

Explicit corrector in homogenization of monotone operators and its application to nonlinear dielectric elastomer composites

Thuyen Dang*

Yuliya Gorb†

Silvia Jiménez Bolaños‡

Abstract

This paper concerns the rigorous periodic homogenization for a weakly coupled electroelastic system of a nonlinear electrostatic equation with an elastic equation enriched with electrostriction. Such coupling is employed to describe dielectric elastomers or deformable (elastic) dielectrics. It is shown that the effective response of the system consists of a homogeneous dielectric elastomer described by a nonlinear weakly coupled system of PDEs whose coefficients depend on the coefficients of the original heterogeneous material, the geometry of the composite, and the periodicity of the original microstructure. The approach developed here for this *nonlinear* problem allows us to obtain an explicit corrector result for the homogenization of monotone operators with minimal regularity assumptions. Two L^p -gradient estimates for elastic systems with discontinuous coefficients are also obtained.

1 Introduction

In recent years there has been a growing interest towards a class of materials known as dielectric elastomers that can exhibit coupled electrical and mechanical behavior, see cf. [14]. A unique property possessed by such materials, known as *electrostriction*, which means they can respond to an external electric field by changing their size and shape, makes them appealing for various practical applications, e.g. soft robotics, artificial muscles, active camouflage, haptic devices, energy harvesting, and others, see e.g. [14] and [29]. Homogenization theory can be used to guide the design of dielectric elastomers with enhanced electromechanical couplings, e.g. [35], and this paper is devoted to the rigorous periodic homogenization of such a coupling.

The governing equations describing the system under consideration consist of a nonlinear (scalar) electrostatic equation in the presence of a bounded free body charge weakly coupled with an elastic (vectorial) equation that involves an *electrostriction* term. Here, *weakly* coupling means that the elastic displacement does not enter the electrostatic equation. The PDE system is posed on a heterogeneous bounded domain with *periodic microstructure* of size $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. For simplicity, we focus on Dirichlet boundary conditions only. The goals of this paper are twofold. First, it aims at determining the *macroscopic* or *effective* behavior of the considered periodic composite under the assumption of scale separation. This amounts

*Department of Statistics/Committee on Computational and Applied Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5747 S. Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA (thuyend@uchicago.edu).

†National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22314, USA (ygorb@nsf.gov).

‡Department of Mathematics, Colgate University, 13 Oak Drive, Hamilton, New York 13346, USA (sjimenez@colgate.edu).

to developing an asymptotic analysis of the limiting response of the given PDE system as ε , the size of the microstructure, goes to zero. Second, since many necessary facts (e.g. the regularity of the solution to the original fine-scale problem) are not readily available, this paper presents a number of stand-alone results that could be utilized in future contributions to the topic of periodic homogenization for nonlinear electrostatic and/or elastic composite materials under minimal regularity assumptions of the original system.

The rigorous justification of a model for dielectric elastomers and the derivation of its effective system, using the mathematical theory of homogenization, were carried out in [32, 51] and references therein. To carry out the homogenization of their system, the authors of [51] made a strict integrability assumption, requiring that the solution of the electrostatic equation belongs to a class of least $W^{1,4}$ -functions. The results in [32] showed that if the coefficients of the electrostatic equation are piecewise Hölder continuous, then indeed its solution belongs to $W^{1,p}$, for any $p \in [1, \infty)$. Later, in [25], this result was extended to the case $p = \infty$. A similar model for the case of magnetic suspensions was investigated in [24, 25].

In the contributions cited above [32, 51], the materials studied were *linear*, i.e., the constitutive relationship between the electric field \mathbf{E} and the electric displacement \mathbf{D} was assumed linear. However, when this relationship is *nonlinear*, e.g., as in the case of *ferroelectric materials*, a new model, as well as a new approach, are required to obtain the corresponding homogenized response. In this paper, we consider \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{D} satisfying a nonlinear constitutive relation that yields a *nonlinear divergence equation*. Therefore, the improved gradient estimates, obtained by the compactness method used in [25, 32], are no longer available. Instead, in this paper, we derive a new approach that does not require such estimates or the renormalization framework of [33, 44], which are the typical techniques to deal with problems of low regularity source terms. To gain additional regularity of the solution, we apply regularity theory to not only the fine-scale or the effective systems but also to the two-scale homogenized one. Two-scale convergence acts as an “intermediate” topology between weak and strong convergence that allows canceling the dependence on the size of microstructure, thus providing a system with rather nice coefficients, besides the effective one. This idea enables us to relax several regularity assumptions needed before in [25, 32, 51], and also to extend the cited works to nonlinear cases.

To implement this idea for the current problem, several ingredients are needed: a result from the nonlinear Calderón-Zygmund theory [46] (see also [39, 43]), an estimate for elliptic systems [40], the existence of the (generalized) Green’s function [22], the SOLA technique (existence of the solution by limit of approximations [8]), results from the theory of two-scale convergence (for L^p and BV functions) [1, 2, 31, 45], an interpolation theorem [34], a corrector result in homogenization of monotone operators [23], and a duality argument.

Along with the sought-after homogenized response, in this paper we obtain three stand-alone results, namely: (i) [Theorem 1](#), which provides an explicit first-order corrector for the nonlinear electrostatic problem with minimal regularity assumptions, while (ii) [Proposition A.3](#) and (iii) [Proposition A.4](#) provide L^p -gradient estimates for the elasticity system via an interpolation argument. Besides the fact that our approach is built for the *nonlinear* problem with *minimal regularity assumptions*, combined with ideas from [7, 17, 27] it could also be extended to the *high-contrast* case, for which the minimal and maximal values of coefficients of the underlying PDEs are vastly different. This case will be reported in future publications by the authors elsewhere.

This paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), the main notations are introduced and the formulation of the fine-scale problem is discussed. Our main result is stated in [Section 3](#),

the conclusions are given in [Section 7](#), and auxiliary facts are discussed in [Appendix A](#).

2 Formulation

2.1 Notation and definitions

Throughout this paper, the scalar-valued functions, such as the pressure p , are written in usual typefaces, while vector-valued or tensor-valued functions, such as the displacement \mathbf{u} and the stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, are written in bold. Sequences are indexed by superscripts (ϕ^i) , while elements of vectors or tensors are indexed by numeric subscripts (x_i) . Finally, the Einstein summation convention is used whenever applicable; δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, and ϵ_{ijk} is the permutation symbol. The letter C represents a constant, independent of ε , that can represent different values from line to line.

Consider $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, for $d \geq 2$, a simply connected and bounded domain of class $C^{1,1}$, occupied by a deformable electroelastic material, and let $Y := [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$ be the unit cell in \mathbb{R}^d . The unit cell Y is decomposed into:

$$Y = Y_s \cup Y_f \cup \Gamma,$$

where Y_s , representing the inclusion, and Y_f , representing the matrix, are open sets in \mathbb{R}^d , and Γ is the closed $C^{1,1}$ interface that separates them.

Let $i = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a vector of indices and $\{\mathbf{e}^1, \dots, \mathbf{e}^d\}$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^d . For a fixed small $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the dilated sets:

$$Y^\varepsilon := \varepsilon Y, \quad Y_{i,s}^\varepsilon := \varepsilon(Y + i), \quad Y_{i,s}^\varepsilon := \varepsilon(Y_s + i), \quad Y_{i,f}^\varepsilon := \varepsilon(Y_f + i), \quad \Gamma_i^\varepsilon := \partial Y_{i,s}^\varepsilon.$$

Typically, in homogenization theory, the positive number $\varepsilon \ll 1$ is referred to as the *size of the microstructure*. The effective or homogenized response of the given suspension corresponds to the case $\varepsilon = 0$, whose derivation and justification is the main focus of this paper.

We denote by \mathbf{n}_i , \mathbf{n}_Γ and $\mathbf{n}_{\partial\Omega}$ the unit normal vectors to Γ_i^ε pointing outward $Y_{i,s}^\varepsilon$, on Γ pointing outward Y_s and on $\partial\Omega$ pointing outward, respectively; and also, we denote by $d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$ the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. In addition, we define the sets:

$$\begin{aligned} I^\varepsilon &:= \{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d : Y_i^\varepsilon \subset \Omega\}, \quad \Omega_s^\varepsilon := \bigcup_{i \in I^\varepsilon} Y_{i,s}^\varepsilon, \quad \Omega_f^\varepsilon := \Omega \setminus \Omega_s^\varepsilon, \quad \Gamma^\varepsilon := \bigcup_{i \in I^\varepsilon} \Gamma_i^\varepsilon, \\ J^\varepsilon &:= \left\{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d : Y_j^\varepsilon \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } Y_j^\varepsilon \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\right\}, \\ Z_i^\varepsilon &:= \begin{cases} Y_i^\varepsilon & \text{if } i \in I^\varepsilon, \\ Y_i^\varepsilon \cap \Omega & \text{if } i \in J^\varepsilon, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

see [Figure 1](#).

The following spaces are used throughout this paper.

- $C_c(\Omega)$ – the space of continuous functions with compact support in Ω ;
- $C_{\text{per}}(Y)$ – the subspace of $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Y -periodic functions;
- $C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y)$ – the subspace of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Y -periodic functions;




Figure 1: Reference cell Y and domain Ω .

- $H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$ – the closure of $C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y)$ in the H^1 -norm;
- $\mathcal{D}(\Omega, X)$ with X being a Banach space – the space of infinitely differentiable functions from Ω to X , whose support is a compact set of \mathbb{R}^d contained in Ω .
- $L^p(\Omega, X)$ with X being a Banach space and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ – the space of measurable functions $w: x \in \Omega \mapsto w(x) \in X$ such that $\|w\|_{L^p(\Omega, X)} := \left(\int_\Omega \|w(x)\|_X^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$.
- $L_{\text{per}}^p(Y, C(\bar{\Omega}))$ – the space of measurable functions $w: y \in Y \mapsto w(\cdot, y) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, such that w is Y -periodic with respect to y and $\int_Y \left(\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |w(x, y)| \right)^p dy < \infty$.
- $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ – the space of finite Radon measures on Ω , i.e., the dual space of $C_c(\Omega)$. This space is equipped with the total variation norm

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}} := |\mu|(\Omega) = \int_\Omega |\mu|(dx) = \sup \left\{ \int_\Omega \phi(x) \mu(dx) : \phi \in C_c(\Omega), \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We then define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) &:= \{ \mu = \mu_i e^i : \mu_i \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega), \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d \}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{M}(\Omega, X(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)) &:= \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d) : \mu(x, \cdot) \in X(Y, \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for } x \in \Omega \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $X(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a given space of functions from $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, cf. [2, 30, 31].

- $\text{BV}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ – the space of d -dimensional vector valued L^1 - functions, whose Jacobians (in distributional sense) are $d \times d$ -matrices of finite Radon measures on Ω , i.e, $\mathbf{u} = u_i e^i \in \text{BV}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ iff $u_i \in L^1(\Omega)$ and its distributional derivative $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. We say a sequence of distributions $\{T_n\}$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ converges to T in distributional sense if $\langle T_n, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \langle T, \varphi \rangle$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

Fix $p > 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \min \{1, p-1\}$. Suppose $\mathbf{a}: Y \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies

- (A1) Measurability and Y -periodicity: for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the function $\xi \mapsto \mathbf{a}(\cdot, \xi)$ is measurable and

$$\mathbf{a}(z + me^k, \xi) = \mathbf{a}(z, \xi),$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

(A2) Boundedness: for all $z \in Y$, there exists $\Lambda_* > 0$, such that

$$|\mathbf{a}(z, 0)| \leq \Lambda_*.$$

(A3) Continuity: for a.e. $z \in Y$, there exists $\Lambda_o > 0$ such that the function $\xi \mapsto \mathbf{a}(z, \xi)$ satisfies

$$|\mathbf{a}(z, \xi_1) - \mathbf{a}(z, \xi_2)| \leq \Lambda_o \left(1 + |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2\right)^{\frac{p-1-\alpha}{2}} |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^\alpha,$$

for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Y$, where α is described above.

(A4) Monotonicity: there exists $\lambda_o > 0$ such that for a.e. $z \in Y$, we have

$$[\mathbf{a}(z, \xi_1) - \mathbf{a}(z, \xi_2)] \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2) \geq \lambda_o \left(1 + |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2,$$

for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We also introduce a few more definitions that are necessary for the elasticity equation. For $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, we define the symmetric gradient, also known as the *linearized strain tensor*,

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) := \frac{\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^\top}{2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $0 < \lambda_e < \Lambda_e < \infty$. We denote by $\mathfrak{M}(\lambda_e, \Lambda_e)$ the set of all fourth-order tensors $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{B}_{ijkh})_{1 \leq i, j, k, h \leq d}$ satisfying

(B1) Boundedness and measurability: there exists $\Lambda_e > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{B}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \Lambda_e.$$

(B2) Ellipticity: there exists $\lambda_e > 0$ such that, for all $d \times d$ -matrices \mathbf{c} and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\mathbf{B}(x)\mathbf{c} : \mathbf{c} \geq \lambda_e |\mathbf{c}|^2,$$

where “:” represents the Frobenius inner product.

Here, we recall that for two matrices \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{d} , $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{c} := ((\mathbf{B}_{ijkh}\mathbf{c}_{kh})_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ and $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{c} : \mathbf{d} := \mathbf{B}_{ijkh}\mathbf{c}_{ij}\mathbf{d}_{kh}$, with $1 \leq i, j, k, h \leq d$. We say that a fourth-order tensor \mathbf{B} is symmetric if $\mathbf{B}_{ijkh} = \mathbf{B}_{jikh} = \mathbf{B}_{ijhk}$ for any $1 \leq i, j, k, h \leq d$. We denote by $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}(\lambda_e, \Lambda_e)$ the subset of $\mathfrak{M}(\lambda_e, \Lambda_e)$ consisting of Y -periodic tensors, and by $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{sym}}(\lambda_e, \Lambda_e)$ the subset of $\mathfrak{M}(\lambda_e, \Lambda_e)$ consisting of symmetric tensors. We define $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{mat}} := \mathfrak{M}_{\text{sym}} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}$.

2.1.1 The two-scale convergence method

The proof of the main result in this paper will be based on the theory of *two-scale convergence* that was first introduced by G. Nguetseng [45] and further developed by G. Allaire [1]. In this section, we present important definitions and results which are relevant to this paper, and whose proofs can be found in [1, 2, 20, 26, 31, 41, 45, 53].

Definition 1 (L^p -admissible test function). *Let $1 \leq p < +\infty$. A function $\psi \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$, Y -periodic in the second variable, is called an L^p -admissible test function if, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\psi(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})$ is measurable and*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \left| \psi \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right|^p dx = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega} \int_Y |\psi(x, y)|^p dy dx. \quad (2.3)$$

It is known that functions that belong to the spaces $\mathcal{D}(\Omega, C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y))$, $C(\bar{\Omega}, C_{\text{per}}(Y))$, $L^p_{\text{per}}(Y, C(\bar{\Omega}))$ or $L^p(\Omega, C_{\text{per}}(Y))$ are admissible [1], but the precise characterization of admissible test functions is still an open question.

Definition 2 (Two-scale convergence). *A sequence $\{v^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ ($\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, respectively) is said to (weakly) two-scale converge to $v = v(x, y)$ in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$ ($\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, respectively), with $v \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$ ($\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, respectively), and we write $v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} v$ in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$, if and only if:*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} v^\varepsilon(x) \psi \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) dx = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega} \int_Y v(x, y) \psi(x, y) dy dx, \quad (2.4)$$

for any test function $\psi = \psi(x, y)$ with $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y))$.

In particular, if $v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} v$ and $\|v^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y)}$, then we say that v^ε strongly two-scale converges to v in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$, and we write $v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} v$ in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$.

We note that any bounded sequence $v^\varepsilon \in L^p(\Omega)$, with $1 < p < \infty$, ($\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, respectively) has a subsequence that two-scale converges to a limit $v^0 \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$ ($\mathcal{M}(\Omega \times Y)$, respectively), cf. [1, 2, 20, 26, 30, 31, 41, 45, 53].

The strong two-scale convergence plays an important role in establishing corrector results in homogenization, cf. [1, Theorem 1.8] and [41, Theorem 11]:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{v^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ be a sequence in $L^p(\Omega)$ that strongly two-scale converges to $v \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$. Suppose further that v is admissible, in the sense of Definition 1. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| v^\varepsilon(x) - v \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

For $v \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$, the function $v(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ is not necessarily a measurable function [1, 53]. This explains why it is required for v to be admissible in Lemma 2.1. We can circumvent this assumption by introducing the so-called *coarse-scale averaging operator* \mathbb{M}^ε , which will be defined next. For each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $[z]_Y$ be the integer part of z , more precisely, $[z]_Y := k_i e^i$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{z\}_Y := z - [z]_Y \in Y$. For each function $v \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$, let

$$(\mathbb{M}^\varepsilon v)(x, y) := \int_Y v \left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right]_Y + \varepsilon \xi, y \right) d\xi, \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \Omega \times Y. \quad (2.6)$$

It is known that \mathbb{M}^ε is a bounded linear operator and that $(\mathbb{M}^\varepsilon v)(x, y)$ and $(\mathbb{M}^\varepsilon v)(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ are both measurable [53]. The following result is due to Visintin [53, Proposition 2.3]:

Lemma 2.2. *A sequence $\{v^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ strongly two-scale converges to $v \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| v^\varepsilon(x) - (\mathbb{M}^\varepsilon v) \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 0.$$

In particular, if v is admissible, then the operator \mathbb{M}^ε can be dropped.

2.2 Formulation of the problem: the fine-scale coupled system

In this section, we set up the fine-scale problem. Denote by $\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}(\lambda_e, \Lambda_e)$ the *electrostriction* tensor and by $\mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{mat}}(\lambda_e, \Lambda_e)$ the *elasticity* tensor. We assume further that Y is a disjoint union of finite subdomains with piecewise $C^{1,\alpha}$ -boundaries and \mathbf{B} is Hölder continuous on the closure of each subdomain. Let $\mathbf{a}: Y \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (A1)–(A4), which pertains to a (nonlinear) constitutive law between the electric displacement and the electric field. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{g} \in L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $r > 1$, and $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$, where p' is the Hölder conjugate of p , i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then the fine-scale displacement $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in \text{BV}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and the electrostatic potential $\varphi^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfy the following coupled system [32, 51, 52]:

$$-\operatorname{div} \left[\mathbf{a} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \right) \right] = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$-\operatorname{div} \left[\mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \nabla \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon + \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) (\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \otimes \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon) \right] = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.7b)$$

together with the balance equations:

$$\int_{\Gamma_i^\varepsilon} \left[\mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) + \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) (\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \otimes \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon) \right] \mathbf{n}_i \, d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = 0, \quad (2.8a)$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_i^\varepsilon} \left\{ \left[\mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) + \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) (\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \otimes \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon) \right] \mathbf{n}_i \right\} \times \mathbf{n}_i \, d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = 0, \quad (2.8b)$$

and the boundary conditions:

$$\varphi^\varepsilon = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2.9a)$$

$$\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (2.9b)$$

Here, $[[\cdot]]$ denotes the jump on the interfaces Γ_i^ε .

Next, we introduce the variational formulation for (2.7)–(2.9). To simplify the notation, we define

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon := \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \otimes \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon,$$

which will be called the *Maxwell stress tensor* (it is worth mentioning that the most general version of the Maxwell stress tensor has three additional terms [54]). Then, we seek for $\varphi^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in \text{BV}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that, for all $\eta \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \right) \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} f \eta \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

By setting $\mathbf{v} = 0$, we seek for a unique solution $\varphi^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the electrostatic problem

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \right) \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \eta \, dx, \quad \forall \eta \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega). \quad (2.11)$$

By setting $\eta = 0$, we seek for $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in \text{BV}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d). \quad (2.12)$$

3 Main results

This section is dedicated to presenting the main outcomes of this paper. Before we state our main theorem, which provides the homogenization result for the coupled system (2.7), we will introduce a *first-order corrector* for the solution $\varphi^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the electrostatic equation.

To begin, we consider the homogenization of the electrostatic equation using its variational formulation (2.11). The proof can be found in Section 4.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\varphi^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the unique solution of (2.11). There exist $\varphi^0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi^1 \in L^p(\Omega, W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$\varphi^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \varphi^0, \text{ and } \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1, \quad (3.1)$$

where the two-scale limits φ^0 and φ^1 satisfy the following system

$$-\operatorname{div} \left[\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1) dy \right] = f, \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (3.2a)$$

$$-\operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1) = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times Y. \quad (3.2b)$$

We now state the first main result of this paper - an explicit *corrector result* for the electrostatic problem, whose proof can be found in Section 5.

Theorem 1 (Explicit corrector result). *Suppose $p > 1$. Let $(\varphi^0, \varphi^1) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega, W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ be the solution of (3.2). Then for $1 \leq i \leq d$,*

$$\frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \xrightarrow{2} \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_i} \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega \times Y). \quad (3.3)$$

As a consequence,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x) - \nabla \varphi^0(x) - \mathbb{M}^\varepsilon(\nabla_y \varphi^1) \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

In particular, if φ^1 is admissible, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x) - \nabla \varphi^0(x) - \nabla_y \varphi^1 \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Remark 3.2. *Theorem 1 implies the corrector result for the linear case, i.e., when $\mathbf{a}(y, \xi) = \mathbf{b}(y)\xi$ for some bounded, elliptic, and Y -periodic matrix \mathbf{b} . Indeed, fine-scale equation*

$$-\operatorname{div} \left(\mathbf{b} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \right) = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \varphi^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.6)$$

has a unique solution $\varphi^\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\varepsilon &\longrightarrow \varphi^0 \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon &\longrightarrow \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1 \quad \text{in } L^2 \left(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some $\varphi^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\varphi^1 \in L^2 \left(\Omega, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R} \right)$ that satisfy

$$-\operatorname{div} \left[\frac{1}{|Y|} \mathbf{b}(y) (\nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1) \right] = f, \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$-\operatorname{div}_y [\mathbf{b}(y) (\nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1)] = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times Y.$$

By introducing the cell problems

$$\omega^i \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}, \quad -\operatorname{div}_y [\mathbf{b}(y)(\mathbf{e}^i + \nabla_y \omega^i(y))] = 0 \quad \text{in } Y, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d,$$

we have $\varphi^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\varphi^1 \in L^2(\Omega, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} (\mathbf{b}^{\text{hom}} \nabla \varphi^0) &= f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \varphi^1(x, y) &= \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i}(x) \omega^i(y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\mathbf{b}_{jk}^{\text{hom}} = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \mathbf{b}(y) (\mathbf{e}^k + \nabla \omega^k(y)) \cdot (\mathbf{e}^j + \nabla \omega^j(y)) \, dy, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq d.$$

Since \mathbf{b}^{hom} is a constant elliptic matrix, if f is smooth enough (e.g., $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$), then $\varphi^0 \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, and therefore, $\varphi^1 \in L^2(Y, C(\bar{\Omega}))$, or φ^1 is admissible. Therefore, (3.5) holds, and we recover the classical corrector result for the linear case.

In general, when \mathbf{a} is nonlinear, φ^1 does not admit a finite representation as in (3.7), and thus, the admissibility assumption is necessary.

Remark 3.3. In contrast to our [Theorem 1](#), in the existing literature, the corrector results for monotone operators are not explicit, in the sense that they are written in the following form:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \mathbf{p} \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0) \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0,$$

where the functions \mathbf{p} and M^ε will be defined in [Section 5.1](#), cf. e.g., [23] (see also [1, Remark 3.7]) and references therein.

Remark 3.4. In [1, Theorem 3.6], the author obtained (3.5) for $p = 2$ under the assumption that $\varphi^1(x, y)$ is admissible in the sense of [Definition 1](#). In [Theorem 1](#), we are able to prove that (3.5) holds for any $p > 1$. The corrector result obtained in [Theorem 1](#) is fundamental to obtain the closed system in [Theorem 2](#) below. It is also useful in numerical computation and large-scale regularity of homogenization of monotone problems [10, 11, 21, 28].

Finally, the arguments in [Theorem 1](#) can be employed to improve several classical results, which will be presented in [Appendix A.1](#).

We now introduce some auxiliary problems and definitions that will be necessary for the statement of the main theorem.

To write the homogenized electrostatic equation, in (3.2b), we replace $\nabla \varphi^0$ by $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\varphi^1 = \xi \eta_\xi$ for some $\eta_\xi \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(Y)/\mathbb{R}$ to obtain the cell problem

$$-\operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{a}(y, \xi + \nabla_y \eta_\xi) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

For $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, denote by \mathbf{U}^{ij} the vector defined by $\mathbf{U}_k^{ij} := y_j \delta_{ik}$ and consider $\mathbf{\Upsilon}^{ij} \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)/\mathbb{R}$ solving

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_y [\mathbf{B}(y) \mathbb{D}_y (\mathbf{U}^{ij} - \mathbf{\Upsilon}^{ij})] &= 0 \text{ in } Y, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \llbracket \mathbf{B}(y) \mathbb{D}_y (\mathbf{U}^{ij} - \mathbf{\Upsilon}^{ij}) \rrbracket \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} &= 0, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \llbracket \mathbf{B}(y) \mathbb{D}_y (\mathbf{U}^{ij} - \mathbf{\Upsilon}^{ij}) \rrbracket \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma} \times \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and, also, consider $\chi^{ij} \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)/\mathbb{R}$ solving

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_y [\mathbf{C}(y) \mathbb{D}_y (\chi^{ij} + \zeta^{ij})] &= 0 \text{ in } Y, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \llbracket \mathbf{C}(y) \mathbb{D}_y (\chi^{ij} + \zeta^{ij}) \rrbracket \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} &= 0, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \llbracket \mathbf{C}(y) \mathbb{D}_y (\chi^{ij} + \zeta^{ij}) \rrbracket \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma} \times \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

with the matrix ζ^{ij} given by

$$\zeta^{ij}(y) := (\mathbf{e}^i + \nabla_y \eta_{\mathbf{e}^i}(y)) \otimes (\mathbf{e}^j + \nabla_y \eta_{\mathbf{e}^j}(y)), \quad y \in Y, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\eta_{\mathbf{e}^j}$ given by (3.8) denotes the microscopic electric stress tensor on Y .

Remark 3.5. *The cell problem (3.9) describes the local behavior of the elastic displacement without the electric effect. The electric effect is captured in (3.10). Note that the above cell problems (or similar) were observed in [24, 25, 32].*

We also define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^{\text{hom}}(\xi) &:= \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, \xi + \nabla_y \eta_{\xi}(y)) dy, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \mathbf{B}_{ijmn}^{\text{hom}} &:= \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \mathbf{B}(y) \mathbb{D}_y (\mathbf{U}^{ij} - \mathbf{\Upsilon}^{ij}) : \mathbb{D}_y (\mathbf{U}^{mn} - \mathbf{\Upsilon}^{mn}) dy, \quad 1 \leq i, j, m, n \leq d, \\ \mathbf{C}_{ij}^{\text{hom}} &:= \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y (\mathbf{C}(y) \mathbb{D}_y (\chi^{ij} + \zeta^{ij})) dy, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where \mathbf{a}^{hom} is the *effective electric conductivity*, which is monotone. The tensor $\mathbf{B}^{\text{hom}} := \{\mathbf{B}_{ijmn}^{\text{hom}}\}_{1 \leq i, j, m, n \leq d}$ is the *effective elasticity*, and it is a fourth-rank tensor that is symmetric and elliptic. And lastly, \mathbf{C}^{hom} is the *effective electrostriction tensor*.

We now state the main result of this paper, [Theorem 2](#), which provides the homogenization for the coupled system (2.7). The proof of [Theorem 2](#) is carried out in [Section 6](#).

Theorem 2. *Suppose further that $p \geq 2$. The solution $(\varphi^\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ of (2.7) satisfies*

$$\varphi^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \varphi^0 \text{ in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}^0 \text{ in distribution,}$$

where $\mathbf{u}^0 \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi^0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ are solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} \left[\mathbf{a}^{\text{hom}}(\nabla \varphi^0) \right] &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\operatorname{div} \left[\mathbf{B}^{\text{hom}} \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^0) + \mathbf{C}^{\text{hom}}(\nabla \varphi^0 \otimes \nabla \varphi^0) \right] &= \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u}^0 &= 0, \quad \varphi^0 = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

with $\mathbf{a}^{\text{hom}}, \mathbf{B}^{\text{hom}}$, and \mathbf{C}^{hom} defined in (3.12).

Moreover,

- \mathbf{a}^{hom} is continuous and monotone, i.e., for every $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{a}^{\text{hom}}(\xi_1) - \mathbf{a}^{\text{hom}}(\xi_2) \right| &\leq \Lambda_{\text{hom}} \left(1 + |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2-\theta}{2}} |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^\theta, \\ \left[\mathbf{a}^{\text{hom}}(\xi_1) - \mathbf{a}^{\text{hom}}(\xi_2) \right] \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2) &\geq \lambda_{\text{hom}} \left(1 + |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$, and $\lambda_{\text{hom}}, \Lambda_{\text{hom}} > 0$ are constants depending on $d, p, \alpha, \beta, \lambda_o, \Lambda_o, \Lambda_*$, which are defined in Section 2.1.

- \mathbf{B}^{hom} is symmetric and elliptic.

Remark 3.6. The result in Theorem 2 holds also for non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. In fact, Theorem 2 holds if $\varphi^\varepsilon = \varphi_b$ on $\partial\Omega$, for some $\varphi_b \in W^{1,p^+}(\Omega)$, $p^+ > p$, and (A2) is replaced by

$$|\mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi_b(x))| \leq \Lambda_*, \quad \text{a.e. } y \in Y, x \in \Omega; \quad (3.15)$$

with all the estimates changed accordingly to adapt to this case. For example, the boundedness of φ^ε can be proven as follows. By the monotonicity (A4) and the boundedness (A2) of \mathbf{a} , and using Hölder, Young and Poincaré inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_o \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \nabla \varphi_b\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbf{a}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\right) - \mathbf{a}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi_b\right) \right] \cdot (\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \nabla \varphi_b) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f(\varphi^\varepsilon - \varphi_b) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi_b\right) \cdot (\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \nabla \varphi_b) \, dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^{p'}} \|\varphi^\varepsilon - \varphi_b\|_{L^p} + C \Lambda_* \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \nabla \varphi_b\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{L^{p'}} + \Lambda_*) \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \nabla \varphi_b\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{p'\mu} (\|f\|_{L^{p'}} + \Lambda_*)^{p'} + C \frac{\mu}{p} \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \nabla \varphi_b\|_{L^p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\mu > 0$. Choosing μ small enough, we conclude

$$\|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \nabla \varphi_b\|_{L^p}^p \leq C (\|f\|_{L^{p'}} + \Lambda_*)^{p'} \leq C (\|f\|_{L^{p'}}^{p'} + \Lambda_*^{p'});$$

and thus by Poincaré inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}}^p &\leq C \|\varphi^\varepsilon - \varphi_b\|_{W^{1,p}}^p + C \|\varphi_b\|_{W^{1,p}}^p \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \nabla \varphi_b\|_{L^p}^p + C \|\varphi_b\|_{W^{1,p}}^p \\ &\leq C (\|f\|_{L^{p'}}^{p'} + \|\varphi_b\|_{W^{1,p}}^p + \Lambda_*^{p'}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.7. The homogenization results of the coupled systems in [32, 51] follow directly as particular cases of [Theorem 2](#), when $p = 2$ and \mathbf{a} is linear, i.e., $\mathbf{a}(y, \xi) = \mathbf{b}(y)\xi$ for some bounded, elliptic, and periodic matrix \mathbf{b} .

Remark 3.8. The enhancement effect, i.e., when the right hand side of (2.7a) has the form $f(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f_1(x) f_2(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ for some f_1 and f_2 , as considered in [32], can be handled using the approach developed in this paper.

Remark 3.9. The proof in this paper can be straightforwardly extended to the case when \mathbf{B} is a function in $VMO(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, see [Remark 6.2](#).

4 Proof of [Proposition 3.1](#)

In (2.11), let $\eta = \varphi^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and use (A2), (A4), Hölder inequality, and Poincaré inequality, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_o \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbf{a}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\right) - \mathbf{a}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, 0\right) \right] \cdot \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f \varphi^\varepsilon \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, 0\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \, dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \|\varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + C \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate above and Poincaré inequality deliver

$$\|\varphi^\varepsilon\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)}^{p'}. \quad (4.1)$$

Therefore, there exist $\varphi^0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi^1 \in L^p(\Omega, W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\varphi^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \varphi^0, \text{ and } \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1.$$

Since $F^\varepsilon(x) := \mathbf{a}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x)\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ by (4.1) and (A3), then there exists $F^0 \in L^{p'}(\Omega \times Y)$ such that

$$F^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} F^0. \quad (4.2)$$

In (2.11), by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using (4.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} F^0(x, y) \cdot \nabla \eta(x) \, dx \, dy = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \eta(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega),$$

and by applying Fubini's theorem and integration by parts, we obtain

$$-\operatorname{div} \left[\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y F^0(x, y) \, dy \right] = f(x). \quad (4.3)$$

Let $\eta^0 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\eta^1 \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$. In (2.11), choose $\eta(x) = \varepsilon \eta^0(x) \eta^1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta^0(x) F^\varepsilon(x) \cdot \nabla \eta^1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \, dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) F^\varepsilon(x) \cdot \nabla \eta^0(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varepsilon \eta^0(x) \eta^1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \, dx.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using (4.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} \eta^0(x) F^0(x, y) \cdot \nabla \eta^1(y) \, dx \, dy = 0.$$

By Fubini's theorem and the fundamental lemma of calculus of variation, we have

$$\int_Y F^0(x, y) \cdot \nabla \eta^1(y) \, dy = 0.$$

Integration by parts delivers

$$\operatorname{div}_y F^0(x, y) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

We claim that $F^0(x, y) = \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x) + \nabla_y \varphi^1(x, y))$. Indeed, for $t > 0$, let $\eta, \eta^1 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y))$ and define

$$\mu^\varepsilon(x) := \nabla \left(\varphi^0(x) + \varepsilon \eta^1 \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) + t \eta \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right), \quad (4.5)$$

then

$$\mu^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \mu^0 := \nabla \varphi^0(x) + \nabla_y \eta^1(x, y) + t \eta(x, y). \quad (4.6)$$

By monotonicity (A4), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(F^\varepsilon - \mathbf{a} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \mu^\varepsilon \right) \right) \cdot (\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - \mu^\varepsilon) \, dx \geq 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(-\varphi^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} F^\varepsilon - \mathbf{a} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \mu^\varepsilon \right) \cdot \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon - F^\varepsilon \cdot \mu^\varepsilon + \mathbf{a} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \mu^\varepsilon \right) \cdot \mu^\varepsilon \right) \, dx \geq 0.$$

We have $-\operatorname{div} F^\varepsilon = f$ by (2.7a) and, by (A3) and (4.5), we have $\mathbf{a}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \mu^\varepsilon)$ and μ^ε are admissible in the sense of Definition 1. Therefore, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} (f \varphi^0 - \mathbf{a}(y, \mu^0) \cdot (\nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1) - F^0 \cdot \mu^0 + \mathbf{a}(y, \mu^0) \cdot \mu^0) \, dx \, dy \geq 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} (f \varphi^0 - F^0 \cdot \mu^0 + \mathbf{a}(y, \mu^0) \cdot (\nabla_y (\eta^1 - \varphi^1) + t \eta)) \, dx \, dy \geq 0, \quad (4.7)$$

where μ^0 is given by (4.6). In (4.7), choose a sequence η^1 that strongly converges to φ^1 in $L^p(\Omega, W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(Y)/\mathbb{R})$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} f \varphi^0 - F^0 \cdot (\nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1 + t \eta) \, dx \, dy \\ & + \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1 + t \eta) \cdot t \eta \, dx \, dy \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

By (4.3) and (4.4), (4.8) becomes

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} -F^0 \cdot t\eta + \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1 + t\eta) \cdot t\eta \, dx \, dy \geq 0.$$

Dividing both sides by $t > 0$, then letting $t \rightarrow 0$ and using (A3), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega \times Y} -F^0 \cdot \eta + \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1) \cdot \eta \, dx \, dy \geq 0, \quad (4.9)$$

for all $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y))$. Therefore, $F^0 = \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1)$ and the two-scale limits φ^0 and φ^1 satisfy the following system

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} \left[\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1) \, dy \right] &= f, \text{ in } \Omega, \\ -\operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1) &= 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times Y. \end{aligned}$$

Since the system above has a unique solution by monotonicity (A4), the entire sequence φ^ε is convergent. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof adopts ideas from [23], with improvements by generalizing several estimates obtained in the cited paper and using two-scale convergence.

5.1 Preliminary results

Let $p > 1$. We establish some estimates that will be needed later in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Z be a metric space. There exists $C = C(d, p) > 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in L^p(Z, \mathbb{R}^d)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|_{L^p(Z, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p &\leq C \left(\int_Z \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^2 \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(|Z| + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^p(Z, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^p(Z, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Let $\nu > 1$, $\mu \in [0, p]$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be parameters that will be chosen later, then using Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|_{L^p(Z, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p &= \int_Z |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^{p-\mu} \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{-\lambda} \cdot |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^\mu \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^\lambda \, dz \\ &\leq \left(\int_Z |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^{\nu(p-\mu)} \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{-\lambda\nu} \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \left(\int_Z |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^{\frac{\mu\nu}{\nu-1}} \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{\lambda\nu}{\nu-1}} \, dz \right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}}. \end{aligned}$$

We want $\nu(p - \mu) = 2$ and $-\lambda\nu = \frac{p-2}{2}$ (to mimic (5.1)), so we let $\nu = 2$, $\mu = p - 1$, and $\lambda = \frac{2-p}{4}$. With these choices, the estimate above becomes

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|_{L^p(Z, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\int_Z |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_Z |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^{2(p-1)} \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_Z |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_Z \left(|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{p-1} \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_Z |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_Z \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{p-1} \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_Z |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_Z \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_Z |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(|Z| + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^p(Z, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^p(Z, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

thus (5.1) is proved. \square

Following Dal Maso and Defranceschi [23], we start by defining the function

$$M^\varepsilon : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$$

by

$$(M^\varepsilon \mathbf{v})(x) := \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} \mathbb{1}_{Y_i^\varepsilon}(x) \frac{1}{|Y_i^\varepsilon|} \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon} \mathbf{v}(z) dz, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{Y_i^\varepsilon}(x)$ is the characteristic function of the set Y_i^ε . It can be shown that $M^\varepsilon \mathbf{v}$ converges to \mathbf{v} a.e. on Ω and strongly in L^p , cf. e.g., [49, Chap. 6, Prop. 9], that is,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|M^\varepsilon \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\|M^\varepsilon \mathbf{v}\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Next, we define the function

$$\mathbf{p} : Y \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \mathbf{p}(y, \xi) := \xi + \nabla_y \eta_\xi(y), \quad (5.4)$$

where η_ξ is the solution of (3.8). It follows that

$$\int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \xi)) \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \xi) dy = \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \xi)) \cdot \xi dy, \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (5.5)$$

We adapt an important corrector result by Dal Maso and Defranceschi [23, Theorem 2.1] to our setting:

Lemma 5.2. *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x) - \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0(x))\right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0. \quad (5.6)$$

By comparing (3.2b) and (3.8), we obtain by uniqueness that

$$\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) = \nabla \varphi^0(x) + \nabla_y \varphi^1(x, y). \quad (5.7)$$

From (3.2b) and the fact that φ^1 is periodic with respect to y , we obtain the following identity, similar to (5.5), that holds for a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$\int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))) \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) dy = \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))) \cdot \nabla \varphi^0(x) dy. \quad (5.8)$$

We will need the following generalized version of [23, Lemma 3.2 and 3.4]:

Proposition 5.3. *There exists $C > 0$ depending on $d, p, \alpha, \lambda_o, \Lambda_o, \Lambda_*$, which were introduced in Section 2.1, such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x))\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \leq C \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right), \quad (5.9a)$$

$$\|\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \leq C \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|\nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right), \quad (5.9b)$$

$$\|\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \quad (5.9c)$$

$$\leq C \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{2p-\alpha-1}{2p-\alpha}} \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0) - \nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{p}{2p-\alpha}},$$

Proof. 1. *Proof of (5.9a)*

On $Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y$, note that $M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)$ is independent of $x \in Y_i^\varepsilon$ and $y \in Y$ by definition. Thus, on Y_i^ε , we let $\xi^i := M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0(\cdot)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By Fubini's Theorem, estimate (5.9a) can be written as

$$\|\mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i)\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \leq C \left(|Y| + |\xi^i|^p \right). \quad (5.10)$$

Thus we only need to prove (5.10). The proof of this inequality is similar to [23], albeit our assumption on \mathbf{a} is different. Applying Lemma 5.1 and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p &\leq C \left(\int_Y |\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(|Y| + \|\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \cdot 2C \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dy + C \cdot \frac{1}{2C} \left(|Y| + \|\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right), \end{aligned}$$

so by rearranging terms and then using monotonicity (A4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p &\leq C \left(\int_Y |\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dy + |Y| \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\int_Y [\mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i)) - \mathbf{a}(y, 0)] \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i) dy + |Y| \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.5), the boundedness condition (A2), and the continuity condition (A3), we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \leq C \left(\int_Y |\mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i)) \cdot \xi^i| dy + \int_Y |\mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i)| dy + |Y| \right)$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_Y \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i)|^2\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\xi^i| \, dy + \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)| \, dy + |Y| \right).$$

Let $\nu > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ to be specified later. Applying Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p &\leq C \left(\int_Y \nu^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i)|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \, dy + \int_Y \frac{1}{\nu^p} |\xi^i|^p \, dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_Y \mu^p |\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)|^p \, dy + \int_Y \frac{1}{\mu^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \, dy + |Y| \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\int_Y \nu^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i)|^p\right) \, dy + \int_Y \frac{1}{\nu^p} |\xi^i|^p \, dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_Y \mu^p |\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)|^p \, dy + \int_Y \frac{1}{\mu^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \, dy + |Y| \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\left(\nu^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \mu^p\right) \|\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \frac{1}{\nu^p} \|\xi^i\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \frac{|Y|}{\mu^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} + |Y| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choose ν and μ small enough such that $\left(\nu^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \mu^p\right) \leq \frac{1}{2C}$, we conclude that

$$\|\mathbf{p}(\cdot, \xi^i)\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \leq C \left(|Y| + \|\xi^i\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right),$$

which is (5.10).

2. Proof of (5.9b)

Applying Lemma 5.1 and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \cdot 2C \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad + C \cdot \frac{1}{2C} \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right), \end{aligned}$$

so by rearranging terms and then using monotonicity (A4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \, dx \, dy + |Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} [\mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))) - \mathbf{a}(y, 0)] \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) \, dx \, dy + |Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.8), the boundedness condition (A2), and the continuity condition (A3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))) \cdot \nabla \varphi^0(x)| \, dx \, dy + \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))| \, dx \, dy + |Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| \right) \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\nabla \varphi^0(x)| \, dx \, dy + \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))| \, dx \, dy + |Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ to be specified later. Applying Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \nu^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \, dx \, dy + \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \frac{1}{\nu^p} |\nabla \varphi^0(x)|^p \, dx \, dy \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \mu^p |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p \, dx \, dy + \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \frac{1}{\mu^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \, dx \, dy + |Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| \right) \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \nu^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p \right) \, dx \, dy + \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \frac{1}{\nu^p} |\nabla \varphi^0(x)|^p \, dx \, dy \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \mu^p |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p \, dx \, dy + \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \frac{1}{\mu^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \, dx \, dy + |Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| \right) \\
& \leq C \left(\left(\nu^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \mu^p \right) \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \frac{1}{\nu^p} \left\| \nabla \varphi^0(x) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \frac{|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y|}{\mu^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} + |Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Choose ν and μ small enough such that $\left(\nu^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \mu^p \right) \leq \frac{1}{2C}$, we conclude that

$$\left\| \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \leq C \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \left\| \nabla \varphi^0(x) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right),$$

which is (5.9b).

3. Proof of (5.9c)

By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x))|^2 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(1 + \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using monotonicity (A4), (5.9a), and (5.9b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} [\mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x))) - \mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)))] \right. \\
& \quad \cdot (\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x))) \, dx \, dy \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} [\mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x))) - \mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)))] \cdot (M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x) - \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{5.11}
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (5.5) and (5.8) in the last estimate. Observe that by continuity (A3) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} [\mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x))) - \mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)))] \cdot (M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x) - \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \, dx \, dy \\
& \leq C \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x))|^2 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x))|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-1-\alpha}{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x))|^\alpha |M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x) - \nabla\varphi^0(x)| \, dx \, dy \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x))|^2 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x))|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{p-\alpha-1}{p}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x))|^p \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x) - \nabla\varphi^0(x)|^p \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} (1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x))|^p + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x))|^p) \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{p-\alpha-1}{p}} \\
& \quad \cdot \|\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^\alpha \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x) - \nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)} \\
& \leq C \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{p-\alpha-1}{p}} \\
& \quad \cdot \|\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^\alpha \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x) - \nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we also use (5.9a) and (5.9b) in the last inequality. Substituting to (5.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\
& \leq C \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{p-\alpha-1}{2p}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x) - \nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2p-\alpha}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{2p-\alpha-1}{2p}} \\ & \quad \cdot \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x) - \nabla\varphi^0(x)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (5.9c). □

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, there exists $C > 0$ depending on $d, p, \alpha, \lambda_o, \Lambda_o, \Lambda_*, \text{diam}(\Omega')$, which were introduced in Section 2.1, such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega' \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ & \leq C \left(|\Omega| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{2p-\alpha-1}{2p-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \cdot \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0) - \nabla\varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{p}{2p-\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma := \frac{1}{2p-\alpha}$. For a fixed $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$\Omega' \subset \bigcup_{i \in I^\varepsilon} Y_i^\varepsilon \subset \Omega,$$

where I^ε is defined in (2.1). By Proposition 5.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega' \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ & \leq \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(\bigcup_{i \in I^\varepsilon} Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ & = \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla\varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} \left(|Y_i^\varepsilon| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{1-\gamma} \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0) - \nabla\varphi^0\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{p\gamma} \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

To estimate the last term, for $i \in I^\varepsilon$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &:= |Y_i^\varepsilon| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p, \\ b_i &:= \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0) - \nabla\varphi^0\|_{L^p(Y_i^\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} a_i &\leq |\Omega| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla\varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p, \\ \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} b_i &\leq \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla\varphi^0) - \nabla\varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Observe that $a_i, b_i \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Let $s := \frac{1}{\gamma}$, $r := \frac{1}{1-\gamma}$, $c_i := a_i^{1-\gamma}$, and $d_i := b_i^\gamma$. Then $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ and by using Hölder's inequality with respect to the counting measure, we obtain

$$\sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} c_i d_i \leq \left(\sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} c_i^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} d_i^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} a_i^{1-\gamma} b_i^\gamma \leq \left(\sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} a_i \right)^{1-\gamma} \left(\sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} b_i \right)^\gamma. \quad (5.15)$$

From (5.13), (5.14), and (5.15), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega' \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ & \leq C \left(|\Omega| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{1-\gamma} \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0) - \nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{p\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.5. *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0. \quad (5.16)$$

Proof. By monotonicity (A4), (5.8), and continuity (A3), we have for a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_o \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p dy \\ & \leq \int_Y [\mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))) - \mathbf{a}(y, 0)] \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) dy \\ & = \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))) \cdot \nabla \varphi^0(x) dy - \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) dy \\ & \leq \int_Y [\mathbf{a}(y, \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))) - \mathbf{a}(y, 0)] \cdot \nabla \varphi^0(x) dy \\ & \quad + \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \nabla \varphi^0(x) dy - \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) dy \\ & \leq \int_Y \Lambda_o \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-1-\alpha}{2}} |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^\alpha |\nabla \varphi^0(x)| dy \\ & \quad + \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \nabla \varphi^0(x) dy - \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))| < 1$, then

$$\left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-1-\alpha}{2}} |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^\alpha \leq C |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^\alpha \leq C,$$

and when $|\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))| \geq 1$,

$$\left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-1-\alpha}{2}} |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^\alpha \leq C |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^{p-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_o \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p dy \\ & \leq C \int_Y \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^{p-1}\right) |\nabla \varphi^0(x)| dy \\ & \quad + \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \nabla \varphi^0(x) dy - \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x)) dy, \end{aligned}$$

and thus by boundedness (A2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_o \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p dy \\ & \leq C \left(\int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^{p-1} |\nabla \varphi^0(x)| dy + |\nabla \varphi^0(x)| + \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))| dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Young inequality for the first and the last integrands, we obtain for a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$\int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p dy \leq C_* (|\nabla \varphi^0(x)|^p + |\nabla \varphi^0(x)| + 1), \quad (5.17)$$

for some constant $C_* > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon > 0$.

In (3.8), consider the special case $\xi = 0$, then

$$-\operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{a}(y, \nabla_y \eta_0) = 0, \quad \eta_0 \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(Y)/\mathbb{R} \quad (5.18)$$

By (A2), (A4), (5.18), and Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\lambda_o \|\nabla_y \eta_0\|_{L^p}^p \leq \int_Y [\mathbf{a}(y, \nabla_y \eta_0) - \mathbf{a}(y, 0)] \cdot \nabla_y \eta_0 dy = - \int_Y \mathbf{a}(y, 0) \cdot \nabla_y \eta_0 dy \leq C \Lambda_* \|\nabla_y \eta_0\|_{L^p(Y)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy = \|\nabla_y \eta_0\|_{L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \leq C(d, p, \lambda_o, \Lambda_*) =: C_{**}. \quad (5.19)$$

Fix $\delta > 0$. Since $\varphi^0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there exists $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that whenever $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\delta)$, we have

$$2^p \left(C_* \int_{\bigcup_{i \in J^\varepsilon} Z_i^\varepsilon} (|\nabla \varphi^0(x)|^p + |\nabla \varphi^0(x)| + 1) dx + C_{**} \left| \bigcup_{i \in J^\varepsilon} Z_i^\varepsilon \right| \right) \leq \delta. \quad (5.20)$$

In Proposition 5.4, let $\Omega' = (\bigcup_{i \in I^\varepsilon} Y_i^\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ & = \|\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(\Omega' \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ & \quad + \int_{(\bigcup_{i \in J^\varepsilon} Z_i^\varepsilon) \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p dx dy \\ & \leq C \left(|\Omega| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{2p-\alpha-1}{2p-\alpha}} \cdot \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0) - \nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{p}{2p-\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2^p \int_{(\cup_{i \in J^\varepsilon} Z_i^\varepsilon) \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p + |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p dx dy \\
& \leq C \left(|\Omega| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{2p-\alpha-1}{2p-\alpha}} \cdot \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0) - \nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{p}{2p-\alpha}} \\
& \quad + 2^p \int_{\cup_{i \in J^\varepsilon} Z_i^\varepsilon} \left(\int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy + \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))|^p dy \right) dx \\
& \leq C \left(|\Omega| + \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|\nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right)^{\frac{2p-\alpha-1}{2p-\alpha}} \cdot \|M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0) - \nabla \varphi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{p}{2p-\alpha}} + \delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Fubini theorem, (5.17), (5.19), and (5.20) in the last estimate. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \leq \delta,$$

since we have $M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0) \rightarrow \nabla \varphi^0$ in L^p -norm, see (5.3). Because $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) - \mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p = 0.$$

□

Proposition 5.6. *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\left\| \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)\right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p - \|\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x))\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right) = 0. \quad (5.21)$$

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon} - i$ and $Z_i = \varepsilon^{-1}(Z_i^\varepsilon - i)$. Note that \mathbf{p} is periodic with respect to the y variable and $Z_i^\varepsilon = Y_i^\varepsilon$, $Z_i = Y$ whenever $i \in I^\varepsilon$. On $Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y$, note that $M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)$ is independent of $x \in Y_i^\varepsilon$ and $y \in Y$ by definition. Thus, on Y_i^ε , we let $\xi^i := M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0(\cdot)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \left| \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)\right) \right|^p dx &= \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon \cup J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i^\varepsilon} \left| \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)\right) \right|^p dx \\
&= \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i^\varepsilon} \left| \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \xi^i\right) \right|^p dx + \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i^\varepsilon} \left| \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, 0\right) \right|^p dx \\
&= \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon} \left| \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \xi^i\right) \right|^p dx + \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i^\varepsilon} \left| \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, 0\right) \right|^p dx \\
&= \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i)|^p dy \varepsilon^d + \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy \varepsilon^d \\
&= \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, \xi^i)|^p dx dy + \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy \varepsilon^d \\
&= \sum_{i \in I^\varepsilon} \int_{Y_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x))|^p dx dy + \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dx dy \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy \varepsilon^d - \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dx dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x))|^p dx dy \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy \varepsilon^d - \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \left\| \mathbf{p} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x) \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p - \left\| \mathbf{p}(y, M^\varepsilon(\nabla \varphi^0)(x)) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}^p \right| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy \varepsilon^d - \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_{Z_i^\varepsilon \times Y} |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dx dy \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy \varepsilon^d + \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy \varepsilon^d |Z_i| \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} \int_Y |\mathbf{p}(y, 0)|^p dy \varepsilon^d \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon^d \sum_{i \in J^\varepsilon} C \quad (\text{by (5.19)}) \\
&\leq 2C\varepsilon^d \cdot \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{\varepsilon^{d-1}} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.7 (Characterization of strong two-scale convergence). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Define the two-scale composition function by*

$$S^\varepsilon(x, y) := \varepsilon \left\lfloor \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right\rfloor + \varepsilon y, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega \times Y.$$

A sequence $\{v^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ strongly two-scale converges to $v \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$ if and only if

$$v^\varepsilon \circ S^\varepsilon \longrightarrow v \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega \times Y). \quad (5.22)$$

Proof. The sufficient condition is proved in [53, Proposition 2.7].

To prove the necessary condition, we assume that v^ε strongly two-scale converges to v , i.e., $v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} v$ in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$ and $\|v^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \longrightarrow \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y)}$. On the one hand, [53, Proposition 2.5] implies that $v^\varepsilon \circ S^\varepsilon \longrightarrow v$ in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$. On the other hand, [53, Lemma 1.1] implies that $\|v^\varepsilon \circ S^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y)} = \|v^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$, hence $\|v^\varepsilon \circ S^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y)} \longrightarrow \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y)}$. By the result in real analysis [9, Page 124], we conclude

$$v^\varepsilon \circ S^\varepsilon \longrightarrow v \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega \times Y).$$

□

There are two different definitions for strong two-scale convergence: we use the one in Definition 2, while author of [53] uses (5.22) as the definition of strong two-scale convergence. Proposition 5.7 shows that the two definitions are equivalent when $p > 1$. The necessary direction does not hold when $p = 1$.

5.2 Proof of (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5)

From Lemma 5.2, Proposition 5.5, and Proposition 5.6, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\mathbf{p}(y, \nabla \varphi^0(x))\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\nabla \varphi^0(x) + \nabla_y \varphi^1(x, y)\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (5.23)$$

Using this with (3.1), we conclude that

$$\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x) \xrightarrow{2} \nabla \varphi^0(x) + \nabla_y \varphi^1(x, y) \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega \times Y).$$

The above strong two-scale convergence, however, does not immediately imply (3.3) because (5.23) does not guarantee

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_i} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega \times Y)}.$$

By Proposition 5.7, we have $(\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon) \circ S^\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla \varphi^0 + \nabla_y \varphi^1$ in $L^p(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)$, so $\frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \circ S^\varepsilon \rightarrow \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_i}$ in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$, and thus, $\frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \xrightarrow{2} \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_i}$ in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$, which is (3.3). Identities (3.4) and (3.5) now follows from Lemma 2.2. \square

6 Proof of Theorem 2

The weak convergence of φ^ε to φ^0 in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ follows from (4.1), together with the homogenized equation (3.2) for the electrostatic problem, and results obtained in previous sections. Therefore, at this point, it only remains to deal with the homogenization of the elastic equation (2.12). The roadmap to obtain this homogenization result is as follows. In Section 6.1, we demonstrate that the sequence of solutions $\{\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is uniformly bounded in $BV(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, which will be used later for the two-scale convergence argument. Then, we discuss additional regularity for the solution $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ of (2.7)-(2.9) and derive an a priori estimate for \mathbf{u}^ε . After that, we discuss existence of such a solution \mathbf{u}^ε . And finally, in Section 6.3, we adapt two-scale convergence to derive the homogenization system for \mathbf{u}^ε . The solution of the obtained two-scale homogenized problem exhibits additional regularity of $W^{1,1}$, which allows one to explicitly write the cell and effective systems.

6.1 An a priori estimate

We now prove the boundedness of the sequence of elastic displacements \mathbf{u}^ε , which is the solution of (2.7)-(2.9), in the BV-norm. Suppose $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ with $C^{1,\kappa}$ -boundary, for some $0 < \kappa < 1$. Consider the following auxiliary problem:

For given functions $\mathbf{g}' \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{f}' \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, let $\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the solution of

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}' \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}' : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) \, dx \quad (6.1)$$

for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$. This solution $\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ exists and is unique by the Lax-Milgram theorem and

$$\|\mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \leq C_2 \left(\|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right), \quad (6.2)$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, Korn's inequality implies

$$\|\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_2 \left(\|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right). \quad (6.3)$$

We now consider the problem (6.1) with $\mathbf{g}' \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{f}' \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, then by [40, Theorem 1.1 and 1.9] (see also [5, 6, 25, 48, 50]), $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ is piecewise continuous, and the following Lipschitz estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq C_1 \left(\|\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right) \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

for some $C_1 = C_1(d, \kappa, \lambda_e, \Lambda_e, \Omega', \Omega) > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon > 0$. In the last estimate, we also use (6.3) and the fact that Ω is bounded.

By (4.1) and an extension of Hölder inequality [9, Remark 2, p. 93], we obtain

$$\|\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon\|_{L^{p/2}} = \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \otimes \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^{p/2}} \leq \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p'}}^2. \quad (6.5)$$

Consider the problem (6.1) with $\mathbf{g}' \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbf{f}' \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, then $\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus \Omega'$. From (2.12), (6.1), (6.4), and (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{g}' \cdot \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \, dx - \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{f}' : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) \, dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}' \cdot \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}' : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) \, dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) \, dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{C}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) \, dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \, dx - \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{C}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right) \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^1(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right) \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

It follows that $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in \text{BV}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, see [4]. Moreover, by choosing $\mathbf{g}' = 0$ and $\mathbf{f} = 0$ alternatively, then applying Riesz theorem, we conclude

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\text{BV}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(d, \kappa, \lambda_e, \Lambda_e, \lambda_o, \Lambda_o, \Omega', \Omega) \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})}^2 \right). \quad (6.7)$$

6.2 Higher regularity and existence

The a priori estimate (6.7) shows that the solution \mathbf{u}^ε of (2.7)-(2.9), if it exists, is merely a bounded variation function. However, this result does not guarantee that the normal traces appearing in (2.8) are well-defined, cf. e.g., [15, 16, 47]. Therefore, we will show next that \mathbf{u}^ε possesses higher regularity. Indeed, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the (unique) solution \mathbf{u}^ε of (2.7)-(2.9) belongs to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, for some $1 < q(\varepsilon) < 2$ that will be given below.

To that end, we need to adapt a useful global gradient estimate, obtained by N. C. Phuc [46, Theorem 1.1] to our current setting, as follows:

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose $2 - \frac{1}{d} < p \leq d$ and $\mathbf{a}: Y \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies (A1)–(A4). Let $\mu \in L^s(\Omega)$ for some $d > s > \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{d}}$. Then there exists $\delta = \delta(d, p, \lambda_o, \Lambda_o) > 0$ such that for any weak solution $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of*

$$-\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{a}(x, \nabla \phi)) = \mu \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (6.8)$$

the following estimate holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^{p+\delta} dx \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mu|^s dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{s(p+\delta)}}. \quad (6.9)$$

where $C = C(d, p, s, \lambda_o, \Lambda_o, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)) > 0$.

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Since $s > \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{d}}$, there exists $\delta_1 = \delta_1(p, d, s) > 0$ such that $s > \frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{p+\delta_1} + \frac{1}{d}}$, then

$$\frac{p + \delta_1}{p - 1} < \frac{ds}{d - s}. \quad (6.10)$$

It is clear that if $\mathbf{a}: Y \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies (A1)–(A4) then it also satisfies all the conditions in [46, Theorem 1.1] (note that we can extend \mathbf{a} to $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ by periodicity). Therefore, we have $0 < \delta = \delta(d, p, \lambda_o, \Lambda_o) < \delta_1$ small enough such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^{p+\delta} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{N}_1(|\mu|)^{\frac{p+\delta}{p-1}} dx, \quad (6.11)$$

for some $C = C(d, p, \delta, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)) > 0$, where \mathcal{N}_1 is the fractional maximal function, defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_1(\nu)(x) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{r}{|B_r(x)|} \nu(B_r(x)),$$

for any nonnegative locally finite measure ν on \mathbb{R}^d .

Since $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ and Ω is bounded, by (6.10) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{N}_1(|\mu|)^{\frac{p+\delta}{p-1}} dx \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{N}_1(|\mu|)^{\frac{ds}{d-s}} dx \right)^{\frac{d-s}{ds} \cdot \frac{p-1}{p+\delta}}. \quad (6.12)$$

From [38, Theorem 3.1], there exists $C = C(d, p) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{N}_1(|\mu|)^{\frac{ds}{d-s}} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mu|^s dx \right)^{\frac{d}{d-s}} \quad (6.13)$$

Combining (6.11), (6.12), and (6.13), we obtain (6.9). □

We are ready to show that the elastic displacement \mathbf{u}^ε actually belongs to some Sobolev spaces.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Recall that $\mathbf{g} \in L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \subset W^{-1,r}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $r > 1$. Applying [Proposition 6.1](#) to the nonlinear divergence problem (2.7a)–(2.9a), and using the fact that Ω is bounded, we obtain that there exists $1 < q(\varepsilon) < \min\{r, 2\}$ small enough, such that $|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2 \in L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{g} \in W^{-1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$. It also follows that $\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon \in L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$.

Let \mathbf{g}' and \mathbf{f}' be some suitable Lebesgue integrable functions that will be specified later. Let $\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)$, where $q(\varepsilon)'$ is the Hölder conjugate of $q(\varepsilon)$, be the (unique) solution of

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}' \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}' : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) \, dx \quad (6.14)$$

for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$.

For fixed $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, define the interpolation map

$$\begin{aligned} T^{ij} : \left(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \right) + \left(L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \right) &\rightarrow L^2(\Omega') + L^\infty(\Omega') \\ (\mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{f}_2) + (\mathbf{g}_\infty, \mathbf{f}_\infty) &\mapsto [\mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}_2^\varepsilon + \mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}_\infty^\varepsilon]_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{w}_2^ε and $\mathbf{w}_\infty^\varepsilon$ are solutions of (6.14) with source terms $(\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{f}') = (\mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{f}_2)$ and $(\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{f}') = (\mathbf{g}_\infty, \mathbf{f}_\infty)$, respectively.

On the one hand, the estimates (6.2) and (6.4) imply the bounds of the restriction maps

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| T^{ij} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega')} \\ &:= \sup \left\{ \|T^{ij}(\mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{f}_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega')} : \|\mathbf{g}_2\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1, \|\mathbf{f}_2\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \leq 1 \right\} \leq 2C_2, \\ &\left\| T^{ij} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega')} \\ &:= \sup \left\{ \|T^{ij}(\mathbf{g}_\infty, \mathbf{f}_\infty)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} : \|\mathbf{g}_\infty\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1, \|\mathbf{f}_\infty\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \leq 1 \right\} \leq 2C_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the identification

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Id} : L^s(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^s(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) &\rightarrow L^s(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d^3}) \\ (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f}) &\mapsto (\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_d, \mathbf{f}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{1d}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{d1}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{dd}) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism with respect L^s -norm for any $2 \leq s \leq \infty$.

Therefore, applying the Multilinear Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem [34, Corollary 7.2.11] to the map $T^{ij} \circ \text{Id}^{-1}$, there exists $C_3(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that the norm of the restriction of T^{ij} on

$$\left(L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \right) \subset \left(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \right) + \left(L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \right)$$

to $L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega')$ is bounded above by $C_3(\varepsilon)$. In particular, the following $L^{q(\varepsilon)'}$ -gradient estimate holds

$$\|\mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \leq C_3(\varepsilon) d^2 \left(\|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right) \quad (6.15)$$

whenever \mathbf{w}^ε is the solution of (6.14) with source term $(\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{f}')$ in $L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$. By (6.15), Korn's inequality, and Hölder's inequality, there exists $C(\varepsilon) = C(\varepsilon, d, \kappa, \lambda_e, \Lambda_e, \lambda_o, \Lambda_o, \Omega', \Omega)$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\varepsilon) \left(\|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right). \quad (6.16)$$

We now employ a duality argument to prove an a priori estimate for $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \subset \text{BV}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying (2.12).

Fix $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$. In (6.14), let $\mathbf{g}' \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbf{f}' \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ and combine with (2.12), (6.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{g}' \cdot \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \, dx - \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{f}' : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) \, dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) \, dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \, dx - \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon) \left(\|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right) \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

On the one hand, letting $\mathbf{f}' = 0$ in (6.17) implies

$$\left| \int_{\Omega'} \mathbf{g}' \cdot \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \, dx \right| \leq C(\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{g}'\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)'}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right).$$

Thus by Riesz Theorem, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\varepsilon) \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right).$$

On the other hand, letting $\mathbf{g}' = 0$ in (6.17) and arguing similarly, we obtain

$$\|\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon)\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \leq C(\varepsilon) \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right).$$

Combining the two estimates above, we conclude that

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\varepsilon) \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right). \quad (6.18)$$

We have shown that every distributional solution \mathbf{u}^ε of (2.12) belongs to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, and thus the normal traces appearing in (2.8) are well-defined. It remains to show that (2.12) has a distributional solution $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$. This follows from the existence and asymptotic decay of the Green's function associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon := -\text{div}(\mathbf{B}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \mathbb{D}[\cdot])$ shown in [22, Theorem 1]. The uniqueness of the distributional solution \mathbf{u}^ε follows from a standard argument, by using density and the fundamental lemma of calculus of variations.

Remark 6.2. *If we assume instead that \mathbf{B} is in $VMO(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, then the $W^{1,p}$ -estimate (6.18) would be obtained via a real variable method by Caffarelli and Peral [13, 50], while the $W^{1,\infty}$ -estimate (6.2) was first obtained via the compactness method [5, 6]. In this case, the existence of the solution \mathbf{u}^ε can be shown by an approximation argument (the SOLA method - Solutions Obtained by Limit of Approximations, see [8]).*

Indeed, from [13, 50], we have the global estimate

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right). \quad (6.19)$$

Let $\mathbf{g}_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{f}_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ that converge to \mathbf{g} and $\mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon$, respectively, in the $L^{q(\varepsilon)}$ -norm, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that the variational problem

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_n^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}_n \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_n : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \quad (6.20)$$

has a unique solution $\mathbf{u}_n^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \subset W_0^{1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ by the Lax-Milgram Theorem. Then, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathbf{u}_m^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_n^\varepsilon$ is the solution of

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_m^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_n^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{g}_m - \mathbf{g}_n) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{f}_m - \mathbf{f}_n) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx, \quad (6.21)$$

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Because $\mathbf{g}_m - \mathbf{g}_n$ and $\mathbf{f}_m - \mathbf{f}_n$ are also in $L^{q(\varepsilon)}$, the estimate (6.18) applies to $\mathbf{u}_m^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_n^\varepsilon$, so

$$\|\mathbf{u}_m^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_n^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\varepsilon) \left(\|\mathbf{g}_m - \mathbf{g}_n\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{f}_m - \mathbf{f}_n\|_{L^{q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (6.22)$$

as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, \mathbf{u}_n^ε is a Cauchy sequence in $W^{1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, and so there exists $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in W^{1,q(\varepsilon)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\mathbf{u}_n^\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (6.20) and using density arguments, we obtain \mathbf{u}^ε is the solution of (2.12).

6.3 The two-scale homogenized system

Fix $K_0 \subset\subset \Omega$, then there exists an open set K such that $K_0 \subset\subset K \subset\subset \Omega$. By (6.7), there exists $\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0 \in \text{BV}(K, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1 \in \mathcal{M}(K, \text{BV}_{\text{per}}(Y, \mathbb{R}^d))$, such that, up to a subsequence, see [2],

$$\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\text{BV}(K, \mathbb{R}^d)} \mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0, \quad (6.23a)$$

$$\nabla \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0(dx) + \nabla_y \mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1(dx, dy), \quad (6.23b)$$

where $\mathcal{M}(K, \text{BV}_{\text{per}}(Y, \mathbb{R}^d))$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{M}\left(K, L_{\text{per}}^{\frac{d}{d-1}}(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)\right)$ of measures μ from the Borel σ -algebra on K to $\text{BV}_{\text{per}}(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $D_y \mu \in \mathcal{M}(K \times Y, \mathbb{R}^d)$, cf. [2]. In (2.12), letting $\mathbf{v}(x) = \mathbf{v}^0(x) + \varepsilon \mathbf{v}^1\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ with $\mathbf{v}^0 \in \mathcal{D}(K, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{v}^1 \in \mathcal{D}(K, C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \left(\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \varepsilon \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^1) \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1) \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) \\ &= \int_K \mathbf{g} \cdot (\mathbf{v}^0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{v}^1) + \int_K \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon(x) : \left(\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \varepsilon \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^1) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1) \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by (6.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K \int_Y \mathbf{B}(y) (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0)(dx) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)(dx, dy)) \\ &= \int_K \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{v}^0 \, dx + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_K \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon(x) : \left(\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \varepsilon \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^1) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1) \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) dx \\ &= \int_K \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{v}^0 \, dx + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_K \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon(x) : \left(\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1) \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

To find the last limit, we claim that

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \boldsymbol{\tau}^0(x, y) := (\nabla \varphi^0(x) + \nabla_y \varphi^1(x, y)) \otimes (\nabla \varphi^0(x) + \nabla_y \varphi^1(x, y)) \quad (6.25)$$

in $L^{p/2}(\Omega \times Y)$. Indeed, from (3.3) and Proposition 5.7,

$$\frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \circ S^\varepsilon \longrightarrow \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_i} \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega \times Y).$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right) \circ S^\varepsilon \longrightarrow \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_j} \right) \quad \text{in } L^{p/2}(\Omega \times Y),$$

so by [53, Proposition 2.5] (this result is necessary because it also applies to the case $p/2 = 1$),

$$\frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \xrightarrow{2} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_j} \right) \quad \text{in } L^{p/2}(\Omega \times Y).$$

This convergence implies (6.25). Since $\mathbf{C}(y) (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0)(x) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)(x, y))$ is continuous with respect to x and measurable with respect to y , it can be chosen as the test function for (6.25). Therefore, (6.24) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K \int_Y \mathbf{B}(y) (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0)(dx) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)(dx, dy)) \\ &= \int_K \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{v}^0 dx + \int_K \int_Y \mathbf{C}(y) \boldsymbol{\tau}^0(x, y) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)) dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

Applying [42, Theorem 1] to the cell problem (3.2b) and Proposition 6.1 to the first homogenized equation in (3.13), there exists $q^+ > 1$ such that $\boldsymbol{\tau}^0 \in L^{q^+}(\Omega \times Y, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$. In (6.26), setting $\mathbf{v}^0 \equiv 0$, $\mathbf{v}^1(x, y) = \eta(x) \mathbf{w}(y)$ for $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(K)$, $\mathbf{w} \in C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)$, then use the fundamental lemma of calculus of variation to obtain

$$\int_Y \mathbf{B}(y) \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{w}^1) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0)(dx) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)(dy)) = \int_Y \mathbf{C}(y) \boldsymbol{\tau}^0(x, y) : \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{w}^1) dy,$$

for a.e. $x \in K$. Now repeating the interpolation and duality argument presented in Section 6.2, we have $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)$ is indeed in $L^{q^+}(Y, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, for a.e. $x \in K$. Since $\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0$ depends only on x , we conclude $\mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)$ is in $L^{q^+}(Y, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, for a.e. $x \in K$. Similarly, if we set $\mathbf{v}^1 \equiv 0$, $\mathbf{v}^0 \in \mathcal{D}(K, \mathbb{R}^d)$, we obtain $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)$ is in $L^{q^+}(K, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for a.e. $y \in Y$. Integrating the sum over Y , the last term vanishes due to periodicity, and therefore, $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0) \in L^{q^+}(K, \mathbb{R}^d)$, hence, $\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0 \in W^{1, q^+}(K_0, \mathbb{R}^d)$. As a consequence, (6.26) can be written in the classical form, without any Radon measures, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K \int_Y \mathbf{B}(y) (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)) dx dy \\ &= \int_K \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{v}^0 dx + \int_K \int_Y \mathbf{C}(y) \boldsymbol{\tau}^0(x, y) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)) dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

Notice that the interpolation and duality arguments also provide

$$\|\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)\|_{L^{q^+}(K_0 \times Y, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^{q^+}(K_0, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}^0\|_{L^{q^+}(K_0 \times Y, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} \right). \quad (6.28)$$

Thus, by the SOLA argument used in [Remark 6.2](#), we conclude that (6.27) has a unique (up to a constant) solution $(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0, \mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1) \in W^{1,q^+}(K_0, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^{q^+}(K_0, W_{\text{per}}^{1,q^+}(Y, \mathbb{R}^d))$.

For any $x \in \Omega$, set

$$(\mathbf{f}^0, \mathbf{f}^1) := (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0), \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)) \quad \text{if } x \in K_0 \text{ for some } K_0 \subset\subset \Omega, \quad (6.29)$$

then $(\mathbf{f}^0, \mathbf{f}^1)$ is well-defined due to the uniqueness of solution of (6.27). Let $(\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{u}^1) \in W_0^{1,q^+}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^{q^+}(\Omega, W_{\text{per}}^{1,q^+}(Y, \mathbb{R}^d))$ be the solution of

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_Y (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}^1)) \, dx \, dy \quad (6.30)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \int_Y (\mathbf{f}^0 + \mathbf{f}^1) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)) \, dx \, dy. \quad (6.31)$$

then such solution exists and is unique by the SOLA argument used in [Remark 6.2](#). Moreover,

$$(\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^0), \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}^1)) = (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^0), \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}_{K_0}^1)) \quad \text{if } x \in K_0 \text{ for some } K_0 \subset\subset \Omega, \quad (6.32)$$

$$(\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{u}^1) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \in \partial\Omega, \quad (6.33)$$

It follows that $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}^0$ in distribution and by (6.27),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \int_Y \mathbf{B}(y) (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{u}^1)) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{v}^0 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_Y \mathbf{C}(y) \boldsymbol{\tau}^0(x, y) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}^0) + \mathbb{D}_y(\mathbf{v}^1)) \, dx \, dy. \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

The cell problems (3.9)–(3.10) and the effective system (3.13) are derived by substituting the ansatz

$$\mathbf{u}^1(x, y) := -\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}^0(x))_{ij} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{ij}(y) + \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_j}(x) \boldsymbol{\chi}^{ij}(y).$$

The derivation of the effective coupled equation in (3.13) follows in a similar fashion to [24, Section 3.4].

Finally, the properties of \mathbf{a}^{hom} and \mathbf{B}^{hom} follow from similar arguments as the ones presented in [18, 19] and [24], and will be omitted here. \square

7 Conclusions

This paper is devoted to the periodic homogenization of nonlinear electric elastomers. More specifically, the nonlinear system (2.7)–(2.9) of an electrostatic equation coupled with an elasticity equation with periodic, highly oscillatory coefficients is considered, where $\varepsilon \ll 1$ is the size of microstructure. It is shown that the effective response of this system, given by (3.13) with (3.12), consists of the homogeneous dielectric elastomer described by a nonlinear weakly coupled system of PDEs, whose coefficients depend on the coefficients of the original heterogeneous material and the geometry of the composite and the periodicity of the original microstructure. In particular, the effective coefficients (3.12) are written in terms of solutions

to the cell problems (3.8), (3.9), (3.10). The main homogenization result is given in [Theorem 2](#), and the explicit corrector for the solution to the electrostatic problem is presented in [Theorem 1](#). It is worth noticing that in most of the existing literature on the topic of homogenization of monotone operators [12, 23, 36], the corrector results were not explicit. However, unlike the ones that did obtain the explicit corrector, e.g. [1], our results were obtained under minimal regularity assumptions. The *linear* case of [32, 51] for $p = 2$ can be recovered as a particular case of our analysis, that could also be straightforwardly extended to the case when \mathbf{B} is a *VMO*-function. In addition, this paper contains two L^p -gradient estimates for elastic systems with discontinuous coefficients (see [Appendix A.2](#) for [Proposition A.3](#) and [Proposition A.4](#)) that, together with the main result of the paper [Theorem 1](#) (and its extensions in [Appendix A.1](#)), constitute three stand alone results that could be found useful for the homogenization of electrostatic and/or elastic equations.

A Appendix

A.1 Other first order correctors

Our arguments in the proof of [Theorem 1](#) can be modified to recover the first order correctors presented in previous studies, e.g [12, 36]. In particular, the strong two-scale convergence and the explicit formula obtained below by adapting our arguments are new. To illustrate, we will state here two results without proofs.

Our first proposition improves the one obtained in [12]:

Proposition A.1. *Let $c_1, c_2 > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \min\{1, p-1\}$, and $\max\{p, 2\} \leq \beta < \infty$. Let $\mathbf{a} : \Omega \times Y \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a function such that $\mathbf{a}(x, \cdot, \xi)$ is measurable and Y -periodic for $x \in \Omega$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose further that for $x \in \Omega$, $y \in Y$, and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have*

$$|\mathbf{a}(x, y, \xi_1) - \mathbf{a}(x, y, \xi_2)| \leq c_1 (1 + |\xi_1| + |\xi_2|)^{p-1-\alpha} |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^\alpha, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$(\mathbf{a}(x, y, \xi_1) - \mathbf{a}(x, y, \xi_2)) \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2) \geq c_2 (1 + |\xi_1| + |\xi_2|)^{p-\beta} |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^\beta, \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\mathbf{a}(x, y, 0) = 0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Then for $f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$, there exist $\varphi^0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi^1 \in L^p\left(\Omega, W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(Y)/\mathbb{R}\right)$ such that the (unique) solution $\varphi^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{a}\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon\right)\right) = f \quad (\text{A.4})$$

satisfies

$$\varphi^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \varphi^0, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \xrightarrow{2} \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_i}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In particular, if φ^1 is admissible, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(\cdot) - \nabla \varphi^0(\cdot) - \nabla_y \varphi^1\left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Next, we provide an explicit corrector for the one obtained in [36]:

Proposition A.2. Suppose F is a proper subset of the unit cell Y with smooth boundary. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(y) &:= \mathbb{1}_F(y)\sigma_1 + (1 - \mathbb{1}_F(y))\sigma_2, \\ p(y) &:= \mathbb{1}_F(y)p_1 + (1 - \mathbb{1}_F(y))p_2,\end{aligned}$$

for some fixed constant $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$, and $2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2$. Define

$$\mathbf{a}(y, \xi) := \sigma(y) |\xi|^{p(y)-2} \xi, \quad \text{for } y \in Y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then for $f \in W^{-1,p_1'}(\Omega)$, there exist $\varphi^0 \in W_0^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi^1 \in L^{p_1}(\Omega, W_{\text{per}}^{1,p_1}(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ such that the (unique) solution $\varphi^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$ of

$$-\operatorname{div} \left(\mathbf{a} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \right) \right) = f \quad (\text{A.7})$$

satisfies

$$\varphi^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \varphi^0, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \xrightarrow{2} \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \varphi^1}{\partial y_i}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

In particular, if φ^1 is admissible, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(\cdot) - \nabla \varphi^0(\cdot) - \nabla_y \varphi^1 \left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

A.2 L^p -gradient estimates in elasticity

The argument in [Section 6.2](#) leads to two $W^{1,r}$ -estimates in elasticity, which may be useful for the study of elastic systems.

Proposition A.3. Suppose the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domain Ω is a disjoint union of a finite N_Ω subdomains with piecewise $C^{1,\alpha}$ -boundaries, where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{M}(\lambda_e, \Lambda_e)$ be Hölder continuous on the closure of each subdomain. Suppose $\mathbf{g} \in L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Then the weak solution $\mathbf{u} \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ of

$$-\operatorname{div} [\mathbf{B} \nabla \mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

satisfies the following estimate:

For $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, there exists $C = C(d, \alpha, N_\Omega, \lambda_e, \Lambda_e, r, \Omega', \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

In the context of homogenization, we have the following result:

Proposition A.4. Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{mat}}(\lambda_e, \Lambda_e)$ and Ω, α be as above. Assume further that the unit cell Y is a disjoint union of N_Y finite subdomains with piecewise $C^{1,\alpha}$ -boundaries and \mathbf{B} is Hölder continuous on the closure of each subdomain. Suppose $\mathbf{g} \in L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Then the weak solution $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ of

$$-\operatorname{div} \left[\mathbf{B} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \nabla \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \right] = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

satisfies the following estimate:

For $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, there exists $C = C(d, \alpha, N_Y, \lambda_e, \Lambda_e, r, \Omega', \Omega) > 0$, independent of $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

This proposition holds because the constant C_1 in (6.4) can be improved, so that it does not depend on ε , thanks to the celebrated *compactness method*, cf. e.g. [3, 5, 6, 25, 37, 40, 48, 50], which exploits additional regularity information: (A.12) is H -convergent to a system with constant coefficients. Similar $W^{1,r}$ -estimates were proved for the case when \mathbf{B} is in VMO or BMO spaces cf. e.g., [3, 13, 50] and references therein.

B Acknowledgements

The work of the third author was supported by NSF grant DMS-2110036. This material is based upon work supported by and while serving at the National Science Foundation for the second author Yuliya Gorb. Any opinion, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect views of the National Science Foundation.

References

- [1] G. Allaire. Homogenization and two-scale convergence. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(6):1482–1518, 1992. doi:10.1137/0523084. 2, 5, 6, 9, 33
- [2] M. Amar. Two-scale convergence and homogenization on $bv(\omega)$. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 16(1):65–84, 1998. 2, 4, 5, 6, 30
- [3] S. Armstrong, T. Kuusi, and J.-C. Mourrat. *Quantitative Stochastic Homogenization and Large-Scale Regularity*, volume 352 of *Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Cham, 2019. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-15545-2. 35
- [4] H. Attouch, G. Buttazzo, and G. Michaille. *Variational Analysis in Sobolev and BV Spaces*, volume 17 of *MOS-SIAM Series on Optimization*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA; Mathematical Optimization Society, Philadelphia, PA, second edition, 2014. doi:10.1137/1.9781611973488. 26
- [5] M. Avellaneda and F.-H. Lin. Compactness methods in the theory of homogenization. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 40(6):803–847, 1987. doi:10.1002/cpa.3160400607. 26, 29, 35
- [6] M. Avellaneda and F.-H. Lin. Compactness methods in the theory of homogenization. II. Equations in nondivergence form. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42(2):139–172, 1989. doi:10.1002/cpa.3160420203. 26, 29, 35
- [7] G. Bal, A. Lucas, and M. Luskin. Homogenization of hydrodynamic transport in Dirac fluids. *J. Math. Phys.*, 62(1):Paper No. 011503, 19, 2021. doi:10.1063/5.0021961. 2
- [8] L. Boccardo and T. Gallouët. Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 87(1):149–169, 1989. doi:10.1016/0022-1236(89)90005-0. 2, 29
- [9] H. Brezis. *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011. 24, 26

- [10] J. E. Bunder, I. G. Kevrekidis, and A. J. Roberts. Equation-free patch scheme for efficient computational homogenisation via self-adjoint coupling. *Numer. Math.*, 149(2):229–272, Oct. 2021. [doi:10.1007/s00211-021-01232-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00211-021-01232-5). 9
- [11] J. E. Bunder and A. J. Roberts. Nonlinear emergent macroscale PDEs, with error bound, for nonlinear microscale systems. *SN Appl. Sci.*, 3(7):703, June 2021. [doi:10.1007/s42452-021-04229-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-021-04229-9). 9
- [12] J. Byström. Correctors for some nonlinear monotone operators. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 8(1):8–30, 2001. [doi:10.2991/jnmp.2001.8.1.2](https://doi.org/10.2991/jnmp.2001.8.1.2). 33
- [13] L. A. Caffarelli and I. Peral. On $W^{1,p}$ -estimates for elliptic equations in divergence form. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 51(1):1–21, 1998. [doi:10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0312\(199801\)51:1<1::AID-CPA1>3.3.CO;2-N](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0312(199801)51:1<1::AID-CPA1>3.3.CO;2-N). 29, 30, 35
- [14] F. Carpi, D. D. Rossi, R. Kornbluh, R. E. Pelrine, and P. Sommer-Larsen. *Dielectric Elastomers as Electromechanical Transducers: Fundamentals, Materials, Devices, Models and Applications of an Emerging Electroactive Polymer Technology*. Elsevier, Sept. 2011. 1
- [15] G.-Q. Chen, Q. Li, and M. Torres. Traces and extensions of bounded divergence-measure fields on rough open sets. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 69(1):229–264, 2020. [doi:10.1512/iumj.2020.69.8375](https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.2020.69.8375). 27
- [16] G.-Q. Chen, M. Torres, and W. P. Ziemer. Gauss-Green theorem for weakly differentiable vector fields, sets of finite perimeter, and balance laws. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 62(2):242–304, 2009. [doi:10.1002/cpa.20262](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.20262). 27
- [17] M. Cherdantsev, K. Cherednichenko, and S. Neukamm. High contrast homogenisation in nonlinear elasticity under small loads. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 104(1-2):67–102, 2017. [doi:10.3233/ASY-171430](https://doi.org/10.3233/ASY-171430). 2
- [18] V. Chiadò Piat, G. Dal Maso, and A. Defranceschi. \mathbb{G} -convergence of monotone operators. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 7(3):123–160, 1990. [doi:10.1016/S0294-1449\(16\)30298-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449(16)30298-0). 32
- [19] V. Chiadò Piat and A. Defranceschi. Homogenization of monotone operators. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 14(9):717–732, 1990. [doi:10.1016/0362-546X\(90\)90102-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X(90)90102-M). 32
- [20] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian, and G. Griso. Periodic unfolding and homogenization. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 335(1):99–104, Jan. 2002. [doi:10.1016/S1631-073X\(02\)02429-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1631-073X(02)02429-9). 5, 6
- [21] N. Clozeau and A. Gloria. Quantitative nonlinear homogenization: Control of oscillations, May 2023, [2104.04263](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.04263). [doi:10.48550/arXiv.2104.04263](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2104.04263). 9
- [22] J. G. Conlon, A. Giunti, and F. Otto. Green’s function for elliptic systems: Existence and Delmotte-Deuschel bounds. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 56(6):Paper No. 163, 51, 2017. [doi:10.1007/s00526-017-1255-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-017-1255-0). 2, 29
- [23] G. Dal Maso and A. Defranceschi. Correctors for the homogenization of monotone operators. *Differential Integral Equations*, 3(6):1151–1166, 1990. 2, 9, 14, 15, 16, 33

- [24] T. Dang, Y. Gorb, and S. Jiménez Bolaños. Homogenization of Nondilute Suspension of Viscous Fluid with Magnetic Particles. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 81(6):2547–2568, 2021. doi:10.1137/21M1413833. 2, 10, 32
- [25] T. Dang, Y. Gorb, and S. Jiménez Bolaños. Global Gradient Estimate for a Divergence Problem and Its Application to the Homogenization of a Magnetic Suspension. In M. I. Español, M. Lewicka, L. Scardia, and A. Schlömerkemper, editors, *Research in Mathematics of Materials Science*, Association for Women in Mathematics Series, pages 133–157. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2022. 2, 10, 26, 35
- [26] E. Davoli, R. Ferreira, and C. Kreisbeck. Homogenization in BV of a model for layered composites in finite crystal plasticity. *Adv. Calc. Var.*, 14(3):441–473, 2021. doi:10.1515/acv-2019-0011. 6
- [27] E. Davoli, C. Gavioli, and V. Pagliari. Homogenization of high-contrast media in finite-strain elastoplasticity, Jan. 2023, 2301.02170. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2301.02170. 2
- [28] Y. Efendiev and A. Pankov. Meyers type estimates for approximate solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations and their applications. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 6(3):481–492, 2006. doi:10.3934/dcdsb.2006.6.481. 9
- [29] A. Erturk and D. J. Inman. *Piezoelectric Energy Harvesting*. John Wiley & Sons, Apr. 2011. 1
- [30] R. Ferreira and I. Fonseca. Characterization of the multiscale limit associated with bounded sequences in bv . *J. Convex Anal.*, 19(2):403–452, 2012. 4, 6
- [31] R. Ferreira and I. Fonseca. Reiterated homogenization in bv via multiscale convergence. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 44(3):2053–2098, 2012. doi:10.1137/110826205. 2, 4, 5, 6
- [32] G. A. Francfort, A. Gloria, and O. Lopez-Pamies. Enhancement of elasto-dielectrics by homogenization of active charges. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 156:392–419, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.matpur.2021.10.002. 2, 7, 10, 12, 33
- [33] A. Gaudiello, O. Guibé, and F. Murat. Homogenization of the brush problem with a source term in l^1 . *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 225(1):1–64, 2017. doi:10.1007/s00205-017-1079-2. 2
- [34] L. Grafakos. *Modern Fourier Analysis*, volume 250 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2014. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-1230-8. 2, 28
- [35] M. Hakimi Siboni. Dielectric elastomer composites: Macroscopic behavior and instabilities. *Dissertations available from ProQuest*, pages 1–317, Jan. 2014. 1
- [36] S. Jimenez and R. P. Lipton. Correctors and field fluctuations for the ϵ -Laplacian with rough exponents. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 372(2):448–469, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.07.014. 33
- [37] C. E. Kenig, F. Lin, and Z. Shen. Homogenization of elliptic systems with Neumann boundary conditions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26(4):901–937, 2013. doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-2013-00769-9. 35

- [38] J. Kinnunen and E. Saksman. Regularity of the fractional maximal function. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 35(4):529–535, 2003. doi:10.1112/S0024609303002017. 27
- [39] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione. Guide to nonlinear potential estimates. *Bull. Math. Sci.*, 4(1):1–82, 2014. doi:10.1007/s13373-013-0048-9. 2
- [40] Y. Y. Li and L. Nirenberg. Estimates for elliptic systems from composite material. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56:892–925, 2003. doi:10.1002/cpa.10079. 2, 26, 35
- [41] D. Lukkassen, G.Nguetseng, and P. Wall. Two-scale convergence. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2(1):35–86, 2002. 5, 6
- [42] N. G. Meyers and A. Elcrat. Some results on regularity for solutions of non-linear elliptic systems and quasi-regular functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 42:121–136, 1975. 31
- [43] G. Mingione. Gradient estimates below the duality exponent. *Math. Ann.*, 346(3):571–627, 2010. doi:10.1007/s00208-009-0411-z. 2
- [44] F. Murat. Homogenization of renormalized solutions of elliptic equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 8(3-4):309–332, 1991. doi:10.1016/S0294-1449(16)30266-9. 2
- [45] G. Nguetseng. A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 20(3):608–623, 1989. doi:10.1137/0520043. 2, 5, 6
- [46] N. C. Phuc. Global integral gradient bounds for quasilinear equations below or near the natural exponent. *Ark. Mat.*, 52(2):329–354, 2014. doi:10.1007/s11512-012-0177-5. 2, 27
- [47] N. C. Phuc and M. Torres. Characterizations of signed measures in the dual of bv and related isometric isomorphisms. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 17(1):385–417, 2017. 27
- [48] C. Prange. Uniform Estimates in Homogenization: Compactness Methods and Applications. *Journ. Équ. dériv. partielles*, pages 1–25, 2014. doi:10.5802/jedp.110. 26, 35
- [49] H. L. Royden. *Real Analysis*. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, third edition, 1988. 15
- [50] Z. Shen. *Periodic Homogenization of Elliptic Systems*, volume 269 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-91214-1. 26, 29, 30, 35
- [51] L. Tian, L. Tevet-Deree, G. deBotton, and K. Bhattacharya. Dielectric elastomer composites. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 60(1):181–198, 2012. doi:10.1016/j.jmps.2011.08.005. 2, 7, 12, 33
- [52] R. A. Toupin. The elastic dielectric. *Journal of Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 5(6):849–915, 1956, 24900192. 7
- [53] A. Visintin. Towards a two-scale calculus. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 12(3):371–397, 2006. doi:10.1051/cocv:2006012. 5, 6, 24, 31
- [54] A. Zangwill. *Modern Electrodynamics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. 7