QUANTIZATION CONDITION OF THE BOUND STATES IN $e^{-i\pi n/2} \nabla_x^n \Psi = (E-\Delta(x)) \Psi$

XIONG FAN

ABSTRACT. We will prove a general approximate quantization rule $\int_{L_E}^{R_E} k_0 dx = (N + \frac{1}{2})\pi$ for the bound states in the potential well of the equations $e^{-i\pi n/2} \nabla_x^n \Psi = [E - \Delta(x)]\Psi$, where $k_0 = (E - \Delta)^{1/n}$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *n* is an even natural number, and L_E and R_E the boundary points between the classically forbidden regions and the allowed region. The only hypothesis is that all exponentially growing components are negligible, which is appropriate for not narrow wells. Applications including the Schrödinger equation and Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation will be discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The WKB approximation is a classic in quantum mechanics [16, 8]. It is a powerful technique for obtaining approximate wave functions and bound state energies in the Schrödinger equation. The foundation is that potentials change much more slowly than wave functions. The traditional way of the WKB approximation is expanding the wave function of the Schrödinger equation in powers of \hbar , and when $\hbar \to 0$, the result reduces to classical physics. For this reason, the WKB approximation is also named as a semiclassical method. The WKB method adds an additional $\frac{1}{2}h$ to the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule, leading to $\oint pdq = (N + \frac{1}{2})h$, which exactly solves the quantum harmonic oscillator; in addition, the exact quantization condition is recently derived by Ma and Xu [12]. The WKB method is conventionally attributed to Wenzel [17], Kramer [11], and Brillouin [3], who founded the theory to understand the Schrödinger equation, and Jeffreys' work [9] completed before the Schrödinger equation also contributes to this method. One essence of their works is the treatment to turning points, which will also be exploited in this paper. Recent developments in WKB include supersymmetry WKB (SWKB) [4, 10, 6, 5] and semiclassical quantum gravity [1, 14]. SWKB gives exact eigenvalues with known ground-state wave functions for shape invariant potentials. Semiclassical quantum gravity utilizes WKB to solve the famous Wheeler-DeWitt equation. The WKB approximation is commonly applied to second-order differential equations, and it is noticed that this method can be used in higher-order differential equations [2]. Nevertheless, the WKB approximation in higher-order differential equations did not advance.

In this paper, we will discuss the bound states in higher-order differential equations, and prove the general quantization condition shown in the abstract. An illustration of the bound state in the potential well is drawn in Fig. 1. It is found that the general quantization condition is invariant except for the wave vector which changes according to the order of differential equations. Thus, we generalize the WKB result to higher-order differential equations, and the Schrödinger equations are merely a class of important examples in our proof. We shall see that both phase factors and Bessel functions, which are significant for theoretical physics, play pivotal roles in the proof.

Before the proof, let us see the brief procedure first. (1) We find the asymptotic behavior of the wave functions; (2) Use the Frobenius method to find the wave function near turning points, and get $\Psi_i(x)$; (3) Continue with the wave function obtained in the second step, change the variable



FIGURE 1. Illustration of a bound state $\Psi(x)$ in a potential well $\Delta(x)$. The bound state wave function $\Psi(x)$ dissipates gradually within the barrier $\Delta(x)$.

the Cartesian coordinate x to the phase ϕ_0 of the wave function, i.e., $\Psi_j(x) \to \Psi_j(\phi_0)$; (4) Use the Bessel functions to represent $\Psi_j(\phi_0)$, and use $\Psi_\kappa(\phi_0)$ to represent the asymptotic behavior of $\Psi_j(\phi_0)$; (5) Use the continuous condition at the turning points to find the relationship between coefficients in $\Psi = \sum_j \alpha_j \Psi_j$ on two sides of a specific turning point; (6) Let all exponentially growing components vanish, and prove another relationship between coefficients; (7) Finally, compare with the asymptotic behavior acquired in the first step, and then obtain the quantization condition. After the proof, we will give typical physical applications. Last but not least, in the remarks, we will distinguish between odd n and even n cases, and discuss some crucial calculation details, conjectures, etc. Symbols with similar appearances are collected in Appendix E.

2. Bound states in
$$e^{-i\pi n/2} \nabla_r^n \Psi = (E - \Delta(x)) \Psi$$

2.1. $n = 2 * (2n_c)$. n_c is a positive integer. The equation becomes

(2.1)
$$\nabla_x^n \Psi = [E - \Delta(x)]\Psi,$$

where E is a constant, and Δ changes smoothly with x. Now we assume that $\Delta(x)$ has a well shape. $E - \Delta(x) > 0$ in the classically allowed region $L_E < x < R_E$, and $E - \Delta(x) < 0$ in the classically forbidden regions $x < L_E$ and $x > R_E$. L_E and R_E are the left and right boundary points between the classically allowed and forbidden regions. Following Wenzel. *et al.* and Weinberg's perspective and another paper [7], we start from the asymptotic behavior to unearth the bound states of this equation.

2.1.1. Asymptotic behavior. When $x > R_E$, define $\kappa_0 = [\Delta(x) - E]^{1/n}$ with $\arg(\kappa_0) = 0$, the equation becomes $\nabla_x^n \Psi + \kappa_0^n \Psi = 0$. If $\Delta(x)$ is a constant, the wave function Ψ has the solution in the form $e^{\kappa x}$, and κ has n possible values: $e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{n}*1}\kappa_0, e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{n}*3}\kappa_0, \cdots, e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{n}*(n-1)}\kappa_0$. Now we assume that $\Delta(x)$ changes slowly; therefore, the wave function should not change much, and takes the hypothesized form $\Psi = f * \psi$ with $\psi = e^{\int \kappa dx}$. Substituting the hypothesized form into Eq. (2.1) and only keeping first-order differential terms, we have

(2.2)
$$n(\nabla_x f)\kappa + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(\nabla_x \kappa)f = 0.$$

Therefore, $f \propto \kappa^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$. Eq. (2.2) is valid only if other terms are negligible; see Appendix A. To be concise, we omit similar discussions for other regions. We will return to approach this asymptotic formula from the wave functions obtained near the turning points.

2.1.2. Turning points. Near the point R_E , $f \to \infty$. Consequently, the hypothesized form is not appropriate. To tackle this range separately, use the Taylor series to expand $\Delta(x)$, and make the linear approximation: $\Delta(x) = E + \Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}(x - R_E) + O[(x - R_E)^2]$. Near R_E , we have

(2.3)
$$\nabla_x^n \Psi = \left[-\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}(x-R_E)\right]\Psi$$
$$\text{or } \nabla_{x'}^n \Psi = \left[-\Delta_{x'=0}^{(1)}x'\right]\Psi,$$

if we do the substitution $x' = x - R_E$. For simplicity, we still use x to denote x'. We use the Frobenius method to solve this equation. Assume $\Psi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m x^m$. We get the recursive relationship

(2.4)
$$\frac{a_{m+n+1}}{a_m} = \frac{-\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}}{(m+n+1)(m+n+1-1)\cdots[m+n+1-(n-1)]}.$$

Based on the recursive relationship, Ψ has n independent solutions:

(2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_0 &: a_0 \neq 0, a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 0; \\
\Psi_1 &: a_1 \neq 0, a_0 = a_2 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 0; \\
\vdots \\
\Psi_j &: a_j \neq 0, a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 0; \\
\vdots \\
\Psi_{n-1} &: a_{n-1} \neq 0, a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{n-2} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

In short,

(2.6)
$$\Psi_j = \sum_{m_0 = j, \text{ mod}(m-j, n+1) = 0} a_m x^m$$

2.1.3. $\Psi(x) \to \Psi(\phi_0)$. Now we use the phase as the variable of the wave function, and do some approximations to obtain Eq. (2.26). Define $\phi_0 = \int_0^x \kappa_0 dx' = \frac{n}{n+1} [\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}]^{1/n} x^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$. We transform Ψ_0 first.

(2.7)
$$\Psi_{0} = \sum_{m=k(n+1),k=0,1,2,\cdots} a_{m} x^{m} = \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} a_{k} x^{k(n+1)}$$
with $\frac{a_{k}}{a_{k-1}} = \frac{-\Delta_{x=R_{E}}^{(1)}}{[k(n+1)][k(n+1)-1]\cdots[k(n+1)-(n-1)]}$.

Therefore, $a_k = \frac{[-\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}]^k a_0}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k} [k'(n+1)][k'(n+1)-1]\cdots[k'(n+1)-(n-1)]}$. Since $\phi_0^n = (\frac{n}{n+1})^n [\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}] x^{n+1}$, replacing x by ϕ_0 , we have

(2.8)
$$\Psi_0 = \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} a_k x^{k(n+1)} = \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} a_k \phi_0^{kn} (\frac{n}{n+1})^{-kn} [\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}]^{-k}.$$

Let $\overline{a}_k = a_k (\frac{n}{n+1})^{-kn} [\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}]^{-k} = \frac{(-1)^k a_0}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k} [k'n] [k'n-1\frac{n}{n+1}] \cdots [k'n-(n-1)\frac{n}{n+1}]}$. Change the notation $\overline{a}_k \to \overline{a}_{kn}$. In short, $\Psi_0 = \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} \overline{a}_{kn,0} \phi_0^{kn}$.

Similarly, $\Psi_j = \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} \overline{a}_{kn,j} \phi_0^{kn+j\frac{n}{n+1}}$ with

(2.9)
$$\overline{a}_{kn,j} = \frac{(-1)^k a_{0,j}}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k} [k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}][k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-1\frac{n}{n+1}]\cdots[k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-(n-1)\frac{n}{n+1}]}.$$

In the following, we do approximations to $\overline{a}_{kn,j}$, then Ψ can be represented by Bessel functions. We take Ψ_0 as an example. Change the form of $\overline{a}_{kn,0}$ first:

$$\overline{a}_{kn,0} = \frac{(-1)^{k} a_{0,0}}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k} [k'n][k'n-1\frac{n}{n+1}]\cdots[k'n-(n-1)\frac{n}{n+1}]}$$

$$(2.10) = \frac{(-1)^{k} a_{0,0}}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k} 2^{n}[k'n/2][k'n/2-1\frac{n/2}{n+1}][k'n/2-2\frac{n/2}{n+1}]\cdots[k'n/2-(n-3)\frac{n/2}{n+1}]*}{[k'n/2-(n-2)\frac{n/2}{n+1}][k'n/2-(n-1)\frac{n/2}{n+1}]}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{k} a_{0,0}}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k} 2^{n}[k'n/2][k'n/2-\frac{1}{n+1}-\frac{n/2-1}{n+1}][k'n/2-1+\frac{1}{n+1}]\cdots*}{[k'n/2-(n/2-2)-\frac{2}{n+1}][k'n/2-(n/2-1)+\frac{n/2-1}{n+1}][k'n/2-(n/2-1)-\frac{1}{n+1}]}.$$

Let us see the odd terms $[k'n/2 - (n-r)\frac{n/2}{n+1}]$ in the denominator for a fixed k' with r = 2, 4, ..., n:

(2.11)
$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} [k'n/2], [k'n/2 - 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}], \cdots, [k'n/2 - (n-r)/2 + \frac{(n-r)/2}{n+1}], \cdots, \\ [k'n/2 - (n-4)/2 + \frac{(n-4)/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 - (n-2)/2 + \frac{(n-2)/2}{n+1}] \end{array}\right\};$$

and the even terms $[k'n/2 - (n-t)\frac{n/2}{n+1}]$ with t = 1, 3, ..., n-1: (2.12)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} [k'n/2 - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{(n-2)/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 - 1 - \frac{(n-4)/2}{n+1}], \cdots, [k'n/2 - (n-t-1)/2 - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{(t-1)/2}{n+1}], \\ \cdots, [k'n/2 - (n-4)/2 - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+1}], [k'n/2 - (n-2)/2 - \frac{1}{n+1}] \end{array} \right\}.$$

When $\frac{(n-r)/2}{n+1} = \frac{(t-1)/2}{n+1}$, i.e. r+t = n+1, two terms form a pair, and we do the following approximation for such a pair:

$$(2.13) \quad [k'n/2 - (n-r)/2 + \frac{(n-r)/2}{n+1}][k'n/2 - (n-t-1)/2 - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{(t-1)/2}{n+1}] \approx [k'n/2 - (n-r)/2][k'n/2 - (n-t-1)/2 - \frac{1}{n+1}].$$

The approximation is redundant for the n = 2 case. Each term is paired with another term in the symmetric position, after doing the approximation to all pairs for each k', we have

(2.14)
$$\overline{a}_{kn,0} \approx \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{kn}(kn/2)!\Gamma(kn/2 - \frac{1}{n+1} + 1)}$$

with $a_{0,0} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(0-\frac{1}{n+1}+1)}$. Ignoring the difference between = and \approx , $\Psi_0 = \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} \overline{a}_{kn,0}\phi_0^{kn}$.

Before doing similar approximations to $\overline{a}_{kn,j}$, we define $2b = \begin{cases} j, \mod(j,2) = 0; \\ j-1, \mod(j-1,2) = 0. \end{cases}$ I.e., j = 0, 1 correspond to b = 0; j = 2, 3 correspond to b = 1, and so forth. In other words, b is like a staircase, adding one step every time j moves 2 units starting from the origin. Besides, defining $\nu_j = j\frac{n}{n+1} - 2b_j - \frac{1}{n+1}$, we have $\nu_j = \begin{cases} -\frac{j+1}{n+1}, \mod(j,2)=0; \\ \frac{n-j}{n+1}, \mod(j,2)=0; \\ \frac{n-j}{n+1}, \mod(j,2)=0; \\ \frac{n-j}{n+1}, \mod(j,2)=1, \end{cases}$ which will be the subscript parameter of the Bessel function I_{ν_j} .

We discuss even j and odd j separately. When j is even,

$$\overline{a}_{kn,j} = \frac{(-1)^k a_{0,j}}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k} [k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}][k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-1\frac{n}{n+1}][k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-2\frac{n}{n+1}]\cdots *}{[k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-(n-2)\frac{n}{n+1}][k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-(n-1)\frac{n}{n+1}]}$$

$$(2.15) = \frac{(-1)^k a_{0,j}}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k} 2^n [k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}][k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-1\frac{n/2}{n+1}][k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-2\frac{n/2}{n+1}]\cdots *} \cdot \frac{[k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-(n-2)\frac{n/2}{n+1}][k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-(n-1)\frac{n/2}{n+1}]}{[k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-(n-2)\frac{n/2}{n+1}][k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-(n-1)\frac{n/2}{n+1}]}$$

For the odd terms in the denominator for a fixed k' : (r is even)

$$\begin{aligned} & (2.16) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-2\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \cdots, [k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-(n-r)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \\ & \cdots, [k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-(n-4)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-(n-2)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \end{array} \right\} \\ & = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2+(j-2)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \cdots, \{k'n/2+[j-(n-r)]\frac{n/2}{n+1}\}, \\ & \cdots, \{k'n/2+[j-(n-4)]\frac{n/2}{n+1}\}, \{k'n/2+[j-(n-2)]\frac{n/2}{n+1}\} \end{array} \right\} \\ & = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2+\frac{j}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n+1})], [k'n/2+\frac{(j-2)}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n+1})], \cdots, \{k'n/2+\frac{[j-(n-r)]}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n+1})\}, \\ & \cdots, \{k'n/2+\frac{[j-(n-4)]}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n+1})\}, \{k'n/2+\frac{[j-(n-2)]}{2}\frac{1}{n+1}\}, \cdots, \\ \{k'n/2+\frac{j}{2}-\frac{j}{2}\frac{1}{n+1}], [k'n/2+(\frac{j}{2}-(n-r)]\frac{1}{n+1}\}, \cdots, \\ \{k'n/2+[\frac{j}{2}-\frac{(n-4)}{2}]-\frac{[j-(n-4)]}{2}\frac{1}{n+1}\}, \{k'n/2+[\frac{j}{2}-\frac{(n-2)}{2}]-\frac{[j-(n-2)]}{2}\frac{1}{n+1}\} \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the even terms in the denominator for a fixed k' : (t is odd)

$$\begin{array}{l} (2.17) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - \frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - 3\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \cdots, [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - (n-t)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \\ & \cdots, [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - (n-3)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - (n-1)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \end{array} \right\} \\ & = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2 + (j-1)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 + (j-3)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \cdots, \{k'n/2 + [j-(n-t)]\frac{n/2}{n+1}\}, \\ & \cdots, \{k'n/2 + [j-(n-3)]\frac{n/2}{n+1}\}, \{k'n/2 + [j-(n-1)]\frac{n/2}{n+1}\}, \end{array} \right\} \\ & = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2 + \frac{j}{2} - \frac{j+1}{n+1} + \frac{j-n+2}{2(n+1)}], [k'n/2 + (\frac{j}{2} - 1) - \frac{j+1}{n+1} + \frac{j-n+4}{2(n+1)}], \\ & \cdots, \{k'n/2 + (\frac{j}{2} - \frac{n-t-1}{2}) - \frac{j+1}{n+1} + \frac{j-t+1}{2(n+1)}\}, \cdots, \\ \{k'n/2 + (\frac{j}{2} - \frac{n-4}{2}) - \frac{j+1}{n+1} + \frac{j-2}{2(n+1)}\}, \{k'n/2 + (\frac{j}{2} - \frac{n-2}{2}) - \frac{j+1}{n+1} + \frac{j}{2(n+1)}\} \end{array} \right\}. \end{array}$$

Two terms form a pair if $\frac{[j-(n-r)]}{2}\frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{j-t+1}{2(n+1)}$, which is r+t = n+1. For each pair, we do the approximation:

$$\{k'n/2 + [\frac{j}{2} - \frac{(n-r)}{2}] - \frac{[j-(n-r)]}{2} \frac{1}{n+1} \} \{k'n/2 + (\frac{j}{2} - \frac{n-t-1}{2}) - \frac{j+1}{n+1} + \frac{j-t+1}{2(n+1)} \} \approx$$

$$(2.18) \qquad \qquad \{k'n/2 + [\frac{j}{2} - \frac{(n-r)}{2}] \} \{k'n/2 + (\frac{j}{2} - \frac{n-t-1}{2}) - \frac{j+1}{n+1} \}.$$

After doing the approximation to all pairs in each k', we have

(2.19)
$$\overline{a}_{kn,j} \approx \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{kn}(kn/2 + \frac{j}{2})!\Gamma(kn/2 + \frac{j}{2} - \frac{j+1}{n+1} + 1)} \\ = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{kn}(kn/2 + b_j)!\Gamma(kn/2 + b_j - \frac{j+1}{n+1} + 1)}$$

with
$$a_{0,j} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{j}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{j}{2}-\frac{j+1}{n+1}+1)}$$
. Now we have $\Psi_j = \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} \overline{a}_{kn,j} \phi_0^{kn+j\frac{n}{n+1}}$ with the approximated

When j is odd,

$$\overline{a}_{kn,j} = \frac{(-1)^{k}a_{0,j}}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k}[k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}][k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-1\frac{n}{n+1}][k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-2\frac{n}{n+1}]\cdots*} [k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-(n-2)\frac{n}{n+1}][k'n+j\frac{n}{n+1}-(n-1)\frac{n}{n+1}]}$$

$$(2.20) = \frac{(-1)^{k}a_{0,j}}{\prod_{k'=1,2,\cdots,k}2^{n}[k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}][k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-1\frac{n/2}{n+1}][k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-2\frac{n/2}{n+1}]\cdots*} [k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-(n-2)\frac{n/2}{n+1}][k'n/2+j\frac{n/2}{n+1}-(n-1)\frac{n/2}{n+1}]}$$

For the even terms in the denominator for a fixed k': (t is odd)

$$\begin{array}{l} (2.21) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - \frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - 3\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \cdots, [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - (n-t)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \\ & \cdots, [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - (n-3)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - (n-1)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \end{array} \right\} \\ \\ = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2 + (j-1)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 + (j-3)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \cdots, \{k'n/2 + [j-(n-t)]\frac{n/2}{n+1}\}, \\ & \cdots, \{k'n/2 + [j-(n-3)]\frac{n/2}{n+1}\}, \{k'n/2 + [j-(n-1)]\frac{n/2}{n+1}\}, \end{array} \right\} \\ \\ = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2 + \frac{j-1}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2(n+1)}], [k'n/2 + \frac{j-3}{2} - \frac{j-3}{2(n+1)}], \cdots, \{k'n/2 + \frac{j-(n-t)}{2} - \frac{j-(n-t)}{2(n+1)}\}, \\ & \cdots, \{k'n/2 + \frac{j-(n-3)}{2} - \frac{j-(n-3)}{2(n+1)}\}, \{k'n/2 + \frac{j-(n-1)}{2} - \frac{j-(n-1)}{2(n+1)}\}, \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

For the odd terms in the denominator for a fixed k': (r is even)

$$(2.22) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - 2\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \cdots, [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - (n-r)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \\ \cdots, [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - (n-4)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], [k'n/2 + j\frac{n/2}{n+1} - (n-2)\frac{n/2}{n+1}], \\ [k'n/2 + \frac{j-1}{2} + \frac{n-j}{n+1} + \frac{j-(n-1)}{2(n+1)}], [k'n/2 + \frac{j-3}{2} + \frac{n-j}{n+1} + \frac{j-(n-3)}{2(n+1)}], \\ [k'n/2 + \frac{j-[(n-r)+1]}{2} + \frac{n-j}{n+1} + \frac{j-(r-1)}{2(n+1)}], \cdots, \\ [k'n/2 + \frac{j-(n-3)}{2} + \frac{n-j}{n+1} + \frac{j-3}{2(n+1)}], [k'n/2 + \frac{j-(n-1)}{2} + \frac{n-j}{n+1} + \frac{j-1}{2(n+1)}] \end{array} \right\}.$$

An even term forms a pair with an odd term when $\frac{j-(n-t)}{2(n+1)} = \frac{j-(r-1)}{2(n+1)}$, that is r+t = n+1. We do the following approximation for such a pair:

$$[k'n/2 + \frac{j - (n - t)}{2} - \frac{j - (n - t)}{2(n + 1)}][k'n/2 + \frac{j - [(n - r) + 1]}{2} + \frac{n - j}{n + 1} + \frac{j - (r - 1)}{2(n + 1)}] \approx$$

$$[k'n/2 + \frac{j - (n - t)}{2}][k'n/2 + \frac{j - [(n - r) + 1]}{2} + \frac{n - j}{n + 1}].$$

After doing the approximation to all pairs, we have

(2.24)
$$\overline{a}_{kn,j} \approx \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{kn}(kn/2 + \frac{j-1}{2})!\Gamma(kn/2 + \frac{j-1}{2} + \frac{n-j}{n+1} + 1)} = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{kn}(kn/2 + b_j)!\Gamma(kn/2 + b_j + \frac{n-j}{n+1} + 1)}$$
with $a = -\frac{1}{2^{kn}(kn/2 + b_j)!\Gamma(kn/2 + b_j + \frac{n-j}{n+1} + 1)}$

with $a_{0,j} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{j-1}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{j-1}{2}+\frac{n-j}{n+1}+1)}$.

Now we have finished doing approximations to $\Psi_j(\phi_0)$. Before the further transformation, we observe that

(2.25)
$$\sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} \frac{1}{n/2} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^m = \begin{cases} 0, \mod(m,n) \neq 0; \\ +1, \mod(m/n,2) = 0; \\ -1, \mod(m/n,2) = 1, \end{cases}$$

(See Appendix B). Here q has $\frac{n}{2}$ values. It is also crucial to notice that $f \propto \kappa^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \propto x^{\frac{1}{n}\frac{1-n}{2}} \propto \phi_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}\frac{1}{n}\frac{1-n}{2}} = \phi_0^{\frac{2-(n+1)}{2(n+1)}}$, which is a guide to approaching the asymptotic wave functions in Section 2.1.1. Therefore, we transform Ψ_j as follows:

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{j} &= \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{kn}(kn/2+b_{j})!\Gamma(kn/2+b_{j}+v_{j}+1)} \phi_{0}^{kn+j\frac{n}{n+1}} \\ (2.26) &= \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{kn}(kn/2+b_{j})!\Gamma(kn/2+b_{j}+v_{j}+1)} \phi_{0}^{kn+2b_{j}+v_{j}+\frac{1}{n+1}} \\ &= \phi_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{kn}(kn/2+b_{j})!\Gamma(kn/2+b_{j}+v_{j}+1)} \phi_{0}^{2(kn/2+b_{j})+v_{j}} \\ &= \phi_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{m=kn/2} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{2m}(m+b_{j})!\Gamma(m+b_{j}+v_{j}+1)} \phi_{0}^{2(m+b_{j})+v_{j}} \\ &= \phi_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{m=kn/2} \frac{q=\pm 1, \pm 3,\cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)}{2^{2m}(m+b_{j})!\Gamma(m+b_{j}+v_{j}+1)} \phi_{0}^{2(m+b_{j})+v_{j}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n/2} \phi_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3,\cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{2m}}{2^{2m}(m+b_{j})!\Gamma(m+b_{j}+v_{j}+1)} \phi_{0}^{2(m+b_{j})+v_{j}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n/2} 2^{2b_{j}+v_{j}} \phi_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3,\cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}} * \\ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{2m(+b_{j})+v_{j}}}{2^{2(m+b_{j})+v_{j}}(m+b_{j})!\Gamma(m+b_{j}+v_{j}+1)} \phi_{0}^{2(m+b_{j})+v_{j}} \\ m'=m^{+b_{j}} \frac{1}{n/2} 2^{2b_{j}+v_{j}} \phi_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3,\cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}} * \\ \sum_{m'=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{2m'+v_{j}}}{2^{2m'+v_{j}}m'!\Gamma(m'+v_{j}+1)} \phi_{0}^{2m'+v_{j}}. \end{split}$$

2.1.4. Bessel function representation. Without loss of generality, do the transformation $\Psi_j \rightarrow \frac{n}{2}2^{-(2b_j+v_j)}\Psi_j$ to remove a consant. We know that

(2.27)
$$I_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m! \Gamma(m+\nu+1)} (\frac{z}{2})^{2m+\nu}.$$

Now Ψ_i can be represented by n/2 Bessel functions: $(m' \to m \text{ in Eq. } (2.26))$

$$(2.28) \Psi_{j} = \phi_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{2m+v_{j}}}{2^{2m+v_{j}}m!\Gamma(m+v_{j}+1)} \phi_{0}^{2m+v_{j}}$$
$$= \phi_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!\Gamma(m+v_{j}+1)} (\frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q}\phi_{0}}{2})^{2m+v_{j}}$$
$$= \phi_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}} I_{\nu_{j}}(\phi_{q}),$$

where $\phi_q = e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q}\phi_0$.

The asymptotic expansion of $I_{\nu}(z)$ in long distances is [15]

(2.29)
$$I_{\nu}(z) \to \frac{e^{z}}{(2\pi z)^{1/2}} [1 + O(\frac{1}{z})] + \frac{e^{-z + (\nu + 1/2)\pi i}}{(2\pi z)^{1/2}} [1 + O(\frac{1}{z})].$$

As $-e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*(q\pm n)}$, the e^{-z} modes correspond to $q = \pm(\frac{n}{2}+1), \pm(\frac{n}{2}+3), \cdots, \pm(n-1)$, i.e. the n/2 dissipating wave components. Of importance are the phases of the e^z components, i.e. the exponentially growing components. Substitute Eq. (2.29) into Eq. (2.28), we have

$$(2.30) \qquad \Psi_{j} = \phi_{0}^{\frac{2-(n+1)}{2(n+1)}} \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}} e^{(i\frac{\pi}{n}*q)\frac{-1}{2}} e^{\phi_{q}} + O(e^{-\phi_{q}})$$
$$= \phi_{0}^{\frac{2-(n+1)}{2(n+1)}} \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\phi_{q}} + O(e^{-\phi_{q}}),$$

where $(2\pi)^{-1/2}$ is ignored. We use $c_{j,q} = (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_j-v_j-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\Psi_{\kappa=e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q}\kappa_0} = \phi_0^{\frac{2-(n+1)}{2(n+1)}}e^{\phi_q}$ in the following. The asymptotic behavior of Ψ_j evidently matches the formula mentioned in Section 2.1.1.

On the left side of R_E , we have

(2.31)
$$\nabla_x^n \Psi = \left[-\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)} (x - R_E) \right] \Psi,$$
$$\nabla_{x'}^n \Psi = \left[\Delta_{x'=0}^{(1)} x' \right] \Psi$$

with $x' = R_E - x$. For simplicity, $x' \to x$. Again, we apply the Frobenius method. Assume $\overline{\Psi}_j = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m x^m$. We get the recursive relationship

(2.32)
$$\frac{a_{m+n+1}}{a_m} = \frac{\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}}{(m+n+1)(m+n+1-1)\cdots[m+n+1-(n-1)]}$$

Since only a plus/minus symbol is different compared with Section 2.4, we skip similar steps. Define $k_0 = [E - \Delta(x)]^{1/n}$ with $\arg(k_0) = 0$, and $\overline{\phi}_0 = \int_0^x k_0 dx' = \frac{n}{n+1} [\Delta_{x=R_E}^{(1)}]^{1/n} x^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$. We have

$$(2.33) \ \overline{\Psi}_{j} = \sum_{k=0,1,2,\cdots} \frac{1}{2^{kn} (kn/2 + b_{j})! \Gamma(kn/2 + b_{j} + v_{j} + 1)} \overline{\phi}_{0}^{kn+j\frac{n}{n+1}}$$
$$= 2^{2b_{j}+v_{j}} (+1)^{-2b_{j}/n} (+1)^{-v_{j}/n} \overline{\phi}_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{l'=kn/2+b_{j}} \frac{(+1)^{(2l'+v_{j})/n}}{2^{2l'+v_{j}} (l'!) \Gamma(l'+v_{j} + 1)} \overline{\phi}_{0}^{2l'+v_{j}}.$$

Now we need to use a substitution $\sum_{\overline{q}=-\frac{n}{2}+2, -\frac{n}{2}+4, \cdots, \frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{n/2} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*\overline{q}})^m = \begin{cases} 0, & \mod(m,n) \neq 0; \\ +1, & \mod(m,n) = 0. \end{cases}$ Therefore,

$$(2.34)\overline{\Psi}_{j} = \overline{\phi}_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{\overline{q}=-\frac{n}{2}+2, -\frac{n}{2}+4, \cdots, \frac{n}{2}} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*\overline{q}})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*\overline{q}})^{2m+v_{j}}}{2^{2m+v_{j}}m!\Gamma(m+v_{j}+1)} \overline{\phi}_{0}^{2m+v_{j}}$$
$$= \overline{\phi}_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{\overline{q}=-\frac{n}{2}+2, -\frac{n}{2}+4, \cdots, \frac{n}{2}} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*\overline{q}})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!\Gamma(m+v_{j}+1)} (\frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*\overline{q}}\overline{\phi}_{0}}{2})^{2m+v_{j}}$$
$$= \overline{\phi}_{0}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{\overline{q}=-\frac{n}{2}+2, -\frac{n}{2}+4, \cdots, \frac{n}{2}} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*\overline{q}})^{-2b_{j}-v_{j}} I_{\nu_{j}}(\overline{\phi}_{\overline{q}}).$$

For $\overline{\Psi}_j$, we have obtained the phase factor $\overline{c}_{j,\overline{q}} = (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*\overline{q}})^{-2b_j-v_j-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\overline{\Psi}_{k=e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*\overline{q}}k_0} = \phi_0^{\frac{2-(n+1)}{2(n+1)}}e^{\overline{\phi}_{\overline{q}}}$. Since the forms of $\overline{c}_{j,\overline{q}}$ and $c_{j,q}$ are identical, we use $c_{j,\overline{q}}$ to replace $\overline{c}_{j,\overline{q}}$. \overline{q} is sometimes replaced by q if clearness is not damaged. There are n/2-1 exponentially growing components in $\overline{\Psi}_{k=e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*\overline{q}}k_0}$: $\overline{q} = -\frac{n}{2} + 2, -\frac{n}{2} + 4, \cdots, \frac{n}{2} - 2$; while 2 components are the "free particle states": $\overline{q} = \pm \frac{n}{2}$; and n/2 - 1 dissipating components: $\overline{q} = \pm (\frac{n}{2} + 2), \pm (\frac{n}{2} + 4), \cdots, \pm (n-2), n$. The bound-state wave functions on the right of R_E are the linear combinations of Ψ_j : $\Psi_b = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j \Psi_j$, and

on the left side of R_E : $\Psi_b = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \overline{\alpha}_j \overline{\Psi}_j$.

2.1.5. Continuous condition: $\overline{\alpha}_j = (-1)^j \alpha_j$. Using the continuous condition near R_E , we have

(2.35)
$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j \Psi_j &\propto \alpha_j (x - R_E)^j, \\ \overline{\alpha}_j \overline{\Psi}_j &\propto \overline{\alpha}_j (R_E - x)^j, \text{ and} \\ \alpha_j (x - R_E)^j &= \overline{\alpha}_j (R_E - x)^j, \end{aligned}$$

where x is the Cartesian coordinate without any substitutions. Therefore, $\overline{\alpha}_j = (-1)^j \alpha_j$.

On the right side of R_E , the relationship between Ψ_j and Ψ_{κ} can be expressed as

(2.36)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{0} \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_{j} \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{j=0,q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots & c_{j=0,q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots \\ c_{j,q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots & c_{j,q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots \\ c_{j=n-1,q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots & c_{j=n-1,q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\kappa_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_{\kappa_{j-1}} \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_{\kappa_{n}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the last column denotes the elements of n/2 dissipating components.

On the left side of R_E , the relationship between $\overline{\Psi}_j$ and $\overline{\Psi}_k$ can be expressed as (2.37)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Psi}_{0} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\Psi}_{j} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\Psi}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{j=0,q=-\frac{n}{2}+2} & \cdots & c_{j=0,q=\frac{n}{2}-2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{j,q=-\frac{n}{2}+2} & \cdots & c_{j,q=\frac{n}{2}-2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{j=n-1,q=-\frac{n}{2}+2} & \cdots & c_{j=n-1,q=\frac{n}{2}-2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{j=n-1,q=+\frac{n}{2}} & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Psi}_{k_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\Psi}_{k_{j-1}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\Psi}_{k_{n}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the last two columns on the right side of the vertical line represent the elements of n/2-1 dissipating components and 2 free particle components.

2.1.6. $\alpha_j = \alpha_{n-1-j}$. For the bound states, we use the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis. All exponentially growing components are negligible.

This hypothesis includes the exponential growing components from R_E to the right infinity, from L_E to R_E , and from R_E to L_E .

Let us see the case near R_E first. To make these exponential growing components vanish, the right side of R_E gives n/2 equations, while the left side of R_E gives n/2 - 1 equations. Implementing the continuous condition at R_E point $\overline{\alpha}_j = (-1)^j \alpha_j$, we have (2.38)

$$\begin{pmatrix} +\alpha_{0} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{j(q+1)}\alpha_{j} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{q+1}\alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} c_{j=0,q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots & c_{j=0,q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{j,q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots & c_{j,q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{j=n-1,q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots & c_{j=n-1,q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{j=n-1,q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots & c_{j=n-1,q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{j=n-1,q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1)} & \cdots & c_{j=n-1,q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1)} \\ \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\kappa_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_{\kappa_{n/2}} \\ \Psi_{k_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\Psi}_{k_{n/2-1}} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to:

$$(2.39) \quad \begin{pmatrix} c_{q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1),j=0} & \cdots & c_{q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1),j} & \cdots & c_{q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1),j=n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1),j=0} & \cdots & c_{q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1),j} & \cdots & c_{q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1),j=n-1} \\ c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j=0} & \ddots & c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j} & \cdots & c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j=n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=0} & \cdots & c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j} & \cdots & c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} +\alpha_{0} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{j(q+1)}\alpha_{j} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{j(q+1)}\alpha_{j} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{q+1}\alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

i.e.,
(2.40)

$$\begin{pmatrix} +c_{q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1),j=0} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1),j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=-(\frac{n}{2}-1),j=n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ +c_{q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1),j=0} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1),j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=+(\frac{n}{2}-1),j=n-1} \\ +c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j=0} & \ddots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j=n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ +c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=0} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{0} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{j} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

We separate α_0 from the left side, and get (2.41) $\int (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+1,j=1} \cdots (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+1,j} \cdots (-1)^{q+1}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+1,j=1} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+1,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+1,j=n-1} \\ (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j=1} & \ddots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j=n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=1} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=n-1} \\ (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-1,j=1} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-1,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-1,j=n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_j \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+1,j=0} \\ c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j=0} \\ \vdots \\ c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=0} \\ c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-1,j=0} \end{pmatrix} \alpha_0.$$

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+1,j=1} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+1,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+1,j=n-1} \\ (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j=1} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=-\frac{n}{2}+2,j=n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -c_{q=0,j=1} & \cdots & (-1)^{j} c_{q=0,j} & \cdots & -c_{q=0,j=n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=1} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=n-1} \\ (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-1,j=1} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-1,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-2,j=n-1} \\ (-1)^{(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-1,j=1} & \cdots & (-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-1,j} & \cdots & (-1)^{q+1} c_{q=\frac{n}{2}-1,j=n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_{\underline{j}}$ is obtained by replacing \underline{j} th column of A with b. Now we prove $\alpha_{\underline{j}} = \alpha_{n-\underline{j}-1}$. In general, $\det(A) \neq 0$. Remark 4.4 will further discuss this point. It suffices to prove $\det(A_{\underline{j}}) = \det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1})$. Use $A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'}$ to denote the column of $A_{\underline{j}}$ with $\underline{j} = \underline{j'}$, and use $A_{\underline{j}}r_{q'}$ to denote the row of $A_{\underline{j}}$ with q = q'. Accordingly, $A_{\underline{j}} = (A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=1}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=\underline{j}-1}, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=\underline{j}+1}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=n-1})$, and $A_{\underline{j}} = (A_{\underline{j}}r_{q'=-\underline{n}+1}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}r_{q'=0}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}r_{q'=\underline{n}-1})^T$. For $A_{\underline{j}}$, we move the $c_{j'=0}$ column to the first column; for $A_{n-\underline{j}-1}$, we reverse the rows first, then reverse the columns, and move the $c_{j'=0}$ column to the last column. See below,

column operation :
$$\det(A_{\underline{j}}) = \det(A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=1}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=0}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=n-1}) =$$

(2.43) $(-1)^{\underline{j}-1} \det(A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=0}, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=1}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=\underline{j}-1}, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=\underline{j}+1}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=n-1});$

$$\det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}) = \det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}r_{q'=-\frac{n}{2}+1}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}r_{q'=0}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}r_{q'=\frac{n}{2}-1})^{T}$$
$$= (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}r_{q'=\frac{n}{2}-1}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}r_{q'=0}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}r_{q'=-\frac{n}{2}+1})^{T}$$

$$= (-1) \det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}r_{q'=\underline{n}-1}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}r_{q'=0}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}r_{q'=-\underline{n}+1})^{T};$$

and

(2.44)

column operation (after the row operation):

$$\det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}) = -1 \det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=1}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-\underline{j}-2}, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=0}, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-\underline{j}},$$

$$(2.45) \qquad \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-1}) = (-1)^{2} \det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-1}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-\underline{j}}, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=0}, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-\underline{j}-2},$$

$$\cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=1}) = (-1)^{\underline{j}+2} \det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-1}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-\underline{j}}, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-\underline{j}-2}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-\underline{j}-2},$$

Now, we only need to prove

$$\det(A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=0}, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=1}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=\underline{j}-1}, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=\underline{j}+1}, \cdots, A_{\underline{j}}c_{j'=n-1}) = -1 \det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-1}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-\underline{j}}, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=n-\underline{j}-2}, \cdots, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=1}, A_{n-\underline{j}-1}c_{j'=0}).$$

Remove a minus symbol in the column b in both A_j and A_{n-j-1} . Without loss of rigorosity, we always use the same notations $det(A_j)$ and $det(A_{n-j-1})$ by removing a common factor in the following. Currently, after the row and column operations, we have the following form

$$(2.46) A_{\underline{j}} \to \begin{pmatrix} q \ j & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \underline{j} & \cdots & n-2 & n-1 \\ -\frac{n}{2}+1 & & & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & & \\ -\theta & - & - & - & \ddots & - & - & - \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & & & \\ \frac{n}{2}-1 & & & \ddots & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(2.47) \qquad A_{n-\underline{j}-1} \to \begin{pmatrix} q \ j & n-1 & n-2 & \cdots & n & -4 & -\underline{j} & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{n}{2}-1 & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \\ -\theta & - & - & & & & & - & - & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & \\ -\frac{n}{2}+1 & & & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

We notice that q's are symmetric about 0. To calculate the determinant, we use the $r_{q=0}$ row to do the Laplace expansion. Later we will see the benefits.

(2.48)
$$\det(A_{\underline{j}}) = \sum_{j=0,1,\cdots,n-1,\neq\underline{j}} (-1)^{\overline{q}+\overline{j}} (-1)^j c_{q=0,j} M_{\overline{q}\overline{j}},$$
$$\det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}) = -1 \sum_{j=n-1,n-2,\cdots,0,\neq n-1-\underline{j}} (-1)^{\overline{q}+\overline{j}} (-1)^j c_{q=0,j} M_{\overline{q}\overline{j}},$$

where \bar{q} th and \bar{j} th denote the row and column numbers, respectively. $(-1)^j c_{q=0,j}$ is the entry in the $(\overline{q}, \overline{j})$ position, and $(-1)^{\overline{q}+\overline{j}}M_{\overline{q}\overline{j}}$ the cofactor. We now prove that for a specific $(\overline{q}, \overline{j})$,

(2.49)
$$(-1)^{\overline{q}+\overline{j}} (-1)^{j_1} c_{q=0,j_1} M_{1\overline{q}\overline{j}} = -1(-1)^{\overline{q}+\overline{j}} (-1)^{j_2} c_{q=0,j_2} M_{2\overline{q}\overline{j}},$$

where j_1 and j_2 are j's in $A_{\underline{j}}$ and $A_{n-\underline{j}-1}$, respectively. Obviously, $j_1 + j_2 = n - 1$. In the present form of $A_{\underline{j}}$ and $A_{n-\underline{j}-1}$, we notice that two symmetries exist: q's are symmetric about 0, and $j_1 + j_2 = n - 1$ at \bar{j} th column. Besides, $c_{q=0,j} = (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*0})^{-2b_j - v_j - \frac{1}{2}} = 1$. Now we need to prove

$$(2.50) M_{1\overline{a}\overline{i}} = M_{2\overline{a}\overline{i}}.$$

Remember that $M_{1\overline{q}\overline{j}}$ and $M_{2\overline{q}\overline{j}}$ are the determinants of the matrices formed by deleting \overline{q} th row and \overline{j} th column in $A_{\underline{j}}$ and $A_{n-\underline{j}-1}$, respectively. Use the notations $M_{1\overline{q}\overline{j}} = \det(A_{\underline{j}}^{\overline{q}\overline{j}})$ and $M_{2\bar{q}\bar{j}} = \det(A_{n-j-1}^{\bar{q}\bar{j}})$. The entries are $(-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q,j}$. Since $\nu_j = j\frac{n}{n+1} - 2b_j - \frac{1}{n+1}$, we have

 $(-1)^{j(q+1)} c_{q,j} = (-1)^{j(q+1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_j - v_j - \frac{1}{2}} = (-1)^{j(q+1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-j\frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}}.$ According to the Leibniz formula,

(2.51)
$$\det(A_{\underline{j}}^{\overline{q}\overline{j}}) = \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{n-2}} [\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1) \prod_{\overline{q}_1=1}^{\overline{q}_1=n-2} (-1)^{j_1 \sigma_{\overline{q}_1}(q_1 \overline{q}_1+1)} c'_{\overline{q}_1, \sigma_{\overline{q}_1}}], \text{ and} \\ \det(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}^{\overline{q}\overline{j}}) = \sum_{\sigma_2 \in S_{n-2}} [\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_2) \prod_{\overline{q}_2=1}^{\overline{q}_2=n-2} (-1)^{j_2 \sigma_{\overline{q}_2}(q_2 \overline{q}_2+1)} c'_{\overline{q}_2, \sigma_{\overline{q}_2}}].$$

 $j_{1\sigma_{\overline{q}_{1}}}(j_{2\sigma_{\overline{q}_{2}}})$ means the value j at the $\sigma_{\overline{q}_{1}}(\sigma_{\overline{q}_{2}})$ th column in $A_{\underline{j}}^{\overline{q}\overline{j}}(A_{n-\underline{j}-1}^{\overline{q}\overline{j}})$, $q_{1\overline{q}_{1}}(q_{2\overline{q}_{2}})$ is the value q at the $\overline{q}_{1}(\overline{q}_{2})$ th row, and $c'_{\overline{q},\sigma_{\overline{q}}} = c_{q\overline{q},j\sigma_{\overline{q}}}$. In the following, $q \neq 0$, and for simplicity, define $\sigma_{q\overline{q}} = \sigma_{\overline{q}}$. Consider each $\sigma_{1} = \sigma_{2} = \sigma$ permutation term:

$$(2.52) \prod_{\bar{q}_{1}=1}^{\bar{q}_{1}=n-2} (-1)^{j_{1\sigma_{\bar{q}_{1}}}(q_{1\bar{q}_{1}}+1)} c'_{\bar{q}_{1},\sigma_{\bar{q}_{1}}} = \prod_{q_{1}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{1}=\frac{n}{2}-1} (-1)^{j_{1\sigma_{q_{1}}}(q_{1}+1)} c_{q_{1},j_{1\sigma_{q_{1}}}}$$
$$= \prod_{q_{1}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{1}=\frac{n}{2}-1} (-1)^{j_{1\sigma_{q_{1}}}(q_{1}+1)} \prod_{q_{1}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{1}=\frac{n}{2}-1} c_{q_{1},j_{1\sigma_{q_{1}}}}, \text{ and}$$
$$\prod_{\bar{q}_{2}=n-2}^{\bar{q}_{2}=n-2} (-1)^{j_{2\sigma_{\bar{q}_{2}}}(q_{2\bar{q}_{2}}+1)} c'_{\bar{q}_{2},\sigma_{\bar{q}_{2}}} = \prod_{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (-1)^{j_{2\sigma_{q_{2}}}(q_{2}+1)} c_{q_{2},j_{2}\sigma_{q_{2}}}$$
$$= \prod_{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (-1)^{j_{2\sigma_{q_{2}}}(q_{2}+1)} \prod_{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1} c_{q_{2},j_{2}\sigma_{q_{2}}}$$
$$= \prod_{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (-1)^{(n-1-j_{1}\sigma_{q_{2}})(q_{2}+1)} \prod_{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{2}=-\frac{n}{2}+1} c_{q_{2},j_{2}\sigma_{q_{2}}}.$$

Handle two \prod terms separately,

$$(2.53) \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (-1)^{(n-1-j_{1\sigma q_2})(q_2+1)} = \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (-1)^{(n-1)(q_2+1)-j_{1\sigma q_2}(q_2+1)} = \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (-1)^{(n-1)(q_2+1)-j_{1\sigma q_2}(q_2+1)} = (-1)^{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1} (-1)^{(n-1)(q_2+1)-j_{1\sigma q_2}(q_2+1)} = (-1)^{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1} (-1)^{-j_{1\sigma q_2}(q_2+1)} = \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (-1)^{-j_{1\sigma q_2}(q_2+1)} = \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (-1)^{j_{1\sigma q_2}(-q_2-1)} = \prod_{q_1=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_1=\frac{n}{2}-1} (-1)^{j_{1\sigma q_1}(q_1-1)} = \prod_{q_1=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_1=\frac{n}{2}-1} (-1)^{j_{1\sigma q_1}(q_1+1)}.$$

Note that $\sigma_{q_{2=q}} = \sigma_{q_{1=-q}}$. Thus, $\prod_{q_1=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_1=\frac{n}{2}-1} (-1)^{j_{1\sigma_{q_1}}(q_1+1)} = \prod_{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (-1)^{(n-1-j_{1\sigma_{q_2}})(q_2+1)}$. For another \prod ,

$$(2.54) \qquad \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} c_{q_2,j_{2\sigma_{q_2}}} = \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q_2})^{-j_{2\sigma_{q_2}}\frac{n}{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+1}-\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q_2})^{\frac{1}{n+1}-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}\frac{n}{n+1}q_2j_{2\sigma_{q_2}}},$$

(2.55)
$$\prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q_2})^{\frac{1}{n+1}-\frac{1}{2}} = \prod_{q_1=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_1=\frac{n}{2}-1} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q_1})^{\frac{1}{n+1}-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$(2.56) \qquad \prod_{q_{2}=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_{2}+1} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}\frac{n}{n+1}q_{2}j_{2}\sigma_{q_{2}}} = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}\frac{n}{n+1}\sum_{q_{2}=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_{2}-1}q_{2}j_{2}\sigma_{q_{2}}} \\ = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}\frac{n}{n+1}\sum_{q_{2}=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_{2}-1}q_{2}(j_{2}\sigma_{q_{2}}-j_{2}\sigma_{-q_{2}})} \\ = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}\frac{n}{n+1}\sum_{q_{2}=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_{2}-1}q_{2}[(n-1-j_{1}\sigma_{q_{2}})-(n-1-j_{1}\sigma_{-q_{2}})]} \\ = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}\frac{n}{n+1}\sum_{q_{2}=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_{2}-1}q_{2}[(n-1-j_{1}\sigma_{q_{2}})-(n-1-j_{1}\sigma_{-q_{2}})]} \\ = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}\frac{n}{n+1}\sum_{q_{1}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{1}=-1}-q_{1}[(n-1-j_{1}\sigma_{q_{1}})-(n-1-j_{1}\sigma_{-q_{1}})]} \\ = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}\frac{n}{n+1}\sum_{q_{1}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{1}=-1}q_{1}(j_{1}\sigma_{q_{1}}-j_{1}\sigma_{-q_{1}})} \\ = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}\frac{n}{n+1}\sum_{q_{1}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_{1}=-\frac{n}{2}+1}q_{1}j_{1}\sigma_{q_{1}}} .$$

Therefore, $\prod_{q_1=-\frac{n}{2}+1}^{q_1=\frac{n}{2}-1} c'_{q_1,\sigma_{q_1}} = \prod_{q_2=\frac{n}{2}-1}^{q_2=-\frac{n}{2}+1} c'_{q_2,\sigma_{q_2}}.$ Tracing back, we find Eq. (2.50) is proved. Therefore, by the Laplace expansion, we have proved that $\det(A_j)$ and $\det(A_{n-j-1})$ are identical. Till now, we find that on the left side of R_E , $\Psi_b = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \overline{\alpha}_j \overline{\Psi}_j$ with $\overline{\alpha}_j = (-1)^j \alpha_j$ and $\alpha_j = (-1)^j \alpha_j$.

 α_{n-1-j} .

2.1.7. Quantization condition. We observe that all exponentially dissipating components vanish in the range of x far away from R_E and inside the well of $\Delta(x)$. Only two free particle components with the form $e^{\pm ik_0}$ exist. The phase factors of the free particle components are listed below:

(2.57)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Psi}_{0} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\Psi}_{j} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\Psi}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & c_{j=0,q=+\frac{n}{2}} & c_{j=0,q=-\frac{n}{2}} & \cdots \\ \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \cdots & c_{j,q=+\frac{n}{2}} & c_{j,q=-\frac{n}{2}} & \cdots \\ \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \cdots & c_{j=n-1,q=+\frac{n}{2}} & c_{j=n-1,q=-\frac{n}{2}} & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \overline{\Psi}_{k_{q=+\frac{n}{2}}} \\ \overline{\Psi}_{k_{q=-\frac{n}{2}}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $c_{j,q=\frac{n}{2}} = (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_j-\nu_j-\frac{1}{2}} = e^{i[-\pi b_j-\pi(\frac{\nu_j}{2}+\frac{1}{4})]}$ and $c_{j,q=-\frac{n}{2}} = (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-2b_j-\nu_j-\frac{1}{2}}e^{i\pi(\nu_j+\frac{1}{2})} = e^{i[+\pi b_j+\pi(\frac{\nu_j}{2}+\frac{1}{4})]}$, using the last phases in the two formulas to define $\theta_j = \arg(c_{j,q=\frac{n}{2}})$ and $\theta_{j,q} = \arg(c_{j,q})$, then we observe two relationships between these phases: $\theta_{j,q=\frac{n}{2}} = -\theta_{j,q=-\frac{n}{2}}$ and $\theta_j + \theta_{n-1-j} = \frac{\pi}{n}q(-j\frac{n}{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+1}-\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{\pi}{n}q[-(n-1-j)\frac{n}{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+1}-\frac{1}{2}] = -\frac{n}{2}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\pi = -\frac{n}{2}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\pi + \pi$

since $c_{j,q=\frac{n}{2}} = (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{-j\frac{n}{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+1}-\frac{1}{2}}$. The bound states wave function becomes

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{b} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \overline{\alpha}_{j} \overline{\Psi}_{j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \overline{\Psi}_{j} \\ (2.58) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} c_{j,q=+\frac{n}{2}} \overline{\Psi}_{k_{q=+\frac{n}{2}}} + (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} c_{j,q=-\frac{n}{2}} \overline{\Psi}_{k_{q=-\frac{n}{2}}} \\ &\propto \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \left[\frac{c_{j,q=+\frac{n}{2}} \overline{\phi}_{0}^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \overline{\phi}_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{j \int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx'} + \\ c_{j,q=-\frac{n}{2}} \overline{\phi}_{0}^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \overline{\phi}_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{j \int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx'} + \\ &= \overline{\phi}_{0}^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \overline{\phi}_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \left[e^{i\theta_{j}} e^{i \int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx'} + e^{-i\theta_{j}} e^{i \int_{x}^{R_{E}} -k_{0} dx'} \right] \\ &\propto k_{0}^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] \\ &= k_{0}^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] + \\ (-1)^{n-1-j} \alpha_{n-1-j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] + \\ &= k_{0}^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] + \\ (-1)^{n-1-j} \alpha_{n-1-j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] + \\ &= k_{0}^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] + \\ (-1)^{n-1-j+1} \alpha_{n-1-j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] + \\ &= k_{0}^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] + \\ (-1)^{j} \alpha_{n-1-j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] + \\ &= k_{0}^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) \right] + \\ (-1)^{j} \alpha_{n-1-j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' - \frac{1}{2} \pi - \theta_{j}) \right] \right\} \\ \\ &\alpha_{j} = \alpha_{n-1-j} - k_{0}^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} \left[\cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' + \theta_{j}) + \cos(\int_{x}^{R_{E}} k_{0} dx' - \frac{1}{2} \pi - \theta_{j}) \right] \right]. \end{split}$$

In parallel, for the right side of L_E ,

$$\Psi_b^L \propto \overline{\phi_0^L}_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \overline{\phi_0^L}_0^{\frac{-1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \alpha_j^L \left[\cos\left(\int_{L_E}^x k_0 dx' + \theta_j\right) + \cos\left(\int_{L_E}^x k_0 dx' - \frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta_j\right) \right]$$

$$(2.59) \propto k_0^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \alpha_j^L \left[\cos\left(\int_{L_E}^x k_0 dx' + \theta_j\right) + \cos\left(\int_{L_E}^x k_0 dx' - \frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta_j\right) \right].$$

The bound state is the linear combination of the two free particle components in the region $L_E < x < R_E$ where the asymptotic formula is valid. The linear combination coefficients are determined with the provided $\Psi_b^R = \Psi_b$ and Ψ_b^L . Thus, everywhere in this region satisfies both

 Ψ_b^R and Ψ_b^L . The only possibility for the connection between Ψ_b^L and Ψ_b^R is

(2.60)
$$\int_{L_E}^{x} k_0 dx' + \theta_j = -\left(\int_{x}^{R_E} k_0 dx' - \frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta_j\right) + N\pi, \text{ i.e.}$$
$$\int_{L_E}^{R_E} k_0 dx' = N\pi + \frac{1}{2}\pi,$$

where $N = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, i.e. $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

2.2. $n = 2 * (2n_c + 1)$. The procedure parallels the previous section, and the conclusion is identical. The only difference is the plus/minus symbol in front of $\nabla^n \Psi$, which causes the exchange of the arguments of k and κ . On the right side of R_E , $\kappa = \kappa_0, e^{\pm i \frac{2\pi}{n} * 1} \kappa_0, e^{\pm i \frac{2\pi}{n} * 2} \kappa_0, \cdots$, $e^{\pm i \frac{2\pi}{n} * n_c} \kappa_0$ correspond to the n/2 exponentially growing components; $\kappa = e^{\pm i \frac{2\pi}{n} * (n_c + 1)} \kappa_0$, $e^{\pm i \frac{2\pi}{n} * (n_c + 2)} \kappa_0, \cdots, e^{\pm i \frac{2\pi}{n} * 2n_c} \kappa_0, e^{i \frac{2\pi}{n} * n/2} \kappa_0$ indicate the n/2 evanescent components. On the left side of R_E , $k = e^{\pm i \frac{\pi}{n} * 1} k_0, e^{\pm i \frac{\pi}{n} * 3} k_0, \cdots, e^{\pm i \frac{\pi}{n} * (2n_c - 1)} k_0$ denote the n/2 - 1 exponentially growing components; $k = e^{\pm i \frac{\pi}{n} * (2n_c + 3)} k_0, e^{\pm i \frac{\pi}{n} * (2n_c + 5)} k_0, \cdots, e^{\pm i \frac{\pi}{n} * (n-1)} k_0$ correspond to the n/2 evanescent.

components; $k = e^{-n}$ (acrossingle k_0, e^{-n} (acrossingle k_0, \cdots, e^{-n} (correspond to the n/2 evanescent components, and $e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{n}*(2n_c+1)}k_0$ are free particle components. In the matrix A, q's have these values: $-(n/2-1), -(n/2-2), \cdots, 0, \cdots, (n/2-2), (n/2-1)$. The following calculations are straightforward.

3. Applications

3.1. Schrödinger equation and Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation. n = 2 case is the Schrödinger equation $\frac{(-i\hbar\nabla_x)^2}{2m}\Psi + V(x)\Psi = E\Psi$, and WKB gives exact energies of bound states in the quantum harmonic oscillators.

n = 4 case is the Bogoliubov de-Gennes (BdG) equation for the semimetals with parabolic dispersions, which describes superconductors and reads as follows:

(3.1)
$$\begin{pmatrix} H_0 - E_F & \Delta' \\ \Delta'^* & E_F - H_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix},$$

with the original Hamiltonian $H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla_x^2}{2m} \\ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla_x^2}{2m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, Δ' the superconductivity order parameter, E_F the Fermi energy, and the two-component wave functions Ψ and Φ . We discuss the

rameter, E_F the Fermi energy, and the two-component wave functions Ψ and Φ . We discuss the BdG equation in details in another paper where the notations have minor differences [7].

3.2. Multipartite Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations. The BdG equation includes electrons and holes, i.e. two kinds of particles. Inspired by this, we propose a series of multipartite BdG equations which satisfy the formalism in this paper. Let $n = 2^{n_c}$, and see below, (3.2)

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\nabla_x^2 & \Delta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla_x^2 & \Delta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \Delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\exp[i\pi(2^{n_c-1}-1)/2^{n_c-1}]\nabla_x^2 & \Delta & 0 \\ \Delta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \exp[i\pi(2^{n_c-1}-1)/2^{n_c-1}]\nabla_x^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{n-1} \\ \psi_n \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{n-1} \\ \psi_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where Δ is the coupling strength between different parts and the diagonal terms are the expansions of $E^n - \nabla_x^{2n}$. When $\Delta(x)$ changes slowly, the equations can be reduced to our form. The coupling strength between different parts can be non-identical, and we can exchange the diagonal terms. The sole condition is keeping this system of equations in a unidirectional ring.

The multipartite BdG equation is non-Hermitian, and the meaning is not decided yet. One shortcoming is that when $\Delta = 0$, most parts do not have free particle solutions, which may hinder the application to physics.

4. Remarks

Remark 4.1. The hypothesis that all exponentially growing components are negligible is appropriate because the well is assumed to be wide. Otherwise, the asymptotic formulas are invalid. If these components are finite, the wave function cannot be patched within the well, or the wave function diverges outside.

Remark 4.2. The numerical wave functions are not available in our method except for the n = 2 case, since we discard O(1/z) terms in the Bessel functions.[7] The O(1/z) terms multiplied by e^z terms are much larger than e^{-z} terms, and in consequence, even a tiny deviation must destroy numerical wave functions. Hence, the prerequisite of our approximation is a hidden hypothesis that the bound states must exist. As for the n = 2 case, it exactly cancels all O(1/z) terms coincidently. In the Schrödinger equation, the wave function is the linear combination of two Bessel functions, for instance, $I_{\pm 1/3}(z) - I_{-1/3}(z)$ in the classically forbidden region. Since $\nu^2 = (\pm 1/3)^2 = 1/9$, all the e^z terms vanish according to the complete asymptotic expansion of $I_{\nu}(z)$:[15]

(4.1)
$$I_{\nu}(z) \sim \frac{e^{z}}{(2\pi z)^{1/2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}(\nu,m)}{(2z)^{m}} + \frac{e^{-z - (\nu+1/2)\pi i}}{(2\pi z)^{1/2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu,m)}{(2z)^{m}}$$

with $(\nu, m) = \frac{[4\nu^2 - 1^2][4\nu^2 - 3^2]\cdots[4\nu^2 - (2m-1)^2]}{2^{2m} \cdot m!}$ and $(\nu, 0) = 1$. To compare with the numerical results, we obtain the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in the n = 4 case by discretizing the original equation. The comparison is shown in Appendix C.

Remark 4.3. When we use the asymptotic formulas of the Bessel functions, the phase may be different for dissipating components as explained by the Stokes phenomenon [15]. Nonetheless, the Stokes phenomenon does not affect our proof here because these components vanish.

Remark 4.4. $det(A) \neq 0$ is a conjecture. Whether the case det(A) = 0 exists or not is not solved. If det(A) = 0, the consequence is that other bound states exist, because the solution is not unique.

Remark 4.5. In general, for odd n, these bound states do not exist. The reason is that only one free particle component exists in each region. If we let all exponential growing components and a free particle component in the classically forbidden region be zero, we will get n equations for n variables. In general, these equations do not have a nontrivial solution unless the determinant of the coefficient matrix is zero. Whether such special cases exist is not solved. Or, we let the free particle component exist in the classically forbidden region, then the spectrum is continuous, and no bound states exist.

Remark 4.6. As the conclusion is given, we provide an alternative perspective. We consider $e^{-i\pi n/2}\nabla_x^n$ and $E - \Delta$ as two operators, and take an approximate n/2th root of both operators: $(e^{-i\pi n/2}\nabla_x^n)^{2/n} \sim -\nabla_x^2$ and $(E - \Delta)^{2/n} \sim \operatorname{sign}(E - \Delta)|E - \Delta|^{2/n}$. Then all cases are reduced to the Schrödinger-like equation which can be solved by the traditional WKB method. According to this reduced form, the rules of the double-well problem in the Schrödinger equation perhaps apply to the n > 2 cases. In Appendix D, we give numerical examples for this discussion.

Remark 4.7. It is intriguing that the phase factor echoes in this equation after building several physical milestones such as the Ahanov-Bohm effect, Josephson effect, Berry phase, etc.

Remark 4.8. As in the Schrödinger equation, e.g., Reference [13], the further study of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in the these equations with higher n can be topics in functional analysis.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data for numerical simulations are available from the authors upon request.

Acknowledgments

We thank YMSC and BIMSA for open lectures, thank Prof. X. Dai for stimulating discussions, thank Dr. Y. S. An, Prof. H. Q. Lin and Prof. Y. Y. Li for some communications, and thank Prof. S. N. Tan for comments. The author also benefits from Prof. C. P. Sun's inspiring lectures. This work is partly supported by the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (Project No. GRF16300918 and No. 16309020).

References

- Thomas Banks, TCP, quantum gravity, the cosmological constant and all that..., Nucl. Phys. B 249 (1985), no. 2, 332–360.
- [2] Carl M Bender, Steven Orszag, and Steven A Orszag, Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers I: Asymptotic Methods and Perturbation Theory, Vol. 1, Springer Science+ Business Media, 1999.
- [3] Léon Brillouin, La mécanique ondulatoire de schrödinger; une méthode générale de résolution par approximations successives, C. R. Acad. Sci. 183 (1926), no. 11, 24–26.
- [4] Alain Comtet, AndréD Bandrauk, and David K Campbell, Exactness of semiclassical bound state energies for supersymmetric quantum mechanics, Phys. Lett. B 150 (1985), no. 1-3, 159–162.
- [5] Fred Cooper, Avinash Khare, and Uday Sukhatme, Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics, Phys. Rep. 251 (1995), no. 5-6, 267–385.
- [6] Ranabir Dutt, Avinash Khare, and Uday P Sukhatme, Supersymmetry-inspired WKB approximation in quantum mechanics, Am. J. Phys. 59 (1991), no. 8, 723–727.
- [7] Xiong Fan and Xi Dai, Bound states in nonuniform superconductors, PRB (2023).
- [8] David J Griffiths and Darrell F Schroeter, Introduction to Quantum Mechanics, Cambridge university press, 2018.
- Harold Jeffreys, On certain approximate solutions of lineae differential equations of the second order, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 2 (1925), no. 1, 428–436.
- [10] Avinash Khare, How good is the supersymmetry-inspired WKB quantization condition?, Phys. Lett. B 161 (1985), no. 1-3, 131–135.
- [11] Hendrik Anthony Kramers, Wellenmechanik und halbzahlige quantisierung, Z. Phys. 39 (1926), no. 10, 828– 840.
- [12] Zhong-Qi Ma and Bo-Wei Xu, Quantum correction in exact quantization rules, Europhys. Lett. 69 (2005), no. 5, 685–691.
- [13] Barry Simon, Semiclassical analysis of low lying eigenvalues. I. Non-degenerate minima: asymptotic expansions, Annales de l'IHP Physique théorique, vol. 38, 1983, pp. 295–308.
- [14] T.P. Singh and T. Padmanabhan, Notes on semiclassical gravity, Ann. Phys. 196 (1989), no. 2, 296-344.
- [15] George Neville Watson, A treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [16] Steven Weinberg, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [17] Gregor Wentzel, Eine verallgemeinerung der quantenbedingungen f
 ür die zwecke der wellenmechanik, Z. Phys. 38 (1926), no. 6, 518–529.
- [18] Anthony Zee, Group theory in a nutshell for physicists, Princeton University Press, 2016.

Appendix A. Proof of the linear approximation Eq. (2.2)

The first-order differential terms are $n\kappa^{n-1}\nabla_x f + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f\kappa^{n-2}\nabla_x\kappa$, and zeroth differential terms cancel each other. Now we consider other terms. In the first time the operator ∇_x acts on the hypothesized wave function, we get terms proportional to κ and $\nabla_x f$; then ∇_x acts again on the previous result, we get terms $\kappa^{(1)}$, $\nabla_x^2 f$, κ^2 and $\kappa \nabla_x f$; and so on and so forth. Based

on this principle, we can enumerate all possible terms up to a coefficient after the action of ∇_x^n . We express these terms as $f^{(d_1)}\kappa^{d_2}\nabla_x^{d_3}\kappa$ with $\begin{cases} d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = n, & d_3 = 0; \\ d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + 1 = n, & d_3 \neq 0; \end{cases}$, $\nabla_x^0\kappa = 1$; and $d_1 + d_3 > 1$. Because we always assume that the potential varies slowly, we have two features: $\frac{f^{(d_1)}}{\nabla_x f} \ll 1$ with $d_1 > 1$; and $\frac{\nabla_x^{d_3}\kappa}{\nabla_x\kappa} \ll 1$ with $d_3 > 1$. In the asymptotic formula, $\kappa \gg 1$. Therefore, if $d_1 = 0$, we get

(A.1)
$$\frac{f\kappa^{d_2}\nabla_x^{d_3}\kappa}{f\kappa^{n-2}\nabla_x\kappa} \propto \frac{\kappa^{d_2}\nabla_x^{d_3}\kappa}{\kappa^{n-2}\nabla_x\kappa} \ll 1 \text{ with } d_2 < n-2 \text{ and } d_3 \ge 2;$$

if $d_1 = 1$, we have

(A.2)
$$\frac{f^{(d_1)}\kappa^{d_2}\nabla_x^{d_3}\kappa}{\kappa^{n-1}\nabla_x f} \propto \frac{\kappa^{d_2}\nabla_x^{d_3}\kappa}{\kappa^{n-1}} \ll 1 \text{ with } d_2 < n-1 \text{ and } d_3 \ge 1;$$

if $d_3 = 0$, we obtain

(A.3)
$$\frac{f^{(d_1)}\kappa^{d_2}}{\kappa^{n-1}\nabla_x f} \ll 1 \text{ with } d_1 \ge 2 \text{ and } d_2 < n-1;$$

if $d_3 = 1$, we have

(A.4)
$$\frac{f^{(d_1)}\kappa^{d_2}\nabla_x^{d_3}\kappa}{f\kappa^{n-2}\nabla_x\kappa} \propto \frac{f^{(d_1)}\kappa^{d_2}}{f\kappa^{n-2}} \ll 1 \text{ with } d_1 \ge 1 \text{ and } d_2 < n-2;$$

otherwise, the result is

(A.5)
$$\frac{f^{(d_1)} \kappa^{d_2} \nabla_x^{d_3} \kappa}{f \kappa^{n-2} \nabla_x \kappa} \ll 1 \text{ with } d_1 > 1, \ d_2 < n-2 \text{ and } d_3 > 1.$$

Hence, Eq. (2.2) is proper for the asymptotic formula.

Appendix B. Proof of Eq. (2.25)

Eq. (2.25):
$$\sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} \frac{1}{n/2} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^m = \begin{cases} 0, \mod(m,n) \neq 0; \\ +1, \mod(m/n,2) = 0; \\ -1, \mod(m/n,2) = 1. \end{cases}$$

This equation can be easily proved by the representation theory with a few modifications. It is clear that

$$(B.1) \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n}*q})^{m/2} = \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} e^{i\left[\frac{2\pi}{n}(m/2)\right]*q}$$

$$= e^{i\left[\frac{2\pi}{n/2}(m/4)\right]*[-(\frac{n}{2}-1)]} \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} e^{i\left[\frac{2\pi}{n/2}(m/4)\right]*[q+(\frac{n}{2}-1)]}$$

$$= e^{i\left[\frac{2\pi}{n/2}(m/4)\right]*[-(\frac{n}{2}-1)]} \sum_{q'=0, 2, \cdots, n-2} e^{i\left[\frac{2\pi}{n/2}(m/2)\right]*q'/2}$$

$$= e^{i\left[\frac{2\pi}{n/2}(m/4)\right]*[-(\frac{n}{2}-1)]} \sum_{q''=0, 1, \cdots, \frac{n-2}{2}} e^{i\left[\frac{2\pi}{n/2}(m/2)\right]*q''}.$$

Treat $e^{i\left[\frac{2\pi}{n/2}(m/2)\right]*q''}$ terms as the multiplications of different irreducible representations in the equivalence classes of the cyclic group $Z_{n/2}$, then according to the orthogonality theorem,[18]

(B.2)
$$\sum_{q''=0, 1, \cdots, \frac{n-2}{2}} e^{i\left\lfloor\frac{2\pi}{n/2}(m/2)\right\rfloor * q''} = \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} e^{i\left\lfloor\frac{2\pi}{n}(m/2)\right\rfloor * q} = 0,$$

Appendix Table 1. Numerical and WKB results for n = 4.

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 0.6680 | 2.3936 | 4.6967 | 7.3356 | 10.2440 | 13.3789 | 16.7113 |
| 0.5463 | 2.3636 | 4.6705 | 7.3148 | 10.2265 | 13.3638 | 16.6979 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 20.2201 | 23.8890 | 27.7052 | 31.6580 | 35.7386 | 39.9394 | 44.2538 |
| 20.2082 | 23.8783 | 27.6956 | 31.6493 | 35.7308 | 39.9324 | 44.2477 |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 48.6763 | 53.2017 | 57.8256 | 62.5439 | 67.3530 | 72.2495 | 77.2306 |
| 48.6709 | 53.1970 | 57.8216 | 62.5407 | 67.3505 | 72.2478 | 77.2296 |
| | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0.6680\\ 0.5463\\ 7\\ 20.2201\\ 20.2082\\ 14\\ 48.6763\\ 48.6709\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \\ 0.6680 & 2.3936 \\ 0.5463 & 2.3636 \\ 7 & 8 \\ 20.2201 & 23.8890 \\ 20.2082 & 23.8783 \\ 14 & 15 \\ 48.6763 & 53.2017 \\ 48.6709 & 53.1970 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0.6680 & 2.3936 & 4.6967 \\ 0.5463 & 2.3636 & 4.6705 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 20.2201 & 23.8890 & 27.7052 \\ 20.2082 & 23.8783 & 27.6956 \\ 14 & 15 & 16 \\ 48.6763 & 53.2017 & 57.8256 \\ 48.6709 & 53.1970 & 57.8216 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0.6680 & 2.3936 & 4.6967 & 7.3356 \\ 0.5463 & 2.3636 & 4.6705 & 7.3148 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 20.2201 & 23.8890 & 27.7052 & 31.6580 \\ 20.2082 & 23.8783 & 27.6956 & 31.6493 \\ 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\ 48.6763 & 53.2017 & 57.8256 & 62.5439 \\ 48.6709 & 53.1970 & 57.8216 & 62.5407 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

when $-2\pi < \frac{2\pi}{n/2}(m/2) < 2\pi$, i.e. -n < m < n. This conclusion can be extended to the broader range $mod(m,n) \neq 0$. First we need to deal with mod(m,n) = 0 separately. When mod(m/n,2) = 0,

(B.3)
$$\sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^m = n/2;$$

when mod(m/n, 2) = 1,

(B.4)
$$\sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^m = -n/2.$$

Otherwise, for $mod(m, n) \neq 0$, we can always separate a multiple of n from m with the remainder m' so that -n < m' < n, on this account, we have

(B.5)
$$\sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^m = \sum_{q=\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (\frac{n}{2}-1)} \pm 1 (e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}*q})^{m'} = 0.$$

Straightforwardly, we get the paralleled function substituted in Eq. (2.34).

Appendix C. Numerical results for n = 4

The following table shows the eigenvalue E in the numerical results and our method with the harmonic oscillator-type potential well $\Delta = (1/2)\alpha x^2$. By discretizing the original equation, the eigenvalues are obtained by diagonalizing the corresponding matrix. The cut-offs of numerical calculations are $x = \pm 40$, and the dimensions of the discretization are 6000.

From our formula, the eigenvalue is

(C.1)
$$E = \left[(N+1/2) \frac{3\sqrt{\pi} (\alpha/2)^{1/2} \Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(1/4)} \right]^{4/3}.$$

From **Appendix Table 1**, we see that as the number of bound states increases, our approximate results approach the numerical eigenvalues quickly. This performance is not surprising considering that the WKB result is exact for the quantum harmonic oscillator. The ratios between the exact results and the WKB results are identical with varying α according to scale transformations.

The first four bound states wave functions are shown in **Appendix Figure 2**. Akin to the quantum harmonic oscillator, the Nth bound state has N node(s) or zero(s) inside the well, and the parity changes as N adds or decreases one. The tails at two sides are caused by the oscillating damped terms, and the nodes caused by these are not counted. We observe that the tails, which are absent in the Schrödinger equation, are the high-order effect.



Appendix Figure 2. The first four bound states for n = 4. Note that there are tails or small oscillations when the wave functions are damped to zero, which are the high-order effect and absent in the Schrödinger equation as expected from our asymptotic analysis.

APPENDIX D. DOUBLE-WELL PROBLEM

The double-well problem is not directly solved. However, based on Remark 4.6, we may borrow the formulas in the Schrödinger equation [8] to solve the double-well problem in n > 2cases. Numerically, we find that the WKB formulas in the Schrödinger equation perform well in solving the eigenvalues of the bound states in higher-order differential equations. As shown in **Appendix Figure 3**, we discuss the double-well problem for n = 4. Define $\phi_1 = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} k_0 dx$ and $\phi_2 = \int_{-x_1}^{x_1} \kappa_0 dx$ where x_1 and x_2 are the intersection points of E and $\Delta(x)$ on the right-side well as explained in the figure. The bound states are determined by $\tan \phi_1 = \pm 2e^{\phi_2}$, which can be solved numerically. \pm in the Schrödinger equation corresponds to the even/odd parity of the wave function. However, this correspondence becomes ambiguous for n = 4 according to our numerical data which are not shown. Despite the ambiguity of the parity of wave functions, the eigenvalues are well captured. Collecting the intersections points in **Appendix Figure 3**, we list the numerical results and the WKB results in **Appendix Table 2**. As seen the error is small, and the WKB approximation works well.



Appendix Figure 3. (a) The double-well potential for $\Delta(x) = x^4 - 5x^2$; (b) the intersection points between $\tan \phi_1$ and $\pm 2e^{\phi_2}$ are the bound states in (a). x_1 and x_2 are the intersection points of E and $\Delta(x)$ on the right-side well. (c) The double-well potential for $\Delta(x) = x^4 - 10x^2$. (d) The intersection points between $\tan \phi_1$ and $\pm 2e^{\phi_2}$ are the bound states in (c). n=4 for all plots.

Appendix Table 2. Numerical and WKB results for the double-well problem with n = 4.

| $\Delta(x)$ | $= x^4 - 5x^4$ | x^2 | | $\Delta(x) = x^4$ | $^{4}-10x^{2}$ | |
|-------------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| # of states | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| parity | even | odd | odd | even | even | odd |
| NUM | -2.4846 | -1.9661 | -17.7793 | -17.6288 | -3.9839 | -1.9689 |
| WKB | -2.8793 | -2.4093 | -18.9096 | -18.7496 | -3.3296 | -2.0496 |

Appendix E. Notations

| (E.1) | | |
|--|---|---|
| symbol | meaning | first appear around |
| A_j | matrix obtained by replacing j th column of A with b | Eq. (2.42) |
| A_{n-j-1} | matrix obtained by replacing $n - \overline{j} - 1$ th column of A with b | Eq. (2.42) |
| $\alpha_j, \overline{\overline{\alpha}}_j$ | coefficients in $\Psi_b = \sum \alpha_j \overline{\Psi}_j, \Psi_b = \sum \overline{\alpha}_j \overline{\Psi}_j$ | Eq. (2.35) |
| $c_{j,q}, \overline{c}_{j,\overline{q}}$ | phase factor with the parameters j, q | Eq. (2.30) , Eq. (2.34) |
| j | index for the different solutions around the turning points | Eq. (2.5) |
| <u>j</u> | column index of the matrix A | Eq. (2.42) |
| k_0 | wave vector in the classically allowed region | Eq. (2.33) |
| k | wave vector or index | Eq. (2.34) or Eq. (2.8) |
| κ_0 | wave vector in the classically forbidden region | Eq. (2.2) |
| κ | wave vector | Eq. (2.2) |
| $\phi_0, \overline{\phi}_0$ | phase variable corresponding to κ_0, k_0 | Eq.(2.8), Eq.(2.33) |
| $\phi_q, \overline{\phi}_q$ | phase variable corresponding to κ, k | Eq.(2.28), Eq. (2.34) |
| Ψ_b | bound state wave function | Eq. (2.34) |
| $\Psi_j, \overline{\Psi}_j$ | wave functions obtained by the Frobenius method | Eq. (2.5) , Eq. (2.33) |
| Ψ_{κ} | asymptotic component in Ψ_j | Eq. (2.30) |
| $\overline{\Psi}_k$ | asymptotic component in $\overline{\Psi}_j$ | Eq. (2.34) |
| $q, \overline{q} \text{ or just } q$ | the parameter in the wave vector κ, k | Eq. $(2.25)\&$ Eq. (2.34) |
| \overline{q} | the parameter in the wave vector $\boldsymbol{k},$ also used as the row index | Eq. (2.34) , Eq. (2.50) |
| x, x' | normal Cartesian coordinate and the substitutions | Eq. (2.1) , Eq. (2.3) , Eq. (2.31) , Eq. (2.35) |

Email address: xfanam@ust.hk

HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, HONG KONG, CHINA