

Superintegrable quantum mechanical systems with position dependent masses invariant with respect to two parametric Lie groups

A. G. Nikitin ¹

*Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,
3 Tereshchenkivs'ka Street, Kyiv-4, Ukraine, 01024, and
Università del Piemonte Orientale,
Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica,
viale T. Michel 11, 15121 Alessandria, Italy*

Abstract

Quantum mechanical systems with position dependent masses (PDM) admitting two parametric Lie symmetry groups are classified. Namely, all PDM systems are specified which, in addition to their invariance w.r.t. a two parametric Lie group, admit at least one second order integral of motion. The presented classification is partially extended to the more generic systems which do not accept any Lie group.

¹E-mail: nikitin@imath.kiev.ua

1 Introduction

Symmetry is a corner stone of the majority of modern physical models. It plays a leading role in many research fields, especially in quantum mechanics. The fundamentals of quantum mechanics by definition include symmetries of its basic motion equations which have been discovered in famous papers [1], [2], [3] and [4]. We will not discuss these symmetries which are presented in our previous work [5] but restrict ourselves to note that there are new contemporary results in this very old field. And it is the case even for classical Lie symmetries [6, 7, 8].

The subject of the present paper are symmetries more generic than the Lie ones, namely, the higher order integrals of motion. The systematic study of them had been started with seminal papers [9] where the completed classification of the second order integrals of motion for the 2d Schrödinger equation was proposed. These results induced a great many of generalizations, starting with 3d models [10], [11] and continuing with models including matrix potentials [12, 13, 14] and the higher (and even arbitrary) order integrals of motion [15, 16, 17, 18]. Moreover, such generalizations include the systems more generic than the standard Schrödinger equations, namely, Schrödinger equations with position dependent mass. The latter equations are requested in many branches of modern theoretical physics, whose list can be found, e.g., in [19, 20, 21]. Their symmetries are studied much less than those ones for the standard Schrödinger equation. However, the classification of Lie symmetries of the PDM Schrödinger equations with scalar potentials have been obtained already [21]-[23].

The modern trends are to study the 2d superintegrable systems admitting integrals of motion of the third and even arbitrary orders [16, 17]. However, there is only a particular progress in this direction which is restricted to the systems with constant masses and very specific kind of potentials. Nevertheless for the case of the third order integrals of motion such systems with arbitrary potentials have been classified explicitly [27, 28] while for the case of the arbitrary order in fact we know only the determining equations which are hardly be solved [29]. See also [15] where the determining equations for such symmetries for 3d systems were deduced, and [18] where symmetry operators of arbitrary order for the free Schrödinger equation had been enumerated.

Second order integrals of motion for 2d PDM Schrödinger equations are perfectly classified [24, 25, 26, 30]. In particular, it is known that there are 58 inequivalent systems admitting such integrals. The majority of them admits also at least one continuous Lie symmetry. Moreover, two dimensional second-order (maximally) superintegrable systems for Euclidean 2-space even algebraic geometrically [31].

In contrary, the situation with the 3d systems is not so transparent. The problem of classification of the second order integrals of motion appears to be very complicated. At the best of my knowledge the completed classification results were presented only for the maximally superintegrable (i.e., admitting the maximal possible number of integrals of motion) systems [32, 33], and (or) for the system whose integrals of motion are supposed to satisfy some special condition like the functionally linearly dependence [34]. More exactly, the nondegenerate systems, i.e, those ones which have 5 linearly independent, contained in 6 linearly independent (but functionally dependent) 2nd order integrals of motion are known [35], see also [36] for the contemporary trends in this field. In addition, a certain progress can be recognized in the classification of the so called semidegenerate systems which admit only five linearly independent systems and whose potentials are linear combinations of three functionally independent terms [37]. Surely, just such systems are both nice and important since they can be exactly

solved and admit solutions in multi separated coordinates [38, 39, 40, 41]. However, we cannot ignore the PDM systems which admit second order integrals of motion but are not necessary maximally superintegrable and do not belong to quantum analogues of the nondegenerate or semidegenerate ones. And just such systems are studied in the present paper.

Bearing in mind the complexity of the total classification of superintegrable systems with position dependent mass it is reasonable to separate this generic problems to well defined subproblems which can have their own fundamental and application values. The first subproblem which consists in the classification of such systems admitting the first order integrals of motion was solved in [21].

An important aspect of the results presented in [21] is the complete description of possible Lie symmetry groups which can be admitted by the stationary PDM Schrödinger equation. And this property, i.e., the Lie symmetry, can be effectively used to separate the the problem of the classification of the PDM systems admitting second order integrals of motion for PDM systems to a well defined subproblems corresponding to the fixed symmetries.

As it was shown in [21] the PDM Schrödinger equation can admit six, four, three, two or one parametric Lie symmetry groups. Surely, there are also such equations which have no Lie symmetry. In other words, there are six well defined classes of such equations corresponding to these types of symmetries. And it is a natural idea to search for second order integrals of motion consequently for all these classes.

Equations admitting the four or six parametric Lie groups should have the fixed potential and mass terms, thus the classification of their second order symmetries is a routine problem.

The first informative step was to classify such systems which, in addition to the second order integrals of motion, are invariant with respect to three parametric Lie groups [5]. After that we plan to generalize this result to the case when the a priori requested invariance groups are two or at least one parametric. And the final step presupposes the classification of systems which admit second order integrals of motion but do not have any Lie symmetry.

In the present paper we classify the PDM systems which admit second order integrals of motion and are invariant with respect to two-parametric Lie groups. Such classification extends the results of our previous paper [5] to a much more generic class of PDM systems.

In spite of the fact that the main stream in studying of superintegrable systems with PDM is to start with the classical Hamiltonian systems an then quantize them if necessary, we deal directly with quantum mechanical systems. This way is more difficult but guaranties obtaining all integrals of motion including those ones which can disappear in the classical limit [42].

2 PDM Schrödinger equations admitting two parametric Lie groups

We will study the stationary Schrödinger equations with position dependent mass of the following generic form:

$$\hat{H}\psi = E\psi, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\hat{H} = p_a f(\mathbf{x}) p_a + V(\mathbf{x}). \tag{2}$$

Here $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$, $p_a = -i\partial_a$, $V(\mathbf{x})$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2m(\mathbf{x})}$ are functions associated with the effective potential and inverse PDM, and summation from 1 to 3 is imposed over the repeating index a .

Hamiltonians (2) are particular cases of the more general form of the PDM Hamiltonian presented, e.g., in [19]

$$H = \frac{1}{4}(m^\alpha p_a m^\beta p_a m^\gamma + m^\gamma p_a m^\beta p_a m^\alpha) + \hat{V} \quad (3)$$

where α, β and γ are the so called ambiguity parameters satisfying the condition $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = -1$. However, representation (3) is mathematically equivalent to (2) [23].

In paper [21] all equations (1) admitting at least one one-parametric Lie group were classified. The list of such equations includes six representatives which accept two-parametric invariance groups. The corresponding inverse masses f and potentials V are presented in the following formulae:

$$f = F(\tilde{r}), \quad V = V(\tilde{r}), \quad (4)$$

$$f = \tilde{r}^2 F(\theta), \quad V = G(\theta), \quad (5)$$

$$f = \tilde{r}^2 F\left(\frac{r^2 + 1}{\tilde{r}}\right), \quad V = G\left(\frac{r^2 + 1}{\tilde{r}}\right) \quad (6)$$

$$f = \tilde{r}^2 F(a \ln(\tilde{r}) + \varphi), \quad V = G(a \ln(\tilde{r}) + \varphi), \quad (7)$$

$$f = \tilde{r}^2 F(\varphi), \quad V = G(\varphi), \quad (8)$$

$$f = F(x_3), \quad V = G(x_3) \quad (9)$$

where $F(\cdot)$ and $V(\cdot)$ are arbitrary functions whose arguments are fixed in the brackets,

$$r = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{r} = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi = \arctan\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right), \quad \theta = \arctan\left(\frac{\tilde{r}}{x_3}\right).$$

Let us stress that functions (4)-(9) are still functions of Cartesian variables x_1, x_2 and x_3 . However, their dependence on these variables is not arbitrary but rather specific, and it is a consequence of their symmetries.

Equations (1), (2) whose arbitrary elements are given by formulae (4), (5), (6), (7), (8) and (9) admit the following first order integrals of motion [21]:

$$L_3 = x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1, \quad P_3 = p_3, \quad (10)$$

$$L_3, \quad D = x_a p_a - \frac{3i}{2}, \quad (11)$$

$$P_3 - K_3 = p_3 - r^2 p_3 + 2x_3 D, \quad L_3, \quad (12)$$

$$P_3, \quad D + \nu L_3, \quad (13)$$

$$P_3, \quad D \quad (14)$$

and

$$P_1 = p_1, \quad P_2 = p_2 \quad (15)$$

correspondingly. These integrals of motion are infinitesimal operators of the inequivalent two parametric Lie groups admitted by the related equations.

Thus the subject of our discussion is a special subclass of PDM Schrödinger equations, namely, equations whose arbitrary elements are enumerated in formulae (10)-(15). They include all inequivalent PDM Schrödinger equations admitting two parametric Lie groups. Our task is to specify such of them which, in addition, admit second order integrals of motion.

3 Determining equations

Let us search for equation (1) which admit second order integrals of motion, i.e., the second order differential operators commuting with H . Such integrals of motion can be represented in the following form:

$$Q = \partial_a \mu^{ab} \partial_b + \eta \quad (16)$$

where $\mu^{ab} = \mu^{ba}$ and η are unknown functions of \mathbf{x} and summation from 1 to 3 is imposed over all repeating indices.

Operators (16) are formally hermitian. In addition, just representation (16) leads to the most compact and simple systems of determining equations for unknown parameters μ^{ab} and η .

By definition, operators Q should commute with H :

$$[H, Q] \equiv HQ - QH = 0. \quad (17)$$

Let us show that evaluating the commutator in (17) and equating to zero the coefficients for the linearly independent differential operators $\partial_a \partial_b \partial_c$ and ∂_a we come to the following determining equations (see Appendix)

$$5(\mu_c^{ab} + \mu_b^{ac} + \mu_a^{bc}) = \delta^{ab}(\mu_c^{nn} + 2\mu_n^{cn}) + \delta^{bc}(\mu_a^{nn} + 2\mu_n^{an}) + \delta^{ac}(\mu_b^{nn} + 2\mu_n^{bn}), \quad (18)$$

$$(\mu_a^{nn} + 2\mu_n^{na})f - 5\mu^{an}f_n = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\mu^{ab}V_b - f\eta_a = 0 \quad (20)$$

where δ^{bc} is the Kronecker delta, $f_n = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$, $\mu_n^{an} = \frac{\partial \mu^{an}}{\partial x_n}$, etc., and summation is imposed over the repeating indices n over the values $n = 1, 2, 3$. Notice that our summation convention is valid also for the cases when both the repeating indices are lower or upper.

To deduce the determining equations for arbitrary elements present in definition (2) for Hamiltonian and integral of motion (16) it is sufficient to evaluate the commutator in (17) and equate the coefficients for the linearly independent differential operators. We present here the routine calculations requested to achieve this goal since the form of the determining equations strongly depends on the chosen representation of the Hamiltonian (compare (2) and (21) and the integral of motion. Moreover, this form appears to be maximally simple just for the representations (2) and (16) which we use.

The first step is to substitute (2) and (16) into (17) and obtain the following expression:

$$\partial_a(\mu^{ac}\partial_c\partial_b f - f\partial_a\partial_c\mu^{bc})\partial_b = 0. \quad (21)$$

The standard tactics in solving the operator equations including the products of differential operators ∂_a is to use the identity

$$\partial_a F = F\partial_a + F_a\partial_a \quad (22)$$

(where $F = F(\mathbf{x})$, $F_a = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_a}$) and to move all these operators to the right and then equate the coefficients for ∂_a , $\partial_a \partial_b$, $\partial_a \partial_b \partial_c$, etc., in such obtained expressions, see, e.g., [12] where even the special software for such procedure is presented. We will use another and more simple trick and equate to zero some special form trilinear in ∂_a .

Denote the expression in the brackets as:

$$W^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu^{ac} \partial_c \partial_b f - f \partial_c \partial_b \mu^{ac}) + a \rightleftharpoons b \quad (23)$$

and rewrite equation (21) as:

$$\partial_a W^{ab} \partial_b = 0 \quad (24)$$

Let us use the following identities (which follow from (21)) :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{ac} \partial_c \partial_b &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_c \mu^{ac} \partial_b + \partial_b \mu^{ac} \partial_c - \mu_n^{an} \partial_b - \mu_c^{ab} \partial_c), \\ \partial_b \partial_c \mu^{ac} &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_b \mu^{ac} \partial_c + \partial_c \mu^{ac} \partial_b - \mu_b^{ac} \partial_c - \mu_n^{bn} \partial_a) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and transform equation (23) to the following form:

$$W^{ab} = \{\partial_c, Q^{abc}\} + a \rightleftharpoons b \quad (26)$$

where

$$Q^{abc} = (\mu^{ac} f_b - \mu_c^{ab}) f + \delta^{ab} (\mu^{an} f_n - \mu_n^{an} f). \quad (27)$$

In view of (27) we conclude that the condition

$$(\mu^{ac} f_b - \mu_c^{ab}) f + \delta^{ab} (\mu^{an} f_n - \mu_n^{an} f) + \text{cycle}(a, b, c) = 0 \quad (28)$$

turns equation (24) to the identity. In other words it is the sufficient condition of the commutativity H with Q requested by equation (17). To prove that this condition is also the necessary one it is sufficient to move all the derivative operators ∂_a , ∂_b and ∂_c in equation (24) to the right and represent it in the form:

$$Q^{abc} \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c + \dots = 0 \quad (29)$$

where the dots denote the terms which are bilinear, linear and zero order in ∂_a , ∂_b , ∂_c . The first term in (29) should be nullified which gives the condition (28).

Notice that the determining equations (18) and (19) are nothing but the traceless part of (28) and the trace of (28). To obtain the remaining determining equation (20) it is sufficient to calculate the commutators of operator (16) and $p_a f p_a$ with the unknown functions V and η correspondingly. We will not present the requested very simple calculations.

Equations (18), (19) and (20) give the necessary and sufficient conditions for commutativity of operators H and Q .

Thus to classify Hamiltonians (2) admitting second order integrals of motion (16) we are supposed to find inequivalent solutions of very complicated system (18)–(20). Its complication is justified in the following speculations.

The autonomous subsystem (18) defines the conformal Killing tensor. Its general solution is a linear combination of the following tensors (see, e.g., [43])

$$\mu_0^{ab} = \delta^{ab}g(\mathbf{x}), \quad (30)$$

$$\mu_1^{ab} = \lambda_1^{ab}, \quad (31)$$

$$\mu_2^{ab} = \lambda_2^a x^b + \lambda_2^b x^a - 2\delta^{ab}\lambda_3^c x^c, \quad (32)$$

$$\mu_3^{ab} = (\varepsilon^{acd}\lambda_3^{cb} + \varepsilon^{bcd}\lambda_3^{ca})x^d,$$

$$\mu_4^{ab} = (x^a\varepsilon^{bcd} + x^b\varepsilon^{acd})x^c\lambda_4^d, \quad (33)$$

$$\mu_5^{ab} = \delta^{ab}r^2 + k(x^a x^b - \delta^{ab}r^2),$$

$$\mu_6^{ab} = \lambda_6^{ab}r^2 - (x^a\lambda_6^{bc} + x^b\lambda_6^{ac})x^c - \delta^{ab}\lambda_6^{cd}x^c x^d,$$

$$\mu_7^{ab} = (x^a\lambda_7^b + x^b\lambda_7^a)r^2 - 4x^a x^b \lambda_7^c x^c + \delta^{ab}\lambda_7^c x^c r^2, \quad (34)$$

$$\mu_8^{ab} = 2(x^a\varepsilon^{bcd} + x^b\varepsilon^{acd})\lambda_8^{dn}x^c x^n - (\varepsilon^{ack}\lambda_8^{bk} + \varepsilon^{bck}\lambda_8^{ak})x^c r^2$$

$$\mu_9^{ab} = \lambda_9^{ab}r^4 - 2(x^a\lambda_9^{bc} + x^b\lambda_9^{ac})x^c r^2 + (4x^a x^b + \delta^{ab}r^2)\lambda_9^{cd}x^c x^d + \delta^{ab}\lambda_9^{cd}x^c x^d r^2 \quad (35)$$

where $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$, $\lambda_m^{ab} = \lambda_m^{ba}$ and λ_m^a are arbitrary parameters, satisfying the condition $\lambda_m^{nm} = 0$, and $g(\mathbf{x})$ is an arbitrary function of \mathbf{x} .

Thus our problem presupposes solving the determining equations (19) and (20) where μ^{ab} are linear combinations of ten tensors (30)-(35). Notice that these tensors include 35 arbitrary parameters in addition to the coefficients of this linear combination. Moreover, there are four unknown function, i.e., f , V and g, η . Thus the generic classification of superintegrable systems with position dependent mass looks rather huge. Fortunately, for the systems admitting two parametric continuous symmetry groups specified by equations (10)-(15) it is possible find all inequivalent solutions of the related determining equations (19) and (20).

4 Equivalence relations

The key element of any classification problem is a clear definition of equivalence relations. This point is especially important in the case of the classification of differential equations whose form is essentially dependent on the chosen variables which we can change and generate infinite number of equivalent systems.

Nondegenerated changes of dependent and independent variables of a partial differential equation are called equivalence transformations provided they keep his generic form. In our case this generic form is fixed by equations (1), (2), and, additionally, by relations (4)-(9) if we suppose the invariance with respect to two parametric Lie groups. The equivalence transformations should keep the mentioned generic forms up to the explicit expressions for the arbitrary elements f and V . They have the structure of a continuous group which however can be extended by some discrete elements.

In accordance with the results presented in [21], the maximal continuous equivalence group of equation (1) is $C(3)$, i.e., the group of conformal transformations of the 3d Euclidean space.

The basis elements of the corresponding Lie algebra can be chosen in the following form :

$$\begin{aligned} P^a &= p^a = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}, & L^a &= \varepsilon^{abc} x^b p^c, \\ D &= x_n p^n - \frac{3i}{2}, & K^a &= r^2 p^a - 2x^a D, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where $r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$ and $p_a = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}$. Operators P^a , L^a , D and K^a generate shifts, rotations, dilatations and pure conformal transformations respectively. The explicit form of these transformations can be found, e.g., in [21]).

In addition equation (1) is form invariant with respect to the following discrete transformations:

$$x_a \rightarrow \tilde{x}_a = \frac{x_a}{r^2}, \quad \psi(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow r^3 \psi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}). \tag{37}$$

Notice that algebra $c(3)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $so(1,4)$ whose basic elements $S_{\mu\nu}$ can be expressed via generators (36) in the following manner:

$$S_{ab} = \varepsilon_{abc} L_c, \quad S_{4a} = \frac{1}{2}(K_a - P_a), \quad S_{0a} = \frac{1}{2}(K_a + P_a), \quad S_{04} = D \tag{38}$$

where $a, b = 1, 2, 3$. The related Lie group is $SO(1,4)$, i.e., the Lorentz group in (1+4)-dimensional space. Moreover, the discrete transformation (37) is a realization of the inversion of the fourth coordinate axis. It anticommutes with S_{4a} but commutes with the remaining generators (38).

Thus the equivalence group of equations (1) with Hamiltonian (2) is the conformal group $C(3)$ extended by discrete transformation (37). This group is locally isomorphic with $SO(1,3)$ extended by the inversion of the fourth spatial variable.

However, for the systems whose arbitrary elements are fixed by relations (4)-(9) the equivalence group is reduced since it is not admissible to change the invariance groups of these equations. In other words, the set of generators (36) should be reduced to the subsets which either commute with the basis elements of the symmetry algebra presented by relations (10)-(15) or such commutators are reduced to linear combinations of such elements. In other words, the equivalence group is reduced to the invariance groups which in case (4), and (8) is extended by dilatations and in the cases (7), (9) by dilatations and rotations around the third coordinate axis. Notice that discrete transformation (37) is admissible for the cases (4), (6) and (8) only.

5 Classification results

Solving the determining equations for all arbitrary elements fixed in (4)-(9) and applying the equivalence relations discussed in the above we find all inequivalent PDM systems admitting second order integrals of motion, i.e., make the classification of all superintegrable PDM systems which are invariant with respect to two parametric Lie groups. The results of this classification are presented in this section while the calculation details will be given in the following ones.

Let us start with the systems invariant with respect to dilatations and rotations around the third coordinate axis. The generic form of the related inverse masses and potentials are given by equation (5) while the generators of the a priori assumed invariance group are presented in (11). The more special forms of the inverse masses and potentials which corresponds to the systems admitting second order integrals of motion are represented in Items 1-4 of Table 1.

Table 1. Inverse masses, potentials and second order integrals of motion for systems admitting algebras $\langle D, L_3 \rangle$, $\langle D, P_3 \rangle$ or $\langle L_3, K_3 - P_3 \rangle$

No	f	V	Integrals of motion	Lie symmetries
1	$\frac{x_3^2 r^2}{\mu r^2 + \lambda x_3^2}$	$\frac{\alpha x_3^2 + \nu r^2}{\mu r^2 + \lambda x_3^2}$	$\{L_1, L_2\} + \left(\frac{2\mu x_1 x_2}{x_3^2} \cdot H\right) - \frac{2\alpha x_1 x_2}{x_3^2}$	D, L_3
2	$\frac{r \tilde{r}^2}{\mu r + \nu x_3}$	$\frac{\alpha r + \omega x_3}{\mu r + \nu x_3}$	$\{P_3, D\} + \left(\frac{\nu}{r} \cdot H\right) + \frac{\omega}{r}$	D, L_3
3	$\frac{x_3^2 \tilde{r}^2}{\mu \tilde{r}^2 + \lambda x_3^2}$	$\frac{\alpha x_3^2 + \nu \tilde{r}^2}{\mu \tilde{r}^2 + \lambda x_3^2}$	$P_3^2 - \left(\frac{\mu}{x_3^2} \cdot H\right) + \frac{\alpha}{x_3^2}$	D, L_3
4	$(r^2 \pm 1)^2 \mp 4x_3^2$	α	$\{(K_3 \pm P_3), (K_1 \mp P_1)\}$	D, L_3
5	r^2	α	$\{K_3, P_1\} + \left(\frac{x_3 x_1}{r^2} \cdot H\right)$	D, L_3, L_1
6	$\tilde{r}^2 F(\varphi)$	$F(\varphi)G(\varphi)$	$L_3^2 - \left(\frac{1}{F(\varphi)} \cdot H\right) + G(\varphi)$	D, P_3, K_3
7	$\frac{x_1^2 x_2^2}{\mu x_1^2 + \nu x_2^2}$	$\frac{\alpha x_1^2 + \kappa x_2^2}{\mu x_1^2 + \nu x_2^2}$	$L_3^2 - \left(\tilde{r}^2 \left(\frac{\mu}{x_2^2} + \frac{\nu}{x_1^2}\right) \cdot H\right) + \frac{\alpha \tilde{r}^2}{x_2^2} + \frac{\kappa \tilde{r}^2}{x_1^2},$ $L_1^2 - L_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(r^2 \left(\frac{\mu}{x_1^2} - \frac{\nu}{x_2^2}\right) \cdot H\right)$ $+ \frac{1}{2} r^2 \left(\frac{\kappa}{x_2^2} - \frac{\alpha}{x_1^2}\right)$	D, P_3, K_3
8	$\frac{x_1^2 \tilde{r}}{\mu \tilde{r} + \nu x_2}$	$\frac{\alpha \tilde{r} + \kappa x_2}{\mu \tilde{r} + \nu x_2}$	$\{P_2, D\} + \{P_3, L_1\} + 2 \left(\frac{\nu}{\tilde{r}} \cdot H\right) - 2 \frac{\kappa}{\tilde{r}},$ $L_3^2 - \left(\frac{\tilde{r}(\mu \tilde{r} + \nu x_2)}{x_1^2} \cdot H\right) + \frac{\tilde{r}(\alpha \tilde{r} + \kappa x_2)}{x_1^2}$	D, P_3, K_3
9	$\tilde{r}^2 + \varepsilon x_1 \tilde{r},$ $\varepsilon = \pm 1$	$\frac{\mu x_1 + \nu \tilde{r}}{\tilde{r} - \varepsilon x_1}$	$\{P_2, D\} + \{P_3, L_1\} - 2 \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \cdot H\right) - 2 \frac{\mu}{\tilde{r}},$ $L_3^2 - \left(\frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{r} + \varepsilon x_1} \cdot H\right) + \frac{(\mu x_1 + \nu \tilde{r}) \tilde{r}}{x_2^2}$	D, P_3, K_3
10	$\frac{\tilde{r}^2 (r^2 + 1)^2}{(r^2 + 1)^2 + \nu \tilde{r}^2}$	$\frac{\alpha (r^2 + 1)^2 + \mu \tilde{r}^2}{\nu \tilde{r}^2 + (r^2 + 1)^2}$	$\{K_3, P_3\}$ $+ \left(\frac{2\nu x_3^2}{(r^2 + 1)^2} \cdot H\right) - \frac{2\mu x_3^2}{(r^2 + 1)^2},$ $(K_1 - P_1)^2 + (K_2 - P_2)^2$ $+ \left(\frac{4\nu \tilde{r}^4 - (r^2 + 1)^4}{2\tilde{r}^2 (r^2 + 1)^2} \cdot H\right) - \frac{\tilde{r}(\nu - 4)(\alpha \nu - \mu)}{(r^2 + 1)^2 + \nu \tilde{r}^2}$ $(K_1 - P_1)^2 + (K_2 - P_2)^2$	$L_3, K_3 - P_3$
11	$(r^2 + 1)^2$	$\frac{\alpha (r^2 + 1)^2}{\tilde{r}^2}$	$+ 4 \left(\frac{\tilde{r}^2}{(r^2 + 1)^2} \cdot H\right) + \frac{\alpha (r^2 + 1)^2}{\tilde{r}},$ $\{K_3, P_3\} + \left(\frac{2x_3^2}{(r^2 + 1)^2} \cdot H\right)$ $(K_1 - P_1)^2 - (K_2 - P_2)^2$	$L_3, K_3 - P_3$
12	$\frac{(r^2 + 1)^2 ((r^2 + 1)^2 - 4\tilde{r}^2)}{\nu \tilde{r}^2 + \mu (r^2 + 1)^2}$	$\frac{\alpha (r^2 + 1)^2 - 4\kappa \tilde{r}^2}{\nu \tilde{r}^2 + \mu (r^2 + 1)^2}$	$+ 4(L_2^2 - L_1^2)$ $+ \left(\frac{(4\mu + \nu)(x_2^2 - x_1^2)}{(r^2 + 1)^2 - 4\tilde{r}^2} \cdot H\right) + \frac{4(\alpha - \kappa)(x_1^2 - x_2^2)}{(r^2 + 1)^2 - 4\tilde{r}^2}$	$L_3, K_3 - P_3$

In the classification Tables 1 and 2 $F(\cdot), G(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$ are arbitrary functions of the arguments specified in the brackets, μ, ν, α and κ are arbitrary real parameters, φ and θ are Euler angles, $r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$, $\tilde{r}^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$, P_a, K_a, D . The symbol $\{A, B\}$ denotes the anticommutator of operators A and B , i.e., $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$. In addition, we use the notation

$$(F(\mathbf{x}) \cdot H) = p_a F(\mathbf{x}) f p_a + F(\mathbf{x}) V. \quad (39)$$

where H is Hamiltonian (2) with arbitrary elements fixed in the second columns of the tables.

In accordance with Table 1 there are five classes of superintegrable PDM systems invariant with respect to dilatations and rotations around the third coordinate axis. They are defined up to arbitrary parameters and only one of them presented in Item 5 is maximally superintegrable.

In Items 6 - 9 of the same table we represent the systems which admit the symmetry with respect to dilatations and shifts along the third coordinate axis. One of them is defined up to two arbitrary functions, the remaining ones include arbitrary parameters. The systems represented in Items 7-9 are maximally superintegrable.

The next class of superintegrable systems which we represent in Table 1 are those ones which admit the symmetry with respect to rotations and a specific combinations of the conformal and shift transformations. The related arbitrary elements and generators of the symmetry group are represented by relations (6) and (12). The classification results for such systems are collected in Items 10-12 of Table 1. All of them are maximally superintegrable.

Table 2. Inverse masses, potentials and second order integrals of motion for systems admitting algebras $\langle P_3, L_3 \rangle$, $\langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$ or $\langle P_3, D + \nu L_3 \rangle$

No	f	V	Integrals of motion	Lie symmetries
1	$\frac{\tilde{r}^2}{\nu\tilde{r}^2+\mu}$	$\frac{\alpha\tilde{r}^2+\lambda}{\nu\tilde{r}^2+\mu}$	$\{P_3, K_3\} + 2\nu(x_3^2 \cdot H) - 2\alpha x_3^2$	L_3, P_3
2	$\frac{\tilde{r}^2}{\mu \ln(\tilde{r}^2)+\nu}$	$\frac{\alpha \ln(\tilde{r}^2)+\lambda}{\mu \ln(\tilde{r}^2)+\nu}$	$L_3 D + \mu(\varphi \cdot H) + \alpha\varphi$	L_3, P_3
3	$\frac{\tilde{r}}{\mu\tilde{r}+\nu}$	$\frac{\alpha\tilde{r}+\lambda}{\mu\tilde{r}+\nu}$	$\{P_2, D\} + \{P_3, L_1\} - \{L_3, P_1\}$ $-2\mu(x_2 \cdot H) + 2\alpha x_2$	L_3, P_3
4	$\frac{1}{\alpha\tilde{r}^2+\mu}$	$\frac{\nu\tilde{r}^2+\kappa}{\alpha\tilde{r}^2+\mu}$	$P_1 P_2 - \alpha(x_1 x_2 \cdot H) + \nu x_1 x_2$	L_3, P_3
5	$\frac{\tilde{r}^2}{\nu \ln(\tilde{r})+\varphi-\mu}$	$\frac{\alpha}{\nu \ln(\tilde{r})+\varphi-\mu}$	$L_3^2 - (\varphi \cdot H) + \alpha\varphi$	$P_3, L_3 - \nu D$
6	\tilde{r}^2	$\alpha(\nu \ln(\tilde{r}) + \varphi)$	$L_3^2 + \alpha\varphi$	$P_3, L_3 - \nu D$
7	$\frac{\tilde{r} \frac{2}{1+\nu^2} e^{\frac{2\nu\varphi}{1+\nu^2}}}{1-\mu\tilde{r} \frac{2}{1+\nu^2} e^{\frac{2\nu\varphi}{1+\nu^2}}}$	αf	$\{P_3, D\} + \nu\{P_3, L_3\}$ $+2\mu(x_3 \cdot H) + 2\alpha x_3$ $\{P_3, D\} - \frac{1}{2}((\tilde{r}^2 + 4x_3^2) \cdot H)$ $-2\alpha x_3,$	$P_3, L_3 - \nu D$
8.	$\frac{1}{x_3}$	$\frac{c}{x_3}$	$\{P_1, L_1\} - \{P_2, L_2\}$ $-(x_1 x_2 \cdot H)$	P_1, P_2, L_3
9.	$\frac{x_3^2}{\mu x_3^2 + \nu}$	$\frac{\alpha x_3^2 + \lambda}{\mu x_3^2 + \nu}$	$\{P_1, K_1\} + 2\mu(x_1^2 \cdot H) + 2\alpha x_1^2,$ $\{P_2, K_2\} + 2\mu(x_2^2 \cdot H) + 2\alpha x_2^2$	P_1, P_2, L_3

The next table, namely, Table 2, also includes three subclasses of superintegrable systems. The first of them includes the systems invariant with respect to rotations around the third coordinate axis and shifts along this axis. The related inverse masses and potentials are given by equation (4) while the generators of the admissible symmetry group are presented in (10). The other systems presented in the table are fixed by relations (7), (13) and (9), (15). The systems represented in Items 8 and 9 are maximally superintegrable.

It is important to note that the second order symmetries presented in the tables are defined up to equivalence transformations discussed in Section 4. In particular, for all systems admitting rotations, i.e., symmetry operators L_3 all vector and tensor integrals of motion are defined up

to rotations. For example, integral of motion $Q_{12} = \{L_1, L_2\} + \left(\frac{2x_1x_2}{x_3} \cdot H\right)$ presented in Item 2 of Table 1 is a reduced part of the linear combination of the integrals of motion $aQ_{12} + b\tilde{Q}_{12}$ where

$$\tilde{Q}_{12} = L_2^2 - L_1^2 + \left(\frac{(x_2^2 - x_1^2)}{x_3^2} \cdot H\right)$$

and this reduction is made with using the rotation transformations.

In addition we used the discrete equivalence transformation (37) which acts on generators (36) in the following manner

$$P_a \rightarrow K_a, \quad K_a \rightarrow P_a, \quad L_a \rightarrow L_a, \quad D \rightarrow D. \quad (40)$$

The presented tables specify the inequivalent superintegrable systems admitting two parametric Lie symmetry groups. In some cases these symmetry groups are three parametric but include two parametric subgroups, since the related Lie algebras are solvable. To justify the classification results we will present calculation details in the following sections.

6 Solution of the determining equations

The determining equations (19) and (20) are nice and look rather gentle. However as it was mentioned in Section 3 in fact they are very complicated systems of partial differential equations including a lot of unknowns. Fortunately for the case when the generic functions f and V are reduced to the forms presented in equations (4) we can find the generic solutions of these equations defined up to the equivalence transformations.

The strategy which will be used in solving the determining equations is rather straightforward. The generic solution of equation (18) is known and we represent it in Section 3. The next step is to solve equation (19) for the inverse mass f . To do it directly for the generic Killing tensor represented in Section 3 is absolutely hopeless. However, we can made a priori simplifications of the system (19) by separating it to decoupled subsystems, and this procedure strongly depends on the Lie symmetries accepted by the described systems. In the following subsections we represent the details of such decoupling for all types of symmetries considered.

Whenever we will obtain the inequivalent versions of the inverse masses, it would be possible to search for solutions of equation (20) for the potential. This step will rather technical and more simple than the previous ones, since the the necessary decoupling of the Killing tensors would be already known.

6.1 Extended enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{c}(3)$

Let us start with the note that integrals of motion (16) where μ^{ab} are linear combinations of the Killing tensors (30) - (35) can be represented as bilinear combinations of the basic elements of algebra $\mathfrak{c}(3)$ (36) added by the special term with $\mu^{ab} = \delta_{ab}g(\mathbf{x})$ and potential term η . Indeed any of them in fact has the following form

$$Q = c^{\mu\nu, \lambda\sigma} \{S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\lambda\sigma}\} + p_a g(\mathbf{x}) p_a \quad (41)$$

where $S_{\mu\nu}$ are generators (38) and $c^{\mu\nu, \lambda\sigma}$ are numeric parameters.

The conformal Killing tensors (30)- (35) are polynomials in \mathbf{x} but include also arbitrary functions. For the zero order polynomials (30) representation (41) is reduced to the linear combination of products $P_a P_b$, the first order polynomials (32) correspond to products $P_a L_b$ and $P_a D$, the second order polynomials (33) generate products $P_a K_b$, DD and $L_a L_b$ and so on.

However, equation (41) includes too many terms since the products $\{S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\lambda\sigma}\}$ are not necessary linearly independent thanks to certain identities in the extended enveloping algebra of $so(3)$. To avoid possible misunderstandings we present these identities for the bilinear combinations of the basis elements in the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{P_a, D\} + \varepsilon_{abc}\{P_b, L_c\} &= 2P_c x_a P_c, \\
\{L_a, L_b\} + \{P_a, K_b\} &= 2Q^{ab}, \quad a \neq b, \\
\{P_1, K_1\} + \{P_2, K_2\} + L_3^2 &= 2Q^{33}, \\
\{K_\alpha, P_\alpha\} + 2L_3^2 + 2D^2 &= 2P_a(r^2 - x_\alpha^2)P_a, \\
P_a L_a &= 0, \\
\{P_a, K_a\} &= -4D^2 + 2P_a r^2 P_a, \\
L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2 &= P_a r^2 P_a - D^2, \\
\{P_a, K_b\} - \{P_b, K_a\} &= 2\varepsilon_{abc} L_c D, \\
P_1^2 + P_2^2 &= -P_3^2 + P_a P_a
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where $Q^{ab} = P_c x_a x_b P_c$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ and no sum w.r.t. α .

We use relations (42) to produce maximally compact presentations for the integrals of motion.

6.2 PDM systems admitting dilatation

In accordance with (10) - (15) the half part of the considered systems admit the dilatation as an equivalence transformation. Moreover, two of these systems possess the symmetry with respect to the dilatation transformations whose generator D is presented in (11). This property enables essentially simplify the solution of the determining equation in accordance with the following reasons.

For the case of the scale invariant systems admitting second order integrals of motion the related Killing tensors cannot include linear combinations of all polynomials listed in (30)-(35) but are reduced to homogeneous polynomials. Indeed, under the dilatation transformation $x_a \rightarrow \alpha x_a$ operators (16) including zero order Killing tensor (30) obtains the multiplier $-\alpha^2$, for case of the first order Killing tensors (32) we obtain the multiplier $-\alpha$, etc. In other words, the determining equations (19) and (20) are reduced to the five decoupled subsystems corresponding to the Killing tensors which are n -order homogeneous polynomials with $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, and arbitrary functions g_1, g_2, \dots, g_9 should satisfy the following conditions:

$$x_a g(\mathbf{x})_a = n g(\mathbf{x}). \tag{43}$$

Moreover, since Hamiltonians (2) with arbitrary elements (6) and (8) are invariant with respect to the inverse transformation (37) we can restrict ourselves to the polynomials of order $n < 3$, since symmetries with $n=3$ and $n=4$ appears to be equivalent to ones with $n = 1$ and $n = 0$ correspondingly. In other words, it is sufficient to solve the determining equations for the case

when the conformal Killing tensors are given by relations (30), (33) and (33), moreover, to do it separately for all the mentioned tensors.

Let us search for the inverse mass functions f satisfying equations (19). For the systems invariant w.r.t. the dilatation transformations these functions f satisfies one more condition

$$x_a f_a = 2f \quad (44)$$

which is obviously correct in view of (5) and (5). However this identity makes it possible to reduce (19) to the following *homogeneous* system of linear algebraic equations for derivatives f_a :

$$M^{ab} f_b = 0 \quad (45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M^{ab} &= \mu^{ab}, \\ M^{ab} &= \mu^{ab} - \lambda^a x^b - \mu^a x_b \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M^{ab} = \mu^{ab} - \lambda^{ac} x_c x_b \quad (46)$$

for Killing vectors (30), (32) and (33) correspondingly.

Let us note that for the Killing tensors (30) and (32) functions $g(\mathbf{x})$ can be expressed via f , namely:

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2f} - x_a M^{ab} f_b \quad (47)$$

and

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{f} - x_a M^{ab} f_b f \quad (48)$$

correspondingly, while for the tensors (34) we have:

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = fG(\varphi, \theta) \quad (49)$$

where $G(\varphi, \theta)$ is yet unknown function of Euler angles satisfying the equation

$$G_\varphi = \frac{1}{f^2} (x_a M^{bc} f_c - x_b M^{ac} f_c) \quad (50)$$

Equations (47) - (50) are algebraic consequences of (43), (44) and (45) obtained by multiplication on x_a and summing up with respect to the repeating index a .

Equation (45) admits nontrivial solution iff the determinant of the matrix whose entries are M^{ab} is equal to zero. It is necessary to specify the admissible combinations of arbitrary constants which correspond to the trivial determinants and then find solutions of the corresponding equations (19) and (20).

Thus the symmetry with respect to the dilatation transformations makes it possible essentially simplify the classification procedure.

6.3 PDM admitting rotations

As it is fixed in (10) and (11) the two classes of the considered system are invariant with respect to the one parametric rotation group. This property also helps to simplify the classification procedure. Namely, we can decouple the determining equations and consider separately the integrals of motion which are scalars, vectors and tensors with respect to these rotations. Moreover, for the cases of vector and tensor integrals of motion it is sufficient to specify only one out of two components of them.

The scalar integrals of motion have to commute with L_3 . Applying this restriction to the generic bilinear form (41) we can specify the following scalars:

- Bilinear combinations of S_{12} , S_{43} , S_{03} , S_{04} ;
- Linear combinations of the scalar products $S_{n1}S_{m1} + S_{n2}S_{m2}$ with $n, m = 3, 4, 0$;
- Skew symmetric products $S_{n1}S_{m2} - S_{n2}S_{m1}$

It is easy to construct also the vector and tensor combinations. The vector components are linear combinations of the products $S_{nm}S_{ka}$ with $n, m, k = 3, 4, 0$ and $a = 1, 2$. In addition, we can set $n = 1, m = 2$. The tensor components look as $S_{n1}S_{m2} + S_{n2}S_{m1}$ and $S_{n1}S_{m1} - S_{n2}S_{m2}$.

Thus the rotation invariance helps to decouple the determining equations to three subsystems and reduce their number considering only one component of the vector and tensor equations. The number of the arbitrary parameters can be additionally reduced using identities (42), but we still have a lot of them. Fortunately, we deal with the systems admitting two parametric Lie groups, and any of them generate their own reduction. In particular, the systems with the inverse masses and potentials of generic form (8) admit both the rotation and dilatation symmetries, and so we can use both the tools presented in this and previous subsections. As a result we were able to discover the systems presented in Items 1-10 of Table 1. In addition, the systems fixed in (6) admit two rotations, one of them on the plane 1-2 and the other on plane 3-4. Any of these symmetries generate its own decoupling, and the related integrals of motion are subdivided to scalar-scalar, scalar-vector, vector-scalar, vector-vector, scalar-tensor, vector-tensor, tensor-vector, tensor-scalar, tensor-vector and tensor-tensor ones, so the decoupling is very essential. Using it we find the integrals of motion presented in Table 2.

In the following subsection we discuss the tools presented by the symmetry with respect to translations along two of coordinate axis.

6.4 PDM systems invariant with respect to shifts

The last symmetry we discuss is generated by operators P_a . Since the related arbitrary elements (9) do not depend on x_1 and x_2 it is possible essentially reduce the number of admissible second order integrals of motion.

Let Q be an integral of motion (16) admitted by equation (1) with arbitrary elements (9). By definition P_a with $a = 1, 2$ are integrals of motion too, the same is true for the commutators $[P_a, Q]$, $[P_a, [P_b, Q]]$ and $[P_a, [P_b, [P_c, Q]]]$. Thus any second order symmetry induces the symmetry generated by μ_1^{ab} (refer to (32), i.e.,

$$Q = P_a(\lambda_{ab} + \delta_{ab}g(\mathbf{x}))P_b + \eta. \quad (51)$$

Moreover, there are the following qualitatively different versions of coefficients λ^{ab} in (51):

$$\lambda^{3\mu} \neq 0, \lambda^{\mu\nu} = \lambda^{33} = 0, \mu, \nu = 1, 2, \quad (52)$$

$$\lambda^{3\mu} = 0, \text{ some of coefficients } \lambda^{\mu\nu} \text{ or } \lambda^{33} \text{ are nontrivial} \quad (53)$$

which correspond to the following integrals of motion:

$$Q^{31} = P_3 P_1 + \eta^{31}, \quad Q^{32} = P_3 P_2 + \eta^{32}. \quad (54)$$

In the case (53) the related integrals of motion are trivial since the Hamiltonians considered in this section commute with them by definition.

In the case (53) we have to consider integrals of motion whose commutators with P_1 and P_2 are reduced to $Q^{\mu\nu}$ with $\mu, \nu < 3$ and Q^{33} . They are listed in the following formula:

$$Q^a = \{P_a, D\} + \eta^a, \quad \tilde{Q}^a = \{P_3, L_a\} + \tilde{\eta}^a. \quad (55)$$

Thus the superintegrable PDM systems which are invariant with respect to algebra whose basis elements are presented in (15) have to admit the integrals (54) and (55). Such systems can admit some additional symmetries whose calculation for *known* system is a rather simple problem.

6.5 Selected calculations

In the previous subsection we specify the algorithms used for solution of the determining equations and show how the a priori requested symmetries can be used for the optimization of the calculations. These algorithms make it possible essentially reduce the volume of calculations. Nevertheless, the number of inequivalent systems of the determining equations which we have to solve is still rather extended. We will not reproduce all of them but restrict ourselves to some important examples.

The most universal integral of motion looks as:

$$Q_1 = L_3^2 + P_a g P_a + \eta. \quad (56)$$

Such integrals can be admitted by all the considered systems, though for the systems admitting L_3 it is trivial.

The nonzero entries of the Killing tensor corresponding to (56) are

$$\mu^{11} = x_2^2, \quad \mu^{22} = x_1^2, \quad \mu^{12} = \mu^{21} = -x_1 x_2 \quad (57)$$

while the related determining equations (19) and (20) are reduced to the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 f_\varphi - g f_1 + f g_1 &= 0, \\ x_1 f_\varphi + g f_2 - f g_2 &= 0, \\ g f_3 - f g_3 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where $f_\varphi = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi}$ and $f_a = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_a}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 V_\varphi - g V_1 + f \eta_1 &= 0, \\ x_1 V_\varphi + g V_2 - f \eta_2 &= 0, \\ g f_3 - f \eta_3 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Let us remind that the unknowns f and g are connected by relations (49) and (50), which are reduced to the following form:

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = fG(\varphi) \quad (60)$$

where $G(\varphi)$ a function of the Euler angle. Substituting (60) into equations (58) and integrating them we obtain:

$$f = \frac{\tilde{r}^2}{\tilde{r}^2 F(x_3, \tilde{r}) - G(\varphi)}. \quad (61)$$

The next step is to substitute (61) into (59) and to solve the obtained system. As a result we obtain the following expressions for V and η :

$$V = \frac{N(\varphi) + M(\tilde{r}, x_3)}{G(\varphi) - \tilde{r}^2 F(\tilde{r}, x_3)}, \quad \eta = \frac{\tilde{r}^2 F(\tilde{r}, x_3)(N(\varphi) + M(\tilde{r}, x_3))}{G(\varphi) - \tilde{r}^2 F(\tilde{r}, x_3)} \quad (62)$$

which make it possible to reduce the integral of motion (56) to the following form

$$Q_1 = L_3^2 + (G(\varphi) \cdot H) + N(\varphi) + M(\tilde{r}, x_3). \quad (63)$$

The obtained results are valid for generic PDM system admitting the integral of motion of the form presented in (56). For the special types of such systems admitting two parametric Lie groups they are reduced to the versions represented in Items 6-10 of Table 1 and Items 5, 6 of Table 3. The corresponding potential and functions η are easily calculated by integrating equations (59) with known f .

Notice that if only the dilatation symmetry is requested, function (50) is reduced to the following form:

$$f = \frac{\tilde{r}^2}{F(\varphi) + G(\theta)}, \quad (64)$$

while the related functions V and η are [5]:

$$V = \frac{R(\theta) + N(\varphi)}{F(\varphi) + G(\theta)}, \quad \eta = -F(\varphi)V + G(\theta). \quad (65)$$

The next (and the last) example which we consider is the simplest integral of motion whose conformal Killing tensor is a constant added by a diagonal term:

$$Q = P_3^2 + P_a g P_a + \eta \quad (66)$$

The related matrix μ^{ab} has the only nonzero entry $\mu^{33} = 1$ which generate the following equations (19) and (20) :

$$g f_a = f g_a, \quad a = 1, 2, \quad (67)$$

$$(g + 1)f_3 = f g_3, \quad (68)$$

$$g V_a = f \eta_a, \quad (g + 1)V_3 = f \eta_3 \quad (69)$$

In accordance with (67) $g = -fG(x_3)$ and so the generic solution of (68) is

$$f = \frac{1}{F(x_1, x_2) + G(x_3)} \quad (70)$$

Substituting the obtained expression for f into (69) and integrating the latter system we obtain

$$V = \frac{M(x_1, x_2) + N(x_3)}{F(x_1, x_2) + G(x_3)}, \quad \eta = -G(x_3)V + N(x_3). \quad (71)$$

where c is the integration constant. The related integral of motion (66) takes the following form:

$$Q_2 = P_3^2 - (G(x_3) \cdot H) + N(x_3) \quad (72)$$

and is valid for arbitrary PDM system (1) with rather generic inverse mass and potential presented in (70), (71) since we did not ask for any Lie symmetry. Surely it is valid for some of the particular systems enumerated in (4)-(9), see Items 3, 4, and 10 of Table 1 where the integrals of motion including P_3^2 and P_1^2 are presented.

We see that the calculations requested for solution of particular sets of the determining equations are not too complicated provided one uses the tools outlined in the previous subsections.

7 Discussion

In the present paper we continue the procedure of the complete classification of superintegrable quantum mechanical systems with position dependent masses, started in [5] where the first order constants of motion were found, and [44] where the systems admitting three parametric Lie groups were classified. Now we are presenting the inequivalent PDM systems which admit second order integrals of motion and two parametric symmetry groups. The total number of such systems is equal to twenty one. One of them include arbitrary functions while the remaining ones are defined up to arbitrary parameters.

The number of the presented systems cannot be reduced if we extend the equivalence relations discussed in Section 4 by Stäckel transformations, see, e.g., [45] for exact definitions. We will not discuss this point in details but mention that the Stäckel equivalent systems can be identified by the similarity of their integrals of motion which however can have different terms ($g \cdot H$). The only simplification which can be obtained applying the Stäckel transform to the presented PDM system is a possible reduction of the number of arbitrary parameters.

All the presented systems are superintegrable, but only nine of them possess the maximal superintegrability. The advantage of our approach is that we were able to find all systems admitting second order integrals of motion including those ones which are not maximally superintegrable.

We present all inequivalent integrals of motion admitted by the systems under study. The number of linearly independent integrals of motion is more extended, but all of them can be found using the equivalence relations fixed in Section 4. Moreover, we represent just such integrals of motion which are necessary to be able to find the remaining ones using the a priori

fixed symmetries of the systems under study. In contrary, in paper [5] all linearly independent integrals of motion are represented explicitly.

It would be interesting to study the algebraic properties of the found integrals of motion. Like in the case of nondegenerate or semidegenerate classical systems [37] they generate polynomial algebras. The analysis of these algebras is one of the challenges created by the present paper.

The next natural steps are to classify such the mentioned systems which admit at least one parametric continuous symmetry group, and the systems which do not have any Lie symmetry. Some elements of such classification can be found in paper [5] where the systems admitting the dilatation symmetry were studied. However, the classification presented in [5] was restricted to the integrals of motion which, up to potential terms, belong to the standard (non extended) enveloping algebra of $c(3)$.

We plane to complete the classification of the superintegrable systems admitting dilatation and to classify the systems admitting the other one parametric groups. Notice that in accordance with the results of paper [21] there exist five inequivalent Lie groups which can be accepted by the 3d quantum mechanical systems with PDM. Moreover, the superintegrable systems admitting one of this groups are preliminary classified in [46].

The classification of the PDM systems which do not posses any Lie symmetry but admit second order integrals of motion would finish the completed description of the superintegrable PDM Schrödinger equations. As we mentioned in Introduction this problem looks to be very complicated. However, in spite of the absence of various points generated by the a priori requested symmetries there are some advantages just for the symmetry less systems since the related equivalence group is maximally extended.

Notice that in the present paper we demonstrate two examples of the superintegrable PDM systems which do not posses any Lie symmetry, see equations (60), (62), (63) and (70), (71), (72) in the above. And there is the challenge to make the complete classification of all such systems which we have accepted.

Acknowledgement I am indebted with Università del Piemonte Orientale and Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica for the extended stay as Visiting Professor.

References

- [1] C. R. Hagen, Scale and conformal transformations in Galilean-invariant conformal field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 377–388 (1972).
- [2] U. Niederer, The maximal kinematical invariance group of the free Schrödinger equations, *Helv. Phys. Acta*, **45**, 802–810 (1972).
- [3] R. L. Anderson, S. Kumei, C. E. Wulfman, Invariants of the equations of wave mechanics. I., *Rev. Mex. Fis.*, **21**, 1–33 (1972).
- [4] C. P. Boyer, The maximal kinematical invariance group for an arbitrary potential, *Helv. Phys. Acta*, **47**, 450–605 (1974).
- [5] A. G. Nikitin, Superintegrable quantum mechanical systems with position dependent masses invariant with respect to three parametric Lie groups. arXiv:2210.16936 (2022)

- [6] A. G. Nikitin, Symmetries of Schrödinger equation with scalar and vector potentials, *J. Phys. A*: 53, 455202 (2020).
- [7] A. G. Nikitin, Symmetries of the Schrödinger-Pauli equation for neutral particles, *J. Math. Phys.* 62, 083509 (2021).
- [8] A. G. Nikitin, Symmetries of the Schrödinger-Pauli equations for charged particles and quasirelativistic Schrodinger equations, *J. Phys. A*: 55, 115202 (2022).
- [9] P. Winternitz, J. Smorodinsky, M. Uhliř, and I. Friš, Symmetry groups in classical and quantum mechanics, *Yad. Fiz.* 4, 625-635 (1966) (English translation: *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 4, 444-450 (1967)).
- [10] N. Evans, Group theory of the Smorodinsky-Winternitz system, *J. Math. Phys.* **32**, 3369-3375 (1991).
- [11] N. W. Evans, Super-integrability of the Winternitz system, *Phys. Lett.* **147**, 483-486 (1990).
- [12] P. Winternitz and I. Yurdusen, Integrable and superintegrable systems with spin in three-dimensional euclidean space, *J.Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 42, 38523 (2009).
- [13] J -F Désilets, P. Winternitz and I. Yurdusen, Superintegrable systems with spin and second-order integrals of motion, *Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 45, 475201 (2012).
- [14] A. G. Nikitin, Matrix superpotentials and superintegrable systems for arbitrary spin, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 45, 225205 (2012).
- [15] A. G. Nikitin, Higher-order symmetry operators for Schrödinger equation. In CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes (AMS), 37 , pp. 137–144 (2004).
- [16] A. M. Escobar-Ruiz, R. Linares and P. Winternitz, New infinite families of Nth-order superintegrable systems separating in Cartesian coordinates, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 53 445203 (2020).
- [17] İ. Yurduşen, A. M. Escobar-Ruiz, and I. Palma y Meza Montoya, Doubly Exotic N th-Order Superintegrable Classical Systems Separating in Cartesian Coordinates. *SIGMA* 18, 039 (2022).
- [18] A. G. Nikitin, Complete set of symmetry operators of the Schrödinger equation, *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 43, 1413-1418 (1991).
- [19] von Roos O Position-dependent effective masses in semiconductor theory *Phys. Rev. B* 27, 7547–7552 (1983).
- [20] O. Rosas-Ortiz, Position-dependent mass systems: Classical and quantum pictures. In *Geometric Methods in Physics XXXVIII* (pp. 351-361). Birkhäuser, Cham (2020).
- [21] A. G. Nikitin and T. M. Zasadko, Superintegrable systems with position dependent mass, *J. Math. Phys.* 56, 042101 (2015).

- [22] A.G Nikitin and T. M. Zasadko, Group classification of Schrödinger equations with position dependent mass, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 49, 365204 (2016).
- [23] A. G. Nikitin, Kinematical invariance groups of the 3d Schrödinger equations with position dependent masses, *J. Math. Phys.* 58, 083508 (2017).
- [24] Kalnins E.G., Kress J.M., Pogosyan G.S., Miller Jr. W., Completeness of superintegrability in two-dimensional constant-curvature spaces, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 34 (2001), 4705–4720,
- [25] Kalnins E.G., Miller Jr. W., Post S., Contractions of 2D 2nd order quantum superintegrable systems and the Askey scheme for hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, *SIGMA* 9 , 057 (2013).
- [26] E. G. Kalnins, G. S. Pogosyan and W. Miller, Jr., Completeness of multiseparable superintegrability in two dimensions, *Phys. Atomic Nuclei* 65, 1033–1035 (2002).
- [27] S. Gravel, Hamiltonians separable in Cartesian coordinates and third-order integrals of motion *J. Math. Phys.* 45, 1003–1019 (2004).
- [28] S. Gravel and P. Winternitz 2002 Superintegrability with third-order integrals in quantum and classical mechanics *J. Math. Phys.* 46, 5902 (2002).
- [29] S. Post and P. Winternitz, General Nth order integrals of motion in the Euclidean plane. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 48, 405201 (2015).
- [30] J. M. Kress, Equivalence of superintegrable systems in two dimensions, *Phys. Atomic Nuclei* 70, 560–566 (2007).
- [31] J. Kress and K. Schöbel, An algebraic geometric classification of superintegrable systems in the Euclidean plane. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 223(4), 1728-1752 (2019).
- [32] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress and W. Miller Jr . Classification of superintegrable systems in three dimensions. *Bulg. J. Phys*, 33(s1), 174-189 (2006).
- [33] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress and W. Miller, Jr., Second order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. V. Two- and three-dimensional quantum systems, *J. Math. Phys.* 47 , 093501 (2006).
- [34] B. K. Berndson, E. G. Kalnins and W. Miller, Jr. Toward Classification of 2nd Order Superintegrable Systems in 3-Dimensional Conformally Flat Spaces with Functionally Linearly Dependent Symmetry Operators, *SIGMA* 16 (2020).
- [35] J. J. Capel and J. M. Kress, Invariant Classification of Second-order Conformally Flat Superintegrable Systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 47, 495202 (2014).
- [36] A. Vollmer, Stäckel Equivalence of Non-Degenerate Superintegrable Systems, and Invariant Quadrics, *SIGMA*, 17, 015 (2021).
- [37] M. A. Escobar-Ruiz and W. Miller, Toward a classification of semidegenerate 3D superintegrable systems. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 50(9), 095203, (2017).

- [38] J. F. Cariñena, M. F. Rañada and M. Santander, Superintegrability of three-dimensional Hamiltonian systems with conformally Euclidean metrics. Oscillator-related and Kepler-related systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 54, 105201 (2021).
- [39] J. F. Cariñena, M. F. Rañada and M. Santander, Superintegrability on the three-dimensional spaces with curvature. Oscillator-related and Kepler-related systems on the sphere S^3 and on the hyperbolic space H^3 , *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 54, 365201 (2021).
- [40] A. Ballesteros, A. Enciso, F. J. Herranz, O. Ragnisco and D. Riglioni, Superintegrable Oscillator and Kepler Systems on Spaces of Nonconstant Curvature via the Stäckel Transform, *SIGMA* 7, 048 (2011).
- [41] O. Ragnisco and D. Riglioni, A Family of Exactly Solvable Radial Quantum Systems on Space of Non-Constant Curvature with Accidental Degeneracy in the Spectrum, *SIGMA* 6 097 (2010).
- [42] J. Hietarinta, Pure quantum integrability *Phys. Lett. A* 246 97-104 (1998).
- [43] A. G. Nikitin, Generalized Killing tensors of arbitrary valence and order *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal* 43, 734–743 (1991).
- [44] A. G. Nikitin. Superintegrable and shape invariant systems with position dependent mass. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 48 (2015) 335201
- [45] J. Hietarinta, B. Grammaticos, B. Dorizzi, and A. Ramani, Coupling-constant metamorphosis and duality between integrable Hamiltonian systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 53, 1707–1710 (1984).
- [46] A. G. Nikitin, Superintegrable and scale invariant quantum mechanical systems with position dependent mass, arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.09046 (2022).