

Gallai-like characterization of strong cocomparability graphs

Jing Huang*

Abstract

Strong cocomparability graphs are the reflexive graphs whose adjacency matrix can be rearranged by a simultaneous row and column permutation to avoid the submatrix with rows 01, 10. Strong cocomparability graphs form a subclass of cocomparability graphs (i.e., the complements of comparability graphs) and can be recognized in polynomial time. In his seminal paper, Gallai characterized cocomparability graphs in terms of a forbidden structure called asteroids. Gallai proved that cocomparability graphs are precisely those reflexive graphs which do not contain asteroids.

In this paper, we give a characterization of strong cocomparability graphs which is analogous to Gallai's characterization for cocomparability graphs. We prove that strong cocomparability graphs are precisely those reflexive graphs which do not contain weak edge-asteroids (a weaker version of asteroids). Our characterization also leads to a polynomial time recognition algorithm for strong cocomparability graphs.

Key words: Comparability graph, cocomparability graph, strong cocomparability graph, asteroid, edge-asteroid, weak edge-asteroid, Gallai-like characterization, polynomial time recognition algorithm.

1 Introduction

Comparability graphs are a popular and much studied class of graphs [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 25]. They are the graphs that represent the comparability relation of partial orders [26] and have been used for the study of optimized compound samples for structure-activity correlations of chemical compounds [3].

Specifically, a graph G is a *comparability graph* if it has a transitive orientation, that is, the edges of G can be oriented in such a way that for any three vertices x, y, z in the resulting oriented graph, if xy, yz are arcs then xz is also an arc. The complements of comparability graphs are called *cocomparability graphs*, cf. [14].

Cocomparability graphs have a characteristic ordering property, that is, a graph is a cocomparability graph if and only if it has a vertex ordering \prec such that for any three vertices $x \prec y \prec z$, if xz is an edge then at least one of xy, yz is an edge of the graph. Such a vertex ordering is called a *cocomparability ordering*.

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, B.C., Canada V8W 2Y2; huangj@uvic.ca

Typically, cocomparability graphs are considered to be *reflexive* (i.e., every vertex is adjacent to itself). Thus the adjacency matrix of a cocomparability graph has 1's on the main diagonal. Cocomparability graphs can also be equivalently defined in term of the existence of symmetric orderings of their adjacency matrices which do not contain a single matrix in specified positions.

Let M be a symmetric 0,1-matrix having 1's on the main diagonal. A *symmetric ordering* of M is a matrix obtained from M by simultaneously permuting the rows and columns. Clearly, a symmetric ordering of a symmetric matrix is again symmetric. Suppose that M is the adjacency matrix of graph G . Since M has 1's on the main diagonal, G is reflexive. Any vertex ordering of G corresponds to a symmetric ordering of M , that is, permuting the rows and columns of M according to the vertex ordering of G . In particular, a cocomparability ordering of G corresponds to a symmetric ordering of M which does not contain $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ as a submatrix with either 1 entry sitting on the main diagonal. In fact, cocomparability graphs are precisely those reflexive graphs whose adjacency matrices have such orderings.

A reflexive graph is called a *strong cocomparability* graph if its adjacency matrix has a symmetric ordering which does not contain $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ as a submatrix [18]. It follows from the definition that every strong cocomparability graph is a cocomparability graph. Both cocomparability graphs and strong cocomparability graphs are related to interval graphs. According to Gilmore and Hoffman [12], an interval graph if and only if it is both a chordal graph and a cocomparability graph. It is proved in [18] that a graph is an interval graph if and only if it is both a strongly chordal graph and a strong cocomparability graph.

Following [18, 19], we call the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ the *Slash matrix*. A symmetric ordering of M that does not contain the *Slash* matrix as a submatrix is called a *symmetric Slash-free* ordering of M . Thus a reflexive graph is a strong cocomparability graph if and only if its adjacency matrix has a symmetric *Slash-free* ordering. The vertex ordering of a reflexive graph which corresponds to a symmetric *Slash-free* ordering of its adjacency matrix is called a *strong cocomparability ordering* of the graph.

There is an elegant characterization of cocomparability graphs given by Gallai [10]. An *asteroid* in a graph is a set of vertices x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k} such that for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$, there is a walk connecting x_{i+k} and x_{i+k+1} which does not contain any neighbour of x_i (subscripts are modulo $2k + 1$). Gallai [10] proved the following:

Theorem 1. [10] *A reflexive graph is a cocomparability graph if and only if it does not contain an asteroid.* \square

An asteroid consisting of three vertices is called an *asteroidal triple*. Lekkerkerker and Boland [22] proved that a graph is an interval graph if and only if it is a chordal graph that does not contain an asteroidal triple. Graphs which do not contain asteroidal triples are studied in [2, 4, 5, 6, 7]

There is also an edge version of asteroids defined in [9]. An *edge-asteroid* in a graph is a set of edges $x_0y_0, x_1y_1, \dots, x_{2k}y_{2k}$ such that for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$, there is a walk that begins with the edge $x_{i+k}y_{i+k}$ and ends with the edge $x_{i+k+1}y_{i+k+1}$ such that neither x_i nor y_i is adjacent to a vertex in the walk (subscripts are modulo $2k + 1$). Bipartite graphs which do not contain edge-asteroids are known as *cocomparability bigraphs* and studied in [19]. It is shown in [9] that

a bipartite graph is an interval containment bigraph if and only if it is both a chordal bigraph and a cocomparability graph, cf. also [21]. Note that an asteroid is a special edge-asteroid where each edge is a loop (that is, $x_i = y_i$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$).

In this paper, we introduce the concept of weak edge-asteroids (see Section 2 for the definition). Weak edge-asteroids are a weaker version of edge-asteroids in the sense that each edge-asteroid (and hence each asteroid) is a weak edge-asteroid. We will prove the following:

Theorem 2. *A reflexive graph is a strong cocomparability graph if and only if it does not contain a weak edge-asteroid.*

Strong cocomparability graphs can be recognized in polynomial time [18]. We will show that Theorem 2 also leads to a polynomial time recognition algorithm for strong cocomparability graphs.

2 Weak edge-asteroids and strong cocomparability graphs

The concept of weak edge-asteroids, to be defined in this section, stems from a “forcing” relation on the set of all ordered pairs of distinct vertices in a graph.

Let G be a reflexive graph with vertex $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Note that $E(G)$ includes all loops vv , $v \in V(G)$. Denote by $Z(G)$ the set of ordered pairs (u, v) of distinct vertices of G . For $(u, v), (u', v') \in Z(G)$, we say that (u, v) forces (u', v') , denoted by $(u, v)\Lambda(u', v')$, if $u = u'$ and $v = v'$ or $uu', vv' \in E(G)$ and $uv', vu' \notin E(G)$. Clearly, $(u, v)\Lambda(u', v')$ if and only if $(v, u)\Lambda(v', u')$.

Proposition 3. [18] *Let G be a reflexive graph. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *If abc is an induced P_3 in \overline{G} , then $(a, b)\Lambda(c, b)$.*
2. *If $abcd$ is an induced P_4 in G , then $(a, c)\Lambda(a, d)\Lambda(b, d)\Lambda(a, d)\Lambda(b, c)$.*
3. *If $abcd$ is an induced C_4 in G , then $(a, d)\Lambda(b, c)$.* □

We say that (u, v) implies (u', v') , denoted by $(u, v) \sim (u', v')$, if there exist walks $u_1u_2 \dots u_k$ and $v_1v_2 \dots v_k$ in G where $(u_1, v_1) = (u, v)$ and $(u_k, v_k) = (u', v')$ such that $(u_i, v_i)\Lambda(u_{i+1}, v_{i+1})$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$. It is easy to verify that \sim is an equivalence relation on $Z(G)$.

An *invertible pair* in G is a pair of distinct vertices u, v such that $(u, v) \sim (v, u)$.

Theorem 4. [18] *A reflexive graph is a strong cocomparability graph if and only if it does not contain an invertible pair.* □

Let G be a reflexive graph and uu', vv' be edges of G with $\{u, u'\} \cap \{v, v'\} = \emptyset$. Note that the edges of G include all loops. We say that uu' avoids vv' if one of the following holds:

- $u = u', v = v'$, and $uv \notin E(G)$;
- $u = u', v \neq v', uv \notin E(G)$, and $uv' \notin E(G)$;

- $u \neq u', v = v', uv \notin E(G)$, and $uv' \notin E(G)$;
- $u \neq u', v \neq v'$, and $\{u, u', v, v'\}$ induces a $2K_2$, a P_4 , or a C_4 in G .

It is clear from the definition that if uu' avoids vv' then vv' avoids uu' . Also observe that uu' avoiding vv' is equivalent to the property that each of u and u' has a non-neighbour in $\{v, v'\}$ and each of v and v' has a non-neighbour in $\{u, u'\}$. This observation will be used in the proof of Theorem 7.

We say that an edge *avoids* a walk (with at least one edge) in G if it avoids every edge of the walk.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a reflexive graph. Suppose that an edge vv' avoids a walk $u_0u_1 \dots u_t$ where $t \geq 1$ in G . Then the following statements hold:*

1. *If neither $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ nor $\{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$ induces a C_4 , then for any $x \in \{v, v'\}$ and $y \in \{v, v'\}$, $(u_0, x) \sim (u_t, y)$.*
2. *If $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ induces a C_4 and $\{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$ does not induce a C_4 , then for any $y \in \{v, v'\}$, $(u_0, x) \sim (u_t, y)$ where x is the unique vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ with $u_0x \in E(G)$.*
3. *If $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ and $\{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$ each induces a C_4 , then $(u_0, x) \sim (u_t, y)$ where x is the unique vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ with $u_0x \in E(G)$ and y is the unique vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ with $u_t y \in E(G)$.*

Proof: If $v = v'$ (i.e., vv' is a loop), then neither $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ nor $\{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$ induces a C_4 , that is, only Statement 1 applies. Since vv' avoids every edge of the walk, $vu_i \notin E(G)$ for each i . By Proposition 3, $(u_0, v)\Lambda(u_1, v)\Lambda \dots \Lambda(u_t, v)$ so $(u_0, v) \sim (u_t, v)$ and Statement 1 holds. Therefore we may assume that $v \neq v'$. We prove that the statements hold by induction on t .

Consider first the base case $t = 1$. Note that $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\} = \{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$. If $u_0 = u_1$ (i.e., u_0u_1 is a loop), then $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ does not induce a C_4 (and hence only Statement 1 applies). Since vv' avoids u_0u_1 , $u_0v, u_0v' \notin E(G)$. By Proposition 3, $(u_0, v) \sim (u_0, v')$ so Statement 1 holds. If $u_0 \neq u_1$, then $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ induces a $2K_2$, a P_4 , or a C_4 . In the case when $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ induces a $2K_2$ or a P_4 , only Statement 1 applies. It follows from Proposition 3 that for any $x \in \{v, v'\}$ and $y \in \{v, v'\}$, $(u_0, x) \sim (u_1, y)$. Thus Statement 1 holds. On the other hand, when $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ induces a C_4 , only Statement 3 applies. Either $u_0u_1v'v$ or u_0u_1vv' is an induced C_4 . By Proposition 3, $(u_0, v) \sim (u_1, v')$ if $u_0u_1v'v$ is an induced C_4 , and $(u_0, v') \sim (u_1, v)$ if u_0u_1vv' is an induced C_4 . Hence Statement 3 holds. Therefore the statements hold for the base case $t = 1$. Assume now that $t \geq 2$ and the statements hold for any walk of length less than t .

Suppose first that neither $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ nor $\{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$ induces a C_4 . Let z be the unique vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ with $u_{t-1}z \in E(G)$ if $\{u_{t-2}, u_{t-1}, v, v'\}$ induces a C_4 ; otherwise let z be any vertex in $\{v, v'\}$. By the inductive hypothesis, for any $x \in \{v, v'\}$, $(u_0, x) \sim (u_{t-1}, z)$. Since $\{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$ does not induce a C_4 , by considering the walk $u_{t-1}u_t$ and the inductive hypothesis $(u_{t-1}, z) \sim (u_t, y)$ for any $y \in \{v, v'\}$. Hence for any $x \in \{v, v'\}$ and $y \in \{v, v'\}$, $(u_0, x) \sim (u_t, y)$.

Suppose next that $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ induces a C_4 and $\{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$ does not induce a C_4 . Let x be the unique vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ with $u_0x \in E(G)$. Let z be the unique vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ with $u_{t-1}z \in E(G)$ if $\{u_{t-2}, u_{t-1}, v, v'\}$ induces a C_4 ; otherwise let z be any vertex in $\{v, v'\}$.

By the inductive hypothesis, $(u_0, x) \sim (u_{t-1}, z)$. Since $\{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$ does not induce a C_4 , $(u_{t-1}, z) \sim (u_t, y)$ for any $y \in \{v, v'\}$. Hence for any $y \in \{v, v'\}$, $(u_0, x) \sim (u_t, y)$.

Finally, suppose that $\{u_0, u_1, v, v'\}$ and $\{u_{t-1}, u_t, v, v'\}$ each induces a C_4 . Let x be the unique vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ with $u_0x \in E(G)$ and y be the unique vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ with $u_t y \in E(G)$. Let z be the the vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ distinct from y . Note that $u_{t-1}z \in E(G)$; in particular if $\{u_{t-2}, u_{t-1}, v, v'\}$ induces a C_4 then z is the unique vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ with $u_{t-1}z \in E(G)$. By inductive hypothesis, $(u_0, x) \sim (u_{t-1}, z)$ and $(u_{t-1}, z) \sim (u_t, y)$. Therefore $(u_0, x) \sim (u_t, y)$. \square

A *weak edge-asteroid* in a graph G is a set of edges $x_0y_0, x_1y_1, \dots, x_{2k}y_{2k}$ such that for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$, x_iy_i avoids a walk that begins with $x_{i+k}y_{i+k}$ and ends with $x_{i+k+1}y_{i+k+1}$ (subscripts are modulo $2k + 1$).

Theorem 6. *A reflexive graph G contains an invertible pair if and only if it contains a weak edge-asteroid.*

Proof: Suppose that $x_0y_0, x_1y_1, \dots, x_{2k}y_{2k}$ form a weak edge-asteroid in G (as defined above). For each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$, let $u_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}$ and $v_{i+k} \in \{x_{i+k}, y_{i+k}\}$ be arbitrarily chosen, except when $\{x_i, y_i, x_{i+k}, y_{i+k}\}$ induces a C_4 , $u_i v_{i+k}$ is an edge of the induced C_4 . We may assume without loss of generality that the walk that begins with $x_{i+k}y_{i+k}$ and ends with $x_{i+k+1}y_{i+k+1}$ (in the definition of a weak edge-asteroid) has the first vertex v_{i+k} and the last vertex u_{i+k+1} . This can be realized by adding v_{i+k} to the beginning of the walk and u_{i+k+1} to the end of the walk if necessary. Thus, by Lemma 5, $(u_i, v_{i+k}) \sim (v_i, u_{i+k+1}) \sim (u_{i+1}, v_{i+k+1})$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$. Hence

$$(u_0, v_k) \sim (v_0, u_{k+1}) \sim (u_1, v_{k+1}) \sim (v_1, u_{k+2}) \sim (u_2, v_{k+2}) \sim \dots \sim (u_k, v_{2k}) \sim (v_k, u_0)$$

which means that u_0, v_k are an invertible pair in G .

Conversely, suppose that u, v are an invertible pair in G certified by the sequence

$$(u, v) = (u_0, u_t)\Lambda(u_1, u_{t+1})\Lambda \cdots \Lambda(u_{t-1}, u_{2t-1})\Lambda(v, u).$$

When t is odd, $u_{2i}u_{2i+1}$ avoids every edge in the walk $u_{2i+t-1}u_{2i+t}u_{2i+t+1}u_{2i+t+2}$ for each $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ (subscripts are modulo $2t$). Hence the edges $u_{2i}u_{2i+1}$, $0 \leq i \leq t-1$, form a weak edge-asteroid in G . When t is even, u_iu_{i+1} avoids every edge in the walk $u_{i+t-1}u_{i+t}u_{i+t+1}$ for each $0 \leq i \leq t-2$, $u_{t-1}u_t$ avoids every edge in the walk $u_{2t-2}u_{2t-1}u_0u_1$, and u_iu_{i+1} avoids every edge in the walk $u_{i-t}u_{i-t+1}u_{i-t+2}$ for each $t \leq i \leq 2t-2$. Hence the edges u_iu_{i+1} , $0 \leq i \leq 2t-2$ form a weak edge-asteroid in G . \square

Theorem 2 now follows immediately from Theorems 4 and 6.

As a byproduct, we show that Theorem 2 leads to a polynomial time recognition algorithm for strong cocomparability graphs.

Let G be a reflexive graph. Define the *avoidance graph* G^* of G as follows: The vertex set of G^* is $E(G)$ and two vertices e, f of G^* are adjacent if e, f avoids each other in G .

Theorem 7. *Let G be a reflexive graph. Then G is a strong cocomparability graph if and only if G^* is a comparability graph.*

Proof: In view of Theorems 1 and 2, it suffices to show that G has a weak edge-asteroid if and only if the complement $\overline{G^*}$ of G^* has an asteroid.

Suppose first that G has a weak edge-asteroid consisting of edges e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2k} . We claim that e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2k} form an asteroid in $\overline{G^*}$. Since e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2k} form a weak edge-asteroid in G , e_i avoids a walk W_i begins with e_{i+k} and ends with e_{i+k+1} for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$. For any edge f in W_i , since e_i avoids f in G , e_i is not adjacent to f in $\overline{G^*}$. For any two pair consecutive edges f, f' in W_i , since f, f' share a vertex in G , by definition they do not avoid and hence they are adjacent in $\overline{G^*}$. Hence the the edges of W_i form a walk in $\overline{G^*}$ connecting e_{i+k} and e_{i+k+1} that contains no neighbour of e_i . Therefore e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2k} form an asteroid in $\overline{G^*}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\overline{G^*}$ has an asteroid consisting of vertices e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2k} . Denote $e_i = x_i y_i$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$ where x_i, y_i are vertices in G . Since e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2k} form an asteroid in $\overline{G^*}$, there is a walk W'_i connecting e_{i+k} and e_{i+k+1} in $\overline{G^*}$ that contains no neighbour of e_i for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$. We show that W'_i can be modified to a walk in G that begins with $x_{i+k} y_{i+k}$ and ends with $x_{i+k+1} y_{i+k+1}$, and is avoided by $x_i y_i$. Consider a pair of consecutive vertices e, f in W'_i . Denote $e = uu'$ and $f = vv'$ where u, u', v, v' are vertices of G . Since e and f are adjacent in $\overline{G^*}$, they do not avoid each other in G . Thus some vertex in $\{u, u'\}$ is adjacent to both v, v' or some vertex in $\{v, v'\}$ is adjacent to both u, u' in G . Without loss of generality assume that v is adjacent to both u, u' in G . We claim that at least one of $uv, u'v$ avoids $x_i y_i$. Since $e_i = x_i y_i$ is not adjacent to $e = uu'$ or $f = vv'$ in $\overline{G^*}$ (by definition of an asteroid), $x_i y_i$ avoids both uu' and vv' in G . Hence none of u, u', v is adjacent to both x_i, y_i in G . If $x_i y_i$ avoids neither of $uv, u'v$, then some vertex $a \in \{x_i, y_i\}$ is adjacent to both u, v and some vertex $b \in \{x_i, y_i\}$ is adjacent to both u', v in G . When $a = b$, a is adjacent to both u, u' , which contradicts the fact that $x_i y_i$ avoids uu' ; when $a \neq b$, v is adjacent to both x_i, y_i , which contradicts the fact $x_i y_i$ avoids vv' . Hence $x_i y_i$ must avoids at least one of $uv, u'v$ in G . That is, there always exists an edge g with one endvertex in $\{u, u'\}$ and the other endvertex in $\{v, v'\}$ which avoids $x_i y_i$ in G . To modify the walk W'_i , we add such an edge g between any consecutive pair of edges e, f in W'_i and if necessary repeat e or f to make sure that we obtain a walk in G . The modified walk still begins with $x_{i+k} y_{i+k}$ and ends with $x_{i+k+1} y_{i+k+1}$, in which every edge avoids $x_i y_i$. This shows that $x_0 y_0, x_1 y_1, \dots, x_{2k} y_{2k}$ form a weak edge-asteroid in G . \square

In polynomial time one can construct avoidance graphs and check whether they are comparability graphs [24]. Thus Theorem 7 implies a polynomial recognition algorithm for strong cocomparability graphs.

Corollary 8. [18] *Strong cocomparability graphs can be recognized in polynomial time.* \square

3 Further remarks

For a graph G , let $B(G)$ be the bipartite graph with vertex set $\{v', v'' : v \in V(G)\}$ and edge set $\{u'v'', u''v' : uv \in E(G)\}$. Note that when G is reflexive, $v'v''$ is an edge of $B(G)$ for each vertex v of G .

A *trampoline* is a complete graph on k vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k with $k \geq 3$, together with an independent set of k vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k such that each v_i is adjacent to u_i and u_{i+1} (and to no other vertices). A chordal graph is *strongly chordal* if it does not contain a trampoline as an induced subgraph [8].

Theorem 9. [8] *A reflexive graph G is a strongly chordal graph if and only if $B(G)$ is a chordal bigraph.* \square

There is a nice comparison in terms of matrix orderings between strongly chordal graphs and strong comparability graphs and similarly, between chordal bigraphs and cocomparability graphs (see [18] for the details). Strongly chordal graphs are the reflexive graphs whose adjacency matrices admit symmetric Γ -free orderings [8]. Chordal bigraphs are the bigraphs whose biadjacency matrices admit Γ -free orderings [1, 20, 23], while cocomparability bigraphs are the bigraphs whose biadjacency matrices have *Slash*-free orderings [19]. Nevertheless, a similar equivalence as in Theorem 9 does not hold for strong cocomparability graphs.

Proposition 10. *If G is a strong cocomparability graph, then $B(G)$ is a cocomparability bigraph.*

Proof: Let M be the adjacency matrix of G . Then M is the biadjacency matrix of $B(G)$. If G is a strong cocomparability graph, then M has a symmetric *Slash*-free ordering. Hence $B(G)$ is a cocomparability bigraph. \square

The converse of Proposition 10 is however not true. For example, $K_{3,3}$ is not a strong cocomparability graph as it contains a weak edge-asteroid. On the other hand, $B(K_{3,3})$ does not contain an edge-asteroid so it is a cocomparability bigraph.

Farber [8] established yet another relationship between strongly chordal graphs and chordal bigraphs. For a bigraph H , let H^+ be the reflexive graph obtained from H by completing one colour class of H to a clique and adding a loop at each vertex.

Theorem 11. *[8] A bigraph H is a chordal bigraph if and only if H^+ is a strongly chordal graph.* \square

The following theorem assembles a similar relationship between strong cocomparability graphs and cocomparability bigraphs. For a bigraph H , let H^{++} be the reflexive graph obtained from H by completing both colour classes of H to cliques and adding a loop at each vertex.

Theorem 12. *A bigraph H is a cocomparability bigraph if and only if H^{++} is a strong cocomparability graph.*

Proof: Suppose that H is a cocomparability bigraph. Then the biadjacency matrix of H has a *Slash*-free ordering N . The matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J} & N \\ N^T & \mathbf{J} \end{bmatrix}$ (where \mathbf{J} is an all-ones matrix) is the adjacency matrix of H^{++} and does not contain the *Slash* matrix as a submatrix. Hence H^{++} is a strong cocomparability graph.

Conversely, suppose that H^{++} is a strong cocomparability graph. Then the adjacency matrix has a symmetric *Slash*-free ordering M . Deleting the rows that correspond to the vertices in one colour class and columns that correspond to the vertices in the other colour class, we obtain the biadjacency matrix of H which does not contain the *Slash* matrix as a submatrix. Hence H is a cocomparability bigraph. \square

References

- [1] R.P. Anstee and M. Farber, Characterizations of totally balanced matrices, *J. Algorithms* 5 (1984) 215 - 230.

- [2] J. Beisegel, Characterizing AT-free graphs with BFS, In: A. Brandstädt, E. Köhler, K. Meer (eds) Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science. WG 2018. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 11159.
- [3] D. Bonchev, V. Kamenska, and O. Mekenyan, Comparability graphs and molecular properties: IV. Generalizations and applications, *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry* 5 (1990) 43 - 72.
- [4] D.G. Corneil, S. Olariu, and L. Stewart, Asteroidal triple-free graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 10 (1997) 399 - 430.
- [5] D.G. Corneil, S. Olariu, and L. Stewart, Linear time algorithms for dominating pairs in asteroidal triple-free graphs, *SIAM J. Computing*, 28 (1999) 1284 - 1297.
- [6] D.G. Corneil and J. Stacho, Vertex ordering characterizations of graphs of bounded asteroidal number, *Journal of Graph Theory* 78 (2015) 61 - 79.
- [7] G. Ducoffe The diameter of AT-free graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 99 (2022) 594 - 614.
- [8] M. Farber, Characterizations of strongly chordal graphs, *Discrete Math.* 43 (1983) 173 - 189.
- [9] T. Feder, P. Hell, and J. Huang, List homomorphisms and circular arc graphs, *Combinatorica* 19 (1999) 487 - 505.
- [10] T. Gallai, Transitiv orientierbare graphen, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica* 18 (1967) 25 - 66.
- [11] A. Ghouila-Houri, Caractérisation des graphes non orientés dont on peut orienter les arrêtes de manière á obtenir le graphe d'une relation d'ordre, *Les Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences* 254 (1962) 1370 - 1371.
- [12] P.C. Gilmore and A.J. Hoffman, A characterization of comparability graphs and interval graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* 16 (1962) 539 - 548.
- [13] M.C. Golumbic, The complexity of comparability graph recognition and coloring, *Computing* 18 (1977) 199 - 208.
- [14] M.C. Golumbic, *Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graphs*, Academic Press (1980).
- [15] M.C. Golumbic and C.F. Goss, Perfect elimination and chordal bipartite graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 2 (1978) 155 - 163.
- [16] M.C. Golumbic, D. Rotem, and J. Urrutia, (1983), Comparability graphs and intersection graphs, *Discrete Maths* 43 (1983) 37 - 46.
- [17] P. Hell and J. Huang, Lexicographic orientation and representation algorithms for comparability graphs, proper circular arc graphs, and proper interval graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 20 (1995) 361 - 374.
- [18] P. Hell, J. Huang, and J.C.-H. Lin, Strong cocomparability graphs and Slash-free orderings of matrices, *arXiv:2210.16714*, 2022.
- [19] P. Hell, J. Huang, J.C.-H. Lin, and R.M. McConnell, Bipartite analogues of comparability and cocomparability graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 34 (2020) 1969 - 1983.

- [20] A.J. Hoffman, M. Sakarovich, and A. Kolen, Totally balanced and greedy matrices, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* 6 (1985) 721 - 730.
- [21] J. Huang, Representation characterizations of chordal bipartite graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory B* 96 (2006) 673 - 683.
- [22] C.G. Lekkerkerker and J.Ch. Boland, Representation of a finite graph by a set of intervals on the real line, *Fund. Math.* 51 (1962) 45 - 64.
- [23] A. Lubiw, Doubly lexical orderings of matrices, *SIAM J. Comput.* 16 (1987) 854 - 879.
- [24] R.M. McConnell, J. Spinrad, Linear-time transitive orientation, 8th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (1997) pp. 19–25.
- [25] R.H. Möhring, Algorithmic aspects of comparability graphs and interval graphs, In Book: *Graphs and Orders*, D. Reidel Publishing Company (1985) pp 41 - 101.
- [26] W.T. Trotter, *Combinatorics and Partially Ordered Sets — Dimension Theory*, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1992.