

NEW EXAMPLES OF 2-NONDEGENERATE REAL HYPERSURFACES IN \mathbb{C}^N WITH ARBITRARY NILPOTENT SYMBOLS

MARTIN KOLÁŘ, ILYA KOSSOVSKIY, AND DAVID SYKES

ABSTRACT. We introduce a class of uniformly 2-nondegenerate CR hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^N , for $N > 3$, having a rank 1 Levi kernel. The class is first of all remarkable by the fact that for every $N > 3$ it forms an *explicit* infinite-dimensional family of everywhere 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first such construction. Besides, the class an infinite-dimensional family of non-equivalent structures having a given constant nilpotent CR symbol for every such symbol. Using methods that are able to handle all cases with $N > 5$ simultaneously, we solve the equivalence problem for the considered structures whose symbol is represented by a single Jordan block, classify their algebras of infinitesimal symmetries, and classify the locally homogeneous structures among them. We show that the remaining considered structures, which have symbols represented by a direct sum of Jordan blocks, can be constructed from the single block structures through simple linking and extension processes.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1	6
4. Linking and extending defining equations	7
5. 2-nondegeneracy and formula (1).....	10
6. Left-invariant structures	14
7. Classification of the infinitesimal automorphism algebras.....	19
8. Holomorphic classification	26
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

The main goal of this paper is further understanding the geometry of an intriguing and not yet well understood class of real submanifolds in complex space \mathbb{C}^N called 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces. The latter have been a subject of intensive research over recent decades, since [11, 12] and the inception of the l -nondegeneracy notion in [2]. We refer to e.g. [4, 5, 7, 8, 13, 14, 21, 23] (see [22] for the published version of [23]), and the introduction in [15] for a historic outline in the lowest dimension $N = 3$ where 2-nondegeneracy occurs, and emphasize that in higher dimensions $N > 3$ the study of 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces exhibits considerable further difficulties (compared to the $N = 3$ case). Principally, in higher dimensions the structures have nontrivial CR symbols, a basic local invariant. We refer to [24] where these symbols were introduced for some recent advances here, and mention that a natural question which remains unsolved within these developments is a description of the moduli space of the 2-nondegenerate structures

2022 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32V05, 32V40, 53C30.

Key words and phrases. 2-nondegenerate CR structures, real hypersurfaces, homogeneous manifolds.

The first and the second author were supported by the GACR grant GC22-15012J, the third author was supported by Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P34369.

whose CR symbol is constant. In particular, little is known about the extent to which constancy of the CR symbol imposes rigidity phenomena, that is, whether for a given symbol the constant symbol structures are locally unique, exiguous, or existing in rich variety. Addressing the latter question for a large class of symbols is one of the main outcomes of the current paper.

We introduce new examples (Theorems 1.1 and 4.4) of holomorphically nondegenerate hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} for $n > 2$ whose Levi form has a 1-dimensional kernel at every point and that have arbitrary constant nilpotent CR symbols (defined in Remark 2.1), supplying a vast set (parameterized by orbits of a Lie group acting on an infinite-dimensional vector space) of examples for each possible symbol. In particular, we obtain for every $n > 2$ an *explicit* infinite-dimensional family of everywhere 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . To the best of our knowledge, this is the first such construction (where the everywhere 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces are described explicitly, without appealing to the space of solutions of the Levi equation). Notably, each class under discussion is a family of perturbations (by higher order terms) of the same polynomial model (31). Using methods that handle all cases with $n > 4$ simultaneously, we solve the equivalence problem for the considered structures whose symbol is represented by a single Jordan block (Theorem 1.2), classify their algebras of infinitesimal symmetries (Theorem 7.4), and classify the locally homogeneous structures among them (Theorem 1.4).

A surprising dearth of non-homogeneous constant symbol CR structure examples (of the type considered in [24, 27]) was this work's initial motivation. Indeed, although there are general arguments ensuring existence of a rich variety of such structures – e.g., such variety becomes apparent when contrasting the main results of [8] and [15] – relatively few hypersurface realizations of such structures have been described in a closed form before.

We will now outline the paper's structure while summarizing its main results. For each $n > 2$, there are archetypal hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} from which we will construct this paper's examples. They have the general form of CR tubes

$$(1) \quad x_0 = \sum_{0 < j < j+k \leq n} S_{j,k} x_j x_k x_n^{n-j-k},$$

where $S_{j,k}$ are scalar components of some symmetric matrix S , and where here and throughout the sequel we let $z = (z_0, \dots, z_n)$ denote standard complex coordinates of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} with real and imaginary parts given by

$$z_j = x_j + iy_j.$$

We will also later use the notation $z_j = \Re(z_j) + i\Im(z_j)$. Occasionally we will switch between the alternative labels of

$$(2) \quad w = u + iv = z_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = s + it = z_n,$$

to emphasize the distinguished geometric significance of these variables w and ζ , namely, that the lines and planes parameterized by v and ζ will be respectively transversal to the considered hypersurface and tangent to its Levi kernel at the origin.

Formula (1) does not, however, define a Levi degenerate hypersurface for generic S , so we seek values of S that make (1) both Levi degenerate and holomorphically nondegenerate, which for the hypersurfaces of the form in (1) is equivalent to 2-nondegeneracy. The general solution for such S is obtained in Section 5 (Theorem 5.3), but first let us consider one strikingly simple solution, given by taking $S_{j,k} = 1$ for all (j, k) .

Theorem 1.1. *For every integer $n > 2$ and twice differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the hypersurface M_f in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} defined by*

$$(3) \quad x_0 = f(x_1) + \sum_{0 < j < j+k \leq n} x_j x_k x_n^{n-j-k}$$

is uniformly 2-nondegenerate, has a 1-dimensional Levi kernel, and at every point its Levi kernel adjoint operators (local invariants defined in (7) below) are spanned by a nilpotent operator with a 1-dimensional eigenspace.

This theorem is proved in Section 3, following necessary preliminaries introduced in Section 2. In Section 4, we established a useful generalization of Theorem 1.1, namely Theorem 4.4, formulated in terms of two constructions of hypersurfaces that we introduce and term *linkings* and *extensions*. In Section 5 we classify, up to holomorphic changes of variables, all solutions for S such that (1) defines a uniformly 2-nondegenerate hypersurface with a rank 1 Levi kernel. We show, in particular, that all such solutions yield hypersurface structures equivalent to ones described by Theorem 4.4. The aforementioned solution with $S_{j,k} = 1$ for all (j, k) turns out to be the most fundamental solution among these, however, because any of the other solutions can be constructed from lower-dimensional hypersurfaces of the form in (3) through a combination of the linking and extension constructions introduced in Section 4.

Consequently, we refer to the hypersurface in (1) with $S_{j,k} = 1$ for all (j, k) as the *indecomposable model* in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . The indecomposable model's CR structure is equivalent to a left-invariant structure on a nilpotent Lie group. We show this in Section 6 and describe their symmetry algebras in Theorem 6.4 and Remark 6.5. The lowest dimension in which these indecomposable models are defined is \mathbb{C}^4 , and the model in \mathbb{C}^4 has been studied in several contexts, including [3, Section 6], [18, Section 5, Example 1 with $n = 3$], [10, Theorem 2], [24, formula (1.7) with $n = 3$], and [25, column 4 in Table 11]. Although they are in many respects natural generalizations of the well known model in \mathbb{C}^4 , to the authors' knowledge, the higher-dimensional indecomposable models have not been studied before.

From now on we consider the C^∞ smooth CR structures described by Theorem 1.1. The next result obtained is the following classification of these structures. We present its proof in Section 8.

Theorem 1.2. *For all $n > 4$, the hypersurfaces M_f and M_{f^*} in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} corresponding to two smooth functions f and f^* with $f(0) = f^*(0) = 0$ are equivalent as smooth CR structures at the origin if and only if the fourth order derivatives satisfy*

$$f^{(4)}(x) = c_1(f^*)^{(4)}(c_2x)$$

for some constants c_1, c_2 and all x sufficiently near the origin.

We shall note at this point that since the Levi form of each hypersurface (3) has two eigenvalues of different sign, any smooth CR-diffeomorphisms of such hypersurfaces are actually biholomorphisms (see, e.g., [1]) and hence all notions of CR equivalence simply coincide in our case.

Corollary 1.3. *For all $n > 4$, the hypersurfaces M_f and M_{f^*} in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} corresponding to two smooth functions f and f^* are equivalent near respective points $(z'_0, \dots, z'_n) \in M_f$ and $(z''_0, \dots, z''_n) \in M_{f^*}$ if and only if the fourth derivatives satisfy*

$$f^{(4)}(x) = c_1(f^*)^{(4)}(c_2x'' + x'_1)$$

for some constants c_1, c_2 and all x sufficiently near x''_1 .

This shows, in particular, that the moduli space of structures described in Theorem 1.1 (and its generalization Theorem 4.4) is infinite dimensional. Since (3) accordingly describes a rich class of structures, it is natural to ask which among them are homogeneous. As concluding results, we derive in Section 7 descriptions of the infinitesimal symmetry algebras of M_f at arbitrary points for arbitrary smooth f (Theorem 7.4). As an immediate corollary of Theorem 7.4, we obtain the following classification of the homogeneous structures described in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.4. *The hypersurface M_f in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} with $n > 4$ described by (3) is homogeneous near the origin $z = 0$ if and only if*

$$(4) \quad f(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad yy'' = c(y')^2 \quad \text{with } y = f^{(4)}(x) \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Up to the equivalence relation given in Theorem 1.2, f satisfies the ODE (4) if and only if it has one of the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Type I:} & \quad f(x) = (x+1)^a - ax - 1 \quad \text{for some } a \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{Type II:} & \quad f(x) = e^x - x - 1, \\ \text{Type III:} & \quad f(x) = \ln(x+1) - x, \\ \text{Type IV:} & \quad f(x) = (x+1)\ln(x+1) - x, \\ \text{Type V:} & \quad f(x) = 2(x+1)^2 \ln(x+1) - (x+1)^2 + 1, \text{ or} \\ \text{Type VI:} & \quad f(x) = 6(x+1)^3 \ln(x+1) - 2(x+1)^3 + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Different choices of f in this list correspond to different non-equivalent structures, with the one exception that $f(x) = (x+1)^a - ax - 1$ corresponds to an equivalent structure for each a in $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Theorem 4 is a generalization of [27, Theorem 10.6], which covers the special case in \mathbb{C}^6 (i.e., corresponding to $n = 5$).

It is notable that the defining equations obtained in Theorem 1.4 are real-analytic, corresponding to the well known result from Lie theory that locally homogeneous CR hypersurfaces are real-analytic (as noted in [28]). The ODE in (4) is particularly well studied for $c = \frac{3}{2}$ as this is simply the condition that the Schwarzian derivative of f''' is zero. One solution to (4) with $c = \frac{3}{2}$ is the Type V formula of Theorem 1.4.

Section 7 gives a complete classification of the infinitesimal automorphism algebras of hypersurfaces M_f . We give here an abbreviated non-technical formulation of this result, while a detailed classification can be found in Theorem 7.4.

Theorem 1.5. *The dimensions of the infinitesimal automorphism algebras of hypersurfaces M_f in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} with $n > 4$ given by (3) satisfy*

$$2n + 1 \leq \dim \mathfrak{hol}(M_f, 0) \leq 2n + 4,$$

and all the dimensions between these bounds are realized by actual hypersurfaces. For each of the dimensions a complete list of hypersurfaces M_f realizing it is described in Theorem 7.4 below.

Observe that the bounds in Theorem 1.5 improve the general bounds known up to now (see, e.g., [19]) for our particular class of hypersurfaces.

In this paper we do not address the local equivalence problem for the homogeneous structure classifications for the exceptional cases of $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, as they require a significant detour through branching analysis that distracts from this paper's main aim, the simultaneous treatment for all higher-dimensional cases, and these exceptional cases can anyway be treated via methods of [24, 27] (see Remark 1.6).

Remark 1.6. *In [27, Section 10], a method developed therein is applied to fully solve the local equivalence problem for hypersurfaces of Theorem 1.1 in \mathbb{C}^6 (i.e., with $n = 5$) and classify the homogeneous structures, deriving special cases of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4. In fact, such analysis is where the formulas of Theorem 1.4 originated, as it is shown in [27] that (4) is indeed a criterion for homogeneity in \mathbb{C}^6 . The alternative analysis here, contrastingly, yields a different ODE criterion, but with exactly the same solution set. The method of [27] can be applied to solve the equivalence problem and classify the homogeneous structures in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} of type (3) for any fixed dimension $n > 3$, but it cannot straightforwardly address this problem for arbitrary n . Similarly, the bi-graded prolongation construction of [24] can be applied to these problems for $n = 3$ (and $n = 4$). All cases with $n > 4$ are simultaneously treated in the sequel.*

As a concluding note, defining equations of Theorems 1.1 and 4.4 are but early examples of large classes of constant CR symbol 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces. We expect that many more such examples exist, although deriving their closed form descriptions will be a challenge as they arise from solutions to rather complicated overdetermined PDE systems. For $k > 2$, there are examples of homogeneous structures (e.g., [6, 8, 9, 16, 18, 20]) and the recent study [17] of non-homogeneous 3-nondegenerate structures in \mathbb{C}^4 . Given the early state of this $k > 2$ frontier, the analogous open problems of finding closed form defining equations are interesting for higher orders of k -nondegeneracy.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall some preliminary definitions, formulated for hypersurfaces of the form

$$M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid z_0 + \bar{z}_0 = \Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)\}$$

for some smooth real-valued function Φ depending only on the real parts x_j of the variables z_j . Consider the complex vector fields X_j taking values in the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ given by

$$(5) \quad X_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

The tangent bundle of M has the form

$$TM = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}, X_1 + \bar{X}_1, \dots, X_n + \bar{X}_n, iX_1 - i\bar{X}_1, \dots, iX_n - i\bar{X}_n \right\},$$

and the complex tangent bundle of the CR structure on M is

$$H := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}.$$

Since Φ is real valued and depending only on the x_j variables

$$[X_j, \bar{X}_k] = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_0} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} \right) = \frac{i}{4} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} \quad \forall j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

and hence the Levi form \mathcal{L} of M is represented with respect to the respective bases (X_1, \dots, X_n) and $\frac{i}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}$ by the Hermitian (and even real symmetric, due to the hypersurface's tubularity) matrix

$$(6) \quad H_{\mathcal{L}} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_1^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_n^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose furthermore that $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ has rank $n-1$ at every point, and let K denote its kernel, that is, K is the complex line bundle on M whose fiber K_q at a point $q \in M$ is defined by

$$K_q := \{v \in H_q \mid \mathcal{L}(v, w) = 0 \quad \forall w \in H_q\}.$$

Following its description in [24], for any $q \in M$ and vector $v \in K_q$, we define the associated *Levi-kernel adjoint operator* $\text{ad}_v : H_q/K_q \rightarrow H_q/K_q$ by taking any vector field $V \in \Gamma(K)$ with $V_q = v$ and define

$$(7) \quad \text{ad}_v(X_q + K_q) := [V, \bar{X}]_q \pmod{\bar{H} \oplus K} \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(H),$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes sections of a fiber bundle. It is an exercise (analogous to deriving the standard Lie bracket definition of the Levi form) to show that (7) depends only on the value of X at q . The set $\{\text{ad}_v \mid v \in K_q\}$ is a local invariant of the CR structure at $q \in M$.

Remark 2.1 (Terminology related to the ad_v operators). *The CR symbol of M at q is a local invariant defined in [24] in terms of the Levi form at q and the set $\{\text{ad}_v \mid v \in K_q\}$. Matching the terminology of [24], we say that the CR symbol is nilpotent if each ad_v is nilpotent.*

Structures whose Levi form's kernel is a regular distribution are 2-nondegenerate if and only if the mapping $v \mapsto \text{ad}_v$ is injective. While this suffices as a definition in our present specialized setting, we refer to [1] for the broader definitions of 2-nondegeneracy and more generally k -nondegeneracy.

We will describe now the Levi kernel adjoint operators in terms of Φ . For this suppose that X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} are all not in the Levi kernel at every point, which can be achieved at least locally without loss of generality by permuting the coordinates because we are also assuming that \mathcal{L} has rank $n - 1$. Let v be a nonzero M -dependent column vector whose scalar components are functions of (x_1, \dots, x_n) such that $H_{\mathcal{L}}v = v^T H_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ for every point in M . Accordingly,

$$V := \sum_{j=1}^n v_j X_j$$

is a vector field spanning the Levi kernel at every point in M . For each $q \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_{V_q}(X_k) &= \sum_{j=1}^n v_j [X_j, \overline{X}_k] - \overline{X}_k(v_j)X_j = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{j,k} - \overline{X}_k(v_j)X_j = - \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{X}_k(v_j)X_j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_k} X_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\text{mod } \overline{H} \oplus K)$ has been omitted. Therefore, the antilinear operator ad_{V_q} is represented by the matrix

$$(8) \quad A := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial v_{n-1}}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial v_{n-1}}{\partial x_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) , where v_1, \dots, v_n are functions of (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfying

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{j,k} = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

which are uniquely determined up to a (point-dependent) rescaling of v .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Define the real valued function Φ in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$(9) \quad \Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n, \overline{z}_1, \dots, \overline{z}_n) := f(x_1) + \sum_{0 < j < j+k \leq n} x_j x_k x_n^{n-j-k},$$

and

$$M := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n, \overline{z}_1, \dots, \overline{z}_n) = z_0 + \overline{z}_0\},$$

so that $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is equivalent to the hypersurface defined by (3) via the biholomorphism $z \mapsto (\frac{z_0}{2}, z_1, \dots, z_n)$.

Noting (6) and (9), we get

$$(10) \quad H_{\mathcal{L}} = 2 \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} x_n^{n-2} + f''(x_1) & x_n^{n-3} & \cdots & x_n^2 & x_n & 1 & \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} j x_{n-1-j} x_n^{j-1} & \\ x_n^{n-3} & & \cdots & x_n & 1 & 0 & \vdots & \\ \vdots & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \\ x_n^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 j x_{3-j} x_n^{j-1} & \\ x_n & \cdots & 0 & & 0 & \vdots & \sum_{j=1}^1 j x_{2-j} x_n^{j-1} & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n,1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \binom{j+1}{2} \left(\sum_{r+s=n-1-j} x_r x_s x_n^{j-1} \right) & & \end{array} \right),$$

and using this explicit formula for $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ one can easily calculate that $H_{\mathcal{L}}v = v^T H_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ for the column vector

$$(11) \quad v := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \cdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^1 x_j x_n^{1-j} \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 x_j x_n^{2-j} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} x_j x_n^{n-2-j} \\ \cdots \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the vector field

$$V := \sum_{j=1}^n v_j X_j$$

is in the Levi kernel of M . Furthermore, V spans the Levi kernel of M at every point because $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ has a 1-dimensional kernel everywhere, which can be seen by noting that the upper left $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ block in (10) is nondegenerate.

By (8), the Levi kernel adjoint operator ad_V is represented by the matrix

$$(12) \quad A = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} x_n^{j-1} T_{n-1}^j$$

with respect to (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) , where here T_k denotes the $k \times k$ Toeplitz matrix whose (r, s) entry is given by

$$(13) \quad (T_k)_{r,s} := \delta_{r-s,1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r - s = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } r - s \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Noting Remark 2.1, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 because we have shown that (3) has a rank 1 Levi kernel and that its Levi kernel adjoint operators are, up to rescaling, represented by the matrix (12) with respect to (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) , which is indeed a nilpotent matrix having a 1-dimensional eigenspace.

4. LINKING AND EXTENDING DEFINING EQUATIONS

We have seen that (3) defines a uniformly 2-nondegenerate hypersurface with Levi kernel adjoint operators that admit matrix representations by single nilpotent Jordan blocks. To obtain structures with arbitrary nilpotent Levi kernel adjoint operators, we introduce now processes of *linking* and *extending* defining equations. These processes will be applied to the above tubular hypersurfaces, but they can just as easily be defined in greater generality, which is how we present their definition.

Definition 4.1. A 2-dimensional extension M' of a hypersurface M defined by

$$M := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \Re(z_0) = f(z_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)\}$$

for some real-valued function f , is a hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} defined by

$$M' = \left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \mid \Re(z_0) = f(z_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n) + \epsilon (\Re z_{n+1})^2\right\}$$

for some $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. Similarly $f + \epsilon (\Re z_{n+1})^2$ will be called an extension of the defining form f .

Definition 4.2. Let M_1 and M_2 be two 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces $M_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^{n_1+1}$ and $M_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^{n_2+1}$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, defined by

$$M_1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1+1} \mid \Re(z_0) = \Phi_1(z_1, \dots, z_{n_1}, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_{n_1})\}$$

and

$$M_2 := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n_2+1} \mid \Re(z_0) = \Phi_2(z_1, \dots, z_{n_2}, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_{n_2})\}$$

for some defining functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 such that the vector fields $(X_1)_1, \dots, (X_1)_{n_1-1}$ and $(X_2)_1, \dots, (X_2)_{n_2-1}$ are not in their respective hypersurface's Levi kernel, where these vector fields are defined by (5) while setting $\Phi = \Phi_j$ and $X_k = (X_j)_k$ for each $j = 1, 2$. The link Φ of the defining functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 is the function $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_1-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_2-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z_1, \dots, z_{n_1+n_2-2}, \xi, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_{n_1+n_2-2}, \bar{\xi}) &:= \Phi_1(z_1, \dots, z_{n_1-1}, \xi, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_{n_1-1}, \bar{\xi}) \\ &\quad + \Phi_2(z_{n_1}, \dots, z_{n_1+n_2-2}, \xi, \bar{z}_{n_1}, \dots, \bar{z}_{n_1+n_2-2}, \bar{\xi}) \end{aligned}$$

The hypersurface $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1+n_2} \mid \Re(z_0) = \Phi(z_1, \dots, z_{n_1+n_2-1}, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_{n_1+n_2-1})\}$ will be called a link of M_1 and M_2 .

Lemma 4.3. For Φ_1 , Φ_2 and Φ as in Definition 4.2, the link Φ of Φ_1 and Φ_2 defines a uniformly 2-nondegenerate structure.

Proof. For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, let H_j (respectively H) denote the matrix representing the Levi form of $M_j := \{\Re(z_0) = \Phi_j\}$ (respectively $M := \{\Re(z_0) = \Phi\}$) given by (6). Let us partition H_1 and H_2 into a block decompositions with components labeled A_j , B_j , and C_j such that

$$H_j = \begin{pmatrix} A_j & B_j \\ B_j^* & C_j \end{pmatrix}$$

and each C_j is a 1×1 matrix. Accordingly,

$$(14) \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & B_1 \\ 0 & A_2 & B_2 \\ B_1^* & B_2^* & C_1 + C_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let v_j be the nonzero vector of the form

$$(15) \quad v_j = \begin{pmatrix} v'_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some length $n_j - 1$ vector v'_j , and $H_j v_j = 0$, that is,

$$(16) \quad A_j v'_j + B_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_j^* v'_j + C_j = 0$$

Indeed such v_j exist because the properties of Φ_j given in Definition 4.2 imply that the kernel of each H_j is nonempty and transversal to $\{(z_1, \dots, z_{n_j}) \mid z_{n_j} = 0\}$. In particular,

each A_j is nonsingular, so the matrix in (14) has at most a 1-dimensional kernel. By (15) and (16) the vector

$$(17) \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} v'_1 \\ v'_2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is in the right kernel of H (i.e., $Hv = 0$). A matrix representing one of the Levi kernel adjoint operators of M (respectively M_j) is given by (8) with v as in (17) (respectively (15)), from which it is clearly seen that the matrix is a direct sum of matrices representing nonzero Levi kernel adjoint operators of M_1 and M_2 . Hence $M = \{\Re(z_0) = \Phi\}$ is uniformly 2-nondegenerate with a rank 1 Levi kernel. \square

We will describe now in more detail structures arising from linking and extending the defining equations in (3).

To state the following theorem, we introduce notation, for a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and integer $n > 0$, labeling as $P_{f,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the function of $n+1$ real variables $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \Re(\xi))$ given by

$$(18) \quad P_{f,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := f(x_1) + \sum_{j+k \leq n+1} x_j x_k (\Re \xi)^{n+1-j-k},$$

where $0 < j, 0 < k$. We also index, for a sequence of positive integers n_1, \dots, n_μ , the complex coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_\mu}$ by

$$(z_0, \xi, z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{1,n_1}, \dots, z_{\mu,1}, \dots, z_{\mu,n_\mu}).$$

Theorem 4.4. *For any nilpotent matrix A , by linking and extending defining equations of the type in (3), one can obtain defining equations of uniformly 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces with rank 1 Levi kernel having Levi kernel adjoint operators represented by A at every point. In particular for any twice differentiable functions f_1, \dots, f_μ and positive integers n_1, \dots, n_μ , the hypersurface*

$$M := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_\mu} \mid \Re(z_0) = \Phi\}$$

defined with

$$\Phi(z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{\mu,n_\mu}, \xi, \overline{z_{1,1}}, \dots, \overline{z_{\mu,n_\mu}}, \overline{\xi}) := \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} P_{f_j, n_j}(\Re z_{j,1}, \dots, \Re z_{j,n_j})$$

has Levi kernel adjoint operators at every point represented by the nilpotent matrix

$$(19) \quad A = T_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{n_\mu}$$

where P_{f_j, n_j} are defined in (18) and T_k are the nilpotent matrices defined in (13).

We omit a detailed proof of Theorem 4.4 because it is essentially outlined in the proof of Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 1.1 detailed already above. The only meaningful difference between this and Theorem 1.1 is that instead of having (10), the upper left $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ block of $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ in (10) should be replaced with a block diagonal matrix having μ blocks on the diagonal of sizes $n_1 \times n_1, \dots, n_\mu \times n_\mu$ (e.g., as in (14) for the case $\mu = 2$), of which each has the same general form as the upper left $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ in (10). And, similarly, the last column and last row of $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ change from the formula in (10) as follows: one replaces the upper right $(n-1) \times 1$ block (respectively, lower left $1 \times (n-1)$ block) with μ blocks of sizes $n_1 \times 1, \dots, n_\mu \times 1$ (respectively, $1 \times n_1, \dots, 1 \times n_\mu$), whose exact formulas are straightforward to calculate. Noting that (11) defines a vector in the kernel of the matrix in (10), and noting the similarities between this new $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ corresponding to Theorem 4.4 and the matrix in (10), one can easily deduce the general form of a field of vectors v in the kernel of this new $H_{\mathcal{L}}$. Lastly, applying (8) to any such nonzero v , one finds directly that

A is a direct sum of nilpotent Jordan blocks with the appropriate sizes, implying that the Levi kernel adjoint operators can each be represented by (19) with respect to some basis.

5. 2-NONDEGENERACY AND FORMULA (1)

In this section we derive Theorem (5.3) classifying, up to local equivalence, all uniformly 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces with rank 1 Levi kernels defined by (1).

Lemma 5.1. *Every smooth hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ of the type described in Theorem 1.1 given by (3) is equivalent via a holomorphic change of coordinates to a hypersurface of the form given by (3) with $f(0) = f'(0) = f''(0) = f^{(3)}(0) = 0$ and $f^{(4)}(0) \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Proof. Let

$$F(z) = f(x_1) + \sum_{0 < j < j+k \leq n} x_j x_k x_n^{n-j-k}.$$

Firstly,

$$(20) \quad z \mapsto \left(z_0 + f(0) - \frac{f^{(3)}(0)}{12} z_1^3, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} - \frac{4f'(0) + 2f''(0)z_1 + f^{(3)}(0)z_1^2}{8}, z_n \right)$$

transforms $x_0 = F$ into $x_0 = F - f(0) - f'(0)x_1 - \frac{f''(0)}{2}x_1^2 - \frac{f^{(3)}(0)}{6}x_1^3$, so indeed we can achieve $f(0) = f'(0) = f''(0) = f^{(3)}(0) = 0$.

Now to normalize $f^{(4)}(0)$, consider the weight system

$$w(x_0) = 5, \quad w(x_n) = \frac{3}{n-2}, \quad \text{and} \quad w(x_j) := \frac{n-5+3j}{n-2} \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\},$$

assigning weights to the variables x_0, \dots, x_n . If $f^{(4)}(0) \neq 0$, then the corresponding weighted dilation

$$x \mapsto \left((f^{(4)}(0))^{w(x_0)} x_0, (f^{(4)}(0))^{w(x_1)} x_1, \dots, (f^{(4)}(0))^{w(x_n)} x_n \right),$$

transforms $x_0 = F$ into

$$x_0 = f \left(f^{(4)}(0)x_1 \right) \left(f^{(4)}(0) \right)^{-5} + \sum_{0 < j < j+k \leq n} x_j x_k x_n^{n-j-k},$$

normalizing $f^{(4)}(0)$ because

$$\left. \frac{d^4}{dx_1^4} \left(f \left(f^{(4)}(0)x_1 \right) \right) \left(f^{(4)}(0) \right)^{-5} \right|_{x_1=0} = 1.$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *If $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is the hypersurface defined by (1) with*

$$(21) \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & S_{n_\mu} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & S_{n_{\mu-1}} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & S_{n_2} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ S_{n_1} & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some positive integers n_1, \dots, n_μ satisfying $n_1 + \dots + n_\mu = n - 1$ and $n_j = n_{\mu+1-j}$ for all j , where S_l denotes the $l \times l$ matrix whose (j, k) entry is

$$(S_l)_{j,k} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j+k \leq l+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then either

- $\mu = 1$ and M is the 2-nondegenerate hypersurface defined by a special case of the general form (3), or
- $\mu > 1$ and M is the 2-nondegenerate hypersurface defined by a combination of links and extensions of lower-dimensional defining functions also of the form in (3), as defined in Section 4.

Proof. Clearly if $\mu = 1$ then M is of the form in (3), so we will suppose that $\mu > 1$.

We are going to define now a second hypersurface defined by a combination of linking and extending defining equations and prove that it is equivalent to the hypersurface M defined by (1) with (21). For each $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Q_{j;k}$ denote the $j \times j$ matrix whose (r, s) entry is

$$(Q_{j;k})_{r,s} = \delta_{r+s,j+2-k}.$$

Define the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-2} by

$$Q_j := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & Q_{n_\mu;j} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & Q_{n_{\mu-1};j} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & Q_{n_2;j} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ Q_{n_1;j} & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that

$$(22) \quad F(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) Q_j \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \right] x_n^{j-1}$$

is exactly the right side of (1) with S as in (21), so M is defined by $x_0 = F(z)$.

For $j \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$ define $F_j : \mathbb{C}^{n_j+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F_j(z_1, \dots, z_{n_j}, \xi) := \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} \left[(x_1, \dots, x_{n_j}) Q_{n_j;k} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n_j} \end{pmatrix} \right] (\Re \xi)^{j-1}.$$

Relabeling the coordinates (z_0, \dots, z_n) as

$$(z_0, \dots, z_n) = (z_0, z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{1,n_1}, \dots, z_{\mu,1}, \dots, z_{\mu,n_\mu}, z_n),$$

define $\widehat{F} : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(23) \quad \widehat{F}(z) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \mu/2 \rceil} F_j(x_{j,1}, \dots, x_{j,n_j}, x_n) \right) - \sum_{j=\lceil \mu/2 \rceil+1}^{\mu} F_j(x_{j,1}, \dots, x_{j,n_j}, x_n).$$

The function \widehat{F} is a combination of links and extensions of defining functions of the form described in Theorem 4.4, and hence $x_0 = \widehat{F}$ defines a uniformly 2-nondegenerate hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Notice that coefficients of powers of x_n in \widehat{F} are all quadratic functions of (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) , and hence there exist symmetric matrices $\widehat{Q}_1, \dots, \widehat{Q}_{n-1}$ such that

$$(24) \quad \widehat{F}(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \widehat{Q}_j \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \right] x_n^{j-1},$$

where indeed we only need to consider $n - 1$ such \widehat{Q}_j matrices because the degree of \widehat{F} with respect to x_n is at most $n - 2$. Comparing (23) and (24) yields

$$\widehat{Q}_j := \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n_1;j} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & Q_{n_{\lceil \mu/2 \rceil};j} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -Q_{n_{\lceil \mu/2 \rceil}+1;j} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -Q_{n_\mu;j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix X given by

$$X := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}(n_1) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \text{Id}(n_1) \\ 0 & \ddots & & & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \text{Id}(n_{\mu/2}) & \text{Id}(n_{\mu/2}) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \text{Id}(n_{\mu/2}) & -\text{Id}(n_{\mu/2}) & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & & & \ddots & 0 \\ \text{Id}(n_1) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -\text{Id}(n_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

or, depending on the parity of μ ,

$$X := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}(n_1) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \text{Id}(n_1) \\ 0 & \ddots & & & & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \text{Id}(n_{(\mu-1)/2}) & 0 & \text{Id}(n_{(\mu-1)/2}) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & 0 & \sqrt{2}\text{Id}(n_{(\mu+1)/2}) & 0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \text{Id}(n_{(\mu-1)/2}) & 0 & -\text{Id}(n_{(\mu-1)/2}) & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & & & & \ddots & 0 \\ \text{Id}(n_1) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -\text{Id}(n_1) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\text{Id}(k)$ denotes the $k \times k$ identity matrix, satisfies

$$Q_j = X^T \widehat{Q}_j X \quad \forall j.$$

Hence, by (22) and (24), if

$$\Psi(z_0, \dots, z_n) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\widehat{F}(\Psi(z)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) X^T \widehat{Q}_j X \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \right] x_n^{j-1} = F(z).$$

Therefore F and \widehat{F} define equivalent hypersurfaces, having a 2-nondegenerate structure by Theorem 4.4. \square

Lemma 5.2 actually describes all uniformly 2-nondegenerate structures with a rank 1 Levi kernel given by (1), which is the content of the next Theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *A hypersurface defined by (1) is uniformly 2-nondegenerate with a rank 1 Levi kernel if and only if it is equivalent to a hypersurface defined by (1) with S having the form (21). In particular, (1) describes, up to local equivalence, at most $2^p - 1$ uniformly 2-nondegenerate hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} having rank 1 Levi kernels, where $p = \lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let S be fixed such that (1) defines a uniformly 2-nondegenerate hypersurface with a rank 1 Levi kernel. The terms in the set

$$(25) \quad \{S_{j,k}x_jx_k \mid n-1 \leq j+k \leq n, j \leq k\}$$

are normalized such that the coefficients $S_{j,k}$ belong to $\{0, 1\}$ under the holomorphic change of variables $z \mapsto (c_0z_0, \dots, c_{n-1}z_{n-1}, z_n)$ where the constant coefficients $\{c_j\}$ are defined recursively as follows. Define $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n-1\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ to be the permutation given by

$$\sigma(j) := \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - (-1)^{n+j} \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Notice that the set in (25) has the convenient ordering

$$(S_{\sigma(1),\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(1)}, S_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2)}x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, S_{\sigma(n-2),\sigma(n-1)}x_{\sigma(n-2)}x_{\sigma(n-1)}).$$

Set

$$c_0 := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } S_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{\sigma(1)} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} = 0 \\ \left| S_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } S_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and, after defining $c_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, c_{\sigma(j-1)}$ for some $j \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$, define

$$c_{\sigma(j)} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_{\sigma(j-1),\sigma(j)} = 0 \\ \frac{1}{c_{\sigma(j-1)}S_{\sigma(j-1),\sigma(j)}} & \text{if } S_{\sigma(j-1),\sigma(j)} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that this choice of c_0 normalizes the $S_{\sigma(1),\sigma(1)}$ coefficient making it non-negative, and indeed the subsequent choices of c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} ensure

$$(26) \quad S_{j,k} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall n-1 \leq j+k \leq n.$$

Furthermore,

$$(27) \quad S_{j,k} = 1 \quad \forall j+k = n$$

because we are assuming that the Levi kernel is 1-dimensional everywhere, and having $S_{j,k} = 0$ for some $j+k = n$ would result in a higher-dimensional kernel at the point $z = 0$.

By (6) with

$$\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n, \overline{z_1}, \dots, \overline{z_n}) := \sum_{0 < j < j+k \leq n} S_{j,k}x_jx_kx_n^{n-j-k},$$

the hypersurface $M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \Re(z_0) = \Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)\}$ has Levi form represented by the matrix $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_{1,1}x_n^{n-2} & S_{1,2}x_n^{n-3} & \cdots & S_{1,n-2}x_n & S_{1,n-1} & \vdots & \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} jS_{n-1-j,1}x_{n-1-j}x_n^{j-1} \\ S_{2,1}x_n^{n-3} & & \ddots & S_{2,n-2} & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 jS_{3-j,n-3}x_{3-j}x_n^{j-1} \\ S_{n-2,1}x_n & S_{n-2,2} & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & \sum_{j=1}^1 jS_{2-j,n-2}x_{2-j}x_n^{j-1} \\ S_{n-1,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ \hline (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n,1} & \cdots & \cdots & (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n,n-1} & 0 & \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \binom{j+1}{2} \left(\sum_{r+s=n-1-j} S_{r,s}x_r x_s x_n^{j-1} \right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying (27) to simplify $H_{\mathcal{L}}$, its determinant simplifies to

$$|H_{\mathcal{L}}| = (-1)^n (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n,n} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+j+1} (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n-j,n} (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n,j},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(28) \quad (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n,n} = \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} (-1)^j (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n-j,n} (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n,j}$$

because the Levi-degeneracy assumption implies $|H_{\mathcal{L}}| = 0$. For every nonnegative integer m , we can equate the coefficients of x_n^m in (28), obtaining

$$(29) \quad \left(\sum_{r+s=n-2-m} S_{r,s}x_r x_s \right) = \frac{2}{(m+2)!} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial z_n^m} \Big|_{\{z_n=0\}} \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} (-1)^j (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n-j,n} (H_{\mathcal{L}})_{n,j} \right).$$

The assumption that $|H_{\mathcal{L}}| = 0$ is equivalent to (29) holding for all m .

Notice that, for each $r+s = n-2-m$, the coefficient of $x_r x_s$ on the left side of (29) is either $S_{r,s}$ or $2S_{r,s}$, whereas coefficients of $x_r x_s$ on the right side of (29) are functions of the parameters in $\{S_{j,k} \mid j+k > n-2-m\}$. This latter fact is easily observed from the general formula for $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ recorded above.

Notice also that if $S_{j,k} = 0$ for all $j+k = n-1$ then Φ does not define a uniformly 2-nondegenerate hypersurface, that is, noting (26),

$$(30) \quad \sum_{j+k=n-1} S_{j,k} \neq 0.$$

This can be seen in two ways, one by directly checking the criteria for 2-nondegeneracy at $z = 0$, and the other by observing that (29) with $S_{j,k} = 0$ for all $j+k = n-1$ implies $S_{j,k} = 0$ for all $j+k \leq n-1$, consequently implying that Φ defines a holomorphically degenerate hypersurface because it has no dependence on z_n .

In other words, by (29), S is fully determined by $\{S_{j,k} \mid j+k > n-2\}$. We normalized this set $\{S_{j,k} \mid j+k > n-2\}$ such that (26), (27) and (30) hold. The $2^p - 1$ solutions, with $p = \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$, referred to in the theorem's statement thus correspond to the $2^p - 1$ possible parameter settings that can be assigned to $\{S_{j,k} \mid j+k > n-2\}$ satisfying (26), (27) and (30). Each solution corresponds to one of the possible formulas for S in (21). \square

6. LEFT-INVARIANT STRUCTURES

The most symmetric among the homogeneous hypersurfaces of Theorem 1.4 turn out to be left-invariant structures on nilpotent Lie groups. In this section we describe these left-invariant structures along with their symmetry groups, obtaining preliminary results that will then be applied in Section 8.

For the purposes of this section and Section 8 only, we switch notations, favoring (2), and denote the coordinates as

$$(w, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \quad \text{with} \quad \zeta = s + it \quad \text{and} \quad w = u + iv.$$

We will analyze now the *indecomposable model* M_0 given by

$$(31) \quad M_0 := \left\{ u = \sum_{0 < j < j+k \leq n} x_j x_k s^{n-j-k} \right\}.$$

Consider the gradings

$$(32) \quad [\zeta] = 1, \quad [w] = n, \quad [z_j] = j, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

and

$$(33) \quad [\zeta] = 0, \quad [w] = 2, \quad [z_j] = 1, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

The model M_0 has a weighted homogeneous defining equation with respect to both gradings (32) and (33), which has strong implications we will see for the structure of its algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0)$ of infinitesimal symmetries at 0, namely, that the algebra admits a corresponding bi-grading.

This infinitesimal automorphism algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0)$ at the point $0 \in M_0$ contains the bi-graded subalgebra

$$(34) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-n, -2} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1-n}^{-1} \mathfrak{g}_{j, -1} \right) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2-n, 0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1, 0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0, 0}$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{-n, -2} &= \text{span} \{Y_{-n}\}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-j, -1} &= \text{span} \{X_{-j}, Y_{-j}\}, & \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{2-n, 0} &= \text{span} \{V_{2-n}\}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-1, 0} &= \text{span} \{X'_{-1}, Y'_{-1}\}, & \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_{0, 0} &= \text{span} \{U_0, V_0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(35) \quad Y_{-n} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \quad Y'_{-1} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}, \quad Y_{-j} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$(36) \quad X_{1-n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} + 2z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \quad X_{-j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j+1}} + 2z_{n-j} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-2,$$

$$(37) \quad X'_{-1} = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (2j - 2 - n) z_{j-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \quad V_{2-n} = iz_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + iz_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}},$$

$$U_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + n w \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \quad \text{and} \quad V_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + 2w \frac{\partial}{\partial w}.$$

The bi-grading in (34) is naturally associated with the two gradings (32) and (33) via the rule that vector fields are assigned weights such that

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right] = -[\zeta], \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \right] = -[w], \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right] = -[z_j], \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

yielding a different grading for each convention (32) and (33). The grading (32) is associated with the vector field U_0 , as the coordinates in (32) parameterize subspaces in the common eigenspaces of the weighted dilations generated by U_0 and the tuple $([\zeta], [w], [z_1], \dots, [z_{n-1}])$ is a rescaling of the corresponding tuple of natural logarithms of eigenvalues for any one of those dilations. Similarly, (33) is associated with V_0 .

The following lemma is obtained from straightforward, direct calculation, so we omit its proof here.

Lemma 6.1.

(1) *The vector fields*

$$e_j := X_{-j} + iY_{-j}, \quad e_{n-1+j} := X_{-j} - iY_{-j} \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$e_0 := 4iY_{-n}, \quad e_{2n-1} := -X'_{-1} - 2iY'_{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad e_{2n} := -X'_{-1} + 2iY'_{-1},$$

span a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_0, \dots, e_{2n}\}$, with a graded decomposition $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0$ given by $\mathfrak{m}_{-2} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_0\}$, $\mathfrak{m}_{-1} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$, and $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{2n-1}, e_{2n}\}$.

(2) *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}_- := \mathfrak{m}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{-1}$, is a Heisenberg algebra with nonzero Lie brackets given by*

$$[e_j, e_{2n-1-j}] = e_0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

(3) *To describe the other Lie brackets in \mathfrak{m} , note that the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{m} identifies \mathfrak{m}_0 with an abelian subalgebra in $\mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{m}_-) \cong \mathfrak{csp}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1})$ whose matrix representation with respect to the basis (e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}) is given by*

$$e_{2n-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Delta_{2-n, 4-n, \dots, n-2} T_{n-1} & 2T_{n-1} \\ \hline 0 & T_{n-1} \Delta_{2-n, 4-n, \dots, n-2} \end{array} \right)$$

and

$$e_{2n} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} T_{n-1} \Delta_{2-n, 4-n, \dots, n-2} & 0 \\ \hline 2T_{n-1} & \Delta_{2-n, 4-n, \dots, n-2} T_{n-1} \end{array} \right)$$

where T_k denotes the $k \times k$ nilpotent Jordan matrix in (13) and Δ_{a_1, \dots, a_k} denotes the diagonal matrix with values a_1, \dots, a_k along its main diagonal.

(4) *The adjoint representation ad_{V_0} of V_0 on \mathfrak{m} has eigenspaces \mathfrak{m}_{-2} , \mathfrak{m}_{-1} , and \mathfrak{m}_0 with eigenvalue j on \mathfrak{m}_j .*

The holomorphic fields $\{Y_{-n}, X_{-j}, Y_{-j}, X'_{-1}, Y'_{-1} \mid j = 1, \dots, n-1\}$ span a real form of the complex nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{m} in Lemma 6.1, so

$$\Re \mathfrak{m} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \Re(Y_{-n}), \Re(X_{-j}), \Re(Y_{-j}), \Re(X'_{-1}), \Re(Y'_{-1}) \mid j = 1, \dots, n-1 \}$$

is a $\dim(M_0)$ -dimensional Lie algebra of nowhere vanishing infinitesimal symmetries on M_0 . By equipping M_0 with the Lie group structure such that the fields in $\Re \mathfrak{m}$ are right-invariant, the CR structure on M_0 becomes left-invariant.

Lemma 6.2. *The symmetry algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0)$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded through the decomposition into eigenspaces of ad_{V_0} assigning respective eigenvalues as weights. In particular this grading is compatible with the secondary indices in the bi-grading (34). The nontrivial negatively weighted components in this grading are*

$$(38) \quad (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0))_{-2} = \mathfrak{m}_{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0))_{-1} = \mathfrak{m}_{-1},$$

and if $n > 4$ then the positively weighted components are all trivial.

Proof. Noting Lemma 6.1, this result follows readily from the main theorems in [26] and [27].

In more detail, from the description of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0)$ in Lemma 6.1, it is immediately seen that \mathfrak{m} satisfies the axioms of an *abstract reduced modified symbol (ARMS)* given in [27, Definition 6.1], and hence the CR structure on M_0 is the flat structure with this ARMS, that is, a structure of the type described in [27, part 3 of Theorem 6.2]. In particular, [27, part 3 of Theorem 6.2] implies that $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0)$ has the \mathbb{Z} -grading conferred by ad_{V_0} with negative parts given by (38). Vanishing of the positive weight components follows immediately from [26, Theorem 3.7] and [27, Theorem 6.2]. We

require $n > 4$ for this last conclusion so that [26, Theorem 3.7] applies. Specifically, [26, Theorem 3.7] does not apply if $n = 3$ or $n = 4$ because it is in exactly these cases that there structure's CR symbol is *regular*. \square

Corollary 6.3. *If $n > 4$ then there exists a subspace $\mathfrak{J} \subset \{X \in \mathfrak{hol}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \mid [X, V_0] = 0, X(0) = 0\}$ such that*

$$\mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{Y_{-n}, X_{-j}, Y_{-j}, X'_{-1}, Y'_{-1}, U_0, V_0, V_{2-n} \mid j = 1, \dots, n-1\} \oplus \mathfrak{J}.$$

We shall now prove

Theorem 6.4. *For $n > 4$, the symmetry algebra of the indecomposable model $M_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ (as in (31)) is exactly \mathfrak{g} (as in (34)), that is,*

$$\mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{Y_{-n}, X_{-j}, Y_{-j}, X'_{-1}, Y'_{-1}, U_0, V_0, V_{2-n} \mid j = 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Proof. Given Corollary 6.3, it will suffice to describe all holomorphic vector fields X on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} that vanish at the origin, commute with V_0 , and generate symmetries of M_0 , so proceeding let X be such a field.

Since X commutes with V_0 , it has the form

$$(39) \quad X = \left(a_{0,0}w + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a_{j,k}z_jz_k \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j,k}z_j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta},$$

where $a_{j,k}$, $b_{j,k}$, and a are holomorphic functions of ζ , and for simplicity we assume

$$(40) \quad a_{j,k} = a_{k,j}.$$

Indeed, (39) clearly gives the general formula for vector fields that are weight zero with respect to (33), which is an alternate characterization of the fields that commute with V_0 .

We start by considering the Lie bracket

$$[Y_{-n}, X] = ia_{0,0} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \in \mathfrak{m}_{-2} = \text{span}\{Y_{-n}\}$$

(we make use of Lemma 6.2), so that $a_{0,0}$ is a real constant. This allows us to assume $a_{0,0} = 0$, which can be done without losing generality by replacing X with $X - \frac{a_{0,0}}{2}V_0$.

By Lemma 6.2, $[Y_{-j}, X] \in \mathfrak{m}_{-1} = \text{span}\{X_{-k}, Y_{-k} \mid k = 1, \dots, n-1\}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. Since

$$(41) \quad [Y_{-j}, X] = i \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2a_{j,k}z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + i \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_{j,k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k},$$

it follows that $ia_{j,k}$ are all real constants and

$$(42) \quad b_{j,1} = r_{j,1} + a_{j,n-1}, \quad b_{j,k} = r_{j,k} + a_{j,n-k} - a_{j,n+1-k}\zeta, \quad \forall k = 2, \dots, n-1$$

for some real constants $r_{j,k}$.

We have

$$(43) \quad [X_{-j}, X] = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2(a_{j,k} - a_{j+1,k}\zeta)z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (b_{j,k} - b_{j+1,k}\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \\ + a \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j+1}} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_{k,n-j}z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \\ = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (b_{j,k} - b_{j+1,k}\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \right) + a \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j+1}} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} r_{k,n-j}z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \quad \forall j < n-1,$$

where the second equality holds by (40) and (42). Similarly,

$$[X_{1-n}, X] = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_{n-1,k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} r_{n-1,k} z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w}.$$

By Lemma 6.2, $[X_{-j}, X] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{-1}$, so, in particular, the coefficients in (43) should be at most linear in ζ . Accordingly, from the $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ terms in (43) we get that $b_{j,k}$ is constant for all $j > 1$ and that a is linear in ζ (in fact $a(\zeta) = a'\zeta$ with a' constant since $X(0) = 0$). All this together with (42) implies that all $b_{j,k}$ are constant and

$$a_{j,k} = 0 \quad \forall 2 \leq j, k \leq n-1 \text{ with } j \neq n+1-k.$$

Examining (41) again, since $[Y_{-j}, X] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{-1}$, constancy of the $b_{j,k}$ implies

$$[Y_{-j}, X] \subset \text{span}\{X_{1-n}, Y_{-1}, \dots, Y_{1-n}\},$$

which in turn implies $b_{j,n-1}$ is real for all $j > 1$. Consequently, $a_{j,k} = 0$ whenever $(j, k) \neq (1, 1)$. Summarizing these conclusions, (39) simplifies to

$$X = (a_{1,1} z_1^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + a_{1,1} z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} r_{j,k} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}.$$

where $a_{1,1}$ is purely imaginary.

For simplicity, let us further replace X with $X + ia_{1,1} V_{2-n}$, which allows us to assume $a_{1,1} = 0$, that is, we will proceed assuming

$$(44) \quad X = a' \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} r_{j,k} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k},$$

for some real numbers $r_{j,k}$ and constant a' . In addition, computing

$$[Y'_{-1}, X] = ia' \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \in \mathfrak{m}_{-1},$$

we see that a' is real.

Applying these simplifications to (43) and noting $[X_{-j}, X] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{-1}$, we get

$$(45) \quad r_{j,k} = r_{j+1,k+1} - \delta_{j,k} a' \quad \forall j < k < n-1,$$

where $\delta_{j,k}$ is the Kronecker delta.

Evaluating $[X_{-k}, [X_{-j}, X]]$ with the simplifications of (44) yields

$$[X_{-k}, [X_{-j}, X]] = -2(r_{k,n-j} - r_{k+1,n-j} \zeta + r_{j,n-k} - r_{j+1,n-k} \zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \quad \forall j, k < n-1,$$

$$[X_{1-n}, [X_{-j}, X]] = -2(r_{n-1,n-j} + r_{j,1} - r_{j+1,1} \zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \quad \forall j < n-1,$$

and

$$[X_{1-n}, [X_{1-n}, X]] = -2r_{n-1,1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w},$$

and, since $[X_{-k}, [X_{-j}, X]] \subset \text{span}\{i \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\}$ by Lemma 6.2, these last three identities imply

$$(46) \quad r_{j,n-k} = -r_{k,n-j} \quad \forall j, k.$$

Combining (45) and (46) we get

$$r_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \\ \frac{2j-n}{2} a' & \text{if } j = k \end{cases}$$

for all $1 \leq j, k \leq n-1$. Applying this to simplify (44) further we get

$$X = a' U_0 - \frac{na'}{2} V_0 \in \mathfrak{g},$$

which completes the proof as we have shown an arbitrary field in $\mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0)$ vanishing at 0 belongs to \mathfrak{g} . \square

Remark 6.5. *Theorem 6.4 applies to models in \mathbb{C}^N with $N > 5$, excluding the \mathbb{C}^4 and \mathbb{C}^5 examples. These two lower-dimensional cases are exceptional as their symmetry algebras have more symmetries than just*

$$(47) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{Y_{-n}, X_{-j}, Y_{-j}, X'_{-1}, Y'_{-1}, U_0, V_0, V_{2-n} \mid j = 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

The exceptional behavior should be expected because the \mathbb{C}^4 and \mathbb{C}^5 structures considered in this paper have regular CR symbols, distinguishing them from all of the considered higher dimensional structures, and differences between the symmetry algebras of regular and non-regular symbol structures are well known [24, 27].

For the model M_0 in \mathbb{C}^4 , its 16-dimensional symmetry algebra is fully described in [3, Section 6]. For the model M_0 in \mathbb{C}^5 , its 14-dimensional symmetry algebra is spanned by (47) and the fields

$$(48) \quad iz_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - iz_1 \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + iz_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} + i\zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + 2iz_1 z_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \quad \text{and} \quad iz_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - 2iz_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta},$$

which can be verified by first checking that these formulas indeed define symmetries and then noting that 14 is the upper bound for this structure's symmetry group dimension given by [27, Theorem 6.2]; getting this latter bound requires computing an algebraic Tanaka prolongation, which incidentally is the same technique that we applied to derive the formula in (48).

7. CLASSIFICATION OF THE INFINITESIMAL AUTOMORPHISM ALGEBRAS

In this section, we provide a complete classification of the infinitesimal automorphism algebras $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ of all (smooth) hypersurfaces given by (3), which through Lemma 7.3 (below) is reduced to the classification of such algebras at the origin for hypersurfaces given by (3) passing through the origin. These results include, in particular, the holomorphic classification of all the locally homogeneous hypersurfaces in (3). We shall remind that, even in case f is smooth, the Levi extension of CR functions from M to its full neighborhood in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} implies the analyticity of the infinitesimal automorphism algebra, i.e. every infinitesimal CR automorphism is the real part of an element of $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$.

It turns out that in the non-homogeneous case the desired algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ is a subalgebra in the model algebra \mathfrak{g} , while in the homogeneous case one has to add to an (actually fixed) subalgebra in \mathfrak{g} a one-dimensional subalgebra. Our immediate goal is identifying such a one-dimensional subalgebra. As a bi-product, we shall obtain the holomorphic classification of the homogeneous hypersurfaces in (3).

Recall that $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ always contains the subalgebra $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ spanned by

$$(49) \quad Y_{-n}, \dots, Y_{-1}, Y'_{-1}, X_{1-n}, \dots, X_{-2}, X'_{-1}, V_{2-n}$$

(employing the notations of Section 6), and that the evaluation of \mathfrak{f} at 0 is a codimension 1 subspace in T_0M . In view of that, the homogeneity of M at 0 amounts to the existence of a holomorphic vector field

$$X = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j(z, \zeta, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + g(z, \zeta, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + h(z, \zeta, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$$

with

$$\text{Re } f_1(0) \neq 0.$$

Subtracting further a linear combination of the vector fields in (49), we may assume

$$f_1(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(0) = \dots = f_{n-1}(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0.$$

Recall that, in view of the above Lemma 5.1, we may assume the vanishing of the derivatives of f at 0 up to order 3, meaning $f(0) = \dots = f^{(3)}(0) = 0$, and we denote this order of vanishing by $\text{ord}_0 f$. We need next

Proposition 7.1. *If a hypersurface (3) is locally homogeneous at 0, then either f identically vanishes, or $\text{ord}_0 f = 4$.*

Proof. For the “extra” infinitesimal automorphism X as above with $f_1(0) \neq 0$, we have the tangency condition

$$(50) \quad \text{Re } h = f_{x_1} \cdot \text{Re } f_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P_{x_j} \cdot \text{Re } f_j + P_s \cdot \text{Re } g \Big|_{w=f+P+iv},$$

where $f + P$ is the right hand side of (3).

Now assume, to produce a contradiction, that the order is $m > 4$. We now collect within (50) all monomials in x_1, y_1 only of degree $m - 1$. Then we see that such terms come from three sources: the differentiated x_1^m term in f combined with $f_1(0)$, the polynomial $2x_1$ multiplied by the real part of the z_1^{m-2} term within f_{n-1} , and finally the real part of the z_1^{m-1} term within h . This means that for some complex numbers A, C and a real number $B \neq 0$ it holds that:

$$\text{Re}(Az_1^{m-1}) = Bx_1^{m-1} + x_1 \text{Re}(Cz_1^{m-2}).$$

Applying the univariate (in z_1) Laplacian to this equation twice yields the contradiction $x_1^{m-5} = 0$. \square

In view of Proposition 7.1, we may assume that either $f = 0$ or $f^{(4)}(0) = 1$ for a homogeneous hypersurface and further $f^{(5)}(0) = \sigma$, where σ is either 0 or 1 (which is accomplished by applying scalings U_0, V_0 in the notations of Section 6).

We shall now refer again to the tangency condition (50). Using the multitype weights (corresponding to the vector field V_0), we collect in (50) terms of weights k for $k = 0, 1, \dots$ (replacing for this purpose f by its Taylor series at 0). We recall the standard “homological” argument: the respective identities in each weight k have the form

$$L(f_1^{k-1}, \dots, f_{n-1}^{k-1}, g^{k-2}, h^k) = \dots,$$

where L is the homological operator

$$L(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g, h) := \text{Re } h - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P_{x_j} \text{Re } f_j - P_s \text{Re } g \Big|_{w=P+iv},$$

and dots stand for a linear expression in lower weight terms, if any (the upper indices here stand for the respective weighted homogeneous components). Then one successively determines each collection $(f_1^{k-1}, \dots, f_{n-1}^{k-1}, g^{k-2}, h^k)$ by knowing the previous ones, and such a determination is unique modulo the *kernel* of the operator, while the latter precisely corresponds to the infinitesimal automorphism algebra \mathfrak{g} of the model. The vanishing of $f(0) = f'(0) = f''(0) = f^{(3)}(0)$ implies now that the identities under discussion in the weights 0, 1, 2 are *identical* to that in the case of the model M_0 , which is why the collections $(f_1^{k-1}, \dots, f_{n-1}^{k-1}, g^{k-2}, h^k)$ corresponding to $k = 0, 1, 2$ coincide with that coming from the model algebra \mathfrak{g} . Now, subtracting if necessary a constant multiple of the vector field V_{2-n} , we conclude that

$$(51) \quad X = X_{-1} + pU_0 + qV_0 + O(1),$$

where X_{-1} comes from the model algebra \mathfrak{g} as in (37), p, q are real numbers, and $O(1)$ stands for a vector field whose expansion at 0 contains only terms of weights greater than or equal to 1.

We next deal with the stability subalgebra $\text{aut}(M, 0)$.

Proposition 7.2. *For a hypersurface (3) with $f \neq 0$ and $n > 4$, either of the following holds:*

(I) *The function $f(x_1)$ is a monomial ax_1^m , $m \geq 4$ and then $\mathbf{aut}(M, 0)$ is spanned by V_{2-n} and the vector field*

$$U_0^m := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{n-m}{n-2} + \frac{m-2}{n-2} j \right) z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \frac{m-2}{n-2} \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + mw \frac{\partial}{\partial w},$$

or

(II) *the function $f(x_1)$ is not a monomial, and $\mathbf{aut}(M, 0)$ is spanned by V_{2-n} .*

Proof. Taking a vector field $X \in \mathbf{aut}(M, 0)$ and arguing as in the proof of the expansion (51), we obtain an analogous expansion

$$X = pU_0 + qV_0 + rV_{2-n} + Y,$$

where Y is a vector field whose expansion at 0 contains only terms of weights $\geq m - 3$ (recall that $X(0) = 0$). However, observe that Y actually vanishes identically. Indeed, it vanishes identically in the case of the model M_0 , as follows from the description of \mathfrak{g} , while the above homological argument implies the bound

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_k(M) \leq \dim \mathcal{V}_k(M_0) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathcal{V}_k(M)$ denotes the linear subspace in $\mathfrak{ho}\mathfrak{l}(M, 0)$ spanned by vector fields whose expansion at 0 do not contain terms of weight less than k (compare with Remark 12 in [3]). This implies $\dim \mathcal{V}_{m-3}(M) = 0$ and hence $Y \equiv 0$ (recall that Y is analytic at 0, unlike f which can be merely smooth).

We conclude that $X - rV_{2-n}$ is the scaling field $pU_0 + qV_0$, so that $pU_0 + qV_0 \in \mathbf{aut}(M, 0)$. It is straightforward to verify that for $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$ M is stable under dilations in $pU_0 + qV_0$ if and only if f is a monomial and $pU_0 + qV_0$ is proportional to the above U_0^m (the latter is true even in case f is merely smooth). This finally implies the assertion of the proposition. \square

Returning to the homogeneous case, the expansion (51) appears to be very useful for determining the structure of the additional vector field X as above. Let us further expand

$$X = X_{-1} + pU_0 + qV_0 + rV_{2-n} + X_1 + X_2 + \dots,$$

where X_j is a vector field of weight j . Now, since all the commutators of X with Y_j have to be spanned by vector fields from $\mathfrak{ho}\mathfrak{l}(M, 0)$, we first consider within $[Y_n, X]$ the (-1) weighted component. In view of the above, the latter has to be spanned by $\{X_{-j}, Y_{-j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}$. On the other hand, this component equals $[Y_{-n}, X_1]$. This implies

$$(F_1)_w = c_1, (F_2)_w = c_2 + C_2 \cdot \zeta, \dots, (F_{n-1})_w = c_{n-1} + C_{n-1} \cdot \zeta, G_w = 0, H_w = A(z)$$

for appropriate constants c_j, C_j and a real linear function $A(z)$, where

$$X_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} F_j(z, \zeta, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + G(z, \zeta, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + H(z, \zeta, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w}.$$

We proceed with a similar consideration for $[Y_{-j}, X]$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, which all have to be linear combinations of elements of the algebra $\mathfrak{ho}\mathfrak{l}(M, 0)$. Picking the weight 0 component of the bracket, we conclude that $[Y_{-j}, X_1]$ is a linear combination of $U_0, V_0, V_{2-n}, Y'_{-1}, X'_{-1}$. This allows, in view of the already established conditions, the following freedom for the components of X_1 :

$$H = 2tz_1^3, F_1 = c_1w + iQ_1(z_1), F_j = (c_j + C_j\zeta)w + iQ_j(z_{j-1}, z_j) \quad \forall j = 2, \dots, n-2,$$

$$F_{n-1} = (c_{n-1} + C_{n-1}\zeta) + 3tz_1^2 + iQ_{n-1}(z_{n-2}, z_{n-1}), G = l_1(\zeta)z_1 + \dots + l_{n-1}(\zeta)z_{n-1}$$

for an appropriate constant $t \in \mathbb{R}$, affine polynomials l_j , and real homogeneous quadratic polynomials Q_j (the linear function $A(z)$ vanishes in view of its reality).

Considering now the Lie bracket of X with Y'_{-1} , we pick the weight 1 component within it. This component equals $[Y'_{-1}, X_1]$ and is at the same time a constant multiple of X_1 . We immediately conclude that this Lie bracket vanishes, all the l_j are constant, and all the C_j vanish as well. Coming back to the Lie brackets $[Y_{-j}, X_1]$, we consider the $\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}$ component and see (from the constancy of l_j) that the expansion of none of these Lie brackets contains U_0 . At the same time, for each $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ we are able to find some k such that F_k is independent of z_j (as follows from the above description). This implies that the expansion of none of the Lie brackets $[Y_{-j}, X_1]$ contains V_0 (and for a similar reason none contain X'_{-1}). It follows from here that all the Q_j vanish. Thus,

$$X_1 = c_1 w \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \dots + c_{n-2} w \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-2}} + (c_{n-1} w + 3t z_1^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} + (l_1 z_1 + \dots + l_{n-1} z_{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + 2t z_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial w}.$$

We now consider the commutator $[X_{1-n}, X]$, pick the 0 weighted component (which equals $[X_{1-n}, X_1]$), and obtain

$$2z_1 c_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + 2z_1 c_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \dots + 2z_1 c_{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} + l_{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} - 2c_1 w \frac{\partial}{\partial w}.$$

But $[X_{1-n}, X]$ has to be in the span of $U_0, V_0, V_{2-n}, Y'_{-1}, X'_{-1}$, as above, so c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} must all vanish.

We finally take the commutators $[X_{-j}, X]$, $j = 2, \dots, n-2$, again pick the 0 weighted component (which equals $[X_{-j}, X_1]$), and obtain:

$$(l_1 z_1 + \dots + l_{n-1} z_{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j+1}} + (l_j - l_{j+1} \zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}.$$

But each $[X_{-j}, X]$ has to be in the span of $U_0, V_0, V_{2-n}, Y'_{-1}, X'_{-1}$, as above, and thus all the l_j vanish.

This finally gives

$$(52) \quad X_1 = 3t z_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} + 2t z_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Observe that the sum of all the negatively graded terms of the commutator $[Y_{-1}, X]$ is spanned by the vector fields Y_{-1} and X_{1-n} . This implies that the expansion of $[Y_{-1}, X]$ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ does *not* contain X .

We claim now that one has $X_2 = X_3 = \dots = 0$. For the component X_2 , we consider the brackets $[Y_{-j}, X]$ and their weighted component of the weight 1. The latter coincide with respectively $[Y_{-j}, X_2]$ and have to be a constant multiple of X_1 . The latter is possible only if, up to a nonzero real multiple,

$$X_2 = i t z_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} + \left(\frac{i}{2} t z_1^4 + A(\zeta) w^2 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w}.$$

The consideration of the bracket $[Y_{-n}, X]$ easily gives $A = 0$. And the above observation on the expansion of $[Y_{-1}, X]$ gives lastly $X_2 = 0$.

We use the conclusion $X_2 = 0$ to prove that all the components of X_3 are independent of z_j (by commuting with the respective Y_{-j}), and then the commutator with Y_{-n} to conclude that $X_3 = 0$. After that the conclusion $X_4 = X_5 = \dots = 0$ follows by induction (after commuting X with all the Y_{-j}).

Summarizing these observations, we have shown

for a homogeneous at 0 hypersurface (3) the above extra vector field X must have the form:

$$(53) \quad X = X_{-1} + p U_0 + q V_0 + r V_{2-n} + X_1,$$

where X_1 is as in (52).

We consider now a hypersurface M with

$$(54) \quad f(x_1) = x_1^4 + \sigma x_1^5 + \sum_{j=6}^{\infty} a_j x_1^j, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{R},$$

normalized as above, with its infinitesimal automorphism (53), and study its tangency condition (50). By subtracting rV_{2-n} from X , we may always assume that $r = 0$, for convenience. As discussed above, the tangency condition is satisfied in the weights 1, 2. In weight 3, the condition gives

$$2t\operatorname{Re}(z_1^3) = 4x_1^3 + 6tx_1\operatorname{Re}(z_1^2),$$

which is equivalent to requiring $t = -1$.

Finally, for all the weights greater than 3, considered *at once*, we get:

$$(55) \quad (np + 2q)f(x_1) = (1 + (p + q)x_1)f'(x_1) - 4x_1^3.$$

Particularly in the weight 4 we have the compatibility condition

$$np + 2q = 5\sigma + 4(p + q).$$

We now have to describe all solutions for the family of 1st order ODEs (55) satisfying (54) (and hence the compatibility conditions). The respective ODEs are all linear inhomogeneous and can be solved by elementary methods explicitly, that is why we omit the calculation of solutions and just present the final outcome. It will be convenient to not insist on the normalization (54) at this point and require merely $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ (recall, by Lemma 5.1, terms of degrees 2 and 3 in x_1 can be removed from f without changing the form (3) and the higher order terms within f). Acting on the set of solutions as above by the transformation group generated by:

$$(56) \quad f \mapsto f + ax_1^2 + bx_1^3, \quad f \mapsto c_1 f(c_2 x_1), \quad a, b, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad c_1, c_2 \neq 0,$$

we obtain the following final classification (also summarized in the introduction's Theorem 1.4).

Type I. Here $f(x) = (x + 1)^a - ax - 1$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. In this case we compute the extra vector field X as

$$\begin{aligned} V_f := & (aw + a(a - 1)z_1 + 2z_{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \frac{a - 2}{n - 2} \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{n - a}{n - 2} + \frac{a - 2}{n - 2} j \right) z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Type II. Here $f(x) = e^x - x - 1$ and we compute the extra vector field X as

$$V_f := (w + z_1 + 2z_{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \frac{1}{n - 2} \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j - 1}{n - 2} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}.$$

Type III. Here $f(x) = \ln(x + 1) - x$ and we compute the extra vector field X as

$$V_f := (2z_{n-1} - z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - \frac{2}{n - 2} \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n - 2j}{n - 2} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}.$$

Type IV. Here $f(x) = (x + 1)\ln(x + 1) - x$ and we compute the extra vector field X as

$$V_f := (w + z_1 + 2z_{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - \frac{1}{n - 2} \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n - j - 1}{n - 2} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}.$$

Type V. Here $f(x) = 2(x+1)^2 \ln(x+1) - (x+1)^2 + 1$ and we compute the extra vector field X as

$$V_f := (2w + 4z_1 + 2z_{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + (z_{n-1} - z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}.$$

Type VI. Here $f(x) = 6(x+1)^3 \ln(x+1) - 2(x+1)^3 + 2$ and we compute the extra vector field X as

$$\begin{aligned} V_f := & (3w + 18z_1 - 3z_1^3 + 2z_{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - \left(9z_1 - \frac{9}{2}z_1^2\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} \\ & + \frac{1}{n-2} \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n-3+j}{n-2} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, in turn, for the homogeneous case, excluding $f \equiv 0$ and $f \equiv x_1^4$, we always have $\sigma = 1$ in (54).

Having found $\mathfrak{aut}(M, 0)$ for all M given by (3), descriptions of $\mathfrak{aut}(M, z^*)$ for arbitrary $z^* \in M$ are given by the following Lemma.

Lemma 7.3. *For a hypersurface M_f of the form in (3) and a point $z^* = (z_0^*, \dots, z_n^*) \in M_f \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism identifying*

$$\mathfrak{aut}(M_{f(x)}, z^*) \cong \mathfrak{aut}(M_{f(x-x_1^*)-f(-x_1^*)}, 0),$$

where x_1^* denotes $\Re(z_1^*)$.

Proof. We will consider how M_f transforms under the symmetries of the indecomposable model M_0 (i.e., the hypersurface given by (3) with $f = 0$), considering, in particular, flows of the infinitesimal symmetries $X_{-1}, \dots, X_{1-n}, Y_{-1}, \dots, Y_{-n}, X'_{-1}$, and Y'_{-1} given by (35), (36), and (37).

Fixing some notation, using coordinates $z = (z_0, \dots, z_n)$ of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , let $\pi_j(z) := z_j$ denote the coordinate projections, and let $\text{Fl}_t^X : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ denote the flow of the real part of a holomorphic vector field $X \in \mathfrak{hol}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$, that is

$$(57) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Fl}_t^X(z) \Big|_{t=0} = \Re(X)(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}.$$

For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$, the index r component of $\text{Fl}_t^{X^{-j}}$ is given by

$$\pi_r \circ \text{Fl}_t^{X^{-j}}(z) = z_r + \delta_{r,j} t - \delta_{r,j+1} t z_n + \delta_{r,0} (2t z_{n-j} + \alpha_{n,j,t}),$$

where $\delta_{r,j}$ is the Kronecker delta and

$$\alpha_{n,j,t} := \begin{cases} t^2 & \text{if } n = 2j \\ -t^2 z_n & \text{if } n = 2j + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Additionally we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_r \circ \text{Fl}_t^{Y_{-1}'}(z) &= z_r + \delta_{r,n} i t, & \pi_r \circ \text{Fl}_t^{Y_{-j}'}(z) &= z_r + \delta_{r,j} i t & \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \\ \pi_r \circ \text{Fl}_t^{Y_{-n}'}(z) &= z_r + \delta_{r,0} i t, & \pi_r \circ \text{Fl}_t^{X_{1-n}'}(z) &= z_r + \delta_{r,n-1} t - \delta_{r,0} 2t z_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\pi_r \circ \text{Fl}_t^{X_{-1}'}(z) = z_r + \delta_{r,n} 2t + \beta_{n,r}(t),$$

where $\beta_{n,0}(t), \dots, \beta_{n,n-1}(t)$ are functionals on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} parameterized by t defined recursively as $\beta_{n,0}(t) = \beta_{n,1}(t) = \beta_{n,n}(t) = 0$, $\beta_{n,2}(t) = (2-n)z_1 t$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_{n,j} = (2j - 2 - n)(z_{j-1} + \beta_{n,j-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{n,j}(0) = 0 \quad \forall j \in \{3, \dots, n-1\}.$$

It is easily checked that these formulas all satisfy (57).

For any $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with $\pi_r(v) = 0$ for all $r = 1, \dots, j-1$, we have

$$\pi_r \circ \text{Fl}_{-y_1}^{Y-j} \circ \text{Fl}_{-x_1}^{X-j}(v) = 0 \quad \forall r = 1, \dots, j,$$

whereas if $\pi_r(v) = 0$ for all $r = 1, \dots, n-1$ then

$$\pi_r \circ \text{Fl}_{-y_n}^{Y'_1} \circ \text{Fl}_{-\frac{x_n}{2}}^{X'_{-1}}(v) = 0 \quad \forall r = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence we can apply such transformations in sequence to the point $z^* \in \mathbb{C}^N$ to transform it to a point of the form $(w, 0, \dots, 0)$. Specifically, there exist vectors $v, u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\Psi := \text{Fl}_{u_n}^{Y'_1} \circ \text{Fl}_{v_n}^{X'_{-1}} \circ \text{Fl}_{-u_{n-1}}^{Y_{1-n}} \circ \text{Fl}_{-v_{n-1}}^{X_{1-n}} \circ \dots \circ \text{Fl}_{u_2}^{Y_{-2}} \circ \text{Fl}_{v_2}^{X_{-2}} \circ \text{Fl}_{u_1}^{Y_{-1}} \circ \text{Fl}_{v_1}^{X_{-1}}$$

satisfies $\pi_1 \circ \Psi(z) = z_1 - z_1^*$, and $\Psi(z^*) = (\tilde{w}, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some number \tilde{w} . In particular, using the property $F \circ \Psi^{-1} = F$ for

$$F(z) = x_0 - \sum_{0 < j < j+k \leq n} x_j x_k x_n^{n-j-k},$$

which holds because Ψ is constructed from flows of vector fields annihilating F , we have $\Psi(z^*) = (f(x_1^*), 0, \dots, 0)$. Thus,

$$\Psi(M_{f(x)}) = M_{f(x+x_1^*)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(z^*) = (f(x_1^*), 0, \dots, 0).$$

Hence, letting Φ denote the translation $\Phi(z) = (z_0 - f(x_1^*), z_1, \dots, z_n)$, the induced map $(\Phi \circ \Psi)_* : \mathbf{aut}(M_{f(x)}, z^*) \rightarrow \mathbf{aut}(M_{f(x+x_1^*)-f(x_1^*)}, 0)$ is an isomorphism. \square

We summarize the outcomes of this section in the following classification theorem.

Theorem 7.4. *For a smooth hypersurface M as in (3) and a point $z^* \in M$, there is a holomorphic change of coordinates Ψ (given in the proofs of Lemmas 5.1 and 7.3) such that $\Psi(z^*) = 0$ and Ψ transforms M into a smooth hypersurface as in (3) normalized to satisfy $f(0) = f'(0) = f''(0) = f^{(3)}(0) = 0$. After such normalization, its infinitesimal automorphism algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ is as follows.*

(i) *If $f \equiv 0$, then $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ is the model algebra \mathfrak{g} , as in Theorem 6.4.*

(ii) *If f is a monomial ax_1^4 with $a \neq 0$, then M is locally homogeneous at 0, the algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ is spanned by the algebra \mathfrak{f} , as in (49), the vector field U_0^4 , as in Proposition 7.2, and the vector field*

$$\widehat{X}_{-1} := (2z_{n-1} - 2az_1^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - z_n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - 3az_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}}.$$

(iii) *If f is a monomial ax_1^m with $m \geq 5$ and $a \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ is spanned by the algebra \mathfrak{f} , as in (49), and the vector field U_0^m , as in Proposition 7.2.*

(iv) *If M is locally homogeneous at 0, and the respective f is not identically 0 and not a monomial ax_1^4 , then f is under the group action of (56), equivalent to one of the functions I - VI above (with $a \neq 4$), while M is ϕ^{-1} -biholomorphic to the respective M_f , where ϕ is a composition of the flows of U_0, V_0 and the map (20). The algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ is spanned by the algebra \mathfrak{f} , as in (49), and the ϕ -pushforward of the respective vector field V_f (which necessarily has the form (53)).*

(v) *If M is not as in (i)-(iv), then $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0) = \mathfrak{f}$.*

In particular, any locally homogeneous at 0 hypersurface (3) satisfies either (i), (ii), or (iv), and all the respective homogeneous models are biholomorphically inequivalent (including type I hypersurfaces with different a).

The last assertion (on the holomorphic inequivalence of the models I-VI) follows from Theorem 1.2, proved in the next section.

8. HOLOMORPHIC CLASSIFICATION

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 and its Corollary 1.3, which solves the local equivalence problem for smooth hypersurfaces of Theorem 1.1 by deriving a criteria for when one such hypersurface can be obtained as the image of a biholomorphism restricted to another. Notice that Corollary 1.3 indeed follows immediately from Theorem 1.2 given properties of the map $\Phi \circ \Psi$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 7.3, namely for a point $z^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ this bi-holomorphic map is constructed such that

$$\Phi \circ \Psi(M_{f(x)}) = M_{f(x+x_1^*)-f(x_1^*)}, \quad \pi_1 \circ \Phi \circ \Psi(z) = z_1 - z_1^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ \Psi(z^*) = 0.$$

For this section only we switch notation labeling $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$, so that coordinates in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} can be denoted efficiently by (w, z, ζ) .

Let us now prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Applying Lemma 5.1, we will assume the nonzero terms in the Maclaurin expansions of f and f^* are of order greater than 3.

Suppose that there exists a biholomorphism

$$(58) \quad \Phi(w, z, \zeta) := (w^*, z^*, \zeta^*) = (w + h, z_1 + f_1, \dots, z_{n-1} + f_{n-1}, \zeta + g)$$

of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} satisfying $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(M_f \cap U) = M_{f^*} \cap \Phi(U)$ for some neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ containing 0, where $h, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g$ are holomorphic functions of $w, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, \zeta$ vanishing at 0, and z and z^* abbreviate z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} and z_1^*, \dots, z_{n-1}^* respectively. Letting h^k, f_j^k , and g^k denote the sum of the weight k terms in h, f_j , and g respectively with respect to the weights in (33), we have

$$w^* = w + h^0 + h^1 + \dots, \quad z_j^* = z_j + f_j^0 + f_j^1 + \dots, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^* = \zeta + g^0 + g^1 + \dots.$$

Letting P be the defining function given by (3) with $f = 0$, set $F := P + f$ and $F^* = P + f^*$, that is, $u = F$ and $u^* = F^*$ are defining equations for M_f and M_{f^*} respectively.

Since $\Phi(M_f) = M_{f^*}$ near 0, we have

$$(59) \quad P(z, \zeta) + f(\Re(z_1)) + \Re(h(F + iv, z, \zeta)) = P(z^*, \zeta^*) + f^*(\Re(z_1^*)) \quad \forall (w, z, \zeta) \in M_f$$

near 0, because the left side of (59) is equal to $\Re(w^*)$ whenever $(w, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, \zeta) \in M_f$.

One sees quickly from (59) that

$$(60) \quad h^0 = h^1 = f_1^0 = \dots = f_{n-1}^0 = 0.$$

Indeed, we get (60) from the following observations. First, suppose f_1^0, \dots, f_{n-1}^0 are not all zero, and pick j such that f_j^0 has the lowest order of vanishing (i.e., a lowest degree nonzero term in ζ). Letting this order be I , we have

$$f_j^0(\zeta) = c\zeta^I + O(\zeta^{I+1})$$

for some number $I \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. The right side of (59) has a mixed term that is a nonzero multiple of $z_{n-j}\bar{\zeta}^I$. On the other hand, there is no such term on the left side of (59), since $\Re(h(w, z, \zeta))$ is pluriharmonic, and by the explicit form of P , which contains only quadratic terms in z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} . Given that contradiction, we must have $f_1^0 = \dots = f_{n-1}^0 = 0$. Consequently, terms on the right side of (59) all have weights greater than 1 with respect to (33). Now if h^0 or h^1 are nonzero then the left side of (59) would have terms $\Re(h^0)$ or $\Re(h^1)$, which are of weights 0 and 1, contradicting (59). So indeed (60) holds.

Collecting terms of weight 2 in the equation $\Re(w^*) = F^*(z^*, \zeta^*)$ (equivalently, (59)), the perturbations f and f^* make no contribution to these terms, and we obtain

$$(61) \quad \Re(w + h^2(P + iv, z, \zeta)) = P(z_1 + f_1^1, \dots, z_{n-1} + f_{n-1}^1, \zeta + g_0),$$

showing that the transformation

$$(w, z, \zeta) \mapsto (w + h^2, z_1 + f_1^1, \dots, z_{n-1} + f_{n-1}^1, \zeta + g^0)$$

is a symmetry of the model hypersurface $M_0 = \{u = P\}$. Recall that the classification of such symmetries is given by Theorem 6.4 and Remark 6.5. By solving the ODE system corresponding to a general vector field in model's real 3-dimensional isotropy subalgebra, it follows that there exist real numbers a_1, a_2, a_3 such that

$$(62) \quad h^2 = (e^{2a_1+na_2} - 1)w + ia_3e^{a_1+a_2}z_1^2, \quad g^0 = (e^{a_2} - 1)\zeta,$$

and

$$(63) \quad f_j^1 = (e^{a_1+ja_2} - 1)z_j + \delta_{j,n-1}ia_3z_1.$$

With these formulas in hand we can normalize the pair M_f and M_{f^*} by replacing M_{f^*} with an equivalent hypersurface such that a new transformation Φ between this new pair has the simplified form of (58) with

$$(64) \quad w^* = w + h^3 + h^4 + \dots, \quad z_j^* = z_j + f_j^2 + f_j^3 + \dots, \quad \text{and } \zeta^* = \zeta + g^1 + g^2 + \dots.$$

To achieve (64), consider the transformation $\Psi : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ given by

$$(\widehat{w}, \widehat{z}, \widehat{\zeta}) = \Psi(w, z, \zeta) := \left(w + \widehat{h}^2, z_1 + \widehat{f}_1^1, \dots, z_{n-1} + \widehat{f}_{n-1}^1, \zeta + \widehat{g}^0 \right)$$

with $\widehat{h}^2, \widehat{f}_j^1$, and \widehat{g}^0 equal to the values of h^2, f_j^1 , and g^0 in (62) and (63) but with a_1, a_2, a_3 replaced by $-a_1, -a_2, -a_3e^{-2a_1-na_2}$ respectively, which corresponds to applying the inverse transformation to the above weight zero symmetry. Accordingly

$$\Re(\widehat{w}) - P(\widehat{z}, \widehat{\zeta}) - f^*(\Re(\widehat{z}_1)) = e^{-2a_1-na_2}(u - P(z, \zeta)) - f^*(e^{-a_1-a_2}x_1),$$

or, equivalently,

$$(65) \quad \Psi(M_{f^*(x)}) = M_{c_1f^*(c_2x)},$$

for constants $c_1 = e^{2a_1+na_2}$ and $c_2 = e^{-a_1-a_2}$, so $M_{f^*(x)}$ and $M_{c_1f^*(c_2x)}$ are equivalent. Let us replace f^* with $c_1f^*(c_2x)$, and replace Φ with the transformation $\Psi \circ \Phi$ bringing M_f to the new M_{f^*} . With these replacements, the new Φ indeed has the simplified form in (64), so we can assume without loss of generality that (64) holds.

Now, to produce a contradiction, let us suppose there exists a smallest integer $\mu > 0$ such that one of the functions $h^{\mu+2}, f_1^{\mu+1}, \dots, f_{n-1}^{\mu+1}, g^\mu$ is nonzero. Similar to (61), it is easy to see that collecting weight $\mu + 2$ terms and rearranging (59) yields

$$(66) \quad \Re \left(g^\mu P_\zeta - h^{\mu+2}(P + iv, z, \zeta) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j^{\mu+1} P_{z_j} \right) = cx_1^{\mu+2}$$

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The right side in (66) comes from noticing that our choice of μ (ensuring $f_1^1 = \dots = f_1^\mu = 0$) implies that the $\mu+2$ weighted term in $f(\Re(z_1)) - f^*(\Re(z_1^*))$ depends only on x_1 (here one also uses the assumption that f and f^* are normalized as prescribed by Lemma 5.1).

In terms of the vector field

$$Y := h^{\mu+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + g^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j^{\mu+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j},$$

(66) implies

$$(67) \quad \Re(Y)(P(z, \zeta) - u) = cx_1^{\mu+2} \quad \forall (w, z, \zeta) \in M_0.$$

Consider the decomposition $Y = Y' + Y''$ given by

$$Y' = h^{\mu+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + f_{n-1}^{\mu+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}}.$$

It follows from the explicit form of P that $\Re(Y'')(P(z, \zeta) - u)$ does not contain terms that depend only on x_1 .

Note that no terms in $P(z, \zeta) - u$ depend only on x_1 , so no terms in

$$\Re(Y)(P(z, \zeta) - u)$$

that depend on w will yield terms depending only on x_1 after making the substitution $u = P(z, \zeta)$. Hence, setting $z_2 = z_3 = \dots = z_{n-1} = \zeta = v = 0$, we obtain

$$(68) \quad ax_1 \Re(z_1^{\mu+1}) - b \Re(z_1^{\mu+2}) = \Re(Y')(P(z, \zeta) - u) = cx_1^{\mu+2}$$

from (67) because all terms in

$$x_1 \Re(f_{n-1}^{\mu+1}) - \Re(h^{\mu+2}) = \Re(Y')(P(z, \zeta) - u)$$

(both before and after substituting $u = P(z, \zeta)$) depend on at least one of the variables $z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, \zeta, w$ except for terms of the form on the left side of (68). Applying the univariate Laplacian Δ (in the z_1 variable) twice to both sides of (68), we get

$$0 = \Delta^2 \left(ax_1 \Re(z_1^{\mu+1}) - b \Re(z_1^{\mu+2}) \right) = \Delta^2 (cx_1^{\mu+2}) = (\mu+2)(\mu+1)\mu(\mu-1)cx_1^{\mu-2}$$

because $\Re(z_1^{\mu+1})$ and $\Re(z_1^{\mu+2})$ are harmonic. Therefore the coefficient c (and thus the right side of (67)) is zero, and hence

$$(69) \quad Y \in \mathfrak{hol}(M_0, 0).$$

Since $n > 4$, (69) contradicts Theorem 6.4. Hence there is no smallest integer $\mu > 0$ such that one of the functions $h^{\mu+2}, f_1^{\mu+1}, \dots, f_{n-1}^{\mu+1}, g^\mu$ is nonzero. Therefore, after applying the normalizations of Lemma 5.1 to f and f^* and furthermore normalizing M_{f^*} by replacing it with $\Psi(M_{f^*})$ as in (65) such that (64) holds, we get $\Phi = \text{Id}$. Since c_1 and c_2 in (65) are arbitrary, this shows that after applying the normalizations of Lemma 5.1, M_f and M_{f^*} are equivalent at 0 if and only if $f^*(x) = c_1 f(c_2 x)$ for some c_1, c_2 . Lastly, considering two other functions \hat{f} and \hat{f}^* satisfying

$$\hat{f}^{(4)} = f^{(4)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\hat{f}^*)^{(4)} = (f^*)^{(4)},$$

$(\hat{f}^*)^{(4)}(x) = \hat{c}_1 \hat{f}^{(4)}(\hat{c}_2 x)$ for some constants \hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2 if and only if $f^*(x) = c_1 f(c_2 x)$ for some other constants c_1, c_2 , which completes the proof in light of Lemma 5.1. \square

Remark 8.1. *An alternative proof of the above classification theorem could be obtained by using the classification of maximal Abelian subalgebras in $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ (for more on this tool, see the work of Fels–Kaup [9]). In view of Theorem 7.4, having the algebra’s multiplication table in hand, such subalgebras are easy to classify: it is the shifts subalgebra spanned by $Y_{-1}, \dots, Y_{-n}, Y'_{-1}$ and all of its modifications obtained by replacing Y_{-1} with $aY_{-1} + bX_{1-n} + cV_{2-n}$. The latter modifications can be always annihilated by applying to the subalgebra the flow of V_{2-n} and that of a vector field (52) above (in the latter case we also modify M but keep the structure (3)). This reduces the mapping problem for hypersurfaces (3) to that subject to mappings preserving the shift subalgebra, which are all obviously real affine mappings. Observing the need to preserve the commutants of $\mathfrak{hol}(M, 0)$ of any order, we conclude that any (normalized) map is a real scaling of coordinates. From this the assertion of Theorem 1.2 will follow. We, however, prefer to stay with the proof of Theorem 1.2 given above, as it appears to be shorter and contains some new insight.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. S. Baouendi, P. Ebenfelt, and L. Rothschild. *Real submanifolds in complex space and their mappings*, volume 47 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999.
- [2] M. S. Baouendi, X. Huang, and L. Preiss Rothschild. Regularity of CR mappings between algebraic hypersurfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 125(1):13–36, 1996.
- [3] V. K. Beloshapka. Model CR surfaces: weighted approach, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.14521>.
- [4] P. Ebenfelt. Normal forms and biholomorphic equivalence of real hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^3 . *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 47(2):311–366, 1998.
- [5] P. Ebenfelt. Uniformly Levi degenerate CR manifolds: the 5-dimensional case. *Duke Math. J.*, 110(1):37–80, 2001. Correction in *Duke Math. J.*, <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-06-13137-X>.
- [6] G. Fels. Locally homogeneous finitely nondegenerate CR-manifolds, 2006. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0606032>.
- [7] G. Fels and W. Kaup. CR-manifolds of dimension 5: a Lie algebra approach. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 604:47–71, 2007.
- [8] G. Fels and W. Kaup. Classification of Levi degenerate homogeneous CR-manifolds in dimension 5. *Acta mathematica*, 201(1):1–82, 2008.
- [9] G. Fels and W. Kaup. Local tube realizations of CR-manifolds and maximal Abelian subalgebras. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, 10(1):99–128, 2011.
- [10] Можей, Наталья Павловна. Однородные подмногообразия в четырехмерной аффинной и проективной геометрии. *Известия высших учебных заведений. Математика*, (7):41–52, 2000.
- [11] M. Freeman. Local complex foliation of real submanifolds. *Mathematische Annalen*, 209(1):1–30, 1974.
- [12] M. Freeman. Local biholomorphic straightening of real submanifolds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 106(2):319–352, 1977.
- [13] A. Isaev and D. Zaitsev. Reduction of five-dimensional uniformly Levi degenerate CR structures to absolute parallelisms. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 23(3):1571–1605, 2013.
- [14] W. Kaup and D. Zaitsev. On local CR-transformation of Levi-degenerate group orbits in compact Hermitian symmetric spaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 8(3):465–490, 2006.
- [15] M. Kolář and I. Kossovskiy. A complete normal form for everywhere Levi-degenerate hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^3 . *Advances in Mathematics*, 408:108590, 2022.
- [16] B. Kruglikov and A. Santi. On 3-nondegenerate CR manifolds in dimension 7 (I): the transitive case. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04513*, 2023.
- [17] B. Kruglikov and A. Santi. On 3-nondegenerate CR manifolds in dimension 7 (II): the intransitive case. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.02841*, 2023.
- [18] A. Labovskii. On dimensions of the groups of biholomorphic automorphisms of real-analytic hypersurfaces. *Mathematical Notes*, 61(3):287–294, 1997.
- [19] B. Lamel and N. Mir. Two decades of finite jet determination of CR mappings. *Complex Anal. Synerg.*, 8(4):Paper No. 19, 15, 2022.
- [20] S. Marini, C. Medori, and M. Nacinovich. Higher order Levi forms on homogeneous CR manifolds. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 299:563–589, 2021.
- [21] C. Medori and A. Spiro. The equivalence problem for five-dimensional Levi degenerate CR manifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (20):5602–5647, 2014.
- [22] J. Merker and S. Pocchiola. Explicit absolute parallelism for 2-nondegenerate real hypersurfaces $M^5 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ of constant Levi rank 1. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 30(3):2689–2730, 2020.
- [23] S. Pocchiola. Explicit absolute parallelism for 2-nondegenerate real hypersurfaces $M^5 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ of constant Levi rank 1, 2013. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6400>.
- [24] C. Porter and I. Zelenko. Absolute parallelism for 2-nondegenerate CR structures via bigraded Tanaka prolongation. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2021(777):195–250, 2021.
- [25] A. Santi. Homogeneous models for Levi degenerate CR manifolds. *Kyoto Journal of Mathematics*, 60(1):291–334, 2020.
- [26] D. Sykes and I. Zelenko. Maximal dimension of groups of symmetries of homogeneous 2-nondegenerate CR structures of hypersurface type with a 1-dimensional Levi kernel. *Transformation Groups*, pages 1–38, 2022.
- [27] D. Sykes and I. Zelenko. On geometry of 2-nondegenerate CR structures of hypersurface type and flag structures on leaf spaces of Levi foliations. *Advances in Mathematics*, 413:108850, 2023.
- [28] D. Zaitsev. On different notions of homogeneity for CR-manifolds. *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 11(2):331–340, 2007.

MARTIN KOLÁŘ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MASARYK UNIVERSITY, KOTLÁŘSKÁ 2,
611 37 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: `mkolar@math.muni.cz`

URL: <http://www.math.muni.cz/~mkolar>

ILYA KOSSOVSKIY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MASARYK UNIVERSITY, KOTLÁŘSKÁ 2,
611 37 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC, & INSTITUTE OF DISCRETE MATHEMATICS AND GEOMETRY, TU VI-
ENNA, AUSTRIA

Email address: `Kossovskiyi@math.muni.cz`

URL: <http://www.math.muni.cz/~kossovskiyi>

DAVID SYKES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MASARYK UNIVERSITY, KOTLÁŘSKÁ 2,
611 37 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC, & INSTITUTE OF DISCRETE MATHEMATICS AND GEOMETRY, TU VI-
ENNA, AUSTRIA

Email address: `sykes@math.muni.cz`

URL: <http://www.math.muni.cz/~sykes>