

UNIQUE CONTINUATION FOR THE MOMENTUM RAY TRANSFORM

JOONAS ILMAVIRTA, PU-ZHAO KOW AND SUMAN KUMAR SAHOO

ABSTRACT. The present article focuses on a unique continuation result for certain weighted ray transforms, utilizing the unique continuation property (UCP) of the fractional Laplace operator. Specifically, we demonstrate a conservative property for momentum ray transforms acting on tensors, as well as the antilocality property for both weighted ray and cone transforms acting on functions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. A generalization of the curl-curl identity	10
4. A solenoidal decomposition theorem	14
5. Main results	15
5.1. Unique continuation property for momentum ray transform on tensors	15
5.2. Measurable unique continuation property of momentum ray transform	16
5.3. Generalization of momentum ray transform: Fractional momentum ray transform	17
5.4. Antilocality property of the weighted ray transform	20
5.5. Antilocality property of the cone transform	21
Appendix A. Negative power of elliptic operator	22
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

To what extent is a tensor field determined by its line integrals? Depending on the weighting and other choices in the line integrals, there may or may not be a gauge freedom or other non-uniqueness. We will focus on the so-called momentum ray transform, and study its uniqueness with the help of the unique continuation principle or property (UCP).

The space of m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by $T^m \equiv T^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, while its subspace of covariant symmetric m -tensor fields on \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by $S^m \equiv S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In Cartesian coordinates, an element f can be written as

$$f(x) = f_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x) dx^{i_1} \cdots dx^{i_m},$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46F12, 45Q05, 35R11.

Key words and phrases. ray transform, tensor tomography, antilocality property, unique continuation property (UCP), fractional Laplacian, fractional elliptic operator, Saint Venant operator.

where $f_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x)$ is symmetric in all indices $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For repeated indices, Einstein summation convention will be assumed throughout this article.

Let $\mathcal{S}(S^m) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ be the Schwartz class of symmetric m tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n . We denote $\mathcal{S}(S^0) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The integral transforms in the focus of our study can be defined on these spaces as follows:

- (1) The ray transform $I f$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}(S^m)$ is defined by

$$I f(x, \xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x + t\xi) \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_m} dt.$$

- (2) The k^{th} momentum ray transform (MRT) $I^k f$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}(S^m)$ is defined by

$$I^k f(x, \xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^k f_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x + t\xi) \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_m} dt \quad \text{for all integers } k \geq 0.$$

- (3) For $s \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$, the fractional momentum ray transform $I^{2s-1} f$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{X}_s f)(x, \xi) := (I^{2s-1} f)(x, \xi) \equiv \int_0^{\infty} t^{2s-1} f(x + t\xi) dt.$$

In the case $k = 0$ the momentum ray transform reduces to the classical ray transform: $I^0 = I$. This transform has been studied extensively due to its wide range of potential applications in various scientific fields; see [PSU23]. MRTs were first introduced by Sharafutdinov [Sha94] and studied further in the works [KMSS19, KMSS20, MS21]. For functions, $I^k f$ appears to give the inversion formula of cone transform and conical Radon transform whereas the latter transform has promising application in Compton cameras; see [KT17]. For tensors, MRTs appear to solve Calderón type inverse problems for polyharmonic operators; see [BKS21, SS23]. Another motivation to study MRTs are its connection with the exponential ray transform defined as $(I_{\text{exp}}^\alpha f)(x, \xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\alpha t} f_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x + t\xi) \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_m} dt$, for some real number α . Formally (and truly whenever the series is convergent in a suitable sense) the exponential ray transform is related to the momentum ray transforms by

$$I_{\text{exp}}^\alpha f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^k}{k!} I^k f.$$

We now state the main results (not all) of our article. We refer the reader Section 2 for the definition of $R^k f$.

Theorem I. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an integer $0 \leq k \leq m$. Suppose that*

$$\begin{cases} f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) & \text{when } 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \text{ with compact support} & \text{when } n \leq k \leq m. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

If there exists a nonempty open set U in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$R^k f|_U = 0 \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in U$ such that for each $0 \leq p \leq k$ the following assumption holds:

$$N^p f \text{ vanishes at } x_0 \text{ of infinite derivative order} \quad (1.2)$$

then $R^k f \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . If we additionally assuming that f has compact support, then f is a generalized potential field, that is, $f = d^{k+1}v$ for some $v \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-k-1})$.

Theorem II. Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be any non empty open set and $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $N^0 f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $f|_U = 0$ and there exists a positive measure set E in U such that $(N^0 f)|_E = 0$, then $f \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem III. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $0 < s \leq \frac{n}{4}$ with $s \neq \mathbb{Z}$ and let $f \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+4s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If there exists a non-empty open set U in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$f = \mathcal{A}_s f = 0 \quad \text{in } U \quad \implies \quad f = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $(\mathcal{A}_s f)(x) = c(n, -s) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\mathcal{X}_s f)(x, \xi) dS(\xi)$ with $c(n, s) := \frac{2^{2s} \Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{2})}{\pi^{n/2} |\Gamma(-s)|}$.

The following table contains the list of some existing results in this direction:

Uniqueness results			
Transforms	Full data	UCP	Support theorem
Ray transform	[Hel99, Sha94]	[IM20, IM21, AKS22]	[Kri07]
d plane transform	[Hel99]	[CMR21]	[Hel99]
MRT	[KMSS19]	[AKS22], current article	[AM19]
Cone transform	[KT17]	current article	unknown

The ray transform with full data is a classical concept, and we have only given text book references rather than original articles for it.

The unique continuation result for ray transform can be considered as a partial data uniqueness result, in which one considers the following question: If a function or a symmetric tensor field f satisfies $If = 0$ and $f = 0$ in some open set U , then can one conclude that $f = 0$ (for functions) or f is a potential field (for symmetric tensor fields) in the whole space?

This question is related to the *interior tomography problem* or the *region of interest (ROI) tomography problem*. The goal of ROI tomography is to reconstruct the function within an open set (the region of interest) from the line integrals over all lines through that set. It is well known that from this information one cannot recover the whole function in the region of interest; see [KQR15, Example 2.1] However, it is possible to recover the singularities of the function in the ROI because of the fact that ray transform If is an elliptic Fourier integral operator (FIO) and its normal operator I^*If is an elliptic pseudo-differential operator. Therefore by pseudo-local property, we have $\text{WF}(f) = \text{WF}(I^*If)$, see [Qui06]. This fact serves as a motivation for Lambda tomography [FRS92], which is a local reconstruction method that uses ROI data to reconstruct singularities.

However, the problem we pose is different: We only use the rays that meet the set V , but our goal is to reconstruct the scalar or tensor field outside V , not inside it. We assume that something about the field is known in V . The simplest choice is $f|_V = 0$, but this can be relaxed to the gauge invariant assumption $Rf|_V = 0$, where R is the Saint Venant

operator. The assumption that the integrals over all lines vanish can be relaxed to $(I^k)^* I^k f$ vanishing in a subset of positive measure of V or vanishing to infinite order at a point of V . To make sense of $(I^k)^* I^k f$ vanishing to infinite order, we need it to be smooth, and that follows from ellipticity of the normal operator $(I^k)^* I^k$ as a pseudo-differential operator.

The following are equivalent for $f \in \mathcal{S}(S^m)$ and $0 \leq k \leq m$:

- (1) $I^k f = 0$ for all lines
- (2) $R^k f = 0$ (the k^{th} power of the Saint-Venant operator)
- (3) f is the $(k + 1)^{\text{st}}$ symmetrized covariant derivative of some $v \in \mathcal{S}(S^{m-k-1})$ (with the convention that tensor fields of order -1 are identically zero)

To prove a similar conclusion for our partial data setting, we aim to pass from partial data on $I^k f$ to the global conclusion $R^k f = 0$, from which the conclusion follows. The advantage of working with $R^k f$ is that it is a differential operator which is local in nature — unlike the integral operator I^k .

By connecting the normal operator of ray transform with fractional Laplacian, we see that the UCP for ray transforms is a consequence of UCP (more precisely, the antilocality property) of fractional Laplacian. UCP (Unique Continuation Property) is a useful tool for studying inverse problems, where one aims to recover an unknown function from measured data. UCP ensures that if a solution to a PDE vanishes in a suitable sense, then it must be identically zero. UCP has been extensively studied for local operators (e.g., Laplace and wave operators) [Isa07] and more recently for nonlocal operators (e.g., fractional Laplace equation, and fractional wave equation of peridynamic type) [GSU20, Gho22]. Interestingly, the proof of UCP for some nonlocal elliptic operators requires the use of UCP for local elliptic equations, see [GFR19].

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a recap of some preliminary results, including definitions and notation that we will use in the upcoming sections. In Section 3, we study more properties of generalized Saint Venant operator, and a new decomposition theorem of symmetric tensor fields is presented in Section 4. Finally, we recall our main results and prove them in Section 5. In Appendix A, we provide the domain of a negative fractional power of a general elliptic operator (see Definition A.3) analogously to the fractional Laplace operator.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

J. I. was supported by the Academy of Finland (grants 332890 and 351665). P.-Z. K and S. K. S were partly supported by the Academy of Finland (Centre of Excellence in Inverse Modelling and Imaging, grant 312121) and by the European Research Council under Horizon 2020 (ERC CoG 770924).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In order to make the paper self-contained, in this section, we introduce some operators — especially the momentum ray transforms and Saint-Venant operators — as well as some of their known properties. We are not going to exhaust all the details here, see e.g. [AKS22, KMSS19, Sha94] and references therein for more details. Readers who are already familiar with the subject may proceed to the next section.

2.1. Momentum ray transform on Schwartz space. For each vectors $\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, their *tensor product* (or *juxtaposition*) $\xi^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi^{(m)}$ is defined by

$$(\xi^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi^{(m)})_{i_1 \dots i_m} := \xi_{i_1}^{(1)} \dots \xi_{i_m}^{(m)}.$$

If $\xi^{(1)} = \dots = \xi^{(m)} = \xi$, we simply denote

$$\xi^{\otimes m} := \xi^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi^{(m)} \in S^m \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{\otimes m} := (\xi^{\otimes m})_{i_1 \dots i_m}.$$

We also denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : S^m \times S^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\langle f, g \rangle := f_{i_1 \dots i_m} g_{i_1 \dots i_m}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ be the Schwartz class of symmetric m tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n . Given any non-negative integer k , we define the mapping $J^k : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}))$ by

$$(J^k f)(x, \xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^k \langle f(x + t\xi), \xi^{\otimes m} \rangle dt \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^k f_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x + t\xi) \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_m} dt$$

for all $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Denote the tangent bundle of the unit sphere by

$$T\mathbb{S}^{n-1} := \{ (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \mid \langle x, \xi \rangle = 0 \}.$$

Since each point $(x, \xi) \in T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ determines a unique line $x + t\xi$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then it make sense to denote $I^k \equiv J^k|_{T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ be the restriction of J^k on $T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Let $\mathcal{S}(T\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ be the space of smooth functions $\varphi(x, \xi)$ on $T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that all their derivatives decrease rapidly in the first argument [Sha94, Section 2.1]. It is easily see that

$$I^k \equiv J^k|_{T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \quad \text{is bounded.} \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.1. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and integer $0 \leq k \leq m$, we call (2.1) the k^{th} *momentum ray transform* of a symmetric m -tensor field.

Remark 2.2 (An equivalent relation). From [KMSS19, (2.6)] we have

$$(J^q f)(x, \xi) = |\xi|^{m-2q-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^q (-1)^{q-\ell} \binom{q}{\ell} |\xi|^\ell \langle \xi, x \rangle^{q-\ell} (I^\ell f) \underbrace{\left(x - \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle}{|\xi|^2} \xi, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right)}_{\in T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$$

which implies, for each integer $0 \leq k \leq m$, that

$$(I^0 f, I^1 f, \dots, I^k f) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \iff (J^0 f, J^1 f, \dots, J^k f) = (0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

2.2. Momentum ray transform on compactly supported tensor field distributions. It is well-known that the (classical) ray transform I^0 is well-defined on compactly supported tensor field distributions [Sha94]. Similar extension for momentum ray transforms was considered in [BKS21] in order to study the polyharmonic operator inverse problem. Similar ideas also work for momentum ray transforms [AKS22, Section 2.3], which will be presented here in order to make the paper self-contained. Let $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ be the compactly supported symmetric m tensor distribution fields in \mathbb{R}^n , and we define the bounded linear operator $I^k : \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(T\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ by

$$(I^k f, g)_{T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} = \left(f, (I^k)^* g \right)_{\mathbb{R}^n; S^m} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \text{ and } g \in C^\infty(T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

where the distributional adjoint $(I^k)^* : C^\infty(T\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ is defined by

$$\left((I^k)^* g \right)_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^k \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_m} g(x - \langle x, \xi \rangle \xi, \xi) dS_\xi \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Similarly, we define $J^k : \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ by

$$(J^k f, g)_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} = \left(f, (J^k)^* g \right)_{\mathbb{R}^n, S^m} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \text{ and } g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

where the distributional adjoint $(J^k)^* : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ is defined by

$$\left((J^k)^* g \right)_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k g(x - t\xi, \xi) \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_m} dt dS_\xi \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

2.3. Normal operator of momentum ray transforms. Using (2.1), for each integer $0 \leq k \leq m$, let us denote $N^k = (I^k)^* I^k : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ the *normal operator* of the k^{th} momentum ray transform in Definition 2.1. For each $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (N^k f)_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (-1)^\ell x_{p_1 \dots p_{2k-\ell}}^{\otimes(2k-\ell)} \left(f_{j_1 \dots j_m} * \Xi_{p_1 \dots p_{2k-\ell} i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m} \right)(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where

$$\Xi_{p_1 \dots p_{2k-\ell} i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}(z) := \frac{z_{p_1 \dots p_{2k-\ell} i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}^{\otimes(2m+2k-\ell)}}{|z|^{2m+2k-2\ell+n-1}} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

see [AKS22, (2.13)], therefore the normal operators extend to the mapping

$$(N^0, \dots, N^m) : \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m))^{m+1} \quad (2.3)$$

as the convolution of a compactly supported distribution and a tempered distribution. Since

$$|\Xi_{p_1 \dots p_{2k-\ell} i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|z|^{n-1-\ell}} \quad \text{for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

then the convolution with $\Xi_{p_1 \dots p_{2k-\ell} i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}(z)$ is the mapping from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1+\ell}{n}$ when $0 \leq \ell \leq n-2$, see (5.6). When $\ell = n-1$, it is trivial to see that the convolution with $\Xi_{p_1 \dots p_{2k-\ell} i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}(z)$ is the mapping from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself. Therefore the normal operators also extend to the mapping

$$N^k : L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \quad \text{for all integer } 0 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (2.4)$$

When $m = k = 0$, (2.2) becomes

$$(N^0|_{\mathcal{S}^0} f) = 2f * \frac{1}{|\cdot|^{n-1}} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \cup \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.5)$$

and for each integer $\ell \geq 0$ we know that

$$N^0|_{\mathcal{S}^0} : W^{\ell,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W^{\ell,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is bounded provided } \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n},$$

see (5.7).

2.4. Some differential operators. We now recall certain differential operators from [Sha94, Section 2.1]. The *symmetrization with respect to a part of indices* is formally given by

$$\sigma(i_1, \dots, i_p) u_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_p} u_{i_{\pi(1)} \dots i_{\pi(p)} i_{p+1} \dots i_m},$$

where Π_p is the set of p -permutations. Let $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ be the symmetric m tensor distribution fields in \mathbb{R}^n . The *inner derivative* or *symmetrized derivative* is denoted as $d : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m+1})$ given by

$$(df)_{i_1 \dots i_{m+1}} = \sigma(i_1 \dots i_{m+1}) \frac{\partial f_{i_1 \dots i_m}}{\partial x_{i_{m+1}}} \equiv \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{m+1}} \frac{\partial f_{i_{\pi(1)} \dots i_{\pi(m)}}}{\partial x_{i_{\pi(m+1)}}} \quad (2.6)$$

The *divergence* $\delta : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-1})$ is defined by

$$(\delta f)_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}} = \frac{\partial f_{i_1 \dots i_m}}{\partial x^m}.$$

The operators d and $-\delta$ are formally dual to each other with respect to L^2 inner product in the sense of

$$(du, v)_{\mathbb{R}^n, S^{m+1}} = -(u, \delta v)_{\mathbb{R}^n, S^m}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ and $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m+1})$, see [Sha94, (2.1.8)]. It is also interesting to mention that $\delta^{k+1} N^k f = 0$ and for each integer $0 \leq r \leq k$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta^r N^k f)(x) &= \frac{k!}{(k-r)!} \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{k-r} \xi^{\otimes(m-r)} (I^k f)(x - \langle x, \xi \rangle \xi, \xi) dS_\xi \\ &= \frac{k!}{(k-r)!} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{2k-r-\ell} \xi^{\otimes(m-r)} (J^\ell f)(x, \xi) dS_\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \cup \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$, see [AKS22, (2.14)]. Given any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and an integer $0 \leq k \leq m$, it is well-known that the momentum ray transforms (in Definition 2.1) satisfy the following property:

$$I^k|_{S^m}(dv) = -kI^{k-1}|_{S^{m-1}}(v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-1}) \cup \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-1}), \quad (2.8)$$

where d is given in (2.6), see e.g. [KMSS19, Section 2]. By utilizing (2.8), we can easily show that the similar scaling property also holds for their corresponding normal operators.

Lemma 2.3. *Given any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and integer $0 \leq k \leq m$, there holds*

$$\delta N^k|_{S^m}(dv) = -k^2 N^{k-1}|_{S^{m-1}}(v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-1}) \cup \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-1}).$$

Proof. Choosing $f = dv$ and $r = 1$ in (2.7), using (2.8) one obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\delta N^k|_{S^m} dv \right) (x) &= k \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{k-1} \xi^{\otimes(m-1)} \left(I^k|_{S^m}(dv) \right) (x - \langle x, \xi \rangle \xi, \xi) dS_\xi \\ &= -k^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{k-1} \xi^{\otimes(m-1)} \left(I^{k-1}|_{S^{m-1}}(v) \right) (x - \langle x, \xi \rangle \xi, \xi) dS_\xi \\ &= -k^2 \left(N^{k-1}|_{S^{m-1}}(v) \right) (x), \end{aligned}$$

which immediately implies our lemma. \square

2.5. Generalized Saint-Venant operator. We now denote $S^{m_1} \times S^{m_2}$ the set of $(m_1 + m_2)$ -tensors symmetric with respect to the group first m_1 indices and last m_2 indices. Accordingly, we introduce the generalized Saint-Venant operator as in [AKS22, (2.8)], which is a generalization of curl on \mathbb{R}^n , see Remark 2.6.

Definition 2.4. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and integer $0 \leq k \leq m$, the k^{th} *generalized Saint-Venant operator* $W^k : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-k} \otimes S^m)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (W^k f)_{p_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_1 \dots q_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_k} &:= \sigma(p_1, \dots, p_{m-k}) \sigma(q_1, \dots, q_{m-k}, i_1 \dots i_k) \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-k} (-1)^\ell \binom{m-k}{\ell} \frac{\partial^{m-k} f_{p_1 \dots p_{m-k-\ell} q_1 \dots q_\ell}^{i_1 \dots i_k}}{\partial x^{p_{m-k-\ell+1}} \dots \partial x^{p_{m-k}} \partial x^{q_{\ell+1}} \dots \partial x^{q_{m-k}}}. \end{aligned}$$

When $k = 0$, the 0^{th} generalized Saint-Venant operator W^0 reduces to the (classical) Saint-Venant operator on S^m [Sha94, (2.8)]. When $k = m$, we have $W^m = \mathbb{I}$, where \mathbb{I} is the identity operator.

Remark 2.5 (An equivalent definition). For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and integer $0 \leq k \leq m$, we consider the operator $R^k \equiv R^k|_{S^m} : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; T^{2m})$ given by

$$(R^k f)_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_k} := \alpha(p_1 q_1) \dots \alpha(p_{m-k} q_{m-k}) \frac{\partial^{m-k} f_{p_1 \dots p_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_k}}{\partial x^{q_1} \dots \partial x^{q_{m-k}}} \quad (2.9)$$

where the *alternation of two indices* is defined as:

$$\alpha(i_1 i_2) u_{i_1 i_2 j_1 \dots j_p} := \frac{1}{2} (u_{i_1 i_2 j_1 \dots j_p} - u_{i_2 i_1 j_1 \dots j_p}).$$

In particular, one has

$$\left(R^k|_{S^m} f \right)_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_k} = \left(R^0|_{S^{m-k}} f^{i_1 \dots i_k} \right)_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}}, \quad (2.10)$$

see [AKS22, (2.6)]. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and integer $0 \leq k \leq m$, one can show that¹

$$\begin{aligned} (W^k f)_{p_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_1 \dots q_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_k} &= 2^{m-k} \sigma(q_1 \dots q_{m-k} i_1 \dots i_k) \sigma(p_1 \dots p_{m-k}) (R^k f)_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_k}, \end{aligned}$$

¹We again remind readers about the typos in [Sha94, (2.4.6)(2.4.7)], see [AKS22, (2.6)(2.7)] for corrected statement.

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (R^k f)_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{m-k+1} \binom{m}{k} \alpha(p_1 q_1) \dots \alpha(p_{m-k} q_{m-k}) (W^k f)_{p_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_1 \dots q_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_k}, \end{aligned}$$

see [AKS22, Lemma 4.1]. Hence, for each open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ there holds

$$W^k f = 0 \text{ in } U \iff R^k f = 0 \text{ in } U. \quad (2.11)$$

Based on the above observation, we can slightly abuse the terminology by also referring (2.9) the k^{th} generalized Saint-Venant operator. In view of the reduction formula (2.10), it is more convenient to work with R^k rather than W^k .

Remark 2.6. By identifying $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^1) \cong ((\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)))^n$, when $m = 1$ and $k = 0$, we see that

$$(R^0|_{S^1} f)_{p,q} = \alpha(p, q) \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial x^q} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f_p}{\partial x^q} - \frac{\partial f_q}{\partial x^p} \right) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\text{curl}(f))_{p,q} \quad (2.12)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^1) \cong (\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$, see also Remark 3.2 for more details about (2.12).

For $u \in S^k$, we denote by $\mathbf{i}_u : S^m \rightarrow S^{m+k}$ the operator of symmetric multiplication by u and by $\mathbf{j}_u : S^{m+k} \rightarrow S^m$ the corresponding dual operator, and defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{i}_u v)_{i_1 \dots i_{m+k}} &:= \sigma(i_1 \dots i_{m+k}) u_{i_1 \dots i_k} v_{i_{k+1} \dots i_{m+k}} \quad \text{for all } v \in S^m, \\ (\mathbf{j}_u w)_{i_1 \dots i_{m+k}} &:= w_{i_1 \dots i_{m+k}} u^{i_{m+1} \dots i_{m+k}} \quad \text{for all } w \in S^{m+k}, \end{aligned}$$

see [Sha94, (2.1.5)]. Let $\mathbf{e}^k \in S^k$ be the Euclidean metric tensor, given by

$$\mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_1 = \dots = i_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and we write $\mathbf{i}_{(k)} := \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{e}^k}$, $\mathbf{j}_{(k)} := \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{e}^k}$. We end this section by recalling [AKS22, Proposition 4.4], which gives a connection between normal operator of momentum ray transform and generalized Saint-Venant operator.

Proposition 2.7. [AKS22, Proposition 4.4] *Given $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and integer $0 \leq k \leq m$, we consider $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \cup \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$. If $N^0|_{S^0}$ be the operator given in (2.5), then there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & m! N^0|_{S^0} \left((R^0|_{S^{m-k}} f^{i_1 \dots i_k})_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}} \right) \\ &= \sigma(i_1 \dots i_k) \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_r}} (R^k|_{S^{m-r}} (G_{m-r}))_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}}^{i_{r+1} \dots i_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where G_{m-r} is a symmetric $(m-r)$ -tensor given by

$$G_{m-r} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-r}{2} \rfloor} c_{\ell, m-r} \mathbf{i}_{(2)}^\ell \mathbf{j}_{(2)}^\ell \sum_{p=0}^r (-1)^{r-p} \frac{1}{p!} \binom{r}{p} \mathbf{j}_{x^{\otimes(r-p)}} \delta^p N^p f,$$

with coefficients

$$c_{\ell,s} = \left(\prod_{p=0}^{s-\ell-1} (n-1+2p) \right) \frac{(-1)^\ell s!}{2^\ell \ell! (s-2\ell)!},$$

where N^p is the normal operator of the k^{th} in momentum ray transform given in (2.2) and for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the ‘‘floor’’ $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer with $\leq \alpha$.

Remark 2.8. In particular when $m = 1$ and $k = 0$, (2.13) reads

$$N^0|_{S^0} \left((R^0|_{S^1} f)_{ij} \right) = (n-1) (R^0|_{S^1} (N^0|_{S^1} f))_{ij} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^1) \cup \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^1). \quad (2.14a)$$

Plugging (2.12) into (2.14a), we conclude

$$N^0|_{S^0} \left((\text{curl}(f))_{ij} \right) = (n-1) (\text{curl}(N^0|_{S^1} f))_{ij} \quad \forall f \in (\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n))^n \cup (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n. \quad (2.14b)$$

3. A GENERALIZATION OF THE CURL-CURL IDENTITY

We shall prove the following useful property of generalized Saint-Venant operator (Definition 2.4), which is new based on our knowledge. As an application, we also prove a smoothing property of generalized Saint-Venant operator in Lemma 3.3.

Proposition 3.1. *Given any integer $m \geq 0$, the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_\ell}} (R^0|_{S^m} f)_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_\ell j_\ell i_{\ell+1} j_{\ell+1} \dots i_m j_m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^\ell} \sigma(i_1 \dots i_\ell) \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{p} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_p}} \Delta^{\ell-p} (R^0|_{S^{m-\ell}} ((\delta^p f)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_\ell}))_{i_{\ell+1} j_{\ell+1} \dots i_m j_m} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

holds true for all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ and integer $0 \leq \ell \leq m$.

Remark 3.2. When $m = \ell = 1$, (3.6) reduces to the following well-known curl-curl identity

$$\Delta f^i - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (\delta f) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (R^0|_{S^1} f)_{i,j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x^i} \right) = -(\text{curl}^\top \text{curl}(f))_i, \quad (3.2)$$

where $(\text{curl}^\top(g))_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (g_{i,j} - g_{j,i})$ is the *formal transpose of curl*. In 3-dimensional case, one even can reduce (3.2) to

$$\Delta f - \nabla(\nabla \cdot f) = -\nabla \times (\nabla \times f) \quad \text{for all } f \in (\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3))^3,$$

where $\nabla \times \cdot : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the usual curl on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Proof of Proposition 3.1. The identity (3.1) is trivial when $\ell = 0$, we only need to prove (3.1) for $\ell \geq 1$.

Step 1: Basic case. We first prove (3.1) when $\ell = 1$. Given any integer $m \geq 1$, by applying the divergence operator δ on the classical Saint-Venant operator $R^0 : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; T^{2m})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}} (R^0|_{S^m} f)_{i_1 j_1 i_2 j_2 \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &= 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}} \left(\alpha(i_1 j_1) \cdots \alpha(i_m j_m) \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_m}} f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} \right) \\
 &= \Delta \alpha(i_2 j_2) \cdots \alpha(i_m j_m) \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial x^{j_2} \dots \partial x^{j_m}} f_{i_2 \dots i_m}^{i_1} \\
 &\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \alpha(i_2 j_2) \cdots \alpha(i_m j_m) \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial x^{j_2} \dots \partial x^{j_m}} (\delta f)_{i_2 \dots i_m} \\
 &= \left(\Delta R^0|_{S^{m-1}} f^{i_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} R^0|_{S^{m-1}} (\delta f) \right)_{i_2 j_2 \dots i_m j_m}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$.

Step 2: Induction on ℓ . Assume that there exists an integer $\ell \geq 1$ such that (3.1) holds true for all $m \geq \ell$. Taking the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{\ell+1}}}$ on the induction hypothesis gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2^\ell \frac{\partial^{\ell+1}}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{\ell+1}}} (R^0|_{S^m} f)_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_{\ell+1} j_{\ell+1} i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2} \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &= \sigma(i_1 \cdots i_{\ell+1}) 2^\ell \frac{\partial^{\ell+1}}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{\ell+1}}} (R^0|_{S^m} f)_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_{\ell+1} j_{\ell+1} i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2} \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &= \sigma(i_1 \cdots i_{\ell+1}) \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{p} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_p}} \Delta^{\ell-p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{\ell+1}}} (R^0|_{S^{m-\ell}} ((\delta^p f)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_\ell}))_{i_{\ell+1} j_{\ell+1} \dots i_m j_m},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

here we utilize the fact that $\sigma(i_1 \cdots i_{\ell+1}) \sigma(i_1 \cdots i_\ell) = \sigma(i_1 \cdots i_{\ell+1})$. Similar to (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\delta^{p+1} f)_{i_{\ell+2} \dots i_m}^{i_{p+1} \dots i_\ell} = (\delta^{p+1} f)_{i_{\ell+2} \dots i_m}^{i_{p+2} \dots i_{\ell+1}} \quad (\text{because } \delta^{p+1} f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-(p+1)})), \\
 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{\ell+1}}} R^0|_{S^{m-(\ell+1)}} (\delta^{p+1} f)_{i_{\ell+2} \dots i_m}^{i_{p+2} \dots i_{\ell+1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{p+1}}} R^0|_{S^{m-(\ell+1)}} (\delta^{p+1} f)_{i_{\ell+2} \dots i_m}^{i_{p+2} \dots i_{\ell+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{\ell+1}}} (R^0|_{S^{m-\ell}} ((\delta^p f)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_\ell}))_{i_{\ell+1} j_{\ell+1} \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &= 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{\ell+1}}} \alpha(i_{\ell+1} j_{\ell+1}) \cdots \alpha(i_m j_m) \frac{\partial^{m-\ell}}{\partial x^{j_{\ell+1}} \dots \partial x^{j_m}} (\delta^p f)_{i_{\ell+1} \dots i_m}^{i_{p+1} \dots i_\ell} \\
 &= \Delta \alpha(i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2}) \cdots \alpha(i_m j_m) \frac{\partial^{m-(\ell+1)}}{\partial x^{j_{\ell+2}} \dots \partial x^{j_m}} (\delta^p f)_{i_{\ell+2} \dots i_m}^{i_{p+1} \dots i_{\ell+1}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{\ell+1}}} \alpha(i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2}) \cdots \alpha(i_m j_m) \frac{\partial^{m-(\ell+1)}}{\partial x^{j_{\ell+2}} \dots \partial x^{j_m}} (\delta^{p+1} f)_{i_{\ell+2} \dots i_m}^{i_{p+2} \dots i_{\ell+1}} \\
 &= \left(\Delta R^0|_{S^{m-(\ell+1)}} (\delta^p f)_{i_{\ell+2} \dots i_m}^{i_{p+1} \dots i_{\ell+1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{p+1}}} R^0|_{S^{m-(\ell+1)}} (\delta^{p+1} f)_{i_{\ell+2} \dots i_m}^{i_{p+2} \dots i_{\ell+1}} \right)_{i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2} \dots i_m j_m}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We now combine (3.4) and (3.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2^{\ell+1} \frac{\partial^{\ell+1}}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{\ell+1}}} (R^0|_{S^m} f)_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_{\ell+1} j_{\ell+1} i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2} \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &= \sigma(i_1 \dots i_{\ell+1}) \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{p} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_p}} \Delta^{(\ell+1)-p} \left(R^0|_{S^{m-(\ell+1)}} (\delta^p f)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_{\ell+1}} \right)_{i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2} \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &\quad - \sigma(i_1 \dots i_{\ell+1}) \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{p} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^{p+1}}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_{p+1}}} \Delta^{\ell-p} \left(R^0|_{S^{m-(\ell+1)}} (\delta^{p+1} f)^{i_{p+2} \dots i_{\ell+1}} \right)_{i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2} \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &= \sigma(i_1 \dots i_{\ell+1}) \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{p} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_p}} \Delta^{(\ell+1)-p} \left(R^0|_{S^{m-(\ell+1)}} (\delta^p f)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_{\ell+1}} \right)_{i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2} \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &\quad + \sigma(i_1 \dots i_{\ell+1}) \sum_{p=1}^{\ell+1} \binom{\ell}{p-1} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_p}} \Delta^{(\ell+1)-p} \left(R^0|_{S^{m-(\ell+1)}} (\delta^p f)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_{\ell+1}} \right)_{i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2} \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &= \sigma(i_1 \dots i_{\ell+1}) \sum_{p=0}^{\ell+1} \binom{\ell+1}{p} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_p}} \Delta^{(\ell+1)-p} \left(R^0|_{S^{m-(\ell+1)}} (\delta^p f)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_{\ell+1}} \right)_{i_{\ell+2} j_{\ell+2} \dots i_m j_m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the induction step and completes the proof. \square

When $\ell = m$, (3.1) in Proposition 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_m}} (R^0|_{S^m} f)_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^m} \sigma(i_1 \dots i_m) \sum_{p=0}^m \binom{m}{p} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_p}} \Delta^{m-p} ((\delta^p f)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_m}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

As an application of (3.6), we now able to prove the following smoothing property of generalized Saint-Venant operator (Definition 2.4), which is also true by replacing R^k with W^k due to (2.11).

Lemma 3.3. *Given $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let U be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n and $g \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$. If there exists an integer $0 \leq k \leq m$ such that*

$$R^k g = 0 \text{ and } \delta^{k+1} g = 0 \text{ in } U, \tag{3.7}$$

then $g \in C^\infty(U; S^m)$. In addition when $g \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ and (3.7) holds for $U = \mathbb{R}^n$, then we conclude that $g \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. From (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (R^{k-1}|_{S^m} f)_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k+1} q_{m-k+1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} \\
 &= \alpha(p_{m-k+1} q_{m-k+1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{q_{m-k+1}}} \left(\alpha(p_1 q_1) \dots \alpha(p_{m-k} q_{m-k}) \frac{\partial^{m-k} f_{p_1 \dots p_{m-k+1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}}{\partial x^{q_1} \dots \partial x^{q_{m-k}}} \right) \\
 &= \alpha(p_{m-k+1} q_{m-k+1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{q_{m-k+1}}} \left(\alpha(p_1 q_1) \dots \alpha(p_{m-k} q_{m-k}) \frac{\partial^{m-k} f_{p_1 \dots p_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1} p_{m-k+1}}}{\partial x^{q_1} \dots \partial x^{q_{m-k}}} \right) \\
 &= \alpha(p_{m-k+1} q_{m-k+1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{q_{m-k+1}}} (R^k|_{S^m} f)_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}}^{i_1 \dots i_k p_{m-k+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$. Therefore the first assumption in (3.7) and (2.10) implies

$$(R^0|_{S^{m-k}} g^{i_1 \dots i_k})_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}} = 0 \quad \text{in } U \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq k \leq k,$$

therefore we have

$$(R^0|_{S^{m-k}} (\delta^k g))_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}} = 0 \quad \text{in } U \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq k \leq k. \quad (3.8)$$

Choosing $f = \delta^k g$ in (3.6), from (3.8) and second assumption in (3.7), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= 2^m \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_m}} \left(R^0|_{S^{m-k}} (\delta^k g) \right)_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_m j_m} \\
 &= \sigma(i_1 \dots i_m) \sum_{p=0}^{k-k} \binom{m}{p} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_p}} \Delta^{m-p} \left((\delta^{p+k} g)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_m} \right) \quad \text{in } U.
 \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By choosing $k = k$ in (3.9), one see that $\Delta^m \left((\delta^k g)^{i_1 \dots i_m} \right) = 0$ in U . Therefore, by local elliptic regularity, one know that

$$\delta^k g \in C^\infty(U; S^{m-k}). \quad (3.10a)$$

In addition, when $g \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ and $U = \mathbb{R}^n$, we know that

$$\delta^k g \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.10b)$$

On the other hand, for each $0 \leq k < k$, we can write (3.9) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta^m \left((\delta^k g) \right)^{i_1 \dots i_m} &= \sigma(i_1 \dots i_m) \Delta^m \left((\delta^k g) \right)^{i_1 \dots i_m} \\
 &= -\sigma(i_1 \dots i_m) \sum_{p=1}^{k-k} \binom{m}{p} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_p}} \Delta^{m-p} \left((\delta^{p+k} g)^{i_{p+1} \dots i_m} \right) \\
 &= \sigma(i_1 \dots i_m) \sum_{p=0}^{k-k-1} \binom{m}{p+1} (-1)^p \frac{\partial^{p+1}}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_{p+1}}} \Delta^{m-p-1} \left((\delta^{p+k+1} g)^{i_{p+2} \dots i_m} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

in U . Therefore, for each $0 \leq k < k$ we have the implication

$$\delta^{k+1} g \in C^\infty(U; S^{m-k-1}) \quad \text{implies} \quad \delta^k g \in C^\infty(U; S^{m-k}). \quad (3.11a)$$

In addition, when $g \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ and $U = \mathbb{R}^n$, for each $0 \leq k < k$ we have the implication

$$\delta^{k+1}g \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{implies} \quad \delta^k g \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.11b)$$

Combining (3.10a) and (3.11a) for the general case, and combining (3.10b) and (3.11b) for the case when $g \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ and $U = \mathbb{R}^n$, we conclude our result. \square

4. A SOLENOIDAL DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

The main theme of this section is to prove a generalized solenoidal potential decomposition theorem, which is also new according to our best knowledge.

Proposition 4.1. *Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^n . For each $f \in H^\alpha(\Omega; S^m)$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a unique decomposition*

$$f = \tilde{f} + d^k v \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (4.1)$$

with $\tilde{f} \in H^\alpha(\Omega; S^m)$ and $v \in H^{\alpha+k}(\Omega; S^{m-k}) \cap H_0^k(\Omega; S^{m-k})$.

We shall borrow some ideas from [SS23, Lemma 7.1] (see also [DS11, Theorem 1.5] and [Sha94, Theorem 3.3.2]) to proof Proposition 4.1. Formally acting δ^k on (4.1), one reach the equation $\delta^k f = \delta^k d^k v$. This suggests us to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$), and given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Given any $h \in H^\ell(\Omega; S^m)$ with integer $\ell \geq -k$, there exists a unique $w \in H^{2k+\ell}(\Omega; S^m) \cap H_0^k(\Omega; S^m)$ such that*

$$(-1)^k \delta^k d^k w = h \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Since the principal symbol of δ^k (resp. d^k) is $\mathbf{i}^k j_{\xi^{\otimes k}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{i}^k i_{\xi^{\otimes k}}$), then the principal symbol of $(-1)^k \delta^k d^k$ is $j_{\xi^{\otimes k}} i_{\xi^{\otimes k}}$. By using [Sha94, Lemma 3.3.3], one have

$$j_\xi i_\xi = \frac{1}{m+1} |\xi|^2 \mathbb{I} + \frac{m}{m+1} i_\xi j_\xi > 0 \quad \text{in } S^m,$$

where \mathbb{I} is the identity operator. Since $j_{\xi^{\otimes(\ell+1)}} i_{\xi^{\otimes(\ell+1)}} = j_\xi (j_{\xi^{\otimes \ell}} i_{\xi^{\otimes \ell}}) i_\xi$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, then we know that $j_{\xi^{\otimes k}} i_{\xi^{\otimes k}} > 0$ in S^m . Therefore from [Tay11, Exercices 5.11.3 and 5.11.4], we know that the mapping

$$(-1)^k \delta^k d^k : H^{2k+\ell}(\Omega) \cap H_0^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H^\ell(\Omega) \text{ is Fredholm of index zero.}$$

In view of Fredholm theory, it remains to show the solution of (4.2) is unique. In particular, if $w \in H_0^k(\Omega; S^m)$ satisfies $\delta^k d^k w = 0$, integration by parts yields

$$\langle \delta^k d^k w, w \rangle = \langle d^k w, d^k w \rangle = 0,$$

which implies $d^k w = 0$. Finally, repeating using [DS11, Theorem 1.3] as in the proof of [SS23, Lemma 7.1], we conclude our lemma. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. By choosing $h = (-1)^k \delta^k f \in H^{\alpha-k}(\Omega; S^{m-k})$ in Lemma 4.2, there exists a unique $v \in H^{\alpha+k}(\Omega; S^{m-k}) \cap H_0^k(\Omega; S^{m-k})$ such that

$$\delta^k d^k v = \delta^k f.$$

Clearly, if we define $\tilde{f} := f - d^k v$, then we have $\delta^k \tilde{f} = 0$. The uniqueness of the decomposition also easily follows from the uniqueness of solution in Lemma 4.2. \square

5. MAIN RESULTS

5.1. Unique continuation property for momentum ray transform on tensors. We say that a tensor $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$ vanishes at x_0 of infinite derivative order if

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} g_{i_1 \dots i_m} \Big|_{x=x_0} = 0 \quad \text{for all multi-index } \alpha.$$

In this section, we generalize [AKS22, Theorem 2.4] in the following theorem.

Theorem I. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an integer $0 \leq k \leq m$. Suppose that*

$$\begin{cases} f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) & \text{when } 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m) \text{ with compact support} & \text{when } n \leq k \leq m. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

If there exists a nonempty open set U in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$R^k f|_U = 0 \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in U$ such that for each $0 \leq p \leq k$ the following assumption holds:

$$N^p f \text{ vanishes at } x_0 \text{ of infinite derivative order} \quad (1.2)$$

then $R^k f \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . If we additionally assuming that f has compact support, then f is a generalized potential field, that is, $f = d^{k+1}v$ for some $v \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-k-1})$.

In particular the assumption (1.2) makes sense by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an integer $0 \leq k \leq m$. Suppose that f satisfies (1.1). If there exists an open set U in \mathbb{R}^n such that $R^k f = 0$ in U , then $N^p f$ is smooth in U for all $0 \leq p \leq k$.*

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in U$, and let B be a ball in U containing x_0 . Using Proposition 4.1 (with $\alpha = 0$), one can decompose $f \in L^2(B)$ in B as

$$f = \tilde{f} + d^{k+1}v \quad \text{in } B$$

where $\tilde{f} \in L^2(B; S^m)$ satisfying $\delta^{k+1} \tilde{f} = 0$ in B and $v \in H_0^{k+1}(B; S^{m-k-1})$. Note that the zero extension $v\chi_B$ of v is in $H^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m-k-1})$ and satisfies

$$f = \tilde{f} + d^{k+1}(v\chi_B) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (5.1)$$

by extending $\tilde{f} = f$ outside B . By using [Sha94, Theorem 2.17.2] (with $F = d^{k+1}(v\chi_B) \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n; S^m)$) and the equivalence in Remark 2.5, we have $R^k(d^{k+1}(v\chi_B)) = 0$. Therefore, acting R^k on (5.1) one see that

$$R^k f = R^k \tilde{f} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

therefore we conclude that $R^k \tilde{f}|_B = 0$. Since $\delta^{k+1} \tilde{f} = 0$ in B , Lemma 3.3 guarantees that \tilde{f} is smooth in B . Since (1.1), we know that $N^p f$ is well-defined by (2.4) (when $0 \leq k \leq n-2$)

and (2.3) (when $n - 1 \leq k \leq m$). By using (2.8), for each $0 \leq p \leq k$, acting N^p on (5.1) one see that

$$N^p f = N^p \tilde{f} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which implies that $N^p f$ is smooth in B , and hence smooth near x_0 . By arbitrariness of $x_0 \in U$, we conclude our lemma. \square

With Proposition 2.7 and a unique continuation principal of ray transform of scalar functions in [IM20, Theorem 1.1] at hand, we now able to prove Theorem I.

Proof of Theorem I. Since $N^p f$ vanishes at x_0 of infinite derivative order, by using Proposition 2.7 we know that $N^0|_{S^0} ((R^0|_{S^{m-k}} f^{i_1 \dots i_k})_{p_1 q_1 \dots p_{m-k} q_{m-k}})$ vanishes at x_0 of infinite derivative order as well. Since $R^0|_{S^{m-k}}(f^{i_1 \dots i_k}) = R^k|_{S^m} f = 0$ in U , using [IM20, Theorem 1.1] and the equivalence (2.11) we reach $R^k f = W^k f = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Then our result follows from [Sha94, Theorem 2.17.2]. \square

Remark 5.2. Following the ideas of [IM22], it is possible to improve the Theorem I by replacing the assumption $R^k f = 0$ in U with $P(D)R^k f = 0$ in U , where $P(D)$ is a constant coefficient differential operator.

5.2. Measurable unique continuation property of momentum ray transform. We first recall the following unique continuation property from positive measure set (MUCP) for fractional Laplace operator from [GRSU20] (or [GFR19]).

Lemma 5.3. [GRSU20, Proposition 5.1]. *Let $n \geq 1$ and Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and assume that $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ satisfies*

$$((-\Delta)^s + q)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

If there exists a measurable set $E \subset \Omega$ with positive measure such that $u = 0$ in E , then $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark 5.4. See also [GFR19, Theorem 4] for more general results. We also refer to Lemma 5.10 in the next section for antilocality property for fractional elliptic operators, which implies the unique continuation property from nonempty open sets.

By utilizing the above lemma, we can prove some MUCP results for ray transforms.

Theorem II. *Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be any non empty open set and $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $N^0 f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $f|_U = 0$ and there exists a positive measure set E in U such that $(N^0 f)|_E = 0$, then $f \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof of Theorem II. It is well-known that (see e.g. [Ilm21, Exercise 12.10]) there exists a constant $c_n \neq 0$ such that

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} N^0 f = c_n f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{5.2}$$

Now $f|_U = 0$ entails that $((-\Delta)^{1/2} (N^0 f))|_U = 0$. Since $(N^0 f)|_E = 0$, by applying Lemma 5.3 (with $s = \frac{1}{2}$, $u = N^0 f$ and $q = 0$), this implies that $N^0 f = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , and our result follows from (5.2). \square

By using (2.14b), we also can obtain an analogue for vector fields.

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be any non empty open set and $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $\text{curl}(N^0|_{S^1} f) \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Section 2.2). If $(\text{curl}(f))|_U = 0$ and there exists a positive measure set E in U such that $\text{curl}(N^0|_{S^1} f)|_E = 0$, then $\text{curl}(f) \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Remark 5.6. We now consider the case when $n = 2, 3$. Let U be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n which is star-shaped with respect to some $x_0 \in U$. If $f \in (C^1(U))^n$ and $\text{curl}(f) = 0$ in U , then it is well-known that there exists a potential $p \in C^2(U)$ such that $f = \nabla p$ in U , which is a consequence of Poincaré lemma for de Rham cohomology groups. For any dimension $n \geq 2$, one can use [Sha94, Theorem 2.17.2] to conclude the same result.

Proof of Theorem 5.5. Combining (2.14b) and (5.2), one see that there exists a constant $c'_n \neq 0$ such that

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\text{curl}(N^0|_{S^1} f)) = c'_n \text{curl}(f) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.3)$$

Now $\text{curl}(f)|_U = 0$ entails that $(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\text{curl}(N^0|_{S^1} f))|_U = 0$. Since $\text{curl}(N^0|_{S^1} f)|_E = 0$, by applying Lemma 5.3 (with $s = \frac{1}{2}$, $u = \text{curl}(N^0|_{S^1} f)$ and $q = 0$), this implies that $\text{curl}(N^0|_{S^1} f) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Combining this with (5.3), we conclude our theorem. \square

5.3. Generalization of momentum ray transform: Fractional momentum ray transform. The main theme of this section is to generalize the momentum ray transform to a more general weighted ray transform, and explain the connection with fractional elliptic operators.

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. For each real number $0 < s < \frac{n}{2}$, we consider the **fractional momentum** ray transform $\mathcal{X}_s : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{X}_s f)(x, \xi) := (I^{2s-1} f)(x, \xi) \equiv \int_0^\infty \tau^{2s-1} f(x + \tau\xi) d\tau \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (5.4)$$

and for all $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Rather than the normal operator N^{2s-1} , here we alternative consider the average $\mathcal{A}_s : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ over the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is defined by

$$(\mathcal{A}_s f)(x) := c(n, -s) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\mathcal{X}_s f)(x, \xi) dS_\xi,$$

where $c(n, s) := \frac{2^{2s} \Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{2})}{\pi^{n/2} |\Gamma(-s)|}$. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_s f)(x) &= c(n, -s) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \tau^{2s-1} f(x + \tau\xi) d\tau dS_\xi \\ &= c(n, -s) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \tau^{2s-n} f(x + \tau\xi) (\tau^{n-1} d\tau dS_\xi) \\ &= c(n, -s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^{2s-n} f(x + y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we observe that $\mathcal{A}_s : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is simply the Riesz potential, and in particular from [Sti19, Theorem 5] for each $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$(-\Delta)^{-s} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty e^{t\Delta} f(x) \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}} = (\mathcal{A}_s f)(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (5.5)$$

where $(-\Delta)^{-s}$ is the negative power of the Laplacian and $\{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the classical heat diffusion semigroup, see also [Kwa17, Definition 2.3]. This corresponds to the numerical identity

$$\lambda^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}} \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0,$$

see e.g. [Kwa17, Section 2.7]. For each $p \in [1, \frac{n}{2s})$, by utilizing weak Young's inequality, one can show that

$$\mathcal{A}_s : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is bounded provided } \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2s}{n}, \quad (5.6)$$

see also e.g. [Kwa17, Section 2.7]. Using convolution theorem we observe that $\partial^\alpha(\mathcal{A}_s f) = \mathcal{A}_s(\partial^\alpha f)$, then in particular

$$\mathcal{A}_s : W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is bounded provided } \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2s}{n}, \quad (5.7)$$

for all non-negative integer m . We are interested in the following particular case:

Lemma 5.7. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $0 < s \leq \frac{n}{4}$, then for each non-negative integer m we know that*

$$\mathcal{A}_s : W^{m, \frac{2n}{n+4s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv H^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is bounded,}$$

and $(-\Delta)^s \mathcal{A}_s f = f$ for all $f \in W^{m, \frac{2n}{n+4s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here, $(-\Delta)^s$ is the usual Fourier fractional Laplacian².

Remark 5.8 (Critical case). When $s = \frac{n}{2}$, we define the weighted ray transform $\mathcal{X}_{\frac{n}{2}} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ by

$$(\mathcal{X}_{\frac{n}{2}} f)(x, \xi) := \int_0^\infty (-2 \log \tau - \gamma_{\text{EM}}) f(x + \tau \xi) d\tau \quad \text{for all } (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

where the Euler-Mascheroni constant γ_{EM} is given by $\gamma_{\text{EM}} := -\int_0^\infty e^{-t} \log t dt \approx 0.577215$. The the average operator $\mathcal{A}_{\frac{n}{2}} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is then given by

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\frac{n}{2}} f)(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\mathcal{X}_{\frac{n}{2}} f)(x, \xi) dS_\xi.$$

²See also e.g. [Sti19, Definition 1] for definition of fractional Laplacian in some suitable distribution sense

We compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{A}_{\frac{n}{2}}f)(x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty (-2 \log \tau - \gamma_{\text{EM}}) f(x + \tau\xi) \, d\tau \, dS_\xi \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty (-2 \log \tau - \gamma_{\text{EM}}) f(x + \tau\xi) (\tau^{n-1} \, d\tau \, dS_\xi) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-2 \log |y| - \gamma_{\text{EM}}) f(x + y) \, dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\xi|^{-n}$ is not a tempered distribution, then it is interesting to mention that [Sti19, Theorem 5] showed that

$$((-\Delta)^{-\frac{n}{2}}f)(x) = (\mathcal{A}_{\frac{n}{2}}f)(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \, dx = 0$.

For $0 < s < \frac{n}{2}$, we now express the weighted ray transform (5.4) in terms of (Gauss-Weierstrass) heat kernel

$$k_t(x, y) := \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}.$$

It is known that

$$(e^{t\Delta}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_t(x, y) f(y) \, dy \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and $(e^{t\Delta}f)^\wedge(x) = e^{-t|\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition, from [Kwa17, Section 2.7] we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty k_t(x, y) \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}} = c(n, -s) |x - y|^{2s-n}.$$

Accordingly, we observe that

$$|x - y|^{2s-1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)c(n, -s)} |x - y|^{n-1} \int_0^\infty k_t(x, y) \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}} \quad \text{for all } x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Therefore the X-ray transform (5.4) and the corresponding averaging operator can be rephrase as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{X}_s f)(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)c(n, -s)} \int_0^\infty \tau^{n-1} \left(\int_0^\infty k_t(x, x + \tau\xi) \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}} \right) f(x + \tau\xi) \, d\tau \\
 ((-\Delta)^{-s}f)(x) &\equiv (\mathcal{A}_s f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty k_t(x, y) \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}} \right) f(y) \, dy
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Based on the above observation, it is possible to define negative power of elliptic operators with some suitable domain, see Appendix A for more details.

Remark 5.9. We have defined fractional MRT in (5.4) by taking integration over half lines. However one can define fractional MRT by taking integration over whole lines in the following way:

$$J^s f(x, \xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^s f(x + t\xi) dt \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } -1 < s < 1.$$

Note that, the weight function we have considered here is not smooth at the origin. But after taking the average over \mathbb{S}^{n-1} we see that $A_s = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} J^s(x, \xi) dS(\xi)$ is same as \mathcal{A}_{2s-1} upto a constant. Using this we can study the UCP of A_s as well as J^s .

5.4. Antilocality property of the weighted ray transform. Using [GFR19, Proposition 1.9] (which is valid for some class of general elliptic operators) and the smoothing argument as in the proof of [GSU20, Theorem 1.2], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, $s > 0$ with $s \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Let $u \in H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$. If*

$$u = (-\Delta)^s u = 0 \quad \text{in some open set in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Based on Lemma 5.7, we can obtain the antilocality property of the weighted ray transform \mathcal{A}_s using the antilocality property of the fractional Laplacian.

Theorem III. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $0 < s \leq \frac{n}{4}$ with $s \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and let $f \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+4s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If there exists a non-empty open set U in \mathbb{R}^n such that*

$$f = \mathcal{A}_s f = 0 \quad \text{in } U \quad \implies \quad f = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $(\mathcal{A}_s f)(x) = c(n, -s) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\mathcal{X}_s f)(x, \xi) dS(\xi)$ with $c(n, s) := \frac{2^{2s} \Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{2})}{\pi^{n/2} |\Gamma(-s)|}$.

Remark 5.11. If $(\mathcal{X}_s f)(x, \xi) = 0$ for all $x \in U$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then $\mathcal{A}_s f = 0$ in U .

Proof of Theorem III. Using Lemma 5.7, we know that

$$g := \mathcal{A}_s f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad g = (-\Delta)^s g = 0 \text{ in } U.$$

From Lemma 5.10 we know that $g \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Consequently, again using Lemma 5.7 we conclude $f \equiv (-\Delta)^s g \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . \square

We also have the following interesting observation.

Lemma 5.12 (A support theorem for \mathcal{A}_s). *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $0 < s < 1$ and let $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\max\{1, \frac{2n}{n+4s}\} \leq p < \frac{n}{2s}$. If there exists a nonempty bounded Lipschitz domain Ω such that*

$$\mathcal{A}_s f = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \quad f = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

then $f \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Using (5.7), we know that $g := \mathcal{A}_s f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p \geq 2$. Since g has compact support and $0 < s < 1$, then $g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From (5.5) we have

$$(-\Delta)^s g = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad g = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}.$$

Since 0 is not an eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)^s$ (a consequence of [LL19, Proposition 3.3] or [RO16, Corollary 5.2]), then we know that $g \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Consequently, again using Lemma 5.7 we conclude $f \equiv (-\Delta)^s g \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . \square

5.5. Antilocality property of the cone transform. This weighted ray transform is related to the cone transform. Cone transform appears in different imaging approaches, most notably in the modeling of data provided by the so-called Compton camera, which has unique applications in domains such as medical and industrial imaging, homeland security, and gamma ray astronomy, see [KT17]. Let $\mathfrak{C}(u, \beta, \psi)$ be the cone with vertex $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, central axis $\beta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and opening angle $\psi \in (0, \pi)$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{C}(u, \beta, \psi) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x - u) \cdot \beta = |x - u| \cos \psi \}.$$

Following [KT17], we next introduce the weighted cone transform.

Definition 5.13. For each $-1 < k < n-1$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the k -th weighted cone transform is defined as:

$$(\mathcal{C}^k f)(u, \beta, \psi) = \int_{\mathfrak{C}(u, \beta, \psi)} f(x) |x - u|^{k-n+2} dS_x,$$

where dS is the surface measure on the cone $\mathfrak{C}(u, \beta, \psi)$.

It is worth-mentioning that the cone transform is related to the momentum ray transform $I^k \equiv \mathcal{X}_{\frac{k+1}{2}}$, precisely,

$$\int_0^\pi (\mathcal{C}^k f)(u, \beta, \psi) h(\cos(\psi)) d\psi = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (I^k f)(u, \sigma) h(\sigma \cdot \beta) d\sigma \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (5.9)$$

for all distribution $h \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^1)$ which are regular near $t = \pm 1$, see [KT17, (19)]. By writing $s = \frac{k+1}{2} \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$ and choosing $h \equiv c(n, -s)$ in (5.9) yields

$$c(n, -s) \int_0^\pi (\mathcal{C}^{2s-1} f)(u, \beta, \psi) d\psi = c(n, -s) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\mathcal{X}_s f)(u, \sigma) d\sigma = (\mathcal{A}_s f)(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, as a corollary of Theorem III, we immediately obtain the antilocality property for cone transform.

Corollary 5.14. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $0 < s \leq \frac{n}{4}$ with $s \neq \mathbb{Z}$ and let $f \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+4s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and a non-empty open set U in \mathbb{R}^n such that $f = 0$ in U and

$$(\mathcal{C}^{2s-1} f)(u, \beta, \psi) = 0 \quad \text{for all } (u, \psi) \in U \times (0, \pi),$$

then $f \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

APPENDIX A. NEGATIVE POWER OF ELLIPTIC OPERATOR

The main theme of this appendix is to explain the connection between some weighted x-ray transform with negative power of some class of elliptic operators on some natural domain. Let $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n \in (C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))^{n \times n}$ such that $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Suppose that A satisfies the following ellipticity condition: there exists a constant $0 < c < 1$ such that

$$c|\xi|^2 \leq \xi \cdot A(x)\xi \leq c^{-1}|\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

It is known that the operator $-\nabla \cdot A\nabla$ with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the maximal extension such that it is self-adjoint³ and densely defined in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see [Gri09, Theorem 4.6]. Using the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operator in a real Hilbert space as in [Gri09, Appendix A.5.4], there exists a unique *spectral resolution* (also known as *resolution of identity*) $\{E_\lambda\}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ corresponding to $-\nabla \cdot A\nabla$ such that

$$(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_0^\infty \lambda d(E_\lambda f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The detailed properties of spectral resolution can be found in [Gri09, Appendix A.5.3], here we only state some of them. Let $\lambda \mapsto \varphi(\lambda)$ be a Borel function on \mathbb{R} . By utilizing Riesz representation theorem (see also [Gri09, (A.38)]), one can define the self-adjoint operator $\varphi(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)$ by

$$(\varphi(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(\lambda) d(E_\lambda f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \left(\int_0^\infty \varphi(\lambda) dE_\lambda f, g \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$f \in \text{dom}(\varphi(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)) := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \left| \int_0^\infty |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 d\|E_\lambda f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 < \infty \right. \right\}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

One may define $(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^{-s}$ using the mapping $\varphi(\lambda) = \lambda^{-s}$. But however in general $\nabla \cdot A\nabla : H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not injective, therefore the domain $\text{dom}((-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^{-s})$ is somehow artificial. The main theme of this appendix is to define $(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^{-s}$ on a suitable domain.

By using [Gri09, (A.32)], we know that $\text{dom}(e^{t\nabla \cdot A\nabla}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t > 0$. Based on this we can define the action of the heat semigroup and of the fractional powers (of order $s > 0$) of $-\nabla \cdot A\nabla$ as

$$e^{t\nabla \cdot A\nabla} f := \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} dE_\lambda f \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } t > 0,$$

$$(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^s f := \int_0^\infty \lambda^s dE_\lambda f \quad \text{for all } f \in \text{dom}((-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^s).$$

³The operator $-\nabla \cdot A\nabla$ is not self-adjoint on the domain $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Using [Gri09, Theorems 7.6, 7.7 and 7.13], we know that the bounded operator $e^{t\nabla \cdot A \nabla}$ admits a *unique* symmetric (heat) kernel $k_t^A(x, y)$: For each $0 < t < \infty$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$(e^{t\nabla \cdot A \nabla} f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_t^A(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

see also [QX21, Theorem 2]. In addition, there exist positive constants b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 such that

$$c_1 t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-b_1 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}\right) \leq k_t^A(x, y) = k_t^A(y, x) \leq c_2 t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-b_2 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}\right), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, see [Dav89, Chapter 3]. We refer to [GT12] for the proof of two-sided estimates for heat kernels k_t^M on abstract metric measure spaces M , extending those already known in Riemannian manifolds and in various types of fractals. Moreover, the heat kernel is also *conservative* (or *stochastically complete*)⁴, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_t^A(x, y) dy = 1 \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In view of (5.8), now it is natural to consider the following definition.

Definition A.1 (Weighted x-ray transform). Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, and let $0 < s < \frac{n}{2}$. For each $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define

$$(\mathcal{X}_s^A f)(x, \xi) := \int_0^\infty w(x, x + \tau\xi) f(x + \tau\xi) d\tau,$$

where the weight is given by

$$w(x, y) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)c(n, -s)} |y - x|^{n-1} \int_0^\infty k_t^A(x, y) \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}} \quad \text{for all } x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and the average over the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is defined by

$$(\mathcal{A}_s^A f)(x) := c(n, -s) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\mathcal{X}_s^A f)(x, \xi) dS_\xi \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty k_t^A(x, y) \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}} \right) f(y) dy. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

From (5.6) and (A.3), we can easily conclude the following lemma.

Lemma A.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2s}$ provided $0 < s < \frac{n}{2}$. Let $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n \in (C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))^{n \times n}$ such that $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and satisfies the ellipticity condition (A.1). Then*

$$\mathcal{A}_s^A : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is bounded,}$$

⁴These terminologies are found in [GHL14, GT12]. We also refer to [Dav89, Theorem 1.4.4] for an abstract result.

where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2s}{n}$. In particular when $0 < s < \frac{n}{4}$, the operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_s^A &: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-4s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \mathcal{A}_s^A &: L^{\frac{2n}{n+4s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\end{aligned}$$

are bounded.

Using the lemma above, we know that

$$(\mathcal{A}_s^A |f|)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \left| k_t^A(x, y) \frac{1}{t^{1-s}} f(y) \right| dt dy < \infty \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

whenever $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p \in [1, \frac{n}{2s})$. Therefore we can apply Fubini's theorem on (A.4) yields

$$(\mathcal{A}_s^A f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_t^A(x, y) f(y) dy \right) \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}} \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty (e^{t\nabla \cdot A\nabla} f)(x) \frac{dt}{t^{1-s}}$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p \in [1, \frac{n}{2s})$. In view of (5.5), then we can define $(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^{-s}$ with a suitable domain rather than the artificial domain $\text{dom}((-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^{-s})$ given in (A.2).

Definition A.3. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2s}$ provided $0 < s < \frac{n}{2}$. Let $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n \in (C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))^{n \times n}$ such that $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and satisfies the ellipticity condition (A.1). Then the bounded linear operator $(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^{-s} : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2s}{n}$ is defined by

$$(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^{-s} f := \mathcal{A}_s^A f$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark A.4. The operator $(-\nabla \cdot A\nabla)^{-s}$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > 0$ also can be defined using Balakrishnan operator with domain range (A^m) where m is the minimum integer such that $m > \Re(s)$, see [MCSA01, Definition 7.2.1].

REFERENCES

- [AM19] A. Abhishek and R. K. Mishra. Support theorems and an injectivity result for integral moments of a symmetric m -tensor field. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 25(4):1487–1512, 2019. [MR3977125](#), [Zbl:07077712](#), [doi:10.1007/s00041-018-09649-7](#), [arXiv:1704.02010](#).
- [AKS22] D. Agrawal, V. P. Krishnan, and S. K. Sahoo. Unique continuation results for certain generalized ray transforms of symmetric tensor fields. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 32(10), 2022. Paper No. 245, 27 pp. [MR4456212](#), [Zbl:1502.46028](#), [doi:10.1007/s12220-022-00981-5](#).
- [BKS21] S. Bhattacharyya, V. P. Krishnan, and S. K. Sahoo. Unique determination of anisotropic perturbations of a polyharmonic operator from partial boundary data. *arXiv preprint*, 2021. [arXiv:2111.07610](#).
- [CMR21] G. Covi, K. Mönkkönen, and J. Railo. Unique continuation property and Poincaré inequality for higher order fractional Laplacians with applications in inverse problems. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 15(4):641–681, 2021. [MR4259671](#), [Zbl:1471.35327](#), [doi:10.3934/ipi.2021009](#), [arXiv:2001.06210](#).
- [DS11] N. S. Dairbekov and V. A. Sharafutdinov. Conformal Killing symmetric tensor fields on Riemannian manifolds. *Siberian Adv. Math.*, 21(1):1–41, 2011. [MR2682769](#), [Zbl:1249.53050](#), [doi:10.3103/s1055134411010019](#).

- [Dav89] E. B. Davies. *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, volume 92 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. [MR0990239](#), [Zbl:0699.35006](#) [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511566158](#).
- [FRS92] A. Faridani, E. L. Ritman, and K. T. Smith. Local tomography. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 52(2):459–484, 1992. [MR1154783](#), [Zbl:0758.65081](#), [doi:10.1137/0152026](#).
- [GFR19] M.-Á García-Ferrero and A. Rüländ. Strong unique continuation for the higher order fractional Laplacian. *Mathematics in Engineering*, 1(4):715–774, 2019. [MR4138565](#), [Zbl:1436.35318](#) [doi:10.3934/mine.2019.4.715](#), [arXiv:1902.09851](#).
- [Gho22] T. Ghosh. A non-local inverse problem with boundary response. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 38(6):2011–2032, 2022. [MR4516180](#), [Zbl:07628552](#), [doi:10.4171/RMI/1323](#), [arXiv:2011.07060](#).
- [GRSU20] T. Ghosh, A. Rüländ, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann. Uniqueness and reconstruction for the fractional Calderón problem with a single measurement. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 279(1):108505, 2020. [MR4083776](#), [Zbl:1452.35255](#), [doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108505](#), [arXiv:1801.04449](#).
- [GSU20] T. Ghosh, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation. *Anal. PDE*, 13(2):455–475, 2020. [MR4078233](#), [Zbl:1439.35530](#), [doi:10.2140/apde.2020.13.455](#), [arXiv:1609.09248](#).
- [Gri09] A. Grigor’yan. *Heat kernel and analysis on manifolds*, volume 47 of *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society/International Press, Providence, RI/Boston, MA, 2009. [MR2569498](#), [Zbl:1206.58008](#), [doi:10.1090/amsip/047](#).
- [GHL14] A. Grigor’yan, J. Hu, and K.-S. Lau. Heat kernels on metric measure spaces. In *Geometry and analysis of fractals*, volume 88 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 147–207. Springer, Heidelberg, 2014. [MR3276002](#), [Zbl:1323.58018](#), [doi:10.1007/978-3-662-43920-3_6](#).
- [GT12] A. Grigor’yan and A. Telcs. Two-sided estimates of heat kernels on metric measure spaces. *Ann. Probab.*, 40(3):1212–1284, 2012. [MR2962091](#), [Zbl:1252.35148](#), [doi:10.1214/11-AOP645](#), [arXiv:1205.5627](#).
- [Hel99] S. Helgason. *The Radon transform*, volume 5 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 1999. [MR1723736](#), [Zbl:0932.43011](#), [doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-1463-0](#).
- [Ilm21] J. Ilmavirta. Analysis and X-ray tomography. *Lecture note*, 2021. [arXiv:1711.06557](#).
- [IM20] J. Ilmavirta and K. Mönkkönen. Unique continuation of the normal operator of the X-ray transform and applications in geophysics. *Inverse Problems*, 36(4), 2020. 045014, 23 pp. [MR4103726](#), [Zbl:1477.44002](#), [doi:10.1088/1361-6420/ab6e75](#), [arXiv:1909.05585](#).
- [IM21] J. Ilmavirta and K. Mönkkönen. X-ray tomography of one-forms with partial data. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 53(3):3002–3015, 2021. [MR4261111](#), [Zbl:1477.46047](#), [doi:10.1137/20M1344779](#), [arXiv:2006.05790](#).
- [IM22] J. Ilmavirta and K. Mönkkönen. Partial data problems and unique continuation in scalar and vector field tomography. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 28(2), 2022. Paper No. 34, 17 pp. [MR4402144](#), [Zbl:1495.44003](#), [doi:10.1007/s00041-022-09907-9](#).
- [Isa07] V. Isakov. On uniqueness in the inverse conductivity problem with local data. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 1(1):95–105, 2007. [MR2262748](#), [Zbl:1125.35113](#), [doi:10.3934/ipi.2007.1.95](#).
- [KQR15] E. Klann, E. T. Quinto, and R. Ramlau. Wavelet methods for a weighted sparsity penalty for region of interest tomography. *Inverse Problems*, 31(2), 2015. 025001, 22 pp. [MR3303166](#), [Zbl:1312.65213](#), [doi:10.1088/0266-5611/31/2/025001](#).
- [Kri07] V. P. Krishnan. *A support theorem and an inversion formula for the geodesic ray transform*. PhD thesis, University of Washington, 2007. 57 pp. [MR2710601](#), [ProQuest:304807823](#).
- [KMSS19] V. P. Krishnan, R. Manna, S. K. Sahoo, and V. A. Sharafutdinov. Momentum ray transforms. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 13(3):679–701, 2019. [MR3959332](#), [Zbl:1426.44002](#), [doi:10.3934/ipi.2019031](#), [arXiv:1808.00768](#).
- [KMSS20] V. P. Krishnan, R. Manna, S. K. Sahoo, and V. A. Sharafutdinov. Momentum ray transforms, II: range characterization in the Schwartz space. *Inverse Problems*, 36(4), 2020. 045009, 33 pp. [MR4075194](#), [Zbl:1476.44002](#), [10.1088/1361-6420/ab6a65](#), [arXiv:1909.07682](#).

- [KT17] P. Kuchment and F. Terzioglu. Inversion of weighted divergent beam and cone transforms. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 11(6):1071–1090, 2017. [MR3708176](#), [Zbl:1372.44004](#), [doi:10.3934/ipi.2017049](#), [arXiv:1612.06772](#).
- [Kwa17] M. Kwaśnicki. Ten equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplace operator. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 20(1):7–51, 2017. [MR3613319](#), [Zbl:1375.47038](#), [doi:10.1515/fca-2017-0002](#), [arXiv:1507.07356](#).
- [LL19] R.-Y. Lai and Y.-H. Lin. Global uniqueness for the fractional semilinear Schrödinger equation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147(3):1189–1199, 2019. [MR3896066](#), [Zbl:1406.35468](#), [doi:10.1090/proc/14319](#), [arXiv:1710.07404](#).
- [MCSA01] C. Martínez Carracedo and M. Sanz Alix. *The theory of fractional powers of operators*, volume 187 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2001. [MR1850825](#), [Zbl:0971.47011](#).
- [MS21] R. K. Mishra and S. K. Sahoo. Injectivity and range description of integral moment transforms over m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n . *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 53(1):253–278, 2021. [MR4198570](#), [Zbl:1464.44002](#), [doi:10.1137/20M1347589](#), [arXiv:2006.13102](#).
- [PSU23] G. Paternain, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann. *Geometric inverse problems with emphasis on two dimensions*, volume 204 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023. [MR4520155](#), [Zbl:07625517](#), [doi:10.1017/9781009039901](#).
- [QX21] Z. Qian and G. Xi. Markov semi-groups generated by elliptic operators with divergence-free drift. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 499(1), 2021. Paper No. 125021, 12 pp. [MR4212073](#), [Zbl:1461.35116](#), [doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125021](#), [arXiv:1706.06317](#).
- [Qui06] E. T. Quinto. An introduction to X-ray tomography and Radon transforms. In *The Radon transform, inverse problems, and tomography*, volume 63 of *Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math.*, pages 1–23. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. [MR2208234](#), [Zbl:1118.44002](#), [doi:10.1090/psapm/063/2208234](#).
- [RO16] X. Ros-Oton. Nonlocal elliptic equations in bounded domains: a survey. *Publ. Mat.*, 60(1):3–16, 2016. [MR3447732](#), <https://projecteuclid.org>, [arXiv:1504.04099](#).
- [SS23] Suman Kumar Sahoo and Mikko Salo. The linearized Calderón problem for polyharmonic operators. *J. Differential Equations*, 360:407–451, 2023.
- [Sha94] V. A. Sharafutdinov. *Integral geometry of tensor fields*. Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series. De Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1994. [MR1374572](#), [Zbl:0883.53004](#), [doi:10.1515/9783110900095](#).
- [Sti19] P. R. Stinga. User’s guide to the fractional Laplacian and the method of semigroups. In *Handbook of fractional calculus with applications*, volume 2, pages 235–265. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2019. [MR3965397](#), [doi:10.1515/9783110571660-012](#), [arXiv:1808.05159](#).
- [Tay11] M. E. Taylor. *Partial differential equations I. Basic theory*, volume 115 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2011. [MR2744150](#), [Zbl:1206.35002](#), [doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-7055-8](#).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, FINLAND.
E-MAIL: joonas.ilmavirta@jyu.fi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, FINLAND.
E-MAIL: pu-zhao.pz.kow@jyu.fi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, FINLAND.
E-MAIL: suman.k.sahoo@jyu.fi