

# K-THEORY AND PERFECTION

KEVIN COULEMBIER

ABSTRACT. We review some recent results on  $K$ -theory of perfection of commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras and provide an alternative proof.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be a prime. In [KM], Kelly and Morrow observe that  $K(-)[1/p]$  yields an equivalence when applied to a commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R$  and its perfection  $R_{\text{perf}}$ , by making use of nilpotence-invariance. In [EK], Elmanto and Khan prove the same result as an application of their topological invariance (after inverting  $p$ ) in motivic homotopy theory.

In combination with the classical fact that the groups  $K_n(R)$ , for  $n > 0$ , are uniquely  $p$ -divisible for a perfect  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra, see [Kr, Corollaire 5.5], this implies

$$K_n(R_{\text{perf}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[1/p] \otimes K_n(R), \quad n > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

This is reminiscent of the isomorphism

$$\pi_n(X_{\frac{1}{p}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[1/p] \otimes \pi_n(X), \quad n > 0,$$

for  $p'$ -localisations of topological spaces as in [Su]. Note that the analogy has its limitations, see Remark 3.1.6.

In [CW], another analogy between the two manipulations was studied. Namely, it was proved that the  $p'$ -localisations of classifying spaces of compact connected Lie groups behave similarly to the perfections of the corresponding reductive groups in characteristic  $p$ .

In fact, another connection between algebraic perfection and topological localisation can be concluded almost immediately from the above results on  $K$ -theory. Quillen's functor from rings to spaces

$$\mathbf{Q} : R \mapsto \text{GL}(R) \mapsto \text{BGL}(R) \mapsto (\text{BGL}(R))^+,$$

sends perfections of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras to  $p'$ -localisations, see Theorem 3.2.2. Explicitly,  $\mathbf{Q}(R_{\text{perf}}) \simeq \mathbf{Q}(R)_{\frac{1}{p}}$ .

In this short note we give a direct proof of the isomorphism (1.1), by showing it can be derived from an exercise with Adams operations, see Theorem 3.1.2. We also explain what happens in negative  $K$ -theory.

**1.1. Notation.** We set  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . For an endomorphism  $f \in \text{End}(X)$  in some category, we write  $\varinjlim_f X$  for the colimit (assuming it exists) of the diagram

$$X \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{f} \dots$$

## 2. LOCALISATION AND ADAMS OPERATIONS

**2.1. Localisation.** We fix an integer  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

---

Date: April 5, 2023.

2.1.1. Denote by  $\mathbf{Ab}_\ell$  the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Ab}$  of abelian groups on which  $\ell$  acts invertibly, in other words,  $\mathbf{Ab}_\ell$  is the category of  $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ -modules. Then we have the localisation functor

$$-[1/\ell] : \mathbf{Ab} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}_\ell, \quad A \mapsto A[1/\ell] \cong \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell] \otimes A \cong \varinjlim_\ell A,$$

which is the left adjoint of the inclusion functor.

**Lemma 2.1.2.** *For an endomorphism  $\theta : A \rightarrow A$  of an abelian group  $A$ , the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) (a) *The endomorphism  $\theta[1/\ell]$  of  $A[1/\ell]$  is an automorphism; and*  
 (b) *for each  $a \in A$  there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\theta^i(a) \in \ell A$ ; and*  
 (c) *if  $\ell a = 0$  for some  $a \in A$ , then there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\theta^i(a) = 0$ .*
- (2) *We have an isomorphism under  $A$*

$$A[1/\ell] \cong \varinjlim_\theta A.$$

*Proof.* We prove that (1) implies (2). From conditions (b) and (c), it follows that  $\varinjlim_\theta A$  is in  $\mathbf{Ab}_\ell$ . Consequently

$$\varinjlim_\theta A \cong \varinjlim_\ell \varinjlim_\theta A \cong \varinjlim_{\theta[1/\ell]} (A[1/\ell]) \cong A[1/\ell],$$

where the last isomorphism follows from assumption (a).

The other direction of the lemma, which we will not need, is proved similarly.  $\square$

**Example 2.1.3.** The conditions in Lemma 2.1.2 are satisfied by the endomorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ell & 0 \\ 1 & \ell^2 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

## 2.2. Adams operations.

2.2.1. *Set-up.* Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $\lambda$ -ring, in the terminology of [Ya], that is a special  $\lambda$ -ring in the terminology of [AT, We]. Concretely, the ring  $\Lambda$  is equipped with maps

$$\{\lambda^n : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

satisfying properties (1)-(6) in [Ya, Definition 1.10]. Consequently, for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , we have the  $n$ -th Adams operation

$$\psi^n : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda,$$

which is a ring homomorphism.

We consider a binomial  $\lambda$ -subring  $H_0 \subset \Lambda$ , for which we have a section  $\varepsilon : \Lambda \rightarrow H_0$  of  $\lambda$ -rings (for example,  $H_0$  is part of a positive structure, see [We, Definition II.4.2.1]).

We need to impose one condition on the above structure, which is that the associated  $\gamma$ -filtration on  $\Lambda$  is finite. Explicitly, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\prod_i \lambda^{n_i}(x_i + n_i - 1) = 0$$

for all finite products with  $x_i \in \ker \varepsilon$  and  $\sum_i n_i \geq N$ .

**Proposition 2.2.2.** *Consider  $\Lambda$  as in 2.2.1. For every  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , the  $\ell$ -th Adams operation, restricted to a group homomorphism  $\ker \varepsilon \rightarrow \ker \varepsilon$ , satisfies*

$$\varinjlim_{\psi^\ell} (\ker \varepsilon) \cong (\ker \varepsilon)[1/\ell].$$

*Proof.* Consider the  $\gamma$ -filtration

$$\Lambda = F_\gamma^0 \Lambda \supset F_\gamma^1 \Lambda = \ker \varepsilon \supset F_\gamma^2 \Lambda \supset \cdots \supset F_\gamma^N \Lambda = 0,$$

with  $F_\gamma^n$  the abelian group (the ideal) generated by  $\prod_i \lambda^{n_i} (x_i + n_i - 1) = 0$  for all finite products with  $x_i \in \ker \varepsilon$  and  $\sum_i n_i \geq n$ .

It follows from [AT, Propositions 5.4 and 5.5] or [We, Proposition II.4.9] that on  $F_\gamma^n / F_\gamma^{n+1}$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the Adams operation  $\psi^\ell$  acts as  $\ell^n$ . Consequently, the action of  $\psi^\ell$  on  $\ker \varepsilon$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.1.2(1), from which the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.3.** (1) Clearly, Proposition 2.2.2 remains valid for direct limits of  $\Lambda$ -rings as in 2.2.1, which is a weakening of assumptions.

(2) However, the condition that the  $\gamma$ -filtration be finite cannot be lifted completely. To give a concrete example, consider the  $\lambda$ -ring

$$R(C_2) = K_0(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}} C_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 - 1), \quad \text{with} \quad \ker \varepsilon = \mathbb{Z}(1 - x) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

for  $\varepsilon : R(C_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  coming from the dimension. Here  $\psi^2 = 0$  on  $\ker \varepsilon$ , so the conclusion of Proposition 2.2.2 is not valid.

### 3. PERFECTION AND $K$ -THEORY

#### 3.1. Main result.

3.1.1. For a commutative ring  $R$ , we let  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  denote the (split) kernel of the rank

$$K_0(R) \rightarrow H_0(R) = [\text{Spec} R, \mathbb{Z}], \quad (3.1)$$

see [We, Definition II.2.3]. For  $n > 0$ , we just set  $\tilde{K}_n = K_n$ .

Fix a prime  $p$ . For a commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R$ , its perfection is defined as

$$R_{\text{perf}} := \varinjlim_{Fr} R,$$

where  $Fr : R \rightarrow R$  is the Frobenius homomorphism  $r \mapsto r^p$ .

**Theorem 3.1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have isomorphisms, natural in  $R$ ,*

$$\tilde{K}_n(R_{\text{perf}}) \cong \tilde{K}_n(R)[1/p].$$

*In other words,  $\tilde{K}_n(Fr)$  satisfies the properties in Lemma 2.1.2.*

**Remark 3.1.3.** An alternative formulation of the theorem is

$$K_n(R_{\text{perf}}) \cong \begin{cases} H_0(R) \oplus \tilde{K}_0(R)[1/p] & \text{if } n = 0, \\ K_n(R)[1/p] & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases}$$

The remainder of the section is devoted to the proof, which merely consists of gathering classical results and applying the observations from the previous section.

**Lemma 3.1.4.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian commutative ring with finite Krull dimension (e.g.  $R$  is finitely generated) and take  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . The  $\lambda$ -ring  $K_0(R)$ , resp.  $K_0(R) \times K_n(R)$ , has a structure as in 2.2.1, and  $\ker \varepsilon = \tilde{K}_0(R)$ , resp.*

$$\ker \varepsilon = \tilde{K}_0(R) \times K_n(R).$$

*Proof.* The  $\lambda$ -ring structures are defined in [We], with  $\varepsilon$  as in (3.1) (and  $K_n(R) \subset \ker \varepsilon$ ). That the  $\gamma$ -filtration is finite follows from [So, Théorème 1.ii] (and that fact that multiplication is zero on  $K_n(R)$ ) and [We, Example II.4.8.2]  $\square$

The following is [Kr, Proposition 5.4] or [We, Ex. II.4.2 and Corollary IV.5.5.2].

**Lemma 3.1.5.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra, then the induced action of  $Fr$  on  $K$ -theory corresponds to the Adams operation  $\psi^p$  for the  $\lambda$ -ring structures in Lemma 3.1.4*

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.2.* As  $K$ -theory commutes with direct limits, we have two consequences. Firstly, by Lemma 3.1.5,

$$\tilde{K}_*(R_{\text{perf}}) \cong \varinjlim_{\psi^p} \tilde{K}_*(R).$$

Secondly, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for finitely generated  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras. The claim thus follows from application of Proposition 2.2.2, thanks to Lemma 3.1.4.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.6.** For the sake of completeness, we point out that  $K$ -theory cannot ‘detect’ whether an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra is perfect. For example, we have

$$K_*(\mathbb{F}_p[t]) \cong K_*(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

On the other hand, when  $Fr$  is not injective on  $R$ , then clearly  $K_1(R)$  has  $p$ -torsion.

### 3.2. Topological localisation.

3.2.1. Following [Su, Chapter 2], a topological space  $X$  is ‘simple’ if

- (1)  $X$  is connected and homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex;
- (2)  $\pi_1(X)$  is abelian;
- (3)  $\pi_1(X)$  acts trivially on  $\pi_*(\tilde{X})$  and  $H_*(\tilde{X})$  for the universal cover  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ .

For a prime  $p$  and a simple space  $X$ , the  $p'$ -localisation (localisation ‘away from  $p$ ’) is a map  $X \rightarrow X_{\frac{1}{p}}$  of simple spaces which is universal (up to homotopy) with respect to  $p'$ -local simple spaces. The latter are characterised by  $\pi_i$ , or equivalently  $H_i$ , taking values in  $\mathbf{Ab}_p$  for  $i > 0$ . Existence is proved in [Su, Chapter 2].

**Theorem 3.2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. We have a homotopy equivalence*

$$(BGL(R_{\text{perf}}))^+ \simeq ((BGL(R))^+)_\frac{1}{p}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1.2,  $R \rightarrow R_{\text{perf}}$  induces isomorphisms, for all  $n > 0$ ,

$$K_n(R)[1/p] = \mathbb{Z}[1/p] \otimes \pi_n(BGL(R)^+) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_n(BGL(R_{\text{perf}})^+) = K_n(R_{\text{perf}}).$$

That  $BGL(R)^+ \rightarrow BGL(R_{\text{perf}})^+$  is a  $p'$ -localisation thus follows from [Su, Theorem 2.1]. For completeness we need that  $BGL(R)^+$  is a simple space, see Corollary 3.2.5 below.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.3.** *For a ring  $A$  consider  $E(A) = [\text{GL}(A), \text{GL}(A)] < \text{GL}(A)$  the (normal) subgroup generated by elementary matrices. The canonical action of  $K_1(R) = \text{GL}(A)/E(A)$  on homology groups  $H_*(E(A); \mathbb{Z})$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Take an arbitrary

$$x \in H_i(E(A); \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \varinjlim_n H_i(E_n(A); \mathbb{Z}).$$

We can thus assume  $x$  comes from  $H_i(E_n(A); \mathbb{Z})$  for some  $n$ . Now take a coset  $gE(A)$ . Potentially after replacing  $n$  with a higher number, the representative  $g$  belongs to  $\text{GL}_n(A) < \text{GL}(A)$ . By [We, Example III.1.2.1], we can replace  $g$  by an element of  $\text{GL}_{2n}(A) < \text{GL}(A)$  which commutes with  $\text{GL}_n(A) < \text{GL}(A)$ , so in particular with  $E_n(A)$ . It thus follows that the action of  $gE(A)$  on  $x$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a ring. The action of  $K_1(A) = \pi_1(BGL(A)^+)$  on the singular homology groups of the universal covering space of  $BGL(A)^+$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* By [We, Definition VI.1.1(2)], we can consider instead the action of  $K_1(A)$  on the homology with local coefficients

$$H_*(BGL(A), \mathbb{Z}K_1(A)) \simeq H_*(EGL(A)/E(A), \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_*(E(A); \mathbb{Z})$$

which can in turn be interpreted as the group homology from Lemma 3.2.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.5.** *For any ring  $A$ , the space  $BGL(A)^+$  is simple.*

*Proof.* Conditions 3.2.1(1) and (2) are satisfied by construction. For (3), the action on homotopy groups is dealt with in Lemma 3.2.4. For homology groups we can use the fact that  $BGL(A)$  is an  $H$ -space, see [We, Exercise IV.1.11], which implies the desired condition (more generally it is then known that all Whitehead products are trivial).  $\square$

**3.3. Negative  $K$ -theory.** It is easy to construct examples of perfect  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras for which the strictly negative  $K$ -groups are not  $p$ -divisible. For instance, using Mayer-Vietoris sequences one can prove the perfected version of [We, Example III.4.3.1]:

$$K_{-n}((\Delta_n(\mathbb{F}_p))_{\text{perf}}) \cong K_{-n}(\Delta_n(\mathbb{F}_p)) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . However, we can recover the result on negative  $K$ -theory of perfection from [EK, KM] as follows.

**Proposition 3.3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. For every  $i > 0$ , the morphism  $p^i K_{-i}(Fr)$  on  $K_{-i}(R)$  satisfies the properties in Lemma 2.1.2. In particular*

$$K_{-i}(R)[1/p] \rightarrow K_{-i}(R_{\text{perf}})[1/p]$$

*is an isomorphism.*

We will use the following well known lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.2.** *For a ring  $A$  and  $n \leq 1$ , realise  $K_{n-1}(A)$  as a direct summand in  $K_n(A[t, t^{-1}])$  as in [We, III.4]. For  $j > 0$  let  $f_j$  be the algebra endomorphism of  $A[t, t^{-1}]$ , which preserves  $A$  and satisfies  $f_j(t) = t^j$ . Then  $K_n(f_j)$  restricts to the summand  $K_{n-1}(A) \subset K_n(A[t, t^{-1}])$  as multiplication with  $j$ .*

*Proof.* First we prove the case  $n = 1$ . In this case, we have

$$K_0(A) \hookrightarrow K_1(A[t, t^{-1}]), \quad [P] \mapsto (A[t, t^{-1}] \otimes_R P, t),$$

from which the claim follows easily. Subsequently, we can use the standard trick of realising

$$K_{n-1}(A) \subset K_n(A[t, t^{-1}]) \cap K_n(A[s, s^{-1}]) \subset K_{n+1}(A[t, t^{-1}, s, s^{-1}]),$$

to perform iteration.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.3.1.* We realise  $Fr$  on  $R[t, t^{-1}]$  as the composition of  $rt^i \mapsto r^p t^i$  and  $rt^i \mapsto rt^{pi}$ . By naturality and Lemma 3.3.2, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{-i}(R) & \hookrightarrow & K_{1-i}(R[t, t^{-1}]) \\ \downarrow pK_{-i}(Fr) & & \downarrow K_{1-i}(Fr) \\ K_{-i}(R) & \hookrightarrow & K_{1-i}(R[t, t^{-1}]) \end{array} .$$

By iteration we can then realise  $p^i K_{-i}(Fr)$  as a restriction of  $K_0(Fr)$  on some commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. It is well-known (but also follows from the above) that  $K_{-i}(R)$  actually lives in  $\tilde{K}_0$ , so the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1.2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The author thanks Elden Elmanto for pointing out [EK] and Christian Haesemeyer for explaining Lemma 3.3.2.

## REFERENCES

- [AT] M.F. Atiyah, D.O. Tall: Group representations,  $\lambda$ -rings and the  $J$ -homomorphism. *Topology* 8 (1969), 253–297.
- [CW] K. Coulembier and G. Williamson: Perfecting group schemes. arXiv:2206.05867.
- [EK] E. Elmanto, A.A. Khan: Perfection in motivic homotopy theory. arXiv:1812.07506.
- [KM] S. Kelly, M. Morrow: K-theory of valuation rings. *Compos. Math.* 157 (2021), no. 6, 1121–1142.
- [Kr] C. Kratzer:  $\lambda$ -structure en K-théorie algébrique. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 55 (1980), no. 2, 233–254.
- [So] C. Soulé: Opérations en K-théorie algébrique. *Canad. J. Math.* 37 (1985), no. 3, 488–550.
- [Su] D. Sullivan: Geometric topology. Part I. Localization, periodicity, and Galois symmetry. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass., 1971.
- [We] C.A. Weibel: The K-book. An introduction to algebraic K-theory. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 145. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [Ya] D. Yau: Lambda-rings. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2010.