

# Local behaviour of the mixed local and nonlocal problems with nonstandard growth \*

Mengyao Ding<sup>1</sup>, Yuzhou Fang<sup>2</sup>, Chao Zhang<sup>2,3†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, PR China

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, PR China

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, PR China

## Abstract

We consider the mixed local and nonlocal functionals with nonstandard growth

$$u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} (|Du|^p - f(x)u) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy$$

with  $1 < p \leq sq$ ,  $0 < s < 1$  and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  being a bounded domain. We study, by means of expansion of positivity, local behaviour of the minimizers of such problems, involving local boundedness, local Hölder continuity and Harnack inequality. The results above can be seen as a natural extension of the results under the center condition that  $p > sq$  in [De Filippis-Mingione, Math. Ann., <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02512-7>].

**2010MSC:** 35B45; 35B65; 35R11; 35K55

**Keywords:** Local regularity; Mixed local and nonlocal functionals; Nonstandard growth; Caccioppoli estimates; Expansion of positivity

## 1 Introduction

In the present paper, we aim at investigating local regularity for the minimizers of the following functional:

$$\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) := \int_{\Omega} (F(x, Du) - f(x)u) dx + \iint_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} |u(x) - u(y)|^q K_{sq}(x, y) dx dy, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ) is a bounded domain and

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Omega} := (\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N) \setminus ((\mathbb{R}^N \times \Omega) \times (\mathbb{R}^N \times \Omega)).$$

The Carathéodory function  $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\Lambda^{-1}|\xi|^p \leq F(x, \xi) \leq \Lambda|\xi|^p \quad (1.2)$$

---

\*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071098), Postdoctoral Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (No. LBH-Z22177), National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talents of China (No. BX20220381)

†Corresponding author. E-Mail: myding@pku.edu.cn (M. Ding), 18b912036@hit.edu.cn (Y. Fang), czhang-math@hit.edu.cn (C. Zhang)

for some  $\Lambda > 1$ . The symmetric kernel  $K_{sq} : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function taking the form

$$K_{sq}(x, y) = \frac{a(x, y)}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} \quad (1.3)$$

with  $a(x, y) = a(y, x)$  satisfying

$$a_0 \leq a(x, y) \leq A_0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.4)$$

where the constants  $a_0 \geq 0$  and  $A_0 > 0$ . In addition,

$$1 < p \leq sq, \quad s \in (0, 1). \quad (1.5)$$

In order to state the definition of minimizers, we need to define a function space

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) := \left\{ v : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid v|_{\Omega} \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ and } \mathcal{E}(v; \Omega) < \infty \right\},$$

and recall the tail space

$$L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ v \in L_{loc}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v(x)|^{q-1}}{1 + |x|^{N+sq}} dx < \infty \right\},$$

with a corresponding nonlocal tail defined by

$$\text{Tail}(v; x_0, r) := \left( r^{sq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{|v(x)|^{q-1}}{|x - x_0|^{N+sq}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \quad \text{for } r > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

From these definitions, it is easy to deduce that  $\text{Tail}(v; x_0, r)$  is well-defined for any  $v \in L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Now we are in a position to present the definition of minimizers to (1.1) as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  is a minimizer of  $\mathcal{E}$  if

$$\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) \leq \mathcal{E}(v; \Omega)$$

for any measurable function  $v : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $v = u$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ .

Next, we will introduce some related results provided by the existing literature in the coming subsection.

## 1.1 Overview of related literature

Mixed local and nonlocal problems, as a recently emerging subject, have already attracted an intensive attention. Before introducing results on such mixed problems, we first refer the readers to [12, 14, 13, 40, 39] for the regularity of integro-differential equations, and [7, 8, 18, 20, 19, 32, 33, 36, 38] for the properties of weak solutions to fractional  $p$ -Laplacian. Here, we emphasize the approach developed in [18], where Cozzi [18] introduced fractional De Giorgi classes and then exhibited regularity theory for these classes. As immediate applications of regularity results, the boundedness, Hölder continuity and Harnack inequality directly can be established for the weak solutions and minimizers of corresponding equations/functionals via proving nonlocal Caccioppoli estimates.

Let us turn to the combination of local and nonlocal linear equations of the simplest version:

$$-\Delta u + (-\Delta)^s u = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

for which the Harnack inequality of nonnegative harmonic functions was showed in [26]; see [15] for the boundary version. In addition, the Harnack inequality regarding the parabolic version of (1.6) was established in [1, 16], where however the authors only proved such inequality for globally nonnegative solutions. Very recently, Garain-Kinnunen [28] proved a weak Harnack inequality with a tail term for sign changing solutions to the parabolic problem of (1.6). For what concerns radial symmetry, maximum principle, interior and boundary Lipschitz regularity for the weak solutions to (1.6), Biagi-Dipierro-Valdinoci-Vecchi [4, 5] have systematically studied. One can also refer to [42] and [6] for the qualitative and quantitative properties on the equations involving a general nonhomogenous term  $g(u, x)$  and the boundary regularity of more general mixed local-nonlocal operators.

When it comes to the nonlinear framework of (1.6) as below,

$$-\Delta_p u + (-\Delta)_p^s u = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

by using a purely analytic approach based on the De Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory, Garain-Kinnunen [27] demonstrated local boundedness and Hölder continuity, Harnack inequalities as well as semicontinuity representative of weak solutions. Consequently, Fang-Shang-Zhang [24] studied the local regularity for the parabolic counterpart to (1.7); see [29] for more general construction. Some extra aspects of such equations have already been explored as well, such as existence, uniqueness and higher Hölder regularity [30], problems with measure data [11]. It is worth mentioning that De Filippis-Mingione [21] first considered the mixed local and nonlocal functionals with nonuniform growth of the type (1.1) and established the maximal regularity, that is (local)  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity, for minimizers of such problems under the center assumption  $p > sq$ . Byun-Lee-Song [10] investigated the case that local term provides no regularizing effects, where the Hölder regularity and Harnack inequality were discussed for the minimizers to the functionals modeled after

$$u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |Du|^p dx$$

with  $a(x) \geq 0, p > q > 1$ . Note that the hypothesis required in (1.5) and the center assumption on growth exponents  $p, q$  in [21, 10] are mutually exclusive. Finally, let us mention that in view of the condition  $p \neq q$  the functional (1.1) is closely related to a large number of anisotropic local or nonlocal problems with nonstandard growth, see for instance [35, 17, 2, 3, 22, 9, 25].

## 1.2 Statements of the main results

We would like to point out that the present work is motivated by the paper of De Filippis and Mingione [21]. *In particular, the authors in the open problem 8.3 of [21] posed a question concerning the possibility of removing, when  $q > p$ , the (local) boundedness assumption on  $u$  in Theorems 3, 5 and 6.* To this end, we try to give a positive answer to that. As far as we known, there is no theory yet for the mixed local and nonlocal equation (1.1) when  $sq \geq p$ . Our intention is to study the local boundedness, Hölder continuity and Harnack estimat for the minimizers of (1.1) with nonhomogeneous term  $f$  through the expansion of positivity. In order to simplify our presentations, we introduce some notations needed in the coming text.

**Notations.** As usual, the domain  $B_\rho(x_0)$  is a ball with radius  $\rho > 0$  and center  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . The symbol can be simplified by writing  $B_\rho = B_\rho(x_0)$ . For any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N, k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r > 0$ , we define the sets

$$A^-(k, x_0, r) := B_r(x_0) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid u < k\} \quad \text{and} \quad A^+(k, x_0, r) := B_r(x_0) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid u > k\}, \quad (1.8)$$

which are abbreviated by

$$A^-(k, r) := A^-(k, x_0, r) \quad \text{and} \quad A^+(k, r) := A^+(k, x_0, r)$$

when the choice of  $x_0$  is clear. For  $g \in L^1(V)$ , the mean average of  $g$  is given by

$$(g)_V := \int_V g(x) dx := \frac{1}{|V|} \int_V g(x) dx.$$

For the function  $a(\cdot)$ , we denote

$$a_V^+ := \sup_{x \in V} a(x)$$

for any  $V \subseteq \Omega$ . For the case  $V = B_R(x_0)$ , we simply the notations by taking  $a_R^+ := a_{B_R(x_0)}^+$ .

The continuous measure  $\mu$  in this work admits

$$d\mu = d\mu(x, y) = |x - y|^{-N-sq} dx dy.$$

Throughout this paper, we set  $p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}$  for  $1 < p < N$ ,  $q_s^* := \frac{Nq}{N-sq}$  for  $1 < sq < N$  and  $\bar{q} := \frac{q(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}$ . For arbitrarily fixed  $r > 0$ , we also need define the functions

$$h_r(t) := \frac{t^{p-1}}{r^p} + \frac{t^{q-1}}{r^{sq}}, \quad g_r(t) := \frac{t^{\bar{q}-1}}{r^{\bar{q}}}, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$H_r(t) := \frac{t^p}{r^p} + \frac{t^q}{r^{sq}}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.10)$$

Throughout this paper,  $H_r^{-1}, h_r^{-1}, g_r^{-1}$  are separately the inverses of  $H_r, h_r, g_r$ , and we use  $C$  to denote a general positive constant which only depends on  $p, s, q, \gamma, \Lambda, N$  and  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  without additional explanations.

Now, we are in a position to present the boundedness results.

**Theorem 1** (Local boundedness). *Assume that (1.2)–(1.5) hold with  $a_0 > 0$ . Suppose that  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^\gamma(\Omega)$  with  $\gamma > \max\left\{\frac{N}{p}, \frac{q}{q-1}\right\}$ . Let  $B_r := B_r(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ . Then for any minimizer  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of the functional (1.1), the following estimate holds for any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$\sup_{B_{r/2}} u \leq C_\delta H_r^{-1} \left( \int_{B_r} H_r(u_+) dx \right) + \delta h_r^{-1} \left( r^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1} \left( u_+; x_0, \frac{r}{2} \right) \right) + \delta g_r^{-1} \left( \left( \int_{B_r} |f|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right)$$

with  $C_\delta > 0$  depending only on  $s, p, q, N, \Lambda, \gamma, a_0, A_0$  and  $\delta$ .

Observe that in the case  $a_0 > 0$ , we can see  $K_{sq}(x, y) \approx \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+sq}}$  as usual. Nonetheless, when the function  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  vanishes on some points (i.e.,  $a_0 = 0$ ), the functional (1.1) exhibits the features of mixed local and nonlocal double phase functional in fact. At this point, we still can prove minimizers are locally bounded by confining the distance between  $p$  and  $q$  as below. In addition, taking into account the nonlocal double integral in (1.1) whose kernel  $K_{sq}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is perturbed by coefficient  $a$ , here we introduce a “tail space with weight” and the corresponding nonlocal tail with weight denoted by

$$L_{a, sq}^{q-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ v \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x, y) \frac{|v(y)|^{q-1}}{(1+|y|)^{N+sq}} dy < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\text{Tail}_a(v; x_0, r) := \left( r^{sq} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in B_{2r}(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} a(x, y) \frac{|v(y)|^{q-1}}{|y-x_0|^{N+sq}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}. \quad (1.11)$$

We can readily verify that  $\text{Tail}_a(u; x_0, r)$  is finite for every  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and  $r \in (0, 2^{-1} \operatorname{dist}\{x_0, \partial\Omega\})$ , provided that  $u \in L_{a, sq}^{q-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Thereby when we deduce the local boundedness on minimizers, we only impose local boundedness in  $\Omega \times \Omega$  on  $a(x, y)$ .

**Theorem 2** (Local boundedness). *Suppose that (1.2)–(1.3) and (1.5) as well as  $0 \leq a(x, y) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$  are in force. Let*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{q}{p} \leq 1 + \frac{p}{N-p} \text{ for } 1 < p \leq N, \\ q < \infty \text{ for } p > N, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

and  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^\gamma(\Omega)$  with

$$\gamma > \max \left\{ \frac{q}{q-p}, \frac{p}{p-1}, \frac{N}{p} \right\} \text{ for } p \leq N, \text{ and } \gamma = 1 \text{ for } p > N. \quad (1.13)$$

Then any minimizer  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L_{a, sq}^{q-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  of the functional (1.1) is locally bounded in  $\Omega$ .

Based on the above boundedness result, Theorem 1, we can further apply the expansion of positivity technique to establish the Hölder continuity of minimizers. We remark that the coming Hölder continuity result is built on the assumption  $a_0 > 0$ . The main reason is that when  $a(x, y) \geq 0$ , the constant  $C$  in the Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 would depend on  $L^\infty$ -norm of minimizers and also on  $M$  in Lemma 4.2, even if we impose the Hölder continuity on  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ . This would lead to the failure of the iteration in the proof of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 3** (Hölder continuity). *Assume that (1.2)–(1.5) hold with  $a_0 > 0$ . Let  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^\gamma(\Omega)$  with  $\gamma > \max \left\{ \frac{N}{p}, \frac{q}{q-1} \right\}$ . Then any minimizer  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of the functional (1.1) is locally  $C^{0, \alpha}$ -continuous in  $\Omega$  with some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  depending only upon  $N, p, s, q, \Lambda, \gamma, a_0, A_0$ .*

Additionally, according to the supremum estimate in Theorem 1, tail estimate below along with expansion of positivity, we could deduce the Harnack inequality for minimizers. However, when  $a(x, y) \geq 0$ , it is not clear how to control  $\text{Tail}_a(u_+; x_0, R)$  by  $\text{Tail}_a(u_-; x_0, R)$ . Hence, we impose the positivity assumption on  $a_0$  to ensure tail term in the Harnack inequality involves  $u_-$  instead of  $u$ .

**Theorem 4** (Harnack inequality). *Assume that (1.2)–(1.5) hold with  $a_0 > 0$ . Suppose that  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^\gamma(\Omega)$  with  $\gamma > \max \left\{ \frac{N}{p}, \frac{q}{q-1} \right\}$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a minimizer of the functional (1.1) such that  $u \geq 0$  in  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ . Assume  $R \in (0, 1)$  satisfies  $0 < R < \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)/4$ . Then there holds that*

$$\sup_{B_R(x_0)} u \leq C \left( \inf_{B_R(x_0)} u + \text{Tail}_a(u_-; x_0, R) + (R^{p-N/\gamma} \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{2R})})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (R^{\bar{q}-N/\gamma} \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{2R})})^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}-1}} \right)$$

with  $C$  only depending on  $\Lambda, s, p, q, N, \gamma, a_0, A_0$ .

### 1.3 Preliminaries

This section collects some imbedding inequalities and iteration results as preliminary ingredients. The first one is fractional Poincaré inequality in  $W^{s,q}$ . From now on, unless otherwise specified, we always suppose the conditions (1.2)–(1.5) hold true.

**Lemma 1.1.** (see [37, Formula (6.3)]) *Let  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . Then for any  $f \in W^{s,q}(B_r)$ , there holds that*

$$\int_{B_r} |f(x) - (f)_{B_r}|^q dx \leq Cr^{sq-N} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy$$

with  $C > 0$  only depending on  $s, q$  and  $N$ .

What follows is a version of isoperimetric inequality for level sets of Sobolev functions. This kind of inequality was first introduced by De Giorgi [23]. Here we invoke the one formulated by [18].

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $N \geq 2$  be an integer and  $p > 1$ . Then, for any two real numbers  $h_1 < h_2$  and any  $f \in W^{1,p}(B_R)$ , it holds that*

$$\left( \frac{|B_R \cap \{f \leq h_1\}|}{|B_R|} \cdot \frac{|B_R \cap \{f \geq h_2\}|}{|B_R|} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \leq \frac{CR^{1-\frac{N}{p}}}{h_2 - h_1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(B_R)} \left( \frac{|B_R \cap \{h_1 < f < h_2\}|}{|B_R|} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}},$$

for some constant  $C \geq 1$  depending only on  $N$  and  $p$ .

We now recall a classical iteration lemma.

**Lemma 1.3.** ([31, Lemma 4.3]) *Let  $\{Y_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive numbers, satisfying the recursive inequalities*

$$Y_{j+1} \leq Kb^j(Y_j^{1+\delta_1} + Y_j^{1+\delta_2}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $K > 0, b > 1$  and  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_1 > 0$  are given numbers. If

$$Y_0 \leq \min \left\{ 1, (2K)^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1^2}} \right\} \quad \text{or} \quad Y_0 \leq \min \left\{ (2K)^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1^2}}, (2K)^{-\frac{1}{\delta_2}} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1\delta_2} - \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_1}{\delta_2^2}} \right\},$$

then  $Y_j \leq 1$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,

$$Y_j \leq \min \left\{ 1, (2K)^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1^2}} b^{-\frac{j}{\delta_1}} \right\} \quad \text{for all } j \geq j_0,$$

where  $j_0$  is the smallest  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  satisfying  $Y_j \leq 1$ . In particular,  $Y_j$  converges to zero as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

We end the subsection with a technical lemma that plays an important role in the forthcoming context.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $p, q, s$  satisfy (1.5) and (1.12). Then there is a positive constant  $C = C(N, p, q, s)$  such that for each  $f \in W^{1,p}(B_r)$  and any  $L_0 > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} \left| \frac{f}{r} \right|^p + L_0 \left| \frac{f}{r^s} \right|^q dx &\leq CL_0 r^{(1-s)q} \left( \int_{B_r} |Df|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} + C \left( \frac{|\text{supp } f|}{|B_r|} \right)^\sigma \int_{B_r} |Df|^p dx \\ &\quad + C \left( \frac{|\text{supp } f|}{|B_r|} \right)^{p-1} \int_{B_r} \left| \frac{f}{r} \right|^p + L_0 \left| \frac{f}{r^s} \right|^q dx \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\sigma := \begin{cases} \frac{p}{N} & \text{for } 1 < p < N, \\ 1 - \frac{p}{q} & \text{for } p \geq N. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Applying the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality, we can see

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_r} \left| \frac{f}{r^s} \right|^q dx &\leq C \int_{B_r} \left| \frac{f - (f)_{B_r}}{r^s} \right|^q dx + C \left| \frac{(f)_{B_r}}{r^s} \right|^q \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{B_r} \left| \frac{f - (f)_{B_r}}{r^s} \right|^{p_q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p_q^*}} + C \left| \frac{(f)_{B_r}}{r^s} \right|^q \\
&\leq Cr^{(1-s)q} \left( \int_{B_r} |Df|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} + C \left| \frac{(f)_{B_r}}{r^s} \right|^q,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_q^* = \begin{cases} p^* & \text{for } 1 < p < N, \\ q & \text{for } p \geq N. \end{cases}$$

In a similar way, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_r} \left| \frac{f}{r} \right|^p dx &\leq C \int_{B_r} \left| \frac{f - (f)_{B_r}}{r} \right|^p \chi_{\{f \neq 0\}} dx + C \left| \frac{(f)_{B_r}}{r} \right|^p \\
&\leq C \left( \frac{|\text{supp } f|}{|B_r|} \right)^\sigma \left( \int_{B_r} \left| \frac{f - (f)_{B_r}}{r} \right|^{p_q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p_q^*}} + C \left| \frac{(f)_{B_r}}{r} \right|^p \\
&\leq C \left( \frac{|\text{supp } f|}{|B_r|} \right)^\sigma \int_{B_r} |Df|^p dx + C \left| \frac{(f)_{B_r}}{r} \right|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_0 \left| \frac{(f)_{B_r}}{r^s} \right|^q + \left| \frac{(f)_{B_r}}{r} \right|^p &\leq L_0 r^{-sq} \left( \frac{|\text{supp } f|}{|B_r|} \right)^{q-1} \int_{B_r} |f|^q dx + r^{-p} \left( \frac{|\text{supp } f|}{|B_r|} \right)^{p-1} \int_{B_r} |f|^p dx \\
&\leq \left( \frac{|\text{supp } f|}{|B_r|} \right)^{p-1} \int_{B_r} \left| \frac{f}{r} \right|^p + L_0 \left| \frac{f}{r^s} \right|^q dx.
\end{aligned}$$

A combination of the above estimates results in the claim.  $\square$

## 2 Caccioppoli estimates

This section is devoted to establishing the Caccioppoli estimates that encodes all the information needed to show (local) regularity of minimizers including boundedness, Hölder continuity and Harnack estimates.

**Lemma 2.1** (Caccioppoli inequality). *Let  $B_{2R} \equiv B_{2R}(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ . Suppose that  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  is a minimizer to (1.1). Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  only depending on  $s, p, q, \Lambda, N$  such that for any  $0 < \rho < r \leq R$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\rho} |Dw_\pm|^p dx + \int_{B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} a(x, y) |w_\pm(x) - w_\pm(y)|^q d\mu + \int_{B_\rho} w_\pm(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{a(x, y) w_\pm^{q-1}(y)}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dy \right) dx \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(r - \rho)^p} \int_{B_r} w_\pm^p dx + \frac{C}{(r - \rho)^q} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} a(x, y) \frac{|w_\pm(x) + w_\pm(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+(s-1)q}} dx dy \\
&\quad + \frac{Cr^{N+sq}}{(r - \rho)^{N+sq}} \int_{B_r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho} a(x, y) \frac{w_\pm^{q-1}(x) w_\pm(y)}{|x - x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy + C \int_{B_r} |f| w_\pm dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $w_\pm = (u - k)_\pm$  with a level  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** We only give the sketch of proof, because that is similar to [18, Proposition 7.5]. Choose any  $\rho_1, r_1$  satisfying  $\rho \leq \rho_1 < r_1 \leq r$ . Let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{(r_1+\rho_1)/2})$  be a cut-off function satisfying  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ ,  $\phi \equiv 1$  in  $B_{\rho_1}$  and  $|\nabla\phi| \leq 4/(r_1 - \rho_1)$ . Using  $v = u - w_+\phi$  as a test function in (1.1), we can see

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} (F(x, Dv) - F(x, Du)) dx + \int_{B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_1}} a(x, y) (|v(x) - v(y)|^q - |u(x) - u(y)|^q) d\mu \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_1}} a(x, y) (|v(x) - v(y)|^q - |u(x) - u(y)|^q) d\mu + \int_{B_{r_1}} (v - u) f(x) dx \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

We estimate terms  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  and  $I_4$  in (2.1) separately. For  $I_1$ , we obtain

$$I_1 \leq C \int_{B_{r_1} \setminus B_{\rho_1}} |Dw_+|^p dx + C \int_{B_r} \left| \frac{w_+}{r_1 - \rho_1} \right|^p dx - \Lambda^{-1} \int_{B_{r_1}} |Dw_+|^p dx.$$

The details can be found in [10, Page 7]. Following the computations in [18, P4819–4821], we know that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 + I_3 &\leq -\frac{1}{C} \int_{B_{\rho_1}} \int_{B_{\rho_1}} a(x, y) |w_+(x) - w_+(y)|^q d\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{C} \int_{B_{\rho_1}} w_+(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{a(x, y) w_+^{q-1}(y)}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dy \right) dx \\ &\quad + C \iint_{(B_{r_1} \times B_{r_1}) \setminus (B_{\rho_1} \times B_{\rho_1})} a(x, y) |w_+(x) - w_+(y)|^q d\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{(r_1 - \rho_1)^q} \int_{B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_1}} a(x, y) \frac{|w_+(x) + w_+(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+(s-1)q}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \frac{Cr^{N+sq}}{(r_1 - \rho_1)^{N+sq}} \int_{B_r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\rho_1}} \frac{a(x, y) w_+^{q-1}(x) w_+(y)}{|x - x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

with some universal  $C \geq 1$ . For the term  $I_4$ , it is easy to see

$$(v - u)f(x) = \phi(x)w_+(x)f(x),$$

which directly gives the estimate of  $I_4$  as below,

$$I_4 \leq \int_{B_r} w_+(x) |f(x)| dx.$$

We can conclude from the estimates of  $I_1 - I_4$  that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_{\rho_1}} \int_{B_{\rho_1}} a(x, y) |w_+(x) - w_+(y)|^q d\mu + \int_{B_{\rho_1}} |Dw_+|^p dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{\rho_1}} w_+(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{a(x, y) w_+^{q-1}(y)}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dy \right) dx \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B_{r_1} \setminus B_{\rho_1}} \int_{B_{r_1} \setminus B_{\rho_1}} a(x, y) |w_+(x) - w_+(y)|^q d\mu + \int_{B_{r_1} \setminus B_{\rho_1}} |Dw_+|^p dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{(r_1 - \rho_1)^q} \int_{B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_1}} a(x, y) \frac{|w_+(x) + w_+(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+(s-1)q}} dx dy + \frac{1}{(r_1 - \rho_1)^p} \int_{B_r} w_+^p dx \right) \end{aligned}$$



$$+ \frac{r^{N+sq}}{(r_1 - \rho_1)^{N+sq}} \int_{B_r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho} \frac{a(x, y) w_+^{q-1}(x) w_+(y)}{|x - x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy + \int_{B_r} w_+(x) |f(x)| dx \Big). \quad (2.2)$$

Let us define  $\Phi(t)$  as below,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &= \int_{B_t} \int_{B_t} a(x, y) |w_+(x) - w_+(y)|^q d\mu + \int_{B_t} |Dw_+|^p dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_t} w_+(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{a(x, y) w_+^{q-1}(y)}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dy \right) dx, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows by (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\rho_1) &\leq C(\Phi(r_1) - \Phi(\rho_1)) + \frac{C}{(r_1 - \rho_1)^q} \int_{B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_1}} a(x, y) \frac{|w_+(x) + w_+(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+(s-1)q}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{(r_1 - \rho_1)^p} \int_{B_r} w_+^p dx + \frac{C r^{N+sq}}{(r_1 - \rho_1)^{N+sq}} \int_{B_r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho} \frac{a(x, y) w_+^{q-1}(x) w_+(y)}{|x - x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\ &\quad + C \int_{B_r} w_+(x) |f(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

with  $C = C(N, s, p, q, \Lambda)$ . This allows us to apply the the technical lemma [21, Lemma 2.5] to arrive at the desired estimate.  $\square$

### 3 Local boundedness

This section is devoted to obtaining, by Caccioppoli inequality, the local boundedness of the minimizers to (1.1), Theorems 1 and 2.

For arbitrarily fixed center  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and radius  $r \in (0, 1)$  satisfying  $B_{2r} \equiv B_{2r}(x_0) \subset \subset \Omega$ , let us take a decreasing sequence

$$r_i = 2^{-1}r + 2^{-i-1}r, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.1)$$

The balls  $B_i$  are chosen as

$$B_i = B_{r_i}(x_0), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.2)$$

We define sequences of increasing levels and the corresponding functions as below:

$$k_i = (1 - 2^{-i}) \bar{k} \quad \text{with } \bar{k} > 0, \quad w_i = (u - k_i)_+, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.3)$$

Note that

$$r_i - r_{i+1} = 2^{-i-2}r, \quad k_{i+1} - k_i = 2^{-i-1} \bar{k} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{i+1} \leq w_i,$$

which shall be used many times in the sequel. In the two coming lemmas, we deal with the Caccioppoli inequality written for the function  $w_i$  over the domains  $B_{i+1}$  and  $B_i$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $u$  is a minimizer to (1.1) with  $K_{sq}$  and  $F$  satisfying (1.2)–(1.4) with  $a_0 > 0$ , and the function  $f|_{B_r}$  belongs to  $L^\gamma(B_r)$  with  $\gamma > \frac{q}{q-1}$ . Let the notations  $B_i$  and  $w_i$  be given in (3.2)–(3.3) respectively. Then we have for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  that*

$$\int_{B_{i+1}} H_r(w_{i+1}) dx \leq \frac{C 2^{i(N+q)}}{(H_r(k_{i+1} - k_i))^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}}} \left( \int_{B_i} H_r(w_i) dx \right)^{1 + \frac{1}{\kappa'}} \left( 1 + \frac{\overline{\text{Tail}}(u_+; x_0, r/2)}{h_r(k_{i+1} - k_i)} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{C2^{i(N+q)}}{(H_r(k_{i+1} - k_i))^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}}} \left( \int_{B_i} H_r(w_i) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\kappa'}} \frac{d}{g_r(k_{i+1} - k_i)},$$

where  $\kappa := p^*/p$ ,  $\frac{1}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{\kappa'} = 1$ ,  $d := \left( \int_{B_r} |f(x)|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$ , the functions  $H_r(\cdot), g_r(\cdot)$  are determined by (1.9)–(1.10), and

$$\overline{\text{Tail}}(u_+; x_0, r/2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r/2}(x_0)} \frac{u_+^{q-1}(x)}{|x - x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy.$$

**Proof.** By utilizing the (fractional) Sobolev embedding theorem and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{i+1}} H_r(w_{i+1}) dx \\ & \leq \left( \frac{|A^+(k_{i+1}, w_{i+1})|}{|B_{i+1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}} \left( \int_{B_{i+1}} \left( \frac{w_{i+1}^p}{r_{i+1}^p} + \frac{w_{i+1}^q}{r_{i+1}^{sq}} \right)^\kappa dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \\ & \leq C \left( \frac{|A^+(k_{i+1}, w_{i+1})|}{|B_{i+1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}} \left( \int_{B_{i+1}} \int_{B_{i+1}} |w_{i+1}(x) - w_{i+1}(y)|^q d\mu + \int_{B_{i+1}} |Dw_{i+1}|^p dx \right) \\ & \quad + C \left( \frac{|A^+(k_{i+1}, w_{i+1})|}{|B_{i+1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}} \int_{B_{i+1}} H_r(w_{i+1}) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where we used the fact  $\kappa := \min\{p^*/p, q^*/q\}$  and  $\frac{1}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{\kappa'} = 1$ . It is not hard to verify that

$$|A^+(k_{i+1}, r_{i+1})| \leq \int_{A^+(k_{i+1}, r_{i+1})} \frac{H_r((u - k_i)_+)}{H_r(k_{i+1} - k_i)} dx \leq \int_{B_i} \frac{H_r(w_i)}{H_r(k_{i+1} - k_i)} dx,$$

where the set  $A^+$  is defined as (1.8). Applying Lemma 2.1 with  $a_0 > 0$  and (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{i+1}} \int_{B_{i+1}} \frac{|w_i(x) - w_i(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy + \int_{B_{i+1}} |Dw_i|^p dx \\ & \leq C \left( \frac{r_i}{r_i - r_{i+1}} \right)^{N+q} \left( \int_{B_i} \frac{w_{i+1}^p}{r^p} + \frac{w_{i+1}^q}{r^{sq}} dx + \int_{B_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{i+1}} \frac{w_{i+1}^{q-1}(x)w_{i+1}(y)}{|x - x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy + \int_{B_i} |f|w_{i+1} dx \right) \\ & \leq C2^{(N+q)i} \left( \int_{B_i} H_r(w_{i+1}) dx + \overline{\text{Tail}}(u_+; x_0, r/2) \int_{B_i} w_{i+1} dx + \int_{B_i} |f|w_{i+1} dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Now we analyse

$$\int_{B_i} w_{i+1} dx \leq \int_{B_i} \frac{h_r((u - k_i)_+)}{h_r(k_{i+1} - k_i)} (u - k_{i+1})_+ dx \leq \frac{1}{h_r(k_{i+1} - k_i)} \int_{B_i} H_r((u - k_i)_+) dx$$

and

$$w_{i+1} = (u - k_{i+1})_+ \leq (u - k_{i+1})_+ \left[ \frac{(u - k_i)_+}{k_{i+1} - k_i} \right]^{l-1} \leq \frac{(u - k_i)_+^l}{(k_{i+1} - k_i)^{l-1}}, \quad \text{for } l \geq 1.$$

For the last integral in (3.5), we have by the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_i} |f|w_{i+1} dx & \leq \frac{1}{(k_{i+1} - k_i)^{q-1}} \left( \int_{B_i} |f|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left( \int_{B_i} w_i^{\bar{q}\gamma'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma'}} \\ & = \frac{r^{\bar{q}}}{(k_{i+1} - k_i)^{q-1}} \left( \int_{B_i} |f|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left( \int_{B_i} \frac{w_i^q}{r^q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma'}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{r^{\bar{q}}}{(k_{i+1} - k_i)^{\bar{q}-1}} \left( \int_{B_i} |f|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left( \int_{B_i} H_r(w_i) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \quad (3.6)$$

with  $\bar{q} := q/\gamma' = (\gamma - 1)q/\gamma$ . Substituting (3.5) and (3.6) into (3.4) leads to the desired estimate.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $u$  is a minimizer to (1.1) with  $K_{sq}$  and  $F$  satisfying (1.2)–(1.4) with  $a_0 > 0$ , and the function  $f|_{B_r}$  belongs to  $L^\gamma(B_r)$  with*

$$\gamma > \max \left\{ \frac{N}{p}, \frac{q}{q-1} \right\}.$$

Then, for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\sup_{B_{r/2}} u_+ \leq C_\delta H_r^{-1} \left( \int_{B_r} H_r(u_+) dx \right) + \delta h_r^{-1}(r^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; x_0, r/2)) + \delta g_r^{-1}(d),$$

where  $d = \left( \int_{B_r} |f(x)|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$  and the constant  $C_\delta > 0$  depends on  $s, p, q, N, \Lambda, a_0, A_0$  and  $\delta$ .

**Proof.** Recalling the definitions of  $w_i, B_i$  and  $H_r(\cdot)$ , we denote

$$Y_i = \int_{B_i} H_r(w_i) dx.$$

Lemma 3.1 gives the following estimate for  $Y_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i+1} &\leq \frac{C2^{i(N+q)}}{(H_r(2^{-i-1}\bar{k}))^{1/\kappa'}} \left( 1 + \frac{\text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} h_r(2^{-i-1}\bar{k})} \right) Y_i^{1+\frac{1}{\kappa'}} \\ &\quad + \frac{C2^{i(N+q)}}{(H_r(2^{-i-1}\bar{k}))^{1/\kappa'}} \frac{d}{g_r(2^{-i-1}\bar{k})} Y_i^{1+\left(\frac{1}{\kappa'} + \frac{1}{\gamma'} - 1\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

By observing

$$H_r(2^{-i-1}\bar{k}) \geq 2^{-(i+1)q} H_r(\bar{k}), \quad h_r(2^{-i-1}\bar{k}) \geq 2^{-(i+1)(q-1)} h_r(\bar{k})$$

and

$$g_r(2^{-i-1}\bar{k}) = 2^{-(i+1)(\bar{q}-1)} g_r(\bar{k}),$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i+1} &\leq \frac{C2^{i(N+2q+\frac{q}{\kappa'})}}{H_r(\bar{k})^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}}} \left( 1 + \frac{\text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} h_r(\bar{k})} \right) Y_i^{1+\frac{1}{\kappa'}} \\ &\quad + \frac{C2^{i(N+q+\bar{q}+\frac{q}{\kappa'})}}{H_r(\bar{k})^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}}} \frac{d}{g_r(\bar{k})} Y_i^{1+\left(\frac{1}{\kappa'} + \frac{1}{\gamma'} - 1\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we pick first  $\bar{k} \geq \delta h_r^{-1}(r^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; x_0, r/2)) + \delta g_r^{-1}(d)$  such that

$$\frac{\delta^q \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} h_r(\bar{k})} \leq \frac{\text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} h_r(\bar{k}/\delta)} \leq 1$$

and

$$\frac{\delta^q d}{g_r(\bar{k})} \leq \frac{d}{g_r(\bar{k}/\delta)} \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$Y_{i+1} \leq \frac{C2^{i\theta}}{\delta^q H_r(\bar{k})^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}}} \left( Y_i^{1+\frac{1}{\kappa'}} + Y_i^{1+\left(\frac{1}{\kappa'} + \frac{1}{\gamma'} - 1\right)} \right),$$

where  $\theta := N + 2q + \frac{q}{\kappa'}$ . Moreover, we can verify

$$\frac{1}{\kappa'} + \frac{1}{\gamma'} = \frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} > 1$$

due to  $\gamma > \frac{\kappa}{\kappa - 1}$  ensured by (1.13).

With taking  $\sigma := \frac{\kappa'}{1/\kappa' + 1/\gamma' - 1} + (\kappa')^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma'}\right)$ , we aim at selecting  $\bar{k}$  large enough such that

$$Y_0 = \int_{B_r} H_r((u - \bar{k})) dx \leq \int_{B_r} H_r(u_+) dx \leq \left( \frac{2C}{\delta^q (H_r(\bar{k}))^{1/\kappa'}} \right)^{-\kappa'} 2^{-\theta\sigma}. \quad (3.7)$$

By noticing

$$\left( \frac{2C}{\delta^q (H_r(\bar{k}))^{1/\kappa'}} \right)^{-\kappa'} 2^{-\theta\sigma} = 2^{-\theta\sigma} \left( \frac{\delta^q}{2C} \right)^{\kappa'} H_r(\bar{k})$$

and doing some calculations, we select  $\bar{k}$  such that

$$\bar{k} \geq H_r^{-1} \left( 2^{\theta\sigma} \left( \frac{2C}{\delta^q} \right)^{\kappa'} \int_{B_r} H_r(u_+) dx \right)$$

to ensure the validity of (3.7). We eventually choose

$$\bar{k} = H_r^{-1} \left( 2^{\theta\sigma} \left( \frac{2C}{\delta^q} \right)^{\kappa'} \int_{B_r} H_r(u_+) dx \right) + \delta h_r^{-1}(\text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; x_0, r/2)/r^{sq}) + \delta g_r^{-1}(d).$$

At this moment, we can exploit Lemma 1.3 to conclude that  $Y_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . This ends the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The theorem is a direct corollary of Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

Next, we consider the more general scenario that  $a_0 = 0$  in (1.4), which enjoys indeed the properties of double phase problems at this time.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $u$  be a minimizer to (1.1). Suppose that (1.2)–(1.5) with  $a_0 = 0$  are in force. Let*

$$\begin{cases} q \leq \frac{Np}{N-p} =: p^* \text{ for } 1 < p \leq N, \\ q < \infty \text{ for } p > N \end{cases}$$

*hold true. The function  $f|_{B_r}$  belongs to  $L^\gamma(B_r)$  with  $\gamma > \frac{p}{p-1}$ . Let the notations  $B_i, w_i$  be given in (3.2)–(3.3), respectively. Then we have for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  that*

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-p} \int_{B_{i+1}} H(w_{i+1}) dx &\leq Cr^{(1-s)q} 2^{im} \left( \frac{1}{r^{sq}} + \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{\text{Tail}_a^{q-1}(u_+, x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} \bar{k}^{q-1}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &+ \frac{Cr^{(1-s)q} 2^{im} d^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\bar{k}^{(p-1)q/p}} \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^{\frac{q(\gamma-1)}{p\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C2^{im} \left( \frac{1}{r^{sq}} + \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{\text{Tail}_a^{q-1}(u_+, x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} k^{q-1}} \right) \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^{2 - \frac{p}{p^*}} \\
& + \frac{C2^{im} d}{k^{q(1-p/p^*) + \bar{p} - 1}} \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^{2 - \frac{p}{p^*} - \frac{1}{\gamma}} \\
& + \frac{C2^{im}}{r^{sq} k^{q(p-1)}} \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^p, \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{p} := (\gamma - 1)p/\gamma$ ,  $m := \max\{(N + sq)q/p, q + \bar{p} - pq/p^*, q(p - 1)\}$ ,  $d = \left( \int_{B_r} |f(x)|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$  and the function  $H(\cdot)$  is defined by  $H(t) := t^p + a_r^+ t^q$  for  $t > 0$ . Here  $\text{Tail}_a(u_+, x_0, r/2)$  is given by (1.11).

**Proof.** We choose  $\rho = r_{i+1}$  and  $r = r_i$  in Lemma 2.1 to get that for any  $\beta$  satisfying  $1 \leq \beta < p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_i} |Dw_i|^p dx & \leq \frac{C}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^p} \int_{B_i} w_{i+1}^p dx + \frac{C}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^q} \int_{B_i} \int_{B_i} a(x, y) \frac{|w_{i+1}(x) + w_{i+1}(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+(s-1)q}} dx dy \\
& + \frac{Cr_i^{N+sq}}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^{N+sq}} \int_{B_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{i+1}} a(x, y) \frac{w_{i+1}^{q-1}(x) w_{i+1}(y)}{|x - x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy + C \int_{B_i} |f| w_{i+1} dx \\
& \leq \frac{C2^{iq} a_r^+}{r^{sq}} \int_{B_i} w_i^q dx + \frac{C2^{ip}}{r^p} \int_{B_i} w_i^p dx + \frac{C2^{(i+1)(\beta-1)}}{k^{\bar{q}-1}} \int_{B_i} |f| w_i^\beta dx \\
& + \frac{C2^{i(N+sq+q)} \text{Tail}_a^{q-1}(u_+, x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} k^{q-1}} \int_{B_i} w_i^q dx, \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

where we utilized  $w_{i+1} \leq u_+$ ,  $r \geq r_i \geq r/2$  and

$$w_{i+1} = (u - k_{i+1})_+ \leq \frac{2^{(i+1)(p-1)}}{k^{\beta-1}} w_i^\beta.$$

Now we consider the integral  $\int_{B_i} |f| w_i^\beta dx$  and use the Hölder inequality to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_i} |f| w_i^\beta dx & \leq \left( \int_{B_i} |f|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left( \int_{B_i} w_i^{\frac{\gamma\beta}{\gamma-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \\
& \leq C \left( \int_{B_r} |f|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left( \int_{B_i} w_i^p dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}, \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta$  was taken as  $\beta = \bar{p}$ . Thus, by inserting (3.10) into (3.9), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{i+1}} |Dw_{i+1}|^p dx & \leq \left( \frac{C2^{iq}}{r^{sq}} + \frac{C2^{ip}}{r^p} + \frac{C2^{i(N+sq)} \text{Tail}_a^{q-1}(u_+, x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} k^{q-1}} \right) \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \\
& + \frac{C2^{i(\bar{p}-1)} d}{k^{\bar{p}-1}} \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}. \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 1.4, there holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{i+1}^{-q} \int_{B_{i+1}} H(w_{i+1}) dx & \leq \int_{B_{i+1}} \left( \frac{w_{i+1}}{r_{i+1}} \right)^p + a_r^+ \left( \frac{w_{i+1}}{r_{i+1}^s} \right)^q dx \\
& \leq Cr_{i+1}^{(1-s)q} a_r^+ \left( \int_{B_{i+1}} |Dw_{i+1}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \left( \frac{|A^+(k_{i+1}, r_{i+1})|}{|B_{i+1}|} \right)^\sigma \int_{B_{i+1}} |Dw_{i+1}|^p dx \\
& + C \left( \frac{|A^+(k_{i+1}, r_{i+1})|}{|B_{i+1}|} \right)^{p-1} \int_{B_{i+1}} H \left( \frac{w_{i+1}}{r_{i+1}} \right) dx
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

with

$$\sigma := \begin{cases} \frac{p}{N} & \text{for } 1 < p < N, \\ 1 - \frac{p}{q} & \text{for } p \geq N. \end{cases}$$

Here the choice of  $r$  directly ensures that

$$\int_{B_{i+1}} H \left( \frac{w_{i+1}}{r_{i+1}} \right) dx \leq Cr^{-sq} \int_{B_{i+1}} H(w_{i+1}) dx.$$

It is not hard to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|A^+(k_{i+1}, r_{i+1})|}{|B_{i+1}|} & \leq \frac{1}{|B_{i+1}|} \int_{A^+(k_{i+1}, r_{i+1})} \frac{(u - k_i)_+^p}{(k_{i+1} - k_i)^p} dx \\
& = \frac{2^{(i+1)(p-1)}}{|B_{i+1}|} \int_{A^+(k_{i+1}, r_{i+1})} \frac{w_i^p}{\bar{k}^{p-1}} dx \\
& \leq \frac{2^{(i+1)p}}{\bar{k}^p} \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

due to (3.1). A combination of (3.11)–(3.13) infers that

$$\begin{aligned}
r^{-p} \int_{B_{i+1}} H(w_{i+1}) dx & \leq Cr^{(1-s)q} \left( \frac{2^{iq}}{r^{sq}} + \frac{2^{ip}}{r^p} + \frac{2^{i(N+sq)} \text{Tail}_a^{q-1}(u_+, x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} \bar{k}^{q-1}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& + Cr^{(1-s)q} \left( \frac{2^{(i+1)(\bar{p}-1)d}}{\bar{k}^{\bar{p}-1}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^{\frac{q(\gamma-1)}{p\gamma}} \\
& + C \left( \frac{2^{iq}}{r^{sq}} + \frac{2^{ip}}{r^p} + \frac{2^{i(N+sq)} \text{Tail}_a^{q-1}(u_+, x_0, r/2)}{r^{sq} \bar{k}^{q-1}} \right) \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^{1+\sigma} \\
& + \frac{C 2^{i(q+\bar{p}-pq/p^*)} d}{\bar{k}^{q(1-p/p^*)+\bar{p}-1}} \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^{1+\sigma-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\
& + \frac{C 2^{iq(p-1)}}{r^{sq} \bar{k}^{q(p-1)}} \left( \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx \right)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.8) is an immediate result of the above inequality by arrangements.  $\square$

Now we are ready to give the proof of boundedness result on the mixed local and nonlocal double phase functionals.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 hold. Now we set

$$Y_i = \int_{B_i} H(w_i) dx, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $B_i, w_i$  are given in (3.2) and (3.3), and  $H(\cdot)$  is as defined in Lemma 3.3. Letting

$$\bar{k} \geq \max\{1, \text{Tail}_a(u_+, x_0, r/2)\}$$

to be determined later, we can deduce from Lemma 3.3 that

$$Y_{i+1} \leq C2^{im} \left( Y_i^{\frac{q}{p}} + Y_i^{\frac{(\gamma-1)q}{\gamma p}} + Y_i^{1+\sigma} + Y_i^{1+\sigma-\frac{1}{\gamma}} + Y_i^p \right), \quad (3.14)$$

where  $m := \max\{(N + sq)q/p, q + \bar{p} - pq/p^*, q(p-1)\}$ , and the constant  $C$  depends also upon  $r, d$ . Due to the assumption (1.13), it can be checked that

$$\frac{(\gamma-1)q}{\gamma p} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma - \frac{1}{\gamma} > 0.$$

Additionally, we can see that  $2 - \frac{p}{p^*} > 1$ . Because  $H(u) \in L^1(B_r)$  from (1.12), one can see that

$$Y_0 = \int_{B_r} H((u - \bar{k})_+) dx \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\bar{k} \rightarrow +\infty$ . As a result, we can proceed to select  $\bar{k}$  so large that

$$\cdots \leq Y_i \leq Y_{i-1} \leq \cdots \leq Y_0 \leq 1$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Hence we rearrange the display (3.14) as

$$Y_{i+1} \leq C2^{im} Y_i^{1+\tau}. \quad (3.15)$$

Here

$$\tau := \min \left\{ \frac{q}{p} - 1, \frac{(\gamma-1)q}{\gamma p} - 1, \sigma, \sigma - \frac{1}{\gamma}, p - 1 \right\} > 0.$$

Finally, we choose such a large number  $\bar{k}$  that

$$Y_0 \leq C^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} 2^{-\frac{m}{\tau}},$$

which combining with (3.15) and Lemma 1.3 guarantees that

$$Y_j \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.16)$$

Under the above election of  $\bar{k}$ , (3.16) guarantees that  $u \leq 2\bar{k}$  in  $B_{r/2}$ . We could infer  $u \in L^\infty(B_{r/2})$  applying the analogous argument to  $-u$ .  $\square$

## 4 Local Hölder continuity

In this section, we first conclude expansion of positivity for minimizers of (1.1) (see Lemmas 4.1–4.2), which plays a crucial role on establishing Hölder continuity and Harnack inequalities.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume that  $K_{sq}$  and  $F$  satisfy (1.2) and (1.3) with  $a_0 > 0$ . Let  $B_{4R} := B_{4R}(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$  with  $R \leq 1$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a minimizer of (1.1), where the function  $f|_{B_{4R}}$  belongs to  $L^\gamma(B_{4R})$  with  $\gamma > 1$ . Suppose that  $u \geq M$  in  $B_{4R}$  and*

$$|B_{2R} \cap \{u - M \geq t\}| \geq \nu |B_{2R}| \quad (4.1)$$

for some  $\nu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for any  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}]$ , if

$$\|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{4R})} |B_{4R}|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} + (4R)^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}((u - M)_-, x_0, 4R) \leq h_{4R}(\delta t) \quad (4.2)$$

with  $h_{4R}(\delta t) := \frac{(\delta t)^{p-1}}{(4R)^p} + \frac{(\delta t)^{q-1}}{(4R)^{sq}}$  as defined by (1.9), then there holds that

$$|B_{2R} \cap \{u - M < 2\delta t\}| \leq \frac{C}{\nu} \left( \delta^{(q-1)/2} + |\log \delta|^{-\frac{N(p-1)}{(N-1)p}} \right) |B_{2R}|,$$

where  $C > 0$ , independent of  $M, t$ , only depends on  $s, p, q, N, \Lambda, a_0$  and  $A_0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\ell \geq \frac{\delta t}{2}$  and set  $w = u - M$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $w$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and is also a minimizer of  $\mathcal{E}$ . With the help of Lemma 2.1 and (4.2), we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(w - \ell)_-]_{W^{1,p}(B_{2R})}^p + a_0 \int_{B_{2R}} (w(x) - \ell)_- \left( \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{(w(y) - \ell)_+^{q-1}}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dy \right) dx \\ & \leq C \left( \int_{B_{4R}} \frac{(w - \ell)_-^q}{(4R)^{sq}} dx + \int_{B_{4R}} \frac{(w - \ell)_-^p}{(4R)^p} dx + \int_{B_{4R}} |f| (w - \ell)_- dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{B_{4R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}} \frac{(w(x) - \ell)_-^{q-1} (w(y) - \ell)_-}{|x - x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right) \\ & \leq C \left( \frac{\ell^p}{(4R)^p} + \frac{\ell^q}{(4R)^{sq}} + \ell \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{4R})} |B_{4R}|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right) |B_{4R}| \\ & \quad + C \left( \frac{\ell^q}{(4R)^{sq}} + \frac{\ell \text{Tail}^{q-1}(w_-; x_0, 4R)}{R^{sq}} \right) |B_{4R}| \\ & \leq CH_{4R}(\ell) |B_{4R}|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Here by the nonnegativity of  $w$  in  $B_{4R}$ ,

$$\|(w - \ell)_-\|_{L^m(B_{4R})}^m \leq C \ell^m |B_{4R}| \quad \text{for any } m \geq 1.$$

Next, according to the terms on the left-hand side of (4.3), we let  $\tau = 1/2$  and distinguish two mutually exclusive cases:

$$\left( \frac{\delta^\tau t}{R} \right)^p > \left( \frac{\delta^\tau t}{R^s} \right)^q \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{\delta^\tau t}{R} \right)^p \leq \left( \frac{\delta^\tau t}{R^s} \right)^q. \tag{4.4}$$

**Case (4.4)<sub>2</sub>:** Let us put  $\ell = 4\delta^\tau t$  in (4.3) and find that

$$\int_{B_{2R}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{(w(x) - 4\delta^\tau t)_+^{q-1} (w(y) - 4\delta^\tau t)_-}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \leq C \left( \frac{\delta^\tau t}{R^s} \right)^q |B_{4R}|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{2R}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{(w(x) - 4\delta^\tau t)_+^{q-1} (w(y) - 4\delta^\tau t)_-}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\ & \geq \frac{1}{(4R)^{N+sq}} \int_{B_{2R} \cap \{u \geq t\}} (w(x) - 4\delta^\tau t)^{q-1} dx \int_{B_{2R} \cap \{w < 2\delta^\tau t\}} (4\delta^\tau t - w(y)) dy \\ & \geq \frac{\delta^\tau t^q}{CR^{sq}} \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{w \geq t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} |B_{2R} \cap \{w < 2\delta^\tau t\}| \\ & \geq \frac{\delta^\tau t^q \nu}{CR^{sq}} |B_{2R} \cap \{w < 2\delta^\tau t\}| \end{aligned}$$

with  $C > 1$  depending on  $p, q, s, N, \Lambda, a_0, A_0$ , where we used the assumption (4.1) and the fact that  $4\delta^\tau \leq 1/2$  and  $|x - y|^{N+sq} \leq (4R)^{N+sq}$ , for any  $x, y \in B_{2R}$ . By virtue of two above estimates, we readily get

$$\frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{w < 2\delta t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} \leq \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{w < 2\delta^\tau t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} \leq \frac{C}{\nu} \delta^{\tau(q-1)},$$



as expected.

**Case (4.4)<sub>1</sub>:** Let  $m \geq 7$  be the unique integer for which

$$2^{-m-1} \leq \delta < 2^{-m}.$$

Consider the decreasing sequence  $\{2^{-k}t\}_{k=0}^m$ . Notice that  $2^{-k}t \in (2\delta t, t]$  for any  $k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ . Moreover, by (4.1), it is easy to see that for  $k \in \{1, \dots, m-2\}$ ,

$$\left| B_{2R} \cap \left\{ (w - 2^{-k+1}t)_- \leq 2^{-k}t \right\} \right| = |B_{2R} \cap \{w \geq 2^{-k}t\}| \geq |B_{2R} \cap \{w \geq t\}| \geq \nu |B_{2R}| \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\left| B_{2R} \cap \left\{ (w - 2^{-k+1}t)_- \geq 3 \cdot 2^{-k-1}t \right\} \right| = |B_{2R} \cap \{w \leq 2^{-k-1}t\}|. \quad (4.6)$$

The case (4.4)<sub>1</sub> yields that

$$R^{sq-p} > (\delta^\tau t)^{q-p} > (2^{(-m-1)\tau} t)^{q-p} > (2^{-k-1}t)^{q-p}, \quad k = k_0, \dots, m-2$$

with  $k_0$  being the smallest integer bigger than  $\tau m$ . This ensures that

$$\frac{(2^{-k+1}t)^q}{R^{sq}} \leq \frac{C(2^{-k+1}t)^p}{R^p}, \quad k = k_0, \dots, m-2.$$

Since  $2^{-k+1} \geq \delta$ , it follows from the last display and (4.3) with  $\ell = 2^{-k+1}t$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ (w - 2^{-k+1}t)_- \right]_{W^{1,p}(B_{2R})}^p &\leq CH_{4R}(2^{-k+1}t) |B_{4R}| \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{(2^{-k+1}t)^p}{R^p} + \frac{(2^{-k+1}t)^q}{R^{sq}} \right) |B_{4R}|, \\ &\leq CR^{N-p}(2^{-k+1}t)^p, \quad k = k_0, \dots, m-2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Consequently, we can apply Lemma 1.2 to the function  $(w - 2^{-k+1}t)_-$ , with  $h_1 = 2^{-k}t$  and  $h_2 = 3 \cdot 2^{-k-1}t$ . We easily get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{(w - 2^{-k+1}t)_- \leq 2^{-k}t\}|}{|B_R|} \cdot \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{(w - 2^{-k+1}t)_- \geq 3 \cdot 2^{-k-1}t\}|}{|B_R|} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \\ &\leq \frac{C2^k R^{1-\frac{N}{p}}}{t} \left[ (w - 2^{-k+1}t)_- \right]_{W^{1,p}(B_{2R})} \left( \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{2^{-k}t < (w - 2^{-k+1}t)_- < 3 \cdot 2^{-k-1}t\}|}{|B_R|} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which combined with (4.5) and (4.6) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{w \leq 2^{-k-1}t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \\ &\leq \frac{CR^{1-\frac{N}{p}} 2^k}{\nu^{\frac{N-1}{N}} t} \left[ (w - 2^{-k+1}t)_- \right]_{W^{1,p}(B_{2R})} \left( \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{2^{-k-1}t < w < 2^{-k}t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C > 0$  depending only on  $N$  and  $p$ . We can control the Gagliardo seminorm of  $(w - 2^{-k+1}t)_-$  according to (4.7) and deduce that, for any  $k \in \{k_0, \dots, m-2\}$ ,

$$\left( \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{w \leq 2^{-k-1}t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \leq \frac{C}{\nu^{\frac{N-1}{N}}} \left( \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{2^{-k-1}t < w < 2^{-k}t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

By adding up the above inequality as  $k$  ranges between  $k_0$  and  $m - 2$ , we find

$$(m - 2 - k_0) \left( \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{w < 2\delta t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} \right)^{\frac{(N-1)p}{N(p-1)}} \leq \frac{C}{\nu^{\frac{(N-1)p}{N(p-1)}}} \sum_{i=k_0}^{m-2} \frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{2^{-k-1}t < w < 2^{-k}t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} \leq \frac{C}{\nu^{\frac{(N-1)p}{N(p-1)}}},$$

which in turn yields that

$$\frac{|B_{2R} \cap \{w < 2\delta t\}|}{|B_{2R}|} \leq \frac{C}{\nu} |\log(\delta^{1-\tau})|^{-\frac{N(p-1)}{(N-1)p}}.$$

The proof is therefore complete.  $\square$

Based on the information of measure theory above, we can get the following pointwise result:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that  $K_{sq}$  and  $F$  satisfy (1.2) and (1.3) with  $a_0 > 0$ . Let  $B_{4R} := B_{4R}(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$  with  $R \leq 1$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a minimizer of (1.1), where the function  $f|_{B_{4R}}$  belongs to  $L^\gamma(B_{4R})$  with  $\gamma > \max\{\frac{N}{p}, 1\}$ . Assume that for some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u \geq M$  in  $B_{4R}$  and*

$$|B_{2R} \cap \{u - M \geq t\}| \geq \nu |B_{2R}|$$

with some  $\nu \in (0, 1)$  and  $t > 0$ . Then there exists  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2^8}]$ , which depends only on the absolute constants  $N, p, q, s, \Lambda, a_0, A_0$  and  $\nu$ , such that whenever

$$\|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{4R})} |B_{4R}|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} + (4R)^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}((u - M)_-; x_0, 4R) \leq h_{4R}(\delta t) \quad (4.8)$$

with  $h_{4R}(\delta t) := \frac{(\delta t)^{p-1}}{(4R)^p} + \frac{(\delta t)^{q-1}}{(4R)^{sq}}$  as determined in (1.9), then we can find that

$$u - M \geq \delta t \quad \text{in } B_R. \quad (4.9)$$

**Proof.** We still set  $w := u - M$ . Let  $\delta \in (0, 2^{-8}]$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, 2^{-N-1}]$  to be specified later. We initially suppose that

$$|B_{2R} \cap \{w < 2\delta t\}| \leq \epsilon |B_{2R}| \quad (4.10)$$

with sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ . We arbitrarily choose radii  $\rho, r$  satisfying  $R/2 \leq r/2 < \rho < r \leq 2R$ . In view of (4.10) with  $\epsilon \leq 2^{-N-1}$ , we have that for any  $k \in [\delta t, 2\delta t]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |B_\rho \cap \{(w - k)_- = 0\}| &= |B_\rho \setminus \{w < k\}| \geq |B_\rho| - |B_{2R} \cap \{w < 2\delta t\}| \\ &\geq \left(1 - \epsilon \left(\frac{2R}{\rho}\right)^N\right) |B_\rho| \geq (1 - 2^N \epsilon) |B_\rho| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} |B_\rho|. \end{aligned}$$

This enables us to utilize the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality and find that

$$\begin{aligned} (k - h)^q \left( \frac{|B_\rho \cap \{w < h\}|}{|B_\rho|} \right)^{\frac{q}{q_s^*}} &\leq \left( \int_{B_\rho} (w - k)_-^{q_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q_s^*}} \\ &\leq C \rho^{sq} \int_{B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} |(w(x) - k)_- - (w(y) - k)_-|^q d\mu \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(k-h)^p \left( \frac{|B_\rho \cap \{w < h\}|}{|B_\rho|} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} &\leq \left( \int_{B_\rho} (w-k)_-^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \\
&\leq C \rho^p \int_{B_\rho} |D((w-k)_-)|^p dx
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

for any  $h \in (\delta t, k)$ . With setting

$$\kappa := \frac{p^*}{p} < \frac{q_s^*}{q},$$

we derive from (4.11), (4.12) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( \left( \frac{k-h}{\rho^s} \right)^q + \left( \frac{k-h}{\rho} \right)^p \right) \left( \frac{|B_\rho \cap \{w < h\}|}{|B_\rho|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \\
&\leq C \int_{B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} |(w(x)-k)_- - (w(y)-k)_-|^q d\mu + \int_{B_\rho} |D((w-k)_-)|^p dx \\
&\leq C \left( \frac{r}{r-\rho} \right)^{N+q} \left( \int_{B_r} \frac{(w-k)_-^q}{r^{sq}} dx + \int_{B_r} \frac{(w-k)_-^p}{r^p} dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{B_r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho} \frac{a(x,y)(w(x)-k)_-^{q-1}(w(y)-k)_-}{|x-x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy + \int_{B_r} |f|(w-k)_- \right), \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where the left-hand right can be estimated as below,

$$\left( \left( \frac{k-h}{\rho^s} \right)^q + \left( \frac{k-h}{\rho} \right)^p \right) \left( \frac{|B_\rho \cap \{w < h\}|}{|B_\rho|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \geq H_{4R}(k-h) \left( \frac{|B_\rho \cap \{w < h\}|}{|B_\rho|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa}}. \tag{4.14}$$

Then we utilize the following fact

$$\|(w-k)_-\|_{L^m(B_r(x_0))}^m \leq C k^m |A^-(k, x_0, r)| \text{ for any } m \geq 1 \tag{4.15}$$

to estimate first two integrals in (4.13). Due to (4.8), we can see

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho} \frac{a(x,y)(w(x)-k)_-^{q-1}(w(y)-k)_-}{|x-x_0|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\
&\leq \int_{B_r} (w(y)-k)_- \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{4R}} \frac{a(x,y)w_-^{q-1}(x)}{|x-x_0|^{N+sq}} dx \right) dy \\
&\quad + \int_{B_r} (w(y)-k)_- \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}} \frac{k^{q-1}}{|x-x_0|^{N+sq}} dx \right) dy \\
&\leq Ck |A(k, x_0, r)| \text{Tail}^{q-1}(w_-; x_0, 2R) + Ck^q |A(k, x_0, r)| (R^{-p} + R^{-sq}) \\
&\leq CH_{4R}(k) |A(k, x_0, r)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Here the positive constant  $C$  depends on  $N, p, q, s, A_0$  and  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, we estimate  $\int_{B_r} |f|(w-k)_- dx$  as below,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_r} |f|(w-k)_- dx &\leq k \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_r)} |A^-(k, x_0, r)|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \\
&= k \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_r)} |B_r|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \frac{|A^-(k, x_0, r)|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}}{|B_r|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq kh_{4R}(\delta t) \frac{|A^-(k, x_0, r)|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}}{|B_r|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \\
&\leq H_{4R}(k) \frac{|A^-(k, x_0, r)|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}}{|B_r|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

because of (4.8). Combining (4.13)–(4.17) tells that

$$\left( \frac{|A^-(h, x_0, \rho)|}{|B_\rho|} \right) \leq C \left( \frac{r}{r-\rho} \right)^{(N+q)\kappa} \left( \frac{H_{4R}(k)}{H_{4R}(k-h)} \right)^\kappa \left( \frac{|A^-(k, x_0, r)|}{|B_r|} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\kappa}.$$

Consider the sequences  $\{r_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  and  $\{k_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  defined by

$$r_i := (1 + 2^{-i})R \quad \text{and} \quad k_i := (1 + 2^{-i})\delta t.$$

Also set  $Y_i := |A^-(k_i, x_0, r_i)| / |B_{r_i}|$ . By applying (4.11) with  $h = k_i, k = k_{i-1}, \rho = r_i$  and  $r = r_{i-1}$ , we obtain that

$$Y_{i+1} \leq C 2^{i(N+2q)\kappa} Y_i^{\frac{\kappa(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The assumption on  $\gamma$  ensures that

$$\frac{\kappa(\gamma-1)}{\gamma} > 1.$$

For  $p \geq N$ , we could take  $\kappa$  larger than  $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$  ahead of time. Then in order to exploit the convergence lemma, we force

$$Y_0 = \frac{|A^-(2\delta t, x_0, 2R)|}{|B_{2R}|} \leq (2C)^{-\frac{1}{\kappa(\gamma-1)/\gamma-1}} 2^{-\frac{\kappa(N+2q)}{(\kappa(\gamma-1)/\gamma-1)^2}} =: \theta,$$

which can be realized by choosing  $\delta$  sufficiently small. Through Lemma 4.1, we select  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2^8}]$ , that depends on  $p, q, s, N, \Lambda$  and  $a_0, A_0$ , such that

$$\frac{C}{\nu} \left( \delta^{(q-1)/2} + |\log \delta|^{-\frac{N(p-1)}{(N-1)p}} \right) \leq \min\{\theta, 2^{-N-1}\}.$$

Then we can infer from this display and Lemma 1.3 that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Y_i = 0,$$

which directly guarantees that  $w \geq \delta t$  in  $B_R$ . Hence, under the above choice of  $\delta$  in the statement of this lemma, the positivity expansion result (4.9) follows clearly.  $\square$

We now end this section by giving the proof of Hölder continuity, in which we need pay much attention to the constant  $\delta$  in Lemma 4.2 independent of the arbitrary number  $M$ . For this reason, it is possible to get the desired result as follows.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $\delta \in (0, 2^{-8}]$  be the constant found in Lemma 4.2. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we can find small  $\alpha$  satisfying

$$0 < \alpha \leq \min \left\{ \frac{s}{2}, \log_4 \left( \frac{2}{2-\delta} \right), \frac{p\gamma - N}{2\gamma(p-1)} \right\} \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$\int_4^{+\infty} \frac{(\rho^\alpha - 1)^{q-1}}{\rho^{1+s_q}} d\rho \leq \frac{\delta^{q-1}}{32^{q+1} N |B_1|}. \quad (4.19)$$

Then we set

$$j_0 := \max \left\{ \frac{2}{s_q} \log_4 \left( \frac{32^{q+1} N (1 + |B_1|)}{s \delta^{q-1}} \right), \frac{2\gamma(p-1)}{p\gamma - N} \log_4 \left( \frac{4}{\delta |B_1|^{\frac{1}{\gamma(p-1)}}} \right) \right\}. \quad (4.20)$$

What follows is to utilize induction arguments to prove that there exist a non-decreasing sequence  $\{m_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  and a non-increasing sequence  $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  of real numbers such that

$$m_i \leq u \leq M_i \quad \text{in } B_{4^{1-i}R}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$M_i - m_i = 4^{-\alpha i} L, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4.22)$$

with

$$L := 2 \cdot 4^{\frac{s j_0}{2}} \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{4R}(x_0))} + \text{Tail}(u; x_0, 4R) + \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{4R}(x_0))}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \quad (4.23)$$

Let us take  $m_i := -4^{-\alpha i} L/2$  and  $M_i := 4^{-\alpha i} L/2$ , for any  $i = 0, \dots, j_0$ . Then, (4.21) holds for these  $i$ 's, thanks to (4.20) and (4.23). Now we fix an integer  $j \geq j_0$  and suppose that the sequences  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^j$  and  $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^j$  have been constructed. Our expected claim (4.21) will be proved once we find proper  $m_{j+1}$  and  $M_{j+1}$ .

Let us define the function

$$v := \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}{L} \left( u - \frac{M_j + m_j}{2} \right) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By (4.21), (4.22) and the monotonicity of  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^j$ ,  $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^j$ , we can obtain that

$$|M_j + m_j| \leq (1 - 4^{-\alpha j}) L. \quad (4.24)$$

Since  $\max\{2u - M_j - m_j, M_j + m_j - 2u\} \leq M_j - m_j$  in  $B_{4^{1-j}R}$ , it is clear that

$$|v| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}{L} \left( \frac{M_j - m_j}{2} \right) \quad \text{in } B_{4^{1-j}R},$$

then

$$|v| \leq 1 \quad \text{in } B_{4^{1-j}R}.$$

Take  $x \in B_{4R} \setminus B_{4^{1-j}R}$  and let  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, j-1\}$  be the unique integer for which  $x \in B_{4^{1-\ell}R} \setminus B_{4^{-\ell}R}$ . By virtue of (4.21), (4.22) and the monotonicity of  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &\leq \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}{L} \left( M_\ell - m_\ell + m_\ell - \frac{M_j + m_j}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}{L} \left( M_\ell - m_\ell + m_j - \frac{M_j + m_j}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}{L} \left( M_\ell - m_\ell - \frac{M_j - m_j}{2} \right) = 2 \cdot 4^{\alpha(j-\ell)} - 1 \\ &\leq 2 \left( \frac{4^j |x|}{R} \right)^\alpha - 1. \end{aligned}$$

An application of similar arguments ensures that  $v(x) \geq -2(4^j|x|/R)^\alpha + 1$ , and hence

$$(1 \pm v(x))_-^{q-1} \leq 2^{q-1} \left( \left( \frac{4^j|x|}{R} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right)^{q-1} \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in B_{4R} \setminus B_{4^{1-j}R}. \quad (4.25)$$

Meanwhile, we can derive from (4.24) that

$$(1 \pm v(x))_-^{q-1} \leq 2^{q-1} \left( \left( \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}{L} \right)^{q-1} |u|^{q-1} + 4^{\alpha(q-1)j} \right) \quad \text{for a.a. } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{4R}. \quad (4.26)$$

With the help of (4.25), (4.26) and changing variables appropriately, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tail}((1 \pm v)_-; x_0, 4^{1-j}R)^{q-1} \\ & \leq 4^{-jsq+sq+q-1} R^{sq} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{4^{1-j}R}} \frac{\left( (4^j|x|/R)^\alpha - 1 \right)^{q-1}}{|x|^{N+sq}} dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{4^{\alpha j}}{L} \right)^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{4R}} \frac{|u(x)|^{q-1}}{|x|^{N+sq}} dx + 4^{\alpha(q-1)j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{4R}} \frac{dx}{|x|^{N+sq}} \right) \\ & \leq 8^q N |B_1| \int_4^{+\infty} \frac{(\rho^\alpha - 1)^{q-1}}{\rho^{1+sq}} d\rho + 8^q 4^{(\alpha q - sq)j} \frac{\text{Tail}(u; x_0, 4R)^{q-1}}{L^{q-1}} \\ & \quad + \frac{8^q N |B_1| 4^{(\alpha q - sq)j}}{sq} \\ & \leq 8^q N |B_1| \int_4^{+\infty} \frac{(\rho^\alpha - 1)^{q-1}}{\rho^{1+sq}} d\rho + \frac{8^{q+1} N (|B_1| + 1) 4^{(\alpha q - sq)j}}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of (4.19), (4.20) and (4.23), it holds that

$$\text{Tail}((1 \pm v)_-; x_0, 4^{1-j}R) \leq \frac{\delta}{4}. \quad (4.27)$$

Now, we have that either

$$|B_{4^{1-j}R/2} \cap \{v \geq 0\}| \geq \frac{1}{2} |B_{4^{1-j}R/2}| \quad \text{or} \quad |B_{4^{1-j}R/2} \cap \{v \geq 0\}| < \frac{1}{2} |B_{4^{1-j}R/2}|. \quad (4.28)$$

If the first choice of (4.28) happens, we consider the function

$$\frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}(1 + v) = u - \frac{M_j + m_j}{2} + \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}.$$

Then, we have

$$\left| B_{4^{1-j}R/2} \cap \left\{ u - \frac{M_j + m_j}{2} + \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} \geq \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} \right\} \right| = |B_{4^{1-j}R/2} \cap \{v \geq 0\}| \geq \frac{1}{2} |B_{4^{1-j}R/2}|.$$

It follows by (4.20) and (4.23) that

$$\|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{4^{1-j}R})} |B_{4^{1-j}R}|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq |B_1|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{4R})} (4^{1-j}R)^{-\frac{N}{\gamma}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{4^{-j}R} \right)^p \left( \frac{\delta}{2} \cdot \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} \right)^{p-1}. \quad (4.29)$$

Moreover, there holds that

$$\text{Tail} \left( \left( u - \frac{M_j + m_j}{2} + \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} \right)_-; x_0, 4^{1-j}R \right) = \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} \text{Tail}((1 + v)_-; x_0, 4^{1-j}R) \leq \frac{\delta}{4} \cdot \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}.$$

We utilize Lemma 4.2 with  $t = \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}$  and  $M = \frac{M_j + m_j}{2} - \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}$  to find that

$$u - \frac{M_j + m_j}{2} + \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} \geq \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} \delta \quad \text{in } B_{4^{-j}R}.$$

This directly tells that

$$\begin{aligned} u &\geq \frac{M_j + m_j}{2} + \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} \delta - \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} \\ &= M_j - \frac{M_j - m_j}{2} - \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} (1 - \delta) \\ &= M_j - \frac{L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}} (2 - \delta) \quad \text{in } B_{4^{-j}R}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.18), we can derive that

$$M_j - 4^{-(j+1)\alpha} L \leq u \leq M_j \quad \text{in } B_{4^{-j}R}.$$

This directly guarantees (4.21) for  $i = j + 1$  with  $M_{j+1} := M_j$  and  $m_{j+1} := M_{j+1} - 4^{-(j+1)\alpha} L$ . If instead the second alternative in (4.28) holds, we shall deal with the function  $\frac{(1-\nu)L}{2 \cdot 4^{\alpha j}}$  and utilize an analogous argument as above to obtain the same conclusion by taking  $m_{j+1} := m_j$  and  $M_{j+1} := m_{j+1} + 4^{-(j+1)\alpha} L$ .  $\square$

## 5 Harnack inequality

This section is devoted to establishing Harnack estimates on the minimizers of (1.1). The forthcoming lemma can be inferred in a very similar way to Lemma 4.2 with  $M = 0$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Assume  $K_{sq}$  and  $F$  satisfy (1.2) and (1.3) with  $a_0 > 0$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a minimizer of (1.1) which is nonnegative in a ball  $B_{16R} := B_{16R}(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$  with  $R \leq 1$ . The function  $f|_{B_{16R}}$  belongs to  $L^\gamma(B_{16R})$  with  $\gamma > 1$ . Suppose that*

$$|B_R \cap \{u \geq t\}| \geq \nu^k |B_R|$$

for some  $\nu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Then there exists  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2^8}]$  that depends only on  $N, p, q, s, a_0, A_0$  and  $\nu$ , if

$$\|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{16R})} |B_{16R}|^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} + (16R)^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; x_0, 16R) \leq h_{16R}(\delta^k t)$$

with  $h_{16R}(\delta t) := \frac{(\delta^k t)^{p-1}}{(16R)^p} + \frac{(\delta^k t)^{q-1}}{(16R)^{sq}}$  as given in (1.9), then there holds that

$$u \geq \delta^k t \quad \text{in } B_R.$$

With Lemma 5.1 at hands, we can conclude the following weak Harnack inequality.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Assume that  $K_{sq}$  and  $F$  satisfy (1.2) and (1.3) with  $a_0 > 0$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L_{sq}^{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , nonnegative in a ball  $B_{16R} := B_{16R}(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$  with  $R \leq 1$ , be a minimizer of (1.1). Suppose that the function  $f|_{B_{16R}}$  belongs to  $L^\gamma(B_{16R})$  with  $\gamma > \max\left\{1, \frac{N}{p}\right\}$ . Then there exist constants  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$  and  $C \geq 1$ , both depending on  $s, p, q, N, \Lambda, a_0$  and  $A_0$ , such that*

$$\left( \int_{B_R} u^{\varepsilon_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}} \leq C \inf_{B_R} u + Ch_{16R}^{-1} (d + (16R)^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; x_0, 16R)), \quad (5.1)$$

where  $d := \left( \int_{B_{16R}} f^\gamma(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2^8}]$  be the constant determined in Lemma 4.2 under the choice  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ . We accordingly set

$$\varepsilon_0 := \frac{\log \nu}{2 \log \delta} = \frac{1}{2 \log_{\frac{1}{2}} \delta} \in (0, 1). \quad (5.2)$$

We claim that for any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\inf_{B_R} u + h_{16R}^{-1} (d + (16R)^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; x_0, 16R)) \geq \delta \left( \frac{|A^+(t, x_0, R)|}{|B_R|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_0}} t. \quad (5.3)$$

We only consider the case  $t \in [0, \sup_{B_R} u)$ . Otherwise the above inequality holds trivially.

For each  $t \in [0, \sup_{B_R} u)$ , let  $k = k(t)$  be the unique integer fulfilling

$$\log_{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|A^+(t, x_0, R)|}{|B_R|} \leq k < 1 + \log_{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|A^+(t, x_0, R)|}{|B_R|}. \quad (5.4)$$

Notice that (5.2) and (5.4) indicate

$$\delta^k \geq \delta \left( \frac{|A^+(t, x_0, R)|}{|B_R|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_0}}.$$

Now we only consider the case that

$$d + (16R)^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; x_0, 16R) < h_{16R}(\delta^k t).$$

Otherwise it is easy to see (5.3) is true based on the definition of  $k$ . Still by (5.4), there holds that

$$|A^+(t, x_0, R)| \geq 2^{-k} |B_R|,$$

which in conjunction with Lemma 5.1 infers that

$$u \geq \delta^k t \text{ in } B_R,$$

and so

$$\inf_{B_R} u + h_{16R}^{-1} (d + (16R)^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; x_0, 16R)) \geq \delta^k t.$$

This along with (5.4) guarantees (5.3). At this moment, a similar argument as in the proof of [18, Proposition 6.8] deduces the desired result.  $\square$

Next, we combine the supremum estimate in Theorem 1, the weak Harnack inequality in Lemma 5.2 and the tail estimate below to infer Harnack estimate, Theorem 4.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** We first are going to obtain a tail estimate. Fix any  $z \in B_R(x_0) \subset \subset \Omega$  and  $r \in (0, 2R]$ . By denoting  $M := \sup_{B_r(z)} u > 0$ , we apply Lemma 2.1 with  $k \equiv 2M$  to get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{r/2}(z)} (u(x) - 2M)_- \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(y) - 2M)_+^{q-1}}{|x-y|^{N+sq}} dy \right) dx \\ & \leq C \left( \frac{\|(u - 2M)_-\|_{L^q(B_r(z))}^q}{r^{sq}} + \frac{\|(u - 2M)_-\|_{L^p(B_r(z))}^p}{r^p} \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{C}{r^{sq}} \|(u - 2M)_-\|_{L^1(B_r(z))} \text{Tail}^{q-1}((u - 2M)_-; z, r/2) \end{aligned}$$



$$+ C\|(u - 2M)_-\|_{L^{\gamma'}(B_r(z))}\|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_r(z))}. \quad (5.5)$$

It is easy to find out that

$$(u(y) - 2M)_+^{q-1} \geq \min\{1, 2^{2-q}\} u_+^{q-1}(y) - 2^{q-1} M^{q-1}.$$

From the above two observations and the fact that  $u \leq M$  on  $B_r(z)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{r/2}(z)} (u(x) - 2M)_- \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - 2M)_+^{q-1}}{|x-y|^{N+sq}} dy \right) dx \\ & \geq 2^{-N-sq} M \int_{B_{r/2}(z)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(z)} \frac{\min\{1, 2^{2-q}\} u_+^{q-1}(y) - 2^{q-1} M^{q-1}}{|y-z|^{N+sq}} dy \right) dx \\ & \geq \frac{Mr^{N-sq}}{C} \text{Tail}(u_+; z, r) - Cr^{N-sq} M^q, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where we utilized the fact  $|x-y| \leq 2|y-z|$  for any  $x \in B_r(z)$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(z)$ . On the other hand, since  $u \geq 0$  on  $B_r(z)$ , we have

$$\frac{\|(u - 2M)_-\|_{L^q(B_r(z))}^q}{r^{sq}} + \frac{\|(u - 2M)_-\|_{L^p(B_r(z))}^p}{r^p} \leq r^{N-sp} (r^{sq-p} M^p + M^q). \quad (5.7)$$

The last two terms can be estimated as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^{sq}} \|(u - 2M)_-\|_{L^1(B_r(z))} \text{Tail}^{q-1}((u - 2M)_-; z, r/2) \\ & \quad + \|(u - 2M)_-\|_{L^{\gamma'}(B_r(z))} \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_r(z))} \\ & \leq Mr^{N-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; z, r) + Mr^{\frac{N}{\gamma'}} \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_r(z))} \\ & \leq Mr^{N-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; z, r) + Mr^N d. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Substituting (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8) into (5.5) infers that

$$Mr^{N-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; z, r) \leq Cr^{N-sq} (M \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; z, r) + Mr^{sq} d + r^{sq-p} M^p + M^q),$$

which directly ensures the following tail estimate that

$$\text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; z, r) \leq C(\text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; z, r) + r^{sq} h_r(M) + r^{sq} d).$$

By applying the reverse operator  $h_r^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$h_r^{-1}(r^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; z, r)) \leq Ch_r^{-1}(r^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; z, r)) + M + h_r^{-1}(d).$$

From Lemma 3.2, one can say that for any  $\delta_1$ ,

$$\sup_{B_r(z)} u_+ \leq C_{\delta_1} H_{2r}^{-1} \left( \int_{B_{2r}} H_{2r}(u_+) dx \right) + \delta_1 h_{2r}^{-1}((2r)^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_+; x_0, r)) + \delta_1 g_{2r}^{-1}(d)$$

with some  $C_{\delta_1} > 0$  depending on  $\delta_1$ . A combination of the above two estimates implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_r(z)} u & \leq C_{\delta_1} H_{2r}^{-1} \left( \int_{B_{2r}} H_{2r}(u_+) dx \right) + C_{\delta_1} h_r^{-1}(r^{-sq} \text{Tail}^{q-1}(u_-; z, r)) + \delta_1 g_{2r}^{-1}(d) \\ & \quad + C_{\delta_1} M + h_r^{-1}(d) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\delta_1 H_r^{-1} \left( \int_{B_{2r}} H_r(u_+) dx \right) + C\delta_1 \text{Tail}(u_-; z, r) + \delta_1 g_r^{-1}(d) + C\delta_1 M + h_r^{-1}(d), \quad (5.9)$$

where we used the regularity of the functions  $H_r, g_r, h_r$ . Using Jensen's inequality with the convex function  $t \mapsto [H_r^{-1}(t)]^q$ , we can see that for any  $\delta_2 > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H_r^{-1} \left( \int_{B_{2r}(z)} H_r(u_+) dx \right) &\leq \left( \int_{B_{2r}(z)} u^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{B_{2r}(z)} u \right)^{\frac{q-\varepsilon_0}{q}} \left( \int_{B_{2r}(z)} u^{\varepsilon_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \delta_2 \sup_{B_{2r}(z)} u + C\delta_2 \left( \int_{B_{2r}(z)} u^{\varepsilon_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

with  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  determined in Lemma 5.2. With taking  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  sufficiently small, we derive from (5.9) and (5.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_r(z)} u &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{B_{2r}(z)} u + C \left( \int_{B_{2r}(z)} u^{\varepsilon_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}} + C \text{Tail}(u_-; z, r) + Ch_r^{-1}(d_r) + Cg_r^{-1}(d_r) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{B_{2r}(z)} u + C \left( \int_{B_{2r}(z)} u^{\varepsilon_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}} + C \text{Tail}(u_-; z, r) \\ &\quad + C(r^{-N+p} \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_r(z))})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + C(r^{-N+\bar{q}} \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_r(z))})^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

By employing (5.11) along with a suitable covering argument and the technical lemma [18, Lemma 4.11], we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_R} u &\leq \left( \int_{B_{2R}} u^{\varepsilon_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}} + \text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R) \\ &\quad + R^{(p-\frac{N}{\gamma})/(p-1)} \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{2R})}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + R^{(\bar{q}-\frac{N}{\gamma})/(\bar{q}-1)} \|f\|_{L^\gamma(B_{2R})}^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (5.1) yields the desired Harnack's inequality.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. T. Barlow, R. F. Bass, Z. Chen, M. Kassmann, Non-local Dirichlet forms and symmetric jump processes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (4) (2009) 1963–1999.
- [2] L. Beck, G. Mingione, Lipschitz bounds and nonuniform ellipticity, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 73 (5) (2020) 944–1034.
- [3] P. Bella, M. Schäffner, On the regularity of minimizers for scalar integral functionals with  $(p, q)$ -growth, *Anal. PDE*, 13 (7) (2020) 2241–2257.
- [4] S. Biagi, S. Dipierro, E. Valdinoci, E. Vecchi, Mixed local and nonlocal elliptic operators: regularity and maximum principles, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 47 (3) (2021) 585–629.
- [5] S. Biagi, S. Dipierro, E. Valdinoci, E. Vecchi, Semilinear elliptic equations involving mixed local and nonlocal operators, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 151 (5) (2021) 1611–1641.
- [6] A. Biswas, M. Modasiya, A. Sen, Boundary regularity of mixed local-nonlocal operators and its application, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 202 (2) (2023) 679–710.

- [7] L. Brasco, E. Lindgren, A. Schikorra, Higher Hölder regularity for the fractional  $p$ -Laplacian in the superquadratic case, *Adv. Math.* 338 (7) (2018) 782–846.
- [8] L. Brasco, E. Lindgren, M. Strömqvist, Continuity of solutions to a nonlinear fractional diffusion equation, *J. Evol. Equ.* 21 (4) (2021) 4319–4381.
- [9] S. S. Byun, H. Kim, J. Ok, Local Hölder continuity for fractional nonlocal equations with general growth, *Math. Ann.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02472-y>.
- [10] S. S. Byun, H. Lee, K. Song, Regularity results for mixed local and nonlocal double phase functionals, [arXiv:2301.06234](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.06234).
- [11] S. S. Byun, K. Song, Mixed local and nonlocal equations with measure data, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 62 (1) (2023) 35pp.
- [12] L.A. Caffarelli, C.H. Chan, A. Vasseur, Regularity theory for parabolic nonlinear integral operators, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 24 (3) (2011) 849–869.
- [13] L.A. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, Regularity theory for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 62 (5) (2009) 597–638.
- [14] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 32 (7-9) (2007) 1245–1260.
- [15] Z. Chen, P. Kim, R. Song, Z. Vondraček, Boundary Harnack principle for  $\Delta + \Delta^{\alpha/2}$ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (8) (2012) 4169–4205.
- [16] Z. Chen, T. Kumagai, A priori Hölder estimate, parabolic Harnack principle and heat kernel estimates for diffusions with jumps, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 26 (2) (2010) 551–589.
- [17] M. Colombo, G. Mingione, Regularity for double phase variational problems, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 215 (2) (2015) 443–496.
- [18] M. Cozzi, Regularity results and Harnack inequalities for minimizers and solutions of nonlocal problems: a unified approach via fractional De Giorgi classes, *J. Funct. Anal.* 272 (11) (2017) 4762–4837.
- [19] A. Di Castro, T. Kuusi, G. Palatucci, Local behavior of fractional  $p$ -minimizers, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 33 (5) (2016) 1279–1299.
- [20] A. Di Castro, T. Kuusi, G. Palatucci, Nonlocal Harnack inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.* 267 (6) (2014) 1807–1836.
- [21] C. De Filippis, G. Mingione, Gradient regularity in mixed local and nonlocal problems, *Math. Ann.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02512-7>.
- [22] C. De Filippis, G. Palatucci, Hölder regularity for nonlocal double phase equations, *J. Differential Equations* 267 (1) (2019) 547–586.
- [23] E. De Giorgi, Sulla differenziabilità e l'analiticità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari, *Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat.* 3 (3) (1957) 25–43.
- [24] Y. Fang, B. Shang, C. Zhang, Regularity theory for mixed local and nonlocal parabolic  $p$ -Laplace equations, *J. Geom. Anal.* 32 (1) (2022) 33pp.
- [25] Y. Fang, C. Zhang, Harnack inequality for the nonlocal equations with general growth, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, <https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2022.55>.
- [26] M. Foondun, Heat kernel estimates and Harnack inequalities for some Dirichlet forms with non-local part, *Electron. J. Probab.* 14 (11) (2009) 314–340.
- [27] P. Garain, J. Kinnunen, On the regularity theory for mixed local and nonlocal quasilinear elliptic equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 375 (8) (2022) 5393–5423.
- [28] P. Garain, J. Kinnunen, Weak Harnack inequality for a mixed local and nonlocal parabolic equation, *J. Differential Equations* 360 (2023) 373–406.
- [29] P. Garain, J. Kinnunen, On the regularity theory for mixed local and nonlocal quasilinear parabolic equations, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, to appear.
- [30] P. Garain, E. Lindgren, Higher Hölder regularity for mixed local and nonlocal degenerate elliptic equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 62 (2) (2023) 36 pp.

- [31] K. Ho, I. Sim, Corrigendum to “Existence and some properties of solutions for degenerate elliptic equations with exponent variable”, [Nonlinear Anal. 98 (2014), 146–164], Nonlinear Anal. 128 (2015) 423–426.
- [32] M. Kassmann, The theory of De Giorgi for non-local operators, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (11) (2007) 621–624.
- [33] M. Kassmann, A priori estimates for integro-differential operators with measurable kernels, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 34 (1) (2009) 1–21.
- [34] J. Malý, W.P. Ziemer, Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations, Amer. Math. Soc. (Providence, RI, 1997).
- [35] P. Marcellini, Regularity of minimizers of integrals of the calculus of variations with non standard growth conditions. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 105 (1989) 267–284.
- [36] T. Mengesha, A. Schikorra, S. Yeepo, Calderon-Zygmund type estimates for nonlocal PDE with Hölder continuous kernel, Adv. Math. 383 (2021) 64pp.
- [37] G. Mingione, Bounds for the singular set of solutions to non linear elliptic systems, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 18 (3) (2003) 373–400.
- [38] S. Nowak, Improved Sobolev regularity for linear nonlocal equations with VMO coefficients, Math. Ann., <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02369-w>.
- [39] L. Silvestre, Hölder estimates for solutions of integro-differential equations like the fractional Laplace, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 55 (3) (2006) 1155–1174.
- [40] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the laplace operator. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (1) (2007) 67–112.
- [41] M. Strömqvist, Local boundedness of solutions to non-local parabolic equations modeled on the fractional  $p$ -Laplacian, J. Differential Equations 266 (12) (2019) 7948–7979.
- [42] X. Su, E. Valdinoci, Y. Wei, J. Zhang, Regularity results for solutions of mixed local and nonlocal elliptic equations, Math. Z. 302 (3) (2022) 1855–1878.