

ON THE GARDEN OF EDEN THEOREM FOR NON-UNIFORM CELLULAR AUTOMATA

XUAN KIEN PHUNG

ABSTRACT. We establish several extensions of the well-known Garden of Eden theorem for non-uniform cellular automata over the full shifts and over amenable group universes. In particular, our results describe quantitatively the relations between the partial pre-injectivity and the size of the image of a non-uniform cellular automata. A strengthened surjunctivity result is also obtained for multi-dimensional cellular automata over strongly irreducible subshifts of finite type.

1. INTRODUCTION

The famous Garden of Eden theorem for cellular automata (CA) characterizes amenable groups and states the equivalence between the surjectivity (a global property) and the pre-injectivity (a local property) [22], [21], [10], [1]. Extensions of the Garden of Eden theorem are also obtained for endomorphisms of symbolic varieties to deal with more general alphabet structures [8], [29]. Other recent developments of the Garden of Eden theorems are related to the surjunctivity conjecture of Gottschalk [17] for CA and the Kaplansky conjecture [19] for group rings (cf. [26], [31], [32], [33]). When the uniformity in the definition of CA breaks down, the cells in the universe of a CA can follow different transition rules. In such situations, either intentionally or by undesirable perturbations, many interesting and fundamental properties of CA are still preserved such as the surjunctivity over amenable or residually finite group universes, the closed image property, the shadowing property, and several decidable properties (cf. [28], [30], [27], [34]). However, the Garden of Eden is far from being valid for non-uniform CA (NUCA) as illustrated by the following example (see [30, Example 14.3]) of a simple injective one-dimensional NUCA which is not surjective.

Example 1.1. Let $A = \{0, 1\}$ and consider the NUCA $\tau: A^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined for every $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $\tau(x)(n) = x(n+1)$ if $n \leq -1$, $\tau(x)(n) = x(n)$ if $n = 0$, and $\tau(x)(n) = x(n-1)$ if $n \geq 1$. Then τ is injective (thus pre-injective) since $\tau(x) = y$ implies that $x(n) = y(n-1)$ for $n \leq 0$ and $x(n) = y(n+1)$ for $n \geq 0$. On the other hand, τ is not surjective since we can check that

$$\text{Im } \tau = \{y \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}: y(-1) = y(0) = y(1)\}.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B10, 37B15, 37B50, 43A05, 43A07, 68Q80.

Key words and phrases. Garden of Eden, Myhill property, surjunctivity, pre-injectivity, non-uniform cellular automata, mean entropy, mean dimension, Banach density.

Nevertheless, we show that the dynamics of NUCA are not completely chaotic and the above example illustrates the worst-case behavior of pre-injective NUCA. More precisely, the principal goal of our paper is to establish several optimal extensions of the Garden of Eden over amenable group universes (Theorem A, Theorem B, Theorem C) which describe quantitatively the exact relations between the partial pre-injectivity and the mean entropy or mean dimension of the image of non-uniform cellular automata. Moreover, we also obtain a refined surjectivity result for multi-dimensional CA over strongly irreducible subshifts of finite type (Theorem D).

To state the main results, let us recall basic notions of symbolic dynamics. Given a discrete set A and a group G , a *configuration* $c \in A^G$ is a map $c: G \rightarrow A$ and $x, y \in A^G$ are *asymptotic* if $x|_{G \setminus E} = y|_{G \setminus E}$ for some finite subset $E \subset G$. The *Bernoulli shift* action $G \times A^G \rightarrow A^G$ is defined by $(g, x) \mapsto gx$, where $(gx)(h) = x(g^{-1}h)$ for $g, h \in G, x \in A^G$. We equip the *full shift* A^G with the *prodiscrete topology*. Following an idea of von Neumann and Ulam [24], a CA over the group G (the *universe*) and the set A (the *alphabet*) is a self-map $A^G \rightarrow A^G$ which is G -equivariant and uniformly continuous (cf. [5], [18]). One regards elements $g \in G$ as the cells of the universe. When different cells evolve according to different local transition maps, we obtain *non-uniform CA* (NUCA) ([30, Definition 1.1], [11], [12]):

Definition 1.2. Let M be a subset of a group G and let A be a set. Let $S = A^{A^M}$ be the set of all maps $A^M \rightarrow A$. Given $s \in S$, the NUCA $\sigma_s: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ is defined by $\sigma_s(x)(g) = s(g)((g^{-1}x)|_M)$ for $x \in A^G, g \in G$.

The set M is called a *memory* and $s \in S$ the *configuration of local transition maps* of σ_s . Every CA is thus a NUCA with finite memory and constant configuration of local transition maps. The NUCA σ_s is *pre-injective* if $\sigma_s(x) = \sigma_s(y)$ implies $x = y$ whenever $x, y \in A^G$ are asymptotic.

1.1. Main results. Our first result is a version of the Garden of Eden theorem for the class $\text{NUCA}_c(G, A)$ consisting of NUCA with finite memory and with asymptotically constant configuration of local transition maps over the universe G and the alphabet A . It states that the image of such an NUCA contains a nonempty open subset if and only if the NUCA is pre-injective when restricted to some nonempty open subset of the full shift.

Theorem A. *Let G be an amenable group and let A be a finite alphabet. Then for every $\tau \in \text{NUCA}_c(G, A)$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective for some nonempty open subset $U \subset A^G$.
- (ii) $\text{Im } \tau$ contains a nonempty open subset of A^G .

The next example shows that Theorem A is optimal in the sense that both the implications fail under the general assumption that τ is an arbitrary NUCA with finite memory even when τ is injective or surjective.

Example 1.3. Let $A = \{0, 1\}$ and consider the NUCA $\tau: A^{\mathbb{Z}^2} \rightarrow A^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ defined for every $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ by $\tau(x)(m, n) = x(m, n + 1)$ if $n \leq m - 1$,

$\tau(x)(m, m) = x(m, m)$, and $\tau(x)(m, n) = x(m, n - 1)$ if $n \geq m + 1$. Then as in Example 1.1, we find that τ is injective but

$$\text{Im } \tau = \{y \in A^{\mathbb{Z}^2} : y(m, m - 1) = y(m, m) = y(m, m + 1) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

which implies that $\text{Im } \tau$ cannot contain any nonempty open subset of $A^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$.

Similarly, consider the NUCA $\sigma: A^{\mathbb{Z}^2} \rightarrow A^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ given by $\sigma(x)(m, n) = x(m, n - 1)$ if $n \leq m - 1$, $\sigma(x)(m, m) = x(m, m - 1) + x(m, m) + x(m, m + 1) \pmod{2}$, and $\sigma(x)(m, n) = x(m, n + 1)$ if $n \geq m + 1$. It is not hard to see that σ is surjective. However, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sigma(z_m) = \sigma(0^{\mathbb{Z}^2}) = 0^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ where $z_m(m, n) = 1$ if $n \in \{m, m - 1\}$ and $z_m(m, n) = 0$ otherwise. In particular, $\tau|_U$ cannot be pre-injective for any nonempty open subset $U \subset A^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$.

To deal with arbitrary NUCA, we establish the following extension of the Myhill property for NUCA which generalizes also the implication (i) \implies (ii) in Theorem A. In essence, the size of the image of an NUCA as measured by the upper natural \mathcal{F} -entropy $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ (cf. Section 2.4) increases linearly with the size, expressed as certain upper natural \mathcal{F} -density $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}$ (cf. Section 2.3), of the subset on which the restriction of the NUCA is pre-injective.

Theorem B. *Let A be a finite alphabet and let S be a proper subset of an amenable group G . Fix $p \in A^S$ and $U = \{p\} \times A^{G \setminus S}$. Suppose that $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ is an NUCA with finite memory such that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective. Then $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) \geq (1 - \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)) \log |A|$ for every Følner net \mathcal{F} of G .*

We deduce the classical Myhill property for CA from Theorem B as follows. If $S = \emptyset$ then $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) = 0$ and τ is pre-injective. If in addition τ is a CA so that $\text{Im } \tau$ is a closed subshift, then by Theorem B, $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau) = \log |A|$ is maximal thus $\text{Im } \tau = A^G$ by [6, Proposition 4.2], i.e., τ is surjective.

The next example implies that the converse of Theorem B does not hold.

Example 1.4. Let $\tau: \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be an NUCA given by $\tau(x)(n) = x(n)$ if $x(n) \neq 2$ and $\tau(x)(n) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\text{Im } \tau = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau) = \log 2 > 0$. However, τ is not pre-injective when restricted to any subset of the form $\{p\} \times \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{Z} \setminus S}$ where $S \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}^S$.

When the alphabet A is a vector space, the full shift A^G is naturally a vector space with component-wise operations and an NUCA $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ is *linear* if it is a linear map, or equivalently, if its local transition maps are linear. Such linear NUCA with finite memory are interesting dynamical objects as they satisfy the shadowing property [27], [35].

Our next result is a quantitative version of the Garden of Eden theorem for linear NUCA which extends [3] for linear CA and relates the partial pre-injectivity of a linear NUCA as measured by $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}$ or the upper Banach density \overline{D}_G (Section 2.3) with the upper \mathcal{F} -natural and lower Banach mean dimensions $\text{mdim}_{\mathcal{F}}$, $\underline{\text{mDim}}$ (Section 2.5) of the image of the NUCA.

Theorem C. *Let G be an infinite countable amenable group and let A be a finite-dimensional vector space alphabet. Then for all linear NUCA $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ with finite memory, the following hold:*

- (i) *If $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective where $U = \{0\}^S \times A^{G \setminus S}$ for some $S \subset G$, then $\underline{\text{mdim}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau) \geq (1 - \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)) \dim A$ for every Følner sequence \mathcal{F} of G .*
- (ii) *If $\dim A = 1$ and $\underline{\text{mDim}}(\text{Im } \tau) > 1 - d$, then there exists $S \subset G$ with $\overline{D}_G(S) \leq d$ such that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective where $U = \{0\}^S \times A^{G \setminus S}$.*

In particular, for linear NUCA with finite memory, Theorem B remains valid by replacing the mean entropy with $\underline{\text{mdim}}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Fix a group G and a finite alphabet A , a *subshift* is any G -invariant subset of A^G . We say that a (closed) subshift X is of *finite type* if for some finite subsets $D \subset G$ (called the *window*) and $\mathcal{P} \subset A^D$, the subshift X consists of all $x \in A^G$ such that $(gx)|_D \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $g \in G$. A subshift $X \subset A^G$ is *strongly irreducible* (see [2], [37], [20], [14]) if it is Δ -irreducible for some finite subset $\Delta \subset G$ which means that for all $x, y \in X$ and finite subsets $S, T \subset G$ with $S\Delta \cap T = \emptyset$, we can find $z \in X$ such that $z|_S = x|_S$ and $z|_T = y|_T$.

For multi-dimensional CA over strongly irreducible subshifts of finite type, we obtain the following generalization of the surjunctivity property which extends notably [6, Theorem 1.1] since we only assume that τ is injective when restricted to a nonempty open subset of the subshift.

Theorem D. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 1$, and let A be a finite alphabet. Let $X \subset A^G$ be strongly irreducible closed subshift of finite type which contains a periodic configuration. Suppose that $\tau: X \rightarrow X$ is a CA such that $\tau|_U$ is injective where $U \subset X$ is a nonempty open subset. Then τ is surjective and pre-injective.*

The above result belongs to the rich literature of the Gottschalk surjunctivity conjecture which says that every injective cellular automata with finite alphabet over the full shift must be injective (cf. [17]). While the conjecture remains open, it holds for the wide class of sofic groups by a result of Gromov (cf. [16]). Several extensions were obtained for sofic group universes but more general alphabets such as finite-dimensional vector spaces (cf. [4]) and algebraic varieties (cf. [31]).

1.2. Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we collect preliminary definitions and basic results concerning Følner nets and quasi-tilings of amenable groups as well as various notions of natural and Banach mean entropies and mean dimensions of subsets of the full shift. Then in Section 3, we discuss the almost injectivity property and present the proof of Theorem D (see Theorem 3.4). Section 4 contains the proofs of Theorem A (see Theorem 4.8) and Theorem B (see Theorem 4.2). Finally, the proof of the Garden of Eden theorem for linear NUCA (Theorem C) is given in Section 5 (see Theorem 5.3). In particular, we obtain a stronger version (see Theorem 5.1) of Theorem C.(i) when the configuration of local transition maps of τ differs from a constant configuration only outside a subset of zero density.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Amenable groups and Følner nets. Amenable groups were introduced by von Neumann in [23]. A group G is *amenable* if it admits a *Følner net*, i.e., a family $(F_i)_{i \in I}$ over a directed set I consisting of nonempty finite subsets of G such that (cf. [15])

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{i \in I} \frac{|F_i \setminus F_i g|}{|F_i|} = 0 \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

Examples of amenable groups include finitely generated groups of subexponential growth and solvable groups. However, all groups containing a subgroup isomorphic to a free group of rank 2 are non-amenable. See e.g. [36] for some more details.

2.2. Boundary and interior sets. Given any subsets F, M of a group G , we define the M -*boundary* of F by $\partial_M F = FM \setminus F^{-M}$ where $F^{-M} = \{g \in F : gM \subset F\}$ is called the M -*interior* subset of F .

2.3. Natural and Banach densities.

Definition 2.1. Let G be an amenable group with a Følner net $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \in I}$. For every subset $S \subset G$, let us define respectively

$$\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) = \limsup_{i \in I} \frac{|S \cap F_i|}{|F_i|}, \quad \underline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) = \liminf_{i \in I} \frac{|S \cap F_i|}{|F_i|}$$

as the *upper natural \mathcal{F} -density* and the *lower natural \mathcal{F} -density* of S in G .

Similarly, we define respectively

$$\bar{D}_G(S) = \liminf_{i \in I} \sup_{g \in G} \frac{|S \cap F_i g|}{|F_i|}, \quad \underline{D}_G(S) = \limsup_{i \in I} \inf_{g \in G} \frac{|S \cap F_i g|}{|F_i|}$$

as the *upper Banach density* and the *lower Banach density* of S in G .

On contrary to upper and lower natural \mathcal{F} -densities, the following standard lemma ([13, Lemma 2.9]) shows that the Banach densities do not depend on the choice of the Følner net.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be an amenable group with a Følner net $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \in I}$. For every subset $S \subset G$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_G(S) &= \limsup_{i \in I} \sup_{g \in G} \frac{|S \cap F_i g|}{|F_i|} = \inf_{F \subset G, |F| < \infty} \sup_{g \in G} \frac{|S \cap Fg|}{|F|}, \\ \underline{D}_G(S) &= \limsup_{i \in I} \sup_{g \in G} \frac{|S \cap F_i g|}{|F_i|} = \sup_{F \subset G, |F| < \infty} \inf_{g \in G} \frac{|S \cap Fg|}{|F|}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following basic properties.

Lemma 2.3. *Let S, T be subsets of a countable amenable group G with a Følner net $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \in I}$. Then*

$$0 \leq \underline{D}_G(S) \leq \underline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) \leq \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) \leq \bar{D}_G(S) \leq 1.$$

Moreover, we have:

- (i) $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S \cup T) \leq \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) + \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$ and $\overline{D}_G(S \cup T) \leq \overline{D}_G(S) + \overline{D}_G(T)$
(ii) $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) + \underline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(G \setminus S) = 1$ and $\overline{D}_G(S) + \underline{D}_G(G \setminus S) = 1$

Example 2.4. Let us fix $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and a subset $S \subset G$. Then:

1. $\underline{D}_G(S) = \overline{D}_G(S) = 0$ if S is finite or $S = \{n^k : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with $k \geq 2$.
2. $\underline{D}_G(S) = \overline{D}_G(S) = \frac{1}{a}$ if $S = \{an + b : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a > 0$.

Example 2.5. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and let $S \subset G$. Then:

1. $\underline{D}_G(S) = \overline{D}_G(S) = 0$ if S is contained in a union of finitely many lines, that is, $S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n L_n$ where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and for some $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$L_i = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : a_i x + b_i y + c_i = 0\}.$$

2. $\underline{D}_G(S) = 0$ and $\underline{D}_G(S) = 1$ if $S = \mathbb{Z}^2 \cap H$ for any half plane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

2.4. Natural and Banach mean entropies.

Definition 2.6. Let G be an amenable group with a Følner net $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \in I}$ and let A be a finite alphabet. We define the *upper natural mean \mathcal{F} -entropy*, resp. the *lower natural mean \mathcal{F} -entropy*, of a subset $X \subset A^G$ by

$$\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \limsup_{i \in I} \frac{|X_{F_i}|}{|F_i|}, \quad \underline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \liminf_{i \in I} \frac{|X_{F_i}|}{|F_i|}.$$

Similarly, we define respectively the *upper Banach mean entropy* and the *lower Banach mean entropy* of X by

$$\overline{\text{Ent}}(X) = \limsup_{i \in I} \sup_{g \in G} \frac{|(gX)_{F_i}|}{|F_i|}, \quad \underline{\text{Ent}}(X) = \liminf_{i \in I} \inf_{g \in G} \frac{|(gX)_{F_i}|}{|F_i|}.$$

Again, the Banach mean entropies do not depend on the Følner net. When $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \underline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$, resp. $\overline{\text{Ent}}(X) = \underline{\text{Ent}}(X)$, which holds for example when X is a subshift, we simply denote $\text{ent}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$, resp. $\text{Ent}(X)$.

We also have the following chain of inequalities as in Lemma 2.3:

Lemma 2.7. *Let S be a subset of an amenable group G with a Følner net \mathcal{F} . Let $A \neq \emptyset$ be a finite alphabet and let $X \subset A^G$. Then*

$$0 \leq \underline{\text{Ent}}(X) \leq \underline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \leq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \leq \overline{\text{Ent}}(X) \leq \log |A|.$$

Moreover, if $X \subset A^G$ is a subshift then $\underline{\text{Ent}}(X) = \overline{\text{Ent}}(X)$. □

2.5. Natural and Banach mean dimensions.

Definition 2.8. Let G be an amenable group with a Følner net $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \in I}$. Let A be a nontrivial finite dimensional vector space alphabet. We define respectively the *upper natural mean \mathcal{F} -dimension* and the *lower natural mean \mathcal{F} -dimension* of a subset $X \subset A^G$ by

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \limsup_{i \in I} \frac{\dim X_{F_i}}{|F_i| \dim A}, \quad \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \liminf_{i \in I} \frac{\dim X_{F_i}}{|F_i| \dim A}.$$

Similarly, we define respectively the *upper Banach mean dimension* and the *lower Banach mean dimension* of X by

$$\overline{\text{mDim}}(X) = \limsup_{i \in I} \sup_{g \in G} \frac{\dim(gX)_{F_i}}{|F_i| \dim A}, \quad \underline{\text{mDim}}(X) = \liminf_{i \in I} \inf_{g \in G} \frac{\dim(gX)_{F_i}}{|F_i| \dim A}.$$

Similarly to mean entropies, we have:

Lemma 2.9. *Let S be a subset of a countable amenable group G with a Følner sequence \mathcal{F} . Let A be a nontrivial finite-dimensional vector space. Then for every vector subspace $X \subset A^G$, we have*

$$0 \leq \underline{\text{mDim}}(X) \leq \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \leq \overline{\text{mdim}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \leq \overline{\text{mDim}}(X) \leq \dim A.$$

Moreover, if $X \subset A^G$ is a linear subshift then $\underline{\text{mDim}}(X) = \overline{\text{mDim}}(X)$. \square

Whenever $\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$, resp. $\overline{\text{mDim}}(X) = \underline{\text{mDim}}(X)$, we shall simply denote $\text{mdim}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$, resp. $\text{mDim}(X)$.

2.6. Quasi-tilings of amenable groups. We say that $E \subset F$ is a $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -subset of a finite set F if $|E| > (1 - \varepsilon)|F|$. Let G be a countable amenable group and let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$. Then a collection $T = \{(T_i, T_i^o)\}_{i \in I}$ is called an α -disjoint and β -covering quasi-tiling of G if:

- (i) T_i^o is a $(1 - \alpha)$ -subset of T_i for all $i \in I$;
- (ii) $T_i^o \cap T_j^o = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ in I ;
- (iii) $\underline{D}_G(\bigcup_{i \in I} T_i) \geq \beta$.

We shall need the following slightly more refined version of the well-known technical lemma [13, Lemma 4.1] (see also [25, I.§2. Theorem 6]).

Lemma 2.10. *Let G be a countable amenable group with a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = (F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of symmetric sets containing the unity. Fix a finite subset $M \subset G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists an integer $r > 0$ such that for every $n_0 > 0$, we can find an ε -disjoint and $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -covering quasi-tiling $T = \{(T_i, T_i^o)\}_{i \in I}$ of G with r shapes F_{n_1}, \dots, F_{n_r} where $n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r$ and such that $T_i^o = T_i^{-M}$ for every $i \in I$.*

Proof. The proof is similar, *mutatis mutandis*, to the proof of [13, Lemma 4.1] where we only need to require that $T_i^o = T_i^{-M}$ for all $i \in I$ at every step of the induction. Note that by properties of Følner sequences and as M is a fixed finite subset of G , a similar construction of such quasi-tilings as in the proof of [13, Lemma 4.1] can be applied. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$. Let $T = \{(T_i, T_i^o)\}_{i \in I}$ be a $(1 - \alpha)$ -disjoint and β -covering quasi-tiling of a countable amenable group G . Then the disjoint quasi-tiling $\{T_i^o\}_{i \in I}$ is an $\alpha\beta$ -covering of G .*

Proof. See the proof of [13, Lemma 3.4] \square

3. ALMOST INJECTIVE CELLULAR AUTOMATA

Following [32], we say that a group G is *weakly surjunctive* if for every finite group alphabet A , any injective CA $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ which is also a group homomorphism (also called a *group CA*) must be surjective. A group G is *surjunctive* if for every finite alphabet A , all injective CA $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ are surjective. Hence, sofic groups are surjunctive and the Gotschalk surjunctivity conjecture states that every group is surjunctive.

We begin with the following observation which shows the injectivity of a restriction of a group CA over an infinite group universe guarantees that the group CA is injective.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be an infinite group and let A be a group alphabet. Suppose that $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ is a group CA such that $\tau|_U$ is injective where $U \subset A^G$ is a nonempty open subshift. Then τ is injective. If in addition G is a weakly surjunctive group and A is a finite group then τ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \text{Ker } \tau \subset A^G$ then Γ is a group subshift of finite type and thus closed. Suppose on the contrary that τ is not injective. Then $\Gamma^* = \Gamma \setminus \{1_A^G\}$ is nonempty. Let us denote $U\Gamma^* = \{uv: u \in U, v \in \Gamma^*\}$. Since $\tau|_U$ is injective, we deduce that

$$(3.1) \quad (U\Gamma^*) \cap U = \emptyset.$$

Consequently, $U\Gamma^* \subset \Sigma = A^G \setminus U$. In particular, for an arbitrary $x \in \Gamma^*$, we deduce that Σ contains the nonempty open set Ux of A^G . Since Σ is a closed subshift and G is infinite, it follows that Σ is a dense closed subset of A^G and we can thus conclude that $\Sigma = A^G$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $\Gamma = \{1_A^G\}$, i.e., τ is injective and the proof is thus complete. \square

Theorem 3.1 clearly fails for CA over finite universes. In fact, the following example shows that the implication $\tau|_U$ injective $\implies \tau$ injective fails with U being only an open subset (and not an open subshift).

Example 3.2. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and let $A = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ be a CA with the local rule at each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ given by $f(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i + x_{i+1} \pmod{2}$. Let $\Sigma = \{x \in A^G: x_0 = 1\}$. The complement of Σ is $U = \{x \in A^G: x_0 = 0\}$ and the linear τ is clearly injective when restricted to U . However, τ is not injective since its kernel contains the two constant configurations. Nevertheless, Theorem 3.4 or a direct computation implies that τ must be surjective. The same holds if we exchange the role of Σ and U .

We obtain the following result which allows us to bound the period of periodic configurations in the approximation of a strongly irreducible subshift of finite type.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and let A be a set. Let $X \subset A^G$ be a strongly Δ -irreducible subshift of finite type with window $\Delta = [-r, r]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. Suppose that X contains a periodic configuration x_0 of total period $2n_0 > 2r$, i.e.,*

$2n_0\mathbb{Z}^d x_0 = x_0$. Then for $\mathcal{F} = (F_n)_{n \geq 1}$ where $F_n = [-k_n, k_n]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $k_n = (nn_0 - 2r)(n_0 + 1)$, we have:

$$(\text{Fix}((2k_n + 4r)G) \cap X)|_{F_n} = X_{F_n}.$$

Proof. The result follows from an immediate modification of the proof of [7, theorem 1.1]. With the same notations as in the proof of [7, theorem 1.1] and $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, we have $L \subset K$ so that $H = K \cap L = L$ where $L = (2k_n + 4r)G \subset K = 2n_0G$, $\Omega = F_n$, and $\Omega_i = [-k_n - ir, k_n + ir]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. \square

Hence, we obtain the following strengthened form of surjunctivity for CA over strongly irreducible closed subshifts of finite type.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 1$, and let A be a finite alphabet. Let $X \subset A^G$ be strongly irreducible closed subshift of finite type which contains a periodic configuration. Suppose that $\tau: X \rightarrow X$ is a CA such that $\tau|_U$ is injective where $U \subset X$ is a nonempty open subset. Then τ is surjective and pre-injective.*

Proof. Let us denote $\Gamma = \tau(X) \subset X$. Since $U \subset X$ is a nonempty open subset, U contains a cylinder $C(p) = \{x \in X: x|_S = p\}$ for some pattern $p \in X_S$ where $S \subset G$ is a finite subset.

Choose a sufficiently large window $\Delta = [-r, r]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $r \geq 2$ is an integer, such that $\Delta \supset S$ is a memory set of τ and $X = \Sigma(A^G; X_\Delta, \Delta)$ is a strongly Δ -irreducible subshift of finite type. In particular, we deduce from the definition of Δ -irreducibility that for every finite subset $E \subset G$, we have:

$$(3.2) \quad C(p)_{E \setminus S\Delta} = X_{E \setminus S\Delta}.$$

Indeed, let $\tilde{p} \in X$ be any configuration extending p . Let $x \in X$. Since $S\Delta \cap (E \setminus S\Delta) = \emptyset$ and X is Δ -irreducible, there exists $y \in X$ such that $y|_S = \tilde{p}|_S$ and $y|_{E \setminus S\Delta} = x|_{E \setminus S\Delta}$. Hence, $X_{E \setminus S\Delta} \subset C(p)_{E \setminus S\Delta}$. As clearly $C(p)_{E \setminus S\Delta} \subset X_{E \setminus S\Delta}$, the relation (3.2) follows.

Fix a periodic configuration in X of total period $2n_0 > 2r$. Let us denote $\mathcal{F} = (F_n)_{n \geq 3}$ where $F_n = [-k_n, k_n]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ and with $k_n = (nn_0 - 2r)(n_0 + 1)$. Note that $k_n \geq r(n_0 + 1) \geq 4r$ for all $n \geq 3$ and \mathcal{F} is a Følner sequence of \mathbb{Z}^d .

Let $H_n = (2k_n + 4r)\mathbb{Z}^d$ then by Theorem 3.3, we have

$$(3.3) \quad (\text{Fix}(H_n) \cap X)_{F_n} = X_{F_n}$$

where $P_n = \text{Fix } H_n = \{x \in A^G: hx = x, \forall h \in H_n\} \subset A^G$ is the space of H_n -periodic configurations. Note that we have a canonical bijection $P_n \simeq A^{F_n}$ given by the restriction $x \mapsto x|_{F_n}$.

Let us define $Q_n = P_n \cap C(p) = \{x \in P_n \cap X: x|_S = p\}$ for every $n \geq 3$. Then $Q_n \subset U$ and we thus obtain induced injective maps $\tau|_{Q_n}: Q_n \rightarrow P_n$ for all $n \geq 3$. By (3.3), we have $(P_n \cap X)_{F_n} = X_{F_n}$. Since $F_n \setminus S\Delta \subset F_n$ and F_n is finite, we deduce from $(P_n \cap X)_{F_n} = X_{F_n}$ and the relation (3.2) that:

$$(3.4) \quad (P_n \cap X)_{F_n \setminus S\Delta} = X_{F_n \setminus S\Delta} = C(p)_{F_n \setminus S\Delta}.$$

We claim that

$$(3.5) \quad (Q_n)_{F_n \setminus S\Delta} = (P_n \cap C(p))_{F_n \setminus S\Delta} = X_{F_n \setminus S\Delta} = C(p)_{F_n \setminus S\Delta}.$$

Indeed, we clearly have $(P_n \cap C(p))_{F_n \setminus S\Delta} \subset (P_n \cap X)_{F_n \setminus S\Delta} = X_{F_n \setminus S\Delta}$ by (3.4) as $C(p) \subset X$. On the other hand, let us fix a H_n -invariant configuration $x \in P_n \cap X$ and let $q = x|_{F_n \Delta^2 \setminus S\Delta} \in X_{F_n \Delta^2 \setminus S\Delta} = C(p)_{F_n \Delta^2 \setminus S\Delta}$ (cf. (3.2)). Thus, we can find a configuration $y \in C(p)$ which extends q , that is,

$$y \in X, \quad y|_S = p \quad \text{and} \quad y|_{F_n \Delta^2 \setminus S\Delta} = q = x|_{F_n \Delta^2 \setminus S\Delta}.$$

Consider the patched configuration $z \in A^G$ defined by $z|_{G \setminus (H_n S\Delta)} = x|_{G \setminus (H_n S\Delta)}$ and $z(hs\delta) = y(s\delta)$ for all $h \in H_n$, $s \in S$ and $\delta \in \Delta$. Since x is H_n -invariant, we deduce from the construction that so is z . In other words, $z \in P_n$. Moreover, note that $S\Delta^2 \subset F_n \Delta^2$ and $F_n \Delta^2$ contains a fundamental domain of H_n . Consequently, as $x \in X$ is H_n -invariant and $y|_{F_n \Delta^2} \in X|_{F_n \Delta^2}$ and $y|_{F_n \Delta^2 \setminus S\Delta} = x|_{F_n \Delta^2 \setminus S\Delta}$, we deduce that $z|_{g\Delta} \in X_{g\Delta}$ for all $g \in G$. Hence, $z \in X$ since Δ is a defining window of the subshift of finite type X . As $z_S = y_S = p$, it follows that $z \in C(p)$. To summarize, we have shown that $z \in P_n \cap C(p)$ and $z|_{F \setminus S\Delta} = x|_{F \setminus S\Delta}$. The claim (3.5) is thus proved.

We infer from the injectivity of $\tau|_{Q_n}$, the relation (3.5), and the inclusion $X_{F_n} \subset A^{S\Delta} \times X_{F_n \setminus S\Delta}$ the following estimation:

$$|\Gamma_{F_n \Delta^2}| \geq |\tau(Q_n)| = |Q_n| \geq |(Q_n)_{F_n \setminus S\Delta}| = |X_{F_n \setminus S\Delta}| \geq \frac{|X_{F_n}|}{|A|^{|\Delta|}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Gamma) &= \limsup_n \frac{\log |\Gamma_{F_n \Delta^2}|}{|F_n \Delta^2|} \\ &\geq \limsup_n \frac{\log |X_{F_n}|}{|F_n \Delta^2|} - \limsup_n \frac{|A|^{|\Delta|}}{|F_n \Delta^2|} \\ &= \limsup_n \frac{\log |X_{F_n}|}{|F_n|} \limsup_n \frac{|F_n|}{|F_n \Delta^2|} - 0 \\ &= \limsup_n \frac{\log |X_{F_n}|}{|F_n|} \\ &= \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Γ is a closed subshift of maximal mean entropy of a strongly irreducible subshift of finite type X . It follows that $\Gamma = X$ by [6, Proposition 4.2]. In other words, τ is surjective. By the Garden of Eden theorem for strongly irreducible subshifts of finite type [14], we deduce that τ is pre-injective. \square

4. ALMOST PRE-INJECTIVE NON-UNIFORM CELLULAR AUTOMATA

4.1. Generalized Myhill property. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be an infinite amenable group with a given Følner net $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \in I}$ and let A be a finite alphabet. Then for all subsets $X, Y \subset A^G$ and every finite subset $S \subset G$ such that $X|_{G \setminus S} = Y|_{G \setminus S}$, we have*

$$\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} X = \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} Y.$$

In particular, for every nonempty open subset $U \subset A^G$, we have

$$\text{ent}_{\mathcal{F}} U = \text{ent}_{\mathcal{F}} A^G = \log |A|.$$

Proof. We can suppose without loss of generality that $S \subset F_i$ for all $i \in I$. Since G is infinite, we have $\lim_I |F_i| = \infty$ and thus $\limsup_I \frac{|S|}{|F_i|} = 0$. Let $Z = X|_{G \setminus S} = Y|_{G \setminus S} \subset A^{G \setminus S}$. Fix a pattern $p \in A^S$ and let us consider $\Gamma = \{p\} \times Z$ and $\Lambda = A^S \times Z$.

Then for every $i \in I$, we have $\Gamma_{F_i} = \{p\} \times Z_{F_i \setminus S}$ and $\Lambda_{F_i} = A^S \times Z_{F_i \setminus S}$. On the one hand, we have $X_{F_i}, Y_{F_i} \subset \Lambda_{F_i}$ for every $i \in I$ and thus by the definition of the mean entropy,

$$\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} X = \limsup_I \frac{\log |X_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} \leq \limsup_I \frac{\log |\Lambda_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} = \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda$$

and similarly $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} Y \leq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda$. On the other hand, we find that:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} X &= \limsup_I \frac{\log |X_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} \geq \limsup_I \frac{\log |X_{F_i \setminus S}|}{|F_i|} \\ &= \limsup_I \frac{\log |Z_{F_i \setminus S}|}{|F_i|} = \limsup_I \frac{\log |\Gamma_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} = \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} Y \geq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \Gamma$. However, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda &= \limsup_I \frac{\log |\Lambda_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} = \limsup_I \frac{\log |A^S| + |Z_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} \\ &= \limsup_I \frac{\log |Z_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} + \limsup_I \frac{|S|}{|F_i|} \log |A| \\ &= \limsup_I \frac{\log |\Gamma_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} + 0 = \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \Gamma \leq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} X, \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} Y \leq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda = \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \Gamma.$$

We can thus conclude that $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} X = \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} Y$. For the last assertion, note that every nonempty open subset $U \subset A^G$ contains a cylinder $C(p) = \{x \in A^G : x|_S = p\}$ where $p \in A^S$ is a finite pattern, i.e., $S \subset G$ is a finite subset. Then $C(p) \subset U \subset A^G$ thus $\text{ent} C(p) \leq \text{ent} U \leq \text{ent} A^G$. The first assertion applied for $X = C(p)$, $Y = A^G$ shows that $\text{ent} C(p) = \text{ent} A^G = \log |A|$. Hence, we deduce that $\text{ent} U = \text{ent} C(p) = \log |A|$ which ends the proof. \square

We establish the following general result which generalizes the Myhill property.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $S \subset G$ be a subset of an amenable group G and let A be a finite alphabet. Let $p \in A^S$ and $U = \{p\} \times A^{G \setminus S}$. Suppose that $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ is an NUCA with finite memory such that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective. Then $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) \geq (1 - \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)) \log |A|$ for every Følner net \mathcal{F} of G .*

Proof. Our proof generalizes the proof in the case of pre-injective CA over the full shift. Let us choose a memory set $M \subset G$ of τ such that $M = M^{-1}$ and $1_G \in M$. Let $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \in I}$ be a Følner net of G and we can suppose without loss of generality that $SM \subset F_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Since $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) \geq 0$ and $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) \in [0, 1]$, we can suppose without loss of generality that $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) < 1$.

Let us denote $\Gamma = \text{Im } \tau|_U$ as the image of U under τ . Suppose on the contrary that $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}\Gamma < (1 - \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)) \log |A|$. Note that for all $i \in I$ we have:

$$\Gamma_{F_i M} \subset \Gamma_{F_i} \times A^{\partial_M F_i}.$$

Therefore, $|\Gamma_{F_i M}| \leq |\Gamma_{F_i}| |A^{\partial_M F_i}|$ and we find that:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\log |\Gamma_{F_i M}|}{|F_i \setminus S|} &\leq \frac{\log |\Gamma_{F_i}|}{|F_i \setminus S|} + \frac{|\partial_M F_i| \log |A|}{|F_i \setminus S|} \\ &\leq \frac{|F_i|}{|F_i \setminus S|} \frac{\log |\Gamma_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} + \frac{|\partial_M F_i|}{|F_i|} \frac{|F_i|}{|F_i \setminus S|} \log |A|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since G is an amenable group,

$$\lim_{i \in I} \frac{|\partial_M F_i|}{|F_i|} = 0.$$

Moreover, by the definition of $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$, we have:

$$\limsup_{i \in I} \frac{|F_i|}{|F_i \setminus S|} = \limsup_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\frac{|F_i| - |F_i \cap S|}{|F_i|}} = \frac{1}{1 - \limsup_{i \in I} \frac{|S \cap F_i|}{|F_i|}} = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)}.$$

Hence, by passing to the limit the inequality (4.1) for $i \in I$ in the index set I and by applying the hypothesis $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) < (1 - \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)) \log |A|$, we obtain:

$$\limsup_{i \in I} \frac{\log |\Gamma_{F_i M}|}{|F_i \setminus S|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)} \limsup_{i \in I} \frac{\log |\Gamma_{F_i}|}{|F_i|} = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)} \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}\Gamma < \log |A|.$$

Consequently, there exists $k \in I$ such that

$$\frac{\log |\Gamma_{F_k M}|}{|F_k \setminus S|} < \log |A|$$

thus

$$|\Gamma_{F_k M}| < |A|^{|F_k \setminus S|}.$$

Let $a \in A$ an arbitrary element and let $Z = \{p\} \times A^{F_k \setminus S} \times \{a\}^{G \setminus (S \cup F_k)}$. Then $|Z| = |A^{F_k \setminus S}| = |A|^{|F_k \setminus S|}$ and we have:

$$|\tau(Z)| = |\tau(Z)_{F_k M}| \leq |\Gamma_{F_k M}| < |A|^{|F_k \setminus S|} = |Z|.$$

We can thus find two distinct configurations z_1 and z_2 in Z such that $\tau(z_1) = \tau(z_2)$. In particular, τ is not pre-injective even when restricted to U , which is a contradiction to the hypothesis of the theorem that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective. Hence, we must have $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}\Gamma \geq (1 - \overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)) \log |A|$ and the proof is complete. \square

As a consequence, we obtain the following surjectivity-like result which strengthens the Myhill property of CA over amenable group universes.

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be an amenable group and let A be a finite alphabet. Let $S \subset G$ with $d_G(S) = 0$. Let $p \in A^S$ and $U = \{p\} \times A^{G \setminus S}$. Suppose that $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ is a CA such that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective. Then τ is surjective and pre-injective.*

Proof. Since $d_G(S) = 0$ and $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective by hypotheses, we infer from Theorem 4.2 that for every Følner net \mathcal{F} of G :

$$\log |A| = \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(A^G) \geq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau) \geq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) \geq \log |A|.$$

Consequently, $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau) = \log |A|$. Therefore, the classical Garden of Eden theorem for CA implies that τ is surjective and pre-injective. The proof is thus complete. \square

The next corollary implies that the image of every sufficiently pre-injective NUCA over a nonempty open subset must have maximal mean entropy.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be an infinite amenable group and let A be a finite alphabet. Suppose that $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ is an NUCA with finite memory such that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective where $U \subset A^G$ is a nonempty open subset. Then $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) = \log |A|$ for every Følner net \mathcal{F} of G .*

Proof. Since U is an open of A^G in the prodiscrete topology, it contains a cylinder $C(p) = \{x \in A^G: x_S = p\}$ for some pattern $p \in A^S$ where $S \subset G$ is a finite subset. It follows that $\tau|_{C(p)}$ is pre-injective. On the other hand, $C(p) = \{p\} \times A^{G \setminus S}$ and we clearly have $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) = 0$ since S is finite and G is infinite so that $\lim_{i \in I} |F_i| = \infty$ for any fixed Følner net $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \in I}$ of G . We can thus infer from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\log |A| = \text{ent}(A^G) \geq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) \geq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_{C(p)}) \geq \log |A|.$$

Therefore, $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) = \log |A|$ and the proof is complete. \square

By specializing to the class of CA, we deduce the following result.

Corollary 4.5. *Let G be an infinite amenable group and let A be a finite alphabet. Suppose that $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ is a CA such that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective where $U \subset A^G$ is an open subset. Then τ is surjective.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, we have $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) = \log |A|$ for any Følner net \mathcal{F} of G . Since $\text{Im } \tau|_U \subset \text{Im } \tau \subset A^G$ and $\text{ent} A^G = \log |A|$, we deduce from the monotonicity of the mean entropy that $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Im } \tau = \log |A|$. Hence, the classical Garden of Eden theorem for CA implies that τ is surjective. \square

4.2. Generalized Moore property for NUCA. Conversely, we have:

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be an infinite amenable group and let A be a finite alphabet. Let $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ be an NUCA with finite memory whose configuration of the local transition maps is asymptotically constant. Suppose that $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau) = \log |A|$ for some Følner net \mathcal{F} of G . Then there exists an open subset $U \subset A^G$ such that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective. Moreover, $\text{Im } \tau$ contains an open subset of A^G .*

Proof. Let $\sigma: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ be a CA whose local transition map coincides with the local transition maps of τ outside of some finite subset $E \subset G$. Consider the cylinder $U = \{p\} \times A^{G \setminus EM}$ where M is a memory set of τ such that $M = M^{-1}$, $1_G \in M$, and $p \in A^{EM}$ is an arbitrary pattern.

Then by Lemma 4.1, we have $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Im } \sigma = \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Im } \tau$ since $(\text{Im } \sigma)_{G \setminus EM} = (\text{Im } \tau)_{G \setminus EM}$. Therefore, $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Im } \sigma = \log |A|$ and thus by the Garden of Eden theorem, we deduce that σ is surjective and pre-injective. Consequently, τ is also pre-injective when restricted to the open subset $U \subset A^G$. This proves the first assertion.

For the second assertion, note that $\text{Im}(\tau)_{G \setminus EM^2} = \text{Im}(\sigma)_{G \setminus EM^2} = A^{EM^2}$ since σ is surjective. For every $q \in A^{EM^2}$, we define

$$Z_q = \text{Im}(\tau) \cap (\{q\} \times A^{G \setminus EM^2}).$$

In other words, Z_q is the set of configurations in the image of τ whose restriction to EM^2 is exactly the pattern q . Since $\text{Im}(\tau)$ is a closed subset of A^G by the closed image property, we deduce that Z_q and $(Z_q)_{G \setminus EM^2}$ are respectively closed (in fact compact) subsets of A^G and $A^{G \setminus EM^2}$.

Clearly we have the relation $\text{Im}(\tau) = \bigcup_{q \in A^{EM^2}} Z_q$ and it follows that

$$\bigcup_{q \in A^{EM^2}} (Z_q)_{G \setminus EM^2} = \text{Im}(\tau)_{G \setminus EM^2} = A^{G \setminus EM^2}.$$

By the Baire category theorem applied to the non-empty complete metric space $A^{G \setminus EM^2}$, there must exist $q \in A^{EM^2}$ such that $(Z_q)_{G \setminus EM^2}$ contains a cylinder V of $A^{G \setminus EM^2}$ in the prodiscrete topology. We conclude by our definition of the set Z_q that $\text{Im}(\tau)$ contains the open cylinder $V \times \{q\} \subset A^G$. The proof is thus complete. \square

The following example shows that the above Lemma 4.6 is optimal in the sense that it fails if we remove the hypothesis that τ is a local perturbation of a CA.

Example 4.7. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\tau: \{0, 1\}^G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^G$ be an NUCA such that for all $x \in A^G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\tau(x)(n) = 0$ if n is a perfect square and $\tau(x)(n) = x(n)$ otherwise. A direct computation shows that $\text{ent}(\text{Im } \tau) = 1 = \log |\{0, 1\}|$. However, τ is not pre-injective when restricted to any cylinder subset of $\{0, 1\}^G$.

4.3. Garden of Eden theorem for the class NUCA_c . By combining Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.6, we can establish the following version of the Garden of Eden theorem for NUCA which are local perturbations of CA over infinite amenable group universes.

Theorem 4.8. *Let G be an infinite amenable group and let A be a finite alphabet. Let $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ be an NUCA with finite memory and whose configuration of the local transition maps is asymptotically constant. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective for some nonempty open subset $U \subset A^G$.
- (b) $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau) = \log |A|$ for some (or equivalently any) Følner net \mathcal{F} of G .
- (c) $\text{Im } \tau$ contains a nonempty open subset of A^G .

Proof. Suppose first that (a) holds for some open subset $U \subset A^G$. Then $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im } \tau|_U) = \log |A|$ by Lemma 4.4. Since $\text{Im}(\tau|_U) \subset \text{Im}(\tau) \subset A^G$, the monotonicity of the mean entropy implies that:

$$\log |A| = \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Im}(\tau|_U) \leq \overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Im}(\tau) \leq \text{ent } A^G = \log |A|.$$

Consequently, $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Im}(\tau) = \log |A|$ and we have proved that (a) \implies (b). The implications (b) \implies (a) and (b) \implies (c) result directly from Lemma 4.6. Suppose that $\text{Im}(\tau)$ contains an open subset of A^G then since G is infinite, we have $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Im}(\tau) = \log |A|$ by Lemma 4.1. Hence, (c) \implies (b) and the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem A. The case when G is infinite results from Theorem 4.8. When G is finite, the properties (i) and (ii) in Theorem A hold true trivially. The proof of Theorem A is thus complete. \square

5. GARDEN OF EDEN THEOREM FOR LINEAR NUCA

The Garden of Eden theorem for linear CA over the full shifts was first proved in [3]. It was known to characterize amenable groups [1] and the limit set of a CA [9].

For linear NUCA which are uniform outside a zero-density subset of cells, we obtain the following converse of Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 5.1. *let K be a field and let M be a finite subset of an infinite countable amenable group G . Fix $s, c \in \mathcal{L}(K^M, K)^G$ with c constant and s differs from c only on a subset of G of zero upper Banach density. Suppose that $\underline{\text{mDim}}(\text{Im } \sigma_s) > 1 - d$ for $d \in]0, 1[$. Then there exists $U = \{0\}^S \times K^{G \setminus S}$ where $S \subset G$ with $\overline{D}_G(S) \leq d$ such that $\sigma_s|_U$ is pre-injective.*

Proof. For the countable amenable group G , let us fix an increasing Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = (F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of symmetric sets containing the unity 1_G . Let us fix also a symmetric memory set $M \subset G$ of τ such that $1_G \in M$. We denote $\Delta = \{g \in G: s(g) \neq c(g)\} \subset G$ then $\overline{D}_G(\Delta) = 0$ by hypothesis.

For the notations, let $\Gamma = \text{Im } \sigma_s \subset A^G$ and $\varepsilon = \text{mdim } \Gamma - (1 - d)$ then $\varepsilon > 0$ by hypothesis. Since G is an infinite amenable group, we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\partial_{M^2} F_n|}{|F_n|} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_n| = \infty$$

and $(F_n^{-M^2})_{n \geq 0}$ is also a Følner sequence of G . Moreover,

$$\varepsilon + (1 - d) = \underline{\text{mdim}} \Gamma = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim \Gamma_{F_n}}{|F_n|}$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{g \in G} \frac{|\Delta M \cap g F_n|}{|F_n|} = \overline{D}_G(\Delta M) = 0.$$

Therefore, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{|\partial_{M^2} F_n|}{|F_n|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{8},$$

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\dim \Gamma_{F_n^{-M^2}}}{|F_n|} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 1 - d, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{|\Delta \cap g F_n|}{|F_n|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

By Lemma 2.10, there exist an integer $r > 0$ and an ε -disjoint and $(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{100})$ -covering quasi-tiling $T = \{(T_i, T_i^o)\}_{i \in I}$ of G with r shapes F_{n_1}, \dots, F_{n_r} such that $n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r$ and $T_i^o = T_i^{-M}$ for every $i \in I$. In particular, $T_i^o \cap T_j^o = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ in I . For every $i \in I$, let F_{k_i} be the shape of T_i where $k_i \in \{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$ and let $g_i \in G$ be the center of T_i , i.e.,

$$T_i = g_i F_{k_i}.$$

Recall that for every subset $F \subset G$, we have $\partial_{M^2} F = FM^2 \setminus F^{-M^2}$ where $F^{-M^2} = \{g \in F : gM^2 \subset F\}$ since $1_G \in M$ and $M = M^{-1}$. Hence, for every $n \geq n_0$, we infer from the inequality (5.1) that

$$(5.4) \quad |F_n^{-M^2}| \geq |F_n| - |\partial_{M^2} F_n| \geq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right) |F_n|.$$

Note that $M \subset M^2$ as $1_G \in M$. Hence, we have $F_{k_i}^{-M^2} \subset F_{k_i}^{-M}$ for every $i \in I$ by definition because $gM^2 \subset F_{k_i}$ implies $gM \subset gM^2 \subset F_{k_i}$. Consequently, it holds for every $i \in I$ that:

$$(5.5) \quad E_i = T_i^o \cap g_i F_{k_i}^{-M^2} = g_i F_{k_i}^{-M} \cap g_i F_{k_i}^{-M^2} = g_i F_{k_i}^{-M^2} = T_i^{-M^2}.$$

It follows that $E_i M \subset g_i F_{k_i}^{-M} = T_i^o$ for all $i \in I$. Moreover, for all $i \neq j$ in I , we have $T_i^o \cap T_j^o = \emptyset$ by hypothesis and thus $E_i M \cap E_j M = \emptyset$.

On the other hand, we deduce from (5.3) and (5.4) that for all $i \in I$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_i \setminus (\Delta \cup g_i \Delta)| &\geq |g_i F_{k_i}^{-M^2}| - |\Delta \cap g_i F_{k_i}| - |g_i \Delta \cap g_i F_{k_i}| \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right) |F_{k_i}| - \frac{\varepsilon}{16} |F_{k_i}| - \frac{\varepsilon}{16} |F_{k_i}| \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) |F_{k_i}| \\
(5.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) |T_i|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $R_i = E_i \setminus (\Delta \cup g_i \Delta) \subset g_i F_{k_i}^{-M^2}$ for $i \in I$. For $i \in I$, let $Z_i = \{0\}^{G \setminus E_i M} \times K^{E_i M}$. Since M is a memory set of σ_s and $R_i M \subset E_i M$, the NUCA σ_s induces by restriction linear maps $\varphi_i: Z_i \rightarrow K^{R_i}$ for $i \in I$. By (5.2), (5.5), and (5.6), and since $s|_{G \setminus \Delta}$ is constant, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.7) \qquad \dim \operatorname{Im} \varphi_i &= \dim \Gamma_{R_i} = \dim \left(\Gamma_{g_i F_{k_i}^{-M^2}} \right)_{R_i} \\
&= \dim \left(\Gamma_{F_{k_i}^{-M^2}} \right)_{g_i^{-1} R_i} \\
&\geq \dim \left(\Gamma_{F_{k_i}^{-M^2}} \right) - |F_{k_i}^{-M^2} \setminus g_i^{-1} R_i| \\
&\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 1 - d \right) |F_{k_i}| - (|F_{k_i}| - |g_i^{-1} R_i|) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + 1 - d \right) |F_{k_i}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for every $i \in I$, we find that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_i &= \dim Z_i - \dim \operatorname{Im} \varphi_i \\
&\leq |E_i M| - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + 1 - d \right) |F_{k_i}| \\
&\leq |F_{k_i}| - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + 1 - d \right) |F_{k_i}| \\
&= \left(d - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) |F_{k_i}| \\
&= \left(d - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) |T_i|.
\end{aligned}$$

and thus by linear algebra, we can find a finite subset $S_i \subset E_i M$ with $|S_i| \leq \left(d - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) |F_{k_i}|$ such that for $U_i = \{0\}^{G \setminus E_i M} \times \{0\}^{S_i} \times K^{E_i M \setminus S_i}$, the restriction linear map $\varphi|_{U_i}$ is injective. Let us define

$$S = G \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} (E_i M \setminus S_i).$$

It follows from the construction of the sets S_i that $\sigma_s|_U$ is pre-injective where $U = \{0\}^S \times K^{G \setminus S}$. We claim that $\bar{d}_G(S) \leq d$. Indeed, for every $i \in I$,

the relations (5.1) and $|S_i| \leq (d - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}) |F_{k_i}|$ imply that:

$$\begin{aligned} |E_i M \setminus S_i| &\geq |E_i M| - |S_i| \geq |E_i| - |S_i| \\ &\geq |F_{k_i}^{-M^2}| - |S_i| = \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right) |F_{k_i}| - \left(d - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) |F_{k_i}| \\ &= \left(1 - d + \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right) |F_{k_i}| = \left(1 - d + \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right) |T_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $T' = \{(T_i, E_i M \setminus S_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a $(d - \frac{\varepsilon}{8})$ -disjoint and $(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{100})$ -covering quasi-tiling of G and we infer from Lemma 2.11 that:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{D}_G \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i M \setminus S_i \right) &\geq \left(1 - d + \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{100}\right) \\ &= 1 - d + \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{1 - d + \frac{\varepsilon}{8}}{100}\right) \\ &\geq 1 - d. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain:

$$\overline{D}_G(S) = 1 - \underline{D}_G \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i M \setminus S_i \right) \leq d,$$

and the proof is thus complete. \square

By adopting the proof of Theorem 5.1, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be an infinite countable amenable group and let K be a field. Then for every linear NUCA $\tau: K^G \rightarrow K^G$ with finite memory with $\underline{\text{mDim}}(\text{Im } \tau) > 1 - d$, there exists $S \subset K^G$ with $\overline{D}_G(S) \leq d$ such that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective where $U = \{0\}^S \times K^{G \setminus S}$.*

Proof. The proof follows the same lines, *mutatis mutandis*, of the proof of Theorem 5.1 where we set $\Delta = \emptyset$ so that $R_i = E_i$ and we simply replace the relation (5.2) by

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{\dim \Gamma_{g F_n^{-M^2}}}{|F_n|} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 1 - d, \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

Consequently, we can modify the estimation (5.7) directly by:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Im } \varphi_i &= \dim \Gamma_{R_i} = \dim \left(\Gamma_{g_i F_{k_i}^{-M^2}} \right)_{R_i} \\ &\geq \dim \left(\Gamma_{g_i F_{k_i}^{-M^2}} \right) - |g_i F_{k_i}^{-M^2} \setminus R_i| \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 1 - d\right) |F_{k_i}| - (|F_{k_i}| - |R_i|) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + 1 - d\right) |F_{k_i}| \end{aligned}$$

and the rest of the proof is identical. \square

As a consequence, we obtain the following quantitative characterization of the partial pre-injectivity in terms of the mean dimension of the image of linear NUCA.

Theorem 5.3. *Let G be an infinite countable amenable group and let A be a finite-dimensional vector space alphabet. For every linear NUCA $\tau: A^G \rightarrow A^G$ with finite memory, the following hold:*

- (i) *If $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective where $U = \{p\} \times A^{G \setminus S}$ and $p \in A^S$ for some subset $S \subset G$, then $\overline{\text{mdim}}(\text{Im } \tau) \geq (1 - \overline{d}_G(S)) \dim A$.*
- (ii) *If $\dim A = 1$ and $\underline{\text{mDim}}(\text{Im } \tau) > 1 - d$, then there exists $S \subset A^G$ with $\overline{D}_G(S) \leq d$ such that $\tau|_U$ is pre-injective where $U = \{0\}^S \times A^{G \setminus S}$.*

Proof. Assertion (i) results, *mutatis mutandis*, from a similar proof of Theorem 4.2 where we replace $\overline{\text{ent}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ by $\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\log |A|$ by $\dim A$. Moreover, with the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we deduce from the inclusion $\Gamma_{F_n M} \subset \Gamma_{F_n} \times A^{\partial_M F_n}$ that $\dim \Gamma_{F_n M} \leq \dim \Gamma_{F_n} + \dim A^{\partial_M F_n}$. Other modifications in the proof are trivial. Assertion (ii) is nothing but the content of Theorem 5.2. The proof is thus complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. BARTHOLDI, *Amenability of groups is characterized by Myhill's Theorem. With an appendix by D. Kielak*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. vol. 21, Issue 10 (2019), pp. 3191–3197.
- [2] R. BURTON AND J.E. STEIF, *Non-uniqueness of measures of maximal entropy for subshifts of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. 14 (1994), pp. 213–35.
- [3] T. CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN AND M. COORNAERT, *The Garden of Eden theorem for linear cellular automata*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. 26 (2006), no. 1, pp. 53–68.
- [4] ———, *Injective linear cellular automata and sofic groups*, Israel J. Math. 161 (2007), pp. 1–15.
- [5] ———, *A generalization of the Curtis-Hedlund theorem*, Theoret. Comput. Sci., 400 (2008), pp. 225–229
- [6] ———, *The Myhill property for strongly irreducible subshifts over amenable groups*, Monatsh. Math. 165 (2012), no. 2, pp. 155–172.
- [7] ———, *On the density of periodic configurations in strongly irreducible subshifts*, Non-linearity 25 (2012), pp. 2119–2131. doi:10.1088/0951-7715/25/7/2119
- [8] T. CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN, M. COORNAERT, AND X. K. PHUNG, *On the Garden of Eden theorem for endomorphisms of symbolic algebraic varieties*, Pacific J. Math. 306 (2020), no. 1, pp 31–66.
- [9] ———, *On linear shifts of finite type and their endomorphisms*, J. Pure App. Algebra 226 (2022), no. 6.
- [10] T. CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN, A. MACHÌ, AND F. SCARABOTTI, *Amenable groups and cellular automata*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 49 (1999), pp. 673–685.
- [11] A. DENNUNZIO, E. FORMENTI, AND J. PROVILLARD, *Non-uniform cellular automata: Classes, dynamics, and decidability*, Information and Computation Volume 215, June 2012, Pages 32-46
- [12] A. DENNUNZIO, E. FORMENTI, AND J. PROVILLARD, *Local rule distributions, language complexity and non-uniform cellular automata*. Theoretical Computer Science, 504 (2013) 38–51.
- [13] T. DOWNAROWICZ, D. HUCZEK, AND G. ZHANG *Tilings of amenable groups*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal), vol. 2019, no. 747, 2019, pp. 277–298. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2016-0025>

- [14] F. FIORENZI, *Cellular automata and strongly irreducible shifts of finite type*. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 299, 477–493 (2003)
- [15] E. FØLNER, *On groups with full Banach mean value*, Math. Scand. 3 (1955), pp. 243–254.
- [16] M. GROMOV, *Endomorphisms of symbolic algebraic varieties*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 1 (1999), pp. 109–197.
- [17] W.H. GOTTSCHALK, *Some general dynamical notions*, Recent advances in topological dynamics, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 120–125. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 318.
- [18] G. A. HEDLUND, *Endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift dynamical system*, Math. Systems Theory, 3 (1969), pp. 320–375.
- [19] I. KAPLANSKY, *Fields and Rings*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1969.
- [20] S.J. LIGHTWOOD, *Morphisms from non-periodic \mathbb{Z}^2 -subshifts: I. Constructing embeddings from homomorphisms*, Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst. 23 (2003), pp. 587–609.
- [21] E. F. MOORE, *Machine models of self-reproduction*, vol. 14 of Proc. Symp. Appl. Math., American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1963, pp. 17–34.
- [22] J. MYHILL, *The converse of Moore’s Garden-of-Eden theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14 (1963), pp. 685–686.
- [23] J. VON NEUMANN, *Zur allgemeinen Theorie des Masses*, Fund. Math. 13 (1929), pp. 73–116 and 333. = *Collected works*, vol. I, pp 599–643.
- [24] J. VON NEUMANN, IN: BURKS, A.W. (ED.), *The Theory of Self-reproducing Automata*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana (1966).
- [25] D. S. ORNSTEIN AND B. WEISS, *Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups*, J. Analyse Math. 48, pp. 1–141 (1987). MR 910005 (88j:28014)
- [26] X.K. PHUNG, *On sofic groups, Kaplansky’s conjectures, and endomorphisms of pro-algebraic groups*, Journal of Algebra, 562 (2020), pp. 537–586.
- [27] ———, *Shadowing for families of endomorphisms of generalized group shifts*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 2022, 42 (1), pp. 285–299
- [28] ———, *On Dynamical Finiteness Properties of Algebraic Group Shifts*. Israel Journal of Mathematics (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-022-2351-1>
- [29] ———, *On symbolic group varieties and dual surjectivity*, to appear in Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics. arXiv:2111.02588
- [30] ———, *On invertible and stably reversible non-uniform cellular automata*, Theoretical Computer Science (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2022.09.011>
- [31] ———, *A geometric generalization of Kaplansky’s direct finiteness conjecture*, to appear in Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. arXiv:2111.07930
- [32] ———, *Weakly surjective groups and symbolic group varieties*, preprint. arXiv:2111.13607
- [33] ———, *Stable finiteness of twisted group rings and noisy linear cellular automata*, preprint. arXiv:2209.06002
- [34] ———, *Some pointwise and decidable properties of non-uniform cellular automata*, preprint. arXiv:2210.00676
- [35] ———, *On linear non-uniform cellular automata: duality and dynamics*, preprint. arXiv:2208.13069
- [36] S. WAGON, *The Banach-Tarski paradox*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. With a foreword by Jan Mycielski; Corrected reprint of the 1985 original.
- [37] T. WARD, *Automorphisms of \mathbb{Z}^d -subshifts of finite type*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 5 (1994), pp. 495–504

DÉPARTEMENT D’INFORMATIQUE ET DE RECHERCHE OPÉRATIONNELLE, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL, MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC, H3T 1J4, CANADA.

Email address: phungxuankien1@gmail.com