

ON COUNTING FLAT CONNECTIONS OVER G_2 -ORBIFOLDS

LANGTE MA

April 4, 2023

ABSTRACT. We study the moduli space of G_2 -instantons on (projectively) flat bundles over torsion-free G_2 -orbifolds. We prove that the moduli space is compact and smooth at the irreducible locus after adding small and generic holonomy perturbations. Consequently, we define an integer-valued invariant that is invariant under C^0 -deformation of torsion-free G_2 -structures. We compute this invariant for some orbifolds that arise in Joyce's construction of compact G_2 -manifold.

1. Introduction

1.1. *Background.*

The study of gauge theory over manifolds with special holonomy was initiated by Donaldson–Thomas [DT98] as an extension of the gauge theory techniques in dimension 3 and 4. In dimension 7, the holonomy group over Riemannian manifolds that enters the picture is G_2 , i.e. the automorphism group of octonions as a subgroup of $SO(7)$. For such manifolds, Donaldson–Segal [DS11] proposed a program of constructing invariants by relating the moduli space of instantons and calibrated submanifolds, which was further elaborated by Doan–Walpuski [DW19]. Ideally, one expects to have a thorough understanding of the moduli space of G_2 -instantons, then extracts an enumerative invariant combining counting irreducible points and tracing through the potential failure of compactness. Invariants of such kind should be useful in the study of deformation properties of G_2 -structures.

Although a general understanding of the moduli space of G_2 -instantons is still elusive, progress has been made through the study of explicit examples. The class of examples that motivate this paper comes from Joyce's construction [Joy96]. Roughly speaking, these compact G_2 -manifolds are obtained from flat G_2 -orbifolds by resolving the singularities using families of hyperkähler ALE spaces. In [Wal13], Walpuski constructed examples of non-trivial G_2 -instantons on Joyce's G_2 -manifolds by developing the gluing technique that grafts families of finite energy ASD instantons on ALE spaces to flat connections on G_2 -orbifolds. Therefore analyzing instantons over the building blocks would lead to a complete picture of the moduli space of G_2 -instantons over G_2 -manifolds from Joyce's list.

In this paper, we carry out the first step that studies the moduli space of flat connections over G_2 -orbifolds. Since the deformation theory of flat connections in dimension 7

is not Fredholm, we choose to consider G_2 -instantons over flat bundles. Heuristically, one can think of the G_2 -structure as a deformation to the flatness equation of connections. To get a regular moduli space, one needs to further perturb the G_2 -instanton equation by holonomy perturbations. After establishing the compactness of the moduli space, one can then extract an enumerative invariant by an appropriate counting procedure. The second step that studies G_2 -instantons over a family of ALE spaces will be carried out in a future paper by the author in collaboration with Galt [GM23]. This approach for analyzing moduli spaces of instantons also appeared in Kronheimer's work [Kro91] of counting instantons over $K3$ surfaces. Our work is partially inspired by his method.

1.2. Main Results.

The notion ‘orbifold’ in this paper primarily means effective orbifold in the classical sense used by Satake [Sat56] and Thurston [Thu97]. When a general perspective of ‘orbifold’ shows up, we will address it explicitly. We also recall basics of effective orbifold in Section 2.

We write (X, ϕ) for a typical compact smooth G_2 -orbifold which means the holonomy group of the Levi-Civita connection on the Riemannian orbifold X is contained in $G_2 \subset SO(7)$. As explained below in Section 2, the information of such a structure is encoded in a 3-form $\phi \in \Omega^3(X; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$d\phi = 0 \quad d\star_\phi \phi = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where \star_ϕ means the Hodge star defined with respect to the metric induced from ϕ . One further requires that at each point $x \in X$ there is an orbifold chart $\tilde{U}_x \rightarrow U_x$ centered at $x \in U_x \subset X$ so that $\phi|_x$, when lifted to \tilde{U}_x , is identified with the standard 3-form ϕ_0 on \mathbb{R}^7 :

$$\phi_0 := dx_{123} + dx_{145} + dx_{167} + dx_{246} - dx_{257} - dx_{347} - dx_{356}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $dx_{ijk} := dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_k$. The condition (1.1) is usually referred to as the torsion-free property of the G_2 -structure.

We write P^\dagger for a principal $U(r)$ -orbibundle over (X, ϕ) and P the adjoint bundle of P^\dagger with structure group $PU(r)$. Ignoring the regularity issue, we write \mathcal{A} for the space of C^∞ -connections on the adjoint bundle P and \mathcal{G} the gauge group consisting of C^∞ -automorphisms of P^\dagger that fixes the determinant line $\det P^\dagger$. Alternatively, one can think of \mathcal{A} as the space of unitary connections on P^\dagger that induce a fixed connection on $\det P^\dagger$, and \mathcal{G} consists of automorphisms that preserve this space of connections. For a unitary connection A^\dagger on P^\dagger , we write A for the induced connection on the adjoint bundle P .

Definition 1.1. A unitary connection $A^\dagger \in \mathcal{A}$ is called a projective G_2 -instanton with respect to ϕ if

$$F_A \wedge \star_\phi \phi = 0,$$

where A is the induced connection of A^\dagger on the adjoint bundle P .

In Section 4, we shall introduce a Banach space \mathcal{P} of holonomy perturbations. Each element $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ gives rise to a \mathcal{G} -invariant functional $\sigma_\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega^6(X, \mathfrak{g}_P)$ that can

be used to perturb the G_2 -instanton equation. Here \mathfrak{g}_P denotes the Lie algebra bundle associated to P by the adjoint action. We write

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \pi}(X, P^\dagger) := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : F_A \wedge \star_\phi \phi + \sigma_\pi(A) = 0\} / \mathcal{G}$$

for the moduli space of π -perturbed projective G_2 -instantons on P^\dagger . Let $Z(r) \subset U(r)$ be the center of $U(r)$, which is a cyclic group of order r . We say a connection $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is irreducible if its isotropy group $\text{Stab}(A) \subset \mathcal{G}$ is $Z(r)$. We prove the following result concerning the structure of the moduli space.

Theorem 1.2. *Let P^\dagger be a principal $U(r)$ -orbibundle over a torsion-free G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) whose Chern classes satisfy*

$$(r-1)c_1^2(P^\dagger) - 2rc_2(P^\dagger) = 0 \in H_{\text{orb}}^4(X; \mathbb{Z}). \quad (1.3)$$

Suppose $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ is a generic small perturbation. Then the following hold.

- (1) *The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \pi}(X, P^\dagger)$ is compact.*
- (2) *The irreducible locus $\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \pi}^*(X, P^\dagger)$ is a smooth 0-dimensional manifold, i.e. it's transversely cut out by the perturbed G_2 -instanton equation.*

We explain why the torsion-free assumption (1.1) plays an important role in Theorem 1.2. The closedness condition $d\phi = 0$ is used to provide an a priori bound on the L^2 -norm of curvature for G_2 -instantons. When the instanton equation is not perturbed, the requirement (1.3) combined with the closedness of ϕ implies that all G_2 -instantons are flat connections. The coclosed condition for ϕ is used essentially to get an elliptic deformation theory for G_2 -instantons.

We only sort out the orientability issue for the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ in limited cases of orbifolds. Concretely, we require the singular set of X be either locally modeled on the fixed point set of a ϕ -preserving involution or an intersection of the former models in certain proper sense. Locally, it forces the singular set to be either ‘associative’ (calibrated submanifold) or a curve arising as the intersection of two associative submanifolds. Nevertheless, such orbifolds have covered most cases appeared in Joyce’s construction. We call such orbifolds simple G_2 -orbifolds.

Besides (1.3), we also make another topological assumption on P^\dagger for the purpose of avoiding reducible instantons. We call such bundles admissible in Definition 3.11. With these preparations, we can define an enumerative invariant.

Theorem 1.3. *Let P^\dagger be an admissible $U(r)$ -bundle over a torsion-free, compact, smooth G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . Then counting irreducible projective G_2 -instantons on P^\dagger defines an invariant*

$$n_\phi(X, P^\dagger) := \#\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \pi}^*(X, P^\dagger) \in \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

If we further require (X, ϕ) be a simple G_2 -orbifold, and $c_1(P^\dagger)$ even or $r = 2$, then counting with signs gives us

$$n_\phi(X, P^\dagger) := \#\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \pi}^*(X, P^\dagger) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

after fixing extra orientation data. Moreover, the invariant $n_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ is invariant under C^0 -deformation of torsion-free G_2 -structures on X .

Although we haven't found examples of torsion-free G_2 -structures on a fixed smooth orbifold X resulting in different values of $n_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$, the torsion-free condition has been used in an essential way to deduce the deformation invariance. Because we need the closedness to guarantee compactness in Theorem 1.2. Note that the h -principle has been worked out for coclosed G_2 -structures by Crowley–Nordström [CN15]. So connecting torsion-free G_2 -structures by a path of coclosed G_2 -structures is not hard to achieve. It would be interesting to understand how the moduli space of admissible bundles can change at a jumping point where the G_2 -structure starts to fail being closed.

Since we work on (projectively) flat bundles, there is chance that the invariant we defined is merely topological. This is indeed the case if the moduli space of projectively flat connections is already non-degenerate in the following sense.

Definition 1.4. Let A^\dagger be an irreducible projectively flat connection on an admissible principal $U(r)$ -bundle P^\dagger . We say A is non-degenerate if

$$H^1(X, \rho_A) = 0,$$

where $\rho_A : \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X) \rightarrow SO(r^2 - 1)$ is the representation associated to the induced flat connection on the bundle $\mathfrak{su}(P^\dagger)$ consisting of traceless skew-Hermitian endomorphisms of P^\dagger .

Proposition 1.5. *Let P^\dagger be an admissible $U(r)$ -bundle over a torsion-free, compact, smooth G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . Suppose every irreducible projectively flat connection on P^\dagger is non-degenerate. Then the invariant $n_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ is independent of the choices of the torsion-free G_2 -structure ϕ .*

We ask for the torsion-free condition in Proposition 1.5 to ensure that the invariant $n_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ is defined. In the non-degenerate case, the moduli spaces together with their deformation structures are identified canonically with respect to different torsion-free G_2 -structures. When (X, ϕ) is a flat orbifold, a Weitzenböck formula argument shows that every irreducible projectively flat connection is non-degenerate automatically. In particular, all orbifolds arising from Joyce's construction satisfy the non-degeneracy condition automatically. We compute the invariant for one such orbifold by exhausting all irreducible representations. Such computation for many other flat orbifolds in Joyce's construction can be worked out in a similar way.

Proposition 1.6. *Let (X, ϕ) be the flat simple orbifold constructed in Example 3 of [Joy96]. Then there are admissible $U(2)$ -bundles P^\dagger on (X, ϕ) whose corresponding invariant is $n_\phi(X, P^\dagger) = 2^6 \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

To conclude, we mention that a homotopy invariant $\nu(X, \phi) \in \mathbb{Z}/48$ for G_2 -structures (not necessarily torsion-free) over 7-manifolds was introduced by Crowley–Nordström [CN15] as an ' \hat{A} -defect'. If we consider non-simply-connected G_2 -manifolds (X, ϕ) with $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, an invariant $n_\phi(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be defined by summing up the counting of all irreducible G_2 -instantons over flat $SU(2)$ -bundles. We suspect there might be a relation between $n_\phi(X)$ and $\nu(X, \phi)$, which is analogous to the case of the Casson invariant and

Rohlin invariant on homology 3-spheres. Computations of the ν -invariant over Joyce's manifolds were carried out in the work of Scaduto [Sca20].

1.3. *Outline.*

In Section 2, we review necessary materials concerning torsion-free G_2 -orbifolds. In Section 3, we set up the frame work for the moduli space of G_2 -instantons on G_2 -orbifolds, including deformation, reducibility, and orientation. In Section 4, we introduce holonomy perturbations following the work of Donaldson, and prove transversality for irreducible moduli spaces. In Section 5, we prove the compactness of moduli spaces under small perturbations. In Section 6, we define the invariant $n_\phi(X)$ and verify its deformation invariance. Calculations for examples are also included in the end.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful for Simon Donaldson for suggesting this project with patient guidance and encouragement. He also wants to thank Donghao Wang for enlightening discussions.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review the basic materials centering around gauge theory over G_2 -manifolds, and explain how to extend corresponding notions to the orbifold setting. For a more detailed exposition on the geometry of G_2 -manifolds, one may consult Joyce's book [Joy00]. As for the gauge theoretical perspective on G_2 -manifolds, the paper by Donaldson–Segal [DS11] is an excellent reference.

2.1. *Linear Algebra Related to G_2 .*

We start with a brief review on the linear algebra behind the Lie group G_2 . For a more comprehensive treatment, one may consult the notes by Salamon–Walpuski [SW17]. Let $V = (\mathbb{R}^7, g_0)$ be the 7-dimensional real vector space \mathbb{R}^7 equipped with the standard Euclidean inner product $g_0 = \sum_{i=1}^7 dx_i^2$, where (x_1, \dots, x_7) are the standard coordinates on \mathbb{R}^7 . An orientation over V is also fixed so that the corresponding volume form is $\text{vol}_0 = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_7$. Over V , we have a 3-form

$$\phi_0 := dx_{123} + dx_{145} + dx_{167} + dx_{246} - dx_{257} - dx_{347} - dx_{356}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $dx_{ijk} := dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_k$. We define G_2 to be the subgroup of $GL_{\mathbb{R}}(7)$ consisting of elements that preserve the 3-form ϕ_0 . It is known that G_2 is a compact, connected, simply-connected, semisimple Lie group of real dimension 14 (c.f. [Joy00, SW17]). The 3-form ϕ_0 defines a cross-product on V via the relation

$$\phi_0(u, v, w) = g_0(u \times v, w), \quad \forall u, v, w \in V. \quad (2.2)$$

Here a cross-product means a skew-symmetric bilinear form $\times : V \times V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$g_0(u \times v, u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |u \times v|^2 = |u|^2|v|^2 - g_0(u, v)^2, \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

If we identify \mathbb{R}^7 with the imaginary part of the octonions \mathbb{O} , the cross product given by ϕ_0 is the octonionic multiplication followed by taking imaginary part. The volume form vol_0 of V is related to the 3-form ϕ_0 by

$$\iota_u \phi_0 \wedge \iota_v \phi_0 \wedge \phi_0 = 6g_0(u, v) \text{vol}_0, \quad \forall u, v \in V, \quad (2.3)$$

where ι_u is the contraction map. (2.3) implies that the 3-form ϕ_0 induces the inner product g_0 once an orientation of V is chosen.

The induced G_2 -action on the space of alternating 2-tensors $\Lambda^2 V^*$ splits the space into the sum of irreducible G_2 -representations:

$$\Lambda^2 V^* := \Lambda_7^2 \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2, \quad (2.4)$$

where Λ_7^2 is isomorphic to the fundamental representation of G_2 on \mathbb{R}^7 , and Λ_{14}^2 is isomorphic to the adjoint G_2 -representation on its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 . The irreducible representations can also be written down explicitly as (c.f. [SW17, Theorem 8.5]):

$$\Lambda_7^2 = \{\omega \in \Lambda^2 V^* : \star(\phi_0 \wedge \omega) = 2\omega\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{14}^2 = \{\omega \in \Lambda^2 V^* : \star(\phi_0 \wedge \omega) = -\omega\}. \quad (2.5)$$

In other words, Λ_7^2 and Λ_{14}^2 are the 2- and -1 -eigenspaces of the operator $\star(\phi_0 \wedge -)$ acting on $\Lambda^2 V^*$ respectively. Using the relation

$$\star(\phi_0 \wedge \star(\phi_0 \wedge \omega)) = 2\omega + \star(\phi_0 \wedge \omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Lambda^2 V^*,$$

one can write down the projection map as

$$\pi_7(\omega) = \frac{1}{3}(\omega + \star(\phi_0 \wedge \omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{14}(\omega) = \frac{1}{3}(2\omega - \star(\phi_0 \wedge \omega)). \quad (2.6)$$

The equation (2.6) immediately gives us the following generalization of (2.3):

$$\omega \wedge \omega \wedge \phi_0 = (2|\pi_7(\omega)|^2 - |\pi_{14}(\omega)|^2) \text{vol}_0, \quad \forall \omega \in \Lambda^2 V^*. \quad (2.7)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}^3(V)$ the orbit of ϕ_0 under the $GL_{\mathbb{R}}^+(7)$ -action, where $GL_{\mathbb{R}}^+(7)$ is the group consisting of orientation-preserving automorphisms of V . We refer to an element $\phi \in \mathcal{P}^3(V)$ as a positive 3-form. One sees immediately that $\mathcal{P}^3(V)$ is an open subset of $\Lambda^3 V^*$ which can be identified with $GL_{\mathbb{R}}^+(7)/G_2$. The discussion above tells us that every positive 3-form ϕ determines an inner product g_ϕ and volume form vol_ϕ on an oriented \mathbb{R}^7 .

Definition 2.1. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{P}^3(V)$ be a positive 3-form. A oriented 3-plane $W \subset V$ is said to be ϕ -associative if $\text{vol}_W = \phi|_W$, where vol_W is the volume form on W induced from g_ϕ .

Due to (2.2), every ϕ -associative subspace W arises as the span of u, v and $u \times_\phi v$ for some linearly independent vectors $u, v \in V$. Associative subspaces are closely related to invariant subspaces of \mathbb{R}^7 under the action of finite subgroups of G_2 . For instance, any element $\sigma \in G_2$ of order 2 fixes a associative subspace in V (c.f. [Joy00, Proposition 10.8.1]). In general, the space of invariant vectors in V under the action of a finite subgroup in G_2 can be described as follows.

Proposition 2.2 ([Joy00, Proposition 11.1.3]). *Let $\Gamma \subset G_2$ be a finite subgroup, and*

$$V^\Gamma := \{v \in V : \gamma v = v \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

be the subspace of Γ -invariant vectors. Then V^Γ takes one of the following forms.

- (a) $V^\Gamma = V$ or $\{0\}$.
- (b) V^Γ is an associative subspace, in which case Γ is conjugate to a finite subgroup of $SU(2)$ so that $V/\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$.
- (c) $V^\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{R}$, in which case Γ is conjugate to a finite subgroup of $SU(3)$ so that $V/G \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^3/\Gamma$.

2.2. G_2 -Orbifolds.

Following the treatment in [ALR07], we first review the notion ‘effective orbifold’ in the classical sense [Sat56]. Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff space. An n -dimensional orbifold chart on X is a triple (\tilde{U}, G, ϕ) where

- (1) $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open neighborhood of the origin;
- (2) G is a finite subgroup of $O(n)$;
- (3) $\phi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow X$ is a G -invariant map that induces a homeomorphism $\bar{\phi} : \tilde{U}/G \rightarrow \phi(\tilde{U})$.

An n -dimensional orbifold atlas $\mathcal{U} = \{(\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}$ consists of a family of n -dimensional orbifold charts that cover X and satisfy the following compatibility assumption

- Given two charts $(\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$ and $(\tilde{U}_\beta, G_\beta, \varphi_\beta)$, for any $x \in \varphi_\alpha(\tilde{U}_\alpha) \cap \varphi_\beta(\tilde{U}_\beta)$ one can find a third chart $(\tilde{U}_\gamma, G_\gamma, \varphi_\gamma)$ covering x and smooth embeddings $\lambda_{\alpha\gamma} : \tilde{U}_\gamma \hookrightarrow \tilde{U}_\alpha$, $\lambda_{\beta\gamma} : \tilde{U}_\gamma \hookrightarrow \tilde{U}_\beta$ such that

$$\varphi_\gamma = \varphi_\alpha \circ \lambda_{\alpha\gamma} = \varphi_\beta \circ \lambda_{\beta\gamma}$$

Given smooth embedding $\lambda_{\beta\alpha} : \tilde{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{U}_\beta$ satisfying $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\beta \circ \lambda_{\beta\alpha}$, it can be shown [Moe02] that for each $g_\alpha \in G_\alpha$ there exists a unique element in G_β , denoted by $\lambda_{\beta\alpha}(g_\alpha)$, such that

$$\lambda_{\beta\alpha} \circ g_\alpha = \lambda_{\beta\alpha}(g_\alpha) \circ \lambda_{\beta\alpha}.$$

The assignment $\lambda_{\beta\alpha} : G_\alpha \rightarrow G_\beta$ is injective and makes the embedding G_α -equivariant.

Definition 2.3. An n -dimensional orbifold X is a paracompact Hausdorff space equipped with a maximal n -dimensional orbifold atlas.

Given a point x in an orbifold X , we can choose an orbifold chart (\tilde{U}, G, φ) that covers x . Let $\tilde{x} \in \varphi^{-1}(x) \subset \tilde{U}$ be a preimage of x . We denote by $G_{\tilde{x}}$ the subgroup of G consisting of stabilizers of \tilde{x} . Different choices of \tilde{x} in $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ and orbifold charts give rise to canonically isomorphic stabilizers $G_{\tilde{x}}$. Thus we denote by G_x as an abstract group isomorphic to $G_{\tilde{x}}$ for some $\tilde{x} \in \varphi^{-1}(x)$, and refer to G_x as the isotropy group of x . Locally near x , the orbifold X is modeled on \tilde{U}_x/G_x where $\tilde{U}_x \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set so that x is identified with the origin under the quotient. We denote by

$$S_X := \{x \in X : G_x \neq 1\} \tag{2.8}$$

the set of points with non-trivial isotropy group. Each point in S_X is called a singular point of the orbifold X .

Given an orbifold chart $(\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$, one can consider the tangent bundle $T\tilde{U}_\alpha$ which is naturally a G_α -equivariant bundle with the G_α -action induced from differentiating the G_α -action on the base \tilde{U}_α . The orbifold charts $(T\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha)$ can be patched together to get

$$TX := \bigsqcup_{\alpha} (T\tilde{U}_\alpha/G_\alpha) / \sim,$$

where the patching map is given by the differential of the embeddings $\lambda_{\beta\alpha}$. The total space TX is a $2n$ -dimensional orbifold, which we refer to as the tangent bundle of X . There is a natural projection $\pi : TX \rightarrow X$ whose fiber at $x \in X$ is

$$\pi^{-1}(x) = T_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{U}/G_{\tilde{x}},$$

where $\tilde{x} \in \phi^{-1}(x)$ is a point in the preimage of x .

Such a construction can be carried over to the cotangent bundle T^*X , the symmetric tensor bundle Sym^*T^*X , and the alternating tensor bundle Λ^*T^*X . Locally over a chart $(\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha)$ these bundles are G_α -equivariant bundles. A global section of these bundles consists of locally G_α -equivariant sections that are compatible under the patching maps. In particular, a Riemannian metric g over an orbifold X is a positive-definite section of Sym^2T^*X . Analogous to the manifold scenario, an orbifold Riemannian metric g gives rise to a Levi-Civita connection on all tensor bundles of X , which we denote by ∇_g .

We say X is orientable if $\Lambda^n T^*X$ admits a nowhere vanishing section. Such a section specifies an orientation of X . Combining with the Riemannian metric g , we get a volume form vol over X . The integration over X is defined as follows. Locally over $U_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(\tilde{U}_\alpha) \subset X$, a compactly supported n -form ω_α on U_α arises as the G_α -quotient of an equivariant n -form $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha$. Then we define

$$\int_{U_\alpha} \omega_\alpha := \frac{1}{|G_\alpha|} \int_{\tilde{U}_\alpha} \tilde{\omega}_\alpha,$$

where the integral on the RHS is defined with the help of the volume form. To integrate a general n -form over X , we take a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_\alpha\}$ to truncate the n -form, and add up integrals on the charts.

Let $(\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha)$ be an oriented orbifold chart. For each $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{U}_\alpha$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}^3(T_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{U}_\alpha)$ the set of positive 3-forms on $T_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{U}_\alpha$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}^3(T\tilde{U}_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\tilde{x}} \mathcal{P}^3(T_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{U}_\alpha)$.

Definition 2.4. Let X be an oriented 7-dimensional orbifold.

- An almost G_2 -structure over X is a 3-form $\phi \in C^\infty(X, \Lambda^3 T^*X)$ whose restriction to each chart $(\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha)$ is the G_α -quotient of an equivariant positive 3-form $\tilde{\phi}_\alpha \in C^\infty(\tilde{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{P}^3(T\tilde{U}_\alpha))$.
- Denote by g_ϕ the induced Riemannian metric from an almost G_2 -structure ϕ . We say ϕ is a (torsion-free) G_2 -structure if it is g_ϕ -parallel, i.e. $\nabla_{g_\phi}\phi = 0$.

The condition $\nabla_{g_\phi}\phi = 0$ is equivalent to that the Levi-Civita connection ∇_{g_ϕ} is torsion-free. The following result provides us with a useful criterion to justify whether an almost G_2 -structure is parallel or not.

Lemma 2.5 ([Joy00, Proposition 10.1.3]). *Let ϕ be an almost G_2 -structure over an orbifold X . Then ϕ is g_ϕ -parallel if and only if*

$$d\phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d\star_\phi\phi = 0,$$

where \star_ϕ is the Hodge star given by the induced metric g_ϕ .

Let $(\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$ be an orbifold chart of X , and $U_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(\tilde{U}_\alpha) \subset X$. In the definition, the restriction of a G_2 -structure $\phi|_{U_\alpha}$ is given by the quotient of a G_α -equivariant positive 3-form $\tilde{\phi}_\alpha$. Since G_α fixes the origin in \tilde{U}_α , it preserves the positive 3-form $\tilde{\phi}_\alpha|_0$. Thus, up to $GL_{\mathbb{R}}^+(7)$ -conjugation, G_α can be identified with a discrete subgroup of G_2 . The conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of G_2 have been classified in [CW83, Gri95]. We shall not dive into the details here. Roughly speaking, there are seven finite subgroups in G_2 that act irreducibly on \mathbb{R}^7 through the fundamental representation of G_2 . All other finite subgroups of G_2 lie in either $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$, up to conjugation. So the isotropy group G_x of a point $x \in X$ has to be one of those.

Typical examples of compact G_2 -orbifolds arise as the global quotient of a compact G_2 -manifold under a finite group action that preserves the G_2 -structure. We briefly review some constructions here. For more details, see Joyce's book [Joy00].

Example 2.1. Let M be a compact 7-manifold equipped with a G_2 -structure ϕ . [Joy00, Theorem 10.2.1] tells us that the connected component of the holonomy group $\text{Hol}^0(g_\phi)$ is one of $\{1\}$, $SU(2)$, $SU(3)$, or G_2 .

- (a) When $\text{Hol}^0(g_\phi) = \{1\}$, we consider the flat 7-torus $T^7 = \mathbb{R}^7/\mathbb{Z}^7$. The 3-form ϕ_0 (2.1) over \mathbb{R}^7 is invariant under the \mathbb{Z}^7 -translation. Thus it descends to a torsion-free G_2 -structure ϕ_0 over T^7 . Let $\Gamma \subset G_2$ be a finite subgroup. Since Γ preserves the 3-form ϕ_0 . The quotient T^7/Γ is a G_2 -orbifold.
- (b) When $\text{Hol}^0(g_\phi) = SU(2)$, we consider the product $M = T^3 \times K3$ between the flat 3-torus and the $K3$ surface. The $K3$ surface admits a complex structure J with compatible Kähler form ω and holomorphic volume form θ . Then we have a G_2 -structure on M (c.f. [Joy00, Proposition 11.1.1])

$$\phi = dx_{123} + dx_1 \wedge \omega + dx_2 \wedge \text{Re}\theta - dx_3 \wedge \text{Im}\theta. \tag{2.9}$$

Then one can take a finite group Γ of diffeomorphisms that preserve ϕ . The global quotient M/Γ is a G_2 -orbifold. For instance, $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $T^3 \times K3$ via the the map $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1, -x_2, -x_3)$ on T^3 and complex conjugation on $K3$.

- (c) When $\text{Hol}^0(g_\phi) = SU(3)$, we consider the product $M = S^1 \times Y$ between the circle and a Calabi–Yau 3-fold Y . Let ω be the Kähler form and θ be the holomorphic volume form over Y . Then a G_2 -structure on M takes the form (c.f. [Joy00, Proposition 11.1.2])

$$\phi = dx \wedge \omega + \text{Re}\theta. \tag{2.10}$$

Then $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $S^1 \times Y$ via the map obtained by the antipodal map on S^1 and complex conjugation on Y .

Motivated by these examples, we shall consider G_2 -orbifolds with certain explicit local models near its singular set.

Definition 2.6. A compact G_2 -orbifold X is called simple if its singular set S_X is locally modeled on one of the following types.

- (I) $x \in S_X$ admits an orbifold chart $(\tilde{U}_x, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ equipped with the standard positive 3-form ϕ_0 , where the generator -1 of $\mathbb{Z}/2 = \{1, -1\}$ acts on $\tilde{U}_x \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) \longmapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, -x_4, -x_5, -x_6, -x_7).$$

- (II) $x \in S_X$ admits an orbifold chart $(\tilde{U}_x, \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)$ equipped with the standard positive 3-form ϕ_0 , where the generators $(1, -1)$ and $(-1, 1)$ act on $\tilde{U}_x \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ by

$$(1, -1) \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, -x_4, -x_5, -x_6, -x_7)$$

$$(-1, 1) \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = (x_1, -x_2, -x_3, x_4, x_5, -x_6, -x_7).$$

The first local model (1) in Definition 2.6 describes the singular set locally as the quotient of an associative subspace in \mathbb{R}^7 whose neighborhood arise an $\mathbb{R}P^3$ -cone bundle. The second local model (2) in Definition 2.6 describes that two pieces of the singular set modeled on (1) intersect each other into a curve. In Joyce's construction of compact G_2 -manifolds, G_2 -orbifolds arise naturally as global quotients of the flat 7-torus under a finite group action. For the concrete examples presented in his paper [Joy96], most of the relevant G_2 -orbifolds are simple.

Now we briefly discuss the homotopy and (co)homology groups of orbifolds following the treatment in [ALR07]. To each orbifold X , one can associate a classifying space $c : \mathcal{B}X \rightarrow X$ which has the homotopy type of a CW-complex [Seg74]. This classifying space is unique up to weak homotopy equivalent. Then we define the homotopy groups and (co)homology groups of the orbifold X respectively as

$$\pi_n^{\text{orb}}(X, x) := \pi_n(\mathcal{B}X, x_c) \quad H_n^{\text{orb}}(X; R) := H_n(\mathcal{B}X; R) \quad H_{\text{orb}}^n(X; R) := H^n(\mathcal{B}X; R), \quad (2.11)$$

where $x_c \in \mathcal{B}X$ satisfies $c(x_c) = x$, and R is any commutative ring. It is proved [ALR07, Corollary 1.24] that every (classical) compact n -orbifold X is the global quotient of a smooth manifold M under a smooth, effective, and almost free action by a compact Lie group G . Indeed, one can take M to be the total space of the frame bundle of X and $G = O(n)$. In this case the classifying space $\mathcal{B}X$ arises as the Borel construction $EG \times_G M$, where EG is the classifying bundle of the compact Lie group G . So the orbifold (co)homology of $X = M/G$ is the equivariant (co)homology of (M, G) .

One can also consider the deRham cohomology over an orbifold whose chain complex consists of orbifold n -forms $\Omega^n(X)$, and whose differential is the exterior derivative on forms. We denote the deRham cohomology by $H_{\text{dR}}^*(X)$ which can be identified with the (non-orbifold) singular cohomology $H^*(X; \mathbb{R})$ of X with \mathbb{R} -coefficient (c.f. [Sat56]). Moreover, the orbifold cohomology $H_{\text{orb}}^*(X; \mathbb{R})$ is also isomorphic to usual singular cohomology $H^*(X; \mathbb{R})$.

When G is a finite group, the fibration $M \rightarrow EG \times_G M \rightarrow BG$ gives rise to a short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(M) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1. \quad (2.12)$$

By passing to the universal cover \tilde{M} of M , the orbifold fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X)$ can be identified with the group of covering transformations on \tilde{M} [ALR07, Theorem 2.18]. The orbifold fundamental group has a more elementary description which corresponds to the definition of the fundamental group of manifolds. We recall this description following the exposition in [Hae90].

Definition 2.7. Let X be an orbifold equipped with an orbifold atlas $\{(\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$. Let $x, y \in X$ be two fixed points.

- An orbipath γ from x to y consists of the following data.
 - (1) A partition $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = 1$ of the unit interval $[0, 1]$.
 - (2) An index function $\tau : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow I$ and a family of continuous paths $\tilde{\gamma}_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{\tau(i)}$ such that

$$\varphi_{\tau(1)}(\tilde{\gamma}_1(0)) = x, \quad \varphi_{\tau(i)}(\tilde{\gamma}_i(t_{i-1})) = \varphi_{\tau(i-1)}(\tilde{\gamma}_{i-1}(t_{i-1})), \quad \varphi_{\tau(n)}(\tilde{\gamma}_n(1)) = y.$$
- Two orbipaths γ and γ' from x to y are equivalent if, after passing to subdivisions of $[0, 1]$ and refinements to a common index function τ , the local lifts are related by $\tilde{\gamma}_i = g_i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}'_i$ for some $g_i \in G_{\tau(i)}$.
- Two orbipaths γ and γ' are homotopic relative to ends if one can find a continuous family of orbipaths $(\gamma^s)_{s \in [0, 1]}$ so that for all $s \in [0, 1]$ one has

$$\gamma^s(0) = x, \quad \gamma^0 = \gamma, \quad \gamma^1 = \gamma', \quad \gamma^s(1) = y.$$

- The orbifold fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X, x)$ is defined to be the set of orbipaths based at x , up to orbipath equivalence and homotopy relative to ends, which is equipped with the obvious group structure.

One can heuristically think of an orbipath as a continuous path on X with chosen local lifts, and the orbifold fundamental group as homotopy classes of such objects. The notion orbipath will be useful when we consider holonomy of connections over orbibundles.

2.3. Orbibundles and Connections.

Definition 2.8. Let X be an n -orbifold equipped with an orbifold atlas $\{(\tilde{U}_\alpha, G_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}$.

- A rank- r vector orbibundle E over X is a collection $\{\tilde{E}_\alpha\}$ of G_α -equivariant rank- r bundles $\tilde{E}_\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{U}_\alpha$ equipped with fiberwise isomorphic embeddings $\tilde{E}_\alpha \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}_\beta$ that are compatible with the embeddings $\tilde{U}_\alpha \hookrightarrow \tilde{U}_\beta$.
- Let G be a compact Lie group. A principal G -orbibundle P over X is a collection $\{\tilde{P}_\alpha\}$ of G_α -equivariant principal G -bundles $\tilde{P}_\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{U}_\alpha$ (the left G_α -action commutes with the right G -action on \tilde{P}_α) equipped with fiberwise isomorphic embeddings $\tilde{P}_\alpha \hookrightarrow \tilde{P}_\beta$ that are compatible with the embeddings $\tilde{U}_\alpha \hookrightarrow \tilde{U}_\beta$.

Let P be a principal G -orbibundle over X . Same as the case of manifolds [KN63], a connection A over P is defined as a compatible collection of G -equivariant splittings of the (G_α, G) -equivariant bundle sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{P}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\psi_\alpha} T\tilde{P}_\alpha \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha,*}} \pi_\alpha^* T\tilde{U}_\alpha \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G , and ψ_α is the infinitesimal Lie algebra action that induces the isomorphism between \mathfrak{g} and the vertical fiber of $T\tilde{P}_\alpha$. A splitting of (2.13) can be realized either as a left inverse of ψ_α which gives us a (G_α, G) -equivariant \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form on \tilde{P}_α after composing the projection onto \mathfrak{g} , or as a right inverse of $\pi_{\alpha,*}$ whose image is a (G_α, G) -equivariant horizontal subspace of $T\tilde{P}_\alpha$.

To a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$, we can associate a vector orbibundle $E = P \times_\rho V$. In particular, the adjoint representation $\text{ad} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ gives us an adjoint bundle $\mathfrak{g}_P := P \times_{\text{ad}} \mathfrak{g}$. The space \mathcal{A}_P of smooth connections over P is then an affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g}_P)$. The adjoint action $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ results in another fiber bundle $G_P := P \times_{\text{Ad}} G$. Although locally the fiber G_P is the Lie group G , it is not a principal G -bundle. The automorphism group of P is then identified as $C^\infty(X, G_P)$ whose Lie algebra is $\Omega^0(X, \mathfrak{g}_P)$. We refer to $\mathcal{G}_P := C^\infty(X, G_P)$ as the gauge group of P , and an automorphism of P as a gauge transformation. Then \mathcal{G}_P acts on \mathcal{A}_P via pull-backs:

$$u \cdot A := uAu^{-1} = A - (d_A u)u^{-1}. \quad (2.14)$$

Given a G -connection A on a principal G -orbibundle P , we can define the holonomy of A around orbiloops in X similarly as in the case of manifolds. When A is flat, i.e. its curvature $F_A = 0$, the holonomy is invariant under homotopy of orbiloops. After fixing a basepoint $x \in X$ and a lift $p \in P$ of x , the holonomy of a flat connection defines a representation

$$\text{Hol}(A) : \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X, x) \longrightarrow G. \quad (2.15)$$

Different choices of x and p changes the representation by the adjoint action of an element in G . Conversely, given a representation $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X), G)$, one can build a principal G -orbibundle P_ρ with a connection A_ρ that realizes ρ via its holonomy (c.f. [SY17]). So we consider the set $\mathfrak{F}(X, G)$ of pairs (P, A) where P is a principal G -orbibundle over X , and A is a flat connection over P . Two pairs (P, A) and (P', A') are equivalent if there exists a bundle isomorphism $f : P \rightarrow P'$ so that $f^*A' = A$. Then one can prove the above procedure establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of such pairs and conjugacy classes of $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X)$ -representations over G .

Proposition 2.9 ([SY17]). *The holonomy map induces a one-to-one correspondence:*

$$\mathfrak{F}(X, G)/\sim \longleftrightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X), G)/\text{Ad}.$$

Such a correspondence is well-known in the manifold case. One may consult [Tau11, Chapter 13] for a complete proof.

Suppose now that G is a Lie subgroup of $O(n)$ for some positive integer n . Then the representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associates a rank- n bundle $E := P \times_\rho X$ to a principal G -orbibundle $P \rightarrow X$. Regarding the total space of E as an orbifold, its classifying space $\mathcal{B}E$ is naturally an \mathbb{R}^n -bundle over $\mathcal{B}X$ (c.f. [ALR07]). Then we define the Steifel–Whitney classes and Pontryagin classes of P as the characteristic classes associated to the bundle $\mathcal{B}E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}X$:

$$w_i(P) \in H_{\text{orb}}^i(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \quad \text{and} \quad p_i(P) \in H_{\text{orb}}^{4i}(X; \mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.16)$$

If G is a Lie subgroup of $U(n)$, Chern classes are defined similar for principal G -orbibundles. The Chern–Weil theory that relates characteristic forms to the curvature form of connections on a principal G -orbibundle are also developed. For a thorough treatment, one may consult [LGTX07]. When the orbifold X is given by a global quotient M/G , and P is an equivariant G -bundle, the book by Berline–Getzler–Vergne [BGV92, Chapter 7] contains an excellent exposition on the equivariant Chern–Weil theory. For instance, under the identification $H_{\text{dR}}^*(X) \simeq H_{\text{orb}}^*(X; \mathbb{R})$ the real Pontryagin classes of P are given by the same combination of the symmetric polynomials of the curvature form F_A for a G -connection A over P as in the case of principal G -bundles over manifolds.

3. Gauge Theory over G_2 -Orbifolds

In this section, we set up the framework of gauge theory over G_2 -orbifolds following Donaldson–Segal [DS11]. For a more comprehensive treatment, one may consult the thesis of Sá Earp [SE09].

To start, we pin-down the convention of orbifolds and bundles. Let (X, ϕ) be a compact orbifold equipped with a torsion-free G_2 -structure, and $\pi : P^\dagger \rightarrow X$ a principal $U(r)$ -bundle. From P^\dagger , we obtain a line bundle $\det P^\dagger$ via the determinant homomorphism $\det : U(r) \rightarrow U(1)$ and a $PU(r)$ -bundle P via the projective homomorphism $\text{pr} : U(r) \rightarrow PU(r)$. A general connection of P^\dagger is denoted by A^\dagger , which induces a connection θ_A on $\det P^\dagger$ and a connection A on P .

3.1. G_2 -Instantons.

We fix a smooth connection A_0^\dagger on P^\dagger as a reference connection. We also fix an integer $k \geq 4$ to ensure the Sobolev embedding $L_k^2 \hookrightarrow C^0$. Then we get an induced connection A on the $PU(r)$ -bundle P . Denote by \mathcal{A}_k the space of all $PU(r)$ -connections over P in class L_k^2 . Explicitly $A \in \mathcal{A}_k$ if and only if

$$\|A - A_0\|_{L_{k,A_0}^2(X)} := \sum_{i=0}^k \left(\int_X |\nabla_{A_0}^i (A - A_0)|^2 d\text{vol} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where $\nabla_{A_0}^i$ means to take the i -th derivative with respect to A_0 . Then \mathcal{A}_k is an affine space modeled on $L_k^2(X, \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)$, where Λ^* denotes $\Lambda^* T^* X$.

Remark 3.1. We always identify $\mathfrak{pu}(r)$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(r)$ via the isomorphism $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{su}(r) \rightarrow \mathfrak{pu}(r)$ given by differentiating the adjoint map. The inner product on $\mathfrak{su}(r)$ is defined via the Killing form:

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := -\text{tr}(\text{ad}(\alpha) \circ \text{ad}(\beta)) = -2r \text{tr}(\alpha\beta), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{su}(r).$$

The gauge group \mathcal{G}_{k+1} is defined as follows. Note that the adjoint action of $U(r)$ on $SU(r)$ factors through $PU(r)$. So we can consider bundles

$$G'_P := P \times_{\text{Ad}} SU(r) \quad G_P := P \times_{\text{Ad}} PU(r).$$

Sections of the second bundle G_P are automorphisms of P . The projective homomorphism $\text{pr} : SU(r) \rightarrow PU(r)$ induces a map $\text{pr}_P : G'_P \rightarrow G_P$. We define the gauge group to be

$$\mathcal{G}_{k+1} := L_{k+1}^2(G'_P)$$

which acts on the bundle P by pre-composing with pr_P . Elements in \mathcal{G}_{k+1} are commonly referred to as ‘determinant-1’ of $SU(r)$ gauge transformations. Sections of G'_P act on the space of connections via pull-backs as usual:

$$u \cdot A := A - (d_A u)u^{-1}, \quad u \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_k. \quad (3.1)$$

Over 7-orbifolds, the Sobolev multiplication theorem $L_{k+1}^2 \times L_k^2 \hookrightarrow L_k^2$ holds when $k \geq 3$. Thus gauge transformations in \mathcal{G}_{k+1} preserves \mathcal{A}_k . The Lie algebra of \mathcal{G}_{k+1} is identified with $L_{k+1}^2(X, \mathfrak{g}_P)$.

Alternatively, one can work with $U(r)$ -connections over P^\dagger with an extra constraint. Denote by $\theta_0 = \det A_0^\dagger$ the induced connection on the determinant line $\det P^\dagger$. Then the space \mathcal{A}_k of connections can be identified with the space of L_k^2 $U(r)$ -connections A^\dagger on P^\dagger such that $\det A^\dagger = \theta_0$. Gauge transformations on P^\dagger that preserve θ_0 have trivial determinants, thus form the aforementioned group \mathcal{G}_{k+1} .

Denote by $\psi := \star_\phi \phi$ the 4-form associated to the positive 3-form ϕ . The G_2 -variant of the Chern-Simons functional is defined as:

$$\text{cs}_\phi(A_0 + a) := -2r \int_X \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(a \wedge F_{A_0+a}) + \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(a \wedge a \wedge a) \right) \wedge \psi. \quad (3.2)$$

It's clear that the Chern-Simons functional defines an analytic functional over the space of connections $\text{cs}_\phi : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.2. *The gradient of the Chern-Simons functional is given by*

$$\text{grad cs}_\phi(A) = \star_\phi(F_A \wedge \psi).$$

Proof. We identify the tangent space $T_A \mathcal{A}_k$ at $A = A_0 + a$ by $L_k^2(X, \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)$. Then the differential can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2r} D \text{cs}_\phi|_A(\alpha) &= - \int_X \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}(a \wedge d_{A_0} \alpha) + \text{tr}(\alpha \wedge d_{A_0} a)) \wedge \psi \\ &\quad - \int_X \frac{1}{3} (\text{tr}(\alpha \wedge a \wedge a) + \text{tr}(a \wedge \alpha \wedge a) + \text{tr}(a \wedge a \wedge \alpha)) \wedge \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts gives us

$$\int_X \text{tr}(a \wedge d_{A_0} \alpha) \wedge \psi = \int_X \text{tr}(\alpha \wedge d_{A_0} a) \wedge \psi.$$

The cyclic-permutation invariance of the trace operator tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} D \text{cs}_\phi|_A(\alpha) &= -2r \int_X \text{tr}(\alpha \wedge (d_{A_0} a + a \wedge a)) \wedge \psi \\ &= -2r \int_X \text{tr}(\alpha \wedge F_A) \wedge \psi \\ &= \langle \alpha, \star_\phi(F_A \wedge \psi) \rangle_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.3. Let $P^\dagger \rightarrow X$ be a principal $U(r)$ -orbibundle over a G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . A unitary connection A^\dagger over P^\dagger is a projective G_2 -instanton if

$$F_A \wedge \psi = 0,$$

where A is the induced connection of A^\dagger on the $PU(r)$ -bundle P .

As we work with connections on the $PU(r)$ -bundle P in most times, we shall simply refer to A as a G_2 -instanton if $F_A \wedge \psi = 0$. Lemma 3.2 tells us that a G_2 -instanton is a critical point of the Chern–Simons functional cs_ϕ . This perspective of G_2 -instantons arises as a reflection on the scenario in dimension 3 where flat connections are critical points of the Chern–Simons functional. On the other hand, G_2 -instantons also bear similarities with ASD instantons in dimension 4. Recall in (2.4) that the G_2 -action on $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^7)^*$ decomposes into two G_2 -representations $\Lambda_7^2 \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2$. Since the 3-form ϕ is parallel, such a decomposition carries through to the bundle of 2-forms over the G_2 -orbifold X immediately:

$$\Lambda^2 T^* X = \Lambda_7^2 \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2.$$

Denote by $\pi_7 : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda_7^2$ the orthogonal projection. For simplicity, we shall write \star for \star_ϕ when the context is clear.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $P \rightarrow X$ be a principal $U(r)$ -orbibundle over a G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) A is a G_2 -instanton over P .
- (b) $\pi_7(F_A) = 0$.
- (c) $\star_\phi(F_A \wedge \phi) = -F_A$.

Proof. The equivalence between (b) and (c) follows from (2.5). For the equivalence between (a) and (c), we note the following identity (c.f. [SW17, Equation (4.60)])

$$\star(\psi \wedge \star(\psi \wedge F_A)) = F_A + \star(F_A \wedge \phi).$$

Since the adjoint of $\psi \wedge -$ is $\star(\psi \wedge (\star-))$, we conclude that $F_A + \star(F_A \wedge \phi) = 0$ if and only if $\psi \wedge F_A = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

The second criterion (b) in Lemma 3.4 takes G_2 -instantons to the analogous setting of ASD instantons in dimension four. Recall $SO(4)$ admits two irreducible 3-dimensional representations, which decomposes the bundle of 2-forms over a 4-manifold into two parts $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^+ \oplus \Lambda^-$ consisting of the self-dual and anti-self-dual 2-forms respectively. Then a connection A is ASD if its self-dual part vanishes, i.e. $\pi_+(F_A) = 0$. The analogy with ASD instantons can be brought further by considering the Yang–Mills functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{YM} : \mathcal{A}_k &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ A &\longmapsto \int_X |F_A|^2 d\text{vol}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

When A is a G_2 -instanton, (2.6) and (2.7) imply that

$$\mathcal{YM}(A) = \|\pi_{14}(F_A)\|_{L^2}^2 = - \int_X 2r \operatorname{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A) \wedge \phi = 8\pi^2 \langle p_1(\mathfrak{g}_P) \smile [\phi], [X] \rangle, \quad (3.4)$$

where $p_1(\mathfrak{g}_P) \in H_{\text{orb}}^4(X; \mathbb{R})$ is the real Pontryagin class of the orbibundle \mathfrak{g}_P . Since the RHS of (3.4) is independent of the choice of the connection A , G_2 -instantons are the absolute minima of the Yang–Mills functional. This property also characterizes ASD instantons in dimension four.

Definition 3.5. The moduli space of projective G_2 -instantons over P^\dagger is defined to be

$$\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger) := \{A \in \mathcal{A}_k : F_A \wedge \psi = 0\} / \mathcal{G}_{k+1}.$$

Although we work with connections in L_k^2 class, each equivalence class of G_2 -instantons admit smooth representatives. So we choose to omit regularity in the notation of moduli spaces.

3.2. Local Deformation.

The local structure of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ is best understood via the study of the ‘deformation complex’. Infinitesimally, the action of the gauge group \mathcal{G}_{k+1} on \mathcal{A}_k is given by

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e^{t\xi} \cdot A = -d_A \xi, \quad \xi \in L_{k+1}^2(X, \mathfrak{g}_P)$$

Moreover, the linearization of the G_2 -instanton operator $F_A \wedge \psi$ is given by

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} F_{A+t\alpha} \wedge \psi = d_A \alpha \wedge \psi, \quad \alpha \in L_k^2(X, \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P).$$

This leads us to consider the following sequence $E_A(X)$ of differential operators:

$$L_{k+1}^2(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) \xrightarrow{-d_A} L_k^2(X, \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P) \xrightarrow{d_A \wedge \psi} L_{k-1}^2(X, \Lambda^6 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P) \xrightarrow{d_A} L_{k-2}^2(X, \Lambda^7 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P).$$

We note that the sequence $E_A(X)$ becomes a chain complex if and only if A is a G_2 -instanton, in which case we refer to $E_A(X)$ as the deformation complex of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ at $[A]$. This complex $E_A(X)$ is an elliptic complex in the sense that the associated sequence given by the principal symbols of the differential operators are exact (c.f. [DS11]). So the cohomology of $E_A(X)$ is finite dimensional.

The cohomology of $E_A(X)$ has concrete geometric meanings similar to the deformation complex of ASD instantons in dimension four. $H^0(E_A(X))$ is the Lie algebra of the isotropy group $\operatorname{Stab}(A)$ of A . To better understand $\operatorname{Stab}(A)$, we consider the corresponding connection A^\dagger on P^\dagger . Let’s fix a non-singular basepoint $x_0 \in X$, and identify the fiber of $G'_P|_{x_0}$ with $SU(r)$. $u \in \operatorname{Stab}(A)$ means that u is A^\dagger -parallel, thus is determined by its restriction to x_0 . Thus $\operatorname{Stab}(A)$ is identified with a subgroup of $SU(r)$ (actually it’s a Lie subgroup although not necessarily closed). Denote by $\operatorname{Hol}(A^\dagger, x_0) \subset U(r)$ the holonomy group generated by automorphisms of $P^\dagger|_{x_0}$ obtained via A^\dagger -parallel transports along orbi-loops based at x_0 . Then $\operatorname{Stab}(A)$ is identified with the centralizer of $\operatorname{Hol}(A^\dagger, x_0)$ in

$SU(r)$. We denote by $Z(r)$ the center of $SU(r)$ which consists of multiples of the identity matrix by r -th roots of unity.

Lemma 3.6. *Let A^\dagger be a unitary connection on P^\dagger that induces the connection A on P . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) $\text{Stab}(A) = Z(r)$.
- (b) $\dim H^0(E_A(X)) = 0$.
- (c) $\text{Hol}(A^\dagger)$ acts irreducibly on \mathbb{C}^r .

Proof. (a) \implies (b). This follows directly from the fact that $H^0(E_A(X))$ is the Lie algebra of $\text{Stab}(A)$.

(b) \implies (c). Suppose the action of $\text{Hol}(A^\dagger)$ splits into \mathbb{C}^r two invariant subspaces \mathbb{C}^{r_1} and \mathbb{C}^{r_2} . For each element $\zeta \in U(1)$, we define a map on \mathbb{C}^r by multiplying the first r_1 factors by ζ^{r_2} and the remaining r_2 factors by ζ^{-r_1} . Then each map defines an element in $SU(r)$ that intertwines with the action of $\text{Hol}(A^\dagger)$. Such an assignment embeds a neighborhood of $1 \in U(1)$ in $SU(r)$. This implies that $\dim H^0(E_A(X)) \geq 1$.

(c) \implies (a). Since $\text{Hol}(A^\dagger)$ acts irreducibly on \mathbb{C}^r , Schur's Lemma tells us that each element in $\text{Stab}(A)$ must act on \mathbb{C}^r via a scalar multiplication. Since $\text{Stab}(A)$ lies in $SU(r)$ and contains $Z(r)$, we conclude that $\text{Stab}(A) = Z(r)$. \square

Definition 3.7. A connection $A \in \mathcal{A}_k$ is said to be irreducible if its isotropy group $\text{Stab}(A) = Z(r)$, and reducible otherwise. We denote the space of irreducible connections of class L_k^2 by \mathcal{A}_k^* .

Let A be a G_2 -instanton. Since each element in $\text{Stab}(A)$ is A -parallel, one readily checks that $E_A(X)$ is $\text{Stab}(A)$ -equivariant with respect to the adjoint action. The first cohomology $H^1(E_A(X))$ represents the Zariski tangent space of $[A]$ in $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$. The argument in [DK90, Chapter 4] can be applied to obtain a Kuranishi obstruction map $\mathfrak{o}_A : H^1(E_A(X)) \rightarrow H^2(E_A(X))$ so that a neighborhood of $[A]$ in $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ can be identified with a neighborhood in $\mathfrak{o}_A^{-1}(0)/\text{Stab}(A)$. Note that when $\text{Stab}(A) = Z(r)$ is the center, the action of $\text{Stab}(A)$ on $E_A(X)$ is trivial. In particular, when $H^0(E_A(X)) = H^2(E_A(X)) = 0$, a neighborhood of $[A]$ is identified with a neighborhood of the origin in $H^1(E_A(X))$. This interpretation motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.8. A G_2 -instanton $[A] \in \mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ is said to be regular if $H^2(E_A(X)) = 0$.

By taking adjoints on the even part of $E_A(X)$, one can wrap-up the information of $E_A(X)$ into a single elliptic operator:

$$L_A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -d_A^* \\ -d_A & \star(d_A \wedge \psi) \end{pmatrix} : L_k^2(X, (\Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda^1) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P) \longrightarrow L_{k-1}^2(X, (\Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda^1) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P). \quad (3.5)$$

Note that L_A is self-adjoint with respect to the L^2 -inner product. So we conclude

$$0 = \text{ind } L_A = h^1(E_A(X)) + h^3(E_A(X)) - h^0(E_A(X)) - h^2(E_A(X)),$$

where $h^i(E_A(X)) = \dim H^i(E_A(X))$. From the discussion above, we conclude that a regular irreducible G_2 -instanton is isolated in the moduli space.

3.3. Reducible G_2 -Instantons.

We start with a line bundle L over a G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . Denote by ω_ϕ the harmonic 2-form representing $c_1(L)$ with respect to the G_2 -structure ϕ . The G_2 -representation $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_7^2 \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2$ decomposes the space of harmonic 2-forms into $\mathcal{H}^2(X) = \mathcal{H}_7^2(X) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{14}^2(X)$ since ϕ is parallel with respect to g_ϕ . G_2 -instantons over L can be characterized by the following observation.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle. Then a unitary connection A over L is a G_2 -instanton if and only if $F_A = -2\pi i \omega_\phi$ with $\omega_\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{14}^2(X)$. Moreover, gauge equivalence classes of G_2 -instantons are parametrized by the Jacobian torus*

$$J(X) := H_{\text{orb}}^1(X; i\mathbb{R})/H_{\text{orb}}^1(X; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. Let A be a G_2 -instanton over L . Then (2.5) tells us that

$$\star(F_A \wedge \phi) = -F_A \implies \star F_A = -F_A \wedge \phi.$$

The Bianchi identity then implies that F_A is harmonic. Since $i/2\pi F_A$ represents $c_1(L)$, we conclude that $F_A = -2\pi i \omega_\phi$. Since $\pi_7(F_A) = 0$, we see that $\omega_\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{14}^2(X)$. The converse follows from the definition of G_2 -instantons.

Given two G_2 -instantons A_1 and A_2 over L , their difference $a = A_1 - A_2 \in \Omega^1(X; i\mathbb{R})$ is closed since their curvature forms coincide with $-2\pi i \omega_\phi$. Any unitary gauge transformation in the identity component of the gauge group takes the form $u = e^\xi$ with $\xi \in \Omega^0(X; i\mathbb{R})$. Since $e^\xi \cdot A = A - d\xi$, we see that, up to gauge transformations in the identity component, G_2 -instantons are identified with $H^1(X; i\mathbb{R})$. Passing to the classifying space of the orbifold X , we see that the components of the unitary gauge group are identified with $H_{\text{orb}}^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$. The result then follows by identifying the action of elements from different components of the gauge group with the action of $H_{\text{orb}}^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ on $H_{\text{orb}}^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ as in the case of manifolds. \square

With the knowledge of reducible G_2 -instantons over line bundles, we can obtain a necessary condition for the existence of reducible projective G_2 -instantons over unitary bundles of higher rank, which is analogous to that of the ASD instanton case [Kro05, Proposition 2.3].

Lemma 3.10. *Let P^\dagger be a principal $U(r)$ -bundle over a G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . Denote by $\omega_\phi^\dagger \in \mathcal{H}^2(X)$ the harmonic representative of $c_1(\det P^\dagger)$. Suppose P^\dagger admits a reducible projective G_2 -instanton. Then one can find an integer $r' \in (0, r)$ and an integral harmonic class $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^2(X)$ such that*

$$\omega - \frac{r'}{r} \omega_\phi^\dagger \in \mathcal{H}_{14}^2(X).$$

Proof. The argument is completely analogous to that of [Kro05, Proposition 2.3]. Let A^\dagger be a reducible projective G_2 -instanton over P^\dagger . Then A^\dagger reduces the structure group of P^\dagger to $U(r_1) \times U(r_2)$ for some integers r_1, r_2 satisfying $r_1 + r_2 = r$, i.e. one can find a sub-bundle $Q^\dagger \subset P^\dagger$ of the form $Q^\dagger = P_1^\dagger \times_X P_2^\dagger$, where P_i^\dagger is a principal $U(r_i)$ -bundle

over X . The connection A^\dagger decomposes accordingly as $A_1^\dagger \oplus A_2^\dagger$. Denote by $\theta_i = \det A_i^\dagger$ the induced connection on the determinant line. Then the corresponding curvature is given by the trace $F_{\theta_i} = \text{tr } F_{A_i^\dagger}$. We also denote $\theta = \det A^\dagger$. Since $A^\dagger = A_1^\dagger \oplus A_2^\dagger$ is a projective G_2 -instanton, we conclude that

$$\left(F_{A_i^\dagger} - \frac{1}{r_i} \text{tr } F_{A_i^\dagger} \otimes \text{id} \right) \wedge \psi = 0.$$

The same formula also holds for A^\dagger . From this formula, we conclude that

$$\pi_7(\text{tr}(F_{A^\dagger})/r) = \pi_7(\text{tr}(F_{A_1^\dagger})/r_1) = \pi_7(\text{tr}(F_{A_2^\dagger})/r_2).$$

In particular, we get

$$\left(F_{\theta_1} - \frac{r_1}{r} F_\theta \right) \wedge \psi = 0.$$

Now the result follows from the Chern–Weil homomorphism by taking ω to be the harmonic representative of $c_1(P_1^\dagger)$ and $r' = r_1$. \square

Usually Lemma 3.10 is not very helpful with avoiding reducible G_2 -instantons in contrast with the ASD instanton case. Because any torsion-free G_2 -manifold (M, ϕ) whose holonomy group coincides with G_2 has $b_1(M) = 0$. In this case, the necessary condition imposed by Lemma 3.10 automatically holds. However, when the holonomy group is a proper subgroup of G_2 , one can indeed use Lemma 3.10 to avoid reducibles. Since we primarily work with flat connections, the reducible ones can be avoided by purely imposing topological assumptions on P^\dagger .

Definition 3.11. A principal $U(r)$ -bundle P^\dagger over a G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) is called admissible if

- (1) $(r - 1)c_1^2(P^\dagger) - 2rc_2(P^\dagger) = 0 \in H_{\text{orb}}^4(X; \mathbb{Z})$.
- (2) There exists $\lambda \in H_2^{\text{orb}}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\langle c_1(\det P^\dagger), \lambda \rangle$ is coprime to r .

Corollary 3.12. *Let P^\dagger be an admissible principal $U(r)$ -bundle over a G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . Then P^\dagger does not admit any reducible projective G_2 -instantons.*

Proof. Let A^\dagger be a projective G_2 -instanton over P^\dagger , and A the corresponding connection over the adjoint $PU(r)$ -bundle P . (3.4) tells us that $\|F_A\|_{L^2}^2 = 4\pi^2/r \langle p_1(\mathfrak{g}_P) \smile [\phi], [X] \rangle$. Since $p_1(\mathfrak{g}_P) = (r - 1)c_1^2(P^\dagger) - 2rc_2(P^\dagger)$, we conclude that $F_A = 0$. Thus A^\dagger is projectively flat.

Suppose A^\dagger decomposes as $A^\dagger = A_1^\dagger \oplus A_2^\dagger$. The argument of Lemma 3.10 implies that $F_{\theta_1} - r_1/r F_\theta = 0$. In particular, the Chern–Weil homomorphism implies that $r_1/rc_1(\det P^\dagger)$ is an integral class. This contradicts to the fact that $\langle c_1(\det P^\dagger), \lambda \rangle$ is coprime to r for any $\lambda \in H_2^{\text{orb}}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ since we can choose $\lambda \in H_2^{\text{orb}}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ so that the pairing between λ and $r_1/r \cdot c_1(\det P^\dagger)$ to be a non-zero integer. \square

3.4. Orientation in Simple Cases.

The orientability issue of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ has been addressed by Donaldson–Segal [DS11] and Walpuski [Wal13] in the manifold case. Recently Joyce–Upmeyer [JU21] established a canonical assignment for orientations of the moduli space with an extra input called ‘flag structures’. Rather than pursuing a full generalization to G_2 -orbifolds, we will work out the orientability for simple G_2 -orbifolds.

We write $\text{Ind } L_A := \ker L_A \otimes (\text{coker } L_A)^*$, and $\text{Ind } \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_k$ for the vector bundle whose fiber at A is $\text{Ind } L_A$. The gauge group \mathcal{G}_{k+1} acts on $\text{Ind } L_A$ by extending the action $u\omega u^{-1}$ on \mathfrak{g}_P -valued forms ω .

Proposition 3.13. *Let (X, ϕ) be a simple G_2 -orbifold, and $P^\dagger \rightarrow X$ a $U(r)$ -bundle with either $r = 2$ or $c_1(P^\dagger)$ even. Then \mathcal{G}_{k+1} acts trivially on $\det \text{Ind } \mathbb{L}$.*

Note that Proposition 3.13 implies that $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ is orientable. Because the tangent space $T_{[A]}\mathcal{M}$ of a regular irreducible connection is identified with $H^1(E_A(X))$ whose determinant is identified with $\det E_A(X)$. One can further identify $\det E_A(X) \simeq \det \text{Ind } L_A$ canonically using the metric on \mathfrak{g}_P -valued forms. Since \mathcal{A}_k is contractible, we know that $\det \text{Ind } \mathbb{L}$ is trivial. The triviality of the \mathcal{G}_{k+1} -action tells us that the bundle $\det \text{Ind } \mathbb{L}$ descends to a trivial bundle over $\mathcal{A}_k/\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$, which restricts to the orientation line bundle of $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ in the regular case.

Corollary 3.14. *Let (X, ϕ) be a simple G_2 -orbifold, and $P^\dagger \rightarrow X$ a $U(r)$ -bundle with either $r = 2$ or $c_1(P^\dagger)$ even. Suppose the irreducible moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\phi^*(X, P^\dagger)$ is regular. Then $\mathcal{M}_\phi^*(X, P^\dagger)$ is orientable.*

The proof of Proposition 3.13 is a standard application of the Atiyah–Singer’s index theory. To set it up, we write P_u for the bundle over $S^1 \times X$ obtained by identifying the boundary of $[0, 1] \times P$ via the gauge transformation $u \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$. Given $A \in \mathcal{A}_k$, we choose a smooth path $(A_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ with $A_0 = A$ and $A_1 = u \cdot A$. Then we get an elliptic operator on \mathfrak{g}_{P_u} -valued forms of $S^1 \times X$:

$$S_u(A) := \frac{d}{dt} + L_{A_t} : L_k^2(S^1 \times X, (\Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda^1) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{P_u}) \longrightarrow L_{k-1}^2(S^1 \times X, (\Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda^1) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{P_u}).$$

The index can be related to the spectral flow $\text{ind } S_u(A) = \text{Sf}(L_{A_t})$, which is independent of the choice of the paths (A_t) from the standard theory of Atiyah–Patodi–Singer [APS76]. Moreover, the weight of the u -action on $\det \text{Ind } \mathbb{L}$ is given by $(-1)^{\text{Sf}(L_{A_t})}$. Thus the proof of Proposition 3.13 is reduced to the following statement.

Lemma 3.15. *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.13, we know $\text{ind } S_u(A)$ is even for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_k$ and $u \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$.*

Proof. The G_2 -structure ϕ determines a spin structure \mathfrak{s} on $S^1 \times X$. As explained in [Wal13], the principal symbol of $S_u(A)$ is dual to that of the Dirac operator $D_{\mathbb{A}}$ on \mathfrak{s} twisted by \mathfrak{g}_{P_u} , where $\mathbb{A} = d/dt + A_t$ is the induced connection on \mathfrak{g}_{P_u} . To compute the index of $D_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u})$, we make use of the orbifold generalization of Atiyah–Singer’s index theorem in [Kaw81].

Let's write $Y = S^1 \times X$ temporarily. For each $y \in Y$, the isotropy group $G_y = \mathbb{Z}/2$ or V_4 , where V_4 is the Klein four-group. Explicitly we write $\mathbb{Z}/2 = \{1, -1\}$ and $V_4 = \{1, a, b, ab\}$ with $a = (-1, 1)$ and $b = (1, -1)$. We consider the modified singular sets:

$$\Sigma_I := \{(y, -1) \in Y \times \mathbb{Z}/2 : G_y = \mathbb{Z}/2\}, \quad \Sigma_V := \{(y, g) \in Y \times V_4 : G_y = V_4, g \neq 1\}.$$

These singular sets patch together to an ineffective orbifold $\Sigma_Y := \Sigma_I \sqcup_{\sim} \Sigma_V$, where $(y, -1) \sim (y, g)$ if and only if there is an inclusion of orbifold charts $(\tilde{U}_y, G_y) \hookrightarrow (\tilde{U}_{y'}, G_{y'})$ that sends $-1 \in G_y$ to $g \in G_{y'}$. To see the orbifold structure on Σ_Y , we write \tilde{U}_y^g for the g -invariant subset of \tilde{U}_y . Then the orbifold atlas over Σ_Y is given by $\{(\tilde{U}_y^g, G_y) : y \in Y, g \neq 1 \in G_y\}$. Note that \tilde{U}_y^g is an open ball of dimension either 2 or 4 when g is non-trivial. Thus we can write $\Sigma_Y = \Sigma_Y^{(2)} \cup \Sigma_Y^{(4)}$ into the disjoint of 2- and 4-dimensional components. We also need to consider the normal bundle $N(\Sigma_Y)$ of Σ_Y locally given by $(\tilde{U}_y/\tilde{U}_y^g, G_y) \rightarrow (\tilde{U}_y^g, G_y)$. In particular, g acts on the fiber $N(\Sigma_Y)|_{(y,g)}$ by -1 -multiplication.

With the above set-up, the orbifold version of Atiyah–Singer's index theorem [Kaw81] tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind } D_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}) &= \int_Y \text{ch}(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}) \hat{A}(Y) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_Y^{(4)}} \text{ch}(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}) \hat{A}_{\pi}(N(\Sigma_Y^{(4)})) \hat{A}(\Sigma_Y^{(4)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma_Y^{(2)}} \text{ch}(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}) \hat{A}_{\pi}(N(\Sigma_Y^{(2)})) \hat{A}(\Sigma_Y^{(2)}) \\ &=: I_1(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}) + I_2(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}) + I_3(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}_{P_u} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and \hat{A}_{π} is the twisted \hat{A} -class in [LM89, Page 267].

The Chern character of $\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be computed as follows. The differential of the adjoint homomorphism gives us an isomorphism $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{su}(r) \rightarrow \mathfrak{pu}(r)$. Thus we can identify $\mathfrak{g}_{P_u} = \mathfrak{su}(P_u^{\dagger})$. Furthermore, $\text{End}(P_u^{\dagger}) = \mathfrak{su}(P_u^{\dagger}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}$. After throwing away the terms of degree greater than 8, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(P_u^{\dagger}) &= r + s_1 + \frac{1}{2}s_2 + \frac{1}{6}s_3 + \frac{1}{24}s_4 \\ &= r + c_1 + \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 - 2c_2) + \frac{1}{6}(c_1^3 - 3c_2c_1 + 3c_3) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24}(c_1^4 - 4c_2c_1^2 + 4c_3c_1 + 2c_2^2 - 4c_4), \end{aligned}$$

where s_i is the polynomial that expresses the i th power sum into elementary symmetric polynomials whose inputs are the Chern classes c_i of P_u^{\dagger} . Thus the Chern character of

$\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ch}(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}) &= \text{ch}(\text{End}(P_u^\dagger)) - 1 = \text{ch}(P_u^\dagger) \text{ch}(P_u^{\dagger,*}) - 1 \\
&= \left(r + s_1 + \frac{1}{2}s_2 + \frac{1}{6}s_3 + \frac{1}{24}s_4 \right) \left(r - s_1 + \frac{1}{2}s_2 - \frac{1}{6}s_3 + \frac{1}{24}s_4 \right) - 1 \\
&= r^2 - 1 + rs_2 - s_1^2 + \frac{rs_4}{12} - \frac{s_1s_3}{3} + \frac{s_2^2}{4} \\
&= r^2 - 1 + (r-1)c_1^2 - 2rc_2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{12} \left((r-1)c_1^4 - 4rc_2c_1^2 + (4r-12)c_3c_1 + (2r+12)c_2^2 - 4rc_4 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $Y = S^1 \times X$ is a product with circle. So up to the eighth degree, the \hat{A} -class is given by $\hat{A} = 1 - p_1/24$, where $p_1 := p_1(Y)$. Note that the normal bundle $N(\Sigma_Y)$ is pulled back from the normal bundle of the correspondingly constructed singular sets Σ_X whose components have dimension either 1 or 3. Up to the third degree, $\hat{A}_\pi = \pm 1$, where the sign can be worked out following [AB68].

Since $\det u = \text{id}$, we know that

$$c_1(P_u^\dagger) = c_1(\det P_u^\dagger) = \pi^* c_1(\det P^\dagger) = \pi^* c_1(P^\dagger),$$

where $\pi : S^1 \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection. Thus by dimension counting, we know

$$\int_Y c_1^4 = 0 \quad \int_Y c_1^2 \cdot p_1 = 0 \quad \int_{\Sigma_Y^{(4)}} c_1^2 = 0 \quad \int_{\Sigma_Y^{(2)}} c_1 = 0.$$

Now we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}) &= \frac{1}{12} \int_Y rc_2 \cdot p_1 + \frac{1}{6} \int_Y -2rc_2c_1^2 + (2r-6)c_3c_1 + (r+6)c_2^2 - 2rc_4 \\
I_2(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}) + I_3(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}^{\mathbb{C}}) &= \pm \int_{\Sigma_Y^{(4)}} rc_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We can twist the spin bundle of Y by P^\dagger , and get corresponding terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(P^\dagger) &= \frac{1}{24} \int_Y c_2 \cdot p_1 + \frac{1}{12} \int_Y -2c_2c_1^2 + 2c_3c_1 + c_2^2 - 2c_4 \\
I_2(P^\dagger) + I_3(P^\dagger) &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_Y^{(4)}} c_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The above computation tells us that

$$\text{ind } D_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}) - (12 + 2r) \text{ind } D_{\mathbb{A}}(P^\dagger) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \int_Y c_2 \cdot p_1 - 3 \int_Y c_3 \cdot c_1 \pmod{2}.$$

Since Y is spin, we know $p_1(Y)$ is divisible by four. Thus we conclude that

$$\text{ind } D_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u}) \equiv \int_Y c_3(P_u^\dagger) \cdot \pi^* c_1(P^\dagger) \pmod{2}$$

So when $\text{rank}(P^\dagger) = 2$ or $c_1(P^\dagger)$ is even, we know that $\text{ind } D_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g}_{P_u})$ is even. \square

Remark 3.16. The last product term c_3c_1 also appears in the manifold case if one considers $U(r)$ -bundles. Joyce–Upmeyer [JU21, Theorem 1.2] proved the orientability for $U(r)$ -bundles with the help of the embedding $U(r) \hookrightarrow SU(r+1)$. Thus c_3c_1 has to be even at least in the manifold case, although we don't know a good reason for this.

4. Perturbations and Transversality

Holonomy perturbations have been constructed for achieving transversality of ASD connections in dimension 4 [Don87] and flat connections in dimension 3 [Flo88]. In this section, we argue that the transversality of the irreducible stratum of G_2 -instantons can also be achieved via holonomy perturbations.

4.1. Holonomy Perturbations.

Let P^\dagger be a $U(r)$ -bundle over a G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . Suppose we have an l -tuple $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)$ of smooth immersions $\rho_i : S^1 \times D^6 \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$\rho_i(s, x) = \rho_j(s, x), \quad \text{for all } s \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon], x \in D^6 \quad (4.1)$$

where s is the parameter of S^1 representing $e^{i2\pi s}$, and $\epsilon > 0$ is a small constant. Denote by $\rho_x : S^1 \rightarrow X$ the orbi-loop given by $\rho(-, x)$ based at $\rho(0, x)$. Let $\eta : U(r)^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that is invariant under the diagonally $SU(2)$ -adjoint action, and $\nu \in \Omega^2(D^6)$ be a non-negative 2-form supported near the center of D^6 so that $\int_{D^6} \nu = 1$.

Definition 4.1. Given $(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \eta, \nu)$ as above, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \eta} : \mathcal{A}_k &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ A &\longmapsto \int_{D^6} \eta \left(\text{Hol}_{\rho_{1,x}}(A^\dagger), \dots, \text{Hol}_{\rho_{l,x}}(A^\dagger) \right) \nu \end{aligned}$$

to be the associated cylinder function over \mathcal{A}_k .

Now we derive the formal gradient of the function $\tau_{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \eta}$. Denote the i -th partial derivative of the function η by $\partial_i \eta : U(r)^l \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(r)^*$, which arises as the restriction of $d\eta$ to the i -th factor $T^*U(r) \simeq \mathfrak{u}^*(r)$ of $T^*U(r)^l$. Due to the requirement that $\rho_i(0, x) = \rho_j(0, x)$, there is no ambiguity in writing $\text{Hol}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}, x}(A^\dagger) := (\text{Hol}_{\rho_{1,x}}(A^\dagger), \dots, \text{Hol}_{\rho_{l,x}}(A^\dagger))$. Then the differential of the cylinder function $\tau_{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \eta}$ takes the form

$$d\tau_{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \eta}|_A(a) = \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{D^6} \partial_i \eta|_{\text{Hol}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}, x}(A^\dagger)} \left(- \int_0^1 (\rho_i^* a)(s) ds \cdot \text{Hol}_{\rho_i, x}(A^\dagger) \right) \nu, \quad a \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g}_P). \quad (4.2)$$

Note that $\mathfrak{u}(r) = \mathfrak{su}(r) \oplus i\mathbb{R}$. We can compose $\partial_i \eta$ with the restriction of $\mathfrak{u}(r)^*$ to $\mathfrak{su}(r)^*$ and identify $\mathfrak{su}(r)^*$ with $\mathfrak{su}(r)$ via the Killing form. The resulting operator is denoted by $(\partial_i \eta)_\dagger^0 : U(r)^l \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(r)$. The conjugation invariance of η implies that $(\partial_i \eta)_\dagger^0(\text{Hol}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(A^\dagger))$ is a section of $\rho_i^* \mathfrak{g}_P$ over $\{0\} \times D^6$. This section can be extended to a section over $S^1 \times D^6$ via parallel transport of A^\dagger along the S^1 -factor, which might gain discontinuity over $\{0\} \times D^6$ with jumps given by $\text{Hol}_{\rho_i, x}(A^\dagger)$ -conjugation. Let's temporarily denote by H_i

this extended section of $\rho_i^* \mathfrak{g}_P$ over $S^1 \times D^6$ (following the notation of [KM11, Page 876]). Then it follows from (4.2) that the gradient of $\tau_{\rho, \eta}$ is

$$\text{grad } \tau_{\rho, \eta} = \star_{\phi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l (\rho_i)_*(H_i \otimes \nu) \right). \quad (4.3)$$

Although each single term $(\rho_i)_*(H_i \otimes \nu)$ might be discontinuous at $\{0\} \times D^6$, the diagonal conjugation invariance of η implies that the sum on the RHS of (4.3) is at least continuous. We can actually get stronger estimates on the gradient of cylinder functions.

Lemma 4.2. *Let τ be a cylinder function as above, and $a = A^\dagger - A_0^\dagger \in L_k^2(X, \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(2))$ be the connection form of a general connection $A^\dagger \in \mathcal{A}_k$. Then we have the following estimates.*

(1) *There exists a constant K_0 so that*

$$\|\text{grad } \tau|_{A^\dagger}\|_{C^0} \leq K_0.$$

(2) *For each $1 \leq j \leq k$, there exists a constant K_j so that*

$$\|\text{grad } \tau|_{A^\dagger}\|_{L_j^2} \leq K_j \left(1 + \|a\|_{L_j^2}^j \right).$$

(3) *For each positive integer $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq k$, there exists a constant $K_{n,j}$ so that*

$$\|D^n \text{grad } \tau|_{A^\dagger}(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_{L_j^2} \leq K_{n,j} \left(1 + \|a\|_{L_j^2}^j \right) \prod_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_{L_j^2}.$$

All constants appeared above are independent of the connection A^\dagger .

Proof. For simplicity, we consider the case when there is a single smooth immersion $\rho : S^1 \times D^6 \rightarrow X$. The argument for general cases is almost identical except for extra complexity in notations. Now we assume the cylinder function takes the form $\tau(A) = \int_{D^6} \eta(\text{Hol}_{\rho, x}(A^\dagger)) \nu$ so that

$$\text{grad } \tau = \star_{\phi} \rho_*(H \otimes \nu).$$

Let's write $A^c = \rho^* A^\dagger$ and $A_0^c = \rho^* A_0^\dagger$ for the pulled-back connections over $S^1 \times D^6$, and $a^c = A^c - A_0^c$ the corresponding connection form. We can further decompose $a^c = b(s) + \beta(s) ds$, where $b(s)$ is an S^1 -family of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued 1-forms over D^6 , and $\beta(s)$ is an S^1 -family of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued 0-forms over D^6 .

(1) Since the Lie group $U(r)$ is compact, we know $(d\eta)_{\dagger}^0(\text{Hol}_{\rho}(A^\dagger))$ is bounded in C^0 by a constant that is independent of A^\dagger . Note that the section H is obtained from $(d\eta)_{\dagger}^0(\text{Hol}_{\rho}(A^\dagger))$ by parallel transporting along the S^1 -direction of $S^1 \times D^6$. Since the space of $U(r)$ -connections over S^1 is compact modulo gauge transformations, the gauge-invariant section $(d\eta)_{\dagger}^0(\text{Hol}_{\rho}(A^\dagger))$ gives rise a uniform C^0 -bound on H .

(2) The constants in the statement will all depend on the reference connection A_0^\dagger . So we may assume $\rho^* A_0^\dagger$ is the trivial connection over $S^1 \times D^6$ to simplify notations. Then

we know $\text{Hol}_\rho(A^\dagger) = \exp(-\int_0^1 \beta(s)ds)$. From the construction of H and gauge-invariance of η , we know

$$\begin{aligned} H|_{(s,x)} &= \exp(-\int_0^s \beta(t)dt) \cdot (d\eta)_\dagger^0(\text{Hol}_{\rho,x}(A^\dagger)) \cdot \exp(\int_0^s \beta(t)dt) \\ &= (d\eta)_\dagger^0 \left(\exp(-\int_0^s \beta(t)dt) \cdot \exp(-\int_0^1 \beta(s)ds) \cdot \exp(\int_0^1 \beta(s)ds) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

So the derivatives of H along the S^1 -direction involves the composition of derivatives of $(d\eta)_\dagger^0$ with products among the terms

$$\partial_s^i \beta(s), \quad \exp(-\int_0^s \beta(t)dt), \quad \exp(\int_0^1 \beta(s)ds), \quad (4.5)$$

where ∂_s^i means to take the i -th derivative along the S^1 -direction. The derivatives of H along the D^6 -direction involves the composition of derivatives of $(d\eta)_\dagger^0$ with products among the terms

$$\int_0^s \nabla_x^i \beta(t)dt, \quad \int_0^1 \nabla_x^k \beta(t)dt, \quad \exp(-\int_0^s \beta(t)dt), \quad \exp(\int_0^1 \beta(s)ds), \quad (4.6)$$

where ∇_x^i means to take the i -th derivative along the D^6 -direction. Recall that $a^c = \rho^* A^\dagger - \rho^* A_0^\dagger$ is the connection form on $S^1 \times D^6$. It's clear that

$$\|\partial_s^i \beta(s)\|_{L^2(S^1 \times D^6)} \leq \text{const.} \|a^c\|_{L^2_i(S^1 \times D^6)}$$

As for the L^2 -norm of $\int_0^s \nabla_x^i \beta(t)dt$, we first apply integration by parts to get the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^s \nabla_x^i \beta(t)dt \right|^2 ds &\leq \int_0^1 s \int_0^s |\nabla_x^i \beta(t)|^2 dt ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 |\nabla_x^i \beta(t)|^2 dt - \int_0^1 s |\nabla_x^i \beta(s)|^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |\nabla_x^i \beta(t)|^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\left\| \int_0^s \nabla_x^i \beta(t)dt \right\|_{L^2(S^1 \times D^6)} \leq \|\nabla_x^i \beta(s)\|_{L^2(S^1 \times D^6)} \leq \text{const.} \|a^c\|_{L^2_i(S^1 \times D^6)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Since the j -th derivative of H is given by the composition of derivatives of $(d\eta)_\dagger^0$ with products of terms in (4.5) and (4.6) so that in each product the total order of derivatives add up to j , we conclude that

$$\|H\|_{L^2(S^1 \times D^6)} \leq \text{const.} \left(1 + \|a^c\|_{L^2_j(S^1 \times D^6)}^j \right),$$

which further implies the claimed estimate

$$\|\star_\phi \rho_*(H \otimes \nu)\|_{L_j^2} \leq \text{const.} \left(1 + \|a\|_{L_j^2}^j\right).$$

(3) Once we know the expression of H in (4.4), the proof is almost the same as that of [Ma20, Proposition 3.2]. The only difference comes from the adjoint action of $\exp(\int_0^s \beta(t)dt)$, whereas in [Ma20, Proposition 3.2] one does not need to apply further parallel transport. This extra complication can be dealt with as above using the estimate (4.7). \square

Lemma 4.2 tells us that the gradient of a cylinder function defines a map

$$\text{grad } \tau : \mathcal{A}_k \longrightarrow L_k^2(X, \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P).$$

Furthermore, this map is smooth due to (3) of Lemma 4.2. Now we can proceed to construct a Banach space of perturbations.

Definition 4.3. Fix a countable family of cylinder functions $\tau = \{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the following assumptions.

- (1) For each integer $l > 0$, one can find a sub-family of l -tuples of smooth immersions $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ associated to $\{\tau_\alpha\}$ that are dense in the space of all l -tuples of smooth immersions satisfying (4.1) with respect to C^1 -topology.
- (2) For each integer $l > 0$, one can find a sub-family of smooth $SU(2)$ -invariant functions $\eta_\alpha : U(r)^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to τ_α that are dense in the space of all smooth $SU(2)$ -invariant functions on $U(r)^l$ with respect to C^∞ -topology.

Then we fix $C_\alpha := \sup\{K_{i,\alpha} : 0 \leq i \leq \alpha\}$, where $K_{i,\alpha}$ is the constant appeared in Lemma 4.2 associated to the cylinder function τ_α . We define

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\pi} = \{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} : \pi_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_\alpha C_\alpha |\pi_\alpha| < \infty \right\}$$

to be the space of sequences $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \{\pi_\alpha\}$ of finite norm $\|\boldsymbol{\pi}\| := \sum_\alpha C_\alpha |\pi_\alpha|$. We refer to \mathcal{P} as the space of holonomy perturbations.

Due to the construction of \mathcal{P} , the sum $\tau_\boldsymbol{\pi} := \sum_\alpha \pi_\alpha \tau_\alpha$ defines a smooth function on \mathcal{A}_k , which we use to perturb the Chern–Simons functional cs_ϕ :

$$\text{cs}_{\phi, \boldsymbol{\pi}} := \text{cs}_\phi + \tau_\boldsymbol{\pi} : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we define the perturbed G_2 -instanton equation to be the vanishing equation of the gradient of the perturbed functional:

$$\text{grad } \text{cs}_{\phi, \boldsymbol{\pi}}(A) = 0 \iff F_A \wedge \psi + \sigma_\boldsymbol{\pi}(A) = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\sigma_\boldsymbol{\pi}(A) = \sum_\alpha \pi_\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l_\alpha} (\rho_{\alpha,i})_*(H_{\alpha,i} \otimes \nu) \right)$ with $\boldsymbol{\rho}_\alpha = (\rho_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \rho_{\alpha,l_\alpha})$.

4.2. Transversality.

In this subsection, we establish the transversality of the moduli space of perturbed irreducible G_2 -instantons. Following the notations in [KM07], we write \mathcal{T}_j for the tangent bundle of \mathcal{A}_k completed with respect to the L_j^2 -norm for $j \leq k$, which is identified with $\mathcal{A}_k \times L_j^2(X, \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)$. The infinitesimal action of the gauge group \mathcal{G}_{k+1} decomposes the tangent bundle into two pieces:

$$\mathcal{T}_j := \mathcal{J}_j \oplus \mathcal{K}_j, \quad (4.10)$$

where $\mathcal{J}_j|_A = \text{im } d_A$ is the L_j^2 -tangent space of the gauge orbit at A , and $\mathcal{K}_j|_A = \ker d_A^*$ is the L^2 -orthogonal complement. The local gauge invariant of $\text{cs}_{\phi, \pi}$ implies that its gradient $\text{grad cs}_{\phi, \pi}$ is a section of \mathcal{K}_{k-1} . One can also argue directly by writing $\text{grad cs}_{\phi, \pi}(A) = \star_{\phi}(F_A \wedge \psi + \sigma_{\pi}(A))$ and compute that $d_A \sigma_{\pi}(A) = 0$.

We consider the smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{A}_k^* &\longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{k-1} \\ (\pi, A) &\longmapsto \text{grad cs}_{\phi, \pi}(A) \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

The essence of the transversality problem is to show that the map \mathcal{F} is transverse to the zero section of \mathcal{K}_{k-1} . To do so, we introduce the Hessian

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}_{A, \pi} : \mathcal{K}_k &\longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{k-1} \\ a &\longmapsto \Pi_{\mathcal{K}}(D \text{grad cs}_{\phi, \pi}|_A a), \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where $\Pi_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{T}_{k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{k-1}$ is the fiberwise L^2 -orthogonal projection. When A is a critical point of $\text{cs}_{\phi, \pi}$, one sees that $\text{Hess}_{A, \pi}$ is a self-adjoint Fredholm map from the argument in [KM07, Proposition 12.3.1].

Lemma 4.4. *The map \mathcal{F} defined in (4.11) is transverse to the zero section of \mathcal{K}_{k-1} .*

Proof. Let $(\pi, A) \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ be an element in the zero set. The derivative of \mathcal{F} at (π, A) is

$$D\mathcal{F}|_{(\pi, A)}(\mathbf{o}, a) = \text{Hess}_{A, \pi} a + \star_{\phi} \sigma_{\mathbf{o}}(A), \quad (4.13)$$

where $(\mathbf{o}, a) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{K}_k$. Since $\text{Hess}_{A, \pi}$ is self-adjoint with respect to the L^2 -inner product, we can identify the cokernel of $\text{Hess}_{A, \pi}$ with $\ker \text{Hess}_{A, \pi}$. Then it suffices to show that for each non-zero $a \in \ker \text{Hess}_{A, \pi}$, one can find $\mathbf{o} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\langle a, \star_{\phi} \sigma_{\mathbf{o}}(A) \rangle_{L^2} \neq 0$.

Note that $\star_{\phi} \sigma_{\mathbf{o}}(A) = \text{grad } \tau_{\mathbf{o}}$. We see that $\langle a, \star_{\phi} \sigma_{\mathbf{o}}(A) \rangle_{L^2} = D\tau_{\mathbf{o}}|_A(a)$. Suppose, on the contrary, there is an element $a \in \ker \text{Hess}_{A, \pi}$ such that $D\tau_{\mathbf{o}}|_A(a) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{o} \in \mathcal{P}$. Then for $A_{\epsilon} = A + \epsilon a$ with $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$\tau_{\mathbf{o}}(A) = \tau_{\mathbf{o}}(A_{\epsilon}) \text{ and } [A] \neq [A_{\epsilon}] \in \mathcal{B}_k^* = \mathcal{A}_k^*/\mathcal{G}_{k+1}.$$

However, this is impossible for the following reason. Recall each term in $\tau_{\mathbf{o}}(A)$ is of the form $\int_{D^6} \eta_{\alpha}(\text{Hol}_{\rho_{\alpha}}(A^{\dagger})) \nu$. Since the functions η_{α} 's are chosen to be dense in the space of all $SU(2)$ -invariant functions as in (2) of Definition 4.3, we know for all words W of a

fixed length sufficiently large, say L , one has

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(W \left(\prod_{i=1}^L \mathrm{Hol}_{\rho_i}(A^\dagger) \right) \right) = \mathrm{tr} \left(W \left(\prod_{i=1}^L \mathrm{Hol}_{\rho_i}(A_\epsilon^\dagger) \right) \right)$$

for all L -tuple immersions $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_L)$. Then [Don02, Lemma 5.13] provides us with $g \in U(r)$ such that $g \mathrm{Hol}_{\rho_i}(A^\dagger) g^{-1} = \mathrm{Hol}_{\rho_i}(A_\epsilon^\dagger)$. Since A^\dagger and A_ϵ^\dagger has the same determinant, we know $g \in SU(r)$. Recall (1) in Definition 4.3 that the immersions ρ_i are dense in the space of smooth immersions. Since both A and A_ϵ are irreducible, we conclude that A and A_ϵ are gauge equivalent, which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.4 has the following immediate consequence using the Sard–Smale theorem and the standard transversality argument in differential topology (c.f. [KM07, Lemma 12.5.1]).

Corollary 4.5. *With respect to a generic perturbation $\boldsymbol{\pi} \in \mathcal{P}$, every irreducible $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ -perturbed G_2 -instanton is regular in the sense of Definition 3.8.*

5. Compactness of Moduli Spaces

Due to the work of Uhlenbeck [Uhl82], the moduli space of G_2 -instantons is known to be non-compact for general bundles. In contrast to ASD connections in dimension 4, a compactification of such moduli spaces is still far from commencement due to the wild behavior of the bubbling off subsets. Nevertheless, we are able to obtain the compactness of the moduli space of G_2 -instantons over projectively flat bundles.

Theorem 5.1. *Let P^\dagger be a projectively flat principal $U(n)$ -bundle over a G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . There exists a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \boldsymbol{\pi}}(X, P^\dagger)$ of perturbed G_2 -instantons is compact with respect to all $\boldsymbol{\pi} \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying $\|\boldsymbol{\pi}\| < \epsilon_0$.*

Since later in the proof we will use letter ‘ r ’ for the radius of small balls, we choose to write $U(n)$ -bundles rather than $U(r)$ -bundles in this section to avoid confusion. The proof of Theorem 5.1 is more or less standard in higher dimensional gauge theory (c.f. [Nak88]). The argument adopted here was communicated to the author by Simon Donaldson in the case of Hermitian–Yang–Mills connections. Some parts of the proof are also sketched in [Don22]. We start by recalling the gauge fixing result in [Uhl82].

Theorem 5.2 ([Uhl82, Theorem 1.3]). *Let $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ be the unit ball and $p > 1$ an exponent. There exist constants $\epsilon_1 > 0$, $\mathbf{c}_1 > 0$ such that each unitary connection A on the trivial $SU(n)$ -bundle satisfying $\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \leq \epsilon_1$ is gauge equivalent to a connection $A' = d + a'$ satisfying*

$$(1) \ d^* a' = 0 \quad (2) \ \star a'|_{\partial \mathbb{B}} = 0 \quad (3) \ \|a'\|_{L^p_1(\mathbb{B})} \leq \mathbf{c}_1 \|F_A\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B})}$$

Recall P is the induced $PU(r)$ -bundle on which we have fixed a reference connection A_0 . Let A be a perturbed G_2 -instanton which satisfies

$$F_A \wedge \psi + \sigma_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(A) = 0$$

for some $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$. We thus get

$$\pi_7(F_A) = -\star(\psi \wedge \star\sigma_\pi(A)).$$

From (2.7) and the admissibility of P , we know that $\|F_A\|_{L^2}^2 = 3\|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^2}^2$. With the C^0 bound on the perturbation, we get a priori bounds on $\|F_A\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{C^0}$. In order to apply Uhlenbeck's result Theorem 5.2, we need to improve these bounds to the $L^{7/2}$ norm. To do this, we work locally over balls in X .

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ be the unit ball equipped with the standard G_2 -structure ϕ_0 , $B' \subset \mathbb{B}$ an interior ball, and $q \in (0, 7)$. There are constants $\epsilon_2 > 0$, $\mathbf{c}_2 > 0$ such that for each connection $A = d + a$ on the trivial $SU(r)$ -bundle satisfying*

$$(1) \ d^*a = 0 \quad (2) \ \star a|_{\partial\mathbb{B}} = 0 \quad (3) \ \|a\|_{L_1^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \leq \epsilon_2$$

one has

$$\|a\|_{L_1^q(B')} \leq \mathbf{c}_2 (\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{B})}).$$

Proof. Let's consider the operator $\delta := d^* \oplus \pi_7 \circ d : \Omega^1(\mathbb{B}, \mathfrak{su}(r)) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\mathbb{B}, \mathfrak{su}(r)) \oplus \Omega^2(\mathbb{B}, \mathfrak{su}(r))$. The symbol of this operator is given by $a \mapsto (\iota_\xi a, \pi_7(\xi \wedge a))$ when evaluating over a non-zero covector $\xi \in T^*\mathbb{R}^7$. Using the equivalence between $\pi_7(a \wedge \xi) = 0$ and $\xi \wedge a \wedge \star\phi_0 = 0$, one readily checks that the symbol evaluating on ξ is injective when ξ is non-zero. The elliptic regularity estimate tells us

$$\|a\|_{L_1^p} \leq \text{const.} (\|\delta a\|_{L^p} + \|a\|_{L^1})$$

for any $a \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{B}, \mathfrak{su}(r))$ compactly supported in \mathbb{B} . Since the 3-form ϕ_0 is exact on \mathbb{B} , Stokes' theorem tells us

$$2\|\pi_7(da)\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\pi_{14}(da)\|_{L^2}^2 = -2n \int_{\mathbb{B}} \text{tr}(da \wedge da) \wedge \phi_0 = 0,$$

from which we conclude that $\|da\|_{L^2}^2 = 3\|\pi_7(da)\|_{L^2}^2$. In particular, we see that every compactly supported 1-form in $\ker \delta$ has to vanish identically. So the L^1 -term in the RHS of the elliptic estimate above can be dropped.

Now let $A = d + a$ be a connection satisfying the assumption, and $\beta : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a cut-off function with $\beta|_{B'} \equiv 1$. Then

$$|\delta(\beta a)| \leq |d\beta||a| + |\beta a \wedge \beta a| + |\pi_7(F_A)|. \quad (5.1)$$

Let's first assume $q \in (0, 14/5]$. Then we have the Sobolev embedding $L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L^q$. Combining with Uhlenbeck's result Theorem 5.2, we get

$$\|a\|_{L^q} \leq \text{const.} \|a\|_{L_1^2} \leq \text{const.} \|F_A\|_{L^2}$$

when $\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}}$ is sufficiently small. With the elliptic estimate of δ , we get

$$\|\beta a\|_{L_1^q} \leq \text{const.} (\|F_A\|_{L^2} + \|\beta a \wedge \beta a\|_{L^q} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^q}). \quad (5.2)$$

The Hölder's inequality tells us $\|\beta a \wedge \beta a\|_{L^q} \leq \|\beta a\|_{L^{mq}} \|\beta a\|_{L^{nq}}$ for each positive pair (m, n) satisfying $1/m + 1/n = 1$. Let $m = 7/q$ and $n = 7/(7 - q)$. We have the Sobolev embeddings $L_1^{7/2} \hookrightarrow L^{mq}$ and $L_1^q \hookrightarrow L^{nq}$, from which it follows that

$$\|\beta a \wedge \beta a\|_{L^q} \leq \text{const.} \|\beta a\|_{L_1^{7/2}} \|\beta a\|_{L_1^q}.$$

When $\|\beta a\|_{L_1^{7/2}}$ is sufficiently small, we can rearrange the quadratic term to the LHS of (5.2) to conclude that

$$\|a\|_{L_1^q(B')} \leq \text{const.} (\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{B})}).$$

Now for $q \in (14/5, 7)$, we have the Sobolev embedding $L_1^{14/5} \hookrightarrow L^q$. So (5.2) continues to hold with the help of the estimate for $\|\beta a\|_{L_1^{14/5}}$. The same argument then applies to complete the proof. \square

Note that the energy $\mathcal{YM}(A)$ is not conformal invariant. As a compensation, we can consider the normalized energy over balls

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(A, B_r(x)) := r^{-3} \int_{B_r(x)} |F_A|^2, \quad (5.3)$$

where $B_r(x)$ is the ball of radius r centered at $x \in X$. It is clear the normalized energy is conformally invariant. The normalized energy enjoys the following monotonicity property, which gives a control on the normalized energy when restricted on smaller balls.

Lemma 5.4. *Let (\mathbb{B}, ϕ_0) be the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^7 equipped with the standard G_2 -structure. There exists a constant $\mathfrak{c}_3 > 0$ such that each connection A on the trivial $SU(n)$ -bundle satisfies*

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(A, B_r(0)) \leq \hat{\mathcal{E}}(A, \mathbb{B}) + \mathfrak{c}_3 \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})}^2.$$

Proof. Let's write B_r for the ball centered at the origin of radius r . The derivative of the normalized energy along the radial direction can be computed as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(A, B_r(0)) = -3r^{-4} \int_{B_r} |F_A|^2 + r^{-3} \int_{\partial B_r} |F_A|^2.$$

Appealing to the relation $-\text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A) \wedge \phi_0 = 2|\pi_7(F_A)|^2 - |\pi_{14}(F_A)|^2$, we find that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(A, B_r(0)) = -9r^{-4} \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^2(B_r)}^2 + 3r^{-4} \int_{B_r} -2n \text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A) \wedge \phi_0 + r^{-3} \int_{\partial B_r} |F_A|^2.$$

Let $\nu = 1/3r\partial_r$ be the radial vector field on \mathbb{B} . Since G_2 acts on the spheres ∂B_r transitively and preserves ϕ_0 , one can verify the relation $d\nu\phi_0 = \phi_0$ directly over points along one axis. Writing $\iota_\nu\phi_0 = \omega_0$, we have

$$\int_{B_r} \text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A) \wedge \phi_0 = \int_{\partial B_r} \text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A) \wedge \omega_0.$$

Given any 2-form α , there is a relation

$$3\alpha \wedge \alpha \wedge \omega_0 \leq |\alpha|^2 r \text{vol}_{\partial B_r}. \quad (5.4)$$

on the r -sphere ∂B_r . To verify this relation, we use the transitivity of the G_2 -action on the r -sphere again, which means it suffices to work at the point $\mathbf{x}_0 = (r, 0, \dots, 0)$ on the x_1 -axis where $\text{vol}_{\partial B_r} = dx_{234567}$ and $\omega_0|_{\mathbf{x}_0} = 1/3 \cdot x_1(dx_{23} - dx_{45} - dx_{67})$. Then one can write out α in local coordinates to complete the computation. From (5.4), it follows that

$$3r^{-4} \int_{\partial B_r} -2n \text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A) \wedge \omega_0 + r^{-3} \int_{\partial B_r} |F_A|^2 \geq 0.$$

In this way, we get a lower bound on the derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(A, B_r(0)) \geq -9r^{-4} \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^2(B_r)}^2 \geq \text{const.} \cdot r \cdot \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})}^2.$$

The result now follows from integration from r to 1. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let (\mathbb{B}, ϕ_0) be the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^7 equipped with the standard G_2 -structure. There exists constants $\epsilon_4 > 0$, $\mathbf{c}_4 > 0$ such that for each connection A on the trivial $SU(n)$ -bundle satisfying*

$$\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_r(x))} \leq \epsilon_4,$$

where $B_r(x) \Subset B_{1/2}(0)$ is some ball contained in the ball centered at the origin of radius $1/2$, one has

$$\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_{3r/4}(x))} \leq \mathbf{c}_4 \left(\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \right).$$

Proof. Let's rescale and translate the ball $B_r(x)$ to the unit ball \mathbb{B} , and denote the corresponding connection by $A' = d + a'$. Since the $L^{7/2}$ -norm of the curvature and the normalizer energy is conformally invariant, we have

$$\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_r(x))} = \|F_{A'}\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}(A, B_r(x)) = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(A', \mathbb{B}) = \|F_{A'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})}^2.$$

Then Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 tell us that

$$\|a'\|_{L_1^{7/2}(B_{3/4}(0))} \leq \text{const.} \left(\|F_{A'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} + \|\pi_7(F_{A'})\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \right) < 1$$

once $\|F_{A'}\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})}$ is sufficiently small. Using the Sobolev multiplication $L_1^{7/2} \times L_1^{7/2} \hookrightarrow L^{7/2}$, we have

$$\|F_{A'}\|_{L^{7/2}(B_{3/4}(0))} \leq \text{const.} \left(\|a'\|_{L_1^{7/2}(B_{3/4}(0))} + \|a'\|_{L_1^{7/2}(B_{3/4}(0))}^2 \right) \leq \text{const.} \|a'\|_{L_1^{7/2}(B_{3/4}(0))}.$$

Rescale back to $B_r(x)$, we get

$$\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_{3r/4}(x))} \leq \text{const.} \left(r^{-3/2} \|F_A\|_{L^2(B_r(x))} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(B_r(x))} \right).$$

Note that $B_{r+1/2}(x) \Subset \mathbb{B}$. Lemma 5.4 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-3} \|F_A\|_{L^2(B_r(x))}^2 &\leq (r + 1/2)^{-3} \|F_A\|_{L^2(B_{r+1/2}(x))}^2 + \text{const.} \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(B_{r+1/2}(x))}^2 \\ &\leq \text{const.} \left(\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which can be substituted back to the inequality above to complete the proof. \square

Now we are prepared to bound the $L^{7/2}$ -norm of the curvature on unit balls.

Lemma 5.6. *Let (\mathbb{B}, ϕ_0) be the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^7 equipped with the standard G_2 -structure and $B' \Subset B_{1/2}(0)$ an interior ball. There are constants $\epsilon_5 > 0$, $\mathfrak{c}_5 > 0$ such that for each connection A on the trivial $SU(n)$ -bundle satisfying*

$$\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} \leq \epsilon_5 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \leq \epsilon_5,$$

one has the following bound

$$\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B')} \leq \mathfrak{c}_5 \left(\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \right).$$

Proof. Given a point $x \in \mathring{B}_{1/2}(0)$, we denote by $D(x) = 1/2 - |x|$ the distance between x and the boundary $\partial B_{1/2}(0)$. Consider

$$r(x) = \sup\{r < D(x) : \|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_r(x))} \leq \epsilon_4\},$$

where ϵ_4 is the constant in Corollary 5.5. We claim that when $\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})}$ and $\|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})}$ are sufficiently small, one can find $M > 0$ such that $D(x) \leq Mr(x)$ holds for all $x \in B_{1/2}(0)$.

Let's assume the claim is true for a moment. Then given any interior ball $B' \Subset B_{1/2}(0)$, one can cover B' with finitely many balls of the form $B_{3r/4}(x)$ so that $B_r(x) \Subset B_{1/2}(0)$ and $\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_r(x))} \leq \epsilon_4$. Then we get the desired estimate on $\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B')}$ by applying Corollary 5.5 to each of the balls.

Now we prove the claim. Suppose one can find a sequence $x_i \in \mathring{B}_{1/2}(0)$ such that $D(x_i)/r(x_i) \rightarrow \infty$. After taking a subsequence, we may assume that $x_i \rightarrow x_o$. Note that $x_o \in \partial B_{1/2}(0)$, for otherwise one gets $r(x_o) = 0$ which is impossible. Now we fix $r_o > 0$ so that $\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_{r_o}(x_o))} < \epsilon_4$, and choose x_k so that $B_{r(x_k)}(x_k) \subset \mathring{B}_{3r_o/4}(x_o)$. Corollary 5.5 tells us that

$$\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_{3r_o/4}(x_o))} \leq \mathfrak{c}_4 \left(\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \right). \quad (5.5)$$

By choosing $\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})}$ and $\|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})}$ sufficiently small, we can arrange that the RHS of (5.5) is less than ϵ_4 . In particular, for some $\epsilon > 0$, we get

$$\|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_{r(x_k)+\epsilon}(x_k))} \leq \|F_A\|_{L^{7/2}(B_{3r_o/4}(x_o))} < \epsilon_4.$$

This contradicts to the choice of $r(x_k)$. □

Now we move on to control the higher derivatives of connection 1-forms.

Lemma 5.7. *Let (\mathbb{B}, ϕ_0) be the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^7 equipped with the standard G_2 -structure and $B' \Subset \mathbb{B}$ an interior ball. There is a constant $\epsilon_6 > 0$ such that for each connection $A = d + a$ on the trivial $SU(n)$ -bundle satisfying*

$$d^*a = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\|_{L_1^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \leq \epsilon_6$$

one has

$$\|a\|_{L_l^{7/2}(B')} \leq pl \left(\|F_A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B})} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}(\mathbb{B})} \right) \quad \forall l \geq 2,$$

where $p_l : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial with positive coefficients and no zero-th order term.

Proof. Let $\delta := d^* \oplus \pi_7$ be the operator considered in the proof of Lemma 5.3, and $\beta : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a cut-off function with $\beta|_{B'} \equiv 1$. The elliptic estimates of δ gives us

$$\|\beta a\|_{L_l^{7/2}} \leq \text{const.} \left(\|a\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}} + \|\beta a \wedge \beta a\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}} \right).$$

In general, the Leibinz rule gives us the Sobolev inequality:

$$\|\beta a \wedge \beta a\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}} \leq \text{const.} \|\beta a\|_{L_1^{7/2}} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^l \|\beta a\|_{L_k^{7/2}}.$$

So when $\|a\|_{L_1^{7/2}}$ is sufficiently small, one can rearrange the term containing $\|\beta a\|_{L_l^{7/2}}$ to get the desired estimate. To see why the constant ϵ_6 can be chosen to be independent of l , we note that when $l \geq 4$ the Sobolev multiplication inequality becomes:

$$\|\beta a \wedge \beta a\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}} \leq \|\beta a\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}}^2.$$

So there is no need to do the rearrangement when $l \geq 4$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let A be a π -perturbed G_2 -instanton satisfying the equation $F_A \wedge \psi + \sigma_\pi(A) = 0$. We fix a positive number $r_0 > 0$ so such for each $x \in X$ one can find $r_x \geq r_0$ such that the geodesic ball $B_{r_x}(x)$ is contained in an orbifold chart $(\tilde{U}_x, G_x, \varphi_x)$. Let $\tilde{B}_{r_x}(x) \subset \tilde{U}_x$ be a ball containing a connected component of $\varphi_x^{-1}(B_{r_x}(x))$ and \tilde{A}_x the corresponding equivariant connection on \tilde{U}_x .

Since $\|F_A\|_{L^2}^2 = 3\|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^2}^2$, we know when $\|\pi\|$ is sufficiently small, one can guarantee that

$$\hat{c}(\tilde{A}_x, \tilde{B}_{r_x}(x)) < \epsilon_5 \text{ and } \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(\tilde{B}_{r_x}(x))} < \epsilon_5,$$

where ϵ_5 is the constant in Lemma 5.6. Then Lemma 5.6 gives us a bound on $\|F_{\tilde{A}_x}\|_{L^{7/2}(\tilde{B}'_x)}$ for some interior ball $\tilde{B}'_x \Subset \tilde{B}_{r_x}(x)$. We can choose $\|\pi\|$ to be small so that the assumption of Theorem 5.2 applies. We conclude that after possible gauge transformations over \tilde{U}_x , the connection $\tilde{A}_x = d + \tilde{a}_x$ satisfies $d^*\tilde{a}_x = 0$ and

$$\|\tilde{a}_x\|_{L_1^{7/2}(\tilde{B}'_x)} \leq \text{const.} \left(r_0^{-3} \|F_A\|_{L^2(X)} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L^{7/2}(X)} \right).$$

Now Lemma 5.7 tells us that

$$\|\tilde{a}_x\|_{L_1^{7/2}(\tilde{B}'_x)} \leq p_l \left(r_0^{-3} \|F_A\|_{L^2(X)} + \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}(X)} \right). \quad (5.6)$$

In order to control $\|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}(X)}$, we recall that $\pi_7(F_A) = -\star(\psi \wedge \star\sigma_\pi(A))$. Then Lemma 4.2 tells us

$$\|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L_{l-1}^{7/2}(X)} \leq \text{const.} \|\pi_7(F_A)\|_{L_{l-1}^2(X)} \leq \text{const.} \left(1 + \|A^\dagger - A_0^\dagger\|_{L_{l-1}^2(X)}^{l-1} \right) \|\pi\|,$$

where the constant depends on ψ and the orbifold chart $(\tilde{U}_x, G_x, \varphi_x)$. A priori, we only have $A^\dagger - A_0^\dagger \in L_1^{7/2}$. The recursive inequality (5.6) tells us that after a possible gauge

transformation $(A^\dagger - A_0^\dagger)|_{U_x} \in L_2^{7/2}(U_x)$. The gauge patching argument in [Weh05, Chapter 7] enables us to find a global gauge transformation g so that $g \cdot A^\dagger - A_0^\dagger \in L_2^{7/2}(X)$. Iterating this process, we see that $A^\dagger - A_0^\dagger \in L_l^{7/2}$ for any l after possible gauge transformations.

Now we have proved that the restriction of each π -perturbed G_2 -instanton to a small orbifold chart admits a connection 1-form that is bounded in $L_l^{7/2}$ for any l , under the assumption that $\|\pi\|$ is sufficiently small. So given any sequence of π -perturbed G_2 -instantons, we can find a subsequence, using a diagonalization procedure, so that the restriction of this subsequence to a given small orbifold chart converges in C^∞ -topology to a π -perturbed G_2 -instanton, after possible gauge transformations. We then cover X with finitely many small orbifold charts, and run the argument over each of them. Finally, we patch the gauge transformations applied to each charts as in [Weh05, Chapter 7] to get the desired convergence on X . This proves the compactness of $\mathcal{M}_{\phi,\pi}(X, P^\dagger)$. \square

6. Deformation Invariants

Now we come to the point of defining an enumerative invariant for G_2 -orbifolds by incorporating the techniques developed above. We first pin-down the precise definitions, then calculate the invariants for some orbifolds appeared in Joyce's construction [Joy96].

6.1. Definition of Invariants.

Definition 6.1. Let (X, ϕ) be a compact G_2 -orbifold, and $P^\dagger \rightarrow X$ an admissible principal $U(r)$ -orbibundle.

- (a) When (X, ϕ) is simple, and either $r = 2$ or $c_1(P^\dagger)$ divisible by 2, we define

$$n_\phi(X, P^\dagger) := \#\mathcal{M}_{\phi,\pi}^*(X, P^\dagger) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

as the signed counting of irreducible π -perturbed projective G_2 -instantons with respect to a small generic perturbation π and orientation data. Moreover, for fixed $c \in H_{\text{orb}}^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, we write

$$n_\phi^c(X) := \sum_{P^\dagger} n_\phi(X, P^\dagger) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where the sum ranges over all rank-2 admissible bundles P^\dagger satisfying $c_1(P^\dagger) = c$.

- (b) When (X, ϕ) is not simple, we define

$$n_\phi(X, P^\dagger) := \#\mathcal{M}_{\phi,\pi}^*(X, P^\dagger) \in \mathbb{Z}/2$$

as the counting of irreducible π -perturbed projective G_2 -instantons with respect to a small generic perturbation π .

- (c) When (X, ϕ) is simple and satisfies $H_{\text{orb}}^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and P^\dagger is an $SU(2)$ -bundle with $c_2(P^\dagger) = 0 \in H_{\text{orb}}^4(X; \mathbb{Z})$, we define

$$n_\phi(X, P^\dagger) := \#\mathcal{M}_{\phi,\pi}^*(X, P^\dagger) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

as the signed counting of irreducible $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ -perturbed (non-projective) G_2 -instantons with respect to a small generic perturbation $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ and orientation data. Moreover, we write

$$n_\phi(X) := \sum_{P^\dagger} n_\phi(X, P^\dagger) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where the sum ranges over all $SU(2)$ -bundles over X with vanishing c_2 .

The items in Definition 6.1 require some explanation. In (a), we define $n_\phi^c(X)$ as the sum of admissible bundles with $c_1 = c$. The requirement $c_1^2 - 4c_2 = 0$ implies that there are only finitely many choices of c_2 . Note that the higher homotopy groups $\pi_n(U(2))$ with $4 \leq n \leq 7$ are all finite. Thus obstruction theory tells us there are only a finite number of $U(2)$ -bundles over X once c_1 and c_2 are determined. So the sum defining $n_\phi^c(X)$ is finite. In (c), the requirement $H_{\text{orb}}^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ implies that there are no non-trivial flat reducible $SU(2)$ -connections, and the unique reducible flat $SU(2)$ -connection is isolated from the irreducible ones. These properties continues to hold if the perturbation $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is chosen to be sufficiently small. Thus the counting that defines $n_\phi(X)$ makes sense.

As for the orientation data mentioned in Definition 6.1, we refers to Joyce–Upmeyer [JU21] for the manifold case where they considered ‘flag structures’ as additional inputs. We believe such a work carries through the orbifold case after unraveling the corresponding homotopy theory. We choose not to illustrate the orientation data here for the reason of lacking practical applications. If the moduli space is non-empty, one can always fix an orientation at one point, and use it to orient other points via the spectral flow of the deformation operator along a path.

Theorem 6.2. *All invariants in Definition 6.1 are independent of the choices of the perturbation $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, and invariant under C^0 -deformation of torsion-free G_2 -structures.*

Proof. The independence of perturbations follows from a standard argument. Given two small generic perturbations $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1$ so that the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \boldsymbol{\pi}_i}(X, P^\dagger)$ are both regular. We can choose a path of small perturbations $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, connecting $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1$, transverse to the image $\text{pr}_1(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(0))$, where \mathcal{F} is the map defined in (4.11), and $\text{pr}_1 : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is the projection onto the first factor. Then transversality tells us that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \gamma}^* := \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{M}_{\phi, \gamma(t)}^*(X, P^\dagger)$$

gives an (oriented) cobordism from $\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \boldsymbol{\pi}_0}^*(X, P^\dagger)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{\phi, \boldsymbol{\pi}_1}^*(X, P^\dagger)$. Thus the counting with respect to $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0$ -instantons and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1$ -instantons coincides.

Suppose now we have a continuous path $\Phi := (\phi_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ of torsion-free G_2 -structures on X . Then we consider

$$\mathcal{M}_\Phi(X, P^\dagger) := \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{M}_{\phi_t}(X, P^\dagger) \subset [0, 1] \times \mathcal{A}_k / \mathcal{G}_{k+1}.$$

We can consider the map analogous to (4.11):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\Phi : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{A}_k^* \times [0, 1] &\longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{k-1} \\ (\boldsymbol{\pi}, A, t) &\longmapsto \text{grad cs}_{\phi_t, \boldsymbol{\pi}}(A) \end{aligned}$$

Due to our assumption, \mathcal{F}_Φ is smooth on the first two components and only continuous on the third. However, Lemma 4.4 tells us that the partial derivatives of \mathcal{F}_Φ on the first two components are already transverse to the zero section of \mathcal{K}_{k-1} . Thus the implicit function theorem tells us for a generic $\boldsymbol{\pi} \in \mathcal{P}$, the perturbed moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi, \boldsymbol{\pi}}(X, P^\dagger)$ is a C^0 1-manifold. Since each ϕ_t is torsion-free, Theorem 5.1 tells us that $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi, \boldsymbol{\pi}}(X, P^\dagger)$ is compact when $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is sufficiently small. Thus we get an (oriented) cobordism from $\mathcal{M}_{\phi_0}(X, P^\dagger)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{\phi_1}(X, P^\dagger)$, which implies the deformation invariance. Here the oriented cobordism means on a neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_\Phi(X, P^\dagger)$ in $[0, 1] \times \mathcal{A}_k/\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$, the determinant line of the index bundle of the deformation complex is trivialized so that the orientation is transported inside this neighborhood rather than ‘along the C^0 curve’. \square

Recall in the introduction section, an irreducible projectively flat connection A^\dagger of P^\dagger is said to be non-degenerate if $H^1(X, \rho_A) = 0$, where ρ_A is the representation $\rho_A : \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X) \rightarrow SO(r^2 - 1)$ given by the induced flat connection on the bundle $\mathfrak{su}(P^\dagger)$. Equivalently, if we write ad_A for the local system arising as \mathfrak{g}_P twisted by A , then $H^1(X, \text{ad}_A) = H^1(X, \rho_A)$. Proposition 1.5 follows from the following observation.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $[A^\dagger]$ be a gauge class of irreducible projectively flat connection on an admissible bundle P^\dagger of a G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . Then $[A^\dagger]$ is regular as a point in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\phi^*(X, P^\dagger)$ if and only if $[A^\dagger]$ is a non-degenerate projectively flat connection.*

Proof. Recall for the deformation complex $E_A(X)$, irreducibility of A implies that

$$H^0(E_A(X)) = H^3(E_A(X)) = 0 \quad H^1(E_A(X)) \simeq H^2(E_A(X)).$$

To prove the lemma, it suffices to identify $H^1(E_A(X))$ with $H^1(X, \text{ad}_A)$. We claim that $\forall a \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g}_P)$

$$d_A a \wedge \psi = 0 \iff d_A a = 0.$$

To prove the claim, suppose $d_A a \wedge \psi = 0$, equivalently $\pi_7(d_A a) = 0$ by Lemma 3.4. Then (2.7) tells us that

$$|\pi_{14}(d_A a)|^2 \text{vol} = 2r \text{tr}(d_A a \wedge d_A a) \wedge \phi = 2rd(\text{tr}(a \wedge d_A a) \wedge \phi),$$

where the last equality uses the fact that A is flat. Integrating over X gives us that $\pi_{14}(d_A a) = 0$. Thus $d_A a = 0$. This proves the claim. The identification between $H^1(E_A(X))$ and $H^1(X, \text{ad}_A)$ follows from the claim directly. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Lemma 6.3 tells us that $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ is regular for any torsion-free G_2 -structure ϕ under the given assumptions. Thus we can choose $\boldsymbol{\pi} = 0$ for defining $n_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$. In this case, the cohomology of the deformation complexes are identified for all torsion-free G_2 -structures canonically by Lemma 6.3. Thus the counting with signs agrees for different torsion-free G_2 -structures. \square

When the G_2 -orbifold is flat, Walpuski [Wal13] gave a simpler criterion to justify non-degeneracy by appealing to the Weitzenböck formula of Dirac operators. Suppose A^\dagger is a projectively flat connection. Then the flat Levi–Civita connection on X coupled with the flat connection ad_A on \mathfrak{g}_E gives a representation $\rho_A^{\text{LC}} : \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}((\Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)|_{x_o})$ for a reference non-singular point $x_o \in X$.

Lemma 6.4 ([Wal13, Proposition 9.2]). *Let (X, ϕ) be a flat G_2 -orbifold, and A^\dagger a projectively flat connection on a $U(r)$ -bundle P^\dagger of X . Then A^\dagger is non-degenerate if and only if the representation ρ_A^{LC} fixes no non-trivial vectors in $(\Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)|_{x_o}$.*

Corollary 6.5. *Let P^\dagger be an admissible bundle over a flat torsion-free G_2 -orbifold (X, ϕ) . Then the moduli space of irreducible projective instantons $\mathcal{M}_\phi^*(X, P^\dagger)$ is regular.*

Proof. Since every irreducible projective G_2 -instanton A^\dagger induces a flat connection A on \mathfrak{g}_P , we know that, after coupling with the flat Levi–Civita connection, ρ_A^{LC} fixes no non-trivial vectors. \square

6.2. Calculation of Invariants.

In this subsection, we calculate the invariant $n_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ for some examples.

Example 6.1. The first example we consider is the manifold $X = T^3 \times K3$ whose G_2 -structure is induced from the metric given by the product of a flat metric on T^3 with a hyperkähler metric on $K3$. We may identify $T^3 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$, and write α, β, γ for embedded curves in T^3 representing the three factors respectively. Let $Q^\dagger \rightarrow T^3$ be the $U(2)$ -bundle over T^3 with $c_1(Q^\dagger) = \text{PD}[\gamma]$ and $c_2(Q^\dagger) = 0$, and $P^\dagger = \text{pr}_1^* Q^\dagger$ the pull-back of Q^\dagger to X via the projection map. The bundle P^\dagger is admissible since $\langle c_1(P^\dagger), \alpha \times \beta \rangle = 1$.

Then the unperturbed moduli space corresponds to the representation variety:

$$\mathcal{R}(P^\dagger) = \{\rho : \pi_1(T^3 \setminus \gamma) \rightarrow SU(2) : \rho([\alpha, \beta]) = -1\} / \text{Ad}.$$

After identifying $SU(2)$ with unit quaternions, one readily solves that $\rho(\alpha) = i$, $\rho(\beta) = j$, and $\rho(\gamma) = \pm 1$ up to the adjoint action. Thus the unperturbed moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ consists of two points, say ρ_\pm , corresponding to $\rho_\pm(\gamma) = \pm 1$ respectively. To see why both ρ_\pm are regular, we note that P^\dagger is pulled-back from Q^\dagger on T^3 , so the Künneth formula tells us that

$$H^1(X, \text{ad}_{\rho_\pm}) \simeq H^1(T^3, \text{ad}_{\rho_\pm}) = 0.$$

Then invoking Lemma 6.3, we get the non-degeneracy of ρ_\pm . [Kro05, Lemma 6.3] tells us that one can find a path of connections on Q^\dagger that joins ρ_\pm whose spectral flow of the deformation operator for the flatness equation on Q^\dagger is 4. Over $T^3 \times K3$ the deformation complex $E_A(X)$ splits as the sum of the deformation complexes of the flat connections on T^3 and ASD connections on $K3$ for pull-back connections. Since $K3$ is complex, if we pull-back the path above, the resulting spectral flow of the deformation operator of G_2 -instantons joining ρ_\pm is still even. After fixing an orientation on either of ρ_\pm , we conclude that

$$n_\phi(T^3 \times K3, P^\dagger) = 2.$$

In general, following the construction of Kronheimer [Kro05, Section 6.2], one can find $U(r)$ -bundles P_r^\dagger over $T^3 \times K3$ so that $n_\phi(T^3 \times K3, P_r^\dagger) = r$ using the argument above.

Example 6.2. The second example we consider arises from Example 3 in Joyce's list [Joy96]. Let T^7 be the torus obtained by quotienting the standard \mathbb{R}^7 with axis-translations. Let's write (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_7) for the coordinates on \mathbb{R}^7 . We denote the x_i -translation by $\tau_i : x_i \mapsto x_i + 1$, and consider three involutions on \mathbb{R}^7 that all preserve the flat structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &: (x_1, \dots, x_7) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, -x_4, -x_5, -x_6, -x_7) \\ \beta &: (x_1, \dots, x_7) \mapsto (x_1, -x_2, -x_3, x_4, x_5, \frac{1}{2} - x_6, -x_7) \\ \gamma &: (x_1, \dots, x_7) \mapsto (-x_1, x_2, -x_3, x_4, \frac{1}{2} - x_5, x_6, \frac{1}{2} - x_7) \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

We write Γ for the group generated by τ_1, \dots, τ_7 and α, β, γ . We let $X = \mathbb{R}^7/\Gamma$ equipped with the flat metric. From [Joy00, Lemma 12.2.2], we know X is a simple orbifold whose singular set consists of 12 disjoint copies of T^3 . Since \mathbb{R}^7 is the universal cover of X , we know the orbifold fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X) = \Gamma$. The relations of generators in Γ are generated by the following:

- (1) $[\tau_i, \tau_j] = 1 \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, 7.$
- (2) $\alpha^2 = 1, \beta^2 = 1, \gamma^2 = 1.$
- (3) $[\alpha, \beta] = \tau_6^{-1}, [\alpha, \gamma] = \tau_7^{-1}, [\beta, \gamma] = \tau_7^{-1}.$
- (4) $\alpha\tau_i\alpha = \tau_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3,$ $\alpha\tau_j\alpha = \tau_j^{-1}$, for $j = 4, 5, 6, 7.$
- (5) $\beta\tau_i\beta = \tau_i$ for $i = 1, 4, 5,$ $\beta\tau_j\beta = \tau_j^{-1}$, for $j = 2, 3, 6, 7.$
- (6) $\gamma\tau_i\gamma = \tau_i$, for $i = 2, 4, 6,$ $\gamma\tau_j\gamma = \tau_j^{-1}$ for $j = 1, 3, 5, 7.$

Now we determine all $SO(3)$ -representations $\text{Hom}(\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X), SO(3))$ up to conjugation based on the relations above. Let's write $V_4 = \{1, a, b, c\} \subset SO(3)$ for the Klein four-group given by

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We first recall an elementary fact in linear algebra and leave it as an exercise for the reader.

Lemma 6.6. *For a nontrivial element $1 \neq g \in SO(3)$, we write L_g for the rotation axis of g . Then*

- (1) *If $aga = g$, then $g \in O(2)$ of the following form:*

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ & \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (2) If $aga = g^{-1}$, then either $g = a$ or $g = ar$ with $r \in SO(3)$ a π -rotation. In the latter case, we orient L_r and L_a by \vec{o}_r and \vec{o}_a so that the angle between them satisfies $\theta_{ar} \in [0, \pi/2]$. Then g is the counterclockwise $2\theta_{ar}$ -rotation about the axis L_{ar} determined by $L_{ar} \perp L_a$ and $L_{ar} \perp L_r$. Here counterclockwise rotation is defined with respect to the oriented basis (\vec{o}_r, \vec{o}_a) .

Moreover, let's write $S(2) \subset SO(3)$ for the subset of $SO(3)$ consisting of elements satisfying (2). We have the following relations among $O(2)$, $S(2)$ and V_4 .

- (a) If $g^2 = 1$ and $g \in O(2) \setminus \{1, a\}$, then $\{1, a, g, ag\}$ forms a copy of V_4 .
- (b) If $g^2 = 1$ and $g \in S(2) \setminus \{1, a\}$, then $\{1, a, g, ag\}$ forms a copy of V_4 .
- (c) If $g \in O(2) \cap S(2) \setminus \{1, a\}$, then $\{1, a, g, ag\}$ forms a copy of V_4 .

In particular, if $g \in SO(3)$ satisfies one of (a)–(c), then g is conjugate to b via an element in $SO(2)$.

We write $\rho : \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X) \rightarrow SO(3)$ for a general representation.

Case (1) Suppose $\rho(\alpha) = \rho(\beta) = \rho(\gamma) = 1$. Then we know $\rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$ by relation (3). Then relations (1) and (4)–(6) imply that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$, up to conjugation.

Case (2) Suppose $\rho(\alpha) = \rho(\beta) = 1$ and $\rho(\gamma) \neq 1$. Up to conjugation, we can take $\rho(\gamma) = a$. Then we know $\rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$ as before. After further conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$, relations (4) and (5) imply that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Case (2.1) If $\rho(\tau_i) = 1$ for all $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$, then $\rho(\tau_1) \in S(2)$.

Case (2.2) If not all of $\rho(\tau_i)$, $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$, are trivial, then $\rho(\tau_1) \in V_4$.

Case (3) Suppose $\rho(\alpha) = \rho(\gamma) = 1$, and $\rho(\beta) \neq 1$. Up to conjugation, we can take $\rho(\beta) = a$. Then we know $\rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$. After further conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$, relations (4)–(6) imply that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5$.

Case (3.1) If $\rho(\tau_i) = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$, then $\rho(\tau_2) \in S(2)$.

Case (3.2) If not all of $\rho(\tau_i)$, $i \in \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$, are trivial, then $\rho(\tau_2) \in V_4$.

Case (4) Suppose $\rho(\beta) = \rho(\gamma) = 1$, and $\rho(\alpha) \neq 1$. Up to conjugation, we can take $\rho(\alpha) = a$. Then we know $\rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$. After further conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$, relations (4)–(6) imply that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$.

Case (4.1) If $\rho(\tau_i) = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$, then $\rho(\tau_4) \in S(2)$.

Case (4.2) If not all of $\rho(\tau_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$, are trivial, then $\rho(\tau_4) \in V_4$.

Case (5) Suppose $\rho(\alpha) = 1$, and $\rho(\beta), \rho(\gamma) \neq 1$. Up to conjugation, we can take $\rho(\beta) = a$. Then we know $\rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$. After further conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$, relation (3) implies that $\rho(\gamma) \in V_4$. Relations (4) and (5) imply that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for $i = 4, 5$.

Case (5.1) If $\rho(\gamma) = \rho(\tau_i) = 1$ for all $i \in \{4, 5\}$, then relation (6) implies that $\rho(\tau_j) \in V_4$ for $j = 1, 3$. If $\rho(\tau_1) = \rho(\tau_3) = 1$, then $\rho(\tau_2) \in S(2)$. Otherwise $\rho(\tau_2) \in V_4$.

Case (5.2) If $\rho(\gamma) \neq 1$, then relation (6) implies that $\rho(\tau_2), \rho(\tau_1) \in V_4$. If $\rho(\tau_2) = \rho(\tau_1) = 1$ and $\rho(\gamma) = a$, then $\rho(\tau_3) \in S(2)$. Otherwise $\rho(\tau_3) \in V_4$.

Case (5.3) If $\rho(\gamma) = 1$, and at least one of $\rho(\tau_4), \rho(\tau_5)$ is non-trivial, then relation (6) implies that $\rho(\tau_1), \rho(\tau_3) \in V_4$. If $\rho(\tau_i) \in \{1, a\}$ for all $i = 1, 3, 4, 5$, then $\rho(\tau_2) \in S(2)$. Otherwise $\rho(\tau_2) \in V_4$.

Case (6) Suppose $\rho(\beta) = 1$, and $\rho(\alpha), \rho(\gamma) \neq 1$. Up to conjugation, we can take $\rho(\alpha) = a$. Then we know $\rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$. After further conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$, relation (3) implies that $\rho(\gamma) \in V_4$. Relations (4) and (5) imply that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for $i = 2, 3$.

Case (6.1) If $\rho(\gamma) = \rho(\tau_i) = 1$ for all $i \in \{2, 3\}$, then relation (6) implies that $\rho(\tau_j) \in V_4$ for $j = 1, 4$. If $\rho(\tau_1) = \rho(\tau_4) = 1$, then $\rho(\tau_5) \in S(2)$. Otherwise $\rho(\tau_5) \in V_4$.

Case (6.2) If $\rho(\gamma) \neq 1$, then relation (6) implies that $\rho(\tau_1), \rho(\tau_4) \in V_4$. If $\rho(\tau_1) = \rho(\tau_4) = 1$ and $\rho(\gamma) = a$, then $\rho(\tau_5) \in S(2)$. Otherwise $\rho(\tau_5) \in V_4$.

Case (6.3) If $\rho(\gamma) = 1$, and at least one of $\rho(\tau_2), \rho(\tau_3)$ is non-trivial, then relation (6) implies that $\rho(\tau_1), \rho(\tau_4) \in V_4$. If $\rho(\tau_i) \in \{1, a\}$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, then $\rho(\tau_5) \in S(2)$. Otherwise $\rho(\tau_5) \in V_4$.

Case (7) Suppose $\rho(\gamma) = 1$, and $\rho(\alpha), \rho(\beta) \neq 1$. Up to conjugation, we can take $\rho(\alpha) = a$. Then we know $\rho(\tau_7) = 1$. After further conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$, relations (1) and (6) imply that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for $i = 1, 3, 5$.

Case (7.1) If $\rho(\tau_i) = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$, and $\rho(\beta) = a$, then we know $\rho(\tau_6) = 1$. After conjugating by an elements in $SO(2)$ (which we can arrange because $\rho(\tau_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 3, 5$), relations (4) and (5) imply that $\rho(\tau_2), \rho(\tau_4) \in V_4$.

Case (7.2) If $\rho(\tau_i) = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$, and $\rho(\beta) \neq a$, we know $L_{\alpha\beta} \perp L_\alpha, L_\beta$, where L means the rotation axis of the corresponding element in $SO(3)$ under ρ . Note that

$$\beta\tau_2\beta = \tau_2^{-1} \quad \alpha\tau_2\alpha = \tau_2. \quad (6.2)$$

We know that $\rho(\tau_2)$ is the π -rotation about $L_{\alpha\beta}$. The analogous relations of τ_4 implies that $\rho(\tau_4)$ is also the π -rotation about $L_{\alpha\beta}$. Conjugating by elements in $SO(2)$, we can arrange that $\rho(\tau_2) = \rho(\tau_4) = b$. Then relation (5) implies that $\rho(\beta) \in V_4$, thus $\rho(\tau_6) = 1$.

Case (7.3) If $\rho(\tau_i) \in \{1, a\}$ for all $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$, and not all of them are trivial, relation (5) implies that $\rho(\beta) \in V_4$, thus $\rho(\tau_6) = 1$. Depending on $\rho(\beta) = a$ or not, the argument in the two cases above implies that $\rho(\tau_2), \rho(\tau_4) \in V_4$.

Case (8) Suppose $\rho(\alpha), \rho(\beta), \rho(\gamma) \neq 1$. Up to conjugation, we can take $\rho(\alpha) = a$.

Case (8.1) If $\rho(\beta) = \rho(\gamma) = a$, then relations (4)–(6) imply that, after further conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$, $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 5$ and $\rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$.

Case (8.2) If $\rho(\beta) = a, \rho(\gamma) \neq a$, then relations (4) and (5) imply that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$, after further conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$. Relation (3) implies that $\rho(\tau_6) = 1$. Note that

$$\alpha\tau_1\alpha = \tau_1 \quad \gamma\tau_1\gamma = \tau_1^{-1}.$$

We know that $\rho(\tau_1)$ is the π -rotation about $L_{\alpha\gamma}$. If $\rho(\tau_i) = 1$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$, we can apply the $SO(2)$ -conjugation here to arrange that $\rho(\tau_1) = b$. Otherwise relation (1) implies that $\rho(\tau_1) \in \{b, c\} \subset V_4$. Then relation (6) implies that $\rho(\gamma) \in \{b, c\}$, thus $\rho(\tau_7) = 1$.

Case (8.3) If $\rho(\beta) \neq a$, $\rho(\gamma) = a$, then relations (4) and (6) imply that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for $i = 1, 3, 4, 6$, after further conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$. Relation (3) implies that $\rho(\tau_7) = 1$. Note that

$$\alpha\tau_2\alpha = \tau_2 \quad \beta\tau_2\beta = \tau_2^{-1}.$$

We know that $\rho(\tau_2)$ is the π -rotation about $L_{\alpha\beta}$. The same argument in Case (8.2) tells us that $\rho(\tau_2) \in \{b, c\}$. Then relation (5) implies that $\rho(\beta) \in \{b, c\}$, thus $\rho(\tau_6) = 1$.

Case (8.4) If neither of $\rho(\beta)$ nor $\rho(\gamma)$ equals a , we consider

$$\alpha\tau_1\alpha = \tau_1 \quad \beta\tau_1\beta = \tau_1 \quad \gamma\tau_1\gamma = \tau_1^{-1}. \quad (6.3)$$

We know that $\rho(\tau_1)$ is the π -rotation about $L_{\alpha\gamma}$. If $\rho(\beta) \neq \rho(\gamma)$, we know $L_{\alpha\gamma} = L_{\beta\gamma} \perp L_{\alpha}, L_{\beta}, L_{\gamma}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\rho(\beta) = \rho(\gamma)$. Conjugating by an element in $SO(2)$, we can arrange that $\rho(\tau_1) = b$. Then $[\beta, \tau_1] = 1$ tells us that $\rho(\beta) = \rho(\gamma) \in V_4$. Then relation (3) tells us that $\rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$. This argument can be applied to τ_i for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ to conclude that $\rho(\tau_i)$ is the π -rotation about $L_{\alpha\beta}$. Now we know

$$[b, \rho(\tau_i)] = [\rho(\tau_1), \rho(\tau_i)] = 1 = [\rho(\alpha), \rho(\tau_i)] = [a, \rho(\tau_i)].$$

This implies that $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Throwing away the reducible representations above, we obtain the following characterization of irreducible representations.

Corollary 6.7. *Up to conjugation, each irreducible representation $\rho : \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X) \rightarrow SO(3)$ takes the form*

$$\rho(\alpha), \rho(\beta), \rho(\gamma) \in V_4, \quad \rho(\tau_i) \in V_4, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5, \quad \rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$$

with $\text{im } \rho = V_4$.

Our next task is to identify admissible bundles that correspond to these irreducible representations. Rather than exhausting all possibilities, we work out a few examples on which there is a unique irreducible representation up to conjugation. Let's write $\varpi : T^7 \rightarrow X$ for the quotient map, and P an $SO(3)$ -bundle on X induced from an admissible bundle P^\dagger . Then we get an $SO(3)$ -bundle ϖ^*P on T^7 . [GM93, Proposition 11.1] implies that every non-trivial flat $SO(3)$ -bundle on T^7 is classified by its second Stiefel–Whitney class $w_2 \in H^2(T^7; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, and each such bundle admits a unique flat connection up to gauge. In our case, we require $\rho(\tau_6) = \rho(\tau_7) = 1$. Thus if ϖ^*P is non-trivial, then it's determined by the values $\rho(\tau_i) \in V_4$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$, up to permutations of the non-trivial elements $\{a, b, c\} \subset V_4$.

To pin-down the bundle P on X , we consider the singular set S_X consisting of 12 copies of T^3 . Explicitly, each of the involutions α, β, γ contributes to 4 copies of T^3 as the image of its fixed point set in T^7 . The fiber of the normal bundle of each T^3 is a cone over $\mathbb{R}P^3$, thus contributes to a linking circle corresponding to the non-trivial element in $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^3)$. We can write down the elements represented by these linking circles explicitly in $\Gamma = \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X)$ as follows.

- (a) Linking circles for α -tori: $\alpha, \tau_4\alpha, \tau_5\alpha, \tau_7\alpha$.
- (b) Linking circles for β -tori: $\beta, \tau_2\beta, \tau_3\beta, \tau_2\tau_3\beta$.

(c) Linking circles for γ -tori: $\gamma, \tau_1\gamma, \tau_3\gamma, \tau_1\tau_3\gamma$.

Let's write $\Xi \subset \Gamma$ for the subset consisting of the 12 elements corresponding to these linking circles. A necessary condition for two representations $\rho_1, \rho_2 : \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X) \rightarrow SO(3)$ to produce the same flat bundle P is that $\ker \rho_i \cap \Xi$ must coincide. Because $\rho(g) \neq 1 \in V_4$ for some $g \in \Xi$ means that the restriction of $w_2(P)$ to a linking $\mathbb{R}P^3$ of the T^3 corresponding to g is non-trivial.

Now we write down a few representations whose corresponding bundles each admits one flat connection up to $SO(3)$ -gauge transformations. One can certainly write down a lot more appealing to the discussion above. Similar calculations can be worked out for other examples in Joyce's list as long as one considers the quotient of T^7 by involutions.

	α	β	γ	τ_1	τ_2	τ_3	τ_4	τ_5
ρ_1	1	1	1	c	b	1	a	1
ρ_2	a	1	1	c	b	1	a	a
ρ_3	a	b	1	c	b	b	a	a
ρ_4	a	b	c	c	b	b	a	a

TABLE 1. V_4 -Representations

Proof of Proposition 1.6. Let $\rho : \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(X) \rightarrow SO(3)$ be one of the representations in Table 1. With the discussion above, one can verify easily that ρ gives rise to an $SO(3)$ -bundle P with its unique irreducible flat connection A up to $SO(3)$ -gauge transformations. Let's write \mathcal{G}' for the group of $SO(3)$ -gauge transformations. The double cover $\eta : SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$ gives us an exact sequence (c.f. [AMR95, Page 66]):

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{orb}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\eta_*} \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow H_{\text{orb}}^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow 1.$$

Since the stabilizer of A in \mathcal{G}' is V_4 , and η_* maps the center of \mathcal{G} to 1, we know the number of irreducible connections on P , up to determinant-1 gauge transformations, is

$$\text{rank}(H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2))/|V_4| = 2^6.$$

For each \mathcal{G} -representative $A' \in \mathcal{G}' \cdot A$, Lemma 6.3 tells us that the tangent space of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, P^\dagger)$ at A' is $H^1(X, \text{ad}_{A'})$ which is identified with $H^1(X, \text{ad}_A) = 0$ canonically by any $SO(3)$ -gauge transformations sending A' to A . Thus the orientations of all the 2^6 points agree, which tells us that $n_\phi(X, P^\dagger) = 2^6$. We note that this argument is also consistent with the computation in Example 6.1 where a V_4 -representation gives rise to two projectively flat connections of the same sign up to determinant-1 gauge transformations. \square

REFERENCES

- [AB68] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. II. Applications. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:451–491, 1968.

- [ALR07] Alejandro Adem, Johann Leida, and Yongbin Ruan. *Orbifolds and stringy topology*, volume 171 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [AMR95] Selman Akbulut, Tom Mrowka, and Yongbin Ruan. Torsion classes and a universal constraint on Donaldson invariants for odd manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 347(1):63–76, 1995.
- [APS76] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. III. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 79(1):71–99, 1976.
- [BGV92] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michèle Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, volume 298 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [CN15] Diarmuid Crowley and Johannes Nordström. New invariants of G_2 -structures. *Geom. Topol.*, 19(5):2949–2992, 2015.
- [CW83] Arjeh M. Cohen and David B. Wales. Finite subgroups of $G_2(\mathbf{C})$. *Comm. Algebra*, 11(4):441–459, 1983.
- [DK90] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer. *The geometry of four-manifolds*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1990. Oxford Science Publications.
- [Don87] S. K. Donaldson. The orientation of Yang-Mills moduli spaces and 4-manifold topology. *J. Differential Geom.*, 26(3):397–428, 1987.
- [Don02] S. K. Donaldson. *Floer homology groups in Yang-Mills theory*, volume 147 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. With the assistance of M. Furuta and D. Kotschick.
- [Don22] S. K. Donaldson. A journey through the mathematical world of Karem Uhlenbeck. *arXiv:2205.08970*, 2022.
- [DS11] Simon Donaldson and Ed Segal. Gauge theory in higher dimensions, II. In *Surveys in differential geometry. Volume XVI. Geometry of special holonomy and related topics*, volume 16 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages 1–41. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.
- [DT98] S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas. Gauge theory in higher dimensions. In *The geometric universe (Oxford, 1996)*, pages 31–47. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [DW19] Aleksander Doan and Thomas Walpuski. On counting associative submanifolds and Seiberg-Witten monopoles. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 15(4):1047–1133, 2019.
- [Flo88] Andreas Floer. An instanton-invariant for 3-manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 118(2):215–240, 1988.
- [GM93] Robert E. Gompf and Tomasz S. Mrowka. Irreducible 4-manifolds need not be complex. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 138(1):61–111, 1993.
- [GM23] Dylan Galt and Langte Ma. G_2 -instantons on flat families of bundles over hypersymplectic 4-manifolds. *In preparation*, 2023.
- [Gri95] Robert L. Griess, Jr. Basic conjugacy theorems for G_2 . *Invent. Math.*, 121(2):257–277, 1995.
- [Hae90] André Haefliger. Orbi-espaces. In *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d’après Mikhael Gromov (Bern, 1988)*, volume 83 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 203–213. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [Joy96] Dominic D. Joyce. Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 . I, II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 43(2):291–328, 329–375, 1996.
- [Joy00] Dominic D. Joyce. *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [JU21] D. Joyce and M. Upmeyer. Canonical orientations for moduli spaces of G_2 -instantons with gauge group $SU(m)$ or $U(m)$. *J. Differential Geom.*, to appear, 2021.
- [Kaw81] Tetsuro Kawasaki. The index of elliptic operators over V -manifolds. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 84:135–157, 1981.
- [KM07] Peter Kronheimer and Tomasz Mrowka. *Monopoles and three-manifolds*, volume 10 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

- [KM11] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka. Khovanov homology is an unknot-detector. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (113):97–208, 2011.
- [KN63] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu. *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol I*. Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), New York-London, 1963.
- [Kro91] P. B. Kronheimer. Instanton invariants and flat connections on the Kummer surface. *Duke Math. J.*, 64(2):229–241, 1991.
- [Kro05] P. B. Kronheimer. Four-manifold invariants from higher-rank bundles. *J. Differential Geom.*, 70(1):59–112, 2005.
- [LGTX07] Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Jean-Louis Tu, and Ping Xu. Chern-Weil map for principal bundles over groupoids. *Math. Z.*, 255(3):451–491, 2007.
- [LM89] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [Ma20] Langte Ma. Surgery and excision for the Furuta-Ohta invariantson homology $S^1 \times S^3$. *J. Differential Geom.*, to appear, 2020.
- [Moe02] Ieke Moerdijk. Orbifolds as groupoids: an introduction. In *Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001)*, volume 310 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 205–222. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [Nak88] Hiraku Nakajima. Compactness of the moduli space of Yang-Mills connections in higher dimensions. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 40(3):383–392, 1988.
- [Sat56] I. Satake. On a generalization of the notion of manifold. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 42:359–363, 1956.
- [Sca20] Christopher Scaduto. Computing ν -invariants of Joyce’s compact G_2 -manifolds. *arXiv:2008.07239*, 2020.
- [SE09] Henrique N. Sá Earp. Instantons on G_2 -manifolds. *Imperial College London Ph.D Thesis*, 2009.
- [Seg74] Graeme Segal. Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology*, 13:293–312, 1974.
- [SW17] Dietmar A. Salamon and Thomas Walpuski. Notes on the octonions. In *Proceedings of the Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2016*, pages 1–85. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2017.
- [SY17] Shu Shen and Jianqing Yu. Flat vector bundles and analytic torsion on orbifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, to appear, 2017.
- [Tau11] Clifford Henry Taubes. *Differential geometry*, volume 23 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011. Bundles, connections, metrics and curvature.
- [Thu97] William P. Thurston. *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1*, volume 35 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Edited by Silvio Levy.
- [Uhl82] Karen K. Uhlenbeck. Connections with L^p bounds on curvature. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 83(1):31–42, 1982.
- [Wal13] Thomas Walpuski. *G_2 -Instantons on G_2 -Manifolds*. 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Imperial College London.
- [Weh05] Katrin Wehrheim. Anti-self-dual instantons with Lagrangian boundary conditions. I. Elliptic theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 254(1):45–89, 2005.

SIMONS CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, 100 NICOLLS ROAD, STONY BROOK, NY 11790
 Email address: lma@scgp.stonybrook.edu