

Sundman transformation and alternative tangent structures

José F. Cariñena^{1*}, Eduardo Martínez^{2†} and Miguel C. Muñoz-Lecanda^{3‡}

¹Departamento de Física Teórica and IUMA, Universidad de Zaragoza,
Pedro Cerbuna 12, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain

²Departamento de Matemática Aplicada and IUMA, Universidad de Zaragoza,
Pedro Cerbuna 12, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain

³Departament de Matemàtiques, Campus Nord U.P.C., Ed. C-3
C/ Jordi Girona 1. E-08034 Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

A geometric approach to Sundman transformation defined by basic functions for systems of second-order differential equations is developed and the necessity of a change of the tangent structure by means of the function defining the Sundman transformation is shown. Among other applications of such theory we study the linearisability of a system of second-order differential equations and in particular the simplest case of a second-order differential equation. The theory is illustrated with several examples.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010): 34A34, 37N05, 53C15, 70F16

PACS numbers: 02.30.Hq, 02.40.Yy, 02.40.Hw, 02.40.Ky, 45.10.Na

Keywords: Sundman transformation, Tangent bundle, regularisation,

1 Introduction

The infinitesimal time reparametrisation introduced by Levi-Civita [1, 2], usually called Sundman transformation [3], was used in [4] to regularise the 2-dimensional Kepler problem and it has been proved to be very efficient to deal with many different problems in the theory of systems of differential equations and related physical problems. Such a transformation, at least

*E-mail:jfc@unizar.es

†E-mail:emf@unizar.es

‡E-mail:miguel.carlos.munoz@upc.edu

from a geometric perspective, is very intriguing because the time does not explicitly appear in the expressions for autonomous systems, and generalisations of Sundman transformation to deal with autonomous systems of first-order differential equations can be used in the study of linearisation of systems of differential equations [5] and in numerical solution of systems of differential equations (see e.g. [6, 7, 8]). These generalised Sundman transformations have also been used to solve many interesting problems in classical mechanics (see e.g. [9, 10, 11, 12]) and celestial mechanics [13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

The geometric approach to the study of autonomous systems of first-order differential equations has been very useful and its results have very much clarified many points involved in the theory. Moreover, as it is intrinsic and the results do not depend on a particular choice of coordinates, its methods may be generalised to infinite dimensional systems (with some topological difficulties). So, such a system is replaced by a vector field on a differentiable manifold M , and the set of its solutions provides the set of the integral curves of the vector field in a local coordinate system. The time plays the rôle of the parameter of the integral curves. It has recently been shown [18] that a Sundman infinitesimal time reparametrisation should be understood as a change of the dynamical vector field, replacing it by a conformal one. This geometric interpretation was analysed in a more detailed way in [18].

On the other hand, the autonomous systems of second-order differential equations are also to be studied, not only for its own mathematical interest, but also because they play a crucial rôle in classical mechanics and in the spectral problem in Quantum Mechanics via the time-independent Schrödinger equation. Then, the usual way to proceed is to reduce the problem of a system of n second-order differential equations to a system of $2n$ first-order differential equations, or in geometric terms, to relate such systems of second-order differential equations to a special kind of vector field X on its tangent bundle TM , one of the class of second-order differential equation vector fields, hereafter shortened as SODE vector fields. Then one can try to consider the Sundman transformation in the framework of SODE vector fields in a similar way, i.e. by changing the SODE vector field X describing the autonomous system to the vector field fX . The point however is that unless $f = 1$, fX is not a SODE vector field anymore, and a more careful analysis is needed. Fortunately, the existence of alternative tangent structures will be useful to solve this problem (see e.g. [17]).

The aim of this paper is to clarify the meaning of an infinitesimal time reparametrisation for systems of second-order differential equations from a geometric viewpoint. Section 2 is devoted to recall a generalisation of the classical Sundman transformation for systems of first-order differential equations from a geometric perspective developed in [18], while Section 3 starts by summarising the main geometric ingredients of the theory of systems of second-order differential equations and the symplectic approach to regular Lagrangian systems, as some preliminary ideas to show the importance of the tangent structures as well as the possibility of alternative tangent structures, and afterward an interesting example of alternative tangent structure to be used for geometrically understanding Sundman transformation for systems of second-order differential equations is given. Linear systems of second-order differential equations are reviewed in Section 4 from a geometric perspective. The explicit meaning of Sundman transformation for systems of second-order differential equations is introduced in Section 5, and the simpler case of one-dimensional problems and the linearisability of second-order differential

equations is developed in Section 6, while illustrative examples are collected in Section 7. Some final remarks and comments on future work are given in the last section.

2 A geometric approach to generalised Sundman transformation

We first review the geometric generalisation of Sundman transformations given in [18]. The classical Sundman transformation [3] is an infinitesimal scaling of time from t to a new fictitious time τ given by

$$dt = r d\tau \iff \frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{1}{r}, \quad (2.1)$$

but it was slightly generalised to $dt = cr^\alpha d\tau$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and α is a positive constant [8], or more generally to $dt = f(r) d\tau$ [14, 19, 20].

We first consider the geometric generalisation of Sundman transformation for systems of first-order differential equations given in [18]. Recall that, as indicated in [21], we can consider an autonomous system of first-order differential equations

$$\frac{dx^i}{dt} = X^i(x^1, \dots, x^n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.2)$$

and under the generalisation of Sundman transformation defined by

$$dt = f(x^1, \dots, x^n) d\tau, \quad f(x^1, \dots, x^n) > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

it formally becomes

$$\frac{dx^i}{d\tau} = f(x^1, \dots, x^n) X^i(x^1, \dots, x^n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.4)$$

In the geometric approach the system (2.2) has associated the vector field X with coordinate expression

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i(x^1, \dots, x^n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad (2.5)$$

and the solutions of the system of equations (2.2) provide us the integral curves of the vector field X . It has been proved in [18] that if the curve $\gamma(t)$ is an integral curve of X , and we carry out the reparametrisation for which the new parameter τ is defined by the relation

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{1}{f(\gamma(t))}, \quad (2.6)$$

then the reparametrised curve $\bar{\gamma}(\tau)$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(\tau(t)) = \gamma(t)$ is an integral curve of the vector field fX , whose integral curves are solutions of (2.4).

Remark that when each one of the integral curves of a vector field X is arbitrarily reparametrised we obtain a new family of curves, which when they are integral curves of a

vector field Y , as the two vector fields X and Y would have the same local constants of motion, they generate the same 1-dimensional distribution and, at least locally, there exists a nonvanishing function f such that $Y = fX$. We have checked that this is the case for the reparametrisation defined by a Sundman transformation, and then f is the function defining such Sundman transformation. Therefore, from this geometric point of view the generalised Sundman transformation (2.3) corresponds to change the vector field X by its conformally related one $\bar{X} = fX$.

It is noteworthy that the ‘velocity’ with respect to the new time is different and so the new velocity \bar{v} is related to the old one by $\bar{v}^i = f v^i$, as a consequence of (2.3).

The reinterpretation of this ‘infinitesimal time scaling’ was used in [15] to deal with the satellite theory described by Bond and Janin in [13]. There are many applications of these generalised Sundman transformations, and more details can be found in [18].

3 Alternative tangent structures and their applications

3.1 Tangent structures and Lagrangian systems

The geometric formulation of Lagrangian Classical Mechanics makes use of the tangent structure of the velocity phase space [22, 23, 24, 25].

Recall that given a n -dimensional manifold Q , its tangent bundle $TQ = \bigcup_{m \in Q} T_m Q$ can be endowed with a vector bundle structure $\tau_Q : TQ \rightarrow Q$, the fibres $\tau_Q^{-1}(m) = T_m Q$ being n -dimensional real linear spaces. The usual coordinate charts on the tangent bundle TQ are induced from a chart on its base manifold Q . Given a coordinate chart (U, φ) of Q , we can induce a chart on $\mathcal{U} = \tau_Q^{-1}(U)$ by the tangent map $\phi = T\varphi$, i.e. $\phi(m, v) = (\varphi(m), \varphi_{*m}(v))$. In other words, if $\pi^i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are the natural projections on each factor and $q^i = \pi^i \circ \varphi$ are the coordinate functions, i.e. $\varphi = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$, then we can consider on TQ the coordinate basis of the linear space of vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(U)$ usually denoted $\{\partial/\partial q^j \mid j = 1, \dots, n\}$ and the corresponding dual basis for the linear space of 1-forms $\Omega^1(U)$, $\{dq^j \mid j = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then a vector v on a point $q \in U$ is $v = v^j (\partial/\partial q^j)|_q$ and a covector ζ on such a point is $\zeta = p_j (dq^j)|_q$, with $v^j = \langle dq^j, v \rangle$ and $p_j = \langle \zeta, \partial/\partial q^j \rangle$ being the usual velocities and momenta. In this way each chart (U, φ) of Q provides us a trivialization of the tangent bundle on $\tau_Q^{-1}(U) \approx U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and another one on $\pi_Q^{-1}(U) \approx U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ of the cotangent bundle $\pi_Q : T^*Q \rightarrow Q$.

The tangent bundle TQ , as any other vector bundle on Q , has associated a vector field Δ , usually called Liouville vector field, generator of dilations along the fibres. Its local expression in the above mentioned tangent bundle coordinates is

$$\Delta(q, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, \quad (3.1)$$

and there is also a natural (1,1)-tensor field, usually called vertical endomorphism, or simply tangent structure, which satisfies $\text{Im } S = \ker S$ and an integrability condition (see later on). Its

local coordinate expression in the usual tangent bundle coordinates is

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \otimes dq^i. \quad (3.2)$$

The base manifold Q can be identified to the zero section for τ_Q , while vertical vector fields on the tangent bundle TQ are those which are tangent to the fibres, and therefore they have local expressions

$$D(q, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i(q, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, \quad (3.3)$$

i.e. the vertical vectors are those of $\ker S$. In particular, the Liouville vector field $\Delta \in \mathfrak{X}(TQ)$ is a vertical vector field, i.e. $S(\Delta) = 0$, vanishing on the zero section and, as the vertical endomorphism S is homogeneous of degree minus one in velocities, such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\Delta S = -S. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, if $D \in \mathfrak{X}(TQ)$ is the vertical vector field given by (3.3) on TQ , then $\mathcal{L}_D S = -S$ if and only if $D(q, v) = \Delta(q, v) + c^i(q)\partial/\partial v^i$, i.e. if D differs from Δ in the vertical lift of a vector field on the base, because

$$\mathcal{L}_D S = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[D, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \right] \otimes dq^i = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial v^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} \otimes dq^i,$$

and therefore $\mathcal{L}_D S = -S$ if and only if

$$f^i(q, v) = v^i + c^i(q), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note that this shows that Δ is the only vertical vector field on TQ such that $\mathcal{L}_\Delta S = -S$ and vanishes on the zero section, i.e. $\Delta(q, 0) = 0$.

A special kind of vector fields on the tangent bundle TQ is that of the so called second-order differential equation vector fields, to be shortened as SODE vector fields. These vector fields Γ are characterized by the property $S(\Gamma) = \Delta$, and the local coordinate expression of such a SODE vector field is

$$\Gamma(q, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + f^i(q, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \right).$$

The SODE name for such vector fields is due to the property that their integral curves are solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dq^i}{dt} = v^i \\ \frac{dv^i}{dt} = f^i(q, v) \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.5)$$

and therefore the projections of such curves on the base manifold are solutions of the system of second-order differential equations $\ddot{q}^i = f^i(q, \dot{q})$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The Lagrangian formalism is introduced as follows: Given a function $L \in C^\infty(TQ)$, we can define a 1-form θ_L on TQ by $\theta_L = dL \circ S$ and the energy function $E_L \in C^\infty(TQ)$ by means of $E_L = \Delta(L) - L$. Their local coordinate expressions are, respectively,

$$\theta_L = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} dq^i, \quad E_L = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} - L. \quad (3.6)$$

The Lagrangian function $L \in C^\infty(TQ)$ is said to be regular if $\omega_L = -d\theta_L$ is nondegenerate, i.e. $(\omega_L)^{\wedge n} \neq 0$. In this case we can define a Hamiltonian dynamical system on TQ by (TQ, ω_L, E_L) and then the dynamical vector field Γ , which is a SODE vector field, is the solution of the dynamical equation

$$i(\Gamma)\omega_L = dE_L. \quad (3.7)$$

Remark also that if Γ is a SODE vector field the equation (3.7) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \theta_L = dL, \quad (3.8)$$

because $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \theta_L = i(\Gamma)d\theta_L + d(i(\Gamma)\theta_L)$, and when Γ is a SODE we have that $i(\Gamma)\theta_L = \Delta L$.

More details of the geometric approach to Lagrangian mechanics can be found in [22, 23, 24, 25].

3.2 Alternative tangent structures on a tangent bundle

The use of alternative structures for the description of mechanical systems has been shown to be very useful for a better understanding of dynamics, providing unexpected results as, for instance, recursion operators [26, 27, 28] and non-Noether constants of motion [29]. The relevance and usefulness of the existence of alternative geometric structures have been shown in many recent works and have been summarized in a recent book [25].

In particular, the tensorial characterisation of linear structures and vector bundle structures (partial linear structures) on a manifold have been analysed in Chapter 3 of [25]. In summary, a *linear structure* on a manifold M is characterised (see [25, 30]) by the existence of a complete vector field $\Delta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ with only one non-degenerated critical point and such that $\mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(0)} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(1)}$ separates derivations, where $\mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(k)}$ is the set of functions on the manifold M defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(k)} = \{f \in \mathcal{F}(M) \mid \Delta f = k f\}, \quad k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

Recall that we say that $\mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(1)}$ separates derivations when given two different derivations D_1 and D_2 there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(1)}$ such that $D_1 f \neq D_2 f$.

This vector field Δ is called Liouville vector field. Similarly, a given n -dimensional manifold M is a *vector bundle* when there exists a complete vector field Δ , also called Liouville vector field, such that the set of points m on M such that $\Delta(m) = 0$ is a k -dimensional submanifold Q , the set of functions $\mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(0)}$ is an Abelian algebra whose spectrum is Q , and the equation $\Delta f = f$ admits $n - k$ functionally independent fibrewise-linear functions (see [30]). In this case $d\mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(0)}$ and $d\mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(1)}$ span the set of 1-forms $\Omega^1(M)$ as a $\mathcal{F}_\Delta^{(0)}$ -module, or, in other words, Δ defines,

at least locally, a partial linear structure and a submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow Q$. It is possible to choose local coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^k) on the base manifold and (y^1, \dots, y^{n-k}) on the fibres in such a way that (see [25])

$$\Delta = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-k} y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha}. \quad (3.9)$$

The particular case we are interested in is that of a tangent bundle structure. The concept of almost-tangent structure on a manifold M was introduced in [31] and [32] as a (1,1)-tensor field S on the manifold M such that at each point $p \in M$ the kernel of the linear map $S_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ coincides with its image. It follows that $S^2 = 0$ and that M must be even dimensional, $\dim M = 2n$. It is a particular case of the more general definition given in [33], and its study received attention during the nineteen-seventies [33, 34, 35, 36, 37].

The almost-tangent structure S is said to be integrable if its Nijenhuis tensor N_S vanishes. We recall that the Nijenhuis tensor N_T of a (1,1)-tensor field T on the manifold M is a (1,2)-tensor field given by

$$N_T(X_1, X_2) = [T(X_1), T(X_2)] + T^2([X_1, X_2]) - T([T(X_1), X_2]) - T([X_1, T(X_2)]), \quad \forall X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad (3.10)$$

and therefore, when $T = S$, as $S^2 = 0$,

$$N_S(X_1, X_2) = [S(X_1), S(X_2)] - S([S(X_1), X_2]) - S([X_1, S(X_2)]), \quad \forall X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

and consequently, $N_S = 0$ if, and only if, for every vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ [38],

$$\mathcal{L}_{S(X)}S = -(\mathcal{L}_X S) \circ S,$$

because, for each vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$(\mathcal{L}_{S(X)}S)(Y) = [S(X), S(Y)] - S([S(X), Y]),$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_X S)(S(Y)) = \mathcal{L}_X(S(S(Y))) - S([X, S(Y)]) = -S([X, S(Y)]).$$

The remarkable point is that the $N_S = 0$ condition implies that the vertical distribution defined by $\ker S = \text{Im } S$ is involutive, because if $X, Y \in \ker S = \text{Im } S$, then there exist $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that $S(\tilde{X}) = X$ and $S(\tilde{Y}) = Y$, and then

$$[X, Y] = [S(\tilde{X}), S(\tilde{Y})] = S([S(\tilde{X}), \tilde{Y}]) + S([\tilde{X}, S(\tilde{Y})]),$$

i.e. $[X, Y]$ lies in the image of S , which coincides with $\ker S$, and therefore the distribution defined as $\ker S$ is involutive, and then integrable in the Frobenius sense. Recall that Frobenius theorem establishes that a distribution \mathcal{D} is integrable if, and only if, it is involutive, i.e. $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}] \subset \mathcal{D}$. In this case the manifold splits in leaves which are the integral submanifolds of \mathcal{D} and integrable distributions are called foliations.

As pointed-out in [38], at least locally, the integral submanifolds of such foliation are the fibres of a fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow Q$ where Q is a n -dimensional manifold. The vertical vector

fields, which project on the zero vector field on Q , are those taking values in $\ker S$. Then, if $X_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ are projectable vector fields on the same vector field $\bar{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$, then as $X_2 - X_1$ is a vertical vector field, $S(X_1) = S(X_2)$, that is, $X_1 - X_2 \in \ker S$. Consequently, if $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ projects on a vector field $\bar{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$, then $S(X)$ only depends on \bar{X} .

Lemma 3.1.

- i)* If $X \in \ker S$ and the vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is projectable, then $[X, Y] \in \ker S$.
- ii)* If $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ are projectable vector fields, then $[S(X_1), S(X_2)] = 0$.

Proof. - *i)* As the vector field X is projectable, because it is in $\ker S$, and $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is projectable on $\bar{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$, then the vector field $[X, Y]$ is projectable on $[0, \bar{Y}] = 0$, i.e. $[X, Y] \in \ker S$.

ii) The vanishing condition of the Nijenhuis tensor, $N_S(X_1, X_2) = 0$, together with the result *i)* imply that $[S(X_1), S(X_2)] = 0$, because $[S(X_1), X_2]$ and $[X_1, S(X_2)]$ are in $\ker S$. □

If (x^1, \dots, x^n) are local coordinates on Q we can choose local coordinates (x^i, u^i) on M , and it was proved in [38] that such local coordinates u^i can be chosen in such a way that if X_1, \dots, X_n , are vector fields on M projecting on $\partial/\partial x^1, \dots, \partial/\partial x^n$, respectively, as $[S(X_i), S(X_j)] = 0$, the local coordinates on the fibres satisfy $S(X_i) = \partial/\partial u^i$. The local expression of S is then $S = (\partial/\partial u^i) \otimes dx^i$.

Note however that the coordinates u^i are determined in this procedure only up to an additive constant on each fibre, i.e. they depend on a choice that plays the rôle of zero section, because they are solutions of the system of differential equations $S(X_i)u^j = \delta_i^j$, $i, j = 1 \dots n$, and we can change u^i by $\bar{u}^i = u^i + f^i(x)$. The ambiguity functions f^i are fixed by the choice of the zero section. Such a choice uniquely determines, for each coordinate system (x^i) on the base manifold Q , a system of local coordinates (x^i, u^i) on M such that the integrable almost tangent structure is given by

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \otimes dx^i. \tag{3.11}$$

Given a vector field on the base, $\bar{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$, there is one vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that X and \bar{X} are π -related, the relation $\mathcal{L}_X S = 0$ holds, and X is tangent to the image of the zero section. In fact, if

$$\bar{X}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \tag{3.12}$$

the coordinate expression of a vector field X π -related to \bar{X} is

$$X(x, u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + g^i(x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \right),$$

and the condition $\mathcal{L}_X S = 0$ implies that

$$\frac{\partial g^i}{\partial u^j} - \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x^j} = 0, \quad i, j = 1 \dots n \iff g^i = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x^j} u^j + c^i(x), \quad i = 1 \dots n,$$

and then the tangency condition implies $c^i(x) \equiv 0$, and hence the explicit coordinate expression of such a vector field X is:

$$X(x, u) = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x^j} u^j \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \quad (3.13)$$

which formally looks like the complete lift of \bar{X} from Q to M .

Furthermore, there is a uniquely-defined vertical vector field Δ such that $\mathcal{L}_\Delta S(X) = -S(X)$ for such vector fields (3.13) and $\Delta = 0$ on the zero section, because $S(X)$ is then the vertical vector field $S(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i(x) (\partial/\partial u^i)$, and if the local expression of the vertical field Δ

is $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n g^i(x, u) (\partial/\partial u^i)$, as

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^n f^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \sum_{j=1}^n g^j(x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} \right] = \sum_{i,k=1}^n f^i(x) \frac{\partial g^k}{\partial u^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k},$$

and $\mathcal{L}_\Delta S(X) = -S(X)$, it implies $\partial g^k/\partial u^i = \delta_i^k$, $i, k = 1 \dots n$, i.e. $g^k(x, u) = u^k + c^k(x)$, while the vanishing condition on the zero section fixes $c^i(x) \equiv 0$, and hence the explicit expression of Δ is

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}. \quad (3.14)$$

The vector field Δ provides a linear space structure to every fibre of $\pi : M \rightarrow Q$.

The vector fields associated to systems of second-order differential equations with respect to the new integrable almost tangent structure given by (3.11) are those of the form

$$D(x, u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + f^i(x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \right),$$

and are characterized by $S(D) = \Delta$.

The rôle of the integrable almost tangent structure was clarified in [39] where it was clearly established that the almost tangent structure is responsible only of the affine structure of the tangent bundle rather than of its linear structure. It is the vector field Δ which selects the linear structure as indicated in the preceding paragraph.

3.3 An important example

A particularly important example of integrable almost tangent structure is the above mentioned one of the tangent bundle $\tau_Q : TQ \rightarrow Q$, where S is the vertical endomorphism (see e.g. [23]). Alternative tangent structures have also been exhibited in [24], but we are going to fix our attention on the following example which is a particular case of other more general method of construction, given a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(TQ)$ on a tangent bundle $\tau : TQ \rightarrow Q$, of an

alternative tangent structure such that the vector field X is a SODE vector field with respect to the new tangent bundle structure.

Now, given a constant sign basic function τ_Q^*h on TQ , with $h \in C^\infty(Q)$, we can introduce a new integrable almost tangent structure $(\tau_Q^*h)S$ on TQ , simply denoted $\bar{S} = hS$, because $\ker(hS) = \text{Im}(hS)$. The vertical distribution defined by $\ker(hS)$ coincides with the usual one defined by $\ker S$ and the set of leaves can be identified with the base manifold Q . If we choose a local set of coordinates for Q , (q^1, \dots, q^n) , and if X_1, \dots, X_n , are τ_Q -projectable vector fields on TQ , τ_Q -related to $\partial/\partial q^1, \dots, \partial/\partial q^n$, respectively, then the vector fields $hS(X_1), \dots, hS(X_n)$ are pairwise commuting (by Lemma 3) and there exist local coordinates $\bar{v}^1, \dots, \bar{v}^n$, such that $S(hX_i) = hS(X_i) = \partial/\partial \bar{v}^i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and as $S(X_i) = \partial/\partial v^i$, with the same choice for the zero section, we can see that $\bar{S} = (\tau_Q^*h)S$, has the local expression

$$\bar{S} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^i} \otimes dq^i = hS,$$

with the fibre coordinates being given by $h\bar{v}^i = v^i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Of course the vector field $\bar{\Delta}$ coincides with the usual generator of dilations, the standard Liouville vector field Δ .

Note that as the local expression of the new (1,1)-tensor \bar{S} is similar to that of S , the condition $N_{hS} = 0$ follows from $N_S = 0$.

It is also to be remarked that the \bar{v}^i are not coordinates adapted to the original tangent bundle structure because the 1-forms α^i defining such coordinates of the vector v by $\bar{v}^i = \alpha^i(v)$ are not exact but $\alpha^i = (1/h)dq^i$, and they correspond to the so called quasi-velocities (see [40, 41, 42, 43] and references therein).

In summary, going from S to $\bar{S} = hS$ we obtain a new tangent structure on TQ , with its corresponding Liouville vector field $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta$ and a new concept of SODE vector field with respect to the new tangent structure.

4 Linear systems of second-order differential equations

Sundman transformation was first introduced to deal with systems of second-order differential equations, Newton equations of motion, and it was used to study the linearisation of systems of second-order differential equations (see e.g. [44, 45]). We first recall some relevant concepts of the geometry of autonomous systems of second-order differential equations. As mentioned in the preceding section, given such a system

$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} = X^i(x^1, \dots, x^n, \dot{x}^1, \dots, \dot{x}^n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.1)$$

it has associated a system of first-order differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx^i}{dt} = v^i \\ \frac{dv^i}{dt} = X^i(x^1, \dots, x^n, v^1, \dots, v^n) \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.2)$$

whose solutions define the integral curves of the second-order differential equation vector field Γ on TQ

$$\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + X^i(x, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \right), \quad (4.3)$$

i.e. a system of second-order differential equations can be dealt with a SODE vector field Γ on the tangent bundle. Such vector fields can be characterised in several ways. For instance by being sections of the two structures of vector bundle of $T(TQ)$ over TQ , $\tau_{TQ} : T(TQ) \rightarrow TQ$ and $T\tau_Q : T(TQ) \rightarrow TQ$, or alternatively, as indicated in the preceding Section, by $S(\Gamma) = \Delta$. But, when considering an alternative tangent structure there will be different second-order differential equations vector fields with respect to the new tangent structure.

An interesting particular case is that of systems of second-order differential equations that are linear in a given coordinate system, i.e. a tangent bundle chart induced from a chart for the base manifold Q on an open set U of Q . In this case the functions X^i on U appearing in (4.3) must be of the form $X^i(x, v) = \sum_{j=1}^n (A^i_j x^j + B^i_j v^j)$, where A^i_j and B^i_j are real numbers. In

geometric terms, this property can be written as $[\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma] = 0$, with $\tilde{\Delta}$ being the vector field on U such that $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta_Q + \Delta$, where Δ_Q and Δ are the vector fields on U with local expressions $\Delta_Q = \sum_{i=1}^n x^i (\partial/\partial x^i)$ and $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i (\partial/\partial v^i)$, because

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma] &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \right), \sum_{j=1}^n \left(v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + X^j(x, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} \right) \right] = \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left(x^j \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^k} + v^j \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial v^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^n X^j(x, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and therefore, $[\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma] = 0$, if, and only if, each component X^i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$, satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left(x^j \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j} + v^j \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial v^j} \right) = X^i(x, v), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

which, according to Euler theorem of homogeneous functions, mean that the components X^i are homogeneous functions of degree 1, and this implies (see e.g [46], p. 213) that there exist real constants A^i_j and B^i_j such that

$$X^i(x, v) = \sum_{j=1}^n (A^i_j x^j + B^i_j v^j), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.5)$$

Remark that the vector field $\tilde{\Delta}$ is not intrinsic but it depends on the choice of the chart on U . More explicitly, the vector field $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the complete lift of Δ_Q , and hence this notion of linearity of a SODE depends on the chart that has been used. Note also that if Δ_Q is globally defined,

then so is $\tilde{\Delta}$. Recall that the existence of such globally defined complete vector field Δ_Q implies that Q is a linear space.

Another subset of interesting systems are those which are linear in velocities, i.e. characterised by functions $X^i(x, v)$ in (4.3) for which there exist real functions B^i_j such that

$$X^i(x, v) = \sum_{j=1}^n B^i_j(x) v^j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.6)$$

Such fibre-linear systems can be characterised in terms of the partial linear structure of the tangent bundle TQ , which allows us to define the Liouville vector field Δ . In fact, we can see that a SODE vector field Γ is linear (in velocities) if $\langle dv^i, [\Delta, X] \rangle = 0$, for all indices $i = 1, \dots, n$, because if Γ is a SODE given by (4.3), then

$$[\Delta, \Gamma] = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left(v^k \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial v^k} - X^j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j},$$

and therefore, as

$$\langle dv^i, [\Delta, X] \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n v^k \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial v^k} - X^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

we see that $\langle dv^i, [\Delta, X] \rangle = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, if and only if there exist n^2 real basic functions $A^i_j(x)$ such that $X^i = \sum_{j=1}^n A^i_j(x) v^j$, i.e. the second subsystem of the associated system of differential equations for the determination of the integral curves of Γ is linear in velocities.

We may also be interested in the case of systems of inhomogeneous linear second-order differential equations, for which the components X^i of the vector field X in (4.2) must be of the form $X^i(x, v) = \sum_{j=1}^n (A^i_j x^j + B^i_j v^j) + C^i$, with A^i_j , B^i_j and C^i real constants, i.e. the vector field Γ is a sum of $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_{-1}$ where

$$\Gamma_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{j=1}^n (A^i_j x^j + B^i_j v^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \right), \quad \Gamma_{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n C^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, \quad (4.7)$$

which satisfy

$$[\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma_0] = 0, \quad [\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma_{-1}] = -\Gamma_{-1},$$

and therefore, $[\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma] = -\Gamma_{-1}$ what implies that $[\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma]$ is a vertical vector field with constant coefficients such that

$$[\tilde{\Delta}, [\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma]] = -[\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma].$$

This last property characterises the vector fields corresponding to inhomogeneous linear second-order differential equations in a given chart, because this shows that if the vector field

$[\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma]$ is a constant vertical field $-\sum_{i=1}^n C^i \partial/\partial v^i$ and, moreover, $[\tilde{\Delta}, [\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma]] = -[\tilde{\Delta}, \Gamma]$, then (4.4) shows that the components X^i satisfy $\tilde{\Delta}(X^i) - X^i = -C^i$, and therefore, there exist $2n^2 + n$ real constants A^i_j , B^i_j and C^i such that $X^i = \sum_{j=1}^n (A^i_j x^j + B^i_j v^j) + C^i$.

Our aim is to study under which circumstances a given systems of second-order differential equations can be transformed by an appropriate Sundman transformation into a linear or linear in velocities system. The properties of a Sundman transformation of a systems of second-order differential equations are analysed in next Section.

Observe that we have characterised different kinds of SODE systems that we can integrate. These characterisations are not intrinsic but depend on the existence of an appropriate chart.

5 Sundman transformation for systems of second-order differential equations

Let us analyse now the geometric approach to Sundman transformation for such systems. As a system of second-order differential equations is geometrically described by a SODE vector field, Γ , which is of a special kind of vector fields in the tangent bundle TQ , the theory developed for systems of first-order differential equations suggests us to proceed by similarity and obtain the transformed vector field by multiplication with the function defining the Sundman transformation. However as now the second derivatives appear, maybe this approach should be modified. On the other hand, when multiplying by the function f the SODE characteristic property is lost. This leads us to examine this definition more carefully, and it will be shown that we can overcome these two problems in the particularly important case of the function f defining the Sundman transformation being a constant sign basic function, and this fact will be assumed hereafter without explicit mention.

Actually, when applying a Sundman transformation (2.3) to the system (4.2), as indicated above, the new velocities with respect to the new time, \bar{v} , are related to the previous ones by $\bar{v}^i = f v^i$, as a consequence of (2.6), because given a curve,

$$v^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt} = \frac{dx^i}{d\tau} \frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{1}{f} \bar{v}^i,$$

and therefore, as f is a basic function,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \bar{v}^j}{\partial v^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^j} = f \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^i}.$$

This suggests the use of non-natural coordinates in TQ , the so called quasi-velocities [40, 41, 42, 43], which have been shown to be very useful, for instance, in the study of Chaplygin systems [47]. In the case we are dealing with, it amounts to consider $\{f dx^1, \dots, f dx^n\}$ as a nonholonomic basis of the $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -module of sections of $\tau : T\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (see [41, 43] and

references therein). The corresponding dual basis of the module of vector fields on TQ is made up by $\{Y_k = f^{-1} \partial / \partial x^k \mid k = 1, \dots, n\}$, and then, as

$$[Y_i, Y_j] = \left[f^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, f^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right] = -f^{-3} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + f^{-3} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},$$

i.e.

$$[Y_i, Y_j] = \frac{1}{f^2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} Y_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} Y_j \right) = \sum_{k=1}^j \gamma_{ij}^k Y_k,$$

we have that $[Y_i, Y_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{ij}^k Y_k$, where the Hamel symbol γ_{ij}^k , necessary to write the Hamel-Boltzman equations corresponding to the dynamical evolution in the Lagrangian formalism [40, 42, 43], is given by

$$\gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{f^2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \delta_i^k - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \delta_j^k \right).$$

With this in mind we have a coordinate change to a new coordinate system on the manifold TQ

$$(x^i, v^i) \mapsto (\bar{x}^i, \bar{v}^i), \quad \bar{x}^i = x^i, \quad \bar{v}^i = f v^i,$$

where we will keep the notation \bar{x}^i for clarity. Observe that, by direct calculus, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \bar{v}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^i} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} = f \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^j} \end{cases}.$$

Correspondingly,

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{x}^k = dx^k \\ d\bar{v}^k = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{x}^l} \bar{v}^k dx^l + f dv^k \end{cases},$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{cases} dx^k = d\bar{x}^k \\ dv^k = \frac{1}{f} \left(- \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{x}^l} \bar{v}^k d\bar{x}^l + d\bar{v}^k \right) \end{cases}.$$

Consequently, the new coordinate expression of the vector field Γ given by (4.3) is

$$\Gamma(x, \bar{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\bar{v}^i}{f} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f X^i(x, \bar{v}/f) + \bar{v}^i \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{f^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \bar{v}^j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^i}. \quad (5.1)$$

To analyse the behaviour under the generalised Sundman transformation (2.3) of the system (4.1), we can use the operational relations

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{1}{f} \frac{d}{d\tau}, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{f} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\left(\frac{1}{f} \right) \frac{d}{d\tau} \right) = \frac{1}{f^2} \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} - \frac{1}{f^3} \frac{df}{d\tau} \frac{d}{d\tau}, \quad (5.2)$$

and hence, if $x(t)$ is a solution of (4.1), and the new parameter τ is given by

$$\tau(t) = \int^t \frac{1}{f(\eta)} d\eta,$$

then $\bar{x}(\tau)$ such that $\bar{x}(\tau(t)) = x(t)$ satisfies the system of second-order differential equations

$$\frac{d^2\bar{x}}{d\tau^2} = \bar{X}^i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{d\bar{x}^i}{d\tau} \right) \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

with

$$\bar{X}^i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{d\bar{x}}{d\tau} \right) = f^2 X^i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f} \frac{d\bar{x}}{d\tau} \right) + \frac{d}{d\tau}(\log f) \frac{d\bar{x}^i}{d\tau}, \quad (5.3)$$

together with the condition $\bar{v}^i = f v^i$, i.e. $d\bar{x}^i/d\tau = f dx^i/dt$. The system can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\bar{x}^i}{d\tau} = f v^i = \bar{v}^i \\ \frac{d\bar{v}^i}{d\tau} = f^2 X^i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f} \bar{v} \right) + \frac{d}{d\tau}(\log f) \bar{v}^i \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

This shows that the images under the generalised Sundman transformation of the integral curves of the vector field Γ given by (4.3), are the integral curves of the vector field $\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{x}, \bar{v})$ given by

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{v}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{f} \bar{v}^j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \bar{v}^i + f^2 X^i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f} \bar{v} \right) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^i}, \quad (5.5)$$

and a simple comparison with (5.1) shows that

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) = f(\bar{x}) \Gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{v}), \quad (5.6)$$

and therefore we see that, under the action of the Sundman transformation, the associated SODE vector field Γ given by (4.3) is multiplied by the function $f \in C^\infty(Q)$, $\bar{\Gamma} = f \Gamma$. Moreover, remark that the new vector field $\bar{\Gamma} = f \Gamma$ is not a SODE vector field anymore. However, in the very relevant special class of Sundman transformations with f being a constant sign basic function, $f \in C^\infty(Q)$, it is clear that the new vector field $\bar{\Gamma} = f \Gamma$ is a SODE vector field with respect to the new tangent structure \bar{S} related to S as $\bar{S} = f^{-1} S$. Therefore the Sundman transformation amounts to multiply the vector field Γ by the function f but also to consider a new tangent structure, and then the vector field $\bar{\Gamma}$ is now a SODE with respect to the new tangent structure defined by

$$\bar{S} = f^{-1} S = f^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} \otimes dx^j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^i} \otimes d\bar{x}^i,$$

with Liouville vector field

$$\bar{\Delta} = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{v}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^j} = \sum_{j=1}^n v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} = \Delta.$$

In summary, if Γ is a SODE vector field for the usual tangent bundle structure, then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a SODE vector field for the tangent structure defined by $\bar{S} = (1/f)S$.

It is then clear from the expression (5.5) of the vector field $\bar{\Gamma}$ in quasi-coordinates that, in the simpler and relevant case in which the X^i are basic functions, the new vector field is not linear in the associated chart. Conversely, in a general case one can try to determine, if possible, the function f in such a way that the vector field $\bar{\Gamma}$ be linear in the fibre coordinates of the corresponding associated system of quasi-coordinates, i.e. it is fibre-linear in the new tangent structure.

Remark also that if we apply successively to the system (4.2) two Sundman transformations (2.3), characterised respectively by the functions $f_1 \in C^\infty(Q)$ and $f_2 \in C^\infty(Q)$, we obtain the system obtained by applying the Sundman transformation defined by the product $f_2 f_1 \in C^\infty(Q)$, and that the transformed vector field is a SODE vector field with respect to the new tangent structure $\bar{S} = f_2^{-1} f_1^{-1} S$. Moreover, as the set of positive real functions is an Abelian multiplicative Lie group, the Sundman transformation corresponding to f^{-1} is the inverse of the Sundman transformation defined by f .

According to the previous comments, given an arbitrary SODE field Γ , it may exist a positive basic function f such that the vector field $\bar{\Gamma}$, which is a SODE vector field with respect to the new almost tangent structure $\bar{S} = f^{-1} S$, be linear, or linear in velocities, in the new tangent structure. Note that when we consider a Sundman transformation (2.3), characterised by the functions $f \in C^\infty(Q)$, not only the given vector field Γ should be transformed to $\bar{\Gamma}$, but we should change the tangent bundle structure S to $\bar{S} = f^{-1} S$. But then there is also a new chart for TQ determined by the quasi-coordinates (x^i, \bar{v}^i) . The question is when is it possible to choose the function f in order to the transformed vector field $\bar{\Gamma}$ be fibre-linear with respect to the changed tangent bundle structure. This happens when the function f is such that $[\tilde{\Delta}, \bar{\Gamma}] = 0$. Similarly, sometimes the function f can be chosen such that the vector field $\bar{\Gamma}$ corresponds to a system of inhomogeneous linear differential equations. Finally, it is noteworthy that very often the existence of constants of motion can be used in such a way that the reduced system is linear, even if the original system is nonlinear, as it will be shown by means of an illustrative example.

The time evolution in terms of the new time τ of the new quasi-coordinates obtained under the given Sundman transformation, according to the second equation in (5.4) is

$$\frac{d\bar{v}^i}{d\tau} = \sum_{j=1}^n f \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} v^j v^i + f^2 X^i(x, v) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \bar{v}^j \bar{v}^i + f^2 X^i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{\bar{v}}{f} \right), \quad (5.7)$$

and therefore the projection on the base manifold of the integral curves of $\bar{\Gamma}$ are solutions of the system of second-order differential equations

$$\frac{d^2 \bar{x}^i}{d\tau^2} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{d\bar{x}^j}{d\tau} \right) \frac{d\bar{x}^i}{d\tau} + f^2 X^i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f} \frac{d\bar{x}}{d\tau} \right). \quad (5.8)$$

6 Linearization of scalar SODEs

6.1 An example in Classical Mechanics

In this section we consider the case of an autonomous one-dimensional SODE, i.e. $n = 1$. We first consider, because of its usefulness in mechanics, the simplest case of $X^1 = F$ being a basic function. Then, from the expression (5.7) we obtain the equation for the integral curves of the vector field corresponding to $\Gamma = v \partial/\partial q + F(q) \partial/\partial v$:

$$\frac{d\bar{v}}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{f} f'(q) \bar{v} \bar{v} + f^2 F(q), \quad (6.1)$$

where q is the local coordinate in the 1-dimensional manifold Q .

In the particular case of a system defined by a potential function \mathcal{V} , where $F = -\mathcal{V}'$, the corresponding SODE vector field Γ in coordinates (q, v) and the transformed vector field $\bar{\Gamma} = f \Gamma$ in coordinates (\bar{q}, \bar{v}) are given, respectively, by

$$\Gamma(q, v) = v \frac{\partial}{\partial q} - \mathcal{V}'(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \quad \bar{\Gamma}(\bar{q}, \bar{v}) = \bar{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}} + \left(\frac{f'}{f} \bar{v}^2 - f^2 \mathcal{V}'(\bar{q}) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}}.$$

But it is known that the energy function $E = \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{v}/f)^2 + \mathcal{V}$ is a conserved quantity and if we restrict ourselves to study the motions for a given energy E ,

$$\frac{d\bar{v}}{d\tau} = f f'(q) 2(E - \mathcal{V}) - f^2 \mathcal{V}'(q) = \frac{d}{dq} (f^2(E - \mathcal{V})), \quad (6.2)$$

and then this is an inhomogeneous linear differential equation in the variable q iff there exist constants A , B and C such that $f^2(E - \mathcal{V}) = Aq^2 + Bq + C$, from where the final equation is

$$\frac{d\bar{v}}{d\tau} = 2A\bar{q} + B \implies \frac{d^2\bar{q}}{d\tau^2} = 2A\bar{q} + B.$$

In the very relevant case of the radial equation for a given fixed angular momentum ℓ for Coulomb–Kepler problem for which q is the radial variable r and $V(r) = -k/r$, we have

$$\mathcal{V}(r) = V(r) + \frac{\ell^2}{2r^2} = -\frac{k}{r} + \frac{\ell^2}{2r^2}, \quad F(r) = -\mathcal{V}'(r) = \frac{\ell^2}{r^3} - \frac{k}{r^2},$$

and from $f^2(E - \mathcal{V}) = Ar^2 + B + C$, we obtain

$$f^2 \left(E + \frac{k}{r} - \frac{\ell^2}{2r^2} \right) = Ar^2 + Br + C,$$

i.e.

$$f^2 E = Ar^2, \quad f^2 k = Br^2, \quad \ell^2 f^2 = -2Cr^2,$$

and therefore, the general solution is a multiple of $f(r) = r$ with

$$A = E, \quad B = k, \quad C = -\frac{\ell^2}{2},$$

and then the transformed second-order differential equation is:

$$\frac{d^2 r}{d\tau^2} = 2 E r + k,$$

which is the result given in [48]. The remarkable fact is that the Sundman transformation is independent of ℓ , because $f(r)$ is proportional to r .

As another particular example in the opposite direction, we can start from the linear SODE vector field Γ describing the time evolution of the 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator, i.e. $\Gamma = v (\partial/\partial x) - \omega^2 x (\partial/\partial v)$, which corresponds to $F(x) = -\omega^2 x$, i.e. $\mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2$. In this case, under the Sundman transformation defined by a given function f ,

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) = f(\bar{x}) \Gamma(x, v) = \bar{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} + \left(\frac{1}{f} \frac{df}{d\bar{x}} \bar{v}^2 - f^2 \omega^2 \bar{x} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}}, \quad \bar{v}^i = f v^i,$$

whose integral curves are such that their projections on the base manifold are solutions of the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2 \bar{x}}{d\tau^2} - \frac{1}{f} \frac{df}{d\bar{x}} \left(\frac{d\bar{x}}{d\tau} \right)^2 + f^2 \omega^2 \bar{x} = 0,$$

i.e. it appears an additional quadratic damping term, and linearity of harmonic oscillator is lost. Conversely, an equation of this last type can be reduced to a harmonic oscillator by means of the Sundman transformation defined by the function f^{-1} . If, for instance, $f(x) = x^2$, the former equation reduces to

$$\frac{d^2 \bar{x}}{d\tau^2} + \frac{2}{\bar{x}} \left(\frac{d\bar{x}}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \omega^2 \frac{1}{\bar{x}^3} = 0,$$

i.e. it is the Ermakov-Pinney equation corresponding to free motion under the action of a damping quadratic term [49]. This is a prototype for linearisable examples to be analysed next.

6.2 Generalised Sundman transformations

Let us remark that from a geometric viewpoint only Sundman transformations $dt = f(x) d\tau$ have a sense, i.e. the function f does not depend on t , which is not a coordinate. However, as it was stated in Section 4, in adapted coordinates y , a linear structure on the base manifold $Q = \mathbb{R}$ is of the form $\Delta_Q = y \partial/\partial y$ and the linear character of a given SODE depends on the choice of the coordinate y . The strategy that we will follow in the study of the linearisation process is to find a coordinate transformation $y = \varphi(x)$ from the original coordinate to the adapted one, and a Sundman transformation $d\tau = h(x) dt$ which transforms our original SODE into a linear one in the new coordinate y and its velocity. This will be done in several steps, by finding several coordinate transformations and Sundman transformations which simplify the form of the SODE. In other words, we admit composition of ordinary changes of coordinates with the Sundman transformations considered until now. In this context we will refer to a transformation of the form $y = x$, $d\tau = h(x) dt$ as a pure Sundman transformation, and to $y = \varphi(x)$, $d\tau = dt$ as a pure coordinate transformation. By composition of such type of transformations we get a group of generalised Sundman transformations (h, φ) defined as

$$y = \varphi(x), \quad d\tau = h(x) dt, \tag{6.3}$$

with composition law

$$(h_2, \varphi_2) \star (h_1, \varphi) = ((h_2 \circ \varphi_1)h_1, \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1).$$

The neutral element is $(1, \text{id})$ and the inverse of (h, φ) is $((1/h) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \varphi^{-1})$. The pure Sundman transformations are those of the form (h, id) , and close on an Abelian invariant subgroup, while usual coordinate transformations correspond to those of the form $(1, \varphi)$ and made up also a subgroup. As each transformation can be factorised as

$$(h, \varphi) = (1, \varphi) \star (h, \text{id}) = (h \circ \varphi^{-1}, \text{id}) \star (1, \varphi),$$

the set of generalised Sundman transformations is a semidirect product group.

6.3 Linearisation under generalised Sundman transformations

We will say that a SODE $\ddot{x} = X(x, \dot{x})$ is fibre-linearisable (or linearisable in velocities) under generalised Sundman transformations if it can be transformed to a SODE of the form $\ddot{x} + A(x)\dot{x} + b(x) = 0$, where A and b are real functions, while we will say that the SODE is linearisable under generalised Sundman transformations if it can be transformed into a SODE of the form $\ddot{x} + \alpha \dot{x} + Bx + C = 0$ for some real numbers $\alpha, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$. Remark that when $C \neq 0$ the transformed equation is an inhomogeneous linear equation.

Consider a scalar SODE

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = X\left(x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right), \quad (6.4)$$

i.e. a generic autonomous second-order differential equation. In order to study the linearisability of such equation remark that the abovementioned group properties of the set of generalised Sundman transformations show that the possible linearising transformations will be factorisable as a composition of a coordinate transformation first and a pure Sundman transformation later, leading to the linear equation. Inverting the process we can see first the form of the image under a pure Sundman transformation of the prototype linear equation. This is given by the the general transformation rule (5.8) for the 1-dimensional case, and then we see that the image is an equation of the class of SODEs of the form

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \gamma_0(x) \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 + A_0(x) \frac{dx}{dt} + b_0(x) = 0, \quad (6.5)$$

i.e. the function $X(x, v)$ is a polynomial of degree at most two in the variable $v = dx/dt$, or in other words, $\partial^3 X / \partial v^3 = 0$. But such a class is invariant under changes of coordinates because if we consider $\bar{x} = \varphi(x)$, then

$$\frac{d\bar{x}}{dt} = \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt}, \quad \frac{d^2\bar{x}}{dt^2} = \frac{d^2\varphi}{dx^2} \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \frac{d^2x}{dt^2},$$

and then \bar{x} satisfies an equation of the same type than (6.5). Therefore we obtain as a first result that a necessary condition for fibre-linearisability under generalised Sundman transformations is that the function $X(x, v)$ be a polynomial of degree at most 2 in the variable $v = dx/dt$,

or in other words, $\partial^3 X / \partial v^3 = 0$, and consequently second-order differential equations that are linearisable under generalised Sundman transformations must be of the form (6.5). Such a class of second-order differential equations is invariant under generalised Sundman transformations and contains the subset of inhomogeneous linear in velocities equations, which correspond to equations (6.5) with $\gamma_0 = 0$. As an instance we can say that the example of the Rayleigh-like oscillator studied in [50],

$$\ddot{x} + f(x) \dot{x}^3 + g(x) \dot{x}^2 + h(x) \dot{x} + k(x) = 0,$$

is not linearisable under generalised Sundman transformations.

We must determine which ones of these equations (6.5) are linearisable. The quadratic in the velocity term of (6.5) can always be eliminated by an appropriate pure Sundman transformation $x_1 = x$, $dt_1 = h(x) dt$. Indeed, under such a transformation the SODE takes the form

$$\frac{d^2 x_1}{dt_1^2} + \left(\gamma_0 + \frac{h'}{h} \right) \left(\frac{dx_1}{dt_1} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{h} A_0 \frac{dx_1}{dt_1} + \frac{1}{h^2} b_0 = 0,$$

so that the new coefficients are

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_0 + \frac{h'}{h}, \quad A_1 = \frac{A_0}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 = \frac{b_0}{h^2}. \quad (6.6)$$

We can choose as function $h = h_0(x)$ a non trivial solution of the linear ODE

$$\frac{dh}{dx} + \gamma_0(x)h = 0,$$

whose general solution is $h(x) = K \exp \left(- \int \gamma_0(x) dx \right)$ with K being any constant, which is irrelevant for our purposes. With this choice for the function h the transformed second-order differential equation under the pure Sundman transformation defined by h becomes the fibre-linear equation

$$\frac{d^2 x_1}{dt_1^2} + A_1(x_1) \frac{dx_1}{dt_1} + b_1(x_1) = 0, \quad (6.7)$$

with $A_1 = A_0/h_0$ and $b_1 = b_0/h_0^2$. Therefore any SODE of the given class (6.5) is fibre-linearisable by a pure Sundman transformation.

At this point we should notice that if both A_1 and b_1 vanish identically (i.e. A_0 and b_0 both vanish identically) then we have already got a special linear equation, more specifically,

$$\frac{d^2 x_1}{dt_1^2} = 0.$$

Let us consider now the case where at least one of such functions is not the zero function. Remark that once we have got an inhomogeneous fibre-linear SODE we can use only Sundman transformations that transform an inhomogeneous fibre-linear SODE into a new inhomogeneous fibre-linear SODE. These are slightly more general than affine transformations of coordinates, as it is stated in the following result:

Lemma 6.1. *i) If a generalised Sundman transformation $\bar{x} = \varphi(x)$, $d\bar{t} = h(x) dt$ preserves the set of inhomogeneous fibre-linear SODEs, then there exists a real constant $c \neq 0$ such that*

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dx} = ch. \quad (6.8)$$

ii) A Sundman transformation $\bar{x} = \varphi(x)$, $d\bar{t} = h(x) dt$ such that (6.8) holds, transforms every inhomogeneous fibre-linear SODE

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + A(x)\frac{dx}{dt} + b(x) = 0 \quad (6.9)$$

into the inhomogeneous fibre-linear SODE

$$\frac{d^2\bar{x}}{d\bar{t}^2} + \bar{A}(\bar{x})\frac{d\bar{x}}{d\bar{t}} + \bar{b}(\bar{x}) = 0, \quad (6.10)$$

where

$$\bar{A}(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{h(x)}A(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{b}(\bar{x}) = \frac{c}{h(x)}b(x). \quad (6.11)$$

Proof.- i) Under a Sundman transformation $\bar{x} = \varphi(x)$, $d\bar{t} = h(x) dt$ we have

$$\bar{v} = \frac{d\bar{x}}{d\bar{t}} = \frac{1}{h} \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{h} \frac{d\varphi}{dx} v, \quad (6.12)$$

and

$$\frac{d^2\bar{x}}{d\bar{t}^2} = \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{h} \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \right) v^2 + \left(\frac{1}{h} \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \right) \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} \right]. \quad (6.13)$$

Therefore, if the original equation is inhomogeneous fibre-linear as in (6.9), then the transformed one (6.13) is also inhomogeneous fibre-linear if and only if the coefficient of v^2 vanishes, that is, if and only if the function $(1/h)(d\varphi/dx)$ is constant, which proves condition (6.8).

ii) If the Sundman transformation $\bar{x} = \varphi(x)$, $d\bar{t} = h(x) dt$ satisfies condition (6.8), we have that

$$\frac{d^2\bar{x}}{d\bar{t}^2} = \frac{c}{h(x)} \frac{d^2x}{dt^2},$$

or in other words, it looks like the inhomogeneous fibre-linear equation (6.10) with

$$\bar{A}(\bar{x})\bar{v} + \bar{b}(\bar{x}) = \frac{c}{h(x)} (A(x)v + b(x)).$$

Since in this case, according to (6.12), $\bar{v} = cv$ we find that

$$\bar{A}(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{h(x)}A(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{b}(\bar{x}) = \frac{c}{h(x)}b(x),$$

which ends the proof. □

The explicit value of the constant c does not have any influence in the problem of linearisability, and then without loosing of generality we can take $c = 1$ (or $c = -1$ when appropriate).

This result shows that we can only transform the inhomogeneous fibre-linear SODE (6.7) by means of a special kind of Sundman transformations $x_2 = \varphi(x_1)$, $dt_2 = h(x_1) dt_1$, with $\varphi(x) = \int^x h(\zeta) d\zeta$, since, otherwise, the transformed equation of (6.7) would be non linear in the fibre variable. For such a transformation $dx_2/dt_2 = dx_1/dt_1$ and the expression of the transformed SODE is

$$\frac{d^2x_2}{dt_2^2} + \frac{1}{h(x_1)}A_1(x_1)\frac{dx_2}{dt_2} + \frac{1}{h(x_1)}b_1(x_1) = 0, \quad (6.14)$$

where the substitution of x_1 by $\varphi^{-1}(x_2)$ on the last two terms is understood. This SODE is linear if, and only if, the function A_1/h is constant and the function b_1/h is affine in the variable x_2 . In the differential equation (6.14) we have two different situations:

a) If A_1 vanishes identically (recall that also A_0 vanishes identically), we can choose the function h as $h = |b_1|$ in the Sundman transformation and the transformed SODE is then

$$\frac{d^2x_2}{dt_2^2} + \beta = 0,$$

with $\beta = \text{sign}(b)$, which we assume to be constant (otherwise we have to restrict x_2 to an interval where the sign of b is constant). The Sundman transformation is $x_2 = \int^{x_1} |b(\zeta)| d\zeta$ and $dt_2 = |b(x_1)| dt_1$. Alternatively, we can take the generalised Sundman transformation $x_2 = \int^{x_1} b(\zeta) d\zeta$, $dt_2 = |b(x_1)| dt_1$, and the transformed SODE is

$$\frac{d^2x_2}{dt_2^2} + 1 = 0.$$

b) If A_1 is not the zero function, in order to make constant the coefficient of dx_2/dt_2 we must take $h(x_1) = |A_1(x_1)|$ (up to an irrelevant multiplicative constant). Thus the transformation $x_2 = \int^{x_1} A_1(\zeta) d\zeta$, $dt_2 = |A_1(x_1)| dt_1$, transforms the given inhomogeneous fibre-linear SODE (6.7) into the form

$$\frac{d^2x_2}{dt_2^2} + \alpha \frac{dx_2}{dt_2} + b_2(x_2) = 0, \quad (6.15)$$

with $\alpha = \text{sign}(A)$ (which once again we assume to be constant) and

$$b_2(x_2) = \frac{b_1(x_1)}{|A_1(x_1)|},$$

where on the right-hand side we assume that x_1 is replaced by its corresponding value of x_2 .

A generalised Sundman transformation that preserves the form of the above SODE (6.15), i.e. inhomogeneous fibre-linear with constant coefficient α , is of the form $\bar{x} = m x_2 + n$,

$d\bar{t} = m dt_2$, with m and n some constants. Therefore, a SODE of the above form (6.15) is either linear or otherwise it is not linearisable. Obviously, the new SODE is linear if, and only if, $b_2(x_2)$ is an affine function. In other words if, and only if, db_2/dx_2 is constant,

$$\frac{db_2}{dx_2} = B \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.16)$$

Let us find the conditions on the original data γ_0 , A_0 and b_0 in order to $b_2(x_2)$ be an affine function. Using the chain rule and the definitions (6.6) of A_1 and b_1 , we see that

$$B = \frac{dx_1}{dx_2} \frac{d}{dx_1} \left(\frac{b_1}{A_1} \right) = \frac{1}{A_1} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{b_0}{A_0 h_0} \right) = \frac{1}{A_0^3} \left(A_0 \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A_0 - A_0' \right) b_0.$$

Taking into account that if z is the real function

$$z = \left(A_0 \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A_0 - A_0' \right) b_0,$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} (A_0^{-3} z) = A_0^{-3} z' - 3A_0^{-4} A_0' z = A_0^{-4} (A_0 z' - 3A_0' z),$$

we get that the condition (6.16) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{dB}{dx} = \frac{1}{A_0^4} \left(A_0 \frac{d}{dx} - 3A_0' \right) \left(A_0 \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma_0 A_0 - A_0' \right) b_0 = 0.$$

Consequently, we have arrived to the following linearisability condition: the SODE (6.5) is linearisable if and only if the functions A_0 , b_0 and γ_0 satisfy

$$\left(A_0 \frac{d}{dx} - 3A_0' \right) \left(A_0 \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma_0 A_0 - A_0' \right) b_0 = 0.$$

Notice that this condition is also satisfied in the two first cases (either $A_0 = b_0 = 0$, or $A_0 \neq 0$ and $b_0 = 0$). Moreover, we can prove that such condition is invariant under a generalised Sundman transformation:

Theorem 6.1. *For the class of SODEs of the form*

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \gamma(x) \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 + A(x) \frac{dx}{dt} + b(x) = 0,$$

let Q be the function

$$Q = \left(A \frac{d}{dx} - 3A' \right) \left(A \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A - A' \right) b. \quad (6.17)$$

Then, the condition $Q = 0$ is invariant under generalized Sundman transformations.

Proof.- We have seen that under a pure Sundman transformation, $d\tau = h(x) dt$, the coefficient functions transform as in (6.6):

$$\gamma \mapsto \gamma + \frac{h'}{h}, \quad A \mapsto \frac{A}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad b \mapsto \frac{b}{h^2}.$$

Thus the factor

$$P = \left(A \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A - A' \right) b$$

is transformed into

$$\left(\frac{A}{h} \frac{d}{dx} + \left(\gamma + \frac{h'}{h} \right) \frac{A}{h} - \left(\frac{A'}{h} \right)' \right) \frac{b}{h^2} = \left(\frac{A}{h} \frac{d}{dx} + \left(\gamma + \frac{h'}{h} \right) \frac{A}{h} - \frac{A'}{h} + \frac{Ah'}{h^2} \right) \frac{b}{h^2} = \frac{1}{h^3} \left(A \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A - A' \right) b,$$

i.e. P is transformed into P/h^3 .

Hence $Q = \left(A \frac{d}{dx} - 3A' \right) P$ is transformed into

$$\left(\frac{A}{h} \frac{d}{dx} - 3 \left(\frac{A'}{h} \right)' \right) \frac{P}{h^3} = \left(\frac{A}{h} \frac{d}{dx} - 3 \frac{A'}{h} + 3 \frac{Ah'}{h^2} \right) \frac{P}{h^3} = \frac{1}{h} \left(A \frac{d}{dx} - 3A' + 3 \frac{h'}{h} A \right) \frac{P}{h^3},$$

and using that

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{P}{h^3} \right) = \frac{1}{h^3} \frac{d}{dx} P - 3 \frac{h'}{h} \frac{P}{h^3},$$

we see that Q is transformed into

$$\frac{1}{h^4} \left(A \frac{d}{dx} - 3A' \right) P = \frac{1}{h^4} Q.$$

Therefore $Q \mapsto \frac{1}{h^4} Q$, and hence the condition $Q = 0$ is invariant under pure Sundman transformations.

Under a usual change of coordinates $x \mapsto \bar{x} = \varphi(x)$ the coefficient functions change as

$$A \mapsto A \quad b \mapsto Jb \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \mapsto \frac{1}{J} \left(\gamma - \frac{J'}{J} \right),$$

where $J(x) = d\varphi/dx$, and of course $d/dx \mapsto (1/J) d/dx$, and hence $A' \mapsto (1/J) A'$. The term $P = \left(A \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A - A' \right) b$ is transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(A \frac{1}{J} \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{J} \left(\gamma - \frac{J'}{J} \right) A - \frac{1}{J} A' \right) (Jb) = \frac{1}{J} \left(A \frac{d}{dx} + \left(\gamma - \frac{J'}{J} \right) A - A' \right) (Jb) \\ & = \left(A \frac{d}{dx} + \left(\gamma - \frac{J'}{J} \right) A - A' + A \frac{J'}{J} \right) b = \left(A \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A - A' \right) b, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. P is invariant.

Hence $Q = \left(A \frac{d}{dx} - 3A' \right) P$ is transformed into

$$\left(AJ \frac{d}{dx} - 3JA' \right) P = J \left(A \frac{d}{dx} - 3A' \right) P.$$

Therefore, $Q \mapsto JQ$, and we can conclude that the condition $Q = 0$ is also invariant under pure coordinate transformations.

As a generalised Sundman transformation can be obtained by composition of a pure coordinate transformation and a pure Sundman transformation, the invariance of the condition $Q = 0$ under generalised Sundman transformations follows. \square

As in the particular case of a linear SODE we have $Q = 0$, this shows that the given condition is also necessary. Summarizing our results, we have proved the following statement.

Theorem 6.2. *i) A second-order differential equation*

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = X \left(x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right)$$

is fibre-linearisable by a Sundman transformation $y = \varphi(x)$, $d\tau = h(x) dt$, if, and only if, it is of the form

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \gamma(x) \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 + A(x) \frac{dx}{dt} + b(x) = 0. \quad (6.18)$$

Moreover, it can always be transformed to a constant coefficient α form

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\tau^2} + \alpha \frac{dy}{d\tau} + \beta(y) = 0,$$

with $\alpha = \text{sign}(A)$ (understanding that $\alpha = 0$ if $A = 0$).

ii) A second-order differential equation is linearisable if and only if it is of the form (6.18) and the coefficients $\gamma(x)$, $A(x)$ and $b(x)$ satisfy

$$Q \equiv \left(A \frac{d}{dx} - 3A' \right) \left(A \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A - A' \right) b = 0. \quad (6.19)$$

More specifically:

- *If $A = 0$ and $b = 0$, then the SODE can be transformed into the form*

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\tau^2} = 0 \quad (6.20)$$

by the Sundman transformation

$$y = x, \quad d\tau = \exp \left(- \int^x \gamma(\zeta) d\zeta \right) dt. \quad (6.21)$$

- If $A = 0$ and $b \neq 0$, then the SODE can be transformed into the form

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\tau^2} + 1 = 0 \quad (6.22)$$

by the Sundman transformation

$$y = \int^x b(\zeta) \exp\left(2 \int^\zeta \gamma(\eta) d\eta\right) d\zeta, \quad d\tau = |b(x)| \exp\left(\int^x \gamma(\zeta) d\zeta\right) dt. \quad (6.23)$$

- If $A \neq 0$ and condition (6.19) is satisfied, then the SODE can be transformed into the form

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\tau^2} + \alpha \frac{dy}{d\tau} + By + C = 0, \quad (6.24)$$

where $\alpha = \text{sign}(A)$, by the Sundman transformation

$$y = \int^x A(\zeta) \exp\left(\int^\zeta \gamma(\eta) d\eta\right) d\zeta, \quad d\tau = |A(x)| dt. \quad (6.25)$$

□

7 Some examples of linearisable under Sundman transformations systems

In this section we illustrate the theory of linearisable systems with some particular examples:

Example 7.1 (Ermakov-Pinney [49]). Consider once again the differential equation

$$\ddot{x} + \frac{2}{x}\dot{x}^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{x^3} = 0, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that $\gamma(x) = 2/x$, $A(x) = 0$ and $b(x) = \omega^2/x^3$. Therefore it is Sundman linearisable and can be transformed to the form (6.22) by means of the transformation given by (6.23)

$$y = \omega^2 x^2, \quad d\tau = \frac{\omega^2}{x} dt.$$

Example 7.2 (Geodesics on the sphere [51]). Consider as a fully analogous example the differential equation

$$\ddot{x} = 2\dot{x}^2 \cot x + \sin x \cos x,$$

so that $\gamma(x) = -2 \cot x$, $A(x) = 0$ and $b(x) = -\sin x \cos x$. It is Sundman linearisable by means of the transformation given by (6.23)

$$y = \frac{1}{2 \sin^2(x)}, \quad d\tau = |\cot(x)| dt,$$

and the transformed SODE is once again (6.22).

Example 7.3. Consider the differential equation [52]

$$\ddot{x} + \frac{1}{x}\dot{x}^2 + x\dot{x} + \frac{1}{2} = 0, \quad (7.1)$$

so that $\gamma(x) = 1/x$, $A(x) = x$ and $b(x) = 1/2$. The condition $Q = 0$ is trivially satisfied because

$$\left(A\frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A - A'\right)b = \left(x\frac{d}{dx}\right)(1/2) = 0,$$

and therefore it is Sundman linearisable. If we consider the interval $x > 0$ then the Sundman transformation given by (6.25)

$$y = \frac{1}{3}x^3, \quad d\tau = x dt$$

transforms the given SODE into the form given by (6.24),

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\tau^2} + \frac{dy}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{2} = 0.$$

Notice that this equation (7.1) is not linearisable by point transformations, as it does not satisfy Lie criteria, but it is Sundman linearisable.

Example 7.4. As a generalization of the preceding example, we consider the differential equation

$$\ddot{x} + \frac{1}{x}\dot{x}^2 + x\dot{x} + b(x) = 0.$$

The condition $Q = 0$ is

$$\left(A\frac{d}{dx} - 3A'\right)\left(A\frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A - A'\right)b = (\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} - 3)\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}b = 0,$$

with $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} = x\partial/\partial x$. Therefore $Q = 0$ if and only if $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}b$ is homogeneous with degree 3, say $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}b = 3k_1x^3$ with $k_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence $b(x) = k_1x^3 + k_2$. It follows that the more general SODE of the above form that is Sundman linearisable is $\ddot{x} + \frac{1}{x}\dot{x}^2 + x\dot{x} + k_1x^3 + k_2 = 0$. A Sundman transformation linearising this equation is $y = \frac{1}{3}x^3$, $d\tau = |x| dt$.

Example 7.5. The Liénard equation

$$\ddot{x} + f(x)\dot{x} + g(x) = 0, \quad (7.2)$$

and the existence of generalised Sundman transformations

$$y = \varphi(x), \quad d\tau = F(x) dt,$$

able to transform the given equation into

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\tau^2} + 3\frac{dy}{d\tau} + y^3 + 2y = 0,$$

are studied in [53].

Moreover, the generalised Sundman transformation leading from the original equation to the linear equation

$$\frac{d^2 y}{d\tau^2} + \sigma \frac{dy}{d\tau} + y = 0$$

is also found.

Note that (7.2) is a particular case of the master equation

$$\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + \gamma(x) \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 + A(x) \frac{dx}{dt} + b(x) = 0, \quad (7.3)$$

with $\gamma(x) = 0$, $A(x) = f(x)$ and $b(x) = g(x)$. Recall also that the linearisability condition is

$$Q \equiv \left(A \frac{d}{dx} - 3A' \right) \left(A \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma A - A' \right) b = 0, \quad (7.4)$$

that in our particular case turns out to be

$$\left(f(x) \frac{d}{dx} - 3f'(x) \right) \left(f(x) \frac{d}{dx} - f'(x) \right) g = 0,$$

and therefore

$$f^2 g'' - f f'' g - 3f f' g' + 3f'^2 g = 0.$$

Then, given the function f , the function g is any function of the linear space of solutions of the linear second-order differential equation in the variable g . But as $g = f$ is a solution of such equation we can introduce the change of variable $g = f \zeta$ and the given equation becomes $f \zeta'' - f' \zeta' = 0$, which shows that the general solution is

$$\zeta = k_1 \int_0^x f(\xi) d\xi + k_2,$$

and therefore the linearisability condition implies that the function g is

$$g(x) = k_1 f(x) \int_0^x f(\xi) d\xi + k_2 f(x),$$

in agreement with the result of Theorem 2 of [53].

The example may be used to study the Liénard type equation containing a dissipative term. As explained in [54] the differential equation

$$\ddot{x} + f(x) \dot{x}^2 + g(x) \dot{x} + h(x) = 0 \quad (7.5)$$

can be reduced by a pure Sundman transformation $d\tau = F(x) dt$ to a Liénard equation (7.2). In fact, if

$$F(x) = \exp \left(- \int^x f(\xi) d\xi \right)$$

(7.5) becomes

$$\frac{d^2x}{d\tau^2} + \tilde{g}(x)\frac{dx}{d\tau} + \tilde{h}(x) = 0, \quad (7.6)$$

with

$$\tilde{g}(x) = g(x) \exp\left(\int^x f(\xi) d\xi\right), \quad \tilde{h}(x) = h(x) \exp\left(2 \int^x f(\xi) d\xi\right).$$

This process is the one indicated for going from (6.5) to (6.7) and shows that the linearisability under Sundman transformations of (7.5) is reduced to that of the corresponding equation (7.6).

8 Conclusions and outlook

The geometric approach to Sundman transformation defined by basic functions for systems of second-order differential equations has been developed. It has been shown that, as it also happens for systems of first-order differential equations, it amounts to replace the dynamical vector field by the corresponding conformally related one, but the additional price to be payed is the change of the usual tangent bundle structure by a new one that depends on the basic function defining the Sundman transformation in such a way that the transformed dynamical vector field is a SODE vector field with respect to the new tangent structure. The study is based on the use of quasi-coordinates on the tangent bundle that turn out to be true tangent bundle coordinates with respect to the new tangent structure. As an application we have developed the study of the linearisation of a second-order differential equation where not only standard Sundman transformation but a generalisation in which a change of coordinates is also involved, because linearity depends on the choice of coordinates. Finally the theory has been illustrated with several examples.

Systems of second-order differential equations equivalent to a Euler-Lagrange system of second-order differential equations are a privileged class because of its interest in many physical problems, and consequently, this particular class of systems is worth of a deeper study. Particularly interesting are those systems describing geodesic motions in Riemann manifolds (M, g) and the more general class of natural systems, also called of mechanical type, where forces derivable of a potential function V appear. This leads to study both geodesic motions for conformally related metrics [55] and similar problems when potential functions are involved. These questions have been shown to be relevant in the study of classical superintegrable systems (see [56, 57] and references therein).

References

- [1] Levi-Civita, T.: *Traiettorie singolari ed urti nel problema ristretto dei tre corpi*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **9**, 1–32 (1904).
- [2] Levi-Civita, T.: *Sur la résolution qualitative du problème restreint des trois corps*, Acta Math. **30**, 305–327 (1906).
- [3] Sundman, K.F.: *Mémoire sur le problème des trois corps*, Acta Math. **36**, 105–179 (1913).

- [4] Levi-Civita, T.: *Sur la régularisation du problème des trois corps*, Acta Math. **42**, 99–144 (1920).
- [5] Duarte, L.G.S., Moreira, I.C., and Santos, F.C.: *Linearization under non-point transformations*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27**, L739–L743 (1994).
- [6] Blanes, S., and A. Iserles, A.: *Explicit adaptive symplectic integrators for solving Hamiltonian systems*, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astr. **114**, 297–317 (2012).
- [7] Cirilli, S., Hairer, E., and Leimkuhler, B.: *Asymptotic Error Analysis of the Adaptive Verlet Method*, BIT Numerical Mathematics **39**, 25–33 (1999).
- [8] Nacozy, P.: *A discussion of time transformations and local truncation errors*, Celest. Mechanics **13**, 495–501 (1976).
- [9] Bohlin, K.: *Note sur le problème des deux corps et sur une intégration nouvelle dans le problème des trois corps*, Bulletin Astronomique **28**, 113–119 (1911).
- [10] Borisov, A.V., and Mamaev, I.S.: *Relations between integrable systems in plane and curved spaces*, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astr. **99**, 253–260 (2007).
- [11] González-León, M.A., Mateos-Guilarte, J., and de la Torre Mayado, M.: *Orbits in the Problem of Two Fixed Centers on the Sphere*, Reg. Chaotic Dyn. **22**, 520–542 (2017).
- [12] Molero, F.J., Crespo, F., and Ferrer, S.: *A Note on Reparametrizations of the Euler Equations*, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. **16**, 453–466 (2017).
- [13] Bond, V.R., and Janin, G.: *Canonical orbital elements in terms of an arbitrary independent variable*, Celest. Mechanics **23**, 159–172 (1981).
- [14] Bond, V.R.: *A transformation of the two-body problem*, Celest. Mechanics **35**, 1–7 (1985).
- [15] Cariñena, J.F., Ibort, L.A., and Lacomba, E.: *Time scaling as an infinitesimal canonical transformation in Celestial Mechanics*, Celest. Mechanics **42**, 201–213 (1988).
- [16] Cariñena, J.F., Clemente-Gallardo, J., Jover-Galtier, J.A., and Marmo, G.: *Tangent bundle geometry from dynamics: application to the Kepler problem*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **14**, 1750047 (2017).
- [17] Ciaglia, F.M., Marmo G. and Schiavone, L.: *From classical trajectories to Quantum commutation relations*, In the book: Classical and Quantum Physics, G. Marmo et al Eds, Chapter 9, pp. 163–185. Springer Proceedings in Physics **229**, 2019.
- [18] Cariñena, J.F., Martínez, E., and Muñoz-Lecanda, M.C.: *Infinitesimal time reparametrization and its applications*, J. Nonl. Math. Phys. **29**, 523–555 (2022).
- [19] Ferrer, S., and Sein-Echaluce, M.L.: *On the Szebehely-Bond equation. Generalized Sundman’s transformation for the perturbed two-body problem*, Celest. Mechanics **32**, 333–347 (1984).

- [20] Szebehely, V., and Bond, V.: *Transformations of the perturbed two-body problem to unperturbed harmonic oscillators*, *Celest. Mechanics* **30**, 59–69 (1983).
- [21] Pellegrini, E., Russell, R.P., and Vittaldev, V.: *F and G Taylor series solutions to the Stark and Kepler problems with Sundman transformations*, *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astr.* **118**, 355–378 (2014).
- [22] Crampin, M.: *On the differential geometry of the Euler–Lagrange equations and the inverse problem in Lagrangian dynamics*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **14**, 2567–2575 (1981).
- [23] Crampin, M.: *Tangent bundle geometry for Lagrangian dynamics*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **16**, 3755–3772 (1983).
- [24] de Filippo, S., Landi, G. Marmo, G., and Vilasi, G.: *Tensor fields defining a tangent bundle structure*, *Annales de l’I.H.P. Physique Théorique* **50**, 205–218 (1989).
- [25] Cariñena, J.F., Ibort, A., Marmo, G., and Morandi, G.: *Geometry from Dynamics: Classical and Quantum*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2015.
- [26] Grifone, J., and Mehdi, M.M.: *Existence of conservation laws and characterization of recursion operators for completely integrable systems*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349**, 4609–4633 (1997).
- [27] Magri, F.: *A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation*, *J. Math. Phys.* **19**, 1156–1162 (1978).
- [28] Magri, F., and Morosi, C.: *A geometrical characterization of integrable Hamiltonian systems*, *Quaderno 5/19*, Università di Milano (1984).
- [29] Cariñena, J.F., and Ibort, L.A.: *Non-Noether constants of motion*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **16**, 1–7 (1983).
- [30] Ibort, A., and Marmo, G.: *The geometry of integrable and superintegrable systems*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **172**, 1109–1117 (2012).
- [31] Clark, R.S., and Bruckheimer, M.: *Sur les structures presque tangentes*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **251**, 627–629 (1960).
- [32] Eliopoulos, H.A.: *Structures presque tangents sur les variétés différentiables*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **255**, 1563–1565 (1962).
- [33] Houh, C.S.: *On a structure defined by a tensor field F of type $(1,1)$ satisfying $F^2 = 0$* , *Canad. Math. Bull.* **16**, 447–449 (1973).
- [34] Brickell, F., and Clark, R.S.: *Integrable almost tangent structures*, *J. Diff. Geom.* **9**, 557–563 (1974).
- [35] Clark, R.S., and Goel, D.S.: *On the geometry of an almost tangent manifold*, *Tensor* **24**, 243–252 (1972).

- [36] Grifone, J.: *Structure presque-tangente et connexions, I, II*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **22**, 287–334, 291–338 (1972).
- [37] Yano, K., and Davies, E.T.: *Differential Geometry of almost tangent manifolds*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **103**, 131–160 (1975).
- [38] Crampin, M.: *Defining Euler-Lagrange fields in terms of almost tangent structures*, Phys. Lett. A **95**, 466–468 (1983).
- [39] Crampin, M., and Thompson, G.: *Affine bundles and integrable almost tangent structures*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **98**, 61–71 (1985).
- [40] Heard, W.B.: *Rigid Body Mechanics*, Wiley-VCH, 2006.
- [41] Cariñena, J.F., Nunes da Costa, J.M., and Santos, P.: *Quasi-coordinates from the point of view of Lie algebroid structures*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40**, 10031–10048 (2007).
- [42] Cariñena, J.F., Gheorghiu, I., Martínez, E., and Santos, P.: *Virial theorem in quasi-coordinates and Lie algebroid formalism*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **11**, 1450055 (2014).
- [43] Cariñena, J.F., and Santos, P.: *Jacobi Multipliers and Hamel’s formalism*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **54**, 225203 (2021).
- [44] Moyo, S., and Meleshko, S.V.: *Application of the Generalised Sundman Transformation to the Linearisation of Two Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations*, J. Nonl. Math. Phys. **18** S1, 213–236 (2011).
- [45] Johnpillai, A.G., and Mahomed, F.M.: *On Linearization by Generalized Sundman Transformations of a Class of Liénard Type Equations and Its Generalization*, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. **7**, 2355–2359 (2013).
- [46] I. Kolář, P.W. Michor, and J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 2013.
- [47] Bolsinov, A.V., Borisov, A.V., and Mamaev, I.S.: *Geometrisation of Chaplygin’s reducing multiplier theorem*, Nonlinearity **28**, 2307–2318 (2015).
- [48] Kavle, H., Offin, D., and Portaluri, A.: *Keplerian orbits through the Conley–Zehnder index*, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. **20**, 10 (2021).
- [49] Reid, J.L., and Ray, J.R.: *Ermakov systems, nonlinear superposition, and solutions of nonlinear equations of motion*, J. Math. Phys. **21**, 1583–1587 (1980).
- [50] Sinelshchikov, D.I.: *Linearizability conditions for the Rayleigh-like oscillators*, Phys. Lett. A **384**, 126655 (2020).

- [51] Harrison, B.K.: *An old problem newly treated with differential forms: when and how can the equation $y'' = f(x, y, y')$ be linearized?*, Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine **43**, Part 1, 27–35 (2002).
- [52] Nakpim, W., and Meleshko, S.V.: *Linearization of Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations by Generalized Sundman Transformations*, SIGMA **6**, 051 (2010).
- [53] Kudryashov, N.A., and Sinelshchikov, D.I.: *On the criteria for integrability of the Liénard equation*, Appl. Math. Lett. **57**, 114–120 (2016).
- [54] Kudryashov, N.A., and Sinelshchikov, D.I.: *New non-standard Lagrangians for the Liénard-type equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. **63**, 124–129 (2017).
- [55] Tsamparlis, M., Paliathanasis, A., Basilakos, S., and Capozziello, S.: *Conformally related metrics and Lagrangians and their physical interpretation in cosmology*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **45**, 2003–2022 (2013).
- [56] Cariñena, J.F., Santander, M., and Rañada, M.F.: *Superintegrability of 3-dimensional Hamiltonian systems with conformally Euclidean metrics. Oscillator-related and Kepler-related systems*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **54**, 105201 (2021).
- [57] Cariñena, J.F., Santander, M., and Rañada, M.F.: *Superintegrability on the 3-dimensional spaces with curvature. Oscillator-related and Kepler-related systems on the Sphere S^3 and on the Hyperbolic space H^3* , J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **54**, 365201 (2021).