

Some contributions to k -contact Lagrangian field equations, symmetries and dissipation laws

Xavier Rivas^{a,*}, Modesto Salgado^{b,c,†} and Silvia Souto^{b,c,‡}

^a*Escuela Superior de Ingeniería y Tecnología,
Universidad Internacional de La Rioja, Logroño, Spain.*

^b*Departamento de Xeometría e Topoloxía, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela,
15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain.*

^c*Centro de Investigación e Tecnoloxía Matemática de Galicia (CITMAga),
15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain.*

April 4, 2023

Abstract

It is well known that k -contact geometry is a suitable framework to deal with non-conservative field theories. In this paper, we study some relations between solutions of the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations, symmetries, dissipation laws and Newtonoid vector fields. We review the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations written in terms of k -vector fields and sections and provide new results relating the solutions in both approaches. We also study different kind of symmetries depending on the structures they preserve: natural (preserving the Lagrangian function), dynamical (preserving the solutions), and k -contact (preserving the underlying geometric structures) symmetries. For some of these symmetries, we provide Noether-like theorems relating symmetries and dissipation laws. We also analyse the relation between k -contact symmetries and Newtonoid vector fields. Throughout the paper, we will use the damped vibrating string as our main illustrative example.

Keywords: dissipation theorem, symmetries, Lagrangian field theories, dissipation law, k -contact structure.

MSC 2020 codes: 70S05, 70S10, 70G45, 53C15, 53D10, 35R01

*xavier.rivas@unir.net ORCID: 0000-0002-4175-5157

†modesto.salgado@usc.es ORCID: 0000-0003-3982-1845

‡silviasouto.perez@usc.es ORCID: 0000-0003-0755-1211

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	k -vector fields and integral sections	3
2.2	The tangent bundle of k^1 -velocities	4
2.3	Second-order partial differential equations	6
3	k-contact Euler–Lagrange equations	7
4	Geometric k-contact Lagrangian equations	10
5	Relations between solutions and Lagrangian k-vector fields	13
6	Dissipation laws	15
7	Symmetries	18
7.1	Natural symmetries	18
7.2	Dynamical symmetries	19
7.3	k -contact symmetries	20
7.4	Dissipation laws given by vector fields which are not symmetries	21
7.5	Newtonoid vector fields	22
8	Conclusions and further research	24
9	Acknowledgments	25
	References	25

1 Introduction

Since the 1950s, geometric methods have been used to provide descriptions of mechanical systems and field theories with many applications in mathematics, physics, engineering, etc. Some of the most frequent geometric structures involved in geometric mechanics and field theory are symplectic, k -symplectic or multisymplectic manifolds (see [7, 17, 43] and references therein). In general, all these geometric methods are applied to conservative systems, that is, without any dissipation or loss of energy, both in the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian sides.

In the last decade, the interest in the geometrization of systems with dissipation of energy has risen drastically. Contact geometry [1, 25, 32] is the suitable geometric framework to describe many types of damping [2, 4, 8, 13, 21, 35]. This formulation has proved to be very useful in thermodynamics [3, 45], quantum mechanics [10], circuit theory [26], Lie systems [18] and control theory [40] among others [5, 11, 15, 16, 27, 28]. Also, there have been several generalizations of contact geometry in order to describe non-conservative field theory, namely the k -contact [20, 22, 29], k -cocontact [41] and multicontact [12] formulations. The field equations obtained by means of these formalisms, called the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations, coincide with the ones obtained from the recently devised generalized Herglotz principle [23].

The study of symmetries of dynamical systems is of great interest because it can provide new ways of finding conservation (or dissipation) laws. In addition, reduction procedures can be performed in order to simplify the description of a system whose group of symmetries is known. Since the seminal work by E. Noether [38] (see also [33, 37]), the relation between symmetries and conserved quantities has been a topic of great relevance in mathematical physics and dynamical systems. Since the dawn of geometric mechanics, many papers have been devoted to the study of symmetries and conserved quantities of Hamiltonian and Lagrangian systems [9, 19, 36, 39, 46]. Recently, this study has been performed for contact and cocontact systems [14, 21, 24], where the notion of conserved quantity has to be replaced with the notion of dissipated quantity.

The aim of this paper is to deepen in the study of the symmetries of non-conservative autonomous field theories using the k -contact formalism. There exist many types of symmetries depending on the structures they preserve. In this work, we are focused in natural symmetries (symmetries of the Lagrangian function), dynamical symmetries (those preserving the solutions) and k -contact symmetries (those preserving the underlying geometric structures). Some of these symmetries allow us to obtain dissipation laws following the ideas of E. Noether. Throughout the work, several examples are used, in particular the vibrating string with damping.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to review some basic concepts on k -vector fields, integral sections and SOPDES which are fundamental tools in this paper. Roughly speaking, a SOPDE is a k -vector field whose integral sections are first prolongations of maps defined on the base manifold. In addition, some geometric structures in the tangent bundle of k^1 -velocities of a manifold are introduced using the theory of lifts of functions and vector fields. These structures are necessary to develop the geometrical k -contact equations. In Section 3 we introduce the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations, or Herglotz–Euler–Lagrange equations, and several examples of systems of this form are provided. Section 4 is devoted to describe how to obtain these equations geometrically via Poincaré–Cartan forms. We present an example of the geometric k -contact Lagrangian equations. In Section 5 we discuss the relation between solutions of the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations and the contact Lagrangian k -vector fields, provided by the geometrical k -contact equations.

In Section 6 the notion of dissipation law is introduced and some examples are provided. The characterization of these laws in Lemma 6.5 is a new tool for the study of dissipation law at the rest of the paper. Section 7 is devoted to present several types of symmetry, depending on the structure they preserve. Along this section, several Noether-like theorems relating symmetries and dissipation laws are provided. Finally, we generalize the notion of Newtonoid vector field, see [6], from k -symplectic geometry to the k -contact case and we give some results relating Newtonoid vector fields to k -contact symmetries.

Throughout the paper, all the manifolds are real, second countable and of class \mathcal{C}^∞ , and the mappings are assumed to be smooth. Einstein’s notation for sums over crossed repeated indices is hereafter assumed.

2 Preliminaries

The notion of k -vector field is of great interest in the geometric study of partial differential equations. In this section, we review the main concepts on k -vector fields and integral sections. In addition, we give some insights on the natural structures of the bundle of k^1 -velocities: the Liouville vector field and the canonical k -tangent structure. These structures allow us to define the notion of second-order partial differential equation. For more information, we refer to [17] and references therein.

2.1 k -vector fields and integral sections

Let M be a smooth n -dimensional manifold. Consider the Whitney sum of k copies of its tangent bundle: $\oplus^k TM = TM \oplus \cdots \oplus^k TM$. We have the natural projections

$$\tau_M^k: \oplus^k TM \longrightarrow M, \quad \tau_M^{k,\alpha}: \oplus^k TM \longrightarrow TM, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, k.$$

A k -vector field on a manifold M is a section $\mathbf{X}: M \rightarrow \oplus^k \mathbb{T}M$ of the natural projection τ_M^k . We will denote by $\mathfrak{X}^k(M)$ the set of all k -vector fields on M . Thus, a k -vector field $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M)$ can be understood as a family of k vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, given by $X_\alpha = \tau_M^{k,\alpha} \circ \mathbf{X}$. With this in mind, we can denote $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$. A smooth map $F: M \rightarrow N$ induces the map $\oplus^k \mathbb{T}F: \oplus^k \mathbb{T}M \rightarrow \oplus^k \mathbb{T}N$ given by

$$\oplus^k \mathbb{T}_m F(v_{1m}, \dots, v_{km}) = (\mathbb{T}_m F(v_{1m}), \dots, \mathbb{T}_m F(v_{km})),$$

where $m \in M$, $v_{1m}, \dots, v_{km} \in \mathbb{T}_m M$, and $\mathbb{T}_m F: \mathbb{T}_m M \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{F(m)} N$ is the tangent map of F .

Given a map $\psi: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow M$, we define its **first prolongation** to $\oplus^k \mathbb{T}M$ as the map $\psi^{(1)}: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \oplus^k \mathbb{T}M$ given by

$$\psi^{(1)}(t) = \oplus^k \mathbb{T}_t \psi \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} \right|_t, \dots, \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^k} \right|_t \right) = \left(\mathbb{T}_t \psi \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} \right|_t \right), \dots, \mathbb{T}_t \psi \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^k} \right|_t \right) \right), \quad (1)$$

where $t = (t^1, \dots, t^k)$ are the canonical coordinates of \mathbb{R}^k .

In the same way as one has integral curves of vector fields, the notion of integral section of a k -vector field is defined as follows. Consider a k -vector field $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M)$. An **integral section** of \mathbf{X} is a map $\psi: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow M$ such that $\psi^{(1)} = \mathbf{X} \circ \psi$, namely $\mathbb{T}_t \psi \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \right|_t \right) = (X_\alpha \circ \psi)(t)$ for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$. We say that a k -vector field $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M)$ is **integrable** if every point of M is in the image of an integral section of \mathbf{X} .

Consider a k -vector field $\mathbf{X} = (X_\alpha)$ with local expression $X_\alpha = X_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ in a coordinate system (x^i) on $U \subset M$. Then, $\psi: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow M$ is an integral section of \mathbf{X} if, and only if, it is a solution of the system of partial differential equations

$$\left. \frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \right|_t = X_\alpha^i(\psi(t)).$$

A k -vector field $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ on M is integrable if, and only if, $[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = 0$ for every α, β , which are precisely the necessary and sufficient conditions for the integrability of the above system of partial differential equations [34]. Consider a diffeomorphism $\Phi: M \rightarrow M$ and a k -vector field $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ on M . If ψ is an integral map of \mathbf{X} , then $\Phi \circ \psi$ is an integral section of $\Phi_* \mathbf{X} = (\Phi_* X_\alpha)$. In particular, if \mathbf{X} is integrable then so is $\Phi_* \mathbf{X}$.

2.2 The tangent bundle of k^1 -velocities

Let $\tau_Q: \mathbb{T}Q \rightarrow Q$ be the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold Q . The vector bundle $\oplus^k \mathbb{T}Q$ is called **the tangent bundle of k^1 -velocities of Q** (see [17]).

If (q^i) are local coordinates on $U \subseteq Q$, the natural coordinates (q^i, v^i) on $\mathbb{T}U = \tau_Q^{-1}(U)$, namely

$$q^i(v_q) = q^i(q), \quad v^i(v_q) = v_q(q^i),$$

induce natural coordinates (q^i, v_α^i) , with $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$, on $(\tau_Q^k)^{-1}(U)$ which are given by

$$q^i(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}) = q^i(q), \quad v_\alpha^i(v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}) = v_{\alpha q}(q^i).$$

Lifts of functions. If f is a differentiable function on Q , the vertical lift f^V and the α -lift $f^{(\alpha)}$ of f , are the functions on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$ given by

$$f^V(v_q) = (\tau_Q^k)^* f(v_q) = f(q), \quad f^{(\alpha)}(v_q) = v_{\alpha_q}(f) \equiv v_\alpha^i(v_q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} \Big|_q, \quad \text{for every } \alpha = 1, \dots, k.$$

Since $(q^i)^V = q^i$ and $(q^i)^{(\alpha)} = v_\alpha^i$, we deduce that vector fields on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$ are characterized by its action on vertical and α -lifts of functions.

Lifts of vector fields. Given a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$, the vertical α -lifts X^{V_α} , and the complete lift X^C are the vector fields on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} X^{V_\alpha}(f^V) &= 0, & X^{V_\alpha}(f^{(\beta)}) &= \delta_\beta^\alpha (X(f))^{V_\alpha}, \\ X^C(f^V) &= (X(f))^V, & X^C(f^{(\alpha)}) &= (X(f))^{(\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$.

Taking adapted coordinates (q^i, v_α^i) on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$, if $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$, then

$$X^{V_\alpha} = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha^i}, \quad X^C = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + v_\alpha^j \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial q^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha^i}, \quad (2)$$

and we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \right)^{V_\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha^i}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \right)^C = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}. \quad (3)$$

As a consequence of (2) and (3) we deduce that the tensor fields of type (1,1) on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$ are characterized by the action on these lifts of vector fields.

The **Liouville vector field** $\Delta \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q)$ is the vector field defined by $\Delta(f^V) = 0$ and $\Delta(f^{(\alpha)}) = f^{(\alpha)}$. In adapted coordinates, it reads

$$\Delta = v_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha^i}.$$

Remark 2.1.

- (1) If X is a vector field on Q with local one-parameter group $\phi_t : Q \rightarrow Q$, then X^C is the infinitesimal generator of the flow $\text{T}\phi_t : \oplus^k \text{T}Q \rightarrow \oplus^k \text{T}Q$.
- (2) Δ is the infinitesimal generator of the flow $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times \oplus^k \text{T}Q \rightarrow \oplus^k \text{T}Q$, $\phi(t, (v_{1_q}, \dots, v_{k_q})) = (e^t v_{1_q}, \dots, e^t v_{k_q})$.

The **canonical k -tangent structure** is the family (J^1, \dots, J^k) of tensor fields of type (1,1) defined by $J^\alpha(X^C) = X^{V_\alpha}$ and $J^\alpha(X^{V_\alpha}) = 0$. In local adapted coordinates it is written as

$$J^\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \otimes dq^i.$$

2.3 Second-order partial differential equations

Since $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \oplus^k \text{T}Q$ is a trivial vector bundle, the canonical structures in $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$ (the canonical k -tangent structure and the Liouville vector field described above) can be extended to $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ in a natural way, and are denoted with the same notation (J^α and Δ).

Definition 2.2. Consider a map $\phi_s: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\phi_s(t) = (\phi(t), s^\alpha(t))$, where $\phi: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q$. The **first prolongation** of ϕ_s to $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is the map $\phi_s^{(1)}: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ given by

$$\phi_s^{(1)}(t) = (\phi^{(1)}(t), s^\alpha(t)),$$

where

$$\phi^{(1)}(t) = \left(\mathbb{T}_t \phi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} \Big|_t \right), \dots, \mathbb{T}_t \phi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^k} \Big|_t \right) \right)$$

is the first prolongation of ϕ to $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$ defined in (1), and (t^1, \dots, t^k) are the canonical coordinates of \mathbb{R}^k .

In local coordinates, if $\phi(t) = (\phi^i(t))$, then we have

$$\phi_s^{(1)}(t) = \left(\phi^i(t), \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t, s^\alpha(t) \right).$$

Definition 2.3. A k -vector field $\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k)$ on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is a **second-order partial differential equation** (or a SOPDE) if, and only if, $J^\alpha(\Gamma_\alpha) = \Delta$.

In local adapted coordinates, a SOPDE $\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k)$ is given by

$$\Gamma_\alpha = v_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\beta^i} + \Gamma_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, k, \quad (4)$$

where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i$ and Γ_α^β are smooth functions defined on domains of induced charts on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$.

If $\psi: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$, locally given by $\psi(t) = (\psi^i(t), \psi_\alpha^i(t), s^\alpha(t))$, is an integral section of a SOPDE $\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k)$, from Definition 2.2 and equation (4), it follows that

$$\frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t = \psi_\alpha^i(t), \quad \frac{\partial \psi_\beta^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i(\psi(t)), \quad \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t = \Gamma_\alpha^\beta(\psi(t)).$$

Then, we have

$$\psi(t) = (\psi^i(t), \psi_\alpha^i(t), s^\alpha(t)) = \phi_s^{(1)}(t) = (\phi^{(1)}(t), s^\alpha(t)), \quad \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t = \Gamma_\alpha^\beta(\phi_s^{(1)}),$$

where $\phi = \text{pr}_Q \circ \psi: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \xrightarrow{\psi} \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_Q} Q$.

Thus we obtain the following characterization for the integral maps of a SOPDE.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k)$ be an integrable SOPDE on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$. If $\psi: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, then

$$\psi(t) = (\phi^{(1)}(t), s^\alpha(t)) = \phi_s^{(1)}(t),$$

and $\phi_s(t) = (\phi^i(t), s^\alpha(t))$ is a solution of the following system of second-order partial differential equations

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \Big|_t = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i \left(\phi^j(t), \frac{\partial \phi^j}{\partial t^\gamma} \Big|_t, s^\gamma(t) \right), \quad \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t = \Gamma_\alpha^\beta \left(\phi^j(t), \frac{\partial \phi^j}{\partial t^\gamma} \Big|_t, s^\gamma(t) \right). \quad (5)$$

Conversely, if $\phi_s: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is a map satisfying the system (5), then $\phi_s^{(1)}$ is an integral section of $\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k)$.

Definition 2.5. A map $\phi_s: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfying (5) is called a **solution** of the SOPDE vector field $\mathbf{\Gamma}$.

3 k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations

In this section we introduce the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations, also called Herglotz–Euler–Lagrange equations, and several examples of systems of this form are provided.

The k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations for a Lagrangian function $L: \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ read

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) \circ \phi_s^{(1)}, \quad \frac{\partial s^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} = L \circ \phi_s^{(1)}, \quad (6)$$

for a map $\phi_s: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $\phi_s(t) = (\phi(t), s^\alpha(t))$, where $\phi: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q$. Equations (6) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_\alpha^i \partial v_\beta^j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi^j}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_\alpha^i} \frac{\partial \phi^j}{\partial t^\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i}, \quad \frac{\partial s^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} = L, \quad (7)$$

where $\phi_s^{(1)}(t) = \left(\phi^j(t), \frac{\partial \phi^j}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t, s^\alpha(t) \right)$, with $t \in \mathbb{R}^k$, which represents a system of second-order partial differential equations on $Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$. It is important to point out that these equations can be obtained from two different variational principles [23] generalizing the usual Herglotz variational principle [31] and the variational principle for the k -symplectic Euler–Lagrange equations [17, Sec. 6.2]. Throughout the paper, we will call solutions of equations (6) to the maps ϕ_s or $\phi_s^{(1)}$ indistinctly, since we are only considering solutions which are first prolongations of maps $\phi_s: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$.

Remark 3.1. In the case $k = 1$, equations (6) are Herglotz’s equations (see [31])

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} \circ \gamma \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \circ \gamma = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} \right) \circ \gamma, \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = L \circ \gamma,$$

for a Lagrangian function $L: \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with solution a curve $\gamma(t) = (q^i(t), \dot{q}^i(t), s(t))$ on $\text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.2. Note that when the Lagrangian function L does not depend on the variables s^α , for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$, then the above equations are the Euler–Lagrange field equations for an autonomous Lagrangian $L(q^i, v_\alpha^i)$ defined on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$.

Let us see some examples of systems modelled by second-order partial differential equations which can be described by means of k -contact systems (see [20]).

Example 3.3. (The damped vibrating string). It is well known that a vibrating string can be described using the k -symplectic Lagrangian formalism. Consider the coordinates (t, x) for the time and the space. Denote by ϕ the separation of a point in the string from its equilibrium point, and hence v_t and v_x will denote the derivative of ϕ with respect to the two independent variables. The Lagrangian function $L_o: \oplus^2 \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for this system is given by

$$L_o(q, v_t, v_x) = \frac{1}{2}\rho v_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}\tau v_x^2, \quad (8)$$

where ρ is the linear mass density of the string and τ is the tension of the string. We assume that these quantities are constant. The Euler–Lagrange equation for this Lagrangian density is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2},$$

where $c^2 = \frac{\tau}{\rho}$, which is the one-dimensional wave equation.

In order to model a vibrating string with linear damping, we modify the Lagrangian function (8) so that it becomes a k -contact Lagrangian function [22]. The new Lagrangian function L is defined in the phase bundle $\oplus^2 \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, endowed with coordinates (q, v_t, v_x, s^t, s^x) , as

$$L(q, v_t, v_x, s^t, s^x) = L_o - \gamma s^t = \frac{1}{2}\rho v_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}\tau v_x^2 - \gamma s^t. \quad (9)$$

Consider a solution $\phi_s: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with

$$\phi_s(t, x) = (\phi(t, x), s^t(t, x), s^x(t, x)),$$

where $\phi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The first prolongation of ϕ_s is the map $\phi_s^{(1)}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \oplus^2 \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ given by

$$\phi_s^{(1)}(t, x) = \left(\phi(t, x), \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \Big|_{(t,x)}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \Big|_{(t,x)}, s^t(t, x), s^x(t, x) \right).$$

The k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7), for the Lagrangian L , become

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial s^1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s^2}{\partial x} = L \circ \phi_s^{(1)}. \quad (10)$$

The first equation corresponds to a vibrating string with damping.

Example 3.4. (Two coupled vibrating strings with damping). Consider a system of two coupled strings with damping. The configuration manifold of the system is $Q = \mathbb{R}^2$ equipped with coordinates (q^1, q^2) , where each coordinate represent the displacement of each string. The Lagrangian phase bundle of this system is $M = \oplus^2 \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with natural coordinates $(q^1, q^2, v_1^t, v_2^t, v_1^x, v_2^x, s^t, s^x)$. Consider the Lagrangian function $L: \oplus^2 \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$L(q^1, q^2, v_1^t, v_2^t, v_1^x, v_2^x, s^t, s^x) = \frac{1}{2} ((v_1^t)^2 + (v_2^t)^2 - (v_1^x)^2 - (v_2^x)^2) - C(z) - \gamma s^t,$$

where γ is a friction coefficient and C is a function that represents a coupling of the two strings that depends only on $z = \sqrt{(q^1)^2 + (q^2)^2}$.

The maps $\phi_s^{(1)} = \left(\phi^1, \phi^2, \frac{\partial \phi^1}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \phi^1}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi^2}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \phi^2}{\partial x}, s^t, s^x \right)$ solution to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (6) satisfy the system of partial differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \phi^1}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi^1}{\partial x^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial \phi^1}{\partial t} + C'(z) \frac{\phi^1}{z} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \phi^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi^2}{\partial x^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial \phi^2}{\partial t} + C'(z) \frac{\phi^2}{z} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial s^t}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s^x}{\partial x} &= L \circ \phi_s. \end{aligned}$$

The first two equations correspond to two damped coupled strings with coupling function C . The Hamiltonian formulation of this system was studied in [22].

Example 3.5. (The telegrapher’s equation). The current and voltage on a uniform electrical transmission line is described by the so-called *telegrapher’s equations* [30, p. 306], [44, p. 653]:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -L \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} - RI, \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} = -C \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - GV, \end{cases}$$

where $V(x, t)$ is the voltage, $I(x, t)$ is the current, L is the inductance, R is the resistance, C is the capacitance, and G is the conductance. This system can be uncoupled, obtaining the system of second-order partial differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} = LC \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} + (LG + RC) \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + RGV, \\ \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} = LC \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial t^2} + (LG + RC) \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + RGI. \end{cases}$$

Note that both equations in the system above are identical, and are also known as telegrapher’s equation. Both of them can be written as

$$\square q + \gamma \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + m^2 q = 0, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{LG + RC}{LC}, \quad m^2 = \frac{RG}{LC}, \quad \square = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{LC} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}.$$

Equation (11) is the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equation (see [29]) for the Lagrangian function $L : \oplus^2 \text{TR} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$L(q, v_t, v_x, s^t, s^x) = \frac{1}{2} v_t^2 - \frac{1}{2LC} v_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 q^2 - \gamma s^t.$$

Example 3.6. (Laplace’s equation with damping). It is well known that Laplace’s equation is

$$\Delta \phi := \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_n^2} = 0,$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and it can be understood as the Euler–Lagrange equation for a Lagrangian $L_\circ : \oplus^n \text{TR} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$L_\circ(q, v_1, \dots, v_n) = \frac{1}{2} (v_1^2 + \dots + v_n^2).$$

Consider now the phase bundle $\oplus^n \text{T}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with canonical coordinates $(q, v_1, \dots, v_n, s^1, \dots, s^n)$ and the n -contact Lagrangian function $L: \oplus^n \text{T}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$L = L_o - \gamma_i s^i,$$

where $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. The n -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7) for this Lagrangian L become

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_n^2} + \gamma_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial s^1}{\partial x_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial s^n}{\partial x_n} = L, \quad (12)$$

where $\phi_s^{(1)}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \oplus^n \text{T}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, is the first prolongation of the map ϕ , given by $\phi_s^{(1)} = \left(\phi, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_n}, s^1, \dots, s^n \right)$.

Note that the first equation in (12) is an elliptic partial differential equation that can be understood as a Laplace’s equation with some additional first-order terms.

4 Geometric k -contact Lagrangian equations

In this section we review some of the main aspects of the k -contact Lagrangian formalism for non-conservative field theories, first introduced in [22].

Definition 4.1. A **Lagrangian function** is a function $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.

- The **Lagrangian energy** associated to L is the function defined by $E_L = \Delta(L) - L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.
- The **Poincaré–Cartan forms** associated to L are

$$\theta_L^\alpha = dL \circ J^\alpha \in \Omega^1(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k).$$

- We define the following one-forms associated to L

$$\eta_L^\alpha = ds^\alpha - \theta_L^\alpha \in \Omega^1(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k),$$

called **contact Lagrangian one-forms**.

Note that the contact Lagrangian forms introduced above are not contact forms. However, in favourable cases, they define a k -contact structure on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$, motivating their name. In natural coordinates, the local expressions of the objects introduced in Definition 4.1 are

$$E_L = v_\alpha^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} - L, \quad (13)$$

$$\eta_L^\alpha = ds^\alpha - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} dq^i, \quad d\eta_L^\alpha = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_\alpha^i} dq^i \wedge dq^j + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_\beta^j \partial v_\alpha^i} dq^i \wedge dv_\beta^j + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} dq^i \wedge ds^\beta. \quad (14)$$

Definition 4.2. A Lagrangian function $L: \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **regular** if the Hessian of the Lagrangian function L with respect to the fibre coordinates, namely

$$g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_\alpha^i \partial v_\beta^j},$$

has maximal rank nk on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Otherwise, the Lagrangian function is **singular**.

Remark 4.3. A Lagrangian function $L : \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is regular if, and only if, the family of k differential one-forms $\eta_L^1, \dots, \eta_L^k$ defines a k -contact structure on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$. In this case, $(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k, \eta_L^\alpha)$ becomes a k -**contact manifold** (see [20]).

Using the forms η_L^α we can write equations (6) as follows

$$\begin{cases} i_{\text{T}\phi_s^{(1)}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \right) d\eta_L^\alpha = \left(dE_L + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha \right) \circ \phi_s^{(1)}, \\ i_{\text{T}\phi_s^{(1)}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \right) \eta_L^\alpha = -E_L \circ \phi_s^{(1)}, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\text{T}_t \phi_s^{(1)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t \right) = \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \Big|_{\phi_s^{(1)}(t)} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \Big|_t \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\beta^i} \Big|_{\phi_s^{(1)}(t)} + \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\beta} \Big|_{\phi_s^{(1)}(t)}.$$

Definition 4.4. The **geometric k -contact Lagrangian equations** for a k -vector field $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ are

$$\begin{cases} i_{X_\alpha} d\eta_L^\alpha = dE_L + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha, \\ i_{X_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha = -E_L. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

We will denote by $\mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ the set of k -**contact Lagrangian k -vector fields**, namely the k -vector fields $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$, which are solutions to the equations (16).

For a k -vector field $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ with local expression

$$X_\alpha = X_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + X_{\alpha\beta}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\beta^i} + X_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\beta},$$

equations (16) read

$$0 = (X_\alpha^j - v_\alpha^j) \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^j}, \quad (17)$$

$$0 = (X_\alpha^j - v_\alpha^j) \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_\beta^i \partial v_\alpha^j}, \quad (18)$$

$$0 = (X_\alpha^j - v_\alpha^j) \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v_\alpha^j} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - X_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} - X_\alpha^j \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_\alpha^i} - X_{\alpha\beta}^j \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_\beta^j \partial v_\alpha^i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i}, \quad (19)$$

$$0 = L + (X_\alpha^j - v_\alpha^j) \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} - X_\alpha^\alpha. \quad (20)$$

If L is a regular Lagrangian, equations (18) lead to $X_\alpha^j = v_\alpha^j$, which are the SOPDE condition for the k -vector field \mathbf{X} . Then, (17) holds identically, and (19) and (20) give

$$X_{\alpha\beta}^j \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_\beta^j \partial v_\alpha^i} + v_\alpha^j \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_\alpha^i} + X_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i}, \quad X_\alpha^\alpha = L. \quad (21)$$

The following lemma is a direct consequence of equations (18), (19) and (20).

Lemma 4.5. Consider a Lagrangian function $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.

- (1) *If L is a regular Lagrangian, then any k -vector field $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ is a SOPDE, which is locally given by formula (4) and satisfies equations (21). Moreover, if \mathbf{X} is integrable, its integral sections are canonical lifts $\phi_s^{(1)}$ of solutions of the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (6).*
- (2) *If \mathbf{X} is SOPDE and $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ then it is locally given by formula (4) and satisfies equations (21).*

Remark 4.6. The results of Lemma 4.5 are the foundations of the k -contact Lagrangian formalism, and equations (16) can be seen as a geometric version of the k -contact Euler–Lagrange field equations (7).

Remark 4.7. Notice that the particular case $k = 1$ gives the contact Lagrangian formalism for mechanical systems with dissipation [21].

In the following example we look for solutions $(X_1, X_2) \in \mathfrak{X}_L^2(\oplus^2 \text{T}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ of the geometric k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (16) for the regular Lagrangian describing the damped vibrating string, and we give an example of an integrable SOPDE which is a solution.

Example 4.8. Let us consider again the vibrating string with damping introduced in Example 3.3. Recall that the Lagrangian function $L : \oplus^2 \text{T}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of this system given by (9) is regular. The Lagrangian energy associated to the Lagrangian function L is

$$E_L = \Delta(L) - L = \frac{1}{2}\rho v_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}\tau v_x^2 + \gamma s^t,$$

and the contact one-forms are

$$\eta_L^t = ds^t - \theta_L^t = ds^t - \rho v_t dq, \quad \eta_L^x = ds^x - \theta_L^x = ds^x + \tau v_x dq.$$

Consider now a two-vector field $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2) \in \mathfrak{X}^2(\oplus^2 \text{T}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ with local expression

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + F_{1t} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_t} + F_{1x} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_x} + g_1^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s^t} + g_1^x \frac{\partial}{\partial s^x}, \\ X_2 &= f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + F_{2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_t} + F_{2x} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_x} + g_2^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s^t} + g_2^x \frac{\partial}{\partial s^x}. \end{aligned}$$

The first equation in (16) reads

$$\rho f_1 dv_t - \rho F_{1t} dq - \tau f_2 dv_x + \tau F_{2x} dq = \rho v_t dv_t - \tau v_x dv_x + \gamma \rho v_t dq,$$

which yields the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} -\rho F_{1t} + \tau F_{2x} &= \gamma \rho v_t && \text{(coefficients in } du), \\ f_1 &= v_t && \text{(coefficients in } dv_t), \\ f_2 &= v_x && \text{(coefficients in } dv_x). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\tag{23}$$

Notice that the equations (22) and (23) above are the SOPDE conditions for the two-vector field \mathbf{X} . On the other hand, the second equation in (16) gives the condition

$$g_1^t + g_2^x = \frac{1}{2}\rho v_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}\tau v_x^2 - \gamma s^t = L.$$

Hence, the two-vector field \mathbf{X} solution has the local expression

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= v_t \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \left(\frac{\tau}{\rho} F_{2x} - \gamma v_t \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_t} + F_{1x} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_x} + (L - g_2^x) \frac{\partial}{\partial s^t} + g_1^x \frac{\partial}{\partial s^x}, \\ X_2 &= v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + F_{2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_t} + F_{2x} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_x} + g_2^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s^t} + g_2^x \frac{\partial}{\partial s^x}, \end{aligned}$$

where the functions $F_{1x}, F_{2t}, F_{2x}, g_1^x, g_2^t, g_2^x$ remain undetermined.

In order to give an example of an integrable SOPDE solution to (16), we assume

- the functions $F_{1x} = F_{2t} = g_1^x = g_2^t = 0$,
- the functions g_2^x only depend on the variables v_x ,
- $\frac{\partial F_2^x}{\partial s^x} = 0, \frac{\partial F_{2x}}{\partial v_t} \neq 0, \frac{\partial F_{2x}}{\partial s^t} \neq 0$.

In this case, the integrability conditions, $[\Gamma_\alpha, \Gamma_\beta] = 0$ for every α, β , are

$$\frac{\partial F_{2x}}{\partial v_x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial g_2^x}{\partial v_x} = -\tau v_x, \quad \frac{\tau}{\rho} F_{2x} - \gamma v_t + L - g_2^x = 0.$$

Then, an integrable SOPDE (X_1, X_2) is

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= v_t \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} \rho v_t^2 + \gamma s^t \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_t} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho v_t^2 - \gamma s^t \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s^t}, \\ X_2 &= v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \left(\frac{\gamma \rho}{\tau} v_t - \frac{\rho^2}{2\tau} v_t^2 + \frac{\gamma \rho}{\tau} s^t \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_x} - \frac{1}{2} \tau v_x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial s^x}, \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 4.5, any integral section of (X_1, X_2) is a canonical lift of a solution ϕ_s of the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (10).

5 Relations between solutions and Lagrangian k -vector fields

Now we characterize, locally and globally, the set of SOPDES in $\mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be a Lagrangian function and $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ a k -vector field. Then,*

- (1) $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ if, and only if, it satisfies the conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha, \quad i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha = -E_L. \quad (24)$$

- (2) Moreover, if Γ is a SOPDE on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ with local expression (4), the above equations (24) can be written locally as

$$\Gamma_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i}, \quad \Gamma_\alpha^\alpha = L. \quad (25)$$

Proof. (1) It is a direct consequence of equations (16) and Cartan calculus.

- (2) Using the local expressions of the SOPDE Γ , the Lagrangian energy E_L , and the one-forms η_L^α ((4), (13) and (14) respectively), a direct computation on local coordinates shows that

$$0 = i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha + E_L = \left(ds^\alpha - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} dq^i \right) (\Gamma_\alpha) + v_\alpha^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} - L = \Gamma_\alpha^\alpha - L$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha = -dE_L + i_{\Gamma_\alpha} d\eta_L^\alpha - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha \\ &= -d \left(v_\alpha^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} - L \right) + i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \left(dq^i \wedge d \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \left(ds^\alpha - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} dq^i \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} dv_\alpha^i + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} dq^i + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} dv_\alpha^i + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} ds^\alpha - \Gamma_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) dq^i - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} ds^\alpha + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} dq^i \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - \Gamma_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) dq^i, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. \square

We present now a new relation between solutions to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (6) and k -contact Lagrangian k -vector fields on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$. This relation plays a fundamental role in this paper.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be a Lagrangian function.*

- (1) *A map $\phi_s : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $\phi_s(t) = (\phi(t), s^\alpha(t))$ is a solution to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7) if, and only if,*

$$g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} \left(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^j \circ \phi_s^{(1)} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi^j}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} \left(\Gamma_\alpha^\beta \circ \phi_s^{(1)} - \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} \right) = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\Gamma_\alpha^\alpha \circ \phi_s^{(1)} = \frac{\partial s^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} = L \circ \phi_s^{(1)}, \quad (27)$$

for any SOPDE $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$, with local expression (4).

- (2) *If a k -vector field $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ is integrable, and $\phi_s^{(1)} : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is an integral section, then ϕ_s is a solution to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7).*

Proof. (1) Consider a map $\phi_s : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $\phi_s(t) = (\phi(t), s^\alpha(t))$. If ϕ_s is a solution to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7), then we have

$$g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} \frac{\partial^2 \phi^j}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_\alpha^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} \frac{\partial \phi^j}{\partial t^\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) \circ \phi_s^{(1)}, \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{\partial s^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} = L \circ \phi_s^{(1)}. \quad (29)$$

Using Proposition 5.1, a SOPDE Γ is in $\mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ if, and only if, it satisfies equations

$$\Gamma_\alpha^\alpha = L, \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_\beta^j \partial v_\alpha^i} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^j + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_\alpha^i} v_\alpha^j + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} \Gamma_\alpha^\beta - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i}. \quad (31)$$

Now, restricting equations (30) and (31) to the image of $\phi_s^{(1)} = (\phi^{(1)}, s^\alpha)$ one gets

$$(g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \circ \phi_s^{(1)}) (\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^j \circ \phi_s^{(1)}) + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_\alpha^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} + \frac{\partial \phi^j}{\partial t^\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} (\Gamma_\alpha^\beta \circ \phi_s^{(1)}) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) \circ \phi_s^{(1)}, \quad (32)$$

$$\Gamma_\alpha^\alpha \circ \phi_s^{(1)} = L \circ \phi_s^{(1)}. \quad (33)$$

Using equations (32) and (33), we have that ϕ_s satisfies (26) and (27) if, and only if, it satisfies (28) and (29), that are equivalent to k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7).

- (2) Since $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ it follows that Γ satisfies equations (17), (18), (19) and (20). If $\phi_s^{(1)}$ is an integral map of Γ , we know that $\Gamma_\alpha^i(\phi_s^{(1)}) = v_\alpha^i(\phi_s^{(1)})$, and if we restrict equations (19) to $\phi_s^{(1)}$, we obtain that ϕ_s satisfies the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7). □

Remark 5.3. Equations (26) and (27) do not require any relationship between the k -vector field $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ and the solution ϕ_s to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7). In other words, one might have a solution ϕ_s to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7) which may not be a solution for any $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.

Remark 5.4. Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 play an important role in subsequent sections of this paper. When the Lagrangian L does not depend on the variables s^α , for $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$, the function L may be defined on the bundle $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$, and the previous results can be formulated in terms of the k -symplectic Lagrangian formalism (see Propositions 2.11 and 2.12 in [6]).

6 Dissipation laws

In this section, we discuss dissipation laws for Lagrangian functions defined on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and we give certain relations between them and integrable SOPDES on $\mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$. From now on, unless otherwise stated, L will denote a (regular or singular) Lagrangian function on the phase bundle $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and η_L^α will denote the associated contact Lagrangian one-forms.

Definition 6.1. A map $F = (F^1, \dots, F^k): \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is called a **dissipation law** if the divergence of

$$F \circ \phi_s^{(1)} = (F^1 \circ \phi_s^{(1)}, \dots, F^k \circ \phi_s^{(1)}): U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$$

satisfies

$$\text{Div}(F \circ \phi_s^{(1)}) = \frac{\partial(F^\alpha \circ \phi_s^{(1)})}{\partial t^\alpha} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} F^\alpha \right) \circ \phi_s^{(1)},$$

for every solution $\phi_s: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ of the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (6).

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial(F^\alpha \circ \phi_s^{(1)})}{\partial t^\alpha} \right|_t &= \left. \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial q^i} \right|_{\phi_s^{(1)}(t)} \left. \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \right|_t + \left. \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial v_\beta^i} \right|_{\phi_s^{(1)}(t)} \frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \Big|_t + \left. \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial s^\beta} \right|_{\phi_s^{(1)}(t)} \left. \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} \right|_t \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} F^\alpha \right) \circ \phi_s^{(1)}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where $\phi_s^{(1)}(t) = \left(\phi^i(t), \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t, s^\alpha(t) \right)$.

In the following example, we present two dissipation laws for the equation of the damped vibrating string given by the Lagrangian function (9).

Example 6.2. The two maps $F_1 = (F_1^t, F_1^x), F_2 = (F_2^t, F_2^x): \oplus^2 \mathbb{T}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, where

- a) $F_1^t = \rho v_t, \quad F_1^x = -\tau v_x,$
- b) $F_2^t = \frac{1}{\rho} s^t - \frac{1}{2} q v_t, \quad F_2^x = \frac{1}{\rho} s^x + \frac{\tau}{2\rho} q v_x,$

give dissipation laws for the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (10). Thus, if ϕ_s is a solution to (10), we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial(F_1^t \circ \phi_s^{(1)})}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(F_1^x \circ \phi_s^{(1)})}{\partial x} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - \tau \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = -\gamma \rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^t} F_1^t \right) \circ \phi_s^{(1)},$$

where $\phi_s^{(1)} = \left(\phi, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, s^t, s^x \right)$.

One also verifies that (F_2^t, F_2^x) satisfies (34) by a straightforward computation.

Remark 6.3. Note that if the Lagrangian L does not depend on the variables s^α , for $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$, we can consider L and the functions F^α defined on $\oplus^k \mathbb{T}Q$, and hence the above Definition 6.1 becomes the definition of conservation law for k -symplectic Lagrangian systems (see [42]).

The following result gives us a first relationship between dissipation laws and integrable SOPDES on $\mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \mathbb{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $F = (F^1, \dots, F^k): \oplus^k \mathbb{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be a dissipation law. Then, every integrable SOPDE $\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k) \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \mathbb{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ satisfies*

$$\Gamma_\alpha(F^\alpha) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} F^\alpha. \tag{35}$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is an integrable SOPDE, for every point $x \in \oplus^k \mathbb{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ there exists an integral section $\phi_s^{(1)}: U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \oplus^k \mathbb{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ such that

- (1) ϕ_s is a solution to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations, because $\mathbf{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \mathbb{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$,
- (2) ϕ_s satisfies

$$\phi_s^{(1)}(0) = x, \quad (\phi_s^{(1)})_*(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t \right) = \Gamma_\alpha(\phi_s^{(1)}(t)),$$

for every $t \in U$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$.

Condition (2) above means that

$$v_\alpha^i(\phi_s^{(1)}(t)) = \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_t, \quad \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i(\phi_s^{(1)}(t)) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \Big|_t, \quad \Gamma_\alpha^\beta(\psi(t)) = \frac{\partial s^\alpha}{\partial t^\beta} \Big|_t. \tag{36}$$

Since $F = (F^1, \dots, F^k)$ is a dissipation law, using equation (34) at $t = 0$, and equation (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} F^\alpha \right) \circ \phi_s^{(1)}(0) &= \frac{\partial(F^\alpha \circ \phi_s^{(1)})}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_0 \\ &= \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial q^i} \Big|_{\phi_s^{(1)}(0)} \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_0 + \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial v_\beta^i} \Big|_{\phi_s^{(1)}(0)} \frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \Big|_0 + \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial s^\beta} \Big|_{\phi_s^{(1)}(0)} \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} \Big|_0 \\ &= \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial q^i} \Big|_x v_\alpha^i(x) + \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial v_\beta^i} \Big|_x \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i(x) + \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial s^\beta} \Big|_x \Gamma_\alpha^\beta(x) = \Gamma_\alpha(x)(F^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

□

The converse of Lemma 6.4 is not true, and the reason is that, as we can see from equations (26) and (27), we might have solutions ϕ_s of the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7) which are not solutions to some $\mathbf{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$. However, we show in the following lemma that, under some assumption on the functions F^α , this converse is true.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be a Lagrangian and assume that there exists a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ such that*

$$i_X d\eta_L^\alpha = dF^\alpha, \quad \text{for every } \alpha = 1, \dots, k, \quad (37)$$

for some functions $F^\alpha : \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, $F = (F^\alpha)$ is a dissipation law for the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (6) if, and only if,

$$\Gamma_\alpha(F^\alpha) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} F^\alpha$$

for every integrable SOPDE $\mathbf{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.

Proof. The direct implication is given by Lemma 6.4. For the converse implication, let

$$X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + X_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha^i} + X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\alpha}$$

be a vector field on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfying (37). In view of equations (14), we can write both sides of the equations (37) as

$$\begin{aligned} i_X d\eta_L^\alpha &= \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v_\alpha^j} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_\alpha^i} \right) X^j - g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} X_\beta^j - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^j} X^\beta \right] dq^i + g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} X^i dv_\beta^j + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^j} X^j ds^\beta, \\ dF^\alpha &= \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial q^i} dq^i + \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial v_\beta^j} dv_\beta^j + \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial s^\beta} ds^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

and necessarily we have

$$\frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial v_\beta^j} = g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} X^i, \quad \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial s^\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} X^i. \quad (38)$$

Consider now ϕ_s to be any solution to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (7) (which may not be a solution of any $\mathbf{\Gamma}$). It follows that ϕ_s satisfies equations (26) and (27), since $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is assumed to be an integrable SOPDE.

Contracting equations (27) with $X^i \circ \phi_s^{(1)}$, we obtain

$$(X^i \circ \phi_s^{(1)})(g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \circ \phi_s^{(1)}) \left(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^j \circ \phi_s^{(1)} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi^j}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \right) + (X^i \circ \phi_s^{(1)}) \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} \right) \left(\Gamma_\alpha^\beta \circ \phi_s^{(1)} - \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} \right) = 0. \quad (39)$$

If we replace formulas (38) in equation (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial v_\beta^j} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} \left(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^j \circ \phi_s^{(1)} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi^j}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \right) + \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial s^\beta} \circ \phi_s^{(1)} \left(\Gamma_\alpha^\beta \circ \phi_s^{(1)} - \frac{\partial s^\beta}{\partial t^\alpha} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial(F^\alpha \circ \phi_s^{(1)})}{\partial t^\alpha} + \Gamma_\alpha(F^\alpha) \circ \phi_s^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore we conclude

$$\frac{\partial(F^\alpha \circ \phi_s^{(1)})}{\partial t^\alpha} = \Gamma_\alpha(F^\alpha) \circ \phi_s^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} F^\alpha \right) \circ \phi_s^{(1)},$$

and the result follows. \square

Remark 6.6. Notice that the above Lemma 6.5 is analogous to Lemma 3.4 in [6] for the k -symplectic Lagrangian formalism.

7 Symmetries

In this section we study several different notions of symmetry for k -contact Lagrangian field theories depending on the structure they preserve: natural symmetries (preserving the Lagrangian), dynamical symmetries (preserving the solutions) and k -contact symmetries (preserving the underlying geometric structures). We investigate the relations between these symmetries and prove several Noether-like theorems relating the different types of symmetries to dissipation laws.

7.1 Natural symmetries

We will now try to understand the symmetries of a k -contact Lagrangian system which are lifts of vector fields on the configuration space. One can see in [14] some interesting results related with this kind of symmetries in the case $k = 1$.

For any vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$, we denote by $Z^C, Z^{V_\alpha} \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ its complete and vertical α -lifts, defined in Section 2.2 and extended to $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ in a natural way. Their local expressions are the same as in (2).

Definition 7.1. A vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$ is said to be an **infinitesimal natural symmetry** of a Lagrangian function $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ if $Z^C(L) = 0$.

Then, we have the following result relating natural symmetries and dissipation laws.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$ be an infinitesimal natural symmetry of a Lagrangian function L . Then, the functions $F^\alpha = Z^{V_\alpha}(L)$ give a dissipation law.*

Proof. Consider the local expressions of Z^{V_α} , Z^C and Γ in (2) and (4). From (25), and taking into account that the functions Z^i only depend on the variables q^i , we get

$$\Gamma_\alpha(Z^{V_\alpha}(L)) = \left(Z^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + v_\alpha^j \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial q^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) (L) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \left(Z^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha^i} \right) (L) = Z^C(L) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} Z^{V_\alpha}(L),$$

for all integrable SOPDE $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.

Now, since $Z^C(L) = 0$ we show that $\Gamma_\alpha(Z^{V_\alpha}(L)) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} Z^{V_\alpha}(L)$. Finally, in view of Lemma 6.5, we just need to check that

$$i_{Z^C} d\eta_L^\alpha = d(Z^{V_\alpha}(L)) \tag{40}$$

to conclude that the functions $Z^{V_\alpha}(L)$ give a dissipation law.

If one applies $\frac{\partial}{\partial v_\beta^k}$ to the identity $Z^C(L) = Z^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} + v_\alpha^j \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial q^j} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} = 0$, we obtain the relation (40) in local coordinates. \square

Remark 7.3. When the Lagrangian L does not depend on variables s^α , for $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$, the function L may be defined on the bundle $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$, and the above result is similar to Proposition 3.15 in [42], if we consider the function g to be identically zero. In this case, the functions F^α will give a conservation law, according to the nature of the system.

The next symmetries we are going to study are those transformations preserving some structure, and we will distinguish between those that preserve the solutions of the system (dynamical symmetries) and those that preserve the geometric structures (k -contact symmetries). These notions were introduced in [21] for the case $k = 1$, and in [22] for the general case $k \geq 1$.

7.2 Dynamical symmetries

We will begin by introducing the transformations preserving the solutions of the system.

Definition 7.4. A **Lagrangian dynamical symmetry** is a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ such that, for every solution $\phi_s^{(1)}$ to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (6), $\Phi \circ \phi_s^{(1)}$ is also a solution.

An **infinitesimal Lagrangian dynamical symmetry** is a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ whose local flow is made of dynamical symmetries.

The following result can be found in [22].

Lemma 7.5. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an infinitesimal dynamical symmetry. Then, for every $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$, we have $i_{[X, \Gamma_\alpha]} \eta_L^\alpha = 0$.*

For the case $k = 1$ it is known that dynamical symmetries induce dissipated quantities, and these results are known as dissipation theorems (see [21]).

In the general case $k > 1$, in Theorem 3 in [22], it is proved that if X is an infinitesimal dynamical symmetry, the functions $F^\alpha = -i_X \eta_L^\alpha$ satisfy (35), and give a dissipation law for integral sections of $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.

The next result states, again with an extra condition, that these functions F^α are a dissipation law for every solution of the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (6).

Theorem 7.6 (Dissipation theorem). *Let $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be a Lagrangian function and consider an infinitesimal dynamical symmetry $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ satisfying the conditions*

$$i_X d\eta_L^\alpha = d(-i_X \eta_L^\alpha), \quad (41)$$

or, equivalently, $\mathcal{L}_X \eta_L^\alpha = 0$. Then, the functions

$$F^\alpha = -i_X \eta_L^\alpha$$

provide a dissipation law for the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations.

Proof. Let $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an integrable SOPDE. From Lemma 7.5, we obtain

$$\Gamma_\alpha(-i_X \eta_L^\alpha) = -\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_\alpha} i_X \eta_L^\alpha = -i_X \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha - i_{[\Gamma_\alpha, X]} \eta_L^\alpha = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha}(-i_X \eta_L^\alpha).$$

Now, from (41) and Lemma 6.5, we deduce that the functions $F^\alpha = -i_X \eta_L^\alpha$ give a dissipation law. \square

7.3 k -contact symmetries

Among the most relevant symmetries are those that leave the geometric structures invariant. Let us recall the definition of k -contact symmetries for a Lagrangian function $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ (see [42]).

Definition 7.7. A diffeomorphism $\Phi : \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is called a **Lagrangian k -contact symmetry** if

$$\Phi^* \eta_L^\alpha = \eta_L^\alpha, \quad \Phi^* E_L = E_L.$$

A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ is called an **infinitesimal Lagrangian k -contact symmetry** if

$$\mathcal{L}_X \eta_L^\alpha = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_X E_L = 0, \quad (42)$$

that is, its local flow is made of Lagrangian k -contact symmetries.

One can obtain the relation between these symmetries that preserve geometric structures and those that preserve the solutions of the system as follows.

Proposition 7.8. *(Infinitesimal) Lagrangian k -contact symmetries are (infinitesimal) Lagrangian dynamical symmetries.*

Proof. Let $\psi = \phi_s^{(1)}$ be a solution of (15), and Φ a Lagrangian k -contact symmetry

$$\Phi^* \eta_L^\alpha = \eta_L^\alpha, \quad \Phi^* E_L = E_L. \quad (43)$$

We need to prove that

$$i_{(\Phi \circ \psi)'_\alpha} d\eta_L^\alpha = \left(dE_L + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha \right) \circ (\Phi \circ \psi), \quad i_{(\Phi \circ \psi)'_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha = -E_L \circ (\Phi \circ \psi), \quad (44)$$

where $(\Phi \circ \psi)'_\alpha = (\Phi \circ \psi)_*(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \right)$, which is a consequence of (15) and (43).

If X is an infinitesimal k -contact symmetry, its flow ϕ_t is made of local k -contact symmetries, namely $\phi_t^* \eta_L^\alpha = \eta_L^\alpha$ and $\phi_t^* E_L = E_L$. Thus ϕ_t satisfies (44), and then it transforms solutions to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations on solutions to the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations. \square

From Dissipation Theorem 7.6 and Proposition 7.8 we deduce that

Corollary 7.9. *If X is an infinitesimal Lagrangian k -contact symmetry, the functions $F^\alpha = -i_X \eta_L^\alpha$ give a dissipation law for the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations (6).*

Example 7.10. Consider again Example 3.3 (the damped vibrating string). The vector field $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$ is an infinitesimal Lagrangian k -contact symmetry, and therefore, the map given by

$$F = \left(-i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} \eta_L^t, -i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} \eta_L^x \right) = (\rho v_t, -\tau v_x)$$

is a dissipation law.

7.4 Dissipation laws given by vector fields which are not symmetries

Finally, let us consider any vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ satisfying the conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_Z \eta_L^\alpha = dg^\alpha, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z E_L = -g^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha}, \quad (45)$$

for some functions $g^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$. In the following result we show how to associate dissipation laws to these particular vector fields.

Theorem 7.11. *Let $L : \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lagrangian function and consider a vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ satisfying conditions (45). Then, the functions*

$$F^\alpha = g^\alpha - i_Z \eta_L^\alpha$$

give a dissipation law for the k -contact Euler–Lagrange equations.

Proof. Consider an integrable SOPDE $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$. Then, by Proposition 5.1, the SOPDE Γ satisfies equations (24), so we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\alpha(F^\alpha) &= \Gamma_\alpha(g^\alpha) - \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_\alpha} i_Z \eta_L^\alpha = \Gamma_\alpha(g^\alpha) - i_Z \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha - i_{[\Gamma_\alpha, Z]} \eta_L^\alpha \\ &= \Gamma_\alpha(g^\alpha) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} i_Z \eta_L^\alpha - i_{[\Gamma_\alpha, Z]} \eta_L^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Contracting $\mathcal{L}_Z \eta_L^\alpha$ with Γ_α and summing for α , we have

$$i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \mathcal{L}_Z \eta_L^\alpha = i_{\Gamma_\alpha} (dg^\alpha) = \Gamma_\alpha(g^\alpha), \quad (47)$$

and on the other side

$$i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \mathcal{L}_Z \eta_L^\alpha = \mathcal{L}_Z i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha - i_{[Z, \Gamma_\alpha]} \eta_L^\alpha = -\mathcal{L}_Z E_L + i_{[\Gamma_\alpha, Z]} \eta_L^\alpha = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} g^\alpha + i_{[\Gamma_\alpha, Z]} \eta_L^\alpha. \quad (48)$$

Therefore, from (47) and (48) we deduce that

$$\Gamma_\alpha(g^\alpha) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} g^\alpha + i_{[\Gamma_\alpha, Z]} \eta_L^\alpha.$$

Finally, substituting the above expression in equation (46) we obtain

$$\Gamma_\alpha(g^\alpha - i_Z \eta_L^\alpha) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} (g^\alpha - i_Z \eta_L^\alpha),$$

and by Lemma 6.5 the functions $F^\alpha = g^\alpha - i_Z \eta_L^\alpha$ give a dissipation law. \square

Remark 7.12. When the functions $g^\alpha = 0$, for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$, equations (45) reduce to equations (42) of infinitesimal Lagrangian k -contact symmetries, and the above theorem becomes Corollary 7.9.

To illustrate the above theorem we will give an example of a vector field satisfying the conditions (45).

Example 7.13. Let us consider again the equations modelling a damped vibrating string given by the Lagrangian function (9). The vector field $Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s^t} + g^x \frac{\partial}{\partial s^x}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_Z \eta_L^t = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z \eta_L^x = dg^x, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z E_L = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^t} g^t - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^x} g^x,$$

where the function g^t is constant, $g^t = c$, and g^x is an arbitrary function.

By Theorem 7.11, the corresponding dissipation law is the map given by

$$F = (k - i_Z \eta_L^t, g^x - i_Z \eta_L^x) = (\rho v_t, -\tau v_x),$$

which coincides with the dissipation law given by the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$ in the Example 7.10.

Remark 7.14. When the Lagrangian L does not depend on the variables s^α , for $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$, the function L is defined on the bundle $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$ and, if we think of Z as vector field on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q$, the conditions (45) are now

$$\mathcal{L}_Z d\eta_L^\alpha = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z E_L = 0,$$

which is the definition of a Cartan symmetry for the k -symplectic system $(\oplus^k \text{T}Q, d\eta_L^\alpha, E_L)$, see [42]. Moreover, the above Theorem 7.11 becomes the Noether's Theorem 3.13 in [42].

7.5 Newtonoid vector fields

In [6] the set of Newtonoid vector fields were introduced in the framework of the k -symplectic formulation of autonomous first-order field theories, extending the work of G. Marmo and N. Mukunda in [36] for the case $k = 1$. In this section, we introduce this kind of vector fields in the k -contact framework.

In Proposition 7.16 we prove that, for a regular Lagrangian function L , infinitesimal k -contact symmetries are Newtonoid vector fields for every corresponding SOPDE $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$. Finally, we observe that a particular kind of Newtonoid vector fields that leave the Lagrangian function invariant are also infinitesimal k -contact symmetries and hence they provide dissipation laws.

Definition 7.15. Consider a fixed SOPDE Γ . A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ is **Newtonoid** with respect to Γ if $J^\alpha([\Gamma_\alpha, X]) = 0$. We denote by \mathfrak{X}_Γ the set of Newtonoid vector fields associated to a SOPDE Γ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma_\alpha, X] &= \left[v_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\beta^i} + \Gamma_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\beta}, X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + X_\gamma^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\gamma^i} + X^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\gamma} \right] \\ &= (\Gamma_\alpha(X^i) - X_\alpha^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (\Gamma_\alpha(X_\beta^i) - X(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i)) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\beta^i} + (\Gamma_\alpha(X^\beta) - X(\Gamma_\alpha^\beta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

we deduce that $J^\alpha([\Gamma_\alpha, X]) = 0$ if, and only if, $\Gamma_\alpha(X^i) = X_\alpha^i$. Therefore, a Newtonoid vector field X can be written locally as follows

$$X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \Gamma_\alpha(X^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\alpha^i} + X^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\gamma}. \quad (50)$$

From the local expressions (2) and (50) it follows that the vector fields of the form

$$Z^C + X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\alpha},$$

where $Z^C \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ is the complete lift of a vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$ and X^α are arbitrary functions on $\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k$, are Newtonoid vector fields for an arbitrary SOPDE $\mathbf{\Gamma}$.

In the next proposition we see that the set of Newtonoid vector fields contains also infinitesimal k -contact symmetries.

Proposition 7.16. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an infinitesimal k -contact symmetry of a system described by a regular Lagrangian function $L : \oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then X is a Newtonoid vector field for every $\mathbf{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{X}_L^k(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ and L is regular, from Lemma 4.5 it follows that $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is a SOPDE. Moreover, $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is a solution to the equations

$$i_{\Gamma_\alpha} d\eta_L^\alpha = dE_L + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha, \quad i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha = -E_L. \quad (51)$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_X \eta_L^\alpha = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_X E_L = 0$, and using the second equation in (51) it follows that

$$0 = \mathcal{L}_X E_L = -\mathcal{L}_X i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha = -i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \mathcal{L}_X \eta_L^\alpha - i_{[X, \Gamma_\alpha]} \eta_L^\alpha = -i_{[X, \Gamma_\alpha]} \eta_L^\alpha.$$

Now, if we apply \mathcal{L}_X to both sides of the first equation in (51) and use the commutation rules, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_X i_{\Gamma_\alpha} d\eta_L^\alpha = \mathcal{L}_X dE_L + \mathcal{L}_X \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \eta_L^\alpha \right) = \mathcal{L}_X \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \right) \eta_L^\alpha + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \mathcal{L}_X \eta_L^\alpha = \mathcal{L}_X \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \right) \eta_L^\alpha \quad (52)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_X i_{\Gamma_\alpha} d\eta_L^\alpha = i_{\Gamma_\alpha} \mathcal{L}_X d\eta_L^\alpha - i_{[\Gamma_\alpha, X]} d\eta_L^\alpha = -i_{[\Gamma_\alpha, X]} d\eta_L^\alpha. \quad (53)$$

Then, from (52) and (53) we have

$$i_{[\Gamma_\alpha, X]} d\eta_L^\alpha = -\mathcal{L}_X \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \right) \eta_L^\alpha. \quad (54)$$

We will prove now that equation (54) implies that $J^\alpha([\Gamma_\alpha, X]) = 0$ and hence X is a Newtonoid vector field for $\mathbf{\Gamma}$. Using formula (49), we have

$$[\Gamma_\alpha, X] = V_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + V_{\alpha\beta}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\beta^i} + V_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\beta}, \quad (55)$$

where

$$V_\alpha^i = \Gamma_\alpha(X^i) - X_\alpha^i, \quad V_{\alpha\beta}^i = \Gamma_\alpha(X_\beta^i) - X(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i), \quad V_\alpha^\beta = \Gamma_\alpha(X^\beta) - X(\Gamma_\alpha^\beta).$$

Using formula (14), it follows that the differential of the contact one-forms η_L^α can be written as follows

$$d\eta_L^\alpha = a_{ij}^\alpha dq^i \wedge dq^j + g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} dq^i \wedge dv_\beta^j + h_{i\beta}^\alpha dq^i \wedge ds^\beta, \quad (56)$$

where

$$a_{ij}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_\alpha^i} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v_\alpha^j} \right), \quad g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_\alpha^i \partial v_\beta^j}, \quad h_{i\beta}^\alpha = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s^\beta \partial v_\alpha^i}.$$

If we replace now formulae (55) and (56) in equation (54) we obtain

$$\left(2a_{ij}^\alpha V_\alpha^j - g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} V_{\alpha\beta}^j - h_{i\beta}^\alpha V_\alpha^\beta \right) dq^i + g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} V_\alpha^i dv_\beta^j + h_{i\beta}^\alpha V_\alpha^i ds^\beta = -\mathcal{L}_X \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s^\alpha} \right) \left(ds^\alpha - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i} dq^i \right),$$

which implies that $g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} V_\alpha^i = 0$. Using the fact that the Lagrangian L is regular it follows that $g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ has maximal rank and hence $V_\alpha^i = \Gamma_\alpha(X^i) - X_\alpha^i = 0$, which shows that X is a Newtonoid vector field for the k -vector fields Γ . \square

Corollary 7.17. *Let $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be a regular Lagrangian function.*

1. *Consider a Newtonoid vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\oplus^k \text{T}Q \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ of the form*

$$X = Z^C + K^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\alpha}, \quad \text{with } Z \in \mathfrak{X}(Q),$$

and such that $X(L) = 0$, and K^α are constants, for $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$. Then X is an infinitesimal k -contact symmetry.

2. *The functions $F^\alpha = -i_X \eta_L^\alpha = Z^{V_\alpha}(L) - K^\alpha$ give a dissipation law.*

Proof. By a straightforward computation in local coordinates, it can be proved that X is an infinitesimal k -contact symmetry, that is, it satisfies equations (42). Then, by Corollary 7.9 the functions F^α give a dissipation law for the k -contact symmetry X . \square

8 Conclusions and further research

In this paper we have presented several types of symmetries of non-conservative Lagrangian field theories using the k -contact framework. In particular, we have introduced natural symmetries (symmetries of the Lagrangian function), dynamical symmetries (preserving the solutions of the field equations) and k -contact symmetries (preserving the geometric structures). We have studied the relations among these symmetries and how to obtain dissipation laws from them. This theory has been illustrated with several physical examples.

The study of the symmetries of k -contact systems in the Lagrangian setting presented in this paper is another step towards a deeper study of the symmetries of non-conservative field theories. In future works, this should be complemented with the analysis of the symmetries and Newtonoid vector fields in the Hamiltonian counterpart of the k -contact formalism. In particular, it would be interesting to compare both sets of symmetries in the case of singular Lagrangians, when the Legendre map is not a diffeomorphism. In addition, it would be very interesting to study the symmetries and Newtonoid vector fields of these systems and relate them to the symmetries in the k -contact setting presented in this work.

Recently, a more general geometric framework for non-conservative field theories (generalizing the k -contact [20] and k -cocontact [41] formulations) has been introduced: the so-called *multicontact formalism* [12]. We propose to analyze the relation between the k -contact and the k -cocontact formulations with the multicontact setting, both in the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms, following the ideas in [43].

9 Acknowledgments

X. Rivas, M. Salgado and S. Souto acknowledge financial support of the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (Spain), projects PGC2018-098265-B-C33 and D2021-125515NB-21.

X. Rivas acknowledges financial support of the Novee Idee 2B-POB II project PSP: 501-D111-20-2004310 funded by the “Inicjatywa Doskonałości - Uczelnia Badawcza” (IDUB) program.

References

- [1] A. Banyaga and D. F. Houenou. *A brief introduction to symplectic and contact manifolds*, volume 15. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2016. DOI: [10.1142/9667](https://doi.org/10.1142/9667).
- [2] A. Bravetti. Contact Hamiltonian dynamics: The concept and its use. *Entropy*, **10**(19):535, 2017. DOI: [10.3390/e19100535](https://doi.org/10.3390/e19100535).
- [3] A. Bravetti. Contact geometry and thermodynamics. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, **16**(supp01):1940003, 2018. DOI: [10.1142/S0219887819400036](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887819400036).
- [4] A. Bravetti, H. Cruz, and D. Tapias. Contact Hamiltonian mechanics. *Ann. Phys.*, **376**:17–39, 2017. DOI: [10.1016/j.aop.2016.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2016.11.003).
- [5] A. Bravetti, M. de León, J. C. Marrero, and E. Padrón. Invariant measures for contact Hamiltonian systems: symplectic sandwiches with contact bread. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **53**:455205, 2020. DOI: [10.1088/1751-8121/abbaaa](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/abbaaa).
- [6] L. Bua, I. Bucataru, and M. Salgado. Symmetries, Newtonoid vector fields and conservation laws in the Lagrangian k -symplectic formalism. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **24**(10):1250030, 2012. DOI: [10.1142/S0129055X12500304](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129055X12500304).
- [7] J. F. Cariñena, M. Crampin, and L. A. Ibort. On the multisymplectic formalism for first order field theories. *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, **1**(4):345–374, 1991. DOI: [10.1016/0926-2245\(91\)90013-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-2245(91)90013-Y).
- [8] J. F. Cariñena and P. Guha. Nonstandard Hamiltonian structures of the Liénard equation and contact geometry. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, **16**(supp01):1940001, 2019. DOI: [10.1142/S0219887819400012](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887819400012).
- [9] J. F. Cariñena, C. Lopez, and E. Martinez. A new approach to the converse of Noether’s theorem. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **22**(22):4777–4786, 1989. DOI: [10.1088/0305-4470/22/22/009](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/22/22/009).
- [10] F. M. Ciaglia, H. Cruz, and G. Marmo. Contact manifolds and dissipation, classical and quantum. *Ann. Phys.*, **398**:159–179, 2018. DOI: [10.1016/j.aop.2018.09.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2018.09.012).

- [11] M. de León, J. Gaset, X. Gràcia, M. C. Muñoz-Lecanda, and X. Rivas. Time-dependent contact mechanics. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, Sept. 2022. DOI: [10.1007/s00605-022-01767-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-022-01767-1).
- [12] M. de León, J. Gaset, M. C. Muñoz-Lecanda, X. Rivas, and N. Román-Roy. Multicontact formalism for non-conservative field theories. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **56**(2):025201, 2022. DOI: [10.1088/1751-8121/acb575](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/acb575).
- [13] M. de León and M. Lainz-Valcázar. Contact Hamiltonian systems. *J. Math. Phys.*, **60**(10):102902, 2019. DOI: [10.1063/1.5096475](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5096475).
- [14] M. de León and M. Lainz-Valcázar. Infinitesimal symmetries in contact Hamiltonian systems. *J. Geom. Phys.*, **153**:103651, 2020. DOI: [10.1016/j.geomphys.2020.103651](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2020.103651).
- [15] M. de León, M. Lainz-Valcázar, and M. C. Muñoz-Lecanda. The Herglotz Principle and Vakhononomic Dynamics. In F. Nielsen and F. Barbaresco, editors, *Geometric Science of Information*, volume 12829 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 183–190, Cham, 2021. Springer International Publishing. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-80209-7_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80209-7_21).
- [16] M. de León, M. Lainz, A. López-Gordón, and X. Rivas. Hamilton–Jacobi theory and integrability for autonomous and non-autonomous contact systems. *J. Geom. Phys.*, **187**:104787, 2023. ARXIV: [10.1016/j.geomphys.2023.104787](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1016/j.geomphys.2023.104787).
- [17] M. de León, M. Salgado, and S. Vilariño. *Methods of Differential Geometry in Classical Field Theories*. World Scientific, 2015. DOI: [10.1142/9693](https://doi.org/10.1142/9693).
- [18] J. de Lucas and X. Rivas. Contact Lie systems: theory and applications. ARXIV: [2207.04038](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.04038), 2022.
- [19] D. S. Djukic and B. D. Vujanovic. Noether’s theory in classical nonconservative mechanics. *Acta Mechanica*, **23**(1):17–27, 1975. DOI: [10.1007/BF01177666](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01177666).
- [20] J. Gaset, X. Gràcia, M. C. Muñoz-Lecanda, X. Rivas, and N. Román-Roy. A contact geometry framework for field theories with dissipation. *Ann. Phys.*, **414**:168092, 2020. DOI: [10.1016/j.aop.2020.168092](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2020.168092).
- [21] J. Gaset, X. Gràcia, M. C. Muñoz-Lecanda, X. Rivas, and N. Román-Roy. New contributions to the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian contact formalisms for dissipative mechanical systems and their symmetries. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, **17**(6):2050090, 2020. DOI: [10.1142/S0219887820500905](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887820500905).
- [22] J. Gaset, X. Gràcia, M. C. Muñoz-Lecanda, X. Rivas, and N. Román-Roy. A k -contact Lagrangian formulation for nonconservative field theories. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **87**(3):347–368, 2021. DOI: [10.1016/S0034-4877\(21\)00041-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4877(21)00041-0).
- [23] J. Gaset, M. Lainz, A. Mas, and X. Rivas. The Herglotz variational principle for dissipative field theories. ARXIV: [2211.17058](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.17058), 2022.
- [24] J. Gaset, A. López-Gordón, and X. Rivas. Symmetries, conservation and dissipation in time-dependent contact systems. ARXIV: [2212.14848](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.14848), 2022.

- [25] H. Geiges. *An Introduction to Contact Topology*, volume 109 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2008. DOI: [10.1017/CBO9780511611438](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511611438).
- [26] S. Goto. Contact geometric descriptions of vector fields on dually flat spaces and their applications in electric circuit models and nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. *J. Math. Phys.*, **57**(10):102702, 2016. DOI: [10.1063/1.4964751](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4964751).
- [27] K. Grabowska and J. Grabowski. A geometric approach to contact Hamiltonians and contact Hamilton–Jacobi theory. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 55:435204, 2022. DOI: [10.1088/1751-8121/ac9adb](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ac9adb).
- [28] K. Grabowska and J. Grabowski. Contact geometric mechanics: the Tulczyjew triples. ARXIV: [2209.03154](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.03154), 2022.
- [29] X. Gràcia, X. Rivas, and N. Román-Roy. Skinner–Rusk formalism for k -contact systems. *J. Geom. Phys.*, **172**:104429, 2022. DOI: [10.1016/j.geomphys.2021.104429](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2021.104429).
- [30] W. H. Hayt and J. A. Buck. *Engineering Electromagnetics*. McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., New York, 6th edition, 2018.
- [31] G. Herglotz. *Berührungstransformationen*. Lectures at the University of Göttingen, 1930.
- [32] A. L. Kholodenko. *Applications of Contact Geometry and Topology in Physics*. World Scientific, 2013. DOI: [10.1142/8514](https://doi.org/10.1142/8514).
- [33] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. *The Noether Theorems*. Sources and Studies in the History of Mathematics and Physical Sciences. Springer, New York, 2011. DOI: [10.1007/978-0-387-87868-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-87868-3).
- [34] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, volume 218 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer New York Heidelberg Dordrecht London, 2nd edition, 2013. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4419-9982-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9982-5).
- [35] Q. Liu, P. J. Torres, and C. Wang. Contact Hamiltonian dynamics: variational principles, invariants, completeness and periodic behaviour. *Ann. Phys.*, **395**:26–44, 2018. DOI: [10.1016/j.aop.2018.04.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2018.04.035).
- [36] G. Marmo and N. Mukunda. Symmetries and constants of the motion in the Lagrangian formalism on TQ: beyond point transformations. *Nuov. Cim. B*, **92**:1–12, 1986. DOI: [10.1007/BF02729691](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02729691).
- [37] Y. Ne’eman. The impact of Emmy Noether’s theorems on XXIst century physics. In *The Heritage of Emmy Noether (Ramat-Gan, 1996)*, volume 12 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 83–101. Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1999.
- [38] E. Noether. Invariant variation problems. *Transp. Theor. Stat. Phys.*, **1**(3):186–207, 1971. DOI: [10.1080/00411457108231446](https://doi.org/10.1080/00411457108231446).
- [39] G. Prince. A complete classification of dynamical symmetries in classical mechanics. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **32**(2):299–308, 1985. DOI: [10.1017/S0004972700009977](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700009977).
- [40] H. Ramirez, B. Maschke, and D. Sbarbaro. Partial stabilization of input-output contact systems on a Legendre submanifold. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **62**(3):1431–1437, 2017. DOI: [10.1109/TAC.2016.2572403](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2016.2572403).

- [41] X. Rivas. Nonautonomous k -contact field theories. *J. Math. Phys.*, **64**(3):033507, 2023. DOI: [10.1063/5.0131110](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0131110).
- [42] N. Román-Roy, M. Salgado, and S. Vilariño. Symmetries and conservation laws in the Günther k -symplectic formalism of field theories. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **19**(10):1117–1147, 2007. DOI: [10.1142/S0129055X07003188](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129055X07003188).
- [43] N. Román-Roy, Ángel M. Rey, M. Salgado, and S. Vilariño. On the k -symplectic, k -cosymplectic and multisymplectic formalisms of classical field theories. *J. Geom. Mech.*, **3**(1):113–137, 2011. DOI: [10.3934/jgm.2011.3.113](https://doi.org/10.3934/jgm.2011.3.113).
- [44] S. Salsa. *Partial Differential Equations in Action: From Modelling to Theory*, volume 86 of *Unitext*. Springer International Publishing, 2nd edition, 2015. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-15093-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15093-2).
- [45] A. A. Simoes, M. de León, M. Lainz-Valcázar, and D. Martín de Diego. Contact geometry for simple thermodynamical systems with friction. *Proc. R. Soc. A.*, **476**:20200244, 2020. DOI: [10.1098/rspa.2020.0244](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2020.0244).
- [46] A. J. van der Schaft. Symmetries, conservation laws, and time reversibility for Hamiltonian systems with external forces. *J. Math. Phys.*, **24**(8):2095–2101, 1983. DOI: [10.1063/1.525962](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.525962).