

G-dimensions for DG-modules over commutative DG-rings

Jiangsheng Hu, Xiaoyan Yang, Rongmin Zhu

Abstract

We define and study a notion of G-dimension for DG-modules over a non-positively graded commutative noetherian DG-ring A . Some criteria for the finiteness of the G-dimension of a DG-module are given by applying a DG-version of projective resolution introduced by Minamoto [Israel J. Math. 245 (2021) 409-454]. Moreover, it is proved that the finiteness of G-dimension characterizes the local Gorenstein property of A . Applications go in three directions. The first is to establish the connection between G-dimensions and the little finitistic dimensions of A . The second is to characterize Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein DG-rings by the relations between the class of maximal local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules and a special G-class of DG-modules. The third is to extend the classical Buchtwitz-Happel Theorem and its inverse from commutative noetherian local rings to the setting of commutative noetherian local DG-rings.

Keywords: G-dimension; Gorenstein DG-algebra; maximal local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-module; little finitistic dimension; Buchtwitz-Happel Theory.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D05, 16E45, 13DG09.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
3. Proof of Theorem 1.2	7
4. Applications	10
4.1. Relations between G-dimensions and the little finitistic dimensions	10
4.2. Comparison to maximal local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules	12
4.3. Buchtwitz-Happel Theory and its inverse	13
References	17

1. INTRODUCTION

The Gorenstein dimension, or G-dimension, for finitely generated modules over a commutative noetherian ring was introduced by Auslander [1] and was developed deeply by Auslander and Bridger [2]. The reason for the name is that G-dimension characterizes Gorenstein local rings exactly as projective dimension characterizes regular local rings. With that as a start, G-dimension has played an important role in singularity theory [10, 34], cohomology theory of commutative rings [5, 11] and representation theory of Artin algebras [3, 26]. Over a general ring, Enochs and Jenda [13] defined Gorenstein projective dimension for arbitrary modules. For finitely generated modules over commutative noetherian rings it coincides with the Auslander

and Bridger's G-dimension. For left modules over arbitrary associative rings, Holm [18] proved that the new concept has the desired properties.

In a different direction, Yassemi [31] studied G-dimension for complexes over a commutative noetherian local ring through a consistent use of the RHom -functor of complexes and the related category of reflexive complexes, while Christensen [11] went straight for the throat and gave the definition in terms of resolutions. Thus, the two definitions are equivalent, and they are both rooted in a result — due to Foxby — saying that a finitely generated module has finite G-dimension if and only if it is reflexive as a complex in the sense defined in [31, Definition 2.4] (see also [11, Definition 2.1.6]).

The aim of this paper is to introduce and study G-dimensions in derived commutative algebra. More specifically, we work with non-positively graded commutative DG-rings $A = \bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^0 A^i$ with a differential of degree +1. These include the normalizations of simplicial commutative rings, so this setup includes the affine theory of derived algebraic geometry.

Given a commutative DG-ring A , the derived category of DG-modules over A will be denoted by $D(A)$. Its full subcategory consisting of DG-modules with bounded cohomology will be denoted by $D^b(A)$. We denote by $D_f^b(A)$ the full triangulated subcategory of $D^b(A)$ consisting of DG-modules with finitely generated cohomology. For a DG-module M , we set $\inf M = \inf\{n \mid H^n(M) \neq 0\}$, $\sup M = \sup\{n \mid H^n(M) \neq 0\}$, and $\mathrm{amp} M = \sup M - \inf M$.

Motivated by the G-dimension for complexes over a commutative noetherian ring defined in [11, 31], we introduce the definition of G-dimension for DG-modules.

Definition 1.1. *Let A be a noetherian DG-ring with $\mathrm{amp} A < \infty$.*

- (1) *A DG-module $X \in D_f^b(A)$ is said to be reflexive if $\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \in D_f^b(A)$ and the canonical homomorphism $X \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A), A)$ is isomorphic in $D_f^b(A)$.*
- (2) *For a reflexive DG-module X , we define the G-dimension of A , denoted by $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X$, by the formula*

$$\mathrm{G-dim}_A X = \sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A).$$

If X is not reflexive, we say that it has infinite G-dimension and write $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X = \infty$.

- (3) *The number $\sup\{\mathrm{G-dim}_A X + \inf X \mid X \in D_f^b(A)\}$ is called the global G-dimension of A and is denoted by $\mathrm{G-gldim} A$.*

Let A be an ordinary ring. If X is an A -module, then one can show that this definition coincides with the usual definition of the G-dimension of X defined by Auslander in [1]; moreover, if X is an A -complex, then the G-dimension of X defined here is just the definition defined by Yassemi in [31, Definition 2.8] or by Christensen in [11, Definition 2.3.3 and Theorem 2.3.7].

We denote by $\mathcal{R}(A)$ for the full subcategory of $D(A)$ consisting of reflexive DG-modules. A DG-module $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ is said to be in the G -class \mathcal{G} if either $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X = -\sup X$, or $X = 0$, and denote by \mathcal{G}_0 the full subcategory of \mathcal{G} consisting of objects G such that either $\mathrm{G-dim}_A G = -\sup G = 0$, or $G = 0$ (see Definition 3.5). If we write $\mathcal{P} \subseteq D(A)$ for the full subcategory of direct summands of a finite direct sums of A , i.e. $\mathcal{P} = \mathrm{add} A$, then it is easy to check $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0$. If A is an ordinary ring and X an A -module, then $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0$ is exactly the class of modules of G-dimension zero.

Following [23], for any $0 \neq X \in D^+(A)$, a *sppj morphism* $f : P \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in $D(A)$ such that $P \in \text{Add}A[-\text{sup}X]$ and the morphism $H^{\text{sup}X}(f)$ is surjective, where $\text{Add}A$ is the full subcategory of direct summands of a direct sum of A . Moreover, a *sppj resolution* P_\bullet of X is a sequence of exact triangles $X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{g_{i+1}} P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X_i \rightsquigarrow$ such that f_i is a sppj morphism for $i \geq 0$ with $X_0 := X$. If A is noetherian and $X \in D_f^b(A)$ then we can choose P_i in \mathcal{P} by [23, Proposition 2.26].

We set $\text{injdim}_A A := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(N, A) = 0 \text{ for any } N \in D^b(A) \text{ and } i > n - \text{inf}N\}$. Following [14, 15], recall that a commutative noetherian local DG-ring $(A, \bar{\mathfrak{m}}, \bar{k})$ is called *Gorenstein* if $\text{amp}A < \infty$ and $\text{injdim}_A A < \infty$.

Recall that for full subcategories $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \subseteq D(A)$, we define $\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}$ to be the full subcategory consisting $Z \in D(A)$ which fits into an exact triangle $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y \rightsquigarrow$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Now, our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(A, \bar{\mathfrak{m}}, \bar{k})$ be a commutative noetherian local DG-ring with $\text{amp}A < \infty$.*

- (1) *If $0 \neq X \in D_f^b(A)$ with $\text{amp}X \geq \text{amp}A$ and n is a natural number, then the following are equivalent:*
 - (i) $\text{G-dim}_A X \leq n - \text{sup}X$;
 - (ii) *For any sppj resolution P_\bullet of X with each $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$, one has $X_n \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\text{sup}X]$;*
 - (iii) X *belongs to* $\mathcal{P}[-\text{sup}X] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[-\text{sup}X + n - 1] * \mathcal{G}_0[-\text{sup}X + n]$;
 - (iv) X *belongs to* $\mathcal{G}_0[-\text{sup}X] * \mathcal{P}[-\text{sup}X + 1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[-\text{sup}X + n]$.
- (2) *If $0 \neq X \in D_f^b(A)$ with $\text{amp}X < \text{amp}A$ and n is a natural number, then the following are equivalent:*
 - (i) $\text{G-dim}_A X \leq n + \text{inf}A - \text{inf}X$;
 - (ii) $X \oplus X[\text{amp}A - \text{amp}X]$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{P}[-\text{sup}X] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[-\text{sup}X + n - 1] * \mathcal{G}_0[-\text{sup}X + n]$.
- (3) *The following conditions are equivalent:*
 - (i) A *is local Gorenstein*;
 - (ii) $\text{G-dim}_A \bar{k} < \infty$;
 - (iii) $\text{G-gldim}A < \infty$.

Theorem 1.2 provides criteria for a natural number to be an upper bound of the G-dimension of a DG-module and the global G-dimension of a commutative noetherian local DG-ring. Moreover, it should be pointed out the inequality $\text{amp}X < \text{amp}A$ in Theorem 1.2(2) is very often met. For instance, let $(A, \bar{\mathfrak{m}}, \bar{k})$ be a commutative noetherian local DG-ring with $0 < \text{amp}A < \infty$, then $\text{amp}\bar{k} = 0$. In addition, if A is local Gorenstein, then \bar{k} has finite G-dimension by Theorem 1.2(3), but Lemma 3.3 yields that \bar{k} never has finite projective dimension introduced by Bird, Shaul, Sridhar and Williamson in [9]. In combination with Proposition 4.1, we conclude that G-dimension is a finer invariant than projective dimension for DG-modules.

Let A be an Artin algebra. The *little finitistic dimension* of A , $\text{fpd}A$, is the supremum of projective dimensions of finitely generated A -modules of finite projective dimension. It is conjectured that $\text{fpd}A < \infty$ holds for Artin algebras A ; see Bass [6] and [4, Conjectures]. This is the Finitistic Dimension Conjecture. Recently, this invariant has been generalized by Bird, Shaul, Sridhar and Williamson in [9] to the setting of non-positive commutative noetherian

DG-rings with bounded cohomology. More precisely, they considered the number

$$\mathrm{fpd}A = \sup\{\mathrm{projdim}_A X + \inf X \mid X \in D_f^b(A) \text{ with } \mathrm{projdim}_A X < \infty\}.$$

It should be noted that the little finitistic dimensions of an algebra can alternatively be computed by G-dimension; see for instance [29, Lemma 4.4]. As the first application of Theorem 1.2, we have the following corollary which shows that the little finitistic dimension $\mathrm{fpd}(A)$ over a DG-ring A can be computed by G-dimension defined in Definition 1.1 above.

Corollary 1.3. *If A is a commutative noetherian local DG-ring with $\mathrm{amp}A < \infty$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{fpd}A = \sup\{\mathrm{G-dim}_A X + \inf X \mid X \in D_f^b(A) \text{ with } \mathrm{amp}X \geq \mathrm{amp}A, \mathrm{G-dim}_A X < \infty\}.$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2(3) and Corollary 1.3, it follows that a local Gorenstein DG-ring A has finite little finitistic dimension.

Let A be a commutative noetherian local ring (not a DG-ring). A close relation between the class of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and the class of modules of G-dimension zero over A can be shown in the following fact; see for instance [12, 3.3].

Fact 1.4. *Let A be a commutative noetherian local ring. Denote by \mathcal{M} the class of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over A . Then the following hold:*

- (1) *A is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.*
- (2) *A is Gorenstein if and only if $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{M}$.*

Recently, the theory of Cohen-Macaulay rings and Cohen-Macaulay modules has been extended by Shaul [28] to the setting of commutative noetherian DG-rings. There are many examples of local Cohen-Macaulay DG-rings, in particular local Gorenstein DG-rings are Cohen-Macaulay. As the second application of Theorem 1.2, we can generalize the above fact to the setting of commutative noetherian local DG-rings.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $(A, \bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ be a commutative noetherian local DG-ring with $\mathrm{amp}A < \infty$. Denote by \mathcal{M} the class of maximal local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules over A . Set*

$$\mathcal{H} = \{X \in \mathcal{G} \mid \mathrm{amp}R\Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}X \geq \mathrm{amp}X = \mathrm{amp}A\}.$$

Then the following hold:

- (1) *A is local-Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.*
- (2) *A is Gorenstein if and only if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}$.*

In the study of stable homological algebra and Tate cohomology for two sided noetherian rings A , Buchweitz [10] introduced the Verdier quotient $D_{\mathrm{sg}}(A) := D^b(A)/K^b(A)$, where $K^b(A)$ is the bounded homotopy category of finitely generated projective left A -modules. Later on, this category was reconsidered by Orlov [25]. Since it measures the homological singularity of the category of finitely generated left A -modules in sense that $D_{\mathrm{sg}}(A) = 0$ if and only if the global dimension of A is finite, we call it the *singularity category*. Buchweitz-Happel Theorem ([10, Theorem 4.4.1], see also [17, Theorem 4.6]) says that there is a fully faithful triangle functor $F : \underline{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{sg}}(A)$ provided that A is a commutative local Gorenstein ring. Also, it was shown in [7] that the inverse of this theorem holds true (see also [8, 22]). Recently, Buchweitz-Happel

Theorem has been generalized by Jin to a proper noncommutative Gorenstein DG-algebra over a field k (see [20, Theorem 0.3 and Assumption 0.1]).

As the third application of Theorem 1.2, we extend the classical Buchtwitz-Happel Theorem and its inverse from commutative noetherian local rings to the setting of commutative noetherian local DG-rings. For notation and notions, we refer the reader to Section 4.3.

Corollary 1.6. *Assume that A is a commutative noetherian local DG-ring with $\text{amp}A < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{X \in \mathcal{R}(A) \mid \sup X \leq 0 \text{ and } \text{G-dim}_A X \leq 0\}$, and let $\text{D}_{sg}(A) := \text{D}_f^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ be the singularity category over A . Then the functor*

$$F : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \text{D}_{sg}(A)$$

is a triangle equivalence if and only if A is a local Gorenstein DG-ring.

Remark that if A is a commutative noetherian local DG-algebra over a field k , a Gorenstein ring in our setting is a proper Gorenstein DG-algebra defined by Jin in [20, Assumption 0.1]. Therefore, over a commutative local noetherian non-positive DG algebra over a field k , Corollary 1.6 conveys that the inverse of Buchtwitz-Happel Theorem obtained by Jin [20, Theorem 0.3] is also true.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce notation, definitions and basic facts needed for proofs. Section 3 is devoted to give the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we apply Theorem 1.2 to establish the connection between G-dimensions and the little finitistic dimensions of A , to obtain a DG version of Fact 1.4, and to show a DG-version of Buchtwitz-Happel Theorem and its inverse over A , and therefore prove the three corollaries.

2. PRELIMINARIES

An *associative DG-ring* A is a \mathbb{Z} -graded ring $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A^i$ equipped with a \mathbb{Z} -linear map $d : A \rightarrow A$ of degree $+1$ such that $d \circ d = 0$, and such that

$$(2.1) \quad d(a \cdot b) = d(a) \cdot b + (-1)^i a \cdot d(b)$$

for all $a \in A^i$ and $b \in A^j$. A DG-ring A is called *commutative* if for all $a \in A^i$ and $b \in A^j$, one has $b \cdot a = (-1)^{i \cdot j} a \cdot b$, and $a^2 = 0$ if i is odd. A DG-ring A is called *non-positive* if $A^i = 0$ for all $i > 0$. A non-positive DG-ring A is called *noetherian* if the ring $H^0(A)$ is noetherian and the $H^0(A)$ -modules $H^i(A)$ are finitely generated for all $i < 0$. **All DG-rings in this paper will be assumed to be commutative and non-positive.**

A DG-module M over A is a graded A -module together with a differential of degree $+1$ satisfying the Leibniz rule similar to (2.1). The DG- A -modules form an abelian category, and by inverting the quasi-isomorphisms we obtain the derived category $\text{D}(A)$ which is triangulated. We refer the reader to [33] for more details about DG-rings and their derived categories.

If A is a noetherian DG-ring, we say that $M \in \text{D}(A)$ has finitely generated cohomology if for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the $H^0(A)$ -modules $H^n(M)$ are finitely generated. We denote by $\text{D}_f(A)$ the full triangulated subcategory of $\text{D}(A)$ consisting of DG-modules with finitely generated cohomology. We also set $\text{D}_f^-(A) = \text{D}_f(A) \cap \text{D}^-(A)$. Similarly we will consider $\text{D}_f^+(A)$ and $\text{D}_f^b(A)$. All these are full triangulated subcategories of $\text{D}(A)$. If A is a noetherian DG-ring, and if the noetherian ring

$H^0(A)$ is local with maximal ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ and residue field \bar{k} , we will say that $(A, \bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ (or $(A, \bar{\mathfrak{m}}, \bar{k})$) is a noetherian local DG-ring.

We recall the definition of the projective and injective dimensions of DG-modules introduced by Bird, Shaul, Sridhar and Williamson.

Definition 2.1. ([9, Definition 1.3]) *Let A be a DG-ring and $M \in D(A)$.*

(1) *The projective dimension of M is defined by*

$$\text{projdim}_A M = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any } N \in D^b(A) \text{ and any } i > n + \sup N\}.$$

(2) *The injective dimension of M is defined by*

$$\text{injdim}_A M = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(N, M) = 0 \text{ for any } N \in D^b(A) \text{ and any } i > n - \inf N\}.$$

Let A be a DG-ring, and let $\bar{\mathfrak{a}} \subseteq H^0(A)$ be a finitely generated ideal. The category of derived $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ -torsion DG-modules over A , denoted by $D_{\bar{\mathfrak{a}}\text{-tor}}(A)$, is the full triangulated subcategory of $D(A)$ consisting of DG-modules M such that the $H^0(A)$ -modules $H^n(M)$ are $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ -torsion for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. One can show that the inclusion functor $F : D_{\bar{\mathfrak{a}}\text{-tor}}(A) \rightarrow D(A)$ has a right adjoint $G : D(A) \rightarrow D_{\bar{\mathfrak{a}}\text{-tor}}(A)$, and composing this right adjoint with the inclusion, one obtains a triangulated functor

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{a}}} : D(A) \rightarrow D(A),$$

which we call the *derived torsion* or *local cohomology functor* of A with respect to $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$. The functor $\text{R}\Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{a}}}$ has a right adjoint which is denoted by

$$\text{L}\Lambda_{\bar{\mathfrak{a}}} : D(A) \rightarrow D(A),$$

This functor is called the *local homology* or *derived completion functor* with respect to $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$.

We recall the following definitions introduced by Shaul [28].

Definition 2.2. *Let $(A, \bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ be a noetherian local DG-ring.*

(1) *We define the local cohomology Krull dimension of $M \in D^-(A)$ to be*

$$\text{lc.dim}_A M := \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \{\dim(H^l(M)) + l\}.$$

(2) *We define the depth of $N \in D^+(A)$ to be the number*

$$\text{depth}_A N := \inf \text{RHom}_A(\bar{k}, N).$$

Definition 2.3. *Let $(A, \bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ be a noetherian local DG-ring with $\text{amp} A < \infty$.*

(1) *A is called local Cohen-Macaulay if $\text{amp} \text{R}\Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}(A) = \text{amp} A$.*

(2) *We say that $M \in D_{\bar{\mathfrak{f}}}^b(A)$ is a local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-module if there are equalities*

$$\text{amp} M = \text{amp} A = \text{amp} \text{R}\Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}(M).$$

(3) *A local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-module M is maximal local-Cohen-Macaulay if*

$$\text{lc.dim}_A M = \sup M + \dim H^0(A).$$

We denote by \mathcal{M} the full subcategory of maximal local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules.

From now until the end of the paper, we always assume that $(A, \bar{\mathfrak{m}}, \bar{k})$ is a commutative noetherian local DG-ring with $\text{amp} A < \infty$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

This section is devoted to a proof of the statements of Theorem 1.2. We start with the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow X'[1]$ be an exact triangle in $D_f^b(A)$. If two of the DG-modules belong to $\mathcal{R}(A)$, then so is the third.*

Proof. If two of $\mathrm{RHom}_A(X', A)$, $\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)$ and $\mathrm{RHom}_A(X'', A)$ are in $D_f^b(A)$, then so is the third. Using the abbreviated notation $((-, A), A) := \mathrm{RHom}_A(\mathrm{RHom}_A(-, A), A)$, we have the following commutative diagram in $D_f^b(A)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & X'' & \longrightarrow & X'[1] \\ \delta^{X'} \downarrow & & \delta^X \downarrow & & \delta^{X''} \downarrow & & \delta^{X'[1]} \downarrow \\ ((X', A), A) & \longrightarrow & ((X, A), A) & \longrightarrow & ((X'', A), A) & \longrightarrow & ((X', A), A)[1]. \end{array}$$

Therefore, if two of the morphisms $\delta^{X'}$, δ^X and $\delta^{X''}$ are isomorphisms, then so is the third. The rest follows by the fact that $D_f^b(A)$ is the full triangulated subcategory of $D(A)$. \square

Following [14], a dualizing DG-module R over A is a DG-module $R \in D_f^+(A)$ such that $\mathrm{injdim}_A R < \infty$ and the natural map $A \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(R, R)$ is an isomorphism in $D(A)$. It follows by [14, Theorem 3.2] that $R \in D_f^b(A)$. If A is local then dualizing DG-module over A is unique up to shift. We say that R is normalized if $\mathrm{inf} R = -\mathrm{dim} H^0(A)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a normalized dualizing DG-module over A . For $X \in D_f^b(A)$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{inf} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) &= -\mathrm{lc.dim}_A X, \quad \mathrm{depth}_A \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) = -\mathrm{sup} X, \\ \mathrm{sup} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) &= -\mathrm{depth}_A X, \quad \mathrm{lc.dim}_A \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) = -\mathrm{inf} X. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [27, Theorem 7.26] and [28, Theorem 2.15], one has

$$\mathrm{inf} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) = -\mathrm{sup} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}(X) = -\mathrm{lc.dim}_A X.$$

Applying to [27, Theorem 7.26] and [28, Proposition 3.3], we obtain

$$\mathrm{sup} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) = -\mathrm{inf} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}(X) = -\mathrm{depth}_A X.$$

Since $X \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_A(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R), R)$, it follows that $\mathrm{lc.dim}_A \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) = -\mathrm{inf} X$ and $\mathrm{depth}_A \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) = -\mathrm{sup} X$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $0 \neq X \in D_f^b(A)$ with $\mathrm{projdim}_A X < \infty$. Then $\mathrm{amp} X \geq \mathrm{amp} A$.*

Proof. Let $B = \mathrm{L}\Lambda_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}(A)$. Then $X \otimes_A^L B \in D_f^b(B)$ with $\mathrm{projdim}_B(X \otimes_A^L B) < \infty$ by [9, Proposition 3.7] and $\mathrm{amp} X = \mathrm{amp}(X \otimes_A^L B)$, $\mathrm{amp} B = \mathrm{amp} A$. Thus we may assume that A is derived $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ -adic complete, and A has a normalized dualizing DG-module R by [27, Proposition 7.21]. As $\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \otimes_A^L R$ by [9, Proposition 1.16], one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{lc.dim}_A \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, R) &= \mathrm{lc.dim}_A(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \otimes_A^L R) \\ &= \sup\{\mathrm{dim} H^0(A)/\bar{\mathfrak{p}} + \mathrm{sup} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} + \mathrm{sup} R_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \mid \bar{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{Spec} H^0(A)\} \\ &= \mathrm{lc.dim}_A R + \mathrm{sup} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \\ &= \mathrm{lc.dim}_A R + \mathrm{projdim}_A X, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is by [30, Proposition 4.4(3)]. Hence we have the next (in)equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{amp}X &= \text{lc.dim}_A \text{RHom}_A(X, R) - \text{depth}_A \text{RHom}_A(X, R) \\ &= \text{lc.dim}_A R + \text{projdim}_A X + \text{sup}X - \text{depth}_A R \\ &\geq \text{amp} \text{R}\Gamma_{\bar{m}}(R) \\ &= \text{amp}A, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is by Lemma 3.2, the second one is by [30, Proposition 4.9] and Nakayama's lemma and the last one is by [27, Theorem 7.26]. The inequality is by the fact that $\text{projdim}_A X \geq -\text{sup}X$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *If $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ with $\text{amp}X \geq \text{amp}A$, then $\text{G-dim}_A X \geq -\text{sup}X$.*

Proof. Let $B = \text{L}\Lambda_{\bar{m}}(A)$. Then $X \otimes_A^L B \in \mathcal{R}(B)$ with $\text{amp}(X \otimes_A^L B) \geq \text{amp}B$ and $\text{G-dim}_B(X \otimes_A^L B) = \text{G-dim}_A X$, $\text{sup}(X \otimes_A^L B) = \text{sup}X$. We may assume that A is derived \bar{m} -adic complete, and A has a normalized dualizing DG-module R . Hence by Lemma 3.2 and [27, Theorem 7.26], one has the next (in)equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{amp}X &= \text{lc.dim}_A \text{RHom}_A(X, R) - \text{depth}_A \text{RHom}_A(X, R) \\ &= \text{lc.dim}_A R + \text{sup} \text{RHom}_A(X, A) + \text{sup}X - \text{depth}_A R \\ &= \text{amp} \text{R}\Gamma_{\bar{m}}(R) + \text{sup} \text{RHom}_A(X, A) + \text{sup}X \\ &= \text{amp}A + \text{sup} \text{RHom}_A(X, A) + \text{sup}X \\ &\geq \text{amp}A, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\text{G-dim}_A X = \text{sup} \text{RHom}_A(X, A) \geq -\text{sup}X$. \square

Definition 3.5. *A DG-module $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ is said to be in the G -class \mathcal{G} if either $\text{G-dim}_A X = -\text{sup}X$, or $X = 0$, and we denote by \mathcal{G}_0 the full subcategory of \mathcal{G} consisting of objects G such that either $\text{G-dim}_A G = -\text{sup}G = 0$, or $G = 0$.*

Remark 3.6. (1) Let $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightsquigarrow$ be an exact triangle in $\mathcal{R}(A)$. The exact triangle $\text{RHom}_A(Z, A) \rightarrow \text{RHom}_A(Y, A) \rightarrow \text{RHom}_A(X, A) \rightsquigarrow$ yields that

$$\text{G-dim}_A Y \leq \max\{\text{G-dim}_A X, \text{G-dim}_A Z\}.$$

(2) For $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ with $\text{amp}X \geq \text{amp}A$, it follows from Corollary 3.4 that $\text{G-dim}_A X = -\text{sup}X$ if and only if $X \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $X \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\text{sup}X]$.

(3) For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has $\mathcal{P}[i] \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0[i]$ by [23, Lemma 2.14].

(4) For any $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has $\text{G-dim}_A X[n] = \text{G-dim}_A X + n$.

We give several useful properties about sppj morphisms.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ with $\text{amp}X \geq \text{amp}A$ and $f : P \rightarrow X$ a sppj morphism with $Y = \text{cn}(f)[-1]$. If $\text{G-dim}_A X + \text{sup}X \geq 1$, then $\text{G-dim}_A Y = \text{G-dim}_A X - 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, $Y \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. Set $n = \text{G-dim}_A X + \text{sup}X$ and $g : Y \rightarrow P$ be the canonical morphism. We may assume that $\text{sup}X = 0$ by shifting the degree. Then $n \geq 1$.

Firstly, we need to prove $H^i(\mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A)) = 0$ for all $i > n - 1$. By assumption, we have $H^i(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and $H^i(\mathrm{RHom}_A(P, A)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Then the following exact sequence

$$H^i(\mathrm{RHom}_A(P, A)) \rightarrow H^i(\mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathrm{RHom}_A(P, A))$$

yields that $H^i(\mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A)) = 0$ for all $i > n - 1$. Next, since $H^n(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)) \neq 0$, the exact sequence $H^{n-1}(\mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A)) \rightarrow H^n(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)) \rightarrow 0$ implies that $H^{n-1}(\mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A)) \neq 0$. Thus $\mathrm{G-dim}_A Y = n - 1$. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $0 \not\cong X \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\mathrm{amp} X \geq \mathrm{amp} A$. Then there exists a sppj morphism $f : P \rightarrow X$ such that the cocone $Y = \mathrm{cn}(f)[-1]$ is in $\mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup} X]$.*

Proof. Let $f : P \rightarrow X$ be a sppj morphism with $P \in \mathcal{P}[-\mathrm{sup} X]$. One has an exact triangle $Y \rightarrow P \rightarrow X \rightsquigarrow$. By [23, Lemma 2.14] and [30, Proposition 4.4(3)], we have $\mathrm{G-dim}_A P = -\mathrm{sup} X$. If $\mathrm{amp} Y \geq \mathrm{amp} A$, then $Y \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup} X]$ since $\mathrm{sup} Y \leq \mathrm{sup} X$ by Remark 3.6(2). If $\mathrm{amp} Y < \mathrm{amp} A$, then $Y \oplus P \rightarrow P \oplus P \rightarrow X \rightsquigarrow$ is an exact triangle with $(f, 0) : P \oplus P \rightarrow X$ a sppj morphism and $\mathrm{amp}(Y \oplus P) \geq \mathrm{amp} A$ by Lemma 3.3, as required. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $0 \not\cong X \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\mathrm{amp} X \geq \mathrm{amp} A$. Then there exists a morphism $f : X \rightarrow P$ such that $Y = \mathrm{cn}(f) \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup} X]$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, there exists a sppj morphism $g : P \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)$ such that $P \in \mathcal{P}[-\mathrm{sup} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)]$ and $\mathrm{amp}(\mathrm{cn}(g)[-1]) \geq \mathrm{amp} A$, so we have a morphism

$$g^* : X \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_A(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A), A) \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(P, A)$$

and an exact triangle $X \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(P, A) \rightarrow Y \rightsquigarrow$. As $\mathrm{RHom}_A(P, A) \in \mathcal{P}[-\mathrm{sup} X]$, it follows from Corollary 3.4 that $Y \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathrm{amp} Y \geq \mathrm{amp} A$. We need to show that $Y \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup} X]$. Since $H^{-\mathrm{sup} X}(g)$ is surjective, $\mathrm{G-dim}_A Y = \mathrm{sup} \mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A) \leq \mathrm{sup} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) = \mathrm{G-dim}_A X = -\mathrm{sup} X$. If $\mathrm{G-dim}_A Y + \mathrm{sup} Y \geq 1$, then $\mathrm{sup} X \leq \mathrm{sup} Y - 1$, and so $H^{\mathrm{sup} Y}(Y) = 0$, this is a contradiction. Therefore, $Y \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup} X]$. \square

With the above preparations, now we prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (1) Let X be a DG-module in $D_f^b(A)$ with $\mathrm{amp} X \geq \mathrm{amp} A$ and n a natural number. Then (ii) \implies (iii) is clear and (iii) \implies (i) holds by the definition.

To show (i) \implies (ii), we assume that P_\bullet is a sppj resolution of X and $X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{g_{i+1}} P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X_i \rightsquigarrow$ are the corresponding exact triangles such that f_i is a sppj morphism and $\mathrm{amp} X_i \geq \mathrm{amp} A$ for $i \geq 0$ with $X_0 := X$. If $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X_{i-1} + \mathrm{sup} X_{i-1} > 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, then $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X_{j-1} + \mathrm{sup} X_{j-1} > 0$ and $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X_j = \mathrm{G-dim}_A X_{j-1} - 1$ for $j \leq i$ by Lemma 3.7, which implies

$$\mathrm{G-dim}_A X_i + i = \mathrm{G-dim}_A X.$$

Since $\mathrm{sup} X_i \leq \mathrm{sup} X$, it follows that the set $\{i \geq 1 \mid \mathrm{G-dim}_A X_{i-1} + \mathrm{sup} X_{i-1} > 0\}$ is finite. Set $e := \max\{i \geq 1 \mid \mathrm{G-dim}_A X_{i-1} + \mathrm{sup} X_{i-1} > 0\}$. Then $e \leq n$ and $X_e \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup} X]$, it follows from Lemma 3.8 that $X_n \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup} X]$.

To verify the equivalence of (i) and (iv), it suffices to show

$$(3.1) \quad \mathrm{G-dim}_A X = \min\{n \mid X \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup} X] * \mathcal{P}[-\mathrm{sup} X + 1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[-\mathrm{sup} X + n]\} - \mathrm{sup} X.$$

If $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X = -\sup X$, then the formula (3.1) holds by Lemma 3.9. We only need to prove the formula (3.1) provided that $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X > -\sup X$.

By Lemma 3.8, there is a sppj morphism $f : P \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)$ so that $\mathrm{amp}(\mathrm{cn}(f)[-1]) \geq \mathrm{amp} A$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}[-\sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)]$, so we have a morphism

$$f^* : X \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_A(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A), A) \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(P, A)$$

with $P^* := \mathrm{RHom}_A(P, A) \in \mathcal{P}[\sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)]$. Consider the exact triangle $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow P^* \rightsquigarrow$, which induces the exact triangle

$$(3.2) \quad \mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A)[-1] \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A)$$

and $Y \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ with $\mathrm{amp} Y \geq \mathrm{amp} A$ by Corollary 3.4. As $\sup P^* = -\sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) = \sup X - n < \sup X$, one has $\sup Y = \sup X$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{\sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)}(f)$ is surjective, it follows by the triangle (3.2) that

$$\mathrm{G-dim}_A Y = \sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A) \leq \sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) - 1 = \mathrm{G-dim}_A X - 1 = n - 1 - \sup Y.$$

Now by induction and Lemma 3.9, one can obtain the desired formula.

(2) Set $\bar{X} = X \oplus X[\mathrm{amp} A - \mathrm{amp} X]$. Then $\bar{X} \in \mathrm{D}_f^b(A)$ with $\mathrm{amp} \bar{X} = \mathrm{amp} A$ and $\sup \bar{X} = \sup X$. As $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X + (\mathrm{amp} A - \mathrm{amp} X) = \mathrm{G-dim}_A \bar{X}$, the statement follows from (1).

(3) Evidently, (iii) is stronger than (ii), so it is sufficient to prove that (i) implies (iii) and (ii) implies (i).

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) As A is local Gorenstein, $\mathrm{injdim}_A A < \infty$ and $\sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \leq \mathrm{injdim}_A A - \inf X$, it follows that $\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \in \mathrm{D}_f^b(A)$ and $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ for any $X \in \mathrm{D}_f^b(A)$. Consequently, for any $X \in \mathrm{D}_f^b(A)$, we have $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X + \inf X \leq \mathrm{injdim}_A A$, as desired.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that $\mathrm{G-dim}_A \bar{k} < \infty$. Then $\sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(\bar{k}, A) < \infty$. Hence [30, Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.7] implies that $\mathrm{injdim}_A A < \infty$ and A is local Gorenstein. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we will apply Theorem 1.2 to establish the connection between G-dimensions and the little finitistic dimensions of A , to examine the DG version of the Fact 1.4, and to establish a DG-version of Buchtwitz-Happel Theorem and its inverse.

4.1. Relations between G-dimensions and the little finitistic dimensions. In this subsection we will apply Theorem 1.2 to show that the little finitistic dimension $\mathrm{fpd}(A)$ can be computed by G-dimension of DG-modules in $\mathrm{D}_f^b(A)$.

Yekutieli [32] introduced a DG-version of projective dimension, $\mathrm{pd} M$, for a DG-module M over a DG-algebra which are different from the definition $\mathrm{projdim}_A M$ in Definition 2.1. By [23, Theorems 2.22 and 3.21], one has $\mathrm{projdim}_A M = \mathrm{pd} M - \sup M$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $0 \neq X \in \mathrm{D}_f^b(A)$. One has*

$$\mathrm{G-dim}_A X \leq \mathrm{projdim}_A X,$$

and equality holds if $\mathrm{projdim}_A X < \infty$.

Proof. The inequality is trivial if X is of infinite projective dimension. Next, assume that $n = \mathrm{projdim}_A X + \sup X < \infty$. It follows from [23, Proposition 2.26] that $X \in \mathcal{P}[-\sup X] * \mathcal{P}[-\sup X + 1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[-\sup X + n]$. Hence $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X \leq n - \sup X$ by Theorem 1.2(1) and

Lemma 3.3. This yields that $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X \leq \mathrm{projdim}_A X$. For the last part, we can choose a finitely generated $H^0(A)$ -module T such that $\mathrm{projdim}_A X = \sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, T)$ by [23, Theorem 2.22]. The desired equality now follows from the next calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{projdim}_A X &= \sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, T) \\ &= \sup (\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \otimes_A^L T) \\ &= \sup \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \\ &= \mathrm{G-dim}_A X. \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is by [9, Proposition 1.16], the third one is by Nakayama's lemma. \square

The next lemma is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2(1).

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be in $D_f^b(A)$ with $\mathrm{amp} X \geq \mathrm{amp} A$. If $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X = n$, then there exists an exact triangle*

$$G \rightarrow X \rightarrow K \rightsquigarrow,$$

such that $G \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\sup X]$ and $\mathrm{projdim}_A K = n - 1$. For $n = -\sup X$, we understand that $K = 0$.

The next result conveys that G-dimensions and the little finitistic dimensions are closely related to each other, which contains Corollary 1.3 in the introduction.

Theorem 4.3. *Let X be an object in $D_f^b(A)$ with $\mathrm{amp} X \geq \mathrm{amp} A$. If $\mathrm{G-dim}_A X = n < \infty$, then there is a DG-module $Y \in D_f^b(A)$ with $\mathrm{projdim}_A Y = n$. In particular, one has*

$$\mathrm{fpd} A = \sup \{ \mathrm{G-dim}_A X + \inf X \mid X \in D_f^b(A) \text{ with } \mathrm{amp} X \geq \mathrm{amp} A, \mathrm{G-dim}_A X < \infty \}.$$

Proof. We may assume that $n > -\sup X$. By Lemma 4.2, there exists an exact triangle $G \rightarrow X \rightarrow K \rightsquigarrow$ with $\mathrm{projdim}_A K = n - 1$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\sup X]$, and there is an exact triangle $G \rightarrow P \rightarrow G' \rightsquigarrow$ with $P \in \mathcal{P}[-\sup G]$ and $G' \in \mathcal{G}_0[-\sup G]$ by Lemma 3.9. Thus we get a commutative diagram of exact triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & G'[-1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & G'[-1] & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ K[-1] & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & K \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ K[-1] & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & K \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & G' & \xlongequal{\quad} & G' & & \end{array}$$

The exact triangle $\mathrm{RHom}_A(G', A) \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(Y, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) \rightsquigarrow$ yields that $\mathrm{G-dim}_A Y = n$. Note that $\sup X = \sup Y$, so $Y \notin \mathcal{G}_0[-\sup X]$. As $\sup K \leq \sup X - 1$, $P \rightarrow Y$ is a sppj morphism, it follows by the exact triangle $P \rightarrow Y \rightarrow K \rightsquigarrow$ and Proposition 4.1 that $\mathrm{projdim}_A Y = n$. Hence we have shown the first statement. This yields that $\mathrm{fpd}(A) \geq \sup \{ \mathrm{G-dim}_A X + \inf X \mid X \in D_f^b(A) \text{ with } \mathrm{amp} X \geq \mathrm{amp} A, \mathrm{G-dim}_A X < \infty \}$. Also for any $Z \in D_f^b(A)$ with $\mathrm{projdim}_A Z < \infty$, one has $\mathrm{G-dim}_A Z \geq \mathrm{projdim}_A Z$. Thus the last statement follows. \square

4.2. Comparison to maximal local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules. The task of this subsection is to examine the DG version of Fact 1.4. We begin with the following AB formula for G-dimension of DG-modules.

Theorem 4.4. (AB Formula) *Let X be a DG-module of finite G-dimension. One has an equality*

$$\mathrm{G}\text{-dim}_A X = \mathrm{depth} A - \mathrm{depth}_A X.$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{G}\text{-dim}_A X < \infty$, we have the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{depth}_A X &= \mathrm{depth} \mathrm{RHom}_A(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A), A) \\ &= -\mathrm{sup} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) + \mathrm{depth} A \\ &= -\mathrm{G}\text{-dim}_A X + \mathrm{depth} A, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is by [30, Proposition 4.9] and Nakayama's lemma, as required. \square

Following [28], if $X \in \mathrm{D}_f^b(A)$ then we set

$$\mathrm{seq}\text{-depth}_A X = \mathrm{depth}_A X - \mathrm{inf} X,$$

and call it the *sequential depth* of X .

Corollary 4.5. *Let X be a DG-module of finite G-dimension with $\mathrm{amp} X = \mathrm{amp} A$. One has*

$$\mathrm{G}\text{-dim}_A X + \mathrm{sup} X = \mathrm{seq}\text{-depth} A - \mathrm{seq}\text{-depth}_A X.$$

Proof. One has the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{G}\text{-dim}_A X + \mathrm{sup} X &= \mathrm{depth} A - \mathrm{depth}_A X + \mathrm{sup} X \\ &= \mathrm{depth} A - \mathrm{inf} A - (\mathrm{depth}_A X - \mathrm{inf} X) \\ &= \mathrm{seq}\text{-depth} A - \mathrm{seq}\text{-depth}_A X, \end{aligned}$$

where the first one is by Theorem 4.4 and the second one is by $\mathrm{amp} X = \mathrm{amp} A$. \square

We are now ready to prove Corollary 1.5.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. (1) The “only if” part holds by noting that $A \in \mathcal{H}$ by [28, Theorem 4.1]. For the “if” part, we assume that X is an object in \mathcal{H} . It follows that $\mathrm{lc}\text{-dim}_A A \geq \mathrm{lc}\text{-dim}_A X - \mathrm{sup} X \geq \mathrm{depth}_A X - \mathrm{inf} X$. Since A is local Cohen-Macaulay, we have the following (in)equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{seq}\text{-depth}_A X &\leq \mathrm{lc}\text{-dim}_A X - \mathrm{sup} X \\ &\leq \mathrm{lc}\text{-dim} A \\ &= \mathrm{seq}\text{-depth} A \\ &= \mathrm{seq}\text{-depth}_A X, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Corollary 4.5, which implies that $\mathrm{amp} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} X = \mathrm{amp} A = \mathrm{amp} X$ and $\mathrm{lc}\text{-dim}_A X = \mathrm{dim} \mathrm{H}^0(A) + \mathrm{sup} X$. Thus $X \in \mathcal{M}$.

(2) For the “only if” part, since A is local Gorenstein, it follows from [27, Theorem 7.26] that $X \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_A(\mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A), A)$ and $\mathrm{amp} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} X = \mathrm{amp} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A)$ for any $X \in \mathrm{D}_f^b(A)$. Let $X \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathrm{amp} \mathrm{RHom}_A(X, A) = \mathrm{amp} A$, it follows by Corollary 4.5 that

$$\mathrm{G}\text{-dim}_A X + \mathrm{sup} X = \mathrm{seq}\text{-depth} A - \mathrm{seq}\text{-depth}_A X = 0.$$

Thus $X \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}$ by (1).

For the “if” part, we assume that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}$. Then A is local-Cohen-Macaulay by (1). Consider the sppj resolution P^\bullet of $k := \bar{k} \oplus \bar{k}[-\inf A]$

$$k_d \rightarrow P_{d-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow k.$$

By the construction of the sppj resolution, we have $\sup k_d \leq 0$ and $\inf k_d \geq \inf A + \sup k_d$. We also have $\text{lc.dim}_A k_d \leq d + \sup k_d$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}_A k_d &\geq \min\{\text{depth}_A P_{d-1}[-\sup k_{d-1}], \text{depth}_A k_{d-1} + 1\} \\ &\geq \min\{\text{depth}_A P_{d-1}[-\sup k_{d-1}], \dots, \text{depth}_A P_0 + d - 1, d + \inf A\} \\ &\geq d + \inf A + \sup k_d. \end{aligned}$$

Set $G = A[-\sup k_d] \oplus k_d$. Then $\text{lc.dim}_A G = d + \sup k_d$ and $\text{depth}_A G = d + \inf A + \sup k_d$, that is to say, $G \in \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$ and k has an sppj resolution

$$G \rightarrow A[-\sup k_d] \oplus P_{d-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow k.$$

Thus, $\text{G-dim}_A k < \infty$ by Theorem 1.2(1) and hence A is Gorenstein. \square

4.3. Buchtwitz-Happel Theory and its inverse. In this subsection we will employ Theorem 1.2 to prove Corollary 1.6 in the introduction.

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be subcategories in \mathcal{T} . As usual, we denote by $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$ the smallest thick triangulated subcategory containing \mathcal{D} , and denote by $\mathcal{C}/\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$ the Verdier’s quotient triangulated category. In fact, $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ is the thick subcategory of the derived category $\text{D}^b(A)$ generated by A . We call the Verdier quotient $\text{D}_{\text{sg}}(A) := \text{D}_f^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ the *singularity category* of A . Each morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{D}_{\text{sg}}(A)$ is given by an equivalence class of left fractions $s \setminus f$ as presented by $s \setminus f : X \xrightarrow{f} Z \xleftarrow{s} Y$, where the doubled arrow means s lies in the compatible saturated multiplicative system corresponding to $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$.

In the following, we set

$$\begin{aligned} A[< 0]^{\perp f} &= \{X \in \mathcal{R}(A) \mid \text{Hom}_{\text{D}(A)}(A[< 0], X) = 0\}; \\ {}^{\perp f} A[> 0] &= \{X \in \mathcal{R}(A) \mid \text{Hom}_{\text{D}(A)}(X, A[> 0]) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall from Corollary 1.5 that

$$\mathcal{H} = \{X \in \mathcal{G} \mid \text{ampR}\Gamma_{\bar{m}} X \geq \text{amp} X = \text{amp} A\}.$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. Assume that*

$$\text{ampR}\Gamma_{\bar{m}} X \geq \text{amp} X \geq \text{amp} A.$$

Then $X \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $\sup X[\sup X] \leq 0$ and $\text{G-dim}_A X[\sup X] \leq 0$, if and only if $X[\sup X] \in {}^{\perp f} A[> 0] \cap A[< 0]^{\perp f}$.

Proof. We will start by proving the first equivalence. Let $X \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $X \in \mathcal{G}$, $\text{G-dim}_A X = -\sup X$. Therefore $\text{G-dim}_A X[\sup X] = \text{G-dim}_A X + \sup X = 0$. $\sup X[\sup X] \leq 0$ is obvious. Conversely, suppose $\sup X[\sup X] \leq 0$ and $\text{G-dim}_A X[\sup X] \leq 0$. Then $\text{G-dim}_A X \leq -\sup X$ as $\text{G-dim}_A X[\sup X] = \text{G-dim}_A X + \sup X$. By Corollary 3.4, we have $\text{G-dim}_A X \geq -\sup X$.

It follows that $X \in \mathcal{G}$. In order to show $X \in \mathcal{H}$, it suffices to show $\text{amp}X \leq \text{amp}A$. Since $X[\text{sup}X] \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, by [9, Proposition 1.2], we have

$$\inf(X[\text{sup}X]) = \inf \text{RHom}_A(\text{RHom}_A(X[\text{sup}X], A), A) \geq -\text{supRHom}_A(X[\text{sup}X], A) + \inf(A).$$

Then

$$0 \geq \text{G-dim}_A X[\text{sup}X] = \text{sup}(\text{RHom}_A(X[\text{sup}X], A)) \geq \inf(A) - \inf(X[\text{sup}X]).$$

It follows that $-\inf(A) \geq -\inf(X[\text{sup}X])$. On the other hand, since $\text{sup}A = 0$ and $0 \geq \text{sup}X[\text{sup}X]$, we have $\text{amp}A = -\inf(A) \geq \text{sup}X[\text{sup}X] - \inf(X[\text{sup}X]) = \text{amp}X[\text{sup}X] = \text{amp}X$, as desired.

The second equivalence follows by the equalities ${}^{\perp f}A[>0] = \{X \in \mathcal{R}(A) \mid \text{H}^i(\text{RHom}_A(X, A)) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0\}$ and $A[<0]^{\perp f} = \{X \in \mathcal{R}(A) \mid \text{H}^i(\text{RHom}_A(A, X)) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0\} = \{X \in \mathcal{R}(A) \mid \text{H}^i(X) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0\}$. \square

In the following, we set $\mathcal{A} = {}^{\perp f}A[>0] \cap A[<0]^{\perp f}$.

Remark 4.7. Let A be a non-positive DG-algebra over a field k satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) A is proper, i.e., $\dim_k \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}^i(A) < \infty$;
- (ii) A is Gorenstein, i.e., the thick subcategory per A of the derived category $\text{D}(A)$ generated by A coincides with the thick subcategory generated by DA , where $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$ is the k -dual.

Assume that A is a proper Gorenstein DG-algebra. Recently, Jin defined that a DG- A -module $X \in \text{D}^b(A)$ is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if $\text{sup}M \leq 0$ and $\text{Hom}_{\text{D}^b(A)}(M, A[i]) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ (see [20, Definition 2.1]). If A is an ordinary Gorenstein algebra, then Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules defined by Jin is canonically equivalent to the usual (maximal) Cohen-Macaulay modules. Note that if a DG-module X belongs to $\mathcal{R}(A)$, then $X \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if it is Cohen-Macaulay defined by Jin in the sense of [20].

On the other hand, from Corollary 1.5 we know that \mathcal{H} is equal to the class of maximal local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules defined by Shaul [28] over local Gorenstein DG-rings, see Definition 2.3. These two definitions are completely different at first glance. For example, one can see that the class of maximal local-Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules defined by Shaul is closed under suspensions, but the class of Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules defined by Jin is not. Fortunately, by Lemma 4.6, these two definitions are the same over commutative local Gorenstein DG-algebra over a field k under the condition that $\text{ampR}\Gamma_{\bar{m}}X \geq \text{amp}X \geq \text{amp}A$ for all $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. This condition, are natural and very often met. For example, this holds for any module X over a commutative noetherian local ring A .

Lemma 4.8. *The subcategory $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is thick and it is the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\text{D}_f^b(A)$ that contains the objects from \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Obviously, $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed under shifts and isomorphisms. Assume that $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ and X' is a direct summand of X . There exists two split triangles $X' \xrightarrow{u} X \xrightarrow{v} X'' \xrightarrow{0} X'[1]$ and $X'' \xrightarrow{p} X' \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{r} X''[1]$.

$X \xrightarrow{q} X' \xrightarrow{0} X''[1]$ in $D_f^b(A)$. For simplicity, we write $((-, A), A)$ for $\mathrm{RHom}_A(\mathrm{RHom}_A(-, A), A)$. Then we have the following commutative diagrams:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} X' & \xrightarrow{u} & X & \xrightarrow{v} & X'' & \xrightarrow{0} & X'[1] \\ \delta^{X'} \downarrow & & \delta^X \downarrow & & \delta^{X''} \downarrow & & \delta^{X'[1]} \downarrow \\ ((X', A), A) & \longrightarrow & ((X, A), A) & \longrightarrow & ((X'', A), A) & \xrightarrow{0} & ((X', A), A)[1], \end{array}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{p} & X & \xrightarrow{q} & X' & \xrightarrow{0} & X''[1] \\ \delta^{X''} \downarrow & & \delta^X \downarrow & & \delta^{X'} \downarrow & & \delta^{X''[1]} \downarrow \\ ((X'', A), A) & \longrightarrow & ((X, A), A) & \longrightarrow & ((X', A), A) & \xrightarrow{0} & ((X'', A), A)[1]. \end{array}$$

Since u is a split monomorphism and δ^X is an isomorphism, it is straightforward to check that $\delta^{X'}$ is a split monomorphism by the commutative diagram (4.1). On the other hand, it follows from the commutativity of the diagram (4.2) that $\delta^{X'}q$ is an epimorphism, which implies that $\delta^{X'}$ is also an epimorphism. Thus, $\delta^{X'}$ is an isomorphism and X' belongs to $\mathcal{R}(A)$, and therefore $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is a thick subcategory of $D_f^b(A)$ by Proposition 3.1.

Recall that $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ is the smallest thick triangulated subcategory of $D_f^b(A)$ that contains the objects from \mathcal{A} . Since $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, it follows that $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$. For the reverse containment, we assume that X is a non-zero object in $\mathcal{R}(A)$. Note that $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is precisely the subcategory of DG-modules with finite G-dimension. If $\mathrm{amp}X \geq \mathrm{amp}A$, applying Theorem 1.2(1), there exists a natural number n such that X belongs to $\mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup}X] * \mathcal{P}[-\mathrm{sup}X + 1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[-\mathrm{sup}X + n]$. By the fact that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we deduce that X belongs to $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$. If $\mathrm{amp}X < \mathrm{amp}A$, applying Theorem 1.2(2), then $X \oplus X[\mathrm{amp}A - \mathrm{amp}X]$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}[-\mathrm{sup}X] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[-\mathrm{sup}X + n - 1] * \mathcal{G}_0[-\mathrm{sup}X + n]$ for some n . Similarly, since $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ is thick, one has $X \in \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$. Altogether, we have $\mathcal{R}(A) = \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$. \square

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category. Recall that a subcategory $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ is called *presilting* if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(M, M'[i]) = 0$ for all $M, M' \in \omega$ and $i > 0$. Let \mathcal{E} be an arbitrary category. A full additive subcategory $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is called *contravariantly finite*, if every object X in \mathcal{E} admits a right \mathcal{M} -approximation, i.e. there exists an object $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and a morphism $f : M \rightarrow X$, such that the induced map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(N, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(N, X)$ is surjective for all $N \in \mathcal{M}$. Dually, we define the notion of a *covariantly finite* subcategory. We say that M is *functorially finite* if it is both covariantly and contravariantly finite.

Lemma 4.9. *The following statement hold.*

- (1) \mathcal{A} is closed under extensions and direct summands and finite direct sums in $\mathcal{R}(A)$.
- (2) The subcategory $\mathcal{P} = \mathrm{add} A$ is presilting and functorially finite in $\mathcal{R}(A)$.
- (3) For any $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(X, N[i]) = 0 = \mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(N, X[i])$ for any $N \in \mathcal{P}$ and $i \gg 0$.

Proof. (1) Since $\mathcal{A} = A[< 0]^{\perp f} \cap {}^{\perp f} A[> 0]$, one can check that all objects in \mathcal{A} is closed under extensions and direct summands and finite direct sums in $\mathcal{R}(A)$.

(2) The subcategory \mathcal{P} is presilting follows from the fact that A is a non-positive DG-ring. Note that for any $N \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, the set of the generators of $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(A, N) \cong H^0(N)$ is finite. If

f_1, \dots, f_s are generators of $\text{Hom}_{D(A)}(A, N)$, then the canonical homomorphism $f : A^{(s)} \rightarrow N$ given by (f_1, \dots, f_s) is a right $\text{add}A$ -approximation. On the other hand, since $\text{RHom}_A(X, A) \in D_f^b(A)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, the set $\text{Hom}_{D(A)}(X, A)$ also has finite generators. So the left $\text{add}A$ -approximation can be constructed by a similar way. Therefore, \mathcal{P} is functorially finite in $\mathcal{R}(A)$.

(3) It is obvious. \square

Following [35], we denote by $[\mathcal{D}]$ the ideal of morphisms in \mathcal{C} which factor through objects in \mathcal{D} . The stable category of \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{D} is the quotient category $\mathcal{C}/[\mathcal{D}]$, for which objects are the same as \mathcal{C} , but morphisms are morphisms in \mathcal{C} modulo those in $[\mathcal{D}]$.

We have the following results, which are analogues of well-known properties of Cohen-Macaulay modules.

Theorem 4.10. (1) *The stable category $\underline{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{P}]$ is a triangulated category;*
 (2) *The composition $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ induces a triangle equivalence*

$$H : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathcal{R}(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle.$$

(3) *$\mathcal{R}(A) = D_f^b(A)$ if and only if A is a local Gorenstein DG-ring.*

Proof. (1) By Lemma 4.9(2), we know that \mathcal{P} is presilting and functorially finite in $\mathcal{R}(A)$. Then the result follows from the proof of [35, Corollary 2.7] and [35, Proposition 2.4(3)].

(2) Since $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is a triangulated full subcategory of $D_f^b(A)$, we have $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$. Thanks to [35, Corollary 2.7] or [19, Theorem 1.1], by Lemma 4.9, there is a triangle equivalence between $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ and the singularity category $D_f^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$.

(3) This follows from Theorem 1.2(3). \square

Let G be the obvious composite functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow D_f^b(A) \rightarrow D_f^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. Since $G(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, there is a unique factorization of G through the canonical projection $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}$. We denote by F the factorization from $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ to $D_f^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. Then $G = F \circ S$. In fact, F is the composite functor $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{R}(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle \hookrightarrow D_f^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. Let A be a local Gorenstein DG-ring. By Theorem 4.10(3), we obtain a triangle equivalence: $F : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \simeq D_f^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$.

We are now ready to prove Corollary 1.6.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. By Theorem 4.10, it suffices to show that if the functor $F : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow D_f^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ is dense, then A is a local Gorenstein DG-ring. Assume that $F : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow D_f^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ is dense, and let M be any DG-module in $D_f^b(A)$. It follows that $M \cong F(G)$ in $D_f^b(A)$ for some $G \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $s \setminus f : M \xrightarrow{f} Z \xleftarrow{s} G$ be an isomorphism in $D_f^b(A)$ with $\text{cn}(s) \in \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$, then $\text{cn}(f) \in \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. Consider the triangle $G \xrightarrow{s} Z \rightarrow \text{cn}(s) \rightsquigarrow$ in $D_f^b(A)$. We see that G and $\text{cn}(s)$ lie in $\mathcal{R}(A)$, so $Z \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. It follows from $Z \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\text{cn}(f) \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ that $M \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. Therefore the G-dimension of M is finite. Thus A is a local Gorenstein DG-ring by Theorem 1.2(3). \square

Remark 4.11. If A is a local Gorenstein ring, then Corollary 1.6 gives the classical Buchweitz-Happel Theorem and its inverse. As we stated in the Introduction, Jin [20] proved that if A is a proper Gorenstein DG-algebra, then there is a triangle equivalence between the stable category of Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules (see Remark 4.7) and the singularity category $D^b(A)/\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. This generalizes Buchweitz-Happel Theorem to the setting of proper Gorenstein DG-algebras

(see [20, Theorem 2.4]). In fact, over commutative local noetherian DG-algebras over a field k , condition (ii) of the proper Gorenstein DG-algebra is equivalent to $\text{injdim}_A A < \infty$. In this case, a Gorenstein ring in our setting is a proper Gorenstein DG-algebra defined in [20]. Therefore, over a commutative local noetherian non-positive DG algebra over k , Corollary 1.6 shows that the inverse of Buchweitz-Happel Theorem is also true.

Acknowledgements. The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12171206, 12201223). Also, the author J. S. Hu thanks the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. BK20211358) and Jiangsu 333 Project. The authors would like to thank Haibo Jin for discussions on Cohen-Macaulay DG-modules and Buchweitz-Happel Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander, *Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative*, Séminaire d'algèbre commutative dirigé par P. Samuel, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1967.
- [2] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 94, 1969.
- [3] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, *Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories*, Adv. Math. 86 (1991) 111–152.
- [4] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S.O. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [5] L.L. Avramov and A. Martsinkovsky, *Absolute, relative, and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimension*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 85 (2002) 393–440.
- [6] H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960) 466–488.
- [7] P.A. Bergh, D.A. Jørgensen, S. Oppermann, *The Gorenstein defect category*, Quart. J. Math. 66(2) (2015) 459–471.
- [8] A. Beligiannis, *The homological theory of contravariantly finite subcategories: Gorenstein categories, Auslander-Buchweitz contexts and (co-)stabilization*, Comm. Algebra 28 (2000) 4547–4596.
- [9] I. Bird, L. Shaul, P. Sridhar and J. Williamson, *Finitistic dimensions over commutative DG-rings*, arXiv:2204.06865v2, 2022.
- [10] R.-O. Buchweitz, *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein rings*, with appendices by Luchezar L. Avramov, Benjamin Briggs, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Janina C. Letz, Math. Surveys and Monographs 262, Amer. Math. Soc. 2021.
- [11] L.W. Christensen, *Gorenstein Dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [12] L.W. Christensen, G. Piepmeyer, J. Striuli and R. Takahashi, *Finite Gorenstein representation type implies simple singularity*, Adv. Math. 218 (2008) 1012–1026.
- [13] E.E. Enochs and O.M.G. Jenda, *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. 220 (1995) 611–633.
- [14] A.F. Frankild, S. Iyengar and P. Jørgensen, *Dualizing differential graded modules and Gorenstein differential graded algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. 68(2) (2003) 288–306.
- [15] A.F. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, *Gorenstein differential graded algebras*, Israel J. Math. 135 (2003) 327–353.
- [16] A.F. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, *Homological identities for differential graded algebras*, J. Algebra 265 (2003) 114–135.
- [17] D. Happel, *On Gorenstein Algebras*, in: Representation theory of finite groups and finite-dimensional algebras (Proc. Conf. at Bielefeld, 1991), Progress in Math. 95, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991, pp.389–404.
- [18] H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 189 (2004) 167–193.
- [19] O. Iyama and D. Yang, *Silting reduction and Calabi-Yau reduction of triangulated categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 370(11) (2018) 7861–7898.

- [20] H.B. Jin, *Cohen-Macaulay differential graded modules and negative Calabi-Yau configurations*, Adv. Math. 374 (2020) 107338.
- [21] P. Jørgensen, *Amplitude inequalities for differential graded modules*, Forum Math. 22 (2010) 941–948.
- [22] F. Kong, P. Zhang, *From CM-finite to CM-free*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220(2) (2016) 782–801.
- [23] H. Minamoto, *Resolutions and homological dimensions of DG-modules*, Israel J. Math. 245 (2021) 409–454.
- [24] H. Nakaoka and Y. Palu, *Extriangulated categories, Hovey twin cotorsion pairs and model structures*, Cahiers de Topologie et Geometrie Differentielle Categoriqes, Volume LX-2 (2019) 117–193.
- [25] D. Orlov, *Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 3(246) (2004) 227–248.
- [26] C.M. Ringel and P. Zhang, *Representations of quivers over the algebra of dual numbers*, J. Algebra 475 (2017) 327–360.
- [27] L. Shaul, *Injective DG-modules over non-positive DG-rings*, J. Algebra 515 (2018) 102–156.
- [28] L. Shaul, *The Cohen-Macaulay property in derived commutative algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 373 (2020) 6095–6138.
- [29] C.C. Xi, *On the finitistic dimension conjecture, III: Related to the pair $eAe \subseteq A$* , J. Algebra 319 (2008) 3666–3688.
- [30] X.Y. Yang, L. Wang, *Homological invariants over non-positive DG-rings*, J. Algebra Appl. 2050153 (2020) 2050153.
- [31] S. Yassemi, *G-dimension*, Math. Scand. 77 (1995) 161–174.
- [32] A. Yekutieli, *Duality and tilting for commutative DG rings*, arXiv:1312.6411v4, 2016.
- [33] A. Yekutieli, *Derived categories*, volume 183 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020.
- [34] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen-Macaulay Modules Over Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 146, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [35] J.Q. Wei, *Relative singularity categories, Gorenstein objects and silting theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 222 (2018) 2310–2322.

Jiangsheng Hu

School of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 311121, P. R. China.

Email: jiangshenghu@hotmail.com

Xiaoyan Yang

Zhejiang University of Science and Technology, Hangzhou 310023, P. R. China.

Email: yangxiaoyanzj@outlook.com

Rongmin Zhu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362021, P. R. China.

E-mail: rongminzhu@hotmail.com