i+1}^{\perp})}$  can be taken as

$$Q_j = U^{-v_{j,V}} V^{v_{j,U}}, \quad j = i+1, \dots, k+1,$$

for the same reason as above.

- The relations

$$Q_j^{v_{i+1,U}} = Q_{i+1}^{v_{j,U}} U^{v_{i+1,V}v_{j,U} - v_{i+1,U}v_{j,V}}$$

hold for  $i+1 < j \leq k+1$  where  $v_{i+1,V}v_{j,U} - v_{i+1,U}v_{j,V} = -\det(v_{i+1}, v_j) > 0$ . Thus, in the preimage of the orbifold point in  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^{\vee}}$ , equations  $Q_j = 0$  hold for  $i+1 < j \leq k+1$ .

- Moreover, since  $v_{i,V} - v_{i+1,V}, v_{i+1,U} - v_{i,U} > 0$  and  $0 < (v_{i+1,U} - v_{i,U}) / (v_{i,V} - v_{i+1,V}) < q/p$ ,  $P_i Q_{i+1} = U^{v_{i,V} - v_{i+1,V}} V^{v_{i+1,U} - v_{i,U}} \in S_{\sigma_{i+1}^{\vee}}$ . This shows in the preimage of the orbifold point, the equation  $P_i Q_{i+1} = 0$  holds.

Therefore, the preimage of the orbifold point should be parametrized by  $P_i$  and  $Q_{i+1}$  in the affine piece  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^{\vee}}$ , which exactly correspond to the exceptional curves  $E_i$  and  $E_{i+1}$ .  $P_i$  parametrizes  $E_i$  and  $Q_{i+1}$  parametrizes  $E_{i+1}$ .  $E_i$  and  $E_{i+1}$  intersects in this affine piece at the point where  $P_i = Q_{i+1} = 0$ . The equation  $P_i Q_{i+1} = 0$  holds in the preimage of the orbifold point in the affine piece  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^{\vee}}$ , which means the preimage of the orbifold point in  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^{\vee}}$  is exactly  $\text{Spec } S_{\sigma_{i+1}^{\vee}} \cap (E_i \cup E_{i+1})$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $U = X^p, V = Y/X^q$ , and the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $X, Y$  is  $t \curvearrowright X, Y = t^\theta X, t^\tau Y$ . The parameters  $P_i, Q_{i+1}$  are given by

$$P_i = U^{v_{i,V}} V^{-v_{i,U}} = X^{pv_{i,V}} (Y/X^q)^{-v_{i,U}} = X^{pv_{i,V} + qv_{i,U}} Y^{-v_{i,U}}.$$

$$Q_{i+1} = U^{-v_{i+1,V}} V^{v_{i+1,U}} = X^{-pv_{i+1,V}} (Y/X^q)^{v_{i+1,U}} = X^{-pv_{i+1,V} - qv_{i+1,U}} Y^{v_{i+1,U}}.$$

This implies the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on the exceptional curve  $E_i$  parametrized by  $P_i$  is given by

$$(44) \quad t \curvearrowright P_i = t^{\theta(pv_{i,V} + qv_{i,U}) - \tau v_{i,U}} P_i;$$

and the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on the exceptional curve  $E_{i+1}$  parametrized by  $Q_{i+1}$  is given by

$$(45) \quad t \curvearrowright Q_{i+1} = t^{-\theta(pv_{i+1,V} + qv_{i+1,U}) + \tau v_{i+1,U}} Q_{i+1}.$$

Note that here

$$\theta(pv_{i,V} + qv_{i,U}) - \tau v_{i,U} = \theta(q, p) \cdot (v_{i,U}, v_{i,V}) - \tau v_{i,U} = w_i.$$

The way that  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -acts on  $E_i, E_{i+1}$  (44) (45) show that the intersection point  $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$  should have weights  $[w_i, -w_{i+1}]$ .

The ray given by  $v_0$  in the fan  $F_M$  corresponds to the proper transform of  $y = 0$ , which is parametrized by  $U = X^p$ . The action on  $y = 0$  is given by  $t \curvearrowright U = t^{p\theta} U$ , which implies the weights at the intersection of  $y = 0$  and  $E_1$  should be  $[w_0, -w_1]$ . Similarly, the ray given by  $v_{k+1} = (p, -q)$  corresponds to the proper transform of  $x = 0$ , which is parametrized by  $U^q V^p = Y^p$ . The action on  $x = 0$  is given by  $t \curvearrowright U^q V^p = t^{\tau p} U^q V^p$ , which implies the weights at the intersection of  $x = 0$  and  $E_k$  should be  $[w_k, -w_{k+1}]$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [And90] M. Anderson. On the topology of complete manifolds of non-negative Ricci curvature. *Topology*. Volume 29, Issue 1, pages 41-55, 1990.
- [Bes87] A. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [Bir21] C. Birkar. Singularities of linear systems and boundedness of Fano varieties. *Annals of Mathematics*. Volume 193, Issue 2, pages 347-405, 2021.
- [BH19] O. Biquard and H. Hein. The renormalized Volume of a 4-dimensional Ricci-flat ALE Space. *arXiv:1901.03647*.
- [BG22] O. Biquard and P. Gauduchon. On Toric Hermitian ALF Gravitational Instantons. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*. Volume 399, pages 389-422, 2023.
- [BKN89] S. Bando, A. Kause and H. Nakajima. On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth. *Inventiones mathematicae*. Volume 97, Issue 2, pages 313-349, 1989.
- [CC21] G. Chen and X. Chen. Gravitational instantons with faster than quadratic curvature decay I. *Acta Mathematica*. Volume 227, No. 2, pages 263-307, 2021.
- [CE75] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin. *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, 1975.
- [CLW08] X. Chen, C. LeBrun and B. Weber. On conformally Kähler, Einstein manifolds. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*. Volume 21, No. 4, pages 1137-1168, 2008.
- [CN15] J. Cheeger and A. Naber. Regularity of Einstein manifolds and the codimension 4 conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics*. Volume 182, Issue 3, pages 1093-1165, 2015.
- [CW11] X. Chen and B. Weber. Moduli spaces of critical Riemannian metrics with  $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$  norm curvature bounds. *Advances in Mathematics*. Volume 226, Issue 2, pages 1307-1330, 2011.
- [Der83] A. Derdziński. Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four. *Compositio Mathematica*. Volume 49, No. 3, pages 405-433, 1983.
- [DS14] S. Donaldson and S. Sun. Gromov–Hausdorff limits of Kähler manifolds and algebraic geometry. *Acta Mathematica*. Volume 213, No. 1, pages 63-106, 2014.
- [EH79] T. Eguchi and A. Hanson. Self-dual solutions to Euclidean gravity. *Annals of Physics*. Volume 120, Issue 1, pages 82-106, 1979.
- [Ful93] W. Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*. Volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [FM93] A. Futaki and T. Mabuchi. Bilinear forms and extremal Kähler vector fields associated with Kähler classes. *Mathematische Annalen*. Volume 301, Issue 1, pages 199–210, 1995.
- [Kro89a] P. Kronheimer. The construction of ALE spaces as hyper-Kähler quotients. *Journal of Differential Geometry*. Volume 29, No. 3, pages 665-683, 1989.
- [Kro89b] P. Kronheimer. A Torelli-type theorem for gravitational instantons. *Journal of Differential Geometry*. Volume 29, No. 3, pages 685-697, 1989.
- [LeB95] C. LeBrun. Einstein Metrics on Complex Surfaces. In *Geometry and Physics*. Volume 184 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. CRC Press, pages 167-176, 1995.
- [LeB12] C. LeBrun. On Einstein, Hermitian 4-manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*. Volume 90, No. 2, pages 277-302, 2012.
- [LeB20] C. LeBrun. Bach-Flat Kähler Surfaces. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*. Volume 30, Issue 3, pages 2491–2514, 2020.
- [LV16] M. Lock and J. Viaclovsky. Quotient singularities, eta invariants, and self-dual metrics. *Geometry & Topology*. Volume 20, No. 3, pages 1773-1806, 2016.
- [LV19] M. Lock and J. Viaclovsky. A smörgåsbord of scalar-flat Kähler ALE surfaces, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*. Volume 2019, Issue 746, page 171-208, 2019.
- [LS94] C. LeBrun and S. Simanca. Extremal Kähler metrics and complex deformation theory. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*. Volume 4, Issue 3, pages 298-336, 1994.
- [Nak90] H. Nakajima. Self-Duality of ALE Ricci-Flat 4-Manifolds and Positive Mass Theorem. In *Recent Topics in Differential and Analytic Geometry*. Volume 18.1 of *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, pages 385-396, 1990.
- [Pag79] D. Page. A compact rotating gravitational instanton. *Physics Letters B*. Volume 79, Issue 3, pages 235-238, 1978.
- [Şuv12] I. Şuvaina. ALE Ricci-flat Kähler metrics and deformations of quotient surface singularities. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*. Volume 41, Issue 1, pages 109-123, 2012.
- [Tan72] S. Tanno. 4-dimensional conformally flat Kähler manifolds. *Tôhoku Mathematical Journal*. Volume 24, No. 3, pages 501-504, 1972.
- [Wri12] E. Wright. Quotients of Gravitational Instantons. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*. Volume 41, Issue 1, pages 91-108, 2012.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720, USA.

E-mail address: [mingyang\\_li@berkeley.edu](mailto:mingyang_li@berkeley.edu)