

\widehat{Z}_b FOR PLUMBED MANIFOLDS AND SPLICE DIAGRAMS

SERGEI GUKOV, LUDMIL KATZARKOV, JOSEF SVOBODA

ABSTRACT. We study q -series invariants of 3-manifolds \widehat{Z}_b defined by Gukov–Pei–Putrov–Vafa using techniques from the theory of normal surface singularities such as splice diagrams. This provides a link between algebraic geometry with quantum topology. We show that the (suitably normalized) sum of all \widehat{Z}_b depends only on the splice diagram, and in particular, it agrees for manifolds with the same universal Abelian cover. Using these ideas we find simple formulas for \widehat{Z}_b invariants of Seifert manifolds that resemble equivariant Poincaré series of corresponding quasihomogeneous singularity. Applications include a better understanding of the vanishing of the q -series \widehat{Z}_b .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. An example-based introduction to \widehat{Z}_b invariants	2
1.2. Coverings and the action of H_1	5
1.3. Main results	6
1.4. Spectra in algebra and geometry	8
2. Plumbed manifolds	9
2.1. H_1 and $spin^c$ structures	10
2.2. The q -series invariants	12
3. Z_0 and splice diagrams	16
3.1. Splice diagrams	16
3.2. Z_0 and splice diagrams	18
3.3. Splice and splice-quotient singularities	20
4. Seifert manifolds	20
4.1. Reduction theorem for Seifert manifolds	22
5. Z_0 , irreducible connections, spectrum	26
5.1. Irreducible flat connections on Seifert manifolds	27
5.2. From connections to spectrum	28
5.3. Non-Abelian Noncommutative Hodge Structure	30
5.4. Relation to vertex algebras and invariants of complex surfaces	33
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

We study q -series invariants $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ of a 3-manifold Y , the so-called GPPV invariants, associated with quantum groups $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ at generic $|q| < 1$ and labeled by a $spin^c$ structure b on Y . Mostly interested in topological and geometric aspects,

throughout the paper we consider the simplest non-trivial choice of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, which corresponds to the “gauge group” $G = SU(2)$, though much of the discussion can be generalized to higher rank root systems.¹ Also, unless stated otherwise, throughout the paper we work in the category of connected irreducible negative-definite plumbed 3-manifolds [53] with $b_1 = 0$, for which one can use the definition of $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ as in [36]. (A reader interested in various generalizations and extensions is welcome to consult [32, 3, 61, 62, 63, 10, 66].)

Our main goal is to approach the invariants $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ by using various methods of the singularity theory of normal complex surfaces, most importantly the universal Abelian covers and splice diagrams. Among other things, this new perspective leads to simpler expressions for $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ for certain families of 3-manifolds (Theorem 4.1) and sheds new light on what topological information they capture. Specifically, $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ have close cousins $Z_a(Y, q)$ (without a hat!) that are not expected to admit a categorification [37], but nevertheless are more natural from the viewpoint of complex Chern–Simons theory [33]. While both sets of invariants can be defined independently, they are linearly related and, therefore, can be expected to contain roughly the same topological information about Y . By taking a closer look at the structure of the linear relation between $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ and $Z_a(Y, q)$ through the looking glass of the singularity theory we observe that $Z_0(Y, q)$ is, surprisingly, a much simpler invariant of Y in the precise sense that we explain below.

We hope that a fresh new look through the lens of the singularity theory in the future will lead to a better understanding of the structure of the invariants $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$, just as it led to simplified formulae for Seifert manifolds in this work. And, the fact that singularity theory involves complex surfaces brings us one step closer to one of the main motivations in studying these invariants, namely developing new homological 3-manifold invariants that could help us exploring the world of smooth 4-manifolds.

1.1. An example-based introduction to \widehat{Z}_b invariants. We start with a simple example before giving definitions and theorems. The purpose is to give the reader a feel for explicit computations and it can be skipped by those familiar with the topic. Here we also omit references, which are given in the rest of the Introduction and subsequent chapters. (As basic references, the reader can use [32] for \widehat{Z}_b invariants and [6] for singularities.)

Let X be a complex surface defined by the equation $x^2 + y^3 + z^4 = 0$ in \mathbb{C}^3 . X has an isolated singular point at the origin. Our motivating example is the 3-manifold Y which is the intersection of X with a small real 5-sphere centered at the origin. Y , the *link of the singularity*,² describes the local topological nature of X . Y can be

¹We note that by “gauge group” one can mean at least two different things. One is the gauge group in complex Chern–Simons theory, for which $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ provides a non-perturbative definition that behaves well under the cutting and gluing, whereas the other one is a gauge group in 3d-3d correspondence and string theory fivebrane setup. The former group is a complexification of the latter, and it is the latter that we use to label $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ in this paper. So, even when we talk about $G = SU(2)$, the gauge group in complex Chern–Simons theory and its relations to quantum groups is, in fact, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

²Not to be confused with links in a sense of knot theory.

described combinatorially by a finite decorated graph (tree) Γ , the *plumbing graph*, up to *Neumann moves* illustrated in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Two different plumbing graphs which define Y , connected by a Neumann move.

The singularity X can be resolved by finding an algebraic map $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ from a smooth surface \tilde{X} that collapses a collection of curves to the singular point and is an isomorphism elsewhere. Vertices of the plumbing graph then correspond to these curves, edges to the intersections between curves, and the decorations to their self-intersections in the smooth surface \tilde{X} . The choice of resolution is not unique, and changing it corresponds to the Neumann moves above. The topological meaning of the graph is described in Section 2.

If we now want to construct an invariant to distinguish this 3-manifold e.g. from the 3-sphere, we can define it using the graph data and then demonstrate that the definition is preserved by the moves. There are many other ways to define invariants of 3-manifolds (and knots), but they usually follow a similar path: starting with a particular way of building 3-manifolds (resp. knots) one needs to verify that the construction of a candidate invariant is indeed invariant under moves that represent equivalence relations in that particular way of building 3-manifolds.

Returning to our example, the manifold Y can be also described by its fundamental group $\pi_1(Y)$, which is the binary tetrahedral group of order 24. The finiteness is, however, very rare.³ In general, it is a finitely generated group with complicated representation theory. In contrast, its abelianization H is just $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and it is finite for a large and interesting class of singularities. This group can be interpreted as the first integral homology $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ of Y ; it describes Abelian characters of $\pi_1(Y)$ (via the linking pairing) and serves as a non-canonical label for additional geometric data on Y — $spin^c$ structures, which are used to refine invariants of Y , e.g. 3d Seiberg–Witten invariants.

The q -series $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q) = \widehat{Z}_b(q)$ depends on the manifold Y and a choice of a $spin^c$ structure b on Y , which for now can be thought of as just an element of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. The expansions read:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Z}_0(q) &= q^{-1} - 1 + q + q^4 - q^6 - q^{11} + q^{14} + q^{21} - q^{25} - q^{34} + q^{39} + q^{50} - q^{56} - \dots \\ \widehat{Z}_1(q) &= \widehat{Z}_2(q) = q^{-2/3}(-1 + q^3 - q^9 + q^{18} - q^{30} + q^{45} - q^{63} + q^{84} - q^{108} + \dots)\end{aligned}$$

The additional implicit dependence on the root system A_1 of the Lie group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ manifests in an intriguing relation of the q -series to the representations of $\pi_1(Y)$ to $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. For example, the fact that \widehat{Z}_1 and \widehat{Z}_2 coincide reflects that two

³It corresponds to the fact that the singularity above is of ADE type

Abelian characters of $\pi(Y)$ are equivalent due to conjugation by the anti-diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The series $\widehat{Z}_0(q)$ and $\widehat{Z}_1(q)$ satisfy the following basic properties.

- They have integral coefficients and exponents, up to overall rational factors.
- They define holomorphic functions in the unit disc, $|q| < 1$.

We introduce another series, which is simply the sum over the labels b :

$$(1.1) \quad Z_0(q) = \widehat{Z}_0(q) + \widehat{Z}_1(q) + \widehat{Z}_2(q).$$

This series does not have integral exponents, but we can raise the variable q to the power equal to the order of H_1 in order to resolve this issue:

$$Z_0(q^3) = q^{-3} - 2q^{-2} - 1 + q^3 + 2q^7 + q^{12} - q^{18} - 2q^{25} - q^{33} + q^{42} + 2q^{52} + q^{63} - q^{75} + \dots$$

In a sense, the series Z_0 removes the dependence on b in the simplest possible way. Apart from simplifying formulas, it has several topological interpretations. First, as was already mentioned earlier, it has a meaning in complex Chern–Simons theory on Y ; namely, Z_0 is the Borel resummation of the Ohtsuki series of Y . Furthermore, as we explain in more detail below, Z_0 is related to the universal abelian cover of Y , which interestingly, is again a link of a singularity, this time $x^2 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$.

To compute these series, one can use the original integral formula based on the graph Γ . For the graph in the picture 2, it means computing a certain 6 (resp. 7) dimensional integral (depending on the number of vertices), see Subsection 2.2. In our example, this formula can be reduced to a single variable. Consider the function

$$f_0(t) = \frac{(t^9 - t^{-9})(t^6 - t^{-6})(t^6 - t^{-6})}{t^{18} - t^{-18}}$$

and expand $f_0(t)$ as a power series in t and t^{-1} :⁴

$$\dots + t^{-33} + 2t^{-27} + t^{-21} - t^{-15} - 2t^{-9} + t^{-3} + t^3 - 2t^9 - t^{15} + t^{21} + 2t^{27} + t^{33} + \dots$$

Finally, substitute each monomial t^n by $q^{n^2/4 \cdot 18}$ to get

$$q^{1/8}(1 - 2q - q^3 + q^6 + 2q^{10} + q^{15} - q^{21} - 2q^{28} - q^{36} + q^{45} + 2q^{55} + q^{66} - q^{78} \dots)$$

This series is equal to

$$q^{-25/8} Z_0(q^3).$$

The prefactor $q^{-25/8}$ can be computed explicitly, and it is related to the Casson–Walker invariant of Y .

Similarly, we can get $\widehat{Z}_0(q^3)$ and $\widehat{Z}_1(q^3)$ by decomposing $f_0(t)$ into the sum

$$f_0(t) = \widehat{f}_0(t) + 2\widehat{f}_1(t) = \frac{(t^9 - t^{-9})(t^{12} + t^{-12})}{t^{18} - t^{-18}} + 2\frac{(t^{-9} - t^9)}{t^{18} - t^{-18}}$$

and using the same substitution and separately taking care of the prefactor. The variable can be scaled accordingly to recover $\widehat{Z}_0(q)$ and $\widehat{Z}_1(q)$.

Note, negative-definite plumbed manifolds are exactly those manifolds that occur as links of normal isolated surface singularities [46, 53]. Moreover, if Y is a *rational*

⁴We use so-called symmetric expansion, the average of the Laurent series at 0 and ∞ .




FIGURE 2. Example of a plumbing graph Γ which defines a 3-manifold.




FIGURE 3. Example of a splice diagram.

homology sphere ($\mathbb{Q}HS^3$), that is $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(S^3, \mathbb{Q})$, its topology often imposes strong conditions on the analytic structure of normal isolated singularities with Y as a link.

1.2. Coverings and the action of H_1 . The group $H = H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is the Abelianization of the fundamental group of Y :

$$H \cong \pi_1(Y) / [\pi_1(Y), \pi_1(Y)].$$

Subgroups of π_1 correspond to coverings of Y and $[\pi_1(Y), \pi_1(Y)]$ corresponds to the maximal covering with Abelian covering group (which is consequently isomorphic to H). This is the *universal Abelian covering* of Y , which plays a distinguished role in the study of invariants of Y . We denote it by Y^{ab} . Universal Abelian covers play an important role in singularity theory [55], e.g. in the celebrated theorem of Neumann [52], leading to the theory of splice and splice-quotient singularities of Neumann and Wahl [56].

The topological information of Y^{ab} is tightly related to the notion of *splice diagram*, which is constructed from the plumbing graph of Y . The combinatorics of this relation is reviewed in Section 3. One can also compute the plumbing graph of Y^{ab} , which is more involved [65]. It would be interesting to study the relationship of \widehat{Z}_b invariants of Y^{ab} with those of Y .

The reason why we consider the universal Abelian covering is that it allows us to split the study of the \widehat{Z}_b to two rather independent steps:

- (1) First, we study the sum Z_0 , which, surprisingly, only depends on the splice diagram (up to overall power proportional to the Casson–Walker invariant), hence it is related to the topology of Y^{ab} .
- (2) We study how Z_0 splits into the sum of \widehat{Z}_b by using of the action of H on Y^{ab} . More precisely, we define a variant of \widehat{Z}_b which depends on an element of H and we denote it by $\widehat{Z}'_h(q)$. This is an equivariant version of Z_0 and it coincides with \widehat{Z}_b when Y is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ homology sphere.

It was posed as a question of how the series \widehat{Z}_b are related to the invariants of singularities [42]. This work is a natural continuation and it is largely motivated

by techniques of singularity theory, even though the main results are topological. This can be thought of as a part of the program initiated by Neumann and Wahl and developed by many people (see e.g., a nice overview [49]) that aims to relate analytical invariants of singularities to topological invariants of 3-manifolds. The splice diagram for suitable plumbings gives a system of algebraic equations which define complete intersection isolated singularity. We will relate this singularity to Z_0 (in the spirit of step 1) and, for Seifert manifolds, also relate the quotient of this singularity by H to \widehat{Z}_b (step 2).

Caution. Since we are talking about coverings in this paper, it may seem natural to think about \widehat{Z}_b invariants for the covering space Y^{ab} and their relation to those of Y . However, relating invariants of Y to those of coverings is a difficult task even for simpler invariants such as Casson–Walker invariant and we leave it for future work.

Furthermore, we then reformulate the definition of the invariant using splice diagrams (see Section 3 for definitions) and, using this new formulation, construct manifolds that have the same invariant Z_0 , up to an overall power of q . They are not homeomorphic but have homeomorphic universal Abelian covers [64, 6], i.e. they can be thought of as different quotients of a single manifold by various Abelian group actions.

1.3. Main results. Splice diagrams were developed by Neumann, Eisenbud and Wahl [21, 56], for the study of singularities. Here we want to explain how they are useful for studying \widehat{Z}_b invariants.

Theorem 3.5. *Let Y be a negative-definite plumbed manifold which is a \mathbb{Q} -homology sphere and $H = |H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})|$. Denote $\lambda(Y)$ the Casson–Walker invariant [75] and Z_0 is the sum of \widehat{Z}_b invariants over spin^c structures a (see Section 1.1 for definitions).*

The q -series

$$(1.2) \quad q^{-6\lambda(Y)} Z_0(q^{|H|})$$

depends only on the splice diagram of Y .

The splice diagram also gives a combinatorial way of simplifying the plumbing formula of Z_0 . It reduces the number of variables as we will illustrate for Seifert manifolds.

Seifert-fibered manifolds form an important class among plumbed manifolds. They are the basic building blocks in the Jaco–Shalen–Johannson (JSJ) decomposition. In this special case, we can go further with simplifications and we will give an explicit and rather simple formula for $Z_0(q)$, the “reduction” Theorem 4.1, using the data of Seifert fibration (rather than that of the plumbing graph). Using group action of H we will extract separate $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ in terms of a certain version of H -equivariant Poincaré series of the universal Abelian cover.

These formulae have both computational and conceptual significance. While in the original formulation of \widehat{Z} we need to work with the plumbing data, which can be very large, here we get \widehat{Z} simply from the data of singular fibers. It sheds light on the role of the action of H and also gives us a new way to look at various properties of these q -series.

We will often use the ‘‘Laplace transform’’ \mathcal{L}_A defined on monomials as

$$\mathcal{L}_A(t^n) = q^{n^2/4A}$$

and extended linearly to any formal power series in t . For a rational function $f(t)$ we denote s. e. $f(t)$ the ‘‘symmetric expansion’’, which is the average of the expansions of the given rational function as $t \rightarrow 0$ (as a Laurent power series in t) and as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (as a Laurent power series in t^{-1}).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $k \geq 3$ and $Y = M(b; (a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_k, b_k))$ be a negative-definite Seifert manifold which is a rational homology sphere. Denote*

$$(1.3) \quad f_0(t) = \frac{(t^{A/a_1} - t^{-A/a_1})(t^{A/a_2} - t^{-A/a_2}) \dots (t^{A/a_k} - t^{-A/a_k})}{(t^A - t^{-A})^{k-2}}$$

Then

$$(1.4) \quad Z_0(q^{|H|}) = q^\Delta \mathcal{L}_A(\text{s. e. } f_0(t))$$

where $\Delta = \Delta(Y)$ is a rational number described in the proof.

Moreover, we have

$$(1.5) \quad \widehat{Z}'_h(q^{|H|}) = q^\Delta \mathcal{L}_A(\text{s. e. } f_h(t))$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad f_h(t) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \frac{(\chi(g_1)t^{A/a_1} - \chi(g_1^{-1})t^{-A/a_1}) \dots (\chi(g_k)t^{A/a_k} - \chi(g_k^{-1})t^{-A/a_k})}{(\chi(g_0)t^A - \chi(g_0^{-1})t^{-A})^{k-2}}$$

and if Y is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homology sphere, we have

$$(1.7) \quad \widehat{Z}_b(q^{|H|}) = q^\Delta \mathcal{L}_A(\text{s. e. } f_h(t))$$

where $h = c_1(b)$ is the map defined in 2.1.

Remark 1.1. The independence of f_0 on b_i in the formula is precisely the content of the Theorem 3.5. It follows that we can find infinitely many Seifert manifolds with the same Z_0 up to an overall factor. The function f_0 has just one variable because plumbing graphs of Seifert manifolds are star-shaped, i.e. they have a single node (vertex of valency 3 and more).

Seifert manifolds are links of quasi-homogeneous singularities whose universal Abelian covers are quasi-homogeneous as well (the latter are, in fact, of a Brieskorn-type complete intersection form by the theorem of Neumann [53]). For such singularities, we can write a Poincaré series of their (graded) local rings. We will see that a variant of it appears in the formula for Z_0 (for universal Abelian cover) as well as its equivariant version for \widehat{Z}_b .

Notice that the function $f_0(x)$ is an inverted and symmetrized version of the usual Poincaré series of the Brieskorn complete intersection singularity given by $k - 2$ diagonal equations with exponents a_i and generic coefficients.

1.4. Spectra in algebra and geometry. Finally, in Section 5 we tie together several aspects of the story, mainly focusing on Seifert manifolds. These involve geometric structures, such as flat connections on Y and the invariants of the corresponding Brieskorn-type complete intersection singularity, as well as connections with vertex algebras.

Arnold, Steenbrink and Varchenko defined *spectrum of hypersurface singularity* [73], generalized later by Steenbrink–Ebeling to isolated complete intersections [20]. We call it spectrum for short. The spectrum is a collection of real numbers whose exponentials are eigenvalues of monodromy, but the distribution in \mathbb{R} is governed by a subtle mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of Milnor fiber. It satisfies strong upper-semiconuity properties with respect to deformations.

It has been known since the fundamental work of Fintushel–Stern [26] that Casson invariant of the link of Brieskorn homology sphere $\Sigma(p, q, r)$ is one eighth of the signature of the Milnor fiber μ of the corresponding singularity $x^p + y^q + z^r = 0$. Signature is related to a signed count of the elements of the spectrum [69]. Curtis [18] defined an $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ –Casson invariant λ^C and proved with Boden [7] an $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ version for $\Sigma(p, q, r)$, relating λ^C to one-fourth of the Milnor number (rank of the cohomology of the Milnor fiber). We extend the relation to any number of fibers, using a new definition of Casson invariant $\lambda_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})}^P$ defined by Abouzaid and Manolescu [1], based on the work of Hamm [39] and Boden and Yokogawa [8]:

$$(1.8) \quad \lambda_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})}^P = \mu/4.$$

The eigenvalues of the monodromy match natural labels – “rotation numbers” – of the components of the moduli space of connections. However, it is a bit surprising that the counts of the components of any dimension combine with their Euler characteristics exactly to the multiplicities of the eigenvalues divided by four. This suggests a deeper structure behind this numerical relation.

Gradually moving from geometry to algebra, we exhibit a new Non-Abelian Non-commutative Hodge Structure - a combination of Higgs bundles and Landau–Ginzburg theory. We sketch some examples and formulate a program for studying these structures. This more Hodge theoretic than 3-dimensional construction opens new ways of studying degenerations of non-Abelian Hodge structures. We also propose a singularity theory interpretation of \widehat{Z} . An additional novelty of this paper is that to the classical spectrum, we propose a derived spectrum. This spectrum has a separate Hodge theoretic merit. In addition, we conjecture that the derived spectrum produces invariants of 3-manifolds to which they are associated using Heegard splitting.

On the algebra side, namely in vertex algebra, there is a different notion of spectrum. It also refers to a collection of rational numbers, $\{\Delta_i\}$, that determine leading q -powers in the q -expansion of VOA characters. These numbers are called *conformal weights* or *conformal dimensions* since they are defined as eigenvalues of the conformal vector L_0 , which is part of the mathematical definition of a vertex algebra.

Since one of the predictions of the so-called 3d-3d correspondence is that a closed 3-manifold Y corresponds to a VOA [11, 12, 74], for which \widehat{Z}_b is a character, it is natural to ask how the spectrum of conformal weights Δ_b relates to other spectra mentioned above, defined more geometrically. Contrary to what one might naively

expect, we find that the spectrum of conformal weights Δ_b is rather different from the spectrum of the corresponding hypersurface singularity: while the former determines the leading q -power in the q -expansion, the latter encodes the structure of the q -series coefficients at large q -powers. In turn, the latter determine the behavior of the q -series near $q = e^{\hbar} \approx 1$ or, equivalently, the expansion in \hbar near $\hbar = 0$.

To summarize, expansion in q , as in $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$, has direct contact with counting problems (curve counting, BPS states, etc.), with vertex algebras, and with the spectrum of conformal dimensions. These connections become less natural near $q = e^{\hbar} \approx 1$, where connections to complex Chern–Simons theory and the spectrum of hypersurface singularities become manifest. The two expansion limits are related by resurgent analysis, a powerful technique that, roughly speaking, allows to transfer (enlarge) the domain of a given function (or, a power series, possibly, with zero radius of convergence).

This interplay between expansions near $q = 0$ and $q = e^{\hbar} \approx 1$ plays an important role in curve counting [19, 30, 45, 40] and — since $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ admit an interpretation via curve counting too [37, 36, 23, 22] — in the study of \widehat{Z} -invariants [33, 5, 14, 15, 76]. In particular, near $q = e^{\hbar} \approx 1$ more natural objects are $Z_\alpha(q)$, without a ‘hat’, and trans-series $Z_\alpha(q)$ of the complex Chern–Simons theory. This is consistent with what we find as well: Z_0 and, more generally, Z_α appear to be more natural from the perspective of the singularity theory. And, perhaps not surprisingly (given the above explanations), the spectrum of the hypersurface singularity associated to Y is closely related to the set of values of the classical Chern–Simons functional on Y , i.e. to the singularities on the Borel plane.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Denis Auroux, Martin Čech, Shimal Harichurn, Maxim Kontsevich, Slava Krushkal, András Némethi, Sunghyuk Park, Pavel Putrov and Nikolai Saveliev for helpful conversations and Zuzana Urbanová for the help with computer experiments. S. Gukov was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1664227, DOE grant DE-SC0011632, and the Simons Grant *New structures in low-dimensional topology*. L. Katzarkov was supported by National Science Fund of Bulgaria, National Scientific Program “VIHREN”, Project no. KP-06-DV-7.

2. PLUMBED MANIFOLDS

In this section, we study \widehat{Z}_b invariants and their variants in detail. We state the definition given by the integral formula [36, 32] and interpret its parts, building analogies with invariants that appear in the study of normal surface singularities, such as the topological Poincaré series.

We start with a brief reminder of the theory of plumbed 3-manifolds. Let Γ be a finite graph with no loops (a tree) with integer label (framing) m_v on each vertex v . Associated to Γ , there is a plumbed manifold Y . It is the boundary of a 4-manifold X constructed by gluing disc bundles over spheres S^2 , one for each vertex of the




FIGURE 4. Moves on plumbing trees that preserve the 3-manifold.

plumbing graph Γ . It is convenient to describe Γ by the *plumbing matrix* M :⁵

$$M_{vw} = \begin{cases} m_v & \text{if } v = w \\ 1 & \text{if } (v, w) \in \text{Edges}(G) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Two different plumbing graphs represent the same 3-manifold Y if and only if they are related to each other by Neumann moves [53], see figure 4.

Depending on the degree, vertices often play a different role. For convenience, we call *leaf* a vertex of degree one, *joint* a vertex of degree two and *node* a vertex of degree 3 and more.

degree	1	2	3, 4, ...
name	leaf	joint	node

The main sources of plumbed manifolds are the isolated normal singularities of complex surfaces. To any such singularity germ (X, o) , we can assign a 3-manifold (“the *link* of the singularity”) by embedding a neighborhood of the singular point into $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and intersecting with a sufficiently small real sphere around zero (see e.g. [6] for details of the construction). Such plumbing graphs are always negative in the sense that the plumbing matrix is negative-definite. Conversely, every negative plumbing graph is a resolution graph of some singularity and the corresponding 3-manifold is its link.

Example 2.1. The singularity $E_{12} : x^2 + y^3 + z^7 = 0$ has a resolution with the intersection matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -7 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so it is also a plumbing matrix of the link of E_{12} , the Brieskorn sphere $\Sigma(2, 3, 7)$.

2.1. H_1 and $spin^c$ structures. Before we get into the \widehat{Z}_b invariants, we need some preparation on $spin^c$ structures. The question of labeling the invariants by additional structures on the 3-manifold structures is very subtle, and we need to carefully distinguish between different variants. One of the purposes of this paper is to relate the recent \widehat{Z}_b invariants [36, 32] with the theory of normal surface singularities. To make the exposition clear for people from both areas, we include a dictionary between different notations, especially [32] and [6].

⁵Also called adjacency matrix. We do not use this terminology to avoid confusion with the adjugate matrix – inverse matrix times determinant.

As usual, we assume that Y is a $\mathbb{Q}HS^3$, so it has associated a finite Abelian group $H = H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. H acts freely and transitively on the set of $spin^c$ structures $spin^c(Y)$, so $|spin^c(Y)| = |H|$. We will use the letters a, b, \dots to denote $spin^c$ structures and h, i, \dots for elements of H . The group H admits natural bilinear form – the linking pairing

$$\text{lk}(-, -) : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

which induces an isomorphism (Fourier transform⁶):

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : H &\rightarrow \hat{H}. \\ h &\mapsto \text{lk}(h, -), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{H} = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the Pontryagin dual of H .

Assume now \tilde{X} is a plumbed 4-manifold with boundary Y and plumbing matrix M of size s .⁷ Then we have the lattice $L = H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ equipped with the intersection form. The intersection form gives an embedding of L to $L' = H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ and extends naturally to L' . We identify the lattice L' with $(\mathbb{Z}^s, (\vec{l}, M^{-1}\vec{l}'))$ and L with $M\mathbb{Z}^s$. Basis $E_i^*, i = 1, \dots, s$ (resp. E_i) of L' (resp. L) used in [6] correspond to the vectors $-e_i$ (resp. Me_i) where e_i is standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . The group H is then naturally identified with $L'/L = \mathbb{Z}^s/M\mathbb{Z}^s$ using the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}, Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

and Poincaré–Alexander duality in the first and third term.

$\mathbb{Z}^s, (\vec{l}, M^{-1}\vec{l}')$	$L' = H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$
$-e_i; Me_i$	$E_i^*; E_i$
$M\mathbb{Z}^s$	$L = H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$
$\mathbb{Z}^s/M\mathbb{Z}^s$	$H = H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$

The $spin^c$ structures on Y are naturally identified with the *characteristic vectors*, which are the elements of

$$\text{Char}(Y) = (2\mathbb{Z}^s + \vec{m})/2M\mathbb{Z}^s \subset L',$$

where \vec{m} is the vector of framings of vertices (the diagonal of the matrix M) and further with

$$\text{Char}'(Y) = (2\mathbb{Z}^s + \vec{\delta})/2M\mathbb{Z}^s \subset L',$$

via the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Char} &\rightarrow \text{Char}' \\ b &\mapsto b - M\vec{u} \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{u} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, and we have $\vec{\delta} + \vec{m} = M\vec{u}$. The set of $spin^c$ structures has natural involution $\sigma \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}$ which on characteristic vectors (Char or Char') acts simply by $b \mapsto -b$. The fixed points (i.e. the intersection $2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta \cap M\mathbb{Z}$) of this involution are naturally identified with $spin$ structures.

The 2-torsion subgroup, naturally identified with $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\text{Char}'(Y)$ by inclusion to H .

⁶It is also often written in the exponentiated notation $\theta(h) = e^{2\pi i \text{lk}(h, -)}$ if we think of \hat{H} as being homomorphisms into \mathbb{C}^* .

⁷Often Y is a link of an isolated normal surface singularity X and \tilde{X} is a resolution of X .

There is also a natural involution on H , sending h to $-h$. This is thought of as Weyl symmetry, as it provides identification (on dual group \hat{H}) of Abelian representations of $\pi_1(Y)$ (Abelian flat connections), when they are thought of as representations to $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and hence they are conjugated.

The group $H = \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$ acts freely and transitively on $\text{Char}'(Y)$ by $b \mapsto b + 2h$. Therefore, a choice of $spin^c$ structure b gives us a H -equivariant map:

$$\begin{aligned} H &\rightarrow spin^c(Y) \\ h &\rightarrow b + h \end{aligned}$$

which preserves the involutions if and only if b is given by a $spin$ structure. This map was used, e.g. in [35].

Remark 2.2. This map is often used with the canonical $spin^c$ structure [50] in the context of Y being a link of isolated normal surface singularity. However, this $spin^c$ structure is often not $spin$ so it does not induce an equivariant map w.r.t the involutions. It is interesting that 3d Seiberg–Witten invariants are invariant w.r.t the $spin^c$ involution and this is reflected in Serre duality for certain line bundles [6].

Finally, the inclusion Char' to $L' = \mathbb{Z}^s$ induces map $c_1 : spin^c \rightarrow H$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} c_1 : (2\mathbb{Z}^s + \vec{\delta})/2M\mathbb{Z}^s &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^s/M\mathbb{Z}^s \\ b &\rightarrow b \pmod{M\mathbb{Z}^s} \end{aligned}$$

This map can be thought of as the Chern class of the line bundle associated to the $spin^c$ structure [6, p. 249]. The kernel of this map is naturally identified with the set of $spin$ structures (and the fibers of this map are orbits of the $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ action.). For \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology spheres, we have a unique $spin$ structure and c_1 is bijective.

2.2. The q -series invariants. Following the notations of [32], we fix a plumbing tree Γ with s vertices, denote vectors in $\vec{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ by letters with arrows and write l_v for respective component of vertex v .

Let Y be a $\mathbb{Q}HS^3$ given by a negative plumbing⁸ with a fixed plumbing graph Γ on s vertices and plumbing matrix $M \in GL(\mathbb{Z}, s)$. Denote by $\vec{\delta}$ the vector in \mathbb{Z}^s of degrees of vertices of Γ .

The q -series $\hat{Z}_b(q)$ are defined as:⁹

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{Z}_b(q) = q^{\frac{-3s - \text{Tr}(M)}{4}} \cdot \text{v.p.} \int_{|z_v|=1} \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}} \frac{dz_v}{2\pi i z_v} \left(z_v - \frac{1}{z_v} \right)^{2 - \text{deg}(v)} \cdot \Theta_b^{-M}(q, \vec{z})$$

⁸In [32], a definition was given for a more general class of plumbing graphs called weakly negative plumblings. However, it can be shown that every weakly negative graph can be transformed to negative-definite one by a sequence of Neumann moves, by a slight modification of the argument used for the characterization of negative-definite plumblings by Eisenbud and Neumann [21]. As it, therefore, does not extend the class of 3-manifolds, we prefer to simplify the exposition and use definite graphs only.

⁹Let us note that by the Weyl \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry of the integrand, we have $\hat{Z}_b = \hat{Z}_{-b}$ and they are often identified. We do not do it here, i.e. use the so-called “unfolded” version [11].

where

$$(2.3) \quad \Theta_b^{-M}(q, \vec{z}) = \sum_{\vec{l} \in 2M\mathbb{Z}^s + a} q^{-\frac{(\vec{l}, M^{-1}\vec{l})}{4}} \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}} z_v^{l_v}.$$

Here, v.p. denotes taking the principal value of the integral, given by the average of the integrals over the circles $|z_v| = 1 + \epsilon$ and $|z_v| = 1 - \epsilon$, for $\epsilon > 0$ small. The weak negativity condition is needed for the convergence of this q -series. The label a stands for a choice of $spin^c$ structure on Y , which is identified with a vector in $(2\mathbb{Z}^s + \vec{\delta})/2M\mathbb{Z}^s$, see 2.1.

We will now give an interpretation of each part of the integral formula. The prefactor $q^{-\frac{3s - \text{Tr}(M)}{4}}$ is needed to ensure the invariance of $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ under Neumann moves. The integrand

$$(2.4) \quad \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}} \left(z_v - \frac{1}{z_v} \right)^{2 - \deg(v)}$$

is interesting on its own. It reminds the rational function which appears in the definition of *topological Poincaré series* of Némethi [59, 6]. This is an important observation that we will develop further below.

The theta function is a sum over a subset of the lattice, given by a class a representing the $spin^c$ structure. Each term contains a linear part $\vec{z}^{-\vec{l}}$ labeling vector in lattice \mathbb{Z}^s and a q -monomial whose exponent is quadratic in \vec{l} . As the integral in the formula simply takes constant term in each variable z_v , we can think of $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ as being obtained in two steps:

- (1) First, we expand the rational function 2.4 into a formal Laurent series using symmetric expansion (the average of Laurent series at 0 and ∞) and include only the terms which are in the residue class of a .
- (2) Transform monomials in variables z_v of this Laurent series into monomials in q according to the following rule:

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-M} : \vec{z}^{\vec{l}} \rightarrow q^{-\frac{(\vec{l}, M^{-1}\vec{l})}{4}}$$

This is a variant of Laplace transform which appears in various other contexts.

We can therefore package the input data in a triple (Δ, φ, Q) where Δ is the prefactor, φ is a multivariate Laurent series (containing the information of 2.4 and the subset of the lattice corresponding to $b \in spin^c(Y)$) and Q is a quadratic form in the same variables encoding the Laplace transform 2.5. The invariants are the result of the map

$$\mathcal{Z} : (\Delta, \varphi, Q) \rightarrow q^\Delta \mathcal{L}_Q \varphi,$$

In our case we have

$$(2.6) \quad \widehat{Z}_b = \mathcal{Z}\left(q^{-\frac{3s - \text{Tr}(M)}{4}}, f_b, -M\right)$$

where f_b is the part of the symmetric expansion of 2.4 containing the terms $\vec{z}^{\vec{l}}$ with \vec{l} in the class of the $spin^c$ structure $b \in 2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta/2M\mathbb{Z}^s$.

In the course of this paper, we need some modifications of the definition, which usually just amounts to the change of φ . It is interesting to study operations on

(Δ, φ, Q) which preserve the resulting q -series. Apart from Neumann's moves, we have the Reduction Theorem (Theorem 4.1), where all three Δ , φ and Q are changed simultaneously, reducing the number of variables to one.

A particularly important q -series in this paper is the sum of $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ over all a and a variant of \widehat{Z}_b , which is however labelled by elements of H (instead of $spin^c$ structures):

Definition 2.3. The series Z_0 is given by

$$(2.7) \quad Z_0(q) = \sum_{b \in spin^c(Y)} \widehat{Z}_b(q) = \mathcal{Z}(\Delta, \sum_{b \in spin^c(Y)} f_b, Q)$$

with Δ , f_b and Q as in \widehat{Z}_b .

The H -dependent q -series $\widehat{Z}'_h(q)$ are defined as

$$(2.8) \quad \widehat{Z}'_h(q) = \mathcal{Z}(\Delta, f_h, Q)$$

where f_h is the sum of the terms in the symmetric expansion of 2.4 which are in a class of $h \in H = \mathbb{Z}^s / M\mathbb{Z}^s$.

The series Z_0 is simply the same sum as in \widehat{Z}_b but over the whole lattice \mathbb{Z}^s so the $spin^c$ structure dependence is lost. As we sketched in the Introduction, it is often easier to establish a result for Z_0 first and then refine it to \widehat{Z}_b . The relation of \widehat{Z}_b and \widehat{Z}'_h is described in the next proposition.

Proposition 2.4. \widehat{Z}'_h is the sum of \widehat{Z}_b for all b such that $c_1(b) = h$. In particular, if Y is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homology sphere, we have $\widehat{Z}_b = \widehat{Z}'_{c_1(a)}$. Consequently, the series \widehat{Z}'_h are invariant under Neumann moves.

Proof. We have disjoint union decompositions:

$$(2.9) \quad 2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta = \bigcup_{b \in 2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta / 2\mathbb{Z}^s} b = \bigcup_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^s / M\mathbb{Z}^s} \left(\bigcup_{\substack{b \in 2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta / 2\mathbb{Z}^s \\ c_1(b) = h}} b \right)$$

All the terms in the integrand 2.4 are monomials of the form $z^{\vec{l}}$ with \vec{l} in $2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta$. Therefore, summing over a class of h is the same as over all b with $c_1(b) = h$. \square

It is convenient to think of $\widehat{Z}'_h(q)$ as an equivariant refinement of $Z_0(q)$ and package all of them as one q -series with the coefficients in the group ring $\mathbb{C}[H]$:

$$(2.10) \quad \widehat{Z}'(q) = \sum_{h \in H} \widehat{Z}'_h(q) h$$

Note that the proposition 2.4 makes clear that the $\widehat{Z}'_h(q)$ series is invariant under the same Neumann moves as $\widehat{Z}(q)$.

We will now state a formula for the Laurent series in \widehat{Z}' in terms of the equivariant version of 2.4. Denote the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^s by e_v for $v \in \text{Vert}$ and $[e_v]$ the corresponding classes in $\mathbb{Z}^s / M\mathbb{Z}^s$ (and $\mathbb{C}[H]$).

Lemma 2.5. *Let f_h be as in the definition of $\widehat{Z}'_h(q)$. Then we have*

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{h \in H} h f_h(\vec{z}) = \text{s.e.} \left(\prod_{v \in \text{Vert}} ([e_v]z_v - [-e_v]z_v^{-1})^{2-\delta_v} \right)$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.12) \quad f_h(\vec{z}) = \text{s.e.} \left(\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \chi(h^{-1}) \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}} ((\chi([e_v])z_v - \chi([-e_v])z_v^{-1})^{2-\delta_v}) \right)$$

Proof. We write

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{h \in H} h f_h(\vec{z}) = h \sum'_{\vec{l} \in h} \vec{z}^{\vec{l}}$$

where the sum runs over the monomials in the symmetric expansion of

$$(2.14) \quad \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}} (z_v - z_v^{-1})^{2-\delta_v}$$

If we now consider the symmetric expansion of the product

$$(2.15) \quad \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}} ([e_v]z_v - [-e_v]z_v^{-1})^{2-\delta_v}$$

we get terms of the form $\prod_v [e_v]^{l_v} z_v^{l_v}$ but $\prod_v [e_v]^{l_v}$ is nothing but the class of the vector \vec{l} as element of H .

The second formula follows from the usual trick

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \chi(h^{-1})[\vec{l}] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } [\vec{l}] = h \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 2.6. It would be interesting to find a common language for both Seiberg–Witten¹⁰ and \widehat{Z} invariants. There are certain similarities, e.g the integral expression for SW invariants [37]. A direct numerical relation was investigated in [35] for a certain class of plumbing graphs (almost rational graphs [48]) in the case when the series $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ is not identically zero (see corollary 4.2 for an example of vanishing of the q -series invariants).

Although both SW and \widehat{Z} invariants depend on $spin^c$ structures, the nature of this dependence seems to be slightly different. In particular, the canonical class (and associated $spin^c$ structure) plays an important role in the SW theory, but its role is not so clear for $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$. More specifically, in SW theory, it is the canonical which relates the H -equivariant Poincaré series to $spin^c$ -dependent SW invariants. In this section, we see that there is a natural H -equivariant analog of \widehat{Z}_b , namely $\widehat{Z}'(q)$, but they are related to the \widehat{Z}_b invariants in a rather formal way, through the Chern class map.

The integrand of the \widehat{Z}_b integral formally resembles the multivariate topological Poincaré series [6], but it is symmetrized and more importantly inverted, interchanging the role of the nodes and leaves of the plumbing graph. On the other hand, it

¹⁰and other developments in this direction, as Heegard-Floer homology and lattice cohomology

shares some formal properties, e.g. there is a version of the reduction theorem for Seifert manifolds 4.1. It would be interesting to see if there is a way how to incorporate the A_1 or a general root system into the definition of a multivariate Poincaré series in a sense of a series assigned to a filtered algebra, as in [6]. A related question is what would be the analytic analog of this series for singularities.

3. Z_0 AND SPLICE DIAGRAMS

3.1. Splice diagrams. Now we will concentrate on the series $Z_0(q)$. We will prove that up to a power of q , it can be reconstructed from fewer data than those of the full plumbing graph and consequently, it coincides for different manifolds. The relevant datum is the splice diagram [70, 53, 21], developed for the study of surface singularities and plumbed manifolds which appear as their links.

Recall from the Introduction that 3-manifold Y which is a \mathbb{Q} -homology sphere has finite $H = H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. There is the associated universal Abelian (unramified) cover Y^{ab} with covering group H . It is often useful to think of Y as the quotient of Y^{ab} by H , especially from the point of view of singularity theory, where Y^{ab} can sometimes be represented as a link of a singularity of a much simpler type than singularities giving Y .

The covering space Y^{ab} shares many properties of Y , i.e. it is a smooth oriented 3-manifold, plumbed when Y is. It does not have to be, however, a $\mathbb{Q}HS^3$ when Y is. Even if this is the case, some intermediate covering may lack this property, which makes these coverings difficult to study in general. Unlike for universal covering, taking the universal Abelian covering is not an idempotent operation, i.e., as it may have nontrivial H_1 itself and the construction can be repeated. For example, one has a tower of links of singularities


$$S^3 \xrightarrow{2:1} A_1 \xrightarrow{4:1} D_4 \xrightarrow{3:1} E_6 \xrightarrow{2:1} E_7.$$

The maximal splice diagram [56] is a useful way how to repackage the data of the plumbing graph Γ . It is directly related to the *inverse matrix* of the plumbing matrix, which occurs in the formula for $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$. Even more importantly, it gives a good grasp of which entries of this matrix are actually important and which can be suppressed in some situations. This leads to the notion of the splice diagram. Splice diagrams are useful for constructing algebraic equations of singularities from topology (3.3).


Definition 3.1. The *maximal splice diagram* of Y is a graph on the same set of vertices as Γ with a weight w_{ve} for each pair (v, e) of an edge e with its endpoint vertex v . The weight w_{ve} is given by the determinant of $-M^{(ve)}$, where $M^{(ve)}$ is the plumbing matrix of the subgraph obtained from Γ by all vertices on v -side of e .

The *splice diagram* is formed from the maximal splice diagram by keeping only nodes and leaves and removing the weights at leaves.


Example 3.2. Let Y be a manifold given by the following plumbing graph [56]. It is a homology sphere.



Its splice diagram is:



and the maximal splice diagram is:



The plumbing graph and the maximal splice diagram are essentially equivalent as follows from the next theorem [21]. For a, b vertices of the maximal splice diagram, we define N_{ab} as the product of all weights adjacent to the shortest path between a and b , not lying on the path. Let M be the plumbing matrix of Y and $\text{adj}(M)$ denotes the adjugate matrix of M , i.e. $\text{adj}(M) := \det(M)M^{-1}$.

Theorem 3.3 (Eisenbud–Neumann). *With the notation above, we have*

$$N = -\text{adj}(M).$$

Therefore maximal splice diagram of Y determines the plumbing graph Γ of Y .

This theorem allows us to understand what information is contained in the splice diagram. It contains exactly the data of $\text{adj}(M)_{ab}$ for pairs (a, b) of type leaf–node, node–leaf, node–node and finally leaf–leaf for two *distinct* leaves.

Example 3.4. We continue with the previous example 3.2. We order the vertices as nodes–leaves–joints and among each type, we order them from left to right in our picture of plumbing graph 3.2.

The adjugate matrix $\text{adj}(M) = M^{-1}$ is

$$(3.1) \quad -\text{adj}(M) = \begin{pmatrix} 42 & 60 & 21 & 14 & 30 & 12 & 6 & 24 \\ 60 & 110 & 30 & 20 & 55 & 22 & 10 & 44 \\ 21 & 30 & 11 & 7 & 15 & 6 & 3 & 12 \\ 14 & 20 & 7 & 5 & 10 & 4 & 2 & 8 \\ 30 & 55 & 15 & 10 & 28 & 11 & 5 & 22 \\ 12 & 22 & 6 & 4 & 11 & 5 & 2 & 9 \\ 6 & 10 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 24 & 44 & 12 & 8 & 22 & 9 & 4 & 18 \end{pmatrix}$$

We emphasized those entries of $\text{adj}(M)$ which can be read from splice diagram by Theorem 3.3, for example, the number 20 in the matrix is the product of weights around the path indicated at figure 5




FIGURE 5. The entry $-\text{adj } M_{vw} = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5$ in the adjugate matrix is given by the product of adjacent weights to the path connecting two vertices.

3.2. Z_0 and splice diagrams. We will now prove that the series $Z_0(q)$ can be reconstructed from the splice diagram, up to a prefactor, which is proportional to Casson invariant $\lambda(M)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let Y be a negatively plumbed manifold which is a \mathbb{Q} -homology sphere. Denote $H = |H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})|$. Let $\lambda(Y)$ be the Casson–Walker invariant [75]. Then the q -series*

$$(3.2) \quad q^{-6\lambda(Y)} Z_0(q^{|H|})$$

only depends on the splice diagram of Y .

Proof. The series $Z_0(q)$ can be written, up to prefactor q^Δ , as a sum of terms

$$(3.3) \quad q^{-(\vec{l}, \text{adj}(M)\vec{l})/4} = \prod_{v, w \in \text{Vert}} q^{-l_v l_w \text{adj}(M)_{vw}/4}$$

Now if we look at the expansion of

$$(3.4) \quad \left(z_v - \frac{1}{z_v} \right)^{2 - \deg(v)}$$

we see that the vector \vec{l} has zero components for joints. The only entries of $\text{adj}(M)$ which enter the formula are therefore $\text{adj}(M)_{vw}$ with v, w leaves or nodes. All these entries are encoded in the splice diagram, except from $\text{adj}(M)_{vv}$ for a leaf v . In the product 3.3, they contribute by

$$(3.5) \quad \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}} q^{-\text{adj}(M)_{vv} l_v^2/4} = q^{-\sum_{v \in \text{Leaves}} \text{adj}(M)_{vv}/4}$$

because the leaves have $l_v = \pm 1$, from the term $(z_v - z_v^{-1})$. We see that these entries contribute to each monomial by the same overall power of q , therefore up to it, $Z_0(q^{|H|})$ only depends on the splice diagram.

We are left to investigate the power

$$|H|\Delta - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{v \in \text{Leaves}} \text{adj}(M)_{vv}$$

where we now added the prefactor $\Delta = (-\text{Tr}(M) - 3s)/4$ of $Z_0(q)$. A well-known formula for Casson–Walker invariant of negative plumbings $\lambda(Y)$ reads [6, p. 402]:

$$(3.6) \quad -\frac{24}{|H|} \lambda(Y) = \sum_{v \in \text{Vert}} m_v + 3s + \sum_{v \in \text{Vert}} (2 - \delta_v) M_{vv}^{-1}$$

so

$$|H|\Delta - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{v \in \text{Leaves}} \text{adj}(M)_{vv} = 6\lambda(Y) + \sum_{v \in \text{Nodes}} (2 - \delta_v) \text{adj}(M)_{vv}.$$


We see that the overall prefactor is equal to $6\lambda(Y)$ plus terms that again depend only on the splice diagram. \square

This theorem formalizes the idea of the ‘‘unimportance’’ of the joints in the plumbing graph Γ . Note that for $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ the argument fails because of the additional dependence on the $spin^c$ structure, which uses the plumbing information in an essential way. It would be interesting to investigate how it can be interpreted in physics language.


Corollary 3.6. *If Y_1 and Y_2 have the same universal Abelian cover, then*

$$q^{-6\lambda(Y_1)} Z_0(Y_1, q^{|H_1(Y_1)|}) = q^{-6\lambda(Y_2)} Z_0(Y_2, q^{|H_1(Y_2)|}).$$

Example 3.7. Let us take the manifold from the example 3.2 and call it Y_1 .



Another manifold Y_2 with the same splice diagram and $H_1 = \mathbb{Z}/17\mathbb{Z}$ is given by the following diagram:



We have

$$Z_0(Y_1, q) = \frac{1}{2} q^{7/2} (-1 + q - 2q^2 + q^3 + q^5 + 3q^9 + q^{10} - q^{14} - q^{16} - q^{17} + \dots)$$

$$Z_0(Y_2, q^{17}) = \frac{1}{2} q^{-53/2} (-1 + q - 2q^2 + q^3 + q^5 + 3q^9 + q^{10} - q^{14} - q^{16} - q^{17} + \dots)$$

We see that they coincide up to an overall power. The Casson-Walker invariants are $\lambda(Y_1) = -4$ and $\lambda(Y_2) = -9$, respectively.

Note that this series can be decomposed into 17 q -series $\widehat{Z}_b(Y_2)$ which would not be obvious if we would start with the first plumbing without the knowledge of the second one.

Remark 3.8. For rational homology spheres that are not Seifert-fibered, there is always a finite number of manifolds with a given splice diagram. Their number however grows rapidly [54, prop. 4.3]. If we fix the number $|H|$, the splice diagram determines the JSJ decomposition graph of Y [54, prop. 5.4]. When Y is an integer homology sphere, then the splice diagram determines the maximal splice diagram and hence the plumbing graph. This was proved in [21] and an algorithm to produce the maximal splice diagram is described in [57].

Considerable interest was given to the rational numbers Δ_b (defined modulo integers), such that $q^{-\Delta_b} \widehat{Z}_b(q)$ is a series with integral coefficients [35]. Theorem 4.1 has an interesting consequence for them namely we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *The numbers $|H|\Delta_b$ coincide for all spin^c structures b on Y .*

Proof. The series $Z_0(q^{|H|})$ can be written as $q^\Delta \cdot \tilde{Z}(q)$ where $\tilde{Z}(q)$ has integral coefficients. At the same time, $Z_0(q^{|H|})$ is also the sum of all $\widehat{Z}_b(q^{|H|})$, so $|H|\Delta_b$ must match modulo integers. \square

The explicit computation of $\Delta = |H|\Delta_b$ is given for Seifert manifolds in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

3.3. Splice and splice-quotient singularities. Splice and splice-quotient singularities form a large class of isolated normal surface singularities, see [6] for a detailed survey. They include important classes like weighted homogeneous singularities, rational singularities, minimally elliptic singularities, etc. They can be thought of as the singularities for which many analytical invariants can be often reconstructed topologically, that is, from the plumbing graph of the corresponding link. We will stu

As we explained in Section 2, $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$, or rather their close relatives $\widehat{Z}'_h(q)$ can be thought of as H -equivariant decomposition of Z_0 . Hence we expect, that they should be related to the quotient of the splice singularity – the splice-quotient, whose link is the manifold Y . The series Z_0 should be related to the splice singularity, which described the universal Abelian cover. We will explore this for Seifert manifolds in Section 4.

A nice illustration of close ties between the topology of the 3-manifold and the analytic geometry of the singularity is a theorem of Némethi [6, 8.5.19–8.5.26].

Theorem 3.10 (Némethi). *A normal isolated surface singularity whose link is $\mathbb{Q}HS^3$ is a splice-quotient if and only if the analytical Poincaré series coincides with the topological Poincaré series.*

This result implies Seiberg–Witten invariant conjecture for this class: Suitably normalized 3d Seiberg–Witten invariants coincide with the equivariant geometric genus of the singularity. Motivated by these results we pose the following question.

Question 3.11. *Is there an analytic version of \widehat{Z}_b invariants such that it coincides with usual \widehat{Z}_b in the case of splice-quotients?*

Question 3.12. *Némethi and Ágoston defined an invariant of a surface singularity – analytic lattice cohomology [2], using the analogy with 3-manifold invariants. This is defined for singularities of arbitrary dimension. Is there a complex analytic version of the \widehat{Z}_b invariants which would coincide with the original one for quasi-homogeneous singularities?*

4. SEIFERT MANIFOLDS

We will now concentrate on the special case of Seifert manifolds. These manifolds are plumbed, and the plumbing graph can be chosen to be star-shaped, i.e. with at most one node. We always consider negative-definite plumbings. Since all manifolds




FIGURE 6. Plumbing graph of a Seifert manifold.

we consider in this paper are oriented rational homology spheres, the relevant Seifert fibrations are those over two-sphere S^2 . A useful description of the manifold is via the Seifert invariants.

Let us fix some notation.¹¹ Seifert (reduced) data consist of an integer b and tuples $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_k, b_k)$ of integers such that $0 < b_k < a_k$ and $\gcd(a_k, b_k) = 1$. Associated to it, there is a Seifert manifold $Y = M(b; (a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_k, b_k))$ fibered over the sphere with k singular fibers. We always assume that $k \geq 3$.¹² The manifold Y can be described by a star-shaped plumbing graph with the central node having the framing $-b$ and with k legs, such that the framing of vertices on j -th leg is given by the sequence $-c_1^{(j)}, -c_2^{(j)}, \dots, -c_{n_j}^{(j)}$ with $c_1^{(j)} \geq 1$ and $c_i^{(j)} \geq 2$ for $i = 2, \dots, n_j$, such that

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{a_j}{b_j} = c_1^{(j)} - \frac{1}{c_2^{(j)} - \frac{1}{\ddots - \frac{1}{c_{n_j}^{(j)}}}}$$

Let us denote the leaves of the plumbing graph as v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k and the node as v_0 . Denote $A = \prod_{i=1}^k a_i$, \bar{a}_i for A/a_i and \bar{a}_{ij} for $A/a_i a_j$. An example of a Seifert manifold was given in the Introduction, see 1.1.

We want to emphasize that as we know from Section 3, some invariants of Y can be read from the splice diagram of Y . In the Seifert case, splice diagram contains exactly the integers a_i , see figure 7, so the invariants are then independent of b and b_i . Note that this distinction is vacuous for integral homology spheres where b and b_i are uniquely determined by a_i .

Let us illustrate this on quantities related to the first homology $H = H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. The fundamental group of Y has a presentation given by elements g_i and g_0 satisfying

$$(4.2) \quad [g_i, g_0] = 1, g_i^{a_i} = g_0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad \prod_{i=1}^k g_i^{b_i} = g_0^b$$

¹¹We mostly follow [6] but use b_i instead of ω_i . There are many conventions, e.g. in [11], b is with opposite sign.

¹²Seifert manifolds with $k < 3$ are lens spaces and we can easily enlarge k by adding tuples $(1, 0)$.




FIGURE 7. Splice diagram of Seifert manifold $M(b; (a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_k, b_k))$.

The group $H = H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is its abelianization and we denote the generators by the same letters. Note that g_i can be identified with the classes $[e_i] \in H = \mathbb{Z}^s / M\mathbb{Z}^s$ of basis vectors for the corresponding leaf v_i and $g_0 = [e_0]$ is the class of the node.

The degree of the group $H = H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ can be computed as:

$$|H| = A|e| = A| -b + \sum_i b_i/a_i | = | -Ab + \sum_i \bar{a}_i b_i |$$

where $e = -b + \sum_i b_i/a_i$ is the Euler number of the Seifert fibration. It clearly depends on both a_i and b_i and can be arbitrarily large for fixed a_i .

On the other hand, the group $H/\langle g_0 \rangle$ is independent of b_i , with the order of g_0 being $\text{lcm}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)|e|$. Geometrically, g_0 is the generator corresponding to the fiber of the Seifert fibration and $H/\langle g_0 \rangle$ is the fundamental group of the base orbifold S^2 . Another example is provided by the counts of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ vs $SU(2)$ connections, where the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ case often depends only on a_i , see e.g. [17]. The theorem 3.5 says that the series $Z_0(q^{|H|})$ is independent of b_i up to a fixed fractional power of q proportional to the Casson invariant $\lambda_{SU(2)}$.

4.1. Reduction theorem for Seifert manifolds. It is natural to ask if we can express the $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ invariants of Seifert manifolds using Seifert data, rather than the plumbing graph (which can be very large due to continued fraction expansion). Morally, we can think of the Seifert data as some essential information in the inverse matrix M^{-1} , which appears in the theta function (or Laplace transform). This was done for three singular fiber Brieskorn homology spheres [32] generalized slightly [61] (see 4.4 for more on this case), using false theta functions. Another approach to reduction of \widehat{Z}_b appeared recently in [16], which works for pairwise coprime a_i . The main theorem of this section, Theorem 4.1, generalizes this result to any number of fibers, removes the conditions on $H_1(Y)$ and, perhaps more importantly, makes its role more transparent. An important intermediate step involves working with the series Z_0 , which depends only on a_i up to a prefactor, and treating \widehat{Z} (or rather its mild modification \widehat{Z}' defined in Section 2) as the H -equivariant refinement.

The reduction theorem gives us a formula for the series Z_0 and $\widehat{Z}'_h(q)$ defined in 2.7 using a single variable rational function. In the case of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology spheres, it determines all \widehat{Z}_b invariants as they coincide with $\widehat{Z}'_h(q)$. Recall from the Introduction that the ‘‘Laplace transform’’ \mathcal{L}_A is defined as

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_A(t^n) = q^{n^2/4A}.$$

Theorem 4.1 (Reduction theorem). *Let $Y = M(b; (a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_k, b_k))$ be a negative-definite Seifert manifold which is a rational homology sphere. Denote*

$$(4.4) \quad f_0(t) = \frac{(t^{A/a_1} - t^{-A/a_1})(t^{A/a_2} - t^{-A/a_2}) \dots (t^{A/a_k} - t^{-A/a_k})}{(t^A - t^{-A})^{k-2}}$$

Then

$$(4.5) \quad Z_0(q^{|H|}) = q^\Delta \mathcal{L}_A(\text{s. e. } f_0(t))$$

where $\Delta = \Delta(Y)$ is a rational number described in the proof.

Moreover, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \widehat{Z}'_h(q^{|H|}) = q^\Delta \mathcal{L}_A(\text{s. e. } f_h(t))$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad f_h(t) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \frac{(\chi(g_1)t^{A/a_1} - \chi(g_1^{-1})t^{-A/a_1}) \dots (\chi(g_k)t^{A/a_k} - \chi(g_k^{-1})t^{-A/a_k})}{(\chi(g_0)t^A - \chi(g_0^{-1})t^{-A})^{k-2}}$$

and if Y is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homology sphere, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \widehat{Z}_b(q^{|H|}) = q^\Delta \mathcal{L}_A(\text{s. e. } f_h(t))$$

where $h = c_1(b)$ is the map defined in 2.1.

Proof. The integrand in Z_0 gives the function $f_0(t)$ by the following substitution:

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} z_i \rightarrow t^{\bar{a}_i} & \text{for the leaves} \\ z_0 \rightarrow t^A & \text{for the node} \end{cases}$$

To compute $Z_0(q^{|H|})$ we substitute each monomial in variables z_i of the form $\bar{z}^{\vec{l}}$ for the monomial $q^{-(\vec{l}, \text{adj}(M)\vec{l})/4}$. We can expand the exponent (multiplied by 4 for convenience):

$$(4.10) \quad -(\vec{l}, \text{adj}(M)\vec{l}) = l_0^2 A + 2 \sum_{i \neq 0} l_0 l_i \bar{a}_i + \sum_{i \neq j} l_i l_j \bar{a}_{ij} + \sum_{i \neq 0} l_i^2 \text{adj}(M)_{ii}.$$

If we perform first the substitution 4.9 and then Laplace transform \mathcal{L}_A , 4.3, we get (suppressing again the factor of 4)

$$(4.11) \quad (l_0 A + \sum_{i \neq 0} l_i \bar{a}_i)^2 / A = l_0^2 A + 2 \sum_{i \neq 0} l_0 l_i \bar{a}_i + \sum_{i, j \neq 0} l_i l_j \bar{a}_i \bar{a}_j / A$$

$$(4.12) \quad = l_0^2 A + 2 \sum_{i \neq 0} l_0 l_i \bar{a}_i + \sum_{i, j \neq 0} l_i l_j \bar{a}_{ij}.$$

We see that the two expressions 4.10 and 4.12 differ only in terms corresponding to ‘‘a leaf with itself,’’ $l_i^2 \bar{a}_{ii}$ vs. $l_i^2 \text{adj}(M)_{ii}$. Since $l_i^2 = (\pm 1)^2$ is always 1 for each vector $\vec{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, these terms give the same contribution to each term of the sum, so they contribute by an overall power of q in the series Z_0 .

Altogether, we have

$$(4.13) \quad Z_0(q^{|H|}) = q^\Delta \mathcal{L}_A(\text{s. e. } f_0(t))$$

where

(4.14)

$$4\Delta = |H|(-3s - \text{Tr}(M)) - \sum_{i \neq 0} \text{adj}(M)_{ii} - \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i \neq 0} \bar{a}_i^2$$

$$(4.15) \quad = -|H|(3s + \text{Tr}(M) + \sum_i (2 - \delta_i)(M^{-1})_{ii} - (2 - \delta_0)(M^{-1})_{00}) - \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i \neq 0} \bar{a}_i^2$$

$$(4.16) \quad = 24\lambda(Y) - (2 - \delta_0)A - \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i \neq 0} \bar{a}_i^2$$

$$(4.17) \quad = 24\lambda(Y) - A(2 - \delta_0 + \sum_{i \neq 0} \frac{1}{a_i^2})$$

using the well-known formula for Casson–Walker invariant λ as in the proof of theorem 3.5.

The equivariant version follows the same computation of the exponents. The set which we sum over is the class of $h \in H = \mathbb{Z}^s / M\mathbb{Z}^s$, so we need to replace 4.4 by its equivariant version 4.18 according to Lemma 2.5. The last statement follows from the equality of the tuples \widehat{Z}_b and \widehat{Z}'_h identified via the Chern class c_1 in proposition 2.4. □

We now give several remarks on the interpretation of this result and its relation to previous works. Seifert manifolds have often been used as examples for exploring interesting phenomena for $\widehat{Z}(q)$, e.g. [11, 44, 13, 12, 74]. Our theorem gives a very easy-to-use formula that can be used to check many conjectures, such as connections with logarithmic vertex algebras in Section 5.

The reduction theorem can be thought of as an analogue of the reduction procedure of Némethi [6, p. 364] which has been developed in a large generality. Indeed, the substitution in the proof is the analogue of the reduction to the (unique) node. It is natural to proceed in this direction for more general graphs, which will be developed in future work. A clue toward a more general reduction was already presented in [9] for certain H -shaped graphs. It however relies on a specific form of the graph and the theory of splice diagrams provides a natural framework for generalizing these computations.

The function $f_0(t)$ is a “symmetrized and inverted version” of the univariate Poincaré series of the splice type singularity defined by the splice diagram. For Seifert manifolds, those are complete intersections of Brieskorn type [52]. The fact that the function 4.4 reminds various previous functions assigned to Brieskorn homology spheres (rather than \mathbb{Q} -homology spheres) is a reflection of it. Following [33, 5], we can also identify the function $f_0(t)$ with the Borel transform of the perturbative expansion in complex Chern–Simons theory; its singularity structure is the central element of the resurgent analysis. Indeed, as explained in the Introduction, Z_0 is a very natural object from the viewpoint of complex Chern–Simons theory and resurgent analysis. Unfortunately, in general it lacks integrality and many other important properties, e.g. is not expected to admit a categorification, whereas \widehat{Z}

(and, possibly, \widehat{Z}') enjoy these properties. Our discussion here seems to suggest that for the latter the role of the Borel plane is played by the Poincaré series of the corresponding splice type singularity. It would be interesting to explore this further.

A useful immediate corollary explains why, for Seifert manifolds, the $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ series vanish for many values of b :

Corollary 4.2. *Let Y be a Seifert manifold \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology sphere with k singular fibers as above, and assume that the element $g_0 \in \pi_1(Y)$ is trivial in H_1 . Then there are at most 2^k non-zero \widehat{Z}_b invariants.*

Proof. As g_0 vanishes, the only elements of H which appear in the expansion of

$$(4.18) \quad \sum_h f_h(t) = \frac{(g_1 t^{A/a_1} - g_1^{-1} (h^{-1}) t^{-A/a_1}) \cdots (g_k t^{A/a_k} - g_k^{-1} (h^{-1}) t^{-A/a_k})}{(g_0 t^A - g_0 t^{-A})^{k-2}}$$

are of the form

$$g_1^{\pm 1} g_2^{\pm 1} \cdots g_k^{\pm 1}$$


and there is 2^k of them. The non-zero $f_h(t)$ are their coefficients. \square

Example 4.3. For any positive integer n , Seifert manifold $M(2; (n, n-1), (n, n-1), (n, 1))$ has $|H| = n^3/n = n^2$ and $|g_0| = n/n = 1$ so there are only 8 non-zero q -series $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$.

This infinite family of examples has to be compared to the Seifert manifold $M(1; (3, 1), (4, 1), (5, 1))$, the link of $x^3y + y^2z + xz^2 = 0$ (S_{12} singularity), which has $|H| = 13$ and all thirteen $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ non-zero, as the element g_0 generates the full H .

Remark 4.4. We see that the \widehat{Z}_b can vanish for many $spin^c$ structures b . Seifert manifolds with g_0 trivial were studied in the recent work [12, 74], see also [61] where they were called pseudo-spherical manifolds. Their \widehat{Z}_b , being linear combinations of false theta functions are written as characters of log-VOAs, which for 3 singular fibers depends¹³ on a single number $-1/e = \text{lcm}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$. In the case of nontrivial g_0 , we expect that the algebra needs to be correspondingly refined to incorporate the denominator in the formula 4.18.

Example 4.5. Let us consider the Seifert manifold $Y = M(2; (2, 1), (3, 2), (3, 2))$, the link of E_6 singularity $x^2 + y^3 + z^4 = 0$. The plumbing graph is the E_6 Dynkin diagram.



The splice diagram has weights given by $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (2, 3, 3)$ in Seifert data and the corresponding (splice type) equation of the universal Abelian cover is $x^2 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$,

¹³apart from the root system

which defines D_4 singularity. The first homology is $H_1(Y) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, so we have three q -series of which two coincide by $spin^c$ conjugation symmetry. Specifically,

(4.19)

$$\widehat{Z}_0(q) = q^{-1} - 1 + q + q^4 - q^6 - q^{11} + q^{14} + q^{21} - q^{25} - q^{34} + q^{39} + q^{50} - q^{56} - \dots$$

(4.20)

$$\widehat{Z}_1(q) = \widehat{Z}_2(q) = q^{-2/3}(-1 + q^3 - q^9 + q^{18} - q^{30} + q^{45} - q^{63} + q^{84} - q^{108} + \dots)$$

The function f_0 which gives Z_0 (by theorem 4.1) is

$$(4.21) \quad f_0(t) = \frac{(t^9 - t^{-9})(t^6 - t^{-6})(t^6 - t^{-6})}{t^{18} - t^{-18}} = \frac{(t^9 - t^{-9})(t^{12} + t^{-12} - 2)}{t^{18} - t^{-18}}.$$

The group H is generated by g_1, g_2, g_3, g_0 satisfying $g_1^2 = g_0$, $g_2^3 = g_0$, $g_3^3 = g_0$, $g_1 g_2^2 g_3^2 = g_0^2$. It is easy to see that $g_0 = g_1 = 1$, the identity element, $\omega := g_2$ generates H and $g_3 = \omega^2$. The equivariant version is given by

$$(4.22) \quad \sum h f_h(t) = \frac{(g_1 t^9 - g_1^{-1} t^{-9})(g_2 t^6 - g_2^{-1} t^{-6})(g_3 t^6 - g_3^{-1} t^{-6})}{t^{18} - t^{-18}}$$

$$(4.23) \quad = \frac{(t^9 - t^{-9})(\omega t^6 - \omega^2 t^{-6})(\omega^2 t^6 - \omega t^{-6})}{t^{18} - t^{-18}}$$

$$(4.24) \quad \frac{(t^9 - t^{-9})(t^{12} + t^{-12})}{t^{18} - t^{-18}} + (\omega + \omega^2) \frac{(t^{-9} - t^9)}{t^{18} - t^{-18}}.$$

By expanding and applying the Laplace transform, we get the series 4.19. As Y in this example is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology sphere, $spin^c$ structures are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of H by the c_1 map, so we recover the \widehat{Z} invariants for $spin^c$ structures.

Remark 4.6. Any Seifert homology sphere can be written as a branched double cover of S^3 with ramification locus given by knots of Montesinos type. In fact, this is also true for any plumbed homology sphere, with knots of plumbed type, as taking cover behaves well w.r.t. splicing operation [69, p. 24]. This could allow us to find a formula for \widehat{Z} invariants of a branched cover over a knot. We could also get a closed formula for $F_K(x, q)$ for Montesinos knots.


5. Z_0 , IRREDUCIBLE CONNECTIONS, SPECTRUM

In this last section, we explore an interplay between four different objects associated with a Seifert manifold: a generalized version of Z_0 q -series, irreducible flat $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -connections, the spectrum of the corresponding splice type singularity, and the corresponding vertex algebras. In Section 3 we examined which invariants of the 3-manifold depend on the full data of the plumbing graph, or rather just on the splice diagram. With the exception of vertex algebras, all objects listed above are of the latter type.¹⁴ It is an interesting question, whether the discussion in this section generalize to more complicated plumbed manifolds.

This connection also hints at a deeper relation between geometric structures, leading to a conjectural notion of a non-abelian non-commutative Hodge structure.

¹⁴In particular, all of them become essentially trivial for lens spaces.

Seifert manifolds form a rather special class and their properties are closely related to the base orbifold curve. Some of the relations described may be of algebraic origin, rather than topological one.



5.1. Irreducible flat connections on Seifert manifolds. The study of flat connections on 3-manifolds, or equivalently, representations of the fundamental group, is an important tool in 3-dimensional topology. Flat connections are critical points of the Chern-Simons functional, so they are expected to organize the structure of both perturbative and non-perturbative complex Chern-Simons theory [31]. With \hat{Z} providing a non-perturbative definition of this theory, the role of complex flat connections on Y extended further to the category of line operations, dubbed $\text{MTC}[Y, G_{\mathbb{C}}]$ in [37].

We continue to work with the simplest non-trivial choice of the complex gauge group, $G_{\mathbb{C}} = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and leave generalizations to an interested reader and future work. Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}^*(Y, SL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ the moduli space of irreducible flat connections on Y (modulo conjugation). For Seifert homology spheres, the moduli space can be described as a certain moduli space of parabolic Higgs bundles over the (orbifold) base \mathbb{CP}^1 [8]. The moduli space is smooth, non-compact, and has components of various dimensions between 0 and $2k - 6$ where k is the number of singular fibers. In particular, for 3 fibers, it is just a collection of points. A component $C \subset \mathcal{M}^*(Y, SL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ of dimension $2n - 6$ has Euler characteristics

$$(5.1) \quad \chi(C) = (n-1)(n-2)2^{n-4} = \binom{n-1}{2} 2^{n-3},$$

which is also equal to the rank of the cohomology of C as it is nontrivial only in even degrees.

Related to this, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Casson invariant $\lambda_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})}^C(Y)$ was defined in [18]. For Seifert homology spheres, it simply counts the zero-dimensional components [7]. An alternative definition, denoted by $\lambda_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})}^P(Y)$, is due Abouzaid and Manolescu [1], which in the case of Seifert homology spheres coincides with the Euler characteristics of the moduli space of flat connections. The number of components of dimension $2n - 6$ is

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{e_n(a_1 - 1, a_2 - 1, \dots, a_k - 1)}{2^{n-1}}$$

where e_n is the elementary symmetric polynomial

$$e_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n} x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_k}.$$

For example for $Y = \Sigma(2, 3, 5, 7)$ we have $e_3(1, 2, 4, 6)/4 = 23$ zero-dimensional components and $e_4(1, 2, 4, 6)/8 = 6$ two-dimensional components and

$$\lambda_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})}^P(Y) = 23 + 6 \cdot 6 = 59.$$

The set of components of dimension $2n - 6$ can be identified with the set D of tuples of integers (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k) satisfying $0 < d_i < a_i$ and exactly n numbers being non-zero, modulo the action of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$ that takes $d_i \rightarrow a_i - d_i$ for an even number of non-zero d_i simultaneously, resulting in the count above. The numbers d_i can be thought of as rotational numbers of the corresponding representation with the representant in the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$ orbit corresponding to taking different presentations of the group. For more details, see [69] for the case of 3 singular fibres and [5] for a more general case.¹⁵

5.2. From connections to spectrum. Recall from Section 3, that to some classes of plumbed 3-manifolds, one can naturally assign (an equisingular family of) splice-type singularities defined using splice diagram. This is particularly nice for Seifert manifolds, where the corresponding singularity is complete intersection of Brieskorn type [52].

We will start with 3 singular fibres for simplicity. Given a Seifert manifold $Y = M((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3))$, the corresponding splice-type singularity X is

$$(5.3) \quad x^{a_1} + y^{a_2} + z^{a_3} = 0.$$

By Neumann's theorem, this is the universal abelian cover of the quasihomogeneous singularity with link Y . The equation is constructed from the splice diagram and does not depend on b_i .

This singularity, being a hypersurface, has natural one-parameter smoothing and admits the monodromy operator and spectral numbers defined using a canonical mixed Hodge structure on the Milnor fiber. The rank of cohomology of the Milnor number (= number of spectral numbers with multiplicities) is Milnor number μ .

The spectrum of the singularity 5.3 can be computed using Thom–Sebastiani theorem. In this case, it is simply the set

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{d_1}{a_1} + \frac{d_2}{a_2} + \frac{d_3}{a_3}, \quad 0 < d_i < a_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3$$

The eigenvalues of the monodromy are equal to exponentiated spectral numbers $\exp(2\pi i \sum \frac{d_i}{a_i})$. From 5.4 and 5.2 we see that, in the case of Y being homology sphere, the Milnor number of $X = X^{ab}$ is proportional to $\lambda_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})}^C(Y) = \lambda_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})}^P(Y)$, see [7]:

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{\mu(X^{ab})}{4} = \lambda_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})}^C(Y),$$

¹⁵Some components of lower dimensions were omitted in the discussion there due to too restrictive fundamental domain for the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$ action.

If Y is a rational homology sphere, the left hand side needs to be replaced by the number of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ orbits (the action now has fixed points). The count of connection was given in [17, p. 20] and the analog of the equation above follows easily from Burnside's lemma.

This relation extends nicely to more singular fibers as we will describe now. Although this extension may be known to the experts, we were not able to find it in the literature. We will formulate it in terms of the monodromy eigenvalues as those were explicitly computed by Hamm [39]. The spectrum of complete intersections has been defined [20], but we are now merely interested in the counts of the elements, so it does not make a big difference.

Suppose we have a Seifert manifold $Y = M(\{(b_i, a_i)\}_1^k)$ with k singular fibres. The corresponding splice-type equations are a complete intersection of $k - 2$ equations of type 5.3 in k variables, again with generic coefficients. More specifically, let us take a complex matrix α_{ij} of size $(k - 2) \times k$ with all maximal subdeterminants being non-zero. Consider the functions $f_i(x) : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, i = 1 \dots k - 2$ given by

$$(5.6) \quad f_i(x) = \sum \alpha_{ij} x_j^{a_j}$$

The set

$$f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \dots = f_{k-2}(x) = 0$$

defines a complex surface X with an isolated complete intersection singularity at the origin. If Y is a homology sphere, then Y is the link of X [52]. If it is a rational homology sphere, the link of X is Y^{ab} .

We will now assume that Y is a homology sphere for simplicity.¹⁶ If we now take as X^* the surface given by the equations 5.6 but the last one f_{k-2} , the function f_{k-2} is regular on X^* except 0, and we can define the monodromy operator h of the Milnor fiber of f_{k-2} . We have

Lemma 5.1 (Hamm). *The characteristic polynomial $\chi(t)$ of h is given by*

$$(5.7) \quad \chi(t) = \prod_{\substack{3 \leq n \leq k, \\ 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq k}} \delta_{a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \dots, a_{j_n}}(t)^{\binom{n-1}{2}}$$

where

$$(5.8) \quad \delta_{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n}(t) = \prod_{\substack{d_1, \dots, d_n, \\ 1 \leq d_i < b_i, \\ i=1, \dots, n}} e^{2\pi i(d_1/b_1 + d_2/b_2 + \dots + d_n/b_n)} - t$$

We observe that the eigenvalues of monodromy are labeled by tuples (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) for n varying from 3 to k in the same way as the $2n - 6$ dimensional components of the moduli space of connections. The multiplicity of the eigenvalue is $\binom{n-1}{2}$ so for each n we get that the number of eigenvalues (with multiplicities) is 4 times the number of $2n - 6$ dimensional components times the Euler characteristics $\binom{n-1}{2} 2^{n-3}$. We obtain

¹⁶This is the case mostly studied in the literature. We expect that for rational homology spheres, similar modifications can be done as in the case of of 3 singular fibres.

Proposition 5.2. *Let Y be a Seifert homology sphere and X the corresponding complete intersection singularity with Milnor number μ . Then*

$$\frac{\mu(X)}{4} = \lambda_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})}^P(Y).$$

It is rather clear that this relation is not just a numerical coincidence and should be given an interpretation on the level of cohomology. Abouzaid and Manolescu defined the cohomology using Heegaard splitting, giving two Lagrangians in the character variety of the Heegaard surface. They considered certain perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles, which can be thought of as being associated to a function related to the Lagrangians.¹⁷ The resulting cohomology is expected to be closely related to $MTC[Y, G_{\mathbb{C}}]$ and to the Floer homology of Vafa-Witten theory on Y , see e.g. [29, 37, 38]. In particular, these connections indicate a direct relation to the sheaf counting (Vafa-Witten theory) on the complex surface X .

Further support for this relation comes from the analogy between the above proposition and the ‘‘Casson invariant conjecture’’ relating the $\lambda_{SU(2)}$ with the one eighth of the signature σ of the Milnor fiber [58]. Since the latter has been verified for splice-quotients in [51], one might expect a suitable $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ analogue to hold true in the same generality as well.

5.3. Non-Abelian Noncommutative Hodge Structure. Consider a (germ of) a singular affine hypersurface X (or more generally a complete intersection) with an isolated singular point 0 , with the link Y of X being a homology sphere.¹⁸ We have

$$(5.9) \quad \pi_1(X/0) \cong \pi_1(Y)$$

so we can use the algebraic structure on $X/0$ for the study of moduli spaces of representation.

Following C. Simpson [71] and T. Mochizuki we have (see the survey of Sabbah [68]) we have a real-analytic isomorphism of the Betti and Dolgachev moduli spaces.

$$(5.10) \quad H_B^1(Y) \cong M_{Dol}(X/0).$$

Theorem 5.3 (Simpson, Mochizuki). *$H_{Dol}^1(X/0)$ carries a non-Abelian mixed Hodge Structure.*

As in the previous subsection, we consider the smoothing one-parameter family,

$$\{X_t \rightarrow X_0\}$$

with the monodromy operator, see 8. Associated to it, there is the spectrum of singularity, denoted by S_α , encoding the Hodge-theoretic information.

Many of the classical aspects of Hodge theory have not been developed for the mixed non-Abelian Hodge structures. In particular, there is no Clemens–Schmid sequence for mixed non-Abelian Hodge structures. We propose the non-Abelian

¹⁷In geometric and physics realizations of knot and 3-manifold invariants this function is known as the superpotential of a 3d theory [60, 27, 28, 34, 23, 22, 67]; it defines a Landau-Ginzburg (LG) model that we discuss in more detail shortly.

¹⁸For rational homology spheres, one would have to pass to the universal abelian covering X^{ab} which makes the discussion more involved.




FIGURE 8. The monodromy of an isolated surface singularity.

noncommutative Hodge structure as a possible approach to Clemens–Schmid sequence for mixed non-Abelian Hodge structures based on the theory of Landau–Ginzburg models. Our considerations suggest the following non-Abelian noncommutative Hodge Structure, illustrated in figure 9.




FIGURE 9. Non-Abelian noncommutative structure combining the moduli spaces of representations with Landau-Ginzburg theory.

The critical sets of the function φ are the moduli spaces of connections, carrying the non-Abelian Hodge structures. The proposals for constructing φ using a reduction of the Chern–Simons were given, e.g. [23, 22]. The noncommutative Hodge structure is encoded in the global structure of φ and in the spectrum, which labels the components and the multiplicity corresponds to the Euler characteristics of the components, as explained in the previous subsection. The noncommutative non-Abelian Hodge structure \mathcal{H} can be thought of as a combination of these two phenomena. The properties of \mathcal{H} are expected to be combinations of A and B sides of properties [41]. We formulate these as questions.

- Question 5.4.** (1) *Does \mathcal{H} behave as a B-side classical mixed Hodge structure?*
 (2) *Does \mathcal{H} behave as an A-side NC Hodge Structure?*
 (3) *Does \mathcal{H} have all properties of spectra, e.g. Thom–Sebastiani?*
 (4) *Are the stability conditions of the corresponding Fukaya–Seidel category determined by the bases of the Hitchin systems for the moduli spaces of representations?*


- (5) *Do the wall-crossing of phenomena for the above stability conditions determine the \widehat{Z} invariants associated with Y ? This approach relates to the calculations by [23, 22] and [72].*

Here are some additional questions about the abstract properties of proposed structures:

- (6) Consider an L -hyperplane section of X . Is $\mathcal{H}_{X \cap L} \subset \mathcal{H}_X$?
 (7) Does \mathcal{H}_{spec} have Thom–Sebastiani and semi-continuity properties?
 (8) Does the Hitchin base B of the moduli space $M_{Dol}(X/0)$ embed into the space of stability conditions for Fukaya–Seidel category associated to the LG model \mathcal{H}_X ?

$$Stab FS(\mathcal{H}(X)) \supset B = \bigoplus_{\lambda_i \in Spec} H_{\lambda_i}(Sym^2(X/0))?$$

Example 5.5. $X : x^2 + y^3 + z^7 = 0 \supset$ Seifert homology sphere $\Sigma(2, 3, 7)$



There are three irreducible flat $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -connections, corresponding to three $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbits of the spectrum of X given by

$$(5.11) \quad \frac{d_1}{2} + \frac{d_2}{3} + \frac{d_3}{7}$$

with $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 = 1, 2$ and $d_3 = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. The Landau–Ginzburg model for the link of X was proposed in [22]. As pointed out in loc.cit., the Landau–Ginzburg potential may have additional critical points that have interpretation (and play an important role) in curve counting and also in 3d-3d correspondence [27, 28].

Motivated by the previous subsection, we can see two approaches to build not only new NCNA Hodge structures but also two new ways to build invariants of 3 manifolds.

Approach 1: In [1] Abouzaid–Manolescu show that the moduli space of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ representations of $\pi_1(Y)$ (for any 3-manifold Y) is a derived critical locus, and they prove it by choosing a Heegaard splitting. The question is how the space and the function f such that $\text{crit}(f) = X$ depends on the choice of Heegaard splitting.

In this derived situation it is conceivable we can define a derived version of the classical spectrum.

Conjecture 5.6. *The derived spectrum of f is an invariant of Y , i.e. it is independent of the way in which Y is constructed.*

Approach 2: Use the approach of [23, 22] to construct the potential. For this, we need to find a Lagrangian submanifold in a 3d Calabi–Yau manifold T^*Y associated with Y ; the disk counting then produces a potential f . Carrying this out might give

a simpler proof of the above conjecture. Furthermore, the Fukaya-Seidel category for f in this approach is expected to be related to the “category of line operators” $\text{MTC}[Y, G_{\mathbb{C}}]$ in [37, 11].

It is possible that the spectra defined in approach 1 and approach 2 are the same. It seems conceivable that the following conjecture holds:

Conjecture 5.7. *The derived spectrum is the “same” for all potentials f which have the same derived critical locus X .*

The above considerations suggest three invariants of derived singularity theory with increasing order of complexity.

- (1) Hypercohomologies of the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles F . In the case when F comes from a 3-manifold the above hypercohomologies form an invariant of 3-manifold Y [1].
- (2) We can enhance the above hypercohomologies with a mixed Hodge structure. Combined with monodromy it leads to the *derived spectrum*. In the case when the derived spectrum is connected with a moduli space of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ representations it defines invariants of this moduli space and an invariant of the 3-manifold Y .
- (3) We can bring additional data to the above potential f - a divisor D along which f has a log behavior. This allows an additional spectral grading coming from the number of times a path coming from one component of the critical set goes around D and ending on another component of the critical set.

Changing the divisor D leads to different filtrations and functors among different Fukaya–Seidel categories. In such a way we get an enhancement of classical singularity theory. In the case of a 3-manifold, all of the above data of singularity theory (commutative spectrum, derived spectrum, and spectral grading) are recorded by the q -series \widehat{Z} . These make \widehat{Z} an interesting starting point for producing invariants of 4-manifolds via categorification.

Some of these ideas have appeared before in the theory of singularity and category theory – see e.g. [43, 25].

5.4. Relation to vertex algebras and invariants of complex surfaces. The non-conventional modular properties of \widehat{Z} -invariants perfectly fit those exhibited by characters of a logarithmic vertex algebra. In fact, the relation of the form

$$(5.12) \quad \widehat{Z}_b(q) = \chi_b(q)$$

is one of the predictions of the so-called “3d-3d correspondence” in physics, and can be viewed as its mathematical incarnation. Here, $\chi_b(q)$ is a character of a log-VOA labeled by 3-manifold Y (and a choice of the root system, which is implicit throughout the paper).

For a plumbed 3-manifold Y , a specific choice of a plumbing graph corresponds to a choice of a 4-manifold X , with $Y = \partial X$ as its boundary (X is given by 4d Dehn surgery). If the plumbing is negative-definite, this 4-manifold can be equipped with a complex structure (as a resolution of a normal surface singularity) and we can study analytical invariants of the complex surfaces and compare them to topological

invariants of underlying 4-manifold and to invariants of Y . Since various q -series invariants of X were available for quite some time, it is natural to ask how they compare with $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ and $Z_0(q)$. The development of $\widehat{Z}_b(Y; q)$ was, in fact, largely motivated [37] by the connection to the Vafa–Witten and Donaldson–Thomas invariants of X which share many similarities with $\widehat{Z}_b(Y; q)$. For example, both require a choice of an additional structure whose cutting-and-gluing is described by a ‘decorated’ version of TQFT, and both are related to characters of VOA’s labeled by the corresponding 3-manifolds or 4-manifolds.

To keep this discussion more concrete and to explore these relations deeper, let us consider a rather special class of spherical 3-manifolds of ADE type, *i.e.* $Y = S^3/\Gamma$, where Γ is a discrete subgroup of $SU(2)$. In type A , $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p$ is simply a cyclic group and $Y = L(p, 1)$ is a Lens space. Similarly, for E_8 we get a Poincaré sphere, and we refer to other spherical 3-manifolds in this family by their type, *e.g.* as “ E_6 manifold” and so on.

Theorem 5.8 (following [47]). *For a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ of ADE type, let X_Γ be the corresponding ALE space given by a resolution of the \mathbb{C}^2/Γ singularity. Then, for any*

$$(5.13) \quad \rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, GL(N))$$

the generating series of Vafa–Witten (and Donaldson–Thomas) invariants counting rank- N sheaves on X_Γ is a character of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at level N :

$$(5.14) \quad Z_{VW}(X_\Gamma; q, \rho) = Z_{DT}(X_\Gamma; q, \rho) = \chi_\rho^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^N}(q)$$

where \mathfrak{g} is related to Γ by McKay correspondence.

Note, all representations $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(N)$ can be conjugated to $U(N)$. In particular, this means that for spherical manifolds S^3/Γ the problem of enumerating $U(N)$ flat connections is equivalent to the problem of classifying $GL(N)$ flat connections.¹⁹ For example, when Γ is of type A , *i.e.* a cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_p , we can think of ρ as a Young tableau that can fit in a rectangle of (of the size determined by N and the order of Γ). The number of such ρ is equal to $\frac{(N+p-1)!}{(p-1)!N!}$. We can also use the relation $U(N) = \frac{U(1) \times SU(N)}{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ to enumerate $SU(N)$ or $SL(N)$ representations

$$(5.15) \quad \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow SL(N)$$

Their number, equal to $\frac{(N+p-1)!}{(N-1)!p!}$, is the number of representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{su}(N)}_p$.

The analogous statements for 3-manifold q -series invariants can be stated in terms of $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$. We will illustrate it on the E_6 manifold, continuing Example 4.5. Other spherical manifolds can be treated similarly.

¹⁹This simple fact plays an important role in relating infinite-dimensional algebras and modular data associated to 4-manifolds and to 3-manifolds [24]; in particular, for spherical 3-manifolds the set $\{\rho\}$ labels simple objects in MTC[Y] [11].

Example 5.9. From the expressions in Example 4.5, we can write \widehat{Z}_0 and \widehat{Z}_1 in terms of false theta-functions:

$$(5.16) \quad \widehat{Z}_0(E_6) = \frac{2q^{-1}}{(q)_\infty} - \frac{q^{-\frac{25}{24}}}{(q)_\infty} \left(\tilde{\Psi}_6^{(1)} + \tilde{\Psi}_6^{(5)} \right) = \frac{q^{-1}}{(q)_\infty} (1 - q + q^2 + q^5 - q^7 + \dots)$$

$$\widehat{Z}_1(E_6) = \frac{q^{-\frac{2}{3} - \frac{3}{8}}}{(q)_\infty} \Psi_6^{(3)} = \frac{q^{-2/3}}{(q)_\infty} (-1 + q^3 - q^9 + q^{18} - q^{30} + q^{45} + \dots)$$

where we restored the universal factor $\frac{1}{(q)_\infty}$ present for all 3-manifolds.²⁰ and used the standard notation for the false theta-functions

$$(5.17) \quad \tilde{\Psi}_p^{(a)}(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{2p}^{(a)}(n) q^{\frac{n^2}{4p}} \in q^{\frac{a^2}{4p}} \mathbb{Z}[[q]],$$

$$\psi_{2p}^{(a)}(n) = \begin{cases} \pm 1, & n \equiv \pm a \pmod{2p}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have

$$(5.18) \quad \Delta_b = h_b - \frac{c}{24} = \left\{ -1, -\frac{2}{3} \right\}$$

which already gives some information about the central charge c of the corresponding log-VOA and conformal weights h_b of its modules. In order to identify the right log-VOA and to match (5.16) with its characters, recall that (p, p') singlet log-VOA of type A_1 can be understood as a limit $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ of the (p, q) Virasoro minimal model with the ratio $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p'}{p'}$ held fixed. In turn, the (p, q) Virasoro minimal model has $c = 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq}$ and

$$(5.19) \quad h_{r,s} = \frac{(pr - qs)^2 - (p - q)^2}{4pq}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq q - 1, \quad 1 \leq s \leq p - 1$$

so that the minimal value of $h_{p,q}$ is $h_{\min} = \frac{1-(p-q)^2}{4pq}$. A small calculation quickly shows that in the limit the central charge remains the same, whereas the value of h_{\min} is slightly modified:

$$(5.20) \quad c = 1 - \frac{6(p-p')^2}{pp'} \quad , \quad h_{\min} = -\frac{(p-p')^2}{4pp'}$$

so that

$$c_{\text{eff}} = c - 24h_{\min} = 1$$

for all values of p and p' . The character of atypical modules of a (p, p') singlet log-VOA are

$$\chi_{M_{r,s}} = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(q^{\frac{1}{4pp'}(2pp'n + p'r - ps)^2} - q^{\frac{1}{4pp'}(2pp'n + p'r + ps)^2} \right)$$

²⁰Due to its universal nature, this factor is often omitted for simplicity, but it is important in matching partition functions of 3d physical theory [36] and in comparing with characters of logarithmic vertex algebras [11, 12, 74] If we were to study higher rank invariants for more general root systems, there would be several such factors [61].

where $1 \leq r \leq p'$ and $1 \leq s \leq p$. In particular, for a $(1, p)$ singlet log-VOA, we can write them in terms of false theta-functions (5.17) as

$$\chi_{M_{1,s}} = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \tilde{\Psi}_p^{(p-s)}$$

For example, from (5.16) we read off the value of $p = 6$, which gives $c = 13 - 6p - 6p^{-1} = -24$. This, in turn, implies that $h_b = \Delta_b + \frac{c}{24} = \frac{(p-s)^2}{4p} + \frac{c-1}{24} = \{-1, -\frac{2}{3}\}$ for the E_6 manifold. Therefore, we conclude that for the E_6 manifold, $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ are characters of a logarithmic $(1, 6)$ singlet model.

Similarly, one can prove the following analogue of Theorem 5.8:

Theorem 5.10 (following [12]). *For the family of spherical 3-manifolds, $Y = S^3/\Gamma$, the non-perturbative $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariants $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ are equal to characters of the following (logarithmic) vertex algebras:*

- In type A , $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ is a character of an ordinary (non-logarithmic) vertex algebra, namely the character of a Feigin-Fuchs module of the Virasoro algebra (or, equivalently, that of a $c = 1$ “free boson” VOA).
- In type D_n , $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ is a character of a logarithmic $(1, p)$ singlet model, with $p = n - 3$.
- In type E , $\widehat{Z}_b(Y, q)$ is a character of a logarithmic $(1, p)$ singlet model, with $p = 6, 12$, and 30 in the case of E_6, E_7 , and E_8 , respectively.

Remark 5.11. In type A , the assertion follows from the explicit expression (see e.g. [37]):

$$(5.21) \quad \widehat{Z}_b(Y, q) = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} q^{\Delta_b}$$

The only interesting aspect of this case are the values of $\Delta_b = h_b - \frac{c}{24}$, which are analogs of “correction terms” in the Heegaard Floer theory and whose computation requires some care [35, 4].

We also note that Theorem 4.1 gives a way to write \widehat{Z} invariants explicitly in terms of linear combinations of derivatives of false theta functions. We hope that these expressions can help in exploring further relations between \widehat{Z} invariants and VOA characters, as well as constructing new logarithmic vertex algebras.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abouzaid and C. Manolescu. A sheaf-theoretic model for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Floer homology. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 22(11):3641–3695, Aug. 2020.
- [2] T. Ágoston and A. Némethi. Analytic lattice cohomology of surface singularities, 2021.
- [3] R. Akhmechet, P. K. Johnson, and V. Krushkal. Lattice cohomology and q -series invariants of 3-manifolds, 2021.
- [4] R. Akhmechet, P. K. Johnson, and V. Krushkal. Lattice cohomology and q -series invariants of 3-manifolds, sep 2021.
- [5] J. E. Andersen and W. E. Mistegård. Resurgence analysis of quantum invariants of Seifert fibered homology spheres. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 105(2):709–764, 2022.
- [6] N. András. *Normal surface singularities*. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Springer, 2022.

- [7] H. U. Boden and C. L. Curtis. The $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Casson invariant for Seifert fibered homology spheres and surgeries on twist knots. *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications*, 15(07):813–837, sep 2006.
- [8] H. U. Boden and K. Yokogawa. Moduli spaces of parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic $K(D)$ pairs over smooth curves: I. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 07(05):573–598, oct 1996.
- [9] K. Bringmann, K. Mahlborg, and A. Milas. Higher depth quantum modular forms and plumbed 3-manifolds. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 110(10):2675–2702, jul 2020.
- [10] J. Chae. A Cable Knot and BPS-Series. *SIGMA*, 19:002, 2023.
- [11] M. C. Cheng, S. Chun, F. Ferrari, S. Gukov, and S. M. Harrison. 3d modularity. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 10:010, 93, 2019.
- [12] M. C. N. Cheng, S. Chun, B. Feigin, F. Ferrari, S. Gukov, S. M. Harrison, and D. Passaro. 3-manifolds and VOA characters, jan 2022.
- [13] M. C. N. Cheng, F. Ferrari, and G. Sgroi. Three-manifold quantum invariants and mock theta functions. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A*, 378(2163):20180439, 15, 2020.
- [14] S. Chun. A resurgence analysis of the $SU(2)$ Chern-Simons partition functions on a Brieskorn homology sphere $\Sigma(2, 5, 7)$, 1 2017.
- [15] H.-J. Chung. Resurgent Analysis for Some 3-manifold Invariants. *JHEP*, 05:106, 2021.
- [16] H.-J. Chung. BPS invariants for a Knot in Seifert manifolds. *JHEP*, 12:122, 2022.
- [17] S. X. Cui, Y. Qiu, and Z. Wang. From three dimensional manifolds to modular tensor categories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, jan 2021.
- [18] C. L. Curtis. An intersection theory count of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -representations of the fundamental group of a 3-manifold. *Topology*, 40(4):773–787, 2001.
- [19] S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas. Gauge theory in higher dimensions. In *The geometric universe (Oxford, 1996)*, pages 31–47. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [20] W. Ebeling and J. Steenbrink. Spectral pairs for isolated complete intersection singularities. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 7:55–76, jan 1998.
- [21] D. Eisenbud and W. D. Neumann. *Three-Dimensional Link Theory and Invariants of Plane Curve Singularities*. Princeton University Press, dec 1986.
- [22] T. Ekhholm, A. Gruen, S. Gukov, P. Kucharski, S. Park, M. Stošić, and P. Sułkowski. Branches, quivers, and ideals for knot complements. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 177:Paper No. 104520, 75, 2022.
- [23] T. Ekhholm, A. Gruen, S. Gukov, P. Kucharski, S. Park, and P. Sułkowski. \tilde{Z} at large N : from curve counts to quantum modularity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 396(1):143–186, 2022.
- [24] B. Feigin and S. Gukov. VOA[M_4]. *J. Math. Phys.*, 61(1):012302, 27, 2020.
- [25] G. Fichou and Y. Yin. Motivic integration and milnor fiber. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 2018.
- [26] R. Fintushel and R. J. Stern. Instanton homology of Seifert fibred homology three spheres. In *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 61, 1990.
- [27] H. Fuji, S. Gukov, M. Stosic, and P. Sulkowski. 3d analogs of Argyres-Douglas theories and knot homologies. *JHEP*, 01:175, 2013.
- [28] A. Gadde, S. Gukov, and P. Putrov. Walls, lines, and spectral dualities in 3d gauge theories. *JHEP*, 05:047, 2014.
- [29] A. Gadde, S. Gukov, and P. Putrov. Fivebranes and 4-manifolds. In *Arbeitstagung Bonn 2013*, volume 319 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 155–245. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [30] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa. M-theory and topological strings–II, 1998. hep-th/9812127.
- [31] S. Gukov. Three-dimensional quantum gravity, Chern-Simons theory, and the A polynomial. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 255:577–627, 2005.
- [32] S. Gukov and C. Manolescu. A two-variable series for knot complements. *Quantum Topology*, 12(1):1–109, Mar. 2021.
- [33] S. Gukov, M. Marino, and P. Putrov. Resurgence in complex Chern–Simons theory, 2016.
- [34] S. Gukov, S. Nawata, I. Saberi, M. Stošić, and P. Sułkowski. Sequencing BPS spectra. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 3:004, front matter+160, 2016.
- [35] S. Gukov, S. Park, and P. Putrov. Cobordism invariants from BPS q -series. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 22(12):4173–4203, 2021.

- [36] S. Gukov, D. Pei, P. Putrov, and C. Vafa. BPS spectra and 3-manifold invariants. *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications*, 29(02):2040003, feb 2020.
- [37] S. Gukov, P. Putrov, and C. Vafa. Fivebranes and 3-manifold homology. *JHEP*, 7, 2017.
- [38] S. Gukov, A. Sheshmani, and S.-T. Yau. 3-manifolds and Vafa–Witten theory. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 23(2), 2023.
- [39] H. A. Hamm. Exotische Sphären als Umgebungsänderer in speziellen komplexen Räumen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 197:44–56, 1972.
- [40] S. H. Katz. Gromov-Witten, Gopakumar-Vafa, and Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau threefolds. In *Snowbird lectures on string theory. Proceedings, Joint Summer Research Conference, Snowbird, USA, June 5-11, 2004*, pages 43–52, 2004. math/0408266.
- [41] L. Katzarkov, M. Kontsevich, and T. Pantev. Hodge theoretic aspects of mirror symmetry. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 78:87–174, 2008.
- [42] L. Katzarkov, K.-S. Lee, A. Petkov, and J. Svoboda. Interpretations of spectra. In *Birational Geometry, Kaehler-Einstein metrics and degenerations*, 2021.
- [43] A. Kuznetsov. Hochschild homology and semiorthogonal decompositions, 2009.
- [44] T. Matsusaka and Y. Terashima. Modular transformations of homological blocks for Seifert fibered homology 3-spheres, 12 2021.
- [45] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, and R. Pandharipande. Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, I. *Compos. Math.*, 142(5):1263–1285, 2006. math/0312059.
- [46] D. Mumford. The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity. *Publications Mathématiques de l’Institut des Hautes Scientifiques*, 9:5–22, 1961.
- [47] H. Nakajima. Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac–Moody algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 76(2):365–416, 1994.
- [48] A. Némethi. On the Ozsváth–Szabó invariant of negative definite plumbed 3-manifolds. *Geometry & Topology*, 9:991–1042, 2005.
- [49] A. Némethi. Pairs of invariants of surface singularities. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (ICM 2018)*, pages 749–779, apr 2019.
- [50] A. Némethi and L. Nicolaescu. Seiberg–Witten invariants and surface singularities. *Geometry & Topology*, 6(1):269–328, may 2002.
- [51] A. Némethi and T. Okuma. On the Casson invariant conjecture of Neumann–Wahl. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 18(1):135–149, mar 2008.
- [52] W. Neumann. Abelian covers of quasihomogeneous singularities. In *Singularities*, pages 233–243, Vol. 40, Part 2, A.M.S., Providence, 1983. Proc. Symp. Pure Math.
- [53] W. D. Neumann. A calculus for plumbing applied to the topology of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 268(2):299–344, 1981.
- [54] W. D. Neumann. *Graph 3-Manifolds, Splice Diagrams, Singularities*, pages 787–817. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2007.
- [55] W. D. Neumann and J. Wahl. Universal Abelian covers of surface singularities. *Birkhäuser Basel*, pages 181–190, 2002.
- [56] W. D. Neumann and J. Wahl. Complete intersection singularities of splice type as universal Abelian covers. *Geometry & Topology*, 9:699–755, 2005.
- [57] W. D. Neumann and J. Wahl. Complex surface singularities with integral homology sphere links. *Geometry & Topology*, 9(2):757–811, apr 2005.
- [58] W. D. Neumann and J. M. Wahl. Casson invariant of links of singularities. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 65:58–78, 1990.
- [59] A. Némethi. *Poincaré series associated with surface singularities*, volume 474, pages 271–297. American Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [60] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa. Knot invariants and topological strings. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 577:419–438, 2000.
- [61] S. Park. Higher rank hat Z and FK. *SIGMA*, 16:044, may 2020.
- [62] S. Park. Large color R-matrix for knot complements and strange identities. *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications*, 29, dec 2020.
- [63] S. Park. Inverted state sums, inverted Habiro series, and indefinite theta functions, 2021.

- [64] H. M. Pedersen. *Splice diagrams, singularity links and universal Abelian covers*. PhD thesis, Columbia University, 2009.
- [65] H. M. Pedersen. Splice diagram singularities and the universal Abelian cover of graph orbifolds, 2010.
- [66] S. J. Ri. Refined and generalized \hat{Z} invariants for plumbed 3-manifolds, 2022.
- [67] G. D. Rizell, T. Ekhholm, and D. Tonkonog. Refined disk potentials for immersed Lagrangian surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 121(3):459–539, 2022.
- [68] C. Sabbah. Non-commutative Hodge structures. *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, 61(7):2681–2717, 2011.
- [69] N. Saveliev. *Invariants for homology 3-spheres*, volume 140. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Low-Dimensional Topology, I, 2002.
- [70] L. Siebenmann. On vanishing of the Rohlin invariant and nonfinitely amphicheiral homology 3-spheres. In U. Koschorke and W. D. Neumann, editors, *Topology Symposium Siegen 1979*, pages 172–222, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1980. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [71] C. Simpson. Mixed twistor structures, 1997.
- [72] Y. Soibelman. Holomorphic Floer theory, wall-crossing structures and Chern–Simons theory, 2023. talk at Homological Mirror Symmetry, Miami.
- [73] J. H. M. Steenbrink. Semicontinuity of the singularity spectrum. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 79(3):557–565, oct 1985.
- [74] S. Sugimoto. Implementations of homological blocks by VOA-modules i, 2022.
- [75] K. Walker. *An Extension of Casson's Invariant. (AM-126)*. Princeton University Press, dec 1992.
- [76] D. H. Wu. Resurgent analysis of $SU(2)$ Chern-Simons partition function on Brieskorn spheres $\Sigma(2, 3, 6n + 5)$. *JHEP*, 21:008, 2020.

Email address: 1.katzarkov@miami.edu, josefsvobod@gmail.com