

THE UNIVERSAL STRUCTURE OF MOMENT MAPS IN COMPLEX GEOMETRY

RUADHAÍ DERVAN AND MICHAEL HALLAM

ABSTRACT. We introduce a geometric approach to the construction of moment maps in finite and infinite-dimensional complex geometry. We apply this to two settings: Kähler manifolds and holomorphic vector bundles. Our new approach exploits the existence of universal families and the theory of equivariant differential forms.

For Kähler manifolds we give a new, geometric proof of Donaldson–Fujiki’s moment map interpretation of the scalar curvature. Associated to arbitrary products of Chern classes of the manifold—namely to a central charge—we further introduce a geometric PDE determining a Z -critical Kähler metric, and show that these general equations also satisfy moment map properties. For holomorphic vector bundles, using a similar strategy we give a geometric proof of Atiyah–Bott’s moment map interpretation of the Hermitian Yang–Mills condition. We then go on to give a new, geometric proof that the PDE determining a Z -critical connection—again associated to a choice of central charge—can be viewed as a moment map; deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills connections are a special case, in which our work gives a geometric proof of a result of Collins–Yau.

Our main assertion is that this is the canonical way of producing moment maps in complex geometry—associated to any geometric problem along with a choice of stability condition—and hence that this accomplishes one of the main steps towards producing PDE counterparts to stability conditions in large generality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many of the most important geometric PDEs in complex geometry can be viewed as moment maps. The two most prominent such examples are the *constant scalar curvature Kähler (cscK) equation*, which through Donaldson–Fujiki arises as a moment map on the space of almost complex structures on a compact symplectic manifold [Don97, Fuj92], and the *Hermitian Yang–Mills equation*, which through Atiyah–Bott arises as a moment map on the space of unitary connections on a Hermitian vector bundle [AB83]. These moment map properties are ultimately behind the deep links between these PDEs and algebro-geometric stability conditions (through the Yau–Tian–Donaldson conjecture [Yau93, Tia97, Don02] and the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence of Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau [Don85, Don87, UY86] respectively).

In algebraic geometry, it has become increasingly important to consider general classes of stability conditions [Bri07]. It is thus desirable to associate geometric PDEs to general stability conditions, in such a way that solvability of these PDEs is equivalent to stability. The fundamental issue is that the classical PDEs of interest—notably the cscK equation and the Hermitian Yang–Mills equation—arose

from much more classical geometric considerations, with their moment map properties being proven far later. The proofs that these (and other) equations satisfy moment map properties are usually by direct calculation, and do not quite explain precisely why these equations arise as moment maps. Thus in aiming to generate general classes of geometric PDEs associated with algebro-geometric stability conditions, a new approach is needed.

What we achieve here is as follows:

- (i) We give a new, geometric approach to the construction of moment maps in large generality. Through our approach, moment maps arise whenever one has an equivariant family of objects (such as a family of complex manifolds or holomorphic vector bundles). The input needed to introduce a moment map is essentially the same as that needed to define an algebro-geometric stability condition in a given setting. Thus from (essentially) the *same* input, we obtain both a geometric PDE and a stability condition, generating automatic links between analysis and algebraic geometry.
- (ii) We use this approach to derive a new general class of geometric PDEs for Kähler metrics on complex manifolds. A special case thus gives a geometric derivation of the cscK equation. On holomorphic vector bundles, we give a new proof that the Hermitian Yang–Mills equation is a moment map; our proof extends to the deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills equation (first proven by Collins–Yau in rank one [CY21])—giving a geometric derivation of this equation—and further to the Z -critical connection equation [DMS20].
- (iii) Our technique applies in more generality than prior approaches. The ideas apply both to finite-dimensional and infinite-dimensional situations, and allow simultaneous variation of both complex structure and metric structure (this is important for technical applications of our moment map results [OS24, Ort24]).

Main results for manifolds. In finite dimensions—namely when we have a family of complex manifolds parametrised by a finite-dimensional base—our approach is quite simple. Applying our ideas to infinite dimensions requires some technical ideas, though the basic strategy remains the same. We explain our approach for the cscK equation, before stating more general results.

The setup involves a proper holomorphic submersion $\pi : (X, \alpha) \rightarrow B$, with X and B complex manifolds and with α a class on X which is Kähler on each fibre of π . We assume that a compact Lie group K acts on X and B by biholomorphisms such that π is a K -equivariant map, and fix a K -invariant form $\omega \in \alpha$ which is Kähler on each fibre. This relatively Kähler metric ω induces a Hermitian metric on the relative anti-canonical class $-K_{X/B}$ of $X \rightarrow B$, with curvature (times $\frac{i}{2\pi}$) which we denote by $\rho \in c_1(-K_{X/B})$. The form ρ can be viewed as a relative analogue of the Ricci curvature, and on each fibre restricts to the Ricci curvature of the restriction of ω .

We then invoke the classical theory of equivariant differential forms. Equivariant differential geometry has been used in the theory of constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics since at least the work of Futaki–Morita [FM85]; we learned the theory through the more recent work of Legendre, Inoue and Corradini [Leg21, Ino20, Cor22]. We assume that the K -action is ω -Hamiltonian, so that there exists a moment map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^* = (\text{Lie } K)^*$ for the K -action on (X, ω) (where here and throughout we do not demand positivity of ω in the definition of a moment map).

The equivariant differential form defined by sending $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ to $\omega + \langle \mu, v \rangle$ is then equivariantly closed. We show that in turn this implies that the equivariant differential form

$$v \mapsto \rho - \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\mathcal{V}} \langle \mu, v \rangle$$

is equivariantly closed, where $\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}$ is the vertical Laplacian (i.e. calculated fibrewise). This then implies that the equivariant form $\eta : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)$ defined by the wedge product

$$\eta(v) := \frac{\hat{S}_b}{n+1} (\omega + \langle \mu, v \rangle)^{n+1} - \left(\rho - \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\mathcal{V}} \langle \mu, v \rangle \right) \wedge (\omega + \langle \mu, v \rangle)^n$$

is equivariantly closed, where $n := \dim X - \dim B$, and \hat{S}_b is the topological constant defining the fibrewise average scalar curvature. Since $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is K -equivariant, it follows that the fibre integral of this form is itself equivariantly closed. For each $v \in \mathfrak{k}$, for degree reasons, this form is the sum of a $(1,1)$ -form Ω and a function σ_v on B . A direct, simple calculation shows that the function is defined by

$$\sigma_v(b) = \int_{X_b} \langle \mu, v \rangle|_{X_b} (\hat{S}_b - S(\omega_b)) \omega_b^n,$$

where ω_b denotes the restriction of ω to X_b ; the calculation involves using that the integral of a function in the image of the Laplacian vanishes. The $(1,1)$ -form on B is

$$\Omega = \frac{\hat{S}_b}{n+1} \int_{X/B} \omega^{n+1} - \int_{X/B} \rho \wedge \omega^n,$$

and that the equivariant differential form $v \mapsto \Omega + \sigma_v$ on B is equivariantly closed is precisely the moment map condition for the scalar curvature with respect to Ω .

Thus we obtain the moment map property for the scalar curvature through equivariant differential geometry and the theory of equivariant holomorphic submersions. The form Ω is the standard Weil–Petersson form involved in the cscK theory, and while it is not Kähler in general (which we permit in our definition of a moment map), it is Kähler in many situations of interest and its positivity is well-understood [FS90, Fuj92].

One can view this as a *derivation* of the scalar curvature. From this perspective, it becomes clear what the choice involved was: it was exactly the choice of equivariant form η on X . We view this choice as arising from one copy of the first Chern class (through the involvement of ρ) and a complementary number of copies of α (through the involvement of ω) so that the total degree is correct. The most general input thus involves products of *arbitrary* Chern classes of correct total degree, and this is encoded in the notion of a *central charge* Z , which is ultimately a choice of topological input. Through our moment map construction, we then introduce the notion of a *Z -critical Kähler metric*, solving a PDE taking the form (on a fibre (X_b, ω_b))

$$\operatorname{Im}(e^{-i\varphi} \tilde{Z}(X_b, \omega_b)) = 0,$$

associated to the central charge Z , where $\tilde{Z}(X_b, \omega_b)$ is a complex-valued function involving various curvature quantities associated to the metric and $\varphi \in (-\pi, \pi]$. This equation had been derived in [Der23] in the case when only products of the first Chern class are used, and our new approach allows us to derive the correct equation in general.

The general, explicit form of the PDE is rather intricate—see Definition 2.4—and involves more than just Chern–Weil theory (essentially the coincidence that the “vertical Laplacian term” vanishes in the derivation of the scalar curvature as a moment map does not happen in general, complicating matters significantly). This leads to an equation that is a 6th-order PDE in the Kähler potential, in comparison with the 4th-order cscK equation. We emphasise, however, that our derivation of the equation (and hence the PDE itself) is completely geometric and canonical, starting from the choice of topological input. Our usage of complex-valued central charges, and imaginary parts of complex-valued functions is essentially an aesthetic choice that matches what is well-established in the Bridgeland stability literature, and does not play a significant role in our work (but is fundamental to the structure of the “stability manifold” in the theory of Bridgeland stability conditions [Bri07]).

In the algebraic direction, through [Der23, Der22], a central charge canonically induces a notion of *Z-stability*, generalising the notion of K-stability involved in the Yau–Tian–Donaldson conjecture. Thus, crucially, the same topological input—a central charge—induces in a canonical way both a geometric PDE and a stability condition.

We summarise our first main result as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *The Z-critical Kähler operator arises as a moment map, in both finite and infinite dimensions.*

The precise statement is given in Theorem 4.10 in finite dimensions and Theorem 5.5 in infinite dimensions. In finite dimensions, the main point is to calculate the Chern–Weil representatives of the equivariant Chern classes of the relative tangent bundle of $X \rightarrow B$, defined through the Hermitian metric induced by the relatively Kähler metric ω . In infinite dimensions, we consider the space $\mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega)$ of integrable almost complex structures on a fixed compact symplectic manifold, which is the setting of the Donaldson–Fujiki moment map interpretation of the scalar curvature [Don97, Fuj92] (which we thus give a new geometric proof of). We consider the universal family over this space, which is a holomorphic submersion of finite relative dimension. Here new technical difficulties arise: our calculation of the equivariant Chern–Weil representatives uses holomorphic coordinates, and as the space $\mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega)$ is not a Fréchet manifold in general (as it may be singular), we are forced to consider suitable “almost holomorphic coordinates” to argue in a similar manner to the finite-dimensional situation.

Main results for holomorphic vector bundles. The setup and results are very similar in the setting of vector bundles. Here the notion of a central charge is a basic part of the input into the notion of a *Bridgeland stability condition*, and involves certain products Chern classes of the vector bundle itself. As a conjectural model analytic counterpart to Bridgeland stability conditions, in [DMS20] the notion of a *Z-critical connection* was introduced, as a geometric PDE for a connection A taking the form

$$\text{Im}(e^{-i\varphi} \tilde{Z}(E, A)) = 0,$$

where $\tilde{Z}(E, A)$ is a complex $\text{End } E$ -valued (n, n) -form and Im denotes ($-i$ times) the skew-Hermitian part of the endomorphism (see Definition 2.10).

The Z -critical equation is a generalisation of the *deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills equation*, which is the most important special case (beyond the classical Hermitian Yang–Mills equation, to which our results also apply). Under mirror symmetry, this equation was introduced as the mirror of the *special Lagrangian equation* by Leung–Yau–Zaslow [LYZ00]; there is also a direct derivation from string theory [MnMMS00]. The deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills equation is simply the Z -critical equation for a special choice of central charge. There is an enormous body of important work on this equation on line bundles, due to Collins, Jacob and Yau [JY17, CJY20, CY21], Chen [Che21] and Datar–Pingali [DP21] (see also Song [Son20]), but relatively little is known in higher rank.

We fix a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) and consider a family of holomorphic vector bundles on X parametrised by a base B (which may not be compact); that is, a holomorphic vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B \times X$. We endow E with a metric and compatible connection, and assume there is a K -action on B with a suitable lift to E . Our main result in this setting then canonically constructs the Z -critical equation as a moment map on B , again using equivariant Chern–Weil theory. In infinite dimensions, we consider the space of unitary connections over a fixed Hermitian vector bundle, as in Atiyah–Bott [AB83]. We show this space admits a universal vector bundle with a universal connection, linking with the finite-dimensional picture, and use similar geometric ideas to prove:

Theorem 1.2. *The Z -critical connection operator arises as a moment map, in both finite and infinite dimensions.*

We refer to Theorems 6.7 and 6.8 for precise statements in infinite and finite dimensions respectively. In the infinite-dimensional setting, this gives a new geometric proof of [DMS20, Theorem 1.3], which in turn extended the moment map interpretation of the deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills operator due to Collins–Yau in rank one [CY21, Section 2]. In particular, our work gives a geometric derivation of the deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills equation, starting from a central charge.

Throughout our work, our moment map properties do not demand that the closed two-form involved in the definition is actually symplectic (i.e. nondegenerate or positive). In the vector bundle setting, positivity is understood through the notion of a “ Z -subsolution” [DMS20, Definition 2.33], which is an explicit equivalent condition to positivity of the relevant two-form (at a single point). In turn, these subsolution conditions are related to algebro-geometric stability with respect to *subvarieties* [McC23, Theorems 4.3.13, 4.3.17], in some sense explaining the lack of appearance of subsolutions in the Hermitian Yang–Mills setting (where saturated subsheaves are sufficient to test stability), and further imply that the Z -critical operator is elliptic [DMS20, Lemma 2.38]. It is worth emphasising that the deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills theory has been very successful *without* the two-form relevant to the moment map property being *globally* positive, with subsolution conditions (providing positivity *locally* near solutions) instead in some sense replacing this positivity in the theory. We expect there to be an analogous subsolution theory that applies also to the manifold setting, and more generally.

Outlook. The shared structure between the manifold and the vector bundle settings is the use of *families of objects*; either families of complex manifolds or families holomorphic vector bundles. Given an equivariant family of objects, we construct moment maps once we have the extra structure of a metric, in either setting. The

topological input is essentially a choice of a collection of Chern classes in both settings, while the differential-geometric input is essentially equivariant Chern–Weil theory. With these ingredients, the recipe to construct moment maps is almost identical in both settings.

We thus expect that this is the right general approach to constructing moment maps, in any geometric problem. In algebraic geometry, the point of stability conditions is to form moduli spaces parametrising stable objects, and in particular the usage of universal families is ubiquitous in moduli theory. Our approach can be thought of as a differential-geometric analogue of key aspects of that theory; we make great use of the differential geometry of *families* of objects. We expect our strategy to further apply to many other settings, though leave this for future work.

We end with a more speculative point. The theory of Bridgeland stability conditions is axiomatic in nature: Bridgeland produces axioms that must be satisfied to define a stability condition on a triangulated category [Bri07]. Thus to match that theory in differential geometry, one needs universal structures that apply to all geometric problems. This is loosely what is achieved by our approach: given a suitable notion of a family of objects (as is needed in the algebraic theory to produce moduli spaces of stable objects), and given a suitable notion of a metric, we expect our approach to be the one that leads to moment maps in a completely canonical way. We mention that this is related to goals of the (independent, work-in-progress) programme of Haiden–Katzarkov–Kontsevich–Pandit, which they title “categorical Kähler geometry”; their (similar) goal is to produce axiomatic notions of metrics on objects in triangulated categories, in such a way that Bridgeland stability corresponds to existence of solutions to certain equations in the space of metrics attached to the object (defined axiomatically). What is provided here is a geometric recipe that in practice produces such moment maps.

Outline. In Section 2 we introduce the notion of a Z -critical Kähler metric and recall the notion of a Z -critical connection, each associated to a central charge in the relevant setting. We proceed in Section 3 to recall the basic theory of equivariant differential forms, and equivariant Chern–Weil theory. Sections 4 and 5 then prove our main moment map results in the context of complex manifolds, including Theorem 1.1 in the finite and infinite-dimensional settings, respectively. Section 6 proves the analogous moment map results in the setting of holomorphic vector bundles, including Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements. The first author thanks Eveline Legendre and Alexia Corradini for explaining much of the theory of equivariant differential geometry to him and for many discussions that motivated the present work. The authors also thank Frances Kirwan, László Lempert, Johan Martens, John McCarthy, Carlo Scarpa, Lars Sektnan and Jacopo Stoppa for helpful conversations and for their interest in this work. RD was funded by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship for the duration of this work.

2. GEOMETRIC PDES IN COMPLEX GEOMETRY

2.1. Z -critical Kähler metrics. Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n and let $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a Kähler class; the algebro-geometrically inclined reader should consider the special case $\alpha = c_1(L)$ for an ample line bundle L , in which case X is a smooth projective variety. To a Kähler metric $\omega \in \alpha$ we associate

various curvature quantities, which are mostly defined in the following manner. A Kähler metric is in particular a Hermitian metric on the holomorphic tangent bundle $TX^{1,0}$, and so to ω we associate the curvature of the Chern connection on $TX^{1,0}$ induced by ω ; we denote the curvature of this connection by $R \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\text{End } TX^{1,0})$.

One associates to a connection *Chern–Weil representatives* of the Chern characters, defined by

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}_k(X, \omega) := \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R \right)^k \right).$$

Thus the $\tilde{\text{ch}}_k(X, \omega) \in \text{ch}_k(X)$ represent the Chern characters of X . We also use the notation

$$\text{Ric } \omega = \tilde{\text{ch}}_1(X, \omega)$$

for the representative of the first Chern character (which agrees with the first Chern class), as it coincides with the *Ricci curvature* of ω . As the first Chern character agrees with the first Chern class, we often use $c_1(X)$ rather than the equivalent $\text{ch}_1(X)$.

Definition 2.1. A Kähler metric $\omega \in \alpha$ is said to be a *constant scalar curvature Kähler metric* if its *scalar curvature*

$$S(\omega) := \Lambda_\omega \text{Ric } \omega$$

is constant.

If a Kähler metric $\omega \in \alpha$ has constant scalar curvature, a simple integration argument using that $\text{Ric } \omega \in c_1(X)$ implies that the resulting constant \hat{S} must satisfy

$$\hat{S} = \frac{n \int_X c_1(X) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}}{\int_X \alpha^n},$$

where we can view the numerator and denominator variously as integrals of closed differential forms, cup products in cohomology or in the projective case as intersection numbers. We view this equation as being associated with the numbers $\int_X c_1(X) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}$ and $\int_X \alpha^n$ and seek to understand the appropriate equation for other topological choices. To do so, we begin with the topological choice itself, through the notion of a central charge.

Definition 2.2. A *central charge* associates to each pair (X, α) a sum

$$Z(X, \alpha) = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \int_X \alpha^j \cdot \text{ch}_{k_1}(X) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{ch}_{k_r}(X) \in \mathbb{C},$$

where:

- (i) $k = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ is a multi-index of arbitrary length $r \leq n$;
- (ii) $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}$ are a collection of complex numbers;
- (iii) the integrand is of total degree $2n$, so $j + k_1 + \dots + k_r = n$;
- (iv) the *phase* $\varphi(X, \alpha) := \arg Z(X, \alpha) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ is well-defined, so $Z(X, \alpha) \neq 0$.

We view this as the most general topological input that should determine both a stability condition for (X, α) and a geometric PDE; we will not discuss stability in the current work, but rather exclusively the analytic aspects. We refer to [Der23, Sections 2.1, 4.1] for a discussion of associated stability conditions, and [Der22, Section 2.3] for an axiomatic, stacky approach. Here, it is sufficient to consider a central charge as simply a choice of topological input.

Example 2.3. An interesting choice of central charge takes the form

$$Z(X, \alpha) = \int_X e^{-i\alpha} \cdot \text{ch}(X),$$

with $\text{ch}(X) = 1 + \text{ch}_1(X) + \dots + \text{ch}_n(X)$ the total Chern character of X ; this is analogous to the most standard central charge on the category of coherent sheaves mentioned in Example 2.9 below, which arises naturally in mirror symmetry.

We associate to each term in the central charge an analytic counterpart which is a sum of *two* terms; the first uses traditional Chern–Weil theory, while the second is more involved and uses a sort-of linearised version of Chern–Weil theory. Consider again the curvature $R \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\text{End } TX^{1,0})$ associated to the Kähler metric ω on X .

The process will be linear in the terms comprising the central charge, and so we first consider a single term taking the form $\alpha^j \cdot \text{ch}_{k_1}(X) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{ch}_{k_r}(X)$. To this we firstly associate the complex-valued function

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\omega^j \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1}(X, \omega) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_r}(X, \omega)}{\omega^n},$$

namely by taking the natural Chern–Weil representatives. To define the second function, we firstly denote for $1 \leq m \leq r$,

$$\tilde{\ell}_m(X, \omega) := \frac{1}{j+1} \frac{\omega^{j+1} \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1}(X, \omega) \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{1}{(k_m-1)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R\right)^{k_m-1} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_r}(X, \omega)}{\omega^n},$$

which is a (complex) section of $\text{End } TX^{1,0}$ produced by replacing $\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_m}(X, \omega)$ with the $\text{End } TX^{1,0}$ -valued form $\frac{1}{(k_m-1)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R\right)^{k_m-1}$ whose trace is $\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_m-1}(X, \omega)$, and including one extra copy of ω . For a general element

$$A \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } TX^{1,0}) \cong \mathcal{A}^0(TX^{1,0} \otimes T^*X^{1,0}),$$

we denote by A^\flat the associated section of $T^*X^{0,1} \otimes T^*X^{1,0}$ defined via the Hermitian metric induced by ω . The second function is then

$$(2.2) \quad - \sum_{m=1}^r \frac{1}{2\pi} \partial^* \bar{\partial}^* (\tilde{\ell}_m(X, \omega)^\flat),$$

which is again a complex-valued function. Summing the two terms (2.1) and (2.2) produces a function $\tilde{f}(\alpha^j \cdot \text{ch}_{k_1}(X) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{ch}_{k_r}(X); \omega)$ which satisfies

$$\int_X \tilde{f}(\alpha^j \cdot \text{ch}_{k_1}(X) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{ch}_{k_r}(X); \omega) \omega^n = \alpha^j \cdot \text{ch}_{k_1}(X) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{ch}_{k_r}(X),$$

since the integral of each term involving an adjoint term vanishes. Adding each such term produces a function

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{Z}(X, \omega) = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \tilde{f}(\alpha^j \cdot \text{ch}_{k_1}(X) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{ch}_{k_r}(X); \omega) \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C})$$

which satisfies

$$\int_X \tilde{Z}(X, \omega) \omega^n = Z(X, \alpha).$$

Definition 2.4. We say that ω is a *Z-critical Kähler metric* if

$$\text{Im}(e^{-i\varphi(X, \alpha)} \tilde{Z}(X, \omega)) = 0.$$

We view this as a PDE on the space of Kähler metrics $\omega \in \alpha$. Varying the Kähler metric $\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi$, the equation becomes a sixth-order PDE in ψ in general.

Remark 2.5. If one uses Chern *classes* rather than Chern *characters*, one can similarly express the resulting geometric PDE, which, although equivalent, is notationally more cumbersome. Details are provided in Remark 4.11.

Example 2.6. Suppose only powers of $c_1(X)$ are involved. Then this recipe associates to a term of the form $\alpha^j \cdot c_1(X)^{n-j}$ the function

$$\frac{\omega^j \wedge (\text{Ric } \omega)^{n-j}}{\omega^n} - \frac{n-j}{j+1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \partial^* \bar{\partial}^* \left(\frac{\omega^{j+1} \wedge (\text{Ric } \omega)^{n-j-1}}{\omega^n} (\text{Id}_{TX^{1,0}})^b \right).$$

To compute the right-hand term, note that the flattened identity morphism is the metric g . The anti-symmetrisation map $T^*X^{0,1} \otimes T^*X^{1,0} \rightarrow T^*X^{0,1} \wedge T^*X^{1,0}$ is an isomorphism compatible with the del-bar operators $\bar{\partial} : \Gamma(T^*X^{1,0}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*X^{0,1} \otimes T^*X^{1,0})$ and $\bar{\partial} : \Omega^{1,0}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{1,1}(X)$, and the image of g under antisymmetrisation is $i\omega$. By the Kähler identities, $[\partial^*, \wedge \omega] = i\partial$, hence the expression above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega^j \wedge \text{Ric } \omega^{n-j}}{\omega^n} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{n-j}{j+1} \partial^* \partial \left(\frac{\omega^{j+1} \wedge \text{Ric } \omega^{n-j-1}}{\omega^n} \right) \\ &= \frac{\omega^j \wedge \text{Ric } \omega^{n-j}}{\omega^n} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{n-j}{j+1} \Delta \left(\frac{\omega^{j+1} \wedge \text{Ric } \omega^{n-j-1}}{\omega^n} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = -\partial^* \partial$ is the Kähler Laplacian defined using ω . The resulting PDE was introduced in [Der23, Section 2.1] in this special case where only the first Chern class is involved¹; the equation is new to the present work once higher Chern classes are included.

Specialising further, taking $Z(X, \alpha) = i \int_X \alpha^n - \int_X c_1(X) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}$ produces the cscK equation (which is *fourth-order*, as the Laplacian term vanishes). We expect our work to also encompass fourth-order PDEs considered by Futaki and Leung defined using Chern–Weil theory [Fut06, Leu98] (see also Bando [Ban06]), related to terms such as $\int_X c_j(X) \cdot \alpha^{n-j}$, with $c_j(X)$ the j^{th} -Chern class.

2.2. Z -critical connections. Our recipe is similar, but easier in the setting of a holomorphic vector bundle, where we produce *Z -critical connections*. Here the theory originates in [DMS20], building on the important special case of *deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills connections* [LYZ00, MnMMS00, CY18]. We consider a holomorphic vector bundle E over a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) of dimension n with $\omega \in \alpha$; a Hermitian metric h on E then determines a Chern connection A with curvature $F_A \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\text{End } E)$.

Definition 2.7. The connection A induced by a Hermitian metric h is a *Hermitian Yang–Mills connection* if

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \Lambda_\omega F_A = \lambda \text{Id}_E.$$

The constant λ is topological, given by $\lambda = n \frac{\deg E}{\text{rk } E}$, where $\deg E := \int_X c_1(E) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}$. We again view the Hermitian Yang–Mills condition as being “induced” by $\deg E$ and $\text{rk } E$ and ask for a more general geometric PDE associated to an arbitrary topological choice. Once more, the first step is to define the topological input:

¹The corresponding equation given in [Der23, Section 2.1] is erroneously missing a factor of $1/2\pi$, ultimately due to a mistake on [Der23, p. 21], where the incorrect expression $\text{Ric}(\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi) - \text{Ric}(\omega) = -i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \frac{(\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n}{\omega^n}$ is used.

Definition 2.8. A *central charge* is a group homomorphism $Z : K(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from the Grothendieck group of X to the complex numbers, taking the form

$$Z(E) = \sum_{j=0}^n \int_X \rho_j \alpha^j \cdot \text{ch}(E) \cdot \Theta,$$

where:

- (i) $\text{ch}(E)$ is the total Chern character of E ;
- (ii) $\rho_j \in \mathbb{C}$ are complex numbers;
- (iii) $\Theta \in \bigoplus_k H^{k,k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is an auxiliary cohomology class, which may equal 1;
- (iv) only the $2n$ -degree component of the integrand is considered in the integral;
- (v) the *phase* $\varphi(E) := \arg Z(E) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ is well-defined, so $Z(E) \neq 0$.

Example 2.9. Two central charges arising from string theory and mirror symmetry are the standard examples: the first takes the form

$$Z(E) = \int_X e^{-i\alpha} \cdot e^{-\beta} \cdot \text{ch}(E),$$

with $\beta \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ a “ B -field”, while the second takes the form

$$Z(E) = \int_X e^{-i\alpha} \cdot e^{-\beta} \cdot \text{ch}(E) \cdot \sqrt{\text{Td}(X)},$$

where $\text{Td}(X)$ denotes the Todd class of X . In these cases, the auxiliary class Θ is taken to induce the appearance the B -field and the square root of the Todd class.

We next use our connection and our Kähler metric to associate a geometric PDE to a central charge, through Chern–Weil representatives. For this we also fix a representative $\theta \in \Theta$, so that θ is a direct sum of closed differential forms (possibly of varying degrees) representing the closed cohomology class Θ .

The central charge is a linear combination of terms of the form $\int_X \alpha^j \cdot \text{ch}_k(E) \cdot \Theta_{n-j-k}$, where $\Theta_{n-j-k} \in H^{n-j-k, n-j-k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is of degree $2(n-j-k)$ so that the integrand is of total degree $2n$. We associate to this term the $\text{End}(E)$ -valued complex (n, n) -form

$$\omega^j \wedge \left(\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} F_A \right)^k \right) \wedge \theta_{n-j-k} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}(\text{End } E),$$

and summing up produces an $\text{End } E$ -valued complex (n, n) -form

$$\tilde{Z}(E, A) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}(\text{End } E)$$

defined in such a way that

$$\int_X \text{tr}(\tilde{Z}(E, A)) = Z(E).$$

Definition 2.10. [DMS20, Definition 2.20] We say that A is a *Z -critical connection* if

$$\text{Im}(e^{-i\varphi(E)} \tilde{Z}(E, A)) = 0,$$

where Im denotes $(-i)$ times the skew-Hermitian component with respect to the Hermitian metric h on E .

We view this is a geometric PDE on a connection on the holomorphic vector bundle E , and note that it is nonlinear in the *curvature* of the connection.

Example 2.11. *Deformed Hermitian Yang–Mills connections* are precisely Z -critical connections with $Z(E) = \int_X e^{-i\alpha} \cdot \text{ch}(E)$; more precisely, the resulting equation is [DMS20, Example 2.25]

$$\text{Im} \left(e^{-i\varphi(E)} \left(\omega \otimes \text{Id}_{\text{End } E} - \frac{F_A}{2\pi} \right)^n \right) = 0.$$

This equation arose simultaneously in the theoretical physics and mirror symmetry literature in the special case when E is a line bundle [MnMMS00, LYZ00], and was first suggested by Collins–Yau in higher rank [CY18, Section 8.1]. For other central charges, the equation is similarly explicit.

3. EQUIVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY

3.1. Equivariant differential forms. We recall some basic aspects of the theory of equivariant differential geometry. Let M be a manifold and let K be a compact Lie group acting on M . We let \mathfrak{k} denote the Lie algebra of K , and often use the same notation $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ for an element of this Lie algebra and its associated vector field on M produced by the K -action. We also denote by

$$\Omega^*(M) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega^k(M)$$

the direct sum over k of the space of k -forms on M .

Definition 3.1. An *equivariant differential form* is a smooth K -equivariant polynomial map

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \Omega^*(M),$$

where K -acts on \mathfrak{k} by the adjoint action and on $\Omega^*(M)$ by pullback of differential forms.

The key point of the definition is that there is a natural differential on equivariant differential forms.

Definition 3.2. We define the *equivariant differential* of an equivariant differential form α by

$$(d_{\text{eq}}\alpha)(v) = d(\alpha(v)) + \iota_v(\alpha(v)).$$

We say that an equivariant differential form α is *equivariantly closed* if $d_{\text{eq}}\alpha = 0$.

Suppose (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold with a K -action preserving ω . Recall that a smooth map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is a *moment map* with respect to ω if it is K -equivariant (with \mathfrak{k}^* given the coadjoint action) and for all $v \in \mathfrak{k}$

$$d\langle \mu, v \rangle = -\iota_v \omega,$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing between \mathfrak{k}^* and \mathfrak{k} . It follows from this definition that the equivariant differential form

$$v \mapsto \omega + \langle \mu, v \rangle$$

is equivariantly closed, and in fact this condition is *equivalent* to the moment map condition. We extend this definition slightly to drop positivity of ω .

Definition 3.3. Suppose M is a manifold with a K -action and ω is a closed K -invariant two-form. We call a smooth map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ a *moment map* with respect to ω if it is K -equivariant (with \mathfrak{k}^* given the coadjoint action) and for all $v \in \mathfrak{k}$

$$d\langle \mu, v \rangle = -\iota_v \omega.$$

Using Cartan’s magic formula, one calculates that $d_{\text{eq}}^2 = 0$; along with a notion of the degree of an equivariant differential form, this produces a cohomology theory known as equivariant cohomology that will play no role in the current work. Instead, we will use two basic properties of equivariantly closed differential forms. The first is analogous to the statement that the wedge product of closed forms is closed, and follows from a straightforward computation.

Lemma 3.4. *If α and β are two equivariantly closed differential forms, their wedge product defined by*

$$(\alpha \wedge \beta)(v) = \alpha(v) \wedge \beta(v)$$

is equivariantly closed.

The second is analogous to fibre integrals of closed forms being closed.

Lemma 3.5. *If $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is a proper submersion between manifolds with K -actions making π a K -equivariant map, then the fibre integral of an equivariantly closed differential form α on M , defined by*

$$\left(\int_{M/B} \alpha \right)(v) = \int_{M/B} \alpha(v),$$

is an equivariantly closed form on B .

The proof is also a straightforward calculation using that $\pi \circ k = k \circ \pi$ for $k \in K$.

3.2. Equivariant Chern–Weil theory. Suppose now that E is a complex vector bundle of rank r over a manifold M , and let K be a compact Lie group acting on M and lifting to E . Associated to a connection A on E are Chern–Weil representatives; we now explain the equivariant analogue of Chern–Weil theory. Our main reference is Berline–Getzler–Vergne [BGV04, Chapter 7].

We assume that A is K -invariant in the sense that for all $k \in K$

$$D_E \circ k^* = k^* \circ D_E.$$

Denote by $F_A \in \mathcal{A}^2(\text{End } E)$ the curvature of the connection A on E , which is then K -invariant, and let $D_{\text{End } E} : \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1(\text{End } E)$ be the induced covariant derivative on $\text{End } E$.

Definition 3.6. [BGV04, Proposition 7.4] Let A be a K -invariant connection. We say that a K -equivariant (smooth) section μ of $\text{End } E \otimes \mathfrak{k}^*$, thus associating to each $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ a section $\langle \mu, v \rangle \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } E)$, is a *moment map* for the K -action on E if for all $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ we have

$$\iota_v F_A = -D_{\text{End } E} \langle \mu, v \rangle.$$

Thus the moment map condition is an equality of elements of $\mathcal{A}^1(\text{End } E)$. We again emphasise that we do not require positivity (of any kind on F_A) in our definition of a moment map in this higher rank case. By [BGV04, Proposition 7.4], a moment map for the K -action on E always exists.

Definition 3.7. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } E \otimes \mathfrak{k}^*)$ be a moment map for the K -action on E . The *equivariant Chern–Weil representatives* of the *equivariant Chern characters* of E with respect to a A are the equivariant differential forms $\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k,\text{eq}}(E, A) \in \text{ch}_{k,\text{eq}}(E)$ defined, for each $v \in \mathfrak{k}$, by

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k,\text{eq}}(E, A)(v) = \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} (F_A + \langle \mu, v \rangle) \right)^k \right).$$

Implicit in this is that the equivariant Chern–Weil representatives thus defined are equivariantly closed [BGV04, p. 211].

4. Z -CRITICAL KÄHLER METRICS AS MOMENT MAPS

Here we consider Z -critical Kähler metrics in the finite-dimensional setting. We first compute a moment map for the full curvature tensor of a Kähler manifold, then use this information to produce equivariant representatives for the equivariant Chern characters of the vertical tangent bundle of a holomorphic submersion. Applying the theory of equivariant differential forms, we prove the finite-dimensional case of Theorem 1.1.

4.1. Chern–Weil theory for the tangent bundle. Consider a Kähler manifold (X, ω) , with X not necessarily compact. We assume there is an action of a compact Lie group K on (X, ω) by Hamiltonian isometries. The Kähler metric ω induces a Hermitian metric g on the holomorphic tangent bundle $TX^{1,0}$, which in turn induces a (Chern) connection on $TX^{1,0}$. We denote by

$$R \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\text{End } TX^{1,0})$$

the curvature of the Chern connection on $TX^{1,0}$. The connection on $TX^{1,0}$ is K -invariant, and our aim is to calculate the resulting equivariant Chern–Weil representatives of the Chern classes of X .

To phrase what these Chern–Weil representatives are, we require some further notation. Using the metric g , for a smooth function h on X we can think of $i\bar{\partial}\partial h$ as an endomorphism of $TX^{1,0}$, by raising the $(0,1)$ -form part to a $(1,0)$ -vector field; we will write

$$g^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial h \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } TX^{1,0})$$

for this endomorphism, which in local coordinates may be written $ig^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\gamma}h$ and is (we emphasise) skew-adjoint. In a little more detail, $i\bar{\partial}\partial h$ is a section of $T^*X^{1,0} \otimes T^*X^{0,1}$. The Hermitian metric g on $TX^{1,0}$ defines an isomorphism $T^*X^{0,1} \cong TX^{1,0}$, thus inducing an isomorphism

$$T^*X^{1,0} \otimes T^*X^{0,1} \cong T^*X^{1,0} \otimes TX^{1,0} \cong \text{End } TX^{1,0},$$

and the image of $i\bar{\partial}\partial h$ under these identifications is what we denote $g^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial h$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ be a moment map for the K -action on (X, ω) . Then a moment map σ for the K -action on $TX^{1,0}$ is given by*

$$\langle \sigma, v \rangle = g^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial(\langle \mu, v \rangle),$$

where $v \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Proof. We first prove equivariance. Since μ itself is equivariant, for $k \in K$ and $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ we have $h_{\text{Ad}(k)v} = k^*h_v$, where $h_v := \langle \mu, v \rangle = \mu^*(v)$ and $\text{Ad} : K \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{k})$ denotes the adjoint action of K on \mathfrak{k} . Further since K acts by biholomorphisms preserving ω , the Hermitian metric g is K -invariant and for an arbitrary smooth function f on X we have

$$i\bar{\partial}\partial k^*f = k^*i\bar{\partial}\partial f.$$

It follows that $\sigma^* : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } TX^{1,0})$ defined by

$$v \mapsto g^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial(\langle \mu, v \rangle)$$

is K -equivariant, as required.

We next fix $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ and prove the moment map property with respect to v . Let $h = \langle \mu, v \rangle$, so that $\iota_v \omega = -dh$. We must show that

$$\iota_v R = -D(g^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial h).$$

We compute in holomorphic normal coordinates centred at a point x ; note the connection matrix of the Chern connection in such local coordinates vanishes at x . Hence at the point x , we wish to show that

$$(4.1) \quad \iota_v R = -d(ig^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\gamma}h) \left[dz^{\gamma} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \right],$$

where we use the Einstein summation convention and use square brackets to indicate an endomorphism of $TX^{1,0}$. Write $v = v' + v'' = v^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} + \bar{v}^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\alpha}}$, so that $v' = v^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}}$ is the holomorphic vector field corresponding to the real holomorphic vector field v . The left hand side of (4.1) is

$$\iota_v \left(R_{\varepsilon\bar{\delta}\gamma}^{\alpha} dz^{\varepsilon} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\delta} \left[dz^{\gamma} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \right] \right) = R_{\varepsilon\bar{\delta}\gamma}^{\alpha} (v^{\varepsilon} d\bar{z}^{\delta} - \bar{v}^{\delta} dz^{\varepsilon}) \left[dz^{\gamma} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \right].$$

On the other hand, note that $\iota_v \omega = -dh$ implies

$$\omega(-, v') = \bar{\partial}h, \quad \omega(-, v'') = \partial h.$$

In local coordinates, these equations are written

$$(4.2) \quad \partial_{\bar{\beta}}h = -ig_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}v^{\alpha}, \quad \partial_{\alpha}h = ig_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\bar{v}^{\beta}.$$

Hence at the point x ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{\bar{\delta}}(ig^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\gamma}h) &= -ig^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\bar{\delta}}\partial_{\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\gamma}h \\ &= -ig^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\bar{\delta}}\partial_{\gamma}(-ig_{\bar{\beta}\varepsilon}v^{\varepsilon}) \\ &= -g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\gamma}(\partial_{\bar{\delta}}g_{\bar{\beta}\varepsilon}v^{\varepsilon}) \\ &= -g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\gamma}\partial_{\bar{\delta}}g_{\bar{\beta}\varepsilon}v^{\varepsilon} \\ &= g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}R_{\gamma\bar{\delta}\varepsilon\bar{\beta}}v^{\varepsilon} \\ &= g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}R_{\varepsilon\bar{\delta}\gamma\bar{\beta}}v^{\varepsilon} \\ &= R_{\varepsilon\bar{\delta}\gamma}^{\alpha}v^{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first line we used the holomorphic normal coordinate condition $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + O(|z|^2)$. In the second line, we used (4.2). In the third line we used that $\bar{\partial}v^{\varepsilon} = 0$ since v' is holomorphic. In the fourth and fifth lines we again use the normal coordinate condition. The sixth line is a curvature identity, and the seventh line raises an index of the curvature tensor.

Similarly

$$-\partial_{\varepsilon}(ig^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\bar{\beta}}\partial_{\gamma}h) = -R_{\varepsilon\bar{\delta}\gamma}^{\alpha}\bar{v}^{\delta},$$

from which (4.1) follows. \square

The first notable consequence of this is the following, for which we introduce the notation

$$H_v := g^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial(\langle \mu, v \rangle).$$

Corollary 4.2. *The equivariant Chern–Weil representatives of the Chern characters of $TX^{1,0}$ with respect to the Hermitian metric induced by ω are given for $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ by*

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k,\text{eq}}(X, \omega)(v) = \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} (R + H_v) \right)^k \right).$$

This is a standard result for the *first* Chern class, where (since $\text{tr}(iH_v) = -\Delta\langle\mu, v\rangle$ and $\text{tr}(iR) = 2\pi \text{Ric } \omega$) for $j = 1$ the result states that the equivariant differential form

$$v \mapsto \text{Ric } \omega - \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta\langle\mu, v\rangle$$

is equivariantly closed, and is a representative of $c_{1,\text{eq}}(X)$. This is, at least beyond equivariance of the form, a classical consequence of the Bochner formula [Gau17, Proposition 8.8.3, Remark 8.8.2] (having been used many times since [Szé12, Lemma 28], [Leg21, Section 3]), and to our knowledge equivariance was first explicitly stated for general compact Lie groups by McCarthy [McC22, Proof of Proposition 3.5].

Our main applications will instead use a consequence of this global result which holds for the relative tangent bundle of a holomorphic submersion. The setting is the following. We consider a proper holomorphic submersion $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ between finite-dimensional Kähler manifolds; the fibres are thus compact, but B and X themselves are not required to be so. We denote the fibre of π over $b \in B$ by X_b . We fix a compact Lie group K acting by biholomorphisms on X and B in such a way that π is a K -equivariant map. We finally fix a K -invariant relatively Kähler metric ω_X along with a moment map

$$\mu_X : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$$

with respect to ω_X , which by definition requires

$$v \mapsto \omega_X + \langle\mu_X, v\rangle$$

to be equivariantly closed (in particular, we do not demand that ω_X is globally positive).

Letting $\mathcal{V}^{1,0} := \ker(d\pi : TX^{1,0} \rightarrow TB^{1,0})$ denote the vertical holomorphic tangent bundle, we write $g_{\mathcal{V}}$ for the Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}$ induced by the relatively Kähler metric ω_X and set $R_{\mathcal{V}}$ to be its curvature, so that $R_{\mathcal{V}}$ is now a $(1,1)$ -form on X with values in $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0})$. As before, through the metric $g_{\mathcal{V}}$, for a smooth function h on X we can think of $i\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}\partial_{\mathcal{V}}h$ as an endomorphism of $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}$, by raising the $(0,1)$ -form part to a $(1,0)$ -vector field; we will write $g_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}i\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}\partial_{\mathcal{V}}h$ for this endomorphism.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mu_X : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ be a moment map for the K -action on (X, ω_X) . Then a moment map σ for the K -action on \mathcal{V} is given by*

$$\langle\sigma, v\rangle = g_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}i\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}\partial_{\mathcal{V}}(\langle\mu_X, v\rangle),$$

where $v \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Proof. Equivariance of σ is similarly deduced as in Proposition 4.1, so we omit this. The result is local, so we may fix a chart around a point in B and endow this chart with a K -invariant metric ω_B admitting a moment map

$$\mu_B : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*;$$

such a moment map can always be found, using that ω_B is exact in the given chart.

While the form ω_X is only relatively Kähler, for $k \gg 0$ the form

$$\omega_k = k\omega_B + \omega_X,$$

is genuinely Kähler, perhaps after shrinking B once more. The associated moment map takes the form

$$\mu_k = k\mu_B + \mu_X,$$

and denoting by R_k the curvature of the induced Hermitian metric g_k on $TX^{1,0}$, by Proposition 4.1

$$(4.3) \quad \iota_v R_k = -D_k(g_k^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial\langle\mu_k, v\rangle).$$

This is an equality of $\text{End}TX^{1,0}$ -valued one-forms, and we wish to deduce from this the equality of $\text{End}\mathcal{V}^{1,0}$ -valued one-forms

$$(4.4) \quad \iota_v R_{\mathcal{V}} = -D_{\text{End}\mathcal{V}}(g_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}i\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}\partial_{\mathcal{V}}\langle\mu_X, v\rangle).$$

To do this, we merely consider the block decomposition of (4.3) under $TX = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{H} is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{V} under ω (equivalently ω_k). Since \mathcal{V} is a holomorphic subbundle of TX , the component of R_k in $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ is just $R_{\mathcal{V}}$, as ω_k induces the same metric on \mathcal{V} as ω does for all k . Similarly, the Chern connection of TX preserves the decomposition $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ and restricts to the Chern connection of \mathcal{V} . Since the $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ component of $g_k^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial\langle\mu_k, v\rangle$ is equal to $g_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}i\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}\partial_{\mathcal{V}}\langle\mu_X, v\rangle$, we immediately get (4.4). \square

To construct the scalar curvature as a moment map, we will only require the trace of this result. Recall that the *relative anticanonical class* $-K_{X/B} = \Lambda^n \mathcal{V}^{1,0}$ is by definition the top exterior power of the relative holomorphic tangent bundle; induced from the Hermitian metric ω_X on $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}$ is thus a Hermitian metric on $-K_{X/B}$, and we write $i/2\pi$ times the curvature of this metric as $\rho \in c_1(-K_{X/B})$, so that $\frac{i}{2\pi} \text{tr}(R_{\mathcal{V}}) = \rho$. Similarly the trace of $g_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}i\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}\partial_{\mathcal{V}}\langle\mu, v\rangle$ is the vertical Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}\langle\mu, v\rangle$, defined by restricting $\langle\mu, v\rangle$ to a fibre and taking the Laplacian given by restricting the metric ω_X to the fibre.

Corollary 4.4. *The equivariant differential form*

$$v \mapsto \rho - \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\mathcal{V}}\langle\mu, v\rangle$$

is equivariantly closed, and is a representative of $c_{1,\text{eq}}(-K_{X/B})$.

4.2. Scalar curvature as a moment map in finite dimensions. Our setup is the same as the end of Section 4.1, so that $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is a proper holomorphic submersion of relative dimension n , K is a compact Lie group acting holomorphically on both X and B in such a way that π is K -equivariant, and ω is a K -invariant relatively Kähler metric on X with cohomology class $\alpha = [\omega]$. In this generality, we prove a moment map property of the scalar curvature. The novelty in comparison to the prior work (beyond the basic approach) is that we allow *both* the complex structure and the symplectic structure to vary. The results here will be a special case of the results concerning Z -critical Kähler metrics, but we isolate the scalar curvature result as it is of independent interest.

We denote by $\omega_b := \omega|_{X_b}$ the resulting Kähler metric on the fibre X_b of π for $b \in B$, and suppose that $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is a moment map for the K -action on (X, ω) ;

recall we do not require positivity of ω in our definition of a moment map. Thus μ is K -equivariant and satisfies the usual condition

$$d\langle\mu, v\rangle = -\iota_v\omega$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{k}$, and so the induced equivariant differential form

$$v \mapsto \omega + \langle\mu, v\rangle$$

is equivariantly closed and is hence determines an extension of α to an equivariant class.

Associated to the relatively Kähler metric ω is its relative Ricci form $\rho \in c_1(-K_{X/B})$, defined as $i/2\pi$ times the curvature of the induced Hermitian metric on $-K_{X/B} = \Lambda^n \mathcal{V}$, as used in Corollary 4.4. Denote

$$\hat{S}_b = n \frac{\int_{X_b} c_1(X_b) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}}{\int_{X_b} \alpha^n}$$

the fibrewise average scalar curvature, which is independent of $b \in B$.

Definition 4.5. We define the *Weil–Petersson form* on B to be the closed $(1, 1)$ -form

$$\Omega = \frac{\hat{S}_b}{n+1} \int_{X/B} \omega^{n+1} - \int_{X/B} \rho \wedge \omega^n.$$

The Weil–Petersson form is usually only defined for families of cscK manifolds [FS90, Definition 7.1] (i.e. when ω has constant scalar curvature when restricted to each fibre), in which case it is known to be semipositive (its strict positivity is also well-understood). In the spirit of this paper, we will not require any positivity.

Theorem 4.6. *The map $\sigma : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ defined by*

$$\langle\sigma(b), v\rangle = \int_{X_b} \langle\mu, v\rangle|_b (\hat{S}_b - S(\omega_b)) \omega_b^n$$

is a moment map for the K -action on (B, Ω) .

Proof. Corollary 4.4 proves that the form

$$\beta : v \mapsto \rho - \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\mathcal{V}} \langle\mu, v\rangle$$

is an equivariantly closed form on X . Similarly by hypothesis the form

$$\gamma : v \mapsto \omega + \langle\mu, v\rangle$$

is equivariantly closed. It follows that $\beta \wedge \gamma^n$ is equivariantly closed on X and hence its fibre integral

$$\int_{X/B} \beta \wedge \gamma^n$$

is an equivariantly closed differential form on B .

Unravelling what this means will prove the result. By definition for $v \in \mathfrak{k}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{X/B} \beta \wedge \gamma^n \right) (v) &= \left(\int_{X/B} \beta(v) \wedge \gamma(v)^n \right), \\ &= \int_{X/B} \left(\rho - \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\mathcal{V}} \langle\mu, v\rangle \right) \wedge (\omega + \langle\mu, v\rangle)^n. \end{aligned}$$

We expand this to obtain

$$\int_{X/B} \left(\rho - \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\mathcal{V}} \langle\mu, v\rangle \right) \wedge (\omega + \langle\mu, v\rangle)^n = \int_{X/B} \left(\rho \wedge \omega^n - \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\mathcal{V}} \langle\mu, v\rangle \omega^n + n \langle\mu, v\rangle \rho \wedge \omega^{n-1} \right),$$

where we use that the fibre integral of forms of degree strictly less than $2n$ vanish. The first of these three terms is a $(1, 1)$ -form on the base B , while for degree reasons the latter two are functions on B which we now calculate. Since

$$\left(\int_{X/B} \Delta \nu \langle \mu, v \rangle \omega^n \right) (b) = \int_{X_b} \Delta_b (\langle \mu, v \rangle|_{X_b}) \omega_b^n,$$

and since integrals of functions in the image of the Laplacian vanish, this fibre integral also vanishes. Again working fibrewise,

$$\begin{aligned} n \left(\int_{X/B} \langle \mu, v \rangle \rho \wedge \omega^{n-1} \right) (b) &= n \int_{X_b} \langle \mu, v \rangle|_{X_b} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_b) \wedge \omega_b^{n-1}, \\ &= \int_{X_b} \langle \mu, v \rangle|_{X_b} S(\omega_b) \omega_b^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that $\rho|_{X_b} = \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_b)$.

To conclude we perform a similar, but simpler calculation using γ^{n+1} , which produces

$$\left(\int_{X/B} \gamma^{n+1} \right) (v) = \int_{X/B} \omega^{n+1} + (n+1) \int_{X/B} \langle \mu, v \rangle \omega^n,$$

where the latter function satisfies

$$\left(\int_{X/B} \langle \mu, v \rangle \omega^n \right) (b) = \int_{X_b} \langle \mu, v \rangle|_{X_b} \omega_b^n.$$

Summing up we conclude that as required the map $\sigma : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ defined by

$$\langle \sigma, v \rangle (b) = \int_{X_b} \langle \mu, v \rangle|_b (\hat{S}_b - S(\omega_b)) \omega_b^n$$

is a moment map for the K -action on (B, Ω) , since by definition

$$\Omega = \frac{\hat{S}_b}{n+1} \int_{X/B} \omega^{n+1} - \int_{X/B} \rho \wedge \omega^n. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.7. The reason to include the term $\frac{\hat{S}_b}{n+1} \int_{X/B} \omega^{n+1}$ in the Weil–Petersson form is so that the moment map involves the scalar curvature *minus its average*, so that constant scalar curvature metrics are zeroes of the moment map.

4.3. The general moment map in finite dimensions. We next prove a finite-dimensional moment map property for the Z -critical operator. Our setup is identical to Sections 4.1 and 4.2, so that $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is a holomorphic submersion of relative dimension n , $\omega \in \alpha$ is a relatively Kähler metric, K is a compact Lie group acting holomorphically on X and B making π equivariant, and $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is a moment map so in particular the equivariant differential form

$$v \mapsto \omega + \langle \mu, v \rangle$$

is equivariantly closed.

Our strategy is to first associate to a central charge Z in the sense of Definition 2.2 a closed $(1, 1)$ -form Ω_Z on B . Our central charge is now defined fibrewise, and we denote it

$$Z(X_b, \alpha_b) = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \int_{X_b} \alpha_b^j \cdot \operatorname{ch}_{k_1}(X_b) \cdots \operatorname{ch}_{k_r}(X_b) \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}$ are a collection of complex numbers, and we only integrate the class of the appropriate degree. We note that the value $Z(X_b, \alpha_b)$ is independent of

$b \in B$, since the fibre integral of a closed form is closed, and the resulting closed form on the base has degree zero, so is a constant function.

We first associate a *complex* $(1,1)$ -form $\eta_Z \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(B)$ to the central charge Z through fibre integrals. Our construction will be linear, so we consider a single term $\alpha_b^j \cdot \text{ch}_{k_1}(X_b) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{ch}_{k_r}(X_b)$ of the central charge. Denote by $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}$ the relative tangent bundle of the holomorphic submersion, so that the relatively Kähler metric ω induces a Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}$. This Hermitian metric has curvature $R_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\text{End } \mathcal{V}^{1,0})$ and hence induces Chern–Weil representatives

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}_k(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega) = \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R_{\mathcal{V}} \right)^k \right) \in \text{ch}_k(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}),$$

and we associate to this form the closed $(n+1, n+1)$ form on X defined by

$$\frac{1}{j+1} \omega^{j+1} \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_r}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega) \in \mathcal{A}^{n+1, n+1}(X).$$

Taking the fibre integral of this $(n+1, n+1)$ -form produces a closed $(1,1)$ -form on B associated to the single term $\alpha_b^j \cdot \text{ch}_{k_1}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{ch}_{k_r}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0})$, and extending linearly over all terms of the central charge produces a closed *complex* $(1,1)$ -form $\eta_Z \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(B)$, with complexity arising from the fact that we allow the coefficients a_{jk} to be complex themselves.

Definition 4.8. We define the form Ω_Z associated to the central charge Z to be

$$\Omega_Z = \text{Im} \left(e^{-i\varphi(X_b, \alpha_b)} \eta_Z \right).$$

Here we recall $\varphi(X_b, \alpha_b) := \arg Z(X_b, \alpha_b) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ is the *phase* of the central charge. We will primarily be interested in Ω_Z rather than η_Z .

Example 4.9. Suppose we take the central charge Z to induce the constant scalar curvature equation, namely $Z(X_b, \alpha_b) = i \int_{X_b} \alpha_b^n - \int_{X_b} c_1(X_b) \cdot \alpha_b^{n-1}$. Then Ω_Z is a positive multiple of the Weil–Petersson form

$$\frac{\hat{S}_b}{n+1} \int_{X/B} \omega^{n+1} - \int_{X/B} \rho \wedge \omega^n.$$

Recall the definition of $\tilde{Z}(X_b, \omega_b)$ from equation (2.3).

Theorem 4.10. *Suppose $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is a moment map for the K -action on (X, ω) . Then the map $\sigma_Z : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ defined by*

$$\langle \sigma_Z(b), v \rangle := \int_{X_b} \langle \mu, v \rangle|_b \text{Im} \left(e^{-i\varphi(X_b, \alpha_b)} \tilde{Z}(X_b, \omega_b) \right) \omega_b^n$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{k}$, is a moment map for the K -action on (B, Ω_Z) .

Proof. Equivariance of the (claimed) moment map will be a formal consequence of the theory of equivariant differential forms and equivariance of the map sending v to $R_{\mathcal{V}} + g^{-1}i\partial_{\mathcal{V}}\partial_{\mathcal{V}}(\langle \mu, v \rangle)$, so we fix $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ and prove that

$$\iota_v \Omega_Z = -d \int_{X_b} \langle \mu, v \rangle|_b \text{Im} \left(e^{-i\varphi(X_b, \alpha_b)} \tilde{Z}(X_b, \omega_b) \right) \omega_b^n,$$

with the latter function considered as a function of $b \in B$.

Since ω_b^n and $\langle \mu, v \rangle|_b$ are real, it is enough to calculate the moment map for a single term comprising η_Z , namely the $(1,1)$ -form on B given by

$$\frac{1}{j+1} \int_{X/B} \omega^{j+1} \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1}(V^{1,0}, \omega) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_r}(V^{1,0}, \omega).$$

We replace this form with an equivariantly closed form by replacing ω with $\omega + \mu$ and similarly replacing each term $\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_m}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega)$ with $\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_m, \text{eq}}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega)$, producing by Lemma 3.4 an equivariantly closed form

$$\frac{1}{j+1} (\omega + \mu)^{j+1} \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1, \text{eq}}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_r, \text{eq}}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega)$$

on X . Thus by Lemma 3.5 the fibre integral

$$\frac{1}{j+1} \int_{X/B} (\omega + \mu)^{j+1} \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1, \text{eq}}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_r, \text{eq}}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega)$$

is an equivariantly closed form on B and so for each $v \in \mathfrak{k}$ induces a closed $(1, 1)$ -form plus a function for dimensional reasons.

We calculate this form explicitly to conclude. It is clear that the closed $(1, 1)$ -form on B must be

$$\frac{1}{j+1} \int_{X/B} \omega^{j+1} \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_r}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega);$$

this will lead to the appearance of Ω_Z . To calculate the function component of the fibre integral, since the fibre dimension is n , the only possible contribution arises from integrating a function against an (n, n) -form.

We thus calculate the relevant function. Denote by $h_v = \langle \mu, v \rangle$ and $H_v = g_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} i \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} \partial_{\mathcal{V}} h_v \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } \mathcal{V}^{1,0})$, so that the equivariant Chern–Weil representatives of the Chern characters are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_m, \text{eq}}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega)(v) &= \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{k_m!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} (R_{\mathcal{V}} + H_v) \right)^{k_m} \right), \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{k_m!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R_{\mathcal{V}} \right)^{k_m} + \frac{1}{(k_m-1)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R_{\mathcal{V}} \right)^{k_m-1} \frac{i}{2\pi} H_v + \text{O}(H_v^2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use that the trace of a commutator vanishes. In order to obtain a function on B , only the *linear* term in H_v can contribute; all other terms have too small degree and integrate to zero. Writing

$$\tilde{\tau}_m(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega)(v) = \frac{1}{(k_m-1)!} \text{tr} \left(\left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R_{\mathcal{V}} \right)^{k_m-1} \frac{i}{2\pi} H_v \right),$$

we may discard the $\text{O}(H_v^2)$ -terms, and may replace our equivariant Chern–Weil representative with

$$v \mapsto \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_m}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega)(v) + \tilde{\tau}_m(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega)(v).$$

We wish to replace the section $H_v \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } \mathcal{V}^{1,0})$ with the function $h_v \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ to obtain the actual Z -critical equation by using an integration by parts argument. The relevant integral is calculated over a single fibre, so we fix $b \in B$ and argue as follows. Note that $R_{\mathcal{V}}$ restricts to the fibre X_b as the curvature R_b of the Hermitian metric induced by ω_b on $TX_b^{1,0}$. Denote

$$\tilde{\ell}_m(X_b, \omega_b) = \frac{1}{j+1} \frac{\omega_b^{j+1} \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1}(X_b, \omega_b) \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{1}{(k_m-1)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R_b \right)^{k_m-1} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_r}(X_b, \omega_b)}{\omega_b^n},$$

namely where we replace a single term $\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_m}(X_b, \omega_b)$ with $\frac{1}{(k_m-1)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R_b \right)^{k_m-1}$. As the numerator is an $\text{End}(TX_b^{1,0})$ -valued (n, n) -form, overall $\tilde{\ell}_m(X_b, \omega_b)$ is a section of $\text{End } TX_b^{1,0}$.

Consider for the moment an arbitrary section $A \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End} TX_b^{1,0})$ taking the form A_β^α in local coordinates. Then we restrict H_v to X_b and calculate on X_b

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AH_v) &= iA_\beta^\alpha g_b^{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_\alpha h_v, \\ &= i g_b^{\alpha\bar{\varepsilon}} g_b^{\beta\bar{\gamma}} A_{\beta\bar{\varepsilon}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_\alpha h_v, \\ &= \langle A^b, i\bar{\partial}_b \partial_b h_v \rangle_{g_b}, \end{aligned}$$

where A^b is A considered as a section of $T^*X_b^{1,0} \otimes T^*X_b^{0,1}$ via the metric g_b and we emphasise that all derivatives are taken on X_b . We then integrate by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_b} \text{tr}(AH_v) \omega^n &= \int_{X_b} \langle A^b, i\bar{\partial}_b \partial_b h_v \rangle_{g_b} \omega_b^n, \\ &= \int_{X_b} h_v (i\partial_b^* \bar{\partial}_b^* A^b) \omega_b^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the integral of interest in calculating the function component of the equivariantly closed form, namely

$$\int_{X_b} \omega^{j+1} \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\tau}_m(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega)(v) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_r}(\mathcal{V}^{1,0}, \omega),$$

can be rewritten as

$$\int_{X_b} h_v \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi} \partial_b^* \bar{\partial}_b^* (\tilde{\ell}_m(X_b, \omega_b)^b) \right) \omega_b^n.$$

From here the calculation involves repeatedly applying this idea and using the definition of the Z -critical equation to see that the moment map is indeed given by

$$\langle \sigma_Z(b), v \rangle = \int_{X_b} \langle \mu, v \rangle_b \text{Im}(e^{-i\varphi(X, \alpha)} \tilde{Z}(X_b, \omega_b)) \omega_b^n. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.11. This calculation is why we use Chern characters rather than Chern classes. If one uses Chern classes, following a similar strategy one instead uses the *adjugate* to linearise the Chern–Weil representatives, through

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \det(R + \varepsilon H_v + t \text{Id}_{\text{End} \mathcal{V}}) = \text{tr}(\text{Adj}(R + t \text{Id}_{\mathcal{V}}) H_v);$$

here, the adjugate should be understood as the curvature of the induced Hermitian metric on $\Lambda^{n-1} \mathcal{V}^{1,0}$. This produces an equivalent formulation of the Z -critical equation, but is notationally more cumbersome.

Remark 4.12. A weak moment map property for the special case of the Z -critical equation only involving powers of the *first* Chern class is proven in [Der23, Section 3.3]. The proof there only applies to isotrivial families (so only a single complex manifold is considered), using very different ideas involving Deligne pairings.

5. MOMENT MAPS ON THE SPACE OF ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURES

The goal of this section is to prove moment map properties for the constant scalar curvature equation and the Z -critical equation on the space of integrable almost complex structures. To do so, we begin by developing some geometric aspects of the space of almost complex structures, most importantly to describe its universal family. We then use similar ideas to our finite-dimensional results, applied to the universal family over the space of integrable almost complex structures, to prove the desired moment map properties.

Several new difficulties arise, primarily due to the lack of a Fréchet-manifold structure on the space of integrable almost complex structures, which may be singular in general. This leads to the necessity of “almost holomorphic families” to surmount these difficulties.

5.1. Preliminaries on the space of almost complex structures.

5.1.1. *The space of almost complex structures.* We recall some aspects of the theory of the space of almost complex structures, referring to Scarpa for a thorough introduction [Sca20, Section 1.3].

Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold, and recall that an endomorphism $J \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } TM)$ is an *almost complex structure* if $J^2 = -\text{Id}_{TM}$.

Definition 5.1. An almost complex structure J on M is *compatible* with ω if for all vector fields X, Y on M we have

$$\omega(JX, JY) = \omega(X, Y),$$

and $g(X, Y) := \omega(X, JY)$ is a Riemannian metric on M . We denote by \mathcal{J} or $\mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$ the set of all almost complex structures on M compatible with ω .

The set \mathcal{J} has the natural structure of an infinite-dimensional Fréchet manifold [Koi90, Section 3] (see also [Sca20, Section 1.3]); we will always consider \mathcal{J} with this structure. Going further, the Fréchet manifold \mathcal{J} admits itself an almost complex structure, defined in the following manner. Firstly, the tangent space to $J \in \mathcal{J}$ is naturally identified with the space of smooth endomorphisms A of TM satisfying

$$AJ + JA = 0 \text{ and } \omega(AX, JY) + \omega(JX, AY) = 0$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M . We then define the almost complex structure $\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}}$ on \mathcal{J} by setting, for $A \in T_J \mathcal{J}$,

$$\mathbb{J}_J^{\mathcal{J}}(A) = JA.$$

Koiso further gives \mathcal{J} the structure of a *complex* Fréchet manifold, by producing holomorphic Fréchet charts on \mathcal{J} (with holomorphic transition functions). In this way, the almost complex structure $\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}}$ is induced by a genuine complex structure on \mathcal{J} .

Definition 5.2. We define the space of *integrable almost complex structures* $\mathcal{J}^{\text{int}} \subset \mathcal{J}$ to be the space

$$\mathcal{J}^{\text{int}} = \{J \in \mathcal{J} : N_J = 0\},$$

where N_J is the Nijenhuis tensor of J : for vector fields X, Y on M

$$N_J(X, Y) := [X, Y] + J([JX, Y] + [X, JY]) - [JX, JY].$$

Thus by the Newlander–Nirenberg theorem, \mathcal{J}^{int} corresponds to almost complex structures arising from *genuine* complex structures on M . Due to the possible presence of singularities, one cannot give \mathcal{J}^{int} the structure of a complex Fréchet manifold in general.

5.1.2. *The universal family over the space of almost complex structures.* We next construct a universal family \mathcal{U} over \mathcal{J} , whose fibre over $J \in \mathcal{J}$ is the almost complex manifold (M, J) . We give the universal family increasing structure in turn. As a smooth Fréchet manifold, we define $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{J} \times M$, which we think of as a submersion over \mathcal{J} with fibre M . As an almost complex manifold, we define

$$\mathbb{J}_{(J,x)}^{\mathcal{U}}(A, X) := (\mathbb{J}_J^{\mathcal{J}}(A), J_x X).$$

The almost complex structure defined in this way is such that the projection $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is a holomorphic map between almost complex manifolds.

Universal families admit natural *relative* metrics rather than global ones; in our situation this construction is as follows. Consider the closed $(1,1)$ -form $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$ defined by pulling back ω from the (smooth) projection $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow M$. Then $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a *relatively almost Kähler* metric on \mathcal{U} , in the sense that that it is a closed $(1,1)$ -form satisfying

$$\omega_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}} X, \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}} Y) = \omega_{\mathcal{U}}(X, Y)$$

for tangent vectors X, Y at a point of \mathcal{U} , and $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}(X, \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}} X) > 0$ for any non-zero vertical tangent vector $X \in TM \subset T\mathcal{U}$. In particular, the restriction of $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$ to any fibre $\pi^{-1}(J)$ of π is an almost Kähler metric on (M, J) . Hence on integrable fibres, $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$ restricts to a genuine Kähler metric.

We next note the existence of a canonical Chern connection $D^{\mathcal{V}}$ on the vertical (almost) holomorphic tangent bundle $\mathcal{V} = TM \subset T\mathcal{U}$ over \mathcal{U} , which preserves the Hermitian metric

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_{(J,x)} := \omega_x(X, JY),$$

and has $(0,1)$ -part equal to the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator

$$\bar{\partial}^{\mathcal{V}} X := \bar{\partial}^J X + \bar{\partial}^{\mathcal{H}} X.$$

In this second definition, $\bar{\partial}^J$ is simply the del-bar operator of the almost complex manifold (M, J) , and $\bar{\partial}^{\mathcal{H}}$ is defined by observing the bundle \mathcal{V} is trivial in the horizontal directions, so we may extend the del-bar operator of \mathcal{J} to \mathcal{V} .

To construct $D^{\mathcal{V}}$, first observe there exists a natural horizontal $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ -valued 1-form Θ on \mathcal{U} , defined by

$$\Theta_{(J,x)}(A, X) := A_x,$$

where we use the canonical identification of $T_J \mathcal{J}$ with a subspace of endomorphisms of $\mathcal{V} = TM$. Clearly Θ is complex linear, so we may consider it as a horizontal $(1,0)$ -form with values in $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$.

Next, recall any compatible almost complex structure J on (M, ω) induces a natural Chern connection on TM defined by

$$D_X^J Y := D_X^g Y - \frac{1}{2} J(D_X^g J)Y,$$

where g is the Hermitian metric defined by J and ω , and D^g is the Levi-Civita connection of g . Using these data, we can define the connection $D^{\mathcal{V}}$ on \mathcal{V} by

$$D^{\mathcal{V}} := D^J + d^{\mathcal{J}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}} \Theta.$$

To elaborate, in the vertical direction at J , the connection is given by D^J . Since \mathcal{V} is trivial in the horizontal directions, the horizontal part of any connection is $d^{\mathcal{J}}$ plus a horizontal $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ -valued 1-form; we have chosen $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}} \Theta$ for that 1-form, where $\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ denotes the almost complex structure of \mathcal{V} .

In fact, since $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ is trivial in the horizontal directions, we may take the horizontal derivative of $\mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}$ to get $d^\mathcal{J}\mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}^* \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{V}))$. It is immediate that $\Theta = d^\mathcal{J}\mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}$, since the tangent space to \mathcal{J} consists exactly of infinitesimal changes in ω -compatible almost complex structures.

Lemma 5.3. *$D^\mathcal{V}$ is the Chern connection associated to the natural del-bar operator and Hermitian metric on \mathcal{V} .*

Proof. Since D^J is already the Chern connection of (M, J) , we only need to compute the conditions in the horizontal direction. To see that $D^\mathcal{V}$ is Hermitian in the horizontal direction, take sections s_1 and s_2 of \mathcal{V} that are constant in the horizontal direction. Then for any horizontal tangent vector A ,

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle(A) &= \frac{1}{2}d^\mathcal{J}(\omega(s_1, \mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}s_2) + \omega(s_2, \mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}s_1))(A) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\omega(s_1, (d^\mathcal{J}\mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V})(A)s_2) + \omega(s_2, (d^\mathcal{J}\mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V})(A)s_1)) \\ &= \omega\left(s_1, \frac{1}{2}\Theta(A)s_2\right) + \omega\left(s_2, \frac{1}{2}\Theta(A)s_1\right) \\ &= \omega\left(s_1, \mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}\left(d^\mathcal{J} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}\Theta\right)s_2\right)(A) + \omega\left(s_2, \mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}\left(d^\mathcal{J} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}\Theta\right)s_1\right)(A) \\ &= \langle s_1, D_A^\mathcal{V}s_2 \rangle + \langle D_A^\mathcal{V}s_1, s_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have already remarked that Θ is complex linear, so is considered as a $(1, 0)$ -form, from which it follows that the $(0, 1)$ -part of $D^\mathcal{V}$ is the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator of \mathcal{V} . \square

5.1.3. *The action of the group of exact symplectomorphisms.* We now construct an action of the group of exact symplectomorphisms \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{U} . As a smooth manifold \mathcal{U} is given by $\mathcal{J} \times M$, and for $g \in \mathcal{G}$ we define $g(J, x) = (g \cdot J, g(x))$, where \mathcal{G} acts on \mathcal{J} by

$$(g \cdot J)(u) = g_* \circ J \circ g^*(u),$$

and where pullback of tangent vectors is defined as g is a diffeomorphism. The map $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is thus \mathcal{G} -equivariant, and the form $\omega_\mathcal{U}$ on \mathcal{U} is \mathcal{G} -invariant.

We next calculate the infinitesimal action, to show that this is a holomorphic action. The Lie algebra of \mathcal{G} is naturally identified with the space $C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ of smooth functions on M with integral zero. The vector field on \mathcal{J} associated with a function $f \in C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ is defined as follows. Firstly, f generates a Hamiltonian vector field X_f on M defined by

$$df = \omega(-, X_f).$$

Let Φ_t^f be the time- t flow of X_f , which is a diffeomorphism of M to itself. Using this flow, we can define a vector field A_f on \mathcal{J} by

$$A_f|_J := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\Phi_{-t}^f)^* J = -\mathcal{L}_{X_f} J.$$

The vector field on \mathcal{U} associated to $f \in C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ is then $A_f + X_f$.

Lemma 5.4. *The action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{U} is holomorphic.*

Proof. We must show the vector field $A_f + X_f$ on \mathcal{U} generated by $f \in C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ is holomorphic. In other words, we must show that the Lie derivative of $\mathbb{J}^\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{J}^\mathcal{J} + \mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}$ along this vector field vanishes. We calculate

$$\mathcal{L}_{A_f + X_f}(\mathbb{J}^\mathcal{J} + \mathbb{J}^\mathcal{V}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{L}_{A_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}}) + \mathcal{L}_{A_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) + \mathcal{L}_{X_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}}) + \mathcal{L}_{X_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}}), \\
&= \mathcal{L}_{A_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) + \mathcal{L}_{X_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use that the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{J} is holomorphic to obtain $\mathcal{L}_{A_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}}) = 0$ (using the definition of the infinitesimal action and the complex structure $\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}}$), and that $\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}}$ is constant in the vertical directions to obtain $\mathcal{L}_{X_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}}) = 0$.

Now, A_f is the vector field associated to the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms Ψ_t^f of \mathcal{J} , where

$$\Psi_t^f(J) := (\Phi_{-t}^f)^* J.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{L}_{A_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}})|_J := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}}|_{(\Phi_{-t}^f)^* J} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\Phi_{-t}^f)^* J = -\mathcal{L}_{X_f} J = -\mathcal{L}_{X_f} \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}}|_J.$$

Thus $\mathcal{L}_{A_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) + \mathcal{L}_{X_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) = 0$, proving the result. \square

Thus both the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator and Hermitian metric (induced by $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$) on \mathcal{V} are compatible with the \mathcal{G} -action. It follows that the Chern connection, and hence its curvature, are also \mathcal{G} -invariant.

5.2. Moment maps on the space of almost complex structures. Our next goal is to prove that the Z -critical equation appears as a moment map on the *infinite-dimensional* space $\mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega)$, in particular recovering the moment map property of the scalar curvature. We denote by $Z(M, \alpha)$ a fixed central charge; as its value is topological, we consider this as fixed independently of $J \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega)$.

Theorem 5.5. *The map $\sigma : \mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})^*$ defined by*

$$\langle \sigma(J), f \rangle := \int_M f \operatorname{Im}(e^{-i\varphi(M, \alpha)} \tilde{Z}(M, J)) \omega^n$$

is a moment map for the \mathcal{G} -action on $(\mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega), \Omega_Z)$.

Here the closed $(1, 1)$ -form Ω_Z on $\mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega)$ is induced by the central charge Z and defined as a fibre integral in the same way as Definition 4.8. The group \mathcal{G} is the group of exact symplectomorphisms of (M, ω) .

As $\mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega)$ may be singular, we must specify what we mean by a moment map. Tangent vectors $A \in T_J \mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega) \subset T_J \mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$ are, by definition, those that satisfy

$$N_{J+tA} = \mathcal{O}(t^2);$$

an equivalent condition is that $\bar{\partial}_J A^{1,0} = 0$, where $A^{1,0}$ is the associated element of $\Omega_J^{0,1}(T_J^{1,0} M)$. For each $v \in \operatorname{Lie} \mathcal{G}$ we then require equality

$$\iota_v \Omega_Z = -d \langle \operatorname{Im}(e^{-i\varphi(M, \alpha)} \tilde{Z}(M, J)), h_v \rangle$$

on $T_J \mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}(M, \omega)$, where h_v is the Hamiltonian associated with $v \in \operatorname{Lie} \mathcal{G} \cong C_0^\infty(M)$.

That we recover the moment map property of the scalar curvature follows from the equality of Weil–Petersson form we use and the L^2 -metric on $\mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$ [FS90, Theorem 10.3] (see also Fujiki [Fuj92, Theorem 8.2]).

5.2.1. *Almost holomorphic geometry.* In Corollary 4.3 we proved a moment map equation for the curvature $R_{\mathcal{V}}$ of the vertical bundle of a holomorphic submersion $X \rightarrow B$ in finite dimensions; our aim now is to extend this to the infinite-dimensional setting of $\mathcal{U}^{\text{int}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}$. Ultimately we would like to achieve this by restricting to certain finite-dimensional submanifolds $\mathcal{U}|_B \rightarrow B$ and applying Corollary 4.3. However, since B must be constructed to contain an arbitrary tangent vector $A \in T_J \mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}$, and the space \mathcal{J}^{int} is singular, B may not be contained in \mathcal{J}^{int} , and hence we cannot apply this result directly. We thus dedicate some effort to proving the following analogue of Corollary 4.3 in the “almost holomorphic” setting.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $X \rightarrow B$ be a proper holomorphic submersion, where B is complex, X is almost complex, and the Nijenhuis tensor N_b of the fibre X_b satisfies $N_b = \mathcal{O}(|b|^2)$ for a choice of local coordinates b on B centred at $b = 0$. Suppose that ω is a relatively almost Kähler metric on X , and v is a real holomorphic vector field on X that is Hamiltonian for ω . Denote by $D_{\mathcal{V}}$ the Chern connection of the vertical tangent bundle \mathcal{V} and by $R_{\mathcal{V}}$ its curvature. Then*

$$\iota_v R_{\mathcal{V}} = -D_{\text{End } \mathcal{V}}(ig_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} \partial_{\mathcal{V}} h)$$

on the central fibre X_0 over $b = 0$.

As in the genuinely integrable setting, we first prove a non-relative analogue of this result, which is then applied to prove the relative result. The first step is to understand the Nijenhuis tensor on the total space X .

Lemma 5.7. *Let $x \in X$ lie above $0 \in B$, and let z^j denote any system of complex coordinates for X centred at x .² Then the Nijenhuis tensor N_X of (X, J) satisfies*

$$N_X = \mathcal{O}(|z|^2).$$

Proof. The family $X = B \times M$ is trivial, so we split the vector-valued tensor into its components $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H} = T^*B \wedge T^*B$, $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{V} = T^*B \wedge T^*M$, and $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V} = T^*M \wedge T^*M$. By hypothesis the purely vertical component of N satisfies

$$N_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{O}(|z|^2).$$

The subsets $B \times \{y\}$ for $y \in M$ are almost complex submanifolds, and since B is genuinely complex we have $N_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}} = 0$ identically. Take holomorphic coordinates $x^j + iy^j$ on B , and let $\partial/\partial u$ be a real coordinate vector field on M , extended to $B \times M$ trivially in the B -directions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right] + J\left(\left[J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right] + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, J\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right]\right) - \left[J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, J\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right] \\ &= \left(J\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}J - \mathcal{L}_{J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}J\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $J(\partial/\partial x^j) = \partial/\partial y^j$ is a coordinate vector field. Writing $A := \mathcal{L}_{J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}J$, note this is the infinitesimal deformation of almost complex structures determined by the inclusion $\psi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ defining the family $X \rightarrow B$. Since the map $\psi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is holomorphic,

$$\mathcal{L}_{J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}J = \psi_*\left(J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) = J\psi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) = JA.$$

²Here we use the term complex coordinates to mean a smooth chart around x with values in $\mathbb{C}^{\dim X}$; we do not assume any holomorphicity of the coordinate functions.

It follows that

$$J\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}J - \mathcal{L}_{J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}J = JA - JA = 0.$$

An entirely similar argument with $\partial/\partial y^j$ in place of $\partial/\partial x^j$ goes through, proving that $N_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{V}}$ vanishes identically. \square

Now that we understand N_X , for the time being we may dispense with the fibration structure, instead assuming we have an almost complex manifold (X, J) with a compatible symplectic form ω , and a point $x \in X$ such that $N_X(z) = O(|z|^2)$ for a choice of complex coordinates z^j centred at x . Our claim in the non-relative setting is as follows.

Proposition 5.8. *Let (X, J, ω) be an almost Kähler manifold, and let $x \in X$ be such that $N_X = O(|z|^2)$ for any choice of complex coordinates z^j centred at x . Let v be a real holomorphic vector field on X that is Hamiltonian, with Hamiltonian function h . Then at the point x ,*

$$\iota_v R = -D(g^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial h),$$

where D is the Chern connection of (X, J, ω) and R is its curvature.

In order to prove this, we will construct complex coordinates z^j centred at x that are “sufficiently close” to holomorphic coordinates such that all the usual calculations in the holomorphic setting will carry through, at least at the point x .

Lemma 5.9. *For $x \in X$ such that $N_X(z) = O(|z|^2)$, the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator of X satisfies*

$$\bar{\partial}^2 = O(|z|^2).$$

Proof. By [Sca20, Lemma 1.3.3], the differential of an arbitrary 1-form α satisfies

$$d\alpha = \partial\alpha + \bar{\partial}\alpha + \alpha \circ N_X,$$

where N_X is the Nijenhuis tensor of X . In particular, choosing $\alpha = df$ for an arbitrary function f ,

$$0 = \partial^2 f + \bar{\partial}\partial f + \partial\bar{\partial}f + \bar{\partial}^2 f + df \circ N_X.$$

Isolating the $(0, 2)$ -component of this equation, we have

$$\bar{\partial}^2 f + (df \circ N)^{(0,2)} = 0.$$

Since $N = O(|z|^2)$, it follows that $\bar{\partial}^2 = O(|z|^2)$, as required. \square

Given a system of complex coordinates $z^j = x^j + iy^j$, we have $dz^j = dx^j + idy^j$, and define

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \right).$$

These satisfy the dual relation $dz^j(\partial/\partial z^k) = \delta_{jk}$. However, note that unlike in the holomorphic case, these are not sections of $T^*X^{1,0}$ and $TX^{1,0}$ respectively.

Lemma 5.10. *We may choose complex coordinates z^j centred at x so that*

$$dz^j = \partial z^j + O(|z|^3).$$

Denote by s_j the projection of $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}$ to $T^{1,0}X$. Then in such coordinates, the following also hold:

- (i) $s_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} + O(|z|^3)$;
- (ii) $\bar{\partial}s_j = O(|z|^2)$.

Proof. When the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes identically, a frame with the desired properties is given, through the Newlander–Nirenberg theorem, by choosing a holomorphic chart with coordinates z^j and setting $s_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}$. The proof of our statement thus begins by following the first steps of the proof of the Newlander–Nirenberg theorem, as exposited by Demailly [Dem, Chaper VIII, Lemma 11.10]. This proof inductively produces “almost holomorphic coordinates” to all orders (and then ultimately perturbs them to genuinely holomorphic coordinates using L^2 -techniques; this final step will be irrelevant for us).

Take a frame ξ_j for the complex vector bundle $TX^{1,0} \subset TX_{\mathbb{C}}$ around x . Demailly begins by choosing complex coordinates z^j such that

$$\partial z^j(0) = \xi_j^* \text{ and } \bar{\partial} z^j(0) = 0;$$

that is, $dz^j(0) = \xi_j^*(0)$. These thus satisfy $\bar{\partial} z^j = O(|z|)$. Using that $N_X = O(|z|^m)$, Demailly then inductively produces new complex coordinates by modifying these initial z^j by adding polynomials in z^k, \bar{z}^l to produce complex coordinates \tilde{z}^j satisfying $\bar{\partial} \tilde{z}^j = O(|z|^{m+1})$. In our situation, by hypothesis $N_X = O(|z|^2)$ and so we may find new complex coordinates $\bar{\partial} \tilde{z}^j = O(|z|^3)$.

We take such complex coordinates and denote them z^j , dispensing with our initial choice of coordinates. Thus the z^j satisfy $\bar{\partial} z^j = O(|z|^3)$, since $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ on functions. We next claim that

$$(5.1) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right)^{(1,0)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} + O(|z|^3).$$

Note we already have the dual version $\partial z^j = dz^j + O(|z|^3)$, from which it follows that $\bar{\partial} z^j = dz^j + O(|z|^3)$. Applying these two equations, one may easily deduce (5.1) from the dual relation between the dz^j and the $\partial/\partial z^j$. In particular, setting $s_j := (\partial/\partial z^j)^{(1,0)}$, we have proven $s_j = \partial/\partial z^j + O(|z|^3)$, which was our first goal.

We next claim that $\bar{\partial} s_j = O(|z|^2)$, and begin by proving the dual statement $\bar{\partial}(\partial z^j) = O(|z|^2)$. Indeed, $d^2 z^j = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 z^j &= \bar{\partial}(dz^j) + \partial(dz^j) + dz^j \circ N_X, \\ &= \bar{\partial}(\partial z^j + \bar{\partial} z^j) + \partial(\partial z^j + \bar{\partial} z^j) + O(|z|^2) \end{aligned}$$

by [Sca20, Lemma 1.3.3]. Then so considering the $(1,1)$ -component

$$\bar{\partial}\partial z^j + \partial\bar{\partial} z^j = O(|z|^2),$$

so $\bar{\partial}\partial z^j = O(|z|^2)$ since again $\bar{\partial} z^j = O(|z|^3)$.

To deduce that $\bar{\partial} s_j = O(|z|^2)$, we use the relation

$$\bar{\partial}\langle \partial z^k, s_j \rangle - \langle \partial z^k, \bar{\partial} s_j \rangle = \langle \bar{\partial}(\partial z^k), s_j \rangle;$$

see [Gau17, Equation (9.3.3)]. Since we have established $\bar{\partial}(\partial z^j) = O(|z|^2)$, it follows that the right hand side is $O(|z|^2)$. As

$$\langle \partial z^k, s_j \rangle = \delta_{jk} + O(|z|^3),$$

it follows that $\langle \partial z^k, \bar{\partial} s_j \rangle = O(|z|^2)$ for all k , hence $\bar{\partial} s_j = O(|z|^2)$. \square

Fix an almost Kähler metric ω on X , which hence induces a Hermitian metric g on $TX^{1,0}$. We can identify g with a matrix $g_{j\bar{k}}$ once we have chosen a frame for $TX^{1,0}$; we define the matrix $g_{j\bar{k}}$ by choosing the frame s_j defined in Lemma 5.10.

Lemma 5.11. *Given an almost Kähler metric ω on X , we may further choose the frame s_j of Lemma 5.10 so that*

$$g_{j\bar{k}} = \delta_{jk} + O(|z|^2).$$

Proof. Recall $s_j := (\partial/\partial z^j)^{(1,0)}$ for a suitable choice of coordinates z^j about x . Clearly the conditions of Lemma 5.10 are unchanged if we take a linear change of coordinates. We may therefore choose coordinates so that Lemma 5.10 is satisfied and

$$\omega = i \sum_{j,k} \left(\delta_{jk} + \sum_l (a_{j\bar{k}l} z^l + a_{j\bar{k}\bar{l}} \bar{z}^l) + O(|z|^2) \right) (dz^j)^{(1,0)} \wedge (d\bar{z}^k)^{0,1}.$$

In order to eliminate the linear terms, we define via the implicit function theorem coordinates w^j satisfying

$$z^j = w^j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} b_{jkl} w^k w^l,$$

for a choice of constants b_{jkl} , symmetric in k and l , that are to be determined.

We claim that such coordinates still satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5.10. Note from the proof of Lemma 5.10, it suffices to show that the w^j satisfy $dw^j = \partial w^j + O(|w|^3)$, and then the other conditions will be automatically satisfied. Now,

$$dz^j = dw^j - \sum_{k,l} b_{jkl} w^k dw^l, \quad \partial z^j = \partial w^j - \sum_{k,l} b_{jkl} w^k \partial w^l.$$

From $dz^j - \partial z^j = O(|z|^3) = O(|w|^3)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} dw^j - \partial w^j &= O(|w|^3) + \sum_{k,l} b_{jkl} w^k (dw^l - \partial w^l) \\ &= O(|w|^3) + \sum_l O(|w|) (dw^l - \partial w^l) \\ &= O(|w|^3), \end{aligned}$$

where the final line is obtained inductively from the second line. Hence the conditions of Lemma 5.10 are satisfied by the w^j coordinates.

Changing coordinates from z^j to w^j ,

$$\omega = i \sum_{j,k} \left(\delta_{jk} + \sum_l (a_{j\bar{k}l} w^l + a_{j\bar{k}\bar{l}} \bar{w}^l - b_{klj} w^l - \overline{b_{klj}} \bar{w}^l) + O(|w|^2) \right) (dw^j)^{(1,0)} \wedge (d\bar{w}^k)^{0,1}.$$

Choosing $b_{klj} := a_{j\bar{k}l}$ we get the desired form for ω ; note that $d\omega = 0$ implies $a_{j\bar{k}l} = a_{l\bar{k}j}$, so the b_{jkl} satisfy the required symmetries. \square

Consider next the curvature R of the Chern connection D on $TX^{1,0}$. We denote by $g = g_{j\bar{k}}$ the matrix of the Hermitian metric under the frame s_j of Lemma 5.11.

Lemma 5.12. *With respect to the frame s_j of Lemma 5.11,*

$$R = \bar{\partial}(g^{-1}\partial g) + O(|z|).$$

In particular, $R^{0,2}$ and $R^{2,0}$ are $O(|z|)$.

Proof. Consider the connection matrix Θ defined with respect to the frame s_j , so that $D = d + \Theta$ with respect to this frame. The coefficients of Θ are defined by

$$Ds_j = \Theta_j^l s_l.$$

Since D is the Chern connection,

$$(Ds_j)^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}s_j = O(|z|^2),$$

so $\Theta^{0,1} = O(|z|^2)$. Thus, following the usual proof in the holomorphic setting,

$$\Theta = g^{-1}\partial g + O(|z|^2).$$

The local expression for the curvature R is

$$R = d\Theta + \Theta \wedge \Theta.$$

Since $N = O(|z|^2)$,

$$d\Theta = \partial\Theta + \bar{\partial}\Theta + O(|z|^2).$$

Noting $\Theta^{0,1} = O(|z|^2)$, it then follows that $R^{0,2} = O(|z|)$. As the Chern connection is Hermitian, $R^{0,2}$ is conjugate to $R^{2,0}$ and so similarly $R^{2,0} = O(|z|)$.

It thus remains to understand $R^{1,1}$, but since $\Theta^{0,1} = O(|z|^2)$, it follows that

$$R^{1,1} = \bar{\partial}\Theta^{1,0} + O(|z|) = \bar{\partial}(g^{-1}\partial g) + O(|z|),$$

as claimed. \square

We can at last prove Proposition 5.8, which is the analogue of Proposition 4.1 in the almost integrable setting:

Proof of Proposition 5.8. Let z^α be the coordinates of Lemma 5.11. By Lemma 5.12,

$$R = \bar{\partial}(g^{-1}\partial g) + O(|z|).$$

In the proof of Lemma 5.12, we observed that the Chern connection Θ satisfies

$$\Theta = g^{-1}\partial g + O(|z|^2),$$

but by Lemma 5.11 this implies Θ vanishes at the point x . Therefore, in our current choice of coordinates we reduce to proving

$$\iota_v R = -d(g^{-1}i\bar{\partial}\partial h)$$

at the point x .

In our coordinate system, the same calculation from Proposition 4.1 goes through in exactly the same manner. In particular, as $\partial z^\alpha = dz^\alpha + O(|z|^3)$, ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ may be computed as normal in coordinates, since we only take two more derivatives after the first derivative ∂h .

One extra remark is that when we decompose $v = v' + v''$ into its $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ -parts, we need to know that $\bar{\partial}v^\alpha = O(|z|^2)$, where $v' = v^\alpha(\partial/\partial z^\alpha)^{(1,0)}$. We have assumed v is real holomorphic, so that $\mathcal{L}_v J = 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} J &= i(dz^\alpha)^{(1,0)} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}\right)^{(1,0)} - i(d\bar{z}^\alpha)^{(1,0)} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\alpha}\right)^{(1,0)} \\ &= i dz^\alpha \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} - i d\bar{z}^\alpha \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\alpha} + O(|z|^3). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, noting

$$v' = v^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}\right)^{(1,0)} = v^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} + O(|z|^3),$$

as well as the corresponding equation for v'' , computing $\mathcal{L}_v J$ explicitly in these coordinates we deduce $\bar{\partial}v^\alpha = O(|z|^2)$ as claimed. \square

Remark 5.13. It is evident that in the previous proof, we do not require v to be real holomorphic everywhere—it is sufficient that $\mathcal{L}_v J = O(|z|^2)$.

Finally, we prove Proposition 5.6, the relative analogue of Corollary 4.3:

Proof of Proposition 5.6. We choose a v -invariant Kähler metric ω_B on B and consider the Kähler metric $\omega_k := \omega + k\omega_B$ for $k \gg 0$. Proposition 5.8 applied to ω_k then yields the result in exactly the same manner as the proof of Corollary 4.3. \square

5.2.2. *An approximation result.* We now return to the infinite-dimensional setting. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$ be a Hamiltonian, and let A_f be the vector field it generates on \mathcal{J}^{int} . If we were able to take the holomorphic flow of A_f , we could restrict to a finite-dimensional submanifold of \mathcal{J}^{int} in order to prove the moment map property. Unfortunately, while the real flow A_f always exists, the flow of $\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}} A_f$ does not exist in general [BBLU22]. However, for the purposes of computing the moment map property, it suffices to take an approximation of the flow.

Proposition 5.14. *Let $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$ and let A_f be the vector field on \mathcal{J} generated by f . Let $J \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}$ and let $B \in T_J \mathcal{J}^{\text{int}}$. Assume that $A_f|_J$ and B are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} . There exist neighbourhoods Δ_z, Δ_w of the origin in \mathbb{C} and a holomorphic immersion $\sigma : \Delta_z \times \Delta_w \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ such that:*

- (i) $\sigma(0, 0) = J$;
- (ii) $\partial_z \sigma(0, 0) = A'_f|_J$;
- (iii) $\partial_w \sigma(0, 0) = B'$.

Here A'_f and B' denote the $(1, 0)$ -parts of A_f and B respectively. Furthermore, if we extend σ to $\tilde{\sigma} : \Delta_z \times \Delta_w \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ by defining $\tilde{\sigma}(z, w, x) := (\sigma(z, w), x)$, then

- (iv) $\mathcal{L}_{\partial/\partial s + X_f}(\tilde{\sigma}^* \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}}) = O(|z|^2)$,

where $z = s + it$.

Proof. Using the complex Fréchet manifold structure of \mathcal{J} [Koi90], we identify a neighbourhood of J with an open subset of a complex Fréchet space E using a holomorphic chart mapping J to $0 \in E$. We henceforth abuse notation by considering all objects on \mathcal{J} near J (including A_f and B) as living on this neighbourhood of the origin in E instead; we will further abuse notation by writing E in place of a neighbourhood of 0 in E . First, we choose a holomorphic map $\eta : \Delta_w \rightarrow E$ for some neighbourhood of the origin Δ_w in \mathbb{C} such that $\partial_w \eta(0) = B'$; the obvious linear map will suffice.

Recall we denote by Ψ_t^f the (real) one-parameter family of local diffeomorphisms near 0 of E generated by $A_f = -\mathcal{L}_X \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{J}}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, consider the smooth map $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times \Delta_w \rightarrow E$,

$$(s, w) \mapsto \Psi_s^f(\eta(w)) =: \gamma_w(s).$$

For any fixed $w \in \Delta_w$, $\gamma_w(s)$ is the integral curve for A_f starting at $\eta(w)$. Taking the Taylor expansion of $\gamma_w(s)$ in s , we have

$$\gamma_w(s) = \gamma_w(0) + s\gamma_w'(0) + \frac{s^2}{2}\gamma_w''(0) + \frac{s^3}{6}\gamma_w'''(0) + O(s^4).$$

Here $O(s^4)$ in the Fréchet space E means $O(s^4)$ with respect to each of the seminorms defining the Fréchet structure of E (and where we note s is a real variable).

Truncating the Taylor series and extending s to a complex variable $z := s + it$, we obtain a holomorphic map $\sigma : \Delta_z \times \Delta_w \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$\sigma(z, w) := \gamma_w(0) + z\gamma'_w(0) + \frac{z^2}{2}\gamma''_w(0) + \frac{z^3}{6}\gamma'''_w(0).$$

Here holomorphicity in z is obvious, and holomorphicity in w follows from holomorphicity of the embedding $\eta : \Delta_w \rightarrow E$ and of the flow Ψ_s^f . By construction σ satisfies the first three claimed properties, and after possibly shrinking the neighbourhoods Δ_z and Δ_w , will be an immersion as A_f and B are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} .

Now, note that if we consider A_f as a holomorphic map $E \rightarrow E$, then $A_f(\sigma(z, w)) - \partial_s \sigma(z, w)$ is a holomorphic map from $\Delta_z \times \Delta_w$ to E . Using the flow equation $A_f(\gamma_w(s)) = \gamma'_w(s)$, we see that $A_f(\sigma(z, w)) - \partial_s \sigma(z, w)$ is $O(|s|^3)$ on the set $\{s + i0\} \times \Delta_w \subset \Delta_z \times \Delta_w$. By holomorphicity, it therefore vanishes to order three along the w -axis:

$$A_f(\sigma(z, w)) - \partial_s \sigma(z, w) = O(|z|^3).$$

Pulling back the family $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ via the Fréchet chart, we obtain an almost complex family $E \times M \rightarrow E$. It remains to compute the Lie derivative of the almost complex structure on $E \times M$ restricted to the image of $\tilde{\sigma}$. We have shown in Lemma 5.4 that $\mathcal{L}_{A_f + X_f} \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}} = 0$. We first consider the Lie derivative of a function h on $E \times M$ along the image of $\tilde{\sigma}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\partial_s \sigma + X_f} h - \mathcal{L}_{A_f + X_f} h)|_{\sigma(z, w)} &= (\mathcal{L}_{\partial_s \sigma} h - \mathcal{L}_{A_f} h)|_{\sigma(z, w)} \\ &= dh|_{\sigma(z, w)}(\partial_s \sigma(z, w) - A_f|_{\sigma(z, w)}) \\ &= dh|_{\sigma(z, w)}(O(|z|^3)) \\ &= O(|z|^3). \end{aligned}$$

We next note that this implies the following: if u is a vector field on $E \times M$ whose restriction to the image of $\tilde{\sigma}$ is tangent to that image, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_s \sigma} u - \mathcal{L}_{A_f} u)|_{(z, w)} = O(|z|^2).$$

This follows since for any function h ,

$$dh(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_s \sigma} u - \mathcal{L}_{A_f} u) = (\partial_s \sigma - A_f)(u(h)) - u((\partial_s \sigma - A_f)(h)).$$

Lastly, this can be applied to show that the Lie derivative of the almost complex structure is $O(|z|^2)$: for any vector field u as above,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\partial_s \sigma + X_f} \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}}|_{\sigma(z, w)})(u) &= (\mathcal{L}_{\partial_s \sigma + X_f} \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}}|_{\sigma(z, w)})(u) - (\mathcal{L}_{A_f + X_f} \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}}|_{\sigma(z, w)})(u) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_{\partial_s \sigma - A_f} \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}})(u)|_{\sigma(z, w)} \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\partial_s \sigma - A_f}(\mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}} u)|_{\sigma(z, w)} - \mathbb{J}^{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_s \sigma - A_f}(u)|_{\sigma(z, w)} \\ &= O(|z|^2). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5.2.3. *Completing the proof.* We finally complete the proof of Theorem 5.5.

Proof of Theorem 5.5. The equivariance condition of the moment map follows from the same arguments as the equivariance part of Theorem 4.10.

Next, we must prove the moment map equation. By Proposition 5.14, we may further assume we are in the finite-dimensional setting, where we have an ‘‘almost integrable’’ family $(X, \omega) \rightarrow B$ over $B \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ in the sense of Section 5.2.1. We note that while the Hamiltonian vector field $\partial_s \sigma + X_f$ is not holomorphic on X , it is

almost holomorphic at the central fibre in the sense of (iv) in Proposition 5.14. By Remark 5.13, we see that the moment map equation

$$\iota_{\partial_t \sigma + X_f} R_{\mathcal{V}} = -D(g_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} i \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} \partial_{\mathcal{V}} f)$$

for $R_{\mathcal{V}}$ still holds on the central fibre of this fibration. Since $A_f|_J = \partial_t \sigma|_{(0,0)}$, the moment map equation

$$\iota_{A_f + X_f} R_{\mathcal{V}} = -D(g_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} i \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} \partial_{\mathcal{V}} f)$$

holds pointwise on \mathcal{U}^{int} , wherever A_f does not vanish and in all tangent directions linearly independent to A_f (these were part of the assumptions of Proposition 5.14). These conditions on the tangent vectors are generic, and thus we see that the moment map equation holds on all of \mathcal{J}^{int} and for all tangent directions.

Given the moment map, we produce representatives for the equivariant characteristic classes of \mathcal{V} , and the exact same proof as for Theorem 4.10 in the finite-dimensional setting, carried out by integration over the fibres, goes through. \square

6. Z-CRITICAL CONNECTIONS AS MOMENT MAPS

6.1. The space of unitary connections. We now turn to the vector bundle setting, where our first aim is to describe the geometry of the space of unitary connections on a fixed Hermitian vector bundle over a compact complex manifold; we denote by $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$ the set of unitary connections on the Hermitian vector bundle (E, h) over X . A reference for the basic theory of the space of unitary connections is Sektnan [Sek21, Chapter 2].

We wish to endow $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$ with further structure; proofs of all results stated can be found in [Sek21, Chapter 2]. Firstly, the space of unitary connections is an *affine space* modelled on $\Omega^1(\text{End}_{SH}(E, h))$, where $\text{End}_{SH}(E, h)$ denotes skew-Hermitian endomorphisms. This is a real affine space on which we construct an almost complex structure as follows. At any connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(E, h)$ the tangent space at A satisfies $T_A \mathcal{A}(E, h) \cong \Omega^1(\text{End}_{SH}(E, h))$, and we define a complex structure by decomposing an element $a \in T_A \mathcal{A}(E, h)$ as $a = a^{1,0} + a^{0,1}$ and setting

$$\mathbb{J}(a) = -ia^{1,0} + ia^{0,1}.$$

One checks that $\mathbb{J}(a) \in \Omega^1(\text{End}_{SH}(E, h))$ and that $\mathbb{J}^2 = -\text{Id}$, defining an almost complex structure on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$. One further checks that the almost complex structure is formally integrable in the sense that its Nijenhuis tensor vanishes.

We next define the analogue of the universal family over $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$, which is a Hermitian complex vector bundle with a unitary universal connection. Consider the product manifold $\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X$. Pulling back the Hermitian vector bundle (E, h) gives a Hermitian vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, h_{\mathcal{E}})$ over $\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X$. We endow $(\mathcal{E}, h_{\mathcal{E}})$ with a universal unitary connection $D_{\mathcal{E}}$ using the splitting of the tangent bundle on $\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X$ as follows. For $(u, v) \in T_{(A,x)}(\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X)$ and $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ we set

$$(D_{\mathcal{E}} s)(u, v) = (ds)(u) + (D_A s)(v),$$

where d is the usual exterior derivative on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$ extended to the bundle \mathcal{E} which is trivial in the $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$ directions. Thus on every submanifold $\{A\} \times X \subset \mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X$, the connection restricts to the connection A on E itself. The connection $D_{\mathcal{E}}$ is easily seen to be unitary, since each A is unitary and the Hermitian metric on \mathcal{E} is the pullback of the Hermitian metric h on E itself.

Denote by \mathcal{G} the *gauge group* of (E, h) , namely the group of sections of $\text{End } E$ which are unitary on each fibre. The Lie algebra of the gauge group is given by $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G} = \text{End}_{SH}(E, h)$, namely skew-Hermitian endomorphisms of E . For $f \in \mathcal{G}$, the action of the gauge group on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$ is given by

$$f \cdot A = f^{-1} \circ D_A \circ f.$$

Since the gauge group \mathcal{G} acts on E , there is naturally an induced action on \mathcal{E} in such a way that \mathcal{E} is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant vector bundle on $\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X$, where X is given the trivial \mathcal{G} -action. The Hermitian metric and the connection $D_{\mathcal{E}}$ on \mathcal{E} are then \mathcal{G} -invariant.

6.2. The Hermitian Yang–Mills condition as a moment map. Using the universal vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X$, we next give a new proof of the moment map interpretation of the Hermitian Yang–Mills equation (originally due to Atiyah–Bott [AB83, Section 9], see also [Don85, Section 4]). We begin by constructing a Kähler metric Ω on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$. For this, denote by $F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}} \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{E})$ the curvature of the universal connection $D_{\mathcal{E}}$ on \mathcal{E} .

Definition 6.1. We define $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\mathcal{A}(E, h))$ to be the fibre integral

$$\Omega = \int_{\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X / \mathcal{A}(E, h)} \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}} \right)^2 \right) \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

Thus from general properties of fibre integrals, Ω is a closed, \mathcal{G} -invariant $(1, 1)$ -form on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$, with \mathcal{G} -invariance following from \mathcal{G} -invariance of the connection $D_{\mathcal{E}}$ itself. We next prove positivity.

Proposition 6.2. Ω is a Kähler metric satisfying

$$\Omega(a, b) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_X \text{tr}(a \wedge b) \omega^{n-1}$$

for all $a, b \in T_A \mathcal{A}(E, h) \cong \Omega^1(\text{End}_{SH}(E, h))$.

Proof. We first consider in more detail the curvature $F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}}$ of the connection $D_{\mathcal{E}}$. This is a 2-form on $\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X$ with values in $\text{End } \mathcal{E}$, and so we can decompose it using the splitting of the tangent bundle $T(\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X) \cong T\mathcal{A}(E, h) \oplus TX$. Viewing $\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X$ as a submersion over $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$ with fibre X , we decompose $F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}}$ into purely horizontal, purely vertical and mixed components with respect to the splitting.

The purely horizontal component of $F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}}$ vanishes, since $D_{\mathcal{E}}$ is simply the exterior derivative in the horizontal directions. Since the vertical component of $D_{\mathcal{E}}$ at $A \in \mathcal{A}(E, h)$ is just D_A , the purely vertical component of $F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}}$ is F_A on the fibre over A . Finally, the mixed component is computed as the horizontal exterior derivative of the connection D_A . It is straightforward to see that this mixed component thus takes a tangent vector $a \in \mathcal{A}(E, h)$, and maps it to the vertical $\text{End}_{SH}(E, h)$ -valued 1-form given by identifying tangent vectors to $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$ with $\Omega^1(X, \text{End}_{SH}(E, h))$.

Finally, in order to compute Ω , note that the fibre integrand must include two horizontal components in order not to vanish on the base. Thus, only the mixed components of the curvature are integrated. Since these take horizontal tangent vectors as input and map them to the corresponding elements of $\Omega^1(X, \text{End } E)$, we have

$$\Omega(a, b) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X / \mathcal{A}(E, h)} \text{tr}(F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}}(a, -) \wedge F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}}(b, -)) \wedge \omega^{n-1}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_X \operatorname{tr}(a \wedge b) \wedge \omega^{n-1}. \quad \square$$

Remark 6.3. This argument also shows that Ω agrees with the Kähler metric used by Atiyah–Bott and Donaldson on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$; this has been shown by Donaldson in the case that $\omega \in c_1(L)$ for an ample line bundle L using different ideas [Don87, Proposition 14].

The next step is to calculate the *equivariant* curvature of the universal connection on \mathcal{E} . We thus fix an element $e \in \mathcal{A}^0(\operatorname{End}_{SH}(E, h)) = \operatorname{Lie} \mathcal{G}$, and we wish to find a calculate the associated section \tilde{e} of $\operatorname{End} \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\iota_e F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}} = -D_{\operatorname{End} \mathcal{E}} \tilde{e}.$$

Proposition 6.4. *The Hamiltonian for the curvature of $F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}}$ with respect to $e \in \mathcal{A}^0(\operatorname{End}_{SH}(E, h)) = \operatorname{Lie} \mathcal{G}$ is $-e$, so that*

$$\iota_e F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}} = -D_{\operatorname{End} \mathcal{E}}(-e).$$

Proof. In the statement of the proposition we have identified the element e of the Lie algebra with its fundamental vector field. For clarity here we distinguish the two and denote by v_e the vector field on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$ induced by e . The tangent space to A in A is given by $\Omega^1(\operatorname{End}_{SH}(E, h))$, and the value of the vector field v_e at the point A is $D_A e$ (where D_A is extended to $\operatorname{End} E$).

We have seen that the contraction $\iota_{v_e} F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}}$ of the curvature with a horizontal vector field converts the horizontal vector field to its associated vertical 1-form in $\Omega^1(X, \operatorname{End}_{SH}(E, h))$. In particular, on the fibre over A ,

$$\iota_{v_e} F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}} = D_A e = d(e) + D_A e = D_{\operatorname{End} \mathcal{E}} e,$$

where we used that e is constant in the horizontal directions. \square

The theory of equivariant curvature then produces the following.

Corollary 6.5. *For $e \in \mathcal{A}^0(\operatorname{End}_{SH}(E, h))$ set*

$$\langle \mu, e \rangle = -e.$$

Then the equivariant Chern–Weil representatives of the Chern characters of \mathcal{E} are for $e \in \operatorname{Lie} \mathcal{G}$ given by

$$\tilde{\operatorname{ch}}_{k, \operatorname{eq}}(\mathcal{E}, D_{\mathcal{E}})(e) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} (F_{D_{\mathcal{E}}} + \langle \mu, e \rangle) \right)^k \right).$$

We can now give a new, geometric proof of the moment map interpretation of the Hermitian Yang–Mills equation.

Theorem 6.6. *A moment map for the \mathcal{G} -action on $(\mathcal{A}(E, h), \Omega)$ is given by*

$$\nu : \mathcal{A}(E, h) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0(\operatorname{End}_{SH}(E, h))^*,$$

where

$$\langle \nu(A), e \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n} \int_X \operatorname{tr} (e (\Lambda_{\omega} F_A + 2\pi i \lambda \operatorname{Id}_E)) \omega^n,$$

and $\lambda := n \operatorname{deg}(E) / \operatorname{rk}(E)$.

Proof. Consider the equivariantly closed form on $\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X$ defined by

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} (F_{D_\varepsilon} + \langle \mu, e \rangle) \right)^2 \right) \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

Its fibre integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X / \mathcal{A}(E, h)} \mathrm{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} (F_{D_\varepsilon} + \langle \mu, e \rangle) \right)^2 \right) \wedge \omega^{n-1}$$

is an equivariantly closed form on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$, and is hence a two-form plus a function. By Proposition 6.2 the 2-form is

$$\Omega(a, b) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_X \mathrm{tr} (a \wedge b) \wedge \omega^{n-1},$$

while the function is given by

$$(6.1) \quad A \mapsto \int_X \mathrm{tr} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} F_A e \right) \wedge \omega^{n-1};$$

we note that the term involving e^2 vanishes under the fibre integral.

Now, note we may produce another equivariant form on the base by integrating over the fibres a constant multiple of the form

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} (F_{D_\varepsilon} + \langle \mu, e \rangle) \right) \wedge \omega^n.$$

Since ω is purely vertical and the curvature F_{D_ε} has no purely horizontal component, the 2-form component of the fibre integral vanishes, and we are left with the function

$$-\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \mathrm{tr}(e) \omega^n = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \mathrm{tr}(e \mathrm{Id}_E) \omega^n.$$

Adding on a constant multiple of this to the function (6.1), we produce the moment map

$$\langle \nu(A), e \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n} \int_X \mathrm{tr} (e (\Lambda_\omega F_A + 2\pi i \lambda \mathrm{Id}_E)) \omega^n. \quad \square$$

6.3. Z -critical connections. The proof in the general setting of Z -critical connections is similar. Recall in this setting that a *central charge* takes the form

$$Z(E) = \sum_{j=0}^n \int_X \rho_j \alpha^j \cdot \mathrm{ch}(E) \cdot \Theta,$$

where $\mathrm{ch}(E)$ is the total Chern character of E , the $\rho_j \in \mathbb{C}$ are complex numbers and $\Theta \in \bigoplus_l H^{l,l}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is an auxiliary cohomology class.

We associate to the central charge a closed $(1,1)$ -form Ω_Z on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$. The process will be linear, and so we first explain how to associate a closed $(1,1)$ -form to a single one of the terms

$$\int_X \alpha^j \cdot \mathrm{ch}_k(E) \cdot \Theta_{n-j-k}$$

comprising the central charge. Recall that we fix a closed, complex differential form $\theta \in \Theta$ and a Kähler metric $\omega \in \alpha$. We then associate to this term the $(1,1)$ -form on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$ defined by the fibre integral

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X / \mathcal{A}(E, h)} \omega^j \wedge \mathrm{tr} \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} F_{D_\varepsilon} \right)^{k+1} \right) \wedge \theta_{n-j-k}.$$

By linearity we obtain $\eta_Z \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\mathcal{A}(E, h))$ and we set

$$\Omega_Z = \text{Im}(e^{-i\varphi(E)}\eta_Z) \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\mathcal{A}(E, h)).$$

Theorem 6.7. *The moment map for the \mathcal{G} -action on $(\mathcal{A}(E, h), \Omega_Z)$ is given by*

$$\nu : \mathcal{A}(E, h) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End}_{SH}(E, h))^*,$$

where

$$\langle \nu(A), e \rangle = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \text{tr}(e \text{Im}(e^{-i\varphi(E)}\tilde{Z}(E, A)))\omega^n.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the previous one. We replace the differential form of interest with

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}(E, h) \times X / \mathcal{A}(E, h)} \omega^j \wedge \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} (F_{D_\varepsilon} + \langle \mu, e \rangle) \right)^{k+1} \right) \wedge \theta_{n-j-k};$$

this equivariant differential form is equivariantly closed on $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$. Expanding shows that this is the 2-form (6.2) plus the function

$$A \mapsto -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \text{tr} \left(e \omega^j \wedge \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} F_A \right)^k \wedge \theta_{n-j-k} \right).$$

Taking a linear combination, multiplying by $e^{-i\varphi(E)}$, then taking the imaginary part finishes the proof. \square

6.4. Finite dimensions. Although our proofs in the vector bundle setting have directly proven moment map properties on the infinite-dimensional space $\mathcal{A}(E, h)$, the proofs go through in a similar manner in the finite-dimensional case and hence we only give the statement. We require holomorphic variation, which is more transparent considering Hermitian metrics on holomorphic vector bundles rather than connections directly. Thus consider a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow B \times X$ of rank r , with X a compact Kähler manifold, such that there is a holomorphic action of a compact Lie group K on $B \times X$, lifting to \mathcal{E} and hence making \mathcal{E} a K -equivariant vector bundle, and acting trivially on X . We view \mathcal{E} as a family of holomorphic vector bundles over X parametrised by B .

We suppose h is a K -invariant Hermitian metric on \mathcal{E} with Chern connection D_ε . Define a closed (1,1)-form Ω_Z on B associated to the central charge Z by linearity in each component and attaching the form

$$\int_{B \times X / B} \omega^j \wedge \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} F_{D_\varepsilon} \right)^{k+1} \right) \wedge \theta_{n-j-k}$$

to the appropriate term of Z . As before, $\omega \in \alpha$ is a Kähler metric and $\theta \in \Theta$ is a closed complex differential form, both taken to be fixed. Suppose in addition that μ is a moment map for the curvature F_{D_ε} , so that in particular for all $v \in \mathfrak{k}$

$$\iota_v F_{D_\varepsilon} = -D_{\text{End } \mathcal{E}} \langle \mu, v \rangle$$

where $\langle \mu, v \rangle \in \mathcal{A}^0(\text{End } \mathcal{E})$.

Theorem 6.8. *The moment map for the K -action on (B, Ω_Z) is given by*

$$\nu : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*,$$

where

$$\langle \nu(b), v \rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \text{tr}(\langle \mu_b, v \rangle \text{Im}(e^{-i\varphi(\mathcal{E}_b)}\tilde{Z}(\mathcal{E}_b, A_b)))\omega^n,$$

where $\text{Im}(e^{-i\varphi(\mathcal{E}_b)} \tilde{Z}(\mathcal{E}_b, A_b))$ is defined fibrewise and $\mathcal{E}_b, A_b, \mu_b$ denote the restrictions of \mathcal{E}, A, μ to the fibre $\{b\} \times X$.

Note that we require the K -action on X to be trivial, so that ω and θ pull back to equivariantly closed forms on $B \times X$; this is used in the proof.

REFERENCES

- [AB83] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 308(1505):523–615, 1983.
- [Ban06] S. Bando. An obstruction for chern class forms to be harmonic. *Kodai Math. J.*, 29(3):337–345, 2006.
- [BBLU22] R. Bhattacharyya, D. Burns, E. Lupercio, and A. Uribe. The exponential map of the complexification of the group of analytic Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 18(1):33–70, 2022.
- [BGV04] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Grundlehren Text Editions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
- [Bri07] T. Bridgeland. Stability conditions on triangulated categories. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 166(2):317–345, 2007.
- [Che21] G. Chen. The J-equation and the supercritical deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation. *Invent. Math.*, 225(2):529–602, 2021.
- [CJY20] T. C. Collins, A. Jacob, and S.-T. Yau. $(1, 1)$ forms with specified Lagrangian phase: a priori estimates and algebraic obstructions. *Camb. J. Math.*, 8(2):407–452, 2020.
- [Cor22] A. Corradini. Equivariant localisation in the theory of Z -stability for Kähler manifolds. *Int. J. Math. (to appear)*, 2022.
- [CY18] T. C. Collins and S.-T. Yau. Moment maps, nonlinear PDE, and stability in mirror symmetry. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1811.04824, November 2018.
- [CY21] T. C. Collins and S.-T. Yau. Moment maps, nonlinear PDE and stability in mirror symmetry, I: geodesics. *Ann. PDE*, 7(1):Paper No. 11, 73, 2021.
- [Dem] J.-P. Demailly. *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*. Book available online.
- [Der22] R. Dervan. Stability conditions in geometric invariant theory. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2207.04766, July 2022.
- [Der23] R. Dervan. Stability conditions for polarised varieties. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 11:Paper No. e104, 57, 2023.
- [DMS20] R. Dervan, J. B. McCarthy, and L. M. Sektnan. Z -critical connections and Bridgeland stability conditions. *Camb. J. Math. (to appear)*, 2020.
- [Don85] S. K. Donaldson. Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 50(1):1–26, 1985.
- [Don87] S. K. Donaldson. Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(1):231–247, 1987.
- [Don97] S. K. Donaldson. Remarks on gauge theory, complex geometry and 4-manifold topology. In *Fields Medallists' lectures*, volume 5 of *World Sci. Ser. 20th Century Math.*, pages 384–403. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1997.
- [Don02] S. K. Donaldson. Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties. *J. Differential Geom.*, 62(2):289–349, 2002.
- [DP21] V. V. Datar and V. P. Pingali. A numerical criterion for generalised Monge-Ampère equations on projective manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 31(4):767–814, 2021.
- [FM85] A. Futaki and S. Morita. Invariant polynomials of the automorphism group of a compact complex manifold. *J. Differential Geom.*, 21(1):135–142, 1985.
- [FS90] A. Fujiki and G. Schumacher. The moduli space of extremal compact Kähler manifolds and generalized Weil-Petersson metrics. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 26(1):101–183, 1990.
- [Fuj92] A. Fujiki. Moduli space of polarized algebraic manifolds and Kähler metrics [translation of *Sūgaku* **42** (1990), no. 3, 231–243; MR1073369 (92b:32032)]. volume 5, pages 173–191. 1992. *Sugaku Expositions*.
- [Fut06] A. Futaki. Harmonic total Chern forms and stability. *Kodai Math. J.*, 29(3):346–369, 2006.

- [Gau17] P. Gauduchon. *Calabi's extremal Kähler metrics: An elementary introduction*. 2017.
- [Ino20] E. Inoue. Equivariant calculus on μ -character and μ K-stability of polarized schemes. *J. Symplectic Geom.* (to appear), 2020.
- [JY17] A. Jacob and S.-T. Yau. A special Lagrangian type equation for holomorphic line bundles. *Math. Ann.*, 369(1-2):869–898, 2017.
- [Koi90] N. Koiso. On the complex structure of a manifold of sections. *Osaka J. Math.*, 27(1):175–183, 1990.
- [Leg21] E. Legendre. Localizing the Donaldson-Futaki invariant. *Internat. J. Math.*, 32(8):Paper No. 2150055, 23, 2021.
- [Leu98] N. C. Leung. Bando Futaki invariants and Kähler Einstein metric. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 6(4):799–808, 1998.
- [LYZ00] N. C. Leung, S.-T. Yau, and E. Zaslow. From special Lagrangian to Hermitian-Yang-Mills via Fourier-Mukai transform. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 4(6):1319–1341, 2000.
- [McC22] J. B. McCarthy. Canonical metrics on holomorphic fibre bundles. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2202.11630, February 2022.
- [McC23] J. B. McCarthy. Stability conditions and canonical metrics (PhD Thesis). *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2302.04966, February 2023.
- [MnMMS00] M. Mariño, R. Minasian, G. Moore, and A. Strominger. Nonlinear instantons from supersymmetric p -branes. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (1):Paper 5, 32, 2000.
- [Ort24] A. Ortú. Moment maps and stability of holomorphic submersions. *To appear*, 2024.
- [OS24] A. Ortú and L. M. Sektnan. Constant scalar curvature metrics and semistable vector bundles. *To appear*, 2024.
- [Sca20] C. Scarpa. The Hitchin-cscK system (PhD thesis). *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2010.07728, October 2020.
- [Sek21] L. M. Sektnan. Canonical metrics and stability on holomorphic vector bundles. page 121, 2021.
- [Son20] J. Song. Nakai-Moishezon criteria for complex Hessian equations. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2012.07956, December 2020.
- [Szé12] G. Székelyhidi. On blowing up extremal Kähler manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 161(8):1411–1453, 2012.
- [Tia97] G. Tian. Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 130(1):1–37, 1997.
- [UY86] K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau. On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles. volume 39, pages S257–S293. 1986. *Frontiers of the mathematical sciences: 1985* (New York, 1985).
- [Yau93] S.-T. Yau. Open problems in geometry. In *Differential geometry: partial differential equations on manifolds (Los Angeles, CA, 1990)*, volume 54 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 1–28. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

RUADHÁÍ DERVAN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, UNIVERSITY PLACE, GLASGOW G12 8QQ, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: ruadhaid.dervan@glasgow.ac.uk

MICHAEL HALLAM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS, NY MUNKEGADE 118, 8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK

Email address: hallam@math.au.dk