

## LIMITING CONFIGURATIONS FOR THE SU(1,2) HITCHIN EQUATION

XUESEN NA

**Abstract**

We study the limiting behavior of the solutions  $h_t$  of the Hitchin's equation associated with a family of stable SU(1,2) Higgs bundles  $(L, F, t\beta, t\gamma)$  on a compact connected Riemann surface  $X$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  under the assumption that the quadratic differential  $q = \beta \cdot \gamma$  have simple zeros at  $D$ . The spectral data of the SU(1,2) Higgs bundle  $(L, F, \beta, \gamma)$  can be represented by a Hecke modification of  $V = L^{-2}K_X \oplus LK_X$ . We show by a gluing construction that after appropriate rescaling, the limit is given by a metric on  $V$  singular at  $D$ , induced by harmonic metrics adapted to parabolic structures on  $L$  and on  $K_X$  at  $D$ . We give rules to determine the parabolic weights of the limit.

**1. Introduction**

Let  $X$  be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  with a Kähler form  $\omega$  with  $\int_X \omega = 2\pi$ . Let  $(E, h_0)$  be a rank  $r$  holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle and  $\Phi \in \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End}(E))$ . The Hitchin's self-duality equation is an equation of the pair  $(A, \Phi)$ :

$$i\Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\Phi \wedge \Phi^*]) = \mu, \quad \bar{\partial}_A \Phi = 0$$

with  $\mu = \text{deg}(E)/r$ ,  $A$  an  $h_0$ -unitary connection,  $\Phi^*$  the  $h_0$ -adjoint of  $\Phi$ . This is equivalent to the Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation

$$i\Lambda_\omega(F_{\nabla_h} + [\Phi \wedge \Phi^{*h}]) = \mu$$

with  $\nabla_h$  Chern connection of  $h$ . It was studied by Hitchin [Hit87] as dimension reduction of the self-dual Yang-Mills equation. Together with the work Simpson [Sim88], the gauge-theoretic moduli space of its irreducible solutions  $\mathcal{M}$ , called Hitchin moduli space, is identified with the moduli space of stable Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ . The rich structures on  $\mathcal{M}$  build bridges between the fields of algebraic geometry, symplectic geometry and topology.

An important feature of  $\mathcal{M}$  is the Hitchin map  $\text{Hit} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  given by taking the characteristic polynomial of  $\Phi$ . The space of coefficients  $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_j H^0(X, K_X^j)$  is a finite dimensional vector space. Equivalently  $\mathcal{B}$  parametrizes the space of spectral curves: for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , the curve  $\Sigma_b \subset |K_X|$  may be viewed as marking eigenvalues of  $\Phi$ . It is given by divisor  $\det(\lambda - p^*\Phi)$  of  $p^*K_X^r$  where  $p : |K_X| \rightarrow X$  is the projection and  $\lambda \in p^*K_X$  is the tautological section. For generic choice of  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\Sigma_b$  is smooth.

The Hitchin moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  is not compact. As a consequence of Uhlenbeck's weak compactness, both  $\|\Phi\|_{L^2}$  and the Hitchin map are proper (see proofs in [Wen16]).

---

Received August 2, 2023.

A sequence  $(E_j, \Phi_j)$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  escapes to infinity only if  $\|\Phi_j\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\text{Hit}(\Phi_j) \rightarrow \infty$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is an interesting problem to study the limiting behavior of the family of stable Higgs bundles  $(E, t\Phi)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Gaiotto-Moore-Netizkhe [GMN10] conjectured that as radial variable  $t \rightarrow \infty$  on the Hitchin base, the natural hyperkähler metric – the Hitchin metric on  $\mathcal{M}$  is asymptotic to a semi-flat metric, constructed from the special Kähler structure on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Inspired by this work, Mazzeo-Swoboda-Weiß-Witt [MSWW16] studied the above family for rank-two Higgs bundles where the quadratic differential  $\det \Phi$  has simple zeros. This condition is equivalent to the spectral curve being smooth. In particular they constructed solutions  $h_\infty$  called limiting configurations which solve the decoupled version of the Hitchin's equation

$$F_{\nabla_{h_\infty}}^\perp = 0, [\Phi \wedge \Phi^{*h_\infty}] = 0$$

where  $\perp$  is the trace-free part. They then showed that for each  $h_\infty$  there is a family  $(E, t\Phi)$  whose Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric  $h_t$  converge in  $C^\infty$  sense to it, away from the zeros of  $\det \Phi$ . Fredrickson [Fre18] extended the convergence result to arbitrary rank with smooth spectral curve. Mochizuki [Moc16] obtained similar convergence (after appropriate rescaling) more generally for any rank-two Higgs bundle with generically semisimple Higgs field.

The situation of limiting behaviors in higher rank with a singular spectral curve is more complicated and largely unexplored. The choice of the real form  $\text{SU}(1,2)$  of the complex Lie group  $\text{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  thus provides a natural next step in this direction. In generic case, its spectral curve is reduced with two irreducible components meeting at nodal singularities and the form of the Higgs field is more restrained than the general  $\text{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle with same spectral curve.

An  $\text{SU}(1,2)$  Higgs bundle is given by a triple  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$ , or equivalently an  $\text{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle of the form

$$(1) \quad \left( E = L \oplus F, \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where  $L = \det F^*$ ,  $q = \gamma \circ \beta \in H^0(X, K_X^2)$  is a quadratic differential and the spectral curve  $\Sigma$  is given by the divisor  $Z(\lambda(\lambda^2 - q))$  on  $|K_X|$ . We assume all zeros of  $q$  are simple and let  $D = p_1 + \dots + p_{4g-4}$  be the zero divisor. Therefore  $\Sigma$  has  $4g - 4$  simple nodes. The goal of this article is to study the limiting behavior of the Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric  $h_t$  for such a family  $(F, t\beta, t\gamma)$  of stable  $\text{SU}(1,2)$  Higgs bundle as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

In order to describe the  $\text{SU}(1,2)$  limiting configuration, we need a good description of the Hitchin fiber of the Hitchin map  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{SU}(1,2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\text{SU}(1,2)} = H^0(X, K_X^2)$ . This is provided by the author in [Na21]: with  $L$  and  $q$  fixed, the data in  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  is equivalent to a holomorphic Hecke modification of  $L^{-2}K_X \oplus LK_X$  at  $D$ : an injective map of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\iota : F \rightarrow L^{-2}K_X \oplus K_X$  isomorphic over  $X - D$ . Solutions  $h_\infty$  of the decoupled equation has the form

$$h_\infty = \iota^* (h_L^{-2} h_K \oplus h_L h_K)$$

with  $h_K$  resp.  $h_L$  metrics on  $K_X$  resp.  $L$  such that induced metric on  $K_X^2$  satisfies  $|q| \equiv 1$  and  $h_L$  is a harmonic metric adapted to filtered line bundle  $(L, \lambda)$  for some weights with  $\sum_j \lambda_j = -\deg L$ . The difference between two Hermitian metrics is an automorphism. We write  $h' = h \cdot g$  if  $h'(\sigma, \mu) = h(g\sigma, \mu)$  and we say that  $h_t \rightarrow h_\infty$  in

$C^\infty$  if  $h_t = h_\infty \cdot g_t$  and  $g_t \rightarrow 1$  in  $C^\infty$  under some fixed background metric. The main result of this article is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *Fix  $x_0 \in X - D$ ,  $v_0 \in L|_{x_0}$  and  $X_0 \subset X - D$  a compact set. Let  $h_t$  be Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric of  $(F, t\beta, t\gamma)$  and  $\iota : F \rightarrow L^{-2}K_X \oplus LK_X$  the Hecke modification corresponding to  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$ . Identify the two bundles by  $\iota$  over  $X - D$  and let  $\tilde{h}_t$  the normalization of  $h_t$  (by a diagonal automorphism) such that*

$$\left| (v_0^{-2} \sqrt{q(x_0)}, 0) \right| = \left| (0, v_0 \sqrt{q(x_0)}) \right| = 1.$$

Let  $h_\infty = h_{L_\infty}^{-2} h_K \oplus h_{L_\infty} h_K$  where  $h_{L_\infty}$  is adapted to the filtered bundle  $(L, \lambda_\infty)$  over  $(X, D)$  such that

- $\lambda_{\infty, j} = 1/4$  (resp.  $-1/4$ ) for  $\beta(p_j) = 0$  (resp.  $\gamma(p_j) = 0$ ), and
- $\lambda_{\infty, j}$  is a constant independent of  $j$  for  $\beta(p_j), \gamma(p_j) \neq 0$
- $\sum_j \lambda_{\infty, j} = -\deg L$

Then we have

$$\tilde{h}_t \xrightarrow{\text{in } C^\infty} h_\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

The method of proof is a combination of that in [MSWW16] and [Moc16]. In [MSWW16], the authors constructed an approximate solution  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  by gluing local model solutions on small disks around points in  $D$  to a limiting configuration. The local model solution has an explicit form in terms of solutions of an ODE of Painlevé III type, asymptotic to the limiting configuration outside the disk when  $t \gg 1$ . They then showed by contraction mapping principle that for  $t \gg 1$  there is a Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric  $h_t$  close to  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_t(u) = F_{\nabla_{h'}} + [\Phi \wedge \Phi^{*h'}]$  where  $h' = h_t^{\text{app}} \cdot e^u$ . The key estimates are certain lower bounds of the operator  $L_t$  given by linearizing  $\mathcal{H}_t$  at  $u = 0$ . In [Moc16] an explicit local model is not readily available, the author instead relied on existence theorem of Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric for wild harmonic bundle by Biquard-Boalch [BB04] and convergence is not proven through contraction mapping principle. As a consequence, the rate of convergence to limiting configuration is not given in [Moc16].

The local model solution needed to prove Theorem 1.1 is constructed as follows. Note first there are three types of simple zeros of  $q = \gamma \circ \beta$ . It can either be a zero of  $\beta$ , a zero of  $\gamma$  or neither. The Hecke modification map  $\iota : F \rightarrow L^{-2}K_X \oplus LK_X$  provides a choice of local frames in which the Higgs field has a certain standard local form near each type of zero. For the first two types, we use an explicit local model solution in terms of Painlevé transcendentals. Near the boundary of the disk for  $t \gg 1$ , these local models are asymptotic to the decoupled solution with parabolic weight on  $L$  given by  $\lambda = \pm 1/4$ . For the last type, we apply Biquard-Boalch theorem and construct it from a wild harmonic bundle over  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with SU(1,2) symmetry. These local models are asymptotic to decoupled solution with parabolic weight  $-1/4 < \lambda < 1/4$ . The stability condition restricts possible numbers of zeros of each type. As a result, the space of admissible tuple of weights  $\lambda$  is contained in a convex polytope inside a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^{4g-4}$ . The set of partitions of zeros in  $D$  satisfying stability condition is in one-to-one correspondence with the faces of the polytope.

For a fixed  $t \geq 1$ , the parabolic weight  $\lambda$  determines leading order terms  $\sim \lambda \log |z|$  for the harmonic metrics on  $L$  as a part of local model solution. However by the

uniqueness in Biquard-Boalch theorem, the next-to-leading order is also determined by the weight. In order to construct a good approximate solution  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  by gluing the local model to decoupled solution given by a tuple of weights  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{4g-4})$ , it will be necessary to match the next-to-leading order as well. In fact, this issue is also discussed in [Moc16] and we adapt the proof to show that the next-to-leading order constant  $c_\lambda$  depends continuously on  $\lambda$  and use it to show that for a partition of zeros corresponding to a certain face of the polytope of admissible weights, for  $t \gg 1$  there exists a family  $\lambda(t)$  matching the next-to-leading order. Furthermore we show that as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the matching weight  $\lambda(t) \rightarrow \lambda_\infty$  given in Theorem 1.1, which is also characterized by being the centers of the corresponding face in the polyhedron of admissible weights.

The approximate solution  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  is then constructed by gluing local model solutions to decoupled solution outside of the disks, for the carefully chosen tuple of weights  $\lambda(t)$ . Due to this  $t$ -dependency of weight, the ensuing analysis including the key estimates of  $L_t$  are significantly more complicated than that of [MSWW16]. This and other complications (e.g. a  $t$ -independent  $L^2$ -lower bound of operator  $L_t$  does not exist, see §4.2) partly explains the length of the article.

There are a multitude of potential avenues to continue and extend the present work. Limiting configurations serves as natural class of objects to compactify the Hitchin moduli space. A clear picture of the interplay between spectral data for more general singular spectral curves and the limiting behavior of solutions is an important topic for this program. Another potential direction is the asymptotic geometry on the moduli space of  $G$ -Higgs bundles. Furthermore, there has recently been some important progress (see [OSWW20]) connecting the  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -limiting configurations via non-abelian Hodge correspondence to equivariant pleated surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . It will be interesting to apply and extend the present work to explore limiting objects on the other side of non-abelian Hodge correspondence for both  $\text{SU}(1,2)$  and perhaps other rank-one Lie groups such as  $\text{SU}(1, n)$  for  $n \geq 3$  and  $\text{SO}_0(1, n)$ .

Lastly we comment on a recent work of Mochizuki [MS23]. It contains two results related to the limiting behavior of  $h_t$  for families of  $\text{SL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles. The first result is the independence of limit  $h_\infty$  on choice of subsequences when the spectral curve is irreducible. The second is the exponential convergence of  $h_t$  under the condition that the corresponding spectral data is given by a line bundle  $L$  on the normalized spectral curve. Let  $n : \widetilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$  be the normalization  $\lambda'$  the pull-back of  $\lambda \in p^*K_X$  on  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ . This condition applies when the Higgs bundle is given by  $(E, \Phi) = ((p \circ n)_*L, (p \circ n)_*\lambda')$  for a line bundle  $L$  on  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ . Both results go beyond the restriction of smoothness of the spectral curve. However, neither conditions apply to the present work. For the first condition, the spectral curve  $\Sigma$  of an  $\text{SU}(1,2)$ -Higgs bundles discussed in this work is always reducible; for the second result with spectral curve  $\Sigma$ , the only  $\text{SU}(1,2)$ -Higgs bundles induced by a line bundle  $L$  on the normalization  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  are the strictly polystable ones. In that case, the question of limiting behavior is reduced to that of  $\text{SU}(1,1) \cong \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In §2 we introduce some notations and conventions, and review the notion of filtered bundle as well as the description of spectral data of  $\text{SU}(1,2)$  Higgs bundles using Hecke modification. In §3, we construct

local model and approximate solution. In §4, we study linearization of the equation and prove the main theorem.

### Acknowledgements

This article is a part of the Ph.D. thesis of the author. The author wishes to thank his advisor Prof. Richard Wentworth, for suggesting this problem and for his patient guidance and encouragement during my doctoral studies. The author is also thankful to Brian Collier, Johannes Horn, Qionglin Li and Andrew Neitzke for helpful discussions and comments. The author is supported by 2020-21 Patrick and Marguerite Sung Fellowship in Mathematics at the University of Maryland.

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1. SU(1,2) Higgs bundle and stability conditions.** We review the definitions of a ( $G$ -)Higgs bundle, in particular an SU(1,2) Higgs bundle, the stability conditions, as well as the notion of a filtered bundle following notations in §3 of [Moc16]. We will also review the description of spectral data for SU(1,2) Higgs bundles from [Na21]. Throughout this work  $X$  is a fixed closed Riemann surface of genus  $g(X) \geq 2$ . All vector bundles are holomorphic.

Let  $G^c$  be a complex reductive Lie group. A  $G^c$ -Higgs bundle is a pair  $(P, \Phi)$  with  $P$  a holomorphic principal  $G^c$ -bundle over  $X$  and  $\Phi \in H^0(X, P \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}^c \otimes K_X)$ . For  $G^c \subset GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ , a  $G^c$ -Higgs bundle can also be viewed as a rank- $r$  Higgs bundle. The celebrated non-abelian Hodge correspondence [Don87, Cor88, Hit87, Sim88] established a homeomorphism between the moduli of polystable  $G^c$ -Higgs bundles and the moduli space of reductive representations  $\text{Hom}^+(\pi_1(X), G^c) // G^c$ . Hitchin [Hit92] exploited this correspondence to study the topology of character variety of real representations  $\text{Hom}^+(\pi_1(X), \text{PSL}(n, \mathbb{R})) // \text{PSL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and constructed the Hitchin component. Along this line, the notion of  $G$ -Higgs bundle is developed in [BGG06]. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive real Lie group,  $H \subset G$  a maximal compact subgroup, and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  a Cartan decomposition. Let  $H^c$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^c$  be the respective complexifications and  $\iota : H^c \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{m}^c)$  the isotropy representation.

**Definition 2.1.** A  $G$ -Higgs bundle over  $X$  is a pair  $(P, \varphi)$  where  $P$  is a holomorphic principal  $H^c$ -bundle over  $X$ ,  $\varphi \in H^0(X, P \times_{\iota} \mathfrak{m}^c \otimes K_X)$ .

The Hitchin map for  $G$ -Higgs bundle is given by (see, e.g. [GPR18])

$$\text{Hit} : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^a H^0(X, K_X^{m_i})$$

where  $m_i$ 's are the exponents of  $G$  and  $a$  is the real rank of  $G$ .

For  $G^c = \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ , there are up to conjugation three real forms: SU(3), SU(1,2) and SL(3,  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Higgs bundle corresponding to compact real form SU(3) has vanishing Higgs field. On the other hand, the real form SL(3,  $\mathbb{R}$ ) gives no restriction to the spectral curve. We will therefore focus on the real form  $G = \text{SU}(1,2)$ . The Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(1, 2) = \{X \in \text{Mat}_3(\mathbb{C}) \mid X^* J + JX = 0, \text{tr} X = 0\}$$

where  $J = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$  and  $X^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $X$ .  $\theta : X \mapsto -X^* = JXJ$  is the Cartan involution on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  is the eigendecomposition for  $\theta$  with eigenvalues 1 and -1. We have

$$\mathfrak{h} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\text{tr}Y & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \middle| Y \in \mathfrak{u}(2) \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{m} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z \\ Z^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

**Definition 2.2.** An  $SU(1,2)$  Higgs bundle is a triple  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$ :  $F$  is a rank-two bundle,  $\gamma \in H^0(X, \text{Hom}(L, F) \otimes K_X)$ ,  $\beta \in H^0(X, \text{Hom}(F, L) \otimes K_X)$  where  $L = \det F^*$ .

Equivalently  $\gamma : LK_X^{-1} \rightarrow F$ ,  $\beta : F \rightarrow LK_X$  are holomorphic bundle maps. The composition  $q = \beta \circ \gamma : LK_X^{-1} \rightarrow LK_X$  is a holomorphic quadratic differential. We say that  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  is an  $SU(1,2)$  Higgs bundle associated to  $q$  and  $L$ .

Via  $G \rightarrow G^c = \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ , an  $SU(1,2)$  Higgs bundle is also an  $\text{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  Higgs bundle

$$E = L \oplus F, \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding notions of (poly)stability are equivalent (see [BGG03] for a more general statement).

**Proposition 2.3** (Lemma 2.2, [Got01]). *Let  $(E, \Phi)$  as above,  $E' \subset E$  a  $\Phi$ -invariant subbundle. There are subbundles  $L' \subset L$  and  $F' \subset F$  such that  $\mu(E') \leq \mu(L' \oplus F')$ .*

As a result, we need only test slope stability on nonzero proper subbundles of the form  $L' \oplus F'$ . Since  $\deg E = 0$ , and the only subbundles of a line bundle  $L$  are 0 and  $L$  itself, we have

**Proposition 2.4.**  *$(F, \beta, \gamma)$  is stable iff*

- for a subbundle  $0 \neq F' \subsetneq F$ ,  $\beta|_{F'} = 0$  we have  $\deg(F') < 0$ , and
- for a subbundle  $F'' \subsetneq F$  with  $\gamma(L) \subset F'' \otimes K_X$  has  $\deg(L \oplus F'') < 0$

We make the following assumption throughout this work:  $q = \beta \circ \gamma$  has simple zeros. Let  $D = p_1 + \dots + p_{4g(X)-4}$  be the zero divisor.

**Definition 2.5.** *A zero of  $q$  has three types:  $\beta(p) = 0$ ,  $\gamma(p) = 0$ , or neither vanishes. This gives a partition  $D = D_\beta + D_\gamma + D_r$ . Let  $d_\beta = \deg D_\beta$ ,  $d_\gamma = \deg D_\gamma$ ,  $d_r = \deg D_r = 4g(X) - 4 - d_\beta - d_\gamma$ . Denote  $\underline{D} = (D_\beta, D_\gamma, D_r)$  to denote a partition of  $D$  into three effective divisors.*

The Toledo invariant  $\tau = 2 \deg L$  labels the connected components of the moduli space. We have the Milnor-Wood type inequality  $|\tau| < 2(g-1)$ . With  $d_\beta, d_\gamma$  we have the following refinement.

**Proposition 2.6.**  *$(F, \beta, \gamma)$  is stable iff*

$$(2) \quad -(g-1) + \frac{1}{2}d_\beta < d < (g-1) - \frac{1}{2}d_\gamma$$

equivalently

$$(3) \quad d_\beta < 2(g-1+d) \text{ and,}$$

$$(4) \quad d_\gamma < 2(g-1-d).$$

In particular if  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  stable then  $d_r > 0$ . Furthermore,  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  is strictly polystable iff  $<$  in above inequalities are replaced with equality, in which case  $F \cong L^{-2}K_X^{-1}(D_\beta) \oplus LK_X^{-1}(D_\gamma)$ .

In the following we will say  $\underline{D}$  is stable (resp. strictly polystable) if (3), (4) (resp. their equality versions) hold.

*Proof.* The saturation of  $\text{img}(\gamma)$  gives a subbundle  $F'' \cong LK_X^{-1}(D_\gamma)$ . This is the unique proper subbundle of  $F$  such that  $\gamma(LK_X^{-1}) \subset F''$ . We have  $\deg F'' = -d - 2(g - 1) + d_\gamma$ .

On the other hand,  $\beta : F \rightarrow LK_X$  factors through the surjective sheaf map  $\widetilde{\beta} : F \rightarrow LK_X(-D_\beta)$ . We have that  $\ker(\beta) = \ker(\widetilde{\beta})$  giving a line subbundle  $F' \subset F$ . This is the unique nonzero proper subbundle of  $F$  such that  $\beta|_{F'} = 0$ . We have  $\deg F' = \deg F - \deg LK_X(-D_\beta) = -2d - 2(g - 1) + d_\beta$ . The statements about stability now follow from Prop 2.4.

The spectral curve of  $(E, \Phi)$  (corresponding to  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  as above) is given by zero divisor of the section  $\lambda(\lambda^2 - q) \in \pi^* K_X^3$  where  $\pi : |K_X| \rightarrow X$  is the projection. This has two irreducible components (one of which is the zero section in  $|K_X|$ ) corresponding to the two  $\Phi$ -invariant subbundles (one of which is  $\ker(\beta) \cong L^{-2}K_X^{-1}(D_\beta)$ ).  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  is polystable iff  $E$  is a direct sum of these two subbundles, i.e.  $F \cong L' \oplus L^{-2}K_X^{-1}(D_\beta)$ , each of which a stable Higgs bundle of slope 0. Under this identification  $E \cong (L \oplus L') \oplus L^{-2}K_X^{-1}(D_\beta)$ ,  $\Phi = \Phi' \oplus 0$  where

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta' \\ \gamma' & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have  $D_\beta = Z(\beta')$ ,  $D_\gamma = Z(\gamma')$ ,  $D = D_\beta + D_\gamma$  and  $\deg L' = d - 2(g - 1) + d_\gamma = -d$  and  $\deg L^{-2}K_X^{-1}(D_\beta) = -2d - 2(g - 1) + d_\beta = 0$ . These implies the equalities instead of  $<$  in (3), (4). Conversely if equalities instead of  $<$  holds in (3), (4),  $D = D_\beta + D_\gamma$ . We have that  $\gamma$  factors through  $s_\gamma : LK_X^{-1} \rightarrow F''$  with simple zeros at  $D_\gamma$ ,  $\beta = s_\beta \circ \widetilde{\beta}$  where  $s_\beta : LK_X(-D_\beta) \rightarrow LK_X$  with simple zeros at  $D_\beta$ . Since  $\beta \circ \gamma = q : LK_X^{-1} \rightarrow LK_X$  with simple zeros at  $D = D_\beta + D_\gamma$ , the composition  $F'' \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\beta}} LK_X(-D_\beta)$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \cong K_X^2$  and  $D = D_\beta + D_\gamma$ , we have  $F'' \cong LK_X^{-1}(D_\gamma) \cong LK_X(-D_\beta)$ . Therefore  $F \cong F'' \oplus L^{-1}K_X(D_\beta)$ , where the latter summand is  $\ker(\beta)$ . It follows that  $(E, \Phi)$  is a direct sum of  $\Phi$ -invariant stable Higgs bundles of slope 0. q.e.d.

**2.2. SU(1,2) Hitchin equation.** In this part we write down the Hitchin's equation for an SU(1,2) Higgs bundle in global and local form. We also fix some convention and notations about local form of a Hermitian metric and some other related objects.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  stable, there is a unique metric  $h$  on  $F$  such that*

$$(5) \quad F_{\nabla_h} + \gamma^{*h} \wedge \gamma + \beta \wedge \beta^{*h} = 0$$

In more generality the above is a consequence of Theorem 3.21 of [GGM12]. By viewing SU(1,2) Higgs bundle as SL(3,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-Higgs bundle, there is also a more straightforward proof:

*Proof.* By the existence and uniqueness theorem of Hitchin and Simpson [Hit87, Sim88], there is a unique Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $E = L \oplus F$  solving Hitchin equation  $F_{\nabla_H} + [\Phi \wedge \Phi^{*H}] = 0$  inducing the standard metric on  $\det E = \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $\alpha = 1_L \oplus (-1_F)$ , we have  $\alpha^{-1}\Phi\alpha = -\Phi$ . By uniqueness,  $\alpha^*H = H$ . Thus  $H = \text{diag}(\det h^{-1}, h)$  with  $h$  a Hermitian metric on  $F$ . The form (5) follows by a direct calculation.  $\square$

For  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$  be a local frame, the matrix  $h_s$  is defined by  $(h_s)_{ij} = h(s_j, s_i)$ . For  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(E, E')$  with local frames  $s, u$ , we define the matrix  $\psi_{s,u}$  by  $\psi s_j = \sum_i (\psi_{s,u})_{ij} u_i$ . We write  $\psi_s$  if  $E' = E, u = s$ . Let  $(E_i, h_i), i = 1, 2$  be Hermitian vector bundles. Let  $s_i$  be local frames of  $E_i$  and  $H_i = (h_i)_{s_i}$ . Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$  with  $M = \phi_{s_1, s_2}$ . Then under induced metric we have  $|\phi|_{h_1, h_2}^2 = \text{tr}(\phi\phi^*) = \text{tr}(MH_1^{-1}M^*H_2)$ . Let  $A, H \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$  where  $H \geq 0$  is Hermitian, we denote

$$(6) \quad |A|_H^2 = \text{tr}(AH^{-1}A^*H)$$

Let  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  be an  $SU(1,2)$  Higgs bundle and  $\{s_1, s_2\}$  local frame of  $F$  over  $(U; z)$ ,  $\{(s_1 \wedge s_2)^{-1}\}$  the induced frame on  $L = \det F^*$ . Let  $\beta_s = \beta_0 dz, \gamma_s = \gamma_0 dz, H = h_s$ , then (5) is given by the following equation

$$(7) \quad \partial_{\bar{z}}(H^{-1}\partial_z H) = \gamma_0 \gamma_0^* H (\det H) - (\det H)^{-1} H^{-1} \beta_0^* \beta_0$$

**2.3. Filtered bundle.** In the following, we review the notion of filtered bundle following §3 of [Moc16]. Let  $D \subset X$  be a finite set of  $N$  points and  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_X(*D)$  sheaf of meromorphic functions with poles in  $D$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a locally free  $\mathcal{O}'$ -module. A metric on  $\mathcal{E}$  will mean a metric on  $\mathcal{E}|_{X-D}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** A filtered bundle structure on  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $(X, D)$  is a family of coherent  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodules  $\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E}$  labeled by a tuple  $\mathbf{a} = (a_p)_{p \in D}, a_p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

- $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{E}$ ,
- the stalk of  $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}$  at  $p \in D$  depends only on  $a_p \in \mathbb{R}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_{a_p} \mathcal{E}_P$ ,
- $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_P \subset \mathcal{P}_b \mathcal{E}_P$  for  $a \leq b$  and there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_P = \mathcal{P}_{a+\epsilon} \mathcal{E}_P$ ,
- $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_P \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,P}} \mathcal{O}(nP)_P = \mathcal{P}_{a+n} \mathcal{E}_P$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

A filtered Higgs bundle is a pair  $(\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E}, \theta)$  where  $\theta \in H^0(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_X^1)$ .  $(\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E}, \theta)$  is an unramifiedly good filtered Higgs bundle if at each  $P$  there is a finite subset of germs of meromorphic functions,  $I(P) \subset \mathcal{O}'_P$  with  $I(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(*D)_P / \mathcal{O}_{X,P}$  injective, and a decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_P = \bigoplus_{f \in I(P)} \mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_{P,f}$  such that

$$(\theta - (df)Id) \mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_{P,f} \subset \mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_{P,f} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)_P$$

For each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\delta \mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_P = \mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_P / \mathcal{P}_{<a} \mathcal{E}_P$ .

Note that by the last property in the above definition,  $\mathcal{P}_{a_p}^P \mathcal{E}_P / \mathcal{P}_{a_p-1}^P \mathcal{E}_P$  is the fiber of the vector bundle  $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}$  at  $P$ . For  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$ , we have filtration  $F_\alpha^P = \mathcal{P}_{a_p-1+\alpha}^P \mathcal{E}_P / \mathcal{P}_{a_p-1}^P \mathcal{E}_P$  of the fiber. In this way for any  $\mathbf{a}$ , a filtered bundle structure  $\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E}$  is equivalent to a parabolic structure on holomorphic vector bundle  $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}$ .

**Definition 2.8.** A metric  $h$  on  $E$  is called adapted to  $\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E}$  on  $(X, D)$  if

- $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$  as  $\mathcal{O}$ -module on  $X - D$  for all  $\mathbf{a}$ , and

- $s \in \mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}$  iff

$$(8) \quad |s|_h = O(|z_P|^{-a_P - \epsilon}), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

where  $z_P$  is a holomorphic coordinate centered at  $P$ . Given a metric  $h$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ , the second condition defines a filtered bundle structure  $\mathcal{P}_*^h \mathcal{E}$ .

For a tuple  $\mathbf{b}$ , define

$$\deg \mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E} = \deg \mathcal{P}_b \mathcal{E} - \sum_{P \in D} \sum_{b_P - 1 < a \leq b_P} a \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E}_P / \mathcal{P}_{<a} \mathcal{E}_P)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{<a} \mathcal{E}_P = \bigcup_{c < a} \mathcal{P}_c \mathcal{E}_P$ . This is independent of the choice of  $\mathbf{b}$ . For  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{E}$  locally free  $\mathcal{O}'$ -submodules, let  $\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{G}$ . This gives a filtered bundle structure on  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\mu(\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E}) := \deg \mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E} / \text{rank } \mathcal{E}$ . A filtered Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E}, \theta)$  is stable if any nonzero proper  $\theta$ -invariant locally free  $\mathcal{O}'$ -submodule  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfies  $\mu(\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{G}) < \mu(\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E})$ . The following theorem from [BB04] is a generalization of [Hit87, Sim88] on closed Riemann surfaces and of [Sim90] on punctured Riemann surface for ‘tame’ case and of [Biq91] for parabolic vector bundles.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E}, \theta)$  be a stable unramifiedly good filtered Higgs bundle with  $\deg \mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E} = 0$ . Then there exists  $h$  (unique up to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ) adapted to  $(\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{E}, \theta)$  with  $F_{\nabla_h} + [\theta \wedge \theta^{*h}] = 0$  on  $X - D$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$  a tuple of real numbers satisfying*

$$(9) \quad \deg \mathcal{L} + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j = 0$$

*Let  $(U_j; z_j)$  be disjoint local charts centered at  $p_j \in D$  and  $s_j$  a nowhere vanishing section over  $U_j$ . Then there is a metric  $h$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ , unique up to positive constant, such that  $F_{\nabla_h} = 0$  on  $X - D$  and*

$$(10) \quad \log |s_j|_h = \lambda_j \log |z_j| + O(1) \quad \text{as } |z_j| \rightarrow 0$$

*We call  $h$  a harmonic metric adapted to filtered line bundle  $(\mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$  and  $\mathcal{L}_m$  the  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule generated by  $z_j^{-m_j} s_j$  over  $U_j$ . We have  $\mathcal{L}_m = \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_j m_j [p_j] \right)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_b \tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}_{[b_1 + \lambda_1], \dots, [b_N + \lambda_N]}$ . We have  $\deg \mathcal{P}_* \tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \deg \mathcal{L} + \sum_j \lambda_j = 0$ . By Theorem 2.2, there is a harmonic metric  $h$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  adapted to  $\mathcal{P}_* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  unique up to positive constant.

Note that  $u_j := \log |s_j|_h$  is a harmonic function on  $U_j - \{p_j\}$ . Take  $\xi < \lambda_j$ . Since  $s_j \in \mathcal{P}_{-\lambda}$  we have by (8) that there is some constant  $C$  such that  $-u_j + \xi \log |z_j| + C \geq 0$  for  $|z_j|$  small enough. By Bôcher’s Theorem (see, e.g. [Ax101] Theorem 3.9) characterizing positive harmonic functions on a punctured disk, we have  $u_j = \mu \log |z_j| + \text{Re}(f)$  with some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U_j)$ . By (8)  $\mu \geq \lambda_j$ . If  $\mu > \lambda_j$  we have some  $\mathbf{b}$  with  $b_j = -\mu < -\lambda_j$  such that  $s_j \in \mathcal{P}_b$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\mu = \lambda_j$ . q.e.d.

In the following we give a second proof in terms of solution to Poisson equations with prescribed logarithmic poles.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$ ,  $n = -\sum_j a_j$  and  $D = \{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$  finite set on  $X$ . Then there is  $\varphi : X - D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , unique up to a constant on  $X$ , satisfying  $\Delta_{\partial}\varphi = n$  where  $\Delta_{\partial} = \partial^* \partial + \partial \partial^* = i\Lambda \bar{\partial} \partial$  on functions and  $\varphi + 2a_j \log |z_j|$  are bounded near  $p_j$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $n = 0$ ,  $N = 2$  and  $\mathbf{a} = (1/2, -1/2)$ . Let  $D = \{p, q\}$  with  $p \neq q$ . Consider the divisor  $p - q$  and denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the associated line bundle. Let  $s \in \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D)$  be the defining meromorphic section with a zero at  $p$ , a pole at  $q$  and holomorphic on  $X - D$ . Let  $h$  be a Hermitian-Einstein metric on  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.  $i\Lambda F_{\nabla_h} = 0$  on  $X - D$ . Set  $\varphi = \log |s|_h$ . For a chart  $(U; z)$  (resp.  $(V; w)$ ) centered at  $p$  (resp.  $q$ ),  $\varphi - \log |z|$  (resp.  $\varphi + \log |w|$ ) is bounded and we have  $\Delta_{\partial}\varphi = 0$  on  $X - D$ .

For  $n = 1$ ,  $N = 1$  and  $\mathbf{a} = (1)$ . Let  $D = \{p\}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle of degree 1,  $h$  (resp.  $h_0$ ) a Hermitian-Einstein metric on  $\mathcal{L}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}(-p)$ ) and  $s : \mathcal{L}(-p) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  the inclusion induced by  $\mathcal{O}(-p) \subset \mathcal{O}$ . As bundle map  $s$  has a simple zero at  $p$  and is isomorphic away from  $p$ . By this inclusion we view  $h$  as a metric on  $\mathcal{L}(-p)|_{X-D}$  and write  $h = h_0 e^{\varphi}$ . We have  $F_{\nabla_{h_0}} = 0$  away from  $p$  and  $\Delta_{\partial}\varphi = i\Lambda \bar{\partial} \partial \varphi = i\Lambda F_{\nabla_h} = 1$  on  $X - D$ .

Let  $\sigma_0$  (resp.  $\sigma$ ) be a trivializing section for  $\mathcal{L}(-p)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}$ ) on a chart  $(U; z)$  centered at  $p$ , we have  $e^{\varphi/2} |\sigma_0|_{h_0} = |\sigma|_h = |f| |\sigma|_h$  with  $f$  holomorphic with simple zero at  $p$ . Therefore  $\varphi = 2 \log |z| + O(1)$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$

In general the solution is a linear combination of the above cases. Uniqueness follows from the fact that a harmonic function on  $X$  is a constant. q.e.d.

We now give a second proof of Theorem 2.3:

*Proof.* Let  $h$  be a solution of the Hermitian-Einstein equation for  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.  $i\Lambda F_{\nabla_h} = \deg \mathcal{L} \text{id}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . By the above lemma, there is  $\varphi$  on  $X - D$  such that  $\Delta_{\partial}\varphi = -\deg \mathcal{L}$  and  $\varphi = 2\lambda_j \log |z_j| + O(1)$  at  $p_j$ . It is now easy to verify that  $h e^{\varphi}$  is a harmonic metric adapted to filtered line bundle  $(\mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ . q.e.d.

We first fix some local charts around zeros of  $q$ .

**Definition 2.10.** *Let  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  be a stable  $SU(1,2)$  Higgs bundle and  $q = \beta \circ \gamma$ ,  $D = Z(q) = D_{\beta} + D_{\gamma} + D_r$  as in Def 2.5. Let  $(\mathbb{D}_j; \zeta_j)$  be disjoint charts centered at  $p_j \in D$  such that  $q = \zeta_j (d\zeta_j)^2$  and  $\mathbb{D}_j = \{|\zeta_j| < R\}$  for some  $R > 0$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{D}_j^{\times} = \mathbb{D}_j - \{p_j\}$ . Let*

$$(11) \quad \mathbb{D}'_j = \{|\zeta_j| < 2R/3\}, \quad \mathbb{D}''_j = \{|\zeta_j| < R/3\}.$$

The construction in the above proof allows us to get rid of the ambiguity of positive constant and give a  $\lambda$ -family of harmonic metric adapted to  $(L, \lambda)$ . This will be useful in constructing the approximate solution later.

**Definition 2.11.** *Fix  $h_{L,HE}$  a solution to the Hermitian-Einstein equation for the line bundle  $L$ , i.e.  $i\Lambda F_{\nabla_{h_{L,HE}}} = \deg \text{Lid}_L$ . Fix  $G_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq N$  (existence follows by Lemma 2.9), such that*

$$\Delta_{\partial} G_j = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \text{ on } X - \{p_j, p_{j+1}\} \\ 1 & j = N, \text{ on } X - \{p_N\} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} G_j - 2 \log |\zeta_j| & \text{bounded on } \mathbb{D}_j^\times & 1 \leq j \leq N \\ G_j + 2 \log |\zeta_{j+1}| & \text{bounded on } \mathbb{D}_{j+1}^\times & 1 \leq j \leq N-1 \end{cases}$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , let

$$(12) \quad \varphi_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^j \lambda_\ell \right) G_j$$

Define

$$(13) \quad h_{L,\lambda}^0 = h_{L,HE} e^{\varphi_\lambda}.$$

This is a harmonic metric adapted to  $(L, \lambda)$  with

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\partial} \varphi_\lambda = -d \\ \varphi_\lambda = 2\lambda_j \log |\zeta_j| + O(1) \text{ as } \zeta_j \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

Define on  $\mathbb{D}_\ell$  bounded harmonic functions

$$g_{j\ell} = \begin{cases} G_j - 2 \log |\zeta_j| & \ell = j, 1 \leq j \leq N \\ G_{j+1} + 2 \log |\zeta_{j+1}| & \ell = j+1, 1 \leq j \leq N-1 \\ G_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that the for filtered line bundles, the estimate in (8) sharpens to () thanks to Bôcher's Theorem. We will need a similar sharpening for higher rank case. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a locally free sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules and  $h$  a metric on  $\mathcal{E}$  as a vector bundle on  $X - D$ . Fix  $P \in D$  and  $(U; z)$  a chart centered at  $P$ .

$$\mathcal{P}_a^\vee \mathcal{E}_P^* = \left\{ s \in \mathcal{E}_P^* \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{for all } c \in \mathbb{R}, e \in \mathcal{P}_c^h \mathcal{E}, \\ s(e) = O(|z|^{-a-c-\epsilon}) \forall \epsilon > 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let  $h^*$  be the induced metric on  $\mathcal{E}^*$ . By Prop 3.1 of [Sim90],  $\mathcal{P}_a^\vee \mathcal{E}_P^* = \mathcal{P}_a^{h^*} \mathcal{E}_P^*$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is also straightforward to see that for nonzero representative  $e \in \delta \mathcal{P}_a^h \mathcal{E}_P$ , there is  $s \in \mathcal{P}_{-a}^\vee \mathcal{E}_P^*$  such that  $s(e) = 1$ . The following result is a consequence of the proof of Lemma 6.2 in [Sim90].

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $h$  and  $(U; z)$  as above and suppose that near  $P \in D$  we have*

$$\left| F_{\nabla_h} \right|_h \leq f \in L^p$$

Then

$$\log |e|_h = -a \log |z| + O(1) \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow 0$$

where  $e \neq 0 \in \delta \mathcal{P}_a^h \mathcal{E}_P$ .

*Proof.* We view  $e \in \delta \mathcal{P}_a^h \mathcal{E}_P$  as a nonzero section defined in some open subset of  $U$  containing  $P$ . For all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $C_\epsilon$  with  $\log (|z|^{-a} |e|_h) \leq \epsilon |\log |z|| + C_\epsilon$ . Let  $s \in \mathcal{P}_{-a}^\vee \mathcal{E}_P^* = \mathcal{P}_{-a}^{h^*} \mathcal{E}_P^*$  be such that  $s(e) = 1$ . By Cauchy-Schwarz we have  $1 = |s(e)| \leq |s|_{h^*} |e|_h$ . For all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $C'_\epsilon$  such that

$$\log (|z|^{-a} |e|_h) \geq -\log (|z|^a |s|_{h^*}) \geq -\epsilon |\log |z|| - C'_\epsilon.$$

Let  $g = \log (|z|^{-a} |e|_h)$ , it follows that

$$\left| \frac{g}{\log |z|} \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a sufficiently small disk centered at  $P$ . The fact that curvature decreases in holomorphic subbundle (spanned by  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ) implies that we have  $-\Delta g \leq h$  away from  $x$  for some  $h \in L^p$ . Let  $u \in L^p_2(\mathbb{D}) \subset C^0(\mathbb{D})$  be some bounded function such that  $\Delta u = h$  and  $g < u$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . We have  $-\Delta(g - u) \leq 0$  on  $\mathbb{D} - \{P\}$ . We have that  $|(g - u)/\log|z|| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|z| \rightarrow 0$ . By [Sim90, Lemma 2.2],  $-\Delta(g - u) \leq 0$  weakly on all of  $\mathbb{D}$ . By the maximum principle,  $g \leq u$ , i.e.  $g$  is bounded from above. Replace  $g$  with  $-g$ , consider holomorphic subbundle spanned by  $s \in \mathcal{E}^*$ , note that the induced metric has  $F_{\nabla_{h^*}} = -F_{\nabla_h}^t$  (see e.g. Prop 4.3.7 (iii) [Huy05]). Repeating the above argument shows that  $g$  is bounded from below as well. The conclusion then follows.  $\square$

**2.4. SU(1,2) Higgs bundle as Hecke modification.** We next review from [Na21] the construction an stable SU(1,2) Higgs bundle  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  associated to a  $q \in H^0(X, K_X^2)$  and a line bundle  $L$  via holomorphic Hecke modifications of

$$V = L^{-2}K_X \oplus LK_X.$$

A Hecke modification of a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  at  $D = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  is a pair  $(\hat{E}, s)$  where  $\hat{E}$  is a holomorphic vector bundle and  $s : \hat{E}|_{X-D} \rightarrow E|_{X-D}$  is an isomorphism which induces isomorphism  $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$  where  $\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \hat{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}(*D)$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}(*D)$ . This is related to the Hecke operator and the Hecke eigensheaves, which play central roles in the geometric Langlands program (see, e.g. [Fre07]). [Hor22] used the Hecke modification to parametrize the non-abelian part of the singular Hitchin fiber for  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles.  $(\hat{E}, s)$  is called a holomorphic Hecke modification if  $s$  is a holomorphic bundle map  $\hat{E} \rightarrow E$ . The data in a holomorphic Hecke modification of  $E$  at  $D$  is equivalent to specifying a locally free subsheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules of the same rank that is isomorphic on  $X - D$  to  $E$ . We introduce three sets equipped with some equivalence relations.

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $\underline{D} = (D_\beta, D_\gamma, D_r)$  be a partition of the finite set  $D$  and set

$$\mathcal{M}_{L, q, \underline{D}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (F, \beta, \gamma) \\ \text{SU}(1,2) \text{ Higgs bundle} \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \det F^* = L, \beta \circ \gamma = q \\ Z(\beta) = D_\beta, Z(\gamma) = D_\gamma. \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

with  $(F, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \sim (F', \beta_2, \gamma_2)$  if there is an isomorphism  $\psi : F \rightarrow F'$ ,  $\Lambda^2 \psi = id_{L^*}$  with  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 \circ \psi$ , and  $\gamma_2 = \psi \circ \gamma_1$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{L, q, \underline{D}} = \left\{ (F, \iota) \left| \begin{array}{l} \iota : F \rightarrow V \text{ injective map of } \mathcal{O}\text{-modules, isomorphic over } X - D \\ \Lambda^2 \iota = q : L^{-1} \rightarrow \det V \\ \iota(F|_{p_j}) \subseteq L^{-2}K|_{p_j} \subset V|_{p_j} \text{ for } p_j \in D_\beta \\ \iota(F|_{p_j}) \subseteq LK|_{p_j} \subset V|_{p_j} \text{ for } p_j \in D_\gamma \\ \iota(F|_{p_j}) \not\subseteq \text{either summand in } V|_{p_j} \text{ for } p_j \in D_r \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

with  $(F, \iota) \sim (F', \iota')$  if there is an isomorphism  $\psi : F \xrightarrow{\sim} F'$ ,  $\Lambda^2 \psi = id_{L^*}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that

$$(14) \quad \text{diag}(\lambda^2, \lambda^{-1}) \circ \iota = \iota' \circ \psi$$

For a finite set  $\delta \subset X$  and a line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ , set

$$\mathcal{F}_{L, \delta} = \prod_{p \in \delta} (L^3|_p)^\times = \left\{ \mathbf{b} = (b_p)_{p \in \delta} \right\}$$

with  $\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{b}'$  if there is  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $b'_p = \tau b_p$  for all  $p \in \delta$ . For  $\delta = D_r$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{F}_{L, D_r}$  is called an admissible Hecke parameter with respect to  $\underline{D}$ .

Note that the equivalence classes in  $\mathcal{M}_{L,q,\underline{D}}$  are the isomorphism classes of stable SU(1,2) Higgs bundles associated to  $q$  and  $L$ . The following gives a correspondence between the three sets, see [Na21] for more details of the corresponding moduli problem.

**Theorem 2.4.** *There are bijections*

$$\mathcal{M}_{L,q,\underline{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_{L,q,\underline{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{L,D_r}$$

$$(F, \beta, \gamma) \longmapsto (F, \iota) \longmapsto \mathbf{b}$$

respecting the equivalence relations. For each  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{F}_{L,D_r}$  and  $p_j \in D_r$ , there are frame  $s_{0,j}$  of  $L$ ,  $\{s_{1,j}, s_{2,j}\}$  of  $F$ , and  $\sigma_{1,j} = \left( (s_{0,j})^{-2} d\zeta_j, 0 \right)$ ,  $\sigma_{2,j} = (0, s_{0,j} d\zeta_j)$  of  $V$  over  $\mathbb{D}_j$  with

- $s_{0,j}^{\otimes 3} = b_{p_j}$  for each  $p_j \in D_r$ ,
- local form of  $\iota : F \rightarrow V$  is given by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_j & -1 \\ \zeta_j & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,
- $s_{1,j} \wedge s_{2,j} = s_{0,j}^{-1}$ , and
- $\beta, \gamma$  have local forms over  $\mathbb{D}_j$  with  $p_j \in D_r$  given by

$$\beta = (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_j & 1 \end{pmatrix} d\zeta_j, \quad \gamma = (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta_j \end{pmatrix}^T d\zeta_j.$$

We say  $\sigma_j = (\sigma_{1,j}, \sigma_{2,j})$ ,  $s_j = (s_{1,j}, s_{2,j})$  are induced by  $\{s_{0,j}\}$  of  $L|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$ .

*Proof.* For a rank-two vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ , denote  $\phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \det \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$  with  $\ell \otimes s_1 \wedge s_2 \mapsto \ell(s_2)s_1 - \ell(s_1)s_2$ . This is an isomorphism that induces identity on  $\det \mathcal{E}$ .

Given  $(F, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}_{L,q,\underline{D}}$ , set  $\iota_2 = \beta$ , and let  $\iota_1$  be the composition

$$F \xrightarrow{\phi_F} F^* \otimes L^{-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma^T \otimes 1} L^{-1} K \otimes L^{-1} = L^{-2} K.$$

Let  $\iota = \iota_1 \oplus \iota_2 : F \rightarrow V = L^{-2} K \oplus LK$ . Let  $\{s_1, s_2\}$  be a local holomorphic frame of  $F$  over  $(U, \zeta)$ . The induced local frame of  $L$  consists of a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section  $(s_1 \wedge s_2)^{-1}$ . Under these frames there are  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  such that

$$(15) \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} d\zeta, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}^T d\zeta$$

and

$$(16) \quad \iota = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_2 & -\gamma_1 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that  $\iota(F|_{p_j})$  lies in fiber of first (resp. second) summand iff  $\beta(p_j) = 0$  (resp.  $\gamma(p_j) = 0$ ), therefore we get a map  $\mathcal{M}_{L,q,\underline{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{L,q,\underline{D}}$ . From the above local form, it is also clear that the map is a bijection preserving respective equivalent relations.

Given  $(F, \iota) \in \mathcal{H}_{L,q,\underline{D}}$ , we have an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\iota} V = L^{-2} K \oplus LK \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_D$  is a direct sum of skyscraper sheaves with stalks  $\mathbb{C}$  at points in  $D$ , and  $\pi$  is unique up to  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_D} \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{4g-4}$ . For each  $p \in D_r$ ,  $\iota(F|_p) = \ker \pi$  for  $\pi \neq 0$  lying in a line  $\ell \in \mathbb{P}\left(\left(L^{-2}K_X|_p \oplus LK_X|_p\right)^*\right)$ , corresponding to  $b_p \in L^{\otimes 3}|_p$  which gives the slope. This gives the map (dependent on  $\underline{D}$ ) from  $\mathcal{H}_{L,q,\underline{D}}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{L,D_r}$ . Again it is easy to verify that this is a bijection preserving respective equivalences.

Let  $s'_1, s'_2$  be a local frame of  $F$  over  $(U; \zeta)$  around  $p \in D_r$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $s'_1$  generates the subbundle  $\ker \beta$  over  $U$ . Under local frames  $(s'_1 \wedge s'_2)^{-1}$  of  $L$  and  $d\zeta$  of  $K_X$ , we have

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}^T$$

where  $\beta_2 \gamma_2 = \zeta$ . By definition of  $D_r$ ,  $\beta_2(\zeta = 0) \neq 0$ , therefore  $\gamma_2(\zeta = 0) = 0$  and  $\gamma_1(\zeta = 0) \neq 0$ . We may assume  $\beta_2$  is nowhere vanishing on  $U$ . Therefore  $\beta_2^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  and  $\gamma_2 = \zeta \beta_2^{-1}$ . Let  $c$  be a cube root of the nonzero complex number  $\beta_2^2 \gamma_1(\zeta = 0)$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \left( \sqrt{2}c^2\beta_2^{-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}c\beta_2}(\beta_2^2\gamma_1 - c^3) \right) s'_1 + \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2}c} s'_2, \\ s_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}c\zeta\beta_2(\beta_2^2\gamma_1 - c^3)} s'_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}c} s'_2 \end{aligned}$$

Under this local frame for  $F$ ,  $(s_1 \wedge s_2)^{-1}$  for  $L$  and  $d\zeta$  for  $K_X$ , we have

$$\beta = (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

Under  $s_1, s_2$  for  $F$ ,  $s_0 = (s_1 \wedge s_2)^{-1}$  for  $L$  and  $\sigma_1 = (s_0^{-2}d\zeta, 0)$ ,  $\sigma_2 = (0, s_0d\zeta)$  for  $V$ , by (16)  $\iota$  takes the form in the statement.  $\iota(F|_p)$  is the line spanned by  $(-1, 1)^T$  in  $V|_p = L^{-2}K_X|_p \oplus LK_X|_p$  under  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ . It follows straightforwardly that  $b_p = 1$  under the frame  $s_0^3$ , therefore the rest of the statement follows. q.e.d.

It is worth mentioning that there is an equivalent interpretation of the Hecke parameters which is in a sense dual to the above construction. Given  $(F, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}_{L,q,\underline{D}}$ ,  $F$  may be viewed as extension of line bundles in two different ways

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & LK^{-1}(D_\gamma) & \xrightarrow{(1)} & F & \longrightarrow & L^{-2}K(-D_\gamma) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow s & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & LK(-D_\beta) & \xleftarrow{(2)} & F & \longleftarrow & L^{-2}K^{-1}(D_\beta) \longleftarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where (1) (resp. (2)) are induced by  $\gamma$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) and  $s$  have simple zeros at  $D_r$ . The line bundles  $LK^{-1}(D_\gamma)$  (resp.  $\ker \beta \cong L^{-2}K^{-1}(D_\beta)$ ) are realized as subbundles  $\text{img } \gamma$  (resp.  $\ker \beta$ ) inside  $F$ . Their fibers meet precisely at  $D_r$ . Up to a global constant, the tuple  $\mathbf{b}$  provides a recipe to match their fibers at  $D_r$  inside of  $F$ . This picture of extensions is similar to the reconstruction of an  $\text{SO}(2m+1)$  bundle from an  $\text{Sp}(2m)$  bundle in §4.2 of [Hit07] which inspired our description of  $\text{SU}(1,2)$  spectral data.

We consider an example of SU(1,2) Higgs bundle with  $q \in H^0(X, K_X^2)$  having simple zeros:

$$(17) \quad \left( F = K^{-1} \oplus K, \beta = (1/\sqrt{2})(q \ 1), \gamma = (1/\sqrt{2})(1 \ -q)^T \right).$$

It is stable by Prop 2.6. (16) holds globally and the corresponding Hecke modification  $\iota : F \rightarrow V$  fits into a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F = K^{-1} \oplus K \xrightarrow{\iota} V = K \oplus K \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$\iota = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} q & -1 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\pi_p(f_1 d\zeta, f_2 d\zeta)^T = f_1(p) + f_2(p)$  where  $(U; \zeta)$  is a chart centered at  $p$ . The corresponding tuple  $\mathbf{b} = (b_p)_{p \in D_r}$  is given by  $b_p = 1$ .

For an SU(1,2) Higgs bundle  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  and  $p \in D_r$ , Theorem 2.4 provides local frames under which Higgs field takes a standard form. We give below similar frames at points in  $D_\beta$  and  $D_\gamma$ . We view the sections of  $F$  as sections of  $V$  via  $\iota$  from the correspondence in Theorem 2.4.

**Definition 2.14.** For  $p_j \in D_\beta$ , given frame  $\{s_{0,j}\}$  of  $L|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$  define a local frame of  $V$  by  $\sigma_{1,j} = (s_{0,j}^{-2} d\zeta_j, 0)$ ,  $\sigma_{2,j} = (0, s_{0,j} d\zeta_j)$  and set  $s_{1,j} = \zeta_j \sigma_{2,j}$ ,  $s_{2,j} = -\sigma_{1,j}$ . These form a frame of  $F$  over  $\mathbb{D}_j$ . Under these frames,

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_j & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\zeta_j, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T d\zeta_j.$$

For  $p_j \in D_\gamma$ , given frame  $\{s_{0,j}\}$  of  $L|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$  define a local frame  $\sigma_{1,j}, \sigma_{2,j}$  of  $V$  as above and set  $s_{1,j} = \sigma_{2,j}$ ,  $s_{2,j} = -\zeta_j \sigma_{1,j}$ . These form a frame of  $F$  over  $\mathbb{D}_j$ . Under these frames,

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\zeta_j, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T d\zeta_j.$$

We will say that frames  $\sigma_j = \{\sigma_{1,j}, \sigma_{2,j}\}$  and  $s_j = \{s_{1,j}, s_{2,j}\}$  are induced by  $\{s_{0,j}\}$ .

It will be useful to note that if  $s_{0,j}$  induces frame  $s_{1,j}, s_{2,j}$ , then for  $f$  a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{D}_j$ ,  $f s_{0,j}$  induces frame  $f s_{1,j}, f^{-2} s_{2,j}$ . Furthermore, note that under  $\sigma_{1,j}, \sigma_{2,j}$  on  $\mathbb{D}_j^\times$  we have

$$(18) \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} d\zeta_j, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta_j^2 \end{pmatrix}^T d\zeta_j$$

### 3. Construction of approximate solution

Let  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  be a stable SU(1,2) Higgs bundle,  $t \geq 1$  and recall notations in Def 2.10. In this part we construct an approximate solution to the Hitchin equation for  $(F, t\beta, t\gamma)$  for  $t \gg 1$  by gluing an decoupled solution on  $X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}_j$  to local model solutions on  $\mathbb{D}_j''$ . In §3.1, we characterize solutions to decoupled equation. In §3.2, we describe a family of local model solutions parametrized by  $\lambda \in (-1/4, 1/4)$  as well as two other local model solutions which may be viewed as the cases  $\lambda = \pm 1/4$ . In §3.3, we apply estimates from [Moc16] to study asymptotic properties of these local model solutions. In particular in §3.3.4, we show that the next-to-leading order coefficients of the  $\lambda$ -family of local solutions depends continuously on  $\lambda \in (-1/4, 1/4)$ .

In §3.4, we show that for  $t \gg 1$ , there is a family  $\lambda(t)$  for which we can glue the local model solutions to a decoupled solution. A family of approximate solution  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  is constructed using this  $t$ -dependent tuple of parabolic weights  $\lambda(t)$  and we show that it is very close to solving the Hitchin equation.

**3.1. Decoupled solution.** A metric  $h$  on  $F|_{X-D}$  solving the decoupled form of (5)

$$(19) \quad F_{\nabla_h} = 0, \quad \gamma \wedge \gamma^{\dagger_h} + \beta^{\dagger_h} \wedge \beta = 0 \quad \text{on } X - D.$$

will be referred to as a decoupled solution. Let  $\iota : F \rightarrow V = L^{-2}K \oplus LK$  be the Hecke modification associated to  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  as in Theorem 2.4.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $h_\infty$  on  $F|_{X-D}$  is a decoupled solution iff it has the form

$$(20) \quad h_\infty = \iota^* \left( h_L^{-2} h_K \oplus h_L h_K \right)$$

where  $h_K$  is the unique metric on  $K$  such that  $|q| \equiv 1$  under induced metric on  $K^2$  and  $h_L$  is a harmonic metric adapted to a filtered line bundle  $(L, \lambda)$  with  $\deg L + \sum_j \lambda_j = 0$ .

*Proof.* Over  $X - D$  we use  $\iota$  (resp.  $\det \iota$ ) to identify  $F$  (resp.  $\det F = L^{-1}$ ) with  $V = L^{-2}K \oplus LK$  (resp.  $\det V = L^{-1}K$ ) and write  $h_\infty$  as  $\iota^* h$  for some metric  $h$  on  $V|_{X-D}$ . On  $X - D$  we have  $(F, \beta, \gamma) \cong (V, b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^2 \end{pmatrix}^T)$ . Locally let  $\sigma$  (resp.  $\theta$ ) be nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of  $L$  (resp.  $K$ ) such that  $\theta^2 = q$ . The sections  $\sigma_1 = (\sigma^{-2} \otimes \theta, 0)$ ,  $\sigma_2 = (0, \sigma \otimes \theta)$  (resp.  $\{\sigma \otimes \theta^{-2}\}$ ) form a frame of  $V$  (resp.  $\det V^*$ ). With respect to these, the Higgs field is given by  $b = (0, 1)$ ,  $c = (0, 1)^T$ . Let  $H = h_\sigma$ . The second equation in (19) implies  $cc^*H(\det H) = (\det H)^{-1}H^{-1}b^*b$ . We have by a direct calculation

$$(21) \quad H_{12} = H_{21} = 0, \quad H_{11} = H_{22}^{-2}.$$

Therefore the holomorphic direct sum  $V = L^{-2}K \oplus LK$  is also  $h$ -orthogonal. We have  $h = h_1 \oplus h_2$  where  $h_1, h_2$  are metrics on the two summands on  $X - D$ . Equivalently, these are induced by metrics  $h_L$  (resp.  $h_K$ ) on  $L$  (resp.  $K$ ). By flatness of  $\nabla_h, \nabla_{h_L}, \nabla_{h_K}$  are both flat on  $X - D$ . We have  $H_{11} = |\sigma|_{h_L}^{-4} |\theta|_{h_K} = |\sigma|_{h_L}^{-4} |q|_{h_K}^{1/2}$ ,  $H_{22} = |\sigma|_{h_L}^2 |q|_{h_K}^{1/2}$ .

Therefore,  $|q|_{h_K}^2 = (H_{11} H_{22}^2)^{2/3} \equiv 1$ .

Let  $f = \log |\sigma|_{h_L}$ . Since  $F_{\nabla_{h_L}} = 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}_j^\times$ ,  $f$  is a positive harmonic function on  $\mathbb{D}_j^\times$ . By Bôcher's theorem (see Theorem 3.9 [Ax10]), it has the form  $\lambda_j \log |\zeta_j| + f_0$  with  $f_0$  harmonic on  $\mathbb{D}_j$ . The condition on the sum of  $\lambda_j$  now follows from Theorem 2.3. q.e.d.

Given  $s_0$  a nowhere vanishing section of  $L$  over  $(\mathbb{D}, \zeta)$  centered at  $p \in D$  and let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  be the induced frame as in the statement of Theorem 2.4. A decoupled solution  $h_\infty = \iota^* (h_L^{-2} h_K \oplus h_L h_K)$  under these frames are given by

$$(22) \quad h_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} f^{-2} \rho^{-1} & \\ & f \rho^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $f = |s_0|_{h_L}^2$  and  $\rho = |\zeta|$ .

**3.2. Local model.** In this part, we construct a family of local model solutions in  $\mathbb{D}_j$  depending on a real parameter  $\lambda$  asymptotic to  $h_\infty$  defined in Lemma 3.1 outside  $\mathbb{D}_j$  with  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ . It will be defined by harmonic metric adapted to an unramifiedly good filtered Higgs bundle over  $(\mathbb{P}^1, [1 : 0])$  for  $p_j \in D_r$  and by an explicit formula using solution to a Painlevé III type equation for  $p_j \in D_\beta, D_\gamma$ . It will be shown below that the stability condition of these filtered Higgs bundles are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_j = -1/4 & p_j \in D_\beta \\ \lambda_j = 1/4 & p_j \in D_\gamma \\ |\lambda_j| < 1/4 & p_j \in D_r. \end{cases}$$

A tuple  $\lambda$  satisfying these conditions will be called admissible with respect to a partition  $\underline{D}$  of  $D$ . We will first describe the set of these admissible weights. Let

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\underline{D}} = \{\lambda \mid \lambda \text{ is admissible w.r.t } \underline{D}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4g-4}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{P}_{d,g} = \bigsqcup_{\underline{D} \text{ stable}} \mathcal{P}_{\underline{D}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4g-4}.$$

We have the following simple fact

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $C$  be the cube in  $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$  with vertices  $V = \{(\pm 1/4, \dots, \pm 1/4)\}$  (i.e.  $C$  is the convex hull of  $V$ ),  $m$  an integer with  $|m| < n$ .  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{4n}) = \sum_j x_j$  and  $H = f^{-1}(m)$ . For  $\mathbf{x} \in C \cap H$ , let  $d_1(\mathbf{x}) = \#\{j \mid x_j = 1/4\}$ ,  $d_2(\mathbf{x}) = \#\{j \mid x_j = -1/4\}$ . Then we have that either (i)  $d_1 < 2(n+m)$ ,  $d_2 < 2(n-m)$  or (ii)  $d_1 = 2(n+m)$ ,  $d_2 = 2(n-m)$ . The latter case corresponds to  $\mathbf{x}$  being one of the vertices.*

With  $n = g - 1$ ,  $m = -\deg L$  and  $d_1 = d_\gamma$ ,  $d_2 = d_\beta$ , it follows from Prop 2.6 and the above lemma that the closure  $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{d,g}}$  is the intersection  $C \cap H$ . It is easy to show that no edges of the cube  $C$  passes through  $H$ , therefore  $V \cap H$  is the extremal set of  $C \cap H$ . This is a compact convex polytope whose vertices  $V \cap H = \overline{\mathcal{P}_{d,g}} - \mathcal{P}_{d,g}$  consists of  $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{D}}$  with  $\underline{D}$  strictly polystable. The interior  $(\overline{\mathcal{P}_{d,g}})^\circ = \mathcal{P}_{\underline{D}}$  with  $d_\beta = d_\gamma = 0$ , whereas  $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{D}}$  for  $\underline{D}$  stable and  $d_\beta$  or  $d_\gamma > 0$  are the interiors of the positive-dimensional faces of  $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{d,g}}$ .

Note that the face  $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{D}}$  has vertices consisting of tuples  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda_j = 1/4$  for  $p_j \in D_\beta$ ,  $\lambda_j = -1/4$  for  $p_j \in D_\gamma$  and  $\#\{j \mid \lambda_j = 1/4, p_j \in D_r\} = 2(g-1-d) - d_\beta$ ,  $\#\{j \mid \lambda_j = -1/4, p_j \in D_r\} = 2(g-1+d) - d_\gamma$ . It follows easily that the barycenter is characterized by the conditions listed in Theorem 1.1.

We begin by constructing the local model for points in  $D_r$ . Let  $[x_0 : x_1]$  be the homogeneous coordinate on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $U_1 = \{x_1 \neq 0\}$  (resp.  $U_0 = \{x_0 \neq 0\}$ ) the affine chart with coordinate  $z = x_0/x_1$  (resp.  $w = x_1/x_0$ ). Set

$$(24) \quad p : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, [x_0 : x_1] \mapsto [x_0^2 : x_1^2]$$

and  $\zeta = z^2$  coordinate on affine chart  $p(U_1)$  and let  $\rho = |\zeta|$ . Denote  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}(*[1 : 0])$  and  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{O}')^{\oplus 3}$  with free generators  $\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$ . Note  $z \in \mathcal{O}'$  whereas  $w \notin \mathcal{O}'$ , and  $dz \in \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1$  as in §2.3. Define

$$(25) \quad \theta : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1, \theta = \sqrt{2}z \begin{pmatrix} z^2 & 1 \\ 1 & z^2 \end{pmatrix} dz.$$

Define  $v_j = T_{k+1, j+1} e_k \in \mathcal{E}$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2$  where

$$(26) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}z & -\sqrt{2}z & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ z^2 & z^2 & -z^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These generate  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $U_0$ , and  $\theta v_0 = 2z^2 v_0 \otimes dz$ ,  $\theta v_1 = -2z^2 v_1 \otimes dz$ , and  $\theta v_2 = 0$ .

Let  $m_0, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$\mathcal{F}_{m_0, m_1, m_2} = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^2 f_j v_j \mid \text{ord}_{[1:0]} f_j \geq -m_j \right\}.$$

It is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Sections  $e_0, e_1, e_2$  (resp.  $w^{-m_0} v_0, w^{-m_1} v_1, w^{-m_2} v_2$ ) trivialize  $\mathcal{F}_{m_0, m_1, m_2}$  over  $U_1$  (resp.  $U_0$ ). The corresponding transition matrix is  $G_{01} = T \cdot \text{diag}(z^{m_1}, z^{m_2}, z^{m_3})$ . We have  $\det G_{01} = (\text{const})z^{m_1+m_2+m_3+3}$  is the transition function of the line bundle  $\det \mathcal{F}_{m_0, m_1, m_2}$ . Therefore,  $\deg \mathcal{F}_{m_0, m_1, m_2} = 3 + m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ . For  $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2)$ , the  $b$ -family of  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodules

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{P}_b^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}_{\lfloor b-c_0 \rfloor, \lfloor b-c_1 \rfloor, \lfloor b-c_2 \rfloor}$$

defines a filtered bundle structure on  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\deg(\mathcal{P}_*^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{E}) = 3 - c_0 - c_1 - c_2$ . By Def 2.7,  $(\mathcal{P}_b^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{E}, \theta)$  is an unramifiedly good filtered Higgs bundle.

For  $0 \leq i < j \leq 2$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{O}' v_j$  and

$$\mathcal{S}_{ij} = \left\{ \left( \frac{a-1}{z} + g_1 \right) v_i + \left( -\frac{a-1}{z} + g_2 \right) v_j \mid g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{O}', a-1 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

with induced filtered bundle structure  $\mathcal{P}_b \mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{L}_j \cap \mathcal{P}_b^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_b \mathcal{S}_{ij} = \mathcal{S}_{ij} \cap \mathcal{P}_b^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{E}$ . We have

$$\deg \mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{L}_j = -c_j, \quad \deg \mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{S}_{ij} = 1 - c_i - c_j.$$

These are the only nonzero proper  $\theta$ -invariant  $\mathcal{O}'$ -submodules. Let

$$(28) \quad S = \left\{ (c_0, c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \begin{array}{l} c_0 + c_1 + c_2 = 3, \quad c_j > 0 \quad \forall j, \\ c_i + c_j > 1 \quad \forall i \neq j \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $(\mathcal{P}_b^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{E}, t\theta)$  is a stable with  $\deg \mathcal{P}_*^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{E} = 0$  iff  $\mathbf{c} \in S$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $t > 0$ ,  $-1/4 < \lambda < 1/4$ , there is a unique smooth function  $K = K_{t, \lambda} : \mathbb{C}_z \rightarrow \text{iu}(2)$ , positive definite, satisfying*

$$(29) \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} (K^{-1} \partial_z K) = 4|z|^2 t^2 (\gamma_1 \gamma_1^* K \det K - (\det K)^{-1} K^{-1} \beta_1^* \beta_1)$$

with

$$(30) \quad \beta_1 = (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} z^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_1 = (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^2 \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

• Let

$$(31) \quad \tilde{K}_{t, \lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \det K_{t, \lambda}^{-1} & \\ & K_{t, \lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The metric defined by  $h(e_i, e_j) = (\tilde{K}_{t, \lambda})_{j+1, i+1}$  for  $i, j = 0, 1, 2$  is adapted to filtered bundle  $\mathcal{P}_*^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{E}$  with  $\mathbf{c} = (1 + 2\lambda, 1 + 2\lambda, 1 - 4\lambda)$ .

Let  $\zeta = z^2$ . We have at  $z \neq 0$ ,

$$\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}(K^{-1}\partial_{\zeta}K) = (4|z|^2)^{-1}\partial_{\bar{z}}(K^{-1}\partial_zK) = t^2(\gamma_1\gamma_1^*K \det K - (\det K)^{-1}K^{-1}\beta_1^*\beta_1).$$

*Proof.* We have  $c = (1 + 2\lambda, 1 + 2\lambda, 1 - 4\lambda) \in S$  iff  $-1/4 < \lambda < 1/4$ , in which case  $(\mathcal{P}_*^c\mathcal{E}, \theta)$  is a stable unramifiedly good filtered Higgs bundle. By Theorem 2.2, there is a harmonic metric  $h = h_{t,\lambda}$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  adapted to it, unique up to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

Let  $g : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be given by  $e_0 \mapsto -e_0$ ,  $e_j \mapsto e_j$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . We have  $g(v_0) = v_1$ ,  $g(v_1) = v_0$ , and  $g(v_2) = v_2$ . For any  $v \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have that  $v \in \mathcal{P}_b^c\mathcal{E}$  iff  $g(v) \in \mathcal{P}_b^c\mathcal{E}$ . Therefore,  $g^*h$  is also adapted to filtered bundle  $\mathcal{P}_*^c\mathcal{E}$ .

We have  $g^{-1} \cdot F_{\nabla_h} \cdot g = F_{\nabla_{g^*h}}$ ,  $g^{-1}[\theta \wedge \theta^{*h}]g = [\theta^g \wedge (\theta^g)^{*(g^*h)}]$  and  $\theta^g = g^{-1}\theta g = -\theta$ . Therefore

$$F_{\nabla_{g^*h}} + t^2 [(-\theta) \wedge (-\theta)^{*(g^*h)}] = 0.$$

It follows that  $g^*h$  is a harmonic metric adapted to  $(\mathcal{P}_*^c\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ . Thus there is  $c > 0$  such that  $g^*h = ch$ . For  $j = 1, 2$ , we have  $-h(e_0, e_j) = h(ge_0, ge_j) = g^*h(e_0, e_j) = ch(e_0, e_j)$ . Therefore,  $h(e_0, e_j) = 0$ , and  $h = h_{t,\lambda}$  has block diagonal form which we may write as

$$(32) \quad (h_{t,\lambda})_e = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{t,\lambda}^{-1} & \\ & K_{t,\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $K_{t,\lambda}$  is  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrix-valued function of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\delta_{t,\lambda} > 0$ . Note that  $v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 = -4\sqrt{2}z^3 e_0 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2$  and  $c_0 + c_1 + c_2 = 3$ . We have that  $|e_0 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2|_{\det h}^2$  is harmonic on  $U_0$  and bounded near  $[1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Therefore,  $\delta_{t,\lambda}^{-1} \det K_{t,\lambda}$  is a constant. We may normalize this to 1 and the resulting  $K_{t,\lambda}$ ,  $\delta_{t,\lambda}$  is uniquely determined. We have  $\delta_{t,\lambda} = \det K_{t,\lambda}$ . (29) follows from (7) and (25). q.e.d.

Let  $B, C$  (resp.  $K$ ) be function of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  valued in  $3 \times 3$  matrices (resp.  $3 \times 3$  positive-definite Hermitian matrices). Define

$$R(K) = \partial_{\bar{z}}(K^{-1}\partial_zK) \\ S(K, B, C) = CK(\det K) - (\det K)^{-1}K^{-1}B,$$

and let  $E_t(K, B, C) = R(K) - t^2S(K, B, C)$ . (29) is equivalent to  $E_t(K, \beta_1^*\beta_1, \gamma_1\gamma_1^*) = 0$ . Let  $\rho_\phi : z \mapsto e^{i\phi}z$  and

$$(33) \quad g_\phi = \text{diag}(e^{i\phi}, e^{-i\phi}).$$

It is easy to verify that  $R(K) = \rho_\phi^*R(\rho_{-\phi}^*K)$ ,  $\rho_\phi^*B = g_\phi^*Bg_\phi$ ,  $\rho_\phi^*C = g_\phi^{-1}C(g_\phi^*)^{-1}$  where  $B = \beta_1\beta_1^*$ ,  $C = \gamma_1^*\gamma_1$  with  $\beta_1, \gamma_1$  as in Lemma 3.3. It follows that  $S(K, B, C) = \rho_\phi^*g_\phi S(g_\phi^*(\rho_{-\phi}^*K)g_\phi, B, C)g_\phi^{-1}$ . Thus we have

$$E_t(K, B, C) = \rho_\phi^*g_\phi E_t(g_\phi^*(\rho_{-\phi}^*K)g_\phi, B, C)g_\phi^{-1}.$$

As a result,  $z \mapsto g_\phi^*K_{t,\lambda}(ze^{-i\phi})g_\phi$  satisfies (29). Let

$$\Gamma_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & g_\phi & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}z & -\sqrt{2}z & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ z^2 & z^2 & -z^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have that  $v_j = T_{k+1, j+1} e_k$  for  $j, k = 0, 1, 2$  and  $\rho_\phi^* T = e^{i\phi} \Gamma_\phi T$ . The metric on  $\mathcal{E}$  corresponding to  $z \mapsto g_\phi^* K_{t, \lambda}(z e^{-i\phi}) g_\phi$  is given by

$$T^* \rho_{-\phi}^* \left( \Gamma_\phi^* \begin{pmatrix} \det K_{t, \lambda}^{-1} & \\ & K_{t, \lambda} \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_\phi \right) T = \rho_{-\phi}^* \left( T^* \begin{pmatrix} \det K_{t, \lambda}^{-1} & \\ & K_{t, \lambda} \end{pmatrix} T \right)$$

under  $\{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$ . Note that  $\text{ord}_{[1:0]} f = \text{ord}_{[1:0]} \rho_\phi^* f$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that  $v \in \mathcal{P}_b^c \mathcal{E}$  iff  $\rho_\phi^* v \in \mathcal{P}_b^c \mathcal{E}$ . Therefore,  $z \mapsto g_\phi^* K_{t, \lambda}(z e^{-i\phi}) g_\phi$  is a smooth function  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow i\mathfrak{u}(2)$  satisfying properties in Lemma 3.3. It follows from the uniqueness that

$$(34) \quad K_{t, \lambda}(z e^{i\phi}) = g_\phi^* K_{t, \lambda}(z) g_\phi.$$

For  $t > 0$ , let  $\eta_t : z \mapsto t^{1/3} z$  and

$$(35) \quad \Gamma_t = \text{diag}(t^{1/3}, t^{-1/3}).$$

We have that

$$R(K) = t^{2/3} \eta_t^* R(\eta_{t^{-1}}^* K) = t^{2/3} \eta_t^* \Gamma_t R(\Gamma_t^* \cdot \eta_{t^{-1}}^* K \cdot \Gamma_t) \Gamma_t^{-1}$$

and that

$$\eta_t^* B = t^{4/3} \Gamma_t^* B \Gamma_t, \quad \eta_t^* C = t^{4/3} \Gamma_{t^{-1}} C \Gamma_{t^{-1}}^*.$$

It follows that  $S(K, B, C) = t^{-4/3} \eta_t^* S(\eta_{t^{-1}}^* H, \Gamma_t B \Gamma_t^*, \Gamma_{t^{-1}}^* C \Gamma_{t^{-1}})$ . By direct calculation, we have

$$E_t(K, B, C) = t^{2/3} \eta_t^* \Gamma_t \cdot E_1(\Gamma_t^* (\eta_{t^{-1}}^* K) \Gamma_t, B, C) \cdot \Gamma_t^{-1}.$$

Thus  $z \mapsto \Gamma_t^* K_{t, \lambda}(t^{-1/3} z) \Gamma_t$  solves (29). On the other hand,

$$\eta_t^* T = t^{1/3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \Gamma_t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} T.$$

It follows that the metric given by  $z \mapsto \Gamma_t^* K_{t, \lambda}(t^{-1/3} z) \Gamma_t$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  has a local form under  $\{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$  given by

$$T^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \Gamma_t^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \eta_{-t}^* \begin{pmatrix} \det K_{t, \lambda}^{-1} & \\ & K_{t, \lambda} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \Gamma_t \end{pmatrix} T = t^{2/3} \eta_{-t}^* \left( T^* \begin{pmatrix} \det K_{t, \lambda}^{-1} & \\ & K_{t, \lambda} \end{pmatrix} T \right).$$

For  $f_j \in \mathcal{O}'$ , we have that  $\sum_j f_j v_j \in \mathcal{P}_b^c \mathcal{E}$  iff  $\sum_j t^{2/3} \eta_{-t}^* f_j v_j \in \mathcal{P}_b^c \mathcal{E}$ . Therefore,  $z \mapsto \Gamma_t^* K_{t, \lambda}(t^{-1/3} z) \Gamma_t$  gives a harmonic metric adapted to  $\mathcal{P}_b^c \mathcal{E}$ . By the uniqueness in Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(36) \quad K_{1, \lambda}(t^{1/3} z) = \Gamma_t^* K_{t, \lambda}(z) \Gamma_t.$$

It follows from (34) and (36) that  $K_{t, \lambda}$  has the form

$$K_{t, \lambda}(r e^{i\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-2/3} f_1(t^{1/3} r) & f_3(t^{1/3} r) e^{-2i\theta} \\ f_3(t^{1/3} r) e^{2i\theta} & t^{-2/3} f_2(t^{1/3} r) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $f_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  are smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , and each entry is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is clear that there is a function  $H_{t, \lambda} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow i\mathfrak{u}(2)$  such that  $K_{t, \lambda}(z) = H_{t, \lambda}(z^2)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . By the continuity and positive-definiteness of  $K_{t, \lambda}$ , in a bounded neighborhood of the origin,  $\det H_{t, \lambda}$  is bounded away from zero. Furthermore, it is not hard to see that we have  $f_j \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$  with  $f_j'(0) = 0$  and  $f_3(0) = 0$ . A direct calculation shows  $\partial_z F, \partial_{\bar{z}} F = O(|z|)$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . As  $\zeta = z^2$  we have  $\partial_\zeta = (2z)^{-1} \partial_z$  and

$\partial_{\bar{\zeta}} = (2\bar{z})^{-1}\partial_{\bar{z}}$ . The entries of  $\partial_{\zeta}H_{t,\lambda}$ ,  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}H_{t,\lambda}$  are bounded at the origin. Thus the same is true for  $\partial_{\zeta}(\det H_{t,\lambda}^{-1})$  and  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}(\det H_{t,\lambda}^{-1})$ . Let

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} \det H_{t,\lambda}^{-1} & \\ & H_{t,\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have by (29),  $M$  solves

$$\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}(M^{-1}\partial_{\zeta}M) - [\varphi, M^{-1}\varphi^*M] = 0,$$

on  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$  with

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and for any  $0 \in \Omega$  bounded,  $M \in C^{\infty}(\Omega^{\times}) \cap L_1^{\infty}(\Omega)$  where  $\Omega^{\times} = \Omega - \{0\}$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} D : M &\mapsto M(\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}(M^{-1}\partial_{\zeta}M) - [\varphi, M^{-1}\varphi^*M]) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\Delta M - \mathcal{T}_1(M) - \mathcal{T}_2(M) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta = 4\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}\partial_{\zeta}$  is the Laplacian and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1(M) &= (\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}M)M^{-1}(\partial_{\zeta}M), \\ \mathcal{T}_2(M) &= M[\varphi, M^{-1}\varphi^*M]. \end{aligned}$$

A bootstrap argument can be used to improve our knowledge on the regularity of  $M$  at 0. Consider two intermediate open neighborhoods:  $0 \in \Omega'' \Subset \Omega' \Subset \Omega$ . By elliptic regularity estimate (see, e.g. **[DK90]** Appendix III), there is some  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|M\|_{L_2^2(\Omega'')} \leq C \left( \left\| \frac{1}{4}\Delta(M) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega')} + \|M\|_{L^2(\Omega')} \right).$$

To show  $M \in L_2^2$  near the origin, it suffices to bound  $\left\| \frac{1}{4}\Delta(M) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \|\mathcal{T}_1(M)\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{T}_2(M)\|_{L^2}$ . Using the elliptic regularity of the Cauchy-Riemann operator  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}$ , we have  $C' > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|M^{-1}\partial_{\zeta}M\|_{L_1^2(\Omega')} &\leq C' \left( \left\| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}}(M^{-1}\partial_{\zeta}M) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega')} + \|M^{-1}\partial_{\zeta}M\|_{L^2(\Omega')} \right) \\ &= C' \left( \left\| [\varphi, M^{-1}\varphi^*M] \right\|_{L^2(\Omega')} + \|M^{-1}\partial_{\zeta}M\|_{L^2(\Omega')} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the above  $M^{\pm 1}$  is bounded at 0 and  $\|\partial_{\zeta}M\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \infty$ . By the Sobolev embedding  $L_1^2 \subset L^4$ ,  $\|\partial_{\zeta}M\|_{L^4(\Omega')}$  is bounded. This implies the same bound on  $\|\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}M\|_{L^4(\Omega')}$  since  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}M = (\partial_{\zeta}M^*)^* = (\partial_{\zeta}M)^*$ . Thus there is  $C'' > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1(M)\|_{L^2(\Omega')} \leq C'' \|M\|_{L_1^4(\Omega')}^2 < \infty.$$

By  $L^{\infty}$  bound on  $M^{\pm 1}$  and  $\varphi$ ,  $\|\mathcal{T}_2(M)\|_{L^2(\Omega')} < \infty$ . Therefore  $M \in L_2^2(\Omega'')$ .

Suppose  $M \in L_{k+1}^2(\Omega_k)$  for some  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \in \Omega'_k \Subset \Omega_k$ . By elliptic estimate, there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|M\|_{L_{k+2}^2(\Omega'_k)} \leq C \left( \|\mathcal{T}_1(M)\|_{L_1^2(\Omega_k)} + \|\mathcal{T}_2(M)\|_{L_1^2(\Omega_k)} + \|M\|_{L^2(\Omega'_k)} \right).$$

Use  $\nabla$  to denote either  $\partial_\zeta$  or  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}$ , and  $\nabla^\ell$  will denote  $\partial_\zeta^{\ell_1} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}}^{\ell_2}$  with  $\ell_1 + \ell_2 = \ell$ . Then  $\nabla^k \mathcal{T}_1(M)$  is a sum of terms of the form

$$(*) \quad M^{n_1} (\nabla^{\ell_1} M) M^{n_2} \dots M^{n_m} (\nabla^{\ell_m} M) M^{n_{m+1}}$$

where  $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\ell_j \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^m \ell_j = k + 2$ , and for at least two indices  $j$ , we have  $\ell_j \geq 1$ . For the terms with  $\ell_j \leq k$  for all  $j$ , take  $p_1, \dots, p_m > 2$  with  $1/2 = \sum_j p_j^{-1}$  we have:

$$\|(*)\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)} \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \|\nabla^{\ell_j} M\|_{L^{p_j}} \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \|M\|_{L_{\ell_j}^{p_j}}.$$

We have  $\ell_j - \frac{2}{p_j} \leq \ell_j \leq k$ . Therefore, by Sobolev embedding theorems (e.g. [DK90] Appendix IV), there is  $C' > 0$  such that  $\|M\|_{L_{\ell_j}^{p_j}} \leq C' \|M\|_{L_{k+1}^2(\Omega_k)} < \infty$ . The only terms left are of the form  $(\nabla^{k+1} M) M^{-1} (\nabla M)$  or  $(\nabla M) M^{-1} (\nabla^{k+1} M)$ . These have finite  $L^2(\Omega_k)$ -norms since both  $M^{-1}$  and  $\nabla M$  have bounded entries at 0 and  $M \in L_{k+1}^2(\Omega_k)$  by assumption. It follows by induction on  $k$  and Sobolev embedding theorems that  $M \in C^\infty$ .

Summarize the above discussion, we have proven the following.

**Proposition 3.4.** *For  $-1/4 < \lambda < 1/4$ , there is a smooth function  $H_{t,\lambda} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow i\mathbb{u}(2)$  such that  $K_{t,\lambda}(z) = H_{t,\lambda}(z^2)$  where  $K_{t,\lambda}$  is the function in Lemma 3.3. In particular,*

$$(37) \quad H_{t,\lambda}(\rho e^{i\psi}) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho f_{1,\lambda}(t^{2/3}\rho) & f_{3,\lambda}(t^{2/3}\rho) e^{-i\psi} \\ \frac{\rho f_{1,\lambda}(t^{2/3}\rho)}{f_{3,\lambda}(t^{2/3}\rho) e^{i\psi}} & \rho^{-1} f_{2,\lambda}(t^{2/3}\rho) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $f_{j,\lambda}$  is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $H = H_{t,\lambda}$  satisfies

$$(38) \quad \partial_{\bar{\zeta}}(H^{-1} \partial_\zeta H) = t^2 (\gamma_0 \gamma_0^* H \det H - (\det H)^{-1} H^{-1} \beta_0^* \beta_0)$$

with

$$\beta_0 = (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_0 = (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

Let  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  be a stable  $SU(1,2)$  Higgs bundle. Recall notations in Def 2.10 and  $s_0$  be a trivializing section of  $L$  over the coordinate disk  $(\mathbb{D}; \zeta)$  centered at  $p \in D_r$  and let  $s = \{s_1, s_2\}$  (resp.  $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ ) be frames of  $F$  (resp.  $V$ ) over  $D$  induced by  $s_0$  as in the statement of Theorem 2.4. We fix notation for Hermitian metrics corresponding to the Hermitian-matrix valued functions above.

**Definition 3.5.** *Denote by  $h_{t,\lambda}$  the metric on  $F$  over  $D$  with  $h_{t,\lambda} = (H_{t,\lambda})_s$  where  $H_{t,\lambda}$  is as in Prop 3.4. Denote by  $\tilde{h}_{t,\lambda}$  the metric on  $\mathcal{E}$  given by  $\tilde{h}_{t,\lambda}(e_{i+1}, e_{k+1}) = (\tilde{K}_{t,\lambda})_{ki}$  where  $i, k = 0, 1, 2$  and  $\tilde{K}_{t,\lambda}$  is as in Lemma 3.3.*

We have by a direct calculation

$$(39) \quad (h_{t,\lambda})_\sigma = t^{2/3} M_\lambda(t^{2/3}\rho)$$

where

$$(40) \quad M_\lambda = (S^*)^{-1} H_{1,\lambda} S^{-1}, \quad S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & -1 \\ \zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and in particular we have the following explicit expression.

$$(41) \quad M_\lambda(\rho) = \frac{1}{2\rho} \begin{pmatrix} f_{1,\lambda} + f_{2,\lambda} - f_{3,\lambda} - \overline{f_{3,\lambda}} & f_{1,\lambda} - f_{2,\lambda} + f_{3,\lambda} - \overline{f_{3,\lambda}} \\ f_{1,\lambda} - f_{2,\lambda} - f_{3,\lambda} + \overline{f_{3,\lambda}} & f_{1,\lambda} + f_{2,\lambda} + f_{3,\lambda} + \overline{f_{3,\lambda}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $H_{t,\lambda}$  is determined by a Hermitian-matrix-valued radial function  $M_\lambda$ . Alternatively,  $M_\lambda$  is a submatrix of  $(\tilde{h}_{1,\lambda})_{\tilde{\sigma}}$  where the frame on  $\mathcal{E}$  is given by  $\tilde{\sigma}_j$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2$  where  $\tilde{\sigma}_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^2 (T_{e\sigma})_{\ell+1, j+1} e_\ell$  where

$$(42) \quad T_{e\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-2} & z^{-2} \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$(43) \quad (\tilde{h}_{t,\lambda})_{\tilde{\sigma}} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-4/3} |z|^{-4} m_\lambda (t^{2/3} |z|^2)^{-1} & \\ & t^{2/3} M_\lambda (t^{2/3} |z|^2) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $m_\lambda = \det M_\lambda$ . For later use, we have

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}(v_2, v_2) &= 2|z|^4 (M_\lambda)_{11}(|z|^2), \quad \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}(v_0, v_2) = 2|z|^4 (M_\lambda)_{12}(|z|^2) \\ \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}(v_0, v_0) &= 2|z|^2 (|z|^2 (M_\lambda)_{22}(|z|^2) + |z|^{-4} m_\lambda (|z|^2)^{-1}), \\ \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}(v_0, v_1) &= 2|z|^2 (|z|^2 (M_\lambda)_{22}(|z|^2) - |z|^{-4} m_\lambda (|z|^2)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\lambda$  in  $H_{t,\lambda}$  is characterized by  $\det H_{t,\lambda}(\zeta) = O(|\zeta|^c)$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow 0$  for  $c < -2\lambda$  and  $\det H_{t,\lambda}(\zeta) \neq O(|\zeta|^c)$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow 0$  for  $c > -2\lambda$ .

For local model around points in  $D_\beta, D_\gamma$ , replace  $\theta$  in (25) by

$$\theta = 2z \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ z^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz, \quad \text{resp.} \quad \theta = 2z \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz$$

and let  $v_j \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $j = 0, 1, 2$  be such that  $\theta v_0 = \sqrt{2}v_0 \otimes dz$ ,  $\theta v_1 = -\sqrt{2}zv_1 \otimes dz$ , and  $\theta v_2 = 0$ . Same procedures as above defines an unramifiedly good filtered Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{P}_*^c \mathcal{E}, \theta) = (\mathcal{P}_*^{(c_0, c_1)} \mathcal{E}', \theta_1) \oplus (\mathcal{O}'e_2, 0)$  where  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{O}'e_0 \oplus \mathcal{O}'e_1$ . By a similar discussion the rank-two summand is stable if  $c_0, c_1 > 0$  and  $c_0 + c_1 = 1$ . By arguments similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3, the corresponding metric has a local form (31) iff  $c_0 = c_1 = 1/2$ . In fact in these cases, there is a more explicit formula similar to the local fiducial solution of [MSWW16] the local model, in terms of the a smooth solution of an ODE of Painlevé type III:

$$(45) \quad (x\partial_x)^2 \psi = \frac{x^2}{2} \sinh(2\psi).$$

Following [MTW77] (with a simplified proof in [Wid00]), there is the unique solution  $\psi$  with following properties

$$(46) \quad \begin{cases} \psi(x) \sim -\log(x^{1/3} \sum_j a_j x^{4j/3}), & x \rightarrow 0 \\ \psi'(x) < 0, & x > 0 \\ \psi(x) = O(x^{-1/2} e^{-cx}), & x \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases}.$$

There exists a harmonic metric adapted to strictly polystable good filtered Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{P}_*^c \mathcal{E}, \theta)$  is unique up to positive scalar on each summand. The result below therefore follows by a direct calculation verifying that  $H_t$  indeed satisfies (38) with respective  $\beta_0, \gamma_0$  and that  $\psi_p$  defined below is smooth at the origin.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\{s_{1,j}, s_{2,j}\}$  be a frame of  $F$  over  $(\mathbb{D}_j; \zeta_j)$  centered at  $p_j$  as in Def 2.14. Then*

$$H_t(\zeta_j) = \begin{cases} \text{diag} \left( c^{-1} \rho^{1/2} e^{\psi_p}, c^2 \right) & p_j \in D_\beta \\ \text{diag} \left( c \rho^{-1/2} e^{-\psi_p}, c^2 \right) & p_j \in D_\gamma \end{cases},$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , and

$$(47) \quad \psi_p = \psi \left( \frac{8}{3} t \rho^{3/2} \right)$$

(where  $\psi$  is the unique solution above and  $\rho = |\zeta|$ ) solves (38) with

$$\beta_0 = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & p_j \in D_\beta \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \zeta & 0 \end{pmatrix} & p_j \in D_\gamma \end{cases}, \quad \gamma_0 = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \zeta & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T & p_j \in D_\beta \\ \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T & p_j \in D_\gamma \end{cases}$$

and  $\log \det H_t(\zeta) = -2\lambda_j \log |\zeta| + O(1)$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow 0$  with  $\lambda = -1/4$  for  $p_j \in D_\beta$  (resp.  $\lambda = -1/4$  for  $p_j \in D_\gamma$ ). Furthermore, the solution satisfying the above asymptotic estimate is unique up to the choice of  $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

In the following we will refer to  $\psi_p$  as the Painlevé function. The estimate below follows easily from (46) and will be useful later.

**Lemma 3.7.** *There are  $C_1, c_1 > 0$  and  $x_1 > 0$  such that for all  $x \geq x_1$ , and  $k = 0, 1, 2$ ,*

$$|\partial_x^k \psi(x)| \leq C_1 e^{-c_1 x}.$$

Note that the Painlevé function also provide explicit formula for  $H_{t,\lambda}$  in Prop 3.4 with  $\lambda = 0$ :

$$(48) \quad H_{t,0}(\rho e^{-\psi}) = \text{diag}(\rho e^{2\psi_p}, \rho^{-1} e^{-2\psi_p}).$$

**3.3. Asymptotics of local models.** In order for the gluing construction to work well it will be necessary to know the behavior of function  $M_\lambda$  at large radius with bounds uniform in  $\lambda$  in some interval. We fix a small enough  $\delta > 0$  and let  $I = (-1/4 + \delta, 1/4 - \delta)$ . Constants in an inequality will be said to be independent of  $\lambda$  if it holds for all  $\lambda \in I$ . (These could still depend on choice of  $\delta$ .) In particular, we will show:

**Proposition 3.8.** *There are  $\rho_0 > 1$  and  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in I$  and  $\rho \geq \rho_0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| M_\lambda(\rho) - M_{\infty,\lambda}(\rho) \right|, \quad \left| \partial_\rho (M_\lambda(\rho) - M_{\infty,\lambda}(\rho)) \right|, \quad \left| \rho^{-1} \partial_\rho (\rho \partial_\rho (M_\lambda(\rho) - M_{\infty,\lambda}(\rho))) \right|, \\ & \left| \rho^2 m_\lambda(\rho) - \mu_\lambda(\rho) \right|, \quad \left| \partial_\rho (\rho^2 m_\lambda(\rho) - \mu_\lambda(\rho)) \right|, \quad \left| \rho^{-1} \partial_\rho (\rho \partial_\rho (\rho^2 m_\lambda(\rho) - \mu_\lambda(\rho))) \right| \\ & \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 \rho} \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_{\infty,\lambda} = \text{diag}(\rho^{-1} \mu_\lambda^2, \rho^{-1} \mu_\lambda^{-1})$  with

$$(49) \quad \mu_\lambda(\rho) = 4c_\lambda^{-1} \rho^{-2\lambda}.$$

where  $\lambda \mapsto c_\lambda$  is continuous.

The coefficient  $c_\lambda$  will play a central role in the gluing construction. Constants in the estimates below which does depend on  $\lambda \in I$  will often depend on it through a continuous function of  $c_\lambda$ . Furthermore, the above gives asymptotics of the metric  $\tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}$  defined in Def 3.5. Therefore by (48), we have  $c_0 = 4$ .

The estimates will build upon relevant results in [Moc16]. We first review these results. Then we use the local form of  $\tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}$  under  $\tilde{\sigma}$  as well as Prop 2.12 to get the estimates in value. The gradient estimate relies on results similar to Lemma 3.12 and 3.13 in [Moc16]. The continuity of  $c$  and uniformity of constants  $C_1, C_2$  on  $I$  are similar to Prop 3.15 [Moc16] using an identity from [Sim88].

**3.3.1. Asymptotic estimates of Mochizuki.**  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta, h)$  is called a harmonic bundle if  $\bar{\partial}_E \theta = 0$  and  $h$  solves the Hitchin equation  $F_{\nabla_h} + [\theta \wedge \theta^{*h}] = 0$ . The following combines Propositions 2.1, 2.3, 2.10, 2.12 and Cor 2.6 of [Moc16].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta, h)$  be a harmonic bundle of rank  $N$  with holomorphic decomposition  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N (E_j, \bar{\partial}_{E_j}, \theta_j)$  over a chart  $(\mathbb{D}; z) \subset X$  with  $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| < R\}$  where  $E_j$  is a line bundle over  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\theta_j = f_j dz$  and  $M > 0$  such that  $|f_j| < M$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Suppose  $d = \min\{|f_i - f_j| : i \neq j\} \geq 1$ . Then for any  $0 < r < R$  let  $\mathbb{D}' = \{|z| < r\}$ , there are  $C, c > 0$  depending only on  $R, r, M/d, N$  such that*

$$(50) \quad \left| F_{\nabla_h} \Big|_{h, g_C}, \left| F_{\nabla_{h_j}} \Big|_{h_j, g_C}, \left| \partial_h \pi_j \Big|_{h, g_C} \right| \leq C e^{-cd} \text{ on } \mathbb{D}'$$

$$(51) \quad h(s_i, s_j) \leq C e^{-cd} |s_i|_h |s_j|_h \text{ on } \mathbb{D}' \text{ for } s_i \in E_i, s_j \in E_j, i \neq j$$

where  $h_j$  is the restriction of  $h$  to  $E_j$ ,  $\pi_j$  the holomorphic projection onto  $E_j$ , and  $g_C$  the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

The following bounds the covariant derivative by the gradient of the norm. It is a slight generalization of Lemma 3.12 in [Moc16].

**Lemma 3.9.** *Notation as in Theorem 3.1 with  $N \geq 2$  and suppose  $C e^{-cd} < 10^{-2} N^{-3/2}$ . Then for any nonzero section  $v_j \in E_j$ , we have on  $\mathbb{D}'$*

$$\left| \partial_h v_j \Big|_{h, g_C} \leq 10 |v_j|_h \left( |\partial_\zeta \log |v_j|_h|^2 + C e^{-cd} \right).$$

*Proof.* By assumption  $C e^{-cd} < 1/(2N)$ . Let  $w = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j v_j$  be a section with  $v_j \in E_j$ , we get by (51)  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N |c_i|^2 |v_i|_h^2 \leq |w|_h^2 \leq \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N |c_i|^2 |v_i|_h^2$ .

For a  $\sigma$  define operator  $\partial$  by  $\partial_h \sigma = (\partial \sigma) d\zeta$  where  $\partial_h$  is the (1,0)-part of the Chern connection of the induced metric. Let  $\partial v = \sum_\ell c_\ell v_\ell$ , then

$$(52) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_i |v_i|_h^{-1} \left| h(\partial v_j, v_i) \right| \geq \sum_i |v_i|_h^{-1} \left( |c_i| |v_i|_h^2 - \left| \sum_{\ell \neq i} c_\ell h(v_\ell, v_i) \right| \right) \\ & \geq \sum_i |c_i| |v_i|_h - \sum_i \sum_{\ell \neq i} C e^{-cd} |c_\ell| |v_\ell|_h \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |c_i| |v_i|_h \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left| \partial_h v_j \Big|_h. \end{aligned}$$

The  $i = j$  term in  $\sum_i |v_i|_h^{-1} \left| h(\partial v_j, v_i) \right|$  is  $|v_j|_h \left| \partial_{\bar{z}} \log |v_j|_h^2 \right|$  whereas  $i \neq j$  terms satisfy, by (50),

$$(53) \quad \begin{aligned} |v_i|_h^{-1} \left| h(\partial v_j, v_i) \right| &\leq |v_i|_h^{-1} \left( \left| h((\partial \pi_j) v_j, v_i) \right| + \left| h(\pi_j(\partial v_j), v_i) \right| \right) \\ &\leq C e^{-cd} \left( \left| \partial \pi_j|_h |v_j|_h + \left| \pi_j(\partial v_j)|_h \right| \right) \leq (C e^{-cd})^2 |v_j|_h + C e^{-cd} |c_j| |v_j|_h \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(54) \quad |c_j| |v_j|_h \leq \sum_i |c_{ij}| |v_i|_h \leq \sqrt{N} |\partial v_j|_h.$$

We have  $N \sqrt{N} C e^{-cd} \leq 1/100$ . Thus by (52), (53)

$$|\partial v_j|_h \leq \sqrt{6} \left( |v_j|_h \left| \partial_{\bar{z}} \log |v_j|_h^2 \right| + \frac{1}{2} C e^{-cd} |v_j|_h + \frac{1}{100} |\partial v_j|_h \right).$$

q.e.d.

**3.3.2. Asymptotics of metrics.** Recall definition of coordinates  $z$  and  $w = z^{-1}$  from §3.2. Consider the harmonic bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta, \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda})$  on  $\{|w| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$  with  $\tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}$  defined in Def 3.5. We have  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^2 (\mathcal{O}' v_j, f_j dz)$  where  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(*[1:0])$  and  $(f_1, f_2, f_3) = 2z(z, -z, 0)$ . In the following we denote  $\tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}$  by  $\tilde{h}$ . An estimate is said to hold for  $\rho \gg 1$  if there is  $\rho_0 > 1$  such that it holds for  $\rho \geq \rho_0$ . Note that the distance between eigenvalues

$$d = \min_{i \neq j} (|f_i - f_j|) = 2|z|^2 \geq 1$$

are bounded below, and  $L = \max_j |f_j| = 2|z|^2$ . In particular  $L/d = 1$  is independent of  $|z|$ . We have for  $h_j = \tilde{h}|_{\mathcal{L}_j}$ ,  $\left| (F_{\nabla_{h_j}}) \right|_{h_j, g_C} = \left| \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log |v_j|_h \right|$ . By Theorem 3.1, there are  $C, c > 0$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that  $\left| \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \log |v_j|_h \right| \leq C e^{-c|\zeta|}$  and

$$(55) \quad \left| \tilde{h}(v_i, v_j) \right| \leq C |v_i|_h |v_j|_h e^{-c\rho}, \quad 0 \leq i \neq j \leq 2.$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 3.1  $|F_{\nabla_{\tilde{h}}}|_h^2$  is bounded by an  $L^p$  function near  $[1:0]$ . By Prop 2.12, there are constants  $0 < C_1 < C_2$ , which could depend on  $\lambda$ , such that

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1 \rho^{1+2\lambda} &\leq |v_j|_h^2 \leq C_2 \rho^{1+2\lambda}, \quad j = 0, 1 \\ C_1 \rho^{1-4\lambda} &\leq |v_2|_h^2 \leq C_2 \rho^{1-4\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

We can improve the norm estimate and give a gradient estimate using the following result, which follows easily from Proposition 3.10 in [Moc16].

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $f > 0$  be a smooth radial function on  $\{|\zeta| \geq 1\}$  such that there are  $C_1, c_1 > 0$  with*

$$(57) \quad \left| \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \log f \right| \leq C_1 e^{-c_1 |\zeta|^\ell}$$

for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $C_2, C'_2 > 0$  such that

$$(58) \quad C_2 |\zeta|^\alpha \leq f \leq C'_2 |\zeta|^\alpha.$$

Then there is  $b = b(\alpha, f) > 0$  and  $C_3, c_3 > 0$  independent of  $\alpha$  such that

$$\left| \log f - \log b |\zeta|^\alpha \right|, \left| \zeta \partial_{\bar{z}} \log f - \alpha/2 \right| \leq C_3 e^{-c_3 |\zeta|^\ell}.$$

Applying the above and (44), there are  $C_3, c_3 > 0$  independent of  $\lambda$  and  $c_\lambda^{(1)}, c_\lambda^{(2)}$  such that

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \log \left( 2\rho^2 (M_\lambda)_{11}(\rho) \right) - \log \left( c_\lambda^{(2)} \rho^{1-4\lambda} \right) \right|, \quad \left| \zeta \partial_\zeta \log \left( 2\rho^2 (M_\lambda)_{11}(\rho) \right) - (1-4\lambda)/2 \right|, \\ & \left| \log \left( 2\rho^2 (M_\lambda)_{22}(\rho) + 2\rho^{-1} m_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} \right) - \log \left( c_\lambda^{(1)} \rho^{1+2\lambda} \right) \right|, \\ & \left| \zeta \partial_\zeta \log \left( 2\rho^2 (M_\lambda)_{22}(\rho) + 2\rho^{-1} m_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} \right) - (1+2\lambda)/2 \right| \leq C_3 e^{-c_3 \rho}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $(i, j) = (0, 1)$  resp.  $(0, 2)$  in (55), by (56), there are  $C_4, c_4 > 0$  independent of  $\lambda \in I$  such that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (M_\lambda)_{22}(\rho) - \rho^{-3} m_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} \right| \leq C_4 c_\lambda^{(1)} \rho^{2\lambda-1} e^{-c_4 \rho} \\ & |(M_\lambda)_{12}(\rho)| \leq C_4 \left( c_\lambda^{(1)} c_\lambda^{(2)} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{-\lambda-1} e^{-c_4 \rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $|x-1| \leq (\text{const}) |\log x|$  for small  $|x|$ , by (59) there are  $C_5$  and  $c_5 > 0$  depending continuously on  $c^{(1)}(\lambda)$  and  $c^{(2)}(\lambda)$ , such that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,

$$\left| M_\lambda(\rho) - \text{diag} \left( c_\lambda^{(2)} \rho^{-4\lambda-1}/2, c_\lambda^{(1)} \rho^{2\lambda-1}/4 \right) \right|, \quad \left| m_\lambda(\rho) - 4 \left( c_\lambda^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \rho^{-2\lambda-2} \right| \leq C_5 e^{-c_5 \rho}.$$

In the following, denote  $c_\lambda = c_\lambda^{(1)}$ . By  $m_\lambda = \det M_\lambda$  we have  $c_\lambda^{(2)} = 32c_\lambda^{-2}$ . For  $M_{\infty, \lambda}$  and  $\mu_\lambda(\rho)$  in Prop 3.8, there are  $C'_5, c'_5 > 0$  depending continuously on  $c_\lambda$  such that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,

$$(60) \quad \left| M_\lambda(\rho) - M_{\infty, \lambda}(\rho) \right|, \quad \left| \rho^2 m_\lambda(\rho) - \mu_\lambda(\rho) \right| \leq C'_5 e^{-c'_5 \rho}.$$

In the rest of §3.3.2, constants in an inequalities not in lemmas will be depending continuously on  $c_\lambda$  unless stated otherwise.

Since  $M_{\infty, \lambda}$  is diagonal with diagonal entries of the form  $c\rho^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c > 0$ , it follows that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,  $|M_\lambda M_{\infty, \lambda}^{-1} - I| = O(e^{-(\text{const})\rho})$ . For  $\rho \gg 1$  we also have  $C''_5, c''_5 > 0$  such that  $|M_{\infty, \lambda} M_\lambda^{-1} - I| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (I - M_{\infty, \lambda}^{-1} M_\lambda)^k \right| \leq C''_5 e^{-c''_5 \rho}$ . With a similar but easier argument for  $m_\lambda$ , we have that for  $\rho \gg 1$  there are  $C'''_5, c'''_5 > 0$  such that

$$(61) \quad \left| M_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} - M_{\infty, \lambda}(\rho)^{-1} \right|, \quad \left| \rho^2 m_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} - \mu_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} \right| \leq C'''_5 e^{-c'''_5 \rho}$$

**3.3.3. Asymptotics of the first and second derivative of the metric.** We will need the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Suppose  $f$  is a function on  $\{\rho > 1\}$  and  $C_j > 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3 > 0$  satisfy  $|\rho \partial_\rho (\log f - \log g)| \leq C_1 e^{-c_1 \rho}$  where  $g(\rho) = C_2 \rho^{c_2}$  and  $|f - g| \leq C_3 e^{-c_3 \rho}$ . Then there are  $C_4, c_4, \rho_0 > 0$  depending on the previous constants that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,*

$$|\rho \partial_\rho (f - g)| \leq C_4 e^{-c_4 \rho}.$$

Note that  $\zeta \partial_\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \rho \partial_\rho$  on radial functions and  $\rho \partial_\rho \log \rho^\alpha = \alpha$ . By Lemma 3.11 and (59), we have  $C_6, c_6 > 0$  with

$$(62) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \rho \partial_\rho \left( (M_\lambda)_{22}(\rho) + \rho^{-3} m_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} - c_\lambda \rho^{-1+2\lambda}/2 \right) \right|, \\ & \left| \rho \partial_\rho \left( (M_\lambda)_{11}(\rho) - 16c_\lambda^{-2} \rho^{-1-4\lambda} \right) \right| \leq C_6 e^{-c_6 \rho}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to bound the first derivatives of off-diagonal elements, we apply Lemma 3.10 to  $|v_j|_{\bar{h}}$ , and given that  $|\lambda| < 1/4$ , we have for  $|\zeta| \gg 1$  there is  $C_7 > 0$  independent of  $\lambda \in I$  with  $|\partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \log |v_j|_{\bar{h}}^2| \leq C_7 |\zeta|^{-1}$ . This provides a bound for the first term on the right-hand side of the inequality in the statement of Lemma 3.9. The other term is bounded by  $e^{-(\text{const})\rho}$  and is certainly bounded by the first term for  $\rho \gg 1$ . It follows that for  $\rho \gg 1$ , there is  $C_8 > 0$  independent of  $\lambda \in I$  with

$$|\partial_{\bar{h}} v_j|_{\bar{h}_{1,\lambda, \text{gC}}} \leq C_8 \rho^{-1} |v_j|_{\bar{h}}$$

Since  $|v_j|_{\bar{h}}$  satisfies (58), by (53), (54) in proof of Lemma 3.9 and the above there are  $C_9$  and  $c_9 > 0$  such that  $|\bar{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{h}(v_j, v_i)| = |\bar{z} \bar{h}(\partial_{\bar{h}} v_j, v_i)| \leq C_9 e^{-c_9 |\bar{z}|^2}$  for  $i \neq j$ . For  $(i, j) = (0, 1)$  and  $(0, 2)$ , by (60) there are  $C'_9$  and  $c'_9 > 0$  such that

$$(63) \quad \left| \rho \partial_{\rho} (M_{\lambda})_{12}(\rho) \right|, \left| \rho \partial_{\rho} \left( (M_{\lambda})_{22}(\rho) - \rho^{-3} m_{\lambda}(\rho)^{-1} \right) \right| \leq C'_9 e^{-c'_9 \rho}.$$

At this point, we showed that there are  $C''_9, c''_9 > 0$  such that

$$(64) \quad \left| \partial_{\rho} (M_{\lambda}(\rho) - M_{\infty, \lambda}(\rho)) \right| < C''_9 e^{-c''_9 \rho}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr} \left( M_{\lambda}^{-1} \partial_{\rho} (M_{\lambda} - M_{\infty, \lambda}) \right) + \text{tr} \left( (M_{\lambda}^{-1} - M_{\infty, \lambda}^{-1}) \partial_{\rho} M_{\infty, \lambda} \right) \\ &= m_{\lambda}^{-1} \partial_{\rho} (m_{\lambda} - \rho^{-2} \mu_{\lambda}) + (\rho^2 \mu_{\lambda}^{-1} - m_{\lambda}^{-1}) \partial_{\rho} (\rho^{-2} \mu_{\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

By (61), (64) there are  $C'''_9, c'''_9 > 0$  such that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,

$$\left| \partial_{\rho} (\rho^2 m_{\lambda}(\rho) - \mu_{\lambda}(\rho)) \right| \leq C'''_9 e^{-c'''_9 \rho}.$$

We next bound the difference in the second derivatives. For  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{h}(v_i, v_j) \right| \leq \left| h(\partial_{\bar{h}} v_i, \partial_{\bar{h}} v_j) \right| + \left| h(F_{\nabla_{\bar{h}}} v_i, v_j) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \bar{h}((\partial_{\bar{h}} \pi_i v_i), (\partial_{\bar{h}} \pi_j v_j)) \right| + \left| \bar{h}((\partial_{\bar{h}} \pi_i) v_i, \pi_j (\partial_{\bar{h}} v_j)) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \bar{h}(\pi_i (\partial_{\bar{h}} v_i), (\partial_{\bar{h}} \pi_j) v_j) \right| + \left| \bar{h}(\pi_i (\partial_{\bar{h}} v_i), \pi_j (\partial_{\bar{h}} v_j)) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_j$  is the holomorphic projection to  $\mathcal{O}^{\vee} v_j$ . Let  $\partial_{\bar{h}} v_i = \sum_{\ell} c_{\ell} v_{\ell}$  we have by the proof of Lemma 3.9,

$$\left| \pi_i (\partial_{\bar{h}} v_i) \right|_{\bar{h}} = |c_i| |v_i|_{\bar{h}} \leq \sqrt{2} |\partial_{\bar{h}} v_i|_{\bar{h}}.$$

By (50), (51) there are  $C_{10}$  and  $c_{10} > 0$  such that for  $|z| \gg 1$ ,

$$\left| \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{h}(v_i, v_j) \right| \leq C_{10} e^{-c_{10} |\bar{z}|^2}.$$

For  $(i, j) = (0, 1), (0, 2)$ , by (63) there are  $C_{11}, c_{11} > 0$  such that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,

$$(65) \quad \left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} (M_{\lambda})_{12}(\rho) \right|, \left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( (M_{\lambda})_{22}(\rho) - \rho^{-3} m_{\lambda}(\rho)^{-1} \right) \right| \leq C_{11} e^{-c_{11} \rho}.$$

By the estimates of the curvature along  $\mathcal{O}^{\vee} v_j$  for  $j = 0, 2$  in (50) there are  $C_{12}, c_{12} > 0$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,

$$\left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \log \left( \frac{(M_{\lambda})_{22}(\rho) + \rho^{-3} m_{\lambda}(\rho)^{-1}}{c_{\lambda} \rho^{2\lambda-1}/2} \right) \right|, \left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \log \left( \frac{(M_{\lambda})_{11}(\rho)}{16c_{\lambda}^{-2} \rho^{-4\lambda-1}} \right) \right| \leq C_{12} e^{-c_{12} \rho}.$$

We will need the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $f(\rho)$  on  $\rho > 1$ ,  $g(\rho) = C_1\rho^{c_1}$ ,  $C_2, c_2 > 0$  be such that*

$$|f - g|, |\partial_\rho(f - g)| \leq C_2 e^{-c_2\rho}.$$

*Let  $D := \rho^{-1}\partial_\rho(\rho\partial_\rho)$  and suppose  $|D(\log f - \log g)| \leq C_2 e^{-c_2\rho}$ . Then there are  $C_3, c_3 > 0$  depending on previous constants such that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,*

$$|D(f - g)| \leq C_3 e^{-c_3\rho}.$$

By (60), (62) and Lemma 3.12, there are  $C_{13}$  and  $c_{13} > 0$  such that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \left( (M_\lambda)_{22}(\rho) + \rho^{-3}m_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} - c_\lambda\rho^{-1+2\lambda}/2 \right) \right|, \\ & \left| \partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \left( (M_\lambda)_{11}(\rho) - 16c_\lambda^{-2}\rho^{-1-4\lambda} \right) \right| \leq C_{13}e^{-c_{13}\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

With (65), there are  $C'_{13}$  and  $c'_{13} > 0$  such that for  $\rho \gg 1$ ,

$$\left| \partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \left( (M_\lambda)_{22}(\rho) - c_\lambda\rho^{1-2\lambda}/4 \right) \right| \leq C'_{13}e^{-c'_{13}\rho}.$$

Combining (65), there are  $C'_{13}$  and  $c'_{13} > 0$  such that

$$\left| \partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \left( (M_\lambda)_{22}(\rho) - c_\lambda\rho^{1-2\lambda}/4 \right) \right| \leq C'_{13}e^{-c'_{13}\rho}.$$

At this point, we have proved the following:

**Proposition 3.13.** *All the estimates in Prop 3.8 hold with  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  depending continuously on  $c_\lambda$ .*

**3.3.4. Uniform boundedness of family of Hermitian-Yang-Mills metrics.** In this part we finish proof of Prop 3.8, which follows from Prop 3.13 and:

**Proposition 3.14.** *The function  $\lambda \mapsto c_\lambda$  is continuous.*

This is the continuity of the next-to-leading order in  $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}$ . We build on the proof of Prop 3.15 in [Moc16] for the rank-two case. Note that the conclusion will follow once we can show that for any  $\lambda_0 \in (-1/4, 1/4)$  on  $\{\rho \geq 1\}$ ,  $\rho^{2(\lambda_0-\lambda)}m_{\lambda_0}m_\lambda^{-1} \rightarrow 1$  uniformly as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{\lambda_0}c_\lambda^{-1} - 1| & \leq \left| \rho^{2(\lambda-\lambda_0)} + 2\mu_{\lambda_0}^{-1}(\rho^{-2}\mu_\lambda - m_\lambda) \right| + \left| \rho^2\mu_{\lambda_0}^{-1}m_{\lambda_0}(\rho^{2(\lambda-\lambda_0)}m_\lambda m_{\lambda_0}^{-1} - 1) \right| \\ & + \left| \rho^2\mu_{\lambda_0}^{-1}m_{\lambda_0} - 1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

Denote the three terms by I, II and III. By Prop 3.13, there are  $C_I(\lambda), c_I(\lambda), C_{II}, C_{III}, c_{III} > 0$  such that I  $\leq C_I(\lambda)e^{-c_I\rho}$ , III  $\leq C_{III}e^{-c_{III}\rho}$  and that  $|\rho^2\mu_{\lambda_0}^{-1}m_{\lambda_0}| \leq C_{II}$ . Therefore by first taking  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that  $c_\lambda \rightarrow c_{\lambda_0}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ .

Let  $I_0 \Subset (-1/4, 1/4)$  containing  $\lambda_0$ . All constants in inequalities below will depend only on  $I_0$  unless stated otherwise. Consider an auxiliary family  $\tilde{h}_\lambda^0$  for  $\lambda \in I_0$  such that (1)  $\tilde{h}_{\lambda_0}^0 = \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda_0}$ , (2)  $\det \tilde{h}_\lambda^0 = \det \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda} \equiv 1$  the standard metric on trivial bundle, (3)  $\tilde{h}_\lambda^0 \rightarrow \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda_0}$  uniformly on any  $K \Subset \mathbb{P}^1 - \{[1:0]\}$  in  $C^\infty$  sense as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ , and (4) on  $\{|z| \geq 1\}$

$$\tilde{H}_\lambda^0 = \Gamma_\lambda^* \tilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0} \Gamma_\lambda$$

where  $\tilde{H}_{1,\lambda} = (\tilde{h}_{1,\lambda})_{\bar{\sigma}}$ ,  $\sigma$  is defined in (42),  $\tilde{H}_\lambda^0 = (\tilde{h}_\lambda^0)_{\bar{\sigma}}$  and

$$\Gamma_\lambda = \text{diag}(|z|^{2(\lambda-\lambda_0)}, |z|^{4(\lambda_0-\lambda)}, |z|^{2(\lambda-\lambda_0)}).$$

i.e.  $\widetilde{h}_\lambda^0$  have the same leading order asymptotic behavior as  $h_{1,\lambda}$  near  $[1 : 0]$ . Fix  $g_{\mathbb{P}^1}$  a Kähler metric on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}_\lambda = F_{\nabla_h} + [\theta, \theta^{*h}]$  where  $h = \widetilde{h}_\lambda^0$ . There is  $C > 0$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that*

$$|\mathbb{F}_\lambda|_{h, g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \leq C$$

*Proof.* Let  $G_\lambda \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E})$  such that  $(G_\lambda)_{\widetilde{\sigma}} = \Gamma_\lambda$ . Under  $\widetilde{\sigma}$  for  $|z| \geq 1$ ,  $[\theta, \theta_{\widetilde{h}_\lambda^0}^*] = \Gamma_\lambda^{-1}[\theta, \theta_{h_{1,\lambda_0}}^*] \Gamma_\lambda$ . For  $\psi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$  with  $\Psi = \psi_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ , we have  $|\psi|_{\widetilde{h}_\lambda^0}^2 = \text{tr} \left( \Psi (\widetilde{H}_\lambda^0)^{-1} \Psi^* \widetilde{H}_\lambda^0 \right) = |\Gamma_\lambda \psi \Gamma_\lambda^{-1}|_{h_{1,\lambda_0}}^2$ . By Theorem 3.1, there is  $C_1 > 0$  such that for  $|z| \gg 1$ ,

$$\left\| [\theta, \theta_{\widetilde{h}_\lambda^0}^*] \right\|_{\widetilde{h}_\lambda^0, g_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^2 = \left\| \Gamma_\lambda^{-1} [\theta, \theta_{h_{1,\lambda_0}}^*] \Gamma_\lambda \right\|_{\widetilde{h}_\lambda^0, g_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^2 = \left\| [\theta, \theta_{h_{1,\lambda_0}}^*] \right\|_{h_{1,\lambda_0}, g_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^2 \leq C_1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( F_{\nabla_{\widetilde{h}_\lambda^0}} \right)_{\widetilde{\sigma}} &= \partial_{\bar{z}} \left( (\widetilde{H}_\lambda^0)^{-1} \partial_z \widetilde{H}_\lambda^0 \right) d\bar{z} \wedge dz \\ &= \partial_{\bar{z}} \left( \Gamma_\lambda^{-1} (\widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0})^{-1} (\Gamma_\lambda^*)^{-1} \partial_z (\Gamma_\lambda^*) \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0} \Gamma_\lambda \right) d\bar{z} \wedge dz \\ &\quad + \partial_{\bar{z}} \left( \Gamma_\lambda^{-1} (\widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0})^{-1} \partial_z (\widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}) \Gamma_\lambda \right) d\bar{z} \wedge dz + \partial_{\bar{z}} \left( \Gamma_\lambda^{-1} \partial_z \Gamma_\lambda \right) d\bar{z} \wedge dz. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\partial_{\bar{z}} \left( \Gamma_\lambda^{-1} \partial_z \Gamma_\lambda \right) = 0$  and using

$$\partial(A^{-1}BA) = [A^{-1}BA, A^{-1}\partial A] + A^{-1}(\partial B)A$$

where  $\partial = \partial_z$  or  $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( F_{\nabla_{\widetilde{h}_\lambda^0}} \right)_{\widetilde{\sigma}} &= \Gamma_\lambda^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} \left( \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}^{-1} \partial_z \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0} \right) \Gamma_\lambda + [\Gamma_\lambda^{-1} \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}^{-1} (\partial_z \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}) \Gamma_\lambda, \Gamma_\lambda^{-1} (\partial_{\bar{z}} \Gamma_\lambda)] \\ &\quad + [\Gamma_\lambda^{-1} \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}^{-1} (\Gamma_\lambda^* \partial_z \Gamma_\lambda^*) \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0} \Gamma_\lambda, \Gamma_\lambda^{-1} \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} (\widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0} \Gamma_\lambda)]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall we have

$$\widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0} = \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{-4} m_{\lambda_0} (|z|^2)^{-1} & \\ & M_{\lambda_0} (|z|^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

To bound the commutator terms it suffice to bound the off-diagonal elements. By Prop 3.13, off-diagonal elements in  $\widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}^{-1} (\partial_z \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0})$  and  $\Gamma_\lambda^{-1} \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}^{-1} (\partial_z \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}) \Gamma_\lambda$  are bounded by  $C_2 e^{-c_2 \rho}$  with  $C_2, c_2 > 0$ . On the other hand,  $|\partial_{\bar{z}} (\widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0}^{-1} \partial_z \widetilde{H}_{1,\lambda_0})| \leq C_3 e^{-c_3 \rho}$  with  $C_3, c_3 > 0$ . q.e.d.

Let  $k_\lambda$  be given by  $\widetilde{h}_{1,\lambda} = \widetilde{h}_\lambda^0 k_\lambda$ . We have that  $k_\lambda$  is self-adjoint (with respect to both metrics) and positive-definite. It follows that  $|k_\lambda| \leq \text{Ctr} k_\lambda^{2/2} \leq \text{Ctr} (k_\lambda)$  for some constant  $C > 0$ . Since  $\det k_\lambda = 1$ ,  $\text{tr} k_\lambda \geq 3$  where equality happens iff  $k_\lambda = \text{Id}$ . By design of  $\widetilde{h}_\lambda^0$  we have for all  $\lambda$ ,  $\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} |k_\lambda| < \infty$ .

The rest of the proof is almost identical to that of [Moc16, Prop 3.17]. We still include it here for completeness. The following identity is from Prop 3.1 in [Sim88]

expressing the difference between curvatures of two metric connections associated with  $\bar{\partial} + \theta$  for two different metrics:

$$(66) \quad i\Lambda_{g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \bar{\partial}\partial \operatorname{tr} k_\lambda = i\Lambda_{g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \operatorname{tr} (k_\lambda \mathbb{F}_\lambda) - \left| \left( (\bar{\partial} + \theta) k_\lambda \right) k_\lambda^{-1/2} \right|_{\bar{h}_{\lambda, g_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^0}^2.$$

We will also need the following result from Prop 2.1 in [Sim88].

**Lemma 3.16.** *Let  $(X, g)$  be a compact Kähler manifold and  $b \in L^p(X, g)$  for some  $p > \dim X$ . Then there is  $C = C(b) > 0$  such that for any  $f > 0$  bounded on  $X$  with  $\Delta_g f \leq b$ , we have  $\sup_X |f| \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(X, g)}$ .*

**Lemma 3.17.**  $k_\lambda \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{P}^1$

*Proof.* Denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^q} = \|\cdot\|_{\bar{h}_{\lambda, g_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^0, L^q}$  and fix  $p > 2$ . As remarked above, it suffices to show that  $\operatorname{tr} k_\lambda \rightarrow 3$  uniformly. For fixed  $\lambda$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_\lambda$  and  $k_\lambda$  are bounded on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , therefore  $|\Lambda_{g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \operatorname{tr} (F_\lambda k_\lambda)| \in L^p$  where the  $L^p$ -norm may depend on  $\lambda$ . Set  $s_\lambda = k_\lambda / \|k_\lambda\|$  where  $\|k_\lambda\| = \|k_\lambda\|_{L^p}$ . By (66)

$$(67) \quad i\Lambda_{g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \bar{\partial}\partial \operatorname{tr} s_\lambda \leq \left| \Lambda_{g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \operatorname{tr} (\mathbb{F}_\lambda s_\lambda) \right|.$$

The right-hand side now has a uniformly-in- $\lambda$   $L^p$  bound. There are  $\phi_\lambda \in L_2^p \subset C^0$ ,  $C_6 > 0$  with  $\sup |\phi_\lambda| \leq C_6$ , and  $C_7 > 0$  such that

$$i\Lambda_{g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \bar{\partial}\partial (\operatorname{tr} s_\lambda - \phi_\lambda) \leq C_7.$$

By Lemma 3.16 there are  $C_8, C'_8 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} |s_\lambda| \leq C'_8 |s_\lambda|_{L^1, \bar{h}_{\lambda, g_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^0} \leq C_8.$$

We have that  $\mathbb{F}_\lambda \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ . By (66), (67), and the uniform boundedness of  $\sup |s_\lambda|$  we have as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$

$$\left\| (\bar{\partial} + \theta) s_\lambda \right\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that  $\|\bar{\partial} s_\lambda\|_{L^2} = \|\partial_h s_\lambda\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$  where  $h = \bar{h}_\lambda^0$ . Therefore  $\|s_\lambda\|_{L_1^2}$  is bounded uniformly in  $\lambda$ . Choose  $s_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow s_\infty$  weakly in  $L_1^2$ . We have  $\|s_\infty\|_{L^p} = 1 > 0$ ,  $s_\infty$  is a nonzero holomorphic endomorphism commuting with  $\theta$ . By stability of  $(\mathcal{P}_*^c \bar{\mathcal{E}}, \theta)$ ,  $s_\infty$  must be a nonzero multiple of the identity. Since  $\det k_\lambda = 1$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \det s_{\lambda_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|k_{\lambda_n}\|^{-3} = \det s_\infty \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $\|k_\lambda\|$  is not uniformly bounded below near  $\lambda_0$ . There is a sequence  $\|k_{\lambda_n}\|^{-3} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which also holds for any further subsequence, leading to a contradiction. Therefore,  $\|k_\lambda\|$  is bounded uniformly in  $\lambda$ . It follows that  $k_\lambda = \|k_\lambda\| s_\lambda$  is uniformly bounded in  $L_1^2$ . For a sequence  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , there is a weakly  $L_1^2$ -convergent subsequence  $k_{\lambda_{n_\ell}} \rightarrow k_\infty$  as  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ . By the same argument,  $k_\infty$  is a nonzero multiple of the identity. Furthermore,  $k_\infty = \operatorname{Id}$  since  $\det k_\lambda \equiv 1$ . It follows that  $k_\lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{Id}$  weakly in  $L_1^2$ .

We have

$$i\Lambda_{g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \bar{\partial}\partial (\operatorname{tr} k_\lambda - 3) = i\Lambda_{g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \bar{\partial}\partial k_\lambda \leq \left| \Lambda_{g_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \operatorname{tr} (\mathbb{F}_\lambda s_\lambda) \right| \|k_\lambda\|$$

which is bounded in  $L^p$  uniformly in  $\lambda$ . By Lemma 3.16, there is  $C_{10} > 0$  such that  $\sup (\operatorname{tr} k_\lambda - 3) \leq C_{10} \|\operatorname{tr} k_\lambda - 3\|_{L^1}$ . For any sequence  $k_{\lambda_n}$  with  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we may take subsequence  $k_{\lambda_{n_k}}$  as above such that  $\operatorname{tr} k_{\lambda_{n_k}} \rightarrow 3$  in  $L^2$  hence  $L^1$ . The above

inequality implies that  $k_{\lambda_{n_k}} \rightarrow 3$  uniformly, hence  $k_{\lambda_{n_k}} \rightarrow \text{Id}$  uniformly. Therefore, we have that  $k_\lambda \rightarrow \text{Id}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . q.e.d.

**Corollary 3.18.** *The  $\lambda$ -family of matrix-valued function  $H_{1,\lambda}$  defined in Prop 3.4 is continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  in  $C^0(\Omega)$  for any  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  bounded. Furthermore, for  $I_0 \Subset (-1/4, 1/4)$  we have  $C = C(k, I_0, \Omega) > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_K \left| \partial_\zeta^\ell \partial_{\bar{\zeta}}^m H_{1,\lambda} \right| \leq C$$

for any  $\ell + m = k$  and  $\lambda \in I_0$ .

*Proof.* For  $\lambda_0 \in I_0$ , it follows from the proof of Prop 3.14 that:

- $\tilde{h}_\lambda^0 \rightarrow \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda_0}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$  uniformly, in  $C^\infty$  sense, on  $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{P}^1 - \{[1 : 0]\}$ ,
- $k_\lambda \rightarrow \text{Id}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

It follows that  $\tilde{h}_{1,\lambda} = \tilde{h}_\lambda^0 k_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{h}_{1,\lambda_0}$  uniformly as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ . Since  $H_{1,\lambda}(z^2) = K_{1,\lambda}(z)$ , we have that the map  $(-1/4, 1/4) \rightarrow C^0(\Omega, \text{iu}(2))$  given by  $\lambda \mapsto H_{1,\lambda}$  is continuous.

Recall from Lemma 3.3,  $\tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} = (\tilde{h}_{1,\lambda})_e$  satisfies the local form of Hitchin equation

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} \left( \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} \right) = \left[ \theta, \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}^{-1} \theta^* \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} \right], \quad \theta = \begin{pmatrix} & \beta_1 \\ \gamma_1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have that on a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}^1 - \{[1 : 0]\}$ ,  $\tilde{h}_{1,\lambda}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L_1^2$  and  $C^0$ . Therefore,  $\|\tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}^{\pm 1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$  and  $\|\nabla \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  are uniformly bounded. By interior elliptic estimate of the Cauchy-Riemann operator, on  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$  there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|\tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}\|_{L_1^2(\Omega')} \leq C \left( \|\theta, \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}^{-1} \theta^* \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega')} \right).$$

By Sobolev embedding  $L_1^2 \subset L^4$ , we have that  $\|\nabla \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}\|_{L^4(\Omega')}$  is uniformly bounded. On  $\Omega'' \Subset \Omega$  by interior elliptic estimate of Laplacian and

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} = \left( \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} \right) \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}^{-1} \left( \partial_z \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} \right) + \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} \left[ \theta, \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}^{-1} \theta^* \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} \right],$$

there are  $C'$  and  $C'' > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}\|_{L_2^2(\Omega'')} &\leq C' \left( \left\| \left( \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} \right) \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}^{-1} \left( \partial_z \tilde{K}_{1,\lambda} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega')} + \|\tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega')} \right) \\ &\leq C'' \left( \|\tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}\|_{L^4(\Omega')}^2 + \|\tilde{K}_{1,\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega')} \right), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly bounded on  $\lambda \in I_0$ . Then arguments similar to the proof of Prop 3.4 take over, and the second statement follows from Sobolev embedding theorems. q.e.d.

**3.4. Gluing.** In this part, we construct a  $t$ -family of approximate solutions to the Hitchin equation for the family of stable  $\text{SU}(1,2)$  Higgs bundle  $(F, t\beta, t\gamma)$ . We will use the notations in Def 2.10 as well as (11) and let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_p)_{p \in D_r}$  be the corresponding Hecke parameter as in Def 2.13. Let  $g_X$  be a metric on  $X$  which restricts to Euclidean metric on  $(\mathbb{D}_j; \zeta_j)$  with Kähler form  $\omega = \frac{i}{2} d\zeta_j \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_j$ .

**3.4.1. Gluing in disks.** We will define a metric on  $F|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$  for each  $j$  which interpolates smoothly between local model solutions and a decoupled solution. This will be done on disk  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  by pulling back the function  $M_\lambda$  via a diffeomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}'_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^2$$

which restricts to identity on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$ . This metric will be singular at  $\partial\mathbb{D}'_j$ , which is then compensated by a gauge transformation connecting it continuously to a decoupled solution on  $\mathbb{D}_j - \mathbb{D}'_j$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an admissible weight with respect to  $\underline{D}$  (see §3.2). As in previous sections, we fix  $I \in (-1/4, 1/4)$  and constants will be independent of  $\lambda \in I$  unless otherwise stated. We say an inequality holds for  $t \gg 1$  if there is  $t_0 \geq 1$  such that it holds for all  $t \geq t_0$ . Recall we defined for matrices  $|M| = \max_{i,j} |M_{ij}|$ . We have  $|AB| \leq n|A||B|$  for  $A, B \in n \times n$ .

Define on  $\mathbb{D}_j$

$$(68) \quad \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}} := t^{2/3} G_\lambda(\chi)^* M_\lambda \left( t^{2/3} \chi^{-1} \rho \right) G_\lambda(\chi), \quad \text{on } \mathbb{D}'_j$$

$$(69) \quad \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}} := t^{2/3} M_{\infty,\lambda} \left( t^{2/3} \rho \right) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{D}_j - \mathbb{D}'_j$$

where  $M_{\infty,\lambda}$  is as in Prop 3.8 with  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ ,

$$(70) \quad G_\lambda(y) = \text{diag} \left( y^{-2\lambda-1/2}, y^{\lambda-1/2} \right),$$

and  $\chi(\zeta) := \chi_0(|\zeta|/R)$  with  $\chi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  such that  $\chi_0 \equiv 1$  on  $[0, 1/3]$  and  $\chi_0 \equiv 0$  on  $[2/3, +\infty)$ . Note that there are  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  with  $|\partial_\rho \chi| < C_1 R^{-1}$  and  $|\partial_\rho^2 \chi| < C_2 R^{-2}$ . Let

$$(71) \quad \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{app}}(\zeta_j) := \begin{cases} \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}}(\zeta_j) & |\zeta_j| < 2R/3 \\ \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta_j) & 2R/3 \leq |\zeta_j| \leq R \end{cases}.$$

Note that  $\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta_j)$  can be naturally extended to  $0 < |\zeta_j| < 2R/3$  where

$$\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta_j) = t^{2/3} M_{\infty,\lambda} \left( t^{2/3} \rho \right) = t^{2/3} G_\lambda(\chi)^* M_{\infty,\lambda} \left( t^{2/3} \chi^{-1} \rho \right) G_\lambda(\chi).$$

Let  $a_\lambda = \max(2\lambda, -\lambda)$ . We have  $|G_\lambda(\chi)| < \chi^{-(a_\lambda + \frac{1}{2})}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\rho G_\lambda(\chi)| &\leq \left( a_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right) C_1 R^{-1} \chi^{-a_\lambda - \frac{3}{2}}, \\ |\partial_\rho^2 G_\lambda(\chi)| &\leq \left( a_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( a_\lambda + \frac{3}{2} \right) C_1^2 R^{-2} \chi^{-a_\lambda - \frac{5}{2}} + \left( a_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right) C_2 R^{-2} \chi^{-a_\lambda - \frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\chi^{-1} \geq 1$ . By Prop 3.8, on a compact set in  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \{p_j\}$ , there are  $C, c > 0$ , such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$(72) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}}(\zeta) - \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta) \right|, \quad \left| \partial_\rho \left( \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}}(\zeta) - \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta) \right) \right|, \\ & \left| \partial_\xi \partial_{\bar{\xi}} \left( \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}}(\zeta) - \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta) \right) \right| \leq C e^{-ct^{2/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly on a compact set in  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \{p_j\}$  there are  $C', c' > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$(73) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}}(\zeta) - \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta) \right|, \quad \left| \partial_\rho \left( \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}}(\zeta) - \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta) \right) \right|, \\ & \left| \partial_\xi \partial_{\bar{\xi}} \left( \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}}(\zeta) - \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta) \right) \right| \leq C' e^{-c'\chi^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By regularities of  $M_{\infty, \lambda}$  and (73),  $\tilde{H}_{t, \lambda}^{\text{app}} \in C^0(\mathbb{D}_j) \cap C^2(\mathbb{D}_j^\times)$ . (It will be singular at  $p_j$  since the frame  $\sigma$  is singular there.) We will need the following elementary estimate of matrix norms.

**Lemma 3.19.** *Let  $A, B$  be such that  $|A|, |A - B| \leq M$ . Then there are  $C_1, C'_1 > 0$  such that*

$$(74) \quad |\det A - \det B| \leq C_1 M |A - B|$$

$$(75) \quad |(\det A)A - (\det B)B| \leq C'_1 M^2 |A - B|$$

*Let  $A, B$  be as above and  $|\det A| \geq \epsilon > 0$  and  $|A - B| < \epsilon/(2C_1 M)$ . Then there is  $C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$(76) \quad |A^{-1} - B^{-1}| \leq C_2 \epsilon^{-1} (1 + M \epsilon^{-2}) |A - B|$$

$$(77) \quad |(\det A)^{-1} A^{-1} - (\det B)^{-1} B^{-1}| \leq C_2 \epsilon^{-2} (1 + M^4 \epsilon^{-2}) |A - B|$$

Set

$$(78) \quad \mathcal{H}_{t, \beta_0, \gamma_0}(H) := \partial_{\bar{z}}(H^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} H) - t^2 \gamma_0 \gamma_0^* H (\det H) + t^2 (\det H)^{-1} H^{-1} \beta_0^* \beta_0.$$

$\mathcal{H}_{t, \beta_0, \gamma_0}(H) = 0$  gives the local form of the Hitchin equation with  $\beta = \beta_0 d\zeta$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma_0 d\bar{\zeta}$ .

**Lemma 3.20.** *For  $p_j \in D_r$ , there are  $C, c > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \mathbb{D}''_j$  for  $t \gg 1$ ,*

$$\left| \mathcal{H}_{t, \beta_0, \gamma_0}(\tilde{H}_{t, \lambda}^{\text{int}}) \right| \leq C e^{-ct^{2/3}},$$

where  $\beta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_j^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^T$  are the local forms under  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{D}_j^\times$  in (18).

*Proof.* Write  $\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{H}_{t, \beta_0, \gamma_0}$ ,  $H_1 := \tilde{H}_{t, \lambda}^{\text{int}}$ ,  $H_2 := \tilde{H}_{t, \lambda}^{\text{ext}}$ . Note that  $H_2$  as well as its extension solves the local form of Hitchin equation, i.e.  $\mathcal{H}_t(H_2) = 0$ . We have  $C_0, c_0 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$|H_1 - H_2|, |\partial_{\bar{z}}(H_1 - H_2)| = |\partial_{\bar{z}}(H_1 - H_2)|, |\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}}(H_1 - H_2)| \leq C_0 e^{-c_0 t^{2/3}}$$

On the other hand there is  $C_1 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $|H_2|, |\partial_{\bar{z}} H_2| = |\partial_{\bar{z}} H_2|$ ,  $|\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} H_2| \leq C_1 t^{2a'_1/3}$  where  $a'_1 = \max(-2\lambda, \lambda) \geq 0$ . By Lemma 3.19 for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $|\partial_{\bar{z}} H_1| \leq 2 |\partial_{\bar{z}} H_2|$  and  $|H_1^{-1}| \leq 2 |H_2^{-1}|$ . Note also that

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}(H_j^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} H_j) = -H_j^{-1} (\partial_{\bar{z}} H_j) H_j^{-1} (\partial_{\bar{z}} H_j) + H_j^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} H_j.$$

For  $n \geq 0$  and  $x \gg 1$  we have  $x^n e^{-ax} \leq e^{-a'x}$  for some  $a' < a$ . By (72) there are  $C_2, c_2 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$(79) \quad \left| \partial_{\bar{z}}(H_1^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} H_1) - \partial_{\bar{z}}(H_2^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} H_2) \right| \leq C_2 e^{-c_2 t^{2/3}}$$

We have that there is  $C_3 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $|\det H_2| \geq C_3 t^{-4\lambda/3}$ . By Lemma 3.19, there are  $C_4, c_4 > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \mathbb{D}''_j$  with  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\det H_1)^{-1} H_1^{-1} \beta_0^* \beta_0 - (\det H_2)^{-1} H_2^{-1} \beta_0^* \beta_0| &\leq C_4 e^{-c_4 t^{2/3}} \\ |\gamma_0 \gamma_0^* H_1 (\det H_1) - \gamma_0 \gamma_0^* H_2 (\det H_2)| &\leq C_4 e^{-c_4 t^{2/3}} \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from this and (79).

q.e.d.

Define

$$(80) \quad \begin{cases} H_t^{\text{int},\beta} = \text{diag}\left(\rho^{1/2} \exp\left(\chi(\rho) \psi_P\left(\frac{8}{3}t\rho^{3/2}\right)\right), 1\right) & \text{for } p_j \in D_\beta \\ H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} = \text{diag}\left(\rho^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\chi(\rho) \psi_P\left(\frac{8}{3}t\rho^{3/2}\right)\right), 1\right) & \text{for } p_j \in D_\gamma \end{cases}$$

where  $\rho = |\zeta_j|$  and  $\psi_P$  is the Painlevé function. Note that for any  $\ell$  we have for  $\zeta_0 \in \partial\mathbb{D}'_j$ ,  $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0} \partial^\ell \chi = 0$  where  $|\zeta_0| = 2R/3$ ,  $\partial = \partial_\zeta$  or  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}$ .

**Lemma 3.21.** *For  $p_j \in D_\beta$  or  $D_\gamma$  there are  $C, c > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \mathbb{D}''_j$  with  $t \gg 1$ ,*

$$\left| \mathcal{H}_{t,\beta_0,\gamma_0}(H_t^{\text{int},\beta}) \right| \quad \text{or} \quad \left| \mathcal{H}_{t,\beta'_0,\gamma'_0}(H_t^{\text{int},\gamma}) \right| \leq Ce^{-ct},$$

where  $\beta_0 = (\zeta_j \ 0)$ ,  $\beta'_0 = (1 \ 0)$ ,  $\gamma_0 = (1 \ 0)^T$ ,  $\gamma'_0 = (\zeta_j \ 0)^T$

*Proof.* For  $p_j \in D_\gamma$ , define  $H^{\text{ext},\gamma} = \text{diag}(\rho^{-1/2}, 1)$  on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \mathbb{D}''_j$  with  $\rho = |\zeta_j|$  solving the local form of decoupled equation with  $\beta = \beta'_0 d\zeta_j$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma'_0 d\zeta_j$ . By properties of  $\psi = \psi_P$  in Lemma 3.7 there are  $C_3, C_4 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$\left| H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} - H^{\text{ext},\gamma} \right|, \quad \left| \partial_\rho (H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} - H^{\text{ext},\gamma}) \right|, \quad \left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \partial_\zeta (H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} - H^{\text{ext},\gamma}) \right| \leq C_3 e^{-C_4 t}.$$

The rest of the estimate follows from the proof of Lemma 3.20 using Lemma 3.19. The case  $p_j \in D_\beta$  is similar. q.e.d.

**Lemma 3.22.** *Let  $p_j \in D_r$ . The family of functions  $H_{1,\lambda}^{\text{int}}$  is continuous in  $C^0(\mathbb{D}'_j)$  with respect to  $\lambda \in I$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $H_{1,\lambda}^{\text{int}} = H_{1,\lambda}$  on  $\mathbb{D}''_j$  continuous in  $C^0(\mathbb{D}'_j)$  with respect to  $\lambda \in I$  by Cor 3.18. We will focus on the annulus  $\Omega = \mathbb{D}'_j - \mathbb{D}''_j$ .

Let  $D_\lambda = \text{diag}(4c_\lambda^{-1}, c_\lambda^{1/2}/2)$ . We have  $M_{\infty,\lambda}(\rho) = (D_\lambda G_\lambda(\rho))^* (D_\lambda G_\lambda(\rho))$  with  $G_\lambda$  as in (70). Let

$$F_\lambda(\rho) := (D_\lambda^*)^{-1} (G_\lambda(\rho)^*)^{-1} M_\lambda(\rho) G_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} D_\lambda^{-1}.$$

Since  $G_\lambda(a)G_\lambda(b) = G_\lambda(ab)$ , we have that

$$\tilde{H}_{1,\lambda}^{\text{int}} = G_\lambda(\rho)^* D_\lambda^* F_\lambda(\chi^{-1}\rho) D_\lambda G_\lambda(\rho).$$

We have  $H_{1,\lambda}^{\text{int}} = S^* \tilde{H}_{1,\lambda}^{\text{int}} S$  with

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & -1 \\ \zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose entries are bounded on  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, note that  $G_\lambda(\rho)^{-1} D_\lambda^{-1}$  is continuous with respect to  $\lambda \in I$  in  $C^0(\Omega)$ . Therefore, the conclusion follows if  $\zeta \mapsto F_\lambda(|\zeta|)$  is continuous on  $C^0(\mathbb{R}^2 - B(0, R/3))$ .

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , by Prop 3.8, there is  $\rho_1 = \rho_1(\epsilon) \geq \max(\rho_0, R/3)$  such that for  $\rho \geq \rho_1$  and all  $\lambda, \lambda_0 \in I$ ,  $|F_\lambda(\rho) - F_{\lambda_0}(\rho)| \leq \epsilon$ . On the other hand, by Cor 3.18, there is  $\delta = \delta(\rho_1) > 0$  such that for  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \delta$  and  $R/3 \leq \rho \leq \rho_1$ ,  $|F_\lambda(\rho) - F_{\lambda_0}(\rho)| \leq \epsilon$ . Note that  $\delta$  depends only on  $\epsilon > 0$ . Therefore we have that  $F_\lambda \rightarrow F_{\lambda_0}$  in  $C^0(\mathbb{R}^2 - B(0, R/3))$ . q.e.d.

**3.4.2. Global construction.** In this part, we will assemble a metric  $h_{t,\lambda}^{\text{app}}$  on  $F$  for a choice of weight  $\lambda$  by gluing metric defined on  $F|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$  in §3.4.1 and a decoupled solution on  $X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}_j$ . It is no surprise that for continuity at  $\partial\mathbb{D}_j$  we need to choose the decoupled solution, i.e. the weight  $\lambda$  carefully. We will be using notations  $\varphi_\lambda$ ,  $h_{L,\lambda}^0$ ,  $h_{L,\text{HE}}$  and  $g_{\ell_j}$  in Def 2.11.

For a stable  $\text{SU}(1,2)$  Higgs bundle  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  let  $\mathbf{b}$  be corresponding Hecke parameters as in Def 2.13. For each  $p_j \in D$  we fix once and for all a trivializing section  $\{s_{0,j}^{(0)}\}$  of  $L|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$  with  $(s_{0,j}^{(0)}(0))^{\otimes 3} = b_{p_j}$  if  $p_j \in D_r$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an admissible weight with respect to  $\underline{D}$  (see §3.2).

**Definition 3.23.** For  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda$  is called  $t$ -compatible with  $\mathbf{b}$  if there is a trivializing  $s_{0,j}$  of  $L|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$  for each  $p_j \in D_r$  such that

- (1)  $s_{0,j}^{\otimes 3}(0) = b_{p_j}$ ,
- (2) there is a harmonic metric  $h_L$  adapted to  $(L, \lambda)$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}_j$ ,

$$(81) \quad (h_L^{-2} h_K \oplus h_L h_K)_\sigma = t^{2/3} M_{\infty, \lambda_j}(t^{2/3} \rho) \text{ for all } p_j \in D_r$$

where  $\rho = |\zeta_j|$  and  $\sigma$  is induced by  $s_{0,j}$  as in Theorem 2.4.

Note that (81) is exactly  $\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j}^{\text{ext}}$  in (69). Furthermore, the condition (2) is equivalent to

- (3) given any choice of  $\{s_{0,j}\}_{p_j \in D_r}$  with  $s_{0,j} \in L|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$  and  $s_{0,j}(0)^3 = b_{p_j}$ , there is a harmonic metric  $h_L$  adapted to  $(L, \lambda)$ ,

$$(82) \quad |s_{0,j}|_{h_L}^2 / \left( 4c_{\lambda_j}^{-1} t^{4\lambda_j/3} |\zeta_j|^{2\lambda_j} \right) \xrightarrow{\zeta_j \rightarrow 0} 1$$

Note that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) by a direct calculation. We next show (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and introduce some notations.

Suppose (3) holds and denote the harmonic metric by  $h_{L,\lambda,t}$ . For each  $p_j \in D_r$ ,

$$(83) \quad \log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)} \right|_{h_{L,\lambda,t}}^2 = \log(c_{\lambda_j}/4) + (4/3)(\log t) \lambda_j + 2\lambda_j \log |\zeta_j| + o(1)$$

as  $\zeta_j \rightarrow 0$ . We have  $\log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)} \right|_{h_{L,\lambda}^0}^2 = \log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)} \right|_{h_{L,\text{HE}}}^2 + \varphi_\lambda$ . By the uniqueness there is  $\eta_{\lambda,t} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(84) \quad h_{L,\lambda,t} = h_{L,\lambda}^0 e^{\eta_{\lambda,t}} = h_{L,\text{HE}} e^{\varphi_\lambda + \eta_{\lambda,t}}$$

therefore  $\log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)} \right|_{h_{L,\lambda,t}}^2 = \eta_{\lambda,t} + \log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)} \right|_{h_{L,\lambda}^0}^2$ . Thus we have for all  $j$

$$(85) \quad \log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)} \right|_{h_{L,\lambda,t}}^2 = \eta_{\lambda,t} + \log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)}(0) \right|_{h_{L,\text{HE}}}^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \right) g_{\ell_j} + 2\lambda_j \log |\zeta_j|$$

Compare (83) and (85) we get for all  $p_j \in D_r$

$$(86) \quad \eta_{\lambda,t} = (4/3)(\log t) \lambda_j + \log(c_{\lambda_j}/4) - \log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)}(0) \right|_{h_{L,\text{HE}}}^2 - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \right) g_{\ell_j}(0)$$

Since  $\mathbb{D}_j$  is simply connected, there are unique holomorphic functions  $\xi_j, f_{\ell j}$  on  $\mathbb{D}_j$  such that

$$(87) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \xi_j &= \log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)} \right|_{h_{L,\text{HE}}}^2 - \log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)}(0) \right|_{h_{L,\text{HE}}}^2 \\ \operatorname{Re} f_{\ell j} &= g_{\ell j} - g_{\ell j}(0) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\xi_j(0) = f_{\ell j}(0) = 0$ . Set

$$(88) \quad F_{\lambda,j} = \xi_j + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \right) f_{\ell j}.$$

**Definition 3.24.** For each  $p_j \in D_r$ , let

$$(89) \quad s_{0,j}^{\lambda} = e^{-F_{\lambda,j}/2} s_{0,j}^{(0)}.$$

Let  $\sigma_j^{(0)} = \{\sigma_{1,j}^{(0)}, \sigma_{2,j}^{(0)}\}$  and  $s_j^{(0)} = \{s_{1,j}^{(0)}, s_{2,j}^{(0)}\}$  for all  $j$  (resp.  $\sigma_j^{\lambda} = \{\sigma_{1,j}^{\lambda}, \sigma_{2,j}^{\lambda}\}$  and  $s_j^{\lambda} = \{s_{1,j}^{\lambda}, s_{2,j}^{\lambda}\}$  for  $p_j \in D_r$ ) be the frames induced by the frame  $\{s_{0,j}^{(0)}\}$  (resp. the frame  $\{s_{0,j}^{\lambda}\}$ ) as in Theorem 2.4. Note that the frame  $\sigma = \sigma_j^{\lambda}$  satisfies (81).

From the above, condition (3) is also equivalent to

- (4) There is  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $p_j \in D_r$ , we have

$$(90) \quad \eta + \psi_j(\lambda) = \log \left( c_{\lambda_j}^{1/2} / 2 \right) + (2/3) (\log t) \lambda_j$$

where  $\psi_j(\lambda) = \log \left| s_{0,j}^{(0)}(0) \right|_{h_{L,\text{HE}}} + (1/2) \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \right) g_{\ell j}(0)$  is an affine function in  $\lambda_j$  with  $p_j \in D_r$ .

For the example in (17),  $L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $h_{L,\text{HE}}$  may be taken to be the trivial metric on  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Recall that  $c_{\lambda=0} = 4$ . It follows that  $\lambda = (0, \dots, 0)$  is  $t$ -compatible with  $\mathbf{b}$  for all  $t$ . Note also that  $c_{0,t}$  in (86) is independent of  $t$  in this case.

**Proposition 3.25.** There are  $C > 0$ ,  $t_0 \geq 1$  such that for  $t \gg 1$  there is an admissible weight  $\lambda(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{D}}$ ,  $t$ -compatible with  $\mathbf{b}$  and such that

$$(91) \quad |\lambda(t) - \lambda_{\infty}| \leq \frac{C}{\log t}$$

where  $\lambda_{\infty}$  is defined in Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_c = -(\deg L + (d_{\beta} - d_{\gamma})/4)/d_r$  which is the value  $\lambda_{\infty,j}$  for  $p_j \in D_r$ . Set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\underline{D}} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{d_r}) \mid \mu_k + \lambda_c \in (-1/4, 1/4), \sum_k \mu_k = 0 \right\} \subset H \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_r},$$

an open subset of  $H = \{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mid \sum_k \mu_k = 0\}$ , a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_r}$ . By Prop 2.6,  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\underline{D}}$ . Let  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{d_r} \rightarrow H$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mapsto \left( \mu_k - d_r^{-1} \sum_{\ell} \mu_{\ell} \right)_{k=1}^{d_r}$ . We have that  $\pi(\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}') = 0$  iff there is a constant  $c$  such that  $\mu_k = \mu'_k + c$  for all  $k$ .

Set

$$G_t : \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\underline{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_r}, \quad G_t(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = (3/2)(\log t)^{-1} \left( \psi_{i_k}(\lambda) - \log \left( c_{\lambda_c + \mu_k}^{1/2} / 2 \right) \right)_{k=1}^{d_r},$$

where  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{d_r} \leq 4g - 4$  are the indices such that  $p_{i_j} \in D_r$  and  $\lambda$  is the tuple with  $\lambda_{i_k} = \lambda_c + \mu_k$  and set  $F_t = \pi \circ G_t$ . We can rewrite (90) as

$$\mu_k = G_t(\lambda) + ((3/2)(\eta/\log t) - \lambda_c).$$

i.e.,  $\lambda$  is  $t$ -compatible with  $\mathbf{b}$  iff

$$F_t(\mu) = \mu.$$

$F_t$  is continuous by Prop 3.14. There is  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $H \cap \overline{B(0, r_0)} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\underline{D}}$  and that  $F_t : H \cap \overline{B(0, r_0)} \rightarrow H \cap \overline{B(0, r_0)}$ . The conclusion follows then from Brouwer's fixed point theorem. q.e.d.

Let  $r_0 > 0$  be as in the proof and set  $I = [\lambda_c - r_0, \lambda_c - r_0]$ . Let

$$(92) \quad \mathcal{I} = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{D}} \mid \lambda_j \in I \text{ for } p_j \in D_r \}.$$

We fix a family  $\lambda(t) \in \mathcal{I}$  for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $t$ -compatible with  $\mathbf{b}$ .

**Definition 3.26.** Let  $\sigma_j^{(0)}$  (resp.  $s_j^{(0)}$ ) be the frames of  $V|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$  (resp.  $F|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$ ) defined in Def 3.24. Let  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  be a Hermitian inner product on  $F$  defined by a piecewise expression

$$\begin{cases} (h_t^{\text{app}})_{\sigma_j^{(0)}} = T_{\lambda(t),j}^* \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda(t)}^{\text{app}} T_{\lambda(t),j} & \text{on } \mathbb{D}_j, p_j \in D_r \\ (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}} = (T'_{\lambda(t),j,t})^* H_t^{\text{int},\beta} T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} & \text{on } \mathbb{D}_j, p_j \in D_\beta \\ (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}} = (T'_{\lambda(t),j,t})^* H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} & \text{on } \mathbb{D}_j, p_j \in D_\gamma \\ h_t^{\text{app}} = h_{\infty,t} & \text{on } X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}_j \end{cases}$$

where

$$h_{\infty,t} = \iota^* \left( h_{L,\lambda(t),t}^{-2} h_K \oplus h_{L,\lambda(t),t} h_K \right)$$

with  $\iota : F \rightarrow V = L^{-2}K_X \oplus LK_X$  the Hecke modification corresponding to  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  as in §2 and  $\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{app}}$  (resp.  $H_t^{\text{int},\beta}$ ,  $H_t^{\text{int},\gamma}$ ) is defined in (71) (resp. (80)) and

$$(93) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\lambda,j} &= \text{diag} \left( e^{-F_{\lambda,j}}, e^{F_{\lambda,j}/2} \right), \\ T'_{\lambda,j,t} &= \text{diag} \left( \tau_{\lambda(t),t}^{-1/2}, \tau_{\lambda(t),t} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_{\lambda,j}$  is defined in (88) and

$$\tau_{\lambda,t} = 4c_{\lambda_{j_0}}^{-1} t^{-4\lambda_{j_0}/3} e^{-\widetilde{F}_{\lambda,j}}$$

where  $j_0$  is a fixed index such that  $p_{j_0} \in D_r$  (recall by Prop 2.6 we have  $D_r \neq \emptyset$ ) and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}_{\lambda,j} &= F_{\lambda,j} - \log \widetilde{\eta}_{\lambda,j}, \\ \widetilde{\eta}_{\lambda,j} &= \frac{|s_{0,j_0}^{(0)}|_{h_{L,HE}}^2}{|s_{0,j}^{(0)}|_{h_{L,HE}}^2} \exp \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{4g-4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \right) (g_{\ell j_0}(0) - g_{\ell j}(0)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (89) and the form of  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  on  $\mathbb{D}_j$  with  $p_j \in D_r$ , it is not hard to verify that for  $p_j \in D_r$ , we have that

$$(h_t^{\text{app}})_{\sigma_j^{(0)}} = t^{2/3} M_{\lambda_j}(t^{2/3} \rho) \text{ on } \mathbb{D}'_j \text{ for } p_j \in D_r.$$

Therefore, we have by Def 3.5 and (39) that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$ ,  $(h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{\lambda(t)}} = H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}$  the local model solution in Prop 3.4. In particular we see that  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  is smooth and non-singular at  $D_r$ .

Define  $s_{jt}^\lambda = \{s_{1,j,t}^\lambda, s_{2,j,t}^\lambda\}$  on  $F|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$  by

$$(94) \quad \begin{cases} s_{1,j,t}^\lambda = \tau_{\lambda,t}^{1/2} s_{1,j}^{(0)} \\ s_{2,j,t}^\lambda = \tau_{\lambda,t} s_{2,j}^{(0)} \end{cases}.$$

By a direct calculation for  $\underline{D}$ -admissible weight  $\lambda$ ,

$$(95) \quad (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_{jt}^\lambda} = \begin{cases} H_t^{\text{int},\beta} & \text{for } p_j \in D_\beta, \\ H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} & \text{for } p_j \in D_\gamma \end{cases}.$$

We have

$$(h_{\infty,t})_{s_{jt}^\lambda} = \begin{cases} \text{diag}(\rho^{1/2}, 1) & p_j \in D_\beta \\ \text{diag}(\rho^{-1/2}, 1) & p_j \in D_\gamma \end{cases}.$$

By the definitions of  $H_t^{\text{int},\beta}$  and  $H_t^{\text{int},\gamma}$  in (80) as well as the asymptotic properties  $\psi_P$  in Prop 3.6, we have that  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  is  $C^2$  at  $\partial\mathbb{D}_j$  for  $p_j \in D_\beta$  and  $D_\gamma$ . On the other hand, we saw that  $\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{app}}$  is in  $C^2(\mathbb{D}_j^\times)$  in §3.4.1. By condition (2) in Def 3.23, we see that  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  on  $\mathbb{D}_j - \mathbb{D}'_j$  is identical to  $h_{\infty,t}$ . Therefore it is also  $C^2$  at  $\partial\mathbb{D}_j$  for  $p_j \in D_r$ . This proves the first statement in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.27.**  $h = h_t^{\text{app}}$  as above is a  $C^2$  metric on  $F$  over  $X$ . There are  $C, c > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$|F_{\nabla_h} + t^2\gamma \wedge \gamma^{*h} + t^2\beta^{*h} \wedge \beta|_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}} \leq Ce^{-ct^{2/3}}$$

*Proof.* By definition,  $h$  solves the Hitchin equation everywhere except on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \mathbb{D}''_j$ . Note that  $\xi, f_{\ell_j}$  in (87) are independent of  $t$  and  $\lambda$ . There is  $C_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $|F_{\lambda,j}| \leq C_0$  on  $\mathbb{D}_j$  for  $p_j \in D_r$ . Therefore, there is  $C_1 > 0$  such that  $|T_{\lambda,j}|, |T_{\lambda,j}^{-1}| < C_1$ . We have  $C_2 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$ ,

$$|\mathcal{H}_{t,\beta_0,\gamma_0}(T_{\lambda,j}^* \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j}^{\text{app}} T_{\lambda,j})| = |T_{\lambda,j}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{t,\beta_0,\gamma_0}(\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j}^{\text{app}}) T_{\lambda,j}| \leq C_2 |\mathcal{H}_{t,\beta_0,\gamma_0}(\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j}^{\text{app}})|,$$

with  $\beta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_j \quad 1)$ ,  $\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 \quad \zeta_j)^T$ . On the other hand since  $T'_{\lambda,j,t}, \mathcal{H}_{t,\beta'_0,\gamma'_0}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{t,\beta''_0,\gamma''_0}$  (with  $\beta'_0 = (1 \quad 0)$ ,  $\beta''_0 = (\zeta_j \quad 0)$ ,  $\gamma'_0 = (\zeta_j \quad 0)^T$ , and  $\gamma''_0 = (1 \quad 0)^T$ ) are all diagonal we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{t,\beta,\gamma}((T'_{\lambda,j,t})^* \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j}^{\text{app}} T'_{\lambda,j,t}) = (T'_{\lambda,j,t})^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{t,\beta,\gamma}(\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j}^{\text{app}}) T'_{\lambda,j,t} = \mathcal{H}_{t,\beta,\gamma}(\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j}^{\text{app}})$$

for  $\beta = \beta'_0$  (resp.  $\beta''_0$ ) and  $\gamma = \gamma'_0$  (resp.  $\gamma''_0$ ). The conclusion follows by combining Lemmas 3.20, 3.21, and the fact that  $h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}$  is independent of  $t$ . q.e.d.

The following will be a consequence of (72) and Lemma 4.19 in the next section.

**Proposition 3.28.** *On  $X_0 \subset X - D$  compact, there are  $C, c > 0$  such that for all  $t \gg 1$ ,*

$$\|g_t - Id\|_{L^2(X_0), h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}} \leq Ce^{-ct^{2/3}}$$

where  $g_t$  is given by  $h_t^{\text{app}} = h_{\infty,t} \cdot g_t$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(X_0), h}$  is defined in (100).

For future reference, we gather below the local forms of  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  in various regions and frames.

Region	Frame	Local form of $h_t^{\text{app}}$
$\mathbb{D}_j - \{p_j\}, p_j \in D_r$	$\sigma_j^{\lambda(t)}$	$\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{app}}$ , see (71)
$\mathbb{D}_j - \{p_j\}, p_j \in D_r$	$\sigma_j^{(0)}$	$T_{\lambda(t),j}^* \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{app}} T_{\lambda(t),j}$ see Def 3.26
$\mathbb{D}_j, p_j \in D_r$	$s_j^{\lambda(t)}$	$S^* \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{app}} S$ where $S$ is defined in (133)
$\mathbb{D}_j, p_j \in D_r$	$s_j^{(0)}$	$S^* T_{\lambda(t),j}^* \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{app}} T_{\lambda(t),j} S$
$\mathbb{D}'_j - \{p_j\}, p_j \in D_r$	$\sigma_j^{\lambda(t)}$	$\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}}$ , see (68)
$\mathbb{D}''_j - \{p_j\}, p_j \in D_r$	$\sigma_j^{\lambda(t)}$	$t^{2/3} M_{\lambda_j(t)}(t^{2/3}  \zeta_j )$ , see (41)
$\mathbb{D}''_j, p_j \in D_r$	$s_j^{\lambda(t)}$	$H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}$ see Prop 3.4
$\mathbb{D}_j - \mathbb{D}'_j, p_j \in D_r$	$\sigma_j^{\lambda(t)}$	$t^{2/3} M_{\infty,\lambda_j}(t^{2/3}  \zeta_j )$ , see Prop 3.8
$\mathbb{D}_j, p_j \in D_\beta$	$s_{j,t}^{\lambda(t)}$	$H_t^{\text{int},\beta}$ , see (80)
$\mathbb{D}_j, p_j \in D_\beta$	$s_j^{(0)}$	$(T'_{\lambda(t),j,t})^* H_t^{\text{int},\beta} (T'_{\lambda(t),j,t})$ , see Def 3.26
$\mathbb{D}_j, p_j \in D_\gamma$	$s_{j,t}^{\lambda(t)}$	$H_t^{\text{int},\gamma}$ , see (80)
$\mathbb{D}_j, p_j \in D_\gamma$	$s_j^{(0)}$	$(T'_{\lambda(t),j,t})^* H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} (T'_{\lambda(t),j,t})$ , see Def 3.26

#### 4. Proof of the main theorem

**4.1. Linearization.** In this part, we linearize the operator associated to the Hitchin's equation at  $h_{t,\lambda}^{\text{app}}$  and use contraction mapping principle to find a solution near it. We begin by defining various norms that will be used later. Let  $g_X$  be the Kähler metric defined in §3.4. For a matrix-valued  $L^2$  function  $M$  and an open subset  $\Omega \subset X$ , we use two equivalent definitions of  $L^2$ -norms interchangeably when no ambiguity arises:

$$(96) \quad \|M\|_{L^2(\Omega)} := \left( \int_{\Omega} \text{tr}(M^* M) \, \text{dvol}_{g_X} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(97) \quad \|M\|_{L^2(\Omega)} := \left( \int_{\Omega} \sup_{i,j} |M_{ij}|^2 \, \text{dvol}_{g_X} \right)^{1/2},$$

When  $\Omega = X$ , we write  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ . Let  $F$  be the rank-two bundle in a stable  $SU(1,2)$  Higgs bundle  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$ . Fix on  $X$  a finite atlas  $(U_\alpha; z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  over which  $F$  is trivialized by holomorphic frames  $s_\alpha$ . Fix a smooth partition of unity  $0 \leq \rho_\alpha \leq 1$ , with  $\text{supp} \rho_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$  and  $\sum_\alpha \rho_\alpha = 1$ . The difference in metrics on  $F$  will be measured by an automorphism of the form  $g = e^u$  with  $u \in \text{End}(F)$ . For  $u$  with local forms  $u_{s_\alpha} \in C^k(U_\alpha)$ , define

$$(98) \quad \|u\|_{C^k} = \sum_{j=0}^k \max_{\alpha} \sup_{U_\alpha} \max_{i_1, \dots, i_k = z_\alpha, \bar{z}_\alpha} |\partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_k} u_{s_\alpha}|$$

and for  $u_{s_\alpha} \in L^2(U_\alpha)$ ,  $h$  a metric on  $F$ , define

$$(99) \quad \|u\|_{L^2, h} = \left( \int_X |u|_h^2 \, \text{dvol}_{g_X} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \int_X \text{tr}(uu^{*h}) \, \text{dvol}_{g_X} \right)^{1/2}.$$

We note that for a fixed  $h$ , the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_\alpha \int_{U_\alpha} \rho_\alpha |u_{s_\alpha}|^2 \, dx_\alpha dy_\alpha$$

is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2, h}$ . Define inductively two equivalent norms,

$$(100) \quad \|u\|_{L_k^2, h}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \int_{U_\alpha} \rho_\alpha \sum_{\ell+m=k} |\partial_{z_\alpha}^\ell \partial_{z_\alpha}^m u_{s_\alpha}|_{h_{s_\alpha}}^2 \, \text{dvol}_{g_X} + \|u\|_{L_{k-1}^2, h}^2,$$

$$(101) \quad \|u\|_{L_k^2}^2 = \sum_\alpha \int_{U_\alpha} \rho_\alpha \sum_{\ell+m=k} |\partial_{z_\alpha}^\ell \partial_{z_\alpha}^m u_{s_\alpha}|^2 \, \text{dvol}_{g_X} + \|u\|_{L_{k-1}^2}^2.$$

Given a metric  $h$  on  $F$  and  $u \in \text{End}(F)$ , define

$$(102) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{t, h} &: \text{Herm}(F, h) \longrightarrow \Omega^{1,1}(\text{End}(F)) \\ u &\longmapsto F_{\nabla_{h, g}} + t^2 \gamma \wedge \gamma^{*h, g} + t^2 \beta^{*h, g} \wedge \beta \end{aligned}$$

where  $g = e^u$ . Note that by Sobolev embedding, if  $u \in L^2_2(\Omega)$ , then  $g = e^u \in L^2_2$  as well. We have

$$\mathcal{H}_{t, h} : L^2_2(\text{Herm}(F, h)) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega^{1,1}(\text{End}(F))).$$

This has a Fréchet derivative at  $u = 0$  given by

$$D\mathcal{H}_{t, h} : u \longmapsto \bar{\partial} \partial_h u + t^2 (\gamma \wedge \gamma^{*h} \hat{u} - \hat{u} \beta^{*h} \wedge \beta),$$

where  $\hat{u} = u + (\text{tr } u) \text{Id}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{H}_{t, h}(u)$  is Hermitian with respect to  $h \cdot e^u$  instead of  $h$ . We instead consider  $u \mapsto g^{1/2} \mathcal{H}_{t, h}(u) g^{-1/2}$  where  $g^{1/2} = e^{u/2}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** For  $h$  a  $L^2_2$  metric on  $F$  and  $t \geq 1$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} L_t^{(h)} &: L^2_2(\text{Herm}(F, h)) \longrightarrow L^2(\text{Herm}(F, h)) \\ u &\longmapsto i\Lambda(2D\mathcal{H}_{t, h}(u) + [u, \mathcal{H}_{t, h}(0)]). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from direct calculations that  $L_t(u)$  is Fréchet derivative of

$$u \mapsto 2i\Lambda g^{1/2} \mathcal{H}_{t, h}(u) g^{-1/2}$$

at  $u = 0$ . We have a more convenient form of  $L_t$  which follows from the Kähler identities  $[i\Lambda, \partial_h] = -(\bar{\partial})^*$ ,  $[i\Lambda, \bar{\partial}] = (\partial_h)^*$  and the Kodaira-Nakano identity  $\Delta'' - \Delta' = [iF_{\nabla_h}, \Lambda]$  (see e.g. [Dem86])

**Proposition 4.2.** We have

$$(103) \quad L_t^{(h)}(u) = \Delta_h u + t^2 \{ \psi_{\beta, \gamma, h}, \hat{u} \}$$

where  $\{A, B\} := AB + BA$ ,  $\hat{u} = u + (\text{tr } u) \text{Id}$ ,  $\Delta_h = d_h (d_h)^* + (d_h)^* d_h$  with  $d_h = \bar{\partial} + \partial_h$  ( $(\cdot)^*$  is the formal adjoint with respect to  $h$  and  $g_X$ ) and

$$(104) \quad \psi_{\beta, \gamma, h} := i\Lambda(\gamma \wedge \gamma^{*h} - \beta^{*h} \wedge \beta).$$

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $h$  be a metric on  $F$ . This induces a pointwise pairing  $\langle u, v \rangle_h = \text{tr}(v^*h u)$  for  $u, v \in \text{End}(F)$ . Define

$$\langle\langle u, v \rangle\rangle_h := \langle u, \hat{v} \rangle_h = \langle \hat{u}, v \rangle_h$$

where  $\hat{u} = u + (\text{tr } u) \text{Id}$  and denote  $((u, v))_h := \int_X \langle\langle u, v \rangle\rangle_h \omega$ .

The following lemma is easy to verify by a direct calculation.

**Lemma 4.4.** We have for  $u \in L^2_2(\text{Herm}(F, h))$ ,

$$(105) \quad Q_t^{(h)}(u) := ((L_t u, u))_h = \|d(\text{tr } u)\|^2 + 2\|\bar{\partial}u\|^2 + 2t^2\|\hat{u} \circ \gamma\|^2 + 2t^2\|\beta \circ \hat{u}\|^2$$

where  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2, h, g_X}$ .

As a consequence of the above lemma  $\ker L_t = 0$ . To see this suppose  $L_t^{(h)}u = 0$ , then  $Q_t^{(h)}(u) = 0$ . As a result  $\text{tr } u = c$  a constant. As noted in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have on  $X - D$ ,  $(F, \beta, \gamma) \cong (V, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1} \\ 0 & q^2 \end{pmatrix}^T)$  under Hecke modification  $\iota$  of Theorem 2.4. Since  $\hat{u}\gamma, \beta\hat{u} = 0$  we have  $u = \text{diag}(2c, -c)$ . By stability of  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  from Prop 2.6,  $d_r > 0$ . A calculation using local forms from e.g. (15), (16) over  $\mathbb{D}_j$  with  $p_j \in D_r$  shows that  $c = 0$ . Note that this last step is crucial. In fact when restricted to  $X - D$ , the operator  $L_t$  does have a nontrivial kernel spanned by  $\text{diag}(2c, -c)$ .

Let  $h = h_t^{\text{app}}$  be the approximate solution as in Def 3.26. In the following we will denote  $L_t = L_t^{(h)}$  and omit the subscripts to write  $\langle\langle u, v \rangle\rangle, ((u, v))$ .

The proof of the main theorem will proceed as follows. First, we prove a  $t$ -dependent bound for  $L_t$  from below for  $t \gg 1$ . In particular, we show there are  $C, p > 0$  such that  $\|L_t u\|_{L^2} \geq Ct^p \|u\|_{L^2_2}$ . Write  $h = h_t^{\text{app}}$  and  $g = e^u$  and define the remainder term  $R_t$  by

$$(106) \quad 2i\Lambda g^{1/2} \mathcal{H}_{t,h}(u) g^{-1/2} = 2i\Lambda g^{1/2} \mathcal{H}_{t,h}(0) g^{-1/2} + L_t(u) + R_t(u).$$

A fixed point of

$$u \mapsto -L_t^{-1} \left( 2i\Lambda g^{1/2} \mathcal{H}_{t,h}(0) g^{-1/2} + R_t(u) \right).$$

is a solution of the Hitchin equation. We prove relevant upper bounds for  $R_t$  and use the contraction mapping principle to show convergence of the corresponding iteration sequence to a fixed point.

It is worth remarking that even though the outline the proof resembles the work in [MSWW16], there are some significant differences. For instance, the global estimates for the analogous operator  $L_t$  in [MSWW16] are by a combination of local estimates and the domain monotonicity principle. As we saw above this no longer works for our context since on  $X - \bigsqcup_j \mathbb{D}_j$  the operator  $L_t$  has a nontrivial kernel. Furthermore in contrast to Prop 5.2 (i) and Lemma 6.3 of [MSWW16], there is no  $t$ -independent  $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  lower bound of  $L_t$ . We are able to give a  $t$ -dependent lower bound in Prop 4.9 which  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The analysis is further complicated by  $t$ -dependency of the weight  $\lambda(t)$  in  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ .

**4.2.  $L^2$  lower bound for  $L_t$ .** We will need the following technical lemma. Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the unit disk and define on  $L^2_1(\mathbb{D})$ ,

$$Q_t^{(0)}(u) = \|du\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + (F_t u, u)_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}$$

where  $F_t(\zeta) = t^2 F(t\zeta)$ , and  $F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a non-negative function such that there are  $A > 0$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $F \geq A > 0$  on  $B(0, \delta)$ . An inequality is said to hold for  $t \gg 1$  if there is  $t_0 \geq 1$  such that it holds for  $t \geq t_0$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *There are  $C > 0$  and for all  $u \in L_1^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $t \gg 1$ , we have*

$$Q_t^{(0)}(u) \geq \frac{C}{\log t} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2$$

*Proof.* Let  $G_t(\zeta) = t^2 G(t\zeta)$  with  $G(\zeta) = A$  for  $|\zeta| < \delta$  and zero elsewhere. Let

$$P_t(u) := \|du\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + (G_t u, u)_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} = \|du\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + A^2 t^4 \|u\|_{L^2(B(0, t^{-1}\delta))}^2$$

We have  $F_t \geq G_t$ , therefore  $Q_t^{(0)}(u) \geq P_t(u)$ , and it suffices to bound  $P_t$  from below. By Rayleigh's theorem,

$$(107) \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_1(t) := \inf_{u \in L_1^2(\mathbb{D}) - \{0\}} \frac{P_t(u)}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2}$$

is the first eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator  $u \mapsto -\Delta u + G_t u$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{D})$  with the Neumann boundary condition  $\partial_\nu u = 0$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ .

For  $t > 0$ , we have  $\lambda_1(t) > 0$ . To see this, suppose there is a sequence  $u_n \in L_1^2(\mathbb{D})$  with  $\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} = 1$  and  $P_t(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that  $du_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{D})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $u_n$  is bounded in  $L_1^2(\mathbb{D})$ -norm. Denote again by  $u_n$  a subsequence such that  $u_n \rightarrow u_\infty$  weakly in  $L_1^2(\mathbb{D})$  and strongly in  $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ . We have  $\|u_\infty\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} = 1$  and

$$\|u_\infty\|_{L_1^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{L_1^2(\mathbb{D})} = 1$$

therefore  $du_\infty = 0$ , and  $u_\infty$  is a nonzero constant. We would have  $0 < P_t(u_\infty) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_t(u_n) = 0$  which leads to contradiction.

Next note that  $\lambda_1(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This is a consequence of the existence of an  $L_1^2(\mathbb{D})$  function which is unbounded at the origin, e.g.  $\zeta \mapsto \log \log(2 + 1/|\zeta|)$ . In fact there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$(108) \quad \lambda_1(t) \leq \frac{C}{\left(\log \log \frac{t}{2\delta}\right)^2}.$$

To see this, let  $\alpha_t = 1/\log \log(2 + \delta^{-1}t) \leq 1/\log \log(t/(2\delta))$  and consider the family of functions

$$u_t(\zeta) = \max\left(1 - \alpha_t \log \log(2 + \rho^{-1}), 0\right),$$

where  $\rho = |\zeta|$ . We have that  $u_t = 0$  on  $B(0, t^{-1}\delta)$ , thus  $G_t u_t \equiv 0$ . Since  $u_t$  is Lipschitz, it is in  $L_1^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Since  $1 = u_t + (1 - u_t) \leq u_t + \alpha_t \log \log(2 + 1/\rho)$ , we have for  $t$  large enough

$$\|u_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \geq \|1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} - \alpha_t \|\log \log(2 + 1/\rho)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}.$$

On the other hand, we have  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|du_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq \alpha_t \|d \log \log(2 + 1/\rho)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \alpha_t.$$

The estimate (108) follows from the above and (107).

Suppose  $u \in L_1^2(\mathbb{D})$  satisfies

$$-\Delta u + G_t u = \lambda_1 u$$

By the elliptic estimate for  $-\Delta + G_t$  (see e.g. [GT83, Theorem 9.11]) and the Sobolev inequalities, we have that for any  $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{D}$ , there are  $C$  and  $C' > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^4_2(\Omega)} \leq C\|\lambda_1 u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{D})} + \|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{D})} \leq C'\|u\|_{L^2_1(\mathbb{D})} < \infty$$

We have that  $u \in L^4_2(\Omega) \subset C^1(\Omega)$  by Sobolev embedding theorem (see [DK90, (A.9) Appendix IV]). By repeated application of the interior elliptic regularity estimates in  $D - \partial B(0, \delta)$  where  $G_t$  is smooth, we have that  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{D}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{D} - \partial B(0, \delta))$ .

For some  $t \geq 1$ , suppose  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{D}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{D} - \partial B(0, \delta))$  is a real-valued eigenfunction of the first eigenvalue for the self-adjoint operator  $-\Delta + G_t$  with Neumann boundary condition. By Courant's nodal domain theorem (see [CH53, §VI.6]),  $u$  has no node in  $\mathbb{D}$ , i.e., we may assume that  $u > 0$ . It is easy to see that any function orthogonal to  $u$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{D})$  cannot have a definite sign. Therefore the eigenspace of  $\lambda_1$  is one-dimensional. As a consequence, it follows from the rotational symmetry of  $G_t$  that  $u$  is a radial function. Let  $v$  be given by

$$u(\zeta) = v(|\zeta|).$$

We have that  $v$  solves the boundary value problem on  $\rho \in [0, 1]$ :

$$(109) \quad \begin{cases} v'' + \rho^{-1}v' - G_t u = -\lambda u \text{ on } [0, 1] \\ v'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

As a result, the lowest eigenvalue of (109) is  $\lambda_1(t)$ . Assume without loss of generality  $\delta = 1$ . Note for  $t > 1$ , (109) is given piecewise by

$$\begin{aligned} v'' + \rho^{-1}v' - (At^2 - \lambda)u &= 0 \text{ on } [0, t^{-1}] \\ v'' + \rho^{-1}v' + \lambda u &= 0 \text{ on } [t^{-1}, 1] \end{aligned}$$

For  $t \gg 1$ , we have  $\lambda_1 < At^2$ , and there are  $c_0, c_1, c_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(\rho) &= c_0 I_0(\sqrt{At^2 - \lambda}\rho) \text{ for } 0 \leq \rho \leq t^{-1} \\ v(\rho) &= c_1 J_0(\sqrt{\lambda}\rho) + c_2 Y_0(\sqrt{\lambda}\rho) \text{ for } t^{-1} \leq \rho \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $J_n$  and  $Y_n$  are Bessel functions of the first and second kind, and  $I_n$  is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. We refer to [AS72, Chapter 9] for their definition and properties. Note that  $I_0$  and  $K_0$  form a basis of the space of solutions on  $(0, t^{-1}]$ , and  $K_0$  is unbounded at the origin. The continuity of  $v, v'$  at  $\rho = 1/t$ , as well as the boundary condition  $v'(1) = 0$ , implies

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 I_0(\sqrt{At^2 - \lambda}t^{-1}) &= c_1 J_0(\sqrt{\lambda}t^{-1}) + c_2 Y_0(\sqrt{\lambda}t^{-1}) \\ c_0 \sqrt{At^2 - \lambda} I_1(\sqrt{At^2 - \lambda}t^{-1}) &= -c_1 \sqrt{\lambda} J_1(\sqrt{\lambda}t^{-1}) - c_2 \sqrt{\lambda} Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda}t^{-1}) \\ c_1 J_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) + c_2 Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient matrix for  $c_0, c_1, c_2$  is singular iff  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue. Thus the eigenvalues of (109) are precisely the zeros of

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_t(\lambda) &:= \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) & Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) \\ -I_0(\sqrt{At^2 - \lambda}t^{-1}) & J_0(\sqrt{\lambda}t^{-1}) & Y_0(\sqrt{\lambda}t^{-1}) \\ \sqrt{At^2 - \lambda} I_1(\sqrt{At^2 - \lambda}t^{-1}) & \sqrt{\lambda} J_1(\sqrt{\lambda}t^{-1}) & \sqrt{\lambda} Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda}t^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sqrt{\lambda} I_0(\sqrt{A - \lambda t^{-2}}) g_t(\lambda) + t \sqrt{A - \lambda t^{-2}} I_1(\sqrt{A - \lambda t^{-2}}) f_t(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(\lambda) &:= J_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}) - J_1(\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}) Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) \\ f_t(\lambda) &:= J_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) Y_0(\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}) - J_0(\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}) Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

Using asymptotics of the relevant Bessel functions (see [AS72, Chapter 9, (9.1.7), (9.1.8) and (9.1.9)])

$$J_1(x) \sim \frac{x}{2}, \quad Y_0(z) \sim \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{x}{2}, \quad J_0(x) \sim 1, \quad Y_1(x) \sim -\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{x}$$

where  $f_1(x) \sim f_2(x)$  if  $f_1/f_2 \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . We have that for  $\lambda > 0$  small enough and  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) Y_0(\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}) &\geq 2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}}{2} \right) = 2 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \log \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2t} \\ -J_0(\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}) Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{\lambda}} \\ J_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}) &\geq -2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}} \right) = -\frac{2t}{\pi} \\ -J_1(\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}) Y_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda} t^{-1}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi t}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(\lambda) &\geq \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{\lambda}} \left( 1 + 2\lambda \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2t} \right) \right) \\ g_t(\lambda) &\geq -\frac{2}{\pi} t + \frac{1}{2\pi t} \geq -t. \end{aligned}$$

It is also easy to see that for  $\lambda > 0$  small enough, and  $t \geq 1$  we have some  $C, C' > 0$  with

$$I_0(\sqrt{A - \lambda/t^2}) \leq C, \quad \sqrt{A - \lambda/t^2} I_1(\sqrt{A - \lambda/t^2}) \geq C'$$

Therefore for  $t \geq 1$  and small enough  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_t(\lambda) &\geq -Ct\sqrt{\lambda} + C' \frac{t}{\pi \sqrt{\lambda}} \left( 1 + 2\lambda \log \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2t} \right) \\ &= \frac{2C't\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \log \lambda - \log(2t) - \frac{\pi C}{2C'} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2C't\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda} - \log(2C''t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $C'' = e^{-\pi C/(4C')}$ . From (108), we see that for  $t \gg 1$ , the above inequality holds with  $\lambda = \lambda_1(t)$ , therefore

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda_1(t)} - \log(2C''t) \leq \frac{\pi}{2C't\sqrt{\lambda_1(t)}} \delta_t(\lambda_1(t)) = 0,$$

and we have that for  $t$  large enough,

$$\lambda_1(t) \geq \frac{1}{2 \log(2C''t)}.$$

q.e.d.

We next prove the  $L^2$  lower bound of  $Q_t = Q_t^{(h)}$  with  $h = h_t^{\text{app}}$  is defined in (105). For this we will need the following three elementary lemmas. We omit the proofs except for one of them.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_{N_1} \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $A = (A_{ij})$   $N_2 \times N_1$  with entries in  $L^\infty$  such that for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , the singular values of  $A$  satisfy  $\lambda_j \leq 1$  for all  $j$ . Then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \|x_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} \left\| \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_1} A_{j\ell} x_\ell \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

**Lemma 4.7.** *There is  $C > 0$  such that for  $A, B > 0$ ,  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrices with  $|A - B| \leq |A|/2$ ,  $|A| \leq L$ , we have  $|A^{1/2} - B^{1/2}| \leq C|A - B|$ .*

Recall that for  $A$  positive-definite Hermitian, we denote by  $A^{1/2}$  the unique positive-definite Hermitian square root. We have:

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $A$  be  $2 \times 2$  positive-definite Hermitian and  $B = A^{1/2}$ . Then,*

$$B_{12} = \frac{A_{12}}{\text{tr } B}$$

*Proof.* Let  $a = a_0 I + a_1 \sigma_1 + a_2 \sigma_2 + a_3 \sigma_3$  where  $\sigma_j$  is defined in (117) and  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$A = e^a = e^{a_0} \left( (\cosh r) I + \frac{\sinh r}{r} (a_1 \sigma_1 + a_2 \sigma_2 + a_3 \sigma_3) \right)$$

where  $r = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2}$ . In particular,  $A_{12} = e^{a_0} (a_1 - ia_2) \sinh(r)/r$ . We also have  $B = e^{a/2}$  and  $\text{tr } B = 2e^{a_0/2} \cosh(r/2)$ . The conclusion follows since  $B_{12} = e^{a_0/2} (a_1 - ia_2) \sinh(r/2)/r$ . q.e.d.

**Proposition 4.9.** *There is  $C > 0$  such that for  $u \in L^2_1$ ,  $t \gg 1$*

$$Q_t(u) \geq \frac{C}{\log t} \|u\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}^2.$$

*Proof.* Recall the  $t$ -family of tuple of weights  $\lambda = \lambda(t) \in \mathcal{I}$  in Def 3.26 defined for  $t$  large enough with  $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\lambda_j(t) \in I_j$ . We say a constant in an inequality is uniform in  $\lambda$  if the inequality holds for all  $\lambda \in \cup_j I_j$ .

We first focus on the terms of  $Q_t(u)$  related to the Higgs field. Set

$$(110) \quad Q_t^{\text{Higgs}}(u) = 4t^2 \|\hat{u} \circ \beta\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 + 4t^2 \|\gamma \circ \hat{u}\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}^2.$$

Write  $Q_{t, \Omega}^{\text{Higgs}}(u)$  for the above with  $L^2$  replaced by  $L^2(\Omega)$ . We have

$$Q_t^{\text{Higgs}}(u) = Q_{t, X - \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{4g-4} \mathbb{D}'_j}(u) + \sum_{j=1}^{4g-4} Q_{t, \mathbb{D}'_j}(u).$$

Consider first  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  for  $p_j \in D_r$ . We construct an  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ -unitary frame and decompose  $u$  with respect to it. We then bound  $Q_{t, \mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}(u)$  from below by terms of the form  $\|f_\ell u_\ell\|_{L^2}^2$  with  $u_\ell$  components in the decomposition. For  $t \gg 1$ , the lower bound for  $f_\ell$  will be  $t$ -independent for all but one term. Similarly,  $t$ -independent lower bounds will be obtained for  $Q_{t, \mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}(u)$  with  $p_j \in D_\beta, D_\gamma$  as well as  $Q_{t, X - \bigsqcup_j \mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}(u)$  for all but one

term. The lower bounds for the remaining terms and the  $L^2$ -norm of its derivative will follow from Lemma 4.5.

Let  $p_j \in D_r$  and set  $\zeta = \zeta_j$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ . Let  $N_\lambda$  (resp.  $N_{\infty,\lambda}$ ) be the unique positive definite Hermitian matrix satisfying

$$(111) \quad N_\lambda^2 = M_\lambda, \quad \text{resp. } N_{\infty,\lambda}^2 = M_{\infty,\lambda}.$$

where  $M_\lambda$  (resp.  $M_{\infty,\lambda}$ ) are defined in (39) (resp. Prop 3.8). Similar to  $\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}}$  and  $\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}$  in (68) and (69), set

$$(112) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{t,\lambda}^{\text{int}} &= t^{-1/3} G_\lambda(\chi)^{-1} N_\lambda(t^{2/3} \chi \rho) \text{ on } \mathbb{D}'_j \\ E_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}} &= t^{-1/3} N_{\infty,\lambda}(t^{2/3} \rho) \text{ on } \mathbb{D}_j - \mathbb{D}'_j \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho = |\zeta|$  and  $\chi, G_\lambda$  are defined in §3.4. Note that  $E_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}$  can be extended to  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  where we have  $E_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}(\zeta) = t^{-1/3} G_\lambda(\chi) N_{\infty,\lambda}(t^{2/3} \chi \rho)$ . The piece-wise function

$$(113) \quad E_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{app}} = \begin{cases} E_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}} & \text{on } \mathbb{D}'_j \\ E_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}} & \text{on } \mathbb{D}_j - \mathbb{D}'_j \end{cases}$$

is  $C^2$  at  $\partial\mathbb{D}'_j$ . Let  $e_{i,j,t} = \sum_{k=1}^2 (E_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{app}})_{ki} \sigma_{k,j}^{\lambda(t)}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 4g-4$  and  $i = 1, 2$  where the frame  $\sigma_j^{\lambda(t)}$  is given in Def 3.24. Note that on  $\mathbb{D}_j - \mathbb{D}'_j$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{1,j,t} &= (1/4) c_{\lambda_j(t)} \rho^{2\lambda_j(t)+1/2} t^{4\lambda_j/3} \sigma_{1,j}^{\lambda(t)} \\ e_{2,j,t} &= 2c_{\lambda_j(t)}^{-1/2} \rho^{-\lambda_j(t)+1/2} t^{-2\lambda_j/3} \sigma_{2,j}^{\lambda(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that  $e_{j,t}$  is an  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ -unitary frame of  $F$  over  $\mathbb{D}_j$ . Let  $\widetilde{\rho} = t^{2/3} \chi^{-1} \rho$  and set

$$(114) \quad \begin{aligned} n_{i,j,\lambda}(\widetilde{\rho}) &= (N_\lambda)_{ij}(\widetilde{\rho}), \quad j = 1, 2 \\ n_\lambda(\widetilde{\rho}) &= \widetilde{\rho}^{-1} \det N_\lambda(\widetilde{\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\beta = \beta_0 d\zeta$  and  $\gamma = \gamma_0 d\zeta$ . On  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  with  $\lambda_j = \lambda_j(t)$ ,

$$(115) \quad \begin{aligned} (\beta_0)_{e_{j,t}} &= t^{-1/3} \chi^{1/2} n_{\lambda_j} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{n_{12,\lambda_j}} & n_{22,\lambda_j} \end{pmatrix}, \\ (\gamma_0)_{e_{j,t}} &= t^{-1/3} \chi^{1/2} n_{\lambda_j}^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} -n_{12,\lambda_j} & n_{11,\lambda_j} \end{pmatrix}^T. \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \in \text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}})$ , we write

$$(116) \quad (u)_{e_{j,t}} = u_0 \sigma_0 + u_1 \sigma_1 + u_2 \sigma_2 + u_3 \sigma_3$$

where

$$(117) \quad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = i \begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  are the Pauli matrices (a basis of the space of  $2 \times 2$  trace-free Hermitian matrices) and by comments at the end of §4.1,  $\sigma_0$  spans the nontrivial ker  $L_t$  when restricted to  $X - D$ .

Recall that we have  $g_X = d\zeta_j \cdot d\bar{\zeta}_j$  on  $\mathbb{D}_j$ , therefore  $|d\zeta|^2 = 2$ . Thus  $\|\beta\hat{u}\|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 = 2\|\beta_0\hat{u}\|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2$  and  $\|\gamma\hat{u}\|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 = 2\|\gamma_0\hat{u}\|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2$ . We have from the above decomposition,

$$(118) \quad Q_{t,\mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}(u) = 4t^2 \left( \|\hat{u}\beta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j), h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 + \|\gamma\hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j), h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \right) = 4t^{4/3} \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \|S_{\ell,j}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,j} &= \chi^{1/2} \left[ \left( -n_{\lambda_j}^{-2} n_{12,\lambda_j} \right) (3u_0 + u_3) + \left( n_{\lambda_j}^{-2} n_{11,\lambda_j} \right) (u_1 - iu_2) \right] \\ S_{2,j} &= \chi^{1/2} \left[ \left( n_{\lambda_j} n_{12,\lambda_j} \right) (3u_0 + u_3) + \left( n_{\lambda_j} n_{22,\lambda} \right) (u_1 - iu_2) \right] \\ S_{3,j} &= \chi^{1/2} \left[ \left( n_{\lambda_j}^{-2} n_{11,\lambda_j} \right) (-u_3) + \left( -n_{\lambda_j}^{-2} \overline{n_{12,\lambda_j}} \right) (u_1 + iu_2) \right] \\ S_{4,j} &= \chi^{1/2} \left[ \left( n_{\lambda_j} n_{22,\lambda_j} \right) (-u_3) + \left( n_{\lambda_j} \overline{n_{12,\lambda_j}} \right) (u_1 - iu_2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{-1/2} n_{\lambda}^3 & \chi^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi^{-1/2} n_{\lambda}^3 & \chi^{-1/2} \\ -n_{\lambda}^3 n_{22,\lambda} & n_{11,\lambda} & n_{\lambda}^3 n_{12,\lambda} & n_{12,\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix  $B^*B$  has characteristic polynomial

$$\text{char}_{B^*B}(t) = t \left( t - \chi^{-1} (1 + n_{\lambda}^6) \right) \left( t^2 - \nu_{\lambda}^{-2} t + \chi^{-1} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda})^2 n_{\lambda}^6 \right)$$

where

$$(119) \quad \nu_{\lambda} = \left( \chi^{-1} (1 + n_{\lambda}^6) + \left( n_{11,\lambda}^2 + |n_{12,\lambda}|^2 + n_{\lambda}^6 (n_{22,\lambda}^2 + |n_{12,\lambda}|^2) \right) \right)^{-1/2}$$

The largest eigenvalue of  $B^*B$  is bounded above by  $1/\nu_{\lambda}^2$ . Let  $A = \nu_{\lambda} B$ , by direct calculation,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^4 A_{k\ell} S_{\ell} = \begin{cases} \nu_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) (u_1 - iu_2) & k = 1, \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^4 A_{2\ell} S_{\ell} = -\nu_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) u_3 & k = 2, \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^4 A_{3\ell} S_{\ell} = 3\nu_{\lambda} \chi^{1/2} n_{\lambda} n_{12,\lambda} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) u_0 & k = 3. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$ , we have by Lemma 4.6

$$(120) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{t,\mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}(u) &\geq 4t^{4/3} \left\| \nu_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) (u_1 - iu_2) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 \\ &+ 4t^{4/3} \left\| \nu_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) u_3 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 + 4t^{4/3} \left\| 3\nu_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} n_{12,\lambda} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) u_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda = \lambda_j(t)$ .

Next, we provide a lower bound for the positive function  $\nu_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda})$  on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  uniform in  $\lambda$ . Fix  $\rho_1 \in [R/3, 2R/3]$  and consider  $\rho \in (\rho_1, 2R/3)$  and  $(0, \rho_1]$  separately. For the first region, we use the asymptotics in Pro.3.8. For the second region, relatively compact in  $\mathbb{D}'_j$ , we use continuity in  $\lambda$  in Cor 3.18. By Prop 3.8 and Lemmas 3.19, 4.7, there are  $C, c > 0$  such that for  $\rho \gg 1$  and  $\lambda \in I$ ,

$$(121) \quad |N_{\lambda} - N_{\infty,\lambda}| \leq C e^{-c\rho}$$

Note that  $N_{\infty}(\rho) = \text{diag} \left( c_{\lambda} \rho^{2\lambda+1/2}/4, 2c_{\lambda}^{1/2} \rho^{-\lambda+1/2} \right)$ . For  $\rho \gg 1, t \geq 1$ , we have  $\chi^{-1} = t^{-2/3} \rho^{-1} \tilde{\rho} \leq \rho_0^{-1} \tilde{\rho}$ . Therefore from (119),

$$\nu_{\lambda}^{-2} \leq \rho_0^{-1} \tilde{\rho} \left( 1 + n_{\lambda}^6 \right) + \left( n_{11,\lambda}^2 + |n_{12,\lambda}|^2 + n_{\lambda}^6 (n_{22,\lambda}^2 + |n_{12,\lambda}|^2) \right)$$

By (121), there are  $\tilde{\rho}_0, C_1, C_2 > 0$  uniform in  $\lambda$  such that for all  $\tilde{\rho} \geq \tilde{\rho}_0$ ,

$$\nu_{\lambda} \geq C_1 \tilde{\rho}^{-\frac{1}{2}-3a_{\lambda}}, \quad n_{\lambda} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) \geq C_2 \tilde{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}+3a_{\lambda}}$$

where  $a_{\lambda} = \max(0, \lambda)$ . For  $\lambda \in I$  we have

$$(122) \quad \nu_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) \geq C_1 C_2 > 0 \quad \text{for } \tilde{\rho} \geq \tilde{\rho}_0.$$

Note that  $\rho \mapsto \tilde{\rho} = t^{2/3} \rho \chi(\rho)^{-1}$  gives a diffeomorphism  $(0, 2R/3) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Let  $\rho_1$  be such that  $\tilde{\rho}(\rho_1) = \tilde{\rho}_0$ , for  $0 \leq \rho \leq \rho_1$ , there is a constant  $\chi_0 > 0$  such that  $\chi \geq \chi_0$ . We have

$$(123) \quad v_\lambda^{-2} \leq \chi_0^{-1} \left( 1 + n_\lambda^6 \right) + \left( n_{11,\lambda}^2 + |n_{12,\lambda}|^2 + n_\lambda^6 \left( n_{22,\lambda}^2 + |n_{12,\lambda}|^2 \right) \right).$$

By a direct calculation using (40),  $\text{tr } M_\lambda(\rho) = \rho^{-2} (H_{1,\lambda})_{11} + (H_{1,\lambda})_{22}$ ,  $\det M_\lambda(\rho) = \rho^{-2} \det H_{1,\lambda}$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 > 0$  be the two eigenvalues of  $M_\lambda$ , then

$$(124) \quad \begin{aligned} & (n_{11,\lambda}(\rho) + n_{22,\lambda}(\rho))^2 = (x_1^{-1/2} + x_2^{-1/2})^2 \\ & = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_1 x_2} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{x_1 x_2}} = \frac{1}{\det H_{1,\lambda}} \left( (H_{1,\lambda})_{11} + 2 (\det H_{1,\lambda})^{1/2} \rho + (H_{1,\lambda})_{22} \rho^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and  $n_\lambda(\rho) = (\det H_{1,\lambda})^{-1/2}$ . By Cor 3.18, there is  $C_3 > 0$  uniform in  $\lambda$  such that  $n_\lambda(n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) \geq C_3$  for  $\tilde{\rho} \leq \tilde{\rho}_0$ . By the same corollary,  $n_\lambda$  is bounded above uniformly for  $\lambda \in I = I_j$ . Therefore there is  $C'_3 > 0$  uniform in  $\lambda$  such that on  $\tilde{\rho} \leq \tilde{\rho}_0$ ,  $v_\lambda^{-2} \leq C'_3(n_{11,\lambda}^2 + n_{22,\lambda}^2 + |n_{12,\lambda}|^2 + 1)$ . Furthermore, by  $\det N_\lambda > 0$ , we have  $|n_{12,\lambda}|^2 < n_{11,\lambda} n_{22,\lambda} \leq (n_{11,\lambda}^2 + n_{22,\lambda}^2)/2$ . Therefore there is  $C_4 > 0$  uniform in  $\lambda$  such that  $v_\lambda \geq C_4$  for  $\tilde{\rho} \leq \tilde{\rho}_0$ . Therefore

$$v_\lambda n_\lambda (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) \geq C_3 C_4 \quad \text{for } \tilde{\rho} \leq \tilde{\rho}_0.$$

Consequently, on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$

$$v_\lambda n_\lambda (n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}) \geq C_5 = \min \{C_1 C_2, C_3 C_4\}$$

where  $C_5$  uniform in  $\lambda$ .

By (120) and the above estimate, we have for  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$(125) \quad Q_{t,\mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}} \geq 4C_5^2 \left( \|u_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 + \|u_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 + \|u_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 \right) + 4C_5^2 t^{4/3} \|3n_{12,\lambda_j(t)} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2$$

From (37) and (41), we have

$$\left( M_\lambda^{-1} \right)_{12}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2 \det H_{1,\lambda}} \left( (H_{1,\lambda})_{11} - 2\rho \text{Re}(f_{3,\lambda}(\rho)) - \rho^2 (H_{1,\lambda})_{22} \right)$$

By Cor 3.18, this gives a continues family in  $C^0(\mathbb{D}'_j)$  in  $\lambda$ . As  $H_{1,\lambda}$  is regular at the origin,  $f_{3,\lambda}(\rho) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ . We have

$$\left( M_\lambda^{-1} \right)_{12}(\rho) \rightarrow \frac{(H_{1,\lambda})_{11}(0)}{2 \det H_{1,\lambda}(0)} > 0$$

as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ . By (124) and Cor 3.18,  $\text{tr } N_\lambda = n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}$  is bounded near the origin uniformly in  $\lambda$ . By Lemma 4.8 and Cor 3.18, there are  $A > 0$  and  $0 < \delta < R/3$  uniform in  $\lambda$  such that for  $\rho \leq \delta$ ,

$$|n_{12,\lambda}(\rho)| = \frac{\left| \left( M_\lambda^{-1} \right)_{12} \right|}{n_{11,\lambda} + n_{22,\lambda}} \geq A.$$

For  $\rho \leq R/3$ ,  $\chi(\rho) = 1$ . Therefore with  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\tilde{\rho} \leq \delta$  iff  $\rho \leq t^{-2/3} \delta$ . Define function  $y_j$  with  $y_j(\zeta_j) = A$  for  $|\zeta_j| \leq \delta$  and zero elsewhere. From (125), we have  $C_6$  uniform in  $\lambda$ ,

$$(126) \quad Q_{t,\mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}} \geq C_6 \left( \|u_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 + \|u_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 + \|u_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 \right) + C_6 \sum_{p_j \in \mathbb{D}_r} (y_{j,t} u_0, u_0)_{L^2(X)}^2$$

where  $y_{j,t} = t^{4/3} y_j(t^{2/3} \zeta_j)$  on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  and zero elsewhere.

Next, we consider the term  $\mathcal{Q}_{t, \mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}$  with  $p_j \in D_\gamma$ . Let  $s_{j,t}^\lambda$  be the frame defined in (94) and let  $e_{1,j,t} = s_{2,j,t}^{\lambda(t)}$ ,  $e_{2,j,t} = a s_{1,j,t}^{\lambda(t)}$  where  $a = \rho^{1/4} e^{\chi\psi_P/2}$  and  $\psi_P$  is Painlevé function defined in §3.2. By (80) and (95), the frame  $e_{j,t} = \{e_{1,j,t}, e_{2,j,t}\}$  is  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ -unitary and  $(\beta_0)_{e_t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $(\gamma_0)_{e_t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a^{-2}\zeta \end{pmatrix}^T$ . Write  $u_{e_t} = u_0\sigma_0 + u_1\sigma_1 + u_2\sigma_2 + u_3\sigma_3$  as in (116), we have

$$(\beta_0 \cdot \hat{u})_{e_t} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2(u_1 + iu_2) & -a^2u_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\hat{u} \cdot \gamma_0)_{e_t} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-2}\zeta(u_1 - iu_2) & -a^{-2}\zeta u_3 \end{pmatrix}^T$$

It follows from a direct calculation that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t, \mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}(u) = 4t^2 \left( \|f u_1\|^2 + \|f u_2\|^2 + \|f u_3\|^2 \right)$$

where  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}$  and  $f = (2\rho \cosh(2\chi\psi_P))^{1/2}$ . By [MTW77], there is an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$e^{-\psi(x)} \sim x^{1/3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^{4j/3} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular there is  $C_0 > 0$  such that  $e^{2\psi_P(x)} \geq C_0 x^{-2/3}$  for  $x$  small enough. On the other hand,  $\psi_P \rightarrow 0$  exponentially as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that there is  $C > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $f \geq Ct^{-1/3}$ , therefore  $t^2 \|f u_j\|^2 \geq C^2 t^{4/3} \|u_j\|^2 \geq C^2 \|u_j\|^2$ . There is  $C' > 0$  with

$$(127) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{t, \mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}(u) \geq C' \left( \|u_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 + \|u_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 + \|u_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}'_j)}^2 \right).$$

The same estimate holds for  $p_j \in D_\beta$  case with an similar argument.

For the last region, consider  $\mathcal{Q}_{t, X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}$ . Let  $\{(W_\alpha; w_\alpha)\}$  be a finite atlas of  $X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}'_j$  by charts with  $q = (dw_\alpha)^2$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3.1 after identifying  $F$  with  $V = L^{-2}K_X \oplus LK_X$  via the Hecke modification, we have  $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^2 \end{pmatrix}^T$  over  $W_\alpha$ . On this region,  $h_t^{\text{app}} = \iota^* (h_L^{-2} h_K) \oplus (h_L h_K)$  where  $h_L = h_{L, \lambda(t), t}$  and  $h_K$  both have flat Chern connections. It is easy to see that there is  $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_{W_\alpha}$  such that  $|\sigma|_{h_L} \equiv 1$ . Let  $e_1 = (\sigma^{-2} dw_\alpha, 0)$ ,  $e_2 = (0, \sigma dw_\alpha)$ . The frame  $e = \{e_1, e_2\}$  is both holomorphic and  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ -unitary. We write  $u_e = u_0\sigma_0 + u_1\sigma_1 + u_2\sigma_2 + u_3\sigma_3$  as in (116). From a direct calculation,

$$(\beta_0 \cdot \hat{u})_e = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 + iu_2 & -u_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\hat{u} \cdot \gamma_0)_e = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 - iu_2 & -u_3 \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

We have

$$(128) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{t, W_\alpha}^{\text{Higgs}}(u) = 8t^2 \left( \|u_1\|_{L^2(W_\alpha)}^2 + \|u_2\|_{L^2(W_\alpha)}^2 + \|u_3\|_{L^2(W_\alpha)}^2 \right).$$

and that

$$(129) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{t, X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}'_j}^{\text{Higgs}}(u) \geq \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{Q}_{t, W_\alpha}^{\text{Higgs}}(u).$$

From the above discussion on regions covering the entirety of  $X$ , we defined  $t$ -dependent frames  $e_{j,t}$  over  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  for  $p_j \in D$  and  $e$  over  $W_\alpha \subset X - \mathbb{D}'_j$  providing a smooth decomposition of  $F$

$$(130) \quad F \cong L_1 \oplus L_2$$

such that over  $X - \mathbb{D}'_j$ ,  $L_1$  (resp.  $L_2$ ) coincides with  $L^{-2}K$  (resp.  $LK$ ) summand of  $V$  under the identification  $\iota$  as in Theorem 2.4. Let  $\sigma_0 = \text{diag}(2, -1)$  corresponding to (130). There is an induced decomposition

$$\text{End}F = \langle \sigma_0 \rangle \oplus \text{End}_0 F.$$

For  $u \in \text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}})$ , we write

$$u = (\text{tr } u) \sigma_0 + v$$

with  $\text{tr } v = 0$  which is compatible with (116) and similar decompositions on each region. By the estimates in (126), (127), (128), and (129), there is  $C_7 > 0$  such that for all  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$Q_t^{\text{Higgs}}(u) \geq C_7 \|v\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 + C_7 \sum_{j=1}^{d_r} (y_{j,t} \text{tr } u, \text{tr } u)_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}$$

where  $y_{j,t}$  is defined below (126). Recall from Prop 2.6 that the stability of  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  implies that  $D_r > 0$ . Fix an index  $j_0$  with  $p_{j_0} \in D_r$ . For  $t \gg 1$  there is  $C_8 > 0$  such that

$$(131) \quad Q_t(u) \geq C_8 \left( Q_t^{(1)}(\text{tr } u) + \|v\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \right)$$

with

$$(132) \quad Q_t^{(1)}(f) = \|df\|_{L^2}^2 + (y_t f, f)_{L^2}$$

where  $y_t = y_{j_0, t}$ .

By the uniformization theorem,  $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  for  $\Gamma$  a Fuchsian group, denote the quotient map by  $p : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow X$ . Furthermore, there exists a fundamental polygon  $\Pi \in \mathbb{H}$  for the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  with finitely many edges and positive angles at vertices (see e.g. [Sti92]). We can arrange so that a lift of  $p_{j_0}$  is in the interior of  $\Pi$ . As a result there are finitely many  $g \in \Gamma$  such that  $g(\Pi)$  is adjacent to  $\Pi$ , denote the union of these with  $\Pi$  by  $\tilde{\Pi}$ . Consider  $\Omega$  with  $\partial\Omega$  smooth and  $\Pi \Subset \Omega \Subset \tilde{\Pi}$ . By the Riemann mapping theorem, there is biholomorphism  $r : \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega$  mapping the origin to the unique point corresponding to  $p_{j_0}$  under quotient in  $\Pi$ . By a result of Painlevé (see [Bell90]),  $r$  extends smoothly to  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . The above identification corresponds to a constant curvature metric  $g'_X$  on  $X$ . Note that both  $g_X$  and  $g'_X$  are independent of  $t$ , we will use them interchangeably to define norms. We use  $\gtrsim$  (resp.  $\sim$ ) to denote (in)equalities up to constants independent of  $t$  and  $\lambda$  omitted. Let  $\tilde{F}_t := (p \circ r)^* y_t$  and let

$$Q_t^{(0)}(\phi) = \|d\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + (\tilde{F}_t \phi, \phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2$$

There is a non-negative function  $F \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $\tilde{F}_t \geq F_t$  with  $F_t(\zeta) = t^2 F(t\zeta)$ . By Lemma 4.5 for  $t \gg 1$

$$Q_t^{(0)}(\phi) \gtrsim (\log t)^{-1} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_t^{(1)}(f) &\sim \|d(p^*f)\|_{L^2(\bar{\Omega})}^2 + ((p^*y_t f), p^*f)_{L^2(\bar{\Omega})} \\
&\geq \|d(p^*f)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + ((p^*y_t f), p^*f)_{L^2(\Omega)} \gtrsim Q_t^{(0)}(\phi) \\
&\geq (\log t)^{-1} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 \gtrsim (\log t)^{-1} \|p^*f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\geq (\log t)^{-1} \|f\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi = (p \circ r)^* f$ . Let  $\zeta$  (resp.  $z$ ) be a coordinate on  $\mathbb{H}$  as the upper half-plane (resp.  $\mathbb{D}$  as the unit disk) we have also used above the fact that for the map  $r^{-1} : \zeta \mapsto z$ ,  $|\partial_\zeta z|^2$  is bounded above and below on  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$ .

Combining (131) we have for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_t(u) &\gtrsim (\log t)^{-1} \left( \|\text{tr } u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \right) \\
&\gtrsim (\log t)^{-1} \left( \|(\text{tr } u) \sigma_0\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \right) \gtrsim (\log t)^{-1} \|u\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

Note that the pointwise norm associated given by  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  are mutually bounded. We have the following.

**Corollary 4.10.** *There is  $C > 0$  such that for  $u \in L_2^2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$  and  $t \gg 1$*

$$\|L_t u\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}} \geq \frac{C}{\log t} \|u\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}.$$

**4.3.  $L_2^2$  lower bound for  $L_t$ .** Building on the inequality in Cor 4.10, we will prove the following  $t$ -dependent elliptic estimate for  $L_t$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** *Fix  $t_0 \geq 1$ . There are  $C > 0$  such that for  $u \in L_2^2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$  and  $t \gg 1$ ,*

$$\|L_t u\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 \geq C t^{-38} \|u\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2$$

The proof combines elliptic estimate of  $\Delta_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}$  and bounds on  $\|\Delta_{h_t^{\text{app}}} - \Delta_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}\|$  and  $\|\{\psi, \hat{u}\}\|$ . Note that in contrast to Cor 4.10, the norms in the above inequality are given by fixed metric  $h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}$ . We begin by proving a comparison result (Lemma 4.15) between the norms induced by  $\|\cdot\|_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}$ . For an  $r \times r$  matrix  $M$  and positive-definite Hermitian matrix  $H$  of the same dimension, recall the norm  $|M|_H^2 = \text{tr}(MH^{-1}M^*H)$  defined in (6). We will need the following three comparison lemmas of matrix norms associated to different Hermitian matrices.

**Lemma 4.12.** *Let  $H > 0$  be a  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ , then for  $L > 0$  the following are equivalent*

- For any  $A$ ,  $L^{-1} |A|_I^2 \leq |A|_H^2 \leq L |A|_I^2$
- $\alpha_2/\alpha_1 \leq L$

**Lemma 4.13.** *There is  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and  $C \geq 1$  such that for  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrices  $H_0, H_1 > 0$  with*

$$|H_1^{-1/2} (H_0 - H_1) H_1^{-1/2}| \leq \epsilon_0$$

we have for a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$ ,

$$|A|_{H_0}^2 \leq C |A|_{H_1}^2.$$

*Proof.* We have for  $A \neq 0$ ,  $|A|_{H_0}^2 / |A|_{H_1}^2 = |B|_M / |B|_I^2$  where  $B = H_1^{1/2} A H_1^{-1/2}$  and  $M = H_1^{-1/2} H_0 H_1^{-1/2}$ . There are  $\epsilon_0, C_0 > 0$  such that for any  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $X$  with  $|X - I| < \epsilon_0$  we have  $|X^{-1} - I| < C_0 \epsilon_0$ . Suppose  $|M - I| < \epsilon_0$  and without loss of generality that  $|B|_I^2 = 1$ . There is  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$||B|_M^2 - |B|_I^2| \leq \left| \text{tr} \left( B (M^{-1} - I) B^* M \right) \right| + |\text{tr} (B B^* (M - I))| \leq C_1 \epsilon_0.$$

Thus there is  $C_2 > 0$  such that  $|B|_M^2 \leq C_2$ .

q.e.d.

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let  $H > 0$  be a  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrix and  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$  its two eigenvalues. There is  $C \geq 1$  such that for all  $A$  and  $T$ ,  $2 \times 2$  matrices with  $T$  non-singular, we have*

$$\frac{\alpha_1^2}{C \alpha_2^2} \frac{|A|_H^2}{|T|^2 |T^{-1}|^2} \leq |A|_{T^* H T}^2 \leq \frac{C \alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1^2} |T|^2 |T^{-1}|^2 |A|_H^2$$

*Proof.* We have with  $B = H^{1/2} A H^{-1/2}$ ,

$$\frac{|A|_{T^* H T}^2}{|A|_H^2} = \frac{|H^{1/2} T H^{-1/2} B H^{1/2} T^{-1} H^{-1/2}|_I^2}{|B|_I^2} \leq |H^{1/2}|_I^4 |H^{-1/2}|_I^4 |T|_I^2 |T^{-1}|_I^2$$

Note that  $|H^{1/2}|_I^2 = \text{tr} H = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq 2\alpha_2$  and  $|H^{-1/2}|_I^2 = \text{tr} H^{-1} = \alpha_1^{-1} + \alpha_2^{-1} \leq 2\alpha_1^{-1}$ . The conclusion follows from similar argument as in Lemma 4.13. q.e.d.

**Lemma 4.15.** *There is  $C \geq 1$  such that for  $u \in \text{End}(F)$  and  $t \gg 1$ ,*

$$C^{-1} t^{-13} |u|_{H_0^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq |u|_{H_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq C t^{13} |u|_{H_0^{\text{app}}}^2$$

*Proof.* We consider four types of regions covering  $X$  together with local frames of  $F$  and prove the claim by studying local form of  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ :

- (1) for  $p_j \in D_r$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t} = \{\rho < \rho_0 t^{-2/3}\}$  with  $\rho_0$  as in Prop 3.8;
- (2) for  $p_j \in D_r$ ,  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$ ,
- (3)  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  for  $p_j \in D_\beta$  or  $D_\gamma$ , and
- (4)  $X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}'_j$ .

On (1), (2), (3), we use holomorphic frame  $s_j^{(0)}$  defined in §3.4.2. We cover (4) by a finite atlas over which  $L$  and  $K$  are trivialized and take a unitary frame with respect to the metric  $\iota^*(h_L^{-2} h_K \oplus h_L h_K)$  where  $h_L = h_{L,\lambda(t_0)}^0$ . By Lemma 4.12, it suffices bound the ratio  $\alpha_2(H)/\alpha_1(H)$  where  $0 < \alpha_1(H) \leq \alpha_2(H)$  are the eigenvalues of  $H$  the local form of  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ .

*Region (1):* Note that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $\rho_0 t^{-2/3} < R/3$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t} \subset \mathbb{D}'_j$ . From (36) and Def 3.5, we have

$$H_{t,\lambda}(\zeta) = (\Gamma_t^*)^{-1} H_{1,\lambda}(t^{2/3} \zeta) \Gamma_t^{-1}$$

with  $\Gamma_t = \text{diag}(t^{1/3}, t^{-1/3})$ . The matrix-valued function  $(h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{\lambda(t)}}$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  (where the frame  $s_j^{\lambda(t)}$  is defined in Def 3.24) is therefore given by  $H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}(t^{-2/3} \zeta') = (\Gamma_t^*)^{-1} H_{1,\lambda_j(t)}(\zeta') \Gamma_t^{-1}$ ,

where  $\zeta' = t^{2/3}\zeta \in K = \overline{B(0, \rho_0)}$  is a fixed compact set. The eigenvalues are roots  $0 < \alpha_{1,\lambda} \leq \alpha_{2,\lambda}$  of

$$x^2 - \left( t^{2/3} (H_{1,\lambda})_{11} + t^{-2/3} (H_{1,\lambda})_{22} \right) x + \det H_{1,\lambda} = 0$$

for  $\lambda = \lambda_j(t)$ . By Cor 3.18 there is  $C_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in I$ ,

$$\frac{\alpha_{2,\lambda}}{\alpha_{1,\lambda}} \leq C_0 t^{4/3}$$

Therefore there is  $C_1 > 1$  such that for all  $A$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$ ,

$$C_1^{-1} t^{-4/3} |A|_I^2 \leq |A|_{(h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^\lambda}} \leq C_1 t^{4/3} |A|_I^2$$

*Region (2):* let  $H_0 = \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}}$  and  $H_1 = \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}}$ . We have by (72) there are  $C_2, c_2 > 0$  such that  $|H_0 - H_1| \leq C_2 e^{-c_2 t^{2/3}}$ . By the definition of  $\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda}^{\text{ext}}$  in (69) and Prop 3.14, there is  $C_3 > 0$  such that  $|H_1| \leq C_3 t^{4a'_\lambda/3}$  where  $a'_\lambda = \max(-2\lambda, \lambda)$  and  $\lambda = \lambda_j(t)$ . For  $t \gg 1$ , we have  $|H_0| \leq 2C_3 t^{4a'_\lambda/3}$ . Therefore if  $\epsilon_0$  is as in Lemma 4.13, for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$|H_0^{-1/2} (H_0 - H_1) H_0^{-1/2}|, |H_1^{-1/2} (H_0 - H_1) H_1^{-1/2}| \leq \epsilon_0,$$

and there is  $C_4 \geq 1$  such that  $C_4^{-1} |A|_{H_1}^2 \leq |A|_{H_0}^2 \leq C_4 |A|_{H_1}^2$ . By Prop 3.14, there is  $C_5 > 0$  such that  $\alpha_2(H_1)/\alpha_1(H_1) \leq C_5 t^{4|\lambda_j(t)|} \leq C_5 t$ . Combining the above, by Lemma 4.12, there is  $C_6 > 1$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $C_6^{-1} t^{-1} |A|_I^2 \leq |A|_{H_0}^2 \leq C_6 t |A|_I^2$  for all  $A$ .

By (68) and Def 3.26, 3.24, we have

$$(133) \quad (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j}^\lambda = S^* \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}} S, \quad S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_j & -1 \\ \zeta_j & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have  $C_7 > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$ ,  $|S| \leq C_7$ , and  $|S^{-1}| < C_7 t^{2/3}$ . Therefore by Lemma 4.14, there is  $C_8 > 1$  such that

$$C_8^{-1} t^{-10/3} |A|_{H_0}^2 \leq |A|_{S^* H_0 S}^2 = |A|_{(h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}}} \leq C_8 t^{10/3} |A|_{H_0}^2.$$

*Combining results on regions (1) and (2):* on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$ , there is  $C_9 > 1$  such that for all  $A$ ,

$$(134) \quad C_9 t^{-13/3} |A|_I^2 \leq |A|_{(h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^\lambda}} \leq C_9 t^{13/3} |A|_I^2$$

Note that by Def 3.26,  $(h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}} = (S^{-1} T_{\lambda,j} S)^* (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^\lambda} (S^{-1} T_{\lambda,j} S)$ . By direct calculation, we have

$$(135) \quad S^{-1} T_{\lambda,j}^{\pm 1} S = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\pm F} + e^{\mp F/2} & \zeta^{-1} (-e^{\pm F} + e^{\mp F/2}) \\ \zeta (-e^{\pm F} + e^{\mp F/2}) & e^{\pm F} + e^{\mp F/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $F = F_{\lambda(t),j}$  defined in (88) is a linear combination of fixed holomorphic functions  $\xi_j$  and  $f_{\ell_j}$  with coefficients linear in  $\lambda \in I$ . In particular,  $\xi_j(0) = f_{\ell_j}(0) = 0$ . Thus there is  $C_{10} > 0$  such that for  $\lambda \in I$ ,

$$(136) \quad |S^{-1} T_{\lambda,j}^{\pm 1} S| \leq C_{10}.$$

By Lemma 4.14, there is  $C_{11} > 1$  such that for any  $u \in \text{End}(F)$  over  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  and  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$C_{11}^{-1} t^{-13} |u|_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq |u|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq C_{11} t^{13} |u|_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2$$

*Region (3):* Note that by the properties  $\psi_P$  in Prop 3.6, there is  $C_{12} > 0$  such that  $\left|H_t^{\text{int},\beta}\right|, \left|H_t^{\text{int},\gamma}\right| \leq C_{12}$ . By (93) and Prop 3.14, there is  $C_{13} > 0$  such that

$$\alpha_2(H) / \alpha_1(H) \leq C_{13} t^{4|\lambda_{j_0}(t)|} \leq C_{13} t$$

where  $H = (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}}$ . By Lemma 4.12, there is  $C_{14} > 1$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  for  $t$  large enough,

$$(137) \quad C_{14}^{-1} t^{-1} |u|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq |u|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq C_{14} t |u|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2$$

*Region (4):* from Def 3.23, 2.11 we have  $h_{L,\lambda,t} = h_{L,\lambda}^0 e^{\eta_{\lambda,t}}$  and  $h_{L,\lambda}^0 = h_{L,\text{HE}} e^{\varphi_\lambda}$  where  $\varphi_\lambda$  is a linear combination of fixed functions  $G_j$  bounded on  $X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}'_j$  with coefficients linear in  $\lambda$ . By Prop 3.14, there are  $C_{15}$  and  $C'_{15} > 0$  such that for all  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$|\eta_{\lambda,t} - (4/3)\lambda_{j_0} \log t| \leq C_{15}, \quad |\varphi_\lambda| \leq C'_{15}$$

$H$  be a local form of  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ . From Def 3.26 there is  $C''_{15} > 0$  such that  $\alpha_2(H) / \alpha_1(H) \leq C''_{15} t^{4|\lambda_{j_0}|} \leq C''_{15} t$ . Therefore there is  $C_{16} > 1$  such that on  $X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}'_j$  with  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$(138) \quad C_{16}^{-1} t^{-1} |u|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq |u|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq C_{16} t |u|_{h_t^{\text{app}}}^2.$$

q.e.d.

**Lemma 4.16.** *There is  $C > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $u \in L^2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$  we have*

$$\left\| \Delta_{h_t^{\text{app}}} u - \Delta_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}} u \right\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq C t^4 \left( \left\| d_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}} u \right\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 \right),$$

where  $d_h = \partial_h + \bar{\partial}$  the exterior covariant derivative with respect to the Chern connection  $\nabla_h$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H$  (resp.  $U$ ) be the local form of a metric  $h$  (resp. an endomorphism  $u$ ) with respect to a holomorphic frame over a chart  $(V; z)$ . Up to a positive scalar independent of  $h$ , the local form of  $\Delta_h u = i\Lambda_\omega(\bar{\partial}\partial_h u - \partial_h\bar{\partial}u)$  is

$$(139) \quad -4\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z U - 4 \left[ H^{-1}\partial_{\bar{z}}H, \partial_{\bar{z}}U \right] - 2 \left[ \partial_{\bar{z}}(H^{-1}\partial_z H), U \right].$$

Fix local holomorphic frames as in the proof of Lemma 4.15. Since the first term  $-4\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z U$  is independent of  $H$ , it suffices to bound the differences in  $H^{-1}\partial_{\bar{z}}H, \partial_{\bar{z}}(H^{-1}\partial_z H)$  for  $H$  given by  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  (resp.  $h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}$ ) over the four types of regions listed at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 4.15. Note that the second term is proportional to the curvature  $F_{\nabla_h}$ , which vanishes for both  $h_t^{\text{app}}$  and  $h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}$  outside  $\mathbb{D}'_j$ .

*Region (1):* On  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  with  $p_j \in D_r$ , denote by  $T_1 = S^{-1}T_{\lambda(t),j}S$  (see (133) and Def 3.26),  $T_0 = S^{-1}T_{\lambda(t_0),j}S$ ,  $H_1 = H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}$ , and  $H_0 = H_{t_0,\lambda_j(t_0)}$ . Let  $H'_\ell = T_\ell^* H_\ell T_\ell$  for  $\ell = 0, 1$ . We have  $(h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}} = H'_1$  and  $(h_{t_0}^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}} = H'_0$ . Note that  $\partial_{\bar{z}}T_\ell = 0$ , we have by a direct calculation,

$$(140) \quad \begin{aligned} (H'_\ell)^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} H'_\ell &= T_\ell^{-1} (H_\ell^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} H_\ell) T_\ell + T_\ell^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} T_\ell, \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} \left( (H'_\ell)^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} H'_\ell \right) &= T_\ell^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} (H_\ell^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} H_\ell) T_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

By (36) and Def 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1 &= t^{2/3} \Gamma_t \left( H_{1,\lambda_j(t)}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{1,\lambda_j(t)} \Big|_{t^{2/3}\zeta} \right) \Gamma_t^{-1}, \\ \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1 \right) &= t^{4/3} \Gamma_t \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( \zeta \mapsto H_{1,\lambda_j(t)}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{1,\lambda_j(t)} \Big|_{t^{2/3}\zeta} \right) \Gamma_t^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma_t = \text{diag}(t^{1/3}, t^{-1/3})$ . We have  $|\Gamma_t A \Gamma_t^{-1}| \leq t^{2/3} |A|$ . On  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{jt}$ , we have  $|\zeta| \leq \rho_0 t^{-2/3}$ , thus  $t^{2/3}\zeta \in \overline{B(0, \rho_0)}$  a compact set independent of  $t$ . By Cor 3.18, there are  $C_0, C'_0, C_1, C'_1 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1| &\leq C_0 t^{4/3}, \quad |H_0^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_0| \leq C'_0, \\ \left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1 \right) \right| &\leq C_1 t^2, \quad \left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( H_0^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_0 \right) \right| \leq C'_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.15, there is  $C_2 > 0$  such that  $|T_\ell^{\pm 1}|, |T_\ell^{-1} \partial_\zeta T_\ell| \leq C_2$  for  $\ell = 0, 1$  and  $t \gg 1$ . Thus there are  $C_3, C'_3 > 0$  such that

$$(141) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| (H'_1)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_1 - (H'_0)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_0 \right| &\leq C_3 t^{4/3}, \\ \left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( (H'_1)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_1 - (H'_0)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_0 \right) \right| &\leq C'_3 t^2. \end{aligned}$$

*Region (2):* On  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{jt}$  with  $p_j \in D_r$ , we have by the frame defined at the beginning of §3.4.2, Def 3.24 and 3.26 that  $(h_t^{\text{app}})_{\sigma_j^{(0)}} = S^* T_{\lambda(t),j}^* (h_t^{\text{app}})_{\sigma_j^{\lambda(t)}} T_{\lambda(t),j} S$  and that  $(h_t^{\text{app}})_{\sigma_j^{\lambda(t)}} = \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}}$ . Let  $H_0 = \widetilde{H}_{t_0,\lambda_j(t_0)}^{\text{int}}, H_1 = \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}}, T_0 = T_{\lambda(t_0),j} S, T_1 = T_{\lambda(t),j} S$ , and let  $H'_\ell = T_\ell^* H_\ell T_\ell$ . The calculations in (140) still apply. Let  $H_{\infty,1} = \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}}$ . Note that we have  $\rho_0 t^{-2/3} \leq \rho \leq 2R/3$  on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{jt}$ . By a direct calculation using (69), (49), and Prop 3.14, there are  $C_{14}, C'_{14} > 0$  such that

$$C_{14} R^{-1} \leq |H_{\infty,1}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{\infty,1}| \leq C'_{14} t^{2/3} \rho_0^{-1}$$

By (73), there are  $C_5, C'_5 > 0$  such that

$$\left| H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1 - H_{\infty,1}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{\infty,1} \right| \leq C_5 \leq C'_5 |H_{\infty,1}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{\infty,1}|$$

Therefore there are  $C_6, C'_6 > 0$  such that

$$(142) \quad \left| H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1 \right| \leq (1 + C_6) |H_{\infty,1}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{\infty,1}| \leq C'_6 t^{2/3}$$

Since  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( H_{\infty,1}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{\infty,1} \right) = 0$ , again by (73) given, there is  $C_7 > 0$  such that

$$\left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1 \right) \right| \leq C_7$$

By (133), there are  $C_8$  and  $C'_8 > 0$  such that  $|S| \leq C_8, |S^{-1}| \leq C'_8 t^{2/3}$  on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{jt}$ . Therefore there are  $C_9, C'_9, C''_9 > 0$  such that  $|T_1| \leq C_9, |T_1^{-1}| \leq C'_9 t^{2/3}$  and  $|T_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta T_1| \leq C''_9 t^{2/3}$ . Note that  $H'_0$  is independent of  $t$ . By (140), there are  $C_{10}, C'_{10} > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{jt}$ ,

$$(143) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| (H'_1)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_1 - (H'_0)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_0 \right| &\leq C_{10} t^{4/3}, \\ \left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( (H'_1)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_1 - (H'_0)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_0 \right) \right| &\leq C'_{10} t^{2/3} \end{aligned}$$

*Region (3):* On  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  with  $p_j \in D_\gamma$ , let  $H_0 = H_{t_0}^{\text{int},\gamma}$ ,  $H_1 = H_t^{\text{int},\gamma}$ ,  $T_0 = T'_{\lambda(t_0),j,t_0}$ ,  $T_1 = T'_{\lambda(t),j,t}$ . Let  $H'_\ell = T_\ell^* H_\ell T_\ell$  with  $\ell = 0, 1$ . We have  $H'_0 = (h_{t_0}^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}}$  and  $H'_1 = (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}}$ . Note that  $H_\ell^{\pm 1}$ ,  $\partial_\zeta H_\ell$  and  $T_\ell^{\pm 1}$  are diagonal, we have from (140) that  $(H'_\ell)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_\ell = H_\ell^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_\ell + T_\ell^{-1} \partial_\zeta T_\ell$  and  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( (H'_\ell)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_\ell \right) = \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( H_\ell^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_\ell \right)$ . The latter has all but (1,1) entry zero. By a direct calculation,

$$H_1^{-1} \partial_\rho H_1 = \text{diag} \left( -\chi' \psi_P - (2\rho)^{-1} (1 + 2\chi\rho \partial_\rho \psi_P), 0 \right)$$

where  $\psi_P$  is the Painlevé function (see (47)). We have  $1 + 2\rho \partial_\rho \psi_P = 8\eta_P$  where

$$(144) \quad \eta_P = \eta \left( (8/3)t\rho^{3/2} \right), \quad \eta(x) = (1 + 3x\psi'(x)) / 8.$$

By Lemma 3.4 of [MSWW16], we have that  $\eta(x) \leq C_{11}x^{4/3}$  for  $x \ll 1$  and  $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1/8$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . For  $t \gg 1$ , let  $\rho_1$  be such that  $t^{-2/3}\rho_1 < R/3$ , therefore for  $\rho \leq \rho_1$ ,  $\chi(\rho) = 1$  and there is  $C_{11} > 0$  such that

$$(2\rho)^{-1} |1 + 2\chi\rho \partial_\rho \psi_P| = 4\rho^{-1} \eta_P \leq C_{11}t^{2/3}.$$

On the other hand for  $t^{-2/3}\rho_1 \leq \rho \leq 2R/3$ , we have  $2\chi\rho |\partial_\rho \psi_P| \leq 2\rho |\partial_\rho \psi_P| = |\eta_P - 1| \leq 1$ . It follows that

$$(2\rho)^{-1} |1 + 2\chi\rho \partial_\rho \psi_P| \leq \rho^{-1} \leq \rho_1^{-1} t^{2/3}.$$

Note that  $\chi'$  is supported only on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \mathbb{D}''_j$ , where  $(8/3)t\rho^{3/2} \geq (8/3)(R/3)^{3/2}$ . Since  $\psi_P > 0$  is decreasing monotonically, there is  $C_{12} > 0$  such that  $|\chi' \psi_P| \leq C_{12}$  on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$ . By the form of  $T'_{\lambda,j,t}$  in Def 3.26, there is  $C_{13} > 0$  such that  $|T_1^{\pm 1}|, |T_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta T_1| \leq C_{13}$ .

By (140) since  $H'_0$  is independent of  $t$ , there is  $C_{14} > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$(145) \quad \left| (H'_1)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_1 - (H'_0)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_0 \right| \leq C_{14} t^{4/3}.$$

On  $\mathbb{D}''_j$ ,  $\chi \equiv 1$  therefore

$$\left| \left( \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1 \right) \right)_{1,1} \right| = \left| (4\rho)^{-1} \left( \partial_\rho \psi_P + \rho \partial_\rho^2 \psi_P \right) \right| = |2t^2 \rho \sinh(2\psi_P)|$$

By the asymptotic expansion of  $\psi_P(x)$  for  $x \rightarrow 0$ , there is  $C_{15} > 0$  such that  $\sinh(2\psi_P) \leq C_{15}x^{-2/3}$ . Thus there is  $C'_{15} > 0$  with

$$\left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1 \right) \right| \leq |2t^2 \rho \sinh(2\psi_P)| \leq C'_{15} t^{4/3}$$

On  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \mathbb{D}''_j$ , we have  $C_{16}, C'_{16} > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left( \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( H_1^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_1 \right) \right)_{1,1} \right| &\leq (\chi/(4\rho)) |\partial_\rho \psi_P + \rho \partial_\rho^2 \psi_P| + |\chi'| (4\rho)^{-1} (|\psi_P| + 2\rho |\partial_\rho \psi_P|) + (1/4) |\chi''| |\psi_P| \\ &\leq C_{16} \left( (4\rho)^{-1} |\partial_\rho \psi_P + \rho \partial_\rho^2 \psi_P| + |\psi_P| + 2\rho |\partial_\rho \psi_P| \right) \\ (146) \quad &= C_{16} \left( |2t^2 \rho \sinh(2\psi_P)| + |\psi_P| + |8\eta_P - 1| \right) \leq C'_{16} t^{4/3}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used property of  $\eta_P$  as well as the fact that  $\psi_P$  decreases monotonically.

Combining the above estimates, there is  $C_{17} > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  with  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$(147) \quad \left| \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \left( (H'_1)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_1 - (H'_0)^{-1} \partial_\zeta H'_0 \right) \right| \leq C_{17} t^{4/3}$$

Note that  $H_t^{\text{int},\beta} = (H_t^{\text{int},\gamma})^{-1}$ . The same estimates hold on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  for  $p_j \in D_\beta$ .

*On region (4):* Fix a finite atlas  $(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  trivializing line bundle  $L$  of  $X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}'_j$ . Let  $s_\alpha$  be a holomorphic frame of  $V|_{U_\alpha}$  induced by that of  $L|_{U_\alpha}$  and  $dz_\alpha$  and identify  $F$  with  $V$  via  $\iota$  over each  $U_\alpha$ . Let  $H_0$  (resp.  $H_1$ ) be the local form of  $h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}$  (resp.  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ ) with respect to this frame over  $U_\alpha$ . From Def 2.11 and §3.4.2 we have  $h_{L,\lambda(t),t} = h_{L,\lambda(t)}^0 e^{\eta\lambda(t),t} = h_{L,\text{HE}} e^{\varphi_{\lambda(t)} + \eta\lambda(t),t}$ . We have

$$(148) \quad (\iota^* (h_{L,\text{HE}}^{-2} h_K \oplus h_{L,\text{HE}} h_K))_{s_\alpha} = \text{diag} (h_0^{-2}, h_0)$$

where  $h_0 > 0$  on  $U_\alpha$ . It follows that

$$H_0 = \text{diag} (h_0^{-2} e^{-2\varphi_{\lambda(t_0)} - 2\eta\lambda(t_0),t_0}, h_0 e^{\varphi_{\lambda(t_0)} + \eta\lambda(t_0),t_0}),$$

$$H_1 = \text{diag} (h_0^{-2} e^{-2\varphi_{\lambda(t)} - 2\eta\lambda(t),t}, h_0 e^{\varphi_{\lambda(t)} + \eta\lambda(t),t}).$$

where  $\varphi_\lambda$  is a linear combination of fixed functions  $G_\ell$  with coefficients linear in  $\lambda$ . Thus there is  $C_{18} > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\alpha$  we have on  $U_\alpha$ ,

$$|\partial_{z_\alpha} \varphi_\lambda| \leq C_{18}$$

Therefore there is  $C'_{18} > 0$  such that

$$(149) \quad \max_{\alpha} \sup_{U_\alpha} |H_1^{-1} \partial_{z_\alpha} H_1 - H_0^{-1} \partial_{z_\alpha} H_0| \leq C'_{18}$$

Combining (141), (145), (147), and (149), there are  $C, C' > 0$  such that for  $u \in L_2^2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$  and  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta_{h_t^{\text{app}}} u - \Delta_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}} u\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq C' \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \int_{U_\alpha} \left\| [H_1^{-1} \partial_{z_\alpha} H_1 - H_0^{-1} \partial_{z_\alpha} H_0, \partial_{\bar{z}_\alpha} u_{s_\alpha}] \right\|^2 \\ & + C' \sum_{j=1}^{4g-4} \int_{\mathbb{D}'_j} \left\| [H_1^{-1} \partial_{\zeta_j} H_1 - H_0^{-1} \partial_{\zeta_j} H_0, \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_j} u_{s_j^{(0)}}] \right\|^2 \\ & + C' \sum_{j=1}^{4g-4} \int_{\mathbb{D}'_j} \left\| [\partial_{\bar{\zeta}_j} (H_1^{-1} \partial_{\zeta_j} H_1) - \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_j} (H_0^{-1} \partial_{\zeta_j} H_0), u_{s_j^{(0)}}] \right\|^2 \\ & \leq C t^4 \left( \|d_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}} u\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_0$  (resp.  $H_1$ ) is the local form of  $h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}$  (resp.  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ ), and in the last step, we used the fact that there is  $C'' > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$\int_{U_\alpha} |\partial_{\bar{z}_\alpha} u_{s_\alpha}|^2, \int_{\mathbb{D}'_j} |\partial_{\bar{\zeta}_j} u_{s_j^{(0)}}|^2 \leq C'' \left( \|d_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}} u\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2, h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 \right).$$

q.e.d.

As a consequence of (141), (143), and (147) in the above proof we have:

**Lemma 4.17.** *There is  $C > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,*

$$|F_\nabla|_{h_{t_0}^{\text{app}}}^2 \leq C t^4$$

where  $\nabla = \nabla_{h_t^{\text{app}}}$ .

**Lemma 4.18.** *There is  $C > 0$  such that  $u \in L^2_2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$  and  $t$  large enough,*

$$\|(\psi, \hat{u})\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}} \leq Ct^{11/3} \|u\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}$$

where  $\psi = \psi_{\beta, \gamma, h_t^{\text{app}}}$  is defined in Prop 4.2.

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemmas 4.15 we use the decomposition of  $X$  into four types of regions, use holomorphic frames  $s_j^{(0)}$  in §3.4.2 over  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  and  $s_\alpha$  over  $U_\alpha$  induced by that of  $L$  and  $dz_\alpha$  of  $K$ . Let  $H$  (resp.  $U$ ) be the local form of  $H_t^{\text{app}}$  (resp.  $u$ ) with respect to respective holomorphic frames and let  $\hat{U} = U + (\text{tr } U) \text{Id}$ . The local form of  $\{\psi, \hat{u}\}$  is given by

$$\{\gamma_0 \gamma_0^* H \det H + (\det H)^{-1} H^{-1} \beta_0^* \beta_0, \hat{U}\}$$

*Region (1):* By (36) and Def 3.5, we have that  $H_{t,\lambda}(\zeta) = (\Gamma_t^*)^{-1} H_{1,\lambda}(t^{2/3}\zeta) \Gamma_t^{-1}$  with  $\Gamma_t = \text{diag}(t^{1/3}, t^{-1/3})$ . For  $t \gg 1$ ,  $H_{t,\lambda}$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  is determined by values of  $H_{1,\lambda}$  on the fixed compact set  $\overline{B(0, \rho_0)}$ . We have  $|\Gamma_t A \Gamma_t^{-1}| \lesssim t^{2/3} |A|$  for  $A$  a  $2 \times 2$  matrix. Thus there is  $C_0 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$\left| H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\pm 1} \right| \leq C_0 t^{2/3}, \quad \left| \det H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\pm 1} \right| \leq C_0.$$

Furthermore, there is  $C_1 > 0$  such that  $\left| H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\pm 1} \det H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\pm 1} \right| \leq C_1 t^{2/3}$ . Let  $H = (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}}$  we have  $H = (S^{-1} T_{\lambda(t), j} S)^* H_{t,\lambda_j(t)} (S^{-1} T_{\lambda(t), j} S)$  where  $S$  is given in (133). By (136), there is  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$|H \det H|, \quad |H^{-1} \det H^{-1}| \leq C_2 t^{2/3}$$

*Region (2):* On  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  let  $H' = (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}}$  we have

$$H' = (T_{\lambda(t), j} S)^* \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}} (T_{\lambda(t), j} S)$$

By arguments below (133) and (135) there is  $C_4 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $|T_{\lambda(t), j} S|$ ,  $|\det(T_{\lambda(t), j} S)| \leq C_4$ ,  $|S^{-1} T_{\lambda(t), j}^{-1}|$ ,  $|\det(S^{-1} T_{\lambda(t), j}^{-1})| \leq C_4 t^{2/3}$ . By arguments in the proof of Lemma 3.20 and applying Lemma 3.19 and (72), there are  $C_5, c_5 > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$\left| \left( \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}} \det \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}} \right)^{\pm 1} - \left( \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}} \det \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}} \right)^{\pm 1} \right| \leq C_5 e^{-c_5 t^{2/3}}.$$

By a direct calculation and Prop 3.14, there is  $C_6 > 0$  such that

$$\left| \left( \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}} \det \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}} \right)^{\pm 1} \right| \leq C_6 t^{4|\lambda_j(t)|} \leq C_6 t$$

Therefore there is  $C'_6 > 0$  such that  $\left| \left( \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}} \det \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}} \right)^{\pm 1} \right| \leq C'_6 t$ . Combining the above, we have that there are  $C_7, C'_7 > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$ ,

$$|H' \det H'| \leq C_7 t, \quad |(H')^{-1} \det (H')^{-1}| \leq C'_7 t^{11/3}.$$

*Region (3):* On  $\mathbb{D}'_j = \{\rho \leq 2R/3\}$  for  $p_j \in D_\beta$  or  $D_\gamma$ , we have by direct calculation,

$$(H_t^{\text{int}, \gamma}) \det H_t^{\text{int}, \gamma} = \text{diag}(\rho^{-1} e^{-2\chi\psi_\rho}, \rho^{-1/2} e^{-\chi\psi_\rho}) = (H_t^{\text{int}, \beta})^{-1} (\det H_t^{\text{int}, \beta})^{-1}.$$

On  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$ , we have  $\rho^{-1}e^{-2\psi_P} = t^{2/3}f(t^{2/3}\rho)$  where  $f(x) = x^{-1}e^{-2\psi(\frac{8}{3}x^{3/2})}$  and  $\psi_P, \psi$  are as in (47). By the properties of  $\psi_P$  in Prop 3.6, there is  $C_8 > 0$  such that  $|f|, |f^{-1}| \leq C_8$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \rho_0$ . Therefore there is  $C'_8 > 0$  such that on  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  we have  $|\rho^{-1}e^{-2\chi\psi_P}| \leq C'_8 t^{2/3}$ ,  $|\rho e^{2\chi\psi_P}| \leq C'_8$ .

On  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  for  $p_j \in D_\beta$  since  $\chi\psi_P > 0$ , we have  $|\rho^{-1}e^{-2\chi\psi_P}| \leq |\rho^{-1}| \lesssim t^{2/3}$ . On the other hand, since  $\psi_P$  is monotonically decreasing, so is  $\chi\psi_P$ . Therefore there is  $C_9 > 0$  such that  $|\rho e^{2\chi\psi_P}| \leq C_9$ .

Therefore on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  for  $p_j \in D_\beta$  or  $D_\gamma$ , we have that there is  $C_{10} > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} \det H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} \right|, \left| \left( H_t^{\text{int},\beta} \det H_t^{\text{int},\beta} \right)^{-1} \right| \leq C_{10} t^{2/3}, \\ & \left| \left( H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} \det H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} \right)^{-1} \right|, \left| H_t^{\text{int},\beta} \det H_t^{\text{int},\beta} \right| \leq C_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation, there is  $C_{11} > 0$  such that  $\left| T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} \right| \leq C_{11} t^{2a'_\lambda j_0(t)/3}$ ,  $\left| \left( T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} \right)^{-1} \right| \leq C_{11} t^{2a_\lambda j_0(t)/3}$ ,  $\left| \det T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} \right| \leq C_{11} t^{-2\lambda j_0(t)/3}$ ,  $\left| \left( \det T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} \right)^{-1} \right| \leq C_{11} t^{2\lambda j_0(t)/3}$  where  $a_\lambda = \max(2\lambda, -\lambda)$ ,  $a'_\lambda = \max(-2\lambda, \lambda)$ . Let  $H = \left( h_t^{\text{app}} \right)_{s_j^{(0)}}$  we have by Def 3.26

$$H = \begin{cases} \left( T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} \right)^* H_t^{\text{int},\beta} T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} & p_j \in D_\beta \\ \left( T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} \right)^* H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} & p_j \in D_\gamma \end{cases}$$

Combining the above estimates, there is  $C_{12} > 0$  such that for  $p_j \in D_\gamma$ ,

$$|H \det H| \leq C_{12} t^{5/3}, \quad |H^{-1} \det H^{-1}| \leq C_{12} t$$

and for  $p_j \in D_\beta$ ,

$$|H \det H| \leq C_{12} t, \quad |H^{-1} \det H^{-1}| \leq C_{12} t^{5/3}$$

*Region (4):* On  $X - \coprod_j \mathbb{D}'_j$ , let  $H$  be the local form of  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ . We have for  $s = \pm 1$ ,

$$(H \det H)^s = \text{diag} \left( h_0^{3s} e^{s(3\varphi_{\lambda(t)} + 3\eta_{\lambda(t)})}, 1 \right).$$

By Prop 3.14, there is  $C_{13} > 0$  such that  $|\eta_{\lambda(t),t} - \frac{4}{3}\lambda_{j_0}(t) \log t| \leq C_{13}$  for  $t \gg 1$ . It follows that there is  $C_{14} > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha$  we have on  $U_\alpha$

$$|(H \det H)^{\pm 1}| \leq C_{14} t$$

The conclusion follows from combining all the above estimates. q.e.d.

Now we are ready to prove Prop 4.11

*Proof.* Denote by  $f \lesssim g$  if there is constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $t$  such that  $f \leq Cg$  for  $t \gg 1$ . By Prop 4.2 and Lemmas 4.16, and 4.18, we have for  $u \in L_2^2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta_{h_0^{\text{app}}} u \right\|^2 & \leq \|L_t u\|^2 + \left\| \Delta_{h_t^{\text{app}}} u - \Delta_{h_0^{\text{app}}} u \right\|^2 + \left\| t^2 \{ \psi_{\beta,\gamma,h_t^{\text{app}}}, \hat{u} \} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \|L_t u\|^2 + t^4 \left\| d_{h_0^{\text{app}}} u \right\|^2 + t^{22/3+4} \|u\|^2 \leq \|L_t u\|^2 + t^4 \left( \Delta_{h_0^{\text{app}}} u, u \right) + t^{11} \|u\|^2 \\ & \leq \|L_t u\|^2 + \frac{\epsilon t^4}{2} \left\| \Delta_{h_0^{\text{app}}} u \right\|^2 + \frac{t^4}{2\epsilon} \|u\|^2 + t^{11} \|u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2, h_0^{\text{app}}}$ ,  $(\cdot, \cdot) = (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2, h_0^{\text{app}}}$ , and we will denote below  $\|\cdot\|_t = \|\cdot\|_{L^2, h_t^{\text{app}}}$ . By taking  $\epsilon = t^{-4}$ , we get that

$$\left\| \Delta_{h_0^{\text{app}}} u \right\|^2 \lesssim \|L_t u\|^2 + t^{13} \|u\|^2$$

By the elliptic estimate of  $\Delta_{h_0^{\text{app}}}$  on sections of  $\text{End}(F)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^2, h_0^{\text{app}}}^2 &\lesssim \left\| \Delta_{h_0^{\text{app}}} u \right\|^2 + \|u\|^2 \lesssim \|L_t u\|^2 + t^{11} \|u\|^2 \lesssim \|L_t u\|^2 + t^{11+13} \|u\|^2 \\ &\lesssim \|L_t u\|^2 + t^{24} (\log t)^2 \|L_t u\|^2 \lesssim (1 + t^{24+13} (\log t)^2) \|L_t u\|^2 \lesssim t^{38} \|L_t u\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we applied Lemma 4.15 to compare  $\|\cdot\|_t^2$  and  $\|\cdot\|^2$  as well as Cor 4.10, the conclusion follows. q.e.d.

**4.4. Estimates for the remainder term.** In this section, we prove an upper bound on the remainder term defined in (106),

$$\begin{aligned} R_t(u) &= 2i\Lambda e^{u/2} \mathcal{H}_{t,h} e^{-u/2} - 2i\Lambda \mathcal{H}_{t,h} - L_t(u) \\ &= 2i\Lambda e^{u/2} F_{\nabla_{h, e^u}} e^{-u/2} \\ &\quad + 2it^2 \Lambda e^{u/2} (\beta \wedge \beta^{*h, e^u}) e^{-u/2} - 2it\Lambda (\beta \wedge \beta_h) \\ &\quad - \Delta_h u - t^2 \{ \psi_{\beta, \gamma, h}, \hat{u} \} - 2i\Lambda F_{\nabla_h} \\ &\quad + 2it^2 \Lambda e^{u/2} (\gamma^{*h, e^u} \wedge \gamma) e^{-u/2} - 2it^2 \Lambda (\gamma^{*h, e^u} \wedge \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h = h_t^{\text{app}}$ . For a metric  $h$  and  $g \in \text{Aut}(F)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \wedge \beta^{*h, g} &= (\beta \wedge \beta^{*h}) \circ \bar{g} \\ \gamma^{*h, g} \wedge \gamma &= (\bar{g})^{-1} \circ (\gamma^{*h} \wedge \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{g} = (\det g) g$ . We have

$$(150) \quad R_t(u) = R_t^{(0)}(u) + R_t^{(1)}(u) + R_t^{(2)}(u)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R_t^{(0)}(u) &= i\Lambda S_t(u) \\ S_t(u) &= 2e^{u/2} F_{\nabla_h} e^{-u/2} - 2F_{\nabla_h} + 2e^{u/2} \bar{\partial} (e^{-u} \partial_h e^u) e^{u/2} - \bar{\partial} \partial_h u + \partial_h \bar{\partial} u \\ R_t^{(1)}(u) &= 2t^2 e^{\hat{u}/2} B_t e^{\hat{u}/2} - 2t^2 B_t - t^2 \{ B_t, \hat{u} \} \\ (151) \quad R_t^{(2)}(u) &= 2t^2 e^{-\hat{u}/2} C_t e^{-\hat{u}/2} - 2t^2 C_t + t^2 \{ C_t, \hat{u} \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B_t = i\Lambda \beta \wedge \beta^{*h}, \quad C_t = i\Lambda \gamma^{*h} \wedge \gamma$$

Given  $u \in \text{End}(F)$ , let

$$(152) \quad f_j(u) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} u^k$$

The lemma below follows easily from the Sobolev inequalities.

**Lemma 4.19.** *Given  $0 < r < 1$ , and  $u_0, u_1 \in B(0, r) \subset L^2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$ , for each  $j \geq 1$ , there is  $C_j > 0$  such that*

$$\|f_j(u_0) - f_j(u_1)\|_{L^2, h_0^{\text{app}}} \leq C_j r^{j-1} \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^2, h_0^{\text{app}}}$$

**Lemma 4.20.** *There is  $C > 0$  such that for  $u \in L^2_2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  and  $t \gg 1$*

$$\|\partial_{h_t^{\text{app}}} u\|_{L^2_{k-1, h_t^{\text{app}}}}^2 \leq C t^4 \|u\|_{L^2_{k, h_t^{\text{app}}}}^2$$

*Proof.* We prove the estimate as in the proof of Lemmas 4.15, 4.16, 4.18 on four types of regions decomposing  $X$ . By the equivalent definitions of  $L^2_k$  norm in (100) and (101), as well as the local form of  $\partial_h u$  with respect to a local holomorphic frame, the conclusion will follow from bounds on

$$(153) \quad H^{-1} \partial_z H, \quad \partial_{\bar{z}}(H^{-1} \partial_z H), \quad \partial_z(H^{-1} \partial_z H),$$

where  $H$  is the local form of  $h_t^{\text{app}}$ . Note that (141), (143), (145), and (149) in the proof of Lemmas 4.16, 4.17 already provide appropriate bounds on the first two.

*Region (1):* On  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$  with  $p_j \in D_r$ , let  $H = (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}}$ , we have  $H = (\widetilde{T})^* H_{t,\lambda_j(t)} \widetilde{T}$  where  $\widetilde{T} = S^{-1} T_{\lambda(t),j} S$ . We have

$$(154) \quad \begin{aligned} H^{-1} \partial_\zeta H &= \widetilde{T}^{-1} (H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}) \widetilde{T} + \widetilde{T}^{-1} \partial_\zeta \widetilde{T} \\ \partial_\zeta (H^{-1} \partial_\zeta H) &= \widetilde{T}^{-1} \partial_\zeta (H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}) \widetilde{T} + \partial_\zeta (\widetilde{T}^{-1}) (H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}) \widetilde{T}, \\ &+ \widetilde{T}^{-1} (H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{t,\lambda_j(t)}) \partial_\zeta (\widetilde{T}) + \partial_\zeta (\widetilde{T}^{-1} \partial_\zeta \widetilde{T}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta = \zeta_j$ , and we used  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \widetilde{T} = 0$ . By (36) and Def 3.5,  $\partial_\zeta (H_{t,\lambda}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{t,\lambda}) = t^{4/3} \Gamma_t \partial_\zeta (\zeta \mapsto H_{1,\lambda}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{1,\lambda}|_{t^{2/3}\zeta}) \Gamma_t$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$ , where  $\Gamma_t = \text{diag}(t^{1/3}, t^{-1/3})$ . The same arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.16 applies. We have  $|\Gamma_t A \Gamma_t^{-1}| \lesssim t^{2/3} |A|$  for  $A$  a  $2 \times 2$  matrix. By Cor 3.18 and (136), there is  $C_1 > 0$  independent in  $\lambda \in I$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $|\partial_\zeta (H_{t,\lambda}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{t,\lambda})| \leq C_1 t^2$ ,  $|H_{t,\lambda}^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_{t,\lambda}| \leq C_1 t^{4/3}$ . On the other hand, the arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.16 implies that there is  $C_2 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$|\widetilde{T}^{\pm 1}|, \quad |\partial_\zeta (\widetilde{T}^{\pm 1})|, \quad |\partial_\zeta (\widetilde{T}^{-1} \partial_\zeta \widetilde{T})| \leq C_2$$

Combining these, there is  $C_3 > 0$  such that

$$(155) \quad \left| \partial_\zeta (H^{-1} \partial_\zeta H) \right| \leq C_3 t^2$$

*Region (2):* On  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$ , let  $T' = T_{\lambda(t),j} S$ ,  $H = (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}}$ , we have  $H = (T')^* \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}} T'$ . As in the proof of Lemma 4.16 using Prop 3.14, there are  $C_4$  and  $C'_4 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$\left| \partial_\zeta \left( (\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}})^{-1} \partial_\zeta (\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}}) \right) \right| \leq C'_4 t^{4/3}$$

By (72) and the arguments in the proof of Lemma 3.20, there are  $C_5, c_5 > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j,t}$ ,

$$\left| \partial_\zeta \left( (\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}})^{-1} \partial_\zeta (\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}}) \right) - \partial_\zeta \left( (\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}})^{-1} \partial_\zeta (\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{ext}}) \right) \right| \leq C_5 e^{-c_5 t^{2/3}}$$

It follows that there is  $C_6 > 0$  such that

$$\left| \partial_\zeta \left( (\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}})^{-1} \partial_\zeta (\widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda_j(t)}^{\text{int}}) \right) \right| \leq C_6 t^{4/3}$$

By arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.16 there is  $C_8 > 0$  such that  $|T'|, |\partial_\zeta T'| \leq C_8, |(T')^{-1}|, |(T')^{-1} \partial_\zeta (T')| \leq C_8 t^{2/3}$  and  $|\partial_\zeta ((T')^{-1})|, |\partial_\zeta ((T')^{-1} \partial_\zeta T')| \leq C_8 t^{4/3}$ . Combining these estimates and (142) where  $H_1 = \widetilde{H}_{t,\lambda(t)}^{\text{int}}$ , there is  $C_9 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$(156) \quad \left| \partial_\zeta (H^{-1} \partial_\zeta H) \right| \leq C_9 t^2.$$

*Region (3):* For  $p_j \in D_\gamma$ , recall that  $H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} = \text{diag}(\rho^{-1/2} e^{-\chi \psi_P}, 1)$  where  $\psi_P$  is the Painlevé function (see (47)). On  $\mathbb{D}'_j, \chi \equiv 1$  and

$$\left| \partial_\zeta \left( (H_t^{\text{int},\gamma})^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} \right) \right| \leq (\rho^{-2}/4) |1 + \rho \partial_\rho \psi_P - \rho^2 \partial_\rho^2 \psi_P| = 2 |-t^2 \rho \sinh(2\psi_P) + \rho^{-2} \eta_P|$$

where  $\eta_P = (1 + 2\rho \partial_\rho \psi_P)/8$  as defined in the proof of Lemma 4.16. Recall that  $\rho^{-2} \eta_P \lesssim t^{4/3}$  and we have that  $t^2 \rho \sinh(2\psi_P) \lesssim t^{4/3}$ . Therefore there is  $C_{10} > 0$  such that on  $\mathbb{D}'_j$ ,

$$\left| \partial_\zeta \left( (H_t^{\text{int}})^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_t^{\text{int}} \right) \right|_{H_t^{\text{int}}} \leq C_{10} t^{4/3}$$

On  $\mathbb{D}'_j - \mathbb{D}''_j$  by (146), there are  $C_{11}$  and  $C'_{11} > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_\zeta \left( (H_t^{\text{int}})^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_t^{\text{int}} \right) \right|_{H_t^{\text{int}}} &\leq (\chi/(4\rho^2)) |1 + \rho \partial_\rho \psi_P - \rho^2 \partial_\rho^2 \psi_P| + (\chi'/(4\rho)) (|\psi_P| + 2\rho |\partial_\rho \psi_P|) \\ &+ (\chi''/4) |\psi_P| \leq C_{11} (|-2t^2 \rho \sinh(2\psi_P) + 2\rho^{-2} \eta_P| + |\psi_P| + |8\eta_P - 1|) \leq C'_{11} t^{4/3}. \end{aligned}$$

By (93), there is  $C_{12} > 0$  such that

$$\left| (T'_{\lambda(t),j,t})^{-1} \partial_\zeta T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} \right|, \left| \partial_\zeta \left( (T'_{\lambda(t),j,t})^{-1} \partial_\zeta T'_{\lambda(t),j,t} \right) \right| \leq C_{12}.$$

Let  $H = (h_t^{\text{app}})_{s_j^{(0)}}$  we have  $H = (T'_{\lambda(t),j,t})^* H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} T'_{\lambda(t),j,t}$ . By calculation in (154) and the estimate of  $\left| (H_t^{\text{int},\gamma})^{-1} \partial_\zeta H_t^{\text{int},\gamma} \right|$  in (145) there is  $C_{13} > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$(157) \quad \left| \partial_\zeta (H^{-1} \partial_\zeta H) \right| \leq C_{13} t^{4/3}.$$

Since  $H_t^{\text{int},\beta} = H^{-1}$ , we have the same bound of the last quantity in (153) for  $\mathbb{D}'_j$  with  $p_j \in D_\beta$ .

*Region (4):* On  $X - \bigsqcup_j \mathbb{D}'_j$ , notation as in the proof of Lemma 4.16, we have

$$\partial_{z_\alpha} (H^{-1} \partial_{z_\alpha} H) = \text{diag}(-2\partial_{z_\alpha}^2 \log h_0 - 2\partial_{z_\alpha}^2 \varphi_{\lambda(t)}, \partial_{z_\alpha}^2 \log h_0 + \partial_{z_\alpha}^2 \varphi_{\lambda(t)})$$

where  $h_0$  is given in (148).  $\varphi_\lambda$  is a linear combination with coefficients linear in  $\lambda$ , there is  $C_{14} > 0$  such that

$$(158) \quad \max_\alpha \sup_{U_\alpha} \left| \partial_{z_\alpha} (H^{-1} \partial_{z_\alpha} H) \right| \leq C_{14}$$

The global bound on the last quantity in (153) now follows from (155), (156), (157), and (158). q.e.d.

**Proposition 4.21.** *There is  $C > 0$ , such that for  $0 < r < 1$ ,  $u_0, u_1 \subset B(0, r) \in L^2_2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$  and  $t \gg 1$*

$$\|R_t(u_0) - R_t(u_1)\|_{L^2_2, h_t^{\text{app}}} \leq C r t^{17/3} \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^2_2, h_t^{\text{app}}}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$R_t^{(1)}(u) = 2t^2 \{B_t, f_2(\hat{u}/2)\} + 2t^2 f_1(\hat{u}/2) B_t f_1(\hat{u}/2),$$

where  $R_t^{(1)}$  is defined in (151) and we used  $e^{\hat{u}/2} = 1 + f_1(\hat{u}/2) = 1 + \hat{u}/2 + f_2(\hat{u}/2)$  where  $f_j$  is defined in (152). By the Sobolev embedding theorems there is  $C_j > 0$  such that  $\sup_X |f_j(u_0)|, |f_j(u_1)| \leq C_j r^j$  for  $j \geq 1$ . As a consequence of the proof of Lemma 4.18,  $\sup_X |B_t|, \sup_X |C_t| \lesssim t^{1/3}$ . Therefore by Lemma 4.19, there is  $C_0 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$(159) \quad \|R_t^{(1)}(u_0) - R_t^{(1)}(u_1)\|_{L^2} \leq C_0 t^{17/3} r \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^2_2}$$

Similarly, there is  $C'_0 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$(160) \quad \|R_t^{(2)}(u_0) - R_t^{(2)}(u_1)\|_{L^2} \leq C'_0 t^{17/3} r \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^2_2},$$

where  $R_t^{(2)}$  is given in (151). The conclusion follows once we obtain similar bounds for  $S_t(u_0) - S_t(u_1)$ . After canceling the linear terms we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_t(u) &= 2F_{\nabla_h} f_2\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right) + 2f_2\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right) F_{\nabla_h} + 2f_1\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) F_{\nabla_h} f_1\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right) + 2\bar{\partial}_h f_2(u) \\ &+ 2\bar{\partial}(f_1(-u)\partial_h f_1(u)) + [u, \bar{\partial}\partial_h f_1(u)] + [u, \bar{\partial}(f_1(-u)\partial_h f_1(u))] + 2(\bar{\partial}\partial_h f_1(u)) f_2\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right) \\ &+ 2\bar{\partial}(f_1(-u)\partial_h f_1(u)) f_2\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right) + 2f_2\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)(\bar{\partial}\partial_h f_1(u)) + 2f_2\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)\bar{\partial}(f_1(-u)\partial_h f_1(u)) \\ &+ 2f_1\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)(\bar{\partial}\partial_h f_1(u)) f_1\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right) + 2f_1\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)\bar{\partial}(f_1(-u)\partial_h f_1(u)) f_1\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $h = h_t^{\text{app}}$ . By Lemma 4.17,  $|F_{\nabla_h}| \lesssim t^2$ . The relevant terms can be expanded further using  $\bar{\partial}(A\partial_h B) = (\bar{\partial}A) \wedge (\partial_h B) + A\bar{\partial}\partial_h B$  where  $A, B \in \Omega^0(\text{End}(F))$ . For the terms containing products of  $\bar{\partial}(\cdot)$  or  $\partial_h(\cdot)$ , we use the bounded inclusions  $L^2_1 \times L^2_1 \subset L^4 \times L^4 \subset L^2$ , for all other terms use bounded inclusion  $L^2_2 \subset C^0$  and apply Lemmas 4.20, 4.19. We have for instance for the following term of the first kind,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\bar{\partial}(f_1(-u_0) - f_1(-u_1)) \wedge \partial_h f_1(u_1)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\bar{\partial}(f_1(-u_0) - f_1(-u_1))\|_{L^4} \|\partial_h f_1(u_1)\|_{L^4} \\ &\leq \|\bar{\partial}(f_1(-u_0) - f_1(-u_1))\|_{L^2_1} \|\partial_h f_1(u_1)\|_{L^2_1} \lesssim t^2 \|f_1(-u_0) - f_1(-u_1)\|_{L^2_1} \|f_1(u_1)\|_{L^2_1} \\ &\leq t^2 r \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^2_2} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from these term-by-term estimates that there is  $C_1 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$(161) \quad \|S_t(u_0) - S_t(u_1)\|_{L^2} \leq C_1 t^2 r \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^2_2}$$

The conclusion follows from (159), (160), and (161) in view of the decomposition (150). q.e.d.

**4.5. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** On  $L^2_2(\text{End}(F))$  define

$$(162) \quad \mathcal{F}_t : u \mapsto -L_t^{-1} \left( 2i\Lambda e^{u/2} \mathcal{H}_{t, h_t^{\text{app}}}(0) e^{-u/2} + R_t(u) \right)$$

For  $t \gg 1$ , with the help of Props 4.21, 4.11, and 3.27, we use the contraction mapping principle to find a fixed point.

**Theorem 4.1.** *There are  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,*

$$\|g_t - Id\|_{L^2_2} \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 t^{2/3}}$$

where  $h_t = h_t^{\text{app}} \cdot g_t$  is the unique solution of the SU(1,2) Hitchin equation associated to  $(F, t\beta, t\gamma)$ .

*Proof.* By Props 4.21, 4.11, there are  $C_3, C_4 > 0$  such that for  $0 < r < 1$  and  $u_0, u_1 \in B(0, r), t \gg 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_t(u_0) - \mathcal{F}_t(u_1)\|_{L^2_2, h_t^{\text{app}}} &\leq C_3 t^{19} \|R_t(u_0) - R_t(u_1)\|_{L^2_2, h_t^{\text{app}}} \\ &\leq C_4 t^{19+17/3} r \|u_0 - u_1\|_{L^2_2, h_{1,0}^{\text{app}}} . \end{aligned}$$

By Prop 3.27, there are  $C_5, C_6, C_7 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_t(0)\|_{L^2_2, h_t^{\text{app}}} &= \left\| L_t^{-1} \left( 2i \Lambda \mathcal{H}_{t, h_t^{\text{app}}}(0) \right) \right\|_{L^2_2, h_t^{\text{app}}} \\ &\leq C_5 t^{19} \left\| \mathcal{H}_{t, h_t^{\text{app}}}(0) \right\|_{L^2_2, h_t^{\text{app}}} \leq C_6 t^{19} e^{-C_7 t^{2/3}} \end{aligned}$$

Take  $t_1 \gg 1$  that the above estimates hold and that

$$2C_6 t^{19} e^{-C_7 t^{2/3}} < (2C_4)^{-1} t^{-19-17/3} < 1$$

for  $t \geq t_1$  and we assume below  $t \geq t_1$ . Take  $r$  with  $2C_6 t^{19} e^{-C_7 t^{2/3}} < r < C_4^{-1} t^{-19-17/3} / 2$ . For  $u_0, u_1 \in B(0, r) \subset L^2_2(\text{Herm}(F, h_t^{\text{app}}))$ , we have  $\|\mathcal{F}_t(u_0) - \mathcal{F}_t(u_1)\| \leq (1/2) \|u_0 - u_1\|$ . On the other hand,  $B(0, r') \subset B(0, r)$  where  $r' = (1 - C_4 t^{19+17/3})^{-1} \|\mathcal{F}_t(0)\|$ . For any  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{F}_t^n(0)\| = \|\mathcal{F}_t^n(0) - 0\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|\mathcal{F}_t^{k+1}(0) - \mathcal{F}_t^k(0)\| \leq (1 - 2^{-n}) r' \leq r'$$

The sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^n(0)\}_n$  converges to  $u_{\infty, t} \in B(0, r')$  satisfying  $\mathcal{F}_t(u_{\infty, t}) = u_{\infty, t}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  in (162) as well as (106) and (102), we see that  $h_t = h_t^{\text{app}} \cdot g_t$  where  $g_t = e^{u_{\infty, t}}$  is a solution to the SU(1,2) Hitchin equation associated to  $(F, t\beta, t\gamma)$ . By Lemma 4.19, there are  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for  $t \geq t_1$ ,

$$\|g_t - Id\|_{L^2_2, h_t^{\text{app}}} \leq r' \leq C_6 t^{19} e^{-C_7 t^{2/3}} \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 t^{2/3}}$$

q.e.d.

**Corollary 4.22.** *On any compact set  $X_0 \Subset X - D$  for  $k \geq 0$ , there are  $t_{1,k}, C_k, c_k > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq t_{1,k}$ ,*

$$\|g_t - Id\|_{C^k(X_0)} \leq C_k e^{-c_k t^{2/3}}$$

where  $h_t = h_{\infty, t} \cdot g_t$ ,  $h_{\infty, t} = \iota^* \left( h_{L, \lambda(t), t}^{-2} h_K \oplus h_{L, \lambda(t), t} h_K \right)$  and  $h_t$  is the unique solution of the SU(1,2) Hitchin equation associated to  $(F, t\beta, t\gamma)$ .

*Proof.* By Prop 3.28 and the above theorem, we have  $C_0, c_0 > 0$ , and  $t_{1,0}$  such that for  $t \geq t_{1,0}$ ,

$$(163) \quad \|g_t - Id\|_{L^2_2(X_0)} \leq C_0 e^{-c_0 t^{2/3}} .$$

This proves the statement for  $k = 0$  and the rest of the proof will be a standard bootstrap argument. Let  $\{(U_{\alpha, k}; z_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, k \geq 0}$  be a sequence of atlas with  $U_{\alpha, \ell} \subseteq U_{\alpha, k}$  for  $\ell \geq k$  and such that  $F$  is trivialized on  $U_{\alpha, 0}$ . Choose local frames over each  $U_{\alpha, 0}$

and let  $H_t^{(\alpha)}$  (resp.  $H_{t,\infty}^{(\alpha)}, \varphi^{(\alpha)} dz_\alpha$ ) be local forms of  $h_t$  (resp.  $h_{t,\infty}, \Phi$ ) over  $U_{0,\alpha}$  with respect to corresponding frames. We have that  $H = H_t^{(\alpha)}$  or  $H_{t,\infty}^{(\alpha)}$  and  $\varphi = \varphi^{(\alpha)}$  satisfies

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z H = (\partial_{\bar{z}} H) H^{-1} (\partial_z H) + t^2 H \left[ \varphi, H^{-1} \varphi^* H \right]$$

where  $z = z_\alpha$ . Suppose for  $0 \leq \ell \leq k+1$  there are  $C'_\ell, c'_\ell > 0$ , and  $t_{1,\ell+1} \geq t_{1,0}$  such that for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, t \geq t_{1,\ell+1}, H_t = H_t^{(\alpha)}$  and  $H_{t,\infty} = H_{t,\infty}^{(\alpha)}$  satisfies

$$(164) \quad \|H_t - H_{t,\infty}\|_{L^2_\ell(U_{\alpha,t})} \leq C'_\ell e^{-c'_\ell t^{2/3}}.$$

Note the case  $k=0$  follows from (163). By the local form of the Hitchin equation, we have with  $z = z_\alpha$ ,

$$(165) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z (H_t - H_{t,\infty}) &= \partial_{\bar{z}} (H_t - H_{t,\infty}) H_t^{-1} (\partial_z H_t) + (\partial_{\bar{z}} H_{t,\infty}) (H_t^{-1} - H_{t,\infty}^{-1}) (\partial_z H_t) \\ &\quad + (\partial_{\bar{z}} H_{t,\infty}) H_{t,\infty}^{-1} \partial_z (H_t - H_{t,\infty}) \\ &\quad + t^2 (H_t - H_{t,\infty}) \left[ \varphi, H_t^{-1} \varphi^* H_t \right] + t^2 H_{t,\infty} \left[ \varphi, (H_t^{-1} - H_{t,\infty}^{-1}) \varphi^* H_t \right] \\ &\quad + t^2 H_{t,\infty} \left[ \varphi, H_{t,\infty}^{-1} \varphi^* (H_t - H_{t,\infty}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the interior elliptic regularity estimate, there is  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha$ ,

$$\|H_t - H_{t,\infty}\|_{L^2_{k+2}(U_{\alpha,k+2})} \leq C \left( \|\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z (H_t - H_{t,\infty})\|_{L^2_k(U_{\alpha,k+1})} + \|H_t - H_{t,\infty}\|_{L^2(U_{\alpha,k+2})} \right).$$

In (165), we expand higher derivatives of each term to get a sum of terms of the form

$$\nabla^m \left( \partial_{\bar{z}} (H_t - H_{t,\infty}) H_t^{-1} (\partial_z H_t) \right), \dots, \nabla^m \left( t^2 H_{t,\infty} \left[ \varphi, H_{t,\infty}^{-1} \varphi^* (H_t - H_{t,\infty}) \right] \right),$$

with  $0 \leq m \leq k$ . Following inductive arguments as in the proof of Prop 3.4 and note that  $t^2 e^{-c t^{2/3}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  for  $c > 0$ , we have (164) for all  $\ell \geq 0$ . The conclusion follows from the bounded inclusion  $L^2_{\ell+2} \subset C^\ell$  for each  $\ell \geq 0$ .

q.e.d.

Theorem 1.1 will now follow by combining all the above analysis. We restate it in a more detailed form.

**Theorem 4.2.** Fix  $x_0 \in X - D, v_0 \in L|_{x_0}$  and  $X_0 \subset X - D$  a compact set. Let  $h_t$  be Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric of  $(F, t\beta, t\gamma)$  and  $\iota : F \rightarrow V$  the Hecke modification corresponding to  $(F, \beta, \gamma)$  as in Theorem 2.4. For  $a \neq 0$  let  $S_a = \iota^{-1} \circ \text{diag}(a^2, a^{-1}) \circ \iota$ , an endomorphism of  $F|_{X-D}$ . Let  $\tilde{h}_t = S_{|v_0|_t}^* h_t$  where  $k_t$  is metric on  $L|_{X-D} = \det F^*|_{X-D}$  induced from  $h_t$ . Let  $X_0 \Subset X - D$  be a compact set. Let  $h_\infty = \iota^* (h_{L,\infty}^{-2} h_K \oplus h_{L,\infty} h_K)$  where  $h_{L,\infty}$  is a harmonic metric adapted to the filtered bundle  $(L, \lambda_\infty)$  over  $(X, D)$  such that  $|v_0|_{h_{L,\infty}} = 1$  and

$$(166) \quad \lambda_{\infty,j} = \begin{cases} 1/4 & p_j \in D_\beta \\ -1/4 & p_j \in D_\gamma \\ -d_r^{-1} \left( \deg L + \frac{1}{4} (d_\beta - d_\gamma) \right) & p_j \in D_r \end{cases}.$$

Let  $\psi_t$  be given by  $\tilde{h}_t = h_\infty \cdot \psi_t$ . Then for each  $k \geq 0$ , there is  $C_k$  such

$$\|\psi_t - Id\|_{C^k(X_0)} \leq \frac{C_k}{\log t}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $h_{\infty,t} = \iota^* \left( h_{L,\lambda(t),t}^{-2} h_K \oplus h_{L,\lambda(t),t} h_K \right)$  and  $g_t$  be as in Cor 4.22. We will first define several auxiliary metrics on  $F|_{X_0}$  and automorphisms relating them.

Let  $k_t$  (resp.  $k_{\infty,t}$ ) be the metric on  $L|_{X-D}$  induced from  $h_t$  (resp.  $h_{\infty,t}$ ). Let  $a_t = |v_0|_{k_t}$  and

$$(167) \quad a_{\infty,t} = |v_0|_{k_{\infty,t}} = |v_0|_{h_{L,\lambda(t),t}}$$

Let  $\tilde{h}_t = S_{a_t}^* h_t$ ,  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,t} = S_{a_t}^* h_{\infty,t}$ , and  $h'_{\infty,t} = S_{a_{\infty,t}}^* h_{\infty,t}$ . Let  $g'_t$  (resp.  $\tilde{g}_t$ ) be defined by  $\tilde{h}_t = \tilde{h}_{\infty,t} \cdot g'_t$  (resp.  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,t} = h'_{\infty,t} \cdot \tilde{g}_t$ ). Let  $g''_t$  be defined by  $h'_{\infty,t} = h_{\infty,t} \cdot g''_t$ . The metrics and automorphism relating them are summarized in the following diagram. In particular,  $\psi_t = g''_t \cdot \tilde{g}_t \cdot g'_t$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \tilde{h}_t & \xleftarrow{g'_t} & \tilde{h}_{\infty,t} & \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}_t} & h'_{\infty,t} & \xleftarrow{g''_t} & h_{\infty,t} \\ S_{a_t}^* \uparrow & & S_{a_t}^* \uparrow & & S_{a_{\infty,t}}^* \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ h_t & \xleftarrow{g_t} & h_{\infty,t} & & & & \end{array}$$

Recall again by Prop 2.6  $d_r > 0$ . Let  $j_0$  be a fixed index such that  $p_{j_0} \in D_r$ . By (167) and (84), we have that

$$a_{\infty,t} = |v_0|_{h_{L,\text{HE}}} e^{(\varphi_{\lambda(t)} + \eta_{\lambda(t)})/2}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume  $|v_0|_{h_{L,\text{HE}}} = 1$ . By Props 3.14, 3.25, and (86) with  $j = j_0$ , there is  $C_0 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$\left| \eta_{\lambda(t),t} - \frac{4}{3} \lambda_{j_0} \log t \right| \leq C_0.$$

We have from Def 2.11 that  $\varphi_{\lambda}$  is a linear combination of fixed smooth functions  $G_j$  on  $X_0$  with coefficients linear in  $\lambda(t)$ . Note also that  $|\lambda_{j_0}(t)| < 1/4$  for all  $t \geq 1$ . Therefore there is  $C_1 > 1$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $C_1^{-1} t^{-1/3} \leq e^{\varphi_{\lambda(t)} + \eta_{\lambda(t)}} \leq C_1 t^{1/3}$ . Therefore there is  $C_2 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $a_{\infty,t} \leq C_2 t^{1/6}$ . We have  $a_t = a_{\infty,t} (\det g_t(p_0))^{-1/2}$ . By Cor 4.22 there is  $C'_2 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,  $a_t \leq C'_2 a_{\infty,t} \leq C'_2 C_2 t^{1/6}$ . Note that  $g'_t = S_{a_t}^{-1} \circ g_t \circ S_{a_t}$ . It follows from Cor 4.22 that for  $k \geq 0$ , there are  $C_{3,k}, c_{3,k} > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$

$$(168) \quad \|g'_t - \text{Id}\|_{C^k(X_0)} \leq C_{3,k} e^{-c_{3,k} t^{2/3}}.$$

We have

$$\tilde{g}_t = \iota^{-1} \circ \text{diag} \left( (\det g_t(p_0))^{-1}, (\det g_t(p_0))^{1/2} \right) \circ \iota.$$

Note that for any two choices of frame in (98) over  $X_0$ , the resulting norms are equivalent. Under a frame compatible with decomposition  $V = L^{-2}K \oplus LK$ , the local forms of  $\tilde{g}_t$  are constant. Therefore by Cor 4.22, there are  $C_4, c_4 > 0$  such that for  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$(169) \quad \|\tilde{g}_t - \text{Id}\|_{C^k(X_0)} = \|\tilde{g}_t - \text{Id}\|_{C^0(X_0)} \leq C_4 e^{-c_4 t^{2/3}}.$$

Let  $h_{L,\infty,t}$  be the metric on  $L$  with  $h'_{\infty,t} = \iota^* \left( h_{L,\infty,t}^{-2} h_K \oplus h_{L,\infty,t} h_K \right)$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{L,\infty} &= h_{L,\infty,t} \exp(\varphi_{\lambda_{\infty}} - \varphi_{\lambda(t)} + \varphi_{\lambda_{\infty}}(p_0) - \varphi_{\lambda(t)}(p_0)) \\ &= h_{L,\infty,t} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{4g-4} \sum_{\ell=1}^j (\lambda_{\infty,\ell} - \lambda_{\ell}(t)) (G_j - G_j(p_0)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $k \geq 0$ , there is  $C_{5,k} > 0$  such that  $\|G_j - G_j(p_0)\|_{C^k(X_0)} \leq C_{5,k}$ . By Prop 3.25 and the above, for  $k \geq 0$ , there is  $C_{6,k} > 0$  such that for  $t$  large enough

$$(170) \quad \|g_t'' - \text{Id}\|_{C^k(X_0)} \leq \frac{C_{6,k}}{\log t}.$$

The conclusion follows from (168), (169) and (170).

q.e.d.

Lastly, we comment on a special case of Thm 1.1 where the convergence rate is improved. For the  $SU(1,2)$  Higgs bundle  $(F = K^{-1} \oplus K, t\beta, t\gamma)$  in (17), we have  $\mathbf{b} = (1, \dots, 1)$ . By the discussion in Prop 3.25,  $\lambda = (0, \dots, 0)$  is  $t$ -compatible with  $\mathbf{b}$  for all  $t \geq 1$ , and  $\eta_{\lambda(t),t}$  (defined in (84)) is independent of  $t$ . It follows from the proof that  $a_t$  is uniformly bounded in  $t$  and that the convergence in  $C^k(X_0)$  may be improved to be exponential in  $t$ .

In fact, this also follows directly from the  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Hitchin equation case. The associated Hitchin equation reduces to a scalar PDE, and the solution is given by a metric  $h_t^{-1} \oplus h_t$  on  $F$  with  $h_t^{1/2}$  the unique solution to the Hitchin's equation associated to  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  Higgs bundle

$$\left( E = K_X^{1/2} \oplus K_X^{-1/2}, \Phi = t \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ q & \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

In this manner, the exponential in  $t$  convergence  $h_t \rightarrow h_K$  ( $h_K$  defined in Lemma 3.1) is a direct consequence of the result in [MSWW16].

## References

- [AS72] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1972, MR1225604, Zbl 1198.00002.
- [Axl01] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, R. Wade, *Harmonic function theory*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 137. Springer-Verlag, New York, (2001), MR1805196, Zbl 0959.31001.
- [BB04] O. Biquard, P. Boalch, *Wild non-abelian Hodge theory on curves*, *Compos. Math.* **140** (2004), no. 1, 179-204, MR2004129, Zbl 1051.53019.
- [Bell90] S. Bell, *Mapping problems in complex analysis and the  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.)* **22** (1990) 233-259, MR1040388, Zbl 0702.32024.
- [BG03] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada, P. B. Gothen, *Surface group representations and  $U(p,q)$ -Higgs bundles*, *J. Differential Geom.* **64** (2003), no. 1, 111-170, MR2015045, Zbl 1070.53054
- [BG06] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada, P. B. Gothen, *Maximal surface group representations in isometry groups of classical Hermitian symmetric spaces*, *Geom. Dedicata* **122**, (2006), 185-213, MR2295550, Zbl 1132.14029.
- [Biq91] O. Biquard, *Fibrés paraboliques stables et connexions singulières plates*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **119** (1991), no. 2, 231-257, MR1116847, Zbl 0769.53013.
- [CH53] R. Courant, D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics*. Vol. I. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1953, MR0065391, Zbl 0053.02805.

- [Cor88] K. Corlette, *Flat  $G$ -bundles with canonical metrics*, J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), no. 3, 361–382, MR0965220, Zbl 0676.58007.
- [Dem86] J. Demailly, *Sur l'identité de Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano en géométrie hermitienne*, Séminaire d'analyse P. Lelong-P. Dolbeault-H. Skoda, années 1983/1984, 88-97. Lecture Notes in Math., 1198, MR0874763, Zbl 0594.32031.
- [DK90] S. Donaldson, P. B. Kronheimer, *The geometry of four-manifolds*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, (1990), MR1079726, Zbl 0820.57002.
- [Don87] S. K. Donaldson, *Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), no. 1, 127–131, MR0887285, Zbl 0634.53046.
- [DT87] A. Domic, D. Toledo, *The Gromov norm of the Kähler class of symmetric domains*. Math. Ann. **276** (1987), no. 3, 425–432, MR0875338, Zbl 0595.53061.
- [Dup78] J. L. Dupont, *Bounds for characteristic numbers of flat bundles*, Algebraic topology, Aarhus 1978 (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Aarhus, Aarhus, 1978), pp. 109–119, Lecture Notes in Math., 763, Springer, Berlin, 1979, MR0561216, Zbl 0511.57018.
- [Fre07] E. Frenkel, *Lectures on the Langlands program and conformal field theory*, Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. II, 387–533, Springer, Berlin, (2007), MR2290768, Zbl 1196.11091.
- [Fre18] L. Fredrickson, *Generic ends of the moduli space of  $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles* arXiv:1810.01556 [math.DG] (2018)
- [GGM12] O. Garcá-Prada, P. B. Gothen, I. Mundet i Riera, *The Hitchin-Kobayashi Correspondence, Higgs Pairs and Surface Group Representations*, arXiv:0909.4487v3 [math.DG, math.AG] (2012)
- [GMN10] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, A. Neitzke, *Four-dimensional wall-crossing via three-dimensional field theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **299** (2010), no. 1, 163–224, MR2672801, Zbl 1225.81135.
- [Got01] P. B. Gothen, *Components of spaces of representations and stable triples*, Topology **40** (2001) 823-850, MR1851565, Zbl 1066.14012.
- [GPR18] O. Garcá-Prada, A. Peón-Nieto, S. Ramanan, *Higgs bundles for real groups and the Hitchin-Kostant-Rallis section*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **370** (2018), no. 4, 2907–2953, MR3748589, Zbl 1395.14029.
- [GT83] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order* Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2001), MR1814364, Zbl 1042.35002.
- [Hit87] N. J. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), no. 1, 59–126, MR0887284, Zbl 0634.53045.
- [Hit92] N. Hitchin, *Lie groups and Teichmüller space*, Topology **31** (1992), no. 3, 449–473, MR1174252, Zbl 0769.32008.
- [Hit07] N. Hitchin, *Langlands duality and  $G_2$  spectral curves*. Q. J. Math. **58** (2007), no. 3, 319-344, MR2354922, Zbl 1144.14034.
- [Hor22] J. Horn, *Semi-abelian spectral data for singular fibers of the  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Hitchin system*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2022), no. 5, 3860-3917. MR4387179, Zbl 1482.14040.

- [Huy05] D. Huybrechts, *Complex geometry. An Introduction*. (2005), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, MR2093043, Zbl 1055.14001.
- [Moc16] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of certain families of harmonic bundles on Riemann surfaces*, J. Topol. **9** (2016), no. 4, 1021–1073, MR3620459, Zbl 1381.53047.
- [MS23] T. Mochizuki, S. Szabó, *Asymptotic behaviour of large-scale solutions of Hitchin's equations in higher rank*, arXiv:2303.04913 [math.DG, math.AG] (2023)
- [MSWW16] R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiß, F. Witt, *Ends of the moduli space of Higgs bundles*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 12, 2227–2271, MR3544281, Zbl 1352.53018.
- [MTW77] B. M. McCoy, C. A. Tracy, T. T. Wu, *Painlevé functions of the third kind*, J. Mathematical Phys. **18** (1977), no. 5, 1058–1092, MR0473322, Zbl 0353.33008.
- [Na21] X. Na, *Spectral data for  $SU(1,2)$  Higgs bundles*, arXiv:2111.00733 [math.AG] (2021).
- [OSWW20] A. Ott, J. Swoboda, R. Wentworth, *Higgs bundles, harmonic maps, and pleated surfaces*, arXiv:2004.06071. [math.DG] (2020)
- [Sim88] C. T. Simpson, *Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), no. 4, 867–918, MR0944577, Zbl 0669.58008.
- [Sim90] C. T. Simpson, *Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 3, 713–770, MR1040197, Zbl 0713.58012.
- [Sti92] Stillwell, J. *Geometry of surfaces*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1992), MR1171453, Zbl 0752.53002.
- [Wen16] Wentworth, R., *Higgs bundles and local systems on Riemann surfaces*. Geometry And Quantization Of Moduli Spaces. Based On 4 Courses, Barcelona, Spain, March – June 2012. pp. 165-219. (2016), MR3675465, Zbl 1388.30052.
- [Wid00] Widom, H., *On the solution of a Painlevé III equation*. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **3** (2000), no. 4, 375-384, MR1845357, Zbl 0987.34076.